

113TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
113-113

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2014

---

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 2397]



JUNE 17, 2013.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on  
the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2014**

113TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
113-113

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2014

---

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 2397]



JUNE 17, 2013.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on  
the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

---

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

81-454

WASHINGTON : 2013



# CONTENTS

---

	Page
Bill Totals .....	1
Committee Budget Review Process .....	3
Introduction .....	3
Funding Increases .....	3
Committee Recommendations by Major Category .....	3
Active, Reserve and National Guard Military Personnel .....	3
Operation and Maintenance .....	3
Procurement .....	4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	5
Defense Health Program .....	5
Overseas Contingency Operations .....	6
Classified Programs .....	6
Forces to be Supported .....	6
Department of the Army .....	6
Department of the Navy .....	7
Department of the Air Force .....	8
<b>TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Military Personnel Overview .....	13
Summary of End Strength .....	13
Overall Active End Strength .....	13
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength .....	13
Full-Time Support Strengths .....	14
Operational Reserve .....	14
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response .....	15
Military Personnel Account Funding Reductions .....	17
Obligations of Bonuses .....	17
Carryover Authority .....	18
Permanent Change of Station Efficiencies .....	18
Long-Term Temporary Duty Assignments .....	19
Foreign Language Training and Education .....	19
Minority Outreach .....	20
Hazing in the Armed Forces .....	20
Military Personnel, Army .....	20
Military Personnel, Navy .....	25
Littoral Combat Ship Manning .....	29
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	30
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	34
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	38
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	41
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	44
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	47
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	50
Cyber Security—Dual Use Cyber Incident Response Teams .....	53
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	53

IV

	Page
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	57
Operation and Maintenance Reprogrammings .....	59
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data .....	60
Special Interest Items .....	60
Restoration of Funding for Unrealistic Efficiency Savings .....	60
Tuition Assistance .....	60
Realistic Fuel Pricing .....	61
Military Information Support Operations .....	61
Defense Personal Property Moves .....	62
STEM Education .....	62
Telecommunications Expense Management .....	62
Military Working Dogs .....	63
Report on Overseas Infrastructure .....	63
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	63
Army Training Aids and Devices .....	68
Cemeterial Expenses .....	68
High Resolution 3—Dimensional Terrain Data Collection .....	68
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	69
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	74
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response .....	77
Marine Corps Embassy Security Programs .....	77
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	77
Cyber Command Funding .....	83
U.S. Access to the Azores .....	83
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	83
Suicide Prevention Training and Outreach .....	88
STARBASE .....	89
Data Research Tools in Security Clearance Investigations .....	89
Meals Ready-To-Eat War Reserve .....	90
Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs .....	90
Special Operations Command Readiness .....	90
Use of Major Force Program—11 Funds .....	90
Special Operations Command Human Psychological Performance and Family Programs .....	91
Special Operations Command Human Physical Performance Pro- gram .....	92
Special Operations Command Advanced Education Program .....	93
Special Operations Command National Capital Region .....	93
Special Operations Command Budget Justification .....	94
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	95
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	98
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	101
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	104
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	107
National Guard Civil Support Teams .....	110
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	110
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account .....	113
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	113
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	113
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	113
Vieques Island Environmental Restoration .....	113
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	114
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....	114
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	114

	Page
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—Continued	
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites —Continued	
Environmental Restoration Annual Report to Congress .....	114
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	115
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	115
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....	115
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT .....	117
Special Interest Items .....	119
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	119
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	119
Funding Increases .....	119
Classified Annex .....	119
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	120
UH-60 Helicopters for the Army National Guard .....	124
UH-72A Lakota Light Utility Helicopters .....	124
Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance Sys- tem .....	124
MQ-1 Gray Eagle .....	125
Missile Procurement, Army .....	125
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	128
Paladin Integrated Management (Paladin PIM) .....	132
M-88A2 Hercules Improved Recovery Vehicle .....	132
Integrated Airburst Weapons System .....	132
Carbine .....	132
M1 Abrams Tank Production .....	133
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	133
Other Procurement, Army .....	137
Joint Tactical Radio System Competition .....	146
Surge Capacity for Domestic Body Armor .....	146
National Guard HMMWV Modernization Program .....	146
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	146
MH-60S and MH-60R Helicopters .....	154
Marine Corps Unmanned Airborne Electronic Attack .....	154
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	154
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	158
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	162
Shipbuilding .....	165
Joint High Speed Vessel .....	166
Ship Decommissionings .....	166
Other Procurement, Navy .....	166
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	176
High Mobility Engineer Excavator .....	182
High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle .....	182
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	182
MQ-9 Reaper .....	189
B-52 Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade .....	189
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	190
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Services Acquisition .....	194
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	194
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	197
MQ-1/9 Remote Split Operations .....	202
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	202
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	207
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....	209
Special Interest Items .....	211

	Page
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION —Continued	
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	211
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	211
Funding Increases .....	211
Classified Annex .....	211
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	212
Munitions Standardization Effectiveness and Safety .....	221
Weapon and Munitions Technology .....	221
Weapon and Munitions Advanced Technology .....	221
Warfighter Information Network—Tactical .....	221
Malaria Vaccine Development .....	222
Systems Engineering Research Center .....	222
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	222
Ocean Energy Technology .....	237
Highly Integrated Photonics .....	237
Automated Test and Re-Test .....	237
Bone Marrow Registry .....	237
Shipboard Lighting .....	238
Coastal Environment Research .....	238
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	238
Global Hawk Block 30 .....	250
JSTARS Test Aircraft .....	250
Hard Target New Start Efforts .....	250
Airborne Synthetic Aperture Radar/Moving Target Indicator .....	250
F-22 Open System Architecture .....	251
KC-46A .....	251
Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Survivability .....	251
Strategic and Critical Metals .....	251
Aircraft Windows .....	251
Laser-Driven X-Ray Technology .....	252
National Security Space Program Planning and Execution .....	252
Space Modernization Initiative .....	252
Space Based Infrared System Ground Enhancements .....	253
Human Performance Sensing .....	253
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	253
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority-Serving Institutions .....	263
Conventional Prompt Global Strike .....	263
Corrosion Control .....	263
Specialty Metals .....	264
High Performance Computing Modernization Program .....	264
Manufacturing Experimentation and Outreach .....	264
Common Kill Vehicle Technology Program .....	265
Ground-Based Interceptors .....	265
Embedded Diagnostics .....	265
Semiconductor Industry .....	265
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	266
TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS .....	269
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	269
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	269
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS .....	271
Defense Health Program .....	271
Reprogramming Guidance for the Defense Health Program .....	275
Carryover .....	275



VII

	Page
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS—Continued	
Defense Health Program—Continued	
Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and Fort Belvoir Com- munity Hospital .....	275
Embedded Mental Health Providers .....	276
Integrated Electronic Health Record .....	276
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program .....	277
Prescription Drug Abuse .....	277
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs .....	277
Peer-Reviewed Autism Research Program .....	278
Pre-Deployment Resiliency Training .....	278
Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents .....	279
Air Force Acuity Model Training Program .....	279
Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities .....	279
Thermal Injury Prevention .....	279
Mental Health Access for Servicemembers .....	280
Medical Records of Sexual Victims .....	280
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	280
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	281
National Guard State Plans .....	281
Support of Counter-Drug Activities in the Caribbean .....	282
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	282
Office of the Inspector General .....	282
Inspector General Vacancy .....	282
TITLE VII. RELATED AGENCIES .....	285
National and Military Intelligence Programs .....	285
Classified Annex .....	285
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	285
Intelligence Community Management Account .....	285
TITLE VIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	287
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	297
Committee Recommendation .....	297
Reporting Requirements .....	297
Military Personnel .....	297
Operation and Maintenance .....	302
Limitation on Availability of Funds for Certain Authorities for Af- ghanistan .....	308
Equipment Readiness .....	308
Women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces .....	308
Procurement .....	309
National Guard and Reserve Equipment .....	316
Reserve Component Simulation Training Systems .....	316
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	316
Revolving and Management Funds .....	318
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	318
Other Department of Defense Programs .....	318
Defense Health Program .....	318
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	318
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	318
Detection of Materials Used in Improvised Explosive Devices .....	319
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	319
Office of the Inspector General .....	319
General Provisions .....	319
TITLE X. ....	321

VIII

	Page
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS .....	321
Changes in the Application of Existing Law .....	321
Appropriations Not Authorized By Law .....	332
Transfer of Funds .....	333
Rescissions .....	335
Transfer of Unexpended Balances .....	336
Directed Rule Making .....	336
Program Duplication .....	336
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives .....	336
Compliance with Rule XIII, CL. 3(e) (Ramseyer Rule) .....	336
Earmark Disclosure Statement .....	337
Comparison with the Budget Resolution .....	337
Five-Year Outlay Projections .....	337
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments .....	338
Full Committee Votes .....	339
Additional Views .....	361

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2014

---

JUNE 17, 2013.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

---

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2397]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014.

BILL TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of Defense are provided for in the accompanying bill for fiscal year 2014. This bill does not provide appropriations for military construction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nuclear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connection with other appropriations Acts.

The President's fiscal year 2014 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act totals \$515,911,915,000 in new budget obligational authority for the base military bill.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	127,533,073	130,399,881	129,649,180	+2,116,107	-750,701
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	173,494,558	175,097,941	174,974,024	+1,479,466	-123,917
Title III - Procurement.....	100,350,714	99,106,242	98,356,158	-1,994,556	-750,084
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	69,928,477	67,520,236	66,409,530	-3,518,947	-1,110,706
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,214,024	2,276,527	2,141,527	-72,497	-135,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,526,674	35,461,127	35,985,467	+458,793	+524,340
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,048,421	1,082,271	1,066,535	+18,114	-15,736
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	507,935	158,000	-2,844,571	-3,352,506	-3,002,571
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	86,954,838	79,278,902	85,604,949	-1,349,889	+6,326,047
-----					
Total, Department of Defense.....	597,558,714	590,381,127	591,342,799	-6,215,915	+961,672
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,724,665	7,719,681	7,462,000	-262,665	-257,681
Less appropriations for subsequent years....	---	-952,739	---	---	+952,739
-----					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	605,371,714	597,148,069	598,804,799	-6,566,915	+1,656,730

## COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2014 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2013, the Subcommittee on Defense held a total of ten hearings and four formal briefings during the period of February 2013 to May 2013. Testimony received by the Subcommittee totaled 1,063 pages of transcript. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those hearings in executive or closed session.

## INTRODUCTION

The Committee recommendation for the fiscal year 2014 Department of Defense base budget is \$512,521,850,000, which is a decrease of \$3,390,065,000 below the request. The Committee recommendation for overseas contingency operations is \$85,768,949,000, which is an increase of \$5,046,795,000 above the request.

To reach the reduced base allocation, the Subcommittee has reviewed in detail the budget request, and found areas and programs where reductions are possible without adversely impacting the warfighter or modernization and readiness efforts. Examples of such reductions include: programs which have been terminated or restructured since the budget was submitted; savings from favorable contract pricing adjustments; contract/schedule delays resulting in fiscal year 2014 savings; unjustified cost increases or funding requested ahead of need; anticipated/historical underexecution; rescissions of unneeded prior year funds; and reductions that are authorized in the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act. The Committee recommendation also restores unrealistic efficiencies included in the budget request.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

## ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$129,649,180,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel, a decrease of \$750,701,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$2,116,107,000 above the fiscal year 2013 enacted level. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2014. The Committee recommends full funding to support the requested end strength levels for active duty and Selected Reserve personnel.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$174,974,024,000 for operation and maintenance support to the military Services and other Department of Defense entities, a decrease of \$123,917,000 below the budget request, and an increase

of \$1,479,466,000 above the fiscal year 2013 enacted level. The Committee recommendation includes increases in funding for operational training and peacetime operations in fiscal year 2014 over the level enacted in fiscal year 2013. The recommended levels will robustly fund operational training programs in fiscal year 2014. Requests for unit and depot level maintenance; facility sustainment, restoration and modernization; and base operations support program funding have been fully supported.

#### PROCUREMENT

In title III of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$98,356,158,000 for procurement.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$1,192,976,000 for the procurement of 73 UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, an increase of \$146,000,000 and eight aircraft above the President's request.

\$801,650,000 for the procurement of 28 CH-47 Chinook helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$506,976,000 for the procurement of 15 MQ-1 Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, a decrease of \$11,484,000 below the President's request.

\$740,401,000 for the procurement of 96 MSE missiles for the Patriot missile system, an increase of \$200,000,000 above the President's request.

\$231,327,000 for the procurement of 31 UH-72A Lakota helicopters, an increase of \$135,100,000 above the President's request.

\$374,100,000 for conversion of a third brigade set of Strykers to double V-hulls, plus Stryker nuclear, biological, chemical reconnaissance vehicle fielding support, the same as the President's request.

\$186,031,000 for the procurement of 53 M88A2 Improved Recovery Vehicles, an increase of \$75,000,000 above the President's request.

\$1,870,424,000 for the procurement of 21 EA-18G Growler electronic attack aircraft, a decrease of \$131,363,000 below the President's request.

\$75,000,000 for F/A-18E/F Super Hornet advance procurement to preserve the option of buying additional aircraft in fiscal year 2015.

\$3,121,365,000 for the procurement of 16 P-8A Poseidon Multi-mission aircraft, a decrease of \$68,624,000 below the President's request.

\$720,634,000 for the procurement of 25 UH-1Y/AH-1Z Helicopters, a decrease of \$29,328,000 below the President's request.

\$5,140,116,000 for the procurement of 29 F-35 Lightning Aircraft: six short take-off and vertical landing variants for the Marine Corps, four carrier variants for the Navy, and 19 conventional variants for the Air Force.

\$15,000,704,000 for the procurement of eight Navy ships, including one DDG-51 guided missile destroyer, two fully funded SSN-774 attack submarines, four Littoral Combat Ships, and one Afloat Forward Staging Base.

\$1,503,449,000 for the procurement of 18 C/HC/MC/KC-130J aircraft.

\$1,640,371,000 for the procurement of 18 MV-22 and three CV-22 Osprey aircraft, a decrease of \$23,000,000 below the President's request.

\$340,391,000 for the procurement of 20 MQ-9 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of \$68,174,000 and eight aircraft above the President's request.

\$1,842,900,000 for the procurement of five Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles, a decrease of \$10,000,000 below the President's request.

\$220,309,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Program Iron Dome, the same as the President's request.

\$100,000,000 for HMMWV modernization for the Army Guard, an increase of \$100,000,000 above the President's request.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$66,409,530,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$235,384,000 for the development of the Warfighter Information Network—Tactical, a decrease of \$37,000,000 below the President's request.

\$592,201,000 for the development of the Ground Combat Vehicle, the same as the President's request.

\$784,823,000 for the continuation of the development of the replacement for the Ohio class ballistic missile submarine.

\$147,041,000 for the continued development of the E-2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft.

\$157,937,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Jammer, a decrease of \$99,859,000 below the President's request.

\$1,829,007,000 for the continued development of the F-35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter aircraft, a decrease of \$67,146,000 below the President's request.

\$302,358,000 for the continued development of the Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, a decrease of \$15,000,000 below the President's request.

\$379,437,000 for the development of a new penetrating bomber, the same as the President's request.

\$1,558,590,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Aerial Refueling Aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$322,832,000 for the continued development of the Space Based Infrared Satellite and associated ground support systems, the same as the President's request.

\$365,500,000, for the development of the Global Positioning System III operational control segment, a decrease of \$18,000,000 below the President's request.

\$2,867,319,000 for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, an increase of \$2,232,000 above the President's request.

\$268,782,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Programs, an increase of \$173,000,000 above the President's request.

#### DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$33,573,582,000 for the Defense Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries, an increase of \$519,054,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$858,278,000 above the fiscal year 2013 enacted level.

The Committee recommends funding to augment the request for enduring Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health and Wounded, Ill and Injured requirements. To address these challenges of the Defense Health Program, the Committee recommends the following:

Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health Research .....	\$125,000,000
Peer-Reviewed Spinal Cord Research .....	30,000,000
Peer-Reviewed Orthopedic Research .....	30,000,000

#### OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

In title IX of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$85,768,949,000 for overseas contingency operations.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

*Military Personnel:* The Committee recommends a total of \$9,644,798,000 for military personnel in title IX of the bill.

*Operation and Maintenance:* The Committee recommends a total of \$66,146,211,000 for operation and maintenance in title IX of the bill.

*Procurement:* The Committee recommends a total of \$7,187,146,000 for procurement in title IX of the bill.

*Defense Health Program:* The Committee recommends a total of \$904,201,000 for the Defense Health Program in title IX of the bill.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

As described elsewhere in this report, the Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

#### FORCES TO BE SUPPORTED

##### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

The fiscal year 2014 budget request is designed to support current budget guidance and the Army's continuing transformation of its operating forces to meet defense strategy. Current budget guidance directs the Active Component (AC) end strength to 490,000 by the end of fiscal year 2017, the Army National Guard (ARNG) end strength to 350,200 by the end of fiscal year 2017, and the United States Army Reserve (USAR) to maintain an end strength of 205,000.

By the end of fiscal year 2014, the AC will include six Army Service Component Command headquarters and one Army Component headquarters assigned to U.S. Forces Korea, three Corps headquarters, ten Division headquarters, 40 Brigade Combat Teams (BCTs), and 37 Multi-Functional Support Brigades. The exact number of BCTs to be inactivated in fiscal year 2014 has not yet been determined and will reflect both decisions on whether to re-organize the Army's BCTs and whether to accelerate the Army's reduction ramp. By the end of fiscal year 2014, the Army Force structure in the ARNG and the USAR will include eight ARNG division headquarters, 28 BCTs, and 60 multi-functional Support Brigades (48 ARNG and 12 USAR).

A summary of the major forces follows:



	Fiscal year		
	FY12	FY13	FY14
<b>Active Component Forces:</b>			
Theater Army HQs .....	6	6	6
Corps HQs .....	4	4	3
Division HQs .....	10	10	10
Heavy Brigade Combat Team .....	17	17	16
Infantry Brigade Combat Team .....	20	20	20
Stryker Brigade Combat Team .....	6	8	8
Theater Aviation Group HQ .....	1	1	1
Combat Aviation Brigade .....	12	12	12
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	13	13	12
Fires Brigade .....	6	6	7
Transportation Brigade Expeditionary .....	0	0	1
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	2	2	2
Battlefield Surveillance Brigade .....	3	3	3
<b>Forces Total .....</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Army National Guard Forces:</b>			
Division HQs .....	8	8	8
Heavy Brigade Combat Team .....	7	7	7
Infantry Brigade Combat Team .....	20	20	20
Stryker Brigade Combat Team .....	1	1	1
Theater Aviation Brigade HQ .....	4	4	4
Theater Aviation Group HQ .....	1	1	1
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	10	10	10
Fires Brigade .....	7	7	7
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	16	16	16
Battlefield Surveillance Brigade .....	7	7	7
<b>Forces Total .....</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>United States Army Reserve Forces:</b>			
Theater Aviation Brigade HQ .....	1	1	1
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	9	9	9
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	3	3	3
<b>Forces Total .....</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

The fiscal year 2014 budget request supports battle forces totaling 280 ships at the end of fiscal year 2014, including 14 fleet ballistic missile submarines, ten aircraft carriers, 26 support ships, seven reserve ships, 223 other battle forces ships, 1,691 Navy/Marine Corps tactical/ASW aircraft, 637 undergraduate training aircraft, 502 Fleet Air Training aircraft, 308 Fleet Air Support aircraft, 257 reserve aircraft, and 257 aircraft in the pipeline. A summary of the major forces follows:

	Fiscal year		
	FY12	FY13	FY14
<b>Strategic Forces .....</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>
Fleet Ballistic Missile Submarines .....	14	14	14
<b>General Purpose .....</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>233</b>
Aircraft Carriers .....	11	10	10
Surface Combatants .....	110	101	92
Submarines (attack) .....	54	55	55
Guided Missile (SSGN) Submarines .....	4	4	4
Amphibious Warfare Ships .....	30	31	29
Combat Logistics Ships .....	31	32	31
Mine Warfare .....	14	13	12
<b>Support Forces .....</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>
Support Ships .....	21	23	26

	Fiscal year		
	FY12	FY13	FY14
Mobilization Cat. A (Reserve) .....	8	8	7
Surface Combatants .....	8	8	7
Mine Warfare .....	0	0	0
<b>Total Ships, Battleforce (incl. Cat. A Reserve) .....</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>280</b>
Auxiliaries/Sea Lift Forces: .....	102	100	94
Coastal Defense (Patrol Combatants) .....	10	13	13
Maritime Preposition .....	18	12	14
MSC Reduced Operating Status .....	14	14	14
Ready Reserve Force Ships .....	48	46	46
<b>Naval Aircraft:</b>			
Primary Authorized (plus pipe) .....	3,563	3,555	3,652
Authorized Pipeline .....	266	251	257
Tactical/ASW Aircraft .....	1,668	1,688	1,691
Fleet Air Training .....	515	514	502
Fleet Air Support .....	222	225	308
Training (Undergraduate) .....	624	627	637
Reserves .....	262	253	257
<b>Naval Personnel:</b>			
Active:			
Navy .....	* 318,406	322,700	323,600
Marine Corps .....	198,193	197,300	190,200
* Temporary Strength in OCO .....		15,200	8,100
Reserves Navy:			
SELRES/Drilling Reserve .....	54,325	52,386	48,941
Fulltime Support .....	10,390	10,114	10,159
Navy Reserves Total .....	64,715	62,500	59,100
Reserves Marine Corps:			
SELRES/Drilling Reserve .....	37,323	37,339	37,339
Fulltime Support .....	2,221	2,261	2,261
Marine Corps Reserves Total .....	39,544	39,600	39,600

\* FY2012 includes 3,836 non-core IA requested for temporary IA OCO missions.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

The fiscal year 2014 Air Force budget request is designed to support active, guard, and reserve forces, including 55 combat coded fighter and attack squadrons and nine combat coded strategic bomber squadrons. The Inter-Continental Ballistic Missile force maintains 495 launch facilities/control centers with 450 Minuteman missiles. The budget also supports the critical airlift mission, including 21 active duty airlift squadrons. To accomplish the Air Force mission, the fiscal year 2014 budget supports a total force end strength of 503,400 (includes Active Duty, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve).

A summary of the major forces follows:

	Fiscal year		
	FY12	FY13	FY14
<b>Strategic Airlift Squadrons:</b>			
Active .....	16	16	15
Guard .....	6	6	4
Reserve .....	16	16	15
<b>Tactical Airlift Squadrons:</b>			
Active .....	10	10	6
Guard .....	21	21	19
Reserve .....	9	9	9
USAF Fighter and Attack Squadrons (Active, ANG, AFRC) .....	61	61	55

	Fiscal year		
	FY12	FY13	FY14
Active .....	32	32	31
ANG .....	25	25	21
AFRC .....	4	4	3
Strategic Bomber Squadrons (Active) .....	9	9	9
Strategic Bomber Squadrons (AFRC) .....	0	0	0
Flight Test Units (DT and OT Units with Assigned aircraft) .....	12	12	11
Fighter .....	9	9	8
Bomber .....	3	3	3
ICBM Operational Launch Facilities/Control Centers .....	495	495	495
ICBM Missile Inventory .....	450	450	450
USAF Airlift Squadrons (Active)			
Strategic Airlift Squadrons .....	15	15 *	15
Tactical Airlift Squadrons .....	8	8 *	6
Total Active Airlift Squadrons .....	23	23	21
Total Air Force Aircraft Inventory .....	5,587	5,568	5,196
* Numbers of Squadrons above reflect combat coded units only (i.e., no training or test info except where noted).			
	FY12	FY13	FY14
End Strength:			
Active Duty .....	332,800	329,460	327,600
Reserve Component .....	178,100	176,580	175,800
ANG .....	106,700	105,700	105,400
AFR .....	71,400	70,880	70,400



## TITLE I

### MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2014 budget request for programs funded in title I of the Committee bill, Military Personnel, is \$130,399,881,000 in new budget authority. These appropriations finance basic, incentive, and special pays for active, reserve, and National Guard personnel, and Academy cadets and Midshipmen; retired pay accrual; housing, subsistence, and other allowances; recruitment and retention initiatives; permanent change of station costs; and other military personnel costs such as survivor, unemployment, and education benefits. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	41,037,790	40,908,919	-128,871
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,824,444	27,671,555	-152,889
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	12,905,216	12,826,857	-78,359
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	28,519,877	28,382,963	-136,914
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,565,261	4,483,343	-81,918
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,891,936	1,875,536	-16,400
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	677,499	665,499	-12,000
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,758,629	1,745,579	-13,050
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	8,041,268	7,958,568	-82,700
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,177,961	3,130,361	-47,600
GRAND TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL.....	130,399,881	129,649,180	-750,701
	=====	=====	=====

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee recommendation provides \$129,649,180,000 for the military personnel accounts. Included is funding for military pay and allowances, recruitment and retention initiatives, and overall quality of life programs for active duty, reserve, and National Guard personnel. The recommendation fully supports the resource requirements needed to maintain the authorized end strength levels for fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2014. The Committee continues to support and encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and benefit programs for military personnel for fiscal year 2014. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life of military personnel and their families.

## SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes a decrease of 40,160 in total end strength for the active forces and a decrease of 8,180 in end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to the fiscal year 2013 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

## OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2013 authorized .....	1,401,560
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,361,400
Fiscal year 2014 recommendation .....	1,361,400
Compared with fiscal year 2013 .....	- 40,160
Compared with fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	---

## OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2013 authorized .....	841,880
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	833,700
Fiscal year 2014 recommendation .....	833,700
Compared with fiscal year 2013 .....	- 8,180
Compared with fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	---

## SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2013 authorized	Fiscal year 2014			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2013
<b>Active Forces (End Strength):</b>					
Army *	552,100	520,000	520,000	---	- 32,100
Navy	322,700	323,600	323,600	---	900
Marine Corps **	197,300	190,200	190,200	---	- 7,100
Air Force	329,460	327,600	327,600	---	- 1,860
Total, Active Forces	1,401,560	1,361,400	1,361,400	---	- 40,160
<b>Guard and Reserve Forces (End Strength):</b>					
Army Reserve	205,000	205,000	205,000	---	---
Navy Reserve	62,500	59,100	59,100	---	- 3,400
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,600	39,600	---	---
Air Force Reserve	70,880	70,400	70,400	---	- 480
Army National Guard	358,200	354,200	354,200	---	- 4,000

## SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH—Continued

	Fiscal year 2013 authorized	Fiscal year 2014			Change from fiscal year 2013
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	
Air National Guard .....	105,700	105,400	105,400	---	- 300
Total, Selected Reserve .....	841,880	833,700	833,700	---	- 8,180
Total, Military Personnel .....	2,243,440	2,195,100	2,195,100	---	- 48,340

\* For FY14, Army Active Forces end strength includes 30,000 Army end strength requested in the Overseas Contingency Operations budget.  
\*\* For FY14, Marine Corps Active Forces end strength includes 8,100 Marine Corps end strength requested in the Overseas Contingency Operations budget.

## FULL-TIME SUPPORT STRENGTHS

There are four categories of full-time support in the Guard and reserve components: military technicians (dual status), Active Guard and Reserve (AGR), non-technician civilians, and active component personnel.

Full-time support personnel organize, recruit, train, maintain, and administer the reserve components. Military technicians (dual status) directly support units and are critical to helping units maintain readiness and meet the wartime missions of the Army and Air Force.

The following table summarizes Guard and reserve full-time support end strengths:

## SUMMARY OF GUARD AND RESERVE FULL-TIME SUPPORT

	Fiscal year 2013 authorized	Fiscal year 2014			Change from fiscal year 2013
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	
Army Reserve:					
AGR .....	16,277	16,261	16,261	---	- 16
Technicians .....	8,395	8,395	8,395	---	---
Navy Reserve:					
AR .....	10,114	10,159	10,159	---	45
Marine Corps Reserve:					
AR .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	---	---
Air Force Reserve:					
AGR .....	2,888	2,911	2,911	---	23
Technicians .....	10,400	10,429	10,429	---	29
Army National Guard:					
AGR .....	32,060	32,060	32,060	---	---
Technicians .....	27,210	27,210	27,210	---	---
Air National Guard:					
AGR .....	14,765	14,734	14,734	---	- 31
Technicians .....	22,180	21,875	21,875	---	- 305
Totals:					
AGR/AR .....	78,365	78,386	78,386	---	21
Technicians .....	68,185	67,909	67,909	---	- 276
Total, Full-Time Support .....	146,550	146,295	146,295	---	- 255

## OPERATIONAL RESERVE

Over the last ten years, regular deployments and an increased operations tempo required the National Guard and reserve compo-



nents to transition from a part-time strategic reserve to an operational reserve. The Guard and reserve components have used the lessons learned over the past decade to identify enduring priorities that will continue to support and enhance active component requirements. Significant taxpayer investments have been made to enable the Guard and reserve to become an integral part of the operational force, and they provide great value to the Armed Forces and for the taxpayer. The Department of Defense estimates that the reserve components comprise approximately 43 percent of the total force but only nine percent of the annual budget.

There are signs that active component support for maintaining an operational reserve is diminishing in today's challenging budget climate. Specifically, the Committee is concerned by the Army's recent decision to deploy active component units in place of scheduled reserve component deployments whenever possible. The Committee supports the enduring vision of an operational reserve and encourages the Services to continue to utilize the Guard and reserve components as key members of the operational force. The Committee also recognizes that new authorities, such as U.S. Code title 10, section 12304b Selected Reserve: order to active duty for preplanned mission in support of the combatant commands, provide continued opportunities for reservists to deploy for preplanned missions and to maintain their operational skills even after overseas contingency operations have concluded and encourages their use where appropriate.

#### SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

The Committee is outraged by the pervasive problem of sexual assault in the Armed Forces. Sexual assault is not just an issue in the military; it is an epidemic. To address it, the Committee believes that there must be a culture change at every level of the military, from the most senior leadership to the most junior ranks.

The Committee is particularly concerned by the reports of sexual assaults committed by those in key positions of influence and trust, including sexual assault response coordinators (SARCs), victim advocates (VAs), and military recruiters. SARCs and VAs are responsible for providing victim support and helping victims access medical care, counseling, legal assistance, and victim witness assistance. Recent allegations that personnel responsible for providing this assistance and care to victims are committing sexual offenses are shocking and indefensible. Similarly, military recruiters serve as the public face of the military and the entry point for future servicemembers to enlist; thus, allegations of recruiters assaulting young recruits are both disturbing and inexcusable.

Further, recent Department of Defense reports showing the persistently high rates of sexual assault at the military academies, where military leaders train the best and brightest students to become the nation's future military leaders, is even more alarming. The Committee believes that more must be done to institute best practices at the military academies, training bases, recruiting commands, and throughout the force to increase prevention efforts as well as to preclude perpetrators from serving in such influential and sensitive occupations. While the Department of Defense must be aggressive in prosecuting and punishing perpetrators of sexual

assault, it particularly must focus and increase its efforts to protect servicemembers from the threat of sexual assault and to prevent assaults from occurring in the first place.

Commanders are responsible for ensuring good order and discipline in their units and should be held responsible when crimes are committed under their watch. When servicemembers in critical positions, such as SARCs, VAs, recruiters, or basic training instructors, are committing sexual crimes, commanders are also responsible for their failure to establish a command climate of dignity and respect in which there is zero tolerance for sexual harassment or assault. The Committee believes that the Secretary of Defense and Service Chiefs must do more to hold commanders accountable.

The Committee is aware that the Director of National Intelligence has issued interim guidance for victims of sexual assault who seek to obtain or renew a security clearance, which would enable victims to seek counseling for such an assault without concern that the counseling must be disclosed and considered as part of their security clearance adjudication. The Committee supports efforts to ensure victims are informed of the guidance as soon as possible, and encourages the Service SARCs and VAs to provide information on the interim guidance to victims and to include information on the guidance in military personnel sexual assault prevention training.

The pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act establishes dismissal or dishonorable discharge as the mandatory minimum sentence for a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice who is convicted by court-martial of rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, or an attempt to commit those offenses. The Committee supports this action and believes that those servicemembers who are convicted of committing such crimes should not receive post-retirement benefits. Accordingly, the Act includes a provision that would prohibit funding from being used in contravention of amendments made to the Uniform Code of Military Justice in the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act regarding the discharge or dismissal of a member of the Armed Forces convicted of certain sex-related offenses, the required trial of such offenses by general courts-martial, and the limitations imposed on convening authority discretion regarding court-martial findings and sentence.

Investigations and prosecutions of sexual assault cases are often slow, obtrusive, and difficult processes for victims to endure, and the Services have reported that many victims stop cooperating before the legal process has been completed. The Air Force recently implemented the Special Victims Counsel (SVC) pilot program to provide a legal representative to give advice and representation to sexual assault victims. The SVC navigates the victim through the legal process and intervenes on the victim's behalf when appropriate. The Committee understands this program has shown success in assisting victims throughout the process. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to expedite the expansion of a Special Victims Counsel or similar program throughout the Services to provide all victims of sexual assault with specially trained legal assistance throughout the investigation and prosecution process.

To assist in this effort, the Committee provides an additional \$25,000,000 for the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) and the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force for the expansion of a Special Victims Counsel program to every military Service, including the National Guard and reserve components. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Service Chiefs, to review proposals for implementation of such programs at the military academies as well.

In addition, the Committee fully funds the President's request for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs at the Service level and at the Department of Defense SAPRO and calls on the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs to stop this destructive epidemic in the military.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNT FUNDING REDUCTIONS

The fiscal year 2014 budget request for title I, Military Personnel, was assembled using execution data and trends dating from fiscal year 2012 or earlier. During the budget review process, the Services provided updated execution data and estimates that refined their funding requirements. The Committee recommends reductions to certain budget activity and sub-activity groups in the military personnel accounts based on the more recent execution data. Funding provided reflects the Committee's most recent estimated fiscal year 2014 funding requirements for programs and activities within the military personnel accounts.

#### OBLIGATIONS OF BONUSES

Government agencies are required to properly record their obligations. According to the Government Accountability Office (GAO), agencies must obligate funds at the time they enter into a contract to cover the government's maximum potential liability under the contract when the government's requirement to pay the potential maximum amount is beyond its control. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circular A-11, section 20.5(b) states that for military personnel compensation and benefits, "amounts generally are recorded as obligations as the amounts are earned during the reporting pay period." The Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation Volume 3, Chapter 8, paragraph 080901 states, "the obligations for the amounts payable to military members and civilian employees are recorded in the month in which they become payable."

The active duty, Guard, and reserve components offer bonuses to certain recruits and military personnel who sign contracts to serve in the Armed Forces. In the case of bonuses which last multiple years, the Department obligates the initial payment in the fiscal year in which it is paid, irrespective of the date the respective contract was signed, and then obligates recurring payments, or anniversary payments, in the subsequent years in which they become payable.

The Committee directs the Comptroller General to issue a legal opinion not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act assessing the obligation of military personnel multi-year bonuses to

determine if Department of Defense obligation practices comply with fiscal law.

#### CARRYOVER AUTHORITY

The fiscal year 2014 budget request again proposes the inclusion of a new provision that extends the availability of up to two percent of amounts appropriated for the military personnel accounts under title I for two fiscal years, instead of the customary one fiscal year. Since 2001, the Department of Defense has had ten violations of the Anti-deficiency Act (ADA) in the military personnel accounts. The Committee has repeatedly expressed its concern over the Department's failure to adequately budget for military personnel, and the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and the Government Accountability Office have regularly reported deficiencies in the Services' military payroll processes and controls.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, included a provision directing the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct a review of ADA violations in the military personnel accounts and submit recommendations for the implementation of corrective actions. The report found that while the Department of Defense was generally effective in implementing and sustaining most of the ADA violation corrective actions, the Department had not established sufficient controls to ensure that the required corrective actions were properly implemented, sustained, and documented. The report also found that the Army and Navy did not annually assess the adequacy of control procedures established for managing the centrally managed accounts to prevent ADA violations and therefore had limited assurance that controls will prevent future violations. As a result, the report found that failure to follow procedures and inadequate cost controls means the Department remains vulnerable to future ADA violations.

While the Committee understands the unique budgeting challenge that the military personnel accounts present to the Department, the Committee believes that implementation of improved control procedures, rather than carryover authority, is needed to improve management of these accounts. Accordingly, the Committee does not provide the carryover authority as requested. The Committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to implement the recommendations of the Inspector General Report, DODIG-2013-027 dated November 30, 2012, regarding ADA violations in the military personnel accounts.

#### PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION EFFICIENCIES

The Consolidated and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013, recommended a total reduction of \$146,792,500 in the Permanent Change of Station (PCS) budgets for program efficiencies. The explanatory statement accompanying the Act recognized that potential cost savings could be found in the PCS program and directed the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) to conduct a review of the PCS program to identify potential efficiencies and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on its findings. While the Committee has not yet received a copy of the report, many of the Services have stated that they

have been unable to implement additional efficiencies in fiscal year 2013, particularly those related to time on station goals.

The Committee recommends a total reduction of \$151,142,000 in the base and overseas contingency operations budgets in fiscal year 2014 in the PCS program for efficiencies. While it recognizes the challenges the Services have faced with meeting the increased time on station requirements, the Committee believes there are potential cost savings in other areas of the PCS program. The Committee directs the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct a review of the PCS program and to determine if potential cost savings and efficiencies can be implemented throughout the program. Based on the findings of the review, the Inspector General shall submit a report examining the Services' PCS programs, reviewing how the Services could implement cost savings and efficiencies within the program, and providing recommendations for implementation of the review's findings. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

#### LONG-TERM TEMPORARY DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

The Committee is concerned by reports of the military Services sending personnel on long-term temporary duty assignments (TDY) that do not comply with the regulations as stated in the Department of Defense Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR). The JFTR states that long-term TDY assignments must be temporary in nature; of reasonable time duration; lower in cost than round-trip Permanent Change of Station expenses; and not exceed 180 consecutive days. The Committee believes that the practice of sending personnel on extended TDY that violate the JFTR is a tremendous waste of taxpayer resources and a violation of Department-wide rules and regulations. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the use of extended TDY and submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the practice and its compliance with the JFTR not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

#### FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRAINING AND EDUCATION

The Committee supports efforts to increase linguistic skills in the Armed Forces. Foreign language fluency is a highly valued skill, but linguists remain a critically undermanned specialty across the Services. Specifically, the Committee is concerned by the low number of officers and Non-commissioned officers with foreign language skills. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to study the feasibility of requiring and resourcing language and cultural education for uniformed officers in all Services and provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the findings of the study not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study on the feasibility of a pilot program for Non-commissioned officers (NCOs) assigned to regionally aligned units as well as NCOs assigned to positions which require foreign area expertise, including a process for selecting NCOs to participate; the role of language education and required proficiency; the role of cultural education; and when in the rotational cycle and NCO's career

such training should occur. The Committee understands that the Marine Corps Foreign Area Staff NCO and Regional Affairs NCO programs could provide examples of existing programs that seek to increase capacity to produce and maintain foreign area expertise at all levels. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to complete the study and report back to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

The Committee also understands that the National Security Education Program (NSEP) plays an important role in increasing the pool of cultural and linguistic experts in government, and it supports Department of Defense and national security agencies' efforts to partner with higher education institutions to achieve the goals of the NSEP. The Committee also supports efforts to expand these partnerships to minority serving institutions, including Historically Black Colleges and Universities, to improve diversity in the program and to increase the number of analysts with proficiency in critical languages and cultural studies. The Committee supports continued funding for the NSEP.

MINORITY OUTREACH

Minorities are underrepresented in the general officer ranks across the Services. To build a more diverse organization, disparities in representation need to be addressed by effective outreach that will expand the pool of well-qualified candidates. The Committee supports efforts to conduct effective outreach and recruiting programs in minority communities and encourages the Secretary of Defense to support efforts to improve diversity in the military, especially in the officer ranks.

HAZING IN THE ARMED FORCES

The Committee is extremely concerned by reports of hazing in the military. Hazing is inconsistent with the values of the military, and such behavior should not be tolerated within the military. The Committee understands that the Services are taking steps to better report incidents of hazing and to discipline servicemembers involved in such incidents. The Consolidated and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013, directed the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the rates of incidence of hazing, harassment, and mistreatment of servicemembers, as well as a review of the policies to prevent and respond to alleged hazing incidents. The report also was directed to include recommendations for implementation of a consistent reporting system for the Services and recommendations to implement prevention and education programs related to hazing and its harmful effects. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$40,199,263,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	41,037,790,000
Committee recommendation .....	40,908,919,000
Change from budget request .....	- 128,871,000

\* FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,908,919,000 for Military Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
50 MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
100 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
150 BASIC PAY.....	6,751,445	6,751,445	---
200 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,182,873	2,182,873	---
250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	2,110,476	2,110,476	---
300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	281,099	281,099	---
350 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	89,669	89,669	---
400 SPECIAL PAYS.....	374,353	374,353	---
450 ALLOWANCES.....	225,840	225,840	---
500 SEPARATION PAY.....	107,216	107,216	---
550 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	513,274	513,274	---
600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	12,636,245	12,636,245	---
650 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
700 BASIC PAY.....	12,761,868	12,761,868	---
750 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	4,130,751	4,130,751	---
800 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	4,653,429	4,653,429	---
850 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	95,637	95,637	---
900 SPECIAL PAYS.....	507,912	469,912	-38,000
950 ALLOWANCES.....	915,101	915,101	---
1000 SEPARATION PAY.....	287,133	287,133	---
1050 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	976,224	976,224	---
1100 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	24,328,055	24,290,055	-38,000
1150 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
1200 ACADEMY CADETS.....	77,959	77,959	---
1250 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
1300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	1,252,752	1,252,752	---
1350 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	707,647	707,647	---
1400 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	2,121	2,121	---
1450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,962,520	1,962,520	---



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
1500 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
1550 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	169,697	169,697	---
1600 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	126,908	126,908	---
1650 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	524,098	497,893	-26,205
1700 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	693,315	658,649	-34,666
1750 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	222,146	222,146	---
1800 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	9,887	9,887	---
1850 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	10,160	10,160	---
1900 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	40,238	40,238	---
1950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,796,449	1,735,578	-60,871
2000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
2050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	960	960	---
2100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	725	725	---
2150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	61,900	61,900	---
2200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	282,863	282,863	---
2250 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	636	636	---
2300 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	4,326	4,326	---
2360 RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM.....	326	326	---
2410 SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS.....	117,559	117,559	---
2450 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	42,407	42,407	---
2550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	511,702	511,702	---
2600 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-275,140	-275,140	---
2650 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-30,000	-30,000
2700 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY.....	41,037,790	40,908,919	-128,871
6300 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	41,037,790	40,908,919	-128,871

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	<b>507,912</b>	<b>469,912</b>	<b>-38,000</b>
Enlistment bonuses excess to requirement		-38,000	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
<b>OPERATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	<b>524,098</b>	<b>497,893</b>	<b>-26,205</b>
PCS efficiency		-26,205	
<b>ROTATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	<b>693,315</b>	<b>658,649</b>	<b>-34,666</b>
PCS efficiency		-34,666	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-30,000</b>	<b>-30,000</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-30,000	

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$26,902,346,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	27,824,444,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,671,555,000
Change from budget request .....	- 152,889,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,671,555,000 for Military Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
6400 MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
6450 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
6500 BASIC PAY.....	3,934,736	3,934,736	---
6550 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,273,217	1,273,217	---
6600 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,413,796	1,380,596	-33,200
6650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	160,319	160,319	---
6700 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	131,293	122,793	-8,500
6750 SPECIAL PAYS.....	432,843	432,843	---
6800 ALLOWANCES.....	127,172	127,172	---
6850 SEPARATION PAY.....	39,244	39,244	---
6900 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	299,218	299,218	---
6950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,811,838	7,770,138	-41,700
-----			
7000 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7050 BASIC PAY.....	8,610,541	8,610,541	---
7100 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,789,555	2,789,555	---
7150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,977,998	3,977,998	---
7200 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	103,672	103,672	---
7250 SPECIAL PAYS.....	877,215	805,215	-72,000
7300 ALLOWANCES.....	590,803	590,803	---
7350 SEPARATION PAY.....	255,663	255,663	---
7400 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	658,707	658,707	---
7450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	17,864,154	17,792,154	-72,000
-----			
7500 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
7550 MIDSHIPMEN.....	77,592	77,592	---
7600 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	764,626	764,626	---
7700 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	439,545	439,545	---
7750 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	12	12	---
7800 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,204,183	1,204,183	---
-----			

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
7850 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
7900 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	102,042	99,742	-2,300
7950 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	96,869	96,869	---
8000 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	272,379	258,760	-13,619
8050 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	301,392	286,322	-15,070
8100 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	133,977	125,777	-8,200
8150 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	36,790	36,790	---
8200 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	1,212	1,212	---
8250 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	8,545	8,545	---
8300 OTHER.....	3,514	3,514	---
8350 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	956,720	917,531	-39,189
8400 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
8450 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	199	199	---
8500 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	1,660	1,660	---
8550 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	17,400	17,400	---
8600 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	124,716	124,716	---
8650 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	18,809	18,809	---
8700 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	210	210	---
8750 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	5,750	5,750	---
8800 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	92	92	---
8900 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	21,271	21,271	---
8950 JUNIOR ROTC.....	14,069	14,069	---
9000 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	204,176	204,176	---
9050 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-294,219	-294,219	---
9200 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY.....	27,824,444	27,671,555	-152,889
11000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,824,444	27,671,555	-152,889

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING</b>	1,413,796	1,380,596	-33,200
Projected underexecution		-33,200	
<b>INCENTIVE PAYS</b>	131,293	122,793	-8,500
Incentive Bonuses excess to requirement		-8,500	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	877,215	805,215	-72,000
Special Duty Assignment Pay excess to requirement		-7,000	
Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement		-65,000	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
<b>ACCESSION TRAVEL</b>	102,042	99,742	-2,300
Projected underexecution		-2,300	
<b>OPERATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	272,379	258,760	-13,619
PCS efficiency		-13,619	
<b>ROTATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	301,392	286,322	-15,070
PCS efficiency		-15,070	
<b>SEPARATION TRAVEL</b>	133,977	125,777	-8,200
Projected underexecution		-8,200	

## LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP MANNING

The Committee remains very concerned with the manning model for the Navy's Littoral Combat Ships (LCS). Although the Navy originally planned for the ship to be minimally manned by small, experienced crews, the Committee has noticed several indicators that call into question the validity of this model. During the recent deployment of the first LCS, the Navy added ten sailors beyond the 40 core crewmembers to the ship's crew to help with maintenance, watch-standing, and training issues. Additionally, the crew of the deployed mission module detachment on board the LCS received a crew augmentation of four sailors. The Committee understands the Navy is working to permanently increase the size of the mission module crew.

Most concerning to the Committee is that in addition to the increased crew size of Navy personnel, the LCS has deployed with two civilian contractors (or technical representatives) on board for the duration of the deployment. The Navy has described these contractors as "ship experts" who are constantly available to assist the minimally manned crew with problems as they arise. In addition, the Committee is aware that civilian riders have been on board the first LCS almost continuously since the ship was delivered to the Navy four years ago. While technical representatives can provide extremely valuable knowledge and expertise about individual ship systems, and do so for many ships in the fleet, the Committee finds it unusual that the Navy appears to believe that contractors have a greater overall knowledge of the ship than the ship's own crew. It has always been the Committee's understanding that the crew serves as the "ship experts" and is puzzled by the LCS's modifications to this practice.

Additionally, the Committee is alarmed by the frequency and magnitude of temporary civilian contractors on board. During the transit to the western Pacific alone, in addition to the full-time technical representatives, the LCS had as many as four temporary civilian riders on board at any one time to troubleshoot problems with systems such as air conditioning, propulsion, and the lube oil system. Navy ships are normally deployed in top material condition to ensure the ship can remain underway to accomplish its mission. The Committee is disturbed by the number of problems this ship has experienced on its maiden deployment that appear to be beyond the crew's capability to handle, especially given that the LCS should have been in an extremely high state of readiness.

Combined, these incidents imply either that the LCS is experiencing more than its share of maintenance problems, thus overwhelming the crew, or that the crew is unable to handle common problems and has come to rely on the presence and expertise of technical representatives to conduct basic maintenance. The Committee remains concerned that the LCS manning plan as currently designed is unrealistic and unsustainable moving forward. In particular, the Committee believes that a long-term reliance on industry technical representatives to perform regular maintenance requirements during deployments that are typically handled by crewmembers is inefficient and uneconomical. In addition, relying on temporary solutions, including but not limited to assigning addi-

tional crewmembers to help with basic duties during deployments, hiring full-time and part-time technical representatives to oversee and conduct maintenance, and utilizing temporary berthing modules to accommodate additional crewmembers is both impractical and detrimental to the efficient operation of the LCS and the quality of life of the entire crew.

The Consolidated and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013, directed the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on future manning plans for the LCS. The Secretary of the Navy is further directed to incorporate any findings regarding LCS manning discovered thus far during the deployment into the report. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$12,531,549,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	12,905,216,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,826,857,000
Change from budget request .....	- 78,359,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,826,857,000 for Military Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
12000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
12050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
12100 BASIC PAY.....	1,458,728	1,458,728	---
12150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	472,134	472,134	---
12200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	479,739	479,739	---
12250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	61,565	61,565	---
12300 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	40,634	40,634	---
12350 SPECIAL PAYS.....	12,746	12,746	---
12400 ALLOWANCES.....	43,866	43,866	---
12450 SEPARATION PAY.....	16,856	16,856	---
12500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	110,942	110,942	---
12550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	2,697,210	2,697,210	---
12600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
12650 BASIC PAY.....	4,746,121	4,700,121	-46,000
12700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,533,530	1,533,530	---
12750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,652,636	1,652,636	---
12800 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	9,832	9,832	---
12850 SPECIAL PAYS.....	154,862	143,562	-11,300
12900 ALLOWANCES.....	335,728	335,728	---
12950 SEPARATION PAY.....	73,213	73,213	---
13000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	362,126	362,126	---
13050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	8,868,048	8,810,748	-57,300
13100 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
13150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	438,034	438,034	---
13200 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	296,986	296,986	---
13250 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	10	10	---
13300 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	735,030	735,030	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
13350 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
13400 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	57,933	57,933	---
13450 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	23,061	23,061	---
13500 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	209,371	193,402	-15,969
13550 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	101,809	96,719	-5,090
13600 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	93,399	93,399	---
13650 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	784	784	---
13700 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	6,888	6,888	---
13750 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	14,918	14,918	---
13800 OTHER.....	3,312	3,312	---
13850 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	511,475	490,416	-21,059
13900 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
13950 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	751	751	---
14000 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	20	20	---
14050 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	10,100	10,100	---
14100 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	96,264	96,264	---
14150 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	2,375	2,375	---
14200 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	72	72	---
14250 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	3,085	3,085	---
14300 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	102	102	---
14400 JUNIOR ROTC.....	5,035	5,035	---
14450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	117,804	117,804	---
14500 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-24,351	-24,351	---
14650 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS.....	12,905,216	12,826,857	-78,359
16000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	12,905,216	12,826,857	-78,359

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	4,746,121	4,700,121	-46,000
Projected underexecution		-46,000	
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	154,862	143,562	-11,300
Projected underexecution		-8,000	
Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement		-3,300	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
<b>OPERATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	209,371	193,402	-15,969
PCS efficiency		-10,469	
Marine Corps identified excess to requirement		-5,500	
<b>ROTATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	101,809	96,719	-5,090
PCS efficiency		-5,090	

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$28,052,826,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	28,519,877,000
Committee recommendation .....	28,382,963,000
Change from budget request .....	- 136,914,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,382,963,000 for Military Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
17000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
17050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
17100 BASIC PAY.....	4,896,132	4,879,032	-17,100
17150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,577,877	1,575,177	-2,700
17200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,498,352	1,475,352	-23,000
17250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	197,950	197,950	---
17300 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	206,177	206,177	---
17350 SPECIAL PAYS.....	303,634	301,534	-2,100
17400 ALLOWANCES.....	134,661	134,661	---
17450 SEPARATION PAY.....	122,844	122,844	---
17500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	372,960	372,960	---
17550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	9,310,587	9,265,687	-44,900
-----			
17600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
17650 BASIC PAY.....	8,764,297	8,764,297	---
17700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,831,706	2,831,706	---
17750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,610,470	3,610,470	---
17800 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	42,599	42,599	---
17850 SPECIAL PAYS.....	341,821	341,821	---
17900 ALLOWANCES.....	590,403	590,403	---
17950 SEPARATION PAY.....	176,663	176,663	---
18000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	670,467	670,467	---
18050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	17,028,426	17,028,426	---
-----			
18100 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
18150 ACADEMY CADETS.....	69,612	69,612	---
-----			
18200 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
18250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	977,880	977,880	---
18300 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	156,439	156,439	---
18350 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	33	33	---
18400 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,134,352	1,134,352	---
-----			

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
18450 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
18500 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	86,485	86,485	---
18550 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	79,127	79,127	---
18600 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	327,304	310,939	-16,365
18650 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	512,982	487,333	-25,649
18700 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	169,760	169,760	---
18750 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	16,123	16,123	---
18800 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	41,132	41,132	---
18850 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	30,183	30,183	---
18950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,263,096	1,221,082	-42,014
19000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
19050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	124	124	---
19100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	3,440	3,440	---
19150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	16,500	16,500	---
19200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	65,562	65,562	---
19300 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	209	209	---
19350 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	628	628	---
19400 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	5,900	5,900	---
19450 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	1,930	1,930	---
19550 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	29,849	29,849	---
19600 JUNIOR ROTC.....	16,373	16,373	---
19650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	140,515	140,515	---
19700 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-426,711	-426,711	---
19750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-50,000	-50,000
19800 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE.....	28,519,877	28,382,963	-136,914
21000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	28,519,877	28,382,963	-136,914

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	4,896,132	4,879,032	-17,100
Excess to requirement		-17,100	
<b>RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL</b>	1,577,877	1,575,177	-2,700
Excess to requirement		-2,700	
<b>BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING</b>	1,498,352	1,475,352	-23,000
Excess to requirement		-23,000	
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	303,634	301,534	-2,100
Critical Skills Retention Bonuses excess to requirement		-2,100	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION</b>			
<b>OPERATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	327,304	310,939	-16,365
PCS efficiency		-16,365	
<b>ROTATIONAL TRAVEL</b>	512,982	487,333	-25,649
PCS efficiency		-25,649	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>		-50,000	-50,000
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-50,000	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$4,456,823,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	4,565,261,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,483,343,000
Change from budget request .....	- 81,918,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,483,343,000 for Reserve Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
23000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
23050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
23100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	1,578,274	1,543,274	-35,000
23150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	39,508	39,508	---
23200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	276,721	264,721	-12,000
23250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	13,225	13,225	---
23300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	7,629	7,629	---
23350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	206,138	206,138	---
23400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	261,954	260,154	-1,800
23450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	2,034,705	2,014,549	-20,156
23500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	22,687	22,687	---
23550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	63,459	63,459	---
23600 OTHER PROGRAMS .....	60,961	60,961	---
23650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	4,565,261	4,496,305	-68,956
23800 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-12,962	-12,962
24000 TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,565,261	4,483,343	-81,918
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	<b>1,578,274</b>	<b>1,543,274</b>	<b>-35,000</b>
Annual Training excess to requirement		-35,000	
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	<b>276,721</b>	<b>264,721</b>	<b>-12,000</b>
Excess to requirement		-12,000	
<b>SPECIAL TRAINING</b>	<b>261,954</b>	<b>260,154</b>	<b>-1,800</b>
Recruiting and Retention programs excess to requirement		-1,800	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,034,705</b>	<b>2,014,549</b>	<b>-20,156</b>
Selected Reserve Incentive Program bonuses excess to requirement		-18,000	
Cost of Living Allowance projected underexecution		-2,156	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>		<b>-12,962</b>	<b>-12,962</b>
Lodging in Kind - transfer to Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve		-12,962	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,874,023,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,891,936,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,875,536,000
Change from budget request .....	- 16,400,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,875,536,000 for Reserve Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
26000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
26050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
26100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	602,319	602,319	---
26150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	9,489	9,489	---
26200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	50,501	50,501	---
26250 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	8,986	8,986	---
26300 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	55,326	52,326	-3,000
26350 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	101,870	92,470	-9,400
26400 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,006,454	1,002,454	-4,000
26450 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	104	104	---
26500 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	56,887	56,887	---
26550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,891,936	1,875,536	-16,400
-----			
27000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,891,936	1,875,536	-16,400
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>SCHOOL TRAINING</b>	55,326	52,326	-3,000
Projected underexecution		-3,000	
<b>SPECIAL TRAINING</b>	101,870	92,470	-9,400
Projected underexecution		-9,400	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	1,006,454	1,002,454	-4,000
Full Time Support projected underexecution		-4,000	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$658,251,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	677,499,000
Committee recommendation .....	665,499,000
Change from budget request .....	- 12,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$665,499,000 for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
28000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
28050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
28100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	233,722	233,722	---
28150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	30,555	30,555	---
28200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	135,086	135,088	---
28300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	3,677	2,677	-1,000
28350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	19,448	19,448	---
28400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	18,968	18,968	---
28450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	227,453	216,453	-11,000
28500 PLATOON LEADER CLASS.....	7,770	7,770	---
28550 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	818	818	---
28600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	677,499	665,499	-12,000
-----			
29000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	677,499	665,499	-12,000
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>MOBILIZATION TRAINING</b>	3,677	2,677	-1,000
Projected underexecution		-1,000	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	227,453	216,453	-11,000
Full Time Pay and Allowances projected underexecution		-10,000	
Reserve Incentive Programs excess to requirement		-1,000	



## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,722,425,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,758,629,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,745,579,000
Change from budget request .....	- 13,050,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,745,579,000 for Reserve Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
30000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
30050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
30100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	672,181	668,781	-3,400
30150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	104,818	101,918	-2,900
30200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	73,281	73,281	---
30250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	755	755	---
30300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	568	568	---
30350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	149,078	149,078	---
30400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	295,335	295,335	---
30450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	388,973	382,223	-6,750
30500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	13,507	13,507	---
30550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	55,220	55,220	---
30600 OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT).....	4,913	4,913	---
	-----		
30650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,758,629	1,745,579	-13,050
	-----		
31000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,758,629	1,745,579	-13,050
	=====		

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	<b>672,181</b>	<b>668,781</b>	<b>-3,400</b>
Annual Training projected underexecution		-3,400	
<b>PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)</b>	<b>104,818</b>	<b>101,918</b>	<b>-2,900</b>
Projected underexecution		-2,900	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>388,973</b>	<b>382,223</b>	<b>-6,750</b>
Reserve Incentive Program - Air Force Reserve identified excess to requirement		-6,750	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$7,981,577,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	8,041,268,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,958,568,000
Change from budget request .....	- 82,700,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,958,568,000 for National Guard Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
32000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
32050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
32100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	2,400,466	2,372,266	-28,200
32150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	557,753	512,753	-45,000
32200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	35,718	35,718	---
32250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	576,399	576,399	---
32300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	665,242	655,742	-9,500
32350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	3,779,017	3,779,017	---
32400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	26,673	26,673	---
32450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	8,041,268	7,958,568	-82,700
33000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	8,041,268	7,958,568	-82,700
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	2,400,466	2,372,266	-28,200
Clothing Initial Issue and Replacement excess to requirement		-28,200	
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	557,753	512,753	-45,000
Projected underexecution		-45,000	
<b>SPECIAL TRAINING</b>	665,242	655,742	-9,500
Excess to requirement		-9,500	

CYBER SECURITY—DUAL USE CYBER INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAMS

Cyber security is an important and growing mission area, and the National Guard has unique access to a wealth of information technology talent within its ranks as well as unique cyber support capabilities associated with both its Federal and State Active Duty statutes. The President’s executive order dated February 12, 2013, Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity, focuses on enhancing the resiliency and security of the Nation’s critical infrastructure. This will be achieved through a “partnership with the owners and operators of critical infrastructure to improve cybersecurity information sharing and collaboratively develop and implement risk-based standards.”

The Committee recognizes that the National Guard can fill the roles denoted in the President’s executive order. As dual use, cyber incident response teams, the Guard would focus on forensic analysis and defensive cyber operations, providing all purpose “triage” of local/state network incidents. The Committee recognizes that these Guard teams may provide aid to civil authorities in Title 32, Title 10, and State Active Duty status and should be regionally located near established key infrastructure nodes for the internet to leverage their capabilities.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$3,153,990,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	3,177,961,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,130,361,000
Change from budget request .....	-47,600,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,130,361,000 for National Guard Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
34000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
34050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
34100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	943,573	913,573	-30,000
34150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	111,468	109,468	-2,000
34200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	5,006	5,006	---
34250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	250,327	250,327	---
34300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	165,588	165,588	---
34350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,684,563	1,668,963	-15,600
34400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	17,436	17,436	---
34450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	3,177,961	3,130,361	-47,600
35000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,177,961	3,130,361	-47,600
	=====	=====	=====



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	<b>943,573</b>	<b>913,573</b>	<b>-30,000</b>
Travel, Active Duty for Training, projected underexecution		-30,000	
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	<b>111,468</b>	<b>109,468</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Projected underexecution		-2,000	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,684,563</b>	<b>1,668,963</b>	<b>-15,600</b>
Full Time Pay and Allowances projected underexecution		-15,600	



## TITLE II

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request for programs funded in title II of the Committee bill, Operation and Maintenance, is \$175,097,941,000 in new budget authority. The Committee recommendation provides \$174,974,024,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. These appropriations finance the costs of operating and maintaining the Armed Forces, including the reserve components and related support activities of the Department of Defense. Included is readiness training, services for maintenance of equipment, fuel, supplies, spare parts for weapons and equipment, the day-to-day operations of military bases, facility sustainment and repair, and civilian pay. Financial requirements are influenced by many factors, including force levels, such as the number of aircraft squadrons, Army and Marine Corps divisions, installations, military personnel end strength and deployments, rates of operational activity, and the quantity, complexity, and age of equipment such as aircraft, ships, missiles, and tanks. The Committee does not provide funding for a proposed civilian pay raise in fiscal year 2014.

The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	35,073,077	35,183,796	+110,719
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,945,237	40,127,402	+182,165
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	6,254,650	6,298,757	+44,107
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	37,270,842	37,438,701	+167,859
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	32,997,693	32,301,685	-696,008
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	3,095,036	3,199,151	+104,115
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....	1,197,752	1,200,283	+2,531
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE.....	263,317	266,561	+3,244
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE.....	3,164,607	3,149,046	-15,561
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....	7,054,196	7,102,113	+47,917
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,566,004	6,675,999	+109,995
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT.....	5,000	---	-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES...	13,606	13,606	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY.....	298,815	298,815	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY.....	316,103	316,103	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE.....	439,820	439,820	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	10,757	10,757	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES...	237,443	262,443	+25,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID.....	109,500	109,500	---
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT.....	528,455	528,455	---
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.....	256,031	51,031	-205,000
	=====	=====	=====
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE.....	175,097,941	174,974,024	-123,917
	=====	=====	=====

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPROGRAMMINGS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit the Base for Re-programming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2014 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

*Army:*

Maneuver units  
 Modular support brigades  
 Land forces operations support  
 Force readiness operations support  
 Land forces depot maintenance  
 Base operations support  
 Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

*Navy:*

Aircraft depot maintenance  
 Ship depot maintenance  
 Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

*Marine Corps:*

Depot maintenance  
 Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

*Air Force:*

Primary combat forces  
 Combat enhancement forces  
 Combat communications  
 Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization  
 Operating forces depot maintenance  
 Mobilization depot maintenance  
 Training and recruiting depot maintenance  
 Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

*Air Force Reserve:*

Depot maintenance

*Air National Guard:*

Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

*Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:*

Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense

agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount; the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request; all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report; all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings; and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase “only for” or “only to” in this report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

#### RESTORATION OF FUNDING FOR UNREALISTIC EFFICIENCY SAVINGS

The report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2012 included language expressing the Committee’s concern over many of the Department of Defense’s proposed efficiency savings. In particular, the report stated that “more troubling were instances in which underfunding valid requirements were claimed as efficiencies,” including the decision to underfund base facility sustainment requirements and claim the reductions as infrastructure savings. In fiscal year 2013 and again in fiscal year 2014, the Department requests reduced funding for facility sustainment requirements and claims the reduction as infrastructure savings rather than deferral of maintenance. In fiscal year 2014, the Committee once again restores funding to the Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization programs for the individual Services, the Guard and reserve components, and the Services’ medical facilities.

#### TUITION ASSISTANCE

Military tuition assistance has been an important benefit available to servicemembers since the late 1940s. Tuition assistance is a benefit paid to eligible members of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force for college courses to support a member’s professional and personal self-development goals. The courses are to be taken during the member’s off-duty hours, and the total cost per

member is capped at \$4,500 per year. The Committee believes that tuition assistance is a valuable recruiting and retention program and therefore designates it as a congressional special interest item. The Committee sets a funding floor for fiscal year 2014 expenditures as follows:

Army .....	\$195,000,000
Navy .....	90,000,000
Marine Corps .....	44,000,000
Air Force .....	116,000,000
Army Reserve .....	40,000,000
Air Force Reserve .....	8,000,000
Army National Guard .....	72,000,000
Air National Guard .....	5,000,000
Total .....	<u>570,000,000</u>

REALISTIC FUEL PRICING

The Committee is concerned with the Department’s habitual underfunding of fuel costs in its budget requests, which causes the need for significant reprogramming of funds in the year of budget execution. In fiscal year 2012, \$1,000,000,000 was reprogrammed to correct for understated fuel costs and in fiscal year 2013, \$1,100,000,000 has been requested for the same purpose. It appears that fuel costs are again understated in the fiscal year 2014 budget request. The Government Accountability Office has estimated that the fiscal year 2014 fuel costs are understated by \$536,000,000. The Committee believes the Department should reset the fuel rate charged to the customer in fiscal year 2014 in line with expected market rates. The Committee has increased funding in the operation and maintenance customer accounts to pay for the expected market rate recommended by the Government Accountability Office.

MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The Committee appreciates the significant oversight and attention the Department has given to address the Committee’s concern that funding for Military Information Support Operations (MISO), activities only be used to fulfill core military activities rather than duplicate programs and activities more appropriately conducted by other departments and agencies. Therefore, the Committee recommends funding for these programs at the levels requested. The allocation of funds by combatant command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex to this report. The delineations shall be considered a congressional special interest item, and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Secretary of Defense is also directed to submit a report not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act, to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the proposed execution of the funding provided for these programs. This report shall include the program name, activity, a description of service, target audience, goals/objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for the year of execution. This report, and the delineation of each

program, project, and activity, shall serve as the basis for re-programming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

#### DEFENSE PERSONAL PROPERTY MOVES

The Committee has a long-standing interest in the quality of life of military members and their families and understands the tremendous challenges associated with completing frequent defense personal property moves. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense has successfully shifted from the Transportation Operational Personal Property Standard System to the Defense Personal Property Program and commends the improvements to cost efficiency, to the claims experience, and in family satisfaction. Benefits of the Defense Personal Property Program include full replacement and repair value for damaged or lost household goods at no additional cost; on-line claims filing and direct claims settlement between members/employees and transportation service providers; best value acquisition of transportation services; improved communications between customers and transportation service providers; and a web-based entitlements counseling option. The Committee commends the improvements that the Department and its transportation service providers have made to reduce costs and improve the quality of each military move.

#### STEM EDUCATION

The Committee is concerned about the future of the Nation's workforce, specifically for science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) disciplines. These skills are vital to the Department of Defense to maintain United States military superiority. While these skills are underrepresented in the available workforce, minorities especially are underrepresented in these skill sets both in the current workforce and at university levels. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a long-term plan to maintain and grow the STEM workforce and to support the development of STEM skill sets, especially in undergraduate and graduate programs, and to focus on increasing the participation and success of minority students through engaged mentoring, enriched research experiences, and opportunities to publish, present, and network. The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to communicate to the congressional defense committees the resources, incentives, and legal and regulatory flexibility needed to attract and maintain a proficient STEM workforce. These factors, along with peer-to-peer mentoring, have proven to be a successful model for minority education.

#### TELECOMMUNICATIONS EXPENSE MANAGEMENT

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, directed the Secretary of Defense to complete a study and assess the feasibility of using commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) telecommunication expense management solutions to improve enterprise visibility over the Department's telecommunications expenses and identify possible efficiencies in this growing technological area. Since that study was completed, the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer



(CIO) promulgated guidance regarding the use of these management solutions. The Committee is concerned that the military Services are not yet in compliance with the CIO's guidance. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense defer new large telecommunications contracts until the Department has begun to proactively manage telecommunications usage utilizing competitively awarded COTS expense management solutions in accordance with the CIO guidance.

#### MILITARY WORKING DOGS

The use of canines to help locate and clear Improvised Explosive Devices has been highly successful, has saved lives, and has prevented injuries to deployed servicemembers. While military working dog teams have played an important role in national security over the past decade, the Committee is concerned that no widely accepted standards or protocols have been developed to ensure consistency and uniform quality in the breeding, training, conditioning, and deployment of military working dog teams. The Committee therefore urges the Secretary of the Air Force, the executive agent for the military working dog program, to work with the National Institute of Standards and Technology, in conjunction with subject matter experts in academia and the private sector, to develop breeding, training, conditioning and deployment standards, and protocols for military working dog teams.

#### REPORT ON OVERSEAS INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report not later than 365 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees that inventories United States military installations located overseas. The report should include an assessment of the requirements for overseas military force structure and infrastructure, as well as an inventory of potentially excess infrastructure and any opportunities for consolidation.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$35,409,260,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	35,073,077,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,183,796,000
Change from budget request .....	+110,719,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,183,796,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	888,114	888,114	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	72,624	72,624	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	617,402	617,402	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	602,262	602,262	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,032,484	1,032,484	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	1,287,462	1,287,462	---
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	3,559,656	3,537,030	-22,626
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS.....	454,477	454,477	---
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,481,156	1,481,156	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	7,278,154	7,278,154	---
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION..	2,754,712	2,974,712	+220,000
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.....	425,271	425,271	---
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS.....	185,064	185,064	---
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS.....	463,270	463,270	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		21,102,108	21,299,482	+197,374
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION				
MOBILITY OPERATIONS				
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY.....	360,240	360,240	---
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS.....	192,105	192,105	---
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	7,101	7,101	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....				
		559,446	559,446	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
210 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	115,992	115,992	---
220 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	52,323	52,323	---
230 ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.....	43,589	43,589	---
240 SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	453,745	453,745	---
BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	1,034,495	1,034,495	---
260 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	1,016,876	1,016,876	---
270 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	186,565	186,565	---
280 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	652,514	652,514	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	485,500	485,500	---
300 EXAMINING.....	170,912	170,912	---
310 OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	251,523	251,523	---
320 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	184,422	182,422	-2,000
330 JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	181,105	171,816	-9,289
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	4,829,561	4,818,272	-11,289
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340 SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,023,946	1,020,113	-3,833
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	690,089	630,089	-60,000
360 CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES.....	774,120	774,120	---
370 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	651,765	651,765	---
380 AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT.....	453,051	453,051	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390 ADMINISTRATION.....	487,737	460,955	-26,782
400 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,563,115	1,563,115	---
410 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	326,853	326,853	---
420 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	234,364	234,364	---
430 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT.....	1,212,091	1,216,991	+4,900
440 ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES.....	243,540	243,540	---
450 REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT.....	241,101	241,101	---
460 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	226,291	226,291	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460 SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS.....	426,651	457,900	+31,249
470 MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS.....	27,248	27,248	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	8,581,962	8,527,496	-54,466
OVERSTATEMENT OF TRAVEL COSTS.....	---	-112,000	-112,000
INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS.....	---	91,100	+91,100
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	35,073,077	35,183,796	+110,719
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>121 FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>3,559,656</b>	<b>3,537,030</b>	<b>-22,626</b>
Overstatement of Missile Defense Agency transfer for support operations and sustainment of four forward based mode radars		-9,336	
Remove one-time fiscal year 2013 cost for hardware replacement for Program Executive Office, Simulation, Training and Instrumentation		-13,290	
<b>132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>2,754,712</b>	<b>2,974,712</b>	<b>220,000</b>
Funds for infrastructure upgrade of the Arlington National Cemetery to be appropriated to Cemeterial Expenses, Army		-25,000	
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		245,000	
<b>334 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	<b>184,422</b>	<b>182,422</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Overstatement of Army civilian end strength		-2,000	
<b>335 JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS</b>	<b>181,105</b>	<b>171,816</b>	<b>-9,289</b>
Non-compliance with fiscal year 2013 House direction		-9,289	
<b>411 SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>1,023,946</b>	<b>1,020,113</b>	<b>-3,833</b>
Classified adjustment		-3,833	
<b>421 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION</b>	<b>690,089</b>	<b>630,089</b>	<b>-60,000</b>
Overstatement of equipment redistribution costs		-60,000	
<b>431 ADMINISTRATION</b>	<b>487,737</b>	<b>460,955</b>	<b>-26,782</b>
Eliminate requested growth to headquarters		-26,782	
<b>435 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,212,091</b>	<b>1,216,991</b>	<b>4,900</b>
Army support to the Capitol 4th		4,900	
<b>441 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS</b>	<b>426,651</b>	<b>457,900</b>	<b>31,249</b>
Deny transfer of NATO funding to Special Operations Command headquarters		31,249	
<b>OVERSTATEMENT OF TRAVEL COSTS</b>		<b>-112,000</b>	<b>-112,000</b>
<b>INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS</b>		<b>91,100</b>	<b>91,100</b>

## ARMY TRAINING AIDS AND DEVICES

The Army's fiscal year 2014 budget request reflects refinements in its training strategy to incorporate a 24-month Army Force Generation cycle which leverages more constructive, virtual, and blended training capabilities. The Army has found that the use of virtual training has enhanced its ability to meet training goals at reduced cost. The Committee believes that commercial off-the-shelf simulation training utilizing service contracts rather than traditional acquisition programs of record could further improve the technological relevance of simulation and training devices for a wide range of missions, including regular and irregular warfare against conventional and hybrid threats. The Committee encourages the Army to evaluate the use of these contracts to reduce overall costs for virtual training while enhancing training experiences.

## CEMETERIAL EXPENSES

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2014 budget request once again proposes \$25,000,000 to be provided in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account to assist with the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery instead of requesting all funds within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account. The Committee does not support the proposal to fund Arlington National Cemetery through separate accounts contained in two different appropriations bills. Split funding undermines the federal budgeting principles requiring expenditures to be charged against the same account each year, once an account has been identified for such purpose. The Committee rejects the proposal to fund cemeterial expenses in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account and instead provides all funds for the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account included in the pending fiscal year 2014 Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act.

## HIGH RESOLUTION 3—DIMENSIONAL TERRAIN DATA COLLECTION

The Committee is aware of a validated operational requirement to provide unclassified, shareable, high resolution 3-dimensional terrain and elevation data which enables commanders to conduct the analysis required for mission planning, rehearsal, and execution. This requirement has been fulfilled by the Buckeye ISR system since 2004, which produces data that can provide commanders with the situational awareness for requirements such as helicopter landing zones, optimal ingress and egress routes, cover and conceal sniper, counter-sniper positions, and vertical obstructions. The Committee understands this system fulfills a unique requirement by producing unclassified data, allowing for data sharing with coalition and host nation forces. Additionally, its sensors can operate from various platforms, including fixed wing aircraft, helicopters, and unmanned aircraft. The Committee understands that an error during the formulation of the budget request resulted in a \$163,000,000 shortfall to this program for fiscal year 2014 and therefore directs the Secretary of the Army to fully fund this important operational ISR requirement.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$41,614,453,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	39,945,237,000
Committee recommendation .....	40,127,402,000
Change from budget request .....	+182,165,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,127,402,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	4,952,522	4,952,522	---
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING.....	1,826,404	1,826,404	---
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.....	38,639	38,639	---
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT.....	90,030	90,030	---
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	362,700	362,700	---
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	915,881	915,881	---
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	35,838	35,838	---
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	379,914	379,914	---
SHIP OPERATIONS				
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	3,884,836	3,774,988	-109,848
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	734,852	734,852	---
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	5,191,511	5,191,511	---
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,351,274	1,351,274	---
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT				
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	701,316	701,316	---
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	97,710	97,710	---
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE.....	172,330	172,330	---
160	WARFARE TACTICS.....	454,682	452,601	-2,081
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY.....	328,406	328,406	---
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	946,429	935,297	-11,132
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE.....	142,249	142,249	---
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	2,603	2,603	---
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....	102,970	102,970	---
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....	199,128	199,128	---



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230 CRUISE MISSILE.....	92,671	92,671	---
240 FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	1,193,188	1,193,188	---
250 IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	105,985	105,985	---
260 WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	532,627	552,627	+20,000
270 OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	304,160	304,160	---
BASE SUPPORT			
280 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	1,011,528	1,011,528	---
290 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	1,996,821	2,132,821	+136,000
300 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	4,460,918	4,456,220	-4,698
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	32,610,122	32,638,363	+28,241
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
310 SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE.....	331,576	331,576	---
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320 AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	6,638	6,638	---
330 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	222,752	222,752	---
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM.....	73,310	73,310	---
350 INDUSTRIAL READINESS.....	2,675	2,675	---
360 COAST GUARD SUPPORT.....	23,794	23,794	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	660,745	660,745	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
370 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	148,516	148,516	---
380 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	9,384	9,384	---
390 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	139,876	139,876	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	630,069	630,069	---
410 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	9,294	9,294	---
420 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	169,082	169,082	---
430 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	164,368	164,368	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	241,733	242,833	+1,100
450 OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	139,815	139,815	---
460 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	94,632	94,632	---
470 JUNIOR ROTC.....	51,373	51,373	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	1,798,142	1,799,242	+1,100
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480 ADMINISTRATION.....	886,088	886,088	---
490 EXTERNAL RELATIONS.....	13,131	13,131	---
500 CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	115,742	115,742	---
510 MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	382,150	382,150	---
520 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	268,403	268,403	---
530 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	317,293	317,293	---
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	207,128	207,128	---
570 PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.....	295,855	295,855	---
580 ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	1,140,484	1,140,484	---
590 HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT.....	52,873	52,873	---
600 COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS.....	27,587	27,587	---
610 SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	75,728	75,728	---
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620 NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.....	543,026	543,026	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680 INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES.....	4,965	4,965	---
OTHER PROGRAMS			
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	545,775	543,199	-2,576
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	4,876,228	4,873,652	-2,576
INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS.....	---	155,400	+155,400
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,945,237	40,127,402	+182,165
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1B1B MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS</b> Unjustified growth for utilities due to fewer steaming days	3,884,836	3,774,988 -109,848	-109,848
<b>1C4C WARFARE TACTICS</b> Unaccounted transfer from SAG 1A2A	454,682	452,601 -2,081	-2,081
<b>1C6C COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES</b> Unjustified growth for human resources functions	946,429	935,297 -11,132	-11,132
<b>1D4D WEAPONS MAINTENANCE</b> Program increase - ship self defense	532,627	552,627 20,000	20,000
<b>BSM1 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b> Restore unjustified efficiency reduction	1,996,821	2,132,821 136,000	136,000
<b>BSS1 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT</b> Overstatement of transfer from SOCOM	4,460,918	4,456,220 -4,698	-4,698
<b>3C1L RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b> Naval Sea Cadet Corps	241,733	242,833 1,100	1,100
<b>999 OTHER PROGRAMS</b> Classified adjustment	545,775	543,199 -2,576	-2,576
<b>INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS</b>		155,400	155,400

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$6,034,963,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	6,254,650,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,298,757,000
Change from budget request .....	+44,107,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,298,757,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	837,012	895,998	+58,986
20	894,555	874,555	-20,000
30	223,337	223,337	---
USMC PREPOSITIONING			
40	97,878	97,878	---
60	774,619	774,619	---
70	2,166,661	2,166,661	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,994,062	5,033,048	+38,986
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
80	17,693	17,693	---
90	896	896	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
100	100,806	100,806	---
120	46,928	46,928	---
130	356,426	356,426	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION			
140	179,747	179,747	---
150	52,255	52,255	---
160	23,138	23,138	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	777,889	777,889	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
180	43,816	43,816	---
190	305,107	304,828	-279
200	87,500	87,500	---
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	46,276	46,276	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	482,699	482,420	-279
INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS	---	5,400	+5,400
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	6,254,650	6,298,757	+44,107
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	<b>OPERATIONAL FORCES</b>	837,012	895,998	58,986
	Remove one-time fiscal year 2013 cost of additional equipment		-6,014	
	Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force – Crisis Response		30,000	
	Marine Embassy Security Program		35,000	
1A2A	<b>FIELD LOGISTICS</b>	894,555	874,555	-20,000
	Unexecutable pay raise for civilian personnel		-20,000	
4A4G	<b>ADMINISTRATION</b>	305,107	304,828	-279
	Classified adjustment		-279	
	<b>INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS</b>		5,400	5,400

SPECIAL PURPOSE MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE—CRISIS  
RESPONSE

The Marine Corps recently activated a new task force, the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response, to serve as a regionally based, expeditionary Marine Air Ground Task Force that conducts crisis response and limited contingency operations from land-based and maritime platforms in response to Commander, United States Africa Command requirements. This task force is trained to conduct security, serve as a quick reaction force, conduct limited offensive/defensive operations, provide tactical recovery of aircraft and personnel, provide limited humanitarian assistance, and conduct limited non-combatant evacuation operations missions. This task force was deployed for the first time in April 2013 at the request of the Commander, United States Africa Command. The Committee has added funds for sustainment and follow-on deployments of the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response in fiscal year 2014.

MARINE CORPS EMBASSY SECURITY PROGRAM

The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2013 directed the Secretary of Defense to develop and implement a plan to increase the number of members of the Marine Corps assigned to the Marine Corps Embassy Security Group at Quantico, Virginia, Marine Security Group Regional Commands, and Marine Security Group detachments at United States embassies, consulates, and other diplomatic facilities by up to 1,000 Marines. These additional Marines will support enhanced Marine Corps security at United States embassies, consulates, and other diplomatic facilities, particularly at locations identified by the Secretary of State as in need of additional security because of threats to United States personnel and property. The Department of Defense, in concert with the Department of State, is developing plans to add 35 new Marine Security Guard detachments over the next few years, beginning in fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation provides increased funding for the additional training, training aids, logistical support, and equipment for the additional Marine Security Guard detachments beginning in fiscal year 2014.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$34,780,406,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	37,270,842,000
Committee recommendation .....	37,438,701,000
Change from budget request .....	+167,859,000

\* FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,438,701,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	3,295,814	3,291,031	-4,783
20	1,875,095	1,852,181	-22,914
30	1,559,109	1,271,105	-288,004
50	5,956,304	6,249,324	+293,020
60	1,834,424	1,934,738	+100,314
70	2,779,811	2,779,811	---
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	913,841	911,329	-2,512
90	916,837	915,918	-919
100	720,349	720,349	---
SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	305,275	291,275	-14,000
120	433,658	433,658	---
130	1,146,016	1,146,016	---
140	231,830	231,830	---
	21,968,363	22,028,565	+60,202



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
150 AIRLIFT OPERATIONS.....	2,015,902	2,015,902	---
160 MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS.....	147,216	147,216	---
170 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,556,232	1,556,232	---
180 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	167,402	184,142	+16,740
190 BASE SUPPORT.....	707,040	707,040	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	4,593,792	4,610,532	+16,740
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
200 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	102,334	102,334	---
210 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	17,733	17,733	---
220 RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	94,600	94,600	---
230 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	217,011	238,712	+21,701
240 BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY).....	800,327	800,327	---
-----			
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	399,364	399,364	---
260 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	792,275	792,275	---
270 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	248,958	248,958	---
280 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	106,741	106,741	---
290 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	319,331	319,331	---
-----			
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
300 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	122,736	122,736	---
310 EXAMINING.....	3,679	3,679	---
320 OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	137,255	137,255	---
330 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	176,153	176,153	---
340 JUNIOR ROTC.....	67,018	67,018	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	3,605,515	3,627,216	+21,701

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350 LOGISTICS OPERATIONS.....	1,103,684	1,103,684	---
360 TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	919,923	919,923	---
370 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	56,601	56,601	---
380 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	281,061	309,167	+28,106
390 BASE SUPPORT.....	1,203,305	1,192,345	-10,960
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
400 ADMINISTRATION.....	593,865	593,367	-498
410 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	574,609	574,609	---
420 OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	1,028,600	1,028,600	---
430 CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION.....	24,720	28,400	+3,680
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,227,796	1,192,684	-35,112
SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
460 INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	89,008	89,008	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	7,103,172	7,088,388	-14,784
UNDERSTATED STRENGTH DUE TO FY 2013 HIRING FREEZE.....	---	-200,000	-200,000
INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS.....	---	284,000	+284,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	37,270,842	37,438,701	+167,859

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	<b>3,295,814</b>	<b>3,291,031</b>	<b>-4,783</b>
Consolidate depot maintenance funding in SAG 011M		-1,026	
Residual funding after transfer of Air Command E-tool		-3,757	
<b>011C COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES</b>	<b>1,875,095</b>	<b>1,852,181</b>	<b>-22,914</b>
Consolidate depot maintenance funding in SAG 011M		-3,990	
Unjustified growth in management and professional services		-18,924	
<b>011D AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING</b>	<b>1,559,109</b>	<b>1,271,105</b>	<b>-288,004</b>
Consolidate depot maintenance funding in SAG 011M		-288,004	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>5,956,304</b>	<b>6,249,324</b>	<b>293,020</b>
Consolidate depot maintenance funding from SAG 011A		1,026	
Consolidate depot maintenance funding from SAG 011C		3,990	
Consolidate depot maintenance funding from SAG 011D		288,004	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>1,834,424</b>	<b>1,934,738</b>	<b>100,314</b>
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		100,314	
<b>012A GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING</b>	<b>913,841</b>	<b>911,329</b>	<b>-2,512</b>
Remove program growth for foreign currency fluctuation		-2,512	
<b>012C OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS</b>	<b>916,837</b>	<b>915,918</b>	<b>-919</b>
Residual funding after transfer of offensive cyber operations		-870	
Residual funding after transfer of AFRICOM engagement		-49	
<b>013A LAUNCH FACILITIES</b>	<b>305,275</b>	<b>291,275</b>	<b>-14,000</b>
Remove one-time fiscal year 2013 cost of a diminishing manufacturing study		-14,000	
<b>015A COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,146,016</b>	<b>1,146,016</b>	<b>0</b>
Remove CYBERCOM funds from STRATCOM direct mission support		-351,000	
Establish a CYBERCOM direct mission support line		351,000	
<b>015B COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS</b>	<b>231,830</b>	<b>231,830</b>	<b>0</b>
Remove CYBERCOM funds from STRATCOM direct mission support		-88,000	
Establish a CYBERCOM direct mission support line		88,000	
<b>021R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>167,402</b>	<b>184,142</b>	<b>16,740</b>
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		16,740	
<b>031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>217,011</b>	<b>238,712</b>	<b>21,701</b>
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		21,701	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
041R	<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	281,061	309,167	28,106
	Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		28,106	
041Z	<b>BASE SUPPORT</b>	1,203,305	1,192,345	-10,960
	Unjustified increase for public-private competitions		-5,177	
	Unjustified increase for utilities		-5,783	
042A	<b>ADMINISTRATION</b>	593,865	593,367	-498
	Transfer five civilian end strength to the Air Force Reserve which had been lost due to Civil Engineer transformation		-498	
042I	<b>CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION</b>	24,720	28,400	3,680
	Program increase		3,680	
043A	<b>SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>	1,227,796	1,192,684	-35,112
	Classified adjustment		-35,112	
	<b>UNDERSTATED BEGINNING STRENGTH DUE TO FISCAL YEAR 2013 HIRING FREEZE</b>		-200,000	-200,000
	<b>INCREASE TO SUPPORT REALISTIC FUEL COSTS</b>		284,000	284,000

## CYBER COMMAND FUNDING

Funding for the United States Cyber Command, a subordinate unified command under the United States Strategic Command, currently is not discretely visible in the Air Force's budget justification material. With the increased emphasis on cyber activities and related resourcing, the Committee directs that beginning in fiscal year 2015, the Air Force's budget justification material separately report and separately justify funds to support Cyber Command in sub-activity group 015A, "Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support" and in sub-activity group 015B, "Combatant Command Core Operations".

## U.S. ACCESS TO THE AZORES

The Committee is concerned by the uncertainty of the Department of Defense's intentions regarding use of the long-standing military infrastructure in the Portuguese Azores, as well as reports that China's military is seeking access to Lajes Air Base, currently host to the Air Force's 65th Air Base Wing. The Committee recognizes that Lajes continues to serve an important role as part of the critical en route infrastructure for multiple areas of operation. The Committee therefore urges the Secretary of Defense to ensure that U.S. interests in the Azores are not unduly harmed when considering changes to overseas military posture.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$31,862,980,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	32,997,693,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,301,685,000
Change from budget request .....	- 696,008,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,301,685,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	472,239	472,239	---
20	5,261,463	5,282,850	+21,387
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	5,733,702	5,755,089	+21,387
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	157,397	157,397	---
40	84,899	88,502	+3,603
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....			
	242,296	245,899	+3,603
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
50	144,443	174,443	+30,000
80	612,207	582,207	-30,000
90	1,378,606	1,299,961	-78,645
110	763,091	780,408	+17,317
120	1,326,243	1,326,243	---
140	29,933	29,933	---
150	462,545	461,517	-1,028
160	222,979	222,979	---
170	21,594	21,594	---
180	788,389	630,589	-157,800
190	546,603	531,603	-15,000
200	35,151	35,151	---
210	438,033	438,033	---
220	2,713,756	2,713,756	---
230	256,201	254,801	-1,400
250	371,615	244,883	-126,732
260	2,010,176	2,001,991	-8,185
270	616,572	595,356	-21,216
OTHER PROGRAMS.....			
	14,283,558	13,915,249	-368,309
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....			
	27,021,695	26,260,697	-760,998
IMPACT AID.....			
	---	40,000	+40,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....			
	32,997,693	32,301,685	-696,008

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1PL2 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>5,261,463</b>	<b>5,282,850</b>	<b>21,387</b>
Flight Operations - 160th SOAR contractor logistics support excess to need		-2,250	
Flight Operations - CV-22 contractor logistical support ahead of need		-3,679	
Flight Operations - AFSOC flying hour - transfer from title IX		70,121	
Flight Operations - USASOC - transfer from title IX		18,015	
Ship Operations - restore HSAC operations and sustainment reductions		5,000	
Ship Operations - restore NSW maritime and undersea operations reductions		7,365	
Ship Operations - restore range support reductions		3,100	
Other Operations - SOCOM NCR		-10,000	
Other Operations - deny NATO Special Operations Command headquarters transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army		-31,267	
Other Operations - contingency operations unjustified growth		-35,519	
Other Operations - Human physical performance program excess growth		-25,305	
Other Operations - Human psychological performance program - transfer to Defense Health Program		-21,300	
Other Operations - SOF unique family programs unauthorized program		-8,786	
Other Operations - Family support program pilot		5,000	
Other Operations - Regional Security Cooperation Centers unauthorized program		-16,000	
Other Operations - restore NSWG training and equipment		11,611	
Other Operations - restore NSW range improvements		3,318	
Other Operations - restore ground motor vehicles maintenance		34,128	
Other Operations - Facilities, Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization excess to need		-25,000	
Other Operations - military construction collateral equipment non-recurring costs		-50,000	
Communications - C4IAS FMV and expansion for force structure growth unjustified growth		-4,488	
Communications - international SOF information sharing system early to need		-7,017	
Communications - transfer from title IX		44,725	
Maintenance - Family of Special Operations vehicles - transfer from title IX		3,673	
Maintenance - Restore Naval Special Warfare Maritime Craft logistical support and maintenance		7,688	
Maintenance - Logistics support base - transfer from title IX		10,557	
Management and Headquarters Operations - civilian growth excess to need		-6,993	
Management and Headquarters Operations - contractor growth excess to need		-3,606	
Management and Headquarters Operations - Advanced Education Program unjustified program		-3,863	
Management and Headquarters Operations - transfer to National Defense University		-3,603	
Intelligence - excess civilian growth		-6,997	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	Intelligence - JTWS transfer from title IX		801	
	Intelligence - Special Access Program - transfer from title IX		35,448	
	Operational Support - restore Special Operations Joint Forces Command		6,779	
	Operational Support - Restore headquarters interagency task force		5,882	
	Specialized skill training - transfer from title IX		7,021	
	Acquisition Program Management - Restore base reduction and transfer from title IX		6,828	
<b>PEV5</b>	<b>NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY</b>	<b>84,899</b>	<b>88,502</b>	<b>3,603</b>
	Transfer from SOCOM management and headquarters operations		3,603	
<b>4GT3</b>	<b>CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>144,443</b>	<b>174,443</b>	<b>30,000</b>
	Youth Challenge		5,000	
	STARBASE youth program		25,000	
<b>4GT6</b>	<b>DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY</b>	<b>612,207</b>	<b>582,207</b>	<b>-30,000</b>
	Five percent savings due to decrease in procurement workload		-30,000	
<b>4GTB</b>	<b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>	<b>462,545</b>	<b>461,517</b>	<b>-1,028</b>
	Cost of DISA computing service rates		-11,028	
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program		10,000	
<b>4GT8</b>	<b>DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY</b>	<b>763,091</b>	<b>780,408</b>	<b>17,317</b>
	Unjustified increase to operations		-2,683	
	Program increase - Suicide Prevention Office		20,000	
<b>4GTO</b>	<b>DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY</b>	<b>1,378,606</b>	<b>1,299,961</b>	<b>-78,645</b>
	Five percent savings due to decrease in procurement workload		-68,000	
	Unjustified increase for voluntary separation incentive pay		-700	
	Overstatement of growth in civilian FTEs		-9,625	
	Overstatement of GSA rents		-320	
<b>4GTD</b>	<b>DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY</b>	<b>788,389</b>	<b>630,589</b>	<b>-157,800</b>
	Global Security Contingency Fund		-75,000	
	Revised 1206 authority		-82,800	
<b>4GTE</b>	<b>DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE</b>	<b>546,603</b>	<b>531,603</b>	<b>-15,000</b>
	Unjustified growth for background investigations related to the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004		-15,000	
<b>4GTM</b>	<b>OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT</b>	<b>371,615</b>	<b>244,883</b>	<b>-126,732</b>
	Rephasing of Guam civilian water and waste water infrastructure projects		-273,300	
	Rephasing of Guam civilian water and waste water infrastructure projects		133,700	
	Guam Regional Health Laboratory		12,888	



O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4GTN	<b>OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE</b>	2,010,176	2,001,991	-8,185
	Efficiencies to the office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs		-185	
	Funds to support BRAC 2015		-8,000	
4GTQ	<b>WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES</b>	616,572	595,356	-21,216
	Unjustified growth for contracted management and professional support services		-13,158	
	Price growth that was mislabeled as program growth		-8,058	
011A	<b>MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY</b>	256,201	254,801	-1,400
	THAAD excess to requirement		-1,400	
9999	<b>OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	14,283,558	13,915,249	-368,309
	Classified adjustment		-368,309	
	<b>IMPACT AID</b>		40,000	40,000

## SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains an issue of concern to the Committee and the Services, especially in the Guard and reserve components. While there was a slight drop in the number of reported suicides from 2010 to 2011, the number of suicides increased significantly from 2011 to 2012. Whereas servicemembers serving on active duty return from deployments to military bases which provide more structured support networks, returning Guardsmen and reservists are frequently geographically isolated from their units and may not have the regular interactions with their peers and chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience.

The Committee is aware that suicide remains a problem among servicemembers who have never deployed as well as those who have deployed once or multiple times. The Committee is aware of programs for the reserve components, such as the National Guard Psychological Health Program, to improve access to mental health providers. The National Guard Psychological Health Program provides a Director of Psychological Health for the Army National Guard in each of the 54 states and territories and will fund an additional 24 directors assigned to high-risk states. It also funds a Director of Psychological Health for the Air National Guard in all 89 wings. The directors advise leadership on psychological wellness issues, provide clinical assessments, ensure targeted mental health referrals, and mitigate problems with access to behavioral health care providers in local communities for eligible Guardsmen and their families. The Committee understands that the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2013 authorized a pilot program to improve access to behavioral health care for National Guard and reserve members and their families through a collaboration of federal, state, and community partners. The Committee is aware that the National Guard Bureau is working to utilize existing federal and local partners to provide timely access to qualified and trained mental health counselors and supports efforts to leverage existing infrastructure to facilitate access to mental health care for military servicemembers and their families.

The Committee recommendation provides increased funding for the Army National Guard Psychological Health Program to increase the number of providers available to Guardsmen and their families. The Committee recommendation also provides increased funding for suicide prevention in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role that programs such as Yellow Ribbon play in helping Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployment, and fully funds the budget request for Yellow Ribbon.

The Committee acknowledges the steps the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers, but it believes that more must be done to identify at-risk servicemembers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on actions being taken.

## STARBASE

The Department of Defense STARBASE program is designed to raise the interest and improve the knowledge and skills of students in kindergarten through twelfth grade in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). The program currently operates on Air Force, Air National Guard, Air Force Reserve, Navy, Navy Reserve, and Marine Corps military installations and facilities at 65 locations. The military volunteers who mentor students apply abstract principles to real world situations by leading tours and giving lectures on the use of STEM in different settings and careers, and they engage students through the inquiry-based curriculum with its “hands-on, mind-on” experiential activities in a technologically rich military environment. The program has a proven record of improving student understanding, interest, and ability in math and science and stimulating interest in STEM careers while establishing lasting relationships between community educators and military installations.

The STARBASE program seeks to serve students that are historically underrepresented in STEM, including students who live in inner cities or rural locations, are socio-economically disadvantaged, low in academic performance, or disabled. The fiscal year 2014 budget request seeks to reorganize STEM programs throughout the federal government, and all funding for STARBASE was removed from the Department of Defense’s fiscal year 2014 budget request. The Committee finds that terminating the STARBASE program is not advisable. STARBASE provides a unique low-cost leveraging of community and military resources that another federal agency will not be able to duplicate. The intangible benefits of solid cooperative community and military relationships stimulate the long-term interest of youth in STEM careers. The Committee recommendation therefore restores funding so the Department’s STARBASE program will continue in fiscal year 2014.

## DATA RESEARCH TOOLS IN SECURITY CLEARANCE INVESTIGATIONS

The Committee is concerned about the timeliness of completing security clearance investigations. The Committee understands the Department of Defense and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence have expressed similar concerns. Currently, automated data research tools are available online through the Defense Personnel Security Research Center (PERSEREC). These tools can be used to assist security clearance adjudicators, personnel security investigators, and security managers in implementing personnel security policy. However, military Services and Department of Defense agencies may not be aware that the PERSEREC tools are available. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense, through the Office of Defense Human Resources Activity and in coordination with the Office of Personnel Management, reach out to the military Services and Defense agencies to ensure that they are aware of the PERSEREC online tools and how these tools could be used to reduce the time and cost of investigation and adjudication of security clearances.

## MEALS READY-TO-EAT WAR RESERVE

The Committee is concerned with the Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA) potential reduction to the Meal, Ready-to-Eat (MRE) war reserve. The Committee commends the DLA for initiating action to study the MRE war reserve but is concerned that a reduction in MRE war reserve could harm the industrial base and threaten the Department's "Go to War" capabilities. The Committee has expressed strong interest in the MRE war reserve program dating back to 2003 when DLA increased its requirement for the war reserve to six million cases. The Committee directs the Director of the DLA, in conjunction with the military Services and industry, to develop a comprehensive plan that addresses the aggregate MRE requirements for each of the military Services that considers war time surge requirements and timely rotation of the MRE war reserve.

## OFFICE OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

The Committee recognizes the stressful conditions and financial burdens experienced by servicemembers with developmentally disabled children. These conditions are often magnified by long separations caused by deployments. The Committee encourages the Director of the Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs to collaborate with non-profit organizations that have expertise in developmental disabilities to establish educational and assistive programs at military bases.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND READINESS

The Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$269,399,000 above the budget request to restore readiness reductions to the Special Operations Command fiscal year 2014 operation and maintenance base funding. The Committee recommendation restores funding for flying hours, training, equipment replacement, depot maintenance, and enduring operational expenses. The Consolidated and Full Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 approved a shift of \$885,000,000 from overseas contingency operations (OCO) funding to base funding to begin to transition these enduring readiness requirements into the base budget from the OCO accounts. The Committee is disappointed that the fiscal year 2014 budget request proposes to shift \$194,641,000 back to the OCO accounts and proposes an additional \$74,698,000 in reductions to these readiness activities. Therefore, the Committee recommendation restores these reductions to ensure Special Operations Forces are fully able to meet their long term readiness requirements.

## USE OF MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM—11 FUNDS

In an era of increasing fiscal constraint, the Committee believes it is incumbent on the Department of Defense and the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to ensure that Major Force Program-11 (MFP-11) funds be reserved for its original purpose, to provide the incremental funding necessary for Special Operations Forces (SOF) unique capabilities and items, rather than to supplement or

supplant activities that are or should be provided by the military Services. The Committee is concerned that several funding requests in the fiscal year 2014 budget would establish new precedents for the use of MFP-11 funds. In some cases, funds were requested to establish new programs and activities that duplicated Service-provided or Department of Defense programs. In other cases, SOCOM's request would assume responsibility for activities that previously, and more appropriately, were funded by the Services. The Committee is concerned that MFP-11 funds are now perceived as a mechanism to insulate SOCOM from Service budget reductions or to create separate SOF programs that are the responsibility of the Services. It has come to the Committee's attention that at least one waiver of the use of MFP-11 operation and maintenance funds was granted in fiscal year 2013 in order to establish a new SOF program, a process that the Committee was unaware of prior to this year. Therefore, the Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller), working with the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM), to clearly identify and justify in the fiscal year 2015 budget request all MFP-11 operation and maintenance funding for programs and activities for which: (1) the military Services or other Department of Defense elements previously had responsibility for funding, including those related to special operations; or (2) funds would be transferred between the MFP-11 budget and other Major Force Program budgets, and the justification for such transfers. Further, the Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller), working with the Comptroller, SOFM, to submit a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees outlining the guidelines for the use of MFP-11 operation and maintenance funds, a description of the waiver process to use MFP-11 funds for non-MFP-11 activities, and a list of all waivers granted in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and the justification for such waivers.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND HUMAN PSYCHOLOGICAL  
PERFORMANCE AND FAMILY PROGRAMS

The Committee understands the tremendous toll exacted on all servicemembers and their families, including those in the special operations community, after more than a decade of war. The Committee has always made the care of servicemembers and their families its highest priority, including special operators. The Committee appreciates the focus of the Commander, Special Operations Command on the psychological health and well-being of special operations forces and their families. The Committee also recognizes the success of the Services' embedded behavioral health programs and fully supports their expansion to the special operations community. However, the Committee believes that the mental health needs of all servicemembers, including special operations servicemembers, are most appropriately addressed within the Defense Health Program to ensure the highest quality continuity of care for all servicemembers. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers \$21,300,000 requested within the Special Operations Command operation and maintenance budget to the Defense Health Program to address the needs of the special operations community consistent

with Service programs. The Committee directs the Service Surgeons General to work with the Commander, Special Operations Command to implement an embedded behavioral health program for special operations units during fiscal year 2014.

The budget request also includes \$8,786,000 to establish special operations forces (SOF) unique family resiliency programs. The Committee does not recommend funding for this unauthorized program. The Committee recognizes that the deployment cycles of special operations forces may in some limited circumstances make it more difficult for SOF families to fully participate in family support programs. However, the need for the establishment of separate family support programs exclusively for SOF families has not been demonstrated nor is it currently authorized. The Committee believes it is important for the morale of all servicemembers that there not be inequities among families exclusively based on a servicemember's assignment. The Committee understands that the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes a limited pilot program to assess the feasibility and benefits of SOF family support activities. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for the pilot program.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND HUMAN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation does not include an increase of \$25,305,000 for the Human Physical Performance Program. This request would fund 331 contractors to provide physical training and sports conditioning, sports psychology, and sports nutrition services for special operations forces (SOF), but would not cover the non-salary operational costs or anticipated new facilities associated with this initiative. While military construction funding was requested for new facilities associated with this initiative, the necessary authorization and funding was denied in the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, as well as in the pending fiscal year 2014 Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act.

The Committee has long supported physical training programs to ensure that special operators are in top condition to achieve their mission and to prevent physical injuries. In the past, there has often been a reliance on training programs designed for collegiate or professional athletes, programs which may or may not meet the needs of the special operator. In addition, the physical training requirements vary between special operators based on their mission set. In an attempt to address this challenge, over the last several years at the request of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM), the Committee has funded the Tactical Athlete Program within the Department of Navy to provide the necessary baseline research for each individual type of SOF operator in order to design appropriate physical training programs to meet those specialized needs. This approach was previously used by the Department of the Army to successfully develop a training program for the 101st Airborne Division. The Committee recommendation includes continued funding under the Department of the Navy to complete these assessments.

The Committee believes that the investment and corresponding results from this research will enable SOCOM to design physical

training programs uniquely tailored to the needs of special operators in the most productive and cost effective manner possible. The Committee is concerned that the new program proposed by SOCOM did not utilize the research investment that has been made in order to develop a program that meets the unique needs of special operators in the most cost effective manner possible. Therefore, the Committee does not provide the requested increase to expand this new program.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$8,466,000 for a new Advanced Education Program for the Special Operations Command. Of this amount, \$3,603,000 was requested to fund a National Defense University (NDU) satellite Masters Degree program at the John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School established with funding from the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations/Low-Intensity Conflict. The remaining \$4,863,000 was requested to establish new programs or expand existing programs.

The Committee supports professional military education opportunities for all servicemembers, including those in the special operations forces (SOF) community. While the Committee appreciates the Commander, Special Operations Command's desire to augment the advanced education opportunities for SOF students, it is unclear to the Committee that the proposed programs represent truly SOF-unique requirements and instead may be duplicative of education opportunities provided by the Services.

The Committee understands that SOCOM is in the process of working with the Service Secretaries to establish a process to formalize SOF-unique education requirements. The Committee directs the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, in coordination with the Commander, Special Operations Command, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, which outlines all SOF-unique educational requirements, includes recommendations to meet such requirements, and describes how the proposed SOCOM educational initiatives compare to Service-offered educational opportunities.

Therefore, the Committee transfers \$3,603,000 from SOCOM's operation and maintenance budget to NDU's budget to maintain appropriate program and budget oversight of all NDU programs. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$3,863,000 for new and expanded programs based on concerns regarding duplication and requirements. The remaining \$1,000,000 provided is for existing programs and SOCOM is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on the use of these funds.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

The Committee recommendation does not include \$10,000,000 to establish a Special Operations Command National Capital Region (SOCOM-NCR) entity. This funding request was also denied in the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act. The

budget justification materials indicate that SOCOM plans to implement this proposal in phases.

The Committee has requested, but has not received, a detailed plan which lays out the full operating capability and end-state envisioned by this proposal and instead has only received information on the phase-zero and phase-one plans. The Committee remains unclear about the function, purpose, and costs associated with the operations, infrastructure, and facilities for this entity both in the interim phase and the final end-state. Further, the Committee has received conflicting information over the course of the last year as to the purpose of this entity. At times it has been described as an efficiency mechanism to relocate over 300 SOCOM personnel to one consolidated location within the NCR. The Committee is confused by this explanation given that the vast majority of SOCOM personnel assigned to the NCR function as liaison officers and Special Operations Support Teams to other federal agencies and as such should remain resident at such agencies. At other times, some functions of the new SOCOM-NCR appear to duplicate functions already resident at SOCOM headquarters. The Committee is concerned that in a time of declining budget resources, it is incumbent upon SOCOM and the Department to fully delineate the functions, responsibilities, facilities requirements, infrastructure, and operating costs associated with this proposal before moving forward in order to carefully assess whether a statutory waiver of the prohibition on relocations into and within the more expensive National Capital Region area is warranted.

Should the Secretary of Defense waive the prohibition in Section 8018 of this Act, the Committee believes such a decision should be based on the full operating capability and final end-state agreed to by the Department of Defense.

Therefore, the Commander, Special Operations Command is directed not to obligate or expend funds for the proposed SOCOM-NCR until 30 days after the congressional defense committees receive a copy of the Secretary of Defense's waiver of Section 8018 of this Act and a report which fully describes the anticipated full operating capability and end-state for this entity as follows: (1) a description of the purpose and specific activities to be performed by the SOCOM-NCR; (2) an explanation of the impact of this proposal on existing activities at SOCOM headquarters and components, including the cost differential associated with relocating these functions from their existing locations to the NCR; and (3) a detailed, by fiscal year, breakout of all staffing and costs, including a long-term facilities plan, associated with its establishment over the future years defense plan (fiscal years 2014-2018) and at the full operating capability and planned final end-state. The Committee will consider a prior approval reprogramming in fiscal year 2014 from within available Special Operations Command operation and maintenance funds for the SOCOM-NCR if the Secretary of Defense grants the waiver of Section 8018 and the congressional defense committees have been provided the required comprehensive report.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

The Committee is concerned regarding the quality of the operation and maintenance budget justification submitted by the Spe-



cial Operations Command (SOCOM). As a result, the Committee is unable to conduct meaningful oversight of SOCOM's budget requirements as the current justification does not include the necessary level of detail. Due to the failure of the budget justification to provide such information, the Committee is unable to analyze changes and trends over time in SOCOM's budget requirements, conduct comparative analysis with similar Department of Defense budget requirements, or have any understanding or visibility into changing requirements in the year of execution. The budget structure required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) would provide the information needed. However, in fiscal year 2006, the Department of Defense took action to exempt SOCOM from these requirements and thereby limited congressional visibility and oversight. Since that time, the SOCOM base operation and maintenance budget has grown by 143 percent. Additionally, due to this exemption, SOCOM does not provide meaningful information that details the changing requirements among activities for overseas contingency operations requests.

In a time of declining budget resources, the Committee must have the same level of visibility into SOCOM's funding as is provided by the Services in order to facilitate appropriate oversight. Therefore, the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM) are directed to submit the fiscal year 2015 SOCOM base and OCO operation and maintenance budget justification in accordance with Volume 2A, Chapter 3 of the FMR as such requirements apply to the Services. As required by the FMR, the budget justification shall be delineated and detailed by budget activity group, activity group, and sub-activity group with detailed changes within each sub-activity reflected on OP-5 and OP-32 exhibits. The Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, SOFM to consult with the Committee during development of the fiscal year 2015 budget on actions being taken to make the necessary changes not later than October 1, 2013.

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$3,182,923,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	3,095,036,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,199,151,000
Change from budget request .....	+104,115,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,199,151,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	1,621	1,621	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	24,429	24,429	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	657,099	657,099	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	122,485	122,485	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	584,058	582,958	-1,100
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	79,380	79,380	---
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	471,616	471,616	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS.....	74,243	74,243	---
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	70,894	146,694	+75,800
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	569,801	569,801	---
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	294,145	323,560	+29,415
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS.....	51,853	51,853	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	3,001,624	3,105,739	+104,115
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	10,735	10,735	---
140	ADMINISTRATION.....	24,197	24,197	---
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	10,304	10,304	---
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	10,319	10,319	---
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	37,857	37,857	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	93,412	93,412	---
=====				
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	3,095,036	3,199,151	+104,115
=====				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
115 LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation	584,058	582,958 -1,100	-1,100
123 DEPOT MAINTENANCE Army Reserve identified shortfall - restore unjustified efficiency reduction	70,894	146,694 75,800	75,800
132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Restore unjustified efficiency reduction	294,145	323,560 29,415	29,415

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,256,347,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,197,752,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,200,283,000
Change from budget request .....	+2,531,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,200,283,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	586,620	586,620	---
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE.....	7,008	7,008	---
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	100,657	100,657	---
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	305	305	---
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	3,927	3,927	---
RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS				
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	75,933	75,933	---
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	601	601	---
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	44,364	44,364	---
RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT				
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	15,477	15,477	---
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	115,608	115,608	---
RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT				
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	1,967	1,967	---
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	43,726	39,356	-4,370
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT				
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	69,011	75,912	+6,901
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	109,604	109,604	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		1,174,808	1,177,339	+2,531
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
160	ADMINISTRATION.....	2,905	2,905	---
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL.....	14,425	14,425	---
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	2,485	2,485	---
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	3,129	3,129	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
		22,944	22,944	---
=====				
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....				
		1,197,752	1,200,283	+2,531
=====				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BSIT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	43,726	39,356	-4,370
NGEN excess to requirement		-4,370	
BSMR FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	69,011	75,912	6,901
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		6,901	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$277,377,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	263,317,000
Committee recommendation .....	266,561,000
Change from budget request .....	+3,244,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$266,561,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES				
10	OPERATING FORCES.....	96,244	96,244	---
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	17,581	17,581	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	32,438	35,682	+3,244
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	95,259	95,259	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	241,522	244,766	+3,244
-----				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	894	894	---
80	ADMINISTRATION.....	11,743	11,743	---
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	9,158	9,158	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	21,795	21,795	---
=====				
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	263,317	266,561	+3,244
=====				



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BSM1 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	32,438	35,682	3,244
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		3,244	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$3,261,324,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	3,164,607,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,149,046,000
Change from budget request .....	- 15,561,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,149,046,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	1,857,951	1,837,820	-20,131
20	224,462	220,062	-4,400
30	521,182	521,182	---
40	89,704	98,674	+8,970
50	360,836	360,836	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,054,135	3,038,574	-15,561
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	64,362	64,362	---
70	15,056	15,056	---
80	23,617	23,617	---
90	6,618	6,618	---
100	819	819	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	110,472	110,472	---
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,164,607	3,149,046	-15,561
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,857,951	1,837,820	-20,131
Unjustified increase for authorized divestiture of the C-5A Fleet		-20,131	
011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	224,462	220,062	-4,400
Unjustified growth in civilian personnel compensation		-4,400	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	89,704	98,674	8,970
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		8,970	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$7,154,161,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	7,054,196,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,102,113,000
Change from budget request .....	+47,917,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,102,113,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
10	800,880	800,880	---
20	178,650	178,650	---
30	771,503	771,503	---
40	98,699	98,699	---
50	38,779	38,779	---
60	922,503	922,503	---
LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	761,056	761,056	---
80	62,971	62,971	---
90	233,105	233,105	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	1,019,059	1,024,059	+5,000
110	712,139	783,353	+71,214
120	1,013,715	1,000,418	-13,297
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	6,613,059	6,675,976	+62,917
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
140	10,812	10,812	---
150	78,284	78,284	---
160	46,995	46,995	---
170	6,390	6,390	---
180	297,105	297,105	---
140	1,551	1,551	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....			
	441,137	441,137	---
UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION			
	---	-15,000	-15,000
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....			
	7,054,196	7,102,113	+47,917
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
131 <b>BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> State Directors of Psychological Health program increase	1,019,059	1,024,059 5,000	5,000
132 <b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b> Restore unjustified efficiency reduction	712,139	783,353 71,214	71,214
133 <b>MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS</b> Army National Guard identified severance pay excess to requirement	1,013,715	1,000,418 -13,297	-13,297
<b>UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION</b>		-15,000	-15,000

NATIONAL GUARD CIVIL SUPPORT TEAMS

The Army and Air National Guard Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Teams support civil authorities at a domestic Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High Yield Explosive Enterprise incident site by identifying hazardous agents or substances, assessing current and projected consequences, advising on response measures, and assisting with appropriate requests for state support. There are a total of 57 National Guard teams: one in each state, plus one each in the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and two each in the states of California, New York, and Florida, due to the geographic separation and concentrations of populations in these three states.

The Committee is pleased that the fiscal year 2014 budget request includes funding for the 57 teams, including the two teams in New York and Florida that were proposed for elimination in the fiscal year 2013 budget request. The Committee fully funds the budget request for Civil Support Teams and expects the Secretary of Defense to fully fund the two teams in Florida and New York in the fiscal year 2015 budget request.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$6,494,326,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	6,566,004,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,675,999,000
Change from budget request .....	+109,995,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,675,999,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS.....	3,371,871	3,371,871	---
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS.....	720,305	710,605	-9,700
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,514,870	1,604,870	+90,000
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	296,953	326,648	+29,695
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	597,303	597,303	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	6,501,302	6,611,297	+109,995
-----				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
60	ADMINISTRATION.....	32,117	32,117	---
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	32,585	32,585	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	64,702	64,702	---
-----				
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD..	6,566,004	6,675,999	+109,995
=====				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>720,305</b>	<b>710,605</b>	<b>-9,700</b>
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian compensation		-9,700	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>1,514,870</b>	<b>1,604,870</b>	<b>90,000</b>
Unjustified cost increase for Contractor Logistics Support		-30,000	
Air National Guard identified shortfall - restore unjustified efficiency reduction		120,000	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>296,953</b>	<b>326,648</b>	<b>29,695</b>
Restore unjustified efficiency reduction		29,695	

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER  
ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	---
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	\$5,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	---
Change from budget request .....	-5,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends no funding for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED  
FORCES

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$13,516,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	13,606,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,606,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,606,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$335,921,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	298,815,000
Committee recommendation .....	298,815,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$298,815,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$310,594,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	316,103,000
Committee recommendation .....	316,103,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$316,103,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

VIEQUES ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

The Navy is conducting environmental restoration at sites on Vieques Island associated with former Navy activities. Investigations for cleanup of the western part of the island have been planned and carried out with the collaboration and approval of the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the Fish and Wildlife Service, which currently operates the 400 acre site as part of the Vieques National Wildlife Refuge. In response to concerns expressed by the public, the Navy is conducting additional sampling and will develop additional alternatives for the final remedy, which will be included in an addendum to the Feasibility Study for remediation of the site. The Committee understands that the final remedy for the site will be selected by consensus amongst the Navy, the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the Fish and Wildlife Service, and that it

will support public access and use. The Committee is encouraged by these efforts and directs the Secretary of the Navy to inform the congressional defense committees on the progress of the site clean-up.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$529,263,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	439,820,000
Committee recommendation .....	439,820,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$439,820,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$11,133,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	10,757,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,757,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,757,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$287,543,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	237,443,000
Committee recommendation .....	262,443,000
Change from budget request .....	+25,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$262,443,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS**

The Committee believes that improved oversight and management of the Department of Defense Environmental Restoration program will yield continued benefits. Included as part of the Defense Environmental Program’s Annual Report to Congress, the Secretary of Defense is requested to provide the following information for all installations and properties receiving funding in the current fiscal year: the total site-level cost-to-complete (CTC) for all restoration actions included in the report for the fiscal year prior to the year covered by the report; the total amount of environmental restoration funds obligated at the site-level during the fiscal year covered by the report; a breakdown of adjustments made to the CTC, including but not limited to price changes such as inflation factors, accounting adjustments for long-term cleanups, addition of new sites, projected cost growth, and other identified factors; the site-level CTC for all restoration actions included in the report for the fiscal year covered by the report; and the net reduction in CTC between the two fiscal years. If the CTC is not reduced by the corresponding amount of monetary investment, a justification shall be provided. In addition, at those installations in which the cost-to-complete has increased by ten percent or more from the previous

fiscal year, the report shall provide a detailed justification and explanation for the cost increase. This should be considered an ongoing annual requirement.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$108,759,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	109,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	109,500,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$109,500,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$519,111,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	528,455,000
Committee recommendation .....	528,455,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$528,455,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$50,198,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	256,031,000
Committee recommendation .....	51,031,000
Change from budget request .....	-205,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,031,000 for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.



TITLE III  
PROCUREMENT

ESTIMATES AND APPROPRIATIONS SUMMARY

The fiscal year 2014 Department of Defense procurement budget request totals \$99,106,242,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$98,356,158,000 for the procurement accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
SUMMARY			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT.....	5,024,387	5,236,653	+212,266
MISSILES.....	1,334,083	1,628,083	+294,000
WEAPONS, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES.....	1,597,267	1,545,560	-51,707
AMMUNITION.....	1,540,437	1,465,937	-74,500
OTHER.....	6,465,218	6,467,751	+2,533
TOTAL, ARMY.....	15,961,392	16,343,984	+382,592
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT.....	17,927,651	17,092,784	-834,867
WEAPONS.....	3,122,193	3,017,646	-104,547
AMMUNITION.....	589,267	544,116	-45,151
SHIPS.....	14,077,804	15,000,704	+922,900
OTHER.....	6,310,257	6,824,824	+514,567
MARINE CORPS.....	1,343,511	1,271,311	-72,200
TOTAL, NAVY.....	43,370,683	43,751,385	+380,702
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT.....	11,398,901	10,860,606	-538,295
MISSILES.....	5,343,286	5,267,119	-76,167
AMMUNITION.....	759,442	743,442	-16,000
OTHER.....	16,760,581	16,791,497	+30,916
TOTAL, AIR FORCE.....	34,262,210	33,662,664	-599,546
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DEFENSE-WIDE.....	4,534,083	4,522,990	-11,093
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES.....	25,135	75,135	+50,000
	=====	=====	=====
TOTAL PROCUREMENT.....	98,153,503	98,356,158	+202,655
	=====	=====	=====



## SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase “only for” or “only to” in this report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

## REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$6,028,754,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	5,024,387,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,236,653,000
Change from budget request .....	+212,266,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of tactical and utility airplanes and helicopters, including associated electronics, electronic warfare equipment for in-service aircraft, ground support equipment, components and parts such as spare engines, transmission gear boxes, and sensor equipment. It also funds related training devices such as combat flight simulators and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	
-----							
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY							
AIRCRAFT							
FIXED WING							
1	UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT.....	1	19,730	1	19,730	---	---
2	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP).....	4	142,050	4	84,700	---	-57,350
3	MQ-1 UAV.....	15	518,460	15	508,976	---	-11,484
4	RQ-11 (RAVEN).....	---	10,772	---	10,772	---	---
ROTARY							
5	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH).....	10	96,227	31	231,327	+21	+135,100
6	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN.....	42	608,469	42	608,469	---	---
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP-CY).....	---	150,931	---	150,931	---	---
9	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD (AP-CY).....	---	---	---	---	---	---
11	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP).....	65	1,046,976	73	1,192,976	+8	+146,000
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY).....	---	116,001	---	116,001	---	---
13	CH-47 HELICOPTER.....	28	801,650	28	801,650	---	---
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY).....	---	98,376	---	98,376	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT.....			3,609,642		3,821,908		+212,266
-----							
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT							
15	MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS.....	---	97,781	---	97,781	---	---
16	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP).....	---	10,262	---	10,262	---	---
17	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP).....	---	12,467	---	12,467	---	---
18	AH-64 MODS.....	---	53,559	---	53,559	---	---
19	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS.....	---	149,764	---	149,764	---	---
20	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS.....	---	17,500	---	17,500	---	---
21	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS.....	167	74,095	167	74,095	---	---
22	KIOWA WARRIOR.....	3	184,044	3	184,044	---	---
23	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN.....	---	152,569	---	152,569	---	---
24	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE.....	---	92,779	---	92,779	---	---
25	GATH ROLLUP.....	---	65,613	---	65,613	---	---
26	RQ-7 UAV MODS.....	---	121,902	---	121,902	---	---
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....			1,032,335		1,032,335		---
-----							

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
27		47,610		47,610		
28		5,700		5,700		
29		126,869		126,869		
OTHER SUPPORT						
30	705	6,809	705	6,809		
31		65,397		65,397		
32		45,841		45,841		
33		79,692		79,692		
34		1,615		1,615		
35		2,877		2,877		
		382,410		382,410		
		5,024,387		5,236,653		+212,266
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
 [In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	<b>AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)</b> Funding ahead of need	142,050	84,700 -57,350	-57,350
3	<b>MQ-1 UAV GRAY EAGLE</b> Ground equipment ahead of need	518,460	506,976 -11,484	-11,484
5	<b>HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)</b> Program increase only for UH-72A aircraft Program increase only for fielding UH-72A aircraft	96,227	231,327 115,100 20,000	135,100
11	<b>UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)</b> Program increase only for the Army National Guard	1,046,976	1,192,976 146,000	146,000

## UH-60 HELICOPTERS FOR THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

The Committee is aware that the Army National Guard operates a fleet of 849 Black Hawk helicopters. Over half of these helicopters are older model, high time UH-60A variants. Under the proposed modernization plan for the total Army, the National Guard fleet will be older, less capable, and less reliable. At the end of fiscal year 2013, it is estimated that the National Guard Black Hawk fleet will be composed of 484 UH-60A models, 289 UH-60L models with the older analog cockpit, and only 76 of the newest UH/HH-60M models. The UH-60A aircraft need to be modernized or replaced as quickly as possible for the Army National Guard Black Hawk fleet to remain viable and mission capable. The Committee understands that the Army is changing the prioritization and sequence of modernization of UH-60A Black Hawks to divest the active component of their last approximately 300 A-models faster and to delay modernization for the Army National Guard. The Committee recognizes the critical role Black Hawk helicopters serve in the Army National Guard for their war time and homeland security missions. The budget request includes \$1,046,976,000 to purchase UH-60M helicopters. The Committee recommendation is \$1,192,976,000, an increase of \$146,000,000 above the budget request only for the purchase of Black Hawk UH-60M helicopters for the Army National Guard.

## UH-72A LAKOTA LIGHT UTILITY HELICOPTER

The budget request includes \$96,227,000 for the procurement of ten Lakota Light Utility helicopters. The Army's helicopter program had called for 31 Lakota aircraft to be funded in fiscal year 2014. The program has consistently achieved cost, schedule, and performance goals. The concept for the Lakota program has worked as the Army had envisioned. The less expensive Lakota, purchased as a commercial aircraft and non-deployable, has been assigned to utility missions that previously had been accomplished by a Black Hawk, thus freeing a Black Hawk for combat duty. The Army still has a Black Hawk shortfall and the concept to substitute Lakotas for Black Hawks in non-combat duty remains valid. The Committee recommendation includes \$231,327,000 for the purchase of 31 UH-72A Lakota Light Utility helicopters, an increase of \$135,100,000 above the budget request.

## ENHANCED MEDIUM ALTITUDE RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

The budget request includes \$142,050,000 to purchase four low rate initial production units of the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS). The Committee is aware that fiscal year 2013 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army funds were planned for the purchase of two developmental aircraft. The division of roles and missions for intelligence gathering capability based on the C-12 type platform has shifted, and the Army will maintain intelligence gathering structure rather than the Air Force serving as the sole provider. The mission shift based on the revised vision for this mission area has resulted in a schedule slip of one year. Accordingly, the rec-

ommendation provides funding of \$84,700,000 for EMARSS, a reduction of \$57,350,000 below the budget request.

MQ-1 GRAY EAGLE

The budget request includes \$518,460,000 for the procurement of MQ-1 Gray Eagles, which includes 15 unmanned aerial vehicles and ground equipment. The Committee has strongly supported Army control and operation of multi-mission unmanned aerial systems with capabilities for attack, communications relay, support for reconnaissance and surveillance, special operations support, deep fires support, and others. The Committee is aware that the MQ-1 Gray Eagle will align fielding of Universal Ground Control Stations and Satellite Ground Data Terminals with the fielding of Automatic Take-off and Landing Systems. The Committee recommends \$506,976,000, a decrease of \$11,484,000 below the budget request for Universal Ground Control Stations and Satellite Ground Data Terminals that are ahead of need.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,535,433,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,334,083,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,628,083,000
Change from budget request .....	+294,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of surface-to-air, surface-to-surface, air-to-surface, and anti-tank/assault missile systems. Also included are major components, modifications, targets, test equipment, and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
OTHER MISSILES						
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
2	MSE MISSILE.....	56	540,401	96	740,401	+40 +200,000
AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
3	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY.....	---	4,464	---	4,464	---
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
4	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY.....	449	110,510	449	110,510	---
5	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY.....	988	49,354	988	49,354	---
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY).....	---	19,965	---	19,965	---
7	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS).....	1,788	237,216	1,788	237,216	---
8	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR).....	2,412	19,022	2,412	19,022	---
-----						
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		980,932		1,180,932	+200,000
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
MODIFICATIONS						
10	PATRIOT MODS.....	---	256,438	---	350,438	---
11	STINGER MODS.....	---	37,252	---	37,252	---
12	ITAS/TOW MODS.....	---	20,000	---	20,000	---
13	MLRS MODS.....	---	11,571	---	11,571	---
14	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS.....	---	6,105	---	6,105	---
-----						
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES.....		331,366		425,366	+94,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
15	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	11,222	---	11,222	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
16	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS.....	---	3,530	---	3,530	---
17	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES).....	---	1,748	---	1,748	---
18	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT.....	---	5,285	---	5,285	---
-----						
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.....		10,563		10,563	---
-----						
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....		1,334,083		1,628,083	+294,000
=====						



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	MSE Missile Program increase	540,401	740,401 200,000	200,000
10	PATRIOT MODS Program increase only for Radar Digital Processors	256,438	350,438 94,000	94,000

**PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT  
VEHICLES, ARMY**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$1,857,823,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,597,267,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,545,560,000
Change from budget request .....	- 51,707,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of tanks; personnel and cargo carriers; fighting vehicles; tracked recovery vehicles; self-propelled and towed howitzers; machine guns; mortars; modification of in-service equipment, initial spares; and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1						
		374,100		374,100		
MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
2		20,522		20,522		
3		29,965		29,965		
4		158,000		158,000		
5		4,769		4,769		
6	18	260,177	18	217,177		-43,000
7	32	111,031	53	186,031	+21	+75,000
8		2,500		2,500		
9	14	62,951	14	62,951		
10		28,469		28,469		
11		2,002		2,002		
12		178,100		178,100		
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
14		1,544		1,544		
-----						
		1,234,130		1,266,130		+32,000
WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
15	1,424	69,147	1,424	10,147		-59,000
18		5,310		5,310		
19	5,061	24,049	5,061	24,049		
21	41,897	70,846	41,897	46,139		-24,707
23	242	56,580	242	56,580		
24		300		300		

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
26 M777 MODS.....	---	39,300	---	39,300	---	---
27 M4 CARBINE MODS.....	---	10,300	---	10,300	---	---
28 M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	33,691	---	33,691	---	---
29 M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	7,608	---	7,608	---	---
30 M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	2,719	---	2,719	---	---
31 SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS.....	---	7,017	---	7,017	---	---
32 M119 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	18,707	---	18,707	---	---
33 M16 RIFLE MODS.....	---	2,136	---	2,136	---	---
34 MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV).....	---	1,569	---	1,569	---	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
35 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV).....	---	2,024	---	2,024	---	---
36 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV).....	---	10,108	---	10,108	---	---
37 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	---	459	---	459	---	---
38 SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG).....	---	1,267	---	1,267	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES.....		363,137		279,430		-83,707
-----						
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY.....		1,597,267		1,545,560		-51,707
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
6 PALADIN PIM MOD IN SERVICE Funding ahead of need	260,177	217,177 -43,000	-43,000
7 IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) Program increase	111,031	186,031 75,000	75,000
15 INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYS FAMILY Schedule slip	69,147	10,147 -59,000	-59,000
21 CARBINE Schedule slip	70,846	46,139 -24,707	-24,707

## PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PALADIN PIM)

The budget request includes \$260,177,000 for the procurement of 18 sets of Paladin PIM self-propelled howitzers and self-propelled ammunition carriers. However, the Committee is aware that milestone C for Paladin PIM is likely to slip into fiscal year 2014. The Committee understands that the slip is due in part to delays in audit certification of certain major suppliers. The contract award currently scheduled for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013 will likely slip into fiscal year 2014, and funds totaling \$161,000,000 would not be executed in fiscal year 2013. However, the Committee is aware of plans to use \$97,000,000 of fiscal year 2013 procurement funds from the Paladin PIM program as a reprogramming source, reducing the amount that the program is expected to carry into fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation provides \$217,177,000, a reduction of \$43,000,000 below the budget request.

## M-88A2 HERCULES IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE

The budget request includes \$111,031,000 for the procurement of 32 Improved Recovery Vehicles. The Committee is aware of the significant operational and safety advantages of the Hercules. The primary improvement is that the heavier and more powerful Hercules can tow an Abrams tank without the requirement for a brake vehicle. Additionally, the Hercules is faster and better armored. The Committee recommendation is \$186,031,000, an increase of \$75,000,000 above the budget request which will provide for the procurement of 21 additional Improved Recovery Vehicles.

## INTEGRATED AIRBURST WEAPONS SYSTEM

The budget request includes \$69,147,000 to begin production and fielding of the Integrated Air Burst Weapons System Family. The weapon is designed to determine the range to the target and then fire a 25mm projectile which explodes as it passes above the enemy defilade position. However, the weapon system has experienced safety issues that will delay Milestone C for eight to ten months while the Army and the developer design, integrate, and test a solution. Milestone C will slip from the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013 to the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation provides \$10,000,000 for the program, a reduction of \$59,147,000 below the budget request.

## CARBINE

The budget request includes \$70,846,000 for carbines; \$49,592,000 to purchase 29,897 new model Individual Carbines, and \$21,254,000 to purchase 12,000 M4A1 carbines. The Committee is aware that the Full Rate Production Decision for the Individual Carbines program is scheduled for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014 and will likely slip into fiscal year 2015. The Committee recommends funding of \$24,885,000 to purchase 15,000 new Individual Carbines, a reduction of \$24,707,000 and 14,897 carbines below the budget request. The Committee supports the purchase of 12,000 M4A1 Carbines as requested.

M1 ABRAMS TANK PRODUCTION

The Committee is aware of the uncertainty facing the M1 Abrams tank program. Production of M1A2 SEP tanks for the Army is scheduled to be completed by December 2014. Beyond that date, the Army and the contractor will rely to a great degree on foreign military sales to help sustain operation of the tank assembly facility until such time as work on Engineering Change Proposal One begins. The Committee also understands that the results of the Army force structure review will be released in the summer of 2013 and may well have fewer requirements for heavy Army battalions and heavy brigade combat teams. A significant number of M1A2 SEP tanks may be reassigned to Army National Guard Units that are currently equipped with M1A1s. The request includes no funds for the production of M1A2 tanks; however the Committee will continue to monitor Army force structure changes and the resulting impact on the required number of M1A2 tanks.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,641,306,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,540,437,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,465,937,000
Change from budget request .....	- 74,500,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of ammunition, modification of in-service stock, and related production base support including the maintenance, expansion, and modernization of industrial facilities and equipment.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
AMMUNITION						
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
2						
	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES.....	112,167	---	87,167	---	-25,000
3	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES.....	58,571	---	53,571	---	-5,000
4	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES.....	9,858	---	9,858	---	---
5	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES.....	80,037	---	55,037	---	-25,000
7	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES.....	16,496	---	16,496	---	---
8	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES.....	69,533	---	50,033	---	-19,500
9	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES.....	55,781	---	55,781	---	---
MORTAR AMMUNITION						
10	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	38,029	---	38,029	---	---
11	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	24,656	---	24,656	---	---
12	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	60,781	---	60,781	---	---
TANK AMMUNITION						
13	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES.....	121,551	---	121,551	---	---
ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
14	CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES.....	39,825	---	39,825	---	---
15	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES.....	37,902	---	37,902	---	---
16	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982.....	802	802	67,896	---	---
17	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPE	71,205	---	71,205	---	---
ROCKETS						
20	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	1,012	---	1,012	---	---
21	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES.....	108,476	---	108,476	---	---
OTHER AMMUNITION						
22	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	24,074	---	24,074	---	---
23	GRENADES, ALL TYPES.....	33,242	---	33,242	---	---
24	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES.....	7,609	---	7,609	---	---
25	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES.....	5,228	---	5,228	---	---



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISCELLANEOUS						
26 AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES.....	---	16,700	---	16,700	---	---
27 NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES.....	---	7,366	---	7,366	---	---
28 CAD/PAD ALL TYPES.....	---	3,614	---	3,614	---	---
29 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	12,423	---	12,423	---	---
30 AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,604	---	16,604	---	---
31 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO).....	---	14,328	---	14,328	---	---
32 CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES.....	---	108	---	108	---	---
TOTAL, AMMUNITION.....		1,115,072		1,040,572		-74,500
-----						
AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
33 PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	242,324	---	242,324	---	---
34 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL.....	---	179,605	---	179,605	---	---
35 ARMS INITIATIVE.....	---	3,436	---	3,436	---	---
TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT.....		425,365		425,365		---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY.....		1,540,437		1,465,937		-74,500
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	<b>CTG, 5.56mm, ALL TYPES</b> Army reported pricing and usage adjustment	112,167	87,167 -25,000	-25,000
2	<b>CTG, 7.62mm, ALL TYPES</b> Army reported pricing and usage adjustment	58,571	53,571 -5,000	-5,000
5	<b>CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES</b> Army reported pricing and usage adjustment	80,037	55,037 -25,000	-25,000
8	<b>CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES</b> Army reported pricing and usage adjustment	69,533	50,033 -19,500	-19,500

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$5,741,664,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	6,465,218,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,467,751,000
Change from budget request .....	+2,533,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of tactical and commercial vehicles, including trucks, semi-trailers, and trailers of all types to provide mobility and utility support to field forces and the worldwide logistical system; communications and electronic equipment of all types to provide fixed, semi-fixed, and mobile strategic and tactical communications; and other support equipment, generators and power units, material handling equipment, medical support equipment, special equipment for user testing, and non-system training devices. In each of these activities, funds are also included for the modification of in-service equipment, investment spares and repair parts, and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS.....	25	4,000	25	4,000	---
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:.....	40	6,841	40	6,841	---
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV).....	837	223,910	837	223,910	---
4	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN.....	---	11,880	---	11,880	---
5	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV).....	220	14,731	220	14,731	---
6	PLS ESP.....	74	44,252	74	44,252	---
9	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV.....	77	39,525	77	39,525	---
11	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS.....	746	51,258	746	17,000	---
12	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP.....	34	49,904	34	49,904	---
13	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS.....	---	2,200	---	2,200	---
NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES						
14	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN.....	---	400	---	400	---
15	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES.....	---	716	---	716	---
16	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER.....	---	5,619	---	5,619	---
-----						
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES.....		455,236		420,978	-34,258
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS						
18	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK.....	2,139	973,477	2,139	973,477	---
19	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	14,120	---	14,120	---
20	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY.....	---	7,869	---	7,869	---
21	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM).....	---	5,296	---	5,296	---
COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
22	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS.....	31	147,212	31	120,003	---
23	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	7,998	---	7,998	---
24	SHF TERM.....	---	7,232	---	7,232	---
25	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE).....	---	3,308	---	3,308	---
26	SMART-T (SPACE).....	---	13,992	---	13,992	---
28	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS.....	94	28,206	94	28,206	---
29	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT).....	15	2,778	15	2,778	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMM - C3 SYSTEM						
31 ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS).....	---	17,590	---	17,590	---	---
COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS						
32 ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO).....	---	786	---	786	---	---
33 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM.....	10,523	382,930	10,523	382,930	---	---
34 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVF).....	130	19,200	130	19,200	---	---
35 RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2).....	---	1,438	---	1,438	---	---
36 SINGARS FAMILY.....	---	9,856	---	9,856	---	---
37 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2.....	2,066	14,184	2,066	14,184	---	---
38 TRACTOR DESK.....	---	6,271	---	6,271	---	---
40 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS.....	---	1,030	---	1,030	---	---
41 TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM.....	15,967	31,868	15,967	31,868	---	---
42 UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE.....	---	18,000	---	18,000	---	---
43 GUNSHOT DETECTION SYSTEM (GDS).....	---	---	---	---	---	---
44 RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY.....	---	1,166	---	1,166	---	---
45 FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE.....	---	22,867	---	22,867	---	---
COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM						
48 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP).....	---	1,512	---	1,512	---	---
49 RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT.....	323	61,096	323	61,096	---	---
INFORMATION SECURITY						
50 TSEC - ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS).....	---	13,890	---	13,890	---	---
51 INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP.....	1,133	23,245	1,133	23,245	---	---
52 FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS.....	---	3,800	---	3,800	---	---
53 COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (CONSEC).....	877	24,711	877	24,711	---	---
COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS						
55 BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	43,395	---	43,395	---	---
COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS						
57 INFORMATION SYSTEMS.....	---	104,577	---	104,577	---	---
58 DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS).....	---	612	---	612	---	---
59 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	39,000	---	39,000	---	---
60 INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM.....	---	248,477	---	248,477	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP						
ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)						
64	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)	---	824	---	824	---
65	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	10	59,198	10	59,198	---
66	DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM (DIP)(TIARA)	---	---	---	---	---
67	DCGS-A (MIP)	2,717	267,214	2,717	267,214	---
68	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	5	9,899	5	9,899	---
69	TROJAN (MIP)	---	24,598	---	24,598	---
70	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	---	1,927	---	1,927	---
71	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP)	---	6,169	---	6,169	---
72	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM	---	2,924	---	2,924	---
ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)						
74	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	18	40,735	18	40,735	---
75	EW PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT TOOLS	---	13	---	13	---
76	ENEMY UAS	---	2,800	---	2,800	---
79	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	---	1,237	---	1,237	---
80	CI MODERNIZATION (MIP)	---	1,399	---	1,399	---
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)						
81	FAAD GBS	---	---	---	---	---
82	SENTINEL MODS	86	47,983	86	47,983	---
83	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	---	142	---	142	---
84	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	6,879	202,428	6,879	202,428	---
85	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	---	5,183	---	5,183	---
86	NIGHT VISION. THERMAL WPN SIGHT	---	14,074	---	14,074	---
87	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	1,491	22,300	1,491	22,300	---
89	GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM	---	1,016	---	1,016	---
90	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	5	55,354	5	55,354	---
91	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	---	800	---	800	---
92	PROFILER	---	3,027	---	3,027	---
93	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	---	1,185	---	1,185	---
94	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	3,866	103,214	3,866	103,214	---
96	MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (LLDR)	167	26,037	167	26,037	---
97	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	120	23,100	120	23,100	---
98	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	19	312,727	19	312,727	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS						
101 FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY.....	574	43,228	574	43,228	---	---
102 BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	167	14,446	167	14,446	---	---
103 FAAD C2.....	---	4,607	---	4,607	---	---
104 AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD).....	8	33,090	8	33,090	---	---
105 IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM.....	---	21,200	---	21,200	---	---
107 LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS).....	---	1,795	---	1,795	---	---
109 NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE.....	---	54,327	---	54,327	---	---
110 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS).....	2,959	59,171	2,959	59,171	---	---
111 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY.....	---	83,936	---	83,936	---	---
113 LOGISTICS AUTOMATION.....	---	25,476	---	25,476	---	---
114 RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET.....	212	19,341	212	19,341	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION						
115 ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION.....	---	11,865	---	11,865	---	---
116 AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT.....	---	219,431	---	219,431	---	---
117 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM.....	---	6,414	---	6,414	---	---
118 HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM.....	---	62,683	---	62,683	---	---
120 RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS).....	---	34,951	---	34,951	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)						
121 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V).....	---	7,440	---	7,440	---	---
122 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT).....	16	1,615	16	1,615	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT						
123 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E).....	---	554	---	554	---	---
124 BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES.....	---	20,000	---	20,000	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....		4,266,066		4,238,857		-27,209
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT						
126 FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE).....	---	762	---	762	---	---
127 BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS).....	3,759	20,630	3,759	20,630	---	---
128 CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION.....	24,530	22,151	24,530	22,151	---	---
BRIDGING EQUIPMENT						
130 TACTICAL BRIDGING.....	2	14,188	2	14,188	---	---
131 TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON.....	34	23,101	34	23,101	---	---
132 COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER RECAP.....	---	15,416	---	15,416	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT						
134 GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS) ..	311	50,465	311	50,465	---	---
135 ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	---	6,490	---	6,490	---	---
136 EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION .....	---	1,563	---	1,563	---	---
137 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) .....	6,774	20,921	6,774	20,921	---	---
138 REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS .....	---	100	---	100	---	---
139 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT .....	70	2,271	70	2,271	---	---
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
140 HEATERS AND ECU'S .....	464	7,269	464	7,269	---	---
141 LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES .....	---	200	---	200	---	---
142 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT .....	---	1,468	---	1,468	---	---
143 PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) .....	31,530	26,526	31,530	26,526	---	---
144 GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM .....	5,547	81,680	5,547	64,680	---	-17,000
147 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	217	28,096	217	28,096	---	---
148 CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM .....	6,904	56,150	6,904	56,150	---	---
149 MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS .....	248	3,242	248	3,242	---	---
150 FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS .....	289	38,141	289	38,141	---	---
151 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT) .....	210	5,859	210	5,859	---	---
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT						
152 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER .....	508	60,612	508	60,612	---	---
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
153 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL .....	3,258	22,042	3,258	22,042	---	---
154 MEDEVAC MISSION EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP) .....	88	35,318	88	35,318	---	---
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
155 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS .....	25	19,427	25	19,427	---	---
156 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) .....	347	3,860	347	3,860	---	---
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
157 GRADER, ROAD HTZD, HVY, 6X4 (OCE) .....	---	2,000	---	2,000	---	---
159 SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING .....	52	36,078	52	36,078	---	---
160 MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING .....	13	9,721	13	9,721	---	---
162 HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR .....	109	50,122	109	50,122	---	---
163 TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED .....	84	28,828	84	28,828	---	---
164 ALL TERRAIN CRANES .....	19	19,863	19	19,863	---	---
166 HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HME) FOS .....	34	23,465	34	23,465	---	---
168 ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP .....	109	13,590	109	13,590	---	---
169 CONST EQUIP ESP .....	80	16,088	80	16,088	---	---



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
170 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP).....	66	6,850	66	6,850	---	---
RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
171 ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP.....	---	38,007	---	19,007	---	-19,000
172 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL).....	---	10,605	---	10,605	---	---
GENERATORS						
173 GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.....	5,239	129,437	5,239	129,437	---	---
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
174 ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER.....	---	1,250	---	1,250	---	---
175 FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS.....	60	8,260	60	8,260	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
176 COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT.....	309	121,710	309	121,710	---	---
177 TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM.....	8,181	225,200	8,181	225,200	---	---
178 CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER.....	15	30,063	15	30,063	---	---
179 AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA).....	2	34,913	2	34,913	---	---
180 GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING.....	---	9,955	---	9,955	---	---
TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)						
181 CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT.....	3	8,241	3	8,241	---	---
182 INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE).....	1,810	67,506	1,810	67,506	---	---
183 TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD).....	2,105	18,755	2,105	18,755	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
184 M25 STABILIZED BINOCULAR.....	647	5,110	647	5,110	---	---
185 RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,110	---	5,110	---	---
186 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3).....	---	62,904	---	62,904	---	---
187 BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,427	---	1,427	---	---
188 MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3).....	1,936	96,661	1,936	96,661	---	---
189 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH).....	---	2,450	---	2,450	---	---
190 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING.....	69	11,593	69	11,593	---	---
191 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3.....	1,597	8,948	1,597	8,948	---	---
192 TRACTOR YARD.....	---	8,000	---	8,000	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		1,680,658		1,644,658		-36,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
195 INITIAL SPARES - C&E.....	15	59,700	15	59,700	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS.....		59,700		59,700		---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	3,558	---	3,558	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM...	---	---	---	100,000	---	+100,000
-----						
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....		6,465,218		6,467,751		+2,533
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	51,258	17,000	-34,258
	Schedule slip		-34,258	
22	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	147,212	120,003	-27,209
	Schedule slip		-27,209	
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	81,680	64,680	-17,000
	Cost growth		-17,000	
171	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	38,007	19,007	-19,000
	Funding ahead of need		-19,000	
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MODERNIZATION			
XX	PROGRAM	0	100,000	100,000
	Program increase only for the Army National Guard		100,000	

JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM COMPETITION

The budget request includes \$323,730,000 for the procurement of 3,123 Joint Tactical Radio System manpack units. The Committee agrees with the guidance from the Undersecretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) directing the Army to conduct a full and open competition, open to non-program of record vendors, during full-rate production of the manpack radio for the remaining inventory. The Committee strongly recommends that the Army drives cost savings and innovation by considering the full range of available contract vehicles at each option point, including the option of re-competing the work.

SURGE CAPACITY FOR DOMESTIC BODY ARMOR

After twelve years of building domestic capacity, the Committee is aware that the ability to surge production for domestic hard body armor manufacturing may be in jeopardy. This critical capacity should be retained to ensure the Department of Defense can rapidly respond to future body armor surge requirements, to include female body armor. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the current capabilities of domestic body armor manufacturers to meet future surge requirements, the war reserve objective inventory requirement for body armor, and the steps taken by the Department to ensure the availability of domestic hard armor manufacturers.

NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request includes no funding for new HMMWVs for the Army National Guard. The Committee recommendation includes \$100,000,000 for that purpose. The committee recognizes that the Army National Guard has consistently included new model HMMWVs among its top funding priorities. This funding will enable new model HMMWVs to be introduced quickly and efficiently into the fleet. The HMMWV will remain the backbone of the Army National Guard's tactical wheeled vehicle fleet. Recent natural disasters such as Hurricane Sandy highlight the need for reliable and capable utility vehicles to enable the Guard to mobilize rapidly to respond immediately to state and national needs.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$17,382,152,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	17,927,651,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,092,784,000
Change from budget request .....	-834,867,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of aircraft and related support equipment and programs; flight simulators; equipment to modify in-service aircraft to extend their service life, eliminate safety hazards and improve aircraft operational effectiveness; and spare parts and ground support equipment for all end items procured by this appropriation.

The total amount recommended in this bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----							
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY							
COMBAT AIRCRAFT							
1	EA-18G.....	21	2,001,787	21	1,870,424	---	-131,363
3	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP).....	---	206,551	---	206,551	---	---
4	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP-CY).....	---	---	---	75,000	---	+75,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER.....	4	1,135,444	4	1,076,115	---	-59,329
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY).....	---	94,766	---	94,766	---	---
7	JSF STOVL.....	6	1,267,260	6	1,200,498	---	-66,762
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY).....	---	103,195	---	103,195	---	---
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT).....	18	1,432,573	18	1,409,573	---	-23,000
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY).....	---	55,196	---	53,113	---	-2,083
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z.....	25	749,962	25	720,634	---	-29,328
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY).....	---	71,000	---	71,000	---	---
13	MH-60S (MYP).....	18	383,831	18	364,921	---	-18,910
14	MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY).....	---	37,278	---	30,790	---	-6,488
15	MH-60R.....	19	599,237	19	596,833	---	-2,404
16	MH-60R (AP-CY).....	---	231,834	---	224,120	---	-7,714
17	P-8A POSEIDON.....	16	3,189,989	16	3,121,365	---	-68,624
18	P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY).....	---	313,160	---	293,660	---	-19,500
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE.....	5	997,107	5	960,572	---	-36,535
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY).....	---	266,542	---	263,623	---	-2,919
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT.....			13,136,712		12,736,753		-399,959
-----							
TRAINER AIRCRAFT							
22	JPATS.....	29	249,080	29	249,080	---	---
TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT.....			249,080		249,080		---
-----							

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
23 KC-130J.....	2	134,358	2	134,358	---	---
24 KC-130J (AP-CY).....	---	32,288	---	32,288	---	---
25 RQ-4 UAV (AP-CY).....	---	52,002	---	---	---	-52,002
26 MQ-8 UAV.....	1	60,980	1	60,980	---	---
28 OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT.....	1	14,958	1	14,958	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT.....		294,586		242,584		-52,002
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
29 EA-8 SERIES.....	---	18,577	---	17,477	---	-1,100
30 AEA SYSTEMS.....	---	48,502	---	44,802	---	-3,700
31 AV-8 SERIES.....	---	41,575	---	39,229	---	-2,346
32 ADVERSARY.....	---	2,992	---	2,992	---	---
33 F-18 SERIES.....	---	875,371	---	771,366	---	-104,005
34 H-46 SERIES.....	---	2,127	---	2,127	---	---
36 H-53 SERIES.....	---	67,675	---	60,581	---	-7,094
37 SH-60 SERIES.....	---	135,054	---	121,018	---	-14,036
38 H-1 SERIES.....	---	41,706	---	41,706	---	---
39 EP-3 SERIES.....	---	55,903	---	49,347	---	-6,556
40 P-3 SERIES.....	---	37,436	---	36,788	---	-648
41 E-2 SERIES.....	---	31,044	---	26,233	---	-4,811
42 TRAINER A/C SERIES.....	---	43,720	---	12,066	---	-31,654
43 C-2A.....	---	902	---	902	---	---
44 C-130 SERIES.....	---	47,587	---	46,393	---	-1,194
45 FEWSG.....	---	665	---	665	---	---
46 CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES.....	---	14,587	---	14,587	---	---
47 E-6 SERIES.....	---	189,312	---	157,549	---	-31,763
48 EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES.....	---	85,537	---	80,537	---	-5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
49 SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT.....	---	3,684	---	3,684	---	---
50 T-45 SERIES.....	---	98,128	---	93,128	---	-5,000
51 POWER PLANT CHANGES.....	---	22,999	---	22,999	---	---
52 JPATS SERIES.....	---	1,576	---	1,576	---	---
53 AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS.....	---	6,267	---	6,267	---	---
54 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT.....	---	141,685	---	128,893	---	-12,792
55 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES.....	---	120,660	---	115,683	---	-4,977
56 COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM.....	---	3,554	---	3,554	---	---
57 ID SYSTEMS.....	---	41,800	---	38,303	---	-3,497
58 P-8 SERIES.....	---	9,485	---	9,485	---	---
59 MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION.....	---	14,431	---	14,431	---	---
60 MQ-8 SERIES.....	---	1,001	---	---	---	-1,001
61 RQ-7 SERIES.....	---	26,433	---	22,117	---	-4,316
62 V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY.....	---	160,834	---	156,534	---	-4,300
63 F-35 STOVL SERIES.....	---	147,130	---	73,035	---	-74,095
64 F-35 CV SERIES.....	---	31,100	---	2,336	---	-28,764
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....		2,571,039		2,218,390		-352,649
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
65 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	1,142,461	---	1,142,461	---	---
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
66 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT.....	---	410,044	---	382,987	---	-27,057
67 AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	27,450	---	24,250	---	-3,200
68 WAR CONSUMABLES.....	---	28,930	---	28,930	---	---
69 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES.....	---	5,268	---	5,268	---	---
70 SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	60,306	---	60,306	---	---
71 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	1,775	---	1,775	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES..		533,773		503,516		-30,257
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		17,927,651		17,092,784		-834,867
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1 EA-18G</b>	<b>2,001,787</b>	<b>1,870,424</b>	<b>-131,363</b>
Excess advance procurement from prior year		-45,000	
Production engineering support funding carryover		-11,650	
Non-recurring engineering funding carryover		-8,800	
GFE electronics cost growth		-5,943	
Other GFE cost growth		-1,180	
Excess engineering change order funding		-8,790	
Increased foreign military sales		-50,000	
<b>4 F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP-CY)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75,000</b>	<b>75,000</b>
Advance procurement for 22 F/A-18E/F aircraft		75,000	
<b>5 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER</b>	<b>1,135,444</b>	<b>1,076,115</b>	<b>-59,329</b>
Airframe/CFE cost growth		-7,024	
Engine cost growth		-2,552	
Airframe PGSE growth		-35,000	
Engine PGSE growth		-9,000	
Unit cost savings due to life of type buys previously funded		-5,753	
<b>7 JSF STOVL</b>	<b>1,267,260</b>	<b>1,200,498</b>	<b>-66,762</b>
Engine cost growth		-47,586	
NRE growth		-10,000	
Unit cost savings due to life of type buys previously funded		-9,176	
<b>9 V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)</b>	<b>1,432,573</b>	<b>1,409,573</b>	<b>-23,000</b>
Production engineering support funding carryover		-23,000	
<b>10 V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)</b>	<b>55,196</b>	<b>53,113</b>	<b>-2,083</b>
Excess advance procurement for fiscal year 2015 aircraft		-2,083	
<b>11 UH-1Y/AH-1Z</b>	<b>749,962</b>	<b>720,634</b>	<b>-29,328</b>
UH-1Y GFE electronics cost growth		-4,505	
Production engineering support funding carryover		-5,223	
UH-1Y trainer contract award delay		-16,500	
AH-1Z GFE electronics cost growth		-3,100	
<b>13 MH-60S (MYP)</b>	<b>383,831</b>	<b>364,921</b>	<b>-18,910</b>
Production line shutdown ahead of need		-18,910	
<b>14 MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY)</b>	<b>37,278</b>	<b>30,790</b>	<b>-6,488</b>
Excess advance procurement		-6,488	
<b>15 MH-60R</b>	<b>599,237</b>	<b>596,833</b>	<b>-2,404</b>
GFE electronics cost growth		-2,404	
<b>16 MH-60R (AP-CY)</b>	<b>231,834</b>	<b>224,120</b>	<b>-7,714</b>
Excess advance procurement		-7,714	
<b>17 P-8A POSEIDON</b>	<b>3,189,989</b>	<b>3,121,365</b>	<b>-68,624</b>
Airframe/CFE cost growth		-18,624	
Support equipment growth		-50,000	
<b>18 P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY)</b>	<b>313,160</b>	<b>293,660</b>	<b>-19,500</b>
Excess advance procurement due to reduced fiscal year 2014 quantity		-19,500	



P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
19	<b>E-2D ADV HAWKEYE</b>	997,107	960,572	-36,535
	Non-recurring growth		-35,000	
	GFE electronics cost growth		-1,535	
20	<b>E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)</b>	266,542	263,623	-2,919
	Advance procurement cost growth		-2,919	
25	<b>RQ-4 UAV (AP-CY)</b>	52,002	0	-52,002
	Advance procurement previously appropriated		-52,002	
29	<b>EA-6 SERIES</b>	18,577	17,477	-1,100
	Other support growth (OSIP 001-01)		-1,100	
30	<b>AEA SYSTEMS</b>	48,502	44,802	-3,700
	Low band transmitter cost growth (OSIP 007-11)		-1,200	
	Installation equipment non-recurring growth (OSIP 007-11)		-1,500	
	Integrated logistics support growth (OSIP 007-11)		-1,000	
31	<b>AV-8 SERIES</b>	41,575	39,229	-2,346
	Excess support funding (OSIP 023-00)		-2,346	
33	<b>F-18 SERIES</b>	875,371	771,366	-104,005
	DCS (WRA) B-kits previously appropriated (OSIP 10-99)		-8,600	
	Non-recurring installation kits growth (OSIP 11-99)		-5,000	
	Installation equipment non-recurring forward funded (OSIP 11-99)		-3,000	
	Installation funding forward funded (OSIP 11-99)		-24,700	
	Installation equipment non-recurring growth (OSIP 21-00)		-2,000	
	Integrated logistics support growth (OSIP 14-03)		-8,000	
	ECP 6038 radome kits cost growth (OSIP 002-07)		-2,952	
	Retrofit radars (APG-79B) cost growth (OSIP 002-07)		-9,900	
	ECP 6279 module kits cost growth (OSIP 002-07)		-4,864	
	APG-65/73/79 obsolescence growth (OSIP 002-07)		-4,000	
	Other support and ILS ahead of need (OSIP 04-14)		-20,989	
	Interactive electronic technical manual software growth (OSIP 018-14)		-4,000	
	Data growth (OSIP 018-04)		-6,000	
36	<b>H-53 SERIES</b>	67,675	60,581	-7,094
	Engine reliability improvement program kit contract delay (OSIP 010-05)		-2,270	
	Engine reliability improvement program kit installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay (OSIP 010-05)		-3,717	
	DIRCM installation funding cost growth (OSIP 010-08)		-1,107	
37	<b>SH-60 SERIES</b>	135,054	121,018	-14,036
	APRDD B-kit cost growth (OSIP 001-06)		-1,430	
	Excess other support funding (OSIP 001-06)		-4,322	
	Other support growth (OSIP 009-07)		-3,000	
	ECP 4039 installation funding ahead of need (OSIP 009-07)		-3,900	
	ECP 2046 installation funding previously appropriated (OSIP 009-07)		-1,384	
39	<b>EP-3 SERIES</b>	55,903	49,347	-6,556
	JMOD installation funding ahead of need (OSIP 11-01)		-6,556	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request	
40	<b>P-3 SERIES</b>	37,436	36,788	-648
	C4 for ASW link-16 installation funding cost growth (OSIP 029-94)		-648	
41	<b>E-2 SERIES</b>	31,044	26,233	-4,811
	Other support funding growth (OSIP 005-01)		-2,000	
	Dual transmit SATCOM ahead of need (OSIP 008-14)		-2,811	
42	<b>TRAINER A/C SERIES</b>	43,720	12,066	-31,654
	Excess ECO and ILS funding (OSIP 005-04)		-5,500	
	Obsolescence installation cost growth (OSIP 005-04)		-3,255	
	TH-57 upgrade program restructure (OSIP 006-07)		-22,899	
44	<b>C-130 SERIES</b>	47,587	46,393	-1,194
	B-kit cost growth (OSIP 022-07)		-1,194	
47	<b>E-6 SERIES</b>	189,312	157,549	-31,763
	Carbon brakes installation funding ahead of need (OSIP 003-04)		-157	
	SLEP phase III kit installation funding cost growth (OSIP 012-07)		-416	
	Training equipment funding previously appropriated (OSIP 008-10)		-15,700	
	MR-TCDL installation funding ahead of need (OSIP 013-10)		-2,296	
	Training equipment non-recurring growth (OSIP 013-10)		-4,100	
	APU kit contract savings (OSIP 002-12)		-3,000	
	FAB-T funding previously appropriated (OSIP 014-14)		-6,094	
48	<b>EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES</b>	85,537	80,537	-5,000
	Installation kits non-recurring growth (OSIP 023-09)		-5,000	
50	<b>T-45 SERIES</b>	98,128	93,128	-5,000
	Non-recurring costs double budgeted (OSIP 008-95)		-5,000	
54	<b>COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT</b>	141,685	128,893	-12,792
	Other support funding growth (OSIP 014-90)		-2,000	
	ALQ-214 contract savings (OSIP 004-12)		-7,792	
	Other support funding carryover (OSIP 004-12)		-3,000	
55	<b>COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES</b>	120,660	115,683	-4,977
	NAVWAR contract savings (OSIP 71-88)		-447	
	12 NAVWAR kits ahead of need (OSIP 71-88)		-1,056	
	Installation kits non-recurring previously appropriated (OSIP 21-01)		-2,999	
	BFSA installation funding ahead of need (OSIP 10-11)		-475	
57	<b>ID SYSTEMS</b>	41,800	38,303	-3,497
	Mode 5 IFF kit cost growth (OSIP 15-03)		-3,497	
60	<b>MQ-8 SERIES</b>	1,001	0	-1,001
	Modification funding ahead of need (OSIP 021-14)		-1,001	
61	<b>RQ-7 SERIES</b>	26,433	22,117	-4,316
	P3I kit cost growth (OSIP 006-11)		-4,316	
62	<b>V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY</b>	160,834	156,534	-4,300
	Slim MFD kit cost growth (OSIP 022-01)		-4,300	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
63	<b>F-35 STOVL SERIES</b>	147,130	73,035	-74,095
	Concurrency pricing adjustment (OSIP 023-14)		-35,972	
	Block 3 upgrade ahead of need (OSIP 015-14)		-38,123	
64	<b>F-35 CV SERIES</b>	31,100	2,336	-28,764
	Concurrency pricing adjustment (OSIP 024-14)		-1,150	
	Block 3 upgrade ahead of need (OSIP 016-14)		-27,614	
66	<b>COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT</b>	410,044	382,987	-27,057
	Shipboard tractor contract delay		-5,396	
	eCASS cost growth		-1,000	
	Excess RT CASS installation funding		-3,140	
	Interactive avionics trainer rehost contract delay		-2,529	
	EA-6B devices visual/night vision upgrade contract delay		-2,000	
	USMC fed simulator CH-53E trainer contract delay		-12,992	
67	<b>AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES</b>	27,450	24,250	-3,200
	Optical calibration standards cost growth		-3,200	

## MH-60S AND MH-60R HELICOPTERS

The Committee understands that the Navy has identified a number of airframe upgrades for the MH-60R and MH-60S that are not funded in the future years defense program. These upgrades could prove to be valuable investments in the future as capability is added, likely increasing the weight of the aircraft. These helicopters have been in the fleet since 2001 and 2006, respectively, and are expected to remain in service as a critical Battle Group capability until at least 2030. Investing in keeping them current and relevant is important to future operational effectiveness and success. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to develop a plan to keep these assets current and relevant for their expected lifetimes and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that outlines this plan not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act.

## MARINE CORPS UNMANNED AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK

The Committee is very encouraged by the addition of EA-18G Growler aircraft to the budget request for fiscal year 2014. Airborne electronic attack remains a high density/low demand asset in the Department of Defense and the Navy and Marine Corps are the sole providers of this capability using both the EA-6B Prowler and the EA-18G Growler aircraft.

While the Navy will completely transition to the Growler aircraft, the Marine Corps will continue flying the Prowler aircraft until these aircraft are retired later this decade. The Committee is concerned about the follow-on airborne electronic attack capability of the Marine Corps after the Prowler aircraft are retired. With the rise in capability of unmanned aerial vehicles, the Committee believes these versatile platforms can be used for this critical mission. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to study the use of unmanned systems for organic airborne electronic attack to augment the Marine Corps current airborne electronic attack and electronic exploitation mission in whole or in part. This study should address such items as benefits, drawbacks, acquisition costs, estimated operating costs, limitations, crew safety, and mission effectiveness of unmanned systems compared to manned systems. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the findings of this study.

## WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$3,036,871,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	3,122,193,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,017,646,000
Change from budget request .....	- 104,547,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of strategic and tactical missiles, target drones, torpedoes, guns, associated support equipment, and modification of in-service missiles, torpedoes, and guns.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	
-----							
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY							
BALLISTIC MISSILES							
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES							
1	TRIDENT II MODS.....	---	1,140,865	---	1,092,865	---	-48,000
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES							
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	7,617	---	7,617	---	---
-----							
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES.....		1,148,482		1,100,482		-48,000
-----							
OTHER MISSILES							
STRATEGIC MISSILES							
3	TOMAHAWK.....	196	312,456	196	312,456	---	---
TACTICAL MISSILES							
4	AMRAAM.....	54	95,413	54	82,529	---	-12,884
5	SIDEWINDER.....	225	117,208	225	111,689	---	-5,519
6	JSOW.....	328	136,794	328	136,794	---	---
7	STANDARD MISSILE.....	81	367,985	81	343,773	---	-24,212
8	RAM.....	66	67,596	66	65,984	---	-1,612
9	HELLFIRE.....	363	33,916	363	32,341	---	-1,575
10	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION.....	50	6,278	50	6,278	---	---
11	AERIAL TARGETS.....	---	41,799	---	39,657	---	-2,142
12	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT.....	---	3,538	---	3,538	---	---
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES							
13	ESSM.....	53	76,749	53	76,749	---	---
14	HARM MODS.....	143	111,902	143	109,860	---	-2,042
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES							
15	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	1,138	---	1,138	---	---
16	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON.....	---	23,014	---	16,914	---	-6,100
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT							
17	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	84,318	---	84,318	---	---
-----							
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		1,480,104		1,424,018		-56,086

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
18	SSTD.....	---	3,978	---	3,978	---
19	ASW TARGETS.....	---	8,031	---	7,135	-896
MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
20	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS.....	150	125,898	150	62,698	-63,200
21	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS.....	108	53,203	108	48,503	-4,700
22	QUICKSTRIKE MINE.....	---	7,800	---	7,800	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
23	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	59,730	---	54,489	-5,241
24	ASW RANGE SUPPORT.....	---	4,222	---	4,222	---
DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
25	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	3,963	---	3,963	---
TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT.....			266,825		192,788	-74,037
OTHER WEAPONS						
GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
26	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS.....	---	12,513	---	12,513	---
MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
27	CIWS MODS.....	---	56,308	---	56,308	---
28	COAST GUARD WEAPONS.....	---	10,727	---	6,783	-3,944
29	GUN MOUNT MODS.....	---	72,901	---	59,521	-13,380
30	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS.....	---	1,943	---	92,843	+90,900
32	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS.....	---	19,758	---	19,758	---
TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS.....			174,150		247,726	+73,576
33	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	52,632	---	52,632	---
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....			3,122,193		3,017,646	-104,547
			=====		=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	<b>TRIDENT II MODS</b> Rocket motor contract savings	1,140,865	1,092,865 -48,000	-48,000
4	<b>AMRAAM</b> Unit cost adjustment Support funding carryover	95,413	82,529 -5,184 -7,700	-12,884
5	<b>SIDEWINDER</b> All up round cost growth Captive air training missile cost growth Support funding carryover	117,208	111,689 -2,685 -1,334 -1,500	-5,519
7	<b>STANDARD MISSILE</b> All up round cost growth	367,985	343,773 -24,212	-24,212
8	<b>RAM</b> Guidance and control assembly contract savings	67,596	65,984 -1,612	-1,612
9	<b>HELLFIRE</b> Support funding carryover	33,916	32,341 -1,575	-1,575
11	<b>AERIAL TARGETS</b> GQM-163A cost growth	41,799	39,657 -2,142	-2,142
14	<b>HARM MODS</b> Excess support funding due to contract delay	111,902	109,860 -2,042	-2,042
16	<b>FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON</b> Support funding carryover	23,014	16,914 -6,100	-6,100
19	<b>ASW TARGETS</b> Expendable mobile ASW training target cost growth	8,031	7,135 -896	-896
20	<b>MK-46 TORPEDO MODS</b> Support funding carryover MK-54 kits contract delay	125,898	62,698 -3,800 -59,400	-63,200
21	<b>MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS</b> CBASS modification kit cost growth Support funding carryover	53,203	48,503 -2,800 -1,900	-4,700
23	<b>TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b> Support funding carryover F8100 propellant contract delay	59,730	54,489 -3,700 -1,541	-5,241
28	<b>COAST GUARD WEAPONS</b> Machine gun equipment cost growth	10,727	6,783 -3,944	-3,944
29	<b>GUN MOUNT MODS</b> MK38 gun kits cost growth	72,901	59,521 -13,380	-13,380
30	<b>CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS</b> Cruiser retention	1,943	92,843 90,900	90,900

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE  
CORPS

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$659,897,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	589,267,000
Committee recommendation .....	544,116,000
Change from budget request .....	- 45,151,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of ammunition, ammunition modernization, and ammunition-related material for the Navy and Marine Corps.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:





(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION						
15 SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION.....	---	26,297	---	19,433	---	-6,864
16 LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES.....	---	6,088	---	6,088	---	---
17 40 MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	7,644	---	7,644	---	---
18 60MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	3,349	---	3,349	---	---
20 120MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	13,361	---	13,361	---	---
22 GRENADES, ALL TYPES.....	---	2,149	---	2,149	---	---
23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES.....	---	27,465	---	---	---	-27,465
26 FUZE, ALL TYPES.....	---	26,366	---	25,366	---	-1,000
27 NON LETHALS.....	---	---	---	---	---	---
28 AMMO MODERNIZATION.....	---	8,403	---	8,403	---	---
29 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	5,201	---	5,201	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS.....		126,323		90,994		-35,329
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS.		589,267		544,116		-45,151
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>4 PRACTICE BOMBS</b>	<b>37,870</b>	<b>31,473</b>	<b>-6,397</b>
Q1010 MK-76 contract delay		-5,000	
Q1040 MK-82 cost growth		-1,397	
<b>6 AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES</b>	<b>67,194</b>	<b>66,194</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
ALE-55 cost growth		-1,000	
<b>9 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION</b>	<b>24,151</b>	<b>21,726</b>	<b>-2,425</b>
5"/54 full propellant charge cost growth		-2,425	
<b>15 SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION</b>	<b>26,297</b>	<b>19,433</b>	<b>-6,864</b>
Various 5.56mm ammunition forward funded		-6,864	
<b>23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>27,465</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-27,465</b>
83MM HEAA practice rocket contract delay		-27,465	
<b>26 FUZE, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>26,366</b>	<b>25,366</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
Excess production engineering		-1,000	

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$15,584,212,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	14,077,804,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,000,704,000
Change from budget request .....	+922,900,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the construction of new ships and the purchase and conversion of existing ships, including hull, mechanical and electrical equipment, electronics, guns, torpedo and missile launching systems, and communication systems.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
OTHER WARSHIPS						
2		944,866		944,866		
3		2,930,704	2	3,880,704		+950,000
4		2,354,612		2,354,612		
5		1,705,424		1,609,324		-96,100
6		245,793		245,793		
7		231,694		231,694		
8	1	1,615,564	1	1,615,564		
9		388,551		388,551		
10	4	1,793,014	4	1,793,014		
		12,210,222		13,064,122		+853,900
AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS						
12	1	524,000	1	562,000		+38,000
14		2,732		10,332		+7,600
		526,732		572,332		+45,600
AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS						
16		183,900		207,300		+23,400
17		450,163		450,163		
19	4	80,987	4	80,987		
20		625,800		625,800		
		1,340,850		1,364,250		+23,400
		14,077,804		15,000,704		+922,900
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE Fully fund the Virginia class submarine program	2,930,704	3,880,704 950,000	950,000
5 CVN REFUELING OVERHAUL Asset due to prior year above threshold reprogramming	1,705,424	1,609,324 -96,100	-96,100
12 AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE Program shortfall	524,000	562,000 38,000	38,000
14 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL Program shortfall	2,732	10,332 7,600	7,600
16 MOORED TRAINING SHIP Program shortfall	183,900	207,300 23,400	23,400

## SHIPBUILDING

Despite assurances from the Navy that the shipbuilding account is as healthy as it has ever been, the Committee remains skeptical. The Navy's new stated required fleet size is 306 ships, down from the long stated but never achieved fleet size of 313 ships. The annual 30 year shipbuilding report provided by the Navy shows that the fleet will reach the required fleet size of 306 ships in the year 2037, a full quarter century from now. The Secretary of the Navy testified that the fleet size will reach the 300 ship mark by the end of the decade. While this is encouraging, the Committee is concerned the fleet size remains at 300 ships for a single year, then drops back under 300 until 2024. In fact, of the 30 years that comprise the plan, the fleet size is under 300 ships for 16 of these years. The fleet size is at the required 306 ships or above for only the final seven years (2037 to 2043) that are displayed in the report. The Committee believes a truly healthy shipbuilding program should reach and sustain the required fleet size sooner than the Navy is projecting.

Additionally, the Navy requested authority to incrementally fund a Virginia Class Submarine in fiscal year 2014. The Congress has authorized and appropriated funding for 18 fully funded Virginia Class Submarines in the years prior to fiscal year 2014. The Committee does not understand why funding requested for this particular submarine requires violating the Department of Defense's long standing full funding policy. The Committee is puzzled by Navy claims of billions of dollars in savings for the taxpayers as it is the Committee's understanding that these savings come from the fact that the program is conducting a multi-year procurement of ten submarines and not from the fact that one of the submarines is incrementally funded. Quality budget discipline, not funding gimmicks, is called for more than ever in these times of decreasing budgets and budget uncertainty.

Contributing to the poor health of the shipbuilding program is sequestration. As a direct result of sequestration, a minimum of seven destroyers and submarines from fiscal year 2013 and prior years are no longer fully funded. The cost to make the funding for these ships whole is just over \$1,000,000,000. This funding will be required in the outyears to complete the construction of these ships. When this funding is added to the funding required to complete the incrementally funded submarine, the cost to complete these ships is in excess of \$2,000,000,000. This represents funding that will not be available to purchase new equipment or increase readiness in future years, because it will have to pay the debt incurred by the Navy in years past. While sequestration is in statute, the Committee is extremely concerned about the Navy willfully adding to its outyear liabilities by incrementally funding a submarine. Therefore, the recommendation provides an additional \$950,000,000 to the Virginia Class Submarine program to fully fund the program. Additionally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to utilize the fiscal year 2015 funding currently reserved for the completion of the submarine to fully fund the ships and programs that were impacted by the sequestration reductions.

## JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL

The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue to explore missions and projects that leverage the flexibility of the Joint High Speed Vessel and explore the extension of the current mission envelope beyond in-theater transport, to include such capabilities as unmanned aerial systems and air surveillance.

## SHIP DECOMMISSIONINGS

The Committee is extremely disappointed in the Navy's inaction with respect to the seven cruisers and two amphibious ships that were proposed for decommissioning in the fiscal year 2013 budget. Despite very clear direction from all four congressional defense committees to keep these ships in the fleet, the Navy has taken no steps that would indicate it is moving toward keeping the ships for the long term. The ships have significant life remaining and are less expensive to keep when compared to procuring new ships with similar capabilities. Last year the Congress provided sufficient funding for the operation and modernization of these ships through the end of fiscal year 2014 and that funding has gone largely untouched, indicating an unwillingness to commit to keep these ships in the fleet. The Committee fails to understand why the Navy would choose to decommission these ships when it is having such a difficult time maintaining the required fleet size through new procurements.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation rescinds all modernization funding from the fiscal year 2013 Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund and re-appropriates the funding in the Other Procurement, Navy, Weapons Procurement, Navy, and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy accounts. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to use this funding for the purpose of modernization of these seven cruisers and two amphibious ships and to retain them in the fleet.

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$5,955,078,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	6,310,257,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,824,824,000
Change from budget request .....	+514,567,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of major equipment and weapons other than ships, aircraft, missiles, and torpedoes. Such equipment ranges from the latest electronic sensors for updates of naval forces, to trucks, training equipment, and spare parts.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
1		10,180		10,180		
2		5,536		5,536		
3		16,956				-16,956
GENERATORS						
4		19,782		16,129		-3,653
NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
3		39,509		33,386		-6,123
PERISCOPES						
6		52,515		44,304		-8,211
OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
7		285,994		285,994		
8		14,389		14,389		
9		2,436		2,436		
10		12,700		6,350		-6,350
11		40,329		20,079		-20,250
12		19,603		17,514		-2,089
13		8,678		8,678		
14		74,209		69,241		-4,968
15		47,078		47,078		
16		37,000		37,000		
17		25,053		20,425		-4,628
18		12,986		12,986		
19		2,455		2,455		
20		10,539		734,139		+723,600
21		14,431		14,431		
22		36,700		31,513		-5,187
23		119,902		108,590		-11,312
24		3,678		3,678		
25		8,292		8,292		
REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT						
27		286,744		286,744		

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OCEAN ENGINEERING						
28 DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT.....	---	8,780	---	6,854	---	-1,926
SMALL BOATS						
29 STANDARD BOATS.....	---	36,452	---	33,056	---	-3,396
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
30 OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	36,145	---	36,145	---	---
PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT						
31 OPERATING FORCES IPE.....	---	69,368	---	42,368	---	-27,000
OTHER SHIP SUPPORT						
32 NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS.....	---	106,328	---	106,328	---	---
33 LCS MODULES.....	---	45,966	---	38,266	---	-7,700
34 LCS MCM MISSION MODULES.....	---	59,885	---	55,385	---	-4,500
35 LCS SUW MISSION MODULES.....	---	37,168	---	37,168	---	---
LOGISTICS SUPPORT						
36 LSD MIDLIFE.....	---	77,974	---	123,820	---	+45,846
TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		1,685,740		2,320,937		+635,197
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
SHIP SONARS						
38 SPQ-9B RADAR.....	---	27,934	---	27,934	---	---
39 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM.....	---	83,231	---	83,231	---	---
40 SSN ACOUSTICS.....	---	199,438	---	199,438	---	---
41 UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	9,394	---	9,394	---	---
42 SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS.....	---	12,953	---	12,953	---	---
43 ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC.....	---	8,958	---	8,958	---	---
ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
44 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM.....	---	24,077	---	24,077	---	---
45 SSTD.....	---	11,925	---	8,500	---	-3,425
46 FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	---	94,338	---	94,338	---	---
47 SURTASS.....	---	9,680	---	9,680	---	---
48 TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	18,130	---	18,130	---	---
ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT						
49 AN/SLO-32.....	---	203,375	---	129,883	---	-73,492
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT						
50 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT.....	---	123,656	---	121,736	---	-1,920
51 AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS).....	---	896	---	896	---	---
SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT						
52 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG.....	---	49,475	---	44,429	---	-5,046

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
53 COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY.....	---	34,692	---	29,592	---	-5,100
54 TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS).....	---	396	---	396	---	---
55 NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS).....	---	15,703	---	15,703	---	---
56 ATDLS.....	---	3,836	---	3,836	---	---
57 NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS).....	---	7,201	---	7,201	---	---
58 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT.....	---	54,400	---	51,400	---	-3,000
59 SHALLOW WATER MCM.....	---	8,548	---	8,548	---	---
60 NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE).....	---	11,765	---	11,765	---	---
61 ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV.....	---	6,483	---	6,483	---	---
62 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP.....	---	7,631	---	7,631	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
63 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	53,644	---	53,644	---	---
AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
64 MATCALs.....	---	7,461	---	7,461	---	---
65 SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	---	9,140	---	9,140	---	---
66 AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	20,798	---	20,798	---	---
67 NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM.....	---	19,754	---	19,754	---	---
68 AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	8,909	---	8,909	---	---
69 MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	13,554	---	13,554	---	---
70 ID SYSTEMS.....	---	38,934	---	34,834	---	-4,100
71 TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS).....	---	14,131	---	14,131	---	---
OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
72 DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT.....	---	3,249	---	3,249	---	---
73 TADIX-B.....	---	11,646	---	11,646	---	---
74 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE.....	---	18,189	---	18,189	---	---
75 DCGS-N.....	---	17,350	---	17,350	---	---
76 CANES.....	---	340,567	---	338,867	---	-1,700
77 RADIAC.....	---	9,835	---	9,835	---	---
78 CANES-INTELL.....	---	59,652	---	59,652	---	---
79 GPETE.....	---	6,253	---	6,253	---	---
80 INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY.....	---	4,963	---	4,963	---	---
81 EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION.....	---	4,664	---	4,664	---	---
82 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	66,889	---	66,889	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS						
84 SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION.....	---	23,877	---	23,877	---	---
86 COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M.....	---	28,001	---	28,001	---	---
SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS						
87 SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT.....	---	7,856	---	7,856	---	---
88 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT.....	---	74,376	---	74,376	---	---
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
89 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	---	27,381	---	27,381	---	---
90 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT).....	---	215,952	---	210,620	---	-5,332
SHORE COMMUNICATIONS						
91 JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,463	---	4,463	---	---
92 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS.....	---	778	---	778	---	---
CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT						
94 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP).....	---	133,530	---	133,530	---	---
95 MID INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM.....	---	1,000	---	1,000	---	---
CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT						
96 CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.....	---	12,251	---	12,251	---	---
OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT						
97 COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,893	---	2,893	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....		2,290,055		2,186,940		-103,115
AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SONOBUOYS						
99 SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES.....	---	179,927	---	177,327	---	-2,600
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
100 WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	55,279	---	50,679	---	-4,600
101 EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS.....	---	8,792	---	4,677	---	-4,115
102 AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT.....	---	11,364	---	11,364	---	---
103 AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT.....	---	59,502	---	57,502	---	-2,000
104 METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	19,118	---	19,118	---	---
105 OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,425	---	1,425	---	---
106 AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT.....	---	29,670	---	29,670	---	---
107 AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	101,554	---	101,554	---	---
108 LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT.....	---	18,293	---	18,293	---	---
109 PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS.....	---	7,969	---	7,969	---	---
110 OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,215	---	2,415	---	-2,800
111 AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS).....	---	4,827	---	3,427	---	-1,400
TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		502,935		485,420		-17,515

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
112 NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	1,188	---	1,188	---	---
113 GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,447	---	4,447	---	---
SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
114 NATO SEASPARROW.....	---	58,368	---	58,368	---	---
115 RAM GMLS.....	---	491	---	491	---	---
116 SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM.....	---	51,858	---	51,858	---	---
117 AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	59,757	---	59,757	---	---
118 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	71,559	---	71,559	---	---
119 VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS.....	---	626	---	626	---	---
120 MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS.....	---	2,779	---	2,779	---	---
FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
121 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP.....	---	224,484	---	224,484	---	---
ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
122 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	---	85,678	---	85,678	---	---
123 SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,913	---	3,913	---	---
124 SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,909	---	3,909	---	---
125 ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	28,694	---	28,694	---	---
OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
126 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP.....	---	46,586	---	46,586	---	---
127 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	11,933	---	11,933	---	---
OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
128 ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM.....	---	62,361	---	62,361	---	---
129 SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS.....	---	41,813	---	41,813	---	---
130 SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS.....	---	26,672	---	26,672	---	---
TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		787,116		787,116		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
131 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	---	5,600	---	5,600	---	---
132 GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	---	3,717	---	3,717	---	---
133 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	---	10,881	---	10,881	---	---
134 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	---	14,748	---	14,748	---	---
135 TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	5,540	---	5,540	---	---
136 AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	---	5,741	---	5,741	---	---
137 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	---	3,852	---	3,852	---	---
138 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	---	25,757	---	25,757	---	---
139 PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	---	1,182	---	1,182	---	---
TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		77,018		77,018		---
SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
140 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	---	14,250	---	14,250	---	---
141 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	6,401	---	6,401	---	---
142 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	---	5,718	---	5,718	---	---
143 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	---	22,597	---	22,597	---	---
TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		48,966		48,966		---
PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
TRAINING DEVICES						
144 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	22,527	---	22,527	---	---
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
145 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	50,428	---	50,428	---	---
146 EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	2,292	---	2,292	---	---
147 MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	4,925	---	4,925	---	---
149 NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	3,202	---	3,202	---	---
151 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	24,294	---	24,294	---	---
152 C4ISR EQUIPMENT	---	4,287	---	4,287	---	---
153 ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	18,276	---	18,276	---	---
154 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	---	134,495	---	134,495	---	---
155 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	---	324,327	---	324,327	---	---
TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		589,053		589,053		---
157 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	317,234	---	317,234	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	12,140	---	12,140	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		6,310,257		6,824,824		+514,567
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) Ahead of need	16,956	0 -16,956	-16,956
4 SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E COTS tech refresh cost growth Unjustified installation funding	19,782	16,129 -1,744 -1,909	-3,653
5 OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT Surface inertial navigation system ECP kits growth Surface scalable ECDIS-N kits growth	39,509	33,386 -3,107 -3,016	-6,123
6 SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP Low profile photonics mast procurement ahead of need	52,515	44,304 -8,211	-8,211
10 LHA/LHD MIDLIFE Excess installation funding	12,700	6,350 -6,350	-6,350
11 LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE Electrical improvements ahead of need Emergency diesel generator procurement ahead of need	40,329	20,079 -6,750 -13,500	-20,250
12 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT Support systems contract delay	19,603	17,514 -2,089	-2,089
14 VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Infrastructure upgrade installation funding ahead of need	74,209	69,241 -4,968	-4,968
17 LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT HW/SW obsolescence cost growth HM&E modification cost growth	25,053	20,425 -1,140 -3,488	-4,628
20 CG-MODERNIZATION Cruiser retention	10,539	734,139 723,600	723,600
22 UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS Diver integration sensor cost growth Marine mammal system restructure	36,700	31,513 -1,587 -3,600	-5,187
23 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION Machinery plant upgrade installation cost growth	119,902	108,590 -11,312	-11,312
28 DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT Recompression chamber cost growth	8,780	6,854 -1,926	-1,926
29 STANDARD BOATS NSW long range support craft contract delay NSW short range support craft contract delay	36,452	33,056 -1,240 -2,156	-3,396
31 OPERATING FORCES IPE Electronic technical work document support growth Emergent repair facility outfitting ahead of need	69,368	42,368 -3,000 -24,000	-27,000

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
33	<b>LCS MODULES</b>	45,966	38,266	-7,700
	Mission package training equipment		-7,700	
34	<b>LCS MCM MISSION MODULES</b>	59,885	55,385	-4,500
	Airborne MCM support funding growth		-4,500	
36	<b>LSD MIDLIFE</b>	77,974	123,820	45,846
	LSD retention		50,200	
	Steering control system installation cost growth		-1,450	
	R/O and generator installation cost growth		-1,048	
	Canned lube oil pump installation cost growth		-1,856	
45	<b>SSTD</b>	11,925	8,500	-3,425
	AN/SLQ-25X cancellation		-3,425	
49	<b>AN/SLQ-32</b>	203,375	129,883	-73,492
	Excess block 2 support funding		-3,684	
	Block 1B3 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay		-2,727	
	Block 2 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay		-12,552	
	Block 2 ship system cost growth		-30,768	
	Block 2 shore system cost growth		-6,081	
	Block 3 program delay		-17,680	
50	<b>SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT</b>	123,656	121,736	-1,920
	SSEE increment F modification kit cost growth		-1,920	
52	<b>SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG</b>	49,475	44,429	-5,046
	TI/APB cost growth		-5,046	
53	<b>COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY</b>	34,692	29,592	-5,100
	Common array block antenna delay		-5,100	
58	<b>MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT</b>	54,400	51,400	-3,000
	Support funding carryover		-3,000	
70	<b>ID SYSTEMS</b>	38,934	34,834	-4,100
	Support funding carryover		-4,100	
76	<b>CANES</b>	340,567	338,867	-1,700
	Support funding carryover		-1,700	
90	<b>NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)</b>	215,952	210,620	-5,332
	Support funding carryover		-1,500	
	Afloat terminal excess installation funding		-3,832	
99	<b>SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES</b>	179,927	177,327	-2,600
	Support funding carryover		-2,600	
100	<b>WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	55,279	50,679	-4,600
	Support funding carryover		-4,600	
101	<b>EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS</b>	8,792	4,677	-4,115
	Expeditionary airfield matting excess to requirement		-4,115	



P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	59,502	57,502	-2,000
	Support funding carryover		-2,000	
110	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,215	2,415	-2,800
	Support funding carryover		-2,800	
111	AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS)	4,827	3,427	-1,400
	Support funding carryover		-1,400	

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,411,411,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,343,511,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,271,311,000
Change from budget request .....	- 72,200,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement, production, and modification of equipment, supplies, materials, and spare parts.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1	AAV7A1 PIP.....	---	32,360	---	32,360	---
2	LAV PIP.....	---	6,003	---	6,003	---
ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	589	---	589	---
4	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER.....	---	3,655	---	3,655	---
5	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM.....	---	5,467	---	5,467	---
6	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION.....	---	20,354	---	20,354	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
7	MODIFICATION KITS.....	---	38,446	---	38,446	---
8	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM.....	---	4,734	---	4,734	---
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES.....		111,608		111,608	---
-----						
GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
GUIDED MISSILES						
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE.....	---	15,713	---	15,713	---
10	JAVELIN.....	219	36,175	219	36,175	---
12	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H).....	---	1,136	---	1,136	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
13	MODIFICATION KITS.....	---	33,976	---	28,576	-5,400
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT.....		87,000		81,600	-5,400

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
14		16,273		15,684		-589
COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER						
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
15		41,063		40,490		-573
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)						
16		2,930		2,930		---
COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM						
COMMAND AND CONTROL						
18		1,637		1,637		---
ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)						
19		18,394		18,394		---
AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS						
RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
20		114,051		101,941		-12,110
RADAR SYSTEMS						
21	25	66,612	25	66,612		---
RQ-21 UAS						
INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
22		3,749		3,749		---
FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM						
23		75,979		75,979		---
INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
26		1,653		1,653		---
RQ-11 UAV						
27		9,494		9,494		---
DCGS-MC						
OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
28		6,171		6,171		---
NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT						
OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
29		121,955		119,955		-2,000
COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES						
30		83,294		83,294		---
COMMAND POST SYSTEMS						
31		74,718		74,718		---
RADIO SYSTEMS						
32		47,613		47,613		---
COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS						
33		19,573		19,573		---
COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT						
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS						
		5,659		5,659		---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
		710,818		695,546		-15,272

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SUPPORT VEHICLES						
ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES						
34	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES.....	---	1,039	---	1,039	---
35	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES.....	---	31,050	---	31,050	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
36	5/4T TRUCK HMMV (MYP).....	---	36,333	---	1,353	-34,980
37	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS.....	---	3,137	---	3,137	---
40	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS.....	---	27,385	---	22,793	-4,592
OTHER SUPPORT						
41	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	7,016	---	7,016	---
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES.....		105,960		66,388	-39,572
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT.....	---	14,377	---	14,377	---
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,864	---	24,864	---
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS.....	---	21,592	---	21,592	---
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED.....	---	61,353	---	61,353	---
46	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,827	---	4,827	---
47	EOD SYSTEMS.....	---	40,011	---	40,011	---
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,809	---	16,809	---
49	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP.....	---	3,408	---	3,408	---
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP.....	---	48,549	---	36,593	-11,956
51	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	190	---	190	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
GENERAL PROPERTY						
52 FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	23,129	---	23,129	---	---
53 TRAINING DEVICES.....	---	8,346	---	8,346	---	---
54 CONTAINER FAMILY.....	---	1,857	---	1,857	---	---
55 FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....	---	36,198	---	36,198	---	---
56 RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN.....	---	2,390	---	2,390	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
57 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	6,525	---	6,525	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT.....		314,425		302,469		-11,956
58 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	13,700	---	13,700	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS.....		1,343,511		1,271,311		-72,200
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
13 MODIFICATION KITS Unit cost growth	33,976	28,576 -5,400	-5,400
14 COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER Program management support growth	16,273	15,684 -589	-589
15 REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT Unit cost growth	41,063	40,490 -573	-573
20 RADAR SYSTEMS Previously funded EDM refurbishment	114,051	101,941 -12,110	-12,110
29 COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES Unit cost growth	121,955	119,955 -2,000	-2,000
36 5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) Funding ahead of need	36,333	1,353 -34,980	-34,980
40 FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS MTVR Trailer restructure - funding ahead of need	27,385	22,793 -4,592	-4,592
50 MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP Next Generation Extended Boom Forklift schedule slip	48,549	36,593 -11,956	-11,956

HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR

The Committee recognizes the continued need for the Marine Corps to field engineering equipment for specific missions. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to consider procuring the High Mobility Engineer Excavator, currently being used by the Army, to fulfill future engineering requirements for the Marine Corps.

HIGH MOBILITY MULTIPURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE

The Committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$34,980,000 for the High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Sustainment Modification Initiative. The Committee recognizes the importance of this program and fully supports modifying and maintaining these vehicles. However, given changes to the acquisition strategy, the Committee understands that the fiscal year 2014 funds would not be obligated until the planned fiscal year 2015 low rate initial production contract award. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of the fiscal year 2014 funding because it is ahead of need.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$11,774,019,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	11,398,901,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,860,606,000
Change from budget request .....	- 538,295,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of aircraft, and for modification of in-service aircraft to improve safety and enhance operational effectiveness. It also provides for initial spares and other support equipment to include aerospace ground equipment and industrial facilities. In addition, funds are provided for the procurement of flight training simulators to increase combat readiness and to provide for more economical training.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
TACTICAL FORCES						
1	F-35	19	3,060,770	19	2,863,502	--- -197,268
2	F-35 (AP-CY)	---	363,783	---	363,783	---
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT			3,424,553		3,227,285	----- -197,268
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
OTHER AIRLIFT						
5	C-130J	6	537,517	6	477,517	--- -60,000
6	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	---	162,000	---	162,000	---
7	HC-130J	1	132,121	1	122,121	--- -10,000
8	HC-130J	---	88,000	---	88,000	---
9	MC-130J	4	389,434	4	349,434	--- -40,000
10	MC-130J	---	104,000	---	104,000	---
11	C-27J JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT	---	---	---	---	---
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT			1,413,072		1,303,072	----- -110,000
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
HELICOPTERS						
15	CV-22 OSPREY	3	230,798	3	230,798	---
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT						
17	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	6	2,541	6	10,200	--- +7,659

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
20 TARGET DRONES.....	41	138,669	41	138,669	---	---
22 AC-130J.....	5	470,019	5	420,019	---	-50,000
24 RQ-4 UAV.....	---	27,000	---	11,000	---	-16,000
27 MQ-9.....	12	272,217	20	340,391	+8	+68,174
28 RQ-4 BLOCK 40 PROC.....	---	1,747	---	1,747	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT.....		1,142,991		1,152,824		+9,833
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT						
STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT						
29 B-2A.....	---	20,019	---	20,019	---	---
30 B-1B.....	---	132,222	---	132,222	---	---
31 B-52.....	---	111,002	---	105,882	---	-5,120
32 LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	27,197	---	27,197	---	---
TACTICAL AIRCRAFT						
33 A-10.....	---	47,598	---	47,598	---	---
34 F-15.....	---	354,624	---	339,624	---	-15,000
35 F-16.....	---	11,794	---	11,794	---	---
36 F-22A.....	---	285,830	---	279,730	---	-6,100
37 F-35 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	157,777	---	63,357	---	-94,420
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
38 C-5.....	---	2,456	---	2,456	---	---
39 C-5M.....	---	1,021,967	---	983,967	---	-38,000
42 C-17A.....	---	143,197	---	143,197	---	---
43 C-21.....	---	103	---	103	---	---
44 C-32A.....	---	9,780	---	9,780	---	---
45 C-37A.....	---	452	---	452	---	---
46 C-130 AMP.....	---	---	---	47,300	---	+47,300
TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
GLIDER MODS.....						
47 T6.....	---	128	---	128	---	---
48 T6.....	---	6,427	---	6,427	---	---
49 T-1.....	---	277	---	277	---	---
50 T-38.....	---	28,686	---	28,686	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
52 U-2 MODS.....	---	45,591	---	45,591	---	---
53 KC-10A (ATCA).....	---	70,918	---	70,918	---	---
54 C-12.....	---	1,876	---	876	---	-1,000
55 MC-12W.....	---	5,000	---	5,000	---	---
56 C-20 MODS.....	---	192	---	192	---	---
57 VC-25A MOD.....	---	263	---	263	---	---
58 C-40.....	---	6,119	---	6,119	---	---
59 C-130.....	---	58,577	---	60,077	---	+1,500
61 C130J MODS.....	---	10,475	---	10,475	---	---
62 C-135.....	---	46,556	---	46,556	---	---
63 COMPASS CALL MODS.....	---	34,494	---	34,494	---	---
64 RC-135.....	---	171,813	---	184,313	---	+12,500
65 E-3.....	---	197,087	---	197,087	---	---
66 E-4.....	---	14,304	---	14,304	---	---
67 E-8.....	---	57,472	---	57,472	---	---
68 H-1.....	---	6,627	---	6,627	---	---
69 H-60.....	---	27,654	---	27,654	---	---
70 RQ-4 UAV MODS.....	---	9,313	---	9,313	---	---
71 HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	16,300	---	16,300	---	---
72 OTHER AIRCRAFT.....	---	6,948	---	6,948	---	---
73 MQ-1 MODS.....	---	9,734	---	9,734	---	---
74 MQ-9 MODS.....	---	102,970	---	97,450	---	-5,520
76 RQ-4 GSRA/CSRA MODS.....	---	30,000	---	30,000	---	---
77 CV-22 MODS.....	---	23,310	---	23,310	---	---
<hr/>						
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT.....		3,315,129		3,211,269		-103,860
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
78 INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS.....	---	463,285	---	463,285	---	---
<hr/>						
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....		463,285		463,285		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
79		49,140		49,140		
AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP.....						
POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT						
81	B-1.....	3,683		3,683		
83	B-2A.....	43,786		43,786		
84	B-52.....	7,000		7,000		
87	C-17A.....	81,952		49,952		-32,000
89	C-135.....	8,597		8,597		
90	F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT.....	2,403		2,403		
91	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT.....	3,455		3,455		
92	F-22A.....	5,911		5,911		
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....						
94	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	21,148		21,148		
WAR CONSUMABLES						
95	WAR CONSUMABLES.....	94,947		94,947		
OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES						
96	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES.....	1,242,004		1,137,004		-105,000
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,564,026		1,427,026		-137,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....		75,845		75,845		
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		11,398,901		10,860,606		-538,295
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	<b>F-35</b>	<b>3,060,770</b>	<b>2,863,502</b>	<b>-197,268</b>
	Life-of-type buys previously funded		-22,932	
	Non-recurring engineering - restrain cost growth		-128,000	
	Engine cost growth		-2,736	
	Simulators cost growth		-8,600	
	Production engineering support growth		-35,000	
5	<b>C-130J</b>	<b>537,517</b>	<b>477,517</b>	<b>-60,000</b>
	Advance procurement funded in fiscal year 2013		-60,000	
7	<b>HC-130J</b>	<b>132,121</b>	<b>122,121</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
	Advance procurement funded in fiscal year 2013		-10,000	
9	<b>MC-130J</b>	<b>389,434</b>	<b>349,434</b>	<b>-40,000</b>
	Advance procurement funded in fiscal year 2013		-40,000	
17	<b>CIVIL AIR PATROL AIRCRAFT</b>	<b>2,541</b>	<b>10,200</b>	<b>7,659</b>
	Program increase		7,659	
22	<b>AC-130J</b>	<b>470,019</b>	<b>420,019</b>	<b>-50,000</b>
	Advance procurement funded in fiscal year 2013		-50,000	
24	<b>RQ-4</b>	<b>27,000</b>	<b>11,000</b>	<b>-16,000</b>
	Production closeout		-16,000	
27	<b>MQ-9</b>	<b>272,217</b>	<b>340,391</b>	<b>68,174</b>
	Add eight aircraft		105,000	
	Unit cost savings		-18,000	
	ASIP-2C non-recurring engineering ahead of need		-18,826	
31	<b>B-52</b>	<b>111,002</b>	<b>105,882</b>	<b>-5,120</b>
	Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade defer low rate initial production		-5,120	
34	<b>F-15</b>	<b>354,624</b>	<b>339,624</b>	<b>-15,000</b>
	Radar program management administration growth		-10,000	
	F-15C/D APG-63(v)(3) radar unit cost savings		-5,000	
36	<b>F-22A MODIFICATIONS</b>	<b>285,830</b>	<b>279,730</b>	<b>-6,100</b>
	Structures Retrofit Program inductions		-6,100	
37	<b>F-35 MODIFICATIONS</b>	<b>157,777</b>	<b>63,357</b>	<b>-94,420</b>
	Block 3i upgrades ahead of need		-63,420	
	Concurrency modifications		-31,000	
39	<b>C-5M</b>	<b>1,021,967</b>	<b>983,967</b>	<b>-38,000</b>
	Program excess		-38,000	
46	<b>C-130 AMP</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47,300</b>	<b>47,300</b>
	Only for procurement and installation of AMP kits		47,300	
54	<b>C-12</b>	<b>1,876</b>	<b>876</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
	Low cost modifications and service bulletins		-1,000	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
59	C-130	58,577	60,077	1,500
	CNS/ATM minimize program		-14,200	
	C-130 propulsion system engine upgrades		15,700	
64	RC-135	171,813	184,313	12,500
	Baseline enhancement shortfall		12,500	
74	MQ-9 MODS	102,970	97,450	-5,520
	Anti-ice production ahead of need		-5,520	
87	C-17A POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	81,952	49,952	-32,000
	Training devices ahead of need		-32,000	
96	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,242,004	1,137,004	-105,000
	General reduction		-105,000	

## MQ-9 REAPER

The Committee is deeply concerned by the Air Force's course of action on MQ-9 Reaper production in the fiscal year 2014 budget request. Having previously cut MQ-9 production from an annual rate of 48 to 24 in the fiscal year 2013 budget request, the Air Force again proposes to reduce the rate to 12 in fiscal year 2014, which is below the minimum sustaining rate. The Air Force took this action despite no change in the MQ-9 fleet requirement. The Air Force's proposal instead relies on an additional 12 MQ-9 aircraft authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2013 to backfill its fiscal year 2014 request. This decision represents complete and brazen contradiction of congressional intent, which was to reduce the MQ-9 production rate in a more orderly and less disruptive manner from 48 in fiscal year 2012 to 36 in fiscal year 2013 and finally to a stable rate of 24 from fiscal year 2014 onward to completion.

The Committee recommends the addition of eight MQ-9 aircraft to the fiscal year 2014 request with the understanding that non-Department of Defense purchases will help sustain the production line. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to procure MQ-9 aircraft funded in fiscal years 2013 and 2014 at the annual rate authorized and appropriated by the Congress.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees with the fiscal year 2015 budget request providing a detailed, location-by-location schedule for the basing of MQ-9 Reapers including, where appropriate, the replacement and planned disposition of MQ-1 Predator aircraft to be replaced by the MQ-9. The report shall also include the criteria by which the Air Force determines the order of priority for MQ-9 beddown locations and the impact that MQ-1/MQ-9 transition will have on existing MQ-1 flying training units.

## B-52 INTERNAL WEAPONS BAY UPGRADE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$5,120,000 for the first low rate initial production lot of six units for the B-52 Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade (IWBU) project. The IWBU will provide internal and expanded carrying capacity for such weapon systems as Joint Direct Attack Munitions, the Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile, and the Miniature Air Launched Decoy, thereby increasing the B-52's capability to conduct conventional strike and close air support missions. The IWBU effort recently underwent restructuring due to cost increases, and the Air Force now proposes to deliver IWBU capability in separate phases and increments. The Air Force's budget request and future years defense plan fail to include necessary funding for the procurement effort beyond fiscal year 2014 and pursuantly fails to include necessary information related to the program, such as the total number of units to be procured, the projected cost of these units, the exact phasing of quantities and funding, the phasing of capability to be delivered, and the year of completion—information that is required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulations and necessary for congressional budget review. The Committee is supportive of the IWBU effort but defers funding of the first low rate initial produc-

tion lot and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to include a properly scheduled, funded, phased, and justified program in its fiscal year 2015 budget request.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$4,962,376,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	5,343,286,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,267,119,000
Change from budget request .....	- 76,167,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement, installation, and checkout of strategic ballistic and other missiles, modification of in-service missiles, and initial spares for missile systems. It also provides for operational space systems, boosters, payloads, drones, associated ground equipment, non-recurring maintenance of industrial facilities, machine tool modernization, and special program support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC						
1						
		39,104		39,104		
OTHER MISSILES						
TACTICAL						
2	183	291,151	183	291,151		
3	225	119,904	225	119,904		
4	199	340,015	199	323,015		-17,000
5	413	48,548	413	48,548		
6	144	42,347	144	42,347		
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
7		752		752		
-----						
		842,717		825,717		-17,000
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
CLASS IV						
9		21,635		21,635		
10		276		276		
11		580		580		
12		6,888		6,888		
13		5,000				-5,000
-----						
		34,379		29,379		-5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
14						
		72,080		72,080		
OTHER SUPPORT						
SPACE PROGRAMS						
15		379,586		379,586		
16		38,398		38,398		
17	2	403,431	2	403,431		
18		74,167		30,000		-44,167
19		5,244		5,244		
20		55,997		55,997		
21		95,673		95,673		
22	5	1,852,900	5	1,842,900		-10,000
23		583,192		583,192		
SPECIAL PROGRAMS						
29		36,716		36,716		
-----						
		3,525,304		3,471,137		-54,167
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS						
		829,702		829,702		
-----						
		5,343,286		5,267,119		-76,167
=====						

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>4 AMRAAM</b> All-up round pricing adjustment	340,015	323,015 -17,000	-17,000
<b>13 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB</b> BRU-61 modifications for F-35 ahead of need	5,000	0 -5,000	-5,000
<b>18 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT ADVANCE PROCUREMENT</b> SV9+ ahead of need	74,167	30,000 -44,167	-44,167
<b>22 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE (SPACE)</b> Unjustified program management administration growth / low expenditure rate	1,852,900	1,842,900 -10,000	-10,000

EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE SERVICES ACQUISITION

The Committee understands that the Air Force is implementing a revised Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) acquisition strategy for launch services and that the Defense Acquisition Executive has approved an award of up to 14 launch vehicle booster cores over three years through competition if a new entrant becomes certified. The Committee strongly supports fair competition as a means of obtaining a reliable, quality product in a cost-effective way. The Committee notes that Congress has invested significant resources into satellites that are critical to national security interests; therefore, the Committee must have confidence in the proper procurement of launch services.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, which addresses the following issues: (1) how the Air Force will evaluate performance and reliability of the entrants, including statistical means of predicting performance; (2) if other contracts with the federal government directly related to launch, such as EELV launch capability or space station services contracts, will be considered in regard to cost or past performance, and, if so, how the cost of such contracts will be mitigated and accounted for in the procurement process; (3) if all entrants will be required to operate under the Federal Acquisition Regulation requirements, including compliance with accounting standards; and (4) the means by which the Air Force will assure openness and transparency in the process.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$594,694,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	759,442,000
Committee recommendation .....	743,442,000
Change from budget request .....	- 16,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the acquisition of ammunition, modifications, spares, weapons, and other ammunition-related items for the Air Force.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
1	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE					
		15,735		15,735		
2		129,921		123,921		-6,000
BOMBS						
3		30,840		30,840		
4		187,397		187,397		
5	6,965	188,510	6,965	178,510		-10,000
FLARE, IR MJU-7B						
6		35,837		35,837		
7		7,531		7,531		
8		499		499		
9		480		480		
10		9,765		9,765		
FUZES						
11		55,864		55,864		
13		76,037		76,037		
		-----		-----		-----
		738,416		722,416		-16,000
WEAPONS						
14		21,026		21,026		
		-----		-----		-----
		759,442		743,442		-16,000
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	CARTRIDGES PGU-23/U	129,921	123,921 -6,000	-6,000
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION Unit cost adjustment	188,510	178,510 -10,000	-10,000

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$17,082,508,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	16,760,581,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,791,497,000
Change from budget request .....	+30,916,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of weapon systems and equipment other than aircraft and missiles. Included are vehicles, electronic and telecommunications systems for command and control of operational forces, and ground support equipment for weapon systems and supporting structure.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES						
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE.....	---	2,048	---	2,048	---
CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES						
2	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE.....	---	8,019	---	8,019	---
3	CAP VEHICLES.....	---	946	---	946	---
4	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO).....	---	7,138	---	7,138	---
SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	---	13,093	---	13,093	---
6	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAL).....	---	13,983	---	13,983	---
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT						
7	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES.....	---	23,794	---	23,794	---
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
8	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000.....	---	8,669	---	8,669	---
BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
9	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP.....	---	6,144	---	6,144	---
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	1,580	---	1,580	---
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT.....		85,414		85,414	
ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP						
COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT (COMSEC)						
12	COMSEC EQUIPMENT.....	---	149,661	---	144,452	---
13	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC).....	---	726	---	726	---
INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS						
14	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,789	---	2,789	---
15	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP.....	---	31,875	---	31,875	---
16	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS.....	---	452	---	452	---
17	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS.....	---	14,203	---	14,203	---



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS						
18 TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING.....	---	46,232	---	46,232	---	---
19 NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM.....	---	11,685	---	11,685	---	---
20 BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED.....	---	19,248	---	19,248	---	---
21 THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO.....	---	19,292	---	10,761	---	-8,531
22 WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST.....	---	17,166	---	17,166	---	---
23 STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	---	22,723	---	22,723	---	---
24 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX.....	---	27,930	---	27,930	---	---
25 TAC SIGNIT SPT.....	---	217	---	217	---	---
SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
27 GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	---	49,627	---	49,627	---	---
28 AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	13,559	---	63,559	---	+50,000
29 MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	---	11,186	---	11,186	---	---
30 AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM.....	---	43,238	---	43,238	---	---
31 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES.....	---	10,431	---	10,431	---	---
32 C3 COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	13,769	---	13,769	---	---
33 GCSS-AF FOS.....	---	19,138	---	19,138	---	---
34 THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS.....	---	8,809	---	8,809	---	---
35 AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC).....	---	26,935	---	26,935	---	---
AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
36 INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS.....	---	80,558	---	80,558	---	---
38 AFNET.....	---	97,588	---	97,588	---	---
39 VOICE SYSTEMS.....	---	8,419	---	8,419	---	---
40 USCENTCOM.....	---	34,276	---	34,276	---	---
DISA PROGRAMS						
41 SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE.....	---	28,235	---	28,235	---	---
42 NAVSTAR GPS SPACE.....	---	2,061	---	2,061	---	---
43 NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE.....	---	4,415	---	4,415	---	---
44 AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE.....	---	30,237	---	30,237	---	---
45 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE.....	---	98,062	---	98,062	---	---
46 MILSATCOM SPACE.....	---	105,935	---	105,935	---	---
47 SPACE MODS SPACE.....	---	37,861	---	35,861	---	-2,000
48 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM.....	---	7,171	---	7,171	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ORGANIZATION AND BASE						
49 TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT.....	---	83,537	---	83,537	---	---
50 COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER.....	---	11,884	---	8,634	---	-3,250
51 RADIO EQUIPMENT.....	---	14,711	---	14,711	---	---
52 CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	10,275	---	10,275	---	---
53 BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	50,907	---	50,907	---	---
MODIFICATIONS						
54 COMM ELECT MODS.....	---	55,701	---	55,701	---	---
TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.		1,322,724		1,353,734		+31,010
OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP						
PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP						
55 NIGHT VISION GOGGLES.....	---	14,524	---	4,036	---	-10,488
56 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY).....	---	28,655	---	28,655	---	---
DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ						
57 MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING.....	---	9,332	---	9,332	---	---
BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
58 BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,762	---	16,762	---	---
59 CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.....	---	33,768	---	33,768	---	---
60 PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT.....	---	2,495	---	1,227	---	-1,268
61 MOBILITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	12,859	---	12,859	---	---
62 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT).....	---	1,954	---	1,954	---	---
SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS						
64 DARP RC135.....	---	24,528	---	24,528	---	---
65 DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS.....	---	137,819	---	137,819	---	---
67 SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM.....	---	479,586	---	479,586	---	---
68 DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM.....	---	45,159	---	45,159	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP.		807,441		795,685		-11,756
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
69 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	25,746	---	25,746	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	14,519,256	---	14,530,918	---	+11,662
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		16,760,581		16,791,497		+30,916

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>12 COMSEC EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>149,661</b>	<b>144,452</b>	<b>-5,209</b>
SIPRNET PKI unjustified request		-5,209	
<b>21 THEATER AIR CONTROL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS</b>	<b>19,292</b>	<b>10,761</b>	<b>-8,531</b>
AN/TRC-215 ahead of need		-8,531	
<b>28 AF GLOBAL COMMAND &amp; CONTROL SYSTEM</b>	<b>13,559</b>	<b>63,559</b>	<b>50,000</b>
Equipment for Air National Guard MQ-1/9 remote split operations		50,000	50,000
<b>47 SPACE MODS (SPACE)</b>	<b>37,861</b>	<b>35,861</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Net-centric project excessive growth		-2,000	
<b>50 COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR</b>	<b>11,884</b>	<b>8,634</b>	<b>-3,250</b>
Unjustified unit cost growth for batteries		-3,250	
<b>55 NIGHT VISION GOGGLES</b>	<b>14,524</b>	<b>4,036</b>	<b>-10,488</b>
Night Vision Cueing and Display termination		-10,488	
<b>60 PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT</b>	<b>2,495</b>	<b>1,227</b>	<b>-1,268</b>
Air Force wide projects		-1,268	
<b>999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>14,519,256</b>	<b>14,530,918</b>	<b>11,662</b>
Classified adjustment		11,662	

MQ-1/9 REMOTE SPLIT OPERATIONS

As a result of Air Force force structure actions, six Air National Guard locations will transition to MQ-1/9 remote split operations by fiscal year 2020. To ensure that these locations are properly equipped for a timely and orderly transition to this new mission, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$50,000,000 for the procurement of equipment associated with this mission. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit an execution plan for these additional funds not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$4,878,985,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	4,534,083,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,522,990,000
Change from budget request .....	- 11,093,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement, production, and modification of equipment, supplies, materials, and spare parts.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	1,291	---	1,291	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA						
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,711	---	5,711	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA						
3	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION.....	---	47,201	---	47,201	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
8	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY.....	---	16,189	---	16,189	---
11	TELEPORT PROGRAM.....	---	66,075	---	66,075	---
12	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	83,881	---	83,881	---
13	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES).....	---	2,572	---	2,572	---
14	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK.....	---	125,557	---	125,557	---
16	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	---	16,941	---	16,941	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA						
17	MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	---	13,137	---	13,137	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT						
18	A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST.....	5	15,414	5	15,414	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA						
19	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS.....	---	1,454	---	1,454	---
20	EQUIPMENT.....	---	978	---	978	---
21	OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,020	---	5,020	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
22	VEHICLES.....	2	100	2	100	---
23	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	3	13,395	3	13,395	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						
25	THAAD SYSTEM.....	36	581,005	36	576,005	---
26	AEGIS BMD.....	52	580,814	52	580,814	---
27	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS.....	---	62,000	---	62,000	---
28	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III.....	1	131,400	1	131,400	---
30	IRON DOME SYSTEM.....	1	220,309	1	220,309	---
32X	GROUND BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SYSTEM (AP-CY).....	---	---	---	107,000	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA						
37 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP).....	---	14,363	---	14,363	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD						
38 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD.....	---	37,345	---	37,345	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE.....						
39 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE.....	---	16,678	---	16,678	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS						
40 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS.....	---	14,792	---	14,792	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS						
41 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS.....	---	35,259	---	35,259	---	---
TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....		2,108,881		2,210,881		+102,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND						
AVIATION PROGRAMS						
43 SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT.....	---	112,456	---	108,056	---	-4,400
44 MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	81,457	---	81,457	---	---
45 NON-STANDARD AVIATION.....	---	2,650	---	2,650	---	---
46 SOF U-28.....	---	56,208	---	31,208	---	-25,000
47 MH-47 CHINOOK.....	---	19,766	---	19,766	---	---
48 RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE.....	---	850	---	850	---	---
49 CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION.....	3	98,927	3	98,927	---	---
50 MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE.....	---	20,576	---	5,122	---	-15,454
51 MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE.....	---	1,893	---	1,893	---	---
53 STUASLO.....	---	13,166	---	13,166	---	---
54 PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE.....	---	107,687	---	65,187	---	-42,500
55 AC/MC-130J.....	---	51,870	---	51,870	---	---
57 C-130 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	71,940	---	61,317	---	-10,623
SHIPBUILDING						
59 UNDERWATER SYSTEMS.....	---	37,439	---	37,439	---	---
AMMUNITION PROGRAMS						
61 SOF ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000.....	---	159,029	---	159,029	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS						
69 SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	---	79,819	---	79,819	---	---
71 DCGS-SOF	---	14,906	---	14,906	---	---
OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000	---	81,711	---	77,067	---	-4,644
74 SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	---	35,053	---	33,897	---	-1,156
72 SPECIAL PROGRAMS	---	41,526	---	41,526	---	---
73 TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	43,353	---	43,353	---	---
74 WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000	---	210,540	---	208,094	---	-2,446
76 COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	---	20,000	---	20,000	---	---
81 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	---	6,645	---	6,645	---	---
82 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	---	25,581	---	25,581	---	---
87 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	---	191,061	---	191,061	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		1,586,109		1,479,886		-106,223
-----						
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
89 INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION	---	14,271	---	14,271	---	---
90 INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	---	101,667	---	101,667	---	---
92 JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	---	13,447	---	13,447	---	---
93 COLLECTIVE PROTECTION	---	20,896	---	20,896	---	---
94 CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	---	144,540	---	144,540	---	---
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		284,821		294,621		---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	544,272	---	537,402	---	-6,870
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		4,534,083		4,522,990		-11,093
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
25	<b>THAAD SYSTEM</b> Training - excess to requirement	581,005	576,005 -5,000	-5,000
	<b>GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SYSTEM</b>			
32X	<b>ADVANCE PROCUREMENT</b> Advance procurement of 14 GBIs	0	107,000 107,000	107,000
43	<b>SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT</b> Silent Knight TF/TA radar - early to need	112,456	108,056 -4,400	-4,400
46	<b>SOF U-28</b> HD full motion video - excess to need	56,208	31,208 -25,000	-25,000
50	<b>MQ-1 UAV</b> HD full motion video - excess to need	20,576	5,122 -15,454	-15,454
54	<b>PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE</b> Large caliber gun - early to need	107,687	65,187 -42,500	-42,500
57	<b>C-130 MODIFICATIONS</b> C-130 TF/TA - early to need	71,940	61,317 -10,623	-10,623
68	<b>SOF OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5M</b> Coalition Global Network - unjustified growth	81,711	77,067 -4,644	-4,644
69	<b>SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS</b> Transfer to RDTE, DW line 271	35,053	33,897 -1,156	-1,156
74	<b>SOF WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5M</b> Special Communications Enterprise Program - early to need	210,540	208,094 -2,446	-2,446
999	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b> Classified adjustment	544,272	537,402 -6,870	-6,870



## DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$223,531,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	25,135,000
Committee recommendation .....	75,135,000
Change from budget request .....	+50,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommendation shall be distributed as follows:

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
NEXT GENERATION STAR TRACKER SYSTEM .....	4,180	4,180	---
READ OUT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOUNDRY IMPROVEMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY .....	2,200	2,200	---
SPACE QUALIFIED SOLAR CELL SUPPLY CHAIN .....	920	920	---
CRITICAL SPACE INDUSTRIAL BASE INVESTMENT .....	7,200	7,200	---
ADVANCED STRUCTURAL MATERIALS .....	5,209	5,209	---
ELECTRONIC MATERIALS AND DEVICE PRODUCTION .....	5,426	5,426	---
PROGRAM INCREASE .....		50,000	50,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT .....	25,135	75,135	50,000



## TITLE IV

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2014 Department of Defense research, development, test and evaluation budget request totals \$67,520,236,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$66,409,530,000 for the research, development, test and evaluation accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY.....	7,989,102	7,961,486	-27,616
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY.....	15,974,780	15,368,352	-606,428
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE.	25,702,946	24,947,354	-755,592
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	17,667,108	17,885,538	+218,430
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE.....	186,300	246,800	+60,500
GRAND TOTAL, RDT&E.....	67,520,236	66,409,530	-1,110,706
	=====	=====	=====

## SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase “only for” or “only to” in this report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the committee report. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

## REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$8,676,627,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	7,989,102,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,961,486,000
Change from budget request .....	- 27,616,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	21,803	21,803	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	221,901	221,901	---
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	79,359	79,359	---
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS.....	113,662	113,662	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	436,725	436,725	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY.....	26,585	26,585	---
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY.....	43,170	43,170	---
7	TRACTOR HIP.....	36,293	36,293	---
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY.....	55,615	55,615	---
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	17,585	17,585	---
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY.....	51,528	61,528	+10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	26,162	26,162	---
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION.....	24,063	24,063	---
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY.....	64,589	64,589	---
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY.....	68,300	68,300	---
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY....	4,490	4,490	---
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	7,818	7,818	---
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	37,798	52,798	+15,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES.....	59,021	59,021	---
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY.....	43,426	43,426	---
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS.....	20,574	20,574	---
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	21,339	21,339	---
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	20,316	20,316	---
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	34,209	34,209	---
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY.....	10,439	10,439	---
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	70,064	70,064	---
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY.....	17,654	17,654	---
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY.....	31,546	31,546	---
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	93,340	93,340	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	885,924	910,924	+25,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
29	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	56,056	56,056	---
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	62,032	93,032	+31,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	81,080	81,080	---
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	63,919	73,919	+10,000
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	97,043	107,043	+10,000
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY..	5,866	5,866	---
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY..	7,800	7,800	---
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	40,416	40,416	---
37	TRACTOR HIKE.....	9,166	9,166	---
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS.....	13,627	13,627	---
39	TRACTOR ROSE.....	10,667	10,667	---
40	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH.....	---	---	---
41	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	15,054	15,054	---
42	TRACTOR NAIL.....	3,194	3,194	---
43	TRACTOR EGGS.....	2,367	2,367	---
44	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	25,348	25,348	---
45	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	64,009	72,009	+8,000
46	TRACTOR CAGE.....	11,083	11,083	---
47	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	180,662	180,662	---
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	22,806	22,806	---
49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	5,030	5,030	---
50	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	36,407	46,407	+10,000
51	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	11,745	11,745	---
52	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	23,717	23,717	---
53	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	33,012	33,012	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	882,106	951,106	+69,000



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
54 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	15,301	15,301	---
55 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE).....	13,592	13,592	---
56 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV.....	10,625	---	-10,625
57 SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV.....	---	---	---
58 TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION.....	30,612	30,612	---
59 ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS).....	49,989	49,989	---
60 SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY.....	6,703	6,703	---
61 TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD.....	6,894	6,894	---
62 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	9,066	9,066	---
63 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	2,633	2,633	---
64 WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL.....	272,384	235,384	-37,000
65 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	3,874	3,874	---
66 AVIATION - ADV DEV.....	5,018	5,018	---
67 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV.....	11,556	11,556	---
69 MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV.....	15,603	15,603	---
70 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	14,159	14,159	---
71 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE.....	79	79	---
72 TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES.....	55,605	55,605	---
74 INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTERC	79,232	79,232	---
75 INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE.....	4,476	4,476	---
76 ENDURANCE UAVS.....	28,991	---	-28,991
-----			
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	636,392	559,776	-76,616

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
77			
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
AIRCRAFT AVIONICS.....	76,588	76,588	---
78			
ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D.....	73,309	73,309	---
79			
ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	154,621	154,621	---
80			
JOINT TACTICAL RADIO.....	31,826	31,826	---
81			
MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO.....	23,341	23,341	---
82			
ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM.....	4,839	4,839	---
83			
TRACTOR CAGE.....	23,841	23,841	---
84			
INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS.....	79,855	79,855	---
85			
MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	2,140	2,140	---
86			
JAVELIN.....	5,002	5,002	---
87			
FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	21,321	21,321	---
88			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	514	514	---
93			
NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD.....	43,405	43,405	---
94			
COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT.....	1,939	1,939	---
95			
NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD.....	18,980	18,980	---
97			
AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD....	18,294	18,294	---
98			
CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	17,013	17,013	---
99			
AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT.....	6,701	6,701	---
100			
DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD.....	14,575	14,575	---
101			
COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE.....	27,634	27,634	---
102			
BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION.....	193,748	193,748	---
103			
WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD.....	15,721	15,721	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
104 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD.....	41,703	41,703	---
105 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - SDD.....	7,379	7,379	---
106 MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT.	39,468	39,468	---
107 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD.....	92,285	92,285	---
108 ARTILLERY MUNITIONS.....	8,209	8,209	---
109 ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE...	22,958	22,958	---
110 RADAR DEVELOPMENT.....	1,549	1,549	---
111 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS).....	17,342	17,342	---
112 FIREFINDER.....	47,221	47,221	---
113 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL.....	48,477	48,477	---
114 ARTILLERY SYSTEMS.....	80,613	80,613	---
117 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	68,814	68,814	---
118 ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMH	137,290	137,290	---
119 ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE.....	116,298	86,298	-30,000
120 JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER.....	68,148	68,148	---
121 AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM.....	33,219	33,219	---
122 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM).....	15,127	15,127	---
124 PAC-2/MSE MISSILE.....	68,843	68,843	---
125 ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD).....	364,649	364,649	---
126 MANNED GROUND VEHICLE.....	592,201	592,201	---
127 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR.....	10,382	10,382	---
128 NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION.....	21,143	21,143	---
129 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING....	84,230	84,230	---
130 TROJAN - RH12.....	3,465	3,465	---
131 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	10,806	10,806	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	2,857,026	2,827,026	-30,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
132 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	16,934	16,934	---
133 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	13,488	13,488	---
134 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	46,672	46,672	---
135 RAND ARROYO CENTER.....	11,919	11,919	---
136 ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL.....	193,658	193,658	---
137 CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM.....	37,158	37,158	---
139 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES.....	340,659	340,659	---
140 ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS.....	66,061	66,061	---
141 SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS.....	43,280	43,280	---
143 AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION.....	6,025	6,025	---
144 METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RD&E ACTIVITIES.....	7,349	7,349	---
145 MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS.....	19,809	19,809	---
146 EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS.....	5,941	5,941	---
147 SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING.....	55,504	55,504	---
148 ARMY EVALUATION CENTER.....	65,274	65,274	---
149 SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)....	1,283	1,283	---
150 PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	82,035	82,035	---
151 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES.....	33,853	33,853	---
152 MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY...	53,340	53,340	---
153 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT.....	5,193	5,193	---
154 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)....	54,175	54,175	---
-----			
TOTAL, RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,159,610	1,159,610	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
156 MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	110,576	110,576	---
157 LOGISTICS AUTOMATION.....	3,717	3,717	---
159 PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT.....	70,053	70,053	---
160 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE.....	98,450	83,450	-15,000
161 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM.....	30,940	30,940	---
162 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	177,532	177,532	---
163 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM.....	36,495	36,495	---
164 AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS...	257,187	257,187	---
165 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	315	315	---
166 DIGITIZATION.....	6,186	6,186	---
167 NETWORK INTEGRATED EVALUATION (NIE).....	---	---	---
168 MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	1,578	1,578	---
169 OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	62,100	62,100	---
171 TRACTOR CARD.....	18,778	18,778	---
JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM.....	7,108	7,108	---
173 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.....	7,600	7,600	---
174 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	9,357	9,357	---
176 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	41,225	41,225	---
177 SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE).....	18,197	18,197	---
178 WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	14,215	14,215	---
179 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	33,533	33,533	---
180 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	27,622	27,622	---
182 MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV.....	10,901	10,901	---
183 RQ-11 UAV.....	2,321	2,321	---
184 RQ-7 UAV.....	12,031	12,031	---
186 BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE.....	12,449	12,449	---
187 END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES.....	56,136	56,136	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	1,126,602	1,111,602	-15,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	4,717	4,717	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.....	7,989,102	7,961,486	-27,616

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10 MISSILE TECHNOLOGY Program increase	51,528	61,528 10,000	10,000
17 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY Program increase	37,798	52,798 15,000	15,000
30 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Peer-reviewed neurotoxin exposure treatment Parkinsons research program Peer-reviewed neurofibromatosis research program	62,032	93,032 16,000 15,000	31,000
WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED			
32 TECHNOLOGY Program increase	63,919	73,919 10,000	10,000
COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED			
33 TECHNOLOGY Alternative energy research	97,043	107,043 10,000	10,000
45 MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Program increase	64,009	72,009 8,000	8,000
50 NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Program increase	36,407	46,407 10,000	10,000
56 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV Program deferred to fiscal year 2019	10,625	0 -10,625	-10,625
64 WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL Excess program growth	272,384	235,384 -37,000	-37,000
76 ENDURANCE UAVS Program termination	28,991	0 -28,991	-28,991
119 ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE Schedule slip	116,298	86,298 -30,000	-30,000
160 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE Funding ahead of need	98,450	83,450 -15,000	-15,000

## MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY

The budget request includes \$53,340,000 in the Munitions Standardization Effectiveness and Safety line. The Committee recommendation fully funds the request. The Committee is aware that multiple programs and projects are funded in this program element, including research and development of energetics technologies. The Committee recognizes the benefits of these technologies to the warfighter by creating munitions with greater performance and precision while also reducing adverse environmental impacts. The Committee commends the Army for its continuing work in energetics technology and strongly encourages that funding available in this line be allocated for research in energetics technologies.

## WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY

The budget request includes \$37,798,000 for the Weapons and Munitions Technology line. The Committee recommendation includes \$52,798,000, an increase of \$15,000,000 above the budget request. Under this program element, the Army invests in future technologies while seeking to spiral new technologies to warfighters, including light armament, multi-purpose munitions, specialty explosives and warheads, insensitive munitions, fire control sensors, and seekers for enemy neutralization. The Committee notes that the program funding will support a dedicated effort to mature and update prototypes and deliver armament and munitions technologies that will enhance lethality and survivability, as well as expanded manufacturing technologies for explosives and dual-use.

## WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY

The budget request includes \$63,919,000 for the Weapons and Munitions Advanced Technology line. The Committee recommendation provides \$73,919,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 above the budget request, to support the demonstration of advanced lethal and non-lethal weapons and munitions technologies to increase battlefield lethality and security. The program supports the maturation and demonstration of enabling components and subsystems which provide scalable lethal and non-lethal effects, key subsystems that enable an electromagnetic gun weapons system demonstrator, and a tactical high energy laser weapons system demonstrator.

## WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK—TACTICAL

The budget request includes \$272,384,000 for the continued development of Increment 3 of the Warfighter Information Network—Tactical (WIN—T). The Committee recommendation is \$235,384,000, a decrease of \$37,000,000 below the budget request. The Committee recommendation will support the Increment 3 effort and maintain the necessary pace in the overall WIN—T program.

MALARIA VACCINE DEVELOPMENT

The Committee recognizes the importance of finding a vaccine for preventing malaria and commends the Secretary of the Army for continuing to fund this significant research. A malaria vaccine will reduce or eliminate the need for anti-malarial drugs and will protect warfighters in austere, malaria-prone environments. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the request for \$9,600,000 for vaccines for the prevention of malaria.

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

The Committee supports the funding included in the budget request for the Systems Engineering Research Center, a national resource that is a university affiliated research center. It is comprised of 23 collaborating universities, and provides a broad base of talent to conduct vital systems research. By doing so, it helps enhance the Department of Defense’s capability for the successful development, integration, testing, and sustainability of completed defense systems, services, and enterprises.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$16,963,398,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	15,974,780,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,368,352,000
Change from budget request .....	- 606,428,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Navy, which includes the Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	112,617	112,617	---
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	18,230	18,230	---
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	484,459	484,459	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	615,306	615,306	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	104,513	104,513	---
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	145,307	145,307	---
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY.....	47,334	47,334	---
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	34,163	34,163	---
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	49,689	49,689	---
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	97,701	97,701	---
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	45,685	60,685	+15,000
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	6,060	6,060	---
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	103,050	103,050	---
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV.....	169,710	169,710	---
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	31,326	31,326	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	834,538	849,538	+15,000
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	48,201	48,201	---
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	28,328	28,328	---
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	56,179	56,179	---
20	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) ..	132,400	132,400	---
21	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	11,854	11,854	---
22	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV.....	247,931	252,931	+5,000
23	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	4,760	40,460	+35,700
25	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS.....	51,463	51,463	---
26	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY....	2,000	2,000	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	583,116	623,816	+40,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
27			
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS.....	42,246	39,246	-3,000
28			
AVIATION SURVIVABILITY.....	5,591	5,591	---
29			
DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	3,262	3,262	---
30			
AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.....	74	15,074	+15,000
31			
ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	7,964	6,964	-1,000
32			
TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE.....	5,257	5,257	---
33			
ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY.....	1,570	1,570	---
34			
SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	168,040	145,653	-22,387
35			
SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE.....	88,649	75,649	-13,000
36			
CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	83,902	80,902	-3,000
37			
PILOT FISH.....	108,713	108,713	---
38			
RETRACT LARCH.....	9,316	9,316	---
39			
RETRACT JUNIPER.....	77,108	77,108	---
40			
RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL.....	762	762	---
41			
SURFACE ASW.....	2,349	2,349	---
42			
ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT.....	852,977	850,182	-2,795
43			
SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	8,764	8,764	---
44			
SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN.....	20,501	15,156	-5,345
45			
SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES.....	27,052	22,052	-5,000
46			
ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	428,933	428,933	---
47			
ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS.....	27,154	18,144	-9,010
48			
CHALK EAGLE.....	519,140	519,140	---
49			
LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS).....	406,389	382,031	-24,358
50			
COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	36,570	4,963	-31,607
51			
CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....	8,404	8,404	---
52			
MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES.....	136,967	122,967	-14,000
53			
MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	1,489	1,489	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
54 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	38,422	35,023	-3,399
55 COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT.....	69,312	53,643	-15,669
56 OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	9,196	9,196	---
57 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.....	18,850	18,850	---
58 NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM.....	45,618	29,636	-15,982
59 FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT.....	3,019	3,019	---
60 CHALK CORAL.....	144,951	144,951	---
61 NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY.....	5,797	3,847	-1,950
62 RETRACT MAPLE.....	308,131	308,131	---
63 LINK PLUMERIA.....	195,189	195,189	---
64 RETRACT ELM.....	56,358	56,358	---
65 LINK EVERGREEN.....	55,378	55,378	---
66 SPECIAL PROCESSES.....	48,842	48,842	---
67 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	7,509	7,509	---
68 LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY.....	5,075	---	-5,075
69 NONLETHAL WEAPONS.....	51,178	49,278	-1,900
70 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS.....	205,615	168,178	-37,437
72 TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES.....	37,227	33,906	-3,321
73 ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION.....	169	169	---
74 JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE..	20,874	10,874	-10,000
75 PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	2,257	2,257	---
76 SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE..	38,327	31,327	-7,000
77 OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT.....	135,985	48,985	-87,000
78 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	50,362	49,062	-1,300
79 ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	8,448	4,908	-3,540
80 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	153	153	---
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	4,641,385	4,328,310	-313,075

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
81 OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	40,558	33,671	-6,887
82 AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV.....	35,825	33,325	-2,500
83 STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT.....	99,891	68,530	-31,361
84 MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT.....	17,565	17,565	---
85 AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING.....	4,026	4,026	---
86 P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	1,791	1,791	---
87 WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	11,725	8,523	-3,202
88 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM.....	68,463	63,463	-5,000
89 ADVANCED HAWKEYE.....	152,041	147,041	-5,000
90 H-1 UPGRADES.....	47,123	47,123	---
91 ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS.....	30,208	29,208	-1,000
92 V-22A.....	43,084	43,084	---
93 AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	11,401	6,901	-4,500
94 EA-18.....	11,138	11,138	---
95 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	34,964	34,964	---
96 VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	94,238	83,609	-10,629
97 NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ).....	257,796	157,937	-99,859
98 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY).....	3,302	3,302	---
99 SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING.....	240,298	320,298	+80,000
100 LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	1,214	1,214	---
101 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	46,007	37,925	-8,082
102 STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS.....	75,592	58,592	-17,000
103 AIRBORNE MCM.....	117,854	105,264	-12,590
104 MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	10,080	10,080	---
105 NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG.....	21,413	21,413	---
106 FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM.....	146,683	96,887	-49,796
107 ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS.....	275,871	193,071	-82,800
108 SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION.....	89,672	85,735	-3,937
109 AIR CONTROL.....	13,754	10,754	-3,000
110 SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS.....	69,615	69,615	---
112 NEW DESIGN SSN.....	121,566	131,566	+10,000
113 SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM.....	49,143	49,143	---
114 SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E.....	155,254	137,421	-17,833
115 NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES.....	3,689	3,689	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
116 MINE DEVELOPMENT.....	5,041	5,041	---
117 LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT.....	26,444	36,444	+10,000
118 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	8,897	8,897	---
119 PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS.....	6,233	6,233	---
120 JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS.....	442	442	---
121 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL).....	130,360	111,360	-19,000
122 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL).....	50,209	43,309	-6,900
123 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW).....	164,799	114,799	-50,000
124 INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING.....	1,984	1,984	---
125 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT.....	9,458	28,458	+19,000
126 NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM.....	51,430	47,430	-4,000
127 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD.....	512,631	497,727	-14,904
128 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF).....	534,187	522,745	-11,442
129 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	5,564	5,564	---
130 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	69,659	62,823	-6,836
132 CH-53K.....	503,180	494,180	-9,000
133 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM).....	5,500	---	-5,500
134 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA).....	317,358	302,358	-15,000
135 DDG-1000.....	187,910	187,910	---
136 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP.....	2,140	2,140	---
137 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS.....	9,406	9,406	---
138 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	22,800	22,800	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	5,028,476	4,639,918	-388,558

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
139 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	43,261	43,261	---
140 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	71,872	71,872	---
141 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	38,033	38,033	---
142 JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION....	1,352	1,352	---
143 STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY.....	5,566	5,566	---
144 CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES.....	48,345	48,345	---
146 TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES.....	637	637	---
147 MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	76,585	76,585	---
148 STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT.....	3,221	3,221	---
149 RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT.....	72,725	72,725	---
150 RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT.....	141,778	141,778	---
151 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	331,219	331,219	---
152 OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY.....	16,565	16,565	---
153 NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT.....	3,265	3,265	---
154 SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT.....	7,134	7,134	---
155 MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT.....	24,082	24,082	---
156 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES.....	497	497	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	886,137	886,137	---
-----			
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
159 HARPOON MODIFICATIONS.....	699	699	---
160 UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT.	20,961	20,961	---
162 MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS.....	35	35	---
163 CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON.....	2,460	1,230	-1,230
164 STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT.....	9,757	9,757	---
165 STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT.....	98,057	98,057	---
166 SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....	31,768	31,768	---
167 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	1,464	1,464	---
168 NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS.....	21,729	21,729	---
169 RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT).....	13,561	8,561	-5,000
170 F/A-18 SQUADRONS.....	131,118	124,618	-6,500
171 E-2 SQUADRONS.....	1,971	1,971	---
172 FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL).....	46,155	23,439	-22,716
173 SURFACE SUPPORT.....	2,374	2,374	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
174 TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) ..	12,407	12,407	---
175 INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	41,609	41,609	---
176 AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS.....	7,240	6,940	-300
177 GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR.....	78,208	75,208	-3,000
178 CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	45,124	39,124	-6,000
179 CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT.....	2,703	2,703	---
180 ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT.....	19,563	19,563	---
181 HARM IMPROVEMENT.....	13,586	13,586	---
182 TACTICAL DATA LINKS.....	197,538	189,886	-7,652
183 SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	31,863	31,863	---
184 MK-48 ADCAP.....	12,806	12,806	---
185 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS.....	88,607	78,608	-9,999
187 OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	116,928	116,928	---
188 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	178,753	177,153	-1,600
189 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS....	139,594	113,814	-25,780
190 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT.....	42,647	37,034	-5,613
191 USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)....	34,394	33,394	-1,000
192 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES.....	39,159	15,453	-23,706
193 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).....	2,613	2,613	---
194 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV).....	986	986	---
199 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE).....	66,231	66,231	---
200 CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES.....	24,476	24,476	---
201 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	23,531	23,531	---
206 NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)...	742	742	---
207 JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS.....	4,804	4,804	---
208 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	8,381	8,381	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
211 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	5,535	5,535	---
212 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	19,718	15,718	-4,000
213 RQ-4 UAV.....	375,235	375,235	---
214 MQ-8 UAV.....	48,713	12,523	-36,190
215 RQ-11 UAV.....	102	102	---
216 RQ-7 UAV.....	710	710	---
217 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO).....	5,013	5,013	---
219 RQ-21A.....	11,122	11,122	---
220 MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT.....	28,851	28,851	---
221 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT.....	5,116	5,116	---
222 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	28,042	28,042	---
223 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	50,933	50,933	---
224 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH).....	4,998	4,998	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	2,200,690	2,040,404	-160,286
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	1,185,132	1,384,923	+199,791
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.....	15,974,780	15,368,352	-606,428



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED</b>			
10 RESEARCH	45,685	60,685	15,000
Program increase - AGOR mid-life refit		15,000	
<b>FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED</b>			
22 TECHNOLOGY DEV	247,931	252,931	5,000
Program increase - ASW research		5,000	
<b>WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>			
23	4,760	40,460	35,700
Program increase - bone marrow registry program		31,500	
Program increase - tactical athlete program		4,200	
<b>AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS</b>			
27	42,246	39,246	-3,000
Precision time and astrometry program excess growth		-3,000	
<b>AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS</b>			
30	74	15,074	15,000
Program increase		15,000	
<b>ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>			
31	7,964	6,964	-1,000
Excess government engineering support		-1,000	
<b>SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE</b>			
34 COUNTERMEASURES	168,040	145,653	-22,387
Unmanned surface vehicle milestone slip		-4,000	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for large displacement unmanned undersea vehicle		-18,387	
<b>SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE</b>			
35	88,649	75,649	-13,000
Countermeasure anti-torpedo program delay		-10,000	
Combat rapid attack weapon unfunded outyear requirement		-3,000	
<b>CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>			
36	83,902	80,902	-3,000
Unjustified classified effort		-3,000	
<b>ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>			
42	852,977	850,182	-2,795
Test and evaluation support funding ahead of need		-2,795	
<b>SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN</b>			
44	20,501	15,156	-5,345
Program execution		-5,345	
<b>SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN &amp; FEASIBILITY STUDIES</b>			
45	27,052	22,052	-5,000
LX(R) program growth		-5,000	
<b>ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS</b>			
47	27,154	18,144	-9,010
Program execution		-9,010	
<b>LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)</b>			
49	406,389	382,031	-24,358
Program increase - small business technology insertion		10,000	
Program execution for project 3096		-34,358	
<b>COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION</b>			
50	36,570	4,963	-31,607
Warfare systems certification effort forward financed		-5,042	
Late level of effort contract awards for project 0164		-18,040	
Late level of effort contract awards for project 3312		-8,525	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
52	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	136,967	122,967	-14,000
	Program delay		-14,000	
	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	38,422	35,023	-3,399
54	DEVELOPMENT	38,422	35,023	-3,399
	Program execution for project 0377		-3,399	
55	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	69,312	53,643	-15,669
	Air and missile defense radar integration		-2,750	
	E-2C backfit		-5,419	
	Test and evaluation support growth		-2,200	
	Common array block antenna contract delay		-5,300	
58	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	45,618	29,636	-15,982
	Aircraft energy conservation program growth		-5,000	
	Program execution		-10,982	
61	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	5,797	3,847	-1,950
	Navy Pal payment system unjustified request		-1,950	
68	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	5,075	0	-5,075
	Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for advanced minor caliber gun		-5,075	
69	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	51,178	49,278	-1,900
	Program management growth		-1,900	
70	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	205,615	168,178	-37,437
	JPALS 1B lead platform integration delay		-20,000	
	JPALS 1B follow-on platform integration delay		-10,000	
	JPALS increment 2 test and evaluation ahead of need		-7,437	
	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	37,227	33,906	-3,321
72	COUNTERMEASURES	37,227	33,906	-3,321
	Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for common infrared countermeasure		-3,321	
	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	20,874	10,874	-10,000
74	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	20,874	10,874	-10,000
	Milestone C delay		-10,000	
	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	38,327	31,327	-7,000
76	ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	38,327	31,327	-7,000
	CNO rapid innovation cell program growth		-7,000	
	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT	135,985	48,985	-87,000
77	DEVELOPMENT	135,985	48,985	-87,000
	Excess development program growth		-87,000	
	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	50,362	49,062	-1,300
78	ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	50,362	49,062	-1,300
	Program management growth		-1,300	
79	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP	8,448	4,908	-3,540
	Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for navy underwater acoustic multiple sonobouy		-3,540	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
81	<b>OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>40,558</b>	<b>33,671</b>	<b>-6,887</b>
	MH-60S avionics development and integration rephasing		-2,000	
	H-60 AMCM IOC slip		-3,000	
	MH-XX development engineering support ahead of need		-1,887	
82	<b>AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV</b>	<b>35,825</b>	<b>33,325</b>	<b>-2,500</b>
	Readiness management plan ECP program growth		-2,500	
83	<b>STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>99,891</b>	<b>68,530</b>	<b>-31,361</b>
	CNS/ATM development program growth		-10,000	
	Avionics component improvement program growth		-2,500	
	Mid-air collision avoidance capability		-9,861	
	Excess support for project 0572		-9,000	
87	<b>WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM</b>	<b>11,725</b>	<b>8,523</b>	<b>-3,202</b>
	Combatant craft replacement program growth		-1,000	
	Program execution		-2,202	
88	<b>TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM</b>	<b>68,463</b>	<b>63,463</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
	Maritime tactical command control program in-house growth		-5,000	
89	<b>ADVANCED HAWKEYE</b>	<b>152,041</b>	<b>147,041</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
	Government engineering support growth		-5,000	
91	<b>ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS</b>	<b>30,208</b>	<b>29,208</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
	Management services growth		-1,000	
93	<b>AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>11,401</b>	<b>6,901</b>	<b>-4,500</b>
	Crew systems development growth		-2,000	
	Aircraft systems development growth		-2,500	
96	<b>VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>94,238</b>	<b>83,609</b>	<b>-10,629</b>
	Excess program management and support		-10,629	
97	<b>NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)</b>	<b>257,796</b>	<b>157,937</b>	<b>-99,859</b>
	Hardware development contract delay		-5,600	
	Program execution		-94,259	
99	<b>SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING</b>	<b>240,298</b>	<b>320,298</b>	<b>80,000</b>
	Support funding growth		-10,000	
	Excess future combat system development and integration funding		-10,000	
	Cruiser retention		100,000	
101	<b>SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)</b>	<b>46,007</b>	<b>37,925</b>	<b>-8,082</b>
	Small diameter bomb support funding growth		-4,000	
	Joint miniature munitions bomb rack government support funding carryover		-4,082	
102	<b>STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS</b>	<b>75,592</b>	<b>58,592</b>	<b>-17,000</b>
	SM-6 future capability demonstration ramp		-17,000	
103	<b>AIRBORNE MCM</b>	<b>117,854</b>	<b>105,264</b>	<b>-12,590</b>
	AN/AQS-24 test and evaluation ahead of need		-7,090	
	AN/AQS-24 excess support funding		-2,000	
	Excess AMNS support		-3,500	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
106 FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM Program execution	146,683	96,887 -49,796	-49,796
107 ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS Air and missile defense radar contract delay Dual band radar government engineering services growth	275,871	193,071 -79,800 -3,000	-82,800
108 SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION Submarine HDR antenna delay	89,672	85,735 -3,937	-3,937
109 AIR CONTROL AN/SPN-43C delay	13,754	10,754 -3,000	-3,000
112 NEW DESIGN SSN Program increase - small business technology insertion	121,566	131,566 10,000	10,000
114 SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E Energy initiative program delay Excess ship to shore connector support funding	155,254	137,421 -15,333 -2,500	-17,833
117 LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT Program increase - small business technology insertion	26,444	36,444 10,000	10,000
121 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) Ship self defense MK-2 system development ACB/TI growth Non-lethal weapons development program growth	130,360	111,360 -15,000 -4,000	-19,000
122 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) NATO Seasparrow objective configuration delay	50,209	43,309 -6,900	-6,900
123 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) SEWIP block 3 program delay	164,799	114,799 -50,000	-50,000
125 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT Program increase - wound care research Program increase - military dental research	9,458	28,458 13,000 6,000	19,000
126 NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM ISIS and photonics development and obsolescence program carryover	51,430	47,430 -4,000	-4,000
127 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD F-35B follow-on development ahead of need	512,631	497,727 -14,904	-14,904
128 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) F-35B follow-on development ahead of need	534,187	522,745 -11,442	-11,442
130 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT Joint technical data integration software development/hardware integration program delay Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for naval justice information system	69,659	62,823 -2,416 -4,420	-6,836
132 CH-53K Management support growth	503,180	494,180 -9,000	-9,000

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
133 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) Program uncertainty	5,500	0 -5,500	-5,500
134 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) P-8A spiral 2 development milestone B slip Program increase - small business technology insertion Program increase - sensor development	317,358	302,358 -30,000 10,000 5,000	-15,000
163 CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for carrier onboard delivery follow-on	2,460	1,230 -1,230	-1,230
169 RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) Program growth	13,561	8,561 -5,000	-5,000
170 F/A-18 SQUADRONS Excess small diameter bomb integration support	131,118	124,618 -6,500	-6,500
172 FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) Battle force tactical network ahead of need Joint aerial layer network - maritime program delay	46,155	23,439 -2,777 -19,939	-22,716
176 AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS Technology investigation unfunded outyear requirement	7,240	6,940 -300	-300
177 GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR Support growth	78,208	75,208 -3,000	-3,000
178 CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT Tactical combat training system/large area tracking range replacement program delay	45,124	39,124 -6,000	-6,000
182 TACTICAL DATA LINKS ATDLS support funding growth Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for network tactical common data link	197,538	189,886 -3,600 -4,052	-7,652
185 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS F-135 engine improvement plan lack of definition Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for carrier/amphibious assault ship crash crane	88,607	78,608 -9,104 -895	-9,999
188 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS CREW program management growth	178,753	177,153 -1,600	-1,600
MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING			
189 ARMS SYSTEMS Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for disable point target Marine Personnel Carrier program delay Assault Amphibious Vehicle schedule slip	139,594	113,814 -655 -20,875 -4,250	-25,780

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>190 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT</b>	<b>42,647</b>	<b>37,034</b>	<b>-5,613</b>
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for MTRV trailers		-748	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for family of tactical trailers		-175	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for family of material handling equipment		-171	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for enhanced environmental control unit		-992	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for hybrid generator/next generation power distribution system		-1,779	
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for advanced power sources		-1,748	
<b>USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE</b>			
<b>191 SYSTEMS (MIP)</b>	<b>34,394</b>	<b>33,394</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
CESAS program management support		-1,000	
<b>192 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES</b>	<b>39,159</b>	<b>15,453</b>	<b>-23,706</b>
Fiscal year 2013 delayed new start for AIM-9X block III		-23,706	
<b>DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE</b>			
<b>212 SYSTEMS</b>	<b>19,718</b>	<b>15,718</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
Excess support funding		-4,000	
<b>214 MQ-8 UAV</b>	<b>48,713</b>	<b>12,523</b>	<b>-36,190</b>
Program execution		-36,190	
<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>1,185,132</b>	<b>1,384,923</b>	<b>199,791</b>
Classified adjustment		199,791	

## OCEAN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee commends the Navy for its efforts in developing ocean energy technologies as defined in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. These efforts will ultimately result in reducing the cost of energy and increasing energy security at Department of Defense facilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to coordinate its development efforts with the Department of Energy and designated National Marine Renewable Energy Centers for ocean renewable energy demonstration activities at or near Department of Defense facilities.

## HIGHLY INTEGRATED PHOTONICS

The Committee is encouraged by the Navy's development of photonic technology to transmit and process information in wired and chip-level electronic components. Photonics can dramatically reduce platform size, weight, power requirements, and sustainment costs. This technology can also be used throughout the Department of Defense in various platforms. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue the development of this technology and looks forward to the incorporation of photonics in an operational platform.

## AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST

The Automated Test and Re-test (ATRT) project continues to achieve results. The reduced labor requirements and cost savings for programs that have utilized the tool are impressive. The Committee is concerned by reports that the Navy may not yet be fully supportive of the effort, may reduce the funding applied to the project in execution as well as in future budget years, and does not seem to have a plan to take full advantage of this tool. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees outlining the plan for the full implementation of ATRT. This report should include a funding plan and timeline for the continued development and technology transition of ATRT. This report shall be submitted not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act.

## BONE MARROW REGISTRY

The Committee recommendation includes \$31,500,000 for the Department of the Navy to be administered by the Bone Marrow Registry, also known as and referred to within the Naval Medical Research Center as the C.W. Bill Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program. Funds appropriated for the Bone Marrow Registry shall remain available only for the purposes for which they are appropriated, and may only be obligated for the Bone Marrow Registry. This Department of Defense donor center has recruited more than 750,000 Department of Defense volunteers, and provides more marrow donors per week than any other donor center in the Nation. More than 5,600 servicemembers and other Department volunteers from this donor center have provided marrow to save the lives of patients. The success of this national and international life-saving program for military and civilian patients, which now includes more than 11,000,000 potential volunteer do-

nors, is admirable. Further, the agencies involved in contingency planning are encouraged to continue to include the Bone Marrow Registry in the development and testing of their contingency plans. The Department of Defense form (DD Form 1414) shall show this as a congressional interest item. The Department is further directed to release all the funds appropriated for this purpose to the Bone Marrow Registry not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act.

SHIPBOARD LIGHTING

The Committee is aware that the Navy is extremely active in energy initiatives to reduce the acquisition and operating cost of the fleet. With light emitting diode (LED) lighting technology rapidly advancing, the replacement of existing fluorescent shipboard lighting with LED lights would seem to be an idea well suited for the Navy to explore as an energy initiative. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Navy to study the concept of converting shipboard lighting to LED lights.

COASTAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

The Committee understands the importance of the littoral region to the Navy. The Committee believes that additional research regarding the characteristics of the magnetic and electric fields in the coastal ocean regions and the development of predictive techniques to distinguish ships and submarines from naturally occurring background ocean features would pay dividends as the Navy shifts operations to the littoral regions. Therefore, the Committee urges the Secretary of the Navy to conduct additional research in this critical area.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$25,432,738,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	25,702,946,000
Committee recommendation .....	24,947,354,000
Change from budget request .....	- 755,592,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	373,151	373,151	---
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	138,333	138,333	---
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	13,286	13,286	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	524,770	524,770	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
4	MATERIALS.....	116,846	116,846	---
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES.....	119,672	119,672	---
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	89,483	109,483	+20,000
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION.....	197,546	197,546	---
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS.....	127,539	127,539	---
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY.....	104,063	94,063	-10,000
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....	81,521	81,521	---
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY.....	112,845	112,845	---
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS.....	138,161	138,161	---
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH.....	40,217	40,217	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,127,893	1,137,893	+10,000
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.....	39,572	39,572	---
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T).....	12,800	12,800	---
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS.....	30,579	30,579	---
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO.....	77,347	77,347	---
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY.....	149,321	149,321	---
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY.....	49,128	49,128	---
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY.....	68,071	60,071	-8,000
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS).....	26,299	26,299	---
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT...	20,967	20,967	---
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	33,996	33,996	---
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	19,000	19,000	---
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....	41,353	41,353	---
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.....	49,093	49,093	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	617,526	609,526	-8,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
28 INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	3,983	3,983	---
29 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	3,874	3,874	---
32 SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY.....	27,024	23,024	-4,000
33 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY.....	15,899	15,899	---
34 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	4,568	4,568	---
35 INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D.....	379	379	---
36 SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP).....	28,764	10,364	-18,400
38 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	86,737	86,737	---
40 POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL).....	953	953	---
42 NEXT GENERATION BOMBER.....	379,437	379,437	---
44 TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.....	2,606	2,606	---
45 HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM.....	103	103	---
47 REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION.....	16,018	16,018	---
49 AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER.....	58,861	58,861	---
50 JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION.....	2,500	2,500	---
51 GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT.....	21,175	21,175	---
53 TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM.....	13,636	13,636	---
54 SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM - SPACE ACTIVITIES.....	2,799	2,799	---
55 THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR.....	70,160	59,160	-11,000
56 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)....	137,233	127,233	-10,000
	-----		
TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT.....	876,709	833,309	-43,400

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
58 INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	977	977	---
61 SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING.....	3,601	3,601	---
62 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	1,971	1,971	---
64 TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE.....	51,456	51,456	---
65 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	50	50	---
66 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	115,000	115,000	---
67 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS.....	23,930	23,930	---
68 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS.....	400,258	350,258	-50,000
69 AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK.....	4,575	4,575	---
70 SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD.....	352,532	322,832	-29,700
71 ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	16,284	16,284	---
72 SUBMUNITIONS.....	2,564	2,564	---
73 AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT.....	17,036	17,036	---
74 LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS.....	7,273	7,273	---
75 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES.....	33,200	33,200	---
78 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF).....	816,335	798,535	-17,800
79 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	145,442	140,442	-5,000
80 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE).....	27,963	24,963	-3,000
81 LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON.....	5,000	5,000	---
82 ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION.....	129,411	129,411	---
83 F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B.....	131,100	118,000	-13,100
84 NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT.....	1,558,590	1,558,590	---
85 CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION.....	393,558	333,558	-60,000
86 HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E.....	6,242	6,242	---
87 ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	272,872	258,872	-14,000
88 POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	124,805	124,805	---
89 WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE).....	13,948	13,948	---
90 B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.....	303,500	273,500	-30,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
91 NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION.....	67,874	55,874	-12,000
94 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING.....	4,663	4,663	---
97 CV-22.....	46,705	46,705	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	5,078,715	4,844,115	-234,600
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
99 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	17,690	17,690	---
100 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	34,841	34,841	---
101 RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE.....	32,956	32,956	---
103 INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION.....	13,610	13,610	---
104 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	742,658	742,658	---
105 ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE).....	14,203	14,203	---
106 SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP).....	13,000	13,000	---
107 FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL..	44,160	44,160	---
108 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT..	27,643	27,643	---
109 MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE.....	13,935	13,935	---
110 SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE.....	192,348	182,348	-10,000
111 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	28,647	28,647	---
112 GENERAL SKILL TRAINING.....	315	315	---
114 INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES.....	3,785	3,785	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,179,791	1,169,791	-10,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
115 GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT.....	383,500	365,500	-18,000
117 WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE.....	5,000	5,000	---
118 AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM..	90,097	90,097	---
119 ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY.....	32,086	32,086	---
121 B-52 SQUADRONS.....	24,007	24,007	---
122 AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM).....	450	450	---
123 B-1B SQUADRONS.....	19,589	19,589	---
124 B-2 SQUADRONS.....	100,194	87,810	-12,384
125 STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM.....	37,448	37,448	---
128 REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION..	1,700	1,700	---
130 WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRAN	3,844	3,844	---
131 MQ-9 UAV.....	128,328	128,328	---
133 A-10 SQUADRONS.....	9,614	9,614	---
134 F-16 SQUADRONS.....	177,298	167,298	-10,000
135 F-15E SQUADRONS.....	244,289	234,289	-10,000
136 MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION.....	13,138	13,138	---
137 F-22 SQUADRONS.....	328,542	328,542	---
138 F-35 SQUADRONS.....	33,000	10,000	-23,000
139 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES.....	15,460	15,460	---
140 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).....	84,172	84,172	---
142 COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY.....	2,582	2,582	---
143 COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE.....	542	542	---
144 AF TENCAP.....	89,816	89,816	---
145 PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT.....	1,075	1,075	---
146 COMPASS CALL.....	10,782	10,782	---
147 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	139,369	108,239	-31,130
148 ISR INNOVATIONS FUND.....	---	3,000	+3,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
149 JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM).....	6,373	6,373	---
150 AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC).....	22,820	22,820	---
151 CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC).....	7,029	7,029	---
152 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS).....	186,256	176,856	-9,400
153 TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	743	743	---
156 COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES.....	4,471	4,471	---
158 TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY--MOD.....	10,250	10,250	---
159 C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK.....	1,431	1,431	---
160 COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION.....	7,329	7,329	---
161 DCAPEs.....	15,081	15,081	---
162 JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM.....	13,248	23,248	+10,000
163 SEEK EAGLE.....	24,342	24,342	---
164 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION.....	10,448	10,448	---
165 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS.....	5,512	5,512	---
166 DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES.....	3,301	3,301	---
167 MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS.....	62,605	62,605	---
169 CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES.....	68,099	68,099	---
170 AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS.....	14,047	14,047	---
171 AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS.....	5,853	5,853	---
179 SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE.....	12,197	10,697	-1,500
180 E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC).....	18,267	18,267	---
181 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK....	36,288	18,581	-17,707
182 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	90,231	90,231	---
183 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	725	725	---
185 MILSATCOM TERMINALS.....	140,170	140,170	---
187 AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE.....	117,110	117,110	---
190 GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATH).....	4,430	4,430	---
191 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	2,048	2,048	---
192 DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER.....	288	288	---
193 SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE).....	35,698	35,698	---
194 WEATHER SERVICE.....	24,667	24,667	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
195 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)	35,674	29,674	-6,000
196 AERIAL TARGETS	21,186	21,186	---
199 SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	195	195	---
200 ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	1,430	1,430	---
201 DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	330	330	---
206 SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,696	3,696	---
207 SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,469	2,469	---
208 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	8,289	8,289	---
209 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	13,345	13,345	---
211 DRAGON U-2	18,700	13,700	-5,000
212 ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	3,000	3,000	---
213 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	37,828	37,828	---
214 MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,491	13,491	---
215 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	7,498	5,498	-2,000
216 PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	3,326	3,326	---
217 RQ-4 UAV	134,406	164,406	+30,000
218 NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	7,413	7,413	---
219 COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	40,503	40,503	---
220 NATO AGS	264,134	264,134	---
221 SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	23,016	23,016	---
222 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	221,276	206,276	-15,000
223 JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	58,523	56,523	-2,000
224 RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	2,218	2,218	---
226 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	50,547	50,547	---
227 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	18,807	12,807	-6,000
229 SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	1,079	1,079	---
230 C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	400	26,400	+26,000
231 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	61,492	61,492	---
232 C-17 AIRCRAFT	109,134	106,134	-3,000
233 C-130J PROGRAM	22,443	32,443	+10,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
234 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCIM).....	4,116	4,116	---
238 OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT.....	44,553	44,553	---
239 SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL.....	6,213	6,213	---
240 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	1,605	1,605	---
242 LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT).....	95,238	65,238	-30,000
243 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	10,925	20,925	+10,000
244 OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING.....	1,347	1,347	---
245 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES.....	65	65	---
246 JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY.....	1,083	1,083	---
247 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM.....	1,577	1,577	---
248 PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION.....	5,990	5,990	---
249 AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY.....	786	786	---
250 FACILITIES OPERATION--ADMINISTRATION.....	654	654	---
251 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT..	135,735	135,735	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	4,423,014	4,309,893	-113,121
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	11,874,528	11,518,057	-356,471
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE	25,702,946	24,947,354	-755,592



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH Program increase	89,483	109,483 20,000	20,000
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY Hold to fiscal year 2013 level	104,063	94,063 -10,000	-10,000
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY Hold to fiscal year 2013 level	68,071	60,071 -8,000	-8,000
32	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY Hold to fiscal year 2013 level	27,024	23,024 -4,000	-4,000
36	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) SATCOM resiliency new start / slow execution for threat mitigation	28,764	10,364 -18,400	-18,400
55	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG RANGE RADAR (3DELRR) Program delay	70,160	59,160 -11,000	-11,000
56	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) Excessive growth in management services	137,233	127,233 -10,000	-10,000
68	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS One year schedule delay	400,258	350,258 -50,000	-50,000
70	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH Modernization projects execution delays excluding exploitation efforts	352,532	322,832 -29,700	-29,700
78	F-35 Deployability and Suitability Enhancements delay	816,335	798,535 -17,800	-17,800
79	ICBM - EMD Transporter Erector Replacement excess funds	145,442	140,442 -5,000	-5,000
80	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE) Hold support costs to fiscal year 2013 level, dual launch	27,963	24,963 -3,000	-3,000
83	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B Execution delays	131,100	118,000 -13,100	-13,100
85	COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER Program delays / projected savings pending updated program estimate	393,558	333,558 -60,000	-60,000
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) Ahead of need / excess growth in hosted payload and business operations support	272,872	258,872 -14,000	-14,000
90	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Rapid Acquisition Initiative savings	303,500	273,500 -30,000	-30,000

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
91	<b>NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION</b> B61 LEP engineering and manufacturing development phase 1 funds ahead of need	67,874	55,874 -12,000	-12,000
110	<b>SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER CIVILIAN WORKFORCE</b> Excess to need	192,348	182,348 -10,000	-10,000
	<b>GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III - OPERATIONAL</b>			
115	<b>CONTROL SEGMENT</b> Excessive growth in FFRDC support	383,500	365,500 -18,000	-18,000
124	<b>B-2 SQUADRONS</b> Common VLF receiver increment 1 contract delay	100,194	87,810 -12,384	-12,384
134	<b>F-16 SQUADRONS</b> CAPES execution delays	177,298	167,298 -10,000	-10,000
135	<b>F-15E SQUADRONS</b> EPAWSS contract delays	244,289	234,289 -10,000	-10,000
138	<b>F-35 SQUADRONS</b> Block 4 ahead of need	33,000	10,000 -23,000	-23,000
	<b>AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</b>			
147	<b>PROGRAM</b> F135 engine program delays	139,369	108,239 -31,130	-31,130
148	<b>ISR INNOVATIONS</b> Additional flight test funding	0	3,000 3,000	3,000
152	<b>AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)</b> Electronic Protection delays	186,256	176,856 -9,400	-9,400
162	<b>JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM</b> T-3 test aircraft	13,248	23,248 10,000	10,000
179	<b>SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE</b> Hold to fiscal year 2013 level	12,197	10,697 -1,500	-1,500
	<b>MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)</b>			
181	<b>NETWORK (MEECN)</b> GASNT program delays	36,288	18,581 -17,707	-17,707
	<b>AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCAL)</b>			
195	<b>SYSTEM (ATCAL)</b> D-RAPCON engineering and manufacturing development contract delay	35,674	29,674 -6,000	-6,000
211	<b>DRAGON U-2</b> Program excess	18,700	13,700 -5,000	-5,000
215	<b>DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS</b> Inadequate justification	7,498	5,498 -2,000	-2,000
217	<b>RQ-4 UAV</b> Multiple execution delays Integrate and test U-2 sensors on Block 30	134,406	164,406 -20,000 50,000	30,000

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
222	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT Ahead of need	221,276	206,276 -15,000	-15,000
223	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM Underexecution	58,523	56,523 -2,000	-2,000
227	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS One year schedule delay	18,807	12,807 -6,000	-6,000
230	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON Propulsion system propeller upgrades	400	26,400 26,000	26,000
232	C-17 AIRCRAFT Program management administration growth	109,134	106,134 -3,000	-3,000
233	C-130J PROGRAM Block 8.1 shortfall	22,443	32,443 10,000	10,000
242	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) Program excess	95,238	65,238 -30,000	-30,000
243	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT Alternate energy research	10,925	20,925 10,000	10,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	11,874,528	11,518,057 -356,471	-356,471

## GLOBAL HAWK BLOCK 30

The Committee continues to support retention of the Global Hawk Block 30 fleet and includes language in the Act directing the Air Force to execute funds previously appropriated for the procurement of three additional Block 30 aircraft, sensors (including the Enhanced Integrated Sensor Suite and Airborne Signals Intelligence Payload), and accompanying equipment and services. The Air Force recently reported to the Committee that the adoption of U-2 sensors to Block 30 aircraft is feasible and less costly than improvement of Block 30 sensors to the level of “parity” with the U-2. The Committee recommendation includes \$50,000,000 only for the integration, test, and demonstration of U-2 imagery sensors on a Block 30 aircraft. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit an execution plan for these additional funds to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act.

## JSTARS TEST AIRCRAFT

The Committee is concerned by the Air Force’s proposal in the budget request to place the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) T-3 test aircraft in preservation storage. The Committee is concerned by the impact that this proposal will have on current integration and test efforts, especially those required by the National Guard. The Committee therefore recommends an additional \$10,000,000 to continue normal operations of the JSTARS test aircraft.

## HARD TARGET NEW START EFFORTS

The budget request includes \$14,000,000 for two new starts related to hard targets, including \$2,500,000 for the 5,000-pound Joint Direct Attack Munitions (5K JDAM) demonstration under the JDAM program and \$11,500,000 for the advanced 2,000-pound penetrator (A2K) demonstration under Armament/Ordnance Development. The Committee notes that an analysis of alternatives (AoA) on hard target munitions is underway and is scheduled to complete in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for these new start efforts but directs that no funds shall be obligated or expended for 5K JDAM or A2K until 15 days after the Secretary of the Air Force reports to the congressional defense committees on the results of the approved hard target munitions AoA and describes how these new start efforts are consistent with those results.

## AIRBORNE SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR/MOVING TARGET INDICATOR

The Committee is concerned by the lack of funding in the budget request for efforts to further the airborne synthetic aperture radar/moving target indicator (SAR/MTI) mission, despite the completion of the airborne SAR/MTI and Joint STARS mission area analysis of alternatives (AoA) in June 2012. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to include all necessary funding required to initiate any acquisition effort pursuant to a material development decision for airborne SAR/MTI systems in its fiscal year 2015 budget request. The Committee also notes that \$10,000,000 was pro-

vided in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013, to initiate any such effort, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to notify the congressional defense committees not later than September 30, 2013 of its intentions regarding the use of these funds.

#### F-22 OPEN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The Committee is supportive of recent efforts by the Air Force to pursue an open system architecture for F-22 mission systems, which will allow the introduction of greater competition in the acquisition of existing and future upgrades to the only existing operational fifth-generation fighter aircraft possessed by the U.S. Armed Forces. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to increase its exploitation of this open systems architecture and to subject a greater number of modernization and modification efforts to competitive procurement.

#### KC-46A

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue to submit quarterly reports on any KC-46A contract modifications with a cost greater than or equal to \$5,000,000, as directed by the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012.

#### CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, AND NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY

The Committee continues to direct the Secretary of the Air Force to make high priority investments in technologies and systems that will ensure the safety of pilots in environments contaminated by chemical or biological agents as well as the timely decontamination of aircraft and equipment that may be affected by exposure to such environments, and to ensure that funding for such investments is adequately phased to support chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) survivability for all systems designated as CBRN mission critical.

#### STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL METALS

The Committee recognizes the importance of strategic and critical metals such as aluminum, beryllium, nickel-based superalloys, and titanium. As these metals are used in aircraft, jet engines, and other critical weapon systems and defense products, the Committee emphasizes the important role that the availability and cost-efficiency of these metals play in the affordability of weapon systems. The public-private partnership between the Air Force Research Laboratory and the Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium aims to reduce the cost of these metals and the time needed to incorporate such metals into systems. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of Defense to pursue both cooperative and competitive efforts to solve challenges related to affordability and implementation.

#### AIRCRAFT WINDOWS

As an alternative to replacing polycarbonate and acrylic windows on fixed-wing and rotary-wing aircraft, the Committee encourages

the Secretary of Defense to explore and utilize new technologies, where appropriate, that can improve aircrew and passenger survivability while reducing operating costs.

#### LASER-DRIVEN X-RAY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee continues to place a high priority on research that addresses the threats of nuclear proliferation and nuclear terrorism, as well as research that addresses efficient and cost-effective maintenance of heavy equipment and personnel safety. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to conduct research in new, laser-driven x-ray technologies with a proven capability to detect nuclear weapons and investigate heavy equipment to identify potential equipment failures before they happen.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE PROGRAM PLANNING AND EXECUTION

The Committee continues to monitor the debate within the National Security Space community over how to most cost effectively design and manage space programs. The Committee is concerned that affinity for certain architecture concepts may be affecting program execution and putting at risk operations of some of the Nation's most important and critical national security space capabilities. To help inform the debate and assist in putting the nation's space programs on a sustainable plan for the future, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation is directed to update the space industrial base study to quantitatively assess the consequences of the various acquisition approaches being advocated within the space community. The approaches reviewed should include, but not be limited to, new architectures of small satellites, evolution of legacy systems, and leveraging of commercial systems. The report should address the complete system, including the space segment, ground segment, and user terminals such that a complete cost to implement is understood. The results shall be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than December 31, 2013.

#### SPACE MODERNIZATION INITIATIVE

The Committee is concerned by the inability of the Air Force to define its Space Modernization Initiative (SMI), despite many questions by the Committee. Key to the discussion is the relevance of SMI-funded projects to the programs of record within which they are funded and the ability of those projects to have a significant and cost-effective contribution to those programs. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, which provides a definition of space modernization initiative (or initiatives, if it differs by program). The report should describe how projects or concepts are proposed; explain how concepts are evaluated for funding, including relevance to the program of record and if certain project types would be excluded from SMI; define who is responsible for decisions, if there is a review board, and if current industry participants or other stakeholders outside the Air Force are involved in proposing or evaluating con-

cepts; and define how effectiveness will be evaluated, both of individual projects and of SMI as a whole.

SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM GROUND ENHANCEMENTS

In fiscal year 2013, the Air Force was provided \$40,000,000 for acceleration of the Space Based Infrared System (SBIRS) ground segment automated sensor tasking and an additional \$40,000,000 for ground enhancements. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide an update to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act regarding the progress of these SBIRS elements, the status of the funds, and the schedule adjustment for automated sensor tasking that resulted from the funding.

HUMAN PERFORMANCE SENSING

The Committee recognizes and supports the Air Force Research Laboratory’s (AFRL) research into human performance sensing, which is a key element of human-machine interface technology. Advances in nano-biomaterial technology hold the potential to greatly increase human performance sensing capability, thereby improving mission performance and personnel safety. The Committee encourages the AFRL to continue its research into the manufacture of nano-biomaterial sensors capable of detecting biomarkers and other substances which correlate to conditions such as stress, fatigue, and organ damage.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION,  
DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$18,631,946,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	17,667,108,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,885,538,000
Change from budget request .....	+218,430,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of Defense for defense-wide activities. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH..	45,837	45,837	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	315,033	315,033	---
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	11,171	11,171	---
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE.....	49,500	49,500	---
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.....	84,271	84,271	---
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU).....	30,895	35,895	+5,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	51,426	51,426	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	588,133	593,133	+5,000
APPLIED RESEARCH				
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	20,065	20,065	---
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	114,790	114,790	---
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	46,875	46,875	---
13	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES.....	45,000	45,000	---
14	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	413,260	413,260	---
15	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS.....	16,330	16,330	---
17	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE.....	24,537	24,537	---
18	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	227,065	227,065	---
20	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH.....	18,908	18,908	---
22	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	225,977	228,209	+2,232
23	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	166,654	166,654	---
24	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY.....	243,469	243,469	---
25	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES.....	175,282	175,282	---
26	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	11,107	11,107	---
27	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	29,246	29,246	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,778,565	1,780,797	+2,232



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
28 JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD	26,646	20,146	-6,500
29 SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	19,420	19,420	---
30 COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT.....	77,792	87,792	+10,000
31 COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	274,033	274,033	---
32 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY.....	309,203	199,203	-110,000
32X COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY.....	---	70,000	+70,000
34 JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	19,305	19,305	---
35 AGILE TRANSPOR FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - THEATER CA	7,565	7,565	---
36 SPECIAL PROGRAM--MDA TECHNOLOGY.....	40,426	40,426	---
37 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS.....	149,804	149,804	---
38 SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY.....	172,546	172,546	---
39 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEV	170,847	170,847	---
40 JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	9,009	9,009	---
41 JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	174,428	167,428	-7,000
42 NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES.....	20,000	20,000	---
45 CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH.....	19,668	14,668	-5,000
47 DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG	34,041	34,041	---
48 EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	61,971	53,971	-8,000
50 GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	20,000	20,000	---
51 DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.....	30,256	20,256	-10,000
52 STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	72,324	72,324	---
53 MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT....	82,700	82,700	---
54 JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM.....	8,431	8,431	---
55 ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES.....	117,080	117,080	---
57 COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	239,078	239,078	---
59 NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	259,006	259,006	---
60 SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....	286,364	286,364	---
DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM.....	---	250,000	+250,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
61 DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT..	12,116	12,116	---
62 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	19,008	19,008	---
63 QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS.....	78,532	78,532	---
65 JOINT EXPERIMENTATION.....	12,667	12,667	---
66 MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE.....	41,370	34,370	-7,000
69 TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY.....	92,508	92,508	---
70 OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT.....	52,001	52,001	---
71 CWMD SYSTEMS.....	52,053	52,053	---
72 SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT....	46,809	44,309	-2,500
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	3,109,007	3,283,007	+174,000
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
75 NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT..	63,641	63,641	---
76 RETRACT LARCH.....	19,152	19,152	---
77 WALKOFF.....	70,763	70,763	---
79 ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	17,230	17,230	---
80 ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	71,453	66,453	-5,000
81 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT....	268,990	268,990	---
82 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT...	1,033,903	1,104,103	+70,200
83 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	196,237	196,237	---
84 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS.....	315,183	361,783	+46,600
86 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS.....	377,605	372,605	-5,000
87 SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA.....	286,613	266,613	-20,000
88 AEGIS BMD.....	937,056	937,056	---
89 SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM.....	44,947	44,947	---
90 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS.....	6,515	6,515	---
91 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC.....	418,355	418,355	---
92 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT....	47,419	47,419	---
93 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).....	52,131	52,131	---
94 REGARDING TRENCH.....	13,864	13,864	---
95 SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX).....	44,478	44,478	---
96 ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS.....	95,782	268,782	+173,000
97 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST.....	375,866	375,866	---
98 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS.....	495,257	491,432	-3,825
99 HUMANITARIAN DEMINING.....	11,704	11,704	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
100 COALITION WARFARE.....	9,842	9,842	---
101 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM.....	3,312	13,312	+10,000
102 ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES.....	130,000	15,000	-115,000
103 DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT..	8,300	8,300	---
104 WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE.....	30,000	30,000	---
108 JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	7,402	7,402	---
110 JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM.....	7,506	7,506	---
111 LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3).....	129,374	129,374	---
112 AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT.....	308,522	308,522	---
115 JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM.....	3,169	3,169	---
116 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	946	946	---
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	5,902,517	6,053,492	+150,975
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
118 NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT..	8,155	8,155	---
119 PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT.....	65,440	65,440	---
120 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	451,306	451,306	---
122 ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)..	29,138	29,138	---
123 JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	19,475	19,475	---
124 WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES.....	12,901	12,901	---
125 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	13,812	13,812	---
126 HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	386	386	---
127 DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM.....	3,763	3,763	---
128 OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.....	6,788	6,788	---
129 DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION..	27,917	27,917	---
130 DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION.....	22,297	22,297	---
131 DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM.....	51,689	51,689	---
132 DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY.....	6,184	6,184	---
133 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	12,083	12,083	---
134 DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)...	3,302	3,302	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	734,636	734,636	---
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
135 DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS).....	6,393	6,393	---
136 JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT.....	2,479	2,479	---
137 CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT....	240,213	179,713	-60,500
138 ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS.....	2,127	2,127	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
139 THERMAL VICAR.....	8,287	8,287	---
140 JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC).....	31,000	31,000	---
141 TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS.....	24,379	24,379	---
143 FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION.....	54,311	54,311	---
144 JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION....	47,462	47,462	---
145 CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P).....	---	94,000	+94,000
146 FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING.....	12,134	12,134	---
147 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.....	44,237	44,237	---
148 STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT.....	5,871	5,871	---
149 NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY.....	5,028	5,028	---
150 SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION.....	6,301	6,301	---
151 GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE).....	6,504	6,504	---
152 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	92,046	92,046	---
158 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTR	1,868	1,868	---
159 DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS.....	8,362	8,362	---
160 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC).....	56,024	56,024	---
161 R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	6,908	6,908	---
162 DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION.....	15,451	19,451	+4,000
164 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT).....	71,659	71,659	---
165 BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS.....	4,083	4,083	---
167 OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC).....	5,306	5,306	---
168 JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT.....	2,097	2,097	---
172 SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES...	8,394	8,394	---
175 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)...	7,624	7,624	---
178 COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION.	43,247	43,247	---
179 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA.....	37,712	37,712	---
180 IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES.....	607	607	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	54,914	54,914	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	913,028	950,528	+37,500

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
182 ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS).....	7,552	7,552	---
183 REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	3,270	3,270	---
184 OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	287	287	---
185 INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT.....	14,000	10,000	-4,000
186 OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	1,955	1,955	---
187 GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT.....	13,250	13,250	---
188 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D	13,026	13,026	---
190 JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY.....	12,652	12,652	---
191 PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM.....	3,061	3,061	---
192 C4I INTEROPERABILITY.....	72,726	72,726	---
194 JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING.....	6,524	6,524	---
201 NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT.....	512	512	---
202 DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION.	12,867	12,867	---
203 LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS).....	36,565	36,565	---
204 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK....	13,144	13,144	---
205 PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI).....	1,060	1,060	---
206 KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI).....	33,279	33,279	---
207 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	10,673	10,673	---
208 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	181,567	181,567	---
210 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	34,288	34,288	---
211 JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER.....	7,741	7,741	---
212 NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES).....	3,325	3,325	---
213 JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE.....	1,246	1,246	---
214 TELEPORT PROGRAM.....	5,147	5,147	---
216 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES.....	17,352	17,352	---
220 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	3,658	3,658	---
221 CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP).....	9,752	9,752	---
225 POLICY R&D PROGRAMS.....	3,210	3,210	---
227 NET CENTRICITY.....	21,602	21,602	---
230 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	5,195	5,195	---
233 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	3,348	3,348	---
235 MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV.....	641	641	---
238 HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.....	2,338	2,338	---
239 INT'L INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCEMENT.	4,372	4,372	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
247 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	24,691	24,691	---
248 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	4,659	4,659	---
249 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS).....	3,533	3,533	---
250 MQ-9 UAV.....	1,314	1,314	---
254 SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV.....	156,561	142,868	-13,693
256 SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT...	7,705	7,705	---
257 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS.....	42,620	42,620	---
261 WARRIOR SYSTEMS.....	17,970	17,970	---
262 SPECIAL PROGRAMS.....	7,424	7,424	---
268 SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	2,206	2,206	---
271 SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS.....	18,325	19,481	+1,156
274 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES.....	3,304	3,304	---
275 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE.....	16,021	16,021	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	867,518	850,981	-16,537
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	3,773,704	3,638,964	-134,740
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE.	17,667,108	17,885,538	+218,430

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>6</b>			
<b>HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES &amp; UNIVERSITIES (HBCU)</b>			
	30,895	35,895	5,000
		5,000	
<b>22</b>			
<b>TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY</b>			
	225,977	228,209	2,232
		2,232	
<b>28</b>			
<b>JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>			
	26,646	20,146	-6,500
		-6,500	
<b>30</b>			
<b>COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT</b>			
	77,792	87,792	10,000
		10,000	
<b>32</b>			
<b>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY</b>			
	309,203	199,203	-110,000
		-20,000	
		-20,000	
		-70,000	
<b>32X</b>			
<b>COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY</b>			
	0	70,000	70,000
		70,000	
<b>41</b>			
<b>JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS</b>			
	174,428	167,428	-7,000
		-7,000	
<b>45</b>			
<b>CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH</b>			
	19,668	14,668	-5,000
		-5,000	
<b>48</b>			
<b>EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>			
	61,971	53,971	-8,000
		-8,000	
<b>51</b>			
<b>DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY</b>			
	30,256	20,256	-10,000
		-10,000	
<b>60XX</b>			
<b>DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION FUND</b>			
	0	250,000	250,000
		250,000	
<b>66</b>			
<b>MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE</b>			
	41,370	34,370	-7,000
		-7,000	
<b>72</b>			
<b>SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>			
	46,809	44,309	-2,500
		-2,500	
<b>80</b>			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM</b>			
	71,453	66,453	-5,000
		-5,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT</b>			
82 Acceleration of potential third site	1,033,903	1,104,103	70,200
84 <b>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS</b>	315,183	361,783	46,600
Sensors directorate operations - previously funded		-3,400	
Enhanced discrimination capability		50,000	
86 <b>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS</b>	377,605	372,605	-5,000
Manufacturing and producibility - unjustified growth		-2,000	
Information assurance/computer network defense - unjustified growth		-3,000	
87 <b>SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA</b>	286,613	266,613	-20,000
Program adjustment		-20,000	
96 <b>ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS</b>	95,782	268,782	173,000
Israeli Upper tier		22,100	
Israeli Arrow program		33,700	
Short range ballistic missile defense		117,200	
98 <b>BMD Targets</b>	495,257	491,432	-3,825
Program operations - unjustified growth		-3,825	
101 <b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM</b>	3,312	13,312	10,000
Program increase		10,000	
102 <b>ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES</b>	130,000	15,000	-115,000
Program adjustment to special capabilities new start		-115,000	
<b>CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT</b>			
137 Electronic Warfare Test Capability - transfer to OT&E, D line 3	240,213	179,713	-60,500
145 <b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)</b>	0	94,000	94,000
Classified adjustment		94,000	
162 <b>DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION</b>	15,451	19,451	4,000
Program adjustment		4,000	
<b>INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT</b>			
185 Program adjustment	14,000	10,000	-4,000
<b>SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT</b>			
254 ESA for MC-130H - fiscal year 2013 delayed new start	156,561	142,868	-13,693
C-130 TF radar system - early to need		-911	
		-12,782	
271 <b>SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS</b>	18,325	19,481	1,156
Transfer from P, DW line 69		1,156	
<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	3,773,704	3,638,964	-134,740
Classified adjustment		-134,740	



## HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY-SERVING INSTITUTIONS

The Committee is encouraged that the Department of Defense is firmly committed to vigorous efforts with respect to Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority-Serving Institutions (HBCU/MI). The Committee is aware that the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) issued guidance on December 2, 2011 calling for the reinvigoration of the relationship between the Department of Defense and the HBCU/MIs.

Further, the Committee is concerned about the long-term development of the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) workforce pipeline for underrepresented minorities, and notes the National Academy of Sciences' recommendation that the federal government increase funding for undergraduate and graduate STEM programs focused on increasing the participation and success of minority students through engaged mentoring, enriching research experiences, and opportunities to publish, present, and network. Consistent with the report of the National Academy of Sciences "Expanding Underrepresented Minority Participation: America's Science and Technology Talent at the Crossroads," the Committee encourages the Department to emphasize STEM education improvement within the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions program. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider these factors when awarding competitive funding under this program, as well as ensuring that selected programs have a sufficiently large cohort of students to allow for effective peer-to-peer mentoring, which has proven to be an effective model for ensuring the success of underrepresented minority students in the sciences.

## CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE

The Committee recognizes the significance of having a Prompt Global Strike capability, and is aware of the successful test of the Army's Advanced Hypersonic Weapon conducted on November 17, 2011. Further, the Committee is aware that the planned use of over \$200,000,000 of fiscal year 2013 funding is sufficient to conduct an additional test of the Conventional Prompt Global Strike capability. While the Committee understands the desire to have a possible sea-launched option, the Committee is also aware of the growing possibility of near-term threats. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to follow through on its stated intent regarding the fiscal year 2013 funding by expeditiously scheduling follow-on plans for a second flight test of the Army's Advanced Hypersonic Weapon.

## CORROSION CONTROL

The Committee is encouraged by Department of Defense efforts to advance innovative, joint Service approaches to corrosion prevention and corrosion control, but remains concerned by the continued costs and impact of corrosion on military equipment and infrastructure. The Committee believes the use of a comprehensive, online repository consisting of advanced corrosion technical data, industry findings, and professional corrosion studies would strengthen exist-

ing Department of Defense corrosion efforts, prevent unnecessary and duplicative single Service research pursuits, and generate significant cost savings across the military Services. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Director of the Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight to develop a comprehensive, online corrosion analysis database, in collaboration with leading professional societies with expertise in the effects of corrosion, to support the Department's Corrosion Prevention and Control Program.

#### SPECIALTY METALS

The Committee applauds the Department of Defense for its March 2013 Final Rule to revise the definition of "produce" as it applies to specialty metals. This rule helps maintain a strong domestic armor steel plate industry and strengthens the defense industrial base, as well as the overall economic strength of the United States. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue to incentivize investment in the manufacturing capacity, process technology, research and development necessary to meet the needs of the U.S. military, thereby reducing the possibility of supply shortages.

#### HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

The Committee recognizes the importance of the Department of Defense's ability to apply high performance computing to gain military superiority for the warfighter. The Committee also recognizes the importance of high performance computing in the broader defense scientific and technology community to enable faster and more capable processors designed to save energy and overcome speed barriers. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the fiscal year 2014 request, and recommends a sustained modernization program at a level not less than the amount enacted for fiscal year 2012.

#### MANUFACTURING EXPERIMENTATION AND OUTREACH

The Committee is encouraged by the progress of the Manufacturing Experimentation and Outreach program within the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency's (DARPA) Tactical Technology Office. This program engages high school-age students in a series of collaborative design and distributed manufacturing experiments by deploying up to a thousand computer-numerically-controlled manufacturing machines to high schools nationwide. The goal is to encourage students across clusters of schools to collaborate via social networking media to jointly design and build systems of moderate complexity, such as mobile robots, in response to prize challenges. In order to allow the greatest number of students to receive access to the most advanced manufacturing technology, the Committee urges the Director of DARPA to place a greater emphasis on technology that allows students to remotely access advanced cyber-enabled additive manufacturing equipment.

## COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

The Committee recognizes the importance of the Missile Defense Agency's new Common Kill Vehicle Technology program to consolidate the development of kill vehicles and to transition a discriminating kill vehicle to both Ground-based Interceptors and the Standard Missile-3.

Although the Committee recognizes that the announcement of this new initiative occurred shortly before the budget submission, it is concerned with the lack of detailed justification materials and future plans and budgets for the program.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes a transfer of \$70,000,000, the amount requested for the program, from the Ballistic Missile Defense Technology line to create a new line specifically for the Common Kill Vehicle Technology program. This will allow for greater visibility and oversight of the program.

The Committee also understands the importance of capitalizing on existing industrial base innovations, and urges the Director, Missile Defense Agency to fund risk reduction efforts for components such as the Divert and Attitude Control System.

## GROUND-BASED INTERCEPTORS

The Committee is concerned by the increasing military threat posed by North Korea. Evidence of this threat is present in North Korea's three nuclear tests and, most recently, in the launch of several short range missiles. The Committee is aware that part of the response to this threat is to increase the number of Ground-based Interceptors (GBIs) fielded as part of the Ground-based Midcourse segment of the missile defense architecture.

Despite the threat, the Committee is also concerned about the state of development and potential reliability of the GBI missiles. The test record of the GBI and associated sensors has been mixed, including a failed test in December 2010, and a successful test in January 2013. The Committee supports the strategic hedge these missiles provide, but believes that fielded equipment needs to be effective. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Department of Defense Inspector General to provide a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees which reviews the planned testing of the GBI program from fiscal year 2014 through fiscal year 2017. The report should comment on the adequacy of testing to ensure that the GBI missiles are reliable and effective.

## EMBEDDED DIAGNOSTICS

The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to fund joint government, university, and industry efforts to advance the technology and use of embedded diagnostics for unmanned aircraft. This improves safety by predicting future failures while simultaneously saving significant costs by eliminating the need for unnecessary overhaul and replacement of components.

## SEMICONDUCTOR INDUSTRY

The Committee remains concerned about the state of defense microelectronics, with regard to both the availability of a trusted

supply chain, as well as the long-term health and vitality of the industrial base. The Committee believes that actively engaging all accredited suppliers is important to maintaining this industrial base in the Trusted Foundry Program, which will be important for ensuring dependable, continuous long-term access to trusted mission critical semiconductors. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to employ measures to ensure competition within the Trusted Foundry Program.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$223,768,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	186,300,000
Committee recommendation .....	246,800,000
Change from budget request .....	+60,500,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of Defense for defense-wide activities. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE				
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION.....	75,720	75,720	---
2	LIVE FIRE TESTING.....	48,423	48,423	---
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES.....	62,157	122,657	+60,500
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	186,300	246,800	+60,500
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE.....	186,300	246,800	+60,500
	=====	=====	=====	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSIS	62,157	122,657	60,500
Electronic Warfare Test Capability - transfer from RDTE, DW line 137		60,500	

**TITLE V**  
**REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS**  
**DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$1,516,184,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,545,827,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,545,827,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,545,827,000 for the Defense Working Capital Funds accounts. This funding supports the purchase of \$25,158,000 for Army war reserve material, \$61,731,000 for Air Force war reserve material, \$46,428,000 for Defense Logistics Agency distribution services, and \$1,412,510,000 for Defense Commissary Agency operations costs.

**NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND**

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$697,840,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	730,700,000
Committee recommendation .....	595,700,000
Change from budget request .....	- 135,000,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the lease, operation, and supply of pre-positioning ships, operation of the Ready Reserve Force, and acquisition of ships for the Military Sealift Command, the Ready Reserve Force, and the Marine Corps.

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
STRATEGIC SEALIFT ACQUISITION .....	178,321	43,321	- 135,000
Afloat forward staging base—previously appropriated ..		- 135,000	---
DoD MOBILIZATION ASSETS .....	197,296	197,296	---
SEALIFT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	56,058	56,058	---
READY RESERVE FORCE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE .....	299,025	299,025	---
Total, National Defense Sealift Fund .....	30,700	595,700	- 135,000





TITLE VI  
 OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS  
 DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$32,715,304,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	33,054,528,000
Committee recommendation .....	33,573,582,000
Change from budget request .....	+519,054,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for the Defense Health Program of the Department of Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
IN-HOUSE CARE.....	8,880,738	8,902,038	+21,300
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE.....	15,842,732	15,587,256	-255,476
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT.....	2,505,640	2,460,640	-45,000
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT.....	1,450,619	1,425,619	-25,000
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	368,248	360,378	-7,870
EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	733,097	733,097	---
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,872,660	2,097,660	+225,000
	-----	-----	-----
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	31,653,734	31,566,688	-87,046
PROCUREMENT			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	671,181	671,181	---
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	729,613	1,335,713	+606,100
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	33,054,528	33,573,582	+519,054
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>31,653,734</b>	<b>31,566,688</b>	<b>-87,046</b>
<b>IN-HOUSE CARE</b>	<b>8,880,738</b>	<b>8,902,038</b>	<b>21,300</b>
Special Operation psychological resiliency - transfer from OM, DW		21,300	
<b>PRIVATE SECTOR CARE</b>	<b>15,842,732</b>	<b>15,587,256</b>	<b>-255,476</b>
TRICARE historical underexecution		-400,000	
Pharmaceutical drugs excess growth		-150,000	
Program adjustment to restore proposed increases		297,000	
Printing and reproduction excess growth		-2,476	
<b>CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,505,640</b>	<b>2,460,640</b>	<b>-45,000</b>
Historical underexecution		-50,000	
Program increase - wounded warrior military adaptive sports program		5,000	
<b>INFORMATION MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>1,450,619</b>	<b>1,425,619</b>	<b>-25,000</b>
IT contract support services excess		-25,000	
<b>MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>368,248</b>	<b>360,378</b>	<b>-7,870</b>
Defense Acquisition Workforce excess growth		-956	
Other services excess growth		-6,914	
<b>EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	<b>733,097</b>	<b>733,097</b>	
<b>BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>1,872,660</b>	<b>2,097,660</b>	<b>225,000</b>
Air Force FSRM for medical facilities		75,000	
Army FSRM for medical facilities		75,000	
Navy FSRM for medical facilities		75,000	
<b>PROCUREMENT</b>	<b>671,181</b>	<b>671,181</b>	
<b>RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>729,613</b>	<b>1,335,713</b>	<b>606,100</b>
Air Force core research and development		18,700	
Peer-reviewed alcohol and substance abuse disorders research		4,000	
Peer-reviewed ALS research		7,500	
Peer-reviewed alzheimer research		12,000	
Peer-reviewed autism research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed bone marrow failure disease research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research		120,000	
Peer-reviewed cancer research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed gulf war illness research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed lung cancer research		10,500	
Peer-reviewed multiple sclerosis research		5,000	
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research		80,000	
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed reconstructive transplant research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health research		125,000	
Peer-reviewed tuberous sclerosis complex research		6,000	

	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
Peer-reviewed vision research		10,000	
Global HIV/AIDS prevention		8,000	
HIV/AIDS program increase		7,000	
Joint warfighter medical research		45,000	
Trauma clinical research repository		5,000	

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR THE DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from Direct (or In-House) Care to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the Direct Care System from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the Direct Care System as a congressional special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the Direct (or In-house) Care budget activity into the Private Sector Care budget activity or any other budget activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget activity. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program accounts and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

## CARRYOVER

For fiscal year 2014, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2013 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

WALTER REED NATIONAL MILITARY MEDICAL CENTER AND FORT  
BELVOIR COMMUNITY HOSPITAL

The military medical treatment facilities provide our service-members, retirees, and their families with world class healthcare. Nowhere is that more true than at the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and the Fort Belvoir Community Hospital. However, the Committee is aware that for the last few years, the two hospitals in the National Capital Region have been underfunded in the base budget and have only remained solvent with mid-year and end-of-year funds that have become available from within the Defense Health Program appropriation. The Committee believes that the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is fully committed to solving the underlying funding shortfall and understands that future funding levels will be re-baselined in order to protect the operations of these two flagship institutions.

## EMBEDDED MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS

The Committee understands the tremendous toll exacted on all servicemembers and their families, including those in the special operations and National Guard and reserve communities, after more than a decade of war. The Committee has always made the care of forces and their families its highest priority.

The Committee appreciates the focus that the Commander, Special Operations Command, has put on the psychological health and well-being of special operations forces and their families and recognizes the importance of providing support to this vulnerable population.

Further, the Committee recognizes the success of the embedded behavioral health program and fully supports its expansion to the special operations community. However, the Committee believes that the mental health needs of all servicemembers, including special operators, are most appropriately addressed within the Defense Health Program by the Service Surgeons General to ensure the highest quality continuity of care for the servicemember.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers \$21,300,000 requested within the Special Operations Command operation and maintenance budget to the Defense Health Program to address the needs of the special operations community. The Committee directs the Service Surgeons General to work with the Commander, Special Operations Command to implement an embedded behavioral health program for special operations units during fiscal year 2014.

The Committee also recognizes that National Guard and reserve personnel in states at high risk for suicide and dangerous behavioral health conditions need convenient access to mental health professionals for proper screening and care. Onsite access to embedded mental health specialists during training assemblies has proven successful in overcoming geographical, stigma, and time barriers that might otherwise bar a member from similar services in an underserved community.

The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to work with the Chief, National Guard Bureau and Service Surgeons General to implement an embedded behavioral health program for National Guard and reserve component servicemembers in order to provide reserve component personnel with ready access to screening and treatment during unit training assemblies and urges the Secretary of Defense to robustly fund these programs.

## INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD

The Committee has grown increasingly frustrated with the inability of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop and procure an integrated electronic health record. Despite the mandate for full interoperability included in the fiscal year 2008 National Defense Authorization Act, it was not until 2011 that the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs committed to a plan to create a single integrated health record. Since that time, the two agencies have made halfhearted efforts to achieve that goal; however, earlier this year, the two Secretaries announced that they would no longer seek to create a single, common health record, instead falling back to the as-of-yet unattainable goal of

interoperability. The Committee is dismayed at the lack of progress by the two Departments to achieve any kind of interoperability. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act which provides the long-term way ahead for the program, an explanation of the anticipated future role, if any, of the joint Interagency Program Office, and the use of the funds spent to date by that office.

#### JOINT WARFIGHTER MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation includes \$45,000,000 for the continuation of the Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program. The funding shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. The funding shall not be used for new projects or for basic research, and it shall be awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

#### PRESCRIPTION DRUG ABUSE

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency among servicemembers. It is imperative that proper steps are taken to prevent overmedication and that treatment options are available for those facing possible addiction. The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense currently provides a range of Medication Therapy Management services at military treatment facilities. These services are designed to optimize therapy or the adherence to therapy between providers, pharmacists, and patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees detailing the progress of including pharmacists in the care team provided by the Patient Center Medical Home (PCMH), the success rate of patients in properly adhering to medicine treatment and prescription levels, and whether there have been cases in which the inclusion of a pharmacist in the PCMH has contributed to reducing the level of medication taken by patients who may have been overmedicating.

#### PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAMS

The Committee recommendation includes \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$20,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, \$10,500,000

for the peer-reviewed lung cancer research program, and \$15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: blood cancer, colorectal cancer, genetic cancer research, kidney cancer, listeria vaccine for cancer, melanoma and other skin cancers, mesothelioma, pancreatic cancer, and pediatric brain tumors.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers and their families.

The Committee also encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to establish a task force to focus on research for metastasized cancer of all types, with a focus on clinical and translational research aimed at extending the lives of advanced stage and recurrent patients.

Further, the Committee also encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to fund cancer research that combines molecular data on cancer and non-cancer tissues with information regarding demographic and lifestyle factors that could influence treatment response.

#### PEER-REVIEWED AUTISM RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation includes \$6,000,000 for the peer-reviewed autism research program. The Committee is concerned that the increasing prevalence of autism could have an impact on the pool of potential candidates for military service and believes that a sustained research effort is necessary to find the causal agents of autism. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research within the peer-reviewed autism research program that seeks to understand possible environmental causes of autism spectrum disorders.

#### PRE-DEPLOYMENT RESILIENCY TRAINING

The Committee commends the Army, with the support of the Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, and the Marine Corps, with the support of the Office of Naval Research and Naval Health Research Center, for studying innovative approaches to building mental resiliency and combating post-traumatic stress in servicemembers. The physiologically proven positive effects of skills-based resiliency training before deployment are encouraging in the continued effort to combat the onslaught of post-traumatic stress. The Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army and the Navy to continue pursuing the implementation of skills-based



resiliency training for all soldiers and Marines who are preparing for deployment.

#### CHILDHOOD TRAUMA IN MILITARY DEPENDENTS

The Committee is concerned with the rise in outpatient mental health cases among children of active duty and reserve servicemembers. The Committee believes that it is imperative to develop efficient means to identify children at risk of deployment-related mental health issues and to provide tools, education, and guidance to the professionals and families caring for these children. It is also important that the proper steps are taken to prevent predictable mental health problems from manifesting in dependent children. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to make the prevention of childhood trauma in military dependents a priority.

#### AIR FORCE ACUITY MODEL TRAINING PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the Air Force Military Acuity Model has provided benefits such as more efficient and improved access to care. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to consider expanding use of the model throughout the military health system.

#### COOPERATION BETWEEN MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITIES, CIVILIAN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, AND UNIVERSITIES

The Committee recognizes the importance of cooperation between military medical facilities, universities, and other civilian partners to provide valuable medical trauma training to sustain the education of military medical providers. This training and real-life experience contributes to maintaining the capabilities of the National Guard Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Explosives Enhanced Response Force Packages, the National Guard Homeland Response Forces, and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces.

The Committee encourages the Chiefs of the National Guard Bureau and the Army Reserve to continue to pursue trauma training with civilian partners in order to maintain unit medical readiness at optimum levels as military healthcare providers maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents. Additionally, in order to minimize civilian-military operational gaps and maximize interoperability in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee encourages the development of enhanced preparedness medical training programs focusing on mass casualty triage, disaster life support, hazardous material life support, and psychological health by maximizing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

#### THERMAL INJURY PREVENTION

The Committee commends the Secretary of Defense for establishing standards to prevent thermal injuries caused by aviation assets. However, recognizing that thermal injuries can also be caused by other equipment, the Committee encourages the Sec-

retary of Defense to establish similar requirements for ground platforms. Survivability enhancement efforts such as fire prevention, fire suppression, and fuel containment should continually be addressed in order to provide the best possible protection. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees detailing a potential plan for implementation of thermal injury prevention requirements and standards on ground platforms.

MENTAL HEALTH ACCESS FOR SERVICEMEMBERS

The Committee remains concerned with the ongoing stigma associated with servicemembers seeking assistance for mental and behavioral health issues. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and the Service Surgeons General to leverage all existing resources, within both military and civilian facilities, to provide comprehensive mental and behavioral health services to servicemembers and to continue efforts to reduce this stigma.

MEDICAL RECORDS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIMS

The Committee supports actions taken by the Secretary of Defense to implement a policy for the retention of evidence and records relating to sexual assaults. The Committee believes that it is important for the Services to implement policies, procedures, and processes to ensure detailed evidence and records are maintained, as well as transmitted as appropriate, to the Department of Veterans Affairs. Record sharing between the two Departments should include any medical details of sexual assault victims that accurately describe the physical and emotional injuries resulting from a sexual trauma that occurred during active duty service. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to ensure that these important records are appropriately maintained and transferred, as required, to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION,  
DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$1,301,786,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	1,057,123,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,057,123,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides for funds the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction activities of the Department of Defense.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,057,123,000 for the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense program.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	451,572	451,572	---
PROCUREMENT .....	1,368	1,368	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....	604,183	604,183	---
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE .....	1,057,123	1,057,123	---

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES,  
DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$1,159,263,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	938,545,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,007,762,000
Change from budget request .....	+69,217,000

\* FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides funds for military personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; and research, development, test and evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense to include activities related to narcoterrorism.

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES .....	938,545	1,007,762	69,217
National Guard counter-drug program .....	109,597	239,597	130,000
Young Marines—drug demand reduction .....		4,000	---
HQ Support to COCOMs growth .....		-1,500	---
CN—Admin/Program Travel growth .....		-1,553	---
CN—Commercial Transportation growth .....		-1,766	---
CN—Supplies and Materials growth .....		-3,304	---
Previously funded RDTE projects .....		-6,660	---
Historical underobligation .....		-50,000	---

## NATIONAL GUARD STATE PLANS

The Committee recommendation includes \$130,000,000 above the fiscal year 2014 request to supplement the National Guard Counter-Drug program. The Committee is dismayed that, for the second year in a row, the budget request woefully underfunds the National Guard Counter-Drug program, which recommends a nearly forty percent reduction from the fiscal year 2012 budget request and a nearly fifty percent reduction from the fiscal year 2012 enacted level. The Committee recognizes the importance of the mission of the National Guard Counter-Drug program as a support organization to combatant commands and federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. Additionally, the National Guard Counter-Drug training centers provide invaluable training tools to soldiers, airmen, law enforcement agencies, and community-based personnel. The Committee designates the funding included in the budget request and the additional \$130,000,000 as a congressional special interest item. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary

of Defense to robustly fund the National Guard Counter-Drug program in subsequent budget years.

SUPPORT OF COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES IN THE CARIBBEAN

The Committee remains concerned over the high level of violent crime in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Committee notes that the homicide rate in each territory is significantly higher than any other U.S. jurisdiction and that many of these homicides are linked to the cross-border trade of illegal narcotics. The Committee further notes that data collected by federal law enforcement agencies appear to confirm that the U.S. territories in the Caribbean have become an increasingly attractive trans-shipment route for drug traffickers seeking to supply the U.S. mainland. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on detection, monitoring, and other counter-drug activities the Department is undertaking, or intends to undertake, to support law enforcement operations in and around Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	---
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	\$98,800,000
Committee recommendation .....	---
Change from budget request .....	-98,800,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation * .....	\$350,321,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	312,131,000
Committee recommendation .....	347,000,000
Change from budget request .....	+34,869,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommendation includes \$347,000,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2014:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	311,131	346,000	34,869
Program increase .....		34,869	---
PROCUREMENT .....	1,000	1,000	---
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL .....	312,131	347,000	34,869

INSPECTOR GENERAL VACANCY

The Committee is concerned by the ongoing vacancy of the Department of Defense Inspector General position. This position has been vacant since December 2011, and while the position is being

filled temporarily by the Acting Inspector General, no successor has been nominated during that time period. The Inspector General is responsible for conducting audits and investigations, informing Congress and the Secretary of Defense on programs and deficiencies, and acting as the principal adviser to the Secretary of Defense for matters relating to the prevention and detection of fraud, waste, and abuse. As the Department faces a climate of reduced budgets and ongoing challenges, a Senate-confirmed Inspector General is critical to providing the oversight needed to reduce waste and ensure taxpayers dollars are spent wisely and efficiently. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the President, to identify and submit a highly qualified nominee to be the Department of Defense Inspector General as soon as possible.



TITLE VII  
RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program budgets funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence including the Intelligence Community Management Staff, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the intelligence services of the Departments of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and the CIA Retirement and Disability fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The Committee's budget reviews are published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. The intelligence community, Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND  
DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$514,000,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	514,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	514,000,000
Change from budget request .....	---

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (P.L. 88-643), as amended by Public Law 94-522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends the budget request of \$514,000,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System fund. This is a mandatory account.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2013 appropriation* .....	\$534,421,000
Fiscal year 2014 budget request .....	568,271,000
Committee recommendation .....	552,535,000
Change from budget request .....	- 15,736,000

\*FY13 Enacted level does not include the 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB.

The Committee recommendation includes \$552,535,000, which is a decrease of \$15,736,000 below the budget request for the Intelligence Community Management Account.



## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The accompanying bill includes 126 general provisions. Most of these provisions were included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2013 and many have been included in the Defense Appropriations Acts for a number of years. A description of each provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in this Act.

Section 8004 provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of certain funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 has been amended and provides for the general transfer authority of working capital funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the explanatory statement and classified annex shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 has been amended and provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8012 has been amended and provides that civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Section 8013 prohibits funding from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Section 8014 prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Section 8015 provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Section 8016 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8017 has been amended and makes permanent the prohibition of funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8018 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8019 provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8020 provides that no funding for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Section 8021 provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Section 8022 has been amended and provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8023 has been amended and prohibits funding from being used to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC), with certain limitations and reduces funding provided for FFRDCs.

Section 8024 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8025 defines the congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees of the House and Senate and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Section 8026 provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Section 8027 has been amended and provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal defense procurement memorandum of understanding by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Section 8028 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act.

Section 8029 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base to Indian Tribes located in the states of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Section 8030 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Section 8031 has been amended and prohibits the use of working capital funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8032 has been amended and provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the working capital fund, or other programs as specified.

Section 8033 provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for the design, development, and deployment of General Defense Intelligence Program intelligence communications and intelligence information systems.

Section 8034 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8035 requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act, chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code.

Section 8036 provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Section 8037 places certain limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Section 8038 is a new provision and provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Section 8039 places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines provided.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8040 has been amended and provides for the rescission of \$3,043,571,000 from the following programs:

2011 Appropriations:	
National Defense Sealift Fund:	
Strategic sealift acquisition .....	\$28,000,000
2012 Appropriations:	
National Defense Sealift Fund:	
Strategic sealift acquisition .....	14,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
E-2D .....	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-27J Joint Cargo aircraft .....	443,000,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Classified programs .....	10,000,000

## 2013 Appropriations:

Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
E-2D .....	35,000,000
MH-60R .....	50,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Aerial targets .....	5,000,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:	
Completion of prior year shipbuilding programs (CVN-71) .....	68,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
LCS MCM mission packages (OASIS termina- tion) .....	3,553,000
Procurement, Marine Corps:	
Follow-on to SMAW .....	12,650,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Classified programs .....	60,000,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:	
COMSEC equipment .....	38,900,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide:	
Classified programs .....	10,000,000
SOF U-28 .....	62,776,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
MEADS .....	380,861,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Airborne mine countermeasures .....	13,028,000
H-1 series .....	18,111,000
Manned reconnaissance systems .....	18,192,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Classified programs .....	115,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense- Wide:	
Classified programs .....	90,000,000
Precision Tracking Space System .....	123,000,000
Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund:	
Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustain- ment Fund .....	1,414,500,000

Section 8041 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8042 prohibits funding from being obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8043 provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the combatant commands, Defense agencies, and joint intelligence activities.

Section 8044 prohibits funds from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003 level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Section 8045 prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds to other agencies except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Section 8046 prohibits funding from being used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8047 prohibits funding from being used to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Section 8048 prohibits funding made available in this or any other Act from being used to pay the salary of anyone who approves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another federal agency not financed by this Act without express authorization of the Congress.

Section 8049 provides for prior Congressional notification of article or service transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Section 8050 prohibits funding from being used for contractor bonuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8051 provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available for pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Section 8052 provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Section 8053 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8054 provides for the availability of funds to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center, and Ramstein Air Base, Germany.

Section 8055 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use or inventory requirements.

Section 8056 provides for a waiver of "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8057 prohibits funding from being used to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Section 8058 prohibits funding in this Act from being used for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8059 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8060 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8061 prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Section 8062 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Section 8063 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any non-governmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Section 8064 provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8065 provides for a limitation on funding from being used to support non-appropriated funds that purchase alcoholic beverages.

Section 8066 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the federal government for classified purposes.

Section 8067 has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursements and obligations made by the Department of Defense in the current fiscal year.

Section 8068 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund.

Section 8069 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8070 has been amended and provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8071 prohibits funding from being obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of U.S. Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet or to give United States Transportation Command operational and administrative control of certain aircraft.

Section 8072 has been amended and provides for the funding of prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8073 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year.

Section 8074 prohibits funding from being used to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Section 8075 provides that the budget of the President for the subsequent fiscal year shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the military personnel, operation and maintenance, and procurement accounts.

Section 8076 prohibits funding from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8077 provides the Secretary of Defense with the authority to make grants in the amounts specified.

Section 8078 prohibits funding from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8079 prohibits funding from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8080 provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Section 8081 provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation under certain conditions to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Section 8082 provides for the use of current and expired Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Section 8083 prohibits funding from being used to transfer program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army and requires the Army to retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ-1C Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.

Section 8084 provides funding under certain conditions for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute certain Theater Security Cooperation activities.

Section 8085 has been amended and limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8086 provides for the adjustment of obligations within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8087 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8088 provides for the transfer of funds by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for the purposes of government-wide information sharing activities.

Section 8089 provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(d)).

Section 8090 directs the Director of National Intelligence to submit a future-years intelligence program reflecting estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations.

Section 8091 defines the congressional intelligence committees as being the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Section 8092 has been amended and directs the Department of Defense to continue to report incremental contingency operations

costs for Operation Enduring Freedom or any other named operations in the U.S. Central Command area of operation on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Section 8093 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to the central fund for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8094 has been amended and provides that funds appropriated in this Act may be available for the purpose of making remittances and transfers to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Section 8095 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted by Congress with certain exceptions.

Section 8096 prohibits the use of funds for federal contracts in excess of \$1,000,000 unless the contractor agrees not to require, as a condition of employment, that employees or independent contractors agree to resolve through arbitration any claim or tort related to, or arising out of, sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention, and to certify that each covered subcontractor agrees to do the same.

Section 8097 prohibits funding to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries.

Section 8098 has been amended and provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8099 prohibits the Office of the Director of National Intelligence from employing more Senior Executive employees than are specified in the classified annex.

Section 8100 prohibits funding from being used to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 or successor form.

Section 8101 provides for the purchase of heavy and light armed vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of \$250,000 per vehicle.

Section 8102 has been amended and provides grants through the Office of Economic Adjustment to support critical existing and enduring military installations on Guam, as well as Department of Defense growth.

Section 8103 prohibits the Secretary of Defense from taking beneficial occupancy of more than 2,500 parking spaces to be provided by the BRAC 133 project unless certain conditions are met.

Section 8104 has been amended and requires monthly reporting of the civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8105 has been amended and prohibits funding to separate the National Intelligence Program budget from, or to consolidate within, the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8106 has been amended and provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain limitations.



Section 8107 prohibits funding to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112-74.

Section 8108 has been amended and prohibits funding to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to a country of origin or other foreign country or entity unless the Secretary of Defense makes certain certifications. This language is similar to language enacted in Public Law 112-239.

Section 8109 prohibits funding to modify any United States facility (other than the facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) to house any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112-74.

Section 8110 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with corporations with unpaid tax liabilities.

Section 8111 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with a corporation that was convicted of a federal criminal violation in the past 24 months.

Section 8112 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.

Section 8113 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Section 8114 prohibits funding from being used to violate the War Powers Resolution Act.

Section 8115 has been amended and requires the Secretary of the Air Force to obligate and expend funds previously appropriated for the procurement of RQ-4B Global Hawk aircraft.

Section 8116 has been amended and reduces the amount available for pay for civilian personnel.

Section 8117 prohibits funds from being used to purchase or lease new light duty vehicles except in accordance with the Presidential Memorandum-Federal Fleet Performance.

Section 8118 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract with a person or entity listed in the Excluded Parties List System/System for Award Management as having been convicted of fraud against the Federal Government.

Section 8119 is a new provision and prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport, except under certain conditions.

Section 8120 is a new provision and strikes paragraph 7 of Section 8159(c) of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2001.

Section 8121 is a new provision and prohibits the use of funds for the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8122 is a new provision and provides \$25 million for purposes of implementation of a Sexual Assault Special Victims Program.

Section 8123 is a new provision and prohibits the use of funds in contravention of the amendments made to the Uniform Code of Military Justice in subtitle D of title V of the pending National De-

fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 regarding the discharge or dismissal of a member of the Armed Forces convicted of certain sex-related offenses, the required trial of such offenses by general courts-martial, and the limitations imposed on convening authority discretion regarding court-martial findings and sentence.

Section 8124 is a new provision and prohibits the use of funds for certain activities in Afghanistan.

Section 8125 is a new provision and prohibits terminating certain programs without prior Congressional approval.

Section 8126 is a new provision and provides \$580,000,000 to fully fund an increase in basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2014.

TITLE IX  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In title IX the Committee recommends total new appropriations of \$85,768,949,000. A detailed review of the Committee recommendation for programs funded in this title is provided in the following pages.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom on the monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, Chapter 23, Volume 12. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report the incremental contingency operations costs for any and all new named operations that may have commenced in the Central Command Area of Responsibility on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, Chapter 23, Volume 12. The Committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to continue providing Cost of War reports to the congressional defense committees that include the following information by appropriation account: funding appropriated, funding allocated, monthly obligations, monthly disbursements, cumulative fiscal year obligations, and cumulative fiscal year disbursements.

The Committee expects that in order to meet unanticipated requirements, funds may need to be transferred within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$9,644,798,000 for Military Personnel.

The Committee recommendation for each military personnel account is shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>			
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
BASIC PAY	864,052	864,052	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	238,058	238,058	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	271,092	271,092	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	34,598	34,598	
INCENTIVE PAYS	5,126	5,126	
SPECIAL PAYS	38,486	38,486	
ALLOWANCES	19,132	19,132	
SEPARATION PAY	88,867	88,867	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	65,940	65,940	
TOTAL, BA-1	1,625,351	1,625,351	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED</b>			
BASIC PAY	1,925,501	1,925,501	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	543,288	543,288	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	763,796	763,796	
INCENTIVE PAYS	2,427	2,427	
SPECIAL PAYS	176,568	176,568	
ALLOWANCES	110,227	110,227	
SEPARATION PAY	180,287	180,287	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	147,361	147,361	
TOTAL, BA-2	3,849,455	3,849,455	
<b>BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	251,345	251,345	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	500,504	460,004	-40,500
Projected underexecution		-40,500	
TOTAL, BA-4	751,849	711,349	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	11,091	11,091	
TRAINING TRAVEL	8,926	8,926	
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	105,220	103,498	-1,722
PCS Efficiency		-1,722	
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	54,677	52,390	-2,287
PCS Efficiency		-2,287	
SEPARATION TRAVEL	14,169	14,169	
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	622	622	
TOTAL, BA-5	194,705	190,696	
<b>BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	4,745	4,745	
DEATH GRATUITIES	7,449	7,449	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	204,833	204,833	
RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	40	40	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	73,261	73,261	
TRAUMATIC INJURY PROTECTION COVERAGE	35,827	35,827	
TOTAL, BA-6	326,155	326,155	
<b>TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>	<b>6,747,515</b>	<b>6,703,006</b>	<b>-44,509</b>

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>			
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	99,067	99,067	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	24,271	24,271	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	31,959	31,959	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	3,427	3,427	
INCENTIVE PAYS	749	749	
SPECIAL PAYS	4,858	4,858	
ALLOWANCES	7,458	7,458	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	7,579	7,579	
TOTAL, BA-1	179,368	179,368	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED			
BASIC PAY	108,938	108,938	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	26,690	26,690	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	51,780	51,780	
INCENTIVE PAYS	296	296	
SPECIAL PAYS	11,931	11,931	
ALLOWANCES	16,447	16,447	
SEPARATION PAY	179	179	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	8,334	8,334	
TOTAL, BA-2	224,595	224,595	
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	12,359	12,359	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	22,956	22,956	
TOTAL, BA-4	35,315	35,315	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	3,071	3,071	
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	1,353	1,353	
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	2,559	2,559	
SEPARATION TRAVEL	4,472	4,472	
TOTAL, BA-5	11,455	11,455	
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
DEATH GRATUITIES	1,200	1,200	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	62,168	62,168	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	44,243	44,243	
TOTAL, BA-6	107,611	107,611	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	558,344	558,344	0
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS</b>			
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	143,065	143,065	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	41,321	41,321	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	48,408	48,408	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	6,073	6,073	
SPECIAL PAYS	4,120	4,120	
ALLOWANCES	4,155	4,155	
SEPARATION PAY	43,118	43,118	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	10,937	10,937	
TOTAL, BA-1	301,197	301,197	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED			
BASIC PAY	267,486	267,486	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	81,344	81,344	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	89,578	89,578	
SPECIAL PAYS	25,141	25,141	

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ALLOWANCES	16,905	16,905	
SEPARATION PAY	78,956	78,956	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	20,463	20,463	
TOTAL, BA-2	579,873	579,873	
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	45,965	45,965	
TOTAL, BA-4	45,965	45,965	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	19,481	19,481	
SEPARATION TRAVEL	4,371	4,371	
TOTAL, BA-5	23,852	23,852	
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	930	930	
DEATH GRATUITIES	7,000	7,000	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	37,733	37,733	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	22,772	22,772	
TOTAL, BA-6	68,435	68,435	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	1,019,322	1,019,322	0
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>			
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	131,007	131,007	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	32,097	32,097	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	39,926	39,926	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	4,696	4,696	
SPECIAL PAYS	7,394	7,394	
ALLOWANCES	8,449	8,449	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	10,022	10,022	
TOTAL, BA-1	233,591	233,591	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED			
BASIC PAY	229,591	229,591	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	56,250	56,250	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	95,564	95,564	
SPECIAL PAYS	29,262	29,262	
ALLOWANCES	25,480	25,480	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	17,564	17,564	
TOTAL, BA-2	453,711	453,711	
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	24,177	24,177	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	72,502	72,502	
TOTAL, BA-4	96,679	96,679	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	4,003	4,003	
TOTAL, BA-5	4,003	4,003	
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
DEATH GRATUITIES	600	600	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	28,841	28,841	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	49,662	49,662	
TOTAL, BA-6	79,103	79,103	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	867,087	867,087	0

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	10,494	10,494	
SPECIAL TRAINING	30,458	30,458	
TOTAL, BA-1	40,952	40,952	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	40,952	40,952	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SCHOOL TRAINING	1,753	1,753	
SPECIAL TRAINING	18,100	18,100	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	385	385	
TOTAL, BA-1	20,238	20,238	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	20,238	20,238	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SCHOOL TRAINING	3,213	3,213	
SPECIAL TRAINING	11,679	11,679	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	242	242	
TOTAL, BA-1	15,134	15,134	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	15,134	15,134	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SPECIAL TRAINING	20,432	20,432	
TOTAL, BA-1	20,432	20,432	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	20,432	20,432	0
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	50,638	50,638	
SCHOOL TRAINING	19,444	19,444	
SPECIAL TRAINING	286,096	286,096	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	37,186	37,186	
TOTAL, BA-1	393,364	393,364	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	393,364	393,364	0
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SPECIAL TRAINING	6,919	6,919	
TOTAL, BA-1	6,919	6,919	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	6,919	6,919	0
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	9,689,307	9,644,798	-44,509

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$66,146,211,000 for Operation and Maintenance.

The Committee recommendation for each operation and maintenance account is shown below:



EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request	
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>				
111	217,571	217,571		
112	8,266	8,266		
113	56,626	56,626		
114	4,209,942	4,209,942		
115	950,567	950,567		
116	474,288	474,288		
121	1,349,152	1,349,152		
122	655,000	655,000		
123	301,563	651,563	350,000	
	Program increase	350,000		
131	706,214	706,214		
135	11,519,498	11,519,498		
136	60,000	60,000		
137	2,240,358	3,540,358	1,300,000	
	Program increase	1,300,000		
411	1,402,994	1,402,994		
421	4,601,356	4,601,356		
424	17,418	17,418		
432	110,000	110,000		
434	94,820	94,820		
435	54,000	54,000		
437	250,000	250,000		
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>		<b>29,279,633</b>	<b>30,929,633</b>	<b>1,650,000</b>
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>				
1A1A	845,169	845,169		
1A3A	600	600		
1A4A	17,489	17,489		
1A4N	78,491	78,491		
1A5A	162,420	202,420	40,000	
	Program increase	40,000		
1A6A	2,700	2,700		
1A9A	50,130	50,130		
1B1B	949,539	949,539		
1B2B	20,226	20,226		
1B4B	1,679,660	1,679,660		
1C1C	37,760	37,760		
1C4C	25,351	25,351		
1C5C	20,045	20,045		
1C6C	1,212,296	1,360,296	148,000	
	Program increase	148,000		
1C7C	10,203	10,203		
1D3D	127,972	127,972		
1D4D	221,427	221,427		
BSM1	13,386	13,386		
BSS1	110,940	110,940		
2C1H	18,460	18,460		
2C3H	227,033	227,033		

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	50,269	50,269	
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,400	5,400	
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	2,418	2,418	
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	516	516	
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	5,107	5,107	
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	1,411	1,411	
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,545	2,545	
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	153,427	153,427	
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	8,570	8,570	
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	1,425	1,425	
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	5,608	5,608	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		6,067,993	6,255,993	188,000
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS				
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES	992,190	992,190	
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS	559,574	559,574	
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	570,000	570,000	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	69,726	69,726	
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT	108,270	108,270	
4A3G	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	365,555	365,555	
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	3,675	3,675	
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS	825	825	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		2,669,815	2,669,815	0
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE				
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,712,393	1,712,393	
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	836,104	836,104	
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	14,118	14,118	
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,373,480	1,473,480	100,000
	Program increase		100,000	
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	122,712	122,712	
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,520,333	1,520,333	
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	31,582	31,582	
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	147,524	147,524	
013A	LAUNCH FACILITIES	857	857	
013C	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	8,353	8,353	
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION	50,495	50,495	
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,091,133	3,091,133	
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	47,897	47,897	
021M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	387,179	887,179	500,000
	Program increase		500,000	
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	7,043	7,043	
021Z	BASE SUPPORT	68,382	68,382	
031A	OFFICER ACQUISITION	100	100	
031B	RECRUIT TRAINING	478	478	
031Z	BASE SUPPORT	19,256	19,256	
032A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	12,845	12,845	
032B	FLIGHT TRAINING	731	731	
032C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	607	607	
032D	TRAINING SUPPORT	720	720	
033C	OFF-DUTY VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	152	152	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request	
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	86,273	86,273	
041B	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2511	2511	
041Z	BASE SUPPORT	19,887	19,887	
042A	ADMINISTRATION	3,493	3,493	
042B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	152,086	152,086	
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	269,825	269,825	
044A	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	117	117	
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	16,558	16,558	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>				
	10,005,224	10,605,224	600,000	
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>				
1PL2	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,222,868	2,028,227	-194,641
	Flight Operations - transfer to title II		-88,136	
	Communications - transfer to title II		-44,725	
	Maintenance - Family of Special Operations vehicles - transfer to title II		-3,673	
	Maintenance - Logistics support base transfer to title II		-10,557	
	Intelligence - Special Access Program - transfer to title II		-36,249	
	Specialized skill training - transfer to title II		-7,021	
	Acquisition program management - transfer to title II		-4,280	
ES18	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	9,620	9,620	
4GT6	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	27,781	27,781	
4GT8	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	76,348	76,348	
4GT9	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	99,538	99,538	
4GT0	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	45,746	45,746	
4GTA	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	100,100	100,100	
4GTD	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,950,000	1,950,000	
4GTN	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	38,227	38,227	
4GTQ	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	2,784	2,784	
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,862,066	1,862,066	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>				
	6,435,078	6,240,437	-194,641	
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE</b>				
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	6,995	6,995	
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,332	2,332	
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	608	608	
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	33,000	33,000	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE</b>				
	42,935	42,935	0	
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE</b>				
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	17,196	17,196	
1A3A	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	200	200	
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,000	6,000	
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	12,304	12,304	
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,790	6,790	
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	13,210	13,210	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	55,700	55,700	0
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	11,124	11,124	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,410	1,410	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	12,534	12,534	0
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	26,599	26,599	
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	6,250	6,250	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	32,849	32,849	0
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
111	MANEUVER UNITS	29,314	29,314	
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	1,494	1,494	
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	15,343	15,343	
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	1,549	1,549	
116	AVIATION ASSETS	64,504	64,504	
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	31,512	31,512	
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	42,179	42,179	
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	11,996	11,996	
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,480	1,480	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	199,371	199,371	0
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	22,200	22,200	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	22,200	22,200	0
	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT			
	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND			
	Program increase	0	1,073,800	1,073,800
	TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT		1,073,800	1,073,800
	AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND			
	AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND	279,000	279,000	
	TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND	279,000	279,000	0

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>			
<b>Defense Forces</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	
Sustainment	2,735,603	2,735,603	
Infrastructure	278,650	278,650	
Equipment and Transportation	2,180,382	2,180,382	
Training and Operations	626,550	626,550	
<b>Interior Forces</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	
Sustainment	1,214,995	1,214,995	
Equipment and Transportation	54,696	54,696	
Training and Operations	626,119	626,119	
<b>Related Activities</b>	<b>9,725</b>	<b>9,725</b>	
Sustainment	7,225	7,225	
Training and Operations	2,500	2,500	
<b>TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>66,146,211</b>	<b>3,317,159</b>

LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN AUTHORITIES  
FOR AFGHANISTAN

The Committee directs that none of the funds appropriated for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, totaling \$2,615,000,000 for enablers; funds appropriated for the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, in whole; and 75 percent of the funds appropriated for the Commander's Emergency Response Program; may be expended or obligated until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the congressional defense committees that a bilateral security agreement has been signed and includes the provisions outlined in the pending fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.

## EQUIPMENT READINESS

After eleven years of war, in which there has been a steady influx of military equipment into Afghanistan without a corresponding outflow, the Department of Defense now has equipment in Afghanistan valued at \$36,000,000,000. When U.S. Forces re-deployed from Iraq, much of the equipment was transported directly to Afghanistan to support the Afghanistan surge. As U.S. Forces re-deploy from Afghanistan, however, equipment will be returned to the United States. Years of war have placed demands on air and ground force equipment far beyond what is typically experienced during training or home station operations. The demands on equipment arise from higher usage rates and from the rigors of extended combat operations in harsh environments. This equipment will be in need of significant repair before it can be returned to units to be used for full spectrum readiness training. During testimony before the Committee, the Army Chief of Staff, General Odierno, emphasized the substantial workload requirement for equipment retrograde, induction, and repair once equipment returns to the United States. General Odierno also noted that sequestration has further compounded mounting equipment reset and repair requirements as the Services were forced to cancel scheduled equipment maintenance and repair in fiscal year 2013 due to lack of funds. As the Services redeploy from Afghanistan, the Committee recommends that the Department expeditiously reset, repair, and return equipment to units for readiness training and to support current and future national military and homeland defense priorities. The Committee has provided increased funding to support the Department's efforts to restore equipment readiness as reflected in the project level tables.

## WOMEN IN THE AFGHANISTAN NATIONAL SECURITY FORCES

The Committee understands that there is no restriction on the enlistment of women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces even though cultural differences remain. The Committee encourages the recruitment, training and retention of women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces and provides funding in this Act for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for the recruitment of both men and women for the Army, Air Force, and the Afghanistan National Police, and for updating facilities to support both genders.

PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$7,187,146,000 for Procurement. The Committee recommendation for each procurement account is shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
2 SATURN ARCH (MIP) (GWOT)	48,000	48,000	
4 MQ-1 UAV (GWOT)	31,988	31,988	
9 AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD (GWOT)	142,000	142,000	
11 KIOWA WARRIOR UPGRADE (OH-58 D)/WRA(GWOT)	163,800	163,800	
14 CH-47 HELICOPTER (GWOT)	386,000	386,000	
<b>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
3 HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY (GWOT) Program increase	54,000	79,887 25,887	25,887
7 GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) (GWOT)	39,045	39,045	
10 ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYSTEM (ATACMS) (GWOT)	35,600	35,600	
<b>TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>128,645</b>	<b>154,532</b>	<b>25,887</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED VEHICLES, ARMY</b>			
M16 RIFLE MODS Program adjustment	0	15,422 15,422	15,422
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15,422</b>	<b>15,422</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>			
CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	4,400	4,400	
3 CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	1,500	1,500	
4 CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	5,000	5,000	
7 CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	60,000	60,000	
9 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	5,000	5,000	
13 CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES (GWOT)	10,000	10,000	
14 ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	10,000	10,000	
15 PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982 (GWOT)	11,000	11,000	
18 MINES AND CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES Program increase	0	9,482 9,482	9,482
20 ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	57,000	57,000	
DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	4,000	4,000	



P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
GRENADERS, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	3,000	3,000	
23 SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000	
CAD/PAD ALL TYPES (GWOT)	2,000	2,000	
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>	<b>180,900</b>	<b>190,382</b>	<b>9,482</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
3 FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES Program adjustment	0	2,500 2,500	2,500
5 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES Program adjustment	0	2,050 2,050	2,050
14 MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS (GWOT) Program adjustment	321,040	551,040 230,000	230,000
61 INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD (GWOT)	25,000	25,000	
69 DCGS-A (MIP) (GWOT)	7,200	7,200	
73 CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL (GWOT)	5,980	5,980	
76 LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR (GWOT) Program adjustment	57,800	83,255 25,455	25,455
77 FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE	15,300	15,300	
78 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASU	4,221	4,221	
91 ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	1,834	1,834	
92 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	21,000	21,000	
99 COUNTERFIRE RADARS (GWOT)	85,830	85,830	
110 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM Program adjustment	0	3,200 3,200	3,200
126 FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT Program adjustment	0	15,000 15,000	15,000
127 BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS Program adjustment	0	24,932 24,932	24,932
137 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIPMENT Program adjustment	0	3,565 3,565	3,565
146 FORCE PROVIDER (GWOT)	51,654	51,654	
147 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	6,264	6,264	
<b>TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>603,123</b>	<b>909,825</b>	<b>306,702</b>

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (GWOT)	29,520	29,520
26	MQ-8 (GWOT)	13,100	13,100
31	AV-8 SERIES (GWOT)	57,652	57,652
32	F-18 SERIES (GWOT)	35,500	35,500
38	EP-3 SERIES (GWOT)	2,700	2,700
48	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT (GWOT)	3,375	3,375
	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	49,183	49,183
54	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES (GWOT)	4,190	4,190
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION (GWOT)	20,700	20,700
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (GWOT)	24,776	24,776
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		240,696	240,696 0
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
9	HELLFIRE (GWOT)	27,000	27,000
10	LASER MAVERICK (GWOT)	58,000	58,000
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (GWOT)	1,500	1,500
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		86,500	86,500 0
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS			
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (GWOT)	11,424	11,424
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	30,332	30,332
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION (GWOT)	8,282	8,282
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES (GWOT)	31,884	31,884
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION (GWOT)	409	409
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO (GWOT)	11,976	11,976
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION (GWOT)	2,447	2,447
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (GWOT)	7,692	7,692
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION (GWOT)	13,461	13,461
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	3,310	3,310
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	6,244	6,244
	60MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	3,368	3,368
	81MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	9,162	9,162

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
20 120MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	10,266	10,266	
21 CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	1,887	1,887	
22 GRENADES, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	1,611	1,611	
23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	37,459	0	-37,459
Contract delay		-37,459	
24 ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	970	970	
25 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	418	418	
26 FUZE, ALL TYPES (GWOT)	14,219	14,219	
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	206,821	169,362	-37,459
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
131 TACTICAL VEHICLES (GWOT)	17,968	17,968	
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	17,968	17,968	
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS			
10 JAVELIN (GWOT)	29,334	29,334	
11 FOLLOW ON TO SMAW (GWOT)	105	105	
13 MODIFICATION KITS (GWOT)	16,081	12,981	-3,100
Unit cost growth		-3,100	
15 REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	16,081	16,081	
17 MODIFICATION KITS (GWOT)	2,831	2,831	
18 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) (GWOT)	8,170	8,170	
23 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	2,700	2,700	
26 RQ-11 UAV (GWOT)	2,830	2,830	
29 COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES (GWOT)	4,866	4,366	-500
Unit cost growth		-500	
30 COMMAND POST SYSTEMS (GWOT)	265	265	
42 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT (GWOT)	114	114	
43 BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	523	523	
44 TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS (GWOT)	365	365	
45 POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED (GWOT)	2,004	2,004	
47 EOD SYSTEMS (GWOT)	42,930	42,930	
57 FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	385	385	
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	129,584	125,984	-3,600

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>				
15	CV-22 OSPREY Add one aircraft for operational loss replacement	0	73,200 73,200	73,200
32	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (G)	94,050	94,050	
52	U-2 MODS (GWOT)	11,300	11,300	
59	C-130 (GWOT)	1,618	1,618	
64	RC-135 (GWOT)	2,700	2,700	
79	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (GW)	6,000	6,000	
<b>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>115,668</b>	<b>188,868</b>	<b>73,200</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>				
5	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE (GWOT)	24,200	24,200	
<b>TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>24,200</b>	<b>24,200</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>				
1	ROCKETS (GWOT)	326	326	
2	CARTRIDGES (GWOT) BBU-63/B	17,634	7,995 -9,639	-9,639
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (GWOT)	37,514	37,514	
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION (GWOT) Pricing adjustment	84,459	71,959 -12,500	-12,500
11	FLARES (GWOT)	14,973	14,973	
12	FUZES (GWOT)	3,859	3,859	
14	SMALL ARMS (GWOT)	1,200	1,200	
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>159,965</b>	<b>137,826</b>	<b>-22,139</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>				
22	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST (GWOT)	1,800	1,800	
46	MILSATCOM SPACE (GWOT)	5,695	5,695	
59	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (GWOT)	60,600	60,600	
61	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT (GWOT)	68,000	68,000	
68	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG (GWOT)	58,250	58,250	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS (GWOT) Classified adjustment	2,380,501	2,330,501 -50,000	-50,000
<b>TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>2,574,846</b>	<b>2,524,846</b>	<b>-50,000</b>

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>			
15 TELEPORT PROGRAM (GWOT)	4,760	4,760	
CLASSIFIED	78,986	78,986	
2 SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT (GWOT)	2,841	2,841	
49 CV-22 MODIFICATION	0	17,672	17,672
Operational loss		17,672	
66 SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS (GWOT)	13,300	13,300	
84 SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	8,034	8,034	
89 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (GWOT)	3,354	3,354	
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>	<b>111,275</b>	<b>128,947</b>	<b>17,672</b>
<b>NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>			
<b>RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>			
ARMY RESERVE	0	275,000	275,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		275,000	
NAVY RESERVE	0	50,000	50,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		50,000	
MARINE CORPS RESERVE	0	125,000	125,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		125,000	
AIR FORCE RESERVE	0	150,000	150,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		150,000	
<b>TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>600,000</b>	
<b>NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT</b>			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	0	400,000	400,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		400,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	0	500,000	500,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (GWOT)		500,000	
<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT</b>		<b>900,000</b>	
<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>

## NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

The recommendation provides \$1,500,000,000 for National Guard and Reserve Equipment. Of that amount, \$400,000,000 is for the Army National Guard; \$500,000,000 for the Air National Guard; \$275,000,000 for the Army Reserve; \$50,000,000 for the Navy Reserve; \$125,000,000 for the Marine Corps Reserve; and \$150,000,000 for the Air Force Reserve to meet urgent equipment needs that may arise this fiscal year.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used by these components for both combat missions and for missions in support of state governors. The conferees direct that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account shall be executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: Internal and external crashworthy, ballistically self-sealing auxiliary fuel systems for helicopters; high-density storage cabinets; Air National Guard missile warning system; Generation 4 advanced targeting pods; In-flight propeller balancing system; and ultra-light tactical vehicles.

## RESERVE COMPONENT SIMULATION TRAINING SYSTEMS

The use of simulation training systems has yielded a military that is better trained, more capable, and more confident as compared to units that do not have access to modern simulation training devices. Simulation training is a cost-effective means by which reserve units can improve tactical decision-making skills and ultimately save lives. It is anticipated that a portion of funds provided for National Guard and Reserve Equipment will be used to procure a variety of simulation training systems. To ensure the most efficient and effective training programs, these systems should be a combination of both government owned and operated simulators and simulation support from a dedicated commercial activity capable of providing frequent hardware and software updates.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$116,634,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation. The Committee recommendation for each research, development, test and evaluation account is shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY			
87 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (GWOT)	7,000	7,000	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	7,000	7,000	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY			
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	34,426	34,426	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	34,426	34,426	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE			
999 OTHER PROGRAMS (GWOT)	9,000	9,000	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	9,000	9,000	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE WIDE			
999 OTHER PROGRAMS (GWOT)	66,208	66,208	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE WIDE	66,208	66,208	

**REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS**

**DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS**

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$264,910,000 for the Defense Working Capital Fund accounts. This funding supports purchase of \$44,732,000 of Army secondary items for war reserves, \$10,000,000 to the United States Transportation Command (TRANSCOM) for the transportation of Fallen Heroes, \$78,500,000 for the repair of TRANSCOM C-17 aircraft, and \$46,678,000 for Defense Logistics Agency distribution and material disposition services in Afghanistan.

**OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS**

**DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM**

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$904,201,000 for the Defense Health Program.

The Committee's recommendations for operation and maintenance, procurement and research, development, test and evaluation are shown below:

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	904,201	904,201	---
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	375,958	375,958	---
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	382,560	382,560	---
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	132,749	132,749	---
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	2,238	2,238	---
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	460	460	---
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	10,236	10,236	---

**DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES,  
DEFENSE**

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$376,305,000 for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities.

**JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND**

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$1,000,000,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The Committee's recommendations for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund are shown below:

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
1 ATTACK THE NETWORK .....	417,700,000	417,700,000	---
2 DEFEAT THE DEVICE .....	248,886,000	248,886,000	---
3 TRAIN THE FORCE .....	106,000,000	106,000,000	---
4 STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE .....	227,414,000	227,414,000	---
TOTAL, JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND .....	1,000,000,000	1,000,000,000	---



## DETECTION OF MATERIALS USED IN IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICES

Raw materials, such as nitrate fertilizers and chlorates, are inexpensive, readily available, and easy to manufacture into Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs). These materials are smuggled into Afghanistan in bulk, often hidden in cement, flour, or sugar bags. Bulk detection becomes critical in countering the IED networks, and can allow for a more proactive response, rather than a reactive response after an IED detonation. Efforts are currently underway to assist with training and equipping the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) to strengthen its ability to identify and counter the IED threat. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to work with the Minister of Defense of Afghanistan, and leadership of the Coalition Force, to explore the feasibility of equipping and training the ANSF with a simple, fast, and effective bulk detection capability for nitrate fertilizers and chlorates.

## JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

## OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$10,766,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title IX contains several general provisions, many of which extend or modify war-related authorities included in previous Acts. A brief description of the recommended provisions follows:

Section 9001 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year.

Section 9002 has been amended and provides for general transfer authority within Title IX.

Section 9003 has been amended and provides that supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund or the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded.

Section 9004 provides for the procurement of passenger motor vehicles and heavy and light armored vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the U.S. Central Command area.

Section 9005 has been amended and provides funding for the Commander's Emergency Response Program, within certain limitations.

Section 9006 provides lift and sustainment to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Section 9007 prohibits the establishment of permanent bases in Iraq or Afghanistan or United States control over Iraq oil resources.

Section 9008 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 9009 limits the obligation of funding for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund until certain conditions have been met.

Section 9010 provides for the purchase of items of a particular investment unit cost from funding made available for operation and maintenance.

Section 9011 has been amended and provides funding for the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan.

Section 9012 has been amended and provides funding for the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams.

Section 9013 has been amended and provides for the rescission of \$46,022,000 from the following programs:

2009 Appropriations:	
General Provisions:	
Retroactive Stop Loss Special Pay Program .....	\$46,022,000

Section 9014 has been amended and restricts funds provided under the heading Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide for payments under Coalition Support Funds for reimbursement to the Government of Pakistan until certain conditions are met.

## TITLE X

Title X contains one new general provision. A brief description of the recommended provision follows:

Section 10001 is a new provision stating that the applicable allocation of new budget authority made by the Committee on Appropriations does not exceed the amount of proposed new budget authority.

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

#### CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years that are technically considered legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Changes in the application of existing law found within appropriations headings:

Language is included in various accounts placing a limitation on funds for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not more than \$25,000,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not more than \$36,000,000 for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not less than \$36,262,000 for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that makes available \$8,721,000 for certain classified activities, allows such funds to be transferred between certain accounts, and exempts such funds from the investment item unit cost of items ceiling.

Language is included that provides that any transfer authority provided under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

Language is included under the various Environmental Restoration accounts that provides that the Service Secretaries may transfer such funds for the purposes of the funds provided under such appropriations headings.

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy".

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy" that provides funds for certain activities.

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" that provides \$250,000,000 for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program and provides for the transfer of funds.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds within the "National Defense Sealift Fund".

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds provided under "National Defense Sealift Fund" to award new contracts that provide for the acquisition of major components unless such components are made in the United States.

Language is included that provides that the exercise of an option in a contract award through the obligation of previously appropriated funds shall not be considered to be the award of a new contract.

Language is included that provides waiver authority of the Buy America provisions under "National Defense Sealift Fund" under certain circumstances.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$8,000,000 of funds provided under "Defense Health Program" shall be available for HIV/AIDS prevention education activities.

Language is included that provides for the carry-over of one percent of the Operation and Maintenance account under the "Defense Health Program".

Language is included that limits obligation of funds provided under the "Defense Health Program" for the development or procurement of an electronic health record to a health record as set forth in the Joint Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2013–2015.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under "Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction".

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities". Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless express provision for a greater period of availability is provided elsewhere in this Act.

Language is included that provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides for general transfer authority.

Language is included that provides for incorporation of project level tables.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2014 and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that provides that prohibits funds appropriated in this Act to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Language is included that provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Language is included that prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of surplus firearms.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that defines congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Language is included that provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Language is included that provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy America Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act, namely facility maintenance and repair and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States.

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units located at Grand Forks, Malmstrom, Mountain Home, Ellsworth, and Minot Air Force Bases to Indian Tribes that are excess to Air Force needs.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase of specified investment items within Working Capital Fund.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of the National Security Act.

Language is included that provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence commu-

nications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commands.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$12,000,000 within "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be for mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act (chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code).

Language is included that provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Language is included that provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Language is included that provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Language is included that provides for the limitations on the conversion of an activity or function of the Department of Defense to contractor performance.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purpose.

Language is included that provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and Joint Intelligence Activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Language is included that provides that Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds may not be transferred to other agencies unless specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers manufactured only in the United States unless the Secretary certifies such acquisition must be made for national security purposes.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to transfer administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Language is included that provides for prior congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Language is included that provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Language is included that provides for a waiver of the “Buy America” provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center—fire car-



tridge and is designated as “armor piercing” except for demilitarization purposes.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under “Operation and Maintenance, Army” to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Language is included that provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2014.

Language is included that provides a limitation of \$200,000,000 for transfer to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund, and set forth certain reporting requirements prior to such transfer.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative missile defense programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to be obligated to modify the command and control relationship to give the Fleet Forces Command administration and operations control of U.S. Naval Forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to properly complete prior year shipbuilding programs.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in this Act are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that provides that the budget of the President for fiscal year 2014 shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces’ participation in contingency operations that contain certain budget exhibits as defined in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Language is included that provides the Secretary of Defense discretionary authority to make grants to the United Service Organizations and the Red Cross if he determines it to be in the national interest.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Language is included that provides for the use of current and expired "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of program authorities related to tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army.

Language is included that provides funding and authority for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative program.

Language is included that limits the obligation authority of funds provided for the Director of National Intelligence to the current fiscal year except for research and technology which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Language is included that provides for the adjustment of obligations within the "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriation.

Language is included that prohibits transfers of funds until the Director of National Intelligence submits a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of up to \$20,000,000 of funds available for the Program Manager for Information Sharing Environment to be transferred to other departments and agencies for certain purposes.

Language is included that sets forth reprogramming and transfer procedures for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides that the Director of National Intelligence shall provide budget exhibits identifying the five year future-years intelligence program.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that directs the Department of Defense to report on the Cost of War Execution Report on a monthly basis.

Language is included that provides that funds from certain operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred to a central fund established pursuant to section 2493(d) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that funds may be used for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language is included that provides that agencies post congressionally directed reports on a public website.

Language is included that provides limitations on the award of contracts to contractors that require mandatory arbitration for cer-

tain claims as a condition of employment or a contractual relationship. The Secretary is authorized to waive the applicability of these limitations for national security interests.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the number of senior executives employed by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

Language is included that provides a limitation on certain senior mentors unless such mentors make certain financial disclosures.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase heavy and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

Language is included that provides availability of funds appropriated under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for activities related to the military buildup of Guam.

Language is included that places limitations on the number of parking spaces provided by the BRAC 133 project, with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports on civilian personnel end strength.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the Director of National Intelligence for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides funding for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military installations.

Language is included that prohibits funds to transfer or release certain individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Language is included that places limitations on the transfer or release of certain individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to the custody or control of a foreign country unless certain certifications are provided.

Language is included that prohibits funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation with an unpaid Federal tax liability.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation convicted of a felony criminal violation within the preceding 24 months.

Language is included that directs the Secretary of the Air Force to obligate and expend funds previously appropriated for the purposes for which such funds were originally provided.

Language is included that prohibits the Department of Defense from entering into certain agreements with Rosoboronexport with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that repeals a reporting requirement in division A of Public Law 107-117.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag unless such flag is treated as a covered item under section 2533a(b).

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority to the Services for purposes of implementing a Sexual Assault Special Victims Program.

Language is included that prohibits reduction or elimination in funding for a program, project, or activity until such reduction or elimination is enacted in an appropriations Act, or pursuant to the reprogramming or transfer provisions in this Act.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for pay for military personnel.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to the Coast Guard.

Language is included that places limitations and requirements on the use of funds for reimbursements to key cooperating nations for logistical, military, and other support.

Language is included that requires \$35,000,000 to be made available for support for foreign forces participation in Counter Lord's Resistance Army efforts.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for overseas contingency operations.

Language is included that provides authority for infrastructure projects in Afghanistan subject to certain conditions and reporting requirements.

Language is included that provides authority for the provision of assistance to Afghanistan Security Forces subject to certain notification requirements.

Language is included that requires the Chiefs of National Guard and Reserve components to submit the modernization priority assessment for their respective components to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that allows the Director of the Joint Improvised Explosive Defeat Organization to undertake certain activities, and allows funds to be transferred to other appropriations accounts elsewhere in this Act subject to prior written notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in title IX are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014.

Language is included that provides for transfer authority between appropriations made available in title IX.

Language is included that provides for supervision and administration costs associated with overseas contingency operations.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase passenger, heavy, and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles for use in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility.

Language is included that provides funds and authority for the Commander's Emergency Response Program and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that authorizes the use of funds to provide certain assistance to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Afghanistan and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Afghanistan Security Forces Funds and requires certain certifications.

Language is included that authorizes funds in title IX for the purchase of items having an investment unit cost of up to \$500,000, subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that authorizes up to \$63,800,000 under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Army" for the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan, subject to certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that authorizes up to \$209,000,000 under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" for the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams, and operations and activities authorized in the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization, subject to written notice requirements.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Coalition Support Funds for the Government of Pakistan.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) to rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[In thousands of dollars]

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill 2014	2014 compared to 2012	2014 compared to 2013
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</b>						
Military Personnel, Army.....	2013	(1)	40,730,014	40,908,919	-2,389,490	178,905
Military Personnel, Navy.....	2013	(1)	27,075,933	27,671,555	868,221	595,622
Military Personnel, Marine Corps.....	2013	(1)	12,560,989	12,826,857	-808,279	265,858
Military Personnel, Air Force.....	2013	(1)	28,124,109	28,382,963	286,255	258,854
Reserve Personnel, Army.....	2013	(1)	4,456,823	4,483,343	193,936	26,520
Reserve Personnel, Navy.....	2013	(1)	1,871,688	1,875,536	-60,008	3,848
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps.....	2013	(1)	651,861	665,499	20,777	13,638
Reserve Personnel, Air Force.....	2013	(1)	1,743,875	1,745,579	32,874	1,704
National Guard Personnel, Army.....	2013	(1)	8,089,477	7,958,568	372,923	-130,909
National Guard Personnel, Air Force.....	2013	(1)	3,158,015	3,190,361	41,432	-27,654
Operation and Maintenance, Army.....	2013	36,481,545	36,422,738	35,183,796	4,110,894	-1,238,942
Operation and Maintenance, Navy.....	2013	41,745,965	41,463,733	40,127,402	2,006,581	-1,336,331
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps.....	2013	6,005,963	6,075,667	6,298,757	755,820	223,090
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.....	2013	35,659,759	35,408,795	37,438,701	2,453,215	2,029,906
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide.....	2013	32,088,013	31,780,813	32,301,685	2,149,677	520,872
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.....	2013	3,178,208	3,199,423	3,199,151	127,418	-272
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve.....	2013	1,246,982	1,256,347	1,200,283	-104,851	-56,064
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve.....	2013	272,285	277,377	266,561	-4,882	-10,816
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.....	2013	3,207,082	3,362,041	3,149,046	-125,313	-212,995
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.....	2013	7,158,012	7,187,731	7,102,113	177,181	-85,618
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard.....	2013	6,185,555	6,608,826	6,675,999	577,219	67,173
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.....	2013	13,516	13,516	13,606	-285	90
Environmental Restoration, Army.....	2013	335,921	335,921	298,815	-47,216	-37,106
Environmental Restoration, Navy.....	2013	310,594	310,594	316,103	7,435	5,509
Environmental Restoration, Air Force.....	2013	529,263	529,263	439,820	-85,633	-89,443
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.....	2013	11,133	11,133	10,757	41	-376
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	2013	237,543	237,543	262,443	-64,052	24,900
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.....	2013	108,759	108,759	109,500	1,838	741
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.....	2013	519,111	519,111	528,455	20,236	9,344
Aircraft Procurement, Army.....	2013	5,853,729	6,115,226	5,236,653	-123,681	-878,573
Missile Procurement, Army.....	2013	1,352,689	1,602,689	1,628,083	166,860	25,394
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army.....	2013	1,814,523	1,884,706	1,545,560	-524,845	-339,146
Procurement of Ammunition, Army.....	2013	1,571,768	1,576,768	1,465,937	-418,487	-110,831
Other Procurement, Army.....	2013	6,152,933	6,488,045	6,467,751	-1,456,463	-20,294
Aircraft Procurement, Navy.....	2013	17,127,463	17,518,324	17,092,784	-582,950	-425,540
Weapons Procurement, Navy.....	2013	3,112,057	3,072,112	3,017,646	-206,786	-54,466
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps.....	2013	726,000	677,243	544,116	-82,732	-133,127
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy.....	2013	14,258,524	15,236,126	15,000,704	81,590	-235,422
Other Procurement, Navy.....	2013	6,219,041	6,364,191	6,824,824	811,439	460,633
Procurement, Marine Corps.....	2013	1,482,081	1,482,081	1,271,311	-151,259	-210,770
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force.....	2013	11,279,599	11,304,899	10,860,606	-2,089,394	-444,293
Missile Procurement, Air Force.....	2013	5,459,146	5,449,146	5,267,119	-813,758	-182,027
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force.....	2013	599,194	599,194	743,442	244,257	144,248
Other Procurement, Air Force.....	2013	16,749,048	16,632,575	16,791,497	-612,067	158,922
Procurement, Defense-Wide.....	2013	4,491,335	4,429,335	4,522,990	-370,438	93,655
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.....	2013	8,494,755	8,593,055	7,961,486	-784,006	-631,569
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.....	2013	17,308,977	16,987,768	15,368,352	-2,385,588	-1,619,416
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2013	25,383,339	25,117,692	24,947,354	-1,588,642	-170,338
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide.....	2013	18,550,561	19,100,362	17,885,538	-1,308,417	-1,214,824
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense.....	2013	200,268	185,268	246,800	55,508	61,532
Defense Working Capital Funds.....	2013	1,371,560	1,516,184	1,545,827	-29,183	29,643
National Defense Sealift Fund.....	2013	608,136	564,636	595,700	-504,819	31,064
Defense Health Program.....	2013	32,620,718	32,862,234	33,573,582	1,091,523	711,348
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense..	2013	1,301,786	1,301,786	1,057,123	-497,299	-244,863
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense..	2013	1,025,263	1,133,363	1,007,762	-201,858	-125,601
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.....	2013	0	217,414	0	0	-217,414
Office of the Inspector General.....	2013	332,921	350,321	347,000	81	-3,321
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	2013	N/A	514,000	514,000	300	0
Intelligence Community Management Account.....	2013	N/A	511,476	552,535	4,644	41,059
Title IX - Overseas Deployments and Other Activities	2013	88,478,984	88,208,906	85,768,949	-29,196,686	-2,439,957
National Guard and Reserve Equipment.....	2013	150,000	2,000,000	1,500,000	500,000	-500,000

1/ The FY 2012 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes \$135,777,368,000 for military personnel. Note: The bill includes several transfers of funds which may or may not be specifically authorized in law.

## TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language has been included under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain classified activities.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation for specific purposes.

Language has been included under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military functions.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for the transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" appropriation and the "Operation and Maintenance" appropriation accounts.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8015" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program to any other appropriation for the purposes of implementing a Mentor-Protégé Program development assistance agreement.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8051” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide” to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8066” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Army” to other activities of the federal government.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8068” which provides for the transfer of funds available under “Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide” to the Department of State “Global Security Contingency Fund”.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8070” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Procurement, Defense-Wide” and “Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide” for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8072” which provides for the transfer of funds under the heading “Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy” to fund prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8081” which provides for the transfer of funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriations to any available Navy ship construction appropriation for the purpose of liquidating necessary changes resulting from inflation, market fluctuations, or rate adjustments.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8088” which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in the Intelligence Community Management Account for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8093” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Army”, “Operation and Maintenance, Navy”, and “Operation and Maintenance, Air Force” to the central fund established for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8094” which provides that funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for the purpose of making remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8098” which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8106” which provides for the transfer of funds for the National Intelligence Program.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8122” which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense to the Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps,



for purposes of implementation of a Sexual Assault Special Victims Program.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8126” which provides for the transfer of funds for pay for military personnel for purposes of fully funding the authorized military pay raise.

Language has been included under title IX “Operation and Maintenance, Navy” which provides for the transfer of funds to the Coast Guard “Operating Expenses” account.

Language has been included under title IX “Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to military personnel accounts; operation and maintenance accounts; procurement accounts; and working capital fund accounts for expenses directly related to overseas contingency operations by United States military forces.

Language has been included under title IX “Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to the Department of State for purposes of undertaking infrastructure projects in Afghanistan.

Language has been included under title IX “Afghanistan Security Forces Fund” for the purposes of allowing the Commander, Combined Security Transition Command—Afghanistan, or the Secretary’s designee, to provide assistance, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to the security forces of Afghanistan.

Language has been included under title IX “Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations for military personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; and defense working capital funds to assist United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 9002” which provides for the authority to transfer funds between the appropriations or funds made available to the Department of Defense in title IX, subject to certain conditions.

#### RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House or Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

General Provisions, 2009 .....	\$46,022,000
National Defense Sealift Fund, 2011/XXXX .....	28,000,000
National Defense Sealift Fund, 2012/XXXX .....	14,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2012/2014 .....	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2012/2014 .....	443,000,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2012/2014 .....	10,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015 .....	85,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015 .....	5,000,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, 2013/2017 .....	68,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015 .....	3,553,000
Procurement, Marine Corps, 2013/2015 .....	12,650,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015 .....	60,000,000
Other Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015 .....	38,900,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide, 2013/2015 .....	72,776,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, 2013/2014 .....	380,861,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, 2013/2014 .....	49,331,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, 2013/2014 .....	115,000,000

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, 2013/ 2014 .....	213,000,000
Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund, 2013/2014	1,414,500,000

TRANSFER OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the bill contains a general provision which allows for the transfer of unexpended balances from the Operation and Maintenance and Military Personnel accounts to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Defense" account to address shortfalls due to foreign currency fluctuation.

DIRECTED RULE MAKING

This bill does not contain any provision that specifically directs the promulgation or completion of a rule.

PROGRAM DUPLICATION

No provision of this bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111-139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(E) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

**SECTION 8159 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002**

(Division A of Public Law 107-117)

**SEC. 8159. MULTI-YEAR AIRCRAFT LEASE PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) \* \* \*

(c) Under the aircraft lease Pilot Program authorized by this section:

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

[(7) Not later than 1 year after the date on which the first aircraft is delivered under this Pilot Program, and yearly thereafter

on the anniversary of the first delivery, the Secretary shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees describing the status of the Pilot Program. The Report will be based on at least 6 months of experience in operating the Pilot Program.】

\* \* \* \* \*

EARMARK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Neither the bill nor the report contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in Clause 9 of rule XXI.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee’s section 302(a) allocation. This information follows:

(in millions of dollar)

	302(b) Allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees: Subcommittee on Defense				
Discretionary:				
General Purpose .....	512,522	543,698	512,522	<sup>1</sup> 543,685
Overseas Contingency Operations <sup>2</sup> .....	85,769	46,707	85,769	42,994
Mandatory .....	514	514	514	514

<sup>1</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.  
<sup>2</sup> Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism.

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill.

(In millions of dollars)

	Non-OCO	OCO
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:		
2014 .....	<sup>1</sup> 333,314	<sup>1</sup> 42,994
2015 .....	106,060	27,195
2016 .....	38,197	9,871
2017 .....	17,580	3,534
2018 and future years .....	12,477	1,311

<sup>1</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.  
 Note: OCO is Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, no new budget or outlays are provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments.

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Ms. Lowey

Description of Motion: Motion to postpone consideration of the Defense Bill until July 9, 2013.

Results: Defeated 16 yeas to 28 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Cuellar  
Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Fattah  
Ms. Lee  
Mrs. Lowey  
Ms. McCollum  
Mr. Moran  
Mr. Owens  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Schiff  
Mr. Serrano  
Mr. Visclosky  
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Alexander  
Mr. Bonner  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Culberson  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Joyce  
Mr. Latham  
Mr. Nunnelee  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Rooney  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Wolf  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder  
Mr. Young

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 2

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Mr. Price

Description of Motion: Amendment to replace the 2013 and 2014 sequesters with revenue increases and spending reductions.

Results: Defeated 22 yeas to 29 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
 Mr. Cuellar  
 Ms. DeLauro  
 Mr. Farr  
 Mr. Fattah  
 Mr. Honda  
 Ms. Kaptur  
 Ms. Lee  
 Mrs. Lowey  
 Ms. McCollum  
 Mr. Moran  
 Mr. Owens  
 Mr. Pastor  
 Ms. Pingree  
 Mr. Price  
 Mr. Quigley  
 Ms. Roybal-Allard  
 Mr. Ryan  
 Mr. Schiff  
 Mr. Serrano  
 Mr. Visclosky  
 Ms. Wasserman Schultz

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Mr. Bonner  
 Mr. Calvert  
 Mr. Carter  
 Mr. Cole  
 Mr. Crenshaw  
 Mr. Culberson  
 Mr. Dent  
 Mr. Diaz-Balart  
 Mr. Fleischmann  
 Mr. Fortenberry  
 Mr. Frelinghuysen  
 Ms. Granger  
 Mr. Graves  
 Dr. Harris  
 Ms. Herrera Beutler  
 Mr. Joyce  
 Mr. Kingston  
 Mr. Latham  
 Mr. Nunnelee  
 Mr. Rogers  
 Mr. Rooney  
 Mr. Simpson  
 Mr. Valadao  
 Mr. Wolf  
 Mr. Womack  
 Mr. Yoder  
 Mr. Young

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 3

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Ms. Lee

Description of Motion: Amendment to repeal the Authorization for Use of Military Force, effective either on January 1, 2015, or upon the conclusion of Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan.

Results: 20 yeas to 31 nays

*Members Voting Yea*

Ms. DeLauro  
 Mr. Farr  
 Mr. Fattah  
 Mr. Honda  
 Ms. Kaptur  
 Ms. Lee  
 Mrs. Lowey  
 Ms. McCollum  
 Mr. Moran  
 Mr. Pastor  
 Ms. Pingree  
 Mr. Price  
 Mr. Quigley  
 Mr. Rooney  
 Ms. Roybal-Allard  
 Mr. Ryan  
 Mr. Schiff  
 Mr. Serrano  
 Mr. Visclosky  
 Ms. Wasserman Schultz

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Mr. Bishop  
 Mr. Bonner  
 Mr. Calvert  
 Mr. Carter  
 Mr. Cole  
 Mr. Crenshaw  
 Mr. Cuellar  
 Mr. Culberson  
 Mr. Dent  
 Mr. Diaz-Balart  
 Mr. Fleischmann  
 Mr. Fortenberry  
 Mr. Frelinghuysen  
 Ms. Granger  
 Mr. Graves  
 Dr. Harris  
 Ms. Herrera Beutler  
 Mr. Joyce  
 Mr. Kingston  
 Mr. Latham  
 Mr. Nunnelee  
 Mr. Owens  
 Mr. Rogers  
 Mr. Simpson  
 Mr. Valadao  
 Mr. Wolf  
 Mr. Womack  
 Mr. Yoder  
 Mr. Young

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 4

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Mr. Moran

Description of Motion: Amendment to strike sections 8108 and 8109, and amend section 8107, relating to the United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Results: Defeated 20 yeas to 31 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
 Ms. DeLauro  
 Mr. Farr  
 Mr. Fattah  
 Mr. Honda  
 Ms. Kaptur  
 Ms. Lee  
 Mrs. Lowey  
 Ms. McCollum  
 Mr. Moran  
 Mr. Pastor  
 Ms. Pingree  
 Mr. Price  
 Mr. Quigley  
 Ms. Roybal-Allard  
 Mr. Ryan  
 Mr. Schiff  
 Mr. Serrano  
 Mr. Visclosky  
 Ms. Wasserman Schultz

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Mr. Bonner  
 Mr. Calvert  
 Mr. Carter  
 Mr. Cole  
 Mr. Crenshaw  
 Mr. Cuellar  
 Mr. Culberson  
 Mr. Dent  
 Mr. Diaz-Balart  
 Mr. Fleischmann  
 Mr. Fortenberry  
 Mr. Frelinghuysen  
 Ms. Granger  
 Mr. Graves  
 Dr. Harris  
 Ms. Herrera Beutler  
 Mr. Joyce  
 Mr. Kingston  
 Mr. Latham  
 Mr. Nunnelee  
 Mr. Owens  
 Mr. Rogers  
 Mr. Rooney  
 Mr. Simpson  
 Mr. Valadao  
 Mr. Wolf  
 Mr. Womack  
 Mr. Yoder  
 Mr. Young



## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 5

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Ms. Lee

Description of Motion: Amendment to require a report, in classified and unclassified form, on all instances in which the Authorization for Use of Military Force has been invoked.

Results: Defeated 19 yeas to 30 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
 Mr. Cuellar  
 Ms. DeLauro  
 Mr. Farr  
 Mr. Honda  
 Ms. Kaptur  
 Ms. Lee  
 Mrs. Lowey  
 Ms. McCollum  
 Mr. Moran  
 Mr. Owens  
 Mr. Pastor  
 Ms. Pingree  
 Mr. Price  
 Mr. Quigley  
 Ms. Roybal-Allard  
 Mr. Ryan  
 Mr. Schiff  
 Mr. Serrano

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Mr. Bonner  
 Mr. Calvert  
 Mr. Carter  
 Mr. Cole  
 Mr. Crenshaw  
 Mr. Culberson  
 Mr. Dent  
 Mr. Diaz-Balart  
 Mr. Fleischmann  
 Mr. Fortenberry  
 Mr. Frelinghuysen  
 Ms. Granger  
 Mr. Graves  
 Dr. Harris  
 Ms. Herrera Beutler  
 Mr. Joyce  
 Mr. Kingston  
 Mr. Latham  
 Mr. Nunnelee  
 Mr. Rogers  
 Mr. Rooney  
 Mr. Simpson  
 Mr. Valadao  
 Mr. Visclosky  
 Mr. Wolf  
 Mr. Womack  
 Mr. Yoder  
 Mr. Young

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 6

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Ms. Lee

Description of Motion: Amendment prohibiting funds for the purpose of maintaining U.S. troops or Department of Defense contractors in Afghanistan after December 31, 2014, unless authorized by a separate Act of Congress.

Results: Defeated 13 yeas to 35 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Honda  
Ms. Kaptur  
Ms. Lee  
Mrs. Lowey  
Ms. McCollum  
Mr. Pastor  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Quigley  
Ms. Roybal-Allard  
Mr. Schiff  
Mr. Serrano

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Alexander  
Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Bonner  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Cuellar  
Mr. Culberson  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Joyce  
Mr. Kingston  
Mr. Latham  
Mr. Nunnelee  
Mr. Owens  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Rooney  
Mr. Ryan  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Visclosky  
Mr. Wolf  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder  
Mr. Young

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 7

Date: June 12, 2013

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2014

Motion by: Ms. DeLauro

Description of Motion: Amendment to add a FY 2014 Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies Appropriations Act to the bill, with a text essentially identical to the enacted FY 2012 Labor, HHS, Education and Related Agencies Appropriations Act.

Results: Defeated 21 yeas to 29 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
 Mr. Cuellar  
 Ms. DeLauro  
 Mr. Farr  
 Mr. Fattah  
 Mr. Honda  
 Ms. Kaptur  
 Ms. Lee  
 Mrs. Lowey  
 Ms. McCollum  
 Mr. Moran  
 Mr. Owens  
 Mr. Pastor  
 Ms. Pingree  
 Mr. Price  
 Mr. Quigley  
 Ms. Roybal-Allard  
 Mr. Ryan  
 Mr. Schiff  
 Mr. Serrano  
 Mr. Visclosky

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Mr. Bonner  
 Mr. Calvert  
 Mr. Carter  
 Mr. Cole  
 Mr. Crenshaw  
 Mr. Culberson  
 Mr. Dent  
 Mr. Diaz-Balart  
 Mr. Fleischmann  
 Mr. Fortenberry  
 Mr. Frelinghuysen  
 Ms. Granger  
 Mr. Graves  
 Dr. Harris  
 Ms. Herrera Beutler  
 Mr. Joyce  
 Mr. Kingston  
 Mr. Latham  
 Mr. Nunnelee  
 Mr. Rogers  
 Mr. Rooney  
 Mr. Simpson  
 Mr. Valadao  
 Mr. Wolf  
 Mr. Womack  
 Mr. Yoder  
 Mr. Young

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE I					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military Personnel, Army.....	40,199,263	41,037,790	40,908,919	+709,656	-128,871
Military Personnel, Navy.....	26,902,346	27,824,444	27,671,555	+769,209	-152,889
Military Personnel, Marine Corps.....	12,531,549	12,905,216	12,826,857	+295,308	-78,359
Military Personnel, Air Force.....	28,052,826	28,519,877	28,382,963	+330,137	-136,914
Reserve Personnel, Army.....	4,456,823	4,565,261	4,483,343	+26,520	-81,918
Reserve Personnel, Navy.....	1,874,023	1,891,936	1,875,536	+1,513	-16,400
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps.....	658,251	677,499	665,499	+7,248	-12,000
Reserve Personnel, Air Force.....	1,722,425	1,758,629	1,745,579	+23,154	-13,050
National Guard Personnel, Army.....	7,981,577	8,041,268	7,958,568	-23,009	-82,700
National Guard Personnel, Air Force.....	3,153,990	3,177,961	3,130,361	-23,629	-47,600
	-----				
Total, Title I, Military Personnel.....	127,533,073	130,399,881	129,649,180	+2,116,107	-750,701
	=====				
TITLE II					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and Maintenance, Army.....	35,409,260	35,073,077	35,183,796	-225,464	+110,719
Operation and Maintenance, Navy.....	41,614,453	39,945,237	40,127,402	-1,487,051	+182,165
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps.....	6,034,963	6,254,650	6,298,757	+263,794	+44,107
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.....	34,780,406	37,270,842	37,438,701	+2,658,295	+167,859

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	31,862,980	32,997,693	32,301,685	+438,705	-696,008
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.....	3,182,923	3,095,036	3,199,151	+16,228	+104,115
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve.....	1,256,347	1,197,752	1,200,283	-56,064	+2,531
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve.....	277,377	263,317	266,561	-10,816	+3,244
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.....	3,261,324	3,164,607	3,149,046	-112,278	-15,561
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.....	7,154,161	7,054,196	7,102,113	-52,048	+47,917
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard.....	6,494,326	6,566,004	6,675,999	+181,673	+109,995
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.....	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces...	13,516	13,606	13,606	+90	---
Environmental Restoration, Army.....	335,921	298,815	298,815	-37,106	---
Environmental Restoration, Navy.....	310,594	316,103	316,103	+5,509	---
Environmental Restoration, Air Force.....	529,263	439,820	439,820	-89,443	---
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.....	11,133	10,757	10,757	-376	---
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	287,543	237,443	262,443	-25,100	+25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.....	108,759	109,500	109,500	+741	---
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.....	519,111	528,455	528,455	+9,344	---
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.....	50,198	256,031	51,031	+833	-205,000
<b>Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance.....</b>	<b>173,494,558</b>	<b>175,097,941</b>	<b>174,974,024</b>	<b>+1,479,466</b>	<b>-123,917</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army.....	6,028,754	5,024,387	5,236,653	-792,101	+212,266
Missile Procurement, Army.....	1,535,433	1,334,083	1,628,083	+92,650	+294,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army.....	1,857,823	1,597,267	1,545,560	-312,263	-51,707
Procurement of Ammunition, Army.....	1,641,306	1,540,437	1,465,937	-175,369	-74,500
Other Procurement, Army.....	5,741,664	6,465,218	6,467,751	+726,087	+2,533
Aircraft Procurement, Navy.....	17,382,152	17,927,651	17,092,784	-289,368	-834,867
Weapons Procurement, Navy.....	3,036,871	3,122,193	3,017,646	-19,225	-104,547
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps.....	659,897	589,267	544,116	-115,781	-45,151
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy.....	15,584,212	14,077,804	15,000,704	-583,508	+922,900
Advanced appropriation FY 2015.....	---	952,739	---	---	-952,739
Other Procurement, Navy.....	5,955,078	6,310,257	6,824,824	+869,746	+514,567
Procurement, Marine Corps.....	1,411,411	1,343,511	1,271,311	-140,100	-72,200
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force.....	11,774,019	11,398,901	10,860,606	-913,413	-538,295
Coast Guard (by transfer).....	---	---	---	---	---
Missile Procurement, Air Force.....	4,962,376	5,343,286	5,267,119	+304,743	-76,167

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force.....	594,694	759,442	743,442	+148,748	-16,000
Other Procurement, Air Force.....	17,082,508	16,760,581	16,791,497	-291,011	+30,916
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	4,878,985	4,534,083	4,522,990	-355,995	-11,093
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	223,531	25,135	75,135	-148,396	+50,000
<b>Total, Title III, Procurement.....</b>	<b>100,350,714</b>	<b>99,106,242</b>	<b>98,356,158</b>	<b>-1,994,556</b>	<b>-750,084</b>
<b>FY 2014.....</b>	<b>(100,350,714)</b>	<b>(98,153,503)</b>	<b>(98,356,158)</b>	<b>(-1,994,556)</b>	<b>(+202,655)</b>

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.....	8,676,627	7,989,102	7,961,486	-715,141	-27,616
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.....	16,963,398	15,974,780	15,368,352	-1,595,046	-606,428
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force.	25,432,738	25,702,946	24,947,354	-485,384	-755,592
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	18,631,946	17,667,108	17,885,538	-746,408	+218,430
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense.....	223,768	186,300	246,800	+23,032	+60,500
<b>Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....</b>	<b>69,928,477</b>	<b>67,520,236</b>	<b>66,409,530</b>	<b>-3,518,947</b>	<b>-1,110,706</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds.....	1,516,184	1,545,827	1,545,827	+29,643	---
National Defense Sealift Fund.....	697,840	730,700	595,700	-102,140	-135,000
	-----				
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds..	2,214,024	2,276,527	2,141,527	-72,497	-135,000
	=====				
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance.....	30,885,165	31,653,734	31,566,688	+681,523	-87,046
Procurement.....	521,762	671,181	671,181	+149,419	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	1,308,377	729,613	1,335,713	+27,336	+606,100
	-----				
Total, Defense Health Program 1/.....	32,715,304	33,054,528	33,573,582	+858,278	+519,054
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance.....	635,843	451,572	451,572	-184,271	---
Procurement.....	18,592	1,368	1,368	-17,224	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	647,351	604,183	604,183	-43,168	---
	-----				
Total, Chemical Agents 2/.....	1,301,786	1,057,123	1,057,123	-244,663	---



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.....	1,159,263	938,545	1,007,762	-151,501	+69,217
Office of the Inspector General 1/.....	350,321	312,131	347,000	-3,321	+34,869
<b>Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>35,526,674</b>	<b>35,461,127</b>	<b>35,985,467</b>	<b>+458,793</b>	<b>+524,340</b>
=====					
TITLE VII					
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	514,000	514,000	514,000	---	---
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA).....	534,421	568,271	552,535	+18,114	-15,736
<b>Total, Title VII, Related agencies.....</b>	<b>1,048,421</b>	<b>1,082,271</b>	<b>1,066,535</b>	<b>+18,114</b>	<b>-15,736</b>
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE VIII					
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005).....	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	---	---
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec.8019).....	15,000	---	15,000	---	+15,000
FFRDC (Sec.8023).....	---	---	-40,000	-40,000	-40,000
Rescissions (Sec.8040).....	-2,142,447	---	-3,043,571	-901,124	-3,043,571
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8051).....	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	---	---
O&M, Army transfer authority.....	(133,381)	---	---	(-133,381)	---
Global Security Contingency Fund (O&M, Defense-wide transfer) (Sec.8068).....	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	---	---
Fisher House Foundation (Sec.8069).....	4,000	---	4,000	---	+4,000
National grants (Sec.8077).....	44,000	---	44,000	---	+44,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec.8082).....	8,000	8,000	8,000	---	---
ICMA transfer authority (Sec.8088).....	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	---	---
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec.8093).....	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	---	---
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec.8098).....	(139,204)	(143,087)	(143,087)	(+3,883)	---
Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund.....	2,382,100	---	---	-2,382,100	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec.8102)....	270,000	---	---	-270,000	---
(transfer authority).....	---	(273,300)	(146,568)	(+146,568)	(-126,732)
Civilian pay reduction (Sec.8116).....	-72,718	---	-437,000	-364,282	-437,000
Special Victims Program implementation (Sec.8122).....	---	---	25,000	+25,000	+25,000
A-12 Aircraft litigation in-kind settlement.....	---	150,000	---	---	-150,000
Military pay raise (Sec. 8126).....	---	---	580,000	+580,000	+580,000
<b>Total, Title VIII, General Provisions.....</b>	<b>507,935</b>	<b>158,000</b>	<b>-2,844,571</b>	<b>-3,352,506</b>	<b>-3,002,571</b>

TITLE IX

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO) 3/

Military Personnel

Military Personnel, Army (OCO).....	9,790,082	6,747,515	6,703,006	-3,087,076	-44,509
Military Personnel, Navy (OCO).....	774,225	558,344	558,344	-215,881	---
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO).....	1,425,156	1,019,322	1,019,322	-405,834	---
Military Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	1,286,783	867,087	867,087	-419,696	---
Reserve Personnel, Army (OCO).....	156,893	40,952	40,952	-115,941	---
Reserve Personnel, Navy (OCO).....	39,335	20,238	20,238	-19,097	---
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO).....	24,722	15,134	15,134	-9,588	---
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	25,348	20,432	20,432	-4,916	---
National Guard Personnel, Army (OCO).....	583,804	393,364	393,364	-190,440	---
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	10,473	6,919	6,919	-3,554	---
<b>Total, Military Personnel.....</b>	<b>14,116,821</b>	<b>9,689,307</b>	<b>9,644,798</b>	<b>-4,472,023</b>	<b>-44,509</b>

305

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
Operation and Maintenance					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (OCO).....	28,452,018	29,279,633	30,929,633	+2,477,615	+1,650,000
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (OCO).....	5,839,934	6,067,993	6,255,993	+416,059	+188,000
Coast Guard (by transfer) (OCO).....	---	(227,033)	(227,033)	(+227,033)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO).....	4,116,340	2,669,815	2,669,815	-1,446,525	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (OCO).....	9,249,736	10,005,224	10,605,224	+1,355,488	+600,000
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	7,714,079	6,435,078	6,240,437	-1,473,642	-194,641
Coalition support funds (OCO).....	(1,650,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(-150,000)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO).....	157,887	42,935	42,935	-114,952	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO).....	55,924	55,700	55,700	-224	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OCO).....	25,477	12,534	12,534	-12,943	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (OCO).....	60,618	32,849	32,849	-27,769	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (OCO).....	392,448	199,371	199,371	-193,077	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (OCO).....	34,500	22,200	22,200	-12,300	---
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund (OCO)...	582,884	---	1,073,800	+490,916	+1,073,800
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance.....	56,681,845	54,823,332	58,140,491	+1,458,646	+3,317,159
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO).....	325,000	279,000	279,000	-46,000	---
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO).....	5,124,167	7,726,720	7,726,720	+2,602,553	---
Total, Operation and Maintenance.....	62,131,012	62,829,052	66,146,211	+4,015,199	+3,317,159

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (OCO).....	550,700	771,788	771,788	+221,088	---
Missile Procurement, Army (OCO).....	67,951	128,645	154,532	+86,581	+25,887
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army (OCO).....	15,422	---	15,422	---	+15,422
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (OCO).....	338,493	180,900	190,382	-148,111	+9,482
Other Procurement, Army (OCO).....	1,740,157	603,123	909,825	-830,332	+306,702
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (OCO).....	215,698	240,696	240,696	+24,998	---
Weapons Procurement, Navy (OCO).....	22,500	86,500	86,500	+64,000	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps..... (OCO).....	283,059	206,821	169,362	-113,697	-37,459
Other Procurement, Navy (OCO).....	98,882	17,968	17,968	-80,914	---
Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO).....	822,054	129,584	125,984	-696,070	-3,600
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	305,600	115,668	188,868	-116,732	+73,200
Missile Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	34,350	24,200	24,200	-10,150	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (OCO).....	116,203	159,965	137,826	+21,623	-22,139
Other Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	2,680,270	2,574,846	2,524,846	-155,424	-50,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	188,099	111,275	128,947	-59,152	+17,672
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO).....	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---	+1,500,000
-----					
Total, Procurement.....	8,979,438	5,351,979	7,187,146	-1,792,292	+1,835,167

305

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO).....	29,660	7,000	7,000	-22,660	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (OCO).....	52,519	34,426	34,426	-18,093	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (OCO).....	53,150	9,000	9,000	-44,150	---
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	112,387	66,208	66,208	-46,179	---
-----					
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	247,716	116,634	116,634	-131,082	---
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO).....	243,600	264,910	264,910	+21,310	---
Other Department of Defense Programs					
Defense Health Program: Operation and maintenance (OCO).....	993,898	904,201	904,201	-89,697	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (OCO).....	469,025	376,305	376,305	-92,720	---
Joint IED Defeat Fund (OCO) 2/.....	1,622,614	1,000,000	1,000,000	-622,614	---
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund (OCO).....	---	15,000	---	---	-15,000
Office of the Inspector General (OCO).....	10,766	10,766	10,766	---	---
<b>Total, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>3,096,303</b>	<b>2,306,272</b>	<b>2,291,272</b>	<b>-805,031</b>	<b>-15,000</b>
TITLE IX General Provisions					
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec.9002).....	(3,500,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(+500,000)	---
Rescissions (OCO) (Sec.9013).....	-1,860,052	-1,279,252	-46,022	+1,814,030	+1,233,230
<b>Total, General Provisions.....</b>	<b>-1,860,052</b>	<b>-1,279,252</b>	<b>-46,022</b>	<b>+1,814,030</b>	<b>+1,233,230</b>
<b>Total, Title IX .....</b>	<b>86,954,838</b>	<b>79,278,902</b>	<b>85,604,949</b>	<b>-1,349,889</b>	<b>+6,326,047</b>
<b>Total for the bill (net).....</b>	<b>597,558,714</b>	<b>590,381,127</b>	<b>591,342,799</b>	<b>-6,215,915</b>	<b>+961,672</b>
Less appropriations for subsequent years....	---	-952,739	---	---	+952,739
<b>Total for the bill (net).....</b>	<b>597,558,714</b>	<b>589,428,388</b>	<b>591,342,799</b>	<b>-6,215,915</b>	<b>+1,914,411</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS					
DISASTER RELIEF APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2013					
Operation and Maintenance (emergency).....	62,825	---	---	-62,825	---
Procurement (emergency).....	1,310	---	---	-1,310	---
Defense working capital funds (emergency).....	24,200	---	---	-24,200	---
Total, FY 2013 Disaster Relief (PL 113-2).....	88,335	---	---	-88,335	---
Total, Other Appropriations.....	88,335	---	---	-88,335	---
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net grand total.....	597,647,049	589,428,388	591,342,799	-6,304,250	+1,914,411
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP					
Scorekeeping adjustments:					
Lease of defense real property (permanent).....	22,000	30,000	30,000	+8,000	---
Disposal of defense real property (permanent).....	9,000	10,000	10,000	+1,000	---
DHP, O&M to DOD-VA Joint Incentive Fund:					
Defense function.....	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	---	---
Non-defense function.....	15,000	15,000	15,000	---	---
DHP, O&M to Joint DOD-VA Medical Facility					
Demonstration Fund:					
Defense function.....	-139,204	-143,087	-143,087	-3,883	---
Non-defense function.....	139,204	143,087	143,087	+3,883	---
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to Department of State:					
Defense function.....	-100,000	-50,000	-50,000	+50,000	---
Non-defense function.....	100,000	50,000	50,000	-50,000	---
Tricare accrual (permanent, indefinite auth.) 4/..	8,026,000	7,258,000	7,258,000	-768,000	---
(OCO) 3/.....	271,000	164,000	164,000	-107,000	---
Title IX rescissions (CBO adjustment).....	---	257,681	---	---	-257,681
OCO appropriations.....	---	1,021,571	---	---	-1,021,571
Base appropriations.....	---	-1,021,571	---	---	+1,021,571
Less emergency appropriations .....	-88,335	---	---	+88,335	---
ATB security (DivD Sec3001) (CBO adjustment).....	-515,000	---	---	+515,000	---
-----					
Total, scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,724,665	7,719,681	7,462,000	-262,665	-257,681
=====					
Adjusted total (includ. scorekeeping adjustments)	605,371,714	597,148,069	598,804,799	-6,566,915	+1,656,730
Appropriations.....	(607,514,161)	(598,169,640)	(601,848,370)	(-5,665,791)	(+3,678,730)
Rescissions.....	(-2,142,447)	(-1,021,571)	(-3,043,571)	(-901,124)	(-2,022,000)
=====					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	605,371,714	597,148,069	598,804,799	-6,566,915	+1,656,730

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2013 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

\*Enacted level does not include the Sec. 251A sequester or Sec. 3004 OMB ATB

	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	127,533,073	130,399,881	129,649,180	+2,116,107	-750,701
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	173,494,558	175,097,941	174,974,024	+1,479,466	-123,917
Title III - Procurement.....	100,350,714	99,106,242	98,356,158	-1,994,556	-750,084
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	69,928,477	67,520,236	66,409,530	-3,518,947	-1,110,706
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,214,024	2,276,527	2,141,527	-72,497	-135,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,526,674	35,461,127	35,985,467	+458,793	+524,340
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,048,421	1,082,271	1,066,535	+18,114	-15,736
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	507,935	158,000	-2,844,571	-3,352,506	-3,002,571
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	86,954,838	79,278,902	85,604,949	-1,349,889	+6,326,047
-----					
Total, Department of Defense.....	597,558,714	590,381,127	591,342,799	-6,215,915	+961,672
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,724,665	7,719,681	7,462,000	-262,665	-257,681
Less appropriations for subsequent years....	---	-952,739	---	---	+952,739
-----					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	605,371,714	597,148,069	598,804,799	-6,566,915	+1,656,730

FOOTNOTES:

- 1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance
- 2/ Included in Budget under Procurement
- 3/ Global War on Terrorism (GWOT)
- 4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)(CBO est)

ADDITIONAL VIEWS—FY 2014 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL

With this bill, the Committee has carried out its Constitutional responsibility to recommend the appropriations necessary to provide for the common defense of our Nation. The Committee carried out its work in a collegial and bipartisan fashion consistent with longstanding traditions of the Committee.

The bill provides \$512.5 billion in new budget authority covering all Department of Defense and Intelligence Community functions except for Military Construction and Family Housing. This is \$3.4 billion below the President's request, and \$5.2 billion below last year's enacted level.

This level of funding is sufficient for the security of the Nation and is responsive to the needs of the troops. However, this funding level is inconsistent with the underlying assumption behind the overall appropriations 302(b) allocation. The majority allocated only \$967 billion, instead of \$1.058 trillion, for all the Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 appropriation bills; under the Budget Control Act (BCA), the lower level assumes the sequester remains in place. Yet this bill ignores the BCA's sequester cap on defense funding, exceeding that firewall by almost \$50 billion. Even if we enacted the \$512.5 billion level in this bill, it would be cut back down to the cap level absent an agreement to end the sequester.

The bill makes the best resource decisions allowable under the given allocation necessary to address the readiness of U.S. forces and minimize the problems that began due to late enactment of the FY 2013 bill, shortfalls that emerged in Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), and the initial effects of sequestration. This is evident in Committee recommended increases related to shortfalls in facility maintenance, equipment reset, and due to the price of fuel.

The bill also begins to address the epidemic of sexual assault in the military. In addition to the budget request, the bill adds \$25 million for a Sexual Assault Special Victims Advocate program and reinforces the recommendations included in the FY 2014 House-passed National Defense Authorization Act.

While the need for prevention is recognized by the Defense Department's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office's (SAPRO) focus on training, results to date, including an estimated 26,000 unwanted sexual contacts in FY 2012, clearly show that more must be done. The prevalence of sexual assaults at the military academies indicates the SAPRO's training regimen has not influenced a culture that must be changed.

The Department of Defense must reach beyond internal resources and better screen both personnel who recruit and train service members and those charged with preventing and responding to sexual assault. This bill includes language and funding to respond to sexual assault in the military. While essential, these

words and dollars must be matched by the leadership of our military officials to change the culture that is enabling such outrageous behavior.

Another shortcoming in this bill, especially in light of the President's speech at the National Defense University on May 23, 2013, is the absence of a new approach for the detention facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. It is increasingly clear that the Committee and the Congress in general must find a way to break the stalemate that keeps the facility operating in its present capacity.

The status quo has produced an untenable result: a form of purgatory where these individuals may be detained indefinitely. The original premise for opening Guantanamo, that detainees would not be able to challenge their detention, was found unconstitutional five years ago. In the meantime, the Guantanamo detention facility has stained U.S. standing around the globe and become a symbol that America selectively observes the rule of law. In addition, it is a recruitment tool for extremists and hurts cooperation with other nations.

Today, the facility still holds 166 detainees without charge. Some have been held for as long as a decade. Over half of the detainee population has been cleared for release, but remain in custody due to the constraints imposed by current law. In contrast, transfers were once a regular occurrence. During the previous administration, 530 detainees were transferred from Guantanamo with congressional support.

In addition to transfers, both the current and previous administrations have relied on U.S. courts to convict hundreds of people for terrorism or terrorism-related offenses. This record of success, together with the prospect for military tribunals in the most egregious cases, as suggested in the Attorney General's Northwestern University speech in 2012, clearly indicate the U.S. has the means to handle detainees in a manner that is not contradictory to our fundamental legal principles. It is possible, in this bill, to take a significant step toward this objective.

This bill also lacks an end to the sequester. According to the June 2013 DoD Report on the Joint Committee Sequestration for FY 2013, during the remainder of FY 2013, \$36.2 billion will be sequestered from the accounts in the DoD Appropriations bill and an additional \$1 billion will be sequestered from Military Construction and Family Housing. The majority of the reduction, \$20.3 billion, will come from the operation and maintenance accounts. These reductions will continue to diminish the readiness of U.S. forces.

Should sequestration apply in FY 2014, approximately \$52 billion would be cut from the Defense Department. Based on the practice adopted in FY 2013, it seems likely that OCO will be spared from sequestration to ensure full support of deployed forces. As a result, the full burden of sequestration will fall on the DoD's base budget of \$512.5 billion. Two fundamental problems stem from this. First, for the remainder of FY 2013, DoD will have to defer and curtail other activities such as readiness related training that, in time, will hurt the forces next to deploy. Second, in FY 2014, DoD will be further constrained with opportunities to defer activities being exhausted, leading to greater impacts on readiness and

modernization programs. Sequestration in FY 2014 will counteract the many beneficial resourcing decisions included in this bill.

With respect to the appropriations process, these wounds are self-inflicted and correctable. On each FY 2014 Appropriations bill reported out of Full Committee to date, amendments have been proposed, but rejected, to replace sequestration. Since the passage of the BCA in August 2011, sequestration has been universally regarded as destructive and poor public policy. It hurts the effective operations of government including military training and readiness as well as the modernization programs that support both current operations and maintain the industrial base needed to respond to future contingencies. Given the opportunities at hand, the Committee should take the corrective action needed to address this problem.

Although the bill leaves several significant, long-term issues waiting for solutions, it is necessary and timely. The recommendations in this bill, viewed in isolation from the allocation issues noted above, were prepared in a thoughtful manner that represents the best traditions of the Committee.

NITA M. LOWEY.  
PETER J. VISCLOSKY.

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF THE HONORABLE ROSA L. DELAURO

I want to acknowledge the work Chairmen Rogers and Young and Ranking Members Lowey and Visclosky have put in to produce a bipartisan defense appropriations bill. I support a defense bill, but we are operating in an environment where what is done on the defense bill has a direct effect on programs that benefit children, families and the sick. I believe the committee missed the chance to show real leadership for American families when it rejected my amendment to add the bipartisan-backed Labor-HHS appropriation measure enacted for FY 2012 to the defense appropriations bill.

When this Labor-H measure was enacted in 2011, an overwhelming majority of this Committee—41 of 51 Members, including 23 Republicans and 18 Democrats—voted for it. The deficit then was almost \$1.1 trillion. Now, it is projected to be \$642 billion. In other words, if we could afford this bipartisan-agreed-upon funding level then, we can definitely afford it now that the deficit has been reduced by over 40%.

There is a clear precedent for this action under the current Majority. When the Labor-H bill was enacted for FY 2012, the defense bill was coupled with it as part of a larger omnibus appropriations package. The amendment I offered in Committee would have simply repeated that process up front, in order to ensure that the fundamental education, health and labor priorities get a vote. Otherwise, I am not assured they will ever be brought before this committee. They never have under this Majority, and the Chairman has deftly avoided my questions on his intentions for this year.

Some in the House Majority argued that this amendment violates the 302(b)s. It did. But these caps set by the House Majority can easily be changed. And it seems beside the point to argue about the 302(b)s when the Defense bill reported by the committee is in violation of the Budget Control Act (BCA), which is the law of the land.

The BCA, after sequestration, caps defense spending at \$498 billion and non-defense spending at \$469 billion. This defense bill provides \$14.2 billion above the defense cap on this bill alone—and is almost \$26 billion over if you count defense spending already approved in the MilCon/VA and Homeland Security bills passed by the House. That is before we even consider Energy and Water, which includes substantial defense funding.

Now I am a supporter of defense funding and of the funding levels in this particular defense appropriations bill. However, I am not a supporter of making defense whole, so to speak, while shredding our domestic programs. I think we should work together to repeal the indiscriminate across-the-board sequester cuts and pass a budget that funds all of our fundamental priorities adequately.

Some also made the argument that my amendment would have killed the defense bill. But my amendment did nothing to the de-

fense bill. Instead, it provided an opportunity to put a Labor-HHS bill on the floor again.

The amendment I offered made no changes to what Congress passed for Labor-HHS-Education, in an overwhelming bipartisan fashion in 2011. If I had my way, I would change some funding levels and riders in the Labor-HHS bill for FY2012, but I put forward this amendment because it represented a previously-agreed-to bipartisan compromise on spending for Labor, Health, and Education programs, all of which are too important to continue being ignored by this Committee.

We do not live in a world of defense and security only. We need to fund the critical programs that protect the public health and safety, promote and develop our workforce, and educate the next generation of Americans just as much as we need to provide sufficient funding for defense. And we should debate them with the same vigor that we debate defense funding. Indeed, it is our responsibility as members of Congress to do so.

My amendment represented a bipartisan agreement that the Majority already agreed to for funding of labor, health and education programs. It seems that these days we cannot even agree to do today what we had agreed to do yesterday.

