

114TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 2895

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 25, 2015

Mr. POMPEO (for himself and Mr. BEYER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

---

## A BILL

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish payment parity under the Medicare program for ambulatory cancer care services furnished in the hospital outpatient department and the physician office setting.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Medicare Patient Ac-  
5 cess to Cancer Treatment Act of 2015”.

1 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

3 (1) The National Cancer Institute estimates  
4 that approximately 14.5 million Americans with a  
5 history of cancer were alive on January 1, 2014.

6 (2) About 8 million of the 14.5 million Ameri-  
7 cans living with cancer are over age 65, and approxi-  
8 mately half of cancer care spending is associated  
9 with Medicare beneficiaries.

10 (3) National spending on cancer care in 2010  
11 is estimated at \$125 billion.

12 (4) The National Cancer Institute projects that  
13 the cost of cancer care in the United States in 2020  
14 is expected to be \$206 billion.

15 (5) In a 2010 study, Milliman reported that in  
16 2007 a cancer patient receiving chemotherapy in-  
17 curred average costs of approximately \$111,000,  
18 three times the cost of a coronary artery disease pa-  
19 tient, and six times the cost of a diabetes patient.

20 (6) Over the last several years, the United  
21 States has been touted as world leader in providing  
22 high-quality cancer care.

23 (7) United States cancer survival rates are  
24 higher than the average in Europe and Canada for  
25 13 of 16 types of cancer.

1           (8) Until recently, over 80 percent of United  
2 States cancer patients received care in the commu-  
3 nity setting.

4           (9) Over the past several years, the country has  
5 experienced a significant shift of outpatient cancer  
6 care delivery from the physician’s office to the hos-  
7 pital outpatient department.

8           (10) Reports show that over the past eight  
9 years, 46 community practices have started referring  
10 all of their patients elsewhere for treatment, 313 on-  
11 cology office locations have closed, 149 practices  
12 have merged or were acquired by a corporate entity  
13 other than a hospital, and 544 oncology groups have  
14 entered into an employment or professional services  
15 agreement with a hospital.

16           (11) Over 1,000 clinics or practices have been  
17 impacted over the last 3 years out of a population  
18 of only 6,000 oncologists in community practice in  
19 the United States.

20           (12) A 2013 study published by The Moran  
21 Company (hereinafter referred to as the “Moran  
22 study”) found that, between 2005 and 2011, there  
23 was a 150-percent increase in administered chemo-  
24 therapy in the hospital outpatient setting for Medi-  
25 care fee-for-service beneficiaries (increasing from

1 13.5 percent in 2005 to 33.0 percent in 2011) as  
2 compared to administration in physician community  
3 cancer clinics.

4 (13) The Moran study found that, in 2005, al-  
5 most 87 percent of Medicare patients were receiving  
6 their care in the community setting, by 2011 only 67  
7 percent were utilizing the community setting.

8 (14) The Moran study reports that Medicare  
9 payments for chemotherapy administered in hospital  
10 outpatient settings have more than tripled since  
11 2005 (from \$90 million to \$300 million) while pay-  
12 ments to physician community cancer clinics have  
13 actually decreased by 14.5 percent.

14 (15) The Medicare physician fee schedule rate  
15 in 2015 for CPT Code 96413 (Chemo, iv infusion,  
16 1 hr), the most common drug administration code  
17 billed by oncology practices, is \$136 but the pay-  
18 ment rate for the same service under the Medicare  
19 hospital outpatient prospective payment system  
20 (HOPPS) fee schedule in 2012 is 100 percent high-  
21 er at \$285.

22 (16) Utilization-weighted Medicare payment for  
23 infusion services is approximately 96 percent higher  
24 at the hospital outpatient department than in a phy-  
25 sician's office.

1           (17) Medicare proposed in 2012 to pay hospital  
2           outpatient departments 25 percent more for radi-  
3           ation therapy services than for the same services  
4           performed in physicians' offices, including a 70 per-  
5           cent differential for intensity modulated radiation  
6           treatment (IMRT) and a 188 percent differential for  
7           stereotactic body radiation therapy delivery (SBRT).

8           (18) One-third of hospitals in the United States  
9           purchase chemotherapy drugs through the section  
10          340B program at a discount of up to 50 percent, re-  
11          sulting in a net cost to such hospitals that typically  
12          is at least 30 percent below reimbursement rate  
13          (which is based on 106 percent of the average sales  
14          price) for community oncologists for such drugs.

15          (19) Medicare reimburses 70 percent of hospital  
16          bad debt (uncollectable coinsurance).

17          (20) According to an October 2011 Milliman  
18          study, the cost of treating cancer patients is signifi-  
19          cantly lower for both Medicare patients (10 percent  
20          lower in copayment amounts, more than \$650 sav-  
21          ings a year) and the Medicare program (14.2 per-  
22          cent less, a savings of \$6,500 a year per patient)  
23          when provided in community-based cancer settings  
24          as compared to the same treatment in hospital out-  
25          patient departments.

1           (21) The April 1, 2013, sequestration cuts to  
2 Medicare allowed for a 28 percent cut to the services  
3 reimbursement in Medicare part B drugs to commu-  
4 nity oncologists.

5           (22) A recent Community Oncology Alliance  
6 survey showed that 69 percent of practices surveyed  
7 reported that patient treatment or operational  
8 changes already have been made due to the seques-  
9 ter cut to cancer drugs, with 49 percent of practices  
10 forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere for treat-  
11 ment, and 62 percent of practices reported that they  
12 will be forced to send Medicare patients elsewhere  
13 for treatment if the sequestration cuts stay in place  
14 through July 31, 2013.

15           (23) The June 2013 report of the Medicare  
16 Payment Advisory Commission highlighted the large  
17 disparities in payment in outpatient settings and  
18 noted that the payment variations across settings  
19 should be addressed quickly due to the fact that cur-  
20 rent disparities have created incentives for hospitals  
21 to buy physician practices, driving up costs for the  
22 Medicare program and for beneficiaries.

23           (24) In a published Meeting Brief in 2015,  
24 MedPAC reaffirmed their recommendation that  
25 “Medicare should begin to move towards site-neutral

1 payments where there is clear overlap in the services  
2 provided”.

3 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
4 gress that, to ensure the future of community cancer care,  
5 Medicare reimbursement should be equal for the same  
6 service provided to a cancer patient regardless of whether  
7 the service is delivered in the hospital outpatient depart-  
8 ment or physician’s office.

9 **SEC. 3. EQUALIZING MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT IN HOS-**  
10 **PITAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENTS AND PHY-**  
11 **SICIANS’ OFFICES FOR CANCER CARE SERV-**  
12 **ICES.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1833(t) of the Social Se-  
14 curity Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(t)) is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (2)—

16 (A) in subparagraph (G), by striking  
17 “and” at the end;

18 (B) in subparagraph (H), by striking the  
19 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

20 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (H)  
21 the following new subparagraph:

22 “(I) payment for covered OPD services  
23 that are cancer care services (as defined in sub-  
24 paragraph (B) of paragraph (18)) shall be

1 made consistent with subparagraph (A) of such  
2 paragraph.”; and

3 (2) by adding at the end the following new  
4 paragraph:

5 “(18) SPECIAL PAYMENT RULE FOR CANCER  
6 CARE SERVICES.—

7 “(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of cancer  
8 care services that are furnished on or after Jan-  
9 uary 1, 2016, the payment amount for such  
10 services under this subsection and under section  
11 1848 shall be a budget neutral combination (as  
12 determined by the Secretary) of—

13 “(i) the amount otherwise payable  
14 under this subsection for such services;  
15 and

16 “(ii) the amount otherwise payable  
17 under section 1848 for such services.

18 “(B) CANCER CARE SERVICES DEFINED.—  
19 For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘can-  
20 cer care services’ means covered OPD services  
21 or physicians’ services for which payment is  
22 made under section 1848 that are furnished in  
23 conjunction with the diagnosis or treatment of  
24 cancer.”.



1 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1848(a) of  
2 Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-4(a)) is amended  
3 by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

4 “(9) APPLICATION OF SPECIAL RULE FOR CAN-  
5 CER CARE SERVICES.—In the case of physicians’  
6 services that are cancer care services (as defined in  
7 subparagraph (B) of section 1833(t)(18)) that are  
8 furnished on or after January 1, 2016, the payment  
9 amount for such services under this section shall be  
10 the payment amount for such services determined  
11 under subparagraph (A) of such section.”.

○