TERRORISM RISK INSURANCE PRO-GRAM REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2015

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 26) to extend the termination date of the Terrorism Insurance Program established under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows: H.R. 26

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE AND TABLE OF CON-TENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title and table of contents.
- TITLE I-EXTENSION OF TERRORISM INSURANCE PROGRAM
- Sec. 101. Extension of Terrorism Insurance Program.
- Sec. 102. Federal share.
- Sec. 103. Program trigger.
- Sec. 104. Recoupment of Federal share of compensation under the program.
- Sec. 105. Certification of acts of terrorism; consultation with Secretary of Homeland Security.
- Sec. 106. Technical amendments.
- Sec. 107. Improving the certification process.
- Sec. 108. GAO study.
- Sec. 109. Membership of Board of Governors
- of the Federal Reserve System. Sec. 110. Advisory Committee on Risk-Shar-
- ing Mechanisms. Sec. 111. Reporting of terrorism insurance
- data. Sec. 112. Annual study of small insurer market competitiveness.
- TITLE II-NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGISTERED AGENTS AND BROKERS REFORM
- Sec. 201 Short title
- Sec. 202. Reestablishment of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers.
- TITLE III-BUSINESS RISK MITIGATION AND PRICE STABILIZATION
- Sec. 301. Short title.
- Sec. 302. Margin requirements.
- Sec. 303. Implementation.

TITLE I-EXTENSION OF TERRORISM INSURANCE PROGRAM

SEC. 101. EXTENSION OF TERRORISM INSURANCE PROGRAM.

Section 108(a) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended by striking "December 31, 2014" and inserting "December 31, 2020".

SEC. 102. FEDERAL SHARE.

Section 103(e)(1)(A) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended by inserting "and beginning on January 1, 2016, shall decrease by 1 percentage point per calendar year until equal to 80 percent" after "85 percent".

SEC. 103. PROGRAM TRIGGER.

Subparagraph (B) of section 103(e)(1) (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended in the matter preceding clause (i)-

(1) by striking "a certified act" and inserting "certified acts";

(2) by striking "such certified act" and inserting "such certified acts"; and

(3) by striking "exceed" and all that follows through clause (ii) and inserting the following: "exceed-

"(i) \$100,000,000, with respect to such insured losses occurring in calendar year 2015; "(ii) \$120,000,000, with respect to such in-

sured losses occurring in calendar year 2016; "(iii) \$140,000,000, with respect to such in-

sured losses occurring in calendar year 2017; "(iv) \$160,000,000, with respect to such in-

sured losses occurring in calendar year 2018; "(v) \$180,000,000, with respect to such in-

sured losses occurring in calendar year 2019; and "(vi) \$200,000,000, with respect to such in-

sured losses occurring in calendar year 2020 and any calendar year thereafter."

SEC. 104. RECOUPMENT OF FEDERAL SHARE OF COMPENSATION UNDER THE PRO-GRAM.

Section 103(e) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended-

(1) by amending paragraph (6) to read as follows:

"(6) INSURANCE MARKETPLACE AGGREGATE RETENTION AMOUNT .-

"(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (7), the insurance marketplace aggregate retention amount shall be the lesser of—

"(i) \$27,500,000,000, as such amount is revised pursuant to this paragraph; and

"(ii) the aggregate amount, for all insurers, of insured losses during such calendar vear.

"(B) REVISION OF INSURANCE MARKETPLACE AGGREGATE RETENTION AMOUNT .-

"(i) PHASE-IN.—Beginning in the calendar year of enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015, the amount set forth under subparagraph (A)(i) shall increase by \$2,000,000,000 until calendar year equal per \$37,500,000,000.

"(ii) FURTHER REVISION.—Beginning in the calendar year that follows the calendar year in which the amount set forth under subparagraph (A)(i) is equal to \$37,500,000,000, the amount under subparagraph (A)(i) shall be revised to be the amount equal to the annual average of the sum of insurer deductibles for all insurers participating in the Program for the prior 3 calendar years, as such sum is determined by the Secretary under subparagraph (C).

"(C) RULEMAKING.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015, the Secretary shall-

"(i) issue final rules for determining the amount of the sum described under subparagraph (B)(ii); and

(ii) provide a timeline for public notification of such determination.": and

(2) in paragraph (7)-

(A) in subparagraph (A)-

(i) in the matter preceding clause $(i),\ by$ striking "for each of the periods referred to in subparagraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (6)"; and

(ii) in clause (i), by striking "for such period":

(B) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

"(B) [Reserved.]";

(C) in subparagraph (C)-

(i) by striking "occurring during any of the periods referred to in any of subparagraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (6), terrorism loss risk-spreading premiums in an amount equal to 133 percent" and inserting ", terrorism loss risk-spreading premiums in an amount equal to 140 percent"; and

(ii) by inserting "as calculated under sub-aragraph (A)" after "mandatory "mandatory paragraph recoupment amount"; and

(D) in subparagraph (E)(i)-

(i) in subclause (I)-

(I) by striking "2010" and inserting "2017"; and

H59

(II) by striking "2012" and inserting "2019"; (ii) in subclause (II)-

(I) by striking "2011" and inserting "2018"; (II) by striking "2012" and inserting "2019"; and

(III) by striking "2017" and inserting "2024"; and

(iii) in subclause (III)-

(I) by striking "2012" and inserting "2019"; and

(II) by striking "2017" and inserting "2024". SEC. 105. CERTIFICATION OF ACTS OF TER-RORISM; CONSULTATION WITH SEC-RETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY.

Paragraph (1)(A) of section 102 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking "concurrence with the Secretary of State" and inserting "consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security'

SEC. 106. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended-

(1) in section 102-

(A) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) as clauses (i), (ii), and (iii), respectivelv:

(ii) in the matter preceding clause (i) (as so redesignated), by striking "An entity has"

and inserting the following:

"(A) IN GENERAL.—An entity has": and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(B) BULE OF CONSTRUCTION — An entity. including any affiliate thereof, does not have 'control' over another entity, if, as of the date of enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015, the entity is acting as an attorney-infact, as defined by the Secretary, for the other entity and such other entity is a reciprocal insurer, provided that the entity is not, for reasons other than the attorney-in-fact relationship, defined as having 'control' under subparagraph (A).";

(B) in paragraph (7)-

(i) by striking subparagraphs (A) through (F) and inserting the following:

"(A) the value of an insurer's direct earned premiums during the immediately preceding calendar year, multiplied by 20 percent; and":

(ii) by redesignating subparagraph (G) as subparagraph (B); and

(iii) in subparagraph (B), as so redesignated by clause (ii)-

(I) by striking "notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (F), for the Transition Period or any Program Year" and inserting "notwithstanding subparagraph (A), for any calendar year"; and

(II) by striking "Period or Program Year" and inserting "calendar year"

(C) by striking paragraph (11); and

Year" and inserting "calendar year";

(A) in subsection (b)(2)-

(C) in subsection (e)-

amended by section 102-

chase,"; and

chase.":

(D) by redesignating paragraphs (12) through (16) as paragraphs (11) through (15), respectively; and (2) in section 103-

(i) in subparagraph (B), by striking ", pur-

(ii) in subparagraph (C), by striking ", pur-

(B) in subsection (c), by striking "Program

(i) in paragraph (1)(A), as previously

(I) by striking "the Transition Period and

each Program Year through Program Year 4

shall be equal to 90 percent, and during Pro-

gram Year 5 and each Program Year there-

after" and inserting "each calendar year";

(II) by striking the comma after "80 percent"; and

(III) by striking "such Transition Period or such Program Year" and inserting "such calendar year";

(ii) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking "the period beginning on the first day of the Transition Period and ending on the last day of Program Year 1, or during any Program Year thereafter" and inserting "a calendar year" : and

(iii) in paragraph (3), by striking "the period beginning on the first day of the Transition Period and ending on the last day of Program Year 1, or during any other Program Year'' and inserting "any calendar year"; and

(D) in subsection (g)(2)-

(i) by striking "the Transition Period or a Program Year" each place that term appears and inserting "the calendar year":

(ii) by striking "such period" and inserting "the calendar year"; and (iii) by striking "that period" and insert-

ing "the calendar vear"

SEC. 107. IMPROVING THE CERTIFICATION PROC-ESS.

(a) DEFINITIONS —As used in this section— (1) the term "act of terrorism" has the same meaning as in section 102(1) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note):

(2) the term "certification process" means the process by which the Secretary determines whether to certify an act as an act of terrorism under section 102(1) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note); and

(3) the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Treasury.

(b) STUDY.-Not later than 9 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct and complete a study on the certification process.

(c) REQUIRED CONTENT.—The study required under subsection (a) shall include an examination and analysis of-

(1) the establishment of a reasonable timeline by which the Secretary must make an accurate determination on whether to certify an act as an act of terrorism;

(2) the impact that the length of any timeline proposed to be established under paragraph (1) may have on the insurance industry, policyholders, consumers, and taxpayers as a whole;

(3) the factors the Secretary would evaluate and monitor during the certification process, including the ability of the Secretary to obtain the required information regarding the amount of projected and incurred losses resulting from an act which the Secretary would need in determining whether to certify the act as an act of terrorism;

(4) the appropriateness, efficiency, and effectiveness of the consultation process required under section 102(1)(A) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) and any recommendations on changes to the consultation process; and

(5) the ability of the Secretary to provide guidance and updates to the public regarding any act that may reasonably be certified as an act of terrorism.

(d) REPORT.—Upon completion of the study required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit a report on the results of such study to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives.

(e) RULEMAKING.—Section 102(1) of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended-

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E); and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following:

"(D) TIMING OF CERTIFICATION .- Not later than 9 months after the report required under section 107 of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015 is submitted to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Secretary shall issue final rules governing the certification process, including establishing a timeline for which an act is eligible for certification by the Secretary on whether an act is an act of terrorism under this paragraph.'

SEC. 108. GAO STUDY.

(a) STUDY.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall complete a study on the viability and effects of the Federal Government-

(1) assessing and collecting upfront premiums on insurers that participate in the Terrorism Insurance Program established under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of $2002\ (15$ U.S.C. $6701\ note)$ (hereafter in this section referred to as the "Program"), which shall include a comparison of practices in international markets to assess and collect premiums either before or after terrorism losses are incurred; and

(2) creating a capital reserve fund under the Program and requiring insurers participating in the Program to dedicate capital specifically for terrorism losses before such losses are incurred, which shall include a comparison of practices in international markets to establish reserve funds. (b) REQUIRED CONTENT.—The study re-

quired under subsection (a) shall examine, but shall not be limited to, the following issues:

(1) UPFRONT PREMIUMS.—With respect to upfront premiums described in subsection (a)(1)-

(A) how the Federal Government could determine the price of such upfront premiums on insurers that participate in the Program;

(B) how the Federal Government could collect and manage such upfront premiums;

(C) how the Federal Government could ensure that such upfront premiums are not spent for purposes other than claims through the Program;

(D) how the assessment and collection of such upfront premiums could affect take-up rates for terrorism risk coverage in different regions and industries and how it could impact small businesses and consumers in both metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas;

(E) the effect of collecting such upfront premiums on insurers both large and small;

(F) the effect of collecting such upfront premiums on the private market for terrorism risk reinsurance; and

(G) the size of any Federal Government subsidy insurers may receive through their participation in the Program, taking into account the Program's current post-event recomment structure.

(2) CAPITAL RESERVE FUND.—With respect to the capital reserve fund described in subsection (a)(2)-

(A) how the creation of a capital reserve fund would affect the Federal Government's fiscal exposure under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program and the ability of the Program to meet its statutory purposes;

(B) how a capital reserve fund would impact insurers and reinsurers, including liquidity, insurance pricing, and capacity to provide terrorism risk coverage;

(C) the feasibility of segregating funds attributable to terrorism risk from funds attributable to other insurance lines:

(D) how a capital reserve fund would be viewed and treated under current Financial Accounting Standards Board accounting rules and the tax laws; and

(E) how a capital reserve fund would affect the States' ability to regulate insurers participating in the Program.

(3) INTERNATIONAL PRACTICES.—With respect to international markets referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), how other countries, if any-

(A) have established terrorism insurance structures:

(B) charge premiums or otherwise collect funds to pay for the costs of terrorism insurance structures, including risk and administrative costs; and

(C) have established capital reserve funds to pay for the costs of terrorism insurance structures.

(c) REPORT.—Upon completion of the study required under subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall submit a report on the results of such study to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives.

(d) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The study and report required under this section shall be made available to the public in electronic form and shall be published on the website of the Government Accountability Office.

SEC. 109. MEMBERSHIP OF BOARD OF GOV-ERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM.

(a) IN GENERAL.-The first undesignated paragraph of section 10 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 241) is amended by inserting after the second sentence the following: "In selecting members of the Board, the President shall appoint at least 1 member with demonstrated primary experience working in or supervising community banks having less than \$10,000,000,000 in total assets.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and apply to appointments made on and after that effective date, excluding any nomination pending in the Senate on that date.

SEC. 110. ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RISK-SHAR-ING MECHANISMS.

(a) FINDING; RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.-

(1) FINDING.—Congress finds that it is desirable to encourage the growth of nongovernmental, private market reinsurance capacity for protection against losses arising from acts of terrorism

(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this Act. any amendment made by this Act, or the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) shall prohibit insurers from developing risk-sharing mechanisms to voluntarily reinsure terrorism losses between and among themselves.

(b) ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RISK-SHARING MECHANISMS.-

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall establish and appoint an advisory committee to be known as the "Advisory Committee on Risk-Sharing Mechanisms" (referred to in this subsection as the "Advisory Committee").

(2) DUTIES.—The Advisory Committee shall provide advice, recommendations, and encouragement with respect to the creation and development of the nongovernmental risk-sharing mechanisms described under subsection (a).

(3) MEMBERSHIP.—The Advisory Committee shall be composed of 9 members who are directors, officers, or other employees of insurers, reinsurers, or capital market participants that are participating or that desire to participate in the nongovernmental risksharing mechanisms described under subsection (a), and who are representative of the affected sectors of the insurance industry, including commercial property insurance, commercial casualty insurance, reinsurance, and alternative risk transfer industries.

SEC. 111. REPORTING OF TERRORISM INSUR-ANCE DATA. Section 104 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended

by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) Reporting of Terrorism Insurance Data.—

"(1) AUTHORITY.—During the calendar year beginning on January 1, 2016, and in each calendar year thereafter, the Secretary shall require insurers participating in the Program to submit to the Secretary such information regarding insurance coverage for terrorism losses of such insurers as the Secretary considers appropriate to analyze the effectiveness of the Program, which shall include information regarding—

"(A) lines of insurance with exposure to such losses;

"(B) premiums earned on such coverage;

"(C) geographical location of exposures;

"(D) pricing of such coverage;

"(E) the take-up rate for such coverage; "(F) the amount of private reinsurance for

acts of terrorism purchased; and "(G) such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(2) REPORTS.—Not later than June 30, 2016, and every other June 30 thereafter, the Secretary shall submit a report to the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate that includes—

"(A) an analysis of the overall effectiveness of the Program;

"(B) an evaluation of any changes or trends in the data collected under paragraph (1);

"(C) an evaluation of whether any aspects of the Program have the effect of discouraging or impeding insurers from providing commercial property casualty insurance coverage or coverage for acts of terrorism;

``(D) an evaluation of the impact of the Program on workers' compensation insurers; and

(E) in the case of the data reported in paragraph (1)(B), an updated estimate of the total amount earned since January 1, 2003.

"(3) PROTECTION OF DATA.—To the extent possible, the Secretary shall contract with an insurance statistical aggregator to collect the information described in paragraph (1), which shall keep any nonpublic information confidential and provide it to the Secretary in an aggregate form or in such other form or manner that does not permit identification of the insurer submitting such information.

"(4) ADVANCE COORDINATION.-Before collecting any data or information under paragraph (1) from an insurer, or affiliate of an insurer, the Secretary shall coordinate with the appropriate State insurance regulatory authorities and any relevant government agency or publicly available sources to determine if the information to be collected is available from, and may be obtained in a timely manner by, individually or collectively, such entities. If the Secretary determines that such data or information is available, and may be obtained in a timely matter, from such entities, the Secretary shall obtain the data or information from such entities. If the Secretary determines that such data or information is not so available, the Secretary may collect such data or information from an insurer and affiliates.

"(5) CONFIDENTIALITY.—

"(A) RETENTION OF PRIVILEGE.—The submission of any non-publicly available data and information to the Secretary and the sharing of any non-publicly available data with or by the Secretary among other Federal agencies, the State insurance regulatory authorities, or any other entities under this subsection shall not constitute a waiver of, or otherwise affect, any privilege arising under Federal or State law (including the rules of any Federal or State court) to which the data or information is otherwise subject.

"(B) CONTINUED APPLICATION OF PRIOR CON-FIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS.—Any requirement under Federal or State law to the extent otherwise applicable, or any requirement pursuant to a written agreement in effect between the original source of any nonpublicly available data or information and the source of such data or information to the Secretary, regarding the privacy or confidentiality of any data or information in the possession of the source to the Secretary, shall continue to apply to such data or information after the data or information has been provided pursuant to this subsection.

"(C) INFORMATION-SHARING AGREEMENT.— Any data or information obtained by the Secretary under this subsection may be made available to State insurance regulatory authorities, individually or collectively through an information-sharing agreement that—

 $\ensuremath{^{\prime\prime}}(i)$ shall comply with applicable Federal law; and

"(ii) shall not constitute a waiver of, or otherwise affect, any privilege under Federal or State law (including any privilege referred to in subparagraph (A) and the rules of any Federal or State court) to which the data or information is otherwise subject.

"(D) AGENCY DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.— Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, including any exceptions thereunder, shall apply to any data or information submitted under this subsection to the Secretary by an insurer or affiliate of an insurer.".

SEC. 112. ANNUAL STUDY OF SMALL INSURER MARKET COMPETITIVENESS.

Section 108 (15 U.S.C. 6701 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) STUDY OF SMALL INSURER MARKET COMPETITIVENESS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than June 30, 2017, and every other June 30 thereafter, the Secretary shall conduct a study of small insurers (as such term is defined by regulation by the Secretary) participating in the Program, and identify any competitive challenges small insurers face in the terrorism risk insurance marketplace, including—

"(A) changes to the market share, premium volume, and policyholder surplus of small insurers relative to large insurers;

"(B) how the property and casualty insurance market for terrorism risk differs between small and large insurers, and whether such a difference exists within other perils;

"(C) the impact of the Program's mandatory availability requirement under section 103(c) on small insurers;

"(D) the effect of increasing the trigger amount for the Program under section 103(e)(1)(B) on small insurers;

"(E) the availability and cost of private reinsurance for small insurers; and

"(F) the impact that State workers compensation laws have on small insurers and workers compensation carriers in the terrorism risk insurance marketplace.

"(2) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit a report to the Congress setting forth the findings and conclusions of each study required under paragraph (1).".

TITLE II—NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGISTERED AGENTS AND BROKERS REFORM

SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers Reform Act of 2015".

SEC. 202. REESTABLISHMENT OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGISTERED AGENTS AND BROKERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle C of title III of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (15 U.S.C. 6751 et seq.) is amended to read as follows:

"Subtitle C—National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers

"SEC. 321. NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REG-ISTERED AGENTS AND BROKERS.

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers (referred to in this subtitle as the 'Association').

"(b) STATUS .- The Association shall-

"(1) be a nonprofit corporation;

"(2) not be an agent or instrumentality of the Federal Government;

"(3) be an independent organization that may not be merged with or into any other private or public entity; and

"(4) except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, be subject to, and have all the powers conferred upon, a nonprofit corporation by the District of Columbia Nonprofit Corporation Act (D.C. Code, sec. 29–301.01 et seq.) or any successor thereto.

"SEC. 322. PURPOSE.

"The purpose of the Association shall be to provide a mechanism through which licensing, continuing education, and other nonresident insurance producer qualification requirements and conditions may be adopted and applied on a multi-state basis without affecting the laws, rules, and regulations, and preserving the rights of a State, pertaining to—

"(1) licensing, continuing education, and other qualification requirements of insurance producers that are not members of the Association;

"(2) resident or nonresident insurance producer appointment requirements;

"(3) supervising and disciplining resident and nonresident insurance producers;

"(4) establishing licensing fees for resident and nonresident insurance producers so that there is no loss of insurance producer licensing revenue to the State; and

"(5) prescribing and enforcing laws and regulations regulating the conduct of resident and nonresident insurance producers.

"SEC. 323. MEMBERSHIP.

"(a) ELIGIBILITY.-

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Any insurance producer licensed in its home State shall, subject to paragraphs (2) and (4), be eligible to become a member of the Association.

"(2) INELIGIBILITY FOR SUSPENSION OR REV-OCATION OF LICENSE.—Subject to paragraph (3), an insurance producer is not eligible to become a member of the Association if a State insurance regulator has suspended or revoked the insurance license of the insurance producer in that State.

"(3) RESUMPTION OF ELIGIBILITY.—Paragraph (2) shall cease to apply to any insurance producer if—

"(A) the State insurance regulator reissues or renews the license of the insurance producer in the State in which the license was suspended or revoked, or otherwise terminates or vacates the suspension or revocation; or

``(B) the suspension or revocation expires or is subsequently overturned by a court of competent jurisdiction.

"(4) CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECK RE-QUIRED.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—An insurance producer who is an individual shall not be eligible to become a member of the Association unless the insurance producer has undergone a criminal history record check that complies with regulations prescribed by the Attorney General of the United States under subparagraph (K). "(B) CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECK RE-QUESTED BY HOME STATE.—An insurance producer who is licensed in a State and who has undergone a criminal history record check during the 2-year period preceding the date of submission of an application to become a member of the Association, in compliance with a requirement to undergo such criminal history record check as a condition for such licensure in the State, shall be deemed to have undergone a criminal history record check for purposes of subparagraph (A).

"(C) CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECK RE-QUESTED BY ASSOCIATION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Association shall, upon request by an insurance producer licensed in a State, submit fingerprints or other identification information obtained from the insurance producer, and a request for a criminal history record check of the insurance producer, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

'(ii) PROCEDURES .- The board of directors of the Association (referred to in this subtitle as the 'Board') shall prescribe procedures for obtaining and utilizing fingerprints other identification information and or criminal history record information, including the establishment of reasonable fees to defrav the expenses of the Association in connection with the performance of a criminal history record check and appropriate safeguards for maintaining confidentiality and security of the information. Any fees charged pursuant to this clause shall be separate and distinct from those charged by the Attorney General pursuant to subparagraph (I).

"(D) FORM OF REQUEST.—A submission under subparagraph (C)(i) shall include such fingerprints or other identification information as is required by the Attorney General concerning the person about whom the criminal history record check is requested, and a statement signed by the person authorizing the Attorney General to provide the information to the Association and for the Association to receive the information.

"(E) PROVISION OF INFORMATION BY ATTOR-NEY GENERAL.—Upon receiving a submission under subparagraph (C)(i) from the Association, the Attorney General shall search all criminal history records of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, including records of the Criminal Justice Information Services Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, that the Attorney General determines appropriate for criminal history records corresponding to the fingerprints or other identification information provided under subparagraph (D) and provide all criminal history record information included in the request to the Association.

"(F) LIMITATION ON PERMISSIBLE USES OF IN-FORMATION.—Any information provided to the Association under subparagraph (E) may only—

"(i) be used for purposes of determining compliance with membership criteria established by the Association;

"(ii) be disclosed to State insurance regulators, or Federal or State law enforcement agencies, in conformance with applicable law; or

"(iii) be disclosed, upon request, to the insurance producer to whom the criminal history record information relates.

"(G) PENALTY FOR IMPROPER USE OR DISCLO-SURE.—Whoever knowingly uses any information provided under subparagraph (E) for a purpose not authorized in subparagraph (F), or discloses any such information to anyone not authorized to receive it, shall be fined not more than \$50,000 per violation as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction.

"(H) RELIANCE ON INFORMATION.—Neither the Association nor any of its Board mem-

bers, officers, or employees shall be liable in any action for using information provided under subparagraph (E) as permitted under subparagraph (F) in good faith and in reasonable reliance on its accuracy.

"(I) FEES.—The Attorney General may charge a reasonable fee for conducting the search and providing the information under subparagraph (E), and any such fee shall be collected and remitted by the Association to the Attorney General.

"(J) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as—

"(i) requiring a State insurance regulator to perform criminal history record checks under this section; or

"(ii) limiting any other authority that allows access to criminal history records.

"(K) REGULATIONS.—The Attorney General shall prescribe regulations to carry out this paragraph, which shall include—

"(i) appropriate protections for ensuring the confidentiality of information provided under subparagraph (E); and

"(ii) procedures providing a reasonable opportunity for an insurance producer to contest the accuracy of information regarding the insurance producer provided under subparagraph (E).

"(L) INELIGIBILITY FOR MEMBERSHIP.--

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Association may, under reasonably consistently applied standards, deny membership to an insurance producer on the basis of criminal history record information provided under subparagraph (E), or where the insurance producer has been subject to disciplinary action, as described in paragraph (2).

"(ii) RIGHTS OF APPLICANTS DENIED MEM-BERSHIP.—The Association shall notify any insurance producer who is denied membership on the basis of criminal history record information provided under subparagraph (E) of the right of the insurance producer to—

"(I) obtain a copy of all criminal history record information provided to the Association under subparagraph (E) with respect to the insurance producer; and

"(II) challenge the denial of membership based on the accuracy and completeness of the information.

"(M) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'criminal history record check' means a national background check of criminal history records of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

"(b) AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH MEMBERSHIP CRITERIA.—The Association may establish membership criteria that bear a reasonable relationship to the purposes for which the Association was established.

"(c) ESTABLISHMENT OF CLASSES AND CAT-EGORIES OF MEMBERSHIP.—

"(1) CLASSES OF MEMBERSHIP.—The Association may establish separate classes of membership, with separate criteria, if the Association reasonably determines that performance of different duties requires different levels of education, training, experience, or other qualifications.

"(2) BUSINESS ENTITIES.—The Association shall establish a class of membership and membership criteria for business entities. A business entity that applies for membership shall be required to designate an individual Association member responsible for the compliance of the business entity with Association standards and the insurance laws, standards, and regulations of any State in which the business entity seeks to do business on the basis of Association membership. "(3) CATEGORIES.—

"(A) SEPARATE CATEGORIES FOR INSURANCE PRODUCERS PERMITTED.—The Association may establish separate categories of membership for insurance producers and for other persons or entities within each class, based on the types of licensing categories that exist under State laws.

"(B) SEPARATE TREATMENT FOR DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS PROHIBITED.—No special categories of membership, and no distinct membership criteria, shall be established for members that are depository institutions or for employees, agents, or affiliates of depository institutions.

"(d) MEMBERSHIP CRITERIA.-

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Association may establish criteria for membership which shall include standards for personal qualifications, education, training, and experience. The Association shall not establish criteria that unfairly limit the ability of a small insurance producer to become a member of the Association, including imposing discriminatory membership fees.

"(2) QUALIFICATIONS.—In establishing criteria under paragraph (1), the Association shall not adopt any qualification less protective to the public than that contained in the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (referred to in this subtitle as the 'NAIC') Producer Licensing Model Act in effect as of the date of enactment of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers Reform Act of 2015, and shall consider the highest levels of insurance producer qualifications established under the licensing laws of the States.

"(3) Assistance from states.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Association may request a State to provide assistance in investigating and evaluating the eligibility of a prospective member for membership in the Association.

"(B) AUTHORIZATION OF INFORMATION SHAR-ING.—A submission under subsection (a)(4)(C)(i) made by an insurance producer licensed in a State shall include a statement signed by the person about whom the assistance is requested authorizing—

"(i) the State to share information with the Association: and

 $^{\prime\prime}(ii)$ the Association to receive the information.

"(C) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Subparagraph (A) shall not be construed as requiring or authorizing any State to adopt new or additional requirements concerning the licensing or evaluation of insurance producers.

"(4) DENIAL OF MEMBERSHIP.—The Association may, based on reasonably consistently applied standards, deny membership to any State-licensed insurance producer for failure to meet the membership criteria established by the Association.

"(e) EFFECT OF MEMBERSHIP.—

"(1) AUTHORITY OF ASSOCIATION MEMBERS.— Membership in the Association shall—

"(A) authorize an insurance producer to sell, solicit, or negotiate insurance in any State for which the member pays the licensing fee set by the State for any line or lines of insurance specified in the home State license of the insurance producer, and exercise all such incidental powers as shall be necessary to carry out such activities, including claims adjustments and settlement to the extent permissible under the laws of the State, risk management, employee benefits advice, retirement planning, and any other insurance-related consulting activities;

"(B) be the equivalent of a nonresident insurance producer license for purposes of authorizing the insurance producer to engage in the activities described in subparagraph (A) in any State where the member pays the licensing fee; and

"(C) be the equivalent of a nonresident insurance producer license for the purpose of subjecting an insurance producer to all laws, regulations, provisions or other action of any State concerning revocation, suspension, or other enforcement action related to the ability of a member to engage in any activity within the scope of authority granted under this subsection and to all State laws, regulations, provisions, and actions preserved under paragraph (5).

"(2) VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL AND LAW EN-FORCEMENT ACT OF 1994.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to alter, modify, or supercede any requirement established by section 1033 of title 18, United States Code.

"(3) AGENT FOR REMITTING FEES.—The Association shall act as an agent for any member for purposes of remitting licensing fees to any State pursuant to paragraph (1).

"(4) NOTIFICATION OF ACTION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Association shall notify the States (including State insurance regulators) and the NAIC when an insurance producer has satisfied the membership criteria of this section. The States (including State insurance regulators) shall have 10 business days after the date of the notification in order to provide the Association with evidence that the insurance producer does not satisfy the criteria for membership in the Association.

"(B) ONGOING DISCLOSURES REQUIRED.—On an ongoing basis, the Association shall disclose to the States (including State insurance regulators) and the NAIC a list of the States in which each member is authorized to operate. The Association shall immediately notify the States (including State insurance regulators) and the NAIC when a member is newly authorized to operate in one or more States, or is no longer authorized to operate in one or more States on the basis of Association membership.

((5) PRESERVATION OF CONSUMER PROTEC-TION AND MARKET CONDUCT REGULATION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—No provision of this section shall be construed as altering or affecting the applicability or continuing effectiveness of any law, regulation, provision, or other action of any State, including those described in subparagraph (B), to the extent that the State law, regulation, provision, or other action is not inconsistent with the provisions of this subtitle related to market entry for nonresident insurance producers, and then only to the extent of the inconsistency.

"(B) PRESERVED REGULATIONS.—The laws, regulations, provisions, or other actions of any State referred to in subparagraph (A) include laws, regulations, provisions, or other actions that—

"(i) regulate market conduct, insurance producer conduct, or unfair trade practices;

"(ii) establish consumer protections; or

"(iii) require insurance producers to be appointed by a licensed or authorized insurer.

"(f) BIENNIAL RENEWAL.—Membership in the Association shall be renewed on a biennial basis.

"(g) CONTINUING EDUCATION.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Association shall establish, as a condition of membership, continuing education requirements which shall be comparable to the continuing education requirements under the licensing laws of a majority of the States.

"(2) STATE CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIRE-MENTS.—A member may not be required to satisfy continuing education requirements imposed under the laws, regulations, provisions, or actions of any State other than the home State of the member.

"(3) RECIPROCITY.—The Association shall not require a member to satisfy continuing education requirements that are equivalent to any continuing education requirements of the home State of the member that have been satisfied by the member during the applicable licensing period.

"(4) LIMITATION ON THE ASSOCIATION.—The Association shall not directly or indirectly

offer any continuing education courses for insurance producers.

"(h) PROBATION, SUSPENSION AND REVOCA-

"(1) DISCIPLINARY ACTION.—The Association may place an insurance producer that is a member of the Association on probation or suspend or revoke the membership of the insurance producer in the Association, or as sess monetary fines or penalties, as the Association determines to be appropriate. if—

"(A) the insurance producer fails to meet the applicable membership criteria or other standards established by the Association;

"(B) the insurance producer has been subject to disciplinary action pursuant to a final adjudicatory proceeding under the jurisdiction of a State insurance regulator;

"(C) an insurance license held by the insurance producer has been suspended or revoked by a State insurance regulator; or

"(D) the insurance producer has been convicted of a crime that would have resulted in the denial of membership pursuant to subsection (a)(4)(L)(i) at the time of application, and the Association has received a copy of the final disposition from a court of competent jurisdiction.

"(2) VIOLATIONS OF ASSOCIATION STAND-ARDS.—The Association shall have the power to investigate alleged violations of Association standards.

"(3) REPORTING.—The Association shall immediately notify the States (including State insurance regulators) and the NAIC when the membership of an insurance producer has been placed on probation or has been suspended, revoked, or otherwise terminated, or when the Association has assessed monetary fines or penalties.

"(i) CONSUMER COMPLAINTS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.-The Association shall-

"(A) refer any complaint against a member of the Association from a consumer relating to alleged misconduct or violations of State insurance laws to the State insurance regulator where the consumer resides and, when appropriate, to any additional State insurance regulator, as determined by standards adopted by the Association; and

"(B) make any related records and information available to each State insurance regulator to whom the complaint is forwarded.

"(2) TELEPHONE AND OTHER ACCESS.—The Association shall maintain a toll-free number for purposes of this subsection and, as practicable, other alternative means of communication with consumers, such as an Internet webpage.

"(3) FINAL DISPOSITION OF INVESTIGATION.— State insurance regulators shall provide the Association with information regarding the final disposition of a complaint referred pursuant to paragraph (1)(A), but nothing shall be construed to compel a State to release confidential investigation reports or other information protected by State law to the Association.

 $^{\prime\prime}(j)$ Information Sharing.—The Association may—

"(1) share documents, materials, or other information, including confidential and privileged documents, with a State, Federal, or international governmental entity or with the NAIC or other appropriate entity referred to paragraphs (3) and (4), provided that the recipient has the authority and agrees to maintain the confidentiality or privileged status of the document, material, or other information:

"(2) limit the sharing of information as required under this subtitle with the NAIC or any other non-governmental entity, in circumstances under which the Association determines that the sharing of such information is unnecessary to further the purposes of this subtitle: "(3) establish a central clearinghouse, or utilize the NAIC or another appropriate entity, as determined by the Association, as a central clearinghouse, for use by the Association and the States (including State insurance regulators), through which members of the Association may disclose their intent to operate in 1 or more States and pay the licensing fees to the appropriate States; and

"(4) establish a database, or utilize the NAIC or another appropriate entity, as determined by the Association, as a database, for use by the Association and the States (including State insurance regulators) for the collection of regulatory information concerning the activities of insurance producers.

''(k) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The provisions of this section shall take effect on the later of—

"(1) the expiration of the 2-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers Reform Act of 2015; and

 $^{\prime\prime}(2)$ the date of incorporation of the Association.

"SEC. 324. BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a board of directors of the Association, which shall have authority to govern and supervise all activities of the Association.

"(b) POWERS.—The Board shall have such of the powers and authority of the Association as may be specified in the bylaws of the Association.

"(c) COMPOSITION.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall consist of 13 members who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, in accordance with the procedures established under Senate Resolution 116 of the 112th Congress, of whom—

"(A) 8 shall be State insurance commissioners appointed in the manner provided in paragraph (2), 1 of whom shall be designated by the President to serve as the chairperson of the Board until the Board elects one such State insurance commissioner Board member to serve as the chairperson of the Board;

"(B) 3 shall have demonstrated expertise and experience with property and casualty insurance producer licensing; and

"(C) 2 shall have demonstrated expertise and experience with life or health insurance producer licensing.

"(2) STATE INSURANCE REGULATOR REP-RESENTATIVES.—

"(A) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Before making any appointments pursuant to paragraph (1)(A), the President shall request a list of recommended candidates from the States through the NAIC, which shall not be binding on the President. If the NAIC fails to submit a list of recommendations not later than 15 business days after the date of the request, the President may make the requisite appointments without considering the views of the NAIC.

"(B) POLITICAL AFFILIATION.—Not more than 4 Board members appointed under paragraph (1)(A) shall belong to the same political party.

"(C) FORMER STATE INSURANCE COMMIS-SIONERS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—If, after offering each currently serving State insurance commissioner an appointment to the Board, fewer than 8 State insurance commissioners have accepted appointment to the Board, the President may appoint the remaining State insurance commissioner Board members, as required under paragraph (1)(A), of the appropriate political party as required under subparagraph (B), from among individuals who are former State insurance commissioners.

``(ii) LIMITATION.—A former State insurance commissioner appointed as described in

clause (i) may not be employed by or have any present direct or indirect financial interest in any insurer, insurance producer, or other entity in the insurance industry, other than direct or indirect ownership of, or beneficial interest in, an insurance policy or annuity contract written or sold by an insurer.

"(D) SERVICE THROUGH TERM.—If a Board member appointed under paragraph (1)(A) ceases to be a State insurance commissioner during the term of the Board member, the Board member shall cease to be a Board member.

"(3) PRIVATE SECTOR REPRESENTATIVES.—In making any appointment pursuant to subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1), the President may seek recommendations for candidates from groups representing the category of individuals described, which shall not be binding on the President.

"(4) STATE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER DE-FINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term 'State insurance commissioner' means a person who serves in the position in State government, or on the board, commission, or other body that is the primary insurance regulatory authority for the State.

"(d) TERMS.-

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the term of service for each Board member shall be 2 years.

"(2) EXCEPTIONS.-

"(A) 1-YEAR TERMS.—The term of service shall be 1 year, as designated by the President at the time of the nomination of the subject Board members for—

"(i) 4 of the State insurance commissioner Board members initially appointed under paragraph (1)(A), of whom not more than 2 shall belong to the same political party;

"(ii) 1 of the Board members initially appointed under paragraph (1)(B); and

"(iii) 1 of the Board members initially appointed under paragraph (1)(C).

"(B) EXPIRATION OF TERM.—A Board member may continue to serve after the expiration of the term to which the Board member was appointed for the earlier of 2 years or until a successor is appointed.

"(C) MID-TERM APPOINTMENTS.—A Board member appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the predecessor of the Board member was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of that term.

"(3) SUCCESSIVE TERMS.—Board members may be reappointed to successive terms.

"(e) INITIAL APPOINTMENTS.—The appointment of initial Board members shall be made no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers Reform Act of 2015.

"(f) MEETINGS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall meet—

"(A) at the call of the chairperson;

"(B) as requested in writing to the chairperson by not fewer than 5 Board members; or

 $``(C) \ as otherwise provided by the bylaws of the Association.$

"(2) QUORUM REQUIRED.—A majority of all Board members shall constitute a quorum.

"(3) VOTING.—Decisions of the Board shall require the approval of a majority of all Board members present at a meeting, a quorum being present.

"(4) INITIAL MEETING.—The Board shall hold its first meeting not later than 45 days after the date on which all initial Board members have been appointed.

"(g) RESTRICTION ON CONFIDENTIAL INFOR-MATION.—Board members appointed pursuant to subparagraphs (B) and (C) of subsection (c)(1) shall not have access to confidential information received by the Association in connection with complaints, investigations, or disciplinary proceedings involving insurance producers.

"(h) ETHICS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.— The Board shall issue and enforce an ethical conduct code to address permissible and prohibited activities of Board members and Association officers, employees, agents, or consultants. The code shall, at a minimum, include provisions that prohibit any Board member or Association officer, employee, agent or consultant from—

"(1) engaging in unethical conduct in the course of performing Association duties;

"(2) participating in the making or influencing the making of any Association decision, the outcome of which the Board member, officer, employee, agent, or consultant knows or had reason to know would have a reasonably foreseeable material financial effect, distinguishable from its effect on the public generally, on the person or a member of the immediate family of the person;

"(3) accepting any gift from any person or entity other than the Association that is given because of the position held by the person in the Association;

"(4) making political contributions to any person or entity on behalf of the Association; and

"(5) lobbying or paying a person to lobby on behavior of the Association.

"(i) COMPENSATION.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), no Board member may receive any compensation from the Association or any other person or entity on account of Board membership.

"(2) TRAVEL EXPENSES AND PER DIEM.— Board members may be reimbursed only by the Association for travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates consistent with rates authorized for employees of Federal agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from home or regular places of business in performance of services for the Association.

"SEC. 325. BYLAWS, STANDARDS, AND DISCIPLI-NARY ACTIONS.

"(a) Adoption and Amendment of Bylaws and Standards.—

"(1) PROCEDURES.—The Association shall adopt procedures for the adoption of bylaws and standards that are similar to procedures under subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Administrative Procedure Act').

"(2) COPY REQUIRED TO BE FILED.—The Board shall submit to the President, through the Department of the Treasury, and the States (including State insurance regulators), and shall publish on the website of the Association, all proposed bylaws and standards of the Association, or any proposed amendment to the bylaws or standards of the Association, accompanied by a concise general statement of the basis and purpose of such proposal.

"(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Any proposed bylaw or standard of the Association, and any proposed amendment to the bylaws or standards of the Association, shall take effect, after notice under paragraph (2) and opportunity for public comment, on such date as the Association may designate, unless suspended under section 329(c).

"(4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to subject the Board or the Association to the requirements of subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Administrative Procedure Act').

"(b) DISCIPLINARY ACTION BY THE ASSOCIA-TION.—

"(1) SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES.—In any proceeding to determine whether membership shall be denied, suspended, revoked, or not renewed, or to determine whether a member of the Association should be placed on probation (referred to in this section as a 'disciplinary action') or whether to assess fines or monetary penalties, the Association shall bring specific charges, notify the member of the charges, give the member an opportunity to defend against the charges, and keep a record.

"(2) SUPPORTING STATEMENT.—A determination to take disciplinary action shall be supported by a statement setting forth—

"(A) any act or practice in which the member has been found to have been engaged;

"(B) the specific provision of this subtitle or standard of the Association that any such act or practice is deemed to violate; and

 $\ensuremath{^{\prime\prime}}(C)$ the sanction imposed and the reason for the sanction.

"(3) INELIGIBILITY OF PRIVATE SECTOR REP-RESENTATIVES.—Board members appointed pursuant to section 324(c)(3) may not—

"(A) participate in any disciplinary action or be counted toward establishing a quorum during a disciplinary action; and

"(B) have access to confidential information concerning any disciplinary action.

"SEC. 326. POWERS.

"In addition to all the powers conferred upon a nonprofit corporation by the District of Columbia Nonprofit Corporation Act, the Association shall have the power to—

"(1) establish and collect such membership fees as the Association finds necessary to impose to cover the costs of its operations;

"(2) adopt, amend, and repeal bylaws, procedures, or standards governing the conduct of Association business and performance of its duties;

"(3) establish procedures for providing notice and opportunity for comment pursuant to section 325(a);

"(4) enter into and perform such agreements as necessary to carry out the duties of the Association;

"(5) hire employees, professionals, or specialists, and elect or appoint officers, and to fix their compensation, define their duties and give them appropriate authority to carry out the purposes of this subtitle, and determine their qualification;

"(6) establish personnel policies of the Association and programs relating to, among other things, conflicts of interest, rates of compensation, where applicable, and qualifications of personnel;

"(7) borrow money; and

"(8) secure funding for such amounts as the Association determines to be necessary and appropriate to organize and begin operations of the Association, which shall be treated as loans to be repaid by the Association with interest at market rate.

"SEC. 327. REPORT BY THE ASSOCIATION.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the close of each fiscal year, the Association shall submit to the President, through the Department of the Treasury, and the States (including State insurance regulators), and shall publish on the website of the Association, a written report regarding the conduct of its business, and the exercise of the other rights and powers granted by this subtitle, during such fiscal year.

"(b) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.—Each report submitted under subsection (a) with respect to any fiscal year shall include audited financial statements setting forth the financial position of the Association at the end of such fiscal year and the results of its operations (including the source and application of its funds) for such fiscal year.

"SEC. 328. LIABILITY OF THE ASSOCIATION AND THE BOARD MEMBERS, OFFICERS, AND EMPLOYEES OF THE ASSOCIA-TION.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Association shall not be deemed to be an insurer or insurance

producer within the meaning of any State law, rule, regulation, or order regulating or taxing insurers, insurance producers, or other entities engaged in the business of insurance, including provisions imposing premium taxes, regulating insurer solvency or financial condition, establishing guaranty funds and levying assessments, or requiring claims settlement practices.

"(b) LIABILITY OF BOARD MEMBERS, OFFI-CERS, AND EMPLOYEES.—No Board member, officer, or employee of the Association shall be personally liable to any person for any action taken or omitted in good faith in any matter within the scope of their responsibilities in connection with the Association.

"SEC. 329. PRESIDENTIAL OVERSIGHT.

"(a) REMOVAL OF BOARD.—If the President determines that the Association is acting in a manner contrary to the interests of the public or the purposes of this subtitle or has failed to perform its duties under this subtitle, the President may remove the entire existing Board for the remainder of the term to which the Board members were appointed and appoint, in accordance with section 324 and with the advice and consent of the Senate, in accordance with the procedures established under Senate Resolution 116 of the 112th Congress, new Board members to fill the vacancies on the Board for the remainder of the terms.

"(b) REMOVAL OF BOARD MEMBER.—The President may remove a Board member only for neglect of duty or malfeasance in office.

"(c) SUSPENSION OF BYLAWS AND STAND-ARDS AND PROHIBITION OF ACTIONS.—Following notice to the Board, the President, or a person designated by the President for such purpose, may suspend the effectiveness of any bylaw or standard, or prohibit any action, of the Association that the President or the designee determines is contrary to the purposes of this subtitle.

"SEC. 330. RELATIONSHIP TO STATE LAW.

"(a) PREEMPTION OF STATE LAWS.—State laws, regulations, provisions, or other actions purporting to regulate insurance producers shall be preempted to the extent provided in subsection (b).

- "(b) PROHIBITED ACTIONS.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.-No State shall-

"(A) impede the activities of, take any action against, or apply any provision of law or regulation arbitrarily or discriminatorily to, any insurance producer because that insurance producer or any affiliate plans to become, has applied to become, or is a member of the Association:

"(B) impose any requirement upon a member of the Association that it pay fees different from those required to be paid to that State were it not a member of the Association; or

"(C) impose any continuing education requirements on any nonresident insurance producer that is a member of the Association.

"(2) STATES OTHER THAN A HOME STATE.—No State, other than the home State of a member of the Association, shall—

"(A) impose any licensing, personal or corporate qualifications, education, training, experience, residency, continuing education, or bonding requirement upon a member of the Association that is different from the criteria for membership in the Association or renewal of such membership;

"(B) impose any requirement upon a member of the Association that it be licensed, registered, or otherwise qualified to do business or remain in good standing in the State, including any requirement that the insurance producer register as a foreign company with the secretary of state or equivalent State official;

"(C) require that a member of the Association submit to a criminal history record

check as a condition of doing business in the State; or

"(D) impose any licensing, registration, or appointment requirements upon a member of the Association, or require a member of the Association to be authorized to operate as an insurance producer, in order to sell, solicit, or negotiate insurance for commercial property and casualty risks to an insured with risks located in more than one State, if the member is licensed or otherwise authorized to operate in the State where the insured maintains its principal place of business and the contract of insurance insures risks located in that State.

"(3) PRESERVATION OF STATE DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section may be construed to prohibit a State from investigating and taking appropriate disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of authority of an insurance producer to do business in a State, in accordance with State law and that is not inconsistent with the provisions of this section, against a member of the Association as a result of a complaint or for any alleged activity, regardless of whether the activity occurred before or after the insurance producer commenced doing business in the State pursuant to Association membership.

"SEC. 331. COORDINATION WITH FINANCIAL IN-DUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY.

"The Association shall coordinate with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority in order to ease any administrative burdens that fall on members of the Association that are subject to regulation by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, consistent with the requirements of this subtitle and the Federal securities laws.

"SEC. 332. RIGHT OF ACTION.

"(a) RIGHT OF ACTION.—Any person aggrieved by a decision or action of the Association may, after reasonably exhausting available avenues for resolution within the Association, commence a civil action in an appropriate United States district court, and obtain all appropriate relief.

"(b) ASSOCIATION INTERPRETATIONS.—In any action under subsection (a), the court shall give appropriate weight to the interpretation of the Association of its bylaws and standards and this subtitle.

"SEC. 333. FEDERAL FUNDING PROHIBITED.

"The Association may not receive, accept, or borrow any amounts from the Federal Government to pay for, or reimburse, the Association for, the costs of establishing or operating the Association.

"SEC. 334. DEFINITIONS.

"For purposes of this subtitle, the following definitions shall apply:

"(1) BUSINESS ENTITY.—The term 'business entity' means a corporation, association, partnership, limited liability company, limited liability partnership, or other legal entity.

"(2) DEPOSITORY INSTITUTION.—The term 'depository institution' has the meaning as in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813).

"(3) HOME STATE.—The term 'home State' means the State in which the insurance producer maintains its principal place of residence or business and is licensed to act as an insurance producer.

"(4) INSURANCE.—The term 'insurance' means any product, other than title insurance or bail bonds, defined or regulated as insurance by the appropriate State insurance regulatory authority.

"(5) INSURANCE PRODUCER.—The term 'insurance producer' means any insurance agent or broker, excess or surplus lines broker or agent, insurance consultant, limited insurance representative, and any other individual or entity that sells, solicits, or negotiates policies of insurance or offers advice, counsel, opinions or services related to insurance.

"(6) INSURER.—The term 'insurer' has the meaning as in section 313(e)(2)(B) of title 31, United States Code.

"(7) PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS.—The term 'principal place of business' means the State in which an insurance producer maintains the headquarters of the insurance producer and, in the case of a business entity, where high-level officers of the entity direct, control, and coordinate the business activities of the business entity.

"(8) PRINCIPAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE.—The term 'principal place of residence' means the State in which an insurance producer resides for the greatest number of days during a calendar year.

"(9) STATE.—The term 'State' includes any State, the District of Columbia, any territory of the United States, and Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, the Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

"(10) STATE LAW.-

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The term 'State law' includes all laws, decisions, rules, regulations, or other State action having the effect of law, of any State.

"(B) LAWS APPLICABLE IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—A law of the United States applicable only to or within the District of Columbia shall be treated as a State law rather than a law of the United States.".

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act is amended by striking the items relating to subtitle C of title III and inserting the following new items:

"Subtitle C—National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers

- "Sec. 321. National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers.
- "Sec. 322. Purpose.
- "Sec. 323. Membership.
- "Sec. 324. Board of directors.
- "Sec. 325. Bylaws, standards, and discipli
 - nary actions.
- "Sec. 326. Powers.
- "Sec. 327. Report by the Association.
- "Sec. 328. Liability of the Association and the Board members, officers, and employees of the Association.
- "Sec. 329. Presidential oversight.
- "Sec. 330. Relationship to State law.
- "Sec. 331. Coordination with financial industry regulatory authority.
- "Sec. 332. Right of action.
- "Sec. 333. Federal funding prohibited.
- "Sec. 334. Definitions.".

TITLE III—BUSINESS RISK MITIGATION AND PRICE STABILIZATION

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Business Risk Mitigation and Price Stabilization Act of 2015".

SEC. 302. MARGIN REQUIREMENTS.

(a) COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AMEND-MENT.—Section 4s(e) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 6s(e)), as added by section 731 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) APPLICABILITY WITH RESPECT TO COUNTERPARTIES.—The requirements of paragraphs (2)(A)(ii) and (2)(B)(ii), including the initial and variation margin requirements imposed by rules adopted pursuant to paragraphs (2)(A)(ii) and (2)(B)(ii), shall not apply to a swap in which a counterparty qualifies for an exception under section 2(h)(7)(A), or an exemption issued under section 4(c)(1)from the requirements of section 2(h)(1)(A) for cooperative entities as defined in such exemption, or satisfies the criteria in section 2(h)(7)(D).".

(b) SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT AMEND-MENT.—Section 15F(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78o-10(e)), as added by section 764(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) APPLICABILITY WITH RESPECT TO COUNTERPARTIES.—The requirements of paragraphs (2)(A)(ii) and (2)(B)(ii) shall not apply to a security-based swap in which a counterparty qualifies for an exception under section 3C(g)(1) or satisfies the criteria in section 3C(g)(4).".

SEC. 303. IMPLEMENTATION.

The amendments made by this title to the Commodity Exchange Act shall be implemented—

(1) without regard to—

(A) chapter $\overline{\mathbf{35}}$ of title 44, United States Code; and

(B) the notice and comment provisions of section 553 of title 5, United States Code;

(2) through the promulgation of an interim final rule, pursuant to which public comment will be sought before a final rule is issued; and

(3) such that paragraph (1) shall apply solely to changes to rules and regulations, or proposed rules and regulations, that are limited to and directly a consequence of such amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEUGEBAUER) and the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. CARO-LYN B. MALONEY) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to insert extraneous material for the RECORD on H.R. 26, currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. NEUGEBAÜER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, for those of you watching at home today, this is not a C-SPAN rerun. I stand before you today to discuss the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act, a bill that passed this House 417-7 at the end of the previous Congress.

This bill is a result of long and difficult bicameral and bipartisan negotiations. But for whatever reason, the previous Senate decided that it was more important to go home a couple of days earlier rather than reauthorize the TRIA program. As a result, the program expired at the end of the year.

So, today, the House will act on this important piece of legislation once again. Doing so will provide certainty to the terrorism risk insurance market and ensure that the American economy remains resilient against the threat of terrorism.

Congress passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 in the aftermath

of 9/11. It was intended to provide a 2year transition period in which the market participants could develop resources that would enable them to offer private terrorism insurance coverage once the program expired. For various reasons, that transition has not taken hold.

Throughout the last 2 years, my subcommittee learned how evolved the terrorism risk insurance marketplace has become since the last reauthorization. Since the advent of TRIA in 2002, markets have stabilized, risk management practices have improved, terrorism risk modeling and underwriting has advanced, and the price of terrorism risk coverage has actually declined by 70 percent.

But we have also learned that this evolution of TRIA has failed to keep up with marketplace realities. In fact, the program remains largely unchanged over the last 12 years. This has hindered the growth of private market participation in terrorism risk insurance and resulted in a bad deal for the taxpayers.

The bill before us today is an effort to recognize and to keep pace with the market developments of the terrorism risk insurance marketplace over the past decade. The bill strengthens taxpayer protections without altering the program's fundamental functions, brings greater certainty and stability to the terrorism risk market, and lays a foundation for a more robust private market for terrorism risk.

With regard to the taxpayer protection, the program's trigger doubles from \$100 million to \$200 million. It also decreases the Federal share of insurers' losses from 85 percent to 80 percent and enhances the taxpayer repayment requirements. And for the first time, we will have meaningful data on the program to increase accountability and transparency.

To provide certainty, the program is extended for 6 years but makes no changes for the first year so that the market will have time to adjust. It also clarifies it streamlines the terrorism certification process so that policyholders are better protected.

Most importantly, the bill today creates a framework that will allow for a more healthy private market terrorism risk over time that slowly replaces taxpayer-funded reinsurance with private sector capital.

Finally, the bill before us today includes some bipartisan reforms that will help boost the economy and job opportunities for all Americans. These Dodd-Frank fixes will help America's hardworking farmers, ranchers, and business owners. They did not cause the financial crisis, and they deserve immediate relief.

I am also proud of the inclusion of the reestablishment of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers, or NARAB, which is an efficient and effective way to enable insurance agents and brokers to be licensed on a multistate basis while retaining essential State regulatory authority.

I thank Chairman HENSARLING for trusting me to reform this important program, and I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on H.R. 26.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 26, the TRIA Reauthorization Act of 2015. This bill passed in the last Congress overwhelmingly 417-7.

I first want to thank Speaker BOEH-NER and Leader PELOSI for acting so quickly to reauthorize the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, or TRIA. Unfortunately, this critical program expired on January 1, and unless Congress swiftly reauthorizes TRIA, our economy will be dangerously exposed if we have another terrorist attack.

In fact, one of the financial rating agencies—Fitch—has said that if Congress doesn't reauthorize TRIA by the end of January, they are going to start downgrading companies and major construction projects, which would hurt the American economy. The other rating agencies have made equally strong statements about the importance to reauthorize TRIA.

Already, companies are having trouble getting terrorism insurance, and many companies that had terrorism insurance have now lost it because there were clauses written into their policies that said if TRIA is not there they do not have the insurance coverage.

I also want to thank very much Chairman HENSARLING and Chairman NEUGEBAUER, as well as Ranking Member WATERS and the Democrats on the Financial Services Committee, for their very hard work on this bill, which represents a true bipartisan compromise. I especially want to thank my colleagues from New York, PETER KING and Senator SCHUMER, who have worked very hard on this bill, which is critical to the State of New York, and I would say every State in our Union.

I believe that this compromise will ensure that terrorism insurance remains available and at affordable prices. This has always been the purpose of TRIA, and I believe that this bill will accomplish that goal.

After the last terrorist attack on our homeland—9/11—insurers realized that they couldn't accurately model for terrorism risk—it was simply too unpredictable—and the market for terrorism insurance completely shut down. Without terrorism insurance, all construction stopped in New York City. We couldn't build anything, and thousands and thousands of jobs were lost.

In response, Congress came together in a bipartisan way and passed TRIA, which provides a government backstop for terrorism insurance. The goal of TRIA was to make terrorism insurance both available and affordable, and that is exactly what it has done. This has come at no additional expense whatsoever or cost to the taxpayer.

Initially, the House TRIA bill raised the trigger for the government's backstop by a whopping 500 percent from CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

\$100 million to \$500 million. This would have forced small- and medium-sized insurers out of the market entirely and would have actually reduced the amount of terrorism insurance available to American businesses.

I was strongly opposed to increasing the trigger to \$500 million because it would make terrorism insurance unavailable and unaffordable to businesses all across this country.

Fortunately, this compromise bill will only raise the trigger for the government backstop from \$100 million to \$200 million. This modest increase will ensure that small- and medium-sized insurers are not forced out of the market entirely, while also protecting taxpayers, and I fully support this compromise approach.

This bill also slightly increases the amount that the government recoups from the industry after TRIA is triggered, which will ensure that taxpayers are fully repaid for TRIA if it is needed.

Importantly, the compromise does not include the so-called bifurcation proposal, which would have treated nuclear, biological, chemical, and radiological attacks differently from other so-called conventional attacks. This made no sense whatsoever, and this compromise sensibly drops this proposal entirely. A terrorist attack is a terrorist attack.

Finally, I am pleased that the bill reauthorizes TRIA for a full 6 years. This will provide much needed certainty to businesses across the country as they expand and create more American jobs. Support for reauthorization of TRIA is deep and it is strong in the business community across this country.

Mr. Speaker, I enter into the RECORD a letter from 28 different business stakeholders strongly supporting the reauthorization and the need for TRIA.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE: American businesses strongly support H.R. 26—the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015. This bill is the same as the TRIA legislation that passed the House by a bipartisan vote of 417–7 on December 10, 2014. Our coalition represents a diverse and broad majority of business stakeholders. We urge you to SUPPORT the bill when it is considered under suspension of the rules this week.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act is vital to the millions of businesses, job creators, and workers across the country reliant on TRIA to secure terrorism insurance and protect our economic growth. Following the attacks of September 11, 2001, Congress created TRIA to address a void in the marketplace, foster economic stability, and provide certainty to for-profit and non-profit entities across the country. For the past dozen years, the United States has relied on TRIA as a fiscally responsible terrorism risk management plan to protect taxpayers and our national security and stability.

It is critical that Congress act immediately to keep our terrorism insurance protection program in place. We urge your support of this important bill.

Sincerely, American Association of Managing General Agents (AAMGA),

American Gaming Association (AGA),

American Hotel & Lodging Association (AH&LA),

American Insurance Association (AIA),

American Land Title Association (ALTA), American Society of Workers Compensa-

tion Professionals (AmCOMP), Associated Builders and Contractors

(ABC), California Insurance Wholesalers Associa-

tion (CIWA), CCIM Institute.

Coalition to Insure Against Terrorism (CIAT).

Council of Insurance Agents and Brokers

(CIAB),

CRE Finance Council (CREFC),

Financial Services Roundtable (FSR), Independent Insurance Agents & Brokers

of America (Big "I").

Institute of Real Estate Management (IREM).

Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA).

National Apartment Association (NAA),

National Association of Home Builders

(NAHB), National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies (NAMIC).

National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT),

National Association of REALTORS® (NAR)

National Multifamily Housing Council (NMHC).

Property Casualty Insurers Association of America (PCI),

Reinsurance Association of America (RAA),

Texas Surplus Lines Association (TSLA), The Real Estate Roundtable (The Round-

table),

The Risk and Insurance Management Society (RIMS),

U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. The bill also includes the NARAB bill—the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers which has passed this Congress multiple times, many, many times, and this would merely recognize insurance brokers and agents licensed in other States across this country, increasing efficiency and saving and reducing costs for these businesses.

I urge my colleagues to vote for TRIA because it is the right thing to do for America, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I enter into the RECORD an exchange of letters between the Financial Services Committee and the House Agriculture Committee.

House of Representatives,

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE,

Washington, DC, January 7, 2015. Hon. JEB HENSARLING,

Chairman, Committee on Financial Services, Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN HENSARLING: I am writing concerning H.R. 26, Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015.

As you know, provisions of H.R. 26 are within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Agriculture. In order to expedite floor consideration of the bill, the Committee on Agriculture will forgo action on H.R. 26. Further, the Committee will not oppose the bill's consideration on the suspension calendar. This is also being done with the understanding that it does not in any way prejudice the Committee with respect to the appointment of conferees or its jurisdictional prerogatives on this or similar legislation.

I would appreciate your response to this letter, confirming this understanding with

respect to H.R. 26, and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during Floor consideration. Sincerely.

K. MICHAEL CONAWAY, Chairman.

House of Representatives, Committee on Financial Services,

Washington, DC, January 7, 2015. Hon. K. MICHAEL CONAWAY,

Chairman, Committee on Agriculture, Longworth House Office Building, Washington, DC

DEAR CHAIRMAN CONAWAY: Thank you for your letter of even date herewith regarding H.R. 26, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015.

I am most appreciative of your decision to forego consideration of H.R. 26 so that it may move expeditiously to the House floor. I acknowledge that although you are waiving formal consideration of the bill, the Committee on Agriculture is in no way waiving its jurisdiction over any subject matter contained in the bill that falls within its jurisdiction. In addition, if a conference is necessary on this legislation, I will support any request that your committee be represented therein.

Finally, I shall be pleased to include your letter and this letter in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of H.R. 26. Sincerely.

JEB HENSARLING,

Chairman.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CONAWAY), my neighbor to the south, our new committee chairman for the House Agriculture Committee.

Mr. CONAWAY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. NEUGEBAUER for yielding.

I rise today in support of H.R. 26, a bill to extend the expiration date of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act.

I want to thank my good friend and vice chairman of the Agriculture Committee, RANDY NEUGEBAUER, for his work in shepherding this bill to the floor again.

I would also like to thank him and Chairman HENSARLING for fighting hard to include the Business Risk Mitigation and Price Stabilization Act as title III of today's bill. The House Committee on Agriculture, along with the Financial Services Committee, has made moving this legislation a priority.

Despite the lengthy title, the Business Risk Mitigation and Price Stabilization Act is not a complicated bill. It fulfills the promise that this body made to our farmers, ranchers, and small businesses when Dodd-Frank was drafted and signed into law that end users would not be treated as financial firms.

\Box 1245

Yet regulators have narrowly interpreted the exemptions in the black letter of the law, forcing some businesses to leave capital idle in margin accounts, rather than investing in new production and creating jobs.

Forcing businesses to post margin not only ties up capital, but also makes it more expensive for firms to utilize the risk management tools that they need to protect their businesses from uncertainty.

Today's bill clarifies in statute that Congress meant what it said when it exempted end users from margin and clearing requirements. Specifically, it ensures that those businesses which are exempt from clearing their hedges are also exempt from margining those hedges.

This well-reasoned legislation has broad bipartisan support. As a standalone bill, the House overwhelmingly supported it last year in June by a vote of 411–12. Since then, we have passed it four more times—and if we pass it today, a fifth time—which means we will keep doing it until we get it right.

I am hopeful that with today's vote, we can finally offer farmers, ranchers, and businesses the relief we promised them almost 5 years ago.

Again, I thank Chairman HENSARLING and Chairman NEUGEBAUER for including the Business Risk Mitigation and Price Stabilization Act in today's bill, and I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 26.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, Washington, DC, January 7, 2014.

MR. SPEAKER: I am pleased to see the inclusion H.R. 634, Business Risk Mitigation and Price Stability Act, from the 113th Congress as Title III of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act. This language, which was also included as Subtitle of Title III of H.R. 4413, Customer Protection and End-User Relief Act, from the 113th Congress provides an important protection to end-users from costly margining requirements that will divert much needed capital away from job creation.

In support of this title, I would like to request that the pertinent portions of the Committee on Agriculture report to accompany H.R. 4413 be included in the appropriate place in the Congressional Record. Sincerely.

K. MICHAEL CONAWAY, Chairman.

TITLE 3—END USER RELIEF

SUBTITLE A—END-USER EXEMPTION FROM MARGIN REQUIREMENTS

Section 311—End-user margin requirements Section 311 amends Section 4s(e) of the

Section 311 amends Section 48(e) of the Commodity Exchange Act (CEA) as added by Section 731 of the Dodd-Frank Act to provide an explicit exemption from margin requirements for swap transactions involving endusers that qualify for the clearing exception under 2(h)(7)(A).

"End-users" are thousands of companies across the United States who utilize derivatives to hedge risks associated with their day-to-day operations, such as fluctuations in the prices of raw materials. Because these businesses do not pose systemic risk, Congress intended that the Dodd-Frank Act provide certain exemptions for end-users to ensure they were not unduly burdened by new margin and capital requirements associated with their derivatives trades that would hamper their ability to expand and create jobs.

Indeed, Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act includes an exemption for non-financial endusers from centrally clearing their derivatives trades. This exemption permits endusers to continue trading directly with a counterparty, (also known as trading "bilat-

erally," or over-the-counter (OTC)) which means their swaps are negotiated privately between two parties and they are not executed and cleared using an exchange or clearinghouse. Generally, it is common for non-financial end-users, such as manufacturers, to avoid posting cash margin for their OTC derivative trades. End-users generally will not post margin because they are able to negotiate such terms with their counterparties due to the strength of their own balance sheet or by posting non-cash collateral, such as physical property. End-users typically seek to preserve their cash and liquid assets for reinvestment in their businesses. In recognition of this common practice, the Dodd-Frank Act included an exemption from margin requirements for end-users for OTC trades.

Section 731 of the Dodd-Frank Act (and Section 764 with respect to security-based swaps) requires margin requirements be applied to swap dealers and major swap participants for swaps that are not centrally cleared. For swap dealers and major swap participants that are banks, the prudential banking regulators (such as the Federal Reserve or Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation) are required to set the margin requirements. For swap dealers and major swap participants that are not banks, the CFTC is required to set the margin requirements. Both the CFTC and the banking regulators have issued their own rule proposals establishing margin requirements pursuant to Section 731.

Following the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act in July of 2010, uncertainty arose regarding whether this provision permitted the regulators to impose margin requirements on swap dealers when they trade with end-users, which could then result in either a direct or indirect margin requirement on end-users. Subsequently, Senators Blanche Lincoln and Chris Dodd sent a letter to then-Chairmen Barney Frank and Collin Peterson on June 30, 2010, to set forth and clarify congressional intent, stating:

The legislation does not authorize the regulators to impose margin on end-users, those exempt entities that use swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risk. If regulators raise the costs of end-user transactions, they may create more risk. It is imperative that the regulators do not unnecessarily divert working capital from our economy into margin accounts, in a way that would discourage hedging by end-users or impair economic growth.

In addition, statements in the legislative history of section 731 (and Section 764) suggests that Congress did not intend, in enacting this section, to impose margin requirements on nonfinancial end-users engaged in hedging activities, even in cases where they entered into swaps with swap entities.

In the CFTC's proposed rule on margin, it does not require margin for uncleared swaps when non-bank swap dealers transact with non-financial end-users. However, the prudential banking regulators proposed rules would require margin be posted by non-financial end-users above certain established thresholds when they trade with swap dealers that are banks. Many of end-users' transactions occur with swap dealers that are banks, so the banking regulators' proposed rule is most relevant, and therefore of most concern, to end-users.

By the prudential banking regulators' own terms, their proposal to require margin stems directly from what they view to be a legal obligation under Title VII. The plain language of section 731 provides that the Agencies adopt rules for covered swap entities imposing margin requirements on all non-cleared swaps. Despite clear congressional intent, those sections do not, by their

terms, exclude a swap with a counterparty that is a commercial end-user. By providing an explicit exemption under Title VII through enactment of this provision, the prudential regulators will no longer have a perceived legal obligation and the congressional intent they acknowledge in their proposed rule will be implemented.

The Committee notes that in September of 2013. the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) and the Bank of International Settlements published their final recommendations for margin requirements for uncleared derivatives. Representatives from a number of U.S. regulators, including the CFTC and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve participated in the development of those margin requirements, which are intended to set baseline international standards for margin requirements. It is the intent of the Committee that any margin requirements promulgated under the authority provided in Section 4s of the Commodity Exchange Act should be generally consistent with the international margin standards established by IOSCO.

On March 14, 2013, at a hearing entitled "Examining Legislative Improvements to Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act," the following testimony was provided to the Committee with respect to provisions included in Section 311:

In approving the Dodd-Frank Act, Congress made clear that end-users were not to be subject to margin requirements. Nonetheless, regulations proposed by the Prudential Banking Regulators could require end-users to post margin. This stems directly from what they view to be a legal obligation under Title VII. While the regulations proposed by the CFTC are preferable, they do not provide end-users with the certainty that legislation offers. According to a Coalition for Derivatives End-Users survey, a 3% initial margin requirement could reduce capital spending by as much as \$51 to \$67 billion among S&P 500 companies alone and cost 100,000 to 130,000 jobs. To shed some light on Honevwell's potential exposure to margin requirements, we had approximately \$2 billion of hedging contracts outstanding at year-end that would be defined as a swap under Dodd-Frank. Applying 3% initial margin and 10% variation margin implies a potential margin requirement of \$260 million. Cash deposited in a margin account cannot be productively deployed in our businesses and therefore detracts from Honeywell's financial performance and ability to promote economic growth and protect American jobs.-Mr. James E. Colby, Assistant Treasurer, Honeywell International Inc.

On May 21, 2013, at a hearing entitled "The Future of the CFTC: Market Perspectives," Mr. Stephen O'Connor, Chairman, ISDA, provided the following testimony with respect to provisions included in Section 311:

Perhaps most importantly, we do not believe that initial margin will contribute to the shared goal of reducing systemic risk and increasing systemic resilience. When robust variation margin practices are employed, the additional step of imposing initial margin imposes an extremely high cost on both market participants and on systemic resilience with very little countervailing benefit. The Lehman and AIG situations highlight the importance of variation margin. AIG did not follow sound variation margin practices, which resulted in dangerous levels of credit risk building up, ultimately leading to its bailout. Lehman, on the other hand, posted daily variation margin, and while its failure caused shocks in many markets, the variation margin prevented outsized losses in the OTC derivatives markets. While industry and regulators agree on a robust variation margin regime including all

appropriate products and counterparties, the further step of moving to mandatory IM [initial margin] does not stand up to any rigorous cost-benefit analysis.

Based on the extensive background that accompanies the statutory change provided explicitly in Section 311, the Committee intends that initial and variation margin requirements cannot be imposed on uncleared swaps entered into by cooperative entities if they similarly qualify for the CFTC's cooperative exemption with respect to cleared swaps. Cooperative entities did not cause the financial crisis and should not be required to incur substantial new costs associated with posting initial and variation margin to counterparties. In the end, these costs will be borne by their members in the form of higher prices and more limited access to credit, especially in underserved markets, such as in rural America. Therefore, the Committee's clear intent when drafting Section 311 was to prohibit the CFTC and prudential regulators, including the Farm Credit Administration, from imposing margin requirements on cooperative entities.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the great State of Georgia (Mr. Scott).

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I certainly want to recognize and appreciate the gentlewoman from Manhattan for the excellent leadership job that she is doing on this.

Mr. Speaker, this bill, TRIA, is so important. It is very important to note that it hasn't cost the taxpayers anything, and it has been very successful where needed; but, Mr. Speaker, this bill contains another very important piece: we affectionately call it NARAB, which is the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers—just think if TRIA and the NARAB portion of this bill had been in place in 1999, before we had the terrorism risk, before we had the terrorist strikes of 9/11, and other terrorist attacks.

But in the middle of all of that, even with the downturn of the economic calamity, standing in the middle of this storm were our insurance agents, the lifeline of the American people. What NARAB is doing here is making sure that we streamline the process and make sure that our insurance agents are able to operate across State lines.

Mr. Speaker, we all realize that insurance is a State-licensed, State-authorized operation. NARAB does not interfere with that. As a matter of fact, all 50 of the insurance agents of our States have all agreed with NARAB.

This is an important bill because our insurance agents, our small businesses, are the lifeline in tragedy and distress. We live in a highly mobile society now. It is very important for our agents to be able to go across State lines with one licensing procedure that is held to the highest standard while at the same time being licensed in their own State.

We have had great cooperation from all of our insurance agents, including the insurance agents' association. Our financial advisers and our brokers all agree.

The other thing, Mr. Speaker, is that many of us on the Financial Services

Committee have been working on this measure for 10 years. For 10 years, we have been toiling in the vineyards on this and so have others in the Senate.

Now is the time to give our insurance agents the respect and the nobility of purpose of their very fine profession and at the same time reach our primary goal, which is to give the American insurance consumers the choice, the competition, and the benefits that they need.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Georgia for his tireless efforts on NARAB. I think we are going to get it done this time. I know he has worked on it a number of years. He and I have worked together to try to get this done. It is a commonsense piece of legislation, and I am hopeful that this will be the time to get it passed.

I am now pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. KING), who has been a tireless advocate for the TRIA program.

Mr. KING of New York. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman NEUGEBAUER for yielding and for all his efforts on this. I also appreciate the fact that he said my efforts were tireless. Chairman HENSARLING, at times, thought they were tiresome.

I want to thank the chairman for putting a good spin on it, but very seriously, I want to thank him for his efforts. This is a bill where a number of us started off from different positions, from different perspectives. In true legislative form, we came together.

This bill that we passed in December was a solid bill. Unfortunately, it was not taken up by the Senate, but it is essential that we pass it today because, as my good friend Mrs. MALONEY said, this could have a devastating effect on the construction industry and on the American economy if it is not renewed as quickly as possible. This has to be reauthorized. It is absolutely essential.

I want to thank Chairman HEN-SARLING again for his efforts throughout this. Again, it has been a long process, but we stayed at it, and I thank him for that. Obviously, I thank Mrs. MALONEY and the ranking member, Ms. WATERS. Also, Mr. CAPUANO has been a fighter on this from the start. Again, we came together.

This is a bill that, as I have said a number of times, was absolutely essential after September 11, when terrorism risk insurance could not be obtained. It even became more obvious as time went on how essential it was, how we desperately need it, and we have to preserve it.

Also, not one Federal dollar has been expended on it; yet billions of dollars in revenue, construction projects, jobs, and expansion of the economy has resulted because of it.

We are voting today, in a way, on a bill which, as Mrs. MALONEY said, is going to go on for another 6 years. That gives it permanence and stability.

It gives the construction industry, the real estate industry, and the people on the ground who want those construction jobs the ability to go forward. It lets municipalities know there is going to be construction going ahead in their jurisdictions. It is a plus-plus all the way.

The changes that were made, the reforms that were made, I didn't believe they had to be done, but the fact is they are done, and they are not going to change the overall impact. They are not going to have any meaningful determinative effect whatsoever.

Again, I am proud to support this bill in all its aspects. Mr. SCOTT from Georgia had a great concern about the insurers. I share that also. I think it is important that be in this bill. I know that was a bit of an obstacle in the Senate, but it shouldn't be. It had overwhelming support in the House. I know the great majority of the Members in the Senate support it.

Now, we pass this on suspension today, sending a strong signal how we support this bill in its entirety. From my conversations—and I think Mrs. MALONEY has had the same conversations—we feel confident that the Senate is going to pass it.

When they do, it will be a victory for the American people, a victory for American business, a victory for American labor, and a victory for the American people to show that we have fought all the way back from the horrors of 9/11, and we are going to make sure that never again are we put in that position as far as the damage it can have on our economy.

I would end this by saying that when we saw the attack in Paris today, we realized what can happen with a terrorist attack, how it can happen at any moment, and why it is essential this be reauthorized.

Again, I thank the chairman for his efforts and patience over the last several years.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I do want to comment that it has been reported in the press that the Senate has announced they will bring up this bill next week, which is very, very important to move it forward.

I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the great State of Massachusetts (Mr. CAPUANO), who has been a fighter, advocate, and an effective spokesperson.

Mr. CAPUANO. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, want to add my words congratulating everybody for finally getting this done, but I also want to be real clear. I wish we could have done this a year ago, so we could have been working on things that we have some differences on that need to be done.

Where we are today on this bill could have easily been reached in a bipartisan manner with 400-plus Members voting for it over a year ago. I am only aware of two outside groups—both think tanks, not in business, not in labor—that opposed this bill; yet we let them run the agenda here because people couldn't get off the dime.

For me, that is a huge mistake. We are here to make agreements, to make compromise, to get things done. For instance, we are sitting here today with Fannie and Freddie not resolved after all these years because we can't get off the dime of a few ideological disagreements that clearly are not going to be settled, the way they are going.

There is plenty of room for compromise, plenty of room to get together and talk about it and get something done for the American people and the American economy.

That is just one example. We have to get beyond the outside ideological groups telling us what we can and cannot do. Even if we agree with them, we have to understand we are elected to lead, to argue, and then to compromise.

We are here today, finally. Thank you. Let's not get bogged down any further in this new Congress. We will have our differences, and we will have some differences that cannot be resolved. This was never one of them. I think there is plenty of room on Fannie and Freddie. I think there are issues on insurance.

I think there are plenty of issues we can and should work on. We both have our outside groups to deal with. We both have to turn to them with loving attention and tell you: "We love you, we agree with you, but I was elected to move the ball forward."

That is what we are doing here today, and I congratulate those people that have finally done it, including the two people leading this bill, both the chairman and the ranking member of the committee, and other members of this committee that have worked on this for so long.

I can't honestly say that I am looking forward to doing this again in 6 years, but I hope that when we get there, we can do it a little bit more quickly than we did this time.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts. I want to tell him how much I enjoyed working with him. He was the ranking Member of the Housing and Insurance Subcommittee, and we had an opportunity to work together. It was a pleasure to do.

Mr. Speaker, it is my pleasure to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. STUTZMAN), a distinguished member of the Financial Services Committee.

Mr. STUTZMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Terrorism Risk Program Reauthorization Act of 2015.

Mr. Speaker, as we have all recently seen, terrorism and violence continues to be a threat not only to our friends on the other side of the globe, but also to our homeland. The rise of ISIS has demonstrated that the American people and our interests are constant targets. Because these dangers continue to grow, it is our job to make sure we are taking the necessary steps to protect ourselves. The terror attacks on September 11, 2001, not only brought a devastating loss of innocent human life, they also wreaked havoc on our economy, costing insurers tens of billions of dollars, taking years to recover.

We have to take the necessary steps to protect and prevent any physical harm to America and make sure we are doing what we can to protect our economic interests. That is what today's legislation is all about.

When first passed in 2002, TRIA provided much-needed stability to ease any economic pain of another attack. Today's reauthorization will continue to provide a necessary backstop and the financial security that will allow major commercial and real estate projects so vital to the economy to move forward.

Reauthorizing this legislation is an opportunity for both parties to stand together in a bipartisan fashion and strengthen our national security.

I would like to thank Chairman HEN-SARLING, Representative NEUGEBAUER, and the rest of the members of the Financial Services Committee for their hard work on this issue. It has taken time to get to this point, but I believe this is a good way for us to start this Congress, working together to pass a bill that is in the best interest of our national security.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the great State of Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the distinguished minority leader.

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from New York for yielding. I appreciate her work. I also appreciate the work of Mr. NEUGE-BAUER for bringing this bill to the floor.

This bill could have been—should have been, as Mr. CAPUANO said—passed a long time ago with an overwhelming vote. I brought this up on regular conferences and colloquies that I had with Mr. Cantor and more recently with Mr. MCCARTHY, but it is always timely to do the right thing. Today, we are doing the right thing, and I rise in strong support of the passage of this bill.

Reauthorizing the Terrorism Risk Program Reauthorization Act will provide much-needed certainty to businesses and insurers, certainty that will help our economy and prevent harm to job creation. I believe Congress has the responsibility to reauthorize the TRIA program, and I encourage all of my colleagues to join me in voting to do so today.

\Box 1300

This program expired at the end of 2014, and Congress must take action on TRIA without delay. I would reiterate that this program as incorporated in this piece of legislation has had well over 250 votes for at least the last year and a half, but it is never too late to do the right thing. The longer Congress waits, the worse the effects will be on our economy and job creation.

I want to thank Ranking Member WATERS. I want to thank Ranking Member VELÁZQUEZ for her work on this as well and, as I said, the leadership on the majority side that finally got us to a point where we could make an agreement last year.

We passed a bill last year. I regret that the Senate didn't pass it, but I applaud the majority's bringing it to the floor as one of the first pieces of business that we do. All sides deserve, therefore, credit for their efforts to help restore certainty to businesses and protect against the slowdown in job growth that would result from not reauthorizing TRIA.

So, today we do the right thing; we do it in a bipartisan fashion. Let's hope we can continue to do this.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, it is now my pleasure to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Hampshire (Mr. GUINTA), a distinguished member of the Financial Services Committee.

Mr. GUINTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 26, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015. As the recent tragic events in Boston have shown, terrorism is still alive, and we must be ever vigilant in the fight against it.

This overwhelmingly bipartisan piece of legislation will ensure market stability for Main Street, businesses, construction projects, public events, and more by maintaining their ability to access terrorism insurance to keep jobcreating businesses and projects moving forward with certainty.

TRIA is an important piece of legislation for protecting taxpayers by requiring insurers to step up and manage more of their own risk. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes," and I ask that the Senate bring up this bill immediately.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2¹/₂ minutes to my good friend from the great State of New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ), who is the ranking member on the Small Business Committee.

(Ms. VELÁZQUEZ asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I want to take this opportunity to thank the gentlelady from New York for yielding.

Today, I call on my colleagues to reauthorize the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, a public-private partnership that is vital to continued economic development across the country.

Following the tragic events of 9/11, terrorism became uninsurable, the marketplace evaporated, and rates skyrocketed. Many businesses were impacted, causing job losses and hindering the recovery effort. To address the growing problem, Congress swiftly passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, creating a Federal backstop and restoring coverage.

Today I can say without a doubt, our efforts were successful. I have witnessed firsthand how this program has substantially helped New York City recover and prosper over the past 12 years. The program has also tripled the number of small businesses nationwide that have terrorism protection. As a direct result of TRIA, over 60 percent of small firms carry some form of coverage.

Some stakeholders have already reported disruptions since TRIA lapsed last week, especially in high-risk cities such as New York. It should be noted that the lapse is not only affecting insurance coverage, but also the financing efforts of many job-creating construction projects.

Is this bill perfect? No, but it will restore certainty to the marketplace and prevent a rate spike that could force two-thirds of small businesses out of the market.

Mr. Speaker, acts of terrorism remain too risky to cover for the vast majority of carriers, especially for the small- and medium-sized firms that dominate the insurance industry. As a result, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, which has not cost taxpayers \$1, continues to be a vital component of our economic growth and national security.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, we had other speakers scheduled from New York, but they are not on the floor now, so I would just like to say, in closing, that this is critically important legislation.

I can speak from personal experience, having represented New York during and after 9/11, that after 9/11 you could not even build a hot dog stand. All construction stopped. No one could get any insurance. The only insurance available was from Lloyds of London, and it was incredibly expensive and people could not afford it. We lost thousands and thousands of jobs.

And it happened also, when we came together and started to rebuild not only in New York but the Pentagon and Pennsylvania, I would say, of all the programs that this body put forward—and there were many, and I thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for their support—I truly believe that this particular one was certainly the most important in helping New York rebuild and rebound.

I want to add that it did not cost our taxpayers one single dime. It is an innovative way to get building and construction happening across this country. So it is tremendously important to the economy. It is an important bill, and I am so pleased that it has been a bipartisan effort. This body passed the bill. It stalled in the Senate, but we do need to reauthorize it as swiftly and as quickly as possible. I hope it is an example of how this body can work together on legislation that is critical to this country to rebuild and expand the jobs and our economy and to help strengthen our country in other ways.

So again I thank the leadership on both sides of the aisle for moving so swiftly to bring it to the floor and, really, to Mr. NEUGEBAUER, who was the point person in many ways in the compromise legislation that moved forward.

I urge my colleagues to vote for it. It is the right thing to do for America.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I think what you can see by the comments today is that we have a bipartisan piece of legislation. It is a piece of legislation that passed overwhelmingly in the House in the 113th Congress. Unfortunately, it was not taken up by the Senate.

This is a win-win bill. It does a number of really good things for the country; and, more importantly, for the taxpayers, it begins to bring reform in a program that originally was meant to be a temporary program but somehow has become a permanent program, beginning to stairstep-up the private market participation and stairstepdown the taxpayers' participation. It increases the trigger; it increases the amount of recovery that the taxpayers would be able to recover in the case of an event.

Another thing you heard many people talk about is this end-user provision that is going to help farmers and ranchers and small businesses not have to put up additional capital so they can use that capital to create jobs for America.

Another provision in this bill is the NARAB II, which is a small business provision allowing your local insurance agent, maybe he or she can sell insurance in multiple States by being a member of NARAB and being able to not have to get a license in each individual State, but if they are licensed and meet the qualifications in that State, that is recognized by other States.

So this is a great bipartisan effort. It has been, as mentioned, a long process, and so I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 26.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEUGE-BAUER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 26.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be post-

PROMOTING JOB CREATION AND REDUCING SMALL BUSINESS BURDENS ACT

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 37) to make technical corrections to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, to enhance the ability of small and emerging growth companies to access capital through public and private markets, to reduce regulatory burdens, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 37

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

poned.

This Act may be cited as the "Promoting Job Creation and Reducing Small Business Burdens Act".

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title.
- Sec. 2. Table of contents.
- TITLE I—BUSINESS RISK MITIGATION AND PRICE STABILIZATION ACT
- Sec. 101. Margin requirements.
- Sec. 102. Implementation.
- TITLE II—TREATMENT OF AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS
- Sec. 201. Treatment of affiliate transactions.
- TITLE III—HOLDING COMPANY REG-ISTRATION THRESHOLD EQUALI-ZATION ACT
- Sec. 301. Registration threshold for savings and loan holding companies.
- TITLE IV—SMALL BUSINESS MERGERS, ACQUISITIONS, SALES, AND BROKER-AGE SIMPLIFICATION ACT
- Sec. 401. Registration exemption for merger and accupisition brokers.
- Sec. 402. Effective date.
- TITLE V—SWAP DATA REPOSITORY AND CLEARINGHOUSE INDEMNIFICATION CORRECTIONS
- Sec. 501. Repeal of indemnification requirements.
- TITLE VI—IMPROVING ACCESS TO CAP-ITAL FOR EMERGING GROWTH COMPA-NIES ACT
- Sec. 601. Filing requirement for public filing
- prior to public offering. Sec. 602. Grace period for change of status of emerging growth companies
- Sec. 603. Simplified disclosure requirements for emerging growth companies

TITLE VII—SMALL COMPANY

DISCLOSURE SIMPLIFICATION ACT

- Sec. 701. Exemption from XBRL requirements for emerging growth companies and other smaller companies.
- Sec. 702. Analysis by the SEC.
- Sec. 703. Report to Congress.
- Sec. 704. Definitions.
- TITLE VIII—RESTORING PROVEN FI-
- NANCING FOR AMERICAN EMPLOYERS ACT
- Sec. 801. Rules of construction relating to collateralized loan obligations.