Murphy (FL) Kilmer Kind Nadler Kirkpatrick Napolitano Kuster Nea1 Langevin Nolan Larsen (WA) Norcross Larson (CT) O'Rourke Lawrence Pallone Pascrell Lee Levin Pelosi Perlmutter Lewis Lieu, Ted Peters Peterson LoBiondo Pingree Lofgren Pocan Lowenthal Polis Lowey Price (NC) Lujan Grisham Quigley (NM) Rangel Luján, Ben Ray Reichert (NM) Rice (NY) Richmond Lynch Maloney, Roybal-Allard Carolyn Ruiz Maloney, Sean Ruppersberger Matsui Rush Rvan (OH) McCollum McDermott Sánchez, Linda McGovern Sanchez, Loretta McNernev Meeks Sarbanes Meng Schakowsky Schiff Moore

Moulton

Fattah

Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Sherman Simpson Sinema. Sires Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tonko Torres Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Vela. Velázquez Visclosky Walz Wasserman

Schultz Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Welch

NOT VOTING—3

Kelly (IL)

Schrader

Payne

 \Box 1807

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MAKING IN ORDER CONSIDER-ATION OF VETO MESSAGE ON H.R. 1735

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that if a veto message on H.R. 1735 is laid before the House, then after the message is read and the objections of the President are spread at large upon the Journal, further consideration of the veto message and the bill shall be postponed until the legislative day of Thursday, November 5, 2015; and that on that legislative day, the House shall proceed to the constitutional question of reconsideration and dispose of such question without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on the motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record vote on the postponed question will be taken tomorrow.

AMENDING TITLE XI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the

bill (S. 1362) to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs).

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1362

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. CLARIFICATION OF WAIVER AUTHOR-ITY REGARDING PACE PROGRAMS.

Subsection (d)(1) of section 1115A of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1315a) is amended by striking "and 1903(m)(2)(A)(iii)" and inserting "1903(m)(2)(A)(iii), and 1934 (other than subsections (b)(1)(A) and (c)(5) of such section)".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY) and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on S. 1362 currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support for S. 1362, the PACE Innovation Act of 2015.

The companion bill in the House, H.R. 3243, was introduced by my long-time colleague and a real champion for the elderly and the frail, CHRIS SMITH of New Jersey.

This legislation is a commonsense, bipartisan approach to increasing flexibility in our healthcare system.

PACE, or the Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly, is an integrated care program that provides hands-on, long-term care and support to beneficiaries who need an institutional level of care but continue to live at home. Many of these beneficiaries are dual eligible, or eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid.

Hardworking Americans who care for these beneficiaries and want to keep their loved ones at home have relied on this program for well over a decade, as the program has now expanded to 32 States.

There are two programs currently operating back in Texas, and I am looking forward to monitoring the program's continued success back home.

However, currently, the PACE model is limited to seniors who meet a specific list of criteria, Federal and State, for needing a nursing home level of care. The PACE Innovation Act would allow Medicare to test the PACE benefit on other vulnerable populations.

With the popularity and success of the PACE program, it is clear that, to live up to its full potential nationally, other populations should be targeted to benefit from comprehensive PACE models

These beneficiaries are some of our Nation's most vulnerable, who, along with their families, have chosen not to enter into full-time nursing home care at a facility.

Studies have shown that people receiving care from PACE organizations have better outcomes and less hospitalizations and, more importantly, have more time to spend with their families in their own homes—and that is key.

The PACE Innovation Act is revenueneutral and widely supported.

I would like to thank fellow Ways and Means Committee members CHARLES BOUSTANY, MIKE KELLY, LYNN JENKINS, EARL BLUMENAUER, BILL PASCRELL, BILL MCDERMOTT, and RICHARD NEAL for their strong support of this effort and encourage that the whole House vote to pass S. 1362 under suspension of the rules and send it to the President's desk.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

\sqcap 1815

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the comments from my friend from Texas. Mr. Speaker, there is occasionally a little bit of controversy around the House, a modest amount of disagreement, and, of course, that is just in the Republican conference. There are lots of things that get the spotlight.

But I appreciate the leadership of my friend with our Health Subcommittee on Ways and Means for there are things below the radar screen where we have been working in a thoughtful and bipartisan way to try and see if we can thread the needle on a number of these things that don't have to cost a lot of money, and they enable us to be able to refine healthcare opportunities.

One of the biggest accomplishments of the session was getting the SGR monkey off our back to deal with the sustainable growth rate in a bipartisan fashion, and there have been, I want to say, about 12 bills that have moved out of our Health Subcommittee that deal with initiatives going forward.

What my friend from Texas said about the PACE Act is absolutely true. This is an opportunity for us to take a proven set of techniques to help seniors who want to stay at home, who do not want to be in nursing facilities, being able to give them the flexible needs in terms of services, and it works.

I represent a program in Portland, Oregon, Providence ElderPlace. It serves over 1,000 Oregonians. It has got a solid track record. It has costs that are lower than average if they were Medicaid beneficiaries. In some States, these savings can be nearly 30 percent.