

get a free pass for 5 years while our jobs are just being drained away.

Now the Congress is reviewing the TPP, the largest free trade agreement of its kind in history. It does include countries like Vietnam and Malaysia, where labor and human rights abuses are rampant.

My colleagues have talked about Malaysia and trafficking and forced labor. Where are the values of this Nation when we can take Malaysia that traffics in young girls and say that they have gotten better and they go from a tier 3 country to a tier 2 country just so that they can be part of this agreement?

Where are the values of the United States of America? They are not present here. We can't afford more free trade agreements without adequate enforcement.

Worst of all, this bill weakens protection in so many areas. We are dealing, as I said, in trafficking. It is modern slavery. That is what that is all about.

Democrats have been clamoring for years and years for our government to include enforceable labor standards and enforceable environmental provisions, and it has fallen on deaf ears.

This motion to instruct—and I say to my colleague thank you for doing this—should pass. It will pass tonight or tomorrow, but it really should not go to conference. There are so many flaws in the underlying bill and in the Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement as well, and this should not go to conference.

In fact, put a gloss on a piece of legislation that is one of the worst pieces of legislation that has hit this floor of the United States House of Representatives.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I am prepared to close if the gentlewoman from New Hampshire is prepared to do so as well.

The value of a country's currency is a complex issue. It is determined by a number of factors: how much a country saves, how much it invests, the strength of its economy, its trade flows in and out. It is a complex issue.

Where Republicans and Democrats and the White House find common ground is the desire that countries don't manipulate their currency in order to give themselves an unfair trade advantage.

The difference is how best to go about it. And because it is a complex issue, there are some very good ideas on all parties' sides on how best to do that.

This motion essentially says to forget those discussions and don't have Republicans and Democrats from the House and Senate work together through this complex issue and find a common solution. This motion simply says to forget all that. There is only one solution, and we insist upon it. End the discussion.

I don't think that is the right way to go about it. I think, frankly, there are

real serious concerns not just from Republicans, but from the White House on insisting on this one solution.

I think our country is better served and those who want to stop currency manipulation are better served by bringing our best ideas together in this conference committee.

That is what I am determined to do. That is what the American public wants us to do, an open, transparent, regular process that brings about the very best solution for America.

That is why I urge a "no" vote on this motion to instruct.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. KUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to say to my colleague, the gentleman from Texas, I think that we do agree to part of this about the danger of currency manipulation and the millions of jobs that are lost here in our country.

That is why I rise this evening to offer this motion to instruct the conferees to include in the conference report language to combat currency manipulation from the Senate-passed version of this bill.

I also want to associate myself with the comments of my colleagues because these are bipartisan issues. I have worked with my colleagues across the aisle on human trafficking, and I know that my colleagues share my values and are appalled at the egregious efforts that have gone down in Malaysia to traffic in young girls.

These are not American values that are being expressed at this historic moment, as countries across the world gather in Paris to protect our society, our whole humankind, from the ravages of climate change.

So, Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to support my motion. I will be asking for a recorded vote.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Ms. KUSTER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

□ 1915

CLIMATE CHANGE DEBATE NOT SETTLED

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, recently, President Obama declared climate change to be the number one adversary of the United States.

He has proposed wide-ranging regulations to fight this supposed enemy, regulations that not only drastically increase the scope of government but could only irreparably damage our economy. Today, we voted to reject those policies.

While he concentrates on crony capitalism disguised as feel-good policies, our true enemy has grown in strength and struck one of our oldest allies. We know this enemy: a radical form of Islam that has sworn to destroy Western civilization, that abuses and enslaves women, that seeks victory through suicide attacks and terrorizing civilians.

From manufacturing fake data to fit computer temperature models, to manipulated actual temperatures being rounded up to fit the narrative, and the resistance by government entities to reveal their methodology and internal biases show that, indeed, the debate on climate change is far from settled.

Mr. Speaker, it is time for the President to wake up, recognize that no nation should willingly choose to damage its own economy, as he proposes. It is time he recognized the United States' responsibility to the free world and end the self-destructive cycle that his policies would initiate.

RADICAL ISLAMIC TERROR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, just to follow up on the eloquent 1-minute speech by my friend, DOUG LAMALFA, that it is extraordinary to think that the President of the United States—some say he is the leader of the free world—would actually say publicly and, even worse, at a conference of world leaders that, in effect, the worst blow we could hit ISIS with is for the leaders to come together on climate change?

It is hard to believe the leader of the free world would make such a statement. Maybe it was just something that was given to him to read and he read, maybe it was in a teleprompter, or maybe he didn't have time to think about what he was saying. Because I have talked to too many people in all parts of the world who have dealt directly with radical Islamist terrorists, and they make clear that radical Islamist terrorists know nothing and respect nothing but power. Incredible. Just incredible.

Growing up, it would have been akin to bullies beating up and taking from smaller students on the playground and the teacher gathering all the students and other teachers together and saying, "I am going to teach the bullies a lesson by just ignoring them and