have been able to work together on some very important issues. We passed another bipartisan budget deal. We have worked on a bill together to fix the No Child Left Behind law that is broken, and Republicans and Democrats are now working to pass a transportation bill that would do a lot to help fix our crumbling infrastructure. But there is certainly a lot more that we should be doing to boost wages, to expand opportunity, and to make sure our economy is growing from the middle out, not from the top down. I would hope that we would be working on a way to raise the minimum wage or ensure that working parents can earn paid sick days or make higher education more affordable and accessible for our students.

With the holidays just around the corner, we should be focused on what struggling families need to make ends meet. Those are the kinds of issues I would like to be working on and many more, but instead Republican leaders are insisting on tilting at tea party windmills by trying to dismantle the Affordable Care Act for the umpteenth time.

This bill is not going to be signed into law. As we all know, this is just a political gesture here. But I want to be very clear about what it would mean for millions of men, women, and children across the country if this were to be signed into law. The policies that are being put forward could cause millions of people to lose their health care coverage, make premiums skyrocket, increase costs for our hospitals and for our providers, cut off support for important public health programs by repealing the prevention fund, and take us back to the bad old days when insurance companies, not patients, had all of the power.

Democrats believe strongly that while the Affordable Care Act was an historic step forward, the work did not end when the law passed—far from it.

We are willing to work with anyone on either side of the aisle who has good ideas about how to build on the progress that has been made so far and continue making health care more affordable, expanding coverage, and improving quality of care for our families

So it is very disappointing that Republicans instead continue to insist that when it comes to health care, politics—not families—comes first. This is especially because—again to be very clear—this legislation has no chance of becoming law. The very same is true when it comes to this latest attempt to cut off women's access to health care.

After years of trying to turn back the clock on women's constitutionally protected rights and to undermine Planned Parenthood, Republicans should have gotten their fill of political attacks on women's health. Clearly, they have not.

In the wake of the tragedy in Colorado Springs last week, I have thought a lot about how important it is that we

do more to insure communities are protected from that kind of violence and that we continue to stand with Planned Parenthood as it helps so many people—women and men—get the care they need.

So it is very frustrating that my Republican colleagues are doubling down this week on their efforts to defund Planned Parenthood and get in between women and their health care. If Republicans were to succeed in the bill they have before us in defunding Planned Parenthood—our Nation's largest women's health care provider with the legislation we are debating today, they would undermine a critical source of health care that one in five women have relied on for cancer screenings, for HIV tests, and for so much more. They would make it harder for women to exercise their constitutionally protected right to make their own choices about their own bodies and their own doctors.

By dismantling critical health care reforms, this proposal would cause millions of women to lose their health care coverage and access to everything from birth control to prenatal care. That is simply not going to happen not on my watch, not on Democrats' watch, and not on President Obama's watch. Republicans may want to go back to the days when being a woman was a preexisting condition. They may see this entire bizarre effort as nothing more than a great opportunity to pander to their extreme tea party base by attacking health care and Planned Parenthood. But for millions of women and families, the policies we are debating today are no political exercise; instead, if enacted, they would represent a deeply harmful step backward—a step away from building a health care system that is affordable, accessible, and high quality, one that contributes to economic security and opportunity.

Women and families have seen these extreme Republican attempts many times before, and, frankly, I think they have had enough. They don't want Congress fighting over whether to roll back a law that has helped millions of people get health care coverage and bolstered our Nation's health care system, a law that has been upheld time and time again by the Supreme Court, and they believe firmly that politicians in Congress should have better things to do than interfere with women's constitutionally protected health care choices. I am sure they would rather see us working to actually improve health care and the many other challenges our country faces.

Democrats agree with that. We want to move health care forward, not backward, for women and families, and we want to do the other important work across the aisle to strengthen our economy and grow our middle class. So today, as my Republican colleagues double down on their partisan political pandering, we on this side are going to continue to stand up for family health care and stand up for women and their

rights every step of the way. I hope my Republican colleagues will finally drop the politics and join us.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia.

OBAMACARE

Mrs. CAPITO. Mr. President, I wish to address ObamaCare repeal. As I was thinking about what I was going to say today, I went back and looked at a speech I made on the House of Representatives floor on March 21, 2010. The previous speaker talked about the partisanship that she perceives now. I thought it interesting. I am going to read just a couple quotes from my speech then: "[We are thinking about] this bill as a blanket, a blanket of health care legislation that may be draped across America and its population in the coming years," which it has for the last 4 years. I talk about how "its cloth has been cut behind closed doors and its color is tinged by partisan hands." That is the legislation ObamaCare and the ObamaCare plan we have today. "The huge holes will not protect the cold winds of job loss, new taxes, government bureaucracy, and increased health care costs. . . . All of America will feel the weight of this uncomfortable burden." Those were my words on March 21, 2010, in the House of Representatives.

Today and later this week, the Senate will consider a bill to repeal that bill, ObamaCare, a costly disaster that 4 years—5 years later we see has cost countless people access to their doctors, access to the health care plan of their choice, and thousands of West Virginians from my State have lost or had to change their coverage. We ought to ask the individuals and families whose premiums and deductibles have skyrocketed and the small businesses that have been forced to cut hours and employees.

Let's consider the exchanges that are folding and the hospitals that are facing unmanageable costs. Even the Nation's largest health insurance provider has threatened to pull out of ObamaCare, citing high costs and growing risks. Just today, the CEO of that company said that joining ObamaCare was "a bad decision."

There has to be a better way, and we need to find it.

In the bill we are considering this week, the Senate will do two major things: It will repeal significant portions of the health care law that are not working. It will also provide a bridge to replace this law with an improved health care system. This ObamaCare repeal bill will eliminate enforcement of the individual and employer mandates. It will repeal \$1 trillion—\$1 trillion—in onerous taxes. It will save and strengthen Medicare. It will also dedicate resources to fight the growing drug epidemic that is sweeping across this country. Certainly in our

State of West Virginia we have had many difficulties, as many of our fellow Americans have.

ObamaCare has upended our health care system and has broken many of the President's own promises. Headline after headline in recent weeks has called attention to the increasing premiums Americans will face next year. Across the Nation, rates for one out of every three ObamaCare plans will double in the year 2016.

For plans that are not seeing huge premium increases, rising deductibles are placing an excessive burden on patients—but not just on patients; let's think about our health care providers, our hospitals, for example. When a patient has a high deductible and comes in for an expensive surgery, that patient has to pay a \$4,000 or \$5,000 deductible. That is unaffordable for a lot of people, and that hospital is stuck with that bill.

The situation in my State is even worse. West Virginia is the only State in the country with only one insurer participating on the exchange. Remember, the President promised us choice and the ability to make decisions for ourselves. We have one choice in West Virginia. Highmark Blue Cross Blue Shield has been the only company in the West Virginia exchange through the first 2 years of ObamaCare, and we recently learned that it almost pulled out of the exchange for 2016. That would have been disastrous for our constituents. And why are they pulling out? Because they are losing millions of dollars on a health care plan that was promised to be a blanket, to blanket all of us, as I said in the speech I gave in 2010. It has turned out to be a blanket with huge holes.

With only one provider, choices and accesses are already limited, but for many Americans, the exchanges set up under ObamaCare have become their only option. Because of increasing costs, many are now unable to afford the health insurance without subsidies.

While Highmark Blue Cross Blue Shield—the exchange insurance in West Virginia—did remain in West Virginia, premiums are set to increase this year or next year by 24 percent. These increases are well beyond the financial reach of most West Virginians. Our unemployment in West Virginiahas skyrocketed because of the President's energy policies, and now we are looking at hard-working West Virginians and telling them their health care that was supposed to be affordable and accessible is going up 24 percent. That is unconscionable.

As one of my constituents pointed out, "This represents a significant challenge to our family budget as my husband's pay has not increased at the rate that our health care costs continue to rise."

What about ObamaCare's promise to lower the cost of health care? The reality is really quite different.

As another West Virginian put it, "The law remains a failure by the ad-

ministration's own metrics, and its harmful impact continues to make life more difficult for millions across the country."

By repealing ObamaCare, we can revisit the problems caused by the health care law and the problems that existed before, replace them with reforms that work, and protect those whose coverage has been disrupted.

In order to ensure individuals do not lose access to current coverage, this ObamaCare repeal bill will provide a 2year transition period. This period will give us time to enact alternative reforms that will provide access to quality, affordable care without disrupting coverage. Health care reform should give States and individuals choice-remember, in my State we don't have a choice; we have one provider, no choice-while reducing health care costs over the long term. Premiums are going up 24 percent, and deductibles are skyrocketing. That is not containing costs over the long term.

Americans deserve a health care system that works for them, and we know ObamaCare is not it. There is a better way.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Th clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, am I correct that we are in morning business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

SENIORS AND VETERANS EMERGENCY BENEFITS ACT

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I want to take a moment to talk about a piece of legislation that a number of us have filed. There will be several Senators speaking here later this afternoon about the Seniors And Veterans Emergency Benefits Act. It is a very important piece of legislation to help millions of Americans who depend on Social Security benefits to make ends meet. I want to emphasize that point. Much of the American population does not realize that there are senior citizens whose sole existence depends on the check they get from Social Security. Unfortunately, we have seniors who are facing the situation that the price of food or some of their medicine unexpectedly goes up. How could this be, in America in the year 2015? But it happens among some of our senior citizens. In the last Congress I had the privilege of chairing the Special Committee on Aging. We held a number of hearings on this issue. It will break your heart, but that is going on today.

To add a little more drama and heartache to this, in October the Social

Security Administration announced that for the third time in the past 40 years, there will not be a cost-of-living adjustment for 2016. That is under a formula, and it is legal. Since 1975, the cost-of-living adjustment has ensured that the purchasing power of the Social Security benefits stays the same, regardless of rising prices or inflation. When we get to a point that the formula says no cost-of-living adjustment for a senior citizen, that becomes a fairly big deal because 65 percent of all senior citizens depend on Social Security to provide the majority of their cash income. It is real money that they depend on to help make the basic expenses.

In my State, we have a higher percentage of the population who are senior citizens—4 million Floridians that are categorized as senior citizens because of their age. When there is not an adjustment on the cost-of-living adjustment, these folks are starting to feel the squeeze and are forced to sacrifice on something.

rifice on something.

What a group of Senators are going to talk about and what I am sharing is that we are going to offer an opportunity to act before this no cost-of-living increase would take effect in January because 20 of us have sponsored legislation introduced by Senator Warren to fix the fact that there is a lack of a cost-of-living adjustment. I am glad to see that Senator Warren is here. I could not join the distinguished Senator later on, so I took the liberty of going ahead and telling from my point of view how this legislation is going to give to about 70 million Americans a one-time payment of approximately \$580 to help them have money for the basic needs, such as food or rent.

Nearly 4.5 million people in Florida—a little less than a quarter of the State's population—would be eligible for that lump sum payment. Nine million veterans who receive Social Security benefits would receive a benefit under the bill. In my State, 323,000 veterans and their family members would get that benefit.

Forty percent of the seniors in the United States have incomes below the poverty line if they do not have Social Security assistance. That is a shocking statement. Let me say that again. Forty percent of our senior citizens in this country would have incomes below the poverty line if they did not have Social Security assistance. Therefore, this legislation that we are filing would lift over 1 million people out of poverty.

To some, a benefit of \$580 may seem insignificant, but in reality, it is going to make a difference to millions. It may not seem like a big deal to a lot of people that there is no COLA, but if that senior citizen does not have the money to pay for the rent, a utility bill, a trip to the doctor or the groceries they need for their nutrition, that \$580 is the difference.

Many Americans are living paycheck to paycheck and are forced to make