records and explanations, all conducted by agency officials with subject matter expertise that courts lack in the sciences, medicine, engineering, statistics, accounting, economics and financial markets, and the full gamut of professional disciplines.

Because the policy preferences of individual judges will matter more than ever, litigants will spend even more time and effort forum shopping for their favorite judges. On top of these ills, de novo judicial review of vast administrative records would further slow the wheels of the American legal system, to the detriment of every business or individual trying to get justice from our crowded and overworked courts.

What is most surprising is to see support for this bill from traditional opponents of judicial activism. Some supporters appear to favor the bill because they hope to undo burdens on businesses. In doing so, they are willing to sacrifice food safety; clean air and water; worker protections; safeguards against discrimination; and even the stability and security of our banks and financial institutions.

It should be noted, however, that the bill would also allow unelected judges to overrule the decisions of future conservative administrations. It is worth remembering that NRDC was the losing party in the Chevron decision. If this bill had then been law, the Reagan administration's effort to streamline pollution controls for new factories would likely have been overturned, not upheld as it was by the Supreme Court.

Our Constitution puts elected officials in charge to give political accountability. Turning over the authority to unelected and non-expert judges should not be an option. We urge all members to oppose H.R. 4768.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Lastly, I would point out that there is a strongly worded veto threat by the President about this legislation should it ever find its way to the Senate and to the President's desk. The President points out that this legislation is not in the public interest and that it would add needless complexity and delay to the judicial review of regulatory actions. For those reasons, among other things, he has issued a veto threat.

So this is a piece of legislation that is a messaging piece. My friends on the other side of the aisle know that it is not going anywhere, but it is promoting their message, which is deregulation. Despite all of the regulation and legislation needed to address pertinent issues that the American people are demanding action on right nowthe Zika virus, Puerto Rico, gun violence, and gun reform legislationthere are so many other things that we could and should be working on, but instead we are enthralled here with these messaging bills that are not going anywhere.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The list of organizations that stand up for separation of powers, that stand up for liberty, and that stand up for common sense is long.

It includes the American Farm Bureau Federation, TechFreedom, the American Consumer Institute, Americans for Tax Reform, the Center for

Freedom and Prosperity, Competitive Enterprise Institute, Digital Liberty, Free the People, the Independent Women's Forum. Institute for Liberty. the Mississippi Center for Public Policy, the National Taxpayers Union, Protect Internet Freedom, the Taxpayers Protection Alliance, and Tech Knowledge, just to name some.

Mr. Chairman, this legislation is very important. It will pass this House with a strong vote. It needs to be taken up by the United States Senate. It needs to be signed into law by the President of the United States, but it will also be heard across the street at the United States Supreme Court, where I know there are Justices who know that the Chevron doctrine needs to be reconsidered because it is an abandonment of the responsibility and the power of the judicial branch of our government to cede this kind of power and this kind of authority to the bureaucracy. It is wrong; it needs to be overturned; and I urge my colleagues to vote to do so tonight.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RATCLIFFE) having assumed the chair, Mr. RIGELL, Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4768) to amend title 5, United States Code, with respect to the judicial review of agency interpretations of statutory and regulatory provisions, had come to no resolution thereon.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 6 o'clock and 23 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1831

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RIGELL) at 6 o'clock and 31 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order.

H.R. 5602, by the yeas and nays;

H.R. 5607, by the yeas and nays;

H.R. 5606, by the yeas and navs.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5minute votes.

INCLUSION OF ALL FUNDS WHEN ISSUING CERTAIN GEOGRAPHIC TARGETING ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5602) to amend title 31, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to include all funds when issuing certain geographic targeting orders, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 356, nays 47, not voting 30, as follows:

> [Roll No. 401] YEAS-356

Adams Courtney Aderholt Cramer Aguilar Crawford Allen Crenshaw Amodei Cuellar Ashford Culberson Cummings Babin Barletta Curbelo (FL) Barr Davis (CA) Davis, Rodney Barton Bass DeFazio Becerra DeGette Benishek Delaney Bera DeLauro Bever **DelBene** Bilirakis Denham Bishop (GA) Dent DeSantis Bishop (MI) Bishop (UT) DeSaulnier Black Deutch Blackburn Diaz-Balart Blumenauer Dingell Bonamici Doggett Bost Dold Boustany Donovan Brady (PA) Dovle Michael Brady (TX) F. Brooks (IN) Duckworth Brown (FL) Duffy Edwards Brownley (CA) Buchanan Ellison Ellmers (NC) Buck Bucshon Emmer (MN) Bustos Butterfield Engel Eshoo Byrne Esty Calvert Farr Fitzpatrick Capps Capuano Fleischmann Cárdenas Flores Carnev Forbes Carson (IN) Fortenberry Carter (TX) Foster Frankel (FL) Cartwright Castor (FL) Franks (AZ) Castro (TX) Frelinghuysen Chabot Gabbard Chaffetz Gallego Chu. Judy Garamendi Cicilline Gibbs Clark (MA) Gibson Goodlatte Clav Cleaver Gowdy Clyburn Graham Coffman Granger Graves (GA) Cohen Cole Graves (LA) Collins (GA) Graves (MO) Collins (NY) Grayson Comstock Green, Al Conaway Green, Gene Connolly Grijalva Convers Guthrie Hahn Cook Cooper Hanna Costa Hardv Costello (PA)

Harper

Hartzler Heck (NV) Heck (WA) Hensarling Herrera Beutler Higgins Hill Himes Holding Honda Hover Hudson Huffman Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Israel Issa Jackson Lee Jeffries Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (GA) Johnson (OH) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jolly Joyce Kaptur Katko Keating Kelly (IL) Kelly (PA) Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind King (IA) Kinzinger (IL) Kirkpatrick Kline Knight Kuster LaHood LaMalfa Lance Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta Lawrence Lee Levin Lewis Lieu, Ted LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren Long Loudermilk Love Lowenthal Lowey Lucas Luetkemeyer