

tions bill in the Committee of the Whole unless it includes a spending reduction account.

Although the resolution waives all points of order against provisions in H.R. 240, the Committee is not aware of any points of order. The waiver is prophylactic in nature.

The waiver of all points of order against amendments to H.R. 240 printed in part B of this report includes a waiver of clause 2 of rule XXI, which prohibits consideration of an amendment to a general appropriation bill if changing existing law.

Additionally, the waiver for amendments #1 (Aderholt) and #2 (Blackburn) includes a waiver of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, prohibiting consideration of any amendment that would cause revenues to be less than the level of total revenues for the first fiscal year or for the total of that first fiscal year and the ensuing fiscal years for which allocations are provided. The amendments by Representatives Aderholt and Blackburn would reduce both direct spending and revenues.

COMMITTEE VOTES

The results of each record vote on an amendment or motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and against, are printed below:

Rules Committee record vote No. 8

Motion by Ms. Slaughter to amend the resolution to remove the special waivers of points of order for the amendments to H.R. 240, the Homeland Security Appropriations bill. Defeated: 3–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Ms. Foxx	Nay	Ms. Slaughter	Yea
Mr. Cole	Nay	Mr. McGovern
Mr. Woodall	Nay	Mr. Hastings of Florida	Yea
Mr. Burgess	Nay	Mr. Polis	Yea
Mr. Stivers	Nay		
Mr. Collins	Nay		
Mr. Sessions, Chairman	Nay		

Rules Committee record vote No. 9

Motion by Mr. Polis to add a section to the resolution that requires the House to consider a bill consisting of the text of H.R. 15 from the last Congress, the bipartisan, Senate-passed comprehensive immigration reform bill. Defeated: 3–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Ms. Foxx	Nay	Ms. Slaughter	Yea
Mr. Cole	Nay	Mr. McGovern
Mr. Woodall	Nay	Mr. Hastings of Florida	Yea
Mr. Burgess	Nay	Mr. Polis	Yea
Mr. Stivers	Nay		
Mr. Collins	Nay		
Mr. Sessions, Chairman	Nay		

Rules Committee record vote No. 10

Motion by Mr. Polis to amend the rule to H.R. 37 to make in order and provide the appropriate waivers for amendment #8, of-

ferred by Rep. Ellison (MN), Rep. Issa (CA), and Rep. Polis (CO), which strikes Title VII. Defeated: 3–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Ms. Foxx	Nay	Ms. Slaughter	Yea
Mr. Cole	Nay	Mr. McGovern	Yea
Mr. Woodall	Nay	Mr. Hastings of Florida	Yea
Mr. Burgess	Nay	Mr. Polis	Yea
Mr. Stivers	Nay		
Mr. Collins	Nay		
Mr. Sessions, Chairman	Nay		

Rules Committee record vote No. 11

Motion by Ms. Foxx to report the rule. Adopted: 7–3

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Ms. Foxx	Yea	Ms. Slaughter	Nay
Mr. Cole	Yea	Mr. McGovern	Nay
Mr. Woodall	Yea	Mr. Hastings of Florida	Nay
Mr. Burgess	Yea	Mr. Polis	Nay
Mr. Stivers	Yea		
Mr. Collins	Yea		
Mr. Sessions, Chairman	Yea		

SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 185 IN PART A MADE IN ORDER

1. McKinley (WV): Ensures that the Agencies, when developing regulations, take into consideration and account for low-income populations. Furthermore, the Amendment provides that no particular class or race is excluded when it comes to looking at costs and benefits of the regulation. (10 minutes)

2. Johnson, Hank (GA): Exempts from H.R. 185 all rules or guidance that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget determines would result in net job creation. (10 minutes)

3. Jackson Lee (TX): Exempts all rules promulgated by the Department of Homeland Security. (10 minutes)

4. Connolly (VA): Exempts any rule or guidance pertaining to public health or safety. (10 minutes)

SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 240 IN PART B MADE IN ORDER

1. Aderholt (AL), Mulvaney (SC), Barletta (PA): 1) Prevents any funds from whatever source to be used to carry-out (1) the Executive actions announced on November 20, 2014 to grant deferred action to certain unlawful aliens and for other purposes, and (2) four of the “Morton Memos” on prosecutorial discretion and immigration enforcement priorities issued in 2011 and 2012 that effectively prevent certain classes of unlawful aliens from being removed from the country. 2) Declares that no funds may be used to carry-out any substantially similar policies to those defunded. 3) Declares that the policies defunded and any substantially similar policies have no statutory or constitutional basis and therefore no legal effect. 4) Provides that no funds may be used to grant any Federal benefit to any alien as a result of the policies defunded. (20 minutes)