115TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S. 1593

To provide grants to States and Indian tribes to reform their criminal justice system to encourage the replacement of the use of payment of secured money bail as a condition of pretrial release in criminal cases, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 20, 2017

Ms. HARRIS (for herself and Mr. PAUL) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

- To provide grants to States and Indian tribes to reform their criminal justice system to encourage the replacement of the use of payment of secured money bail as a condition of pretrial release in criminal cases, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Pretrial Integrity and5 Safety Act of 2017".

1 SEC. 2. PURPOSE AND FINDINGS.

2 (a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to provide
3 grants to States and Indian tribes to reform their criminal
4 justice system to encourage the replacement of the use of
5 payment of money bail as a condition of pretrial release
6 in criminal cases.

7 (b) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

8 (1) The money bail system has proven to be an 9 ineffective method of protecting public safety. Re-10 search shows that under money bail systems, nearly 11 50 percent of defendants who were determined to be 12 high-risk were allowed to return to the community 13 with little or no effective oversight simply because 14 they could afford to pay the amount set for money 15 bail.

16 (2) Other studies have shown that for low-risk 17 individuals, pretrial detention for even short periods 18 makes it more likely the individuals will commit new 19 crimes following release. Low-risk defendants held 20 for as little as 3 days are 40 percent more likely to 21 commit a crime during the pretrial period compared 22 to comparable defendants released within 24 hours.

(3) According to the Arnold Foundation, "Compared to individuals released within 24 hours of arrest, low-risk defendants held 2–3 days were 17 percent more likely to commit another crime within two

years. Detention periods of 4–7 days yielded a 35
 percent increase in re-offense rates. And defendants
 held for 8–14 days were 51 percent more likely to
 recidivate than defendants who were detained less
 than 24 hours.".

6 (4) Jailing arrested individuals before trial is 7 the greatest expense generated by current pretrial 8 justice practice. Unconvicted detainees account for 9 95 percent of jail population growth, nationally, 10 since 2000. Taxpayers now spend approximately 11 \$38,000,000 per day to jail individuals who are 12 awaiting trial. Annually, this adds up to 13 \$14,000,000,000 used to detain individuals.

14 (5) Unnecessary detention may be counter-15 productive and undermine an important purpose of 16 money bail—specifically to produce the defendant at 17 trial. Studies show that those who are detained pre-18 trial for more than 24 hours and then released are 19 less likely to reappear as required than other simi-20 larly situated defendants who are detained for less 21 than 24 hours.

(6) In Bearden v. Georgia, 461 U.S. 660, 671
(1983), the Supreme Court of the United States
stated that the due process and equal protection
principles of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Con-

1 stitution of the United States prohibit "punishing a 2 person for his poverty". The Court prohibited the in-3 carceration of indigent probationers for non-willful 4 failure to pay a fine because to "do otherwise would 5 deprive the probationer of his conditional freedom 6 simply because, through no fault of his own, he can-7 not pay the fine.". State and local justice systems 8 that impose money bail that leads to pretrial defend-9 ants being detained because they cannot afford a 10 money bail amount may result in "punishing a per-11 son" for his or her poverty.

12 (7) Pretrial detention can lead to devastating 13 effects, including threatening the employment, hous-14 ing stability, child custody, and access to healthcare 15 of an individual. Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514, 16 532-33 (1972) ("The time spent in jail awaiting" 17 trial has a detrimental impact on the individual. It 18 often means loss of a job; it disrupts family life; and 19 it enforces idleness. Most jails offer little or no rec-20 reational or rehabilitative programs. The time spent 21 in jail is simply dead time. Moreover, if a defendant 22 is locked up, he is hindered in his ability to gather 23 evidence, contact witnesses, or otherwise prepare his 24 defense. Imposing those consequences on anyone 25 who has not yet been convicted is serious. It is espe-

1	cially unfortunate to impose them on those persons
2	who are ultimately found to be innocent.").
3	(8) Nationwide, about 9 in 10 detained defend-
4	ants had a money bail amount set but were unable
5	to meet the financial conditions required to secure
6	release.
7	(9) The inability to post money bail may result
8	in innocent individuals pleading guilty to low-level
9	crimes so they can be released.
10	(10) Money bail systems have resulted in dis-
11	parate harms to poor people and communities of
12	color. Studies have shown that African American
13	and Hispanic defendants are more likely to be de-
14	tained pretrial than White defendants and less likely
15	to be able to post money bail so they can be re-
16	leased. Moreover, race and money bail amounts are
17	significantly correlated. Nationally, African Amer-
18	ican men pay 35 percent higher money bail amounts
19	than White men, and Hispanic men pay 19 percent
20	higher money bail amounts than White men.
21	(11) Congress should encourage the replace-
22	ment of the practice of money bail systems to pro-
23	vide for a more equal and effective criminal justice
24	system for the people of the United States.

1 SEC. 3. PRETRIAL INTEGRITY AND SAFETY.

2 Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe
3 Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3711 et seq.) is amended
4 by adding at the end the following:

5 **"PART MM—PRETRIAL INTEGRITY AND SAFETY**

6 "SEC. 3031. DEFINITIONS.

7	"In	this	part-
---	-----	------	-------

8 "(1) the term 'charge-risk profile' means a com9 posite of the charge (or charge category) and risk
10 score (or risk category of failing to appear in court
11 or being rearrested) of a defendant;

12 "(2) the term 'eligible entity' means a public or
13 private entity, including—

14 "(A) a nonprofit entity (including a tribal15 nonprofit);

16 "(B) a faith-based or community organiza-17 tions;

- 18 "(C) a State or tribal court system;
- 19 "(D) a unit of local government; and
- 20 "(E) an Indian tribe;

21 "(3) the term 'evidence-based practices', with 22 respect to supervision of the conditions of pretrial 23 release, means intervention programs and super-24 vision policies, procedures, programs, and practices 25 that scientific research demonstrates are the least 26 restrictive necessary to reduce the instance of a fail-

	i
1	ure by a defendant to appear in court or criminal
2	activity by a defendant during the pretrial period,
3	when implemented competently;
4	"(4) the term 'least restrictive conditions'—
5	"(A) includes court date notifications by
6	phone call, letter or postcard, text message, in-
7	person reminder, or another noninvasive pre-
8	trial supervisory condition; and
9	"(B) does not include a condition that im-
10	poses additional financial obligations on the de-
11	fendant, including charging the defendant for
12	implementation of the conditions;
13	"(5) the term 'money bail' means a secured
14	monetary obligation that is imposed by a court as a
15	condition of the release of a defendant before the
16	trial or adjudication of the criminal charges pending
17	against the defendant;
18	"(6) the term 'reason for detention' means
19	whether a defendant was held without bond, held on
20	another charge, or held for another reason;
21	((7) the term 'release condition' means whether
22	a defendant was released—
23	"(A) based on nonfinancial, personal recog-
24	nizance;
25	"(B) with pretrial supervision;

1	"(C) with an unsecured financial obliga-
2	tion; or
3	"(D) with a secured financial obligation;
4	and
5	"(8) the term 'State or tribal court system'
6	means the court, court system, administrative offices
7	of the courts, or similarly situated agency of a State
8	or Indian tribe.
9	"SEC. 3032. GRANTS AND CONDITIONS.
10	"(a) Grants Authorized.—
11	"(1) Replacement of money bail.—The As-
12	sistant Attorney General may make grants to State
13	and tribal court systems and eligible entities for the
14	replacement of the use of payment of money bail as
15	a condition of pretrial release with respect to crimi-
16	nal cases.
17	"(2) NATIONAL PRETRIAL REPORTING PRO-
18	GRAM.—The Assistant Attorney General may make
19	grants to eligible entities to implement a National
20	Pretrial Reporting Program to collect data on the
21	processing of defendants by courts of States and
22	units of local government.
23	"(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—
24	"(1) DURATION OF GRANTS.—A grant under

25 subsection (a) shall be for a period of 3 fiscal years.

1	"(2) MAXIMUMS.—
2	"(A) Replacement of money bail
3	Under subsection (a)(1), the Assistant Attorney
4	General may make—
5	"(i) not more than 6 grants to a State
6	or tribal court system during each fiscal
7	year; and
8	"(ii) not more than \$10,000,000 in
9	grants during each fiscal year, of which—
10	"(I) not more than \$6,500,000
11	shall be grants to State or tribal court
12	systems; and
13	"(II) not more than \$3,500,000
14	shall be grants to eligible entities to
15	provide technical assistance, training,
16	and performance evaluation.
17	"(B) NATIONAL PRETRIAL REPORTING
18	PROGRAM.—The Assistant Attorney General
19	may not make more than \$5,000,000 in grants
20	under subsection $(a)(2)$ during each fiscal year.
21	"(c) Use of Funds for Replacement of Money
22	BAIL GRANTS.—
23	"(1) ACTIVITIES.—Amounts received under a
24	grant under subsection $(a)(1)$ shall be used for de-
25	veloping the long-term, sustainable capacity to per-

1	form more effective pretrial practices that include
2	system analysis, training and technical assistance,
3	meeting facilitation, research and performance eval-
4	uation, information technology reprogramming, and
5	shall seek to incorporate and implement the ele-
6	ments described in paragraph (2).
7	"(2) ELEMENTS.—The elements described in
8	this paragraph are—
9	"(A) replacing money bail systems with in-
10	dividualized, pretrial assessments that—
11	"(i) measure the risk of flight and
12	risk of anticipated criminal conduct posed
13	by a defendant while on pretrial release;
14	and
15	"(ii) shall use risk-based decision
16	making that includes objective, research-
17	based, and locally validated assessment
18	tools that do not result in unwarranted
19	disparities on the basis of any classifica-
20	tion protected under Federal non-
21	discrimination laws or the nondiscrimina-
22	tion laws of the applicable State;
23	"(B) providing for—
24	"(i) a presumption of release in most
25	cases; and

11

1	"(ii) a preventative detention protocol
2	only for cases in which a judicial officer
3	determines, by clear and convincing evi-
4	dence and after a hearing during which the
5	defendant is represented by counsel, that
6	the appearance of the defendant in court
7	and the safety of the community cannot
8	reasonably be assured through the use of
9	any combination of conditions;
10	"(C) if pretrial release requires imposing
11	conditions, ensuring it is based on the least re-
12	strictive conditions that a judicial officer deter-
13	mines would reasonably assure the appearance
14	of the defendant and the safety of others in the
15	community;
16	"(D) ensuring supervision of the conditions
17	of pretrial release is based on evidence-based
18	practices;
19	"(E) ensuring a defendant is provided with
20	counsel at the earlier of—
21	"(i) as soon as is feasible after custo-
22	dial restraint; or
23	"(ii) the first appearance before a
24	committing magistrate, judge, or other ju-
25	dicial officer;

1	"(F) ensuring an officer of the State, unit
2	of local government, or Indian tribe appears be-
3	fore a committing magistrate, judge, or other
4	judicial officer at the pretrial hearing;
5	"(G) ensuring the constitutional right of a
6	defendant to a speedy trial is effectuated, in-
7	cluding—
8	"(i) setting specific limits on the time
9	within which either the defendant shall be
10	brought to trial or the case shall be re-
11	solved through a nontrial disposition;
12	"(ii) providing guidelines for com-
13	puting the time within which the trial must
14	be commenced or the case otherwise re-
15	solved; and
16	"(iii) establishing appropriate con-
17	sequences in the event that the right of the
18	defendant to a speedy trial is denied;
19	"(H) ensuring that the defendant, State,
20	unit of local government, or Indian tribe is enti-
21	tled to an immediate, expedited appeal of a pre-
22	trial detention decision; and
23	"(I) instituting a system of data collection
24	and reporting to determine the effectiveness of
25	the program replacing the money bail system.

1	"(3) BENCHMARKS.—A State or tribal court
2	systems or eligible entity receiving a grant under
3	subsection $(a)(1)$ shall seek to achieve the following:
4	"(A) Defendants return to court rates are
5	not less than 95 percent.
6	"(B) Not more than 10 percent of defend-
7	ants are rearrested pending trial.
8	"(C) Overall release rates of defendants
9	pending trial are not less than 85 percent.
10	"(D) 100 percent of defendants have an
11	attorney at the first appearance of the defend-
12	ant before a magistrate, judge, or other judicial
13	officer.
14	"(E) The majority of defendants preven-
15	tively detained were detained after a hearing
16	that occurred not later than 3 days after the
17	date of the arrest or booking of the defendant,
18	and 100 percent of such hearings occurred not
19	later than 7 days after the date of the arrest
20	or booking.
21	"(F) Validated pretrial assessments with
22	risk-based decision making that do not lead to
23	disproportionately higher pretrial detention
24	rates for individuals on the basis of race and
25	ethnicity.

1	"(4) Alternative pretrial release mecha-
2	NISMS.—Nothing in this part shall be construed to
3	prohibit the consideration of alternative pretrial re-
4	lease mechanisms that replace money bail systems
5	while furthering the principles described in this part.
6	"(d) ANNUAL REPORT.—
7	"(1) IN GENERAL.—Each entity receiving a
8	grant under this section shall submit to the Assist-
9	ant Attorney General, for each fiscal year during
10	which the entity expends amounts received under the
11	grant, a report, at such time and in such manner as
12	the Assistant Attorney General may reasonably re-
13	quire, that contains—
13 14	quire, that contains— "(A) a summary of the activities carried
14	"(A) a summary of the activities carried
14 15	"(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the
14 15 16	"(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant;
14 15 16 17	"(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant;"(B) an assessment of whether the activi-
14 15 16 17 18	 "(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant; "(B) an assessment of whether the activities are meeting the need for the program iden-
14 15 16 17 18 19	 "(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant; "(B) an assessment of whether the activities are meeting the need for the program identified in the application for the grant;
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	 "(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant; "(B) an assessment of whether the activities are meeting the need for the program identified in the application for the grant; "(C) for a grant under subsection (a)(1),
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 "(A) a summary of the activities carried out using amounts made available under the grant; "(B) an assessment of whether the activities are meeting the need for the program identified in the application for the grant; "(C) for a grant under subsection (a)(1), data on the money bail program of the State or

1	"(2) DATA.—The data provided under para-
2	graph (1)(C) shall—
3	"(A) be broken down by the demographic
4	variables of age group, sex, race and ethnicity,
5	disability, and charge-risk profile of the defend-
6	ant;
7	"(B) include the percentage of defendants
8	detained in jail or prison who are released from
9	jail or prison prior to case disposition, broken
10	down by demographic variables of age group,
11	sex, race and ethnicity, disability, charge-risk
12	profile, and release condition;
13	"(C) provide the average time to release
14	from jail for defendants who are released pre-
15	trial, broken down by demographic variables of
16	age group, sex, race and ethnicity, disability,
17	charge-risk profile, and release condition;
18	"(D) provide the percentage of defendants
19	who are detained for the entire duration of the
20	pretrial phase of their case, broken down by de-
21	mographic variables of age group, sex, race and
22	ethnicity, disability, charge-risk profile, and rea-
23	son for detention;
24	"(E) provide the average duration of the
25	period defendants who are not released are in

1

2

3

4

5

custody in a prison or jail before the disposition of their case, broken down by demographic variables of age group, sex, race and ethnicity, disability, charge-risk profile, and reason for detention;

6 "(F) provide the percentage of defendants 7 released from custody before trial who appeared 8 at all court appearances for which the court ex-9 pected them to appear during the pretrial phase 10 of their case, broken down by demographic vari-11 ables of age group, sex, race and ethnicity, dis-12 ability, charge-risk profile, and release condi-13 tion;

14 "(G) provide the percentage of defendants
15 released from custody before trial who were not
16 arrested for or charged with a new crime during
17 the pretrial phase of their case, broken down by
18 demographic variables of age group, sex, race
19 and ethnicity, disability, charge-risk profile, and
20 release condition;

21 "(H) provide data on the access of defend22 ants to counsel, including the number of coun23 sel appointments for indigent defendants and
24 the outcomes of pretrial release decisions based
25 on whether counsel was provided; and

1	"(I) include a summary of the steps the
2	entity has taken to ensure that any risk assess-
3	ment tool—
4	"(i) is properly and regularly vali-
5	dated based on reliable local data;
6	"(ii) includes objective, research-based
7	data; and
8	"(iii) does not result in unwarranted
9	disparities on the basis of any classifica-
10	tion protected under Federal non-
11	discrimination laws or the nondiscrimina-
12	tion laws of the applicable State.
13	"(e) Allocation of Funds.—
14	"(1) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 2018, of the
15	amounts appropriated to the Office, the Assistant
16	Attorney General shall use \$15,000,000 to carry out
17	this part.
18	"(2) LIMITATIONS; EQUITABLE DISTRIBU-
19	TION.—
20	"(A) LIMITATIONS.—Of the amount made
21	available to carry out this section in any fiscal
22	year—
23	"(i) not more than 2 percent may be
24	used by the Assistant Attorney General for
25	salaries and administrative expenses; and

1	"(ii) not more than 25 percent may be
2	used for technical assistance, training, and
3	evaluation.
4	"(B) Equitable distribution.—The As-
5	sistant Attorney General shall ensure that
6	grants awarded under this section are equitably
7	distributed among the geographical regions and
8	between urban and rural populations, including
9	Indian tribes, consistent with the objective of
10	reducing recidivism among criminal offenders.

"(f) REALLOCATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—A recipient of a grant under subsection (a) shall return to the
Assistant Attorney General any amounts received under
a grant under subsection (a) that are not expended for
a purpose described in this section.".

 \bigcirc