^{115TH CONGRESS} 1ST SESSION **S. 1812**

To amend the Clayton Act to modify the standard for an unlawful acquisition, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 14, 2017

A BILL

To amend the Clayton Act to modify the standard for an unlawful acquisition, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Consolidation Preven-
- 5 tion and Competition Promotion Act of 2017".

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
- 8 (1) competitive markets are critical to ensuring
- 9 opportunity for all people in the United States;

Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, and Mr. MARKEY) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

1 (2) when companies compete, businesses offer 2 the highest quality and choice of goods for the low-3 est possible prices to consumers and other busi-4 nesses; (3) competition fosters small business growth, 5 6 reduces economic inequality, and spurs innovation; 7 (4) concentration that leads to market power 8 and anticompetitive conduct makes it more difficult 9 for people in the United States to start their own 10 businesses, depresses wages, and increases economic 11 inequality; 12 (5) undue market concentration also contributes 13 to the consolidation of political power, undermining 14 the health of democracy in the United States; 15 (6) the anticompetitive effects of market power 16 created by concentration include higher prices, lower 17 quality, significantly less choice, reduced innovation, 18 foreclosure of competitors, increased entry barriers, 19 and monopsony power; 20 (7) monopsony power— 21 (A) allows a firm to force suppliers of 22 goods or services to cut their prices to unrea-23 sonably low levels, resulting in reduced business 24 opportunities for suppliers and reduced avail-

 $\mathbf{2}$

1	ability and quality of products and services for
2	consumers; and
3	(B) can result in workers being forced to
4	accept unreasonably low wages;
5	(8) horizontal consolidation, vertical consolida-
6	tion, and conglomerate mergers all have potential to
7	cause anticompetitive harm;
8	(9) unprecedented consolidation is reducing
9	competition and threatens to place the American
10	dream further out of reach for many consumers in
11	the United States;
12	(10) since 2008, firms in the United States
13	have engaged in over \$10,000,000,000,000 in merg-
14	ers and acquisitions;
15	(11) between 2010 and 2015, there was a 50-
16	percent increase in the number of mergers and ac-
17	quisitions reviewed by the Federal Trade Commis-
18	sion and the Antitrust Division of the Department
19	of Justice;
20	(12) the antitrust laws, particularly section 7 of
21	the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18), are the first line of
22	defense against anticompetitive mergers; and
23	(13) in recent years, some court decisions and
24	enforcement policies have limited the vitality of the
25	Clayton Act to prevent harmful consolidation by—

3

1	(A) discounting previously accepted pre-
2	sumptions that certain acquisitions are anti-
3	competitive;
4	(B) focusing inordinately on the impact on
5	price of an acquisition in the short term;
6	(C) underestimating the dangers that hori-
7	zontal, vertical, and conglomerate mergers will
8	lower quality, reduce choice, impede innovation,
9	exclude competitors, increase entry barriers, or
10	create monopsony power; and
11	(D) requiring the government to prove
12	harmful effects of a merger to a near certainty.
13	(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are to pro-
14	mote competition and prevent harmful consolidation by re-
15	storing the original intent of the Clayton Act to address
16	the full range of anticompetitive harms, including—
17	(1) eliminating the requirement that a merger
18	"substantially" lessens competition to clarify that
19	the Clayton Act prohibits mergers that, as a result
20	of consolidation, may materially lower quality, re-
21	duce choice, reduce innovation, exclude competitors,
22	increase entry barriers, or increase price;
23	(2) inserting the phrase "materially" to estab-
24	lish that the plaintiff need not show an acquisition
25	may cause a substantial amount of harm to competi-

tion, but rather show that an acquisition may cause
 more than a de minimis amount of harm to competi tion;

4 (3) amending the Clayton Act to include the
5 term "monopsony" to clarify that an acquisition that
6 tends to create a monopsony violates the Clayton
7 Act; and

8 (4) establishing simple, cost-effective decision 9 rules that require the parties to certain acquisitions 10 that either significantly increase consolidation or are 11 extremely large bear the burden of establishing that 12 the acquisition will not materially harm competition.

13 SEC. 3. UNLAWFUL ACQUISITIONS.

14 Section 7 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18) is 15 amended—

16 (1) in the first and second undesignated para17 graphs, by striking "substantially" each place that
18 term appears and inserting "materially";

19 (2) by inserting "or a monopsony" after "mo-20 nopoly" each place that term appears; and

21 (3) by adding at the end the following:

"In a case brought by the United States, the Federal
Trade Commission, or a State attorney general, a court
shall determine that the effect of an acquisition described

1 in this section may be materially to lessen competition or2 create a monopoly or a monopsony if—

3 "(1) the acquisition would lead to a significant
4 increase in market concentration in any line of com5 merce or in any activity affecting commerce in any
6 section of the country; or

7 "(2)(A) the acquisition is not a transaction that
8 is described in section 7A(c); and

9 "(B)(i) as a result of such acquisition, the ac-10 quiring person would hold an aggregate total 11 amount of the voting securities and assets of the acquired person in excess of \$5,000,000,000 (as ad-12 13 justed and published for each fiscal year beginning 14 after September 30, 2018, in the same manner as 15 provided in section 8(a)(5) to reflect the percentage 16 change in the gross national product for such fiscal 17 year compared to the gross national product for the 18 year ending September 30, 2017); or

"(ii)(I) the person acquiring or the person being
acquired has assets, net annual sales, or a market
capitalization greater than \$100,000,000 (as so
adjusted and published); and

23 "(II) as a result of such acquisition, the acquir24 ing person would hold an aggregate total amount of
25 the voting securities and assets of the acquired per-

son in excess of \$50,000,000 (as so adjusted and
 published),

3 unless the acquiring and acquired person establish, by a
4 preponderance of the evidence, that the effect of the acqui5 sition will not be to tend to materially lessen competition
6 or tend to create a monopoly or a monopsony. In this
7 paragraph, the term 'materially lessen competition' means
8 more than a de minimis amount.".

9 SEC. 4. POST-SETTLEMENT DATA.

10 Section 7A of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18a) is11 amended by adding at the end the following:

12 ((1)(1)) Each person who enters into an agreement 13 with the Federal Trade Commission or the United States to resolve a proceeding brought under the antitrust laws 14 15 or under the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) regarding an acquisition with respect to which 16 17 notification is required under this section shall, on an annual basis during the 5-year period beginning on the date 18 19 on which the agreement is entered into, submit to the Fed-20 eral Trade Commission or the Assistant Attorney General, 21 as applicable, information sufficient for the Federal Trade 22 Commission or the United States, as applicable, to assess the competitive impact of the acquisition, including— 23

"(A) the pricing, availability, and quality of any 1 2 product or service, or inputs thereto, in any market, 3 that was covered by the agreement; "(B) the source, and the resulting magnitude 4 5 and extent, of any cost-saving efficiencies or any 6 consumer benefits that were claimed as a benefit of the acquisition and the extent to which any cost sav-7 8 ings were passed on to consumers; and 9 "(C) the effectiveness of any divestitures or any 10 conditions placed on the acquisition in preventing or 11 mitigating harm to competition. "(2) The requirement to provide the information de-12 13 scribed in paragraph (1) shall be included in an agreement 14 described in that paragraph. 15 "(3) The Federal Trade Commission, with the concurrence of the Assistant Attorney General, by rule in ac-16 17 cordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code, 18 and consistent with the purposes of this section— 19 "(A) shall require that the information de-20 scribed in paragraph (1) be in such form and con-21 tain such documentary material and information rel-22 evant to a proposed acquisition as is necessary and 23 appropriate to enable the Federal Trade Commission 24 and the Assistant Attorney General to assess the

8

1	competitive impact of the acquisition under para-
2	graph (1) ; and
3	"(B) may—
4	"(i) define the terms used in this sub-
5	section;
6	"(ii) exempt, from the requirements of this
7	section, information not relevant in assessing
8	the competitive impact of the acquisition under
9	paragraph (1); and
10	"(iii) prescribe such other rules as may be
11	necessary and appropriate to carry out the pur-
12	poses of this section.".
13	SEC. 5. OFFICE OF COMPETITION ADVOCATE.
13 14	SEC. 5. OFFICE OF COMPETITION ADVOCATE. (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—
14	(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—
14 15	(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—(1) the term "agency" has the meaning given
14 15 16	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States
14 15 16 17	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code;
14 15 16 17 18	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code; (2) the term "covered company" means any
14 15 16 17 18 19	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code; (2) the term "covered company" means any company that has, at any time, been required to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code; (2) the term "covered company" means any company that has, at any time, been required to make a filing under section 7A of the Clayton Act
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section— (1) the term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code; (2) the term "covered company" means any company that has, at any time, been required to make a filing under section 7A of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18a);

1	(4) the term "Chairman" means the Chairman
2	of the Commission; and
3	(5) the term "Commission" means the Federal
4	Trade Commission.
5	(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within
6	the Federal Trade Commission the Office of the Competi-
7	tion Advocate.
8	(c) Competition Advocate.—
9	(1) IN GENERAL.—The head of the Office shall
10	be the Competition Advocate, who shall—
11	(A) report directly to the Chairman; and
12	(B) be appointed by the Chairman, with
13	the concurrence of a majority of the Commis-
14	sion, including at least 1 Commissioner who is
15	not a member of the same political party of the
16	majority members of the Commission, from
17	among individuals having experience in advo-
18	cating for the promotion of competition.
19	(2) Compensation.—The annual rate of pay
20	for the Competition Advocate shall be equal to the
21	highest rate of annual pay for other senior execu-
22	tives who report to the Chairman of the Commis-
23	sion.

1	(3) LIMITATION ON SERVICE.—An individual
2	who serves as the Competition Advocate may not be
3	employed by the Commission—
4	(A) during the 2-year period ending on the
5	date of appointment as Competition Advocate;
6	or
7	(B) during the 5-year period beginning on
8	the date on which the person ceases to serve as
9	the Competition Advocate.
10	(d) STAFF OF OFFICE.—The Competition Advocate,
11	after consultation with the Chairman of the Commission,
12	may retain or employ independent counsel, research staff,
13	and service staff, as the Competition Advocate determines
14	is necessary to carry out the functions, powers, and duties
15	of the Office.
16	(e) DUTIES AND POWERS.—The Competition Advo-
17	cate shall—
18	(1) recommend processes or procedures that
19	will allow the Federal Trade Commission and the
20	Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice to
21	improve the ability of each agency to solicit reports
22	from consumers, small businesses, and employees
23	about possible anticompetitive practices or adverse
24	effects of concentration;

11

1	(2) recommend practices in certain industries
2	that merit antitrust investigation, but may not rec-
3	ommend practices in certain industries that do not
4	merit antitrust investigation or are not anticompeti-
5	tive;
6	(3) publicly provide recommendations to other
7	Federal agencies about administrative actions that
8	may have anticompetitive effects and the potential
9	harm to consumers if those actions are carried out;
10	(4) publish periodic reports on—
11	(A) market concentration and its impact
12	on the United States, local geographic areas,
13	and different demographic and socioeconomic
14	groups; and
15	(B) the success of merger remedies re-
16	quired by the Department of Justice or the
17	Federal Trade Commission in consent decrees;
18	(5) collect data regarding concentration levels
19	across industries and the impact and degree of anti-
20	trust enforcement; and
21	(6) standardize the types and formats of data
22	reported and collected.
23	(f) SUBPOENA AUTHORITY.—
24	(1) IN GENERAL.—The Competition Advocate
25	may either require the submission of or accept vol-

1	untary submissions of periodic and other reports
2	from any covered company for the purpose of assess-
3	ing market concentration and its impact on the
4	United States, local geographic areas, and different
5	demographic and socioeconomic groups and on the
6	success of merger enforcement.
7	(2) WRITTEN FINDING.—Before issuing a sub-
8	poena to collect the information described in para-
9	graph (1), the Competition Advocate shall make a
10	written finding that—
11	(A) the data is required to carry out the
12	functions of the Competition Advocate; and
13	(B) the information is not available from a
14	public source or another agency.
15	(3) MITIGATION OF REPORT BURDEN.—Before
16	requiring the submission of a report from any com-
17	pany required to make a filing under section 7A of
18	the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18a), the Competition
19	Advocate shall—
20	(A) coordinate with other agencies or au-
21	thority; and
22	(B) whenever possible, rely on information
23	available from such agencies or authority.
24	(g) DATA CENTER.—

1	(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established
2	within the Office the Data Center.
3	(2) DUTIES.—The Data Center shall—
4	(A) collect, validate, and maintain data ob-
5	tained from agencies, as defined in section 551
6	of title 5, United States Code, commercial data
7	providers, publicly available data sources, and
8	any covered company; and
9	(B) prepare and publish, in a manner that
10	is easily accessible to the public—
11	(i) a concentration database;
12	(ii) a merger enforcement database;
13	(iii) any other database that the Com-
14	petition Advocate determines is necessary
15	to carry out the duties of the Office; and
16	(iv) the format and standards for Of-
17	fice data, including standards for reporting
18	financial transaction and position data to
19	the Office.
20	(3) REGULATIONS.—The Competition Advocate
21	shall promulgate regulations relating to the collec-
22	tion and standardizing of data under paragraph (2).
23	(4) Confidentiality.—

1	(A) IN GENERAL.—The Data Center may
2	not disclose any confidential data collected
3	under paragraph (2).
4	(B) REQUIREMENTS.—Data obtained from
5	an agency shall be subject to the same confiden-
6	tiality requirements and protection as the agen-
7	cy providing the data.
8	(C) INFORMATION SECURITY.—The Com-
9	petition Advocate shall ensure that data col-
10	lected and maintained by the Data Center are
11	kept secure and protected against unauthorized
12	disclosure.

 \bigcirc