Shaheen Stabenow Tester Udall Van Hollen Warner Warren Whitehouse Wyden

NOT VOTING-

Sessions

The motion was agreed to.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Steven T. Mnuchin, of California, to be Secretary of the Treasury.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Steven T. Mnuchin, of California, to be Secretary of the Treasury.

Mitch McConnell, Roger F. Wicker, John Boozman, Orrin G. Hatch, Roy Blunt, John Cornyn, Steve Daines, Tim Scott, John Hoeven, Michael B. Enzi, John Barrasso, John Thune, Mike Rounds, Mike Crapo, James M. Inhofe, Joni Ernst, Chuck Grassley.

MOTION TO PROCEED TO LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to legislative session. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

question is on agreeing to the motion. Mr. McCONNELL. I ask for the yeas

and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a

sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient sec-

ond.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 52, nays 48, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 49 Ex.]

YEAS-52

Alexander Barrasso Blunt Boozman Burr Capito Cassidy Cochran Collins Corker Cornyn Cotton Crapo Cruz Daines Enzi Ernst Fischer	Flake Gardner Graham Grassley Hatch Heller Hoeven Inhofe Isakson Johnson Kennedy Lankford Lee McCain McConnell Moran Murkowski Paul	Perdue Portman Risch Roberts Rounds Rubio Sasse Scott Sessions Shelby Sullivan Thune Tillis Toomey Wicker Young
--	---	---

NAYS-48

Gillibrand Baldwin Murray Bennet Harris Nelson Blumenthal Peters Hassan Booker Heinrich Reed Heitkamp Sanders Brown Cantwell Schatz Hirono Cardin Kaine Schumer Carper Shaheen King Casey Klobuchar Stabenow Coons Leahy Manchin Tester Cortez Masto Udall Markey Van Hollen Donnelly Duckworth McCaskill Warner Menendez Durbin Warren Feinstein Merkley Whitehouse Franken Murphy Wyden

The motion was agreed to.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BLUNT). The majority leader.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to H.J. Res. 41.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Th clerk will report the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to H.J. Res. 41, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to "Disclosure of Payments by Resource Extraction Issuers."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. Mr. McCONNELL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 52, nays 48, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 50 Leg.]

YEAS-52

Alexander	Flake	Perdue
Barrasso	Gardner	Portman
Blunt	Graham	Risch
Boozman	Grassley	Roberts
Burr	Hatch	Rounds
Capito	Heller	Rubio
Cassidy	Hoeven	Sasse
Cochran	Inhofe	Scott
Collins	Isakson	Sessions
Corker	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	
Crapo	Lee	Thune
Cruz	McCain	Tillis
Daines	McConnell	Toomey
Enzi	Moran	Wicker
Ernst	Murkowski	Young
Fischer	Paul	

NAYS—48

Baldwin	Coons	Hassan
Bennet	Cortez Masto	Heinrich
Blumenthal	Donnelly	Heitkamp
Booker	Duckworth	Hirono
Brown	Durbin	Kaine
Cantwell	Feinstein	King
Cardin	Franken	Klobucha
Carper	Gillibrand	Leahy
Casey	Harris	Manchin

Tester Markey Peters McCaskill Reed Udall Menendez Sanders Van Hollen Merkley Schatz Warner Murphy Schumer Warren Shaheen Whitehouse Murray Nelson Stabenow Wyden

The motion was agreed to.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUB-MITTED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the joint resolution.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A resolution (H.J. Res. 41) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Securities and Exchange Com-

mission relating to "Disclosure of Payments

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 802(d)(2), there will now be up to 10 hours of debate, equally divided between the proponents and the opponents of the joint resolution.

The Senator from Idaho.

by Resource Extraction Issuers.

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, I rise today to discuss the regulatory burden imposed by the SEC's extractive resource rulemaking and offer my support for the resolution to disapprove it.

I will take a few minutes to talk about the complicated history of this rule and then about the concerns with the way it was formulated.

The SEC originally adopted the rule in 2012 and was challenged in court by the Chamber of Commerce and the American Petroleum Institute. In 2013, the U.S. district court threw out the regulation, contending, among other things, that the SEC misread the requirements of the statute. The SEC did not appeal the decision, acknowledging that it needed to rewrite the rule.

The SEC's proposed timetable for a new rule was delayed several times, and in 2014, Oxfam America sued to compel the SEC to move forward on a new rulemaking. The court ordered the SEC to file an expedited schedule and, as a result, a new rule was proposed in 2015 and finalized last year.

As one can see, this rule and its various iterations have been fraught with controversy for many years. Advocates of the rule have said that it will combat corruption in resource-rich nations. The SEC's final rule raised doubts about this. The final rule stated several things, including: The direct causal relationship between increased transparency in the extractive industry and social benefits is "inconclusive." In fact, it noted that "research and data available at this time does not allow us to draw any firm conclusions." Unlike the potential benefits, though, the costs are reasonably certain.

The SEC estimated up to \$700 million in initial costs and up to \$590 million in ongoing annual costs. Put another way, each company would endure between \$560,000 and \$1.6 million in initial costs, and between \$224,000 and \$1.3 million in