

I know there are Republicans who are going to vote no. I know there are some Republicans who have a deep problem with the fact that the Congress is taking away from States the ability to innovate on the question of retirement.

I hope there are enough that this CRA goes down because the consequences to many of our States will be big. Frankly, it will chill any State's interest in trying to solve this problem because you are telegraphing that anytime a State tries to step in and deliver more access to retirement, if it slightly rubs the big retirement companies the wrong way, you are going to step in and take that power away from them. So why would a State step in ever again to try to do something for people who need access to retirement?

If my Republican friends are coming to this floor with a really sound plan to replace the plan that we developed in Connecticut—if Republicans said: Do you know what? I don't think that it makes sense to do this in a patchwork way, this State innovating this way, this State innovating that way; we are going to come in and pass a really comprehensive approach to giving people who work for small companies access to retirement. That is a reasonable conversation to have, but you are not.

Republicans are not offering the people of my State any alternative. All they are doing is robbing from 12 million Americans the ability to get access to retirement. This is a crisis. If we are not going to deal with it and the industry is not going to deal with it, let States deal with it.

This is a terrible, terrible thing that we are doing later today. I think it is going to be a really close vote because I think there are Republicans who know it. I hope there are a few more who think about the message being sent to the States. Think about the fact that on one day you are for State-based innovation, and the next day you are against it.

We have time to allow for States to continue these innovations. I hope we will take advantage of it.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Connecticut, who frames this exactly correctly on the vote that we are about to take on a motion to proceed to yet another CRA that will be another broken promise on the part of President Trump and Republicans. President Trump said that he would help workers and put them first. But the legislation we are about to move to will get in the way of our States' efforts to expand access to retirement savings programs, which is something that so many workers in this country really need.

President Trump said that he would drain the swamp, but by rolling back this rule in question, as Republicans are proposing today, President Trump and his party are sending yet another

very clear message, on top of many others in the last 100 days. They are listening to Wall Street rather than working families.

This rule—all it does is clarify an existing safe harbor that affords flexibility to States that want to give workers more options for their retirement. It is not complicated. It would do a lot of good for families across the country, including in my home State of Washington.

I will have a lot more to say this afternoon, as I know many of our colleagues will, but this is about taking away the options for people's retirement security. I hope the Senate will turn this down.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

#### DISAPPROVING A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to H.J. Res. 66.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to H.J. Res. 66, disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to savings arrangements established by States for non-governmental employees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SULLIVAN). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 51, nays 48, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 119 Leg.]

#### YEAS—51

Alexander	Fischer	Murkowski
Barrasso	Flake	Paul
Blunt	Gardner	Perdue
Boozman	Graham	Portman
Burr	Grassley	Risch
Capito	Hatch	Roberts
Cassidy	Heller	Rounds
Cochran	Hoeven	Rubio
Collins	Inhofe	Sasse
Corker	Isakson	Scott
Cornyn	Johnson	Shelby
Cotton	Kennedy	Strange
Crapo	Lankford	Sullivan
Cruz	Lee	Thune
Daines	McCain	Tillis
Enzi	McConnell	Toomey
Ernst	Moran	Wicker

#### NAYS—48

Baldwin	Cantwell	Cortez Masto
Bennet	Cardin	Donnelly
Blumenthal	Carper	Duckworth
Booker	Casey	Feinstein
Brown	Coons	Franken

Gillibrand	Markey	Schumer
Harris	McCaskill	Shaheen
Hassan	Menendez	Stabenow
Heinrich	Merkley	Tester
Heitkamp	Murphy	Udall
Hirono	Murray	Van Hollen
Kaine	Nelson	Warner
King	Peters	Warren
Klobuchar	Reed	Whitehouse
Leahy	Sanders	Wyden
Manchin	Schatz	Young

#### NOT VOTING—1

Durbin

The motion was agreed to.

#### DISAPPROVING A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the joint resolution.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A joint resolution (H.J. Res. 66) disapproving the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to savings arrangements established by States for non-governmental employees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio.

Mr. PORTMAN. The Republicans yield back 4 hours of the majority's time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority has 1 hour remaining.

Mr. PORTMAN. We have 1 hour remaining. We will keep our hour.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. ERNST). If no one yields time, the time will be charged equally.

The Senator from Maine.

#### UPWARD BOUND PROGRAM

Mr. KING. Madam President, around here we often discuss bureaucracy and regulation and overreach and government getting out of the way. I want to point out and bring to the attention of the Senate and the American people one of the most ridiculous actions of any government at any level that I have ever encountered.

There is a wonderful program that provides support for students going on to college, particularly low-income students and particularly in rural areas. Every year our colleges and colleges across the country file applications for this program called Upward Bound. It is one of the most successful programs of the Federal Government that I have encountered. I have met the students in Maine and from other parts of the country. It is a program that helps these students make the transition from their communities to colleges and to gain a college education.

Applications are necessary, and applications have rules about the size of the paper and that kind of thing. What has happened in this case, on the application of the University of Maine at Presque Isle—affectionately called UMPI—the University of Maine at Presque filed its application, which was 65 pages. They met all the requirements, but they made a terrible mistake. The rules of the Department of Education say that the application must be double-spaced. Indeed, the application is double-spaced, except for