

(Mr. SASSE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2421, a bill to amend the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 to provide an exemption from certain notice requirements and penalties for releases of hazardous substances from animal waste at farms.

S. RES. 61

At the request of Mr. DONNELLY, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 61, a resolution calling on the Department of Defense, other elements of the Federal Government, and foreign governments to intensify efforts to investigate, recover, and identify all missing and unaccounted-for personnel of the United States.

S. RES. 368

At the request of Mr. CORKER, the name of the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 368, a resolution supporting the right of all Iranian citizens to have their voices heard.

S. RES. 402

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 402, a resolution calling upon the President to exercise relevant mandatory sanctions authorities under the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act in response to the Government of the Russian Federation's continued aggression in Ukraine and illegal occupation of Crimea and assault on democratic institutions around the world, including through cyber attacks.

AMENDMENT NO. 1948

At the request of Mr. TOOMEY, the names of the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN), the Senator from Nevada (Mr. HELLER), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1948 proposed to H.R. 2579, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the premium tax credit with respect to unsubsidized COBRA continuation coverage.

AMENDMENT NO. 1954

At the request of Mr. HELLER, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1954 intended to be proposed to H.R. 2579, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the premium tax credit with respect to unsubsidized COBRA continuation coverage.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 406—TO AUTHORIZE REPRESENTATION BY THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL IN THE CASE OF UNITED STATES V. AHMED ALAHMEDALABDALOKLAH

Mr. McCONNELL (for himself and Mr. SCHUMER) submitted the following

resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 406

Whereas, in the case of *United States v. Ahmed Alahmedalabdalklah*, No. CR-12-01263-001-PHX-ROS, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, the defendant has issued a subpoena for testimony and documents to Senator Lindsey Graham;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent Members of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony or documents relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate; and

Whereas, by Rule VI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no Senator shall absent himself from the service of the Senate without leave: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Senator Lindsey Graham in this matter.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, on behalf of myself and the distinguished Democratic leader, Mr. SCHUMER, I send to the desk a resolution authorizing representation by the Senate Legal Counsel and ask for its immediate consideration.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, this resolution concerns a subpoena from the defendant in a criminal case pending in Arizona Federal court. The defendant is charged with various crimes alleging his assistance to an Iraqi insurgent group by supplying parts for use in improvised explosive devices. The defendant issued a trial subpoena to Senator GRAHAM for testimony and documents arising out of his Senate duties. As any information Senator GRAHAM would have in this matter would have been acquired as part of his legislative duties, the information sought would be privileged under the Speech or Debate Clause. This resolution would authorize the Senate Legal Counsel to represent Senator GRAHAM and move to quash the subpoena.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1957. Mr. FLAKE (for himself and Ms. HEITKAMP) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the premium tax credit with respect to unsubsidized COBRA continuation coverage; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1958. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. KING, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. KAINE, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. COONS, Mr. GARDNER, Ms. HEITKAMP, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. ALEXANDER, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. ISAKSON, and Mr. WARNER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2579, supra.

SA 1959. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mrs. ERNST, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. COTTON, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. ALEX-

ANDER, and Mr. ISAKSON) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2579, supra.

SA 1960. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1961. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1962. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1963. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1964. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1965. Mr. PAUL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1966. Mr. PAUL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1967. Mr. GARDNER (for himself and Mr. BENNET) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1968. Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. REED, Mr. KAINE, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. SMITH, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1969. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1970. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1971. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1972. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1973. Mr. GRAHAM (for himself and Mr. ROUNDS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1974. Ms. SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1975. Mrs. McCASKILL (for herself, Mr. TESTER, and Ms. HEITKAMP) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1976. Ms. DUCKWORTH (for herself and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1977. Ms. DUCKWORTH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1978. Ms. DUCKWORTH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1979. Ms. DUCKWORTH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1980. Ms. DUCKWORTH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1981. Ms. DUCKWORTH (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1982. Mr. PORTMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1959 proposed by Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mrs. ERNST, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. COTTON, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. ALEXANDER, and Mr. ISAKSON) to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1983. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1984. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1985. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1986. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1987. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1988. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1989. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1990. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1991. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1992. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1993. Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. PAUL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1994. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1995. Ms. HEITKAMP submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1996. Ms. HEITKAMP submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1997. Ms. HEITKAMP submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1998. Ms. HEITKAMP submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1999. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2000. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2001. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Ms. HEITKAMP) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R.

2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2002. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2003. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2004. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Ms. HASSAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2005. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself, Mr. LEAHY, and Ms. HASSAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2006. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Ms. HASSAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2007. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, and Mr. LEAHY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2008. Mrs. MURRAY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2009. Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself, Mr. LEAHY, and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2010. Mr. ROUNDS (for himself, Mr. KING, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. KAINE, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. COONS, Mr. GARDNER, Ms. HEITKAMP, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. ALEXANDER, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. ISAKSON, and Mr. WARNER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2011. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. UDALL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2012. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself, Mr. UDALL, and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2013. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. UDALL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2014. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. UDALL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2015. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself, Ms. HEITKAMP, and Mr. UDALL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2016. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. UDALL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2017. Mr. FLAKE (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 1957. Mr. FLAKE (for himself and Ms. HEITKAMP) submitted an amend-

ment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2579, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the premium tax credit with respect to unsubsidized COBRA continuation coverage; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Three-Year DACA Extension Act”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—BORDER SECURITY

Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 102. Operations and support.

TITLE II—DACA EXTENSION

Sec. 201. Provisional protected presence for young individuals.

TITLE I—BORDER SECURITY

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated \$5,013,000,000 to the Department of Homeland Security for fiscal years 2018 through 2020 for the purpose of improving border security.

SEC. 102. OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT.

(a) PURPOSE.—It is the purpose of this section to establish a Border Security Enforcement Fund (referred to in this section as the “Fund”), to be administered through the Department of Homeland Security and, in fiscal year 2018 only, through the Department of State, to provide for costs necessary to implement this Act and other Acts related to border security for activities, including—

(1) constructing, installing, deploying, operating, and maintaining tactical infrastructure and technology in the vicinity of the United States border—

(A) to achieve situational awareness and operational control of the border; and

(B) to deter, impede, and detect illegal activity in high traffic areas; and

(C) to implement other border security provisions under titles I and II;

(2) implementing port of entry provisions under titles I and II;

(3) purchasing new aircraft, vessels, spare parts, and equipment to operate and maintain such craft; and

(4) hiring and recruitment.

(b) FUNDING.—There are authorized to be appropriated, and are appropriated, to the Fund, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, a total of \$7,639,000,000, as follows:

(1) For fiscal year 2018, \$2,947,000,000, to remain available through fiscal year 2022.

(2) For fiscal year 2019, \$2,225,000,000, to remain available through fiscal year 2023.

(3) For fiscal year 2020, \$2,467,000,000, to remain available through fiscal year 2024.

(c) PHYSICAL BARRIERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In each of the following fiscal years, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall transfer, from the Fund to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection—Procurement, Construction and Improvements account, for the purpose of constructing, replacing, or planning physical barriers along the United States land border, a total of \$5,013,000,000, as follows:

(A) \$1,571,000,000 for fiscal year 2018.

(B) \$1,600,000,000 for fiscal year 2019.

(C) \$1,842,000,000 for fiscal year 2020.

(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding section 1552(a) of title 31, United States Code, any amounts obligated for the purposes described in this subsection shall remain available for disbursement until expended.

(d) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—Other than the amounts transferred by the Secretary of