

The problem we have with many agreements is simply the time that it takes to negotiate and finalize an agreement. Currently, after a lab makes a determination on an agreement, that agreement must then go through a separate review by the department. While I wholeheartedly agree in our need for thorough oversight, what we are attempting to do is to set a threshold so that smaller agreements do not need to go through this additional review process.

All national labs, except one, have been set up under a government-owned, contractor-operated model. What my bill would do is strengthen this arrangement by giving the labs the necessary trust they need to remain nimble, being able to react to the needs of the private sector and with other researchers being able to come in.

When many researchers need to use a facility for just a few hours, they, obviously, will not wait around 90 days for the government. The private sector does not move at the pace of government, nor should we expect it to. This legislation would cut out some of the red tape of working with the lab, so that the private sector could take good ideas and do what they do best: innovate and react to the market.

With the increased reporting requirements for these agreements, I believe this strikes the proper balance for oversight with the department and the intentions of Congress in creating the government-owned, contractor-operated model for the labs.

I am grateful for the Secretary at our recent hearing signaling his willingness to work with this idea. I believe it fits with the administration's priorities in removing red tape where it is not needed and freeing the private sector up to innovate and bring new ideas to the marketplace.

So I thank my colleagues for their work on this legislation. I also thank the chairman for his cosponsorship of this bill, as well as his leadership on the package of bills authorizing the Office of Science and other DOE activities.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage all of my colleagues to support passage of this important legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 5907, the National Innovation Modernization by Laboratory Empowerment Act.

This bill would provide our national laboratories with the authority to directly enter into certain research agreements with the private sector, as long as those activities align with the laboratories' strategic plans approved by the Department of Energy. This bill also includes appropriate safeguards to prevent waste, fraud, or abuse of this provision.

This language previously passed the House as part of bipartisan legislation that we considered in the last Con-

gress. I am happy to see this important policy change is moving forward once again.

Mr. Speaker, I support this bill. I encourage my colleagues to do the same, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HULTGREN. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor and privilege to yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. SMITH), the very effective and helpful chairman of the Science, Space, and Technology Committee, and also cosponsor of this legislation.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. HULTGREN for yielding me time on his bill, H.R. 5907, the National Innovation Modernization by Laboratory Empowerment Act, or NIMBLE Act.

This legislation authorizes the Secretary of Energy to provide signature authority to the directors of the national laboratories, allowing these lab directors to make funding decisions on cooperative agreements with industry where the total cost is less than \$1 million.

This commonsense reform provides the labs with more flexibility and eliminates the red tape and bureaucratic process that makes it difficult for businesses to partner with the labs.

DOE national labs can provide the private sector with access to critical research infrastructure as they develop new technologies. But a burdensome approval process can smother an industry's interest and constrict the pace of technology development. This bill gives the labs freedom to pursue agreements that will increase U.S. competitiveness and maintain our innovation and productivity leadership.

I thank Representative RANDY HULTGREN again and this bill's 10 Science, Space, and Technology Committee's cosponsors, including Representative ED PERLMUTTER, Vice Chairman FRANK LUCAS, Energy Subcommittee Chairman RANDY WEBER, and Energy Subcommittee Vice Chairman Steve Knight for their ongoing support of DOE's world-leading national laboratories.

Mr. Speaker, I want to say about Mr. HULTGREN that his leadership on the committee has been appreciated for years. He has never failed to be an effective advocate and leader for the national labs. This is a good example of his interests being put into legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HULTGREN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, again, I thank my good friend from Texas (Mr. VEASEY) for his support on this bill. I especially want to thank my really good friend from Texas (Mr. SMITH), the chairman of the Science, Space, and Technology Committee, for his important support on this bill. It really is a commonsense bill. It is one that has passed previous Congresses with strong, bipartisan support.

Our labs are a treasure, but they are also a great benefit for innovation. This allows that innovation to continue working, again, on smaller agreements, for those to be able to move more quickly, when, oftentimes, business need to move that quickly. The labs can do this, but if they had to go through the whole cumbersome process of coming through Washington, they wouldn't be able to.

So, again, this is commonsense and bipartisan, and I thank all of the cosponsors.

Mr. Speaker, I ask all my colleagues to support this bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HULTGREN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5907.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 5515, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 5515) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2019 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and request a conference with the Senate thereon.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to instruct conferees at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Carbajal moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 5515 be instructed to agree to section 703 of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XXII, the gentleman from California (Mr. CARBAJAL) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. THORNBERRY) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members

have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks on the motion to instruct.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this motion would bring TRICARE contraception on par with the Affordable Care Act by prohibiting cost sharing for any method of contraception provided in the TRICARE retail pharmacy network or mail order.

Mr. Speaker, our Nation's servicemembers should be provided the same access to preventive healthcare as those insured under the Affordable Care Act.

Currently, TRICARE beneficiaries, including non-Active servicemembers and their dependents, and certain Active military members, do not have the same access to cost-free preventive care as civilians do.

By requiring coverage for contraceptives with no out-of-pocket costs, the ACA increases women's access to contraceptives and saves women \$255 per year, on average. This is a benefit we currently deny our female servicemembers. One-third of our U.S. military are women. Currently, about 15 percent of Active Duty servicemembers and 19 percent of the Reserve forces are comprised of women.

Women are bravely serving in all parts of the military, including infantry and other combat units. Servicewomen are continuing to break barriers across the military, proving again and again that they are indispensable when it comes to defending this Nation.

Unfortunately, this House continues to refuse these brave servicemembers access to the same healthcare that all civilian females have access to.

Preventive healthcare services, including contraception, should be provided to all TRICARE beneficiaries without any copays. Access to preventive healthcare is vital for the health and quality of life of all women serving this Nation, but it is also critical to the readiness of our military.

In 2008, researchers found that the rate of unintended pregnancy was roughly 50 percent higher among servicemembers compared to the general population. This problem is made worse by the fact that it is often difficult for female servicemembers to access this preventive medication in the field.

Another recent study found that, among servicemembers who use contraceptives, only 24 percent brought enough medication to last their entire deployment. Forty-one percent of those needing refills found them difficult to obtain while deployed on Active Duty.

We should not make it more difficult for these women to access contraception by asking them to pay for medication that the civilian population already receives at no cost. We are doing

an absolute disservice to those who are willing to sacrifice their lives to defend our Nation every day by denying them preventive healthcare that is critical to treat certain health conditions and for family planning.

The Senate has included this TRICARE provision in their bill for the past 2 years because they understand this issue goes beyond political parties and personal views. This is about the health and well-being of those who are sacrificing their lives every day to defend our Nation. This is about providing the resources and delivering policies to the military that will increase readiness.

This motion would provide all servicemembers access to preventive healthcare, which they not only deserve, but are entitled to, and I would say earned.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues, today, to put politics aside and follow in the Senate's footsteps and support this motion.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1515

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from California has just laid out a number of arguments in support of a Senate provision. There are obviously Members who may think differently on his arguments, although I do not believe this is the time or the place to have that debate. That will be discussed in the course of the upcoming conference with the Senate.

At this point, I would just like to offer two thoughts. One is the provision that the gentleman talks about requires that there be a mandatory spending offset. Now, when you look for how that spending can be offset, really, the Armed Services Committee only has two ways: one is to increase TRICARE copays, pharmacy copays, and the second one is to reduce retirement benefits. So I notice that the gentleman's motion to instruct does not deal with that part of the equation.

My thought is that it is far better to look at the whole universe of issues in the course of a conference rather than to try to dictate one outcome or another that doesn't include how you pay for something.

Second point, Mr. Speaker, there are 907 House provisions and 603 Senate provisions that will be the subject of this conference. They will all have to be hashed out in one way or another, but the conferees should have the flexibility to deal with all of those 907 and 603 provisions in a way that makes the most sense for national security.

So my suggestion is that the House reject this particular motion and allow the conferees to do their work in looking at the whole universe of what is best for the men and women who serve and what is best for the country's national security.

Mr. Speaker, I would inform the gentleman that I have no further speakers, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate those comments from my good friend and chairman of the Armed Services Committee, but the fact of the matter is that, for 2 years, we have not been able, in conference, to address this very important issue. There is always one excuse or a barrier raised at one time or another. And, in fact, what ends up resulting is our servicewomen, who are putting their lives on the line for our country, are being treated as second-class citizens. They are not afforded the same equality as their male counterparts and those in the civilian world.

Mr. Speaker, what this motion does is simply achieve parity with prevailing law. I want to point out that TRICARE beneficiaries want this parity, and it is time we finally deliver.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this motion. Let us finally provide all servicemembers with the same access to preventive healthcare that we all have access to.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume just to say that there are a number of provisions which Members on one side or the other consider inequitable, and a big part of the challenge we face is, okay, to enact a particular provision, you have to pay for it.

So my point is we need to look at the whole universe not only of what we would like to have done, but also of how it would be paid for.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to instruct conferees will be followed by a 5-minute vote on the motion to close conference.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 188, nays 231, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 300]

YEAS—188

Adams	Beyer	Boyle, Brendan
Aguilar	Bishop (GA)	F.
Barragán	Blumenauer	Brady (PA)
Bass	Blunt Rochester	Brown (MD)
Beatty	Bonamici	Brownley (CA)
Bera		Bustos

Butterfield Heck
 Capuano Higgins (NY)
 Carbajal Himes
 Cárdenas Hoyer
 Carson (IN) Huffman
 Cartwright Jackson Lee
 Castor (FL) Jayapal
 Castro (TX) Jeffries
 Chu, Judy Johnson (GA)
 Cicilline Johnson, E. B.
 Clark (MA) Kaptur
 Clarke (NY) Keating
 Clay Kelly (IL)
 Cleaver Kennedy
 Clyburn Khanna
 Cohen Kihuen
 Connolly Kildee
 Cooper Kilmier
 Correa Kild
 Costa Krishnamoorthi
 Courtney Kuster (NH)
 Crist Lamb
 Cuellar Langevin
 Cummings Larson (WA)
 Davis (CA) Larson (CT)
 Davis, Danny Lawrence
 DeFazio Lawson (FL)
 DeGette Lee
 Delaney Levin
 DeLauro Lewis (GA)
 DelBene Lieu, Ted
 Demings Lipinski
 DeSaulnier Loebsock
 Deutch Lofgren
 Dingell Lowenthal
 Doggett Lowey
 Doyle, Michael F. Lujan Grisham, M.
 Ellison Luján, Ben Ray
 Engel Lynch
 Eshoo Maloney,
 Espaillat Carolyn B.
 Esty (CT) Maloney, Sean
 Evans Matsui
 Foster McCollum
 Frankel (FL) McEachin
 Fudge McGovern
 Gabbard McNerney
 Gallego Meeks
 Garamendi Meng
 Gomez Moore
 Gonzalez (TX) Moulton
 Gottheimer Murphy (FL)
 Green, Al Nadler
 Green, Gene Napolitano
 Grijalva Neal
 Gutiérrez Nolan
 Hanabusa Norcross
 Hastings O'Halleran

NAYS—231

Abraham Collins (NY)
 Aderholt Comer
 Allen Comstock
 Amash Conaway
 Amodei Cook
 Arrington Costello (PA)
 Babin Crawford
 Bacon Culberson
 Banks (IN) Curbelo (FL)
 Barletta Curtis
 Barr Davidson
 Barton Davis, Rodney
 Bergman Denham
 Biggs DeSantis
 Billirakis DesJarlais
 Bishop (MI) Diaz-Balart
 Bishop (UT) Donovan
 Blackburn Duffy
 Blum Duncan (SC)
 Bost Duncan (TN)
 Brady (TX) Dunn
 Brat Emmer
 Brooks (AL) Estes (KS)
 Brooks (IN) Faso
 Buchanan Ferguson
 Buck Fitzpatrick
 Bucshon Fleischmann
 Budd Flores
 Burgess Fortenberry
 Byrne Foy
 Calvert Frelinghuysen
 Carter (GA) Gaetz
 Carter (TX) Gallagher
 Chabot Garrett
 Cheney Gianforte
 Coffman Gibbs
 Cole Gohmert
 Collins (GA) Goodlatte

O'Rourke Kinzinger
 Pallone Nunes
 Panetta Olson
 Pascrell Palazzo
 Payne Labrador
 Pelosi Paulsen
 Perlmutter LaMalfa
 Peters Lamborn
 Peterson Lance
 Pingree Latta
 Pocan Lesko
 Polis Lewis (MN)
 Price (NC) LoBiondo
 Quigley Long
 Raskin Loudermilk
 Rice (NY) Love
 Richmond Luetkemeyer
 Rosen MacArthur
 Roybal-Allard Marino
 Ruiz Marshall
 Ruppersberger Marshall
 Ryan (OH) Massie
 Sánchez Mast
 Sarbanes McCarthy
 Schakowsky McCaul
 Schiff McClintock
 Schneider McHenry
 Schrader McKinley
 Scott (VA) McMorris
 Scott, David Rodgers
 Serrano Royce (CA)
 Sewell (AL) Russell
 Shea-Porter Rutherford
 Sherman Sanford
 Sinema Moolenaar
 Sires Mooney (WV)
 Smith (WA) Mullin
 Soto Newhouse
 Speier Neom
 Suozzi Norman
 Swalwell (CA)
 Takano
 Thompson (CA)
 Titus
 Tonko
 Torres
 Vargas
 Veasey
 Vela
 Velázquez
 Visclosky
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters, Maxine
 Watson Coleman
 Welch
 Wilson (FL)
 Yarmuth

Nunes Knight
 Olson Palazzo
 Palmer Paulsen
 Pearce
 Perry
 Pittenger
 Poe (TX)
 Poliquin
 Posey
 Ratcliffe
 Reed
 Reichert
 Renacci
 Rice (SC)
 Roby
 Roe (TN)
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rohrabacher
 Rokita
 Rooney, Francis
 Rooney, Thomas J.
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Roskam
 Ross
 Rothfus
 Rouzer
 Royce (CA)
 Russell
 Rutherford
 Sanford
 Scalise
 Schweikert
 Scott, Austin
 Sensenbrenner
 Sessions
 Shimkus

NOT VOTING—8

Gosar Tsongas
 Rush Walz
 Thompson (MS)

□ 1547

Messrs. DUNCAN of South Carolina, POSEY, LAMALFA, GAETZ, LONG, YOUNG of Alaska, and LOUDERMILK changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Ms. ADAMS, Messrs. LARSON of Connecticut and HASTINGS, and Ms. MCCOLLUM changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to instruct conferees was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOTION TO PERMIT CLOSED CONFERENCE MEETINGS ON H.R. 5515, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 12 of rule XXII, I move that meetings of the conference between the House and Senate on H.R. 5515 may be closed to the public at such times as classified national security information may be discussed, provided that any sitting Member of Congress shall be entitled to attend any meeting of the conference.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule XXII, the motion is not debatable, and the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 403, nays 15, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 301]

YEAS—403

Abraham Denham
 Adams DeSantis
 Aderholt DeSaulnier
 Aguilar DesJarlais
 Allen Deutch
 Amodei Diaz-Balart
 Arrington Dingell
 Babin Doggett
 Bacon Donovan
 Banks (IN) Doyle, Michael F.
 Barletta F.
 Barr Duffy
 Barragan Duncan (SC)
 Barton Duncan (TN)
 Bass Dunn
 Beatty Emmer
 Bera Engel
 Bergman Eshoo
 Beyer Espaillat
 Biggs Estes (KS)
 Billirakis Esty (CT)
 Bishop (GA) Evans
 Bishop (MI) Faso
 Bishop (UT) Ferguson
 Blackburn Fitzpatrick
 Blum Fleischmann
 Blunt Rochester Flores
 Bonamici Fortenberry
 Bost Foster
 Boyle, Brendan Foy
 Brady (PA) Frankel (FL)
 Brady (TX) Frelinghuysen
 Brat Fudge
 Brooks (AL) Gabbard
 Brooks (IN) Gaetz
 Brown (MD) Gallagher
 Brownley (CA) Gallego
 Buchanan Garamendi
 Buck Garrett
 Bucshon Gianforte
 Budd Gibbs
 Burgess Gohmert
 Bustos Gomez
 Butterfield Gonzalez (TX)
 Byrne Goodlatte
 Calvert Gottheimer
 Capuano Gowdy
 Carbajal Granger
 Cárdenas Graves (GA)
 Carson (IN) Graves (LA)
 Carter (GA) Graves (MO)
 Carter (TX) Green, Al
 Cartwright Green, Gene
 Castor (FL) Griffith
 Castro (TX) Grijalva
 Chabot Grothman
 Cheney Guthrie
 Chu, Judy Gutiérrez
 Cicilline Hanabusa
 Clark (MA) Handel
 Clarke (NY) Harper
 Clay Harris
 Cleaver Hartzler
 Clyburn Hastings
 Coffman Heck
 Cohen Hensarling
 Collins (GA) Herrera Beutler
 Collins (NY) Hice, Jody B.
 Comer Higgins (LA)
 Comstock Higgins (NY)
 Conaway Hill
 Connolly Holding
 Cook Hollingsworth
 Cooper Hoyer
 Correa Hudson
 Costa Huffman
 Costello (PA) Huizenga
 Courtney Hultgren
 Crawford Hunter
 Crist Hurd
 Cuellar Issa
 Culberson Jackson Lee
 Cummings Jeffries
 Curbelo (FL) Jenkins (KS)
 Curtis Jenkins (WV)
 Davidson Johnson (GA)
 Davis (CA) Johnson (LA)
 Davis, Danny Johnson (OH)
 Davis, Rodney Johnson, E. B.
 DeGette Jordan
 Delaney Joyce (OH)
 DeLauro Katko
 DelBene Kelly (MS)
 Demings Kelly (PA)
 King (IA)
 King (NY)

Kelly (IL)
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 Kennedy
 Khanna
 Kihuen
 Kildee
 Kilmier
 Kind
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kinzinger
 Knight
 Krishnamoorthi
 Kuster (NH)
 Kustoff (TN)
 Labrador
 LaHood
 LaMalfa
 Lamb
 Lamborn
 Lance
 Langevin
 Larsen (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Latta
 Lawrence
 Lawson (FL)
 Lesko
 Levin
 Lewis (GA)
 Lewis (MN)
 Lieu, Ted
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Loebsock
 Lofgren
 Long
 Loudermilk
 Love
 Lowey
 Lucas
 Luetkemeyer
 Lujan Grisham, M.
 Luján, Ben Ray
 Lynch
 MacArthur
 Maloney,
 Carolyn B.
 Maloney, Sean
 Marchant
 Marino
 Marshall
 Mast
 Matsui
 McCarthy
 McCaul
 McClintock
 McCollum
 McEachin
 McHenry
 McKinley
 McMorris
 Rodgers
 McNerney
 McSally
 Meadows
 Meng
 Messer
 Mitchell
 Moolenaar
 Mooney (WV)
 Moulton
 Mullin
 Murphy (FL)
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal
 Newhouse
 Neom
 Nolan
 Norcross
 Norman
 Nunes
 O'Halleran
 O'Rourke
 Olson
 Palazzo
 Pallone
 Palmer
 Panetta
 Pascrell
 Paulsen
 Payne