

on the important things this legislation will accomplish, but first I want to pause and take stock of our progress.

When we finish and pass the legislation before us, we will have approved 9 of the 12 bills to appropriate money for the government. Together, they will account for more than 87 percent of the discretionary spending for next year, and it will be the first time in 15 years that the Senate will have passed the Labor-HHS-Education appropriations bill before the start of the fiscal year.

I am glad we will be voting on a pair of amendments later this afternoon, and I hope that, with consent, we will be able to vote on more amendments this week. Then I will look forward to passing this legislation for our servicemembers and for middle-class families across our country.

ECONOMIC GROWTH

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, now on another matter, if I sound like a broken record discussing the pro-growth, pro-worker trend in our Nation's economy, it is because the headlines continue to roll in faster than I can relate them.

Here is one headline from last week: "Small-business confidence hits another record high." And one industry survey reports more optimism among small business owners this month than at any time in the past 35 years.

Another headline: "U.S. jobless claims fall for a second straight week." That one comes not long after the number of Americans filing for unemployment benefits hit a 49-year low last month.

And another: "U.S. retail sales increase strongly in July." In fact, they are up 6.4 percent over 1 year ago.

An economy that is expanding, bringing more people into the workforce, and encouraging investment at all levels—reports like these are exactly what Republicans had in mind when we began implementing our pro-growth, pro-opportunity agenda last year.

But while we worked to pass the historic tax reform and regulatory reforms that are helping create these conditions, our Democratic colleagues offered up a different set of predictions. They shared our belief that 2018 would be a headline-making year for the U.S. economy, but they insisted the headlines would be catastrophic. The House Democratic leader talked about "Armageddon." My friend, the Senate Democratic leader, proclaimed that "nothing"—nothing—in tax reform was "suited to the needs of the American worker."

I don't think I have heard my friends revisit those arguments recently.

I haven't heard too many Democrats eager to remind middle-class American families and small business owners that every single Democrat in the House and in the Senate tried to kill their tax cuts with completely partisan opposition.

But Republicans were undeterred. We pressed on and got tax reform and so many other pro-growth policies accomplished for the American people.

And now, unlike our friends across the aisle, we are talking about these victories practically every day. American enterprise, American ingenuity, and the talent and work ethic of our people are what made these headlines happen. Republicans are just proud we could play a part by getting Washington's foot off the brake and leaving them more free to build their lives and pursue their happiness.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

NOMINATION OF BRETT KAVANAUGH

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, as we continue the review of the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court, let's recap what we already know about the judge.

Judge Kavanaugh's judicial opinions reveal a man skeptical about our healthcare laws, reproductive rights, contraceptive coverage, and the basic powers and independence of Federal agencies. His public speeches and writings reveal a man with a nearly unbounded, almost monarchical view of Executive power, and yet an incredibly limited interpretation of Executive accountability. Those beliefs are profoundly troubling at any moment in time, but they are particularly troubling at this moment in time as the President of the United States routinely belittles the rule of law and as his lawyers pronounce that they will fight a duly authorized subpoena—a subpoena that has been obeyed in the past by previous Presidents.

There is still much about Judge Kavanaugh we don't know because Senate Republicans have engaged in a blatant, historic obstruction of a large portion of his record.

First, Chairman GRASSLEY broke with tradition and fired off a one-sided, partisan request for documents. Senator FEINSTEIN is known as the most reasonable of Members, and if she couldn't join with Senator GRASSLEY in a request for documents, clearly, it indicates how biased that request was.

Chairman GRASSLEY unilaterally declared papers from Judge Kavanaugh's time as Staff Secretary irrelevant,

even though those documents constitute the bulk of Judge Kavanaugh's record, and Judge Kavanaugh himself has said those years were among the most instructive as to his work as a judge.

They keep chipping away at what we are allowed to see, what the American public is allowed to see about Judge Kavanaugh. Then it was revealed that a small percentage of the documents we will receive are being prescreened by a political operative named Bill Burck, a longtime Republican lawyer and former deputy to Brett Kavanaugh—a man who has counted among his clients Steve Bannon, Reince Priebus, and Don McGahn. This man is hardly a fount of impartiality. He is a partisan. Mr. Burck also refuses to provide us with a log of the documents he has withheld.

Why are you withholding this one but not this one? No one is going to know.

Could it be that some of the information being withheld would be embarrassing to Judge Kavanaugh or, at the very least, harmful to his quest to become a Supreme Court Justice? Possibly. The American people have a right to know.

We have been denied the opportunity to evaluate whether the documents are being withheld for legitimate or self-interested purposes by a lawyer who is clearly a partisan.

That is two layers of obfuscation already. First, we are not allowed to see the most important documents of Judge Kavanaugh's time as Secretary. Second, of the counsel documents, which are a small percentage of his total documents, those are being prescreened by a Republican lawyer, not an impartial observer, who tells us which ones we can have and which ones we can't, without giving us any reason as to why one is OK and one isn't.

To make matters worse, Chairman GRASSLEY has added a third level of withholding documents. He has declared that one-third of the small prescreened universe of documents only from the counsel's office, only prescreened by Burck—that wasn't good enough for people who want to avoid Judge Kavanaugh's record coming out in full. So those are now deemed "committee confidential," meaning no one outside of the Judiciary Committee, not even other Senators, can see those documents, at least by Chairman GRASSLEY's determination. It is outrageous.

Chairman GRASSLEY is usually a fair-minded man. I have enjoyed working with him on many issues. We are the two Charles E's of the Senate. We carry, for instance, rural hospital legislation all the time and help our rural hospitals. When it comes to this area, Chairman GRASSLEY's actions are manifestly unfair, not typical of his character. I understand the pressures he is under, but that doesn't forgive the result.

Withholding documents from the Senate and the American people under