



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 115th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 164

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2018

No. 147

House of Representatives

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WEBER of Texas).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
September 5, 2018.

I hereby appoint the Honorable RANDY K. WEBER, Sr. to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 8, 2018, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties. All time shall be equally allocated between the parties, and in no event shall debate continue beyond 11:50 a.m. Each Member, other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip, shall be limited to 5 minutes.

TARIFF RELIEF

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. PAULSEN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, I want to speak in support of H.R. 4318, the Miscellaneous Tariff Bill Act.

The tariff relief that is included in this bill will help lower input costs for American manufacturers that support thousands of good-paying jobs. This cost savings can be used to invest in new facilities and equipment, expand into new markets, and hire more em-

ployees. It will also make the products these manufacturers make more affordable for our consumers here at home.

This is a concrete measure to create jobs, Mr. Speaker, and help make our workers more competitive. I am proud of the broad bipartisan support that this bill had when it passed earlier this year, and I am pleased to see that same bipartisan support as we take it up once again on the House floor.

EFFICIENT INFRASTRUCTURE TO FIGHT AGAINST ALZHEIMER'S

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the BOLD Infrastructure for Alzheimer's Act, bipartisan legislation that overhauls America's effort to combat a condition that affects more than 5 million Americans. That number is expected to triple, Mr. Speaker, by 2050.

Not only is there a human cost to Alzheimer's, there is an economic cost as well. Alzheimer's costs Americans more than \$259 billion each year.

This bipartisan legislation will help the Federal Government take a very comprehensive approach to the fight against Alzheimer's. It establishes Alzheimer's Centers of Excellence that will educate healthcare professionals and the public on Alzheimer's and brain health. By creating a modern, efficient infrastructure for prevention and treatment, it will improve caregiving for patients.

REQUESTING THE PRESIDENT TO RESIGN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. GUTIÉRREZ) for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTIÉRREZ. Mr. Speaker, I have written a letter to the President of the United States, which I am sending today, that carries a simple message on behalf of the American people: Mr. President, it is time for you to resign the office of the Presidency for the

good of the United States and her people.

It has become clear to Congress and the American people, especially those of us who do not exclusively watch sanitized versions on the President's most supported media outlets, that, for decades, the President led a vast criminal enterprise that went on to engage in criminal activity during the 2016 campaign for the White House and engaged in criminal activity since taking the office of President. The fact is clear.

The President is the target of multiple criminal investigations on several fronts:

Number one, the violation of Federal election laws, including the failure to report payments and contributions as required under campaign finance law;

Number two, the violation of tax, banking, and fraud laws related to The Trump Organization and immediate business associates and family members of the President;

Number three, apparent involvement and encouragement of the illegal hacking of political opponents during the 2016 campaign and efforts to derail investigations and inquiries since taking the office of President; and

Number four, working and encouraging and collaborating with a foreign government, Russia, to interfere in the 2016 election in order to help the President's campaign, combined with efforts, while in office, to obstruct justice in the investigation of the campaign.

Those are just four of the criminal conspiracies that point directly to the President of the United States and compromise his ability to continue leading the Nation.

I have only 5 minutes to speak, so I don't have the time to enumerate the convictions in Federal court of close associates of the President's business interests and campaign, nor the guilty

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H7827

pleas, the plea bargains, or the immunity granted to the President's employees, friends, and business associates, including those directly managing his political operation and serving in his White House.

And, yes, the investigations are still going on, as are the prosecutions, guilty pleas, and sentencing of the President's coconspirators in each of these four criminal matters. And we don't know; there may be many more shoes to drop from local, State, and Federal prosecutors, because when you start looking under the rocks piled around this President, you find ample evidence of systematic fraud, criminal activity, and coverup.

For me, this has nothing to do with the President's racism, his misogyny, his homophobia and Islamophobia. It is different than his ties to and support for white supremacy or the voice he lends to the wildest and most discredited conspiracy theories the dark right web and talk radio can come up with.

And as ugly as it was to hear, even his stark admission of sexual assault against women is separate from the systematic criminal activity he has clearly engaged in, much of which he, himself, has admitted unwittingly in television interviews.

His policies are clearly damaging to the moral fabric of the American people, but this goes beyond the President's attacks on law enforcement, his giveaways to big polluters and operations, his defense of the superrich, his assault on Federal workers, the plundering of the economy, and the lasting damage he is doing on a daily basis to our most important international relationships with allies and foes alike.

Vice President PENCE, with whom I served in this body, is someone I disagree with on almost every issue of importance, especially his offensive views on homosexuality, women's rights, abortion, race relations, immigration, and almost anything else; but by comparison, he is better, even if he is wrong on many, many policy issues. Therefore, while I do not relish a Pence Presidency, at this point, I feel it is an absolute necessity for the good of the country, for the good of our people, for the good of the world, and America's place in that world.

Mr. Speaker, in my letter, I humbly ask, as a proud American, patriot, public servant, a dad, a granddad, and a fellow human being, I ask the President to resign immediately.

Please resign and spare the Nation from this ongoing nightmare. Don't do this to us. Don't make us go down with you. Step aside, sir, for the good of our country and for the good of the world.

Mr. Speaker, I will include in the RECORD my letter to the President of the United States asking him to resign.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President of the United States.

CELEBRATING SAM SNIPES' 99TH BIRTHDAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize a resident in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, who celebrated his 99th birthday last month.

Morrisville resident Sam Snipes has lived a life defined by service and community. Following in his father's footsteps in the legal community, Sam founded the Yardley firm, Snipes & Collins, after graduating from Temple Law School and Haverford College.

Sam served as a dedicated public servant for nearly three decades as a Falls Township solicitor. For his community service, Sam was honored with the United Way of Bucks County Founders Award and the Mark E. Goldberg Award from the Bucks County Bar Association, among other distinctions.

Sam truly embodies dedication to our home of Bucks County. He still lives on Snipes Farm, where he grew up, makes frequent appearances in parades and other community events, and serves on several boards.

Sam is truly a legend, and we wish him a very happy 99th birthday and thank him for brightening our community.

RECOGNIZING BABY BUREAU IN WARMINSTER, PENNSYLVANIA

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize a nonprofit organization in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, that is actively working to assist underserved new mothers in our community.

The Baby Bureau, based in Warminster, distributes baby bundles to new mothers shortly after delivery in the hospital. These baby bundles contain toddler clothes for all seasons, generously donated by thoughtful parents throughout Bucks County and beyond. To date, The Baby Bureau has assisted over 2,500 babies and their families.

I extend my gratitude to founder Fran Wasserman for her dedication to our community's children and families. I applaud her leadership, which has led to The Baby Bureau's partnership with dozens of community organizations and local businesses, and I encourage all of our constituents to follow her lead.

RECOGNIZING BILL SOLOWAY

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize an individual in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, who embodies resilience, tenacity, and grit.

Last month, Lower Mayfield resident Bill Soloway took the gold medal in the 2018 Transplant Games of America, held in Salt Lake City, Utah. Open to individuals who have received organ, marrow, and tissue transplants, the Transplant Games offer competitions in a wide variety of sports, including basketball, track and field, and swimming.

Bill took the gold in badminton singles while earning bronze medals in

badminton doubles and cycling. Bill's successes are made even more remarkable due to the fact that he was the recipient of a heart transplant a mere 3 years ago.

After being diagnosed with congestive heart failure, it became evident that Bill needed a new heart. Despite such an intense medical procedure, Bill, who is an avid cyclist, was back on his bike only 10 weeks after receiving his new heart.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to call Bill my constituent, and I congratulate him on his success in the Transplant Games.

UNDERSTAND THE POWER A COURT CAN WIELD

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGO) for 5 minutes.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, earlier this year, tens of thousands of Arizona teachers took to the streets to demand that Governor Ducey and the Arizona State Legislature do right by Arizona students. It did so because Ducey and the State GOP have crippled the State's public education system.

Arizona spends the second lowest amount of money per student in the country, and Arizona's teachers are paid at the second lowest rate in the country. Students and teachers alike describe textbooks held together by tape, moldy ceilings leaking on students, and classes so overcrowded that kids have to sit on the floor.

Mr. Speaker, that is not what schools in the richest country in the world should look like. That is why Arizona's teachers championed the Invest in Ed proposition, to secure over \$600 million in annual education funds for Arizona after Governor Ducey failed to provide the resources our students so desperately needed.

Over a quarter of a million Arizonans signed their names to get the Invest in Ed proposal on Arizona's ballot this fall. That is democracy in action. But last week, the Arizona State Supreme Court ordered the removal of the Invest in Ed proposition from State ballots based on a small technicality.

This appalling ruling is an insult to Arizona students, teachers, families, and to democracy. It is a blow to Arizona's education system and our economy. It is a naked power grab by the GOP that undermines our democracy by removing the right of voters to choose what is best for their kids and their communities.

Invest in Ed wasn't defeated because a majority of Arizonans votes against it. It wasn't defeated because it was opposed by an elected politician who can be removed from office in the next election. It was killed by Doug Ducey's supreme court, a court that Ducey and the Arizona Republicans packed with conservatives.

Governor Ducey fully understood the power a court can wield when he ran through his court-packing plan in 2016

to increase the size of the Arizona State Supreme Court. He knew the incredible power of a supreme court pick, even at the State level.

Mr. Speaker, we in Congress must not underestimate that power, especially now. Donald Trump has nominated Judge Brett Kavanaugh to fill a seat in the U.S. Supreme Court, and here is what we know:

Judge Kavanaugh believes that a woman has no legal right to full autonomy over her own body;

Judge Kavanaugh believes that the agency created to protect consumers from fraud and predatory lending is unconstitutional;

Judge Kavanaugh believes that access to affordable healthcare should be determined by your age or your gender or your preexisting condition;

Judge Kavanaugh believes that the President should be above the law.

Here is what we don't know:

We don't know what is in the hundreds of thousands of pages of Kavanaugh's records that the Trump White House has refused to release, contrary to a century of Supreme Court precedent. That is unacceptable.

The Invest in Ed decision in Arizona is a blatant example of judicial power gone wrong, judicial power used to undermine democracy.

If confirmed, Judge Kavanaugh would join a panel of Justices with this very same power: the power to keep gutting the Voting Rights Act, the power to keep green-lighting partisan gerrymandering, the power to keep signing off on GOP efforts to purge the voter rolls.

We cannot allow this to happen. Doug Ducey's State supreme court set back democracy in Arizona. What Doug Ducey did to Arizona, Donald Trump will do to America with this Supreme Court. We cannot let that happen.

My colleagues in the Senate should reject Judge Kavanaugh's nomination. Our democracy depends on it.

□ 1015

OMINOUS SOCIALIST TREND

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. WENSTRUP) for 5 minutes.

Mr. WENSTRUP. Mr. Speaker, I rise to sound the alarm about an ominous trend that seems to be taking hold in our Nation.

In June, a self-avowed democratic socialist jumped into the national spotlight in her quest to join this body. Many view her as carrying the mantle for a new socialist trend among some in the United States.

So, I ask, what would socialist policies mean for our country's future?

I have come to realize that a whole generation of Americans have grown up for whom the Soviet Union is just a distant historical memory. Many have no personal memory of when the Soviet Union came crashing down in 1991, which was dramatic proof that its so-

cialist system was a dismal failure. Many can't recall the feeling of national pride over the fact that, in contrast, the free economy of the United States had succeeded in producing the highest standard of living in world history.

So perhaps it is understandable that, for a new generation, the old, empty promises of socialism seem to carry a new allure.

Socialism, by definition, is the political and economic theory of social organization whereby production, distribution, and exchange should be owned and regulated by the community as a whole. In other words, what is mine is yours, and what is yours is mine.

Usually, it is the latter that gets people to buy in. That is, what is yours is mine. This concept holds a strong appeal for many people because it addresses legitimate concerns over inequity and injustice.

The problem is that, for all its high-minded fairness, socialism doesn't work. It is not just a castle in the air; it is the promise of a palace that is really built on quicksand. You don't have to look back to the Soviet Union to see this.

Venezuela is just the latest tragic example of socialism's devastation. At the beginning of the 21st century, Venezuela was one of the top 20 richest countries in the world. Today, its poverty rate is 87 percent, and its inflation rate is predicted to be approaching 1 million percent—yes, 1 million.

Once, Venezuela's capital was a tourist destination with a thriving culture. Today, it is the crime capital of the world.

This crisis is the result of the redistributive policies and the systematic destruction of economic freedom by a corrupt and elitist administration.

As President Trump said in his first speech to the United Nations General Assembly: "The problem in Venezuela is not that socialism has been poorly implemented, but that socialism has been faithfully implemented."

It is a sobering reminder that while socialism's torchbearers may change, the havoc it wreaks with its hollow promises remains the same. What we must remember is that America is not immune.

As President Ronald Reagan famously said: "Freedom is never more than one generation away from extinction. We didn't pass it on to our children in the bloodstream."

Socialism, faithfully implemented, could cripple our Nation's peace and prosperity over time, just as it did in Venezuela.

It is easy to see the allure of socialism. Socialists say that they desire an equitable distribution of wealth and then characterize capitalism as rampant greed and materialism.

Ironically, it is typically the advocates of socialism who display the excess desire for material goods. There is the obsession with making things free,

from phones, to internet access, to a college education. There is the obsession with disparities in income, rather than a concern with job opportunities.

Socialism holds a strong appeal for many because it legitimizes coveting your neighbor's possessions, which was once commonly understood as against the Tenth Commandment. And the premises of socialism, all under the high-minded guise of fairness, are anything but fair.

Capitalism, on the other hand, is responsible for the enormous levels of philanthropic giving that we see in the United States of America, the most generous Nation ever known to mankind.

We must continue to speak out boldly, not based on fear, but based on facts. We must continue to tell the truth, regardless of its popularity. We must continue to implement policies that unleash the power of our free economy and create more opportunities for all Americans, like the recently passed Tax Cuts and Jobs Act with its bipartisan opportunity zones.

It is my firm belief that, in the marketplace of ideas, freedom will always win out over socialism on the bases of merit, evidence, and facts. But it cannot win if no one is making the case.

Mr. Speaker, let's continue to stand up and speak out. Let's make the case for freedom and opportunity for all Americans.

SHAM NOMINATION HEARINGS IN THE SENATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL) for 5 minutes.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose Brett Kavanaugh's Supreme Court nomination and the sham hearings that are happening in the Senate today.

Even before President Trump nominated Judge Kavanaugh, Senate Majority Leader MITCH MCCONNELL warned that the millions of pages in Judge Kavanaugh's White House records would reopen controversies dating back to George W. Bush's administration and delay consideration of his nomination. But instead of following regular order, rather than obtaining Judge Kavanaugh's full record and sharing it in a timely fashion with all the Senators, Senate Republicans simply changed the rules. This is unacceptable.

The nine Justices who sit on the Supreme Court make crucial decisions about justice in our country. At times, the Court's landmark decisions have shown the best of who we are and what our country stands for, the ideas that our public schools should not be segregated based on race, that women have a right to make their own decisions about their bodies, and that everybody has the right to marry the person they love.

In other cases, Supreme Court decisions have been stains on our Nation;

for instance, deciding that African Americans could not be U.S. citizens, upholding the cruel and unjust internment of Japanese Americans during World War I, maintaining segregation, and striking down key provisions protecting access to voting.

Make no mistake, Judge Kavanaugh poses a serious threat to the rights that we hold so dear. Take, for instance, the case of Jane Doe, the teenage girl who sought an abortion last year while being held in government custody in Texas.

Jane Doe did everything herself to secure that abortion. She got permission from a judge. She got her own transportation. And yet, the Federal Government physically blocked her from leaving the government-run shelter where she was detained.

So then the ACLU sued on her behalf, and her case eventually made it in front of Judge Kavanaugh. Although he recognized the time sensitivity, even clarifying that she would no longer be able to seek a legal abortion in Texas at 20 weeks, Judge Kavanaugh blocked Jane Doe's access to her legally allowable abortion when she was about 15 weeks pregnant. He gave the Trump administration 11 more days to find a sponsor, a process that he was aware takes months to complete. He told Jane Doe that, after those 11 days, she could ask a lower court again for an abortion. If at 17 weeks she was unsuccessful, then he told her that she could bring it up again to him for consideration, which would have been scheduled when she was, at that point, 18 or 19 weeks pregnant, if she were lucky. Court delays could have pushed it even further, seriously putting her at risk of being outside the window to obtain something that was her legal right.

But, fortunately, the full court overturned Judge Kavanaugh's decision a few days later, and Jane Doe was able to proceed in the course that she chose for her own body, a decision that Judge Kavanaugh vehemently opposed.

Fortunately for Jane Doe, Judge Kavanaugh's decision was not the crucial vote deciding her future. But if Judge Kavanaugh is confirmed, the rest of the country will not be so lucky.

There is broad consensus that Judge Kavanaugh would be a decisive vote to eliminate women's constitutionally protected right to abortion, a right, by the way, that is not partisan. Seventy-one percent of voters support that right.

In addition, Judge Kavanaugh's record shows that he could be the deciding vote to undermine access to healthcare for millions of Americans. He has written dissenting opinions in three cases that would have undermined the Affordable Care Act. In one of those dissenting opinions, Judge Kavanaugh argued that a President could choose not to enforce a law like the Affordable Care Act if he thought it was unconstitutional, even if a court had already ruled that it is constitutional.

Further, in a 2013 speech, Judge Kavanaugh said that it is "a traditional exercise of power by Presidents" to pick and choose which laws to enforce. At a time when we are hearing reports from the highest levels of our government that top aides to the President are literally hiding papers from him so that he cannot execute orders that they view as dangerous to our security and would result in geopolitical crises, what we need is a Justice who will serve as a check on the executive, as our Founders intended.

The Senate has a responsibility to the American people to fully vet Supreme Court nominees. The most basic function is for them to do the leg work of obtaining all records related to those nominees. I strongly urge my Senate colleagues to adjourn the Kavanaugh hearing, as Senator BLUMENTHAL and my good colleagues proposed. A "no" vote is a vote for the people.

DISTRICT TRAVEL DURING AUGUST WEEK PERIOD

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Alabama (Mrs. ROBY) for 5 minutes.

Mrs. ROBY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to share an update with the people I represent in Alabama on my travels during the August district work period.

Each year, all of the Members of Congress take the month of August to spend time on the ground in their respective districts, meeting with constituents and local leaders, businesses, industries, and, ultimately, listening to the concerns of the people at home.

Having this opportunity to hear firsthand from the people who live and work in Alabama's Second District is very important, and I believe it enables me to be a better representative of our shared priorities while I am here in Washington.

It was a busy month. I was fortunate to spend time in Montgomery, Ozark, Hartford, Geneva, Millbrook, Troy, Dothan, Fort Rucker, and Hope Hull.

During my time in Montgomery, I met with Elmore County Commissioner Troy Stubbs, Alabama Farm Service Agency director David McCurdy, leadership from Deatsville's Ingram State Community College, members of the Alabama Association of School Boards, folks from the Alabama Law Enforcement Agency's Fusion Center, and more.

Also in Montgomery, I visited the Gift of Life Foundation's office, toured the MOOseum at the Alabama Cattle-men's Association, spoke to the American Society of Civil Engineers at their lunch meeting, stopped by Sabel Steel for a visit, addressed the Squadron Officer School at Maxwell-Gunter Air Force Base, toured Common Ground Ministries' Mercy House facility, and more.

In Ozark, I paid a visit to Wayne Farms to tour their recently opened feed mill.

In Hartford, I participated in a meeting about rural broadband development efforts with Wiregrass Electric Cooperative.

In Geneva, I had the opportunity to tour the impressive, newly completed career tech center called G-Tech.

In Millbrook, I was privileged to speak to the Millbrook-Coosada Kiwanis Club during their weekly lunch meeting.

In Troy, I visited with Troy Cable to learn more about their upcoming projects and some of the issues they have recently been facing.

In Dothan, I spoke to the Wiregrass chapter of the Association of General Contractors. We had a great discussion about a wide variety of topics, including efforts right here in Congress to revitalize our Nation's badly aging infrastructure.

I also visited Dothan Behavioral Medicine Clinic, where I received a briefing about Clinicom technology that is being used to diagnose mental illness. Mental health is an acute problem in this country, and I was pleased to learn more about their work.

I stopped by Fort Rucker to tour the aeromedical research laboratory. I talked with many employees there about the importance of Army Aviation to our national security and the innovation happening on-post right in the Second District.

I visited the Army Aviation support facility in Hope Hull for a briefing and an overview on their facility as well. While I was there, I enjoyed talking with General Gordon and Colonel Bass and many others.

Mr. Speaker, these are just some of the many productive, informative meetings I had during this August district work period. Now that the month is behind us, I would like to extend a sincere thank you to all the individuals, businesses, local officials, and others who hosted me and visited with me over the last several weeks.

It is always a true joy to be back at home visiting the various communities across Alabama's Second District, and I look forward to more opportunities to learn from the people I represent.

WASHINGTON'S CULTURE OF CORRUPTION IS OUT OF CONTROL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) for 5 minutes.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, wages are down; gas prices are up; and the culture of corruption here in Washington, D.C., is out of control. And all the while, the 45th President of the United States of America spends more time on the golf course than any other President in American history.

Things are spiraling out of control. The stakes are incredibly high. Notwithstanding that, the 115th Congress has been one of the most unproductive Congresses in the history of the Nation.

□ 1030

Democrats and Republicans have two competing visions for what we need to do in order to make sure that every American can continue to experience the American Dream.

Democrats, we want to move the country forward. Others want to turn back the clock.

We are working hard to bring people together. Others are tearing us apart.

We are fighting for working families and middle-class folks. Others are fighting for the wealthy and the well off.

We believe in the public interest. Others are all about the special interests.

Democrats are fighting for the people. Others are fighting for the privileged few. We are fighting for working families, middle-class folks, senior citizens, the poor, the sick, the afflicted, veterans, people in rural America, urban America, suburban America.

Democrats are fighting for the people. But my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, unfortunately, are fighting for millionaires, billionaires, mega corporations, lobbyists, big donors, all to subsidize the lifestyles of the rich and shameless.

Exhibit A is the Republican tax scam. That is their signature piece of legislation. That is what they are talking to the American people about.

You are proud of the fact that you passed a bill where 83 percent of the benefits go to the wealthiest 1 percent in America, explodes the debt by more than \$1.5 trillion, then you want to come back to Congress and lecture us about cutting Social Security and Medicare? Are you kidding?

Our For the People agenda will focus on lowering healthcare costs, strengthening the Affordable Care Act, protecting people with preexisting conditions, more than 100 million Americans. They want to take away that protection. We are working hard to dramatically lower the cost of lifesaving prescription drugs. That is the Democratic For the People agenda.

Wage stagnation is still a problem for the American people. The productivity of the American worker over the last 40-plus years has increased by more than 285 percent. During the same period of time, wages have gone up by less than 10 percent.

The productivity gains of the American worker have not gone to the American worker, they have gone to the privileged few. What do the Republicans do about it? Pass a tax bill where 83 percent of the benefits go to the wealthiest 1 percent.

We have a different idea for what should take place. We are fighting for the people through increased pay anchored in a real infrastructure plan that will fix our crumbling bridges, roads, tunnels, ports, mass-transportation system; not a fake plan, it is a real plan. We will invest \$1 trillion, create 16 million good-paying jobs, fight to make sure that everyday

Americans can provide a comfortable living for themselves and for their families.

And, lastly, we are going to fight to clean up corruption here in Washington, D.C.; not talk about it, actually get something done to make sure that Washington works for the American people.

That is what you deserve. That is what Democrats are fighting hard to deliver: lower healthcare costs, increased pay, clean up corruption to make sure that Washington works for the people.

BLACK FAMILY FOUNDATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. KELLY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, this morning I would like to recognize the 25th anniversary of the Black Family Foundation of Erie, Pennsylvania.

The foundation was established in 1993 by a very distinguished constituent of mine, Mr. Samuel P. "Pat" Black, III, and his late father, an Erie legend, Mr. Samuel P. Black, Jr., who passed away in 2001 just 4 months shy of his 100th birthday.

According to its mission statement, the foundation exists to fund systemic change.

It proudly focuses on strategic non-profit investments throughout Erie County that leverage resources for the greatest gains in social progress.

Its vision is profound and very ambitious. It exists:

To promote continuous improvements in the quality of life around the globe by investing in projects that offer a high probability of not only transformational value, but also sustainable change.

Over the course of its respected history, the foundation has funded non-profit programs and organizations in the areas of health and welfare, entrepreneurial education, homelessness, environmental sustainability, economic initiatives, and technology initiatives.

Specifically, the organization has supported such initiatives as the creation of the Elizabeth Lee Black School at the Barber National Institute. This is an approved private school that offers state-of-the-art educational programs to children ages 3 through 21 with autism and intellectual and physical disabilities.

Over the last 15 years, Mr. Black and the Black Family Foundation have also supported hundreds of students through scholarships at Penn State's Behrend College and at Mercyhurst University.

In the last decade alone, the foundation has donated more than \$13 million throughout the Erie community and to worthy organizations around the world.

To Mr. Black and his family, we say: Happy anniversary, and may God bless

you for all that you do to help bless others.

RECOGNIZING MARGIE TOWERY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. HILL) for 5 minutes.

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to recognize the life of a teacher who continues to have an indelible impact on Arkansas, Margie Towery, who is this year's Greenbrier School District Teacher of the Year.

Margie has dedicated her life to serving and educating Arkansas' youth.

This is her 26th year of teaching, including seven at the nearby University of Central Arkansas.

Margie is a big advocate for STEM education, particularly for young girls, and even takes her students to local universities to participate in their STEM activities.

She started and led the Rhetoric Society at the high school until she became a full-time sponsor of the student council.

Teachers like Margie prove that education is one of the best investments that America can make.

I am proud to represent her and all the teachers of central Arkansas who are making a difference for our youth.

ARNOLD HAMEISTER RETIREMENT

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the life of a man who has had a major impact on conservation and preservation throughout Arkansas, Mr. Arnold Hameister.

Arnold recently retired after 37 years of service to the Arkansas Forestry Commission.

He started his career with the Forestry Commission in 1981 as a tower operator in Heber Springs, and 6 months later became a full-time ranger.

Arnold then moved his family to Conway, where he served as the county ranger until he was hired by Best Management Practices as a specialist.

He ended his decades-long career as the program coordinator for water quality.

Arnold and his family were recently honored at a celebration at the Department of Agriculture headquarters in Little Rock.

Arnold's service to the State of Arkansas and to environmental conservation will never be forgotten, and I join all Arkansans in congratulating him on a remarkable career and a well-deserved retirement.

RECOGNIZING TAMIKA EDWARDS

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize my friend, Tamika Edwards, who has just been named executive director of the Social Justice Institute at Philander Smith College.

Tamika has served her State and her country tirelessly throughout her whole career from her work as a former aide to U.S. Senator Blanche Lincoln, to her role as the Director of Governmental Affairs for Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families.

A native of our hometown, Little Rock, Ms. Edwards is a graduate of the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff and a two-time alumna of the University of Arkansas at Little Rock, most recently in 2012 with her law degree.

Ms. Edwards' passion for ensuring all voices are heard will serve her well as she oversees the Social Justice Institute's crucial work of educating students and the community in advocating for equal opportunity, treatment, and respect.

So, again, I would like to congratulate Ms. Edwards on her new position of leadership, and I look forward to working with her.

RECOGNIZING NEOMI RAO

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Naomi Rao, the current Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, for her important work in reducing the power of the Federal administrative state.

Beginning in 2013, I outlined how our economic recovery was subpar in comparison to post-World War II recoveries, in part due to the wet blanket of the avalanche of Federal costs imposed by the previous administration.

In Arkansas, we have seen Federal regulatory agencies have devastating economic effects on our farmers, our small business people, nonprofits, schools, colleges, and State agencies.

Then comes Naomi Rao, and in her first year as administrator of OIRA, she led the way with the delay or withdrawal of 1,579 planned regulatory actions, leading to almost \$8 billion in lifetime savings for the economy and the American people.

These successes have not stopped in 2018, where she has been working with every agency to set a negative regulatory cost allocation to provide our local businesses, farmers, and schools the relief that they need.

I commend Administrator Rao for her work at OMB in cutting red tape in Washington and giving control back to our States, our local communities, and our hardworking taxpayers.

CELEBRATING THE BIRTHDAY AND LIFE OF NORA MAE PIERCE GAULDEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. NORMAN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. NORMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the birthday and the life of Nora Mae Pierce Gaulden, born August 27, 1930, in Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Nora Mae originally grew up in Cullowhee, North Carolina, in part of what is known as the Nantahala National Forest.

She would grow up on a rural farm during the Depression and experience all the hardships associated with that era. "If you didn't farm, you didn't eat," she would say, and the family would often barter and trade for their needs as they navigated life.

Around the time of her 18th birthday, times began to change, and the family heard about a large company that would be hiring to fill shift work and laborers for a large facility being built down at the Piedmont. That company would be known as Celanese, and it was this turn of events in her life that brought her to her present hometown of Rock Hill, South Carolina.

The family would uproot and set out across the mountain to see what this new place could bring.

As a new employee, employee number 47, Nora was tasked with learning the job and then training others to fill shifts. She remembers sleeping on a bench while others worked and trained during these times, sometimes staying all night while work was ramping up.

She would work there for 21 years, marry, grow a family, and eventually set on a direction leading her to today.

Celanese was going to place her on shift work, so she decided to take a package instead and went to the unemployment office. There, she ran into Woodrow Byrum. "He told me not to even sign the papers, and come with him. I didn't even get to draw my first check." He needed someone to do food for the workers, so of course she went straight to work.

That path led her to M. Lowenstein Corporation's Rock Hill Printing and Finishing plant located in downtown Rock Hill.

She would begin working there in 1959 and would stay on through the many changes, from the height of the textile boom to its slow demise within the Carolinas.

Many role changes and job requirements would come and go. The Rock Hill Printing and Finishing Company would eventually be sold to Springs Industries, and she would see even more changes in her life up until her expected retirement in 1993.

However, one large change was looming on the horizon. That retirement would be postponed due to the loss of her husband, Rufus, who suffered an unfortunate drowning accident 6 months before their retirement. Several friends and family close to her advised her to continue working, so that is exactly what she decided to do.

"I told Rufus to build me a porch, so we could sit and rock and look out once we both retired." She would go on to say, "He never got to enjoy that."

She stayed on with Springs continuing to do the things she had done all of her life: love work, love family, love God, and never treat any one person better than another. That is her defining legacy.

"God made all people," she would say, "and He didn't make one better than the other," with her trademark finger pointing and one eye closed.

Years would come and go. Time marched on, and part of Springs Industries-Springs Creative would be born out of that time in 2007. Many things changed during those days, but Nora Mae did not. "I just stayed with the building."

She has continued to work with Springs and each year mulls over retirement. "Maybe this summer," has been repeated over the last several years, "maybe not." She keeps working hard, calls everyone her children, stays true to God, never changes, and reaches a milestone 88th birthday this year.

For 70 years, she has been a part of the Rock Hill community, sharing herself with her love to everyone she meets and making this world a better place than when she found it. She would say, "God doesn't change. Why should I?"

Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to say what a great life this lady has lived. She is a great American, and she represents all that is good with this great country.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until noon today.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 45 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1200

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker at noon.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer: Loving God, we give You thanks for giving us another day.

Send Your spirit upon the Members of this people's House, that they might judiciously balance seemingly irreconcilable interests. Help them to execute their consciences and judgments with clarity and purity of heart so that all might stand before You honestly and trust that You can bring forth righteous fruits from their labors.

We thank You and so many staff who have worked hard to assist the Members in their work. May their efforts prove fruitful in the production of bills needed to fund the government into a future to benefit our great Nation.

Bless us this day and every day, and may all that is done be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, I demand a vote on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to clause 8, rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MOMENT OF SILENCE HONORING THOSE KILLED OR WOUNDED IN SERVICE TO OUR COUNTRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair asks that the House now observe a moment of silence in honor of those who have been killed or wounded in service to our country and all those who serve and their families.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Chair will entertain up to 15 requests for 1-minute speeches on each side of the aisle.

HISTORIC PASSAGE FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, last month, at Fort Drum New York, surrounded by brave soldiers, I had the incredible opportunity to attend the signing of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act, NDAA, by President Donald Trump, fulfilling promises made, promises kept.

This critical legislation, thanks to the leadership of House Armed Services Committee Chairman MAC THORNBERY, passed the House and Senate, bipartisan, in record time, becoming law before the start of the fiscal year for first time in 20 years.

As Armed Services Readiness Subcommittee chairman, I appreciate that the NDAA begins and enhances training, spare parts, equipment, and weapons systems maintenance, promoting safety and effectiveness.

The NDAA has an important part for South Carolina by promoting Fort

Jackson and its role as the largest initial entry training facility of the U.S. Army, which is commanded by Brigadier General Milford Beagle.

Also important are the missions of the Savannah River National Laboratory, which is a bipartisan effort by Congressman JIM CLYBURN from South Carolina and a bistate effort by Congressman RICK ALLEN from Georgia.

I am also grateful that Fort Gordon has been reinforced to continue as the U.S. Army Cyber Command headquarters.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

TONAWANDA COKE NEEDS TO COMPLY WITH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

(Mr. HIGGINS of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Mr. Speaker, on September 3, first responders were called to a fire at Tonawanda Coke in western New York. As they approached the blaze, the company blocked them from entering the plant.

This obstruction of firefighters from the blaze threatened the health and safety of first responders, plant employees, and the surrounding neighborhood.

Tonawanda Coke has a long history of this kind of erratic corporate behavior and is in violation of Federal and State environmental laws, including dozens of provisions of the Clean Air Act.

The Environmental Protection Agency and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation needs to put an end to Tonawanda Coke's reckless actions.

Tonawanda Coke needs to be made to comply with the letter of any and all environmental law, or they should be shut down.

MAKE PENSION SECURITY A PRIORITY

(Mr. KILDEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, every American who works hard and plays by the rules should be able to retire in dignity, supported by the pension that they have earned.

Instead of protecting these hard-working Americans, this Republican-led Congress prioritized tax cuts for the biggest corporations and the wealthiest Americans. Now some of the largest pension plans for workers are on the verge of collapse; 1.5 million Americans, including 43,000 in my home State of Michigan, are at risk.

These workers have earned their pensions. They worked a lifetime contributing to them, and now they are having the rug pulled out from under them?

Patricia from Michigan is one of those retirees. Here is what she told

my office: "I was a single mother raising one child. I thought putting money into the pension was my security. Now you want to take it away. I was never on welfare—I worked. If I lose my pension, I will not be able to pay for the basics: utilities, taxes, car insurance, food."

So unless Congress steps up, pensions for Americans like Patricia will be cut. Let's vote on bipartisan legislation that I cosponsored, the Butch Lewis Act, which addresses this pension crisis. This legislation ensures that multi-employer pension plans remain solvent and continue providing retirees and workers with the retirement benefits that they have earned. It is long past time to take up this legislation.

TIME TO STRATEGICALLY ENGAGE WITH TRADE PARTNERS

(Mr. SCHNEIDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, President Trump's erratic trade policies, including abrupt tweets on new tariffs or exemptions, are hurting American workers and making it harder for American businesses to compete.

Last week, I had the opportunity to visit eight businesses in my district to better understand the impact of these tariffs. While each business was distinct, all shared a common message: Tariffs are creating uncertainty, raising the cost of doing business, and making it harder to hire and expand.

These concerns were true across the businesses I visited, from a large manufacturer in Wheeling that saw a 30 percent to 40 percent increase in the price of domestic steel, to an educational toy and game company in Vernon Hills that had to redesign upcoming products.

We certainly must hold bad actors who take advantage of trade rules to account, but President Trump's tariffs on our allies are not working for the American people. It is time, instead, to strategically engage with our trade partners; end the misguided trade wars; and, instead, enact sensible, enforceable trade agreements that support American workers, American businesses, American intellectual property, and our environment.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 5, 2018.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of

the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on September 5, 2018, at 11:29 a.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 186.

That the Senate passed S. 994.

That the Senate passed S. 2553.

That the Senate passed S. 3021.

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 1109.

That the Senate passed without an amendment H.R. 5385.

That the Senate passed without an amendment H.R. 5772.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

KAREN L. HAAS.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1635, EMPOWERING STUDENTS THROUGH ENHANCED FINANCIAL COUNSELING ACT, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4606, ENSURING SMALL SCALE LNG CERTAINTY AND ACCESS ACT

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1049 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1049

Resolved, That at any time after adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1635) to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. No amendment to the bill shall be in order except those printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 2. At any time after adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4606) to provide that applications under the Natural Gas Act for the importation or exportation of small vol-

umes of natural gas shall be granted without modification or delay. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Energy and Commerce now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. No amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Wyoming is recognized for 1 hour.

□ 1215

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, during consideration of this resolution all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only. I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. TORRES), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Wyoming?

There was no objection.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of House Resolution 1049, which provides a structured rule for consideration of H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act, and a structured rule for H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act. These bipartisan bills provide commonsense changes that will strengthen our economy by removing

unnecessary regulatory burdens and improving financial counseling for our students.

Student loan debt in our country hit a record high of \$1.5 trillion in the first quarter of this year, Mr. Speaker. Furthermore, both the number and size of student loans are increasing, while the repayment rates are decreasing. Students are not receiving the proper counseling when they enroll in Federal aid programs. This has the potential to cost them immense amounts of money.

Right now, schools are only required to provide entry and exit financial counseling to students who receive Federal loans. Often, the counseling offered does not give students a realistic understanding of their anticipated finances and income during their studies and after graduation, or information about other Federal aid programs they could turn to before a private loan.

By enhancing the financial counseling offered and expanding it to students who receive Pell grants and to the parents of students who take out Federal loans to pay for their children's education, students will better be able to manage their finances and maximize their opportunities, saving themselves and the taxpayers money every single year.

Mr. Speaker, we provide over \$120 billion of Federal student aid every year, without providing adequate education and support about how to use those funds. This must change. With this bill, we will begin to give our students the tools and resources necessary to ensure they put themselves in the best financial position for the future. H.R. 1635 does just that.

Additionally, the rule we are considering today makes in order several bipartisan amendments that are aimed at making this good bill even better.

The rule that we are debating this afternoon, Mr. Speaker, also provides for consideration of H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act. This rule makes in order two Democrat amendments to this bipartisan bill which also will help strengthen our economy and create more American jobs.

Mr. Speaker, as you know, the United States is now the world's leading producer of oil and natural gas. And my home State of Wyoming ranks sixth in production of crude oil, and eighth in natural gas production. However, despite the important and even monumental efforts by the Trump administration so far, uncertainty and burdensome regulations still stifle operations and further growth.

Currently, the Natural Gas Act does not specify an amount threshold for the Department of Energy's lengthy regulatory process for the exportation of liquefied natural gas. This means that producers wanting to export a small amount of gas are forced to go through the same process as major producers.

By providing greater regulatory certainty and a clear timetable for the

process of exporting small amounts of LNG, we will better enable exporters to move forward with capital-intensive projects that create American jobs.

We also are able, Mr. Speaker, through this bill, to preserve existing environmental laws and ensure that small-scale export facilities receive the proper review.

Wyoming's petroleum industry directly employs over 7,000 people, with an annual payroll of over \$668 million, contributing over \$900 million to our economy.

The small scale LNG export market is a growing sector of our economy with immense potential that can further expand the economic benefits the petroleum industry yields to my State and to the Nation. This bill will open up markets where large-scale exports are simply not economical and help jump-start growth in this important industry.

H.R. 4606 places small-scale exports on a level playing field with exports to free trade agreement nations. Many of our neighboring countries are forced to rely on unstable or unfriendly nations for their energy needs because the regulations we currently place on our small-scale LNG exporters makes exporting to these nations uneconomical.

Mr. Speaker, by reducing these regulations, U.S. LNG exports can play an important role in rebuilding parts of the Caribbean devastated by hurricanes in 2017, as well as provide a stable source of energy. It will also prevent our neighbors from having to turn, potentially, to our adversaries to meet their energy needs.

Mr. Speaker, we are in the midst of an energy boom that is revitalizing our economy. It is also putting us in a position where we can greatly assist our neighbor countries by providing them a stable source of energy and offering support in the event of a natural disaster.

The current unnecessary and overly burdensome regulations on small LNG exporters prevent us from taking full advantage of this unprecedented growth in our energy production and industry. H.R. 4606 allows us to address this issue and to provide an additional boost to our economy.

Mr. Speaker, the bills that will be considered under this rule are bipartisan bills that will help strengthen our economy and support our students. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this rule that will allow consideration of H.R. 4606 and H.R. 1635, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As we return to the Capitol this week, there remains countless crises which demand our attention: children still separated from their families; children being murdered on school grounds, while this body stands idly by doing nothing, turning a blind eye to gun violence.

We have trade wars started by this President which are raising the cost of businesses in our communities and putting some companies out of business.

Finally, the government runs out of funding at the end of the month, the end of this month, Mr. Speaker. We find ourselves facing yet another Republican government shutdown.

But instead of funding the government and averting a shutdown, the majority has decided that these two bills are the best use of our time. That is right. The majority believes that the best use of what precious legislative time remains, 10 days, is to codify a DOE rulemaking that was just recently finalized.

Why don't we provide some meaningful oversight over this administration?

We have agency after agency attacking our Nation's workers, students, homeowners, and the health of our environment. We have a President who is starting trade wars and, reportedly, is itching to start real wars.

But instead, all the majority is interested in doing is bringing unnecessary bills on the margins, such as the ones that we are considering today.

The first bill, H.R. 4606, will codify into law a Department of Energy rulemaking that went into effect less than 3 weeks ago. H.R. 4606 is a solution in search of a problem. The current approval process is working.

However, by enshrining this rule into law, the majority is, in essence, saying that all small scale exports of LNG are always and will always be in the public's interest and will continue to be so. This is shortsighted and, if it turns out to be wrong, it will be much harder to correct this policy once it becomes law than if it were to remain a current DOE rule.

In addition, we are considering H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act.

I wish to credit my Republican colleagues. This is a bipartisan bill. This is an example of what can be done when bills are given full hearings, a markup, and represent the consensus of the people's House.

Unfortunately, the benefits of this bill are mitigated by actions from this administration that make it harder for students. For example, this past February, Republicans on the Education and the Workforce Committee passed the PROSPER Act.

The so-called PROSPER Act is a highly partisan reauthorization of the Higher Education Act that cuts nearly \$15 billion from Federal student aid, leaving students with more expensive loans that are more difficult to repay; more expensive loans for young Americans with the highest interest rates in nearly a decade.

And just last week, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's student loan ombudsman, whose job was to protect student borrowers from predatory lending practices, resigned, resigned from his position. In his resignation

letter, Seth Frotman wrote that the Trump administration has "turned its back on young people and their financial futures."

Mr. Frotman also stated that under the leadership of Acting Director Mulvaney, "... the Bureau has abandoned the very consumers it is tasked by Congress with protecting" and that Director Mulvaney has "... used the Bureau to serve the wishes of the most powerful financial companies in America," not surprising to me.

Mr. Frotman wrote that the Bureau has failed student loan borrowers.

While this bill may help, H.R. 1635, in no way, reverses the damage this administration has caused our students.

Congress is supposed to be a check on the administration, not a rubber stamp.

The rule we are considering makes in order two amendments to H.R. 4606 and seven amendments in order to H.R. 1635.

I am deeply disappointed that my two amendments were not made in order to H.R. 1635. My amendments would have helped students who have suffered from the closure of schools or school branches.

When schools close, it is the students who are the real losers. They are saddled with debt and left having to navigate a complicated process for loan relief.

□ 1230

The two amendments I offered would have streamlined the process for students to have their loans discharged, ensuring that bureaucracy didn't slow down providing these students the relief that they need, and fixed the Pell grant process to make sure that students wouldn't be limited in pursuing their educational goals at a new institution. These are bipartisan ideas, which have been reviewed by the current administration.

I am disappointed that the House wouldn't even allow an open process; however, I am certainly not surprised. After all, this is the most closed Congress in our Nation's history. I hope that we can aim to do better and give our constituents a real debate in this House.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from the Rules Committee, Mrs. TORRES, for her comments today.

I think that Mrs. TORRES and I both agree that Congress needs to ensure that we are playing our constitutional role, and that is why I find it particularly surprising that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle want to further empower the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which is a completely unaccountable and, I would argue, unconstitutional agency.

In fact, statutorily, Mr. Speaker, with the regulation of student loans under the Higher Education Act, Congress has charged the Department of

Education with this obligation and responsibility, not the CFPB, and that is where we think it should belong. We don't think that the answer to congressional oversight and to increasing congressional oversight is to give further power to a completely unaccountable Federal agency.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. HIGGINS).

Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the rule providing for consideration of H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act.

Mr. Speaker, the United States is currently experiencing an energy renaissance. Liquefied natural gas production, or LNG, in the United States is playing a major role in the changing of the international landscape, with America now leading the way in innovative new LNG technologies and becoming a major energy exporter.

I am proud to say that much of this national accomplishment comes as a result of the contributions of many LNG endeavors based in my district in south Louisiana.

The success we are currently experiencing is just the beginning. During this Congress, we have worked with the Trump administration to roll back many of the previous administration's regulations that injured American industry. In fact, the previous executive branch, in many ways, actually weaponized Federal agencies against the oil and gas industry. Conservatives in this Congress have worked to implement commonsense reforms like the legislation we are considering today to revive the American energy industry and bring energy security to our Nation.

H.R. 4606 would provide for the expeditious consideration of NEPA exclusion applications to import and export small quantities of natural gas. This is a needed reform that will help encourage economic development and create jobs.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support today's combined rule that includes this legislation and to support the full measure when it comes to the floor for a final vote.

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, as the cost to attend college has continued to rise, so has the amount of debt students and their parents have had to take on. According to the Federal Reserve, the outstanding loan debt stands at approximately \$1.5 trillion and climbing. This debt load has hampered the ability of young graduates to buy their first home, a car, or start a small business.

Congress needs to do more to help students and their parents deal with the student loan crisis. This is why I rise today to offer my colleagues on the other side of the aisle the opportunity to pass a comprehensive bill to ensure that every student has a path to

a debt-free degree or credential that leads to a rewarding career.

Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.R. 6543, the Aim Higher Act. This thoughtful proposal invests in our students, making higher education more affordable while also addressing the rising costs of college.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment in the RECORD, along with extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. COURTNEY) to discuss our proposal.

Mr. COURTNEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congresswoman TORRES for her leadership and Ms. CHENEY for her hard work on the Rules Committee.

Mr. Speaker, in 1965, when President Lyndon Johnson signed the Higher Education Act, he said that it meant "that a high school senior anywhere in this great land of ours can apply to any college or any university in any of the 50 States and not be turned away because their family is poor."

Unfortunately, 53 years later, the promise of an affordable education is out of reach for millions of students. In May of this year, America's outstanding student loan debt surpassed \$1.5 trillion.

With the cost of college education and the debt needed to afford it growing each year, Americans are demanding action to lower the crushing costs of higher education; and thus it is imperative that we pass a comprehensive update to the Higher Education Act which deals with the full menu of Federal policy that will keep higher education affordable.

Unfortunately, the majority has failed to bring HEA to the floor in that long overdue rewrite, and today we are only left with the Financial Counseling bill that we are going to vote on, which is just a sliver of what we need to do to build America's future workforce.

In 2008, the last HEA was negotiated under then-Chairman George Miller and passed the House and Senate with overwhelming bipartisan support. Fast-forward to last December, the majority introduced the misnamed PROSPER Act, a misguided attempt to update HEA that guts \$15 billion from Federal student aid and diverts taxpayer money to low-quality, predatory programs.

Unlike 2008, this bill squeaked out of the Education and Workforce Committee on a party-line vote. Fortunately, that bill has not seen the light of day ever since.

In the absence of any movement on a long overdue update of HEA, I am pleased to report that committee Democrats stepped into this vacuum

and introduced the Aim Higher Act, a comprehensive approach to higher education. Under the Aim Higher Act, every student will have the opportunity to earn a quality, debt-free degree or credential that leads to a rewarding career.

This bill makes a number of changes to higher education to make degrees more affordable and easier to pay off. It provides 2 years of tuition-free community college to every high school graduate through Federal-State partnerships, incentivizes States to reinvest in their 4-year public colleges and universities, and makes Federal financial aid more generous to keep pace with increased tuition costs.

Additionally, knowing that 4-year college isn't for everyone and that our workforce needs are changing, our bill allows students to use their Pell grants for quality, short-term certificate programs that provide an accelerated path to the workforce.

As I mentioned before, our student loan debt is at the highest level it has ever been, \$1.5 trillion. That is the highest amount of consumer debt outside of mortgages. This debt burden is a drag not on just the student graduate or a family, it is a drag on our entire economy that impacts when or if a buyer can buy a home, start a family, get a small business off the ground, or change careers.

Today, many interest rates for student loans are often far higher than other consumer borrowing, yet, like other forms of debt, student loans cannot be refinanced as interest rates drop, saddling 25 million borrowers with thousands of dollars in extra debt. Our bill fixes that and will allow students to refinance their loans to more affordable rates.

Looking at the nationwide shortage of teachers and primary care doctors, our bill preserves the effective Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program, which the PROSPER Act abolished, to encourage talented individuals to pursue careers in high-need fields.

It also expands the program to address challenges in our farming communities, where the average farmer age is now 58 years old and new farmers entering the field have drooped by 20 percent.

The Aim Higher Act isn't just focused on cutting the cost of higher education; it is also focused on improving the quality of higher education. It cracks down on predatory institutions that waste student and taxpayer money by peddling expensive, low-quality programs.

Our veterans who have earned their GI Bill benefits through service and sacrifice are frequent targets and victims of fraudulent schools, as Mrs. Holly Petraeus, the wife of General David Petraeus, crisscrossed America a couple years ago as a representative of the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau to warn veterans of these rip-offs.

And finally, the Aim Higher Act gives students the tools they need to

graduate on time. We invest in campus childcare for student parents, subsidized housing for homeless and foster youth, faculty training for students with disabilities, and community centers to help student veterans transition to civilian life.

Policy is defined by priorities. Instead of spending limited resources on a tax cut for those who don't need it, we believe that we should invest in our children and in the future of our economy. That is what the Aim Higher Act does.

Mr. Speaker, I hope you will join us in pushing for a higher education system that puts the promise of quality, debt-free degrees and a rewarding career within the reach of all Americans.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JOHNSON).

Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I thank Madam Chairwoman for recognizing me.

Mr. Speaker, I represent rural eastern and southeastern Ohio, which is no stranger to the benefits of natural gas. It sits on top of the Utica and Marcellus shale plays, which have led to a growing interest in new and exciting manufacturing opportunities, like ethane cracker plants and ethane storage hubs.

Those opportunities have become viable thanks to new technologies that have led to an increase in natural gas production. But that increased production has also been the result of the growing demand for excess U.S. natural gas.

H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act, will help ensure the United States takes full advantage of our opportunities for excess small scale LNG exports, along with encouraging production opportunities here at home.

Specifically, this bipartisan bill provides that applications under the Natural Gas Act for the importation or exportation of small volumes of natural gas, that being defined as 0.14 billion cubic feet per day, shall be granted without delay, but only if they do not require an environmental assessment under the National Environmental Policy Act.

Despite the U.S. being the world's leading producer of oil and natural gas, American companies are unable to efficiently export small quantities of gas to neighboring countries. By reducing regulatory constraints and codifying a similar rule issued by the Department of Energy, H.R. 4606 will better allow our domestic providers the opportunity to provide a stable source of U.S. energy to countries currently reliant on Venezuelan fuel oil, which has been used to gain influence in countries in the Caribbean and Central and South America.

This effort to increase U.S. energy opportunities within the region is not new, as the previous administration also sought to increase energy engagement through the creation of the Caribbean Energy Security Initiative.

As many independent and DOE-commissioned studies have confirmed, the benefits of natural gas exports are clear. They are a net positive to our domestic economy, but that is not the only benefit.

As Puerto Rico continues to rebuild after the devastating hurricane in 2017, increased shipments and availability of reliable U.S. natural gas can help the island meet its energy needs.

Additionally, LNG exports can only serve to strengthen U.S. ties with countries throughout the region.

With U.S. natural gas reserves as large as they are and with new technological advancements allowing our producers to access an increasing amount of natural gas each and every day, it is imperative that the U.S. take full advantage of this abundant resource and the economic benefits it provides here at home. H.R. 4606 is a step in that direction. It will strengthen U.S. geopolitical ties, increase job creation, and promote economic growth as a result.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage everyone to vote for this rule and this common-sense underlying bill.

□ 1245

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

The House is currently scheduled to be in session for just 10 days before funding for the government runs out—10 days. And while the House has passed partisan appropriation bills, none of them have been signed into law. If Congress fails to act, it will be the third time the government has shut down in 2018 alone—the third time.

Let me remind my friends on the other side of the aisle that the Republican Party is in charge of the House. The Republican Party is in charge of the Senate and the White House. President Trump is again playing games, and without leadership from this House and our colleagues in the Senate, his desire for a government shutdown will cause real people pain and hurt our growing economy.

Three thousand Federal workers in my district have heard President Trump tell them that they are the reason that we are in debt. Three thousand workers in my district and 2 million nationally are wondering if they will be furloughed, while corporations and millionaires get massive tax cuts.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to oppose the previous question and the rule, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I again would like to thank my colleague from the Rules Committee for her work and her participation in this debate.

I hope that the minority leader of the United States Senate, Mr. SCHUMER, might have been listening to her arguments just now, and I would just recall for our colleagues that it was the

Democrats in the Senate, led by Mr. SCHUMER, who shut the government down earlier this year, not the Republicans.

Mr. Speaker, it was the Democrats in the House and the Senate who walked away from the negotiations on the budget deal that we finally were able to strike, but not before they refused to show up for at least one meeting at the White House.

So it is the case that the Republicans are in control of this body. It is the case that we have a majority in the Senate. As my colleague knows, we don't have 60 votes in the Senate, and so Mr. SCHUMER and the Democrats in the Senate are able to gum up the works pretty extensively.

But as for us in the House, we have, Mr. Speaker, been successful in passing all 12 appropriations bills. We have been successful in passing the necessary appropriations bills without the help of the Democrats in order to make sure that we are providing the funds that we need to keep the government open and keep the government functioning.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to participate in a good-faith manner, particularly in the coming weeks as we deal with the Defense Appropriations bill once again on this floor, and just remind them how important it is that we make sure that our men and women in uniform receive the funds that they deserve.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank both the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JOHNSON) and my colleague from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE) for their important and hard work on these bills. We must continue to roll back the regulatory burdens that make doing business in our country so difficult.

We also have to give our students the tools they need to maximize their opportunities for success and to understand the financial liabilities that they are undertaking when they receive these student loans. We don't want them to have a future that is diminished by the burden of unnecessary debt that they can't repay once they graduate.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the rule and passage of the underlying bills.

The material previously referred to by Mrs. TORRES is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1049 OFFERED BY
Ms. TORRES

At the end of the resolution, add the following new sections:

SEC. 3. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution the Speaker shall, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6543) to amend and strengthen the Higher Education Act of 1965 so that every student has a path to a quality, debt-free degree or credential that leads to a rewarding career. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to

the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommend with or without instructions. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

SEC. 4. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 6543.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's *Precedents of the House of Representatives* (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition" in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

The Republican majority may say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution. . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: "Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule. . . . When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment."

In Deschler's *Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives*, the subchapter titled

"Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 49 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1302

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. ROGERS of Alabama) at 1 o'clock and 2 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 1049; and

Adoption of House Resolution 1049, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The remaining electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1635, EMPOWERING STUDENTS THROUGH ENHANCED FINANCIAL COUNSELING ACT, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4606, ENSURING SMALL SCALE LNG CERTAINTY AND ACCESS ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 1049) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1635) to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4606) to provide that applications under the Natural Gas Act for the importation or exportation of small volumes of natural gas shall be granted without modification or delay, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 221, nays 186, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 382]

YEAS—221

Abraham	Dunn	Knight
Aderholt	Emmer	Kustoff (TN)
Allen	Estes (KS)	Labrador
Amash	Faso	LaHood
Amodei	Ferguson	LaMalfa
Arrington	Fitzpatrick	Lamborn
Babin	Fleischmann	Lance
Bacon	Flores	Latta
Banks (IN)	Fortenberry	Lesko
Barr	Foxo	Lewis (MN)
Bergman	Frelinghuysen	LoBiondo
Biggs	Gaetz	Long
Bilirakis	Gallagher	Loudermilk
Bishop (UT)	Garrett	Love
Black	Gianforte	Lucas
Blum	Gibbs	Luetkemeyer
Bost	Goodlatte	MacArthur
Brady (TX)	Gosar	Marchant
Brat	Gowdy	Marino
Brooks (AL)	Granger	Marshall
Brooks (IN)	Graves (GA)	Massie
Buchanan	Graves (LA)	Mast
Buck	Graves (MO)	McCarthy
Bucshon	Griffith	McCaul
Budd	Grothman	McClintock
Burgess	Guthrie	McHenry
Byrne	Handel	McKinley
Calvert	Harper	McMorris
Carter (GA)	Harris	Rodgers
Carter (TX)	Hartzler	McSally
Chabot	Hensarling	Meadows
Cheney	Herrera Beutler	Mitchell
Cloud	Hice, Jody B.	Moolenaar
Coffman	Higgins (LA)	Mooney (WV)
Cole	Hill	Mullin
Collins (GA)	Holding	Newhouse
Collins (NY)	Hollingsworth	Noem
Comer	Hudson	Norman
Comstock	Huizenga	Nunes
Conaway	Hultgren	Olson
Cook	Hunter	Palmer
Costello (PA)	Hurd	Paulsen
Cramer	Issa	Pearce
Crawford	Jenkins (KS)	Perry
Culberson	Johnson (LA)	Pittenger
Curbelo (FL)	Johnson (OH)	Poliquin
Curtis	Johnson, Sam	Posey
Davidson	Jones	Ratcliffe
Davis, Rodney	Jordan	Reed
Denham	Joyce (OH)	Reichert
DesJarlais	Katko	Renacci
Diaz-Balart	Kelly (MS)	Rice (SC)
Donovan	Kelly (PA)	Roby
Duffy	King (IA)	Roe (TN)
Duncan (SC)	King (NY)	Rogers (AL)
Duncan (TN)	Kinzinger	Rogers (KY)

Rohrabacher	Smith (NE)	Walden
Rokita	Smith (NJ)	Walker
Rooney, Francis	Smith (TX)	Walorski
Roskam	Smucker	Walters, Mimi
Ross	Stefanik	Weber (TX)
Rothfus	Stewart	Webster (FL)
Rouzer	Stivers	Wenstrup
Royce (CA)	Taylor	Westerman
Russell	Tenney	Williams
Rutherford	Thompson (PA)	Wilson (SC)
Scalise	Thornberry	Wittman
Schweikert	Tipton	Womack
Scott, Austin	Trott	Woodall
Sessions	Turner	Yoder
Shimkus	Upton	Yoho
Shuster	Valadao	Young (AK)
Simpson	Wagner	Young (IA)
Smith (MO)	Walberg	Zeldin

NAYS—186

Adams	Gonzalez (TX)	Norcross
Aguilar	Gottheimer	O'Halleran
Barragán	Green, Al	O'Rourke
Bass	Green, Gene	Pallone
Beatty	Grijalva	Panetta
Bera	Gutiérrez	Pascarell
Beyer	Hanabusa	Payne
Bishop (GA)	Hastings	Pelosi
Blumenauer	Heck	Perlmutter
Blunt Rochester	Higgins (NY)	Peters
Bonamici	Himes	Peterson
Brady (PA)	Hoyer	Pingree
Brown (MD)	Huffman	Pocan
Brownley (CA)	Jackson Lee	Polis
Bustos	Jayapal	Price (NC)
Butterfield	Jeffries	Quigley
Carbajal	Johnson (GA)	Raskin
Cárdenas	Johnson, E. B.	Rice (NY)
Carson (IN)	Kaptur	Richmond
Cartwright	Keating	Rosen
Castor (FL)	Kelly (IL)	Roybal-Allard
Castro (TX)	Kennedy	Ruiz
Chu, Judy	Khanna	Ruppersberger
Cicilline	Kihuen	Rush
Clark (MA)	Kildee	Ryan (OH)
Clarke (NY)	Kilmer	Sánchez
Clay	Kind	Sarbanes
Cleaver	Krishnamoorthi	Schakowsky
Clyburn	Kuster (NH)	Schiff
Cohen	Lamb	Schneider
Connolly	Langevin	Schrader
Cooper	Larsen (WA)	Scott (VA)
Correa	Larson (CT)	Scott, David
Costa	Lawrence	Serrano
Courtney	Lawson (FL)	Sewell (AL)
Crist	Lee	Shea-Porter
Crowley	Levin	Sherman
Cuellar	Lewis (GA)	Sinema
Cummings	Lieu, Ted	Sires
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Smith (WA)
Davis, Danny	Loebach	Soto
DeFazio	Lofgren	Suozi
DeGette	Lowenthal	Swallow (CA)
Delaney	Lowe	Takano
DeLauro	Lujan Grisham,	Thompson (CA)
DelBene	M.	Thompson (MS)
Demings	Luján, Ben Ray	Tonko
DeSaulnier	Lynch	Torres
Deutch	Maloney,	Tsongas
Dingell	Carolyn B.	Vargas
Doggett	Matsui	Veasey
Doyle, Michael	McCollum	Vela
F.	McEachin	Velázquez
Engel	McGovern	Visclosky
Espallat	McNerney	Walz
Esty (CT)	Meeks	Wasserman
Evans	Meng	Schultz
Foster	Moore	Waters, Maxine
Frankel (FL)	Moulton	Watson Coleman
Fudge	Murphy (FL)	Welch
Gabbard	Nadler	Wilson (FL)
Gallego	Napolitano	Yarmuth
Garamendi	Neal	
Gomez	Nolan	

NOT VOTING—21

Barletta	Ellison	Rooney, Thomas
Barton	Eshoo	J.
Bishop (MI)	Gohmert	Ros-Lehtinen
Blackburn	Jenkins (WV)	Sanford
Boyle, Brendan	Maloney, Sean	Sensenbrenner
F.	Messer	Speier
Capuano	Palazzo	Titus
DeSantis	Poe (TX)	

□ 1329

Messrs. DOGGETT, RYAN of Ohio, COSTA, and RUSH changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 224, noes 180, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 383]

AYES—224

Abraham	Fortenberry	Marino
Aderholt	Foxx	Marshall
Allen	Frelinghuysen	Massie
Amash	Gaetz	Mast
Amodei	Gallagher	McCarthy
Arrington	Garrett	McCaul
Babin	Gianforte	McClintock
Bacon	Gibbs	McHenry
Banks (IN)	Goodlatte	McKinley
Barr	Gosar	McMorris
Barton	Gowdy	Rodgers
Bergman	Granger	McSally
Biggs	Graves (GA)	Meadows
Bilirakis	Graves (LA)	Mitchell
Bishop (MI)	Graves (MO)	Moolenaar
Bishop (UT)	Griffith	Mooney (WV)
Black	Grothman	Mullin
Blum	Guthrie	Newhouse
Bost	Handel	Noem
Brady (TX)	Harper	Norman
Brat	Harris	Nunes
Brooks (AL)	Hartzler	Olson
Brooks (IN)	Hensarling	Palmer
Buchanan	Herrera Beutler	Paulsen
Buck	Hice, Jody B.	Pearce
Bucshon	Higgins (LA)	Perry
Budd	Hill	Pittenger
Burgess	Holding	Poliquin
Byrne	Hollingsworth	Posey
Calvert	Hudson	Ratcliffe
Carter (GA)	Huizenga	Reed
Carter (TX)	Hultgren	Reichert
Chabot	Hunter	Renacci
Cheney	Hurd	Roby
Cloud	Issa	Roe (TN)
Coffman	Jenkins (KS)	Rogers (AL)
Cole	Johnson (LA)	Rogers (KY)
Collins (GA)	Johnson (OH)	Rohrabacher
Collins (NY)	Rokita	Rokita
Cramer	Rooney, Francis	Roskam
Comstock	Jordan	Ross
Conaway	Joyce (OH)	Rothfus
Cook	Katko	Rouzer
Costa	Kelly (MS)	Royce (CA)
Costello (PA)	Kelly (PA)	Russell
Cramer	King (IA)	Rutherford
Crawford	King (NY)	Scalise
Culbertson	Kinzinger	Schweikert
Curbelo (FL)	Knight	Scott, Austin
Curtis	Kustoff (TN)	Sessions
Davidson	Labrador	Shimkus
Davis, Rodney	LaHood	Shuster
Denham	LaMalfa	Simpson
DesJarlais	Lamb	Smith (MO)
Diaz-Balart	Lamborn	Smith (NE)
Donovan	Lance	Smith (NJ)
Duffy	Latta	Smith (TX)
Duncan (SC)	Lesko	Smucker
Duncan (TN)	Lewis (MN)	Stefanik
Dunn	LoBiondo	Stewart
Emmer	Long	Stivers
Estes (KS)	Loudermilk	Taylor
Faso	Love	Tenney
Ferguson	Lucas	Thompson (PA)
Fitzpatrick	Luetkemeyer	Thornberry
Fleischmann	MacArthur	Tipton
Flores	Marchant	

Trott	Walorski	Wittman
Turner	Walters, Mimi	Womack
Upton	Weber (TX)	Woodall
Valadao	Webster (FL)	Yoder
Wagner	Wenstrup	Yoho
Walberg	Westerman	Young (AK)
Walden	Williams	Young (IA)
Walker	Wilson (SC)	Zeldin

NOES—180

Adams	Gomez	Norcross
Aguilar	Gonzalez (TX)	O'Halleran
Barragán	Gottheimer	O'Rourke
Bass	Green, Al	Pallone
Beatty	Green, Gene	Panetta
Bera	Grijalva	Pascarell
Beyer	Gutiérrez	Payne
Bishop (GA)	Hanabusa	Perlmutter
Blumenauer	Hastings	Peters
Blunt Rochester	Heck	Peterson
Bonamici	Higgins (NY)	Pingree
Brady (PA)	Himes	Pocan
Brown (MD)	Hoyer	Polis
Brownley (CA)	Huffman	Price (NC)
Bustos	Jackson Lee	Quigley
Butterfield	Jayapal	Raskin
Carbajal	Jeffries	Rice (NY)
Cárdenas	Johnson, E. B.	Richmond
Carson (IN)	Kaptur	Rosen
Cartwright	Keating	Roybal-Allard
Castor (FL)	Kelly (IL)	Ruiz
Castro (TX)	Kennedy	Ruppersberger
Chu, Judy	Khanna	Rush
Cicilline	Kihuen	Ryan (OH)
Clark (MA)	Kildee	Sánchez
Clarke (NY)	Kilmer	Sarbanes
Clay	Kind	Schakowsky
Cleaver	Krishnamoorthi	Schiff
Clyburn	Kuster (NH)	Schneider
Cohen	Langevin	Schrader
Connolly	Larsen (WA)	Scott (VA)
Cooper	Larson (CT)	Scott, David
Correa	Lawrence	Serrano
Courtney	Lawson (FL)	Sewell (AL)
Crist	Lee	Shea-Porter
Crowley	Levin	Sherman
Cuellar	Lewis (GA)	Sinema
Cummings	Lieu, Ted	Sires
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Smith (WA)
Davis, Danny	Lofgren	Soto
DeFazio	Lowenthal	Suozi
DeGette	Lowe	Swallow (CA)
Delaney	Lujan Grisham,	Takano
DeLauro	M.	Thompson (CA)
DelBene	Luján, Ben Ray	Thompson (MS)
Demings	Lynch	Tonko
DeSaulnier	Maloney,	Torres
Deutch	Carolyn B.	Tsongas
Dingell	Matsui	Vargas
Doggett	McCollum	Veasey
Doyle, Michael	McEachin	Vela
F.	McGovern	Velázquez
Engel	McNerney	Visclosky
Espallat	Meeks	Walz
Esty (CT)	Meng	Wasserman
Evans	Moore	Schultz
Foster	Moulton	Waters, Maxine
Frankel (FL)	Murphy (FL)	Watson Coleman
Fudge	Nadler	Welch
Gabbard	Napolitano	Wilson (FL)
Gallego	Neal	
Garamendi	Nolan	

NOT VOTING—24

Barletta	Jenkins (WV)	Rooney, Thomas
Blackburn	Johnson (GA)	J.
Boyle, Brendan	Loebach	Ros-Lehtinen
F.	Maloney, Sean	Sanford
Capuano	Messer	Sensenbrenner
DeSantis	Palazzo	Speier
Ellison	Pelosi	Titus
Eshoo	Poe (TX)	Yarmuth
Gohmert	Rice (SC)	

□ 1336

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair

will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or votes objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

EMBASSY SECURITY AUTHORIZATION ACT, FISCAL YEAR 2019

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4969) to improve the design and construction of diplomatic posts, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4969

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Embassy Security Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2019”.

SEC. 2. STANDARD DESIGN IN CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Department of State’s Bureau of Overseas Building Operations (OBO) or successor office should give appropriate consideration to standard embassy design, in which each new embassy and new consulate starts with a standard design and keeps customization to a minimum.

(b) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary of State shall, in consultation with the appropriate congressional committees, carry out any new embassy compound project or new consulate compound project that is in the design phase or pre-design phase as of the date of the enactment of this Act and that utilizes a non-standard design. The Secretary shall provide such committees, for each such project, the following documentation:

(1) A comparison of the estimated full lifecycle costs of the project at issue to the estimated full lifecycle costs of such project if such project were to use a standard embassy design.

(2) A comparison of the estimated completion date of such project to the estimated completion date of such project if such project were to use a standard embassy design.

(3) A comparison of the security of such completed project to the security of such completed project if such completed project were to use a standard embassy design.

(4) A justification for the Secretary’s selection of a non-standard design over a standard embassy design for such project.

(5) A written explanation if any of the documentation necessary to support the comparisons and justification, as the case may be, described in paragraphs (1) through (4) cannot be provided.

SEC. 3. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It is the policy of the United States that the Bureau of Overseas Building Operations of the Department of State or its successor office shall continue to balance functionality and security with accessibility as defined by guidelines established by the United States Access Board in constructing embassies and consulates and shall ensure compliance with the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 to the fullest extent possible.

SEC. 4. CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION TRANSPARENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 118 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (22 U.S.C. 304) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “ANNUAL REPORT ON EMBASSY CONSTRUCTION COSTS” and inserting “QUARTERLY REPORT ON OVERSEAS CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS”; and

(2) by amending subsections (a) and (b) to read as follows:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection and every 90 days thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a comprehensive report regarding all ongoing overseas capital construction projects and major embassy security upgrade projects.

“(b) CONTENTS.—Each report required under subsection (a) shall include the following with respect to each ongoing overseas capital construction project and major embassy security upgrade project:

“(1) The initial cost estimate as specified in the proposed allocation of capital construction and maintenance funds required by the Committees on Appropriations for Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs.

“(2) The current cost estimate.

“(3) The value of each request for equitable adjustment received by the Department of State to date.

“(4) The value of each certified claim received by the Department of State to date.

“(5) The value of any usage of the project’s contingency fund to date and the value of the remainder of the project’s contingency fund.

“(6) An enumerated list of each request for adjustment and certified claim that remains outstanding or unresolved.

“(7) An enumerated list of each request for equitable adjustment and certified claim that has been fully adjudicated or that the Department has settled, and the final dollar amount of each adjudication or settlement.

“(8) The date of estimated completion specified in the proposed allocation of capital construction and maintenance funds required by the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of an Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs.

“(9) The current date of estimated completion.”

(b) INITIAL REPORT.—The first report required under subsection (a) of section 118 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (as amended by this section) shall include an annex regarding all overseas capital construction projects and major embassy security upgrade projects completed during the 10-year period ending on December 31, 2018, including, for each such project, the elements specified in subsection (b) of such section 118 (as amended by this section).

SEC. 5. CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE INFORMATION.

(a) DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION.—The Secretary of State shall complete by October 1, 2020, all contractor performance evaluations required by subpart 42.15 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(b) PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall develop a prioritization system for clearing the current backlog of required evaluations referred to in subsection (a).

(2) ELEMENTS.—The system required under paragraph (1) should prioritize such evaluations as follows:

(A) Project completion evaluations should be prioritized over annual evaluations.

(B) Evaluations for relatively large contracts should have priority.

(C) Evaluations that would be particularly informative for the awarding of government contracts should have priority.

(c) BRIEFING.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the Department of State’s plan for completing all evaluations by October 1, 2020, and the prioritization system developed pursuant to this section.

(d) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) contractors deciding whether to bid on Department of State contracts would benefit from greater understanding of the Department as a client; and

(2) the Department should develop a forum through which contractors can rate the Department’s project management performance.

SEC. 6. GROWTH PROJECTIONS FOR NEW EMBASSIES AND CONSULATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For each new embassy compound project (NEC) and new consulate compound project (NCC) in or not yet in the design phase as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Office of Management Policy, Rightsizing, and Innovation of the Department of State shall project growth over the estimated life of the facility at issue using all available and relevant data, including the following:

(1) Relevant historical trends for Department personnel and personnel from other agencies represented at the NEC or NCC that is to be constructed.

(2) An analysis of the tradeoffs between risk and the needs of United States Government policy conducted as part of the most recent Vital Presence Validation Process, if applicable.

(3) Reasonable assumptions about the strategic importance of the NEC or NCC, as the case may be, over the life of the building at issue.

(4) Any other data that would be helpful in projecting the future growth of NEC or NCC.

(b) OTHER AGENCIES.—Each Federal agency represented at an embassy or consulate shall provide to the Department of State, upon request, growth projections for the personnel of such agency over the estimated life of such embassy or consulate, as the case may be.

(c) BASIS FOR ESTIMATES.—The Department of State shall base growth assumption for all NECs and NCCs on the estimates required under subsections (a) and (b).

(d) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Any congressional notification of site selection for a NEC or NCC submitted after the date of the enactment of this Act shall include the growth assumption used pursuant to subsection (c).

SEC. 7. LONG-RANGE PLANNING PROCESS.

(a) PLANS REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and annually thereafter for five years, the Secretary of State shall develop—

(A) a comprehensive six-year Long-Range Overseas Buildings Plan (LROBP) documenting the Department of State’s overseas building program for the replacement of overseas diplomatic facilities taking into account security factors under the Secure Embassy Construction and Counterterrorism Act of 1999 and other relevant statutes and regulations, as well as occupational safety and health factors pursuant to the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 and other relevant statutes and regulations, including environmental factors such as indoor air quality that impact employee health and safety; and

(B) a comprehensive six-year plan detailing the Department’s long-term planning for the

maintenance and sustainment of completed facilities, known as a Long-Range Overseas Maintenance Plan (LROMP), which takes into account security factors under the Secure Embassy Construction and Counterterrorism Act of 1999 and other relevant statutes and regulations, as well as occupational safety and health factors pursuant to the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 and other relevant statutes and regulations, including environmental factors such as indoor air quality that impact employee health and safety.

(2) **INITIAL REPORT.**—The first plan developed pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) shall also include a one-time status report on existing small diplomatic posts and a strategy for establishing a physical diplomatic presence in countries in which there is no current physical diplomatic presence. Such report, which may include a classified annex, shall include the following:

(A) A description of the extent to which each small diplomatic post furthers the national interest of the United States.

(B) A description of how each small diplomatic post provides American Citizen Services, including data on specific services provided and the number of Americans receiving services over the previous year.

(C) A description of whether each small diplomatic post meets current security requirements.

(D) A description of the full financial cost of maintaining each small diplomatic post.

(E) Input from the relevant chiefs of mission on any unique operational or policy value the small diplomatic post provides.

(3) **UPDATED INFORMATION.**—The annual updates of the plans developed pursuant to paragraph (1) shall highlight any changes from the previous year's plan to the ordering of construction and maintenance projects.

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **SUBMISSION OF PLANS TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 60 days after the completion of the LROBP and the LROMP, the Secretary of State shall submit such plans to the appropriate congressional committees.

(2) **REFERENCE IN BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS.**—In the budget justification materials submitted to the appropriate congressional committees in support of the Department of State's budget for any fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the plans specified in the LROBP and LROMP shall be referenced to justify funding requested for building and maintenance projects overseas.

(3) **FORM OF REPORT.**—The plans required to be submitted under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include classified annexes.

(c) **SMALL DIPLOMATIC POST DEFINED.**—In this section, the term "small diplomatic post" means any consulate that has employed five or fewer United States Government employees on average over the 36 months before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 8. VALUE ENGINEERING AND RISK ASSESSMENT.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Federal departments and agencies are required to use value engineering (VE) as a management tool, where appropriate, to reduce program and acquisition costs pursuant to OMB Circular A-131, Value Engineering, dated December 31, 2013.

(2) OBO has a Policy Directive and Standard Operation Procedure, dated May 24, 2017, on conducting risk management studies on all international construction projects.

(b) **NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **SUBMISSION TO AUTHORIZING COMMITTEES.**—The proposed allocation of capital

construction and maintenance funds that is required by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of an Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs shall also be submitted to the appropriate congressional committees.

(2) **REQUIREMENT TO CONFIRM COMPLETION OF VALUE ENGINEERING AND RISK ASSESSMENT STUDIES.**—The notifications required under paragraph (1) shall include confirmation that the Department of State has completed the requisite VE and risk management studies described in subsection (a).

(c) **REPORTING AND BRIEFING REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary of State shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees upon request—

(1) a description of each recommendation from each study described in subsection (a) and a table detailing which recommendations were accepted and which were rejected; and

(2) a report or briefing detailing the rationale for not implementing recommendations made by VE studies that may yield significant cost savings to the Department of State, if implemented.

SEC. 9. BUSINESS VOLUME.

Subparagraph (E) of section 402(c)(2) of the Omnibus Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986 (22 U.S.C. 4852(c)(2)) is amended by striking "in 3 years" and inserting "cumulatively over 3 years".

SEC. 10. EMBASSY SECURITY REQUESTS AND DEFICIENCIES.

The Secretary of State shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees, upon request, information on security deficiencies at United States diplomatic posts, including—

(1) requests made over the previous year by United States diplomatic posts for security upgrades; and

(2) significant security deficiencies at United States diplomatic posts that are not operating out of a new embassy compound or new consulate compound.

SEC. 11. OVERSEAS SECURITY BRIEFINGS.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall revise the Foreign Affairs Manual to stipulate that the Bureau of Diplomatic Security of the Department of State shall provide a security briefing or written materials with up-to-date information on the current threat environment in writing or orally to all United States Government employees traveling to a foreign country on official business. To the extent practicable, such briefing or written materials shall be provided to traveling Department employees via teleconference prior to their arrival at a post.

SEC. 12. CONTRACTING METHODS IN CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION.

(a) **DELIVERY.**—Except in cases in which the Secretary of State determines that such would not be appropriate, the Secretary shall make use of the design-build project delivery system at diplomatic posts that have not yet received design or capital construction contracts as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **NOTIFICATION.**—Not later than 15 days after any determination to make use of a delivery system other than design-build in accordance with subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall notify the appropriate congressional committees in writing of such determination, including the reasons therefor.

(c) **PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall report to the

appropriate congressional committees regarding performance evaluation measures in line with GAO's "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" that will be applicable to design and construction, lifecycle cost, and building maintenance programs of the Bureau of Overseas Building Operations of the Department of State.

SEC. 13. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated \$2,314,474,000 for fiscal year 2019 for the purposes of the "Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance" account of the Department of State, of which \$1,549,015,000 is authorized to be appropriated for the costs of worldwide security upgrades.

SEC. 14. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(A) in the House of Representatives—

(i) the Committee on Foreign Affairs; and

(ii) the Committee on Appropriations; and

(B) in the Senate—

(i) the Committee on Foreign Relations; and

(ii) the Committee on Appropriations.

(1) **DESIGN-BUILD.**—The term "design-build" means a method of project delivery in which one entity works under a single contract with the Department of State to provide design and construction services.

(2) **NON-STANDARD DESIGN.**—The term "non-standard design" means—

(A) a design for a new embassy compound project or new consulate compound project that does not utilize a standardized embassy design for the structural, spatial, or security requirements of such embassy compound or consulate compound, as the case may be; or

(B) a new embassy compound project; or new consulate compound project that does not utilize a design-build delivery method.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from California (Mr. BERA) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous materials in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me make this observation for my colleagues. The U.S. Department of State operates more than 270 diplomatic posts around the world, often in difficult and sometimes very, very hostile environments. These embassies and consulates project American power and reflect our values. They protect the lives of the Americans who work in and visit those embassies every day. We owe it to the American people and those who serve us overseas to build the most secure, effective, and efficient embassies and consulates that we possibly can.

That is why I want to thank Chairman MIKE MCCAUL, the author of this

bill, who is with us. Each day, there are thousands of brave Americans who serve our country at our embassies and consulates overseas. These facilities are our outposts for democracy. While our diplomats serve overseas, it is our job to ensure that they have the resources and support they need. This bill will do just that, by authorizing critical resources for embassy security, construction, and maintenance; enhancing worldwide security protection; and lastly, improving oversight of embassy design and construction of these consulates and embassies.

The threats facing our embassies and diplomats overseas are real. We need to respond. The reported sonic attacks against our diplomats serving in Havana—and Canadian diplomats serving there, too, as you will recall—serve as a stark reminder of the real and complex security challenges they face on a daily basis. Yet, the last time Congress authorized resources for enhanced embassy security was immediately after al-Qaida bombed the U.S. Embassies in Nairobi, Kenya, and Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Over 220 people were killed and 4,000 others were injured in these attacks.

On August 7, we solemnly recognized the 20th anniversary of those cowardly attacks. Thus, we must strengthen our resolve to do everything within our power to prevent another Nairobi or Dar es Salaam attack by constructing the safest, most secure, and most appropriate diplomatic posts around the world. That starts with this bill, which, if enacted, will be the first embassy security authorization in 15 years.

Since the East African Embassy bombings, the State Department has used several different approaches to design and construct new posts quickly and efficiently. This is no small task, but what has become clear is that effective congressional oversight of these projects is essential to ensuring their success.

That is why this bill promotes efficient contracting methods, ensures the facilities meet security and safety standards, and engages with the State Department in an effective, long-range planning process for the new projects.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 4969, which will improve the security, effectiveness, and efficiency of our embassies and consulates.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1345

Mr. BERA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this measure, and let me thank Mr. McCAUL and Ms. KELLY, both members of the Foreign Affairs Committee, for authorizing this important legislation and Chairman ROYCE for bringing it to the floor.

One of the most important things that we deal with on our committee is protecting the lives of the brave American citizens working at our embassies

and consulates abroad. This legislation will help us improve the way we provide embassy security.

This bill would also authorize the embassy security, construction, and maintenance account for the next year at \$2.3 billion, the same level appropriated for the account this year.

Now, what has me concerned is the Trump administration has asked Congress to slash embassy security by \$700 million. That just isn't right.

Mr. Speaker, the clearest indication of an administration's priorities is its annual budget. Frankly, it is astounding to me that the Trump administration has proposed such massive cuts to embassy security funding for 2 years in a row.

On the campaign trail, President Trump spent a lot of time blaming Secretary Clinton for the tragic attacks on our consulate in Benghazi. He did so with little regard for the facts. Secretary Pompeo, as a Member of this body, especially during the time of the absurd Benghazi Select Committee, expressed a great deal of outrage over the handling of diplomatic security. Now they have tried to cut funding for embassy security at every opportunity.

My message for them is simple: There is no cost too high to protect the lives of our diplomats and their families. I wish the President and the Secretary understood that.

Fortunately, Congress has the last word on these issues. We have come together in a bipartisan way for the past 2 years and rejected President Trump's draconian cuts to embassy security funding. This bill represents another rejection of the Trump administration's dangerous proposal.

Additionally, I would like to highlight two provisions in the bill. The first requires that all U.S. Government employees traveling abroad on official business receive a written or oral security briefing from the State Department on potential threats. This is a commonsense measure, and it is, honestly, bizarre that it isn't already required.

Second, I also want to thank Mr. McCAUL and Ms. KELLY for including language that requires the State Department to provide our committee with a strategy for establishing a physical diplomatic presence in countries in which we currently have no physical diplomatic presence.

Lastly, I strongly support a universal approach to U.S. representation abroad, and I continue to be disappointed that we have no embassies in several countries in the Eastern Caribbean where Venezuela, Cuba, and others are present and active. Being absent significantly weakens U.S. leadership in the Caribbean and elsewhere around the globe.

Finally, let me say, while I am pleased that we are advancing this legislation, I am disappointed that it is moving as a standalone bill and not as it was originally intended, as the title of our committee's State Department

authorization bill. Given the chairman's hard work on the State Department authorization bill, I am sure that the gentleman shares my concern. I hope that we can breathe new life into the legislative effort by the end of this Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, let me thank the gentleman for mentioning the State Department authorization. We are still working on that measure. We hope to move that.

In the interim, though, the ability to move on the floor now with the embassy security measure is important.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. McCAUL), the chairman of the Committee on Homeland Security, of course, a senior member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and he is the author of this bill.

Mr. McCAUL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of my bill, the Embassy Security Authorization Act.

Embassies are really the physical representation of the United States abroad. An American Embassy is the means in which the United States conducts its foreign policy and advances our interests around the world. Therefore, protecting our embassies and diplomats should be our number one priority. That is why my bill authorizes over \$2.3 billion for embassy security.

If enacted into law, this would be the first embassy security authorization in 15 years. Furthermore, my bill makes critical reforms in how we build future embassies and think about our security.

In 1998, we had to reassess our embassy security when terrorists, at the direction of Osama bin Laden, bombed U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania, killing over 220 people and injuring 4,000 more. In retrospect, these attacks foreshadowed bin Laden's intentions to attack America. At the time, it forced us to reassess how we go about building our embassies and consulates.

A year later, Congress adopted a standard embassy design for our missions abroad. This design improved security, expedited construction, and saved money. However, recently, we have deviated from the standard embassy design in favor of projects prioritizing aesthetics.

Our embassies constantly face threats from hostile actors, even in friendly and allied countries. Our embassies are extensions of the homeland, and we must treat them as such. To that end, my bill would require the State Department to provide Congress with justification should an embassy or consulate project not use a standard design.

While I appreciate the goal of displaying American might through a striking embassy design, we must prevent a repeat of Kenya and Tanzania. My bill, with the \$2.3 billion authorization and standard design reforms, is a good step forward towards achieving that balance.

Mr. Speaker, I want to again thank Ms. KELLY for her leadership on this issue, as well as Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for their work on this important piece of legislation.

I encourage my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. BERA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I will close by again thanking Chairman ROYCE for bringing this legislation to the floor.

We have no greater duty on our committee than to protect Americans serving abroad. I am very pleased that we are making several essential fixes in our approach to embassy security in this legislation and authorizing the embassy security, construction, and maintenance at a robust level.

We live in a dangerous time, and the Trump administration's budget would put our diplomats at even greater risk than what they have already faced on a daily basis, so I am glad that the House is stepping in to do what is needed.

Finally, let me say again, while I am pleased this bill is moving forward, I don't believe the window has closed on getting a comprehensive State Department authorization bill to the President's desk, and I continue to stand ready to work with the chairman to do just that.

Mr. Speaker, I support the chairman's motion; I urge all Members to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, America's embassies obviously are forward operating bases for our democracy, for our system. The brave men and women who serve at those posts represent our country on a daily basis and represent them often in a difficult and increasingly dangerous environment.

As we have tragically seen before, diplomatic posts overseas are often the first and easiest targets our enemies choose to attack. Importantly, this legislation will improve the security, the functionality, and the efficiency of our embassies and our consulates through enhanced oversight and better management of the construction of new diplomatic facilities.

So, again, I want to thank Chairman MIKE MCCAUL of Texas, and I want to thank Representative ROBIN KELLY, as well as Ranking Member ENGEL and the many members of the committee from both sides of the aisle who have worked extensively on this important piece of legislation.

Our embassies project American power. They do reflect our values. We owe it to our diplomats and the American people to build the best embassies possible.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr.

ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4969, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CYBER DETERRENCE AND RESPONSE ACT OF 2018

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5576) to address state-sponsored cyber activities against the United States, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5576

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) On February 13, 2018, the Director of National Intelligence stated in his testimony before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence that "Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea will pose the greatest cyber threats to the United States during the next year" through the use of cyber operations as low-cost tools of statecraft, and assessed that these states would "work to use cyber operations to achieve strategic objectives unless they face clear repercussions for their cyber operations".

(2) The 2017 Worldwide Threat Assessment of the United States Intelligence Community stated that "The potential for surprise in the cyber realm will increase in the next year and beyond as billions more digital devices are connected—with relatively little built-in security—and both nation states and malign actors become more emboldened and better equipped in the use of increasingly widespread cyber toolkits. The risk is growing that some adversaries will conduct cyber attacks—such as data deletion or localized and temporary disruptions of critical infrastructure—against the United States in a crisis short of war".

(3) On March 29, 2017, President Donald J. Trump deemed it necessary to continue the national emergency declared in Executive Order 13694 as "Significant malicious cyber-enabled activities originating from, or directed by persons located, in whole or in substantial part, outside the United States, continue to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States."

(4) On January 5, 2017, former Director of National Intelligence, James Clapper, former Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence, Marcel Lettre, and the Commander of the United States Cyber Command, Admiral Michael Rogers, submitted joint testimony to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate that stated "As of late 2016 more than 30 nations are developing offensive cyber attack capabilities" and that "Protecting critical infrastructure, such as crucial energy, financial, manufacturing, transportation, communication, and health systems, will become an increasingly complex national security challenge."

(5) There is significant evidence that hackers affiliated with foreign governments have

conducted cyber operations targeting companies and critical infrastructure sectors in the United States as the Department of Justice and the Department of the Treasury have announced that—

(A) on March 15, 2018, five Russian entities and 19 Russian individuals were designated under the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act, as well as pursuant to Executive Order 13694, for interference in the 2016 United States elections and other malicious cyber-enabled activities;

(B) on March 24, 2016, seven Iranians working for Iran's Revolutionary Guard Corps-affiliated entities were indicted for conducting distributed denial of service attacks against the financial sector in the United States from 2012 to 2013; and

(C) on May 19, 2014, five Chinese military hackers were charged for hacking United States companies in the nuclear power, metals, and solar products industries, and engaging in economic espionage.

(6) In May 2017, North Korea released "WannaCry" pseudo-ransomware, which posed a significant risk to the economy, national security, and the citizens of the United States and the world, as it resulted in the infection of over 300,000 computer systems in more than 150 countries, including in the healthcare sector of the United Kingdom, demonstrating the global reach and cost of cyber-enabled malicious activity.

(7) In June 2017, Russia carried out the most destructive cyber-enabled operation in history, releasing the NotPetya malware that caused billions of dollars' worth of damage within Ukraine and across Europe, Asia, and the Americas.

(8) In May 2018, the Department of State, pursuant to section 3(b) of Executive Order 13800, prepared recommendations to the President on Deterring Adversaries and Better Protecting the American People From Cyber Threats, which stated "With respect to activities below the threshold of the use of force, the United States should, working with likeminded partners when possible, adopt an approach of imposing swift, costly, and transparent consequences on foreign governments responsible for significant malicious cyber activities aimed at harming U.S. national interests."

SEC. 3. ACTIONS TO ADDRESS STATE-SPONSORED CYBER ACTIVITIES AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.

(a) DESIGNATION AS A CRITICAL CYBER THREAT ACTOR.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President, acting through the Secretary of State, and in coordination with other relevant Federal agency heads, shall designate as a critical cyber threat actor—

(A) each foreign person and each agency or instrumentality of a foreign state that the President determines to be knowingly responsible for or complicit in, or have engaged in, directly or indirectly, state-sponsored cyber activities that are reasonably likely to result in, or have contributed to, a significant threat to the national security, foreign policy, or economic health or financial stability of the United States and that have the purpose or effect of—

(i) causing a significant disruption to the availability of a computer or network of computers;

(ii) harming, or otherwise significantly compromising the provision of service by, a computer or network of computers that support one or more entities in a critical infrastructure sector;

(iii) significantly compromising the provision of services by one or more entities in a critical infrastructure sector;

(iv) causing a significant misappropriation of funds or economic resources, trade secrets, personal identifiers, or financial information for commercial or competitive advantage or private financial gain;

(v) destabilizing the financial sector of the United States by tampering with, altering, or causing a misappropriation of data; or

(vi) interfering with or undermining election processes or institutions by tampering with, altering, or causing misappropriation of data;

(B) each foreign person that the President has determined to have knowingly, significantly, and materially assisted, sponsored, or provided financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services to or in support of, any activities described in subparagraph (A) by a foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subparagraph (A); and

(C) each agency or instrumentality of a foreign state that the President has determined to have significantly and materially assisted, sponsored, or provided financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services to or in support of, any activities described in subparagraph (A) by a foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subparagraph (A).

(2) PUBLICATION IN FEDERAL REGISTER.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The President shall—

(i) publish in the Federal Register a list of each foreign person and each agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under this subsection; and

(ii) regularly update such list not later than seven days after making any changes to such list, and publish in the Federal Register such updates.

(B) EXCEPTION.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The President may withhold from publication in the Federal Register under subparagraph (A) the identification of any foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under this subsection if the President determines that withholding such identification—

(I) in the national interests of the United States; or

(II) is for an important law enforcement purpose.

(ii) TRANSMISSION.—If the President exercises the authority under this subparagraph to withhold from publication in the Federal Register the identification of a foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under this subsection, the President shall transmit to the appropriate congressional committees in classified form a report containing any such identification, together with the reasons for such exercise.

(b) NON-TRAVEL-RELATED SANCTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall impose one or more of the applicable sanctions described in paragraph (2) with respect to each foreign person and each agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subsection (a).

(2) SANCTIONS DESCRIBED.—The sanctions described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) The President may provide for the withdrawal, limitation, or suspension of non-humanitarian United States development assistance under chapter 1 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(B) The President may provide for the withdrawal, limitation, or suspension of United States security assistance under part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(C) The President may direct the United States executive director to each international financial institution to use the voice and vote of the United States to oppose any loan from the international financial institution that would benefit the designated foreign person or the designated agency or instrumentality of a foreign state.

(D) The President may direct the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, or any other United States Government agency not to approve the issuance of any (or a specified number of) guarantees, insurance, extensions of credit, or participations in the extension of credit.

(E) The President may, pursuant to such regulations or guidelines as the President may prescribe, prohibit any United States person from investing in or purchasing significant amounts of equity or debt instruments of the designated foreign person.

(F) The President may, pursuant to procedures the President shall prescribe, which shall include the opportunity to appeal actions under this subparagraph, prohibit any United States agency or instrumentality from procuring, or entering into any contract for the procurement of, any goods, technology, or services, from the designated foreign person or the designated agency or instrumentality of a foreign state.

(G) The President may order the heads of the appropriate United States agencies to not issue any (or a specified number of) specific licenses, and to not grant any other specific authority (or a specified number of authorities), to export any goods or technology to the designated foreign person or the designated agency or instrumentality of a foreign state under—

(i) the Export Administration Act of 1979 (as continued in effect pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act);

(ii) the Arms Export Control Act;

(iii) the Atomic Energy Act of 1954; or

(iv) any other statute that requires the prior review and approval of the United States Government as a condition for the export or re-export of goods or services.

(H)(i) The President may exercise all of the powers granted to the President under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) (except that the requirements of section 202 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 1701) shall not apply) to the extent necessary to block and prohibit all transactions in property and interests in property of the designated foreign person if such property and interests in property are in the United States, come within the United States, or are or come within the possession or control of a United States person.

(ii) The penalties provided for in subsections (b) and (c) of section 206 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705) shall apply to a person that violates, attempts to violate, conspires to violate, or causes a violation of regulations prescribed under clause (i) to the same extent that such penalties apply to a person that commits an unlawful act described in subsection (a) of such section 206.

(I) The President may, pursuant to such regulations as the President may prescribe, prohibit any transfers of credit or payments between one or more financial institutions or by, through, or to any financial institution, to the extent that such transfers or payments are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and involve any interest of the designated foreign person.

(c) TRAVEL-RELATED SANCTIONS.—

(1) ALIENS INELIGIBLE FOR VISAS, ADMISSION, OR PAROLE.—An alien who is designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subsection (a) is—

(A) inadmissible to the United States;

(B) ineligible to receive a visa or other documentation to enter the United States; and

(C) otherwise ineligible to be admitted or paroled into the United States or to receive any other benefit under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.).

(2) CURRENT VISAS REVOKED.—The issuing consular officer, the Secretary of State, or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of either such Secretaries) shall revoke any visa or other entry documentation issued to the foreign person designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subsection (a) regardless of when issued. A revocation under this clause shall take effect immediately and shall automatically cancel any other valid visa or entry documentation that is in the possession of such foreign person.

(d) ADDITIONAL SANCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO FOREIGN STATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President may impose any of the sanctions described in paragraph (2) with respect to the government of each foreign state that the President has determined aided, abetted, or directed a foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state designated as a critical cyber threat actor under subsection (a).

(2) SANCTIONS DESCRIBED.—The sanctions referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The President may provide for the withdrawal, limitation, or suspension of non-humanitarian or non-trade-related assistance United States development assistance under chapter 1 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(B) The President may provide for the withdrawal, limitation, or suspension of United States security assistance under part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(C) The President may instruct the United States Executive Director to each appropriate international financial institution to oppose, and vote against the extension by such institution of any loan or financial assistance to the government of the foreign state.

(D) No item on the United States Munitions List (established pursuant to section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778)) or the Commerce Control List set forth in Supplement No. 1 to part 774 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, may be exported to the government of the foreign state.

(e) IMPLEMENTATION.—The President may exercise all authorities provided under sections 203 and 205 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1702 and 1704) to carry out this section.

(f) COORDINATION.—To the extent practicable—

(1) actions taken by the President pursuant to this section should be coordinated with United States allies and partners; and

(2) the Secretary of State should work with United States allies and partners, on a voluntary basis, to lead an international diplomatic initiative to—

(A) deter critical cyber threat actors and state-sponsored cyber activities; and

(B) provide mutual support to such allies and partners participating in such initiative to respond to such state-sponsored cyber activities.

(g) EXEMPTIONS, WAIVERS, AND REMOVALS OF SANCTIONS AND DESIGNATIONS.—

(1) MANDATORY EXEMPTIONS.—The following activities shall be exempt from sanctions under subsections (b), (c), and (d):

(A) Activities subject to the reporting requirements of title V of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 413 et seq.), or to any authorized intelligence activities of the United States.

(B) Any transaction necessary to comply with United States obligations under the Agreement between the United Nations and

the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, signed June 26, 1947, and entered into force on November 21, 1947, or under the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, signed April 24, 1963, and entered into force on March 19, 1967, or under other international obligations.

(2) **WAIVER.**—The President may waive the imposition of sanctions described in this section for a period of not more than one year, and may renew such waiver for additional periods of not more than one year, if the President transmits to the appropriate congressional committees a written determination that such waiver meets one or more of the following requirements:

(A) Such waiver is in the national interests of the United States.

(B) Such waiver will further the enforcement of this Act or is for an important law enforcement purpose.

(C) Such waiver is for an important humanitarian purpose.

(3) **REMOVALS OF SANCTIONS AND DESIGNATIONS.**—The President may prescribe rules and regulations for the removal of sanctions under subsections (b), (c), and (d) and the removal of designations under subsection (a) if the President determines that a foreign person, agency or instrumentality of a foreign state, or government of a foreign state subject to such sanctions or such designations, as the case may be, has verifiably ceased its participation in any of the conduct with respect to which such foreign person, agency or instrumentality of a foreign state, or government of a foreign state was subject to such sanctions or designation, as the case may be, under this section, and has given assurances that such foreign person, agency or instrumentality of a foreign state, or government of a foreign state, as the case may be, will no longer participate in such conduct.

(4) **EXCEPTION TO COMPLY WITH UNITED NATIONS HEADQUARTERS AGREEMENT.**—Sanctions under subsection (c) shall not apply to a foreign person if admitting such foreign person into the United States is necessary to permit the United States to comply with the Agreement regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, signed at Lake Success June 26, 1947, and entered into force November 21, 1947, between the United Nations and the United States, or other applicable international obligations.

(h) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section may be construed to limit the authority of the President under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) or any other provision of law to impose sanctions to address critical cyber threat actors and malicious state-sponsored cyber activities.

(i) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ADMITTED; ALIEN.**—The terms “admitted” and “alien” have the meanings given such terms in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).

(2) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

(3) **AGENCY OR INSTRUMENTALITY OF A FOREIGN STATE.**—The term “agency or instrumentality of a foreign state” has the meaning given such term in section 1603(b) of title 28, United States Code.

(4) **CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR.**—The term “critical infrastructure sector” means any of the designated critical infrastructure sectors identified in the Presidential Policy Directive entitled “Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience”, numbered 21, and dated February 12, 2013.

(5) **FOREIGN PERSON.**—The term “foreign person” means a person that is not a United States person.

(6) **FOREIGN STATE.**—The term “foreign state” has the meaning given such term in section 1603(a) of title 28, United States Code.

(7) **KNOWINGLY.**—The term “knowingly”, with respect to conduct, a circumstance, or a result, means that a person has actual knowledge, or should have known, of the conduct, the circumstance, or the result.

(8) **MISAPPROPRIATION.**—The term “misappropriation” means taking or obtaining by improper means, without permission or consent, or under false pretenses.

(9) **STATE-SPONSORED CYBER ACTIVITIES.**—The term “state-sponsored cyber activities” means any malicious cyber-enabled activities that—

(A) are carried out by a government of a foreign state or an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state; or

(B) are carried out by a foreign person that is aided, abetted, or directed by a government of a foreign state or an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state.

(10) **UNITED STATES PERSON.**—The term “United States person” means—

(A) a United States citizen or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence to the United States; or

(B) an entity organized under the laws of the United States or of any jurisdiction within the United States, including a foreign branch of such an entity.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include any extraneous material.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in recent years, foreign adversaries have developed sophisticated cyber capabilities that can disrupt our network, that can threaten our critical infrastructure, and that harm our economy and undermine our elections.

Malicious cyber activity topped the Director of National Intelligence's list of worldwide threats in 2018—topped it—ahead of terrorism and weapons of mass destruction; and in testimony before this Congress, Director Coats stated: “Frankly, the United States is under attack.”

A report by the White House Council of Economic Advisers estimates that malicious cyber activity cost the U.S.

economy between \$57 billion and over \$100 billion in 2016 alone. But it is not just the economic cost of cyber incidents that we should worry about. There are real physical costs to these online attacks as well.

Last year's WannaCry cyberattack by the North Korea regime compromised the U.K.'s healthcare sector, if you will recall. In 2016, Russian cyber actors attempted to interfere in our election—an assault on our very democracy—and these attacks by Russia continue today. Despite the gravity of this threat, the U.S. continues to lack a unified framework to deter and respond to state-sponsored cyber activities.

I applaud Representative YOHIO and Ranking Member ENGEL for introducing the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act, which establishes a framework for deterring and responding to state-sponsored malicious cyber activity against this country.

Consistent with the State Department's recommendation to the President on deterrence in cyberspace, this bill will ensure swift, powerful, and transparent consequences against bad actors online.

Specifically, this bill requires the President to designate as a critical cyber threat actor each foreign person or each foreign agency of a foreign state that the President determines is responsible for state-sponsored cyber activities that pose a significant threat to the national security, foreign policy, economic health, or financial stability of the United States. In effect, this would codify America's longstanding unofficial policy of naming and shaming bad actors in cyberspace.

Further, this bill would require the President to impose sanctions from a menu of options against any critical cyber threat actor.

Finally, the bill calls on the President to coordinate designations and sanctions with our allies and partners to maximize their effectiveness. The Secretary of State is to lead an international diplomatic initiative to deter state-sponsored cyber activities and promote mutual support to our allies and partners to respond to malicious cyber incidents.

This legislation will put countries like Iran, North Korea, and Russia on notice that the United States is prepared to impose tough consequences for cyber attacks.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this measure, and I reserve the balance of my time.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES,
Washington, DC, July 20, 2018.

Hon. ED ROYCE,
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ROYCE: I am writing concerning H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018.

As a result of your having consulted with the Committee on Financial Services concerning provisions in the bill that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction, I agree to forgo action on the bill so that it may proceed expeditiously to the House Floor. The Committee

on Financial Services takes this action with our mutual understanding that, by foregoing consideration of H.R. 5576, at this time, we do not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation, and that our Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as this or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction. Our Committee also reserves the right to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this or similar legislation, and requests your support for any such request.

Finally, I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 5576 and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration thereof.

Sincerely,

JEB HENSARLING,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
Washington, DC, July 20, 2018.

Hon. JEB HENSARLING,
Chairman, Committee on Financial Services,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN HENSARLING: Thank you for consulting with the Foreign Affairs Committee and agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018, so that the bill may proceed expeditiously to the House floor.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of your committee, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this resolution or similar legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees from your committee to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 5576 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work together as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COM-
MITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOV-
ERNMENT REFORM,
Washington, DC, July 20, 2018.

Hon. EDWARD R. ROYCE,
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs, House
of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018. As you know, certain provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

Based on your consultation with the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and so the bill may proceed expeditiously to the House Floor, I agree to discharging the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform from further consideration of H.R. 5576. I agree that forgoing formal consideration of the bill will not prejudice the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform with respect to any future jurisdictional claim, and I appreciate your agreement to support appointment of members of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform as conferees in any House-Senate conference on this or related legislation. In addition, I request the Committee be consulted and in-

involved as the bill or similar legislation moves forward so we may address any remaining issues within our jurisdiction.

Finally, I request you include your letter and this response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Foreign Affairs, as well as in the Congressional Record during consideration of the bill on the floor.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
Washington, DC, July 20, 2018.

Hon. TREY GOWDY,
Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Govern-
ment Reform, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GOWDY: Thank you for consulting with the Foreign Affairs Committee and agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018, so that the bill may proceed expeditiously to the House floor.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of your committee, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this resolution or similar legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees from your committee to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 5576 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work together as it moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
Washington, DC, July 20, 2018.

Hon. BOB GOODLATTE,
Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GOODLATTE: Thank you for consulting with the Foreign Affairs Committee and agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018, so that the bill may proceed expeditiously to the House floor.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of your committee, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this bill or similar legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees from your committee to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on this measure into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work together as it moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE,
Chairman.

□ 1400

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018. I am proud to be an original cosponsor of this legislation authored by Congressman YOHIO, who did great work with this bill, along with Chairman ROYCE and other members of our committee.

Mr. Speaker, every day, America faces cyber threats from around the world. Countries, including Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea, have attacked our companies, our infrastructure, our government, and even the very heart of our democracy, our elections.

The Cyber Deterrence and Response Act is a step in responding to these threats. This bill requires the President to impose sanctions on those who participate in a state-sponsored cyber attack against the United States.

These far-reaching sanctions could be targeted to individuals, entities, and states themselves that perpetrate these attacks. These include attacks against critical infrastructure, public and private computer networks, and any sector that would jeopardize America's national security, foreign policy, economic health, or financial stability.

This is a bipartisan, good bill, and I urge all Members to support it. But let me be clear: This bill does not do nearly enough to respond to Putin's attack on our democracy. We must continue to do this, but this is an important step and a very good step, and is indicative of the bipartisan cooperation that we have in the Foreign Affairs Committee, which is very, very important when we are dealing with foreign affairs.

Our adversaries need to know that we are united, and that is what a bill like this does. So I congratulate Mr. YOHIO for his hard work on this bill. It is a welcome effort to enhance our response to cyber attacks, but it is a Band-Aid on a bullet wound, if we don't do anything else.

We need to keep doing these kinds of things. Those countries that would wish us ill, we have to let them know that we are not going to just stand idly by and be a target.

In 2016, our Nation was attacked. The very core of our democratic process was hit by Russian cyber forces, and our government has been woefully negligent to adequately respond.

I thank Republican leadership for bringing this bill forward, but we really need to address the critical issue of our vulnerability to these cyber attacks. If we do that, they will bring forward one of the many other stronger bills on this matter as well, for example, my bill that I introduced with Mr. CONNOLLY, the SECURE Our Democracy Act; or the Secure America from Russian Interference Act, which includes my bill; and more than a dozen others. These bills constitute a strong, decisive response that matches the gravity of the threat we face.

These bills would require the President to impose sanctions on those who attacked our elections in 2016 and would do much, much more to shore up our election system. For over a year, I have been pushing and pleading that the bill moves forward. I hope it will move forward as well.

We need to go on record now and say whether we will do everything in our power to stop another attack on American democracy, or whether we will

just step to the side with rhetoric and let it happen again. That is, again, why I am so happy to support this bill, because it shows that we are working together.

But the point I want to make in collaboration with that is that we still have much more work to do. I know the committee, in a couple of weeks, is going to hold a very important hearing on Russia, and I think it is very important that we do that.

Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to support this very good piece of legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOHIO), the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, and the author of this bill.

Mr. YOHIO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act. I thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL and his staff for helping usher this bill through the Foreign Affairs Committee.

The threats of cyber attacks on our country and the American people are growing in their sophistication and frequency by the minute. Targeted attacks against the Federal Government, private businesses, and individual Americans continue to pose escalating threats to our Nation.

Foreign adversaries like Iran, North Korea, Russia, and China have developed sophisticated cyber capabilities that can disrupt our networks, threaten our critical infrastructure, harm our economy, and undermine our elections.

As Members of Congress, we must work to deter and respond to these state-sponsored cyber attacks against the United States.

This is why I stand here today to urge support for H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act. This legislation codifies and enhances the substance of the cyber executive orders that are currently the foundation of the United States cyber policy.

This bill will enhance cybersecurity by defining state-sponsored attacks and establishing strong penalties for would-be attackers. It will deter bad players from attacking the U.S. Government and our businesses.

H.R. 5576 establishes a three-step process for the U.S. strategy to respond to cyber threats.

The first step will require the President to identify and designate individuals and groups who are responsible for and are complicit in state-sponsored cyber attacks as critical cyber threat actors.

This name-and-shame tactic will expose current hackers and deter future threats, making U.S. sanctions more consistent with other successful sanction procedures, like the SDN, which is a Specially Designated National list. The administration would then be tasked with imposing appropriate ac-

tions and sanctions on the designated actors.

A third step would include imposing additional sanctions against the foreign governments that are behind these attacks. In this way, like our State Sponsors of Terrorism list and the Trafficking in Persons watch list, my legislation goes to the governments that are at the root of the threat, not stopping at the agents that carry out the attacks.

As chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, I understand the importance of protecting our Nation from malicious cyber attackers. Some of the worst offenders fall inside my jurisdiction. It is vital that we improve our ability to thwart these potential devastating cyber attacks.

Understand this: An attack on just one government agency or any individual business is an attack on all Americans. To adequately protect our national security from advancing threats, we must put politics aside and put our country first.

The Cyber Deterrence and Response Act will do just that, and I encourage all my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN), an original cosponsor of this legislation and a strong leader on cyber policy in Congress.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I would like to begin by thanking my colleague, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOHIO), for his leadership on this very important issue. I am very proud to have helped shape this strong bill, and I appreciate the gentleman's bipartisan collaboration.

Mr. Speaker, the international community has reached consensus on many norms of responsible state behavior in cyberspace. One key agreement reached by the joint 2015 Group of Governmental Experts is that responsible states do not use cyber means to damage or impair the operation of critical infrastructure that provides services to the public. Yet states regularly flaunt these international rules of the road.

The North Koreans spread the WannaCry pseudo-ransomware last May. The Russians have targeted the electric grid in Ukraine and were behind NotPetya, the most devastating cyber incident in history. Closest to home, Russia launched an assault on our elections with the goal of undermining citizens' faith in our democracy.

President Obama recognized that protecting the Nation's cyberspace has three components: improving our defenses to prevent hackers from getting in; increasing resilience to minimize the damage when they do get in; and imposing costs on states that act against our national interest.

His executive orders, particularly 13694 and 13757, focused on this last point, holding nations accountable through sanctions when they or their

agents target our critical infrastructure. Mr. YOHIO's bill codifies large portions of these executive orders, and it goes further by requiring the President to both designate critical cyber threats and to sanction them.

I strongly support the underlying policy and the enhancements made by my friend from Florida.

Mr. Speaker, I think we also need to go further. The September 2015 Obama-Xi accord on Chinese economic espionage, which remains one of the most successful examples of cyber deterrence, relied on the threat of sanctions targeting the beneficiaries of China's spying, not just PLA members. We must continue to work together in a bipartisan fashion to maximize the efficacy of sanctions, which are intended not to punish but to shape behavior.

Most importantly, though, I hope the President takes more aggressive actions to protect American interests in cyberspace. I criticized President Obama's response to Russia's election interference as too little, too late, and, unfortunately, President Trump has been reticent to act against Russia.

The norms we talk about are norms of behavior, and I remain deeply concerned that our absence of action in response to malign state activity is developing into a norm in and of itself. Actions, as they say, speak louder than words.

However, all told, Mr. Speaker, this bill is an important first step in recognizing that cyber threats are the new weapon of choice for states that seek to sow discord and engage in conflict below the level of the threshold of war.

I again thank Congressman YOHIO for introducing the bill and Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for supporting it. I strongly support H.R. 5576, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK). He is a member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. He is a cosponsor of this legislation. He has formerly worked for the FBI on cyber issues, and we very much appreciate his expertise in this area.

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018.

Mr. Speaker, our adversaries continually engage in sophisticated cyber attacks designed to disrupt our critical infrastructure, harm our economy, and undermine our elections. As has been said time and time again, our Nation's electoral process is sacred, and it must be protected at all costs from interference from all hostile foreign actors.

In February, the Director of National Intelligence stated that Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea will pose the greatest cyber threats to the United States during the course of the next year.

We have seen continued hostility from state actors like Russia to undermine our democratic institutions and

trigger instability in Europe by weakening key partners like Ukraine and Georgia.

Mr. Speaker, I saw this firsthand during my time as an FBI agent working both here domestically and overseas. We must make it clear to these hostile states that they will face harsh consequences for their cyber attacks.

That is exactly what this bipartisan bill accomplishes. The Cyber Deterrence and Response Act establishes a clear framework to deter and respond to state-sponsored cyber threats. It provides harsh sanctions through suspension of developmental assistance and credit allotment to nations engaged in malicious state-sponsored cyber activities against our United States.

We must hold these foreign actors accountable while strengthening the integrity of our intelligence community. I commend my colleague from Florida (Mr. YOHO) for introducing this bill, along with Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for bringing this vital matter to the floor. I urge my colleagues, Democrat and Republican alike, to support this critical legislation that is necessary to protect our national security.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time to close.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CURTIS). He is a member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and a cosponsor of this legislation as well.

Mr. CURTIS. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my friend and colleague, Mr. YOHO, on the floor today with others to speak in support of this bipartisan bill, H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act. I would also like to give a special thanks to Foreign Affairs Committee Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for their support of the bill and moving it through the committee process.

Mr. Speaker, more than 30 nations are currently developing offensive cyber attack capabilities. Earlier this year, the Director of National Intelligence testified before Congress that Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea posed the greatest cyber threats to the United States. He continued and said work to use cyber operations to achieve strategic objectives will continue “unless they face clear repercussions for their cyber operations.”

This bill puts in place those clear repercussions for nations that have and seek to continue to use cyber attacks against the U.S. Specifically, the legislation authorizes the President, acting through the Secretary of State, to designate, where appropriate, foreign persons or agencies as critical cyber threats.

The bill also authorizes both travel and financial sanctions of individuals and agencies designated as critical cyber threats, and the legislation requires Congress to be briefed periodically on state-sponsored cyber activities against the United States.

This bill will help us better protect America's critical infrastructure, national security, healthcare, energy, financial, transportation, and communication systems from hostile state-sponsored cyber attacks.

Additionally, the legislation is important to help us better protect American companies and manufacturers from hackers and cyber intruders.

□ 1415

And maybe most importantly, H.R. 5576 will provide more tools for the U.S. to deter state-sponsored efforts to attack our democratic institutions and electoral systems.

I urge my colleagues to support me in voting in support of the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In closing, I urge all my colleagues once again to support this measure. The scope of the cyber threat that we are facing is immense. This is a good bill, and moves us in the right direction, and I urge all our colleagues to support it.

I want to thank Chairman ROYCE. As usual, I want to thank Chairman ROYCE and Congressman YOHO for their friendship and their hard work on this critical issue.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would really like to thank our colleagues here, especially Representative TED YOHO, the chairman of the Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, and the ranking member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, Mr. ELIOT ENGEL of New York.

I also want to thank the Financial Services Committee that worked with us on this legislation. We want to thank, in addition, the Judiciary Committee. We had the Oversight and Government Reform Committee that worked with us as well in support of this bill.

I think, as Mr. YOHO would share with you, the bill is truly a bipartisan endeavor that has been improved by contributions from multiple committees, government agencies, and the business community. And with the passage of this bill, Congress sends a strong message to our adversaries, that cyberattacks against the United States and against our allies will not be tolerated.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5576, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GLOBAL ELECTORAL EXCHANGE ACT

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5274) to promote international exchanges on best election practices, cultivate more secure democratic institutions around the world, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5274

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Global Electoral Exchange Act”.

SEC. 2. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) recent elections globally have illustrated the urgent need for the promotion and exchange of international best election practices, particularly in the areas of cybersecurity, results transmission, transparency of electoral data, election dispute resolution, and the elimination of discriminatory registration practices and other electoral irregularities;

(2) the advancement of democracy worldwide promotes American interests, as stable democracies provide new market opportunities, improve global health outcomes, and promote economic freedom and regional security;

(3) credible elections are the cornerstone of a healthy democracy and enable all persons to exercise their basic human right to have a say in how they are governed;

(4) inclusive elections strengthen the credibility and stability of democracies more broadly, as democratic institutions flourish when representative of all groups of society;

(5) at the heart of a strong election cycle is the professionalism of the election management body and an empowered civil society; and

(6) the development of local expertise via peer-to-peer learning and exchanges promotes the independence of such bodies from internal and external influence.

SEC. 3. GLOBAL ELECTORAL EXCHANGE.

(a) GLOBAL ELECTORAL EXCHANGE.—The Secretary of State is authorized to establish and administer a Global Electoral Exchange Program to promote the utilization of sound election administration practices around the world.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Global Electoral Exchange Program described in subsection (a) shall include the promotion and exchange of international best election practices, including in the areas of—

- (1) cybersecurity;
- (2) results transmission;
- (3) transparency of electoral data;
- (4) election dispute resolution;
- (5) the elimination of discriminatory registration practices and electoral irregularities;

(6) equitable access to polling places, voter education information, and voting mechanisms (including by persons with disabilities); and

(7) other sound election administration practices.

(c) EXCHANGE OF ELECTORAL AUTHORITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State may, in consultation, as appropriate, with the United States Agency for International Development, make grants to any United States-based organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of

1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code with experience in comparative election systems or subject matter expertise in the areas of election administration or electoral integrity that submits an application in such form, and satisfying such requirements, as the Secretary may require.

(2) **TYPES OF GRANTS.**—An organization described in paragraph (1) may receive a grant for one or more of the following purposes:

(A) To design and implement programs bringing election administrators and officials, including government officials, poll workers, civil society representatives, members of the judiciary, and others who participate in the organization and administration of public elections in a foreign country to the United States to study election procedures in the United States for educational purposes.

(B) To design and implement programs taking United States or another country's election administrators and officials, including government officials, poll workers, civil society representatives, members of the judiciary, and others who participate in the organization and administration of public elections to study election procedures for educational purposes.

(3) **LIMITS ON ACTIVITIES.**—Activities administered under the Global Electoral Exchange Program may not—

(A) include observation of an election for the purposes of assessing the validity or legitimacy of that election; or

(B) facilitate any advocacy for a certain electoral result by a grantee when participating in the Program.

(4) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of State should establish and maintain a network of Global Electoral Exchange Program alumni, to promote communication and further exchange of information regarding sound election administration practices among current and former program participants.

(5) **FURTHER LIMITS.**—A recipient of a grant under the Global Electoral Exchange Program may use such grant for only the purpose for which such grant was awarded, unless otherwise authorized by the Secretary of State.

(6) **NOT DUPLICATIVE.**—Grants made under this subsection may not be duplicative of any other grants made under any other provision of law for similar or related purposes.

SEC. 4. CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT.

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act and in each of the following two years thereafter, the Secretary of State shall provide to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a briefing on the status of any activities carried out pursuant to this Act during the preceding year, which shall include, among other information, the following:

(1) A summary of all exchanges conducted under the Global Electoral Exchange Program, including information regarding grantees, participants, and the locations where program activities were held.

(2) A description of the criteria used to select grantees under the Global Electoral Exchange Program.

(3) Any recommendations for the improvement of the Global Electoral Exchange Program, based on the purpose specified in section 3(b).

SEC. 5. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the requirements of this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASTRO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include any extraneous material in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, America is more secure when fewer nations are authoritarian, and that is the unfortunate alternative to democracy, are authoritarian strongmen. These strongmen regimes justify their repression at home by creating enemies abroad.

Since the freedom we enjoy is a threat to authoritarian regimes, the U.S. and our allies are natural targets for their aggression. We have seen this, unfortunately, with Moscow, with Beijing, and with the regime in North Korea. This is why there is longstanding, bipartisan support in this Congress for our democracy promotion programs overseas.

In recent years, however, we have seen democracy decline worldwide. This is fueled, in many cases, by a decreasing level of public confidence in democracy and in elections. Unfortunately, many people around the world just cannot trust that their elections are free and fair, and we have seen this happen in Honduras, in Cambodia, and in the DRC.

The bill before us addresses these issues by crafting an international exchange program with an objective, and that is, of promoting capabilities and instilling best election practices around this planet. This two-way exchange program will support countries in between their election cycles when they have the time to assess shortcomings. And then it will help them during elections to integrate lessons learned, to build coalitions, and to implement reforms.

Healthy societies depend on elections that accurately reflect the decision of voters. The world has a shared stake in the integrity of the election mechanisms—these are the nuts and bolts of how people cast their votes and how these votes are counted, and how they have done this free from manipulation.

I want to close by noting that this is a very real-time concern, and particularly important in the Western Hemisphere, as there are national elections coming up this fall in Brazil, and next year in Bolivia and El Salvador. So I urge my colleagues to support this measure.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of the bipartisan Global Electoral Exchange Act, H.R. 5274, which I introduced with my colleague, my Republican colleague, MARK MEADOWS, and also others, including my colleague from Texas, TED POE.

I would like to first thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for their support on this legislation and helping bring it to the floor.

It is important to note the bipartisanship of this legislation because strengthening democracies abroad is a critical national interest and one that can be supported by both sides of the aisle.

In recent years, democracies have been reeling across the world, even in our own neighborhood. This is a reversal of a longstanding trend toward democratization that continued through the end of the Cold War in the 1990s.

In countries around the world, there are partners willing to work with us to strengthen their country's democracy. This is naturally in our bipartisan interests.

Our strongest relationships are those with other democracies. Some examples include Canada, Mexico, Japan, India, Australia, and the member nations of the European Union. When two countries speak the language of democracy, when they each commit to the rule of law and the will of the people, the potential for a genuine partnership is formed.

An election is a complex endeavor. It is an exercise a society undertakes together. To run effectively and efficiently, an election requires an engaged public, robust institutions, and a transparent, technically sound electoral mechanism.

There are certain practices we ascribe to effective election systems, including a secret ballot, inclusive voting systems, chain of custody, neutral instructions to voters, and so much more of what may be considered good electoral practices.

When elections are technically unsound, their results can be suspect. We saw this firsthand in Kenya and Honduras over the last year, where electoral failure led to election violence and a questionable outcome for many.

It is in the interests of both the incumbent looking to be re-elected with the legitimacy that an election brings, and the challenger seeking to rise to office, to support transparent election practices.

The State Department and USAID already engage in excellent work, in partnership with groups like the National Endowment for Democracy, National Democratic Institute, International Republican Institute, and International Foundation for Electoral Systems, supporting democracy worldwide.

This bipartisan bill augments those efforts, allowing the Secretary of State

to support exchange programs with other countries to promote best practices in election administration.

When we bring folks over here to show them how we do things and send Americans to other nations to see how elections are conducted overseas, we can have candid conversations on how all of us can improve.

I would like to thank, again, Congressman MEADOWS for working with me on this bipartisan bill, and for all of his insight in making this bill and this legislation more effective.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I would like to thank the authors of the bill. I would like to thank Representative CASTRO and Representative MEADOWS. I would like to thank also our ranking member, Mr. ENGEL. They put a lot of work in on this important legislation.

There has long been a strong bipartisan consensus in Congress on the importance of promoting democracy overseas, and this is because America is undeniably more secure when fewer nations are authoritarian.

But democracy promotion is not just about that. It is not just about our security. It is also morally just. Human rights are far better protected in democratic countries, ones without dank prison cells full of political prisoners.

Elections are an important part of democracy, but all too often, elections overseas are plagued, often by corruption or technical challenges, sometimes by lack of transparency. And this bill will address these impediments by creating an electoral exchange program so that we can take our best practices and lessons learned overseas to help local governments improve their own election capacity.

So I urge my colleagues to support this measure, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5274, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EMPOWERING STUDENTS THROUGH ENHANCED FINANCIAL COUNSELING ACT

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 1635.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ALLEN). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 1635.

The Chair appoints the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS) to preside over the Committee of the Whole.

□ 1428

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 1635) to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, with Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIR. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

General debate shall not exceed 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

The gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE) and the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. BONAMICI) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise to speak today in support of H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act, which I introduced earlier this Congress with my friend, Congresswoman SUZANNE BONAMICI of Oregon.

As the school year begins, high school seniors across the country are trying to figure out their next steps. Many of these students will ultimately decide to attend a traditional 4-year university or community college program.

Parents and students know too well that the cost of college tuition has climbed dramatically over the last decade. I know that many families are worried about how to afford a college education. As college tuition has risen, student loan debt has surged to more than \$1.4 trillion, surpassing both national auto loan debt and credit card debt.

□ 1430

This should be a concern to everyone, not only to those with loan debt to their names. Many borrowers are entering the workforce with overwhelming debt that will play a role not only in their own lives, but in the health of the American economy as a whole.

Student loans can, of course, play a positive role in helping students attend college when they borrow mindfully and responsibly. Unfortunately, many students enter into binding loan contracts with their respective universities without fully appreciating the

gravity of the financial decision they are making and the consequences it can have on their futures.

A recent survey of current students and new graduates with a high level of debt found that more than 40 percent had no recollection of having received financial counseling, even though current law requires that students receive entrance counseling before receiving their first loan.

It is vitally important for students to be equipped with all the facts of their loan agreement so they can finance their postsecondary education responsibly and with eyes wide open. The Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act is designed to improve financial aid counseling for students receiving a Pell grant or a Federal loan. H.R. 1635 increases the timing, frequency, and content of mandatory student loan counseling.

Under current law, borrowers are required to receive counseling only when they arrive on campus and upon graduation, which makes it easy for borrowers to lose sight of just how much debt they are acquiring each year and the responsibility they bear to pay it back. H.R. 1635 requires financial counseling for students and parents who participate in Federal loan programs before they sign the dotted line on their loans. After that, students would participate in annual counseling so they can continue to understand their financial obligations and how new loans would affect their payments later on.

The importance of annually providing information was dramatically reinforced in a recent year when Indiana University reported they were able to reduce undergraduate Stafford loan disbursements by 11 percent—11 percent—or \$31 million, by telling students annually what their monthly payment would be after graduation before the students took out loans for the next school year. This was more than a fivefold decrease in outlays compared to public schools nationally.

In addition to making the counseling an annual event, this bill would require the counseling to include recommendations to students to pursue all available grant, work study, and scholarship assistance prior to taking out loans, as well as provide them with information about the differences between Federal and private loans in bankruptcy. Exit counseling would include information about the borrower's remaining loan balance as well as what to anticipate throughout repayment of the loan.

The legislation would also require borrowers to affirmatively provide consent each year before receiving additional Federal loans instead of automatically receiving the full offered amount every year.

The bill also equips low-income students with enhanced information about the terms and conditions of the Pell Grant Program by providing annual financial counseling to all grant recipients. The counseling will include information about the expenses the grant

covers, the level of assistance the students are able to receive, and ways to seek additional assistance in the case of changing financial circumstances. Under current law, Pell grant recipients are not required to receive any counseling.

This bipartisan legislation will help America's students and families borrow responsibly and understand their aid package better so that new graduates have the very best chance of success upon graduation.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in support of the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act, a bill I introduced with Congressman GUTHRIE.

Mr. Chair, I want to thank Chairwoman FOXX, Ranking Member SCOTT, and Congressman GUTHRIE for their leadership on this bill. I would also like to thank the Education and the Workforce Committee staff on both sides of the aisle for their hard work to include the shared priorities of Members in this bill.

This bill shows that there are areas of bipartisan consensus in Congress.

Today, a college education continues to be a powerful force for economic and social mobility in our country. I know. Neither of my parents graduated from college. I worked my way first through community college, which opened the door to the university and then to law school.

I am pleased that Democrats and Republicans are working together to take a meaningful step toward addressing the student loan debt crisis, which is now shockingly close to consumer debt in this country.

This bill recognizes and addresses the clear need for enhanced financial counseling. More than 40 million Americans are struggling with student loan debt, and default rates are climbing.

At the same time, there is evidence that student loan debt is a drag on the broader economy. For many borrowers, student loan debt affects the ability to buy a home, to purchase a new car, or to afford childcare. Student loan borrowers may be unable to access capital to start a small business or they may put off saving for retirement.

That is why we need to help current and future students understand their rights and obligations as borrowers, and we need to help them forecast their obligations in the years after college so they can make informed decisions now for the years ahead.

One of the frustrations I have heard from former students in Oregon is that they didn't understand all of the jumble of terms in their loan agreement or all of the differences between Federal and private student loans. With this bill, students, whether they are sophomores or seniors, will have information about how much they have borrowed,

what they are expected to borrow, how their loans will accrue interest, and what they can expect their monthly payment to be when they leave college. They will be better able to see their road to repayment.

Importantly, this bill provides annual counseling, so borrowers who don't graduate will still receive information about what to expect when they leave school and have to start repayment.

Borrowers will have more clarity about their monthly payments under two repayment plans: income-based repayment and the standard 10-year option. That is critical for students to see what those differences will be, and they will with this bill. Clarifying and streamlining this information will simplify the repayment process for borrowers and reduce default.

Borrowers will be reminded each year they don't have to borrow the full amount made available to them. They should consider grants, work study, and Federal loans before turning to private lenders.

Unlike current practice, borrowers will receive financial counseling before signing their master promissory notes, and they will be reminded that they can repay interest before it capitalizes.

For the first time, parent borrowers of student loans will be given virtually the same information about their loans that the students receive.

Additionally, this bill will extend counseling to Pell grant recipients so they understand the limits on eligibility for the grants and the circumstances under which they would be asked to repay their grants, helping students to avoid expensive surprises.

Finally, this bill does not interrupt the disbursement of financial aid, and it delivers enhanced student loan information in consumer-tested formats that check for students' understanding. It will make sure we provide personalized borrower information in a way that borrowers understand.

Mr. Chair, again, I want to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for their support, and I encourage all my colleagues to support the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX), the chairwoman of the full committee.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Kentucky for yielding time.

Mr. Chair, the 115th Congress has been a landmark time for the Committee on Education and the Workforce. Committee members have come together to build the case for historic postsecondary education reforms.

With more than \$1.4 trillion in student debt and a skills gap that has resulted in more than 6 million unfilled jobs, we have recognized that restoring the promise of postsecondary education

isn't simply a good idea; it is our responsibility.

The Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act recognizes that students and families are the most important players in reforming postsecondary education. Giving them all the information they need to make wise Federal financial aid decisions can put a dent in all this debt. Better financial education before a borrower signs on the dotted line will help students and protect taxpayer dollars.

Knowledge really is power, especially in how we make practical financial decisions. Student borrowers and parents, if they are trying to help, should understand and control their financial situation; their financial situation should not control them.

As chair of the Higher Education and Workforce Development Subcommittee, Representative BRETT GUTHRIE has been a champion for commonsense reforms, and this legislation is the result of his leadership. I commend his work, as well as Representative BONAMICI and her many Democrat colleagues for joining in this effort.

Mr. Chair, I urge every Member of this body to support this legislation and make sure students and families in their districts know about it.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairwoman for her remarks.

This bill we are considering today is an important step to protect students and borrowers, but it is not a substitute for an update to the Higher Education Act.

We still have more work to do to invest in our Nation's students, our future leaders. We especially need to do more to keep higher education within reach for low-income students and working families.

We need to strengthen the Pell Grant Program, which has served as a foundation of support and opportunity for many Americans and helped them afford college.

We need to do more to address State disinvestment and partner with States. State disinvestment in higher education has also led to a rise in college costs, and we need to do more to make sure student loan borrowers have access to affordable repayment plans.

The Democratic proposal to update the Higher Education Act, the Aim Higher Act, will do all of this and more.

I am glad we are considering this bipartisan proposal today instead of the PROSPER Act, which would actually make college less affordable and accessible by cutting student aid by billions of dollars.

The Aim Higher Act, on the other hand, invests in students and makes higher education more affordable through robust funding in financial aid programs. It also addresses the rising cost of college through the creation of a Federal-State partnership to reduce

the student debt burden on families. It is a thoughtful package that deserves to be considered on the House floor.

The legislation we are considering today, though not a comprehensive reauthorization, will protect students and families and make a real, positive difference for grant recipients and student loan borrowers.

Mr. Chair, I do urge all of my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. ESTES).

Mr. ESTES of Kansas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act.

As a member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce and a father of three, I value the importance of higher education and making sure everyone has a way to pay for it.

For the last decade, a generation of students have graduated college with massive debt and little prospects for a job.

Thankfully, today, unemployment is at a historic low, and for the first time ever, we have more job openings than job seekers. Our economy is finally on the move, but the burden of student debt remains. In fact, Americans currently have more than \$1.4 trillion in student debt. Unfortunately, much of this aid was received without adequate information about how to make the best financial choices.

Currently, individuals receiving student financial loans must complete a one-time entrance counseling session, but this counseling can occur after the students have already signed up to take out the loan and is not required for those who receive Pell grants.

Before completing classes, students must complete exit counseling. However, this information is very general, rather than being specific to the individual's specific situation.

These generic counseling sessions have failed our country and not helped students to put themselves on financially sound footing. That is why I am proud to support H.R. 1635.

This bill ensures borrowers who participate in a Federal loan program or receive a Pell grant get targeted counseling every year and not just once they enter and exit the program.

It also provides awareness about financial obligation borrowers are accumulating by requiring the borrower's consent each year before receiving Federal student loans.

These steps give students the tools they need to be financially responsible, while having the opportunities to get the education they deserve.

Mr. Chair, I want to thank my colleagues on the Committee on Education and the Workforce for recognizing the importance of financial literacy and bringing forth this legislation that will help families in Kansas and throughout the country.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

□ 1445

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE), my good friend from the eastern part of the great State of Tennessee and the chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in support of H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act. I am a proud cosponsor of the bill and believe it will take a step forward in improving student financial literacy today.

Student loan debt has risen at an alarming rate over the last dozen years, from roughly \$480 billion in 2006 to over \$1.4 trillion this year. The American Government is the guarantor of more than 90 percent of that debt. Today's graduates, on average, leave campus with more than \$35,000 in debt.

Mr. Chairman, let me give an example. I was raised in a middle-class family. My mother was a bank teller. My dad was a factory worker. I was able to go to college and medical school in 7 years and graduate with no debt.

The medical students who I taught in medical school at our medical school in east Tennessee had an average debt of over \$175,000 when they left school, an enormous debt. Many of them had upward of \$300,000 in debt and will spend many years paying their way out of that.

Today's graduates, as I said, graduate with more than \$35,000 in debt, and, currently, only students receiving Federal loans are required to complete a financial counseling session.

This counseling is provided only twice and only to students. Counseling is offered the first time the student takes out a Federal loan and again upon graduation, which is way too late, and does not apply to a large pool of students receiving Federal aid, those receiving the Pell grant.

H.R. 1635 requires students to receive annual counseling about their Federal loan and Pell grants. In other words, you don't have to take out the maximum loan. Just take out what you need. Additionally, parents who apply for a parent PLUS loan would also have to receive financial counseling.

While no solution is perfect, these counseling sessions will give borrowers important information about their Federal financial aid and, hopefully, leave them with a better understanding of their repayment options and recommendations about grants, work study, and scholarship opportunities.

In our State of Tennessee, as my good friend was mentioning a minute ago, we provide community college and technical school for free. This fall, we are beginning a program that is called Tennessee Reconnect, where you can go back to school if you have lost your job and you are middle-aged and you need to be retrained. You can go do that for free in our State.

We believe that we need to retrain a workforce in our country for the jobs of tomorrow, and without this onerous debt, which a lot of people won't go to school because they realize it costs so much money that they can never pay it back.

H.R. 1635 will encourage a better-informed decisionmaking on the part of borrowers and increase financial literacy.

Mr. Chair, I encourage my colleagues to support this commonsense bill.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. FERGUSON).

Mr. FERGUSON. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of H.R. 1635 because our country is facing a student debt crisis.

In fact, nearly 580,000 student borrowers defaulted on their loans in just the last year. This debt crisis has been created, in part, because students and their parents aren't given the full information they need to make informed decisions about paying for college.

Right now, only students receiving Federal loans are required to receive financial counseling. Even then, they only receive counseling when they take out their first loan and upon graduation. That means that countless students are signing up for thousands of dollars in loans and arriving on campus before they have received any advice about these major financial choices.

This is just wrong. Our young people should not be left in the dark as they make decisions that will impact their lives for years after graduation.

That is why I am supporting H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act, which would make sure that students know all of their funding options, including grants, work study, and scholarship assistance, before taking out loans.

The legislation would also ensure students and parents receive financial aid counseling before they sign on the dotted line.

Higher education should be a stepping-stone to success, not a financial drag on our students' futures. By giving students and their parents the best information possible to make financial decisions, we can ensure students are prepared for a bright future.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG), my good friend.

Mr. WALBERG. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in strong support of the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act.

For families in Michigan who are struggling to make ends meet, figuring out how to pay for college is a big challenge. Young people today who are graduating and entering the workforce face overwhelming college student loan

debt. When considering financial aid options, we need to empower students to make the best, fully informed decisions about their futures.

This bipartisan bill will promote access to financial counseling so students better understand the process and receive personalized advice that speaks to their personal situations.

It also will provide counseling assistance for the first time to low-income students who participate in the Pell Grant Program.

I am grateful to Chairman GUTHRIE, Ranking Member BONAMICI, and my colleagues on the Education and the Workforce Committee for their work on this legislation.

Encouraging financial literacy is an important step to help prepare students for successful futures. I urge passage of this bipartisan bill.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. ALLEN), my good friend.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Chairman, I thank Chairman GUTHRIE for his work on this important legislation.

I rise today in strong support of H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act.

As the father of 4 and grandfather of 12, with 1 on the way, I understand the anxiety that rising education costs can have on our American families.

Students go to school to get a job, not to wrangle with the Federal Government over student loans. With student loan debt climbing to over \$1.5 trillion nationwide, we must do more to ensure students are aware of the financial obligations of accepting a Federal student loan.

With over 40 years of business experience, I have applied for loans. I have provided a business plan and proof of performance, showing that I can repay those loans. And, over time, I have done just that.

However, in education, many students and families are not receiving the necessary information about their loans and grants in order to make responsible financial choices. I want students and families to clearly understand that just because the Federal Government will give you a loan, you do not have to take the full amount. The less in loans you take out, the less you have to pay back.

H.R. 1635 addresses the lack of information provided for those taking out student loans. Students and families will now receive counseling every year and detailed loan information so that students have sound decisionmaking tools when it comes to borrowing and repaying student loans.

As cosponsor of H.R. 1635, I was also proud to introduce an amendment that will allow any eligible institution to provide additional information and counseling services to Federal student aid recipients.

In my district, hardly a day goes by that I don't run into a former student who asks me: What am I going to do about this student loan debt? And once I discover the consequences of their decisions, it makes me more supportive of this legislation, so that these young people can understand exactly what they are getting into.

We owe it to these American students to pass the legislation before us today, and I hope that my colleagues will join me in supporting H.R. 1635.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Oregon has 23 minutes remaining.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I want to again thank Mr. GUTHRIE for cosponsoring this legislation with me, and thank the leadership, both Chairwoman FOXX and Ranking Member SCOTT, for their support, and all of the staff. I want to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for their support for this legislation.

Mr. ROE from Tennessee mentioned his story, and it is not unlike mine, from a middle-class family, went through 7 years of higher education on my own, working my way through. I ended up with a very modest amount of student debt.

As I say, that is not what I am hearing from families today in Oregon, and I know my colleagues are not hearing that from their constituents across the country.

I also want to point out how the student loan debt crisis is affecting the workforce issues that we all talk about and care about.

An example is that there are many people who wanted to go into primary care. They are going through medical school. They wanted to go into primary care. I just had a conversation with one last week. Too many medical students are choosing higher paying specialties, not because that is where their passion or interest is, but because their student loan debt is so high.

I just had a great conversation on Labor Day with some firefighters in the district I am honored to represent. I thanked them for working, keeping our communities safe. They are concerned about student debt, and they are very concerned about the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program, which, of course, is threatened under the PROSPER Act.

We need to come back to the table and talk about how we can preserve the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program.

Today, however, I urge all of my colleagues to take this important step forward on this bipartisan legislation, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act. I encourage broad support for the bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, this is important. It is a first step, but it is a big step and an important step as we look at what is going on in the cost of our higher education.

Mr. Chairman, I know that, in our beloved Commonwealth, our Governor and our legislators are trying to deal with the demands on the budget, and they are working hard on it. But in the meantime, the costs to go to school in our Commonwealth and across the country have increased. Students have to rely more on Federal subsidized loans and Pell grants.

We hear stories, like the one that Dr. ROE talked about, of people leaving professional school with a six-figure debt. But we hear about an awful lot of people who have \$6,000, \$7,000, and \$10,000 worth of debt. They have to drop out, and they have no degree, and they have a hard time paying it back.

This bill lays out, through the course of their time in school, for each year, what their payments will be, and it walks them through what they really need. Do they need to take out the full loan?

Back when colleges weren't that expensive, I remember people saying: Take out the full loan; that is the cheapest money you will ever have. Well, it becomes the most expensive money you have ever had over time, as interest rates build up and other things move forward.

This bill is to make sure students are aware. It is something we agree on. We do it bipartisan. I expect a big bipartisan vote, and we do work together. There are issues that we have differences of opinion on, and there are issues that we have similar opinions on.

When I was home in my State in August, people would say: Can't you find things to work together on? And we do, as a matter of fact, on most of the things we do. It is just not what tends to get out into the news.

So I appreciate the hard work of my friend from Oregon, and I appreciate the hard work of our committee.

Mr. Chair, I urge the passage of this bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIR. All time for general debate has expired.

Pursuant to the rule, the bill shall be considered for amendment under the 5-minute rule.

The bill shall be considered as read.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1635

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act".

SEC. 2. ANNUAL COUNSELING.

Section 485(l) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1092(l)) is amended to read as follows:

"(1) ANNUAL FINANCIAL AID COUNSELING.—

"(1) ANNUAL DISCLOSURE REQUIRED.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Each eligible institution shall ensure that each individual who receives a Federal Pell Grant or a loan made

under part D (other than a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan) receives comprehensive information on the terms and conditions of such Federal Pell Grant or loan and the responsibilities the individual has with respect to such Federal Pell Grant or loan. Such information shall be provided, for each award year for which the individual receives such Federal Pell Grant or loan, in a simple and understandable manner—

“(i) during a counseling session conducted in person;

“(ii) online, with the individual acknowledging receipt of the information; or

“(iii) through the use of the online counseling tool described in subsection (n)(1)(B).

“(B) USE OF INTERACTIVE PROGRAMS.—In the case of institutions not using the online counseling tool described in subsection (n)(1)(B), the Secretary shall require such institutions to carry out the requirements of subparagraph (A) through the use of interactive programs, during an annual counseling session that is in-person or online, that test the individual's understanding of the terms and conditions of the Federal Pell Grant or loan awarded to the individual, using simple and understandable language and clear formatting.

“(2) ALL INDIVIDUALS.—The information to be provided under paragraph (1)(A) to each individual receiving counseling under this subsection shall include the following:

“(A) An explanation of how the individual may budget for typical educational expenses and a sample budget based on the cost of attendance for the institution.

“(B) An explanation that an individual has a right to annually request a disclosure of information collected by a consumer reporting agency pursuant to section 612(a) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681j(a)).

“(C) Based on the most recent data available from the American Community Survey available from the Department of Commerce, the estimated average income and percentage of employment in the State of domicile of the individual for individuals with—

“(i) a high school diploma or equivalent;

“(ii) some post-secondary education without completion of a degree or certificate; and

“(iii) a bachelor's degree.

“(D) An introduction to the financial management resources provided by the Financial Literacy and Education Commission.

“(3) STUDENTS RECEIVING FEDERAL PELL GRANTS.—The information to be provided under paragraph (1)(A) to each student receiving a Federal Pell Grant shall include the following:

“(A) An explanation of the terms and conditions of the Federal Pell Grant.

“(B) An explanation of approved educational expenses for which the student may use the Federal Pell Grant.

“(C) An explanation of why the student may have to repay the Federal Pell Grant.

“(D) An explanation of the maximum number of semesters or equivalent for which the student may be eligible to receive a Federal Pell Grant, and a statement of the amount of time remaining for which the student may be eligible to receive a Federal Pell Grant.

“(E) An explanation that if the student transfers to another institution not all of the student's courses may be acceptable in transfer toward meeting specific degree or program requirements at such institution, but the amount of time remaining for which a student may be eligible to receive a Federal Pell Grant, as provided under subparagraph (D), will not change.

“(F) An explanation of how the student may seek additional financial assistance from the institution's financial aid office due to a change in the student's financial circumstances, and the contact information for such office.

“(4) BORROWERS RECEIVING LOANS MADE UNDER PART D (OTHER THAN PARENT PLUS LOANS).—The information to be provided under paragraph (1)(A) to a borrower of a loan made under part D (other than a Federal Direct PLUS Loan made on behalf of a dependent student) shall include the following:

“(A) To the extent practicable, the effect of accepting the loan to be disbursed on the eligibility of the borrower for other forms of student financial assistance.

“(B) An explanation of the use of the master promissory note.

“(C) An explanation that the borrower is not required to accept the full amount of the loan offered to the borrower.

“(D) An explanation that the borrower should consider accepting any grant, scholarship, or State or Federal work-study jobs for which the borrower is eligible prior to accepting Federal student loans.

“(E) A recommendation to the borrower to exhaust the borrower's Federal student loan options prior to taking out private education loans, an explanation that Federal student loans typically offer better terms and conditions than private education loans, an explanation of treatment of loans made under part D and private education loans in bankruptcy, and an explanation that if a borrower decides to take out a private education loan—

“(i) the borrower has the ability to select a private educational lender of the borrower's choice;

“(ii) the proposed private education loan may impact the borrower's potential eligibility for other financial assistance, including Federal financial assistance under this title; and

“(iii) the borrower has a right—

“(I) to accept the terms of the private education loan within 30 calendar days following the date on which the application for such loan is approved and the borrower receives the required disclosure documents, pursuant to section 128(e) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1638(e)); and

“(II) to cancel such loan within 3 business days of the date on which the loan is consummated, pursuant to section 128(e)(7) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 1638(e)(7)).

“(F) An explanation of the approved educational expenses for which the borrower may use a loan made under part D.

“(G) Information on the annual and aggregate loan limits for Federal Direct Stafford Loans and Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford Loans.

“(H) Information on how interest accrues and is capitalized during periods when the interest is not paid by either the borrower or the Secretary.

“(I) In the case of a Federal Direct PLUS Loan or a Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford Loan, the option of the borrower to pay the interest while the borrower is in school.

“(J) The definition of half-time enrollment at the institution, during regular terms and summer school, if applicable, and the consequences of not maintaining at least half-time enrollment.

“(K) An explanation of the importance of contacting the appropriate offices at the institution of higher education if the borrower withdraws prior to completing the borrower's program of study so that the institution can provide exit counseling, including information regarding the borrower's repayment options and loan consolidation.

“(L) For a first-time borrower—

“(i) a statement of the anticipated balance on the loan for which the borrower is receiving counseling under this subsection;

“(ii) based on such anticipated balance, the anticipated monthly payment amount under, at minimum—

“(I) the standard repayment plan; and

“(II) an income-based repayment plan under section 493C, as determined using regionally available data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the average starting salary for the occupation in which the borrower has an interest in or intends to be employed; and

“(iii) an estimate of the projected monthly payment amount under each repayment plan described in clause (ii), based on the average cumulative indebtedness at graduation for borrowers of loans made under part D who are in the same program of study as the borrower.

“(M) For a borrower with an outstanding balance of principal or interest due on a loan made under this title—

“(i) a current statement of the amount of such outstanding balance and interest accrued;

“(ii) based on such outstanding balance, the anticipated monthly payment amount under, at minimum, the standard repayment plan and, using regionally available data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the average starting salary for the occupation the borrower intends to be employed, an income-based repayment plan under section 493C; and

“(iii) an estimate of the projected monthly payment amount under each repayment plan described in clause (ii), based on—

“(I) the outstanding balance described in clause (i);

“(II) the anticipated outstanding balance on the loan for which the student is receiving counseling under this subsection; and

“(III) a projection for any other loans made under part D that the borrower is reasonably expected to accept during the borrower's program of study based on at least the expected increase in the cost of attendance of such program.

“(N) The obligation of the borrower to repay the full amount of the loan, regardless of whether the borrower completes or does not complete the program in which the borrower is enrolled within the regular time for program completion.

“(O) The likely consequences of default on the loan, including adverse credit reports, delinquent debt collection procedures under Federal law, and litigation, and a notice of the institution's most recent cohort default rate (defined in section 435(m)), an explanation of the cohort default rate, the most recent national average cohort default rate, and the most recent national average cohort default rate for the category of institution described in section 435(m)(4) to which the institution belongs.

“(P) Information on the National Student Loan Data System and how the borrower can access the borrower's records.

“(Q) The contact information for the institution's financial aid office or other appropriate office at the institution the borrower may contact if the borrower has any questions about the borrower's rights and responsibilities or the terms and conditions of the loan.

“(5) BORROWERS RECEIVING PARENT PLUS LOANS FOR DEPENDENT STUDENTS.—The information to be provided under paragraph (1)(A) to a borrower of a Federal Direct PLUS Loan made on behalf of a dependent student shall include the following:

“(A) The information described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) and (N) through (Q) of paragraph (4).

“(B) The option of the borrower to pay the interest on the loan while the loan is in deferment.

“(C) For a first-time borrower of such loan—

“(i) a statement of the anticipated balance on the loan for which the borrower is receiving counseling under this subsection;

“(ii) based on such anticipated balance, the anticipated monthly payment amount under the standard repayment plan; and

“(iii) an estimate of the projected monthly payment amount under the standard repayment plan, based on the average cumulative indebtedness of other borrowers of Federal Direct PLUS Loans made on behalf of dependent students who are in the same program of study as the student on whose behalf the borrower borrowed the loan.

“(D) For a borrower with an outstanding balance of principal or interest due on such loan—

“(i) a statement of the amount of such outstanding balance;

“(ii) based on such outstanding balance, the anticipated monthly payment amount under the standard repayment plan; and

“(iii) an estimate of the projected monthly payment amount under the standard repayment plan, based on—

“(I) the outstanding balance described in clause (i);

“(II) the anticipated outstanding balance on the loan for which the borrower is receiving counseling under this subsection; and

“(III) a projection for any other Federal Direct PLUS Loan made on behalf of the dependent student that the borrower is reasonably expected to accept during the program of study of such student based on at least the expected increase in the cost of attendance of such program.

“(E) Debt management strategies that are designed to facilitate the repayment of such indebtedness.

“(F) An explanation that the borrower has the options to prepay each loan, pay each loan on a shorter schedule, and change repayment plans.

“(G) For each Federal Direct PLUS Loan made on behalf of a dependent student for which the borrower is receiving counseling under this subsection, the contact information for the loan servicer of the loan and a link to such servicer’s Website.

“(6) ANNUAL LOAN ACCEPTANCE.—Prior to making the first disbursement of a loan made under part D (other than a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan) to a borrower for an award year, an eligible institution, shall, as part of carrying out the counseling requirements of this subsection for the loan, ensure that after receiving the applicable counseling under paragraphs (2), (4), and (5) for the loan the borrower accepts the loan for such award year by—

“(A) signing the master promissory note for the loan;

“(B) signing and returning to the institution a separate written statement that affirmatively states that the borrower accepts the loan; or

“(C) electronically signing an electronic version of the statement described in subparagraph (B).”.

SEC. 3. EXIT COUNSELING.

Section 485(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1092(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A)—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “through financial aid offices or otherwise” and inserting “through the use of an interactive program, during an exit counseling session that is in-person or online, or through the use of the online counseling tool described in subsection (n)(1)(A)”;

(B) by redesignating clauses (i) through (ix) as clauses (iv) through (xii), respectively;

(C) by inserting before clause (iv), as so redesignated, the following:

“(i) a summary of the outstanding balance of principal and interest due on the loans made to the borrower under part B, D, or E;

“(ii) an explanation of the grace period preceding repayment and the expected date that the borrower will enter repayment;

“(iii) an explanation that the borrower has the option to pay any interest that has accrued while the borrower was in school or that may accrue during the grace period preceding repayment or during an authorized period of deferment or forbearance, prior to the capitalization of the interest;”;

(D) in clause (iv), as so redesignated—

(i) by striking “sample information showing the average” and inserting “information, based on the borrower’s outstanding balance described in clause (i), showing the borrower’s”; and

(ii) by striking “of each plan” and inserting “of at least the standard repayment plan and the income-based repayment plan under section 493C”;

(E) in clause (ix), as so redesignated—

(i) by inserting “decreased credit score,” after “credit reports,”; and

(ii) by inserting “reduced ability to rent or purchase a home or car, potential difficulty in securing employment,” after “Federal law.”;

(F) in clause (x), as so redesignated, by striking “consolidation loan under section 428C or a”;

(G) in clauses (xi) and (xii), as so redesignated, by striking “and” at the end; and

(H) by adding at the end the following:

“(xiii) for each of the borrower’s loans made under part B, D, or E for which the borrower is receiving counseling under this subsection, the contact information for the loan servicer of the loan and a link to such servicer’s Website; and

“(xiv) an explanation that an individual has a right to annually request a disclosure of information collected by a consumer reporting agency pursuant to section 612(a) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681j(a)).”;

(2) in paragraph (1)(B)—

(A) by inserting “online or” before “in writing”; and

(B) by adding before the period at the end the following: “, except that in the case of an institution using the online counseling tool described in subsection (n)(1)(A), the Secretary shall attempt to provide such information to the student in the manner described in subsection (n)(3)(C)”;

(3) in paragraph (2)(C), by inserting “, such as the online counseling tool described in subsection (n)(1)(A),” after “electronic means”.

SEC. 4. ONLINE COUNSELING TOOLS.

Section 485 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1092) is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(n) ONLINE COUNSELING TOOLS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act, the Secretary shall maintain—

“(A) an online counseling tool that provides the exit counseling required under subsection (b) and meets the applicable requirements of this subsection; and

“(B) an online counseling tool that provides the annual counseling required under subsection (1) and meets the applicable requirements of this subsection.

“(2) REQUIREMENTS OF TOOLS.—In maintaining the online counseling tools described in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure that each such tool is—

“(A) consumer tested, in consultation with other relevant Federal agencies, to ensure that the tool is effective in helping individ-

uals understand their rights and obligations with respect to borrowing a loan made under part D or receiving a Federal Pell Grant;

“(B) understandable to students receiving Federal Pell Grants and borrowers of loans made under part D; and

“(C) freely available to all eligible institutions.

“(3) RECORD OF COUNSELING COMPLETION.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) use each online counseling tool described in paragraph (1) to keep a record of which individuals have received counseling using the tool, and notify the applicable institutions of the individual’s completion of such counseling;

“(B) in the case of a borrower who receives annual counseling for a loan made under part D using the tool described in paragraph (1)(B), notify the borrower by when the borrower should accept, in a manner described in subsection (1)(6), the loan for which the borrower has received such counseling; and

“(C) in the case of a borrower described in subsection (b)(1)(B) at an institution that uses the online counseling tool described in paragraph (1)(A) of this subsection, the Secretary shall attempt to provide the information described in subsection (b)(1)(A) to the borrower through such tool.”.

SEC. 5. LONGITUDINAL STUDY ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF STUDENT LOAN COUNSELING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Education, acting through the Director of the Institute of Education Sciences, shall begin conducting a rigorous, longitudinal study of the impact and effectiveness of the student loan counseling—

(1) provided under subsections (b), (1), and (n) of section 485 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1092), as amended by this Act; and

(2) provided through such other means as the Secretary of Education may determine.

(b) CONTENTS.—

(1) BORROWER INFORMATION.—The longitudinal study carried out under subsection (a) shall include borrower information, in the aggregate and disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, income, and status as an individual with a disability, on—

(A) student persistence;

(B) degree attainment;

(C) program completion;

(D) successful entry into student loan repayment;

(E) cumulative borrowing levels; and

(F) such other factors as the Secretary of Education may determine.

(2) EXCEPTION.—The disaggregation under paragraph (1) shall not be required in a case in which the number of borrowers in a category is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally identifiable information about an individual borrower.

(c) INTERIM REPORTS.—Not later than 18 months after the commencement of the study under subsection (a), and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Education shall evaluate the progress of the study and report any short-term findings to the appropriate committees of Congress.

SEC. 6. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.

(a) USE OF EXISTING FUNDS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for maintaining the Department of Education’s Financial Awareness Counseling Tool, \$2,000,000 shall be available to carry out this Act and the amendments made by this Act.

(b) NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.—No funds are authorized to be appropriated by this Act to carry out this Act or the amendments made by this Act.

The CHAIR. No amendment to the bill shall be in order except those

printed in part A of House Report 115-919. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MS. STEFANIK

The CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 1 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 4, after line 11, insert the following:

“(E) An explanation of how the student may seek additional financial assistance from the institution’s financial aid office due to a change in the student’s financial circumstances, and the contact information for such office.

Page 5, strike lines 12 through 16.

Page 15, line 15, insert “and for such amount as is specified by the borrower” after “such award year”.

The CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. STEFANIK) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York.

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Chairman, I thank Chairwoman Foxx.

Today, young Americans are burdened with more than \$1.4 trillion in student debt. As the cost of college tuition continues to rise, we know that students who do not complete their degrees and graduate bear the heaviest burden when paying back loans.

□ 1500

This is why we must ensure that borrowing is done wisely and that we provide students with information so they don’t feel compelled to drop out because of a change in finances.

Financial literacy is the foundation needed for students to make informed decisions, yet over 40 percent of students carrying high debt loads cannot remember ever receiving financial counseling.

Many students work very hard to line up savings, scholarships, grants, and loans to pay for their education only to have something change in their personal life. This could be the death or an illness of a family member, the loss of a parent’s or student’s job, an investment that didn’t work out, or any number of situations resulting in a significant change to the student’s financial circumstances.

When students are faced with these hardships, some rush into a loan that may not be in their best interest, and some may even decide to drop out of school. We should not accept either of those outcomes, especially when our Nation’s schools are equipped with the dedicated support of financial aid offi-

cers. These individuals are able to help guide a student through the many complexities of financing higher education and are also ready and willing to guide students through unexpected financial turbulence.

We know that students who do receive adequate financial guidance are positioned to have much stronger financial health than those who do not. One challenge to this goal of financial literacy is ensuring students know how to go to when finances become an issue. This problem is particularly harmful to first-generation college students who may not have any family members or friends who can help advise on this type of situation or may not know where to look for additional financial guidance.

My amendment adds to the important work of this underlying bill by ensuring all students receive an explanation of how they can seek additional assistance from their financial aid office should they face a change in personal financial circumstances.

Since students will not be required to take out the maximum loan that they are eligible for, my amendment also clarifies that the loan the borrower receives is the amount the borrower wants to take out.

Mr. Chairman, I ask my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment and the underlying bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee). Without objection, the gentlewoman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I thank my friend, the gentlewoman from New York, for her amendment. It improves the bill, and I support its acceptance.

Financing a college education can be a daunting process, especially for first-generation students. It can seem like there are things that everyone else knows but no one is telling them. If we are going to improve student counseling, we need to recognize this fact.

This amendment requires that as part of the annual counseling students receive, they be made aware, if their financial circumstances change, they can and should contact their financial aid office on campus. There they can seek someone with the professional background, judgment, and assistance to help them make changes to awards, or they may find out about other aid for which the student may be eligible.

Additionally, students may not know that while they are eligible for a certain amount of Federal aid, they are not required to take the full amount. Many debt-conscious students may prefer to fund a smaller portion of their education through loans but may not know that this is an option. This amendment clarifies that in cases where the student specifies they would

like to receive less aid, that is an amount that is discussed in their counseling.

Again, I support the gentlewoman’s amendment, and I urge a “yes” vote.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Chairman, I thank my colleague and friend, the gentlewoman from Oregon, for her support.

As she mentioned, this is a commonsense amendment. It particularly helps first-generation college students access the financial counseling they need. This improves the bill.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. STEFANIK).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MRS. MURPHY OF FLORIDA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Mrs. MURPHY of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 5, strike lines 4 through 11 and insert the following:

“(E) An explanation that, in the case of a student who transfers to another institution, the amount of time remaining for which a student may be eligible to receive a Federal Pell Grant, as provided under subparagraph (D), will not change, regardless of whether all of the courses completed by such student are accepted for purposes of meeting specific degree or program requirements by the institution to which such student transfer.”

In section 485(l)(4) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as proposed to be amended by section 2 of the bill—

(1) redesignate subparagraphs (H) through (Q) as subparagraphs (I) through (R), respectively; and

(2) insert after subparagraph (G) the following:

“(H) An explanation that, in the case of a student who transfers to another institution, the loan amounts such student received before such transfer shall be used in determining the aggregate loan amount of the student, regardless of whether all of the courses completed by such student are accepted for purposes of meeting specific degree or program requirements by the institution to which such student transfers.”

Page 12, beginning on line 17, strike “(N) through (Q)” and insert “(O) through (R)”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MURPHY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Florida.

Mrs. MURPHY of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of my amendment to H.R. 1635, the Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act. This amendment would ensure that student borrowers who are considering whether to

transfer to another academic institution are provided with the guidance necessary to make fully informed decisions related to their student loan debt and academic credit transfers.

Currently, students who take out Federal loans to finance their education are limited to borrowing up to a certain aggregate amount, with the precise amount depending on the type of loan they have, their financial status, and whether they are enrolled in an undergraduate or graduate program.

My amendment would ensure that a student borrower who wishes to transfer to another institution is provided with a clear and concise explanation that the loans he or she has received up to that point will count towards their aggregate loan limit, regardless of whether all the course credits they have completed are accepted by the school they seek to transfer to. Students will benefit from learning this important information because it will help them more effectively plan their educational and financial futures. Students who know the facts before they transfer will be in a better position to decide whether transferring is, in fact, the right decision for them.

Those students who do decide to transfer will be more likely to carefully research all their transfer options, and they will likely give preference to those schools that will accept the credits they earned at their current school and that otherwise provide students with a smooth and seamless transition process. Fewer students will be in the position of having transferred only to find out that very few of the credits they worked so hard to earn and had paid for will actually count towards their degree.

I believe my amendment will be particularly helpful to students who are enrolled in community or State colleges and are seeking to transfer to a 4-year program. Many students who live in my central Florida district are in precisely this position.

Notably, the University of Central Florida, which I am proud to represent, has established a strong transfer program in partnership with six State and community colleges throughout Florida, including Valencia College and Seminole State College in my district.

The program is called DirectConnect to UCF. In general, it guarantees students admission to UCF if they have earned an associate degree from a partner college, and the credits earned by the student at the partner college typically transfer. This is exactly the sort of transfer program that my amendment will encourage students to utilize.

Collectively, Americans owe a crushing \$1.4 trillion in student debt. As someone who is still paying off her own student loans, I know there is far more we can and should do to ensure that students are not saddled with excessive debt and are prepared to succeed after graduation.

Nevertheless, I am encouraged that we are taking a step in the right direc-

tion today by considering this important legislation. By increasing transparency in the student loan process as it relates to credit transfers, we will provide our students with the information they need to make the best educational and financial decisions for themselves.

I thank the Rules Committee for allowing the House to consider this amendment, and I respectfully ask my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support it.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to this amendment, but I do not intend to oppose it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, this amendment will provide borrowers with critical information on aggregate loan limits, which is particularly important for transfer students, as my friend just explained. This disclosure will encourage borrowers to thoughtfully plan their college expenses and will help students make informed decisions when transferring schools.

I thank my colleague for offering this amendment, and I urge my colleagues to support it and the underlying bill.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. MURPHY of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I would just reiterate my hope that my colleagues will accept this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MURPHY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. O'HALLERAN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 3 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Mr. O'HALLERAN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 9, line 10, strike "an income-based repayment plan under section 493C" and insert "the income-driven repayment plans the borrower is eligible for".

Page 10, beginning on line 14, strike "an income-based repayment plan under section 493C" and insert "the income-driven repayment plans the borrower is eligible for".

Page 17, beginning on line 11, strike "the income-based repayment plan under section 493C" and insert "the income-driven repayment plans the borrower is eligible for".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. O'HALLERAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. O'HALLERAN. Mr. Chairman, college affordability and the student loan crisis affect every community across our Nation. The decisions that

young people are forced to make in order to get a degree—decisions involving tens and sometimes hundreds of thousands of dollars—can affect the rest of their lives.

Over 44 million Americans have a total of \$1.5 trillion in student loan debt. In Arizona, borrowers owe an average of just under \$24,000, annually, in student loan debt.

That is why I am pleased that the underlying bill before us today takes an important step towards making sure students and borrowers have more tools and information about their options, including income-driven repayment plans. Income-driven repayment plans like PAYE, REPAYE, and IBR have increased in enrollment in recent years and can offer borrowers more predictability and manageability on their student loan payments.

A lot of borrowers don't know about all the income-driven repayment options they are eligible for, making it harder to figure out which one might be the best fit. My commonsense amendment helps to fix that by simply requiring the annual counseling mandated by the underlying bill to disclose the anticipated monthly payment to a borrower for any income-driven repayment plan they are eligible for.

Increasing awareness about all income-driven repayment options and what a borrower could expect to pay under each program they are eligible for will help equip them and their families with more resources to make important decisions.

My amendment, like the underlying bill, is an important step forward to support our hardworking students and workers in our communities at a time when many are still struggling. We have an obligation to increase transparency to borrowers and ensure they have the tools to thrive.

I want to thank Congressman BERA for his support of this amendment, as well as Congresswoman FOXX and Ranking Member SCOTT for their work on this bipartisan bill before us today.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support my amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to this amendment, but I do not intend to oppose it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, this amendment will help students see an estimated monthly student loan payment broken down by all income-driven repayment plans for which the student is eligible.

I support this amendment because students need to see the full range of possibilities available to them so they can make informed choices about what they borrow and how they will repay the funds.

I thank my colleague for offering the amendment, and I urge my colleagues to support it and the underlying bill.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. O'HALLERAN. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. BERA).

Mr. BERA. Mr. Chairman, I thank my good friend from Arizona (Mr. O'HALLERAN) for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of our amendment to H.R. 1635. Our amendment is simple. It would ensure that student borrowers are provided information about the full range of income-based repayment options. This will help students get a full picture of what college is going to look like and give an estimate of what they might owe on a monthly basis over their anticipated career. This additional transparency will make sure students are not caught off guard about their student debt after graduation.

As the co-chair of the California Public Higher Education Caucus, one of my priorities here in Congress has been working to improve the affordability, accessibility, and quality of higher education.

Think about it. I paid \$393 a quarter to go to medical school. That meant I could go to 4 years of undergraduate school, 4 years of medical school, get some help from my folks, work part time, a few scholarships, and graduate with less than \$10,000 of debt. That is amazing.

□ 1515

Now, I am not that old. We might not be able to get to that place, but the truth is that education has allowed me to do what I have done throughout my life, and that is an investment that pays off.

Let's make sure we make higher education affordable and accessible to our students in that next generation. Let's make sure we keep making those investments, and, again, let's make sure that those students have that transparency and the information that they need to make reasonable decisions.

Mr. O'HALLERAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. O'HALLERAN).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. LEWIS OF MINNESOTA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 4 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Mr. LEWIS of Minnesota. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 12, strike lines 19 through 21 and insert the following:

“(B) A notification that some students may qualify for other financial aid and an explanation that the student for whom the borrower is taking out the loan should consider accepting any grant, scholarship, or State or Federal work-study jobs for which such student is eligible prior to borrowing Parent PLUS Loans.

Page 14, line 23, insert “pay each loan while the dependent child is still in school, pay the interest on the loan while the loan is in deferment,” after “a shorter schedule.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. LEWIS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. LEWIS of Minnesota. Mr. Chairman, we currently have over \$1.4 trillion in student debt, a burden that too many carry with them well after their time on campus, delaying critical milestones, such as buying a house or starting a family.

At the core of this problem is insufficient financial literacy at the time of borrowing, things like understanding that the amount you will have to pay back is going to be greater than the loan you took out, the magic of compound interest; learning how to budget for typical educational expenses and recognizing that paying loans back faster, not just hitting the minimum amount due, will make the loan less expensive.

When borrowers do not receive this critical information up front, they are prone to over-borrowing and inefficient repayment, costing our students, families, and taxpayers far more than it should for access to a great higher education.

So I applaud Representative GUTHRIE and Representative BONAMICI for their hard work as this underlying legislation is an essential step towards enhancing financial awareness and empowering smart decisions.

While right now only about half of all students even remember receiving the limited counseling they did receive, this bill ensures students will receive comprehensive counseling each year and before they agree to take out the loans.

For the first time, low-income students receiving Pell grants will be included in the counseling, allowing them to maximize this opportunity for education vouchers.

My amendment builds on the power of this legislation and is specifically aimed at parents who want to provide the best education for their child and must navigate the confusing maze of student aid options currently on the books.

With my amendment, parents will be notified that their child may qualify for and should consider scholarships, grants, and Federal workstudy jobs prior to taking out any PLUS loans. These debt-free alternatives to borrowing exist, yet lack of awareness can lead to a costly missed opportunity.

A workstudy program provides not only a source of income to cover the costs of college, but it can be a powerful educational experience and a complement to learning that takes place in the classroom.

Additionally, my amendment ensures parent borrowers are aware that they

can start paying off the loan while their child is still in school and can pay interest on the loan while it is in deferment.

Student loan programs should encourage a quick and responsible path towards becoming debt-free and not incentivize borrowers to pay back as slowly as possible.

My amendment in this legislation will equip students and families with greater financial awareness around the true cost of paying back their loans, protecting them from over-borrowing and improving loan repayment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I would like to thank the gentleman from Minnesota for his amendment. It improves the bill, and I support its acceptance.

Students may be eligible for many different forms of financial aid, and in our effort to improve financial counseling, we should make sure that appropriate disclosures and information are being shared with the borrowers based on the type of aid they are receiving.

This amendment addresses Parent PLUS loans, loans that parents or guardians can take out to pay for the education of a dependent student. Parent PLUS loans have a higher interest rate than direct loans, and because they can cover unmet need up to the cost of attendance, the loan balance can grow rather quickly. As such, parents should be counseled that there may be other forms of aid that their student can take advantage of to help reduce the size of the Parent PLUS loan that is needed. A robust counseling program will also inform parents, as the gentleman stated, that there is no penalty to prepaying either the interest or the principal on these loans.

Again, Mr. Chair, I support the gentleman's amendment because it improves the underlying legislation, I urge a “yes” vote, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LEWIS of Minnesota. Mr. Chairman, I thank my colleague as well for supporting this amendment.

As students return to their classrooms this fall, parents across the country are wondering how they can provide the best opportunities to their children.

Financing a higher education is one of the biggest financial decisions a family will make. It is essential they are equipped with the right information and meaningful counseling before they make this decision.

My amendment ensures parents are able to consider all the options to pay for their student's education before they decide to take out a PLUS loan.

With the right information, a great workstudy job, scholarship, or grant program will not be left on the table when parents make certain those decisions to go into debt are responsible ones.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and the underlying legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. LEWIS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. ALLEN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 15, line 24, strike the period and quotation mark at the end.

Page 15, after line 24, insert the following: “(7) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit an eligible institution from providing additional information and counseling services to recipients of Federal student aid under this title, provided that any additional information and counseling services for recipients of Federal student aid shall not preclude or be considered a condition for disbursement of such aid.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. ALLEN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Georgia.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 1635 makes important improvements to ensure that the Department of Education is doing its job when offering loans to our students for their continued education.

Too many young people are coming out of school with a mountain of student loan debt without having understood the full implications of accepting such debt in the first place. Students receiving annual counseling about the obligations of Federal student loans is long overdue.

However, it is imperative that institutions of higher education do their part to ensure that students consider Federal student loans as a last resort. In fact, one of the questions that I asked in a committee hearing is: Does anyone encourage students to work their way through college anymore?

My amendment provides that nothing prohibits institutions from providing additional financial counseling above what is already included in the bill.

When it comes to government-backed loans, the goal of every higher education institution should be to guarantee the success of their students rather than burdening them with debt they cannot repay.

Many institutions are taking steps in the right direction to contain costs,

but the higher education community needs to step it up to lower costs to ensure that our students are not graduating with an unreasonable amount of debt and without a plan to pay it back.

The purpose of my amendment is to send a signal to the university system that it should do more than the minimum required by law to ensure students receive meaningful financial counseling.

Mr. Chairman, I urge passage of my amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I would like to thank the gentleman from Georgia for his amendment. It improves the underlying bill, and I support its acceptance.

I rise in support of the amendment to clarify that institutions have the ability to provide additional financial counseling beyond the requirements established in the underlying bill while making sure that the additional counseling will in no way preclude students from receiving their aid on time.

Although the underlying bill does not prohibit institutions from providing this counseling, this amendment makes explicit the institution's ability to provide that additional support.

Institutions know their students and the individual capacity of those students, and it is important to provide this flexibility so schools can provide more counseling as needed.

Again, I support the gentleman's amendment, I urge a “yes” vote, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentlewoman from Oregon for her support of this important amendment. I urge passage of my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. ALLEN).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MRS. HARTZLER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 6 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Mrs. HARTZLER. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 15, line 24, strike the period and quotation mark at the end.

Page 15, after line 24, insert the following: “(7) COUNSELING SESSIONS CONDUCTED IN PERSON.—Institutions of higher education may encourage individuals to attend in-person loan counseling sessions under paragraph (1)(A).”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentlewoman from Missouri (Mrs. HARTZLER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Missouri.

Mrs. HARTZLER. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to offer an amendment that would encourage colleges and universities to offer face-to-face loan counseling. As students arrive at colleges and university campuses this fall, many will soon find themselves immediately in debt. According to the Department of Education, a typical student borrower takes out roughly \$6,600 in a single year and averages \$22,000 in debt by graduation.

Alarming, default rates are at an all-time high. What is worse is that many students report not even remembering their student loan counseling. We need to change that.

I have heard from schools in my district, including many community colleges, about the need for more robust, personal loan counseling. Many students take out loans and have difficulty finding the type of jobs necessary to pay those loans off and become so saddled with debt that it causes them to delay many of life's milestones, like getting married or buying a house.

The type of personalized counseling my amendment encourages should include a sit-down discussion about the current demands of the job market in relation to what the student is studying and their realistic ability to repay the financial obligations they are taking. Students should know what they are getting into and not be at an immediate economic disadvantage at graduation.

Schools should do their best to educate their students about their obligations and guide them toward financial literacy and stability, and this cannot effectively be accomplished through the click of a button.

I applaud my colleague from Kentucky for offering this important piece of legislation to enhance the information that students receive, and I thank the Rules Committee for making my amendment on personal loan counseling in order.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentlewoman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chairman, I am supportive of this amendment because it simply allows institutions to encourage in-person counseling, but I would be remiss if I did not state that this provision is not necessary. The underlying bill already permits institutions to provide either in-person counseling or use the consumer-tested online tool that would be created by the Department of Education.

H.R. 1635, the underlying bill, was written intentionally in this way to provide flexibility to institutions. Some institutions will be able to provide high quality in-person counseling, but underresourced institutions may find it difficult to do so.

This is why the bill contains provisions to have the Department of Education create a standardized tool to ensure at least a base level of quality within and across institutions. Because the success of in-person counseling is informed not only by the content but also by the individual providing the counseling, this online tool will make sure that students receive the same caliber of counseling regardless of where the counseling is provided or who provides the counseling.

To confirm effectiveness, the tool will be tested by students, financial aid advisers, advocacy organizations, and other stakeholders.

Again, in-person counseling would be fine and best for some students when the institution can provide it, but having that flexibility is important as well.

Mr. Chair, I do urge support of this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. HARTZLER. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the gentlewoman's support. With total student loan debt of over \$1.3 trillion and rising and over 44 million individuals still saddled with student debt, we must take action.

□ 1530

The student loan crisis looms over America's economy, and we must make sure that students are aware of the financial obligations they are agreeing to and cognizant of their ability to repay those obligations. Personalized, face-to-face counseling can be an effective tool to address this crisis.

Mr. Chairman, I ask for support of my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Missouri (Mrs. HARTZLER).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 7 OFFERED BY MS. JAYAPAL

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 7 printed in part A of House Report 115-919.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 16, line 13, strike "(iv) through (xii), respectively" and insert "(v) through (xiii), respectively".

Page 16, line 14, strike "before clause (iv)" and insert "before clause (v)".

Page 17, line 2, strike the quotation mark and semicolon at the end.

Page 17, after line 2, insert the following: "(iv) an explanation that the borrower may be approached during the repayment process by third-party student debt relief companies, that they should use caution in any such dealings, and that the typical services provided by these companies are already offered to borrowers free of charge through servicers;"

Page 17, line 3, strike "in clause (iv)" and insert "in clause (v)".

Page 17, line 13, strike "in clause (ix)" and insert "in clause (x)".

Page 17, line 20, strike "in clause (x)" and insert "in clause (xi)".

Page 17, line 23, strike "in clauses (xi) and (xii)" and insert "in clauses (xii) and (xiii)".

Page 18, line 1, strike "(xiii)" and insert "(xiv)".

Page 18, line 6, strike "(xiv)" and insert "(xv)".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1049, the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Washington.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of my amendment, which simply adds to the requirements for student loan exit counseling in the underlying bill.

I would like to thank Congressman GUTHRIE and Congresswoman BONAMICI for their leadership in crafting this bill and for their consideration of this amendment.

With my amendment, exit counseling would include an explicit warning about third-party, fraudulent companies that often call, email, text, send letters, and use aggressive advertising to reach students during the repayment process.

These so-called debt relief companies sometimes say they can help settle your Federal student loans, warn borrowers that forgiveness programs could end soon, and sometimes even pose as being affiliated with the U.S. Government.

These companies generally do not offer any relief at all and charge for services that are already provided for free by loan servicers. Often, these companies leave borrowers worse off, severely damage their credit scores, or make changes to loan repayment plans that they didn't authorize.

I think Republicans and Democrats both agree that these are scams, pure and simple. These same companies who once preyed on underwater mortgage holders have now moved on to lure student loan borrowers simply trying to pay off their debts, provide for themselves or their families, and live a better life. It is hard enough for borrowers to navigate the complicated maze of repayment options and obtain sound guidance from their servicer without scammers coming in to blow up the whole thing.

What is more, many of these companies have already had to pay massive settlements to our government for scamming consumers. The Federal Trade Commission and State attorneys general across the country, including from my home State of Washington, have brought cases against these scammers who have used deception and false promises of relief to take more than \$95 million in illegal upfront fees from American consumers over the years.

In 2014, the CFPB filed a lawsuit against a company called Student Loan Processing.US for deceiving consumers about its fee structure and misrepresenting its affiliation. It led to the distribution of hundreds of thousands of dollars back to defrauded consumers.

I am very grateful for the opportunity to offer this critical consumer protection amendment that helps empower students to make sound financial decisions. I do recognize that enhancing financial literacy is just a small sliver of the legislation we need to chip away at our student debt crisis.

Right now, I know that we need to take that next step in the crisis with bold policy solutions to address that \$1.4 trillion in student loan debt that is dragging down our economy. But at this moment, Madam Chair, I commend my colleagues for this practical step, and I urge support of my amendment.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, I rise to claim the time in opposition to this amendment, but I do not intend to oppose it.

The Acting CHAIR (Mrs. HARTZLER). Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, this proposal will make sure students are warned about third-party debt relief companies that prey on borrowers.

They frequently provide inaccurate or misleading information and often charge excessive fees for services that can be received without cost through federally contracted student loan servicers. As my friend said, Federal student loan servicers employ dedicated professionals equipped to help borrowers navigate the loan repayment process and provide the assistance they need free of charge.

This amendment will ensure borrowers are armed with the information they need to better protect their finances and help make wise decisions as they begin to repay their Federal student loans.

I thank my friend from Washington for offering this amendment, and I urge my colleagues to support it and the underlying bill.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Madam Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. BONAMICI).

Ms. BONAMICI. Madam Chair, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding. As someone with a consumer protection background, I thank her for her amendment.

It has already been stated during the debate that there is close to \$1.5 trillion in outstanding student loan debt. It should be no surprise that there are companies and individuals poised to make money on the backs of student borrowers through fraudulent means.

In recent years, there has been an explosion of third-party debt relief scams targeting student loan borrowers. These businesses claim that, for a fee, they can cut through the red tape for borrowers and get their student loan house in order. They misrepresent services, make promises they can't keep, and charge for activities that cost nothing.

State and Federal consumer protection groups have already started cracking down on these groups. State attorneys general, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau have either sounded the alarm or conducted enforcement actions targeting these fraudulent companies.

We should use this opportunity in this bill when conducting loan counseling to remind students that their loan servicer is paid through the Department of Education to provide assistance with these services free of charge. They should be wary of any third-party company attempting to facilitate repayment of their Federal student loans.

It is a commonsense addition to the bill, and I thank the gentlewoman for offering the amendment.

Again, I support this amendment, and I urge a "yes" vote.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Madam Chair, I wanted to again reiterate my thanks to both Congressman GUTHRIE and Congresswoman BONAMICI, and urge support of my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL).

The amendment was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee) having assumed the chair, Mrs. HARTZLER, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1635) to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 1049, she reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. LAMB. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. LAMB. I am opposed in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Lamb moves to recommit the bill H.R. 1635 to the Committee on Education and the Workforce with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 21, line 22, insert "status as a recipient of assistance under a tuition assistance program conducted by the Department of Defense under section 1784a or 2007 of title 10, United States Code, or other authorities available to the Department of Defense or veterans' education benefits (as defined in section 480)," after "income,".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized for 5 minutes on his motion.

Mr. LAMB. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill, which will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I have been here for 5 months now. I have met a lot of people who still want to work hard, do the right thing, and, most importantly, get things done. This is true on both sides of the aisle. But the problem is that, even when we work together and we are close to finally getting something done, the leadership of this House can deny us a vote on bills that are already written, on bills that are supported by both sides, and on bills that are good for the American people. They deny us a vote.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is a perfect example. I offered an amendment to this bill. The amendment would help make sure that veterans are getting the information they need about student loans. This bill does not recognize veterans. It does say that, down the road, we have to study its effects and that we should look at race; we should look at ethnicity; we should look at gender; we should look at income; and we should look at people with disabilities. I agree with all of that. But we should also look at the effect on veterans.

Veterans are different from other students. Many students rely on their parents, but many veterans are parents. Many students are taking out their first loans, but many veterans already have mortgages. Many students are leaving home for the first time, while many veterans are coming home from tours of service all over the world.

We need to know if veterans are getting the information they need about their student loans, in addition to these other groups.

I know that Members of both parties agree with that. I met with several Republicans yesterday, and not a single one raised a single objection to this amendment. But then we find out that leadership will not allow a vote on this amendment. Why is that?

Well, Mr. Speaker, this motion to recommit will allow us a vote. It will allow us to vote for veterans.

Our student loan system is stacked against veterans, just like it is stacked against so many of our fellow Americans. We thank our veterans for their

service all the time, using words. Today, let's thank them with action. Let's thank them with the force of law. Let's thank them by doing our jobs.

Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this motion to recommit, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to be here. We all support veterans.

Under this bill, every veteran receives the same enhanced counseling provided to all borrowers. Looking at the study and before we determine the right elements of a new study to ensure we are providing the best service, we should pause and, I suggest, work with our Veterans' Affairs Committee to make sure we address the totality of the issue.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the underlying bill's support. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the motion to recommit and support the final bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. LAMB. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 44 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1705

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HULTGREN) at 5 o'clock and 5 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Motion to recommit on H.R. 1635;

Passage of H.R. 1635, if ordered; and

Agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

EMPOWERING STUDENTS THROUGH ENHANCED FINANCIAL COUNSELING ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to recommit on the bill (H.R. 1635) to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. LAMB), on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the motion.

The Clerk redesignated the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 187, nays 224, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 384]

YEAS—187

Adams	Gabbard	Meng
Aguilar	Galleo	Moore
Barragán	Garamendi	Moulton
Bass	Gomez	Murphy (FL)
Beatty	Gonzalez (TX)	Nadler
Bera	Gottheimer	Napolitano
Beyer	Green, Al	Neal
Bishop (GA)	Green, Gene	Nolan
Blum	Grijalva	Norcoss
Blumenauer	Gutiérrez	O'Halleran
Blunt Rochester	Hanabusa	O'Rourke
Bonamici	Hastings	Pallone
Brady (PA)	Heck	Panetta
Brown (MD)	Higgins (NY)	Pascarell
Brownley (CA)	Himes	Payne
Bustos	Hoyer	Pelosi
Butterfield	Huffman	Perlmutter
Carbajal	Jackson Lee	Peters
Cárdenas	Jayapal	Peterson
Carson (IN)	Jeffries	Pingree
Cartwright	Johnson (GA)	Pocan
Castor (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Polis
Castro (TX)	Jones	Price (NC)
Chu, Judy	Kaptur	Quigley
Cicilline	Keating	Raskin
Clark (MA)	Kelly (IL)	Rice (NY)
Clarke (NY)	Kennedy	Richmond
Clay	Khanna	Rosen
Cleaver	Kihuen	Roybal-Allard
Clyburn	Kildee	Ruiz
Cohen	Kilmer	Ruppersberger
Connolly	Kind	Rush
Cooper	Krishnamoorthi	Ryan (OH)
Correa	Kuster (NH)	Sánchez
Costa	Lamb	Sarbanes
Courtney	Langevin	Schakowsky
Crist	Larsen (WA)	Schiff
Crowley	Larson (CT)	Schneider
Cuellar	Lawrence	Schrader
Cummings	Lawson (FL)	Scott (VA)
Davis (CA)	Lee	Scott, David
Davis, Danny	Levin	Serrano
DeFazio	Lewis (GA)	Sewell (AL)
DeGette	Lieu, Ted	Shea-Porter
Delaney	Lipinski	Sherman
DeLauro	Loebach	Sinema
DelBene	Lofgren	Sires
Demings	Lowenthal	Smith (WA)
DeSaulnier	Lowe	Soto
Deutch	Lujan Grisham,	Souzi
Dingell	M.	Swalwell (CA)
Doggett	Lujan, Ben Ray	Takano
Doyle, Michael	Lynch	Thompson (CA)
F.	Maloney,	Thompson (MS)
Engel	Carolyn B.	Tonko
Espallat	Matsui	Torres
Esty (CT)	McCollum	Tsongas
Evans	McEachin	Vargas
Foster	McGovern	Veasey
Frankel (FL)	McNerney	Vela
Fudge	Meeks	Velázquez

Visclosky
Wasserman
Schultz

Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch

Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NAYS—224

Abraham	Goodlatte	Newhouse
Aderholt	Gosar	Noem
Allen	Gowdy	Norman
Amash	Granger	Nunes
Amodel	Graves (GA)	Olson
Arrington	Graves (LA)	Palmer
Babin	Graves (MO)	Paulsen
Bacon	Griffith	Pearce
Banks (IN)	Grothman	Perry
Barletta	Guthrie	Pittenger
Barr	Handel	Poliquin
Barton	Harper	Posey
Bergman	Harris	Ratcliffe
Biggs	Hartzler	Reed
Bilirakis	Hensarling	Reichert
Bishop (MI)	Herrera Beutler	Renacci
Bishop (UT)	Hice, Jody B.	Rice (SC)
Black	Higgins (LA)	Roby
Bost	Hill	Roe (TN)
Brady (TX)	Holding	Rogers (AL)
Brat	Hollingsworth	Rogers (KY)
Brooks (AL)	Hudson	Rohrabacher
Brooks (IN)	Huizenga	Rokita
Buchanan	Hultgren	Rooney, Francis
Buck	Hunter	Roskam
Bucshon	Hurd	Ross
Budd	Issa	Rothfus
Burgess	Jenkins (KS)	Rouzer
Byrne	Johnson (LA)	Royce (CA)
Calvert	Johnson (OH)	Russell
Carter (GA)	Johnson, Sam	Rutherford
Carter (TX)	Jordan	Sanford
Chabot	Joyce (OH)	Scalise
Cheney	Katko	Schweikert
Cloud	Kelly (MS)	Scott, Austin
Coffman	Kelly (PA)	Sessions
Cole	King (IA)	Shimkus
Collins (GA)	King (NY)	Shuster
Collins (NY)	Kinzing	Simpson
Comer	Knight	Smith (MO)
Comstock	Kustoff (TN)	Smith (NE)
Conaway	Labrador	Smith (NJ)
Cook	LaHood	Smith (TX)
Costello (PA)	LaMalfa	Smucker
Cramer	Lamborn	Stefanik
Crawford	Lance	Stewart
Culberson	Latta	Stivers
Curbelo (FL)	Lesko	Taylor
Curtis	Lewis (MN)	Tenney
Davidson	LoBiondo	Thompson (PA)
Davis, Rodney	Long	Tipton
Denham	Loudermilk	Trott
DesJarlais	Love	Turner
Diaz-Balart	Lucas	Upton
Donovan	Luetkemeyer	Valadao
Duffy	MacArthur	Wagner
Duncan (SC)	Marchant	Walberg
Duncan (TN)	Marino	Walden
Dunn	Marshall	Walker
Emmer	Massie	Walorski
Estes (KS)	Mast	Walters, Mimi
Faso	McCarthy	Weber (TX)
Ferguson	McCaul	Webster (FL)
Fitzpatrick	McClintock	Wenstrup
Fleischmann	McHenry	Westerman
Flores	McKinley	Williams
Fortenberry	McMorris	Wilson (SC)
Fox	Rodgers	Wittman
Frelinghuysen	McSally	Womack
Gaetz	Meadows	Woodall
Gallagher	Messer	Yoder
Garrett	Mitchell	Yoho
Gianforte	Moolenaar	Young (AK)
Gibbs	Mooney (WV)	Young (IA)
Gohmert	Mullin	Zeldin

NOT VOTING—17

Blackburn	Jenkins (WV)	Sensenbrenner
Boyle, Brendan	Maloney, Sean	Speier
F.	Palazzo	Thornberry
Capuano	Poe (TX)	Titus
DeSantis	Rooney, Thomas	Walz
Ellison	J.	
Eshoo	Ros-Lehtinen	

□ 1731

Messrs. BILIRAKIS, LAMBORN, POSEY, MARINO, ROSKAM, YODER, YOUNG of Alaska, LONG, and COLLINS of Georgia changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. SOTO, BLUMENAUER, O'HALLERAN, Ms. SHEA-PORTER, MOORE, and BASS changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, August 29, 2018.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: I have the honor to transmit herewith a copy of the Certificate of Election received from the Honorable Jon Husted, the Ohio Secretary of State, indicating that, at the Special Election held on August 7, 2018, the Honorable Troy Balderson was duly elected Representative in Congress for the 12th Congressional District, State of Ohio.

With best wishes, I am
Sincerely,

KAREN L. HAAS,
Clerk.

Enclosure.

THE STATE OF OHIO
CERTIFICATE OF ELECTION
Representative to Congress—Twelfth
District

To the Clerk of the House of Representatives of the United States:

This is to certify, that on the 7th day of August 2018, Troy Balderson was duly chosen by the qualified electors of the 12th Congressional District of the State of Ohio as the Representative to Congress from said district to represent said State in the House of Representatives of the United States for the unexpired term ending January 3, 2019.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my name and caused the great seal of the State of Ohio to be hereto affixed at Columbus, Ohio, this 24th day of August, in the year of our Lord, A.D. 2018.

By the Governor:

JOHN R. KASICH,
Governor.

Countersigned:

JON HUSTED,
Secretary of State.

[State Seal Affixed]

SWEARING IN OF THE HONORABLE TROY BALDERSON, OF OHIO, AS A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER. Will Representative-elect Balderson and the Members of the Ohio delegation present themselves in the well.

All Members will rise and the Representative-elect will please raise his right hand.

Mr. BALDERSON appeared at the bar of the House and took the oath of office, as follows:

Do you solemnly swear that you will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that you will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that you take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that you will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which you are about to enter, so help you God.

The SPEAKER. Congratulations, you are now a Member of the 115th Congress.

WELCOMING THE HONORABLE TROY BALDERSON TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, distinguished Members, and guests. It is my privilege to welcome Congressman TROY BALDERSON to represent Ohio's 12th District, succeeding Representative Pat Tiberi, who chose to retire before completing his term.

Let us congratulate you and your coalition for a hard-fought campaign victory. We all know the demands required to compete in any election, and yours drew so much national attention.

It is estimated that over \$10.5 million was expended overall on the race, about 60 times what the job pays. So let me offer an idea, perhaps on a bipartisan basis, that Ohio's Representatives could join together to lead our Nation to finally limit campaign spending and be the first State to end this mad dash to excess cash. The money chase is a real scourge on the very foundation of representative government.

Representative BALDERSON has served the State of Ohio in the State House of Representatives as well as most recently the State Senate. He is a father to one son, as well as an elder in his church. He now embarks on a new journey of service.

From Zanesville, Ohio, he will represent the centrally located counties of Franklin, Marion, Muskingum, and Richland, which include a segment of Ohio's capital and our largest city, Columbus, as well as small towns and cities across central Ohio.

Representing a diverse set of communities is a hard job. But it is the job radical gerrymandering has thrust on us. As Representatives in this people's House, we are designed to be the body closest to the American people. We know the people of America want reform. They want solutions. They want action. Our public is demanding that we work together to find common ground on the great challenges facing our Nation.

Let us find that common ground by recalling the powerful words of Daniel Webster carved in this Chamber high above the Speaker's rostrum: "Let us develop the resources of our land, call forth its powers, build up its institutions, promote all its great interests, and see whether we also in our day and generation may not perform something worthy to be remembered."

Welcome to the United States House of Representatives, Representative TROY BALDERSON.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT).

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

As the dean of the Ohio Republican delegation, I rise to welcome the newest Buckeye to our delegation, TROY BALDERSON.

TROY was born and raised in southeast Ohio. He grew up and worked on

his family farm. He graduated from Zanesville High School. And, Mr. Speaker, that is Zanesville, not Janesville. He also attended both Muskingum College as well as The Ohio State University.

Prior to joining our delegation, TROY served our great State as both a member of the Ohio House of Representatives and a member of the Ohio Senate. He is no stranger to building relationships, learning the issues, and solving problems. So he will do well here.

As a businessman, as my colleague mentioned, an elder at the Christian Church in Zanesville, and as an athlete, TROY has proven time and again that hard work and a little grit make a very strong leader. I have no doubt that our delegation and the residents of the 12th Congressional District will truly benefit from his experience. I believe that we all will.

Congratulations to you and your family, TROY. On behalf of the Ohio Republican delegation and I think all Members, welcome to the United States House of Representatives.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BALDERSON).

Mr. BALDERSON. Speaker RYAN, Representative KAPTUR, Chairman CHABOT, and my new colleagues, thank you for that very warm welcome. To the people of the 12th District of Ohio, thank you for this remarkable opportunity to serve you. It is an honor to stand in this Chamber and take the oath of office.

Joining me today in the gallery are a number of my great friends, including my son, Joshua, and my girlfriend, Melanie. Thank you both for your love and support and for being here by my side today.

My parents, Bill and Kathie, could not be here with me today, but they are watching back home in Zanesville. I also want to thank them for their love and support. I would not be here without them.

Most importantly, I would also like to thank the good Lord for getting me here today.

I am humbled to serve in the people's House and look forward to fulfilling my duties representing the 12th District of Ohio.

God bless you all. Thank you very much.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Under clause 5(d) of rule XX, the Chair announces to the House that, in light of the administration of the oath of office to the gentleman from Ohio, the whole number of the House is 430.

Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 406, nays 4, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 385]

YEAS—406

Abraham	Davis (CA)	Hurd
Adams	Davis, Danny	Issa
Aderholt	Davis, Rodney	Jackson Lee
Aguilar	DeFazio	Jayapal
Allen	DeGette	Jeffries
Amodei	Delaney	Jenkins (KS)
Arrington	DeLauro	Johnson (GA)
Bacon	DelBene	Johnson (LA)
Balderson	Demings	Johnson (OH)
Banks (IN)	Denham	Johnson, E. B.
Barletta	DeSaulnier	Johnson, Sam
Barr	DesJarlais	Jones
Barragán	Deutch	Jordan
Barton	Diaz-Balart	Joyce (OH)
Bass	Dingell	Kaptur
Beatty	Doggett	Katko
Bera	Donovan	Keating
Bergman	Doyle, Michael	Kelly (IL)
Beyer	F.	Kelly (MS)
Bilirakis	Duffy	Kelly (PA)
Bishop (GA)	Duncan (SC)	Kennedy
Bishop (MI)	Duncan (TN)	Khanna
Bishop (UT)	Dunn	Kihuen
Black	Emmer	Kildee
Blum	Engel	Kilmer
Blumenauer	Españillat	Kind
Blunt Rochester	Estes (KS)	King (IA)
Bonamici	Esty (CT)	King (NY)
Bost	Evans	Kinzinger
Brady (PA)	Faso	Knight
Brady (TX)	Ferguson	Krishnamoorthi
Brat	Fitzpatrick	Kuster (NH)
Brooks (AL)	Fleischmann	Kustoff (TN)
Brooks (IN)	Flores	Labrador
Brown (MD)	Fortenberry	LaHood
Brownley (CA)	Foster	LaMalfa
Buchanan	Fox	Lamb
Buck	Frankel (FL)	Lamborn
Bucshon	Frelinghuysen	Lance
Budd	Fudge	Langevin
Burgess	Gabbard	Larsen (WA)
Bustos	Gaetz	Larson (CT)
Butterfield	Gallagher	Latta
Byrne	Gallego	Lawrence
Calvert	Garamendi	Lawson (FL)
Carbajal	Garrett	Lee
Cárdenas	Gianforte	Lesko
Carson (IN)	Gibbs	Levin
Carter (GA)	Gohmert	Lewis (GA)
Carter (TX)	Gomez	Lewis (MN)
Cartwright	Gonzalez (TX)	Lieu, Ted
Castor (FL)	Goodlatte	Lipinski
Castro (TX)	Gosar	LoBiondo
Chabot	Gottheimer	Loeb
Cheney	Gowdy	Loeb
Chu, Judy	Granger	Long
Ciulline	Graves (GA)	Loudermilk
Clark (MA)	Graves (LA)	Love
Clarke (NY)	Graves (MO)	Lowenthal
Clay	Green, Al	Lowe
Cleaver	Green, Gene	Lucas
Cloud	Griffith	Luetkemeyer
Clyburn	Grijalva	Lujan Grisham,
Coffman	Grothman	M.
Cohen	Guthrie	Luján, Ben Ray
Cole	Gutiérrez	Lynch
Collins (GA)	Hanabusa	MacArthur
Collins (NY)	Handel	Maloney,
Comer	Harper	Carolyn B.
Comstock	Harris	Marchant
Conaway	Hartzler	Marino
Connolly	Hastings	Marshall
Cook	Heck	Mast
Cooper	Hensarling	Matsui
Correa	Herrera Beutler	McCarthy
Costa	Hice, Jody B.	McCaul
Costello (PA)	Higgins (LA)	McClintock
Courtney	Higgins (NY)	McCollum
Cramer	Hill	McEachin
Crawford	Himes	McGovern
Crist	Holding	McHenry
Crowley	Hollingsworth	McKinley
Cuellar	Hoyer	McMorris
Culberson	Hudson	Rodgers
Cummings	Huffman	McNerney
Curbelo (FL)	Huizenga	McSally
Curtis	Hultgren	Meadows
Davidson	Hunter	Meeks

Meng	Roby	Stewart
Messer	Roe (TN)	Stivers
Mitchell	Rogers (AL)	Suoizzi
Moolenaar	Rogers (KY)	Swalwell (CA)
Mooney (WV)	Rohrabacher	Takano
Moore	Rokita	Taylor
Moulton	Rooney, Francis	Tenney
Mullin	Rosen	Thompson (CA)
Murphy (FL)	Roskam	Thompson (MS)
Nadler	Ross	Thompson (PA)
Napolitano	Rothfus	Tipton
Neal	Rouzer	Tonko
Newhouse	Roybal-Allard	Torres
Noem	Royce (CA)	Trott
Nolan	Ruiz	Tsongas
Norcross	Ruppersberger	Turner
Norman	Rush	Upton
Nunes	Russell	Valadao
O'Halleran	Rutherford	Vargas
O'Rourke	Ryan (OH)	Veasey
Olson	Sánchez	Velázquez
Pallone	Sarbanes	Visclosky
Palmer	Scalise	Wagner
Panetta	Schakowsky	Walberg
Pascarella	Schiff	Walden
Paulsen	Schneider	Walker
Payne	Schrader	Walorski
Pearce	Schweikert	Walters, Mimi
Pelosi	Scott (VA)	Wasserman
Perlmutter	Scott, Austin	Schultz
Perry	Scott, David	Waters, Maxine
Peters	Serrano	Watson Coleman
Peterson	Sessions	Weber (TX)
Pingree	Sewell (AL)	Webster (FL)
Pittenger	Shea-Porter	Welch
Pocan	Sherman	Wenstrup
Poliquin	Shimkus	Westerman
Polis	Shuster	Williams
Posey	Simpson	Wilson (FL)
Price (NC)	Sinema	Wilson (SC)
Quigley	Sires	Wittman
Raskin	Smith (MO)	Womack
Ratcliffe	Smith (NE)	Woodall
Reed	Smith (NJ)	Yarmuth
Reichert	Smith (TX)	Yoder
Renacci	Smith (WA)	Yoho
Rice (NY)	Smucker	Young (AK)
Rice (SC)	Soto	Young (IA)
Richmond	Stefanik	Zeldin

NAYS—4

Amash	Massie	Sanford
	Biggs	

NOT VOTING—19

Babin	Eshoo	Ros-Lehtinen
Blackburn	Jenkins (WV)	Sensenbrenner
Boyle, Brendan	Maloney, Sean	Speier
F.	Palazzo	Thornberry
Capuano	Poe (TX)	Titus
DeSantis	Rooney, Thomas	Vela
Ellison	J.	Walz

□ 1751

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana). The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

ELECTING A MEMBER TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Republican Conference, I offer a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1050

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY: Mr. Balderson.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS: Mr. Balderson.

Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 6691, COMMUNITY SAFETY AND SECURITY ACT OF 2018, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF MOTIONS TO SUSPEND THE RULES

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 115-920) on the resolution (H. Res. 1051) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6691) to amend title 18, United States Code, to clarify the definition of "crime of violence", and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of motions to suspend the rules, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4457

Mr. MEADOWS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 4457.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

THANKING THE USO FOR ITS COMMITMENT TO MILITARY SPOUSES

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the USO was on Capitol Hill earlier today for a service project where volunteers assembled care packages for spouses of servicemembers who are currently deployed.

In May, the USO kicked off the Salute to Military Spouses, a 6-month spotlight on the contributions and challenges of our military spouses. This campaign aims to raise public awareness of these unsung heroes.

The USO strengthens America's military servicemembers by keeping them

connected to family, home, and country through their service to the Nation. For more than 75 years, the USO has been by the side of America's military servicemembers and their families, too.

There are USO centers at or near military installations across the United States and throughout the world, including in combat zones and even un-staffed USO service sites in places too dangerous for anyone but combat troops to occupy.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the USO for its dedication to our servicemembers and their families. I salute our Nation's military spouses; and I thank them for their dedication to their family and also this country.

FEMA BUNGLING IN PUERTO RICO

(Mr. PAYNE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, recently FEMA released a report into its own response after Hurricane Maria swept Puerto Rico last year.

To sum up the report, FEMA screwed up.

FEMA underestimated how much food people would need.

FEMA miscalculated how much fresh water people would need.

FEMA didn't foresee how difficult it would be to get supplies to the island.

And when Hurricane Maria struck, FEMA's warehouse in Puerto Rico was basically empty.

I was most shocked by FEMA's admission that its plans for a crisis in Puerto Rico were based on a focused disaster like a tsunami, not a major hurricane devastating the whole island.

Just last week, the island's death toll was adjusted to reflect the reality that thousands of people died because of Hurricane Maria. Mr. Speaker, these were American citizens.

The Federal Government must do better. Lives are at stake.

REMEMBERING THE LIVES OF JOSEPH AND JOSEPHINE MENGONI

(Mr. CARTER of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember the lives of two outstanding Georgians, Joseph and Josephine Mengoni, who passed away last month only a day apart and after 63 years of marriage.

Mr. Mengoni dedicated his life to practicing pharmacy, advancing the profession in Georgia for both pharmacists and their patients. He practiced retail pharmacy for nearly a decade before serving as vice president of the Georgia Pharmacy Association, becoming a certified diabetes instructor, and being the chairman of the board for DataRX Management. His work is exemplified by his creation of a program that helped pharmacists help their patients to quit smoking.

Mrs. Mengoni devoted her life to her husband and raising their three children. She was deeply involved with the 4-H Club and even started the 4-H Club location in Albuquerque, New Mexico, where she lived for a number of years.

Mr. and Mrs. Mengoni, their children, and other family members will be in my thoughts and prayers.

□ 1800

PUSH BACK ON CLEARANCES BEING REVOKED

(Mr. LANGEVIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, last month, President Trump stripped former CIA Director John Brennan of his security clearance. That was not done because Director Brennan posed a threat to national security. No, it was done to silence a political opponent.

Mr. Speaker, all Americans agree that the President should not be able to order the IRS to initiate an audit against a rival, and we should all be able to agree that he may not use his authority to revoke a security clearance. Yet the President has announced that he intends to invalidate the clearances of other current and former officials who disagree with his policies.

That is why I introduced H.R. 6680 with my colleagues, Mr. LIEU and Ranking Member SCHIFF of the Intelligence Committee, that will prevent the administration from revoking security clearances for political reasons. My bill would give agency officials the basis to push back on White House demands that more clearances be revoked outside of judicial processes and protocols.

Mr. Speaker, granting, denying, or revoking security clearances for political retribution or the stifling of political dissent is un-American, and it should never be tolerated or allowed. I urge my colleagues to support this important legislation.

HONORING THE FIREFIGHTERS OF CALIFORNIA

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, as we return this week to Washington after our district work period this last month, I rise today to recognize and honor the many firefighters around California, particularly in my own district, who have been fighting so hard to extinguish these devastating wildfires of hundreds of thousands of acres.

The Carr fire quickly became one of the most devastating fires in northern California history, and, unfortunately, claimed the lives of three firefighters in the process. I am very grateful for their sacrifice and their unwavering efforts in the face of what had to seem

nearly impossible odds. After all, when California's poor forest management practices turn our public lands into tinderboxes, containing a wildfire becomes extremely difficult.

I spent a lot of time in Redding last month, and I saw firsthand the challenges they faced and the great work being done to protect the citizens, the communities, and each other.

Local firefighters, CalFire, the Hotshots, Forest Service, smoke jumpers, all of them were ready to go and were working, participating, putting their lives on the line. We owe all these men and women a great deal of gratitude.

We can debate what may cause these catastrophic fires, the fuel load in our forests, and lack of management, but there is no question who put these fires out. We are very grateful to them.

KAVANAUGH HEARING PROCEEDS

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, as the Kavanaugh hearing proceeds, the House gets no say on Supreme Court nominees, but our constituents have much to lose if Judge Kavanaugh is confirmed.

As chair of the Congressional Black Caucus' Judicial Nominations Task Force, I have studied Judge Kavanaugh's record closely. African Americans have the most to lose because they, more than other Americans, have advanced their rights through the court.

However, most Americans have much to lose, too, as Kavanaugh's record on the Affordable Care Act, criminal justice, and reproductive rights shows. Let the House also note, Kavanaugh's vision of executive power would enhance the authority of the executive at the expense of the other branches of government, including Congress, and, therefore, would pose a special danger to the Constitution's careful balance of power.

Brett Kavanaugh does not belong on the Supreme Court.

DAIRY FARMERS FACING A CRISIS

(Ms. TENNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Speaker, our dairy farmers are facing a crisis. Family farms have shaped our upstate communities, built our local economies, and put food on our tables, but the great tradition of family farming is in jeopardy.

Our farmers need help. Unfair trade agreements; rising regulatory costs; high taxes, especially in New York, which has some of the highest property, sales, and income taxes in the Nation; and distortions in the labor market have pushed our farmers to the brink of bankruptcy. Sadly, many have

chosen to close in New York altogether, the third largest dairy-producing State in the Nation.

I am grateful that the Trump administration is hearing our desperate call. In a recent visit to Trinity Valley Farm and Brabant Farm in New York's 22nd District, the U.S. Department of Agriculture Secretary Sonny Perdue heard our farmers' pleas for help.

He visited our district, the first time a sitting Secretary of Agriculture has visited our district in over 20 years. He provided insight and took our concerns back to Washington.

It is time to turn the tide and end this crisis. Preserving the great tradition of farming is vital to preserving our heritage, our families, and our economy.

HIGHLIGHTING CRUCIAL ISSUES

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, 1 minute is really not enough time, but I really wanted to highlight, as quickly as I can, some crucial issues that we have experienced over the work recess.

First of all, I condemn the actions of Saudi Arabia as it relates to the bombing of the two or at least one bus of children. I believe the United States should address this question immediately, for children are our most precious resource.

I am concerned that now 497 children still remain without their families at the border, that 300 of those parents have been deported, and most of the children are under 5. This is inhumane, cruel. And it is, of course, the most tragic act that this Federal Government could have done. I ask the Trump administration immediately to respond.

Listening to the hearings of Justice Kavanaugh, let me indicate that there is no comment on the character of the man, but there is a comment on the appointment. And that appointment involves an individual, the President of the United States, who is under investigation, and, also, the papers that are needed have not been provided.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, let me indicate that I note that the State Department and the Defense Department decided to cut the funding for Pakistan. Pakistan is a nation that we should attempt to continue negotiations and diplomacy, and we should recognize that those military dollars are important for the fight against terrorism. These things should be taken up on behalf of the American people.

TIME TO REAUTHORIZE FVPSA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2017, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to join my colleagues on the

floor here to reflect on a piece of legislation. It is a righteous piece of legislation that is time to be reauthorized that has served so many. I really rise today to talk about an issue that affects 1 in 4 women and approximately 15.5 million children every year—domestic violence.

Domestic violence has been cast into the background throughout most of our history, remaining a hidden problem, one that wasn't talked about, and one, certainly, that wasn't properly addressed.

Survivors of domestic violence have often tolerated physical, mental, and emotional abuse in silence, out of fear their spouses or partners would retaliate.

Former battered women, civic organizations, and professionals began to open shelters in the 1970s to provide services to abused women and their children. After seeing the great results from these efforts, Congress led a series of hearings in the early 1980s to understand the scope of this violence and explore possible responses.

This led Congress to pass legislation that touched on all facets of domestic abuse. As part of the Child Abuse Amendments of 1984, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, or FVPSA, was enacted to support lifesaving services, including emergency shelters, crisis hotlines, counseling, and programs for underserved communities throughout the United States, including the United States territories.

This critical legislation has been authorized seven times since its enactment, most recently in 2010 for 5 years, through fiscal year 2015. That is why I, along with 102 of my colleagues, introduced H.R. 6014, which reauthorizes the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act an additional 5 years through fiscal year 2023.

Congress sets aside funding for three purposes under FVPSA, and I am going to look at these a little more in depth. But first, I want to take the opportunity to say I am pleased that I am joined by a couple of my colleagues this evening.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE), my colleague who has been an original cosponsor of this reauthorization legislation.

Ms. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. THOMPSON, and, indeed, it is my pleasure to join him in cosponsoring the reauthorization of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act of 2018.

Mr. Speaker, I am so very, very pleased that this is a piece of bipartisan legislation introduced by GLENN THOMPSON, ELISE STEFANIK, and LISA BLUNT ROCHESTER.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, we have found the need to stick together in a bipartisan manner because violence against women and children, unfortunately, is not a partisan issue. It is not an issue that is relegated to one race of people and to one economic class. It is

pervasive, and it is a detriment to our entire American community.

But fortunately, Mr. Speaker, since 1984, Congress has passed the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act as the primary Federal funding source to support emergency shelters and related assistance for victims of domestic violence and their families. We have updated it based on best practices that we have seen, and the monies are available to community-driven solutions and a network of programs and services dedicated to responding to domestic violence across the country.

This reauthorization would follow current authorization levels for fiscal years 2019 through 2023. FVPSA, is, of course, at the heart of the Nation's response to domestic violence, ensuring, Mr. Speaker, that these shelters and programs are able to keep their lights on and their doors open to serve more than 1.3 million victims of domestic violence and their children every year.

Again, it serves nearly 1,600 community-based programs through State-formula grants.

Not only do they serve shelters, the hotline, and crisis intervention, but they also provide legal assistance and police services as well. It is a multistate strategy, and we are very proud of its effectiveness.

In fact, the National Institute of Justice funded a multistate study, and it shows conclusively that the Nation's domestic violence programs address not only the most urgent safety needs of victims to protect themselves and their children, but it also asserts that, if this funding did not exist, the consequences for the victims would be dire, including homelessness; serious losses, as in mothers losing custody of their children; and continued abuse.

As Mr. THOMPSON has pointed out, the abuse is not simply confined to physical abuse, but the emotional abuse and economic abuse as well, and even, sadly, death of some of these women.

Each year, the National Network to End Domestic Violence releases a report called "Domestic Violence Counts: A 24-hour National Census of Domestic Violence Services." Sadly, it reports that in just 1 day last year, 2017, 72,245 victims of domestic violence were happily receiving services.

But you know what, Mr. Speaker? Another 11,441 requests for services were left unmet because of the lack of funding and resources.

□ 1815

So we do a pretty good job with this program, but there are always, always, always a dearth of resources.

I appreciate Mr. THOMPSON providing me with the time, and I have examples, if time will allow, for me to just share some of the stories, just to give some face, Mr. THOMPSON, to some of the people who are served by the local shelters, programs, coalition, funding for Tribal governments to provide essential services to survivors.

In the State of Washington, we have Inez, who was 14 years old and the only survivor of a fire that killed her entire family. She was taken in by relatives, only to be raped by her uncle at age 15, as so-called "payment for her care."

She gave birth to a son, Manuel, who was never allowed to call her "Mother." At 16, she met Tomas, thinking this would be an escape for her and Manuel. He promised her a life of love and security but, in reality, that security meant a locked closet where she and Manuel were routinely starved and sexually assaulted. When her second son, Miguel, was born, Inez decided that she just had to get a way before she and her two sons were further victimized.

Inez learned of the domestic violence hotline from women she had worked with in the orchards, and a coworker called and helped Inez and her children go to a local YWCA shelter. She lived there for 2 years to get back on her feet while she gained her U visa and rebuilt her life. Inez now has her green card and a home of her own, and her boys are thriving in school and preschool.

You know, there are many, many stories that I can go on with. I just want to say that the #MeToo movement has given all of these survivors a renewed hope and courage to come forward to tell their stories.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. THOMPSON so much for his time, for his stewardship over this important legislation, and I urge my colleagues to stand with these survivors by cosponsoring H.R. 6014, the FVPSA Reauthorization Act, and to push for the passage of this bipartisan legislation in this 115th Congress.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague, Congresswoman MOORE, for sharing Inez's story. It is a story that occurs in a frightening way so many times, where victims of abuse—but at the same time, it is an inspiring story because it illustrates how these important services that we fund through this piece of legislation can help save lives, saved the lives of this woman and her son, to be able to change their lives around, you know, really, being caught in such a long history of abuse and how it makes a difference.

So I thank the gentlewoman for her leadership and for sharing that, the story of Inez—much appreciated.

Ms. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, if I just might add, Mr. THOMPSON, it is because of us. I mean, as legislators, we get a bum rap sometimes. We don't know Inez necessarily, but it is because of us and this funding that there is someone on the other end of that telephone who can answer the call. It is because of the work and this authorization that there is a place for Inez to go.

This did not always exist before 1984. And while we can't end every single act of violence, we do deserve the credit for creating the space and the opportunity for these victims to be able to come

forward and, when they gain the courage, to leave these domestically violent situations.

The most dangerous moment for any of these women is that point in time when they decide to do something about their situation, and that is the point in which there has just got to be a resource there.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Absolutely. So when they make that courageous decision, they quickly find they are not alone; there is someone there reaching out.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for being a part of this Special Order this evening. Again, I want to thank my colleague and commend her for her leadership on this important issue.

Mr. Speaker, I want to reiterate the importance of FVPSA. This legislation is at the heart of our Nation's response to domestic violence services. This program ensures that local domestic violence shelters and programs are able to keep their lights on and the doors open to serve more than 1.3 million victims and their children every year.

FVPSA funds nearly 1,600 community-based programs through State formula grants, including 60 programs located throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, my home State. These programs provide the necessary resources to local communities that help educate individuals on healthy relationships, as well as offer legal assistance, crisis intervention, and counseling.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG), an esteemed colleague, a good friend of mine. I have the privilege and honor of serving with him on the Education and the Workforce Committee.

Mr. WALBERG. Mr. Speaker, I thank Representative THOMPSON for this Special Order but, even more importantly, for sponsoring this legislation and giving many of us the opportunity to join with him because, indeed, this is not simply a piece of legislation that changes lives and saves lives, as it does, but it also changes history—the history of individuals, the history of families we trust, and the history of communities and this country—by doing the right thing.

This Special Order on the importance of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act takes this from just simple legislation and the effort that goes there and puts human faces on it, and so I thank the gentleman for that.

I am proud to be a cosponsor of this vital legislation that, for over 30 years, has been protecting and seeking justice for victims of domestic violence, especially women and children, though also for men and parents who are caught in a trap that, sadly, too often takes place within a so-called family situation.

FVPSA was the first Federal law to address domestic violence; and since the law was enacted in 1984, it has continued to focus on providing shelter

and services for survivors and children exposed to domestic violence.

Every year, the National Network to End Domestic Violence conducts its annual census, which provides a snapshot of domestic violence services provided nationwide during a 24-hour period. Last year, the survey found that, in Michigan, my home State, on just 1 day, 2,359 Michigan residents were given shelter or provided services through a domestic violence program. These are lives. 2,359 lives were touched with this program. This included over 1,600 victims finding refuge at a shelter or temporary housing arrangement, and over 400 calls to the Michigan Domestic Violence Hotline.

I have had the opportunity to visit the SIREN/Eaton Shelter, which provides indispensable services to the community surrounding Charlotte, Michigan. The mission of SIREN/Eaton Shelter is to promote the elimination of domestic violence and homelessness by providing temporary housing, support, advocacy, education, counseling, and information to victims and the community.

Whenever a victim reaches out for help, it is vitally important that they have access to safe refuge, the support services they need to protect themselves and their family, and hold their abusers accountable.

Congress plays an important role in protecting victims of domestic violence as well as children who are the victims of abuse. This important legislation helps keep the lights on at domestic violence shelters across the country so they can continue their efforts to break the cycle of abuse for generations to come and, ultimately, change history.

Mr. Speaker, a thank-you again to Representative THOMPSON for sponsoring this bill and giving us the opportunity to join with him, and also for holding this Special Order tonight to put faces, connect faces and lives to legislation that can make a difference.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG) for his leadership on issues related to Education and the Workforce, serving that committee, as you do, and I am proud to serve there with you, but also for having that heart for individuals who are facing abuse and those victims that we know are out there who are struggling as a result of the various types of abuses that occur. I know the gentleman has a heart of service, of trying to provide this, so I am certainly proud to work with him on this important reauthorization of this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, as a part of this, of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, Congress sets aside funding for three purposes under FVPSA. This includes a national domestic hotline which receives calls for assistance related to domestic abuse, incredibly important, being able to, 24/7, reach out for help when finding themselves in these tragic circumstances.

Another area, another purpose is direct services like housing, counseling, legal advocacy, and referrals through State grants for victims of domestic abuse and their children and efforts to prevent domestic violence through a program known as Domestic Violence Prevention Enhancement and Leadership Through Allies.

Now, I would like to take the opportunity to highlight one of these programs, The National Domestic Violence Hotline, more commonly referred to as The Hotline.

Beginning in 1996, this 24-hour, 7-day-a-week service provides free and confidential support for individuals who call for assistance related to domestic violence issues. The Hotline also provides referrals and connects individuals to the most comprehensive resource base in the country, with over 4,800 service providers in the United States, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam, all possible through the continuous support of FVPSA funding.

The Hotline is the only 24/7 center in the Nation that has access to service providers and shelters across the United States, including our territories. This service also helps with safety planning and support related to questions related to healthy relationships.

Not only does The Hotline answer calls from victims, but it also provides services and support to helpers, who are individuals who contact The Hotline on behalf of a person who is now or has previously been the victim of abuse, in addition to abusive partners, service providers, and law enforcement officials.

One project The Hotline has introduced is the Love Is Respect program. The purpose of this program is to engage, educate, and empower young people to prevent and end abusive relationships. This online resource helps millions of teens and 20-somethings learn about healthy relationships and dating violence. Providing hotline services to youth is also authorized as a part of FVPSA, another critical need covered by this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, in 2017, The Hotline and Love Is Respect answered over 300,000 calls for help, 300,000 calls, texts, and chats from survivors of domestic abuse on a range of issues, including physical abuse, emotional and verbal abuse, economic and financial abuse, digital abuse, and sexual abuse.

□ 1830

The National Domestic Violence Hotline also partners with the National Indigenous Women's Resource Center's expertise to operate the StrongHearts Native Helpline.

This important program provides culturally appropriate, confidential services for Native Americans affected by domestic abuse and dating violence.

Although The Hotline has made significant strides over its lifetime offering support to victims, more than 98,000 contacts in 2017 went unanswered due to a lack of resources and capacity.

Mr. Speaker, that is unacceptable. It is imperative that we pass this legislation to continue funding programs like these that have a proven record of success.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to highlight one of the organizations that provides great resources on domestic violence issues, the National Network to End Domestic Violence.

Each year, this organization releases a report entitled "Domestic Violence Counts: A 24-hour National Census of Domestic Violence Services." This report revealed that, in just one day, Mr. Speaker, one day in 2017, more than 72,000 victims of domestic violence received services.

In my home State and your home State, Mr. Speaker, of Pennsylvania, in that State alone, 1,278 victims of domestic violence found refuge in emergency shelters or transitional housing provided by local domestic violence programs; 1,208 victims of domestic violence received support through counseling, legal assistance, and children's support programs; 760 hotline calls received that day were answered, which equals about 32 calls answered per hour; and 1,841 individuals, Mr. Speaker, attended prevention and education training sessions on that day across Pennsylvania, gaining critical information on domestic violence prevention, early intervention, and other related services.

Although this report showed the great success of our local community-based programs, more than 11,000 requests for services went unmet that day because programs lacked the resources and the funding to carry out these services.

Mr. Speaker, it is clear these programs are working for the American public. Let us pass this legislation to continue supporting victims of domestic violence and providing them with all the necessary resources they need on their way to recovery.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank my colleagues, Representative MOORE and Representative WALBERG, for taking time out of their busy schedule to talk about this important issue with us today. And, Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the more than 100 Members of Congress who have stepped up and stepped forward to put their name on this legislation as cosponsors of this reauthorization bill. It is a strong bipartisan bill for a righteous purpose.

FVPSA was the first Federal law to address domestic violence. Since its enactment in 1984, it has continued to have a primary focus on providing shelter and services for survivors and has increasingly provided support to children exposed to domestic violence and teen dating violence.

It is my hope this legislation will continue to support those who are facing domestic violence and continue to create healthy and safe pathways to recovery.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. POE of Texas (at the request of Mr. MCCARTHY) for today and September 6 until 3 p.m. on account of personal reasons.

SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 2553. An act to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to prohibit health plans and pharmacy benefit managers from restricting pharmacies from informing individuals regarding the prices for certain drugs and biologicals; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce; in addition, to the Committee on Ways and Means for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

S. 3021. An act to designate the United States courthouse located at 300 South Fourth Street in Minneapolis, Minnesota, as the "Diana E. Murphy United States Courthouse"; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported that on September 5, 2018, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill:

H.R. 2147. To require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to hire additional Veterans Justice Outreach Specialists to provide treatment court services to justice-involved veterans, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 6 o'clock and 34 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, September 6, 2018, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.

OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS, RESIDENT COMMISSIONER, AND DELEGATES

The oath of office required by the sixth article of the Constitution of the United States, and as provided by section 2 of the act of May 13, 1884 (23 Stat. 22), to be administered to Members, Resident Commissioner, and Delegates of the House of Representatives, the text of which is carried in 5 U.S.C. 3331:

"I, AB, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely,

without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God."

has been subscribed to in person and filed in duplicate with the Clerk of the House of Representatives by the following Member of the 115th Congress, pursuant to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 25:

Troy Balderson, 12th District of Ohio.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

6123. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter authorizing 16 officers to wear the insignia of the grade of brigadier general, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 777(b)(3)(B); Public Law 104-106, Sec. 503(a)(1) (as added by Public Law 108-136, Sec. 509(a)(3)); (117 Stat. 1458); to the Committee on Armed Services.

6124. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral David C. Johnson, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 293); to the Committee on Armed Services.

6125. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Zinc oxide; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0574; FRL-9978-36] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6126. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Significant New Use Rules on Certain Chemical Substances [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2017-0560; FRL-9982-77] (RIN: 2070-AB27) received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6127. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Significant New Use Rules on Certain Chemical Substances [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2017-0464; FRL-9982-24] (RIN: 2070-AB27) received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6128. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List: Partial Deletion of the Omaha Lead Superfund Site [EPA-HQ-SFUND-2003-0010; FRL-9982-84-Region 7] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6129. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Lignosulfonic Acid, Calcium, comp. with 1,6 hexanediamine polymer with Guanidine Hydrochloride (1:1); Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0520; FRL-9979-94] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-

121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6130. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Hawaii: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions [EPA-R09-2018-RCRA-0267; FRL-9982-86-Region 9] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6131. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Aspartic acid, N-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)—, tetrasodium salt; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0474; FRL-9981-27] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6132. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval of Nebraska Air Quality Implementation Plan; Particulate Emissions: Limitations and Standards [EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0188; FRL-9982-06-Region 7] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6133. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval of Air Plan Revisions; Approvals and Promulgations: California; Placer County Air Pollution Control District; Stationary Source Permits [EPA-R09-OAR-2018-0282; FRL-9981-98-Region 9] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6134. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; Nebraska; Revisions to Title 115 of the Nebraska Administrative Code; Rules of Practice and Procedure [EPA-R07-OAR-2018-0307; FRL-9982-07-Region 7] received August 27, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

6135. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Inseason Adjustment to the 2018 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pollock, Atka Mackerel, and Pacific Cod Total Allowable Catch Amounts; Correction [Docket No.: 161020985-7181-02] (RIN: 0648-XF866) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6136. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea Subarea of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 161020985-7181-02] (RIN: 0648-XG023) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6137. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's tem-

porary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Vessels Using Pot Gear in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 160920866-7167-02] (RIN: 0648-XF891) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6138. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 161020985-7181-02] (RIN: 0648-XF838) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6139. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Exchange of Flatfish in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 161020985-7181-02] (RIN: 0648-XF842) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6140. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Hook-and-Line Catcher/Processors in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 170816769-8162-02] (RIN: 0648-XF893) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6141. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's temporary rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pollock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [Docket No.: 161020985-7181-02] (RIN: 0648-XF979) received August 23, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

6142. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Various Model 234 and Model CH-47D Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2015-4007; Product Identifier 2015-SW-064-AD; Amendment 39-19351; AD 2018-16-11] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6143. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce Corporation Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0259; Product Identifier 2018-NE-09-AD; Amendment 39-19358; AD 2018-17-04] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6144. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; ATR-GIE Avions de Transport Régional Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2018-

0712; Product Identifier 2018-NM-089-AD; Amendment 39-19361; AD 2018-17-07] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6145. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Services B.V. Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0303; Product Identifier 2018-NM-006-AD; Amendment 39-19360; AD 2018-17-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6146. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2017-1022; Product Identifier 2017-NM-098-AD; Amendment 39-19357; AD 2018-17-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6147. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc., Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0072; Product Identifier 2017-NM-082-AD; Amendment 39-19363; AD 2018-17-09] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6148. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce plc Turbojet Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2017-1108; Product Identifier 2012-NE-44-AD; Amendment 39-19362; AD 2018-17-08] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6149. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. (Bell) Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0738; Product Identifier 2017-SW-132-AD; Amendment 39-19355; AD 2018-17-01] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6150. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus SAS Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0277; Product Identifier 2017-NM-124-AD; Amendment 39-19364; AD 2018-17-10] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6151. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; B/E Aerospace Fischer GmbH Attendant Seats and Pilot Seats [Docket No.: FAA-2017-0937; Product Identifier 2017-NE-32-AD; Amendment 39-19341; AD 2018-16-01] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received August 30, 2018, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121,

Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6152. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting a report on the development and implementation of a training curriculum for members of the Board for Correction of Military Records for the United States Coast Guard, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1552 note; Public Law 114-328, Sec. 534(c)(4); (130 Stat. 2122); jointly to the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure and Armed Services.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. BUCK: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 1051. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6691) to amend title 18, United States Code, to clarify the definition of "crime of violence", and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of motions to suspend the rules (Rept. 115-920). Referred to the House Calendar.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. BILIRAKIS (for himself and Mrs. BLACKBURN):

H.R. 6702. A bill to clarify the congressional intent behind the requirements relating to immediate suspension orders and corrective action plans under the Controlled Substances Act that were added by the Ensuring Patient Access and Effective Drug Enforcement Act of 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PALMER:

H.R. 6703. A bill to allow individuals to choose to opt out of the Medicare part A benefit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PALMER:

H.R. 6704. A bill to permanently rescind unobligated amounts in the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. CARDENAS:

H.R. 6705. A bill to amend the Safe Drinking Water Act to require the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to publish a maximum contaminant level goal and promulgate a national primary drinking water regulation for perchlorate, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. CASTRO of Texas (for himself, Mrs. WAGNER, and Mr. LARSEN of Washington):

H.R. 6706. A bill to support the establishment of small business development centers internationally and promote international commerce, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas (for himself, Mr. GOMEZ, and Mr. ESPAILLAT):

H.R. 6707. A bill to establish certain standards for the adjudication of United States passport applications, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana (for himself, Mr. JONES, Ms. TENNEY, Mrs.

WAGNER, and Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana):

H.R. 6708. A bill to limit the printing of the Congressional Record and the House Calendars, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. NORMAN:

H.R. 6709. A bill to prohibit the use of Federal funds by the Department of Health and Human Services to award a grant for the development of any video game; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, Education and the Workforce, and Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. NORTON:

H.R. 6710. A bill to amend the District of Columbia Home Rule Act to permit the District of Columbia to establish the timing of special elections for local office in the District of Columbia; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. SMITH of Washington (for himself, Ms. BONAMICI, Ms. NORTON, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. POCAN, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. WELCH, Mr. BEYER, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. HECK, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. KIHUEN, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. LEE, Ms. BORDALLO, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. CLAY, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. SCHIFF, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. MCGOVERN, and Mr. TED LIEU of California):

H.R. 6711. A bill to provide an allowance to offices of Members of the House of Representatives which shall be available for the compensation of interns, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. SMUCKER (for himself and Mr. CARBAJAL):

H.R. 6712. A bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategy to recruit and retain mental health providers, to direct the Secretaries of the military departments to develop medication monitoring programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS:

H. Res. 1050. A resolution electing a Member to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives; considered and agreed to.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. BILIRAKIS:

H.R. 6702.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 1

By Mr. PALMER:

H.R. 6703.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18

To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. PALMER:

H.R. 6704.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18

To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. CARDENAS:

H.R. 6705.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 1.

All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

By Mr. CASTRO of Texas:

H.R. 6706.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

ARTICLE I, SECTION 8: POWERS OF CONGRESS

CLAUSE 18

The Congress shall have power . . . To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this Constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof.

By Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas:

H.R. 6707.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution; Article I, Section 8, Clause 4 of the U.S. Constitution; and Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana:

H.R. 6708.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 18 of Section 8 of Article 1 of the Constitution

By Mr. NORMAN:

H.R. 6709.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8

By Ms. NORTON:

H.R. 6710.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

clause 17 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. SMITH of Washington:

H.R. 6711.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18.

By Mr. SMUCKER:

H.R. 6712.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 113: Mr. LAMB.

H.R. 148: Mr. ROSS.

H.R. 398: Mr. CLEAVER and Mr. VELA.

H.R. 483: Ms. TENNEY.

H.R. 762: Mr. ESPAILLAT and Mr. KING of New York.

H.R. 909: Mr. LAMB.

H.R. 1038: Mr. HILL.

H.R. 1161: Mr. CURBELO of Florida.

H.R. 1225: Mr. COURTNEY.

H.R. 1300: Mr. DEFazio, Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, and Mr. SARBANES.

H.R. 1316: Mr. POLIQUIN.
H.R. 1318: Mr. CURBELO of Florida.
H.R. 1322: Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania.
H.R. 1337: Mr. COLE, Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana, and Ms. STEFANIK.
H.R. 1456: Mr. WALDEN and Mr. MEEKS.
H.R. 1542: Ms. BROWNLEY of California.
H.R. 1681: Mr. POCAN.
H.R. 1734: Mr. CURBELO of Florida, Mr. UPTON, and Mr. DENHAM.
H.R. 1819: Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia.
H.R. 1847: Mr. LAMB.
H.R. 1898: Mrs. BEATTY.
H.R. 1904: Mr. LAMB.
H.R. 1953: Ms. JUDY CHU of California and Ms. TITUS.
H.R. 2049: Mr. LABRADOR.
H.R. 2150: Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Mr. VELA, and Mr. YARMUTH.
H.R. 2327: Ms. MATSUI and Mr. TIPTON.
H.R. 2358: Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. SHERMAN, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. SHUSTER, Mr. DESAULNIER, Mr. LATTA, and Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire.
H.R. 2392: Ms. PINGREE.
H.R. 2425: Ms. DELBENE, Ms. TSONGAS, Mr. KILMER, and Ms. ESHOO.
H.R. 2535: Ms. PINGREE.
H.R. 2650: Ms. CLARKE of New York and Mr. GROTHMAN.
H.R. 2669: Mr. HECK.
H.R. 2856: Mr. HUDSON, Mr. BIGGS, and Mr. MCCLINTOCK.
H.R. 3124: Mr. DEUTCH, Mr. SERRANO, and Mr. DEFazio.
H.R. 3165: Mr. LATTA.
H.R. 3325: Ms. PINGREE, Mrs. HARTZLER, and Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.
H.R. 3349: Mr. SCHNEIDER.
H.R. 3378: Mr. HOLLINGSWORTH.
H.R. 3635: Mr. DUNN.
H.R. 3682: Mr. TED LIEU of California.
H.R. 3798: Mr. LATTA.
H.R. 3931: Mr. DENHAM.
H.R. 4016: Mr. COURTNEY.
H.R. 4107: Mr. LATTA, Mr. LOUDERMILK, Mr. GOHMERT, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. SOTO, Mr. DEUTCH, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, Mr. VALADAO, Mr. POCAN, Mr. BERGMAN, Mr. MCCAUL, Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. MITCHELL, and Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana.
H.R. 4312: Mr. BANKS of Indiana.
H.R. 4548: Ms. TSONGAS.
H.R. 4608: Mr. AGUILAR.
H.R. 4732: Mr. MEEKS, Mr. CLAY, Mr. CORREA, Mr. GOTTHEIMER, and Mr. PITTINGER.
H.R. 4843: Mr. KILDEE, Ms. SPEIER, and Mr. SMITH of Missouri.
H.R. 4886: Mr. SESSIONS.
H.R. 4983: Mr. LOUDERMILK.
H.R. 5011: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. PASCRELL, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, and Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas.
H.R. 5034: Ms. BASS, Mr. RUSH, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, and Mr. KENNEDY.
H.R. 5100: Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. CURBELO of Florida, Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania, Mr. DENHAM, and Mr. UPTON.
H.R. 5107: Mr. NEWHOUSE.
H.R. 5141: Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.
H.R. 5160: Ms. LOFGREN.
H.R. 5226: Ms. PINGREE.

H.R. 5279: Mr. KHANNA.
H.R. 5282: Mrs. HANDEL and Mr. HUIZENGA.
H.R. 5340: Mr. BURGESS, Mr. BABIN, and Mr. BACON.
H.R. 5383: Mr. SOTO.
H.R. 5413: Mr. BUDD.
H.R. 5527: Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, and Ms. SHEA-PORTER.
H.R. 5610: Ms. ROSEN.
H.R. 5671: Mr. LAMB.
H.R. 5697: Mr. BUCHANAN, Mr. SOTO, and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ.
H.R. 5710: Ms. SHEA-PORTER.
H.R. 5728: Ms. PINGREE, Mr. YARMUTH, and Ms. MENG.
H.R. 5794: Mr. RUPPERSBERGER and Ms. HANABUSA.
H.R. 5879: Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Mr. FLEISCHMANN, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee, Mr. DESAULNIER, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. DUFFY, Mr. GUTHRIE, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. CLEAVER, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. BEYER, Mr. GRAVES of Missouri, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. COOPER, Mr. KIHUEN, and Mr. ELLISON.
H.R. 5899: Mr. CURBELO of Florida and Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois.
H.R. 5945: Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico.
H.R. 5949: Mr. YOHO.
H.R. 5985: Miss RICE of New York and Mr. BARR.
H.R. 5986: Mr. COLE.
H.R. 6048: Mr. COURTNEY.
H.R. 6079: Mr. COLE.
H.R. 6080: Ms. KAPTUR.
H.R. 6108: Mr. MCEACHIN and Mr. HARRIS.
H.R. 6113: Mr. DENHAM.
H.R. 6216: Mr. LAMBORN.
H.R. 6217: Mr. LAMBORN.
H.R. 6219: Mr. SENSENBRENNER.
H.R. 6227: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.
H.R. 6246: Mr. KATKO.
H.R. 6252: Mr. SIRES.
H.R. 6277: Mr. LAHOOD, Mrs. BLACK, and Mr. MARCHANT.
H.R. 6292: Mr. AL GREEN of Texas.
H.R. 6405: Mr. UPTON, Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, and Mr. CURBELO of Florida.
H.R. 6421: Mr. MARCHANT.
H.R. 6503: Mr. SHERMAN, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Ms. TITUS, Ms. LOFGREN, and Ms. JUDY CHU of California.
H.R. 6517: Mr. KING of New York and Mr. MOULTON.
H.R. 6525: Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. SIRES, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. COHEN, Mr. GARAMENDI, and Mr. GALLEGO.
H.R. 6527: Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. DESAULNIER, and Ms. SÁNCHEZ.
H.R. 6543: Mr. CUMMINGS, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, and Mrs. LAWRENCE.
H.R. 6544: Mrs. HANDEL.
H.R. 6562: Mr. KNIGHT, Mr. COOK, and Mr. HUNTER.
H.R. 6578: Mr. PETERSON.
H.R. 6590: Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania and Ms. SPEIER.
H.R. 6607: Mr. TAKANO.
H.R. 6619: Mr. ROSS.
H.R. 6626: Mr. PALLONE, Mr. LANCE, Mr. PAYNE, and Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN.

H.R. 6637: Mr. LATTA.
H.R. 6645: Mr. BUCHANAN, Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. CURBELO of Florida, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mr. KATKO, Mr. CRIST, Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana, and Mr. ROHRBACHER.
H.R. 6655: Mr. HUNTER.
H.R. 6659: Ms. ESTY of Connecticut.
H.R. 6663: Mr. LANCE.
H.R. 6671: Mr. TAKANO and Ms. LEE.
H.R. 6681: Ms. MENG, Mr. MEADOWS, Mr. HILL, Mr. WOMACK, Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee, Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky, Mrs. WAGNER, Mr. BISHOP of Michigan, Mr. RUSSELL, Mr. MITCHELL, Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana, Mrs. LOVE, Mr. RICHMOND, Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico, and Ms. JACKSON LEE.
H.R. 6683: Mr. CURBELO of Florida and Mrs. WAGNER.
H.R. 6689: Mr. ROSKAM, Mr. VISCLOSKEY, and Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois.
H. Con. Res. 72: Mrs. ROBY.
H. Con. Res. 130: Mr. AGUILAR.
H. Con. Res. 131: Mr. CICILLINE.
H. Res. 31: Mrs. COMSTOCK.
H. Res. 147: Ms. MOORE.
H. Res. 220: Mr. ROSKAM.
H. Res. 401: Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. NEAL, Ms. CLARKE of New York, and Mr. GOMEZ.
H. Res. 673: Mr. CORREA.
H. Res. 776: Mr. GRIJALVA.
H. Res. 864: Mr. GALLAGHER, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. MOORE, Mr. SOTO, Mr. NADLER, Mr. PETERSON, and Mr. KILMER.
H. Res. 1022: Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois and Mr. UPTON.
H. Res. 1030: Mr. SESSIONS and Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H. Res. 1031: Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. MEEKS, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. ESHOO, Miss RICE of New York, Ms. FRANKEL of Florida, Mr. LOWENTHAL, and Mr. DESAULNIER.
H. Res. 1043: Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mr. GALLAGHER, and Mr. HIGGINS of New York.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

OFFERED BY MR. GOODLATTE

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Judiciary in H.R. 6691, the “Community Safety and Security Act of 2018,” do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 4457: Mr. MEADOWS.



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 115th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 164

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2018

No. 147

Senate

The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Honorable JONI ERNST, a Senator from the State of Iowa.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

O God, our rock and fortress, we trust the unfolding of Your loving providence. May our lawmakers find refuge in the shadow of Your citadel. Give our Senators eyes that are willing to see their own mistakes and to be patient with the faults of others. May our legislators walk with reverence and sensitivity through all the seasons of their lives. Lord, give them steadfast hearts as they strive to glorify You in all they think, say, and do. Open their minds to think Your thoughts and their hearts to do Your will.

We pray in Your merciful Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Presiding Officer led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,

Washington, DC, September 5, 2018.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable JONI ERNST, a Senator

from the State of Iowa, to perform the duties of the Chair.

ORRIN G. HATCH,
President pro tempore.

Mrs. ERNST thereupon assumed the Chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

WELCOMING SENATOR KYL

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, over the weekend, Senator McCain was laid to rest at Annapolis. Our dear friend left a towering legacy of service and sacrifice. As Senator GRAHAM reminded us last week, while none of us can hope to fill his shoes, we should all strive to follow in his footsteps. Today, the great State of Arizona has sent us a successor who is as well-equipped as you could imagine to do just that.

Our friend and former colleague, Senator JON KYL, is one of the most serious, most expert, and most effective legislators with whom I have had the pleasure of serving. In his 18 years of Senate service, he forcefully defended the interests of Arizonans on issues local, national, and international. He mastered the details of water and natural resource issues. He became a go-to point person on missile defense, nuclear modernization, strategy, and arms control. He built a reputation as a steady and energetic public servant who put his State and his country first.

On a point of personal privilege, I am glad we will have JON back with us. He has great judgment and an even keel. He is a team player, a true friend, and an inspiring example of what a Senator can and should be.

It is a good thing, too, because Congress has a lot to accomplish in the coming weeks—like finalizing appropriations bills, completing our consid-

eration of Judge Kavanaugh, and confirming more of the President's qualified nominees. It is going to be all hands on deck for the American people. I could not be happier that this new, not-so-junior Senator from Arizona will be here to help us through this period.

SENATOR INHOFE NEXT CHAIRMAN OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, speaking of continuing John McCain's legacy, I am also happy that our colleagues on the Armed Services Committee have officially chosen Senator INHOFE to serve as their next chairman. JIM INHOFE filled in for Senator McCain during a difficult year. He rose to the occasion and helped lead the committee in passing crucial legislation that honored the example of his predecessor and the volunteers who defend our Nation. He possesses rich experience on the committee, including decades of work on behalf of American servicemembers, as well as his own military service. Congratulations and thanks to Senator INHOFE.

WORK OF THE SENATE

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, on another matter, the Senate continues work on a number of important priorities. This morning, I joined a number of our colleagues in the first conference committee meeting for the farm bill, including the occupant of the Chair. Others of our colleagues are continuing to confer with the House on appropriations bills so we can stay on track with respect to funding the government.

On the floor this week, we will continue attending to personnel business. We voted yesterday to advance the nomination of Elad Roisman to serve on the Securities and Exchange Commission. After we confirm him, we will

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S6035

turn to eight more qualified district court nominees.

Of course, the Nation turned its attention yesterday to the start of Brett Kavanaugh's confirmation hearings to serve as Associate Justice of the Supreme Court. Those who tuned in heard about a well-respected judge and well-respected professor with flawless judicial temperament and integrity, someone who makes those around him better. They heard these accounts from a former U.S. Secretary of State, a sitting U.S. Senator, and a prominent liberal litigator with extensive experience before the Supreme Court. From Judge Kavanaugh himself, they heard about his guiding principles—among them, "Interpret the Constitution as written, informed by history and tradition and precedent."

In sum, the American people saw the impressive, talented, measured jurist they have heard so much about. Unfortunately, the country also saw disappointing displays of attempted partisan obstruction. The rude, disrespectful, and boorish behavior we saw yesterday in the Judiciary Committee was the confirmation hearing equivalent of an "abolish ICE" protest—the confirmation hearing equivalent of an "abolish ICE" protest right here in the Senate Judiciary Committee room.

Well, I guess we shouldn't be too surprised. The antics confirmed two things that our Democratic colleagues have told us before: Their minds are already made up—they have already made up their minds about the Kavanaugh nomination—and they will try anything to stop it.

Democrats interrupted Chairman GRASSLEY literally scores of times. They treated the nominee rudely, even insulting the patriotism of this devoted public servant and highly esteemed judge. They offered one stale process complaint after another—completely ignoring the fact that more documentation has been produced for Judge Kavanaugh's nomination than for the last five Supreme Court nominations combined.

I guess our Democratic friends' coordinated plan was to throw a pot of spaghetti at the wall and see if anything stuck. Nothing stuck. Nothing stuck except the complete contrast between a gracious, thoughtful, patient nominee and the hyperventilating by Senate Democrats who are obviously not interested in giving Judge Kavanaugh the fair consideration he deserves.

Half a million pages of documents, 12 years of opinions, the testimony of peers and colleagues from across the political spectrum—any Member who is actually willing to give Judge Kavanaugh fair consideration has every tool they already need to do so.

I implore my friends across the aisle, let's put the partisan theatrics behind us—it is rather embarrassing to see that in the Senate—and continue these hearings with the dignity befitting the serious task before us.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the following nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Elad L. Roisman, of Maine, to be a Member of the Securities and Exchange Commission for a term expiring June 5, 2023.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Roisman nomination?

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 85, nays 14, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 202 Ex.]

YEAS—85

Alexander	Graham	Paul
Barrasso	Grassley	Perdue
Bennet	Hassan	Peters
Blunt	Hatch	Portman
Boozman	Heinrich	Reed
Brown	Heitkamp	Risch
Burr	Heller	Roberts
Cantwell	Hirono	Rounds
Capito	Hoeven	Rubio
Cardin	Hyde-Smith	Sasse
Carper	Inhofe	Schatz
Casey	Isakson	Scott
Cassidy	Johnson	Shaheen
Collins	Jones	Shelby
Coons	Kaine	Smith
Corker	Kennedy	Stabenow
Cornyn	King	Sullivan
Cortez Masto	Klobuchar	Tester
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Crapo	Leahy	Tillis
Cruz	Lee	Toomey
Daines	Manchin	Udall
Donnelly	McCaskill	Van Hollen
Duckworth	McConnell	Warner
Enzi	Moran	Wicker
Ernst	Murkowski	Wyden
Fischer	Murphy	Young
Flake	Murray	
Gardner	Nelson	

NAYS—14

Baldwin	Gillibrand	Sanders
Blumenthal	Harris	Schumer
Booker	Markey	Warren
Durbin	Menendez	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Merkley	

The nomination was confirmed.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The majority leader.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair lays before the Senate the certificate of appointment to fill the vacancy created by the passing of John S. McCain III, of Arizona. The certificate, the Chair is advised, is in the form suggested by the Senate.

If there be no objection, the reading of the certificate will be waived, and it will be printed in full in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the certificate was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATE OF ARIZONA

CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT

To the President of the Senate of the United States:

This is to certify that pursuant to the power vested in me by the Constitution of the United States and the laws of the State of Arizona, I, Douglas A. Ducey, the governor of said State, do hereby appoint Jon Llewellyn Kyl a Senator from said State to represent said State in the Senate of the United States until the vacancy therein caused by the death of John Sidney McCain III, is filled by election as provided by law.

Witness: His excellency our governor Douglas A. Ducey, and our seal hereto affixed at Phoenix, Arizona this 4th day of September in the year of our Lord 2018.

By the Governor:

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY,
Governor.
MICHELE REAGAN,
Secretary of State.

[State Seal Affixed]

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF OFFICE

The VICE PRESIDENT. If the Senator-designate will now present himself at the desk, the Chair will administer the oath of office.

The Senator-designate, JON LLEWELLYN KYL, escorted by Mr. FLAKE, advanced to the desk of the Vice President; the oath prescribed by law was administered to him by the Vice President; and he subscribed to the oath in the Official Oath Book.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Congratulations, Senator.

(Applause, Senators rising.)

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEE TO MEET

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, I have a request for the Judiciary Committee to meet during today's session of the Senate. I ask unanimous consent that it be agreed to.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

The Democratic leader.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, reserving the right to object, the Republican majority on the Judiciary Committee is pressing forward with a confirmation hearing on a Supreme Court nominee whose record has been largely shielded from the Senate and the American public. Over 90 percent of Judge Kavanaugh's record has not been received by the Senate and may never be. What has been delivered to the committee was prescreened by a Republican lawyer, with no guidelines as to what we were receiving and what we were not. It is just whatever entered his whim. Of that small subset of prescreened documents—less than 10 percent of Judge Kavanaugh's full record—Chairman GRASSLEY is prohibiting large segments from being shared with the public, without explanation.

Republicans are trying to jam through, with as little scrutiny as possible, a lifetime appointment to the Nation's highest Court, with the power to affect the lives of Americans for a generation. That is why it is so important for the Senate and the public to review the nominee's record—because healthcare, a woman's freedom to make medical decisions, civil rights, voting rights, and marriage equality all hang in the balance. The Republican majority is deliberately obstructing the Senate's constitutional duty to fairly and thoroughly conduct our advice and consent powers.

As a result, we will not consent to business as usual on the Senate floor today. This means the Senate will adjourn for the day after my two colleagues finish speaking.

I object.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Objection is heard.

The majority leader.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session for a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

BICENTENNIAL OF THE CITY OF EDMONTON

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, I would like to take a moment today to congratulate the city of Edmonton, KY, for reaching an historic milestone: its bicentennial anniversary. Throughout its 200 years, this southcentral Kentucky community has developed a rich heritage, and its weekend of celebrations this September is well deserved.

Edmonton was founded in 1818 and named after Edmund Rogers, who

owned the land and laid out the town. The community became the seat of Metcalfe County at its formation in 1860. Today it is home to more than 1,500 Kentuckians, and Edmonton displays many of the best rural values and traditions of our Commonwealth.

Following the opening ceremonies of the bicentennial celebrations at city hall, there will be a parade throughout Edmonton, featuring floats carrying schoolchildren. There will be a wide range of events, celebrating the city's history and its promise for the future. From beard contests to antique car shows and from bicentennial skits to historical tours, there is something for everyone to enjoy.

The celebration will also feature a local talent showcase and a performance by a hometown band, "The Kentucky Headhunters." After the show, attendees can watch a fireworks show to provide a fitting end of the bicentennial celebration.

I would like to join Mayor Howard Garrett and everyone in Edmonton in celebrating this occasion, and I would like to ask my Senate colleagues to help me commemorate this bicentennial anniversary.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 12:02 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 5869. An act to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a maritime border threat analysis, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6265. An act to ensure that only travelers who are members of a trusted traveler program use Transportation Security Administration security screening lanes designated for trusted travelers, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6374. An act to require the Department of Homeland Security to streamline Federal contractor fitness determinations, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6400. An act to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a threat and operational analysis of ports of entry, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6430. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to implement certain requirements for information relating to supply chain risk, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6438. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish in the Department of Homeland Security an Unmanned Aircraft Systems Coordinator, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6439. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish in the Department of Homeland Security the Biometric Identification Transnational Migration Alert Program, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6443. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish a continuous diagnostics and mitigation program at the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6447. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish the position

of Chief Data Officer of the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6459. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to require a strategy to diversify the technology stakeholder marketplace regarding the acquisition by the Transportation Security Administration of security screening technologies, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6461. An act to amend title 49, United States Code, to establish in the Transportation Security Administration a National Deployment Office, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House agrees to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4318) to amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to modify temporarily certain rates of duty.

The message further announced that the House disagrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 6157) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, and for other purposes, and asks a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and that the following Members be the managers of the conference on the part of the House: Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, Ms. GRANGER, Messrs. COLE, CALVERT, WOMACK, ADERHOLT, ROGERS of Kentucky, Mrs. ROBY, Mrs. LOWEY, Mr. VISCLOSKEY, Mses. DELAURO, ROYBAL-ALLARD, and MCCOLLUM.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bills were read the first and the second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

H.R. 5869. An act to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a maritime border threat analysis, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6265. An act to ensure that only travelers who are members of a trusted traveler program use Transportation Security Administration security screening lanes designated for trusted travelers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

H.R. 6374. An act to require the Department of Homeland Security to streamline Federal contractor fitness determinations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6400. An act to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a threat and operational analysis of ports of entry, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6430. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to implement certain requirements for information relating to supply chain risk, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6438. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish in the Department of Homeland Security an Unmanned Aircraft Systems Coordinator, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6439. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish in the Department of Homeland Security the Biometric Identification Transnational Migration

Alert Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6443. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish a continuous diagnostics and mitigation program at the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6447. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish the position of Chief Data Officer of the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 6459. An act to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to require a strategy to diversify the technology stakeholder marketplace regarding the acquisition by the Transportation Security Administration of security screening technologies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

H.R. 6461. An act to amend title 49, United States Code, to establish in the Transportation Security Administration a National Deployment Office, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. HOEVEN, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, without amendment:

S. 2515. A bill to amend the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act to provide further self-governance by Indian Tribes, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 115-335).

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. BENNET, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Ms. HARRIS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, and Ms. HIRONO):

S. 3408. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to direct the Secretary of Education to award grants for teaching English learners to institutions of higher education; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. NELSON (for himself and Mr. SCHATZ):

S. 3409. A bill to allow veterans to use, possess, or transport medical marijuana and to discuss the use of medical marijuana with a physician of the Department of Veterans Affairs as authorized by State law, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SANDERS:

S. 3410. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to impose a tax on employers whose employees receive certain Federal benefits, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Ms. SMITH (for herself, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BROWN, Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Ms. HASSAN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. REED, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. UDALL, Ms. WARREN, and Mr. WHITEHOUSE):

S. 3411. A bill to ensure medications are affordable; to the Committee on Finance.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 479

At the request of Mr. BROWN, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 479, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to waive coinsurance under Medicare for colorectal cancer screening tests, regardless of whether therapeutic intervention is required during the screening.

S. 510

At the request of Mr. BLUMENTHAL, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. CARPER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 510, a bill to protect a woman's right and ability to determine whether and when to bear a child or end a pregnancy by limiting restrictions on the provision of abortion services.

S. 1121

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1121, a bill to establish a postsecondary student data system.

S. 1364

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the names of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN) and the Senator from Florida (Mr. NELSON) were added as cosponsors of S. 1364, a bill to establish within the Smithsonian Institution the National Museum of the American Latino, and for other purposes.

S. 2144

At the request of Mr. VAN HOLLEN, the name of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. MURRAY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2144, a bill to provide a process for granting lawful permanent resident status to aliens from certain countries who meet specified eligibility requirements.

S. 2958

At the request of Mr. UDALL, the name of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2958, a bill to require the Federal Communications Commission to make the provision of Wi-Fi access on school buses eligible for E-rate support.

S. 3030

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3030, a bill to allow tribal grant schools to participate in the Federal Employee Health Benefits program.

S. 3063

At the request of Mr. BARRASSO, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. ISAKSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3063, a bill to delay the reimposition of the annual fee on health insurance providers until after 2020.

S. 3196

At the request of Mr. PORTMAN, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3196, a bill to defend economic livelihoods and threatened animals in the greater Okavango River Basin, and for other purposes.

S. 3231

At the request of Mr. YOUNG, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. SHAHEEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3231, a bill to establish the Task Force on the Impact of the Affordable Housing Crisis, and for other purposes.

S. 3257

At the request of Mr. CRUZ, the name of the Senator from Iowa (Mr. GRASSLEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3257, a bill to impose sanctions on foreign persons responsible for serious violations of international law regarding the protection of civilians during armed conflict, and for other purposes.

S. 3381

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3381, a bill to encourage Federal agencies to expeditiously enter into or amend cooperative agreements with States for removal and remedial actions to address PFAS contamination in drinking, surface, and ground water and land surface and subsurface strata, and for other purposes.

S. 3382

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3382, a bill to require the Director of the United States Geological Survey to perform a nationwide survey of perfluorinated compounds, and for other purposes.

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2018

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 12 noon, Thursday, September 6; further, that following the prayer and pledge, morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and morning business be closed; and that following leader remarks, the Senate proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate adjourn under the previous order, following the remarks of Senators MANCHIN and INHOFE.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from West Virginia.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—
S. RES. 581

Mr. MANCHIN. Madam President, today I call for a live unanimous consent request on my resolution to protect nearly 800,000 West Virginians and millions of Americans from losing their health insurance because of their preexisting conditions. This is an immediate danger. It is life and death for over 800,000 West Virginians and millions of Americans.

Today oral arguments began in the Texas v. United States lawsuit being waged by 20 U.S. attorneys general, including West Virginia's attorney general, that will, once again, allow insurance companies to have total control to be able to deny health insurance to people with preexisting conditions. Now that the Department of Justice has recklessly refused to defend the existing law, people with cancer, heart disease, asthma, diabetes, or pregnant women are at risk of financial and physical duress. Today, we have a chance to help right this wrong.

My resolution, S. Res. 581, will allow the Senate legal counsel to intervene and defend West Virginians and Americans with preexisting conditions from this inhumane lawsuit. Even my Republican colleagues have admitted that millions of Americans will lose their health insurance if Republican attorneys general succeed.

Now, that is something when my own colleagues, over nine of my Republican friends in the Senate, have introduced a piece of legislation that also acknowledges how destructive this will be.

In a press release, my good friend from North Carolina, Senator TILLIS, and nine other Republicans who introduced the bill wrote that oral arguments in Texas v. United States will begin today, on September 5, and if the judge rules in favor of the plaintiffs, protections for patients with preexisting conditions could be eliminated. What it basically says is, insurance companies will be allowed to determine if you are too sick and too costly for them and they can't make enough profit or see that there is no end in sight, they will just deny you.

Four hundred thousand West Virginians will be denied. They couldn't even buy insurance if they could afford it. The other 400,000 in West Virginia are going to basically have their rates raised or capped. That means they are one illness away—one illness away—from financial disaster.

Senator TILLIS said this legislation is a commonsense solution. This is a commonsense solution. We want to fix it together. We are just asking people, who basically believe the same as we all have agreed on both sides of the aisle, to ask our attorneys general to stop this senseless lawsuit and withdraw it. That would cure the problem overnight, but without it, we need to intervene, and we are asking for this to happen.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Rules

and Administration be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 581; that the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration; and that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH). Is there objection?

The Senator from Oklahoma.

Mr. INHOFE. Madam President, reserving the right to object.

Right now, there is a court case being heard in Texas on the constitutionality of ObamaCare. We are all aware of that.

In their decision upholding the constitutionality of ObamaCare, the Supreme Court said that under the commerce clause alone, ObamaCare would be unconstitutional. It was only because of the individual mandate, which they saw as a tax, that ObamaCare was upheld.

Last year, we eliminated the individual mandate in our tax cut, so the constitutionality needs to be revisited, which is what these States are doing. It would be inappropriate for the Senate to intervene in this case.

Further, this resolution instructs all provisions of ObamaCare to be defended, including the medical device tax, the Cadillac tax, the health insurance tax, and other provisions that have proven extremely unpopular on both sides of the aisle. For that reason, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

Mr. MANCHIN. Madam President, if I could please respond?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia has the floor.

Mr. INHOFE. I have already objected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

The Senator from West Virginia has the floor.

Mr. MANCHIN. Madam President, let me just say that I hear my good friend from Oklahoma. I understand where he is coming from. I would only say that if that were the intent—and they keep saying Affordable Care Act, ObamaCare, TrumpCare, no matter what you want to call it, politicizing it—we can fix it. We have a fix. We have had a bipartisan fix lying on the majority leader's desk for over a year now—12 Democrats and 12 Republicans, working together to fix the things we talked about.

One thing we all agreed on is people with preexisting conditions should not be left in an inhumane situation where they have nothing to count on—no insurance whatsoever. We have been down that road before. This is a correction. We have had this.

Basically, it is against the law for an insurance company to say: Listen, you are too sick. You have had high blood pressure. You were born with a heart defect. You had cancer when you were 40 years of age, and now you are 70; it

might return. We don't want to go down that road again. That is all we have asked for, and even our Republican colleagues agreed with us too.

If that were the intent, to rule this unconstitutional, then that would have been in the tax cut bill. The tax cut bill was the mandate. It had nothing to do with the preexisting condition. That is still the law. That is still the law of the land. All we are asking is for them to withdraw their lawsuit. They withdraw the lawsuit, and it is still against the law for any insurance company—if they pass this lawsuit, then they are going to be at the hands and the mercy of the insurance companies to pick and choose life and death for so many thousands of people—millions of people, 800,000 West Virginians. That is all.

I understand this is a hot topic, but I can tell you one thing, healthcare in West Virginia is something that is needed. It is something we now have a chance to fix that we haven't. We have opioid addiction, and we are able to treat that. Mental illness, we are able to treat that. Senior citizens, we are able to help them close the doughnut hole. These are a lot of good things we have all agreed on.

The things we want to fix is what they are harping on, and, basically, that can be taken care of and keep the Affordable Care Act where it should be, in the hands of the people who need it.

Right now, we are in jeopardy so I am asking for the consideration. I understand the objection, and I understand the process here, but basically what we are asking for is for human decency, and it is basically the concern of millions of people in America.

Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma.

Mr. INHOFE. Madam President, while I have the floor, I want to make one comment about the statement that was made by my good friend from West Virginia. The States are looking at it now. In some of these areas, preexisting conditions, the Senator is correct; there is a broad support for this. For that reason, various States are now looking at it.

I want to share something, since I have the floor. We have had an exciting day with the addition of a new Member, JON KYL. I want to mention one thing about Senator KYL. Many years ago, Senator KYL and I were actually elected to the House of Representatives on the same day, and we were elected to the Senate on the same day.

I remember so well, many years ago, when I was first elected to the House, it happened that my father—I lived in Iowa at that time, and JON's father was a Member of Congress. So the first thing he said to me was, when you get elected, go over and meet a guy named JON KYL. Never did I dream we would go through all of this, and we would be meeting again for the third time in another election.

He will be a great addition. Everyone knows that no one can fill the shoes of

our good Senator, John McCain, but certainly there is no one who comes closer than JON KYL, having served with Senator McCain for 20 years.

I had an experience that I want to share this afternoon, something that happened in church on Sunday. On Sunday, I was at a church. It is a little rural church in Oklahoma called Church On The Lake. A guy named Mark Deckard is the pastor there. This is not my church. In fact, my wife and I, our church is the First Presbyterian Church in Tulsa. That is the church she and I were married in a number of years ago, where all of our kids were married, and where all of our kids were baptized. My wife was baptized there over 80 years ago. So no one is closer to that church than we are, but occasionally I will go to another church.

Nobody actually knew I was going to be at this church last Sunday. I want to share an experience I had. A lady whom I didn't know came up to me. Her name is Lois Keene. She asked: Would you give this letter to President Trump? I don't think there is a Member of the Senate or the House who doesn't get this request quite often. It sounds quite easy to do, but it is not easy to do. After I read the letter, I decided this is something I really want to do.

She asked: Would you give this letter to President Trump?

I said: Is it OK if I read it?

And I read it. It was a letter supporting him, recognizing—and this is someone from Afton, OK. It is so obvious to her what the press has done unmercifully to this President. I have never seen anything like it. She has never seen anything like it, and so she had something she wanted to give to me defending the President.

I read it, and I said: You know, I have to say that these are not the words I would use. If I were defending the President, I would say—because to get people's attention, when you have an unfriendly media, you have to simplify it so everyone understands it. So I said I would normally just talk about three issues.

No. 1, the economy. Our economy right now at this time, we have not seen anything like it in 40 years. There are two indicators that you look at, one is economic growth. The economic growth during the last quarter actually exceeded 4 percent. It has been averaging over 3 percent. During the Obama years, for 8 years, it averaged 1.5 percent—1.5 percent growth—as opposed to at least doubling that or maybe three times that.

Now, why is this important? It is important because for every 1 percent increase in economic activity, what that does is bring in new revenue equaling

about \$2.9 trillion over 10 years. What does that tell you? It tells you that when we are now going through and rebuilding the military and all of these things, the infrastructure, these are things we need to do, and they are going to cost money. That indicator is there. Then, also, there is the indicator in terms of employment. Our unemployment right now has actually dipped below 4 percent. Economists always say 4 percent is full of employment, and so we have that.

The economy just couldn't be better than it is. It is undeniable, and yet nobody talks about it. The media doesn't talk about it.

The second thing I would say is that everyone knows now what happened during the 8 years. I don't criticize former President Obama. He is what I call a real honest liberal. He is not a closet liberal. He comes out and says it. He believes we need to have more of a socialist society. We have lived through that. Someone with that belief is not going to be real strong in terms of building the military. We have gone through this military thing for about 8 years, and now we are defending—we passed the Defense bill, which we named after John McCain. That Defense bill is putting us back on the road for a strong national defense.

People think erroneously that America has the best of everything, and they don't. Right now, we have gotten to the point where our—a lot of our systems—our triad system, for example, which is the modernization system, both China and Russia have one now that is better than ours.

Our artillery systems—you measure our artillery by the range and by rapid fire, and we are outgunned by both Russia and China in those areas. We know what has happened.

Right now, we are at the point where we have actually confirmed 26 appellate judges just in the short term that this President has been in. So I would be talking about that, but that is not what she said.

It is true, and her observation is true, that it doesn't go unnoticed by people when you have the media that is really not treating the President right.

By the way, I was a victim of this too. In fact, during the McCain discussion, after McCain's life was lost, several times on the floor I talked about the fact that way back in 1994, when I ran for U.S. Senate, there were only three Senators who came out to Oklahoma to help, and one of them was John McCain. He came out twice. We flew all over in my little airplane in about 100 degrees, and he campaigned for me. So he has been a good friend. Yet, by responding to one of the major medias in a way that I made some com-

ment about the fact that we have two individuals, Senator McCain and President Trump, who just don't have a good relationship—everybody knows that—they tried to turn that into something that was negative that they wanted people to believe that I said. In fact, I was in St. Louis between flights, at about 10 o'clock at night, and shortly after that, someone jumped all over me and was convinced that I had said something negative, and it just flat was not true.

So, anyway, I would like to read the letter before I tell you that I am going to try to pass this on to the President.

So I read it. As I read the letter, there was a group, as can happen after a sermon takes place and people are all sitting around talking, and every head nodded with approval of this letter that this lady gave me. Here it is right here. That is her handwriting there. The letter says:

Dear President Trump,

I am writing this letter to encourage you. All across America God's people are praying for you. With all my heart I know God put you in office for such a time as this. He has given America a window of time. At this time there is a spiritual war going on for the soul of America. Good versus evil. That is why there is so much opposition against you and the Christian people.

You have so many, many people praying for you. Stand strong. I know you are a warrior or God would not have placed you in office. You will continue to come against opposition because the enemy (Satan) will continue to fight you as he will God's people. The stakes are high!

As God's warrior, continue to fight. We are fighting the battle with you on our knees. Many battles are won on our knees. Thank you for being our leader and our President! Our love is with you.

Yours in Christ,
Lois Keene
Afton, OK

So I would just say to the President: You are being butchered by the media. Everybody knows that. But keep in mind that America is full of Lois Keenes and they love you.

With that, I yield the floor.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate stands adjourned until 12 noon tomorrow.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 1:18 p.m., adjourned until Thursday, September 6, 2018, at 12 noon.

CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate September 5, 2018:

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ELAD L. ROISMAN, OF MAINE, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION FOR A TERM EXPIRING JUNE 5, 2023.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

COMMEMORATING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

HON. AMI BERA

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. BERA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 50th anniversary of the UC Davis School of Medicine.

Since 1968, the UC Davis School of Medicine has played an important role in health care in Northern California. UC Davis is consistently among the top ranked medical schools for primary care training and research.

From its inaugural class, UC Davis School of Medicine has shown a commitment to the community outside of the classroom. Through its student run community health clinics, the school has demonstrated a dedication to service by providing free and convenient care to underserved populations in the greater Sacramento area.

In both coursework and curriculum, UC Davis School of Medicine helps create a diverse physician workforce that is responsive to societal needs. The Community Health Scholars program trains students in understanding and serving the unique primary care health needs of California's rural, agricultural, and urban communities.

The School of Medicine provides the opportunity to participate in cutting edge research and the skills to translate those breakthroughs into benefit for patients.

Mr. Speaker, I invite my colleagues to join me in congratulating the UC Davis School of Medicine on 50 years of educating the next generation of doctors.

HONORING ISAIAH FREESTONE

HON. SAM GRAVES

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Isaiah Freestone. Isaiah is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 135, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Isaiah has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years Isaiah has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community. Most notably, Isaiah has contributed to his community through his Eagle Scout project. Isaiah planted trees at Lyons Park in Kearney, Missouri.

Mr. Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Isaiah Freestone for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America

and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

TRIBUTE TO THE HOMENETMEN
LOS ANGELES CHAPTER

HON. ADAM B. SCHIFF

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the Homenetmen Los Angeles Chapter upon its fiftieth anniversary.

Established in 1918, the Armenian General Athletic Union and Scouts, commonly referred to as Homenetmen, has served hundreds of thousands of youth worldwide. The first chapter in the western United States, the Homenetmen Los Angeles Chapter, was founded in 1968, and its prosperity led to the formation of other chapters across the region.

Homenetmen Western USA presently comprises 19 chapters, with several within California's 28th Congressional District, which is home to a large Armenian community. This extraordinary organization has preserved the Armenian spirit by exposing Armenian-American youth to the rich Armenian culture and heritage, and has provided them with physical, moral and social education.

The Homenetmen Los Angeles Chapter plays a vital role in instilling the importance of teamwork, discipline and patriotism within its members, and helps shape the character and hone leadership skills of Armenian-American youth. It conducts scouting and athletic activities which foster dedicated and outstanding individuals and encourages members to work towards achieving individual as well as collective excellence. Through its scouting program, scouts actively engage in physical, team-building and educational activities, coupled with community service, outdoor scouting expeditions and field trips.

The time, effort, and care that the Homenetmen Los Angeles Chapter has given to the community are invaluable, and the Armenian-American residents of Southern California have benefited greatly from their dedicated work. The organization has championed shaping our children into responsible citizens and thriving members of society, who become shining examples of Homenetmen's motto — "Elevate Yourself and Others With You."

I ask all Members to join with me in commending the Homenetmen Los Angeles Chapter for fifty years of dedicated service.

IN RECOGNITION OF ROSE
KERSTETTER

HON. MIKE GALLAGHER

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to celebrate and honor the career, service,

and 100th birthday of Oneida resident, Ms. Rose Kerstetter.

A 23-year-old working in North Dakota, she enlisted in the Women's Army Auxiliary Corps (WAAC) in 1943—the middle of World War II. Told that there was no rifle training for women, Ms. Kerstetter paid for personal rifle lessons and earned a Marksman's Badge. Nine months after her enlistment into the WAAC, when the WAAC became the Women's Army Corps (WAC), she became officially enlisted in the U.S. Army.

Ms. Kerstetter originally served as a stenographer and was headquartered in Camp Hood, Texas. Demonstrating great initiative and leadership, she was eventually promoted to Staff Sergeant. It was at this rank that Ms. Kerstetter was honorably discharged, only after her brother, a Marine, was wounded. We owe Ms. Kerstetter, and her brother, a great debt for their service to our country.

Ms. Kerstetter's commitment to her community did not end after her honorable discharge. For more than 20 years, she has volunteered in her community through art. A greatly skilled potter, she strengthens her community and demonstrates pride in her Oneida heritage by teaching pottery to anyone who wants to learn. It is this type of dedication that has earned her national artistic and cultural recognition, including a 2002 Community Spirit Award Honoree and a 2004 Cultural Capital Fellow.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all members of this body to join me in recognizing Ms. Kerstetter's commitment to protecting and serving our country, and her dedication to her Wisconsin community. These accomplishments are worthy of the highest commendation.

CELEBRATING THE GILMER COUNTY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE'S
40TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to join the Gilmer County Chamber of Commerce in celebrating its 40th anniversary.

In 1978, the Gilmer County Chamber of Commerce was founded to improve Northeast Georgia's business climate. This group strives to bring local businesses together, offering networking opportunities to members of Gilmer industry.

In addition to connecting local businesses, the Gilmer County Chamber also seeks out solutions to workplace problems like drug use. It implemented a program called "Drugs Don't Work" to offer resources that businesses can use to support the needs of their employees.

Northeast Georgia's economy depends on organizations like the Gilmer County Chamber of Commerce to provide entrepreneurs and employers opportunities to learn from each

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

other and facilitate the growth of local businesses.

I congratulate the Gilmer County Chamber of Commerce on 40 rewarding years of service and success, and I look forward to seeing this organization continue to empower the people who drive Northeast Georgia's economy.

HONORING THE LIFE OF RICHARD
L. "DICK" MOEHL

HON. JACK BERGMAN

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. BERGMAN. Mr. Speaker, it's my honor to acknowledge the extraordinary life and service of Richard "Dick" Moehl.

Through his lifetime of exceptional leadership and steadfast devotion, Dick became an indispensable part of Michigan's First District. His legacy to the people of Michigan will not soon be forgotten.

Dick was born on November 9, 1931, to parents Ora Waller and June (Andrews) Moehl. He graduated from University High School in 1950 and enlisted in the United States Air Force in 1951. Before shipping off overseas, Dick married his high school sweetheart Margaret in 1953. Following his service, Dick graduated from the University of Michigan School of Business Administration and went on to work at the Beacon Investment Company for more than 32 years. In 1983, Dick helped found the Great Lakes Lighthouse Keepers Association (GLLKA), one of the first such organizations in the country. Two years later, he was elected as its president. In what has become known as the "Miracle of the Straits," the GLLKA under Dick's leadership launched an initiative to restore the St. Helena Island Light Station—a then-dilapidated lighthouse built in 1873 on the west side of the Straits of Mackinac.

Dick was critical in raising funding and support for the GLLKA's work on St. Helena. This included soliciting grants and organizing volunteers, including many from Michigan Boy Scout and Girl Scout Troops, with dozens of Eagle Scouts and Gold Award Recipients leading Service Projects on the island. BSA Troop 4 of Ann Arbor has received numerous awards for its dedication to the project, including the "Keep Michigan Beautiful Award" in 1990. In 1991 the Troop was awarded the "Take Pride in America" award, Midwest Living's "Hometown Pride Award", and President H. W. Bush's 630th "Daily Point of Life" Award. The invaluable work done by these volunteers would have been unobtainable if not for the leadership and dedication given to this project by Dick Moehl.

Dick retired as President of the GLLKA in 2012, after successfully saving the St. Helena from ruin and ensuring that the structure will be given the care it needs for years to come. Outside of his work in the Straits, Dick played a key role in the creation of the National Historic Lighthouse Preservation Act of 2000 by testifying before Congress and the Michigan Legislature. He also spearheaded the effort to retire the USCGC Icebreaker *Mackinaw* WAGB-83—the "Queen of the Great Lakes"—in Mackinaw City, helping to create the Icebreaker Mackinaw Maritime Museum.

Dick was honored as the George Romney Volunteer of the Year in 2000 by Governor John Engler, and in 2005 became an Honorary Alumnus of Central Michigan University. Other honors include the Lifetime Achievement Award from Emmet County as well as the Ross Holland and Lifetime Achievement awards from the American Lighthouse Council.

While Dick passed away in December of 2015, his leadership and devotion remain a shining light for those who have followed after. Thanks to his work, future generations of Michiganders will be able to enjoy the waterways and rich history of our beautiful state. A commemoration ceremony will be held for Dick on August 25th by the "Friends of Dick Moehl Society" aboard the Mackinaw, where a special recognition marker for him will be unveiled.

Mr. Speaker, the impact of Dick Moehl's extraordinary life cannot be overstated. His friends and family can take pride in knowing that the state of Michigan is a better place thanks to his life's work. On behalf of Michigan's First Congressional District, I ask you to join me in recognizing an outstanding community leader whose contributions will continue to bless Michiganders for many years to come.

IN RECOGNITION OF ST. VLADIMIR
UKRAINIAN GREEK CATHOLIC
CHURCH CENTENNIAL ANNIVERSARY

HON. MATT CARTWRIGHT

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor St. Vladimir Ukrainian Greek Catholic Church in Palmerton, Pennsylvania. One-hundred years ago, the parish members rolled up their sleeves and built a place of worship where generations of their family members and neighbors would attend. Their hard work and dedication has fostered a strong Byzantine faith community in Northeastern Pennsylvania.

In 1905, many families began moving to Northeastern Pennsylvania from their homelands in what is now Ukraine. Wishing to practice their faith in a Byzantine church and continue to feel connected to their homelands, these families began attending churches of the Byzantine Rite in Northampton, Lansford, and Nesquehoning. Their faith was so strong they would wake before daybreak on Sundays to walk over ten miles to worship at one of these churches.

After years of traveling, they decided to build their own church. The devoted and faithful pioneers spent their own money and provided their own labor to build a Byzantine church close to home. The church, which sits on the corner of Delaware Avenue and First Street in Palmerton, has constantly been renovated and improved upon over the years to maintain the sense of pride in their place of worship. St. Vladimir's continues to celebrate an active and engaged parish community of congregants and a long history of dedicated, spiritual pastors.

It is an honor to recognize St. Vladimir Ukrainian Greek Catholic Church as they celebrate their 100th anniversary. I take great joy in joining the commemoration of such an im-

portant milestone. I wish everyone in the parish and community all the best as they continue to worship at St. Vladimir for many more prosperous years.

IN RECOGNITION OF DR. HANS
MUMM

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Dr. Hans Mumm for his government service and significant contributions to the U.S. Military's Information Operations. Dr. Mumm is currently a futures researcher for the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency. In this position, he uses his UAV and robotics expertise to design policy for advanced technologies and studies the consequences of its future uses. This September, Dr. Mumm will be celebrating his 40th birthday.

Dr. Mumm began his military career as an enlisted service member. He gained notoriety for his leadership role in "Operation Iraqi Freedom" as the officer in charge of the "Iraqi Regime Playing Cards; CENTCOM's Top 55 Most Wanted List." The Defense Intelligence Agency praised this Information Operations mission as one of the most successful campaigns in the agency's history. Dr. Mumm was recognized as one of the "Ten Outstanding Young Americans" and awarded the National Defense PAC "American Patriot Ingenuity Award" for his service during "Operation Iraqi Freedom".

After serving in the enlisted ranks and subsequently as a U.S. Army Captain, Dr. Mumm was medically discharged in 2010, becoming a Wounded Warrior. Following the completion of his active service duties, Dr. Mumm has continued to achieve remarkable feats. In June of 2014, Dr. Mumm was awarded the Exceptional Performance Award from the CIA for his work as a branch chief. In this capacity, he oversaw the development of the Continuous Monitoring Branch (CMB) and transformed this technology into an integral part of the CIA's cyber security framework.

Over the course of his career, Dr. Mumm has earned twenty-three personal military ribbons and combat medals. He is also the author of two international bestselling books detailing the leadership challenges and opportunities in the fields of technical investigation and military intelligence. In addition to his current job at the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, Dr. Mumm serves as an adjunct professor for the California University of Pennsylvania (CALU) and the American Military University (AMU).

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to join me in recognizing Dr. Hans Mumm for his acts of patriotism that have greatly benefitted our country as he celebrates his 40th birthday.

DAVID LEWIS

HON. JARED HUFFMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. HUFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the accomplishments of David

Lewis on the occasion of his 20th year as the executive director of Save the Bay. A nationally renowned conservation leader, David's work has had a remarkable impact on the preservation and expansion of the Bay Area's natural environment.

Born in Palo Alto, California, David earned his Bachelor of Arts degree from Princeton University. During his time in college, David became passionate about nuclear arms control, and after graduating in 1983, he went to work for Friends of the Earth in Washington, D.C. as an arms control specialist. By 1985, David became the Director of Policy and Legislation for Physicians for Social Responsibility and by 1991, he went to work for Senator Carl Levin as a senior legislative assistant. Finally, in 1996 he became the chief operations officer for the League of Conservation Voters where he would conclude his 14-year career in Washington, D.C.

By 1998, Mr. Lewis accepted an offer to become the Executive Director of Save the Bay and moved back to the Bay Area. David's leadership was promptly tested that year by a proposal put forward by the San Francisco International Airport to expand runways through bay fill. The proposal had wide support and appeared to be a lost cause to the conservation community at the time. David, however, was able to provide cheaper and more effective alternatives that prevented further encroachment into the bay, a negotiating model for which Save the Bay has now become known. Through continued lobbying, negotiation, and community organizing, David was eventually able to get the airport to go with his proposal.

Save the Bay's actions and advocacy have continued to grow under Mr. Lewis' leadership. The organization has remained vigilant in fighting the encroachment of development into the bay, and has expanded community outreach and education to create broader public stewardship. David's leadership has also led to greater cooperation on conservation policies between conservation groups, businesses, and community organizations. Most recently, David led a successful campaign for a nine-county parcel tax that will provide needed revenue for wetland restoration to mitigate the dangerous impacts of climate change.

Mr. Speaker, as Bay Area shores become increasingly urbanized and sea levels continue to rise, the work done by organizations like Save the Bay will be critical for the long-term viability of the communities who call this region home. Therefore, please join me in thanking David Lewis for his ongoing leadership and service to the public.

RECOGNIZING THE GENEROSITY OF JACKSON EMC

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Jackson Electric Membership Corporation for the generosity that they show to Northeast Georgians.

Jackson EMC provides electricity to ten of Northeast Georgia's counties. Throughout our corner of Georgia, the company strives to supply more than electricity, committing itself to

supporting nonprofits that serve local communities.

Through the company's philanthropic program, Operation Round Up, Jackson EMC raises money by encouraging individuals to round their monthly power bill payment up to the nearest dollar. The company then donates the additional money to Northeast Georgia charities as they work to address needs in the community, like homelessness.

Jackson EMC has contributed \$721,482 to local nonprofits since January of 2018, and, last year, the company surpassed \$1 million in donations. The long list of organizations Jackson EMC has supported includes North Georgia Interfaith Ministries, Gainesville-Hall County Alliance for Literacy, and the Judy House Ministry.

Jackson EMC illustrates how powerful generosity can become when it's multiplied by everyday people. I thank this company and the Northeast Georgians who take part in Operation Round Up for their dedication to community service, and I look forward to seeing their continued impact.

HONORING THE COUNTY COLLEGE OF MORRIS' 50TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the County College of Morris located in the Township of Randolph, New Jersey on the occasion of its 50th Anniversary.

The County College of Morris (CCM) opened its doors to students in 1968 after Henderson Hall, the first building on campus, was completed. The first class consisted of 592 full-time and 703 part-time students. Enrollment grew quickly, and by the fall of 1969 five additional buildings were under way: the library, later named the Sherman H. Masten Learning Resource Center, after CCM's first president; Sheffield Hall, named after one of its first trustees; the Physical Education Building; the Student Community Center and a service building; all completed by 1970.

In the fall of 1973, two additional academic buildings, Cohen and DeMare halls, along with a planetarium, were completed. Through the 1970s, enrollment continued to grow as CCM established itself as one of the premier community colleges in New Jersey. In 1982, the college reached its highest enrollment of 12,012 credit students, with thousands of additional students enrolled in certificate programs.

After two decades of leadership, President Masten retired in 1986 and Dr. Edward J. Yaw became the second president of CCM. Under Yaw's leadership, the college continued to grow on its 222 acre campus. In 1989, Emeriti Hall was added and in May 1993 the college completed a 20,000 square-foot expansion of the library. The expansion contained television and audio production studios, additional library seating areas and a 45-seat conference room.

Expansion of the campus continued in 1994 with the construction of two additions that joined the three academic buildings. The 20,417 square-foot expansion added classrooms, laboratories, faculty offices, student

lounges and an expanded cafeteria. In 1997, the college added a six-lane aquatic facility to the Health and Physical Education Building that is used by the college and local high schools.

In 2004, the college broke ground for the renovation and expansion of the Student Community Center. By the 2005 Fall Semester, the building was open for students to enjoy.

Following completion of that project, the college renovated Henderson Hall, CCM's oldest building. The renovation, completed in the spring of 2008, houses most of the administrative functions of the college, plus four general purpose classrooms and two corporate business training rooms. That same year, the college celebrated its 40th anniversary. In those 40 years, CCM had graduated more than 40,000 students who were employed in all sectors of the county, most notably half of the Morris County Sheriff's police force and half of the county's nurses.

In 2012, the college purchased a one-story commercial building on Route 10 in Randolph as its first major expansion in nearly four decades. The 15,500 square-foot building located at 675 Route 10 East allowed the college to increase classroom space to meet growing academic needs and provided for a new access road to and from Route 10.

In 2014, the college completed an extensive renovation to the Masten Learning Resource Center (LRC), which included consolidating the library on the second floor, a major expansion of the college's gallery and the addition of a cafe. Also in 2014, Rutgers entered into a partnership with the college to offer bachelor degree programs on campus.

In 2015, the Media Center, located within the LRC, was renovated and renamed in honor of late Assemblyman Alex DeCroce.

In early 2016, the college opened its Music Technology Center, a state-of-the-art facility that houses the digital media technology, drama, media technology, music and music recording academic programs. The facility includes an experimental theater laboratory, theater in-the-round, that serves as a large hands-on classroom with a recording studio.

After serving as president for 30 years, Dr. Yaw retired in 2016 and Dr. Anthony J. Iacono became the college's third president.

In 2018, as part of the college's 50th Anniversary celebrations, CCM launched a historic \$2.1 million "FORWARD" capital campaign focused on funding programs and facility upgrades, including the Paragano Family Foundation Medical Simulation Center, a Cyber Security Suite, an enhanced Culinary Arts Training facility, a new Manufacturing and Engineering building, student scholarships and the Faculty Innovation Fund. Also in 2018, the college developed two new academic programs in animation and virtual reality to meet the demand for employees in these rapidly growing areas.

Today, the college offers more than 45 associate degree programs, along with a full slate of certificate and workforce development programs. The college holds more than 200 transfer agreements and its graduates annually transfer to the state's and nation's best four-year institutions.

CCM also provides multiple opportunities to be engaged in an active student life program. In particular, there are more than 50 student clubs and organizations, an award-winning student newspaper and literary magazine, and

nine intercollegiate athletic sports programs for men and women.

Included among its distinctions, CCM is the only community college in New Jersey to be named a National Center of Academic Excellence in Cyber Defense Education by the National Security Agency and Department of Homeland Security. Along with a strong STEM foundation, the college is known for the quality of its liberal arts, performing arts, health science, and culinary and hospitality programs.

CCM is fully accredited by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education. Its other accreditations include Accreditation Council for Business Schools & Programs, Engineering Technology Accreditation Commission of ABET, New Jersey Board of Nursing and Accreditation Commission for Education in Nursing, Commission on Accreditation for Respiratory Care and the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology.

Looking ahead, the college plans to construct an Engineering and Manufacturing facility, establish a virtual hospital for its health science departments and expand program offerings in those areas. In addition, it plans to build upon its technology and cyber security offerings to provide more students with the opportunity to take advantage of these high job growth areas.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and our colleagues join me in congratulating the County College of Morris, its administration, faculty, and Board of Trustees, on the occasion of its 50th Anniversary.

CONGRATULATIONS FIRST
SERGEANT EDWARD WILSON

HON. BILLY LONG

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. LONG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize First Sergeant Edward Wilson (Retired) for receiving the honor of Retired Soldier of the Year. He not only served his country through his distinguished military career, but continues to serve his community and fellow veterans.

In 1969, Edward Owen Wilson began his military career in the Navy when he was drafted to serve in Vietnam. After serving four years, he was honorably discharged. He again took up the cause to defend our country when he enlisted in the Army a few years later. During his time in the military he received a number of military awards, including the Meritorious Service Medal, Army Achievement Medal, National Defense Service Medal, Vietnam Service Medal and many others. His military career helped instill the values that led to his compassion and commitment to the well-being of his fellow veterans.

This fall, 1SG Edward Owen Wilson (Retired) will receive the honor of Retired Soldier of the Year from the Retiree Council of Fort Leonard Wood in Missouri. This honor is bestowed to veterans who play an important role in aiding their fellow veterans in their lives after their military service. After retiring in 1998, Mr. Wilson made it a priority to continue his service to his country with a focus on helping fellow veterans receive the assistance they need, disability or other veterans assistance

programs. He also is an active volunteer for Forsyth High School JROTC Color Guard in Missouri and is involved as an Event Project Manager for the Veterans and Military Coalition of the Ozarks.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the 7th District of Missouri, I would like to congratulate 1SG Edward Owen Wilson (Retired) on this distinguished honor. I hold the deepest respect for members of our military and our veterans.

RECOGNIZING THE CAREER OF MR.
DONALD MORGAN

HON. JOHN KATKO

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. KATKO. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to honor the career of Donald Morgan, an essential member of the Central New York community and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), Local Union No. 43.

Mr. Morgan has been a member of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers for 44 years, and 25 years as an officer. As an officer, Mr. Morgan has held various positions including Business Manager since 2010. Additionally, he served as President from 1997 to 2010, Vice President, Executive Board Member, Trustee of Pension, Annuity and Health Plans and Trustee of Central New York Joint Apprenticeship and Training Fund, making him an essential part of the local union and the electrical workers community.

In addition to his commitment to IBEW, Mr. Morgan has been an involved and active member of his community, serving as a board member of the American Heart Association, United Way and the Onondaga County College Foundation. He is also a board member of the City of Syracuse Electrical Licenses and the Oswego County Workforce. Additionally, Mr. Morgan is a member of the New York State Association of Electrical Workers and a representative for the Central and Northern New York Building and Construction Trades Council. Lastly, Mr. Morgan is the executive board member of the American Labor Federation Council.

I commend and am proud to honor Donald Morgan for his dedication to the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and for his dedication and active involvement throughout the New York's 24th Congressional District, and wish him the very best in his well-deserved retirement.

TSA NATIONAL DEPLOYMENT
FORCE ACT

SPEECH OF

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, September 4, 2018

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 6461, the "TSA National Deployment Force Act".

At a time when the aviation transportation sector is undergoing substantial changes, it is crucial that we take steps to ensure the Transportation Security Administration is able to protect the skies.

Any holes or deficiencies in the security of our aviation sector pose a great risk to the traveling public.

As a tireless advocate for public safety, I am pleased to see that this bill would establish a National Deployment Office within TSA that has the ability to help fill security gaps.

Because of the nature of air travel, the security risks posed to the aviation sector are constantly changing. These shifting risks can depend on a number of various factors, and it is important that TSA have the capacity to adapt in a flexible way to changing circumstances.

The National Deployment Office will allow TSA to deploy additional security officers when:

Airports request them due to emergencies, staff difficulties, or other unforeseen issues.

Special events that may potentially affect National Security are occurring.

A natural disaster or weather event occurs, and additional security staff are needed.

It is absolutely critical that TSA has adequate resources to ensure that the traveling public is both protected, and confident in the safety of air travel.

To that end, this measure emphasizes the importance of a flexible approach that will give TSA greater capabilities for rapid response to a security risk.

IN RECOGNITION OF MR. ROBERT
H. "BOB" HURT

HON. SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. BISHOP of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor and pleasure to extend my personal congratulations to Robert H. "Bob" Hurt, who is the driving force behind Hurt, Norton & Associates in Washington, D.C. Bob will be receiving The Order of Saint Maurice from the National Infantry Museum Association on Wednesday, September 5, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. at Hurt, Norton & Associates' D.C. headquarters.

A native of Memphis, Tennessee, Bob received a Bachelor's of History degree from Mercer University and a Master's degree in Special Studies (Legislative Affairs) from George Washington University. Bob served his country as an Infantry and Intelligence Officer with the Army's 173rd Airborne Brigade and the 5th Special Forces Group in Vietnam.

For almost three decades, Bob served as a highly distinguished public servant. He built an impressive career first as a Washington Correspondent for The Atlanta Constitution. He then served as Chief of Staff and Administrative Assistant for former Senator Sam Nunn of Georgia. After leaving government service, Bob collaborated with Frank Norton to establish Hurt, Norton & Associates, a government affairs, marketing, and lobbying firm in Washington, D.C. Since its inception in 1997, Hurt, Norton & Associates has served clients in the public and private sectors both in the United States and overseas.

Dr. Benjamin E. Mays said: "You make your living by what you get, you make your life by what you give." Bob Hurt has accomplished so much in his life. He would be the first to admit that none of it would have been possible

without the love and support of his wife, Virginia, and their two daughters, Emma and Louisa.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me, my wife Vivian, and the more than 730,000 residents of Georgia's Second Congressional District in extending our sincerest congratulations to Mr. Robert H. "Bob" Hurt for receiving The Order of Saint Maurice and for his many years of service to our government, our military, and our nation.

RECOGNIZING STEPHENS COUNTY CHAMBER'S COMMUNITY AMBASSADORS FOR 2018

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize the Stephens County Chamber of Commerce's award recipients for 2018. On August 16, residents of Stephens County came together to honor the successes of the local business community at the 72nd Chamber Annual Awards Celebration.

The L.P. "Bub" Greer Industry of the Year award went to NIFCO-KTW, a German manufacturing company that specializes in manufacturing automobile parts for BMW vehicles.

Stephens County Farmers Market took home the Agriculture Business of the Year award, and the Chamber awarded CK Morgan and Company—a local gift shop—with the title of Retail Business of the Year.

Bowen and Watson earned the Ben F. Cheek III Service and Profession Business of the Year award. This Toccoa-based construction firm's president, Drew Watson, was also named this year's Young Professional of the Year.

The Chamber gave its Promotion and Tourism Award to Miles Through Time Automotive Museum and the Entrepreneur of the Year award to Alisa Adams of Cabe Crest Catering.

Food 2 Kids received the Nonprofit of the Year award for making strides in addressing local food security, and Emily Hayes was recognized as the 2018 Volunteer of the Year.

Alan Ramsey received the Senior of the Year award, John Dickerson earned this year's Lifetime Achievement award, and Jeremy Spradlin took home the Bob Evans Chairman's Award.

Mr. Speaker, these individuals and organizations have made significant investments in Stephens County's business community, and I congratulate each of them on these honors.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. LUIS V. GUTIÉRREZ

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. GUTIÉRREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent in the House chamber on Tuesday, September 4, 2018. Had I been present, I would have voted "Nay" on roll call votes 380 and 381.

RECOGNIZING MURIEL ENGELMAN

HON. MIMI WALTERS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mrs. MIMI WALTERS of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of my constituent, Muriel Engelman, of Laguna Woods, California.

Muriel served as a second lieutenant in the U.S. Army Nurse Corps in World War II, spending several months of her two-year service near the front lines at the Battle of the Bulge.

Muriel treated countless American and Allied soldiers, forming lasting bonds with those who were fortunate enough to have known her.

This July, Muriel was appointed a Chevalier of the National Order of the Legion of Honor by French President Emmanuel Macron.

Considered France's highest honor, this distinction was bestowed upon Muriel for her brave and selfless work during her six and a half months in France during World War II.

Her vital role in the war effort undoubtedly helped liberate our European allies.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to congratulate Muriel Engelman on her well-deserved recognition.

HONORING LIEUTENANT COLONEL WILLIAM THOMAS SLYE, JR., USA RETIRED

HON. JOHN R. CARTER

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. CARTER of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Lieutenant Colonel William Thomas Slye, Jr., USA, Ret., who became an angel on February 28, 2018. LTC Slye's dedication to the United States Army, his nation, and his beloved family mark a man whose life was devoted to service to others.

A native of Washington, DC, LTC Slye enlisted in the Navy in 1953, where he served as an aircraft engine mechanic and found his passion for aviation. While the Navy could not offer him a venue to progress in his flying aspirations, he soon enlisted in the Army to pursue their flight training program.

LTC Slye's skills did not go unnoticed and he was assigned to the Presidential Flight Detachment where he flew in the Presidential Helicopter unit for both Presidents Johnson and Nixon. His valiant efforts to keep our nation's leaders safe during tumultuous times were next to none and will never be forgotten. Following a 28-year Army career that included three tours in Vietnam, LTC Slye retired in 1981 and settled in Belton, Texas.

Along with being a skilled and decorated pilot, LTC Slye was a true family man. He married the love of his life, Wanda Rose Bridges, in 1957 and went on to raise three beautiful children: Mike, Teri, and Sandie. He lived a full and inspirational life and was actively involved in his church, Knights of Columbus, and community.

LTC Slye's dedication to both nation and family reflect the best values we hold dear in Central Texas. I salute his devotion to our highest ideals and join his loved ones in mourning his loss.

IN HONOR OF DANNY SMITH

HON. PETE SESSIONS

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Mr. Danny Smith, who is retiring after 36 years with the United Parcel Service (UPS).

Danny currently serves as Vice President of Public Affairs for UPS. He started with UPS in Alabama in 1982, first loading and unloading vehicles then driving delivery trucks. Shortly thereafter, he was promoted to the managerial track, and spent 20 years in the financial sector. He later became the Director of Logistics and Customized Solutions.

This unique combination of financial expertise, strong customer relations skills, and his talent for never meeting a stranger brought him to the attention of Public Affairs after he worked with their group as a Congressional Awareness Coordinator in Wisconsin. When the VP of State Government Affairs position opened in the Dallas area, Danny was offered the opportunity to work with national, state and local political leaders across Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, Tennessee, Alabama and Florida to further their understanding of the many roles UPS plays in their districts.

On top of his many responsibilities within UPS, Danny has been involved in a wide range of organizations, which include: the Texas Trucking Association, where he is Chairman-elect of the Executive Board, Chairman of their PAC, and Vice Chairman of their Foundation, the Oklahoma Trucking Association, the Louisiana Motor Transport Association, the Mississippi Trucking Association, the Arkansas Trucking Association, the Texas Association of Business, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the Mississippi Economic Council and the Oklahoma Chamber of Commerce. He also serves on the Texas Leadership Forum ("TLF") Advisory Board of Directors.

Danny holds a bachelor's degree in Business and Communications from Auburn University and currently resides in Southlake, Texas with his wife, Terri, and their two children, Connor and Emma. His son, Connor, graduated in June 2018 from Southwestern University, my alma mater, in Environmental Sciences and was an all-conference Lacrosse player. Emma is a sophomore at the University of Alabama, where she is on a full scholarship and a University Fellow in the Honors College.

In honor of his years of dedication and hard work, I would like to take this opportunity to thank Mr. Danny Smith for his friendship and deep commitment to our community.

HONORING PASTOR BILL COATES
OF FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH
GAINESVILLE

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the career of Pastor Bill Coates of the First Baptist Church of Gainesville. After 20 years of service to the church, Pastor Coates delivered his final sermon on August 19, 2018.

A native of South Carolina, Pastor Coates spent most of his childhood knowing that he would eventually join the ministry. In 1998, he and his family moved to Northeast Georgia so that he could become Senior Pastor at First Baptist Gainesville.

Pastor Coates always referred to First Baptist Gainesville's congregation as the "people of the church" to remind them that they are the Lord's people first and foremost. During his tenure, First Baptist Gainesville expanded, adding a new banquet hall and the church's Jubilee Garden to accommodate a growing family of members. Pastor Coates also led groups of Georgians to serve in places like Ireland and Panama.

As a leader, Pastor Coates looked beyond his own needs, focusing on the spiritual growth of others in the Gainesville community. His selflessness ultimately led him to retire, a decision that he hopes will pave the way for new leadership to make a positive impact on the many faces of the congregation.

Pastor Coates' servant heart touched the lives of many. I want to thank him for the 20 years he dedicated to First Baptist Gainesville and hope his retirement is filled with both rest and new adventures.

INTRODUCTION OF THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA SPECIAL ELEC-
TIONS HOME RULE ACT

HON. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, today, I introduce the District of Columbia Special Elections Home Rule Act. This bill would give the District of Columbia complete authority to set the date of special elections for local offices, which other jurisdictions have. Our bill simply removes a Home Rule Act limitation on the District that is not only inconsistent with the self-government granted by the Home Rule Act, but is also counterproductive.

This bill follows legislation we got through the Congress in 2012 that gave the D.C. Board of Elections ("Board") more flexibility to set the date of special elections for local offices to maximize voter participation. Although we sought complete authority for the District over the scheduling of special elections, the 2012 law, the District of Columbia Special Election Reform Act, did not include this home-rule principle. D.C. should have complete authority over a matter that is unrelated to any other congressional district and has no relevance to Congress.

The Home Rule Act originally required the Board to hold special elections on the first

Tuesday 114 days from when the vacancy occurred and allowed the Board to schedule special elections on the same day as the next general election if that election occurred 60 days from when a special election would otherwise have been held. This inflexibility led to special elections being held on religious holidays and forced the District to hold a special election separate from an upcoming general election, costing the District hundreds of thousands of dollars in extra election costs. The 2012 law required the Board to hold a special election on a Tuesday occurring between 70 and 174 days after the vacancy.

Our bill is an important step to perfect home rule for the District. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

RIISING TO THE CYBER
CHALLENGE

HON. TED POE

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, we are in a new age of warfare. Once was a time when bombs, bullets, ships, planes, tanks, and artillery were all that great powers needed to vanquish or intimate their foes. Now with the rapid rise of the information age, any nation can possess digital weapons to undermine our military edge or disrupt our way of life. These new weapons, existing in cyberspace, can reach anywhere, at any time, and do enormous damage but go virtually unseen. They may not always result in the immediate deaths, but like a virus, they disable our defenses and cripple the basic mechanisms we need to support life—eventually costing American lives. Their ever expanding abilities could one day even effect life on a scale that rivals the most destructive nuclear weapons man has ever produced.

We have learned that in our new age, it does not take large industrialized states to develop advanced cyber weapons. We have seen impoverished and isolated nations like North Korea prove they possess cyber capabilities that challenge the defenses of our great nation. We have only just entered this new era of cyber-enabled competition, and the full ability of state-sponsored cyber weapons has yet to be realized. But given the remarkable advance of technology today, we must ensure that we have all the tools and resources necessary to compete and win in cyberspace as we would at sea, in the air, or on land.

But this is not a contest limited to the military or our traditional security agencies to defend against. Our enemies are using their cyber weapons to undermine our economic strength and threaten the very foundations of our democracy. This new age of cyber-enabled warfare, poses risks that require government and the private sector to work together to secure our greatest secrets and guard our most precious rights. Like so many challenges we have faced before, we can overcome this one if we demonstrate our resolve and unshackle America's innovative talents.

That is why I am proud to be an original cosponsor to Mr. YOHO's bill, H.R. 5576, the Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018. This bill will set the policy and direction for our

federal agencies to effectively combat the rising cyber challenge. The authorizations in this bill focuses our approach towards state-sponsored cyber activities and allows the President to use our tools of national power to deter and degrade our cyber opponents. Much like our methods to combat terrorism, this bill creates a process to designate adversaries who use cyber weapons against us. We can then bring sanctions to bear on those individuals and entities that are exploiting the cyber realm to wage war or weaken the U.S. Through this bill, our enemies will find that their misbehavior in cyberspace has real costs and that America is already prepared to take on this new challenge.

And that's just the way it is.

IN RECOGNITION OF LEO J.
DELANEY FOR A CAREER OF
SERVICE TO THE U.S.

HON. MATT CARTWRIGHT

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Mr. Leo J. Delaney on four decades of service to our nation's defense. Leo will retire from the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) on September 30. Mr. Delaney grew up in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania and joined the Air Force following graduation from Indiana University of Pennsylvania. He served as an officer in the Air Force for six years.

Following separation from military service, Leo embarked on a civil service career with DIA at the Department of Defense. His career there spanned over three decades during times when America was engaged in the Cold War, Desert Storm, and the 9/11 attacks and their aftermath.

Leo's service in DIA was notable and unique in terms of responsibility, diversity of subject matter, and success. His years of executive service spanned the development of and brought improvements to the entire scope of DIA's collection, analysis, and supporting activities. He received numerous awards throughout his federal service, including being honored twice by the president with the Presidential Rank Award of Meritorious Service.

It is an honor to recognize the Leo as he celebrates his long career and begins retirement. He is a patriot and a committed professional who contributed significantly to our country's well-being. On behalf of both a grateful nation and myself, I extend sincere congratulations and best wishes to Mr. Delaney for the next chapter of his impressive story.

IN RECOGNITION OF MR. TED
STARK

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Mr. Ted Stark on his retirement from federal service.

Ted served forty years as a member of our Federal Law Enforcement Agencies. I commend Ted on his longevity of service to our

great nation and the enforcement of the rule of law. From his service in the Immigration and Naturalization Service to Immigration and Customs Enforcement, he played a pivotal role in helping teach the next generation of federal agents. His passion and leadership will leave a long-lasting legacy amongst his coworkers.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to join me in wishing continued success to Ted as he continues his service as a liaison official between ICE and the CIA.

HONORING THE AMERICAN MISSIONARY ASSOCIATION

HON. BENNIE G. THOMPSON

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the American Missionary Association.

The American Missionary Association (AMA) was formed in 1846 by 19th Century missionaries with the sole goal to abolish slavery and educate all escaped and freed slaves. The organization is known for its work of creating 11 Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) across the nation.

The diverse leaders of the American Missionary Association consisted of four African-Americans out of its 12-person charter. The entity was rooted in the Christian faith but worked beyond the ministry allowing the creation of Berea College, Clark Atlanta University, Fisk University, Hampton University, Dillard University and my alma mater Tougaloo College. The American Missionary Association also aided in the founding of Howard University.

In 1931, the association joined the Congregational Christian Churches, which is now known as the United Church of Christ.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing the history of the American Missionary Association and all the work they provided to educated African-Americans, including myself throughout the nation.

HONORING PASTOR JIM GAINES OF FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH DAWSONVILLE

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Pastor Jim Gaines of the First Baptist Church of Dawsonville. After 20 years of ministry, Pastor Gaines retired this August, making him the church's longest-serving shepherd.

Prior to working at First Baptist Dawsonville, Pastor Gaines served as the executive director of missions for the Georgia Baptist Convention. An avid student, Pastor Gaines holds two bachelor's degrees, a master's degree, and a Ph.D. from the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary.

When Pastor Gaines arrived at First Baptist Dawsonville in 1998, the congregation welcomed 40 men and women through their doors each Sunday. Since then, the congrega-

tion has grown to include 500 dedicated members.

Pastor Gaines coordinated several church-wide mission trips to Alaska and Africa, giving members of the community a chance to step out of our corner of Georgia to meet and serve others.

Pastor Gaines has made a tremendous impact in the Dawsonville community. The congregation of First Baptist Dawsonville will miss his warm guidance, and I hope his next season is full of peace and joy.

IN HONOR OF JOHN F. "JAY" HURLEY OF SOUTH BOSTON, MA

HON. STEPHEN F. LYNCH

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute and honor a true son of South Boston, John F. "Jay" Hurley, in recognition of over fifty years of dedicated service to the South Boston community.

The son of Catherine (Murray) of South Boston and John Hurley of South Boston (via Medford, MA), Jay was born in Boston on September 1, 1954 and lived on East 4th Street in South Boston until he married his wife, Patricia (Farrell) "Patty" Hurley in 1979. They moved to Hart Place in South Boston, where they still reside.

Jay attended Saint Brigid School at O Street and East Broadway and graduated in 1972 from South Boston High School. On June 5, 1974, Jay became a member of Ironworkers Local Union No. 7 (Book No. 922845).

Upon completion of a three-year apprenticeship, Jay became a journeyman ironworker on June 1, 1977. Jay held various offices at Local 7 between 1988 and 2001, including: Recording Secretary, President, Business Agent, and as the first Business Manager in Local 7's then 101-year history.

On December 1, 2001, Jay was appointed General Organizer for the International Association of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental, and Reinforcing Iron Workers, and was subsequently elected the President of the Ironworkers District Council of New England in September of 2002. Jay was elected as the 9th General Vice President of the International in May 2005 and retired as the 1st General Vice President on March 1, 2018.

Over the course of his career, Jay poured his heart and soul into bettering the lives of all workers through the machinations of the labor movement. The admiration and respect of his colleagues and peers throughout the United States and Canada is unsurpassed.

Along with providing distinguished service to the Ironworkers, Jay is also an active member of his community. He has won many awards over the years, most notably in 2006, when he won the Cushing Gavin award for outstanding service to the Labor community. In 2008, Jay wrote a novel, *Irony . . .*, and he has donated all proceeds to Julie's Family Learning Program, a South Boston non-profit organization.

Jay is known as a loyal, hardworking leader in the labor movement; but also, a loving and caring family man. He has had the good fortune to be married to his wife Patty for 39 years, and they are the proud parents of John,

Kaitlyn and Brian and proud grandparents to John ("Jack"), Michael and James.

Mr. Speaker, it is my distinct honor to join with John F. "Jay" Hurley's family, friends, and contemporaries to thank him for his remarkable service to his union brothers and sisters and to his community of South Boston.

HONORING THE 89TH BIRTHDAY OF ARTHUR MYLES

HON. BRIAN HIGGINS

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the extraordinary life of Arthur Myles who celebrated his 89th birthday on August 14, 2018.

Arthur Myles was born on August 14, 1929 in the state of Alabama. Arthur is the youngest son of twenty-five children born to President Myles Sr. and Mary Rena Williams-Myles. Arthur attended primary school in Uniontown Alabama, which he walked nine miles to attend. Shortly after relocating to Niagara Falls Arthur attended adult education classes at Center Avenue School and completed his education at Laborers Local 91.

Throughout Arthur's life he had various jobs during his career working at companies such as the City of Niagara Falls Street Department, New York State Power Authority, McClendon Blacktop, and J. Myles & Sons, before launching his own business. Arthur launched A. Myles Paving, and most recently started A. Myles & Sons. After sixty-six years of employment, Arthur retired in 2016.

Family is very important to Arthur as he is the father of ten children, twenty-one grandchildren, forty great-grandchildren, and ten great-great grandchildren. Arthur has always actively sought to help others in ways too numerous to mention. He is consistently willing to lend a helping hand, and volunteering his services for the good of aiding others. Arthur still enjoys dancing, storytelling, being the life of the party and traveling.

Arthur's community is very important to him and he is an active member in his church, a member of the Niagara Community Center, NAACP, and is a current member of Laborers Local 91 for fifty-eight years. Arthur is believed to be the longest "African-American Member" in good standings.

Mr. Speaker, I thank you for allowing me a few moments to recognize Arthur Myles. His love and devotion to his family is truly inspiring. I wish many fortunes to him and his family in the years to come.

IN MEMORY OF SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN

HON. PETE SESSIONS

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with a heavy heart at the passing of a great American Hero and Leader, The Eagle of The Senate John McCain of Arizona. A selfless patriot, a man of courage, faith, and conviction. With his life well led, he is a lesson to our

young. Our prayers go out to him and his family as he leaves this world. As the light in The United States Senate has diminished this day, with the loss of one its great leaders and friends. I include in the RECORD this poetic tribute to him penned by Albert Carey Caswell.

WITH THE FAITH OF HIS FATHERS

(By Albert Carey Caswell)

And when we were young
Were we those among,
Who were blessed with such a love song?
With the Faith of Our Fathers in hearts
which belongs
Which are of our greatest of gifts
So in all of this
To help us grow strong
To help us grow tall
To get up when we fall
To hold honor above all
To give us the moral compass to form
To help us move on
To have The Faith of Our Fathers for which
we all long
To learn how to lead
To go with Godspeed
To in yourself believe
And know right from wrong
While, in service to Country to answer that
call
To nourish souls all
With the Faith of Our Fathers in hearts so
very warm
Is our great gift to our children and theirs to
pass on
Is The Faith of Our Fathers so very strong,
To help lead a great life long after we're
gone
That in hearts that lives on
And John,
The Faith of Your Mother was just as strong
All in the examples you've set
All in the challenges you've met
All in what your caring reflects
As you've left to this world with no regrets
And John,
armed With the Faith of Your Fathers look
what standards you've set
And what missions accomplished of which
our world blessed
And though you had medals of Bronze and
Silver upon your chest
Even greater was your heart of pure Gold To
Be The Best
As you John for The Greater Good yourself
would neglect
For Something Greater Than One's Self as
you knew our Lord expects
And John Heaven holds a place,
for all those magnificent men like you in
your grace
Like that POW in that darkness all in what
you faced
In Strength In Honor,
as you've lifted up our world to make it a
better place
And John the United States Senate will
never forget your face
As all in the sands of time John, yours is
now etched
And now we lay your fine body down to rest
As upon the floor of the Senate what a giant
shadow you've cast
Leaving void,
And a chasm as great as The Grand Canyon
which will last
At Ease my son,
As one of America's Best,
and a winner In The Game of Life who's won
all those great quests
Now rise,
Rise up to Heaven with tears in your eyes,
As the Eagle of The Senate has taken flight
All in our Lord's arms, John, you now lie
As our Lord looks into your eyes
Saying, "John in that POW camp I could not
let you die"

"As me and Bud and Orson watched over you
day and night"
"and I knew in this world you'd had so much
more love to write"
"and you would bless America and our world
with all of your might"
"As your battle on Earth is over my son"
"But, your new battle has now just begun"
"As an Angel in my Army of The Lord my
son"
"Now, up here in Heaven your Fathers so
await"
"With open arms,
as over the years they've watched you so
proudly at your heroic gait"
And on this night, we rest much easier in our
beds
Knowing a new Angel named John McCain
watches us over head
And your family will hear you on the wind
And in the morning when they wake,
they will feel you next to them as where
you've been
Watching over them time and again
Until, one fine day you'll meet up in Heaven
my friend
And they won't have to cry no more
And for a child to become whole
To bring warmth and peace to their soul
They need The Faith of Their Fathers to
nurture and grow
As a gift far more precious than gold
When, armed with The Faith of Our Fathers
And our Faith In The Lord
Can there be nothing we can't overcome or
endure
And with tear in eye,
John as to you we say goodbye
At The Measure of This Great Man who has
died
Who was First in Freedom,
Who was First in War,
Who was First in Peace,
And in the leadership he implored
As you taught us how to live, and how to die
Who is now an Angel in The Army of Our
Lord,
who Heaven depends on up on high
Rise,
And now we lay your body down to rest,
Amen.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. ANNA G. ESHOO

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present during roll call vote number 380 and 381 on September 4, 2018, due to recent surgery. I would like to reflect how I would have voted:

On roll call vote no. 380, I would have voted Yes.

On roll call vote no. 381, I would have voted No.

MOURNING THE PASSING OF EVITA MENDIOLA

HON. BRAD SHERMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute and mourn the recent passing of a long-serving former staffer of mine, Evita Mendiola, after a battle with cancer. She grew up in San Diego and lived briefly in the Cali-

fornia Bay Area before settling in Los Angeles. Evita worked for me on my first campaign for Congress in 1996 and served as Executive Assistant in both my Washington and Los Angeles offices for 12 years. She went on to work for our former colleague, Dale Kildee in his Washington office for another four years before returning to California in 2013.

My staff and I were deeply saddened to hear of her passing. I extend all of her family my deepest condolences, especially to her mother Renate Mendiola and her brother, Robert King. Evita loved her family, and was especially dedicated to her precious nieces and nephews. She took great pride in their achievements and spent much of her free time at their athletic events and extracurricular activities.

She served both Dale and I with dedication and diligence. She was my longest serving and best Executive Assistant. Evita watched over her colleagues and served by my side with fierce loyalty to the office, to the district, to my constituents and to the priorities of the office.

Evita was more than an Executive Assistant. She was a utility player that handled case work and field representative duties whenever called upon—even though being a “scheduler” alone is the toughest job in a Congressional office.

She took a particular interest in helping young people with their plans to attend military service academies. Over the years she guided dozens of applicants through the very complex and competitive application processes to make sure they received the opportunity to pursue serving our country. The annual service academy nominations became a highlight for me and members of my staff because of the hard work Evita put into it. Our country is better because of the military service of young people from the San Fernando Valley whom Evita helped pursue their dreams.

Evita served as a mentor for junior staff and interns alike. She often maintained contact with former staff and interns years after they had left the job, offering guidance, support and friendship.

Once again Mr. Speaker, I rise to commemorate the life and service of Evita Mendiola who will ultimately be remembered for her dedication to her family and country. May her family find comfort and solace in the memories they shared and in the life she led.

REMEMBERING THE LIFE OF JUSTIN PRESSLEY

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in memory of Justin Pressley, a Gainesville native who dedicated his career to advocating for the disabled.

When he was 16 years old, Justin injured his spinal cord in a motorcycle accident, leaving him a quadriplegic. Instead of viewing his injury as a setback, he used this challenge to encourage men and women who were also experiencing disabilities.

To work with organizations committed to advancing the disabled community, Justin volunteered his time to Adapt, the National Organization on Disability, and the National Participant Network. He also co-founded Gainesville's Disability Resource Center and established the North Georgia Screamin' Eagles soccer team, a local soccer team for quadriplegic athletes.

Because of his tireless devotion to meeting the needs of the disabled, the Georgia Advisory Committee appointed Justin to the United States Civil Rights Commission, which focuses on addressing issues in civil rights policy. Additionally, he served as an officer for the Access Center for Independent Living and Access Hall County—two organizations that support disabled men and women by advocating for their independence in the community.

Throughout his life, Justin worked to broaden equal opportunities for the disabled in the workplace, and his optimism lifted the spirits of others in the community. Mr. Speaker, the Gainesville community will miss Justin, and my prayers are with family during this time.

CELEBRATING THE CHICANA(O)
AND LATINA(O) STUDIES DE-
PARTMENT (CLS) AT CALI-
FORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS
ANGELES UPON ITS 50TH ANNI-
VERSARY

HON. JIMMY GOMEZ

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. GOMEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the Chicana(o) and Latina(o) Studies Department (CLS) at California State University, Los Angeles upon its 50th anniversary. Established in 1968, the program has expanded from four classes to 150 courses annually. Created during an era when communities of color stood up for equality and civil rights, this program sought to build a new, positive narrative of Mexican Americans in the U.S., while preparing students to pursue careers in various fields, including education, law, and the social sciences.

Since its founding, the CLS program's focus was interdisciplinary and broke with academia's traditional emphasis on specialization to instead focus its study of Mexican Americans through courses in history, culture, political science, psychology, and an emerging Chicano literature. While the scope and breadth of the CLS program has changed over the years, its impact on the minds of our youth has remained constant and is as relevant now as ever before.

To create an equitable society, we must acknowledge the systemic inequalities that immigrants and all communities of colors continue to face. Our educational institutions can serve as vehicles toward this end. That is why we must work together as policy makers, educators, community leaders, and students to lead our generation into a future that truly embodies the ideals our country has aspired to since its founding.

I ask all members to join with me in commending the CLS program and California State University, Los Angeles for their 50 years of educating Los Angeles' youth for a brighter future.

HONORING KEITH KELLEHER

HON. JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor Keith Kelleher, one of the greatest organizers I have ever seen, for his hard work and dedication as he retires on September 14, 2018. Keith's lifelong commitment to organizing for social and economic justice has improved thousands of lives and created fair work environments and policies that will continue to give workers good wages, health care, and safe work environments.

Keith Kelleher was the President of the Service Employees International Union Healthcare Illinois/Indiana/Missouri/Kansas since its creation in April 2008 until April 2017. He was a field organizer, Lead Organizer, and Head Organizer of Legacy Local 880 in Chicago, and Head Organizer of United Labor Unions Local 222 in Detroit prior to that.

In his 39 years of work as a labor and community organizer, Keith pioneered the organization of fast food, home care, child care and other low-wage workers—growing Legacy Local 880 from just seven members in 1983 to more than 70,000 members by 2008, and growing SEIU HCIMK from 83,000 members in 2008 to more than 92,000 members by 2014; and winning living wages and first-ever healthcare for tens of thousands of Illinois workers.

Keith was the Field Director of the national SEIU's "Homecare Task Force" from 1996–1998. He traveled, researched, and visited the homes of home care workers in more than ten states, beginning the work which led to the organizing of hundreds of thousands of home care workers across the country.

Today, more than 600,000 home care and child care workers in more than fifteen states across the country are united in SEIU, fighting for a better standard of living for themselves and their families, and joining with nursing home and hospital workers to become a national movement for quality care and quality jobs.

Keith Kelleher was a founder and leader in the national SEIU Homecare Council, which was composed of leaders of over 15 local unions. He was a board member and then Vice-President of the SEIU Illinois State Council, which grew from 70,000 to over 120,000 members during his years of service.

As an organizer, Keith Kelleher trained hundreds of rank and file leaders and organizers over his career. He helped found the Helen Miller Member Education and Training Center (METC) which has trained thousands of Homecare and Child Care workers, as well as helping to found the SEIU HCIMK Homecare and Child Care Health Trust, which brought first-time health care to more than 15,000 home and child care workers.

His conviction, integrity and foresight helped initiate several grassroots organizing initiatives, like the Grassroots Collaborative "Fight for \$15" and "United Working Families."

He was a founder and leader of the "Chicago Jobs and Living Wage Campaign" and the "Big Box Living Wage Campaign" from the 1990's to the 2000's, which led to increases in the Chicago and Illinois minimum wage and benefited hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of Chicago and Illinois workers.

In the 1990's, as a State Representative in Springfield, I was honored to work with Keith on legislation to raise the wage of state-employed home care workers in a campaign dubbed "Give Me Five," a \$5 an hour minimum wage. I was indelibly inspired by the heroic women of Local 880 who, along with Keith, taught me to never give up!

Rising to the top of his union, Keith was elected as an SEIU International Executive Board Vice-President in June 2008, a position he held until 2017.

In recognition of his historic accomplishments as a visionary, organizer and labor movement leader, I rise today to offer my heartfelt gratitude and congratulations to Keith Kelleher for all he has done to improve the jobs and living conditions of thousands and thousands of people and to provide hope to millions more.

I thank Keith, and best of luck to him in his retirement from SEIU. My hope is that I will continue to see him leading in the ongoing struggle.

CELEBRATING THE DEDICATION
OF THE BEAVER ISLAND WATER
TRAIL

HON. JACK BERGMAN

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. BERGMAN. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to recognize the dedication of the Beaver Island Water Trail on northern Lake Michigan. Thanks to the tireless efforts of countless community leaders, businesses, and local organizations, this trail has become an indispensable part of Northern Michigan.

The 42-mile paddling trail around the largest island in Lake Michigan is designed for experienced paddlers and outdoorsmen looking for an exciting excursion. As they make their way along the trail, paddlers can enjoy beautiful beaches and wetlands, lighthouses, shipwrecks, and some of the best night skies that Michigan has to offer. And Beaver Island's unique history and warm community make it an ideal destination for outdoors enthusiasts everywhere.

Northern Michigan is home to some of our nation's most cherished natural resources and landscapes, and trails like Beaver Island's help support healthy lifestyles, local economies, and an appreciation for our beautiful state. I look forward to getting to experience the trail with my kids and grandkids, and I am excited to spread the word on all that the island has to offer. A dedication ceremony for the trail was held on September 1st at the Beaver Island Community Center.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the Preservation Association of Beaver Island, the Land Access Information Association, the state of Michigan, and all others who helped pitch in to make the Beaver Island Water Trail a reality. Michiganders can take immense pride in knowing that the First District is home to such an important institution of recreational, historical, and environmental significance. On behalf of my constituents, I wish it all the best.

IN RECOGNITION OF 25 YEARS OF PRIDE IN THE PARK

HON. MATT CARTWRIGHT

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the Pride in the Park festival, which is currently organized by the Bradbury-Sullivan LGBT Community Center in addition to its other vital services.

Since 1993, the Pride in the Park festival has acknowledged the achievements made in and by the local LGBT community. It is a vibrant event with vendors, merchants, business services, community groups, games, and live entertainment. In 2016, the Bradbury-Sullivan LGBT Community Center opened to fill a gap in community services by addressing the cultural, health, and education needs of the LGBT community in the Lehigh Valley.

In 2017, Pride of the Greater Lehigh Valley merged with Bradbury-Sullivan LGBT Community Center. I am sure the legacy of Pride in the Park will continue to grow and flourish with its support and the strong leadership provided by Founder and Executive Director Adrian Shanker.

It is an honor to recognize Adrian Shanker and the Bradbury-Sullivan Center and their work to usher in the 25th Annual Pride in the Park Festival. It is vital to have well-organized groups like this to ensure all voices are heard and every person can pursue a life of dignity and respect.

IN RECOGNITION OF MAJOR DONALD EMERSON SHAY, JR. AND MRS. SARA FRANCES SHAY

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the service of both United States Air Force Major Donald Emerson "Don" Shay, Jr., of the 14th Tactical Reconnaissance Squadron, and his mother, Mrs. Sara Frances Shay. This October marks forty-eight years since Maj. Shay went missing over southern Laos in the service of his country. After her son was reported as MIA, Mrs. Shay dedicated herself to reunite all those missing in Southeast Asia with their families for forty-five years.

Before his service in the United States Air Force, Don was raised in Linthicum, Maryland, and graduated the U.S. Air Force Academy in 1967. On October 8, 1970, then Captain Shay and Cpt. William A. Ott were shot down during a reconnaissance mission over Laos in an RF4C Phantom. Their plane was not located and both men were listed Missing in Action. Upon the release of 591 American prisoners of war in 1973, neither Cpts. Shay nor Ott were among those coming home. Cpt. Shay was promoted to the rank of Major after he was reported MIA; he is among eighteen members of the USAFA class of 1967 who lost their lives in or are still MIA from Vietnam.

Following the report that Don was missing, Mrs. Shay began volunteering her time and efforts towards locating her son. Soon, her dedi-

cation grew to all service members who were MIA/POW in Vietnam. She went on to serve on the board of the National League of Families of American Prisoners Missing in Southeast Asia, the board of the Linthicum Veteran's Memorial, and has worked with countless families and veterans of the war in the intervening decades. For her tireless work, she has been recognized by Presidents Nixon, Ford, Reagan, George H.W. Bush, and Obama. Mrs. Shay will be celebrating her 100th birthday this December.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to join me in thanking Major Donald Emerson Shay, Jr., and Mrs. Sara Frances Shay for their incredible sacrifices, devotion, and service to our nation; words cannot express our gratitude.

CONGRATULATING CHASE ELLIOT ON HIS FIRST NASCAR CUP SE- RIES VICTORY

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dawsonville's Chase Elliot for winning his first NASCAR Cup Series race on August 5.

Growing up, Chase fostered his love for speed through go-kart racing. He always looked up to his father, Bill, who was a NASCAR driver himself.

Chase carried on his father's legacy even as a teenager, kicking off his NASCAR career by signing a deal with Hendrick Motorsports. Only a year later, he won his first NASCAR race at the Iowa Speedway.

Chase clinched another victory in the 2014 NASCAR Xfinity Series race at the age of 18 years old, making him the youngest driver to win a NASCAR national series title.

During the recent NASCAR Cup race, Chase advanced to victory with the support of his favorite spotter—his father.

As Chase moves on to his next race, I join my fellow Northeast Georgians in congratulating him on winning his first Cup Series competition.

NORTH COAST OPPORTUNITIES

HON. JARED HUFFMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. HUFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with my colleagues MIKE THOMPSON and JOHN GARAMENDI to recognize the fiftieth anniversary of North Coast Opportunities, Inc. and their decades of good work for the residents on the North Coast of California, in particular those areas in our congressional districts.

North Coast Opportunities was created through the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 as part of President Lyndon Johnson's War on Poverty, and it has served as the Community Action Agency for Mendocino and Lake Counties, as well as parts of Humboldt, Sonoma, Del Norte, and Solano Counties since its incorporation in 1968.

As a member of the Community Action Network, North Coast Opportunities joined nearly

1,000 community-focused agencies throughout the United States that are charged with addressing local problems of poverty, social disengagement, and inequity by engaging local affected populations through direct services. These services are tailored to meet local conditions and seek the greatest participation in the vital services provided to struggling families.

North Coast Opportunity reaches local residents from infancy to the end of life through programs including Partnerships in Community Health, Lake County Food and Produce Pantries, Head Start Child Development Program, Mendo-Lake Food Hub, Leadership Mendocino, Food PREP, Lake and Mendocino County Farm to School, Gardens Project, Redwood Caregiver Resource Center, Rural Communities Child Care, Volunteer Network, Walk and Bike Mendocino, Hammers for Hope, Lake County Disaster Recovery, and the Caring Kitchen Project.

Mr. Speaker, it is with great appreciation that we congratulate and recognize North Coast Opportunities on fifty years of stellar service to California North Coast communities in our congressional district and extend to them best wishes for decades of service to come.

CELEBRATING SUBURBAN PROPANE'S 90TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Suburban Propane headquartered in the Township of Hanover, New Jersey on the occasion of its 90th Anniversary.

Suburban Propane Partners, L.P. is a nationwide distributor of propane, fuel oil and related products and services, as well as a marketer of natural gas and electricity, proudly celebrating 90 years of innovation, growth and quality service.

Since the concept of using propane as a portable heating source was born in 1928 by its visionary founder in a small New Jersey town, Suburban Propane and its predecessor companies have been committed to delivering outstanding customer service to the communities served. In 1945, under the name Suburban Propane Gas Corporation, Suburban Propane became the first stock exchange listed public propane distributor in the United States. After several transformations, Suburban Propane again emerged as a publicly-traded Master Limited Partnership ("MLP") listed on the New York Stock Exchange in 1996, and today is one of the oldest existing MLPs. Throughout its now nine decades of serving customers nationwide, Suburban Propane has maintained its high standards for safety, integrity and customer satisfaction.

From its roots as a small neighborhood business, Suburban Propane has grown into a nationwide distributor with a vast network of more than 650 locations in 41 states. Today, Suburban Propane is the third largest retail propane provider in the United States, serving the energy needs of approximately 1.0 million residential, commercial, industrial and agricultural customers.

The more than 3,200 employees of Suburban Propane are proud members of every community they serve. Suburban Propane's long-term commitment to giving back to its local communities led to the creation of an in-house initiative called SuburbanCares™, which encourages and supports Suburban Propane's employees in their efforts to serve their customers and communities. Since 2012, Suburban Propane has partnered with the American Red Cross as a national sponsor volunteering time, and donating blood and much needed supplies to support the American Red Cross and their lifesaving endeavors.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and our colleagues join me in congratulating Suburban Propane Partners, L.P., on the occasion of its 90th Anniversary.

RECOVERY MONTH

HON. BILLY LONG

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. LONG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the non-profit Better Life In Recovery, based in Springfield, Missouri, for its distinguished efforts to aid individuals suffering from substance abuse and other mental health issues down the long road of recovery. On September 8, Better Life In Recovery will hold a 5k/10k run in honor of Recovery Month during the month of September.

Better Life In Recovery was founded to help individuals who are dealing with substance abuse and mental health with a focus on education, awareness and community service. It helps to reintegrate individuals back into their communities through volunteer work and support. Better Life In Recovery is a shining example of an organization that brings hope to others who are suffering by providing reassurance that recovery is within reach.

Recovery Month is a time to honor organizations that help people fight to take back their lives and raise awareness about the challenging obstacles facing people on the path to sobriety. As many people struggle to get sober, it's the help and support from family, friends and communities that keeps them on the road to recovery.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the 7th District of Missouri, I would like to recognize Better Life In Recovery and its efforts to spread awareness for Recovery Month. I would also like to encourage individuals who are struggling to take back their lives to seek out support from their family, friends and communities.

RECOGNIZING THE CAREER OF MR. PATRICK COSTELLO

HON. JOHN KATKO

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. KATKO. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to honor the career of Patrick Costello, an essential member of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local Union No. 43.

Mr. Costello has been a member of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers for 42 years, and has spent several years as an Officer. Throughout his career, Mr. Costello has held the positions of Executive Board member, Vice President, President, Assistant Business Manager and Business Manager. Mr. Costello has been a staunch supporter and voice for the hardworking men and women of IBEW during his career.

As an involved and active member of his community, Mr. Costello currently serves as President of the AFL-CIO Central New York Labor Council, and is a board member of the American Heart Association, the United Way and the Onondaga County College Foundation. Mr. Costello also sits on the Central & Northern New York Building Trades Council, the Workforce Investment Board, the Oneida County BOCES Advisory Committee, the Central New York Labor Studies Advisory Committee, the Oneida County School and Business Alliance, the Youth Construction Initiative Program at the Utica Schools, and many other committees.

I commend Patrick Costello for his dedication to the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and for his active involvement throughout New York's 24th Congressional District, and wish him the very best in his well-deserved retirement.

HONORING THE SACRIFICE OF CORPORAL TERRELL FULLER

HON. DOUG COLLINS

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2018

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the sacrifice of Army Corporal Terrell Fuller. After 67 years, the North Korean government returned his remains to the corporal's loved ones on August 8th of this year.

Corporal Fuller joined the Army when he was 20 years old. In the midst of the Korean War, he traveled alongside his fellow Americans. On April 12, 1951, the Toccoa Record first reported his disappearance.

Corporal Fuller's family eventually lost hope that he would return, and, in 1954, the Army pronounced him dead. If he were alive today, he would have recently celebrated his 88th birthday.

Corporal Fuller's funeral processions began at the Hartsfield Jackson airport and took him all the way home to Toccoa, where members of the community lined the streets to give him the welcome he has deserved for nearly seven decades.

Today, this soldier has family who love him, but no living relatives who can personally recount his bravery and patriotism. Yet we in Northeast Georgia know that Corporal Fuller answered the call of duty, leaving behind his loved ones and creating a legacy of service.

Corporal Fuller's sacrifice illustrates the tremendous debt that we owe to the members of our military who promote and defend freedom. I join Northeast Georgians in honoring the return of this long lost hero.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Thursday, September 6, 2018 may be found in the Daily Digest of today's RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED

SEPTEMBER 12

10 a.m.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

To hold hearings to examine countering Russia, focusing on assessing new tools.

SD-538

3 p.m.

Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe

To receive a briefing on free-trade zones.

SR-385

SEPTEMBER 13

10 a.m.

Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry

To hold hearings to examine perspectives on United States agricultural trade.

SR-328A

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

To hold hearings to examine implementation of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act.

SD-538

SEPTEMBER 18

10 a.m.

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

To hold hearings to examine reducing health care costs, focusing on how transparency can lower spending and empower patients.

SD-430

SEPTEMBER 26

2:30 p.m.

Committee on Armed Services

Subcommittee on Cybersecurity

To hold closed hearings to examine the cyber operational readiness of the Department of Defense.

SVC-217

Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs

Subcommittee on Federal Spending Over-
sight and Emergency Management

To hold hearings to examine the Federal
role in the toxic PFAS chemical crisis.

SD-342

OCTOBER 3

2:30 p.m.

Committee on the Judiciary

Subcommittee on Antitrust, Competition
Policy and Consumer Rights

To hold an oversight hearing to examine
the enforcement of the antitrust laws.

SD-226

Daily Digest

HIGHLIGHTS

Senator-designate Jon Kyl, of Arizona, was administered the oath of office by the Vice President.

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S6035–S6040

Measures Introduced: Four bills were introduced, as follows: S. 3408–3411. **Page S6038**

Measures Reported:

S. 2515, to amend the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act to provide further self-governance by Indian Tribes. (S. Rept. No. 115–335) **Page S6038**

Swearing in of Senator Kyl: The Chair laid before the Senate the certificate of appointment of Senator-designate Jon Kyl of the State of Arizona, and the oath of office was then administered as required by the U.S. Constitution and prescribed by law.

Page S6036

Nomination Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nomination:

By 85 yeas to 14 nays (Vote No. EX. 202), Elad L. Roisman, of Maine, to be a Member of the Securities and Exchange Commission for a term expiring June 5, 2023. **Pages S6036, S6040**

Messages from the House: **Page S6037**

Measures Referred: **Pages S6037–38**

Additional Cosponsors: **Page S6038**

Record Votes: One record vote was taken today. (Total—202) **Page S6036**

Adjournment: Senate convened at 12 noon and adjourned at 1:18 p.m., until 12 noon on Thursday, September 6, 2018. (For Senate's program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today's Record on page S6038.)

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet)

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: Committee ordered favorably reported the following business items:

S. 1965, to amend the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 to protect the cultural practices and livelihoods of producers of Alaska Native handicrafts and traditional mammoth ivory products, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute;

S. 2773, to improve the management of driftnet fishing, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute;

S. 3367, to amend certain transportation-related reporting requirements to improve congressional oversight, reduce reporting burdens, and promote transparency, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute;

H.R. 4323, to promote veteran involvement in STEM education, computer science, and scientific research, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute; and

The nominations of Rick A. Dearborn, of Oklahoma, to be a Director of the Amtrak Board of Directors, Kelvin Droegemeier, of Oklahoma, to be Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, James Morhard, of Virginia, to be Deputy Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and Joel Szabat, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Secretary of Transportation.

TSA OVERSIGHT

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: Committee concluded an oversight hearing to examine the Transportation Security Administration, focusing on keeping our skies secure, after receiving testimony from David P. Pekoske, Administrator,

Transportation Security Administration, Department of Homeland Security.

NATO ALLIANCE

Committee on Foreign Relations: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the value of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization alliance, after receiving testimony from Richard N. Haass, Council on Foreign Relations, New York, New York; R. Nicholas Burns, Harvard University John F. Kennedy School of Government Robert and Renee Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Cambridge, Massachusetts; and Stanley R. Sloan, Middlebury College, Middlebury, Vermont.

THE CHINA CHALLENGE

Committee on Foreign Relations: Subcommittee on East Asia, the Pacific, and International Cybersecurity Policy concluded a hearing to examine the China challenge, focusing on security and military developments, after receiving testimony from Oriana Skylar Mastro, American Enterprise Institute, and Abraham M. Denmark, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, both of Washington, D.C.

IMPACT OF ZERO TARIFFS ON U.S. AUTOWORKERS

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the impact of zero tariffs on United States autoworkers, after receiving testimony from John Bozzella, Association of Global Automakers, Inc., on behalf of Here for America, Thea Mei Lee, Economic Policy

Institute, Stephen Moore, The Heritage Foundation, and Bryan Riley, National Taxpayers Union, all of Washington, D.C.

NOMINATION

Committee on the Judiciary: Committee held a hearing to examine the nomination of Brett M. Kavanaugh, of Maryland, to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States. The nominee testified in his own behalf. Hearing recessed subject to the call and will meet again on Thursday, September 6, 2018.

NOMINATIONS

Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the nominations of Tamara Bonzanto, of New Jersey, to be an Assistant Secretary (Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection), and James Paul Gfrerer, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary (Information and Technology), both of the Department of Veterans Affairs, after the nominees testified and answered questions in their own behalf.

FOREIGN INFLUENCE OPERATIONS' USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee concluded a hearing to examine foreign influence operations' use of social media platforms, after receiving testimony from Sheryl Sandberg, Facebook, Menlo Park, California; and Jack Dorsey, Twitter, Inc., San Francisco, California.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 11 public bills, H.R. 6702–6712 and 1 resolution, H. Res. 1050 were introduced. **Page H7870**

Additional Cosponsors: **Pages H7870–71**

Report Filed: A report was filed today as follows:

H. Res. 1051, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6691) to amend title 18, United States Code, to clarify the definition of “crime of violence”, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of motions to suspend the rules (H. Rept. 115–920). **Page H7870**

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Weber (TX) to act as Speaker pro tempore for today. **Page H7827**

Recess: The House recessed at 10:45 a.m. and reconvened at 12 noon. **Page H7832**

Journal: The House agreed to the Speaker's approval of the Journal by voice vote. **Pages H7832–33, H7864**

Moment of Silence: The House observed a moment of silence in honor of those who have been killed or wounded in service to our country and all those who serve and their families. **Page H7833**

Recess: The House recessed at 12:49 p.m. and reconvened at 1:02 p.m. **Page H7838**

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:

Improving Embassy Design and Security Act of 2018: H.R. 4969, amended, to improve the design and construction of diplomatic posts; **Pages H7840–43**

Cyber Deterrence and Response Act of 2018: H.R. 5576, amended, to address state-sponsored cyber activities against the United States; and

Pages H7843–48

Global Electoral Exchange Act: H.R. 5274, amended, to promote international exchanges on best election practices, cultivate more secure democratic institutions around the world.

Pages H7848–50

Recess: The House recessed at 3:44 p.m. and reconvened at 5:05 p.m.

Page H7861

Oath of Office—Twelfth Congressional District of Ohio: Representative-elect Troy Balderson presented himself in the well of the House and was administered the Oath of Office by the Speaker. Earlier, the Clerk of the House transmitted a copy of a letter received from the Honorable Jon Husted, Ohio Secretary of State, indicating that, at the Special Election held on August 7, 2018, the Honorable Troy Balderson was elected Representative to Congress for the 12th Congressional District, State of Ohio.

Page H7862

Whole Number of the House: The Speaker announced to the House that, in light of the administration of the oath to the gentleman from Ohio, Mr. Balderson, the whole number of the House is 430.

Page H7863

Empowering Students Through Enhanced Financial Counseling Act: The House passed H.R. 1635, to amend the loan counseling requirements under the Higher Education Act of 1965, by a yea-and-nay vote of 406 yeas to 4 nays, Roll No. 385.

Pages H7834–38, H7838–40, H7850–61, H7862, H7863–64

Rejected the Lamb motion to recommit to the Committee on Education and the Workforce with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with an amendment, by a yea-and-nay vote of 187 yeas to 224 nays, Roll No. 384.

Pages H7861, H7862

Agreed to:

Stefanik amendment (No. 1 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that provides all students with an explanation of how to seek additional assistance from the financial aid office should they experience a change of financial circumstance; additionally, the amendment would specify that a borrower receives the loan amount they requested;

Page H7856

Murphy (FL) amendment (No. 2 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that requires institutions of higher education, as part of the annual counseling process mandated by the bill, to inform a student borrower that the loan amounts the student uses during an academic term will count towards the student's aggregate loan amounts, regardless of whether the credits transfer;

Pages H7856–57

O'Halleran amendment (No. 3 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that requires counseling to disclose the anticipated monthly payment to borrowers for any income-driven repayment plan for which they are eligible, improving borrowers' awareness of income-driven repayment plan options;

Pages H7857–58

Lewis (MN) amendment (No. 4 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that clarifies all payment options for Parent PLUS loans and notifies Parent PLUS borrowers that their dependent may qualify for and should consider scholarships, grants, and federal work-study jobs prior to borrowing;

Pages H7858–59

Allen amendment (No. 5 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that provides that nothing prohibits institutions from providing additional financial counseling;

Page H7859

Hartzler amendment (No. 6 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that encourages institutions of higher education to have students attend in-person loan counseling sessions; and

Pages H7859–60

Jayapal amendment (No. 7 printed in part A of H. Rept. 115–919) that adds requirement that student loan exit counseling includes a warning about illegitimate third-party debt relief companies, which offer services for a fee already provided to borrowers free of charge through loan servicers.

Pages H7860–61

H. Res. 1049, the rule providing for consideration of the bills (H.R. 1635) and (H.R. 4606) was agreed to a yea-and-nay vote of 224 yeas to 180 nays, Roll No. 383, after the previous question was ordered by a recorded vote of 221 yeas to 186 noes, Roll No. 382.

Pages H7834–38, H7838–39

Committee Elections: The House agreed to H. Res. 1050, electing a Member to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives.

Page H7864

Senate Referrals: S. 186 was held at the desk. S. 994 was held at the desk. S. 2553 was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Ways and Means. S. 3021 was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Page H7868

Senate Message: Message received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today and appears on page H7833.

Quorum Calls—Votes: Three yea-and-nay votes and one recorded vote developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H7838–39, H7839, H7862, and H7863–64. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 10 a.m. and adjourned at 6:34 p.m.

Committee Meetings

ON-THE-JOB: REBUILDING THE WORKFORCE THROUGH APPRENTICESHIP

Committee on Education and the Workforce: Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Development held a hearing entitled “On-The-Job: Rebuilding the Workforce Through Apprenticeships”. Testimony was heard from B.J. Dernbach, Assistant Deputy Secretary, Department of Workforce Development, Wisconsin; and public witnesses.

OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE HEALTH CARE

Committee on Energy and Commerce: Subcommittee on Health held a hearing entitled “Opportunities to Improve Health Care”. Testimony was heard from Derek Schmidt, Attorney General, Kansas; and public witnesses.

TWITTER: TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Committee on Energy and Commerce: Full Committee held a hearing entitled “Twitter: Transparency and Accountability”. Testimony was heard from a public witness.

THE FUTURE OF MONEY: COINS AND BANKNOTES

Committee on Financial Services: Subcommittee on Monetary Policy and Trade held a hearing entitled “The Future of Money: Coins and Banknotes”. Testimony was heard from Leonard R. Olijar, Director, Bureau of Engraving and Printing; and David J. Ryder, Director, United States Mint, Department of the Treasury.

THE COST OF REGULATION ON AFFORDABLE MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT

Committee on Financial Services: Subcommittee on Housing and Insurance held a hearing entitled “The Cost of Regulation on Affordable Multifamily Development”. Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

MISCELLANEOUS MEASURES

Committee on Natural Resources: Full Committee held a markup on H. Res. 418, urging the Secretary of the Interior to recognize the cultural significance of Rib Mountain by adding it to the National Register of Historic Places; H. Res. 460, requesting the Secretary of the Interior to recognize the rich history of the logging industry and the importance of lumberjack sports by adding the Lumberjack Bowl to the National Register of Historic Places; H.R. 5420, the “FDR Historic Preservation Act”; H.R. 5513, the

“Big Bear Land Exchange Act”; H.R. 6013, the “Migratory Bird Framework and Hunting Opportunities for Veterans Act”; H.R. 6287, the “9/11 Memorial Act”; H.R. 6299, the “Nevada Lands Bill Technical Corrections Act of 2018”; H.R. 6599, to modify the application of temporary limited appointment regulations to the National Park Service, and for other purposes; H.R. 6665, the “Offshore Wind for Territories Act”; H.R. 6678, the “Claude Moore Farm Land Conveyance Act”; H.R. 6687, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to manage the Point Reyes National Seashore in the State of California consistent with Congress’ longstanding intent to maintain working dairies and ranches on agricultural property as part of the seashore’s unique historic, cultural, scenic and natural values, and for other purposes; and S. 2857, the “National Nordic Museum Act”. H. Res. 418, H. Res. 460, H.R. 6287, H.R. 6599, H.R. 6665, H.R. 6678, and S. 2857 were ordered reported, without amendment. H.R. 5420, H.R. 5513, H.R. 6013, H.R. 6299, and H.R. 6687 were ordered reported, as amended.

LEGISLATIVE MEASURES

Committee on Natural Resources: Subcommittee on Water, Power, and Oceans held a hearing on H.R. 6583, the “Big Sand Wash Project Title Transfer Act”; and H.R. 6652, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain facilities, easements, and rights-of-way to the Kennewick Irrigation District, and for other purposes. Testimony was heard from Austin Ewell, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Water and Science, Department of the Interior; and public witnesses.

COMMUNITY SAFETY AND SECURITY ACT OF 2018

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 6691, the “Community Safety and Security Act of 2018”. The Committee granted, by record vote of 5–4, a rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 669 under a closed rule. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that the bill shall be considered as read. The rule provides one motion to recommit. In section 2, the rule provides that it shall be in order at any time on the legislative day of September 13, 2018, for the Speaker to entertain motions that the House suspend the rules and that the Speaker or his designee shall consult with the Minority Leader or her designee on the designation of any matter for consideration pursuant to this section. Testimony was heard from Chairman Goodlatte and Representative Jackson Lee.

SURVEYING STORMS: A DEEPER DIVE INTO SBA'S DISASTER RESPONSE

Committee on Small Business: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "Surveying Storms: A Deeper Dive into SBA's Disaster Response". Testimony was heard from James Rivera, Associate Administrator, Office of Disaster Assistance, Small Business Administration.

INNOVATION IN SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure: Subcommittee on Highways and Transit held a hearing entitled "Innovation in Surface Transportation". Testimony was heard from Randell Iwasaki, Executive Director, Contra Costa Transportation Authority, California; and public witnesses.

REUSABLE MEDICAL EQUIPMENT: CONTINUING TO EXAMINE VHA'S STERILE PROCESSING PROBLEMS

Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations held a hearing entitled "Reusable Medical Equipment: Continuing to Examine VHA's Sterile Processing Problems". Testimony was heard from Teresa D. Boyd, Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Operations, Department of Veterans Affairs; Sharon Silas, Acting Director, Health Care, Government Accountability Office; and John Daigh, M.D., Assistant Inspector General for Healthcare Inspections, Department of Veterans Affairs.

LEGISLATIVE MEASURES

Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Subcommittee on Disability Assistance and Memorial Affairs held a hearing on H.R. 4312, the "Fallen Warrior Battlefield Cross Memorial Act"; H.R. 6409, the "Honoring Veterans' Families Act"; and H.R. 6420, to permit the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a grant program to conduct cemetery research and produce educational materials for the Veterans Legacy Program. Testimony was heard from Representatives LaMalfa, Lamb, and Renacci; Matthew Sullivan, Deputy Under Secretary for Finance and Planning, National Cemetery Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs; and public witnesses.

MISCELLANEOUS MEASURES

Committee on Ways and Means: Full Committee held a markup on H.R. 6662, the "Empowering Seniors' Enrollment Decision Act of 2018"; H.R. 6690, the "Fighting Fraud to Protect Care for Seniors Act of 2018"; H.R. 6561, the "Comprehensive Care for Seniors Act of 2018"; H.R. 3635, the "Local Coverage Determination Clarification Act of 2017"; H. Res. 1018, requesting the President to transmit to

the House of Representatives certain documents in the possession of the President relating to the determination to impose certain tariffs and to the strategy of the United States with respect to China. H.R. 6662, H.R. 6690, H.R. 6561, and H.R. 3635 were ordered reported, as amended. H. Res. 1018 was ordered reported, without amendment.

Joint Meetings

AGRICULTURE IMPROVEMENT ACT

Conferees met to resolve the differences between the Senate and House passed versions of H.R. 2, to provide for the reform and continuation of agricultural and other programs of the Department of Agriculture through fiscal year 2023.

ENERGY AND WATER, LEGISLATIVE BRANCH, AND MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS APPROPRIATIONS ACT

Conferees met to resolve the differences between the Senate and House passed versions of H.R. 5895, making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2018

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2019 for the Bureau of Industry and Security, the International Trade Administration, and the United States International Trade Commission, 10 a.m., SD-192.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: to hold hearings to examine outside perspectives on Russia sanctions, focusing on current effectiveness and potential for next steps, 10 a.m., SD-538.

Committee on Environment and Public Works: Subcommittee on Transportation and Infrastructure, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Harold B. Parker, of New Hampshire, to be Federal Cochairperson of the Northern Border Regional Commission, 10 a.m., SD-406.

Committee on the Judiciary: to continue hearings to examine the nomination of Brett M. Kavanaugh, of Maryland, to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, 9:30 a.m., SH-216.

House

Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Environment, hearing entitled "Perfluorinated Chemicals in

the Environment: An Update on the Response to Contamination and Challenges Presented”, 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled “Examining Federal Efforts to Ensure Quality of Care and Resident Safety in Nursing Homes”, 10:15 a.m., 2322 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Energy, markup on H.R. 6511, the “Strategic Petroleum Reserve Reform Act”, 1 p.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “A Failure to Act: How a Decade without GSE Reform Has Once Again Put Taxpayers at Risk”, 10 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on Africa, Global Health, Global Human Rights, and International Organizations, hearing entitled “Tackling Fentanyl: The China Connection”, 2 p.m., 2200 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere, hearing entitled “U.S. Policy Toward Cuba”, 2 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on Homeland Security, Subcommittee on Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Protection; and Subcommittee on Transportation and Protective Security, joint hearing entitled “Understanding Cybersecurity Threats to America’s Aviation Sector”, 10 a.m., HVC-210.

Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 5468, the “Permitting Litigation Efficiency Act of 2018”, 10:30 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

Committee on Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Federal Lands, hearing on H.R. 5706, the “World War II Pacific Sites Establishment Act”; H.R. 6108, the “Preserving America’s Battlefields Act”; H.R. 6118, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to annually designate at least one city in the United States as an “American World War II Heritage City”, and for other purposes; H.R. 6365, the “Treaty of Guadalupe-Hidalgo Land Claims Act of 2018”; and H.R. 6682, the “Protection and Transparency for Adjacent Landowners Act”, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Affairs; and Subcommittee on the Interior, Energy and Environment, joint hearing entitled “Permitting: Finding a Path Forward”, 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Full Committee, hearing entitled “Evaluating Federal Disaster Response and Recovery Efforts”, 1 p.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Subcommittee on Aviation, hearing entitled “Airspace Integration of New Aircraft”, 10 a.m., 2167 Rayburn.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity, hearing entitled “A Review of VA’s Specially Adaptive Housing Grant Programs (SAH)”, 2 p.m., 334 Cannon.

Joint Meetings

Joint Economic Committee: to hold hearings to examine the positive economic growth effects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, 2:30 p.m., SD-G50.

Next Meeting of the SENATE

12 noon, Thursday, September 6

Senate Chamber

Program for Thursday: Senate will be in a period of morning business.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10 a.m., Thursday, September 6

House Chamber

Program for Thursday: Consideration of H.R. 4606—Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act. Consideration of H.R. 6691—Community Safety and Security Act of 2018 (Subject to a Rule).

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Bera, Ami, Calif., E1201
 Bergman, Jack, Mich., E1202, E1209
 Bishop, Sanford D., Jr., Ga., E1204
 Carter, John R., Tex., E1205
 Cartwright, Matt, Pa., E1202, E1206, E1210
 Collins, Doug, Ga., E1201, E1203, E1205, E1206, E1207, E1208, E1210, E1211
 Eshoo, Anna G., Calif., E1208
 Frelinghuysen, Rodney P., N.J., E1203, E1210

Gallagher, Mike, Wisc., E1201
 Gomez, Jimmy, Calif., E1209
 Graves, Sam, Mo., E1201
 Gutiérrez, Luis V., Ill., E1205
 Higgins, Brian, N.Y., E1207
 Huffman, Jared, Calif., E1202, E1210
 Jackson Lee, Sheila, Tex., E1204
 Katko, John, N.Y., E1204, E1211
 Long, Billy, Mo., E1204, E1211
 Lynch, Stephen F., Mass., E1207

Norton, Eleanor Holmes, The District of Columbia, E1206
 Poe, Ted, Tex., E1206
 Schakowsky, Janice D., Ill., E1209
 Schiff, Adam B., Calif., E1201
 Sessions, Pete, Tex., E1205, E1207
 Sherman, Brad, Calif., E1208
 Thompson, Bennie G., Miss., E1207
 Walters, Mimi, Calif., E1205
 Wittman, Robert J., Va., E1202, E1206, E1210



Congressional Record

printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. ¶Public access to the *Congressional Record* is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at www.govinfo.gov, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the *Congressional Record* is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, contactcenter@gpo.gov. ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily *Congressional Record* is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. ¶With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the *Congressional Record*.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, *Congressional Record*, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.