So there is a case to be made on both sides of these things. I think the majority has tried to move legislation as best they can, but we certainly want the active participation of our friends from the other side, and, quite often, they are very robust in taking advantage of that opportunity. That is a good thing.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I want to encourage all Members to support the rule. I recognize my friends on the other side probably will not do that, and that is appropriate. The rule debate is normally a partisan divide, and I respect my friend's efforts in that regard.

But I know that many of my friends will support the underlying resolution, and, for that, I express my appreciation, and, frankly, my gratitude for the good hard work that has gone back and forth across partisan aisles. This bill, though, this rule represents the next step toward fulfilling our primary obligation as Members of Congress to fund the government.

For the first time in over 10 years, we will fully fund the Department of Defense before the start of our fiscal year. We will pass a Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies appropriations bill, providing funds for healthcare, schools, medical research, job training, and thousands of other priorities for both parties for the first time in 22 years. And we will enable that the government remains open and operating to provide needed services for our constituents.

So I want to applaud my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for their hard work. I want to thank our friends in the United States Senate who have already completed their portion of this. I want to join my friend in urging the President, assuming we pass this legislation, to sign it and sign it before the end of the fiscal year.

The material previously referred to by Mrs. TORRES is as follows:

An Amendment to H. Res. 1077 Offered by Ms. Torres

At the end of the resolution, add the following new sections:

SEC. 4. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution the Speaker shall, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6545) to reauthorize the Violence Against Women Act of 1994, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except

one motion to recommit with or without instructions. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

SEC. 5. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 6545.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's Precedents of the House of Representatives (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." То defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that 'the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition' in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

The Republican majority may say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: "A1though it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule. . . . When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment.'

In Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon." Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, September 26, 2018.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN, The Speaker, House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on September 26, 2018, at 11:55 a.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 3139.

That the Senate passed S. 3389.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 4958.

With best wishes, I am, Sincerely.

KAREN L. HAAS.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 9 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

\Box 1315

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DONOVAN) at 1 o'clock and 15 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 1077;

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

McCau1

Adoption of House Resolution 1077, if ordered:

The motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 5420, if ordered: and

Agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5minute votes.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION \mathbf{OF} CONFERENCE REPORT ON H R. 6157, DEPARTMENT OF DE-FENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT. 2019; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDER-ATION OF H. RES. 1071, RECOG-NIZING THAT ALLOWING ILLE-GAL IMMIGRANTS THE RIGHT TO VOTE DIMINISHES THE VOTING POWER OF UNITED STATES CITI-ZENS; AND PROVIDING FOR CON-SIDERATION \mathbf{OF} MOTIONS TO SUSPEND THE RULES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 1077) providing for consideration of the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 6157) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 1071) recognizing that allowing illegal immigrants the right to vote devalues the franchise and diminishes the voting power of United States citizens; and providing for consideration of motions to suspend the rules, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 230, nays 188, not voting 10, as follows:

	[Roll No. 402]
	YEAS-230
Abraham	Calvert
Aderholt	Carter (GA)
Allen	Carter (TX)
Amash	Chabot
Amodei	Cheney
Arrington	Cloud
Babin	Coffman
Bacon	Cole
Balderson	Collins (GA)
Banks (IN)	Collins (NY)
Barr	Comer
Barton	Comstock
Bergman	Conaway
Biggs	Cook
Bilirakis	Costello (PA)
Bishop (MI)	Cramer
Bishop (UT)	Crawford
Black	Culberson
Blum	Curbelo (FL)
Bost	Curtis
Brady (TX)	Davidson
Brat	Davis, Rodney
Brooks (AL)	Denham
Brooks (IN)	DesJarlais
Buchanan	Diaz-Balart
Buck	Donovan
Bucshon	Duffy
Budd	Duncan (SC)
Burgess	Duncan (TN)
Byrne	Dunn

EAS-230 rert Emmer er (GA) Estes (KS) er (TX) Faso Ferguson Fitzpatrick Fleischmann fman Flores Fortenberry lins (GA) Foxx Frelinghuysen ins (NY Gaetz stock Gallagher away Garrett Gianforte ello (PA) Gibbs Gohmert wford Goodlatte berson Gosar belo (FL) Gowdy Granger ridson Graves (GA) is. Rodney Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith ham Jarlais z-Balart Grothman ovan Guthrie Handel ican (SC) Harper ican (TN) Harris Hartzler

Herrera Beutler Hice, Jody B. Higgins (LA) Hill Holding Hollingsworth Hudson Huizenga Hultgren Hunter Hurd Jenkins (KS) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan Joyce (OH) Katko Kelly (MS) Kellv (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger Knight Kustoff (TN) Labrador LaHood LaMalfa Lamborn Lance Latta Lesko Lewis (MN) LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Luetkemever MacArthur Marchant Marino Marshall Massie

Mast

McCarthv

Hensarling

Adams Aguilar Barragán Bass Beatty Bera Beyer Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Boyle, Brendan F Brady (PA) Brown (MD) Brownley (CA) Bustos Butterfield Capuano Carbajal Cárdenas Carson (IN) Cartwright Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Clark (MA Clarke (NY) Clav Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly Cooper Correa Costa Courtney Crist Crowley Cuellar Cummings Davis (CA) Davis, Danny DeFazio DeGette Delaney DeLauro DelBene Demings

McClintock McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSallv Meadows Messer Mitchell Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Newhouse Noem Norman Nunes Olson Palazzo Palmer Paulsen Pearce Perry Pittenger Poe (TX) Poliquin Posey Ratcliffe Reed Reichert Renacci Rice (SC) Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney, Francis Rooney, Thomas .Τ Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Royce (CA) Russell Rutherford

NAYS-188

DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Dovle, Michael F. Engel Espaillat Esty (CT) Evans Foster Frankel (FL) Fudge Gabbard Gallego Garamendi Gomez Gonzalez (TX) Gottheimer Green, Al Green, Gene Grijalva Gutiérrez Hanabusa Hastings Heck Higgins (NY) Himes Hover Huffman Jackson Lee Jayapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Khanna Kihuen Kildee Kilmer Kind Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA)

Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Zeldin Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee Levin Lewis (GA) Lieu, Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Luján, Ben Ray Lvnch Maloney, Carolyn B. Malonev. Sean Matsui McCollum McEachin McGovern McNernev Meeks Meng Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Norcross O'Halleran O'Rourke Pallone Panetta Pascrell Pavne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quigley Raskin

Rice (NY)

Richmond

Wittman

Womack

Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smucker Stefanik Stewart Stivers Taylor Tennev Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC)

Rosen Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sánchez Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano

NOT VOTING-

Barletta Blackburn Castor (FL) Ellison

Allen

Babin

Bacon

Barr

Barton

Biggs

Black

Blum

Bost

Brat

Buck

Budd

Byrne

Calvert

Chabot

Cheney

Cloud

Cole

Comer

Cook

Cramer

Burgess

Amodei

Lujan Grisham, Μ. Nolan Ros-Lehtinen

□ 1341

Jenkins (WV)

Sewell (AL)

Shea-Porter

Smith (WA)

Swalwell (CA)

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Sherman

Sinema

Sires

Soto

Speier

Suozzi

Takano

Titus

Tonko

Eshoo

Issa

Messrs. SIRES, VELA, RICHMOND, LARSON of Connecticut, and VISchanged their CLOSKY vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-ayes 230, noes 188, not voting 10, as follows: [Roll No. 403]

AYES-230 Crawford Abraham Aderholt Crist Culberson Curbelo (FL) Arrington Curtis Davidson Davis Rodney Balderson Denham Banks (IN) DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Donovan Bergman Duffy Duncan (SC) Bilirakis Duncan (TN) Bishop (MI) Dunn Bishop (UT) Emmer Estes (KS) Faso Ferguson Brady (TX) Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Brooks (AL) Flores Fortenberry Brooks (IN) Buchanan Foxx Frelinghuysen Bucshon Gaetz Gallagher Garrett Gianforte Gibbs Goodlatte Carter (GA) Carter (TX) Gottheimer Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Coffman Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Collins (GA) Collins (NY) Grothman Guthrie Handel Comstock Harper Conaway Harris Hartzler Costello (PA) Hensarling

Herrera Beutler

Hice Jody B Higgins (LA) Hill Holding Hollingsworth Hudson Huizenga Hultgren Hunter Hurd Issa Jenkins (KS) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jordan Joyce (OH) Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger Knight Kustoff (TN) Labrador LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Lance Latta Lesko Lewis (MN) LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Luetkemeyer MacArthur Marchant Marino Marshall Mast

H8903

Torres

Tsongas

Vargas

Veasey

Velázquez

Visclosky

Wasserman

Schultz

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman

Vela

Walz

Welch