116th Congress
1st Session

H. R. 4368
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A BILL

To prohibit the use of trade secrets privileges to prevent defense access to evidence in criminal proceedings, provide for the establishment of Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards, and for other purposes.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the “Justice in Forensic

5 Algorithms Act of 2019”.
SEC. 2. COMPUTATIONAL FORENSIC ALGORITHM STANDARDS.

(a) In general.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology shall establish a program to provide for creation and maintenance of standards for the development and use of computational forensic software, to be known as the Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards, consistent with the following:

(1) Standards shall include an assessment for the potential for disparate impact, on the basis of race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, gender, and other demographic features, in the development and use of the computational forensic software.

(2) Standards shall address—

(A)(i) the underlying scientific principles and methods implemented in computational forensic software; and

(ii) if, in the case of a particular method, there are insufficient studies supporting its use, what studies the Director has conducted to do so, and the results of such studies;

(B) requirements for testing the software including the conditions under which it needs to be tested, types of testing data to be used, testing environments, testing methodologies, and
system performance statistics required to be re-
ported including—

(i) accuracy, including false positive
and false negative error rates;

(ii) precision;

(iii) reproducibility;

(iv) robustness; and

(v) sensitivity;

(C) requirements for publicly available doc-
umentation by developers of computational fo-
rencis software of the purpose and function of
the software, the development process, including
source and description of training data, and in-
ternal testing methodology and results, includ-
ing source and description of testing data;

(D) requirements for laboratories and any
other entities using computational forensic soft-
ware to validate it for use, including to specify
the conditions under which the lab has vali-
dated it for their use, requirements for what in-
formation needs to be included in a public re-
port on the lab or other entity’s validation, and
requirements for internal validation updates
when there are material changes to the soft-
ware; and
(E) requirements for reports provided to
defendants by prosecution produced docu-
menting the use and results of computational
forensic software in individual cases.

(3) Standards shall be issued as a rulemaking
under section 553 of title 5, United States Code.

(4) The Director shall consult with outside ex-
perts in forensic science, bioethics, algorithmic dis-
 crimination, data privacy, racial justice, criminal jus-
tice reform, exonerations, and other relevant areas
of expertise identified through public input.

(b) PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS.—The Federal
Rules of Evidence are amended by adding at the end of
article V the following:

“Rule 503. PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS IN A CRIMINAL

PROCEEDING.

“In any criminal case, trade secrets protections do
not apply when defendants would otherwise be entitled to
obtain evidence.”.

(c) REQUIREMENTS FOR FEDERAL USE OF FOREN-
sic ALGORITHMS.—Any Federal law enforcement agency
or crime laboratory providing services to a Federal agency
using computational forensic software may use only soft-
ware that has been tested under the National Institute of
Standards and Technology’s Computational Forensic Al-
Algorithm Testing Program and shall conduct an internal validation according to the requirements outlined in the Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards and make the results publicly available. The internal validation shall be updated when there is a material change in the software that triggers a retesting by the Computational Forensic Algorithm Testing Program.

(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR TESTING.—The Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology shall establish a Computational Forensic Algorithm Testing Program, whose activities include the following:

(1) Testing individual software programs using the testing requirements established in the Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards.

(2) Using realistic sample testing data similar to what would be used by law enforcement in criminal investigations in performing such testing, including incomplete and contaminated samples.

(3) Using testing data that represents diversity of racial, ethnic, and gender identities and intersections of these identities in performing such testing.

(4) Using testing data that tests the limits of the software and demonstrates the boundaries of reliability described in the performance measures de-
fined in the Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards in performing such testing.

(5) Publishing the results of testing the software online including results under conditions specified in the standards and across diversity of racial, ethnic, and gender identities and intersections of these identities in a publicly available format.

(c) Testing Frequency.—Retesting shall be conducted when a material change is made to the software that impacts its performance and may affect its outputs. The Director shall establish requirements for determining whether changes are material or nonmaterial.

(f) Discovery in Criminal Cases.—Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure is amended—

(1) in subdivision (a)(1), by adding at the end the following:

“(H) Use of Computational Forensic Software. Any results or reports resulting from analysis by computational forensic software shall be provided to the defendant, and the defendant shall be accorded access to an executable copy of the version of the computational forensic software, as well as earlier versions of the software, necessary instructions for use and interpretation of the results, and relevant files
and data, used for analysis in the case and suitable for testing purposes. Such a report on the results shall include—

“(i) the name of the company that developed the software;

“(ii) the name of the lab where test was run;

“(iii) the version of the software that was used;

“(iv) the dates of the most recent changes to the software and record of changes made, including any bugs found in the software and what was done to address those bugs;

“(v) documentation of procedures followed based on procedures outlined in internal validation;

“(vi) documentation of conditions under which software was used relative to the conditions under which software was tested; and

“(vii) any other information specified by the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology in the Com-
putational Forensic Algorithm Standards.”.

(g) Inadmissibility of Certain Evidence.—The Federal Rules of Evidence are amended by adding at the end of article I the following:


“In any criminal case, evidence that is the result of analysis by computational forensic software is admissible only if—

“(1) the computational forensic software used has been submitted to the Computational Forensic Algorithm Testing Program of the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology and there have been no material changes to that software since it was last tested; and

“(2) the developers and users of the computational forensic software agree to waive any and all legal claims against the defense or any member of its team for the purposes of the defense analyzing or testing the computational forensic software.”.

(h) Definitions.—In this Act:

(1) Computational forensic software.—The term “computational forensic software” means
software that relies on an automated or semiautomated computational process, including one derived from machine learning, statistics, or other data processing or artificial intelligence techniques, to process, analyze, or interpret evidence.

(2) **MATERIAL CHANGE.**—The term “material change” means an update to computational forensic software that may affect the performance measures defined in the Computational Forensic Algorithm Standards or the use or output of the software.

(3) **NONMATERIAL CHANGE.**—The term “nonmaterial change” means an update to computational forensic software that does not affect the performance measures, use, or output of the software.