# S. 485

To amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes.

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

February 14, 2019

Mr. Casey (for himself, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Leahy, and Ms. Collins) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

# A BILL

- To amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
  - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
  - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Protecting Older
  - 5 Workers Against Discrimination Act".
  - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.
  - 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

- (1) In enacting section 107 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 (adding section 703(m) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964), Congress reaffirmed its understanding that unlawful discrimination is often difficult to detect and prove because those who discriminate do not usually admit their discrimination and often try to conceal their true motives. Section 703(m) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 expressly approved so-called "mixed motive" claims, providing that an unlawful employment practice is established when a protected characteristic was a motivating factor for any employment practice, even though other factors also motivated the practice.
  - (2) Congress enacted amendments to other civil rights statutes, including the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (referred to in this section as the "ADEA"), the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, but Congress did not expressly amend those statutes to address mixed motive discrimination.
  - (3) In the case of Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc., 557 U.S. 167 (2009), the Supreme Court held that, because Congress did not expressly amend the ADEA to address mixed motive claims, such claims were unavailable under the ADEA, and in-

stead the complainant bears the burden of proving that a protected characteristic or protected activity was the "but for" cause of an unlawful employment practice. This decision has significantly narrowed the scope of protections afforded by the statutes that were not expressly amended in 1991 to address mixed motive claims.

#### (b) Purposes.—The purposes of this Act are—

- (1) to clarify congressional intent that mixed motive claims shall be available, and that a complaining party need not prove that a protected characteristic or protected activity was the "but for" cause of an unlawful employment practice, under the ADEA and similar civil rights provisions;
- (2) to reject the Supreme Court's reasoning in the Gross decision that Congress' failure to amend any statute other than title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (with respect to discrimination claims), in enacting section 107 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, suggests that Congress intended to disallow mixed motive claims under other statutes; and

### (3) to clarify that complaining parties—

(A) may rely on any type or form of admissible evidence to establish their claims of an unlawful employment practice;

1	(B) are not required to demonstrate that
2	the protected characteristic or activity was the
3	sole cause of the employment practice; and
4	(C) may demonstrate an unlawful employ-
5	ment practice through any available method of
6	proof or analytical framework.
7	SEC. 3. STANDARDS OF PROOF.
8	(a) Age Discrimination in Employment Act of
9	1967.—
10	(1) Clarifying Prohibition against imper-
11	MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF AGE IN EMPLOYMENT
12	PRACTICES.—Section 4 of the Age Discrimination in
13	Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. 623) is amend-
14	ed by inserting after subsection (f) the following:
15	``(g)(1) Except as otherwise provided in this Act, an
16	unlawful practice is established under this Act when the
17	complaining party demonstrates that age or an activity
18	protected by subsection (d) was a motivating factor for
19	any practice, even though other factors also motivated the
20	practice.
21	"(2) In establishing an unlawful practice under this
22	Act, including under paragraph (1) or by any other meth-
23	od of proof, a complaining party—
24	"(A) may rely on any type or form of admis-
25	sible evidence and need only produce evidence suffi-

1	cient for a reasonable trier of fact to find that an
2	unlawful practice occurred under this Act; and
3	"(B) shall not be required to demonstrate that
4	age or an activity protected by subsection (d) was
5	the sole cause of a practice.".
6	(2) Remedies.—Section 7 of such Act (29
7	U.S.C. 626) is amended—
8	(A) in subsection (b)—
9	(i) in the first sentence, by striking
10	"The" and inserting "(1) The";
11	(ii) in the third sentence, by striking
12	"Amounts" and inserting the following:
13	"(2) Amounts";
14	(iii) in the fifth sentence, by striking
15	"Before" and inserting the following:
16	"(4) Before"; and
17	(iv) by inserting before paragraph (4),
18	as designated by clause (iii) of this sub-
19	paragraph, the following:
20	"(3) On a claim in which an individual demonstrates
21	that age was a motivating factor for any employment prac-
22	tice, under section $4(g)(1)$ , and a respondent demonstrates
23	that the respondent would have taken the same action in
24	the absence of the impermissible motivating factor, the
25	court—

1 "(A) may grant declaratory relief, injunctive re-2 lief (except as provided in subparagraph (B)), and 3 attorney's fees and costs demonstrated to be directly 4 attributable only to the pursuit of a claim under sec-5 tion 4(g)(1); and 6 "(B) shall not award damages or issue an order 7 requiring any admission, reinstatement, hiring, pro-8 motion, or payment."; and (B) in subsection (c)(1), by striking "Any" 9 10 and inserting "Subject to subsection (b)(3), 11 any". 12 (3) Definitions.—Section 11 of such Act (29) 13 U.S.C. 630) is amended by adding at the end the 14 following: "(m) The term 'demonstrates' means meets the bur-15 dens of production and persuasion.". 16 17 (4) Federal Employees.—Section 15 of such 18 Act (29 U.S.C. 633a) is amended by adding at the 19 end the following: 20 "(h) Sections 4(g) and 7(b)(3) shall apply to mixed 21 motive claims (involving practices described in section 22 4(g)(1)) under this section.". 23 (b) TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.— 24

1	(1) Clarifying prohibition against imper-
2	MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF RACE, COLOR, RELI-
3	GION, SEX, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN IN EMPLOYMENT
4	PRACTICES.—Section 703 of the Civil Rights Act of
5	1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e–2) is amended by striking
6	subsection (m) and inserting the following:
7	"(m) Except as otherwise provided in this title, an
8	unlawful employment practice is established under this
9	title when the complaining party demonstrates that race,
10	color, religion, sex, or national origin or an activity pro-
11	tected by section 704(a) was a motivating factor for any
12	employment practice, even though other factors also moti-
13	vated the practice.".
14	(2) Federal employees.—Section 717 of
15	such Act (42 U.S.C. 2000e–16) is amended by add-
16	ing at the end the following:
17	"(g) Sections $703(m)$ and $706(g)(2)(B)$ shall apply
18	to mixed motive cases (involving practices described in sec-
19	tion 703(m)) under this section.".
20	(c) Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990.—
21	(1) Definitions.—Section 101 of the Ameri-
22	cans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C.
23	12111) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
24	lowing:

- 1 "(11) DEMONSTRATES.—The term 'demon-2 strates' means meets the burdens of production and 3 persuasion.". 4 (2) Clarifying prohibition against imper-5 MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF DISABILITY IN EM-PLOYMENT PRACTICES.—Section 102 of such Act 6 7 (42 U.S.C. 12112) is amended by adding at the end 8 the following: 9
  - "(e) Proof.—

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- "(1) Establishment.—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, a discriminatory practice is established under this Act when the complaining party demonstrates that disability or an activity protected by subsection (a) or (b) of section 503 was a motivating factor for any employment practice, even though other factors also motivated the practice.
- "(2) Demonstration.—In establishing a discriminatory practice under paragraph (1) or by any other method of proof, a complaining party—
  - "(A) may rely on any type or form of admissible evidence and need only produce evidence sufficient for a reasonable trier of fact to find that a discriminatory practice occurred under this Act; and

1	"(B) shall not be required to demonstrate
2	that disability or an activity protected by sub-
3	section (a) or (b) of section 503 was the sole
4	cause of an employment practice.".
5	(3) CERTAIN ANTIRETALIATION CLAIMS.—Sec-
6	tion 503(c) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12203(c)) is
7	amended—
8	(A) by striking "The remedies" and insert-
9	ing the following:
10	"(1) In general.—Except as provided in para-
11	graph (2), the remedies"; and
12	(B) by adding at the end the following:
13	"(2) Certain antiretaliation claims.—Sec-
14	tion 107(c) shall apply to claims under section
15	102(e)(1) with respect to title I.".
16	(4) Remedies.—Section 107 of such Act (42
17	U.S.C. 12117) is amended by adding at the end the
18	following:
19	"(c) Discriminatory Motivating Factor.—On a
20	claim in which an individual demonstrates that disability
21	was a motivating factor for any employment practice,
22	under section 102(e)(1), and a respondent demonstrates
23	that the respondent would have taken the same action in
24	the absence of the impermissible motivating factor, the
25	court—

- "(1) may grant declaratory relief, injunctive relief (except as provided in paragraph (2)), and attorney's fees and costs demonstrated to be directly attributable only to the pursuit of a claim under section 102(e)(1); and
  - "(2) shall not award damages or issue an order requiring any admission, reinstatement, hiring, promotion, or payment.".

#### (d) Rehabilitation Act of 1973.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—Sections 501(f), 503(d), and 504(d) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 791(f), 793(d), and 794(d)), are each amended by adding after the words "title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12111 et seq.)" the following: ", including the standards of causation or methods of proof applied under section 102(e) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 12112(e)),".
- (2) FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.—The amendment made by paragraph (1) to section 501(f) shall be construed to apply to all employees covered by section 501.

#### 1 SEC. 4. APPLICATION.

- 2 This Act, and the amendments made by this Act,
- 3 shall apply to all claims pending on or after the date of

4 enactment of this Act.

 $\bigcirc$