

want fairness. In return, we ought to extend fairness.

There are obviously many, many Members who wanted to vote on the continuing resolution which was the subject of one of the votes, but from our point of view—and having reviewed the tapes—that did not happen. That happens.

But because we want to assure Members on both sides of the aisle that their opinion can be expressed as they would wish it to be expressed—and I think Mr. SCALISE will say that. Mr. BUTTERFIELD, former judge, who is one of our fairest and best Members, I would hope we would acknowledge that he handled the matter fairly and consistent with the rules.

Given that, it will be my intention to now ask for unanimous consent to provide for the opportunity, when we return on Wednesday, so that we have all Members here—we are going to return on Tuesday. And I would say that we will return before that. We may return tomorrow if we can open up government, if we have an agreement on that. But we will return as soon as that option is available to us.

But we will return, if that does not happen, on the evening of Tuesday at 6:30, and we will then, as a result of the unanimous consent request I am about to make, vote—revote—on the issue at hand on Wednesday at the first of business.

VACATING VOTE ON H.J. RES. 28,
FURTHER ADDITIONAL CONTINUING
APPROPRIATIONS ACT,
2019

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to vacate proceedings by which the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 28) making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2019, and for other purposes, was passed, and the motion to reconsider laid upon the table, to the end that the Chair put the question on passage of H.J. Res. 28 de novo; and, further, that if a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered—in other words, requested—on the question of passage of H.J. Res. 28, further proceedings may be postponed through the legislative day of January 23, 2019, as though under clause 8 of rule XX.

Again, Mr. Speaker, that will have the effect of allowing a revote on the resolution so that my Republican colleagues can reflect their view on whether that measure ought to pass or whether it ought to fail.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I first want to thank the gentleman from Maryland for working with us, and I especially want to thank the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. BUTTERFIELD) for his fairness.

Obviously, emotions ran high as the vote was moved through without a re-

corded vote. And for our Members who want that recorded vote, maybe on both sides that want a recorded vote, I made parliamentary inquiries to the Chair. I made motions as well. Some were in order, some were out of order. But every request I made of the Speaker pro tempore to provide that direction on what was in order for motions to make, the Speaker pro tempore was incredibly fair in those deliberations.

I want to thank the gentleman from North Carolina for that fairness. I am glad I never had to go before you as a judge in those days, but I respect your friendship and your fairness, as well as the fairness to the gentleman from Maryland.

I do recall a number of occasions when we were in the majority where there were times where maybe a Member wasn't recorded on a vote. They might have just gotten in as the vote was closed and wanted to have reconsideration, and, after conversation, it is always the right thing to do to make sure that these proceedings go in accordance with the requests of the Members to be recorded, whether we win or lose.

Maybe over the weekend I will have the opportunity to whip some more votes, and we might prevail, but at least to have that opportunity to vote.

Mr. HOYER. Don't say that too strongly, lest I withdraw the unanimous consent request.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I don't want to get too carried away, but I appreciate that we were able to resolve this. And, again, I know tensions got a little heated because these are important issues. We want to get a resolution to get the government back opened.

Obviously, we have some disagreement over the border security component of these negotiations, and we will keep these negotiations going forward and hopefully get a resolution as quickly as possible.

But, in the meantime, to be able to get that recorded vote on H.J. Res. 28 when we return and when all Members return, I think, is the right thing to do, and I commend the majority leader as well as the Speaker pro tempore for providing that opportunity.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, before I end, we have just been through a very difficult week in which one of our Members was reviewed and disapproval was passed almost unanimously, I think, with just one vote opposed to it, on our side.

I must mention: I don't want any argument or we don't need to debate on this, but, unfortunately, at a height, I know, of a lot of emotion, one of our Members, a respected Member of our caucus, TONY CÁRDENAS—who is, by the way, from California—was met with a call from the other side of the aisle to return to Puerto Rico.

We ought to all be cognizant of the fact that we need to be civil to one an-

other. We need to be polite to one another, even. We can disagree very strongly and, obviously, we do on issue to issue.

But we have been sent here by 750,000, give or take, of our fellow citizens, and I respect all of you for having been selected by 750,000 people. I may disagree with them on selecting the policies that you pursue, obviously—I understand that—but I would hope that we could refrain from any implications which have any undertones of prejudice or racism or any kind of “-ism” that would diminish the character and integrity of one of our fellow Members.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The reservation of objection is withdrawn.

Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the question is on the passage of the joint resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

□ 1400

REOPEN GOVERNMENT

(Mr. CÁRDENAS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CÁRDENAS. Madam Speaker, I am very proud and so blessed to have been born in the greatest Nation on Earth, the United States of America, and I am a proud, proud American citizen, a proud husband, father, son, and grandfather.

I come to you today in the midst of the longest shutdown in the history of this country. I am disgusted that the issue here isn't about protecting veterans or Americans' healthcare or education. Instead, it is about the demand for Trump's wall.

Last week, I voted to end the shutdown. The bill passed this House, and it sits in the United States Senate. Last year, the Senate unanimously passed basically the same funding bill, so what is the difference now?

President Trump has taken over the United States Senate, and now he wants to punish the American people by attacking our national security, our safety, and the lives of the men and women who serve our great country, expecting them to work without a paycheck.

Trump's shutdown is hurting the Coast Guard, that, right now, is protecting our national security. No pay for their families. They are relying on food banks to feed their families.

The Trump shutdown is literally endangering the lives of workers like