In his realizing he is hurting the public and hurting the economy and in the underlining of the fact that his Presidency has far too much chaos and too little order, direction, and certainty, the President has had to make a proposal to try to shake things up. It is not a good-faith proposal. It is not intended to end the shutdown. The President's proposal is one-sided, harshly partisan, and has been made in bad faith

The President single-handedly canceled DACA and TPS protections. He did it himself, on his own, a while back. Now the offering of some temporary protections in exchange for the wall is not a compromise—it is more hostage-taking. When the President says: "I will give you DACA and TPS partially"—even though he created the problem on his own—"in exchange for the wall," it is like bargaining for stolen goods. The President didn't offer the DACA protections in good faith. The President's team sold the DACA protections as the BRIDGE Act—a temporary fix originally proposed by Senators Durbin and Graham. It turns out the actual legislation is even more limited than the BRIDGE Act and would barely restore the protections that President Trump himself took a.wa.v.

The New York Times reported that Stephen Miller, the architect of the President's harshest policies on illegal immigration, intervened to narrow the DACA proposal as much possible. When Stephen Miller is crafting the policy, you can be darned sure it is not a compromise.

Worst of all, we found out this morning that the legislation includes incredibly partisan changes to our asylum system so as to make it nearly impossible for migrants to claim asylum at our border. This is a dramatic change in what America has been all about—a dramatic turning around from what America has always had as its symbol—the Statue of Liberty. The asylum changes are a poison pill, if there ever were one, and show a lack of good faith that the President and now Leader McConnell have in trying to make a proposal.

The President and his team have tried to spin this proposal as a reasonable compromise with there being concessions to the Democrats. That defies credulity. Nothing could be further from the truth. There were no serious negotiations with the Democratic leaders or any Democrat to produce this proposal. Let me say that again. There were no serious negotiations with the Democratic leaders or any Democrat to produce this proposal. The President didn't ask what the Democrats needed in a bill to achieve our support. He simply laid his proposal down on the table and proclaimed it a compromise.

You can't have a compromise when one side declares: This is what we want, and this is what you want. You can't have a compromise when one side is determining not only what it wants in the bill but what we want in the bill without even seriously negotiating with us. That is not how negotiating works. That is not the "art of the deal." What we have here is just another one-sided, partisan proposal from the President.

Contrary to the President's claims, it hardly represents a softening of his position. If anything, it is even more radical. First, President Trump said: Give me the wall or I will shut down the government. Then President Trump said: Unless you give me the wall, I will keep the government shut down. Now President Trump is saying: Give me the wall, and make radical changes to legal immigration or I will shut the government down.

No one can call this new effort a compromise. The President's proposal is just wrapping paper on the same partisan package and hostage-taking tactics. When you take off the wrapping paper, it is the same partisan, narrow, unacceptable package that cannot pass the House and that cannot pass the Senate.

So far, there is only one piece of legislation that has a chance of arriving at the President's desk, and that is for the Senate to take up and pass any of the appropriations bills that have already been passed by the House. These bills are noncontroversial, and there are no surprises or poison pill riders. In essence, what is in those bills has been supported by Republicans already, and each of them would reopen the government and allow us to continue our discussions on border security. The sooner Leader McConnell allows a vote on those bills, the sooner we can end this pointless shutdown and reopen the gov-

President Trump and Leader McCon-NELL, the American people and 800,000 workers are asking and waiting for you to act.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## TANF EXTENSION ACT OF 2019

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 430, which was received from the House.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 430) to extend the program of block grants to States for temporary assistance for needy families and related programs through June 30, 2019.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. If there is no further debate, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 430) was passed.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

STRENGTHENING AMERICA'S SECURITY IN THE MIDDLE EAST ACT OF 2019—MOTION TO PROCEED—Continued

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BOOZMAN). Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—H.J. RES. 1

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 6, H.J. Res. 1, making further continuing appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security. I further ask that the joint resolution be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. McCONNELL. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

Mr. KAINE. Thank you.

STRENGTHENING AMERICA'S SE-CURITY IN THE MIDDLE EAST ACT OF 2019—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia is recognized.

## GOVERNMENT FUNDING

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, I rise to discuss the continuing effects of the shutdown of part of the government on American workers and the American public.

I want to begin by discussing the effect of the shutdown on the Coast Guard. Following that, I will talk about a visit that I actually just made to a restaurant at 7th and Pennsylvania Avenues that was opened for the