House of Representatives

The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Espaillat).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, February 5, 2019.

I hereby appoint the Honorable Adriano Espaillat to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 3, 2019, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties. All time shall be equally allocated between the parties, and in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m. Each Member, other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip, shall be limited to 5 minutes.

ABORTION AND INFANTICIDE ARE NOT WOMEN’S HEALTHCARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) for 5 minutes.

Ms. FOXX of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, abortion and infanticide are not examples of women’s healthcare.

Abortion advocates used to say they wanted abortion to be safe, legal, and rare. Today, they want abortion to be free, casual, and for newborns.

It is distressing to see the new levels of disregard they hold for new human lives and how their language has fostered such numbness to the moral evil of abortion that there is now space in society to openly promote infanticide.

The Reproductive Healthcare Act, RHA, law being celebrated by pro-abortion activists in New York and Virginia’s Repeal Act bill, which is supported by pro-abortion activists, legislators, and Governor Northam, are horrifying indicators of that attitude and the spreading culture of death.

This time, pro-abortionists have gone farther than ever before, by their own admission. Their actions fly in the face of the limits of abortion that most Americans support and lay bare the extreme agenda of the pro-abortion movement. Not only are they bent on the systematic removal of any protections for the unborn that exist in law, now they admit that they support infanticide.

The sponsor of Virginia’s bill said that a baby could be “aborted,” i.e., murdered, as he or she is being born. This should be a moment for reflection on the reality of the atrocities being committed in the name of so-called women’s healthcare.

These extreme and disgusting advances by abortion advocates expose the reality of our culture’s view of human dignity writ large. Society can’t allow these actions to go unchallenged and still believe that the culture supports human dignity.

With their language, abortion advocates have been successful at dehumanizing the unborn and devaluing human life itself. The Repeal Act would further ensure that the life of a child remains unseen by repealing ultrasound requirements and the State’s 24-hour waiting period before an abortion. It is as though society’s “ignorance is bliss” mentality is a requisite for abortion advocates’ agenda to strip unborn life of protection.

Thanks to the tragic decision of Roe v. Wade, abortion can be performed in the U.S. in all 9 months of pregnancy for any reason related to a mother’s health. The RHA preserves and expands Roe v. Wade’s guarantees of access to abortion.

In New York, an abortionist no longer need be a licensed physician, and if an abortion is not successful and the child is born alive, the law has an absolutely barbaric provision that ensures the child still dies.

The RHA repeals section 4164 of New York’s public health law. That section required abortions after 12 weeks of pregnancy be performed in a hospital and that abortions performed after 20 weeks have a separate physician ready to provide medical care for any infant born alive during the procedure.

This repealed section also protected children born after a botched abortion and required records to be kept of the infant’s medical treatment. Without section 4164, infants who survive in an attempt to kill them in the womb have no legal right to life and could be denied the care they need to live.

It is clear that the value of life has eroded in our culture. Without a society that questions “what are the rights of the unborn?” New York and Virginia legislators can use their power to eliminate the right to life and promote the idea that life is dispensable, even in the case where a child is viable and the mother’s life is not in danger.

Nothing warrants the evil of infanticide, yet calling abortion healthcare has become a widespread and acceptable justification for a woman to choose abortion over life. Meanwhile, supporting unborn life has come to mean being against women’s choices.

As a woman and proud pro-lifer, I reject this deceptive language and the false narrative it perpetuates. I also encourage proponents of the New York and Virginia legislation to ask whether these policies reflect a society where women are truly valued or where unwanted babies are indefensible.
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Finally, in a culture where aborted babies are collateral damage in so-called women's healthcare, we should all fear that, following unborn children and newborns, there will soon be new targets for disposal.

**PROTECT THE INNOCENT CHILDREN**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MARSHALL. Mr. Speaker, as an obstetrician, I have delivered thousands of babies and helped moms through pregnancies for 25 years.

I stand before the House today disgusted by the murderous, barbarous legislation pushed by Democrats in New York legalizing abortions for any reason, all the way until the due date of the baby. This extremist antilife abortion measure is literally nauseating to me.

How sad it is that we live in a time when extremists are legalizing the murder of innocent, defenseless children. The legalization of these late-term procedures that amount to infanticide are the worst thing I have heard in my entire professional lifetime.

I call on my obstetricians and other physicians who deliver babies. I call on the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and all physicians as-sociations to openly denounce and refuse these murderous procedures. Legal or not, this is morally reprehensible.

As a father, a grandfather, a physi-cian, and a human being who loves people, I cannot sit here in good con-science while this is going on, regard-less of it being on a State level. I will do everything in my power to combat this position from spreading and protect these innocent children.

**THE IMPACT OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION ON FAMILIES**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. LA MALFA) for 5 minutes.

Mr. LA MALFA. Mr. Speaker, yet another instance of illegal immigration impacting real families has occurred in the western States, Nevada and California.

I thank President Trump and First Lady Melania Trump for honoring three people from my district tonight as guests for the State of the Union Address.

Sadly, Debra Bissell, Heather Arm-strong, and Madison Armstrong will be here as guests because of the tragedy that happened in their life. They are the daughter, granddaughter, and great-granddaughter of Gerald and Sharon David, who were murdered in their home in Reno, Nevada, just this last month.

The murderer? An illegal immigrant from El Salvador who had been living in Carson City for over a year. No mo-
tive has been released, but, then, does the motive really matter to this fam-
ily, to yet another loss of life due to il-legal immigration and the siege we have of a border in this country?

But Gerald and Sharon were two of four people who were known to me. I will not mention, shot dead in their homes over the course of a week. The other two victims were Connie Koontz and Sophia Renken, from Reno, Ne-vada.

These brutal murders will have a tremen-dous impact on the families of each of these victims, including Debra, Heather, and Madison, whom we will meet tonight.

When we talk about border security, when the President speaks about it to-night, these three faces should serve as a reminder of the real-life con-sequences of our open border policy that this country seems to have.

This is not just a talking point or po-litical fodder to be tossed around. Real people are being hurt—the ones left behind—lives are being ruined, as well as the lives being taken, time after time, because the Congress can’t get its act together to get a bipartisan solution for border security, for a bor-der for the people, a border for the children.

It doesn’t matter if you want to call it a wall, a fence, a physical barrier, or anything else. It is long past time to do something about the crime, violence, drugs, and human trafficking.

The human trafficking, we don’t talk about that enough, what is really hap-pening to the people who are being brought across who do want to come seek something better in America but are subject to the coyotes who take ad-vantage of them, extracting dollars from them and unspeakable things from the women whom they bring across the border and the kids. It is long past time to do something about all those subjects that are currently moving fairly freely in our country.

For Debra, Heather, and Madison from Redding, California, they repres-ent three generations in their fam-ily that has been devastated by the sta-tus quo of our border situation.

I am glad that President Trump is shining a bright, unmistakable light on this issue and the urgency with which we must address it. Every single day we do nothing is another day that another family is being potentially harmed in some way by the inaction and inability on both sides of the aisle to come together to get something ef-fective done.

I wish it wasn’t under these cir-cumstances that Debra, Heather, and Madison will have to be here tonight. Their presence must be immense. But to-night, their story will be front and cen-ter for all America to see. It is cer-tainly no consolation, nothing to as-
Today, I am truly honored to be joined at the State of the Union by his siblings. Mr. Speaker, John Lee has already helped to shape our understanding of what must be done to make healthcare a human right for all Americans. May his legacy be that we have finally done it.

HONORING DICK TRAMMEL
(Mr. WOMACK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. WOMACK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the retirement of a pillar of my community, an exemplary businessman, dedicated public servant, dear friend, and an all-around great American, Dick Trammel of Rogers, Arkansas.

Most know him as Mr. Northwest Arkansas. No one I know of has loved, served, and promoted northwest Arkansas more than Dick Trammel. A native of Pocahontas, Arkansas, Dick was recruited to the northwest part of our State by Walmart founder, Sam Walton. Since moving to the area in 1975, he has been the area’s most avid cheerleader. Cheerleading came natural for Dick, who was a University of Arkansas cheerleader back in the 1950s.

Nothing of importance in our area has happened in the last 40-plus years that doesn’t have Dick Trammel’s fingerprints on it. He was the only man to lead the Arkansas State Highway Commission for 4 years. He has been a mainstay in Rotary International, higher education, programs serving our youth, Mercy Hospital Northwest Arkansas, and dozens of other charitable causes.

Dickey Ray, congratulations on a terrific career and a life of serving your fellow man.

HONORING THE MEMORY OF JOHN LEE
(Mr. MALINOWSKI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MALINOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to honor the memory of John Lee, a resident of New Jersey’s Seventh Congressional District who passed away on January 25 after waging a courageous battle with cancer.

Mr. Lee immigrated to America in 1987 and worked day and night to build a better life for himself and his family. In 2000, he became a citizen, and like many immigrants, he understood the meaning of civic duty and the importance of a single person’s vote better than many of us whose families have been here for generations.

So when he was faced with a cancer diagnosis, his first thought was not to sink into despair. He simply asked: “What's next?”

As his diagnosis progressed, Mr. Lee began to understand the pressing need for legislation to protect people with preexisting conditions, and the question of, “What’s next?” was answered.

He spent his remaining time fighting to help make our healthcare system more just and to make America, as he put it, a Nation of us, not of me.
Without those men and women in uniform who defend this Nation every day, this Nation cannot exist and because of them, we will always be the greatest Nation on the face of the Earth.

God bless you.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:


Hon. Nancy Pelosi, Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on February 5, 2019, at 9:28 a.m.:

That the Senate agreed to without amendment H. Con. Res. 9.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,

Karen L. Haas.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair announces the Speaker's appointment, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 3009, and the order of the House of January 3, 2019, of the following Member on the part of the House to the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe.

Mr. Hastings, Florida, Chair

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. After consultation among the Speaker and the majority and minority leaders, and with their consent, the Chair announces that, when the two Houses meet tonight in joint session to hear the President of the United States, only the doors immediately opposite the Speaker and those immediately to his left and right will be open.

No one will be allowed on the floor of the House who does not have the privilege of the floor of the House. Due to the large attendance that is anticipated, the rule regarding the privilege of the floor must be strictly enforced. Children of Members will not be permitted on the floor. The cooperation of all Members is requested.

The practice of purporting to reserve seats prior to the joint session by placement of placards or personal items will not be allowed. Chamber Security may remove these items from the seats. Members may reserve their seats only by physical presence following the security sweep of the Chamber.

All Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in still photography or audio or video recording in the Chamber. Taking unofficial photographs detracts from the dignity of the proceedings and presents security and privacy challenges for the House.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 8:35 p.m. for the purpose of receiving in joint session the President of the United States.

Accordingly (at 2 o’clock and 11 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

2035

JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS PURSUANT TO HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 9 TO RECEIVE A MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker at 8 o'clock and 35 minutes p.m.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms, Ms. Kathleen Joyce, announced the Vice President and Members of the U.S. Senate, who entered the Hall of the House of Representatives, the Vice President taking the chair at the right of the Speaker, and the Members of the Senate the seats reserved for them.

The SPEAKER. The joint session will come to order.

The Chair appoints as members of the committee on the part of the House to escort the President of the United States into the Chamber:

The gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER);

The gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN);

The gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. LUJAN);

The gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES);

The gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. CLARK);

The gentlewoman from Illinois (Mrs. BUSTOS);

The gentlewoman from California (Ms. HILL);

The gentleman from California (Mr. McCARTHY);

The gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE);

The gentleman from Wyoming (Ms. CHENEY);

The gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. EMMER);

The gentleman from Alabama (Mr. PALMER);

The gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER); and

The gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SMITH).

The Vice President, the President of the Senate, the Vice President of the United States, and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms announced the Cabinet of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

The Chair appoints as members of the committee on the part of the House to escort the President of the United States into the Chamber:

The gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. BUSTOS);

The gentlewoman from California (Ms. HILL);

The gentleman from California (Mr. McCARTHY);

The gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE);

The gentleman from Wyoming (Ms. CHENEY);

ThegentlemanfromMinnesota(Mr. EMMER);

ThegentlemanfromAlabama(Mr.PALMER);

ThegentlemanfromNorthCarolina(Mr.WALKER); and

ThegentlemanfromMissouri(Mr.SMITH).

The Vice President, the President of the Senate, the Vice President of the United States, and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms, announced the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, His Excellency Hersey Kyota, the Ambassador of the Republic of Palau.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms, announced the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, His Excellency Hersey Kyota, the Ambassador of the Republic of Palau.

The Dean of the Diplomatic Corps entered the Hall of the House of Representatives and took the seat reserved for him.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms announced the First Lady of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms announced the Cabinet of the President of the United States.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms announced the Cabinet of the President of the United States.

Without those men and women in uniform who defend this Nation every day, this Nation cannot exist and because of them, we will always be the greatest Nation on the face of the Earth.

God bless you.
Tonight, I ask you to choose greatness. Over the last 2 years, my administration has moved with urgency and historic speed to confront problems neglected by leaders of both parties over many decades. In just over 2 years since the election, we have launched an unprecedented economic boom, a boom that has rarely been seen before. There has been nothing like it. We have created 5.3 million new jobs and, importantly, added 600,000 new manufacturing jobs—something which almost everyone said was impossible to do, but, the fact is, we are just getting started.

Wages are rising at the fastest pace in decades and growing for blue-collar workers, who I promised to fight for. They are growing faster than anyone else thought possible.

Nearly 5 million Americans have been lifted off food stamps. The U.S. economy is growing almost twice as fast today as the average in advanced countries and we are considered far and away the hottest economy anywhere in the world—not even close.

Unemployment has reached the lowest rate in over half a century. African American unemployment, and Asian American unemployment have all reached their lowest levels ever recorded. Unemployment for Americans with disabilities has also reached an all-time low. More people are working now than at any time in the history of our country—157 million people at work.

We passed a massive tax cut for working families and doubled the child tax credit.

We virtually ended the estate tax, or death tax, as it is often called, on small businesses, for ranches, and also for family farms.

We eliminated the very unpopular Obamacare individual mandate penalty. And to give critically ill patients access to lifesaving cures, we passed, very importantly, right to try.

My administration has cut more regulations in a short period of time than any other administration during its entire tenure. Companies are coming back to our country in large numbers thanks to our historic reductions in taxes and regulations.

And we have unleashed a revolution in American energy. The United States is now the number one producer of oil and natural gas anywhere in the world. And now, for the first time in 65 years, we are a net exporter of energy.

After 24 months of rapid progress, our economy is the envy of the world; our military is the most powerful on Earth, by far; and America—America—is again winning each and every day. Members of Congress, the state of our Union is strong.

That sounds so good.

Our country is vibrant and our economy is thriving like never before.

On Friday, it was announced that we added another 304,000 jobs last month alone, almost double the number expected. An economic miracle is taking place in the United States, and the only thing that can stop it are foolish wars, politics, or ridiculous partisan investigations.

If there is going to be peace and legislation, there cannot be war and investigation. It just doesn’t work that way. We must be united at home to defeat our adversaries abroad.

This new era of cooperation can start with finally confirming the more than 300 highly qualified nominees who are still stuck in the Senate, in some cases, years and years, waiting—not right.

The Senate has failed to act on these nominations, which is unfair to the nominees and very unfair to our country. Now is the time for bipartisanship. Believe it or not, we have already proven that that is possible.

In the last Congress, both parties came together to pass unprecedented legislation to confront the opioid crisis, a sweeping new farm bill, historic VA reforms; and after four decades of rejection, we passed VA Accountability so that we can finally terminate those who mistreat our wonderful veterans.

And just weeks ago, both parties united for groundbreaking criminal justice reform. They said it couldn’t be done.

Last year, I heard, through friends, the story of Alice Johnson. I was deeply moved. In 1997, Alice was sentenced to life in prison as a first-time non-violent drug offender. Over the next 22 years, she became a prison minister, inspiring others to choose a better path. She had a big impact on that prison population—and far beyond.

Alice’s story underscores the disparities and unfairness that can exist in criminal sentencing and the need to remedy that total injustice. She served almost that 22 years and had expected to be in prison for the remainder of her life.

In June, I commuted Alice’s sentence. When I saw Alice’s beautiful family greet her at the prison gates, hugging and kissing and crying and laughing, I knew I did something right. Alice is with us tonight, and she is a terrific woman, terrific.

Alice, please.

Alice, thank you for reminding us that we always have the power to shape our own destiny. Thank you very much, Alice. Thank you very much.

Inspired by stories like Alice’s, my administration worked closely with members of both parties to sign the First Step Act into law. Big deal. It is a big deal.

This legislation reformed sentencing laws that have wrongly and disproportionately harmed the African American community. The First Step Act gives nonviolent offenders the chance to reenter society as productive, law-abiding citizens. Now, States across the country are following our lead.

America is a nation that believes in redemption.

We are also joined tonight by Matthew Charles from Tennessee. In 1996,
at the age of 30, Matthew was sentenced to 35 years for selling drugs and related offenses. Over the next two decades, he completed more than 30 Bible studies, became a law clerk, and mentored many of his fellow inmates. Now, Matthew is the very first person to be released from prison under the First Step Act.

Matthew, please. Thank you, Matthew. Welcome home.

Now, Republicans and Democrats must join forces to confront an urgent national crisis. Congress has 10 days left to pass a bill that will fund our government, protect our homeland, and secure our very dangerous southern border.

Now is the time for Congress to show the world that America is committed to ending illegal immigration and putting the ruthless coyotes, cartels, drug dealers, and human traffickers out of business.

As we speak, large, organized caravans on the march to the United States. We have just heard that Mexican cities, in order to remove the illegal immigrants from their communities, are getting trucks and buses to bring them up to our country in areas where there is little border protection. I have ordered another 3,750 troops to our southern border to prepare for this tremendous onslaught.

This is a moral issue. The lawless state of our southern border is a threat to the safety, security, and financial well-being of all America. We have a moral duty to create an immigration system that protects the lives and jobs of our citizens. This includes our obligation to the millions of immigrants living here today who followed the rules and respected our laws. Legal immigrants enrich our Nation and strengthen our society in countless ways. I want people to come into our country in the largest numbers ever, but they have to come in legally.

Tonight, I am asking you to defend our very dangerous southern border out of love and devotion to our fellow citizens and to our country.

No issue better illustrates the divide between America’s working class and America’s political class than illegal immigration. Wealthy politicians and donors push for open borders while living their lives behind walls and gates and guards. Meanwhile, working-class Americans are left to pay the price for millions of aliens, including those charged or convicted of crimes, 30,000 sex crimes, and 4,000 killings or murders.

We are joined tonight by one of those brave ICE officers who made 266,000 arrests of criminal aliens, including those charged or convicted of crimes. There were over 100,000 arrests of criminals.

Thank you, Elvin.

Thank you, Elvin.
blame China for taking advantage of us. I blame our leaders and representatives for allowing this travesty to happen.

I have great respect for President Xi, and we are now working on a new trade deal with China, but it must include real structural change to end unfair trade practices, reduce our chronic trade deficit, and protect American jobs.

Thank you.

Another historic trade blunder was the catastrophe known as NAFTA. I have met the men and women of Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Indiana, New Hampshire, and many other states whose dreams were shattered by the signing of NAFTA.

For years, politicians promised them they would renegotiate for a better deal, but no one ever tried until now.

Our new U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement—the USMCA—will replace NAFTA and deliver for American workers, like they haven’t had delivered to for a long time.

I hope you can pass the USMCA into law so that we can bring back our manufacturing jobs in even greater numbers, expand American agriculture, protect intellectual property, and ensure that more cars are proudly stamped with our four beautiful words: Made in the USA.

Tonight, I am also asking you to pass the United States Reciprocal Trade Act, so that if another country places an unfair tariff on an American product, we can charge them the exact same tariff on the exact same product that they sell to us.

Both parties should be able to unite for a great rebuilding of America’s crumbling infrastructure.

I know that Congress is eager to pass an infrastructure bill, and I am eager to work with you on legislation to deliver new and important infrastructure investment, including investments in the cutting-edge industries of the future. This is not an option. This is a necessity.

The next major priority for me, and for all of us, should be to lower the cost of healthcare and prescription drugs and to protect patients with pre-existing conditions.

Already, as a result of my administration’s efforts, in 2018, drug prices experienced their single largest decline in 46 years. But we must do more. It is unacceptable that Americans pay vastly more than people in other countries for the exact same drugs, often made in the exact same place. This is wrong; this is unfair; and, together, we will stop it, and we will stop it fast.

I am asking Congress to pass legislation that takes on the problem of global freelancing and delivers fairness and price transparency for American patients—finally.

We should also require drug companies, insurance companies, and hospitals to disclose real prices to foster competition and bring costs way down.

No force in history has done more to advance the human condition than American freedom. In recent years, we have made remarkable progress in the fight against HIV and AIDS. Scientific breakthroughs have brought a once-distant dream within reach.

My budget will ask Democrats and Republicans to make the needed commitment to end the HIV epidemic in the United States within 10 years. We have made incredible strides—incredible. Together, we will defeat AIDS in America and beyond.

Tonight, I am also asking you to join me in another fight that all Americans can get behind: The fight against childhood cancer.

Joining Melania in the gallery this evening is a very brave 10-year old girl, Grace Eline.

Hi, Grace.

Every birthday since she was 4, Grace asked her friends to donate to St. Jude Children’s Hospital. She did not know that one day she might be a patient herself. That is what happened.

Last year, she was diagnosed with brain cancer. Immediately, she began radiation treatment. At the same time, she rallied her community and raised more than $40,000 for the fight against cancer.

When Grace completed treatment last fall, her doctors and nurses cheered—they loved her; they still love her—with tears in their eyes as she hung up a poster that read: “Last day of chemo.”

Thank you very much, Grace. You are a great inspiration to everyone in this room. Thank you very much.

Many childhood cancers have not seen new therapies in decades. My budget will ask Congress for $500 million over the next 10 years to fund this critical life-saving research.

To help support working parents, the time has come to pass school choice for America’s children. I am also proud to be the first President to include in my budget plan a paid family leave, so that every new parent has the chance to bond with their newborn child.

There could be no greater contrast to the beautiful image of a mother holding her infant child than the chilling display our Nation saw in recent days. Lawmakers in New York cheered with delight upon the passage of legislation that would allow a baby to be ripped from the mother’s womb moments before birth. This is America; babies who will never get the chance to share their love and their dreams with the world. And then, we had the case of the Governor of Virginia where he stated he would execute a baby after birth.

To defend the dignity of every person, I am asking Congress to pass legislation to prohibit the late-term abortion of children who can feel pain in the mother’s womb.

Let us work together to build a culture that cherishes innocent life. And let us reaffirm a fundamental truth: All children, born and unborn, are made in the holy image of God.
not discredited theories that have failed for decades to yield progress. For this reason, my administration recognized the true capital of Israel and proudly opened the American Embassy in Jerusalem.

Our brave troops have now been fighting in the Middle East for almost 19 years. In Afghanistan and Iraq, nearly 7,000 American heroes have given their country, and 32,000 Americans have been badly wounded. We have spent more than $7 trillion in fighting wars in the Middle East.

As a candidate for President, I loudly pledged peace. Great nations do not fight endless wars. When I took office, ISIS controlled more than 20,000 square miles in Iraq and Syria—just 2 years ago. Today, we have liberated virtually all of the territory from the grip of these blood-thirsty monsters.

Now, as we work with our allies to destroy the remnants of ISIS, it is time to give our brave warriors in Syria a warm welcome home. I have also accelerated our negotiations to reach, if possible, a political settlement in Afghanistan. The opposing side is also very happy to be negotiating. Our troops have fought with unmatched valor, and thanks to their bravery, we are now able to pursue a possible political solution to this long and bloody conflict.

In Afghanistan, my administration is holding constructive talks with a number of Afghan groups, including the Taliban. As the progress in the negotiations, we will be able to reduce our troop presence and focus on counterterrorism. We will indeed focus on counterterrorism. We do not know whether we will achieve an agreement, but we do know that after two decades of war, the hour has come to at least try for peace, and the other side would like to do the same thing. It is time.

And foe alike must never doubt this Nation's power and will to defend our people. Eighteen years ago, violent terrorists attacked the USS Cole, and last month, American forces killed one of the leaders of that attack. We are honored to be joined tonight by Tom Wibberley, whose son, Navy Seaman Craig Wibberley, was one of the 17 sailors we tragically lost.

Tom, we vow to always remember the heroes of the USS Cole.

My administration has acted decisively to confront the world's leading state sponsor of terror: the radical regime in Iran. It is a radical regime. They do bad, bad things.

To ensure this corrupt dictatorship never acquires nuclear weapons, I withdrew the United States from the disastrous Iran nuclear deal. And last fall, we put in place the toughest sanctions ever imposed by us on a country.

We will not avert our eyes from a regime that chants "death to America" and threatens genocide against the Jewish people. We must never ignore the vile poison of anti-Semitism or those who spread its venom need. With one voice, we must confront this hatred anywhere and everywhere it occurs.

Just months ago, 11 Jewish Americans were viciously murdered in an anti-Semitic attack on the Tree of Life synagogue in Pittsburgh. SWAT officer Timothy Matson raced into the gunfire and was shot seven times chasing down the killers. Unbreakable. Tim- othy has just had his 12th surgery. He is going in for many more, but he made the trip to be here with us tonight.

Officer Matson, thank you. We are forever grateful. Thank you very much.

Tonight, we are also joined by Pitts- burgh survivor Judah Samet. He ar- rived at the synagogue as the massacre began. But not only did Judah narrowly escape, but the last fall, more than seven decades ago he narrowly survived the Nazi concentration camps. Today is Judah's 81st birthday.

They wouldn't do that for me, Judah. Judah says he can still remember the exact moment, nearly 75 years ago, after 10 months in a concentration camp, when he and his family were put on a train and told they were going to another camp. Suddenly, the train screeched to a very strong halt. A soldier appeared. Judah's family braced for the absolute worst. Then his father cried out with joy: "It's the Americans. It's the Americans."

Thank you.

A second Holocaust survivor who is here tonight, Joshua Kaufman, was a prisoner at Dachau. He remembers watching through a hole in the wall of a cattle car as American soldiers rolled in with tanks.

"To me," Joshua recalls, "the American soldiers were proof that God ex- ists, and they came down from the sky." They came down from heaven. I began this evening by honoring three soldiers who fought on D-day in the Second World War. One of them was Herman Zeitchik, but there is more to Herman's story. A year after he stormed the beaches of Normandy, Herman was one of the American sol- diers who helped liberate Dachau. He is one of three soldiers who helped rescue Joshua from that hell on Earth. Almost 75 years later, Herman and Joshua are both together in the gallery tonight, seated side by side, here in the home of American freedom. Herman and Joshua, your presence this evening is very much appreciated. Thank you very much. Thank you.

When American soldiers set out be- neath the dark skies over the English Channel in the early hours of D-day 1944, they were just young men of 18 and 19, hurtling on fragile landing craft toward the most momentous battle in the history of war. They did not know if they would survive the hour. They did not know if they would grow old.

But they knew that America had to prevail. Their cause was this Nation and generation yet unborn. Why did they do it? They did it for America. They did it for us. Everything that has come since—our triumph over communism, our giant leaps of science and discovery, our unrivaled progress toward equality and justice—all of it is possible thanks to the blood and tears and courage and vision of the Ameri- cans who came before.

Think of this Capitol. Think of this very Chamber, where lawmakers before you voted to end slavery, to build the railroads and the highways and defeat fascism, to secure civil rights and to face down evil empires. We must choose whether we are defined by our differences or whether we dare to transcend them. We must choose whether we squander our great inheritance or whether we proudly declare that we are Americans. We do the impossible. We conquer the unknown.

This is the time to reanimate the American imagination. This is the time to search for the tallest summit and set our sights on the brightest star. This is the time to rekindle the bonds of love and loyalty and memory that link us together as citizens, as neighbors, as patriots.

This is our future—our fate—and our choice to make. I am asking you to choose greatness.

No matter the trials we face, no matter the challenges to come, we must go forward together.

We must keep America first in our hearts. We must keep freedom alive in our souls. And we must always keep faith in America and in the American nation, under God, must be the hope and the promise and the light and the glory among all the nations of the world.

Thank you. God bless you, and God bless America. Thank you very much.

(Applause, the Members rising.)

At 10 o'clock and 34 minutes p.m., the President of the United States, accompanied by the committee of escort, retired from the Hall of the House of Representatives.

The Assistant to the Sergeant at Arms escorted the invited guests from the Chamber in the following order:

The members of the President's Cabinet; the Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court; the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps.

JOINT SESSION DISSOLVED

The SPEAKER. The Chair declares the joint session of the two Houses now dissolved.
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Accordingly, at 10 o’clock and 35 minutes p.m., the joint session of the two Houses was dissolved.

The Members of the Senate retired to their Chamber.

MESSAGE OF THE PRESIDENT REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE ON THE STATE OF THE UNION

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I move that the message of the President be referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union and ordered printed.

The motion was agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 10 o’clock and 36 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, February 6, 2019, at 10 a.m., for morning-hour debate.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. WAGNER (for herself, Mr. BARTN, Mr. BACON, Mr. BANKS, Mr. BOST, Mr. COLLINS of New York, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. DAVIDSON of Ohio, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. EMER, Mr. GILLINS, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. HUZENGA, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania, Mr. LAMBORN, Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia, Mr. MULLIN, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. DAVID P. ROE of Tennessee, Mr. STEWART, Mr. WALKER, Mrs. WALTORSKI, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. WITTMAN, Mr. LATTMA, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. GREEN of Tennessee, Mr. GIANFORTE, Mr. ROY, Mr. BARR, Mr. WALBERG, Mr. CHEN, Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. FOXX of North Carolina, Mr. ABRAM, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. STAUER, Mr. FERGUSON, Mr. SMUCKER, Mr. HICKS of Georgia, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. SCALISE, Mr. MCCARTHY, Mr. JORDAN, Mr. RATCHFILIP, and Mr. TIMMONS):

H.R. 962. A bill to amend the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 to modify the exemptions from certain disclosure requirements; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mrs. WAGNER (for herself, Mr. BARNJ, Mr. BACON, Mr. BANKS, Mr. BOST, Mr. COLLINS of New York, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. DAVIDSON of Ohio, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. EMER, Mr. GILLINS, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. HUZENGA, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania, Mr. LAMBORN, Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia, Mr. MULLIN, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. DAVID P. ROE of Tennessee, Mr. STEWART, Mr. WALKER, Mrs. WALTORSKI, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. WITTMAN, Mr. LATTMA, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. GREEN of Tennessee, Mr. GIANFORTE, Mr. ROY, Mr. BARR, Mr. WALBERG, Mr. CHEN, Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. FOXX of North Carolina, Mr. ABRAM, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. STAUER, Mr. FERGUSON, Mr. SMUCKER, Mr. HICKS of Georgia, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. SCALISE, Mr. MCCARTHY, Mr. JORDAN, Mr. RATCHFILIP, and Mr. TIMMONS):

H.R. 962. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit the use of Federal funds to build a wall along the southern border, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BARR (for himself, Mr. HILL of Texas, Mr. STIVERS, Mr. TIPPTON, Mr. LOURDE, Mr. HUZENGA, Mr. ZELDEN, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. POSEY, Mr. DUNN, Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. EMMER, Mr. DUFFY, Mr. ROUZIER, Mr. DAVIDSON of Florida, Mr. MCDANIEL, Mr. BUD, Mr. WALBERG, Mr. ABRAM, Mr. KELLY of Mississippi, Mr. BARNJ, Mr. DAVID P. ROE of Tennessee, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. JOHN W. ROSE of Tennessee, Mr. LAMALPA, Mr. GALAGHCH, and Mr. HIC of Georgia):

H.R. 968. A bill to amend the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 to bring the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection into the regular appropriations process, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. BROWN of Maryland (for himself, Mr. TRONE, and Mr. RASKIN):

H.R. 970. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to develop a plan for the removal of the monument to Robert E. Lee at the Antietam National Battlefield, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts:

H.R. 971. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit the possession of a firearm by, or the disposition of a firearm to, a person who has been convicted of a misdemeanor crime of animal cruelty; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CLYBURN (for himself and Mr. CUMMINGS):

H.R. 972. A bill to redesignate the Reconstruction Era National Monument as the Reconstruction Era National Historical Park, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mrs. DINGELL (for herself, Mr. KATRO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Ms. CASTRO of Florida, Mr. ROGERS of Washington, Ms. ES Marco, Ms. STEFAN, Ms. ROYBAL-ALDR, Mr. CARDEN, Mr. WILSON of Florida, Miss GOM, Mr. MCKINLEY of Puerto Rico, and Mr. MARSHALL):

H.R. 973. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for additional programs funded by grants to strengthen the healthcare system’s response to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. GUTTHEIMER (for himself and Mr. LUCAS):

H.R. 974. A bill to amend the Federal Reserve Act to require the Vice Chairman for Supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to provide a written report, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. KILMER (for himself and Mr. HAY):

H.R. 975. A bill to direct the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to enter into appropriate arrangements with the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine to provide for a report on the health impacts of air traffic noise and pollution, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. MATSUI (for herself, Ms. ES Marco, Ms. DEGHTT, Mr. DUSH, Mr. CHARKOW, Mr. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. SARKAN, Mr. McNEODY, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LUJAN, Mr. TONKO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. LOHRBACH, Mr. CARDEN, Mr. RIZE, Mr. PETERS, Ms. BARRAGA, Mr. SOTO, Ms. BLUNT ROCHER, and Mr. KENDY):

H.R. 977. A bill to require the Corps of Engineers to notify affected communities before constructing water bodies with cyanobacteria from flood risk management projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. MATSUI (for herself, Ms. ES Marco, Ms. DEGHTT, Mr. DUSH, Mr. CHARKOW, Mr. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. SARKAN, Mr. McNEODY, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LUJAN, Mr. TONKO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. LOHRBACH, Mr. CARDEN, Mr. RIZE, Mr. PETERS, Ms. BARRAGA, Mr. SOTO, Ms. BLUNT ROCHER, and Mr. KENDY):

H.R. 977. A bill to require the Corps of Engineers to notify affected communities before constructing water bodies with cyanobacteria from flood risk management projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. GUTTHEIMER (for himself and Mr. LUCAS):

H.R. 974. A bill to amend the Federal Reserve Act to require the Vice Chairman for Supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to provide a written report, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. KILMER (for himself and Mr. HAY):

H.R. 975. A bill to direct the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to enter into appropriate arrangements with the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine to provide for a report on the health impacts of air traffic noise and pollution, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. MATSUI (for herself, Ms. ES Marco, Ms. DEGHTT, Mr. DUSH, Mr. CHARKOW, Mr. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. SARKAN, Mr. McNEODY, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LUJAN, Mr. TONKO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. LOHRBACH, Mr. CARDEN, Mr. RIZE, Mr. PETERS, Ms. BARRAGA, Mr. SOTO, Ms. BLUNT ROCHER, and Mr. KENDY):

H.R. 977. A bill to require the Corps of Engineers to notify affected communities before constructing water bodies with cyanobacteria from flood risk management projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. MATSUI (for herself, Ms. ES Marco, Ms. DEGHTT, Mr. DUSH, Mr. CHARKOW, Mr. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. SARKAN, Mr. McNEODY, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LUJAN, Mr. TONKO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. LOHRBACH, Mr. CARDEN, Mr. RIZE, Mr. PETERS, Ms. BARRAGA, Mr. SOTO, Ms. BLUNT ROCHER, and Mr. KENDY):

H.R. 977. A bill to require the Corps of Engineers to notify affected communities before constructing water bodies with cyanobacteria from flood risk management projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.
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who voluntarily joined the Canadian and British armed forces and their supporting entities during World War Two, in recognition of their dedicated service; to the Committee on House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, for the consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. SANCHEZ (for herself and Mr. DAVID P. ROX of Tennessee):

H.R. 982. A bill to avoid duplicative annual reporting under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform; and, in addition to the Committee on House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. SCHNEIDER (for himself, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. SUOZZI, Mr. WEBSTER of Florida, Mr. BEYER, and Mr. COOK):

H.R. 983. A bill to amend the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 to provide leave because of the death of a son or daughter; to the Committee on Education and Labor; and, in addition to the Committees on Oversight and Reform, and House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. TRAHAN (for herself and Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire):

H.R. 984. A bill to amend the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to designate segments of the Nashua, Squannacook, and Nissitissit Rivers as components of the Wild and Scenic Rivers System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. WELCH (for himself, Mr. MURTHY, Mr. THOMAS of Georgia, Mr. HINKEL, Mr. COYNE, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. GOODNOUGH, Mr. BOEHRINGER, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. BOWDEN, Mr. GIFFORD, Mr. CARP, Mr. BACH and Mr. COLLINS):

H.J. Res. 42. A joint resolution expressing support for designation of the week of February 4, 2019, through February 8, 2019, as "National School Counseling Week"; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. CLINE (for himself, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. ROGELMAN, and Mr. GRIFFITH):


By Mr. BANKS:

H. Res. 99. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that there should be a Navy Memorial in the United States and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Ms. KAPTRU (for herself, Mr. JOYCE of Ohio, Mr. FITZPATRICK, Ms. MOORE, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. L. HOOD, Ms. SCHRABSON, Mr. SHAFFER, Mr. PAPPAS, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Miss RICE of New York, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. RYAN, Mr. VEASEY, Ms. CLARK of New York, Mr. TURK, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Ms. NORTON, Ms. EMHO, Mr. WENGROWITZ, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. JOHN W. ROSE of Tennessee, Ms. FUDGE, Mrs. BRATTY, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. GHETS, Mr. LONG, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALSberger, Mr. ROYCE of New York, Mr. RONDEL of Illinois, Mr. MCGOVERN, and Mr. WALDEN):

H. Res. 100. A resolution recognizing the 100th anniversary of Easterseals, a leading advocate and service provider for children and adults with disabilities, veterans, older adults, caregivers, and their families; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MARSHALL:

H. Res. 101. A resolution honoring the 150th anniversary of the establishment of Lindboro, Kansas; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mrs. WAGNER:

H.R. 962. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: (1) section 5 of the 14th Amendment, including the power to enforce the prohibition on government action denying equal protection of the laws; and (2) section 8 of article I to make all laws necessary and proper for carrying into execution the powers vested by the Constitution of the United States, including the power to regulate commerce under clause 3 of such section.

By Ms. VELÁZQUEZ:

H.R. 963. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 The Congress shall have Power to . . . provide for the . . . general Welfare of the United States.

By Mr. CUMMINGS:

H.R. 964. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 The Congress shall have Power to . . . provide for the . . . general Welfare of the United States.

By Mr. CICILLINE:

H.R. 965. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: ARTICLE 1, SECTION 8.

By Mr. GARAMENDI:

H.R. 966. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 The Congress shall have Power to . . . provide for the . . . general Welfare of the United States.

By Mr. GIANFORTE:

H.R. 967. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Ms. MOORE:

H.R. 968. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: (Article I, Section 9, Clause 7: No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law; and a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public Money shall be published from time to time)

By Mr. BROWN of Maryland:

H.R. 970. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. 1, Sec. 8, Cl. 18)

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts:

H.R. 971. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mrs. DINGELL:

H.R. 972. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: the constitutional authority of Congress to enact this legislation is provided by Article I, section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. GOTTHEIMER:

H.R. 974. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution states that Congress shall have the power "to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes."

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution states the Congress shall have the power "to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof."

By Mr. KILMER:

H.R. 975. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, section 8, clauses 1 and 18, and Article IV, section 3, clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. LYNCH:

H.R. 976. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Under Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, Congress has the power "to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or any Department or Officer therefor."

By Mr. MAST:

H.R. 977. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: The Necessary and Proper Clause in Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Ms. MATSU:

H.R. 978.
H.R. 980. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power of Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Ms. SANCHEZ.

H.R. 982. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3

By Mr. SCHNEIDER.

H.R. 983. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Ms. TROHAN.

H.R. 985. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: The Congress shall have Power To...make...all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers.

By Ms. SANCHEZ.

H.Res. 42. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Art. I, Sec. 8

H.R. 339: Ms. KELLY of Illinois.
H.R. 367: Mr. BOST, Ms. SERRILL, Ms. SPANBERGER, Mr. LAMBORN, Ms. DEMING, and Mrs. AXNE.
H.R. 414: Mr. HASTINGS.
H.R. 427: Mr. MOOLENAAR.
H.R. 437: Mr. ALLEN.
H.R. 450: Mr. FITZHUGH, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. GILHOLMEY, Mr. POSEY, Mrs. AXNE, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. GAZT, Mr. DESJARLAIS, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia, Mr. JONES of New Hampshire, Mr. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, and Mr. CRUTZ.
H.R. 465: Ms. ROYAL-ALLARD.
H.R. 473: Ms. HAALAND.
H.R. 499: Mr. GOSAR.
H.R. 507: Ms. CLARK of New York.
H.R. 510: Mr. DUFFY, Mr. BUSCHON, Mr. MI-CHAEI, Mr. FITZHUGH, Ms. WATSON, Mr. WATSON, Mr. McGOVERN, Mr. RESCHTENHALER, Mr. KELLY of New York, Mrs. AXNE, and Mr. HASTINGS.
H.R. 511: Mr. CINEROS.
H.R. 532: Mr. SOTO, Mr. CINEROS, and Mr. McGovern.
H.R. 540: Mr. CASTEN of Illinois and Ms. SPEIER.

By Mr. QUIGLEY.

H.R. 553: Mr. FORSY, Mr. SOUZZI, Mr. AGUILAR, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Mr. HOLDING, Mr. RYAN, Mr. MCCINTOCK, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. RIGOLEMAN, Mr. WESTERMAN, Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. GUTHRIE, Mr. WALDEN, Mr. ESCH, Mr. VARGAS, Mr. KELLY of New York, Mr. GOSAR.
H.R. 554: Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas and Mr. LAMBORN.
H.R. 555: Mr. LAMBORN, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Ms. MCCLUM, Mr. SCHMITT, Mr. PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Mr. MEEKS, Mr. ROSE of New York, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. NIMES, and Ms. MOORE.
H.R. 565: Mr. ARRINGTON, Mr. DESJARLAIS, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. GOMERT, and Mr. GROTHMAN.
H.R. 567: Mr. KUSTOFF of Tennessee, Mr. PALLO, Mr. BARR, Mr. BYRNE, Mr. SMITH of Nebraska, Mr. GALLAGHER, Mr. GROTHMAN, Mr. SIERS, and Mr. DAVE POE of Ten- nessee.
H.R. 611: Mr. JORDAN, Mr. DAVID P. ROE of Tennessee, Mr. FORSTENBERGER, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Mr. GIBBS, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, and Mr. JOYCE of Penn- sylvania.
H.R. 613: Mr. BACON, Mr. TPOT, Mr. BYRNE, and Mr. MULLIN.
H.R. 628: Mr. LOUDERMILK.
H.R. 638: Mr. FLORES and Mr. ROOS.
H.R. 641: Mr. SCHLADER.
H.R. 642: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.
H.R. 647: Ms. ESPAILLAT and Ms. GABBARD.
H.R. 651: Ms. STEFANIK and Mr. CURTIS.
H.R. 657: Ms. KENDRA S. HORN of Oklahoma and Ms. HAALAND.
H.R. 663: Mr. HAALAND, Mr. BEYER, Mr. SOUZI, Mr. ROUDA, Mr. CLEAVES, and Mr. SIERS.
H.R. 678: Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire, Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. MCCULLIM, Mr. WILSON of Florida, Ms. KENDRA S. HORN of Oklahoma, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, and Mrs. TOWNS of California.
H.R. 692: Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. MCKINLEY, Mr. TPOT, Mr. UPTON, Mr. FITZHUGH, Mrs. WALTERS, Mr. AGODEI, Mr. GIANFORTE, Mr. WALBERG, Mr. KINZINGER, Mrs. WAGNER, Mr. CURTIS, Mr. GROTHMAN, Mr. LONG, Mr. BILLIKAI, Mr. SIMPSON, Brooks of Indiana, and Mr. KING of New York.
H.R. 714: Mr. BUSCHETT.
H.R. 724: Mr. GROTHMAN, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Ms. CLARK of New York, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Mr. MITCHELL, and Mr. HASTINGS.

By Mr. RYAN.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule X, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 8: Ms. FINKENAUER.
H.R. 9: Mr. BROOKS of Alabama and Mr. TIMMONS.
H.R. 40: Mr. SMITH of Washington.
H.R. 66: Ms. HAALAND.
H.R. 172: Mr. CLINE.
H.R. 125: Mr. QUIGLEY.

H.R. 276: Mr. KILMER.
H.R. 295: Mr. WEISER of Texas.
H.R. 309: Ms. ESHOO and Mr. SHERES.
H.R. 562: Ms. BUCHANAN, Ms. RESCHTENHALER, Mr. KELLY of New York, Mr. MEADOWS, and Ms. STEFANIK.
H.R. 956: Mr. Hice of Georgia, Mr. Kelly of Pennsylvania, and Mr. Flores.
H.R. 958: Ms. Sewell of Alabama and Mr. Gallego.
H.R. 959: Mr. Luetkemeyer and Mr. McGovern.
H.R. 960: Mr. Luetkemeyer and Mr. McGovern.
H.R. 961: Mr. Mast, Mr. Steube, and Mr. Johnson of Louisiana.
H.R. 962: Ms. Lofgren, Mr. Lawson of Florida, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Foster, Ms. Kapte, Mrs. Davis of California, Mr. Hastings, Mrs. Napolitano, Mr. Schrader, Ms. Clarke of New York, Ms. Tlaib, Mr. Pascrell, Mr. Sean Patrick Maloney of New York, Mr. Rush, Mr. Doggett, Mr. Scott of Virginia, Mr. Crist, Mr. Welch, and Mr. Pocan.
H.J. Res. 20: Mr. Mast, Mr. Steube, and Mr. Johnson of Louisiana.
H.J. Res. 21: Mr. Levine of California, Mr. Rush, Ms. Wilson of Florida, Mr. Raskin, and Ms. Eshoo.
H.J. Res. 35: Ms. Lofgren, Mr. Lawson of Florida, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Foster, Ms. Kapte, Mrs. Davis of California, Mr. Hastings, Mrs. Napolitano, Mr. Schrader, Ms. Clarke of New York, Ms. Tlaib, Mr. Pascrell, Mr. Sean Patrick Maloney of New York, Mr. Rush, Mr. Doggett, Mr. Scott of Virginia, Mr. Crist, Mr. Welch, and Mr. Pocan.
H.J. Res. 36: Mr. Levine of California, Mr. Rush, Ms. Wilson of Florida, Mr. Raskin, and Ms. Eshoo.
H.J. Res. 37: Mr. Levine of California, Mr. Rush, Ms. Wilson of Florida, Mr. Raskin, and Ms. Eshoo.
H. Res. 23: Ms. Vela, Ms. Herrera Beutler, Mr. Crow, Ms. Stefanik, and Mr. O’Halleran.
H. Res. 24: Ms. Vela, Ms. Herrera Beutler, Mr. Crow, Ms. Stefanik, and Mr. O’Halleran.
H. Res. 33: Mr. Schrader, Mrs. Lawrence, Mr. Raskin, Mr. Stanton, Mr. Heck, Mr. Himes, Ms. Stefanik, and Mr. Graves of Missouri.
H. Res. 34: Mr. Schrader, Mrs. Lawrence, Mr. Raskin, Mr. Stanton, Mr. Heck, Mr. Himes, Ms. Stefanik, and Mr. Graves of Missouri.
H. Res. 35: Ms. Lofgren, Mr. Lawson of Florida, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Foster, Ms. Kapte, Mrs. Davis of California, Mr. Hastings, Mrs. Napolitano, Mr. Schrader, Ms. Clarke of New York, Ms. Tlaib, Mr. Pascrell, Mr. Sean Patrick Maloney of New York, Mr. Rush, Mr. Doggett, Mr. Scott of Virginia, Mr. Crist, Mr. Welch, and Mr. Pocan.
H. Res. 36: Mr. Levine of California, Mr. Rush, Ms. Wilson of Florida, Mr. Raskin, and Ms. Eshoo.
H. Res. 37: Mr. Levine of California, Mr. Rush, Ms. Wilson of Florida, Mr. Raskin, and Ms. Eshoo.
H. Res. 38: Ms. Haaland.
H. Res. 39: Mr. Mast and Mr. Meuser.
H. Res. 40: Mr. O’Halleran.
H. Res. 41: Mr. Chabot.
H. Res. 42: Ms. Stefanik.
H. Res. 43: Mr. Reschenthaler.
H. Res. 44: Mr. Wilson of South Carolina.
H. Res. 45: Mr. Payne, Mr. Malinowski, Ms. Brownley of California, and Ms. Norton.
The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Our Lord and our God, as the waters fill the sea, fill our Nation with people who know and love You. Remind us that righteousness exalts a nation, but sin is a reproach to many people.

Today guide our lawmakers so that they will live for Your honor. Increase their faith, hope, and love, enabling them to become more effective instruments for Your glory. Lord, give comfort to all who seek You, for You have promised to supply all our needs from Your celestial bounty.

We pray in Your great Name. Amen.

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

The Presiding Officer (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH). The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, on another matter, tonight Congress will host President Trump for his second State of the Union Address. I am looking forward to attending and hearing the President reflect on the great strides our Nation has made over the past 2 years and his vision for the challenges that are still before us.

From historic tax reform and regulatory reform, to huge progress in the fight against ISIS, to landmark progress in the nationwide fight against opioid addiction, the story over the last 2 years has been one of immense policy progress for our country.

The American people are less interested in beltway melodrama and more interested in the classic question: Am I better off than I was 2 years ago? On this front, thanks to a few key Republican victories and a number of major bipartisan accomplishments, the state of the Union is strong and growing stronger.

It has now been more than 2 years since the American people hired President Trump and a Republican Congress to get Washington's foot off the brake of our economy. Since then, we got to work rebuilding the middle-class prosperity that had hollowed out communities across America under the Obama economy.

After 8 years of watching leftwing policies disproportionately benefit coastal cities and our Nation's largest metro areas while mostly leaving smaller cities, small towns, suburbs, and rural America behind, the American people wanted a change.

That is exactly what the last 2 years' policies have delivered. Historic tax reform delivered higher take-home pay and helped breathe life into the engine of American job creation and innovation. Landmark regulatory reform cut burdensome compliance costs for small businesses, reigned in Federal overreach on education policy, and defended Americans' rights of conscience.

So what are these policies doing for the American people? I think the answer is pretty clear. All those Americans who had been struggling to find work? Well, today a higher percentage of Americans are working than were ever working at any point under President Obama. For the first time in modern recorded history, we have reached and stayed at a level where there are more job openings nationwide than Americans looking for jobs.

Listen to these headlines: “Employers’ Hiring Push Brings Workers Off the Bench.” “Disability Applications Plunge as the Economy Strengthens.”

Listen to this, from one news article:

"The tighter labor market is delivering opportunities to a broad swath of workers who were disproportionately affected by the last recession. Unemployment has fallen sharply for blacks, Latinos, younger workers and those without a college education . . . (and) the deeper jobs pool has been particularly crucial for improving the economic outlook for disabled Americans."

These and many more Americans have been able to find work, but what about Americans who already had jobs but felt like their families were treading water and needed to get ahead?

Last year, the amount of money employers spent compensating American workers—those already working—grew...
at its fastest pace in more than 10 years. Thanks to tax reform, when many working families and small businesses file under the new Tax Code, they will see less of their money has been sent off to the IRS.

So we have more jobs to choose from, more wage growth, and higher take-home pay following tax cuts, but it is also worth looking at where this new prosperity is going.

The last administration’s leftwing policies unapologetically distorted the Nation’s largest—metropolitan areas. It turns out, policies dreamt up in places like New York and San Francisco worked pretty well in places like New York and San Francisco, but the rest of the country decided we could do better.

Today businesses on Main Street and family farms are dusting off their “Help Wanted” signs. Smaller cities and States like Indiana, Nebraska, and Ohio have so many job openings that some small businesses are offering sizeable cash bonuses to move there. Smaller communities and rural America recently outpaced the rest of the country in relative job creation. So it is an all-American comeback, and the kinds of liberal policies are offered to smaller communities are offered to the American taxpayer. A bipartisan movement that hampered military readiness and working closely with each other to do just that.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeds to name the Members present.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

STATE OF THE UNION

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, later this evening, in front of a joint session of Congress, President Trump will report on the state of our Union. As is tradition, the President will say the state of our Union is strong, but the American people know the truth. Unfortunately, it is not.

The American people know that the Trump economy is failing the middle class and those struggling to get there. In Trump’s economy, multinational corporations and the already-wealthy were given a tax cut, while American workers were left behind. The Federal Reserve reports that over 40 percent of Americans would have trouble covering an emergency of just $40. Forty percent of working Americans, middle-class Americans, are just one medical bill, one unexpected car accident, one missed paycheck away from financial uncertainty. The state of the Trump economy—failing the middle class.

The President will say that the state of our Union is strong, but the American people know that the state of the Trump healthcare system is failing the middle class.

The President will say that the state of our Union is strong, but the American people know that the state of the Trump administration is in chaos. No administration has had as much Cabinet turnover as the Trump administration in over a century. Key positions are unfilled or occupied by individuals in an acting capacity—the Chief of Staff, the Attorney General, the Defense Secretary, the Interior Secretary, the OMB Director, and the EPA Director. Of the 765 top positions in the government, in close to one-fifth, the President hasn’t even nominated somebody. The state of the Trump administration—chaos.

The President will say that the state of our Union is strong, but the state of the Trump foreign policy is woefully backward. It is inside out. Our allies are alienated and criticized. Our adversaries are emboldened and praised. Dictators and strongmen are given license by this administration, while our allies, policy—woefully backward.

The state of the Union is not strong. The state of the Trump economy—failing America’s middle class. The state of the Trump healthcare—failing American families. The state of the Trump foreign policy—woefully backward, inside out.

These are not the total extent of our Nation’s challenges, but even on these four metrics—the economy, healthcare, middle-class, foreign policy—the state of our Union is in need of drastic repair. Still, knowing this President and his penchant for hyperbole, he will probably say that the state of our Union is stronger than it has ever been before in our Nation’s long history, thanks to him. Knowing this President, he will rely on distortions and made-up facts to mislead the American people. The only question about the President’s State of the Union is, How often will he distort? How often will he make up lies? How often will he resort to fear and divisiveness? And if past States of the Union are prologue, he will do that far too many times.

Knowing this President, he will then make some bold new promises and not even make an attempt to fulfill them. The man has so little integrity that a promise he makes at the State of the Union means nothing the next morning. Allow me to mention just a few of the things the President said in previous State of the Union Addresses. Do you remember this one? In his first address to a joint session, President Trump said: “Education is the
civil rights issue of our time.” It has been 2 years, and we have heard almost nothing from the President about an education bill.

In the last State of the Union, just 1 year ago, the President said: “One of my goals is to reduce the price of prescription drugs. . . . Prices will come down.” But over the course of the last month, nearly 30 drugmakers have taken steps to raise the prices of their medicines.

In the last State of the Union, the President promised that “[manufacturing] plants will be opening up all over the country.” I don’t know about you, Mr. President, but I haven’t seen a re-manufacturing policy from the White House. Meanwhile, Nissan has announced hundreds of layoffs in Mississippi, and GM has announced the closure of 5 factories and the loss of 15,000 jobs.

In the last State of the Union, the President will protect American workers and American intellectual property through strong enforce- ment of our trade rules.” That is something I strongly agree with. Six months after the President said that, he decided to let a Chinese telecom giant, ZTE—company that violated multiple trade sanctions and put our Nation’s security at risk—off the hook and begin operating in the United States. Now, while some in the adminis-tration are pushing him to be tough on China, there are some who just want to sell out for a decrease in the trade deficit. That will not do the job the President always promised he would.

In the last State of the Union, the President said: “No regime has op- pressed its own citizens more totally or brutally than the cruel dictatorship in North Korea. . . . We are waging a campaign of maximum pressure to pre- vent that from happening.” After that, what happened? The President hosted a lavish, symbolic summit with Kim Jong Un and is already planning a sec- ond summit. North Korea has failed to dismantle its nuclear program—some reports say it is growing—and the United States is not engaging in a pres- sure campaign, maximum or otherwise.

President Trump called for a compromise immigration bill that dealt with Dreamers and border security. Congress produced one along the lines he proposed, and then he threatened to veto it.

In the last State of the Union, the President said “It is time to rebuild our crumbling infrastructure” and called for new legislation to spur in- vestment. He said: “Let’s support working families by supporting paid family leave.” He said: “We will con- tinue our fight until ISIS is defeated.” What are the facts? There has been no infrastructure bill, although he promised one in the previous State of the Union; no paid family leave proposal, although he promised one in the pre- vious State of the Union; and he is withdrawing from Syria even though he promised we would continue the fight until ISIS is defeated. And by all reports, including our own intelligence, it is not.

I could go on. The list of broken or empty promises is long. The gap be- tween the President’s rhetoric and re- ality is cavernous. Every President uses the State of the Union to set goals, but few have done it so cheaply and indifferently. Many of those prom- ises were discarded mere weeks after they were uttered.

Forgive me, but if we Democrats and the American people have real doubt about any promise the President makes, real doubt about his following through or really meaning it, how can we not? In previous State of the Union Addresses, he has thrown around prom- ises and not fulfilled them more than any other President I know.

Perhaps even emptier than his policy promises is the President’s calls for unity each year. It seems that every year the President wakes up and discovers the desire for unity on the morning of the State of the Union. Then the President spends the other 364 days misleading us and sowing a state of disunion, whether that is using public servants as polit- ical pawns, the President’s false equivalence after Charlottesville, his attacks on the Federal judiciary, the free press, and the rule of law, or his near-daily twitter provocations. The blatant hypocrisy of this President calling for unity is that he is one of the chief reasons Americans feel so divided now.

So it is logical to believe, based on his past speeches, that the President’s speech tonight will ignore the reality of his administration, the reality of our economy, the reality of our world, and instead weave a web of fiction. If past speeches are an indication, the President will be in his own bubble. Democrats are not focused on the President’s rhetoric; we are focused on fighting for workers in this unequal economy, fighting for American fami- lies struggling to afford healthcare, fighting to bring a measure of account- ability to this government, and fight- ing for a foreign policy that reflects both our interests and our values.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. THUNE. Madam President, last night, our colleague from Nebraska, Senator Ben Sasse, brought a bill to the floor to protect infants who are born alive during abortions.

The legislation is simple. In the words of the bill, it finds:

If an abortion results in the live birth of an infant, the infant is a legal person for all purposes under the laws of the United States and entitled to all the protections of such laws. Any infant born alive after an abortion . . . has the same claim to the protection of the law that would arise for any newborn.

In other words, any living, breathing baby outside of its mother’s body should be protected. That is a pretty basic standard of decency. One would assume that there is no human being alive who would object to such a bill— that even my colleagues across the aisle who don’t think that living, breathing unborn babies should be protected could get behind this bill—but you would be wrong because, last night, Senate Democrats objected to the consideration of this bill. They objected to the consideration of legisla- tion to protect babies who are born alive.

Let’s take a step back and remember why Senator Sasse brought this bill up in the first place.

Last week, the Democratic Governor of Virginia was asked about an extreme proposal from a Virginia Democrat to remove restrictions on late-term abor- tions. In his comments on the bill, the Virginia Governor had this to say:

If a mother is in labor, I can tell you exactly what will happen. The infant would be delivered. The infant would be kept comfort- able. The infant would be resuscitated if necessary. The infant would be kept com- fortable. The infant would be resuscitated if necessary. The infant would be kept com- fortable. The infant would be resuscitated if necessary. The infant would be kept com- fortable.

In other words, the Democratic Gov- ernor of Virginia not only endorsed
late-term abortions—abortions performed on babies old enough to survive outside of the mothers—they left open the door to infanticide. He left open the possibility of killing a baby born alive.

I would think those would be comments that my Democratic colleagues would be recolling from in horror. I would think they would be rushing to make it very clear that they absolutely do not support the killing of a baby born alive. Yet, yesterday, my Democratic colleagues declared that a bill that would do nothing more than declare that any infant who is born alive during an abortion is entitled to be protected—to be given the basic medical care that would be afforded any other baby.

Most Americans think there should be, at least, some limits on abortion. Most countries in the world think there should be some limits on abortion. Only China, North Korea, the United States, and two other countries allow elective abortions through all 9 months of pregnancy—not a list we ought to be on. At least some of my Democratic colleagues used to be a little bit more moderate on the issue of abortion. Safe, legal, and rare was their claim, which you always heard them say, but, yesterday, my Democratic colleagues made it very clear that they have decided to dispense with moderation and espouse the most radical and extreme position possible—not restrictions at all on abortion, ever, up to and, apparently, now after the moment of birth.

Chuck Colson, the founder of Prison Fellowship, once noted: “A government cannot be truly just without affirming the intrinsic value of human life.” I think it is fair to say that pretty much every great injustice in human history sprang from a failure to affirm the intrinsic value of every human life—from a decision that certain individuals’ rights were not equal to those of others or that their life or liberty could be sacrificed for the greater good.

Today, we unfortunately see another great injustice with the failure to affirm the value of the lives of the most vulnerable among us. I am horrified by my Democratic colleagues’ decision to object to legislation to protect babies born alive, and I will continue to fight to ensure that every single person has the right to life of every human being, born and unborn, is protected.

THE ECONOMY

Madam President, here are just some of the news stories we saw at the end of last week. This is from the Guardian: “U.S. jobs growth smashes expectations”; from CNBC: “Worker wage gains just broke 3% for the first time in more than 10 years”; from Fox Business: “U.S. employers added 304,000 jobs in January, marking 100th straight month of employment gains”; and from the Associated Press: “A robust job gain in January shows US economy’s durability.” The list goes on. Simply put, the U.S. economy is flourishing.

After years of stagnation under the Obama administration, the economy has come roaring back. Job creation is strong, and unemployment is low. January marked the 11th straight month that unemployment has been at or below 4 percent. That is the strongest streak in nearly 4 decades.

The economy grew at a robust 3.4 percent in the third quarter of 2018. In 2018, for the first time ever, the number of job openings outnumbered the number of jobseekers. The Department of Labor reports that for 9 straight months there have been more job openings than people looking for work.

Wage growth is accelerating. Wages have now been growing at a rate of 3 percent or greater for 6 straight months. The last time wage growth reached this level was in 2009. Median household income is at all-time inflation-adjusted record of $63,372.


The list goes on and on and on. My point, very simply, is that Republican economic policies are working.

When Republicans took office 2 years ago, the economy had been underperforming for years, and American families had been feeling the effects. We were determined to remove the obstacles that were holding the economy back, like burdensome regulations and an outdated Tax Code that was acting as a drag on economic growth. So, along with the President, we got right to work, lifting excessive regulations.

In December 2017, we passed a historic tax reform at the heart of our Tax Code. We cut taxes for American families, doubled the child tax credit, and nearly doubled the standard deduction. We lowered tax rates across the board for owners of small and medium-sized businesses, farms, and ranches. We lowered our Nation’s massive corporate tax rate, which previously was the highest corporate tax rate in the developed world. We expanded business owners’ ability to recover the cost of investment, including new business, which frees up cash that they can invest in their operations and in their workers. And we brought the U.S. international tax system into the 21st century so that American businesses are not operating at a competitive disadvantage next to their foreign counterparts.

Now we are seeing the effects: a thriving economy, good jobs, higher wages, and low unemployment.

So what is the Democratic response to all of this good economic news and economic growth? Is it to continue the policies that are creating prosperity for American families and look for ways for grow these policies to expand the economic benefits even further? No.

Democrats want to reverse the policies that are producing economic growth. They want to undo the tax cuts that are creating jobs and opportunities for American workers, and they want to increase—impose the tax burden of American families.

That is right. Democrats are currently advocating various proposals that would not only reverse the gains the economy has made but would severely damage economic growth for the long term. For example, there are the proposals to impose a government-run healthcare system, like the so-called Medicare for All plan, whose price tag is so staggeringly large—by one estimate, more than $32 trillion—that no one has even come close to figuring out how to pay for it. Doubling the amount of individual and corporate income tax collected would still not be enough to pay for the mammoth cost of this plan.

Think about that. All of the revenue collected—income tax on the individual side and on the corporate side, doubled—would still not be able to pay for this massive, massive plan.

The Democrats’ promise that if you like your healthcare plan, you can keep it will be broken again. More than 175 million Americans get their health care through their jobs. If there will be no more under this proposal, the Vermont Senator’s government-run Medicare for All plan, which many of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle have cosponsored, would eliminate private sector insurance for millions of families who like the coverage they currently have.

Then there is the so-called Green New Deal, which could raise families’ energy bills by more than $3,000 each year. When I travel in my State of South Dakota, I hear a lot about the high cost of living, how it is still difficult for families to make ends meet. The last thing my constituents in South Dakota or any hard-working family needs is to have their energy costs go up by more than $3,000 a year.

Then there are the plain old tax bills that some of the Democrats are proposing to raise the top marginal tax rate to 70 percent or higher. House Democrats are also proposing to substantially increase business tax rates.

Prior to the passage of the tax reform, America’s global companies faced the highest corporate tax rate in the developed world. That put American businesses at a serious disadvantage on the global stage, which, in turn, put American workers at a disadvantage.

Part of the economic growth that we are experiencing now—and all of the benefits we are seeing from the reform along with it are direct results of the lower corporate tax rate that we passed as part of the tax reform.
It is staggering—that Democrats can look at all of the benefits that come from lowering the corporate rate and all of the positive effects it is having on the economy and workers and then turn around and propose a tax rate that is twice as high.

In short, here is what our country would look like if the Democrats’ far-left agenda is forced upon the American people: Government-run Medicare for All would eliminate employer-sponsored insurance for 175 million people, increasing government spending by $32 trillion, jeopardize Medicare for today’s seniors, and raise taxes on just about everyone under the sun. The Green New Deal would increase energy costs by up to $3,000 or more per year for our families, and Democrats’ new taxes would slow economic growth, destroy jobs, and reduce economic opportunity for hard-working Americans.

Our economy is thriving, and that is bringing real benefits to American families and to American workers. We want to continue heading in the right direction with more policies to grow the economy, to reduce the cost of living, and to help Americans save for retirement. We will strongly oppose Democrats and progressive proposals that would undo the progress that our economy has made and to burden Americans with higher taxes, fewer jobs, and fewer opportunities.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Scott of Florida.) Without objection, it is so ordered.

STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, as the world knows by now, tonight President Trump will deliver his delayed State of the Union Message.

This is a tradition that, of course, dates back to the Constitution, but the Constitution is ambiguous or unclear or actually doesn’t say exactly how that annual message should be delivered.

We know that George Washington, for example, delivered his annual message in 1790 at the Federal Hall in New York, which was the temporary seat of the Federal Government at the time. Although John Adams delivered an in-person address, the tradition didn’t last very long. Our third President, Thomas Jefferson, chose to deliver the message in writing, a practice that lasted for nearly a century—that is, until President Woodrow Wilson chose to speak to the Congress in 1913.

According to the Washington Post, people at this breach of tradition, which maybe speaks to how hard change is in Washington, DC.

The paper wrote “All official Washington was agape last night over the decision of the President to go back to the long-abandoned custom.”

Coming face-to-face with Members of Congress was President Wilson’s attempt to bring about a closer intimacy between the Congress and the Chief Executive, and I applaud this time the tradition has stuck. I know there are those who disagree, and perhaps that has to do with the endless wave of standing ovations that Congress gives that punctuates the modern speech, which I could do with less of.

The State of the Union Message also serves another important purpose, and that is the President’s ability to speak not only to the Members of Congress but over the heads of Congress and directly to the American people. This address is carried live on every network and streamed across social media platforms. We are all waiting to hear what the President has to say, particularly during these polarized and unusual times. This is a great opportunity for the work that has been done in the last couple of years to help move our country in a positive direction. Unfortunately, given the propensity of the media to focus on conflict and not on accomplishment, this week in particular to bipartisanship efforts, most of the American people probably aren’t aware or need to be reminded of what has actually happened the last 2 years, and I hope the President will reflect on that.

I remember that during the Barack Obama administration, particularly after the great recession of 2008, we were told that 2 percent growth was the new normal, even though for the last previous 25 years that was definitely subnormal. Annual growth rates were more often in the 3.5 to 4 percent range.

Now we know that the American economy has gotten its groove back and people are optimistic and confident. They reacted in large part to the increase in take-home pay they see in their paychecks and the fact that many businesses, large and small, have chosen to reinvest in their people by raising wages, improving benefits, or providing a bonus.

I asked President Trump more about this tonight because this has been nothing less than a miracle. You can undoubtedly trace it back to the landmark tax reform bill we passed over a year ago.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act sought to solve a problem that had befuddled Congress for many years, whether they be Republicans or Democrats. The question was, How do we fix this outdated, archaic, and overly complicated Tax Code?

When President Trump signed this bill into law, it marked the first major overhaul of our Tax Code in 31 years. Our reforms lowered rates all across the board, doubled the child tax credit, and incentivized U.S. businesses with earnings abroad to bring that money back home and work here in America for the American people. We quickly saw a steady stream of headlines about businesses, big and small, announcing, bold and dedicated to create jobs, pay raises, new jobs, and other investments in their employees.

In the months that followed the law’s enactment, I have met with a number of employers throughout Texas to see how the new tax law has changed their way of doing business.

In Corpus Christi, for example, I visited with a seafood distributorship company called Groomers Seafood. They gave their employees a bonus and increased their wages after the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act became law.

In Houston, at Southland Hardware, a quaint neighborhood hardware store whose tagline is “the store that has ‘almost’ everything,” they were able to provide bonuses and a周年 holiday as well as hire a new employee and do improvements to their store.

In Austin, I visited with the owner of Wally’s Burger Express. He told me he is using the savings from tax reform to expand his business and create new jobs.

It is clear to me that businesses across the country have felt the same way as these owners of small businesses did in Texas, and it didn’t take long for Americans to begin to feel the benefit.

I have heard from countless of my constituents about the impact this legislation has had on their daily lives, and it is all for the better. One of them was a gentleman from Arlington, TX, named David. David wrote to me to say that the company where he is employed increased the hours people were able to work. Christmas bonuses increased, too, and the company hired more people, bought new machines, and made long-overdue repairs to their buildings. Now with these changes in place, David says the guys down on the shop floor are taking home a little bit more money each week in their paycheck.

I hope that we hear from President Trump’s speech tonight as these owners of small businesses did in Texas, and it didn’t take long for Americans to begin to feel the benefit.
rise, and unemployment has hit a 50-year low. I read the other day that because of tight labor conditions, people with disabilities are reentering the workforce, and we have seen the lowest level of Hispanic and African-American unemployment ever.

This revitalization of the economy has led to big benefits on Main Street and in the homes of average Americans. America has regained its status as an economic powerhouse once again, and we are going to keep these successes going.

So looking back on the last 2 years, there are countless accomplishments I am proud of, but certainly reforming the Tax Code for the first time in 31 years stands out near the top of the list.

I should also mention that we confirmed 85 Federal judges, including two incredibly qualified Supreme Court Justices. The critical farm bill we supported better career training and education for American workers.

Under this administration we have taken some positive bipartisan efforts, such as combating the opioid epidemic, which plagued nearly every community in the America. We supported pay raises for our military and authorized additional resources for their equipment and training. We promoted public safety with the passage of bills to stop or deter school violence. We have given farmers and ranchers their equipment and training. We supported better career training and education for American workers. And that is just the beginning.

This administration, in working with the Congress, has delivered real changes for the American people. I am ready to keep those changes and improvements moving forward, so I am eager to hear what the President has to say tonight on everything we have accomplished together and for him to outline his priorities for the coming year.

With the Democrats’ gaining control of the House, though, I expect the President to ask about the importance of working with a bipartisan Legislative branch. Speaker Pelosi has a fundamental choice: Is she going to work with the Republicans in the Senate and the President to do good things for the American people or is she simply going to go it alone and every day? I am focused on the positives we have been able to do, and I hope we can keep it going.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. RUBIO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CRUZ). Without objection, it is so ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be able to complete my remarks in the unlikely event I don’t finish them by 12:30.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I want to talk again for a few minutes about S. 1, which is sponsored by Senator RUBIO.

As I have cautioned the last few times I spoke on the subject, nothing I say is meant to be construed as a criticism of Senator Rубо. There are some really good things in this bill, as the Presiding Officer knows.

I forget the title of it. I think Senator RUBIO is calling it the Strengthening America’s Security in the Middle East Act. I like the fact that S. 1 reaffirms our commitment to protecting Israel. I think that is important. I think Israel is certainly our best friend in the Middle East and one of our best friends in the world. Some days I think Israel is our only friend in the world.

S. 1 is going to strengthen America’s bonds with Jordan, and I think that is very important. Jordan has been a key ally in combating terrorism and, frankly, a key ally in helping us deal with the humanitarian catastrophe as a result of Assad and his butchering of his own people in Syria.

S. 1 is going to combat a radical economic warfare campaign against our friend Israel. That is long overdue. S. 1 is also going to create new sanctions on the Government of Syria. I support all of those. I intend to vote for S. 1, despite the fact that, in my opinion, there is a great deficiency with S. 1.

I know Senator McConnell offered an amendment to reflect the will of the Senate with respect to whether America ought to get out of Syria. In his amendment, he suggested that we should not. I voted against Senator MCCONNELL’s amendment not because I think he is wrong but because I just don’t know if he is right. I don’t think most Members of Congress know what they would do if they had received such conflicting information, and I have asked Senator MCCONNELL to hold a briefing for us—a classified briefing in which we bring over people who think we should get out and people who think we shouldn’t get out, and let us hear the facts and the informed opinions of people who know better than we do. Frankly, I would like to see us do the same thing with respect to Afghanistan.

I share the President’s concern about nation-building. We have spent $6 trillion since 2001 in the Middle East. I share the President’s concern about mission creep. But, once again, just as I am not saying that Senator MCCONNELL is wrong; I just don’t know that he is right, and, quite frankly, I am saying the same thing to President Trump. I am not saying he is wrong about withdrawing from Syria; I am just saying I don’t know if he is right.

I do know this: President Trump is going to do what he thinks is best for America. The Senate can pass all of the bills and resolutions about the will of the Senate that it wants to, but if the President of the United States thinks it is best for the American people and the world to withdraw troops from Syria, then my experience is, by God, he is going to do it.

If he does it, one of my biggest concerns, which could have been addressed in this bill, is if we would have been allowed to offer amendments, supposedly as the world’s greatest deliberative body, we could have fixed this problem. The problem I am talking about is the Syrian Kurds.

Let’s just call it like it is. I mention that Israel has been a great ally as well as Jordan. This bill is being sold as, well, this is to protect our allies in the Middle East, in part. What about the Syrian Kurds?

I think there are 50 or 60 million Kurds throughout the world. They are mostly in Turkey, Iran, Iraq, and Syria. Why aren’t the Kurds allowed to self-determine?

Even if the Kurds didn’t believe in democracy—and I think they do; they believe in self-determination; they believe in sovereignty; they believe in democracy—and I think they do; they believe in self-determination—they want to be able to self-determine—the truth is, we wouldn’t have beaten back ISIS without the help of the Syrian Kurds.

I don’t want to get off into this discussion about whether or not ISIS is defeated. If you look at just the statistics, a couple of years ago, there were 100,000 ISIS jihadists. There are not 100,000 now. There are less than 5,000. A couple of years ago, ISIS had its own caliphate. They just went in there and carved out their own country. They don’t have it anymore.

So you can debate whether we defeated them. I am not sure we will ever
completely defeat the jihadists, whether they call themselves ISIS or al-Qaeda or what. But I know this much: We could not have beaten back ISIS without the help of the Syrian Kurds.

A lot of our other friends said: Yeah, you go get them. You go fight. We will be glad to hold your coat while you fight. That was not the Syrian Kurds. They got in there with us.

The Syrian Kurds have enemies in this world. I am not making any accusations or disparaging comments about our friends in Turkey. But President Erdogan has been very vocal about how he feels about the Kurds, including, but not limited to, the Syrian Kurds. I worry about them if we leave.

I had an amendment that didn’t require—it didn’t require—anybody to do anything. It just said: Mr. President, if we leave Syria, let us give the authority to keep our friends, our allies, the Syrian Kurds, from being butchered, from being opened up like a soft peanut.

America’s foreign policy has never been just about interests; it has been about values. America’s foreign policy has always had a moral component. Part of the moral component in our foreign policy is that we don’t leave our friends behind. That is what we are potentially doing with this bill.

It could have been easily fixed. It could have been fixed if the Senate had been allowed to be the U.S. Senate. I don’t hate anyone. I love and respect all of my colleagues, and I mean that—even the jurists and everybody in their own way, especially in this body, and I have gotten to know all of them, and I am so proud to be a Member. But I have gotten to know all of them, and I am so proud to be a Member. But it does bother me sometimes; it seems we are kind of like—it is almost Orwellian. We are all equal, but some of us are more equal than others, and I think that irks the American people. I think that has been able to offer amendments, we could have fixed that problem with the Syrian Kurds.

I hope I don’t have to come back and say told you so. I hope after we leave Syria—and I think the President is going to leave Syria—I hope the Syrian Kurds are just fine. I hope they are just fine. But if they are not, I hope we will not look back and say that we had a chance to protect our friends and do the right thing, but we didn’t do it.

I yield the floor.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:33 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mrs. CAPITOLI).

DESIGNATING THE OUTSTATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS IN NORTH OGDEN, UTAH, AS THE MAJOR BRENT TAYLOR VET CENTER OUTSTATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah.

Mr. LEE. Madam President, on November 3, 2018, this country lost a true American hero: MAJ Brent Taylor of Ogdcn, UT, who gave the ultimate sacrifice while deployed in Afghanistan.

As Utah’s mayor, Major Taylor died as he lived: going above and beyond the call of duty to his country, to his State, and to his family.

Major Taylor, who is pictured here with his family, began his military service in 2003, following the attacks of September 11. He joined the Army National Guard just 3 days after becoming engaged to his wife Jennie.

During his time in the National Guard, Major Taylor distinguished himself in multiple military capacities, including intelligence and military police. In 2006, he received a commission as a second lieutenant from the Brigham Young University ROTC, while graduating as a member of the National Society of Collegiates. Major Taylor was continuously ready to take up the call to arms and deployed four times on missions to Iraq and to Afghanistan. He held a variety of roles in those deployments, including platoon leader, combat adviser, and chief of staff to the Special Operations Advisory Group.

Throughout his distinguished tours of service, he also received several awards for courage and for leadership, including a Bronze Star in honor of his ability to calmly and safely lead those he was assigned to lead through multiple miles of treacherous territory and a Purple Heart for the wounds he received during an explosives attack on his vehicle.

His love of his country and his State was also very evident, perhaps most evident beyond the circumstance in which he wore the uniform. Major Taylor gave his time and his energy to his community, serving tirelessly as a member of the North Ogden City Council, from 2010 to 2013, and then as the mayor of North Ogden, after being elected to that post in 2013. He was known for being a hands-on leader and someone who was attentive to and constantly loved by every member of his community.

After being reelected as the mayor of North Ogden in 2017, Major Taylor took a leave of absence from the mayor’s office and headed back to the battlefield, deploying once again to Afghanistan. When he announced his leave of absence to the people of North Ogden, he told them he felt called to serve his country and that “service is what leadership is all about.”

Major Taylor faithfully served his church and his family too. He had a deep love of God and of his church. He was a devoted husband to Jennie and a loving father to their seven children, pictured here: Megan, Lincoln, Alex, Jacob, Ellie, Jonathan, and Caroline.

Following his tragic passing, in an attack on November 3 of this last year, Major Abdul Rahmani, an Afghan pilot with whom Major Taylor worked, sent a letter to Major Taylor’s wife Jennie, describing the great impact Major Taylor had on his life. He said: “Your husband taught me to love my wife [Hamida] as an equal and treat my children as treasured gifts, to be a better leader, to be a better husband, and to be a better man.”

Further, he said: Major Taylor “died on our soil, but he died for the success of freedom and democracy in both our countries.”

In every aspect of his life, Major Taylor was a shining example of patriotism, of sacrifice, and of service. It is only right that we honor his extraordinary life. To that end, it would only be a fitting tribute to rename the Ogden Veterans Center in Utah as the Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation.

Today Jennie Taylor is joining Congressman Rob Bishop as his honored guest for the State of the Union Address tonight, to honor Major Taylor’s life and to honor his great legacy—the legacy he leaves behind to his family, to his community, and to all who knew him and served with him. I urge my colleagues to pass legislation commemorating that.

Mr. LEE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs be discharged from further consideration of S. 49 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 49) to designate the outstation of the Department of Veterans Affairs in North Ogden, Utah, as the Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Thereupon, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LEE, Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 49) was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed, as follows:

S. 49

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Major Brent Taylor began his military service following the attacks of September 11, 2001. He joined the Army National Guard in 2003, three days after his engagement to
his wife, Jennie. Five of his brothers would eventually serve in the Armed Forces following the deadly attacks.

(2) During his time in the Army National Guard, Major Taylor distinguished himself in multiple specialties, including Intelligence and Military Police. One of his earliest assignments included analyzing documents in the support of the Defense Intelligence Agency. He also led document exploitation efforts in multiple European and South American languages for a variety of intelligence community customers. Major Taylor also managed a team that assessed security vulnerabilities at high-profile facilities across the United States, all while maintaining a successful private sector career in Utah.

(4) Major Taylor was continuously ready to take up a call to arms from the United States and deployed four times in support of operations in Afghanistan. He deployed duties varied from Platoon Leader and Combat Advisor to Chief of Staff to the Special Operations Advisory Group, responsible for leading a joint task force advising and assisting an elite Afghan special operations unit.

(5) Throughout his deployments, Major Taylor distinguished himself on several occasions, earning a multitude of awards including the Bronze Star. The citation credits the ability of Major Taylor to think calmly and decisively to keep his subordinates safe while traversing 600,000 miles of roads in Iraq, laden with improvised explosive devices (commonly referred to as "IED") and ripe for ambush.

(6) During one particularly harrowing mission, Major Taylor’s vehicle was struck by an IED. Although he survived the attack, the wounds he received earned him the Purple Heart.

(7) Major Taylor’s amazing record of service was recognized in 2010, when he served as a member of the North Ogden City Council and, in 2013, Major Taylor was elected mayor. His steadfast leadership led to the city being named "Police Friendly" by the Governor of Utah, and as one of the safest, freest cities in the United States by several organizations. His initiatives included investments to public works and infrastructure, attracting businesses to the area, developing a local community center, and increasing transparency. His action led his constituents to reelect Major Taylor in 2017.

(8) In 2018, Major Taylor placed himself on a leave of absence from his mayoral duties in order to deploy to Afghanistan, explaining to his constituents, “Service is what leadership is all about.”

(9) While serving in Afghanistan, a dear colleague, Afghan Lieutenant Kefayatullah, was killed shortly before the Afghan elections. Major Taylor wrote, “The strong turnout at that election, despite the attacks and challenges, was a testament to the long-suffering people of Afghanistan, and for the cause of human freedom. I am proud of the brave Afghan and U.S. soldiers I serve with. Many Afghan and Afghans have died to make moments like this election possible.” He also extolled the American public to embrace its civic duty, stating, “The back home exercises their precious right to vote. And that whether the Republicans or Democrats win, that we all remember that we have far more as Americans that unites us than divides us.”

(10) Tragically, on Saturday, November 3, 2018, Major Taylor was killed in an attack in Afghanistan. He was survived by his wife, Jennie, and his seven children, Megan, Lincoln, Alex, Jacob, Ellie, Jonathan, and Caro-line.

(11) The impression that Major Taylor left was indelible. An Afghan officer who had served with Major Taylor penned a letter to his wife, stating, “Your husband taught me to love my wife Hamida as an equal and to treat my children as treasured gifts, to be a better father, to be a better husband, and to be a better man.” That officer further commented that, “He died on our soil but he died for the success of freedom and democracy in both of our countries.”

(12) It is only well and fitting that, as a tribute to the amazing life of Major Taylor, Congress name a facility in honor of Major Taylor’s shining example of service and sacrifice.

SBC. 2. DESIGNATION OF MAJOR BRENT TAYLOR VET OUTSTATION IN NORTH OGDEN, UTAH.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The outstation of the Department of Veterans Affairs located at 2357 North 400 East Washington Boulevard, North Ogden, Utah, shall after the date of enactment of this Act be known and referred to in subsection (a) shall be considered to be a reference to the Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation’

(b) REFERENCE.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be considered to be a reference to the Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

S.1. Mr. PAUL. Madam President, our country was founded upon the concept and in the midst of a great boycott. At the time, we were boycotting British goods and, most specifically, British tea. There is likely nothing more American than to protest, to dissent, and to boycott.

In fact, our Founding Fathers—many of them, including Sam Adams and the LePage’s Son 1773, dressed as Indians, and dumped 90,000 pounds of British tea into the harbor. Some of our Founding Fathers were actually involved with trying to smuggle and import Dutch tea to get around the rules and to get around having to be so dependent on England.

But this was a boycott. The sad thing today is that we will be debating whether or not to place limitations on the First Amendment right to boycott, and explain that the vast majority of this body disagrees with the concept of what the people are boycotting over.

I would argue that it doesn’t matter what the issue is. In fact, the First Amendment of to protect issues of speech and issues of boycott that you may disagree with.

I am not particularly enamored with—in fact, I don’t favor—the boycott of Israel. I think Israel has been a good ally. Yet the freedom of speech, the freedom of the press, the freedom to protest, and the freedom to boycott are fundamentally American. How can we give that up so easily? How can we just say: Oh, well, it is a good ally, and we don’t want anybody boycotting them. We are just going to amend the First Amendment because we don’t like this boycott.

Our Founding Fathers would roll over in their graves if they knew what we were doing today.

I stand today at Henry Clay’s desk. This desk has been passed down to the Senator from Kentucky ever since he lost the Senate in 1850. In 1899, before he arrived here, there was a boycott of British goods. In fact, there was an official embargo that Jefferson had put on when we were upset with what the British were doing on the high seas with confiscating our ships, and we decided to have an organized embargo, a boycott.

In Kentucky, Henry Clay was still in the State legislature, and he proposed a rule saying that the legislators themselves should hold a boycott of clothing. It was opposed by a guy named Humphrey Marshall, who was a cousin of the fourth Chief Justice John Marshall. They got into heated words, at which time Henry Clay, wearing his American homespun clothing, was confronted by Humphrey Marshall, who came in wearing what was described as garish English imports, and he called Henry Clay a demagogue for passing this legislation. Well, Henry Clay gave it right back and called him a liar, at which point the words accelerated, and they were about to come to blows when a massive 6-foot-6-inch German-American legislator jumped in between them and stopped the fight from ensuing on the floor.

Henry cooled down. Henry Clay decided to apologize. He apologized to the body and gives his apology, and Marshall said, in a week or two, elected Henry Clay to the House. It is the apology of a poltroon,” which is an old-fashioned word for coward.

Things didn’t get much better after that, and Henry Clay challenged him to a duel, which was a duel about clothing, was illegal—to have a duel in Kentucky. So they went across the river in Louisville and fought a duel, at which time Henry Clay was wounded in the thigh.

In those days, even though the laws were against dueling, you were often rewarded for dueling by getting a promotion. So the State legislature, within a week or two, elected Henry Clay to go to the U.S. Senate to represent them.

So not only are boycotts a big part of our history, but this particular boycott actually elevated Henry Clay to the U.S. Senate to become one of the most famous Senators in our history.

As for other famous boycotts, there was a boycott of the British in Montgomery in 1955 and 1956. The boycott went on for 382 days. It was set off, as you will recall, by Rosa Parks’ refusing to be seated in the back of the bus. But this boycott was about speech, and it was about law, and it was about justice.

Now, people would say: Well, I agreed with that boycott. That is OK. It is OK
to have good boycotts that I agree with, but it is not OK to have boycotts I disagree with.

I will make this argument. If today this body votes to encourage this idea that legislatively we should penalize people who boycott, I will make this argument that—guess what—if you can penalize boycotts you disagree with, you may well find some day that people are penalizing boycotts that you agree with. If you have the power to disallow boycotts you don’t like, you are now granting the government the power to ban boycotts that you may well like.

The thing is this: Should the majority get to decide, well, that is a good boycott and that is a bad boycott, and you can say certain types of speech as long as I agree with you?

No, the freedom of speech—the First Amendment—is about allowing language you don’t like. It is about allowing boycotts you may not like.

If you go through our history, our history is replete with boycotts, from the Boston Tea Party to the boycott around the War of 1812 of British goods, to the bus boycott in Montgomery—boycott after boycott. It is a fundamental part of the First Amendment.

You don’t believe me? Listen to the Supreme Court. In NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware, Blacks were protesting a Whites-only store that wouldn’t allow service or allow sales to Blacks. They boycotted the store, and guess what. The Supreme Court said, 8 to 0, that you can boycott, particularly if your boycott is based on speech or it is based on a political viewpoint.

Now, while I don’t agree with people who want to boycott Israel, if you live in our country, or wherever you live, and you don’t like their policies, do you not have a right to boycott? Are we somehow going to take away your right to boycott because we disagree with what you are boycotting over?

I have a short list here of a few different things that we have boycotted over, and they range, interestingly, on both sides of the coin. Most recently, people on the left who don’t like President Trump have boycotted Ivanka Trump’s fashion brand.

A year or two ago, Christians boycotted Disney over what they considered to be liberal movies or movies they didn’t appreciate because of the families depicted.

In North Carolina, liberals boycotted the North Carolina transgender bathroom law.

People have boycotted Chick-fil-A because the CEO was opposed to same-sex marriage.

The Dixie Chicks criticized George W. Bush, and they were boycotted.

It doesn’t matter whether you agree with any of these boycotts. Boycotts are speech. How could we possibly boycott someone’s speech? But that is what is going on today.

About 20 States have passed these laws, and what we are now considering before our body is putting our imprimatur—our stamp of approval—which we are going to put on these States that are penalizing boycotts.

So who are some of the people that we are going to penalize?

In Texas, there is a speech pathologist who was working for the school system. She has been in this country 30 years. Her name is Bahia Amawal. She has been here 30 years. She is a U.S. citizen. She speaks three languages. She works with children with autism, disabilities, and speech impediments.

Her contract was not renewed because they told her she had to sign a pledge that she will not boycott Israel. She also had to sign a pledge that she would never do anything economically or refrain from any action—buying a product—with anyone who does business in Israel or does business in an area they call the Israeli-controlled territory.

There has been a dispute for 30 or 40 years over the West Bank, whether the Palestinians should have more autonomy, whether it should be a country, whether they should vote, whether they should not vote. This is a political debate.

This woman has an opinion that she doesn’t want to sign this pledge. She no longer works.

We heard on the floor from one of my colleagues yesterday. He said: Well, it is the government’s money. The government’s money shouldn’t be used to allow a boycott.

Well, if you are a teacher and you get a salary, is that still the government’s money? The government tells you is appropriate, the government pays you on what your political viewpoint is?

How could we possibly seek to limit your right to boycott? How could we possibly be in favor of that? How could we have such clouded judgment that this body, which has such historic importance, is going to vote to place a ban on freedom of speech? How could that possibly happen in our country?

This woman has been denied her job. It is her main job. She worked for the school district. She had a contract. Her contract has been denied because she refuses to sign a pledge saying she will not buy a product from somebody that she disagrees with politically.

How did we get here? How can we possibly even be considering such absurd limitations on the First Amendment?

This one is even worse. In Arkansas, the newspaper, the Arkansas Times, routinely takes ads. That is how newspapers make money. One of the groups that advertises with them is the State university. The State university will no longer advertise with this newspaper unless they fine them first or give them some kind of penalty. They will not advertise with them unless the newspaper signs a statement saying that they will not be critical of policies in Israel.

We could possibly say to a newspaper that you can’t do business with the State if you criticize a policy in Israel? How could that possibly be the American way?

The vast majority of the people here, like sheep, will fall all over themselves today to vote to try to limit your right to boycott.

People say you don’t have a right to a job, but what if you are with a State? What if you are a teacher and that is whom you have always worked for and you have worked for the government? Can we start placing rules because the government pays you on what your political viewpoint is?

Arkansas says: Well, the newspaper can do it, but they should have to pay a 20-percent penalty.

So if you have certain viewpoints or you refuse to bow down to the government and bow down to the opinion the government tells you is appropriate, we will let you work for the government but you get penalized 20 percent.

Imagine when this becomes another view, when this becomes some other issue you are interested in. Probably the most famous boycott in history other than the Montgomery bus boycott was the anti-apartheid boycott that ultimately led to a change of government and a change of policy. That wasn’t done with automatic weapons. That wasn’t done with tanks. That wasn’t done with planes and bombs. That was done by good old-fashioned protest, peaceful protest, by agreeing not to buy something.

Can you imagine the State is putting into place laws that punish you for not buying something, for refusing to buy something from someone? It is galling. Will this be declared unconstitutional? Nobody knows for sure other than the men and women of the Supreme Court, but in NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware, eight to zero they said it was unconstitutional to ban or limit any boycott if that boycott is about speech or political views. Well, clearly that is what this is about—political views.

In two of the States that have passed these laws—Kansas and Arizona—there have already been protests and court cases.

In Kansas, there is a woman by the name of Esther Koontz. She is a Monnomite, and she is a math teacher. She has been a math teacher for about a decade. She has a contract with the school system to teach other teachers about teaching math and science. She said she couldn’t in good conscience sign a pledge saying she would never boycott any group who originated out of Israel. She was fired or she couldn’t continue in the job she had been in for I think a decade. Her case went to court.
what. The court said it is unconstitutional. You cannot limit behavior. You cannot limit employment with the government based on one’s political views. So on the first challenge, it was struck down as unconstitutional.

We go to Arizona. For political reasons, Mikkel Jordahl boycotts consumer goods made in Israel. This is America. You don’t have to agree with what he is doing, but in America, you have the right to protest. You have the right to boycott. For 12 years, he has been providing legal services for the local county jail. They brought in his contract, and in his contract, they said: You have to pledge that you will no longer support any activities that agree with your political viewpoint that you don’t like Israel’s policy. He went to court. Guess what the court said. The law is unconstitutional.

These laws have gone to Federal court twice—once in Kansas and once in Arizona—and have been struck down. I won’t go through this August to what you are going to do? We are going to take it right up, and we are going to say: By golly, keep passing these unconstitutional laws in the States. We got your back. It has already been struck down twice by two Federal district courts. The Supreme Court has said that the concept of limiting boycotts is unconstitutional. The First Amendment says that Congress can’t pass a law limiting speech, and here, we are going to pass a law encouraging the limitation of speech.

One of the famous boycotts was obviously the Montgomery bus boycott. The anti-apartheid boycotts were famous as well, but even if you go further back in our history to the time of the Boston Tea Party and beyond—another boycott—you find that people were boycotting the slave trade. There were people boycotting buying sugar out of the Caribbean that they didn’t want to have any of that money going to supporting the slave trade.

I would argue that the right to boycott is about as fundamental a right as we have in America. It is a big part of the First Amendment. It is an important part of the First Amendment. It is a fundamental aspect of freedom to be able to dissent, to protest, even when everybody thinks you are wrong. That is what America is about—that you have the right to protest and the government will not squelch your speech.

How did we get to this point where flipantly today we are going to encourage States to put limitations on the First Amendment? I don’t know how we got here.

When we look at the First Amendment—and some will say: Well, you know, this is just the State government. We are just allowing States’ rights. Well, here is the thing about States’ rights: Ever since the civil rights era, we have decided that the Bill of Rights applies to the States. The 14th Amendment, going all the way back to the time of the Civil War, incorporated the 1st Amendment. Many of the boycotts have actually been in favor of civil rights. Just because this one is a boycott about something else that you may or may not support doesn’t mean we should place limitations on it.

If we begin to do this—it is a road that some may say is paved with good intentions—and if we started toward a time where speech will be regulated by our government, where the idea of dissent and the idea of protest will be judged on whether people think or the majority of the body thinks that the protest is in order, whether the majority of the body thinks you should be allowed to protest. How un-American. I can’t think of anything more un-American than trying to limit the ideas and actions of a boycott.

I remember when I was in college, the Southern Baptist Convention said they didn’t like pornographic magazines out in the open where kids could see them. They didn’t even ask the legislature for a law. They actually did better than asking the legislature; they simply marched out in front of all the convenience stores. They did it for about a month. Guess what. Convenience stores decided they didn’t like people protesting and everybody talking about them, so they shut the magazines behind the counter. That is the American way. Nobody forced them to do it; they did it under public protest and public pressure.

The idea that we want to pass a law today that says to the States: Oh, we like the First Amendment, but if we don’t like what they are saying and we don’t like what they are protesting, it is OK to punish these people. It is OK to say to the woman in Texas who feels strongly about this issue in Israel that she can’t be employed anymore by the school district because of her political views.

I can’t imagine that this is isn’t going to be struck down by the Supreme Court. In NAACP v. Claiborne Hardware, the Supreme Court was unanimous—eight to zero. How did we get here?

I would say that I hope my colleagues will listen to the debate and the argument on the First Amendment, but don’t hold your breath. You can see there is no one here other than me. They won’t listen. The hope is that the American people will listen and say: How did we vote to send people to Washington who are so careless with the Constitution that they are willing to vote to ban boycotting, that they are willing to vote for something that has already been struck down by two Federal district courts, something that has already been ruled on by the U.S. Supreme Court, and they are going to go ahead and vote anyway because they don’t like this particular boycott?
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I believe there are serious questions about how this bill will impact the First Amendment rights of individuals who contract with State or local governments in a professional capacity but choose to boycott Israel in their personal capacity. These questions are even more complicated when the individual in question is a sole proprietor, a member of a small firm, or is receiving a small State contract.

Many of these questions are the result of an approach in which State and local governments have designed and implemented disclosure requirements. That is why I have introduced an amendment, Peters No. 103, which would limit application of State and local government laws related to contracting.

This amendment would not allow a State or local government to enforce measures to restrict contracting with firms of 10 or fewer employees, contracts of $100,000 or less, or any contract with a sole proprietor.

This amendment would clarify that it is not the intention of the bill to restrict the First Amendment right of any individual to protest—including through boycott—in their personal capacity.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to set aside the pending amendment, that amendment No. 103 be reported by number, and that the amendment be agreed to without intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Madam President, I reserve the right to object, first of all, I want to agree with my distinguished colleague and friend from Michigan as to almost everything he said, with the exception of article IV.

This BDS provision is a really important provision, and I understand what he is attempting to do with the exemptions. I understand he is putting them in for the small businesses, but having said that, we really believe this should not be allowed to become a big exemption—allowing boycott in their personal capacity.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to set aside the pending amendment, that amendment No. 103 be reported by number, and that the amendment be agreed to without intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Madam President, I rise to complete, I hope, the lengthy work we have done on S. 1—the first bill we introduced this year.

It is from the Foreign Relations Committee, and it is a bipartisan piece of legislation which many people have had input into. It is a conglomerate of several pieces of legislation that we have worked on for a considerable period of time. And I think those I know are supported in a bipartisan way.

I would like to walk through the five parts of this very briefly, The first one, of course, is the United States-Israel Security Authorization Act of 2019. That is one we spent a considerable period of time on.

Without a doubt, Israel is one of the best friends we have in the world. Certainly, in the neighborhood they live in, which is a very dangerous neighborhood, to my mind it is essential. We work with them very closely in many respects—in many national security respects, with people who aren’t out in the public realm and who will probably never be out in the public realm, but they are important for the security of Israel.

The second is the Jordan Defense Authorization Act. I think all of us are aware, again, that Jordan is a great friend to have in the Middle East. Like Israel, it lives in a very dangerous neighborhood, very much our ally. We work with them very closely in many respects—in many national security respects, with people who aren’t out in the public realm and who will probably never be out in the public realm, but they are important for the security of Israel.

The third one is the Jordan Defense Authorization Act. I think all of us are aware, again, that Jordan is a great friend to have in the Middle East. Like Israel, it lives in a very dangerous neighborhood, very much our ally. We work with them very closely in many respects—in many national security respects, with people who aren’t out in the public realm and who will probably never be out in the public realm, but they are important for the security of Israel.

Lastly, the most recent addition, of course, was the McConnell amendment that has been added. This amendment has been badly mischaracterized by the national media for a number of days now.

I keep reading where the national media writes that the U.S. Senate rebuked—they used the word “rebuked”—President Trump. Nothing could be further from the truth.

In fact, when President Trump was running for office, he said his bottom line was to attempt to get us out of some of these entanglements that we have had.

One of course, is Afghanistan, which has been on our mind for 17 years. The other was Syria. He rolled out the idea that we shouldn’t be considering that. This system worked exactly the way the Founding Fathers intended for it to work. When it comes to foreign relations, when it comes to these kind of matters, it is a joint operation between the first and the second branches of government—between the legislative and the executive branches of government.

After the President put this on the table as the leader of the Nation, people began to talk. It was greatly debated both in this body—in the U.S. Senate—in the House of Representatives, and within the administration itself. What has happened with this piece of legislation is, first of all, it commends the President for all of the things he has been able to do in Syria and getting ISIS contained to a very small area that remains. It also lays out the challenges we face and commitments to joining the President as we go forward and as we continue the work that is ahead of us in the Middle East. This is a great piece of legislation. I commend it to my fellow Senators.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, all postcloture time has expired.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 98

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to amendment No. 98 offered by the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ).

The amendment (No. 98) was agreed to.
The bill, as amended, was passed, as follows: S. 1

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Sec. 101. Title.
Sec. 102. Appropriate congressional committees defined.

Subtitle A—Security Assistance for Israel

Sec. 111. Findings.

Sec. 113. Assistance for Israel.
Sec. 114. Extension of war reserves stockpile policy to Israel.
Sec. 115. Extension of loan guarantees to Israel.
Sec. 116. Transfer of precision guided munitions to Israel.
Sec. 117. Sense of Congress on rapid acquisition and deployment procedures.
Sec. 118. Eligibility of Israel for the strategic trade authorization exception to certain export control licensing requirements.
Sec. 119. Enhanced United States-Israel security assistance.
Sec. 120. United States-Israel space cooperation.
Sec. 121. Amendment to enter into a cooperative project agreement with Israel to counter unmanned aerial vehicles that threaten the United States or Israel.

Title I—ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN UNITED STATES-ISRAEL SECURITY ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2019

Sec. 101. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Ileana Ros-Lehtinen United States-Israel Security Assistance Authorization Act of 2019”.

Sec. 102. APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.

In this title, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

1. The Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate; and
2. The Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

Subtitle A—Security Assistance for Israel

Sec. 111. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:
1. In February 1987, the United States signed an Israel major non-NATO ally status.
2. On August 16, 2007, the United States and Israel signed a 10-year Memorandum of Understanding on United States military assistance to Israel. The total assistance over the course of this understanding would equal $30 billion.
3. On July 27, 2012, the United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-150; 22 U.S.C. 8601 et seq.) declared it to be the policy of the United States “to help the Government of Israel preserve its qualitative military edge amid rapid and uncertain regional political transformation” and stated the sense of Congress that the United States Government should “continue to provide Israel with robust security assistance to Israel. The total assistance over the course of this understanding would equal $30 billion.
4. On December 19, 2014, President Barack Obama signed into law the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 (Public Law 113-290) which stated the sense of Congress that Israel is a major strategic partner of the United States and declared it to be the policy of the United States “to continue to provide Israel with security assistance, including for the procurement of the Iron Dome Missile Defense System”.
5. Section 1679 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92; 129 Stat. 1135) authorized funds to be appropriated for Israeli cooperative missile defense program codevelopment and coproduction, including funds to be provided to the Government of Israel to procure the David’s Sling weapon system as well as the Arrow 3 Upper Tier Interceptor Program.
6. On September 14, 2016, the United States and Israel signed a 10-year Memorandum of Understanding reaffirming the importance of continuing annual United States military assistance to Israel and cooperative missile defense programs in a way that enhances Israel’s security and strengthens the bilateral relationship between the two countries.
7. The 2016 Memorandum of Understanding reflected United States support of Foreign Military Financing (FMF) grant assistance to Israel over the life-year period beginning in fiscal year 2019 and ending in fiscal year 2028. FMF grant assistance would be at a level of

Sec. 201. Short title.
Sec. 203. Sense of Congress.
Sec. 205. Report on establishing an enterprise fund for Jordan.

Title II—UNITED STATES-JORDAN DEFENSE COOPERATION EXTENSION ACT

Sec. 201. Short title.

Sec. 203. Sense of Congress.
Sec. 205. Report on establishing an enterprise fund for Jordan.

Title III—CAESAR SYRIA CIVILIANS PROTECTION ACT OF 2019

Sec. 301. Short title.
Sec. 302. Continuing prohibition.
Sec. 303. Sanctions with respect to foreign persons that engage in certain transactions.

Subtitle B—Assistance for the People of Syria

Sec. 311. Measures with respect to Central Bank of Syria.
Sec. 312. Sanctions with respect to foreign persons that engage in certain transactions.

Title IV—COMBATING BDS ACT OF 2019

Sec. 401. Short title.
Sec. 402. Nonpreemption of measures by State and local governments to divest from entities that engage in certain boycott, divestment, or sanctions activities targeting Israel or persons doing business in Israel or Israel-controlled territories.
Sec. 403. Safe harbor for changes of investment policies by asset managers.
Sec. 404. Sense of Congress regarding certain ERISA plan investments.
Sec. 405. Rule of construction.
SEC. 119. ELIGIBILITY OF ISRAEL FOR THE STRATEGIC TRADE AUTHORIZATION EXCEPTION TO CERTAIN EXPORT CONTROL LICENSING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Israel has adopted high standards in the field of export controls.

(2) Israel has declared its unilateral adherence to the Missile Technology Control Regime, the Nuclear Suppliers Group, and the Nuclear Suppliers Group.

(3) Israel is a party to—

(A) the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions of the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which may be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to Have Incriminatory Effects, signed at Geneva October 10, 1980;

(B) the Protocol for the prohibition of the Use in War of Asphyxiating, Poisonous or Other Gases, and of Bacteriological Methods of Warfare, signed at Geneva June 17, 1925; and

(C) the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material, adopted at Vienna October 26, 1979.

(4) the United States and Israel should continue to work together to defend against all threats from unmanned aerial vehicles, and counter unmanned aerial vehicles will serve the national security interest of the United States.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the President shall ensure that the following findings:

(1) Counter unmanned aerial vehicles will serve the national security interest of the United States.

(2) The United States and Israel should continue to work together to defend against all threats from unmanned aerial vehicles, and counter unmanned aerial vehicles will serve the national security interest of the United States.

SEC. 120. UNITED STATES-ISRAEL ENHANCED PARTNERSHIP FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION IN DEVELOPING NATIONS.

(a) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—It should be the policy of the United States to partner with Israel in order to achieve common goals across a wide variety of sectors, including energy, agriculture, food security, democracy, human rights and governance, economic growth and trade, education, environment, global health, and water and sanitation.

(b) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The Secretary of State, acting through the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development in accordance with established procedures, is authorized to enter into memoranda of understanding with Israel in order to enhance coordination on achieving common goals on energy, agriculture and food security, democracy, human rights and governance, economic growth and trade, health, education, environment, global health, and water and sanitation with a focus on strengthening mutual ties and cooperation with nations throughout the world.

SEC. 121. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO A COOPERATIVE PROJECT AGREEMENT WITH ISRAEL TO COUNTER UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES THAT THREATEN THE UNITED STATES OR ISRAEL.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) On February 10, 2018, Iran launched from Syria an unmanned aerial vehicle (commonly known as a “drone”) that penetrated Israeli airspace.

(2) According to a press report, the unmanned aerial vehicle was in Israeli airspace for a minute and a half before being shot down by its air force.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) joint research and development to counter unmanned aerial vehicles will serve the national security interest of the United States and Israel;

(2) Israel faces urgent and emerging threats from unmanned aerial vehicles, and other unmanned vehicles, launched from Lebanon by Hezbollah, from Syria by Iran’s Revolutionary Guard Corps, or from others seeking to attack Israel;

(3) Israeli efforts to counter unmanned aerial vehicles should include the feasibility of utilizing directed energy and high powered microwave technologies, which can disable vehicles without kinetic impact;

(4) the United States and Israel should continue to work together to defend against all threats from unmanned aerial vehicles; and

(5) the United States and Israel should continue to work together to defend against all threats from unmanned aerial vehicles.
Title II—United States-Jordan Defense Cooperation Extension Act

Title II. United States-Jordan Defense Cooperation Extension Act

Sec. 201. Short Title.
This title may be cited as the “United States-Jordan Defense Cooperation Extension Act.

Congress finds the following:
(1) In December 2011, Congress passed section 7041(b) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012 (Public Law 112-74; 125 Stat. 1223), which appropriated funds made available under the heading “Economic Support Fund” to establish an enterprise fund for Jordan.
(2) The intent of an enterprise fund is to attract private investment to help entrepreneurs and small businesses create jobs and to achieve economic development.
(3) Jordan is an instrumental partner in the fight against Islamic State and the creation of a space for people of all faiths to practice their religion in Jordan.
(4) In 2014, His Majesty King Abdullah stated that “Jordanians and Americans have been standing shoulder to shoulder against extremism for many years, but to a new level with this alliance against ISIS.”
(5) On February 3, 2015, the United States signed a 3-year memorandum of understanding with Jordan, pledging to provide $1 billion, including $500 million annually, to Jordan.

Sec. 203. Sense of Congress.
It is the sense of Congress that:
(1) Jordan plays a critical role in responding to the overwhelming humanitarian needs created by the conflict in Syria; and
(2) Jordan, the United States, and other partners are working together to address this humanitarian crisis and promote regional stability, including through support for refugees in Jordan and internally displaced people in Syria.

Section 8(a) of the United States-Jordan Defense Cooperation Act of 2015 (22 U.S.C. 2751 note) is amended—
(1) by striking “During the 3-year period” and inserting “and on or after January 1, 2018” and
(2) by adding “and on December 31, 2022” after “enactment of this Act.”

(a) In General.—Not later than 180 days after the establishment of the United States Development Finance Corporation, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a detailed report assessing the costs and benefits of the United States Development Finance Corporation establishing a Jordan Enterprise Fund.
(b) Appropriate Congressional Committees.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—
(1) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
(2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

Title III—Caesar Syria Civilian Protection Act of 2019

Sec. 301. Short Title.
This title may be cited as the “Caesar Syria Civilian Protection Act of 2019.”

Subtitle A—Additional Actions in Connection With the National Emergency With Respect to Syria

Sec. 311. Measures With Respect to Central Bank of Syria.
(a) Determination Regarding Central Bank of Syria.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury shall determine, under section 520(a) of title 31, United States Code, whether reasonable grounds exist for concluding that the Central Bank of Syria is a financial institution of primary money laundering concern.
(b) Enhanced Due Diligence and Reporting Requirements.—If the Secretary of the Treasury determines under subsection (a) that reasonable grounds exist for concluding that the Central Bank of Syria is a financial institution of primary money laundering concern, the Secretary, in consultation with the Federal functional regulators, shall define in section 509 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (15 U.S.C. 6809), shall impose one or more of the special measures described in section 520(a)(1) of title 31, United States Code, with respect to the Central Bank of Syria.

(c) Report Required.—Not later than 90 days after making a determination under subsection (a) with respect to whether the Central Bank of Syria is a financial institution of primary money laundering concern, the Secretary of the Treasury shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes the reasons for the determination.

(2) Form.—A report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(3) Appropriate Congressional Committees Defined.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—
(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and
(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

Sec. 312. Sanctions With Respect to Foreign Persons That Engage in Certain Transactions.
(a) Imposition of Sanctions.—
(1) In General.—On and after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall impose the sanctions described in subsection (b) with respect to a foreign person if the President determines that the foreign person, on or after such date of enactment, knowingly engages in an activity described in paragraph (2).
(2) Activities Described.—A foreign person engages in an activity described in this paragraph if the foreign person—
(A) engages in a significant financial transaction with the Government of Syria (including any entity owned or controlled by the Government of Syria) or a senior political figure of the Government of Syria;
(B) is a person that is a military contractor, mercenary, or a paramilitary force knowingly operating in a military capacity

Subtitle C—Ensuring Israel’s Qualitative Military Edge

Sec. 131. Statement of Policy.
It is the policy of the United States to ensure that Israel maintains its ability to counter and defeat any credible conventional military threat from any individual state or possible coalition of states or from non-state actors, while sustaining minimal damages and casualties, through the use of superior military means, possessed in sufficient quantity, including weapons, command, control, communication, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities that in their technical characteristics are superior in capability to those of such other individual or possible coalition states or entities.
cally facilitates the maintenance or expansion of the Government of Syria’s domestic production of natural gas, petroleum, or petroleum products;

(D) knowingly provides significant goods, services, technology, information, or other support that significantly facilitates the maintenance or expansion of the Government of Syria’s domestic production of natural gas, petroleum, or petroleum products;

(E) knowingly provides aircraft or spare aircraft parts that are used for military purposes in Syria or to any foreign person operating in an area directly or indirectly controlled by the Government of Syria or foreign forces associated with the Government of Syria;

(F) knowingly provides significant goods or services associated with the operation of aircraft that are used for military purposes in Syria or to any foreign person operating in an area described in subparagraph (C); or

(G) knowingly, directly or indirectly, provides aircraft or spare aircraft parts that are used for military purposes in Syria or to any foreign person operating in an area directly or indirectly controlled by the Government of Syria or foreign forces associated with the Government of Syria.

(iii) For purposes of this section, the term ‘significant goods, services, technology, information, or other support that significantly facilitates the maintenance or expansion of the Government of Syria’s domestic production of natural gas, petroleum, or petroleum products’ means—

(A) the sale, lease, export, reexport, transfer (intra- or intergovernmental) of goods, services, technology, information, or other support to, or for the benefit of, the Government of Syria or any foreign person operating in an area directly or indirectly controlled by the Government of Syria or by foreign forces associated with the Government of Syria;

(B) the furnishing of technical assistance, training, or equipment (including destruction of equipment) to the Government of Syria or any foreign person operating in an area directly or indirectly controlled by the Government of Syria or by foreign forces associated with the Government of Syria;

(C) the sale, lease, export, reexport, transfer (intra- or intergovernmental) of goods, services, technology, information, or other support to, or for the benefit of, the Government of Syria or any foreign person operating in an area directly or indirectly controlled by the Government of Syria or by foreign forces associated with the Government of Syria.

SEC. 320. SANCTIONS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT OF SYRIA.

The President should consider financial support to the Government of Syria.

(Sec. 321. Codification of Certain Services in Support of Nongovernmental Organizations’ Activities Authorized.)

SEC. 321. CODIFICATION OF CERTAIN SERVICES IN SUPPORT OF NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS’ ACTIVITIES AUTHORIZED.

(a) In general.—Except as provided in subsection (b), section 542.516 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to certain services, including organization and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).

(ii) as defined in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).

(II) for a designated person who is a member of an organization designated as a terrorist organization, by the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of State, or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries); or

(II) any other person on the list of foreign persons designated as terrorist organizations or as terrorist groups by the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of the Treasury, or the Attorney General as provided in section 221(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1201(i));

(II) in the case of a nongovernmental organization that is authorized to export or reexport services to Syria under subsection (a), the President shall consider the extent to which such services are provided to humanitarian organizations to access financial services in support of nongovernmental organizations operating in Syria.

(b) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) Admitted; alien.—The terms ‘admitted’ and ‘alien’ have the meanings given those terms in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).

(2) Foreign person.—The term ‘foreign person’ means a person that is not a United States person.

(3) Knowingly.—The term ‘knowingly’, with respect to conduct, a circumstance, or a result, means that a person has actual knowledge of the circumstance or of the conduct, the circumstance, or the result.

(4) United States person.—The term ‘United States person’ means—

(A) a United States citizen or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence to the United States;

(B) an entity organized under the laws of the United States and having regular access to the United States;

(C) an entity organized under the laws of the United States and having any nonimmigrant alien with a valid visa or parole into the United States or to receive a visa or other documentation to enter the United States, and

(D) any other person on the list of foreign persons designated as terrorist organizations or as terrorist groups by the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of the Treasury, or the Attorney General as provided in section 221(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1201(i)).

SEC. 322. BRIEFING ON STRATEGY TO FACILITATE HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE.

(a) In general.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the strategy of the President to facilitate the ability of humanitarian organizations to access financial services to help facilitate the timely delivery of assistance to communities in need in Syria.

(b) Consideration of Data from Other Countries and Nongovernmental Organizations.—In preparing the strategy required by subsection (a), the President shall consider credible data already obtained by other governments and nongovernmental organizations, including nongovernmental organizations operating in Syria.

(c) Appropriate Congressional Committees Defined.—In this section, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

Subtitle C—General Provisions

SEC. 331. SUSPENSION OF SANCTIONS.

(a) In general.—The President may suspend in whole or in part the imposition of sanctions otherwise required under this title for periods not to exceed 180 days if the President determines that the following criteria have been met in Syria:

(1) The air space over Syria is no longer being utilized by the Government of Syria or the Government of the Russian Federation to target civilian populations through the use of barrel bombs, chemical weapons, and other weapons of mass destruction, including air-delivered missiles and explosives.

(2) Areas besieged by the Government of Syria, the Government of the Russian Federation, the Government of Iran, or a foreign person described in section 312(a)(2)(A)(ii) are no longer cut off from international aid and have regular access to humanitarian assistance, freedom of travel, and medical care.

(3) The Government of Syria is releasing all political prisoners held within the prison system of the regime of Bashar al-Assad and the Government of Syria is allowing full access to the same facilities for independent investigations by appropriate international human rights organizations.

(4) The forces of the Government of Syria, the Government of the Russian Federation, the Government of Iran, or any foreign person described in section 312(a)(2)(A)(ii) are no longer engaged in deliberate targeting of medical facilities, schools, residential areas, and community gathering places, including markets, in violation of international norms.

(b) Duration of suspension.—(1) A suspension under paragraph (a) shall remain in effect for a period of 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, shall

(c) Effect of revocation.—(1) The revocation of a suspension under paragraph (a) shall

(d) Effect of revocation.—(1) The revocation of a suspension under paragraph (a) shall

(e) Effect of revocation.—(1) The revocation of a suspension under paragraph (a) shall

(f) Effect of revocation.—(1) The revocation of a suspension under paragraph (a) shall
The Government of Syria is permitting the safe, voluntary, and dignified return of Syrians displaced by the conflict. The Government of Syria is taking steps to establish meaningful accountability for perpetrators of war crimes in Syria and justice for victims of war crimes committed by the Assad regime, including by participation in credible and independent truth and reconciliation processes.

The President makes a determination that such a waiver is in the national security interests of the United States, and the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

The waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

The reasons for the waiver.

The issuance of a waiver under paragraph (1), and every 180 days thereafter while the waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

(b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 90 days after the issuance of a waiver under paragraph (1), and every 180 days thereafter while the waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

The Government of Syria is permitting the safe, voluntary, and dignified return of Syrians displaced by the conflict. The Government of Syria is taking steps to establish meaningful accountability for perpetrators of war crimes in Syria and justice for victims of war crimes committed by the Assad regime, including by participation in credible and independent truth and reconciliation processes.

The President makes a determination that such a waiver is in the national security interests of the United States, and the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

The waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

The reasons for the waiver.

The issuance of a waiver under paragraph (1), and every 180 days thereafter while the waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

(b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 90 days after the issuance of a waiver under paragraph (1), and every 180 days thereafter while the waiver remains in effect, the President shall brief the appropriate congressional committees on the reasons for the waiver.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.
this Act without regard to the requirements of subsection (c).

(2) APPLICATION OF NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT.—Enforcement of a measure under this Act in paragraph (1) shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (c) on and after the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on and after the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 404. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING CERTAIN ERISA PLAN INVESTMENTS.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) a fiduciary of a benefit plan, as defined in section 3(3) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1002(3)), may divest plan assets from, or avoid investing in, any person, the fiduciary determines knowingly engages in any activity described in section 2(b), if—

(A) the fiduciary makes that determination using information that is available to the public; and

(B) the fiduciary prudently determines that the result of that divestment or avoidance of investments is expected to provide the employee benefit plan with—

(i) a lower rate of return than alternative investments with commensurate degrees of risk; or

(ii) a higher degree of risk than alternative investments with commensurate rates of return;

(2) by divesting assets or avoiding the investment of assets as described in paragraph (1), the fiduciary is not breaching the responsibilities, obligations, or duties imposed upon the fiduciary by subparagraph (A) or (B) of section 404(a)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1104(a));

SEC. 405. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to infringe upon any right protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

SEC. 406. CLARIFICATION OF DEADLINE FOR REPORT ON ESTABLISHING AN ENTERPRISE FUND FOR JORDAN.

For purposes of section 205(a), the term ‘‘establishment of the United States Development Finance Corporation’’ means the end of the transition period, as defined in section 1461 of the Better Utilization of Investments Leading to Development Act of 2018 (division F of Public Law 115–224).

SEC. 407. FORM OF REPORT ON THE COOPERATION OF THE UNITED STATES AND ISRAEL WITH RESPECT TO COUNTERING UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS.

The report required under section 123(d) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 408. SENSE OF SENATE ON WITHDRAWALS OF UNITED STATES FORCES FROM SYRIA AND AFGHANISTAN.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:

(1) The foreign terrorist organization al Qaeda, responsible for the attacks of September 11, 2001, maintains a presence in Afghanistan.

(2) The Islamic State of Iraq and al Sham, better known by its acronym ISIS, flourished in the chaos unleashed by the civil war in Syria and at one point controlled extensive territory in Iraq and Syria.

(3) Al Qaeda, ISIS, and their affiliates have murdered thousands of innocent civilians.

(4) Al Qaeda in Iraq and ISIS, who have proven resilient and have regrouped when the United States and its partners have withdrawn from the fight against them.

(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—

(1) acknowledges that the United States military and our partners have made significant progress in the campaign against al Qaeda and the Islamic State of Iraq and al Sham (ISIS), and honors the contributions and sacrifice of the members of the United States Armed Forces who have served on the front lines of this war;

(2) recognizes the continuing threat to the homeland and our allies posed by al Qaeda and ISIS, which maintain an ability to operate in Syria and Afghanistan;

(3) expresses concern that Iran has supported the Taliban in Afghanistan and Hizballah and the Assad regime in Syria, and has sought to frustrate diplomatic efforts to resolve conflicts in these two countries;

(4) recognizes the positive role the United States military is playing in Syria and Afghanistan fighting terrorist groups, countering Iranian aggression, deterring the further use of chemical weapons, and protecting human rights;

(5) warns that a precipitous withdrawal of United States forces from the on-going fight against these groups, without effective, countervailing efforts to secure gains in Syria and Afghanistan, will allow terrorist organizations to regroup, destabilize critical regions, and create vacuums that could be filled by Iran or Russia, to the detriment of United States interests and those of our allies;

(6) recognizes that al Qaeda and ISIS pose a global threat, which merits increased international contributions to the counterterrorism, diplomatic, and stabilization efforts underway in Syria and Afghanistan;

(7) recognizes that diplomatic efforts to secure peaceful, negotiated solutions to the conflicts in Syria and Afghanistan are necessary to long-term stability and counterterrorism efforts in the Middle East and South Asia;

(8) acknowledges the progress made by Special Representative Khalilzad in his efforts to promote reconciliation in Afghanistan;

(9) calls upon the Administration to conduct a thorough review of the military and diplomatic strategies in Syria and Afghanistan, including an assessment of the risk that withdrawal from those countries could strengthen the power of Russia, Iran, and Turkey and undermine diplomatic efforts toward negotiated, peaceful solutions;

(10) requests that the Administration, as part of this review, solicit the views of Israel, our regional partners, and other key troop-contributing nations in the fight against al Qaeda and ISIS; and

(11) reiterates support for international diplomatic efforts to facilitate peaceful, negotiated resolutions to the on-going conflicts in Syria and Afghanistan on terms that respect the rights of innocent civilians and deny safe havens to terrorists;

(12) calls upon the Administration to pursue a strategy that sets the conditions for the long-term defeat of al Qaeda and ISIS, as well as the protection of regional partners and allies, while ensuring that Iran cannot dominate the region or threaten Israel; and

(13) encourages close collaboration between the Executive Branch and the Legislative Branch to ensure continuing strong, bipartisan support for United States military operations in Syria and Afghanistan, and to conduct a thorough review of the military and diplomatic strategies in Syria and Afghanistan;

(14) requests that the Administration certify that conditions have been met for the enduring defeat of al Qaeda and ISIS before any significant withdrawal of United States forces from Syria or Afghanistan.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as a declaration of war or an authorization of the use of military force.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

Ms. MURKOWSKI. Madam President, I request permission to speak for up to 1 minute regarding this vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.
The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the motion to proceed to S. 47, an act to provide for the management of the natural resources of the United States, and for other purposes, shall be brought to a close?
The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.
The clerk will call the roll.
The bill clerk called the roll.
The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 99, nays 1, as follows:

(Roll Call Vote No. 17 Leg.)

**YEAS—99**

Alexander  Gardner  Peters
Balduf  Gillibrand  Portman
Barrasso  Graham  Reed
Bennet  Grassley  Risch
Blackburn  Harris  Roberts
Bosuemhhal  Hassan  Romney
Brown  Hawley  Rosen
Braun  hoodie  Rounds
Burr  Inskte  Rounds
Canwell  Inskoe  Schiefer
Capito  Johnson  Scott (FL)
Cardin  Johnson  Scott (NC)
Carper  Kaine  Shaheen
Casey  Kennedy  Shelby
Cassidy  King  Sinema
Collins  Klobuchar  Smith
Coons  Lankford  Stabenow
Cornyn  Leahy  Sullivan
Cortez Masto  Lee  Tester
Cotton  Manchin  Thune
Cramer  Menendez  Tillis
Crapo  McConnell  Toomey
Cruz  McSally  Udall
Daines  Menendez  Van Hollen
Duckworth  Merkley  Warner
Durbin  Moran  Warren
Ernst  Murkowski  Whitehouse
Fischer  Young

**NAYS—1**

Paul

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Cassidy). On this vote, the yeas are 99, the nays are 1.

Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn having voted in the affirmative, the motion is agreed to.
The clerk will report the motion to the Senate.
The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session for the consideration of Calendar Nos. 1 through 4 and all nominations on the Secretary’s desk; that the nominations be confirmed; that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table without intervening action or debate; that no further motions be in order; that any statements related to the nominations be printed in the Record; that the President be immediately notified of the Senate’s action; and that the Senate then resume legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed are as follows:

IN THE AIR FORCE

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be brigadier general
Col. Frank A. Rodman

IN THE ARMY

The following named officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 12203:

To be major general
Brig. Gen. Robert D. Harter

The following named Army National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12211:

To be brigadier general
Col. Charles M. Schoening

The following named officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 12203:

To be major general
Brig. Gen. David W. Llging

To be brigadier general
Col. Joseph F. Dzienny

Col. Rodney J. Fischer

NOMINATIONS PLACED ON THE SECRETARY’S DISK

IN THE MARINE CORPS

PN35 MARINE CORPS nominations (4) beginning SIEH P. DAGHER, and ending NEVILLE A. WELCH, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of January 15, 2019.

PN36 MARINE CORPS nominations (375) beginning RICO ACOSTA, and ending CHRISTINA F. ZIMMERMAN, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of January 15, 2019.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will now resume legislative session.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE RULES OF PROCEDURE

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, the Committee on Finance has adopted rules governing its procedures for the 116th Congress. Pursuant to rule XXVI, paragraph 2, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I ask unanimous consent
that the accompanying rules for the Senate Committee on Finance be print-
ed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the mate-
rial was ordered to be printed in the
RECORD, as follows:

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
1. RULES OF PROCEDURE

Rule 1. Regular Meeting Days.—The regular
meeting day of the committee shall be the sec-
ond and fourth Tuesday of each month,
except that if there be no business before the com-
mittee the regular meeting shall be
omitted.

Rule 2. Committee Meetings.—(a) Except as
provided by paragraph 3 of Rule XXVI of the
Standing Rules of the Senate (relating to spe-
cific meetings called by a majority of the com-
mittee) and subsection (b) of this rule, com-
mittee meetings, for the conduct of busi-
ness, for the purpose of holding hearings, or
for any other purpose, shall be called by the
chairman after consultation with the rank-
ing minority member. Members will be noti-
ﬁed of committee meetings at least 24 hours in
advance, unless the chairman determines
that an emergency situation requires a meet-
ing on shorter notice. The notification will
include the agenda, together with materials prepared by the staﬀ relating to
that agenda. After the agenda for a com-
mittee meeting is published and distributed,
no notice may be brought up during that meeting unless at least two-
thirds of the members present agree to con-
sider those items.

(b) The absence of the chairman, meet-
ings of the committee may be called by the
ranking minority member of the committee
who is present, provided authority to call meet-
ings has been delegated to such member
by the chairman.

Rule 3. Presiding Ofﬁcer.—(a) The chairman
shall preside at all meetings and hearings of
the committee except that in his absence the
ranking minority member who is present at
the meeting shall preside.

(b) Notwithstanding the rule prescribed by
subsection (a) any member of the committee
may preside over the conduct of a hearing.

Rule 4. Quorum.—(a) Except as provided in
subsection (b) one-third of the membership of the
committee, including not less than
one member of the majority party and one
member of the minority party, shall con-
istute a quorum for the conduct of business.

(b) Notwithstanding the rule prescribed by
subsection (a), one member shall constitute a
quorum for the purpose of conducting a hear-
ing.

Rule 5. Reporting of Measures or Rec-
ommendations.—No measure or recommenda-
tion shall be reported from the committee
unless a majority of the committee is pre-
ently present and a majority of those present concur.

Rule 6. Proxy Voting; Polling.—(a) Except as
provided by paragraph 7(a)(3) of Rule XXVI of the
Standing Rules of the Senate (relating to
the committee’s authority to conduct busi-
ness with related or overlapping matters, the
chairman may specify the order in which the
motions shall be voted upon.

(b) At the discretion of the committee,
members who are unable to be present and
whose proxy votes may be polled for the purpose of recording their
vote on any rollcall taken by the committee.

Rule 7. Order of Motions.—When several
motions are pending before the committee
concerning similar legislation and when
there are no pending rollcalls, the chairman
may determine the order in which the
motions shall be voted upon.

Rule 8. Voting a Written Ballot to a Vote.—If
the chairman determines that a motion or
amendment has been adequately debated, he
may call for a vote on such motion or
amendment, and the vote shall then be
called, unless the committee votes to con-
tinue debate on such motion or amendment,
the chairman may determine that a motion to
continue debate on any motion or amend-
ment shall be taken without debate.

Rule 9. Public Announcement of Committee
Votes.—Provided by Rule XXVI of the
Standing Rules of the Senate (relating to
public announcement of votes), the results of rollcall votes taken by
the committee shall be announced pub-
licly not later than the day on which such
measure or matter is ordered reported from the committee.

Rule 10. Subpoenas.—Witnesses and memo-
randa, documents, and records may be sub-
poenaed by the chairman of the committee
with the agreement of the ranking minority
member or by a majority vote of the com-
mittee. Subpoenas for attendance of wit-
nesses and the production of memoranda,
documents, and records shall be issued by
the chairman, or by any other member of the
committee designated by him.

Rule 11. Nominations.—When considering a
nomination, the committee may conduct an
investigation or review of the nominee’s ex-
pertise, qualiﬁcations, and suitability, to
serve in the position for which he has been
nominated. To aid in such investigation or review, each nominee may be required to
submit a sworn detailed statement including
biographical material and other informa-
tion which the committee may re-
quest. The committee may specify which
items in such statement are to be received on a conﬁdential basis. Witnesses called to
testify on the nomination may be required to
testify under oath.

Rule 12. Open Committee Hearings.—To the
extent required by paragraph 5 of Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate
(relating to limitations on open hearings), each hear-
ing conducted by the committee shall be
open to the public.

Rule 13. Announcement of Hearings.—The
committee shall undertake consistent with
the provisions of paragraph 6(a) of Rule
XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate
(relating to public notice of committee hear-
ings) to issue public announcements of hear-
ings it intends to hold at least one week
prior to the commencement of such hearings.

Rule 14. Witnesses at Hearings.—(a) Each
witness who is scheduled to testify at any
hearing shall be required to submit an oral testimony to the staﬀ director not later than noon of the business day immediately before the last business day preceding the day on which he is scheduled to appear. Such written testi-
mony shall be accompanied by a brief sum-
mary of the principal points covered in the
written testimony. Having submitted his
written testimony, the witness shall be al-
lowed not more than 10 minutes for oral
presentation of his statement.

(b) Witnesses may not read their entire
written testimony but shall be allowed to
conﬁne their oral presentation to a summary of their arguments.

(c) Witnesses shall observe proper standards of dignity, decorum, and propriety
while presenting their views to the committee.
Any witness who violates this rule shall be
dismissed, and his testimony (both oral and
written) shall not appear in the record of the
hearing.

(d) In scheduling witnesses for hearings, the committee shall attempt to schedule witnesses
so as to attain a balance of views early in
the hearings. Every member of the com-
mittee may designate witnesses who will ap-
ppear before the committee. To the extent that a witness designated by a mem-
ber cannot be scheduled to testify during the
time set aside for the hearing, a special time
will be set aside for the witness to testify if
the member designating that witness is
available at that time to chair the hearing.

Rule 15. Audiences.—When conducting open
governmental business at a hearing, the
committee shall conduct themselves with the
dignity, decorum, and propriety traditionally observed by the Senate. Demon-
strations of approval or disapproval of any statement or act by any member or witness
not allowed. Persons creating confusion or
distractions or otherwise disrupting the
orderly proceeding of the hearing shall be ex-
peled from the hearing.

Rule 16. Broadcasting, Hearings.—(a) Broad-
casting of open hearings by television or
radio coverage shall be allowed upon
approval by the chairman of a request for
such coverage. No staff director nor a member or witness
shall be required to raise the ambient light level to the lowest level necessary to provide adequate tele-
vision coverage of the hearing at the then
current state of the art of television cov-
verage.

(b) The additional light authorized by
subsection (d) of this rule shall not be di-
rected into the eyes of any members of the
committee or of any witness, and at the re-
quest of any such member or witness, offend-
ing lighting shall be extinguished.

Rule 17. Subcommittee Hearings.—The chair-
man, subject to the approval of the committee,
shall appoint legislative subcommittees. The
ranking minority member shall recommend to the chairman appointment of minority
members to the subcommittees. All legisla-
tion shall be kept on the full committee cal-
endar unless a majority of the members present
and voting affirm that speciﬁc legis-
lation to an appropriate subcommittee.

(b) The chairman may limit the period dur-
ing which House-passed legislation referred
to a subcommittee under paragraph (a) will
remain in that subcommittee. At the end of
that period, the legislation will be restored to
the full committee and the period referred to in the preceding sentences should be
6 weeks, but may be extended in the event
that adjournment or a long recess is im-
minent.

(c) All decisions of the chairman are subject
to approval or modiﬁcation by a major-
ity vote of the committee.

(d) The full committee may at any time by
majority vote of those members present
discharge a subcommittee from further con-
sideration of a speciﬁc piece of legislation.

(e) The chairman and ranking minority
members shall serve as nonvoting ex officio
members of the subcommittees on which
they do not serve as voting members.

(f) Any member of the committee may at-
 tend hearings held by any subcommittee and
question witnesses testifying before that
subcommittee.

(g) Subcommittee meeting times shall be
coordinated by the staﬀ director to ensure
that

(i) no subcommittee meeting will be held
when the committee is in executive session,
except by unanimous consent;

(2) the subcommittee will meet when the full committee is holding
hearings; and
Redacted text
hearing to be held by the Committee or any Subcommittee at least one week in advance of such hearing unless the Chairman of the full Committee or the Subcommittee involved in such hearing, makes it clear that the hearing is non-controversial or that special circumstances require expedited procedures and a majority of all the Members of the Committee or the Subcommittee involved in such hearing, may authorize in writing that the hearing shall be held without notice or at any time when the Members of the Committee or the Subcommittee involved in such hearing have met and consented. No hearing shall be held without notice unless Members of the Committee or Subcommittee involved at least 72 hours before the hearing. If a Committee or Subcommittee is scheduled to meet at a time that is inconsistent with the exigencies of the day, the Chairman and ranking minority member may schedule a meeting or hearing later at a time that meets the exigencies of the day.

(b) Each witness who is to appear before the Committee or any Subcommittee shall file with the Committee or Subcommittee, at least 24 hours in advance of the hearing, a written statement of his or her testimony in as many copies as the Chairman of the Committee or Subcommittee prescribes.

(c) No new items may be added after the agenda is so published except by the approval of a majority of all the Members of the Committee on matters not included in the public agenda and its consideration shall promptly notify absent Members of any action taken by the Committee on matters not included in the public agenda.

(d) As warranted, the Chairman, in consultation with the Ranking Member, may impose a filing deadline for first degree legislative measures on any measure or matter before the Committee or any Subcommittee.

Section 2. Nomination Hold

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, today I am placing a hold on the nomination of Elizabeth Darling to be Commissioner on Children, Youth and Families at the Department of Health and Human Services.

Since Ms. Darling has been nominated by the administration in the 116th Congress, the Department of Health and Human Services gave the State of South Carolina a green light for religious-based discrimination in its State-contracted foster care agencies. Adults who can provide safe, loving, and nurturing homes for foster kids will be denied that opportunity on religious grounds.

I am also concerned about the slow implementation of the Family First Act, which the Finance Committee passed on a bipartisan basis in the last Congress. A big part of that law has been delayed, and the latest information I have heard regarding my concerns is that there is a “conversations” happening behind the scenes. I expect that to improve going forward.
For these reasons, I will object to any unanimous consent request concerning the nomination of Ms. Darling at this time.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

REMEMBERING BISHOP PAUL ALEXANDER BOWERS

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. President, today, I wish to honor the life and legacy of the late Bishop Paul Alexander Bowers. Known as the Preaching Machine, Bishop Bowers pastored the congregation at Greater Emanuel Apostolic Temple in my hometown of Cincinnati, OH, for over 40 years.

Bishop Bowers attended his first Pentecostal Assemblies of the World, Inc., Convention in Cleveland in 1947, where he slept on the floor of the church due to the lack of delegate housing. He was ordained in 1950 and began preaching in Cincinnati in 1957. Bishop Bowers was elected to general secretary from 1968, elevated to bishop in 1976, and elected and served as presiding bishop of the Pentecostal Assemblies of the World from 1992 until 1998.

Bishop Bowers' ministry reached communities across Ohio and the United States. His devotion to the Pentecostal Assemblies of the World, Inc., and Greater Emanuel Apostolic Temple in Cincinnati changed countless lives as he used the gospel to help people fulfill their God-given potential and better serve their communities.

I would like to recognize former presiding Bishop Paul Alexander Bowers' many accomplishments and his decades of service to Pentecostal Assemblies of the World, Inc. I would like to offer my condolences on his passing to his family, his friends, and his church, and I hope his legacy continues in the thousands of lives he has touched.

PRESIDENTIAL MESSAGE

REPORT ON THE STATE OF THE UNION DELIVERED TO A JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS ON FEBRUARY 5, 2019—PM 3

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States which was ordered to lie on the table:

To the Congress of the United States:

Madam Speaker, Mr. Vice President, Members of Congress, the First Lady of the United States, and my fellow Americans: We meet tonight at a moment of unlimited potential. As we begin a new Congress, I stand here ready to work with you to achieve historic breakthroughs for all Americans.

Millions of our fellow citizens are watching us now, gathered in this great chamber, hoping that we will govern not as two parties but as one Nation.

The agenda I will lay out this evening is not a Republican agenda or a Democrat agenda. It is the agenda of the American people.

Many of us campaigned on the same core promises: to defend American jobs and demand fair trade for American workers; to rebuild and revitalize our Nation's infrastructure; to reduce the price of healthcare and prescription drugs; to create an immigration system that is safe, lawful, modern and secure; and to reignite a foreign policy that puts America's interests first.

There is a new opportunity in American politics, if only we have the courage to seize it. Victory is not winning for our party. Victory is winning for our country.

This year, America will recognize two important anniversaries that show us the majesty of America's mission, and the power of American pride.

In June, we mark 75 years since the start of what General Dwight D. Eisenhower called the Great Crusade—the Allied liberation of Europe in World War II. On D-Day, June 6, 1944, 15,000 young American men jumped from the sky, and 60,000 more stormed in from the sea, to save our civilization from tyranny. Here with us tonight are three of those heroes: Private First Class Joseph Reilly, Staff Sergeant Irving Lockner, and Sergeant Herman Zeitchik. Gentlemen, we salute you.

In 2019, we also celebrate 50 years since brave young pilots flew a quarter of a million miles through space to plant the American flag on the face of the moon. Half a century later, we are joined by one of the Apollo 11 astronauts who planted that flag: Buzz Aldrin. This year, American astronauts will go back to space on American rockets.

In the 20th century, America saved freedom, transformed science, and redefined the middle class standard of living for the entire world to see. Now, we must step boldly and bravely into the next chapter of this great American adventure, and we must create a new standard of living for the 21st century. An amazing quality of life for all of our citizens is within our reach.

We can make our communities safer, our families stronger, our culture richer, our faith deeper, and our middle class bigger and more prosperous than ever before.

But we must reject the politics of revenge, resistance, and retribution—and embrace the boundless potential of cooperation, compromise, and the common good.

Together, we can break decades of political stalemate. We can bridge old divisions, heal old wounds, build new coalitions, and unlock the extraordinary promise of America's future. The decision is ours to make.

We must choose between greatness or gridlock, results or resistance, vision or vengeance, incredible progress or pointless destruction.

Tonight, I ask you to choose greatness. Over the last 2 years, my Administration has moved with urgency and historic speed to confront problems neglected by leaders of both parties over many decades.

In just over 2 years since the election, we have lowered the unemployment rate by 1.5 percentage points, added 5.3 million new jobs, and importantly added 600,000 new manufacturing jobs—something which almost everyone said was impossible to do, but the fact is, we are just getting started.

Wages are rising at the fastest pace in decades, and growing for blue collar workers, who I promised to fight for, faster than anyone else. Nearly 5 million Americans have been lifted off food stamps. The United States economy is growing almost twice as fast today as when I took office, and we are considered far and away the hottest economy anywhere in the world. Unemployment has reached the lowest rate in half a century. Hispanic-American and Asian-American unemployment have all reached their lowest levels ever recorded. Unemployment for Americans with disabilities has also reached an all-time low. More people are working now than at any time in our history—157 million.

We passed a massive tax cut for working families and doubled the child tax credit.

We virtually ended the estate, or death, tax on small businesses, ranches, and family farms.

We eliminated the very unpopular Obamacare individual mandate penalty—and to give critically ill patients access to life-saving cures, we passed right to try.

My Administration has cut more regulations in a short time than any other administration during its entire tenure. Companies are coming back to our country in large numbers thanks to historic reductions in taxes and regulations.

We have unleashed a revolution in American energy—the United States is now the number one producer of oil and natural gas in the world. And now, for the first time in 65 years, we are a net exporter of energy.

After 24 months of rapid progress, our economy is the envy of the world, our military is the most powerful on earth, and America is winning every day. Members of the Congress of the United States are strong. Our country is vibrant and our economy is thriving like never before.

On Friday, it was announced that we added another 304,000 jobs last month alone—almost double what was expected. An economic miracle is taking place in the United States—and the only thing that can stop it are foolish wars, politics, or ridiculous partisan investigations.

If there is going to be peace and legislation, there cannot be war and investigation. It just doesn't work that way! We must be united at home to defeat our adversaries abroad.
This new era of cooperation can start with finally confirming the more than 300 highly qualified nominees who are still stuck in the Senate—some after years of waiting. The Senate has failed to act on these nominations, which is unfair to the nominees and to our country.

Now is the time for bipartisanship. Believe it or not, we have already proven that it is possible.

In the last Congress, both parties came together to pass unprecedented legislation to confront the opioid crisis, a sweeping new Farm Bill, historic VA reforms, and after four decades of rejection, we passed VA Accountability so we can finally terminate those who mistreat our wonderful veterans.

And just weeks ago, both parties united for groundbreaking criminal justice reform. Last year, I heard through friends the story of Alice Johnson. I was deeply moved. In 1997, Alice was sentenced to life in prison as a first-time drug offender. Over the next two decades, she became a prison minister, inspiring others to choose a better path. She had a big impact on that prison population—and far beyond.

Alice's story underscores the disparities and unfairness that can exist in criminal sentencing—and the need to remedy this injustice. She served almost 22 years and had expected to be in prison for the rest of her life. In May, I commuted Alice's sentence—and she is here with us tonight. Alice, thank you for reminding us that we always have the power to shape our own destiny.

When I saw Alice's beautiful family greet her at the prison gates, hugging and kissing and crying and laughing, I knew I did the right thing.

Inspired by stories like Alice's, my Administration worked closely with members of both parties to sign the First Step Act, which includes key bipartisan provisions such as the 15% minimum sentence reduction for some nonviolent drug offenses and a ban on life without parole for children. The First Step Act provides protections for first-time, nonviolent drug offenders and those convicted of multiple drug convictions. It also reformed sentencing laws that have wrongly and disproportionately harmed the African-American community.

The First Step Act gives non-violent offenders the chance to re-enter society as productive, law-abiding citizens. Now, States across the country are following our lead. America is a Nation that believes in redemption.

We are also joined tonight by Matthew Charles from Tennessee. In 1996, at age 13, Matthew was sentenced to almost 20 years for selling drugs and related offenses. Over the next two decades, he completed more than 30 Bible studies, became a law clerk, and mentored fellow inmates. Now, Matthew is the very first person to be released from prison under the First Step Act. Matthew, on behalf of all Americans: welcome home.

As we have seen, when we are united, we can make astonishing strides for our country. Now, Republicans and Democrats must join forces again to confront an urgent national crisis.

The Congress has 10 days left to pass a bill that will fund our Government, protect our homeland, and secure our southern border.

Now is the time for the Congress to show the world that America is committed to ending illegal immigration and putting the ruthless coyotes, cartels, drug dealers, and human traffickers out of business.

As we speak, large, organized caravans are on the march to the United States. We have just heard that Mexican cities, in order to remove the illegal aliens from their communities, are getting trucks and buses to bring them up to our country in areas where there is little border protection. I have ordered another 3,750 troops to our southern border to prepare for the tremendous onslaught.

This is a moral issue. The lawless state of our southern border is a threat to the safety, security, and financial well-being of all Americans. We have a moral duty to create an immigration system that protects the lives and jobs of our citizens. This includes our obligation to the millions of immigrants living here today, who followed the rules and respected our laws. Legal immigrants enrich our Nation and strengthen our society in countless ways. I want people to come into our country, but they have to come in legally.

Tonight, I am asking you to defend our very dangerous southern border out of love and devotion to our fellow citizens and to our country.

No issue better illustrates the divide between America's working class and America's political class than illegal immigration. Wealthy politicians and donors push for open borders while working class Americans lead investigations into the scourge of illegal immigration. Meanwhile, working class Americans are left to pay the price for mass illegal migration—reduced jobs, lower wages, overburdened schools and hospitals, increased crime, and a depleted social safety net.

Tolerance for illegal immigration is not compassionate—it is cruel. One in three women is sexually assaulted on the long journey north. Smugglers use migrant children as human pawns to exploit our laws and gain access to our country.

Meanwhile, working class Americans are under siege. Tens of thousands of illegal aliens, including meth, heroin, cocaine, and fentanyl, have flooded into our cities—leading to an increase in violent crime. Meanwhile, human traffickers and sex traffickers take advantage of the wide open areas between our ports of entry to smuggle aliens, drugs, and weapons into the United States and to sell them into prostitution and modern-day slavery.

Tens of thousands of innocent Americans are killed by lethal drugs that cross our border and flood into our cities—including meth, heroin, cocaine, and fentanyl.

The savage gang, MS-13, now operates in 20 different American States, and they almost all come through our southern border. Just yesterday, an MS-13 gang member was taken into custody for a fatal shooting on a subway platform in New York City. We are removing these gang members by the thousands, but until we secure our border they're going to keep streaming back in.

Year after year, countless Americans are murdered by criminal illegal aliens. I've gotten to know many wonderful Angel Moms, Dads, and families—no one should ever have to suffer the horrible heartache they have endured.

Here tonight is Debra Bissell. Just three weeks ago, Debra's parents, Gerald and Sharon, were burglarized and shot to death in their Reno, Nevada, home by an illegal alien. They were in their eighties and are survived by four children, 11 grandchildren, and 20 great-grandchildren. Also here tonight are Gerald and Sharon's granddaughter, Heather, and great-granddaughter, Madison.

To Debra, Heather, Madison, please stand: few can understand your pain. But I will never forget, and I will fight for the memory of Gerald and Sharon, that no one should ever have to suffer the heartache they have endured.

No one more American life should be lost because our Nation failed to control its very dangerous border.

In the last 2 years, our brave ICE officers made 266,000 arrests of criminal aliens, including those suspected or convicted of nearly 100,000 assaults, 30,000 sex crimes, and 4,000 killings.

We are joined tonight by one of those law enforcement heroes: ICE Special Agent Elvin Hernandez. When Elvin arrived, he and his family legally immigrated to the United States from the Dominican Republic. At the age of eight, Elvin told his dad he wanted to become a Special Agent. Today, he leads investigations into the scourge of international sex trafficking. Elvin says: “If I can make sure these young girls get their justice, I’ve done my job.”

Special Agent Hernandez, please stand: We will always support the brave men and women of Law Enforcement—and I pledge to you tonight that we will never abolish our heroes from ICE.

My Administration has sent to the Congress a commonsense proposal to end the crisis on our southern border. It includes humanitarian assistance, more law enforcement, drug detection at our ports, closing loopholes that enable child smuggling, and plans for a new physical barrier, or wall, to secure the vast areas between our ports of entry. In the past, most of the people in this room voted for a wall—but the proper wall never got built. I’ll get it built.

This is a smart, strategic, see-through steel barrier—not just a simple concrete wall. It will be deployed in the areas identified by border agents as having the greatest need, and as these agents will tell you, where walls go up, illegal crossings go way down.
San Diego used to have the most illegal border crossings in the country. In response, and at the request of San Diego residents and political leaders, a strong security wall was put in place. This powerful barrier almost completely ended illegal crossings.

The City of El Paso, Texas, used to have extremely high rates of violent crime—one of the highest in the country, and considered one of our Nation’s most dangerous cities. Now, with a powerful barrier in place, El Paso is one of our safest cities.

Simply put, walls work and walls save lives. So let’s work together, compromise, and reach a deal that will truly make America safe.

As we work to defend our people’s safety, we must also ensure our economic resurgence continues at a rapid pace.

No one has benefitted more from our thriving economy than women, who have filled 58 percent of the new jobs created in the last year. All Americans can be proud that we have more women in the workforce than ever before—and exactly one century after the Congress passed the Constitutional amendment giving women the right to vote, we also have women serving in the Congress than ever before.

As part of our commitment to improving opportunity for women everywhere, this Thursday we are launching the first ever Government-wide initiative focused on economic empowerment for women in developing countries.

To build on our incredible economic success, one priority is paramount—reversing decades of calamitous trade policies.

We are now making it clear to China that after years of targeting our industries, and stealing our intellectual property, the theft of American jobs and wealth has come to an end.

Trump’s imposed tariffs on $250 billion of Chinese goods—and now our Treasury is receiving billions of dollars a month from a country that never gave us a dime. But I don’t blame China for taking advantage of us—I blame our leaders and representatives for allowing this travesty to happen. I have great respect for President Xi, and we are now working on a new trade deal with China. But it must include real, structural change to end unfair trade practices, reduce our chronic trade deficit, and protect American jobs.

Another historic trade blunder was the catastrophe known as NAFTA.

I have met the men and women of Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Indiana, New Hampshire, and many other States whose dreams were shattered by NAFTA. For years, politicians promised them they would negotiate for a better deal. But no one ever tried—until now.

Our new U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement—or USMCA—will replace NAFTA and deliver for American workers: bringing back our manufacturing jobs, expanding American agriculture, protecting intellectual property, and ensuring that more cars are proudly stamped with four beautiful words: made in the USA.

Tonight, I am also asking you to pass the United States-Mexico-Canada Trade Act, that if another country places an unfair tariff on an American product, we can charge them the exact same tariff on the same product that they sell to us.

Both laws should be able to unite for a great rebuilding of America’s crumbling infrastructure.

I know that the Congress is eager to pass an infrastructure bill—and I am eager to work with you on legislation to deliver new and important infrastructure investment, including investments in the cutting edge industries of the future. This is not an option. This is a necessity.

The next major priority for me, and for all of us, should be to lower the cost of prescription drugs, and to protect patients with pre-existing conditions.

Already, as a result of my Administration’s efforts, in 2018 drug prices experienced their single largest decline in 46 years. But we must do more. It is unacceptable that Americans pay vastly more than people in other countries for the exact same drugs, often made in the exact same place. This is wrong, unfair, and together we can stop it.

I am asking the Congress to pass legislation that finally takes on the problem of global freeloading and delivers fairness and price transparency for American patients. We should also require drug companies, insurance companies, and hospitals to disclose real prices to foster competition and bring costs down.

No force in history has done more to advance the human condition than America. For years, the United States was the leader in the fight against AIDS. In recent years we have made remarkable progress in the fight against HIV and AIDS. Scientific breakthroughs have brought a once-distant dream within reach. My budget will ask Democrats and Republicans to make the needed commitment to eliminate the HIV epidemic in the United States within 10 years. Together, we will defeat AIDS in America.

Tonight, I am also asking you to join me in another fight that all Americans can get behind: the fight against childhood cancer.

Joining Melania in the gallery this evening is a very brave 10-year-old girl, Grace Eline. Every birthday since she was 4, Grace asked her friends to donate to St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital. She did not know that one day she might be a patient herself. Last year, Grace was diagnosed with brain cancer. Immediately, she began radiation treatment. At the same time, she raised more than $40,000 for the fight against cancer. When Grace completed treatment last fall, her doctors and nurses cheered with tears in their eyes as she hung up a poster that read: “Last Day of Chemo.” Grace—you are an inspiration to us all.

Many childhood cancers have not seen new therapies in decades. My budget will ask the Congress for $500 million over the next 10 years to fund the National Cancer Institute, to help accelerate research.

To help support working parents, the time has come to pass school choice for America’s children. I am also proud to be the first President to include in my plan for nationwide paid family leave—so that every new parent has the chance to bond with their newborn child.

There could be no greater contrast to the beautiful image of a mother holding her infant child than the chilling displays our Nation saw in recent days. Lawmakers in New York cheered with delight upon the passage of legislation that would allow a baby to be ripped from the mother’s womb moments before birth. These are living, feeling human beings, who will never get the chance to share their love and dreams with the world. And then, we had the case of the Governor of Virginia where he basically stated he would execute a baby after birth.

To defend the dignity of every person, I am asking the Congress to pass legislation to prohibit the late-term abortion of children who can feel pain in the mother’s womb.

Let us work together to build a culture that cherishes innocent life. And let us reaffirm a fundamental truth: all children—born and unborn—are made in the holy image of God.

The final part of my agenda is to protect America’s National Security.

Over the last 2 years, we have begun to fully rebuild the United States Military—with $700 billion last year and $716 billion this year. We are also getting other nations to pay their fair share. For years, the United States was burdened with a treaty—INF Treaty—by which we agreed to limit and reduce our missile capabilities. While we followed the agreement to the letter, Russia repeatedly violated its terms. That is why I announced that the United States is officially withdrawing from the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty, or INF Treaty.

Perhaps we can negotiate a different agreement, adding China and others, or perhaps we can’t—in which case, we will outspend and out-innovate all others by far.

As part of a bold new diplomacy, we continue our historic push for peace on the Korean Peninsula. Our hostages have come home, nuclear testing has
Above all, friend and foe alike must never doubt this Nation's power and will to defend our people. Eighteen years ago, terrorists attacked the USS Cole—and last month American forces killed one of the leaders of the attack. We are still joined tonight by Tom Wibberley, whose son, Navy Seaman Craig Wibberley, was one of the 17 sailors we tragically lost. Tom: we vow to always remember the heroes of the USS Cole.

My Administration has acted decisively to confront the world's leading state sponsor of terror: the radical regime in Iran.

To ensure this corrupt dictatorship never acquires nuclear weapons, I withdrew the United States from the disastrous Iran nuclear deal. And last fall, we put in place the toughest sanctions ever imposed on a country.

We will not avert our eyes from a regime that chants death to America and threatens genocide against the Jewish people. We must never ignore the vile poison of anti-Semitism, or those who spread its venomous creed. With one voice, we must confront this hatred anywhere and everywhere it occurs.

Just months ago, 11 Jewish-Americans were murdered in an anti-Semitic attack on the Tree of Life Synagogue in Pittsburgh.

SWAT Officer Timothy Matson raced into the gunfire and was shot seven times chasing down the killer. Timothy has just had his heart transplant. He wrote the trip to be here with us tonight. Officer Matson: we are forever grateful for your courage in the face of evil.

Tonight, we are also joined by Pittsburgh survivor Judah Samet. He arrived at the synagogue as the massacre began. But not only did Judah narrowly escape death last fall—more than seven decades ago, he narrowly survived the Nazi concentration camps. Today is Judah’s 81st birthday. Judah says he can still remember the exact moment, nearly 75 years ago, after 10 months in a concentration camp, when he and his family were put on a train, and told they were going to another camp. Suddenly the train screeched to a halt. A soldier appeared. Judah’s family braced for the worst. Then, his father cried out with joy: “It’s the Americans.”

A second Holocaust survivor who is here tonight, Joshua Kaufman, was a prisoner in the Auschwitz concentration camp. He remembers watching through a hole in the wall of a cattle car as American soldiers rolled in with tanks. “To me,” Joshua recalls, “the American soldiers were proof that God exists, and they came down from the sky.”

I began this evening by honoring three soldiers who fought on D-Day in the Second World War. One of them was Herman Zeitchik. But there is more to Herman’s story. A year after he scored the beaches of Normandy, Herman was one of those American soldiers who helped liberate Dachau. He was one of the Americans who helped rescue Joshua from that hell on earth. Almost 75 years later, Herman and Joshua are both together in the gallery tonight—seated side-by-side, here in the home of American freedom. Herman and Joshua: your presence this evening honors and uplifts our entire Nation.

When American soldiers set out beneath the dark skies over the English Channel in the early hours of D-Day, 1944, they were just young men of 18 and 19, hurling on fragile landing craft toward the most momentous battle in the history of war.

They did not know if they would survive the hour. They did not know if they would grow old. But they knew that America had to prevail. Their cause was this Nation, and generations yet unborn.

Why did they do it? They did it for America—they did it for us.

Everything that has come since—our triumph over communism, our giant leap for science and discovery, our unrivaled progress toward equality and justice—all of it is possible thanks to the blood and tears and courage and vision of the Americans who came before.

Think of this Capitol—think of this very chamber, where lawmakers before you voted to end slavery, to build the railroads and the highways, to defeat fascism, to secure civil rights, to face down an evil empire.

Here tonight, we have legislators from across this magnificent republic. You have come from the rocky shores of Maine and the volcanic peaks of Hawaii; from the snowy woods of Wisconsin and the red deserts of Arizona; from the green farms of Kentucky and the golden beaches of California. Together, we represent the most extraordinary Nation in all of history.

What will we do with this moment?

How will we be remembered?

I ask the men and women of this Congress—look at the opportunities before us! Our most thrilling achievements are still ahead. Our most exciting journeys still await. Our biggest victories are still to come. We have not yet begun to dream.

We must choose whether we are defined by our differences—or whether we dare to transcend them.

We must choose whether we will squander our inheritance—or whether we will proudly declare that we are America. We are the incredible. We defy the impossible. We conquer the unknown.

This is the time to re-ignite the American imagination. This is the time to search for the tallest summit, and set our sights on the brightest star. This is the time to rekindle the bonds of love and loyalty and memory that link us together as citizens, as neighbors, as patriots.

This is our future—our fate—and our choice to make. I am asking you to choose greatness. No matter the trials we face, no matter the challenges to come, we must go forward together.
We must keep America first in our hearts. We must keep freedom alive in our souls. And we must always keep faith in America’s destiny—that one Nation, under God, must be the hope and the promise and the light and the glory among all the nations of the world!

Thank you. God Bless You, God Bless America, and good night!

DONALD J. TRUMP.


MEASURES READ THE FIRST TIME

The following bill was read the first time:

S. 340. A bill to promote competition in the market for drugs and biological products by facilitating the timely entry of lower-cost generic and biosimilar versions of those drugs and biological products.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC–214. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Iowa; State Implementation Plan and Operating Permits” (FRL No. 9988–94–Region 7) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 1, 2019; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–215. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval of Recodification and Revisions to South Carolina Control Rule State Implementation Plan and Operating Permits” (FRL Nos. 9988–39–Region 8) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 1, 2019; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–216. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval of Recodification and Revisions to South Dakota; Interim Approval of Title V Program Recodification and Revisions; Approval of Recodification and Revisions to State Programs and Delegation of Authority to Implement and Enforce Clean Air Act Sections 111 and 112 Standards and Requirements” (FRL No. 9988–39–Region 8) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 1, 2019; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–217. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; North Dakota; Revisions to Infrastructure Requirements for All National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Carbon Monoxide (CO); Lead (Pb); Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2); Particulate Matter (PM2.5, PM10); Sulfur Dioxide (SO2); Recodification” (FRL No. 9988–35–Region 8) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 1, 2019; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–218. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Dakota; Revisions to Infrastructure Requirements for All National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Carbon Monoxide (CO); Lead (Pb); Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2); Particulate Matter (PM2.5, PM10); Sulfur Dioxide (SO2); Recodification” (FRL No. 9988–35–Region 8) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 1, 2019; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. GRAASSLE, from the Committee on Finance, without amendment:

S. Res. 40. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BARRASSO, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, without amendment:

S. Res. 41. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

By Mr. INHOFE, from the Committee on Armed Services, without amendment:

S. Res. 42. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Armed Services.

By Ms. MURKOWSKI, from the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, without amendment:

S. Res. 43. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BARR, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, without amendment:

S. Res. 44. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

S. 163. A bill to prevent catastrophic failure or shutdown of remote diesel power engines due to emission control devices, and for other purposes.

S. 328. A bill to reauthorize the Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program and certain wildlife conservation funds, to establish prize competitions relating to the prevention of wildlife poaching and trafficking, wildlife conservation, the management of invasive species, and the protection of endangered species, to amend the Marine Turtle Conservation Act; and for other purposes.


EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following executive reports of nominations were submitted:

By Mr. BARRASSO for the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

*Nicole R. Nason, of New York, to be Administrator of the Federal Highway Administration.

*Andrew Wheeler, of Virginia, to be Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

*John Fleming, of Louisiana, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development.

*John L. Ryder, of Tennessee, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Tennessee Valley Authority for a term expiring May 18, 2021.

*Peter C. Wright, of Michigan, to be Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste, Environmental Protection Agency.

*Jim GRASSLEY for the Committee on Finance.

Elizabeth Darling, of Texas, to be Commissioner on Children, Youth, and Families, Department of Health and Human Services.

Michael J. Desmond, of California, to be Chief Counsel for the Internal Revenue Service and an Assistant General Counsel in the Department of the Treasury.

Michael Faulkender, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

Jeffrey Kessler, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

*Nomination was reported with recommendation that it be confirmed subject to the nominee’s commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.

(Nominations without an asterisk were reported with the recommendation that they be confirmed.)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. PORTMAN (for himself and Mr. BROWN): S. 329. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow charitable organizations to make statements relating to political campaigns if such statements are made
in the ordinary course of carrying out its tax exempt purpose; to the Committee on Finance.

By Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself, Mr. LEAHY, and Mr. CRUZ):

S. 334. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to work with cybersecurity consortia for training, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. DAINES (for himself and Mr. Tester):

S. 335. A bill to require the construction of the Musselshell-Judith Rural Water System and study of the Dry-Redwater Regional Water Authority System in the States of Montana, North Dakota, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. RUBIO (for himself, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. WARNER, Mr. MORAN, Ms. WARNEN, and Mr. JONES):

S. 336. A bill to require the Secretary of Commerce to ensure that ZTE Corporation complies with all probationary conditions set forth in the settlement agreement entered into between ZTE Corporation and the Bureau of Industry and Security of the Department of Commerce; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. TESTER:

S. 337. A bill to direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report on the response of law enforcement agencies to the reporting of missing or murdered Indians; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

By Ms. STABENOW (for herself and Mr. PETERS):

S. 338. A bill to extend the authorization for the MotorCities National Heritage Area in the State of Michigan, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Ms. WARNEN (for herself, Mr. CARPER, Mr. UDALL, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mrs. FeINSTEIN, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. COONS, Mrs. MURRAY, Mrs. GILLBRAND, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Ms. HARRIS, and Mr. CRAPRO):

S. 339. A bill to amend the Presidential Transition Act of 1963 to require the development of ethics plans for certain transition teams, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. MARKY (for himself, Ms. WARREN, Mr. DURBIN, and Mrs. SHAHEEN):

S. 340. A bill to promote competition in the market for drugs and biological products by facilitating the timely entry of lower-cost, generic and biosimilar versions of those drugs and biological products; read the first time.

By Mr. GARDNER (for himself and Ms. Sinema):

S. 341. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the procurement practices of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. GRASSLEY:

S. Res. 40. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Finance; from the Committee on Finance; to the Committees on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. BARRASSO:

S. Res. 41. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works; from the Committee on Environment and Public Works; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. INHOFE:

S. Res. 42. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Armed Services; from the Committee on Armed Services; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. MORAN (for himself and Mr. ROBERTS):

S. Res. 43. A resolution honoring the 150th anniversary of the establishment of Lindseob, Kansas; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mrs. FeINSTEIN, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. HARRIS, Ms. FERNST, Mrs. BLACKBURR, Mr. TILLIS, and Mr. CRAPO):

S. Res. 44. A resolution raising awareness and encouraging the prevention of stalking by designating January 2019 as “National Stalking Awareness Month”; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. MURKOWSKI:

S. Res. 45. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources; from the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. BARRASSO:

S. Res. 46. An original resolution authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works; from the Committee on Environment and Public Works; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 22. At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MURKOWSKI) was added as a cosponsor of S. 22, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for coverage of dental services under the medicare program.
a bill to provide for the long-term improvement of public school facilities, and for other purposes.

S. 283

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. BLUMENTHAL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 283, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to improve access to, and utilization of, bone mass measurement benefits under part B of the Medicare program by establishing a minimum payment amount under such part for bone mass measurement.

S. 285

At the request of Ms. ERNST, the name of the Senator from Iowa (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 285, a bill to require U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to take into custody certain aliens who have been charged in the United States with a crime that resulted in the death or serious bodily injury of another person, and for other purposes.

S. 294

At the request of Mr. UDALL, the name of the Senator from Washington (Ms. CAPITO) was added as a cosponsor of S. 294, a bill to establish a business incubators program within the Department of the Interior to promote economic development in Indian reservation communities.

S. 311

At the request of Mr. Sasse, the names of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. TOOMEY) and the Senator from West Virginia (Ms. CAPITO) were added as cosponsors of S. 311, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit a health care practitioner from failing to exercise the proper degree of care in the case of a child who survives an abortion or attempted abortion.

S. 319

At the request of Mrs. MURRAY, the names of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY) and the Senator from West Virginia (Ms. CAPITO) were added as cosponsors of S. 319, a bill to improve the reproductive assistance provided by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to war-wounded, ill, or injured members of the Armed Forces, veterans, and their spouses or partners, and for other purposes.

S. J. RES. 3

At the request of Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. YOUNG), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. ISAKSON), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER) and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) were added as cosponsors of S. J. Res. 3, a joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to balancing the budget.

S. RES. 30

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 30, a resolution condemning efforts to undermine democracy in Hungary and urging President Trump to defend the universal human rights and democratic norms under attack by the Orban government.

AMENDMENT NO. 103

At the request of Mr. PETERS, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 103 intended to be proposed to S. 1, a bill to make improvements to certain defense and security communities.

S. 340

A bill to promote competition in the market for drugs and biological products by facilitating the timely entry of lower-cost generic and biosimilar versions of those drugs and biological products; read the first time.

Mr. LEAHY, Mr. President, over the past few years, the national headlines have been dominated by stories about the high cost of pharmaceuticals. We have seen jaw-dropping examples of companies raising the cost of their products overnight, pricing too many families out of the prescription drugs they need to survive. Just last week, House and Senate Committees charged with oversight of the pharmaceutical industry heard heartbreaking testimony from family members of those dependent on insulin who have been forced to ration their treatment because the annual cost of insulin has nearly doubled since 2012. That is appalling, and putting Americans into that kind of circumstance is unacceptable. Lawmakers across the political spectrum, including President Trump, agree that Congress needs to act to rein in these spiraling prescription drug prices. Pharmaceutical companies should be compensated for their important work developing lifesaving treatments. But when companies engage in predatory practices at the expense of consumers, we must act. That is why today I am reintroducing the Creating and Restoring Equal Access to Equivalent Samples (CREATES) Act, a bipartisan legislation to end inappropriate delay tactics that are used by some brand-name drug companies to block competition from more affordable generic drugs. I am glad to be joined by Senators GRASSLEY, KLOBUCHAR, LEE and 24 other Senators of both parties in introducing this bill today.

The first delay tactic addressed by the CREATES Act involves the withholding of drug samples that generic manufacturers need to gain regulatory approval. Federal law requires generic competitors to prove that their low-cost alternative is equally safe and effective as the brand-name drug with which they wish to compete. Unfortunately, some brand-name companies are preventing generic manufacturers from obtaining the samples they need to make the necessary comparison. This simple delay tactic misuses regulatory safeguards as a weapon to block competition.

The second delay tactic addressed by the CREATES Act involves the development of shared safety protocols. For some high-risk drugs, Federal law requires a generic drug manufacturer to join the brand-name drug manufacturer in a single, shared safety protocol for distribution of the drug. Despite this requirement, some brand-name companies are refusing to negotiate safety protocols with potential generic competitors, again undermining those competitors’ ability to gain FDA approval for their generic versions of such drugs.

The CREATES Act allows the FDA to develop an alternative strategy to approve alternative safety protocols, rather than require parties to develop shared safety protocols. Any safety protocol approved by the FDA must meet the rigorous statutory standards already in place.

These exclusionary practices thwart competition and deny consumers the benefit of lower drug prices. Recognizing the effect these tactics have in keeping drug prices high, in May 2018, the FDA for the first time publicly identified a brand-name drug company that abused FDA’s safety programs or enacted their own restricted distribution systems to delay competition from generic and biosimilar manufacturers. The FDA’s list shows 164 inquiries covering more than 50 prescription drugs where access to samples was at issue. In recent years, according to FDA testimony to Congress, the number of inquiries has increased.

I share the concerns of Vermonters and Americans across the country that many prescription drugs are simply too expensive. I hear this again and again, from Vermonters in every corner of our state. When brand companies can artificially raise the price of drugs by using predatory practices, patients suffer. Families worry how their insurance works, or if they can afford their medications. In the healthcare system ultimately bear those added, unnecessary costs.

Making meaningful reform to reduce the cost of prescription drugs should be a top priority for all lawmakers. The CREATES Act is an important piece of that puzzle, and is widely supported across the political spectrum and has
been endorsed by more than 90 groups. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that the CREATES Act would lower Federal spending on prescription drugs by $3.9 billion and research shows that the savings to patients with employer-based health insurance and the health care system overall would be far greater—many billions of dollars more.

Think for a moment about the impact of price hikes on the family of a patient facing a life-threatening illness. Across the country, hardworking Americans struggle with the system rigged against them by corporations that are looking to make a profit at any price. With examples like Turing and Mylan, it is no wonder they feel that way.

The CREATES Act is one piece of the puzzle, addressing anticompetitive behavior that delays the creation of affordable generic drugs. Drug affordability is a bipartisan issue that affects each and every American. These reforms will make a difference. I hope we can finally act together to help put more affordable prescription drugs in the hands of Americans.

### SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

#### SENATE RESOLUTION 40—AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Mr. GRASSLEY submitted the following resolution; from the Committee on Finance; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. Res. 40

Resolved, That, in carrying out its powers, duties, and functions under the Standing Rules of the Senate, in accordance with its jurisdiction under rule XXV of such rules, including holding hearings, reporting such hearings, and making investigations as authorized by paragraphs 1 and 8 of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee on Finance is authorized from March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019; October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020; and October 1, 2020, through February 28, 2021, in its discretion (1) to make expenditures from the contingent fund of the Senate, (2) to employ personnel, and (3) with the prior consent of the Government department or agency concerned and the Committee on Rules and Administration, to use on a reimbursable or non-reimbursable basis the services of personnel of any such department or agency.

S. Res. 40a. The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019, through September 30, 2019, under this resolution shall not exceed $3,334,003, of which amount (1) not to exceed $17,500 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, as amended), and (2) not to exceed $5,833 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of such committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946).

(b) For the period October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020, under this resolution the expenses of the committee shall not exceed $8,775,434, of which amount (1) not to exceed $8,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, as amended), and (2) not to exceed $1,166 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of such committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946).

(c) For the period October 1, 2020, through February 28, 2021, expenses of the committee under this resolution shall not exceed $5,656,431 of which amount (1) not to exceed $12,500 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, as amended), and (2) not to exceed $4,166 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of such committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946).

S. Res. 3. The committee shall report its findings, together with such recommendations for legislation as it deems advisable, to the Senate at the earliest practicable date, but not later than February 28, 2021.

S. Res. 4. Expenses of the committee under this resolution shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee, except that vouchers shall not be required (1) for the disbursement of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate, and (2) for the payment of telecommunications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate, or (3) for the payment of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))).

S. Res. 5. There are authorized such sums as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee from March 1, 2019, through September 30, 2021, for the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate, or for the payment of Senate Recording and Photographic Services, or (7) for payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate.

S. Res. 6. For the period October 1, 2019 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $5,457,399, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $3,334 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))); and

(2) not to exceed $2,000 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of that Act).

S. Res. 7. For the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $2,773,917, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $3,334 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))); and

(2) not to exceed $834 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of that Act).

S. Res. 8. EXPENSES AND AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), expenses of the committee under this resolution shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

(2) VOUCHERS NOT REQUIRED.—Vouchers shall not be required for—

(A) the disbursement of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate;

(B) the payment of telecommunications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(C) the payment of stationery supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery, United States Senate, or (4) for payments to the Postmaster, United States Senate, or (5) for the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate, or (6) for the payment of Senate Recording and Photographic Services, or (7) for payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate.

(b) AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—There are authorized such sums as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee from March 1, 2019, through September 30, 2021, for the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(C) the payment of stationery supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery;

(D) payments to the Postmaster of the United States Senate, or (E) the payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate.

SEC. 2. EXPENSES.—

(a) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019.—The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019, under this resolution shall not exceed $3,183,482, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $4,666 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))); and

(b) EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 PERIOD.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020, under this resolution shall not exceed $5,457,399, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $8,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))); and

(2) not to exceed $2,000 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of that Act).

(c) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2021.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $2,773,917, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $3,334 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i))); and

(2) not to exceed $834 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(2) of that Act).

SEC. 3. EXPENSES AND AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), expenses of the committee under this resolution shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

(2) VOUCHERS NOT REQUIRED.—Vouchers shall not be required for—

(A) the disbursement of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate;

(B) the payment of telecommunications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(C) the payment of stationery supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery;

(D) payments to the Postmaster of the United States Senate, or (E) the payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, United States Senate.

(b) AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—There are authorized such sums as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee from March 1, 2019, through September 30, 2021, for the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;
be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee—
(1) for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019;
(2) for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020; and
(3) for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021.

SENATE RESOLUTION 42—AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES BY THE COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

Mr. INHOFE submitted the following resolution; from the Committee on Armed Services; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. RES. 42

Resolved,

SECTION 1. GENERAL AUTHORITY.

In carrying out its powers, duties, and functions under the Standing Rules of the Senate, in accordance with its jurisdiction under the Standing Rules of the Senate, including holding hearings, reporting such hearings, and making investigations as authorized by paragraphs 1 and 8 of rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee on Armed Services (in this resolution referred to as the ‘‘committee’’) is authorized from March 1, 2019 through February 28, 2021, in its discretion, to—
(1) make expenditures from the contingent fund of the Senate;
(2) employ personnel; and
(3) with the prior consent of the Government department or agency concerned and the Committee on Rules and Administration, use on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis the services of personnel of any such department or agency.

SEC. 2. EXPENSES.

(a) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019.—The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 under this resolution shall not exceed $4,162,229, of which amount—
(1) not to exceed $51,333 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and
(2) not to exceed $19,250 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(b) EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 PERIOD.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020 under this resolution shall not exceed $7,138,447, of which amount—
(1) not to exceed $88,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and
(2) not to exceed $33,000 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(c) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2021.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $2,973,021, of which amount—
(1) not to exceed $36,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and
(2) not to exceed $15,750 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

SEC. 3. EXPENSES AND AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE.—
(1) In general.—Provided in paragraph (2), expenses of the committee under this resolution shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

(2) VOUCHERS NOT REQUIRED.—Vouchers shall not be required for—
(A) the disbursement of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate;
(B) the payment of communications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;
(C) the payment of statery supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery;
(D) payments to the Postmaster of the Senate;
(E) the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;
(F) the payment of Senate Recording and Photographic Services;
(G) the payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(b) AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—There are authorized to be paid from the appropriations account for “Expenses of Inquiries and Investigations” of the Senate, as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee—
(1) for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019;
(2) for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020; and
(3) for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021.

SENATE RESOLUTION 43—HONORING THE 150TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF LINDBORG, KANSAS

Mr. MORAN (for himself and Mr. ROBERTS) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 43

Whereas, February 20, 1919, marks the 150th anniversary of the establishment of Lindborg, a town in McPherson County, Kansas;

Whereas, in 1868, Kansas was known as “the great American desert,” as it was reported that it never rained in Kansas, and upon organizing the first Swedish Agricultural Company in April 1868, Chairman John Ferm said, “If God is going to let us settle in the United States in April 1976; and
Whereas the Swedish immigrants who settled in Kansas endured harsh weather conditions to establish Lindborg, and the people of Lindborg have continued to maintain their Swedish heritage, making Lindborg a cultural destination; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) honors Lindborg, Kansas, on the 150th anniversary of its establishment;

(2) commends the women who have made Lindborg the town of rich culture it is today; and

(3) honors the immigrants of the Varmland province of Sweden for settling in Lindborg.

SENATE RESOLUTION 44—RAISING AWARENESS AND ENCOURAGING THE PREVENTION OF STALKING BY DESIGNATING JANUARY 2019 AS “NATIONAL STALKING AWARENESS MONTH”

Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. HARRIS, Ms. ERNST, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. TILLIS, and Mr. CRAPO) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 44

Whereas approximately 1 in 6 women in the United States, at some time in their lifetimes, have experienced stalking victimization, during which the women felt very fearful or believed that they or someone close to them would be harmed or killed;

Whereas, during a 1-year period, an estimated 6,600,000 individuals in the United States reported that they had been victims of stalking;

Whereas more than 80 percent of victims of stalking reported that they had been stalked by someone they knew;

Whereas nearly 70 percent of intimate partner stalking victims were threatened with physical harm by stalkers;

Whereas 11 percent of victims of stalking reported having been stalked for more than 5 years;

Whereas two-thirds of stalkers pursue their victims at least once a week;

Whereas many victims of stalking are forced to take drastic measures to protect themselves, including relocating, changing jobs, or obtaining protection orders;

Whereas the prevalence of anxiety, insomnia, social dysfunction, and severe depression is much higher among victims of stalking than the general population;

Whereas many victims of stalking do not report stalking to the police or contact a victim service provider, shelter, or hotline;
WHEREAS stalking is a crime under Federal law and the laws of all 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the territories of the United States;

WHEREAS stalking affects victims of every race, age, culture, gender, sexual orientation, physical and mental ability, and economic status;

WHEREAS national organizations, local victim service organizations, campuses, prosecutor’s offices, and police departments stand ready to assist victims of stalking and are working diligently to develop effective and innovative responses to stalking;

WHEREAS there is a need for an increase in the availability of victim services across the United States, and the services must include programs tailored to meet the needs of victims of stalking;

WHEREAS individuals 18 to 21 years old experience the highest rates of stalking victimization, and a majority of stalking victims report their victimization first occurred before the age of 25;

WHEREAS up to 75 percent of women in college who experience behavior relating to stalking experience other forms of victimization, including sexual or physical victimization;

WHEREAS there is a need for an effective response to stalking on each campus; and

WHEREAS the Senate finds that “National Stalking Awareness Month” provides an opportunity to educate the people of the United States about stalking. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved That the Senate—

(1) designates January 2019 as “National Stalking Awareness Month”;

(2) applauds the efforts of service providers for victims of stalking, police, prosecutors, national and community organizations, campuses, and private sector supporters to promote awareness of stalking;

(3) encourages policymakers, criminal justice officials, victim service and human service agencies, institutions of higher education, and nonprofit organizations to increase awareness of stalking and the availability of services for victims of stalking; and

(4) urges national and community organizations, businesses in the private sector, and the media to promote awareness of the crime of stalking through “National Stalking Awareness Month”.

SENATE RESOLUTION 45—AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Ms. MURKOWSKI submitted the following resolution; from the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. Res. 45

Resolved, SEC. 1. GENERAL AUTHORITY.

In carrying out its powers, duties, and functions under the Standing Rules of the Senate, in accordance with its jurisdiction under rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, including holding hearings, reporting such hearings, and making investigations as authorized by paragraphs 1 and 8 of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources (in this resolution referred to as the “committee”) is authorized from March 1, 2019 through February 28, 2021, in its discretion, to—

(1) make expenditures from the contingent fund of the Senate;

(2) employ personnel; and

(3) with the prior consent of the Government department or agency concerned and in accordance with the authority to use on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis the services of personnel of any such department or agency.

SEC. 2. EXPENSES.

(a) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019.—The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 under this resolution shall not exceed $3,634,855, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $17,500 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $8,750 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(b) EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 PERIOD.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020 under this resolution shall not exceed $5,798,948, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $30,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $15,000 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(c) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2021.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $2,391,645, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $12,500 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $8,750 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(d) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019.—The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 under this resolution shall not exceed $3,634,855, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $4,666 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $1,166 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

SEC. 3. EXPENSES AND AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE.—In general, except as provided in paragraph (2), expenses of the committee under this subsection shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate upon vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

(b) VOUCHERS NOT REQUIRED.—Vouchers shall not be required for—

(A) the disbursement of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate;

(B) the payment of telecommunications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(C) the payment of stationery supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery;

(D) payments to the Postmaster of the Senate;

(E) the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(F) the payment of Senate Recording and Photography Service for the photographing of any proceedings or events; and

(G) the payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper.

(b) AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—There are authorized to be paid from the appropriations account for “Expenditures of Inquiries and Investigations” of the Senate such sums as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee—

(1) for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019;

(2) for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020; and

(3) for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021.

SENATE RESOLUTION 46—AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

Mr. BARRASSO submitted the following resolution; from the Committee on Environment and Public Works; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. Res. 46

Resolved, SECTION 1. GENERAL AUTHORITY.

In carrying out its powers, duties, and functions under the Standing Rules of the Senate, in accordance with its jurisdiction under rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee on Environment and Public Works (in this resolution referred to as the “committee”) is authorized from March 1, 2019 through February 28, 2021, in its discretion, to—

(1) make expenditures from the contingent fund of the Senate;

(2) employ personnel; and

(3) with the prior consent of the Government department or agency concerned and in accordance with the authority to use on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis the services of personnel of any such department or agency.

SEC. 2. EXPENSES.

(a) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019.—The expenses of the committee for the period March 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 under this resolution shall not exceed $3,183,482, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $14,666 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $3,183,468, of which amount—

(A) not to exceed $12,500 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(B) not to exceed $6,250 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(b) EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 PERIOD.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020 under this resolution shall not exceed $2,273,917, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $8,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $1,166 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(c) EXPENSES FOR PERIOD ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2021.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2020 through February 28, 2021 under this resolution shall not exceed $5,457,399, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $8,000 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $2,000 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).

(d) EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 PERIOD.—The expenses of the committee for the period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020 under this resolution shall not exceed $3,183,482, of which amount—

(1) not to exceed $3,534 may be expended for the procurement of the services of individual consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(1) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(1))); and

(2) not to exceed $1,166 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(1) of that Act).
consultants, or organizations thereof (as authorized by section 202(i) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 4301(i)));

and

(2) not to exceed $334 may be expended for the training of the professional staff of the committee (under procedures specified by section 202(j) of that Act).

SEC. 3. EXPENSES AND AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), expenses of the committee under this section shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate, and shall be apportioned from that fund by the Senate on vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

(b) VOUCHERS NOT REQUIRED.—Vouchers shall not be required for—

(A) the payment of salaries of employees paid at an annual rate;

(B) the payment of telecommunications provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(C) the payment of stationary supplies purchased through the Keeper of the Stationery;

(D) payments to the Postmaster of the Senate;

(E) the payment of metered charges on copying equipment provided by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper;

(F) the payment of Senate Recording and Photographic Services; or

(G) the payment of franked and mass mail costs by the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper.

(b) AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—There are authorized to be paid from the appropriations for “Exchanges of Inquiries and Investigations” of the Senate such sums as may be necessary for agency contributions related to the compensation of employees of the committee.

(1) for the period March 1, 2019, through September 30, 2019;

(2) for the period October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020; and

(3) for the period October 1, 2020, through February 28, 2021.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 107. Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. BROWN, Ms. WARNEN, and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 47, to provide for the management of the natural resources of the United States, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 108. Mr. KAINÉ (for himself and Mr. WARNER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 47, to provide for the management of the natural resources of the United States, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

SEC. 12. ADDITIONS TO ROUGH MOUNTAIN WILDERNESS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ROUGH MOUNTAIN ADDITION.—Certain land in the George Washington National Forest comprising approximately 1,000 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘‘GEORGE WASHINGTON NATIONAL FOREST – South half – Alternative I – Selected Alternative Management Prescriptions – Land and Resources Management Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement’’ and dated March 4, 2014, which is incorporated in the Rough Mountain Wilderness Area designated by paragraph (1).

(b) AMENDMENTS.—The term “Rough Mountain Addition” means the area designated under paragraph (1).

(c) O HIO & E RIE NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA.—Section 1 of Public Law 100–326 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 102 Stat. 584; 110 Stat. 2475; 122 Stat. 82) is amended by striking “$17,000,000” and inserting “$20,000,000”.

(d) ROUGH MOUNTAIN ADDITION.—Section 508(a) of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–333; 110 Stat. 4256; 129 Stat. 2551) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking “$17,000,000” and inserting “$20,000,000”.

SEC. 13. CONVEYANCE OF THE OAKES TEST AREA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGREEMENT.—The term “Agreement” means a title transfer agreement between the United States and the District—

(A) to determine the legal, operational, institutional, and financial terms related to the conveyance of the Oakes Test Area as required by subsection (b); and

(B) to be entered into pursuant to the memorandum of agreement entitled “Memosrandum of Agreement Between United States of America Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Dickey-Sargent Irrigation District For the Purpose of Defining Roles and Responsibilities for Actions Required to Prepare for Title Transfer of Certain Facilities, Land, and Appurtenances at the Oakes Test Area Project’’ and dated March 4, 2014, which is incorporated in the Rough Mountain Wilderness Area designated by paragraph (1).

(c) LIABILITY.—

(1) the date on which the Secretary of Agriculture publishes in the Federal Register notice that the activities permitted under subparagraph (A) have been completed; and

(2) the date that is the lesser of (A) the date of enactment of that clause with the least amount of adverse impact on wilderness character and resources.

SEC. 14. CONVEYANCE OF THE OAKES TEST AREA TO THE DICKER-SARGENT IRRIGATION DISTRICT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGREEMENT.—The term “Agreement” means a title transfer agreement between the United States and the District—

(A) to determine the legal, operational, institutional, and financial terms related to the conveyance of the Oakes Test Area as required by subsection (b); and

(B) to be entered into pursuant to the memorandum of agreement entitled “Memosrandum of Agreement Between United States of America Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Dickey-Sargent Irrigation District For the Purpose of Defining Roles and Responsibilities for Actions Required to Prepare for Title Transfer of Certain Facilities, Land, and Appurtenances at the Oakes Test Area Project’’ and dated March 4, 2014, which is incorporated in the Rough Mountain Wilderness Area designated by paragraph (1).

(c) O HIO & E RIE NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA.—Section 1 of Public Law 100–326 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 102 Stat. 584; 110 Stat. 2475; 122 Stat. 82) is amended by striking “$17,000,000” and inserting “$20,000,000”.

SA 109. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself and Mr. CRAMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 47, to provide for the management of the natural resources of the United States and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

SEC. 83. CONVEYANCE OF THE OAKES TEST AREA OF THE GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT PROJECT, NORTH DAKOTA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGREEMENT.—The term “Agreement” means a title transfer agreement between the United States and the District—

(A) to determine the legal, operational, institutional, and financial terms related to the conveyance of the Oakes Test Area as required by subsection (b); and

(B) to be entered into pursuant to the memorandum of agreement entitled “Memosrandum of Agreement Between United States of America Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Dickey-Sargent Irrigation District For the Purpose of Defining Roles and Responsibilities for Actions Required to Prepare for Title Transfer of Certain Facilities, Land, and Appurtenances at the Oakes Test Area Project’’ and dated March 4, 2014, which is incorporated in the Rough Mountain Wilderness Area designated by paragraph (1).

(b) AMENDMENTS.—The term “Oakes Test Area” means the facilities, land, and appurtenances of the approximately 5,000 acres known as the “Oakes Test Area”, dated December 18, 2018, and numbered 19AG620033.

(c) LIABILITY.—

(1) the date on which the Secretary of Agriculture publishes in the Federal Register notice that the activities permitted under subparagraph (A) have been completed; and

(2) the date that is the lesser of (A) the date of enactment of that clause with the least amount of adverse impact on wilderness character and resources.

(d) BENEFITS.—After the conveyance of the Oakes Test Area to the District under this section—

(1) the Oakes Test Area shall not be considered to be a part of a Federal reclamation project; and
(2) the District shall not be eligible to receive any benefits with respect to any facility comprising that Oakes Test Area, other than benefits that would be available to a similarly situated person with respect to a facility that is not part of a Federal reclamation project.

(e) COMMUNICATION.—If the Secretary has not conveyed the land under subsection (b) by the date that is 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a letter with sufficient detail that—

(1) explains the reasons the conveyance has not been completed; and

(2) specifies the date by which the conveyance will be completed.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO OBJECT TO PROCEEDING

I, Senator Ron Wyden, intend to object to proceeding to the nomination of Elizabeth Darling, of Texas, to be Commissioner on Children, Youth, and Families, Department of Health and Human Services, dated February 5, 2019.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, I have 9 requests for committees to meet during today’s session of the Senate. They have the approval of the Majority and Minority leaders.

Pursuant to rule XXVI, paragraph 5(a), of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the following committees are authorized to meet during today’s session of the Senate:

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

The Committee on Armed Services is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Tuesday, February 5, 2019, at 9:30 a.m., to conduct a hearing entitled “Examining the United States Central Command in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2020 and the Future Years Defense Program.”

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

The Committee on Armed Services is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Tuesday, February 5, 2019, at 2:15 p.m., to conduct a hearing entitled “Examining the United States Central Command in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2020 and the Future Years Defense Program.”

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

The Committee on Energy and Natural Resources is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Tuesday, February 5, 2019, at 10 a.m., to conduct a hearing entitled “The Select Committee on Intelligence is authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Tuesday, February 5, 2019, at 2:30 p.m., to conduct a closed briefing.”

AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENT OF ESCORT COMMITTEE

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the President pro tempore of the Senate be authorized to appoint a committee on the part of the Senate to join with a like committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort the President of the United States into the House Chamber for the joint session to be held at 9 p.m. on Tuesday, February 5, 2019.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—S. 340

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I understand that there is a bill at the desk, and I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the bill by title for the first time.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 340) to promote competition in the market for drugs and biological products by facilitating the timely entry of lower-cost generic and biosimilar versions of those drugs and biological products.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I now ask for a second reading, and in order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will receive a second reading on the next legislative day.

NATIONAL FFA ORGANIZATION’S FEDERAL CHARTER AMENDMENTS ACT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 439 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 439) to amend the charter of the Future Farmers of America, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

The bill (H.R. 439) was taken up and read a second time.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Mr. MCCONNELL. The bill (H.R. 439) was passed.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.
NATIONAL STALKING AWARENESS MONTH

The PRESIDING OFFICER, Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 44, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. Res. 44) raising awareness and encouraging the prevention of stalking by designating January 2019 as “National Stalking Awareness Month.”

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to proceeding to the measure?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. McCONNELL. I know of no further debate on the measure.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

If not, the question is on agreeing to the resolution.

The resolution (S. Res. 44) was agreed to.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the preamble be agreed to and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 44) was printed in today’s Record under “Submitted Resolutions.”

ORDER FOR RECESS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate recess until 8:25 p.m. tonight and upon reconvening, proceed as a body to the Hall of the House of Representatives for the joint session of Congress provided under the provisions of H. Con. Res. 9; that upon dissolution of the joint session, the Senate adjourn until 10 a.m., Wednesday, February 6; further, that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; finally, that following leader remarks, the Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to S. 47, with all time during the recess, adjournment, morning business, and leader remarks counting postcloture on the motion to proceed to S. 47.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate stands in recess until 8:25 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 4:40 p.m., recessed until 8:25 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. ROUNDS).

JOINT SESSION OF THE TWO HOUSES—ADDRESS BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed as a body to the Hall of the House of Representatives to receive a message from the President of the United States.

Thereupon, the Senate, preceded by the Deputy Sergeant at Arms, Jennifer Hemingway; the Secretary of the Senate, Julie E. Adams; and the Vice President of the United States, Michael R. Pence, proceeded to the Hall of the House of Representatives to hear the address of the President of the United States, Donald J. Trump.

(The address delivered by the President of the United States to the joint session of the two Houses of Congress is printed in the proceedings of the House of Representatives in today’s Record.)

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2019, AT 10 A.M.

At the conclusion of the joint session of the two Houses, and in accordance with the order previously entered, at 10:35 p.m., the Senate adjourned until Wednesday, February 6, 2019, at 10 a.m.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate February 5, 2019:

IN THE AIR FORCE

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under Title 10, U.S.C., Sections 12203 and 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. FRANK A. RODMAN

IN THE ARMY

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under Title 10, U.S.C., Section 12203:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. ROBERT D. HARTER

The following named National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under Title 10, U.S.C., Sections 12203 and 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. CHARLES M. SCHORNING

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the Army to the grades indicated under Title 10, U.S.C., Section 12203:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. DAVID W. LING

IN THE MARINE CORPS

The following Marine Corps nominations beginning with Saleh F. Dagher and ending with Neville A. Welch, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on January 15, 2019:

CONFIRMATION OF EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

NOMINATIONS OF THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE MILITARY RESERVE OF THE UNITED STATES TO THE GRADES INDICATED BEING CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE ON FEBRUARY 5, 2019:

Major General

COL. CHARLES M. HEMINGWAY

To be major general

IN THE MARINE CORPS

Bonfire of the Shadows, the political sci-fi novel by Orson Scott Card, presents a dystopian future where the government controls the masses through induced sleep and is referred to as the Loom. The book explores themes of government control, surveillance, and the nature of reality, challenging the reader to question the narratives imposed upon them. Card's expertise in science fiction provides a unique perspective, blending elements of dystopian literature with scientific speculations.
Mr. GARAMENDI. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the importance of donating plasma and to applaud those individuals that make the commitment to be a plasma donor.

Many Americans are impacted by rare, serious, and sometimes life-threatening medical conditions that are effectively managed by therapies derived from donated plasma. Patients affected by alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, hemophilia, hereditary angioedema, platelet disorders, primary immunodeficiency diseases, as well as other communities rely on plasma-derived therapies. For many of these patients, plasma-derived therapies represent life-sustaining or life-improving medicine that is only available and accessible as a result of plasma donors. Moreover, these therapies represent the cutting-edge of medicine and it often takes dozens of donations to prepare one course of treatment for a single patient.

These communities are collectively represented by the American Plasma Users Coalition, which works on policy issues to protect the safety of plasma donors and plasma products, and to ensure an adequate supply of plasma donations to meet the medical needs of patients. As science advances and we are better able to diagnose these conditions and treat additional conditions due to breakthroughs with plasma-derived therapies, we will need more Americans to make the important choice to donate plasma to ensure all patients continue to have access to essential treatments.

Many of us have already made the commitment to be either an occasional or regular plasma donor. Often times, this decision stems from knowing a patient who relies on plasma-derived therapies and understanding the tremendous impact a simple donation has on their health and the well-being of their family. Plasma donors come from all walks-of-life and all backgrounds, but to the patient community they are everyday heroes.

Madam Speaker, let us recognize the contributions of plasma donors while calling attention to the importance of plasma donation.

CONGRATULATIONS ON RETIREMENT

HON. JOHN GARAMENDI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GARAMENDI. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the career of Dr. G.P. “Bud” Peterson. On January 7, 2019, Dr. Peterson announced that he will be retiring from the role of President at the Georgia Institute of Technology, commonly known as Georgia Tech.

His retirement comes after eleven years of service to this great academic institution. Throughout those years, Bud demonstrated extraordinary leadership. A few examples include having the university accepted into the Association of American Universities, increasing enrollment while other academic institutions are struggling, expanding areas of research, and being named a top-10 public research university. As a graduate of Georgia Tech, I am proud of what this institution has accomplished. Thanks in part to the talented faculty and the direction President Peterson provided.

Dr. Peterson is truly leaving Georgia Tech a better place than when he arrived. Sandy and I wish him well and congratulate him on his wonderful career. I thank him for all he has done to make Georgia Tech a better place, and wish him good luck in all future endeavors.

HAVEN JOOST GIBSON
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Haven Joost Gibson. Haven is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 96, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Haven has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years Haven has been involved with scouting, he has not only learned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community. Most notably, Haven built 5 bat houses that hold up to 300 bats each for the Lake of the Ozarks National Park.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Haven Joost Gibson for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

OATH CEREMONY ON FEBRUARY 8, 2019

HON. PETER J. VISCLOSKY
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Madam Speaker, it is with great pleasure and sincerity that I take this time to congratulate thirty individuals who will take their oaths of citizenship on February 8, 2019. This memorable occasion will be held at the United States Courthouse and Federal Building in Hammond, Indiana.

America is a country founded by immigrants. From its beginning, settlers have come from countries around the world to the United States in search of better lives for their families. Oath ceremonies are a shining example of what is so great about the United States of America—that people from all over the world can come together and unite as members of a free, democratic nation. These individuals realize that nowhere else in the world offers a better opportunity for success than here in America.

On February 8, 2019, the following people, representing many nations throughout the world, will take their oaths of citizenship in Hammond, Indiana: Mahmooda Mohammed, Alice Nyambura Ngatia, Nicholas Ngugi Kiraki, BarbaraLucio,RisteTrajanovski,JanetteMagallanes,Tehmina Talib,Brute Arnold, Chantal Mutabazi, Ainika Faustina de Coteau, Ian Frederick Griffiths, Huy N. Huynh, Brenda Berenice Avila Rosales, Rodrigo Garcia, Elisabeth Navarro, Ristana Lazaroska, Elva Lucero Madrigal, Audrey Corinne Kaucoud Boman, Chulida O’Donnell, Jose Efrain Aguilar, SohailAaleem, MosheMichael Abudraham, Pablo Ernesto Bernaschina, Mayra Mercado-Estrada, Mary Teresa Duffy, Norma Lizeth Cruz, Adriana Bell, Faustin Kasera, Marisol Bosio, and Hug Gyng.

Although each individual has sought to become a citizen of the United States for his or her own reasons, be it for education, occupation, or to offer their loved ones better lives, each is inspired by the fact that the United States of America is, as Abraham Lincoln described it, a country “... of the people, by the people, and for the people.” They realize that the United States is truly a free nation. By seeking American citizenship, they have made the decision that they want to live in a place where, as guaranteed by the First Amendment of the Constitution, they can practice religion as they choose, speak their minds without fear of punishment, and assemble in peaceful protest should they choose to do so.

Madam Speaker, I respectfully ask you and my other distinguished colleagues to join me in congratulating these individuals who will become citizens of the United States of America on February 8, 2019. They, too, are American citizens, guaranteed the inalienable rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. We, as a free and democratic nation, congratulate them and welcome them.

RECOGNIZING ANGELA HRITZ AS THE OKALOOSA COUNTY TEACHER OF THE YEAR

HON. MATT GAETZ
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GAETZ. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Angela Hritz as the Teacher of the Year for Okaloosa County, Florida.

- This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
Ms. Hritz teaches World History at Davidson Middle School, where she has spent her twenty-year teaching career.

Ms. Hritz has served the Okaloosa County School District with exceptional passion and an unwavering commitment to excellence. It is recognized that her teaching profession is one of the most difficult yet rewarding professions in existence. Ms. Hritz has continued to exceed all expectations and proves her devotion to her profession in a multitude of ways.

Ms. Hritz possesses the dynamic capability to encompass many different facets in her lessons. She is specifically known for incorporating ELA standards within her social studies activities.

She immerses her students in the content by using Socratic Seminars to deepen their understanding and develop communication skills in a respectful environment.

Ms. Hritz exhibits her passion for education every day in her actions. Her unmistakable love for her job provides a strong foundation for her ability to successfully engage and encourage her students.

Ms. Hritz also effectively draws from her own experiences to compliment her instruction. Having traveled to some of the very places of which she teaches, enables her to better instill a sense of wonder about the world in her students and to encourage them to explore other places.

Madam Speaker, on behalf of the United States Congress, I am privileged to recognize Angela Hritz for her accomplishments and her profound commitment to the Okaloosa County School District. I offer my gratitude for her service and wish her all the best for continued success.

WEST METRO CHAMBER 2019
SEYFER FAMILY

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud The Seyfer Family for receiving the 2019 West Metro Chamber of Commerce’s Steve Burbikholder Diamond Legacy Award.

This award is reserved for individuals who achieve the highest level of respect within the their community. Nominees are known as the “shining stars” of the current business world and must have had a positive economic impact within the Jefferson County community over the last year. This is true of the one and only Seyfer family—Margie, Christy, Don, Tina Marie and Troy—who have all contributed to their community in unique and substantial ways.

Margie Seyfer has co-chaired the Wheat Ridge Carnation Festival and Wheat Ridge Kite Festival as well as spearheaded membership and recruitment efforts for the Wheat Ridge Business Association. For the past several years, she has also served as a reading tutor for Jefferson County and Denver Public Schools.

Christy Seyfer has also been an integral part of the Wheat Ridge Carnation Festival as their designated photographer and organizing the kid’s craft booth. She has also volunteered with the Wheat Ridge Kit Flight Festival, Arvada Center Dance Academy, and the Wheat Ridge High School’s Boy’s Tennis Team Booster Club, After Prom Committee, and STEM Program. In 2015–2016, she co-created the Wheat Ridge Business Association Christmas Tree Auction and served on the planning committee.

Don Seyfer formed Seyfer Automotive in the spring of 1961 and has been actively involved in leadership for the Automotive Service Association, both state and national chapters, and served as the National Chairman for the ASA. Locally, he served as a board member for Wheat Ridge Local Works (formerly Wheat Ridge 2020) and the Wheat Ridge Business Association.

After twenty years of working for Corporate America, Troy and Tina Marie Seyfer, decided it was time to follow their passion and start Seyfer Specialties, a hot rod specialty business in Wheat Ridge. Tina Marie has been on the Board of Directors with the Wheat Ridge Business Association for the last four years and is currently the Vice President. Troy volunteers and/or directs several car shows throughout the year in the community, including the Berkeley Bash and the All Ford Show where money is raised for different charities. In 2014, the couple created the Annual 44th Avenue Rumble which brings together community leaders, car enthusiasts, families, and small businesses downtown in the community as well as raises funds for the Wheat Ridge High School’s STEM program.

The Seyfer family has clearly demonstrated an extraordinary ability to influence their community and have used their strong leadership skills to advance community and business success through public service and philanthropy.

I extend my deepest congratulations to The Seyfer Family for this well-deserved recognition.

CELEBRATION AND RECOGNITION OF DR. ELSIE M. TAVERAS, M.D., M.P.H.

HON. ADRIANO ESPAILLAT
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Madam Speaker, Dr. Elsie M. Taveras, M.D., M.P.H. is Chief of the Division of General Academic Pediatrics and Executive Director of the Kraft Center for Community Health at Massachusetts General Hospital, Conrad Taff Professor of Pediatrics in the Field of Nutrition at Harvard Medical School, and Professor in the Department of Nutrition at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.

Dr. Taveras is a board-certified Pediatrician, clinical epidemiologist, and childhood obesity researcher, whose focus of research is understanding determinants of obesity in women and children and developing interventions across to prevent obesity and chronic diseases, especially in underserved populations.

Dr. Taveras has published over 190 research studies in her career thus far and has been cited by the Institute of Medicine, World Health Organization, White House Task Force Report on Childhood Obesity, and the USDA-HHS Dietary Guidance Development Project for Birth to 24 Months and Pregnancy.

Dr. Taveras received the Public Health Leadership in Medicine Award from the Massachusetts Association of Public Health for her extensive work improving health and health care in community-based settings.

Dr. Taveras was named Executive Director of the Kraft Center for Community Health, a national center devoted to improving health outcomes for underserved patients, families and communities developing and implementing culturally-competent interventions related to substance use treatment, cancer care equity, obesity prevention, and community health training.

RECOGNIZING THE BICENTENNIAL ANNIVERSARY OF THE UNDERGROUND RAILROAD IN NORTH CAROLINA

HON. MARK WALKER
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. WALKER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the bicentennial anniversary of the Underground Railroad in North Carolina.

With the first known stop of the Underground Railroad being in Guilford County, this portion of North Carolina’s Sixth Congressional District became a refuge for slaves seeking hope and freedom. I am proud to stand and reflect on the brave individuals like Vestal Coffin and his family, New Garden Quakers who sacrificed immensely for the cause of freedom and justice. In honor of the bicentennial anniversary of the North Carolina Underground Railroad, Guilford County will be hosting several ceremonies, forums, and educational events. As we celebrate National African American History Month, I join the citizens of Guilford County and North Carolina in recognizing this important moment in our nation’s history.

EARL W. AND LINDA M. LAMMERS

HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Earl W. and Linda M. Lammers, who are celebrating their 50th wedding anniversary on May 16, 2019.

Earl and Linda fondly recall how they met on a blind date in October and have since loved and cherished each other for over half a century. Earl and Linda have never met a flea market they didn’t like and both willingly suffer through deer hunting season or never ending music shows at the Missouri Theater. They also continue to spend many days and nights a year in the most fun place on earth—Branson. Earl and Linda have leaned on each other through losses of dear friends and family, and both have spent their time together supporting their children and grandchildren in all school and community endeavors.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me, their friends, and their sons, Kevin and Scott, daughters-in-law, and grandchildren in congratulating Earl W. and Linda M. Lammers and wishing them many more years of health and happiness together.
WEST METRO CHAMBER 2019 JOYRIDE BREWING

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Joyride Brewing Company for receiving the 2019 Medium Business of the Year Award from the West Metro Chamber of Commerce.

Located in Edgewater right across from Sloan’s Lake, Joyride Brewing is a small craft brewery focused on producing great quality brews with a fun atmosphere. Along with their majestic views, they have a 10-barrel brewhouse with eight serving tanks and six fermenters. Currently, their taproom holds around 95 people and they have plans to open a rooftop this spring.

When they first opened, their goal was to put the word “neighbor back in to neighborhoods” and they have done just that, helping bring together residents and neighbors to share ideas and good beer. This is also demonstrated with their annual anniversary street party.

Another story that embodies their fun-loving spirit is their mascot, Roger. Roger was the first elephant ever used by Barnum and Bailey’s Circus. Barnum would keep Roger and the other elephants at Sloan’s Lake during the winters while they weren’t touring, providing daily entertainment to the surrounding community. To that end, they felt like Roger embodied their motto: “Life is about enjoying the journey, not always the destination.”

I extend my deepest congratulations to Joyride Brewing Company for this well-deserved recognition.

COMMEMORATING BLACK HISTORY MONTH

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, this February we recognize and celebrate the 41st commemoration of Black History Month.

This month we celebrate the contributions of African Americans to the history of our great nation, and pay tribute to trailblazers, pioneers, heroes, and leaders like the 44th President of the United States and First Lady, Barack Obama and Michelle Obama, respectively; Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.; Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall; U.S. Senator Blanche Kelso Bruce; U.S. Congresswoman Barbara Jordan; U.S. Congressman Mickey Leland; Astronauts Dr. Guion Stewart Bluford, Jr. and Mae C. Jemison; activists, intellectuals, authors, artists, and entrepreneurs like Frederick Douglass, Booker T. Washington, James Earl Jones, Harriet Tubman, Rosa Parks, Maya Angelou, Toni Morrison, Gwendolyn Brooks, Shonda Rhimes, Ava Duvernay, and Oprah Winfrey, just to name a few of the countless number of well-known and unsung heroes whose contributions have helped our nation become a more perfect union.

The history of the United States has been marked by the great contributions of African American activist, leaders, writers, and artists.

As a member of Congress, I know that I stand on the shoulders of giants whose struggles and triumphs made it possible for me to stand here today and continue the fight for equality, justice, and progress for all, regardless of race, religion, gender or sexual orientation.

The greatest of these giants to me are Mrs. Ivalita “Ivy” Jackson, a vocational nurse, and Mr. Ezra A. Jackson, one of the first African Americans to succeed in the comic book publishing business.

They were my beloved parents and they taught me the value of education, hard work, discipline, perseverance, and caring for others. And I am continually inspired by Dr. Elwyn Lee, my husband and the first tenured African American law professor at the University of Houston.

Madam Speaker, I particularly wish to acknowledge the contributions of African American veterans in defending from foreign aggressors and who by their courageous examples helped transform our nation from a segregated society to a nation committed to the everlasting challenge of perfecting our union.

A few years ago about this time, I was honored to join my colleagues, Congressman JOHN LEWIS and Congressman Charles Rangel, a Korean War veteran, in paying tribute to surviving members of the Tuskegee Airmen.

They were my beloved parents and they taught me the value of education, hard work, discipline, perseverance, and caring for others.

The success of the Tuskegee Airmen in escorting bombers during World War II—achieving one of the lowest loss records of all the escort fighter groups, and being in constant demand for their services by the allied bomber units—is a record unmatched by any other fighter group.

So impressive and astounding were the feats of the Tuskegee Airmen that in 1948, it helped persuade President Harry Truman to issue his famous Executive Order No. 9981, which directed equality of treatment and opportunity in all of the United States Armed Forces and led to the end of racial segregation in the U.S. military.

It is a source of enormous and enduring pride that my father-in-law, Phillip Ferguson Lee, was one of the Tuskegee Airmen.

Clearly, what began as an experiment to determine whether “colored” soldiers were capable of operating expensive and complex combat aircraft ended as an unqualified success based on the experience of the Tuskegee Airmen, whose record included 261 aircraft destroyed, 148 aircraft damaged, 15,553 combat sorties and 1,578 missions over Italy and North Africa.

They also destroyed or damaged over 950 units of ground transportation and escorted more than 200 bomb missions.

They proved that “the antidote to racism is excellence in performance,” as retired Lt. Col. Herbert Carter once remarked.

Madam Speaker, Black History Month is also a time to remember many pioneering women like U.S. Congresswoman Shirley Chisholm; activists Harriet Tubman and Rosa Parks; astronaut Mae C. Jemison; mathematicians like Katherine G. Johnson, Dorothy Vaughan and Mary Jackson; authors Maya Angelou, Toni Morrison, and Gwendolyn Brooks; all of whom have each in their own way, whether through courageous activism, cultural or intellectual contributions, or artistic creativity, forged social and political change, and forever changed our great Nation for the better.

It is also fitting, Madam Speaker, that in addition to those national leaders whose contributions have made our nation better, we honor also those who have and are making a difference in their local communities.

In my home city of Houston, there are numerous men and women who are great because they have heeded the counsel of Dr. King who said, “Everybody can be great because anybody can serve. You only need a heart full of grace. A soul generated by love.”

By that measure, I wish to pay tribute to some of the great men and women who helped to make Houston the wonderful, dynamic, vibrant, inclusive, and progressive city that it is:

1. Mayor Sylvester Turner
2. Rev. F.N. Williams, Sr.
3. Rev. Dr. S.J. Gilbert, Sr.
4. Rev. Eridate Stargate Branch
5. Rev. William A. Lawson
6. Mr. John Brand
7. Ms. Ruby Moseley
8. Ms. Dorothy Hubbard
9. Ms. Doris Hubbard
10. Ms. Willie Bell Boone
11. Ms. Holly HogoBrooks
12. Mr. Deloyd Parker

As we celebrate Black History Month, let us pay tribute to those who have come before us, and pay forward to future generations by addressing what is the number one issue for African-American families, and all American families today: preserving the American promise of economic opportunity for all.

Our immediate focus must be job creation, and enacting legislation that will foster and lay the foundation for today’s and tomorrow’s generation of groundbreaking activists, leaders, scientists, writers and artists to continue contributing to the greatness of America.

We must continue to preserve the American Dream for all.

Madam Speaker, I am proud to stand here in celebration of the heroic and historic acts of African Americans and their indispensable contributions to this great Nation.

It is through our work in creating possibilities for today and future generations that we best honor the accomplishments and legacy of our predecessors.

TRIBUTE TO PV. 2 GARY JOHN BORBA

HON. TJ COX
OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. COX of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Pv. 2 Gary John Borba, II who lost his life too soon at the age of 23. Born in Hartford on July 6, 1995, Gary joined the United States Army on October 20, 2017 and was stationed in Fort Campbell, Kentucky.

Gary was a young man full of life, hope and aspirations. He was someone full of character who enjoyed spending time with his family and making people smile.

Above all Gary was someone who brought joy to the lives of those he surrounded. He
McKay Russell Marble

HON. SAM GRAVES

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize McKay Russell Marble. McKay is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 96, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

McKay has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years McKay has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community. Most notably, McKay organized his troop to nail 600 metal plates to the power poles in Gallatin Missouri, so the city could slide in numbers to help them identify different power poles.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending McKay Russell Marble for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

Recognizing Charles J. DiMatteo

HON. THEODORE E. DEUTCH

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. DEUTCH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize my constituent, Mr. Charles DiMatteo, who will be celebrating his 100th Birthday on February 8.

The son of a produce store owner father and a seamstress mother from Sicily, Charles lived the American Dream. He was born at home and raised in Boston, Massachusetts, as one of nine children. His parents were well-known in the area as co-founders of Sacred Heart Church on North Street in the North End. Charles went to school and helped out in the family store.

Charles received a draft notice and entered the Army Air Corps in 1942, serving as a radio operator and marksman. He was in 16 missions under fire in places like Burma, China and India. He suffered serious injury while unloading a cargo plane and was hospitalized. Charles continued his service until 1946, when he was honorably discharged with many service medals and honors.

Following his military service, Charles worked as a claims agent and ran a wholesale produce business. His fruit baskets were legendary in the area and he was referred to as the “Basket King.” He is a lifelong member of the VFW (Veterans of Foreign Wars) and the DAV (Disabled American Veterans). After retiring at age 70 and relocating to Florida, he joined DAV Chapter 133 in Pompano Beach.

Charles is known as a generous man who has supported many charities over the years. Charles is also a family man with a loving wife Anita, two children, 7 grandchildren and 9 great-grandchildren. Despite knee replacement and back surgeries, Charles still gets around quite well at age 99. Not surprisingly, he is a fan of the Boston Red Sox and the New England Patriots, and enjoys watching their games on television. His other hobbies include following the stock market, swimming and dining out.

Madam Speaker, today I am pleased to honor Mr. Charles DiMatteo and his service to his country and his community. Happy Birthday, Charles.

West Metro Chamber 2019 Spay Today, Neuter Now

HON. ED PERLMUTTER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Spay Today, Neuter Now for receiving the 2019 Nonprofit of the Year Award from the West Metro Chamber of Commerce.

Dr. Angelina Piccoli brought her vision to help pets and pet owners in need to life and founded Spay Today in 2009. Over the last ten years, the company has grown from two employees to 20, serving over 10,000 pets each year. Spay Today has spayed and neutered more than 65,000 cats, dogs and rabbits and provided low-cost vaccines, microchips and other veterinary care to thousands more.

Under the leadership of our Executive Director, Cassie Tanner, and the Board of Directors, Spay Today purchased a building at the end of 2017 and renovations are nearly complete on the new clinic in the Elber neighborhood in Lakewood. Their relocation will allow them to expand the safety net for pets in need by adding much needed basic wellness services along with a community outreach program.

Spay Today works with community partners like The Action Center and Colorado Pet Pantry to provide free veterinary care. Pet owners struggling financially. In 2016, they launched Healthy Paws, a voucher program which has provided nearly 1,000 free spays and neuters to pets in need.

Thank you to Spay Today, Neuter Now for their commitment to providing high-quality, accessible and affordable spay, neuter and basic veterinary care to our community. I extend my deepest congratulations to Spay Today, Neuter Now for this well-deserved recognition.

Celebrating National School Counseling Week and Vanessa Iaquinta

HON. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Ms. SÁNCHEZ. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate National School Counseling Week, and to honor a treasured member of my community and school counselor at Norwalk High School, Vanessa Iaquinta. Ms. Iaquinta has dedicated over 20 years to empowering students and serving as a role model, mentor, and advocate to students and their families in our community. She always goes above and beyond what is expected of her to serve the students at Norwalk High School.

In addition to her role as a counselor, she has taken on many leadership roles. Ms. Iaquinta leads the AVID program, which serves over 200 students and has been immensely successful under her guidance, achieving an inspiring 100 percent college acceptance rate. She also serves as the counselor for the Project Lead the Way, which offers students interested in the medical field the opportunity to take classes and field trips to help them achieve their college and career dreams.

Ms. Iaquinta developed class presentations to help students create four-year plans, discuss graduation and college requirements, and provide other resources to support students in planning for post-secondary school. She also organized the other counselors to provide evening appointments for parents who otherwise would not be able to meet with counselors to discuss their child’s academic performance and progress. Ms. Iaquinta truly cares about students and wants them to succeed. She has contributed to Norwalk in many ways, and works hard to bring new ideas and programs to the school that will benefit the students.

Norwalk High School is a special place because of dedicated professionals like Ms. Iaquinta, and I couldn’t be more proud to honor her today. If more people were like Ms. Iaquinta, the world would be a much nicer place. In honor of National School Counseling Week, I ask my colleagues to join me in thanking all the school counselors who are making a positive impact in the lives of our students.

Tribute to Donald L. Wattenbarger

HON. HAROLD ROGERS

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to the memory of Donald Wattenbarger of London, Kentucky, a retired Laurel County Sheriff’s Deputy who dedicated more than 20 years as a bailiff for district and circuit courts.

In addition to his commitment to serve and protect the people of Laurel County, Don had a generous heart for local youth. He was a former chairman and avid supporter of the
local Shop with a Cop program, providing children in need with the opportunity to go shopping during the holidays with an officer or first responder from the Sheriff's Department. He and his wife, Virginia, were instrumental in bringing the program to London in 1996. As a result of their perseverance and fundraising efforts over the ensuing decades, Shop with a Cop has continued to grow and give hope to children who need it the most. Don was also a board member of the London-Laurel County DARE program for many years, where he tirelessly worked to prevent drug abuse through school education programs.

Don was a faithful member of the Calvary Baptist Church and a member of the C.D. Ward Masonic Lodge and Oleika Shriners Temple in Lexington, Kentucky. He was also named a Kentucky Colonel by Governor Paul Patton. In fact, Don was so beloved by his hometown, he was voted a “London Living Treasure” in 2018, an award presented to those who have given a lifetime of service to London and Laurel County.

Don’s commitment to Laurel County is a testament to the idea one person can have on a community. My wife, Cynthia, and I send our heartfelt condolences to Virginia and the host of family, friends and community members whose lives were affected by this great man. We deeply appreciate the compassion he shared and the service he gave to his community.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring the late Don Wattenbarger for a lifetime of dedicated service in southern Kentucky.

CELEBRATION AND RECOGNITION OF THE HONORABLE STATE REPRESENTATIVE DANILIO BURGOS

HON. ADRIANO ESPAILLAT
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Madam Speaker, the Honorable Danilo Burgos is the State Representative for Pennsylvania’s 197th State House district and is the first Dominican-American to represent the neighborhoods in North Philadelphia of Fairhill, Kensington, Hunting Park, Glenwood, and Feltonville in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives; and elected in 2018 as the first Dominican-American to hold that seat in the legislature.

Representative Burgos and his family have strong ties to Hunting Park and where Representative Burgos first became interested in social justice and community activism that led him to be a co-founder of the Philadelphia Dominican Grocers’ Association to support and galvanize Philadelphia-area grocery and bodega owners like his family to engage with their communities and become informed and involved in American politics.

Representative Burgos works with business and community leaders to create economic stability and safer communities in North Philadelphia, serving on the boards of the Eastern Pennsylvania Organizing Project, the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, the Visitation Community Development Corporation, and Proyectos Sin Fronteras, among others.

Representative Burgos has made political engagement a priority placing an emphasis on highlighting and supporting political engagement; “Get involved in what you care about— as a community, as a human being,” Burgos said. “Don’t let others dictate your future.”

WEST METRO CHAMBER 2019 GALA FOOD FOR THOUGHT CATERING

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Food For Thought Catering for receiving the 2019 Small Business of the Year Award from the West Metro Chamber of Commerce.

Forty years ago, Wheat Ridge native Suzanne Smith, and another parent thought the 420 students at the parochial school their children were attending deserved more than a cold sack lunch every day. For six years, the two mothers came to the school once a month to prepare a hot lunch for the students. Several years later, Suzanne was asked if she could prepare food for a rehearsal dinner. Food For Thought Catering was born and today the company caters everything from small intimate dinners for two to events for 250 people as well as breakfast, lunch, dinner, business meetings special events, and afternoon teas. “Food with thought” has been their guiding principle and motto ever since. I extend my deepest congratulations to Food For Thought Catering for this well-deserved recognition.

IN RECOGNITION OF ALPHA KAPPA ALPHA SORORITY, INC. PINK GOES RED DAY FOR HEART HEALTH

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize my sorority, Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority for the extraordinary work it does all throughout the nation.

On January 15, 1908, nine college students on the campus of Howard University in Washington, D.C. founded Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority. As a member of the Xi Omega Chapter of Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, I incorporated, take great pride in my sorority’s tradition of service and the many programs that it has established to serve our communities.

One of the founders, ETHEL HEDGENE LYLE, dreamed of creating a support network for like-minded women coming together for mutual uplift, andcoalicing their talents and strengths for the benefit of others.

What began as a small band of sisters in 1908, transformed over the ensuing 111 years into a globally-impactful organization of nearly 300,000 college-trained members, bound by the bonds of sisterhood and empowered by a commitment to servant-leadership that is both domestic and international in scope. As Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority has grown, it has maintained its focus in two key arenas: the lifelong personal and professional development of each of its members; and galvanizing its membership into an organization of formidable power and influence, consistently at the forefront of effective advocacy and social change that results in equality and equity for all citizens of the world.

In order to maintain its commitment to servant-leadership, Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority hosts an international conference every two years.

On July 6—July 12, 2018, my fellow Sorors from all around the world came to my hometown in Houston to launch “New Dimensions of Service by Celebrating a Legacy of Leadership and Service.” At this conference Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority decided on new targets of focus for the next two years, which include: HBCU for Life: A Call to Action; Women’s Healthcare and Wellness; Building Your Economic Legacy; The Arts! and Global Impact.

February 2019 is Women’s Healthcare and Wellness month.

To kick off Women’s Healthcare and Wellness month, Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority has joined with the American Heart Association in the fight against heart disease in order to improve the health of all women.

On February 1, Alpha Kappa Alpha women all over the world took off their pink and wore red for the day to raise awareness of this deadly disease for their “Pink Goes Red Impact Day.”

Alpha Kappa Alpha chapters are bringing awareness to the devastating effects of heart disease in communities around this country.

My chapter, Xi Omega Alpha hosted a Zumba and Line Dancing Class at our Houston Texas YMCA to promote a fun and healthy way to stay heart healthy.

As we observe Pink Goes Red Impact Day and Women’s Healthcare and Wellness Month, on the international level, here are some unsettling truths about heart disease and high blood pressure and undeniable truths about prevention that can help to stem the tide of this dreadful disease.

Cardiovascular diseases kill nearly 50,000 African-American women annually. Forty-nine percent of African-American women 20 years of age and older have heart disease. Only one in five African-American women believe she is personally at risk.

Only 52 percent of African-American women are aware of the signs and symptoms of a heart attack.

Only 36 percent of African-American women know that heart disease is their greatest health risk.

These unsettling truths are the reason that Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority has made Heart Health and Wellness Month one of its most important initiatives.

Although there is nothing that will 100 percent guarantee a life without high blood pressure and heart disease, there are several things women can do every day to take steps towards a healthier life.

Be honest about their health. There are several things that can be done to reduce the risk of heart disease: exercise regularly; eat healthy; know your family medical history; and monitor and treat pre-existing health conditions.

Moderate salt and sodium intake and read the label and be knowledgeable about what is contained in the food consumed.

Commit to improving eating habits by developing a healthy diet and healthy cooking skills,
eating more fruits and vegetable and staying hydrated.

Get moving. Live an active lifestyle and eliminate sedentary practices from daily routine.

Schedule regular check-ups with their physician to monitor their health.

During the Women’s Healthcare and Wellness month, I encourage all women to join me in walking, running, exercising, and educating ourselves and our communities on heart health.

RECOGNIZING ALANNA ROHLING AS THE ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2019–2020 TEACHER OF THE YEAR

HON. MATT GAETZ
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. GAETZ. Madam Speaker, I rise recognize Alanna Rohling 2019–2020 Teacher of the Year for Escambia County, Florida. Ms. Rohling is a kindergarten teacher at Lincoln Park Elementary School in Pensacola, FL. Throughout her tenure as an educator, Ms. Rohling has served the Escambia County School District with a deep and unwavering commitment to educational excellence.

It is recognized that the teaching profession is one of the most difficult professions—yet also one of the most rewarding. Ms. Rohling continues to prove her devotion to her profession in a multitude of ways.

Her support and outreach extend far beyond the walls of her kindergarten class. Even with the demanding responsibilities of maintaining a classroom, she also contributes to her school and community. She is the Grade Level Co-Chair, a member of school’s Leadership Team, the STEM Committee Co-Chair, and still makes time to be a Mentor Teacher and a Student Mentor. She shares her time and talents generously with all her students.

Generations of Ms. Rohling’s family have also served as educators. A quote that holds special meaning for her is: “A good education is your child’s strongest tool for success”—and in her actions, Ms. Rohlings has certainly helped equip all children with the educational tools they need to succeed.

Madam Speaker, on behalf of the United States Congress, I am privileged to recognize Alanna Rohling for her tremendous accomplishments, and her many contributions to education in the Escambia County School District.

I offer my heartfelt gratitude for her service. I wish her all the best for her continued success.

WEST METRO CHAMBER 2019 ROCKY MOUNTAIN REAGENTS

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Rocky Mountain Reagents for receiving the 2019 West Metro Chamber of Commerce’s Chairman’s Award for Minority and Woman-Owned Business of the Year.

Started in 1951 as S & K Reagents, Rocky Mountain Reagents is a small but vital supplier of chemical products to the medical and healthcare community in the Denver Metro area. Phyllis Sordelet purchased the company in 1988 and rebranded it as Rocky Mountain Reagents in 1996. During that time, it went from a basement business to occupying a 20,000 sq. ft. facility and a preeminent supplier of thousands of high-quality and custom chemical products to industry sectors, including aerospace, healthcare, law enforcement, education, industrial laboratories, water treatment, and biosafety.

This, of course, didn’t all happen by accident. It happened due to hard work and long hours by Phyllis and her daughter, Elizabeth. They worked tirelessly to cultivate lasting relationships with vendors, customers, and the local business community. They have operated with the principles of integrity, teamwork, and empowerment to become a resource partner and ally in pursuit of each of their clients’ unique visions. They continue to grow and thrive and are soon-to-break ground on a 28,000 sq. ft. expansion. Their commitment to offering quality products, exceptional customer service, and community-building philanthropy has already made a difference and will continue to be felt in the years to come.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Rocky Mountain Reagents for this well-deserved recognition.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. DARIN LaHOOD
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. LAHOOD. Madam Speaker, on Wednesday, January 30, 2019, I missed votes in the House due to inclement weather in Illinois. Had I been present, I would have voted NAY on Roll Call No. 60, NAY on Roll Call No. 61, NAY on Roll Call No. 62, YEA on Roll Call No. 63, NAY on Roll Call No. 64, and NAY on Roll Call No. 65.

CELEBRATION AND RECOGNITION OF JUSTICE ROLANDO T. ACOSTA

HON. ADRIANO ESPAILLAT
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Madam Speaker, Justice Rolando T. Acosta serves as Presiding Justice of the New York Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, First Judicial Division.

Justice Rolando T. Acosta over his many years of community service in Washington Heights and Inwood where Justice Acosta helped founded the Latino Commission on AIDS, a leader in creating the Harlem Community Justice Center, and long-time supporter of Alianza Dominicana.

Justice Rolando T. Acosta and his family as immigrants to the U.S. relied on the generosity and availability of community services and has made a commitment to working for groups informing the Latino community where his judicial knowledge and personal experience resonate with members of the community seeking to better their lives.

Judge Rolando T. Acosta is a proud graduate of Columbia College and Columbia University Law School and recipient of Columbia University’s Medal for Excellence and Wien Prize for Social Responsibility, as well as the Latino Judges Association’s John Carro Award for Judicial Excellence and previously served as Judge of the Year by the National Hispanic Bar Association.

INTRODUCTION OF EASTERSEALS 100TH ANNIVERSARY RESOLUTION

HON. MARCY KAPTUR
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Speaker, it is my pleasure to introduce today a resolution honoring the 100th anniversary of the Easterseals. On April 22, 2019, the Easterseals will celebrate 100 years as America’s first non-profit organization to serve individuals with disabilities and other special needs.

Once only focused on serving children with special needs, Easterseals expanded its mission in the 1940s to serve children and adults. For decades now, their innovative services opened the doors to assist seniors, veterans and individuals with autism.

The Easterseals had a tragic beginning. In 1907, a streetcar accident in Elyria, Ohio, took the lives of eight people because there was no local hospital to treat their injuries. One of the deceased was the 18-year-old son of Edgar F. Allen, who in his sorrow was inspired to raise the funds necessary to build a local hospital. 108 months later, the Elyria Memorial Hospital was built and opened with 32 beds.

Eight years after that, another Elyria hospital opened for “crippled children.” On April 22, 1919, Mr. Allen organized with civic leaders from Elyria, Cleveland, Sandusky, and Toledo, Ohio and Detroit, Michigan to form the Ohio Society for Crippled Children, the original name of the Easterseals.

May the festivities of this 100th anniversary year bring renewed faith and opportunity to those aided by Easterseal services. Through rehabilitation services, new and fulfilling life opportunities become possible.

Let us all take inspiration in the words of founder, Edgar F. Allen: “Your life and mine shall be valued not by what we take . . . but by what we give.” Congratulations to all associated with such an important organization.

WEST METRO CHAMBER 2019 VILLAGE AT BELMAR

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, February 5, 2019

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Village at Belmar for receiving the 2019 Large Business of the Year Award from the West Metro Chamber of Commerce.

Village at Belmar is a locally owned and operated community designed to provide an unparalleled lifestyle experience for seniors. Our innovative Village, with more than 300 residents, was conceived and inspired by Phil.
Shapiro, Tom Finley, and Susie Reimer. With more than sixty years of combined senior living development, marketing, management, and ownership experience, the three of them co-founded Ascent Living Communities based in Denver.

Their philosophy is “meaningful connections” which is demonstrated through their dedication to maintaining a presence at the community through engagement with the associates, residents, and their families. Village at Belmar is a thriving community and is always seeking ways to continually improve in order to provide an elevated quality of life for those they serve in the greater Lakewood and Jefferson County area.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Village at Belmar for this well-deserved recognition.
Daily Digest

HIGHLIGHTS

House and Senate met in Joint Session to receive a State of the Union Address from the President of the United States.

Senate passed S. 1, Strengthening America’s Security in the Middle East Act, as amended.

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S841–S874.

Measures Introduced: Thirteen bills and seven resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 329–341, and S. Res. 40–46.

Measures Reported:

- S. Res. 40, authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Finance.
- S. Res. 41, authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works.
- S. Res. 42, authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Armed Services.
- S. Res. 45, authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.
- S. Res. 46, authorizing expenditures by the Committee on Environment and Public Works.
- S. 94, to amend the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act to facilitate the establishment of additional or expanded public target ranges in certain States.
- S. 163, to prevent catastrophic failure or shutdown of remote diesel power engines due to emission control devices.
- S. 268, to reauthorize the Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program and certain wildlife conservation funds, to establish prize competitions relating to the prevention of wildlife poaching and trafficking, wildlife conservation, the management of invasive species, and the protection of endangered species, to amend the Marine Turtle Conservation Act of 2004 to modify the protections provided by that Act.
- S. 310, to amend the Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act to reauthorize the Act.

Measures Passed:

Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation: Committee on Veterans’ Affairs was discharged from further consideration of S. 49, to designate the outstation of the Department of Veterans Affairs in North Ogden, Utah, as the Major Brent Taylor Vet Center Outstation, and the bill was then passed.

Strengthening America’s Security in the Middle East Act: By 77 yeas to 23 nays (Vote No. 16), Senate passed S. 1, to make improvements to certain defense and security assistance provisions and to authorize the appropriation of funds to Israel, to reauthorize the United States-Jordan Defense Cooperation Act of 2015, and to halt the wholesale slaughter of the Syrian people, after taking action on the following amendments proposed thereto:

- Adopted: Menendez (for Risch) Amendment No. 98 (to Amendment No. 97), to provide for a classified annex to be submitted with the report on the cooperation of the United States and Israel with respect to countering unmanned aerial systems.
- Risch Amendment No. 97, to clarify the deadline for the reporting requirement relating to the establishment of a Jordan Enterprise Fund.

National FFA Organization’s Federal Charter Amendments Act: Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 439, to amend the charter of the Future Farmers of America, and the bill was then passed.

National Stalking Awareness Month: Senate agreed to S. Res. 44, raising awareness and encouraging the prevention of stalking by designating January 2019 as “National Stalking Awareness Month”.

Measures Considered:

Natural Resources Management Act—Agreement: Senate resumed consideration of the motion to
proceed to consideration of S. 47, to provide for the management of the natural resources of the United States.

During consideration of this measure today, Senate also took the following action:

By 99 yeas to 1 nay (Vote No. 17), three-fifths of those Senators duly chosen and sworn, having voted in the affirmative, Senate agreed to the motion to close further debate on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill.

A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing for further consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill, post-cloture, at approximately 10 a.m., on Wednesday, February 6, 2019, with all time during recess, adjournment, morning business, and Leader remarks counting post-cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill.

Escort Committee—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that the Presiding Officer of the Senate be authorized to appoint a committee on the part of the Senate to join with a like committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort the President of the United States into the House Chamber for the joint session.

Message from the President: Senate received the following message from the President of the United States:

Transmitting the report on the State of the Union delivered to a Joint Session of Congress on February 5, 2019; which was ordered to lie on the table. (PM–3)

Nominations Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nominations:
1 Air Force nomination in the rank of general.
5 Army nominations in the rank of general.
Routine lists in the Marine Corps.

Measures Read the First Time:
Executive Communications:
Executive Reports of Committees:
Additional Cosponsors:
Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions:

Additional Statements:
Amendments Submitted:
Notices of Intent:
Authorities for Committees to Meet:
Record Votes: Two record votes were taken today. (Total—17)
ENERGY AND MINERALS MARKETS

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the outlook for energy and minerals markets in the 116th Congress, after receiving testimony from Linda Capuano, Administrator, Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy; Kevin Book, ClearView Energy Partners, LLC, and Travis Kavulla, R Street Institute, both of Washington, D.C.; Simon Moores, Benchmark Mineral Intelligence, London, United Kingdom; and Ethan Zindler, BloombergNEF, New York, New York.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Environment and Public Works: Committee adopted its rules of procedure, and ordered favorably reported the following business items:

An original resolution (S. Res. 41) authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress; and

S. 268, to reauthorize the Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program and certain wildlife conservation funds, to establish prize competitions relating to the prevention of wildlife poaching and trafficking, wildlife conservation, the management of invasive species, and the protection of endangered species, to amend the Marine Turtle Conservation Act of 2004 to modify the protections provided by that Act; and

S. 163, to prevent catastrophic failure or shutdown of remote diesel power engines due to emission control devices;

S. 94, to amend the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act to facilitate the establishment of additional or expanded public target ranges in certain States;

S. 310, to amend the Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act to reauthorize the Act; and

The nominations of Andrew Wheeler, of Virginia, to be Administrator, and Peter C. Wright, of Michigan, to be Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste, both of the Environmental Protection Agency, Nicole R. Nason, of New York, to be Administrator of the Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, John Fleming, of Louisiana, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, John L. Ryder, of Tennessee, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Tennessee Valley Authority; and

6 General Services Administration resolutions.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Finance: Committee ordered favorably reported the following business items:

An original resolution (S. Res. 40) authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress; and

The nominations of Michael Faulkender, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Secretary, and Michael J. Desmond, of California, to be Chief Counsel for the Internal Revenue Service and an Assistant General Counsel, both of the Department of the Treasury, Jeffrey Kessler, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary of Commerce, and Elizabeth Darling, of Texas, to be Commissioner on Children, Youth, and Families, Department of Health and Human Services.

Also, Committee adopted its rules of procedure, announced the designation of members to serve on the Joint Committee on Taxation, designation of members to serve as Congressional Trade Advisors on Trade Policy and Negotiations, and the following subcommittee assignments for the 116th Congress:

Subcommittee on International Trade, Customs, and Global Competitiveness: Senators Cornyn (Chair), Crapo, Roberts, Thune, Isakson, Portman, Toomey, Scott (SC), Cassidy, Daines, Young, Casey, Wyden, Stabenow, Cantwell, Menendez, Cardin, Brown, Warner, and Cortez Masto.

Subcommittee on Taxation and IRS Oversight: Senators Thune (Chair), Crapo, Enzi, Cornyn, Burr, Isakson, Portman, Toomey, Warner, Menendez, Carper, Cardin, Benner, and Whitehouse.

Subcommittee on Social Security, Pensions, and Family Policy: Senators Portman (Chair), Grassley, Cassidy, Lankford, Young, Brown, Benner, Casey, and Cortez Masto.

Subcommittee on Health Care: Senators Toomey (Chair), Grassley, Roberts, Enzi, Thune, Burr, Isakson, Scott (SC), Cassidy, Lankford, Daines, Young, Stabenow, Cantwell, Menendez, Carper, Cardin, Brown, Casey, Warner, Whitehouse, Hassan, and Cortez Masto.

Subcommittee on Energy, Natural Resources, and Infrastructure: Senators Scott (SC) (Chair), Grassley, Crapo, Roberts, Enzi, Cornyn, Burr, Daines, Bennet, Wyden, Cantwell, Carper, Whitehouse, and Hassan.

Subcommittee on Fiscal Responsibility and Economic Growth: Senators Cassidy (Chair), Scott (SC), Lankford, Hassan, and Wyden.
Senators Grassley and Wyden are ex officio members of each subcommittee.

PRIMARY CARE

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: Committee concluded a hearing to examine how primary care affects health care costs and outcomes, after receiving testimony from Joshua J. Umbehr, Atlas MD, Wichita, Kansas; Sapna Kripalani, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee; Katherine Bennett, University of Washington, Seattle, on behalf of the National Association for Geriatric Education; and Tracy Watts, Mercer, Washington, D.C.

NOMINATIONS

Committee on the Judiciary: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the nominations of Neomi J. Rao, to be United States Circuit Judge for the District of Columbia Circuit, and Aditya Bamzai, of Virginia, and Travis LeBlanc, of Maryland, both to be a Member of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, after the nominees testified and answered questions in their own behalf.

BUSINESS MEETING

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee ordered favorably reported an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress.

INTELLIGENCE

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee met in closed session to receive a briefing on certain intelligence matters from officials of the intelligence community.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 24 public bills, H.R. 962–985; and 5 resolutions, H.J. Res. 42; H. Con. Res. 14; and H. Res. 99–101, were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors: Pages H1363–64

Reports Filed: There were no reports filed today.

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein she appointed Representative Espaillat to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Page H1353

Recess: The House recessed at 12:13 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.

Page H1354

Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe—Appointment: The Chair announced the Speaker’s appointment of the following Member on the part of the House to the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe: Representative Hastings, Chair.

Page H1356

Recess: The House recessed at 2:11 p.m. and reconvened at 8:35 p.m.

Page H1356

State of the Union Address: President Donald Trump delivered his State of the Union address to a joint session of Congress, pursuant to the provisions of H. Con. Res. 9. He was escorted into the House Chamber by a committee comprised of Representatives Hoyer, Clyburn, Luján, Jeffries, Clark (MA), Bustos, Hill (CA), McCarthy, Scalise, Cheney, Emmer, Palmer, Walker, and Smith (MO), and Senators McConnell, Thune, Grassley, Barrasso, Blunt, Ernst, Young, Schumer, Durbin, Murray, Stabenow, Warren, Klobuchar, and Baldwin. The President’s message was referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 116–1).

Pages H1356–611

Senate Message: Message received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appears on page H1356.

Quorum Calls—Votes: There were no yea-and-nay votes, and there were no recorded votes. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 10:36 p.m.

Committee Meetings

No hearings were held.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.
COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2019

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Armed Services: Subcommittee on Readiness and Management Support, to hold closed hearings to examine United States Army readiness, 2:30 p.m., SVC–217.

Committee on Strategic Forces, to receive a closed briefing on global nuclear developments, 2:30 p.m., SVC–217.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: organizational business meeting to consider committee rules, subcommittee assignments, and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress, 9:45 a.m., SD–538.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: business meeting to consider subcommittee assignments and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress; to be immediately followed by a hearing to examine winning the race to 5G and the next era of technology innovation in the United States, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: organizational business meeting to consider committee rules and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress, 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Indian Affairs: business meeting to consider S. 256, to amend the Native American Programs Act of 1974 to provide flexibility and reauthorization to ensure the survival and continuing vitality of Native American languages, S. 257, to provide for rental assistance for homeless or at-risk Indian veterans, S. 294, to establish a business incubators program within the Department of the Interior to promote economic development in Indian reservation communities, and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress, 2:30 p.m., SD–628.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: organizational business meeting to consider committee rules and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 116th Congress, Time to be announced, Room to be announced.

Special Committee on Aging: to hold hearings to examine financial security in retirement, focusing on innovations and best practices to promote savings, 9:30 a.m., SD–562.

House

Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, hearing entitled “Oversight Hearing: Impact of the Administration’s Policies Affecting the Affordable Care Act”, 10 a.m., 2358–C Rayburn.


Subcommittee on Defense, hearing entitled “World Wide Threat Assessment and Intelligence Community Posture”, 3 p.m., H–405 Capitol. This hearing will be closed.

Committee on Armed Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Evaluation of the Department of Defense’s Counterterrorism Approach”, 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Committee on Education and Labor, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Examining Threats to Workers with Pre-existing Conditions”, 10:15 a.m., 2175 Rayburn.


Committee on Foreign Affairs, Full Committee, hearing entitled “U.S. Policy in the Arabian Peninsula”; and markup on H.J. Res. 37, directing the removal of United States Armed Forces from hostilities in the Republic of Yemen that have not been authorized by Congress, 10 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Preventing Gun Violence: A Call to Action”, 10 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

Committee on Natural Resources, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Climate Change: The Impacts and the Need to Act”, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Oversight and Reform, Full Committee, hearing on H.R. 1, the “Strengthening Ethics Rules for the Ethics Branch”, 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Committee on Rules, Full Committee, hearing on H.R. 840, the “Veterans’ Access to Child Care Act”; and H. Res. 86, providing amounts for the expenses of the Select Committee on the Climate Crisis and the Select Committee on the Modernization of Congress, 3 p.m., H–313 Capitol.

Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, Full Committee, organizational meeting, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

Committee on Small Business, Full Committee, organizational meeting, 10:30 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.

Full Committee, hearing entitled “The Shutdown: Economic Impact to Small Businesses”, 11 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.

Committee on Ways and Means, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Improving Retirement Security for America’s Workers”, 10 a.m., 1100 Longworth.

Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Full Committee, organizational meeting; and business meeting on the Transmission of Certain Committee Transcripts, 10 a.m., HVC–304. This meeting will be closed.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Wednesday, February 6

Senate Chamber
Program for Wednesday: Senate will continue consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 47, Natural Resources Management Act, post-cloture.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Wednesday, February 6

House Chamber
Program for Wednesday: Consideration of measures under suspension of the Rules.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue
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Cox, TJ, Calif., E131
Deutch, Theodore E., Fla., E132
Espaillat, Adriano, N.Y., E130, E133, E134
Gaetz, Matt, Fla., E129, E134
Garamendi, John, Calif., E129
Graves, Sam, Mo., E129, E130, E132
Jackson Lee, Sheila, Tex., E131, E133
Kaptur, Marcy, Ohio, E134
LaHood, Darin, Ill., E134
Perlmutter, Ed, Colo., E130, E131, E132, E133, E134, E134
Rogers, Harold, Ky., E132
Sánchez, Linda T., Calif., E132
Visclosky, Peter J., Ind., E129
Webster, Daniel, Fla., E129
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