

Pursuant to House Resolution 377, the previous question is ordered on the bill, as amended.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of H.R. 5 is postponed.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 215, nays 191, answered "present" 1, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 215]  
YEAS—215

Adams	Espallat	Maloney.
Allred	Evans	Carolyn B.
Amodei	Finkenauer	Matsui
Arrington	Fortenberry	McCarthy
Bacon	Foster	McClintock
Banks	Frankel	McCollum
Barragan	Gabbard	McEachin
Bass	Gallego	McGovern
Beatty	Garamendi	McNerney
Beyer	Garcia (IL)	Moore
Bishop (GA)	Garcia (TX)	Morelle
Blumenauer	Gomez	Murphy
Blunt Rochester	Gonzalez (TX)	Nadler
Bonamici	Granger	Napolitano
Boyle, Brendan	Green (TX)	Neal
F.	Grijalva	Neguse
Brooks (IN)	Haaland	Newhouse
Brown (MD)	Hastings	Norcross
Brownley (CA)	Hayes	O'Halleran
Bustos	Heck	Ocasio-Cortez
Butterfield	Higgins (NY)	Omar
Carbajal	Hill (CA)	Pallone
Cardenas	Hollingsworth	Pappas
Carson (IN)	Hoyer	Pascrell
Carter (TX)	Huffman	Payne
Cartwright	Jackson Lee	Perlmutter
Case	Jayapal	Perry
Casten (IL)	Jeffries	Phillips
Castor (FL)	Johnson (GA)	Pingree
Castro (TX)	Johnson (TX)	Pocan
Chu, Judy	Joyce (OH)	Pressley
Cicilline	Kaptur	Price (NC)
Clarke (NY)	Keating	Quigley
Clay	Kelly (IL)	Raskin
Cleaver	Kelly (PA)	Reschenthaler
Cohen	Kennedy	Richmond
Collins (GA)	Khanna	Rodgers (WA)
Cooper	Kildee	Roe, David P.
Courtney	Kim	Roybal-Allard
Cox (CA)	King (IA)	Ruppersberger
Crist	King (NH)	Rush
Cuellar	Lamb	Rutherford
Curtis	Langevin	Sánchez
Davids (KS)	Larsen (WA)	Sarbanes
Davidson (OH)	Lawrence	Scanlon
Davis (CA)	Lawson (FL)	Schakowsky
Davis, Danny K.	Lee (CA)	Schiff
Dean	Lee (NV)	Schneider
DeFazio	Levin (CA)	Schweikert
DeGette	Levin (MI)	Scott (VA)
DeLauro	Lewis	Scott, David
DelBene	Lieu, Ted	Serrano
Delgado	Lipinski	Sewell (AL)
Demings	Loeb sack	Shalala
DeSaulnier	Lofgren	Sherman
Deutch	Lowenthal	Simpson
Doggett	Lowey	Sires
Doyle, Michael	F.	Smith (WA)
F.	Lujan	Soto
Engel	Luria	Speier
Escobar	Lynch	Stanton
Eshoo	Malinowski	Stauber

Stefanik  
Steil  
Stevens  
Stewart  
Stivers  
Takano  
Taylor  
Thompson (MS)  
Thornberry  
Tipton  
Titus  
Tlaib

Torres (CA)  
Trahan  
Trone  
Underwood  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Vela  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Wagner  
Walden  
Waltz

Wasserman  
Schultz  
Watkins  
Watson Coleman  
Webster (FL)  
Welch  
Wenstrup  
Wexton  
Wilson (FL)  
Yarmuth

Messrs. CARSON of Indiana, KENNEDY, Ms. KAPTUR, and TLAIB changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

EQUALITY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5) to prohibit discrimination on the basis of sex, gender identity, and sexual orientation, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. STEUBE. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. STEUBE. I am in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Steube moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5 to the Committee on the Judiciary with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Add at the end of the bill the following:

SEC. 13. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act or any amendment made by this Act may be construed to diminish any protections under title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. STEUBE. Mr. Speaker, I want to make it abundantly clear exactly what this motion to recommit does, so I am going to read it. It is very short.

"Nothing in this act or any amendment made by this act may be construed to diminish any protections under title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972."

The threat that this bill poses for women's sports at every level is profound. As described by the Nation's leading expert on sports, sex, and biology, Professor Doriane Lambelet Coleman, she states: "There is a significant performance difference between males and females. . . . Testosterone is the primary driver of that difference. There is a wide gap, no overlap, between the male and female testosterone ranges. . . . There is no scientific doubt that testosterone is the reason that men as a group perform better than women in sports. Indeed, this is why men and women dope with androgens. . . ."

Requiring that biological females face competition from biological males will mean the end of women's sports in any meaningful sense.

As tennis great Martina Navratilova has written in The Washington Post: "In its current form, the Equality Act would do significant damage to title IX

NAYS—191

Abraham  
Aderholt  
Aguilar  
Allen  
Amash  
Armstrong  
Axne  
Babin  
Baird  
Balderson  
Barr  
Bera  
Bergman  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (UT)  
Bost  
Brindisi  
Brooks (AL)  
Buchanan  
Buck  
Budd  
Burgess  
Byrne  
Calvert  
Carter (GA)  
Chabot  
Cheney  
Cisneros  
Clark (MA)  
Cline  
Cloud  
Cole  
Collins (NY)  
Comer  
Conaway  
Connolly  
Cook  
Correa  
Costa  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Crow  
Cummings  
Cunningham  
Davis, Rodney  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Emmer  
Estes  
Ferguson  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Fletcher  
Flores  
Foa  
Fudge  
Fulcher  
Gaetz  
Gallagher  
Gianforte

Gibbs  
Golden  
Gonzalez (OH)  
Gooden  
Gosar  
Gottheimer  
Graves (GA)  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Green (TN)  
Griffith  
Grothman  
Guest  
Guthrie  
Hagedorn  
Harder (CA)  
Harris  
Hartzler  
Hern, Kevin  
Herrera Beutler  
Hice (GA)  
Higgins (LA)  
Hill (AR)  
Himes  
Holding  
Horn, Kendra S.  
Horsford  
Houlahan  
Hudson  
Huizenga  
Hunter  
Hurd (TX)  
Johnson (SD)  
Jordan  
Joyce (PA)  
Katko  
Kelly (MS)  
Kilmer  
Kind  
King (NY)  
Kinzinger  
Kirkpatrick  
Krishnamoorthi  
Kustoff (TN)  
LaMalfa  
Lamborn  
Larson (CT)  
Latta  
Lesko  
Long  
Loudermilk  
Lucas  
Luetkemeyer  
Maloney, Sean  
Marchant  
Marshall  
Massie  
Mast  
McAdams  
McBath  
McCaul  
McHenry  
McKinley  
Meadows

Meeks  
Meng  
Meuser  
Miller  
Mitchell  
Moolenaar  
Mooney (WV)  
Mucarsel-Powell  
Mullin  
Norman  
Nunes  
Olson  
Palazzo  
Guest  
Palmer  
Panetta  
Pence  
Peters  
Porter  
Posey  
Reed  
Rice (NY)  
Rice (SC)  
Riggleman  
Roby  
Rogers (KY)  
Rooney (FL)  
Rose, John W.  
Rouda  
Rouzer  
Roy  
Ruiz  
Scalise  
Schrader  
Schrier  
Scott, Austin  
Sensenbrenner  
Sherrill  
Shimkus  
Slotkin  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Spanberger  
Spano  
Steube  
Suozi  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (PA)  
Timmons  
Torres Small  
(NM)  
Upton  
Van Drew  
Walberg  
Walorski  
Walters  
Wild  
Williams  
Wittman  
Womack  
Woodall  
Wright  
Yoho  
Zeldin

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Tonko

NOT VOTING—24

Brady  
Buchon  
Burchett  
Clyburn  
Dingell  
Duffy  
Gohmert  
Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH)  
LaHood  
Moulton  
Peterson  
Ratcliffe  
Rogers (AL)  
Rose (NY)  
Ryan

Smucker  
Swalwell (CA)  
Turner  
Walker  
Weber (TX)  
Westerman  
Wilson (SC)  
Young

Messrs. GALLAGHER, THOMPSON of California, JORDAN, COSTA, and FLEISCHMANN changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

and to the Amateur Sports Act, which governs sports outside of educational settings. The new legislation would amend the 1964 Civil Rights Act by redefining ‘sex’ to include ‘gender identity.’ Without an exception, the definition would apply to all amendments to the 1964 act, including title IX. Most schools, colleges, the NCAA, and the Olympic Committee would be affected because they receive Federal funds and operate in interstate commerce.

“The legislation would make it unlawful to differentiate among girls and women in sports on the basis of sex for any purpose. For example, a sports team couldn’t treat a transgender woman differently from a woman who is not transgender on the grounds that the former is male-bodied. Yet the reality is that putting male- and female-bodied athletes together is co-ed or open sport. And in open sport, females lose.

“Some Equality Act advocates argue that this is hyperbole and outdated stereotype. They say, as the ACLU has, that there is ‘ample evidence that girls can compete and win against boys.’ They are wrong. The evidence is unequivocal that starting in puberty, in every sport except sailing, shooting, and riding, there will always be significant numbers of boys and men who would beat the best girls and women in head-to-head competition. Claims to the contrary are simply a denial of science.”

Those are not my words. Those are the words of female tennis great Martina Navratilova.

In footnote 44 of the committee report on this bill, the Democrat majority states: “The committee acknowledges that the addition of sex as a protected characteristic under title VI of the bill raises some questions about how the revised title VI should be read in relation to title IX of the Education Amendments Act. It is the committee’s intention not to alter in any way title IX or the scope or availability of its exemptions as they currently stand. Rather, title IX and the revised title VI should be read as being complimentary provisions that provide overlapping protection against sex discrimination.”

But, of course, we all know that allowing biological males to compete against biological females is not an “overlapping protection.” It is, instead, a violation of women’s rights to engage in competitive sports on an even playing field and to enjoy the protection of their own spaces reserved for biological females.

So this motion to recommit is essential to protecting the rights of women and girls in sports that H.R. 5 currently denies.

Even the Obama administration wrote a letter to schools regarding title IX enforcements making clear that they should continue to be able to enforce policies that are “tailored requirements based on sound, current, and research-based medical knowledge about the impact of the individual’s

participation on the competitive fairness or physical safety of the sport.”

Adoption of this motion to recommit will not kill the bill. It will not delay the bill’s consideration. If this motion to recommit is adopted, the bill proceeds directly to final passage.

If H.R. 5 becomes law, our daughters will be asking us: “What did you do when moves were made to eliminate women’s sports?” It is worth considering that question now before it is too late.

I urge all my colleagues to join me in protecting title IX and protecting women’s sports and supporting this motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Ms. HILL of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to recommit with all of my heart.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. HILL of California. Mr. Speaker, I can’t believe that we are standing here and having a man tell me what kind of protection I need in sports. This is fear-mongering about trans women playing in sports. Are you kidding me?

I don’t know if my colleagues on the other side of the aisle realize that they have met trans people, but they have. They definitely have. I have met many, and this motion reflects nothing more than the prejudice of my colleagues.

My staff has put together a lot of talking points for you today, but it is much simpler than that. The Equality Act ensures that LGBTQ women and girls who are lesbian, bisexual, or transgender will all have the same opportunities as their peers in sports, in housing, and in jobs.

The discrimination that trans individuals face just trying to live their lives every single day is something beyond what most of us could ever imagine.

Through my work and the issue of homelessness, I saw trans women disproportionately affected by discrimination at every single stage of their lives. They have higher rates of poverty, higher rates of sexual abuse, higher rates of homelessness.

And I can tell you, no trans person is trying to game the system to participate in sports. That does not happen, and that is a sad scare tactic that has no place on the floor of the people’s House.

State schools and athletic organizations across the country have found for many years that letting LGBTQ student athletes, including girls and women who are transgender, participate in sports does not harm women’s and girls’ sports in any way.

As an athlete, athletic success is based on so many factors, like individual ability and strength, and those abilities vary widely for people who aren’t even trans. It has nothing to do with whether someone is transgender or not. In fact, major advocates for women and girls in sports, such as the

National Women’s Law Center, the Women’s Sports Foundation, Women’s Leaders in College Sports, and others, support trans-inclusive policies and oppose efforts to exclude transgender people from participating in sports.

This is not a new concept. Trans people have participated in sports for years. Stop the fear-mongering. This is 2019, and we are not afraid of the boogiemanager that you are trying to create. We are ready to move forward and tell all of our constituents, all of our communities, all Americans that they matter equally.

You, my colleagues, are on the wrong side of history, and we will be waiting for you on the other side when we reject this amendment and pass H.R. 5.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Hampshire (Mr. PAPPAS).

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, thank you to my colleague, Representative HILL from California, my fellow co-chair of the Equality Caucus, as she rightfully notes nothing—nothing—in the Equality Act infringes upon women’s rights. If it did, we wouldn’t be supporting it so vociferously today.

This MTR is an army of words marching in search of an issue that doesn’t exist. For those of us who have been involved in the fight for equality, this tactic isn’t new or surprising. We have seen it before. We have seen the deliberate distractions, the unfounded fears, the faulty arguments on our way toward progress.

This legislation simply gives LGBTQ individuals full equality—nothing more and nothing less.

When we end legal discrimination in housing, employment, and public services, we will steer our Nation closer to the full realization of its founding principles and the notion that we are all created equal.

As a proud member of the LGBTQ community, I can attest that attitudes in this country have changed for the better, and it is time that our laws catch up. Congress can send a message to LGBTQ Americans everywhere that we see you, that we celebrate you for who you are.

This vote will change laws, and it will save lives.

In passing the Equality Act today, we can say, unequivocally, that everyone matters, that everyone can be themselves, that no one should live in fear or be treated as a second-class citizen in the United States of America, not today and not ever—full equality under the law, nothing less and nothing more.

I urge my colleagues to vote “no” on this motion to recommit. I welcome you to become a part of history. Join us on the right side of history. Do the right thing today. Vote “no” on this MTR, and stand for full equality for the LGBTQ community.

Ms. HILL of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. STEUBE. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 181, noes 228, not voting 23, as follows:

[Roll No. 216]

AYES—181

Abraham	Gonzalez (OH)	Newhouse
Aderholt	Gooden	Norman
Allen	Gosar	Nunes
Amash	Granger	Olson
Amodei	Graves (GA)	Palazzo
Armstrong	Graves (LA)	Palmer
Arrington	Graves (MO)	Perry
Babin	Green (TN)	Posey
Bacon	Griffith	Reed
Baird	Grothman	Reschenthaler
Balderson	Guest	Rice (SC)
Banks	Guthrie	Riggleman
Barr	Hagedorn	Roby
Bergman	Harris	Rodgers (WA)
Biggs	Hartzler	Roe, David P.
Bilirakis	Hern, Kevin	Rogers (AL)
Bishop (UT)	Herrera Beutler	Rogers (KY)
Bost	Hice (GA)	Rooney (FL)
Brooks (AL)	Higgins (LA)	Rose, John W.
Brooks (IN)	Hill (AR)	Rouzer
Buchanan	Holding	Roy
Buck	Hollingsworth	Rutherford
Budd	Hudson	Scalise
Burgess	Huizenga	Schweikert
Byrne	Hunter	Scott, Austin
Calvert	Hurd (TX)	Sensenbrenner
Carter (GA)	Johnson (SD)	Shimkus
Carter (TX)	Jordan	Simpson
Chabot	Joyce (OH)	Smith (MO)
Cheney	Joyce (PA)	Smith (NE)
Cline	Katko	Smith (NJ)
Cloud	Kelly (MS)	Spano
Cole	Kelly (PA)	Stauber
Collins (GA)	King (IA)	Stefanik
Collins (NY)	King (NY)	Steil
Comer	Kinzinger	Steube
Conaway	Kustoff (TN)	Stewart
Cook	LaMalfa	Stivers
Crawford	Lamborn	Stivers
Crenshaw	Latta	Taylor
Curtis	Lesko	Thompson (PA)
Davidson (OH)	Lipinski	Thornberry
Davis, Rodney	Long	Timmons
DesJarlais	Loudermilk	Tipton
Diaz-Balart	Lucas	Upton
Duncan	Luetkemeyer	Wagner
Dunn	Marchant	Walberg
Emmer	Marshall	Walden
Estes	Mast	Walorski
Ferguson	McCarthy	Waltz
Fitzpatrick	McCaul	Watkins
Fleischmann	McClintock	Webster (FL)
Flores	McHenry	Wenstrup
Fortenberry	McKinley	Williams
Foxx (NC)	Meadows	Wittman
Fulcher	Meuser	Womack
Gaetz	Miller	Woodall
Gallagher	Mitchell	Wright
Gianforte	Moolenaar	Yoho
Gibbs	Mooney (WV)	Zeldin
Gohmert	Mullin	

NOES—228

Adams	Beyer	Brown (MD)
Aguilar	Bishop (GA)	Brownley (CA)
Allred	Blumenauer	Bustos
Axne	Blunt Rochester	Butterfield
Barragán	Bonamici	Carbajal
Bass	Boyle, Brendan	Cárdenas
Beatty	F.	Carson (IN)
Bera	Brindisi	Cartwright

Case	Houlahan	Pelosi
Casten (IL)	Hoyer	Perlmutter
Castor (FL)	Huffman	Peters
Castro (TX)	Jackson Lee	Phillips
Chu, Judy	Jayapal	Pingree
Cicilline	Jeffries	Pocan
Cisneros	Johnson (GA)	Porter
Clark (MA)	Johnson (TX)	Pressley
Clarke (NY)	Kaptur	Price (NC)
Clay	Keating	Quigley
Cleaver	Kelly (IL)	Raskin
Cohen	Kennedy	Rice (NY)
Connolly	Khanna	Richmond
Cooper	Kildee	Rouda
Correa	Kilmer	Roybal-Allard
Costa	Kim	Ruiz
Courtney	Kind	Ruppersberger
Cox (CA)	Kirkpatrick	Rush
Craig	Krishnamoorthi	Sánchez
Crist	Kuster (NH)	Sarbanes
Crow	Lamb	Scanlon
Cuellar	Langevin	Schakowsky
Cummings	Larsen (WA)	Schiff
Cunningham	Larson (CT)	Schneider
Dauids (KS)	Lawrence	Schrader
Davis (CA)	Lawson (FL)	Schrier
Davis, Danny K.	Lee (CA)	Scott (VA)
Dean	Lee (NV)	Scott, David
DeFazio	Levin (CA)	Serrano
DeGette	Levin (MI)	Sewell (AL)
DeLauro	Lewis	Shalala
DelBene	Lieu, Ted	Sherman
Delgado	Loeb sack	Sherrill
Demings	Lofgren	Sires
DeSaulnier	Lowenthal	Slotkin
Deutch	Lowe y	Smith (WA)
Doggett	Lujan	Soto
Doyle, Michael	Luria	Spanberger
F.	Lynch	Speier
Engel	Malinowski	Stanton
Escobar	Maloney,	Stevens
Eshoo	Carolyn B.	Suo zzi
Espallat	Maloney, Sean	Takano
Evans	Massie	Thompson (CA)
Finkenauer	Matsui	Thompson (MS)
Fletcher	McAdams	Titus
Foster	McBath	Tlaib
Frankel	McCollum	Tonko
Fudge	McEachin	Torres (CA)
Gabbard	McGovern	Torres Small
Gallego	McNerney	(NM)
Garamendi	Meeks	Trahan
Garcia (IL)	Meng	Trone
Garcia (TX)	Moore	Underwood
Golden	Morelle	Van Drew
Gomez	Mucarsel-Powell	Vargas
Gonzalez (TX)	Murphy	Veasey
Gottheimer	Nadler	Vela
Green (TX)	Napolitano	Velázquez
Grijalva	Neguse	Visclosky
Haaland	Norcross	Wasserman
Harder (CA)	O'Halleran	Schultz
Hastings	Ocasio-Cortez	Waters
Hayes	Heck	Watson Coleman
Heck	Higgins (NY)	Welch
Herman	Hill (CA)	Wexton
Horsford	Himes	Wild
	Horn, Kendra S.	Wilson (FL)
	Horsford	Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—23

Brady	LaHood	Swalwell (CA)
Bucshon	Moulton	Turner
Burchett	Pence	Walker
Clyburn	Peterson	Weber (TX)
Dingell	Ratcliffe	Westerman
Duffy	Rose (NY)	Wilson (SC)
Johnson (LA)	Ryan	Young
Johnson (OH)	Smucker	

□ 1204

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 236, noes 173, not voting 23, as follows:

[Roll No. 217]

AYES—236

Adams	Golden	Ocasio-Cortez
Aguilar	Gomez	Omar
Allred	Gonzalez (TX)	Pallone
Axne	Gottheimer	Panetta
Barragán	Green (TX)	Pappas
Bass	Grijalva	Pascrell
Beatty	Haaland	Payne
Bera	Harder (CA)	Pelosi
Beyer	Hastings	Perlmutter
Bishop (GA)	Hayes	Peters
Blumenauer	Heck	Phillips
Blunt Rochester	Higgins (NY)	Pingree
Bonamici	Hill (CA)	Pocan
Boyle, Brendan	Himes	Porter
F.	Horn, Kendra S.	Pressley
Brindisi	Horsford	Price (NC)
Brooks (IN)	Houlihan	Quigley
Brown (MD)	Hoyer	Raskin
Brownley (CA)	Huffman	Reed
Bustos	Hurd (TX)	Rice (NY)
Butterfield	Jackson Lee	Richmond
Carbajal	Jayapal	Rouda
Cárdenas	Jeffries	Roybal-Allard
Carson (IN)	Johnson (GA)	Ruiz
Cartwright	Johnson (TX)	Ruppersberger
Case	Kaptur	Rush
Casten (IL)	Katko	Sánchez
Castor (FL)	Keating	Sarbanes
Castro (TX)	Kelly (IL)	Scanlon
Chu, Judy	Kennedy	Schakowsky
Cicilline	Khanna	Schiff
Cisneros	Kildee	Schneider
Clark (MA)	Kilmer	Schrader
Clarke (NY)	Kim	Schrier
Clay	Kind	Scott (VA)
Cleaver	Kirkpatrick	Scott, David
Cohen	Krishnamoorthi	Serrano
Connolly	Kuster (NH)	Sewell (AL)
Cooper	Lamb	Shalala
Correa	Langevin	Sherman
Costa	Larsen (WA)	Sherrill
Courtney	Larson (CT)	Sires
Cox (CA)	Lawrence	Slotkin
Craig	Lawson (FL)	Smith (WA)
Crist	Lee (CA)	Smith (WA)
Crow	Lee (NV)	Soto
Cuellar	Levin (CA)	Spanberger
Cummings	Levin (MI)	Speier
Cunningham	Lewis	Stanton
Davids (KS)	Lieu, Ted	Stefanik
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Stevens
Davis, Danny K.	Loeb sack	Suo zzi
Dean	Lofgren	Takano
DeFazio	Lowenthal	Thompson (CA)
DeGette	Lowe y	Thompson (MS)
DeLauro	Lujan	Titus
DelBene	Luria	Tlaib
Delgado	Lynch	Tonko
Demings	Malinowski	Torres (CA)
DeSaulnier	Maloney,	Torres Small
Deutch	Carolyn B.	(NM)
Diaz-Balart	Maloney, Sean	Trahan
Doggett	Matsui	Trone
Doyle, Michael	McAdams	Underwood
F.	McBath	Van Drew
Engel	McCollum	Vargas
Escobar	McEachin	Veasey
Eshoo	McGovern	Vela
Espallat	McNerney	Velázquez
Evans	Meeks	Visclosky
Finkenauer	Meng	Walden
Fitzpatrick	Moore	Wasserman
Fletcher	Morelle	Schultz
Foster	Mucarsel-Powell	Waters
Frankel	Murphy	Watson Coleman
Fudge	Nadler	Welch
Gabbard	Napolitano	Wexton
Gallego	Neal	Wild
Garamendi	Neguse	Wilson (FL)
Garcia (IL)	Norcross	Yarmuth
Garcia (TX)	O'Halleran	

NOES—173

Abraham	Arrington	Barr
Aderholt	Babin	Bergman
Allen	Bacon	Biggs
Amash	Baird	Bilirakis
Amodei	Balderson	Bishop (UT)
Armstrong	Banks	Bost

Brooks (AL)	Hagedorn	Palmer
Buchanan	Harris	Pence
Buck	Hartzler	Perry
Budd	Hern, Kevin	Posey
Burgess	Herrera Beutler	Reschenthaler
Byrne	Hice (GA)	Rice (SC)
Calvert	Higgins (LA)	Riggleman
Carter (GA)	Hill (AR)	Roby
Carter (TX)	Holding	Rodgers (WA)
Chabot	Hollingsworth	Roe, David P.
Cheney	Hudson	Rogers (AL)
Cline	Huizenga	Rogers (KY)
Cloud	Hunter	Rooney (FL)
Cole	Johnson (SD)	Rose, John W.
Collins (GA)	Jordan	Rouzer
Collins (NY)	Joyce (OH)	Roy
Comer	Joyce (PA)	Rutherford
Conaway	Kelly (MS)	Scalise
Cook	Kelly (PA)	Schweikert
Crawford	King (IA)	Scott, Austin
Crenshaw	King (NY)	Sensenbrenner
Curtis	Kinzinger	Shimkus
Davidson (OH)	Kustoff (TN)	Simpson
Davis, Rodney	LaMalfa	Smith (MO)
DesJarlais	Lamborn	Smith (NE)
Duncan	Latta	Smith (NJ)
Dunn	Lesko	Spano
Emmer	Long	Stauber
Estes	Loudermilk	Steil
Ferguson	Lucas	Stewart
Fleischmann	Luetkemeyer	Stivers
Flores	Marchant	Taylor
Fortenberry	Marshall	Thompson (PA)
Fox (NC)	Massie	Thornberry
Fulcher	Mast	Timmons
Gaetz	McCarthy	Tipton
Gallagher	McCaul	Upton
Gianforte	McClintock	Wagner
Gibbs	McHenry	Walberg
Gohmert	McKinley	Walorski
Gonzalez (OH)	Meadows	Waltz
Gooden	Meuser	Watkins
Gosar	Miller	Webster (FL)
Granger	Mitchell	Wenstrup
Graves (GA)	Moolenaar	Williams
Graves (LA)	Mooney (WV)	Wittman
Graves (MO)	Mullin	Womack
Green (TN)	Newhouse	Woodall
Griffith	Norman	Wright
Grothman	Nunes	Yoho
Guest	Olson	Zeldin
Guthrie	Palazzo	

NOT VOTING—23

Brady	LaHood	Swalwell (CA)
Bucshon	Moulton	Turner
Burchett	Peterson	Walker
Clyburn	Ratcliffe	Weber (TX)
Dingell	Rose (NY)	Westerman
Duffy	Ryan	Young
Johnson (LA)	Smucker	
Johnson (OH)	Steube	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). The Chair will remind all persons in the gallery that they are here as guests of the House and that any manifestation of approval or disapproval of proceedings is in violation of the rules of the House.

□ 1212

So the bill was passed.  
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.  
 A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:  
 Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, due to my only daughter, Amie Westerman, having her wedding rehearsal dinner on Friday, May 17, 2019, I will not be present for the vote on H.R. 5, The Equality Act. Had I been present for the vote, I would have recorded a Nay vote.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ROSE of New York. Mr. Speaker, due to a death in my family, I had to miss votes on Thursday, May 16 and Friday, May 17.  
 Had I been present, I would have voted: "nay" on rollcall No. 210, McKinley Amendment No. 2 to H.R. 987; "yea" on rollcall No.

211 Harder Amendment No. 6 to H.R. 987; "yea" on rollcall No. 212 Wexton Amendment No. 21 to H.R. 987; "nay" on rollcall No. 213 Motion to Recommit for H.R. 987; "yea" on rollcall No. 214 Final Passage for H.R. 987; "nay" on rollcall No. 216 Motion to Recommit for H.R. 5; and "yea" on rollcall No. 217 Final Passage for H.R. 5.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BUCSHON. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained on May 17, 2019, in order to deliver the Commencement Address at the Concordia Seminary in Saint Louis, Missouri. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 215, "yea" on rollcall No. 216, and "nay" on rollcall No. 217.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I was absent during this morning's vote on final passage of H.R. 5 due to travel for an unavoidable medical appointment back in Ohio. Had I been present for this vote on H.R. 5, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall No. 215, "yea" on rollcall No. 216, and "nay" on rollcall No. 217.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will remind all persons in the gallery that they are here as guests of the House and that any manifestation of approval or disapproval of proceedings is in violation of the rules of the House.

REQUEST TO CONSIDER H.R. 962, BORN-ALIVE ABORTION SURVIVORS PROTECTION ACT

Mr. ROY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from any further consideration of H.R. 962, the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded in section 956 of the House Rules and Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the request unless it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Speaker, I urge the Speaker to immediately schedule this important legislation that will protect equality of life for the unborn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is not recognized for debate.

ADJOURNMENT FROM FRIDAY, MAY 17, 2019, TO MONDAY, MAY 20, 2019

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday next, when it shall convene at noon for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. SCALISE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of inquiring of the majority leader the schedule for next week, and I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), my friend.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at 12 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business, with votes postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate and 12 p.m. for legislative business. On Thursday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. The complete list of suspension bills will be announced by the close of business today.

The House will also consider H.R. 1500, the Consumer First Act. This legislation seeks to reverse the administration's efforts to dismantle the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

In addition, the House will consider H.R. 1994, the Setting Every Community Up for Retirement Enhancement Act of 2019. The legislation is intended to increase the flexibility of 401(k) plans and improve access to the accounts, particularly for small businesses and employees. The bill includes a host of provisions aimed at encouraging small businesses to provide private retirement benefits to their workers.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I want to ask about the conversations and negotiations that are going on regarding the disaster supplemental. I know the gentleman is well aware that there are some good, I think, very fruitful, negotiations going on. Clearly, we want to make sure about some of the things that weren't in the bill that went out of the House, especially as it relates to the crisis at the border, as it deals with unaccompanied children, as well as making sure that we get the right kind of help to our farmers who had devastation to their crops in these disasters.

I ask the gentleman if he can give an indication of a timeline.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his question.

As the gentleman knows, we passed an initial bill some many weeks ago. The Senate didn't pass that. We then passed, more recently, a bill which did, in fact, take care of everyone we know who has had a natural disaster in the interim as well as those we had taken care of the first time around.

So, we think we have a good bill that was passed; however, as the gentleman also referenced, the President has asked for an additional supplemental of a little over \$4 billion referenced for humanitarian issues at the border. That is being reviewed.

As the gentleman probably also knows, an offer was made to our side.