The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. DEAN).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, July 23, 2019.

I hereby appoint the Honorable MADELEINE DEAN to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 3, 2019, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties. All time shall be equally allocated between the parties, and in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m. Each Member, other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip, shall be limited to 5 minutes.

HOPE REMAINS FOR PEACE
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. POCAN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. POCAN. Madam Speaker, today we will be taking up a resolution to denounce the BDS movement, the boycott, divestment, and sanctions against Israel.

This region is not unfamiliar to me, as I have been there twice, in both Israel and Palestine. I do not support the BDS movement.

When I was last in Palestine, I asked multiple people with whom I met if they supported the movement, and the response was that they did not, as they were afraid of the economic effect on Palestine, where many are already struggling.

However, I also do not support the resolution today, as it goes too far, in my opinion, in telling people what they can or should think or say about the situation in Israel.

Israel the country and the Israeli people are good friends of the United States. They are a strong democracy and a close ally of our country. I have supported the Iron Dome as a way to deescalate the tensions that occur when a rocket is sent into Israel from inside Gaza, for example. It is better to take out that missile before there is any damage or death rather than returning a volley of rockets back in response, injuring or killing people of both countries.

But I also think it is okay to be critical of the Netanyahu administration, or government, and their policies.

Look, if a dear friend does something that jeopardizes themselves or their family’s lives or livelihoods, I have a moral obligation to say something because I respect my friend. It should be no different with our response to Israel. People have a right to be concerned about a number of actions by the Israeli Government.

We have a right to question how continuing to create illegal settlements into the West Bank will make it harder to broker a two-state solution, the best path forward toward peace in the region, given the additional difficulty of the land swaps.

We have a right to question why it is okay to take Palestinian children, or any child, into a military court for detention by Israel.

We have a right to ask if sectioning off 2 million people in Gaza, with over a million people needing food assistance and 95 percent not having access to clean water, will ever lead to peace, or why not allowing Members of Congress to go into Gaza from Israel is smart. What don’t they want us to see by not allowing us in?

We have a right to ask how demolishing Palestinian homes in East Jerusalem or the West Bank or crops in Gaza serves to further peace in the region.

We have a right to ask why it makes sense to have a major highway with a giant wall in the middle of it with one side for Palestinians and the other for Israelis, as it looks like something we have judged poorly previously in history.

We have a right to ask if a bullet directed at a child is an equivalent response to a thrown rock.

I am not saying that Hamas, the organization that has been recognized by the United States as a terrorist organization, is innocent or pure—anything but. But, obviously, not all Palestinians are Hamas by any stretch of the imagination.

If we really want peace in the region, where we will never have to send young men and women from our country to risk their lives, then we need a government in Israel that respects human rights more and works more aggressively towards peace.

I was told a resolution advocating for a two-state solution would be up today as well, a resolution I support; but apparently it is not, and that is a mistake. Instead, only this resolution opposing BDS is up.

And while I do not support BDS, I cannot support this resolution as worded. My hope is that we will have real peace in the region someday, that we will have a two-state solution where both Israelis and Palestinians will live in peace, both internally and with each other. But this resolution won’t do that.

Madam Speaker, I just wish real efforts toward peace were what we were debating today.
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The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. GOMEZ) for 5 minutes.

Mr. GOMEZ. Madam Speaker, on July 19, surrounded by loved ones, the city of Los Angeles lost a giant. His name was Henry Lozano.

Madam Speaker, I simply don’t have enough time to recount all of Henry’s achievements or the profound impact he had on our district and on the Golden State. His list of accomplishments is pretty impressive.

He was a Korean war veteran, serving as a rear guard in the 1950s; a fierce workers’ advocate as a labor leader for UAW Local 509; chief of staff to Congressman Ed Roybal; an adviser to Xavier Becerra, the current attorney general of California and the former Congressman for the district I now represent.

Congressman Ed Roybal was the first Latino elected to Congress from California since the 1800s, a founder of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus. Oftentimes, on the shoulders of giants, and people think I am referring just to the elected officials who came before me; but, more often than not, it is the people who surround those elected leaders, like Henry Lozano, the ones who are their advisers, their confidantes, the people who try to keep them true to their word and to their core and to their principles.

Henry was that type of individual. He cared deeply about the Latino community and empowering them in a time where we oftentimes felt marginalized. He came up during the seventies and the eighties and the nineties.

I did not work with Henry directly, but I got to know him. Back in 2004, at the Democratic National Convention, I was introduced to Henry by a mutual friend, and he said that Henry was a legend within the Latino community on the east side of Los Angeles. He said he was the one who helped, really, mentor countless elected officials and wannabe elected officials like myself.

I befriended Henry, and Henry gave me quite a bit of advice. Most importantly, he wanted to make sure that I would remain truthful and remain committed to the community that I would one day represent.

I got to visit him just before he passed in the hospital. He looked pretty good to me. We talked, and the first thing he asked me about is what did I think. I thought he was referring to the Presidential election, but, in the end, it was really about a local city council race.

He said that politics is always local, and you should always think about the people first.

Henry will be missed. He had a profound impact on a lot of folks, and I am one of them. So I hope that we will keep his memory alive.

HONORING BARBARA TORRES

Mr. GOMEZ. Madam Speaker, I have sad news to report regarding someone else we lost on the east side of Los Angeles.

She was a labor union leader, an activist, and a daughter of East Los Angeles. Her name was Barbara Torres.

Barbara passed away at the young age of 39, but she left a life of meaning. She was always there for somebody when she didn’t have a car. She gave so much to people who had so little, even though she didn’t have much herself.

She fought against the biggest opponents, even when she was small in stature. She would often be the first one into a fight because she always had one saying: “If we fight, we win.” That really sums up Barbara Torres.

She was the champion of the little guy and the underdog, because she was the little guy and the underdog. She understood that the system can sometimes be against the people who need the most help, but she was always there and never gave up faith.

She valued her community, but we also valued her. Yesterday, we put Barbara Torres to rest. At her funeral service was the mayor of Los Angeles, Eric Garcetti, myself, Los Angeles City Council President Herb Wesson, State Senator Maria Elena Durazo, Assemblymember Reggie Jones-Sawyer and the head of the California Democratic Party, Rusty Hicks.

For somebody who did not have a title in the end, who was not of wealth or means, but was just somebody who showed up every single day for every fight, she left an impact. She will definitely be missed because we know that she made California, Los Angeles, and this country a better place to live.

PRESERVE FREE SPEECH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB) for 5 minutes.

Ms. TLAIB. Madam Speaker, I stand before you as the granddaughter of a Palestinian grandmother, my sity, who yearns to experience equality, human dignity, and freedom. I stand before you, the daughter of Palestinian immigrants, parents who experienced being stripped of their human rights, the right to freedom of travel and equal treatment. So I can’t stand by and watch this attack on our freedom of speech and the right to boycott the racist policies from the Government and the State of Israel.

I love our country’s freedom of speech, Madam Speaker. Dissent is how we nurture democracy and grow to be better, more humane, and just. This is why I oppose H. Res. 246.

All Americans have a constitutional right, guaranteed by the First Amendment, to freedom of speech, to petition their government, and to participate in boycotts.

Speech in pursuit of civil and human rights at home and abroad is protected by our First Amendment. That is one reason why our First Amendment is so powerful. With a few exceptions, the government is simply not allowed to discriminate against speech based on its viewpoint or its speaker.

The right to boycott is deeply rooted in the fabric of our country. What was the Boston Tea Party but a boycott? Where would we be now without the activism and boycotts in the 1950s and 1960s, like the Montgomery bus boycott and United Farm Workers grape boycott.

Some of this country’s most important advances in racial equality and equity and workers’ rights have been achieved through collective action protected by our Constitution.

Americans of conscience have a long and proud history of participating in boycotts specifically to advocate for human rights abroad. Americans boycotted Nazi Germany in response to dehumanization, imprisonment, and genocide of Jewish people. In the 1980s, many of us in this very body boycotted South African goods in the fight against apartheid.

Our right to free speech is being threatened with this resolution. It sets a dangerous precedent because it attempts to delegitimize certain people’s political speech and to send a message that our government can and will take action against speech it doesn’t like.

Madam Speaker, the Supreme Court has, time and time again, recognized that expressive conduct is protected by the Constitution, from burning a flag to baking a cake. Efforts to restrict and target that protected speech run the risk of eroding the civil rights that form the foundation of our democracy.

All Americans have the right to participate in boycotts, and I oppose all legislative efforts that target speech.

Madam Speaker, I urge Congress, State governments, and civil rights leaders from all communities to preserve our Constitution, preserve our Bill of Rights, and preserve the First Amendment’s guarantee of freedom of speech by opposing H. Res. 246 and all anti-boycott efforts wherever they arise.

HONORING THE LIFE OF CORPORAL WILLIAM “BILL” Mc MILLAN, III

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. NORMAN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. NORMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of Corporal William “Bill” McMillan, III. Corporal McMillan was a combat medic serving in Iraq when he lost his life in an IED attack on July 8, 2008.

The death of Corporal McMillan prompted his father, Lloyd, and Brad, his older brother, to write a poem about his sudden death entitled ‘The Medic’s Last Patrol’; the poem goes like this: As your Stryker rolls, you remember many missions through this long, tough fight.
You know the last task will come, last work be done, then home. All right!
You will mount up to take that last patrol with fellows all well tried;
That honor roll of troopers you have come to know with love and pride.
You have all talked, laughed, wept, and fought hard, side by side.
Many those you've watched them play, work, fight, and bleed.
You've patched them up, cheered them on, and sent them back to lead.
You've been there for them in the dust of day and in the cold of night.
Then on to the next patrol, with that honored roll. Back one more time to fight.
You remember as that report was out. They were all about, and they had planted one to three.
On late guard, near break of day, an IED you see they start to lay.
You call Sarge, "Hey! Look close over there. Can you see?"
Sarge runs right out, and starts to shout, "That's it!" And you do the follow me!
Later, you patch the enemy then work smart, fight tough, to get yourself free.
You ask, "Is this the last patrol? Is this it for Sarge and me?"
Experience kicks in. You both fight hard and win. Clearly, the last patrol it's not.
You and your team will see lots more patrols, lots more danger and death.
As you're grouped the next day, the General praises your brave acts.
You and Sarge got four, and the team got nine more, in those all-out attacks.
Your team did its job. They fought hard and did so very well.
You've sent the enemy on their last patrol, their justly deserved death knell.
Now, you are rolling on this new daytime mission. The light is oh so bright.
Your patrol is off to guard the convoy. Black Lung is in sight.
You laugh at stories told as along you roll and grab a snack. All right!
Then that flash of light, that blast of might, your eyes see now closed so tight.
For four, the last patrol has come. The rest for a moment numb, a truly terrible plight.
Later, the caiison rolls. The cannons boom.
Overhead, the Blackhawk's fly.
We honor you as we stand in place. But we don't tell why.
We find some comfort as we hear "Amazing Grace," our eyes very far from dry.
It was a one-way ticket, but we know you're in a better place.
Now, you are with our dear Lord and holy master.
You have passed from last patrol to honored guard of God's most holy pasture.

FOCUS ON HUMAN RIGHTS IN DISCUSSIONS WITH PAKISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) for 5 minutes.
Mr. SHERMAN. Madam Speaker, the Prime Minister of Pakistan, Imran Khan, came to Washington this weekend, the chair of the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Asia, it is appropriate that I comment upon what has occurred so far in the bilateral discussions, and I look forward to meeting the Prime Minister later today.
What I do want to mention is the approach taken by the Trump administration.
First, as to Afghanistan, the hearts and minds of the Afghan people are critical to our success in what has been our longest war. Tens of thousands of Americans have worked to get the hearts and minds of the Afghan people on our side, building schools and hospitals, under very dangerous conditions.
Now, the President takes this high-profile opportunity as an opportunity to say that he might kill 10 million Afghans, or was thinking about it, or raises the possibility that we would use nuclear weapons to destroy Afghanistan.
This does untold harm to our efforts in Afghanistan to win the hearts and minds of the Afghan people.
What the President should have done is talk about the Durand Line and how Afghanistan should accept this border between Afghanistan and Pakistan, a border that Afghanistan disputes but that the whole world accepts.
As to Kashmir, it is surprising that the Prime Minister of Pakistan wants America to arbitrate or mediate. That has been the position of Pakistan for decades. But then, the President said in Osaka, last month, Prime Minister Modi asked the United States to arbitrate or mediate the Kashmir dispute.
That is utterly preposterous, and it is embarrassing that we have a President who wouldn't realize how preposterous that statement is. Of course, the record has been set straight by Prime Minister Modi.
Third is what the President didn't say. He didn't make a major issue over Dr. Afridi, the doctor who was critical in helping us find and kill Osama bin Laden. Bin Laden was hiding a mile away from the military academy of the Pakistani Army, their West Point.
We have not demanded that the Pakistanis bring Afridi to justice, we have not demanded we find and know with love and pride.
Now, the President takes this highly sensitive issue and uses it to the advantage of the Pakistani Government.
I want to mention Dr. Anwar Laghari, a friend of mine who was shot dead in Sindh in 2015 while working with the Sindh United Party, a party of which he was one of the leaders. Pakistan has not adequately investigated that brutal murder, and it is time for Pakistan to do so.
Finally, we focus on the issue of forced conversions in Sindh, young Hindu and Christian girls forced to convert to Islam and forced to marry men decades their senior. The Pakistani Government needs to stop this pernicious practice. They need to do more. That is why nine of my colleagues joined with me in sending a letter to the President, urging a focus on human rights in Sindh in these bilateral discussions.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 23 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.
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AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:
We give You thanks, O God, for giving us another day. We ask Your blessing upon this assembly and upon all to whom the authority of government is given.
Encourage the Members of this House, O God, to use their abilities and talents in ways that bring righteousness to this Nation and to all people.
Ever remind them of the needs of the poor, the homeless or forgotten, and those who live without freedom or liberty.
May they be instruments of justice for all Americans.
We are grateful for the success of the recent negotiations between the President and congressional leaders on the debt limit and budget caps deal and ask Your blessing on them and on those now charged with moving the business of government and the national economy forward.
May all that is done within the people's House this day be for Your greater honor and glory.
I continue to meet with Utahns about their healthcare concerns, and I recently had a conversation with moms of kids with type 1 diabetes, who explained to me how the high cost of insulin is affecting their child’s treatment. We have a bipartisan consensus that our healthcare system needs work, so it is past time that we act on bipartisan solutions that lower Americans’ costs and ensure their access to quality, affordable healthcare.

I count myself among the many Michiganders, from all communities and stations of life, who know room 218 is the place to go when you have a particularly thorny problem to solve or need advice on a sensitive matter. You just have to be ready because the judge dispenses his wisdom unvarnished.

Judge Cohn’s work ethic is legendary. In any room, he is generally the most well-read person on history, philosophy, culture, and also, somehow, the most up to date on current affairs, as he devours numerous newspapers and websites every day. It is hard to overstate Judge Cohn’s impact on the law and the people who have passed through his courtroom, whether they be defendants, attorneys, or staff. He has left an indelible imprint on so many lives.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Judge Cohn on 40 years of service and in wishing him continued success.

BUILDING BLOCKS OF STEM ACT

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1665) to direct the National Science Foundation to support STEM education research focused on early childhood.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

The text of the bill is as follows:

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The text of the bill is as follows:

SEC. 3. SUPPORTING EARLY CHILDHOOD STEM EDUCATION RESEARCH.

In awarding grants under the Discovery Research PreK-12 program, the Director of the National Science Foundation shall consider the age distribution of a STEM education research and development project to...
improve the focus of research and development on early childhood education.

SEC. 4. SUPPORTING FEMALE STUDENTS IN PRE-KINDERGARTEN THROUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN STEM EDUCATION.

Section 305(d) of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act (42 U.S.C. 1862s–5(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3) RESEARCH.—As a component of improving participation of women in STEM fields, research funded by a grant under this subsection may include research on—

"(A) the role of teacher training and professional development, including effective incentives and rewards for teachers to participate in such training and professional development, in encouraging or discouraging female students in prekindergarten through elementary school from participating in STEM activities;

"(B) the role of teachers in shaping perceptions of STEM in female students in prekindergarten through elementary school and discouraging such students from participating in STEM activities;

"(C) the role of other facets of the learning environment, willingness of female students in prekindergarten through elementary school to participate in STEM activities, including learning materials and textbooks, classroom arrangement, use of media and technology, classroom culture, and gender composition of students during group work;

"(D) the role of parents and other caregivers in encouraging or discouraging female students in prekindergarten through elementary school from participating in STEM activities;

"(E) the types of STEM activities that encourage greater participation by female students in prekindergarten through elementary school;

"(F) the role of mentorship and best practices in finding and utilizing mentors;

"(G) the role of informal and out-of-school STEM learning opportunities on the perception of and participation in STEM activities of female students in prekindergarten through elementary school; and

"(H) any other area the Director determines will carry out the goal described in paragraph (2)."

SEC. 5. SUPPORTING FEMALE STUDENTS IN PRE-KINDERGARTEN THROUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN COMPUTER SCIENCE EDUCATION.

Section 310(b) of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act (42 U.S.C. 1862s–7(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3) USES OF FUNDS.—The tools and models described in paragraph (2)(C) may include—

"(A) offering training and professional development programs, including summer or academic year institutes or workshops, designed to strengthen the capabilities of prekindergarten and elementary school teachers and to encourage teachers with the role of gender bias in the classroom;

"(B) offering innovative pre-service and in-service programs that instruct teachers on gender-inclusive practices for teaching computer concepts;

"(C) developing distance learning programs for teachers or students, including developing curricular materials, play-based computing activities, and other resources for the in-service professional development of teachers that are made available to teachers through the Internet;

"(D) developing or adapting prekindergarten and elementary school computer science curricular materials that incorporate research on the science of learning, particularly with respect to gender inclusion;

"(E) developing and offering gender-inclusive computer science enrichment programs for students, including after-school and summer programs;

"(F) providing mentors for female students in prekindergarten through elementary school in person and through the Internet to support such students in participating in computer science activities;

"(G) engaging female students in prekindergarten through elementary school and their guardians about the difficulties faced by such students to maintain an interest in participating in computer science activities;

"(H) acquainting female students in prekindergarten through elementary school with careers in computer science and encouraging such students to consider careers in such field;

"(I) developing tools to evaluate activities conducted under this subsection; and

"(J) any other tools or models the Director determines will accomplish the aim described in paragraph (2)(C)."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous materials on H.R. 1665, the bill now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support H.R. 1665, the Building Blocks of STEM Act.

Employment in computer and information technology jobs is projected to grow faster than any other major occupation between the years of 2016 and 2026. Despite the opportunity for good, high-paying jobs when they graduate, women earned only 19 percent of undergraduate computer science degrees in 2016.

Disappointingly, the ratio of women to men earning computer science degrees actually declined between 2006 and 2016. H.R. 1665 devotes resources to ensure girls in prekindergarten and elementary school exposure to STEM activities and encourages STEM studies from a young age, before many are dissuaded or discouraged from doing so.

The legislation includes a focus on computer science education to help ensure we will have the talent to fill the jobs of the future.

We must act now to increase the participation of women in STEM, and it starts with a focus on early childhood education.

Mr. Speaker, I want to commend my colleagues, Representatives STEVENS and BAIRD, for their leadership in this legislation, and I urge my colleagues to support it. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, research shows that early exposure to science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and computer science has positive impacts on a broad spectrum of student outcomes. For example, early math knowledge not only predicts later math success; it also predicts lower reading achievement.

Studies have also found that children who engage in scientific activities from an early age develop positive attitudes toward science and are more likely to pursue STEM expertise and careers.

H.R. 1665, the Building Blocks of STEM Act, directs the National Science Foundation to support STEM education research focused on early childhood and to award grants to encourage young girls to pursue computer science learning.

Across the country, the share of STEM jobs has expanded significantly, with STEM employment increasing from 9.7 million to 17.3 million from 1990 to 2018.

Data suggests that this trend will continue, and the U.S. is struggling to meet the demand. We must engage children—particularly young girls—in STEM in early childhood and sustain that interest as they grow.

More graduates with STEM degrees means more advanced American technology and a more robust economy. But it is not just about the economy.

STEM graduates have the potential to develop technologies that could save thousands of lives, jump-start a new industry, or even discover new worlds.

By supporting more hands-on STEM engagement for younger ages, we are supporting and investing in America's future.

In the 115th Congress, the House passed this legislation unanimously, and I hope it will do so again today. I want to thank Representatives BAIRD and Representative STEVENS for reintroducing this bipartisan bill and moving it forward.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. STEVENS).

Ms. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 1665, the Building Blocks of STEM Act, which supports STEM education research focused on early childhood education.

There is a lot of discussion about the gender disparity in the STEM workforce and the leaky pipeline that widens the gap as women and girls continue through education.

Although women make up half of the U.S. workforce, they make up less than a quarter of those employed in STEM occupations.

The Building Blocks of STEM Act addresses these disparities by ensuring an equitable distribution of STEM education research funding for projects focused on young children and helping us
Understand why girls are encouraged or discouraged from participating in STEM activities.

It also ensures that the National Science Foundation grants are awarded to entities that are working in partnership, such as research universities with local education agencies, to increase participation in computer science education.

Computer science is particularly struggling to recruit and retain women, who make up less than 18 percent of the computer science workforce. The number is trending down, not up.
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This has a ripple effect on our country’s ability to fill the high-skilled jobs of today and tomorrow. We need the next generation of young women to pursue STEM degrees, and we are not seeing the numbers we need.

It is critical that we continue to work on STEM opportunities for middle-school-aged children and older, but we also need to ensure our Federal resources start at the beginning and support research on STEM education of young children. Investing in children early ensures that we are laying the groundwork to develop young innovators in STEM.

Hoosiers know that to grow our Nation, we need everyone involved. This bill helps ensure that we are preparing students to fill the jobs of the future, continuing America’s global leadership in science and technology.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support this bill.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have no more requests for time. I reserve the balance of my time.

The love of learning starts young, and the Building Blocks of STEM bill promotes this by prioritizing a focus on early childhood STEM education. It gives us the opportunity to encourage girls to get and stay engaged in STEM, helping to improve our educational programs and diversify the STEM workforce.

I, again, thank Representative BAIRD and Representative STEVENS for reintroducing this bipartisan bill. As the House did in 2015, I encourage this body to support and pass this legislation unanimously.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member and the Members on both sides of the aisle for their support of this bill. I urge its passage, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is whether the gentleman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1665.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

I was proud to join my colleague, the chair of the Research and Technology Subcommittee, Representative HALEY STEVENS, in introducing this legislation.

As one of only two Members of Congress with a Ph.D. in science, I understand firsthand the importance of developing children’s curiosity and ensuring that we are laying the ground to develop future STEM innovators.

Equally important is ensuring that we get more girls involved in the STEM fields so that we can have as many people as possible contributing to the knowledge base of our society.

H.R. 1665 directs the NSF to fund research and studies that focus on early childhood and young women in STEM at the K-12 level. Research shows that kids as young as 1, 2, or 3 are capable of absorbing STEM concepts. Children have an innate curiosity that can be fostered into an interest in science, technology, engineering, math, and computer science.
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(A) REQUIRED ACTIVITIES.—Activities of a Manufacturing USA institute shall include;

(iv) in the matter preceding clause (i) (as so redesignated), by striking “cost, time, and risk” and inserting “cost, time, or risk”;

(v) in clause (ii), as so redesignated, by inserting before the period at the end following “addressing workforce needs through training and education programs at all appropriate education levels, including programs on applied engineering,” as follows:

“(B) DEVELOPMENT OF ROADMAPS OR LEVERAGING OF EXISTING ROADMAPS WITH RESPECT TO TECHNOLOGY AREAS BEING PURSUED BY MANUFACTURING USA INSTITUTE THAT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT UNDERTAKEN AT OTHER MANUFACTURING USA INSTITUTES AND FEDERAL AGENCIES WITH RESPECT TO SUCH AREAS.”;

and

(x) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) PERMISSIBLE ACTIVITIES.—Activities of a Manufacturing USA institute may include such other activities as the agency head, in consultation with federal departments and agencies whose missions contribute to, or are affected by, advanced manufacturing, considers consistent with the purposes described in subsection (a)(2);”;

and

(D) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

“(A) COMPETITIVE, MERIT REVIEW.—In awarding financial assistance under paragraph (1), the agency head shall use a competitive, merit review process that includes peer review by a diverse group of individuals with relevant expertise from both the private and public sectors; and

(ii) ensure that the technology focus of a Manufacturing USA institute does not substantially duplicate the technology focus of any other Manufacturing USA institute.”;

(ii) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking “Secretary” and inserting “agency head”;

(iii) by amending subparagraph (C) to read as follows:

“(C) PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT, TRANSPARENCY, AND ACCOUNTABILITY.—For each award of financial assistance under paragraph (1), the agency head shall develop and implement metrics-based performance standards to assess the effectiveness of activities funded in making progress toward the purposes of the Program, including the effectiveness of Manufacturing USA institutes in advancing technology readiness levels or manufacturing readiness levels.”;

(iv) in subparagraph (D), by striking “the Secretary shall” and all that follows through “collaborate” and inserting the following:

“(B) APPLICATION.—Effective beginning on the date of establishment of the National Program Office, as appropriate, shall collaborate;”;

and

(v) in subparagraph (E)—

(i) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “Secretary” and inserting “agency head”; and

(ii) in clause (x), by striking “center for manufacturing and inserting “Manufacturing USA institute”; and

(E) in paragraph (5)—

(i) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

“(A) TERM OF AWARD.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), an award made to a Manufacturing USA institute may be renewed for an additional period not to exceed the duration of the original funding award, subject to a rigorous merit review. In awarding additional funds, the agency head shall consider the extent to which the institute has made progress in achieving the purposes described in subsection (a)(2) to the activities specified in subsection (c)(2).”;

(ii) EXISTING INSTITUTES.—Notwithstanding clause (i), an institute already in existence under renewal process on the date of enactment of the American Manufacturing Leadership Act—

(1) may continue to receive support for the duration of the original funding award beginning on the date of establishment of that institute; and

(2) shall be eligible for renewal of that funding pursuant to—

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “Secretary” and inserting “agency head”; and

(iii) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(9) by amending subsection (e) to read as follows:

“(e) GRANT PROGRAM FOR PUBLIC SERVICE ACTIVITIES FOR MANUFACTURING USA INSTITUTES WITHOUT FEDERAL FUNDING.—The Secretary may award grants on a competitive basis to Manufacturing USA institutes that are no longer recognized as such under subsection (c)(3)(C) to carry out workforce development, outreach to small- and medium-sized manufacturers, and other activities that—

(i) are determined by the Secretary to be in the national interest; and

(ii) assist in helping the private sector financial support; and

(10) in subsection (f)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “Network for Manufacturing Innovation Program” and inserting “Manufacturing USA Program”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end; and

(ii) in subparagraph (F), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(11) in subsection (g)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(A)—

(1)(I) a review of the management, coordination, and industry utility of the Program; and

(B) by amending paragraph (3) to read as follows:

“(3) ASSESSMENTS BY GAO.—

(A) ASSESSMENTS.—Not less frequently than once every 3 years, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress an assessment of the operation of the Program during the most recent 3-year period, including an assessment of the progress made towards achieving the goals specified in the national strategic plan for small and medium-size manufacturers to help develop the Program has furthered the purposes described in subsection (a)(2);
SEC. 3. INCREASED EMPHASIS ON REGIONAL INNOVATION WITHIN AND EXTENSION OF REGIONAL INNOVATION PROGRAM.


(1) in subsection (b)(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(4) Developing relationships at the local level to build supply chains and use existing capabilities of entities operating on that level to bring economic growth to suburban and rural areas.;" and

(2) in subsection (g)(2) by striking "2019" and inserting "2024".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have five legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include everything on H.R. 2397, the bill now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no objection.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 2397, the American Manufacturing Leadership Act.

I thank Ms. STEVENS for her leadership in introducing this bipartisan bill and for her commitment to developing legislation that will help strengthen America’s manufacturing base.

I also thank my colleagues on the other side of the aisle who have worked with us to develop and advance this important legislation.

Back in 2014, I was proud to support the original Revitalize American Manufacturing and Innovation Act that established the Manufacturing USA program. That bipartisan bill was sponsored by Tom Reed and Joe Kennedy and was signed into law by President Obama.

Since its inception 5 years ago, the Manufacturing USA program has grown to support 14 manufacturing institutes focused on a variety of technology areas, ranging from 3D printing to groundbreaking energy-saving manufacturing processes.

H.R. 2397 would ensure the continued success of the Manufacturing USA program by reauthorizing the program for another 5 years and by allowing agencies to renew funding for institutes after reviewing the institutes’ progress on clear performance goals.

This bill also strengthens the ability of the institutes to leverage existing programs all across the Federal Government to improve their role in regional innovation, education and training, defense technology procurement, and other activities.

Today, manufacturing remains a vital component of our Nation’s economy and national security. H.R. 2397 will help to grow our manufacturing industry and to bring along with it many good-paying jobs for our workforce.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 2397, the American Manufacturing Leadership Act. This legislation reauthorizes the bipartisan Revitalize American Manufacturing Innovation Act of 2014.

Nationally, manufacturing supports nearly 13 million American jobs, or roughly 9 percent of the workforce, and represents about 11 percent of the American economy. Most of these firms are small manufacturers, supporting local economies by providing well-paying jobs.

Technology will continue to change this sector dramatically. Today’s manufacturing floor looks far different from the assembly lines of the past, and the skills needed by manufacturing workers will continue to change.

Innovative processes, such as additive manufacturing, are transforming the future of manufacturing. It is essential that these technologies are transferred to and adopted by all U.S. manufacturers so that we remain globally competitive and the number one destination for companies looking to carry out advanced manufacturing.

With manufacturers in the United States performing 61 percent of all private sector R&D in the Nation, it is important that we capitalize on these investments and reauthorize the network of public-private partnerships established in this act, which bolster manufacturing innovation.

This bill includes important reforms to better coordinate centers for manufacturing innovation funded by all relevant agencies and incorporates recommendations made by the Government Accountability Office to improve management. This bill also prioritizes manufacturing workforce development and outreach to small and medium-sized manufacturers.

I thank Representative STEVENS and Representative BALDERSON for introducing this legislation and for their work in ushering it through the Science Committee on a bipartisan basis. I encourage my colleagues to support this legislation.

I would note to my colleague that I have no other speakers so, as I reserve my time, when the gentlewoman is prepared, I will close.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. STEVENS).

Ms. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to have been joined by my colleagues to introduce H.R. 2397, the American Manufacturing Leadership Act. I thank Chairwoman JOHNSON,
Ranking Member LUCAS, Representatives BALDENDORF and GONZALEZ, and the sponsors of the original Revitalize American Manufacturing Innovation Act, Representatives KENNEDY and REED, for their partnership in leading this legislation and for being such great champions for advanced manufacturing.

Today is a great day. It is a great legislative day and a great day for American manufacturing, for innovation, for our workforce, and for the effective use of our Federal Government to advance, grow, and compete.

Today, the American Manufacturing Leadership Act reauthorizes the Manufacturing USA program through bipartisan support and the willpower of our Federal Government.

What began in Youngstown, Ohio, as a pilot initiative, the vision of a lab that would usher in 3D printing applications, workforce training programs, and the transfer of new technologies across the country and into the supply chain, is now one of the 14 institutes encompassing various research concentrations. Those include Lightweight Innovations for Tomorrow Institute located in Cassopolis, Michigan; REMADE Institute in Rochester, New York; Digital Manufacturing Institute in Chicago; and PowerAmerica in North Carolina for battery technology.

This work is in my blood, and it is part of why I came to Congress. It is also imperative for our role in global competition and for the investment in industrial policy and strategy vis-a-vis sound economic policy.

We will ensure that Manufacturing USA can continue to contribute to the growth of our domestic advanced manufacturing base and an advanced manufacturing workforce to fill the high-skilled jobs of the future.

AMLA authorizes agencies to renew their contracts for an additional period of funding following a fair review of the institutes’ progress. It also strengthens the important partnership between Manufacturing USA and the Manufacturing Extension Partnership program, as well as other relevant programs across the Federal Government.

Finally, the bill authorizes funding to allow the National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST, and the Department of Energy to continue funding their current institutes and stand up at least one additional institute in fiscal year 2020 and each year thereafter.

The real strength of these institutes lies in the consortium model, with the private partners contributing at least 50 percent of the funding.

In 2017 alone, Manufacturing USA raised almost $180 million in investments from the private sector from nearly 1,300 manufacturers, universities, community colleges, government labs, and NGOs.

They are only able to do this because the Federal Government sets the table and provides support in the planning, development, management, and operation of each institute.

Manufacturing USA institutes provide critical U.S. global leadership in advanced manufacturing.

The institutes serve as a unique collaborative platform for industry and academia to engage in best-in-class expertise to solve challenges and usher in new innovations.

The program is making. I believe, incredible strides in workforce development for the future and existing workforce. For example, in 2017, the LIPT Institute in Detroit reached over 100,000 students across the country through innovative web-based curricula, as well as in-person training programs. And the Manufacturing Institute in Chicago, the digital manufacturing lab, has used a taxonomic approach to codifying job roles specific to the changing nature of advanced manufacturing brought on by the Internet of Things.

The United States will never be able to compete by bringing back the manufacturing of yesterday. We can celebrate our milestones that we landed on the Moon—as we usher in the innovations to improve the lives and outcomes of our manufacturing base for the next 50 years.

The American Manufacturing Leadership Act has been endorsed by the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation, the American Society for Mechanical Engineers, the Bipartisan Policy Center, and the American Association of Manufacturers.

To the small and midsized manufacturers, to the suppliers, to the complex web of craftsmanship, to the future engineer, to the computer programmer, to the student dreaming in Livonia, Michigan, about what they are going to do, this bill is for you.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairwoman for her extraordinary leadership on this issue for years, for the effort that she led on getting this passed in Congress several years ago, and her entire staff, the staff on both sides of the aisle when this bill was initially passed.

I also want to thank Congresswoman STEVENS for her incredible enthusiasm and dedication to workers across Michigan, across her district, but for never losing sight of what manufacturing means for this country, what this country was built on, and the men and women who make it all possible.

Mr. Speaker, a few years ago, when I introduced the Revitalize American Manufacturing and Innovation Act, it was about reaching the people in my district. They were workers from Fall River to Taunton, to Milford, to Newton, who built more than just products on factory floors. They built entire companies; they built communities; and they built families.

Those same workers have made this legislation, this national manufacturing network, successful over the past few years because of the ideas they brought, their determination, and their passion and pushed our manufacturing industry forward. They have refused to leave anyone behind.

Centers like the Advanced Functional Fabrics of America, based at MIT, the research now is focused on defense and health but has consequences in a broad variety of additional innovations, has over 100 members from various states across this country pioneering new technologies that will make their way into American homes and make our soldiers and troops safer along the way.

By collaborating with local academia, especially with community colleges and vocational-technical schools, those workers are passing their skills, their expertise and experiences to a new generation of men and women who will follow in their footsteps.

As the roots of these institutes continue to expand deep into communities, from Cambridge to Youngstown to Detroit and San Jose, American workers will build new companies, stronger communities, and secure families from the abundant resources that we produce together.

Above all else, the workers who lift our economy to great heights on factory floors deserve an economy that works just as hard for them as they do for our Nation. I urge all my colleagues to support this reauthorization.

I congratulate Ms. STEVENS for work well done, and I thank the chairwoman again.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume to close.

I rise again in support of H.R. 2397, the American Manufacturing Leadership Act. This bipartisan legislation takes important steps to reform the Revitalize American Manufacturing and Innovation Act of 2014.

It requires greater coordination among the centers for manufacturing innovation and incorporating GAO recommendations on the management of these centers. Most importantly, this bill prioritizes manufacturing workforce development and outreach to small- and medium-sized manufacturers.

These public-private partnerships combine the technical knowledge base supported by our excellent universities and research institutions with innovation leadership supported by our private industries, both large and small. These centers provide the U.S. with the opportunity to lead the world in advanced manufacturing competitiveness.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I simply urge all Members on both sides of the aisle to support the bill.
I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3297, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to amend the National Institutes of Standards and Technology Act to make changes to the implementation of the Manufacturing USA Network, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXPANDING FINDINGS FOR FEDERAL OPIOID RESEARCH AND TREATMENT ACT

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3153) to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support research on opioid addiction, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3153
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; FINDINGS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment Act" or the "EFFORT Act".

(b) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) research gaps currently exist in the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction;

(2) the National Science Foundation’s research on opioid addiction has increased understanding of addiction, substance abuse intervention, the role of illicit supply networks, the secondary effects on families, the use of technology to address the opioid crisis, and options for alternative, non-addictive therapeutics for pain; and

(3) the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health have recognized that fundamental questions in basic, clinical, and translational research would benefit greatly from multidisciplinary approaches and collaboration.

SEC. 2. NSF SUPPORT OF RESEARCH ON OPIOID ADDICTION.

The Director of the National Science Foundation, with the Director of the National Institutes of Health, shall support merit-reviewed and competitively awarded research on the science of opioid addiction.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 3153, the bill now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 3153, the EFFORT Act.

The effect of the opioid epidemic on communities across our country is clear. Research from the CDC shows that, on average, 130 Americans die every day after overdosing on illegal opioids. In 2017, approximately 1.7 million Americans had a substance abuse disorder related to opioids. Those statistics are staggering, and the effects of this problem on our communities is heartbreaking.

While past and ongoing research conducted by the National Science Foundation has greatly increased our understanding of opioid addiction, more work, of course, is needed. The basic research authorized in H.R. 3153 will extend and expand our understanding of opioid addiction and its impact on our communities and allow us to develop more effective evidence-based policies to address this epidemic.

I commend my colleagues, Representatives WEXTON and Representative BAIRD, for their leadership on this good, bipartisan legislation and urge my colleagues to support it, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

H.R. 3153, the Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment, or EFFORT, Act identifies gaps that exist in research of the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction and authorizes the National Science Foundation to support research grants in these areas.

This legislation will help drive research to understand one of the most important issues facing our country: How do we stop the opioid addiction crisis?

Congress must do all we can to combat opioid abuse and the continuing increase in opioid-related deaths.

In 2017, more than 70,000 people died from drug overdoses, and approximately 68 percent of those deaths involved opioids. With my home State of Oklahoma leading the United States in opioid prescriptions, I believe supporting programs intended to improve our understanding of the science of addiction and combat this crisis is just common sense.

I thank Representative JENNIFER WEXTON and Representative JIM BAIRD for their bipartisan work on this bill.

Opioid addiction affects too many in our communities, and I applaud this effort to support basic research in the science of addiction.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all the Members of this body to support this legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. WEXTON).

Ms. WEXTON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairwoman for yielding and for her leadership on the very fine bills we have before the House here this afternoon.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of my bill, H.R. 3153, the bipartisan EFFORT Act, which would expand federal research on opioid addiction.

Since 2011, more than 200 people in the northern Shenandoah Valley of Virginia have lost their lives due to an opioid overdose. Some of the highest numbers of children being born in Virginia with neonatal abstinence syndrome have been from my district.

But these numbers don’t tell the heartbreaking devastation the opioid crisis has wrought for families who have lost their mother, their father, their child. Meanwhile, our law enforcement officers and first responders are struggling with the trauma and burnout that comes from being on the front lines of so many tragic and needless deaths of their friends and neighbors.

Tens of thousands of Americans and more than 1,000 Virginians are dying every year from overdoses. Addiction is an illness, and fighting the crisis effectively requires adequate research and funding. The EFFORT Act will help to do this by directing the National Science Foundation to support research on the science of opioid addiction.

The NSF has done an exceptional job in establishing some of the foundational understanding on opioid addiction, including research regarding the use of technology to address the crisis, the secondary effects on families, and options for alternative therapeutics for pain. And while this research has significantly increased our understanding of addiction, research gaps remain in a wide range of disciplines, including, for example, social and behavioral issues such as stigma, socioeconomic status, or treatment accessibility.

The NSF has a unique ability to help us close some of these gaps and, in turn, to help us develop solutions. By expanding the NSF’s research on opioid addiction both within the agency, as well as jointly with the National Institutes of Health when needed, we can more effectively integrate clinical and basic research, obtain a broader understanding of the science of opioid addiction and its impact, and have a more comprehensive approach to tackling the crisis.

As a founding member of the bipartisan Freshmen Working Group on Addiction, I have worked to be a strong advocate for addiction prevention and recovery efforts, and I am pleased to have introduced this legislation with my fellow freshman colleague from Indiana, Dr. Baird. I thank him for his leadership on this issue, as well.

I urge my colleagues to support this important bipartisan legislation.
Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BAIRD).

Mr. BAIRD. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Oklahoma for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3153, the Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment Act, also known as the EFFORT Act.

The opioid crisis has, tragically, destroyed the lives of many Hoosiers. According to the most recent available data from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, in 2017, drug overdose deaths in Indiana increased by 22½ percent from the previous year. Indiana’s 2017 rate of overdose deaths at over 29 per 100,000 was significantly higher than the national average.

This epidemic does not discriminate, and we must use evidence-based policy to ensure the health and well-being of current and future generations. The National Science Foundation’s research has increased what we know about addiction, and while this research is at the top of its class, gaps still remain in the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend my colleagues, Representative WEXTON and Representative BAIRD, both of whom I have the privilege of working with on the Science, Space, and Technology Committee, for their excellent leadership on this bipartisan bill, and I urge all of my colleagues to join us in passing it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield my 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON).

Ms. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield my 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. STEVENS).

Ms. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3153, the EFFORT Act.

The opioid epidemic is one of the deadliest public health emergencies of our time, and it affects not just those addicted to opioids, but everyone around them as well.

The numbers describing this epidemic are truly staggering. According to the CDC, between 1999 and 2017, almost 300,000 Americans died from an opioid-related overdose. These statistics have worsened over time, with the CDC reporting that the number of Americans who died as the result of an opioid-involved overdose in 2017 was six times higher than the number who died in 1999.

My home State of Michigan has been hard-hit by this epidemic. Between 2016 and 2017, drug overdose deaths in Michigan increased by almost 14 percent, but it was not the only State suffering in this way.

In the same timeframe, 23 States, including Michigan, had a significant increase in the rate of deaths from a drug overdose.

It is long past time that we invest in significant resources in combating the opioid epidemic.

As chairwoman of the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee’s Subcommittee on Research and Technology, I have seen firsthand the excellent work done by the National Science Foundation. Their previous work on addiction and opioids have resulted in critical insights into not only the psychological process of addiction, but the social impacts of addiction as well.

Despite the progress made by the National Science Foundation, there is no doubt that funding is essential to combat the opioid epidemic. H.R. 3153 will take advantage of the NSF’s strength in basic research across many disciplines, from neuroscience to social science, in collaboration with the expertise of the National Institutes of Health in public health.

The research authorized in this bill will allow us to develop a more focused and effective policy to address the opioid epidemic. Mr. Speaker, I yield my 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON).

Ms. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield my 3 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS).

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I have no additional speakers.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.
SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
In this Act:
(1) ACADEMIES.—The term ‘‘Academies’’ means the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.
(2) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘‘Director’’ means the Director of the National Science Foundation.
(3) FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCY.—The term ‘‘Federal science agency’’ means any Federal agency with an annual extramural research expenditure of over $100,000,000.
(4) DETERMINATION.—The term ‘‘finding or determination’’ means the final disposition of a matter involving a violation of organizational policies and processes, to include the exhaustion of permissible appeals, or a conviction of a sexual offense in a criminal court of law.
(5) GENDER HARASSMENT.—The term ‘‘gender harassment’’ means verbal and nonverbal behaviors that convey hostility, objectification, exclusion, or second-class status about one’s gender, genotype, gender identity, gender presentation, sexual orientation, or pregnancy status.
(6) GRANTEE.—The term ‘‘grantee’’ means the legal entity to which a grant is awarded and that is accountable to the Federal Government for the funds awarded.
(7) GRANT PERSONNEL.—The term ‘‘grant personnel’’ means principal investigators, co-principal investigators, postdoctoral researchers and other personnel who are supported by a grant award, cooperative agreement, or contract under Federal law.
(8) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—The term ‘‘institute of higher education’’ has the meaning given such term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).
(9) SEXUAL HARASSMENT.—The term ‘‘sexual harassment’’ means any unwelcome conduct that encompasses—
(A) unwelcome sexual advances;
(B) unwelcome physical contact that is sexual in nature, including assault;
(C) unwanted sexual attention, including sexual comments and propositions for sexual activity;
(D) conditioning professional or educational benefits on sexual activity; and
(E) retaliation for rejecting unwanted sexual attention.
(10) STEM.—The term ‘‘STEM’’ means science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, including computer science.
SEC. 4. RESEARCH GRANTS.
(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall establish a program, on a competitive basis, to institutions of higher education or non-profit organizations (or consortia of such institutions or organizations), to—
(1) expand research efforts to better understand the factors contributing to, and consequences of, sexual harassment and gender harassment affecting individuals in the STEM workforce, the conduct that encompasses;
(2) to examine interventions to reduce the incidence and negative consequences of such harassment;
(b) USE OF FUNDS.—Activities funded by a grant under this section may include—
(1) research on the sexual harassment and gender harassment experiences of individuals in underrepresented or vulnerable groups, including racial and ethnic minority groups, disabled individuals, foreign nationals, sexual- and gender-minority individuals, and others;
(2) the development and assessment of policies, procedures, trainings, and interventions, with respect to sexual harassment and gender harassment, conflict management, and ways to foster respectful climates;
(3) research on approaches for remediating the negative impacts and outcomes of such harassment on individuals experiencing such harassment;
(4) support for institutions of higher education to develop, adapt, and assess the impact of innovative, evidence-based strategies, policies, and approaches to policy implementation to prevent and address sexual harassment and gender harassment;
(5) research on alternative to the hierarchical and dependent relationships, including but not limited to the mentor-mentee relationship, in academia that have been shown to create higher levels of risk for sexual harassment and gender harassment; and
(6) establishing a center for the ongoing compilation, management, and analysis of campus climate survey data.
SEC. 5. DATA COLLECTION.
Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall convene a working group of representatives of Federal statistical agencies—
(1) to develop questions on sexual harassment and gender harassment in STEM departments to gather national data on the prevalence, nature, and implications of sexual harassment and gender harassment in institutions of higher education; and
(2) to include such questions as appropriate, with sufficient protections of the privacy of respondents, in relevant surveys conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics and other relevant entities.
SEC. 6. RESPONSIBLE CONDUCT GUIDE.
(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall enter into an agreement with the Academies to update the report entitled ‘‘On Being a Scientist: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in Research’’ in the National Academies. The report, as so updated, shall include—
(1) updated professional standards of conduct in research;
(2) standards of treatment individuals can expect to receive under such updated standards of conduct;
(3) evidence-based practices for fostering a climate intolerant of sexual harassment and gender harassment;
(4) methods, including bystander intervention, for identifying and addressing incidents of sexual harassment and gender harassment; and
(5) professional standards for mentorship and teaching with an emphasis on preventing sexual harassment and gender harassment.
(b) RECOMMENDATIONS.—In updating the report under subsection (a), the Academies shall take into account recommendations made in the report issued by the Academies in 2018 entitled ‘‘Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine’’ and other relevant studies and evidence.
(c) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the effective date of the contract under subsection (a), a part of such agreement, shall submit to the Director and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the Senate the report referred to in such subsection, as updated pursuant to such subsection.
SEC. 7. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP.
(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, acting through the National Science and Technology Council, shall establish an interagency working group for the purpose of coordinating Federal science agency efforts to reduce the prevalence of sexual harassment and gender harassment involving grant personnel. The working group shall be chaired by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy (or the Director’s designee) and shall include a representative from each Federal science agency with annual extramural research expenditures over $1,000,000,000, a representative from the Department of Education, and a representative from the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
(b) RESPONSIBILITIES OF WORKING GROUP.—The interagency working group established under subsection (a) shall coordinate Federal science agency efforts to implement the policy guidelines developed under subsection (c).
(c) RESPONSIBILITIES OF OSTEP.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall—
(1) not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate an inventory of policies, procedures, and resources dedicated to preventing and responding to reports of sexual harassment and gender harassment at Federal agencies that provide legal definitions to which institutions of higher education are subject to comply; and
(2) not later than 6 months after the date on which the inventory is submitted under paragraph (1) —
(A) in consultation with outside stakeholders and Federal science agencies, develop a uniform set of policy guidelines for Federal science agencies; and
(B) submit a report to the committees referred to in paragraph (1) containing such guidelines;
(3) encourage and monitor efforts of Federal science agencies to develop and maintain and implement policies based on the guidelines developed under paragraph (2), including the extent to which Federal science agencies policies depart from the uniform policy guidelines; and
(4) not later than 1 year after the date on which the inventory under paragraph (1) is submitted, and every 5 years thereafter, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall report to Congress on the implementation by Federal science agencies of the policy guidelines developed under paragraph (2); and
(d) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing policy guidelines under subsection (c)(2), the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall include guidelines that require—
(1) grantees to submit to the Federal science agency or agencies from which the grantees receive funding reports relating to—
(A) administrative action, related to an allegation against grant personnel of any sexual harassment or gender harassment, as set forth in organizational policies or codes of conduct, statutes, regulations, or executive orders, that affects the ability of grant personnel or their trainees to carry out the activities of the grant; and
(B) findings or determinations against grant personnel of sexual harassment or gender harassment, as set forth in organizational policies or codes of conduct, statutes, regulations, or executive orders, that affects the ability of grant personnel or their trainees to carry out the activities of the grant; and
(2) the sharing, updating, and archiving of reports of sexual harassment and gender harassment from grantees submitted under paragraph (1) with relevant Federal science agencies on a quarterly basis; and
(3) to the extent practicable, ensure consistency among Federal agencies with regards to the policies and procedures for receiving, reviewing, and archiving reports submitted pursuant to paragraph (1), which may include the designation of a single agency to field reports so submitted.
(e) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing policy guidelines under subsection (c)(2), the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall consider guidelines that require or incentivize—
(1) grantees to periodically assess their organizational climate, which may include the use of climate change, focus groups, or exit interviews;
(2) grantees to publish on a publicly available internet website the results of assessments conducted pursuant to paragraph (1), which may include disaggregated by gender and race, ethnicity, disability status, and sexual orientation;
(3) grantees to make public on an annual basis the number of reports of sexual harassment and gender harassment at each such institution;
(4) grantees to regularly assess and improve policies, procedures, and interventions to reduce the prevalence of sexual harassment and gender harassment;
(5) each grantee to demonstrate in its proposal for a grant award, cooperative agreement, or contract that a code of conduct is in place for maintaining a healthy and welcoming workplace for grant personnel and their trainees;
(6) the diffusion of the hierarchical and dependent relationships between grant personnel and their trainees;
(7) each grantee and Federal science agency to have in place mechanisms for the re-integration of individuals who have experienced sexual harassment and gender harassment; and
(8) grantees to work to create a climate intolerant of sexual harassment and gender harassment.

(i) Federal science agency implementation.—Each Federal science agency shall—
(1) develop or maintain and implement policies with respect to sexual harassment and gender harassment that are consistent with policy guidelines (f) and that protect the privacy of all parties involved in any report and investigation of sexual harassment and gender harassment, except to the extent necessary to carry out an investigation; and
(2) broadly disseminate such policies to current and potential recipients of research grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts awarded by such agency.

(g) FERPA.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall ensure that such policies and requirements are consistent with the requirements of section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g) (commonly referred to as the “Family Education Rights and Privacy Act of 1974”)

(h) SUNSET.—The interagency working group established under subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is 7 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 8. NATIONAL ACADEMIES ASSESSMENT.

(a) In general.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall enter into an agreement with the Academies to undertake a study of the influence of sexual harassment and gender harassment in institutions of higher education on the career advancement of individuals in the STEM workforce, and shall study the
(1) state of research on sexual harassment and gender harassment in such workforce;
(2) whether research demonstrates a change in the prevalence of sexual harassment and gender harassment in such workforce;
(3) the progress made with respect to implementing recommendations promulgated in the Academies consensus study report entitled “Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine”; and
(4) efforts to reduce the prevalence of sexual harassment and gender harassment in such institutions.

SEC. 9. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Director to carry out this Act, $17,500,000.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to review and extend their remarks and to include extraneous materials on H.R. 36, the bill that is now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 36, the Combating Sexual Harassment in Science Act.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank my good friend, Ranking Member Mr. LUCAS, for joining me in introducing this bill and for his commitment to expanding access to STEM studies and careers.

This bill is a product of more than a year of activity by the Science, Space, and Technology Committee. We heard from many experts about the prevalence of sexual harassment in STEM, what factors have enabled it, the impact it has on the lives and careers of so many talented young scientists and engineers, and the loss to our Nation when they leave research altogether.

We also learned of some best practices for universities, scientific societies, and Federal agencies to begin to bring transparency and accountability to this challenge.

Federal science agencies have an important role to play, because they have the responsibility to ensure that all federally-funded researchers, including students, are able to carry out their research in safe environments at all times.

However, agencies need universities to be partners in that area and effort, and that partnership starts with universities reporting to their funders when a student or researcher is brave enough to come forward with an allegation of sexual harassment.

Mr. Speaker, I applaud the National Science Foundation for its bold leadership in implementing a reporting policy, and NIH and NASA for their own more recent efforts. Unfortunately, other agencies have been slow to respond.

H.R. 36 directs the Office of Science and Technology Policy to issue uniform guidance to all Federal science agencies to reduce the prevalence of sexual harassment involving grant personnel.

Additionally, it directs the NSF to expand research efforts to better understand the causes and consequences of sexual harassment affecting individuals in the scientific, technical, engineering, and mathematics workforce.

Unfortunately, too many women have been driven out of STEM careers due to a culture of harassment and abuse.

H.R. 36 takes the first steps to address this problem. The bill directs the NSF to expand research efforts to better understand the causes and consequences of sexual harassment affecting individuals in the scientific, technical, engineering, and mathematics workforce.

Additionally, it directs the NSF to examine policies to reduce the prevalence and negative impact of such harassment.

The bill also supports the adoption of uniform guidance across the Federal science agencies to reduce the prevalence of sexual harassment involving grant personnel.

There is an established legal process in place within higher education and in the workplace for handling claims of sexual harassment. I cannot stress this enough: This bill does not alter that process.

What this bill does is to create a uniform policy for universities and research institutions to report to Federal science agencies when administrative action is taken that impacts the ability of a researcher to carry out a grant.

Pervasive sexual harassment in the scientific community discourages women from critical work in good-paying jobs and hurts American competitiveness.

It is unacceptable for taxpayer dollars to fund researchers who are guilty of harassing students or colleagues.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the stakeholders, especially the university community, for working with the committee staff to improve this legislation. I believe the revised bill strikes
the right balance of protecting due process and privacy, while making sure that Federal science agencies can act if a Federal research grant or the personnel supported by that grant is impacted.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairwoman JOHNSON and her staff for working in a bipartisan and collaborative way to move this legislation forward.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to support this legislation. H.R. 3196 takes the first steps towards addressing the prevalence of sexual harassment in STEM fields, which is driving women out of STEM careers and damaging U.S. competitiveness.

This legislation sends a strong message to the scientific community that misconduct will not be tolerated, and it sends a message to women who are in STEM studies and careers that we support them.

I look forward to working with our colleagues in the Senate and stakeholders to advance this legislation and make sure it is meeting the intended goals.

Mr. Speaker, I again want to thank Chairwoman Johnson and her staff for working in a bipartisan and collaborative way on this legislation. I encourage my colleagues to support this legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support this bipartisan bill. I thank members of the full committee for their work on this bill. I recommend passage, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no objection. Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3196, which, after today's consideration, will be known as the Vera C. Rubin Observatory Designation Act. I thank Representative GONZALEZ-CABRERA for joining me in introducing this bill.

Dr. Vera Rubin was a trailblazing astronomer, who dedicated her life to advancing our understanding of the cosmos. She was also a tireless advocate for women in science, and she was well known for her mentorship of aspiring women astronomers. Today would have been Dr. Rubin's 91st birthday, but, sadly, she passed away on Christmas Day in 2016.

During the 1970s, Dr. Rubin published the best set of measurements of the galaxy rotation to date. Her data revealed something surprising. The stars orbiting in the outer regions of the galaxies were moving much faster than expected. Dark matter, first proposed by Thomas Jeans in 1932, provided an easy way to explain the observed motion.

Dr. Rubin's work helped to convince the broader astronomy community of the existence of dark matter and revolutionized the way we understand the universe. Instead of being dominated by light-emitting matter, Dr. Rubin's work revealed that most of the universe is made up of a mysterious and invisible substance called dark matter.

The Large Synoptic Survey Telescope, or LSST, is an 8.4-meter telescope currently under construction in Chile. Funded jointly by the National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy, LSST will conduct an unprecedented survey of the night sky. The data collected by this telescope will enable scientists to build on Dr. Rubin's pioneering work and probe the nature of dark matter.

Dr. Rubin's exemplary science and her sterling character will drive scientific discovery and inspire girls and women in STEM for decades to come. While Dr. Rubin has already claimed a well-deserved place in history, H.R. 3196 will further elevate her story by designating one of the world's premier research facilities as the Vera C. Rubin Observatory.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

SEC. 4. REFERENCES. Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility described in section 3 shall be deemed to be a reference to the Vera C. Rubin Observatory.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.
I agree with Chairwoman JOHNSON that it is fitting that the House honor Dr. Rubin today on what would have been her 91st birthday. Dr. Rubin was a pioneer and lifelong advocate for women in science, serving as a mentor, supporter, and role model for many women astronomers.

The new Large Synoptic Survey Telescope under construction in Chile, funded by the National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy, will photograph the entire sky every few days. One of the goals of the project is to study the nature of dark matter and dark energy.

I thank Chairwoman JOHNSON and Representative GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN for their leadership and for working with stakeholders to update this legislation.

Naming the observatory in honor of Dr. Rubin is a fitting tribute to her contributions to the field, and I hope it will inspire future generations of women in astronomy. This bill designates the new NSF and Department of Energy’s LSST telescope facility the Vera C. Rubin Observatory. Given her remarkable contributions to the field of dark matter and advocacy for the equal treatment and representation of women in science, it is only appropriate that we honor Dr. Rubin this way.

I thank Chairwoman JOHNSON and Representative GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN for their leadership in introducing this bill. I am hopeful that this will ensure that Dr. Rubin’s legacy lives on and continues to inspire young women to pursue careers in STEM.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time to close.

Mr. Speaker, I also thank Miss GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN for cosponsoring this bill, and I thank the full committee for supporting it. I urge its passage, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Miss GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN of Puerto Rico. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise in strong support of H.R. 3196, the Vera C. Rubin Observatory Designation Act, of which I am the co-lead alongside Chairwoman JOHNSON.

Dr. Rubin exemplified perseverance and tenacity in science. As a woman scientist, she encountered many obstacles during her academic and professional career. As a student, her applications to graduate schools were denied because, at the time, women were not allowed to enroll in the astrophysics graduate program of this institution. Similarly, years later, she had problems accessing the Palomar Observatory in California, one of the most iconic scientific facilities in the world, also because she was a woman. Experiences such as these would be enough to discourage a young student and scientist. Still, Dr. Rubin persevered, demonstrating exceptional intellectual capabilities and character.

Dr. Vera Rubin changed the way we understand the universe. Her groundbreaking work on dark matter and galaxy rotations remain at the forefront of STEM research in the field of astronomy. Her legacy will undoubtedly continue to influence future generations of scientists and will hopefully be memorialized in the new Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) Observatory under construction in Chile. I am very much looking forward to the great work this facility will produce by researchers, like Dr. Rubin.

I am immensely proud of this bill.

I would like to thank Chairwoman JOHNSON and Ranking Member LUCAS for their leadership and for moving this bill through this committee. As someone with a STEM background, and as a representative of many young girls and women who are either pursuing or interested in pursuing a career in STEM—I look forward to working with my colleagues to get this bill signed into law. I urge my colleagues to vote in favor.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3196, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill was agreed to.

I yield myself the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to order the rules and pass to the Senate the bill (H.R. 34) to ensure consideration of water intensity in the Department of Energy’s energy research, development, and demonstration programs to carry out subsections (A) through (O) of section 202 of the Water Research Integration Act of 2019.

SEC. 2. INTEGRATING ENERGY AND WATER RESEARCH.

(A) minimizing freshwater withdrawal and consumption;

(B) increasing water use efficiency;

(C) utilizing nontraditional water sources with efforts to improve the quality of the water from those sources;

(D) minimizing deleterious impacts on water bodies, groundwater, and waterways;

(E) minimizing seismic impacts;

(F) considering the effects climate variability may have on water supplies and quality for energy generation and fuel production; and

(G) improving understanding of the energy-water nexus.

(b) STRATEGIC PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop a strategic plan identifying the research, development, and demonstration needs for Department programs and projects to carry out subsection (a).

The strategic plan shall consider—

(a) new advanced cooling technologies for energy generation and fuel production technologies;

(b) performance improvement of existing cooling technologies and cost reductions associated with using those technologies;

(c) innovative water reuse, recovery, and treatment technologies in energy generation and fuel production, including renewable energy;

(d) technology development for carbon capture and storage systems that utilize efficient water use design strategies;

(e) technologies that are life-cycle cost effective;

(f) systems analysis and modeling of issues relating to the energy-water nexus;

(g) technologies to treat and utilize wastewater and produced waters discharged from natural gas, coal, and any other substance to be used as an energy source;

(h) advanced materials for the use of nontraditional water sources for energy generation and fuel production;

(i) biomass production and utilization and the impact on hydrologic systems;

(j) technologies that reduce impacts on water from energy resource development;

(k) energy efficient technologies for water distribution, treatment, supply, and collection systems;

(l) technologies for energy generation from water distribution, treatment, supply, and collection systems;

(m) the flexible operation of water infrastructure to provide essential grid reliability services;

(n) modular or energy-water microgrid systems that can provide emergency and water resources in remote or disaster recovery areas; and

(o) recovering energy in the form of biofuels, bioproducts, and biopower from municipal and industrial wastewaters, and similar organic streams; and

(p) any other area of the energy-water nexus that the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) COLLABORATION AND NONDUPLEXATION.—In developing the strategic plan, the Secretary shall coordinate and avoid duplication—

(A) with other Federal agencies operating related programs, if appropriate; and

(B) across programs and projects of the Department, including with those of the National Laboratories.
In developing the strategic plan, the Secretary shall consider and incorporate, as appropriate, relevant information and recommendations including those of the National Water Availability and Use Assessment Program under section 900(b)(d) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (43 U.S.C. 1391).

In developing the strategic plan, the Secretary shall consult and coordinate with a diverse group of representatives from research and academic institutions, industry, public utility commissions, and State and local governments who have expertise in technologies and practices relating to the energy-water nexus.

In developing the strategic plan, the Secretary shall coordinate activities of the Department to agencies and entities currently engaged in research and development of this Act with other programs of the Department and other Federal research programs.

The Energy and Water Research Integration Act encourages research into energy technologies that would improve and minimize the use of water, including at least 1 regional workshop annually.

A D D I T I O N A L ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may for such additional research, development, and demonstration activities as appropriate to integrate water considerations into the research, development, and demonstration activities of the Department as described in subsection (a).

SEC. 3. ENERGY-WATER OVERSIGHT AND COORDINATION.

In carrying out the research, development, and demonstration activities outlined in section 2, the Secretary, in coordination with other relevant Federal agencies, shall establish an Energy-Water Committee to promote and enable improved energy and water resource data collection, reporting, and technological innovation. The Committee shall consist of—

(a) In General.—In carrying out the research, development, and demonstration activities outlined in section 2, the Secretary, in coordination with other relevant Federal agencies, shall establish an Energy-Water Committee to promote and enable improved energy and water resource data collection, reporting, and technological innovation. The Committee shall consist of—

1. representation from each program within the Department and each Federal agency that conducts research related to the energy-water nexus; and
2. non-Federal members, including representatives of research and academic institutions, State, local, and tribal governments and other commissions and industry, who have expertise in technologies, technological innovations, or practices relating to the energy-water nexus.

(b) Functions.—The Committee shall—

1. make recommendations on the development and integration of data collection and data communication standards and protocols, including models and modeling results, to agencies and entities currently engaged in collecting the data for the energy-water nexus;
2. recommend ways to make improvements to Federal water use data to increase understanding of trends in energy generation and fuel production, including non-cooling water uses;
3. recommend best practices for utilizing information from existing monitoring networks to provide nationally uniform water and energy use and infrastructure data; and
4. conduct annual national workshops, including at least 1 regional workshop annually, to facilitate information exchange among Federal, regional, State, local, and tribal governments, and other Federal advisory expert groups on technologies that encourage the conservation and efficient use of water and energy.

(c) Reports.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and at least once every 2 years thereafter, the Committee, through the Secretary, shall submit to Congress a report on its findings and activities under this section.

(d) Applicability of Federal Advisory Committee Act.—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall apply to the Committee.

SEC. 4. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, nothing in this Act shall be construed to require State, tribal, or local governments to provide additional data for Federal purposes, unless that data that may result in an increased financial burden to such governments by restricting the use of water by such governments.

SEC. 5. COORDINATION AND NONDUPICULATION.

To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary shall coordinate activities under this Act with other programs of the Department and other Federal research programs.

SEC. 6. DEFINITION.

In this Act:

(1) COMMITTEE.—The term Committee means the Energy-Water Committee established under section 3(a).

(2) DEPARTMENT.—The term Department means the Department of Energy.

(3) ENERGY-WATER Nexus.—The term energy-water nexus means the energy required to provide reliable water supplies and the water required to provide reliable energy supplies throughout the United States.

(4) Secretary.—The term Secretary means the Secretary of Energy.

SEC. 7. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement entitled "Budgetary Implications of This Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Ms. Johnson) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. Lucas) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 34, the bill now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.
two systems is essential. But this is no simple task.

Both water and energy management are often impacted by many regional challenges and resources and require careful consideration of local factors. For example, water depletion in the Colorado River, agricultural practices, and the single largest driver of water consumption in the region. But that same agricultural industry also creates a source of energy through biofuels.

Additionally, natural gas production, which is key to the development of a cleaner U.S. energy market, relies on horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing, processes which require large volumes of water. But these processes can also produce water, enabling reuse of this resource through fluid lifecycle management.

The Energy and Water Research Integration Act will help prioritize research and development on this critical relationship between energy and water systems. It will help American researchers develop tools and technologies to improve our Nation’s energy efficiency, environmental stability, and economic growth.

I want to thank Chairwoman Johnson for her leadership on this bill. Ms. Johnson of Texas, Mr. Speaker, I rise to make sure that all members of this committee and staff are thanked for their efforts, and I urge support of this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY VETERANS’ HEALTH INITIATIVE ACT

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 617) to authorize the Department of Energy to conduct collaborative research with the Department of Veterans Affairs in order to improve healthcare services for veterans in the United States, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 617

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, in

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act”.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) DEPARTMENT.—The term “Department” means the Department of Energy.

(2) NATIONAL LABORATORY.—The term “National Laboratory” means the National Laboratory described in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15801).

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Energy.

SEC. 3. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are to advance Department of Energy expertise in artificial intelligence and high-performance computing in order to improve health outcomes for veteran populations by—

(1) supporting basic research through the application of artificial intelligence, high-performance computing, modeling and simulation, machine learning, and large-scale data analytics to identify and solve outcome-defined challenges in the health sciences;

(2) maximizing the impact of the Department of Veterans Affairs’ health and genomic data housed at the National Laboratories, as well as data from other sources, on science, innovation, and health care outcomes through the use and advancement of artificial intelligence and high-performance computing capabilities of the Department of Energy;

(3) promoting collaborative research through the establishment of partnerships to improve data sharing between Federal agencies, National Laboratories, institutions of higher education, and nonprofit institutions;

(4) establishing multiple scientific computing user facilities to house and provision available data to foster transformational outcomes; and

(5) driving the development of technology to improve artificial intelligence, high-performance computing, and networking resources within the Department of Energy, including modeling, simulation, machine learning, and advanced data analytics.

SEC. 4. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY VETERANS’ HEALTH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish and carry out a research program in artificial intelligence and high-performance computing, focused on the development of tools to solve big data challenges associated with veteran’s healthcare, and to support the efforts of the Department of Veterans Affairs to develop and deliver a suite of tools that will carry out research through a competitive, merit-reviewed process, and consider applications from National Laboratories, institutions of higher education, and multi-institutional collaborations, and other appropriate entities.

(b) PROGRAM COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary may—

(1) conduct basic research in modeling and simulation, machine learning, large-scale data analytics, and predictive analysis in order to develop novel or optimized algorithms for prediction of disease treatment and outcomes;

(2) develop methods to accommodate large data sets with variable quality and scale, and to provide insight and models for complex systems;

(3) develop new approaches and maximize the use of algorithms developed through artificial intelligence, machine learning, data analytics, natural language processing, modeling and simulation, and develop new algorithms suitable for high-performance computing systems and large biomedical data sets;

(4) advance existing and construct new data enclaves capable of securely storing data sets provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense, and other sources; and

(5) promote collaboration and data sharing between National Laboratories, research entities, and user facilities of the Department by providing the necessary access and secure data transfer capabilities.

(c) COORDINATION.—In carrying out the program required under subsection (a), the Secretary is authorized to—

(1) enter into memoranda of understanding in order to carry out cooperative agreements with the Department of Veterans Affairs and other entities in order to maximize the effectiveness of Department of Energy research and development to improve veterans’ healthcare;

(2) consult with the Department of Veterans Affairs and other Federal agencies as appropriate; and

(3) ensure that data storage meets all privacy and security requirements established by the Department of Veterans Affairs, and that access to data is provided in accordance with relevant Department of Veterans Affairs data access policies, including informed consent.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act,
Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 617, the Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act. This bill authorizes the Department of Energy to conduct collaborative research with the Department of Veterans Affairs to address large and complex data management challenges associated with veterans’ healthcare issues. H.R. 617 also authorizes the Department of Energy to support activities that will better enable other Federal agencies to leverage the Department’s capabilities in developing advanced data analytics tools for a broad range of applications.

I would like to thank the members of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee for working with us to improve this legislation. I would also like to thank the bill’s sponsor, Mr. Norman, for his hard work on this bill.

Before I began my career in politics, I worked as a chief psychiatric nurse for the Dallas VA Medical Center in Dallas, Texas. I saw firsthand the unique healthcare needs of the veteran community. I believe the bill before us today will be a positive step toward tackling some of the critical problems that the VA is currently facing in providing our veterans with the care they deserve when they come home.

I strongly support this bipartisan bill and encourage my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Lucas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Representative Norman’s bill, H.R. 617, the Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act.

This bill authorizes a critical and ongoing partnership between the Department of Energy and the Department of Veterans Affairs to improve the way data is organized so that we can better serve those and care for our veterans. This partnership, known as the MVP-CHAMPION initiative, allows DOE to support the VA in analyzing healthcare data.

H.R. 617 formally authorizes this program, allowing VA researchers to gain access to DOE’s high-performance computing research facilities and significant resources, including DOE’s extensive expertise in data analysis and complex modeling.

The VA currently collects genomic and healthcare data, including the deepest levels of DNA sequencing that allows for high-quality genomic research, from veterans who have volunteered for the program. This data is then securely transferred to DOE, where it is stored and analyzed in a secure site at DOE’s Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

This partnership and exchange of data benefits both DOE and the VA. The rich and expansive dataset provided by the VA presents an incredible opportunity to train DOE’s next-generation computing capacities to solve complex challenges; and with what they learn from this analysis, the VA can improve and better target health treatments for veterans. This data can help the VA make discoveries about the causes of various diseases and develop diagnostics to move more quickly to detect them in veterans. It will also help the VA develop more effective treatments and improve treatment for critical medical needs.

In return, by giving DOE access to such a large database of information, the VA will help DOE researchers improve their ability to develop next-generation computing systems, algorithms, and models, capacities that are critical in maintaining U.S. science and technological leadership.

These enhanced capacities can then be applied in support of DOE’s core mission areas and has the potential to enhance expertise in everything from biosciences and material designs to maintaining our nuclear weapons stockpile.

With the next generation of supercomputers right around the corner, most notably, the computing systems that DOE is expected to field in 2021, DOE will be able to tackle even bigger challenges after gaining expertise in solving big data problems like this.

In short, the Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act will improve the healthcare for those men and women who have served our country, also maximizing Federal resources for facilitating collaboration, and gives other agencies, academia, and industry the chance to benefit from the Department of Energy’s R&D expertise.

I want to thank Representative Norman for championing this important collaboration, along with the basic research that will support our veterans and American innovation.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. Johnson of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have no requests for time, and I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Lucas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. Norman).

Mr. Norman. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairwoman Johnson and Ranking Member Lucas for their support of the all-important MVP-CHAMPION initiative.

I rise in support of H.R. 617, the Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act.

This legislation authorizes a partnership between the Department of Energy—DOE—and the Department of Veterans Affairs—VA—to conduct collaborative research in computing, artificial intelligence, and big data science in order to improve healthcare for all of our veterans.

The VA holds one of the largest and most valuable health datasets. Through its voluntary data collection program, entitled the Million Veterans Program, MVP, the VA has collected...
detailed health information and genomic data volunteered by over 600,000 veterans.

But the VA simply doesn’t have the computing power or expertise to analyze all of this complex data. In order to learn from their data and provide better healthcare for veterans, the VA needs access to more advanced computing capabilities, expertise, and infrastructure than is currently available at the agency.

As we lead a leader in high-performance computing, the DOE is an ideal partner to help the VA meet this need. In its national laboratory system, DOE hosts 5 of the world’s top 10 fastest supercomputers, including the fastest supercomputer in the world, Summit, at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

The DOE also funds research and computational sciences and data analytics, which can be used to solve a range of complex big data challenges in the physical sciences. The interagency partnership between DOE and the VA authorized by my bill is necessary to analyze this data and ultimately provide better care for our Nation’s veterans.

DOE has the capability to securely store, using supercomputers at the national labs, and analyze the VA’s health data to look for patterns. Learning from these patterns can help us improve the medical treatments for heart disease, traumatic brain injury, and cancer.

I think we can all agree that we should be taking any steps possible to improve the medical care of our men and women who have answered the call to serve. Not only does this bill take that step, but it has benefit in giving our scientists the ability to analyze complex data that will help America remain the world’s leader in advanced computing.

The bill also requires the DOE to establish data storage facilities to securely transmit and store data that the VA provides. This will make certain that privacy and security are maintained for veterans who volunteer for the programs.

I am grateful that my colleagues on both sides of the aisle have been able to come together and move this bill forward. Providing better care for our veterans is a place where we can find common ground, especially since America’s veterans fought to keep us all safe, regardless of political party.

In closing, I would like to thank my fellow Committee on Science, Space, and Technology members who cosponsored this legislation and the many fellow Committee on Science, Space, and Technology members who cosponsored this legislation and the many members serving in the House who supported my bill. I also want to thank Secretary of Energy Rick Perry, who has been a strong advocate of this partnership.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues in favor of improving healthcare for veterans, the VA needs access to more advanced computing capabilities, expertise, and infrastructure than is currently available at the agency.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from Puerto Rico (Miss GONZALEZ-COLON).

Miss GONZALEZ-COLON of Puerto Rico. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ranking Member LUCAS for yielding me the time.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 617, the Department of Energy Veterans’ Health Initiative Act, introduced by my colleague Congressman RALPH NORMAN from South Carolina.

I think this bill is very simple, but very essential at the same time. It essentially authorizes collaboration between the Department of Energy and the Department of Veterans Affairs to establish a program that facilitates computing capabilities to process data on health issues affecting all of our veterans. The bill will subsequently support the Veterans Administration with identifying potential health risks and challenges that our communities have.

I think it is important because combining those processing capabilities with health information compiled by the VA will help us to better understand health care issues related to our veterans and the general population as well and ensures that both agencies will remain at the forefront of scientific and medical research.

I am a proud cosponsor of this bill on behalf of our veterans. Actually, we have more than 90,000 veterans registered in Puerto Rico, and we are very grateful to Congressman RALPH NORMAN for introducing this bill.

I want to also thank Chairwoman JOHNSON and Ranking Member LUCAS for their great work together on behalf of the scientific community and our veterans.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote in favor of this bill.

Mr. LUCAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume to close, since I have no additional speakers.

Mr. Speaker, simply put, H.R. 617 harnesses the power of DOE’s supercomputers to revolutionize the way we care for America’s veterans.

I want to thank Representative NORMAN for his leadership on this bill. I strongly encourage my colleagues to support this bipartisan legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I would like to close by simply thanking all of the members of the full committee, as well as the staff, and to urge passage of this legislation, H.R. 617.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 617, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 246) opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the motion agreed to. The House then adjourned.}

OPPOSING GLOBAL BOYCOTT, DIVESTMENT, AND SANCTIONS MOVEMENT TARGETING ISRAEL

Whereas the democratic, Jewish State of Israel is a key ally and strategic partner of the United States;

Whereas since Israel’s founding in 1948, Congress has repeatedly expressed our Nation’s unwavering commitment to the security of Israel as a Jewish and democratic state;

Whereas it is a hallmark of American democracy for citizens to petition the United States Government in favor of or against United States foreign policy;

Whereas it is the long-standing policy of the United States Government in favor of or against United States foreign policy to articulate political views, including with respect to the policies of the United States foreign governments;

Whereas American policy has long sought to bring peace to the Middle East and recognized that both the Israeli and Palestinian people should be able to live in safe and sovereign states, free from fear and violence, with mutual recognition;

Whereas support for peace between the Israelis and Palestinians has long-standing bipartisan support in Congress;

Whereas it is the long-standing policy of the United States that a peaceful resolution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict should come through direct negotiations between the Government of Israel and the Palestinian Authority, with the support of countries in the region and around the world;

Whereas cooperation between Israel and the United States is of great importance, especially in the context of rising anti-Semitism, authoritarianism, and security problems in Europe, the Middle East, and North Africa;

Whereas the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement (BDS Movement) targeting Israel is a campaign that does not favor a two-state solution and that seeks to
exclude the State of Israel and the Israeli people from the economic, cultural, and academic life of the rest of the world;  
Whereas the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement is one of several recent political movements that undermines the possibility for a negotiated solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict by demanding complete boycott, divestment, and sanctions against Israel;  
Whereas the founder of the Global BDS Movement, Mr. Omar Barghouti, has demanded the right of the Jewish people in their homeland, saying, “We oppose a Jewish state in any part of Palestine, not a self-ruled Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state in Palestine.”;  
Whereas the Global BDS Movement targets not only the Israeli government but also Israeli academic, cultural, and civil society institutions, as well as individual Israeli citizens of all political persuasions, religious and ethnicities, and in some cases even Jews of other nationalities who support Israel;  
Whereas the Global BDS Movement does not recognize and many of its supporters explicitly deny, the right of the Jewish people to national self-determination;  
Whereas university-based Global BDS efforts violate the goals of the university and global cultural development, which thrive on free and open exchange and debate, and is intended to undermine the intimidation and harassment of Jewish students and others who support Israel;  
Whereas the Global BDS Movement promotes principles of collective guilt, mass punishment, and group isolation, which are incompatible with the principles of collective guilt, mass punishment, and group isolation, which are destructive of prospects for progress towards peace and a two-state solution;  
Whereas similar tools aimed at promoting racial justice and social change have been used effectively in the United States, South Africa, and other parts of the world; and  
Whereas in contrast to protest movements that have sought racial justice and social change, the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel is not about promoting coexistence, civil rights, and political reconciliation but about questioning and undermining the very legitimacy of the country and its people: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representa-
tives—  
(1) opposes the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement (BDS Movement) targeting Israel, including efforts to target United States companies that are engaged in commercial activities that are legal under United States law, and all efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel;  
(2) affirms that Israelis and Palestinians to return to direct negotiations as the only way to achieve an end to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict;  
(3) affirms the Constitutional right of United States citizens to free speech, including the right to protest or criticize the policies of the United States or foreign governments;  
(4) supports the full implementation of the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 (Public Law 113-296; 128 Stat. 4075) and new efforts to enhance government-to-government, coordinated United States-Israel scientific and technological cooperation in civilian areas, such as with respect to energy, water, agriculture, alternative fuel technology, civilian space technology, and security, in order to counter the effects of actions to boycott, divest from, or sanction Israel; and  
(5) supports efforts to return to an implemen-
tated solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict resulting in two states—a demo-
cratric Jewish State of Israel, and a viable, democratic Palestinian state—living side-by-side in peace, security, and mutual recogni-
tion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rules, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. ZELDIN) each will control 20 minutes.  
The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL).

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H. Res. 246.  
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-
man from New York?

There was no objection.  
Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me start by thank-
ing the sponsors of this resolution, Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. NADLER, Mr. ZELDIN, and Mrs. WAGNER. I am grateful for their bipartisan leadership.  
Mr. Speaker, there are 339 cosponsors of this resolution. Let me say again: The BDS says that the onus is entirely on Israel. All concessions have to come from Israel, and the Palestinians should reject negotiations and just allow international pressure to build on Israel.  
I don’t think that is how negotiations work, and it is no way to promote peace. That is why this movement is so counterproductive, in my view.  
Do you want to criticize a government—that is your right. Do you want to stop buying products from a certain country? That is also your right.  
But participating in an international commercial effort that undermines Israel’s legitimacy and scuttles the chances of a two-state solution isn’t the same as an individual exercising First Amendment rights.  
I continue to believe that a two-state solution that guarantees self-deter-
mination for both Jews and Palestin-
ians is the only one that is the best way to solve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. I believe that the over-
whelming majority of our colleagues in this body agree with me, and Congress has said so before in bipartisan resolu-
tions.  
Today, we have the opportunity to reiterate that point and to warn against something that would derail that solution.  
Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.
I acknowledge that provisions of the resolution fall within jurisdiction of the Committee on Science, Space and Technology under House Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.Res. 246 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee with respect to the resolution does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the measure that fall within your jurisdiction.

I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the resolution. I appreciate your cooperation and look forward to continuing to work with you as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman.


CHAIRMAN ELIOT ENGEL,
Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL: I am writing to you concerning H.Res. 246, "Opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction. I appreciate your consulting with us on those provisions. TheJudiciary Committee has no objection to your including them in the resolution for consideration on the House floor, and to expedite that consideration is willing to forgo action on H.Res. 246, with the understanding that we do not thereby waive any future jurisdictional claim over those provisions or their subject matters.

Please place this letter into the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House floor. Thank you for the cooperation with which you have worked regarding this matter and others between our committees.

Sincerely,

JERRY NADLER, Chairman.


HON. MAXINE WATERS,
Chairwoman, Committee on Financial Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRWOMAN WATERS: I am writing to you concerning H.Res. 246, Opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel. I appreciate your willingness to work cooperatively on this resolution.

I acknowledge that provisions of the resolution fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Financial Services under House Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.Res. 246 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee with respect to the resolution does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the measure that fall within your jurisdiction.

I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the resolution. I appreciate your cooperation and look forward to continuing to work with you as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL:
I am writing to you concerning H.Res. 246, "Opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction. I appreciate your consulting with us on those provisions. The Judiciary Committee has no objection to your including them in the resolution for consideration on the House floor, and to expedite that consideration is willing to forgo action on H.Res. 246, with the understanding that we do not thereby waive any future jurisdictional claim over those provisions or their subject matters.

Please place this letter into the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House floor. Thank you for the cooperation with which you have worked regarding this matter and others between our committees.

Sincerely,

EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON, Chairwoman.


HON. MAXINE WATERS,
Chairwoman, Committee on Financial Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRWOMAN WATERS: I am writing to you concerning H.Res. 246, Opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel. I appreciate your willingness to work cooperatively on this resolution.

I acknowledge that provisions of the resolution fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Financial Services under House Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.Res. 246 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee with respect to the resolution does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the measure that fall within your jurisdiction.

I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the resolution. I appreciate your cooperation and look forward to continuing to work with you as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL:

I am writing to you concerning H.Res. 246, "Opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction. I agree to forgo formal consideration of H.Res. 246 so that it may proceed expediently to the House floor. The Committee on Financial Services takes this action to forego formal consideration of H.Res. 246 with our mutual understanding that, by foregoing formal consideration of H.Res. 246 at this time, we do not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation.

Finally, I would request that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of H.Res. 246.

Sincerely,

MAXINE WATERS, Chairwoman.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support H. Res. 246. This is a bipartisan resolution I introduced with BRAD SCHNEIDER, JERRY NADLER, and ANN WAGNER to oppose BDS and efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel, which is now up to 350 cosponsors.

We must combat the BDS movement targeting our great ally in the Middle East. The BDS movement seeks to harm Israel today, tomorrow, and well into the future.

It is an American value to be able to express legitimate, reasonable criticism of any government in the world, including our own. The BDS movement, though, is different, and we must reject the blatant anti-Semitism injected throughout BDS and the delegitimizing of Israel.

We must educate and all be aware of the toxic, anti-Semitic words of the founder of BDS and how we have so many Jewish students on college campuses right here in the United States from coast to coast targeted with blatant anti-Semitism in the name of BDS.

Congress needs to make a very strong, bipartisan statement against BDS, and this resolution does just that.

In addition, we should also enact legislation with teeth.

In February, the Senate passed anti-BDS legislation, S. 1, with a strong, bipartisan vote. This bill, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.

I strongly encourage the Speaker to bring this bill to the floor as well so that not only are we making a strong statement, but we are also doing something about it.

I am grateful to House leadership for bringing H. Res. 246 to the floor today, for Chairman ENGEL’s work and his team’s work in the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and to lead Republican McCaul already has a bill in the House, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.

I strongly encourage the Speaker to bring this bill to the floor as well so that not only are we making a strong statement, but we are also doing something about it.

I am grateful to House leadership for bringing H. Res. 246 to the floor today, for Chairman ENGEL’s work and his team’s work in the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and to lead Republican McCaul already has a bill in the House, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.

I strongly encourage the Speaker to bring this bill to the floor as well so that not only are we making a strong statement, but we are also doing something about it.

I am grateful to House leadership for bringing H. Res. 246 to the floor today, for Chairman ENGEL’s work and his team’s work in the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and to lead Republican McCaul already has a bill in the House, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.

I strongly encourage the Speaker to bring this bill to the floor as well so that not only are we making a strong statement, but we are also doing something about it.

I am grateful to House leadership for bringing H. Res. 246 to the floor today, for Chairman ENGEL’s work and his team’s work in the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and to lead Republican McCaul already has a bill in the House, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.

I strongly encourage the Speaker to bring this bill to the floor as well so that not only are we making a strong statement, but we are also doing something about it.

I am grateful to House leadership for bringing H. Res. 246 to the floor today, for Chairman ENGEL’s work and his team’s work in the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and to lead Republican McCaul already has a bill in the House, H.R. 336, that is identical to S. 1 and contains the anti-BDS legislation.
by the New York City Council, is fully consistent with the First Amendment and affirms the rights of those who support the global BDS movement to voice their opinions. Importantly, it also puts Congress on RECORD rejecting this misguided and deceptive movement that is progressive in its conduct nor pro-peace in its ultimate mission.

BDS proponents advocate for a complete boycott of Israeli businesses, individuals, and institutions—including academia, which are supposed to be bastions of intellectual freedom—hypocritically seeking to deny all Israeli citizens the same rights and freedoms that BDS supporters claim that they themselves are denied.

Not only does the movement, at times, invoke anti-Semitic tropes and seemingly promote violence, it unfairly blames one party in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and does nothing to promote direct negotiations to achieve a solution, which is the only path to a fair peace.

In fact, the founder of the movement, asked whether BDS would end when Palestinians were able to establish their own state, flatly says ‘no.’ That tells you everything you need to know, Mr. Speaker.

Instead of promoting peace and a two-state solution, the mission of BDS is clear: to delegitimize Israel, regardless of its policies or conduct, and to deny the Jewish people the right, regardless of whether groups such as Hamas are engaging in terrorist acts upon Israeli citizens. The objective of this is to delegitimize the State of Israel, to deny its very right to exist.

Mr. Speaker, I applaud them on this bill, and I hope this vote is unanimous, Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER), who is the author of this resolution.

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, I proudly rise today in support of H. Res. 216, of which I am the sponsor.

This resolution does four things. First, it affirms the vital relationship between the United States and Israel, our most important ally in a complicated region of the world.

Second, it reiterates our unbreakable commitment to Israel’s security.

Third, it restates Congress’ strong, bipartisan support for a negotiated two-state solution.

Lastly, it makes clear that Congress opposes the global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions, or BDS, movement that seeks to delegitimize Israel; opposes a two-state solution; and pushes the cause of peace for both Israelis and Palestinians further out of reach.

It has been our country’s long-held policy that the best path to ensuring Israel’s long-term security as a democratic and Jewish state, and realizing the Palestinian people’s aspirations for a state of their own, is through a negotiated two-state solution.

The global BDS movement, on the other hand, denies the Jewish people’s connection to the land of Israel; refuses to accept the basic idea of a Jewish state; and seeks to delegitimize Israel in international forums, on college campuses, and in global commerce.

But don’t take my word for it. The founder of the global BDS movement, Eric博客希尔, has said as much: “We oppose a Jewish state in any part of Palestine. No Palestinian, rational Palestinian, not a sellout Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state in Palestine.”

When global BDS movement supporters chant, “From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free,” these are not words of peace. This is a call for the destruction of the entire State of Israel and the elimination of the Jews from the land—all the land, not just Gaza and the West Bank.

That is why it is so important that we take a stand today and vote to condemn the global BDS movement.

I am afraid that this resolution has gained 350 cosponsors, including more than three-quarters of the Members of both parties. I would like to thank the leadership of my Democratic coeads, Mr. SCALISE, Mr. ENGEL, for their strong leadership in standing up against this BDS movement.

This strong, bipartisan support sends a clear, united message that this Congress not only supports the Jewish, democratic State of Israel and two states as the path to peace for both Israelis and Palestinians but that at the same time we condemn efforts to delegitimize and block the path to peace.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana, Mr. SCALISE, the esteemed Republican whip.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate both of my colleagues from New York, the distinguished gentlemen, Mr. ZELDIN and Mr. ENGEL, for their strong leadership in standing up against this BDS movement.

I think we all know what it is designed to do. It is designed to delegitimize Israel as a Jewish state and to undermine their economy, which ultimately goes to the heart of trying to bring down Israel by people who have been against a Jewish state and expressed anti-Semitism for decades. We all need to stand up against that, and so this resolution is incredibly important.

As my other colleague just mentioned, our nations had a rich history of using boycotts to promote freedom. There are other boycotts that have been displayed to undermine freedom, and that is really where the BDS movement is in a very different category. I think we all need to make that distinction.

If a boycott is being used to advance freedom, that is one we should support; but if a boycott is being used to undermine the very freedoms that exist in the only real elected democracy in the Middle East, we all need to rise up against that as people who respect that great tradition, that great love between the United States and Israel, an unbreakable bond, one that brings Republicans and Democrats together.

We need to continue that tradition.

But I would also add, Mr. Speaker, that as we are talking about why we need to oppose the BDS movement with this resolution, words are hollow if we don’t follow it up with action. So, at the same time, Mr. Speaker, hopefully, we get an overwhelming, maybe unanimous, vote on this resolution.

It is long past time that the Democratic leadership of this House bring up H.R. 336 by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. MCCaul), the bill that actually puts teeth in the law to stand up against the BDS movement all around the world.

Here, even, sometimes in Congress or in other states, but in other countries...
where they are trying to advance this movement, we need teeth—words and action. So these are words today. We need to follow it up with H.R. 336, real action.

Mr. Speaker, I urge that we stand strong together.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MURPHY).

Mrs. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I strongly support the State of Israel. It is a home to the Jewish people, and a sanctuary from anti-Semitism. Israel is a thriving democracy, and its citizens have made enormous contributions to our global society.

The U.S. has no better friend than Israel. And, yes, on occasion, our two governments will disagree on certain policies, as all sovereign nations do, but our strong alliance is rooted in shared values, shared security interest, and a deep historical connection.

I also believe the Palestinian people have the right to self-determination and should have their own state. I support direct negotiations between the parties leading to two states living together in peace and security.

I support this bipartisan resolution because the BDS movement makes a just peace harder, not easier. It unfairly vilifies Israel, blaming it, and it alone, for this complex conflict.

American citizens have the right to boycott, and boycotts often promote positive change, but the BDS movement is misguided and harmful, and Congress should say so unequivocally.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support H. Res. 246 and to voice my relentless opposition to the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DEUTCH), chairman of the Subcommittee on the Middle East, North Africa, and International Terrorism, and a valued member of the Foreign Affairs Committee.

Mr. DEUTCH. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman ENGEL for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support H. Res. 246 and to voice my relentless opposition to the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions campaign that seeks to delegitimize the State of Israel and deny the Jewish people—and only the Jewish people—the right to self-determination.

This resolution reminds us that boycotts have previously used as tools for social justice in this very country. But BDS doesn’t seek social justice. It seeks a world in which the State of Israel does not exist.

It is not incompatible to support a strong, secure Jewish State of Israel and to support human rights, dignity, and prosperity for the Palestinian people. It is incompatible, however, to support BDS and claim to support two states for two people when BDS envisages Palestine from the river to the sea, and the other rejection of the Jewish State of Israel.

You simply will not, and cannot, achieve lasting peace and security for both Israelis and Palestinians and work toward a two-state solution if you support a movement that seeks to delegitimize the very existence of Israel.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank my colleagues Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. ZELDIN, Mr. NANDOR, and Mrs. WAGNER, for bringing this resolution to the floor today. I strongly support their efforts.

I strongly support this resolution condemning BDS, and I urge all of my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, it is important to note, really, from coast to coast, we are hearing stories of students on college campuses, in the name of BDS, being targeted with blatant anti-Semitism.

For example, at New York University, after the student government passed a resolution supporting BDS, the Bronfman Center for Jewish Life was temporarily closed in response to threatening Twitter posts by a student who expressed ‘a desire for Zionists to die.’

A University of Michigan professor refused to write a letter of recommendation for a qualified student solely because she wanted to study abroad in Israel. At another university, a student was expelled for writing an essay on Zionism.

At Warren Wilson College, an invited speaker stated: ‘Jews are doing the same thing to the Palestinians as the Nazis did to the Jews.’

A Yik Yak posting at the University of California read: ‘Gas them, burn them, and dismantle their power structure. Humanity cannot progress without the parasitic Jew.’

More globally, University of Durban in South Africa called for the expulsion of Jewish students who did not support the BDS movement at the university.

It is really important for people who may just be getting familiar with what BDS is to know that, right now, we have so many Jewish students who are being targeted with this blatant anti-Semitism, and that is why today’s statement sends such a powerful message.

Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge the House to pass this resolution which opposes efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel through the global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement. This resolution reiterates that there is a viable pathway to peace: through direct negotiations to achieve two states for two people.

If implemented, the BDS movement would blacklist Israeli businessmen, devastate the Israeli economy, and create doubt about Israel’s legitimacy among the next generation. That undermines any possibility of achieving a lasting peace.

The global rise of anti-Semitism is manifesting itself in many terrifying ways and we must confront it. This resolution is paramount in ensuring that BDS supporters cannot utilize this movement to promote an anti-Semitic agenda.

BDS does not seek and will not help achieve the Israeli-Palestinian peace. In fact, it pushes the hope for a two-state solution even further away. BDS only gives fodder to Israel’s enemies who seek to destroy her and isolate her from the rest of the world.

Direct, bilateral negotiations between the parties is the only option for a viable, long-lasting peace.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, some supporters of the BDS movement—indeed, the movement, in total, makes the argument that Israel is the bad guy on humanitarian issues, on human rights.

It is important to note, Israel has a long history of LGBTQ protections and women’s rights—indeed, the law that is in stark contrast to its Middle East neighbors.

Regardless of ethnicity or religion, there are broad freedoms in Israel, including the right to vote, freedom of speech, equal access to education and the workplace, and no special restrictions on the way women dress. By law, women are protected from discrimination.

In fact, Israel’s declaration of independence grants all Israel’s inhabitants equality of social and political rights, irrespective of religion, race, or gender. Even Palestinians in the autonomous regions have voting rights and receive social services.

Under Israeli jurisdiction, some 350,000 Palestinian residents in Jerusalem receive certain civilian welfare, health, and municipal services. In other parts of the Middle East, it is a monarchy with no voting rights whatsoever.

Hamas has repeatedly denied humanitarian aid offered by Israel. In May 2018, when there were clashes on the border, Gaza refused two truckloads of aid from Israel to relieve medical shortages.

There are so many falsehoods that have been perpetrated by supporters of the BDS movement, and that is, again, another reason why today’s resolution is such a powerful statement.

Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. SUOZZI), my very good friend.

Mr. SUOZZI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, the BDS campaign has been heralded by critics and enemies of...
Israel as a penalty for what they claim is Israel’s oppression of the Palestinian people. Nothing could be further from the truth.

These criticisms and enemies of Israel are, in reality, simply adding the BDS campaign to their arsenal of weapons to try and isolate, weaken, delegitimize, and, ultimately, destroy the State of Israel, as they have for decades.

For over 70 years, Israelis have faced repeated terrorism, bombs detonated on public buses, in cafes, and at religious observances. This terrorism has been beaten back by a nation simply trying to defend itself and persevere under withering attacks.

So now Israel’s enemies have adopted a new strategy. BDS is an anti-Semitic movement, plain and simple.

The founder of BDS, Omar Barghouti, said it very clearly: “...we oppose a Jewish state in any part of Palestine. No Palestinian, rational Palestinian, not a sell-out Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state.”

The goals of BDS are clear. Just read their words.

Israel shall not and cannot yield. And while the United States must stand with our ally that shares our values and has also worked with us.

Mr. Speaker, I urge strong bipartisan support of this bill.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. ZELDIN just pointed out one quote. Earlier, we heard another quote from the BDS founder. I will share one more. He also stated—and this is the founder of the BDS movement: “Many of the methods of collective and individual ‘punishment’ meted out to Palestinian civilians at the hands of young, racist, often sadistic and ever impervious Israeli soldiers...are reminiscent of the common Nazi practices against the Jews.”

The list goes on and on. As people out there are listening to this debate, becoming familiar with what the BDS movement is, just understand and take heed of the words from the BDS movement founder, Omar Barghouti.

Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 1/2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GOTTHEIMER).

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this bipartisan solution opposing the boycott of Israel and supporting a two-state solution. I want to thank Chairman ENGEL for his excellent leadership on this important issue and Speaker PELOSI and Majority Leader HOYER for bringing this resolution to the floor.

With this bill and 349 cosponsors, it is very clear the majority of both parties support our vital ally, Israel, the leading democracy in the Middle East, because our countries share common interests and fundamental values, and Israel’s security is key to America’s security—fighting Hezbollah, Hamas, PIJ, and global terror.

However, the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions, BDS, Movement seeks to delegitimize Israel and deny its right to exist as a Jewish state.

As Mr. Suozzi, my colleague, just so aptly read, the founder of BDS, Omar Barghouti, said it very clearly: “Definitely, most definitely, we oppose a Jewish state. No Palestinian, rational Palestinian, not a sell-out Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state.”

That exactly captures what we are fighting here today. There are few clearer examples of bias and double standards than the BDS movement. No other democratic country faces a global boycott.

But BDS is also fundamentally incompatible with a two-state solution, which I strongly support. BDS seeks to punish only Israel, and it rejects direct negotiations in favor of unilateral strategy. That is why it is so important that Congress goes on record opposing BDS and other harmful efforts to single out and delegitimize Israel.

Standing up for the U.S.-Israel relationship should not be a partisan issue. That is why the members of the Problem Solvers Caucus are proud to support this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman from New Jersey.

□ 1600

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. This resolution was introduced by our colleague Congressman ZELDIN along with Congressman LEE ZELDIN, Chairman JERRY NADLER, and Congresswoman ANN WAGNER.

They have all done an excellent job. Nothing in this resolution would prevent anyone, in any way, from being able to engage in free speech. That is not what this is about, and we must not allow false attacks on Israel.

Mr. Speaker, today, we must continue to make sure that confronting bias and anti-Semitism and supporting a two-state solution remain bipartisan priorities.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

BDS supporters also claim that the BDS movement is nonviolent. The BDS movement does not distance itself from Hamas, a designated Foreign Terrorist Organization. BDS supporters, individually and collectively, are all part of the same movement. No Palestinian, rational Palestinian, not a sell-out Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state.

That exactly captures what we are fighting here today.

The Palestinian Authority, not only Hamas but Hezbollah, another designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, they have built terror tunnels. They have amassed tens of thousands of rockets on the border between Lebanon and Israel.

Every year, Hamas mobilizes Palestinian civilians in their Days of Rage to attack Israelis at the border, calling on people to throw rocks and fire missiles at Israel, which often result in actual violence, including death, targeting innocent civilians.

This past May, Hamas fired approximately 700 rockets into Israel, killing innocent civilians. Hamas has used incendiary kites and balloons, which have destroyed some 8,000 acres of Israeli farms, homes, and forests and football stadiums renamed after you. You and your family will get paid money.

There are existential threats all around Israel. To our friends with Hezbollah, another designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, they have built terror tunnels. They have amassed tens of thousands of rockets on the border between Lebanon and Israel.

Every year, Hamas mobilizes Palestinian civilians in their Days of Rage to attack Israelis at the border, calling on people to throw rocks and fire missiles at Israel, which often result in actual violence, including death, targeting innocent civilians.

This past May, Hamas fired approximately 700 rockets into Israel, killing innocent civilians. Hamas has used incendiary kites and balloons, which have destroyed some 8,000 acres of Israeli farms, homes, and forests and football stadiums named after you. You and your family will get paid money.

Where are all the supporters of BDS who condemn these remarks? This is two Fridays ago, calling for the murder of every Jew in the world.

During a closed meeting in October 2017 between Hamas chief Sinwar and Gazan youth about reconciling with the Fatah movement, Sinwar stated that the time spent discussing recognition of Israel is over and that now Hamas will, instead, discuss when they will wipe out Israel.

Hamas uses women and children as human shields. They deny humanitarian aid to their own people. They incite violence. They have launched rockets into Israel, killing innocent civilians. They have declared that jihad is an obligation. That list goes on.

The Palestinian Authority, not only do they incite violence, but they financially reward terror, by policy. If you kill an Israeli or an American, you get a pension and $250 per month, if you commit a terrorist act.

Where is their voice right now? If everyone says their cause is legitimate and reasonable, why are they all abroad? Where are all the BDS supporters everywhere? Where is their voice right now? Where are all the BDS supporters in the United Nations, those who are abroad? Where is their voice right now? Where are all the BDS supporters in the United Nations, those who are abroad?

Where is their voice right now? If everything that they say about their cause is legitimate and reasonable, that their concern is one that the entire world should be taking heed of and caution to, where is their voice condemning all the actual violence right now? Palestinian terrorists murdering innocent Israelis and, in some cases, Americans?

Taylor Force—the Taylor Force Act that this Chamber passed and was signed into law—he was a United States Military Academy graduate, an Army veteran, a United States Army veteran, who was killed. The PA pays those terrorists and their families to commit those terrorist acts.

We are here in this Chamber to call the Pennsylvania Democrat on exactly what it is, in bipartisan fashion.

I appreciate Chairman ENGEL’s work at the Foreign Affairs Committee. I see...
Chairman DEUTCH is still here, along with many other voices around this Capitol who believe strongly in this effort on the other side of the aisle. We need to work together to combat the BDS movement. This is an important next step.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time for the purpose of closing.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York (Mr. ZELDIN) has 7 minutes remaining. The gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) has 4½ minutes remaining.

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, with regard to the BDS movement, the supporters make territorial claims as it relates to Gaza, Judea, and the West Bank.

Let’s clear up the record a little more on that. Judea and Samaria, often referred to as the West Bank, contain disputed territory, not occupied territory. Israel ended up with this territory after it was attacked, before which it was even a Palestinian Authority. Time and again, the PA has rejected peace proposals because they refuse, publicly and privately, to accept a Jewish state in Israel. That is on them. In 2008, Israel offered to withdraw 93 percent from Judea and Samaria.

As for Gaza, Hamas, a designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, they are in charge. There is no Israeli occupation there. This small strip of land is packed out with 1.7 million people living in sub-Third World country conditions because of Hamas. As was pointed out earlier, Hamas refuses humanitarian aid, uses women and children as human shields, calls jihad as an obligation, and murders innocent civilians.

One of the most important reasons we are here today is not only making a strong statement in opposition to the BDS movement but also to refute all the lies that are being told out there.

People will speak up to try to justify the hate that is filling the ranks of the BDS movement, and they will never tell you the other 100 percent of the story. This Chamber today comes together, Republicans and Democrats, both sides of the aisle, to make that powerful statement.

There is a list of victims—we don’t have enough time to go through it—of Palestinian violence and terrorism in 2019. Mr. Speaker, 1,355 people have been killed by Palestinian violence and terrorism since September of 2000—1,355 people.

Never will the BDS supporters take any opportunity to set the record straight on any of that, but we will here.

I see that BRAD SCHNEIDER is with us as well, the main author of the bill. This is a bipartisan effort that I am very proud to be part of today.

Mr. Speaker, I thank all of my colleagues for speaking today, as well as Chris Smith and Minority Whip Scalise. This is something that we are very passionate about.

We also believe that the strong statement that we are going to make here today with this vote is not the last step of this Chamber’s speaking out. I really hope that we all can work together in a bipartisan fashion to figure out how to do something about it, to be able to pass legislation with teeth.

Today is nothing short of progress, and it is something for all of us to be proud of around our country and around the world because it should be an American value to stand strong, shoulder to shoulder with our great ally, our greatest ally in the Middle East in Israel. It is a beacon of hope, freedom, liberty, and opportunity.

Americans, in our day-to-day lives, don’t even realize just how much we are using Israeli technology, American companies, and Israeli technology. We probably have used it multiple times just today.

You can’t boycott Israel and, at the same exact time, spend your entire day using Israeli technology. You can’t boycott Israel and support Israel.

We are going to call out the hypocrisy that exist. Ultimately, as we flush this out, let’s work together. This is about advocacy. It is also about education.

When I came to Congress in 2015, honestly, there were a lot of Members of Congress that, if you asked them, “Where do you stand on the BDS movement?” their answer would have been, “What is BDS?”

Now, in 2019, here we are, July 2019, coming together. We know what BDS is: Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions movement. We know it is filled with anti-Israel hate. We know it is about delegitimizing Israel. We know that it threatens the possibility of future peace between Israelis and Palestinians. It is that simple.

Again, I think the reason why we said, and I think, and others who have spoken—because it is very destructive, very unfair, very anti-Jewish, anti-Semitic, and should have no place in the public spectrum.

I think that this Chamber is getting together. If we look at the numbers we bring to the floor today, they really speak for themselves. Almost 80 percent of the House of Representatives has cosponsored this resolution.

There are Members not just from different sides of the aisle but from across the entire political spectrum, from one extreme to the other. The other resolution, saying the BDS movement is unfair, that the BDS movement singles out Israel, that the BDS movement is really supported by people who want to see the destruction of the world’s only Jewish state, and that is not something that we can stand idly by and watch happen.

I have never been prouder of this body—and I have been here for a while now—than I am tonight. I am proud of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle.

Again, I think the reason why we have that kind of support is clear. Congress supports the U.S.-Israel relationship. We support a two-state solution. We support the rights enshrined in the First Amendment.

We know that the global BDS movement threatens the possibility of future peace between Israelis and Palestinians. It is that simple. We know that the global BDS movement threatens what is fair. We know that the global BDS movement is really a fraud. It is a fraud. It is Israel-hating. It is Jew-hating.

We have had enough of that in this world. Frankly, they should be ashamed of themselves.

I would like to note that today’s resolution is a very powerful rebuke of the global BDS movement, more powerful than any particular legislative proposal that we may have today, to those who want to see a peaceful end to the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, is that the global BDS movement has no place here.

Mr. Speaker, I am glad to support this measure. I urge all Members to do likewise. Again, I thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle. I have never seen Congress as united as it is on this resolution, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SPAULDING. Mr. Speaker, I support House Resolution 246, opposing the anti-Semitic Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions—or BDS—movement and all efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel.
It is important that we clearly state our opposition to the BDS movement, as this non-binding resolution with 349 cosponsors before us does. However, it is even more important that we take strong legislative actions to defend Israel.

As harmful as this growing movement is, sadly, it is not new.

Over 40 years ago, the Arab League began its boycott of Israel. In response to this boycott, Congress passed a law that makes it illegal to participate in foreign boycotts of U.S. allies.

Today, the Global BDS movement seeks to pressure Israel by using Israel's participation in the global economy, in academic exchanges, and in cultural activities as leverage.

For example, the BDS movement tries to pressure recording artists from performing in Israel.

It tries to keep students from studying abroad in Israel. It tries to keep consumers from purchasing Israeli goods.

Not only is the BDS movement antithetical to the values of openness and exchange shared by the United States, Israel, and free democracies all over the world, it is antithetical to peace.

As threats to U.S. allies, including Israel, evolve over time, we must update our policies to effectively stand with our partners. In weakening the BDS movement it endangers the national security of the United States.

I introduced a real legislative solution in January—H.R. 336, the Strengthening America's Security in the Middle East Act. This bill has direct policy implications by allowing state and local governments to adopt laws to divest public funds from entities that boycott Israel.

Additionally, it would sanction the Assad regime and its backers for their malign activities, and strengthen our support for Israel and Jordan.

While I support today’s resolution, I regret that we are not considering H.R. 336 instead. The Senate companion to H.R. 336, S.1, was the first bill the Senate considered this Congress, demonstrating how urgent it was.

It passed with robust bipartisan support.

But, neither S.1, nor my House companion H. R. 336, have received consideration in this body.

Standing up for Israel has never been a difficult decision for the United States Congress.

It wasn’t difficult for Senator Chuck Schumer when he voted in favor of S.1, and it wasn’t difficult for over half of the Democrats and nearly all Republicans in the Senate when they too supported the bill.

Just as we passed the original anti-boycott act 40 years ago, we must update our laws to protect our interests.

When foreign entities foster boycotts against Israel in the United States, they are interfering in U.S. policy, and it is appropriate for our government to respond.

We take a first step today by publicly acknowledging BDS is dangerous and anti-Semitic; but tomorrow we must take real actions—and advance the real policies in my bill—to protect Israel and combat the BDS movement.

I want to thank Mr. Schneider, Mr. Zeldin, Ms. Wagner, Mr. Nadler, Chairman Engel and the Foreign Affairs Committee Membership for their bipartisan work to counter this threat to our friend and ally Israel.

I sincerely hope all Members vote in favor of this resolution that shows our solidarity with our friend and ally Israel, and hope we can vote on my bill this week.

Ms. McCollum. Mr. Speaker, peaceful dissent and the protesting of injustice are the right of all Americans guaranteed by the Constitution. It is called speech. H. Res. 246 renounces the peaceful promotion of human rights, self-determination, and justice on behalf of Palestinian people living under Israeli military occupation. At the same time, this resolution completely ignores the Netanyahu government’s popularity, dehumanization, and subjugation of Palestinian people at the root of this peaceful movement.

The State of Israel is a sovereign nation, an ally of the United States, and a military power that occupies Palestinian lands for the benefit of Jewish settlers. H. Res. 246 originally claimed that the use of voluntary boycotts, divestment, and sanctions “undermines the possibility of a negotiated solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.” I am pleased that this language has been removed.

I am also pleased that resolving clause 3 was added to affirm the Constitutional right of U.S. citizens to free speech, including “the right to protest or criticize the policies of the United States or a foreign government.”

Israel cannot be delegitimized by any outside entity. It is a nation-state that is self-governed and makes its own laws, its own policies. Sadly, and I say sadly because I want peace and security for the Israeli people, it is the actions of the Netanyahu government that delegitimizes Israel’s standing in the world community.

Today’s Washington Post ran a headline: “Israeli demolition of Palestinian homes provokes outcry.” Is this the action of a nation seeking peaceful negotiations? Is the annexation of Palestinian lands or the military detention and torture of Palestinian children an effort to seek a negotiated peace agreement?

Under Prime Minister Netanyahu, Israel is engaged in a systemic, violent, and repressive strategy to annex Palestinian lands and permanently displace the Palestinian population, not to mention an illegal or a two-state solution. Shamefully, the President of the United States and the U.S. ambassador to Israel are enabling this effort in a reversal of decades of bipartisan U.S. foreign policy.

Actions by Congress, like passing H. Res. 246, which ignore reality and effectively supports military occupation, violations of international humanitarian law, and the subjugation of the Palestinian population, does not strengthen Israel, but it does damage the standing of the U.S. House in the eyes of the world.

It is time for Congress to exercise real American leadership that is based on our values and the belief that Israel deserves peace and security, and at the same time the Palestinian people deserve justice, equality, and an end to Israeli repression and occupation. H. Res. 246 offers nothing but the perpetuation of the status quo, repression, and conflict.

I am voting to oppose H. Res. 246.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. Engel) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 246, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. Engel. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.
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UNITED STATES-ISRAEL COOPERATION ENHANCEMENT AND REGIONAL SECURITY ACT

Mr. Engel. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1837) to make improvements to certain defense and security assistance provisions and to authorize assistance for Israel, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1837

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE AND TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title and table of contents.
Sec. 2.—Enhanced cooperation between the United States and Israel.
Sec. 101. Coordinator of United States-Israel Research and Development.
Sec. 102. Cooperation on directed energy capabilities.
Sec. 103. Cooperation on cybersecurity.
Sec. 104. Report on potential benefits and impact to the United States of establishing a joint United States-Israel Cybersecurity Center of Excellence.
Sec. 105. Cyber diplomacy officer.
Sec. 106. United States Agency for International Development Memorandum-Israel enhanced cooperation.
Sec. 107. Cooperative projects among the United States, Israel, and developing countries.
Sec. 108. Joint cooperative program related to innovation and high-tech for the Middle East region.
Sec. 110. Cooperation on other matters.

TITLE II—SECURITY ASSISTANCE FOR ISRAEL

Sec. 201. Findings.
Sec. 203. Contingency plans to provide Israel with necessary defense articles and services.
Sec. 204. Waiver for existing or imminent military threat to Israel.
Sec. 205. Security assistance for Israel.
Sec. 206. Joint assessment of quantity of precision guided munitions for use by Israel.
Sec. 207. Transfer of precision guided munitions to Israel.
Sec. 208. Sense of Congress on rapid acquisition and deployment procedures.
TEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘‘appropriate congressional committees’’ means—

(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 103. COOPERATION ON CYBERSECURITY.

(a) General Authority.—

(1) Establishment.—The Secretary of Defense, in accordance with an agreement entitled the ‘‘Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Israel on Cooperation in Science and Technology for Homeland Security Matters’’, dated May 29, 2008 (or successor agreement), and the requirements specified in paragraph (2), shall establish a grant program at the Department to support cybersecurity research or cybersecurity technology, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) Requirement for Applicability.—The Secretary may award grants under this subsection if an applicant demonstrates that the grant will be used to support cybersecurity research or cybersecurity technology, as determined by the Secretary.

B) Research and Development.—

(i) In General.—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary shall require that at least 50 percent of the research, development, demonstration, or commercial application program or activity that is authorized under this section, the Secretary shall require cost sharing in accordance with this paragraph.

(ii) Cost Sharing.—The Secretary may reduce or eliminate, on a case-by-case basis, the cost sharing requirement specified in clause (i) if the Secretary determines that such reduction or elimination is necessary and appropriate.

(c) Report.—In carrying out a review under subparagraph (B), the Secretary may use merit review processes developed under section 401 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15801), or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(3) Eligible Applicants.—An applicant shall be eligible to receive a grant under this subsection if—

(i) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity outside the United States; and

(ii) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(iii) the Federal Government; and

(iv) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(v) the United States-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development Foundation.

(3) CONTRIBUTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may accept contributions from any person, government entity, or organization for the purposes of carrying out this section. Such funds shall be available, subject to appropriation, without fiscal year limitation.

(4) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of completion of a project for which a grant is provided under this subsection, the Secretary may report to the appropriate congressional committees on—

(A) the amount of support to be provided; and

(B) a description of the activities conducted under the grant.

(2) Certification.—In carrying out a review under subparagraph (B), the Secretary may use merit review processes developed under section 401 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15801), or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(3) Eligible Applicants.—An applicant shall be eligible to receive a grant under this subsection if—

(i) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(ii) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(iii) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(iv) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(v) the Federal Government; and

(vi) the United States Government; and

(vii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(viii) the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation; and

(ix) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(x) the United States Government; and

(xi) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(xii) the United States Government; and

(xiii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(xiv) the United States Government; and

(xv) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(xvi) the United States Government; and

(xvii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(xviii) the United States Government; and

(xix) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(xx) the United States Government; and

(3) CONTRIBUTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may accept contributions from any person, government entity, or organization for the purposes of carrying out this section. Such funds shall be available, subject to appropriation, without fiscal year limitation.

(4) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of completion of a project for which a grant is provided under this subsection, the Secretary may report to the appropriate congressional committees on—

(A) the amount of support to be provided; and

(B) a description of the activities conducted under the grant.

(2) Certification.—In carrying out a review under subparagraph (B), the Secretary may use merit review processes developed under section 401 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15801), or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(3) Eligible Applicants.—An applicant shall be eligible to receive a grant under this subsection if—

(i) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(ii) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(iii) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(iv) it is a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(v) the Federal Government; and

(vi) the United States Government; and

(vii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(viii) the United States Government; and

(ix) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(x) the United States Government; and

(xi) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(xii) the United States Government; and

(xiii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(xiv) the United States Government; and

(xv) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(xvi) the United States Government; and

(xvii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or

(xviii) the United States Government; and

(xix) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in the United States; and

(3) CONTRIBUTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may accept contributions from any person, government entity, or organization for the purposes of carrying out this section. Such funds shall be available, subject to appropriation, without fiscal year limitation.

(4) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of completion of a project for which a grant is provided under this subsection, the Secretary may report to the appropriate congressional committees on—

(A) the amount of support to be provided; and

(B) a description of the activities conducted under the grant.
grant recipient shall submit to the Secretary a report that contains—
(a) a description of how the grant funds were used by the recipient; and
(b) an evaluation of the level of success of each project funded by the grant.

(b) CLASSIFICATION.—Grants shall be award- ed under this subsection only for projects that are not to be declassified by both the United States and Israel.

(c) TERMINATION.—The grant program and the advisory board established under this section terminate on the date that is 7 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.—No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this section. Such require- ments shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

(d) Appropriations.—In this section—

(1) the term ‘‘cybersecurity research’’ means research, including social science re- search, into ways to identify, protect against, detect, respond to, and recover from cybersecurity threats;

(2) the term ‘‘cybersecurity technology’’ means technology intended to identify, pro- tect against, detect, respond to, and recover from cybersecurity threats;

(3) the term ‘‘cybersecurity threat’’ has the meaning given such term in section 102 of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (enacted as title I of the Cyberse- curity Act of 2015 (division N of the Consoli- dated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Public Law 114-113)), section 1016 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)), or subsection (a) or (b) of section 101 of the Innovation Sharing Protection Act of 2001 (42 U.S.C. 5142c), as amended.

(4) the term ‘‘Department’’ means the De- partment of Homeland Security; and

(5) the term ‘‘Secretary’’ means the Sec- retary of Homeland Security.

SEC. 104. REPORT ON POTENTIAL BENEFITS AND IMPACT TO THE UNITED STATES OF ESTABLISHING A JOINT UNITED STATES-ISRAEL CYBERSECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE.

(a) In General.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report examining the potential benefits and impact to the United States of establishing a joint United States-Israel Cybersecurity Center of Excellence based in the United States and Israel to leverage the experience, knowledge, and expertise of institutions of higher education (as such term is defined in subsection (a) or (b) of section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)), private and public entities in the area of cybersecurity and protec- tion of critical infrastructure (as such term is defined in subsection (e) of section 1016 of the Critical Infrastructures Protection Act of 2001 (42 U.S.C. 5142c), as enacted in title X of the USA PATRIOT Act (Public Law 107- 56)).

(b) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT- TEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘‘appropriate congressional committees’’ means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

SEC. 105. CYBER DIPLOMACY OFFICER.

The Secretary of State is authorized to appoint a position or position individual to assume the role of cyber diplomacy officer at the United States Embassy in Israel.

SEC. 106. UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTER- NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT—MEM- RANDUM-ISRAEL ENHANCED CO- OPERATION.

(a) STATUTORY AUTHORITY.—It should be the policy of the United States Agency for Inter- national Development to cooperate with

Israel in order to advance common goals across a wide variety of sectors, including energy, agriculture and food security, de- mocracy, human rights and governance, eco- nomic growth and trade, education, environ- ment, global health and water and sanita- tion.

(b) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The Secretary of State, acting through the Ad- ministrator of the United States Agency for International Development, is authorized to enter into memoranda of understanding with Israel in order to advance common goals on energy, agriculture and food security, de- mocracy, human rights and governance, eco- nomic growth and trade, education, environ- ment, global health and water and sanita- tion, with a focus on strengthening mutual ties and cooperation with nations throughout the world.

SEC. 107. COOPERATIVE PROJECTS AMONG THE UNITED STATES, ISRAEL, AND DE- developing Countries.

(a) Sec. 106(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151d) is amended to read as follows:

‘‘(f) There are authorized to be appro- priated $2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 through 2024 to finance cooperative projects among the United States, Israel, and develop- ing countries that identify and support local solutions to address sustainability challenges relating to water resources, agri- culture, and energy storage, including for the following activities:

(1) Establishing public-private partner- ships.

(2) Supporting the identification, re- search, development testing, and scaling of innovations that are vulnerable to environmental and re- source-scarcity crises, such as subsistence farming communities.

(3) Seed of transition-to-scale funding, publicity and marketing promotional sup- port, or mentorship and partnership brokering.

(4) Acceleration of demonstrations or ap- plications of local solutions to sustainability challenges, or the further refinement, test- ing, or implementation of innovations that have previously effectively addressed sus- tainability challenges.’’.

SEC. 108. JOINT COOPERATIVE PROGRAM RE- LATING TO WATER, ENERGY, AND HIGH- TECH FOR THE MIDDLE EAST RE- GION.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress—

(1) the United States should help foster co- operation in the Middle East region by fi- nancing and, where appropriate, cooperating in projects related to innovation and high- tech; and

(2) such projects should—

(A) contribute to development and the quality of life in the Middle East region through the application of research and tech- nology; and

(B) contribute to Arab-Israeli cooperation by establishing strong working relationships that last beyond the life of such projects.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of State is authorized to establish a joint United States-Israel Energy and Technology Cooperation Program, operated by the United States Agency for International Development, to foster collaboration on projects that are consistent with the objectives and programs established under this section.

(c) PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.—Each project shall include participation from at least one entity of Israel and one entity of Egypt, Jordan, Morocco, Tunisia, Lebanon, and the West Bank and Gaza Strip; and

(2) should include participation from a total of three or more such entities to the extent practicable.

SEC. 109. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN ENERGY CO- OPERATION.

It is the sense of Congress that cooperation between the United States and Israel for the purpose of research and development of energy sources would be in the national interest not only to the United States and Israel, but also of the other nations in the Eastern Mediterranean and North Africa with similar natural gas finds.

SEC. 110. RESEARCH ON OTHER MATTERS.

(a) UNITED STATES-ISRAEL ENERGY CENTER.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy $1,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022 to carry out the activities of the United States-Israel Energy Center established pursuant to section 917(d) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17337(d)).

(b) UNITED STATES-ISRAEL BINATIONAL INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION.—It is the sense of Congress that grants to promote energy projects carried out by or on behalf of the United States-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development Foundation should continue to be funded at not less than $2,000,000 annually under section 917(b) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17337(b)).

(c) UNITED STATES-ISRAEL COOPERATION ON ENERGY, WATER, HOMELAND SECURITY, AGRICULTURE, AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL TECHNOLOGIES.—Section 7 of the United States- Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 (22 U.S.C. 8606) is amended by adding at the end the following:

‘‘(d) Authorization of Appropriations.— There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section $2,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022.’’.

(d) ANNUAL POLICY DIALOGUE.—It is the sense of Congress that the Department of Transportation and Israel’s Ministry of Transportation should engage in an annual policy dialogue to implement the 2016 Memo- randum of Cooperation signed by the Sec- retary of Transportation and the Israeli Min- ister of Transportation.

(e) COOPERATION ON SPACE EXPLORATION AND SCIENCE.—The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Adminis- trator of the Department of Transportation shall continue to work with the Israel Space Agency to identify and co- operate on pursuit of peaceful space explo- ration and science initiatives in areas of mu- tual interest, taking all appropriate meas- ures to protect sensitive information, intel- ligence and property, trade secrets, and economic interests of the United States.

(f) UNITED STATES-ISRAEL BINATIONAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUND.—

(1) In General.—Section 1458(e)(2) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3291(e)(2)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (a), by striking ‘‘and’’; and

(B) in paragraph (b), by striking the pe- riod at the end and inserting ‘‘; and’’;

(2) Authorization of Appropriations.— There are authorized to be appropriated $2,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022 for grants to carry out the purposes of this section through such research and development.’’.

SEC. 111. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated $2,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022 to carry out the activities of the United States-Israel Energy Center established pursuant to section 917(d) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17337(d)).
of 1977, as added by paragraph (1)(C), $7,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022.

(3) REPORT.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the activities of the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund under section 1458(e) of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 2201 note).
(B) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—
(i) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives; and
(ii) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate.

(g) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION RELATING TO DESALINATION TECHNOLOGY.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on research and development cooperation with international partners, such as the State of Israel, in the area of desalination technology as required under section 9(b)(3) of the Water Desalination Act of 1996 (42 U.S.C. 12911 note).
(2) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—
(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and
(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

(h) RESEARCH AND TREATMENT OF POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs should seek to explore collaboration between the Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Centers and Centers of Excellence and Israeli institutions with expertise in posttraumatic stress disorder and developing and treating posttraumatic stress disorder.

(i) DEVELOPMENT OF HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Health and Human Services $2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020, 2021, and 2022 to establish a bilateral cooperative program with Israel for the development of health technologies, including health technologies described in paragraph (3), with an emphasis on collaboratively advancing the use of technology, personalized medicine, and data in relation to aging.
(2) LIMITATIONS OF HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES.—The health technologies described in this paragraph may include technologies such as artificial intelligence, biofeedback, sensors, monitoring devices, and kidney care.

(j) OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS OF THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of Congress that the Food and Drug Administration should seek to explore collaboration with Israel through the Office of International Programs.
(2) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commissioner, acting through the head of the International Programs of the United States Food and Drug Administration, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the benefits to the United States and to Israel of opening an office in Israel for the Office of International Programs.

SEC. 204. WAIVER FOR EXISTING OR IMMINENT MILITARY THREAT TO ISRAEL.

Section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act is amended by adding at the end the following:
"(1) WAIVER FOR EXISTING OR IMMINENT MILITARY THREAT TO ISRAEL.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—Upon receiving information that Israel is under an existing or imminent threat of military attack, the President may waive the requirements of this Act and direct the immediate transfer to Israel of such defense articles or services the President determines to be necessary to assist Israel in its defense against such threat.
(B) WAIVER AUTHORIZED.—As soon as practicable after a transfer of defense articles or services pursuant to the authority provided by paragraph (1), the President shall provide a notification in writing to Congress of the details of such transfer, consistent with the requirements of section 38 of this Act."

SEC. 205. SECURITY ASSISTANCE FOR ISRAEL.

Section 38 of the Security Assistance Act of 2019 (Public Law 116–280; 114 Stat. 2856) is amended—
(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ‘‘2002 and 2003’’ and inserting ‘‘2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024’’;
(2) in paragraph (2), by striking ‘‘equal to—’’ and all that follows and inserting ‘‘not less than $3,300,000,000’’; and
(3) in paragraph (4), by striking ‘‘Funds authorized’’ and all that follows through ‘‘all that follows through’’ and inserting ‘‘Funds authorized to be available for Israel under subsection (b)(1) of this section for fiscal years 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, and 2024 shall be disbursed not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of an Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs for the respective fiscal year, or October 31 of the respective fiscal year, whichever is later.‘’

SEC. 206. JOINT ASSESSMENT OF QUANTITY OF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS FOR USE BY ISRAEL.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The President, acting through the Secretary of Defense and in consultation with the Secretary of State, is authorized to conduct a joint assessment with the Government of Israel with respect to the matters described in subsection (b).

(b) MATTERS DESCRIBED.—The matters described in this subsection are the following:
(1) The quantity and type of precision guided munitions that are necessary for Israel to combat Hezbollah in the event of a sustained armed confrontation between Israel and Hezbollah.
(2) The quantity and type of precision guided munitions that are necessary for Israel in the event of a sustained armed confrontation with other armed groups and terrorist organizations such as Hamas.

(c) PROCEDURES.—The Government of Israel can plan to dedicate such precision guided munitions only after the Administration has determined whether the resources described in paragraphs (1) and (2) as well as the ability of the United States to resupply Israel in the event of such concentrating is practicable after a transfer of defense articles or services authorized under subsection (a) is completed, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the
appropriate congressional committees a report that contains the joint assessment.

(2) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

SEC. 207. TRANSFER OF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS TO ISRAEL.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 514 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321h(b)(2)(A)), the President is authorized to transfer to Israel precision guided munitions from reserve stocks for Israel in such quantities as necessary for legitimate self-defense of Israel and is otherwise consistent with the purposes and conditions for such transfers under the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.).

(b) CERTIFICATION.—Except in the case of an emergency as determined by the President, not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that describes the steps taken to include Israel in the list of countries eligible for the strategic trade authorization exception under section 740.20(c) of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, to the requirement for a license for the export, re-export, or in-country transfer of an item subject to control under the Export Administration Regulations.

(2) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

SEC. 211. EXTENSION OF LOAN GUARANTEES TO ISRAEL.

Chapter 5 of title I of the Emergency War-Time Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2003 (Public Law 108–11; 117 Stat. 576) is amended under the heading “Loan Guarantees to Israel”—

(1) in the matter preceding the first proviso, by striking “September 30, 2020” and inserting “September 30, 2025”; and

(2) in the second proviso, by striking “September 30, 2020” and inserting “September 30, 2025”.

SEC. 212. DEFINITION.

In this title, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

TITLE III—JUSTICE FOR UNITED STATES VICTIMS OF PALESTINIAN TERRORISM

SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Justice for United States Victims of Palestinian Terrorism Act”.

SEC. 202. FACILITATION OF THE SETTLEMENT OF TERRORISM-RELATED CLAIMS OF NATIONALS OF THE UNITED STATES.

(a) COMPREHENSIVE PROCESS TO FACILITATE THE RESOLUTION OF ANTI-TERRORISM ACT CLAIMS.—(1) Incorporation of Palestinian Authorities Claims. The State, in consultation with the Attorney General, shall, not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, develop and initiate a comprehensive process for the Department of State to facilitate the resolution and settlement of covered claims.

(b) ELEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE PROCESS.—The comprehensive process developed under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) Not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Department of State shall publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the method by which a national of the United States, or a representative of a national of the United States, who has a covered claim, may contact the Department of State to give notice of the covered claim.

(2) Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Department of State shall publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the method by which a national of the United States, or a representative of a national of the United States, who has a covered claim, may contact the Department of State to give notice of the covered claim.

(3) Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Department of State, or a designee of the Secretary, shall make every effort to continue to meet with any designated or recognized Palestinian Authority or the Palestine Liberation Organization to discuss the covered claims identified pursuant to paragraph (1) and to seek potential settlement of the covered claims.

(c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of State shall, not later than 240 days after the date of enactment of this Act, submit to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report describing activities that the Department of State has undertaken to comply with this section, including specific updates regarding paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (b).

(2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) covered claims should be resolved in a manner that provides just compensation to the victims;

(2) covered claims should be resolved and settled in favor of the victim to the fullest extent possible and without subjecting victims to unnecessary or burdensome actions.

(3) the United States Government should take all practicable steps to facilitate the resolution and settlement of all covered claims, including engaging with the victims or their representatives and the Palestinian Authority and the Palestine Liberation Organization; and

(4) the United States Government should strongly urge the Palestinian Authority and the Palestinian Liberation Organization to commit to good-faith negotiations to resolve and settle all covered claims.

(d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “covered claim” means any pending action by or, after final judgment in favor of, a national of the United States, or any action by a national of the United States for lack of personal jurisdiction, under section 1353 of title 18, United States Code, is amended.

(2) DEFENDANT DEFINED.—For purposes of—

(a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005.—Subsection (d) of section 12001 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–287; 118 Stat. 1011) is amended by striking “(1) covered claims should be resolved in a manner that provides just compensation to the victims;”.

(2) FOREIGN ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1961.—Section 514(b)(2)(A) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321h(b)(2)(A)) is amended by striking “(1) covered claims should be resolved in a manner that provides just compensation to the victims;”.

(3) CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE DEBATE ON HRES 2497
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"(A) the Palestinian Authority;
(B) the Palestine Liberation Organization;
(C) any organization or other entity that is a successor to or affiliated with the Palestinian Authority or the Palestine Liberation Organization; or
(D) any organization or other entity—
(i) identified in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C); and
(ii) that self-identifies as, holds itself out to be, or carries out conduct in the name of, the ‘State of Palestine’ or ‘Palestine’ in connection with official business of the United Nations.

(4) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN ACTIVITIES AND LOCATION.—Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (3), any defendant shall be deemed to have consented to personal jurisdiction under paragraph (1)(B), a court may not consider—
(A) any office, headquarters, premises or other facility or establishment used exclusively for the purpose of conducting official business of the United Nations; or
(B) any activity undertaken exclusively for the purpose of conducting official business of the United Nations.

(5) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Notwithstanding section 3 of chapter 20 of title 28, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, any statement has been submitted prior to the date of enactment of this Act to the Secretary of State.

TITLE IV—BUDGETARY EFFECTS
SECT. 401. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled ‘Budgetary Effects’ for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such submission has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CARTWRIGHT). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) and the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BENCHANKER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

GENTLEMAN
Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 1837.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. ENGEL. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act.

I want to start by thanking Mr. DEUTCH and Mr. WILSON for their extraordinary leadership in crafting this measure. This is another piece of bipar-
Congress can't defuse ATCA in time, it must at least reset the clock.

[From NPR, Jan. 31, 2019]

OPINION: HERE'S WHY U.S. AID TO PALESTINIAN SECURITY NEEDS TO CONTINUE

(By Dana Stroul, Daniel B. Shapiro)

Is U.S. assistance to the Palestinians an inducement we can do without? Will its elimina tion leave Israelis, Palestinians and U.S. interests better off? Unless Congress and the Trump administration act quickly, we are about to find out.

Since 1993, the United States has provided more than $5 billion in assistance to the West Bank and Gaza. This generous transaction has continued across Republican and Democratic administrations, with bipartisan Congressional support, despite ups and downs in the peace process and drops in violence and frustrations in Washington and Jerusalem with Palestinian leaders.

But the whole enterprise is now in jeopardy.

First, the Trump Administration cut the entire fiscal year 2017 economic aid program for the West Bank and Gaza and looks likely to do the same for 2018. Now the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), with no money to spend, is on the verge of closing down, leaving ongoing programs uncompleted.

Next, the Anti-Terrorism Clarification Act, which exposes the Palestinian Authority to legal action in U.S. courts if it accepts any U.S. assistance funds, comes into force on Feb. 1.

The ATCA's passage last year prompted Palestinian Authority Prime Minister Rami Hamdallah (who resigned Tuesday) to inform Secretary of State Mike Pompeo in a late-December letter that the Palestinian Authority will no longer accept any U.S. assistance. If carried out, that will end U.S. assistance for Palestinian Authority Security Forces, the deliberately under-the-radar and largely successful U.S. effort to develop these forces and facilitate effective security coordination with Israel in the West Bank.

It will also eliminate the role of the U.S. security coordinator, a three-star general who oversees the training of the security forces and the liaison between Israeli and Palestinian security officials.

Thus far, there has been minimal debate in Washington over the implications of these developments. Congress will face a dilemma between Israeli and Palestinian security officials.

Walking away from ongoing USAID programs is a total waste of U.S. taxpayer dollars. Even if traditional assistance programs remain subsidized, there are creative legislative alternatives that could even indirectly subsidize the Palestinian Authority's payments to terrorists' families. But there was never Israeli support for cutting current assistance programs. In fact, U.S. efforts in recent years have clearly supported the Palestinian Authority's payments to terrorists. But the whole enterprise is now in jeopardy.

Moreover, U.S. assistance has sustained lines of contact with Palestinian officials. During flare-ups and crises, this connective tissue has placed the U.S. in a position to defuse situations or, in the case of Israeli-Palestinian engagement was too difficult. U.S. Security Coordinator Lt. Gen. Eric Wendi and his predecessors have at times been the only American officials able to bridge both sides in moments of crisis.

The current funding crisis runs contrary to clearly expressed Congressional intent. Last year, a large bipartisan majority passed the Taylor Force Act, which, by withholding some U.S. aid, aimed to compel the Palestinian Authority to end, among other things, its practice of providing payments to families of convicted Palestinian terrorists. But Congress also voted resoundingly to maintain key elements of assistance, including humanitarian aid, people-to-people programs, medical services and other programming with no direct connection to the Palestinian Authority.

The Israeli government, for its part, was clear in its support for the Taylor Force Act's intent of cutting off assistance that could even indirectly subsidize the Palestinian Authority's payments to terrorists' families. But there was never Israeli support for cutting current assistance programs acknowledged to maintain a modicum of stability in the West Bank and prevent a full-scale humanitarian crisis in either the West Bank or Gaza.

In other words, the Taylor Force Act's passage underscored bipartisan Congressional support for continuing U.S. assistance to the Palestinians. Trump officials, who took an axe to the entire program, citing the Taylor Force Act, have misinterpreted the meaning of the law.

The Israeli national security establishment remains painfully aware that it will face the burden—financial, security, and otherwise—of addressing a full-scale collapse in the West Bank or Gaza if the U.S. steps away or loses all influence and credibility with the Palestinians. And if they lose cooperation with the Palestinian security forces, Israeli security forces will find themselves in the far worse position of needing to directly intervene in security threes in Palestinian-populated areas, rather than working through the U.S.-funded multilateral construct.

If both parties remain stuck on the current course, the biggest losers will be innocent Palestinian civilians and Israel. The winners are those benefiting from instability and the opportunity to point to the U.S. as unreliable and in retreat from the Middle East: Hamas, other assorted terrorists and Iran.

To reverse the current course, here are some steps that the administration and Congress should carefully undertake:

PASS POSITIVE LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVES

Even if traditional assistance programs remain subsidized, there are creative legislative alternatives that could preserve space for U.S. influence and enjoy bipartisan support. The Palestinian Partnership Fund Act, introduced in the last Congress, promotes economic development in the West Bank and Gaza through the creation of entre-preneurs and companies with counterparts in the United States.

An International Fund for Israeli-Palestinian Peace, long advocated by the nonpartisan Alliance for Middle East Peace, has enjoyed bipartisan support in past Congresses and would promote people-to-people peace-building activities and facilitateU.S.-Israel cooperation and private sources. Now is the time for Congress to approve funding for it.

PASS POSITIVE LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVES

Members of Congress naturally seek Israeli views on the economic and political consequences of completely shutting down U.S. assistance programs to the Palestinians. But during the Trump administration, the answers have been murky. After Israel's elections in April, Congress should urgently seek a clear picture of the new government's views, as members continue to vote on this much-debated set of issues.

URGE ISRAELI CLARIFICATION ON U.S. ASSISTANCE

Mr. ENGEL, Mr. Speaker, this is a strong bipartisan bill that advances the U.S.-Israel relationship. I, again, want to thank Representatives DEUTCH and WILSON for their leadership, as well as all the other Members who contributed to this fine piece of legislation.

I reserve the balance of my time.
DEAR CHAIRWOMAN JOHNSON: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. There are certain provisions in this legislation that fall within the Rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. In order to expedite floor consideration of H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act, there are provisions in this legislation that fall within the Committee’s Rule X jurisdiction. I also request that you urge the Speaker to name members of this Committee to any conference committee to consider the legislation. Please place a copy of this letter and your response acknowledging our jurisdictional interest into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill.

DEAR CHAIRMAN PETERSON: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. I acknowledge that provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Agriculture under House Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.R. 1837 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee with respect to the bill does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the bill that fall within your jurisdiction. I will also support the appointment of Conference members during any House-Senate conference convened on this legislation.

Lastly, I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. Thank you again for your cooperation regarding the legislation. I look forward to continuing to work with you as the measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL,
Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL: Thank you for the opportunity to review the relevant provisions of H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. While the bill was primarily referred to the Committee on Agriculture, the Agriculture Committee received an additional referral.

I recognize and appreciate your desire to bring this legislation before the House in an expeditious manner, and accordingly, I agree to discharge H.R.1837 from further consideration by the Committee on Agriculture. I do so with the understanding that by discharging the bill, the Committee on Agriculture does not waive any future jurisdictional claim on this or similar matters. Further, the Committee on Agriculture reserves the right to seek the appointment of conferees, if it should be necessary.

I ask that you inure copies of letters into both the Congressional Record and the Committee Report during consideration of this measure on the House floor.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL,
Chairman.

Thank you for your courtesy in this matter. I look forward to continued cooperation between our respective committees.

Sincerely,

COLLIN C. PETERSON,
Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN PALLONE: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. I appreciate your willingness to work cooperatively on this legislation.

I acknowledge that provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Energy and Commerce under Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.R. 1837 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee with respect to the bill does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the bill that fall within your jurisdiction. I also support the appointment of Conference members during any House-Senate conference convened on this legislation.

Lastly, I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. Thank you again for your cooperation regarding the legislation. I look forward to continuing to work with you as the measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL,
Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act, as amended, which was additionally referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

In recognition of the desire to expedite consideration of H.R. 1837, the Committee on Energy and Commerce agrees to waive formal consideration of the bill as to provisions that fall within the Rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on Energy and Commerce. The Committee takes this action with the mutual understanding that we do not waive any jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in this or similar legislation, and that the Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as this bill or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues within our jurisdiction. I also request that you support my request to name members of the Committee on Energy and Commerce to any conference committee to consider such provisions.

Finally, I would appreciate the inclusion of this letter in the report on the bill and into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of H.R. 1837.

Sincerely,

FRANK PALLONE, JR.
Chairman.
Hon. JERROLD NADLER, Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

Dear CHAIRMAN NADLER: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. I appreciate your willingness to work cooperatively on this legislation.

I acknowledge that provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs under House Rule X, and that your Committee will forgo action on H.R. 1837 to expedite floor consideration. I further acknowledge that the inaction of your Committee on the bill does not waive any future jurisdictional claim over the matters contained in the bill that fall within your jurisdiction. I will also support the appointment of Committee on Veterans’ Affairs conferees during any House-Senate conference convened on this legislation.

Lastly, I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. Thank you again for your cooperation regarding the legislation. I look forward to continuing to work with you as the measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Committee on Foreign Affairs, Washington, DC, July 19, 2019.

Hon. ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL: This is to advise you that the Committee on the Judiciary has now had an opportunity to review the provisions in H.R. 1837, the “United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act,” that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction. I appreciate your willingness to work with us on those provisions. The Judiciary Committee has no objection to your including them in the bill for consideration on the House floor, and to expedite that consideration is willing to forgo action on H.R. 1837, subject to qualifying that we do not thereby waive any future jurisdictional claim over those provisions or their subject matters.

In the event a House-Senate conference on this or similar legislation is convened, the Judiciary Committee reserves the right to request an appropriate number of conferees to address any concerns with these or similar provisions that may arise in conference. Please place this letter into the committee report on H.R. 1837 and the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House floor to memorialize our understanding. Thank you for the cooperative spirit in which you have worked regarding this matter and others between our committees.

Sincerely,

JERROLD NADLER, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Committee on Foreign Affairs, Washington, DC, July 19, 2019.

Hon. MARK TAKANO, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN TAKANO: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act. I appreciate your willingness to work cooperatively on this legislation.

I acknowledge that provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Homeland Security conferees during any House-Senate conference convened on this legislation. Lastly, I will ensure that our exchange of letters is included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. Thank you again for your cooperation regarding the legislation. I look forward to continuing to work with you as the measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman.


Hon. ELIOT L. ENGEL, Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ENGEL: I write to you regarding H.R. 1837, the “United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act.”

H.R. 1837 contains provisions that fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Homeland Security. I recognize and appreciate your desire to bring this legislation before the House in an expeditious manner and, accordingly, I will not seek a sequential referral of the bill. However, consideration of this bill should not be construed as the Committee on Homeland Security waiving, altering, or otherwise affecting its jurisdiction over subject matters contained in the bill which fall within its Rule X jurisdiction.

Further, I request your support for the appointment of Homeland Security conferees during any House-Senate conference convened on this or similar legislation. I also ask that a copy of this letter and your responses be included in the legislative report on H.R. 1837 and in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of this bill.

I look forward to working with you as we prepare to pass this important legislation.

Sincerely,

MARK TAKANO, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Committee on Foreign Affairs, Washington, DC, July 19, 2019.

Hon. BENNIE G. THOMPSON, Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I am writing to you concerning H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act, of which I am a cosponsor.

The United States-Israel partnership is a two-way street. We work together to further our shared values and interests. We already collaborate on a wide range of civil issues, such as energy and agriculture; and our security cooperation helps keep both of our countries safe as we counter threats from a wide range of terrorist groups, as well as from Iran. But still there is much more that we can do together.

I want to thank Mr. DEUTCH and Mr. WILSON for this comprehensive, bipartisan update to the United States-Israel partnership to confront the challenges both countries face in 2019 and beyond.

H.R. 1837 expands our mutually-beneficial cooperation, identifying several new or growing areas of cooperation where we can exchange innovations and help improve the lives and livelihoods of our people in the US as well as our respective national interests.

Through this bill, the United States and Israel will be better-positioned to cooperate and collaborate in the coming years to achieve our shared goals.
cooperate on critical fields like research and development, directed energy, cybersecurity, international development and foreign assistance, treating post-traumatic stress disorder, and developing health technologies.

In terms of our security partnership with Israel, the bill authorizes U.S.-Israel military financing to Israel at $3.3 billion per year through 2024, the same levels agreed to in the 2016 U.S.-Israel memorandum. It reauthorizes United States loan guarantees and extends War Reserves Stockpile Authority for Israel.

H.R. 1837 also codifies policies to ensure that the United States can transfer precision-guided munitions and other defense articles to Israel quickly in the event of an emergency.

We all know that Israel faces threats on multiple fronts, from Iran, from Hezbollah, Hamas, and others. These adversaries aren’t going to call ahead in the event of an escalation. We need to be prepared with the appropriate authorities to ensure that if Israel is facing a protracted or multifront conflict, that the United States can help.

Mr. Speaker, I yield the remainder of my time to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Deutch), a valuable member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, author of this important bill, and chairman of the Middle East, North Africa, and International Terrorism Subcommittee.

Mr. Deutch. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Deutch), a valuable member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, author of this important bill, and chairman of the Middle East, North Africa, and International Terrorism Subcommittee.

Mr. Deutch. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Deutch), a valuable member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, author of this important bill, and chairman of the Middle East, North Africa, and International Terrorism Subcommittee.

Today, we send a clear message that bipartisan support for the U.S.-Israel relationship, for the security and civilian cooperation between our countries, and for the ties between the American and Israeli people, remains strong and unwavering.

Today, we vote to strengthen and enhance this relationship, while also providing victims of terrorism a rightful path to justice and restoring much-needed assistance which contributes to security and saves the lives of Israelis and Palestinians.

The provisions in this bill reinforce the ways in which the U.S. guarantees Israel’s right and ability to defend herself against any and all threats, in turn, contributing to our own national security interests in the region.

By codifying the 2016 10-year memorandum of understanding, we advance security and stability in a volatile region. But just as our alliance with Israel is about more than just security, this bill about more than just security as well.

Our bond with Israel is rooted in our shared values. Just like this country, Israel is a vibrant democracy where political parties from right to left vigorously debate and disagree on politics and policy.

This bill enhances nonsecurity cooperation between our two nations; cooperation that has yielded impactful and tangible results around the globe in areas like energy, and water, cybersecurity, health, and agriculture.

It is mutually beneficial, allowing us to access Israel’s knowledge and to benefit from its leadership in sectors such as desalination technology, which has helped make the desert bloom, one of the most visually-evi-
On September 14 of 2016, the U.S. and Israel signed a memorandum of understanding ensuring $33 billion of military and strategic support over a 10-year period.

It reaffirmed the importance of continuing annual U.S. military assistance to Israel, our cooperative missile defense programs, in addition to other shared economic and technology interests.

The bill before us codifies that assistance for the next 10 years, while providing us with the flexibility to increase our support should Israel be under an imminent threat of a military attack.

It strengthens Israel’s qualitative military edge and advances our collaboration on a range of issues, such as cybersecurity and space exploration, as well as authorizing $32 million for the U.S.-Israel Energy Center and, through USAID, advances our common goals of promoting agriculture, education, and trade with other countries around the world.

As our strongest and most capable ally in a turbulent region, Israel is an essential U.S. strategic partner.

Israel is also a target for hostile actors who call for her destruction. Just 2 months ago, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad and Hamas terrorist groups launched over 600 rockets and mortars at Israeli civilian targets, killing four and wounding eight. May was Israel’s deadliest month in almost 2 years.

In addition to the threat coming from these terrorist groups, Israel faces a threat of a resurgent Iran, whose militias and proxies, from Iraq to Syria to Lebanon, continue to grow in numbers, weapons, and strength.

Just recently, Chairman Deutch and I heard firsthand from Prime Minister Netanyahu of some of these complex and serious existential challenges that seek to undermine our strategic ally.

Mr. Speaker, it is now more important than ever that the United States stand with the democratic Jewish State of Israel and what she represents, which is freedom, democracy, and equality in that region. For that, I encourage my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume for the purpose of closing.

The United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act is an excellent bipartisan bill designed to further strengthen the relationship between Israel and the United States, give American victims their day in court, and restore assistance to the Palestinians.

I strongly support this bill. I urge all Members to join me in doing so. Again, this is the bipartisan legislation with the strong support that the United States and Israel have for each other.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time allotted for the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER) has expired.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER).

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 1837, the United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act.

Israel is our greatest ally in the Middle East, and we work jointly in a number of strategic areas. This bill strengthens our partnership and expands important economic, scientific, and security cooperation between the United States and Israel.

This bill also encourages the United States to designate a new coordinator of U.S.-Israel research and development and establishes a grant program on cybersecurity development. It authorizes R&D on issues, including post-traumatic stress disorder, agriculture, and the development of health technologies, as well as vital security assistance in accordance with the 2016 MOU.

It also provides an important fix that ensures a path to justice for American victims of terrorism and retains our ability to provide vital assistance that promotes security and stability for both Israelis and Palestinians.

I would like to thank my colleagues, Mr. DEUTCH and Mr. WILSON, for their leadership on this important bipartisan bill.

I urge all my colleagues to vote on this bill.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume for the purpose of closing.

The United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act is an excellent bipartisan bill designed to further strengthen the relationship between Israel and the United States, give American victims their day in court, and restore assistance to the Palestinians.

I strongly support this bill. I urge all Members to join me in doing so. Again, this is the bipartisan legislation with the strong support that the United States and Israel have for each other.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time allotted for the gentleman from California (Mr. MOORE) has expired.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume for the purpose of closing.

I want to, first of all, thank the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER), Ranking Member McCaul, and the Foreign Affairs Committee membership for their bipartisan work, and the staff, to ensure that the United States and Israel can work together to respond to these challenges.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to support this legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1837) to impose sanctions with respect to foreign support for Palestinian terrorism, and for other purposes, as amended.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1837, as amended.

The question was taken: and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PALESTINIAN INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM SUPPORT PREVENTION ACT OF 2019

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1850) to impose sanctions with respect to foreign support for Palestinian terrorism, and for other purposes, as amended.

The clerk reads the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1850

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Palestinian International Terrorism Support Prevention Act of 2019.”

SEC. 2. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It shall be the policy of the United States—

(1) to prevent Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof from accessing its international support networks; and

(2) to oppose Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof from attempting to use goods, including medicine and dual use items, to smuggle weapons and other materials to further acts of terrorism.

SEC. 3. IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO FOREIGN PERSONS AND AGENCIES AND INSTITUTIONALITIES OF FOREIGN STATES SUPPORTING PALESTINIAN INTERNATIONAL JIHAD, OR ANY AFFILIATE OR SUCCESSOR THEREOF.

(a) IDENTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for a period not to exceed 3 years, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that identifies each foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state that the President determines—

(A) knowingly assists in, sponsors, or provides significant financial or material support for, or financial or other services to or in support of, the terrorist activities of any person described in paragraph (2); or

(B) directly or indirectly, knowingly and materially engaged in a significant transaction with any person described in paragraph (2).

(2) PERSON DESCRIBED.—A person described in this paragraph is a foreign person that the President determines—

(A) is a senior member of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof;

(B) is a senior member of a foreign terrorist organization designated pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189) whose members directly or indirectly support the terrorist activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof by knowingly engaging in a significant transaction with, or providing financial or material support for, Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof, or any person described in subparagraph (A); or

(C) directly or indirectly, supports the terrorist activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof by knowingly assisting, sponsoring, or providing financial or material support for, or goods or services to or in support of, Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof, or any person described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

(b) FORM OF REPORT.—Each report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(4) EXCEPTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The President shall not be required to identify a foreign person or an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state in a report pursuant to paragraph (3) if—

(i) the foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state notifies the...
United States Government in advance that it proposes to engage in a significant transaction as described in paragraph (1)(B); and
(ii) the President determines and notifies the appropriate congressional committees in a classified form not less than 15 days prior to the foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state engaging in the significant transaction that the significant transaction is in the national interests of the United States.

(b) NON-APPLICABILITY.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to—
(i) an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state which the Secretary of State determines is not engaged in significant acts of international terrorism pursuant to section 1754(c) of the Export Reform Control Act of 2018, section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act of 2018, or any other provision of law; or
(ii) any significant transaction described in paragraph (1)(B) that involves, directly or indirectly, a foreign state described in clause (i).

(2) SANCTIONS DESCRIBED.—The sanctions referred to in clause (i) are the following:
(A) The President may direct the Export-Import Bank of the United States not to give approval to the issuance of any guarantee, insurance, extension of credit, or participation in the extension of credit in connection with the export of any goods or services to the foreign person or agency or instrumentality of a foreign state described pursuant to subsection (a).
(B) No sales of any defense articles, defense services, or design and construction services under the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.) may be made to the foreign person or agency or instrumentality of the foreign state.
(C) No licenses for export of any item on the United States Munitions List that include an agency or agency or instrumentality of the foreign state as a party to the license may be granted.
(D) No exports may be permitted to the foreign person or agency or instrumentality of the foreign state that are carried out on or after such date of enactment.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
(1) FOREIGN STATE.—The term ‘‘foreign state’’ has the meaning given such term in section 1603(a) of title 28, United States Code.
(2) AGENCY OR INSTRUMENTALITY.—The term ‘‘agency or instrumentality’’ has the meaning given such term in section 1603(b) of title 28, United States Code.
(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and apply with respect to activities and transactions described in subsection (a) that are carried out on or after such date of enactment.

SEC. 4. IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS THAT PROVIDE MATERIAL SUPPORT FOR THE TERRORIST ACTIVITIES OF HAMAS, THE PALESTINIAN ISLAMIC JIHAD, OR ANY AFFILIATE OR Successor THEREOF

(a) IDENTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that identifies the following:
(A) Each government of a foreign country—
(i) with respect to which the Secretary of State has determined and notified the appropriate congressional committees a report on the waiver described in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 203 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) (except that the requirements of section 202 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 1701) shall not apply) to the extent necessary to block and prohibit all transactions in property in which the significant transaction is in the national interests of the United States, or are or come within the possession or control of a United States person.
(ii) if the President determines has repeatedly provided direct or indirect material support for the terrorist activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof.
(B) Each government of a foreign country that—
(i) is not identified under subparagraph (A), and
(ii) the President determines engaged in a significant transaction so as to contribute knowingly and materially to the efforts by the government of a foreign country described in subparagraph (A)(i) to provide direct or indirect material support for the terrorist activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof.

(b) REQUIREMENT OF REPORT.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in a classified form but may contain a classified annex.

(c) WAIVER.—The President shall impose the following sanctions with respect to each government of a foreign country identified pursuant to subparagraph (B) of subsection (a):

(A) The United States Government shall suspend, for a period of one year, United States assistance to the government of the foreign country.
(B) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States Executive Director to each appropriate international financial institution to oppose, and vote against, for a period of one year, the extension by such institution of any loan or financial or technical assistance to the government of the foreign country.

(C) No item on the United States Munitions List (established pursuant to section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.) (as set forth in Supplement No. 1 to part 774 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, may be exported to the government of the foreign country for a period of one year.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—The President shall not be required to apply sanctions with respect
to the government of a foreign country pursuant to paragraph (1)—
(A) with respect to materials intended to be used by United States military or civilian personnel at military facilities in the country; or
(B) if the application of such sanctions would prevent the United States from meeting any of the terms of any financial agreement to which the United States is a party.

(c) Imposition of Additional Sanctions With Respect to Foreign Governments or Entities.—The President shall impose the following additional sanctions with respect to each government identified pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A):
(1) The President shall, pursuant to such regulations as the President may prescribe, prohibit any transactions in foreign exchange that are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and in which the government of the foreign country has any interest.
(2) The President shall, pursuant to such regulations as the President may prescribe, prohibit any transfers of credit or payments between one or more financial institutions or by, through, or to any financial institution, to the extent that such transfers or payments are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and involve any interest of the government of the foreign country.

(d) Waiver.—
(1) In General.—The President may waive, on a case by case basis and for a period of not more than 180 days, a requirement under paragraph (b) or (c) to impose or maintain sanctions with respect to a foreign government identified pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) if the President—
(A) determines that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States; and
(B) not less than 30 days before the waiver takes effect, submits to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the waiver and the justification for the waiver.

(2) Renewal of Waiver.—The President may, on a case by case basis, renew a waiver under paragraph (1) for additional periods of not more than 180 days if the President—
(A) determines that the renewal of the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States; and
(B) less than 30 days before the waiver expires, submits to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the renewal of the waiver and the justification for the renewal of the waiver.

(e) Rule of Construction.—The authority to impose sanctions under subsection (b) or (c) with respect to each government of a foreign country identified pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) is in addition to the authority to impose sanctions under any other provision of law with respect to governments of foreign countries that provide material support to foreign terrorist organizations designated pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).

(f) Termination.—The President may terminate any waiver imposed with respect to the government of a foreign country pursuant to subsection (b) or (c) if the President determines and notifies the appropriate congressional committees that the government of the foreign country is no longer carrying out activities or transactions for which the sanctions were imposed and has provided assurances to the appropriate congressional committees that it will not carry out the activities or transactions in the future.

(g) Effective Date.—This section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and apply with respect to activities and transactions described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) that are carried out on or after such date of enactment.

SEC. 5. EXEMPTIONS FROM SANCTIONS UNDER SUBSECTION (A) RELATING TO PROVISION OF HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE.

(a) Sanctions Under Section 3.—The following activities shall be exempt from sanctions under section 3:
(1) The conduct or facilitation of a transaction for the sale of agricultural commodities, food, medical devices to, or by, a person described in section 3(a)(2).
(2) The provision of humanitarian assistance to a person described in section 3(a)(2), including engaging in a financial transaction relating to humanitarian assistance or for humanitarian purposes or transporting goods or services that are necessary to carry out operations relating to humanitarian assistance or humanitarian purposes.

(b) Sanctions Under Section 4.—The following activities shall be exempt from sanctions under section 4:
(1) The conduct or facilitation of a transaction for the sale of agricultural commodities, food, medicine, or medical devices to, or by, a person described in section 3(a)(2).
(2) The provision of humanitarian assistance to, or for, a person described in section 3(a)(2), including engaging in a financial transaction relating to humanitarian assistance or for humanitarian purposes or transporting goods or services that are necessary to carry out operations relating to humanitarian assistance or humanitarian purposes.

SEC. 6. REPORT ON ACTIVITIES OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO DISRUPT GLOBAL FUNDRAISING, FINANCING, AND MONEY Laundering ACTIVITIES OF HAMAS, THE PALESTINIAN ISLAMIC JIHAD, OR ANY AFFILIATE OR SUCCEssOR THEREOF.

(a) Report.—
(1) In General.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes—
(A) a list of foreign countries that support Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof, or in which Hamas maintains important portions of its financial networks;
(B) with respect to each foreign country on the list required by subparagraph (A)—
(i) an assessment of whether the government of the country is taking adequate measures to disrupt those activities—
(A) an assessment of the reasons that government is not taking adequate measures to disrupt those activities; and
(B) a description of measures being taken by the Government of the country to encourage the government of the country to take adequate measures to disrupt those activities; and
(C) a list of foreign countries in which Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof, conducts significant fundraising, financing, or money laundering activities;
(D) with respect to each foreign country on the list required by subparagraph (C) an assessment of whether the government of the country is taking adequate measures to disrupt the fundraising, financing, or money laundering activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof, or in which Hamas maintains important portions of its financial networks;

(b) Briefing.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter for a period not to exceed 3 years, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the heads of other applicable Federal departments and agencies shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the disposition of the assets and activities of Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any affiliate or successor thereof related to fundraising, financing, and money laundering worldwide.

(c) Exception.—In this section, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—
(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and
(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

SEC. 7. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

(a) Rule of Construction.—The President shall not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, promulgate regulations as necessary for the implementation of this Act.

(b) Exception Relating to Importation of Goods.—In this Act, the term ‘good’ means any article, natural or man-made substance, material, supply or manufactured product, including inspection and test equipment, and excluding technical data.

(c) Termination.—This Act shall terminate beginning—
(1) 30 days after the date on which the President certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or any successor or affiliate thereof—
(a) are no longer designated as a foreign terrorist organization pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189); and
(b) are no longer subject to sanctions pursuant to—
(i) Executive Order 12897 (January 23, 1995; relating to prohibiting transactions with terrorists who threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process); and
(ii) Executive Order 13224 (September 23, 2001, relating to blocking property and interests of persons who commit, threaten to commit, or support terrorism); and
American citizens. As recently as May of this year, the group fired hundreds of rockets from Gaza into southern Israel, including at Israeli civilian areas in Tel Aviv. And Palestinian Islamic Jihad is taking credit for a number of terrorist attacks in Israel, including an attack that killed a New Jersey American student in 1995.

Yet both groups, and particularly Hamas, still get cash from abroad. These funds are used to build tunnels into Israel and launch rockets at civilian populations. It is simply disgusting.

No one benefits from terrorism, Mr. Speaker: not Israelis, who just want to live in peace and security; not Palestinians, who want a state of their own but are exploited by these terrorists. A Palestinian state will never be built on the backs of terrorism.

Israel has the right to defend itself. The United States will continue to support that. In the meantime, we must do everything we can to prevent the loss of civilian life. That starts with cutting off the money to Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad.

This bill will help do just that. It would impose new sanctions on those who support Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad, the deep pockets that are enabling these groups to wage their campaigns of violence.

Of course, we don’t want to punish innocent civilians, so this measure includes an important exception for humanitarian and medical assistance. This bill is designed to ensure that people in Gaza get what they need and that Hamas does not.

It is a good, straightforward piece of legislation. I am glad the House is considering it today, and I reserve the balance of my time.
As part of our close partnership with Israel, the United States has long sought to help Israel counter that threat. We have worked together on development of the Iron Dome aerial defense system. We continue to work together on counter-tunneling technology.

And again, now, with this bill, we will help Israel to counter Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad by denying these groups the use of funds for their terror operations.

For over a decade, Mr. Speaker, the executive branch, across multiple administrations, has sanctioned many individuals as well as a select number of foreign entities that are associated with Hamas, all under the general authority of broad executive order.

This bill will codify and standardize those sanctions in statute and require the administration to comprehensively assess whether other supporters of Hamas should be sanctioned who have thus far remained unaffected by their support of this terrorist group.

The bill also requires the President to report on whether foreign governments are supporting Hamas or the Palestinian Islamic Jihad.

Mr. Speaker, I know well that Iran is the world’s leading state sponsor of terrorism, and Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad are among Iran’s many terrorist beneficiaries. In fact, it was reported just a few days ago a senior Hamas delegation was in Tehran.

This legislation will ensure that the Iranian regime is held to account for its malign activity undermining peace and security in the Middle East. Bahrain’s Foreign Minister said, last week, were it not for Iran’s support for Hamas, there would be a better chance of achieving peace between Israel and the Palestinians.

The goal of this legislation is to cut off Iran’s support for terrorism and, thereby, make peace more probable.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GOTTHEIMER).

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 1850, the Palestinian International Terrorism Support Prevention Act, bipartisan legislation that I was proud to introduce with my good friend and Republican colleague, Congressman BRIAN MAST from Florida.

I also thank my good friend, Mr. SMITH from New Jersey, for his leadership.

I want to thank House Foreign Affairs Committee Chairman ELIOT ENGEL and Ranking Member MIKE MCCaul, who were original cosponsors of our legislation, for their support and leadership.

Mr. Speaker, Hamas is a heinous terrorist group responsible for the murders of American and Israeli citizens, which has been designated as a foreign terrorist organization by the State Department since 1997. It is well known for firing rockets and digging terror tunnels into Israel and using Gazans, including women and children, as human shields. In fact, in May, terrorist groups like Hamas and PIJ in Gaza fired more than 600 rockets at Israel in just 36 hours.

It is in the United States and our allies continue to isolate Hamas and Palestinian Islamic Jihad by cutting them off at the source, including Iran.

As the world’s leading state sponsor of terrorism, the Iranian regime provides more than $70 million annually to the terrorist group Hamas in Gaza.
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Just yesterday, Iran’s supreme leader met with the political deputy chief of Hamas and renewed Iran’s commitment to supporting this terrorist organization in its armed struggle against Israel.

Iran is also a principal funder of PIJ, helping the group amass a stockpile of more than 8,000 rockets aimed at Israel, an arsenal even larger than that of Hamas.

PIJ has also claimed credit for multiple terrorist attacks in Israel, including a gruesome suicide bus bombing in April 1995 that killed New Jersey student Alisa Flatow, a graduate of the Frisch School in my district in Paramus. And I will say forever that her memory should never be forgotten.

H.R. 1850 would require the President to submit to Congress an annual report for the next 3 years identifying foreign entities who assist Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or an affiliate or successor, and impose at least two or more crippling sanctions.

This bill would also crack down on foreign terrorist governments that support these groups, by suspending U.S. foreign aid, making it harder for them to receive loans or technical assistance, and tying up their credit.

This bipartisan bill will strengthen existing sanctions to weaken these terrorist groups that threaten our ally Israel, undermine peace, and further destabilize the Middle East.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to join me in supporting this critical legislation and in the fight against terror.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I urge Members to support the legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1¾ minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER).

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the chairman for allotting me this time, and commend my colleagues, Mr. GOTTHEIMER and Mr. MAST, for bringing this bill.

I rise in support of H.R. 1850 and applaud the House for taking action to combat terrorist activity in the Middle East.

This bill sanctions individuals and foreign governments that knowingly and materially assist Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad or an affiliate or successor entity.

These groups have been designated as foreign terrorist organizations by the Department of State since 1997. There is no disputing that they have and continue to sow instability and terror, including by indiscriminately launching countless rockets and mortars at Israel, attacks from subterranean tunnels, and even the use of human shields.

To achieve two states for two peoples living side by side in peace and security, we cannot let extremist voices control the narrative and we must always confront these terrorist activities.

But let me be clear: This bill does not target the vast majority of Palestinian people who long for peace. Rather, it solely goes after Hamas and PIJ and those who assist their terrorist activities.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume for purposes of closing.

Mr. Speaker, let me again thank our ranking member, Mr. MCCaul of Texas, and our colleagues who worked so hard to bring this good, bipartisan measure to the floor.

I want to thank Mr. MAST, for whom I have enormous respect, and I thank Mr. SMITH, who is always there fighting the good fight and always consistent in saying what he believes.

Let me just say, the actions of Hamas, of the Palestinian Islamic Jihad, are a constant danger to innocent Israelis and innocent Palestinians. They are also a terrible roadblock that stand in the way of Israelis and Palestinians ever finding peace.

These terrorist groups don’t want peace. They know that their violent tactics will never contribute to a peaceful resolution. They want to see Israel wiped off the map, plain and simple.

We need to crack down on them and we need to crack down on those who stand behind them in the shadows quietly fueling their violence that they carry out.

This bill would give us more tools to cut off the flow of resources, and that is why it is so important.

Mr. Speaker, I am happy to support this bill and I urge all Members to do the same. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1850, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the bill, H.R. 1850, as amended, was passed.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1850, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE
A message from the Senate by Ms. Lasky, one of its clerks, announced...
that the Senate has passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:
H.R. 1327. An act to extend authorization for the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001 through fiscal year 2022, and for other purposes.

CALLING ON GOVERNMENT OF CAMEROON AND ARMED GROUPS TO RESPECT THE HUMAN RIGHTS OF ALL CAMEROONIAN CITIZENS

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 358) calling on the Government of Cameroon and armed groups to respect the human rights of all Cameroonian citizens, to end all violence, and to pursue a broad-based dialogue without preconditions to resolve the conflict in the Northwest and Southwest regions.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. Res. 358

Whereas many Anglophone Cameroonians have long felt marginalized by official actions and policies of the Government of Cameroon and, more recently, by the new form of government, which was the constitutional basis under which English-speaking Southern Cameroons entered into the union, and replacing it with a unitary state dominated by the Francophone majority;

Whereas, beginning in late 2016, protests organized by teachers and students were violently repressed by the Government of Cameroon, leading to numerous deaths and imprisonments, including of journalists, teachers, lawyers, and an Anglophone judge on the country’s Supreme Court;

Whereas the conflict escalated in late September and early October 2017, when Cameroonien security forces brutally cracked down on peaceful Anglophone civilian demonstraters, resulting in dozens of deaths and leaving over 100 injured;

Whereas the U.S. Government launched a campaign to pressure school officials in the Northwest and Southwest Anglophone regions to return to class as part of a boycott against the Government of Cameroon, and reportedly began burning school buildings, threatening education officials with violence if they did not comply with a boycott, and kidnapping for ransom children and teachers who defied the boycott;

Whereas numerous human rights monitors have observed armed separatists killing traditional leaders and targeting civilians, including women, children, and the elderly, who are perceived to be supporting or working with the Government of Cameroon, and reports indicate that armed separatists have killed scores of security force personnel;

Whereas the security forces of the Government of Cameroon have attacked medical facilities and health workers in the Northwest and Southwest regions;

Whereas numerous credible reports from human rights monitors, including the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, have documented the excessive use of force by government security forces against Anglophone civilians living in the Anglophone regions, including the burning of villages, the use of live ammunition against protestors, arbitrary arrest and detention, torture, and killing of civilians, including women, children, and the elderly;

Whereas the Department of State has expressed serious concern over the manner in which the government has used force to unlawfully restrict the right to free expression, including that perceived to be protected under the Cameroonian Constitution and international law;

Whereas the government has charged journalists, social activists, and members of political opposition parties with terrorism-related crimes and prosecuted them in military tribunals;

Whereas the Government of Cameroon arrested opposition leader Maurice Kamto and roughly 150 members of the Cameroon Renaissance Movement (MRC) for spreading peaceful protests on January 26, 2019, charging them with crimes that could result in the death penalty and holding their cases at the Military tribunal, even though they are civilians;

Whereas the Government of Cameroon continued to place bans on Cameroon Renaissance Movement’s attempts to hold peaceful protests, and civil society reported that security forces interfered with MRC registration processes in Yaoundé, Douala, and Bafoussam in February 2019;

Whereas the Government of Cameroon has repeatedly restricted freedoms of expression by shutting down the internet, harassing and detaining journalists, refusing licenses to independent media, and intensifying political attacks against the independent press;

Whereas the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs stated in mid-2018 that roughly 20,000 Anglophones were internally displaced in areas affected by the Anglophone conflict;

Whereas the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reports that more than 32,000 Cameroonien refugees have registered in Nigeria;

Whereas the Government of State has expressly called on the Government of Cameroon to respect the rights, including the right to due process, of 47 Cameroonians forcibly removed in January 2018 from Nigeria to Cameroonian authorities, many of whom have reportedly submitted asylum claims in Nigeria; and

Whereas ten of the 47 Cameroonians forcibly returned from Nigeria now face charges before a military court punishable by the death penalty, while the other thirty-seven reportedly returned from Nigeria face no charge: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives
(1) strongly condemns the abuses committed in Cameroon’s Anglophone regions by the Government of Cameroon security forces and armed separatists, including extrajudicial killings and detentions, the use of force against nonviolent civilians and protestors, and violations of the freedoms of press, expression, assembly, and association;

(2) affirms that the United States continues to hold the Government of Cameroon responsible for upholding the rights of all citizens, including religious and political beliefs, or the regions in which they reside, in accordance with Cameroon’s international obligations and Cameroon’s own Constitution;

(3) urges all parties, including political opposition groups, to exercise restraint and to ensure that protests remain peaceful;

(4) urges the Government of Cameroon to
(A) initiate broad-based dialogue without preconditions and make a credible, full faith effort to work with religious and community leaders in the Anglophone region to address grievances and seek nonviolent solutions to resolve conflict and constitutional reforms that would protect minority concerns, such as recognition of regional language, culture, and history;
(B) follow through on the initiatives developed to address grievances, including the Commission of Bilingualism and Multiculturalism, the Ministry of Decentralization, and the National Commission for Disarmament, Demobilization, Reintegration, and Reconciliation (D3R), which currently offer no visible evidence of having played a constructive role in resolving the crisis;

(5) respect the fundamental rights of all Cameroonien citizens, including political activists and journalists;

(6) ensure that any security operations are conducted in accordance with international human rights standards to ensure security forces only use force under appropriate circumstances;

(7) transparently investigate all allegations of human rights abuses committed in the Anglophone regions and take the necessary measures to prevent arbitrary detention, torture, enforced disappearances, deaths in custody, and inhumane prison conditions;

(8) promptly charge or release all those detained in the context of the Anglophone crisis, including the Cameroonien forcibly returned from Nigeria, and ensure that any future detainees are treated with due process, in line with Cameroonien penal code;

(9) allow unfettered access to humanitarian and health care workers in accordance with humanitarian principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality, and independence, and release the leader of the Cameroon Renaissance Movement party who were arrested following their peaceful protests, and ensure that this party, like others, can participate unfettered in upcoming municipal, parliamentary, and regional elections;

(10) release human rights defenders, civil society activists, political prisoners, journalists, trade unionists, teachers, and any other citizens who have been arbitrarily arrested and detained without trial or charge;

(11) ensure that detainees are treated fairly and humanely, with proper judicial proceedings, including a registry of those detained by the Cameroonien security forces, and with full access to legal resources; and

(12) ensure that Cameroon’s antiterrorism legislation is used only to prosecute offenses that would be considered acts of terrorism under international legal standards, and cease to use this legislation to sanction activities that are protected by national and international guarantees of freedom of expression, peaceful assembly, and association with others; and

(13) urges the separatist groups to—
(A) engage with Cameroonian government officials as well as with the United States and religious leaders, in a broad-based dialogue without preconditions to peacefully express grievances and credibly engage in nonviolent efforts to resolve the conflict;

(B) immediately stop committing human rights abuses, including killings of civilians, use of child soldiers, torture, kidnapping, and extortion;

(C) end the school boycott immediately and cease attacks on schools, teachers, and education officials, and allow for the safe return of all students to class;

(D) end incitement to violence and hate speech on the part of the diaspora; and

(E) immediately release all illegally detained or kidnapped in the Anglophone Northwest and Southwest regions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New York (Mr. SMITH) and the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.
Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their marks and include extraneous material on H. Res. 358.

The Clerk: The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 358.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING BENEFITS INFORMATION IN SPANISH AND TAGALOG FOR VETERANS AND FAMILIES ACT

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H. R. 2943) to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make all fact sheets of the Department of Veterans Affairs in English and Spanish, as amended. The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 2943

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the “Providing Benefits Information in Spanish and Tagalog for Veterans and Families Act.”

SEC. 2. FACT SHEETS.

(a) LANGUAGES.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall make versions of all fact sheets of the Department of Veterans Affairs in English, Spanish, and Tagalog.

(b) WEBSITE.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish and maintain a publicly available website of the Department of Veterans Affairs that contains links to all fact sheets of the Veterans Benefits Administration, Veterans Health Administration, and of the National Cemetery Administration. The website shall be accessible by a clearly labelled hyperlink on the homepage of the Department.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to Congress a report describing the fact sheets described in subsection (b) and the details of the Language Access Plan of the Department of Veterans Affairs. The report shall include the following:

(1) What the Secretary determines constitutes a fact sheet of the Department for purposes of this Act.

(2) How such fact sheets are utilized and distributed other than on and through the website of the Department.

(3) How the Language Access Plan is communicated to veterans, family members of veterans, and caregivers.

(4) The roles and responsibilities of personnel assisting the coordination of care for veterans with limited English proficiency, family members of such veterans, and caregivers.
Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of Mr. CISNEROS’ bill, H.R. 2943, as amended, which would direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide all VA fact sheets in English, Spanish, and Tagalog.

One of my chief priorities as chair of the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs is to remove barriers that stand between veterans and their benefits. A language barrier should not prevent veterans from accessing the benefits they earned, and the burden should not be placed on veterans to request and wait for the VA to provide a translator.

Mandating that fact sheets be provided in Spanish and Tagalog will break down a significant barrier that stands in between Latinx and Filipino veterans and their VA benefits.

Mr. CISNEROS’ bill, H.R. 2943, as amended, mandates that all fact sheets be available in English, Spanish, and Tagalog. Our veterans answered the call to serve from places around the world, including the Philippines and Puerto Rico, where English is not the predominant language. There are communities across the U.S., including in my district, where Spanish is commonly spoken and understood. This fix is easy; it does not come at an increased cost; and it is the right thing to do.

The Department of Veterans Affairs produces fact sheets that explain many VA programs and benefits. The VA fact sheets provide key facts, such as eligibility criteria, documents needed to help support claims, and links to appropriate application forms. These fact sheets explain the process for getting a VA-guaranteed loan, applying for disability compensation, using GI Bill benefits, and obtaining burial benefits for veterans and their surviving family members.

Brochures and fact sheets explain VA healthcare benefits to veterans and caregivers. These fact sheets also inform veterans on the supporting documentation they need to help them apply for benefits so they are correctly identified as eligible or their claims are successfully adjudicated.

During the codel I led to Puerto Rico this past weekend, I met with veterans who told me they are not receiving information on VA programs, and when they do receive information, it is in English, not Spanish. The one veteran who received a brochure on the MISION Act in Spanish said it was incomplete compared to the English materials.

This disparity should not exist. Veterans, regardless of the language they speak or where they live, should be able to understand how to access their benefits easily. I ask my colleagues to help our veterans who have done so much to serve our country and join me in supporting H.R. 2943, as amended.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This bill, which is sponsored by Representative Gt. CISNEROS of California, would require the Department of Veterans Affairs to make all fact sheets available in English, Spanish, and Tagalog.

During the markup of this bill, the committee adopted an amendment that Ranking Member Roe offered to further require the VA to post all fact sheets on a clearly identifiable, easily accessible location on the VA’s website and require the VA to report to Congress on its language-access plan to assist veterans with limited English proficiency.

This would ensure that the entirety of our veteran population, as well as their families and caregivers, are able to access and comprehend important information about VA benefits easily. It would also ensure that any barriers to care that might exist for veterans with limited English skills, Madam Speaker, are identified and broken down.

I am grateful to Representative CISNEROS for introducing this bill, and I am proud to support it today.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in support of this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CISNEROS), my good friend and a member of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee who is also a veteran himself, the author of this legislation.

Mr. CISNEROS. Madam Speaker, I thank Chairman TAKANO for his leadership on this issue and for working with me to ensure this bill passed out of committee on a bipartisan basis. I also thank the gentleman from Florida for his support on this bill.

Madam Speaker, it is an honor to rise today to ask my colleagues for their support on my bill, H.R. 2943, the Providing Benefits Information in Spanish and Tagalog for Veterans and Families Act.

So many veterans have come from Puerto Rico, as well as the Philippines. The VA actually does have a hospital both in Puerto Rico and in the Philippines. This legislation would direct the VA Secretary to make all Department of Veterans Affairs fact sheets available in English, Spanish, and Tagalog.

According to the U.S. Census, as of July 1, 2017, the U.S. Hispanic population is approximately 59 million people, making up 18 percent of the Nation’s total population, making people of Hispanic origin the Nation’s largest ethnic or racial minority. The U.S. Census has also reported that Filipino Americans make up the third-largest API subgroup, with an estimated 4 million people living in the United States.

With these demographics trending toward a more racially and ethnically diverse majority, the veteran population is diversifying at similar rates. The share of veterans who are Hispanic is expected to nearly double.

During my time in service, many of my proud brothers and sisters in arms were of Filipino descent. With Spanish and Tagalog as the first language of an increasing number of veterans and their families, and our significant strategic national security footprint in Puerto Rico and the Philippines, it is important that fact sheets offered by the VA are made available to these communities.

This bill would serve as an initial step in ensuring veterans and their families with limited English proficiency have full access and information on VA services, without burden or barriers.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in support of my bill to ensure we do not overlook veterans and their families who may need these important fact sheets.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I am prepared to close, and I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, this is a very important bill. We were just overseas and met with the troops, and we had quite a few servicemembers from Puerto Rico. I would like to say that most of them spoke perfect English, but they may not. They should have access to all the information in their first language.

Madam Speaker, I urge the passage of this bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I am also prepared to close, and I yield myself the balance of my time to render my final comments.
Our veterans and their survivors deserve the best care possible. The VA benefits application process can be confusing. Congress has taken action to reduce confusion and ease the application process.

I remember when, only a few years ago, initial claims were taking more than a year. Today, initial disability claims are being completed in less than 160 days. This is, in part, due to streamlining the application process and providing more information to veterans.

As I learned during the codel to Puerto Rico, in emergencies like Hurricane Maria, veterans need to know how the VA can help them prepare for the next storm. They need to know that they can get additional supplies of medication. Veterans need to know where to go in an emergency when communications are disrupted. They also need to know how to apply for assistance and emergency benefits after a disaster like Hurricane Maria because these disasters will happen again.

The VA fact sheet on natural disasters, which tells veterans and their families which website to go to and where to call when their benefits are interrupted due to natural disasters, is only available in English. Yet, both the Philippines and Puerto Rico experience natural disasters like hurricanes, typhoons, and earthquakes.

Mandating that VA fact sheets are made available in more than one language is yet another example of ways we can eliminate barriers for our veterans, streamline the application process, and help them get to the right resources when there is an emergency.

Providing fact sheets in Tagalog and Spanish could even save lives.

Madam Speaker, I wholeheartedly support H.R. 2943, as amended, and, again, I ask my colleagues to join me in support of this bill.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. Cas- tor of Florida). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. Takano) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2943, as amended.

The question is on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2943, as amended.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HELPING EXPAND AND LAUNCH TRANSITIONAL HEALTH FOR WOMEN VETERANS ACT

Mr. Takano. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2942) to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out the Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program through at least fiscal year 2020, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be referred to as the “Helping Expand and Launch Transitional Health for Women Veterans Act” or “HEALTH Act”.

SEC. 2. ENCOURAGEMENT OF PARTICIPATION IN WOMEN’S HEALTH TRANSITION PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) DURATION.—The Secretary of Veterans Af-

fairs shall carry out the Women's Health Tran-

sition Training pilot program (in this section re-

ferred to as the “pilot program”) until at least Sep-


(b) RENewed.—Not later than September 30,

2020, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary

of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the

appropriate congressional committees a report

on the pilot program that includes the fol-

lowing:

(1) For the period since the commencement

of the pilot program—

(A) the number of women members by military

department (with respect to Department of the

Navy, separately for the Navy and Marine

Corps) who participated in the pilot program;

(B) the number of courses held under the pilot

program; and

(C) the locations at which such courses were

held, and the number of seats available and the

number of participants at each such location.

(2) With respect to the number of members

who participated in the pilot program as speci-

fied under paragraph (1) of this subsection—

(A) the number who enrolled in the health care

system established under section 1705(a) of
title 38, United States Code; and

(B) the number who attended at least one

health care appointment at a medical facility of

the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(D) Data results:

(A) satisfaction with courses held under the

pilot program;

(B) improved awareness of health care serv-

ices administered by the Secretary of Veterans

Affairs;

and

(C) any other available statistics regarding the

pilot program.

(E) A discussion of regulatory, legal, or re-

source barriers to—

(i) making the pilot program permanent to

enable access by a greater number of women

members at locations throughout the United

States;

(ii) offering the pilot program online for

women members who are unable to attend

courses held under the pilot program in person;

and

(iii) the feasibility of automatically

enrolling pilot program participants in the health

care system established under section 1705(a) of
title 38, United States Code.

(2) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “ap-

propriate congressional committees” means the

Committees on Veterans’ Affairs and the Com-

mittee on Armed Services of the House of Rep-

resentatives and the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant
to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. Takano) and the gentle-

man from Florida (Mr. Bilirakis) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

General Leave

Mr. Takano. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members

may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to

insert extraneous material on H.R. 2942, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no objection to the request of the gen-
tleman from California.

There was no objection.

Mr. Takano. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may con-

sume.

Madam Speaker, women veterans are the fastest growing demographic within the veteran population yet underutilize VA healthcare resources. This is primarily due to misperceptions about eligibility and available resources.

Many women leaving the military may not realize that they are eligible to use VA services, nor that VA offers extensive gender-specific care, such as mammography; prenatal, maternity, and infertility care; and mental healthcare that addresses complex trauma, including military sexual trauma, otherwise known as MST, and combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder, or PTSD.

The VA and U.S. Air Force Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program works to address issues commonly experienced by women veterans when accessing VA care. This successful improvement to the Transition Assistance Program, or the TAP, is jointly run by the USAF and VA. Transitioning women servicemembers can attend an additional day of TAP to learn about women’s healthcare, counseling, and social services available at the VA to ensure that they receive a warm handoff between the Department of Defense and the Veterans Administration.

Mr. Cisneros' bill would expand the Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program to more locations and authorizes the program through the end of fiscal year 2020.

This great legislation has my full support.

Madam Speaker, although women are the fastest growing cohort of veterans, women veterans are less likely to seek care and services at VA. This bill aims to address that and make the VA more accessible and responsive to women veterans.

Madam Speaker, this pilot program educates transitioning servicewomen about VA’s healthcare services. The data collected from this pilot program can be applied to the Transition Assistance Program to better meet the needs of all transitioning servicemembers.

Madam Speaker, I thank Mr. Cisneros for his hard work on this legis-

lation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Bilirakis. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may con-

sume.

Madam Speaker, I rise today in sup-

port of H.R. 2942, as amended, the Helping Expand and Launch Transitional Health, or HEALTH, for Women Veterans Act.

I thank and congratulate Represe-

tative Cisneros on this very good bill,
and I thank the chairman and the ranking member for bringing this bill forward.

Women are joining the armed services in record numbers, Madam Speaker, and are an important part of the military and veteran communities. In recognition of the brave services these women are providing our country, it is incumbent on us to ensure that they are aware of the many benefits afforded to them.

Unfortunately, far too many women veterans are unaware of the healthcare services available for women through the Department of Veterans Affairs Veterans Health Administration. That is why VA and the Air Force partnered together to create a Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program.

The pilot program provides servicewomen who are on the verge of leaving the military with information about the care they may be eligible to receive from VA, how to enroll in VA, and how to successfully transition to civilian life. It empowers women to make informed decisions about their healthcare by educating them about the benefits available.

As of June 5, there have been 50 pilot sessions, Madam Speaker, and the women who have attended those sessions have reported a 98 percent satisfaction rate.

The Helping Expand and Launch Transitional Health for Women Veterans Act would extend the Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program through fiscal year 2020 to ensure that women leaving the military continue to benefit from it.

This bill is sponsored, again, by Representative Gil Cisneros from California, and I thank the gentleman for his work. It is a very, very important bill.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CISNEROS), a veteran himself, the author of this legislation, and a member of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee.

Mr. CISNEROS. Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding, and I again want to thank the gentleman from Florida for his support on this bill as well.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank Chairman TAKANO and Ranking Member ROE of the House Veterans’ Affairs Committee for working with me to ensure my bill passed out of committee on a bipartisan basis.

Today, I rise to ask my colleagues for their support on my bill, H.R. 2942, the Helping Expand and Launch Transitional Health for Women Veterans Act, introduced with my colleague and fellow veteran, Congresswoman Chrissy Houlahan.

As a Navy veteran, one of my top priorities is ensuring that active service-members transitioning into the civilian world are connected to the VA system and provided the best education and tools needed to succeed.

Despite being the fastest growing cohort in our military community, many servicewomen face unique challenges with their VA benefits. Studies have shown that many women veterans, on average, connect with the VA nearly 3 years after military service, which can result in higher rates of physical and mental health issues. In a male-dominated VA system, it is not surprising why women veterans often report that they are uncomfortable seeking women-specific care.

My bill would require the Department of Veterans Affairs to extend an ongoing initiative with the Air Force and the Transition Assistance Program, which educates transitioning servicewomen about women’s healthcare at the VA.

Specifically, the bill would mandate an extension of the program across all military service branches and require a report on the feasibility of making it permanent. Participants of this pilot program report an increased likelihood to use VA healthcare and have shown higher rates of confidence with the VA.

It is time our women servicemembers and veterans receive the care they need, and this bill will do just that. I urge my colleagues to join me in support of my bill to ensure that, when servicewomen transition to civilian life, they are provided with the information that will help them in a commonsense way.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I have no other speakers, and I am prepared to close.

I want to say that this is an outstanding bill. I am glad we worked on this bill because we have a lot of women veterans who need this, and I want to make it permanent. So, again, let’s pass this bill as soon as possible and get it to the Senate.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I am also prepared to close.

I will say in my final remarks that I urge all of my colleagues to support our women veterans by joining me in passing this important legislation, H.R. 2942, as amended, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2942, as amended.

The question is now before the Chair. The Chair states the question as amended, and the Chair states the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2942, as amended.

The question was taken, and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.
is amended by striking ‘‘$12,756’’ and inserting ‘‘$19,733’’.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2020.

G.2. The amendments made by subsections (c) and (d) shall apply with respect to individuals who have not received the maximum amount of assistance under this title 38, United States Code, before such date.

SEC. 4. PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CERTAIN VETERANS.

Section 2102 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:
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SEC. 8. ADJUSTMENT OF LOAN FEES.

Section 3729(b)(2) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking the loan fee table and inserting the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of loan</th>
<th>Active duty veteran</th>
<th>Reservist</th>
<th>Other obligor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)(i)</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)(ii)</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)(iii)</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)(iv)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)(i)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)(ii)</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)(iii)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)(iv)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)(i)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)(ii)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)(iii)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)(iv)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)(i)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)(ii)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)(iii)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)(iv)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEC. 9. AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO ASSIST BLIND VETERANS WHO HAVE NOT LOST USE OF A LEG IN ACQUIRING SPECIALLY ADAPTED HOUSING.

Section 2101 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2)(B)(i)—

(A) in the matter preceding subclause (I)—

by striking "due to—" and inserting "due to blindness in both eyes, having central visual acuity of 20/200 or less in the better eye with the use of a standard correcting lens. For the purposes of this clause, an eye with a limitation in the widest diameter of the visual field subtends an angle no greater than 20 degrees shall be considered as having a central visual acuity of 20/200 or less."; and—

(B) by striking subclauses (I) and (II); and—

(2) in subsection (b)(2)—

(A) by striking subparagraph (A); and—

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively.

SEC. 10. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled ‘Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation’ for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) and the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BLIRIKIS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to insert extraneous material on H.R. 3504, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, the Veterans’ Affairs Committee is proud to bring to the floor H.R. 3504, as amended, the Ryan Kules Specially Adapted Housing Improvement Act of 2019, which is named after Army veteran Ryan Kules.

Madam Speaker, we are honored to have Ryan with us today.

Ryan’s vehicle was struck by an explosive device in 2005, which took the lives of Sergeant Jerry Mills and Sergeant Donald Hasse, and took Ryan’s right arm and left leg.

He was able to use the VA’s Specially Adapted Housing program to modify his house to suit his needs and the needs of his wife and children. However, the program simply didn’t provide enough assistance, leaving Ryan and his family owing more than $30,000 out of pocket for improvements.

The VA’s Specially Adapted Housing program offers grants to servicemembers and veterans with certain severe service-connected disabilities. The grants assist with building, remodeling, or purchasing an adapted home. However, portions of the program don’t reflect the needs of today’s veterans, which is why I am proud of our work on the House Veterans’ Affairs Committee to make the SAH program work for today’s veterans.

H.R. 3504, as amended, does this by prioritizing grants for seriously ill veterans, doubling the cap on the total number of grants issued to a veteran, increasing the total applications authorized, and increasing the maximum
benefit for up to 50 percent of the cost of a specially adapted home.

In addition, this legislation doesn’t stop there. H.R. 3504, as amended, includes legislation from Representative LURIA regarding expanding the SAH program to cover blind veterans. I was shocked to learn that the existing SAH program only covers blind veterans who also have lost a limb. H.R. 3504, as amended, includes Representative LURIA’s Housing Access for Blind Veterans Act.

I heard from a blind veteran in Ponce, Puerto Rico, last weekend about some of the challenges that he faces. As Puerto Rico continues to rebuild after Hurricane Maria, allowing disabled veterans, including veterans with visual impairments, to apply for Specially Adapted Housing grants can help repair and improve their homes and lives.

I thank the gentlewoman for her work and Ranking Member ROE’s support.

Also, H.R. 3504, as amended, includes Representative SABLAN’S GI Bill Access to Career Credentials Act. This legislation expands the GI Bill to cover preparatory courses for professional tests, allowing veterans to more easily obtain career credentials.

Finally, H.R. 3504, as amended, includes a modernization of the VA’s work-study program, streamlining the payment process to make work-study programs easier for veterans to participate in.

I thank Ranking Member BILIRAKIS and Chairman LEVIN for bringing this legislation to the committee, fully paid for.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 3504, as amended, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I am proud to rise today in support of my bill, H.R. 3504, as amended, the Ryan Kules Specially Adaptive Housing Improvement Act of 2019.

Our highest calling as a committee is to empower those who have been injured in defense of our country to live independent and productive lives.

The Specially Adapted Housing program, or SAH grant program, is one way we do that, and this bill makes several needed improvements to that program.

SAH grants are awarded to certain severely injured servicemembers and veterans to help them adapt their homes to increase their comfort and independence. It is a quality of life issue, Madam Speaker.

SAH grants can be used to make all kinds of home adaptations, including installing grab bars, wheelchair ramps and lifts, lowering countertops, and widening hallways and doorways.

My bill would make needed improvements to this program and provide prioritization when processing SAH grants for veterans with serious illnesses like ALS.

It would also double the number of times a veteran can use an SAH grant and increase the base amount of funding available to veterans by 15 percent.

Finally, this bill would authorize VA to provide SAH grants to veterans 10 years after they use their SAH grants to make additional home improvements as they age. So, of course, they might want to get into a bigger house because their families grow, and they should have that opportunity to do so.

This bill also includes H.R. 3604, the Housing Access for Blind Veterans Act, which was introduced last week by Representative ROE and Representative ELAINE LURIA from Virginia, the chair of the Subcommittee on Disability Assistance and Memorial Affairs, to provide additional SAH funding to veterans who are legally blind. It is so important that we do this.

I am proud to have named this bill after my friend Ryan Kules, an Army veteran, and I had the privilege of meeting him today and his wonderful family. It is a beautiful family.

On November 29, 2005, while he was serving in Iraq, Ryan’s vehicle was struck by an improvised explosive device, an IED, and Ryan sustained multiple injuries, including the loss of his leg and arm. He is a true hero, Madam Speaker.

Those injuries made him eligible for the SAH grant program following his separation from service. Many of the ideas in this bill came from Ryan’s own experiences with the SAH program.

I am grateful to Ryan for his service, for his continued advocacy, and for allowing us to use his name for this important legislation.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank the Wounded Warriors Project and the Paralyzed Veterans of America for their help with crafting this bill, as well as my friend and former Representative MIKE LEVIN from California, who is the cosponsor of this bill and who does an outstanding job in committee, where we work in a bipartisan fashion.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank the GI Bill Work Study Improvement Act. This bill was introduced by my friend, Representative ROY DAVIS of Illinois, and Ranking Member ROE of Tennessee to improve VA’s work-study program.

The idea for this bill came from the student veterans and school officials who attended a GI Bill forum that Representative SUSIE LEE of Nevada, and Ranking Member ROE of Tennessee to improve VA’s work-study program.

The idea for this bill came from the student veterans and school officials who attended a GI Bill forum that Representative SUSIE LEE of Nevada, and Ranking Member ROE was in attendance, of course. He is the ranking member of the full committee.

I commend Representative DAVIS for taking the concerns of his student veterans’ institution and acting to address them by improving the way that those payments are made to eligible GI beneficiaries. The best ideas come from the people, Madam Speaker, as you know.

This would entail changes to the current process where the student veterans are paid work-study benefits by VA to a new process where student veterans are paid directly by their school. This is a major change. This will be done by block granting work-study money to schools allowing them to administer the payments to student veterans. This will improve timeliness and accuracy of payments to student veterans.

Finally, H.R. 3504, as amended, also includes the text of my bill, H.R. 2221, the Fry Scholarship Improvement Act, which would expand eligibility for the Fry Scholarship to certain survivors of members of the National Guard and Reserve. Representative ANDY BASS worked on this bill as well, and he was a great advocate. Of course, he is an advocate for the National Guard and Reserve, Madam Speaker.

The current Fry Scholarship provides post 9/11 GI benefits to surviving spouses and dependent children of servicemembers who have died while on Active Duty.

I would like to thank the Tragedy Assistance Program for Survivors, or TAPS, for bringing this idea to our attention and again for being an original cosponsor of this bill.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important piece of legislation today.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. RODNEY DAVIS) of Illinois.

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I thank my good friend from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS), the chair, Mr. TAKANO, and also Dr. ROE, the ranking member and former chair of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee, for all the work they do for our veterans.

It was at a meeting with Dr. ROE in Springfield, Illinois, last summer that he heard from my constituents who were leaving veterans behind. They didn’t know if the student veterans were going to be able to enroll, and the colleges didn’t know when they were going to get the money. This is a fix that came directly from listening to the people who are serving our veterans at our educational institutions.

This is what bipartisanship looks like. It is an idea that comes from people who are affected, and those who are
This is not the first time Congress has expanded GI bill benefit to cover non-tuition expenses. Over the last 75 years, we have broadened the GI bill to cover college admissions test fees, admissions test preparatory courses, and the exam fees for licenses and certifications.

And, while more than 5,700 GI Bill students over the last year and a half used their license and certification exam fees reimbursement benefit, the courses designed to help them pass these tests were not reimbursable. That makes no sense. Not all students pass these exams on the first attempt. If we really want to help our veterans become licensed and certified for demanding careers, let us help them prepare to pass the necessary tests. Let us help them join the more than 25 million veterans and veteran family members who the GI Bill has helped achieve their educational and career goals.

My GI Bill Access to Career Credentials Act is supported by the Veterans of Foreign Wars, National Guard Association, Enlisted Association of the National Guard, AMVETS, National Military Family Association, Association of the U.S. Army, Military Order of the Purple Heart, Fleet Reserve Association, Reserve Officers Association, and Paralyzed Veterans of America. I ask my colleagues to support this measure, as well, and H.R. 3504 of which it is a part.

H.R. 3504 expands the VA's Specially Adapted Housing grant program to reach more veterans who need assistance and improves the Fry Scholarship program to cover more spouses and children of fallen servicemembers.

Again, I ask my colleagues to support H.R. 3504.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. Takano) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3504, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

VENEZUELA TPS ACT OF 2019

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 549) to designate Venezuela under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act to permit nationals of Venezuela to be eligible for temporary protected status under such section, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 549

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Venezuela TPS Act of 2019".

SEC. 2. DESIGNATION FOR PURPOSES OF GRANTING TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS.

(a) DESIGNATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1254a), Venezuela shall be treated as if it had been designated under subsection (b)(3) of that section, subject to the provisions of this section.

(2) PERIOD OF DESIGNATION.—The initial period of the designation referred to in paragraph (1) shall be for a 18-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) ALIENS ELIGIBLE.—As a result of the designation made under subsection (a), an alien who is a national of Venezuela is deemed to satisfy the requirements under paragraph (1) of section 244(c) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1254a(c)), subject to paragraph (3) of such section, if the alien—

(1) has been continuously physically present in the United States since the date of the enactment of this Act;

(2) is admissible as an immigrant, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (2)(A) of such section, and is not ineligible for temporary protected status under paragraph (2)(B) of such section; and

(3) registers for temporary protected status in a manner established by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

(b) CONSENT TO TRAVEL ABROAD.—In GENERAL.—The Secretary of Homeland Security shall give prior consent to travel abroad, in accordance with section 244(g)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1254a(g)), to an alien who is granted temporary protected status pursuant to the designation made under subsection (a) if the alien establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Homeland Security that emergency and extenuating circumstances beyond the control of the alien require the alien to depart for a brief, temporary trip abroad.

(c) TREATMENT UPON RETURN.—An alien returning to the United States in accordance with an authorization described in paragraph (1) shall be treated as any other returning alien provided temporary protected status under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1254a).

(d) FEES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security is authorized to charge a fee not to exceed $480 for temporary protected status under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act by a person who is only eligible for such status by reason of subsection (a).

(2) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Homeland Security shall permit aliens to apply for a waiver of any fees associated with filing an application referred to in paragraph (1).

SEC. 3. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EF- FCTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation for this Act", submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. Mucarsel-Powell) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Cline) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentle- woman from Florida.

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that...
The poverty rate in Venezuela is staggering, and the nation’s health system is near collapse. Just imagine, nearly one-third of Venezuelan physicians have fled the country, and an astounding 79 percent of hospitals are experiencing shortages in supplies to assist the country’s mounting medical needs. This dire situation is only exacerbated by widespread food and water shortages. Malnutrition is widespread, especially among children and pregnant women. Frequent nationwide blackouts contribute to the deterioration of already impoverished communities. This is one of the worst humanitarian crises that we have seen in the Western Hemisphere.

One thing is clear: we have to help our fellow Venezuelan brothers and sisters in the United States. These conditions in Venezuela warrant a designation of TPS, and through H.R. 549, Congress will take this necessary action.

I commend my colleagues, Representatives BROWN and MARCO DIAZ-BALART for introducing this bill. I thank Representatives DONNA SHALALA and DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, and Chairwoman LOFGREN of the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship and Chairman NADLER of the Judiciary Committee for their support and hard work in helping move this bill forward.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the Venezuela TPS Act of 2019, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, we stand in solidarity with the people of Venezuela, and I share many of the comments that have been made today, but I must rise in opposition to H.R. 549.

H.R. 549 statutorily designates Venezuela for inclusion in the broken program known as Temporary Protective Status. Pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act, the Secretary of DHS can designate a country for TPS if there are circumstances that would prevent the safe return of aliens to that country or if a country is temporarily unable to adequately handle the return of its nationals.

When DHS does so, nationals of the designated country who are inside the United States on the date of the designation, whether legally or illegally, may apply to stay here and receive employment authorization. DHS has estimated there are 270,000 such Venezuelan nationals currently in the United States, over 100,000 of whom are here illegally.

TPS is usually initially designated for a period of 18 months and then re-designated in 18-month increments after the Secretary reviews the conditions in the country to determine whether the conditions for the initial TPS designation continue. If the Secretary determines that the country no longer meets the conditions for the TPS designation, the Secretary is required by law to terminate the designation. There are currently over 415,000 TPS recipients from 10 different countries in the United States.

Despite the fact Congress intended TPS to be a temporary protection, over time it has become a permanent, automatically renewed status with some countries being designated for decades.

For instance, Honduras was initially designated for TPS back in 1999 due to Hurricane Mitch which struck the country in October of 1998. Somalia was initially designated in September of 1991 based on armed conflict.

The current administration applied the law under section 244 of the INA regarding mandatory termination of TPS designation if the conditions no longer exist, and terminated TPS for Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, and El Salvador. The DHS Secretary gave those populations at least 12 months to wind down and prepare for departure, but a lawsuit was filed, and activist Federal courts issued an injunction against the termination.

I oppose H.R. 549, but do not do so lightly. There is no doubt that the people of Venezuela are suffering. They are in a dire situation as a result of the socialist policies of long-time President Hugo Chavez and his successor Nicolas Maduro.

But I hold out hope for a regime change in Venezuela, and I know the administration is watching this situation closely.

If Congress is, nevertheless, inclined to statutorily designate Venezuela for TPS, we should not do so without reforming the process to ensure renewal is not a rubber stamp; otherwise, we risk being in the same position we are currently in regarding other TPS designations. No other administration will terminate it, and 25 years from now, Members of Congress will call for green cards for Venezuelans here on TPS.

Another concern is two Federal circuits, the sixth and the ninth, have held that the mere grant of TPS is an admission for purposes of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The effect of those rulings is that TPS holders who were meant to be here temporarily can now get a green card pursuant to family or employment-based petition even if they entered the country illegally. Also problematic is the fact that the fee for a TPS application is statutorily capped at $50.

Last week, the Immigration and Citizenship Subcommittee held a hearing regarding the long wait times for processing immigration benefits applications. Large volume is the biggest driver of longer processing times, but there is also not enough money to hire additional staff. Since it is a fee-funded agency, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services should be allowed to set the fee commensurate with the cost of application adjudication, which is obviously more than the mere $50.

I must point out the glaring hypocrisy of Designating a country for temporary protective status after the majority recently passed H.R. 6, which created a green card path for 417,000 aliens in the country on temporary protected status. If the majority had its way, Congress would amend the INA to remove the word “temporary” from the TPS statute and just start handing over green cards immediately.

Madam Speaker, I oppose H.R. 549. I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the concerns Mr.
CLINE has brought up, but I would like to remind him that the Immigration and Nationality Act and this bill provide the authority to terminate TPS for Venezuelans, and Venezuelans, right now, are clearly eligible.

TPS is created specifically for the situations that we are seeing. TPS was created to address situations where extraordinary and temporary conditions in a country prevent its nationals from returning safely.

Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SOTO), my colleague.

Mr. SOTO. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida.

In 2016, Olyn Itriago escaped the evil Maduro tyranny in Venezuela. She received death threats, including a failed attempt on her life. Her brother, however, was captured and tortured by the Venezuelan National Guard. The crime? Supporting the opposition party.

Olyn is now one of my constituents in Orlando, with her daughters and her husband. She is active with our local groups, advocating for freedom in Venezuela. She holds on to hope of returning home one day soon.

Another story of new constituents, Selene Vargas, was diagnosed with cancer in 2017. Due to the shortages of medicine, food, and lack of functioning quality hospitals in Venezuela, all causes by Nicolas Maduro’s destruction of the economy, she moved to Orlando to continue her cancer treatment. Selene hopes to beat cancer, return to Venezuela, and see her family again.

Like Olyn and Selene, hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans in the United States fear for their lives. They ask for our compassion until the horror ends. Our bipartisan Venezuela TPS Act would protect all eligible Venezuelan nationals from deportation. It allows them to contribute to our American economy, all while they continue to work to fix Venezuela.

The world is now witness to the ruthless oppression, starvation, and human rights abuses of Maduro’s rule. The administration has been a loud voice condemning Maduro.

Vice President MIKE PENCE said, during a visit to Florida recently, that the White House is discussing TPS. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo also mentioned conditions could be deci ded “in the near future.”

Today, the House has a chance to fulfill those promises. Senators MARCO RUFO and BOB MENENDEZ are leading this bipartisan effort in the Senate as well.

Granting Venezuelans TPS is one of the most bipartisan immigration acts each of us as a Member of Congress can take right now. I plead with Members on both sides of the aisle to take this opportunity to come together to do the right thing.

It is our moral responsibility to support these brave Venezuelans and their families who are already citizens here in the United States. This is the next step to helping the people and to restoring freedom and the rule of law in Venezuela.

Madam Speaker, I urge all my colleagues in the House to vote for the bill.

“Por una Venezuela libre.”

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART).

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Speaker, I first commend my colleague from central Florida (Mr. SOTO), for his leadership and his perseverance.

I want to also thank my colleagues from South Florida, many of whom are here today in support of this important piece of legislation.

Look, I know that there are concerns about an immigration system that is absolutely broken in this country, and it is. I know that there are concerns about every part of it. I get that. But we have to take a step back.

What we are dealing with here is a very specific, unique situation, which is why Congress today will have this opportunity to vote on it.

You know the situation. You have heard the situation about the humanitarian crisis in Venezuela. Over 3 million people have fled Venezuela because what was the wealthiest country in South America, because of the radical socialist policies of the two last dictators, now it’s the poorest, where people don’t have access to any basic issues of—whether it is healthcare or even food, medicine.

You know all that, but, you see, there is something else: The extreme repression that is taking place in Venezuela, that is the real reason people are fleeing. That is the reason that Venezuelans are fleeing. That is the reason that the heroes in Venezuela have hit the streets. That is the reason why this government, President Guaido, has come to the forefront leading these heroic people.

And this administration has recognized that fact. This administration—and I am so grateful—has applied tough sanctions against the dictatorship, has shown great solidarity with the people of Venezuela.

So I ask you, let’s not confuse this with all of the issues of immigration and the broken immigration system. Really, with what is going on in Venezuela, that is the real reason people are fleeing.

And this administration has recognized that fact. This administration—and I am so grateful—has applied tough sanctions against the dictatorship, has shown great solidarity with the people of Venezuela.

So I ask you, let’s not confuse this with all of the issues of immigration and the broken immigration system. Really, with what is going on in Venezuela, that is the real reason people are fleeing.

That is what we are dealing with. Not the horrors of complications or the messed up parts of the immigration system which has got issues, obviously. It is this very specific issue.

Again, particularly, my colleague, Mr. SOTO, I would just urge my colleagues in the House to take a step back. These are specific circumstances dealing with this dictatorship in Venezuela. The administration has shown solidarity. Congress now has the opportunity to do the same.

Madam Speaker, I ask for a “yes” vote.

TPS: MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ), my colleague.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Madam Speaker, I rise today to urge the House to pass the Venezuela TPS Act of 2019, which would grant Venezuelan nationals urgently needed temporary protective status in the United States of America.

The Maduro regime has perpetrated egregious human rights abuses, inflicting an economic and humanitarian crisis on the people of Venezuela. Venezuelans fleeing starvation, violence, and political persecution have sought refuge in the United States.

My district has the largest Venezuelan population in the U.S. So many of my south Florida neighbors know the brutality of the Maduro regime firsthand and fled here seeking safety.

There are heartwrenching stories. There are heartwrenching stories. Children kidnapped from playgrounds; family members dying of hunger, violence, and lack of medicine; individuals who were jailed for speaking out against the government; businesses confiscated.

Extending TPS will ensure Venezuelans are shielded from the imminent danger of deportation and can obtain work permits.

President Trump has called the Maduro regime a “nightmare of poverty, hunger, and death.” He said in June that he was looking “very seriously at extending TPS to Venezuela.”

But a recent letter from the USCIS stated the administration is simply “monitoring” the situation in Venezuela, something it has done for months.

There was no commitment to extend TPS to Venezuela, and in the meantime, this administration continues to deport Venezuelan nationals, sending them back to the nightmare of the Maduro regime.

This cannot wait any longer. It is past time we support the Venezuelan community and recognize the urgency of extending them TPS.

President Trump could grant TPS to Venezuelans today. He doesn’t need congressional action. So amidst his inaction, the House of Representatives will take action today to protect our neighbors and friends, because their lives are in the line.

I urge my colleagues to vote for this legislation to ensure that Venezuelans are granted this essential protection, and I say “Vive Venezuela.”

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida for her remarks and for her sharing the concerns of the president for the socialist regime of Maduro.
I would note that there are very few detained noncriminal Venezuelans with removal orders, and there is no mechanism currently in place for directly removing aliens to Venezuela.

Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SOTO), my friend.

Madam Speaker, I am a proud co-sponsor of this bill, essentially allowing Venezuelan nationals living in the United States to be eligible for temporary protected status. This status will extend travel authorization, allow lawful employment, and, ultimately, prevent their removal from the United States.

The crisis in Venezuela is dire. In April, I had the opportunity to travel to Colombia with other Members of Congress to visit a humanitarian aid center on the border with Venezuela. I saw firsthand the appalling conditions that our Latin American brothers and sisters are enduring, and believe me, it is worse than anyone can imagine.

The hunger and the need experienced in the country are unprecedented and heartbreaking. Over 90 percent of the population is living in poverty; 7 million people need humanitarian assistance; and diseases that have previously been eradicated have, unfortunately, returned.

To make matters worse, there is a shortage of 85 percent of necessary medicines to treat those diseases on the ground, while infant mortality rates have increased by 30 percent and maternal mortality rates have increased by an alarming 60 percent.

This is unacceptable, Madam Speaker.

The main obstacle at hand lies in the hands of Nicolas Maduro and his ruthless dictatorship. He is holding the people of Venezuela hostage, depriving them of basic human rights.

Additionally, the number of Venezuelans migrating to Puerto Rico has doubled since the crisis began and can be expected to continue increasing as long as Maduro remains in power.

I will continue working to move this bill so that Venezuelans can have a temporary safe haven in our country, where they can live freely.

Madam Speaker, I do understand that the House needs to do something about this, and I urge my colleagues to do the same and support this bill.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of this lifesaving bipartisan legislation to designate temporary protective status for Venezuelans.

Maduro’s evil regime has plunged Venezuela into catastrophe. The once-thriving country is in free fall, with Venezuelans now suffering from the largest economic, political, and humanitarian crisis in the entire hemisphere.

Just in 2018, nearly 30,000 Venezuelans applied for asylum in the United States, becoming the number one country of origin for asylum claimants.

Many Venezuelans have come to south Florida, where they have contributed so much to our diverse community. In my district, there are approximately 17,000 Venezuelan-born residents.

My constituents cannot safely return. A recent U.N. report detailed the shocking government abuses, including extrajudicial killings at the hands of Maduro’s death squads.

Simply stated, granting TPS for Venezuelans is the right thing to do. TPS has bipartisan support in the House and in the Senate. We now have an opportunity to take real action to support those who have fled the crisis caused by the illegitimate, inhumane Maduro regime.

Madam Speaker, I thank my Florida colleagues on both sides of the aisle, as well as our colleague from Puerto Rico (Miss GONZALEZ-COLON), for their work on this important legislation. I strongly urge a ‘yes’ vote.

Vive Venezuela.

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for her remarks against the socialist regime of Maduro as well.

Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. BROOKS).

Mr. BROOKS of Alabama. Madam Speaker, let’s be clear: Venezuela is one of the most natural-resources-rich nations on the planet, and for oil, in the top five in reserves in the world.

The problem with Venezuela is not its people, other than that they made mistakes in whom they elected. The problem is not the lack of resources, which should empower those people to be wealthy. Rather, the problem in Venezuela is the self-inflicted adoption of socialism.

Let’s be clear about the economic havoc that is now occurring in Venezuela. We have starvation. In one recent study, the average adult over a year has lost 25 pounds, and so many people were losing so much weight loss in excess of 20 pounds because they could not get the calories needed to sustain their body weight. Risks have resulted.

Inflation a few years ago was over 1,000 percent. Today, we have estimates that inflation in Venezuela is as high as 10 million percent. Of course, the currency is worthless and becoming more so.

Venezuela, as a country, has been brought to its knees by the adoption of socialism. I find it ironic that so many Americans now advocate the suppression of free enterprise and the liberty on which it is based, and the replacement of free enterprise and liberty with socialism and the dictatorial nature that is inherent in the adoption of socialist practices. Venezuela is an excellent example of why America must never go socialist.

I want to emphasize one thing: While Maduro has been in charge of Venezuela, Maduro is not the cause of the economic hardship that is now being faced in Venezuela. Rather, it is the people’s adoption of socialism. Let’s go to H.R. 549. How it relates to what I have just said.

This bill proposes a tsunami of people coming to our country who are ill-equipped to support themselves.

It’s put into the perspective of where we are as a nation. We just blew through the $22 trillion debt mark earlier this year. This year, we are looking at a roughly $800 billion deficit. A deal has been reached that will only increase our deficit by $2 trillion over the next 2 years, pushing our debt up to $22 trillion. This is money we do not have, have to borrow to get, and can’t afford to pay back.

I want to emphasize that if we pass H.R. 549? I’m proud to support this. Well, let me share some numbers with you. Sixty percent of households with a lawful immigrant in them are on welfare, living off the hard work of others. Seventy percent of illegal alien households on welfare, living off the hard work of others here in the United States of America.

Quite frankly, Madam Speaker, the United States of America can no longer afford to be the world’s orphanage for children and adults alike. We have to get our own house in order, and this legislation helps to increase that disorder by increasing our deficit and debt, making it less manageable and further risking debilitating insolvency and the bankruptcy of a great Nation.

Madam Speaker, I ask for opposing H.R. 549, and that is how I will vote.

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, just a couple of quick responses to my colleagues from Florida.

The only thing that I do agree with is, yes, we do have to put our own house in order. We have an executive in disarray at this moment.

I think that maybe the gentleman is ill-informed. The people of Venezuela did not elect the narco-regime, the authoritarian, dictatorial leader who is Nicolas Maduro. They had fraudulent elections in May 2018.

Please do not insult the people in Venezuela, who are suffering, who have no food, who have no access to medicine. We have seen the worst humanitarian crisis in this hemisphere, and it was not because Venezuelans elected him. Actually, he held fraudulent elections, and the only legitimate President is Juan Guaido.

But we are not going to see a tsunami of Venezuelans coming to this country. This bill applies only and specifically to the Venezuelans who are already residing in the United States.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DEUTCH), my colleague.
Mr. DEUTCH. Madam Speaker, I strongly support H.R. 549, the Venezuela TPS Act.

The situation in Venezuela is dire. It is outrageous, Madam Speaker, that some in this Chamber would blame the horrific situation in Venezuela on the people of Venezuela. It is the Maduro regime that is committing horrific human rights abuses.

People are being killed and tortured. The media has been censored. Opponents of the regime have been imprisoned. The economy is failing. Food is scarce. Essential medicines cannot be found.

The mass corruption and poverty have forced more than 4 million people to flee the country for their lives. I have witnessed desperate Venezuelans crossing the border into Colombia in need of food. I spoke with families who traveled hours and hours to Cucuta for one meal for their children. I saw warehouses filled with food and humanitarian assistance that Maduro refuses to allow in to help his people.

The dreadful living conditions, extreme violence, and persecution warrant extending TPS to Venezuelans living in the United States.

We must stand with the Venezuelan people, the legitimate government of President Juan Guaido, and the return of freedom and democracy. For right now, passing this bill will ensure Venezuelans in the U.S. are protected from being deported to life-threatening conditions.

Madam Speaker, this bill will save lives. I urge my colleagues to support it.

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, may I inquire how much time is remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Florida has 5 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Virginia has 6 minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MURPHY), my colleague.

Mrs. MURPHY. Madam Speaker, I support this bipartisan bill to extend temporary protected status to Venezuelans. There are over 400,000 Venezuelans living in the United States, and more than half live in Florida. About 200,000 of those families and women would receive TPS if this bill becomes law. They would work legally, pay taxes, and contribute to our economy for a period of time, without living in fear of deportation.

Venezuela is in absolute crisis, and making Venezuelans in the U.S. go home right now is immoral. In many cases, it could be a death sentence.

Requiring people who have sought refuge in America to return to a failed state violates our core values as a nation.

Let me be clear: Passing TPS is a critical step, but it seeks to treat the symptom of a disease rather than trying to cure the disease itself. The disease is the cruel, undemocratic, and incompetent regime of Nicolas Maduro.

For Venezuela to prosper and for the U.S. to protect its national security, the Maduro regime must go. America should work with its allies in the region and use all elements of our national power to support the Venezuelan patriots who are fighting to reclaim their country from the regime that has destroyed it.

Then, and only then, will Venezuela be sufficiently stable and safe so these proud Venezuelans can return to the country they love.

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As was said earlier, there are very few detained noncriminal Venezuelans with removal orders, and there is no mechanism currently in place for directly removing them to Venezuela.

Madam Speaker, we stand with the people of Venezuela in their fight against the socialist regime of Nicolas Maduro. We recognize that only through change in leadership and a change in direction will Venezuela change course and begin to rebound, in terms of adopting economic reforms and abandoning the socialist policies of the Maduro regime.

We stand with the people, and we stand ready to embrace the newly elected President, should he take control of the country.

But this bill, H.R. 549, is a bill that is simply not appropriate for the circumstances right now. This broken TPS system that we have would not be sufficient to accommodate the hundreds of thousands of Venezuelans who would seek to use it.

Suffice it to say, it is similar to helping people by throwing them a raft full of holes.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote against H.R. 549, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In response to Mr. CLINE’s concern, once again, TPS is the law of the land. It is under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. All we are asking is to place Venezuela as a country that is designated under TPS in this bill.

We are talking about people who are suffering. We cannot send them back to a humanitarian emergency.

We wrote a letter to the Trump administration, asking them to grant TPS for Venezuelans. They have the ability to do so at the administrative level right now, but they have refused. They say they are supportive of Venezuelans, but I seriously question that when they disagree with the importance of granting TPS for the thousands of Venezuelans living in this country. We cannot send them back to a brutal regime, to a regime that is actually killing its own citizens.

I would like to express my support for H.R. 549. There is, as I mentioned, widespread poverty and shortages of food and water. The government is in disarray, and we can’t wait any longer. We have to help our Venezuelan brothers and sisters in the United States, and this bill accomplishes just that.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support H.R. 549. We must do it. “Lo tenemos que hacer.” This is the moment.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 549, as amended.

The question was taken. The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being necessary. The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.
Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to pass the HAVEN Act, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3304) to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days, as amended.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2938, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVISTS DEBT RELIEF EXTENSION ACT OF 2019

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to pass the HAVEN Act, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CLAY). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. CICILLINE) may be reconvened.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to pass the HAVEN Act, and I yield back the balance of my time.
Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Under current law, National Guard members and reservists who serve on active duty are, like other active-duty servicemembers, exempt from the Bankruptcy Code’s means test. But this critical protection for National Guard members and reservists must be extended before it expires at the end of the year. H.R. 3304 was unanimously passed out of the Judiciary Committee by voice vote.

I urge my colleagues to support this bipartisan legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of the bill and I want to thank the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Cicilline) and the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. Cohen), the sponsors, for their support of this important legislation which would provide National Guard members, Armed Services reservists, and their families continued flexibility to qualify for greater debt relief in bankruptcy.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. Cohen), the sponsor of the bill.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, this is an important bill for reservists and National Guardsmen who protect our country in times of war. We are in the longest war of our Nation’s history.

The bankruptcy bill of 2005 was a bad bill that made it more difficult for people to claim bankruptcy; hurt guardsmen and reservists whose bases are oftentimes surrounded by payday lenders, and they become subject to large loans out of small salaries while in service, having to even file bankruptcy, let alone, respond to debts that they incur on behalf of the American people.
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a debtor in possession for cause, including fraud, dishonesty, incompetence, or gross mismanagement of the affairs of the debtor, either before or after the date of commencement or conversion of the case for failure to perform the obligations of the debtor under a plan confirmed under this subchapter.

(b) REINSTATEMENT.—On request of a party in interest, and after notice and a hearing, the court may reinstate the debtor in possession.

§ 1186. Property of the estate

(a) INCLUSIONS.—If a plan is confirmed under section 1129(a)(1) of this title, property of the estate includes, in addition to the property specified in section 541 of this title—

(1) all property of the kind specified in that section that the debtor acquires after the date of commencement of the case but before the case is closed, dismissed, or converted to a case under chapter 7, 12, or 13 of this title, whichever occurs first; and

(2) earnings from services performed by the debtor after the date of commencement of the case but before the case is closed, dismissed, or converted to a case under chapter 7, 12, or 13 of this title, whichever occurs first.

(b) DEBTOR REMAINING IN POSSESSION.—Except as provided in section 1185 of this title, a plan confirmed under this subchapter, or an order confirming a plan under this subchapter, the debtor shall remain in possession of all property of the estate.

§ 1187. Duties and reporting requirements of debtors

(a) FILING REQUIREMENTS.—Upon electing to be a debtor under this subchapter, the debtor shall file the documents required by subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 1116(1) of this title.

(b) OTHER APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—A debtor, in addition to the duties provided in this title and as otherwise required by law, shall comply with the requirements of section 301 and paragraphs (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) and (7) of section 1116 of this title.

(c) SEPARATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT EXEMPTION.—If the court orders under section 1111(b) of this title that section 1125 of this title applies, section 1125(c) of this title shall apply.

§ 1188. Status conference

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), not later than 80 days after the entry of the order for relief under this chapter, the court shall hold a status conference to further the expeditions and economic resolution of a case under this subchapter.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The court may extend the period of time for holding a status conference under subsection (a) if the need for an extension is attributable to circumstances for which the court should not be held accountable.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 14 days before the date of the status conference under subsection (a), the debtor shall file with the court a report of the trustee and all parties in interest a report that details the efforts the debtor has undertaken and will undertake to attain a consensual plan of reorganization.

§ 1189. Filing of the plan

(a) WHO MAY FILE A PLAN.—Only the debtor may file a plan under this subchapter.

(b) DEADLINE.—The debtor shall file a plan under this subchapter not later than 90 days after the entry for relief under this chapter, except that the court may extend the period if the need for the extension is attributable to circumstances for which the debtor should not be held accountable.

§ 1190. Contents of plan

(a) A plan filed under this subchapter—

(1) shall include—

(A) a brief history of the business operations of the debtor;

(B) a liquidation analysis; and

(C) a projection of the ability of the debtor to make payments under the proposed plan of reorganization;

(2) shall provide for the submission of all or such of the earnings or other future income of the debtor to the supervision and control of the trustee as is necessary for the execution of the plan; and

(3) notwithstanding section 505 of this title, may modify the rights of the holder of a claim secured only by a security interest in real or personal property of the debtor if the new value received in connection with the granting of the security interest was—

(A) not used primarily to acquire the real property; and

(B) used primarily in connection with the small business of the debtor.

§ 1191. Confirmation of plan

(a) The plan provides that shall confirm a plan under this subchapter only if all of the requirements of section 1129(a), other than paragraph (15) of that section, are met.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding section 506(a) of this title, if all of the applicable requirements of paragraph (9) of this section other than paragraphs (6), (10), and (15) of that section, are met with respect to a plan, the court, on request of the debtor, shall confirm the plan notwithstanding the requirements of such paragraphs if the plan does not discriminate unfairly, and is fair and equitable with respect to each class of claims or interests that is impaired under, and has not accepted, the plan.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—For purposes of this section and paragraph (9) of section 1129(a) of this title, the condition that a plan be fair and equitable with respect to each class of claims or interests includes the following requirements:

(1) With respect to a class of secured claims, the plan meets the requirements of section 1129(b)(2)(A) of this title.

(2) As of the effective date of the plan—

(A) the plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a), and (B) a liquidation analysis; and

(3) As of the effective date of the plan—

(A) the plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a), and (B) a liquidation analysis.

(4) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(5) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(6) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(7) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(8) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(9) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(10) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(11) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(12) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(13) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(14) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(15) The plan provides for the payment through the plan of all the claims or interests in the event that the plan is confirmed under section 1129(a).

(b) MODIFICATION AFTER CONFIRMATION.—If a plan has been confirmed under section 1123 of this title, the debtor may modify the plan at any time after confirmation of the plan and before substantial consummation of the plan, but may not modify the plan so that the plan as modified fails to meet the requirements of sections 1122 and 1123 of this title, with the exception of subsection (a)(8) of section 1123. After the modification is filed with the court, the plan as modified becomes the plan.

(c) CERTAIN OTHER MODIFICATIONS.—If a plan has been confirmed under section 1191(b) of this title, the debtor may modify the plan at any time within 3 years, or such longer time not to exceed 5 years, as fixed by the court, but may not modify the plan so that the plan as modified fails to meet the requirements of sections 1122 and 1123 of this title, with the exception of subsection (a)(8) of such section 1123. The plan, as modified under this subsection, becomes the plan only if circumstances warrant such modification and the court, after notice and a hearing, confirms the plan as modified under section 1129(a) or (b) of this title.

(d) HOLDERS OF A CLAIM OR INTEREST.—If a plan has been confirmed under section 1191(a) of this title, any holder of a claim or interest that has accepted or rejected the plan is deemed to have accepted or rejected, as the case may be, the plan as modified, unless, within the time fixed by the court, such holder changes the previous acceptance or rejection of the holder.

§ 1194. Payments to trustee

(a) REMUNERATION AND DISTRIBUTION BY TRUSTEE.—Payments and funds received by the trustee shall be retained by the trustee until confirmation or denial of confirmation of a plan. If a plan is confirmed, the trustee shall distribute any such payment in accordance with the plan. If a plan is not confirmed, the trustee shall return such payments to the debtor.

(1) any unpaid claim allowed under section 500(b) of this title;
“(2) any payment made for the purpose of providing adequate protection of an interest in property due to the holder of a secured claim; and 

“(3) any fee owing to the trustee. 

“(b) OTHER PLANS.—If a plan is confirmed under section 1123(b) of this title, except as otherwise provided in the plan or in the order confirming the plan, the trustee shall make payments to creditors under the plan. 

“(c) PAYMENTS PRIOR TO CONFIRMATION.—Prior to confirmation of a plan, the court, after notice and a hearing, may authorize the trustee to make payments to the holder of a secured claim for the purpose of providing adequate protection of an interest in property due to the holder of a secured claim. 

§ 1195. Transactions with professionals

“Notwithstanding section 327(a) of this title, a person is not disqualified for employment under section 327 of this title, by a debtor solely because that person holds a claim of less than $10,000 that arose prior to commencement of the case.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of subchapters at the beginning of chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: 

“SUBCHAPTER V—SMALL BUSINESS DEBTOR REORGANIZATION

“1181. Inapplicability of other sections. 

“1182. Definitions. 

“1183. Trustee. 

“1184. Rights and powers of a debtor in possession. 

“1185. Removal of debtor in possession. 

“1186. Property of the estate. 

“1187. Duties and reporting requirements of debtors. 


“1189. Filing of the plan. 

“1190. Contents of plan. 

“1191. Confirmation of plan. 

“1192. Modification of plan. 

“1193. Payments. 

“1194. Transactions with professionals. 

SEC. 3. PREFERENCES; VENUE OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS.

(a) PREFERENCES.—Section 547(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “‘super’” based on reasonable due diligence in the circumstances of the case and taking into account a party’s known or reasonably known affirmative defenses under subsection (c),” after “may.”

(b) VENUE OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS.—Section 1499(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking “$10,000” and inserting “$25,000.”

SEC. 4. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(a) TITLE 11.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended— 

(1) in section 101— 

(A) in paragraph (51C), by inserting “and has not elected that subchapter V of chapter 11 of this title shall apply” after “is a small business debtor”; 

(B) in paragraph (51D)— 

(i) in subparagraph (A)— 

(ii) by striking “or operating real property or activities incidental thereto” and inserting “single asset real estate”; and 

(II) by striking “for a case in which” and all that follows and inserting “not less than 50 percent of the commercial or business activities of the debtor; and”;

and 

(ii) in paragraph (B)— 

(I) by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; 

(II) by striking “does not include any member” and inserting the following: “does not include any member or”;

and 

(III) by adding at the end the following: 

“(II) any debtor that is a corporation subject to the reporting requirements under section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d)); or 

(iii) any debtor that is a corporation subject to the reporting requirements under section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d)); and 

(II) is an affiliate of a debtor.”; and 

(2) in section 547— 

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by inserting “subchapter V of chapter 11 and” after “cases under”; and 

(B) in subsection (d)— 

(i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “subchapter V of chapter 11 and” after “cases under”; and 

(ii) in the designated matter following paragraph (8), by inserting “subchapter V of chapter 11 and” after “cases under”; and 

(3) in section 1382(b)(6)(A), by inserting “, other than under subchapter V,” after “chapter 11 of title 11”.

SEC. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. CICILLINE) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rhode Island.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Rhode Island?

There was no objection.

Mr. CICILLINE. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

H.R. 3311, the Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019, is legislation that I introduced with the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE), to make a series of reforms to current bankruptcy law, which would streamline the financial reorganization of small businesses.

Small, locally-owned businesses are the economic lifeblood of our communities. But according to the Small Business Administration, only about 20 percent of small businesses survive after their first year.

It is essential that our bankruptcy system does not punish entrepreneurship and investment by foreclosing opportunities for small businesses to financially reorganize.

This gap in the Bankruptcy Code is primarily due to the fact that this process was designed with large, complex corporations in mind, and does not include adequate protections or safeguards for small businesses.

H.R. 3311 addresses this shortcoming by requiring the appointment of a
trustee to monitor these cases and giving cases greater flexibility to approve small business reorganization plans in a fair and equitable manner. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 3311, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I rise in support of the bill. I want to thank the gentleman from Rhode Island for his leadership on this issue.

The bankruptcy system is a critical component of our economy. It provides an important step, an important safety net for households and entrepreneurs when they need a fresh start. It also stabilizes and encourages lending, because it is a tried-and-true way for creditors to recover as much as feasible when things go wrong for borrowers.

It is particularly important that the Bankruptcy Code work for small businesses. Small business owners are the backbone of communities across our country. Their risk-taking drives the creation of new jobs in America. When they need the Bankruptcy Code’s help to reorganize their debts and keep their businesses going, the Bankruptcy Code should be there as a backstop for them.

That is why I am particularly happy that the House today considers the Small Business Reorganization Act. This important bill offers long-needed reform of Chapter 11 in the Bankruptcy Code to help small businesses, and I am proud to introduce it, along with Chairman Cicilline.

I want to thank the full committee chairman, Chairman NADLER, and Ranking Member, Mr. COLLINS, for their support for this legislation and for being original cosponsors this term.

Mr. Speaker, this bill promises to finally make Chapter 11 work for the entrepreneurs whose small businesses are critical to life in communities across this country. It is for that reason I encourage all my colleagues to support the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, in closing, I am proud to have introduced H.R. 3311, the Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019 with the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE), and I thank him for his strong leadership on this issue, as well as the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. COLLINS).

This bipartisan legislation was unanimously passed by the Judiciary Committee and will address gaps in our bankruptcy system to provide financial relief to small businesses.

This legislation is supported by the nonpartisan National Bankruptcy Conference, as well as the American Bankruptcy Institute. I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to pass H.R. 3311.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question was taken, and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.
(27) The 11th unrecognized war era involving active United States military personnel started on April 5, 1986, when the La Belle discotheque in West Berlin, Germany, was bombarded by United States military forces and wounding 79 other members of the Armed Forces, which triggered what became known as the Libyan Conflict.

(28) The Libyan Conflicts involved numerous air strikes by United States military forces and resulted in the deaths of two members of the Armed Forces who sacrificed their lives in service to the United States.

(29) The Libyan Conflict involved the 12th unrecognized war era involving active United States military personnel, known collectively as the Persian Gulf Conflicts, which lasted from July 24, 1987, through September 26, 1990.

(30) The Persian Gulf Conflicts involved United States military missions to protect Kuwaiti-owned oil tankers which represented the largest United States naval convoy operation since World War II.

(31) The Persian Gulf Conflicts resulted in numerous military operations and the deaths of not fewer than 778 combat and non-combat members of the Armed Forces who sacrificed their lives in service to the United States and 31 wounded.

(32) Since the armistice that ended the hostilities of the Korean War on July 27, 1953, nearly 100 active United States military personnel have sacrificed their lives in service to the United States and 111 wounded.

(33) Since January 1, 1947, through all of the unrecognized war eras involving active United States military personnel, not fewer than 778 combat and non-combat members of the Armed Forces have sacrificed their lives in service to the United States and not fewer than 79 have been wounded.

(34) Since January 1, 1947, the unrecognized war eras involving active United States military personnel who served on active duty during the Persian Gulf Conflicts, the Libyan Conflict, and other recognized conflicts to join The American Legion should be extended to all members of the Armed Forces who served active military duty during all of the unrecognized war eras involving active United States military personnel.

SEC. 3. ELIGIBILITY FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE AMERICAN LEGION.

Section 21703 of title 36, United States Code, is amended—

(a) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “dur-

ing any period from——” and all that follows through the end of clause (vii) and inserting the following: “during——

(i) the period from April 6, 1917, through November 11, 1918; or

(ii) any time after December 7, 1941; or

and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “or time” after “a period”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “or time” after “that period”. 

SEC. 4. NONDISCRIMINATION WITH RESPECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS FOR HOLDING A STAFF POSITION IN THE AMERICAN LEGION.

(a) in section 21704A—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “dur-

ing any period from——” and all that follows through the end of clause (vii) and inserting the following: “during——

(i) the period from April 6, 1917, through November 11, 1918; or

(ii) any time after December 7, 1941; or

and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “or time” after “a period”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “or time” after “that period”.

The American Legion has gone on to provide for American Legion membership to in- part to the American Legion for opportunities for service to the United States and not fewer than 778 combat and non-combat members of the Armed Forces to join The American Legion.

The American Legion has also been and continues to be a powerful voice for the men and women who have honorably served in our Armed Forces during the Cuban Missile Crisis, the Libyan conflict, and other recognized conflicts to join The American Legion.

I urge my House colleagues to support this legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of S. 504, the LEGION Act, and I want to thank my colleague, the gentleman from California, for his leadership on this issue. I am proud to stand here today in support of our Nation’s veterans.

One hundred years ago, Congress chartered The American Legion because it recognized, as our veterans returned home from World War I, we needed a voice for our Nation’s veterans.

The American Legion has been a vocal and active voice for our veterans and their families.

In the last century, The American Legion has grown to nearly 2 million members around the world. The group is headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana, and has 33,000 offices, including one in every State, advocating to State and Federal officials.

The American Legion now provides thousands of dollars in scholarships to help students attend college, and grants for the study, prevention, and treatment of various diseases.

They have also been at the forefront of the fight to improve mental health services for all, but particularly for our troops who struggle as they return home.

Beyond The American Legion’s rich national history, it has held a special place in my heart since I was a child—many decades. The American Legion Department of Virginia was also chartered in 1919, with 91 local posts and several thousand members. In the intervening century, it has grown to 212 posts and over 33,000 Legionnaires, and it provides a variety of services to veterans and their families.

Today’s legislation makes necessary amendments to The American Legion Act to include all existing members and open it to all future members of the Armed Forces who are honorably discharged, separated, or continue to serve. It provides future flexibility to enable The American Legion to continue to provide opportunities for our men and women. It also enables The American Legion to serve the next generation.

I would like to thank Congressman CORREA again for his partnership on this legislation and his support of The American Legion and our servicemembers and -women.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.
Mr. CORREA. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleagues for their support of this process, and, once again, I ask for their support of this legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 246) opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, as amended, on which the yes and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 398, nays 17, answered “present” 5, not voting 12, as follows:

[A list of votes follows.]

OPPOSING GLOBAL BOYCOTT, DIVESTMENT, AND SANCTIONS MOVEMENT TARGETING ISRAEL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 246) opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement targeting Israel, as amended, on which the yes and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 398, nays 17, answered “present” 5, not voting 12, as follows:

[Vote list follows.]

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DEBENE). Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed. Votes will be taken in the following order: Motions to suspend the rules and Agree to H. Res. 246; Pass H.R. 549; and Agreement to the Speaker’s approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

ACTION TAKEN ON THE SPEAKER’S PROPOSAL TO SUSPEND THE RULES AND PASS THE BILL

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 268, nays 17, as follows:

[Yeas and nays list follows.]

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—5

[Names of representatives listed.]

NOT VOTING—12

[Brief list of representatives not voting.]

[Names of representatives who did not cast a vote listed.]

Messrs. GARCIA of Illinois and CARSON of Indiana changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mses. GARCIA of Texas, BONAMICI, Messrs. ROUDA, YOUNG, HOLLINGSWORTH, PAYNE, and COX of California changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

[Names of representatives listed who changed their votes.]

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

VENEZUELA TPS ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 549) to designate Venezuela under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act to permit nationals of Venezuela to be eligible for temporary protected status under such section, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 268, nays 154, not voting 10, as follows:

[Names and votes list follows.]

[Names of representatives listed who voted.]

[Names of representatives who did not vote listed.]
Mr. WILSON of South Carolina changed his vote from "yea" to "nay." So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVISTS DEBT RELIEF EXTENSION ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3304) to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered. The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. CICILLINE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina changed his vote from "yea" to "nay." So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3304) to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered. The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. CICILLINE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina changed his vote from "yea" to "nay." So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 397, REHABILITATION FOR MULTIEMPLOYER PENSIONS ACT OF 2019; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3239, HUMANITARIAN STANDARDS FOR INDIVIDUALS AND MULTIPLE EMPLOYER PENSION PROTECTION CUSTODY ACT; PROVIDING FOR PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PERIOD FROM JULY 29, 2019, THROUGH SEPTEMBER 6, 2019; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Mrs. TORRES of California, from the Committee on Rules submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 116-178) on the resolution (H. Res. 509) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 397) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to create a Pension Rehabilitation Trust Fund, to establish a Pension Rehabilitation Administration within the Department of the Treasury to make loans to multiemployer defined benefit plans, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3239) to require U.S. Customs and Border Protection to perform an initial health screening on detainees, and for other purposes; providing for proceedings during the period from July 29, 2019, through September 6, 2019; and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REQUEST TO CONSIDER H.R. 962, BORN-ALIVE ABORTION SURVIVORS PROTECTION ACT

Ms. CHENEY, Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 962, the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded in section 956 of the House Rules and Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the request unless it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

Ms. CHENEY, Madam Speaker, I urge the Speaker and majority leader to immediately schedule this bill to protect babies born alive and to stop blocking this most basic responsibility we have.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman is not recognized for debate.

EXPRESSING CONDOLENCES TO THE FAMILIES OF BOEING 737 MAX ACCIDENT VICTIMS

(Mr. PAYNE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAYNE, Madam Speaker, I rise today to extend my sincere condolences to the families who lost loved ones in the Boeing 737 MAX tragedies. One life lost in any accident is one too many, and Congress has a duty to take steps to ensure that future tragedies do not occur.

Last week Mr. Paul Njoroge and Mr. Michael Stumo, who lost loved ones in this tragedy testified before the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure on how they believed aviation safety can be improved. I commend them for having the courage to testify before the committee under such difficult circumstances.

I personally met with Mr. Stumo and his wife, Nadia, who lost their daughter, Samya, in the Ethiopian Airlines tragedy. I cannot begin to imagine the grief that they are experiencing. As a father of three, it terrifies me to think of harm coming to my children, and I would do anything to prevent it.

Madam Speaker, I am committed to a thorough investigation of the 737 MAX airplane to ensure it is safe before airlines resume commercial flights.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker’s approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker’s approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. KING of New York, Madam Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 499.

THE LEGACY OF APOLLO

(Mr. BAIRD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to extend his remarks.)

Mr. BAIRD, Madam Speaker, today I rise to celebrate the 50th anniversary of Apollo 11 and the triumph of the human spirit. On July 16, 1969, three Americans boarded a rocket at Kennedy Space Center on Merritt Island, Florida. When they lifted off a few hours later with a flight path toward the unknowable, humanity was changed forever. Millions of men, women, and children were inspired watching the extraordinary events unfold over the next 4 days as humanity took these first steps on the Moon.

Fellow Purdue University alumnus Neil Armstrong’s words: “That is one small step for man, one giant leap for mankind” will forever define that moment in history. The successful journey to the Moon brought with it a tremendous sense of triumph and pride. Our Nation found a bold, common goal that we reached through American ingenuity and determination.

This is the spirit that carries us forward in exploration and innovation today. Right now we are at returning to the Moon within the next 5 years and eventually landing on Mars. Madam Speaker, what has been previously unheard of is now being thrust into the scope of reality.

It is our responsibility to keep taking giant leaps to challenge what is perceived as impossible, and I look forward to the next chapter of American space exploration.

RETIREES HAVE EARNED THEIR PENSIONS

(Ms. KAPTUR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. KAPTUR, Madam Speaker, this week marks a heroic milestone for millions of America’s retirees as the House
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finally considers the Butch Lewis Act. This bill moves America one step closer to restoring its broken pension promises to 1,300,000 pensioners across our Nation.

Today I met with another 50 retirees from struggling firms, candy manufacturers, miners, and others in Ohio whose futures are at risk in their golden years. Their top concern is economic security.

I heard the stresses they have endured since receiving letters threatening pension cuts of up to 70 percent of their earned pensions. Worry between family members, increased suicides amongst pensioner friends, anxiety and growing health concerns. This isn’t the retirement hardworking Americans earned.

Valorie Shapler, a retired Roadway Express truck driver, is suffering from brain cancer. She is fighting for her life daily, and why should she have to worry about the pension cuts that she earned?

Vicki Bailey, a widow of a trucker, raised their family but already struggled to survive on her spousal benefits. They raised their family but already struggled to support their three children.

I understand why they’re tired middle-class people some economic security in their retirement years. Please let our colleagues join us in broad bipartisan passage of the Butch Lewis Act tomorrow.

HONORING DR. DAKEYAN CHA DRE GRAHAM AS FLORIDA’S 2020 TEACHER OF THE YEAR

(Mr. SPANO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SPANO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Dr. Dakeyan Graham for being selected as Florida’s Teacher of the Year. He was chosen out of more than 176,000 teachers to receive Florida’s highest award for public educators.

Known affectionately by his students as Dr. Dre, he has long been driven by music. He played the saxophone in the marching band at King High School; and he went on to study music education, earning a bachelor’s degree from the University of Florida and a doctorate from the University of South Florida.

He returned to King High School as an educator where he teaches his students music in the same room where he learned to play.

He has worked at the school for more than a decade and now serves as the director of bands and instrumental studies. As part of this award, Dr. Graham will spend 1 year as an education ambassador where he will work to raise public awareness of other exceptional teachers, provide learning opportunities to educators, and recruit future teachers.

Dr. Graham has dedicated his career to serving his students, and this award shows he sets an example not only to his students but to us all.

COMMEMORATING PASTOR R. L. ROGERS

(Mr. VEASEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. VEASEY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the life of Pastor R. L. Rogers, a good friend, a man of God, a community leader, father, and husband. Pastor Rogers transitioned from labor to eternal reward this past weekend.

Pastor Rogers attended high school in south Texas before graduating from the Southwestern Theological Seminary in Fort Worth. Pastor Roger’s time in seminary started his journey in faith and helping our community that expanded over five decades.

Pastor Rogers was the founder and the only pastor for 53 years of the Harvey Avenue Baptist Church, a place of worship that is a cornerstone in the Fort Worth community. That was only the beginning of his accomplishments.

Pastor Rogers was an avid volunteer for important causes like mentoring the youth in our community and serving as a chaplain at the Tarrant County Jail. He was also a nationally known evangelist, a pastor, and Gospel preacher.

Pastor Rogers was a pillar in the community spending his 53 years teaching and encouraging the word of God. It was an honor to know Pastor Rogers and just to know the legacy that he has left behind in our community.

My heartfelt condolences to his family. We thank you for sharing Pastor Rogers with us in the Fort Worth community for so long.

RECOGNIZING ED LOMASNEY OF THE BURTCHVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT

(Mr. MITCHELL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MITCHELL. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Ed Lomasney for his 60 years of dedicated service to the Burtchville, Michigan, fire department.

Mr. Lomasney became a firefighter following in the footsteps of his father, who helped found the Burtchville Fire Department. Over the last 60 years, Ed has served as a volunteer firefighter and has been instrumental in growing the firehouse through his time, resources, and mentorship.

In addition to volunteering with the fire department, Ed worked and retired from DTE Energy. He is a devoted husband to Mary Nell, and loving father to their three children.

For six decades, Ed has been a mainstay of the department, and he will be missed by all. Although Ed may be retiring from the fire department, we all know he will remain involved in the community to make an impact on all of Burtchville.

Madam Speaker, I join the Burtchville community in thanking him for his dedication and his 60 years of service and wish him the best in his retirement.

MISS NEW JERSEY 2019

(Mr. VAN DREW asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VAN DREW. Madam Speaker, a few weeks ago, 28 young, impressive women shared their talents in the Miss New Jersey competition. The competition has been around for almost 100 years and since that time has evolved into a fantastic celebration of some of the best young individuals in south Jersey.

The Miss New Jersey competition was the first to offer a talent category, and this past year the competition focused even more on aptitude and intellect over outward appearance. This year the candidates were able to emphasize their individual styles and their individual passions, and all of the young women spoke about different social impact initiatives that mattered greatly to them.

One of my wonderful spring interns, Alyssa Rodriguez, placed in the top 11 with her platform of social media awareness. She made south Jersey so very proud. Miss Jade Glab of Belmar, New Jersey, was crowned Miss New Jersey with her platform of healthy children, strong America.

We are proud of all the contestants and the intellect and the compassion they bring to all of their communities, and I am most proud of our contestants from south Jersey.

WAYCROSS AREA COMMUNITY THEATER

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Waycross Area Community Theater for its work to support local theater while preserving historical structures in the First Congressional District of Georgia. Using local talent to provide live family friendly musicals, this community theater is truly a gem.

Since 1913, theater has played a large role in the social scene of Waycross, with the Ritz Theater hosting operas there. Noted as one of Georgia’s “finest theater” structures, the Ritz Theater transitioned over time into showing only movies and then, finally, going dormant.

Now, the Waycross Area Community Theater maintains the historic art
deco-style theater with its live productions, and their work has not gone unnoticed. Aside from the crowded audiences, the Fox Theater has awarded grants to the Community Theater over the last 2 years to help preserve the historic theater.

Thank you to all who perform shows, attend musicals, and preserve the wonderful theater culture in Waycross, Georgia.

**FEDERAL TRIO PROGRAMS**

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3800, the Educational Opportunities and Success Act, the bill introduced last week by my colleague Representative WATSON of Louisiana.

Madam Speaker, H.R. 3800 seeks to address a common, yet preventable, issue impacting students from low-income families and first-generation college students. In recent years, applicants to the Department of Education’s Upward Bound program found themselves stuck in financial limbo when their grant applications were rejected due to clerical errors, some errors as insignificant as using the wrong font.

This is unacceptable. I was proud to co-sponsor H.R. 3800, a bill that reauthorizes the TRIO programs for 5 additional years.

I am also proud to work with my colleagues across the aisle to support this commonsense legislation that eases the administrative burdens during the application process.

TRIO programs like Upward Bound are critical in ensuring disadvantaged students do not at birth get stuck in financial limbo and H.R. 3800 has my full support.

**CONSENSUS RULE SUBVERTED**

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, it has now been 6 legislative days since H.R. 553, the Military Surviving Spouses Equity Act, was denied a standalone vote.

Democrat leadership subverted their own rules and prevented a vote on this bipartisan legislation, which now has 371 cosponsors, over 86 percent of the Members of the Congress.

Under new rules in this Congress, H.R. 553 qualified for the Consensus Calendar, a provision for bills to receive a standalone vote. An article published in The Hill stated today: "The new rule keeps top House leaders from squelching any bill that has at least 290 cosponsors, or two-thirds of the House membership."

Sadly, the Democrats did just that. They targeted and removed H.R. 553 from the Consensus Calendar.

Democrat leadership should bring this bill to the floor. The time is now to give these rightly deserved benefits to the surviving spouses of service members and finally end the widow’s tax.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

**CONGRATULATIONS TO GARY JOHN ALEKNAVICH ON HIS RETIREMENT**

(Mr. SOTO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SOTO. Madam Speaker, tonight, I would like to honor Gary John Aleknavich and congratulate him on his upcoming retirement.

Gary John Aleknavich is a U.S. Navy veteran, labor leader, maintenance foreman, journeyman mechanic, and certified welder. He has received a certificate of achievement for completing courses at the Florida International University Center for Labor Research and Studies.

After serving in the U.S. Navy from 1976 to 1979, Gary was hired by Florida Power and Light, and then went on to become an apprenticeship mechanic at the Florida Port Everglades Power Plant. In 1984, he became a journeyman mechanic at the St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, and later became a mechanical certified welder and a nuclear maintenance foreman.

He has held various positions within his local union of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, including treasurer, executive board member, job steward, System Council U-4 delegate, and national convention committee delegate, and served as the Florida Electrical Worker Association’s vice president and executive board member.

Gary has been a member of IBEW since 1980 and even started the process to establish and train IBEW officers and members in the IBEW Code of Excellence training program. He has been System Council U-4, assistant business manager of IBEW System Council U-4, and was later elected to serve as business manager in 2005, where he ran unopposed for four additional 3-year terms and will retire next month.

There is no question that Gary John Aleknavich has been a leader in his community and a public servant, and one of labor’s strongest members.

Madam Speaker, for that, we thank him.

**34TH ANNUAL PARK AND RECREATION MONTH**

(Mr. WESTERMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WESTERMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate our Nation’s parks.

This July marks the 34th annual Park and Recreation Month, a celebration highlighting the incredible opportunities and benefits that park and recreation agencies provide to their communities.

I, like many Arkansans, grew up enjoying everything that the Natural State has to offer. From hiking and hunting in its forests, to swimming, fishing, and boating on its crystal-clear lakes and rivers, outdoor recreation is an essential part of life for the families of my State. Some of my favorite memories of life were made in the beautiful outdoors of Arkansas, and I am blessed to still be making similar memories today.

Our park and recreation agencies are an important part of our history and offer much to the quality of our future. Theodore Roosevelt once said: "It is an incalculable added pleasure to anyone’s sum of happiness if he or she grows to know, even slightly and imperfectly, how to read and enjoy the wonder-book of nature."

As a forester and lifelong lover of nature, the wonder-book of nature has always been fascinating to me, and I hope to motivate people to get out and enjoy the natural beauty around them.

In the words of the fictional sitcom character Leslie Knope, "America is awesome." I encourage everyone to go outside with friends and family to enjoy all the awesomeness our parks have to offer.

**CONGRATULATIONS TO ALLEN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT ON BEING NAMED THE DISTRICT OF DISTINCTION**

(Mr. TAYLOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TAYLOR. Madam Speaker, today I rise to congratulate Allen Independent School District for being named a District of Distinction by District Administration Magazine. Allen ISD serves thousands of students grades K through 12 in a rapidly growing community. In an effort to meet growing needs and community, Allen ISD launched a STEAM Center to provide a unique learning environment to help foster an interest in STEAM amongst students. The brand-new facility offers students hands-on learning experiences ranging from indoor robotics labs to outdoor discovery gardens.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues in the House of Representatives to join me today in congratulating Allen ISD, their staff, parents, and students for being named District of Distinction and commend them on their dedication to cultivating a passion in students for learning more about science, technology, engineering, art, and mathematics.
DELEGITIMIZING THE STATE OF ISRAEL AND OPPOSING THE BDS MOVEMENT

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Madam Speaker, tonight we voted on a resolution opposing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel and oppose the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions, BDS, Movement targeting Israel.

I am a cosponsor of this resolution, but the House should also be voting on legislation that supports these efforts to undermine one of our strongest allies, like the Senate did when they passed a bill to authorize State or local governments to divest assets from entities deploying BDS against Israel.

But, unfortunately, Democrat leadership refuses to let us vote on this bill in the House for fear of fractures within their own party. Just last week, one of their Members actually introduced legislation that supports this hate-fueled BDS movement, even drawing disgraceful comparisons between boycotting Israel now and boycotting Nazi Germany in the 1930s and 1940s.

Let’s not forget that the United States and Israel have a long history of working together to achieve stability in the Middle East, the inventions they work on together, and they remain one of our strongest and most loyal allies today.

Our actions in this Chamber need to unequivocally support that relationship and the lone beacon of freely elected government that is Israel in the Middle East.

As Golda Meir put it:

We will only have peace when they love their children more than they hate us.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. WEXTON). The Chair would inform the House that, pursuant to H. Res. 497, the Speaker has certified to the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia the refusal of William P. Barr and Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., to produce documents to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

MAKE IT IN AMERICA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2019, the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI) is recognized for 6 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Madam Speaker, given all of the talk that is going on and the investigations and questions about deficits and the like, I thought it would be useful today to start this discussion, which I will spend most of the evening talking about American manufacturing, but I often want to start these discussions with some sense of value: What is our goal? What are we trying to accomplish here?

I keep going back to FDR. At the height of the Great Depression, he said: “The test of our progress is not whether we add more to the abundance of those who have much; it is whether we provide enough for those who have too little.”

And so, last week, the House of Representatives—the Democrats, that is, and maybe just a few Republicans—voted to increase the minimum wage across this country. Over the next 5 years, the minimum wage would rise from, I guess, just over $7 an hour to $15 dollars an hour—not a jump immediately, but over time increase it.

Why do we do that? Well, we are for the people.

That is our goal: for the people; and keeping in mind what FDR said: It is not about whether we add more to those who have much, but, rather, what we do for those who have little.

And so, first, is the minimum wage. Why? Because those people who are making $7 an hour across this Nation, they have very, very little, in fact, so little that they cannot have both food and shelter.

And, of course, we talk about healthcare and our goal to expand healthcare to every American so they have insurance, so that the worrying about how they would be paying for their hospital visit or their doctor is set aside and they are able to get the care that they need to lead a healthy and productive life.

That is our goal. We are for the people, and we are going to address this in so many, many ways.

One of the ways that we want to address it is to make sure that America remains a strong manufacturing country.

Many, many years ago in California, I was looking at how to keep the California economy going, and we hit upon the five keys for a successful economy:

First of all, a great education system so that your workers are well educated and can handle the questions of the day and the tasks of tomorrow;

Secondly, that there be strong research, and, from that research, you build tomorrow’s things. Sometimes that is an app. Sometimes it is a computer. Sometimes it is a ship or perhaps a car, an autonomous vehicle, a drone, whatever, so that your research then moves on into things that you make, and, that is, the manufacturing. That is the creation of wealth.

Some time ago, I was visiting one of the wineries in my district in California, and I was talking about this Make It In America Agenda in manufacturing. And, finally, the owner got up from behind the desk, and he said: Come, I want to take to that. We walked outside and out to his winery, and he said: You know what this is? I said: Yeah. It is a winery.

He said: No. This is a manufacturing facility. I take grapes, and I turn them into some of the finest wine in the world. So, when you talk about Make It In America, guess what. I am making it in America. That is the creation of wealth.

And it includes all of these things, putting a tomato into a can, into a bottle of ketchup. But what we are going to talk about tonight is something far more than that.

I want to really not so much talk about these gentlemen and ladies, but to use them as an example of what America used to make. These gentlemen, three of them, are World War II merchant mariners.

This is an effort we have now under way to provide these mariners, who had the highest death rate of any unit in the armed services during World War II, a Congressional Gold Medal. We now have nearly 300 Members of this House who are signed on to that so that they will get a Congressional Gold Medal.

And this is not about a mere gold medal; it is about what they were able to do.

America, during the World War II period, was the manufacturing center of the world. And we made ships—literally, thousands and thousands of ships—that these gentlemen and so many like them sailed the oceans, provided the material, the personnel to fight that war.

When we met and took this picture, they asked me: Why is it that America doesn’t build ships anymore?

I said: Oh, but we build naval ships; we build aircraft carriers; we build destroyers; we build many other kinds of naval ships.

They said: No, No. That is not what we are talking about. We are talking about the ships that sail the high seas. Why doesn’t America make those ships?

And I said: We can. We can if we write the laws in the proper way to encourage the shipbuilding industry and, just as important, the cargo to go on those ships.

Now, it happens that America is in the midst of a great energy revolution—the green energy, no doubt about it. We are talking about every kind of green energy, from wind to solar, biofuel and biomass, and on and on. And we are doing that.

But, simultaneously, America, over the last decade, has become a major developer and supplier of petroleum products: oil, as a result of fracking in the Bakken area and Texas, California, onshore, offshore. We are a major oil producer.

And simultaneously, we are also a major producer of natural gas. All of these energy supplies, whether they are the green energy or the petroleum energy, are a strategic national asset.

And, as these gentlemen told me: Our ships, during World War II, were a strategic national asset. We had oil tankers, we had cargo vessels, all of them built in America and with American mariners.
We, the mariners, were a strategic asset. And a lot of us died. Our ships were a strategic asset, and the oil that we sent around the world was also a strategic asset.

So, where are we today? Are we making ships? No. We are not. But we could be.

So, this last week, Senator ROGER WICKER—my colleague in the Senate, a Republican from the Gulf Coast—and I introduced, for the second Congress, the Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act, taking a strategic national asset, our petroleum and natural gas, and welding it together with the shipbuilding industry, which gives us the strategic ships that we need to move our military around the world and to provide the energy that they need.

So, the Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act is now introduced in the Senate, for the second session, last year and again this time around with the new session of Congress.

What we will do is to address this problem: We could buy ships that are made in China, Japan, and Korea, or we can make them in America. If we make the ships in America, we will provide thousands of jobs, not only in the shipyards and the steel industry and the aluminum industry, but also the maritime suppliers, the men, the factories here in the United States that build the pumps, build the engines—the electrical engines, the big diesel engines—that are in these ships or the LNG engines that are in these ships, and all of the electronics.

That entire array of equipment that goes into a ship could be built in America if the Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act were to become law.

So, how does it work? Pretty simple. It simply requires that our strategic national asset, the petroleum and the natural gas, be exported on American-built ships with American mariners—not all of it, just a small percentage of it. 15 percent of the oil and 10 percent of the natural gas, which will be liquified natural gas on American-built ships.

What does that mean? That means that American shipyards that are now producing zero commercial oil tankers and zero LNG carriers would, over the next 13 to 15 years, build upwards of 40 ships: 25 to 30 LNG tankers and 10 to 15 oil tankers.

Thousands of jobs would be created in American shipyards, and that strategic national asset, the shipyards themselves, would be able to continue to operate here in the United States. They would continue to be able to have the skills, the big vessels, and simultaneously, be better prepared to compete for the U.S. naval ships, giving the American taxpayer a strategic advantage, more competition in the shipyards, more competition when it comes time to build the bridges.

There is another aspect of this that I want to bring to your attention. Beyond the shipbuilding and the Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act, there is the rest of manufacturing here in the United States.

About 8 years ago, when I first came to Congress, we were looking at this issue based upon my time in California, that we decided to encourage the manufacturing here in the United States.

One of the ways we can do this—and we are not going to go into the President’s tariffs right now, but we are going to go at it in a little different way. Here is just an example of about what happened almost a decade ago.

In California, it was time to build the new San Francisco Bay Bridge. The bid went out to bid on this thing for the steel in the bridge.

At that time, a Chinese company decided that they wanted to enter the market. Very specialized steel in this bridge in the San Francisco area, so they wanted to enter the market, and they produced a bid that was 10 percent lower than an American steel company.

China got the bid. What did they get? Not only did they get the job; they got a new steel mill, one of the most advanced in the world, and they also had some over 3,000 jobs in China.

At the very same time, New York was building the Tappan Zee Bridge. They said no, we are only going to buy American steel, and all of they did, total cost, $3.9 billion.

In California, total cost, $3.9 billion over the estimated cost. Why? Because the Chinese steel had problems, the welds and other problems with the steel.

Not in New York. They came in on the bid, and there were 7,700 American jobs in the steel industry and in the manufacturing and engineering—just the example today, but certainly current nearly a decade ago.

But this is what happens when our laws or our governments decide that we are going to make it in America, we are going to produce the steel, we are going to build the bridges here in the United States.

So, building on this idea, we have now introduced in both the Senate and the House another Make It In America piece of legislation is authored in the Senate by Senator TAMMY BALDWIN and here in the House by me.

It basically says that all of this talk about infrastructure, which is critical, that that infrastructure—if it is an American taxpayer dollar that is being used to build that infrastructure—whether that is a power line or a highway or a sanitation system or a water system or an airport—that these are Federal dollars involved, that we make it in America.

It simply applies to all types of infrastructure. When American taxpayer dollars are being used, that is infrastructure—the steel, the pipe, the electronics, the other elements that are in that infrastructure—that they be made in America.

So it is part of our Make It In America agenda that we have been working on this year, and we are going to apply it wherever we see an opportunity. If it is in the steel industry for bridges and infrastructure, you bet. You bet, we are going to make sure that it is made in America.

Many of these laws already exist. A couple of years ago, we were able to raise the percentage of American content by a couple of percentage points to about, if I recall, about 65 percent on certain infrastructure projects. But we want to extend that beyond.

And why not go the whole way? Let’s make it all in America. If it is a taxpayer dollar, 100 percent American made. That is our goal. So our Make It in America agenda goes forward from here.

I am going to end with putting this one back up again because this has an opportunity to be a very, very important part. The steel in the ships, the pumps, the pipes, the electronics, the drive shaft, the drive engines—all of those things—can be made in America if we have a national policy that simply says the export of a strategic national asset, oil and gas, that that be on American-built ships. Not all of it, 10 percent, 15 percent, 40 ships out of 50 ships over the next 13 to 15 years, when the Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act becomes law.

We are looking for support. We have broad support right now, both Republican and Democratic, with Senator WICKER from Mississippi and Senator CASEY from Pennsylvania. On this side, about 30 of my colleagues, Democratic and Republican, have signed up in support of this legislation.

It has great potential. It has great potential, but not so much for these mariners. They are all in their nineties right now. Hopefully, we will be able to get them a Congressional Gold Medal.

For tomorrow’s mariners, for those men and women who will be on ships that will supply the necessary material, oil, gas, or whatever for our military around the world, and it will participate in the annual commerce of goods and services that are being transported in and out of America, that next generation of mariners will have the ships, jobs, and cargo.

For the People, once again, we are constantly looking for different laws, different ways in which we can advance the well-being of the American public. If it is healthcare, we are looking to lower costs. If it is education, we want to make sure that the cost of college education is affordable. If it has to do with manufacturing, why not have the opportunity to be a very, very important part of our Energizing the American Shipbuilding Act, taking a strategic national asset, our petroleum and natural gas, and welding it together with the shipbuilding industry, which gives us the strategic ships that we need to move our military around the world and to provide the energy that they need.
small percentage of the export of a precious national resource be on American-built ships with American sailors. I want all of us to keep in mind that there are things that public policy can do to improve the well-being of every American. Our For the People policy includes all of these elements, and we draw your attention to that.

I am looking to my colleagues for continued support on these two pieces of legislation that we will be working on in this session.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

SUPPORT INCREASED DOMESTIC ENERGY PRODUCTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2019, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. DUNCAN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, we are here tonight, as the House Energy Action Team, to discuss the numerous economic, national security, and environmental benefits of the American energy renaissance.

The HEAT team will never be supportive of policies that increase electricity prices for consumers, favor foreign production over domestic, and deter the development and construction of energy infrastructure.

Due to policies that incentivize private investment and production, the United States has become the global leader in natural gas and oil producing, as well as refining. This has given us the ability to export energy to our friends, allies, and countries that want to import U.S. energy.

Energy Secretary Rick Perry recently said, "The United States is not just exporting energy. We are exporting freedom." I couldn't agree more.

There is no national security without energy security. We understand that in the Energy Action Team.

Looking at this graph, in 2018, U.S. crude oil production exceeded 11 million barrels per day, surpassing Russia as the world's largest crude oil producer. The U.S. produced 12.16 million barrels per day of crude in April 2019.

I was just out in North Dakota, in the Bakken. I am amazed at the production going on in that little corner of the world. I say "little," but the Bakken is huge. It is a tremendous resource for the nation.

In fact, we are producing more oil and natural gas in the Bakken in North Dakota and Montana than they are in the country of Venezuela, which is known for its natural resources, known for its abundant production. They are producing more in the Bakken.

Robust domestic energy production is essential to global leadership in the United States. According to the U.S. Energy Information Institute, natural gas and oil supplied about two-thirds of American energy used in 2016.

Oil and gas will continue to be a prominent source of energy. The Energy Information Institute estimates that fossil fuels will account for nearly 70 percent of the country's energy used by 2050.

The goal should be to produce, develop, or make fossil fuels available at the lowest cost, not regulation. That should be the goal, private sector innovation, not the heavy boot of government telling the innovators what they should or should not do. The innovators are actually making things cleaner. We are producing a lot, and we are exporting a lot.

One thing I applaud President Trump for doing is challenging Chancellor Merkel and Germany to lessen their dependence on a foreign source of energy. In this case, not the Arab states, Saudi Arabia, or others, but lessen their dependence on Russia. A lot of Europe, Eastern Europe and Western Europe, get their energy from Russia, Gazprom and Rosneft, which support Vladimir Putin.

By lessening Europe's dependence on Russia for their energy, Russia is no longer an influencer. It can't turn the spigot on and off to influence political policy in Europe. It can't impose sanctions to meet its energy needs. It can do that looking west to the United States through our export of LNG, liquefied natural gas put on ships, sent to Europe, and off-loaded to provide the natural gas and energy security. There are long-term relations overseas to lessen their dependence on Russia.

Exports of U.S. LNG are set to rise 72 percent this year, as compared to 2018. Russia is just a gas station masquerading as a country, but they are providing that natural gas to Europe. They use their levers of influence, turning that spigot on and off to affect policy not only in Eastern Europe but in Western Europe. Those policies and those pipelines are going to be built to provide that natural gas.

We need to provide that from this country. We have an abundance. We have an abundance of oil, too. We are now an exporter of oil.

If we look at what the U.S. energy sector has been able to do during this American energy renaissance, it will show that we are a leader in energy production and energy technology. We can help other countries around the globe to meet their energy needs with our technology as well.

Madam Speaker, we have a great group of House Energy Action Team members who want to talk about what is going on, maybe in their States, maybe things they know about in this Nation. I know Rick Allen wants to talk about nuclear power and what is going on in Georgia. I know Bruce Westerman wants to talk about what is going on in Arkansas. We have so many other great colleagues.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN) to talk about what is going on in his part of the world.

Mr. WESTERMAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman, my friend from South Carolina (Mr. DUNCAN), for yielding.

Madam Speaker, I want us to take a moment to reflect tonight, reflect on where our country is going. I think about my grandparents who grew up in a home that didn't even have electricity, didn't have running water. Even my parents were young when they got electricity in their home.

Madam Speaker, it was just 150 years ago when the main source of energy in this country was wood fuel. We have come a long way in this country. We have seen a better way of life. We have seen nicer things because of the technology and innovation that we have had in this country.

Our energy policy should be the same energy policy that got us to where we are today because we have a bright future ahead. That energy policy is simply to provide the cleanest energy possible for the lowest cost possible.

We shouldn't discriminate against energy sources. Energy is energy. It is carbon atoms. It is hydrogen. It is the energy that we have that we convert to liquid or electricity. Just because one energy is viewed as dirtier than another energy doesn't mean that, someday, that energy can't be clean energy.

If we look at recent developments, it wasn't that long ago that natural gas was an expensive form of energy. It wasn't in abundant supply. Through technology, we have been able to release vast amounts of natural gas across our country.

As a matter of fact, we are seeing a lot of coal plants converted to natural gas, not because of regulatory requirements but because of the economic benefits of burning natural gas, clean natural gas. We know the control technologies to get very high combustion rates and also the ability to capture the NOx, or nitrous oxides, that are released from burning natural gas.

It wasn't that long ago that we didn't think we had enough natural gas. Because of great technology, we can experience an environment here in the United States where our carbon emissions are actually dropping.

We shouldn't punish one energy source over another energy source. We should strive to provide technology to make energy as low-cost and as clean as possible.

We can do this, whether it is renewables, solar, wind, biomass. All of those are valid sources of energy that we can, hopefully, learn how to capture, to distribute in a manner that people can enjoy all across the country in a way that gives consumers reliable supplies at a low cost.

With this, we will see our economy continuing to grow. With this, we will see life improve. It is really not something that should be partisan or that we should argue about, simply to provide energy at a low cost.
Let’s look at transportation fuel. Some are in favor of doing away with all fossil fuels in transportation. What would that do to our environment?

If we look at global emissions across the world, the United States is responsible for 15 percent of carbon emissions throughout the world. If we look at that a little bit closer and break it down on transportation fuels, transportation fuels account for 27 percent of carbon emissions in the United States. Twenty-seven percent of 15 percent is only about 4 percent.

If we did away with all gasoline, all diesel fuels, got rid of all combustion engines, if we did away with jet fuel, with ships, if we took fossil fuels out of every form of transportation in the United States, it would wreak havoc on our economy. It would wreak havoc on our way of life. But it would reduce global carbon emissions only by around 4 percent.

There is a better, smarter way to do that. Let’s take the abundant energy that we have. Let’s apply our wonderful research facilities, the great minds and innovators that we have in America. We can figure out how to use all of our energy sources in a low-cost, clean way. We can all continue to experience a brighter future ahead.

I thank my colleague for hosting this time tonight where we can, hopefully, get some of the facts and common sense about energy out on the table.

Just remember, as low-cost and as clean as possible, that is a winning formula for American energy.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN). He was out in North Dakota with me. One thing we saw with natural gas and oil being produced out there, and the understanding of a need for infrastructure in this country, gas utilities the United States added over 730,000 miles of pipeline to serve almost 230 million more customers.

At methane emissions have fallen 70 percent, 75 percent, and CO₂ emissions from U.S. power systems are at their lowest level since 1985. Pipelines are the safest way to transport natural gas, but some parts of the country refuse to accept this reality.

For example, New England has moratoriums on natural gas extraction, and the inability to construct a pipeline caused an increase in electricity prices. These policies are just asinine and need to change.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. KEVIN HERN), a freshman Member of Congress and a leader on the House Energy Action Team. We will hear about what is going on in his great State of Oklahoma.

Mr. KEVIN HERN of Oklahoma. Madam Speaker, I would like to thank my colleague for yielding me a few minutes here to talk about the energy dominance in our country, and tonight we are celebrating American excellence and innovation in a field absolutely essential to the future of our country: energy.

There is a lot to be debated and argued on, but the crux of the matter is that energy independence—better yet, energy dominance—is the only pathway to a stable, fruitful, successful American economy.

We use energy every day. We power our homes, our offices, our cars, our phones, and. All of this uses energy in a different way. Since energy is such a pervasive need in our society, it should be a top priority in Congress.

Completely cutting our energy sources like clean coal, which we have relied on for centuries, is simply not the answer. Making drastic, astronomical changes to our economy and way of life are simply not feasible, let alone rational.

My district is home to the oil and gas sector. One in five jobs in Oklahoma are supported by the oil and natural gas industry. Every new direct oil and gas job supports more than two additional jobs, as well. The average Oklahomans oil and natural gas worker makes more than $94,000 per year.

Not only is Oklahoma’s energy sector a major job creator and economic stimulator, but it is also a nationwide leader in oil production and innovation in the industry.

In 2017, Oklahoma was the Nation’s sixth largest crude oil producing State. As of last year, we had five operable petroleum refineries with a combined daily processing capacity of over half a million barrels per day, accounting for almost 3 percent of the U.S. total. More than a dozen of the country’s 100 largest gas fields are located in Oklahoma.

These are things to be celebrated, not criticized. If you were to listen to some of our colleagues across the aisle, you would think oil and gas are the enemy. That is not the case at all. Oil and gas are the foundation to build on.

Renewable energy like wind and solar are great, and I agree that we need to continue investing in them and researching how to improve them, but they are not a replacement for oil and gas. The future of energy in our country is dependent on an all-of-the-above approach. All of these energy sources can and should work together to make America successful and energy dominant on the world stage.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on HEAT this year to find out energy solutions that play to our country’s strengths as well as incorporate the innovation that new technologies provide.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I tell you, in Oklahoma, they have energy. I think one of the first wells ever drilled in the United States of America was over in Oklahoma. And another place they know a lot about energy is down on the Gulf Coast in my adopted State of Louisiana.

The gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. GRAVES) knows energy, and they have got a lot going on in The Pelican State. I yield to the gentleman from Louisiana.

Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from South Carolina for hosting this event tonight.

Madam Speaker, this is really important because it impacts every single American. Energy is one of those pervasive issues that, if you drive a car, if you ride in a taxi, if you take public transit. If you have a house or you have an apartment, you have to pay the energy bill. It affects every single one of us.

Madam Speaker, we have options before us. We can choose to go down this path of ignoring the energy abundance that the United States has; we can pivot in this direction of blindly seeking these lofty goals or ambitions without any technological basis, without any basis in infrastructure or reality; or we can move in a direction where we can produce American energy and we can produce it safely and we can produce it affordably.

Madam Speaker, let’s go down the paths of what these options look like.

If you look back in 2011, Madam Speaker, one half of the Nation’s trade deficit, one half of it was attributable to us importing energy from other countries—one half. That means that we are sending hundreds of billions of dollars, hundreds of thousands of jobs, we are sending them to countries like China, they are empowering them in countries like Iran, in countries like Venezuela and other Middle Eastern and African nations in many cases, Madam Speaker, that don’t share our values. They are taking those dollars and coming back and directly challenging American interests around the globe.

This doesn’t make sense. You don’t arm those who wish harm upon you. But that is what our energy policy was based on in 2011. Again, one half of this Nation’s trade deficit attributable to us importing energy.

Now, more recently, Madam Speaker, you have seen folks who have come in and said: Hey, we want to migrate to no fossil fuels whatsoever.

Think about it. If you were running a business and if your greatest asset was this abundance of American natural gas, of oil, of coal, think about if that was what your asset was and if you had the objective of achieving environmental sensitivities or this objective of reducing our emissions and providing more clean energy solutions, would you just go and say: Look, we are just going to ignore all these resources?

You would develop technologies on carbon capture and storage, on utilization to where you could take that resource and you could actually market it and make products from it or you could sequester it. That way you can continue to have robust economy; you can continue to have affordable energy; you can continue to have American jobs without harming our economy.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his testimony.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Louisiana for yielding me a few minutes.

I thank the gentleman from Oklahoma for his testimony. He and I both believe that the United States should lead the world in energy production, and we have a great opportunity here.
Now, Madam Speaker, when you look at the option that some have chosen where they have chosen we are going on an aggressive renewable strategy, let’s look at the State of California where you have double or triple the cost of electricity as you have in my home State of Louisiana—double or triple.

On top of that, Madam Speaker, look at what the State of California has done. They have increased—increased—imports of oil from countries like Saudi Arabia and others, increased their dependence upon foreign energy, exporting jobs, exporting untold dollars to these other economies. It is fascinating.

Let’s go over to the Northeast, where, recently, you have seen them object to transmission sitings, object to natural gas pipelines. Madam Speaker, what they have done there, in doing so, they had to burn heating oil to warm the homes in the winter, one of the least efficient means of emissions. They had to import gas from Russia—putting who knows how many dollars in Vladimir Putin’s hands to challenge U.S. interests around the globe.

Madam Speaker, these strategies are flawed. By rejecting some of these policies of the past, by pursuing the U.S. energy dominance agenda, we have been able to reduce emissions in the United States more than the next 12 countries combined, while continuing to have a robust economy, some of the lowest unemployment rates we have seen in decades, and ensuring that the United States can export energy like we are doing with liquefied natural gas right now to 35 countries, rather than being dependent upon those other nations.

We have two choices, Madam Speaker. I urge American energy dominance. I want to thank, again, the gentleman from South Carolina for yielding.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his comments.

Natural gas is being produced in this country in a tremendous amount, so much so, that we can export it anywhere in the world. But those on the other side are refusing to accept the reality of the benefits of natural gas. In fact, Berkeley, California, is the first American city to ban natural gas from being used in homes and businesses, being banned from being used in new homes and businesses to heat and cook in their homes, probably banning transportation fuels, as well.

Natural gas is affordable for so many Americans, and I can tell you what. When Americans go to the pump, they are conscientious about the price because the money they put in that tank could be the difference in discretionary income they could use for other things.

When you help keep energy prices down, not only transportation fuels, but energy prices through the electricity generation in this country—folks over in Georgia understand electric generation. In fact, they are building the Nation’s only nuclear power plant over in Augusta, Georgia.

I yield to the gentleman from Savannah, Georgia, to talk a little bit about that.

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I thank the gentleman for hosting this here tonight.

This is extremely important, and the House Energy Action Team and the Energy and Commerce Committee are doing good work addressing the regulatory issues that would prevent these innovative and new technologies from coming to market. We are doing everything that we can to get the government out of the way.

I have always said that the greatest innovators, the greatest scientists are right here in the States of America, and they are. That is why I look toward the future with great anticipation, because I think this is going to be a great opportunity for us as Americans.

I look at renewable energy. I look at everything that is going to be done in the way of energy production, and I see America leading the way, and it is important the Federal Government not be an obstacle, not be a barrier to that.

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, if you want to see a country that can innovate, if you want to see a country that can lead, you look to the United States of America. Again, that is why I am so excited about the future of our energy production.

Just up the river from my district, Plant Vogtle has the only two nuclear units under construction in the United States. For a nation that once developed and dominated the nuclear sector, we have lagged behind direct competition.

As I mentioned earlier, there are countless examples of employers seeking new options to reduce their impact on their communities and looking to ways to be good stewards. In manufacturing, I am looking at how to turn those challenges of reducing consumption into new opportunities.

While one side of industry is looking at how to increase energy costs, meaning our monthly home energy needs and output, people will often forget about how energy costs impact both people and the economy.

I have always said that I subscribe to the all-of-the-above type of energy strategy. I think it is extremely important for a number of different reasons, not the least of which is to make sure that we in America have energy independence, to make sure that we have affordable energy, that we never put ourselves in the position that I can remember us being in in the late seventies, where we were dependent and were literally held over the barrel, if you will, by other nations for our energy needs.

We are a net beneficiary from lower energy costs, meaning our monthly home energy bills are lower and the costs to do business are lower. Lower costs translate into the ability of companies to invest in their businesses and in their employees.

American energy independence has been crucial to the growth we have seen since the recession. There is also significant competition by industries across the United States to be good stewards of the environment.

Yes, it can be done. Yes, we can have energy independence. Yes, we can be good stewards of our communities.

We are seeing significant investments in new, cleaner technologies, taking old and inefficient plants offline, looking at energy efficiency and actively managing emissions. As has been mentioned by other speakers, we have done a great job in America of decreasing our emissions and still keeping our economy growing. There is a lot to be said for that.

Carbon management has really caught on for a number of employers, and the technology that can make it more effective is very promising. For instance, there are companies actively looking to pull carbon from the air, to sequester it into the ground through direct air capture. This technology continues to develop and to mature.

There are also innovative capture systems being developed in my home district. We are seeing incredibly efficient turbines being built that produce much lower emission numbers than similar products or plants.
other form of energy, now is our chance to really drill down and focus on the innovation and technology development that is needed.

I join my colleagues here on the HEAT team as we continue to work towards policy solutions to these issues facing our energy future.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Georgia, and he was one of the first members of the House Energy Action Team. He comes from the Energy and Commerce Committee, working with me alongside some others on the HEAT team.

I want to applaud Whip Scalise for allowing the House Energy Action Team to be re-formulated, give us a chance to talk, communicate directly with the American people about American energy renaissance, American energy issues.

Mr. ALLEN. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Olson). Before I do, I will say that one of the biggest honors I have had in my life came this year when Governor Abbott made me an honorary Texan. So I am going to stand alongside my fellow Texan, PETE Olson from Texas, to talk about what is going on in the great State there.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Olson).

Mr. OLSON. Madam Speaker, I thank my dear friend from South Carolina for those kind words about being an honorary Texan. We Texans take no offense to the comments he gave to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. Grucci). They are not being home away from home, with all the ties between South Carolina and Texas.

The Battle of the Alamo commander, William Barret Travis, who died for our freedom, came from South Carolina. Two football players who would take our Houston Texans to the Super Bowl, Jadeveon Clowney and Deshaun Watson, are products of South Carolina.

I am happy to join my friend and the HEAT team tonight to talk about the American energy renaissance.

Texans like to call this the era of America crushing OPEC’s monopoly and finally tearing down Mr. Putin’s wall of energy control over former Soviet Union states, nations like Estonia. My wife and I went there about 2 years ago on a Baltic cruise. We saw happy, happy people, like people in that picture.

As my friend knows, that is a merchant vessel called Independence. It has been loaded with liquefied natural gas from Sabine Pass, Louisiana, by a company known as Cheniere, our first LNG port plant in American history.

Two years ago, that ship pulled up in the capital of Estonia. As you can see, thousands and thousands of people waved flags and said welcome to Estonia, American liquefied natural gas, because they know that is not just a product. That is their freedom from Mr. Putin’s autonomy and brutality.

They know we exported liquid American freedom to Estonia.

In contrast, our port in Houston is 52 miles long. If I got five people to walk out and see a tanker pull up, that would be huge. Our battleship, the USS Texas, is 14,4 megatons of LNG to India for over 20 years. That means there is no way we, the government, can get involved here in America or India. Its private sector to private sector freedom.

Finally, Madam Speaker, to my friend, I have to brag about Texas. Liberal friends want to address climate change with carbon capture. We are okay with that, but it must be viable in our economy, and it must be viable in a free market.

A company back home called NRG has a power plant 10 miles from my house called the Paris Power Plant. Paris generates energy from eight sources, four natural gas and four coal generators.

Wanting to improve their business and make the air cleaner, make more money, and help out the world, on their own, they reached out to a Japanese company to build a carbon capture system that grabs over 92 percent of CO2 up one of the coal stacks. But that technology is very, very expensive, over $1 billion.

Our friends want energy to swallow that product and bury that money in the ground, that captured carbon in the ground. That means you will bury $1 billion in American soil.

How can clean energy do that? By passing those rates on to the ratepayer. Unacceptable.

What did they do? They grabbed that CO2, and they have a pipeline that goes 85 miles southeast to an old, depleted oil field. It is like fracking fluid. That CO2 puts more pressure, so oil comes out, and we sell it in the market. It is very profitable.

In short, LNG dominance by America makes my home State of Texas great; it makes America greater; and it makes the whole world the greatest it can be.

Drill, baby, drill. Frack, baby, frack. Export, baby, export.

Madam Speaker, I thank my friend for the time.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentle man from Texas (Mr. Olson) for being here tonight.

We hear a lot about the Green New Deal. That proposal is based solely on solar, wind, and hydropower in an effort to drastically cut carbon emissions across the country. In my State of South Carolina, we have seven reactors that produce 95 percent of the State’s emission-free electricity, 53 percent of our total electricity costs.

I want to show a graph really quickly before I introduce the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Allen).

This graphic shows the magnitude of one nuclear reactor and compares the capacity factors of one reactor, rated at 1,54 megawatts, to wind turbines. To match one reactor, it takes 2,077 windmills. Yes, there are 2,077 windmills on this graph, and 2,077 would be needed.

Think about the amount of acreage that it would take just to put the windmills up to meet the electricity generated from one nuclear reactor.

I mentioned earlier the State of Georgia is building the only nuclear reactor being built in this country right now, and that is down at Vogtle in Augusta, Georgia.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Allen), from Augusta, and I am sure he is going to talk about nuclear energy.

Mr. ALLEN. Madam Speaker, I thank my friend from South Carolina (Mr. Duncan) for chairing this Special Order tonight.

Madam Speaker, I am proud to be a member of this House Energy Action Team. It is a special coalition of Members of Congress who are focused on energy policy. I was honored to be selected as the nuclear subteam leader. This will allow me to do my part to advance our nuclear energy priorities through Congress and allow America to remain a dominant player in the global nuclear industry.

The theme for this Special Order is the American energy renaissance.

I have to tell you, after President Trump took office, the war on energy and the war on business was over. It was like flipping on a light switch. America was open for business again, and the American people responded. We have the best economy in the world.

Since then, we have continued to invest in our own energy resources and...
have successfully made the United States energy independent. Who would have thought that 10 years ago?

Georgia’s 12th Congressional District is on the front line of the American energy renaissance, with the first two new nuclear reactors being built in the United States in the past 30 years at Plant Vogtle.

Just in March, I had the opportunity to be with Secretary of Energy Rick Perry to see the placement of the top of the Unit 3 containment vessel, truly a historic moment.

There is Secretary Perry, and there is the setting of the top of that vessel.

Finishing construction on these two units means that Americans can still do big things. I look forward to Units 3 and 4 coming online soon.

Nuclear energy plays an important role in Georgia’s energy portfolio, as it accounts for more than a quarter of all power generated and is the only clean air source that can produce large amounts of electricity around the clock.

Georgia 12 is also home to all of Georgia’s nuclear capabilities, with four nuclear reactors, two already online at Plant Vogtle and two at Plant Hatch. These facilities currently employ almost 2,000 people, year-round, high-skilled employees.

The construction of Units 3 and 4 at Plant Vogtle is the largest construction project in Georgia, with more than 8,000 workers on site.

When we talk about clean energy in this country, we don’t need out-of-touch, costly socialist policies like the Green New Deal that will devastate the best economy in the world. We are talking about unleashing private-sector innovation, like nuclear power.

According to the Nuclear Energy Institute, Georgia’s nuclear energy facilities alone avoid more than 21 million metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions each year, equivalent to more than 4.8 million passenger cars.

It is of the utmost importance that we ensure these nuclear plants continue to provide energy in a safe, reliable, and affordable manner.

Georgia has been selected 6 years in a row as the best State to locate your business. A big reason for that is our low energy costs.

Overall, I believe we must continue to pursue a proactive, responsible, and all-of-the-above energy policy that will benefit hardworking Americans and lower the cost of energy in this Nation.

The House Energy Action Team will continue to be laser-focused on continuing America’s energy renaissance, and I am so glad to be a part of it.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman’s comments, and I thank him for leading the group down to look at that nuclear reactor.

Madam Speaker, it was cold this winter up in Michigan. Had it not been for fossil fuel, I’m sure a lot of folks would have had a hard time.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG) to talk about his role on the Energy and Commerce Committee and here on the House Energy Action Team.

Mr. WALBERG. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. DUNCAN) for yielding.

Often during that polar vortex, I thought of South Carolina and sometimes wished that I could enjoy the warm breezes.

Madam Speaker, as a member of the House Energy Action Team as well as a proud member of the Energy and Commerce Committee serving on the Energy Subcommittee, I rise today about an important subject we have been talking about here, and that is American energy security and independence.

Madam Speaker, like many of my colleagues, I understand the importance of being a good steward of our environment. As an avid outdoorsman, a proud representative of the energy district of the Great Lakes State, a district that has wind, solar, nuclear, hydro, and coal power, along with natural gas, we have it all there. But I want my children and my grandchildren to live in a beautiful world that I have experienced. In fact, Madam Speaker, I want them to experience even better.

We can do that in the use of our energy as well, but we are not going to get there through socialist policies like the Green New Deal that will cause energy prices to skyrocket and commit, really, a fraud on the American people.

Instead, we should focus on solutions that spur innovation and encourage investments in new technologies that support these goals while keeping a reliable, resilient grid. These investments are happening now, but the transition needs to happen in an orderly way that doesn’t hinder economic growth or the security of our country.

One step we can take right now is to update our energy policies for the 21st century. Often during that polar vortex, I looked at it and realized how that experience even better.

Energy resources are abundant instead of scarce. It is a more diverse market than ever before, and it will continue.

With that in mind, I introduced H.R. 1502, the PURPA Modernization Act. The bill simply increases competition and reforms outdated regulations from the 1970s, so that consumers are not burdened with unnecessary costs on their utility bills coming from stale, outdated green energy regulations.

We also can’t forget that an all-of-the-above energy approach will continue to utilize safe, clean, and resilient nuclear-based power, like that produced at the Fermi plants in my district.

Getting new technologies, like advanced carbon capture, out of a lab and into the market is also crucial. This past winter, we saw the importance of baseload power when temperatures in Michigan and other places in the north plummeted to lower than 40 degrees below zero.

I would point my colleagues to bipartisan legislation that I helped introduce last week with my friend and colleague from Texas, Representative CRENSHAW, which would provide a jump-start to those innovative technologies at commercial scale.

That is what America is about: unleashing American energy. And bringing down prices for families we represent is certainly an important crucial discussion to have.

Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman tonight for leading this.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, America watching tonight will see that we have got a lot of great leaders in Congress that understand energy, and they come from a lot of different States.

The State of Arkansas produces oil, produces coal, produces a lot of biofuels, and produces a lot of natural gas. They also produce a lot of ducks. I enjoy going to Arkansas and hunting.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. HILL) to talk about what is going on in his great State.

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I thank my friend from South Carolina for yielding. He is welcome in the beautiful rice country of Arkansas to hunt ducks this fall at any time. I appreciate his leadership of the Sportsmen’s Caucus, the largest bipartisan caucus we have here in the House, and all of the good work it does in wildlife conservation and preservation of our public lands, so I thank my friend for that.

It is true, I appreciate also his work in the House Energy Action Team and that of our whip, STEVE SCALISE of Louisiana. And that is because we all know that energy is a part of our families, and how that has to be balanced in the world of public policy.

Madam Speaker, in 2018, crude oil was the world’s number one export product. Last year, the U.S. accounted for 98 percent of global growth in oil production. Since the Congress lifted the 40-year ban on oil exports in 2015, U.S. production continues to set records, and just last month set a new all-time high of exporting 3.3 million barrels of crude per day.

Lifting the ban has filled pipelines and sparked a surge of investment across this land in new shipping infrastructure and the U.S.

Total crude imports have also dropped significantly as we rely now more on domestic production and that production produced by our friends in Canada. Likewise, exporting clean natural gas is a leading export of the United States.

South Korea is now the largest buyer of American clean natural gas, Madam
Speaker. That is cleaning up their skies, lowering their carbon emissions, and cutting their trade imbalance with the United States.

Exporting more gas, exporting more oil, and lifting the ban has allowed us to be a player in the world. We are no longer second fiddle to the Gulf, to Saudi Arabia, or to Russia. This comes as the United States is leading the world also, Madam Speaker, in reducing global climate or carbon emissions. Between 2000 and 2014, the United States reduced emissions more than 18 percent.

And so as we have the conversation in America about all of the above—and one thing the House Energy Action Task is about is all of the above; we like wind, solar, and hydro, it is all very groovy—but we know what works, and that is nuclear power and that is hydro and fossil fuels, supplemented by the alternative fuels that are coming online.

We have got the GrayMatter innovators and entrepreneurs in this country to meet some of the things that Mr. HIll talked about: the battery capacity. And that is to store that power to be used when needed, when it is generated by wind and solar. It is intermittent to store that power, it’s generated when the Sun is shining and generated when the wind is blowing, and it is stored to be used at night or when the wind isn’t blowing. Nuclear is the base load and the natural gas always runs. These are components of this debate that we need to talk about.

Madam Speaker, I appreciate Members of the House Energy Action Team coming to the floor and discussing with the American people about the American energy renaissance.

When our constituents think about cost of energy, a lot of times their first thoughts are transportation fuel. How much is it going to cost them to fill up the tank? Is there going to be enough money left over after their transportation costs to buy groceries, maybe improve their home, buy groceries, maybe spend improvements, and other things that American families spend money on.

But one of the factors in energy cost is what you pay for that electricity and what do our neighbors pay for that electricity? Where does that electricity get generated? And, most importantly, will it be a 24-7, 365-day baseload power supply always on, available when they want to manufacture that next BMW in Greer, South Carolina, or that next Boeing aircraft in Charleston, or the next component that goes in one of those manufactured all over the country.

We take for granted in this Nation that we have a 24-7, 365-day baseload power supply always on, and it is transmitted over tremendous infrastructure, but that infrastructure needs to be improved. We need pipelines to transfer and transmit the natural gas that is being produced and the oil that is being produced. And we do that in places like Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. But also the wind power that is generated wherever wind is generated and solar power wherever solar power is generated, there has to be transmission lines to get power to the grid so that it can be used.

So as we have the conversation in America about all of the above—and one thing the House Energy Action Team is about is all of the above; we like wind, solar, and hydro, it is all very groovy—but we know what works, and that is nuclear power and that is hydro and fossil fuels, supplemented by the alternative fuels that are coming online.

We have got the GrayMatter innovators and entrepreneurs in this country to meet some of the things that Mr. HIll talked about: the battery capacity. And that is to store that power to be used when needed, when it is generated by wind and solar. It is intermittent to store that power, it’s generated when the Sun is shining and generated when the wind is blowing, and it is stored to be used at night or when the wind isn’t blowing. Nuclear is the base load and the natural gas always runs. These are components of this debate that we need to talk about.

Madam Speaker, I appreciate Members of the House Energy Action Team coming to the floor and discussing with America with so much passion about American energy to meet the needs of our constituents, but at an affordable price that helps our constituents meet their budgets.

Of course, with GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the topic of this Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity for this Special Order, and I yield back the balance of my time.

DECORUM ON HOUSE FLOOR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2019, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. HIll) for 5 minutes.

Mr. HIll of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, today I rise to reflect on the recent acts of Speaker PELOSI and other Members of the majority last week on the House floor, it was a clear and egregious violation of the rules of the House that transpired.

House Members are expected to speak respectfully of their fellow Members of Congress and of the President of the United States, a precedent that goes back to the very first Congress. I don’t think this is one of the most egregious acts.

Citing Jefferson’s Manual, the first American book on parliamentary procedure, “References to racial or other discrimination on the part of the President are not in order.”

Last week, Speaker PELOSI clearly violated Chapter 29, Section 65.6 of Jefferson’s Manual. The House parliamentarian ruled her speech violated the rules forbidding personal attacks on the House floor against the President.

Sadly, the majority then voted to ignore the rules in order to justify the Speaker’s breaking of our rules.

Madam Speaker, how do we expect the American people to follow the laws we pass in this Chamber when we don’t even follow the rules that we place on ourselves?

I call on the majority to put partisanship and pointless attacks aside and get back to the real work that will move our great country forward.

CRISIS IN SYRIA

Mr. HIll of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to, once again, speak out about the crisis in Syria.

The director general of the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons recently reported that traces of a chemical weapon were discovered late last year at Syria’s Scientific Studies and Research Center.

Even though we were assured by the Obama administration that the Russians would somehow not use all the chemical weapons in Syria, this report is not surprising. For some, photos of dead bodies in the streets littered with children, victims of barrel bombing, or asphyxiated by sarin gas just weren’t enough.

Now we have a United Nations report. The United Nations reports that, since May, fighting in Idlib Province has forced 300,000 Syrians to flee their
homes and thousands more continue to die from Assad’s butchering.

Madam Speaker, when will this House finally grow weary of 8 years of Assad’s treachery: more than 570,000 dead, 200,000 imprisoned, and nearly 12 million displaced? The House must act.

Speaker PELOSI well knows, this House, under Republican leadership, passed the Caesar Syria Civilian Protection Act three times over the past three Congresses, only to have it bogged down in the Senate.

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to talk about H. Res. 246, a resolution stating Congress’ opposition to the ongoing efforts to delegitimize the State of Israel in the Global Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions Movement, or BDS, targeting Israel.

H. Res. 246 passed this House today. Let me get one thing clear, though. BDS is anti-Semitic at its core, and it seeks to delegitimize and isolate our ally Israel.

I am a strong supporter and cosponsor of H. Res. 246, but this is not the piece of anti-BDS legislation that we should have considered on the floor of this House this week. We should not be letting the majority off the hook by passing a nonbinding House resolution.

H. Res. 246 does not take tangible action to combat the BDS movement, nor does it have any teeth in it.

Instead, we should be considering and passing H.R. 336, the Strengthening America’s Security in the Middle East Act, which is the House version of S. 1. This legislation, Madam Speaker, passed the Senate in February by an overwhelming, bipartisan vote of 77–23.

This legislation, Madam Speaker, includes other important provisions for U.S. and Israel security assistance.

This legislation, Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement, known as USMCA. I congratulate the Trump administration for successfully updating the original NAFTA Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, and enhancing the benefits to Arkansans in the form of expanded export sales and growth in jobs.

USMCA modernizes and rebalances the 25-year-old NAFTA, including a 21st century approach to digital trade, intellectual property rights, and cross-border data flows.

In Arkansas, trade with Mexico and Canada alone supports over 100,000 jobs, and this highlights the need to preserve and strengthen our North American trading relationship.

USMCA is a big win across the board. We will have stronger growth, more exports, more jobs, and rising wages. This agreement results in a more level playing field for American workers, farmers, ranchers, and businesses.

Madam Speaker, Canada has approved it. Mexico has passed the enabling legislation for it and has approved this treaty. Now it is time for Speaker PELOSI to bring the USMCA to the floor and seek approval of this House.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM MINISTERIAL

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to thank Secretary of State Mike Pompeo and Ambassador-at-Large for Religious Freedom Sam Brownback for hosting the 2019 Ministerial to Advance Religious Freedom.

This second ministerial on religious freedom makes the protection of religious freedom around the world a priority for this administration. This global conclave demonstrates that the United States proudly stands for religious liberty and tolerance so that everyone from all nations can worship freely and without discrimination.

I agree with President Ronald Reagan when he addressed the United Nations General Assembly in 1986 by saying: “Respect for human rights is not social work; it is not merely an act of compassion. It is the first obligation of government and the source of its legitimacy.”

It is through this obligation of service to our fellow man that we can make our societies better for our children and all future generations yet to be born.

AMERICAN TAEKWONDO ASSOCIATION

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the American Taekwondo Association and the roughly 20,000 people who gathered in Little Rock for the 2019 Worlds ATA Martial Arts competition just last week.

ATA is celebrating its golden anniversary this year with 50 years of international training. This organization was founded in 1969 and relocated to Little Rock in 1977, citing similarities between the State’s landscape and that of South Korea.

My friend, Mrs. Sun Cha Lee, is the chairman of the board for ATA Martial Arts and is committed to changing lives through martial arts and her philanthropy.

As a fellow member of the Rotary Club of Little Rock, Mrs. Lee embodies the motto of “service above self” and continues to lead their scholarship foundation that has provided over $1 million to deserving students.

Mrs. Lee and ATA’s cultural addition to Little Rock’s story has made indelible impact on Arkansas citizens and communities.

I would like to extend gratitude and congratulations to Mrs. Lee on reaching this memorable milestone. I wish her and all of ATA much continued success for generations to come.

EAGLE BANK ANNIVERSARY

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Eagle Bank and Trust Company on its 100th anniversary. Eagle Bank has been serving customers since 1919 and has grown into 13 full-service bank locations.

Eagle Bank was the vision of Harry Hastings, Jr., who applied for a bank charter for the First State Bank of Sherwood in 1964, eventually becoming Eagle Bank and Trust Company in 1988. In 2012, the bank merged with Heber Springs State Bank, which received its original bank charter in 1919 and was originally known as Arkansas National Bank of Heber Springs. The bank survived the Great Depression and the 2008 recession, never closing its doors on its customers.

Today, Cathy Hastings Owen, daughter of Harry Hastings, operates Eagle Bank and Trust Company. In 2018, she became the 128th chairman of the Arkansas Bankers Association and is the first woman to lead that important organization.

I would like to extend my congratulations to the Hastings family and Eagle Bank and Trust Company, and I wish the company much continued success for generations to come.

FLOODING—PREVENTION, PREPARATION, AND CLEANUP

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize three Faulkner County, Arkansas, Scouting units that helped residents in preparation for the historic flooding that occurred in Arkansas just in the past few weeks.

Pack 444, Troop 444, and Troop 644, all of the First United Methodist Church in Vilonia, volunteered to help our communities in need. This group who filled sandbags included children in elementary school and up to high school-aged teens.

Arkansasans began filling sandbags on Monday, and more than 65,000 sandbags have been filled by volunteers from across our State. Sandbagging helps divert water from peoples’ homes and
was an effective way to prevent and reduce the flood damage from these historic floods.

I thank the Scouting units of First United Methodist Church and all of those who volunteered their time to help those affected by this historic flooding.

**CONGRATULATING FORD OVERTON**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, today I want to rise and congratulate and thank my very good friend Ford Overtton, for his selfless service to the State of Arkansas.

Ford served on the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission for 7 years, including serving as chairman in his final year. His term just expired on July 1. As a graduate of the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville, his love for the outdoors has always been evident, especially his interest in fishing and preservation of habitat.

While on the commission, Ford worked with wildlife biologists and lawmakers to ensure that future generations of Arkansans will be able to continue to enjoy the healthy wildlife populations with which we are so abundantly blessed. He successfully inspired many young Arkansans to enjoy the great hunting and fishing opportunities all across our State.

Ford’s service to the State of Arkansas and to wildlife conservation will not be forgotten, and I join all Arkansans in congratulating Ford on his infectious passion and leadership throughout the State.

**RECOGNIZING THE HEROIC ACTS OF TROY BRASWELL, SR.**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the heroic acts of Mr. Troy Braswell, Sr., a World War II veteran, for his remarkable service to our country.

Troy grew up in Louisiana, and after graduating from high school, he joined the Navy to serve his country. He was only 17 years old, and his role was that of a powderman.

During his service, Troy served on the USS Mississippi, survived a kamikaze attack, and, afterwards, contracting tuberculosis. He was told by a doctor that he had but 6 months to live.

He overcame and survived these challenges, and after his military service, Troy located to Arkansas in 1945. In 1952, he graduated from the University of Central Arkansas, then called Arkansas State Teachers College. Troy turned 93 years old earlier this year, and currently resides in Hot Springs Village.

Troy’s sacrifice for Arkansas and for America will not be forgotten, and I join all Arkansans in thanking him for his bravery and dedication to our State and our country.

**RECOGNIZING VICTORY MISSION BIBLE TRAINING CENTER**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Victory Mission Bible Training Center’s grand opening and ribbon cutting earlier this month in Center Ridge, Arkansas.

Victory Mission Bible Training Center is an 8- to 10-month residential discipleship training program catering to those who struggle with problems such as alcoholism and addiction. It is strictly donation-based and available to people of all ages who need this important assistance.

I want to congratulate the resident director, Ms. Jennifer Jones, herself a graduate of the program. She was homeless and struggled with alcohol and drug addiction before entering this important program.

Since 1960, over 24,000 people have been treated by Mission Teens centers, and 89 percent of their graduates report that they are doing well. Several have gone into the ministry or now help at one of the 20 centers across the United States.

Alcoholism and addiction are powerful diseases, and I am grateful to the Center Ridge community for the opening of the Victory Mission Bible Training Center and their worthwhile investment in the health of our citizens and their community.

**HONORING DR. EDITH IRBY JONES**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Dr. Edith Irby Jones, a civil rights leader and the first African American graduate at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences. Dr. Jones recently passed away at the age of 91.

Dr. Jones became a distinguished physician on the national stage and accomplished many firsts for African Americans and women. She was the first African American woman to intern in the State of Arkansas, the first female president of the National Medical Association.

As a child, Dr. Jones experienced the deaths of her father and sister and suffered from rheumatic fever that left her temporarily unable to walk or attend school. Despite her hardships, she went on to become the first African American female resident at Baylor and was one of the founders of Mercy Hospital in Houston.

When Dr. Jones wasn’t practicing medicine, she was in schools and churches, advocating for racial equality. She later became an advocate for underprivileged patients and earned an award for volunteerism and community service by the American College of Physicians.

She also has been inducted into both the University of Arkansas College of Medicine Hall of Fame and the inaugural group of women inducted into the Arkansas Women’s Hall of Fame.

I honor Dr. Jones for her determination, dedication, and contributions to civil rights and enriching the lives of countless Arkansans and Americans. She was a friend to so many across our State. I extend my respect, affection, and prayers to her friends, family, and loved ones.

**CONGRATULATING ANNE MARIE DORAMUS**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Anne Marie Doramus on her recent appointment to the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, becoming the first woman so appointed as a full-term commissioner.

A graduate of the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville, her interest in the outdoors has always been evident, especially duck hunting and bass fishing in southeast Arkansas. She is a founding member of the Arkansas Outdoor Society, a group of young adults passionate about conservation and the outdoors.

Commissioner Doramus, with leadership and passion for conservation, will be a great asset to the commission over the next 7 years. She embodies the talent of the next generation of leaders who will be protecting and promoting our great outdoors.

I join all Arkansans in congratulating Anne Marie and wish her much success throughout her term as a commissioner.

**CONGRATULATING CAMP ROCKEFELLER**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Camp Rockefeller for being recognized, once again, as a nationally accredited camp by the Boy Scouts of America National Camp Accreditation Program.

BSA’s National Camp Accreditation Program recognizes camps that provide a fun, high-quality, and safe program consistent with the Boy Scouts of America brand and what the public expects from scouting.

Camp Rockefeller successfully completed a review of over 200 standards related to the safety and quality of the program, including campgrounds, properties, and the well-being of every camper, leader, and visitor. Over the years, Camp Rockefeller has shown continuous improvement in all areas.

Camp Rockefeller is located within Gus Blass Scout Reservation. Each year, thousands of scouts from across the country enjoy this beautiful part of Arkansas and are given the opportunity to participate in hiking, fishing, climbing, camping, and other outdoor activities.

As a fellow scout, I am proud of these high standards that are being set at Camp Rockefeller. On behalf of all Arkansans, congratulations, and we look forward to following your continued success in the years to come.

**CONGRATULATING CONWAY FOR RECYCLING AWARD**

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Conway Department of Sanitation in the city of Conway, Arkansas, on being named the 2019 Recycling Education Program of the Year by the Arkansas Recycling Coalition. This award honors an agency or association that has made a significant contribution to the advancement of waste reduction, recycling, and sustainability across our State in the past year.
Conway was selected for this award as a result of its outstanding public education and community outreach effort. This involved talking with more than 2,700 community members of all ages from schools, daycares, churches, homeowner associations, universities, and more.

Recycling is a component of making the environment a cleaner place, conserving materials, saving energy, and reducing the size of our landfills.

I extend my congratulations to the Conway Department of Sanitation and the entire city of Conway for its worthwhile commitment to our environment and our natural resources.

**CONGRATULATING FAIRFIELD BAY ON TOP 100**

**PLANNED COMMUNITIES HONOR**

**Mr. HILL of Arkansas.** Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Fairfield Bay, Arkansas, on being named the Best of the Best Top 100 Planned Communities in America by ideal-LIVING Magazine. The Best of the Best honors those areas and communities that deserve special recognition for their outstanding qualities, facilities, and programs.

Fairfield Bay began as a planned community 40 years ago and has grown into an ideal family recreation destination. It was chosen from hundreds of nominations from North America and Central America.

A huge congratulations to the community, to the residents of Fairfield Bay, and to Mayor Wellenberger on achieving this recognition. I look forward to following the Fairfield Bay community as it continues to grow in the years to come.

**RECOGNIZING JOURNALISM AWARDSEES**

**Mr. HILL of Arkansas.** Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize two central Arkansas journalists who received the Golden 50 Service Awards from the Arkansas Press Association for a half-century in journalism.

Larry Miller, from Morrilton, Arkansas, is the editor of the Conway County Petit Jean Country Headlight newspaper.

Frank Fellone of Little Rock was also recognized and is a former Arkansas Democrat-Gazette deputy editor and now an independent journalist.

I also congratulate David Bailey, managing editor of the Arkansas Democrat-Gazette, on receiving the Arkansas Press Association Freedom of Information Award.

I congratulate these men who exemplify the spirit and dedication behind the mission of these awards and our First Amendment, and I wish them continued success in the years to come.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

---

**BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF PAYGO LEGISLATION**

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 34, the Energy and Water Research Integration Act of 2019, as amended, would have no significant effect on direct spending or revenues, and therefore, the budgetary effects of such bill are estimated as zero.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of H.R. 549, the Venezuela TPS Act of 2019, as amended, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**.

**ESTIMATE OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR H.R. 549**

By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET INCREASE OR DECREASE</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 1850, the **Palestinian International Terrorism Support Prevention Act of 2019**, would have no significant effect on direct spending or revenues, and therefore, the budgetary effects of such bill are estimated as zero.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 1837, the **United States-Israel Cooperation Enhancement and Regional Security Act**, as amended, would have no significant effect on direct spending or revenues, and therefore, the budgetary effects of such bill are estimated as zero.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 3304, the **Small Business Reorganizing Act of 2019**, would have no significant effect on direct spending or revenues, and therefore, the budgetary effects of such bill are estimated as zero.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 2938, the **Northern Ireland of certain defense articles and services**.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 3311, the **Italy of certain defense articles and services**.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 3332, the **United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Kingdom of Spain, and the Italian Republic of certain defense articles and services**.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 3341, the **France of certain defense articles and services**.

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YARMUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, for printing in the **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD**, that H.R. 3344, the **United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland of certain defense articles and services**.

---

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Mr. HILL of Arkansas.** Madam Speaker. I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o’clock and 35 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.
EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

1732. A letter from the Director, Office of the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final rules — Revisions to Prohibitions and Restrictions on Proprietary Trading and Certain Interests in, and Relationships With, Hedge Funds and Private Equity Funds [Release no.: BHCA-6; File no.: ST-30-18] (RIN: 32355-AM43) received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Financial Services.

1733. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Australian Country: Air Quality Planning and Management; Federal Implementation Plan for the Kalispel Indian Community of the Kalispel Reservation, Washington; Redesignation to a PSZ Class I Area [EPA-R10-OAR-2017-0347; FRL-9996-67-Region 10] received July 16, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1734. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s direct final rule — Massachusetts: Final Approval of State Underground Storage Tank Program Revisions, Codification, and Incorporation by Reference [EPA-R10-UST-2018-0085; FRL-9996-56-Region 1] received July 16, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1735. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Final Approval of State Underground Storage Tank Program Revisions, Codification, and Incorporation by Reference [EPA-R10-UST-2019-0191; FRL-9996-69-Region 10] received July 16, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1736. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Colorado: Revisions to Regulation Number 4, Sale and Installation of Wood-Burning Appliances and the Unified Wood Burning Appliances During High Pollution Days [EPA-R08-OAR-2019-0054; FRL-9995-9-Region 8] received July 16, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1737. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting notification that the national emergency with respect to transnational criminal organizations, originally declared in Executive Order 13581 on July 24, 2011, is to continue in effect beyond July 23, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 1622(d); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 202(d); (90 Stat. 1257) (H. Doc. No. 116–50); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed.


1739. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Prohibit Directed Fishing for American Fisheries Act Program and Crab Rationalization Program Groundfish Sideboard Limits in the BSAI and GOA [Docket No.: 190327320-9999-02 (RIN: 0648-BH93)] received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1740. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Golden Tilefish Fisheries; Fishing Restrictions for Fish Aggregating Devices in the Eastern Pacific Ocean [Docket No.: 18080758-9738-0 (RIN: 0648-BH47)] received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1741. A letter from the Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s final rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Permit Renewal Applications [Docket No.: 17112999-9999-02 (RIN: 0648-BH43)] received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1742. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Prohibit Directed Fishing for American Fisheries Act Program and Crab Rationalization Program Groundfish Sideboard Limits in the BSAI and GOA [Docket No.: 190327320-9999-02 (RIN: 0648-BH93)] received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1743. A letter from the Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; At- lantic Deep-Sea Red Crab Fishery; 2019 Atlantic Deep-Sea Red Crab Specifications [Docket No.: 169020361-9999-04 (RIN: 0648-XE9500)] received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1744. A letter from the Assistant Director, Office of Policy, Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Board of Immigration Appeals: Affirmance Without Opinion, Referral for Panel Review, and Publication of Decisions as Precedents [EOIR Docket No.: 159; AG Order No.: 4478-2019] (RIN: 1125-AA58) received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1745. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department’s interim final rule — Asylum eligibility and Procedural Modifications [EOIR Docket No.: 19-0504; A.G. Order No.: 4488-2019] (RIN: 1125-AA51) received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

1746. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace, Boulder City, NV [Docket No.: FAA-2018-0816; Airspace Docket No.: 18-AWP-7] (RIN: 2140-AA16) received July 18, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

1747. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimum and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 31259; Amdt. No.: 838] received July 18, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

1748. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department’s final rule — IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 31248; Amdt. No.: 547] received July 18, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.
House Rule XXVI (H. Doc. No. 116-49); to the Committee on Ethics and ordered to be printed.

1750. A letter from the Regulations Policy Coordinator, Regulations Policy and Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Veterans Health Care Eligibility by Long-Care Facilities: Arbitration Agreements (CMS-3342-F) (RIN: 0938-AT18) received July 17, 2019, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. TAKAOKA: Committee on Veterans’ Affairs. H.R. 2942. A bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out the Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program through at least fiscal year 2020 and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-169). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. NADLER: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 549. A bill to designate Venezuela under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act to be eligible for temporary protected status under such section, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-166, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. NADLER: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 2938. A bill to exempt from the calculation of monthly income certain benefits paid by the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Defense (Rept. 116-169). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. NADLER: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 3301. A bill to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the mandatory prohibition of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days (Rept. 116-170). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. NADLER: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 3311. A bill to amend chapter 11 of title 42, United States Code, to address the proliferation of small businesses, and for other purposes (Rept. 116-171). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. DeFAZIO: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 3409. A bill to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-172). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 3375. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to clarify the limits on the debt limit, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-173). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 2507. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize certain programs under part A of title XI of such Act relating to genetic diseases, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-174). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 776. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize the program under such title relating to lifespan respite care; with an amendment (Rept. 116-175). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. TORRES of California: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 689. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3938) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to create a Pension Rehabilitation Trust Fund, to establish a Pension Rehabilitation Administration within the Department of the Treasury to make loans to multimember defined benefit plans, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-176). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. T DAVIS of California: Committee on Veterans’ Affairs. H.R. 1918. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out the Women’s Health Transition Training pilot program through at least fiscal year 2020 and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-177). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 1058. A bill to reauthorize certain provisions of the Public Health Service Act relating to autism, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-178). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 510. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1969 to clarify the process for registering a vessel as a United States flag vessel, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-179). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 3239. A bill to require U.S. Customs and Border Protection to perform an initial health screening on detainees, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-180). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. PALLONE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 2942. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1966 to allow the deduction for health insurance costs in computing self-employment taxes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Ms. DACENZO: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 3880. A bill to establish the Internal Revenue Service ombudsman program (Rept. 116-181). Referred to the Committee on Ways and Means and the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. SCHAEFER (for himself and Mr. JAYAPAL, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mrs. WATSON, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mrs. WATSON, Mr. COHEN, Mr. ROYVAL-ALLISON, Mr. JAYAPAL, Mr. MOULTON, and Mrs. MATSU): H.R. 3882. A bill to amend the Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D Improvement Act of 2020, to require that the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services provide for an online repository for certain Medicare information, and for other purposes.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. SCOTT of Virginia (for himself and Mr. ADAMS): H.R. 3365. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the excise tax on coal; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. YARMUTH (for himself and Mr. NEAL): H.R. 3670. A bill to amend the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, to establish a congressional budget for fiscal years 2020 and 2021, to temporarily suspend the debt limit, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Budget, and in addition to the Committees on Rules, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MCKINLEY (for himself and Mrs. DINGELL): H.R. 3878. A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act to clarify the process for registrants to exercise due diligence upon discovering a suspicious order, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. HAALAND (for herself, Mr. CURTIS, Mr. GALLEGOS, Mr. STEWART, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. SIMPSON, Mr. NEUGEBAUER, Mr. GUNNING, Mrs. DINGELL, and Mr. MALFALFA): H.R. 3879. A bill to modify the procedures for issuing special recreation permits for certain public land units, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. DELGADO (for himself and Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania): H.R. 3880. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1966 to allow the deduction for health insurance costs in computing self-employment taxes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. FRAKEL (for herself, Mrs. WATSON, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. SCHWEIKERT, Mr. HINES, and Mr. WEBER of Texas): H.R. 3881. A bill to amend section 214(c)(8) of such Act to clarify the process for registering a vessel as a United States flag vessel, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. SPEIER (for herself, Mr. FRANKEL, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Ms. ESCOBAR, Ms. HAALAND, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Ms. NORTON, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Ms. LEE of California, Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire, Ms. TITUS, Ms. CLARK of New York, Mr. GOODRICH, Mr. CASNEUX, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Ms. DEAN, Mr. HORSFORD, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. LOPUHÉN, Mrs. DINGELL, Ms. PRESSLEY, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mrs. WATSON, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. FINGER, Mr. COHEN, Ms. ROYVAL-ALLISON, Ms. JAYAPAL, Mr. MOULTON, and Ms. MATSU): H.R. 3882. A bill to amend the Ted Stevens Olympic and Amateur Sports Act to provide pay equity for and other personnel; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
United States to facilitate the fullest cooperation, coordination, and mutual accountability among all levels of government, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. NADLER (for himself, Ms. Lieu of California, Mr. Blumenauer, Mr. Jeffries, Ms. Velázquez, Mr. Gartz, Mr. Conte of New York, Mr. Correa, Ms. Dean, Mr. Deutch, Ms. Escobar, Ms. Jackson Lee, Ms. Jayapal, Mr. Johnson of Georgia, Ms. Kaptur of Ohio, Mr. Creneny, Mr. Raskin, Mr. Swalwell of California, Mr. Evans, Ms. Gabbard, Ms. Haaland, Mr. Huffman, Mr. Kildee, Mr. Groves, Ms. Napolitano, Mr. Perlmutter, Ms. Pressley, Ms. Waters, and Mrs. Watson Coleman): H.R. 3885. A bill to declassify and deschedule cannabis, to provide for reinvestment in certain persons adversely impacted by the War on Drugs, to provide for expungement of certain cannabis offenses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Agriculture, Ways and Means, Small Business, Natural Resources, and Oversight and Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. GABBARD: H.R. 3886. A bill to amend the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 to defer part of the compensation of senior employees of large bank holding companies (and their subsidiaries) to use such deferred amounts to pay any civil or criminal fines that may be levied on the bank holding company (or subsidiary), and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. CLYBURN (for himself, Ms. Schakowsky, Mr. Khanna, and Ms. Clarke of New York): H.R. 3887. A bill to require the Secretary of Education to establish a program that awards grants to State coalitions that build or expand career pathways programs in schools within the State, and to direct the Secretary of Education to establish a program that awards grants to eligible agencies to carry out career pathways programs; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. PETERS, Mrs. DAVIS of California, and Mr. ROVA: H.R. 3888. A bill to require the Administrator of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to conduct a study on motor vehicle safety and impaired driving, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. BOST (for himself and Ms. Finkenauer): H.R. 3889. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to require only citizens or nationals of the United States to operate trains within the United States that originate in Mexico; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. BUCKSHOB (for himself and Mrs. Hill of Arkansas): H.R. 3890. A bill to require the Secretary of Transportation to provide funds to address the financial accountability of direct spending legislation, to improve the oversight of such facilities, and for other purposes; to Congress, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. KING of New York (for himself, Mr. Green of Tennessee, and Mr. Walker): H.R. 3901. A bill to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish the Insider Threat Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. BOST (for himself and Mr. HIGGINS of New York): H.R. 3902. A bill to provide standards for physical condition and management of housing receiving assistance payments under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. DeLAURO: H.R. 3903. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the dollar limitation on the exclusion for employer-provided dependent care assistance; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. FOXX of North Carolina: H.R. 3904. A bill to amend titles 23 and 49, United States Code, to repeal wage requirements applicable to laborers and mechanics employed on Federal-aid highway and public transportation construction projects; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. HIGGINS of New York (for himself, Mr. GIROD, Mrs. DEMINGS, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. COHEN, Ms. Velázquez, Ms. WILD, Mr. ESCAILL, Mr. MORGAN, and Mr. ROSE of New York): H.R. 3905. A bill to amend title VII of the Social Security Act to require the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to prohibit sewage dumping during the 1980s, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. HIGGINS of New York (for himself, Mr. GREEN of Tennessee, and Mr. BASS of California): H.R. 3907. A bill to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to establish the Insider Threat Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. BOST (for himself and Ms. Bass): H.R. 3908. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to increase the long-term fiscal accountability of direct spending legislation; to the Committee on Budget, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BASS (for himself, Ms. Bass, and Mr. BACON): H.R. 3909. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize grants to eligible entities to develop and implement statewide or tribal post-adoption and post-legal guardianship mental health services programs for all children who are adopted or placed in legal guardianship, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. LEWIS (for himself, Mr. Larson of Connecticut, Ms. SHEWELL of Alabama, Mr. DOUGUETT, Mr. EVANS, Mr. PASCHELL, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. SUOZZI, and Ms. SANCHEZ): H.R. 3910. A bill to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to extend the COBRA health care coverage for all Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries through models tested under the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation, and the Secretary of health and human services, to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
By Mr. LEWIS (for himself and Mr. SMITH of Nebraska):
H.R. 3911. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 to provide access to cardiac rehabilitation programs and pulmonary rehabilitation programs under the Medicare program for the purposes to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LEWIS (for himself and Mr. BUCHANAN):
H.R. 3912. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to increase awareness, expand preventative services, and improve care for individuals with end stage renal disease, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. LOFgren:
H.R. 3913. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Education to make grants for education programs on the history of the treatment of Italian Americans during World War II; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. LUCAS (for himself, Mr. MARshall, Mr. BAIRD, Miss GONzalez-Colon of Puerto Rico, Mr. GONzalez of Ohio, Mr. BAcon, and Mr. WOODall):
H.R. 3914. A bill to apologize to the treatment of Italian Americans during World War II; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. LUJÁN (for himself, Mr. MARshall, Mr. BAIRD, Miss GONzalez-Colon of Puerto Rico, Mr. GONzalez of Ohio, Mr. BAcon, and Mr. WOODall):
H.R. 3915. A bill to amend the America COMPETES Act to reauthorize the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.

By Mr. LUJÁN (for himself, Mr. YANOng, Mr. COle, and Ms. MCCOlLUM):
H.R. 3916. A bill to provide for a study on the protection of Native American seeds and tradition for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, and Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. DeIAUro, Ms. HAAland, Ms. SCHAKOWsky, Ms. VELÁquez, Mr. RUSh, Mr. FAScHELL, Ms. WILD, Mr. PAYnE, Mr. MccOTER, Mr. Le of California, Mr. COURTney, Mr. JOHnson of Georgia, Ms. TLIARD, Mr. SHaM PATrIcK MALoney of New York, Mr. ROSe of New York, Mr. REp of New Hampshire, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. DeFazzo, Mr. QUIGLEy, Mr. TaKANO, Ms. SPHeR, Mr. LYNch, Ms. DEgBrett, Ms. NORTon, Ms. MUTCHER-POWeLL, Mr. CISeRNoS, Mr. COHERN, Ms. CASTOr of Florida, Ms. MENG, Mr. MoRELLe, Ms. LAWRENCE, Ms. FRANKEL, Ms. ESCOBAR, Mr. ROYAL-ALLARD, Mr. ESHo, Ms. BOsMANICHI, Mr. BlUMENAUER, Mr. LOWeNThAL, Mr. LUJÁN, Mr. SMITh of Washington, Mr. KENNY, Mr. CHoT, Ms. HALAder, Mr. SHeR, Ms. LEe of Nevada, Mr. BeRA, Mr. PEtERS, Mrs. BRATTy, Mr. GRIjalva, Mrs. NAPITANo, Ms. MCDowELL, Ms. BRENNER, Ms. BRITT of California, Ms. SÁNCHEz, Mr. GARCIA of Illinois, Mr. AGUILLA, Ms. WEXTON, Ms. DeLBEne, Ms. MCCollUM, Ms. WISIon of Florida, Mr. THOMPson of California, Mr. THOMPson of California, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Mr. SMYTH of Texas, Mr. FUGATT of Colorado, Mr. PALM of Pennsylvania, Mr. DEMAIO, Mr. VARGAS, and Mr. KILDEe):
H.R. 3917. A bill to prohibit the use of funds for the 2016 World Cup unless the United States Soccer Federation provides equitable treatment to the national women’s team and the United States Men’s National Team; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. MENG (for herself, Ms. MOORE, Ms. VELÁquez, Mr. CARson of Indiana, Mr. McGOvIN, Ms. HAAland, Mr. ESFAILy, Mr. WILcER, Mrs. KIRK of Iowa, Mr. SVOZEL, Mr. NORTon, Ms. JACKOn LEE, Mr. MEERs, Mr. RUSh, Mr. RUFFERSBERGER, Ms. WILson of Florida, Mrs. NAPITANo, Ms. LE of California, Mr. DeFAZIO, and Mr. BlUMENAUER):
H.R. 3918. A bill to protect the health and safety of children in immigration detention, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committees on Homeland Security, and Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MOULTON (for himself and Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana):
H.R. 3919. A bill to require research in coastal sustainable ecosystems, to ensure that the Federal Government continues to implement and advance coastal restorations efforts, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. NADLER (for himself and Mr. CICILlINE):
H.R. 3920. A bill to prohibit agreements between employers that directly restrict the current or future employment of any employee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PERRY:
H.R. 3921. A bill to require an institution of higher education to establish a disclosure report with the Secretary of Education whenever such institution receives a gift from or enters into a contract with a foreign source, the value of which is $50,000 or more, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, Transportation and Infrastructure, and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PRESSLEY:
H.R. 3922. A bill to establish American opportunity accounts, to modify estate and gift tax rates, to reform the taxation of capital income, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. RUIZ:
H.R. 3923. A bill to require Federal agencies, when addressing certain policies, to require consideration of cumulative impacts in certain permitting decisions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Natural Resources, Transportation and Infrastructure, and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. S’OuZZI (for himself and Mr. REDDING):
H.R. 3924. A bill to provide for the treatment of a pharmacy counter refusal as a coverage determination under Medicare part D; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. TONKo (for himself and Mr. MCKINLEY):
H.R. 3925. A bill to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to prohibit States from requiring covered persons to be provided with medication-assisted treatment under Medicaid from imposing utilization control policies or procedures with respect to such treatment, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. VIScLOSKY:
H.R. 3926. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 in order to require the service obligation verification process for TEACH Grant recipients, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. RASKIN:
H.R. 3927. A resolution affirming the validity of subpoenas duly issued and investigations undertaken by any standing or permanent select committee of the House of Representatives pursuant to authorities delegated by the Constitution and the Rules of the House of Representatives; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. BAIrD (for himself, Mr. HolINGSwoRTH, Mr. PENCE, Mr. BANKS, Ms. BROOKS of Ohio, Mr. CARson of Indiana, Mrs. WALORSki, Mr. VIScLOSKY, and Mr. BUChSON):
H.R. 3928. A resolution honoring the 50th anniversary of the return of the Indiana Rangers; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. WILSON of South Carolina (for himself and Mr. KapaNK):
H.R. 3929. A resolution affirming United States support to the countries of Ukraine, Georgia, and Moldova in their effort to retain political sovereignty and territorial integrity; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. DAVIS of California (for herself, Mr. LEVIN of California, Mr. PETeRS, and Mr. VAgaS):
H.R. 3930. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the Department of the Navy should take a leading role in the mitigation of cross-border spills, discharges, and debris in the Tijuana River that impact national security interests of the United States; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. RASKIN (for himself and Mr. MEADOWs):
H.R. 3931. A resolution calling for the global repeal of blasphemy, heresy, and apostasy laws; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. RASKIN (for himself and Mr. ARMSTRONG):
H.R. 3932. A resolution recognizing the vital importance of democracy in the United States and encouraging State and local governments to aide citizens in reflecting on the contributions of democracy to a more free and stable world; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mrs. WATsoN COlEMAN (for herself, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. MOoRE, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. VARGAS, Mr. McNEEey, Mr. SIRES, and Mr. SAblAN):
H.R. 3934. A resolution committing to elevate the voices, leadership, and needs of the survivors that face systemic barriers in the effort to end sexual violence and support all survivors of sexual violence and gender-
CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. SCOTT of Virginia:
H.R. 3876.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. YARMUTH:
H.R. 3877.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1; Article I, Section 8, Clause 2; Article I, Section 8, Clause 18; and Article 1, Section 9, Clause 7 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. MCKINLEY:
H.R. 3878.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. HAALAND:
H.R. 3879.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 and Article IV, Section 3.

By Mr. DELGADO:
H.R. 3880.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. FRANKEL:
H.R. 3881.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I Section 8 of the Constitution.

By Ms. SPEIER:
H.R. 3882.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. CONNOLLY:
H.R. 3883.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. NADLER:
H.R. 3884.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, clauses 1, 3, and 18 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. GABBARD:
H.R. 3885.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The U.S. Constitution including Article 1, Section 8.

By Mr. MCAFEE:
H.R. 3886.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Ciliburn:
H.R. 3887.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3.

To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes;

By Mr. ROUDA:
H.R. 3888.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. BUCSHON:
H.R. 3889.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Art. 1, Sec. 9, Clause 3.

By Mr. Harder of California:
H.R. 3890.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Harder of California:
H.R. 3891.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. LIPINSKI:
H.R. 3892.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.

“To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.”

By Mr. VARGAS:
H.R. 3893.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
(1) To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution;

(2) To regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian tribes, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution;

(3) To make all laws necessary and proper for executing powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. LIPINSKI:
H.R. 3896.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, United States Constitution.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 3 of rule XII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

112. The SPEAKER presented a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Joint Resolution 15, urging Congress to pass the Daylight Act; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

113. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to Senate Concurrent Resolution 1, urging Congress to extend Medicaid coverage beyond 15 days for services provided in certain settings to adults with serious mental illness; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

114. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Concurrent Resolution 9, declaring support for the negotiated settlement agreement of federal reserved claims between representatives of the Navajo Nation, the United States, and the state of Utah; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

115. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to Senate Concurrent Resolution 9, declaring support for the negotiated settlement agreement of federal reserved claims between representatives of the Navajo Nation, the United States, and the state of Utah; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

116. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Joint Resolution 21, reaffirming the equal political, civil, and religious rights and privileges granted by the Utah Constitution for both men and women; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

117. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to Senate Concurrent Resolution 9, requesting the Congress of the United States call a convention of the states to propose amendments to the Constitution of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

118. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to Senate Concurrent Resolution 7, requesting the Congress of the United States call a convention of the states to propose amendments to the Constitution of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

119. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Concurrent Resolution 7, urging the President of the United States and Congress to remove barriers that prohibit the medical cannabis industry from legally accessing banking services; jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce.

120. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Concurrent Resolution 3, urging a humane response to the humanitarian crises at the U.S.-Mexico border; jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Homeland Security.

121. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to House Concurrent Resolution 14, encouraging Utah's state and congressional delegations to continue supporting legislation and practices that enhance electrical grid security against natural, accidental, or intentional occurrences, including disruption from electromagnetic pulses, that could potentially interrupt reliable electricity services; jointly to the Committee on Transportation, Infrastructure, Energy and Commerce.
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Ms. MENG:
H.R. 3918.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Ms. JUDY CHU of California:
H.R. 3910.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Mr. LEWIS:
H.R. 3911.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Ms. LOPFREN:
H.R. 3913.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Ms. LOFGREN:
H.R. 3914.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS
Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:
H.R. 34: Mr. COHEN.
H.R. 46: Ms. JUDY CHU of California and Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD.
H.R. 93: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.
H.R. 96: Ms. LEE of California and Mr. ROGERS.
H.R. 153: Mr. DUNN.
H.R. 196: Mr. MCGOVERN.
H.R. 295: Mr. NOE.
H.R. 367: Mr. DESJARDINS.
H.R. 336: Ms. CHENEY and Mr. FLEISCHMANN.
H.R. 388: Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio.
H.R. 485: Mr. CUNNINGHAM.
H.R. 500: Mr. PRICE of North Carolina.
H.R. 586: Mr. GORAS.
H.R. 587: Mrs. TRAHAN, Mr. SHIMKUS, Mrs. DEMINGS, and Mr. PRICE of North Carolina.
H.R. 593: Mr. DESAUDNIER.
H.R. 598: Mr. RICE of South Carolina.
H.R. 3816: Mr. Brooks of Alabama.
H.R. 3819: Ms. Norton, Ms. Wild, Mr. Lipinski, Mr. Hastings, and Mr. Suozzi.
H.R. 3820: Ms. Hill of California.
H.R. 3828: Mr. Womack, Mr. Marshall, Mr. Waltz, and Mr. Baird.
H.R. 3837: Mr. Young.
H.R. 3838: Ms. Meng.
H.R. 3839: Mr. Fitzpatrick.
H.R. 3853: Mr. Grijalva.
H.R. 3862: Mr. Rush, Mr. Takano, and Ms. Norton.
H.R. 3868: Mr. Crist.
H.R. 3873: Mr. Crow.
H.R. 3874: Mr. Ted Lieu of California.
H.J. Res. 38: Mr. Jeffries.
H.J. Res. 48: Mr. Bera.
H.Con. Res. 20: Mr. Kim and Mr. Joyce of Pennsylvania.
H.Res. 23: Mrs. Murphy, Mr. Guest, and Mr. Schrader.
H.Res. 33: Mr. Evans.
H.Res. 177: Mr. Bushon.
H.Res. 189: Ms. Haaland, Mr. Grijalva, Ms. Porter, Mr. Kihanna, and Ms. Torres Small of New Mexico.
H.Res. 233: Mr. Blumenauer.
H.Res. 246: Mr. Richmond and Mrs. Radevagen.
H.Res. 300: Mr. Gallego.
H.Res. 329: Mr. Schneider, Ms. Frankel, Mrs. Torres of California, Mrs. Lowey, Mr. Casten of Illinois, and Ms. Wasserman Schultz.
H.J. Res. 358: Mrs. Walorski and Mr. Taylor.
H.Res. 374: Mr. Collins of Georgia.
H.Res. 379: Mr. Correa and Mr. Swalwell of California.
H.Res. 436: Mr. Waltz.
H.Res. 492: Mr. Cisneros.
H.Res. 493: Mr. Gallagher, Mr. Gaetz, Mr. Banks, Mr. Norman, Mr. Aderholt, Mr. Rice of Georgia, Mr. Weber of Texas, Mr. King of Iowa, Mr. Wright, Mrs. Wagner, Mr. Reschenthaler, Mr. Babin, Mr. Johnson of Louisiana, and Mr. McHenry.
H.Res. 496: Ms. Pressley, Mr. Blumenauer, and Mr. Carson of Indiana.
H.Res. 502: Mr. Carson of Indiana and Mr. Grijalva.