

TRIBUTE TO KATHRYN WEEDEN

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, on one final matter, as Senate majority leader, it is my honor this morning to acknowledge a truly remarkable legacy of service to the Senate and really to the Nation.

Next month, the Senate Page School will say goodbye to its beloved principal. After 26 years heading up this unique institution, our very own Mrs. Kathryn Weeden is starting a new chapter as a very deserving retiree.

For more than a quarter century, Principal Weeden has been a constant anchor in a place where rotation and change are par for the course.

The Page School, as we know, welcomes a new class of high-achieving young people from all across the country every semester. These students' experience is most famous for the fast-paced, hands-on exposure to the inner workings of American government it involves, but in the early mornings and late evenings, when they are not delivering bill text around the Capitol, assisting Members on the floor, or engaged in any number of tasks that help this place actually run, they are also dealing with the academic rigors of the 11th grade.

There are 30 teenagers at a time living, studying, and working full time on Capitol Hill. Needless to say, keeping this unique arrangement running smoothly is less than an ordinary job—even a full-time one—and more like a life's mission. By all accounts, it is a mission Principal Weeden has executed with constant competence, total professionalism, and the utmost grace.

Now, if I had to guess, a large share of that grace was spent in 5 a.m. meetings most mornings. That is typically when she would first encounter what I am sure were the most chipper and alert 16- and 17-year-olds around. While the hundreds of pages who have worked, studied, and grown under Principal Weeden's watch may not miss their early morning math class, they sure will miss her. They are left with lasting memories of her attentive mentorship and compassion.

Among recent graduates of the Page Program, some of whom I have been proud to sponsor through my own office, the conclusion is clear: Principal Weeden is simply the best.

They remember the way she would make time for weekly one-on-one meetings to check up on their progress and talk about their future goals. They remember the way she radiated excitement leading the school in assembling care packages for servicemembers deployed overseas. They remember her knack for reassuring words and for helping them put the daily stresses of high school in perspective.

Inevitably, after 26 years, Principal Weeden's legacy is counted in the flood of letters sharing stories like these following the news of her retirement, but it is also measured in her commitment to transform the Page School as a top-notch academic institution.

It is thanks to her no-nonsense leadership that this unique program has earned and maintained accreditation, that its rigors have earned the attention and respect of prestigious universities, and that it continues to attract talented and passionate educators to carry on in her example.

Unsurprisingly, the colleagues and faculty whom Ms. Weeden has mentored and supervised are no less enthusiastic in their praise than her former students. Talking to them about their departing boss, you hear words like disciplined, nurturing, and patriotic. You are painted a picture that looks something like a Mother Superior, a no-nonsense administrator, an exacting leader, and a true friend all in one.

Being entrusted with the care, feeding, education, and maturation of so many young men and women over so many years would be an accomplishment no matter where Principal Weeden had worked, but to do all that at the Senate Page School means something even more. To a special degree, her legacy will have helped, formed, and shaped America's civic future for the better.

Because she gave of herself so generously to a special class of young people—those who are so interested in our American Government that they just had to come see it firsthand—today, the Senate celebrates this outstanding legacy and warmly congratulates Principal Weeden on such a tremendous accomplishment. We thank her for all she has done, and we wish her nothing but happiness in the years that lie ahead.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

BUDGET AGREEMENT

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, the Senate has just a few more days this week to pass legislation to lift the budget caps and extend the debt ceiling before the summer State work period. As the minority leader, I don't have control over the schedule here on the floor, much to my dismay. So I am left to ask my friend, the majority leader, who does control the floor schedule: Why don't we vote on the caps deal today?

Four congressional leaders and the White House reached an agreement 2 weeks ago. The President supports it. The House has already passed it. Secretary Mnuchin has said we need this

legislation urgently before the State work period because he can't guarantee that we will not hit the debt ceiling before Congress reconvenes. The majority leader spoke about the importance of moving the budget agreement back in mid-May. Now the clock is ticking.

I make clear to the leader and to all of my friends on the other side of the aisle, as well as all Americans: Democrats are ready to vote on the House bill today so it can get to the President's desk and we can avoid even a glimmer of default.

ELECTION SECURITY

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, on another matter, last week former Special Counsel Mueller testified that Russian interference in our democracy "wasn't a single attempt. They're doing it as we sit here and they expect to do it in the next campaign."

The Russians, he said, interfered in the last election and are trying to interfere again. Mueller said: "Much more needs to be done in order to protect against these intrusions, not just by the Russians but by others as well."

It was not just Mueller who said these things or agreed with these ideas and sentiments. FBI Director Wray, appointed by President Trump, has shared similar sentiments. Departing DNI Director Coats—lifelong Republican, former member of this body, well respected by all, and appointed by President Trump—has repeatedly warned about the threat posed by Russia. The Senate Intelligence Committee, chaired by a Republican, Senator BARR, did the same.

It is with these facts as the backdrop—the testimony of prominent Republicans, allies of President Trump and friends and allies of our colleagues here—that Democrats have been pushing for election security—so far, to little avail. Leader MCCONNELL and the Republican majority have not allowed a single election security bill to reach the floor of the Senate. We haven't had a single bill open for amendment all year.

So, last week, understandably frustrated at the lack of progress, Democrats asked unanimous consent to pass House legislation to safeguard our elections. Leader MCCONNELL blocked that request saying yesterday: "I am not going to let Democrats and their water-carriers in the media use Russia's attack on our democracy as a Trojan horse for partisan wish list items."

"Partisan wish list items"—really? What are these items on our partisan wish list, you might ask? Using paper ballots—that is partisan? Using paper ballots is widely agreed upon as a reform to protect our elections from manipulation. Does Leader MCCONNELL object to paper ballots? Does Leader MCCONNELL believe paper ballots are partisan? They are part of our elections, whoever wins.

How about this one: We want the postelection audits to make sure the

Russians or any other foreign power didn't interfere. Does Leader MCCONNELL object to auditing our elections to make sure the outcomes are accurate? Are election audits partisan?

Making sure the States and localities have adequate resources to update and maintain election infrastructure—does Leader MCCONNELL oppose that, when 21 attorneys general have said they don't have enough money now to guard their election processes and machines from manipulation by Russia or others?

So that is "our partisan wish list"—paper ballots, election audits, and money to protect us from the Russians. If Leader MCCONNELL opposes these policies, fine, but let him say so. I repeat, protecting our election from Russian interference is not a Democratic issue or a Republican issue or an Independent issue, and it is not a liberal issue or a conservative issue. It is not a moderate issue. It is an issue that goes to the wellspring of our democracy and something the Founding Fathers warned about—foreign interference. James Madison, Thomas Jefferson, George Washington, and Benjamin Franklin all were worried about foreign interference in our elections, and now Leader MCCONNELL calls it partisan to worry about it? Please.

If Leader MCCONNELL wants to debate other legislation than what we propose and what has passed the House—legislation like the FIRE Act or the Duty to Report Act or the Prevention of Foreign Interference with Elections Act—bring it on. Let's do it. If Leader MCCONNELL wants to address election security in the appropriations process, we would welcome his support on an amendment to send more funding to the States. We want to get something done on election security because this is not about party. This is a matter of national security. This is about the sanctity of elections, something for which Americans have died for generations. It is not partisan at all. It is the wellspring of our democracy.

But so long as the Senate Republicans prevent legislation from reaching the floor, so long as they oppose additional appropriations to the States, so long as they malign election security provisions as "partisan wish lists," the critics are right to say that Leader MCCONNELL and Republican Senators are blocking election security because, at the moment, that is true.

VENEZUELA

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, on one last subject, after I conclude my remarks, I will yield to my friend, colleague, and former roommate from Illinois, who will ask this body to take up and pass what I believe is a very important measure, temporary protected status for Venezuelans currently residing in the United States.

Last week, the House passed bipartisan legislation that would grant these protections—a lifeline to families

who are facing a forced return to unstable and dangerous situations in their country.

Few nations, outside wartime, have endured the economic, humanitarian, and political devastation that Venezuela endures today. Hospitals and pharmacies lack basic medicines. The rate of violent crime has risen sharply, and 300,000 children are at risk of dying from malnutrition. Venezuela clearly meets the standard for temporary protected status. The situation is too dire and too dangerous for Venezuelan nationals to return to the country.

So I am glad the House has taken action to pass these temporary protections on a bipartisan basis, and the Senate should follow suit. The President could have acted on his own to help Venezuelans living in America, but he has repeatedly denied congressional requests to extend TPS relief for them during this critical time of transition from the despotic regime of Nicolas Maduro. President Trump's inaction has compelled Congress to act.

So I salute my friend, Senator DURBIN, as well as Senator MENENDEZ, our two leaders on this issue, as they ask the Senate to take up the House-passed TPS bill. I hope, earnestly, that our friends on the other side will let it go through.

I suggest the absence of a quorum for a moment so I might confer with the Senator from Illinois.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session and resume consideration of the following nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Michael T. Liburdi, of Arizona, to be United States District Judge for the District of Arizona.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

VENEZUELA

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I want to thank the leader, Senator SCHUMER from New York, for his introduction of the remarks I am about to make. But before I do, let me preface it by saying that I couldn't agree with him more. When you take a look at this empty Senate Chamber and realize we are in session this week with the possibility of bringing important legislation to the floor, you have to ask the obvious question: Where is everybody? Why aren't we acting like a Senate? Why are we meeting and having speeches instead of debate on important legislation? What could be more important than the security of an election?

We have a lot of young people across America. We say to them: Register to vote. Your vote makes a difference. You get to choose the leaders for this country's future. Be sure and vote.

But we have to be honest with you. Your vote is under attack—first, by apathy—people don't register and they don't vote—and second, by outside foreign influence and forces.

We know what happened 4 years ago in the Presidential election. The Russians tried to invade the U.S. electoral process and change it. I know it firsthand because it happened first in the State of Illinois. Turns out someone put together a computer program that had a little opening in it, a little hole, and that is all they needed. Sitting in Moscow, these folks in front of computers were searching day in and day out for ways to get into the voters' list in Illinois, and they were successful. They were successful in invading the voting list, the official records of our State on the people who were eligible to vote. They could have done some mischievous things. They could have disrupted our election. Thank goodness they didn't, but it would have been as simple as going through and just changing the addresses, one digit in the address of every registered voter, so when that voter came to vote, the ID card or information given to the judge at the election place wouldn't match up in terms of their address with the official record. That meant they would have voted with a provisional ballot, and those ballots would have stacked up with the thousands of people who could have been victimized by the Russians in my State of Illinois.

We said very publicly—we were the first State to say publicly: The Russians have done this to us.

We didn't see any changes in the voter file. We knew they had the capacity and ability to do it, but they didn't. We have known ever since that they have been attacking our electoral process.

Why didn't we hear about it as much in the most recent election in 2018? Well, specifically because we were in the circumstance where we were fighting it. Our intelligence agencies were fighting it.

So this is a valid issue, an important issue, and it is one that I hope Leader