

Congressional Record

United States of America proceedings and debates of the 116^{tb} congress, second session

Vol. 166

House of Representatives

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. CUELLAR).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,

February 27, 2020. I hereby appoint the Honorable HENRY CUELLAR to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 7, 2020, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with time equally allocated between the parties and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 11:50 a.m.

HIGHLIGHTING THE IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL HERITAGE AREAS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON) for 5 minutes.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to highlight the importance of our Nation's National Heritage Areas. These sites are rich with history, culture, and the marvels of our Nation's natural resources.

In 1984, President Ronald Reagan signed the first National Heritage Area

into law, calling it "a new kind of national park." Today, nearly 40 years later, our Nation touts 55 National Heritage Areas across the country.

I am proud of the 12 National Heritage Areas in Pennsylvania, more than any other State in the Nation. National Heritage Areas in Pennsylvania span 57 of our 67 counties, and these areas are truly an economic development powerhouse.

In 2014, tourists spent an estimated \$2 billion worth of goods and services during their travels to Pennsylvania's National Heritage Areas. That is \$2 billion back into our rural communities.

One of those areas, the Oil Region National Heritage Area, is in my district. The oil region was established as a Pennsylvania Heritage Area in 1994. Ten years later it became a National Heritage Area.

Pennsylvania's oil region is rich with history. In 1859, Edwin Drake changed our Nation's energy future forever when he drilled the world's first commercial oil well in Titusville, Pennsylvania, which is in my congressional district, establishing Pennsylvania's oil region as the birthplace of the world's petroleum industry.

Recently, I had the pleasure of joining the Alliance of National Heritage Areas for their annual Heart & Soul Breakfast, where I was reminded of the positive impact that these areas have on our communities.

Mr. Speaker, National Heritage Areas represent one of the finest public public-private partnership models in the country. It is for that reason and their unwavering commitment to preserving our Nation's history that I am so proud to stand beside them as they tirelessly promote our Nation's rich history.

National Heritage Areas are preserving our Nation's history, not only to celebrate it, but to learn from it and, quite frankly, to create economic development and opportunity utilizing it.

RECOGNIZING THE 176TH ANNIVER-SARY OF DOMINICAN REPUBLIC INDEPENDENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT) for 5 minutes.

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Mr. Speaker, today is February 27, and we celebrate the 176th anniversary of independence of the Dominican Republic, which gained its independence in 1844 from the Republic of Haiti.

Led by Juan Pablo Duarte, Sanchez, and Mella, this island nation moved forward under great adversity. Throughout its 176 years, it has endured military occupation, dictatorship, and other strong and determining challenges. But throughout these many decades, the nation has prevailed.

Today, we enjoy the presence of over 2 million Dominicans in the United States, many of them in New York State, and many of them in the 13th Congressional District, particularly in Washington Heights.

We see many streets in the neighborhood named after some of the patriarchs of the nation. We have Juan Pablo Duarte Boulevard, Manolo Tavarez Justo Way, Mateo Rojas Alou Street, Miguel Amaro Way, Freddy Beras-Goico Way.

We also have schools named after very prominent members of that community. Salome Urena School, the Mirabal Sisters School, Juan Pablo Duarte School, the Juan Bosch School.

We also have a huge parade, which now goes down Sixth Avenue, but originally started right there on Audubon Avenue in Northern Manhattan.

We have social and cultural institutions like Club Deportivo Dominicano. We have many bodega owners, supermarket owners, hair salons, and other small businesses that I think are characteristic of their resolve, our people's resolve to work hard and make it a better city for all of us.

 \Box This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., \Box 1407 is 2:07 p.m. Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



H1227

coming the first and most important column of the Dominican economy, and we continue to contribute in many, many ways to that island nation and the United States of America.

Last Saturday, we witnessed an unprecedented event where young people convened in Washington Heights to protest the failed municipal elections back home.

Last weekend, the Washington Heights neighborhood of Manhattan, which I am honored to represent in Congress, witnessed thousands of young people who came to protest against the most recent failure by the Dominican Republic's electoral board to properly execute the country's municipal elections on February 16.

Tais Garcia Heredia, Emely Curiel, Andres Gonzalez del Rey, Candido Santana, Alberto Valentin, Albelis Reyes, and others, particularly a young man by the name of Felipe Batista, led these young people—thousands. Close to 10,000 of them descended on Washington Heights.

Their initiative to fight for their people's future will probably not go down in the history books; you may not be able to find them in a Wikipedia page in the future; you may not be able to even Google their names; but their names will be echoed in the CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD, and their patriotism will forever be remembered.

They are fighting to strengthen democracy. They are fighting to strengthen the best values of any democratic system and process. That is why I am here: to exult their names and to tell all that know them that we are very proud of them.

(English translation of the statement made in Spanish is as follows:)

Today we are fighting for Dominican Independence, wherever there's a Dominican there's homeland. God, homeland and freedom.

Hoy en día estamos luchando por la Independencia Dominicana, donde está el dominicano esta la Patria. Dios, Patria y Libertad.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York will provide a translation of his remarks to the Clerk.

EFFORTS TO FIGHT ASIAN CARP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. COMER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, last week, I had the opportunity to travel to Kentucky Lake, alongside Senate Majority Leader MITCH MCCONNELL and other local leaders, to observe progress being made to remove Asian carp from our west Kentucky waterways. Senator MCCONNELL and I took a boat out on the water to observe the new Modified Unified Method for removing this invasive species from our lakes.

Thanks to Federal funding we have secured, efforts are in progress that will improve the fishing industry and our overall tourist economy in the First Congressional District of Kentucky. The Federal resources that have been steered toward this problem are instrumental in fighting the war on carp.

It has truly been a team approach, with local leaders like Lyon County Judge-Executive Wade White doing a tremendous job of advocating for action.

While there is certainly work left to do to eradicate Asian carp, we are moving in the right direction. I pledge to continue working with State and local officials and to be an effective Federal partner moving forward to rid our waters of this harmful species.

HONORING UNION COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT PATRICIA SHEFFER

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Union County Schools Superintendent Patricia Sheffer for winning the F.L. Dupree Outstanding Superintendent Award. This prestigious honor is awarded annually by the Kentucky School Boards Association to a leader who has demonstrated a high level of accomplishment within their school system.

Serving as superintendent comes with a variety of steep challenges and responsibilities. Based on this award, Patricia has gone above and beyond to excel in this critically important role.

She has committed her career to working with community leaders to improve opportunities for the next generation, including placing a heavy emphasis on improving career and technical education opportunities.

Mr. Speaker, her impact on Union County and public education cannot be overstated. I have seen firsthand the great work Ms. Sheffer has done there in Morganfield, and I join with all of my constituents in the First Congressional District of Kentucky in congratulating her.

HONORING NATIONAL FUTURE FARMERS OF AMERICA WEEK

Mr. COMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of National FFA Week, an event that always brings back fond memories and is very special to me. Not only am I a proud farmer and former commissioner of agriculture, but I was also very active in FFA and had the high honor of serving as Kentucky FFA president.

FFA had an extraordinary influence on my life, as I know it does for many young people today. I proudly display my blue and gold jacket here in my congressional office.

While I will admit to being biased, there is no better way to learn, grow, and excel than being part of FFA. This quality organization uses agriculture education to empower students and put them on a lifelong path to success.

The leadership skills that students gain through FFA are extremely valuable, and I know that it makes a positive difference in the lives of young people every day.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to represent so many high-quality FFA programs, leaders, and students here in Washington, and FFA will always hold a special place in my heart.

ONE YEAR SINCE WE VOTED TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY GUN DEATHS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. MCBATH) for 5 minutes.

Mrs. McBATH. Mr. Speaker, I rise on the anniversary of the House passage of H.R. 8, the Bipartisan Background Checks Act.

It is has been 1 year since we stood together in this Chamber, Democrats and Republicans, and voted to pass a commonsense bill that will help save lives; 1 year since we voted to prevent unnecessary gun deaths and keep guns away from those who should not have them; 1 year since we acted to protect our children, to protect our communities, and to protect our families.

One hundred Americans die every single day from gun violence. In 2020, there have already been more gun deaths in the United States than our peer countries will experience in an entire year. That is unconscionable, and it is why 90 percent of our country supports universal background checks.

Yet, we are told that, instead of changing our laws, we must have more active-shooter drills; more first graders coming home with tears in their eyes, 6-year-olds asked to decide for themselves whether they are more likely to survive by hiding in a closet or if they should rush the gunman; more mother's reading messages from their children locked inside a school that plead: Mom, if I don't make it home, I love you, and I appreciate everything that you have done for me.

Too often we are told that we must accept these tragedies, but millions of Americans refuse to accept that, and I stand with them.

Today marks 1 year since we passed the Bipartisan Background Checks Act.

Tomorrow marks 1 year since the passage of the Enhanced Background Check Act, which would close the Charleston loophole.

I introduced a bill that would give loved ones and law enforcement more tools to keep guns away from those who are a danger to themselves or to others, tools that would help people like Mary Miller-Strobel, whose brother, Ben, was a combat veteran suffering from depression and PTSD.

Mary and her family worried about his mental health, and they drove to every gun store in their area pleading with the store owners not to sell her brother a gun. Ben Miller died by suicide. He used the gun he bought at his local gun store.

□ 1015

There is injustice in this year without action. I know that sense of injustice. On black Friday in November 2012, my son Jordan was sitting in the back seat of a car at a gas station with his friends. A man pulled up next to them and complained about the loud music that they were playing.

He pulled out a gun and he fired 10 shots into that car hitting Jordan three times, killing my only son.

I lost my son. But I am still his mother and I am on a mission to help protect the lives of children like him all over America.

I made a promise to my community that I would act in Washington.

I promised that I would take that sense of protection, that love a mother has for her only son, and use it for my community, use it for the American people.

I promised I would dedicate my life to families like mine in Marietta, Georgia, who are terrified that they will send their kids to school and never see them come home. They are terrified they will be me.

I pray that on the 1-year anniversary of H.R. 8, that we remember that this is in our hands. We remember families like Mary's. We remember children graduating from high school. We remember Florida. We remember communities all across this country. Their lives are in our hands.

I want to thank my colleagues, survivors, and volunteers, and advocates across America that are here with us today for your tireless work to pass this landmark legislation and protect our families.

I pray that God bless us all in this fight to save American lives.

HONORING THE 75TH ANNIVER-SARY OF THE BATTLE OF IWO JIMA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the 75th anniversary of the battle for Iwo Jima. I had the privilege of joining Senator YOUNG at a ceremony last week in Indianapolis to commemorate this fateful battle that led to the allied victory in World War II.

With us at the Indiana War Memorial was Wayne Saucerman, a World War II marine veteran of Iwo Jima and a true American hero.

Seventy-five years ago Wayne found himself thousands of miles away from home in the midst of a fierce 5-week battle that would help change the course of the war and history itself.

To Wayne and to other patriots who fight for the freedom and liberty we hold so dear, thank you for your service.

Next month I will have the honor of returning to the island as part of a congressional delegation invited to participate in the 75th Reunion of Honor on Iwo Jima. We will be joined by the commandant of the Marine Corps and dignitaries from Japan to renew this commitment by remembering and honoring those who fought 75 years ago.

TRUMP ADMINISTRATION PUTS FARMERS FIRST Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commend President Trump's leadership on the Waters of the United States issue and support his repeal of the burdensome Obama-era regulation.

As a result of this decision, our farmers can worry less about government overreach on their own property and instead focus on building and growing their farms and small businesses. We finally have an administration that puts our farmers first, and for Hoosiers in my district, that is a big deal.

I pledge my commitment to farmers and families in my district to continue working with the Trump administration on this very critical issue.

RECOGNIZING AHAUS TOOL AND ENGINEERING

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Ahaus Tool and Engineering in Richmond, Indiana for their effective apprenticeship program.

While recently visiting Ahaus, their leadership told me about their nationally accredited apprenticeship program, which has been helping Hoosiers for over 30 years.

Ahaus offers a full-time job while their employees attend school to gain hands-on learning experience with peers in the company.

I wish Ahaus continued success and I thank them for their investment in the community of Richmond.

RECOGNIZING THE GOOD SAMARITAN FOOD PANTRY

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Good Samaritan Food Pantry of North Vernon and its wonderful manager, Ginger Miller.

The Good Samaritan Food Pantry served over 29,000 Jennings County residents last year, including 1,000 veterans. The Good Samaritan Food Pantry is run by an all-volunteer staff and runs completely on donations.

Not only does this organization help feed those in need, but it also provides clothing and household items for families in need.

God bless those that help the Good Samaritan Food Pantry run, and God bless all the volunteers involved.

CONGRATULATING SILAS ALLRED

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Silas Allred of Shenandoah High School for winning the 195-pound weight class Indiana Wrestling State Title.

This is Silas' second straight wrestling State title, and he joined an elite group of only one of five Indiana high school wrestlers in history to win the title by pin.

Silas is ranked fourth in the Nation for his weight class and qualified for Team U.S.A. to compete at the Pan Am Cadet Games.

I congratulate Silas and wish him the best of luck in his future.

TAKING STRONG ACTION TO STOP THE EPIDEMIC OF YOUTH TO-BACCO USE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. UNDERWOOD) for 5 minutes.

Ms. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, this week the House is taking strong action

to stop the epidemic of youth tobacco use.

We have watched as the use of tobacco and vape products by kids has exploded in our community over the past months. It has become a fullblown public health crisis affecting more than 5 million kids.

More than 200 Illinoisans have suffered respiratory illness from dangerous vape products since last year. Eight of them have died. This shouldn't be happening.

Thanks to decades of public health work and education, youth tobacco use was actually going down until the introduction of sophisticated vape products.

Let's be clear, these products are targeted at kids. They are made with flavors like cotton candy and gummy bears. They are marketed directly to children, and they are designed to keep children hooked.

This is a crisis that we will be dealing with for decades because 95 percent of adult tobacco users started when they were kids, and there is still so much that we don't know about how the high doses of nicotine and other chemicals in these products affect kids' bodies and their minds.

Given the FDA's failure to effectively regulate these products, Congress needs to act now before it is too late. It is time to stand up and refuse to let our kids be hurt. It is time to pass the Protecting American Lungs and Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act of 2019.

RECOGNIZING BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Bucks County Community College, which recently received a \$200,000 grant to raise awareness and promote its metal working apprenticeship program.

This 12-week program provides entrylevel training, forklift certification, and other skills necessary to begin a career in manufacturing. The Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development grant will be used to promote Bucks County Community College's manufacturing preapprenticeship training programs.

Mr. Speaker, earlier this week I partnered with Bucks County native, Congressman Ro KHANNA, to introduce the Student Apprenticeship Act, a bill that would close the gap between higher education and labor by modernizing workforce training and catalyzing the growth of registered apprenticeships nationwide.

Apprenticeship programs provide skills and education for high-paying jobs and vital careers, and we need to be sure we give them the attention that they deserve.

RECOGNIZING AILEEN TORRENTE

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Sergeant Aileen

Torrente of the Middletown Township Police Department.

Sergeant Torrente was the first woman in the history of the police department to be promoted from patrol officer to detective in 2014. Recently Aileen was promoted again; this time from detective to her current rank of sergeant.

Sergeant Torrente's success will set a great example for current and future officers at my hometown township police department in Middletown. Aileen's hard work, determination, and diligence led to her promotion. She is a great role model for our community, and I look forward to seeing more promotions in the future as she works her way up to her dream job of chief of police. Mr. Speaker, I wish her well.

RECOGNIZING BENSALEM TOWNSHIP COUNCIL

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Bensalem Township Council, who took a huge step to ensure the safety and wellbeing of their residents by prohibiting vaping in public township facilities, including parks and other recreational areas.

The health and safety of our children should be our top priority, and the recent youth vaping epidemic has been a huge health issue in our community and across our Nation.

I recently had the opportunity to meet with students from New Hope Solebury Cares who expressed their concerns with how prevalent vaping has become in their schools, and they talked about solutions that we can work on to bring attention to this issue.

Part of the rise in youth vaping has been attributed to flavored nicotine. Flavors such as mango, cucumber, and other types of flavors undoubtedly target our children.

Tomorrow the House will vote on final passage of H.R. 2339, the Protecting American Lungs and Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act. This legislation would ban all flavored vaping products, e-cigarette ads targeting youth, and remote cigarette sales. As a cosponsor of this bill, I look forward to voting in favor of this bill and taking a much-needed step to mitigate tobacco use among our children.

FRIENDS NATIONAL DAY OF SCHOOL AND CONTENTS COMMEMORATING SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY READ-INGS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. CRAIG) for 5 minutes.

Ms. CRAIG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of transgender and nonbinary youth. Today is Jazz and Friends National Day of School and Community Readings.

I would like to read the book "I Am Jazz" by Jessica Herthel and Jazz Jennings.

I Am Jazz!

For as long as I can remember, my favorite color has been pink. (My second-favorite color is silver and my third-favorite color is green.)

Here are some of my other favorite things: dancing, singing, back flips, drawing, soccer, swimming, makeup, and pretending I'm a pop star.

Most of all, I love mermaids, Sometimes I even wear a mermaid tail in the pool!

My best friends are Samantha and Casey. We always have fun together. We like high heels and princess gowns, or cartwheels and trampolines.

But I'm not exactly like Samantha and Casev.

I have a girl brain but a boy body. This is called transgender.

I was born this way!

When I was very little, and my mom would say, "You're such a good boy," I would say, "No. Mama, Good GIRL!"

At first my family was confused. They'd always thought of me as a boy.

As I got older, I hardly ever played with trucks or tools or superheroes. Only princesses and mermaid costumes.

My brothers told me this was girl stuff. I kept right on playing.

My sister says I was always talking to her about my girl thoughts, and my girl dreams,

and how one day I would be a beautiful lady. She would giggle and say, "You're a funny kid."

Sometimes my parents let me wear my sister's dresses around the house. But whenever we went out. I had to put on my boy clothes again. This made me mad!

Still, I never gave up trying to convince them. Pretending I was a boy felt like telling a lie.

amazing day, everything Then one changed. Mom and Dad took me to meet a new doctor who asked me lots and lots of questions. Afterward, the doctor spoke to my parents and I heard the word "transgender" for the very first time.

That night at bedtime, my parents both hugged me and said, "We understand now. Be who you are. We love you no matter what.' This made me smile and smile and smile.

\Box 1030

Mom and Dad told me I could start wearing girl clothes to school, and growing my hair long. They even let me change my name to Jazz.

Being JAZZ felt much more like being ME! Mom said that being Jazz would make me different from the other kids at school, but that being different is okay. What's important, she said, is that I'm happy with who I a.m

Being Jazz caused some other people to be confused too, like the teachers at school.

At the beginning of the year they wanted me to use the boys' bathroom, and play on the boys' team in gym class, but that didn't feel normal to me at ALL.

I was so happy when the teachers changed their minds. I can't imagine not playing on the same team as Casev and Samantha.

Even today, there are kids who tease me. or call me by a boy name, or ignore me altogether. This makes me feel crummy.

Then I remember that the kids who get to know me usually want to be my friend. They say I'm one of the nicest girls at school.

I don't mind being different. Different is special! I think what matters most is what a person is like inside.

And inside, I am happy. I am having fun. I am proud!

I am Jazz

Thank you, Jazz Jennings, for your courage.

CHILDREN NEED OPPORTUNITY TO GENDER IDENTITY EXPLORE WITHOUT CRITICISM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SPEIER) for 5 minutes.

Ms. SPEIER. Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise to speak about a book, a book much like "I Am Jazz" that I have had the privilege of reading before on the House floor for a number of years. It is an opportunity for children to explore their gender identity without being criticized. This book is titled "They, She, He easy as ABC."

They! they! They! they! New friends are everywhere waiting to play!

Out on the dance floor we love to sing they. They is a way to let everyone be. No one left out and everyone free. Then when we're friends, we sing they, she, he, ze. Making it easy as a-b-c-d.

Let's dance!

A. Ari loves to arabesque. They hold their pose with ease.

B. Brody is a break dancer. Brody loves to freeze.

C. Cory leaps high like a cat. She springs and leaps and bounds.

D. Diego drums and dances. Tree has all the sounds.

E. Ebony flies everywhere. They spread their arms like wings.

F. Fawn is free as a flower. Fawn can bring the spring.

G. Gia's going fast and strong. She's dancing on the go.

H. Harvey's heart beats happily. Hip hop makes her flow.

I. Indigo's into insects. Ze loves the buzzing vibe.

J. Jorge jams to jazzy tunes. He or they can jive.

K. Kelly can kick super high. His heart lives in the sky.

L. Lourdes sings of lofty heights. Their songs let them fly.

M. Marley is a star mermaid. He or she flows with the sea.

N. Nathan is a nesting bird. He just wants to be.

O. Ocean's arms are open wide. Tree swings and sways about.

P. Paul pretends to be a plant. Paul grows up and OUT.

Q. Quetzal is so, so quiet. He rests just like a queen.

R. Rene is into rainbows. He creates the scene.

S. Sky is like a star so bright. All the pronouns are right.

T. Tai is tiger in the night. He claims his own might.

U. Una is a unicorn. They prance to their own sound.

V. Viola's a volcano. Her power's in her ground.

W. Wren whistles when she dances. She knows just what to do.

X. Xander exaggerates moves. They're extremely cool.

Y. Yoli yells YES! joyously. Their voice becomes the song.

Z. Zahara zooms in and out. Ze knows that ze belongs.

Now's your chance. We need your moves.

Join the dance. There's always room.

Maya makes the art and words. She sings the song to life. Matthew dreams and tinkers. He makes the work tight.

Together they make books for the kids they used to be. And for their own two kids so all kids can grow free!

HONORING ALBERT HENDERSON

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. COSTA) for 5 minutes.

Mr. COSTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor an exceptional veteran in my hometown of Fresno, California, Albert Henderson.

In this photo, Albert Henderson and I are here at the veterans home in Fresno, a wonderful facility that provides a continuum of care for those men and women who served our Nation over the years.

Albert has an incredible story. He is one of the last living survivors of the USS *Bismarck Sea*, which sank 75 years ago this month during a World War II battle on the island of Iwo Jima. We all know of that historic battle that took place on Iwo Jima.

His is a story of survival, and it is a remarkable one.

He joined the Navy as a cook at the age of 17. After learning of the attack on Pearl Harbor, like many Americans who decided to selflessly do everything they could to protect our country, he began his military journey on the USS *Bismarck Sea* as a chef second class, where he often speaks of the difficulties of preparing food for such a large group of folks.

As the ship made its way to the Philippines in 1944, its fate was sealed when it was struck by two Japanese kamikaze pilots.

In the kitchen at the time of the attack, Albert made it topside only to discover that all the life rafts and preservers were taken by other members of the crew.

He spent more than 4 hours struggling to stay afloat in the choppy waters of the Pacific Ocean, praying for God's help to survive after being rescued by a passing U.S. Navy motorboat.

For his services and injuries, Albert was awarded the Purple Heart and the Good Conduct Medal.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues today to join me in recognizing an American hero for this remarkable moment in history for a remarkable man over 75 years ago.

Albert, we thank you for your sacrifice and your courage to our Nation. God bless.

FACING CORONAVIRUS CHALLENGES

Mr. COSTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to talk about the challenges facing our country and the world with the recent outbreak of the coronavirus.

This disease presents a serious threat that I think requires a proactive approach in a bipartisan fashion.

Unfortunately, the mechanisms put in place by the previous administration, the Obama administration, to deal with pandemics like this have been dismantled over the last year. They were put in place to deal with the Ebola crisis and the SARS crisis years ago and to ensure that in the future, if possible pandemics occurred, we would be prepared.

In 2018, the President fired the entire pandemic response team. In the President's 2021 budget request, he proposed cutting over \$700 million to the Centers for Disease Control. Hopefully, this proposal will be dead on arrival. In the face of this crisis, this is simply unacceptable.

We need to be on guard. The social and economic impacts of this pandemic could be devastating globally, but the public health crisis affects all Americans.

We have just had the first case, in the last 24 hours, in northern California that, it is believed, the cause, the origin, is from here in our country, not coming from China.

We require a robust response. The Congress and the President need to work together in a bipartisan fashion to ensure the well-being, public health, and safety of the American people.

Hopefully, the President of the United States' press conference yesterday reflects the administration's intent to work together. We need to.

I am committed to joining my colleagues to ensure that we have an effective strategy in place to protect all Americans' health and safety from this potentially deadly pandemic virus, otherwise known as coronavirus.

We need to be on guard. We need to do everything we can to protect the American people.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until noon today.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 40 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1200

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker at noon.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer: Merciful God, we give You thanks for

giving us another day. As we meditate on all the blessings of

As we meditate on all the blessings of life, we especially pray for the blessing of peace in our lives and in our world.

The current spread of the coronavirus has already caused broad repercussions in financial markets, international trade and travel, and the healthcare industry worldwide. We ask Your blessings on those men and women whose life work is the health of the world's population and whose efforts to stem the spread of this disease have endangered their own well-being. Give them insight and protection in the days and weeks to come.

May Your special blessings be upon the Members of this assembly, in the important, sometimes difficult work they do. Give them wisdom and charity, that they might work together for the common good.

May all that is done this day in the people's House be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House her approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, I demand a vote on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to clause 8, rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. GONZALEZ) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Chair will entertain up to 15 requests for 1-minute speeches on each side of the aisle.

UNIVERSAL BACKGROUND CHECKS TO PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES

(Mr. KENNEDY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KENNEDY. Madam Speaker, a year ago, this House passed the bipartisan H.R. 8 to establish universal background checks to protect our communities from terrifying, paralyzing gun violence. In the 365 days since, MITCH MCCONNELL has done exactly what the gun industry has asked him to do: nothing.

Meanwhile, a gunman killed five people yesterday in Milwaukee, and two teenagers were killed 5 miles from where we stand over the weekend.

Meanwhile, millions live with the physical and emotional scars caused by guns.

Meanwhile, the gun industry is wrapped with immunity that shields their profits and puts more guns on our streets.

Madam Speaker, there is a secret that President Trump and Mr. McCon-NELL are hiding—you are subsidizing this industry:

Billions in medical costs, covered by your tax dollars;

Billions in lost wages, covered by your tax dollars;

Eighty-seven percent of the costs incurred after a bullet pierces a person's skin, covered by you.

We are propping up an industry that profits off of our pain.

Enough.

PARTICIPATING IN THE COMMIS-SION ON SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, last week, I was grateful to participate with the delegation for the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe, co-chaired by Congressman ALCEE HASTINGS and Senator ROGER WICKER, in Vienna, Austria. We attended the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly's 19th Winter Meeting.

The delegation engaged with OSCE officials and parliamentary members from 57 nations. Ambassador Jim Gilmore and his wife, Roxane, were effective assuring allies and potential adversaries of American commitment for peace through strength.

It was especially meaningful to meet with the courageous Ukrainian delegation, led by Mykyta Poturaiev, which appreciates President Trump's providing Javelin missiles to stop Russian aggression.

Bulgaria was well-represented, with a delegation led by Desislava Atanasova. Today, America celebrates Bulgarian National Day with Ambassador Tihomir Stoychev at the Library of Congress across the street in Washington.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

RECOGNIZING MILLER MIDDLE SCHOOL'S EIGHTH GRADE CLASS

(Mrs. LEE of Nevada asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. LEE of Nevada. Mr. Speaker, today I am here on behalf of the people of Nevada's Third Congressional District, and I rise to recognize the Miller Middle School eighth grade class, with whom I met earlier this week on their trip to Washington—and the timing couldn't be better since it is Public Schools Week.

We talked about topics from STEM education to encouraging creativity in the classroom. They had some terrific questions, but one really stuck out. One student asked me: Is investing in public education really worth it?

It is a tough question, a fair question, especially coming from a Clark County student. But the answer for me is overwhelmingly, undoubtedly yes. I just had to look around that room and see each of those students, whether they came from different backgrounds, having access to education.

And the answer is not just about investing, but it is about if we invest in public education as the national priority that it should be. In a time when public schools are being called upon to help kids beyond the classroom, investment in education should be our national priority.

RECOGNIZING COLONEL GUION "GUY" BLUFORD

(Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to recognize Westlake, Ohio, resident Colonel Guion "Guy" Bluford, who received the Ohio Distinguished Service Medal last week.

Guy became the first African American astronaut to travel into space in 1983. He earned the Ohio Distinguished Service Medal, the highest noncombat decoration for service awarded by the State, for his commitment and dedication throughout his historic career in aviation.

Before joining NASA, Bluford flew over 144 combat missions with the United States Air Force in South Vietnam. He later went on to earn his master's and doctorate degree with aerospace engineering at the Air Force Institute of Technology at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Davton. Ohio.

We are proud and eternally grateful for Bluford's military service and dedication to space exploration. As NASA looks to expand mankind's horizons in space, his life achievements and aviation accomplishments serve as an inspiration to future generations.

AMERICANS SHOULD KNOW HOW MUCH OUR HEALTHCARE IS GOING TO COST

(Mr. MALINOWSKI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MALINOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, today I introduced a fully bipartisan healthcare bill, the Know the Price Act. It says something very simple that I am confident we will agree on: Americans should know how much our healthcare is going to cost before we receive it.

Many Americans aren't aware that, when we go to a healthcare provider, there is often a gag clause, a gag clause in the contracts between providers and insurers that blocks us from seeing the cost and quality of the care we are about to receive. My bill eliminates

these gag clauses so that we have the power and the freedom to make informed decisions about our healthcare.

The bill would also allow employers to access deidentified claims data, consistent with the Privacy Act, so that they have the information they need to choose the healthcare plans that are actually best for their employees.

Mr. Speaker, this is commonsense legislation that will offer families and businesses in New Jersey and all across our country more choice in their healthcare at lower prices.

MEASURES	то	COMBAT
ROBOCALLS	COMING	FROM
ABROAD		

(Mr. BUDD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BUDD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss an ongoing issue that has affected nearly every American: the barrage of annoying and deceptive robocalls.

These seemingly endless automated phone calls disrupt our daily lives; they constitute a serious form of harassment and expose millions of Americans to dangerous financial scams.

That is why I introduced a bipartisan bill, alongside my colleagues, Representatives LACY CLAY and DAN BISHOP, called the Foreign Robocall Elimination Act. Our bill takes on robocalls that originate overseas by directing the FCC to convene an interagency task force to develop effective measures to combat robocalls coming from abroad.

No matter which side of the aisle we find ourselves on, we should all be able to agree: It is time for these illegal robocalls to be stopped once and for all.

KEEP US SAFE FROM CORONAVIRUS

(Mr. CICILLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, the American people deserve a coordinated, fully funded response to keep us safe from the coronavirus. But instead of taking the situation seriously, the President has mounted a confusing and chaotic response.

He designated MIKE PENCE to lead the public health response, MIKE PENCE, a man whose terrible public health polices as Governor of Indiana allowed the worst HIV epidemic in the State's history to take root in 2015.

The President's budget earlier this month slashed almost \$700 million from the CDC. He has left vacant critical positions responsible for managing pandemics, and now he has proposed raiding funds that were appropriated by Congress for other life-threatening public health emergencies.

To be blunt, the President's response has been a disaster.

The American people deserve better, and that is why the House will advance

a strong, strategic funding package that fully addresses the scale and seriousness of this public health crisis.

Let's hope the President follows our lead.

RECOGNIZING NATIONAL PROTEIN DAY

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize National Protein Day. Protein is one of the most important elements of a healthy diet.

In turn, I would also like to recognize one of the most important sources of protein in the American diet. I am talking about milk.

We know that the protein in milk helps build and repair muscle tissue in active bodies. Additionally, dairy products like milk contain nine essential nutrients that help reduce the risk of high blood pressure, osteoporosis, and certain cancers. Milk also supports strong bones and a healthy immune system.

Despite all these positive qualities, milk consumption in the United States has been on the decline. In an effort to reverse this trend, I introduced the Whole Milk for Healthy Kids Act last year, a bipartisan bill, to once again allow whole milk in our Nation's schools.

Milk is part of a nutritious and healthy diet, packed with protein and flavor. This National Protein Day, I would like to remind us all of the nutrients and health benefits that whole milk provides.

PHILADELPHIA'S MOST DISTINGUISHED WARD LEADERS

(Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, in commemoration of Black History Month, I am honored to be joined by six of Philadelphia's most distinguished ward leaders and want to ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical CONGRESSIONAL RECORD for future generations to recognize.

Ms. El Amor M. Brawne Ali of Ward 37, Mr. Arthur Green of Ward 14, Shirley Gregory of Ward 49, Peter Lyde of Ward 61, Renee McNear of Ward 20, and Sharon Vaughn of Ward 42 all take after the long lineage of Philadelphians who advanced our democracy towards an increasingly inclusive system.

Mr. Speaker, I extend to them our most sincere gratitude for their leadership on behalf of our shared constituencies and look forward to honoring them here at the Capitol later this afternoon.

\Box 1215

COMBATING THE SUBSTANCE ABUSE EPIDEMIC

(Ms. PLASKETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PLASKETT. Mr. Speaker, the opioid crisis has had a devastating impact on communities throughout our country. In my conversations with members of law enforcement, public safety officials, and public health experts, it has become clear that serious reforms are necessary to ensure our criminal justice system effectively contributes to efforts to combat the substance abuse epidemic.

The 2018 National Institute on Drug Abuse data shows that every day, 128 people in the United States die from an overdose of opioids. The misuse of and addiction to opioids, including prescription pain relievers, heroin, and synthetic opioids such as fentanyl is a severe national crisis that affects public health, as well as social and economic welfare.

Next month, I am going to hold a roundtable discussion on this issue in my district to learn best practices and ensure that our stakeholders stem the tide before it becomes a crisis in our community. I am going to use the measures that my Democratic colleagues instituted to bring that to our community to make sure that it does not happen in the Virgin Islands.

HONORING DENNIS HOOD

(Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to a friend of mine who passed away a few days ago. His name is Dennis Hood.

Dennis lived in public housing and has been a fierce advocate for implementation of the Section 3 program. Despite the many illnesses that Dennis had, he developed himself a small contracting business, worked it well, but unfortunately passed away.

Mr. Speaker, I salute Dennis for his efforts to advocate for those low-income residents who live in public housing.

HONORING DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID

(Mr. ROSE of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ROSE of New York. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor my constituent, Dr. Mohammad Khalid, a man who truly embodies the American Dream.

Dr. Khalid came here from Pakistan and settled on Staten Island in 1975 where he opened his dental practice and worked hard to provide for his children, both of whom are now successful attorneys. Dr. Khalid says he considers it his moral and ethical duty to help, and he shows that every single day, not just in his professional life, but in all of his extraordinary community service. Dr. Khalid is a cultural ambassador on Staten Island, serving as the president of the Iron Hills Civic Association and the Pakistani Civic Association.

After the tragic events of 9/11, Dr. Khalid and the Pakistani Civic Association worked to bring Staten Islanders together. Now doing this for 15 years, they have served meals and shared their culture all for the betterment of our community.

I am proud to represent such a diverse and inclusive community full of leaders like Dr. Khalid, who exemplify the hard work, grit, and determination that make this country so great.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2339, REVERSING THE YOUTH TOBACCO EPIDEMIC ACT OF 2019

Ms. SHALALA. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 866 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 866

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2339) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with respect to the sale and marketing of tobacco products, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Energy and Commerce now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116-51, modified by the amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) 90 minutes of debate equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means; and (2) one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Rose of New York). The gentlewoman from Florida is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. SHALALA. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. SHALALA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-woman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Ms. SHALALA. Mr. Speaker, on Wednesday, the Rules Committee met and reported a rule, House Resolution 866, providing for the consideration of H.R. 2339, Protecting American Lungs and Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act of 2020 under a closed rule.

The rule provides 90 minutes of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and the ranking member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the chair and ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means. The rule self-executes a manager's amendment to make technical corrections and provides one motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the bill in this rule.

Mr. Speaker, as our Nation anticipates the probability of a pandemic, here today in the people's House we have the opportunity to save almost six million young lives that would be cut short by nicotine if we fail to act.

I am not exaggerating. The CDC has predicted that if the children of our country continue to use tobacco products at the current rate, 5.6 million children will have premature deaths.

This is a test of our courage. Let's look at the facts:

In 1997, 24.6 percent of all 12th graders reported daily use of cigarettes, and 36.5 percent reported some use in the past 30 days. Thanks to smart, tough policies and a national commitment to reduce cigarette use by children, those numbers dropped to 3.6 percent and 7.6 percent by 2018.

Nearly 90 percent of adult smokers began smoking before the age of 18. If you do not start smoking as a child, it is very unlikely you will smoke as an adult. This is not a secret. Public health officials know this, and tobacco companies know this, too. They also know that nicotine is a highly addictive substance.

This dramatic reduction in cigarette use by children put tobacco companies in a bind. If children and teenagers have stopped using cigarettes, and 90 percent of all adult smokers began smoking as children, how can they maintain their pipeline of customers?

Their answer arrived in the form of a new technology, e-cigarettes and vaping products. Companies knew that the pipeline of lifetime smokers was dwindling, so they started marketing new vaping products to young people through Instagram ads and influencers and other social media platforms. They also handed out free vaping products at music events and movies. They clearly targeted children, our children, and their strategy worked.

Today, 26.7 percent of 12th graders vaped in the last month. This rate has more than doubled in the last 2 years alone. In 2010, only 1.5 percent of 12th graders reported vaping.

We banned flavored cigarettes in 2009 because they appealed to children and

encouraged them to take up smoking. Tobacco companies switched to flavored vape products and flavored cigarillos because these products are not held to the same standard, even though they are tobacco products and contain nicotine.

In 2018, 67 percent of high school students and 49 percent of middle school students who used tobacco products in the past 30 days reported using a flavored tobacco product.

So while kids can't buy cotton candy or banana smash cigarettes, they can buy those flavors in vaping products or in cigarillos.

For the record, nicotine has health effects beyond addiction. Nicotine exposure damages adolescent brain development, and brains are not fully done developing until the mid-20s. Nicotine also contributes to the hardening of arterial walls, which in turn, may lead to a cardiac event.

It is time, Mr. Speaker, to ensure our children do not face a lifetime of nicotine addiction. It is time to finally pass a bill that protects their health, and that is what the Protecting American Lungs and Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act will do.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. SHALALA) for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to the rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 2339, the Protecting American Lungs and Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act of 2019. This bill is a misnomer. It is not aimed at curbing youth tobacco or e-cigarette use, it is aimed at tobacco generally.

Both parties in the House and Senate agreed that we needed to act in order to prevent youth access to these products. In December, we fulfilled that commitment by sending a bill to the President's desk, which he signed, that raised the legal age to purchase all tobacco products to 21 years of age. President Trump signed what has been termed "T21" into law on December 20 of 2019.

This policy will break down youth access through social networks in schools where 18-year olds could purchase tobacco products legally and then disseminate those products to younger students.

Other significant efforts such as the flavor ban by the Trump administration will help limit youth tobacco use. The Food and Drug Administration has issued a ban on flavored e-cigarette products that appeal to children, including fruit and mint flavors. This ban will help deter youth use in the future and prevent companies from targeting children with appealing flavors.

When we first held a hearing on the vaping lung injury at the start of the outbreak back in October, the cause of this lung injury was unclear, however, these lung injuries were disproportion-

ately affecting the young population. After diligent efforts by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and State health departments, we have since found that the vast majority of these lung injuries were caused by tetrahydrocannabinol and the vaping products containing vitamin E acetate, an additive.

\Box 1230

Let me point out that none of these products are legal, and children are buying them on the black market.

An article from National Public Radio, published September 27, 2019, titled "Many Vaping Illnesses Linked to Black Market 'Dank Vapes' or Other THC Products," walks through how two brothers in Wisconsin were arrested for running a THC vape ring. Captain Mike Martin of the Waynesboro Police Department in Virginia said that these products are labeled "Dank Vapes" and "appear commercially packaged, and there are a variety of different flavors." His police department has recovered \$35,000 worth of vaping products.

At this point, Madam Speaker, I think it is worthwhile to point out it is probably not a good idea for anyone to take into their lungs something that is labeled "Dank Vapes."

According to the CDC, "Dank Vapes appears to be the most prominent in a class of largely counterfeit brands, with common packaging that is easily available online and that is used by distributors to market THC-containing cartridges."

H.R. 2339 does absolutely nothing to crack down on that black market. These deliciously named vaping products, with flavors such as lemon slushie, bubble gum, and sour diesel, will likely continue to be sold illegally, especially online.

The Trump administration has already released guidance to ban flavors. The Food and Drug Administration is requiring companies to stop the manufacture, distribution, and sale of certain unauthorized flavored e-cigarette products within 30 days of their published guidance. This guidance took effect on February 6, 2020, and is now in effect and enforceable.

This bill takes the flavor ban further and bans all flavored tobacco products, including menthol. This will take flavored cigarettes, cigars, and smokeless tobacco away from law-abiding adults, who must now, by law, be 21 years of age to purchase any tobacco product.

Additionally, this bill contains a potential infringement on the First Amendment by requiring health warnings on cigarette labels and advertising. There are other ways to educate individuals, particularly our young population, of the harmful effects of smoking without impinging on freedom of speech.

Congress has already taken steps to protect our young people from a future of tobacco addiction when we passed Tobacco 21, and the Trump administration has stepped up and banned flavored e-cigarette products. The House also passed H.R. 3942, the Preventing Online Sales of E-Cigarettes to Children Act, which requires age verification by all retailers at the time of sale and delivery.

These policies will prevent young people from accessing e-cigarettes and will deter future use. On the other hand, H.R. 2339 does not address youth tobacco use. This bill would ban all flavored tobacco products from all ages, taking away choices for law-abiding adult Americans.

So, let's be clear. Tobacco is not healthy; however, law-abiding adults are capable of making these decisions for themselves.

Traditional cigarettes remain the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, claiming an estimated 480,000 lives or more each year. This is a personal issue for me, as I lost both parents to tobacco-related disease.

Now, according to the CDC, an estimated 34 million adults in the United States currently smoke cigarettes, and more than 16 million Americans live with a smoking-related disease.

While I am certainly concerned about the effect of e-cigarettes on our young people, we do need to remember that there is a large adult population with a whole host of health problems related to tobacco. Some early studies show that current adult tobacco users may benefit from the less harmful alternative that e-cigarettes pose.

Additionally, the adult population will seek out alternatives if their tobacco product of choice is eliminated from the legal market. A bill like this that makes illegal the products used by many Americans could contribute to an already existing and thriving black market for tobacco products.

As we saw last year, the outbreak of lung injuries was linked to counterfeit and black market products. There were reports of illicit operations by individuals taking THC vape cartridges and cutting the product with other oils to maximize their profit.

If H.R. 2339 becomes law, it could inspire similar black market operations to create products that law-abiding Americans currently enjoy.

This bill is not about youth use of vaping products. It is about eliminating all adult use of tobacco products.

We have seen a surge of lung injuries in the United States, an issue that is not adequately addressed in this bill. The Centers for Disease Control has linked these injuries to THC products, not tobacco.

Protecting our youth from tobacco is an important priority. However, H.R. 2339 does not do that, and it goes too far. Therefore, I cannot support it.

Madam Speaker, I urge Members to oppose the bill, oppose the rule that delivers the bill, and oppose this assault on free choice for the American people.

Madam Speaker, with opposition to the rule, I reserve the balance of my time. Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and let me simply say we can never go too far when we are protecting our children.

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD a February 5 NBC News article titled "Federal flavor ban goes into effect Thursday, but many flavored vape products will still be available."

[From NBC News, Feb. 5, 2020]

FEDERAL FLAVOR BAN GOES INTO EFFECT THURSDAY, BUT MANY FLAVORED VAPE PRODUCTS WILL STILL BE AVAILABLE

(By Erika Edwards)

A nationwide ban on many flavored e-cigarette products goes into effect Thursday, but teenagers will still have access to nicotine vapes, experts say. The ban covers a number of kid-friendly

The ban covers a number of kid-friendly flavorings, such as mint and fruit, though menthol and tobacco flavorings will remain legal. However, the ban only applies to specific types of devices: cartridge or pre-filled pod devices, like the ones made popular by Juul. All other devices will be left on the market.

But limiting access to Juul, which stopped selling all non-menthol and tobacco flavored pods in November, is unlikely to have much of an effect on teens already addicted to nicotine.

"Kids have moved on," Meredith Berkman, co-founder of Parents Against Vaping E-cigarettes, or PAVE, told NBC News.

Teenagers know that teachers are now educated about how to detect vaping in classrooms, she said. So they're getting their nicotine fix in other ways, including products not covered by the looming ban.

"Kids are sucking on flavored nicotine pouches to get through the day until they can get home to their device," Berkman said. The pouches are reminiscent of chewing tobacco, but are advertised as being "tobacco-free."

Experts in teen addiction also said there's plenty of evidence that teens now favor highly concentrated, refillable nicotine vape products called Smok and Suorin Drops as well as cheaper, disposable vape pods called Puff Bars—also to be left on the market after Thursday.

"I'm not very optimistic," Bonnie Halpern-Felsher, a professor at Stanford University who studies teen vaping, said. "We really do need to have enforcement of the law across all tobacco products, regardless of these loopholes."

"The new policy does not solve the problem," Matthew Myers, president of the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, said, adding parents need to keep their guard up and educate their teenagers about the harms of nicotine addiction. "Millions of flavored products will remain available."

Plans for restricting e-cigarette flavors on a federal level first came up in September, when key national data on teen vaping was released. It was revealed that from 2017 to 2019, rates of vaping had more than doubled among 8th, 10th and 12th grade students.

New cases of those lung illnesses have declined considerably since then. As of Jan. 21 (the latest data available), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that there have been 2,711 cases of people who've been hospitalized with EVALI, or e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury.

All 50 states, plus Washington, D.C., have reported EVALI cases. Sixty people have died. Many others were left with lasting lung damage.

Investigations suggested most cases were not associated with vaping nicotine, but instead THC, the psychoactive ingredient found in marijuana. Most of those THC vapes came from drug dealers or friends. Vitamin E oil has been the leading culprit in the lung damage, though other chemicals and additives can't be ruled out, according to the CDC.

After Thursday, companies that make any vape products—including those covered in the ban—will have to meet a May 12 deadline to apply to the Food and Drug Administration to continue selling their products.

It will take one year for the FDA to review those applications, during which time products can, and likely will, remain on the market.

"It's not a 'forever' ban," Halpern-Felsher said. "We have a long way to go."

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, many teens today have moved from using flavored e-cigarettes to other flavored nicotine-laced products. That includes things like flavored pouches, drops, and pods.

These products are still on the market today. The recent national ban, which my distinguished colleague referred to, didn't take them off the shelves. Something more is needed to make sure our children don't go from one bad addictive product to the next.

The products might be different, but the impacts are the same. It is a distinction without a difference, Madam Speaker.

That is why we have to pass this bill to keep life-threatening products out of the hands of our children.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO).

Ms. DELAURO. Madam Speaker, I rise to support the rule and this comprehensive legislation, which prohibits all flavored tobacco products.

Madam Speaker, I commend Chairman FRANK PALLONE and Congresswoman DONNA SHALALA for their leadership.

The youth vaping epidemic is a public health emergency that threatens our youngsters. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, one in four high schoolers are using e-cigarettes, and not just occasionally. More than a third of users in high school said that they were smoking e-cigarettes at least 20 days a month.

My granddaughter, who is a high schooler, when I asked her about this, she said it is everywhere, that everyone is smoking e-cigarettes.

Last year, I hosted a hearing on the youth vaping crisis in the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Subcommittee. As experts have said, the flavors are a key factor in this. Seven out of 10 current youth ecigarette users said that they used ecigarettes because "they come in flavors I like."

Nearly all, or 97 percent, had used a flavored e-cigarette in the past month. Mango, mint, cotton candy, and gummy bears are just some of the 15,000 flavors still available, thanks to the loophole-laden action the Trump administration took in January.

The President made a promise in the Oval Office to American families to ban flavors, which are hooking our youngsters. They are becoming addicted. But special interests appear to be more important. He allowed popular flavors like menthol to remain for products like the popular Juul device. He allowed disposable vapes of all flavors to remain on the market.

It is unacceptable. The health of our children must be our priority. So, the Democratic majority of the United States House of Representatives is stepping up for our children by voting for this bill and this rule. We can ban flavors and take comprehensive action to defend our kids.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote for the rule and the bill.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute.

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD an article from The Hill today, written by YVETTE CLARKE, making a point about the unintended consequences of this legislation.

The bill carves out an exemption for certain cigar products, but it also creates a ban on menthol products, which would have the unintended consequence of adversely and selectively affecting individuals, tobacco users, in communities of color.

[From The Hill, Feb. 27, 2020]

YOUTH TOBACCO USE LEGISLATION WOULD HAVE UNINTENDED LIFE-OR-DEATH CON-SEQUENCES FOR BLACK TOBACCO USERS

(By Rep. Yvette D. Clarke)

This week marks a critical, life-changing moment for the black community, specifically for black tobacco users. The Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act (H.R. 2339) is set for a vote this week in the House of Representatives, and while this bill seemingly makes a lot of sense in curbing youth tobacco use, there are some glaring unintended dire consequences for communities of color with this legislation.

color with this legislation. H.R. 2339 does many things with the goal to reduce youth tobacco use, like providing resources for a substantive public awareness campaign to educate about the dangers of tobacco use and reducing access to online purchases of flavored tobacco products. However, this legislation does not treat all tobacco products equally, carving out an exemption for one flavored product, premium cigars preferred by white smokers. A ban that makes an exception for one flavor—premium cigars—while banning menthol puts black lives at risk. Let me explain. Considering the fact that 90 percent of

Considering the fact that 90 percent of black smokers use menthol products, menthol tobacco users would live in fear of new stop and frisk opportunities under this legislation, because menthol would now be considered an illegal flavor. A ban that targets menthol products but ignores other premium tobacco products unduly burdens the black community. This asymmetrical ban feels more like a targeted attack than a valueneutral health care policy decision. In effect, white adult smokers would see little difference in their lives after this ban while black smokers could face even more sweeping harassment from law enforcement if the hint of menthol smoke can justify a stop.

Make no mistake, banning all electronic and combustible tobacco products would save lives and while the premise of this legislation to address the uptick in youth tobacco use is positive, we cannot support an asymmetrical ban that disproportionately endangers the black community. While the debate has not made this clear, we are unfortunately not currently considering a ban on all tobacco products. In fact, we are not even debating a uniform ban on vaping products or combustible cigarettes. Instead, the ban would focus solely on flavored tobacco products, including menthol. Considering how often teenagers develop smoking habits after starting with flavored products, I understand why the Energy and Commerce Committee has focused on this issue. However, including menthol in the flavored products ban will disproportionately imperil the black community putting them at increased risk of additional over-policing.

I do not take this position lightly, but as an elected official I must make the hard decisions-not the easy ones. I have a responsibility, to my constituents and the Constitution, to be the voice of the marginalized among us. To do so, I worked with the committee to find solutions to the criminal justice concerns of my community but was ultimately rebuffed. Nonetheless, I introduced an amendment promoting an education program to increase awareness about the dangers of tobacco use and the implications of this legislation. Constructive efforts like this will make considerable headway towards. reducing tobacco use without laving the foundation for disparate enforcement of a ban.

While I would love to assume the best intentions of all involved parties and hope for the best in regard to enforcement, lived experience demands caution. In the world created by this asymmetrical ban where menthol tobacco products provide justification for police stops, I fear that we would have handed law enforcement another excuse to harass, detain and otherwise endanger marginalized communities. Despite the clear health benefits of this ban, I cannot in good conscious expose already vulnerable communities to this risk.

As a duly elected representative of Brooklyn, it would be an abdication of duty to disregard our painful history of over-policing or to ignore the very real potential of this history repeating itself. While no one would enjoy the political pressure this has exposed, I cannot ignore my nightmares of a jumped turnstile and a loosie turning into a far more serious matter of life and death potentially creating an additional health crisis. As Eric Garner's mother knows all too well, and relayed in a letter to the New York delegation regarding this ban, in New York a single cigarette can become a death sentence.

If the committee decides to improve this bill by making it a categorical ban on tobacco products, I will throw my full support behind the effort. When I asked for a carve out for menthol products, similar to the carve out that was granted for the on line sales of premium tobacco products like the Cuban cigars favored by Wall Street executives, I was soundly rebuffed. I would proudly support a categorical tobacco ban, but the committee so far has denied this opportunity. Nonetheless, and regardless of the political pressures. I will continue to do everything in my power to protect the people of the 9th District of New York and all black tobacco users across America

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I would like to clarify some misunderstandings related to the bill that I have just heard.

Some have suggested that H.R. 2339 allows certain cigars to be exempt from the flavor prohibition.

I want to be very clear. Under this legislation, all tobacco products are subject to the flavor prohibition, including cigars. In fact, the text of the definition of cigar product explicitly states that these cigars cannot contain a characterizing flavor. Under the legislation, menthol is a characterizing flavor.

The only way to tackle the youth tobacco epidemic is to prohibit all flavors in all products, which is exactly what H.R. 2339 does.

Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DESAULNIER), a distinguished member of the Rules Committee.

Mr. DESAULNIER. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding and for her leadership on issues of public health, particularly this bill.

I rise in support of the Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act.

I am proud of the long history in the San Francisco Bay Area, which I have been privileged to represent and live in for many years, starting in the 1980s with the amazing work by Stan Glantz, a researcher in San Francisco who discovered the tobacco industry was pathologically lying to the American public and hiding the effects of their products.

We responded to that by trying to pass laws here in Congress, but we were unable to because of the tobacco lobby. We tried State legislative opportunities but failed to do that. But in local government, we passed hundreds of local ordinances banning secondhand smoke to protect employees and to protect customers.

At the time, I was a member of the California Restaurant Association and a restaurant owner. Many of my colleagues were part of the same arguments we hear today, that you will put retailers out of business, that this is all legal product.

We listened to those, and we enacted these laws, and we worked with people who were affected by it. The economic impacts were positive, not negative. Restaurants are more successful than they have ever been in the bay area. We lead the country in many ways.

When we passed these ordinances, public health people came together with labor and others to make sure that the truth came out.

In the early 1990s, as the mayor of a city of 130,000 people, Concord, California, I authored one of the first efforts in the Nation to curb secondhand smoke. In fact, it has been illegal to smoke in bars, restaurants, and other public places in California entirely since 1998.

We worked together then to stop Big Tobacco's hold over millions of addicted Americans, and we won.

Unfortunately, Big Tobacco has a new partner, the vaping industry. Companies like Juul, unfortunately headquartered in San Francisco, in my view, represent the very worst of the bay area business culture. They don't ask for permission. They apologize after they have addicted millions of Americans, particularly young people. They deceptively and illegally marketed their poisonous products to children, much like Big Tobacco did decades ago.

Our efforts led to an almost 70 percent decrease in the use of tobacco products across the country, according to the Centers for Disease Control.

In 2016 alone, on the other hand, 7 out of 10 U.S. middle and high school students saw e-cigarette ads on TV, in stores, and online.

With marketing campaigns that make vaping look safe and fun, they use flavors like cotton candy to attract young people to try vaping and get hooked on nicotine.

But we know better. We have a long history of this. These products are not safe. They are not smoking-cessation devices. And we need to take action to protect our children, just as we did years ago.

\Box 1245

In local government in the bay area, we have started to do what we did in the 1990s: passing bans on these products. So, if we won't do it here, we will do it at a local level; but we should do it here, and we should pass this bill.

The longer we wait, millions are addicted, and millions more are being targeted. The Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act will help save lives and keep widespread nicotine addiction in the past in the United States.

We beat Big Tobacco once before, and we can do it again. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CURTIS).

Mr. CURTIS. Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to the rule underlying the bill.

Reducing the alarming rate of youth e-cigarette use is and must remain a top priority for public health officials and lawmakers. Our children are the future of our country, and my greatest joy in this life has been watching my children grow into the men and women that they are today.

Protecting their health and safety and of that their children in order to ensure their future success is, personally, a top priority of mine in Congress. However, H.R. 2339, the bill before us today, is concerning for numerous reasons.

First, this one-size-fits-all bill was drafted without Republican input. The committee of jurisdiction passed the legislation virtually along partisan lines.

This legislation was finalized just Monday night but is receiving a vote this week, with details negotiated outside of public view. A bill of this magnitude, which includes over \$100 million in new taxes, deserves a lengthy public debate.

Second, Congress recently passed needed legislation to raise the minimum age to purchase tobacco products from 18 to 21 on a bipartisan basis. Additionally, the Food and Drug Administration already has the ability to regulate flavored e-cigarette products, and this bill would permanently undermine ongoing efforts to combat youth vaping by the FDA.

Most importantly, this bill would undercut ongoing efforts by the State of Utah to combat youth vaping. The Utah Legislature and Governor's office acted decisively to keep these products out of the hands of our youth and are considering additional steps to protect the welfare of our kids. The State of Utah is a great example of what we can accomplish when partisanship is put aside for the greater good.

In closing, I want to reiterate the importance of keeping these products out of the hands of children. But we can't do that without a lengthier, bipartisan conversation, and especially if we are ignoring the work State and local governments have been doing to keep our children safe.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute.

Madam Speaker, I will include in the RECORD a letter from Mothers of the Movement. They state, in part: "We ask that, at the same time you consider health issues, you look carefully at the criminal justice impact of such a change in health policy. Our community has had plenty of experience living under laws passed with the best of intentions. Under your bill, cigarettes preferred by African Americans would be illegal; cigarettes preferred by non-African Americans would be legal."

MOTHERS OF THE MOVEMENT,

Miami Gardens, FL, October 11, 2019. Hon. FRANK PALLONE,

Chairman, House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN PALLONE: We appreciate your focus on reviewing the health effects of tobacco and e-cigarettes in young people. We urge you to pay very close attention to the unintended effects of a ban on menthol cigarettes and what it would mean in the Urban community.

We both strive hard to do our best and set examples in our community amongst the black youth. Our focus is within various cities throughout the country. We have witnessed encounters with law enforcement and negative policing which has been spread throughout our community.

H.R. 2339, the Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act, contains a provision that bans menthol cigarettes. We are concerned of the rising numbers of Black Americans who prefer menthol cigarettes. We are alarmed that 80% Black Americans in Urban communities invest in purchasing of these good. As a result of this ban, we recognize the effects which may happen within the Urban community and the justice system.

We do not encourage, support, or promote smoking especially in our Urban communities. We are concerned that this ban in many cases will reintroduce another version of stop-and-frisk in black low socio-economic communities. We experience and are forced to tolerate aggressive behaviors from law enforcement. This is our reality and is displayed throughout different levels of government, locally and nationally daily. This ban will introduce or replay many hard to em-

ploy young black Americans to the criminal justice system. We do not want to take parents, sons, and daughters out of households for small infractions that carry financial obligations. We ask that, at the same time you consider health issues, you look carefully at the criminal justice impact of such a change in health policy. Our community has had plenty of experience living under laws passed with the best of intentions. Under your bill, cigarettes preferred by African-Americans would be illegal; cigarettes preferred by non-African-Americans would be legal.

Small violations can quickly escalate to consequential events. We refuse to witness another mother join us in death of a loved one because of readily available products and decisions available in our Black communities

Best Regards,

GWENDOLYN CARR, Mother of Eric Garner. SYBRINA FULTON, Mother of Trayvon Martin.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately bring up a resolution condemning the comments of Democratic Socialist Presidential candidate BER-NARD SANDERS.

Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of this amendment in the RECORD, along with extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DEGETTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, to explain this amendment, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART).

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Speaker, I urge defeat of the previous question so that we can amend, as the gentleman just explained the rule, to immediately consider my resolution condemning Senator SANDERS' blatantly false comment regarding the racist, terrorist, murderous Castro regime in Cuba.

I have said this before: If anybody wants to know the devastation of socialism and the tyranny that so often accompanies it, I invite you to speak to some of my constituents, including thousands of former political prisoners now in exile. Let me just mention some of those.

Jorge Luis Garcia Perez, "Antunez"; Angel de Fana; and Roberto Martin Perez. There are so many others who are in south Florida who have suffered in the prisons of Cuba just because they have asked for and fought for freedom.

But there are also former political prisoners who are still on the island, and I can mention many of them. Let me just mention Dr. Oscar Elias Biscet, who just recently was arrested, harassed, and then it looks like potentially released; and the relatives of current political prisoners such as: Jose Daniel Ferrer, Mitzael Diaz Paseiro, Miguel Diaz Bauza, and Yanet Perez Quevedo. You can't speak to them because they are in prison currently, but you can speak to their relatives.

All of them have witnessed firsthand the destruction that socialism causes. All of these political prisoners have to be released at once. That is what we should be demanding.

Now, unfortunately, this is not new coming from the Progressive movement. But I remind Senator SANDERS and the Progressive movement that the Castro regime is not only a threat to the national security interests of the United States, but also to the democracies in our hemisphere.

I want to remind Senator SANDERS of the Cuba regime's close relationship with some of the world's worst thugs, such as Iran. Iran and the Cuban regime held the first Iran-Cuban business forum in Tehran in August of 2019 and have signed memorandums of understanding affirming their commitment to expanded trade and coordination. There were, just recently, two highprofile visits of the Iranian so-called Foreign Minister and also the so-called President of Iran to Cuba in 2016.

For years, the Cuban regime has been on the list of State Sponsors of Terrorism for their support of other terrorist states, terrorist organizations, and violence around the world and in this hemisphere.

In 2013, the Cuban regime, I remind folks, was caught smuggling weapons to North Korea in the largest violation of international sanctions against that rogue regime.

It has been propping up the Maduro regime with thousands of intelligence operatives to oppose the Venezuelan people and, in some cases, to kill the Venezuelan people.

The Cuban regime has been harboring fugitives from U.S. justice, including FBI's most wanted terrorist Joanne Chesimard and terrorist bomb maker William Morales. That is just to name a few.

So that is why, Madam Speaker, I filed the resolution that condemns the blatantly false comments of Democratic Socialist candidate for President Senator BERNIE SANDERS.

This resolution also rejects the false claims that Cuba's healthcare, education, and literacy rate have improved as a result of the Castro regime, the Castro dictatorship. Those claims have been debunked by numerous sources.

Let me just go over a couple of facts.

According to a State Department report, Cuba's infant mortality rate was 32 of 1,000 live births, one of the best in the Western Hemisphere. But, Madam Speaker, this was not Castro. This is in the 1950s, pre-Castro.

Cuba's life expectancy was also one of the highest in Latin America in the 1950s, pre-Castro. No, it wasn't Castro. This was pre-Castro.

Cuba's literacy rate was one of the highest in the Western Hemisphere, pre-Castro in the 1950s.

Those are the facts, Madam Speaker, the realities of Cuba.

In Cuba now, over 1 million people in a country of just 11 million—have risked everything to try to find freedom, to try to get away from that socialist tyranny. Many have perished on rafts as they place themselves and their children at risk in shark-infested waters for just a chance at freedom.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I yield the gentleman from Florida an additional 3 minutes.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Speaker, why would they do this if things in Cuba are just not all that bad?

Again, in contrast, during the pre-Castro Cuba, more Americans were traveling to Cuba and going to Cuba than Cubans coming to the United States.

Today, now, Madam Speaker, here we have an opportunity to condemn Senator BERNIE SANDERS' blatantly false and hurtful comments regarding the racist, terrorist Castro regime. Join me in standing in solidarity with the Cuban people and, by extension, also solidarity with the Venezuelan people who are working to regain their freedom against what the OAS Secretary General has called the Cuban army of occupation in Venezuela.

So join me in standing in solidarity with the people and not with the regime that oppresses them.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, this bill claims to curb youth tobacco use, including vaping. The reality is it bans many types of tobacco products that are legally and voluntarily used by adults.

While I do not support any form of tobacco use, it is a choice for law-abiding adults to make. The unintended consequences of suddenly making legal tobacco products illegal will likely push people to the black market to seek the same products—or worse. If we really want to address vaping, we need to target products containing tetrahydrocannabinol, THC.

As I previously stated, we just recently made tobacco use illegal for those under the age of 21, and the Trump administration has banned certain flavored e-cigarette products.

This is a problem that requires a multilayered approach. Unfortunately, the provisions in this bill will not produce the desired result, and could even create more harm than good for some populations.

Republicans do stand ready to work on bipartisan solutions to increase the health of our population and to protect our young people, but I need to urge a "no" vote on the previous question, a "no" vote on the rule, and a "no" vote on the underlying measure.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, I am a little confused. I may be a freshman, but I know that my good friend, MARIO DIAZ-BALART, usually gives me a little advanced notice before he presents something.

My Republican colleagues are promising that, if we defeat the previous question, they will bring up a resolution to condemn the terrible comments Senator SANDERS made regarding the dictator Fidel Castro.

But let me be clear: Defeating the previous question means that Republicans can bring up any germane bill or amendment they want. The key word here being "germane."

The resolution is not germane to the rule. That means, under the House rules, rules that have been in place since the founding of this institution, Republicans cannot bring to the floor the resolution they are promising to because it is not germane to the rule.

The vote on the previous question is not a vote on the Diaz-Balart resolution. It is a vote to end debate on the rule and proceed to consideration of the legislation at hand, a bill to help prevent kids from taking up smoking.

I am not disputing the merits of the resolution that my distinguished Republican colleague is offering as the previous question. In fact, I support this resolution; and to be very clear, I have requested to be added as a cosponsor. I was the first Democratic Member of Congress to speak out against these misguided, ill-informed, hurtful, and unacceptable comments made by Senator SANDERS from Vermont.

\square 1300

Over the last six decades, hundreds of thousands of Cubans have risked their lives to escape the tyranny of the Castro regime, a regime of fear, paranoia, and oppression that regularly abuses human rights in order to stifle free thought and democracy in Cuba to this very day.

Yesterday, my good friend and south Florida colleague, Mr. DIAZ-BALART, spoke about the horrors of Castro's Cuba. He so eloquently explained: "If anybody wants to know the devastation of socialism and the tyranny that often is accompanied by socialism, I invite you to come and speak to some of my constituents, including the thousands—thousands—of former political prisoners."

This is a joint invitation. Please, come to my south Florida community and meet Mr. DIAZ-BALART's constituents and meet my constituents who will make it clear the Cuban regime and other similar authoritarian regimes across Latin America are instruments of evil and are not worthy of praise.

I would like to close again by quoting my good friend, Mr. DIAZ-BALART: "The Castro regime is a threat, not only to the national security of the United States but also to all the democracies in this hemisphere."

He is absolutely correct, and I stand with him. I have always stood with him and alongside our constituents in south Florida to denounce the evil Cuban regime.

But today we are here to debate a bill that protects our children from the dangers of tobacco and nicotine use. I hope my Republican colleagues will join us in voting "yes" on the previous question and "yes" on this rule, so we can move on to consideration of a bill to reverse the youth tobacco epidemic.

The minority is claiming that they will do something that has been against the Rules of the House since the very first Congress in 1789. Clause 7 of rule XVI clearly states: "No motion or proposition on a subject different from that under consideration shall be admitted under color of amendment.'

It doesn't matter whether or not a Member would support my colleague's resolution, which I have not had the opportunity to fully read since it was introduced just minutes ago. It is completely unrelated to the public health bill we are discussing right now and could not actually be offered. The right way to do this would have been to consult with all of us who have spoken out on this issue and build support in the traditional way.

I have always joined my colleague, Mr. DIAZ-BALART, to consult with other Members and to join together to denounce tyranny wherever it may exist.

Madam Speaker, I will close by saying that I have worked on tobacco issues for years. When I was Secretary of Health and Human Services, we knew we were facing a problem with youth usage of tobacco products, particularly e-cigarettes. Thanks to smart and tough policies and comprehensive outreach campaigns, we succeeded in dramatically reducing youth cigarette use.

It was an extraordinary public-health achievement.

But here we are again with flavored e-cigarettes and vape products. Tobacco companies will not give up, but we will not either

Nicotine exposure during youth and young adulthood is particularly dangerous. The children who are using flavored e-cigarettes and vaping products are people who likely wouldn't have taken up smoking otherwise. This is the public health crisis of the 21st centurv.

Children don't vote or contribute to our campaigns, but they are our future. In fairy tales, children are saved by caring adults. We must save them from disease and death. We are the caring adults of this generation. We must save them from an industry that would trade their lives for profit.

It is important to acknowledge that we have made a lot of bipartisan progress on this public health issue. We have raised the age to buy tobacco products to 21. But the administration has enacted a very narrow flavor ban. It is too narrow. It only restricts flavors in closed pod products like Juul. Further, disposable flavored e-cigarette products like Puff Bar will still be available in flavors like mango, banana ice, or lychee. There is clear evidence that teens are already switching to use these products.

We need a comprehensive policy, and that is what this bill does. H.R. 2339 prohibits the sale of all flavored tobacco products and bans the marketing of e-cigarettes to people under 21 years of age. This is a comprehensive solution. We need to curb this epidemic now. It is our responsibility to protect our children's future.

Madam Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on the rule and on the previous question.

The material previously referred to by Mr. BURGESS is as follows:

Amendment to House Resolution 866

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 2. That immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall resolve into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 868) condemning the comments of Senator and Democratic Socialist Presidential candidate, Bernie Sanders (I-VT), disregarding the history of systemic human rights abuses, forced indoctrination. and authoritarian actions of the literacy and education policies of the Communist Castro dictatorship in Cuba. The first reading of the resolution shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the resolution are waived. General debate shall be confined to the resolution and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. After general debate the resolution shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the resolution are waived. When the committee rises and reports the resolution back to the House with a recommendation that the resolution be adopted, the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the resolution and preamble and amendments thereto to adoption without intervening motion. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the resolution, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the resolution

SEC. 3. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H. Res. 868.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and navs were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 6 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

\square 1402

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. SCHRIER) at 2 o'clock and 2 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed. Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 866;

Adoption of House Resolution 866. if ordered; and

Agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5minute votes.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2339, REVERSING THE YOUTH TOBACCO EPIDEMIC ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 866) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2339) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with respect to the sale and marketing of tobacco products, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and navs were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 224, nays 189, not voting 16, as follows:

> [Roll No. 74] YEAS-224 Coston (FL)

-

Adams	Castor (FL)	Dean
Aguilar	Castro (TX)	DeFazio
Allred	Chu, Judy	DeGette
Axne	Cicilline	DeLauro
Barragán	Cisneros	DelBene
Bass	Clark (MA)	Delgado
Beatty	Clarke (NY)	Demings
Bera	Clay	DeSaulnier
Bishop (GA)	Cleaver	Deutch
Blumenauer	Clyburn	Dingell
Blunt Rochester	Cohen	Doggett
Bonamici	Connolly	Doyle, Michael
Boyle, Brendan	Cooper	F.
F.	Correa	Engel
Brindisi	Costa	Escobar
Brown (MD)	Courtney	Eshoo
Brownley (CA)	Cox (CA)	Espaillat
Bustos	Craig	Evans
Butterfield	Crist	Finkenauer
Carbajal	Crow	Fletcher
Cárdenas	Cuellar	Foster
Carson (IN)	Cunningham	Frankel
Cartwright	Davids (KS)	Fudge
Case	Davis (CA)	Gabbard
Casten (IL)	Davis, Danny K.	Gallego

H1240

Lowey

Luián

Luria

Lynch

Matsui

McBath

Meeks

Meng

Moore

Morelle

Nadler

Neal

Omar

Pallone

Panetta

Pappas

Pavne

Peters

Phillips

Pingree

Pocan

Porter

Presslev

Quigley

Raskin

Rouda

Ruiz

Duncan

Dunn

Estes

Flores

Fulcher

Gibbs

Gooden

Granger

Griffith

Guest

Guthrie

Harris

Hartzler

Hern, Kevin

Higgins (LA)

Hollingsworth

Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH)

Johnson (SD)

Joyce (OH)

Joyce (PA)

Hill (AR)

Huizenga Hurd (TX)

Hudson

Jordan

Herrera Beutler Hice (GA)

Moolenaar

Newhouse

Norman

Nunes

Olson

Palazzo

Palmer

Pence

Perrv

Posey

Reschenthaler

Rice (SC)

Riggleman

Reed

Mooney (WV)

Murphy (NC)

Emmer

Pascrell

Neguse

Garamendi García (IL) Garcia (TX) Golden Gomez Gonzalez (TX) Gottheimer Green, Al (TX) Haaland Harder (CA) Hastings Hayes Heck Higgins (NY) Himes Horn. Kendra S. Horsford Houlahan Hover Huffman Jackson Lee Javapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson (TX) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Levin (CA Levin (MI) Lieu, Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Abraham Aderholt Allen Amash Amodei Armstrong Arrington

Babin Bacon Baird Balderson Banks Barr Bergman Biggs Bilirakis Bishop (NC) Bishop (UT) Bost Brady Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Buchanan Buck Bucshon Budd Burchett Burgess Calvert Carter (GA) Carter (TX Chabot Cheney Cline Cloud Cole Collins (GA) Comer Conaway Cook Crawford Crenshaw Curtis Davidson (OH) Davis, Rodney

Rush Rvan Sánchez Sarbanes Malinowski Scanlon Maloney, Schakowsky Carolyn B. Schiff Maloney, Sean Schneider Schrader McAdams Schrier Scott (VA) McCollum Scott, David McEachin Serrano McGovern Sewell (AL) McNerney Sherman Sherrill Slotkin Smith (WA) Moulton Soto Spanberger Murphy (FL) Speier Napolitano Stanton Stevens Suozzi Norcross Swalwell (CA) O'Halleran Takano Ocasio-Cortez Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres Small Perlmutter (NM) Trahan Peterson Trone Underwood Vargas Veasev Vela Velázquez Price (NC) Visclosky Wasserman Rice (NY) Schultz Waters Richmond Watson Coleman Rose (NY) Welch Rovbal-Allard Wexton Wild Ruppersberger Yarmuth NAYS-189 Des Jarlais Katko Diaz-Balart Keller Kelly (MS) Kellv (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Ferguson Kinzinger Kustoff (TN) Fitzpatrick Fleischmann LaHood LaMalfa Fortenberry Lamborn Foxx (NC) Latta Lesko Gallagher Long Gianforte Lucas Luetkemever Gonzalez (OH) Marchant Marshall Massie Graves (GA) Mast Graves (LA) McCarthy Graves (MO) McCaul McClintock Green (TN) McHenry Grothman McKinlev Meadows Meuser Miller Hagedorn Mitchell

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Roby Rodgers (WA) Roe, David P. Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose, John W. Rouzer Roy Rutherford Scalise Schweikert Scott. Austin

Smucker Spano Stauber Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA) Thornberry Timmons

Walker Walorski Waltz Watkins Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Wright Yoho Young Zeldin [G—16 Rooney (FL) Shalala Sires well Wilson (FL)

Walden

Levin (MI) Pavne Lieu, Ted Lipinski Peters Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Pocan Luján Luria Lynch Malinowski Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Rouda Matsui McBath Ruiz McCollum McGovern Rush McNerney Ryan Meeks Meng Morelle Moulton Schiff Mucarsel-Powell Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Neguse Norcross O'Halleran

Abraham

Aderholt

Allen

Amash

Amodei

Lawrence

Lee (CA)

Lee (NV)

Levin (CA)

February 27, 2020

Sherman Pallone Panetta Sherrill Pappas Slotkin Pascrell Smith (WA) Soto Perlmutter Peterson Phillips Pingree Price (NC) Quiglev Raskin Titus Rice (NY) Richmond Rose (NY) Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schneider Schrader Schrier Scott (VA) Scott. David Serrano Sewell (AL) Wild Shalala

Speier Stanton Stevens Suozzi Swalwell (CA) Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tonko Torres (CA) Torres Small (NM) Trahan Trone Underwood Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Yarmuth

Moolenaar

Newhouse

Mooney (WV)

Murphy (NC)

NAYS-200 Gonzalez (OH)

Gooden Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Armstrong Graves (MO) Green (TN) Griffith Grothman Guest Guthrie Hagedorn Harris Hartzler Hern. Kevin Herrera Beutler Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Hill (AR) Hollingsworth Hudson Huizenga Hurd (TX) Johnson (J.A) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Katko Keller Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger Kustoff (TN) LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Latta Lawson (FL) Lesko Long Lucas Luetkemever Marchant Marshall Massie Mast McAdams McCarthy McCaul McClintock McEachin McHenry McKinley Meadows Meuser Miller Mitchell

Norman Nunes Ocasio-Cortez Olson Omar Palazzo Palmer Pence Perrv Porter Posey Pressley Reed Reschenthaler Rice (SC) Riggleman Robv Rodgers (WA) Roe, David P Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose, John W. Rouzer Roy Rutherford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Shimkus Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spanberger Spano Stauber Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA) Thornberry Timmons Tipton Tlaib Turner Upton Van Drew Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Waltz

Sensenbrenner	Tipton	Womack
Shimkus	Turner	Woodall
Simpson	Upton	Wright
Smith (MO)	Van Drew	Yoho
Smith (NE)	Wagner	Young
Smith (NJ)	Walberg	Zeldin
	NOT VOTING-1	16
Beyer	Holding	Rooney (FL)
Byrne	Lewis	Shalala
Gaetz	Loudermilk	Sires
Gohmert	Mucarsel-Powell	Wilson (FL)
Gosar	Mullin	
Grijalva	Ratcliffe	
	□ 1436	
Messrs.	BURCHETT,	SCALISE,
PALMER, A	AUSTIN SCOT	T of Georgia,
PALAZZO, REED, and COOK changed		
,	rom "yea" to "	0
unen vote n	un yea tu	nay.

d COOK changed to "nay. SCHULTZ. WASSERMAN Ms. Messrs. GOTTHEIMER and BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania changed

their vote from "nay" to "yea. So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 210, nays 200, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 75]

YEAS-210 Adams Correa Aguilar Costa Allred Courtney Axne Cox (CA) Barragán Craig Bass Crow Beatty Cuellar Davids (KS) Bera Bishop (GA) Davis (CA) Blumenauer Davis, Danny K. Blunt Rochester Dean DeFazio Bonamici Boyle, Brendan F. DeGette DeLauro Brindisi DelBene Brown (MD) Delgado Brownley (CA) Demings Bustos DeSaulnier Butterfield Deutch Carbajal Dingell Carson (IN) Doggett Doyle, Michael Cartwright Case F. Casten (IL) Engel Castor (FL) Escobar Castro (TX) Eshoo Chu, Judy Espaillat Cicilline Evans Finkenauer Cisneros Clark (MA) Fletcher Clarke (NY) Foster Clay Frankel Cleaver Clyburn Fudge Gabbard Cohen Gallego Connolly Garamendi Cooper García (IL)

Garcia (TX) Golden Gomez Gottheimer Green, Al (TX) Haaland Harder (CA) Hastings Hayes Heck Higgins (NY) Himes Horn, Kendra S. Horsford Houlahan Hover Huffman Jackson Lee Javapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson (TX) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT)

Arrington Babin Bacon Baird Balderson Banks Barr Bergman Biggs Bilirakis Bishop (NC) Bishop (UT) Bost Brady Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Buchanan Buck Bucshon Budd Burchett Burgess Calvert Carter (GA) Carter (TX) Chabot Chenev Cline Cloud Cole Collins (GA) Comer Conaway Cook Crawford Crenshaw Cunningham Curtis Davidson (OH) Davis, Rodney Des Jarlais Diaz-Balart Duncan Dunn Emmei Estes Ferguson Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Flores Fortenberry Foxx (NC) Fulcher Gallagher Gianforte Gibbs

February 27, 2020

NOT VOTING-19

Beyer	Gosar	Ratcliffe
Byrne	Grijalva	Rooney (FL)
Cárdenas	Holding	Sánchez
Crist	Lewis	Sires
Gaetz	Loudermilk	Wilson (FL)
Gohmert	Moore	
Gonzalez (TX)	Mullin	

\Box 1443

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded. A motion to reconsider was laid on

the table.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

ELECTING A MEMBER TO A CER-TAIN STANDING COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTA-TIVES AND RANKING A MEMBER ON CERTAIN STANDING COMMIT-TEES OF THE HOUSE OF REP-RESENTATIVES

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I offer a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 870

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Ms. Torres Small of New Mexico.

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, ranked as follows on the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET: Mr. Khanna (to rank immediately after Mr. Cooper).

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM: Mr. Khanna (to rank immediately after Mr. Rouda).

Mr. JEFFRIES (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Rose of New York). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOMENT OF SILENCE IN MEMORY OF KATHERINE GOBLE JOHNSON

(Mr. SCOTT of Virginia asked and was given permission to address the

House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, on Monday morning, our Nation lost a truly brilliant mathematician and pioneer, Ms. Katherine Goble Johnson.

While I knew Katherine and her family personally for many years, like so many Americans, I never fully appreciated her work until her untold story was revealed in the book and movie, "Hidden Figures."

She had a long and illustrious career at NASA, but also had a tremendous impact on the Hampton Roads community that she called home. She was president of Lambda Omega Chapter of the Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, and she sang in the choir and served as a trustee at Carver Memorial Presbyterian Church in Newport News.

She served as a role model for countless children; and while she did not reach the stars like the astronauts whose trajectory she calculated, in her career, she did.

We remember her as a true American hero, and I send my deepest condolences to her family and all who were inspired by her remarkable life and work.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that all Members join me and Congresswoman LURIA, who represents the Hampton area, the Virginia delegation, and others in a moment of silence in honor of Ms. Katherine Goble Johnson.

HONORING CHARLES HALL, JR.

(Mr. PAYNE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Charles Hall, Jr., as a part of my Black History Month celebration.

Mr. Hall is the president of the Retail, Wholesale, and Department Store Union, Local 108, in Maplewood, New Jersey. His commitment to his members is without equal.

For more than 21 years, Mr. Hall, Jr., has improved wages, health benefits, and working conditions for workers throughout the Northeast. He has increased diversity in his own staff and companies across the area.

Recently, he organized more than 2,000 exploited Latino workers and got them higher wages. In addition, he got health benefits for local nursing home workers and their first raise in 10 years.

His contributions to my district are immeasurable. That is why I celebrated his work during a Black History Month celebration on February 21.

Charles Hall, Jr., is a great man and deserves all of these accolades and more.

HUMANITARIAN DISASTER IN IDLIB

(Mr. HILL of Arkansas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Mr. Speaker, 96 airstrikes, eight cluster bomb attacks, 231 artillery and missile attacks—that is what the people in Idlib, Syria, experienced yesterday from the forces of the brutal dictator Assad and his Russian protector, Putin. These attacks hit 10 schools and one hospital, killing 26 and injuring over 100.

After nearly 10 years of death and destruction, Idlib is becoming the greatest humanitarian disaster of this long conflict.

As I have said on this floor for nearly 3 years, the lack of a comprehensive approach for U.S. policy in Syria has allowed the atrocities led by Assad, Russia, and Iran to continue with impunity.

The U.S. Government must use the tools that we have at our disposal to end the death and destruction in Syria, bring about a political solution to the conflict, and hold those responsible for these war crimes fully accountable.

HONORING THE LIFE OF KATHERINE GOBLE JOHNSON

(Mrs. LURIA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.) $% \left({{\left({{{{\bf{n}}_{{\rm{s}}}}} \right)}_{{\rm{s}}}} \right)$

Mrs. LURIA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of a great American hero, Katherine Johnson.

Katherine was a skilled mathematician, a loyal NASA employee, a mother, a daughter, but, most importantly, a trailblazer.

In 1953, she began working at the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics West Area Computing unit, where she provided equations and computations that were essential to the success of the early space program.

At NASA Langley, she played an important role in NASA's Mercury program of manned space flights from 1961 to 1963. Without her expertise, the space travel of Alan Shepard and John Glenn would not have been possible.

At the apex of her career, Johnson was part of the team that calculated the trajectory of the Apollo 11 mission of 1969, which sent the first three men to the Moon. And now, her work will ensure that the first woman and the next man will take steps on the Moon by 2024.

This is the legacy of Katherine Johnson. I am proud to recognize her today.

\Box 1500

CELEBRATING THE CAREER OF JUDGE CHARLES D. SUSANO JR.

(Mr. BURCHETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURCHETT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the career of Judge Charles D. Susano Jr. who is retiring after 25 years of service on the Tennessee Court of Appeals. Judge Susano will finish his career as the longest tenured State appellate judge in the history of Tennessee once he retires this coming April.

Judge Susano is a lifelong Knoxvillian and a dedicated public servant. After graduating magna cum laude from—it hurts me to say this— Notre Dame in 1958, Judge Susano served in the United States Army for 2 years before he was honorably discharged. He then earned his juris doctor from the University of Tennessee College of Law in 1963.

For the majority of his adult life, Judge Susano has dedicated himself to the law. He spent 30 years as a legal partner between two Knoxville-based law firms until Governor Ned McWherter appointed Judge Susano to his position with the Tennessee Court of Appeals—Eastern Section in March of 1994.

Judge Susano was elected Statewide to his judgeship four times throughout his public service career and issued over 1,000 opinions during his time on the bench. He is also active in the Knoxville community through service on many nonprofit boards and at his church. The State of Tennessee is lucky to have had his public service throughout the years.

Judge, I wish you the best in your retirement, and I hope it is filled with quality time with your wife, children, and grandchildren.

Mr. Speaker, on a personal note, I want to thank the judge for being such good friends of the Burchetts.

PROPOSED FY 2021 BUDGET

(Mr. CARTWRIGHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the House Appropriations Committee, I believe we have a duty to spend our resources responsibly. But we can't make the kind of cuts that hurt American workers, American seniors, and American middle-class families. As it currently stands, the administration's proposed budget would recklessly slash funds for Social Security, for Medicare, and for Medicaid.

The cuts to Medicaid alone over 10 years are nearly \$900 billion. They would seriously jeopardize rural hospitals, combating the opioid crisis, and seniors' access to long-term care.

Mr. Speaker, did you know that six out of ten seniors in nursing homes depend on Medicaid?

Last year, Democrats and Republicans in Congress came together to reach a 2-year budget deal that invests in the American people. We need to honor that deal over the coming months and reject this current reckless budget that we just saw come out of the White House.

87TH COMBAT SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT BATTALION

(Mr. CARTER of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the

House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize two units from the 87th Combat Sustainment Support Battalion for their participation in Operation Atlantic Resolve in Poland, Romania, and Lithuania; and I want to welcome them back home to the First Congressional District of Georgia.

Stationed at Fort Stewart, these battalions are integral to our Nation's ability to be successful overseas. Throughout different periods of its history, 87th Combat Sustainment Support Battalions have provided maintenance, convoy security, supply transportation, and more for branches of the U.S. Army fighting to restore democracy abroad.

As a part of Operation Atlantic Resolve, these battalions worked hard to increase their readiness and build cooperation between our own forces and our allies in Europe. At any one time there are 6,000 American soldiers participating in Operation Atlantic Resolve conducting exercises across 17 countries.

To Lieutenant Colonel David Alvarez, Command Sergeant Major Ryan Stamos, and everyone involved with the 87th Combat Sustainment Support Battalions, I thank you for your service, and welcome home.

HONORING JOE LAWLESS

(Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to honor my constituent, friend, and mentor, Joe Law-less.

Joe loved his home city of Malden, Massachusetts, and his city loved him. He was a longtime supporter of the Irish American Club and of The Immigrant Learning Center. He served Malden on the Library Board of Trustees, the Housing Authority, and as deputy mayor.

But Joe's legacy of generosity is best described by what he did behind the scenes. I remember Joe, while suffering from debilitating back pain, purchasing gallons of ice cream with all the fixings to serve sundaes for seniors. And catching a ride with Joe only to find the back seat of his car and the entire trunk filled with food to distribute to neighbors in need.

Joe was a natural teacher. While I was never fortunate enough to sit in his classrooms at Boston College or Suffolk University, he taught me so much. He was the rare friend who made everyone whose lives he touched feel seen and appreciated, and we will all strive to live the wisdom and lessons in friendship, integrity, and service he gave us.

Thank you, my friend. Rest in peace and love.

STRAWBERRY FESTIVAL

(Mr. SPANO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SPANO. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon to proudly announce that today marks the start of the 2020 Strawberry Festival in Plant City, Florida, which celebrates the strawberry harvest of eastern Hillsborough County. This community-oriented event began back in 1930 and has become one of the top 40 fairs in North America.

My district has grown to be one of the largest agricultural districts in the Nation with Plant City known as the winter strawberry capital of the world.

As a near billion-dollar industry, we love our strawberries, we love those who grow and pick them, and we love the culture surrounding them.

The festival has a wide range of entertainment, including livestock shows, rides, live music, and the Florida Strawberry Festival Queens Pageant that collectively bring in over one-half million attendees annually and serves to unite our community.

The festival also has strawberry shortcake, and lots of it. This is the Strawberry Festival's 85th year, and we anticipate many more to come. I can't wait to be back home this weekend to enjoy the festival with my family and the families of District 15.

REMEMBERING AREE MIKKI McCAMPBELL

(Ms. TLAIB asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. TLAIB. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Aree Mikki McCampbell of 13th District Strong. She was a guiding light for her family and for all those who knew and loved her.

Mrs. McCampbell was also a loving mother to Denzel McCampbell, Shawanna, and Kanita, and an incredible grandmother to Tyrone and Serina.

Mr. Speaker, you can tell a lot about a parent based on their child. I did not know Mrs. McCampbell personally, but I know the type of love she shared with the world because of her son, Denzel McCampbell.

Mrs. McCampbell hailed from Safford, Alabama, and studied at both Selma University and Wayne State University in Detroit. She instilled a love of humanity in her family. And 13 District Strong is forever grateful to her for the mark that she has left on this world.

My heart goes out to the McCampbell family. I hope knowing that she is in Heaven watching out for all those lives she has touched can bring some peace to those who are remembering and celebrating her life.

February 27, 2020

ECONOMIC OPTIMISM

(Mr. GUEST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUEST. Mr. Speaker, recent polling shows great optimism about our country, as Americans believe they are better off today than they were before President Trump's conservative economic policies were enacted.

According to a Gallup poll released on February 5, almost 60 percent of Americans say they are better off financially now than last year, and close to 75 percent say that they expect to be even better off financially in 1 year. This is the highest level on record.

Strong, conservative principles have created historically low levels of unemployment for Americans regardless of their race, age, religion, or gender.

Our Nation was built by Americans working to create a better future for their children and their grandchildren. Both Democrats and Republicans must fight the growing calls for socialism and instead support the proven conservative policies implemented by this administration that allow our economy to grow and help build a brighter future for generations to come.

TURNING THE TIDE IN THE YOUTH TOBACCO CRISIS

(Mr. ENGEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, our Nation is in the middle of a youth tobacco epidemic driven by the increasing popularity of flavored e-cigarettes. In my home State of New York, youth e-cigarette use rose by 160 percent between 2014 and 2018.

I want to commend Chairman FRANK PALLONE for his work on H.R. 2339 which will help turn the tide in this crisis. I would also like to thank the chairman for including my provisions in section 107 which update youth tobacco prevention awareness campaigns to include programming for Americans between the ages of 18 and 21.

My provisions will help ensure that this group of young Americans is not left out and receives the support and education they need to avoid starting dangerous tobacco products.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support Chairman PALLONE's legislation and help pave the way for the first generation of tobacco-free Americans.

RECOGNIZING EAGLE SCOUT WILLIAM ARDIS

(Mr. VAN DREW asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VAN DREW. Mr. Speaker, I would like to recognize William Ardis on his achievement of the rank of Eagle Scout. William is with Troop 4 in Millville in South Jersey.

Eagle Scout is the highest rank attainable in the Boy Scouts of America. It is a prestigious honor bestowed upon only the most worthy and most qualified individuals. Only 4 percent of all Boys Scouts ever achieve this prestigious recognition. Eagle Scouts are much more likely to dedicate their lives to service, becoming future leaders in the military, in business, and in politics.

My office was proud to be at William's ceremony to celebrate this achievement earlier this week. I could not be prouder of William's accomplishments, and I look forward to big and bold things from him in the future.

As Americans we all look for heroes. We look to celebrities—God help us; we look to Washington—equally God help us—but I want to say: God bless you and your family, William.

HONORING THE MEMORY OF KATHERINE JOHNSON

(Mr. PALMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PALMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the memory and incredible accomplishments of Katherine Johnson, a brilliant mathematician whose trailblazing work was instrumental in America's space exploration.

Ms. Johnson passed away earlier this week at the age of 101 leaving behind a legacy not only of genius and dedication, but also of vitally important advancement in STEM fields for women and African Americans.

Ms. Johnson's affinity for numbers was evident from an early age. She graduated from high school early and went on to study math and French at West Virginia State College. Later, she became the first African American woman to attend graduate school at West Virginia University.

In 1953, Katherine Johnson accepted her first computing job with the agency that would become NASA. Despite intense discrimination throughout her years at NASA, she remained committed to advancing America's space program and broke barriers where she could. Eventually, she hand-calculated the flight path for America's first crewed space mission in 1961 and also helped calculate the trajectory for the famed Moon landing.

When astronaut John Glenn prepared for his orbit around the Earth in 1962, he asked for Johnson to verify the calculations in NASA's new computer tracking system. He said: "If she says the computer is right, I will take it."

The impact of Katherine Johnson's groundbreaking work in math and science can hardly be overstated. American space exploration reached many of its milestones when it did due to her genius and commitment. Her perseverance also opened doors for women and African Americans in work fields previously closed to them.

I stand with my colleagues in the House and countless Americans in gratitude to Ms. Johnson's hard work and pioneering spirit that have undoubtedly made America a better place.

REMEMBERING THE LIFE OF BARB BAKER

(Mr. LAHOOD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember and honor the life of my Jacksonville office director, Barb Baker, who suddenly passed away earlier this month.

Hired in 2002 by then-Congressman Ray LaHood, Barb epitomized what it means to be a public servant working for the constituents of Illinois' 18th Congressional District for the last 18 years. She was an incredible asset to our constituents and a constant presence in the Jacksonville community. She helped and assisted so many people in Jacksonville and throughout central Illinois.

Barb was also the past president of the Sunrise Rotary Club, receiving the Paul Harris Fellowship Award. She was past president of the Pilot Club, the Morgan County Republican Women's Club, the Illinois Association of Court Clerks, and had served as treasurer for the City of Jacksonville Park System Board.

Barb blessed the Jacksonville community and our office with a spirit of warmth and decency few could rival. She leaves behind an indelible mark on Jacksonville and central Illinois as a selfless person deeply committed to her family, her faith, and her community.

Most importantly, Mr. Speaker, Barb was an incredible daughter, wife, mother, and grandmother.

We will miss Barb dearly, and I ask you to continue to keep her and her family in your prayers.

\Box 1515

CALLING FOR BIPARTISAN CORONAVIRUS TASK FORCE

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, recent news has indicated that an individual in the United States has been designated with the coronavirus who has not been assessed to have traveled in any of the countries that have been listed for travel advisories and has not been listed as having had any of the normal processes or patterns of getting this particular virus.

With that in mind, I think it is extremely important that Congress plays a major role, in addition to its role of discerning the kind of funding.

With that in mind, we will be organizing a coronavirus task force that is bipartisan and that will assess how we reach effectively, as Members of Congress, all of our constituents who are dependent on information that we receive: the waiters and waitresses, the traveling public, the aviation persons, the public hospital providers, all of those persons in our districts.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to join the task force. Let us work together because it is a crisis. We are in a position to help the American people in the best way that we can, and that is with information.

CONGRATULATING PRESIDENT ON IMPOSING PUBLIC CHARGE RULE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2019, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I stand today to address and congratulate President Trump on imposing the public charge rule with regard to public benefits.

You know, around here, we get along on many different items bipartisan in nature, and I enjoy working with my Democratic colleagues. But one issue that I think is at the crux of the disagreement and dislike of President Trump is something I agree with him very strongly on, and I think all the American public ought to know about it, and that is something referred to as the public charge rule.

Ever since the 1800s in this country, we have tried to make it a point that people who come here from other countries not come here and wind up on public benefits or welfare or what have you.

Unfortunately, we weren't doing a very good job of enforcing this rule until President Trump stepped up and put a rule that went into effect last October, saying that if you are here as an immigrant, you are not eligible for food stamps; you are not eligible for low-income housing; you are not eligible for Medicaid; you are not eligible for other means-based benefits.

President Trump, having a soft heart, continues to allow immigrants, immigrant children, to receive free and reduced lunch, Medicaid, and even are eligible for student loans.

Nevertheless, I think it was a very good thing for President Trump to do. Our immigrants are the future of America.

Right now, in America, our immigrants are far more likely than the native-born to take advantage of public benefits. A study shows that 63 percent of noncitizens versus 35 percent of the native-born are on some form of public benefits. With regard to food assistance, 45 percent of the immigrants, compared to 21 percent of the nativeborn, are on public benefits.

Well, anybody can go through a tough time. And I should point out that President Trump does not remove your ability to stay here, just to be on public benefits for a month. It has to be for a period of several months, and once you are on those benefits, we want you removed.

The reason for that is, for the future of America, we want people who are self-reliant and paying taxes. We already take care of our native-born who, to a huge degree, for whatever reason, have to rely on our fellow citizens.

Right now, we are in a position in which a much higher percentage of people—63 percent of noncitizens versus 35 percent of native-born—are taking advantage of some benefits.

If you look at food stamps, between 2004 and 2019, the total number of people on food stamps in this country went up 50 percent. That is a huge increase. It is particularly a huge increase if you take into account the economy in 2019 was about as strong as it is going to get.

I, therefore, applaud President Trump for stepping up to the plate and trying to restrict immigration to people who can take care of themselves, all the while making sure that we are taking care of the children of people who are here.

I also want to point out that people who are here illegally should not be eligible for those benefits. But, again and again, I hear people from my district, and I think from public comments made by people—like the Governor of California or the mayor of New York that I don't believe local officials are at all times prohibiting people who are here illegally from getting benefits.

In any event, particularly as long as we have birthright citizenship, I think the policy before Trump got here is misguided and, ultimately, will lead to a decline in the quality of life in America.

I am, therefore, very glad that the Supreme Court allowed President Trump's rule to be upheld. But we have to caution America in that this is not a statutory thing. This is not something that has passed Congress.

The only reason we are trying to hold down the number of people who are here who are not citizens from getting benefits is President Trump. It could easily be true that by this time next year, we will go back to the days in which a high number of noncitizens are taking advantage of public benefits.

Like I said, I think for some people, the generosity of the public benefits will encourage people to adapt a lifestyle in which they are eligible for them, which is a real crime.

In any event, I would like to say one more time—I don't always get invited over to the White House, in case President Trump is watching—thank you, President Trump, at a time of trilliondollar deficits, for stepping up to the plate and trying to improve the immigrants that we have coming in the country.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

CONGRESS NEEDS LEADERS, NOT GUN LOBBY SHILLS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2019, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PORTER) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Ms. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, as Members of Congress, we have promised to serve the American people, and this means keeping American families safe and secure. But for too long, Congress has put fealty to the special interest of the gun lobby ahead of our safety.

I cannot afford to live in fear of special interests. Why? Because every single day when I send my three children off to school, I live in fear for their safety; because I have seen the pain on the faces of those parents whose children never came home from school, the parents who hugged their children good-bye in the morning, never knowing it would be for the last time; because no parent should ever have to mourn a child lost to preventable gun violence; and because, as your Member of Congress, I have the power to fight for change.

This is the power that Congress has, and this is why 1 year ago, we passed landmark legislation to reduce gun violence in this country.

Under current law, only federally licensed vendors must conduct background checks, but this creates loopholes for private sellers. This means that there are no background checks on private sales, including gun shows and online transactions.

The Bipartisan Background Checks Act closes this loophole and will ensure that nearly all gun sales are run through the National Criminal Background Check System.

This legislation is common sense. In fact, two former GOP Congressmen wrote an op-ed in The Washington Post in support of the legislation. They said: "This bill doesn't take away anyone's guns." It represents "a critical step in the right direction at a time when more and more Americans are touched by gun violence."

This is a clear, commonsense, American solution that is now being held hostage by Senator MITCH MCCONNELL, the President, and the gun lobby.

And this is a uniquely American issue. No other country experiences the same epidemic of gun violence.

In fact, guns are the second-leading cause of death for American children and teens; nearly 1,700 are killed by guns every year. In my home State of California, an average of 246 children and teens die from guns every year.

Since the beginning of 2014 in California, over 14,000 people, including, heartbreakingly, 120 law enforcement officers, have been injured or killed due to gun violence.

Mr. Speaker, 47 of those people hurt or killed were in my district in Orange County, the 45th. We have lost 34 members of our community in just 4 years to gun violence. Orange County families have made their feelings heard, time and again, that they want us to strengthen gun violence prevention laws. I am standing here as proof that Orange County wants action on gun reform. Keeping our families safe is at the heart of our community's values.

I want to share a story of one Orange County family with my colleagues here in Congress. This is a story of a family who experienced loss from gun violence and, yet, found the strength to dedicate their lives to making sure that others don't experience similar tragedies.

Mary Leigh and Charlie Blek from Orange County have fought tirelessly for commonsense gun legislation in California. Their son, Matthew Blek, was only 21 years old when he was shot and killed while visiting New York City. He was a victim of armed robbery by teens using a small handgun, also known as a junk gun.

In memory of their son, the Bleks founded Orange County Citizens for the Prevention of Gun Violence in 1995. For 5 long, hard-fought years, they advocated for safety regulations that would rid California of the type of gun that killed their son, and they succeeded.

California used to produce 80 percent of the junk guns for the Nation. California no longer produces these junk guns and has enacted safety standards for handguns.

Still today, the Bleks are vigilant in preventing the gun lobby from finding a way to sell dangerous handguns in California. The Bleks now lead the Orange County chapter of the Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence. I am personally very grateful for their efforts. No family should ever have to go through what the Bleks suffered, but too many in our own communities have. And because the Senate has yet to act, nothing has changed.

It has been 1 year since we passed H.R. 8. It has been almost 2 years since the Parkland school shooting, and over 7 years since the Sandy Hook shooting. And until this Congress, there has not been any change coming out of Washington.

Time is running out. Congress has the power to stop more people—more children—from dying from gun violence, and we must act.

Now more than ever, we need the American people to insist that our colleagues on the other side of the aisle stand up to the gun lobby and stand up to join in the fight to reduce gun violence. We all have a duty to be leaders, not shills for the gun lobby, not cowards afraid to lose an A-rating. Our children deserve our courage.

□ 1530

Our children deserve leaders who will fight to ensure that families are safe at school, in parks, at the movies, and at concerts.

As your Member of Congress, as a mother, and as a member of the Orange County community, I will never back down from this fight. I will not be bought, and I will not be silenced by the gun lobby or by the President.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE).

Ms. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, let me thank the gentlewoman for anchoring this extremely important intervention and Special Order Hour.

I wasn't really sure whether or not I would have the strength to stand before you today because we have had yet another mass shooting just in the last day. It seems like this is a daily event.

It happened, unfortunately, in my own hometown, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. When these things happen, you try to distance yourself from them. You say to yourself, you know, it doesn't have an impact on me personally.

But, unfortunately, of the five victims, I knew one of them very well. I have known him since 1992, an immigrant, who was a father, a husband, a grandfather, a wonderful person who left the Soviet Union to come and seek a better life for him and his family, a life of freedom, only to be met with death.

Mr. Speaker, it has been a year, today, I believe, that we sent H.R. 8 over to MITCH MCCONNELL's graveyard, while our constituents are planning on putting their loved ones in the grave.

It is Sandy Hook. It is Columbine; Newtown; Oak Creek, which is adjacent to my district; Florida, the Pulse mass killing; Parkland; churches, Mother Emanuel Church, the Sikh Temple; movie houses; for God's sake, an elementary school. There is nowhere to run, nowhere to hide.

What I have come to learn too quickly is that it will have an impact on you personally, as it happened to me last night.

Yesterday, my friend went to work, and today his family is gathering to put him to rest. Of course, my heart aches for them because I knew them, but I knew all of the victims of gun shootings. And I say: How long is how long?

It is the reality in this country. I know people make the argument, Mr. Speaker, that, oh, we need to do something about mental illness.

There is mental illness all over the world, but there are not these shootings because there is not the easy access to guns. And we ought to use the tools in our toolkit to be able to vet people before they receive these guns.

How terrible is my restimulated memory of Zina Daniel, a constituent of mine who provided services in the spa industry. Her estranged ex-husband put out an ad saying: I need a gun, and I need the gun right now.

He was sold the gun in a fast-food parking lot—no vetting, no background check, just sold a gun. All of the indicators were there that he was up to no good: I want a gun, any kind of gun, any caliber, and I want it now.

That is how Zina Daniel and her coworkers lost their lives; Zina Daniel, leaving children on this Earth to be cared for, with no mother. While I don't know the circumstances of the weapon last night, I can tell you that we see our citizens with military-type weapons, nothing that can be justified for the many hunters we have in Wisconsin, weapons that mutilate people and butcher them and mangle the bodies of children, disfiguring people so badly that they can't be identified when their parents show up at the morgue.

There are stories of these AR-15s brutalizing these bodies so terribly that it is just a second source of trauma for parents to see their deceased children in this condition, children as young as 6 years old, barely at the dawn of life, dead from gunfire.

Please do not let their cries go on unheard. We need the Senate to pass comprehensive gun legislation yesterday because one death is too many.

I speak for the students who lead protests all over this country demanding more.

I speak for the moms who diligently march and protest every single day demanding more.

I speak for all of the citizens in our districts who have wept too many times.

I speak for those children who don't feel like they will get an opportunity to grow up and to have a voice, those who cannot vote, and they are depending on the adults, adults like MITCH MCCONNELL, to act.

I pray that MITCH MCCONNELL does not experience the pain and agony that I am experiencing today, having to get on that airplane and go and face my friend since 1992 and her children in the wake of this. I hope that he will rest tonight, as I will not be able to rest tonight.

Perhaps this is just one person who died, just five people in Milwaukee who died, but this was a very important person to my friend. This was a part of our community. This was a part of our commercial industry. He was a very important part of the MillerCoors family. He was our neighbor, and he was a human being.

We are calling upon the humanity of you, Senator McCONNELL, to explain yourself to this man's wife, to his two daughters, to his grandchildren why we can't have a sensible background check piece of legislation.

Someone that I loved very much is on their way to the graveyard. Please dig up our legislation from your graveyard, Senator MCCONNELL.

Ms. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague, the gentlewoman from Wisconsin, for her powerful words in this time of tragedy in her district. I thank her for bringing the voice of those we lost to the United States Congress. I really appreciate her passion and compassion.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

HONORING CARMEN CARRASQUILLO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2019, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SOTO) is recognized for the remainder of the hour.

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewomen from Wisconsin and California for their wise words and for invoking the angels that we lost in Florida as well.

In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Carmen Carrasquillo.

Carmen is a graduate of New York's Baruch Community College and earned a business management certification from Columbia University. She worked as the administrator of the Boriken Health Center for the indigent community in East Harlem, New York.

Since joining the council in 1992 as the senior center coordinator, Carmen has worked with residents and leaders to initiate and sustain programs serving seniors and disabled adults. These have earned the Osceola Council on Aging Senior Center numerous awards for maintaining the independence and dignity of our constituents, including Outstanding Senior Center in the State of Florida.

Her background as a bilingual teacher led to the first English classes for Spanish-speaking adults in Osceola County. This also led her to become a founding member of BRAVO, a nonprofit organization that provided necessary information and referrals to a newly emigrating Hispanic population in Osceola County. Carmen was also named Woman of the Year in 1994.

Carmen assisted the Council on Aging with the opening of the first Hispanic site, Centro Latino Americano Edad de Oro, in the Buena Ventura Lakes Community Center, also known as the Roberto Guevara Community Center.

She was also one of the founders of the Senior Follies with the Osceola Center for the Arts, partnered with Southwest Airlines on a Home for the Holidays program, organized volunteer activities for AARP Community Care Days, organized Hispanic outreach for the South Florida Water Management District, started an intergenerational program with New Beginnings Educational Complex that partners at-risk high school students with seniors in various social activities, operating the Federal Emergency Food Assistance program and local food bank that supplies commodities to the poor and area organizations feeding the poor in Osceola County, and was appointed to the Florida Department of Agriculture TEFAP Advisory Board by Charles Bronson, our former ag commissioner.

For that, Ms. Carmen Carrasquillo, we honor you.

HONORING DEBORAH GUERRERA GALE

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, in honor of Women's History Month, I would like to honor Deborah Guerrera Gale. Deborah Guerrera Gale moved to Florida in 1978 from upstate New York, where she worked as a banking accountant after graduating from Ulster County College. Once in Florida, she decided to switch careers and became a draftsperson by studying engineering at Valencia College.

Deborah worked for Walt Disney World Ride & Show Engineering as an electromechanical designer for 10 years. She later returned to college to earn a bachelor's degree in human resources at the age of 40 from Barry University and held positions in Disney Human Resources, Organizational Development, and HR Information Services.

Deborah was raised by caring, progressive parents, Barbara and John Guerrera, who instilled in her a belief in the basic human dignity of all people. Through them, she learned that she could make a positive difference in the world and that she could achieve anything with hard work.

This belief inspired a drive for volunteer service in the community, such as organizing projects for foster teens residing at The Grove, an adolescent residential center for at-risk teens, and Help Now, a domestic abuse shelter.

\Box 1545

She also served as president of the Celebration Women's Club, supporting women's charities and college scholarships. While living in Celebration, she was a founding member and president of the Democrats of Celebration.

After retirement, Deborah turned her attention full-time to civic activism and founded the Democrats of St. Cloud Club and was elected as chair of the Osceola County Democratic Party in 2019.

Deborah's belief that she can make a difference has fueled her passion to advance causes that can make our community and our county a better place for the next generation.

Deborah lives in St. Cloud with her husband, Michael Womick. They have one daughter, Jenna Womick Stowers, and a grandson, Treston James.

For that, Deborah Guerrera Gale, we honor you.

HONORING GIORGINA PINEDO-ROLON

Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Dr. Giorgina Pinedo-Rolon.

Dr. Giorgina Pinedo-Rolon was born in Caracas, Venezuela, and moved to the United States in 1986. Since then, she earned a master's in TV production and journalism and a Ph.D. in social psychology.

Giorgina has extensive experience in television, print, and radio and has worked in various roles for Telemundo Orlando, Casiano Communications' Imagen magazine, and other local news outlets.

Currently, she works for the city of Orlando as the director of the Office of Multicultural Affairs.

Giorgina has been very involved in the business community and the local government of central Florida. She has been recognized for her work with the following awards: Don Quijote Awards' Professional of the Year; Girl Scouts of Citrus' Women of Distinction Awards Visionary of the Year; Orlando Business Journal 40 Under 40; Working Together Outstanding Community Service Award; and the Executive of the Year, HABLA Awards.

Dr. Pinedo-Rolon is a board member of the Crimeline and Girl Scouts of Citrus. She is also chair of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce Metro Orlando's Government Affairs Committee and a member of the Central Florida Commission on Homelessness' Family Homelessness Committee. She was a former board member and chair of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Metro Orlando, the Economic Development Commission, the Orange County Membership and Mission Review Board, the Coalition for the Homeless, the Hispanic Heritage Scholarship Fund, Easter Seals, and a former president of the National Association of Hispanic Journalists' Central Florida Chapter.

She is a member of the National Association of Professional Women, National Association of Hispanic Journalists, American Association of Marketing and Public Relations, Hispanic Public Relations Association, Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Metro Orlando, and a lifetime member of the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials.

Giorgina is married to our Orlando police chief, Orlando Rolon, the first Puerto Rican to hold that position. She has two stepchildren and three grandchildren.

For all this and more, Dr. Giorgina Pinedo-Rolon, we honor you.

HONORING DR. ANGELA M. GARCIA FALCONETTI Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Dr. Angela M. Garcia Falconetti.

A native Floridian and the daughter of Cuban exiles who completed their degrees at Florida colleges, Dr. Falconetti has a personal commitment to the Florida college system and to ensuring all students have the chance to receive a quality education. She has developed a vision to strengthen student success and has advocated successfully at the State level for critical funding.

Her two decades of service in three States include extensive experience in all aspects of higher education administration. She holds a bachelor's degree with specializations in communications and education cognate from New York University and a master's degree and a doctorate degree in educational leadership from the University of North Florida, with specializations in instructional leadership and postsecondary education and adult learning.

She later completed an endowed postdoctoral fellowship in community college leadership with the University of Texas at Austin while working as a research associate for the Community College Survey of Student Engagement. At the national level, she served as special assistant to the first Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Colleges in Washington, D.C.

Prior to being named president of Polk State College, she served as vice president of institutional advancement at Virginia Western Community College and as executive director of the foundation.

Dr. Falconetti continues to serve as an active leader in national, statewide, and local organizations, including the American Association of Community Colleges' Commission on Institutional Infrastructure and Transformation, the Florida College System Council of Presidents' Steering Committee, the Florida Association of Colleges and Universities, and the Florida Chamber Foundation Board.

She lives in Winter Haven, Florida, with her beloved husband, Robert, and their daughter and the light of her life, Sophia.

For that, Dr. Angela M. Garcia Falconetti, we honor you.

HONORING FIRST SERGEANT DAILA ESPEUT-JONES

Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize First Sergeant Daila Espeut-Jones.

First Sergeant Daila Espeut-Jones was born and raised in Jamaica until the age of 15. In 1979, she migrated to Boston, Massachusetts, and later joined the Army in 1982. She served on Active Duty for 22 years and retired in 2004.

During her military tenure, she served in many positions, including squad leader, platoon sergeant, training noncommissioned officer, customs supervisor, plans supervisor, area support team leader, Korean linguist, senior transportation supervisor, first sergeant, and acting division sergeant major.

Her duty assignments included Fort Eustis, Virginia; Seoul, Korea; Fort Bragg, North Carolina; Haiti; Honduras; Albania; Bosnia; Hanau, Germany; Orlando, Florida; and southwest Asia.

Her awards and decorations include: Legion of Merit; Bronze Star; Meritorious Service Medal; Army Commendation Medal, with two oak leaf clusters; Army Achievement Medal, with three oak leaf clusters; Humanitarian Service Ribbon; Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal; Noncommissioned Officer Professional Development Ribbon; Overseas Service Ribbon; Parachutist Badge; Expert Weapon Marksmanship Badge; and German Silver Marksmanship Badge.

First Sergeant Espeut-Jones currently serves as the president for the Association of the United States Army's Sunshine Chapter in Orlando and chair of the Orange County Mayor's Veterans Advisory Council. She is an active member of the Women in Defense Central Florida Chapter; Kappa Epsilon Psi Military Sorority, Inc.; Buffalo Soldiers Motorcycle Club Orlando; National Defense Industrial As-

sociation; Semper Fidelis of America, Veterans of Foreign Wars Post 4287; American Legion; Orange County Veterans Treatment Court mentorship program; and mentor at several schools in Orange County.

In 2015, First Sergeant Espeut-Jones was selected as one of Orlando Business Journal's Veterans of Influence. She is currently employed at ZelTech as a program manager.

For all that and more, First Sergeant Daila Espeut-Jones, we honor you.

HONORING ERNESTINE MASON DAVIS

Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Ernestine Mason Davis.

Ernestine Mason Davis grew up in the Florence Villa community and attended Jewett High School. She is a member of the First Missionary Baptist Church.

She is a founding member and a board member of the Lake Maude Recreation Center, now known as the Neighborhood Service Center. She is also a founding member of the Winter Haven Northeast Cultural Recreation Complex, Polk County Opportunity Council, and Haven Day Care. She has assisted in organizing the East Central Polk Democratic Club Council. She presently serves on the board of the Agricultural and Labor Program, Inc.

Ms. Davis is a regular attendee at Winter Haven Commission meetings and spends her time working for social and economic justice issues. She continues to devote her time to the Polk County community by advocating for homeless, food programs for low-income families, recreation for the youth, and civic and cultural programs for the community.

She is a member of the NAACP and has received numerous awards and recognitions. In February 2020, she was honored with a proclamation by the city of Winter Haven for her commitment to community service. She has received the Honorary Pioneer Award, the Agriculture and Labor Program Community Action Partnership Award, Volunteer Service Award, the J. Owens Academy of Fine Arts Award for being a civil rights leader, Community Service Award for advocating for children and families, and the NAACP Exemplary Leadership and Service Award. She has also received awards from her family for organizing and supporting family reunions.

She was married to the late John Wesley Davis and has five children. She is a grandmother and a great-grandmother.

For that, Ernestine Mason Davis, we honor you.

HONORING MARIA RAMOS

Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Maria Ramos.

Maria Ramos Joiner was born in Bayamon, Puerto Rico. At the age of 3, her father decided to move the family to the Bronx in New York in search of the American Dream.

The New York public school system gave her the opportunity to attend field trips to museums and cultural art centers, sparking her love for the arts with her first visit to the Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Influenced by her mother's old Bible, with its beautiful illustrations and stories, Maria's passion for artistic expression further grew. The oldest of six, she collected brown paper bags as her canvases and confiscated every pen, pencil, or crayon that was unattended for her pretend studio.

Mostly self-taught, Maria's work has been shown in galleries and museums. Maria has also donated work for fundraisers to raise money for various causes.

One of the most important works she has exhibited was at the Mennello Museum, honoring the Pulse nightclub victims in 2016. After its exhibition initially, Maria graciously donated the piece to the city of Orlando.

Maria has also been recognized for her art depicting the culture of Puerto Rico, with an influence from the European masters she studied.

Maria is an advocate for the homeless and is known for her charitable work throughout the years, whether through her art donations or when someone is in need.

For that, Maria Ramos, we honor you.

HONORING COLONEL PAULETTE SCHANK

Mr. SOTO. In honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Colonel Paulette Schank.

Colonel Paulette Schank began her outstanding career as a licensed practical nurse out of high school, eventually achieving her master's degree in nursing in pursuit of a career in patient care.

After gaining clinical experience, and speaking to several registered nurses in the anesthesia field, she decided her desire for further autonomy and utilization of critical thinking skills was perfectly matched to a career in the anesthesia profession.

Upon successful completion of a postmaster's certificate in nurse anesthesia, she worked for 6 years at Frankford Hospital and later at Osceola Regional Medical Center in Florida.

However, as the daughter of a retired naval chief petty officer, family legacy and patriotism drove Colonel Schank's wish to assist patients in a much different setting. She enlisted in the Air Force and learned to transport patients in critical conditions.

These skills served her well in support of Operation Desert Storm, where she evacuated troops, with a 100 percent survival rate of those transported. During her 24 years in the service, Colonel Schank provided medical assistance in times of war and in humanitarian efforts—from Iraq to Turkey, Mozambique to Honduras and beyond.

As both a civilian nurse anesthetist and Air Force Reserve officer, she has dedicated her life to caring for others, be it in Florida or around the world.

Her love for education and helping those in need is ever present, and she

continues to surpass what it means to be a student, nurse, and a constituent of Florida's Ninth Congressional District.

For that, Colonel Paulette Schank, we honor you.

\Box 1600

HONORING YASMIN FLASTERSTEIN Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, in honor of

Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Yasmin Flasterstein.

Yasmin Flasterstein is the cofounder and executive director of Peer Support Space, Inc., which serves as a hub for diverse peer communities in central Florida. She also works as a wellness recovery action plan facilitator, is a board member and the Community Engagement Committee chair for the One Orlando Alliance, is a founding leader of Orlando Trans Collective, and sits on the board of directors for Central Florida Cares and Peer Support Coalition of Florida.

Yasmin has been in social justice leadership positions since she was 14; and in college, she brought membership of a mental health advocacy group, UCF Active Minds, from 20 to over 200 members in one semester.

Yasmin has worked in various clinical roles at La Amistad Behavioral Health Services and at the Mental Health Association of Central Florida.

Yasmin was a leader in the mental health response to the Pulse tragedy, working as the program director for Orlando United Counseling, providing long-term counseling for those affected directly and indirectly. During this time, Yasmin also set up events to destigmatize mental health in LGBTQ+ communities and Latin, Black, and immigrant communities as well.

She has since shifted from working in clinical environments to the peer professional environment. She believes in the power of peers with similar lived experiences, particularly from within marginalized communities, and works to train and support them in using their own experience with mental health in order to guide and support others.

She is passionate about breaking the mental health stigma that leaves people suffering alone and uses her experience as a suicide survivor living with PTSD and dissociative disorder to help others.

Her nonprofit, Peer Support Space, has helped over 2,500 individuals with free services during its inaugural year, and they have recently opened central Florida's very first peer respite in my district.

And for that, Ms. Yasmin Flasterstein, we honor you.

HONORING CATHERINE HAYNES

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, in honor of Women's History Month, I would like to recognize Catherine Haynes.

Originally from Indiana, Catherine now lives in Orlando, Florida. She is known to be a dedicated volunteer, member, and supporter of the central Florida veteran and military community. Fourteen years ago, she realized veterans weren't receiving information about events that would be helpful to them. In order to fulfill that need, she created a massive email distribution list that includes veterans from six counties who are served by the Orlando VA Medical Center in Lake Nona.

As part of her patriotic duty, she regularly greets the three Honor Flight hubs at the Orlando International Airport. Once she greeted and thanked over 3,000 senior veterans for their service.

Catherine's patriotism is inherent. She can trace her family's military history back to the Revolutionary War, where her family served and became America's first veterans. Almost every generation in her family has served our country, including her son, Andrew, who served in the United States Navy.

For several years, Catherine has volunteered with the Wall of Faces national project, whose goal is to match a photograph with every name that appears on the Vietnam Memorial Wall in Washington, D.C. Last year, she found a Florida Vietnam war casualty without a photograph. By using reverse genealogy, she found the veteran's family, received his photo, and found where he had been buried in an unmarked grave for almost 50 years.

Catherine has been finding photographs of war casualties across the Nation for years and recently began focusing her efforts on Puerto Rico, where she has faced several challenges in locating nearly 100 pictures of the remaining Vietnam war casualties.

One of her favorite quotes is: "Wellbehaved women seldom make history." Her motto is: "The soles of my shoes seldom cool off, and neither do the tires on my car."

Catherine is supported by her husband, Joseph, in her continual efforts to support our central Florida veterans and military community.

And for that, Ms. Catherine Haynes, we honor you.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. LEWIS (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today and February 28.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 6 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Friday, February 28, 2020, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows: 3906. A letter from the Management Analyst, Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Conveyance of Small Tracts (RIN: 0596-AD40) received February 14, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Agriculture.

3907. A letter from the Director, Office of Legislative Affairs, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Regulatory Capital Rule: Revisions to the Supplementary Leverage Ratio To Exclude Certain Central Bank Deposits of Banking Organizations Predominately Engaged in Custody, Safekeeping, and Asset Servicing Activities (RIN: 3064-AE81) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Financial Services.

3908. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation, Regulation and Energy Efficiency, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy, transmitting the Department's final rule — Energy Conservation Program for Appliance Standards: Procedures for Use in New or Revised Energy Conservation Standards and Test Procedures for Consumer Products and Commercial/Industrial Equipment [EERE-2017-BT-STD-0062] (RIN: 1904-AD38) received February 26, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3909. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; California; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District [EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0439; FRL-10005-31-Region 9] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3910. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; California; San Diego County Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0556; FRL-10004-14-Region 9] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3911. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Massachusetts; Infrastructure State Implementation Plan Requirements for the 2015 Ozone Standard [EPA-R01-OAR-2019-0695; FRL-10005-36-Region 1] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3912. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; WA; Updates to Source-Category Regulations [EPA-R10-OAR-2019-0636; FRL-10005-19-Region 10] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3913. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; Arizona; Maricopa County [EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0493; FRL-10005-65-Region 9] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3914. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental

Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; District of Columbia; Negative Declaration for the Oil and Gas Control Techniques Guideline [EPA-R03-OAR-2019-0552; FRL-10005-75-Region 3] received February 24, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3915. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Procedures for Review of CBI Claims for the Identity of Chemicals on the TSCA Inventory [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0320; FRL-10005-48] (RIN: 2070-AK21) received February 24, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3916. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; California; Ventura County; 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Requirements [EPA-R09-OAR-2018-0146; FRL-10005-67-Region 9] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3917. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Clean Air Plans; 2008 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Requirements; Determination of Attainment by the Attainment Date; Imperial County, California [EPA-R09-OAR-2018-0562; FRL-10005-57-Region 9] received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3918. A letter from the Deputy Chief, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Improving Outage Reporting for Submarine Cables and Enhanced Submarine Cable Outage Data [GN Docket No.: 15-206] received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3919. A letter from the Deputy Bureau Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Rural Digital Opportunity Fund [WC Docket No.: 19-126]; Connect America Fund [WC Docket No.: 10-90] received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3920. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's revision to policy statement — Revision of the NRC Enforcement Policy [NRC-2019-0242] received February 22, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3921. A letter from the Chair, United States Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, transmitting the Board's report titled "Filling the Gaps: The Critical Role of Underground Research Laboratories in the U.S. Department of Energy Geologic Disposal Research and Development Program; Report to the United States Congress and the Secretary of Energy", pursuant to Public Law 100-203; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3922. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Set-Asides under Multiple-Award Contracts [FAC 2020-05; FAR Case 2014-002; Docket No.: FAR-2014-0002; Sequence No.: 1] (RIN: 9000-AM93) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

3923. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2020-05; Introduction [Docket No.: FAR-2020-0051, Sequence No.: 1] received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

3924. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's small entity compliance guide — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2020-05 [Docket No.: FAR-2020-0051, Sequence No.: 1] received February 26, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

3925. A letter from the Chief, Office of Policy, Regulation and Analysis, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — 2020 Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustments for Oil, Gas, and Sulfur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf [Docket ID: BOEM-2019-0079] (RIN: 1010-AE05) received February 21, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3926. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Adjustment of Civil Penalties for Inflation for Fiscal Year 2020 [NRC-2018-0048] (RIN: 3150-AK11) received January 22, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3927. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Hurricanes, Tropical Storms and Other Disasters in South Florida [Docket Number: USCG-2016-1067] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

3928. A letter from the Attorney, CG-LRA, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's temporary final rule — Safety Zones; Humboldt Bay Bar and Entrance Channel, Eureka, CA, Noyo River Entrance Channel, Ft. Bragg, CA, and Crescent City Harbor Entrance Channel, Crescent City, CA [Docket No.: USCG-2019-0956] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3929. A letter from the Attorney, CG-LRA, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Navigation and Navigable Waters, and Shipping; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments for U.S. Coast Guard Field District 1 [Docket No.: USCG-2018-0532] (RIN: 1625-ZA38) received February 18, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3930. A letter from the Attorney Adviser, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Ad-

ministration, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Risk Reduction Program [Docket No.: FRA-2009-0038, Notice No.: 7] (RIN: 2130-AC11) received February 14, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3931. A letter from the Chief, Regulatory Development, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Incorporation by Reference; North American Standard Out-of-Service Criteria; Hazardous Materials Safety Permits [Docket No.: FMCSA-2019-0068] (RIN: 2126-AC28) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3932. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Government Contracting and Business Development, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — National Defense Authorization Acts of 2016 and 2017, Recovery Improvements for Small Entities After Disaster Act of 2015, and Other Small Business Government Contracting; Correction (RIN: 3245-AG86) February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Small Business.

3933. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Office of HUBZone Program, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's direct final rule — HUBZone Program Provisions for Governor-Designated Covered Areas (RIN: 3245-AH06) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Small Business.

3934. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Office of Size Standards, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Small Business Size Standards: Calculation of Annual Average Receipts (RIN: 3245-AH16) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Small Business.

3935. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Office of Women's Business Ownership, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Office of Women's Business Ownership: Women's Business Center Program (RIN: 3245-AG02) received February 25, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Small Business.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Ms. WATERS: Committee on Financial Services. H.R. 3641. A bill to enhance civil penalties under the Federal securities laws, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-410). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows: By Ms. TLAIB (for herself, Mr. BLU-MENAUER, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. CLAY, Mr. DEFAZIO, Ms. DELAURO, Mrs. DIN-GELL, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Ms. HAALAND, Mrs. HAYES, MS. JAYAPAL, MS. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. Khanna, Mr. Kildee, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. MCNERNEY, Ms. MOORE, Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, MS. OMAR, Mr. POCAN, Ms. PORTER, Ms. PRESSLEY, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. RYAN, MS. SCHA-KOWSKY, MS. SEWELL of Alabama, Ms. SHALALA, MS. SLOTKIN, MS. STEVENS, Mr. VELA, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Ms. WILD, and Mr. WILSON of South Carolina):

H.R. 5984. A bill to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to improve oversight of private charter management organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. BUDD (for himself, Mr. BISHOP

of North Carolina, and Mr. CLAY): H.R. 5985. A bill to direct the Federal Communications Commission to establish an interagency taskforce on unlawful robocalls; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. GRIJALVA (for himself, Mr. Ms. MCEACHIN. Mr. KENNEDY. NORTON, Mr. BARRAGÁN, Ms. ESPAILLAT, Ms. HAALAND, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Ms. TLAIB, MS. CLARKE of New York, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. NEGUSE, Ms. Schakowsky, Ms. Meng, Mr. Gomez, Mr. Beyer, Ms. Blunt Roch-ESTER, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, and Ms. JAYAPAL):

H.R. 5986. A bill to restore, reaffirm, and reconcile environmental justice and civil rights, provide for the establishment of the Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice Compliance and Enforcement, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Natural Resources, the Judiciary, Transportation and Infrastructure, Agriculture, and Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. RATCLIFFE (for himself, Mr. CONAWAY, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. HURD of Texas, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. VELA, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. VEASEY, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. CARTER of Texas, Mr. GON-ZALEZ of Texas, Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. Gohmert, Mr. Flores, Mr. Olson, Mr. Allred, Mr. Gooden, Mr. ARRINGTON, Mr. MCCAUL, Mr. BRADY, Mr. TAYLOR, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. MARCHANT, Mr. BABIN, Mr. CREN-SHAW, Mr. WRIGHT, Ms. GRANGER, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. ROY, Ms. JOHN-SON of Texas, Mr. CUELLAR, Mr. CLOUD, Ms. ESCOBAR, Mr. DOGGETT, and Mr. GREEN of Texas):

H.R. 5987. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 909 West Holiday Drive in Fate, Texas, as the "Ralph Hall Post Office"; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. RATCLIFFE (for himself, Mr. CONAWAY, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. HURD of Texas, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. VELA, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. VELA, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. VELA, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. GOHMERT, Mr. FLORES, Mr. OLSON, Mr. ALLRED, Mr. GOODEN, Mr. ARRINGTON, Mr. MCCAUL, Mr. BRADY, Mr. TAYLOR, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. MARCHANT, Mr. BABIN, Mr. CREN-SHAW, Mr. WRIGHT, MS. GRANGER, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. ROY, Ms. JOHN-SON of Texas, Mr. CUELLAR, Mr. CLOUD, Ms. ESCOBAR, Mr. DOGGETT, and Mr. GREEN of Texas):

H.R. 5988. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2600 Wesley Street in Greenville, Texas, as the "Audie Murphy Post Office Building"; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. SEWELL of Alabama (for herself, Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Mr.

ADERHOLT, and Mrs. ROBY): H.R. 5989. A bill to establish the Alabama

Black Belt National Heritage Area, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. RUSH:

H.R. 5990. A bill to establish the Bronzeville-Black Metropolis National Heritage Area in the State of Illinois, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Ms. SCHAKOWSKY (for herself, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. PORTER, and Ms. PRESSLEY):

H.R. 5991. A bill to extend protections to part-time workers in the areas of family and medical leave and pension plans, and to ensure equitable treatment in the workplace; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committees on House Administration, Oversight and Reform, Ways and Means, and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. STANTON (for himself and Mr. GOSAR):

H.R. 5992. A bill to amend the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act to include certain communities and to extend the fund, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BALDERSON (for himself, Mr. MEADOWS, Mr. GIBBS, Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. NORMAN, and Mr. KINZINGER):

H.R. 5993. A bill to provide paid parental leave to certain employees of the Federal Aviation Administration, the Transportation Security Administration, and the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and in addition to the Committees on Homeland Security, and Transportation and Infrastructure, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CARTWRIGHT (for himself, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. BACON, Mr. GAETZ, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. SOTO, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. KILMER, Mr. LIPINSKI, and Mr. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 5994. A bill to require the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere to identify a consistent, Federal set of best available forward-looking meteorological information and to require the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to convene an effort to make such set available, with advice and technical assistance, to standards-developing organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.

By Mr. BILIRAKIS (for himself and Mr.

RUIZ): H.R. 5995. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to expand eligibility to certain military retirees for concurrent receipt of veterans' disability compensation and retired pay or combat-related special compensation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services, and in addition to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CICILLINE (for himself, Mr. DEUTCH, and Mr. VARGAS):

H.R. 5996. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to require that return information from tax-exempt organizations be made available in a searchable format and to provide the disclosure of the identity of contributors to certain tax-exempt organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ENGEL:

H.R. 5997. A bill to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to designate an official within the Department of Health and Human Services to coordinate the efforts of Federal departments and agencies with respect to COVID-19; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. GOLDEN (for himself and Mr. WITTMAN):

H.R. 5998. A bill to make the National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands Pass available at no cost to members of Gold Star Families; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. HUFFMAN:

H.R. 5999. A bill to preserve the Arctic coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska, as wilderness in recognition of its extraordinary natural ecosystems and for the permanent good of present and future generations of Americans; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana:

H.R. 6000. A bill to reauthorize a pilot program to evaluate the effectiveness of certain water-related feasibility studies carried out by non-Federal interests; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana:

H.R. 6001. A bill to reauthorize a pilot program to evaluate the effectiveness of the implementation of certain water-related projects carried out by non-Federal interests; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. KELLY of Illinois:

H.R. 6002. A bill to require certain acting national security officials to appear before Congress, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services, and in addition to the Committees on Homeland Security, and Intelligence (Permanent Select), for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. KELLY of Illinois:

H.R. 6003. A bill to exempt certain Federal first responder grants from matching fund requirements to address the Nation's rising rate of first responder suicides and other mental health issues, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LIPINSKI:

H.R. 6004. A bill to amend title XXVII of the Public Health Service Act to require the Secretary of Health and Human Services to establish a grant program for purposes of facilitating State efforts to establish or maintain all-payer claims databases, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MALINOWSKI (for himself and Mr. BUCSHON):

H.R. 6005. A bill to amend title XXVII of the Public Health Service Act to increase transparency of group health plans and health insurance issuers offering group or individual health insurance coverage by removing gag clauses on price and quality information, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. PASCRELL (for himself and Mr. KING of New York):

H.R. 6006. A bill to amend section 923 of title 18, United States Code, to require an electronic, searchable database of the importation, production, shipment, receipt, sale, or other disposition of firearms; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PASCRELL (for himself, Ms. SEWELL of Alabama, and Mrs. BEATTY):

H.R. 6007. A bill to promote youth athletic safety and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce. and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned. By Mr. PASCRELL (for himself, Mr.

BACON. Mrs. DEMINGS. Mr. RUTHER-FORD, and Mr. Cox of California):

H.R. 6008. A bill to direct the Attorney General to develop crisis intervention training tools for use by first responders related to interacting with persons who have a traumatic brain injury, another form of acquired brain injury, or post-traumatic stress disorder, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PERLMUTTER (for himself and Mr. WILSON of South Carolina):

H.R. 6009. A bill to amend the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 to extend the sunset for the Office of the Ombudsman; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PHILLIPS:

H.R. 6010. A bill to amend the Help America Vote Act of 2002 to support State and local governments making a transition to ranked choice voting; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. SCHIFF:

H.R. 6011. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow for nonrecognition of gain on real property sold for use as affordable housing; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia (for himself, Mr. STIVERS, Mrs. BEATTY, Mr. HILL of Arkansas, Ms. DEAN, and Mr. LOUDERMILK):

H.R. 6012. A bill to require the Director of the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection to establish a grant program to facilitate financial literacy programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. DIAZ-BALART (for himself, Ms. CHENEY, Mr. MCCAUL, Mr. WALTZ, Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio, Mr. SCALISE, Miss GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN of Puerto Rico, Ms. SHALALA, and Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL):

H. Res. 868. A resolution condemning the comments of Senator and Democratic Socialist Presidential candidate, Bernie Sanders (I-VT), disregarding the history of systemic human rights abuses, forced indoctrination, and authoritarian actions of the literacy and education policies of the Communist Castro dictatorship in Cuba; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. GREEN of Texas (for himself, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Ms. WILD, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mrs. BEATTY, Mr. RICH-MOND, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS OF Illinois, Mr. COSTA, Ms. MOORE, Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. JEFFRIES, Mr. BROWN of Maryland, Mr. TRONE, Mrs. HAYES, Mr. VEASEY, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. BASS, MS. WATERS, MS. NORTON, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Ms. TLAIB, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. WILSON of Florida, and Ms. CASTOR of Florida):

H. Res. 869. A resolution recognizing and celebrating the significance of Black History Month; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. JEFFRIES:

H. Res. 870. A resolution electing a Member to a certain standing committee of the House of Representatives and ranking a Member on certain standing committees of the House of Representatives; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. SCHAKOWSKY (for herself, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. LAMB, Ms. ROYBAL-DINGELL, ALLARD, Mrs. Ms. PRESSLEY, Mr. DEUTCH, Mr. CLAY, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. DEFAZIO, Mr. COOPER, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. Vela, Mr. Suozzi, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHI, Mr. CISNEROS, Ms. WILD, MS. NORTON, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. NADLER, Mr. GRI-JALVA, MS. MOORE, MS. TLAIB, MS. STEVENS, Ms. FUDGE, Ms. OCASIO-COR-TEZ, Mr. RUSH, Mr. LIPINSKI, Ms. PIN-GREE, MS. CLARKE of New York, Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL, Mr. POCAN, Mr. RASKIN, MS. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mrs. BUSTOS, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. JEFFRIES, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Ms. PORTER, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. KILMER, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. MEEKS, Mr. TONKO, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mrs. WATSON Ms. COLEMAN. SÁNCHEZ. Mr. CICILLINE Mr BLUMENAUER. Ms BROWNLEY of California, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. PASCRELL, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Ms. MENG, Mr. GARAMENDI, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. TED LIEU of California, Mr. PA-NETTA, Mr. SOTO, Ms. WEXTON, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Mr. COURTNEY, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. TRONE, Miss RICE of New York, Mrs. LURIA, MS. DELAURO, Mr. LYNCH, MS. FRANKEL, MS. ESCOBAR, Mr. VARGAS, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Ms. KAP-TUR, MS. JAYAPAL, Mr. CARTWRIGHT, Mr. RYAN, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. MCNERNEY, Mr. WELCH, Ms. GABBARD, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. SABLAN, Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. RICH-MOND, Mr. MICHAEL F. DOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. LANGEVIN, Ms. CRAIG, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Ms. HAALAND, Mr. LEWIS, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. LUJÁN, Mr.

FOSTER, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. GARCÍA OF Illinois, Mr. GOLDEN, Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. SEWELL of Alabama, Mr. CASTEN of Illinois, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. SAR-BANES, Mr. MORELLE, Mrs. TRAHAN, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. EVANS, Mr. KIM, Mr. LEVIN of California, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Mr. CRIST, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Ms. FINKENAUER, Mr. MALINOWSKI, Ms. SCANLON, Mr. HIGGINS of New York, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. LOEBSACK, Mr. KEATING, Ms. ADAMS, Ms. SLOTKIN, and Mr. Cox of California):

H. Res. 871. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the Congress should enact the Older Americans Bill of Rights to establish that older Americans should have the right to live with dignity and with independence; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. KENDRA S. HORN of Oklahoma (for herself, Mr. COLE, Ms. HAALAND,

Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. PALLONE, Ms. DA-VIDS of Kansas, Ms. BASS, and Mr. TED LIEU of California):

H. Res. 872. A resolution recognizing the vital importance of Native American participation in the 2020 decennial census of population; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mrs. BEATTY:

H. Res. 873. A resolution recognizing the impact and importance of improving prevention, detection, and treatment modalities for African-American women with cardiovascular disease and diabetes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mrs. BEATTY:

H. Res. 874. A resolution expressing support for the annual Heart Valve Disease Awareness Day on February 22, 2020, coinciding with American Heart Month; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. LATTA:

H. Res. 875. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that domain name registration information, referred to as "WHOIS" information, is critical to the protection of the United States national and economic security, intellectual property rights enforcement, cybersecurity, as well as the health, safety, and privacy of its citizens, and should remain readily accessible; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Ms. TLAIB: H.R. 5984.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 1 of the Constitution.

By Mr. BUDD: H R. 5985

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Under Article I, Section 8, the Necessary and Proper Clause. Congress shall have CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Congress has the power to enact this legis-

Congress has the power to enact this legis-

Article I, Section 8 of the United States

Congress has the power to enact this legis-

This bill is enacted pursuant to Congress's

power to lay and collect taxes, as enumer-

ated in Article I. Section 8. Clause I of the

By Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia:

Congress has the power to enact this legis-

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

were added to public bills and resolu-

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors

lation pursuant to the following:

Additionally, Article I, Section 4.

By Mr. PHILLIPS:

By Mr. SCHIFF:

United States Constitution.

Article 1. Section 8

tions. as follows:

H.R. 151: Mr. BUDD.

H.R. 153: Mr. BABIN.

H.R. 383: Mr. Chabot.

H.R. 803: Mrs. Axne.

H.R. 978: Mr. BERA.

H.R. 989: Mrs. Axne.

Mr. DUNCAN, and Mr. HECK.

H.R. 1374: Mr. GOODEN.

H.R. 1379: Mr. BRINDISI.

H.R. 1443: Mr. KENNEDY.

H.R. 1530: Mr. BILIRAKIS.

H.R. 1554: Ms. DelBene.

H.R. 1682: Mr. GOODEN.

H.R. 1711: Mr. CROW.

JUDY CHU of California.

H.R. 1920: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 2148: Mr. PERLMUTTER.

H.R. 2213: Mr. LOWENTHAL.

H.R. 2164: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois.

H.R. 2271: Mr. Cox of California.

LEE of Nevada, and Ms. HAALAND.

H.R. 2477: Ms. HOULAHAN.

H.R. 2541: Mr. Spano.

Mr. DESAULNIER.

H.R. 2150: Mr. KENNEDY.

H.R. 2075: Ms. HOULAHAN.

and Mr. NADLER.

H.R. 1553: Ms. Eshoo.

TER. and Mr. STANTON.

H.R. 587: Mr. Abraham.

H.R. 366: Mr. DESAULNIER.

H.R. 856: Mr. RUTHERFORD.

H.R. 372: Ms. CASTOR of Florida.

H.R. 906: Mr. NEAL and Mr. KATKO.

H.R. 1043: Mr. KEVIN HERN of Oklahoma,

H.R. 1049: Mrs. Miller, Mr. Butterfield,

Ms. Schrier, Mr. Pocan, Ms. Torres Small

of New Mexico, Mr. BERA, and Mr. COSTA.

H.R. 1325: Mr. COMER and Mr. STEUBE.

H.R. 1407: Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia.

H.R. 1597: Ms. Schrier, Ms. Tlaib, Ms. Por-

H.R. 1766: Mr. FLORES, Ms. MUCARSEL-POW-

H.R. 1814: Mr. Cook, Ms. Waters, Mr. Ted

H.R. 1873: Mr. BRINDISI, Mr. DESAULNIER,

H.R. 2086: Mr. KHANNA, Mr. TED LIEU of California, and Mr. LAWSON of Florida.

H.R. 2208: Mr. HORSFORD and Ms. PRESSLEY.

H.R. 2279: Mr. LAHOOD, Ms. SHERRILL, Ms. HOULAHAN, Ms. DEGETTE, and Mr. ALLEN.

H.R. 2350: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. LEE

H.R. 2491: Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts and

of California, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Mrs.

H.R. 2128: Mr. CARBAJAL and Mr. VARGAS.

LIEU of California, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, and Ms.

ELL, Mr. COOPER, and Ms. GARCIA of Texas.

H.R. 1154: Ms. CASTOR of Florida.

H.R. 1380: Mr. RESCHENTHALER.

Article 1, Section 8

H.R. 6010.

Constitution.

H.R. 6011.

H.R. 6012.

power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing powers and all Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department of Officer thereof. By Mr. GRIJALVA:

H.R. 5986.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

U.S. Const. art. I, sec. 8, cl. 3

To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian tribes;

U.S. Cont. art. IV, sec. 3, cl. 2, sen. a

The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rule and Regulations respecting the Territory of other Prop-

erty belonging to the United States; By Mr. RATCLIFFE:

H.R. 5987.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 7

By Mr. RATCLIFFE:

H.R. 5988

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 7

By Ms. SEWELL of Alabama:

H.R. 5989.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution

By Mr. RUSH:

H.R. 5990.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 By Ms. SCHAKOWSKY:

H.R. 5991.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 The Congress shall have Power . . . To reg-

ulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. STANTON:

H.R. 5992.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1 Section 8

By Mr. BALDERSON: H.R. 5993.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution

By Mr. CARTWRIGHT:

H.R. 5994.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 (relating to the power of Congress to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.)

By Mr. BILIRAKIS:

H.R. 5995.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution of the United States and Article I, Section 8, Clause 7 of the Constitution of the United States.

Article I, section 8 of the United State Constitution, which grants Congress the power to raise and support an Army; to provide and maintain a Navy; to make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces; and provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining the militia.

By Mr. CICILLINE:

H.R. 5996.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution

By Mr. ENGEL:

H.R. 5997.

- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
- Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 By Mr. GOLDEN:

H.R. 5998.

- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
- Article 1, Section 8, of the U.S. Constitution
- By Mr. HUFFMAN:
- H.R. 5999.
- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2: The Con-

gress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations re-

specting the Territory or other Property be-

- longing to the United States; By Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana:
 - H.R. 6000.
- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

- By Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana:
- H.R. 6001.
- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
 - Article I, Section 8
- By Ms. KELLY of Illinois:

H.R. 6002.

- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
- Clause 18 of section 8 of article I of the
- Constitution. By Ms. KELLY of Illinois:

H.R. 6003.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18-To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and to all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

- By Mr. LIPINSKI:
- H.R. 6004.
- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18

"To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof."

By Mr. MALINOWSKI:

H.R. 6005.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

- Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution of the United States.
 - By Mr. PASCRELL:

H.R. 6006.

- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
- Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. PASCRELL: H.R. 6007.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

- This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8. clause 18
 - By Mr. PASCRELL:

H.R. 6008.

H.R. 6009.

- Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
- This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, clause 18
- By Mr. PERLMUTTER:

- H.R. 2708: Mr. KIM.
- H.R. 2731: Mr. STEWART, Ms. BASS, Mr. GOSAR, and Mrs. MCBATH.
- H.R. 2914: Ms. NORTON.
- H.R. 3107: Mr. BEYER and Mr. WEBER of Texas.
- H.R. 3127: Mr. Steube. H.R. 3157: Mr. MOULTON.
- H.R. 3192: Mr. HARDER of California.
- H.R. 3221: Mr. PHILLIPS.
- H.R. 3479: Mr. BLUMENAUER.
- H.R. 3496: Ms. STEFANIK.
- H.R. 3627: Mr. WALBERG.
- H.R. 3822: Mr. THOMPSON of California.
- H.R. 3932: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois.
- H.R. 3964: Mrs. MILLER and Mr. SENSEN-
- BRENNER.
- H.R. 3969: Ms. Speier.
- H.R. 3973: Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. CROW, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. COX of California, Mr. POCAN, Ms. PINGREE, and Ms. JUDY CHU of California.
 - H.R. 4002: Ms. JACKSON LEE.
- H.R. 4004: Ms. UNDERWOOD.
- H.R. 4100: Mrs. NAPOLITANO.
- H.R. 4104: Mr. RUTHERFORD, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mrs. NAPOLI-TANO, Mr. GOODEN, and Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire.
- H.R. 4138: Mr. КАТКО.
- H.R. 4141: Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts and
- Ms. Omar. H. R. 4348: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois and Ms.
- DAVIDS of Kansas.
- H. R. 4399: Mr. PALMER.
- H. R. 4615: Ms. MOORE.
- H. R. 4708: Mr. KENNEDY and Mr. HARDER of California.
- H. R. 4709: Mr. KENNEDY and Mr. HARDER of California.
- H. R. 4752: Mr. PHILLIPS.

- H. R. 4814: Ms. Stefanik. H. R. 4817: Ms. Stefanik. H. R. 4919: Mr. GOODEN, Ms. STEFANIK, Mr. Comer, Mr. Grothman, Mr. Stivers, Mr. LUCAS, Mrs. HARTZLER, Mr. ESTES, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. BUCK, Mr. HURD of Texas, Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, and Mr. GUEST. H. R. 4959: Mr. PALMER. H. R. 5002: Mr. Spano. H. R. 5125: Mr. CONAWAY. H. R. 5164: Mrs. Watson Coleman. H. R. 5169: Mr. KHANNA. H. R. 5170: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois. H. R. 5191: Mr. TED LIEU of California. H. R. 5229: Mr. BALDERSON and Mr. SWALWELL of California. H. R. 5234: Mr. YOUNG. H. R. 5248: Mr. SERRANO. H. R. 5297: Mr. CONNOLLY. H. R. 5306: Mr. CARTER of Georgia. H. R. 5309: Mr. CASTRO of Texas. H. R. 5319: Ms. TORRES SMALL of New Mexico. H. R. 5475: Mr. ENGEL. H. R. 5510: Mr. Curtis. H. R. 5534: Ms. PORTER, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. POCAN, Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana, Mr. GOODEN, and Mr. SHIMKUS. H. R. 5544: Mr. COLE and Mrs. AXNE.
 - H. R. 5549: Mr. Allred. H. R. 5554: Ms. PINGREE.
 - H. R. 5563: Mr. Cohen.
 - H. R. 5565: Mr. CARBAJAL.
 - H. R. 5568: Mr. PAPPAS.
 - H. R. 5596: Mr. WATKINS.
- H. R. 5602: Mr. RUPPERSBERGER and Mr. Jeffries.
 - H. R. 5626: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois.
 - H. R. 5631: Ms. NORTON.
 - H. R. 5659: Mr. Keating.
 - H. R. 5701: Mr. RYAN.

- H.R. 5734: Ms. HAALAND.
- H.R. 5751: Mr. DESAULNIER.
- H.R. 5776: Mr. WILSON of South Carolina
- and Mr. JOHN W. ROSE of Tennessee. H.R. 5808: Mr. CASTRO of Texas.
 - H.R. 5818: Mr. MCGOVERN and Mrs. MILLER.
 - H.R. 5845: Mr. DESAULNIER.
 - H.R. 5875: Mr. MEADOWS.
 - H.R. 5884: Ms. NORTON, Mrs. BEATTY, and
 - Ms. Schrier.
 - H.R. 5889: Mr. CASTEN of Illinois.
 - H.R. 5893: Ms. MOORE and Mr. WELCH.
 - H.R. 5915: Mr. DESAULNIER.
 - H.R. 5919: Mr. Connolly.
 - H.R. 5929: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois and Mr. Perlmutter.
- H.R. 5950: Mr. CÁRDENAS.
 - H.R. 5955: Ms. LEE of California.
 - H.R. 5961: Mr. EVANS.
 - H.R. 5969: Mr. CÁRDENAS.
 - H.R. 5977: Ms. BROWNLEY of California.
 - H. J. Res. 2: Mr. SCOTT of Virginia.
 - H. Con. Res. 52: Ms. CLARK of Massachu-
- setts and Mr. MEEKS.
- H. Res. 23: Mr. STEIL.
- H. Res. 321: Mr. NORCROSS.
- H. Res. 643: Mr. POSEY and Mr. TAYLOR.
- H. Res. 775: Mr. GALLAGHER.
- H. Res. 810: Mr. Cox of California.
- H. Res. 823: Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. TRONE, and Mr. Rush.
- H. Res. 840: Mr. DESAULNIER.
- H. Res. 856: Mr. GRUALVA
- H. Res. 859: Ms. NORTON.
- H. Res. 861: Mrs. Axne.
- H. Res. 862: Mr. BOST, Ms. MATSUI, and Mrs.
- WATSON COLEMAN.
- H. Res. 864: Mr. GOTTHEIMER and Mr. CINNEROS.



Congressional Record

United States of America proceedings and debates of the 116^{tb} congress, second session

Vol. 166

WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2020

Senate

No. 39

The Senate met at 9:30 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer: Let us pray.

God of might and mercy, thank You for providing our lawmakers with opportunities for courageous and noble service. Inspire them to labor for Your glory in all they think, say, and do. Illuminate their minds with the light of Your divine precepts.

Lord, equip our Senators for their tasks that they may be physically fit, mentally alert, morally straight, and spiritually strong. Create in them the life of purity, honesty, and altruism that contributes solutions to the problems they face.

May they work with perseverance and magnanimity for the new and better day toward which Your divine intentions guide them.

We pray in Your faithful Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. TILLIS). The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask permission to speak in morning business for 1 minute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNIZING IOWA STAFF

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I would like to recognize what my staff in Iowa does because I can't be in Iowa all the time. I am in Washington, DC, for long periods of time. This is my 40th year holding Q&A in each of Iowa's 99 counties. My regional staff is also committed to holding meetings across Iowa. My Iowa staff serves as my eyes and ears when I am working in Washington, DC. That is why they host mobile office hours in every county and attended roughly 1,400 meetings across the State last year.

My regional directors tour hospitals, businesses, and childcare centers. They meet with disaster victims, government officials, and senior citizens. They attend ribbon cuttings, community forums, and legislative discussions.

Serving Iowans is my top priority. I urge Iowans to contact any of my six offices across the State if I can be of assistance on Federal matters.

I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, the continued spread of the coronavirus— COVID-19—has the world on notice.

Here in the United States, we are fortunate not to be facing an immediate crisis.

In response to early reports of the outbreak, the administration began monitoring efforts and enacted commonsense travel restrictions to help blunt and delay the spread of the virus here in our country.

Obviously, as our public health experts remind us, a nation of nearly 4 million square miles and more than 300

million people cannot be hermetically sealed off from the rest of the world. There seems to be little question that COVID-19 will eventually cause some degree of disruption here.

The question before us now is how we can help the administration and our professional medical experts continue their efforts to take advantage of this head start. Our task is to make sure these dedicated professionals have what they need to continue preparing in ways that are calm, smart, and effective.

Here in Congress, first and foremost, that means providing additional surge resources for the comprehensive Federal response. It is our job to ensure that funding is not a limiting factor as public health leaders and frontline medical professionals continue getting ready.

That is exactly why, several days ago, the Trump administration submitted an initial request for supplemental funding to begin the conversation. It was exactly the kind of action that many of our Democratic colleagues had been demanding, but as soon as the administration did take action, to the apparent puzzlement of basically everyone, including his fellow Democrats, the Democratic leader began launching partisan political attacks at the White House instead of working together to get this done.

Just days ago, the Democratic leader signed a letter "strongly urging" this kind of funding request, but almost the instant it arrived, he began blasting it as "too little too late," and our colleague continued to move the goalpost.

His strong views on the necessary amount of funds varied daily. It has been a strange and clumsy effort to override normal, bipartisan appropriations talks before they even happen and replace them with top-down partisan posturing.

Everyone from his fellow Democrats to President Trump have seemed perplexed by the Democratic leader's political game playing. It is not clear to

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



anyone why he is prioritizing fighting with the White House over simply letting the appropriators do their work.

I feel confident that the coronavirus does not care about partisan bickering or political news cycles. This new disease is not going to press pause so that Members can engage in performative outrage that gets us further from results rather than closer.

This is our first step in confronting the challenge. The Congress must be prepared to work together across the aisle in a collaborative way and actually get results.

Fortunately, it appears we will have an opportunity to put this cynicism behind us quickly and move forward in a unified way.

Bipartisan discussions are already underway among our colleagues on the Appropriations Committee. I have full confidence that Chairman SHELBY, Senator LEAHY, and our colleagues are fully capable of handling this quite well.

I have faith the committee will carefully consider the right sum to appropriate at this time to ensure our Nation's needs are fully funded. I hope they can work expeditiously so the full Senate would be able to take up the legislation within the next 2 weeks.

And I hope, as we move forward through this challenge, this body can put reflexive partisanship aside and uphold the spirit of cooperation and collaboration that this will require.

TRIBUTE TO LAURA DOVE

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, now, on an entirely different matter, earlier this week I said paying tribute to departing Senate staff is one of my favorite and least favorite things to do, simultaneously.

So I am especially unhappy to be back at it again today.

There is almost nobody—nobody—in this institution with whom I have worked more closely, or whose counsel I have sought more frequently, over the past 6½ years than Laura Dove.

Few people actually understand how important the Secretaries for the Majority and to the Minority are to this institution. These two officers supervise each side's cloakroom and floor staff. They are sort of like air traffic controllers who help Senators sequence the bills, amendments, and nominations that we vote on. They keep every office apprised of what exactly has happened, is happening, and will happen on the floor.

They serve as in-house procedural experts to each side, advising the leader and the chairmen. And they build close relationships with every Member of their side, trying to ensure the floor schedule reflects everything from Senators' policy priorities to their personal scheduling conflicts.

And while the two Secretaries are doing all this work in parallel with each other, they are also constantly working together. On many daily questions of process and of timing, their one-on-one relationship is the diplomatic frontline between the two sides of the aisle.

The Senate, as you know, is a consent-based institution. Almost every practicality is made much easier with bipartisan agreement—from scheduling major votes to packaging nominees, to literally turning the lights on every morning. And it is often Laura and her counterpart, Gary, who hammer out those details.

Consider the limitless scope of this job. It is no wonder Laura has made a certain piece of human resources phraseology into her personal mantra and her cloakroom's motto: "Other duties as assigned."

The Secretary for the Majority is essential to the Senate, and so Laura has become essential to all of us.

There cannot be many father-daughter pairs in world history—in world history—who have bonded over parliamentary procedure, but the fact is, it doesn't just seem like the Senate is Laura's natural habitat; she literally grew up in this place.

Laura's father, Bob Dove, started in the Parliamentarian's Office in the 1960s. He kept rising, and in the 1980s and 1990s, he was the Parliamentarian.

Bob was known for a wry saying he would repeat after tough days: "You may love the Senate, but the Senate may not love you back."

Unfortunately, for his family, one of the Senate's love languages turns out to be keeping people here late at night, which meant that the Dove family dinners, orchestrated by Laura's mom, Linda, sometimes happened in the corners of this very building.

The exposure sparked Laura's curiosity. Those family dinners turned into days off from school, spent wandering the halls and trying to imitate the duties of the pages. Then she put on the page uniform herself, and that is how this distinguished decades-long Senate career began: delivering notes, filling water glasses, and studying for math tests in the attic dorms of the Library of Congress.

That was the mid-1980s. Laura debuted in the cloakroom right around the time I debuted as a freshman Senator. Neither of us knew what awaited us.

From the lowest rung to the top of the ladder, Laura threw herself into literally everything. At every step, no task was too insignificant and no challenge was too great. Laura has had a hand in every accomplishment of this institution for nearly a decade. She has played a significant role in literally every single victory of this majority.

Her job performance alone would be stunningly impressive. But what is even more unfathomable is the level of kindness and good cheer she has maintained while doing it. She seems to begin every day with a smile on her face and a show tune on her lips. She treats everybody with the same respect and simple kindness, from the pages

whom she invites over for home-cooked holiday meals to the Senators whose family details she has committed to memory.

She is as happy tutoring junior staff in Senate basics as talking strategy with senior members. No matter how late the floor was open the night before, the same Laura clocked in the next morning, full of joy and maybe a new recipe to share with fellow Senate foodies.

Laura reminds us that the Senate's strength comes from its people. She has embodied this in her professional conduct, fighting to preserve and protect this institution as she helped us navigate through it, and she has embodied this institution in her personal character as well. She treats everybody with such warmth and respect as though this Chamber were our shared second home—and in some cases, it literally has been.

This staffer is so dedicated that she has rung in major milestone birthdays on these very premises, stolen sleep on a couch during overnight sessions—you get the picture.

Few were shocked when Laura's previous attempts to leave the Senate fizzled out after a year or so. I remember being relieved when I got another year, but I suspected she would be back.

But this time is different. In recent months, I know Laura has grown more and more excited to reallocate some time from her second home to her real home, to the family she has built with her husband Dan and their children, Abby and Jake.

Laura loves this body, its rules, its quirks, and its history more than almost anything. I say almost anything. But she loves a family dinner with those three, a glass of Chardonnay, and a game night by the fireplace even more. And as they prepare to send their oldest off to college soon, that time is becoming extra precious.

For us Senators it is hard to imagine what it is going to feel like next week when Laura is not here. I imagine she may feel the same way. But I know this: Those of us who remain will frequently ask ourselves "What would Laura do?" And whether the issue at hand is institutional or strategic or culinary, we will know asking that question will point us in the right direction.

I also know that Laura will be departing with some new wisdom of her own. She will know that, in a rare occurrence, her brilliant father actually got one thing wrong—that funny old saying: "You may love the Senate, but the Senate won't love you back." Well, his daughter will leave knowing that is only half true.

So, Laura, this institution cannot thank you enough, nor can this majority, nor can I. But I feel certain you will never quite be a stranger to the Senate. I don't think you could manage it even if you tried. So we won't say goodbye. We will just conclude with one more piece of Laura lingo she made famous: "Ciao for now."

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 3339

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I understand there is a bill at the desk due for a second reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the title of the bill for the second time.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 3339) to restore military priorities, and for other purposes.

Mr. McCONNELL. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I would object to further proceedings.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will be placed on the calendar.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority whip.

Mr. THÜNE. Mr. President, I want to join with the leader and my colleagues in thanking and recognizing Laura Dove, who is leaving us and the Senate at the end of the week. I don't think there is anybody else more identified with the U.S. Senate by Senators and their staffs than Laura.

When the leader was arriving here as a freshman Senator, I was arriving as a young 24-year-old staffer and happened to, I think, overlap, as well, when Laura was a page here. So she has been here; this has been her life; and many of us have had the opportunity through the years to observe her in action and to realize not only how talented and gifted she is but what a person of incredible integrity and character as well.

She spent more than two decades serving in the Senate and three weeks serving as my seatmate during the impeachment trial, which I am hoping wasn't the last straw in convincing her to retire.

For the last 7 years, she has been the Secretary of the Minority and Majority, a role that involves managing the Republican cloakroom, helping develop the floor schedule, keeping Members informed about votes, and providing Members with legislative and parliamentary counsel.

Laura has done all that and more over the past 7 years and has done it with distinction. All of us on this side of the aisle rely on her counsel, and there is no way we would have been able to accomplish all that we have accomplished in the past few years without her wisdom and expertise. She has the rare ability to tell Senators no—always with a smile—and actually have them listen.

I have sought Laura's advice many times, especially since becoming whip last year, and I will greatly miss her counsel, although I am hopeful she will be leaving a forwarding address for future questions.

As the leader pointed out, you might say Laura was raised on the Senate. Her father Robert "Bob" Dove twice served as Senate Parliamentarian and had a Senate career that spanned nearly 40 years, so Laura grew up steeped in Senate procedure and tradition. But her own career began as a Senate page, and I think perhaps her proudest accomplishment in the Senate has been mentoring literally the scores of pages who have passed through the Republican cloakroom on her watch. I know she has made their experience a richer and more meaningful one.

So, Laura, we thank you for your tireless work, the long days and the nights you put in. Your wisdom, patience, and unfailing good humor will be sorely missed by Senators and staff of both parties. We wish you all the very best in your future endeavors, and I hope that your next job will involve fewer late nights and more time for leisure, including loading up the RV and making another trip to the Black Hills of South Dakota.

5G

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, most of us think that today's internet is pretty fast. We receive traffic updates basically in realtime, get emails within a second or two, and stream our favorite shows whenever and wherever we want. But as advanced as today's internet is, the next generation of internet 5G will make 4G look like dial-up.

For instance, 5G mobile broadband technology will deliver speeds up to 100 times faster than what today's technology can deliver. It will be vastly more responsive than 4G technology, and it will be able to connect 100 times—100 times—the number of devices that can be connected with 4G.

While that will make it even easier to do the things we do today, like check our email or stream our favorite shows, the biggest benefits of 5G will lie in the other technologies it will enable—precision agriculture, medical and surgical innovation, safer vehicles, and much more.

The technology for 5G is already here, but there is more work to be done to get to nationwide 5G deployment. A key part of getting to that point is developing the workforce that will be required to install and maintain the 5G network.

Current internet technology relies on cell phone towers, but 5G technology will require not just traditional cell phone towers but small antennas called small cells that can often be attached to existing infrastructure like utility poles or buildings.

Wireless providers will have to install nearly 800,000 small cells around the Nation to support a nationwide 5G network. Of course, after installation, every one of those small cells will have to be monitored and maintained. That will require a substantial increase in the telecommunications workforce.

It is estimated that deploying the necessary infrastructure for 5G will create approximately 50,000 new construction jobs each year over the buildout period, and that is just for construction. Right now there simply aren't enough workers with the necessary training to meet the needs of nationwide 5G.

Industry and community colleges have stepped forward to provide training opportunities, but more work needs to be done if the United States wants to step forward into the 5G future.

As past chairman of the Commerce Committee and the current chairman of the Subcommittee on Communications, Technology, Innovation and the Internet, 5G has long been a priority of mine. I have spent a lot of time focused on advancing 5G deployment, especially to rural States like my home State of South Dakota. I was very proud to be in Sioux Falls a few months ago when the city unveiled one of the first 5G networks in the country.

In 2018, the President signed into law legislation that I developed to increase access to critical spectrum, and I have also introduced legislation to facilitate small cell deployment, especially in rural areas.

Today, I am introducing legislation to address the other part of the 5G equation—creating a large enough workforce to deploy and maintain all those small cells. As I said, industry and community colleges have both stepped forward to provide programs to train workers. Places like VIKOR Teleconstruction and Southeast Tech in Sioux Falls, SD, are already helping to train the 5G workforce of the future. But more work needs to be done.

Mv Telecommunications Skilled Workforce Act would help increase the number of workers enrolled in 5G training programs and identify ways to grow the telecommunications workforce to meet the demands of 5G. My bill would require the Department of Labor to bring together our Federal partners, as well as individuals on the ground, deploying next-generation telecommunications services. The resulting working group would be required to identify any current laws or regulations that are making it difficult for educational institutions and businesses to establish programs to help meet the workforce needs of the telecom industry.

It would also be required to identify existing Federal programs to help address workforce shortages, as well as ways the Federal Government could encourage or incentivize growth in the telecommunications workforce, including the deployment of fixed broadband in our rural areas. My bill would also direct the Department of Labor and the Federal Communications Commission to issue guidance for States to help them leverage existing Federal resources for growing their telecommunications workforces and to help them improve recruitment for industry-led telecommunications development programs like the Wireless Infrastructure Association's Telecommunications Industry Registered Apprenticeship Program.

I appreciate my colleagues, Senators Tester, Moran, and Peters, for partnering with me on this bill.

Getting to 5G in the near future is important for our whole country—both economically and for the advances it will bring in fields like medicine and auto safety—which is why it has been a major priority of mine over the past few years.

I am particularly motivated by the benefits that 5G could bring to my home State of South Dakota. The ability to deploy precision agriculture on a wide scale would have huge benefits for South Dakota farmers. Better access to telemedicine could bring better healthcare to thousands of residents in my home State and other rural areas. To get to these benefits, we have to ensure the telecommunications companies are able to find the workers they need.

I hope we can quickly advance this legislation so the United States can continue her march into the 5G future. I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH.) The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO LAURA DOVE

Mr. GRASSLEY. Madam President, I come to the floor for two reasons. I am first going to recognize the good work that the Secretary for the Majority, Laura Dove, has done for the entire U.S. Senate, particularly for the majority but mostly for the smooth running of the Senate.

Before I speak on another issue, I want to associate myself with the great comments that Leader McCON-NELL made this morning about the work of the Secretary for the Majority, Laura Dove. Laura's work in the U.S. Senate has been tremendous, with over 20 years of service. Few know Senate procedures as well as Laura Dove. She keeps the Senate firing on all cylinders, working for the American people.

I am a Senator who hasn't missed a vote in almost 27 years. Laura Dove and the Republican cloakroom, partnering with her and with me in my commitment to not miss a vote—they ensure that I am here when I am needed for those votes. I thank Laura for helping me serve the people of Iowa effectively.

I wish Laura all the best in her next chapter. There is no doubt that we will miss her sharp intellect and warm smile here in the Senate Chamber.

MINOR LEAGUE BASEBALL

Mr. GRASSLEY. Madam President, as Iowa farmers count down the days to get into the fields, baseball fans are counting down the days for that first pitch to cross the plate. As a farmer and also as a baseball fan, hope springs eternal.

However, we have gotten wind that Major League Baseball is throwing local clubs a curve ball—a curve that would hurt baseball, hurt local economies, and the fields of dreams in my home State. That is three strikes right there.

I have got news. Don't count us baseball fans out. These local communities and this U.S. Senator aren't going to sit on the sidelines. Now, here is the news: Major League Baseball said that it may cut ties with as many as 42 Minor League clubs, including three historic affiliates in Iowa: The Burlington Bees, the Clinton LumberKings, and the Quad Cities River Bandits.

I have been in communication with the deputy commissioner of Major League Baseball, Dan Halem, both in letters and on the phone, about the importance of these teams to Iowa. I am sure a lot of my colleagues have made the same contacts.

I have also joined, with a bipartisan group of my colleagues, in introducing a resolution today supporting all Minor League Baseball teams across the country. For generations of Iowans, these ball clubs are a vibrant source of civic pride, a vibrant source of entertainment, and—would you believe it also a vibrant source of economic development.

While I have been to just a handful of Major League Baseball games, I have fond, fond memories of going to Minor League Baseball games in Waterloo, IA. We call them the Waterloo White Hawks, a club team for the Chicago White Sox. I had an opportunity, as a young person, to see Luis Aparicio play there in Waterloo before he made it big in the majors as a shortstop for the Chicago White Sox.

You can see that I want Iowans to continue to have that same experience. For the record, I am and will always go to bat for Iowa. As Iowa's senior Senator, I will do what I can to "root, root, root for the home team."

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. (Mr. SCOTT of Florida.) Without objection, it is so ordered.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the following nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Travis Greaves, of the District of Columbia, to be a Judge of the United States Tax Court for a term of fifteen years.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

HEALTHCARE

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I come to the floor today as the Democrats continue to scare the American public when it comes to their healthcare.

This week's Democratic Presidential debate the other night in South Carolina was a free-for-all. Their frontrunner, a man I believe to be a dangerous democratic Socialist, BER-NIE SANDERS, is in the spotlight, and he seems to be in the lead. Socialist taxand-spend policies remain on full display.

The top policy priority of the Democrats would destroy healthcare freedom in America. They are proposing a complete government takeover of our Nation's healthcare system—a complete government takeover. They call it Medicare for All, but let's take a look at what that actually means. It means that 180 million Americans who get their health insurance through work would lose that insurance. They would lose that health insurance. Washington bureaucrats would be in control of healthcare.

The Sanders proposal has a price tag of \$34 trillion—\$34 trillion with a "t." It would bankrupt the country and everyone in it. The only way to even try to pay for it is with massive, acrossthe-board tax hikes, and BERNIE SAND-ERS said he is willing to do it.

Do not be deceived when they first talk about targeting the rich because the tax increases would hit working families and even people making \$29,000 a year. That is according to BERNIE SANDERS' own math. And taxes are likely to double. Medicare for All would deliver a crushing blow not only to family budgets but I believe to the entire economy. It would end America's success story.

Thanks to Republican tax and regulatory relief, we have a record-setting economy, record low unemployment, record job growth—7 million new jobs. Wages are rising. Middle-class wages and blue-collar wages are going up. It is a worker windfall, a blue-collar boom. A record 61 percent of Americans say they are better off financially than when President Trump took office. People are confident about the future, and the President's job approval is at an alltime high.

Still, the $2\bar{0}20$ Democrats don't seem to get it. You don't hear a positive word about the economy. Instead, Democrats seem to attack one another and try to move further and further to the Left. During the debate last week, the crowd actually booed a defense of free markets.

Some Democratic candidates are proposing a scaled-back version of Medicare for All that they call a "public option," but this proposal would create a February 27, 2020

government health plan to compete with work-sponsored health insurance. Don't be fooled—that public option would hurt patients across the country, especially people living in rural areas. It would disrupt insurance coverage, slash funding for doctors and hospitals, and would force local hospitals and clinics to close. Simply put, a public option is a pit stop on the road to 100 percent government-run healthcare in America.

Clearly, Democrats are ignoring their own voters. Union workers across the country are telling Democrats: Don't touch our hard-earned healthcare benefits. People don't want radical healthcare schemes, which is what the Democrats are proposing. People care more about their pocketbooks. They want their own healthcare, but they want it at a lower cost. That is what I hear every weekend at home in Wyoming.

Americans are struggling to pay for insurance premiums for doctors, for hospitals, and for prescription drugs. According to a new POLITICO-Harvard poll, 8 in 10 Americans-89 percent of Democrats and 76 percent of Republicans—want us to lower their healthcare costs. Seventy-five percent say we must lower the costs of prescription drugs. I agree. The Kaiser Family Foundation reports that nearly one in four people is having trouble paying for their prescriptions. But Socialist policies are the wrong medicine. They will only worsen the problems.

Republicans are listening to people's concerns. We have commonsense solutions to lower out-of-pocket costs without lowering standards. I am a doctor, the husband of a breast cancer survivor, and the son of a 97-year-old mother. Let me assure you, Republicans will always protect vulnerable Americans, especially people with preexisting conditions. The Republican healthcare agenda is about giving patients more choices and better healthcare. It is about improving healthcare access and affordability.

Working with President Trump, we are already providing much needed relief from costly ObamaCare taxes. These unfair taxes hurt working families, they hurt small businesses, they hurt seniors, and we have ended them.

Now we are working to drive down drug costs. As part of this effort, in December, I joined six Republican Senators to introduce the Lower Costs, More Cures Act. This legislation would limit out-of-pocket drug costs for people with Medicare Part D plans. We also ended the drug price gag rule to help patients find more affordable drugs. We are working to end surprise medical billings. These unexpected, unreasonable, and unaffordable bills undermine families' finances. It is an intolerable practice, and it must stop.

Republicans are delivering better healthcare. Still, to make more progress, we need Democrats to work with us. It is time to come together. It is time to cooperate. It is time to find

common ground. I will tell you, taking away health insurance from 180 million Americans who get it through work is not common ground. There is no common ground. That is the direction of the Democratic Party. We need to find common ground. Taking insurance away from 180 million Americans who get it from work and then giving free health insurance to illegal immigrants and raising taxes from Americans to pay for it is not common ground.

Let's work together to give patients the high-quality care they need from a doctor they choose at lower costs.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call roll.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO PETTY OFFICER EVAN GRILLS

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, as expected, it is time for our "Alaskan of the Week" speech. One of the most fulfilling things I get to do as Alaska's U.S. Senator is to come down to the floor of the Senate and talk about the people in my State who are making a difference in their communities, in the State, and in the country. It is a great opportunity in which to do that.

I always encourage people who are watching and listening to come to the great State of Alaska for a visit. You will love it. It will be the best trip you ever take. I guarantee it.

I know the pages enjoy this speech each week because it is a story of what real people are doing and, in many cases, of humble heroes. Usually, these are happy stories that I get to talk about, but sometimes they aren't happy. The story I am going to tell today is, in fact, a very, very tragic one, but it contains the kind of heroism and selflessness that can spring from a tragedy and literally inspire a State or a nation.

If you are listening, I think you are going to be inspired.

I have said on the floor before that we are a State—an enormous State, a big State in the country, by far—but that we are also a family and that, when something happens to members of our families, we all grieve. This is particularly true of the town of Kodiak, AK, and of the fishing community, both of which suffered a tremendous loss on New Year's Eve in the Gulf of Alaska when a crabbing boat, the *Scandies Rose*, sank into the freezing waters, taking with it five fishermen.

Of the five fishermen—including Seth Rousseau-Gano, Brock Rainey, and Arthur Ganacias—Captain Gary Cobban, Jr., and David, his son, were both from Kodiak and perished with the crew. Blessedly and remarkably, there were two survivors—John Lawler, of Anchorage, and Dean Gribble, of Washington State. I mention that almost as

a miracle. They were saved because of the bravery and the heroism of our Coast Guard rescuers, who flew through gale force winds and 30-foothigh swells to rescue these survivors. Credit goes to all of the Coast Guard members throughout the country but particularly to those in Kodiak and specifically to those who were on the flight—the pilot, LT Christopher Clark, the copilot, LT Jonathan Ardan, and the mechanic, Jacob Dillon.

As I said, they are all heroes in this story, but I want to specifically highlight the role of the rescue swimmer that evening of New Year's Eve—25year-old PO Evan Grills. Now, Evan is a relative newcomer to the great State of Alaska, but his heroism in saving two lives more than qualifies him to be our Alaskan of the Week.

Before I get into the story of this perilous mission, let me tell you a little bit about the fishing community in Alaska and why our Coast Guard is so very valuable.

Alaska's seas are the most productive in the world and, by the way, the most sustainably managed in the world. More than 60 percent of all seafood harvested in the United States of America comes from Alaska's waters-6-0. I like to refer to our State as the superpower of seafood, which we clearly are, and our fishermen are probably the hardest working small business men and women around the world. They work hard. They take huge risks. And they produce a product that is second to none anywhere on the planet. They face brutal conditions at sea and sometimes very tough conditions in the market, but they love their work. They love the vital role they play in supplying the best tasting, most sustainable wild fish products to America and the globe—literally, the best.

The industry used to be incredibly dangerous, and it is still the Nation's second-most dangerous profession. I am sure a lot of the viewers have seen the show the "Deadliest Catch," but unlike in previous decades, the culture has trended more toward safety. Most Alaskan fishermen you will meet, though, will have a harrowing story of a time at sea, and, of course, they will have harrowing stories of rescues.

Kodiak, AK, where the Scandies Rose is home-ported, is at the center of our fishing community. Kodiak is one of the largest fishing ports in the entire United States, both in terms of value and in terms of quantity. For those who have never been there, they have to go to Kodiak, AK. It is a magical, beautiful place. It is an island—one of the biggest islands in America. It is about the size of New Jersey-with beautiful, wonderful people, with tough people. By the way, the biggest brown bears on the planet all reside in Kodiak. The heart of Kodiak beats fish, and when one of its own perishes at sea, the whole community mourns, as it is still doing for Gary, Jr., and David Cobban-two hard-working, fine fishermen from a great family.

Kodiak is also home to the largest Coast Guard base in the United States-the 17th District. By the way, we are making that base bigger, with more assets and more aircraft coming to Alaska, because we need it. As the chairman of the subcommittee in charge of the Coast Guard, I am going to continue to make that happen, for sure. In an average month in Alaskaget this-the Coast Guard saves 22 lives, performs 53 assists, and conducts 13 security boardings and 22 security patrols. This is in 1 month. Think about that. That is daily heroism for Alaska and for America. They do this all in the largest geographic area of any Coast Guard district in the country-nearly 4 million square miles-in some of the most challenging weather environments on the planet. That is what the men and women of the Coast Guard do in my great State every single day.

Now, being a rescue swimmer in the Coast Guard is an elite assignment. Being a rescuer in the Coast Guard station in Alaska is, according to our Alaskan of the Week, PO Evan Grills, the "tip of the spear" of this elite assignment. So let me tell you a little bit about Evan.

Raised in Stuart, FL—the home of our Presiding Officer, Florida—the military had always appealed to Evan. His grandparents and uncles were marines. As a Marine colonel myself, I say "Semper Fi" to them. Some of his older friends and mentors went on to the academies, but going overseas didn't really appeal to Evan. Serving in the United States and saving American citizens at home did, as did the tough training required to be a Coast Guard rescue swimmer. "It's the most elite [assignment]," he said, "and that's what appealed to me, [so I joined]."

Evan had been in Alaska for less than a year when, on New Year's Eve—just 2 months ago—the call came in that a boat that was about 170 miles southwest of Kodiak was in trouble.

Having trained mostly in swimming pools, this rescue—the one he was being called upon—was going to be his first. Think about that. Your first rescue—and I am going to describe conditions that would terrify anyone. Nothing prepared him for what he would soon be undertaking.

Mr. President, let me transport you now to this crabbing boat, the *Scandies Rose*, in the Gulf of Alaska on New Year's Eve. The winds are 40 knots. The seas are 30 feet. The boast is listing to the starboard side. It is 10 degrees out. Everything is freezing. It is nighttime. It is very dark.

It was clear the boat was going down, but the captain, heroically, with minutes to spare, was able to get off a mayday call and in doing so let the Coast Guard know exactly where to find them and, as a result of the captain's heroic actions, save two lives.

The two survivors, Dean Gribble, Jr., and John Lawler, managed to get into their survival suits and a life raft and waited to be rescued in these heavy, rough seas. It was a 4-hour wait. It was very, very cold. They were covered in ice. The seas were pitching their raft. They were hypothermic, it was pitch black, and they had no idea if anyone was coming.

Gribble told a reporter that during the wait, he talked to John.

We're not going to die today, John. This isn't our time. We're not dying today.

Even though, in his head, he knew they would die if a rescue didn't come soon. Then they saw the lights from the helicopter, with Evan Grills aboard, hovering above like an angel coming to save them. But it wasn't a given that in those conditions, they could even conduct a rescue: that it would be safe for the rescuer to jump in 30-foot swells to save them. To even try in these huge waves, in 40-knot winds. in icy conditions, was a danger to the crew and the pilots. The flight from Kodiak in those conditions had taken 2 hours, and the helicopter was short on fuel. They only had minutes left to make the decision whether to try to rescue them or turn around and go back to Kodiak. That, combined with the extreme winds and seas and freezing temperatures, made any attempt at deploying a rescue swimmer very, very risky.

The pilots conferred with our Alaskan of the week, Evan. They were nervous for his safety. They were hovering. They had to hover high because they didn't want to be hit by waves.

"Are you good with the plan?" they asked.

"I guess so," Evan said.

This was the first rescue of his career. I don't think there was much of an option not to do it. A thousand different thoughts went through Evan's head when he leapt into the frigid waters in a gale-force storm in pitch darkness, risking his life to save others.

When he reached the first survivor, he said:

I knew exactly what to do and how to do it. It was almost second nature.

His training kicked in. His great Coast Guard training kicked in. He explained the hoist he had come down with—that came down from the helicopter to the first survivor and how it worked to be hoisted up into the helicopter. And then to the second survivor, he said, "We're going to go up in this hoist together. Relax. I've got you covered." Calm. Courage. Heroic. And he did. He had them covered.

These are the actions of a hero, a true American hero, a true Alaskan hero. These are actions that need to be celebrated and known in our country. How many Americans or Alaskans, even, read about what this young man did to save lives on New Year's Eve when the rest of America was celebrating and having fun? Well, now they know.

But there are five, as I mentioned, who tragically couldn't be saved. We know their memories live on. And in

Kodiak, the community, the family, and the loved ones of the Cobbans are beginning the long, slow process of healing.

As for Evan, our Alaskan of the week, he thinks a lot about those who were lost, wishing he could have done more, but he is grateful he was able to save two lives. He is also grateful for his training and what the Coast Guard does and how what he had trained for as a rescue swimmer worked. So he knows and now has the confidence that he can save others when they are in trouble.

"That's the core of it," Evan said. "Obviously, we don't ever want anybody to get in trouble on the seas" particularly the rough seas of Alaska— "but they do. And I'm happy I have the skills and training to save them." Spoken like a true, humble hero, which this young man is.

We are also glad you have the skills and training to save others, Evan, and we want to thank you.

Petty Officer Grills. Semper Paratus. Thanks for all you are doing. Thanks for your courage, your example, your inspiration, and thank you for being our Alaskan of the week.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio.

TRIBUTE TO LAURA DOVE

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. President, I would like to start this morning by talking about a friend of ours who has chosen to move on and leave the Senate and spend more time with her wonderful family. I certainly understand that because the job she has, which is Secretary for the Majority, is more than a full-time job; it is living, breathing, sleeping this place, and she does a great job at it.

Her name is Laura Dove. She has been doing this particular job for 7 years. Prior to that, she actually was here on three different occasions, as I understand it, working for the Senate. She grew up with it. Her dad was the Parliamentarian here for 36 years.

Laura is a consummate professional. I work with her a lot on legislation. She helps me to get things through the process here, which is not always easy, but as significant, she works very closely with her counterpart on the Democratic side of the aisle and figures out how to get stuff done, how to keep this place operating so that the world's greatest deliberative body, as they call the U.S. Senate, can meet its great potential and expectation.

So, to Laura Dove, we are going to miss you. As much as we understand why you need some time with your family right now and your great, great kids, we are going to miss you a lot.

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

Mr. President, I am here on the floor today to talk about how this strong American economy has led to historic workforce needs and how, if we do the right things to respond to that problem, it can become an opportunity—an opportunity to bring Americans off the sidelines, who for too long have not been in the workforce or have been underemployed, to bring them back in to work.

It gives us the potential to do two things. One is to strengthen the economy. It is already strong, but it would be even stronger if we could fill this gap. By the way, if we don't fill this gap, if we don't provide the workforce, the economy will weaken. Second, it is to help millions of Americans who are not working, on the sidelines, or who are underemployed to find meaningful employment with good pay and good benefits.

Pro-growth Federal tax policies, regulatory policies, and other policies over the past few years have worked. Some of us have talked about the need to reform the Tax Code and make it work better. A trillion dollars has been invested in the U.S. economy since tax reform. As an example, we have seen unemployment at low levels—3.6 percent unemployment today, which is just about a 50-year low in terms of unemployment.

The Congressional Budget Office has told us through recent data that we have grown at a steady 2.3 percent rate in the past year. That is good. This unemployment number is important, but also important is that we are seeing wage growth. In fact, we have now had 18 straight months of wage growth of over 3 percent. It is the first time we have had this in at least a decade. That is very important because you think about really, for the past decade, what we have had is flat wages or even declining wages relative to inflation. That is certainly true in my home State of Ohio. It has been about a decade and a half since we have seen any real wage growth. Now we have this steady wage growth.

In fact, among blue-collar workers what the Labor Department says—nonsupervisory employees have seen the highest percentage increase in wage growth. For blue-collar workers, there has been a 6.6-percent wage growth over the past 2 years. By the way, that is about \$1.50 an hour on average.

It is a big deal, and it is very important because that was one of the great objectives we had in tax reform and tax cuts, was to ensure that we get the economy moving and give people the chance to earn more, to be able to have a feeling that if they worked hard and played by the rules, they could get ahead. We are seeing that. That is great news for the American people and great news for the folks I represent in Ohio who are finally benefiting from higher wages.

At the same time, I am hearing from small business owners all over the State of Ohio—in fact, businesses at every level—that although they are able to move forward and add jobs, they are looking for workers, and that workforce is their biggest single challenge.

We have now had 22 straight months of more jobs being offered than there

are workers looking for work—22 months, almost 2 years of that. So there are a lot of openings out there.

One thing that is interesting is that even though the economy is strong and we have unemployment at about 50year lows, there still are people on the sidelines who aren't coming in to work, as they would normally. Economists call this a low labor force participation rate. What that means is, even though we have a strong economy and lots of jobs out there, there are still millions of Americans who are on the sidelines. It is estimated that there are about 8 million working-age men—this would be between the ages of 25 and 55—who are not looking for work today.

This means the unemployment number which I mentioned earlier, at 3.6 percent, which is a very low numberalmost a 50-year low—is not the real number. The real number is actually higher than that if you assume a normal labor force participation rate. In other words, if you had some of these people who are out of work-I mentioned the 8 million men-coming into the workforce, the unemployment rate would be higher. In fact, if you go back to what the normal labor force participation rate would be just before the last great recession, the unemployment rate today would be about 7.6 percent, so about double what it actually is. That is an opportunity. That is an opportunity.

Now, why aren't these folks working? Well, there are a number of reasons for that. Let's be honest. We don't really know. We have done a lot of analysis of it in our own office trying to figure it out, and part of it is the opioid crisis, I am convinced.

I have come to the floor 60 times in the last few years to talk about the opioid crisis. We are making progress on that now. That is good. But when surveys are done by the Department of Labor or by the Brookings Institute, they show that a substantial number, as many as 45 to 50 percent of people they survey, say they are taking pain medication on a daily basis who are out of work altogether. So those, roughly, 8 million men, for example, in one study, 47 percent say they are taking pain medication on a daily basis. Two-thirds have acknowledged it is prescription pain medication. This goes to the issue of opioids-opioid prescription drugs, heroin, fentanyl, and so on. When people are addicted, often it is impossible for them to get their act together to be engaged in work on a regular basis. So the opioid crisis definitely affects this.

Another one, of course, is a lot of people are in our jails and prisons. We have a record number of people in prison. A lot of people are now getting out. The idea of the First Step Act and the Second Chance Act, which is legislation that is actually helping to get people back to work, is important, but, frankly, if you have a felony record, it is tough to get a job. That is why we often see these people are on the sidelines.

Another issue that I think needs to be looked at is this skills gap. This is a big part of what is going on right now. There are jobs out there, but they require a certain level of skill. So it is great that we have low unemployment. It is great we have all these openings right now, but we just don't have enough skilled workers to fill those jobs that keep growing.

I visited dozens of factories and businesses over the past year, and I keep hearing: We have this job for a welder, and we can't find any welders. There are plenty of people looking for work out there, on the sidelines looking for work, but there are no welders. There is one company in Ohio that told me they can hire up to 100 welders. It is a big manufacturing company. In Ohio and across the country, there are lots of these job openings for machinists, medical technicians in hospitals, and there are a lot of techs who are wanted right now-computer programmers, people who know how to code. Coding is really important right now, particularly as you go into medical electronic records, as an example.

If you look on ohiomeansjobs.com this morning—and that is a website that is up there showing what jobs are available in Ohio-there are 187,000 jobs this morning being offered in Ohio. When you look at what those jobs are, you will see a lot of them require these skills we are talking about. They don't require necessarily a college degree, by the way. I am talking about technical skills. I mentioned techs and welders. I didn't mention truckdrivers, but that is one area where we need workers in Ohio. We are desperate for people who have the skills to be able to drive a truck. That requires getting a commercial truck driver's license, a CDL. These jobs are there, but they do require some level of skills training after high school.

I think that skills gap, if it can be closed, would make a huge difference right now for our economy. Obviously, we need these jobs, and if the workforce isn't there, these businesses are going to move. They are going to move from Ohio, not just to Indiana but to India because that is where the jobs are going to be if we don't provide this level of skills training.

Secondly, it is just a great opportunity for these individuals. Some are young people coming up, some are people midcareer. Getting skills training is so critical. Post-high school certificates are what we really need.

Deloitte and the Manufacturing Institute have highlighted this with a survey they do regularly. They say there are roughly 360,000 unfilled manufacturing jobs across the United States right now. They say it is going to get worse. They say the skills gap may lead to an additional 2.4 million manufacturing jobs unfilled over the next 10 years with a negative economic impact of \$2.5 trillion. This is a big deal for our economy.

The basic training for the kind of jobs I am talking about is called career

and technical education, CTE. For those who are a little older, you might think of a vocational school. CTE is so impressive today. It is not your old vocational school, it is high-tech, using much better equipment. The schools that are taking it seriously are bringing in excellent teachers from the outside, from industry, to understand what is needed in the real world. CTE is a great opportunity for so many young people.

A few months ago, I toured the Vantage Career Center in Van Wert, OH. I go to a lot of career centers. I love to go. I am very inspired when I go. In Van Wert, they have juniors and seniors from more than a dozen school districts coming into one CTE center. They are studying things such as automotive technology, welding, nursing assistant training, carpentry, and truckdriving. They are finding when these students get out, they can typically get a job. Some are going on to further skills training. Some are going on to community college, some are going on to 4-year institutions, but for young people in high school, look at CTE. It makes so much sense.

I cofounded and co-chair what is called the Senate CTE Caucus. When I first got here in 2011, I started this with Senator TIM KAINE of Virginia. We started off having 3 of us in the caucus, and now there are 29 people in the caucus. Why? My colleagues go home, and they are hearing the same thing I am hearing, which is that we need to close the skills gap. Companies are looking for people, and it is a great opportunity for people who are on the outside to get into the inside to get a job with good pay and benefits.

Our job is to increase awareness of these skills programs as an education option. Our job is to get students who are more interested in skills training into these jobs. This month of February is Career and Technical Education Month. We are putting together a resolution. We have 57 Senators who signed on to the resolution so far, and if you haven't signed on, let us know. It is an opportunity to just raise visibility about what is working well in so many of our States and the amazing opportunities out there for our young people.

We passed some good legislation to help. In 2018, we passed the Educating Tomorrow's Workforce Act, which is working to improve the quality of CTE education programs, making sure we are using equipment and the standards of today's industry to make these programs even more effective.

But skills training goes well beyond just these great high school programs. Industry-recognized, certificate-granting technical workforce training programs post-high school are another key to close the skills gap. Think of some of the workforce training programs you have probably heard about in your community that are being offered by your community college or may be offered by a local technical school. They

give people a certificate they can then take to get a job that is industry recognized. For these kinds of post-high school training programs, I think the big opportunity comes in improving access because programs are expensive and a lot of young people can't afford them. A lot of midcareer people can't afford them.

One thing we can do immediately is say: Let's expand Pell grants to include these kinds of programs. You can get a Pell grant if you want to go to a community college or go to a 4-year college or university. For some people, that is the right track, but, frankly, for a lot of people, they are looking to get these technical skills and get a certificate and get a job. There is no reason they shouldn't get the same help that the government is providing someone who wants to go to a 4-year college or university for these programs to provide the skills that are so desperately needed. In fact, I would say we ought to focus on that more. We ought to change our mindset and say: Let's not just focus on college, as important as it is—and it is the right track for some students-but let's put an equal emphasis on skills training.

We have legislation that is very simple. It says that for low-income families, where the students are eligible for Pell for college or university, let's make them eligible for one of these skills training programs that are less than 15 weeks. It has to be a high-quality program and provide this industryrecognized certificate.

Our legislation is called the JOBS Act. It makes so much sense. It is bipartisan and bicameral and we should get it done. By the way, for those students who go through a technical training program and get that certificate and end up getting a job, a lot of them do go to college, but guess who pays for it? Typically, it is the company who pays for it. So they don't end up having this big debt or burden that so many students have.

Student debt in Ohio is about \$27,000 per student; whereas, if you go to one of these programs and end up getting an associate's degree or bachelor's degree or master's degree, typically you aren't paying anything because your employer is going to pay for you to get that additional training.

My hope is that we can move this legislation forward quickly. It is something I hear from everyone back in Ohio. Over the past few weeks, we held roundtables on workforce at manufacturing businesses such as Stanley Electric in Madison County and Fecon, Inc., in Warren County, and we talked about this issue with businessowners, with community colleges, with workers who are actually on the job, and all these groups agree the JOBS Act is needed and needed badly.

What is more, we know that a lot of businessowners who are getting engaged in this are willing to help these skills training programs to be more effective and to provide the skills training that actually works for them.

The JOBS Act has now been endorsed by the National Skills Coalition, the Association for Career and Technical Education, the Business Roundtable, and other groups. It is the No. 1 priority, we are told, of the Association of Community Colleges and the American Association of Community Colleges. We heard the same thing from the Ohio Association of Community Colleges when I met with them earlier this month.

I must state that I am also very pleased that the JOBS Act is included in the President's budget this year, as it was last year. I applaud President Trump and his administration for promoting this and on the work they are doing in training, internships, apprenticeships, and the JOBS Act, to provide this funding to encourage more Americans to get the skills training needed for them to have a better future. It is the best proposal out there, I believe, to help fill the skills gap right away.

There are some alternative proposals out there that limit the kind of programs that would be eligible for this by requiring them to be a certain number of hours. Our community colleges in Ohio tell me that none of their shortterm training programs would qualify for some of these alternatives that people are talking about. For programs like welding, precision machining, and electrical trades, we need to get the funding into the short-term training programs now.

As I said earlier, this is CTE Month, Career Technical Education Month, so it is a good time to talk about all forms of technical education. If we make expanding these technical skills programs a priority, if we enact the JOBS Act that I have been talking about today, we are going to address the No. 1 issue we hear from our employers, and we are going to help millions of Americans have a better opportunity.

There is momentum in Ohio right now. Businesses are expanding and seeking skilled workers, but, again, the skills gap is still an impediment. We need to seize this opportunity, keep our economy moving in a positive direction, and help Ohioans develop the skills to grow in the career of their choice and fulfill their potential in life. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma.

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, first, let me comment that the Senator from Ohio is right on target. I know that when we did the authorization bills we actually have language now that we put in to try to encourage people while they are still in high school to find out what they want to do with their lives.

One of the problems we have right now is, we have a great economy—the best economy we have had in my lifetime—but the bad side of that is, there is a lot of competition out there, and we want to make sure that people are directed into areas where they really can enjoy life and where the market will work in their favor. We are very much concerned about that with the two bills we have done so far that has new language in there to encourage people to use pilot programs in high school to know what direction they want to go with their lives.

ABORTION

Mr. President, this week, we voted on two very important bills—the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act and the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act. Unfortunately, my colleagues on the Democratic side voted to block these bills, but I would like to thank my colleagues, Senators GRAHAM and SASSE, for their leadership on these bills. I would like to thank Senator McCONNELL for his efforts to bring these bills to the floor.

Now this short comment period I have here does have a happy ending, and I am actually anxious to share some things with people. When you look at these two bills-first, Senator SASSE's bill, the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act-a bill I cosponsored in the past—it would ensure that a baby who survives an abortion would receive the same treatment as any other child who was naturally born at the same age. Now that is interesting. How many people out there realize that if someone goes to an abortion and they were not successful in killing the unborn baby, when they survive and they are out and they are breathing, they don't get the same treatment any other baby would get? People are not aware of that.

So that is what this bill is all about. That is just morally right, and I don't see why there would be any disagreement about it. The bill is not even about abortion. It is about infanticide.

It was 28 years ago that I came down here in this very Chamber to tell the story of Ana Rosa Rodriguez. This is what I said. Keep in mind this was 28 years ago. I said:

Mr. Chairman, there is a big misconception regarding abortion and the issue of women and their right to protect their bodies. It is not that right that I object to but the right that is given them to kill an unborn fetus an unborn child.

I want to share with you a story that my colleague CHRIS SMITH told me some time ago on this very floor.

Ana Rosa Rodriguez is an abortion survivor. . . At birth she was a healthy 3 pound baby girl, except for her injury; she was missing an arm. Ana survived a botched abortion.

Her mother attempted to get an abortion in her 32nd week of pregnancy when she was perfectly healthy—8 weeks past what New York State [at that time would] legally [allow]. In the unsuccessful abortion attempt, the baby's right arm was ripped off. However they failed to kill Ana Rosa. She lived. Pro-life supporters agree that nightmare situations like the Rodriguez case are probably not common, but abortion-related deaths and serious injuries occur more frequently than most people are aware.

It is amazing that we [and I am still quoting from 28 years ago] can pay so much attention to issues such as human rights abroad and can allow the violent destruction of over 26 million children here at home. We

are fortunate that Ana was not one of those children. She survived.

That was 1992. And today, we still don't have explicit Federal protections for babies who survive the brutal abortion process. As I said, this issue is not about abortion but about caring for a baby outside the womb. This baby is alive. It is a baby who is living in the real world.

The need for these protections has become even clearer as we see States like New York and Illinois allowing abortion for virtually any reason up to the point of birth and supporting infanticide by removing protections for an infant born alive after a failed abortion.

Just a few years ago, after that speech—and that would have been in 1997—I was on the floor with my good friend Rick Santorum to try to pass a partial-birth abortion ban and end the practice of late-term abortions. Fortunately, we won—won the battle against partial-birth abortions and finally ended that practice in 2003. That ban was upheld by the Supreme Court in 2007.

We have yet to pass legislation banning late-term abortion. Only seven countries allow abortion after 20 weeks, including the United States and North Korea. Now, that is horrific. The United States is supposed to be an example in regard to global human rights, yet we are on par with North Korea when it comes to protecting the unborn.

Senator GRAHAM's Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act would help roll back the practice by prohibiting abortion after 20 weeks post-fertilization. The reason he is using this 20 weeks is there is one agreement that no one takes issue with, and that is, babies feel pain after that time. Most people say that babies feel pain greater than adults do. That is why that 20 weeks was used in the legislation.

This is another commonsense bill that should not divide us along partisan lines. A baby is a baby whether in or outside the womb, and each baby deserves a chance to live as an individual created in the image of God.

There is still much more we need to do to end the abortion-on-demand culture, but, thankfully, we have the most pro-life President we have had in history. This January, President Trump became the first sitting President to attend the annual March for Life. It is a rally in Washington. Hundreds of prolife Oklahomans joined the President and tens of thousands of Americans in the march. I had a chance to meet many of these Oklahomans, many of them extremely young—as young as in high school. They were here marching. They asked me how to respond when the radical left attacks their views, and I told them to be kind but not to be afraid to voice their opinions. After all, they are right.

Under President Trump's leadership, we protected the Hyde amendment. We reinstated and expanded the Mexico

City policy and stripped abortion providers like Planned Parenthood from using title X funding for abortions. And not just that, but under this President, we have also confirmed 193 new judges. That is the largest number of judges in this particular timeframe of a new President. There are 193 new judges, the second highest total in history at this point in a Presidency.

These judges actually understand and uphold the Constitution. I haven't polled them myself, but I suspect the vast majority or maybe all of them are very sensitive to the sanctity of human life.

The need to stand up for our babies is as important today as it was when I made this speech in 1992 and in 1997. I am looking forward to building on the successes under this President.

We have something happening that is unusual now. We have a President who is very pro-life, and we also have a lot of new judges whom we suspect will be conservative, constitutional judges. We will overcome evil with good by upholding and affirming the dignity and inherent worth of every human life, and we will seize the opportunity that we have today.

With that, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. FISCHER). The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BLUNT. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BLACK HISTORY MONTH

Mr. BLUNT. Madam President, I want to talk today about Black History Month and, specifically, about Black History Month and baseball. This month marks the 100th anniversary of the founding of the first successful, organized league for professional African-American baseball players.

On February 13, 1920, a group of eight midwestern team owners got together at the Paseo YMCA in Kansas City, MO, to form the Negro National League. Before then, these African-American teams had a lot of great players. They barnstormed around the country. They played sort of whomever they could and whenever they could. But in 1920, these eight owners got together and decided that everybody would benefit with more structure in the league, and they established a league to see that we got that structure.

In the first 10 years of the league, the Kansas City Monarchs won the pennant four times. As the league thrived, other leagues were formed for African-American players in the South and in the East. Over the years, some of the greatest players in baseball played in the Negro leagues. Jackie Robinson, Satchel Paige, and Kansas City's own Buck O'Neil played there. There were many others we would recognize who
then became part of the major leagues following Jackie Robinson.

There are lots of players you will learn a lot about at the Negro Leagues Baseball Museum in Kansas City. The Negro Leagues Baseball Museum in Kansas City tells this story and tells it well. They don't just tell the story of African-American organized baseball, but they really tell the story of a thriving community beyond that, which is an important part of our legacy.

Obviously, a more important part of our legacy is to bring everybody together, but in those years, around the time the Negro leagues were formed, African-American communities in arts and entertainment and in businesses were significant. Part of that story is told there as well.

The 100th anniversary of the founding of the Negro leagues is an opportunity for us to talk about that. These leagues had great talent. In fact, the Pittsburgh Grays would play here in Washington half of the time. Half of their games-their so-called home games-they played in Washington at Griffith Stadium, where the Washington Senators played. I don't think there is any argument that when the Grays played here—the African-American team, the Negro leagues team played here—there was a greater crowd than there was when the Senators played, and there was better baseball. These were great and exciting times in baseball. I think that is well told at the museum.

TIM KAINE, a Kansas Citian who now represents Virginia in Congress, and I are working together to commemorate the centennial of the Negro Leagues with the minting of a new coin by the Treasury. We are joined over in the House by Congressman EMANUEL CLEAVER, from Kansas City in my State of Missouri, and Congressman STEVE STIVERS from Ohio.

The way the minting of this coin works, of course, is that there is no cost to the taxpayers. You print a coin. Congress decides that this is an issue worthy of recognizing, and you mint the coin. I think I said print. Mint is more accurate. Once all of the costs of minting those coins have been met and there is no taxpayer cost—then the money goes to the recipient organization from that point on. There would be a gold coin and a silver coin and a bronze coin at different levels available for people to buy.

Now, 75 of our Senate colleagues, including the Presiding Officer and me, have cosponsored this legislation. I think we will get it passed very soon. With any luck, we might even pass it right here in the next day or so during Black History Month.

I want to recognize Bob Kendrick, the president of the Negro Leagues Baseball Museum, for his support in encouraging us to see if we could make this coin a reality and all that he and his board have done to preserve the history of Negro leagues baseball. I have been certainly glad to take my son Charlie to the museum. I go there with some frequency. A few years ago, I encouraged Major League Baseball to have an event there when they were having the All-Star Game in Kansas City. I don't think there was a player who went to that event at the Negro Leagues Baseball Museum who wasn't both impressed and touched by what they saw there. It is an important part of our history.

Another part of our history that very closely relates to this is something I will be a part of later this afternoon. Congressman DAVID TRONE of Maryland, over on the House side, and I, along with Senator DURBIN and Congressman CLAY from St. Louis and Congresswoman WAGNER from St. Louis, are sending a letter to the Baseball Hall of Fame telling them that they need to include Curt Flood in the Baseball Hall of Fame.

Curt Flood was a great player and should be part of the Baseball Hall of Fame just on his playing skills alone. He played with the Cardinals most of his entire career—7 consecutive years. That included two World Series pennants in 1964 and 1967. He won seven Gold Gloves in those 7 years and was designated the best center fielder in the National League.

I remember that team well. We were Cardinals fans in my house. In the late 1950s and early 1960s, you didn't have many sports on TV. We listened to virtually every Cardinals game we could hear on the radio. My mom and dad were dairy farmers. I remember being out hauling hay at night, and whoever was driving the truck should have been almost deaf because if there was a Cardinals game going on, the radio would be as loud as it possibly could be so those of us out tossing the bales on the hay truck could hear the Cardinals game.

I also remember—and I checked myself yesterday to be sure I was accurate—but on that Curt Flood team, that 1964 team, it was Bill White at first base; Julian Javier at second base, Dick Groat, short stop; Ken Boyer, third base, and Tim McCarver catching. Most of the time, and always if available, Bob Gibson was pitching. In the outfield was Lou Brock—the great Lou Brock. Curt Flood was in center field, and Mike Shannon in right field. By the way, Mike Shannon still announces the Cardinals games on the radio and occasionally on television.

It was a great team, and Curt Flood was an important part of that team. Frankly, he should be in the Hall of Fame just because of that—the two World Series, Most Valuable Player, the best center fielder in baseball, at least in the National League, for 7 years straight.

In late 1969, the Cardinals decided they were going to trade Curt Flood to the Phillies. I don't think Curt Flood necessarily had anything against the Phillies, but he didn't want to be traded against his will. So he wrote a letter

to the commissioner of baseball. In that letter he said: "After 12 years in the Major Leagues, I do not feel that I am a piece of property to be bought and sold irrespective of my wishes." That began the challenge of the reserve clause in baseball. Maybe it is particularly significant here in Black History Month that an African-American player was the one who challenged the reserve clause.

With the reserve clause in baseball, you would play for your team's owner as long as you wanted to play unless your team's owner decided you would play for someone else. Then you would play for that person as long as they wanted you to play, unless you decided you didn't want to play baseball anymore.

It was Curt Flood who challenged that. He lost his Supreme Court case. It was a 5-to-4 loss in the Supreme Court. But it didn't take too many years before not only was the reserve clause reversed but Curt Flood was recognized in Federal legislation.

There is a copy of that single-page letter filed as part of the 1970 case at the Hall of Fame at Cooperstown. If there is a copy of Curt Flood's letter in the Hall of Fame, then, Curt Flood should be in the Hall of Fame.

I hope those looking back at what is called the golden years of baseball look at players who didn't get into the Hall of Fame, take our advice, look at Curt Flood, look at the difference he has made for players playing the game today, and put him in the Hall of Fame.

I yield back.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

IMMIGRATION

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Madam President, I come to the floor today to say that we have gotten some good news this week from our Southern border. We are making progress on the wall that President Trump has fought so hard for, and that progress will be further supported by billions of dollars in new appropriations funding to reach the President's goal of 450 miles by 2021. Think about it—450 miles that have been secured.

What we do know is that as a result of all of these ramped-up security efforts, border apprehensions are down 78 percent from last May's high of over 130,000. We have had falling—falling numbers every single month for the last 8 months. This is a very good thing. It shows the word is getting out that we are serious about our sovereignty, about securing our border, about ending the access that traffickers—human traffickers, drug traffickers—have had on that border. That is a good thing.

This good news is clouded a little bit by the reality that all is still not well. Border Patrol officials estimate that nearly 1 million migrants—I want you to think about that number: nearly 1 million migrants—crossed our border illegally and evaded apprehension in fiscal 2019. That is the severity of this problem. Think about it—1 million people, additional people. Think about the size of a population of 1 million people. That is the number that moved into our country. We do not know who they are. We do not know where they have come from. We do not know if they are traffickers. We do not know if they wish us well or their intent for coming into our country.

While things are trending in the right direction, I think it is fair to say we are not out of the woods yet on this issue of illegal immigration. Until we get this influx of illegal aliens under control and manage the fallout of allowing so many people to come into this country and live illegally, this is what we have.

Every town is a border town and every State will remain a border State because of the problems they have to face every single day.

Let me give an example. On December 29, 2018, Knoxville, TN, fire chief D. J. Corcoran and his wife Wendy's lives were changed forever, and their happy, healthy family was brutally transformed into a grieving Angel Family. On that day, an illegal alien in Tennessee struck and killed their 22-yearold son, Pierce Corcoran. It was a headon car crash. Pierce died that day. A few months later, that illegal alien was deported to Mexico.

 \hat{I} have to tell you, for me, as I have worked with the Corcorans since this time and shared their grief, this is an unsatisfying end to a tragic series of events that never should have happened because the man responsible for Pierce's death never should have been in Tennessee in the first place.

That story is heartbreaking. Unfortunately, it is not unique. In 2019, another Tennessean, named Debbie Burgess, was killed in a hit-and-run accident caused by an illegal alien with a lengthy criminal record.

Just last week in Sevier County, TN—and this is something that has shaken the entire community—two elementary school children walking to school were hit by an illegal alien who fled the scene. Tragic.

Every Member of this body is well aware that our country's permissive attitude toward illegal immigration has real-life consequences. Our constant debate over policy and funding does not exist solely in the abstraction of politics. Starting right now, we must look internally and ask ourselves what changes we can make to disincentivize illegal entry into the United States. What can we do?

It seems so easy to people: Come across the border illegally. You might be able to get benefits. You can have access to education. You can work. There is a way to do this and live in the shadows.

How do we disincentivize this?

While Tennessee, along with a majority of States, prohibits driver's licenses for illegal aliens, a growing number of States are moving in the opposite direction and allowing dangerous, openborder-style policies.

This month, I introduced the Stop Greenlighting Driver Licenses for Illegal Immigrants Act, and its purpose is precisely what the title of this bill says it will do. You can see from the poster, there are some States in red. If you live in one of those States, your State—your State—has agreed to give driver's licenses to illegal aliens.

Let me tell you a little bit more about this. Once it is signed into law, the bill will halt certain Department of Justice grant funding to States that defy Federal immigration law, noncomplying States—that means those States that say: We are not going to comply with Federal law. Oh, no, not us. We are going to be a sanctuary for those who are illegally in the country and are choosing to break the law.

They decided they are going to be a sanctuary for illegal aliens. That is what you call a noncomplying State. Those States will no longer enjoy access to the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program. This is a program that was created to fund local law enforcement and criminal justice initiatives.

In 2019, States that issued driver's licenses to illegal immigrants received over \$50 million from this program, so their choice to defy the law will result in no small sacrifice. It is their choice. They can choose, if they want to, to say: We refuse to comply with Federal law. They can make that choice, but they are not going to get taxpayer money through law enforcement grants. This is common sense.

I want to encourage my colleagues to think back to the fear and confusion that we all experienced in the weeks after 9/11. I am sure you remember that time. I remember that time. I remember that feeling of, what can we do? Not only had we known tremendous loss, we had discovered that we were not nearly as secure as we thought we were in this country.

One of the loopholes we discovered came about because people said: How in the world could these terrorists, hijackers, have so easily obtained a State-issued driver's license that allowed them to board those planes and carry out those deadly attacks? People said: How could this have happened? How could they have done this?

We found a loophole. What did we do? We closed that loophole. We passed the REAL ID Act. This isn't done as a form of repression; it is a practical way of managing a vulnerability that was found in State agency paperwork. The REAL ID Act is something we are all complying with now. You have to take a Social Security number. You have to take proof of residence. You have to take other documentation that shows you are who you claim to be.

Less than 20 years later, look at where we are. Thirteen States and the District of Columbia have regressed into more lax policies—pre-9/11 policies. Often, they only require a pass-

port or a consular card as proof of identity.

Here is the kicker: If you do not have the right documents—meaning you don't have a passport, you don't have a birth certificate, you don't have a Social Security number, you don't have proof of residency, you don't have proof of employment—these States are saying: Never mind; doesn't matter. We are going to let you sign an affidavit an affidavit—to say you are not able to secure a Social Security number.

What are these States doing? They are creating, again, a vulnerability in the system. There are people who will go sign an affidavit. Guess what. All of a sudden, they have a REAL ID. These States say: Oh, we are going to stamp it for State-use only. I think they say that with a wink-wink. nod-nod because they know they are putting a vulnerability in that system. They are letting people that-we do not know who they are, we do not know their intent for being in this country, but they are going to allow this reckless policy to go into effect. And it is reckless. It goes hand in hand with other broad sanctuary rules that increase crime and that frustrate the efforts of our local law enforcement officials.

Here is another bit of good news: The courts are coming down on the side of security. Yesterday, a Federal appeals court—the Second Circuit Court in New York—upheld President Trump's authority to enact anti-illegal immigration, anti-sanctuary policies similar to what would be codified in my legislation. It would allow for those funds to be restricted for those entities that are making a choice, taking a vote, and deciding they don't want to comply with Federal law.

I will tell you, I should not have to stand on the floor of the Senate and beg our colleagues to support policies that stand with the rule of law and prevent tragedies like the deaths of Pierce Corcoran and Debbie Burgess.

I ask my colleagues to join me in this effort, join me in standing with the rule of law. Join me in standing with these Angel Families who know grief that I wish no one had to know and experience. Join me in supporting the Stop Greenlighting Driver Licenses for Illegal Immigrants Act.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. YOUNG). Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The remarks of Mr. GARDNER pertaining to the introduction of S. Res. 514 are printed in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

Mr. GARDNER. I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, last night in California, an American was diagnosed with the first reported case of coronavirus unrelated to any travel to an infected area—a sign that coronavirus is here on our shores and we must act swiftly and strongly to contain its spread.

Despite months of public warnings about the danger of this disease, the President was caught completely flatfooted by the coronavirus. And now, instead of quickly marshaling the resources of the Federal Government to respond to this health crisis, President Trump is intent on blaming everyone and everything instead of solving the problem. Instead of stepping up to the plate, he is belittling the urgency of this problem and telling people: Oh, it's not very much. The experts say the opposite.

As for blame, the President is blaming the press for stoking concern about the virus; the President is blaming social media for magnifying those legitimate concerns; and the President, typically, is blaming Democrats too.

But who fired the global health security team at the National Security Council and Department of Homeland Security and decided not to replace them? It wasn't the press. It wasn't the Democrats. It was President Trump.

Who cut \$35 million from the infectious disease rapid response fund? President Trump.

Who cut \$85 million from the emerging infectious disease account? President Trump.

Who cut \$120 million from public health preparedness and response programs? President Trump.

And who just proposed cutting 16 percent of CDC's budget—the agency in charge of fighting these kinds of viruses? Not the Democrats, not the press—President Trump did that.

Of course Democrats want to work quickly with the President to get a handle on this problem. Lives are at stake, but the President cannot belittle the danger. It is real; it is looming; it is serious.

To hear the President last night, when most of his speech was not a sober speech calling America to unite and fight this virus—it was mainly name-calling, politics, blaming, and, in fact, belittling the problem and the danger.

The President must stop trying to minimize the nature of the coronavirus threat. His attempts at spinning the facts are just not credible, and they are harmful to the Federal response.

In order to prevent overreaction by the public, it is essential that the Federal officials—especially the President and Vice President—level with the American people. Telling the American people the truth and then coming up with solutions to solve it is the way to calm people down—not simply saying "Oh, don't worry about it" and then spending time blaming others. That is not going to calm people down; that is going to make them more worried.

So let's let the science and the facts guide us. The American people do not need or want uninformed opinions or spin from its leaders. They want the truth.

Now, the first step the Congress must take is to ensure that the government has the resources to combat this deadly virus and keep Americans safe. I have made a request for \$8.5 billion for this purpose—far more than the administration's request of \$2.5 billion, only half of which is new funding. The rest of the President's proposed funding is stolen from other accounts.

Any emergency funding supplemental the Congress approves must be entirely new funding, not stolen from other accounts, and include, at minimum, strong provisions that ensure, one, that the President cannot transfer these new funds to anything other than coronavirus and American and global preparedness to combat epidemics and infectious diseases; two, vaccines that are affordable and available to all who need them, not just to those who have a good deal of money; three, interestfree loans be made available for small businesses impacted by the outbreak; and four. State and local governments be reimbursed for costs incurred while assisting the Federal response to the corona outbreak.

Democrats in both Chambers will work closely with Republicans to pass a supplemental appropriations package with these criteria in mind. But in the meantime, President Trump must get his act together: Stop blaming, stop belittling, roll up your sleeves, unite America, and start proposing real solutions.

After months of dithering, after towering and dangerous incompetence, it is time for President Trump to roll up his sleeves and do the right thing.

INDIA

Mr. President, on another matter, the President yesterday returned to Washington from India. The United States and India are natural allies. If the United States and India are close friends and partners, the world will be a safer, more prosperous place. India has an amazing culture and great people. So unifying America and India is a very good thing.

But did the President do anything on his trip that substantively advanced that objective? No, he did not. Sadly, the President's trip to India was typical of foreign policy in the Trump era—a big spectacle with handshakes and photo-ops but without meaningful progress or accomplishment for the United States.

There were real things for the President to accomplish in India. We are now India's largest trading partner one of the largest markets for our agricultural products, medical devices, even motorbikes. Did the President make any progress on a trade deal to reduce the significant market access barriers that American companies face? No.

India is in the midst of fierce protests over a law that restricts religious freedom. Did the President stand up for religious freedom and democratic values? No. He didn't even bring up the issue with the Prime Minister.

There are 4 million Indian Americans. I am proud to say many are in the New York area. They have done and continued to do so much for this great country. Their history, music, culture, literature are woven into the very fabric of American life. Indian-American families form the backbone of so many strong communities in New York City, in Long Island and the suburbs, and all over the country. They deserve more than Presidential photoops in their native land. They deserve a President who takes the friendship between the United States and India seriously and works to build a strategic alliance.

But this President cannot seem to manage anything beyond reality-show diplomacy, and that is why President Trump will likely end his first term bereft of any significant foreign policy achievement.

TRIBUTE TO LAURA DOVE

Mr. President, finally, on a different note and a very happy note, I want to conclude my remarks by noting the departure of a staffer who, although she works for Leader McCONNELL, is truly a resource for and a credit to the Senate as a whole—Laura Dove.

It is a happy note for her. She is moving on to even bigger and better things. But it is a sad note for all of us, Democrats and Republicans, in the Senate because she has done such a good job.

Laura is the Secretary for the Majority. As with many job titles in Washington, Laura's title does not come close to capturing what she actually does, nor does it remotely reflect her importance to this Chamber.

The two caucus Secretaries—Gary Myrick for the Senate minority and Laura Dove for the majority—literally make the Senate function. Their negotiations determine when we come in and out of session, which amendments will be considered, and their parliamentary expertise guides Senators of both parties. Laura's attention to detail is such that even the dress code of the Senate does not escape her.

Laura has certainly been around this Chamber for as long as many of the Senators she advises. The Senate is in her blood. Her father, Bob Dove, was the Senate Parliamentarian. Dinner table conversations in the Dove household must have included the arcana of Senate procedure, particularly because some of those family dinners occurred here in the Senate itself, as Mr. Dove worked the sometimes late hours of the Senate.

Both of Mr. Dove's daughters served as pages, and now both of Laura's children have served as pages as well—a family tradition unique among family traditions. Few have done as much to support the page program as Laura. She not only keeps a watchful and supportive eye over their time on the floor, but she has invited them into her home, welcoming any page wishing to celebrate a Jewish holiday with her family. I want to thank her especially for how much she has done for Senate pages, and from the vantage point of the lens of the C-SPAN camera, the Senate floor looks like a forum for disagreement and sometimes for vociferous debate. Few beyond this Chamber appreciate how important it is for our two parties to cooperate every day amidst those disagreements to make the work of the Senate come to life. Though our parties have vastly different opinions on everything, ranging from policy to procedure, Laura has always represented the position of her caucus honestly and treated our staff with civility and respect.

She even takes a bit of that work home with her. Her husband, Dan Solomon, worked for someone—Senator Wofford—who was a good, strong, liberal Democrat, if there ever was one.

The Republican leader this morning gave a very personal and emotional tribute to Laura's service. You could see how much she means to him and the entire Republican caucus. I echo those sentiments, and I would extend them to the Senate as a whole. Few care more about this institution, its traditions, its history, and its future than Laura Dove, and few have worked harder to support the Senate in their careers.

Robert Duncan, Laura's assistant, B will be taking her place today. He has big shoes to fill but is a really talented guy who knows how this place works. A All I can tell you, Robert, is if you listen to Gary Myrick, you can't go D wrong.

Laura, we wish you nothing but the best for the next chapter of your life, and we thank you profoundly for your service to the Senate.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Greaves nomination?

Mr. PAUL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEX-ANDER) would have voted "yea" and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) would have voted "yea."

Mr. SCHUMER. I announce that the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN), the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. HEINRICH), the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ), and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote or to change their vote? The result was announced—yeas 85,

nays 3, as follows: [Rollcall Vote No. 62 Ex.]

YEAS-85

YEAS-85					
Baldwin	Graham	Risch			
Barrasso	Grassley	Roberts			
Bennet	Hassan	Romney			
Blackburn	Hawley	Rosen			
Blumenthal	Hirono	Rounds			
Blunt	Hoeven	Rubio			
Boozman	Hyde-Smith	Sasse			
Braun	Inhofe	Schumer			
Brown	Johnson	Scott (FL)			
Burr	Jones	Scott (SC)			
Cantwell	Kaine	Shaheen			
Capito	Kennedy	Shelby			
Carper	King	Sinema			
Casey	Lankford	Smith			
Cassidy	Leahy	Stabenow			
Collins	Lee	Sullivan			
Coons	Loeffler	Tester			
Cornyn	Manchin				
Cortez Masto	McConnell	Thune			
Cotton	McSally	Tillis			
Cramer	Menendez	Toomey			
Crapo Daines	Merkley Murkowski	Udall			
Duckworth		Van Hollen			
Enzi	Murphy Murray	Warner			
Ernst	Paul	Whitehouse			
Feinstein	Peters	Wicker			
Fischer	Portman	Wyden			
Gardner	Reed	Young			
Gardioi					
	NAYS—3				
Booker	Gillibrand	Harris			
I	NOT VOTING-1	2			
Alexander	Heinrich	Perdue			
Cardin	Klobuchar	Sanders			
Cruz	Markey	Schatz			
Durbin	Moran	Warren			

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The majority leader.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion was agreed to.

ADVANCED GEOTHERMAL INNOVA-TION LEADERSHIP ACT OF 2019— Motion to Proceed

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to Calendar No. 357, S. 2657.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to Calendar No. 357, S. 2657, a bill to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. McCONNELL. I send a cloture motion to the desk for the motion to proceed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 357, S. 2657, an act to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes.

Mitch McConnell, Lisa Murkowski, Steve Daines, Bill Cassidy, John Barrasso, Martha McSally, Deb Fischer, Richard C. Shelby, John Hoeven, Thom Tillis, John Thune, Pat Roberts, Richard Burr, Mike Rounds, Shelley Moore Capito, Roy Blunt, Mike Crapo.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the mandatory quorum call be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST-S. 3173

Mr. LEE. Mr. President, in a message to Congress on July 4, 1861, Abraham Lincoln wrote that the leading object of government was to "elevate the condition of men, to lift artificial weights from all shoulders; to clear the paths of laudable pursuit for all; to afford all an unfettered start and a fair chance in the race of life."

It is no coincidence that he gave this message on the anniversary of our Nation's birth. Lincoln was echoing the profound legacy of our founding—a legacy that shaped our Nation and thereafter rippled across not only the Western Hemisphere but the entire world.

When the Founders broke off from the yoke of British tyranny, they declared all men to be endowed with certain inalienable rights—rights that come not from the State, a church, any man or woman, or even from a government, but, rather, from God himself.

The first of these inalienable rights was life. Never was any nation in the history of human beings born of a higher principle or a deeper connection to human happiness and flourishing. Here, the people would rule. Here, government would serve the people and not the other way around. Here, for the first time ever, each person, no matter his or her station in life, was endowed with these rights and entitled to their equal protection.

Today, 159 years since Lincoln's message to Congress and 244 years since the Founders' message to the world, here we stand sworn, still, to fulfill their promise.

As far as we have come during that time period, we still have so far to go. Today, our government—founded to protect Americans' rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness threatens unborn Americans on all three counts. The Supreme Court imposes and Congress subsidizes the most radical abortion policy in the Western world.

Since 1973, more than 60 million little lives, innocent lives, have been lost. The children lost to abortion cannot be seen, they cannot be heard, but the loss of every single one of them is felt. Mothers have been robbed of their children. There are gaping holes left throughout our Nation, in our families and in our communities—gaping holes that only those unique, unrepeatable souls could have and would have otherwise filled.

For more than four decades, we have failed American women and their unborn children. Today, we have a chance to do better, to aspire for more, not to settle for mediocrity or tyranny but to celebrate and embrace life and liberty. We have a chance to stand up for the very weakest and most vulnerable among us, the ones still being knit together in their mothers' wombs, the ones we know respond to human touch by the age of 8 weeks, who feel pain by the age of 20 weeks, and who recognize the sound of their mother's voice before they are even born.

Science and medicine are only confirming what we know deep down—that unborn human beings are, in fact, just like us. Every day, more scientific evidence confirms our moral intuition that a person is a person no matter how small that person happens to be.

The so-called Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act that was before us earlier this week would have banned abortions for babies more than 20 weeks of age, upholding in law what science already confirms; that is, that these babies feel every bit of their life as it is being ended. This should not have been a controversial bill.

Still less controversial should have been the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act. The Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act takes no position on abortion, and it takes no position even on the rights of the unborn. It simply says that in this country, the United States of America, when a child is born, even if by accident, even in the most dangerous place in the world for an infant-that is, a Planned Parenthood clinic-he or she becomes a citizen of the United States under our Constitution, entitled to the full and equal protection of our laws. It says that when a child intended to be aborted is, in fact, instead born alive, he or she cannot simply be "disposed in the back room of a clinic or a of' hospital, as if it were nothing more than medical waste. This bill merely outlaws the murder of the innocent in the first moments of life; that is, the first moments of life outside the womb.

It is a tragedy, a blight, and a poor commentary of frightening reflection not only upon this country but on this very legislative body that these measures failed this week. A minority of this body chose to reject both the scientific facts of human biology and the essential moral principle of human dignity.

When someone talks about not accepting science, I hope they will remember what happened this week. I hope they will remember that against all medical and scientific evidence, to say nothing of what people know morally, intuitively, and within their own hearts, this body failed to protect the most vulnerable among us.

Unfortunately, this is not the first time in our Nation's history that we have sometimes looked at the people according to a really evil logic of utility and power, and it is not the first time that we have tried to dehumanize human beings. It is not the first time we have tried to pick and choose who is wanted and who is valuable in society, penuriously doling out rights to exist and to be free on the basis of that arbitrary and unjustifiable determination.

Nonetheless, thankfully, if there is one thing that we know about our country, it is that the American people have a way of bending the arc of history toward life or, as Winston Churchill is credited for saying, the American people will always do the right thing after they have exhausted every other alternative.

We have a long, proud history as Americans of standing up for the weak, for the innocent, and especially for the vulnerable. We have made mistakes grave, grave mistakes—but the right thing to do is always the right thing to do, and we come around in the end. It is one of the things that differentiates us from other societies. We aspire toward that which is good.

Today there is reason to hope. Abortions in my home State of Utah have been steadily declining over the past four decades, with fewer than 3,000 happening in 2017. Six States are now down to just one abortion clinic: Kentucky, West Virginia, North Dakota, South Dakota, Mississippi, and Missouri. This past year, Alabama passed a law banning elective abortions in most circumstances, and just last month hundreds of thousands of Americans marched joyfully once again through Washington, as they have year after year after year, for those who cannot. for those who are rendered absent by this barbaric practice.

The tide is turning, and today we have another chance to right these same wrongs. Through my bill, the Abortion Is Not Healthcare Act, we have the chance to stop the tax deductibility of abortions which are currently categorized as medical care by the IRS.

The purpose of healthcare is to heal, is to cure. It is not to kill. Let us be serious. Whatever else abortion may be, of course, elective abortion is not healthcare. That is why physicians literally take an oath to do no harm.

The government should not offer tax benefits for a procedure that kills hundreds of thousands of unborn children each year, nor should taxpayers have to subsidize it. This bill would end this preferential tax treatment and clarify that this gruesome practice is not healthcare.

We also have the chance to permanently stop the use of our foreign aid money from funding or promoting abortions overseas. The Protecting Life in Foreign Assistance Act will save countless lives across the globe and affirms the truth that the lives of all unborn children, regardless of where they might happen to be from, have dignity and worth. Today we can stand to allow all human beings—no matter what their age, their appearance, or their abilities—a fair chance in the race of life.

We have only to remain loyal to that bedrock principle that we claim to defend in the Declaration of Independence: the inalienable, fundamental right to life, the equal dignity, the immeasurable worth of all human life.

I ask unanimous consent that the Finance Committee be discharged from further consideration of S. 3173 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

I further ask that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, the majority party's anti-women healthcare agenda has certainly been on display in the last few days here in the U.S. Senate: two votes on Tuesday, more votes and debate today. Every time it is the same basic proposition on offer: legislation that squeezes Republican politicians in between women and their doctors.

I have said the old GOP slogan used to be "a chicken in every pot." These days it is "a Republican in every exam room."

Not only does this legislation discount the fact that reproductive healthcare, including abortion, is healthcare; it would make women's healthcare services more expensive. This would head this country back to the days when the healthcare system was just for the healthy and the wealthy.

My view is decisions about the healthcare of women, especially reproductive healthcare—including abortion—are enormously personal. They ought to be decisions made between women and their physicians. Politicians ought to stay away. They ought to stay out of it all. That is what the Roe v. Wade case is all about, and it is the law of the land.

So because I believe in keeping politicians out of the medical exam room, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

The Senator from Utah.

Mr. LEE. Mr. President, I appreciate the opportunity to address these important issues today. I thank my friend and colleague from Oregon for outlining his reasons for objecting to this legislation. I feel the need to respond to a few things that he said because they call for an immediate response.

First, he noted that there were two votes cast earlier this week that he described as part of an ongoing pattern, an ongoing campaign among Senate Republicans that, according to my colleague, are anti-woman. This is offensive on a variety levels—first when you consider that the abortion is no respecter of persons. It is not just male babies aborted; it is also female babies. There are parts of the world where abortion of female babies occurs in much higher numbers—in many cases because they are female babies.

Abortion is itself—elective abortion is an act of violence against a human form, against a human life, albeit a life in utero.

I remember a few months ago we were holding a hearing, of all things, addressing issues relating to wild horses and burros in the Western United States. Certain wild horse populations have grown out of control. They have devastated rangelands. They have depleted resources available to them, and many of them are starving, malnourished, and suffering.

There have been programs that have sought not only to help them in one way or another but also to sterilize them. I never thought I would be part of a significant hearing addressing the nonsexy topic of equine contraception, but in this instance we had one. One of our witnesses, who was from an organization devoted to preventing cruelty to animals, explained that one of the most effective techniques of horse birth control involves the sterilization procedure. I asked why that was not the preferred method. She said because, in many instances, it can result in the loss of the unborn horse. I asked her why that mattered. She said: Well, because it is a life, notwithstanding the fact that it hasn't been born. It is cruel to the unborn baby horse. It is cruel to the foal. If it is cruel to the foal, why isn't it cruel to the baby, whether it is a male baby or a female baby? This is not anti-woman.

There was also the suggestion that the campaign somehow involves a Republican in every exam room, and that, according to those who advocate prolife positions, it would relegate healthcare to the healthy and wealthy. Well, this gets back to the very point I was making. An exam room—actual healthcare—involves protecting and preserving human life. Elective abortion, by contrast, has one object; that is, the termination of a human life—an unborn, in utero human life but a human life just the same.

You can say whatever you want about it but to call it healthcare, to me, is counterintuitive—not just to me but to many, many Americans who find the practice abhorrent and are shocked by the thought that the U.S. Government would be subsidizing it, whether through its tax policy or through more direct forms or, as we see today, both.

As to the suggestion that politicians ought to stay out of this issue, well, let me ask you this: What about the idea that politicians and, therefore, lawmakers ought to stay out of other issues involving violence to a human being? There was a day and age in this country where people would say that lawmakers ought to stay out of other issues involving violence, of domestic violence: That is a family matter, after all. Politicians ought to stay out. The law should have nothing to do with that. Well, it involves violence to another human being.

To say simply that politicians and, therefore, lawmakers and, therefore, the law ought to stay out of a topic means to suggest that it is somehow beyond the reach of the law. If we have reached, if we ever do reach the point where we can't say no human being can kill another human being, we have really, really big problems.

We are not talking here about an exam room. We are not talking about procedures designed to promote, to heal, and to prolong life. We are talking about a procedure to end life. This is, itself, not a bill that talks about the appropriateness or lack thereof of elective abortion. This simply says that, given how many Americans feel about this, as many of us in this very room feel about abortion, we shouldn't be subsidizing it, and we shouldn't be pretending it is something it is not.

Finally, let me remind this body and anyone who may be watching from outside this body that, of the legislation we voted on this week, one of those pieces of legislation didn't even involve abortion at all. It didn't regulate any facet of abortion. It dealt only indirectly with the topic of abortion, but it had nothing to do with the performance or availability of an abortion itself

It simply said that, when a baby is born, following or in the middle of a failed attempt at an abortion, if that baby is born alive, notwithstanding the attempt by the abortionist to kill the baby, that baby shouldn't simply be neglected. In any other circumstance, a human being, particularly a vulnerable, brandnew newborn baby-an infant-to neglect the baby and allow that baby to die of exposure, to not administer lifesaving care or nutrition or sustenance to that baby, to neglect the baby and allow that baby to die of exposure would be a crime. In some circumstances, it may well be murder. In others, it would be a serious criminal form of deliberate child neglect.

So, to suggest that a baby is somehow different as a result of a subjective intent of the abortionist to kill the baby and that we shouldn't make sure that baby is properly cared for following its birth is barbaric. Look, I get it. Not everybody shares my viewpoint with regard to when human life begins. I get it. Not everybody shares my view with regard to abortion policy. Now, I will defend to my dying day my views on these issues, and I will not shrink

from them, but regardless of whether you agree with me on that, I seriously question how anyone would credibly maintain that a human being born alive following a failed abortion attempt shouldn't be given the same protection under the law as any other human being.

In other words, the humanness of a baby shouldn't depend on that baby's "wantedness." The fact that anyone wanted to kill that baby before the baby was born doesn't give anyone the right to kill the baby with impunity.

That is what they voted down this week. Let's not pretend that this is about exam rooms. Let's not pretend that this is about actual healthcare. Let's not pretend that this is somehow an anti-woman strategy.

By the way, many women I know most, I would say—actually find quite offensive the suggestion that to be in favor of protecting babies is somehow anti-woman. This is offensive. It is sad to me, more than anything.

This was a lost opportunity that we had this week to protect the dignity of human life, not just unborn human life but human beings who have been born.

One day we will look back and see this week through sad eyes in much the same way we now look back on other episodes in American history where we have failed to accord the full dignity to a human life that each human life truly deserves.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Mississippi.

COMMEMORATING THE 150TH ANNI-VERSARY OF THE HISTORIC SEATING OF HIRAM RHODES REVELS AS THE FIRST AFRICAN AMERICAN UNITED STATES SEN-ATOR

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, as Black History Month comes to a close in our land, I rise this afternoon to draw attention to the fact that the first African-American U.S. Senator in our Republic's history was Hiram Rhodes Revels of my State of Mississippi.

As a matter of fact, 150 years ago this week, history was made in this very room when Hiram Rhodes Revels took the oath of office and broke the color barrier in the U.S. Senate. There was celebration. There was a congratulation on both sides of the aisle, but it was not unanimous. As a matter of fact, eight Senators objected to the seating of Hiram Revels as a U.S. Senator, simply because he was a Black man. Thank goodness it was only eight and that position did not prevail, and Hiram Revels entered the history books of the United States of America as being our first African-American Senator.

In a moment, I will ask unanimous consent for the consideration of a resolution commemorating this momentous occasion, some 150 years ago this week. I will not read the entire resolution that I have, but I point out that I have a resolution cosponsored on a very bipartisan basis by 71 of my fellow Senators.

Pointing out a few things about the history of this extraordinary public servant, this giant of American history, Hiram Rhodes Revels was born a free African American in 1827 in Fayetteville, Cumberland County, NC. He was well-educated in a number of States, including North Carolina, Indiana, Ohio, and Illinois. Then he entered the ministry, where he served in Maryland and in Missouri and, eventually, of course, coming to the State of Mississippi.

By 1868, the Reverend Hiram Rhodes Revels was also Alderman Hiram Rhodes Revels in Natchez, MS, and he went on to a career of public service. Then, the legislature, which made those decisions in that time under our U.S. Constitution, chose Reverend Revels to come to Washington, DC, and serve as a Senator.

He served capably. He was well received and well admired, and he brought a degree of conciliation and togetherness to this Senate that we had not had before. He only served a little over a year. He chose, instead, to return to Mississippi to become a college president, continue in education, and continue in the ministry in Mississippi, having served as president of what is now Alcorn State University and also having served in Holly Springs, MS, in what is now Rust College. He was in the ministry in Aberdeen, MS, at the time of his death and is buried in Holly Springs, MS.

I very much appreciate the help of Democrats and Republicans in getting this resolution right. There have only been 10 African Americans in the history of our Republic to serve in the U.S. Senate. One of them—the first one—was Hiram Rhodes Revels. Three of them are serving today in the U.S. Senate.

I will acknowledge the help that I received from a number of my colleagues in adding information to this resolution to make it better and fuller and more complete. I appreciate the bipartisan cosponsorship of this but also the bipartisan suggestions that I received and incorporated into the resolution to make it better.

I am honored to represent the same State that this pioneer represented and began to represent some 150 years ago this week.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for the Senate to proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 508, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 508) commemorating the 150th anniversary of the historic seating of Hiram Rhodes Revels as the first African American United States Senator.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 508) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BRAUN). The Senator from Ohio.

WOMEN'S HEALTHCARE

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, over and over, we see the President and Republicans in Congress trying to take healthcare away from people, particularly women. We see it with the President's lawsuits, trying to take away the consumer protections for preexisting conditions. We see the vote in the Senate-defeated by one vote, but a vote in the Senate—which would have scaled back the bipartisan Medicaid expansion in Ohio that my Republican Governor-I am a Democrat-and we did bipartisanly in Ohio. We have seen attempts by Republicans to take away healthcare then, and now we-especially just this week-see that with women's healthcare. That is what the bills we voted down this week were all about. They are about politicians putting themselves in the middle of the sacred doctor-patient relationship. It intimidates women, and it intimidates medical professionals. Doctors aren't sure what might happen to them in some cases. It takes away the freedom of women to make their own decisions.

We defeated them earlier this week, but they are not letting up. They tried again to pass yet another bill that has only one purpose: stigmatizing women's healthcare.

Supporters of these bills, including the President of the United States, have spread lies and misinformation. It is despicable. That is why doctors and medical experts alike oppose these bills.

Think about these groups: the American College of Nurse-Midwives, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American Medical Women's Association, the American Public Health Association—on whom we rely so much now on the coronavirus-the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, and the Association of Physician Assistants in Obstetrics and Gynecology. All of these organizations oppose this bill because they see it for what it is: a compromise of women's health. It is politicians, it is elected officials in this body, it is Leader McCon-NELL from his office down the hall always playing to his interest groups, always playing politics. It is Senator MCCONNELL and his allies getting between the patient-the woman-and her doctor, as if mostly male politicians should be making these decisions

about women's lives and about the relationship between a woman and her doctor.

All of these groups that I mentioned, again, the American College of Nurse-Midwives, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American Medical Women's Association, American Public Health Association-all of these groups have written in to oppose politicians interfering in the patientprovider relationship and the criminalizing of patient care. Do we want a bunch of male politicians, do we want people like President Trump and Vice President PENCE from the Presiding Officer's home State, and do we want a bunch of politicians like MITCH MCCON-NELL-do we want them to be able to criminalize a doctor, get in the middle of a patient-doctor relationship and criminalize that? There is no question that is what this is about.

They act as though they know better than you—a woman—and your doctor. It is nothing new. We have seen it over and over. We have seen Washington politicians, we see Columbus politicians in my State, most of them men, obsessed with trying to assert themselves into women's healthcare decisions. They can't help themselves. They just keep doing it. Those decisions should be and are between a woman and her doctor, period.

It is time, if I can say this, that old men in Washington and in courtrooms and in State legislatures stop trying to take away women's healthcare, particularly when we have so much work to do in healthcare.

We could be working instead of a bunch of votes—I mean, I understand; we know Senator MCCONNELL is in his office down the hall, and we know what he does. We know he brings forward legislation to get his base excited, to make sure the most conservative voters in the country come out to vote. We know he does legislation all the time to help his big financial contributors—to help the drug companies, to help the insurance companies, to help the gun lobby. We know that is what MITCH MCCONNELL does.

Instead of trying to compromise women's health, take healthcare away, instead of eliminating consumer protections for preexisting conditions, he could actually do something about drug prices. We could be working to protect the millions of Americans with preexisting conditions.

In this country, 10 years ago, we passed a bill which said that if you are sick—you are really sick—and you spend a lot of money on healthcare, your health insurance company in the past would just cut your insurance off and you were out of luck. You would then choose between going to the doctor or not and all that can happen or you go bankrupt. We changed that. The Affordable Care Act said: No, you can't. Just because you are sick and you are expensive, an insurance company can't take your insurance away. They can't cancel it. President Trump has tried for 3 years now to change that and take away those consumer protections. He has gotten support from MITCH MCCONNELL and from virtually almost every—except for John McCain and a couple of other Senators from their side—almost every Republican in this Senate to say that it is OK to take away consumer protections for preexisting conditions.

Instead of doing that, we could work to keep drug prices down. We could give tax credits to help people afford insurance. We could protect the ability to stay on your parents' healthcare. If you are 25 years old, you could be on your parents' health insurance. They are trying to take that away. They are trying to take Medicaid expansion away.

They are trying to make limits on how much you pay out of pocket each year. Those are the kinds of things we should be agreeing on.

Free preventive screening services if you are a senior, if you are on Medicare, you can get free screening for osteoporosis, free screening for diabetes. The President and this Congress tried to take those services away.

Five million Ohioans under 65 have preexisting conditions. Basically, if you are over 50 in this country, the chances are overwhelming that you have a preexisting condition. Do you want to lose those consumer protections? Of course not.

Instead of making it harder for Ohio women to get the care they need, instead of tearing down the Affordable Care Act, let's make it stronger. Let's get drug prices under control. Let's tell American women we trust them; we trust them to make their own decisions.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session for the en bloc consideration of Executive Calendar Nos. 573 through 582, 584 through 585, and all nominations on the Secretary's desk; that the nominations be confirmed, the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate; that no further motions be in order; that any statements related to the nominations be printed in the RECORD; that the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and the Senate then resume legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed are as follows:

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be brigadier general

Col. Joseph R. Harris, II

Col. Gent Welsh, Jr.

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Billy M. Nabors

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be brigadier general Col. AnnMarie K. Anthony Col. Taft 0. Aujero Col. Douglas B. Baker Col. Robert D. Bowie Col. Barbra S. Buls Col. Donald K. Carpenter Col. Konata A. Crumbly Col. Johan A. Deutscher Col. Patrick W. Donaldson Col. Bradford R. Everman Col. Virginia I. Gaglio Col. Caesar R. Garduno Col. Patrick M. Hanlon Col. Robert E. Hargens Col. Jeffrey L. Hedges Col. Samuel C. Keener Col. Robert I. Kinney Col. Jerry P. Reedy Col. Bryan E. Salmon Col. Tamala A. Saylor Col. James S. Shigekane Col. Kimbra L. Sterr Col. Michael A. Valle Col. Brian E. Vaughn The following named Air National Guard of

the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be brigadier general

Col. Dann S. Carlson

Col. Shawn M. Coco

Col. Steven E. Coney

Col. Patrick E. DeConcini

- Col. Paul E. Franz
- Col. John F. Hall
- Col. Kenneth M. Haltom Col. Chris J. Ioder
- Col. Robert A. King
- Col. Michael J. Lovell
- Col. Sue Ellen Schuerman
- Col. Christopher J. Sheppard
- Col. Charles A. Shurlow

Col. Lisa K. Snyder

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Steven J. deMilliano

Brig. Gen. David J. Meyer

Brig. Gen. Russell L. Ponder

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212: To be major general

Brig. Gen. Andrew J. MacDonald

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be major general

- Brig. Gen. Todd M. Audet
- Brig. Gen. Kimberly A. Baumann
- Brig. Gen. Floyd W. Dunstan Brig. Gen. Randal K. Efferson
- Brig. Gen. Randal K. Ellerso
- Brig. Gen. Laurie M. Farris Brig. Gen. James R. Kriesel
- Brig. Gen. William P. Robertson
- Brig. Gen. James R. Stevenson, Jr.
- Brig. Gen. Charles M. Walker
- Brig. Gen. David A. Weishaar
- Brig. Gen. Gregory T. White

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Christopher E. Finerty

The following named Air National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Air Force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12212:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Joseph B. Wilson

IN THE ARMY

The following named Army National Guard of the United States officer for appointment in the Reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., sections 12203 and 12211:

- To be brigadier general
- Col. Ronald F. Taylor

IN THE MARINE CORPS

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Marine Corps Reserve to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 12203:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Michael S. Martin

The following named officers for appointment in the United States Marine Corps Reserve to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 12203:

To be brigadier general

Col. Douglas K. Clark

Col. John F. Kelliher, III

NOMINATIONS PLACED ON THE SECRETARY'S

DESK

IN THE AIR FORCE

PN1443 AIR FORCE nominations (5) beginning JOSHUA E. ERLANDSEN, and ending TOSHA M. VANN, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1444 AIR FORCE nominations (44) beginning MATTHEW G. ADKINS, and ending CATHERINE M. WARE, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1445 AIR FORCE nominations (31) beginning JENARA L. ALLEN, and ending SARAH M. WHEELER, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1446 AIR FORCE nominations (129) beginning DANIEL J. ADAMS, and ending ZACHARY E. WRIGHT, JR., which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1447 AIR FORCE nominations (18) beginning JENNIFER R. BEIN, and ending AN-GELA K. STANTON, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1448 AIR FORCE nominations (55) beginning WESLEY M. ABADIE, and ending SCOTT A. ZAKALUZNY, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1449 AIR FORCE nominations (52) beginning LIOR ALJADEFF, and ending HYUN J. YOON, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1450 AIR FORCE nominations (294) beginning JASON K. ADAMS, and ending DANIELLE N. ZIEHL, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1451 AIR FORCE nomination (52) beginning VICTORIA M. AGLEWILSON, and ending DEBORAH L. WILLIS, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1452 AIR FORCE nominations (2) beginning JUNELENE M. BUNGAY, and ending ALEXANDRA L. MCCRARY-DENNIS, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1453 AIR FORCE nomination of Christopher J. Nastal, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1454 AIR FORCE nomination of Alexander Khutoryan, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1455 AIR FORCE nomination of Daniel S. Kim, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1456 AIR FORCE nomination of Marilyn L. Smith, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

IN THE ARMY

PN1457 ARMY nomination of Zachary J. Conly, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1458 ARMY nomination of Audrey J. Dean, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1459 ARMY nomination of Michael W. Brancamp, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1460 ARMY nomination of Tracy J. Brown which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1461 ARMY nomination of Kenneth A. Wieder, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020

PN1462 ARMY nomination of Chong K. Yi, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1467 ARMY nominations (11) beginning JOHN C. BENSON, and ending SEAN M. VIEIRA, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1468 ARMY nomination of Ross C. Puffer, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1469 ARMY nomination of Amanda G. Luschinski, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1470 ARMY nomination of June E. Osavio, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020. PN1471 ARMY nominations (2) beginning YASMIN J. ALTER, and ending DEBBY L. POLOZECK, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1472 ARMY nominations (3) beginning OTHA J. HOLMES, and ending JONATHAN W. MURPHY, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1473 ARMY nomination of Shaun P. Miller, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1475 ARMY nomination of Krista H. Clarke, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1476 ARMY nomination of Peter K. Marlin, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1477 ARMY nomination of Angela I. Iyanobor, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record February 4, 2020.

PN1478 ARMY nomination of John J. Landers, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1479 ARMY nomination of David P. Frommer, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

IN THE MARINE CORPS

PN1378 MARINE CORPS nomination of Mario A. Ortega, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of January 6, 2020.

PN1474 MARINE CORPS nomination of Keith A. Stevenson, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1489 MARINE CORPS nominations (3) beginning JOSEPH P. BALL, and ending RAMON F. VASQUEZ, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1490 MARINE CORPS nominations (5) beginning DONALD K. BROWN, and ending KEITH R. WILKINSON, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1491 MARINE CORPS nominations (4) beginning CHRISTINA L. HUDSON, and ending BRENT J. PATTERSON, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1492 MARINE CORPS nominations (2) beginning JAMES M. SHIPMAN, and ending PHILIP S. SPENCER, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1493 MARINE CORPS nomination of Christopher L. Kaiser, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1494 MARINE CORPS nominations (3) beginning PETER T. GRAHAM, and ending TRAVIS W. STORIE, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1495 MARINE CORPS nominations (3) beginning DANIEL E. FUSON, and ending JESUS T. RODRIGUEZ, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020. IN THE NAVY

IN THE NAVY

PN1224 NAVY nomination of Colin R. Young, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of October 15, 2019.

PN1480 NAVY nomination of Catherine M. Dickinson, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020. PN1481 NAVY nomination of Donald A. Sinitiere, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1483 NAVY nominations (61) beginning STEPHEN W. ALDRIDGE, and ending GREGORY C. WILLIAMS, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1484 NAVY nomination of Paul J. Kaylor, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

PN1485 NAVY nomination of Andrew S. Jackson, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of February 4, 2020.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(At the request of Mr. SCHUMER, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

VOTE EXPLANATION

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I was necessarily absent for roll call vote No. 62, confirmation of the nomination of Travis Greaves to be a judge for the U.S. Tax Court. As I did on cloture, had I been present for the vote, I would have voted yea.

(At the request of Mr. SCHUMER, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

WOMEN'S HEALTHCARE

• Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I rise today in opposition to the two bills that the Senate considered this week that would severely restrict women's access to reproductive healthcare. I have always believed that a woman's most personal and difficult medical decisions should be made with her doctor and her family and free from political interference.

These bills would prevent doctors from providing a full range of reproductive healthcare that meets the needs of their patients. These bills put women's health at risk, which is why they are opposed by groups that represent healthcare professionals, including the American Public Health Association. They would also disproportionately impact women of color, LGBTQ people, those facing intimate partner violence, and those living in rural areas.

All Americans deserve access to affordable, high-quality healthcare, including the full range of reproductive healthcare. It is for these reasons that I oppose the motions to invoke cloture on these bills. \bullet

BUDGET SCOREKEEPING REPORT

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President. I rise to submit to the Senate the budget scorekeeping report for February 2020. This is my fourth scorekeeping report since I filed the deemed budget resolution for fiscal year 2020 on September 9. 2019, as required by the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019, BBA19. The report compares current-law levels of spending and revenues with the amounts agreed to in BBA19. In the Senate, this information is used to determine whether budgetary points of order lie against pending legislation. The Republican staff of the Budget Committee and the Congressional Budget Office, CBO, prepared this report pursuant to section 308(b) of the Congressional Budget Act, CBA. The information included in this report is current through February 21, 2020.

Since I filed the last scorekeeping report on January 15, 2020, only one measure was enacted with significant budgetary effects. On January 29, 2020, the President signed H.R. 5430, the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement-USMCA-Implementation Act, into law. The measure implemented the USMCA and affected both revenue and spending. According to CBO, the USMCA Implementation Act will decrease direct spending outlays by \$74 million over 10 years and increase revenues by nearly \$3 billion over the same period. Direct spending effects are largely attributable to the act's provisions related to the dairy industry, while revenues are expected to increase due to higher receipts from tariffs on motor vehicles and parts. The USMCA Implementation Act also included \$843 million in discretionary appropriations, designated as emergency spending, for Federal agencies to execute the agreement.

Budget Committee Republican staff prepared tables A–D.

Table A gives the amount by which each Senate authorizing committee exceeds or falls below its allocations for budget authority and outlays under the fiscal year 2020 deemed budget resolution. This information is used for enforcing committee allocations pursuant to section 302 of the CBA. Legislation enacted since the enactment of BBA19 has resulted in six authorizing committees being in breach of their allocations. The direct spending effects of the USMCA Implementation Act were credited to the Finance Committee, which continues to violate its allocation over all enforceable periods. In total, authorizing committees have breached outlay limitations by more than \$29 billion over the 2020 through 2029 period.

Table B provides the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in sections 312 and 314 of the CBA. The table shows that the Appropriations Committee is compliant with spending limits for current the fiscal year. Those limits for regular discretionary spending are \$666.5 billion for accounts in the defense category and \$621.5 billion for accounts in the nondefense category of spending.

The 2018 budget resolution contained points of order limiting the use of changes in mandatory programs, CHIMPs, in appropriations bills. Table C, which tracks the CHIMP limit of \$15 billion for 2020, shows the Appropriations Committee has complied with the CHIMP limit for this fiscal year.

Table D provides the amount of budget authority enacted for 2020 that has been designated as either for an emergency or for overseas contingency operations, OCO, pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. as amended. Funding that receives either of these designations results in cap adjustments to enforceable discretionary spending limits. There is no limit on either emergency or overseas contingency operations spending; however, any Senator may challenge the designation with a point of order to strike the designation on the floor. The addition of \$843 million in emergencydesignated funds from P.L. 116-113 brings total emergency and OCO spending to \$88.9 billion for the 2020 appropriations cycle.

In addition to the tables provided by Budget Committee Republican staff, I am submitting CBO tables, which I will use to enforce budget totals approved by Congress.

CBO provided a spending and revenue report for 2020, Table 1, which helps enforce aggregate spending levels in budget resolutions under CBA section 311. The current level is now in excess of allowable levels by \$15.4 billion for budget authority and \$1.7 billion for outlays in 2020. Details on 2020 levels can be found in CBO's second table.

Current-law revenues are currently below enforceable levels for all enforcement periods. Revenues are currently \$34.4 billion, \$150 billion, and \$383.2 billion lower than assumed in the deemed budget resolution for 2020, 2020 through 2024, and 2020 through 2029, respectively.

Social Security spending levels are consistent with the budget resolution's figures for 2020; however, Social Security revenue levels are \$15 million below assumed levels. CBO's report also provides information needed to enforce the Senate pay-as-you-go, PAYGO, rule, Table 3. This rule is enforced under section 4106 of the 2018 budget resolution. The Senate PAYGO scorecard currently shows an enacted deficit decrease of \$984 million in 2020. but enacted deficit increases of \$361 million and \$2.2 billion over the 2019-2024 and 2019-2029 periods, respectively. The deficit effects of the USMCA Implementation Act do not include the amounts designated as supplemental appropriations because those amounts are recorded as discretionary spending. which is not recorded on the Senate's PAYGO scorecard.

This submission also includes a table tracking the Senate's budget enforcement activity on the floor since the enforcement filing on September 9, 2019. Since my last filing, one point of order was raised. On January 16, 2020, Senator TooMEY raised a point of order against the emergency designations in the supplemental appropriations title of the USMCA Implementation Act. That point of order was waived by a vote of 78-21.

All years in the accompanying tables are fiscal years.

I ask unanimous consent that the accompanying tables be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

TABLE A	-SENATE /	AUTHOR	IZING	COM	MITTE	ES—	-ENACTED)
DIRECT	SPENDING	ABOVE	(+) (R BE	LOW	(–)	BUDGET	
RESOLU	TIONS							

[In millions of dollars]

	2020	2020– 2024	2020- 2029
Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry			
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	Ő	ŏ	õ
Armed Services	-	-	-
Budget Authority	32	1,972	5,637
Outlays	35	1,972	5,637
Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs			
Budget Authority	169	2,260	5,402
Outlays	169	2,246	5,402
Commerce, Science, and Transportation	-	-	-
Budget Authority	7	7	7
Outlays	7	7	7
Energy and Natural Resources	0	0	0
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays Environment and Public Works	U	0	U
Budget Authority	8.058	38,589	77.069
Outlays	415	683	1,130
Finance	110	000	1,100
Budget Authority	8.161	14.280	17.226
Outlays	6,496	13,968	17,266
Foreign Relations	,	,	,
Budget Authority	2	2	2
Outlays	37	37	37
Homeland Security and Governmental Af-			
fairs			
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	0	0	0
Judiciary	0	٥	٥
Budget Authority Outlays	0	0	0
Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions	U	0	0
Budget Authority	- 720	-400	0
Outlays	- 997	- 835	- 435
Rules and Administration	557	000	400
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	0	0	0
Intelligence			
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	0	0	0
Veterans' Affairs			
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	0	0	0
Indian Affairs	0	0	0
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays Small Business	U	0	0
Budget Authority	0	0	0
Outlays	0	0	0
	U	0	0
Total			
Budget Authority	15,709	56,710	105,343
Outlays	6,162	18,078	29,044

This table is current through February 21, 2020. This table tracks the spending effects of legislation enacted compared to allowable levels. Each authorizing committee's initial allocation can be found in the Senate Budget Committee Chairman's Congressional Record filing on September 9, 2019.

TABLE B.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—ENACTED REGULAR DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS $^{\rm 1}$

[Budget authority, in millions of dollars]

	2020				
	Security ²	Nonsecurity ²			
Statutory Discretionary Limits	666,500	621,500			
Amount Provided by Senate App	ropriations Subcon	nmittee			
Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies	0	23,493			

S1180

Defense

ment

Homeland Security

Legislative Branch

Agencies

Programs

Energy and Water Development

Financial Services and General Govern-

Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

Military Construction, Veterans Affairs,

and Related Agencies State, Foreign Operations, and Related

Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies

Current Level Total

Interior, Environment, and Related

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

TABLE B.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—EN-ACTED REGULAR DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS 1 Continued

[Budget authority in millions of dollars]

2020

Nonsecurity²

143

24,093

23,793

48,085

35.989

183,042

5.049

92 171

46,685

73,977

621.500

Security 2

622.522

24,250

2.383

35

0

0

0

0

300

666.500

11 315

TABLE B.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—EN-ACTED REGULAR DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS 1-Continued

Director.

[Budget authority in millions of dollars]

TABLE C.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—EN-ACTED CHANGES IN MANDATORY SPENDING PROGRAMS (CHIMPS)-Continued

[Budget authority, millions of dollars]

	20)20		2020
-	Security ²	Nonsecurity ²	Senate Appropriations Subcommittees	
Total Enacted Above (+) or Below (–) Statutory Limits	0	0	Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Defense	0 5,737 0
This table is current through February 2 ¹ This table excludes spending pursua ionary spending limits. These adjustments in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. ² Security spending is defined as spend t function (050) and nonsecurity spending TABLE C.—SENATE APPROPRI/ ACTED CHANGES IN MANDATC (CHIMPS)	nt to adjustme s are allowed fo ing in the Natio g is defined as a ATIONS COM	or certain purposes nal Defense budg- all other spending. MITTEEEN-	Energy and Water Development Financial Services and General Government Homeland Security Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Re- lated Agencies Legislative Branch Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies	0 0 9,263 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
[Budget authority, milli	ions of dollars]		Current Level Total	15,000
		2020	Total CHIMPS Above (+) or Below (-) Budget Resolution	0
CHIMPS Limit for Fiscal Year 2020		15,000	This table is current through February 21, 2020.	

TABLE D.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE— ENACTED EMERGENCY AND OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS SPENDING

[Budget authority, millions of dollars]

	2020					
Emergency and Overseas Contingency Operations Designated Spending	Emerge	ency	Overseas Continge	ncy Operations		
	Security ¹	Nonsecurity ¹	Security ¹	Nonsecurity ¹		
Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2019 (P.L.116–20) ² Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (P.L. 116–93) Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (P.L. 116–94) United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 116–113)	0 1,771 6,229 0	8 0 535 843	0 70,855 645 0	0 0 8,000 0		
- Current Level Total	8,000	1,386	71,500	8,000		

This table is current through February 21, 2020. ¹Security spending is defined as spending in the National Defense budget function (050) and nonsecurity spending is defined as all other spending. ²The Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2019 was enacted after the publication of CBO's May 2019 baseline but before the Senate Budget Committee Chairman published the deemed budget resolution for 2020 in the Congressional Record. Pursuant to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019, the budgetary effects of this legislation have been incorporated into the current level as previously enacted funds.

U.S. CONGRESS, CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE, Washington, DC, February 26, 2020.

Hon. MIKE ENZI, Chairman, Committee on the Budget, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The enclosed report shows the effects of Congressional action on the fiscal year 2020 budget and is current through February 21, 2020. This report is submitted under section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended.

The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues are consistent with the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels printed in the Congressional Record on September 9, 2019, pursuant to section 204 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019 (Public Law 116-37).

Since our last letter dated January 15, 2020, the Congress has cleared, and the President has signed, the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 116-113). That Act has significant effects on budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2020

> Sincerely, PHILLIP L. SWAGEL,

Enclosure.

TABLE 1.-SENATE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR SPEND-ING AND REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020, AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2020

[In hillions of dollars]

	Budget Resolution	Current Level	Current Level Over/ Under (–) Resolution
On-Budget			
Budget Authority	3,817.0	3,832.3	15.4
Outlays	3,733.4	3,735.1	1.7
Revenues	2,740.5	2,706.1	- 34.4
Off-Budget			
Social Security Outlays a	961.2	961.2	0.0
Social Security Revenues	940.4	940.4	0.0

Source: Congressional Budget Office.

^aExcludes administrative expenses paid from the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund of the Social Security Administration, which are off-budget, but are appropriated annually

TABLE 2.—SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR THE SENATE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020. AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2020

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget Author- ity	Outlays	Revenues
Previously Enacted a b Revenues Permanents and other spending legislation Authorizing and Appropriation legislation Offsetting receipts	n.a. 2,397,769 0 954,573	n.a. 2,309,887 595,528 954,573	2,740,538 n.a. 0 n.a.
Total, Previously Enacted Enacted Legislation Authorizing Legislation	1,443,196	1,950,842	2,740,538
Authorizing Legislation Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Health Extenders Act of 2019 (Div. B, P.L. 116–59) Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Further Health Extenders Act of 2019 (Div. B, P.L. 116–69) Women's Suffrage Centennial Commemorative Coin Act (P.L. 116–71) Fostering Undergraduate Talent by Unlocking Resources for Education Act (P.L. 116–91) National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (P.L. 116–92) Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (Div. I-K, M-Q, P.L. 116–94) United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 116–113)	693 8,058 - 2 - 720 32 8,360 - 19	667 415 - 2 - 997 35 6,720 - 9	0 0 0 1 - 34,449 0
Subtotal, Authorizing Legislation Appropriation Legislation ^{a b}	16,402	6,829	- 34,448
Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Health Extenders Act of 2019 (Div. A, P.L. 116–59) ° Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (PL 116–93) Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (Div. A–H, P.L. 116–94) ª United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (Title IX, P.L. 116–113)	0 884,979 1,585,345 843	128 530,980 1,239,739 334	0 0 0 10
Subtotal, Appropriation Legislation	2,471,167 2,487,569	1,771,181 1,778,010	-34,438

February 27, 2020

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

TABLE 2.—SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR THE SENATE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020, AS OF FEBRUARY 21, 2020-

Continued [In millions of dollars]

	Budget Author- ity	Outlays	Revenues
Entitlements and Mandatories	-98,431	6,242	0
	3,832,334	3,735,094	2,706,100
	3,816,965	3,733,409	2,740,538
Current Level Over Senate Resolution	15,369	1,685	n.a.
	n.a.	n.a.	34,438
Memorandum Revenues, 2020–2029 Senate Current Level Senate Resolution ®	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	34,464,133 34,847,317
Current Level Over Senate Resolution	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	n.a.	n.a.	383,184

Source: Congressional Budget Office. n.a. = not applicable; P.L. = public law. ^a Sections 1001–1004 of the 21st Century Cures Act (P.L 114–255) require that certain funding provided for 2017 through 2026 to the Department of Health and Human Services—in particular the Food and Drug Administration and the National Institutes of Health—be excluded from estimates for the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Deficit Control Act) and the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Congressional Budget Act). Therefore, the amounts shown in this report do not include \$567 million in budget authority and \$798 million in estimated outlays. ^b For purposes of enforcing section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act in the Senate, the resolution, as approved by the Senate, does not include budget authority, outlays, or revenues for off-budget amounts. As a result, amounts in this current level report do not include those items. ^c Section 124 of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020 (division A of P.L. 116–59), appropriated funding for wearease contingency operations that one stemate a Sectember 27 2019.

amounts as funding for overseas contingency operations. That provision took effect upon enactment on September 27, 2019. ^d In consultation with the House and Senate Committees on the Budget and the Office of Management and Budget, rescissions of emergency funding that was not designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 shall not count for certain budgetary enforcement purposes. These amounts, which are not included in the current level totals, are as follows:

	Budget Author- ity	Outlays	Revenues
Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (Division H, P.L. 116–94)	-7	0	0
e Section 204 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019 requires the Chairman of the Senate Committee on the Budget to publish the aggregate spending and revenue levels for fisca	year 2020; those agg	regate levels we	re first published

in the Congressional Record on September 9, 2019. The Chairman of the Senate Committee on the Budget has the authority to revise the budgetary aggregates for the budgetary effects of certain revenue and spending measures pursuant to the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and H.Con.Res. 71 (115th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018, as updated by the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019.

	Authority	Outlays	Revenues
Original Aggregates printed on September 9, 2019: Revisions:	3,703,553	3,680,696	2,740,538
Adjustment for P.L. 116–59, Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Health Extenders Act of 2019 Adjustment for P.L. 116–69, Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Further Health Extenders Act of 2019 Adjustment for P.L. 116–93, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, and P.L. 116–94, Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 Adjustment for P.L. 116–113, United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act	107,126	795 4,050 47,534 334	0 0 0
Revised Senate Resolution	3,816,965	3,733,409	2,740,538

TABLE 3.—SUMMARY OF THE SENATE PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORECARD AS OF FEBRUARY 21. 2020

[In millions of dollars]

	2020	2019-2024	2019-2029
Beginning Balance a	0	0	0
Enacted Legislation be			
Continuung Appropriationis Act, 2020, and realine Extenders Act of 2019 (n.K. 4376, P.L. 110–39) ⁴	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Unista wichamile Commencial Cold Mach Lot 2015 (3, 235, F.L. 110–03)	*	U *	U *
Further Continuing Appropriations Act (11A) 1300, 1.2. 110-00, Act of 2010 (H.D. 2055, D.L. 116, 60) c			
Women's Suffrage Centennia Commenceative Center (HR 20/3) PL 116-21)	_2		
Preventing Animal Cruelty and Torture Art (HR 774 PL 116-72)	*	*	*
Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Health Extenders Act of 2019 (H.R. 4378, P.L. 116–59) ^{-d} Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Health Extenders Act of 2019 (H.R. 4378, P.L. 116–59) ^{-d} Christa McAuliffe Commemorative Coin Act (H.R. 1396, P.L. 116–65) Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, and Further Health Extenders Act of 2019 (H.R. 3055, P.L. 116–69) ^{-e} Women's Suffrage Centennial Commemorative Coin Act (H.R. 2423, P.L. 116–71) Preventing Animal Commemorative Act of A 2019 (S. 1838, P.L. 116–72) Hong Kong Human Rights and Democracy Act of 2019 (S. 1838, P.L. 116–76)	*	*	*
An act to amend section 442 of title 18. Inited Stales Code to exempt certain interests in mutual funds, unit investment trusts, employee benefit plans, and retirement plans from con-			
 An act to amend section 442 of title 18, United to exempt certain interests in mutual funds, unit investment trusts, employee benefit plans, and retirement plans from conflict of interest limitations for the Government Publishing Office. (H.R. 5277, PL. 116–78) Fostering Undergraduate Talent by Unlocking Resources for Education Act (H.R. 5363, PL. 116–91) National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (S. 1790, PL. 116–92) Futher Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (H.R. 1865, PL. 116–93) * Virginia Beach Strong Act (H.R. 4566, PL. 116–93) Spokane Tribe of Indians of the Spokane Reservation Equitable Compensation Act (S. 216, PL. 116–100) Grant Reporting Efficiency and Agreements Transparency Act of 2019 (H.R. 150, PL. 116–103) 	*	*	*
Fostering Undergraduate Talent by Unlocking Resources for Education Act (H.R. 5363, P.L. 116–91)	- 997	- 835	- 435
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (S. 1790; P.L. 116–92)	34	1,975	5,645
Futher Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (H.R. 1865, P.L. 116–94) f		· _	·
Virginia Beach Strong Act (H.R. 4566, P.L. 116–98)	*	*	*
Spokane Tribe of Indians of the Spokane Reservation Equitable Compensation Act (S. 216, P.L. 116–100)	*	*	*
Grant Reporting Efficiency and Agreements Transparency Act of 2019 (H.R. 150, P.L. 116–103)	*	*	*
TRACED Act (S. 151, PL 116-105) Enforcement Act (H.R. 583, PL 116-109) Preventing Illegal Radio Abuse Through Enforcement Act (H.R. 583, PL 116-109) President George H.W. Bush and First Spouse Barbara Bush Coin Act (S. 457, PL 116-112) United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (H.R. 5430, PL 116-113)	*	*	*
Preventing Illegal Radio Abuse Through Enforcement Act (H.R. 583, P.L. 116–109)	*	*	*
President George H.W. Bush and First Spouse Barbara Bush Coin Act (S. 457, P.L. 116–112)	*	*	*
United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (H.R. 5430, P.L. 116–113)	-19	- 779	- 3,044
-	- 984	361	2.166
Impact on Deficit	- 984	361	2,166
Total Change in Outlays	- 973	1.068	5.128
Total Change in Revenues	11	707	2,962

Source: Congressional Budget Office. n.a. = not applicable; P.L. = public law; — = excluded from PAYGO scorecard; * = between -\$500,000 and \$500,000. "On September 9, 2019, the Chairman of the Senate Committee on the Budget reset the Senate's Pay-As-You-Go Scorecard to zero for all fiscal years. "The amounts shown represent the estimated effect of the public laws on the deficit. "Excludes off-budget amounts.

^a The budgetary effects of division B of this act are excluded from the Senate's PAYGO scorecard, pursuant to sec. 1701(b) of the act. The budgetary effects of division A were fully incorporated into the PAYGO ledger pursuant to the authority provided to the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee in section 3005 of H. Con. Res. 71 (115th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018. The Chairman exercised that authority through filing an adjustment in the *Congressional Record* on September 26, 2019.

• The budgetary effects of division B of this act are excluded from the Senate's PAYGO scorecard, pursuant to sec. 1801(b) of the act. • The budgetary effects of this act are excluded from the Senate's PAYGO scorecard, pursuant to section 1001 of Title X of division I of the act.

ENFORCEMENT REPORT OF POINTS OF ORDER RAISED SINCE THE FY 2020 ENFORCEMENT FILING

Vote	Date	Measure	Violation	Motion to Waive 1	Result
399	December 17, 2019	Conference Report to Accompany S. 1790, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020.	3101-long-term deficits ²	Sen. Inhofe (R–OK)	82-12, wavied
414 13		H.R. 1865, the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 H.R. 5430, the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implemen- tation Act.			

¹All motions to waive were offered pursuant to section 904 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974

² Senator Enzi raised a 310(b) point of order against the softence report because the legislation would increase on-budget deficits by more than \$5 billion in each of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2030. ³ Senator Enzi raised a 310(b) point of order against the bill because the legislation would increase on-budget deficits by more than \$5 billion in at least one of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2030. ⁴ Senator Toomey raised a 314(e) point of order against the emergency designation on page 233, lines 4 through 8, of the bill.

ARM SALES NOTIFICATION

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act requires that Congress receive prior notification of certain proposed arms sales as defined by that statute. Upon such notification, the Congress has 30 calendar days during which the sale may be reviewed. The provision stipulates that, in the Senate, the notification of proposed sales shall be sent to the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In keeping with the committee's intention to see that relevant information is available to the full Senate, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the notifications which have been received. If the cover letter references a classified annex, then such annex is available to all Senators in the office of the Foreign Relations Committee, room SD-423.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY, Arlington, VA.

Hon. JAMES E. RISCH,

Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 19-76 concerning the Army's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of Jordan for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$300 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a news release to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

CHARLES W. HOOPER, Lieutenant General, USA, Director. Enclosures:

TRANSMITTAL NO. 19-76

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) Prospective Purchaser: Government of Jordan.

(ii) Total Estimated Value:

Major Defense Equipment * \$40 million.

Other \$260 million.

Total \$300 million.

(iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase: Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) including hardware, software, and associated services.

Major Defense Equipment (MDE): Up to seven hundred (700) AFATDS Software License Copies with a Tailored, International Ballistic Kernel.

Non-MDE: Also included are up to two hundred (200) each laptop and table computers, ancillary computer mounting hardware, battery kits and chargers, printers, scanners, network routers and communication hardware, modems, two hundred fifty (250) each diesel fueled 5 kilowatt auxiliary power units (APUs), one hundred (100) each diesel fueled electrical power generators, fifty (50) each model 7800-HF 150-Watt high frequency radios, five hundred (500) each model 7850-MB 50-Watt multiband (UHF & VHF) radios, five hundred fifty (550) each model 7850-MB IO-Watt multiband (UHF & VHF) radios, all the required cables and components, required engineering and installation services, operations, integration, and maintenance services, contractor furnished support, communications support equipment, tools and test equipment, training, U.S. Government technical/logistical Support, contractor technical support, spares and support equipment, and other related elements of logistical and program support services.

(iv) Military Department: Army (JO-B-YBJ).

(v) Prior Related Cases, if any: JO-B-WYB.(vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None.

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Attached Annex.

(viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: February 25, 2020.

*As defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Jordan—Artillery Command, Control and Communications (C3) Equipment

The Government of Jordan has requested to buy up to seven hundred (700) Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) software license copies with a tailored, international ballistic kernel. Also included are up to two hundred (200) each laptop and table computers, ancillary computer mounting hardware, battery kits and chargers, printers, scanners, network routers and communication hardware, moderns, two hundred fifty (250) each diesel fueled 5 kilowatt auxiliary power units (APUs), one hundred (100) each diesel fueled electrical power generators, fifty (50) each model 7800-HF 150-Watt high frequency radios, five hundred (500) each model 7850-MB 50-Watt multiband (UHF & VHF) radios, five hundred fifty (550) each model 7850-MB IO-Watt multiband (UHF & VHF) radios, all the required cables and components, required engineering and installation services, operations, integra-tion, and maintenance services, contractor furnished support, communications support equipment, tools and test equipment. training, U.S. Government technical/logistical contractor technical support, Support. spares and support equipment, and other related elements of logistical and program support services. The estimated cost is \$300 million.

This proposed sale will support the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of an important Major Non-NATO ally in the region. This sale is consistent with U.S. initiatives to provide key partners in the region with modern systems that will enhance interoperability with U.S. forces and increase security. The proposed upgrade will allow the Jor-

The proposed upgrade will allow the Jordan Armed Forces (JAF) to fire Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System-Alternative Warhead (GMLRS-AW) rockets using a digital fire control system. The expansion will ensure uniformity among all indirect fire systems used by the JAF. The upgrade and expansion of the AFATDS fire control system will allow the JAF to defend its borders and ground forces with indirect fire weapon systems. This proposed sale will advance the JAF's efforts to modernize its military and to enhance interoperability with U.S., allied, and coalition military forces. Jordan will have no difficulty absorbing these defense articles and services into its armed forces.

The proposed sale will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractors for the AFATDS and supporting equipment include Raytheon Company and the Harris Company. There are no known offset agreements in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this sale will not require the assignment of any U.S. Government or contractor representatives to Jordan. There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

TRANSMITTAL NO. 19–76

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. All equipment, documentation, software and associated information proposed in this sale is UNCLASSIFIED

2. The AFATDS software and tailored, international ballistic kernel included in this proposed sale will be formally tested to verify release for export and to verify the excision of any and all elements not authorized for release or export to Jordan.

3. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures or equivalent systems which might reduce system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

4. A determination has been made that the Jordan can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the sensitive technology being released as the U.S. Government. This sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.

ARMS SALES NOTIFICATION

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act requires that Congress receive prior notification of certain proposed arms sales as defined by that statute. Upon such notification, the Congress has 30 calendar days during which the sale may be reviewed. The provision stipulates that, in the Senate, the notification of proposed sales shall be sent to the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In keeping with the committee's intention to see that relevant information is available to the full Senate, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the notifications which have been received. If the cover letter references a classified annex, then such annex is available to all Senators in the office of the Foreign Relations Committee, room SD-423.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

> DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY, Arlington, VA.

Hon. JAMES E. RISCH,

Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHARMAN: Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 19-75 concerning the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of the Netherlands for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$85 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a news release to notify the public of this proposed sale. Sincerely,

CHARLES W. HOOPER, Lieutenant General, USA, Director. Enclosures. TRANSMITTAL NO. 19–75

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act. as amended

(i) Prospective Purchaser: Government of the Netherlands.

(ii) Total Estimated Value:

Major Defense Equipment* \$75 million.

Other \$10 million.

Total \$85 million.

(iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:

Major Defense Equipment (MDE): Sixteen (16) MK-48 Mod 7 Advanced Technology (AT) Torpedo Conversion Kits.

Non-MDE: Also included are spare parts, containers, associated hardware, torpedo handling equipment, and cables; U.S. Government and contractor engineering, technical, and logistics support services; and other related elements of logistics and program support.

(iv) Military Department: Navy (NE-P-LHC A5).

(v) Prior Related Cases, if any: NE-P-LHC. (vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None.

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Annex Attached.

(viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: February 25, 2020.

*As defined in Section 47(6) of the

Arms Export Control Act. POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Netherlands—MK-48 Torpedo Conversion Kits

The Government of the Netherlands has requested to buy sixteen (16) MK-48 Mod 7 Advanced Technology (AT) torpedo conversion kits. Also included are spare parts, containers, associated hardware, torpedo handling equipment, and cables; U.S. Government and contractor engineering, technical, and logistics support services; and other related elements of logistics and program support. The total estimated program cost is \$85 million.

This proposed sale will support the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve security of a NATO ally which is an important force for political stability and economic progress in Northern Europe.

The Netherlands desires to upgrade additional MK 48 Mod 4 torpedoes to the MK 48 Mod 7 AT model. They intend to use the MK 48 Mod 7 AT torpedo on their Walrus Class submarines. The Netherlands will have no difficulty absorbing this equipment into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractor will be Raytheon Company, Portsmouth, RI. The Netherlands may require offset agreements in connection with this potential sale. Any offset agreement will be defined in negotiations between the Purchaser and the prime contractor. Implementation of this proposed sale will

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require the assignment of any additional U.S. Government or contractor representatives to the Netherlands. Travel of U.S. Government or contractor representatives to the Netherlands on a temporary basis for program technical support and management oversight will be required.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

TRANSMITTAL NO. 19-75

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the

Arms Export Control Act

Annex Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The Mod 7 configuration is the United States Navy's most capable submarine launched torpedo. It has a new sonar receiver that has a broader bandwidth capability than previous versions, and also employs a new tactical processor that has increased memory and throughput. The Mod 7AT configuration has the same guidance and control system and the same software as the Mod 7. However, it employs the Mod 4M afterbody which results in higher radiated noise.

2. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures that might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

3. A determination has been made that the Netherlands can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the technology being released as the U.S. Government. This proposed sustainment program is necessary to the furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives as outlined in the Policy Justification.

4. All defense articles and services listed in this transmittal have been authorized for release and export to the Netherlands.

ARM SALES NOTIFICATION

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act requires that Congress receive prior notification of certain proposed arms sales as defined by that statute. Upon such notification, the Congress has 30 calendar days during which the sale may be reviewed. The provision stipulates that, in the Senate, the notification of proposed sales shall be sent to the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In keeping with the committee's intention to see that relevant information is available to the full Senate, I ask to unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the notifications which have been received. If the cover letter references a classified annex, then such annex is available to all Senators in the office of the Foreign Relations Committee, room SD-423.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Defense Security

COOPERATION AGENCY, Arlington, VA.

Hon. JAMES E. RISCH,

Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHARMAN: Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 19-71 concerning the Air Force's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of Tunisia for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$325.8 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a news release to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

CHARLES W. HOOPER, Lieutenant General, USA, Director. Enclosures.

TRANSMITTAL NO. 19–71

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the

Arms Export Control Act, as amended (i) Prospective Purchaser: Government of Tunisia. (ii) Total Estimated Value: Major Defense Equipment* \$123.2 million.

Other \$202.6 million.

Total \$325.8 million.

(iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:

Major Defense Equipment (MDE):

Three hundred twelve (312) MAU-169 Com-

puter Control Groups (CCG). Three hundred twelve (312) MXU-1006/B Air Foil Groups (AFG).

Four hundred sixty-eight (468) MK81 250 LB

Eighteen (18) BDU-50s (MK-82 Filled Inert). Sixty-six (66) MXU-650 C/B Air Foil Groups (AFG), GBU-12.

Sixty (60) Guidance Section, Guided Bombs, MAU-209, GBU-10,12,16.

Forty-eight (48) MK-82 5001b Bombs.

Five hundred sixteen (516) FMU–152 A/B Fuzes.

Eighteen (18) MAU-169H(D-2)/B Computer Control Groups.

Three thousand two hundred ninety (3290) Advanced Precision Kill Weapon Systems (APKWS).

Non-Major Defense Equipment (MDE): Also included are four (4) AT-6C Wolverine Light Attack Aircraft: two (2) Pratt & Whitney PT6A-68D 1600 SHP engines (spares); six (6) L-3 WESCAM MX-15D Multi-Spectral Targeting System: six (6) Machine Gun Caliber .50; Cartridge Actuated Device/Propellant Actuated Device (CAD/PAD); High Explosive Warhead; bomb components, repair and return of weapons, weapons training equipment, practice bombs, TTU-595 Test Set and spares, fin assemblies, rocket motors, training aids/devices/spare parts, aircraft spare parts, support equipment, clothing and textiles, publications and technical documentation, travel expenses, medical services, construction, aircraft ferry support, technical and logistical support services, major modifications/class IV support, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor program support, and other related elements of logistics and program support.

(iv) Military Department: Air Force (TU-D-SAC).

(v) Prior Related Cases, if any: None.

(vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None.

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Attached Annex.

(viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: February 25, 2020.

*As defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Tunisia—AT-6 Light Attack Aircraft

The Government of Tunisia has requested to buy four (4) AT-6C Wolverine Light Attack Aircraft with supporting equipment, to include: three hundred twelve (312) MAU-169 Computer Control Groups (CCG); three hundred twelve (312) MXU-1006/B Air Foil Groups (AFG); four hundred sixty-eight (468) MK81 250 LB GP bombs; eighteen (18) BDU-50s (MK-82 Filled Inert); sixty-six (66) MXU-650 C/B Air Foil Groups (AFG), GBU-12; sixty (60) Guidance Section, guided bombs, MAU-209, GBU-10,12,16; forty-eight (48) MK-82 5001b bombs; five hundred sixteen (516) FMU-152 A/ B fuzes; eighteen (18) MAU-169H(D-2)/B Computer Control Groups; and three thousand two hundred ninety (3,290) Advanced Precision Kill Weapon Systems (APKWS); two (2) Pratt & Whitney PT6A-68D 1600 SHP engines (spares); six (6) L-3 WESCAM MX-15D Multi-Spectral Targeting System; six (6) Machine Gun Caliber .50; Cartridge Actuated Device/ Propellant Actuated Device (CAD/PAD); High Explosive Warhead:

bomb components, repair and return of weapons, weapons training equipment, practice bombs, TTU-595 Test Set and spares, fin assemblies, rocket motors, training aids/devices/spare parts, aircraft spare parts, support equipment, clothing and textiles, publications and technical documentation, travel expenses, medical services, construction, aircraft ferry support, technical and logistical support services, major modifications/class IV support, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor program support, and other related elements of logistics and program support. The estimated value is \$225.8 million.

This proposed sale will support the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the defense capabilities and capacity of a major non-NATO ally, which is an important force for political stability and economic progress in North Africa. This potential sale will provide additional opportunities for bilateral engagements and further strengthen the bilateral relationship between the United States and Tunisia.

The proposed sale will improve Tunisia's ability to meet current and future threats by increasing their capability and capacity to counter-terrorism and other violent extremist organization threats. The AT-6 platform will bolster their capability to respond to and engage threats in multiple areas across the country. Additionally, the procurement of the AT-6 aircraft strengthens interoperability between Tunisia, regional allies, and the United States. Tunisia will have no difficulty absorbing this aircraft into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractor will be Textron Aviation Defense LLC, Wichita, Kansas. There are no known offset agreements proposed with this potential sale. However, the purchaser typically requests offsets. Any offset agreement will be defined in negotiations between the purchaser and the contractor.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require the assignment of two (2) U.S. contractor logistics representatives to Tunisia.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

TRANSMITTAL NO. 19–71

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The AT-6 Wolverine is a Beechcraft light attack, armed reconnaissance and irregular warfare and counterinsurgency mission aircraft. With a single engine PT6A-68D Pratt & Whitney engine and Lockheed Martin A-1OC mission computer and plug- and-play weapons management system with Seek Eagle certification, the AT-6 Wolverine can fire laser-guided rockets and deliver general purpose and inertially-aided munitions.

2. GBU-12 is a 5001b Mk-82 General Purpose (GP) bomb body fitted with the MXU-650 AFG, and MAU-209C/B or MAU-168L/B Computer Control Group (CCG) to guide to its laser designated target. The GBU-12 is a maneuverable, free-fall Laser Guided Bomb (LGB) that guides to a spot of laser energy reflected off of the target. Laser designation for the LGB can be provided by a variety of laser target markers or designators.

3. GBU-58 is a 2501b Mk-81 GP bomb body fitted with the MXU-1006 AFG, and MAU-209C/B or MAU-168L/B CCG to guide to its laser designated target. The GBU-58 is a maneuverable, free-fall LGB that guides to a spot of laser energy reflected from the target. Laser designation for the LGB can be provided by a variety of laser target markers or designators.

4. Mk-82 General Purpose (GP) bomb is a 500 pound, free-fall, unguided, low-drag weapon usually equipped with the mechanical M904 (nose) and M905 (tail) fuzes or the radar-proximity FMU-113 air-burst fuze. The Mk-82 is designed for soft, fragment sensitive targets and is not intended for hard targets or penetrations. The explosive filling is usually tritonal, though other compositions have sometimes been used.

5. BDU-50 (Mk-82 Inert) GP bomb is a 500 pound, free-fall, unguided, low-drag training weapon. There are no explosive elements with this bomb; it does not have a fuze and will not detonate when it hits the ground. It is used from flight training to give the pilot the insight into aircraft handling characteristics with the additional weight on the wing.

6. The Joint Programmable Fuze (JPF) FMU-152 is a multi-delay, multi-arm and proximity sensor compatible with general purpose blast, frag and hardened-target penetrator weapons. The JPF settings are cockpit selectable in flight when used with JDAM weapons.

7. Advanced Precision Kill Weapon System (APKWS) II All-Up-Round (AUR) is an air-toground weapon that consists of an APKWS II Guidance Section (GS), legacy 2.75 inch MK66 Mod 4 rocket motor, and legacy MK152 and MK435/436 warhead/fuze. APKWS II uses a semi-active laser seeker. The GS is installed between the rocket motor and warhead to create a guided rocket. The APKWS II may be procured as an independent component to be mated to appropriate 2.75-inch warheads/ fuzes and rocket motors purchased separately, or may be purchased as an AUR.

8. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures, which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

9. A determination has been made that the recipient country can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the technology being released as the U.S. Government. This sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.

10. All defense articles and services listed in this transmittal have been authorized for release and export to the Government of Tunisia.

IMPEACHMENT

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have my opinion memorandum in the impeachment trial of President Donald John Trump printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

OPINION MEMORANDUM OF UNITED STATES SENATOR JOHN F. REED IN THE IMPEACH-MENT TRIAL OF PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP

I. FINDINGS

Based on the evidence in the record, the arguments of the House Impeachment Managers, and the arguments of the President's Counsel, I conclude as follows: The President has violated his constitutional oath to "take care that the laws be faithfully executed" and placed his personal and political interests above the interests of the United States. The House Impeachment Managers have proven that the President's abuse of power and congressional obstruction amount to the constitutional standard of "high Crimes and Misdemeanors" for which the sole remedy is conviction and removal from office.

II. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

On December 18, 2019, the United States House of Representatives passed H. Res. 755,1 "Impeaching Donald John Trump, President of the United States, for high crimes and misdemeanors." H. Res. 755 contains two Articles of Impeachment. The first Article declares that the President abused his power by soliciting foreign interference to help his bid for reelection in the 2020 United States presidential election and conditioning United States government acts of significant value on the foreign power's cooperation. The second Article declares that the President obstructed Congress by directing the categorical, indiscriminate defiance of subpoenas for witness testimony and documents deemed vital to the House Impeachment inquiry.

Pursuant to Article I, Section 3 of the United States Constitution, the United States Senate convened as a Court of Impeachment on January 16, 2020, and each Senator took an oath to "do impartial justice according to the Constitution and laws."² Alexander Hamilton spoke about the Senate's role in an Impeachment trial in Federalist Paper No. 65, when he wrote, "What other body would be likely to feel confidence enough in its own situation, to preserve unawed and uninfluenced the necessary impartiality between an individual accused and the representatives of the people, his accusers?"³

The obligation of the Senate is to accord the President, as the accused, the right to conduct his defense fairly, while respecting the House's exclusive constitutional prerogative to bring Articles of Impeachment. At the core of the Senate's task is the fundamental understanding that our system of laws recognizes the rights of defendants and the responsibilities of the prosecution to prove its case. Such a basic tenet of our law and our experience as a free people does not evaporate in the rarified atmosphere of a Court of Impeachment, simply because the accused is the President and the accuser is the House of Representatives.

III. THE CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS FOR IMPEACHMENT

"The Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments."⁴ With these few words, the Framers of the Constitution entrusted the Senate with the most awesome power within a democratic society: whether to remove an impeached President from office.

A. High Crimes and Misdemeanors

The Constitution states, "The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors."⁵

"Treason" and "Bribery" are foundational impeachable offenses. No more heinous example of an offense against the constitutional order exists than betrayal of the nation to an enemy or betrayal of duty for personal enrichment. A President commits treason when he levies war against the United States or gives comfort or aid to its enemies.⁶ As the House Judiciary Committee explains, a President engages in impeachable bribery when he "offers, solicits, or accepts something of personal value to influence his own official actions."⁷ In interpreting "high Crimes and Mis-

In interpreting "high Crimes and Misdemeanors," we must not only look to the Federalist Papers and the records of the Constitutional Convention, but also to the contemporary and foundational writings on Impeachment available to the Framers.

Sir William Blackstone, whose influential Commentaries on the Laws of England were published from 1765–1770, discussed a classification of crimes he termed "public wrongs, or crimes and misdemeanors" that he defined as breaches of the public duty that an individual owed to their entire community.⁸ Blackstone viewed treason, murder, and robbery as "public wrongs" not only because they cause injury to individuals but also because they "strike at the very being of society."⁹

Richard Wooddeson, a legal scholar who began giving lectures on English law in 1777, defined impeachable offenses as misdeeds that fail to clearly fall under the jurisdiction of ordinary tribunals. These wrongs were "abuse[s] of high offices of trust" that damaged the commonwealth.¹⁰

same as Blackstone Much the and Wooddeson, Alexander Hamilton included the dual components of abuse of public trust and national harm in his definition of impeachable crimes and misdemeanors. In Federalist Paper No. 65, Hamilton defined an impeachable offense as "those offenses which proceed from the misconduct of public men, or in other words from the abuse or violation of some public trust. They are of a nature which may with peculiar propriety be denominated POLITICAL, as they relate chiefly to injuries done immediately to the society itself."11

B. The Constitutional Debates

Adding impressive support to these consistent views of the meaning of the constitutional term, "high Crimes and Misdemeanors," is the history of the deliberations at the Constitutional Convention.

The convention delegates considered limiting Impeachment to treason and bribery. However, they concluded that these enumerated offenses alone could not anticipate every manner of profound misconduct that a future President might engage in.¹² George Mason, a delegate from Virginia, declared that "high crimes and misdemeanors" would be an apt way to further capture "great and dangerous offences" or "[a]ttempts to subvert the Constitution."¹³

This wording would also set the necessarily high threshold for Impeachment that would be proportional to the severe punishment of removing an elected official and disqualification from holding future public office.

Further insight is provided by James Iredell, a delegate to the North Carolina Convention that ratified the Constitution, who later served as a Justice of the United States Supreme Court. During the Convention debates, Iredell stated:

The power of impeachment is given by this Constitution, to bring great offenders to punishment... This power is lodged in those who represent the great body of the people, because the occasion for its exercise will arise from acts of great injury to the community, and the objects of it may be such as cannot be easily reached by an ordinary tribunal.¹⁴

Iredell's understanding sustains the view that an impeachable offense must cause "great injury to the community." Private wrongdoing, without a significant, adverse effect upon the nation, cannot constitute an impeachable offense. James Wilson, a delegate to the Federal Constitutional Convention and, like Iredell, later a Supreme Court Justice, wrote that Impeachments are "proceedings of a political nature . . . confined to political characters, to political crimes and misdemeanors, and to political punishments."¹⁵ Later commentators expressed similar views. In 1833, Justice Joseph Story quoted favorably from the scholarship of William Rawle, who concluded that the "legitimate causes of impeachment . . . can have reference only to public character, and official duty . . . In general, those offenses, which may be committed equally by a private person, as a public officer, are not the subject of impeachment." 16

This line of reasoning is buttressed by the careful and thoughtful work of the House of Representatives during the Watergate proceedings. The Democratic staff of the House Judiciary Committee concluded that, "Because impeachment of a President is a grave step for the nation, it is to be predicated only upon conduct seriously incompatible with either the constitutional form and principles of our government or the proper performance of constitutional duties of the presidential office."¹⁷

The deliberations at the Constitutional Convention also demonstrate a conscious movement to narrow the terminology as a means of raising the threshold for the Impeachment process to require an offense against the State.

Early in the debate on the issue of presidential Impeachment in July of 1787, it was suggested that Impeachment and removal could be founded on a showing of "malpractice.' "neglect of duty," or "corruption."¹⁸ By September of 1787, the issue of presidential Impeachment had been referred to the Committee of Eleven, which was created to resolve the most contentious issues. The Committee of Eleven considered whether the grounds for Impeachment should be "treason or bribery."¹⁹ This was significantly more restricted than the amorphous standard of "malpractice," too restricted, in fact, for some delegates. George Mason objected and suggested that "maladministration" be added to "treason and bribery." 20 This suggestion was opposed by Madison as being "equivalent to a tenure during pleasure of the Senate."²¹ Mason responded by further refining his suggestion and offered the term "other high crimes and misdemeanors against the State."²² The Mason language was a clear reference to the English legal history of Impeachment, Mason's proposal explicitly narrowed these offenses to "against the State." The Convention those itself further clarified the standard by replacing "State" with the "United States."²³

At the conclusion of the substantive deliberations on the constitutional standard of Impeachment, it was obvious that only serious offenses against the governmental system would justify Impeachment and subsequent removal from office. However, the final stylistic touches to the Constitution were applied by the Committee of Style. This Committee had no authority to alter the meaning of the carefully debated language, but could only impose a stylistic consistency through, among other things, the elimination of redundancy. In its zeal to streamline the text, the words "against the United States" were eliminated as unnecessarv to the meaning of the passage.²⁴

The weight of both authoritative commentary and the history of the Constitutional Convention combines to provide convincing proof that the Impeachment process was reserved for serious breaches of the constitutional order that threaten the country in a direct and immediate manner.

C. An Impeachable Offense is Not Limited to Criminal Liability or A Defined Offense

In the case before us, the President's Counsel wholly reject a longstanding understanding of Impeachment, by arguing that abuse of power is not an impeachable offense and by positing that "the Framers restricted impeachment to specific offenses against 'already known and established law.'" 25

This assertion is clearly wrong. Article I. Section 3 of the United States Constitution provides that "Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States: but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law."26 As Delegate James Wilson wrote, "impeachments, and offenses and offenders impeachable "[do not come] within the sphere of ordinary jurisprudence. They are founded on different principles, are governed by different maxims, and are directed to different objects: for this reason, the trial and punishment of an offense on an impeachment, is no bar to a trial and punishment of the same offence at common law."²⁷ The independence of the Impeachment process from the prosecution of crimes underscores the function of Impeachment as a means to remove a President from office, not only because of criminal behavior, but because the President poses a threat to the constitutional order. Criminal behavior is not irrelevant to an Impeachment, but it only becomes decisive if that behavior imperils the balance of powers established in the Constitution.

The assertion that an impeachable offense must be predicated on a criminal act goes against the well-established consensus of the legal community. For example, the argument by President's Counsel is undercut by the President's current Attorney General. William Barr. Mr. Barr wrote in a 2018 memo to the Department of Justice (DOJ) when he was still in private practice, that the President "is answerable for any abuses of discretion and is ultimately subject to the judgment of Congress through the impeachment process [which] means that the president is not the judge in his own cause."²⁸ As Mr. Barr makes clear, Impeachment does not need to be based on a crime.

Furthermore, the assertion that an impeachable offense must involve the violation of an "already known or established" law, even if not criminal, is not supported by the constitutional record. In advocating for the inclusion of Impeachment at the Constitutional Convention, James Madison made the case that the country must be protected against any number of abuses that a President could engage in and which might cause permanent damage to the country. Madison wrote that:

[It was] indispensable that some provision should be made for defending the Community [against] the incapacity, negligence or perfidy of the chief Magistrate. The limitation of the period of his service, was not a sufficient security. . . He might pervert his administration into a scheme of peculation or oppression. He might betray his trust to foreign powers.²⁹

Confining Impeachment to criminal or even codified offenses goes against the mainstream consensus on the meaning of "high Crimes and Misdemeanors" and would fail to capture the universe of harms to the constitutional order in which a President could engage.

D. Impeachment as a Remedy for Corrupting Foreign Influence

The Founders were also gravely concerned about the dangers of foreign influence corrupting our elections and interfering with the rule of law.³⁰ The United States was then a fledging union that had just gained independence from Britain, with help from the French during the American Revolution. As such, the Founders rightly feared that foreign governments might try to exploit American politics in order to further their own interests. During the Constitutional Convention, Elbridge Gerry, a delegate from Massachusetts, warned that "[f]oreign powers will intermeddle in our affairs, and spare no expence to influence them."³¹

The Founders were also acutely aware of the potential for public officials to betray their office to a foreign power, if the temptation were strong enough. Hamilton conceded in Federalist Paper No. 22 that "[o]ne of the weak sides of republics, among their numerous advantages, is that they afford too easy an inlet to foreign corruption."³² In Hamilton's view, when ordinary men are elevated by their fellow citizens to high office, they "may find compensations for betraying their trust, which to any but minds animated and guided by superior virtue, may appear to exceed the proportion of interest they have in the common stock, and to over-balance the obligations of duty. Hence it is that history furnishes us with so many mortifying examples of the prevalency of foreign corruption in republican governments."³³

E. Conclusion

Authoritative commentary on, together with the structure of, the Constitution makes it clear that the term, "other high Crimes and Misdemeanors," encompasses conduct that involves the President in the impermissible exercise of the powers of his office to upset the constitutional order. Moreover, since the essence of Impeachment is removal from office, rather than punishment for offenses, there is a strong inference that the improper conduct must represent a continuing threat to the American people and the Constitution. It must be an episode that either cannot be dealt with in the Courts or that raises generalized concerns about the continued service of the President. as is the case presented here.

IV. STANDARD OF PROOF

In an Impeachment trial, each Senator has the obligation to establish the burden of proof he or she deems proper.34 The Founding Fathers believed maximum discretion was critical for Senators confronting the gravest of constitutional choices.³⁵ Differentiating Impeachment from criminal trials, Alexander Hamilton argued, in Federalist Paper No. 65, that Impeachments "can never be tied down by such strict rules . . . as in common cases serve to limit the discretion of courts in favor of personal security."³⁶ In this regard, Hamilton further distinguished Impeachment proceedings from a criminal trial by stressing that an impeached official would be subject to the established rules of criminal prosecution after Impeachment.37

During the Clinton Impeachment trial, I believed, as I do now, that the House Impeachment Managers bear the burden of proving their case.³⁸ In that trial, the House Impeachment Managers asserted that the Senators should reach a conclusion utilizing a beyond a reasonable doubt standard before voting to convict the President. The House Impeachment Managers, explicitly stated, "none of us, would argue . . . that the President should be removed from office unless you conclude he committed the crimes that he is alleged to have committed." 39 I chose that standard of proof during that trial.⁴⁰ As I stated then, "[h]ad the charges of th[at] case involved threats to our constitutional order not readily characterized by criminal charges, I would have been forced to further parse an exact standard. However, for all practical purposes, the Managers have themselves established the burden of proof in [the Clinton Impeachment] case."⁴¹

As the charges in this case against President Trump cut to the core of our constitutional order, I believe that I am now required to offer further analysis on which standard of proof to apply.

While the House Impeachment Managers in the current trial did not provide a single standard of proof required for conviction and removal, it was clear that the bar they set was quite high, which is appropriate. Howwhat exact constitutional standard ever. should be used remains debatable. Practical concerns related to utilizing the Impeachment power should be considered when determining the standard of proof required. Too low of a standard may lead to removal, even if significant doubts exist. A "... high 'criminal' standard of proof could mean, in practice, that a man could remain president whom every member of the Senate believed to be guilty of corruption, just because his guilt was not shown 'beyond a reasonable doubt.'" 42

When uncertain about the standard of proof to apply, it is worth reviewing the writings of eminent scholars. In doing so, I have found a closer approximation to what the standard should be in many Impeachment trials as compared to those used in general legal practice: "[o]verwhelming preponderance of the evidence'..."⁴³ Yet, I believe that the severity of removing a President of the United States warrants an even higher bar. As such, a definition slightly modified, but modeled on that proposed standard, is more applicable: overwhelmingly clear and convincing evidence.

This standard more closely comports with historical analysis of the Founders' desire to separate criminal law and Impeachment, and the arguments made by scholars, while reflecting the serious constitutional harms alleged in the Articles of Impeachment before the Senate. Further, after review of substantive differences between the Articles of Impeachment that allege President Trump's dire and ongoing threat to our constitutional order and the Articles of Impeachment levied against President Clinton-which could be more readily applied by analogy to criminal law-a different standard is clearly warranted. In a future case, if Articles of Impeachment contain a set of facts or allegations not contemplated in either the Clinton Impeachment trial or in this case, I will likely have to revisit this analysis.

The Articles, embodied in H. Res. 755, accuse the President of abuse of power and obstruction of Congress. After reading the materials and hearing the arguments presented at trial, I conclude that the evidence presented at trial was more than compelling. Indeed, it was overwhelmingly clear and convincing. Having concluded that the charges of abuse of power and obstruction of Congress rise to the level of "high Crimes and Misdemeanors," an analysis of the specific charges is necessary.

V. ARTICLE I: ABUSE OF POWER

Article I of House Resolution 755 provides that, in the conduct of his office, the President abused his presidential powers, in violation of his constitutional duty to take care that the laws be faithfully executed, through a scheme, or course of conduct, to solicit interference of a foreign government, Ukraine, in the 2020 U.S. presidential election for personal political gain. The scheme included President Trump soliciting the Government of Ukraine to publicly announce investigations that would influence the 2020 U.S. presidential election to his advantage and the disadvantage of a potential political opponent in that election. Article I provides further that President Trump, for corrupt purposes, used the powers of the Office in a manner that injured the vital national interests of the United States by harming the integrity of the democratic process and compromising U.S. national security. As I will further explain, the conduct described in Article I amounts to an abuse of power and shows that President Trump remains an ongoing threat to the national interest if allowed to remain in office.

A. Abuse of Power Is an Impeachable Offense

A cardinal American principle that emerged during the drafting of the Constitution is that no one is above the law. As discussed in the previous section, this principle was a chief subject of debate at the Constitutional Convention. The Framers understood that power corrupts and they would need to build guardrails to protect the public good from a would-be authoritarian. The Framers were reacting to the overreach of King George III.

Yet, the President's Counsel argue that Impeachment is not an appropriate remedy for abuse of power, arguing that the Framers were not concerned about violations of the public trust. The President's Counsel instead argue that the Framers were primarily concerned about an Executive that would be beholden to a heavy-handed legislature. Indeed, during the debates at the Constitutional Convention, this fear was raised by opponents of Impeachment. Rufus King, a delegate from Massachusetts, said "[impeachment by Congress] would be destructive of his independence and of the principles of the Constitution. He relied on the vigor of the Executive as a great security for the public liberties."⁴⁴ Clearly, King's arguments did not carry the day.

In drafting the Constitution, the Framers had carefully calibrated the powers between Congress and the Executive. Ultimately, they decided that they could not leave the nation without any recourse against a President who would be in a unique and potent position to engage in any number of abusive acts. Without a mechanism to keep an outof-control President in check, there was little binding him to the law. Hamilton underscored the importance of the Impeachment process for holding the President liable by drawing a contrast with the British monarchy, for whom "there is no constitutional tribunal to which he is amenable."⁴⁵

George Mason, a delegate from Virginia, underscores abuse of power as one of the key reasons for the need for presidential Impeachment, asking "Shall any man be above Justice? Above all shall that man be above it, who can commit the most extensive injustice?" ⁴⁶ Edmund Randolph, another delegate from Virginia, concurred, noting that "[t]he Executive will have great opportunitys of abusing his power[,]" and in such instances "[g]uilt wherever found ought to be punished." ⁴⁷

The Framers debate on these matters was prescient, as public officials have, in fact, been found to have committed impeachable offenses including abuse of power. Most wellknown, President Nixon resigned after the Judiciary Committee (hereinafter House known as "Judiciary Committee") found he had abused his powers on multiple occasions.48 Three district judges were also impeached during the 20th century for abusing their power. In impeaching these judges, the House used "abuse of power" to describe misconduct ranging from the unlawful use of contempt of court, to the ordering of a jury to find a defendant guilty, to the improper appointing of an associate to an official position.49

In stark contrast to the positions of the Framers, the President's Counsel argue that a President who does something to benefit himself in a reelection, if he thinks it is in the nation's interest, has not committed an impeachable offense. This is not a credible argument because under this view, the President would have free reign to solicit foreign interference, unlawfully withhold security assistance, use his powers to target his political opponents and engage in a whole host of corrupt conduct that might help him get reelected. This rings all too familiar of President Nixon when he said "Well, when the president does it that means that it is not illegal." 50

A.1. Definition of Abuse of Power

Black's Law Dictionary defines "abuse of power" as including "The misuse or improper exercise of one's authority; esp., the exercise of a statutorily or otherwise duly conferred authority in a way that is tortious, unlawful or outside its proper scope." 51

In its Impeachment inquiry of President Richard Nixon, the Judiciary Committee found the President repeatedly abused his power while in office.⁵² Among its findings, the Judiciary Committee determined that President Nixon unlawfully directed or authorized federal agencies, including the Internal Revenue Service and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, to investigate and surveil American citizens, and used the resulting information for his own political purposes.53 The Judiciary Committee further found that Nixon then interfered with investigations into these and other actions to conceal his misconduct, and stressed that Nixon's actions in all of these instances "served no valid national policy objective."⁵⁴

The Judiciary Committee concluded that the "conduct of Richard M. Nixon has constituted a repeated and continuing abuse of the powers of the presidency in disregard of the fundamental principle of the rule of law in our system of government. This abuse of the powers of the President was carried out by Richard M. Nixon, acting personally and through his subordinates, for his own political advantage, not for any legitimate governmental purpose and without due consideration for the national good."⁵⁵

In the current Impeachment of President Trump, the Judiciary Committee has defined abuse of power as occurring "when a President exercises the powers of his office to obtain an improper personal benefit while injuring and ignoring the national interest."⁵⁶

From these sources, I have concluded that an abuse of power by a sitting President has the following three elements:

1) The use of official governmental power; 2) For personal or some other corrupt purpose;

3) Without due consideration for the national interest.

President Trump's conduct in soliciting foreign interference in the 2020 presidential election meets each of these elements of the charge of abuse of power. Moreover, the defenses put forth by the President's Counsel are substantively deficient when viewed in the context of the corrupt scheme conducted by President Trump through his personal attorney, Rudy Giuliani, starting in late 2018. *B. The Corruct Scheme*

President Trump engaged in a corrupt scheme to solicit foreign interference in the 2020 presidential election to tarnish his political rivals and bolster public perceptions of the legitimacy of his 2016 electoral victory. The corrupt scheme served to benefit the President in a personal, political manner, and was contrary to the national interest. President Trump repeatedly misused the powers of the presidency to increase pressure on Ukraine to further the corrupt scheme, including withholding a White House meeting and U.S. military assistance that the Ukrainians desperately need to counter Russia. This scheme continued even after a whistleblower exposed the President's efforts and even following the launch of the Impeachment inquiry by the House.

The scheme directed by the President comprised two separate efforts-both aimed to damage his political rivals and benefit his reelection prospects. The first effort was to get the Ukrainian government to announce an investigation into baseless accusations propagated by a Russian disinformation campaign,⁵⁷ that Ukraine interfered in the 2016 election to benefit President Trump's political rival, Hillary Clinton (hereinafter referred to as the "2016 campaign theory"). The 2016 campaign theory comprised numerous unfounded allegations including that Ukraine colluded with the Democrats to influence the 2016 election and that the cybersecurity company Crowdstrike, falsely alleged to be owned by a Ukrainian oligarch. investigated the hack of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) computer infrastructure, and covered up evidence of Ukrainian culpability in the cyber-attack by hiding the servers from the FBI inside Ukraine.58

President Trump's fixation on the 2016 campaign theory appears to have been intended to change public perceptions of President Trump's connection to Russia, in the wake of the Intelligence Community assessment that Russia interfered in the 2016 election to support then candidate Trump,⁵⁹ and the Special Counsel's mandate including to review "any links or coordination between the Russian government and individuals as-⁶⁰ The sociated with the Trump campaign.' Special Counsel noted "several [of President Trump's] advisors recalled that the President . . . viewed stories about his Russian connections, the Russian investigations and the Intelligence Community assessment of Russian interference as a threat to the legitimacy of his electoral victory."⁶¹ Further, in the spring of 2019, the Special Counsel affirmed the assessments of the Intelligence Community and concluded that while there was no direct conspiracy or coordination between the Kremlin and the Trump campaign. the Russian government perceived it would benefit from a Trump presidency and worked to secure that outcome, and that the campaign expected it would benefit electorally from information stolen and released through Russian efforts . . ."⁶² In directing this effort of the scheme, the President was attempting to rewrite history by having a foreign power make statements to validate his allegations that it was Ukraine colluding with the Democrats rather than Russia interfering to benefit then candidate Trump and exonerate himself of any wrongdoing or ties to Russia.

In addition, the 2016 campaign theory sought to implicate the President's political rival in 2016, former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. As Deputy Assistant Secretary George Kent testified, the President "wanted nothing less than President [Zelensky] to go to [a] microphone and say investigations, Biden, and Clinton." He confirmed that "shorthand" for Clinton "was 2016."⁶³

The scheme also comprised a second effort to get the Ukrainian government to announce an investigation into unfounded corruption allegations against former Vice President Joe Biden and his son Hunter Biden (hereinafter referred to as "Biden/ Burisma theory"). The allegations associated with this theory surround Vice President Biden's successful pressuring of Ukrainian President Poroshenko to remove Ukrainian Prosecutor General Victor Shokin in 2016. who purportedly was investigating a Ukrainian energy company, Burisma, on whose board Hunter Biden served.64 Vice President Biden is a potential presidential challenger to President Trump in the 2020 Presidential election and was viewed as a frontrunner during the spring and summer of 2019 when President Trump directed such ef-

forts to further the scheme. The President needed to undercut Vice President Biden as a candidate to enhance his chances of reelection. 65

Successfully pressuring the Ukrainian government to announce investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories was likely to garner the President several political benefits including help with his reelection efforts. As the House Impeachment Managers state in their trial memo:

Although these theories were groundless, President Trump sought a public announcement by Ukraine of investigations into them [2016/the Bidens] in order to help his 2020 reelection campaign. An announcement of a Ukrainian investigation into one of his key political rivals would be enormously valuable to President Trump in his efforts to win reelection in 2020-just as the FBI's investigation into Hillary Clinton's emails had helped him in 2016. And an investigation suggesting that President Trump did not benefit from Russian interference in the 2016 election would give him a basis to assert-falsely-that he was the victim, rather than the beneficiary, of foreign meddling in the last election. Ukraine's announcement of that investigation would bolster the perceived legitimacy of his Presidency and, therefore, his political standing going into the 2020 race.66

President Trump needed to obfuscate what was known and proven about Russian involvement on his behalf in the 2016 election to bolster the credibility of claims of Ukrainian Government involvement in the 2016 election and corruption allegations against Vice President Biden ahead of the 2020 election. By soliciting investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories, he sought to accomplish both of those goals.

Throughout this scheme, which began in late 2018, President Trump employed Mr. Giuliani as his principal agent,⁶⁷ and enlisted several U.S. government officials to assist with efforts to compel Ukrainian officials to launch investigations into these baseless theories.

Mr. Giuliani involved associates in this scheme, including Lev Parnas and Igor Fruman, both of whom have been indicted in the Southern District of New York for conspiracy to violate election laws.⁶⁸ Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman leveraged their Ukrainian connections to facilitate contacts between Mr. Giuliani and then Ukrainian Prosecutor General Yuriy Lutsenko and his predecessor Victor Shokin to advance the scheme. Both Mr. Lutsenko⁶⁹ and Mr. Shokin⁷⁰ were removed from their positions under a cloud of corruption.

The corrupt Ukrainian Prosecutors General Lutsenko and Shokin were among Mr. Giuliani's sources for the unfounded allegations in support of the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories. During a January 2019 call via Skype,⁷¹ Mr. Shokin asserted he overseen had the investigation into Burisma.72 Mr. Shokin alleged that Vice President Biden forced his resignation to stop further investigation into Burisma and cover up wrongdoing.73 He made additional allegations including that he had wanted to come to the United States to share information regarding corruption at the Embassy, and that U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Marie Yovanovitch denied him a U.S. visa because she was close to Vice President Biden.⁷⁴ Mr. Shokin later provided an affidavit espousing allegations against Vice President Biden. which explicitly stated that his sworn statement was made at the behest of a pro-Putin Ukrainian oligarch.⁷⁵

Also, in January 2019, Mr. Giuliani met in New York with Yuriy Lutsenko, who was then the Ukrainian Prosecutor General. During these initial conversations with Mr. Giuliani, Mr. Lutsenko made multiple allegations that Ukrainian government officials interfered in the 2016 election to help Democratic candidate Hillary Clinton. He also made allegations about corrupt practices at Burisma and raised the possibility that there could have been improper payments to Hunter Biden. In addition, Mr. Lutsenko made false allegations against U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Marie Yovanovitch."⁷⁶

Using these unfounded allegations, Mr. Giuliani launched a disinformation campaign on traditional and social media. In the spring of 2019. Mr. Giuliani and his associates worked with columnist John Solomon, who wrote a series of articles in The Hill, amplifying the false allegations of Mr. Lutsenko and Mr. Shokin.⁷⁷ Through these columns and a related interview, Mr. Lutsenko announced he was opening investigations into aspects of both the 2016 campaign and Biden/ Burisma theories 78 The President 79 his son Donald Trump Jr.,⁸⁰ and Mr. Giuliani⁸¹ amplified the false allegations by retweeting the articles. President Trump⁸² and Mr. Giuliani⁸³ also repeated the false allegations contained in The Hill articles during press interviews

In furtherance of the corrupt scheme, President Trump directed the removal of Ambassador Yovanovitch. As laid out in the Statement of Material Facts by the House Impeachment Managers, "the removal of Ambassador Yovanovitch was the culmination of a months-long smear campaign waged by the President's personal lawyer, Rudy Giuliani, and other allies of the President. The President also helped amplify the smear campaign."⁸⁴ Ambassador Yovanovitch testified she was told her removal from post was not for cause.⁸⁵ Mr. Giuliani later admitted he "believed that [he] needed Ambassador Yovanovitch out of the way" because "[s]he was going to make the investigations difficult for everybody."⁸⁶ Documents obtained by the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence further confirm that the Ambassador's firing was part of the effort to further the corrupt scheme. A text message Prosecutor from Ukrainian General Lutsenko warned Giuliani associate Lev Parnas that if they didn't fire Ambassador Yovanovitch, "you are bringing into question all my allegations including about "B." ⁸⁷ Mr. Parnas confirmed in a press interview that the "B" referred to Hunter Biden.88

As previously discussed, both the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories are unfounded. The 2016 campaign theory is an active Russian disinformation campaign.⁸⁹ On December 9, 2019, FBI Director Christopher Wray stated, "We have no information that indicates that Ukraine interfered with the 2016 presidential election."⁹⁰

Further, the President's own national security officials have rejected the claim that the Ukrainian government systematically interfered in the 2016 election, including refuting the theory that Ukraine was behind the hack of the DNC servers.⁹¹ Trump Homeland Security adviser Tom Bossert stressed, "[t]he DNC server and that conspiracy theory has got to go, they have to stop with that, it cannot continue to be repeated . . . in our discourse."⁹²

With regards to the Biden/Burisma theory, no proof of any wrongdoing has been made to support this claim.⁹³ No evidence has been presented showing Vice President Biden specifically discussed Burisma with then President Poroshenko in relation to the removal of the corrupt Prosecutor General. Furthermore, U.S. diplomats, such as Former Special Envoy to Ukraine Ambassador Kurt Volker defended Vice President Biden's actions. In his closed interview with the House Committees, Volker stated, "There is clear evidence that Vice President Biden did indeed weigh in with the President of Ukraine to have Shokin fired but the motivations for that are entirely different from those contained in that allegation."⁹⁴ Vice President Biden, acting as the point person for Ukraine policy in the Obama Administration, was representing the interests of the United States and the international community,⁹⁵ promoting increased transparency, corruption reform, and the rule of law.⁹⁶ Vice President Biden's public statements from the time reflect such efforts, focusing on combatting corruption and institutional reform rather than specific companies, such as Burisma.⁹⁷

The President's Counsel made misleading assertions that U.S. Government officials warned the Vice President of the appearance of wrongdoing in an attempt to convince him to take corrective action. One person they cited was Amos Hochstein, a diplomat who served in the Obama Administration.⁹⁸ Mr. Hochstein did raise the matter with the Vice President but did not recommend that Hunter Biden resign from the board of Burisma.⁹⁹

By mid-May 2019, Mr. Lutsenko publicly recanted previous allegations he made to Mr. Giuliani, including admitting that he had no evidence of wrongdoing by Vice President Biden or Hunter Biden.¹⁰⁰ Ambassador Volker explained Mr. Lutsenko's motivations for making these baseless accusations, "My opinion of Prosecutor General Lutsenko was that he was acting in a self-serving manner, frankly making things up, in order to appear important to the United States, because he wanted to save his job."¹⁰¹

At no point during the trial did the President's Counsel dispute the facts surrounding the scheme. The record is clear that the President directed the corrupt scheme to solicit investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories for his personal political gain.

C. President Trump's Misuse of his Office to Advance the Corrupt Scheme

President Trump used the powers of his office to advance the corrupt scheme through multiple efforts, violating the public trust and placing his own personal political interests above the interests of the nation. In doing so, the President abused the power of his office.

C.1. President Trump Solicited Ukrainian Presi-

dent Zelensky to Open Investigations into the 2016 Campaign and Biden/Burisma Theories

President Trump abused the powers of his office in order to advance the corrupt scheme by attempting to leverage the Ukrainian desire for an Oval Office meeting and U.S. security assistance as a quid pro quo for Ukrainian investigations into his political opponents that would benefit his reelection in 2020. Starting in May 2019, President Trump directed a sustained campaign to solicit newly-elected Ukrainian President Zelensky to undertake investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories.

C.1.a. President Trump conditioned an Oval Office meeting on investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories

President Trump's misuse of his official powers, with regard to this matter, began shortly after Volodymyr Zelensky won the Ukrainian presidential election on April 21, 2019. In early May, Mr. Giuliani announced that he planned to travel to Ukraine to meet with President-elect Zelensky "to urge him to pursue inquiries" into "the origin of the Special Counsel's investigation into Russia's interference in the 2016 election" and Hunter Biden's "involvement" in Burisma.¹⁰² Mr. Giuliani admitted that he was not conducting "foreign policy" but rather "meddling in an investigation," $^{\rm 103}$ and that President Trump was aware of his activities. $^{\rm 104}$

In trying to arrange a meeting with President Zelensky, Mr. Giuliani was acting in a private capacity, not as a public official or to advance official U.S. policy. On May 10, 2019 Mr. Giuliani wrote to then President-Elect Zelensky, to request a meeting in his capacity as "personal counsel to President Trump and with his knowledge and con-¹⁰⁵ Mr. Giuliani made clear in the letsent.' ter he was representing Donald Trump as a private citizen, not as President of the United States. While the letter did not state the purpose of the requested meeting. Mr. Giuliani stated publicly on the same day that he intended to tell President Zelensky to pursue investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories.¹⁰⁶ Then on May 11th, Mr. Giuliani abruptly cancelled his trip to Ukraine, declaring that President-Elect Zelensky had surrounded himself with "enemies of the President" (referring to President Trump).107

President Trump intertwined Giuliani's private mission and the activities of public officials when he directed U.S. officials to aid his personal attorney in advancing this scheme. At a May 23rd meeting in the Oval Office, President Trump was briefed by Ambassador Paul Volker, Ambassador Gordon Sondland, and Secretary of Energy Rick Perry, who would subsequently describe themselves as the "Three Amigos," (hereinafter referred to as the "Three Amigos") on their recent trip to attend the inauguration of President Zelensky.¹⁰⁸ Witness testimony indicates that despite their positive assessments about President Zelensky, President Trump was unconvinced, and replied that the Ukrainians tried to "take me down" in 2016, referring to the debunked 2016 campaign theory¹⁰⁹ The President resisted the recommendation of the Three Amigos to invite President Zelensky to the White House, and instead repeatedly directed these three officials to "talk to Rudy."¹¹⁰ Ambassador Sondland testified that he understood this to refer to Mr. Giuliani and that "if we did not talk to Rudy, nothing would move forward on Ukraine."¹¹¹ Ambassador Sondland further explained that they chose to follow the President's direction to communicate with Mr. Giuliani, not because they liked it, but because "it was the only constructive path open to us."¹¹²

The Three Amigos frequently operated outside regular diplomatic channels between the United States and Ukraine, but their activities were not a secret to the President's national security officials. Ambassador Bill Taylor, Charge d'affaires at the U.S. Embassy in Kyiv, described in his testimony how, while he operated in the regular channel of U.S. policymaking regarding Ukraine, beginning on May 23rd there emerged "an irregular, informal channel," consisting of Special Envoy Volker, Ambassador Secretary Sondland. Perrv. and Mr. Giuliani.¹¹³ As Ambassador Sondland testified, "everyone was in the loop," ¹¹⁴ further clarifying that President Trump, Secretary Pompeo, Mr. Giuliani, and Acting Chief of Staff Mick Mulvaney were kept informed of the activities undertaken by the Three Amigos. Fiona Hill, National Security Council Director for European and Russian Affairs, concluded that Ambassador Sondland was correct that he was keeping the relevant officials informed of his activities because he "involved in a domestic political erwas rand" while she and other government officials were conducting U.S. national security foreign policy, and "those two things had just diverged."¹¹⁵

The purpose of these two channels diverged as well: while the career diplomats were engaged in promoting U.S. national security interests in supporting Ukraine in its fight against Russian aggression, the irregular channel was engaged in pursuing a quid pro quo to secure Ukrainian investigations into the 2016 campaign and the Biden/Burisma theories for the benefit of the President's 2020 reelection. At the direction of the President, as conveyed through Mr. Giuliani and Acting White House Chief of Staff Mick Mulvaney, the Three Amigos pursued a quid pro quo-the offer of a politically valuable Oval Office meeting with President Trump in exchange for President Zelensky announcing the desired investigations. Ambassador Sondland testified "Mr. Giuliani's requests were a quid pro quo for arranging a White House visit for President Zelensky.

The evidence shows that by early July, the message was conveyed to Ukrainian officials that investigations were a prerequisite for their desired White House meeting. Ambassador Volker testified that when the Oval Office meeting was not scheduled by late June, he "came to believe that the President's long-held negative view toward Ukraine was causing hesitation in actually scheduling the meeting."¹¹⁷ At a bilateral meeting in Toronto in early July, Ambassador Volker testified that he told alerted President Zelensky that he couldn't get a date scheduled for the White House meeting. Ambassador Volker relayed to President Zelensky. "I think we have a problem here, and that problem being the negative feed of informa-tion from Mr. Giuliani."¹¹⁸ Ambassador Volker further testified that during the Toronto meeting, he specifically mentioned investigations into **``2016**'' election and"Burisma" with President Zelensky.¹¹⁹ Soon after this warning, President Zelensky's close aide Andriy Yermak asked to be connected with Mr. Giuliani.¹²⁰

The President's conditions for securing a White House meeting were communicated an additional time, during a July 10, 2019, bilateral meeting led by then National Security Adviser John Bolton and then Ukrainian Na-Security Adviser tional Oleksandr Danylyuk. During the meeting, the Ukrainian delegation raised their desire to have a White House meeting.¹²¹ NSC official Hill testified that Ambassador Sondland, who was in attendance at the meeting, responded to the Ukrainian request by stating, "We have an agreement that there will be a meeting, if specific investigations are put under way." 122 NSC official Lt. Col. Vindman testified that during that afternoon's meetings with the Ukrainian delegation, Ambassador Sondland "emphasized the importance of Ukraine delivering the investigations into 2016 elections, the Bidens and Burisma." 123 Later, Ambassador Sondland told Dr. Hill there was agreement with Mr. that Mulvanev that there would be a White House meeting with President Zelensky "in return for investigations."¹²⁴ According to Dr. Hill, Ambassador Bolton was so alarmed that he told her to inform the lawyers about what happened in the meeting, adding that he was not be part of "whatever drug deal that Mulvaney and Sondland are cooking up." 125

C.1.b. President Trump withheld military

assistance

President Trump also used the powers of his office to order, through the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the withholding of congressionally appropriated security assistance to Ukraine. The evidence shows that the President fixated on a June 19, 2019 article in the *Washington Examiner* announcing the release of Ukraine security assistance as an additional leverage point to further the corrupt scheme.¹²⁶ By no later than July 12, 2019.¹²⁷ President Trump ordered a hold on \$391 million in security assistance for Ukraine, consisting of \$250 mil-

lion in Department of Defense Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative (USAI) funding and \$141 million in State Department Foreign Military Financing (FMF). At an interagency meeting on July 18, 2019, a week before the Trump-Zelensky phone call, OMB officials instructed relevant U.S. government departments and agencies to withhold obligation of the Ukraine security assistance at the direction of the President.¹²⁸ According to multiple witnesses, OMB did not provide a reason for the President's hold on the Ukraine aid.¹²⁹ OMB maintained this hold on Ukraine security assistance through September 11th, when OMB lifted the hold, again without providing a rationale for the change of course.130

The President's Counsel claim that the President's hold on security assistance was because of a policy difference, but that claim is not supported by the evidence. The manner in which the White House placed the hold on security assistance for Ukraine differed significantly from the process in which holds of assistance to other countries based on policy considerations had previously occurred. As the House Impeachment Managers stated. What the President did is not the same as routine withholding of foreign aid to ensure that it aligns with the President's policy priorities or to adjust with geopolitical developments."¹³¹ The President began asking about the hold based on the announcement of the release of funds, after the Department of Defense had certified that the Ukrainian government made progress on corruption reform, showing that the hold was not placed due to policy considerations. Further, no geopolitical circumstances had changed in that timeframe to warrant the placing of a hold on security assistance funds to Ukraine.

In addition, despite substantial evidence that U.S. government officials were deeply concerned about conflicts with the Impoundment Control Act (ICA), there was no notification of the delay to Congress as required by this law, belying the idea that the President harbored legitimate concerns about policy.¹³² Congress has an established bipartisan record of robust support for Ukraine, Since 2014. the United States has provided more than \$3.5 billion in foreign assistance to Ukraine: \$1.96 billion in military and other security assistance and \$1.6 billion in political aid to Ukraine, all illustrating a policy that support to Ukraine furthers U.S. national security interests.¹³³ Interagency conversations while the hold was in place reflected concerns that withholding the funds would in fact violate the ICA.¹³⁴ vet there were no plans to notify Congress or rescind the funds as required by under the ICA. Further, when OMB official Mike Duffev directed Acting DOD Comptroller Elaine McCusker to formally hold the assistance for Ukraine, he added, "Given the sensitive nature of the request, I appreciate your keeping that information closely held to those who need to know to execute the direction."¹³⁵ The secrecy maintained by Administration officials regarding the hold on this security assistance differs significantly from past practice and supports the inference that they were aware that the hold was contrary to U.S. policy and that they had no legitimate policy justification for a change in U.S. policy.

In withholding the security assistance for Ukraine, the President violated his duty to faithfully execute the laws. Congress enacted the ICA in 1974 as one of many responses to the abuses of President Nixon in order to require the President to obligate funds appropriated by Congress, unless Congress otherwise authorizes the withholding.¹³⁶ The ICA provides the President with narrowly circumscribed authority to withhold, or "impound," appropriated funds only in limited,

specified circumstances, and included a requirement to inform Congress. At no point did the Trump Administration either assert that it was impounding the Ukraine security assistance or inform Congress of any deferral or rescission of funds. In reviewing the OMB's withholding of funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for Ukraine security assistance, the Government Accountability Office concluded that OMB violated the ICA.¹³⁷

C.1.c. President Trump conditioned a White House meeting and Ukrainian security assistance on investigations

The House Impeachment Managers' record demonstrates overwhelmingly that President Trump conditioned both a White House meeting and nearly \$400 million in U.S. security assistance for Ukraine on a commitment by President Zelensky to conduct investigations for the personal political benefit of Donald Trump. The President's scheme to secure corrupt investigations to benefit his reelection efforts converged with his official duties during a July 25, 2019, phone call with President Zelensky. The President's actions during that phone call, understood in the context of the broader corrupt scheme, are compelling evidence that the President solicited foreign interference in U.S. elections.

The President's own words during the July 25th call. as summarized in a memorandum of telephone conversation released by the White House, demonstrate the President's demand for a quid pro quo.138 Far from show-"perfect call" that President Trump ing the claims,¹³⁹ the memorandum of the telephone conversation makes clear that the President solicited politically-motivated investigations from President Zelensky in exchange for a White House meeting and U.S. military aid. When the Ukrainian President indicated he would be seeking additional U.S. military arms that Ukraine desperately needed for its conflict with Russia. President Trump rerequesting that President sponded by Zelensky do him "a favor though." 140 The memorandum of the telephone conversation makes clear that the favor President Trump sought as a condition for future military aid was the two investigations into the 2016 campaign and the Biden/Burisma theories. President Trump went on to espouse many of the allegations associated with the debunked campaign 2016 theory. including "Crowdstrike," and "one of your wealthy people," falsely insinuating that a Ukrainian oligarch owned the cybersecurity firm that investigated the DNC hack.141 He then alleged that Ukraine has the server and added, . . They say a lot of it started in Ukraine. Whatever you can do, it's very important that you do it. . ."142 Later in the phone call, President Trump mentioned "the other thing" he wanted investigated, declaring that there was "a lot of talk about" Vice President "Biden's son," and that Vice President "Biden stopped the prosecution." 143 President Trump told President Zelensky, "A lot of people want to find out about that, so whatever you can do with the Attorney General would be great." 144 In addition, it must be noted President Trump specifically urged President Zelensky to call Mr. Giuliani, as well as Attorney General Barr,¹⁴⁵ regarding investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories.146 Given all of the steps taken by Mr. Giuliani leading up to the call, including his letter to President Zelensky and public statements urging President Zelensky to undertake investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories, it is clear that President Trump was signaling that he wanted these investigations.

The President's Counsel disputed the notion that there was a quid pro quo by claiming that President Zelensky was not aware of an arrangement and he felt no pressure during the July 25th phone call. However, evidence shows that the President's surrogates prepped President Zelensky ahead of the call to say that he would conduct investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/ Burisma theories in order to get a White House meeting. Ambassadors Volker and Sondland had multiple exchanges with President Zelensky and his aide Mr. Yermak ahead of the call. Ambassador Volker, after having breakfast with Mr. Giuliani, told Ambassador Taylor and Ambassador Sondland via text, "Most important is for Zelensky to say that he will help with investigation. That same day, Ambassador Sondland directed President Zelensky to tell President Trump, he would "run a fully transparent investigation and turn over every stone,"148 which he indicated in testimony referred to the "Burisma and the 2016" investigations 149 The morning of the July 25th call, Ambassador Sondland spoke to President Trump and then alerted Ambassador Volker to contact him.¹⁵⁰ Approximately a half hour later, Ambassador Volker texted Zelensky aide Mr. Yermak. "Heard from White House-assuming President Z[elensky] convinces Trump he will investigate/ 'get to the bottom of what happened' in 2016, we will nail down a date for a visit in Washington."¹⁵¹

The memorandum of the telephone conversation shows that President Zelensky understood the messages that he was told to convey during the call and followed those instructions. During the call, President Zelensky said to President Trump, "I also wanted to thank you for your invitation to visit the United States, specifically Washington D.C. On the other hand, I also want to ensure you that we will be very serious about the case and will work on the investigation."¹⁵² Lt. Col. Vindman testified that aspects of the call, including President Zelensky bringing up Burisma, suggested that he was "prepped" for this call.¹⁵³ President Zelensky knew what "favor" President Trump was asking for as a condition for receiving the White House meeting.

C.1.d. The actions of Administration officials following the July 25th phone call demonstrate that the President conditioned U.S. military aid to Ukraine and the White House meeting on President Zelensky announcing the investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories

The President's Counsel allege that there is no evidence that the President conditioned U.S. military aid for Ukraine or the White House meeting on a commitment by President Zelensky to announce investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories. The President's Counsel assert that any claims that President Trump made any such linkage, particularly relating to the military assistance, are unsupported and based on second or third-hand sources and speculation. They claim that no one with first-hand knowledge of the President's thinking came forward and testified that he conditioned the delivery of these official acts for Ukraine on the investigations. These claims are both disingenuous and wrong.154

Furthermore, the actions of Administration officials after the July 25th phone call make clear President Trump's request was a quid pro quo. Approximately 90 minutes after the call, OMB official Mike Duffey directed Acting DoD Comptroller McCusker to formally hold the Department of Defense security assistance for Ukraine.¹⁵⁵

In addition, conversations on July 26, 2019, detail that President Trump appeared solely focused on whether efforts to pressure President Zelensky to initiate the investigations had been successful. On July 26th, the day after the phone call between Presidents Trump and Zelensky, Ambassador Sondland called President Trump from Kyiv. According to testimony from David Holmes, Counselor for Political Affairs at the U.S. Embassy who overheard the phone call, President Trump asked Ambassador Sondland, "So he's going to do the investigation?" referring to the 2016 campaign and Burisma/ Biden theories.¹⁵⁶ Holmes also testified that he asked Ambassador Sondland that same day if President Trump cared about Ukraine. Sondland responded that President "Trump only cared about 'big stuff' that benefits the President, like the 'Biden investigation' that Mr. Giuliani was pushing."¹⁵⁷

Most telling, President Trump's Acting Chief of Staff Mick Mulvaney publicly admitted at a press conference on October 17th that withholding the security assistance for Ukraine provided leverage to convince Ukraine to investigate the source of the hack of the DNC servers in 2016, an aspect of the 2016 campaign theory.¹⁵⁸ Mr. Mulvaney confirmed that President Trump '[a]bsolutely'' raised "corruption related to the DNC server" and added that was part of "why we held up the money." ¹⁵⁹ When a reporter pointed out that he had just described a quid pro quo, Mr. Mulvaney stated, "We do that all the time with foreign policy" and told everyone to "Get over it. There's going to be political influence in foreign policy." 160

Despite the assertions of the President's evidence indicates counsel. that the Zelensky Administration knew that there was a problem with the security assistance well before the hold was reported publicly on August 28, 2019.¹⁶¹ The same afternoon of the July 25th phone call, Department of Defense officials learned that diplomats at the Ukrainian Embassy in Washington had made multiple overtures to the Pentagon and the State Department "asking about security assistance."¹⁶² Separately, during that same time frame, two different officials at the Ukrainian Embassy contacted Ambassador Volker's special assistant, Catherine Croft, to ask her in confidence about the hold.¹⁶³ In early August 2019, the Ukrainians reportedly made further inquiries about the security assistance funds.¹⁶⁴ The message sent back was that the holdup was not bureaucratic in nature, and that to address it they were advised to reach out to Mick Mulvaney.¹⁶⁵ NSC official Lt. Col. Vindman testified that by mid-August 2019, he had also received inquiries about the hold on the security assistance from an official at the Ukrainian Embassy.¹⁶⁶

Evidence and reporting regarding the President's interactions with then National Security Adviser John Bolton further confirms that the President held security assistance in order to further the corrupt scheme. On August 16, 2019, Ambassador Bolton reportedly made a personal appeal to President Trump to release the security assistance for Ukraine and was "rebuffed." 167 NSC official Tim Morrison affirmed this account in his testimony. Mr. Morrison testified that Ambassador Bolton said President Trump. "wasn't ready" to release the aid.¹⁶⁸ According to news reports that emerged during the Impeachment trial, an account from Ambassador Bolton's forthcoming book reportedly makes this link even more explicit.

Ambassador Bolton stated during the August meeting, President Trump "appeared focused on the theories Mr. Giuliani had shared with him, replying to Mr. Bolton's question that he preferred sending no assistance to Ukraine until officials turned over all materials they had about the Russia investigation that related to Mr. Biden and supporters of Mrs. Clinton in Ukraine." ¹⁶⁹

The record also shows that after the July 25th Trump-Zelensky phone call, President Trump directed a campaign to increase the

pressure in furtherance of the scheme. Starting in early August, Ambassadors Volker and Sondland, in coordination with Mr. Giuliani, attempted to get President Zelensky to publicly announce investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/ Burisma theories.¹⁷⁰ Ambassadors Volker and Sondland worked in conjunction with President Zelensky's aide Mr. Yermak to generate an acceptable statement.¹⁷¹ After the initial Ukrainian draft of the statement contained only a general commitment from President Zelensky to fight corruption. Ambassadors Volker and Sondland consulted Mr. Giuliani who responded that if the statement "doesn't say Burisma and 2016, it's not credible."172 Ambassador Volker then revised President Zelensky's draft statement to include specific references to "Burisma" and "the 2016 U.S. elections." 173 No statement was ever released by President Zelensky, and Ambassador Volker testified that it was because the Ukrainians realized that making such a statement was tantamount to a quid pro quo.174

witness testimony shows Furthermore that as the hold on the security assistance continued through the late summer, U.S. government officials realized the connection between the hold and the President's desire for Ukrainian announcements of investigations into President Trump's political rivals. By early September, Ambassador Taylor said his "clear understanding" was that President Trump would withhold security assistance until President Zelensky "committed to pursue the investigations."¹⁷⁵ Ambassador Taylor further testified that his contemporaneous notes reflect that President Trump wanted President Zelensky "in a box by making [a] public statement about ordering investigations."¹⁷⁶ Ambassador such Sondland explained to Ambassador Taylor that "everything" (the Oval Office meeting and security assistance) "was dependent on the Ukrainian government announcing the political investigations."¹⁷⁷ Ambassador Taylor responded to Ambassador Sondland that he thought it was "crazy to withhold security assistance for help with a political campaign."¹⁷⁸ Foreign Service Officer David Holmes testified that his "clear impression" around the same time was that "the security assistance hold was likely intended by the President either to express dissatisfaction with the Ukrainians who had not vet agreed to the Burisma/Biden investigations, or as an effort to increase the pressure on them to do so."¹⁷⁹

Once the hold on the security assistance was reported in the press in late August 2019. the conditions for releasing the assistance were soon overtly communicated to President Zelensky, President Trump's surrogates informed President Zelensky and his aides that the security assistance was held up as a result of President Zelensky's unwillingness to announce the investigations into President Trump's political rivals. These directions came from the President.¹⁸⁰ Ambassador Sondland testified that he had passed a message directly to President Zelensky's aide Mr. Yermak on September 1, 2019, that, 'I believed that the resumption of U.S. aid would not likely occur until Ukraine took some kind of action on the public statement that we had been discussing for weeks."181 Affirming this account, Ambassador Taylor testified that Ambassador Sondland told him he had warned President Zelensky and Mr. Yermak that, "although this was not a quid pro quo, if President Zelensky did not clear things up in public, we would be at a stalemate." 182 President Zelensky apparently understood the message because arrangements were made for the Ukrainian President to go on CNN to announce the investigations.¹⁸

The President's Counsel argue that there could not have been a quid pro quo because

the Ukrainians ultimately got the funding without making the commitment to conduct the investigations. Essentially, they argue "no harm, no foul." However, the President's solicitation of the politically-motivated investigations in exchange for official acts is in and of itself an abuse of his office and the public trust. Further, President Trump released the hold on the security assistance only after a whistleblower's complaint had been provided to Congress and three House committees had initiated an investigation into the hold. On August 12, 2019, a whistleblower filed a complaint with the Intelligence Community's Inspector General, which stated multiple U.S. government officials had told him or her information indicating that the "President of the United States is using the power of his office to solicit interference from a foreign country in the 2020 U.S. election."¹⁸⁴ The complaint cited the July 25th call between Presidents Trump and Zelensky, the placing of the call on a codeword server, and other circumstances surrounding the call including the role of Mr. Giuliani.¹⁸⁵ The President was reportedly briefed by White House Counsel on the existence of a whistleblower complaint in late August.¹⁸⁶ On September 9, 2019, the whistleblower complaint was referred to Congress.¹⁸⁷ On the same day, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs opened an inquiry into the circumstances sur-rounding the hold.¹⁸⁸ The President subsequently lifted the hold on September 11, 2019.189

Moreover, the corrupt scheme did not end even after the House Committees began the Impeachment Inquiry. Mr. Giuliani, at the direction of the President, has continued to travel to Ukraine to generate compromising material on President Trump's political opponents,¹⁹⁰ raising the possibility of future attempts by President Trump to pressure foreign leaders to interfere in the 2020 election.

Consistent with the first element delineated for abuse of power, the evidence clearly shows that President Trump misused his office to advance a corrupt scheme.

The fact that President Trump's actions involve the misuse of the office of the presidency distinguishes the current proceedings from the circumstances in the 1999 Clinton Impeachment trial. Based on the historical record, the constitutional standard I applied in the Clinton proceedings was that "private wrongdoing, without a significant adverse effect upon the nation, cannot constitute an impeachable offense."¹⁹¹ On that basis, I concluded that "Citizens may well lack confidence in the ability of President Clinton to be honest about his personal life, this is not however a threat to our government."¹⁹² The circumstances regarding President Trump can be distinguished both on the grounds that his actions involved the misuse of his public office, not private wrongdoing, and because the nature of President Trump's abuse of power is an ongoing threat to our systems of government and our constitutional order.

D. The President's Solicitation of Investigations by Ukraine into the 2016 Campaign and Biden/Burisma Theories Was for his Personal or Other Corrupt Purpose

The second element of the offense of abuse of power, as previously delineated, is the use of official governmental power for personal or some other corrupt purpose. The President's Counsel have argued that the President had legitimate policy reasons for withholding the Ukraine security assistance or the White House meeting. Specifically, the President's Counsel asserted that President Trump had longstanding concerns about corruption and burden-sharing by European allies in support of Ukraine. Upon careful review of the record, these assertions simply do not square with the facts. While there is some basis for the assertion that President Trump cared about these issues, they were not the basis for the withholding of Ukraine security assistance.

Evidence shows that President Trump's solicitation alarmed Administration officials who listened in to the July 25th call, and their concerns did not stem from policy differences. NSC official Lt. Col. Vindman testified that he was "concerned" about the call and "did not think it was proper to demand that a foreign government investigate a U.S. citizen."¹⁹³ Vice Presidential aide Jennifer Williams, who also listened to the July 25th call, testified she found it, "unusual because. in contrast to other Presidential calls I had observed, it involved discussion of what appeared to be a domestic political matter." 194 Ms. Williams was informed of the security assistance hold on July 3rd and stated that the call "shed some light on possible other motivations behind a security assistance hold." 195 Lt. Col. Vindman and NSC official Tim Morrison were sufficiently concerned that they separately reported the contents of the call to NSC lawyers, Mr. Eisenberg and Mr. Ellis.¹⁹⁶ The President's lawyers, in turn, took steps to restrict access to the rough transcript of the call by placing it on a highly-restricted classified server.197

Furthermore, the President's Counsel's claim that security assistance for Ukraine was withheld over concerns about corruption is unfounded. On May 23, 2019, the Department of Defense certified to Congress that Ukraine had made progress on defense reform and anti-corruption measures. Congress required this certification under the National Defense Authorization Act in order to allow USAI funding to be provided beyond the first 50 percent of amounts authorized and appropriated for Ukraine military aid.¹⁹⁸ Furthermore, support for providing security assistance to Ukraine was unanimous among relevant agencies of the United States government. Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Laura Cooper testified that there was a consensus within the interagency that corruption was not a legitimate reason for the hold.¹⁹⁹ Ambassador Taylor affirmed Ms. Cooper's recollection that no agencies raised policy-related concerns as reason for the hold on security assistance testifying, "At every meeting, the unanimous conclusion was that the security assistance should be reassumed, the hold lifted. At one point the Defense Department was asked to perform an analysis of the effectiveness of the assistance. Within a day, the Defense Department came back with the determination that the assistance was effective and should be resumed." 200

Nor does the evidence support the claim that President Trump, himself, had concerns about institutional corruption that would lead him to withhold military assistance for Ukraine. There is no evidence that President Trump in his interactions with his Ukrainian counterpart, raised concerns about corruption. Indeed, corruption was not raised by President Trump during the two calls he had with President Zelensky,201 despite that issue being included in his talking points prepared by NSC staff for both calls.²⁰² Further evidence that President Trump was not interested in institutional corruption in Ukraine came from Mr. Morrison, who listened to the July 25th call, and testified that "full-President Trump did not make a throated endorsement of the Ukraine reform agenda that I was hoping to hear.'

Further, communications by U.S. diplomats to President Zelensky or other

Ukrainian officials do not indicate that President Trump held Ukrainian security assistance due to concern about corruption in Ukraine. As discussed earlier, Ambassador Volker and Ambassador Sondland had multiple contacts with President Zelensky and his close aide Mr. Yermak ahead of the July 25th call. No evidence shows that President Zelensky was advised to outline steps he was taking to address corruption on the call.²⁰⁴ Similarly, previously discussed diplomatic efforts in August focused on securing a public commitment by President Zelensky to investigate the 2016 campaign and Biden/ Burisma theories specifically, and a commitment to pursue corruption generally was deemed insufficient to meet President Trump's request.²⁰⁵

The evidence also does not indicate that President Trump used official auspices to undertake a corruption investigation in furtherance of official U.S. government policy. If the President was interested in pursuing a particular corruption investigation with the Government of Ukraine, he could have done so through established diplomatic channels. The President could have directed his Attornev General to make an official request of Ukraine to initiate investigations into corruption under the existing Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty (MLAT) with Ukraine.²⁰⁶ In this instance. President Trump did not take such action. Rather, in the July 25th call, President Trump asked President Zelensky to work with both his personal attorney, Mr. Giuliani, and Attorney General Barr to pursue investigations into his political rivals.207 Further, supporting the idea that the President did not ask for any official investigations, the DOJ has denied knowledge of any such investigations, declaring that "the President has not asked the Attorney General to contact Ukraine-on this [the July 25th call] or any other matter." 208 Additionally, Mr. Yermak asked Ambassador Volker to make any official request for investigations through formal channels,²⁰⁹ but there is no evidence that the DOJ or officials at the US Embassy Kyiv followed up on that suggestion.²¹⁰ That the President did not go through regular inter-governmental channels supports the conclusion that his interest in Ukrainian investigations was for his personal political benefit and not legitimate policy considerations.

In addition, there is no evidence to support the claim that President Trump withheld Ukrainian military assistance out of concerns about European burden sharing. While President Trump may be skeptical about European contributions to mutual defense, European nations contribute significantly more foreign aid overall to Ukraine than the United States. The EU is the single largest contributor of foreign assistance to Ukraine, having provided ≤ 15 billion since 2014 versus ≤ 1.96 billion in security assistance that the United States has provided over that same time period.²¹¹

The rationale that the President withheld security assistance because he was concerned with Europe paying more to support Ukraine was not raised until well after the hold was placed on U.S. security assistance for Ukraine. Witness testimony indicates that the President began making inquiries about the aid on June 19, 2019,212 and that all security assistance for Ukraine had been put on hold by July 12, 2019.213 OMB official Mark Sandy testified that when the hold was ordered no explicit reason was provided.²¹⁴ Mr. Sandy further testified that it wasn't until September, after the hold became public. that a concern was expressed about European burden sharing.²¹⁵

Nor is there evidence that the Trump Administration made any efforts publicly or privately to get additional contributions from Europe while the aid was on hold. Mr. Sandy testified that he was not aware of any other countries committing to provide more financial assistance to Ukraine prior to the lifting of the hold on September 11th.²¹⁶

Moreover, as the GAO decision makes clear, the President does not have the authority to withhold funding that Congress has appropriated for a specific purpose. The GAO determined "the law does not permit the President to substitute his own policy priorities for those that Congress has enacted into law. OMB withheld funds for a policy reason, which is not permitted under the Impoundment Control Act (ICA). The withholding was not a programmatic delay. Therefore, we conclude that OMB violated the ICA."²¹⁷

The OMB continued to implement the President's hold on the Ukraine security assistance despite repeated warnings starting in early August from Department of Defense (DOD) officials that further delays risked violating the ICA.²¹⁸ The OMB-directed hold on the apportionment of funds continued even after DOD warned that it could no longer guarantee that the Department would be able to obligate the funds before the end of the fiscal year, a clear violation of the ICA.²¹⁹ Ultimately, DOD failed to execute \$35 million of the \$250 million obligated for USAI before the end of the fiscal year.²²⁰

The President's Counsel have failed to produce credible evidence to support the contention that the President withheld security assistance and an Oval Office meeting from Ukraine for legitimate policy reasons. Instead, an adverse inference can be drawn that the President had no legitimate policy basis for his actions. Further, the House Impeachment Managers have established that the President acted for his own personal benefit, specifically to advance the ongoing corrupt scheme to solicit foreign interference in the 2020 presidential election.

E. The President's Solicitation of Investigations into the 2016 Campaign and Biden/Burisma Theories was Without Due Consideration of U.S. National Interests

The final element of the offense of abuse of power, as previously delineated, is that the use of official power, for personal or some other corrupt purpose, is made without due consideration for the national interest. The evidence presented at the Senate trial makes clear that in using the powers of his office to withhold valuable U.S. security assistance and an Oval Office visit for the newly-elected Ukrainian President to advance a corrupt scheme to solicit foreign interference for his personal benefit, President Trump harmed the national interest of the United States. President Trump's efforts to leverage two official acts to advance a scheme to solicit foreign interference in the 2020 election is contrary to the national interests of the United States in a number of ways.

First and foremost, President Trump's misuse of the powers of his office threatened the heart of the constitutional order itself, potentially undermining our democratic process. By pressuring Ukraine to engage in election interference through the promotion unfounded theories, President of two Trump's conduct posed an urgent danger to the integrity of our constitutional system. If the history of the 2016 election can be rewritten at the President's direction to cast doubt on Russia's interference, it invites Russia and other adversaries to interfere again in the future knowing that there will be no consequences. Similarly, it risks distorting the integrity of our electoral process if the President can leverage the power of the presidency to pressure foreign countries to commit their government resources to dig up 'dirt'' on his political opponents in order to benefit his reelection.

Second, President Trump's corrupt scheme threatened U.S. national security objectives by advancing a Russian disinformation narrative that it was Ukraine, and not Russia, that interfered in the 2016 presidential campaign. The Intelligence Community unanimously assessed that "Russian President Vladimir Putin ordered an influence campaign in 2016 aimed at the U.S. presidential election."²²¹ That assessment of the Intelligence Community was affirmed by the bipartisan Senate Select Committee on Intelligence,²²² and the Special Counsel's investigation.²²³

The perpetuation and promotion of a Russian disinformation operation undermines U.S. efforts to protect our electoral institutions from Russian interference and to build the resilience of the American people against foreign interference. Former NSC official Dr. Fiona Hill underscored the importance of countering this Russian information warfare campaign when she testified before the House Intelligence Committee on November 21, 2019. She assessed:

The impacts of the successful 2016 Russian campaign remains evident today. Our nation is being torn apart. Truth is questioned. Our highly professional expert career Foreign Service is being undermined. U.S. support for Ukraine which continues to face armed Russian aggression is being politicized. The Russian Government's goal is to weaken our country, to diminish America's global role. and to neutralize a perceived U.S. threat to Russian interests. President Putin and the Russian security services aim to counter U.S. foreign policy objectives in Europe including in Ukraine, where Moscow wishes to reassert political and economic dominance.224

Third, the President's withholding of nearly \$400 million in U.S. security assistance to Ukraine undermined U.S. national security objectives in the strategic competition with Russia, a central pillar of the Administration's own National Defense Strategy NSC official Tim Morrison stressed that "Ukraine is on the front lines of a strategic competition between the West and Vladimir Putin's revanchist Russia."²²⁵ He added. "The United States aids Ukraine and her people so they can fight Russia over there, and we don't have to fight Russia here." $^{226}\ \rm Ambas$ sador Taylor also testified on the importance of supporting Ukraine for U.S. national security interests. He stressed, "One of our national security goals is to resolve conflicts in Europe" and our aid to Ukraine is "in support of a broader strategic approach to Europe . . .," and is "to support Ukraine when it negotiates with the Russians." 227

Ambassador Taylor and other witnesses were particularly alarmed by the withholding of the security assistance because of its potential impact on Ukraine at a critical time in its conflict with Russia. As Ambassador Taylor testified, "It's one thing to try to leverage a meeting in the White House. It's another thing, I thought, to leverage security assistance to a country at war, dependent on both the security assistance and the demonstration of support. It was much more alarming."²²⁸ Ambassador Taylor further underscored the harm from withholding vital aid for Ukraine: "Security assistance was so important for Ukraine as well as our national interests, to withhold that assistance for no good reason other than help with a political campaign made no sense. It was counterproductive to all of what we had been trying to do. It was illogical. It could not be explained. It was crazy.' 229

President Trump's actions also threatened to undermine one of Ukraine's greatest assets in its conflict with Russia, the bipartisan nature of support for Ukraine in the U.S. Congress. Ambassador Taylor advised

President Zelensky's close aide Yermak, of the "high strategic value of a bipartisan support for Ukraine and the importance of not getting involved in other country's elections." ²³⁰ Ambassador Volker also emphasized the importance of the bipartisan support in Congress for U.S. policy toward Ukraine.²³¹

Finally, the President's efforts to secure investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories undermined U.S. policy promoting the rule of law and fighting corruption, which included discouraging partner governments from launching politically-motivated investigations into domestic rivals. Deputy Assistant Secretary George Kent, former Deputy Chief of Mission in Ukraine, testified to the official U.S. policies in place in countries like Ukraine and Georgia, stating that "having the President of the United States effectively ask for a political investigation of his opponent would run directly contrary" to these efforts.232 AsChairman Schiff restated on December 18, 2019:

On September 14 in Ukraine, when Ambassador Volker sat down with Andriy Yermak, the top adviser to Zelensky, and he did what he should do. He supported the rule of law, and he said: You, Andriy Yermak, should not investigate the last President, President Poroshenko, for political reasons. You should not engage in political investigations. And do you know what Yermak said: "Oh, you mean like what you want us to do with the Bidens and the Clintons?²³³

Based on the above analysis, I find that there is overwhelmingly clear and convincing evidence that elements of abuse of power have been met and that President Trump is guilty on the first Article of Impeachment.

VI. ARTICLE II: OBSTRUCTION OF CONGRESS

Article II of House Resolution 755 provides that, in the conduct of his office, the President directed the unprecedented and categorical indiscriminate defiance of subpoenas issued pursuant to the House's "sole Power of Impeachment."²³⁴ Article I provides further provides that President Trump's ordering the White House and other Executive Branch agencies and Executive Branch officials to defy House subpoenas sought "to seize and control the power of impeachment a vital constitutional safeguard vested solely in the House of Representatives." 235 I will first explain how historical and case precedent proves that obstruction of Congress is an impeachable offense. Next, I will explain how, through his indiscriminate order, President Trump sought to vitiate and in fact, did undermine, the lawful authority of Congress. Finally, I will explain how each of the arguments that the President's Counsel put forward during the Impeachment Trial to justify the President's obstruction do not amount to a lawful cause or excuse.

A. Obstruction of Congress Is An Impeachable Offense

When any one branch of government seeks to obstruct an essential function of another branch, it threatens a central feature of our republic: the separation of powers.²³⁶ In the case where a President seeks to derogate the authority of another branch, it can also undermine the President's constitutional obligation to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed." ²³⁷

President Trump continues to thwart Congress' oversight and investigative powers, which are essential constitutional functions of the Legislative Branch. In *McGrain* v. *Daugherty*, the Supreme Court firmly established that such inquiry power is "an essential and appropriate auxiliary to the legislative function" and included the ability to seek and enforce demands for information.²³⁸ The need to comply with subpoena-backed requests for information, including in an Impeachment, has been explicitly stated. In *Kilbourn* v. *Thompson*, the Supreme Court held that, "Where the question of such impeachment is before either [the House or Senate] acting in its appropriate sphere on that subject [of impeachment], we see no reason to doubt the right to compel the attendance of witnesses, and their answer to proper questions, in the same manner and by the use of the same means that courts of jus-

tice can in like cases."²³⁹ Part of Congress' broad oversight authority is the power to hold sitting presidents accountable for grave misconduct and abuses of public trust through Impeachment. Indeed, Article I, Section 2, Clause 5 of the U.S. Constitution gives the House of Representatives "the sole Power of Impeachment." 240 However, an Impeachment inquiry can only be discharged through the cooperation of the governmental branch being investigated; only this branch can provide documents and witness testimony related to its own conduct. By refusing to provide any information. President Trump is trying to stop Congress from gathering relevant information and render the Impeachment process toothless.²⁴¹ As John Quincy Adams noted, it would make a "mockery" of the Constitution's Impeachment power for Congress to have the power to impeach but "not the power to obtain the evidence and proofs on which their impeachment was based."242

The Judiciary Committee also confirmed that subverting the constitutionally vested powers of the Legislative Branch can be an impeachable offense, when it previously approved Articles of Impeachment charging President Richard Nixon with the failure to comply with duly authorized congressional subpoenas. The Judiciary Committee explained that:

In refusing to produce these papers and things, Richard M. Nixon, substituting his judgment as to what materials were necessary for the inquiry, interposed the powers of the Presidency against the lawful subpoenas of the House of Representatives, thereby assuming to himself functions and judgments necessary to the exercise of the sole power of impeachment vested by the Constitution in the House of Representatives.²⁴³

Based on the above historical and case precedent, I conclude that obstruction of Congress can be an impeachable offense. I also conclude that a sitting President commits obstruction of Congress by:

1) Contravening the lawful authority of the Legislative Branch;

2) By imposing the powers of the presidency;

3) Without lawful cause or excuse.

B. The House of Representatives Exercised Its Lawful Authority in the Impeachment Inquiry

As explained in Section V, Subsection A of this Memorandum, Congress has broad power to conduct oversight and issue demands for information, and is vested with the sole power to conduct Impeachment.

In this case, the House of Representatives was using both its lawful investigative and Impeachment authorities, when it issued lawful subpoenas leading up to and after the adoption of House Resolution 660 on October 31, 2019, which formalized the ongoing investigations into whether sufficient grounds existed for the House of Representatives to impeach President Donald John Trump.²⁴⁴

On September 9, 2019, the House Committees on Intelligence, Foreign Affairs, and Oversight and Reform (hereinafter "Investigating Committees") first announced that they would be starting an investigation into reports that President Trump and his associates might have been seeking assistance from the Ukrainian government in his bid for reelection.²⁴⁵ As part of this inquiry, the Investigating Committees requested that the White House provide documents related to the President's July 25th call with the Ukrainian President.²⁴⁶

Speaker Nancy Pelosi subsequently announced on September 24, 2019 that the House would be commencing "an official Impeachment inquiry."²⁴⁷ The Investigating Committees then subpoenaed documents and witness testimony from the White House,²⁴⁸ the Department of State,²⁴⁹ the Department of Defense,²⁵⁰ the Office of Management and Budget,²⁵¹ the Department of Energy,²⁵² and Rudy Giuliani.²²³

Once H.Res. 660 was approved by the House on October 31st, the subpoenas issued as part of the ongoing investigations leading up to the adoption of H.Res. 660 remained in full force.²²⁴ In addition, the House Intelligence Committee issued new subpoenas for witness testimony to officials at the National Security Council.²⁵⁵ White House.²⁵⁶ Office of Management and Budget,²⁵⁷ and the Office of the Vice President.²⁵⁸

As such, I conclude that there is overwhelmingly clear and convincing evidence that the House used its lawful authority in conducting its Impeachment inquiry.

C. President Trump Used the Powers of the Presidency to Subvert the Powers of Congress

President Trump used the vast powers of his office to prevent the House of Representatives from exercising its oversight authority and sole power of Impeachment. The President did so by ordering the entire Executive Branch not to cooperate with the House Impeachment inquiry. White House Counsel Pat Cipollone sent a letter to Speaker Pelosi and the Investigating Committees on October 8, 2019, declaring that "President Trump cannot permit his Administration to participate in this partisan inquiry under these circumstances."²⁵⁹ It is notable that, even before sending the October 8th letter. President Trump had made his intentions clear to obstruct any and all oversight by Congress, proclaiming, "We're fighting all the subpoenas." 260 President Trump further asserted, "As the President of the United States, I have an absolute right, perhaps even a duty, to investigate, or have investigated, CORRUPTION, and that would include asking, or suggesting, other Countries help us out!"²⁶¹ help us out!

The President's sweeping directive on October 8th had the foreseeable effect of obstructing, and in fact, did materially thwart. the House Impeachment inquiry. Following President Trump's categorical order, the Department of State,262 the Office of Management and Budget,²⁶³ the Department of Energy,²⁶⁴ and the Department of Defense²⁶⁵ failed to produce a single document in response to requests or demands for records in their possession. To date, the only documents the Executive Branch has released are summaries of President Trump's phone calls with President Zelensky on April 21, 2019²⁶⁶ and July 25, 2019.267 Even these documents are not complete. The President claimed the July 25th call is, "an exact word for word transcript of the conversation." 268 However, witness testimony from the House Impeachment inquiry shows that there were key omissions. NSC official Lt. Col. Vindman, who listened to the calls, testified that edits that he provided to the draft July 25th document based on his notes were not included in the transcript that was released. Lt. Col. Vindman's edits included a reference to Burisma and President Trump telling President Zelensky that there are recordings of Vice President Biden.²⁶⁹

Additionally, as a result of the October 8th directive, multiple Trump Administration officials have defied congressional subpoenas and refused to testify in the Impeachment proceedings.²⁷⁰ Overwhelming evidence of the President's abuse of power has come to light, despite the President's obstructionist efforts, largely because key Administration officials risked their jobs and careers to comply with subpoenas and requests issued by the House. Even in those cases, agency leadership worked to ensure that these officials would only be able to give limited testimony. In particular, the Department of State,271 the Department of Defense,272 and the Department of Energy²⁷³ prevented Executive Branch employees who did participate as witnesses from accessing documents that they identified as directly relevant to the Impeachment inquiry-including their phone records, emails, notes, and memoranda. As a result, these witnesses were denied the opportunity to have documents that could have helped them give more specific testimony, and some had to rely on their own notes and recollections.274

President Trump personally sought, through intimidation or influence, to impede the testimony of officials that cooperated with the House Impeachment inquiry. He specifically sought to interfere with the testimonies of Ambassador Gordon Sondland,²⁷⁵ Ambassador William Taylor,²⁷⁶ Ambassador Marie Yovanovitch,²⁷⁷ Lt. Col. Alexander Vindman,²⁷⁸ and Jennifer Williams.²⁷⁹

There is indeed overwhelmingly clear and convincing evidence that President Trump used the powers of his office to prevent the House from exercising its constitutionally granted authority to conduct oversight related to the Impeachment inquiry.

D. President Trump Obstructed the Impeachment Inquiry Without Lawful Cause or Excuse

Whether President Trump obstructed Congress turns on whether there is evidence that he had legal cause or excuse for his total non-cooperation with the Impeachment inquiry. I will address how each of the arguments that the President's Counsel have made in attempting to justify the President's stonewalling do not provide sufficient legal excuse for his conduct.

D.1. Validity of Congressional Subpoenas

The President's Counsel argue that subpoenas related to the Impeachment proceeding are invalid, if they were issued before the House voted to approve H.Res. 660 formalizing the Impeachment inquiry on October 31, 2019. In the President's trial brief, Counsel states that "It was entirely proper for Administration officials to decline to comply with subpoenas issued pursuant to a purported 'impeachment inquiry' before the House of Representatives had authorized any such inquiry. No House committee can issue subpoenas pursuant to the House's Impeachment power without authorization from the House itself."280 Relying on the argument that subpoenas issued prior to the passage of H.Res. 660 were invalid, the White House, Department of State, and the Department of Defense instructed current and former employees not to testify before the Investigating Committees in the Impeachment proceedings.281

The President's Counsel's argument broadly fails because it goes against well-established case law recognizing Congress' power to conduct investigations²⁸² and issues subpoenas,²⁸³ even when it is not engaged in an Impeachment. Furthermore, the standing rules of the House authorize a committee or subcommittee, with certain limitations, to issue subpoenas "[f]or the purpose of carrying out any of its functions and duties."²⁸⁴

Therefore, the relevant question on the validity of the House subpoenas does not turn on whether they were issued before or after H.Res. 660, as the President's Counsel argue. Rather, it should center on whether they were issued as part of a lawful congressional investigation.²⁸⁵ In this case, the subpoenas at issue involved the legitimate purpose of investigating whether President Trump and his associates sought assistance from the Ukrainian government to influence the 2020 election. As a result, there is convincing evidence that the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the House Foreign Affairs Committee, and the House Committee on Oversight and Reform had valid investigative and subpoena authority, even before the passage of H.Res. 660.

Even if the argument made by the President's Counsel was legitimate, the Trump Administration failed to abide by its rule. Following the President's Counsel's own logic, the President would have to recognize the validity of and comply with subpoenas issued after the Impeachment inquiry was formalized on October 31, 2019. Yet, the President did not permit officials from OMB and the National Security Council to testify even though they were subpoenaed after H.Res. 660 passed the House.²⁸⁶

D.2. Assertions of Privilege

To the extent that the President has legitimate executive privilege claims, he failed to properly assert them or to go through the proper accommodation process to keep information confidential.

D.2.a. Presidential privilege is not absolute The President's Counsel have stood by the October 8th letter from Mr. Cipollone to Speaker Pelosi declaring that the President and his Administration would not participate in the Impeachment inquiry.²⁸⁷ President Trump himself has articulated his expansive view of his powers saying, "Honestly, we have all the material . . . They don't have the material."²⁸⁸

However, in United States v. Nixon, the Supreme Court flatly rejected this kind of unlimited assertion of executive power. The Court held that "neither the doctrine of separation of powers, nor the need for confidentiality of high-level communications, without more, can sustain an absolute, unqualified Presidential privilege of immunity from judicial process under all circumstances." 289 Instead, the Court found that, in an interbranch dispute, when a claim of presidential privilege is based merely on the grounds of a generalized interest in confidentiality, "the generalized assertion of privilege must yield to the demonstrated, specific need for evidence." 290

A related D.C. Circuit Court case, Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities v. Nixon, affirmed that presidential privilege is not absolute and could be overcome by a "strong showing of need by another institution of government."²⁹¹ The Court in this case articulated the following test in making its decision: Congress in using its investigative powers may override presidential privilege when it makes the requisite showing of need that "the subpoenaed evidence is demonstrably critical to the responsible fulfillment of the Committee's function," such as a legitimate oversight or legislative purpose.²⁹²

In this case, Mr. Cipollone's October 8th letter makes clear the President intended to exercise privileges over the *whole* of the Executive Branch, regardless of whether an agency was involved in foreign policy or national security policy.²⁹³ In contrast, the Investigating Committees overwhelmingly demonstrated a particularized interest in obtaining information to ascertain whether the President used the powers of his office to solicit foreign interference on his behalf in the 2020 election. In addition, it would be hard to think of a setting where congressional need for information is greater than during an Impeachment, which is the Constitution's most potent way to hold the President accountable for his misconduct.²⁹⁴

The President's Counsel further assert that senior advisors to the President do not have to comply with congressional subpoenas because they have "absolute immunity." This doctrine of absolute immunity has also been rejected by the D.C. District Court in *House* Judiciary Committee v. Micrs²⁹⁶ and House Judiciary Committee v. McGahn.²⁹⁶

D.2.b. Accommodation of legislative branch Moreover, even if President Trump did have a legitimate need to keep information confidential, each branch of government is required to accommodate the legitimate needs of the others to maintain the separation of powers. If President Trump had a valid need to keep confidential some of the information that the House requested, the agencies and offices involved could have entered into good-faith negotiations with the House to resolve their conflicting needs. The Courts have suggested that the Framers intended dynamic compromise as the most effective way to solve disputes between the branches and that view has been affirmed by the longstanding historical practice of the branches.²⁹⁷ In United States v. AT&T, the D.C. Circuit Court held that "Under this view, the coordinate branches do not exist in an exclusively adversary relationship to one another when a conflict in authority arises. Rather, each branch should take cognizance of an implicit constitutional mandate to seek optimal accommodation through a realistic evaluation of the needs of the conflicting branches in the particular fact situation '' 29

It is this accommodation process that is the norm, not a wholesale refusal by one branch to another. "Cooperation dominates most congressional requests for information, with the executive turning over the requested information as a matter of routine."²⁹⁹ A complete breakdown in these procedures is a rarity as "information access disputes are typically worked out through one of several intermediate options" such as the Executive Branch agency providing redacted documents or requiring Congress to keep the requested information confidential.³⁰⁰ A memorandum written by the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) during the administration of President George H. W. Bush explains that "[I]f further negotiation is unavailing, it is necessary to consider asking the President to assert executive privi-"³⁰¹ Traditionally, Executive Branch lege. agency branch officials then present their case for the assertion of executive privilege to the President and the agency asks Congress to hold its request in abeyance, pending the President's decision.302

The President's Counsel claim that the Executive Branch was willing to enter into an accommodation process with the House.303 However, whereas the presumption in an inter-branch dispute is cooperation, the White House's default position has been total refusal of the House's requests for information. To this day, the Trump Administration has not turned over a single responsive document or worked to make a single witness available for questioning by Congress. The Administration has not sought an intermediate option to make information available to Congress. Nor has the Executive Branch ever formally invoked executive privilege or asked Congress to hold its requests in abeyance pending the President's decision to assert executive privilege.

D.2.c. Obstruction in Senate trial

President Trump's obstruction of Congress and his failure to resolve disputes with the Legislative Branch in good faith continued into the Senate trial, as his Administration continued to withhold the information that was subpoenaed during the House inquiry. The President's Counsel even went so far as to instruct the Senate that it could not consider the evidence the House did obtain saying that "The Senate may not rely on a corrupted factual record derived from constitutionally deficient proceedings to support a conviction of the President of the United States." ³⁰⁴

In addition, as the Senate Impeachment proceedings were underway, new and material evidence of President Trump's misconduct continued to come out. Lev Parnas, the associate of Rudy Giuliani, asserted that President Trump was fully aware of efforts to dig up "dirt" on his political rival, as were Vice President Mike Pence, Attorney General William Barr, and former Energy Secretary Rick Perry.³⁰⁵ According to news reports, it also has come to light that President Trump directed John Bolton, his thennational security adviser, to help with his pressure campaign against the Ukrainian government.³⁰⁶ Both Bolton and Parnas made it clear during the Impeachment trial that they were willing to testify before the Senate.³⁰⁷ Yet, President Trump sought to discredit both witnesses 308 and even threatened to assert executive privilege to prevent John Bolton from coming to testify and cooperating in the Impeachment trial.³⁰⁹

D.3. Purported Defectiveness of Impeachment Inquiry

The President's Counsel argue that the subpoenas issued by the House are invalid not only because of when they were issued. They argue that the Impeachment inquiry itself is defective and unauthorized and therefore any compliance is unnecessary.

The President's Counsel argue that "the House has never undertaken the solemn responsibility of a presidential impeachment inquiry without first authorizing a particular committee to begin the inquiry" and "[t]hat has also been the House's nearly unbroken practice for every judicial impeachment for two hundred years."³¹⁰

As explained in Section V, Subsection D.1 of this Memorandum, Congress' power to conduct investigations and issue subpoenas, even when not as part of an Impeachment, has been repeatedly and firmly settled by the Courts. Therefore, even if one accepts that the Impeachment investigation was invalid unless authorized by the House, it does nothing to diminish the power of the committees at hand to engage in an oversight investigation. Nor does it diminish the duty to comply with subpoenas that were issued under this oversight authority.

The President's Counsel is contradicted by the cases of President Johnson and Nixon, where a committee of jurisdiction started taking steps toward Impeachment before the full House took any action. In the Johnson Impeachment, the Judiciary Committee considered Articles of Impeachment before reporting them out for a vote by the House.³¹¹ In the case of President Nixon, the Judiciary Committee employed a Special Counsel to assist in the inquiry, before the House explicitly authorized the Committee's investigation to determine whether the House should impeach.³¹²

What's more, the President's Counsel's position appears to be that the House must authorize an Impeachment before it has gathered enough evidence to warrant one, and also that a congressional investigation which begins to produce evidence of grounds for Impeachment loses its investigative authority until the House votes to formalize the Impeachment inquiry. These arguments defy both logic and past precedent. Here, I am also persuaded by the House Impeachment Managers' argument that the Constitution grants the "sole Power of Impeachment" to the House of Representatives. In addition, the Constitution says that, "[t]he Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments."³¹³ Nowhere does the Constitution empower the President to unilaterally decide that an Impeachment is illegitimate. I conclude that investigations leading up to H.Res. 660 and the formal inquiry that continued afterward were duly authorized.

D.4. Further Litigation

The President's Counsel argue that its categorical and comprehensive defiance cannot be deemed to be obstruction of Congress because the House has not sought judicial review of the subpoenas issued as part of the Impeachment inquiry.

This argument is unconvincing given that the involvement of the Courts in information access disputes between the Legislative and Executive Branches has been rare, at least with respect to conflicts over House subpoenas. As the Congressional Research Service explains:

The traditional preference for political rather than judicial solutions seems supported by the fact that neither Congress nor the President appears to have turned to the courts to resolve an investigative dispute until the 1970s . . . The courts themselves have also generally sought to avoid adjudicating investigative disputes between the executive and legislative branches, instead encouraging settlement of their differences through a political resolution. Consistent with that approach, lower federal courts have suggested that judicial intervention in investigative disputes "should be delayed until all possibilities for settlement have been exhausted." . . . [In addition] some evidence suggests that both the House and the courts have viewed judicial involvement in an impeachment inquiry as inappropriate or in excess of the judiciary's power.314

Moreover, the argument of the President's Counsel is ineffective in the context of the dilatory tactics the Trump Administration has been using in other pending cases where the House also has subpoenaed documents. In particular, the Administration has used arguments which, if taken together, seem to assert the President cannot be held accountable by either the Judicial or Legislative Branch. These stall tactics were highlighted in a case currently pending in the D.C. Circuit Court, Committee on the Judiciary v. McGahn. In this case, the House Judiciary Committee is trying to enforce a subpoena against former White House Counsel, Don The D.C. District Court ruled McGahn. against the DOJ. which claimed that McGahn had absolute immunity from congressional subpoenas for his testimony. In its decision, the Judge compares the DOJ's inconsistent arguments in the McGahn case with a series of cases regarding congressional subpoenas for the President's tax returns. The Judge points out that the:

DOJ stood silent with respect to the jurisdictional question, as President Trump (in his personal capacity) has invoked the authority of the federal courts, on more than one occasion, seeking resolution of a dispute over the enforceability of a legislative subpoena concerning his tax returns. A lawsuit that asserts that a legislative subpoena should be quashed as unlawful is merely the flip side of a lawsuit that argues that a legislative subpoena should be enforced. And it is either DOJ's position that the federal courts have jurisdiction to review such subpoenaenforcement claims or that they do not. By arguing vigorously here that the federal courts have no subject-matter jurisdiction to entertain the Judiciary Committee's subpoena-enforcement action, yet taking no position on the jurisdictional basis for the President's maintenance of lawsuits to prevent Congress from accessing his personal records by legislative subpoena, DOJ implicitly suggests that (much like absolute testimonial immunity) the subject-matter jurisdiction of the federal courts is properly invoked only at the pleasure of the President.³¹⁵

The Judge in the *McGahn* case also noted that the DOJ made conflicting arguments in the House's lawsuit seeking grand jury evidence that contributed to former Special Counsel Robert Mueller's report. The Judge goes on to write:

During oral argument, when one of the panelists asked DOJ about the district court's subject-matter jurisdiction to entertain the House's legal action, DOJ Counsel remarked that, while the Executive branch was "not advancing that argument[,]" it believed that DOJ "certainly has both standing and jurisdiction" to seek review of the district court's injunction . . . But if DOJ's position is that the federal courts have the authority to entertain a legal claim concerning the House's contested request for allegedly privileged grand jury materials, how can it be heard to argue, nearly simultaneously. that the instant Court has no jurisdiction to entertain a legal claim concerning the enforceability of a House committee's subpoena compelling the testimony of seniorlevel presidential aides?³¹⁶

Further litigation is also problematic because, unlike Presidents Nixon and Clinton who were in their second terms. President Trump's misconduct is immediately preceding and, in anticipation of, the upcoming presidential election. The crux of President Trump's scheme was to corruptly use the vast powers of his presidency to invite foreign interference into the 2020 election in order to benefit himself politically. Allowing President Trump to delay this Impeachment through litigation would enable him to keep relevant documents and witnesses from coming out until after the 2020 election. It could also embolden him to engage in additional unfettered misconduct aimed at increasing his chances of getting reelected.

This threat to the integrity of our elections is exactly the kind of misconduct that the Framers were worried about. In George Mason's view, a risk of election fraud "furnished a peculiar reason in favor of impeachments[.]"317 Another exchange between two delegates, William Richardson Davie and James Wilson, highlights the importance of safeguarding against a corrupt president that would cheat to get reelected. Davie stated, "'[i]f he be not impeachable whilst in office, he will spare no efforts or means whatever to get himself reelected. [Davie] considered this as an essential security for the good behaviour of the Executive."³¹⁸ Wilson concurred with Davie "in the necessity of making the Executive impeachable while in office." 319

D.5. Due Process

The President's Counsel assert that the Impeachment inquiry is defective because of a lack of due process protections for President Trump. Specifically, in Mr. Cipollone's October 8th letter, he asserts that the President was entitled to due process rights during the House's Impeachment inquiry, which he was not afforded, including "the right to see all evidence, to present evidence, to call witnesses, to have Counsel present at all hearings, to cross-examine all witnesses, to make objections... and to respond to evidence and testimony."³²⁰

Procedural due process—meaning the legal procedures to be used in a proceeding—is rooted in basic constitutional principles of fundamental fairness. Determining due process of the law "require[s] . . . that state action, whether through one agency or another, shall be consistent with the fundamental principles of liberty and justice which lie at the base of all our civil and political institutions and not infrequently are designated as 'law of the land.''³²¹

In evaluating whether President Trump was afforded protections that are consistent with the "fundamental principles of liberty and justice," the analysis should center on whether he was given rights customarily given to presidents in previous Impeachments.

During the Clinton Impeachment inquiry, the President's Counsel was invited to attend all Judiciary Committee executive sessions and open hearings, was allowed to cross-examine witnesses, object to pieces of evidence, suggest that the Committee review additional evidence, and respond to evidence used by the Committee.³²² During the Nixon Impeachment inquiry, the President's Counsel was not invited to participate in the Ju-Committee's proceedings diciary until months after the inquiry's authorizing resolution was passed.³²³ Once invited, Nixon's counsel was allowed to attend the initial presentation of evidence and respond to it in later proceedings, attend later hearings with witnesses, submit requests to call witnesses. cross-examine witnesses that were called, and object to pieces of evidence 324

The House's Impeachment inquiry into President Trump afforded the President rights that were consistent with these precedents from prior presidential Impeachments. The President's Counsel was given the opportunity to participate in the House Judiciary Committee's proceedings during the impeachment inquiry. This included the right to attend every Judiciary Committee hearing; request additional witnesses during these hearings; present evidence orally or in writing; have the President's Counsel crossexamine witnesses; and raise objections during Judiciary Committee hearings.325 In a November 29th letter to the President, House Judiciary Committee Chairman Nadler inquired which of these privileges the President's Counsel wished to exercise.326 In his December 6th response, Mr. Cipollone chose not to exercise any of these rights and claimed the Impeachment inquiry violated due process rights.327

After reviewing this comparison, I conclude President Trump has been afforded as least as much due process protection as Presidents Nixon and Clinton, and therefore standards of fundamental fairness requisite for due process have been met in the current Impeachment proceeding.

Based on the above analysis, I find that there is overwhelmingly clear and convincing evidence that President Trump obstructed the House Impeachment inquiry without lawful cause or excuse and that President Trump is guilty on the second Article of Impeachment.

VII. LACK OF EVIDENTIARY RECORD

A. Senate's Role in Lack of Witnesses and Documents

As I have explained, the House of Representatives, as part of its Impeachment inquiry, subpoenaed documents and witnesses from multiple Executive Branch agencies. To date, the Administration has produced zero responsive documents. In fact, the Administration has engaged in a coordinated and systematic effort to deny relevant evidence and testimony to the House of Representatives in defiance of lawful Congressional subpoenas.³²⁸

Fortunately, patriotic and law-abiding federal employees and former officials complied with lawful subpoenas and appeared at depositions or public hearings. As described previously, testimony provided by witnesses is probative of the President's guilt on both Articles of Impeachment.

Once the Articles of Impeachment were received by the Senate, the Senate had the opportunity to utilize its own oversight and Impeachment authority pursuant to Article I of the Constitution to gather relevant documents and testimony. However, in this Impeachment trial, unlike previous ones conducted by the Senate, whether of Presidents or other officials, no witnesses were allowed.³²⁹

My Republican colleagues voted against holding a fair trial. For example, Leader McConnell initially sought to have a set of rules governing this Impeachment trial that would not have included a provision to automatically adopt the House's evidence.330 He also sought to have twenty-four hours of opening arguments over two days to speed up the trial.331 My Republican colleagues relented on these points, allowing the House Impeachment Managers and the President's Counsel to each have twenty-four hours of argument over three days.332 The Republican-authored resolution ultimately did not guarantee witnesses, only providing for a vote on whether witnesses could be heard at the end of arguments and the question period.333 From the get-go, my Republican colleagues were reluctant to have evidence and arguments put in front of the American people for judgment.

My Democratic colleagues offered eleven amendments in an effort to ensure a fair trial.³³⁴ The amendments, if adopted, would have permitted Senators and the American people to see relevant evidence and hear from witnesses. These amendments were defeated—almost entirely along party lines.³³⁵

After the question and answer portion of the Impeachment trial, the Senate voted on amendments offered by my Democratic colleagues that would have provided for witnesses and documents.³³⁶ These amendments were again defeated, largely along partisan lines.337 It is crucial to note, that this second series of votes was taken after reports that Ambassador Bolton's draft manuscript contained evidence relevant and central to the allegations in the Articles of Impeachment. Through the end of the trial, the vast majority of my Republican colleagues did not want to hear from Ambassador Bolton, other relevant witnesses, or see documents that would likely reveal evidence damaging to the President.

Further, Leader McConnell compared his approach in this trial to that of the Impeachment Trial of President Clinton, when Senators voted on whether to hear witnesses at the end of arguments.³³⁸ Leader McConnell's assertion is disingenuous considering that the Clinton Impeachment trial occurred after a lengthy and comprehensive investigation led by the then independent Counsel. Kenneth Starr, which included tens of thousands of pages of evidence and recorded testimony. During the Clinton Impeachment trial, witnesses had also previously testified in grand jury proceedings.³³⁹ There were no surprises as to what witnesses would sav. President Trump's Impeachment Trial represents a stark departure from what occurred during the Clinton Impeachment Trial and indeed, sets a damaging and devastating precedent.

VIII. CONCLUSION: REMOVAL OF PRESIDENT

TRUMP IS THE SOLE APPROPRIATE REMEDY

Conviction and removal of a President from office is a high standard, and one that should only be arrived at when there are no other remedies available. As I laid out during the 1999 Impeachment trial of President Clinton, "the independence of the Impeachment process from the prosecution of crimes underscores the function of Impeachment as a means to remove a President from office, not because of criminal behavior, but because the President poses a threat to the Constitutional order."³⁴⁰ Furthermore, during the Clinton Impeachment proceedings, I concluded that the President's improper conduct must represent a continuing threat to the American people.³⁴¹ In the current case, I have concluded that allowing President Trump to remain in office would pose such a continuing threat to our electoral system and the Constitution.

A. Subversion of the Constitutional Order and an Unaccountable President

The President's Counsel have argued that even if President Trump abused the power of his office to withhold U.S. military assistance to an ally, in order to pressure that country to conduct investigations for his personal and political benefit, doing so would not be an impeachable offense. According to the President's Counsel. "If a President does something which he believes will help him get elected-in the public interest-that cannot be the kind of quid pro quo that results in impeachment." 342 It is on this basis that the President's Counsel further argue that, even if the President did in condition security assistance fact for Ukraine on politically-motivated investigations, it would not be an impeachable offense.³⁴³ That argument violates the fundamental principle of our constitutional system that no one is above the law.

Furthermore, President Trump has shown that he will block any congressional check on his misuse of office by ignoring subpoenas as he pleases, without asserting a lawful cause. At the same time, Trump Administration lawyers have been arguing in various court cases that the Judiciary has no role in enforcing the very subpoenas from Congress that the Administration is resisting.

President Trump's defiance of both Congress and the Courts on subpoenas threatens to nullify the constitutional authority of both the House and Senate, not merely to check the personal excesses of any given president, but also to oversee the entire Executive Branch. It validates and encourages the President's strategy of large-scale obstruction of congressional inquiries. It emboldens the President to defy investigations into his misconduct and strengthens the President's determination to resist additional congressional oversight.

The result of permitting the Executive Branch to wholly disregard Congressional requests for information is not only to neuter the Impeachment power, but more profoundly, impact Congress as a fundamental check on executive mismanagement, abuse, corruption, and overreach embodied in the power of congressional oversight.

B. Ongoing Harm to the Constitutional Order

An additional basis for seeking the removal of a President from office is that his conduct poses continuing harm to the constitutional order. President Trump's solicitation of foreign election interference, based on the perpetuation and amplification of baseless and unfounded theories that harm his political opponents, serves to damage the fundamental institutions of our democracy.

President Trump's behavior was not a onetime indiscretion, but rather part of a pattern of behavior to invite foreign influence into our elections which thereby undermines the constitutional order and harms the integrity of our democracy. In 2016, then-candidate Trump called on Russia to hack the emails of his political rival, Secretary Clinton.³⁴⁴ He also promoted hacked emails from Secretary Clinton's campaign that were sto-

len by Russian Military Intelligence units, in order to benefit himself politically in the 2016 election.345 In June 2019, President Trump publicly announced that he would take information on his political rival from a foreign government.³⁴⁶ Moreover, he pressured Ukraine to announce investigations into his political opponents to benefit his 2020 campaign. Indeed, even after the House began its Impeachment inquiry and he was confronted by allegations of soliciting foreign interference, President Trump doubled down by asking China also to investigate the Bidens.³⁴⁷ In addition, as stated earlier, his personal attorney, Mr. Giuliani as recently as December 2019, was working to gather disinformation on political opponents.3

The President has in no way taken responsibility for these actions or shown that he understands the consequences of his behavior and its harm to the Constitution. After the Impeachment trial in 1999, President Clinton apologized to the nation and acted contrite. In contrast, President Trump has not, in any way, admitted wrongdoing and clings to the fiction that his call with President Zelensky was "perfect."³⁴⁹ This lack of remorse, combined with his past and present actions, leaves open the possibility that President Trump will repeat such offenses in the future.

C. Elections Cannot be the Sole Remedy

It has been argued that Impeachment and removal of the President is not the appropriate remedy when the country is roughly ten months away from an election. The President's Counsel argue that any judgment regarding the President's actions should be left to the American people when they go to the polls in November 2020. However, by soliciting foreign interference in the coming election, President Trump's actions threaten the viability of our elections and the very foundation of our constitutional order to serve as a check on the President's conduct.

The Founders were acutely aware of the dangers of foreign election interference. As Alexander Hamilton said in Federalist Paper Number 68, "[t]he desire [of] foreign powers to gain an improper ascendant in our Counwas one of "the most deadly adversels' saries of republican government." 350 The Founders knew this risk was inevitable in an election setting. In a letter to John Adams, Thomas Jefferson wrote "You are apprehensive of foreign Interference, Intrigue, Influence. So am I—But, as often as Elections happen, the danger of foreign Influence recurs."³⁵¹

I reject the notion, put forward by the President's Counsel, that a President who believes his reelection is in the best interest of the country cannot be impeached for abusing his power to tilt the next election in his favor. The Impeachment clause cannot be read to provide a carte blanche for the President to engage in illegal acts 352 that directly undermine the operation of our free and fair electoral system. The remedy for a President attempting to corrupt the next election cannot be allowing the President to corrupt that election. Even a well-intentioned autocrat is still an autocrat and not a President subject to the Constitution. If accepted as true, these views would pave the way for the type of autocratic government that the Founders feared and fought to leave behind.

For elections to express the will of the electorate, they must be free and fair. Elections must be legitimate, and the public must have confidence in them. Even the perception that our elections are tainted would lead voters to question whether their vote matters. That is why one of our jobs as lawmakers is to ensure the integrity of the electoral process. We work to ensure that every vote cast is fairly and accurately counted. We work to ensure that external forces, foreign or otherwise, cannot sway or pre-determine the outcome of the election. The United States government should not be playing a role in advancing the goals of foreign powers that seek to use our institutions to further their own interests.

Acquitting President Trump would undermine the integrity of our elections and clear the way for Russia or other countries to repeat in 2020, and beyond, the kind of election interference that the Intelligence Community unanimously assessed occurred in the 2016 election. Through acquittal, the Senate will give its blessing for President Trump to use any means at his disposal to sway the next election in his favor, with no consequences. President Trump has already demonstrated unequivocally that he has no compunction about violating the law, obstructing congressional oversight, and putting our nation and allies at risk. The difference now will be that President Trump will know that the Senate will give him cover for his future abuses of office. The ongoing threat to the constitutional order must be remedied, and therefore removal of the President is the only logical finding in this case.

ENDNOTES

1. H.R. Res. 755, 116th Cong. (2019).

2. U.S. Const. art. I, §3, cl. 6; 166 Cong. Rec. 10. S268 (daily ed. Jan. 16, 2020).

3. The Federalist No. 65, at 441 (Alexander Hamilton) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961) (emphasis in original).

4. U.S. Const. art. I, §3, cl. 6.

U.S. Const. art. II, §4.
 U.S. Const. art III, §3, cl. 1.

7. Staff of H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 116th Cong., Rep. on Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment 14 (Comm. Print 2019)

8. 2 Sir William Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England 2152 (William Carey Jones ed., 1976).

9. Id. at 2153.

10. Charles Doyle, Cong. Research Serv., 98-882, Impeachment Grounds: A Collection of Selected Materials 4 (1998).

11. The Federalist No. 65, supra note 3, at 439 (emphasis in original).

12. 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787 550 (Max Farrand ed., 1911).

13. Id.

14. 4 The Debates in the Several State Conventions on the Adoption of the Federal Constitution 113 (Jonathon Elliot ed., 2nd ed. 1861).

15. Michael J. Gerhardt, The Federal Impeachment Process: A Constitutional and Historical Analysis 21 (3rd ed. The University of Chicago Press 2019) (1996).

16. 2 Joseph Story, Commentaries on the Constitutions 799 at 269-70 quoting William Rawle, A View of the Constitution of the United States at 213 (2d ed. 1829).

17. Staff of H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 93rd Cong., Rep. on Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment 27 (Comm. Print 1974).

18. 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, supra note 12, at 64-65.

19. Id. at 550

20. Id.

21. Id.

22. Id. 23 Id. at 551

24. Id. at 600.

25. Trial Memorandum of President Donald

J. Trump, In Proceedings Before the United States Senate 1 (Jan. 20, 2020).

26. U.S. Const. art. I, §3, cl. 7.

27. 1 The Collected Works of James Wilson 736 (Kermit L. Hall and Mark David Hall eds., 2007).

28. Memorandum from William Barr, Attorney General, Department of Justice, to Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, and Steve Engel, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice 12 (June 8, 2018) (on file with the New York Times) (emphasis in original).

29. 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, supra note 12, at 65-66.

30. The Federalist No. 68, at 458-459 (Alexander Hamilton) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961); 1 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787 319 (Max Farrand, ed., 1911); 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, supra note 12, at 271-272.

31. 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, supra note 12, at 268.

32. The Federalist No. 22, at 142 (Alexander Hamilton) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961). 33. Id.

34. Charles L. Black, Jr. & Philip Bobbit, Impeachment: A Handbook, New Edition 17 (2018).

35. The Federalist No. 65, supra note 3, at 441; Laurence Tribe & Joshua Matz, To End a Presidency: The Power of Impeachment 127 (2018).

36. The Federalist No. 65, supra note 3, at 441.

37. Id. at 442.

38. Opinion Memorandum of United States Senator John F. Reed, Trial of President William Jefferson Clinton 1 (Feb. 14, 1999).

39. 145 Cong. Rec. 6, S260 (daily ed. Jan. 15, 1999) (statement of Mr. Manager McCollum). 40. Opinion Memorandum of U.S. Senator

John F. Reed, supra note 38, at 6. 41. Id.

42. Black & Bobbitt, supra note 34.

43. Id. (Black's analysis is cited by several other scholars as persuasive; See e.g., Laurence Tribe and Joshua Matz, To End a Presidency: The Power of Impeachment 137 (2018). 44. 2 The Records of the Federal Conven-

tion of 1787, supra note 12, at 67. 45. The Federalist No. 69, at 463 (Alexander

Hamilton) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961).

46. 2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, supra note 12, at 65.

47. Id. at 67.

48. H.R. Rep. No. 93-1305, at 139 (1974)

49. S. Doc. No. 58-133, at 5 (1905); S. Doc. No. 69-101, at 1 (1926); S. Doc. No. 72-215, at 2 (1933). These judges were district judges Charles Swayne of Florida, George English of Illinois, and Harold Louderback of California.

50. James M. Naughton, Nixon Says a President Can Order Illegal Actions Against Dissidents, Special to N.Y. Times, May 19, 1977, available at https://www.nytimes.com/1977/05/ 19/archives/nixon-says-a-president-can-orderillegal-actions-against-dissidents.html.

51. Black's Law Dictionary 13 (11th ed. 2019).

52. H.R. Rep. No. 93-1305, at 139 (1974).

53. Id. at 3, 139-40.

54. Id. at 4, 139, 140.

55. Id. at 180.

56. H.R. Rep. No. 116-346, at 5 (2019).

57. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 40 (2019) (statement of Dr. Fiona Hill). (On November 21, 2019, NSC senior adviser Fiona Hill described the theory of Ukrainian interference in the 2016 election as "a fictional narrative that is being perpetrated and propagated by the Russian security services themselves.")

58. Scott Shane, How a Fringe Theory About Ukraine Took Root in the White House, N.Y. Times, Oct. 3, 2019, https://www.nytimes.com/ 2019/10/03/us/politics/trump-ukraine-conspiracy.html.

59. Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Intelligence Council, Assessing Russian Activities in Recent US Elections ii (2017). (The Intelligence Community unanimously concluded on January 6, 2017, that Russia interfered in the 2016 election to "undermine public faith in the US democratic process, denigrate Secretary Clinton and her electability and potential Presidency." The Intelligence Community further assessed that "Putin and the Russian Government developed a clear preference for President-elect Trump.")

60. 1 Robert S. Mueller, III, Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election 1-2 (Mar., 2019). (The Special Counsel's investigation into Russian interference in the 2016 concluded that "... the Russian government perceived it would benefit from a Trump presidency and worked to secure that outcome, and that the campaign expected it would benefit electorally from information stolen and released through Russian efforts . . .'')

61. 2 Robert S. Mueller, III, Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election 23 (Mar., 2019). 62. 1 Mueller, supra note 60, at 1.

63. Interview of: George Kent Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 268 and 275 (2019).

64. Interview of Kurt Volker Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on ${\it Oversight}$ and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 37 (2019). (As part of Biden's role as the lead on Ukraine policy for the Obama Administration, he called for institutional reform in the justice sector, including the firing of then Prosecutor General Victor Shokin. The Obama administration had urged his resignation because he was not actively investigating serious cases of corruption, and threatened to withhold \$1 billion in loan guarantees. The call for Shokin to resign was the unanimous position of the United States and the West. Multiple witnesses testified that Vice President Biden was acting in accordance with bipartisan US policy towards Ukraine. For example, Ambassador Volker stated: "When Vice President Biden made those representations . . . he was representing U.S. policy at the time."); Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Kurt Volker and Timothy Morrison Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 20 (2019) (statement of Amb. Volker). (Ambassador Volker testified at his public hearing, "it's not credible to me that former Vice President Biden would have been influenced in any way by financial or personal motives in carrying out his duties as Vice President."); Daryna Krasnolutska, Kateryna Choursina and Stephanie Baker, Ukraine Prosecutor Saus No Evidence of Wrongdoing by Bidens, Bloomberg, May 16, 2019, https:// www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-05-16/ ukraine-prosecutor-says-no-evidence-of-

wrongdoing-by-bidens. (Allegations of wrong doing by Hunter Biden have also been found to be without merit including by then Prosecutor General Lutsenko who stated in mid-May 2019, that he had found no evidence of wrongdoing by Hunter Biden, recanting his previous allegations.)

65. See e.g. Arlette Saenz, Joe Biden Announces He is Running for President in 2020, CNN, Apr. 25, 2019, https://www.cnn.com/2019/ 04/25/politics/joe-biden-2020-president/

index html (Vice President Biden declared his candidacy for president on April 25, 2019, following months of speculation about whether he would run and being cast by the press as a formidable rival to President Trump.)

66. Trial Memorandum of the United States House of Representatives, In the Impeachment Trial of President Donald J. Trump 3 (Jan. 18, 2020).

67. Kenneth P. Vogel, *Rudy Giuliani Plans Ukraine Trip to Push for Inquires that Could Help Trump*, N.Y. Times, May 9, 2019, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/05/09/us/politics/

giuliani-ukraine-trump.html. (According to Mr. Giuliani, the President was fully witting of the Mr. Giuliani's activities to further the scheme. Mr. Giuliani told the *New York Times* that the President, "basically knows what I'm doing, sure, as his lawyer," and, "[m]y only client is the president of the United States. He's the one I have an obligation to report to, tell him what happened.")

68. See generally Karen Freifeld & Aram Roston, Exclusive: Trump Lawyer Giuliani was Paid \$500,000 to Consult on Indicted Associate's Firm. Reuters, Oct. 14, 2019, https:// www reuters com/article/us-usa-trump-whistleblower-giuliani-excl/exclusive-trump-lawyer-giuliani-was-paid-500000-to-consult-on-indicted-associates-firm-idUSKBN1WU07Z; Rosalind S. Helderman, Josh Dawsey, Paul Sonne and Tom Hamburger. How Two Soviet-Born Emigres Made it into Elite Trump Circlesand the Center of the Impeachment Storm, Washington Post, Oct. 12, 2019, https:// www.washingtonpost.com/politics/how-twosoviet-born-emigres-made-it-into-elitetrump-circles-and-the-center-of-the-impeachment-storm/2019/10/12/9a3c03be-ec53-

11e9-85c0-85a098e47b37_story.html; Kenneth P. Vogel, Ben Protess and Sarah Maslin Nir, *Behind the Deal that put Giuliani Together with a Dirt-Hunting Partner*, N.Y. Times, Nov. 6, 2019, https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/06/us/ politics/ukraine-giuliani-charles-

gucciardo.html; United States of America v. Lev Parnas, Igor Fruman, David Correia, And Andrey Kukushkin, Defendants. No. 19 CRIM 725 (S.D.N.Y filed October 9, 2019). (In the spring of 2018, Soviet born businessmen Lev Parnas and Igor Fruman had multiple contacts with President Trump and his associates. Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman donated \$325,000 to the pro-Trump Super Pac America First Action through an LLC. Through those contacts, they forged a relationship with Trump personal attorney Rudy Giuliani. In August, 2018, Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman hired Giuliani for \$500,000 to provide legal advice for their company "Fraud Guarantee." Press reports indicate that Fraud Guarantee appears to have no customers. On October 10, 2019 a federal indictment from the Southern District of New York charged Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman with funneling illegal campaign contributions from foreign donors to U.S. government officials and political action committees.)

69. See Kim Hjelmgaard, Ukraine Opens Case A gainstFormer Prosecutor Yuriu Lutsenko, USA Today, Oct. 1, 2019, https:// www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2019/10/ 01/ukraine-opens-case-against-ex-prosecutoryuriy-lutsenko/3828779002/. (Mr. Lutsenko was fired in late August 2019 by newly-elected President Zelensky. In October 2019, Ukraine's State Bureau of investigations (SBI) opened criminal proceedings against Mr. Lutsenko over possible abuse of power charges, stemming from illegal gambling operations.)

70. See Christopher Miller, Why was Ukraine''s Top Prosecutor Fired? The Issue at the Heart of the Dispute Gripping Washington, Radio Free Europe, Sep. 24, 2019, https:// www.rferl.org/a/why-was-ukraine-top-prosecutor-fired-viktor-shokin/30181445.html.

(Mr. Shokin had served as the Prosecutor General during the Poroshenko administration from February 2015-March 2016. In the fall of 2015, the Obama Administration grew concerned that Mr. Shokin, despite promises to increase anti-corruption investigations, had not followed through, including on promises to investigate corruption allegations against the Ukrainian energy company Burisma. In March 2016, Vice President

Biden called for Mr. Shokin to be fired and told Ukrainian authorities that the United States would withhold \$1 billion in loan guarantees if he was not relieved of his position. The U.S. position that Mr. Shokin should be removed and replaced with a prosecutor general that was dedicated to institutional reforms was coordinated with European allies and partners and held popular support inside Ukraine. On March 29, 2016, the Ukrainian Rada (parliament) voted overwhelmingly in approval of President Poroshenko's decision to fire Mr. Shokin): Interview of: George Kent, supra note 63, at 45. (Regarding Mr. Shokin, Deputy Assistant Secretary Kent, a leading authority on rule of law and anti-corruption efforts, assessed in his deposition, "There was a broad-based consensus that he [Shokin] was a typical Ukraine prosecutor who lived a lifestyle far in excess of his government salary, who never prosecuted anybody known for having committed a crime, and having covered up crimes that were known to have been committed.")

71. Interview of: George Kent, supra note 63. at 47. (The Skype call between Mr. Shokin and Mr. Giuliani occurred after Mr. Shokin was denied a visa to travel to the United States, based on his record of corrupt dealings. Deputy Assistant Secretary George Kent testified that the State Department objected to the visa because Mr. Shokin was 'very well and very unfavorably known to us. And we felt, under no circumstances, should a visa be issued to someone who knowingly subverted and wasted U.S. taxpayer money." Mr. Kent further testified that White House aide Robert Blair called to follow up on why Shokin was denied a visa.); Deposition of: Marie "Masha" Yovanovitch, Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 264-265 (2019). (Ambassador Yovanovitch stated at her closed-door interview, "The embassy had received a visa application for a tourist visa from Mr. Shokin, the previous prosecutor general. And he said that he was coming to visit his children, who live in the United States . . . The consular folks . . . got the application, recognized the name. and believed he was ineligible for a visa. based on his . . . corrupt activities . . so I alerted Washington to this, that this had happened. And the next thing we knew. Mayor Giuliani was calling the White House as well as the Assistant Secretary of Consular Affairs, saying that I was blocking the visa for Mr. Shokin, and that Mr. Shokin was coming to meet him to provide information about corruption at the embassy, including my corruption.")

72. Notes from Interview with Mr. Shokin, Rudolph Giuliani (Jan. 23, 2019) (on file with the State Department).

73. Id. 74. Id.

75. See Stephanie Baker & Irina Reznik. To Win Giuliani's Help, Oligarch's Allies Pursued Biden Dirt, Bloomberg, Oct. 18, 2019, https:// www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-10-18/ to-win-giuliani-s-help-oligarch-s-allies-pursued-biden-dirt. (In early September 2019, Shokin swore in an affidavit that Vice President Biden pressured the Poroshenko administration to fire him to protect Hunter Biden. He further testified that he was forced out because he was leading "a wide ranging corruption probe" of Burisma and that he was "forced to leave office, under direct and intense pressure from Joe Biden and the U.S. Administration." At the beginning of the affidavit, Shokin wrote that he was making the statement at the request of lawyers acting for pro-Putin Ukrainian oligarch Dmitry Firtash, who has a history of acting as a Russian agent and in July 2019, retained the

pro-Trump legal team Victoria Toensing and Joe DiGenova, who have been working in coordination with Giuliani to further the corrupt scheme. As part of his legal representation, Mr. Firtash retained Giuliani associate Lev Parnas to be his translator. Furthermore, court filings indicate that Mr. Firtash wired Mr. Parnas's wife a million dollars through an intermediary. It must be further noted that Mr. Giuliani referenced that Ms. Toensing would accompany him to the meeting he requested with then President- elect Zelensky in mid-May. While the letter did not state the purpose of the requested meeting, Mr. Giuliani stated publicly that he intended to tell President Zelensky to pursue the investigation.): See also Letter from Rudolph Giuliani to Volodymyr Zelensky, President-Elect, Ukraine (May 10, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence): Christian Berthelsen, Giuliani Allu Got \$1 Million from Ukrainian Oligarch's Law-Bloomberg, Dec. 17, 2019, https:// uer, www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-12-17/ firtash-lawyer-was-source-of-1-million-toparnas-giuliani-ally

76. Andy Heil & Christopher Miller, U.S. Rejects Ukraine Top Prosecutor's 'Don't Prosecute' Accusation, Radio Free Europe, Mar. 21, 2019, https://www.rferl.org/a/us-rejects-top-ukrainian-prosecutors-dont-prosecute-accusation/ 29834853.html. (On March 21, a State Department spokesperson responded: "The allegations by the Ukrainian prosecutor-general are not true and intended to tarnish the reputation of Ambassador Yovanovitch.")

77. Staff of H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong., Rep. on The Trump-Ukraine Impeachment Inquiry 44 (Comm. Print 2019). (The House Committees who led the impeachment investigation. "uncovered evidence of close ties and frequent contacts between Mr. Solomon and Mr. Parnas, who was assisting Mr. Giuliani in connection with his representation of the President."): Adam Entous, The Ukrainian Prosecutor Behind Trump's Impeachment, The New Yorker, Dec. 16. 2019. https://www.newyorker.com/ magazine/2019/12/23/the-ukrainian-prosecutorbehind-trumps-impeachment. (In December 2019, Giuliani affirmed coordination with Hill columnist John Solomon: "I said, 'John [Solomon], let's make this as prominent as possible . . . I'll go on TV. You go on TV. You do columns.' ")

78. See John Solomon, As Russia Collusion Fades, Ukrainian Plot to Help Clinton Emerges, The Hill, Mar. 20, 2019, https://thehill.com/ opinion/campaign/435029-as-russia-collusionfades-ukrainian-plot-to-help-clinton-

emerges; John Solomon, US Embassy Pressed Ukraine to Drop Probe of George Soros Group During the 2016 election, The Hill, Mar. 26, 2019, https://thehill.com/opinion/campaign/ 435906-us-embassy-pressed-ukraine-to-dropprobe-of-george-soros-group-during-2016;

John Solomon, Joe Biden''s 2020 Ukrainian Nightmare: A Closed Probe is Revived, The Hill, Apr. 1, 2019, https://thehill.com/opinion/ white-house/436816-joe-bidens-2020-ukrainiannightmare-a-closed-probe-is-revived; John Solomon, Ukrainian to U.S. Prosecutors: Why Don't You Want Our Evidence on Democrats?, The Hill, Apr. 7, 2019, https://thehill.com/ opinion/white-house/437719-ukrainian-to-usprosecutors-why-dont-you-want-our-evi-

dence-on-democrats; (John Solomon wrote the above columns based on the disinformation that Mr. Giuliani gathered from Mr. Shokin, Mr. Lutsenko and others.) 79 See Donald Τ. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Mar. 20, 2019, 10:40 PM). https://twitter.com/ realdonaldtrump/status/1108559080204001280. (For instance, President Trump promoted a link to Solomon's column from March 20. 2019).

80. See Donald Trump, Jr. (@DonaldJTrumpJr), Twitter (Apr. 2, 2019, 7:52 AM), https://twitter.com/donaldjtrumpjr/ status/1113046659456528385. (Donald Trump Jr. retweeted Solomon's April 1 column on April 2, 2019.)

81. See Rudy Giuliani (@RudyGiuliani). Twitter (Mar. 22, 2019, 11:38 AM), https://twitter.com/RudyGiuliani/status/

1109117167176466432. (On March 22.Mr. Giuliani tweeted an allegation from the article: "Hillary, Kerry, and Biden people colluding with Ukrainian operatives to make money and affect 2016 election.")

82. Interview by Sean Hannity with Donald Trump, President, United States (Apr. 25, 2019). (Mr. Hannity asked the President if the people of the United States needed to see the evidence Ukraine has with regards to Ukraine colluding with Hillary Clinton's campaign. President Trump responded, ". . . I think we do." He went on to claim that that, "People have been saying . . . the concept of Ukraine, they have been talking about it actually for a long time . . .

83. Interview by Howard Kurtz with Rudolph Giuliani (Apr. 7, 2019). (For instance, on April 7, 2019, Mr. Giuliani stated on Fox News, "I got information about three or four months ago that a lot of the explanations for how this whole phony investigation started will be in the Ukraine, that there were a group of people in the Ukraine that were working to help Hillary Clinton and were colluding really . . . And then all of a sudden, they revealed the story about Burisma and Biden's son . . . [Vice President Biden] bragged about pressuring Ukraine's president to firing [sic] a top prosecutor who was being criticized on a whole bunch of areas but was conducting an investigation of this gas company which Hunter Biden served as a director . .

84. Trial Memorandum of the United States House of Representatives, supra note 66, at SMF 4.

Deposition of: "Masha" 85. Marie Yovanovitch, Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreian Affairs, 116th Cong. 131 (2019). (Ambassador Yovanovitch testified that Deputy Secretary of State John Sullivan informed her that "the President had lost confidence, and I would need to depart my post . . . And he said, you've done nothing wrong. And he said that he had to speak to ambassadors who had been recalled for cause before and this was not that.")

86. Adam Entous, The Ukrainian Prosecutor Behind Trump's Impeachment, The New Yorker, Dec. 16, 2019, https://www.newyorker.com/ magazine/2019/12/23/the-ukrainian-prosecutorbehind-trumps-impeachment.

87. Text Message from Yuriy Lutsenko, Prosecutor General, Ukraine, to Lev Parnas (Mar. 22, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence).

88. Interview by Rachel Maddow with Lev Parnas (Jan. 16, 2020).

89. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57, at 40; Vladimir Putin, President, Russia, Remarks in Joint News Conference with Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban (Feb. 2, 2017). (Russian President Vladimir Putin publicly accused Ukraine of interfering to support Secretary Clinton in 2016. On February 2, 2017 Putin stated: "As we all know, during the presidential campaign in the United States, the Ukrainian government adopted a-unilateral position in favor of one candidate. More than that, certain oligarchs, certainly with the approval of the political leadership, funded . this female candidate.")

90. Luke Barr & Alexander Mallin, FBI Director Pushes Back on Debunked Conspiracy Theory About 2016 Election Interference, ABC News, Dec. 9, 2019, https://abcnews.go.com/ Politics/fbi-director-pushes-back-debunkedconspiracy-theory-2016/story?id=67609244.

91. Chris Grancescani, President Trump's Former National Security Advisor 'Deeply Disturbed' by Ukraine Scandal: 'Whole World is Watching', ABC News, Sept. 29, 2019, https:// abcnews.go.com/Politics/president-trumpsnational-security-advisor-deeply-disturbedukraine/story?id=65925477. (Mr. Tom Bossert, President Trump's former Homeland Security Adviser stated in a Press interview that the Crowdstrike allegations are, "completely debunked." Mr. Bossert further stated, "The United States government reached its conclusion on attributing to Russia the DNC hack in 2016 before it even communicated it to the FBL before it ever knocked on the door at the DNC. So a server inside the DNC was not relevant to our determination to the attribution. It was made up front and beforehand."

92 Allan Smith 'Enough' Trump's Ex-Homeland Security Adviser 'Disturbed,' 'Frustrated' by Ukraine Allegations, Says President Must Let 2016 Go, NBC News, Sept. 29, 2019. https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donaldtrump/enough-trump-s-former-homeland-security-adviser-disturbed-ukraine-allegations-n1060051.

93. See 166 Cong. Rec. 17, S596-98 (daily ed. 27, 2020) (Statement of Ms. Counsel Jan. Bondi); See generally Adam Entous, Will Hunter Biden Jeopardize his Father's Campaign?, Jul. 1. 2019.Yorker. New https:// www.newyorker.com/magazine/2019/07/08/willhunter-biden-jeopardize-his-fathers-campaign: Michael Kranish & David L. Stern, As Vice President Biden Said Ukraine Should Increase Gas Production. Then His Son Got a Job at a Ukrainian Gas Company., Washington 22 Post Jul 2019 https:// www.washingtonpost.com/politics/as-vicepresident-biden-said-ukraine-should-increase-gas-production-then-his-son-got-ajob-with-a-ukrainian-gas-company/2019/07/21/ f599f42c-86dd-11e9-98c1-

e945ae5db8fb_story.html; Lucien Bruggeman, Biden Sought to Avoid a Conflict of Interest Before the 2008 Campaign: Court Records, ABC News, Oct. 8, 2019, https://abcnews.go.com/ Politics/joe-bidens-effort-dodge-sons-conflict-interest-backfired/story?id=66371399; Glen Kessler, GOP Tries to Connect Dots on Biden and Ukraine, but Comes Up Short, Washington Post, Dec. 4, 2019.https:// www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2019/12/04/ gop-tries-connect-dots-biden-ukraine-comesup-short/. (The President's Counsel made assertions of the appearance of conflict of interest, but did not produce evidence that Hunter Biden broke the laws of the United States or Ukraine or that Vice President Biden acted corruptly in calling for the removal of then Prosecutor General Victor Shokin. Multiple media outlets have also undertaken investigations into the allegations regarding Vice President Biden and Hunter Biden, and produced no evidence of wrongdoing)

94. Interview of: Kurt Volker Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 36-37 (2019)

95. Alan Cullison, Bidens in Ukraine: An Explainer, Wall Street Journal, Sept. 22, 2019, https://www.wsj.com/articles/bidens-

anticorruption-effort-in-ukraine-overlappedwith-sons-work-in-country-11569189782. (For example, Ukraine expert Anders Aslund from the Atlantic Council recalls, "Everyone in Western community wanted Shokin the sacked. The whole G-7, the IMF, the EBRD, everybody was united that Shokin must go. and the spokesman for this effort was Joe Biden.")

96. Geoffrey Pyatt, then-U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine, Remarks at the Odesa Financial Forum in Odesa, Ukraine (Sept. 24, 2015). (In the fall of 2015, the Obama Administration

grew concerned that Shokin, despite promises to increase anti-corruption investigations, had not followed through with enacting forms. For example, on September 24, 2015, then US Ambassador to Ukraine Geoffrey Pyatt stated publicly that Shokin's office "not only did not support investigations into corruption, but rather undermined prosecutors working on legitimate corruption cases." Ambassador Pyatt specifically brought up Burisma as an example of an investigation that had languished under Shokin's tenure as Prosecutor General.)

97. See Joe Biden, then-Vice President, United States, Remarks to the Ukrainian Rada in Kyiv, Ukraine (Dec. 9, 2015). (On December 9, 2015, Vice President Biden stated in front of the Ukrainian Parliament (Rada): ... you cannot name me a single democracy in the world where the cancer of corruption is prevalent. You cannot name me one. They are thoroughly inconsistent. And it's not enough to set up a new anti-corruption bureau and establish a special prosecutor fighting corruption. The Office of the General Prosecutor desperately needs reform. The judiciary should be overhauled. The energy sector needs to be competitive, ruled by market principles-not sweetheart deals.") 98. 166 Cong. Rec. 20, S727 (daily ed. Jan. 30, 2020) (statement of Mr. Counsel Philbin).

99. Entous, supra note 86.

100. See UNIAN, Ukrainian Prosecutor General Lutsenko Admits U.S. Ambassador Didn't Give Him a Do Not Prosecute List, Apr. 18, 2019, https://www.unian.info/politics/10520715ukraine-prosecutor-general-lutsenko-admitsu-s-ambassador-didn-t-give-him-a-do-notprosecute-list.html; Daryna Krasnolutska, Kateryna Choursina and Stephanie Baker, Ukraine Prosecutor Says No Evidence of Wrongdoing by Bidens, Bloomberg, May 16, 2019, https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/ 2019-05-16/ukraine-prosecutor-says-no-evidence-of-wrongdoing-by-bidens; Michael Birnbaum, David L. Stern and Natalie Gryvnyak, Former Ukraine Prosecutor Says Hunter Biden 'Did Not Violate Anything', Washington Post, Sept. 26, 2019, https:// www.washingtonpost.com/world/europe/ former-ukraine-prosecutor-says-hunterbiden-did-not-violate-anything/2019/09/26/ 48801f66-e068-11e9-be7f-

4cc85017c36f story.html; Andrew E. Kramer, Andrew Higgins and Michael Schwirtz, The Ukrainian Ex-Prosecutor Behind the Impeachment Furor, N.Y. Times, Oct. 5, 2019. https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/10/05/world/europe/

ukraine-prosecutor-trump.html. (On April 21. 2019. Mr. Lutsenko admitted that the claim he made about U.S. ambassador Yovanovitch was false. In May 2019, Mr. Lutsenko said there was no evidence of wronging by Vice President Biden or his son. In September 2019, Mr. Lutsenko said that Hunter Biden did not violate Ukrainian laws. In October 2019, Mr. Lutsenko told the New York Times, "I understood very well what would interest them . . . I have 23 years in politics. I knew. I am a political animal.") 101. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94,

at 354.

102. Vogel. supra note, 67.

103. Id.

104. Id. (Mr. Giuliani said, "He basically knows what I am doing, sure, as his lawyer.")

105. Letter from Rudolph Giuliani to Arsen Avakov, Minister of Internal Affairs, Ukraine (May 10, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence). (The letter was provided to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and was made public on January 14, 2020. In the letter, Mr. Giuliani wrote, "I will be accompanied by my colleague Victoria Toensing, a distinguished American attorney who is very familiar with this matter."); Jo Becker, Walt

Bogdanich, Maggie Haberman, and Ben Protess, Why Giuliani Singled out 2 Ukrainian Oligarchs to Help Look for Dirt, N.Y. Times, Nov. 25, 2019, https://www.nytimes.com/2019/ 11/25/us/giuliani-ukraine-oligarchs.html; (As noted prior, Victoria Toensing, along with her Partner Joe DiGenova, were retained by pro-Putin Ukrainian oligarch Dmitry Firtash in July 2019. Facing extradition related to a bribery charge in Chicago in 2014, Mr. Firtash was convinced by Mr. Giuliani and his associates to get new legal representation to better ingratiate himself with the leadership at the Department of Justice under the Trump Administration. Mr. Firtash told the New York Times that Mr. Parnas and Mr. Fruman told him: "We may help you, we are offering you good lawyers in D.C. who might represent you and deliver this message to the U.S. DOJ." Mr. Firtash said that his contract to Ms. Toensing and Mr. DiGenova was \$300,000 per month. Mr. Parnas's lawyer told the New York Times. "Per Mr. Giuliani's instructions, Mr. Parnas told Mr. Firtash that Ms. Toensing and Mr. DiGenova were interested in collecting information on the Bidens.")

106. See Eliana Johnson, Darren Samuelsohn, Andrew Restuccia, and Daniel Lippman, Trump: Discussing a Biden Probe with Barr Would Be 'Appropriate', Politico, May 10, 2019, https://www.politico.com/story/ 2019/05/10/trump-biden-ukraine-barr-1317601.

107. Charles Creitz, Giuliani Cancels Ukraine Trip, Says He'd Be "Walking into a Group of People that are Enemies of the US,", Fox News, May 11, 2019, https://www.foxnews.com/politics/giuliani-i-am-not-going-to-ukraine-because-id-be-walking-into-a-group-of-peoplethat-are-enemies-of-the-us.

108. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 305; Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 8, 21 (2019) (statement of Amb. Sondland).

109. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 31. Interview of: Ambassador Gordon Sondland Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 90 (2019).

110. Interview of: Ambassador Gordon Sondland Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 91–92 (2019).

111. Id. at 71.

112. Id. at 22.

113. Deposition of: William B. Taylor Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 23 (2019) (statement of Amb. Taylor).

114. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 27 (2019) (statement of Amb. Sondland).

115. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57, at 92.

116. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland, supra note 114, at 18.

117. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Kurt Volker and Timothy Morrison Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 18 (2019) (statement of Mr. Morrison).

118. Id. at 41.

119. Id. at 94.

120. Id. at 19.

121. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57, at 65–66. 122. Id. at 66.

123. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 19 (2019).

124. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57, at 66.

125. Id. at 67.

126. See Releases Under FOIA, Just Security (Dec. 20, 2019) (on file at https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/6590667/

CPI-v-DoD-Dec-20-2019-Release.pdf). (Released emails show that the OMB official Mike Duffey sent Acting Comptroller Elaine McCusker a copy of the Washington Examiner article on June 19, 2019 and said the President "has asked about this funding release."); Eric Lipton, Maggie Haberman and Mark Mazzetti. Behind the Ukraine Aid Freeze: 84 Days of Conflict and Confusion, N.Y. Times. Dec. 29. 2019 https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/12/29/us/politics/

trump-ukraine-military-

aid.html?wpisrc=nl_powerup&wpmm=1. (The New York Times reported that OMB Officials learned President Trump had "a problem with the aid" on June 19, 2019. The report further indicates: "Typical of the Trump White House, the inquiry was not born of a rigorous policy process. Aides speculated that someone had shown Mr. Trump a news article about the Ukraine assistance and he demanded to know more . . . [Acting OMB Director Russell] Vought and his team took to Google, and came upon a piece in the conservative Washington Examiner saying that the Pentagon would pay for weapons and other military equipment for Ukraine, bringing American security aid to the country to \$1.5 billion since 2014."

127. Deposition of: Mark Sandy Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 39 (2019). (OMB official Mark Sandy testified that he received an email on July 12, 2019, forwarded from White House aide Robert Blair, which stated that the President had directed a hold on Ukraine security assistance.); Deposition of: Jennifer Williams Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. On Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 55 (2019) (Vice Presidential aide, Jennifer Williams testified that she learned of a hold on State Department security assistance funds (FMF) on July 3, 2019.)

128. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57, at 26. (Multiple witnesses testified to this announcement occurring at the July 18 interagency meeting on Ukraine, including Political Counselor to US Embassy in Ukraine, David Holmes.).

129. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador William B. Taylor and Mr. George Kent Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 35 (2019). (For instance, Ambassador Taylor testified the directive had come from the President to the Chief of Staff to OMB, "but could not say why.")

130. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman, supra note 123, at 14-15. (For instance, Vice Presidential aide Williams testified that from when she first learned about the hold on July 3, 2019, until it was lifted on September 11, 2019, she never came to understand why President Trump ordered the hold.); Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alexander S. Vindman Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence. Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 306 (2019). (Similarly, NSC official Lt Col Vindman testified, none of the "facts on the ground" changed before the President lifted the hold.)

131. 166 Cong. Rec. 19, S688 (daily ed. Jan. 29, 2020) (statement of Mr. Manager Crow).

132. Deposition of: Mark Sandy Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 51 (2019). (For instance, OMB official Mark Sandy testified that he conferred with other officials such as Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary (Comptroller) Elaine McCusker,

"[t]he nature of the communication was that—how could we institute a temporary hold consistent with the Impoundment Control Act."); Deposition of: Laura Katherine Cooper Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 47 (2019). (Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Laura Cooper testified that at an interagency meeting soon after learning that the hold was implemented for Ukraine security assistance the "deputies began to raise concerns about how this [the hold] could be done a legal fashion . ..") 133. Corev Welt, Cong. Research Serv.

133. Corey Welt, Cong. Research Serv., R45008, Ukraine: Background Conflict with Russia and U.S. Policy 30 (2019).

134. Deposition of: Laura Katherine Cooper Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 47 (2019). (Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Cooper further explained that the conversation, "reflected a sense that there was not an understanding of how this [the hold] could legally play out," and that "there was not an available [legal] mechanism to simply not spend money" authorized, appropriated and notified to Congress for Ukraine.)

135. See Just Security FOIA Releases, supra note 126.

136. See S. Rep. No. 93–688, at 75 (1987). (The legislative history indicates that the purpose of the ICA was to ensure that "the practice of reserving funds does not become a vehicle for furthering Administration policies and priorities at the expense of those decided by Congress.")

137. U.S. Govt. Accountability Office, Legal Decision Regarding Office of Management and Budget—Withholding of Ukraine Security Assistance, File B-3311564, 1 (Jan. 16, 2020).

138. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelenskyy of Ukraine (July 25, 2019).

139. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Jan. 16, 2020, 3:39 PM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/

1217909231946477575?s=20 (President Trump has repeatedly claimed that his call with President Zelensky on July 25 was perfect. For example, on January 16, 2020 President Trump tweeted, "I JUST GOT IMPEACHED FOR MAKING A PERFECT PHONE CALL!")

140. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelenskyy of Ukraine 3 (July 25, 2019).

141. Id.

142. Id.

143. Id. at 4.

144. *Id.* at 4, 5. (The President referenced Attorney General Barr several times during his phone call with President Zelensky.)

145. See Katie Benner, Justice Dept.'s Dismissal of Ukraine Call Raises New Questions About Barr, N.Y. Times, Sept. 25, 2019, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/09/25/us/politics/wil-

liam-barr-trump-ukraine.html. (As noted in the article, after the memorandum of telephone conversation from July 25th became public, the Justice Department spokesperson stated, "Mr. Trump has not asked Mr. Barr to contact Ukraine for any reason, Mr. Barr has not communicated with Ukraine on any topic and Mr. Barr has not spoken with Mr. Giuliani about the president's phone call "or anything related to Ukraine.")

146. See Mark Mazzetti & Katie Benner, Trump Pressed Australian Leader to Help Barr Investigate Mueller Inquiry's Origins, N.Y. Times, Sept. 30, 2019, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/09/30/us/politics/ trump-australia-barr-mueller.html. Kim Sengupta, "It's Like Nothing We Have Come

Sengupta, "It's Like Nothing We Have Come Across Before": UK Intelligence Officials Shaken By Trump Administration's Requests For Help With Counter-Impeachment Inquiry, The 1, 2019, Independent, Nov. https:// www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/ us-politics/trump-impeachment-inquiry-latest-russia-mueller-ukraine-zelensky-

a9181641.html. Katie Benner & Adam Goldman, Justice Dept. is Said to Open Criminal Inquiry Into Its Own Russia Investigation, N.Y. Times. Oct. 24. 2019. https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/10/24/us/politics/john-

durham-criminal-investigation.html. (Despite denials that the Attorney General had no knowledge of the topics discussed on the call, the Attorney General opened a Department of Justice investigation in April 2019, into the origins of the counterintelligence investigation against the Trump campaign in 2016. Aspects of this investigation involved contacting foreign leaders and asking that their governments investigate aspects of their involvement in that investigation. For example, at the Attorney General's request, the President asked the governments of Australia and the United Kingdom to assist with the investigation including looking at the role that their intelligence and law enforcement agencies played. The New York Times further reported that Attorney General Barr "is closely managing the investigation even traveling to Italy to seek help from foreign officials there . . . Mr. Barr has also contacted government officials in Britain and Australia about their roles in the early stages of the Russia investigation.).); Interview by Rachel Maddow supra note 88. (Additionally, Giuliani associate Lev Parnas stated publicly that Attorney General Barr, "had to know everything" and was "basi cally on the team.")

147. Text Message from Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine, to Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, and William B. Taylor, Charge d'affaires at the U.S. Embassy in Kyiv (July 19, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence).

148. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland, supra note 114, at 27.

149. Id. at 94-95.

150. Id. at 52–55.; Text Message from Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, to Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine (July 25, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence).

151. Text Message from Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine, to Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, and William B. Taylor, Charge d'affaires at the U.S. Embassy in Kyiv (July 19, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence); Text Message from Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, to Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine (July 25, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence). (Text messages between Ambassadors Sondland and Volker affirm that the message that Ambassador Volker passed to Mr. Yermak was passed by Ambassador Volker in coordination with Ambassador Sondland. On July 25, just prior to the phone call between Presidents Trump and Zelensky, Ambassador Sondland texted to Ambassador Volker: "call me." Ambassador Volker replied, "Had a great lunch w[ith] Yermak and then passed your message to . think everything is in place. him .

152. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelensky of Ukraine 5 (July 25, 2019).

153. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman, supra note 123, at 31.

154. 166 Cong. Rec. 19, S647 (daily ed. Jan. 29, 2020) (statement of Mr. Counsel Philbin). (For example, the President's counsel falsely

claimed that the House Impeachment Managers didn't try to obtain first hand witnesses while they were making their case in the House. The President's Counsel argued, "They didn't even subpoena John Bolton. They didn't even try to get his testimony. To insist now that this body will become the investigative body-that this body will have to do all of the discovery-then, this institution will be effectively paralyzed for months on end because it will have to sit as a Court of Impeachment while now discovery will be done. It would be Ambassador Bolton, and if there are going to be witnesses, in order for there to be, as they said, a fair trial, fair adjudication, then, the President would have to have his opportunity to call his witnesses, and there would be depositions. This would drag on for months. Then that will be the new precedent." As the House Impeachment Managers argued, these assertions do not actually represent the facts, "We asked John Bolton to testify in the House, and he refused. We asked his deputy, Dr. Kupperman, to testify, and he refused. Fortunately, we asked their deputy, Dr. Fiona Hill, to testify, and she did. We asked her deputy, Colonel Vindman, to testify, and he did. We did seek the testimony of John Bolton as well as Dr. Kupperman, and they refused. When we subpoenaed Dr. Kupperman, he sued us. He took us to court. When we raised a subpoena with John Bolton's counsel, the same counsel for Dr. Kupperman, the answer was, '. . you serve us with a subpoena, and we will sue you, too." We knew, based on the McGahn litigation, it would take months, if not years, to force John Bolton to come and testify.")

155. Just Security FOIA Releases. supra note 126, at 40.

156. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes supra note 57, at 29.

157. Id. at 29-30. 158. Mick Mulvaney, Acting Chief of Staff, The White House, at Press Briefing by Acting Chief of Staff Mick Mulvaney (Oct. 17, 2019)

159. Id.

160. Id. 161. Caitlin Emma & Connor O'Brien, Trump Holds Up Ukraine Military Aid Meant to Confront Russia, Politico, Aug. 28, 2019,

https://www.politico.com/story/2019/08/28/ trump-ukraine-military-aid-russia-1689531.

162. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Laura Cooper and Mr. David Hale Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 14 (2019) (statement of Ms. Cooper).

163. Deposition of: Catherine Croft Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 86-87, 101 (2019). (Croft, a career foreign service officer. further testified that she was surprised at the effectiveness of their "diplomatic tradecraft," noting that they "found out very early on" that the United States was withholding critical security assistance to Ukraine.)

164. Andrew E. Kramer & Kenneth P. Vogel, Ukraine Knew of Aid Freeze by Early August, Undermining Trump Defense, N.Y. Times, Oct. 23 2019 https:// Times, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/10/23/us/politics/ ukraine-aid-freeze-impeachment.html. 165. Id.

166. Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alexander S. Vindman Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 314 (2019).

167. Eric Lipton, Maggie Haberman and Mark Mazzetti, Behind the Ukraine Aid Freeze: 84 Days of Conflict and Confusion, N.Y. Times. Dec. 29. 2019. https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/12/29/us/politics/ trump-ukraine-military-

aid.html?wpisrc=nl powerup&wpmm=1.

168. Deposition of: Tim Morrison Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 268 (2019).

169. Maggie Haberman & Michael S. Schmidt, Trump Tied Ukraine Aid to Inquiries He Sought, Bolton Book Says, N.Y. Times, Jan. 26, 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/ 01/26/us/politics/trump-bolton-bookukraine.html.

170. Text Messages from Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, to Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine (Aug. 9, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence). (The effort began with a text message from Ambassador Sondland to Ambassador Volker stating, "I think POTUS really wants the deliverable.); See Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 71 - 72.

171. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 71.

172. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94,

at 113. 173. Text Messages from Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine, to Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, and Andriy Yermak, Aide to Ukrainian President Zelensky (Aug. 13, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence); Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 71, 73.

174. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 188-189; See generally Text Message from Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to EU, to Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine (Aug. 9, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence); Text Messages from Kurt Volker, U.S. Ambassador to NATO and Special Envoy to Ukraine, to Andriy Yermak, Aide to Ukrainian President Zelensky (Aug. 10-12, 2019) (on file with H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence); (Ambassador Volker testified in his closed interview regarding the process on the draft statement: "Rudy discussed, Rudy Giuliani and Gordon [Sondland] and I, what it is they are looking for. And I shared that with Andriy [Yermak]. And then Andriy came back to me and said: We don't think it's a good idea. So that was obviously before Andriy came back and said: We don't want to do that." Ambassador Volker further elaborated: "So the Ukrainians were saying that just coming out of the blue and making a statement didn't make any sense to them. If they're invited to come to the White House on a specific date for President Zelensky's visit, then it would make sense for President Zelensky to come out and say something, and it would be a much broader statement about a report of U.S.-Ukraine relations, not just on we're investigating these things [2016/ Burisma].")

175. Deposition of: William B. Taylor, supra note 113. at 190.

176. Id. at 36.

177. Id. 178. Id. at 39-40.

179. Deposition of: David A. Holmes Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 28 (2019).

180. Deposition of: William B. Taylor, supra note 113, at 39. (For instance, Ambassador Taylor testified that he spoke to Sondland by phone and that Sondland, "said he had talked to President Trump . . . Trump was adamant that President Zelensky himself had to clear things up and do it in public."); Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland, supra note 114, at 109. (Ambassador Sondland did not dispute Taylor's characterization of these accounts.)

181. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Gordon Sondland, supra note 114, at 19.

182. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador William B. Taylor and Mr. George Kent, supra note 129, at 44.

183. *Id.* at 44, 46. 184. Letter from Whistleblower to Adam Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, and Richard Burr, Chairman, S. Select Comm. on Intelligence (August 12, 2019).

185. Id.

186. Michael S. Schmidt, Julian E. Barnes, and Maggie Haberman, Trump Knew of Whistleblower Complaint When He Released Aid to Ukraine, N.Y. Times, Nov. 26, 2019, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/11/26/us/politics/ trump-whistle-blower-complaint-

ukraine.html.

187. Letter from Michael K. Atkinson, Inspector General, the Intelligence Community, to Adam Schiff, Chairman, House Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, and Devin Nunes, Ranking Member, House Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence (Sep. 9, 2019).

188. Press Release, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Three House Committees Launch Wide-Ranging Investigation into Trump-Giuliani Ukraine Scheme (Sept. 9, 2019). (On September 9, 2019, the House Foreign Affairs Committee, in conjunction with the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform launched "a wide-ranging investigation into reported efforts by President Trump, the President's personal lawyer Rudy Giuliani, and possibly others to pressure the government of Ukraine to assist the President's reelection campaign.")

189. See Just Security Releases, supra note 126, at 1.

190. Kenneth P. Vogel & Benjamin Novak, Giuliani, Facing Scrutiny, Travels to Europe to Interview Ukrainians, N.Y Times, Dec. 4, 2019, https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/04/us/politics/giuliani-europe-impeachment.html.

(For instance, Mr. Giuliani met with Mr. Shokin in Ukraine as part of a trip to generate additional information on the Bidens and 2016 election collusion. According to the *New York Times*, Giuliani's trip was intended "to help prepare more episodes of a documentary series for a conservative television outlet promoting his pro-Trump, anti-impeachment narrative.")

191. Opinion Memorandum of United States Senator John F. Reed, *supra* note 38, at 3.

192. Id. at 9

193. Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alexander S. Vindman, supra note 166, at 18,

194. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman, supra note 123, at 15.

195. Deposition of: Jennifer Williams Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 149 (2019).

196. Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alexander S. Vindman, supra note 166, at 97; Depo-

sition of: Tim Morrison, supra note 168, at 16. 197. Letter from Whistleblower, supra note 184, at 3.

198. Letter from John C. Rood, Under Secretary of Defense, U.S. Department of Defense, to Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs (May 23, 2019).

199. Deposition of: Laura Katherine Cooper, supra note 134, at 49. (Ms. Cooper testified that the officials present at the July 26 meeting did not consider corruption to be a legitimate reason for the hold because they unanimously agreed that Ukraine was making sufficient progress on anti-corruption reforms, as certified by the Defense Department on May 23, 2019.)

200. Deposition of: William B. Taylor, supra note 113. at 28.

201. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation

with President-Elect Zelenskyy of Ukraine (Apr. 21, 2019); Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelenskyy of Ukraine (July 25, 2019).

202. Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman, supra note 123, at 24-25. (Lt. Col. Vindman testified that recommended talking points for the April 21 call included rooting out corruption.); See Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President-Elect Zelenskyy of Ukraine (Apr. 21, 2019). (The memorandum of telephone call from April 21 shows the issue was not raised.); Impeachment Inquiry: Ms. Jennifer Williams and Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman, supra note 123, at 31. (Lt. Col Vindman further testified that he prepared the President's talking points for his July 25th phone call with President Zelensky and the topics for that call included, "cooperation on supporting a reform agenda, anticorruption efforts, and helping President Zelensky implement his plans to end Russia's war against Ukraine."); Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelenskyv of Ukraine (July 25, 2019). (The memorandum of telephone call from July 25, 2019, indicates that the President did not raise these issues.): Impeachment Inquiry: Kurt Volker and Timothy Morrison, supra note 117. at 34. (NSC official Morrison testified that references to Crowdstrike, the DNC server, and 2016 election, and to Vice President Biden and his son, were not included in the President's talking points as written by the NSC.)

203. Deposition of: Tim Morrison, supra note 168. at 41.

204. See further discussion of this topic on pages 22-23.

205. See further discussion of this topic on page 23.

206. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Marie Masha'' Yovanovitch Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. 115 (2019). (For instance, during her testimony, Ambassador Yovanovitch was asked whether it was appropriate to investigate corruption including a potentially corrupt company such as Burisma. Ambassador Yovanovitch responded: "I think it's appropriate if it's part of our national strategy. What I would say is that we have a process for doing that. It's called the Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty. We have one with Ukraine, and generally it goes from our Department of Justice to the Ministry of Justice in the country of interest."); Interview of: George Kent, supra note 63, at 158. (Deputy Assistant Secretary Kent, a career diplomat and recognized expert on anti-corruption measures stated in his deposition: ". . . if there's any criminal nexus for any activity involving the U.S., that U.S. law enforcement by all means should pursue that case, and if there's an international connection, that we have mechanisms to ask either through Department of Justice MLAT in writing or through the presence of individuals representing the FBI, our legal attaches, to engage foreign governments directly based on our concerns that there had been some criminal act violating U.S. law.

207. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelensky of Ukraine (July 25, 2019).

208. Caitlin Oprysko, Trump pressed Ukraine's president to work with Barr for dirt on Biden, Politico, Sep. 25, 2019, https:// www.politico.com/story/2019/09/25/whitehouse-releases-transcript-of-trumps-callwith-ukraines-president-1510767.

209. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 191. (Ambassador Volker testified that

"Andriy [Yermak, President Zelensky's close aide] asked whether any request had ever been made by the U.S. to investigate election interference in 2016." Ambassador Volker confirmed in his testimony that Yermak's inquiry equated to "a request from the Department of Justice.")

210. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 199. (Ambassador Volker testified that to his knowledge there was not an official United States Department of Justice request.).

211. European Union External Action, EU-Ukraine Relations—Factsheet (Jan. 28, 2020), https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage en/4081/%20EU-

Ukraine%20relations%20-%20factsheet; Iain King, Not Contributing Enough? A Summary of European Military and Development Assistance to Ukraine Since 2014 (Ctr. for Strategic & Int'l Studies, Sept. 26, 2019), https:// www.csis.org/analysis/not-contributingenough-summary-european-military-and-de-

velopment-assistance-ukraine-2014.

212. See further discussion of this topic at page 21.

213. Id.

214. Deposition of: Mark Sandy Before the H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Joint with the Comm. on Oversight and Reform and the Comm. on Foreign Affairs, 116th Cong. 143 (2019). (Mr. Sandy testified that OMB Official Mike Duffey, "simply said, we need to let the hold take place . . . and then revisit this issue with the President.")

215. Id. at 179. (Mr. Sandy responded "that's correct" to the question: "at some point in early September, Mr. Blair stopped by your office and told you that the reason for the hold was out of concern that the United States gives more aid to Ukraine than other countries? Or, rather, that other countries should give more as well.")

216. Id. at 180.

217. U.S. Govt. Accountability Office, *supra* note 137.

218. Kate Brannen, Exclusive: Unredacted Ukraine Documents Reveal Extent of Pentagon's Level Concerns, Just Security, Jan. 2, 2020, https://www.justsecurity.org/67863/exclusive-unredacted-ukraine-documents-revealextent-of-pentagons-legal-concerns/.

extent-of-pentagons-regar-concerns/.

219. Deposition of: Laura Katherine Cooper, supra note 134, at 79–81.

220. Id. at 80-81.

221. Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Intelligence Council, *supra* note 63.

222. Staff of the S. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 115th Cong., Rep. on The Intelligence Community Assessment: Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent U.S. Elections 2 (Comm. Print 2018). (On July 3, 2018, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence announced that they had concluded an in-depth review of the Intelligence Committee's January 6, 2017, assessment and concluded that the assessment "is a sound intelligence product.")

223. 1 Mueller, *supra* note 60, at 1. (Special Counsel Mueller concluded "the Russian government interfered . . . in sweeping and systematic fashion.")

224. Impeachment Inquiry: Fiona Hill and David Holmes, supra note 57 (statement of Dr. Fiona Hill).

225. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador Kurt Volker and Timothy Morrison, supra note 117, at 11.

226. Id.

227. Impeachment Inquiry: Ambassador William B. Taylor and Mr. George Kent, supra note 129. at 169–170.

- 228. Id. at 57.
- 229. Id. at 54.
- 230. Id. at 45.

231. Interview of: Kurt Volker, supra note 94, at 15.

232. Interview of: George Kent, supra note 63, at 114. 233. 165 Cong. Rec. 205, H12193 (daily ed.

Dec. 18, 2019) (statement of Rep. Adam Schiff).

234. H.R. Res. 755, 116th Cong. Art. II (2019). 235. *Id.*

236. See generally The Federalist Paper No. 47 (James Madison) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961); The Federalist Paper No. 48 (James Madison) (Jacob E, Cooke ed., 1961): The Federalist Paper No. 49 (James Madison) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961); The Federalist Paper No. 50 (James Madison) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961): The Federalist Paper No. 51 (James Madison) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961). (Federalist Papers No. 47 through No. 51 explain how the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Branches were to be wholly separated from each other, yet accountable to each other through a system of checks and balances.); See also Nixon v. Administrator of General Services, 433 U.S. 425, 426 (1977). (In Nixon v. GSA, the Supreme Court articulated the test for a violation of the separation of powers as occurring when the action of one branch "prevents [another branch] from accomplishing its constitutionally assigned functions.")

237. U.S. Const. art. II, §3.

238. McGrain v. Daugherty, 273 U.S. 135, 174–175 (1927). ("A legislative body cannot legislate wisely or effectively in the absence of information respecting the conditions which the legislation is intended to affect or change; and where the legislative body does not itself possess the requisite informationwhich not infrequently is true-recourse must be had to others who do possess it. Experience has taught that mere requests for such information often are unavailing, and also that information which is volunteered is not always accurate or complete; so some means of compulsion are essential to obtain what is needed. All this was true before and when the Constitution was framed and adopted. In that period the power of inquiry-with enforcing process-was regarded and employed as a necessary and appropriate attribute of the power to legislate-indeed, was treated as inhering in it. Thus there is ample warrant for thinking, as we do, that the constitutional provisions which commit the legislative function to the two houses are intended to include this attribute to the end that the function may be effectively exercised ")

239. Kilbourn v. Thompson, 103 U.S. 168, 190 (1880).

240. U.S. Const. art. I, §2, cl. 5.

241. Frank O. Bowman III, High Crimes & Misdemeanors: A History of Impeachment for the Age of Trump 199-200 (2019). ("The subpoena power in impeachment cases arises directly from an explicit constitutional directive that the House conduct an adjudicative proceeding akin to a grand jury, the success of which is necessarily dependent on the availability of relevant evidence. Without the power to compel compliance with subpoenas and the concomitant right to impeach a president for refusal to comply, the impeachment power would be nullified.")

242. Cong. Globe, 27th Cong., 2d Sess. 580 (1842) (statement of Rep. John Quincy Adams).

243. H.R. Rep. 93–1305, at 4 (1974).

244. H.R. Res. 660, 116th Cong. (2019).

245. Press Release, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Three House Committees Launch Wide-Ranging Investigation into Trump-Giuliani Ukraine Scheme (Sept. 9, 2019).

246. Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to Pat Cipollone, Counsel to the President, The White House, (Sep. 9, 2019). 247. Nancy Pelosi, Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Impeachment Inquiry Announcement (Sep. 24, 2019).

248. Letter from Elijah E. Cummings, Chairman, H. Comm. on Oversight and Reform, et al., to John Michael Mulvaney, Acting Chief of Staff to the President, The White House (Oct. 4, 2019). 249. Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman,

249. Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to Michael R. Pompeo, Secretary, U.S. Department of State (Sept. 27, 2019); Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to T. Ulrich Brechbuhl, Counselor, U.S. Department of State (Oct. 25, 2019).

250. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Mark T. Esper, Secretary, U.S. Department of Defense (Oct. 7, 2019).

251. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Russell T. Vought, Acting Director, U.S. Office of Management and Budget (Oct. 7, 2019); Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to Russell T. Vought, Acting Director, U.S. Office of Management and Budget (Oct. 25, 2019); Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to Michael Duffey, Associate Director for National Security Programs, U.S. Office of Management and Budget (Oct. 25, 2019).

252. Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to James Richard "Rick" Perry, Secretary, U.S. Department of Energy (Oct. 10, 2019).

253. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Rudolph "Rudy" W. L. Giuliani, Giuliani Partners LLC (Sept. 30, 2019).

254. H.R. Rep. No. 116-266, at 3 (2019).

255. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Paul W. Butler, Esq., Counsel to Michael Ellis, Senior Associate Counsel to the President, The White House, and Deputy Legal Advisor, National Security Council (Nov. 3, 2019); Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Karen Williams, Esq., Counsel to Preston Wells Griffith, Senior Director for International Energy and Environment, National Security Council (Nov. 4, 2019).

256. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Whitney C. Ellerman, Counsel to Robert B. Blair, Assistant to the President and Senior Advisor to the Chief of Staff, The White House (Nov. 3, 2019); H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Subpoena to John Michael Mulvaney, Acting Chief of Staff, The White House (Nov. 7, 2019).

257. Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to Brian McCormack, Associate Director for Natural Resources, Energy and Science, U.S. Office of Management and Budget (Nov. 1, 2019).

258. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Justin Shur, Esq., Counsel to Jennifer Williams, Special Advisor for Europe and Russia, Office of the Vice President (Nov. 4, 2019); H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Subpoena to Jennifer Williams, Special Advisor for Europe and Russia, Office of the Vice President (Nov. 19, 2019).

259. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone, Counsel to the President, The White House, to Nancy Pelosi, Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, et al. 7 (Oct. 8, 2019).

260. Jordyn Phelps, 'We're Fighting All the Subpoenas': Trump on Battle with House Democrats, ABC News, Apr. 24, 2019, https:// abcnews.go.com/Politics/fighting-subpoenastrump-battle-democrats/story?id=62600497.

261. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Oct. 3. 2019, 9:04 PM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/

1179925259417468928?s=20.

262. Staff of H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong., Rep. on The Trump-Ukraine Impeachment Inquiry 220-224 (Comm. Print 2019).

263. Id. at 219-220.

264. Id. at 226-227.

265. Id. at 224-226.

266. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President-Elect Zelenskyy of Ukraine (Apr. 21, 2019).

267. Memorandum from The White House of President Trump's Telephone Conversation with President Zelenskyy of Ukraine (July 25, 2019).

268. Donald Trump, President, United States of America, Remarks by President Trump and President Niinist of the Republic of Finland in Joint Press Conference (Oct., 2, 2019). (On October 2, 2019, President Trump stated, "All because they didn't know that I had a transcript done by very, very talented people—word for word, comma for comma. Done by people that do it for a living. We had an exact transcript.") 269. Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alex-

269. Deposition of: Lieutenant Colonel Alexander S. Vindman, supra note 166, at 53–55.

270. H.R. Rep. No. 116–346, at 134–135 (2019). (The following Trump Administration officials defied congressional subpoenas directing them to testify in the impeachment inquiry: John Michael Mulvaney, Acting Chief of Staff to the President, The White House; Robert B. Blair, Assistant to the President and Senior Advisor to the Chief of Staff, The White House; John A. Eisenberg, Deputy Counsel to the President for National Security Affairs, the White House and Legal Advisor. National Security Council: Michael Ellis, Senior Associate Counsel to the President, The White House, and Deputy Legal Advisor, National Security Council; Preston Wells Griffith, Senior Director for International Energy and Environment, National Security Council; Russell T. Vought, Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget; Michael Duffey, Associate Director for National Security Programs, Office of Management and Budget; Brian McCormack, Associate Director for Natural Resources, Energy and Science, Office of Management and Budget, and former Chief of Staff to Secretary, U.S. Department of Energy; and T. Ulrich Brechbuhl, Counselor, Department of State).

271. Staff of H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong., Rep. on The Trump-Ukraine Impeachment Inquiry 222–224 (Comm. Print 2019).

272. Id. at 225.

273. Id. at 226-227.

274. Id. at 25, 108–109, 134–135, 137–138.

275. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Oct. 8, 2019, 9:23 AM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/

1181560772255719424. (Ten days before Ambassador Sondland's deposition before the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the President issued two tweets, indicating that Ambassador Sondland should not cooperate because he had done nothing wrong: "I would love to send Ambassador Sondland, a really good man and great American, to testify, but unfortunately he would be testifying before a totally compromised kangaroo court, where Republican's rights have been taken away, and true facts are not allowed out for the public. to see. Importantly, Ambassador Sondland's tweet, which few report, stated, I believe you are incorrect about President Trump's intentions. The President has been crystal clear: no quid pro quo's of any kind. That says it ALL!")

276. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Oct. 23, 2019, 2:58 PM), https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/status/ 1187080923961012228?lang=en. (The day after Ambassador Taylor's October 22, 2019, deposition before the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, President Trump suggested that Ambassador Taylor's testimony was politically motivated: "Never Trumper Republican John Bellinger, represents Never Trumper Diplomat Bill Taylor (who I don't know), in testimony before Congress! Do Nothing Democrats allow Republicans Zero Representation, Zero due process, and Zero Transparency. . . .")

ess, and Zero Transparency. . . .'') 277. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Nov. 15, 2019, 10:01 AM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/

1195356211937468417. (The morning of her hearing on November 15, 2019, President Trump issued a series of disparaging, accusatory tweets saying: "Everywhere Marie Yovanovitch went turned bad. She started off in Somalia, how did that go? Then fast forward to Ukraine, where the new Ukrainian President spoke unfavorably about her in my second phone call with him. It is a U.S. President's absolute right to appoint ambassadors... They call it "serving at the pleasure of the President." The U.S. now has a very strong and powerful foreign policy, much different than proceeding administrations. It is called, quite simply, America First! With all of that, however, I have done FAR more for Ukraine than O.")

278. The White House (@WhiteHouse), Twitter (Nov. 19, 2019, 12:49 PM), https://twitter.com/whitehouse/status/

1196848072929796096?lang=en. (During the hearing of Lt. Col Vindman on November 19, 2019, the official White House twitter account tweeted the following message, suggesting that Lt. Col. Vindman was not a reliable witness: "Tim Morrison, Alexander Vindman's former boss, testified in his deposition that he had concerns about Vindman's judgment.")

279. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Nov. 17, 2019, 2:57 PM), https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/status/

1196155347117002752?lang=en. (On Sunday, November 17, 2019, two days before Ms. Williams scheduled hearing before the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence on November 19, the President attempted to influence her testimony by tweeting: "Tell Jennifer Williams, whoever that is, to read BOTH transcripts of the presidential calls, & see the just released ststement (sic) from Ukraine. Then she should meet with the other Never Trumpers, who I don't know & mostly never even heard of, & work out a better presidential attack!")

280. Trial Memorandum of President Donald J. Trump, *supra* note 25, at 37.

281. Staff of H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong., Rep. on The Trump-Ukraine Impeachment Inquiry 235-236, 239-241, 243-250 (Comm. Print 2019). (From the Department of State, that included Marie Yovanovitch, Gordon Sondland, George Kent, William Taylor, and T. Ulrich Brechbuhl. From the Department of Defense, that included Laura Cooper. In addition, the White House directed Charles Kupperman not to cooperate.).

282. See Watkins v. United States, 354 U.S. 178, 187 (1957). (Even in exercising its ordinary oversight powers, the Supreme Court held in Watkins v. United States that "[1]he power of the Congress to conduct investigations is inherent in the legislative process. That power is broad. It encompasses inquiries concerning the administration of existing laws as well as proposed or possibly needed statutes. It includes surveys of defects in our social, economic or political system for the purpose of enabling the Congress to remedy them. It comprehends probes into departments of the Federal Government to expose corruption, inefficiency or waste.")

283. McGrain v. Daugherty, 273 U.S. 135, 175 (1927). (The Supreme Court in McGrain v. Daugherty elaborated on Congress' occasional need to compel information, writing that "A legislative body cannot legislate wisely or effectively in the absence of information respecting the conditions which the legislation is intended to affect or change; and where the legislative body does not itself possess the requisite information-which not infrequently is true-recourse must be had to others who do possess it. Experience has taught that mere requests for such information often are unavailing, and also that information which is volunteered is not always accurate or complete; so some means of compulsion are essential to obtain what is needed."): See also Watkins v. United States, 354 U.S. 178, 187-95 (1957); See also Eastland v. U.S. Servicemen's Fund, 421 U.S. 491, 504-05 (1975)

284. H.R. Doc. No. 115-77, at 586–588 (2019).

285. Watkins v. United States, 354 U.S. 178, 179 (1957). (The Supreme Court held in *Watkins* that "In authorizing an investigation by a committee, it is essential that the Senate or House should spell out the committee's jurisdiction and purpose with sufficient particularity to insure that compulsory process is used only in furtherance of a legislative purpose." As such, the Court also held that "a congressional investigation into individual affairs is invalid if unrelated to any legislative purpose, because it is beyond the powers conferred upon Congress by the Constitution.")

286. Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Paul W. Butler, Esq., Counsel to Michael Ellis, Senior Associate Counsel to the President. The White House, and Deputy Legal Advisor, National Security Council (Nov. 3, 2019); Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm, Select Comm, on Intelligence, et al., to Karen Williams. Esq., Counsel to Preston Wells Griffith, Senior Director for International Energy and Environment, National Security Council (Nov. 4. 2019); Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, et al., to Whitney C. Ellerman, Counsel to Robert B. Blair, Assistant to the President and Senior Advisor to the Chief of Staff, The White House (Nov. 3, 2019); H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Subpoena to John Michael Mulvaney, Acting Chief of Staff, The White House (Nov. 7, 2019); Letter from Eliot L. Engel to Brian McCormack, supra note 257; Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. on Foreign Affairs, et al., to John A. Eisenberg, Deputy Counsel to the President for National Security Affairs, the White House and Legal Advisor, National Security Council (Nov. 1, 2019); H.R. Rep. No. 116-346, at 134-135 (2019).

287. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone to Nancy Pelosi, *supra* note 259, at 2.

288. Donald Trump, President, United States of America, Remarks by President Trump in Press Conference, Davos, Switzerland (Jan. 22, 2020).

289. United States v. Nixon, 418 U.S. 683, 706 (1974).

290. Id. at 706, 713. (Dicta from United States v. Nixon further suggests that a claim of confidentiality of presidential communications would be stronger if a need to protect military, diplomatic, or sensitive national security secrets is claimed.)

291. Senate Select Comm. on Presidential Campaign Activities v. Nixon, 498 F.2d 725, 730 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

292. *Id.* at 731. 293. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone to Nancy Pelosi, *supra* note 259. at 2.

294. See The Federalist No. 66, at 446 (Alexander Hamilton) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961). (The Framers created impeachment as an "essential check in the hands of [Congress] upon the encroachments of the executive" and to ensure that the President could not be above the law.)

295. Comm on the Judiciary, U.S. House of Representatives v. Miers, 558 F. Supp. 2d 53, 102-103 (2008). ("Congress's power of inquiry is as broad as its power to legislate and lies at the very heart of Congress's constitutional role. Indeed, the former is necessary to the proper exercise of the latter: according to the Supreme Court, the ability to compel testimony is "necessary to the effective functioning of courts and legislatures . . . Thus, Congress's use of (and need for vindication of) its subpoen power in this case is no less legitimate or important than was the grand jury's in United States v. Nixon. Both involve core functions of a co-equal branch of the federal government, and for the reasons identified in Nixon, the President may only be entitled to a presumptive, rather than an absolute, privilege here. And it is certainly the case that if the President is entitled only to a presumptive privilege, his close advisors cannot hold the superior card of absolute immunity.")

296. Comm. on Judiciary, U.S. House of Representatives v. McGahn, ____F. Supp. 3d

, No. 19-cv-2379 (KBJ), 2019 WL 6312011 (D.D.C. Nov. 25, 2019) (Ketanji Brown Jackson, J.) (Rejecting the Department of Justice's argument that presidential advisors like Don McGahn enjoy absolute immunity from compelled congressional testimony.)

297. William French Smith, Assertion of Executive Privilege in Response to a Congressional Subpoena in Opinions of the Legal Counsel, Department of Justice 31 (October 13, 1981) ("The accommodation required is not simply an exchange of concessions or a test of political strength. It is an obligation of each branch to make a principled effort to acknowledge, and if possible to meet, the legitimate needs of the other branch.")

298. United States v. AT&T Co., 567 F.2d 121, 127 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

299. See e.g. Neal Devins, Congressional-Executive Information Access Disputes: A Modest Proposal—Do Nothing, 48 Admin. L. Rev. 109, 116 (1996).

300. See id. at 122, 125. ("Types of intermediate options [when there are executive privilege claims] include the executive providing the requested information in timed stages, the executive releasing expurgated or redacted versions of the information, the executive preparing summaries of the information, Congress promising to maintain confidentiality regarding the information, and Congress inspecting the material while it remains in executive custody.")

301. William P. Barr, Congressional Requests for Confidential Executive Branch Information in Opinions of the Legal Counsel, Department of Justice 153, 162 (June 19, 1989).

302.See John E. Bies, Primer on Executive Privilege and the Executive Branch Approach to Congressional Oversight, Lawfare, June 16, 2017, https://www.lawfareblog.com/primer-executive-privilege-and-executive-branch-approach-congressional-oversight. ("If negotia-

tions reach a standstill and these officials conclude that the circumstances warrant invocation of executive privilege, they prepare materials for the White House counsel to present the issue to the president for his or her decision. Traditionally, this presentation involves a memorandum from the head of the agency that received the congressional request explaining the information sought by Congress, why the information is privileged, and the efforts that the agency has made to date to accommodate the congressional request; a memorandum from the attorney general evaluating the legal basis for a privilege assertion over the requested information, including whether the qualified privilege might be overcome in the balancing of

S1205

interests and needs; and the White House counsel's recommendation to the president. Pending the president's decision, the agency is directed to ask Congress to hold the request in abeyance, and to explain that this is simply to protect the president's ability to assert the privilege and does not itself constitute a claim of privilege.")

303.166 Cong. Rec. 16, S575 (daily ed. Jan. 25, 2020) (Statement of Mr. Counsel Philbin). 304.Trial Memorandum of President Donald J. Trump, *supra* note 25, at 75.

305. Alison Durkee, Lev Parnas: Trump "Knew Exactly What Was Going On" in Ukraine, Vanity Fair, Jan. 6, 2020, https:// www.vanityfair.com/news/2020/01/lev-parnasmaddow-ukraine-trump; Olivia Rubin & Soo Rin Kim, Giuliani's Associate Lev Parnas Speaks Again: 'It Was All About 2020.', ABC News, Jan. 17, 2020, https://abcnews.go.com/ Politics/giulianis-associate-lev-parnasspeaks-2020/story?id=86340258.

306. Maggie Haberman & Michael S. Schmidt, Trump Told Bolton to Help His Ukraine Pressure Campaign, Book Says, N.Y. Times, Jan. 31, 2020, https:// www.nytimes.com/2020/01/31/us/politics/ trump-bolton-ukraine.html.

307. Adam Edelman, Lev Parnas, the Indicted Associate of Giuliani, Tries to Attend Trump Impeachment Trial, NBC News, Jan. 29, 2020, https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/trump-impeachment-inquiry/lev-parnas-indicted-associate-giuliani-tries-attend-trump-impeachment-trial-n1125601; Nicholas Fandos & Michael S. Schmidt, Bolton is Willing to Testify in Trump Impeachment Trial, Raising Pressure for Witnesses, N.Y. Times, Jan. 6, 2020, https:// www.nytimes.com/2020/01/06/us/politics/ bolton-testify-impeachment-trial.html.

308. Fred Barbash, Trump Denies Telling Bolton that Ukraine Aid was Tied to Investigations, as Explosive Book Claiming Otherwise Leaks, Washington Post, Jan. 27, 2020, https:// www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/01/27/ trump-bolton-ukraine/; Justin Wise, Trump Again Denies Knowing Lev Parnas: 'He's a Con Man,', The Hill, Jan. 22, 2020, https:// thehill.com/homenews/administration/479317trump-again-denies-knowing-lev-parnas-hesa-conman.

309. Caitlin Oprysko, *Trump Suggests He'd Invoke Executive Privilege to Block Bolton Testimony*, Politico, Jan. 10, 2020, https:// www.politico.com/news/2020/01/10/trump-johnbolton-testimony-097349.

310. Trial Memorandum of President Donald J. Trump, *supra* note 25, at 40.

311. Bowman, supra note 241, at 164-165.

312. H.R. Rep. No. 93–1305. at 6 (1974).

313. U.S. Const. art. I, §3, cl. 6.

313. U.S. Const. art. 1, § 3, cl. 6. 314. Todd Garvey, Cong. Research Serv., R45983, Congressional Access to Information

in an Impeachment Investigation 21 (2019). 315. Comm. on Judiciary, U.S. House of

Jackson, J.)

316.*Id*. at 59.

317.2 The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787, *supra* note 12, at 65.

318. Id. at 64.

319. Id.

320. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone to Nancy Pelosi, supra note 259, at 4.

321. Hebert v. State of La., 272 U.S. 312, 316-317 (1926).

322. H.R. Rep. No. 105-795, at 25-26 (1998).

323. H.R. Rep. No. 116-346, at 17-19 (2019).

324. Staff of H. Comm on the Judiciary, 93rd Cong., Impeachment Inquiry Procedures 1-2 (Comm. Print 1974).

325. H.R. Rep. No. 116-266, at 9-11 (2019).

326. Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary, to Donald Trump, President, United States of America (Nov. 29, 2019). 327. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone, Counsel to the President, The White House, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 6, 2019).

328. Trial Memorandum of the United States House of Representatives, *supra* note 66, at SMF 58.

329. 166 Cong. Rec. 12, S381-S382 (daily ed. Jan. 21, 2020) (statement of Mr. Manager Schiff).

330. Claudia Grisales & Kelsey Snell, After Pressure, McConnell Makes Last-Minute Changes to Impeachment Trial Procedure, NPR, Jan. 20, 2020, https://www.npr.org/2020/01/20/ 798007597/read-mcconnell-lays-out-plan-forsenate-impeachment-trial-procedure; See S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2019).

331. Claudia Grisales & Kelsey Snell, After Pressure, McConnell Makes Last-Minute Changes to Impeachment Trial Procedure, NPR, Jan. 20, 2020, https://www.npr.org/2020/01/20/ 798007597/read-mcconnell-lays-out-plan-forsenate-impeachment-trial-procedure.

332. S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2019).

333. Id.

334. See S. Amdt. 1284 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1285 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1286 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1287 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1288 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1289 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1290 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1291 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1292 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1293 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020); S. Amdt. 1294 to S. Res. 483, 116th Cong. (2020). (These amendments included: subpoenas for relevant documents held by the White House related to meetings and calls between President Trump and the President of Ukraine; subpoenas compelling the Secretary of State, Acting Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and Secretary of Defense to produce documents and records related to the July 25 phone call between President Trump and the Ukrainian President and records related to the freezing of assistance to Ukraine; and subpoenas for the testimony of Acting Chief of Staff Mick Mulvaney and Ambassador John Bolton, both of whom have significant firsthand knowledge of the events that are the subject of this impeachment trial. Other amendments sought to ensure that there would be votes on motions to subpoena witnesses, provide additional time to respond to motions. and require the Chief Justice to rule on motions to subpoen witnesses and documents.) 335, 166 Cong. Rec. 12, S385-S431 (Jan. 21,

2020). 336. 166 Cong. Rec. 21, S766-S769 (daily ed.

330. 100 Cong. Rec. 21, S700–S709 (daily ed. Jan. 31, 2020). 337. Id.

338. Nicholas Fandos, McConnell Says He Will Proceed on Impeachment Trial Without Witness Deal, N.Y. Times, Jan. 7, 2020, https:// www.nytimes.com/2020/01/07/us/politics/impeachment-trial-witnesses.html.

339. H.R. Rep. 116–346, at 20, 24 (2019).

340. Opinion Memorandum of United States Senator John F. Reed, supra note 38, at 4. 341. *Id.*

342. 166 Cong. Rec. 19, S650-S651 (daily ed. Jan. 29, 2020) (statement of Mr. Counsel Dershowitz).

343. 166 Cong. Rec. 17, S614 (daily ed. Jan. 27, 2020) (statement of Mr. Counsel Dershowitz). (In response to the report in the New York Times on January 26, 2020, that the manuscript of a book by former National Security Adviser John Bolton contends that President Trump directly tied the freeze on security assistance for Ukraine to Ukraine agreeing to conduct investigations into the 2016 campaign and Biden/Burisma theories, defense counsel Alan Dershowitz argued that "if a President-any President-were to have done what 'The Times' reported about the content of the Bolton manuscript, that would not constitute an impeachable offense. Let me repeat it. Nothing in the Bolton revelations, even if true, would rise to the level of an abuse of power or an impeachable offense . . . You cannot turn conduct that is not impeachable into impeachable conduct simply by using words like 'quid pro quo'" and 'personal benefit.'")

344. Ashley Parker & David E. Sanger, Donald Trump Calls on Russia to Find Hillary Clinton's Missing Emails, N.Y. Times, July 27, 2016, https://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/28/us/ politics/donald-trump-russia-clintonemails.html

345.1 Mueller, *supra* note 60, at 5. (The Special Counsel's investigation concluded that, "[t]he presidential campaign of Donald J. Trump . . . showed interest in WikiLeaks's releases of documents and welcomed their potential to damage candidate Clinton.")

346. Interview by George Stephanopoulos with Donald Trump, President, United States of America, in Washington, D.C. (June 16, 2019).

347. Peter Baker & Eileen Sullivan, Trump Publicly Urges China to Investigate the Bidens, N.Y. Times, Oct. 3, 2019, https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/10/03/us/politics/ trump-china-bidens.html.

348. See discussion at page 21.

349. Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Jan. 16, 2020. 3:39 PM), https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/

1217909231946477575?s=20. (President Trump has repeatedly claimed that his call with President Zelensky on July 25 was perfect. For example, on January 16, 2020 President Trump tweeted, "I JUST GOT IMPEACHED FOR MAKING A PERFECT PHONE CALL!")

350. The Federalist No. 68, at 459 (Alexander Hamiltoni) (Jacob E. Cooke ed., 1961). 351. Letter from John Adams to Thomas Jefferson (Dec. 6, 1787).

352. Ellen L Weintraub (@EllenLWeintraub), Twitter (June 13, 2019, 7:11 PM), https://twitter.com/

EllenLWeintraub/status/1139309394968096768/ photo/1. (In response to President Trump's statement to George Stephanopoulos that he would consider taking information from a foreign government on one of his political opponents, Ellen Weintraub, Chair, Federal Election Commissioner, wrote, "Let me make something 100% clear to the American public and anyone running for public office: It is illegal for any person to solicit, accept. or receive anything of value from a foreign national in connection with a U.S. election. This is not a novel concept. Electoral intervention from foreign governments has been considered unacceptable since the beginning of our nation. Our Founding Fathers sounded the alarm about 'foreign interference, intrigue and influence.' They knew that when foreign governments seek to influence American politics, it is always to advance their own interests, not America's.")

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of a more comprehensive version of my statement regarding the impeachment trial of President Donald John Trump be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATEMENT ON THE IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP

Throughout this impeachment trial, I have often thought of an inscription above the front door of the Finance Building in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania from the 1930s: "All public service is a trust, given in faith and accepted in honor."
This inscription helped me frame my own understanding of the evidence offered during this trial because I believe that President Trump and every public official in America must earn that trust every day. That sacred trust is given to us "in faith" by virtue of our election. The question for the President-and every official-is: Will we accept that "trust" by our honorable conduct? The trust set forth in the inscription is an echo of Alexander Hamilton's words in Federalist No. 65, where he articulated the standard for impeachment as "offenses which proceed from the misconduct of public men, or, in other words, from the abuse or violation of some public trust."1

Much time has been devoted to why and how we got here. Let us make no mistake about this—we are here because of the President's conduct. He solicited the interference of a foreign government in our next election and demanded that same government announce an investigation of his political opponent, as well as an investigation into a debunked conspiracy theory about the last presidential election.

President Trump has exhibited an unmistakable pattern of behavior that indicates a predisposition toward autocratic leadership and a willingness to embrace an agenda based on foreign propaganda, directly undermining the national interests of the United States.² The world watched President Trump stand next to Russian President Vladimir Putin in Helsinki, Finland in July 2018.3 When President Trump was asked whether he believed President Putin or his intelligence agencies-all of which definitively concluded that Russia interfered in the 2016 election⁴—President Trump responded: "My people came to me . . . [and] said they think it's Russia, I have President Putin. He just said it's not Russia. I will say this: I don't see any reason why it would be."⁵

After this press conference and despite his attempts to retract his comments, President Trump faced widespread and bipartisan condemnation. Republican members of Congress called his performance "troubling," "a step backwards," "shameful, "untenable," "bizarre and flat-out wrong."⁶ However, only Senator John McCain offered a forceful rebuke of President Trump:

Today's press conference in Helsinki was one of the most disgraceful performances by an American president in memory. The damage inflicted by President Trump's naiveté, egotism, false equivalence, and sympathy for autocrats is difficult to calculate.

No prior president has ever abased himself more abjectly before a tyrant. Not only did President Trump fail to speak the truth about an adversary; but speaking for America to the world, our president failed to defend all that makes us who we are—a republic of free people dedicated to the cause of liberty at home and abroad. American presidents must be the champions of that cause if it is to succeed. Americans are waiting and hoping for President Trump to embrace that sacred responsibility. One can only hope they are not waiting totally in vain.⁷

Over a year and a half later, the President's pattern of conduct has made it clear. Just as Senator McCain feared, Americans have waited in vain for President Trump to embrace—or even understand—his duties as a public servant. This President has not and never will be faithful to the "sacred responsibility" that he holds as President of the United States, nor will he ever truly honor the trust that the people placed in him.

Besides Senator McCain, Republican Senators failed to fully confront the President when he chose the word of a former KGB agent over the United States Intelligence Community. For this reason, it is unsurprising that our Nation has found itself

imperiled yet again by another example of President Trump's shameful and dishonorable conduct. In response to Republican Senators who have expressed concern about the President's "inappropriate" conduct but have repeatedly refused to hold him accountable, I must ask: What will it take? What action will finally be so objectionable, so inappropriate to break from this President? He will not learn. He will not change. When confronted with a choice between the national interests and his personal political interests, President Trump will always choose the latter. The Senate's failure to hold him accountable in this impeachment trial would be a stain on American history.

After a thorough, careful review of all of the available evidence in this impeachment trial, I have determined that House Managers have not only met, but exceeded, their burden of proof in this case. President Trump violated his duty as a public servant by corruptly abusing his power to solicit foreign interference in the 2020 election and by repeatedly obstructing Congress's constitutionally-based investigation into his conduct. President Trump's clearly established pattern of conduct indicates he will continue to be a "threat to national security and the Constitution if allowed to remain in office."8 For these reasons, I will vote "guilty" on both Article I and Article II.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Before discussing the facts of this case, it is important to address the Senate trial itself. To ensure a full and fair trial for all parties, Senate Democrats repeatedly called for relevant witnesses and relevant documents to be subpoenaed during this trial in the Senate.⁹ The testimonial and documentary evidence would supplement an already substantial record presented by the House Managers and ensure that this was a fair trial for all parties involved. Senate Republicans refused to allow any witnesses and documents.¹⁰

Seventy-five percent of Americans supported calling witnesses during his trial.¹¹ Unfortunately, President Trump has been calling the shots and dictating the Republican approach to this trial.¹² This is the third Presidential impeachment trial in our country's history, and it is the only one to be completed without calling a single witness.¹³ In fact, every completed impeachment trial in history has included *new* witnesses that were not even originally interviewed in the House of Representatives.¹⁴

By blocking relevant witnesses and relevant documents, Senate Republicans have denied the American people the full and fair trial they deserve. It is clear that this proceeding was rigged from the start to protect President Trump rather than to hear all of the facts.

III. MATERIAL FACTS

Special Counsel Mueller & Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election

To fully understand the facts established by the House Managers in this case, it is necessary to first understand the context in which President Trump engaged in this behavior. In May 2017, Special Counsel Robert Mueller was appointed to investigate "'the Russian government's efforts to interfere in the 2016 presidential election,' including any links or coordination between the Russian government and individuals associated with the Trump Campaign."¹⁵ Special Counsel Mueller released his comprehensive report in April 2019, which established in meticulous detail that Russian President Vladimir Putin personally directed an ongoing and systemic Russian attack in the 2016 presidential election in the United States.¹⁶

Special Counsel Mueller's conclusions were also confirmed by the United States Intelligence Community¹⁷ and the bipartisan Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.18 The Mueller investigation did not find evidence that President Trump's 2016 campaign conspired or coordinated with the Russian government, but Special Counsel Mueller did confirm that "the Russian government perceived it would benefit from a Trump presidency and worked to secure that outcome, and that the [Trump] Campaign expected it would benefit electorally from information stolen and released through Russian efforts."¹⁹ For example, then-candidate Trump declared during a public rally in July 2016: "Russia, if you're listening, I hope you're able to find the 30,000 emails that are missfrom then-candidate Hillary Clinton's ing" email server.²⁰ Russian hackers targeted Clinton's personal server within hours of Trump's request.²¹ After the Mueller Report, in June 2019, President Trump was asked whether he would accept opposition research from a foreign government against his political opponent. President Trump responded "I think I'd take it."²²

Rather than embrace the Special Counsel's investigation and condemn Russian interference in the election. President Trump reportedly tried to undermine the investigation by calling it a "witch hunt"²³ and a "hoax."²⁴ In fact, in Volume II of his report, Special Counsel Mueller detailed the President's numerous efforts to obstruct the Special Counsel's investigation into Russian interference and his attempts to remove the Special Counsel in order to end the investigation. The Special Counsel identified ten separate episodes of potential obstruction of justice including, but not limited to: (1) President Trump firing former FBI Director James Comey; 25 (2) President Trump attempting to fire Special Counsel Mueller; 26 and (3) President Trump requesting his White House Counsel lie and publically deny that President Trump tried to fire Special Counsel Mueller.27

Neither Special Counsel Mueller nor Attorney General William Barr charged President Trump with a crime for the actions detailed in Special Counsel Mueller's report,²⁸ in part because of a controversial Office of Legal Counsel opinion indicating that a sitting President cannot be indicted for a crime.²⁹ However, over a thousand former federal prosecutors, who served under Republican and Democratic administrations, issued a statement shortly after the release of the Special Counsel's report that stated, in part, as follows:

Each of us believes that the conduct of President Trump described in Special Counsel Robert Mueller's report would, in the case of any other person not covered by the Office of Legal Counsel policy against indicting a sitting President, result in multiple felony charges for obstruction of justice.³⁰

After releasing his report in April, Special Counsel Mueller testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee and the House Intelligence Committee on July 24, 2019 31 During his testimony, Special Counsel Mueller confirmed that Russia was still engaging in ongoing efforts to attack future elections and warned that the United States must "use the full resources that we have to address this" interference.³² On July 25, one day after Special Counsel Mueller testified. President Trump spoke on the phone with the newly-elected President of Ukraine. President Volodymyr Zelensky.33 Unknown at the time, this phone call would soon set off the comprehensive investigation leading to President Trump's impeachment and the current trial in the Senate.

Ukraine

On April 21, 2019, several months before Special Counsel Mueller's public testimony,

Volodymyr Zelensky was elected President of Ukraine and later that day, President Trump called him to congratulate him on his victory.³⁴ On that call, President Trump extended a future invitation to the White House and he also promised that he would send a "very, very high level" representative from the United States to attend President Zelensky's inauguration.³⁵

Two days after President Trump's call with President Zelensky, on April 23, media reports confirmed that former Vice President Joe Biden would enter the 2020 presidential race.³⁶ Around this time, the President's personal attorney, Rudy Giuliani, was leading a smear campaign to tarnish and remove then-U.S. ambassador to Ukraine, Marie Yovanovitch, a respected diplomat known for advancing the United States' anticorruption efforts abroad.37 The smear campaign was also advanced by two "corrupt former prosecutors"-Mr. Lutsenko and Mr. Shokin—in Ukraine.³⁸ It was widely confirmed that the corrupt Ukraine prosecutors were seeking "revenge against" Ambassador Yovanovitch for exposing their misconduct.³⁹ On the day after the media reported that former Vice President Biden was entering the presidential race, President Trump recalled Ambassador Yovanovitch from her position in Ukraine.⁴⁰

Mr. Lutsenko and Mr. Giuliani both promoted two conspiracy theories that have been pursued by President Trump.41 One of the conspiracy theories alleged that Ukraine hacked a Democratic National Committee (DNC) server in 2016 in order to frame Russia for election interference and help the Clinton Campaign.42 The other theory alleged that former Vice President Biden coerced the Ukrainian government into firing Mr. Shokin to "prevent an investigation into Burisma Holdings, a Ukrainian energy company for which Vice President Biden's son, Hunter, served as a board member."⁴³ Both theories have been criticized and debunked by officials in the Trump Administration.44

On May 3, 2019, shortly after President Zelensky's election, President Trump and President Putin spoke by telephone and discussed, in part, the so-called "Russian referring Hoax." to Special Counsel Mueller's investigation.45 During that conversation, President Putin reportedly spoke negatively about Ukraine, suggesting that it was corrupt and that President Zelensky was "in the thrall of oligarchs." ⁴⁶ A Washington Post article, published on December 19, 2019, reported that a senior White House official even indicated that President Trump suggested that "he knew Ukraine was the real culprit [of 2016 election interference] because 'Putin told me.' '' 47

On May 9, the New York Times reported that the President's personal attorney, Mr. Giuliani, would be traveling to Ukraine to pressure the government to open investigations into the conspiracy theories about Burisma and the 2016 election.⁴⁸ Mr. Giuliani specifically acknowledged "[t]his isn't foreign policy" but that the investigations "will be very, very helpful to my client."⁴⁹

Around May 13, President Trump ordered Vice President Pence not to attend President Zelensky's inauguration and sent a lowerranking delegation, despite his promise to President Zelensky to send a "very, very high level" representative.⁵⁰ This delegation included Secretary of Energy Rick Perry, Ambassador to the European Union Gordon Sondland. Special Representative for Ukraine Negotiations Ambassador Kurt Volker and NSC Director for Ukraine Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Vindman.51

On May 23, despite positive reports from the delegation regarding President Zelensky's effort to combat corruption, President Trump said he "didn't believe" the delegation because that was not what Mr. Giuliani had told him.⁵² The President also reiterated that Ukraine "tried to take me down" during the 2016 election, confirming that he still believed the conspiracy theory that Ukraine, not Russia, was actually responsible for 2016 election interference.⁵³ President Trump directed Ambassador Sondland, Secretary Perry and Ambassador Volker to "talk to Rudy" and coordinate engagement with the Ukraine government.⁵⁴

Despite President Trump's misplaced concerns about Ukrainian conspiracy theories, in May 2019, the Department of Defense (DOD) and the State Department *certified* that Ukraine had "taken substantial actions" to decrease corruption.⁵⁵ This was important because it was a necessary requirement in order for DOD to release \$250 million in Ukrainian military assistance that had been appropriated and authorized by Congress.⁵⁶ Congress had also appropriated and authorized another \$141 million to be administered by the State Department for security assistance to Ukraine.⁵⁷

However, by July 12, the President had ordered a block on all military and security assistance for Ukraine against overwhelming recommendations from across the Executive Branch and strong bipartisan support for the aid.⁵⁸ The hold continued throughout August in violation of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974.⁵⁹ The President did not initially give a reason for the hold, although by September, the President claimed that the hold was because he was concerned about corruption in Ukraine and burden-sharing for Ukrainian assistance among European allies.⁶⁰

Throughout this time period, it also became clear that President Trump was withholding the White House meeting that he promised President Zelensky during their April 21 phone call.⁶¹ Ambassador Taylor, Ambassador Yovanovitch's replacement in Ukraine, pushed for the White House meeting, but he learned that the meeting was conditioned explicitly on Ukraine publically announcing investigations into the 2016 election and Burisma.62 Ambassador Sondland was unequivocal in his description during his testimony: "Was there a quid pro quo? As I testified previously with regard to the requested White House call and the White House meeting, the answer is yes. , 63

After a July 10 meeting, Dr. Fiona Hill, former Senior Director of European and Russian Affairs at the National Security Council, informed then-National Security Advisor John Bolton that Ambassador Sondland reiterated the guid pro guo to Ukrainian officials during a meeting at the White House.⁶⁴ Dr. Hill testified that Mr. Bolton advised her to "go and tell [the NSC Legal Advisor] that I am not part of whatever drug deal Sondland and Mulvaney are cooking up on this." 65 Over the next two weeks, Mr. Giuliani coordinated with Ambassadors Sondland and Volker to arrange a phone call between President Trump and President Zelensky for President Zelensky to inform President Trump that he would announce the investigations.66

On July 25, President Trump spoke on the phone with President Zelensky.⁶⁷ At one point, President Zelensky thanked President Trump for the "great support" in military assistance and indicated that Ukraine would be interested in purchasing more Javelin anti-tank missiles soon.⁶⁸ In response, immediately after the Javelin reference, President Trump stated as follows: "I would like you to do us a favor though." ⁶⁹ President Trump brought up the investigations that he sought into the Ukrainian election interference and Biden conspiracy theories.⁷⁰ After the call, Ambassador Sondland informed a State Department aide that President Trump "did

not give a [expletive] about Ukraine" and he only cared only about "big stuff," meaning ""the Biden investigation' that Mr. Giuliani was pushing." 71

Around that time, the Ukrainian government also became aware that President Trump was withholding military aid.72 On August 12,Ambassadors Volker and with consultation from Sondland. Mr Giuliani, edited a draft statement for President Zelensky to publically release that included explicit references to "Burisma and the 2016 U.S. elections."⁷³ On that same day, a whistleblower filed a complaint with the Intelligence Community Inspector General expressing concerns about President Trump's phone call with President Zelensky on July 25.74

Ukraine ultimately did not release the statement regarding investigations and no further action was taken regarding a White House meeting.⁷⁵ Furthermore, there were increasing concerns among national security officials regarding President Trump's hold on military aid, which many began to understand was meant to pressure Ukraine too.⁷⁶ Ambassador Sondland testified that President Trump's effort to condition release of the security assistance on Ukraine announcing investigations was as clear as "two plus two equals four."⁷⁷

On September 7, President Trump and Ambassador Sondland spoke on the telephone and Ambassador Sondland explained that President told him "there was no quid pro quo, but President Zelensky must announce the opening of the investigations and he should want to do it."78 Shortly after, on September 9, Ambassador Taylor texted Ambassadors Sondland and Volker and explicitly said, "I think it's crazy to withhold security assistance for help with a political campaign."⁷⁹ On that same day, the Intelligence Community Inspector General notified Congress of the August 12 whistleblower complaint regarding President Trump's July 25 phone call with President Zelensky.⁸⁰

Two days later, President Trump unexpectedly released his hold on Ukraine's security assistance.⁸¹ Since President Trump lifted the hold, however, he has continued to press Ukraine, and even other foreign countries, to open investigations into his political rival.⁸² For example, on October 3, President Trump stated as follows on the White House lawn:

Well I would think that if they [Ukraine] were honest about it, they'd start a major investigation into the Bidens. It's a very simple answer. They should investigate the Bidens... Likewise, China should start an investigation into the Bidens because what happened in China is just about as bad as what happened with Ukraine. So, I would say that President Zelensky, if it were me, I would recommend that they start an investigation into the Bidens.⁸³

To date, President Zelensky still has not met with President Trump at the White House.

$Congressional \ Investigations$

As noted above, Congress was notified on September 9 of the August 12 whistleblower complaint regarding President Trump's phone call with Ukraine.⁸⁴ Speaker Nancy Pelosi announced on September 24 that the House would move forward with an official impeachment inquiry.⁸⁵

On September 9 and September 24, three House Committee sent letters to White House Counsel Pat Cipollone asking for six specific categories of documents related to the Ukraine investigation.⁹⁶ The White House did not respond, and as a result, the Committees issued a subpoena to Acting White House Chief of Staff, Mick Mulvaney.⁸⁷

On October 8, Mr. Cipollone responded and indicated that "President Trump cannot permit his Administration to participate in this partisan inquiry under these circumstances."⁸⁸ The letter called the inquiry "constitutionally invalid" even though the Constitution grants the House the sole power of impeachment.⁸⁹ The letter made reference to "long-established Executive Branch confidentiality interests and privileges,"⁹⁰ although President Trump has never specifically asserted an executive privilege over a single piece of information related to the inquiry.

As a result of President Trump's blanket directive, every Executive Branch agency that received an impeachment inquiry request or subpoena has not complied with the request.⁹¹ Specifically, the Executive Branch has not produced a single document or permitted a single witness to testify in response to a subpoena.⁹² The only witnesses who did testify or submit documents did so in direct violation of the White House's directive.⁹³

IV. ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT

As we know, Article I, Section 2, Clause 5 of the Constitution states that "[t]he Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments." ⁹⁴ As a Senator reviewing this case, I have based my assessment of the evidence on the following two questions:

(1) Did the president do what he is charged with in the Articles?; and

(2) If so, is that action an impeachable offense that warrants removal from office? *Abuse of Power*

In the first Article of Impeachment, the House of Representatives charged President Trump with abusing his power as President by corruptly "soliciting the Government of Ukraine to publicly announce investigations that would benefit his reelection, harm the election prospects of a political opponent, and influence the 2020 United States Presidential election to his advantage." ⁹⁵ In this case, I have found that the House has presented substantial, persuasive evidence to prove the allegations in Article I.

First, there is no dispute that the White House directly withheld \$391 million dollars in military aid from Ukraine.⁹⁶ The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) held the aid, at the direction of the President, despite the Department of Defense and the State Department certifying that Ukraine was taking necessary measures to reduce corruption.⁹⁷ Furthermore, all agencies—except OMB strongly supported the release of the aid because it was in the national interest of the United States.⁹⁸

Nor is there dispute that President Trump withheld a White House meeting with President Zelensky. On his April 21 phone call, President Trump explicitly invited President Zelensky to the White House in the future.⁹⁹ However, after former Vice President Joe Biden announced his candidacy for President just a few days later, President Zelensky despite numerous efforts—still has not met with President Trump at the White House.

Second, the evidence establishes that President Trump conditioned the aid and the White House meeting on Ukraine announcing investigations into Burisma and the 2016 election. In the July 25 phone call, President Trump asked President Zelensky to "do us a favor though" and referenced the 2016 election and Burisma investigations immediately after President Zelensky brought up military assistance.¹⁰⁰

Related to the White House meeting, Ambassador Sondland could not have been more clear when he testified that "yes," there was a quid pro quid conditioning a White House meeting with Ukraine announcing investigations into the Bidens and Burisma.¹⁰¹ He further testified that the conditioning of the White House meeting and military assistance on Ukraine publically announcing investigations was as clear as "2+2=4."¹⁰² So, the question is: Why? Was President Trump acting corruptly to advance his own political interests, or was he, as his defense attorneys would have us believe, deeply concerned about ongoing "corruption" in Ukraine and "burden-sharing?"¹⁰³ The facts clearly established that President Trump was acting corruptly to further his own political interests. First, while the President's defense law-

First, while the President's defense lawyers have rightly argued that the President "defines foreign policy,"¹⁰⁴ the facts do not support that the President's actions related to Ukraine were based on "legitimate concerns" regarding corruption and burdensharing.¹⁰⁵ Also, if the President was so concerned about corruption in Ukraine, why did he dismiss one of the Nation's best corruption-fighting diplomats, Ambassador Marie Yovanovitch?¹⁰⁶

Second, the President was utilizing his personal attorney, Mr. Giuliani, to coordinate the announcement of investigations in Ukraine, Mr. Giuliani explicitly said that he was not engaged in foreign policy, but was acting on behalf of President Trump in his "personal capacity."¹⁰⁷ The State Department also released a statement in August emphasizing that Mr. Giuliani is a private citizen acting in his personal capacity and "does not speak on behalf of the U.S. government."¹⁰⁸ Accordingly, one cannot reasonably argue that the investigations pursued by Mr. Giuliani were related to "legitimate" foreign policy when they were coordinated by the President's personal attorney for the President's personal benefit.

Third, it was the prior practice of the Administration to release aid to Ukraine without delay or regard to alleged corruption and burden-sharing concerns. Both of these asserted concerns were an after-the-fact distraction from the truth. The Trump Administration disbursed—without question—approximately \$511 million and \$359 million to Ukraine in 2017 and 2018, respectively.¹⁰⁹ The only thing that changed in 2019 was that former Vice President Joe Biden announced that he was running for President.

Finally, the proposed investigations into Burisma and 2016 election interference were debunked conspiracy theories that would have only benefited one person-Donald Trump. Burisma, Regarding President Trump claimed that former Vice President Biden corruptly forced Ukraine to fire then-Prosecutor General Shokin to avoid further investigation into Burisma.¹¹⁰ The truth is that Vice President Biden was actually pursuing Mr. Shokin's termination-with bipartisan and international support-because Mr. Shokin was a corrupt and ineffective prosecutor.¹¹¹ In fact, Mr. Shokin was not actively investigating Burisma and his removal would have made it more likely-not less-that Burisma would be investigated in the future ¹¹²

Furthermore, even if we were to accept that President Trump had legitimate interests regarding alleged corruption in Ukraine, he certainly should not have asked a foreign government to announce the investigation. Rather, he should have gone through official channels and asked the Department of Justice to look into the allegations.¹¹³ Ambassador Sondland indicated that President Trump was only concerned about the announcement of investigations-he was not concerned with the actual completion of investigations.¹¹⁴ President Trump was not actually interested in corruption in Ukraine, but was only concerned with harming a political opponent with the announcement of an investigation.

Regarding Ukrainian election interference, President Trump has suggested that Ukraine attempted to help the Hillary Clinton campaign in 2016 by framing Russia and hacking

a Democratic National Committee server.¹¹⁵ This theory is not supported by any evidence. The U.S. Intelligence Community, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and Special Counsel Robert Mueller all came to the conclusion that Russia, not Ukraine, interfered in the 2016 election.¹¹⁶ Dr. Fiona Hill called this Ukraine theory a "fictional narrative that is being perpetrated and propagated by the Russian security services" to raise doubts about Russia's own culpability and to harm the relationship between the United States and Ukraine.¹¹⁷ President Trump's former Homeland Security Advisor, Tom Bossert, also indicated that the Ukraine theory was "not only a conspiracy theory, it is completely debunked."¹¹⁸ Pursuing such a clearly debunked conspiracy theory only served to benefit President Trump, and Putin, by raising doubts regarding Russia's own election interference and its preference for President Trump's election in 2016.

Based on this evidence, it is clear that President Trump acted corruptly by conditioning the release of military aid and a White House meeting on Ukraine announcing investigations into his political opponent. Obstruction of Congress

Under the second Article of Impeachment, the House charged that President Trump has obstructed Congress by directing the "the unprecedented, categorical, and indiscriminate defiance of subpoenas issued by the House of Representatives pursuant to its 'sole Power of Impeachment.' ¹¹⁹ I have concluded that the House has presented substantial evidence to prove the allegations in this Article.

On October 8, 2019, during the House impeachment inquiry, the White House Counsel wrote that "President Trump cannot permit his Administration to participate in this partisan inquiry under these circumstances."¹²⁰ As a result of President Trump's directives, the House did not receive a "single document" from the White House, the Vice President, OMB, the Department of State, DOD or the Department of Energy—despite 71 requests and demands.¹²¹ Furthermore, the only witnesses who testified or produced documents did so in opposition to the President's directive.¹²²

President Trump did not assert a single claim of "executive privilege" over any specific document or piece of testimony during this inquiry.¹²³ Rather, he issued a blanket directive that completely denied the constitutional oversight responsibilities of the House.¹²⁴ Based on this evidence, it is clear that President Trump has obstructed Congress.

V. IMPEACHABLE CONDUCT

Having established that the President did, in fact, engage in the conduct alleged in these Articles—I now turn to whether this conduct warrants removal from office.

During the Constitutional Convention of 1787, our Founders grappled significantly with how to elect the Executive, but they also debated how to hold the Executive accountable. While some delegates believed that the President should only be held accountable at the ballot box through elections, others voiced the logical concern that "if [the President] be not impeachable whilst in office, he will spare no efforts or means whatever to get himself re-elected."¹²⁵ After much debate, the Convention voted that the Executive shall be "removable on impeachments"¹²⁶ and later confirmed the grounds for impeachment included "Treason, bribery and other crimes and high misdemeanors."¹²⁷

"High Crimes and Misdemeanors" is left ambiguous in the Constitution. At the time of the drafting, the Founders' understanding of "high Crimes and Misdemeanors" was informed by centuries of English legal precedent.¹²⁸ This understanding was reflected in Federalist No. 65, written by Alexander Hamilton, which explained that impeachment should stem from an "abuse or violation of some public trust."¹²⁹ Noted historian Ron Chernow explained that Hamilton's understanding of impeachment should "count heavily because he was the foremost proponent of a robust presidency, yet he also harbored an abiding fear that a brazen demagogue could seize the office."¹³⁰ Informed by this history, Congress has consistently interpreted "high Crimes and Misdemeanors" broadly to mean "serious violations of the

public trust."¹³¹ The President's defense lawyers argued that impeachment requires a violation of a criminal statute to be constitutionally valid.¹³² This argument is not supported by historical precedent, credible scholarship or our common sense about the sacred notion of the public trust.¹³³ When applying the accurate Hamiltonian standard for impeachment—an "abuse or violation of some public trust"-it is clear that President Trump's conduct exceeds that standard. Any effort to corrupt an election must be met with a swift measure of accountability as provided for under the impeachment clause in the Constitution. There is no other remedy to constrain a President who has acted, time and again, to advance his personal interests over those of the Nation.

Furthermore, since his candidacy, President Trump has engaged in substantial and ongoing efforts to solicit foreign interference in our elections. As detailed in Special Counsel Mueller's report, the Trump campaign routinely welcomed Russian interference in the 2016 presidential election because they "expected [the Campaign] would benefit electorally from information stolen and released through Russian efforts."¹³⁴ As an illustration of just how brazen President Trump has become in his conduct, his July 25 phone call with President Zelensky occurred just one day after Special Counsel Mueller testified in Congress, where he warned of the ongoing threat of foreign interference in elections.¹³⁵ As the Washington Post reported on September 21 in a story written by three reporters who have covered the President for several years, the President's conduct on the Ukraine call revealed a president convinced of his own invincibility-apparently willing and even eager to wield the vast powers of the United States to taint a political foe and confident that no one could hold him back." 136

The President's blanket obstruction of Congress also substantially imperils our constitutional system of checks and balances. Not only has this President taken the unprecedented step of issuing an outright refusal to cooperate with Congressional oversight in this case, but President Trump has exhibited an ongoing hostility to oversight of his administration. As detailed in Special Counsel Mueller's report. President Trump engaged in ten distinct efforts to obstruct and curtail investigations into his conduct and Russia's interference in the 2016 election.¹³⁷ It is clear that this President has engaged in an ongoing pattern of behavior that threatens to diminish any meaningful future oversight of the Executive Branch.

Given the President's ongoing pattern of corrupt behavior, especially as it relates to the next election, I find him "guilty" under both Articles of Impeachment.

VI. CONCLUSION

Our Founders had the foresight to ensure that the power of the President was not unlimited and that Congress could—if necessary—hold the Executive accountable for abuses of power through the impeachment process. This Senate trial is not simply about grave presidential abuse of power, it is about our Democracy, the sanctity of our elections and the very values that the Founders agreed should guide our Nation.

The inscription—"[a]ll public service is a trust, given in faith and accepted in honor"—serves as a reminder to us all of the bedrock principles of our republic. We must hold those accountable who violate this sacred trust. President Trump *dishonored* that public trust given to him by abusing his power for personal, political gain. In order to prevent continuing interference in our upcoming election and blatant obstruction of Congress, the Senate should find him guilty under both Articles.

ENDNOTES

1. THE FEDERALIST NO. 65 (Alexander Hamilton).

2. Vivian Salama & Julie Pace, Trump Has Embraced Autocratic Leaders Without Hesitation, PBS (Apr. 19, 2017), https:// www.pbs.org/newshour/world/trump-embraced-autocratic-leaders-without-hesi-

tation. See also Michael S. Schmidt & Maggie Haberman, Bolton Was Concerned That Trump Did Favors for Autocratic Leaders, Book Says, N.Y. TIMES (Jan. 27, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/27/us/politics/john-bolton-trump-book-barr.html (explaining that President Trump's former National Security Advisor, John Bolton, was concerned that "President Trump was effectively granting personal favors to . . . auto-

cratic leaders"). 3. Transcript: Trump and Putin's Joint Press Conference, NPR (July 16, 2018) [hereinafter Helsinki Transcript], https://www.npr.org/ 2018/07/16/629462401/transcript-presidenttrump-and-russian-president-putins-jointpress-conference.

4. U.S. INTELLIGENCE CMTY., ICA 2017–01D, ASSESSING RUSSIAN ACTIVITIES AND INTEN-TIONS IN RECENT US ELECTIONS iI (2017). 5 Udiniti Transmitt ourse pote 2

5. Helsinki Transcript, supra note 3.

6. How Republican Lawnakers Responded to Trump's Russian Meddling Denial, N.Y. TIMES (July 17, 2018), https://www.nytimes.com/ interactive/2018/07/16/us/politics/republicanstrump-putin-russia-reaction.html.

7. Niels Lesniewski, 'Pathetic Rout,' 'Tragic Mistake' and 'Painful'—John McCain Holds Little Back in Describing Helsinki, ROLLCALL (July 16, 2018), https://www.rollcall.com/news/ politics/mccain-calls-trump-performancewith-putin-a-pathetic-rout.

8. H.R. Res. 755, 116th Cong. art. I (2019).

9 See 166 CONG. REC. S438-41 (daily ed. Jan. 21, 2020) (identifying the amendments proposed by Minority Leader Schumer seeking documents and witnesses).

10. See id. at S394-431 (detailing the amendments and roll call votes on the amendments).

11. Press Release, Quinnipiac Univ. Poll, 75% Of Voters Say Allow Witnesses In Senate Impeachment Trial, Quinnipiac University National Poll Finds; 53% Say President Trump Not Telling Truth About Ukraine (Jan. 28, 2020), https://poll.qu.edu/national/release-detail?ReleaseID=3654.

12. Sheryl Gay Stolberg, McConnell, Coordinating With White House, Lays Plans for Impeachment Trial, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 17, 2019), https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/13/us/politics/mcconnell-white-house-impeachmenttrial html

13. Press Release, Citizens For Responsibility & Ethics in Washington, New Analysis: Every Impeachment Trial Has Had New Witnesses (Jan. 28, 2020), https:// www.citizensforethics.org/press-release/newwitnesses-impeachment/.

14. *Id.* 15. I ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE, REPORT ON THE INVESTIGATION INTO RUSSIAN INTERFERENCE IN THE 2016 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION 1 (2019) [hereinafter MUELLER REPORT].

16. Id. at 1-2.

17. U.S. INTELLIGENCE CMTY., supra note 4, at ii.

18. 2 SELECT COMM. ON INTELLIGENCE, U.S. SENATE, 116TH CONG. REPORT ON RUSSIAN AC-TIVE MEASURES CAMPAIGNS AND INTER-FERENCE IN THE 2016 U.S. ELECTION: RUSSIA'S USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA 3-4 (COMM. Print 2019).

I MUELLER REPORT, *supra* note 15, at 5.
Id. at 49.
Id.

22. Transcript: ABC News' George Stephanopoulos' Exclusive Interview with President Trump, ABC NEWS (June 16, 2019), https:// abcnews.go.com/Politics/transcript-abcnews-george-stephanopoulos-exclusive-inter-

view-president/story?id=63749144. 23. @realDonaldTrump, TWITTER (July 29,

2018, 3:35 PM), https://twitter.com/ realdonaldtrump/status/1023653191974625280; see also Olivia Paschal, *Trump's Tweets and the Creation of 'Illusory Truth*,' ATLANTIC (Aug. 3, 2018), https://www.theatlantic.com/ politics/archive/2018/08/how-trumps-witchhunt-tweets-create-an-illusory-truth/566693/ (explaining that President Trump referred to the Mueller investigation as a ''witch hunt'' no less than 84 times between January and August 2018).

24. @realDonaldTrump, TWITTER (Aug. 1, 2018, 3:35 PM), https://twitter.com/ realdonaldtrump/status/1024656465158721536.

25. II MUELLER REPORT, supra note 15, at 62–64.

26. Id. at 77–90.

27. Id. at 113–20.

28. Oversight of the Report on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election: Former Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III: Hearing Before the H.R. Comm. on the Judiciary, 116th Cong. 6 (2019) [hereinafter Mueller Hearing I] (statement of Robert S. Mueller, III, Special Counsel); Letter from the Honorable William Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice, to Chairman Lindsay Graham, S. Comm. on the Judiciary, et al. (Mar. 24, 2019), https://www.justice.gov/ag/page/file/ 1147981/download.

29. A Sitting President's Amenability to Indictment and Criminal Prosecution, 24 Op. O.L.C. 222 (2000), https://www.justice.gov/ sites/default/files/olc/opinions/2000/10/31/opolc-v024-p0222-0.pdf.

30. DOJ Alumni Statement, Statement by Former Federal Prosecutors, MEDIUM (May 6, 2019), https://medium.com/@dojalumni/statement-by-former-federal-prosecutors-8ab7691c2aa1.

31. Mueller Hearing I, supra note 28; Former Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller III on the Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election: Hearing Before the H.R. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, 116th Cong. (2019) [hereinafter Mueller Hearing II].

32. Mueller Hearing II, supra note 31, at 75. 33. H.R. PERMANENT SELECT COMM. ON IN-TELLIGENCE, THE TRUMP-UKRAINE IMPEACH-MENT INQUIRY REPORT, H.R. REP. NO. 116-335, at 2-3 (2019) [hereinafter HPSCI REPORT].

34. Id. at 39. 35. Id.

36 Molly Nagle, Former Vice President Joe Biden to Announce He's Entering the 2020 Race Thursday Morning, ABC NEWS (Apr. 23, 2019), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/vice-president-joe-biden-announce-hes-entering-2020/ story?id=62558852.

37. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 25.

38. Id. at 28.

- 39. Id.
- 40. Id. at 26-27.
- 41. Id. at 29.
- 42. Id. at 29-30.
- 43. Id.

44. Id. at 88-89. Related to the Ukraine election interference theory, President

Trump's former Homeland Security Advisor, Tom Bossert, publicly stated that it was "not only a conspiracy theory, it is completely debunked." Id. at 89. Dr. Fiona Hill, former Senior Director of European and Russian Affairs at the National Security Council, called it a "fictional narrative that is being perpetrated and propagated by the Russian security services." Id. at 88. She also indicated that former National Security Advisor H.R. McMaster "spent a lot of time" trying to convince President Trump that the theory was Russian propaganda. Id. at 89. Furthermore, FBI Director Christopher Wray confirmed that the FBI had "no information that indicates that Ukraine interfered with the 2016 presidential election.' Luke Barr & Alexander Mallin, FBI Director Pushes Back On Debunked Conspiracy Theory About 2016 Election Interference, ABC NEWS (Dec. 9, 2019), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/fbi-director-pushes-back-debunked-conspiracy-theory-2016/story?id=67609244.

45. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 46.

46. Id. at 47.

47. Shane Harris et al., Former White House Officials Say They Feared Putin Influenced the President's Views on Ukraine and 2016 Campaign, WASH. POST (Dec. 19, 2019), https:// www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/ former-white-house-officials-say-theyfeared-putin-influenced-the-presidentsviews-on-ukraine-and-2016-campaign/2019/12/ 19/af0fdbf6-20e9-11ea-bed5-880264cc91a9_story.html.

48. Kenneth P. Vogel, Rudy Giuliani Plans Ukraine Trip to Push for Inquiries That Could Help Trump, N.Y. TIMES (May 9, 2019), https:// www.nytimes.com/2019/05/09/us/politics/ giuliani-ukraine-trump.html.

49. Id. Mr. Giuliani also wrote a letter to President-elect Zelensky requesting a meeting as the attorney for President Trump in his capacity as a "private citizen, not as President of the United States." H.R. COMM. ON THE JUDICIARY, IMPEACHMENT OF PRESI-DENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP: THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD PURSUANT TO H. RES. 798, H.R. DOC. NO. 116-95, VOL. IV, at 7639 (2020) [hereinafter EVIDENTIARY RECORD].

50. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 39, 47.

51. Id. at 48.

52. Id. at 50.

53. Id. Despite reports that certain Ukrainian officials did prefer Hillary Clinton in the 2016 election, there is little comparison to the Russian interference personally directed by President Vladimir Putin to assist the Trump campaign: "There's little evidence of such a top-down effort by Ukraine. Longtime observers suggest that the rampant corruption, factionalism and economic struggles plaguing the country-not to mention its ongoing strife with Russia-would render it unable to pull off an ambitious covert interference campaign in another country's election." Kenneth P. Vogel & David Stern, Ukrainian Efforts to Sabotage Trump Backfire, 2017), POLITICO (Jan. 11, https:// www.politico.com/story/2017/01/ukraine-sabo-

tage-trump-backfire-233446. 54. HPSCI REPORT, *supra* note 33, at 50.

55. Id. at 57.

56. Id.

57. Id. at 57-58.

58. Id. at 59.

59. U.S. GOV'T ACCOUNTABILITY OFF., B-331564, MATTER OF OFFICE OF MGMT. & BUDG-ET-WITHHOLDING OF UKRAINE SEC. ASSIST-ANCE (2020), https://www.gao.gov/assets/710/ 703909.pdf.

60. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 59-62. See, e.g., EVIDENTIARY RECORD, vol. II, pt. 1, supra note 49, at 48-49 (testifying that burden-sharing was first provided as a rationale to him in September).

61. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 70-71. 62. Id. at 72.

- 63. Id. at 82. 64. Id. at 76-78.
- 65. Id. at 78.
- 66. Id. at 79-84.
- 67. Id. at 86.

68. Id. at 87.

- 69. Id. at 87-88.
- 70. Id. at 88-90.
- 71. Id. at 99. 72. Id. at 69-70.
- 73. Id. at 106-08.
- 74. Id. at 128.
- 75. Id. at 110-11, 131-33.
- 76. Id. at 111-25.
- 77 Id. at 16.
- 78. Id. at 120.
- 79. Id. at 122.
- 80. Id. at 128.
- 81. Id. at 129-30.
- 82. Id. at 131-35.

83. PBS NewsHour, Trump Says China Should Investigate the Bidens, YOUTUBE (Oct. 3, 2019), https://youtu.be/eJd1y0TPP18?t=99.

- 84. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 128.
- 85. Id. at 173.
- 86. Id. at 181.
- 87. Id.

88. Letter from Pat A. Cipollone, Counsel to the President, to Speaker Nancy Pelosi, House of Representatives, et al., 2 (Oct. 8, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-con-2019). tent/uploads/2019/10/PAC-Letter-10.08.2019.pdf.

- 89. Id. 90. Id. at 4.
- 91. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 180.
- 92 Id
- 93. Id.
- 94. U.S. CONST. art. I. §2. cl. 5.
- 95. H.R. Res. 755, 116th Cong. art. I (2019).

96. See supra text accompanying notes 58-60.

- 97. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 57. 98. Id. at 60-62.
- 99. Id. at 39.
- 100. Id. at 87-90.
- 101. Id. at 82.
- 102. Id. at 16.

103. Trial Memorandum of President Donald J. Trump at 10, In Re Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump (Jan. 20, 2020).

- 104. Id. at 2.
- 105. Id. at 10.

106. See supra text accompanying notes 36-40

107. EVIDENTIARY RECORD, vol. IV, supra note 49, at 7639.

108. Allan Smith, Giuliani Says State Dept. Aided His Effort to Press Ukraine on Trump Opponents, NBC NEWS (Aug. 22, 2019), https:// www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/ giuliani-says-state-dept-aided-his-effortpress-ukraine-trump-n1045171.

109. Statement of Material Facts: Attachment to the Trial Memorandum of the United States House of Representatives at 14, In Re Impeachment of President Donald Trump (Jan. 18, 2020) [hereinafter House Manager's Statement of Material Facts].

110. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 42-43. 111. Id.

- 112. Id. at 43.
- 113. Id. at 108-09.
- 114. House Manager's Statement of Material Facts, supra note 109, at 20.
- 115. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 88.
- 116. Id. at 29.
- 117. Id. at 88.
- 118. Id. at 89.
- 119. H.R. Res. 755, 116th Cong. art. II (2019) (quoting U.S. CONST. art. I, §2, cl. 5).
- 120. HPSCI REPORT, supra note 33, at 175
- 121. Id. at 180.
- 122. Id. 123. Id. at 179.
- 124. Id.

125. 2 MAX FARRAND, ED., THE RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL CONVENTION OF 1787, 64 (1911) (Madison).

126. Id. at 69 (Madison).

127. Id. at 550 (Madison). See also U.S. CONST. art. II, §4 ("The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors.").

128. See Charles L. Black, Jr. & Philip BOBBITT, IMPEACHMENT: A HANDBOOK, NEW EDITION 43 (2018) ("The phrase 'high Crimes and Misdemeanors' comes to us out of English law and practice, starting (as far as we know) in 1386.").

129. THE FEDERALIST NO. 65 (Alexander Hamilton).

130. Ron Chernow, Hamilton Pushed For Impeachment Powers. Trump Is What He Had In Mind., WASH, POST (Oct. 18, 2019), https:// www.washingtonpost.com/outlook/2019/10/18/ hamilton-pushed-impeachment-powers-

trump-is-what-he-had-mind/?arc404=true. 131. H.R. REPT. NO. 101-36, at 5 (1989).

132. 166 CONG. REC. S611 (daily ed. Jan. 27, 2020) (statement of Counsel Dershowitz explaining that "[p]urely non-criminal con-duct, including abuse of power and obstruction of Congress, are outside the range of impeachable offenses"). 133. See e.g., S. MISC. DOC. No. 40-42, at 8

(1868) (impeaching President Johnson for bringing "the high office of the President of the United States into contempt, ridicule and disgrace"); H.R. REPT. No. 93-1305, at 2 (1974) (recommending Articles of Impeachment against President Nixon because he "prevented, obstructed, and impeded the administration of justice"); H.R. Res. 601, 105th Cong. art. IV (1998) (impeaching President Clinton for an "abuse of high office").

134. I MUELLER REPORT, supra note 15, at 5. 135. See supra text accompanying note 31-33.

136. Philip Rucker et al., Trump's Ukraine Call Reveals a President Convinced of His Own Invincibility, WASH. POST (Sept. 21, 2019), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/ trumps-ukraine-call-reveals-a-president-convinced-of-his-own-invincibility/2019/09/21/ 1a56466c-dc6a-11e9-ac63-

3016711543fe_story.html. 137. See II MUELLER REPORT, supra note 15, at 3-4 (summarizing the ten incidents).

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. President, I seek recognition today regarding the recent impeachment trial of President Donald Trump. This was a rare moment in our young Nation's history. We had little to guide us other than the Founding Fathers' collective wisdom and sparse precedent.

The process may seem daunting, and the debate over even the most basic mechanics of the trial could leave the future Members of this body susceptible to deception or misinformation. I therefore want to offer my thoughts for future Senators when this issue inevitably rises again.

The impeachment trial proceedings are unique. It is an inherently political process analogous to a legal trial. There is a prosecution, represented by the House managers, as well as a defense, representing the President. There is also a presiding judge, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

As in a courtroom, the prosecution and defense take opposite sides of the judge as they make their arguments. The burden of proof is on the prosecutors, who must present their evidence, and it is the job of the defense to refute the arguments.

There is also a jury, the U.S. Senate. Like a courtroom jury, we sit in silence throughout the trial listening to the arguments of both sides and are asked to render a verdict at the conclusion. However, unlike a courtroom but as instructed by the Constitution, we are not jurors subject to peremptory challenge; we are elected officials instructed to offer impartial justice based on the evidence presented to us.

We are not expected to check our knowledge or our existing relationships at the door. If this were a true trial, all Senators would have to recuse themselves for the inherent bias connected to the election certificate they earned. As Alexander Hamilton wrote in Federalist Paper 65, "In many cases, it [impeachment] will connect itself with the pre-existing factions, and will enlist all their animosities, partialities, influence, and interest on one side or on the other." Rather, we are asked to follow our conscience, to hear the arguments of both sides with an open mind and deliver a verdict. We also differ from courtroom jurors in that we establish the rules for the proceedings. This is done through organizing resolutions we debate and pass.

Before considering the merits of this particular case, it is important to discuss the idea of impeachment itself in light of the present context. During President Trump's hearing, the President's legal team alluded to the idea that a President can do essentially whatever he or she wants, and it will not be considered an impeachable offense as long as that President's interests in doing so align with the interests of the United States.

"If a President does something which he believes will help him get elected in the public interest, that cannot be the kind of quid pro quo that results in impeachment," said Alan Dershowitz, a member of the President's legal team, during the trial.

I feel that particular statement is wrong. The Constitution grants no President absolute power. There is a threshold that can be reached. Thankfully, this was later clarified by Mr. Dershowitz in an opinion piece he wrote for The Hill entitled "I never said the President could do anything to get re-elected." In it, he said:

Any action by a politician motivated in part by a desire to be reelected was, by its nature, corrupt. Moving to my response, I listed three broad categories of relevant motives, which are pure national interest to help the military, pure corrupt motive to obtain a kickback, and mixed-motive to help the national interest in a way that can also help a reelection effort. I said the third motive was often the reality of politics, and helping your own reelection effort cannot by itself necessarily be deemed corrupt.

In the end, it is the duty of every Senator to determine whether the President acted in a purely self-interested manner without any regard for the national interest. Given the full context of his actions, it is clear President Trump did not act in a purely selfish, boundless manner. While the question of whether a President can commit a crime and therefore be impeached is firmly settled, there arises another question this impeachment trial did not sufficiently answer but must be addressed in the future.

The Constitution says it is the job of the House of Representatives to impeach a President whose trial is held before the Senate. According to current Senate rules, our body must move forward with impeachment proceedings, but is that according to the Constitution?

Article I, section 3 of the Constitution states:

The Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments. When sitting for that Purpose, they shall be on Oath or Affirmation. When the President of the United States is tried, the Chief Justice shall preside: And no Person shall be convicted without the Concurrence of two-thirds of the Members present.

With this impeachment behind us, now is the time we as a body need to evaluate the constitutionality and wisdom of our rules requiring the Senate to move forward with any impeachment articles. We must reaffirm our right to dictate what is considered on the Senate floor and when it is considered, which is not without precedent.

Article II, section 2 of the Constitution says:

He [the President] shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls, Judges of the Supreme Court, and all other Officers of the United States.

In 2016, after the passing of Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia, President Barack Obama appointed a Supreme Court nominee to replace him. However, with the election of a new President just months away, the Senate declared it would not consider this particular nominee and would instead let the people decide whom they would like to nominate a Supreme Court Justice.

The Senate was well within its right to decide the timing and consideration, or lack thereof, of this constitutional obligation to consider judicial nominations, and the same should be true of impeachment trials.

This is a question in need of an answer for future impeachment proceedings because impeachment articles brought by the House completely derail Senate legislative activity. We are unable to consider legislation, nominations, or conduct any floor activity.

While I agree such an enormous responsibility should elicit our undivided attention, it seems illogical to automatically grant primacy to impeachment articles, especially those as flawed as the ones presented by House Democrats.

The House's impeachment process was entirely partisan. Since the moment he was sworn in, Democrats schemed to remove Donald Trump from office. By May of 2017, 26 Democratic Members of Congress had called for the

impeachment of President Trump. Speaker PELOSI herself said impeachment was $2\frac{1}{2}$ years in the making.

When House Democrats finally agreed on a reason to impeach the President, their vote to begin the process received no Republican votes, and multiple Democrats voted against it. It does not seem unreasonable to me that a vote to begin an impeachment inquiry which has only partisan support and bipartisan opposition—as this one did—is not what the Founders had in mind and is what they firmly rejected and cautioned us against.

"Complaints are everywhere heard from our most considerate and virtuous citizens, equally the friends of public and private faith, and of public and personal liberty, that our governments are too unstable, that the public good is disregarded in the conflicts of rival parties, and that measures are too often decided, not according to the rules of justice and the rights of the minor party, but by the superior force of an interested and overbearing majority," Founding Father James Madison wrote in Federalist Paper 10. "However anxiously we may wish that these complaints had no foundation, the evidence, of known facts will not permit us to deny that they are in some degree true.'

When it came time for the House to vote on impeaching the President, the same "overbearing majority" outcome occurred. No minds were changed, but the country was further torn apart and the process strayed beyond the original intent of the Founding Fathers. The two Articles of Impeachment before this body were, in my view, without merit. They were an affront to this institution and to our Constitution, representing the very same partisan derangement that worried our Founding Fathers so much that they made the threshold for impeachment so high.

I think it would be universally agreeable that Impeachment Articles passed by a majority of one party and opposed by members of both parties at the very least fail the spirit of the Constitution. To this point, detractors could say the partisan nature of this impeachment proceeding is the fault of Republicans who blindly follow President Trump, rather than Democrats whose hatred for this President compels them to act more than the facts in front of them.

Such an argument quickly falls apart when you read the statements of Republicans who found the President's actions inappropriate but did not believe they rose to the level of impeachment. That argument further corrodes when you consider the content of the Impeachment Articles and the partisan and secretive process House Democrats followed in writing them.

Fundamentally, the Articles of Impeachment were incomplete. Democrats did not complete their own investigation before drafting and ultimately passing the articles, which is why Senate Democrats spent most of their time demanding witnesses and more documents. The House also did not provide due process to the President, nor to the minority during the House investigation. In October of 2019, as the House began formally considering impeachment in earnest, Senator LINDSEY GRA-HAM led several Senators in introducing S. Res. 378. It laid out specific issues we had with the House process in hopes it would remedy the situation before sending the articles to the Senate.

In it, we mentioned five rights President Trump was being denied, although the House had provided similar due process to Presidents Nixon and Clinton during their impeachments. The denied rights included allowing the President to be represented by counsel, permitting the President's counsel to be present at all hearings and depositions, permitting the President's counsel to present evidence and object to the admission of evidence, allowing the President's counsel to call and crossexamine witnesses; and giving the President's counsel access to and the ability to respond to the evidence offered by the Committee.

The impeachment process against President Trump had been nothing more than secretive hearings and selective leaks designed to sway public opinion and hurt the President politically. It was a hyper-partisan process completely void of due process, and that never changed until it reached the Senate. In our resolution, we also highlighted the fact that "the main allegations against President Trump are based on assertions and testimony from witnesses whom he is unable to confront, as part of a process in which he is not able to offer witnesses in his defense or have a basic understanding of the allegations lodged against him.

The issue of evidence, both its origin and the lack of compelling proof from the House managers, became the foundation of this impeachment. This investigation began because an anonymous national security official approached Democratic chairman ADAM SCHIFF with a secondhand claim that President Trump sought to withhold aid to a foreign country to force it to announce it would launch an investigation into one of the President's political rivals.

President Trump was quick to offer the transcript of the phone call where this allegedly occurred. He did, and it showed there was, in fact, no quid pro quo, and House Democrats in their investigation were never able to produce a firsthand witness to testify otherwise.

Future Senators should be sure to note the eagerness or reluctance of an accused President to share clarifying information. President Trump took unprecedented action to release the transcript of the conversation Democrats called into question—an action he was not legally required to take and most of his predecessors have never done. Contrast that with President Nixon, who fought until the end to hide his recorded conversations because he knew the contents were damning. Contrast President Trump's actions even further with the House Democrats who pursued a secretive, one-sided process to craft the narrative they wanted.

Despite several pieces of information demonstrating the President's innocence and none to the contrary, House Democrats continued this crusade. Their fixation on his removal was a conclusion in search of a justification.

They manufactured criminality from a simple phone conversation between world leaders, leaked by one of the many career bureaucrats who seem to have forgotten they work for the elected leaders in this country, not the other way around. Motives matter. In the future, Senators should be vigilant in figuring out an accuser's intention.

There is a common narrative that career bureaucrats are simply righteous, opinion-less civil servants. This impeachment and the actions leading up to it prove the exact opposite. By no means are all of them evil or ill-willed, but this proceeding showed they are far from unbiased, and they are capable of weaponizing the tools and access they are given.

Unsurprisingly, this led to two Impeachment Articles being sent to the Senate on a party-line vote that were without merit. They were an affront to this institution and to our Constitution, representing the very same partisan derangement that worried our Founding Fathers so much they made the threshold for impeachment this high.

The Founders created the Senate for moments just like this. When Impeachment Articles are sent to the Senate, it is not our job to fix the mistakes made by the House, and it is not our job to finish an investigation it admittedly did not complete. It is the Senate's solemn duty to set aside the heat of the moment, prevent short-term stress from leading to long-term decay, and deliver impartial justice.

As James Madison said at the Constitutional Convention, "The Senate is to consist in its proceeding with more coolness, with more system, and with more wisdom, than the popular branch." That is why, even under the cloud of purely partisan politics of the House of Representatives, the Senate conducted a complete, comprehensive trial. The obvious result of which was the conclusion that the Democratic-led House of Representatives failed to meet the most basic standards of proof and dramatically lowered the bar for impeachment in the future to unacceptable levels.

With all of this established, we as a Congress and as a nation must unite around some commonsense changes, both to institutional rules and to our understanding of the impeachment process. Lowering the bar for impeachment undermines our shared democratic principles.

Impeachment must be a tool employed only when the evidence is overwhelming and well-founded. We must

discourage future House actions like what we just witnessed from ever occurring again.

We must also find ways to take on a bureaucracy run rampant. President Trump was impeached because an unelected bureaucrat provided falsehoods to an overly receptive Democratic House chairman's office with a directive to remove President Trump. The opinion of Federal career staff is not sacrosanct. Without further action, these impeachment proceedings will be interpreted as empowering to them, rather than a reminder of who holds constitutional power.

Finally, as we seek to apply the lessons learned from this historic time, I was reminded of the words Chaplain Black offered to us during his daily opening prayer. "We must pray for God's will to be done." There is a higher power than any of us, and our country would benefit from remembering that more often.

BAHRAIN

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, 9 years ago this month, citizens of Bahrain took up banners to demand a greater role in their society and political process.

Bahrain's ruling monarchy cracked down on the peaceful protestors; State police and security forces arrested hundreds and killed more than a dozen, according to press reports at the time. Bahrain's leaders promised accountability and reforms in response to international condemnation, but they would implement hardly any of them, and they rolled back some of the few they did implement.

Indeed, the situation in Bahrain has only grown worse. Americans for Democracy and Human Rights in Bahrain wrote last year that "since 2017, the government has intensified the repression through the arrest, detention, and conviction of individuals who draw attention to the kingdom's human rights record or criticise the government."

Last month, Human Rights Watch wrote, "Bahrain's human rights record worsened in 2019, as the government carried out executions, convicted critics for peaceful expression, and threatened social media activists."

It gives me no great pleasure to point out the monarchy's increasing repression. I have no personal animosity toward Bahrain, which remains an important U.S. ally.

But the U.S. Government has a duty—an obligation—to be honest with friends and allies and to hold them to a high standard. I regret to say that the Obama administration did not do nearly enough to hold Bahrain to that high standard, as I repeatedly came to this floor to discuss. The Trump administration has, for its part, been even more callously indifferent to the regime's abuses, despite Secretary of State Mike Pompeo speaking many times about the importance of human rights. Just last year, Secretary Pompeo said America can effect change "[b]y articulating abuses and pressuring noncompliant regimes."

I agree.

So where is Secretary Pompeo when it comes to articulating Bahrain's abuses and pressuring Bahrain's rulers to do better? The Secretary, like his boss, is missing in action.

I urge my colleagues to take a hard look at Bahrain's human rights record, to talk with victims of the regime and hear their stories of persecution.

As I do every year, I renew my call on Bahrain's rulers to change course and open space for dialogue, for free thought, and for peaceful expression and protest.

CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION MONTH

Mr. KAINE. Mr. President, our Nation's continued progress and the socioeconomic mobility of our citizens are contingent on the education and skills of the American workforce and its ability to adjust to and fulfill the needs of the 21st-century economy. Career and technical education, CTE, programs are essential to every student's education, providing them access to the important knowledge, skills, and credentials needed to obtain careers in rapidly growing, high-demand industries. Today, approximately 11.8 million students across the Nation are enrolled in CTE programs offered by thousands of career academies, comprehensive high schools, CTE high schools, community colleges, and CTE centers. Through applied learning, these students obtain workplace skills and technical training that mirror indemand positions in the workforce.

In the next decade, nearly 3 million skilled workers will be needed to fill infrastructure positions in the United States, including jobs related to designing, building, and operating transportation. housing, telecommunication, and utilities facilities. CTE programs intentionally match skills with workforce demands, lowering the probability of high school dropout and increasing the likelihood of graduating on time. These skills-based training programs will help fill the estimated 30 million U.S. jobs available with an average income annual income of \$55,000 that do not require a bachelor's degree yet necessitate some level of postsecondary education.

Across Virginia, I hear from manufacturers frustrated by the shortage of qualified skilled production employees-roles that require the training and instruction provided by CTE. It is essential that we highlight the important role of CTE in the country's ability to meet the challenges we face in development, economic student achievement, and global competitiveness. In 2018, Congress affirmed the importance of CTE by passing the Strengthening Career and Technical Education for the 21st Century Act

which supports CTE programs in secondary and postsecondary education.

Today, with my Senate CTE Caucus cochairs Senator PORTMAN, Senator BALDWIN, and Senator YOUNG and more than half of my colleagues in the Senate, I am pleased to introduce a bipartisan resolution to designate February as Career and Technical Education, CTE, Month. CTE Month encourages students, parents, counselors, educators, and school leaders to learn more about the diverse educational opportunities offered in their communities and recognize the valuable role of CTE in developing a well-educated and highly skilled workforce in the United States.

By formally recognizing CTE Month through this resolution, it is our aim to raise greater awareness of the importance of improving access to highquality CTE for millions of America's students and our Nation's ongoing economic competitiveness.

RECOGNIZING THE WYOMING STOCK GROWERS ASSOCIATION

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, Scott Sims and his family are ranchers at McFadden, in southeastern Wyoming. Scott also serves as president of the Wyoming Stock Growers Association.

The Sims family are practitioners of holistic management and low-cost production. They believe they have a responsibility "to take care of the land, with its weather, beauty, isolation and recreation. We strive to live independently and to follow our passion: with power of choice, faith in States rights, and freedom from excessive regulation."

The values the Sims family follows in their work and lives are simple: honesty, respect, integrity, trust, fairness, tolerance, work ethic, self-work, and appreciation of each other and individual faiths.

The Wyoming Stock Growers Association is making plans to celebrate their 150th anniversary in 2022. They are laying the groundwork for the association to begin its next 150 years of service to Wyoming's livestock businesses and families with a strong commitment to Wyoming's resources, the industry and their communities.

In the Winter 2020 edition of Cow Country, the official magazine of the Wyoming Stock Growers Association, Scott authored an article titled "Defining the Path Forward." I believe his words apply just as much to life in America today as they do to the association's planning for the next 150 years. I wish the Stock Growers all the best as they complete their first 150 years in Wyoming, and begin their next century of work on behalf of Wyoming's livestock producers.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that an article written by Scott Sims be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows: [From Cow Country, Winter 2020] DEFINING THE PATH FORWARD

I can only imagine what the founders of the Wyoming Stock Growers Association envisioned as to what the path forward would look like back in 1872. It probably had more to do with control of the range and dealing with cattle rustling. I am pretty sure their vision did not include the range of issues that the association deals with today, that came about as obstacles developed while riding up the path.

I do know that right now I want to look back down the path to the 2019 Winter Roundup Convention. Thank you to all that attended and made it such a success. There was a wide range from older, to young, to very young in attendance. There was broad representation from other industry groups, state and federal agencies, legislators, and etc. The point being is that the great diversity, the variety of issues that are dealt with, and the huge array of people that come to share their knowledge and expertise, make for a strong organization. For you members that can't come to the convention. feel assured that the Wyoming Stock Growers is only a phone call away from addressing vour needs.

So what does the path forward look like? I think that it might look different moving forward. Many of us realize that the dynamics of the ranching industry look much different than in past generations. The future of the Wyoming Stock Growers is in the hands of the next generations. They will have the voice as to what is most important to their future in the business, and where the association might play a role.

I feel there is a great future in the ranching business. The way businesses are structured will have a different look as to the land we operate on. There will be land arrangements such as leasing and smarter estate planning to keep family ranches in the right hands. There will be marketing opportunities if you take the time to develop and promote a good product. There is no one solution that will make or break the cattle business, but ultimately it will come down to cattle cycles and how you manage through them. There is a role for government, but keep it limited. Regenerative agriculture is a growing way of management that can allow for substantially increasing production on the land. Two things that you have control over are managing costs, and managing the land. Being able to sell what you do to improve the health of the land will allow you many opportunities. Tell your story and tell it with confidence. You may find yourself at the table across from people that may not understand you. They definitely won't understand if you are not there.

I just gave my thoughts on what I think is along the path forward, but what does it look like for you? Whatever it is, let's ride the path together as an industry and as members of the Wyoming Stock Growers.

TRIBUTE TO LAURA DOVE

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize and honor Laura Dove as she retires from serving as Secretary for the Majority of the U.S. Senate.

Laura began her service many years ago as a Senate page. She returned as an assistant in the Senate Republican Cloakroom under Republican Leader Bob Dole. In her more than 20 years of dedicated service, she has worked in various capacities. This includes in the Republican Cloakroom, with the Senate Republican Conference, under Republican leadership, and in her current role as Secretary for the Majority. This is quite an honor and an accomplishment, as Secretaries for the Majority and the Minority are elected officers nominated by the party's leader. During her time in the Senate, Laura provided much-needed parliamentary guidance and counsel to Senators. She was no stranger to this type of advice, as her father served as the Senate Parliamentarian for many years.

Laura has succeeded in every aspect of her service to the U.S. Senate, and I can personally say she will be greatly missed throughout the Upper Chamber. I wish her all the best as she transitions to the next exciting chapter in her life.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO GEORGE PUGH

• Mr. CASSIDY. Mr. President, I rise today to congratulate Professor George Pugh for his distinguished legal career and outstanding tenure as an educator at the Paul M. Hebert Law Center at Louisiana State University LSU. His dedication to the law, along with his many accomplishments and military career, is exceptionally impressive and displays his commitment to his community and fellow American.

Pugh was born on Bayou Lafourche in 1925 and in 1942 began his studies at LSU. In the midst of World War II, he volunteered for military service and was deployed to France. After the war, he returned to LSU after three semesters, he enrolled in LSU Law School. He earned his juris doctor in 1950 and went on to Yale Law School to earn his doctorate of juridical science in 1952. Later that year, he joined the LSU Law School faculty as an assistant professor.

Pugh served 2 years on the Judicial Council as the State's first judicial administrator for the Louisiana Supreme Court. He is known as the "intellectual father" of the Louisiana Code of Evidence, as he and his fellow co-reporters confected the Code of Evidence for the Louisiana State Law Institute, using the Federal Rules of Evidence as its model. It would be enacted into state law in 1998 and serve as an invaluable resource for judges, district attorneys, and other legal professionals. In all, he has provided 43 years of instruction to almost three generations of students.

Pugh also served as a member of the Baton Rouge, LA, and American Bar Associations, chairing several committees. He was a member of the American Law Institute and received several invitations to teach at law schools in America and around the globe. At LSU, he received the "Hub" Cotton Faculty Excellence Award and an honorary doctorate of law from the University of Aix-Marseille III in France, was named a Sterling Fellow at Yale Law School, and was listed in "Who's Who in America." Upon retiring in 1994, the Louisiana Law Review dedicated its Janu-

ary publication to Professor Pugh, as he had been its longtime editor and associate editor.

I cannot congratulate Professor George Pugh without mentioning his late wife of 60 years, Jean Hemphill. Together, they founded the George W. and Jean H. Pugh Institute for Justice in 1998, working to promote justice for individuals in the administration of criminal and civil justice systems in Louisiana and around the world.

Professor Pugh's compilation of the Louisiana Code of Evidence, distinguished teaching career, and contributions to the legal system of Louisiana show the extent to which he has used his God-given talents to make the world a better place. His incredible legal career and many awards speak volumes to who he is as a Louisianan and an American.

Professor Pugh, you have made our State and our Nation proud.

TRIBUTE TO FRED AND TRESSIE FIKE

• Mr. DAINES. Mr. President, this week I have the honor of recognizing Fred and Tressie Fike of Mineral County for their commitment to helping others in the community.

Fred and Tressie lead the Superior Community Church Shoebox Ministry, which is part of the Samaritan's Purse's national initiative, Operation Christmas Child Shoebox Ministry.

Fred and Tressie partner with their church and folks in the community to provide shoeboxes filled with toys, hygiene items, clothes, sewing kits, and school supplies around the world to children impacted by devastating circumstances like war, natural disasters, poverty and disease.

When Superior Community Church first participated in this ministry 15 years ago, they were able to deliver 25 boxes. In 2019, thanks to the leadership of Fred and Tressie, they delivered 900.

It is my honor to recognize Fred and Tressie for their generous and selfless efforts within their church ministry helping children across the world. The world is a better place as a result of their dedication. \bullet

REMEMBERING CHARLES PITMAN

• Mr. RUBIO. Mr. President, today pay tribute to the memory of retired U.S. Marine Corps Lt. Gen. Charles Pitman, a leader who served in our Nation's Armed Forces for nearly 40 years, earning the Silver Star, four Distinguished Flying Crosses, and a Purple Heart.

Chuck Pitman was born in Chicago in 1935 and enlisted in the U.S. Naval Reserve in 1952 and the U.S. Marine Corps in 1953. A pilot by the age of 14, Chuck logged more than 12,000 flight hours during his career, flying jets and attack and reconnaissance helicopters. He flew three combat tours in Vietnam, where his aircraft were shot down seven times by enemy fire.

On January 7, 1973, Chuck was commanding officer of the Marine Air Reserve Training Detachment in New Orleans when he saw a sniper firing at civilians from a hotel on the news. Without approval from his supervisors and at the risk of his career with the Marines, he and his crew flew a Marine helicopter loaded with police officers to the hotel and helped to end the carnage. Many of the police officers and survivors credited his actions with saving countless lives.

Chuck served as special assistant to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff during the Iranian hostage crisis. By 1990, Chuck was a three-star general and retired from the Marine Corps. His commands included the Marine Aviation Training Support Group in Pensacola, a role as assistant wing commander for the 3rd Marine Aircraft Wing in California, and the command of the 1st Marine Aircraft Wing in Japan.

Chuck spent much of the rest of his life in Florida's Pensacola Beach. He volunteered his time with organizations including the Marine Corps League of Pensacola and participated in numerous veteran's events throughout the city, becoming a fixture in the community.

I express my sincere condolences to his wife, two sons, and two daughters on the loss of an American hero and patriot. May God bless his family during this time of sorrow.•

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Ms. Roberts, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

In executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The messages received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 10:24 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 473. An act to authorize the Every Word We Utter Monument to establish a commemorative work in the District of Columbia and its environs, and for other purposes.

H.R. 560. An act to amend section 6 of the Joint Resolution entitled "A Joint Resolution to approve the Covenant To Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, and for other purposes".

H.R. 561. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the oversight of contracts awarded by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1492. An act to update the map of, and modify the maximum acreage available for inclusion in, the Yucca House National Monument.

H.R. 2227. An act to amend the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act to clarify the authority of servicemembers who incur a catastrophic injury or illness while in military service to terminate leases of premises and motor vehicles, and for other purposes.

H.R. 2427. An act to amend the Chesapeake Bay Initiative Act of 1998 to reauthorize the Chesapeake Bay Gateways and Watertrails Network.

H.R. 2490. An act to amend the National Trails System Act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a study on the feasibility of designating the Chief Standing Bear National Historic Trail, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3399. An act to amend the Nutria Eradication and Control Act of 2003 to include California in the program, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3749. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make grants to entities that provide legal services for homeless veterans and veterans at risk for homelessness, and for other purposes.

H.R. 4613. An act to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish and maintain a website of the Department that allows the public to obtain electronic copies of certain legislatively requested reports of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.

H.R. 4852. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make available to veterans certain additional information about postsecondary educational institutions, and for other purposes.

At 1:17 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 35. An act to amend title 18, United States Code, to specify lynching as a deprivation of civil rights, and for other purposes.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bills were read the first and the second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

H.R. 473. An act to authorize the Every Word We Utter Monument to establish a commemorative work in the District of Columbia and its environs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

H.R. 560. An act to amend section 6 of the Joint Resolution entitled "A Joint Resolution to approve the Covenant To Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, and for other purposes"; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

H.R. 561. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the oversight of contracts awarded by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 2227. An act to amend the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act to clarify the authority of servicemembers who incur a catastrophic injury or illness while in military service to terminate leases of premises and motor vehicles, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 2427. An act to amend the Chesapeake Bay Initiative Act of 1998 to reauthorize the Chesapeake Bay Gateways and Watertrails Network; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

H.R. 2490. An act to amend the National Trails System Act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a study on the feasibility of designating the Chief Standing Bear National Historic Trail, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

H.R. 3399. An act to amend the Nutria Eradication and Control Act of 2003 to include California in the program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

H.R. 3749. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make grants to entities that provide legal services for homeless veterans and veterans at risk for homelessness, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 4613. An act to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish and maintain a website of the Department that allows the public to obtain electronic copies of certain legislatively requested reports of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

H.R. 4852. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make available to veterans certain additional information about postsecondary educational institutions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:

S. 3339. A bill to restore military priorities, and for other purposes.

The following bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and placed on the calendar:

H.R. 1492. An act to update the map of, and modify the maximum acreage available for inclusion in, the Yucca House National Monument.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-4035. A communication from the Director of Congressional Relations and Government Affairs, Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the Office's January 2020 quarterly report to Congress (OSS-2020-0201); to the Committees on Appropriations; Armed Services; and Foreign Relations.

EC-4036. A communication from the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Nomenclature changes; Technical Amendment" ((7 CFR Chapter I) (Docket No. AMS-LRRS-19-0099)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 19, 2020; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry. EC-4037. A communication from the Board Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting the Administration's proposed fiscal year 2021 Budget and Performance Plan; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-4038. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting legislative proposals relative to the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021"; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-4039. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (Special Operations/Low Intensity Conflict), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Report to Congress on Procedures for Status Review of Detainees outside the United States"; to the Committees on Armed Services; and the Judiciary.

EC-4040. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Defense Programs) transmitting, pursuant to law, a notice of additional time required to complete a report relative to the Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) budget meeting the nuclear stockpile and stockpile stewardship requirements; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-4041. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Venezuela that was declared in Executive Order 13692 of March 8, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-402. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Addition of Entities to the Entity List, and Revision of Entry on the Entity List," (RIN0694-AH96) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 20, 2020; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-4043. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the continuation of the national emergency with respect to Libya declared in Executive Order 13566; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-4044. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Iran as declared in Executive Order 12957 of March 15, 1995; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-4045. A communication from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the export to the People's Republic of China of items not detrimental to the U.S. space launch industry; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-4046. A communication from the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Cyber Security - Communications between Control Centers Reliability Standard" received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC-4047. A communication from the Chairman of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the progress made in licensing and constructing the Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. EC-4048. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Air Plan Approval; California; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District" (FRL No. 10005-31-Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4049. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Air Plan Approval; California; San Diego County Air Pollution Control District" (FRL No. 10004-14-Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4050. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Air Plan Approval; Massachusetts; Infrastructure State Implementation Plan Requirements for the 2015 Ozone Standard" (FRL No. 10005-36-Region 1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4051. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Air Plan Approval; Washington; Updates to Source-Category Regulations" (FRL No. 10005-19-Region 10) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4052. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Air Plan Conditional Approval; Arizona; Maricopa County" (FRL No. 10005-65-Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4053. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; California; Ventura County; 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Requirements" (FRL No. 10005-67-Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4054. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Clean Air Plans; 2008 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Requirements; Determination of Attainment by the Attainment Date; Imperial County, California" (FRL No. 10005-51-Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-4055. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Revenue Ruling: 2019-13 and Revenue Ruling 2009-14" (Rev. Rul. 2020-05) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of

the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-4056. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Determination of the Maximum Value of a Vehicle for Use with the Fleet-Average and Vehicle Cents-Per-Mile Valuation Rules" ((RIN1545-BP14) (TD 9893)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-4057. A communication from the Director, Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Advance Designation of Representative Payees for Social Security Beneficiaries" (RIN0960-AI33) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-4058. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Passports; Clarification of Previous Rule Relating to Treatment of Serious Tax Debt" ((RIN1400-AE90) (22 CFR Part 51)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 19, 2020; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-4059. A communication from the Board Members of the Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board's Congressional Justification of Budget Estimates Report for fiscal year 2021; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-4060. A communication from the Secretary of Education, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department's Annual Performance Report for fiscal year 2019 and Annual Performance Plan for fiscal year 2021; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-4061. A communication from the Acting Director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Analysis of Entity's Systems, Controls, and Legal Compliance, fiscal year 2019"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-4062. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Economic Report of the President together with the 2020 Annual Report of the Council of Economic Advisers; to the Joint Economic Committee.

EC-4063. A communication from the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of Justice, transmitting, a report relative to the views of the Department on H.R.J. Res. 79 and S.J. Res. 6, the "Removing the Deadline for the Ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-4064. A communication from the Director, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) for the corrected and updated report entitled "2019 Report of Statistics Required by the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-4065. A communication from the Director, Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Amendments" (RIN2900-AQ85) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the

Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

EC-4066. A communication from the Attorney Adviser, Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Risk Reduction Program" (RIN2130-AC11) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 19, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4067. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, Office of General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Inspector General, Department of Transportation, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 12, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4068. A communication from the Associate Administrator for OPA, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pipeline Safety: Safety Underground Natural Gas Storage Facilities" (RIN2137-AF22) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 12, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4069. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulation; Gasparilla Marine Parade; Hillsborough Bay; Tampa, FL" ((RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG-2020-0020)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4070. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulations; Recurring Marine Events, Sector Miami" ((RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG-2018-0749)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4071. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone, Delaware River, Philadelphia, PA" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2019-0897)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4072. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Super Bowl 2020, Bayfront Park, Miami, FL" ((RIN1625-AA87) (Docket No. USCG-2019-0830)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4073. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Delaware River, Hamilton Township, NJ" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2020-0072)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4074. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Coast Guard Sector Virginia; Technical Amendment" (Docket No. USCG-2019-0943) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4075. A communication from the Attorney, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zones; Humboldt Bay Bar and Entrance Channel, Eureka, CA, Noyo River Entrance Channel, Ft. Bragg, CA, and Crescent City, Harbor Entrance Channel, Crescent City, CA" ((RIN1625–AA00) (Docket No. USCG– 2019–0956)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4076. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Hurricanes, Tropical Storms and Other Disasters in South Florida" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2016-1067)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4077. A communication from the Attorney, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Navigation and Navigable Waters, and Shipping; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments for U.S. Coast Guard Field District 1" ((RIN1625-ZA83) (Docket No. USCG-2018-0532)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4078. A communication from the Attorney, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Navigation and Navigable Waters, and Shipping; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments for U.S. Coast Guard Field Districts 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, and 17" ((RIN1625-ZA38) (Docket No . USCG-2018-0533)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4079. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "International Fisheries; Pacific Tuna Fisheries; Fishing Restrictions for Tropical Tuna in the Eastern Pacific Ocean 2018-2020" (RIN0648-BH13) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4080. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska" (RIN0648-XG885) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4081. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Herring Fishery; Adjustments to 2018 Management Area Annual Catch Limits" (RIN0648-XF898) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Com-

mittee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4082. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Inseason Adjustment to the 2020 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pollock, Atka Mackerel, and Pacific Cod Total Allowable Catch Amounts" (RIN0648-XY059) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4083. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska" (RIN0648-XY068) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4084. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area" (RIN0648-XY066) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4085. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Catcher Vessels Greater Than or Equal to 60 Feet Length Overall Using Pot Gear in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area" (RIN0648-XY067) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4086. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Pot Catcher/Processors in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area" (RIN0648-XY065) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4087. A communication from the Deputv Assistant Administrator. National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pacific Island Pe-Fisheries; 2018 U.S. Territorial lagic Tuna Catch Longline Bigeye Limits' (RIN0648-XG025) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4088. A communication from the Assistant Deputy Director for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Technical Amendment To Update Internet Web Addresses in Marine Mammal Protection Act and Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act Regulations" (RIN0648-BH09) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4089. A communication from the Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Expanding the Scallop Dredge Exemption Areas Under the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan" (RIN0648-BH68) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4090. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Reef Fish Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; Amendments 50A-F" (RIN0648-BI84) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4091. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "international Fisheries; Pacific Fisheries; 2019 Commercial Pacific Bluefin Tuna Inseason Actions; Notice of Commercial Pacific Bluefin Tuna 2020 Catch Limit" (RIN0648-XW017) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 13, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4092. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; 2019-2020 Biennial Specifications and Management Measures; Correction" (RIN0648-BJ21) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4093. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; 2018 Allocation of Northeast Multispecies Annual Catch Entitlements and Approval of a Regulatory Exemption for Sectors" (RIN0648-XF989) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 14, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4094. A communication from the Chief of Regulatory Development, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fees for the Unified Carrier Registration Plan and Agreement" (RIN2126-AC25) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 18, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-4095. A communication from the Associate Managing Director, Office of Managing Director, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program; Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, Report and Order" ((FCC 20-7) (CG Docket Nos. 10-51, and 03-123)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on February 19, 2020; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

February 27, 2020

EC-4096. A communication from the Senior Director of Government Affairs and Corporate Communications, National Railroad Passenger Corporation, Amtrak, transmitting, pursuant to law, Amtrak's fiscal year 2021 General and Legislative Annual Report, fiscal year 2021 grant request, and Amtrak's fiscal year 2021-2025 Five-Year Service and Asset Line Plan; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

CORRECTION

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The following petitions and memorials were laid before the Senate and were referred or ordered to lie on the table as indicated:

POM-182. A resolution adopted by the House of Representatives of the State of Michigan urging the United States Congress to establish and fund programs that support positive health practices for minority mothers; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

HOUSE RESOLUTION NO. 123

Whereas, as the country with the highest maternal death rate in the developed world, the U.S. lags behind many other countries. The U.S. also struggles with persistent racial disparities. Black mothers in the U.S. die at three to four times the rate of white mothers according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. From 2011 to 2013, pregnancy-related deaths among black women were dramatically higher than women of other races, with 43.5 deaths per 100,000 live births among black women compared to 12.7 and 14.4 deaths per 100,000 live births among white women and women of other races, respectively; and

Whereas, Michigan ranks 27th in the nation for its maternal mortality rate, and Michigan's pregnancy-related mortality rates are particularly concerning for black women. Between 1999 and 2010, black women in Michigan experienced a pregnancy-related mortality rate of 50.8 deaths per 100,000 live births compared to 16.6 deaths per 100,000 live births for white women according to the Michigan Maternal Mortality Surveillance Project: and

Whereas, the high death rate of minority mothers is one of the widest of all racial disparities in women's health. Black women are 22 percent more likely to die from heart disease than white women and 71 percent more likely to die from cervical cancer, but they are 243 percent more likely to die from pregnancy- or childbirth-related causes. Black women are two to three times more likely than white women to die from pregconditions, nancy-related such as preeclampsia, eclampsia, abruptio placentae, placenta previa, and postpartum hemorrhage. These alarming statistics for black maternal health cut across socio-economic status, maternal age, and education levels; and

Whereas, despite the nationwide need for improvements in maternal health, more than 100 diseases and conditions receive more funding from the National Institutes of Health than maternal health; and

Whereas, it is important to recognize the necessity of ending maternal mortality nationally and globally and intensifying initiatives to improve maternal health and rights. It is vital to bring attention to the state of minority and black maternal health, study and understand the root causes of poor maternal health outcomes, and support community-driven programs and care solutions. We acknowledge the crucial importance of improving prenatal care, overall maternal health care, breastfeeding rates, and nutrition. To properly address maternal health disparities, it is critical to amplify the voices of black mothers, women, families, and stakeholders, as well as people from all racial and ethnic minorities who are burdened by unjust health disparities; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That we urge the Congress of the United States to establish and fund programs that support positive health practices for minority mothers; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States, the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and members of the Michigan congressional delegation.

POM-183. A joint resolution adopted by the Legislature of the State of Maine urging the United States Congress to provide access to banking and insurance services to legal cannabis and cannabis-related businesses; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

HOUSE PAPER 1440

Whereas, despite being illegal at the federal level, cannabis is now legal in 33 states, the District of Columbia, Guam and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, which account for 68% of the population of the United States; and

Whereas, due to the conflict between state and federal law, the vast majority of financial institutions and insurers are unwilling to provide services to legal cannabis businesses, and those that do could be subject to severe criminal and civil penalties; and

Whereas, in addition to legal cannabis businesses being denied access to banking services, businesses that serve the cannabis industry, either directly or indirectly, may be denied banking services simply because they are being paid with money derived from cannabis sales; and

Whereas, lacking banking services, many legal cannabis businesses operate solely in cash, and cash-based systems are inefficient, expensive and opaque and make illicit activity more difficult for law enforcement agencies and state regulators to track; and

Whereas, lacking access to insurance, many legal cannabis businesses are unable to obtain sufficient coverage for business risks, leaving consumers, employees, vendors and owners without adequate financial protection; and

Whereas, a bipartisan group of 38 attorneys general has identified cash associated with the cannabis industry as a public safety concern; and

Whereas, despite guidance from the United States Department of the Treasury, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network to clarify federal Bank Secrecy Act expectations, federal banking regulators lack the legal authority to provide banks a safe harbor from federal law; and

Whereas, the Congress of the United States has the sole authority to solve the banking and insurance issue by enacting legislation that provides protections for insurers, including surety bond writers, and financial institutions that offer services to legal cannabis businesses and service providers for such businesses; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That We, your Memorialists, respectfully urge and request that the Congress of the United States enact federal laws regarding the use and sale of cannabis that respect state law and promote public safety without compromising federal enforcement of money laundering laws against criminal enterprises; and be it further

Resolved, That suitable copies of this resolution, duly authenticated by the Secretary of State, be transmitted to the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives and each Member of the Maine Congressional Delegation.

POM-184. A resolution adopted by the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Kentucky urging the United States Congress to require car manufacturers to improve safety devices on automobiles for the protection of children left in cars; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

House Resolution No. 11

Whereas, vehicular heatstroke is a term used by safety experts to describe the death of a person, especially a child, left unattended in a vehicle, where even on mild days temperatures can reach greater than 100 degrees; and

Whereas, in 2018, a record number of 53 children died, and in the first half of 2019 at least 29 children have died, due to vehicular heatstroke; and

Whereas, more than half of vehicular heatstroke cases from 1998 to 2018 were because an adult accidently left a child in the vehicle; and

Whereas, a child's vehicular heatstroke death is a matter of circumstance that could happen to any parent and has happened to people in all walks of life; and

Whereas, vehicular heatstroke is one of the leading causes of non-crash-related fatalities among children; and

Whereas, technology currently exists, such as seat belt clasp monitors, rear door opening sensors, and seat weight sensors, that could equip motor vehicles with a system to detect the presence of a child in the rear seat of a vehicle after the vehicle is turned off and the driver exits the vehicle; and

Whereas, if sensors detect a child is left, the system would issue an audible warning; and

Whereas, the installation of such technology would help prevent heatstroke-related deaths due to children being left alone in a vehicle; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky:

Section 1. The Kentucky House of Representatives respectfully urges the Congress of the United States to require automobile manufacturers to install safety features that will give an audible alert when a child is left in the backseat to prevent the deaths of children from being left alone in a hot car. Technology used could include, but not be limited to, seat belt monitors, rear door opening sensors, and seat weight sensors. These sensors should give an audible alert through the car's horn if the child is not removed within a minimum amount of time after the driver exits the vehicle. Section 2. The Clerk of the House of Rep-

Section 2. The Clerk of the House of Representatives shall send a copy of this Resolution to the President and Vice President of the United States of America, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, the Minority Leader of the United States House of Representatives, the Majority Leader of the United States Senate, the Minority Leader of the United States Senate, and each member of the Kentucky Congressional Delegation.

POM-185. A petition from a citizen of the State of Texas relative to drug pricing negotiation for Medicare and Medicaid recipients; to the Committee on Finance.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first

S1218

and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. BENNET (for himself and Mr. KING):

S. 3340. A bill to amend the Help America Vote Act of 2002 to support State and local governments making a transition to ranked choice voting; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. VAN HOLLEN (for Mr. SAND-ERS (for himself and Mr. VAN HOL-LEN)):

S. 3341. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to restrict the tax benefits of executive deferred compensation and increase disclosure, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. COTTON (for himself, Mrs.

LOEFFLER, and Mrs. BLACKBURN): S. 3342. A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act to prohibit the deceptive sale of fentanyl, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HAWLEY:

S. 3343. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide enhanced security for the medical supply chain; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. MANCHIN:

S. 3344. A bill to direct the Secretary of Education to develop and disseminate an evidence-based curriculum for kindergarten through grade 12 on substance use disorders; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. PETERS (for himself and Mrs. CAPITO):

S. 3345. A bill to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to protect U.S. Customs and Border Protection officers, agents, other personnel, and canines against potential synthetic opioid exposure, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself and Mr. BOOZMAN):

S. 3346. A bill to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to authorize the use of Homeland Security Grant Program funds for anti-blood loss purposes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. MENENDEZ:

S. 3347. A bill to promote youth athletic safety, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. LEAHY:

S. 3348. A bill to amend section 923 of title 18, United States Code, to require an electronic, searchable database of the importation, production, shipment, receipt, sale, or other disposition of firearms; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. BALDWIN (for herself, Mr. RUBIO, and Ms. SMITH):

S. 3349. A bill to require the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere to identify a consistent, Federal set of best available forward-looking meteorological information and to require the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to convene an effort to make such set available, with advice and technical assistance, to standards-developing organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. CRAPO (for himself and Mr. TESTER):

S. 3350. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to deem certain State Veterans homes meeting certain health and safety standards as meeting conditions and requirements for skilled nursing facilities under the Medicare and Medicaid programs; to the Committee on Finance. By Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Ms. ROSEN):

S. 3351. A bill to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support multidisciplinary research on the science of suicide, and to advance the knowledge and understanding of issues that may be associated with several aspects of suicide including intrinsic and extrinsic factors related to areas such as wellbeing, resilience, and vulnerability; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. SULLIVAN (for himself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KING, and Ms. MUR-KOWSKI):

S. 3352. A bill to require that Federal agencies only procure cut flowers and cut greens grown in the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. CASSIDY (for himself, Mr. DUR-BIN, Ms. ERNST, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, and Mr. YOUNG):

S. 3353. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for extended months of Medicare coverage of immunosuppressive drugs for kidney transplant patients, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BROWN:

S. 3354. A bill to amend the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 to establish a community health partnership grant program; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. THUNE (for himself, Mr. TESTER, Mr. MORAN, Mr. PETERS, and Mr. WICKER):

S. 3355. A bill to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. KING:

S. 3356. A bill to support the reuse and recycling of batteries and critical minerals, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BROWN:

S. 3357. A bill to amend the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 to enhance State efforts to crossenroll participants to improve nutritional outcomes for pregnant women, postpartum women, and young children, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for Ms. WARREN (for herself, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. MARKEY, and Ms. HARRIS)):

S. 3358. A bill to extend protections to part-time workers in the areas of family and medical leave and pension plans, and to ensure equitable treatment in the workplace; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. THUNE (for himself and Ms. SINEMA):

S. 3359. A bill to amend title 23, United States Code, to modify the distribution of funds under the tribal transportation program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

By Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Ms. DUCKWORTH):

S. 3360. A bill to establish the National Center for the Advancement of Aviation; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. WARNER:

S. 3361. A bill to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to require issuers to disclose to the Securities and Exchange Commission information regarding human capital management policies, practices, and performance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. VAN HOLLEN:

S. 3362. A bill to require the Federal Communications Commission to use a portion of the proceeds from the auction of the C-band to fund measures to provide students with access to the internet at home, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. SHELBY (for himself and Mr. JONES):

S. 3363. A bill to establish the Alabama Black Belt National Heritage Area, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BOOKER:

S. 3364. A bill to improve the health and academic achievement of students in highly polluted environments, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. CASSIDY (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY):

S. 3365. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 Crosby Street in Mansfield, Louisiana, as the "Dr. C.O. Simpkins, Sr., Post Office"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. KING (for himself and Mr. ALEXANDER):

S. 3366. A bill to amend the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act to make the National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands Pass available at no cost to members of Gold Star Families; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. MURPHY (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM):

S. Res. 506. A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that the United States should initiate negotiations to enter into a free trade agreement with the Republic of Tunisia; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MAR-KEY, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BROWN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. GRASSLEY, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. ERNST, Ms. WARREN, Mr. MORAN, Mr. KAINE, and Mr. COT-TON):

S. Res. 507. A resolution supporting Minor League Baseball; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. ALEXANDER, MS. BALDWIN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BURR, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. Capito, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Casey, Mr. CASSIDY, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. COONS, Mr. CORNYN, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. COTTON, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. DAINES, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. Durbin, Ms. Ernst, Mrs. Fein-STEIN, Mrs. FISCHER, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. Graham, Mr. Grassley, Mr. HAWLEY, Mr. HEINRICH, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. JONES, Mr. KAINE, Mr. KING, Ms. KLO-BUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEAHY, Mrs. Loeffler, Mr. Manchin, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MORAN, Mr. PAUL, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. RISCH, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Romney, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SASSE, Mr. SCHATZ, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Mrs. Shaheen, Ms. Smith, Mr. TESTER, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. UDALL, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. ROUNDS, and Mr. BENNET):

S. Res. 508. A resolution commemorating the 150th anniversary of the historic seating of Hiram Rhodes Revels as the first African American United States Senator; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. TOOMEY (for himself, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. GARDNER, and Mr. COONS): S. Res. 509. A resolution calling upon the United Nations Security Council to adopt a resolution on Iran that extends the dates by which Annex B restrictions under Resolution 2231 are currently set to expire; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. GARDNER (for himself, Mr. MARKEY, and Mr. RISCH):

S. Res. 510. A resolution commending the people of Taiwan on holding free and fair democratic presidential and legislative elections, and congratulating Madame Tsai Ingwen on her re-election to the presidency of Taiwan; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. RUBIO (for himself, Mr. KAINE, Ms. COLLINS, Ms. CANTWELL, and Mrs. SHAHEEN):

S. Res. 511. A resolution supporting the role of the United States in helping save the lives of children and protecting the health of people in developing countries with vaccines and immunization through GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. REED, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. WICKER, Ms. HASSAN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Ms. WARREN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, and Mr. DURBIN):

S. Res. 512. A resolution designating March 2, 2020, as "Read Across America Day"; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. SINEMA (for herself, Mrs. FISCHER, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. BALDWIN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Ms. CANTWELL, Ms. ERNST, Mrs. CAPITO, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. MCSALLY, Ms. ROSEN, Mrs. SHAHEEN, and Ms. STABENOW):

S. Res. 513. A resolution designating February 2020 as "American Heart Month" and February 7, 2020, as "National Wear Red Day": considered and agreed to.

By Mr. GARDNER (for himself and Mr. BENNET):

BENNET): S. Res. 514. A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that Donald Stratton be remembered for a lifetime of heroism and service to the United States; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. KAINE (for himself, Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. BROWN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. COONS, Mr. CORNYN, Ms. Cortez Masto, Mr. Daines, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. ENZI, Ms. Ernst, Mrs. Feinstein, Ms. Har-RIS, MS. HASSAN, MS. HIRONO, Mr. HOEVEN, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Jones, Mr. King, Ms. Klobuchar, Mrs. LOEFFLER, Mr. MANCHIN, Ms. MCSALLY, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. MUR-PHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. REED, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ROMNEY, MS. ROSEN, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Mrs. Shaheen, Ms. Smith, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. THUNE, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. WYDEN, and Mrs. FISCH-ER):

S. Res. 515. A resolution supporting the goals and ideals of Career and Technical Education Month; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. BOOKER (for himself, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Ms. HARRIS, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. BLUNT. Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Sullivan, Mr. UDALL, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. BENNET, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. KAINE, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. CASSIDY, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. INHOFE, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. WICKER, MS. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BURR, Mr. BROWN, Mr. CRAMER, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. RISCH, Mr. COONS, Ms. Ernst, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Paul, Mr. Van Hol-LEN, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. REED, Mr. SHELBY, Ms. SMITH, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. PETERS, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mrs. FEIN-STEIN, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. MCSALLY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. ROM-NEY, Mr. WARNER, Mr. JONES, Ms. HASSAN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. KING, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. WARREN, Mr. CASEY, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. CARPER, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SINEMA, Mr. Manchin, Mr. Merkley, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Heinrich, Mrs. Loef-FLER, Mr. TESTER, and Mr. HAWLEY):

S. Res. 516. A resolution celebrating Black History Month; considered and agreed to. By Mr. BROWN (for himself and Mr.

PORTMAN):

S. Res. 517. A resolution honoring the life and legacy of Judge Nathaniel R. Jones; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. MORAN (for himself and Mr. TESTER):

S. Res. 518. A resolution honoring the 100th anniversary of Disabled American Veterans; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. MANCHIN (for himself, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. KAINE): S. Res. 519. A resolution honoring the life and achievements of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. COONS, Ms. ERNST, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. KING, Ms. WARREN, and Mr. COT-TON):

S. Res. 520. A resolution designating March 6, 2020, as "National Speech and Debate Education Day"; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. TESTER, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. REED, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. King, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Kaine, Ms. ERNST, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. BROWN, Ms. WARREN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. BOOK-ER, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, MS. ROSEN, MS. HASSAN, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. SMITH, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, MS. CANTWELL, Mr. JONES, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. CASEY, Mr. PETERS, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, MS. HIRONO, MS. SINEMA, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. Coons, Mr. Merkley, Mrs. Mur-RAY, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. WARNER, Ms. HARRIS, and Mrs. FISCH-ER):

S. Res. 521. A resolution designating the week of February 24 through February 28, 2020, as "Public Schools Week"; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. McCONNELL:

S. Res. 522. A resolution electing Robert M. Duncan, of the District of Columbia, as Secretary for the Majority of the Senate; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. JOHN-SON, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. TOOMEY, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. ENZI, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. WYDEN, Ms. MCSALLY, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. PERDUE, MS. STABENOW, Mr. CRUZ, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. PETERS, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. REED, Mr. BENNET, Mr. BROWN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. COONS, Mr. BOOKER, and Mr. CARPER):

S. Res. 523. A resolution recognizing the 199th anniversary of the independence of Greece and celebrating democracy in Greece and the United States; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. COONS (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. CARPER):

S. Con. Res. 37. A concurrent resolution honoring the life and work of Louis Lorenzo Redding, whose lifelong dedication to civil rights and service stand as an example of leadership for all people; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 178

At the request of Mr. RUBIO, the names of the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. SMITH) were added as cosponsors of S. 178, a bill to condemn gross human rights violations of ethnic Turkic Muslims in Xinjiang, and calling for an end to arbitrary detention, torture, and harassment of these communities inside and outside China.

S. 206

At the request of Mr. TESTER, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 206, a bill to award a Congressional Gold Medal to the female telephone operators of the Army Signal Corps, known as the "Hello Girls".

S. 500

At the request of Mr. PORTMAN, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES) was added as a cosponsor of S. 500, a bill to amend title 54, United States Code, to establish, fund, and provide for the use of amounts in a National Park Service Legacy Restoration Fund to address the maintenance backlog of the National Park Service, and for other purposes.

S. 524

At the request of Mr. TESTER, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 524, a bill to establish the Department of Veterans Affairs Advisory Committee on Tribal and Indian Affairs, and for other purposes.

S. 698

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 698, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide equitable treatment for residents of Puerto Rico with respect to the refundable portion of the child tax credit and to provide the same treatment to families in Puerto Rico with one child or two children that is currently provided to island families with three or more children.

S. 739

At the request of Mr. UDALL, the name of the Senator from Nevada (Ms.

ROSEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 739, a bill to protect the voting rights of Native American and Alaska Native voters.

S. 815

At the request of Mr. BOOZMAN, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 815, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow a refundable tax credit against income tax for the purchase of qualified access technology for the blind.

S. 892

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO) was added as a cosponsor of S. 892, a bill to award a Congressional Gold Medal, collectively, to the women in the United States who joined the workforce during World War II, providing the aircraft, vehicles, weaponry, ammunition, and other materials to win the war, that were referred to as "Rosie the Riveter", in recognition of their contributions to the United States and the inspiration they have provided to ensuing generations.

S. 1081

At the request of Mr. MANCHIN, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1081, a bill to amend title 54, United States Code, to provide permanent, dedicated funding for the Land and Water Conservation Fund, and for other purposes.

S. 1105

At the request of Mrs. SHAHEEN, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1105, a bill to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish and maintain a registry for certain individuals who may have been exposed to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances due to the environmental release of aqueous film-forming foam on military installations.

S. 1564

At the request of Mr. TILLIS, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1564, a bill to require the Securities and Exchange Commission and certain Federal agencies to carry out a study relating to accounting standards, and for other purposes.

S. 1902

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the names of the Senator from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. VAN HOLLEN) and the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN) were added as cosponsors of S. 1902, a bill to require the Consumer Product Safety Commission to promulgate a consumer product safety rule for free-standing clothing storage units to protect children from tip-over related death or injury, and for other purposes.

S. 2085

At the request of Ms. ROSEN, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2085, a bill to authorize the Secretary of Education to award grants to eligible entities to carry out educational programs about the Holocaust, and for other purposes.

S. 2154

At the request of Ms. ROSEN, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2154, a bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to carry out a program to enhance the preparation of students in the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps for careers in computer science and cybersecurity, and for other purposes.

S. 2168

At the request of Mr. MURPHY, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. SMITH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2168, a bill to establish a student loan forgiveness plan for certain borrowers who are employed at a qualified farm or ranch.

S. 2353

At the request of Mr. PETERS, the names of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY), the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN), the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. REED) and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 2353, a bill to direct the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency to develop guidance for firefighters and other emergency response personnel on best practices to protect them from exposure to PFAS and to limit and prevent the release of PFAS into the environment, and for other purposes.

S. 2433

At the request of Mr. PETERS, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2433, a bill to direct the Federal Communications Commission to take certain actions to increase diversity of ownership in the broadcasting industry, and for other purposes.

S. 2438

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the names of the Senator from Michigan (Mr. PETERS), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. SMITH) and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2438, a bill to prevent, treat, and cure tuberculosis globally.

S. 2479

At the request of Mr. HOEVEN, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2479, a bill to provide clarification regarding the common or usual name for bison and compliance with section 403 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and for other purposes.

S. 2492

At the request of Mr. GARDNER, the name of the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2492, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide best practices on student suicide awareness and prevention training and condition State educational agencies, local educational agencies, and tribal educational agencies receiving funds under section 520A of such Act to establish and implement a school-based student suicide awareness and prevention training policy.

S. 2499

At the request of Mr. MERKLEY, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2499, a bill to effectively staff the public elementary schools and secondary schools of the United States with school-based mental health services providers.

S. 2567

At the request of Mrs. SHAHEEN, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2567, a bill to provide rental assistance to low-income tenants of certain multifamily rural housing projects, and for other purposes.

S. 2590

At the request of Mr. BRAUN, the names of the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER) and the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO) were added as cosponsors of S. 2590, a bill to protect the dignity of fetal remains, and for other purposes.

S. 2660

At the request of Ms. SMITH, the name of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. HEINRICH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2660, a bill to establish a grant program for wind energy research, development, and demonstration, and for other purposes.

S. 2679

At the request of Ms. DUCKWORTH, the name of the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2679, a bill to facilitate the automatic acquisition of citizenship for lawful permanent resident children of military and Federal Government personnel residing abroad, and for other purposes.

S. 2680

At the request of Mr. RUBIO, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2680, a bill to impose sanctions with respect to foreign support for Palestinian terrorism, and for other purposes.

S. 2688

At the request of Mr. CASSIDY, the name of the Senator from Nevada (Ms. ROSEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2688, a bill to amend the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to establish an Office of Technology Transitions, and for other purposes.

S. 2702

At the request of Mr. RISCH, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2702, a bill to require the Secretary of Energy to establish an integrated energy systems research, development, and demonstration program, and for other purposes.

S. 2715

At the request of Mr. BLUNT, the names of the Senator from Connecticut

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

S. 3176

NATE Feorus

(Mr. MURPHY) and the Senator from Indiana (Mr. YOUNG) were added as cosponsors of S. 2715, a bill to develop and m implement policies to advance early (childhood development, to provide assistance for orphans and other vulnerable children in developing countries, S and for other purposes.

S. 2950

At the request of Mr. SULLIVAN, the names of the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. VAN HOLLEN), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) were added as cosponsors of S. 2950, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to concede exposure to airborne hazards and toxins from burn pits under certain circumstances, and for other purposes.

S. 2965

At the request of Mr. DAINES, the names of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN) and the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER) were added as cosponsors of S. 2965, a bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to repeal the requirement that the United States Postal Service prepay future retirement benefits, and for other purposes.

S. 2970

At the request of Ms. ERNST, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. COTTON) and the Senator from Alaska (Mr. SULLIVAN) were added as cosponsors of S. 2970, a bill to improve the fielding of newest generations of personal protective equipment to the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

S. 3015

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3015, a bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to limit the number of local wage areas allowable within a General Schedule pay locality.

S. 3020

At the request of Ms. BALDWIN, the name of the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3020, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to enter into contracts with States or to award grants to States to promote health and wellness, prevent suicide, and improve outreach to veterans, and for other purposes.

S. 3167

At the request of Mr. BOOKER, the names of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 3167, a bill to prohibit discrimination based on an individual's texture or style of hair.

S. 3171

At the request of Mr. WHITEHOUSE, the names of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) were added as cosponsors of S. 3171, a bill to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to reauthorize the National Estuary Program, and for other purposes. At the request of Mr. RUBIO, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3176, a bill to amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 to make improvements to certain defense and security assistance provisions and to authorize the appropriations of funds to Israel, and for other purposes.

S. 3217

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Nevada (Ms. ROSEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3217, a bill to standardize the designation of National Heritage Areas, and for other purposes.

S. 3259

At the request of Mr. LEE, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3259, a bill to restrict the availability of Federal funds to organizations associated with the abortion industry.

S. 3286

At the request of Mrs. BLACKBURN, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3286, a bill to restrict certain Federal grants for States that grant driver licenses to illegal immigrants and fail to share information about criminal aliens with the Federal Government.

S. RES. 274

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 274, a resolution expressing solidarity with Falun Gong practitioners who have lost lives, freedoms, and other rights for adhering to their beliefs and practices, and condemning the practice of non-consenting organ harvesting, and for other purposes.

S. RES. 315

At the request of Mr. JONES, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SHELBY) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 315, a resolution memorializing the discovery of the Clotilda.

S. RES. 458

At the request of Mr. LANKFORD, the names of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) and the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 458, a resolution calling for the global repeal of blasphemy, heresy, and apostasy laws.

S. RES. 497

At the request of Mr. COTTON, the names of the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 497, a resolution commemorating the life of Dr. Li Wenliang and calling for transparency and cooperation from the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Communist Party of China.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTION

By Mr. THUNE (for himself, Mr. TESTER, Mr. MORAN, Mr. PETERS, and Mr. WICKER):

S. 3355. A bill to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 3355

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Skilled Workforce Act".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) 5G.—The term "5G", with respect to wireless infrastructure and wireless technology, means fifth-generation wireless infrastructure and wireless technology.

(2) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(A) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives.

(3) BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term "broadband infrastructure" means any buried, underground, or aerial facility, and any wireless or wireline connection, that enables users to send and receive voice, video, data, graphics, or any combination thereof.

(4) COMMISSION.—The term "Commission" means the Federal Communications Commission.

(5) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—The term "institution of higher education" has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

(6) RURAL AREA.—The term "rural area" means any area other than—

(A) a city, town, or incorporated area that has a population of more than 20,000 inhabitants; or

(B) an urbanized area adjacent to a city or town that has a population of more than 50,000 inhabitants.

(7) SECRETARY.—Except as otherwise provided, the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Labor.

(8) STATE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD.—The term "State workforce development board" means a State workforce development board established under section 101 of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (29 U.S.C. 3111).

SEC. 3. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP EVALUA-TION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with the Chairman of the Commission, shall convene an interagency working group to develop recommendations to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry.

(b) DUTIES.—In developing recommendations under subsection (a), the interagency working group shall(1) determine whether, and if so how, any Federal laws (including regulations), policies, or practices, or any budgetary constraints, inhibit institutions of higher education or for-profit businesses from establishing, adopting, or expanding programs intended to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry, including the workforce needed to build and maintain the 5G wireless infrastructure necessary to support 5G wireless technology;

(2) identify potential policies and programs that could encourage and improve coordination among Federal agencies, between Federal agencies and States, and among States, on telecommunications workforce needs;

(3) identify ways in which existing Federal programs, including programs that help facilitate the employment of veterans and military personnel transitioning into civilian life, could be leveraged to help address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry:

(4) identify ways to encourage individuals and for-profit businesses to participate in qualified industry-led workforce development programs, including the Telecommunications Industry Registered Apprenticeship Program and other industry-recognized apprenticeship programs;

(5) identify ways to improve recruitment in qualified industry-led workforce development programs, including the Telecommunications Industry Registered Apprenticeship Program and other industry-recognized apprenticeship programs; and

(6) identify Federal incentives that could be provided to institutions of higher education, for-profit businesses, State workforce development boards, or other relevant stakeholders to establish or adopt programs, or expand current programs, to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry, including such needs in rural areas.

(c) MEMBERS.—The interagency working group convened under subsection (a) shall be composed of representatives of such Federal agencies and relevant non-Federal industry stakeholder organizations as the Secretary considers appropriate, including—

(1) a representative of the Department of Education, appointed by the Secretary of Education:

(2) a representative of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, appointed by the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information;

(3) a representative of the Department of Commerce, appointed by the Secretary of Commerce;

(4) a representative of the Commission, appointed by the Chairman of the Commission;

(5) a representative of the Telecommunications Industry Registered Apprenticeship Program, appointed by the Secretary:

(6) a representative of a telecommunications industry association, appointed by the Chairman of the Commission;

(7) a representative of an Indian Tribe or Tribal organization, appointed by the Secretary;

(8) a representative of a rural telecommunications carrier, appointed by the Secretary; and

(9) a representative from a labor organization, appointed by the Secretary.

(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the interagency working group is convened under subsection (a), the interagency working group shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing recommendations to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry. (e) POWERS.—

(1) HEARINGS.—The interagency working group convened under subsection (a) may hold such hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the interagency working group considers advisable to carry out the objectives of this section.

(2) INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.— The interagency working group convened under subsection (a) may secure directly from any Federal agency such information as the interagency working group considers necessary to carry out the provisions of this section. Upon request of the interagency working group, the head of such agency shall furnish such information to the interagency working group.

(3) POSTAL SERVICES.—The interagency working group convened under subsection (a) may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as other Federal agencies.

(f) PERSONNEL.—

(1) TRAVEL.—The members of the interagency working group convened under subsection (a) shall not receive compensation for the performance of services for the interagency working group, but shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5. United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the interagency working group. Notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31. United States Code. the interagency working group may accept the voluntary and uncompensated services of members of the interagency working group.

(2) DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.— Any employee of the Federal Government may be detailed to the interagency working group convened under subsection (a) without reimbursement, and such detail shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

(g) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACA.—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the interagency working group convened under subsection (a).

(h) SUNSET.—The interagency working group convened under subsection (a) shall terminate on the day after the date on which the interagency working group submits the report to Congress under subsection (d).

SEC. 4. TELECOMMUNICATIONS WORKFORCE GUIDANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Chairman of the Commission, shall establish and issue guidance on how States can address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry, including guidance on how a State workforce development board can—

(1) utilize Federal resources available to States to meet the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry; and

(2) promote and improve recruitment in qualified industry-led workforce development programs, including the Telecommunications Industry Registered Apprenticeship Program and other industry-recognized apprenticeship programs.

SEC. 5. GAO ASSESSMENT OF WORKFORCE NEEDS OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS IN-DUSTRY.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that estimates the number of skilled telecommunications workers that will be required to build and maintain—

(1) broadband infrastructure in rural areas; and

(2) the 5G wireless infrastructure needed to support 5G wireless technology.

By Mr. THUNE (for himself and Ms. SINEMA):

S. 3359. A bill to amend title 23, United States Code, to modify the distribution of funds under the tribal transportation program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 3359

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Tribal Transportation Equity and Transparency Improvement Act of 2020".

SEC. 2. TRIBAL TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 202 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(9)(A), by striking "construction and improvement" and inserting "construction, improvement, and highway safety";

(2) in subsection (b)-

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following:

"(D) ADDITIONAL FACILITIES.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of the Tribal Transportation Equity and Transparency Improvement Act of 2020, and not less frequently than every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a notice requesting proposals from Indian tribes to include additional transportation facilities that are eligible for funding under the tribal transportation program in the inventory described in subparagraph (A), if those proposed additional facilities are included in the inventory in a uniform and consistent manner nationally.

"(ii) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subparagraph—

"(I) prohibits the Secretary of the Interior from including in the inventory under subparagraph (A) additional transportation facilities more frequently than required under clause (i), including, as necessary, in response to a proposal from an eligible Indian tribe submitted during a period not described in the notice under clause (i); or

"(II) requires Indian tribes to submit proposals to the Secretary of the Interior in response to the notice required under clause (i)."; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

"(F) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary of the Interior shall ensure that all non-confidential information within the inventory described in subparagraph (A) is made available—

"(i) in a user-friendly manner on the public website of the Department of the Interior; and

"(ii) in a manner capable of being searched and downloaded by users of the public website of the Department of the Interior."; and

(B) in paragraph (3)(B), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking "fiscal year 2012" and inserting "the most recent fiscal year for which data is available";

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (3)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking "; and" at the end and inserting a period;

(ii) by striking subparagraph (B); and

(iii) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking "shall be—" and all that follows through "selected by" in subparagraph (A), and inserting "shall be selected by"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following: "(4) NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT FEDERAL LANDS AND TRIBAL PROJECTS PROGRAM.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, amounts made available to Indian tribes under subsection (b)(3) may be used for planning and design activities related to applications for grants under the nationally significant Federal lands and tribal projects program under section 1123 of the FAST Act

(23 U.S.C. 201 note; Public Law 114-94)."; and (4) in subsection (e)(2), by striking "as appropriate," and inserting "subject to subsection (a)(9).".

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Department of Transportation and the Inspector General of the Department of the Interior shall jointly begin an audit of the tribal transportation program under section 202 of title 23, United States Code (referred to in this section as the "program").

(2) REVIEW.—The audit under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) a review of the data collection and management processes used by the Secretary of the Interior in maintaining the national inventory of tribal transportation facilities under section 202(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code; and

(B) a review of the administration of the program, including whether—

(i) funding under the program is distributed in a timely manner that is consistent with statutory and regulatory requirements; and

(ii) the current procedures and practices used by the Secretary of the Interior to allocate funding for tribal transportation facilities (as defined in section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code) under the program are transparent and consistently applied.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Department of Transportation and the Inspector General of the Department of the Interior shall jointly submit a report describing the results of the audit under paragraph (1) to—

(A) the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(c) Comptroller General Review.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States (referred to in this subsection as the "Comptroller General") shall initiate an audit of the program.

(2) REVIEW.—The audit under paragraph (1) shall include an examination of—

(A) the funding formula of the program under section 202(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code, including key decisions made over time that have affected the methods used to determine tribal shares of program funds;

(B) whether, for purposes of allocating funding under section 202 of title 23, United States Code, the allocation methodology under subpart D of part 1000 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act), provides an accurate and reliable estimate of tribal population;

(C) potential alternatives to the methodology described in subparagraph (B) for purposes of allocating funding under section 202 of title 23, United States Code; (D) how the Secretary of the Interior en-

(i) the program is consistently adminis-

tered; and

(ii) program decisions are transparently and consistently made; and

(E) the potential effects of having the program administered solely by the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of Transportation.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than 540 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report describing the results of the audit under paragraph (1) to—

(A) the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(d) OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—Notwithstanding section 1102(a) of the FAST Act (23 U.S.C. 104 note; Public Law 114-94) or any other provision of law providing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for a fiscal year, amounts made available to carry out the tribal transportation program under section 202 of title 23, United States Code, for a fiscal year shall not be subject to the obligation limitation for that fiscal year.

SEC. 3. TRANSPORTATION FACILITY ELIGIBILITY. (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) INVENTORY.—The term "inventory" means the national inventory of tribal transportation facilities under section 202(b) of

(2) PROPOSED ROAD.—The term "proposed road" means a proposed road or facility (as defined in section 170.5 of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act)) that is a road, including a primary access route (as defined in

that section). (3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary"

means the Secretary of the Interior. (b) DEADLINE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and not less frequently than every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary and the Secretary of Transportation shall require each Indian tribe that intends to include a proposed road in the inventory to complete and submit for approval the documentation and other information required under section 170.443(a) of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on November 6, 2019), for the proposed road.

(c) Report.-

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after each deadline described in subsection (b), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report describing the proposed roads approved to be included in the inventory.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include, for each Indian reservation, Alaska Native village, or other recognized Indian community (including former Indian reservations in the State of Oklahoma)—

(A) the mileage of proposed roads included in the inventory before the deadline described in subsection (b);

(B) the mileage of proposed roads approved to be included in the inventory on the basis of the documentation and other information submitted under subsection (b); and

(C) an estimate, based on the documentation and other information submitted under subsection (b), of the construction and maintenance costs of the proposed roads described in subparagraph (B).

SEC. 4. TRIBAL HIGHWAY SAFETY PARTNER-SHIPS.

Section 402 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1)(C), by striking "by" and inserting "by, or on behalf of,"; and

(2) in subsection (h)(2)—(A) by striking "Notwithstanding" and inserting the following:

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) COOPERATION.—In accordance with section 202(a)(9)(A), an Indian tribe may use amounts described in subparagraph (A) in cooperation with States, counties, and other local subdivisions for highway safety purposes.".

SEC. 5. NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT FEDERAL LANDS AND TRIBAL PROJECTS PRO-GRAM.

Section 1123 of the FAST Act (23 U.S.C. 201 note; Public Law 114–94) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(3), by inserting "for a project that is to be carried out by an eligible entity that is not an Indian tribe," before "having an"; and

(2) in subsection (g)(1)-

(A) by striking "shall be up to" and inserting the following: "shall be—

 $\ddot{}^{(\prime)}(A)$ for a project carried out by an Indian tribe, up to 100 percent; and

"(B) for a project not described in subparagraph (A), up to".

SEC. 6. TRIBAL TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the "Secretary") shall establish within the Bureau of Indian Affairs a committee, to be known as the "Tribal Transportation Advisory Committee" (referred to in this section as the "Committee"), which shall replace the Tribal Transportation Program Coordinating Committee established under sections 170.135 through 170.137 of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act).

(b) MEMBERSHIP.

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Committee shall be composed of—

(A) the Secretary (or a designee);

(B) representatives of a diverse group of Indian tribes, including—

(i) not fewer than 1 tribal representative from each region of the Bureau of Indian Affairs; and

(ii) not more than 3 tribal representatives from any 1 region of the Bureau of Indian Affairs;

(C) State and local representatives;

(D) not fewer than 1 representative of the Bureau of Indian Affairs;

(E) not fewer than 1 representative of the Department of Transportation; and

(F) other members, as determined to be appropriate by the Secretary in consultation with the Committee.

(2) APPOINTMENT.—The Secretary shall appoint each member of the Committee.

(3) CHAIRPERSON.—The Secretary (or a designee) shall serve as chairperson of the Committee.

(c) TERMS.—Except for the Secretary, each member of the Committee shall serve for a term of 3 years.

(d) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy occurring in the membership of the Committee—

(1) shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment was made; and

(2) shall not affect the power of the remaining members to carry out the duties of the Committee. (e) DUTIES.-

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Committee shall—

(A) regularly provide advice to the Secretary on and, subject to the discretion of the Committee, study issues relating to tribal transportation, including—

(i) the tribal transportation program under section 202 of title 23, United States Code, including—

(I) the funding formula used to determine tribal shares under the tribal transportation program; and

(II) the national tribal transportation facility inventory established under subsection (b)(1) of that section:

(ii) the road maintenance program managed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs:

(iii) grants awarded to Indian tribes for public transportation using amounts made available under section 5311(c)(1) of title 49, United States Code:

(iv) transportation safety within tribal reservations, including—

(I) traffic safety; and

(II) safety partnerships with Federal, State, and local authorities;

(v) the availability of transportation funding in the event of a natural disaster; and

(vi) any other policies or procedures related to tribal transportation, as determined by the Committee; and

(B) carry out the duties of the Tribal Transportation Program Coordinating Committee established under sections 170.135 through 170.137 of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act).

(2) BEST PRACTICES AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS.—The Committee may, on a periodic basis, develop and present to the Secretary best practices and recommendations regarding the issues described in clauses (i) through (vi) of paragraph (1)(A).

(3) SUBCOMMITTEES.—The Committee may establish any subcommittees necessary to carry out the duties of the Committee.

(f) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180 days after receiving any recommendations from the Committee under subsection (e)(2), the Secretary shall submit to the relevant committees of Congress a report describing those recommendations.

(g) FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.— Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall apply to the Committee and each subcommittee of the Committee.

(h) DETAIL OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.-

(1) IN GENERAL.—On request of the Committee, the Secretary may detail, with or without reimbursement, any of the personnel of the Department of the Interior or, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation, the Department of Transportation, to the Committee to assist the Committee in carrying out the duties of the Committee.

(2) CIVIL SERVICE STATUS.—Any detail of a Federal employee under paragraph (1) shall not interrupt or otherwise affect the civil service status or privileges of the Federal employee being detailed.

(i) PAYMENT AND EXPENSES.—

(1) COMPENSATION.—Members of the Committee shall serve without pay.

(2) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Each member of the Committee shall receive, for a meeting called by the Secretary, travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with sections 5702 and 5703 of title 5, United States Code.

(j) TERMINATION.—The Committee, including subcommittees of the Committee, shall terminate on the date that is 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 506—EX-PRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE UNITED STATES SHOULD INITIATE NEGO-TIATIONS TO ENTER INTO A FREE TRADE AGREEMENT WITH THE REPUBLIC OF TUNISIA

Mr. MURPHY (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Finance:

S. RES. 506

Whereas Tunisia has been developing its democratic and market-economy institutions since its democratic revolution in 2011;

Whereas the people of the United States and Tunisia share core values, such as respect for human rights, democracy, and the rule of law;

Whereas the democratically elected Government of Tunisia has committed to combat corruption and increase transparency and accountability in government institutions, and should continue to work toward these important goals;

Whereas the Government of Tunisia has implemented a number of economic reforms intended to encourage entrepreneurship and small business development, particularly in its tax and regulatory regimes, and has passed new laws on investment, public-private partnerships, and bankruptcy;

Whereas the efforts of the Government of Tunisia to reduce its budget deficit by tightening government spending, reforming domestic subsidies for fuel and foodstuffs, and allowing its currency to devalue through more exchange rate flexibility have arguably caused economic hardships for many families;

Whereas strong economic growth and investment would help provide the necessary resources to reduce unemployment in Tunisia, as well as to further strengthen democratic institutions and solidify public support for democratic governance;

Whereas a vibrant, stable, and prosperous democracy in the Middle East and North Africa is in the interest of the United States:

Whereas the political evolution of Tunisia stands as an inspiration for citizens of other states aspiring to establish the institutions of democracy after a history of autocratic rule:

Whereas Tunisia continues to face serious threats to its security from violent extremist groups operating within the country as well as in neighboring countries:

Whereas, in July 2015, the United States designated Tunisia as a major non-NATO ally;

Whereas the Government of Tunisia has committed a significant portion of its budget to defense and interior ministries for counterterrorism in recent years, at the expense of economic and social development;

Whereas Tunisia faces economic challenges, including high inflation and high unemployment, especially among young Tunisians and college graduates;

Whereas the United States is committed to continuing a strong economic partnership with Tunisia as its government undertakes reforms to transform its economy to meet the aspirations of all of the citizens of Tunisia:

Whereas closer engagement with Tunisia through trade negotiations would encourage even greater reform in Tunisia and build its capacity to further modernize and develop its economy;

Whereas the United States is Tunisia's 7th largest trading partner;

Whereas bilateral trade between Tunisia and the United States has increased from \$949,000,000 in 2011 to \$1,200,000,000 in 2018, according to the United States Census Bureau;

Whereas the United States and Tunisia held the 8th round of Trade and Investment Framework Agreement (TIFA) talks in May 2019;

Whereas Tunisia is a member of the World Trade Organization;

Whereas Tunisia is currently eligible for preferential duty treatment under the United States Generalized System of Preferences program;

Whereas the Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 (TPA) (title I of Public Law 114-26) includes provisions to require that a trading partner adopt, implement, and enforce its own labor statutes, and that those statutes include internationally recognized core labor standards; and

Whereas, pursuant to the Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 (TPA), Congress has mandated that the President provide a 90-day notification of intent to begin trade negotiations and established principal negotiating objectives, which include that parties to a trade agreement combat corruption, trade in goods and services obtain competitive opportunities for export, and labor provisions are subject to the same dispute settlement procedures as all other obligations: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that the United States should initiate negotiations to enter into a free trade agreement with Tunisia.

SENATE RESOLUTION 507—SUP-PORTING MINOR LEAGUE BASE-BALL

Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BROWN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mrs. GILLI-BRAND, Mr. GRASSLEY, MS. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. ERNST, Ms. WARREN, Mr. MORAN, Mr. KAINE, and Mr. COTTON) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation:

S. RES. 507

Whereas, each season for 15 consecutive years, more than 40,000,000 fans have attended a Minor League Baseball game;

Whereas Minor League Baseball provides wholesome, affordable entertainment in 160 communities throughout the United States;

Whereas, in 2018, Minor League Baseball clubs—

(1) donated more than \$45,000,000 in cash and in-kind gifts to the communities in which those clubs were located; and

(2) completed more than 15,000 volunteer hours;

Whereas the economic stimulus and development provided by Minor League Baseball clubs extends beyond the cities and towns where Minor League Baseball games are played to a wide range of diverse geographic areas in which 80 percent of the people of the United States are located;

Whereas Minor League Baseball is committed to promoting diversity and inclusion through—

(1) the Copa de la Diversión initiative;

(2) the MiLB Pride initiative;(3) the Fostering Inclusion through Edu-

(3) the Fostering Inclusion through Education and Leadership Development Program (commonly known as the "FIELD Program"); and

(4) the Women in Baseball Leadership initiative; Whereas Minor League Baseball is the first touchpoint with the "national pastime" for millions of youth, and the only touchpoint for youth located in communities far from cities in which Major League Baseball clubs are located;

Whereas Congress has enacted numerous statutory exemptions and immunities to preserve and sustain—

(1) a system for Minor League Baseball; and

(2) the relationship between Minor League Baseball and Major League Baseball;

Whereas the proposed abandonment of 42 Minor League Baseball clubs by Major League Baseball would devastate communities, bond purchasers, and other stakeholders that rely on the economic stimulus that those Minor League Baseball clubs provide;

Whereas Minor League Baseball facilities not only house the affiliated team, but also serve as venues for community events and other sporting competitions;

Whereas Minor League Baseball clubs enrich the lives of millions of people in the United States each year through economic, social, cultural, and charitable contributions; and

Whereas the preservation of Minor League Baseball clubs in 160 communities across the United States is in the public interest, as those clubs will continue to provide affordable, family-friendly entertainment to those communities: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) supports the preservation of Minor League Baseball clubs in 160 communities across the United States;

(2) recognizes the unique social, economic, and historical contributions that Minor League Baseball has made to the lives and culture of the people of the United States; and

(3) encourages the continuation in 160 communities across the United States of the 117year foundation of Minor League Baseball through the continued affiliation of the Minor League Baseball clubs in those communities with Major League Baseball.

SENATE RESOLUTION 508—COM-MEMORATING THE 150TH ANNI-VERSARY OF THE HISTORIC SEATING OF HIRAM RHODES REVELS AS THE FIRST AFRICAN AMERICAN UNITED STATES SEN-ATOR

Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. ALEX-ANDER, MS. BALDWIN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Booz-MAN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BURR, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. CASEY, Mr. CASSIDY, Ms. Collins, Mr. Coons, Mr. Cornyn, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. COTTON, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. DAINES, MS. DUCKWORTH, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. Ernst, Mrs. Feinstein, Mrs. Fisch-ER, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. HAWLEY, Mr. HEINRICH, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Inhofe, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. JONES, Mr. KAINE, Mr. KING, MS. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEAHY, Mrs. LOEFFLER, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MORAN, Mr. Paul, Mr. Perdue, Mr. Peters, Mr. RISCH, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ROMNEY, Ms. Rosen, Mr. Rubio, Mr. Sanders, Mr. SASSE, Mr. SCHATZ, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Mrs. Shaheen, Ms. Smith, Mr. Tester,

Mr. TILLIS, Mr. UDALL, Mr. VAN HOL-LEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. ROUNDS, and Mr. BENNET) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 508

Whereas Hiram Rhodes Revels (referred to in this preamble as "Hiram Revels") was born a free African American on September 27, 1827, in Fayetteville, Cumberland County, North Carolina:

Whereas Hiram Revels understood the importance of education from an early age in North Carolina, where he received a secondary school education at a school run by a free black woman;

Whereas, after being denied the ability to advance his education in North Carolina, Hiram Revels attended postsecondary schools where he cultivated his faith, including Beech Grove Quaker Seminary in Union County, Indiana, Darke County Seminary in Ohio, and, later, Knox College in Galesburg, Illinois;

Whereas Hiram Revels served as an ordained minister to African Methodist Episcopal congregations across the United States, including congregations in Maryland and Missouri, which were both slave States at the time of his service;

Whereas Hiram Revels, a talented orator and preacher, practiced and promoted his faith, which informed and encouraged his efforts to advance education for free African Americans:

Whereas Hiram Revels—

(1) was dedicated to the fight for freedom;(2) served in the military;

(3) aided in the recruitment of members for regiments of the United States Colored Troops, including 2 regiments established in Maryland and 1 regiment established in Missouri; and

(4) served as the chaplain for members of the United States Colored Troops in Vicksburg, Mississippi, in 1864;

Whereas Hiram Revels courageously stepped forward to engage in civic life in the aftermath of the Civil War by serving as—

(1) an alderman for Natchez, Mississippi, in 1868;

(2) a Mississippi State senator in 1870; and(3) the Secretary of State ad interim of Mississippi in 1873;

Whereas the State legislature of Mississippi elected Hiram Revels to fill a vacancy in 1 of its 2 seats in the United States Senate with 1 year remaining on the term of the seat:

Whereas, despite challenges to his credentials, Hiram Revels was seated in the United States Senate on February 25, 1870, becoming the first African American to serve as a Member of Congress;

Whereas Hiram Revels represented Mississippi in the United States Senate for a period of 1 year from February 25, 1870, until March 3, 1871;

Whereas Hiram Revels was the first of only 10 African American Senators to serve among the nearly 2,000 men and women who have served as Senators in the history of the United States Senate as of the date of introduction of this resolution:

Whereas Hiram Revels was a Reconstruction era Republican Senator who helped to advance the United States, including in education, military service, civic engagement, and community service;

Whereas February 25, 2020, marks the 150th anniversary of the United States Senate— (1) rejecting the challenges to the creden-

tials of Hiram Revels; and (2) voting 48 to 8 to seat Hiram Revels as

(2) voting 48 to 8 to seat Hiram Revels as the first African American to serve in Congress; Whereas, following his engagement in civic life, Hiram Revels—

(1) served as the first president of Alcorn Agricultural and Mechanical College in Claiborne County, Mississippi, which was the first African American land grant college in the United States; and

(2) later taught theology and served as a member of the Board of Trustees at Rust College, formerly known as Shaw College, in Holly Springs, Mississippi;

Whereas Hiram Revels died on January 16, 1901, in Aberdeen, Mississippi and was laid to rest in Hill Crest Cemetery in Holly Springs, Mississippi; and

Whereas the life and service of Hiram Rhodes Revels remain a symbol of the ideals of the United States, including the principle that all men are created equal: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) honors the life, accomplishments, and legacy of Hiram Rhodes Revels;

(2) recognizes the commitment of Hiram Rhodes Revels to fighting for equality and social justice;

(3) celebrates the legacy that Hiram Rhodes Revels left to guide and inspire future generations; and

(4) commemorates the 150th anniversary of the historic seating of Hiram Rhodes Revels as the first African American United States Senator.

SENATE RESOLUTION 509—CALL-ING UPON THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION ON IRAN THAT EX-TENDS THE DATES BY WHICH ANNEX B RESTRICTIONS UNDER RESOLUTION 2231 ARE CUR-RENTLY SET TO EXPIRE

Mr. TOOMEY (for himself, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. GARDNER, and Mr. COONS) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 509

Whereas, on July 20, 2015, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 2231;

Whereas Annex B of Resolution 2231 imposed a number of restrictions on Iran and on arms technology suppliers to Iran that will soon begin expiring;

Whereas the Government of Iran has never accepted the Annex B restrictions as legitimate and has not agreed to abide by them;

Whereas Annex B of Resolution 2231 imposed an arms embargo on Iran that requires Security Council approval for, among other things, the sale or transfer to Iran of battle tanks, armored combat vehicles, large-caliber artillery systems, combat aircraft, attack helicopters, warships, missiles, and missile systems including man-portable air-defense systems;

Whereas the arms embargo has limited the flow of advanced conventional weapons to Iran;

Whereas some United Nations member states are already preparing to provide Iran with advanced weapons systems upon expiration of the arms embargo;

Whereas Russian state news services have reported that the Russian Federation and Iran are negotiating an arms sale that will provide Iran with artillery systems, helicopters, combat aircraft, and tanks;

Whereas the arms embargo in Annex B of Resolution 2231 will expire on October 18, 2020; Whereas Annex B of Resolution 2231 prohibits Iran from exporting weapons and military equipment, including to foreign countries, its proxy militias throughout the region, and terrorist organizations such as Hezbollah and Katai'b Hezbollah;

Whereas Hassan Nasrallah, the Secretary-General of Hezbollah, which is estimated to possess at least 100,000 rockets and missiles, has stated that the terrorist group receives all of its weapons and missiles from Iran;

Whereas the arms export ban on Iran in Annex B of Resolution 2231 will expire on October 18, 2020;

Whereas Annex B of Resolution 2231 banned travel and froze financial assets for Iranian individuals and entities designated on a list established and maintained pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 1737 (2006) for their involvement in certain illicit behavior;

Whereas these travel bans and asset freezes will expire in October 2020 and October 2023, respectively;

Whereas Annex B of Resolution 2231 banned United Nation member states from supplying Iran's nuclear-capable ballistic missile program; and

Whereas this restriction in Annex B of Resolution 2231 will expire in October 2023: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) asserts that the expiration of the aforementioned restrictions on Iran and on arms technology suppliers to Iran in Annex B of United Nations Security Council Resolution 2231 (2015) will enhance the ability of Iran to continue its destabilizing actions in the Middle East that threaten the security of the United States and that of our allies;

(2) urges the international community to fully enforce the aforementioned restrictions on Iran and on arms technology suppliers to Iran in Annex B of United Nations Security Council Resolution 2231; and

(3) calls upon the United Nations Security Council to adopt a resolution on Iran that extends the dates by which the aforementioned restrictions on Iran and on arms technology suppliers to Iran in Annex B are currently set to expire.

SENATE RESOLUTION 510—COM-MENDING THE PEOPLE OF TAI-WAN ON HOLDING FREE AND FAIR DEMOCRATIC PRESI-DENTIAL AND LEGISLATIVE ELECTIONS, AND CONGRATU-LATING MADAME TSAI ING-WEN ON HER RE-ELECTION TO THE PRESIDENCY OF TAIWAN

Mr. GARDNER (for himself, Mr. MARKEY, and Mr. RISCH) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 510

Whereas the people of the United States and Taiwan enjoy extensive, close, and friendly commercial, cultural, and other relations founded in shared strategic interests and cemented by a commitment to democratic values;

Whereas Taiwan is a free, democratic, and prosperous nation of more than 23,000,000 people and an important contributor to peace and stability around the world, and its transformation into a robust democracy and a strong free market economy with a vibrant civil society offers a model for others in the Indo-Pacific;

Whereas the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96-8; 22 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.), signed into law on April 10, 1979, codified the basis for continued commercial, cultural, security, and other relations between the people of the United States and the people of Taiwan, serves as the foundation to preserve and promote continued bilateral bonds, and states that it is the policy of the United States—

(1) to preserve and promote extensive, close, and friendly commercial, cultural, and other relations between the people of the United States and the people on Taiwan, as well as the people on the China mainland and all other peoples of the Western Pacific area;

(2) to declare that peace and stability in the area are in the political, security, and economic interests of the United States, and are matters of international concern;

(3) to make clear that the United States decision to establish diplomatic relations with the People's Republic of China rests upon the expectation that the future of Taiwan will be determined by peaceful means;

(4) to consider any effort to determine the future of Taiwan by other than peaceful means, including by boycotts or embargoes, a threat to the peace and security of the Western Pacific area and of grave concern to the United States;

(5) to provide Taiwan with arms of a defensive character; and

(6) to maintain the capacity of the United States to resist any resort to force or other forms of coercion that would jeopardize the security, or the social or economic system, of the people on Taiwan;

Whereas the United States and Taiwan have built a strong economic partnership in which—

(1) the United States is Taiwan's second largest trading partner; and

(2) Taiwan is the 10th largest trading partner of the United States and a key destination for United States agricultural exports;

Whereas the people of the United States and the people of Taiwan share deep cultural and personal ties, as exemplified by the large flow of visitors and exchanges each year and the over 23,000 Taiwanese students who study in the United States:

Whereas the American Institute in Taiwan and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the United States signed a memorandum of understanding in June 2015 establishing the Global Cooperation and Training Framework, under which Taiwan, the United States, and Japan jointly sponsor training programs to share Taiwan's expertise with partners around the world, including in the areas of public health, law enforcement, disaster relief, energy cooperation, women's empowerment, digital economy and cybersecurity, media literacy, and good governance;

Whereas, in 2019, the United States and Taiwan also launched Indo-Pacific Democratic Governance Consultations, to help Indo-Pacific countries address governance challenges, and a new Pacific Islands Dialogue, to help meet the development needs of Taiwan's diplomatic partners in the Pacific;

Whereas Taiwan has the expertise, willingness, and capability to engage further in international efforts to mitigate global challenges related to such issues as public health, aviation safety, crime, and terrorism, but its participation in such efforts has been constrained by conditions imposed by the People's Republic of China;

Whereas successive Congresses have directed the executive branch to develop strategies to obtain meaningful participation for Taiwan in international organizations, such as the World Health Organization, the International Civil Aviation Organization, and the International Criminal Police Organization (commonly known as "INTERPOL");

Whereas the Asia Reassurance Initiative Act of 2018 (Public Law 115-409) states that— (1) it is United States policy "to support the close economic, political, and security relationship between Taiwan and the United States"; and

(2) the President should—

(A) "conduct regular transfers of defense articles to Taiwan that are tailored to meet the existing and likely future threats from the People's Republic of China, including supporting the efforts of Taiwan to develop and integrate asymmetric capabilities, as appropriate, including mobile, survivable, and cost-effective capabilities, into its military forces"; and

(B) "encourage the travel of high-level United States officials to Taiwan, in accordance with the Taiwan Travel Act";

Whereas, in presidential elections held on January 11, 2020, the incumbent President of Taiwan, Tsai Ing-wen, won a second fouryear term with the most votes for a presidential candidate since Taiwan began direct elections, winning 57.1 percent of the presidential vote; and

Whereas President Tsai stated in her acceptance speech: "This election has shown that the Taiwanese people hope the international community will witness our commitment to democratic values and will respect our national identity. We also hope that Taiwan will be given a fair opportunity to participate in international affairs.": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) commends the people of Taiwan on holding free and fair democratic elections on January 11, 2020;

(2) congratulates Madame Tsai Ing-wen on her re-election to the presidency of Taiwan, wishes her well on her inauguration on May 20, 2020, and pledges to deepen the relationship between the peoples of the United States and Taiwan in her second term;

(3) encourages the President to send a high-level official delegation for President Tsai's second inauguration, consistent with United States law;

(4) calls upon the United States Government to advocate for Taiwan's active participation in international organizations, including the World Health Organization, the International Civil Aviation Organization, and the International Criminal Police Organization: and

(5) encourages United States financial support to enhance Taiwan's international participation through the Global Cooperation and Training Framework in recognition of our shared commitment to an open, free, and prosperous Indo-Pacific region and beyond.

SENATE RESOLUTION 511-SUP-PORTING THE ROLE OF THE UNITED STATES IN HELPING SAVE THE LIVES OF CHILDREN AND PROTECTING THE HEALTH OF PEOPLE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WITH VACCINES AND IMMUNIZATION THROUGH GAVI, THE VACCINE ALLIANCE

Mr. RUBIO (for himself, Mr. KAINE, Ms. COLLINS, Ms. CANTWELL, and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 511

Whereas access to vaccines and routine immunizations can protect children from deadly but preventable diseases, reduce poverty, and contribute to economic growth by enabling people to live longer, healthier, and more productive lives;

Whereas investments in the development and deployment of vaccines and immunizations can also help enhance global health security by reducing the incidence of deadly and debilitating diseases and containing the spread of infectious diseases before they become pandemic health threats;

Whereas, prior to 2000, resources for and access to vaccines for children in the developing world were declining, immunization rates were stagnant or decreasing, and nearly 10,000,000 children were dying each year before reaching their fifth birthday;

Whereas, prior to 2000, it was common for new life-saving vaccines to take up to 15 years to be introduced in the world's least developed countries;

Whereas, in 2000, the United States Government joined forces with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the World Health Organization, the World Bank, other donor governments, and representatives of developing countries, faith-based organizations, civil society, and the private sector, including the vaccine industry, to create the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (now known as GAVI or GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance), a public-private partnership to expand access to new and underused vaccines, reduce the incidence of deadly and debilitating diseases, prevent epidemics, and save lives:

Whereas GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance has since supported country-led vaccine initiatives in 73 countries, enabled immunizations for more than 760,000,000 of the world's most vulnerable children, helped avert an estimated 13,000,000 deaths, and contributed to a 70 percent reduction in the number of deaths due to vaccine-preventable diseases;

Whereas country ownership and sustainability are at the core of the GAVI model, which requires each eligible country to commit their own domestic resources to vaccination and immunization programs;

Whereas 15 countries have transitioned from GAVI support and are now self-financing their own vaccination and immunization programs, three more are expected to transition by the end of 2020, and an additional 10 countries are expected to transition by 2025 (in total, 40 percent of the original set of GAVI-eligible countries);

Whereas GAVI has transformed the market for vaccines by pooling demand from developing countries, securing predictable financing, expanding the global supplier base, enhancing the competitiveness and security of supply chains, and creating efficiencies that are expected to generate an estimated \$900,000,000 in savings between 2021-2025;

Whereas, in addition to its current portfolio of vaccines, GAVI is working to support the roll-out and scale-up of newly approved vaccines for diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis (DTP) boosters, hepatitis B birth dose, multivalent meningococcal, respiratory syncytia (RSV), routine oral cholera, and rabies;

Whereas GAVI also collaborates with the Global Polio Eradication Initiative to bring polio vaccines into routine immunization programs, strengthen health systems, and implement additional polio protections;

Whereas GAVI has made significant progress in supporting the development and stockpiling of an effective vaccine to combat Ebola;

Whereas GAVI is participating in efforts to test and implement an effective vaccine to prevent malaria, a disease that kills more than 270,000 children a year;

Whereas, in June 2020, the United Kingdom will host GAVI's third replenishment conference, with an ambitious goal to raise \$7,400,000,000 in new donor commitments;

Whereas, with these additional resources, GAVI plans to support the immunization of 300,000,000 children against potentially fatal diseases and save an additional 7,000,000 to 8,000,000 lives between 2021 and 2025; and Whereas the United States has been a leading supporter of GAVI since its inception, and its continued commitment will be essential to the achievement of the alliance's goals for 2021-2025: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) commends the work of GAVI and its partners for their efforts to expand access vaccines and immunizations for the most vulnerable men, women, and children in developing countries;

(2) affirms the continued support of the United States Government for GAVI as an efficient and effective mechanism to advance global health security and save lives by—

(A) reducing the incidence of deadly and debilitating diseases;

(B) leveraging donor, partner country, and private sector investments in health systems capable of sustainably delivering vaccines and immunizations; and

(C) reducing the cost of vaccines while promoting supply chain security and sustainability;

(3) affirms the support of the United States Government for the goal of securing a minimum of \$7,400,000,000 in donor commitments for GAVI's third replenishment, to be held in June 2020 in the United Kingdom;

(4) urges donor countries and private sector partners to step up the fight and increase their pledges for the third replenishment;

(5) urges GAVI partner countries to continue to make and meet ambitious co-financing commitments to sustain progress in ending vaccine-preventable deaths; and

(6) encourages the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, in cooperation with GAVI, to continue their work to strengthen public health capacity to introduce and sustain the use of new and underused vaccines in routine immunization programs.

SENATE RESOLUTION 512—DESIG-NATING MARCH 2, 2020, AS "READ ACROSS AMERICA DAY"

Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. REED, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. WICKER, Ms. HASSAN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. WHITE-HOUSE, Ms. WARREN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, and Mr. DURBIN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 512

Whereas reading is—

(1) a basic requirement for quality education and professional success; and

(2) a source of pleasure throughout life;

Whereas the people of the United States must be able to read if the United States is to remain competitive in the global economy;

Whereas Congress has placed great emphasis on reading intervention and providing additional resources for reading assistance, including through—

(1) the programs authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.); and

(2) annual appropriations for library and literacy programs; and

Whereas more than 50 national organizations concerned about reading and education have joined with the National Education Association to designate March 2, the anniversary of the birth of Theodor Geisel (commonly known as "Dr. Seuss"), as a day to celebrate reading: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate— (1) designates March 2, 2020, as "Read Across America Day";

(2) honors—

 $({\rm A})$ all authors for their success in encouraging children to discover the joy of reading; and

 (\mbox{B}) the 23rd anniversary of Read Across America Day; and

(3) encourages-

(A) parents, educators, and communities— (i) to read with children for at least 30 minutes on Read Across America Day; and

(ii) in honor of the commitment of the Senate to building a country of readers, to promote a love of reading and opportunities for all children to see themselves reflected in literature: and

(B) the people of the United States to observe Read Across America Day with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

SENATE RESOLUTION 513—DESIG-NATING FEBRUARY 2020 AS "AMERICAN HEART MONTH" AND FEBRUARY 7, 2020, AS "NATIONAL WEAR RED DAY"

Ms. SINEMA (for herself, Mrs. FISCH-ER, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. BALDWIN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Ms. CANTWELL, Ms. ERNST, Mrs. CAPITO, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. MCSALLY, Ms. ROSEN, Mrs. SHAHEEN, and Ms. STABENOW) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 513

Whereas cardiovascular disease affects men, women, and children of every age and race in the United States;

Whereas, between 2003 and 2013, the death rate from cardiovascular disease fell nearly 30 percent, but cardiovascular disease continues to be the leading cause of death in the United States, taking the lives of approximately 800,000 individuals in the United States each year and accounting for 1 in 3 deaths across the country;

Whereas congenital heart defects are the— (1) most common birth defect in the United States; and

(2) leading killer of infants with birth defects;

Whereas, each year, an estimated 800,000 individuals in the United States have a heart attack, of whom an estimated 115,000 die;

Whereas, in 2015, cardiovascular disease accounted for approximately \$555,000,000,000 in health care expenditures and lost productivity:

Whereas it is estimated that cardiovascular disease will account for approximately \$1,093,900,000 in health care expenditures and lost productivity annually by 2035;

Whereas individuals in the United States have made great progress in reducing the death rate for cardiovascular disease, but this progress has been more modest with respect to the death rate for cardiovascular disease in women and minorities;

Whereas many people do not recognize that cardiovascular disease is the leading killer of women in the United States, taking the lives of over 400,000 women in 2017;

Whereas over ½ of all African-American adults have some form of cardiovascular disease, including 57.1 percent of African-American women and 60.1 percent of African-American men:

Whereas Alaska Natives and American Indians are more likely to die from cardiovascular disease than individuals from other ethnic groups;

Whereas Native Hawaiians have higher mortality rates and die at a younger average age from cardiovascular disease than other ethnic groups in Hawaii; Whereas many minority women, including African-American, Hispanic, Asian-American, and Native American women and women from indigenous populations, have a greater prevalence of risk factors or are at a higher risk of death from heart disease, stroke, and other cardiovascular diseases, but are less likely to know of the risk;

Whereas women constitute about 20 percent of enrolled patients in cardiovascular disease clinical trials;

Whereas, due to the differences in cardiovascular disease between men and women, more research and data on the effects of cardiovascular disease treatments for women is vital;

Whereas veterans have higher rates of cardiovascular disease than nonveterans;

Whereas female veterans are less likely than male veterans to be included in studies on the effects of cardiovascular disease on veterans;

Whereas female veterans are less likely than male veterans to receive adequate treatment for cardiovascular disease;

Whereas extensive clinical and statistical studies have identified major and contributing factors that increase the risk of cardiovascular disease, including—

- (1) high blood pressure;
- (2) high blood cholesterol;

(3) using tobacco products;(4) exposure to tobacco smoke:

(4) exposure to tobacco smoke;(5) physical inactivity;

(6) obesity; and

(7) diabetes mellitus;

Whereas an individual can greatly reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease through lifestyle modification coupled with medical treatment when necessary;

Whereas greater awareness and early detection of risk factors for cardiovascular disease can improve and save the lives of many individuals in the United States each year;

Whereas, under section 101(1) of title 36, United States Code, the President is requested to issue an annual proclamation designating February as American Heart Month;

Whereas the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health, the American Heart Association, and many other organizations celebrate National Wear Red Day during February by "going red" to increase awareness about cardiovascular disease as the leading killer of women: and

Whereas, every year since 1964, the President has issued a proclamation designating the month of February as American Heart Month: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates-

(A) February 2020 as "American Heart Month"; and

(B) February 7, 2020, as "National Wear Red Day";

(2) supports the goals and ideals of American Heart Month and National Wear Red Day;

(3) recognizes and reaffirms the commitment of the United States to—

(A) promoting awareness about the causes, risks, and prevention of cardiovascular disease:

(B) supporting research on cardiovascular disease; and

(C) expanding access to medical treatment for cardiovascular disease;

(4) commends the efforts of States, territories, and possessions of the United States, localities, nonprofit organizations, businesses, and other entities, and the people of the United States who support American Heart Month and National Wear Red Day; and

(5) encourages every individual in the United States to learn about his or her risk for cardiovascular disease.

SENATE RESOLUTION 514—EX-PRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT DONALD STRAT-TON BE REMEMBERED FOR A LIFETIME OF HEROISM AND SERVICE TO THE UNITED STATES

Mr. GARDNER (for himself and Mr. BENNET) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 514

Whereas, on February 15, 2020, Donald Stratton, a veteran of World War II and one of the last remaining survivors of the attack on Pearl Harbor, passed away peacefully surrounded by his loving family in Colorado Springs, Colorado;

Whereas, on December 7, 1941, the attack on Pearl Harbor lasted for approximately 5 hours, during which 2,403 members of the United States Armed Forces were killed or mortally wounded, 1,247 members of the United States Armed Forces were wounded, and 57 civilians lost their lives;

Whereas, during the attack on Pearl Harbor, Seaman First Class Donald Stratton was one of 6 sailors trapped in the control tower main mast after a massive explosion on the U.S.S. Arizona;

Whereas Boatswain's Mate Second Class Joseph Leon George saved the lives of Seaman First Class Donald Stratton, Seaman First Class Harold Kuhn, Seaman First Class Russell Lott, Gunner's Mate Third Class Earl Riner, Boatswain's Mate Second Class Alvin Dvorak, and Fire Controlman Third Class Lauren Bruner;

Whereas, despite suffering severe burns on more than 70 percent of his body and being medically discharged, Donald Stratton later reenlisted in the United States Navy to continue serving during World War II;

Whereas, after serving in the United States Armed Forces, Donald Stratton committed his life to pursuing the posthumous recognition of Joseph George with the award of a Bronze Star: and

Whereas Donald Stratton exemplified the heroism and selfless service of the members of the United States Armed Forces: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) honors Donald Stratton for his lifelong commitment to service to the United States and the example he set for future generations; and

(2) remembers the men and women of the Greatest Generation of the United States, including the few remaining survivors of that generation.

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I come to the floor with somber news for Colorado and our country. It is about a gentleman I have talked about many times on this floor and across Colorado—Donald Stratton, a veteran of World War II, who was on the USS *Arizona* on December 7, 1941. Our country has suffered a great loss this past week with Mr. Stratton's passing.

A gallant man, Donald Stratton served his country, his family, and our great State with honor, pride, and courage. He was the type of person who only comes around once in a generation and was someone whom I was lucky to have gotten to know and certainly proud to have worked with. It is with great emotion that I come to the floor to share his story once again. I am sure it will not be the last time,

but it is certainly the most personal time that I have ever shared this story.

Donald Stratton was born in a tiny town in Nebraska—Red Cloud—in 1922. Its population today is of 900 or so people. I didn't have a chance to look up how big it was when he was born in 1922. I imagine it may have been a little bit bigger. It has certainly faced the fate that many rural communities in America have. It has seen times of growth and times of loss. Certainly, the people of Red Cloud know they have lost a great hero as well.

Donald Stratton wrote in his memoirs on December 6, 1941, as a young Nebraskan sailor, that he felt like the luckiest boy from Red Cloud because he was in an incredibly beautiful part of the world. In fact, he wrote in his memoir that he was in the Navy, seeing the world, and was stationed in one of the most beautiful parts of the world. He was 19, and his entire life stretched before him. That next morning, December 7, 1941, would change forever Donald Stratton and his country.

In his book, he talked about that day, December 7, 1941. A little after 5 a.m., he had awoken, on his cot, about an hour and a half before sunrise.

He writes:

I stretched, rubbed the sleep from my eyes, and folded up my cot. I stored it in the incinerator room, then went below to shower. After, I dressed for the day in the typical casual clothes that sailors wore on Sundays—a clean pair of pressed, white shorts and a white T-shirt, along with my sailor's hat.

A few minutes later, a 5:30 reveille sounded over the intercom, and the ship stirred to life, he talked about. Below decks, men tumbled out of their hammocks and headed to the showers. A few hours later, at 7:55 that morning, after a Sunday morning breakfast, he heard airplanes and bombs in the distance as the attacks on Pearl Harbor began. By 8:06—11 minutes later—twothirds of his body would be engulfed in flames.

He was at his station, directing antiaircraft guns, and trying his best to fight off the surprise attack by the Japanese. At that moment, a 1,700armor-piercing bomb pound was dropped from 10,000 feet above the USS Arizona. That bomb came crashing down through four steel decks, where it reached the ammunition magazine, causing a series of explosions and shooting a fireball 500 to 600 feet in the air, engulfing Donald Stratton and his shipmates in even more flames.

That Sunday morning of December 7, aboard the USS *Arizona*, were 1,512 officers, sailors, and marines. The attack that day—that 1,700-pound bomb and so many others—killed 1,177. Only 335 brave people survived that morning. Donald Stratton was one of those 335 sailors. His story of survival happened because of a sailor who was stationed next to the USS *Arizona* on a ship called the *Vestal*. He was a sailor by the name of Joe George.

On that morning, as they were trying to fight back, they had been trapped in their tower. Donald Stratton and five of his other shipmates were burningtrapped on that tower—as the ship was going down. Joe George, a sailor aboard the Vestal, saw them and saw what was happening. He tried many times to throw a rope over to the USS Arizona to provide help. Finally, he succeeded. Out of the smoke and out of the flames, a lifeline from Joe George to that tower was seen, and they were able to affix it to the tower. Miraculously, Donald Stratton and these other sailors were able to shimmy across the rope, over the burning water, to safety on the Vestal. Despite their terrible wounds, they made it to the Vestal.

This story led to an incredible fight again by Donald Stratton. After he spent a year recovering from the burns that were over almost all of his body, he told his parents that he couldn't just abandon the fight, that he couldn't abandon his country, that he had to go back to duty. With that, he went back into service for his country. But the fight Donald Stratton gave for this country and for his fellow sailors didn't stop there. After he served in the Navy. after he left it, he knew he had to spend the rest of his life fighting for the man who saved his life and his fellow shipmates.

It wasn't like people left the ship at the end of the day on December 7 to go back to the office and fill out reports and say: Well, it was a busy day at the office. These things happen.

America was at war. Thousands of lives had been lost. In the fire, in the smoke, and in the fight, what Joe George had done for Donald Stratton and those other brave sailors was lost for that time. Donald Stratton went back into service. He went back into the fight. He spent the rest of his life trying to find the man who saved his life. He spent a decade-plus looking for Joe George, finding out who he was. After he found out who he was, he spent 16 years fighting the Navy so that Joe George would be recognized for his heroic actions.

Donald Stratton went to the Arizona Legislature, and he went to the Colorado Legislature. They passed resolutions asking that Joe George be recognized for his acts of bravery and his courage.

He then came to this Congress. Lauren Bruner, Donald Stratton, and the other members who survived the USS Arizona—the remaining few of the USS Arizona—came to the Senate and said: As fewer and fewer of us are able to celebrate and to commemorate December 7 each and every year, would you please celebrate one more life—Joe George's? On December 7, 2017, the Navy recognized Joe George with the Bronze Star and the "V" for valor device.

I have a picture of Donald Stratton as he said goodbye one more time to his fellow shipmates on December 7, 2017. He thanked Joe George for saving his life and probably never fully understood why his mission didn't end that day while so many others' missions did, but thank God he was able to continue the fight for this country.

While Donald Stratton was on the brink of death, he knew he had to get back into the fight, and he did. He went back into the Pacific theater.

He wrote in his book:

Though I may have left Pearl Harbor on a stretcher, I had returned on a destroyer. I had recovered my strength, as had my country. I was ready to meet what was coming, and I had a boatload of reinforcements with me.

Donald Stratton came back, fighting for our country and fighting for the man who saved his life.

He wrote in his memoir that, in life, the only question that matters is "Have I lived a good life?" He wrote that he wonders if he will be remembered when he is gone. He wonders who will remember him and why.

Please know that we will remember you eternally and your family for what you have done for this country.

I introduce a resolution to recognize and remember Donald Stratton's life because there are only a few remaining brave men and women who survived that day, who survived that war, who fought for us so many years ago. I hope all of my colleagues will support it so that this American hero and his incredible life can be remembered by our Nation forever.

The first time I met Donald Stratton, he told me his story. He told me what he had done. He told me how he and his wife had met and how he had gone back into the fight after receiving such severe burns that his wife used to take a bristle brush to them to help his skin feel better.

I asked how he did it and said: Mr. Stratton, I am pretty sure I never could have done what you did.

In his kind of "ah, shucks" demeanor from Red Cloud, NE, he said: "Well, Cory, everyone has to be somewhere."

It was not the response I thought I was going to get, but everybody does have to be somewhere. Thank God Donald Stratton was on that boat on December 7, 1941. Thank God Joe George was on that boat on December 7, 1941. Thank God that rope was thrown over to the tower to save his life. Thank God Donald Stratton returned to the fight to stand up for this country, to continue his fight for Joe George, and to have an incredible family who continues to share in his legacy today.

Thank God for all of them. Thank God for all of the men and women who were there that day and what they have been able to do to fight for this country, to stand for this country, to pay back the blessings of this country as we must fight each and every day to pay back the blessings they so generously bestowed upon this Nation when they stood up. because they were there.

We know that Donald Stratton has joined his fellow shipmates. That reveille at the Pearly Gates must be quite spectacular. He passed away at his home in Colorado Springs on February 15, at the age of 97, next to his beloved wife. He joins Lauren Bruner, another survivor of that morning on the USS *Arizona*, who came to my office to fight with him for Joe George—Bruner, a shipmate who passed away on September 10 of last year and who was interred in the USS *Arizona* this past December 7 on the 78th anniversary of the Pearl Harbor attack. I pray that they all rest in peace as they join their family in arms.

This Saturday, the community of Colorado Springs and our State will hold a memorial service for Donald Stratton when he will be lain in his final resting place next to his daughters in Nebraska. As we say goodbye to this hero, let us all do it with thanks to Donald Stratton and to the two remaining survivors of the USS *Arizona* today, Lou Conter and Ken Potts.

To every brave man and woman who serves our country, we are eternally grateful.

I am going to miss him.

SENATE RESOLUTION 515—SUP-PORTING THE GOALS AND IDEALS OF CAREER AND TECH-NICAL EDUCATION MONTH

Mr. KAINE (for himself. Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. BROWN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. COONS, Mr. CORNYN, MS. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. DAINES, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. ENZI, Ms. ERNST, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. HARRIS, Ms. HASSAN, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. HOEVEN, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Jones, Mr. King, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mrs. LOEFFLER, Mr. MANCHIN, MS. MCSALLY, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. Murphy, Mrs. Murray, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. REED, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ROMNEY, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Ms. SMITH, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. THUNE, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. WYDEN, and Mrs. FISCHER) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 515

Whereas a competitive global economy requires workers who are prepared for skilled professions;

Whereas, in the next several years, an estimated 3,000,000 new workers will be needed in infrastructure positions in the United States, including in positions for designing, building, and operating transportation, housing, utilities, and telecommunications facilities:

Whereas career and technical education (referred to in this preamble as "CTE") ensures that competitive and skilled workers are ready, willing, and capable of holding jobs in high-wage, high-skill, and in-demand career fields such as science, technology, engineering, mathematics, nursing, allied health, construction, information technology, energy sustainability, and many other career fields that are vital in keeping the United States competitive in the global economy:

Whereas CTE helps the United States meet the very real and immediate challenges of economic development, student achievement, and global competitiveness;

Whereas the United States has 30,000,000 jobs with an average income of \$55,000 per year that do not require a bachelor's degree yet increasingly require some level of postsecondary education;

Whereas over 11,800,000 students are enrolled in CTE across the country at secondary and postsecondary institutions, with CTE programs in thousands of CTE centers, comprehensive high schools, career academies, and CTE high schools, and nearly 1,000 2-year colleges;

Whereas CTE matches employability skills with workforce demand and provides relevant academic and technical coursework leading to industry-recognized credentials for secondary, postsecondary, and adult learners;

Whereas CTE affords students the opportunity to gain the knowledge, skills, and credentials needed to secure careers in growing, high-demand fields;

Whereas secondary CTE is associated with a lower probability of dropping out of high school and a higher likelihood of graduating on-time;

Whereas CTE students were significantly more likely than non-CTE students to report having developed problem-solving, project completion, research, math, college application, work-related, communication, time management, and critical thinking skills during high school;

Whereas, according to an American Federation of Teachers poll, 94 percent of parents approve of expanding access to CTE and other programs that prepare students for jobs;

Whereas students at schools with highly integrated rigorous academic and CTE programs are significantly more likely to meet college and career readiness benchmarks than students at schools with less integrated programs;

Whereas, in 2018, Congress affirmed the importance of CTE by passing the Strengthening Career and Technical Education for the 21st Century Act (Public Law 115-224), which supports program improvement in secondary and postsecondary CTE programs in all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, and outlying areas;

Whereas 2020 marks the 100th anniversary of State CTE leadership by Advance CTE (formerly known as the "National Association of State Directors of Career Technical Education Consortium" or "NASDCTEc"); and

Whereas February 23, 2020, marks the 103d anniversary of the signing of the Act of February 23, 1917 (commonly known as the "Smith-Hughes Vocational Education Act of 1917") (39 Stat. 929, chapter 114), which was the first major Federal investment in secondary CTE and laid the foundation for the bipartisan, bicameral support for CTE that continues as of February 2020: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) designates February 2020 as "Career and Technical Education Month" to celebrate career and technical education across the United States;

(2) supports the goals and ideals of Career and Technical Education Month;

(3) recognizes the importance of career and technical education in preparing a well-educated and skilled workforce in the United States; and (4) encourages educators, guidance and career development professionals, administrators, and parents to promote career and technical education as a respected option for students.

SENATE RESOLUTION 516—CELE-BRATING BLACK HISTORY MONTH

Mr. BOOKER (for himself, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Ms. HARRIS, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. BLUNT, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. UDALL, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. BENNET, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. KAINE, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Dur-BIN, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. Cassidy, Mr. Markey, Mr. Inhofe, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. WICKER, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. Burr, Mr. Brown, Mr. Cramer, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. RISCH, Mr. COONS, Ms. ERNST. MS. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. PAUL, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. REED, Mr. SHELBY, Ms. SMITH, Ms. Collins, Mr. Peters, Mr. Boozman, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. MCSALLY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. Romney, Mr. WARNER, Mr. JONES, Ms. HASSAN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. KING, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. WARREN, Mr. CASEY, Mrs. Murray, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Car-PER, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. SCHUMER, MS. SINEMA, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. SCHATZ, Mr. HEIN-RICH, Mrs. LOEFFLER, Mr. TESTER, and Mr. HAWLEY) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 516

Whereas, in 1776, people envisioned the United States as a new nation dedicated to the proposition stated in the Declaration of Independence that "all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness";

Whereas Africans were first brought involuntarily to the shores of the United States as early as the 17th century;

Whereas African Americans suffered enslavement and subsequently faced the injustices of lynch mobs, segregation, and denial of the basic and fundamental rights of citizenship;

Whereas, in 2020, the vestiges of those injustices and inequalities remain evident in the society of the United States;

Whereas, in the face of injustices, people of good will and of all races in the United States have distinguished themselves with a commitment to the noble ideals on which the United States was founded and have fought courageously for the rights and freedom of African Americans and others;

Whereas African Americans, such as Lieutenant Colonel Allen Allensworth, Maya Angelou, Arthur Ashe, Jr., James Baldwin, James Beckwourth, Clara Brown, Blanche Bruce, Ralph Bunche, Shirley Chisholm, Holt Collier, Miles Davis, Louis Armstrong, Larry Doby, Frederick Douglass, W. E. B. Du Bois, Ralph Ellison, Medgar Evers, Aretha Franklin, Alex Haley, Dorothy Height, Jon Hendricks, Olivia Hooker, Lena Horne, Charles Hamilton Houston, Mahalia Jackson, Stephanie Tubbs Jones, B.B. King, Martin Luther King, Jr., Coretta Scott King, Thurgood Marshall, Constance Baker Motley, Rosa Parks, Walter Payton, Bill Pickett, Homer Plessy, Bass Reeves, Hiram Revels, Amelia Platts Boynton Robinson, Jackie Robinson, Aaron Shirley, Sojourner Truth, Harriet Tubman, Booker T. Washington, the Greensboro Four, the Tuskegee Airmen, Prince Rogers Nelson, Recy Taylor, Fred Shuttlesworth, Duke Ellington, Langston Hughes, Muhammad Ali, Elijah Cummings, Ella Fitzgerald, Mamie Till, Edith Savage-Jennings, Toni Morrison, Gwen Ifill, and Diahann Carroll, along with many others, worked against racism to achieve success and to make significant contributions to the economic, educational, political, artistic, athletic, literary, scientific, and technological advancement of the United States;

Whereas the contributions of African Americans from all walks of life throughout the history of the United States reflect the greatness of the United States;

Whereas many African Americans lived, toiled, and died in obscurity, never achieving the recognition those individuals deserved, and yet paved the way for future generations to succeed;

Whereas African Americans continue to serve the United States at the highest levels of business, government, and the military;

Whereas the birthdays of Abraham Lincoln and Frederick Douglass inspired the creation of Negro History Week, the precursor to Black History Month;

Whereas Negro History Week represented the culmination of the efforts of Dr. Carter G. Woodson, the "Father of Black History", to enhance knowledge of Black history through The Journal of Negro History, published by the Association for the Study of African American Life and History, which was founded by Dr. Carter G. Woodson and Jesse E. Moorland;

Whereas Black History Month, celebrated during the month of February, originated in 1926 when Dr. Carter G. Woodson set aside a special period in February to recognize the heritage and achievements of Black people in the United States;

Whereas Dr. Carter G. Woodson stated, "We have a wonderful history behind us. . .

. If you are unable to demonstrate to the world that you have this record, the world will say to you, 'You are not worthy to enjoy the blessings of democracy or anything else.'":

Whereas, since its founding, the United States has imperfectly progressed toward noble goals;

Whereas the history of the United States is the story of people regularly affirming high ideals, striving to reach those ideals but often failing, and then struggling to come to terms with the disappointment of that failure, before committing to try again;

Whereas, on November 4, 2008, the people of the United States elected Barack Obama, an African-American man, as President of the United States; and

Whereas, on February 22, 2012, people across the United States celebrated the groundbreaking of the National Museum of African American History and Culture, which opened to the public on September 24, 2016, on the National Mall in Washington, District of Columbia: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) acknowledges that all people of the United States are the recipients of the wealth of history provided by Black culture;

(2) recognizes the importance of Black History Month as an opportunity to reflect on the complex history of the United States, while remaining hopeful and confident about the path ahead;

(3) acknowledges the significance of Black History Month as an important opportunity

to commemorate the tremendous contributions of African Americans to the history of the United States;

(4) encourages the celebration of Black History Month to provide a continuing opportunity for all people in the United States to learn from the past and understand the experiences that have shaped the United States; and

(5) agrees that, while the United States began as a divided country, the United States must—

 $({\rm A})$ honor the contribution of all pioneers in the United States who have helped to ensure the legacy of the great United States; and

(B) move forward with purpose, united tirelessly as a nation "indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.".

SENATE RESOLUTION 517—HON-ORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF JUDGE NATHANIEL R. JONES

Mr. BROWN (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 517

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones was born on May 13, 1926, in Youngstown, Ohio, and died on January 26, 2020, at his home in Cincinnati, Ohio, surrounded by family and loved ones;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones served honorably in the United States Army Air Corps during World War II;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones attended Youngstown State University, where he earned an undergraduate degree in 1951 and a law degree in 1955;

Whereas, in 1957, Judge Nathaniel Jones was admitted to the Ohio Bar;

Whereas, from 1956 to 1959, Judge Nathaniel Jones served as the Executive Director for the Fair Employment Practices Commission, where he led efforts to ensure equal access to employment opportunities for African Americans;

Whereas, in 1962, Judge Nathaniel Jones became the first African American to be appointed as Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Ohio;

Whereas, in 1967, President Lyndon B. Johnson appointed Judge Nathaniel Jones to serve as the Assistant General Counsel for the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, also known as the Kerner Commission, which found racism as the root cause for the civil unrest that occurred in the cities of the United States during the 1960s and determined that the United States was "moving toward two societies, one black, one white—separate and unequal";

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones served as the General Counsel for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People from 1969 to 1979, directing efforts to desegregate public schools in Northern cities, defended affirmative action, and fought against discrimination against African-American soldiers in the United States Armed Forces;

Whereas, in 1979, President Jimmy Carter nominated and the Senate confirmed Judge Nathaniel Jones as a judge for the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, making him the 11th African American to serve as a Federal circuit court judge;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones served on the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit until his retirement in 2002;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones was known as the "great dissenter" because he was often in the minority, siding with plaintiffs seeking redress in the courts for violations of housing and employment law and civil rights protections;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones assisted the Republic of South Africa in drafting a new constitution following decades of apartheid rule and served as an official election monitor for the country's first free and fair election, which ushered in the presidency of Nelson Mandela;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones received 19 honorary degrees and numerous awards of distinction, such as the Spingarn Medal, the highest honor awarded by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the International Freedom Conductor Award from the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center, the Charles Hamilton Houston Medallion of Merit from the Washington Bar Association, and the Pillar of Justice Award from the Federal Bar Association;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones was inducted into the National Bar Association Hall of Fame and the Ohio Civil Rights Hall of Fame, and, in 2014, the Nathaniel R. Jones American Inn of Court was chartered in Youngstown, Ohio;

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones was an initiate of the Beta Pi Chapter of Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity and was the 65th Laurel Wreath Laureate of Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity;

Whereas, in 2003, Congress passed legislation to name the newly constructed Federal building in Youngstown, Ohio, the "Nathaniel R. Jones Federal Building and United States Courthouse";

Whereas, in 2019, the University of Cincinnati College of Law renamed its Center for Race, Gender, and Social Justice after Judge Nathaniel R. Jones to signify its "commitment to and alignment with the principles of Judge Jones' impressive career as a champion for justice";

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones devoted his life to answering "the Call" for racial justice, first sounded by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored Peoin 1909, stating in his memoir, ple [A]nswering calls for racial justice has not been confined to a specific time in the past or the history of a particular organization. but has been defined by the imperatives that guided my life. As I enter the twilight of my life. I offer this chronicle of the steps I have taken in an effort to advance the baton of justice handed to me by forebears who were much more surefooted and fearless than me in answering the Call.";

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones inspired generations of lawyers who served as his law clerks, as well as the countless leaders who sought his wise counsel as they worked to address inequality in their communities; and

Whereas Judge Nathaniel Jones will be remembered for his dedication to upholding the Constitution of the United States and as a tireless advocate for justice: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate honors the life and legacy of Judge Nathaniel R. Jones and his unwavering commitment to upholding justice and civil rights.

SENATE RESOLUTION 518—HON-ORING THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF DISABLED AMERICAN VET-ERANS

Mr. MORAN (for himself and Mr. TESTER) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 518

Whereas Disabled American Veterans was founded on September 25, 1920, and chartered

by Congress on June 17, 1932, in recognition of the role of Disabled American Veterans as the official voice of the wartime-disabled veterans of the United States;

Whereas, in 2020, Disabled American Veterans celebrates 100 years of serving veterans of the Armed Forces, their families, survivors, and communities;

Whereas Disabled American Veterans is the largest wartime veterans service organization in the United States comprised exclusively of men and women who became disabled while defending the United States, with approximately 1,000,000 service-disabled veterans in its membership;

Whereas the National Headquarters of Disabled American Veterans is located in Kentucky, and the National Service and Legislative Headquarters of Disabled American Veterans is located in Washington, D.C., and Disabled American Veterans has 52 departments and 1344 chapters located throughout the United States;

Whereas, since its founding, Disabled American Veterans has served veterans of the United States who have become wounded, injured, or ill due to service in the Armed Forces by advocating for the establishment of the Department of Veterans Affairs and urging Congress to pass legislation to provide benefits and services for service-disabled veterans;

Whereas, in 1920, Disabled American Veterans began representing the interests of veterans and subsequently developed a professional national service officer corps, which has made Disabled American Veterans the preeminent provider of claims assistance to injured and ill veterans of the United States, their families, and survivors;

Whereas Disabled American Veterans continues to provide direct onsite assistance to injured and ill members of the Armed Forces on active duty through 30 Transition Service Officers, who provide benefits counseling and assistance to separating members of the Armed Forces seeking to file initial claims for benefits administered through the Department of Veterans Affairs;

Whereas Disabled American Veterans copresents the National Disabled Veterans Winter Sports Clinic and the National Disabled Veterans Training Exposure Experience Tournament, has organized a nationwide transportation network providing free transportation to medical facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs for injured and ill veterans, operates an active Charitable Service Trust that funds the needs of local providers assisting at-risk local veterans, maintains an active volunteer corps providing millions of hours of service to veterans and communities, and created the Jesse Brown Memorial Youth Scholarship Program to contribute to the lives of young people in the United States;

Whereas Disabled American Veterans has championed important initiatives for improving the lives of all veterans, such as—

(1) the establishment of-

(A) a cabinet-level Department of Veterans Affairs;

(B) the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims;

(C) a modernized appeals process for disability claims;

(D) an advance appropriation to ensure adequate and timely funding for health care provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs;

(E) benefits for family caregivers; and

 $({\bf F})$ the model for present-day Vet Centers; and

(2) the elimination of the offset between military retired pay based on years of service and veterans' disability compensation; and

Whereas Disabled American Veterans continues to advocate and create awareness for many issues affecting veterans of the United States, such as equitable benefits and services for women veterans, appropriate resources for mental health and suicide prevention services, and benefits for all veterans exposed to toxic substances: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) recognizes that, throughout $100\ {\rm years}$ of service, Disabled American Veterans has made significant contributions to veterans. both with and without disabilities, and the communities of veterans, "fulfilling our promises to the men and women who served":

(2) honors the vital and ongoing role Disabled American Veterans plays in supporting the needs of veterans and their families in the United States: and

(3) commemorates the legacy of Disabled American Veterans in the provision of services and advocacy for veterans throughout 100 years of history of the United States.

SENATE RESOLUTION 519-HON-ORING THE LIFE AND ACHIEVE-MENTS OF KATHERINE COLEMAN GOBLE JOHNSON

Mr. MANCHIN (for himself, Mrs. CAP-ITO, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. KAINE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 519

Whereas Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson, an African-American physicist and mathematician, was born on August 26, 1918, in White Sulphur Springs, West Virginia;

Whereas, in 1937, Katherine Johnson graduated from West Virginia State College, doing so with highest honors at age 18;

Whereas Katherine Johnson and 2 other students were the first African Americans to be admitted to graduate school at West Virginia University;

Whereas, in 1953, Katherine Johnson began her career in aeronautics as a computer in the segregated West Area Computing unit at the Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA);

Whereas, as a member of the Flight Research Division at NACA, Katherine Johnson analyzed data from flight tests;

Whereas, after NACA was incorporated into the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Katherine Johnson-

(1) calculated the trajectory for the Free dom 7 mission crewed by Alan Shepard in 1961, which was the first human spaceflight by an individual from the United States;

(2) co-authored a report that provided the equations for describing orbital spaceflight with a specified landing point, which made her the first woman to be recognized as an author of a report from the Flight Research Division:

(3) was asked to verify the calculations of the electronic computers at NASA that were used to calculate the orbit for the Friendship 7 mission crewed by John Glenn; and

provided calculations NASA for throughout her career, including for the Apollo missions;

Whereas Katherine Johnson broke the barriers of race and gender by completing groundbreaking work at NASA;

Whereas, in 1986, Katherine Johnson retired from NASA;

Whereas, in 2015, Katherine Johnson received the Presidential Medal of Freedom from President Barack Obama at age 97;

Whereas, in 2017, NASA dedicated a building in honor of Katherine Johnson at Langley Research Center in Hampton, Virginia;

Whereas NASA dedicated the Katherine Johnson Independent Verification and Vali-

dation Facility in Fairmont, West Virginia, after a bipartisan bill authored by Senator Shelley Moore Capito and Senator Joe Manchin to redesignate the facility was signed into law in 2018; and

Whereas, on February 24, 2020, Katherine Johnson passed away at 101 years of age: Now, therefore, be it Resolved. That the Senate-

(1) honors the life of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson and her achievements as a pioneer, physicist, mathematician, and cultural icon:

(2) extends its heartfelt sympathy to the family of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson;

(3) honors and, on behalf of the United States, expresses deep appreciation for the outstanding and important service of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson to the United States: and

(4) respectfully requests that the Secretary of the Senate communicate this resolution to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to the family of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson.

SENATE RESOLUTION 520-DESIG-NATING MARCH 6, 2020, AS "NA-TIONAL SPEECH AND DEBATE EDUCATION DAY"

Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. COONS, Ms. ERNST, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. KING, Ms. WARREN, and Mr. COTTON) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 520

Whereas it is essential for youth to learn and practice the art of communicating with and without technology;

Whereas speech and debate education offers students myriad forms of public speaking through which students may develop talent and exercise unique voice and character;

Whereas speech and debate education gives students the 21st-century skills of communication, critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration:

Whereas critical analysis and effective communication allow important ideas, texts, and philosophies the opportunity to flourish;

Whereas personal, professional, and civic interactions are enhanced by the ability of the participants in those interactions to listen, concur, question, and dissent with reason and compassion;

Whereas students who participate in speech and debate have chosen a challenging activity that requires regular practice, dedication, and hard work:

Whereas teachers and coaches of speech and debate devote in-school, afterschool, and weekend hours to equip students with lifechanging skills and opportunities;

Whereas National Speech and Debate Education Day emphasizes the lifelong impact of providing people of the United States with the confidence and preparation to both discern and share views:

Whereas National Speech and Debate Education Day acknowledges that most achievements, celebrations, commemorations, and pivotal moments in modern history begin, end, or are crystallized with public address;

Whereas National Speech and Debate Education Day recognizes that learning to research, construct, and present an argument is integral to personal advocacy, social movements, and the making of public policy;

Whereas the National Speech & Debate Association, in conjunction with national and local partners, honors and celebrates the importance of speech and debate through National Speech and Debate Education Day; and

Whereas National Speech and Debate Education Day emphasizes the importance of speech and debate education and the integration of speech and debate education across grade levels and disciplines: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) designates March 6, 2020, as "National Speech and Debate Education Day";

(2) strongly affirms the purposes of National Speech and Debate Education Day; and

encourages educational institutions, (3)businesses, community and civic associations, and all people of the United States to celebrate and promote National Speech and Debate Education Day.

SENATE RESOLUTION 521-DESIG-NATING THE WEEK OF FEB-RUARY 24 THROUGH FEBRUARY 28, 2020, AS "PUBLIC SCHOOLS WEEK"

COLLINS (for herself, Ms. Mr. TESTER, Mrs. Capito, Mr. Reed, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. KING, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. KAINE, Ms. ERNST, MS. BALDWIN, Mr. BROWN, MS. WARREN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, MS. ROSEN, MS. HASSAN, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. SMITH, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. JONES, Mr. MUR-PHY, Mr. CASEY, Mr. PETERS, Ms. KLO-BUCHAR, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Whitehouse, Ms. HIRONO, MS. SINEMA, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. COONS, Mr. MERKLEY, Mrs. Murray, Mr. Menendez, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. MAR-KEY, Mr. WARNER, Ms. HARRIS, and Mrs. FISCHER) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 521

Whereas public education is a significant institution in a 21st-century democracy;

Whereas public schools in the United States are where students come to be educated about the values and beliefs that hold the individuals of the United States together as a nation:

Whereas public schools prepare young individuals of the United States to contribute to the society, economy, and citizenry of the country:

Whereas 90 percent of children in the United States attend public schools:

Whereas Federal, State, and local lawmakers should-

(1) prioritize support for strengthening the public schools of the United States;

(2) empower superintendents, principals, and other school leaders to implement, manage, and lead school districts and schools in partnership with educators, parents, and other local education stakeholders; and

(3) support services and programs that are critical to helping students engage in learning, including counseling, extracurricular activities, and mental health supports:

Whereas public schools should foster inclusive, safe, and high-quality environments in which children can learn to think critically. problem solve, and build relationships;

Whereas public schools should provide environments in which all students have the opportunity to succeed beginning in their earliest years, regardless of who a student is or where a student lives:

Whereas Congress should support-

(1) efforts to advance equal opportunity and excellence in public education;

(2) efforts to implement evidence-based practices in public education; and

(3) continuous improvements to public education;

Whereas every child should— (1) receive an education that helps the child reach the full potential of the child; and

(2) attend a school that offers a high-quality educational experience;

Whereas Federal funding, in addition to State and local funds, supports the access of students to inviting classrooms, well-prepared educators, and services to support healthy students, including nutrition and afterschool programs;

Whereas teachers, paraprofessionals, and principals should provide students with a well-rounded education and strive to create joy in learning;

Whereas superintendents, principals, other school leaders, teachers, paraprofessionals, and parents make public schools vital components of communities and are working hard to improve educational outcomes for children across the country; and

Whereas the week of February 24 through February 28, 2020, is an appropriate period to designate as "Public Schools Week": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate designates the week of February 24 through February 28, 2020, as "Public Schools Week".

SENATE RESOLUTION 522—ELECT-ING ROBERT M. DUNCAN, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AS SEC-RETARY FOR THE MAJORITY OF THE SENATE

Mr. McCONNELL submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 522

Resolved, That Robert M. Duncan of the District of Columbia be, and he is hereby, elected Secretary for the Majority of the Senate.

SENATE RESOLUTION 523—RECOG-NIZING THE 199TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE INDEPENDENCE OF GREECE AND CELEBRATING DE-MOCRACY IN GREECE AND THE UNITED STATES

Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. Toomey, Ms. Hassan, Mr. Rubio, WHITEHOUSE, Mr. Mr. Enzi, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. WYDEN, Ms. McSally, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Gard-NER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. PERDUE, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. CRUZ, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. Peters, Mr. Scott of Florida, Mr. REED, Mr. BENNET, Mr. BROWN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. COONS, Mr. BOOKER, and Mr. CARPER) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 523

Whereas the people of ancient Greece developed the concept of democracy, in which the supreme power to govern was vested in the people;

Whereas the founding fathers of the United States, many of whom read Greek political philosophy in the original Greek language, drew heavily on the political experience and philosophy of ancient Greece in forming the representative democracy of the United States; Whereas Petros Mavromichalis, the former Commander in Chief of Greece and a founder of the modern Greek state, said to the citizens of the United States in 1821, "It is in your land that liberty has fixed her abode and . . . in imitating you, we shall imitate our ancestors and be thought worthy of them if we succeed in resembling you.";

Whereas the Greek national anthem, the "Hymn to Liberty", includes the words, "most heartily was gladdened George Washington's brave land";

Whereas the people of the United States generously offered humanitarian assistance to the people of Greece during their struggle for independence;

Whereas Greece heroically resisted Axis forces at a crucial moment in World War II, forcing Adolf Hitler to change his timeline and delaying the attack on Russia:

Whereas Winston Churchill said that "if there had not been the virtue and courage of the Greeks, we do not know which the outcome of World War II would have been" and "no longer will we say that Greeks fight like heroes, but that heroes fight like Greeks";

Whereas hundreds of thousands of the people of Greece were killed during World War II;

Whereas Greece consistently allied with the United States in major international conflicts throughout its history as a modern state;

Whereas the United States and Greece reinforced their commitment to security cooperation by signing an updated Mutual Defense Cooperation Agreement on October 5, 2019, that will expand defense ties between the two countries and promote stability in the region;

Whereas the Foreign Minister of Greece, Nikos Dendias, hosted Secretary of State Michael Pompeo in the second United States-Greece Strategic Dialogue on October 7, 2019, which underscored Greece's importance to the United States as a pillar of stability in the Eastern Mediterranean and Balkans and as an important NATO ally:

Whereas Greece is a strategic partner and ally of the United States in bringing political stability and economic development to the Balkan region, having invested billions of dollars in the countries of the region and having contributed more than \$750,000,000 in development aid for the region;

Whereas the Government and people of Greece actively participate in peacekeeping and peace-building operations conducted by international organizations, including the United Nations, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, the European Union, and the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe;

Whereas Greece remains an integral part of the European Union;

Whereas the United States has demonstrated its support for the trilateral partnership of Greece, Israel, and Cyprus by enacting into law the Eastern Mediterranean Security and Energy Partnership Act of 2019 (title II of division J of Public Law 116-94) and through the participation of Secretary Pompeo in the "3+1" Summit with Greece, Israel, Cyprus, and the United States on March 20, 2019;

Whereas Greece received worldwide praise for its extraordinary handling during the 2004 Olympic Games of more than 14,000 athletes and more than 2,000,000 spectators and journalists, a feat the Government and people of Greece handled efficiently, securely, and with hospitality;

Whereas the Governments and people of Greece and the United States are at the forefront of efforts to advance freedom, democracy, peace, stability, and human rights;

Whereas those efforts and similar ideals have forged a close bond between the peoples of Greece and the United States; and

Whereas it is proper and desirable for the United States to celebrate March 25, 2020, Greek Independence Day, with the people of Greece and to reaffirm the democratic principles from which those two great countries were founded: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) extends warm congratulations and best wishes to the people of Greece as they celebrate the 199th anniversary of the independence of Greece;

(2) expresses support for the principles of democratic governance to which the people of Greece are committed; and

(3) notes the important role that Greece has played in the wider European region and in the community of nations since gaining its independence 199 years ago.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION 37—HONORING THE LIFE AND WORK OF LOUIS LORENZO REDDING, WHOSE LIFELONG DEDICATION TO CIVIL RIGHTS AND SERVICE STAND AS AN EX-AMPLE OF LEADERSHIP FOR ALL PEOPLE

Mr. COONS (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. CARPER) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. CON. RES. 37

Whereas Louis Lorenzo Redding (referred to in this preamble as "Louis L. Redding") was born on October 25, 1901, in Alexandria, Virginia, the eldest of 5 children born to Lewis Alfred and Mary Ann Holmes Redding;

Whereas Louis L. Redding was an educator, attorney, and lifelong activist who worked on civil rights and educational issues;

Whereas Louis L. Redding graduated from Howard High School in 1919, which, at that time, was the only public high school for African-American students in Delaware;

Whereas Louis L. Redding received a bachelor's degree from Brown University in 1923;

Whereas, while at Brown University, Louis L. Redding and 7 other men established a chapter of the Alpha Phi Alpha fraternity in Providence, Rhode Island;

Whereas, in 1923, Louis L. Redding was the first African American awarded the prestigious William Gaston Prize for excellence in oratory and, as a result, delivered a commencement speech at Brown University:

Whereas Louis L. Redding became an English instructor and the vice principal of Fessenden Academy outside of Ocala, Florida, the oldest continuously operated school originally for African-American students in Florida:

Whereas Louis L. Redding left Fessenden Academy to teach English in the high school division of Morehouse College, a historically Black college in Atlanta, Georgia;

Whereas, after 2 years of teaching, Louis L. Redding enrolled in Harvard Law School in 1925;

Whereas, in 1926, as a law student at Harvard Law School, Louis L. Redding was ejected from the Wilmington, Delaware, municipal court while protesting segregation of the courtroom;

Whereas that municipal court was the first court in Wilmington, Delaware, to desegregate its gallery;

Whereas Louis L. Redding graduated from Harvard Law School in 1928 as the only African American in a class of about 200 students; Whereas, in 1929, Louis L. Redding became the first African American to pass the Delaware bar;

Whereas Louis L. Redding remained the only African-American lawyer in Delaware for 26 years;

Whereas, in 1949, Louis L. Redding was admitted to the Delaware Bar Association, an organization from which Louis L. Redding had been excluded for 20 years after having passed the Delaware bar;

Whereas, in 1950, Louis L. Redding and Jack Greenberg, a lawyer for the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, filed the case of Parker v. University of Delaware to protest the segregated college system in Delaware;

Whereas, in August 1950, Chancellor Collins Seitz ruled in Parker v. University of Delaware, 75 A.2d 225 (Del. Ch. 1950), that, under Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896), the State of Delaware violated the Constitution of the United States by offering a separate but not equal education in the State college and university system;

Whereas, in 1951, Louis L. Redding and Jack Greenberg filed— $\,$

Belton v. Gebhart, a case that concerned the desegregation of high schools; and
Bulah v. Gebhart, a case that concerned the desegregation of elementary schools;

Whereas, in 1952, the Belton and Bulah cases were consolidated in the Delaware Court of Chancery, where, in Belton v. Gebhart, 87 A.2d 862 (Del. Ch. 1952), Chancellor Collins Seitz ordered the Delaware State Board of Education to open all schools in Delaware to African Americans:

Whereas the Delaware State Board of Education appealed the decision of Chancellor Collins Seitz to the Supreme Court of Delaware, which upheld the decision of the Chancellor in Gebhart v. Belton, 91 A.2d 137 (Del. 1952);

Whereas the case then came before the Supreme Court of the United States on a writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of Delaware:

Whereas Louis L. Redding and Jack Greenberg argued the case alongside Thurgood Marshall, the first African-American Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, as the last of a group of 5 school desegregation cases heard and decided by the Supreme Court of the United States in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483 (1954), and Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954);

Whereas, on May 17, 1954, the Supreme Court of the United States held in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483 (1954), that separate educational facilities for racial minorities violated the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, thus holding that school segregation was unconstitutional;

Whereas, on February 21, 1961, Louis L. Redding argued to the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority that a private company with a relationship to a government agency was in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States if the private company refused to provide service to a customer on the basis of race;

Whereas, in April 1961, the Supreme Court of the United States established the principle of State action in Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority, 365 U.S. 715 (1961), and ruled that a private entity may not discriminate on the basis of race if the State has approved, encouraged, or facilitated the relevant private conduct;

Whereas, in 1965, Louis L. Redding became a public defender for the State of Delaware and fought for the rights of poor clients for nearly 20 years thereafter; Whereas, in 1984, Louis L. Redding retired after 55 years of practicing law; Whereas Louis L. Redding was a member of

many national organizations, including— (1) the National Bar Association;

(1) the National Association for the Ad-

vancement of Colored People; (3) the National Lawyers Guild; and

(4) the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee;

Whereas Louis L. Redding was awarded the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Award by the National Education Association and an honorary Doctor of Law degree from Brown University;

Whereas the University of Delaware established the Louis L. Redding Chair for the Study of Law and Public Policy in the School of Education;

Whereas Pulitzer Prize winning author Richard Kluger described Louis L. Redding as a man who fought, largely alone, for the civil rights and liberties of Black Delawareans;

Whereas former Secretary of Transportation William T. Coleman, Jr., stated that the giants of the civil rights movement were Houston Hastings, Louis L. Redding, and Thurgood Marshall;

Whereas, on September 29, 1998, Louis L. Redding died at the age of 96 in Lima, Pennsylvania;

Whereas Louis L. Redding broke down barriers and paved the way for countless African-American lawyers to follow in his footsteps, including—

(1) Theophilus Nix, Sr., the second African American to pass the Delaware bar exam;

(2) Joshua W. Martin III, the first African-American president of the Delaware Bar Association;

(3) Frank H. Hollis, the first African-American attorney to represent corporate clients in Delaware;

(4) Paulette Sullivan Moore, the first African-American woman to pass the Delaware bar exam;

(5) Leonard L. Williams, the second African-American judge in Delaware;

(6) Haile L. Alford, the first African-American female judge in Delaware;

(7) Arlene Coppadge, the first African-American female judge appointed to the Delaware Family Court;

(8) Gregory M. Sleet, the first African American to be appointed as the United States Attorney for the District of Delaware and the first African-American judge to serve on the United States District Court for the District of Delaware;

(9) Alex J. Smalls, the first African-American chief judge of the Delaware Court of Common Pleas; and

(10) Tamika Montgomery-Reeves, the first African-American Vice Chancellor of the Delaware Court of Chancery and the first African-American justice to serve on the Supreme Court of Delaware; and

Whereas Louis L. Redding is remembered as an individual who figured prominently in the struggle for desegregation and as a lawyer who never lost a desegregation case: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress honors the life and work of Louis Lorenzo Redding, a civil servant whose lifelong dedication to justice and equality stand as an outstanding example of leadership for all people.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Michael Roberts, a Coast Guard fellow in my office, be granted floor privileges for the remainder of the Congress. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MEMORIALIZING THE DISCOVERY OF THE "CLOTILDA"

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 315 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 315) memorializing the discovery of the Clotilda.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I further ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 315) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the RECORD of September 17, 2019. under "Submitted Resolutions.")

NATIONAL STALKING AWARENESS MONTH

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 480, and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 480) raising awareness and encouraging the prevention of stalking by designating January 2020 as "National Stalking Awareness Month".

There being no objection, the committee was discharged and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. McCONNELL. I further ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 480) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the RECORD of January 21, 2020 under "Submitted Resolutions.")

RESOLUTIONS SUBMITTED TODAY

S1236

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the en bloc consideration of the following Senate resolutions, which were submitted earlier today: S. Res. 512, S. Res. 513, S. Res. 514, S. Res. 515, S. Res. 516, S. Res. 517, S. Res. 518, S. Res. 519, S. Res. 520, and S. Res. 521.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolutions en bloc.

Mr. McCONNELL. I know of no further debate on the resolutions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate, the question is on adoption of the resolutions en bloc.

The resolutions were agreed to en bloc.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the preambles be agreed to and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table, all en bloc.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The preambles were agreed to en bloc.

(The resolutions, with their preambles, are printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

SECURE AND TRUSTED COMMU-NICATIONS NETWORKS ACT OF 2019

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 4998, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 4998) to prohibit certain Federal subsidies from being used to purchase communications equipment or services posing national security risks, to provide for the establishment of a reimbursement program for the replacement of communications equipment or services posing such risks, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. McCONNELL. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate and the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 4998) was passed.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ELECTING ROBERT M. DUNCAN, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. AS SECRETARY FOR THE MAJORITY OF THE SENATE

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 522, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 522) electing Robert M. Duncan, of the District of Columbia, as Secretary for the Majority of the Senate.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 522) was agreed to.

(The resolution is printed in today's "Submitted Resolu-Record under tions.")

ORDERS FOR MONDAY, MARCH 2. 2020

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 3 p.m., Monday, March 2; further, that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and morning business be closed; further, that following leader remarks, the Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to S. 2657; finally, that notwithstanding the provisions of rule XXII, the cloture motion filed during today's session ripen at 5:30 p.m., Monday.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MONDAY, MARCH 2, 2020, AT 3 P.M.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 3:49 p.m., adjourned until Monday, March 2, 2020, at 3 p.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIANA FURCHTGOTT-ROTH, OF MARYLAND, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION. (NEW PO-SITION)

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

KATHERINE CAMILLE HENDERSON, OF TENNESSEE, TO BE CHIEF OF PROTOCOL , AND TO HAVE THE RANK OF

AMBASSADOR DURING HER TENURE OF SERVICE, VICE SEAN P. LAWLER, RESIGNED

February 27, 2020

WILLIAM E. TODD, OF VIRGINIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN

THE JUDICIARY

JOHN PETER CRONAN, OF NEW YORK, TO BE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, VICE WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, RETIRED. FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

JAMES E TRAINOR III OF TEXAS TO BE A MEMBER OF JAMES E. TRAINOR III, OF TEARS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FOR A TERM EX-PIRING APRIL 30, 2023, VICE MATTHEW S. PETERSEN, TERM EXPIRED.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate February 27, 2020:

UNITED STATES TAX COURT

TRAVIS GREAVES OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TO BE A JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES TAX COURT FOR A TERM OF FIFTEEN YEARS.

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be brigadier general

COL. JOSEPH B. HARRIS II COL. GENT WELSH. JR.

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RE-SERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. BILLY M. NABORS THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be brigadier general

COL ANNMARIE K ANTHONY

COL. TAFT O. AUJERO COL. DOUGLAS B. BAKER

- COL. ROBERT D. BOWIE
- COL. BARBRA S. BULS COL. DONALD K. CARPENTER COL. KONATA A. CRUMBLY
- COL. JOHAN A. DEUTSCHER
- COL. PATRICK W. DONALDSON COL. BRADFORD R. EVERMAN COL. VIRGINIA I. GAGLIO
- COL CAESAR R GARDUNO
- COL. PATRICK M. HANLON COL. ROBERT E. HARGENS
- COL. JEFFREY L. HEDGES
- COL. SAMUEL C. KEENER
- COL. ROBERT I. KINNEY COL. JERRY P. REEDY
- COL BRYANE SALMON
- COL. TAMALA A. SAYLOR COL. JAMES S. SHIGEKANE
- COL. KIMBRA L. STERR
- COL MICHAEL A VALLE COL. BRIAN E. VAUGHN
- THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:
 - To be brigadier general

- COL. DANN S. CARLSON COL. SHAWN M. COCO
- COL. STEVEN E. CONEY
- COL. PATRICK E. DECONCINI
- COL. PAUL E. FRANZ COL. JOHN F. HALL
- COL KENNETH M HALTOM
- COL. CHRIS J. IODER COL. CHRIS J. IODER COL. ROBERT A. KING COL. MICHAEL J. LOVELL
- COL. SUE ELLEN SCHUERMAN COL. CHRISTOPHER J. SHEPPARD
- COL. CHARLES A. SHURLOW
- COL. LISA K. SNYDER

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be major general

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE

UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RE-SERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. STEVEN J. DEMILLIANO BRIG. GEN. DAVID J. MEYER BRIG. GEN. RUSSELL L. PONDER

BRIG. GEN. ANDREW J. MACDONALD

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. TODD M. AUDET BRIG, GEN, KIMBERLY A. BAUMANN BRIG, GEN, FLOYD W. DUNSTAN BRIG, GEN, RANDAL K. EFFERSON BRIG. GEN. LAURIE M. FARRIS BRIG, GEN, JAMES R, KRIESEL BRIG. GEN. WILLIAM P. ROBERTSON BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. STEVENSON, JR. BRIG GEN CHARLES M WALKER

BRIG. GEN. DAVID A. WEISHAAR BRIG. GEN. GREGORY T. WHITE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RE-

SERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212: To be major general

BRIG. GEN. CHRISTOPHER E. FINERTY THE FOLLOWING NAMED AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE

UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212: To be major general

BRIG. GEN. JOSEPH B. WILSON

IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. RONALD F. TAYLOR

IN THE MARINE CORPS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS RESERVE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. MICHAEL S. MARTIN

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS RESERVE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be brigadier general

COL DOUGLAS K CLARK

COL. JOHN F. KELLIHER III

IN THE AIR FORCE

AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JOSHUA E. ERLANDSEN AND ENDING WITH TOSHA M. VANN, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4. 2020.

AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH MATTHEW G. ADKINS AND ENDING WITH CATHERINE M. WARE, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON

AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD C FEBRUARY 4, 2020. AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JENARA 1 ALLEN AND ENDING WITH SARAH M. WHEELER, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020

FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH DANIEL J. ADAMS AND ENDING WITH ZACHARY E. WRIGHT, JR., WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020. AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JENNIFER

R. BEIN AND ENDING WITH ANGELA K. STANTON, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020

AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH WESLEY M. ABADIE AND ENDING WITH SCOTT A. ZAKALUZNY, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020.

FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH LIOR AIR ALIADEFF AND ENDING WITH HYUN J. YOON, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4. 2020.

AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS REGINNING WITH JASON K ADAMS AND ENDING WITH DANIELLE N. ZIEHL, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020

4, 2020. AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH VICTORIA M. AGLEWILSON AND ENDING WITH DEBORAH L. WILLIS, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON

ARD AN IEAR DIA AND AN IEAR DIA CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020. AIR FORCE NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JUNELENE M. BUNGAY AND ENDING WITH ALEXANDRA L. MCCRARY-DENNIS, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020. AIR FORCE NOMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER J. NASTAL,

TO BE LIEUTENANT COLONEL. AIR FORCE NOMINATION OF ALEXANDER KHUTORYAN,

TO BE MAJOR AIR FORCE NOMINATION OF DANIEL S. KIM, TO BE

MAJOR AIR FORCE NOMINATION OF MARILYN L. SMITH. TO BE MAJOR.

IN THE ARMY

ARMY NOMINATION OF ZACHARY J. CONLY, TO BE LIEU-TENANT COLONEL. ARMY NOMINATION OF AUDREY J. DEAN, TO BE MAJOR.

ARMY NOMINATION OF MICHAEL W. BRANCAMP, TO BE COLONEL. ARMY NOMINATION OF TRACY J. BROWN, TO BE MAJOR.

ARMY NOMINATION OF KENNETH A. WIEDER. TO BE MAJOR

ARMY NOMINATION OF CHONG K. YI, TO BE LIEUTEN-ANT COLONEL. ARMY NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JOHN C. BENSON

AND ENDING WITH SEAN M. VIEIRA, WHICH NOMINA-TIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020

ARMY NOMINATION OF ROSS C. PUFFER. TO BE MAJOR. ARMY NOMINATION OF AMANDA G. LUSCHINSKI, TO BE MAJOR.

ARMY NOMINATION OF JUNE E. OSAVIO, TO BE MAJOR. ARMY NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH YASMIN J. ALTER AND ENDING WITH DEBBY L. POLOZECK, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4. 2020

ARMY NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH OTHA J. HOLMES AND ENDING WITH JONATHAN W. MURPHY,

WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020 ARMY NOMINATION OF SHAUN P. MILLER, TO BE COLO-

NEL ARMY NOMINATION OF KRISTA H. CLARKE, TO BE MAJOR.

ARMY NOMINATION OF PETER K. MARLIN. TO BE COLO-NEL

ARMY NOMINATION OF ANGELA I. IYANOBOR, TO BE MAJOR ARMY NOMINATION OF JOHN J. LANDERS, TO BE LIEU-

TENANT COLONEL. ARMY NOMINATION OF DAVID P. FROMMER, TO BE MAJOR

IN THE MARINE CORPS

MARINE CORPS NOMINATION OF MARIO A. ORTEGA. TO BE LIEUTENANT COLONEL. MARINE CORPS NOMINATION OF KEITH A. STEVENSON,

TO BE MAJOR.

MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JO-SEPH P. BALL AND ENDING WITH RAMON F. VASQUEZ, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020. MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH DON-

ALD K. BROWN AND ENDING WITH KEITH R. WILKINSON, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020.

MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH CHRIS-TINA L. HUDSON AND ENDING WITH BRENT J. PATTER. SON, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SEN-ATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020. MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JAMES

M. SHIPMAN AND ENDING WITH PHILIP S. SPENCER, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020.

MARINE CORPS NOMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER L. KAI-SER, TO BE MAJOR. MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH PETER

T. GRAHAM AND ENDING WITH TRAVIS W. STORIE, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND AP-PEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4. 2020.

MARINE CORPS NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH DAN-IEL E. FUSON AND ENDING WITH JESUS T. RODRIGUEZ, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020

IN THE NAVY

NAVY NOMINATION OF COLIN R. YOUNG. TO BE LIEU-TENANT COMMANDER. NAVY NOMINATION OF CATHERINE M. DICKINSON, TO

BE LIEUTENANT COMMANDER. NAVY NOMINATION OF DONALD A. SINITIERE, TO BE

COMMANDER. NAVY NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH STEPHEN W. AL-

DRIDGE AND ENDING WITH GREGORY C. WILLIAMS. MHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020.

NAVY NOMINATION OF PAUL J. KAYLOR. TO BE CAP-TAIN.

NAVY NOMINATION OF ANDREW S. JACKSON, TO BE LIEUTENANT COMMANDER

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

HONORING CARLOS A. PENIN FOR RECOGNIZING CLAY COUNTY SU-HISDEDICATION TO SOUTH FLORIDA

HON. MARIO DIAZ-BALART

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Speaker. in recognition of his long-standing contributions to the engineering profession and his dedication to bettering South Florida, I rise today to honor my good friend, Mr. Carlos A. Penin.

Born in Holguin, Cuba, Carlos was forced into exile by the Castro dictatorship with his mother and three sisters in 1962. They arrived in Miami, Florida where they rebuilt their lives in pursuit of the American Dream. Carlos knew that with hard work and perseverance, he could turn this dream into a reality. In 1977, he graduated from the University of Florida with a B.S. in Civil Engineering and Construction Management. He continued with his studies and received an M.S. in Environmental and Urban Systems from Florida International University in 1982.

After graduation, Carlos remained in South Florida and worked for several architectural and engineering firms. He played a key role in designing and managing major infrastructure projects, including the Joe Robbie Stadium, now known as the Hard Rock Stadium. Despite his success, Carlos always knew he wanted to start his own company and give back to the community that has given so much to him. In 1989, he took a chance and began C.A.P. Government, Inc. (CAP), and, in 2019, CAP celebrated its thirtieth anniversary. Under his leadership, CAP has provided unparalleled expertise for government clients who need assistance with building department services. Presently, they serve over sixty municipalities and six educational institutions across Florida.

While Carlos's professional accomplishments are impressive, it would be remiss to honor him without touching on the love he has for his three daughters, Monica, Teresa, and Isabel. His daughters are truly his greatest treasures, and, with their support, he has become not only a successful businessman, but also a leader in his community. He has been actively involved in promoting the importance of engineering careers through leadership positions in several organizations. He currently serves on the Board of Directors for the Cuban American Association of Civil Engineers (CAACE), and, in fact, is the 2020 recipient of their Plinio Villanueva Award. This award recognizes his commitment and dedication to advancing the civil engineering profession. Further, it acknowledges the difference that he has made in the lives of so many, and it is one that he greatly deserves.

Madam Speaker, it is a privilege to call Mr. Carlos A. Penin a close friend, and to pay tribute to his impressive accomplishments. I ask my fellow colleagues to join me in recognizing this remarkable individual.

PERVISOR. SHELTON MR. DEANES

HON. TRENT KELLY

OF MISSISSIPPI IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. KELLY of Mississippi. Madam Speaker, rise today to recognize Clay County Supervisor, Mr. Shelton Deanes, who is being inducted into the West Point Hall of Fame for his outstanding public service.

Supervisor Deanes was born in West Point, Mississippi, in 1956. He and his wife, Mrs. Frances Deanes, are blessed with eight children, nine grandchildren, and four greatgrandchildren. Supervisor Deanes has always set an example of faith for his family to follow. He has been a proud member of Palo Alto Missionary Baptist Church for over 54 years and has served his congregation as a Deacon.

Supervisor Deanes was first elected as Clay County Supervisor in 1991. During his 29-year career, he has been instrumental in developing multiple projects for Clay County. His initiatives included building the Judicial Court Building, adding more voting precincts to the county, developing the Una Community Center, adding the walking trail and Fun Day, and paving residential roads.

Supervisor Deanes is a remarkable Mississippian who has set a shining example for others to follow. I thank him for his positive impact on his community, and I wish him many more years of good health.

IN RECOGNITION OF DONALD POLLARD

HON. LAUREN UNDERWOOD OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Ms. UNDERWOOD. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Donald W. Pollard, III for his service in my office in Washington, D.C.

Donald joined our office as a legislative assistant and served as an advisor for my work on the House Committee on Homeland Security, in addition to handling a policy portfolio that included energy, environment, natural resources, judiciary, campaign finance, and other issues. Among other accomplishments, he developed and led introduction of the Climate and Health Protection Act and the Safe Communities Act. Donald was instrumental in our office's work to advance clean energy policy, protect communities from domestic threats, and counter gun violence.

Donald's intellect, diligence, and professionalism have been invaluable assets as we worked to establish our legislative operation from scratch during my first year in office. I commend his ability to direct a wide policy portfolio while leading my staff work on the Committee on Homeland Security. Although

Donald may be leaving our office, our community in northern Illinois will continue to benefit from the results of his hard work and accomplishments.

Prior to joining my staff, Donald earned a Bachelor of Arts from the University of Richmond. He then earned a Master of Public Administration from American University while serving his home-state Senator. I am grateful he chose to continue his career in public service by joining my office. Our team will miss his expertise, work ethic, sense of humor, and encyclopedic knowledge of Beyoncé.

Madam Speaker, I would like to formally thank Donald W. Pollard, III for his service to my office, to Illinois's 14th Congressional District, and to our country.

RECOGNIZING THE 28TH ANNIVER-SARY OF THE KHOJALY MAS-SACRE

HON. STEVE COHEN

OF TENNESSEE IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. COHEN. Madam Speaker, this week marks the 28th anniversary of the massacre of hundreds of people in the town of Khojaly in what was the largest killing of ethnic Azerbaijani civilians in the course of the Armenia-Azerbaijan conflict. Khojaly, which is located in the Nagorno-Karabakh region of Azerbaijan, was once home to 7,000 people. Tragically, on February 26, 1992, Armenian armed forces massacred over 600 unarmed people-including 106 women and 83 children-and left less than 2,000 survivors. Hundreds more became disabled due to their injuries. More than one hundred children lost a parent and 25 children lost both parents. At least 8 families were completely killed.

Even though a ceasefire went into effect over two decades ago, more than 20 percent of Azerbaijan's territory, including Nagorno-Karabakh and seven surrounding districts, remain occupied and more than 1 million Azerbaijanis remain unable to return to their home villages. Ongoing violence along the line of contact surrounding occupied Azerbaijani territory emphasizes the urgency of robust American participation in the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe's (OSCE) Minsk Group as it works toward a peaceful resolution of the Azerbaijan-Armenia conflict.

Azerbaijan has been a strong partner of the United States and its allies. This cooperation has included: playing a leadership role in nonproliferation issues; providing troops to serve shoulder-to-shoulder with U.S. forces in Kosovo, Iraq, and Afghanistan; allowing transit of non-lethal equipment used by coalition forces through Azerbaijan to Afghanistan; construction of the Southern Gas Corridor from the Caspian Sea to Italy, thereby providing Europe with an alternative to Russian energy sources; and supplying 40 percent of Israel's oil. Azerbaijan also has a thriving Jewish community and has outstanding relations with Israel.

[•] This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor. Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

As Azerbaijanis throughout the world commemorate the massacre and continue to grieve the loss of loved ones, let us commit ourselves to supporting non-violent efforts to resolve the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict.

REMEMBERING THE KHOJALY MASSACRE

HON. TIM RYAN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. RYAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today in remembrance of the Khojaly Massacre, and to honor the victims of this horrendous act.

The appalling massacre perpetrated on the innocent people of Khojaly in February 1992 is no less shocking 28 years later. One of the worst atrocities ever committed in the South Caucasus, justice has still not been delivered and 150 civilians are still missing.

As we demand respect for human rights and democratic accountability within the international community, it is important that we continue to remember what happened in Khojaly and bring those responsible to account.

HONORING THE LIFE OF DONALD G. STRATTON

HON. DOUG LAMBORN

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. LAMBORN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Mr. Donald G. Stratton, who passed away on February 15, 2020 after a lifetime of service to his country. Mr. Stratton was a dedicated husband of 69 years, a father, grandfather, great-grandfather, New York Times best-selling author, USS *Arizona* Survivor, and a champion of the memory for those who did not make it home.

Mr. Donald Stratton was born July 14, 1922 in Inavale, Nebraska to Robert and Jessie Stratton. After growing up in Nebraska and graduating from Red Cloud High School, Donald attended Navy bootcamp in 1940. After graduation from bootcamp he was given orders to join the USS *Arizona* in dry dock at the Navy shipyards in Bremerton, Washington. Upon completion of her maintenance and retrofit in Washington, the USS *Arizona* steamed across the Pacific to join her fleet in Pearl Harbor, Hawaii in early 1941.

On the morning of December 7, 1941, Seaman First Class Stratton was on his way to visit a shipmate in sick bay when the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor began. He immediately raced to his battle station, winding through ship spaces and climbing three ladders to reach the *Arizona*'s sky control platform, where he yelled coordinates to the gun crews firing at the incoming waves of Japanese bombers. Just minutes after he arrived, a bomb struck a direct blow to the *Arizona* hitting the ammunition stores, lifting the 35,000 ton ship out of the water, and sending a fireball hundreds of feet skyward.

Seaman First Class Stratton and his shipmates in the director were surrounded by flames. He and five other sailors were able to navigate the burning wreckage and signal for help. Boatswain's Mate Second Class Joe George from the USS *Vestal* came to their rescue and saved their lives by repeatedly throwing a heaving line until the men were able to secure it and climb seventy feet, hand over hand, to safety above the inferno that raged below.

Boatswain's Mate Second Class Joe George disobeyed a direct order to cut away from the *Arizona* and instead courageously fought to provide a means of escape for the sailors. Mr. Stratton credited Joe George for saving his life that day and petitioned for years to have his actions recognized. Mr. Stratton called and wrote numerous letters, ultimately meeting with President Trump before Joe George was awarded the Bronze Star with "V" Device for his heroic actions that day.

Mr. Stratton was medically discharged from the Navy in 1942 and returned to Nebraska. Ever the fighter, he decided to reenlist a year later, deny orders to a stateside posting, and eventually joined the USS *Stack* on its way to the Pacific. He fought in the invasion of the Philippines, the invasion of Okinawa, and on patrol missions throughout the Pacific theater.

After returning from war, Donald Stratton traveled the world, raised a family, and devoted his life to preserving the story of what happened that day. Donald is survived by his wife of 69 years Velma, son Randy (Kathy) Stratton, brother Willie Stratton, grandchildren, and great-grandchildren.

Mr. Stratton was a hero. A selfless American who dedicated his life to our country and fought to have his shipmates remembered long after they, or he, had passed to another life. It was a privilege to have met Mr. Stratton and it is an honor to stand and commemorate his incredible and full life.

> HONORING MR. FULTON W. WALKER

HON. BRUCE WESTERMAN

OF ARKANSAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. WESTERMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Fulton W. Walker, a three-year World War II Veteran of the United States Army. On February 28, 2020, Mr. Fulton W. Walker will be recognized for his service and commitment to the United States and presented with his service medals.

Mr. Walker, of Emerson and Texarkana and resident of the Fourth District of Arkansas, began his service during World War II in 1943 at Fort Knox, Kentucky where he trained as part of a tank battalion. He later transferred to Fort Campbell, Kentucky as a member of a transportation outfit where he learned how to drive military trucks to haul supplies.

In July 1944, he deployed overseas to the European Theater of Operations where he served in England, France, Germany and wherever service needs required. He worked tirelessly as a truck driver transporting supplies from coastal docks to the frontlines, all during the darkness of night to avoid enemy detection.

At the end of the war, he returned home to Arkansas and re-entered the Arkansas Agricultural, Mechanical and Normal College (AM&N), now the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff (UAPB). He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics and English in May 1950. He then moved on to graduate school earning a Master's in Education Administration from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville in 1957.

He then served in several different capacities including as an English teacher and high school basketball coach in Rison, Arkansas. In 1952, he accepted a teaching position in the grade 1–12 school in Star City, Arkansas and two years later became principal, serving in this position until 1965. The Pine Bluff School District hired him into his next assignment where he served as elementary school principal, middle school principal, district central office federal title I program coordinator and finally assistant superintendent in charge of all federal programs for the Pine Bluff School District.

Mr. Walker mentored many students throughout his 36-year career and served as a role model to all he interacted with. His faithful service to students in Southeast Arkansas is a testament to his military service in putting others before self.

I am honored to recognize Mr. Fulton W. Walker and all African American veterans of World War II for their rich history of service and bravery. I thank each and every one of them for protecting the freedoms of this country, and hope their legacy continues for generations to come.

HONORING PAM WILLIAMS AS IOWAN OF THE WEEK

HON. CYNTHIA AXNE

OF IOWA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mrs. AXNE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to ask the House of Representatives to join me in recognizing Pam Williams, the Director of the Isiserettes Drill and Drum Corps in Des Moines, as the Iowan of the Week. As the Isiserettes celebrate their 40th anniversary this year, I know this longstanding community program relies on the contributions and dedication of Ms. Williams to the Isiserettes Drill and Drum Corps.

Ms. Williams, or Ms. Pam as her students call her, co-founded the Isiserettes in 1980, a time when there weren't many creative outlets for young African American kids in Iowa. Since then, the Isiserettes have become an icon in the Des Moines Metro, with performances at the Iowa State Fair, parades all over the city, and even music videos with local musicians. They also perform nationally, hold several titles and have earned the honor of performing in both of President Obama's Inaugural Parades. We are deeply proud to see them representing Iowa as they travel across this country.

Even at the age of 75, Ms. Pam never misses a rehearsal. You can always find her working hard on choreography and helping students reach the high expectations she's set for them. Students between 7 and 18 years old dedicate themselves to early morning practices on hot summer days, and must maintain good grades in order to participate. As a result, they boast a 91 percent graduation rate amongst members of their team. Ms. Pam's students describe her as one of the strictest people they know, but they will also tell you she is like a second mother to them. The key to the Isiserettes' success is the family atmosphere fostered by Ms. Pam and her team.

It should not go without notice that Isiserettes Drill and Drum Corps is a volunteer-led organization. Ms. Pam does not receive a single dime for the thousands of hours she puts into the organization every year. She does it out of the goodness of her heart, the benefits to our community, and her commitment to the success of her students. Ms. Pam has built the tradition, pride, and dedication that has sustained the Isiserettes for 40 years.

It is Ms. Pam's dedication to providing a safe place for students to express themselves through music and dance that makes her a pillar of our community. It is an honor to commemorate Ms. Pam and recognize her as the lowan of the Week.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. TOM REED

OF NEW YORK IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. REED. Madam Speaker, on Friday, February 7, 2020, I was unable to vote on Roll Call No. 52: Amendment No. 1 offered by Rep. Shalala. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

I was unable to vote on Roll Call No. 53: Motion to Recommit. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

I was also unable to vote on Roll Call No. 54: Passage of H.R. 5687, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief and Puerto Rico Disaster Tax Relief Act. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

RECOGNIZING THE CENTRALIA COMPETITIVE DANCE TEAM

HON. JOHN SHIMKUS

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. SHIMKUS. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize the Centralia High School Competitive Dance Team for its first-place finish in the 2020 Class 1A Illinois state dance competition.

After the first day of competition, Centralia found itself in third place. By the thrilling conclusion of the event the next day, though, Centralia was hoisting the championship trophy.

Centralia finished with 97.18 points, beating its nearest competitor by nearly a full point. The score would have been high enough to top Class 2A, as well. Teams are evaluated in categories such as choreography, difficulty of the music, technique, synchronization, staging, spacing, and showmanship.

Centralia's victory is a testament to great coaching, hard work, skill, and raw nerve. The squad is coached by Bethany Lorenzini. Team members include Jestin Taylor, Andrew Johnson, Ali Moreno, Gracie Moore, Elijah Jones, Erica Burnett, Clara Peroo, Alyssa Smith, Katelyn Parker, William Collins, Destiny Wallace, Reed Mays, Kailynn Witherspoon, Cash Berry, Zoe White, and Sophia Schossler. Managers are Diamond Battle and Ece Polat. The state championship is the first in any IHSA competition for Centralia since it claimed the Scholastic Bowl during the 1990–91 school year.

Madam Speaker, it is with great pleasure I stand to recognize the Centralia Competitive Dance Team and offer it my sincere congratulations on being state champion. I wish the team all the best in the future.

IN HONOR OF EL AMOR M. BRAWNE ALI

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize.

Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Ms. El.

El Amor M. Brawne Ali, of Ward 37, has also taken it upon herself to invest in our city's youth. Beyond her work for local candidates and elections, she has undertaken projects that will provide structured activity to keep kids involved after school. This manifests itself in many ways, one of which is through her efforts to revitalize Fotterall Square Park. What used to be a vibrant gathering place has since devolved to abandoned fields and neglected playgrounds, but with El Amor's leadership, Fotterall is back on track to reach its full potential. The impact of her efforts will transform the neighborhood for these kids, their kids, and generations to follow. I thank Ms. El.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Ms. El has left her own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community. HONORING THE PASADENA HOST LIONS CLUB'S CENTENNIAL AN-NIVERSARY

HON. ADAM B. SCHIFF

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the Pasadena Host Lions Club upon its centennial anniversary.

Lions Club International began in 1917 with a mission to encourage volunteers to serve their communities, promote peace and international understanding, and improve the world with kindness by meeting humanitarian needs. Currently, Lions Club International has 1.4 million members in forty-seven thousand Lions Clubs. As the fifth Lions Club in the state of California, the Pasadena Host Lions Club was chartered on March 26, 1920.

For 100 years, Pasadena Host Lions Club members have provided innumerable hours of dedicated service toward the improvement of the Pasadena community, with an emphasis on persons with disabilities, seniors, youth and the homeless. Helen Keller referred to Lions Clubs as the "Knights of the Blind," for their support of organizations that serve those impaired in sight. This is seen through Pasadena Host Lions Club supporting White Cane Safety Day-providing Lions the opportunity to raise awareness on safe navigation of the visually impaired, support of other local groups for the visually impaired, assisting the founding of Lions Eve Foundation of Southern California, and creating the Pasadena Lions Memorial Trust for the welfare of persons with disabilities and children.

Other organizations the club supports include the Aspen Camp of the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Pasadena Police Foundation, American Red Cross, Pasadena Senior Center, City of Hope, Union Station Homeless Services, Pasadena Jaycees, Young and Healthy, Pasadena YMCA and the Salvation Army.

In addition, the club provides youth scholarships, and currently has six charter LEO Clubs for youth that focus on leadership, experience, opportunity and service.

Pasadena Host Lions Club members have faithfully served the greater Pasadena area for one hundred years. I ask all Members to join with me in commending the Pasadena Host Lions Club on their celebration of hundred years of service.

IN RECOGNITION OF CHIEF EDWIN ALLEN, JR.

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. WITTMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Edwin Allen Jr. who is retiring from the City of Fredericksburg's Fire Department. He served as their Fire Chief for the last 16 years and substantially contributed to city's public safety. Edwin had a long, rewarding career serving the community and working for the great people of the First District.

45 years ago, the Fredericksburg Fire Department hired Edwin. As an ecstatic, driven man, Edwin rose through the ranks and became a Lieutenant by 1986, then a Captain the following year. His service did not disappoint because by the mid-1990s, he oversaw critical operations for the Department. Soon enough, Edwin found himself leading the Fire Department as their new Chief by 2004.

As Fire Chief, he exceptionally performed his duties and gained a reputation for his effective management capacity. He secured millions in grant funding and amazingly acquired more responsibilities for the Department. The increased responsibilities expanded the force and augmented operational capacity. The responsibilities included expansion in emergency medical services and even water rescue services. With Edwin at the helm for 16 years, he understood the value of camaraderie and his distinguished service is worthy of veneration. His love for firefighting and passion for serving his community should be admired by all of us.

Therefore, Madam Speaker, join me to recognize Chief Allen's service to the First District and to the Commonwealth. His dedication to the Fire Department exemplifies the type of leadership that our great Nation demands. Let us wish Edwin the best during his retirement, as his rest is well deserved. On behalf of the First District, we say thank you.

> IN HONOR OF DR. WALTER "WALLY" WILKERSON

HON. KEVIN BRADY

OF TEXAS IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRADY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and honor Dr. Walter "Wally" Wilkerson's service to the community as a physician, community leader, and as Chairman of the Montgomery County Republican Party for fifty-six years.

Born and raised in Bryan, Texas, Dr. Wilkerson stayed close to home and earned a Bachelor's Degree in biology from Texas A&M University in 1951. After graduation, Dr. Wilkerson pursued a Medical Degree from the prestigious University of Texas Southwestern Medical School in 1955. Just one year after finishing medical school, Dr. Wilkerson joined the United States Navy and spent two years as a General Medical Officer. While stationed at Miramar Naval Air Station in San Diego, California. Dr. Wilkerson served aboard the USS Hooper Island. In the summer of 1958, Dr. Wilkerson participated in the historic atomic weapon test operation known as Operation Hardtack at Eniwetok Atoll in the southwest Pacific Ocean

When it was time to return to civilian life, he found his way back to home to Texas and joined his family in Conroe. After encouragement from his father, he introduced himself to a local family medical practitioner, Dr. Deane Sadler. After shadowing Dr. Sadler for a day, a simple handshake sealed the deal and Dr. Wilkerson joined his clinic. Over the years, Dr. Sadler, became not only a business partner, but a mentor and a friend.

Eager to get involved in the community, Dr. Wilkerson entered the Conroe political scene while working at Sadler Clinic. In 1964, he ran successfully for Chairman of the Montgomery County Republican Party. The following years of leadership and devoted service to the community earned him the nickname "King Wally." Dr. Wilkerson has received several accolades for his effective leadership, vision, and hard work, has been selected as a delegate for multiple Republican National Conventions, and continues to be active in the Associated Republicans of Texas. In 1989, Governor William P. Clements appointed Dr. Wilkerson as a member of the San Jacinto River Authority Board. In 1995, Governor George W. Bush selected Dr. Wilkerson to Chair the Texas Board of Health.

In 1996, Dr. Wilkerson retired from family medicine after thirty-eight years of service and dedication to his community. Today, he relinquishes the helm as Chairman of the Montgomery County Republican Patty. Dr Wilkerson is respected by many and has been a faithful friend and source of professional guidance to many. We are thankful he chose to make a lasting difference right here in our community. Our entire community has been inspired by your character, integrity, patience, fairness and optimism. Your principles of freedom and limited government enhance the lives and opportunity for the people we seek to serve

I join the communities of Conroe and Montgomery County in thanking Dr. Wilkerson, and I am proud to join him and his wife Neddie Jane in celebrating this amazing milestone of service and leadership for fifty-six successful years.

IN HONOR OF ARTHUR GREEN

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize.

Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Arthur Green.

Arthur Green, who proudly served our nation's Navy before taking over the helm of Ward 14, oversees a smooth electoral operation, but also is a dedicated advocate on behalf of his constituents. Instrumental in the formulation of the 14th Ward Registered Community Organization, Arthur has made it a priority to ensure that as the East Poplar area continues its developmental boom, residents will reap the benefits while avoiding gentrificationinduced displacement. His efforts will bolster quality of life for those who live in Ward 14 and serve as a model for our entire city as it struggles with gentrification. I am grateful to have Arthur on the frontlines of this fight, and I thank him for his work on behalf of Ward 14. I thank Arthur for his service.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Arthur Green has left his own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community.

HONORING THE SERVICE OF EDWARD WILLIAM LEAL

HON. MARK DeSAULNIER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. DESAULNIER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Edward (Ed) William Leal as he celebrates his 100th birthday. Ed is a longtime resident of Concord and a former public servant for Contra Costa County.

Ed was born in Oakland, California in February 1920. His family lived in Oakley where his father was a butcher and ran a local grocery store. Ed attended Antioch High School where he played varsity football, basketball, tennis, and track and field. He set numerous records, including one for pole-vaulting that stood for 27 years. While at Antioch High School, Ed also met his future wife, Wilma.

Ed went on to study at the University of California, Berkeley where he was a member of the track and field team. However, Ed's collegiate career was interrupted when he decided to enlist in the United States Army Air Corps during World War II. He was stationed in North Africa as a member of the 49th Fighter Squadron. Before being deployed, Ed and Wilma wed in 1942.

After Ed returned home from the war, he and Wilma moved to Concord where they raised their family. Ed worked for Contra Costa County, serving as the Assistant County Treasurer—Tax Collector. In 1970, Ed was elected as the County Treasurer—Tax Collector and served two terms before retiring.

Ed's military service, commitment to his community, and his 9 grandchildren and 20 great-grandchildren are a legacy to be proud of. Please join me in congratulating Ed on his 100th birthday and his life of service.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. CEDRIC L. RICHMOND

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. RICHMOND. Madam Speaker, I was unable to be present for the following votes. Had I been present, I would have voted: YEA on Roll Call No. 71, the Emmett Till Antilynching Act, YEA on Roll Call No. 72, H.R. 4852, and YEA on Roll Call No. 73, H.R. 2490. PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. JOHN A. YARMUTH

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. YARMUTH. Madam Speaker, I unfortunately was unable to be present for votes taken on the House Floor on February 26, 2020. Had I been present, I would have voted in the following manner: Roll Call Vote No. 71: YEA; Roll Call Vote No. 72: YEA; and Roll Call Vote No. 73: YEA.

IN HONOR OF SHIRLEY GREGORY

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize.

Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Shirley Gregory.

Shirley Gregory of Ward 49 is no stranger to Congress after serving as Congressman Bob Brady's District Director. She embodies the qualities that make for a successful Ward Leader by facilitating candidate meet and greets, hosting ballot machine demonstrations, and helping our officials disseminate information to the public. She keeps her finger on the pulse of local, state, and federal politics, helping those of the 49th ward learn how they can get involved and make a difference in their community. With Shirley as the Ward Leader, I know every resident in her community has a friendly face and helpful resource. She is a staple in the city, and I am grateful of our overlap as we look to serve the people of Philadelphia. I thank Shirley.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Shirley Gregory has left her own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community. RECOGNIZING THE 150TH ANNIVER-SARY OF NEWMAN FIRST CHRIS-TIAN CHURCH

HON. JOHN SHIMKUS

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. SHIMKUS. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Newman First Christian Church on its one hundred and fiftieth anniversary.

Newman First Christian Church was born in the hearts of a small band of believers in 1870. An early focus of Newman First Christian was to make disciples of Jesus, and so for the first sixteen years the church did not have a regular minister and services were led by lay leaders, evangelists and circuit riders. As the congregation grew in spirit as well as in numbers, it soon outgrew its facilities. A new building was built to the glory of God on the corner of Green and King streets in Newman in 1904 and is used to this day for worship.

Throughout its history, pastors have generally served for short periods of time, the longest being only four years. Then in 1950, the church called Reverend Wolfe and for thirty-seven years he served as its minister. After his death, the congregation took a step of faith in a new direction and in 1987 Newman First Christian became a "teaching congregation." It was led by faithful men and women, preachers, and ministers until, under the leadership of Perry Albin and Laverne Fraser, the church recruited its current pastor, Justin Smith, in November of 2017.

The church is serious about loving Jesus, as well as creating opportunities for community involvement, spiritual growth, and outreach. Newman First Christian, for example, continues to provide meals to various local school sports teams and prayer for local school events, sponsor community events, and offer biblical counseling to the congregation and community. This focus on service and outreach has improved the spiritual and physical well-being of countless people in the community.

Madam Speaker, it is with great pleasure I stand to recognize Newman First Christian Church on its one hundred and fiftieth anniversary. I wish Pastor Smith, the congregation, as well as the community of Newman, all the best for a bright and joyous future.

RECOGNIZING THE 30TH ANNIVER-SARY OF THE BAKU POGROMS

HON. KATHERINE M. CLARK

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Madam Speaker, today I rise to recognize the 30th anniversary of the anti-Armenian pogroms in then-Soviet Azerbaijan's capital of Baku.

In January 1990, a violent seven-day long riot in Baku broke out against the city's ethnic Armenian civilian population. Approximately 90 Armenians were killed, and hundreds more were brutally beaten and forced to abandon their homes. The Baku Pogrom was just one of several anti-Armenian attacks that took place across Azerbaijan from 1988 to 1990

that were enabled by the Azerbaijani government. From Sumgait to Kirovabad and Baku, Armenian Christians living in the country were murdered, raped, and robbed. These vicious attacks led to the displacement of thousands of Armenian families fleeing the targeted violence as refugees.

These were crimes against humanity that have still gone unrecognized by Azerbaijan. I call upon their government to acknowledge and condemn these acts of violence, actively prosecute the perpetrators of these crimes, and take all appropriate action so that these atrocities never happen again.

Throughout history, the Armenian people have been targets of violence, murder and genocide. We must emphatically condemn these actions and any efforts to erase these atrocities from our collective memory. Failure to do so will only serve to embolden purveyors of violence against religious and ethnic minorities around the globe. I look forward to working with my colleagues in the Armenian Caucus to continue pushing policies promoting peace and human rights for Armenians and for all.

If we do not stand up to hate, we cannot end the cycle of intolerance.

IN HONOR OF PETER LYDE

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize.

Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Peter Lyde.

Peter Lyde, the Ward Leader for the 61st ward, helped shape our city's justice department and is a long-tenured labor organizer. In this modern age where technology has allowed more and more people to access information with ease, Peter has ensured the residents of Ward 61 can find helpful guidance on their political questions, and information on upcoming events, by following their active social media accounts. As we look to bring in new participants to the political sphere, Peter models the innovation that will expand the electorate and allow new voices to take part in our political process. With ward cookouts, community gatherings, and seasonal events, he brings politics to his constituents, encouraging

engagement in ways that I admire. I thank Peter.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Peter Lyde has left his own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community.

> IN HONOR OF KATHERINE JOHNSON

HON. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise with my colleagues today to honor the life of Katherine Johnson and to celebrate the achievements of her 35-year career at NASA and its predecessor, NACA. Her passing has been felt around the world, but her legacy lives on in so many ways.

Katherine Johnson will forever be remembered for her tenacity in spite of the challenges women and African Americans faced in the workforce, as well as for her aptitude for mathematics that became central to the nation's success in landing the first human on the surface of the Moon. Her life and her accomplishments are inspiring to all, especially to young women and African Americans who dream of pursuing a career in STEM. May future generations look to Katherine Johnson and her fellow Hidden Figures' dedication to advance the NASA space program with admiration and know that they too can follow in the footsteps of those talented women.

As we pursue our nation's human spaceflight programs and advance into the next phases of space exploration, we will always remember the immense role Katherine Johnson played to get us to where we are today.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 99TH BIRTHDAY OF RUBLE RAY GARD-NER

HON. MIKE ROGERS

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. Madam Speaker, I ask for the House's attention to recognize Mr. Ruble Ray Gardner who will be turning 99 on March 1, 2020.

Mr. Gardner was born on March 1, 1921, and served his country in the Army and Air Force. During his service, he was stationed in Africa, Great Britain, the Middle East, Germany, Paris, Alaska, Italy, the North Pole, Arctic Circle and other locations.

His wife, Ruth "Boots" Gardner, joined him in Great Britain.

During his military career, he received numerous medals including two Silver Stars, one Bronze Star and a Good Conduct award.

Following his service in the military, Mr. Gardner was employed by the United States Postal Service.

He was a resident of Childersburg, Alabama and a member of the CornerStone Church of

Christ. He currently lives at the Bill Nichols State Veterans Home in Alexander City.

Madam Speaker, please join me in recognizing Ruble Ray Gardner and wishing him a very happy 99th birthday.

IN HONOR OF SHARON VAUGHN

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize.

Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Sharon Vaughn.

Sharon Vaughn, Leader for the 42nd ward, is transforming how this role can impact people's lives. Sharon, a dedicated employee of the City Council, serving as Chief of Staff to Councilman at Large Derek Clark, has used her ward position to ensure her constituents understand the impact of public policy on a granular level. The residents of Ward 42 are lucky to have such a knowledgeable, dedicated representative who can articulate intricate policies in a digestible way. Her understanding of how government can serve as a conduit for change is truly an asset for those living in Ward 42. I thank Sharon.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Sharon Vaughn has left her own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community.

CHESAPEAKE BAY GATEWAYS AND WATERTRAILS NETWORK REAU-THORIZATION ACT OF 2019

SPEECH OF

HON. JOHN P. SARBANES

OF MARYLAND IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, February 26, 2020

Mr. SARBANES. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 2427, the Chesapeake Bay Gateways and Watertrails Network Reauthorization Act.

This bipartisan bill will provide robust, longterm federal support for the Chesapeake Bay Gateways Program, which helps increase public access to the Bay.

As the largest estuary in the nation, the Chesapeake Bay extends through six states and the District of Columbia. It's home to more than 18 million Americans and thousands of species of plants and animals.

However, roughly 98 percent of the Chesapeake Bay's 11,684 miles of shoreline is privately owned, leaving only a small fraction of shoreline available for public use.

The Chesapeake Bay Gateways Program helps maximize this tiny fraction of public shoreline by improving infrastructure, signage and exhibits at key public access points throughout the Bay Watershed. The program also supports education initiatives that connect young Americans with the Bay Watershed and help instill a passion for environmental stewardship.

To date, the Gateways Program has invested more than \$20 million in 300 projects across the Bay Watershed, helping boost our region's outdoor recreation economy.

This small investment over the last few decades has not only succeeded in promoting meaningful educational and recreational experiences for Marylanders and residents across the region but has also helped the National Park Service fulfill its responsibilities under the Chesapeake Bay Agreement.

As a key ally in the effort to restore the Chesapeake Bay, the National Park Service works to increase land conservation, public access to the Bay, environmental stewardship, diversity and environmental education—all of which is supported by the Gateways Program.

By connecting more Americans with the Bay, we can deepen ties to one of our country's most important environmental treasures, inspire future generations of environmental stewards and give a tremendous boost to our region's economy.

I want to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for supporting this small, but mighty program.

I urge my colleagues to vote yes on this bill.

IN HONOR OF TODD MARTIN'S DECADE OF SERVICE TO THE 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HON. KENNY MARCHANT

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. MARCHANT. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Todd Martin, a member of my District Office staff for over a decade, for his outstanding and dedicated service to the United States House of Representatives and to the Twenty-Fourth Congressional District of Texas.

Todd joined my staff over ten years ago initially as an intern, following his graduation from Baylor University in Waco, Texas with a Bachelor of Business Administration in Marketing. He didn't stay an intern for very long, as with his sharp talents he was quickly hired on to the permanent district office staff as the Director of District Affairs. Throughout his time in my office, Todd has been an integral part of the team, working diligently to provide outstanding constituent service and helping to manage the daily operations of the district office. During this time, Todd has conducted himself with the utmost dedication and professionalism, ensuring the needs of every constituent he encountered were addressed to the fullest extent possible. Going above and beyond the normal expectations of a Congressional staffer is a normal day for Todd.

Todd's tireless efforts ensured countless constituents received federal assistance. unpaid benefits, and additional earned services provided by the federal government which may have been delayed, misplaced, or unknown to the constituent. Additionally, Todd's efforts on the ground in the District ensured the legislative needs of constituents were heard and communicated to myself and my legislative team in Washington, D.C. This work often goes unnoticed but was instrumental in ensuring voices of the 24th District were constantly heard in Congress. Todd's commitment to public service is apparent in his pursuit of excellence in the public sector. During his time on my staff, Todd acquired a master's in public administration from the University of North Texas in 2012, further improving his abilities to serve the American public.

In a very bittersweet moment, this upcoming Friday, February 28th will be Todd's last day working for the people of the Twenty-Fourth Congressional District. He will leave as the fourth longest serving staffer in the office during my time in Congress. Todd will be continuing his commitment to public service in a new capacity, as he will soon be working for the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Texas.

Madam Speaker, it is my honor to recognize the contributions Todd has made to the 24th Congressional District of Texas, The State of Texas, and the United States of America. I ask all of my distinguished colleagues to join me in recognizing Todd Martin and his outstanding service. We wish him well in his next chapter of public service.

IN HONOR OF RENEE MCNEAR

HON. BRENDAN F. BOYLE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, February 27, 2020

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, it is an honor and privilege to recognize a dedicated public servant who continues to make our community proud as a Ward Leader. As public officials, entrusted by their peers to oversee elections and party activity, Philadelphia Ward Leaders represent a corps of unsung heroes that help facilitate the progress that molds our city. I am honored to be joined by one of our most distinguished, esteemed Ward Leaders today, and in commemoration of Black History Month, ensure that their contributions to our city remain enshrined in our historical record for generations to recognize. Black History Month is more than a mere byline on the month of February, it is an opportunity to celebrate and recognize the extraordinary contributions that the African American community has made, and continues to make, on our nation's history and culture. And I can think of no honoree more deserving of this recognition, who embodies the selfless commitment to their neighbors and peers, than Renee McNear.

Renee McNear, who leads Ward 20, has been extremely active in her first term. An experienced grassroots activist, Renee has taken it upon herself to educate voters not only on the importance of voting, but the value of being an informed and engaged voter. With a constituency that includes Temple University's main campus, I can think of no better leader to inspire civic engagement in our youth, and I look forward to serving as her partner in the ever-important endeavor of increasing turnout among the next generation of American leaders. I thank Renee.

Taking after the long lineage of Philadelphians who have helped sculpt our democracy into an increasingly inclusive system that encourages public participation, Renee McNear has left her own mark on our city. I look forward to years of collaboration so we can create a more inclusive, representative democracy throughout our community.

Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S1161–S1237

Measures Introduced: Twenty-seven bills and nineteen resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 3340–3366, S. Res. 506–523, and S. Con. Res. 37. Pages S1218–20

Measures Reported:

S. 2472, to redesignate the NASA John H. Glenn Research Center at Plum Brook Station, Ohio, as the NASA John H. Glenn Research Center at the Neil A. Armstrong Test Facility. (S. Rept. No. 116–218)

S. 2964, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend the authority of the Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance. (S. Rept. No. 116–219)

Measures Passed:

Hiram Rhodes Revels as the first African American United States Senator: Senate agreed to S. Res. 508, commemorating the 150th anniversary of the historic seating of Hiram Rhodes Revels as the first African American United States Senator.

Pages S1175-76, S1226

Clotilda Discovery: Committee on Energy and Natural Resources was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 315, memorializing the discovery of the *Clotilda*, and the resolution was then agreed to. **Page S1235**

National Stalking Awareness Month: Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 480, raising awareness and encouraging the prevention of stalking by designating January 2020 as "National Stalking Awareness Month", and the resolution was then agreed to. **Page S1235**

Read Across America Day: Senate agreed to S. Res. 512, designating March 2, 2020, as "Read Across America Day". Pages S1228, S1236

American Heart Month and National Wear Red Day: Senate agreed to S. Res. 513, designating February 2020 as "American Heart Month" and February 7, 2020, as "National Wear Red Day".

Pages S1228-29, S1236

Remembering Donald Stratton: Senate agreed to S. Res. 514, expressing the sense of the Senate that Donald Stratton be remembered for a lifetime of heroism and service to the United States.

Pages S1229-30, S1236

Career and Technical Education Month: Senate agreed to S. Res. 515, supporting the goals and ideals of Career and Technical Education Month.

Pages S1230-31, S1236

Black History Month: Senate agreed to S. Res. 516, celebrating Black History Month.

Pages S1231-32, S1236

Honoring Judge Nathaniel R. Jones: Senate agreed to S. Res. 517, honoring the life and legacy of Judge Nathaniel R. Jones. Pages S1232, S1236

Disabled American Veterans: Senate agreed to S. Res. 518, honoring the 100th anniversary of Disabled American Veterans. Pages S1232-33, S1236

Honoring Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson: Senate agreed to S. Res. 519, honoring the life and achievements of Katherine Coleman Goble Johnson. Pages S1233, S1236

National Speech and Debate Education Day: Senate agreed to S. Res. 520, designating March 6, 2020, as "National Speech and Debate Education Day". Pages S1233, S1236

Public Schools Week: Senate agreed to S. Res. 521, designating the week of February 24 through February 28, 2020, as "Public Schools Week".

Pages S1233-34, S1236

Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act: Senate passed H.R. 4998, to prohibit certain Federal subsidies from being used to purchase communications equipment or services posing national security risks, to provide for the establishment of a reimbursement program for the replacement of communications equipment or services posing such risks. Page S1236

Electing Secretary for the Majority Robert M. Duncan: Senate agreed to S. Res. 522, electing Robert M. Duncan, of the District of Columbia, as Secretary for the Majority of the Senate.

Pages S1234, S1236

D198

Measures Considered:

ADVANCED GEOTHERMAL INNOVATION LEADERSHIP ACT—CLOTURE: Senate began consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2657, to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development. Page S1173

A motion was entered to close further debate on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill, and, in accordance with the provisions of Rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, and pursuant to the unanimous-consent agreement of Thursday, February 27, 2020, a vote on cloture will occur at 5:30 p.m. on Monday, March 2, 2020. Page S1173

Prior to the consideration of this measure, Senate took the following action:

Senate agreed to the motion to proceed to Legislative Session. Page S1173

A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at approximately 3:00 p.m., on Monday, March 2, 2020; and that the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill ripen at 5:30 p.m., on Monday, March 2, 2020. Page S1236

Nominations Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nominations:

By 85 yeas to 3 nays (Vote No. EX. 62), Travis Greaves, of the District of Columbia, to be a Judge of the United States Tax Court for a term of fifteen years. Pages S1164-73

58 Air Force nominations in the rank of general. 1 Army nomination in the rank of general.

3 Marine Corps nominations in the rank of general.

Routine lists in the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps, and Navy. Pages S1177-78, S1236-37

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations: Diana Furchtgott-Roth, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Secretary of Transportation.

Katherine Camille Henderson, of Tennessee, to be Chief of Protocol, and to have the rank of Ambassador during her tenure of service.

William E. Todd, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

John Peter Cronan, of New York, to be United States District Judge for the Southern District of New York.

James E. Trainor III, of Texas, to be a Member of the Federal Election Commission for a term expiring April 30, 2023. Page S1236

Messages from the House:	Pages S1214-15	
Measures Referred:	Page S1215	
Measures Placed on the Calendar:	Page S1215	
Executive Communications:	Pages S1215–18	
Petitions and Memorials:	Page S1218	
Additional Cosponsors:	Pages S1220–22	
Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions:		
	Pages S1222-25	
Additional Statements:	Page S1214	
Privileges of the Floor:	Page S1235	

Record Votes: One record vote was taken today. (Total—62) Page S1173

Adjournment: Senate convened at 9:30 a.m. and adjourned at 3:49 p.m., until 3 p.m. on Monday, March 2, 2020. (For Senate's program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today's Record on page \$1236.)

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet)

No committee meetings were held.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 29 public bills, H.R. 5984–6012; and 8 resolutions, H. Res. 868–875, were introduced. Pages H1249–51

Additional	Cosponsors:	Pages	H1252-53
------------	-------------	-------	----------

Report Filed: A report was filed today as follows:

Federal securities laws, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 116–410). Page H1249 Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein she appointed Representative Cuellar to act as Speaker pro tempore for today. Page H1227

H.R. 3641, to enhance civil penalties under the

Recess: The House recessed at 10:40 a.m. and reconvened at 12 noon. Page H1231 Journal: The House agreed to the Speaker's approval of the Journal by voice vote. Pages H1231, H1241

Recess: The House recessed at 1:06 p.m. and reconvened at 2:02 p.m. Page H1239

Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act— Rule for Consideration: The House agreed to H. Res. 866, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2339) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with respect to the sale and marketing of tobacco products, by a yea-and-nay vote of 210 yeas to 200 nays, Roll No. 75, after the previous question was ordered by a yea-and-nay vote of 224 yeas to 189 nays, Roll No. 74.

Pages H1233-39, H1239-41

Committee Election and Ranking: The House agreed to H. Res. 870, electing a Member to a certain standing committee of the House of Representatives and ranking a Member on certain standing committees of the House of Representatives.

Page H1241

Quorum Calls—Votes: Two yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H1239–40 and H1240–41. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 10 a.m. and adjourned at 4:06 p.m.

Committee Meetings

APPROPRIATIONS—U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on the Department of Homeland Security held a budget hearing on the U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Testimony was heard from Mark A. Morgan, Acting Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

APPROPRIATIONS—DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies held a budget hearing on the Department of Education. Testimony was heard from Betsy DeVos, Secretary, Department of Education.

APPROPRIATIONS—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on the Departments of Transportation, and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies held a budget hearing on the Department of Transportation. Testimony was heard from Elaine L. Chao, Secretary, Department of Transportation.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies held an oversight hearing on the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General. Testimony was heard from Sue Murrin, Deputy Inspector General for Evaluation and Inspections, Office of Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services.

U.S. EUROPEAN COMMAND (EUCOM)

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Defense held a hearing entitled "U.S. European Command (EUCOM)". Testimony was heard from General Tod D. Wolters, U.S. Air Force, Commander, U.S. European Command, and NATO Supreme Allied Commander Europe. This hearing was closed.

APPROPRIATIONS—U.S. FOREST SERVICE

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies held a budget hearing on the U.S. Forest Service. Testimony was heard from Vivki Christiansen, Chief, U.S. Forest Service.

APPROPRIATIONS—LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Legislative Branch held a budget hearing on the Library of Congress. Testimony was heard from Carla Hayden, Librarian of Congress, Library of Congress.

OVERSIGHT OF VA'S ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD MODERNIZATION IMPLEMENTATION

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies held a hearing entitled "Oversight of VA's Electronic Health Record Modernization Implementation". Testimony was heard from the following Department of Veterans Affairs officials: Melissa Glynn, Assistant Secretary for Enterprise Integration; Richard Stone, Executive in Charge, Veterans Health Administration; and John H. Windom, Executive Director, Office of Electronic Health Record Modernization.

APPROPRIATIONS—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, and Related Agencies held a budget hearing on the Department of Energy. Testimony was heard from Dan Brouillette, Secretary, Department of Energy.

APPROPRIATIONS—GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Legislative Branch held a budget hearing on the Government Accountability Office. Testimony was heard from Gene L. Dodaro, Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office.

MEMBERS DAY

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs held a hearing entitled "Members Day". Testimony was heard from Chairman McGovern, and Representatives Espaillat, Hill, Jackson Lee, Spanberger, Costa, and Judy Chu of California.

WORLD-WIDE THREAT

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Defense held a hearing entitled "World-Wide Threat". Testimony was heard from Lieutenant General Robert P. Ashley, Jr., Director, Defense Intelligence Agency; and Joseph D. Kernan, Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security. This hearing was closed.

THE FISCAL YEAR 2021 NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION BUDGET REQUEST FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Committee on Armed Services: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "The Fiscal Year 2021 National Defense Authorization Budget Request for the Department of the Navy". Testimony was heard from Thomas B. Modly, Acting Secretary of the Navy, U.S. Navy; Admiral Michael M. Gilday, Chief of Naval Operations, U.S. Navy; and General David H. Berger, Commandant, U.S. Marine Corps.

STRATEGIC FORCES POSTURE HEARING

Committee on Armed Services: Subcommittee on Strategic Forces held a hearing entitled "Strategic Forces Posture Hearing". Testimony was heard from James Anderson, Performing the Duties of Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Department of Defense; General John Raymond, Commander, U.S. Space Command; and Admiral Charles Richard, Commander, U.S. Strategic Command.

AIR FORCE PROJECTION FORCES AVIATION PROGRAMS AND CAPABILITIES RELATED TO THE 2021 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET REQUEST

Committee on Armed Services: Subcommittee on Seapower and Projection Forces held a hearing entitled "Air Force Projection Forces Aviation Programs and Capabilities Related to the 2021 President's

Budget Request". Testimony was heard from William Roper, Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, U.S. Air Force; and Lieutenant General David S. Nahom, Deputy Chief of Staff, Plans and Programs, U.S. Air Force.

BUDGET PRIORITIES: MEMBERS' DAY

Committee on the Budget: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "Budget Priorities: Members' Day". Testimony was heard from Representatives McCollum, Budd, Burgess, Cline, Correa, and Cloud.

THE FISCAL YEAR 2021 EPA BUDGET

Committee on Energy and Commerce: Subcommittee on Environment and Climate Change held a hearing entitled "The Fiscal Year 2021 EPA Budget". Testimony was heard from Andrew Wheeler, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.

STRENGTHENING COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS TO HELP AMERICANS IN CRISIS

Committee on Energy and Commerce: Subcommittee on Communications and Technology held a hearing entitled "Strengthening Communications Networks to Help Americans in Crisis". Testimony was heard from Anthony Gossner, Fire Chief, Santa Rosa, California; and public witnesses.

MISCELLANEOUS MEASURES

Committee on Financial Services: Full Committee began a markup on H.R. 149, the "Housing Fairness Act of 2020"; H.R. 4351, the "Yes In My Backyard Act"; H.R. 5187, the "Housing Is Infrastructure Act"; H.R. 5929, the "Shareholder Political Transparency Act"; H.R. 5930, the "Workforce Investment Disclosure Act"; H.R. 5931, the "Improving FHA Support for Small Dollar Mortgages Act of 2020"; H.R. 5932, the "Ensuring Chinese Debt Transparency Act"; Views and Estimates of the Committee on Financial Services on Matters to be Set Forth in the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2021 Budget; and a resolution electing minority members to the subcommittees of the Committee on Financial Services.

CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019: THE U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

Committee on Foreign Affairs: Subcommittee on Asia, the Pacific, and Nonproliferation held a hearing entitled "Coronavirus Disease 2019: The U.S. and International Response". Testimony was heard from Ian Brownlee, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State; Jonathan Fritz, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs, Department of State; William A. Walters, Executive Director and Managing Director for Operational Medicine, Bureau of Medical Services, Department of State; and Robert Redfield, Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services.

EXAMINING THE EFFECT OF THE BORDER WALL ON PRIVATE AND TRIBAL LANDOWNERS

Committee on Homeland Security: Subcommittee on Border Security, Facilitation, and Operations held a hearing entitled "Examining the Effect of the Border Wall on Private and Tribal Landowners". Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

BUILDING A DIVERSE AND INCLUSIVE WORKFORCE TO MEET THE HOMELAND SECURITY MISSION

Committee on Homeland Security: Subcommittee on Oversight, Management, and Accountability held a hearing entitled "Building a Diverse and Inclusive Workforce to Meet the Homeland Security Mission". Testimony was heard from Angela Bailey, Chief Human Capital Officer, Department of Homeland Security; and Yvonne Jones, Director, Strategic Issues, Government Accountability Office.

RETURNING CITIZENS: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR REENTRY

Committee on the Judiciary: Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security held a hearing entitled "Returning Citizens: Challenges and Opportunities for Reentry". Testimony was heard from Vanessa Martin, Director, Reentry Services, Office of Diversion and Reentry, Los Angeles County Department of Health and Human Services, California; and public witnesses.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on the Judiciary: Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship held a business meeting on the Request for a Department of Homeland Security Departmental Report on the Beneficiaries of H.R. 4225. The Request for a Department of Homeland Security Departmental Report on the Beneficiaries of H.R. 4225 passed.

THE CURRENT STATE OF THE U.S. REFUGEE PROGRAM

Committee on the Judiciary: Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship held a hearing entitled "The Current State of the U.S. Refugee Program". Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

LEGISLATIVE MEASURES

Committee on Natural Resources: Subcommittee on National Parks, Forests, and Public Lands held a hearing on H.R. 3651, to facilitate the use of certain lands in Nebraska for public outdoor recreational opportunities, and for other purposes; H.R. 3681, the "Green Spaces, Green Vehicles Act of 2019"; H.R. 4236, the "Reducing Waste in National Parks Act"; and H.R. 4512, the "Outdoors for All Act". Testimony was heard from Representatives Quigley, Barragán, Fortenberry, and Levin of California; Lena McDowall, Deputy Director, Management and Administration, National Park Service, Department of the Interior; Allegra Haynes, Executive Director, Department of Parks and Recreation, City and County of Denver, Colorado; and public witnesses.

EXAMINING OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE PREVENTION AND RESPONSE TO SEXUAL ASSAULT AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT AT THE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Committee on Natural Resources: Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations held a hearing entitled "Examining Opportunities to Improve Prevention and Response of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration". Testimony was heard from Neil Jacobs, Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Environmental Observation and Prediction, performing the duties of Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and public witnesses.

THE ADMINISTRATION'S RELIGIOUS LIBERTY ASSAULT ON LGBT RIGHTS

Committee on Oversight and Reform: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "The Administration's Religious Liberty Assault on LGBT Rights". Testimony was heard from Chairman Takano, and Representatives Sean Patrick Maloney of New York, Kennedy, and Kelly of Pennsylvania; and public witnesses.

KARSHI-KHANABAD: HAZARDOUS EXPOSURES AND EFFECTS ON U.S. SERVICEMEMBERS

Committee on Oversight and Reform: Subcommittee on National Security held a hearing entitled "Karshi-Khanabad: Hazardous Exposures and Effects on U.S. Servicemembers". Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

A REVIEW OF THE ADMINISTRATION'S FEDERAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BUDGET PROPOSAL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

Committee on Science, Space, and Technology: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "A Review of the Administration's Federal Research and Development Budget Proposal for Fiscal Year 2021". Testimony was heard from Kelvin Droegemeier, Director, Office of Science and Technology Policy.

AN EXAMINATION OF FEDERAL FLOOD MAPS IN A CHANGING CLIMATE

Committee on Science, Space, and Technology: Subcommittee on Environment; and Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight held a joint hearing entitled "An Examination of Federal Flood Maps in a Changing Climate". Testimony was heard from Michael Grimm, Assistant Administrator for Risk Management, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security; Mark Osler, Senior Advisor for Coastal Inundation and Resilience, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce; and public witnesses.

MOVING AMERICA'S INFRASTRUCTURE FORWARD

Committee on Small Business: Subcommittee on Contracting and Infrastructure held a hearing entitled "Moving America's Infrastructure Forward". Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

PROPOSALS FOR A WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2020: MEMBERS' DAY HEARING

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure: Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment held a hearing entitled "Proposals for a Water Resources Development Act of 2020: Members' Day Hearing". Testimony was heard from Chairman Kilmer, and Representatives Marshall, Flores, Case, Steube, Keating, Jayapal, Vela, Barragán, Kaptur, Morelle, Schrader, Matsui, Slotkin, Gianforte, Schneider, Waltz, Scott of Virginia, Carter of Georgia, Schiff, Thompson of Pennsylvania, Comer, Keller, Foster, Higgins of New York, Sánchez, Cooper, Steil, Huizenga, Higgins of Louisiana, Posey, Fortenberry, Allen, Sherrill, Cleaver, Speier, Gottheimer, Gomez, Wittman, Johnson of Louisiana, Escobar, Axne, Olson, Cloud, Gonzalez of Ohio, O'Halleran, and Shalala.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS BUDGET REQUEST FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "U.S. Department of Veterans Af-

fairs Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2021". Testimony was heard from the following Department of Veterans Affairs officials: Robert Wilkie, Secretary; Richard Stone, Executive in Charge, Veterans Health Administration; Paul Lawrence, Under Secretary for Benefits; Jon Rychalski, Assistant Secretary for Management and Chief Financial Officer; and public witnesses.

PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2021 BUDGET WITH HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SECRETARY AZAR

Committee on Ways and Means: Full Committee held a hearing entitled "Proposed Fiscal Year 2021 Budget With Health and Human Services Secretary Azar". Testimony was heard from Alex Azar, Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2020

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

No meetings/hearings scheduled.

House

Committee on Financial Services, Full Committee, continue markup on H.R. 149, the "Housing Fairness Act of 2020"; H.R. 4351, the "Yes In My Backyard Act"; H.R. 5187, the "Housing Is Infrastructure Act"; H.R. 5929, the "Shareholder Political Transparency Act"; H.R. 5930, the "Workforce Investment Disclosure Act"; H.R. 5931, the "Improving FHA Support for Small Dollar Mortgages Act of 2020"; H.R. 5932, the "Ensuring Chinese Debt Transparency Act"; Views and Estimates of the Committee on Financial Services on Matters to be Set Forth in the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2021 Budget; and a resolution electing minority members to the subcommittees of the Committee on Financial Services, 9:30 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, Full Committee, hearing entitled "Evaluating the Trump Administration's Policies on Iran, Iraq and the Use of Force", 8:30 a.m., 2172 Rayburn. Next Meeting of the SENATE

3 p.m., Monday, March 2

Senate Chamber

Program for Monday: Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2657, to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and vote on the motion to invoke cloture thereon at 5:30 p.m.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

9 a.m., Friday, February 28

House Chamber

Program for Friday: Consideration of H.R. 2339-Reversing the Youth Tobacco Epidemic Act.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Axne, Cynthia, Iowa, E224 Boyle, Brendan F., Pa., E225, E226, E227, E227, E228, E229 Brady, Kevin, Tex., E226 Clark, Katherine M., Mass., E227 Cohen, Steve, Tenn., E223 DeSaulnier, Mark, Calif., E226

Diaz-Balart, Mario, Fla., E223 Johnson, Eddie Bernice, Tex., E228 Kelly, Trent, Miss., E223 Lamborn, Doug, Colo., E224 Marchant, Kenny, Tex., E228 Reed, Tom, N.Y., E225 Richmond, Cedric L., La., E226 Rogers, Mike, Ala., E228 Ryan, Tim, Ohio, E224

Sarbanes, John P., Md., E228 Schiff, Adam B., Calif., E225 Shimkus, John, Ill., E225, E227 Underwood, Lauren, Ill., E223 Westerman, Bruce, Ark., E224 Wittman, Robert J., Va., E225 Yarmuth, John A., Ky., E227



of Congress, as reported by the Official Reporters thereof, are

States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. Public access to the Congressional Record is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at www.govinfo.gov, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the Congressional Record is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, contactcenter@gpo.gov. ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily Congressional Record is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the Congressional Record.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Record, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.