# **EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS**

#### REMEMBERING OFFICER KAIA GRANT

### HON. BRAD R. WENSTRUP

OF OHIO IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. WENSTRUP. Madam Speaker, I rise to honor fallen Springdale police officer and hero Kaia Grant, who gave her life in the line of duty.

Growing up in Wyoming, Ohio, friends, family, and peers knew Officer Grant was destined for greatness. Officer Grant exemplified the best of what our nation has to offer—commitment to fighting for justice and protecting our people. She was a dedicated public servant who lost her life trying to help others.

The loss of Officer Grant is deeply felt in Wyoming, in Springdale, and across Hamilton County. Community members have displayed blue hearts on their front doors in memory of her and in solidarity with the Springdale Police Department.

I pray that God will bring comfort to Officer Grant's family, to the law enforcement community, and to all those in the Hamilton County community grieving her loss. While God has called Kaia Grant away from us, the positive impact of her service shall never die.

God bless.

#### THANK YOU TO WASHINGTON STATE'S FIRST RESPONDERS

### HON. RICK LARSEN

OF WASHINGTON IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Speaker, I rise today with appreciation for health care workers in Washington state who are leading the charge against COVID-19.

The bravery and resilience of health care professionals throughout the COVID-19 response is inspiring. Many health care workers are facing the toughest challenges of their professional careers. Still these professionals continue to provide lifesaving care to their patients. In my conversations with health care professionals, I know the personal toll these past weeks have taken, as they work to keep communities safe while living with the fear of contracting COVID-19 and exposing their loved ones to the disease.

In addition, I want to express all of Northwest Washington's gratitude to the hundreds of volunteer health care workers across Washington state who have stepped up. In this time of crisis, retired health care workers and medical students are rising to the occasion, treating patients and keeping Washingtonians safe.

Madam Speaker, the current COVID-19 pandemic has presented Washington's health care workers with what seem like impossible challenges. Despite the obstacles, doctors, nurses, volunteers and other medical profes-

sionals are showing up every day to care for their friends, neighbors, and communities. On behalf of a grateful community, I express my sincere thanks.

TRIBUTE TO LUCIE GIKOVICH

### HON. KEN CALVERT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. CALVERT. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor and pay tribute to an outstanding individual and my dear friend, Lucie Yvonne Gikovich, who lost her hard-fought battle with pancreatic cancer at the age of 70 on March 11, 2020. Lucie was a lover of life, a caring friend and she will be deeply missed.

In all Lucie did, every role she played, and every job title she held, she had a lasting impact on those around her. She began her career as an integral part of Jerry Brown's team, both throughout his gubernatorial campaign and during his first eight years in the California Governor's office. She worked behind the scenes, often running the day-to-day operations of the office as Brown's executive assistant. She went on to also serve as his scheduler, as a cabinet secretary, and as the campaign press secretary during his run for the U.S. Senate.

Lucie received her undergraduate degree from Immaculate Heart College and pursued a graduate degree from the University of San Francisco before joining Brown's campaign in 1974. After serving in the Governor's office, Lucie began a successful career in public affairs and lobbying. She was an integral player in the organization of the 1984 Summer Olympics in Los Angeles and subsequently managed public affairs for Major League Baseball. Later, she joined the Crane Group and went on to have a successful career in government relations in both Sacramento and Washington D.C.

Beyond her long list of career accolades, Lucie will be remembered for love of her Croatian heritage. Lucie's father immigrated to the United States from Croatia and her mother was also of Croatian descent and to honor that legacy she helped to establish the Croatian Congressional Caucus. She will also be remembered as a fighter, as prior to her battle with pancreatic cancer, Lucie beat breast cancer twice. I extend my heartfelt condolences to the Gikovich family, and although Lucie may be gone, the impact she made on her community will be long lasting.

EXPRESSING THE UNITED STATES' SOLIDARITY WITH FRIENDS AND ALLIES IN EUROPE

### HON. RICHARD HUDSON

OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. HUDSON. Madam Speaker, I rise to report discussions I had last week during a video conference with members of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) Parliamentary Assembly, and their response to COVID–19.

Let me stress at the outset that our country has not only treaty-bound allies in Europe, but genuine friends. Our friends and colleagues abroad welcomed Senator ROGER WICKER and my participation on behalf of the United States to discuss how we will continue our important duties amidst the dire situation facing the globe. I reported on the increasingly dire situation here in the United States and the efforts of the U.S. Congress to provide relief to our citizens. We all expressed solidarity with each other and a determination to move forward.

Every country in Europe is affected by this pandemic, Madam Speaker, just as every state in the United States is affected. The President of the Lombardy in Italy spoke about the particularly critical situation his region is facing. In a crisis like this, while we have our primary responsibilities here at home, it is imperative we continue to help our international friends and partners. I assured our partners that the United States will continue to support our allies and provide considerable assistance to public health worldwide.

Such expressions of transatlantic unity, in my view, are important in times like these. They give our European friends and allies the confidence they need to move forward. It also helps to counter the considerable amount of misinformation and misperception currently spreading and dispel the malign influence attached to offers of help and friendship from elsewhere around the globe. We cannot let ulterior motives divide and weaken our ties at this time of vulnerability.

In spite of this crisis, other threats to European security have not gone away. Russian aggression against its neighbors, terrorist threats, and protracted conflicts in Eastern Europe and the Caucasus all still exist, requiring our continued attention. Much of our parliamentary conversation focused on how we can address these continual challenges we face while we are unable to meet and deliberate in person as scheduled. Despite the uncertainty, the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly will find a way and with a little creativity, will continue having these important discussions.

A final point made in the video conference is the need to defend our democratic principles and human rights in a time where restrictions and limits are imposed that could be abused. Our country defended Europe from tyranny last century, so it is rewarding to see our friends and allies determined to preserve those gains moving forward into this century.

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor. Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

April 7, 2020

I concluded from my discussions last week that more difficult times may lie ahead, but by working together, we will persevere.

Madam Speaker, please join me today recognizing the importance of these discussions with our European allies and friends.

#### TRIBUTE TO SERGEANT MAJOR RALPH SARGENT

### HON. SETH MOULTON

OF MASSACHUSETTS IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. MOULTON. Madam Speaker, I rise to commemorate the service of an American patriot and decorated Marine, Sergeant Major Ralph Sargent. Sargent took part in some of the most intense fighting of the Vietnam War, including the Battle of Khe Sanh, when the hilltop outpost of 6,000 Marines was surrounded by 34,000 North Vietnamese troops. Despite heavy artillery bombardment and the constant threat of being overrun, the Marines, of course, held their ground. Later while on patrol, his company got into an extended firefight that would claim the lives of 35 Marines, but it would have been far worse if not for Sergeant Major Sargent's actions that earned him the Bronze Star with a "Combat V" for extraordinary heroism, in addition to his Navy Commendation Medal.

But one of the greatest stories of his service was when he saved the life of another Marine decades after Vietnam. That Marine is his grandson, whom I was privileged to serve with in Iraq. The salty Marine sergeant major recognized what was wrong with his grandson when this great Marine returned from the war and, like so many of us, had trouble finding meaning in life back home. So one day the sergeant major asked his grandson to drive him to the VA for an appointment, but when they arrived, he told his grandson that the appointment was actually for him. His grandson, my friend, followed the sergeant major's orders and started going regularly to the VA. I'm not sure he would be alive today if he hadn't. And months later, after Sergeant Major Sargent saw what a difference the VA had made in his grandson's life, he decided, for the first time, to go himself.

Madam Speaker, Sergeant Major Sargent lived a life of service. Many Marines made it through Vietnam thanks to their sergeant major, and one of my great Marine friends is alive today because of his grandfather. What an American hero. THANK YOU TO WASHINGTON STATE'S FIRST RESPONDERS

### HON. RICK LARSEN

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, April 7, 2020

1 uesuuy, April 1, 2020

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize the valuable service of grocery workers.

Nearly three million grocery workers punch the clock in communities across the United States. In Northwest Washington, these women and men put themselves at risk to make sure their neighbors are fed.

Since the COVID-19 outbreak began, I have heard from families of grocery employees who worry every time their loved ones go to work.

Congress must support grocery workers the same way they support their communities. This means providing paid family and medical leave, guaranteeing workers' rights are protected and listening when they tell employers and Congress what they need to stay safe on the job.

I would like to thank and recognize grocery workers for their commitment to keeping Washington healthy and fed during this unprecedented crisis. I encourage my colleagues to support these essential workers in any future relief package.

INTRODUCTION OF THE IMPROV-ING ACCESS TO SERVICES ACT

#### HON. JESÚS G. "CHUY" GARCÍA OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I rise to introduce the Improving Access to Services Act along with my colleagues Congresswoman AYANNA PRESSLEY and Congressman MARK TAKANO.

Our transportation system is failing Americans who are stuck in congestion, traveling on roads and transit systems in disrepair, and forced to travel further and further to reach essential services.

The Improving Access to Services Act would require that 'safe and convenient access to services' is an added condition for States' minimum standards for public roads for both new construction and roadway improvement projects. These services include health care facilities, child care, education and workforce training, affordable housing, food sources, banking and financial institutions, and other retail shopping establishments.

States and metropolitan planning organizations will assess how the transportation system connects people to services by auto, transit, bike, and pedestrian investments, and ensures new investments do not degrade transit, bike, and pedestrian access.

The Improving Access to Services Act will also adjust the definition of access to incorporate a measurement of travel times, travel stress for active travel (bike and pedestrian), and cost for low-income travelers.

I am glad that the Improving Access to Services Act is endorsed by Transportation for America (T4A), Sierra Club, National Resources Defense Council (NRDC), National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO), Environmental Law and Policy Center (ELPC), Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.

The Improving Access to Services Act will reconnect our communities, enabling people to take shorter, more convenient trips, reducing congestion and emissions, and improving access to services for all.

I urge this body to swiftly pass this legislation.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ABOUT THE CARES ACT

### HON. ANDY BIGGS

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. BIGGS. Madam Speaker, The vote we took on March 27, 2020, may be the most monumental vote during our tenure in Congress. The amount of money we committed our nation to is, in itself, epic. The causes of this legislation—the coronavirus and government reaction to the threat, which has placed our economy into a recession—are equally momentous.

The President has wisely acted to limit the spread of the outbreak, and he and Senate Majority Leader McCONNELL tried to negotiate in good faith with House Democrats. Unfortunately, Speaker PELOSI and Minority Leader SCHUMER chose instead to derail the process. As a result, we have a bill before us that is loaded down with more negative provisions than positive ones.

I have spent the past few days imagining what could have been, had the Democrats decided to act as honest brokers.

Could we have provided the liquidity and necessary interim relief for the families and businesses of Main Street USA for less than \$2 trillion?

Most certainly. And we should have found ways to help them because these businesses needed our support, because state and local governments have shuttered them and placed many Americans in economic peril.

Could we have acted more swiftly?

Without a doubt.

Could we have taken the time to repair the unemployment compensation portion of the bill that Senators SCOTT, SASSE, and GRAHAM noted will incentivize people not to work, because the compensation for unemployment will be superior to their wages?

Might we have produced a bill that didn't spend millions of dollars for non-essential, non-emergency-related funding to institutions such as the Kennedy Center, NPR, Smithsonian, Institute of Museum and Library Services, and the National Endowments for the Arts and Humanities?

Could we have done this without strengthening the hands of unions in the private sector?

These are all painful hypotheticals to think about as we look at the enormous sums of unnecessary spending in this bill.

To offer merely a few examples, we gave \$88 million to the Peace Corps, which fired over seven thousand volunteers in March. We spent millions more for refugee assistance, election security, and the Department of Education. Some of these efforts may be worth funding, but they certainly have no place in an economic relief package. We currently have a structural deficit of approximately \$1.2 trillion—which, coincidentally, is about equal to the discretionary spending of Congress. This proposal dwarfs in spending, in one day, what we spend on discretionary projects in one year.

Some economists forecast tough times ahead for GDP growth. If our amazing economy, structurally sound just a few weeks ago, has slower growth while we are more than doubling our structural deficit for the current fiscal year—not counting the input of the Phase 1 and 2 relief packages, and excluding the proposed Phase 4 and 5 packages—we could move into an upside-down position in the ratio of national debt to GDP. Such a situation would likely precipitate a sovereign debt crisis.

The President is highly concerned about whether our economic cure may be worse than the sickness. I suggest that the temporary gains this bill provides are outweighed by the acceleration of our already unimaginable national debt, which even before the spending package exceeded \$23 trillion.

Lastly, I am disappointed that the bill:

Grants checks to millions of Americans who do not immediately need them and likely will not spend them.

Does not expand Health Savings Accounts. Does not go nearly far enough to deregulate the healthcare industry or the rest of the economy.

Grants far too much discretion to the federal bureaucrats to pick-and-choose which companies outside of the airline industry warrant relief, allowing for government to pick economic winners and losers.

Places so many strings on small business assistance that the resulting "loans" are better categorized as mandates.

President Trump asked us all to come together during this national emergency to pass relief that would truly help our country return to prosperity. It's a shame that Democrats chose to leverage the outbreak to include their agenda instead of focusing on the need of the nation.

Congress failed to consider historical examples of relief proffered to American citizens. We can learn of the ineffectiveness of direct payments by examining the record of direct stimulus payments on behavior.

The federal government has sent direct stimulus payments to individuals or households on three separate occasions, in 2001, 2008, and 2009. The 2001 and 2008 direct stimulus payments were tax rebates of varying sizes offered to the overwhelming majority of individuals or households filing an IRS tax return in 2000 or 2007, respectively (which accounts for approximately 86 percent of the population, both in 2008).

In 2008, the year for which we have the best data, \$96 billion was distributed to 83 percent of filers (individuals or households at the highest income levels were not eligible for a rebate).

The 2009 direct stimulus payments, unlike the 2001 and 2008 payments, were not meant to be nearly universal; instead, they were directed primarily to the predominantly poor or elderly populations who may not have filed taxes in 2007 (or 2008).

Stimulus checks in 2009 were paid directly out of various Social Security, Supplemental Security Income, Railroad Retirement, and VA accounts, instead of from the Treasury. 55 million individuals received \$14 billion from this stimulus.

The maximum tax rebate in 2008 was \$1,200 for joint filers, \$600 for others, and an additional \$300 per dependent. The 2009 stimulus payments were \$250 per recipient.

A 2010 National Bureau of Economic Research survey (Sahm, Shapiro, and Slemrod) found that only 23 percent of individuals or households receiving a 2008 stimulus check indicated they would "mostly spend" it. 24 percent of those surveyed indicated they would "mostly save" the money, and 53 percent said they would "mostly pay off debt" with the funds.

The study also found that about 26 percent of families with incomes above \$75,000 planned to spend the 2008 rebate, as compared to about 19 percent of lower-income families.

Congress did not even discuss the ramifications of the direct payment program, "Economic Impact Payments." The advocates for direct payments exhibited hope and ignored the economic reality revealed in past efforts.

A number of my colleagues and I put forward a number of alternatives to the boundless spending package that would have provided immediate and lasting relief without causing potentially long-term harm to our economy.

Only a few of the following proposals were incorporated into the CARES Act. All of them have advantages and disadvantages, but they all warranted far more consideration than they received:

Payroll tax holiday: This is the original Trump administration plan. The advantage is that it helps individuals who are the biggest aggregate contributors to the economy. On the other hand, it does less to (directly) help poorer workers, workers in tip-dependent industries, and retirees.

Temporary deregulation "Require every agency within one month to identify and suspend significant regulations that will reduce cost in the American economy-both compliance costs and economic social costs. Upon approval by the President, such regulations (except those needed to protect human health and safety) shall be suspended until the President (or Congress) determines that the suspension is no longer needed to provide relief of the economic crisis. Notice and Comment and other APA provisions shall not apply to the suspension of the regulations, but shall apply to the re-establishment of the regulations. (This will reestablish the President's goal of eliminating two regulations for every new regulation.)"

From Club for Growth's Policy Options for the Senate in Replacing the Pelosi/Mnuchin Coronavirus Stimulus with Economic Freedom that protects the American Economy:

Prepayment of tax refunds: Give every household a refund of \$5,000—roughly equal to the average refund for the 2019 and 2020 tax years combined. This refund could gradually be recouped through the withholding of future refunds or by setting surcharges once the economy improves (perhaps after 2022).

Idea from the American Enterprise Institute:

Reduction of the pass-through tax rate: The businesses that are going to be hit hardest during the corona virus crisis are small firms of fifty or fewer employees, which make up a majority of businesses in the East Valley and most other parts of the country. We could advocate for your "Small Business

Prosperity Act" (H.R. 4947) or even more aggressive measures. Modification of the net operating loss

Modification of the net operating loss (NOL) assessment: The TCJA eliminated "carrybacks" and permitted unlimited "carryforwards" of NOLs instead. New policy could once again allow businesses to carryback to more profitable times, or, alternatively, it could allow firms to draw down NOLs by making them refundable.

Elimination of paid-leave mandates: Senator Johnson tried unsuccessfully to amend the second coronavirus relief bill to strip its paid-leave mandates. His argument was that most small business owners are primarily concerned with preserving liquidity and guaranteeing their future solvency. Paidleave mandates could inadvertently force some of these rattled small businesses to lay off workers permanently. Most senators who opposed the second coronavirus package did so on these grounds.

Protection of industries from regulatory takings: Allow businesses forced to close or pare back operations (i.e. airline companies, restaurants) due to government mandates a reprieve from regulatory takings via temporary, interest-free loans provided by the Treasury.

Expansion of tax deductions and/or credits for individuals who work from home: The coronavirus may spur more permanent changes in workforce behavior. In addition to expanding existing tax deductions for individuals who work from home, policymakers could make them more flexible to account for any "gig" work that individuals may be forced to take on in the short-term.

Expansion of tax deductions and/or credits for individuals who start a business: Some entrepreneurial individuals may see the current crisis as an opportunity to leave their current jobs entirely and start their own businesses.

Pausing of foreclosures/evictions/repossessions for homeowners, business owners, and car owners: State governments are already starting to take the lead on this issue in many places, and the Trump administration has started to act at the federal level through executive order.

Expansion and streamlining of the SBA disaster loan program: "The Small Business Administration (SBA) disaster loan program for those impacted by the coronavirus should be immediately made available nationwide. eliminating the complex and time-consuming local certification processes. The SBA also should be given the authority to streamline its disaster-loan approval process for amounts below \$350,000 in order to provide emergency capital more quickly. This should include removing the requirement that small businesses demonstrate that they cannot access credit elsewhere before turning to the SBA. These loans may be used to pay fixed debts, payroll, accounts payable, and other bills that can't be paid because of the virus's impact. The interest rate is 3.75 percent for small businesses and 2.75 percent for non-profits."

From the U.S. Chamber of Commerce:

Additionally, because the relief packages, Phases 1, 2, and 3, all were ostensibly passed to provide relief due to the impacts of the COVID-19 outbreak, I and my colleagues recommended some of the following measures dealing with health policy. Be forewarned that my Democrat colleagues will attempt to reinstate Obamacare and its plethora of regulations. The policies set faith would be helpful in addressing the virus outbreak and would make the entire medical system more favorable to consumers and providers:

Expansion of Health Savings Accounts: We should make it even easier for consumers to use pre-tax dollars on a wide range of services. Some new guidance has already been released by the IRS in the wake of the coronavirus outbreak. Reduction or elimination of FDA regulations on non-invasive medical devices: This reform should already be implemented for smart devices (such as Fitbits), glucose kits, and other comparable items. It could be expanded to include DIY COVID test kits.

Clarification and codification of Trump administration efforts to ease telehealth restrictions: President Trump has already begun to lift restrictions for Medicare patients, but not for other populations.

Waiving out-of-state medical licensing requirements: This reform is already happening slowly on a state-by-state level, but could be more aggressively championed—or mandated—at the federal level.

#### THANK YOU TO WASHINGTON STATE'S EDUCATORS

#### HON. RICK LARSEN

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

### Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Speaker, I rise today to sincerely thank the teachers, paraeducators, food service workers, bus drivers, custodial staff and others keeping the education of kids on track in Washington state during the COVID–19 pandemic.

Schools are always adapting to suit the needs of their students. When Washington state closed schools to prevent the spread of COVID–19, education professionals rapidly adjusted to on line education, ensuring students would not fall behind.

Distance learning has forced schools to tackle serious student equity issues, including access to technology and broadband, and making sure thousands of students who rely on school meals do not go hungry. These educators need Congress to step up to help address these issues.

Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize the sacrifices teachers and school employees of all kinds across Washington state are making to provide for their students amid this public health crisis. And I call on Congress to provide necessary tools for school districts to ensure equitable online learning and sufficient resources for students during this pandemic and beyond.

MIDDLE CLASS HEALTH BENEFITS TAX REPEAL ACT OF 2019

#### SPEECH OF

# HON. MARCY KAPTUR

OF OHIO IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, March 27, 2020

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, H.R. 748 contains a critical provision that will be used to assist state and local governments in their response to the crisis engulfing our nation. Indeed, local governments are bearing the heavy burden of response with dwindling resources while helping terrified Americans manage as best they can. As the entities closest to the ground, these local governments are on the front line of the fight, and we must ensure they have adequate funding.

This provision, Section 5001 of the CARES Act, provides that Treasury will provide assistance directly, and not through the State intermediary to units of local government with more than 500,000 people. The provision, allows for a significantly broad definition of local governments and specifies that "[t]he term 'unit of local government' [includes] . . . other unit of general government below the State level with a population that exceeds 500,000." Section 5001 explicitly allows any government that represents more than 500,000 and includes regional councils of government which are chartered under state law.

In Ohio, Chapter 167 of the revised code provides broad authority for a regional council of government to act as a local unit of government, to plan, enter cooperative agreements related "to matters affecting health, safety, welfare, education, economic conditions, and regional development." See Ohio Revised Code, Sec. 167.03.

In drafting and approving H.R. 748, the House and Senate intended section 5001 to be administered liberally, and for Treasury and the Courts to construe the statute broadly so as to encompass all local government entities with more than 500,000 citizens to act directly, and not through the intermediary of a state government. In fact, the State of Ohio has specifically devolved local authority to the regional council of governments to act in the general welfare interests of their citizens as a unit of general government.

As Treasury promulgates regulations to implement this statute, the law intends for these regional councils of government to act as a general unit of local government, and envisions them to be eligible to certify and receive the state stabilization dollars.

MIDDLE CLASS HEALTH BENEFITS TAX REPEAL ACT OF 2019

SPEECH OF

### HON. BRAD SHERMAN

OF CALIFORNIA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, March 27, 2020

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, over 122,000 cases of coronavirus, otherwise referred to as COVID–19, have been confirmed in the United States since the inception of the outbreak, including more than 5,000 confirmed cases in my home state of California alone. More than two thousand Americans have died as a result of the virus, and many more stand to do so if we do not act quickly to mitigate its spread and address the myriad of medical challenges.

While Congress has passed several measures to provide significant amounts of money for research activities at federal agencies, this is not enough. I am disappointed that H.R. 748 does not adequately fund nor provide incentives for, research and development into treatments and vaccines that leverage the expertise of the public and private sectors. Chiefly, the CARES Act fails to adequately support research on the National Institutes of Health (NIH) campus and at clinical research institutions across the country to pursue all reasonable avenues to develop treatments for the coronavirus, including diagnostic tests, therapies and vaccines. The NIH should have significantly more than \$945 million to carry out COVID-19 related research and should also be directed to focus primarily on those possible treatments that are not subject to a patent and thus are not the focus of U.S. pharmaceutical companies.

Finally, the Trump administration uses this must-pass relief bill to advance their anti-reproduction rights agenda. The bill gives the Small Business Administration broad discretion to exclude Planned Parenthood affiliates and other non-profits serving people with low incomes and deny them benefits under the new small business loan program. Additionally, the bill attaches a harmful Hyde Amendment provision to a state stabilization fund for state, local, and tribal governments providing coronavirus relief. Reproductive health care is essential health care, and Planned Parenthood health centers are a critical component of the health care system. Attempting to limit access to reproductive health care services during a pandemic will only worsen the public health care crisis.

MIDDLE CLASS HEALTH BENEFITS TAX REPEAL ACT OF 2019

#### SPEECH OF HON. NEAL P. DUNN

OF FLORIDA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### Friday, March 27, 2020

Mr. DUNN. Mr. Speaker, oftentimes, when both sides of the aisle acknowledge that a bill is not perfect, it ultimately means it is actually quite good. With that said, I do want to highlight some specific concerns that I have with provisions in this bill, both in their direct effects, and also the future precedent that they may set.

Historically there is little evidence that direct to consumers stimulus checks have a significant impact on the economy or that they lead to the kind of spending necessary to counteract an economic downturn. The Economic Stimulus Act of 2008 (signed February 13, 2008) provided \$600 checks to individuals and cost \$168 Billion. A study by the Bureau of Labor statistics later showed that, ultimately, nearly 70 percent of recipients either used the money to pay off existing debt, or simply put it into savings. Those are not activities that stimulate the economy, and unsurprisingly that effort failed to stop a four percent contraction in GDP, the loss of over eight million jobs, and the worst overall economic disaster since the great depression. Overall, I believe that this bill will help us to avoid the same fate, but that will be due to other more robust provisions that acknowledge the importance of our small businesses and job creators in the private sector

The incentive and ability for anyone, regardless of their circumstances, to work hard and improve their life is a fundamental tenet of the American dream. It is essential that we do not allow this stimulus payment to serve as a pilot program for a Universal Basic Income, as some have suggested. Furthermore, it is true that this bill will at times create a situation where some people could actually earn more money by filing for unemployment if furloughed than they would by staying in the workforce. We must acknowledge the potential for this to set a damaging precedent.

This bill provides robust funding for our nation's hospitals and some needed flexibility in the telehealth space to ensure Americans, particularly seniors, are able to visit with their doctors in the lowest risk setting possible. I was disappointed however in the lack of a specific carve out that acknowledges the unique challenges faced by our rural hospitals, like the many that serve Florida's second district. I was also disappointed that the bill does not provide statutory relief for those who may not have video phone capabilities required to meet reimbursement criteria for telehealth visits. It is my understanding and hope however, that the Center for Medicare and Medicaid services will be able to provide adequate guidance to allow for "audio only" visits.

I believe that the \$9.7 Billion provided to Secretary Sonny Perdue to aid our agriculture industry is a good first start, but ultimately more resources will need to be made available in the near future. Recent volatility in the global trade markets and natural disasters, combined with an already weakened Agriculture economy mean our producers need help now more than ever. It is my hope that we can provide additional relief to our farmers, ranchers, and foresters suffering from this crisis soon.

Finally, in an effort to protect the safety of this legislative body, this bill was largely negotiated by a few individuals within our leadership and the Administration. Their work, especially given the fact that they willingly put their own health at risk, is commendable. However, this came at the cost of a lack of input from the vast majority of our constituents and led to the inclusion of funding priorities that should have been debated over the course of the regular appropriations process. Programs like the National Endowment for the Arts, Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Institute of Museum and Library Services, and the Kennedy Center total hundreds of millions of dollars in this bill. We must ensure that any future relief package is entirely focused on the Coronavirus relief, and that vital resources are directed in the most effective way possible.

MIDDLE CLASS HEALTH BENEFITS TAX REPEAL ACT OF 2019

#### SPEECH OF HON. BRADLEY BYRNE OF ALABAMA

#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, March 27, 2020

Mr. BYRNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak in support of the CARES Act. The situation on the ground demands action, which we must provide today.

Last week, 3.3 million Americans filed for unemployment. Small businesses around this country are shuttering. Millions of Americans and their children are at home practicing social distancing, a term that few knew 2 weeks ago. Countless others are on the front lines in our hospitals, doctors' offices, and pharmacies or simply checking us out at the grocery store. The American people need our help.

No region or section of the economy has been spared by this pandemic but South Alabama, which I represent, has been particularly hit hard. Millions of Americans come here every year to enjoy the weather, the beaches, and our southern way of life during the March and April Spring Break seasons. This all changed overnight. Countless small business owners have lost one of their busiest times of the year, and thousands of hard-working Alabamians have been let go from their job through no fault of their own.

The Constitution states that private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation. This principle guides my support of this bill today. In essence, the government has asked our nation's businesses and workers to shoulder the burden of economic shutdown, during good times, for the wellbeing of the country. For that reason, it is fair and just that we provide them a lifeline to help them weather this storm.

The situation is truly dire. Many small businesses are weeks or days away from depleting their cash reserves. When these small businesses close, rarely do they reopen. Thus, if we do not act, the economic devastation caused by extreme social distancing could plague communities for years.

Yesterday, I spoke to many small businesses in my district on a call. I was struck when one asked me to give them hope. To the American people who are watching, there is hope, and the help you need is on the way.

To small business owners, I say there is no more important provision in this bill than the Paycheck Protection Program. Through this program or nation's small businesses and 501(c)(3) nonprofits will be able to obtain a new 100 percent federally backed loan at an attractive interest rate. These loans will feature an automatic deferral for 6 months.

Most importantly, if the small business or non-profit uses their Paycheck Protection Program loan to cover their payroll, rent, mortgage interest, or utilities during an eight-week period, the loan will be forgiven. The only requirement for this forgiveness is for employers to maintain their payroll or hire their workers back. Thus, we have effectively said to these hurting small businesses, don't close your doors and keep your employees. And, if you do those things, which are necessary for us to exit the crisis quickly and effectively, we will give you a grant.

Congress specifically wrote this program to utilize the existing SBA 7(a) loan program. Unlike SBA disaster loans, these loans are generated by private banks, credit unions, and other lending institutions. We did this purposefully. We don't want bureaucrats at the SBA holding things up. We want the banks and credit unions you trust to get the money out to you as quickly as possible.

For our nation's workers who are struggling, we have provided enhanced unemployment benefits for those who have lost their job because of the coronavirus through no fault of their own. I strongly support this aid to help the millions who have been laid off and furloughed get through this difficult time.

Unfortunately, it is my fear that in our haste to help, we may have done real economic harm. Almost everyone supports enhanced unemployment benefits for those who have lost their job due to COVID-19, but by having a flat \$600 benefit for many workers on top of their normal state benefit, we will put some workers in a better position to not work than they would have been had they not been laid off due to the pandemic. This could lead to employers increasing layoffs and could cause employees to fail to seek to work once the crisis is abated. Not only is this a recipe for a government financed work disincentive, but the loss of these workers from the economy could strain our recovery. Basic economics should dictate to all of us that we should never make the cost of not working better than the cost of working. For that reason, I am deeply disappointed that the Democrats in the House and the Senate refused to provide for a simple fix to cure this issue.

I am also disappointed that other special interest and pork provisions crept into this bill at the last minute. The essential pieces of this legislation were ironed out almost a week ago, yet Democrats held up this important bill with a list of demands. Fortunately, most were rejected by negotiators from the Trump Administration but some were included in the bill.

At the request of House and Senate Democrats, a number of pet projects received pork funding in this legislation. The American people should know that Republicans fought these provisions. Yet, despite our best efforts to keep them out, funding for the Kennedy Center, Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Amtrak, and the Postal Service was included, among others. Additionally, at a time when employers are cutting back, new funds for Congressional staff and expenses were also added. This is disgraceful. Had the Speaker allowed an up or down vote on including these funds, they would have been stripped from the bill with bipartisan support. Yet. Washington as usual has resulted in their inclusion. The American people have a right to be angry about this pork. I hope there is bipartisan support to rescind it in the coming days.

The provision of the bill designed to help mid-sized companies also contains several lines that-while they may seem innocuousare special interest gifts to big labor. Specifically, the bill requires that employers maintain existing collective bargaining agreements already in place and requires neutrality in any attempt to unionize. Changing times call for changing agreements between management and labor. By locking existing collective bargaining agreements in place, we put the thumb on the scale of labor to the detriment of the health of the business. More fundamentally, "neutrality" in organizing means allowing the union to talk to a business's employees without the employer being able to present the other side of what unionization could mean to the employer. This is fundamentally unfair to employers and employees.

These provisions will undoubtedly make certain industries and certain companies less likely to apply for the assistance they need to maintain their workforce. It will also provide an unfair advantage to companies already unionized over those who are not and to areas of the country that have a heavy union presence. Union membership is declining nationwide. We don't need a union bailout in a bill to help with the coronavirus recovery.

Finally, I note the enormous price tag of this bill. We should all pause to think about over \$2 trillion-the largest appropriations bill in the history of the Congress-being rung up on our nation's credit card with little warning. I do not question the need for such a major economic rescue package but we cannot continue to borrow in good times with the idea that we will simply borrow even more in the bad. America must have a serious conversation about how much government we are willing to pay for. This crisis should show us that the international order for things that we have depended on for decades is not more than a crisis away from a collapse. Today it is a medical supply collapse. Tomorrow it may be our debt. No party is without blame for our debt situation. Many things must change in the aftermath of this crisis, and we must ensure that our lack of fiscal responsibility is one of them.

Mr. Speaker, the bottom line is there are many things in this bill I do not like. There are

portions that I think are a mistake. But, Mr. Speaker, this is our time for action. The good in here outweighs the bad. Do not hold this bill up. We owe it to the citizens of this great country who are struggling. We owe action for them today.

HONORING THE LIFE OF FRED STARR

### HON. TED BUDD

OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. BUDD. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Fred Starr of Greensboro, North Carolina.

Fred was born on December 11, 1932 in Springfield, Massachusetts. He graduated from Trinity College in 1955 and went on to serve his country in the U.S. Army for two years. After more than a decade in sales and management positions, Fred eventually found his way to Thomasville Furniture Industries. He started there in 1974 and rose up the ranks to become President and CEO by 1982. He oversaw Thomasville Furniture Industries until 1998.

Outside of being active in the furniture world, Fred was deeply involved with many different organizations in our community. Some of the positions he held included President of the Piedmont Triad Partnership, Vice Chairman of the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, board member of High Point University, board President of the Eastern Music Festival, and chairman of the North Carolina Shakespeare Festival.

When thinking of the giants in the North Carolina furniture industry, Fred Starr is certainly at the top of the list. He had a significant impact on his community and on the state of North Carolina. His contributions will live on for years to come. Please join me in gratitude for Fred's life and in praying for Sue, his wife of 62 years, and for the entire Starr family.

INTRODUCTION OF THE IMPROVING ACCESS TO JOBS ACT

## HON. JESÚS G. "CHUY" GARCÍA

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I rise to introduce the Improving Access to Jobs Act along with my colleagues Congresswoman AYANNA PRESSLEY and Congressman MARK TAKANO.

Americans are stuck in congestion, on crumbling roadways and transit systems, and are too often forced to travel further and further for jobs because our system fails to provide safe and convenient choices other than a car trip.

The Improving Access to Jobs Act requires that 'safe and convenient access to employment' is an added condition for States' minimum standards for public roads—for both new construction and roadway improvement projects.

States and metropolitan planning organizations will assess how the transportation system connects people to jobs by auto, transit, bike, and pedestrian investments, and ensures new investments do not degrade transit, bike, and pedestrian access.

The Improving Access to Jobs Act will also adjust the definition of access to incorporate a measurement of travel times, travel stress for active travel (bike and pedestrian), and cost for low-income travelers.

I am glad that the Improving Access to Jobs Act is endorsed by Transportation for America (T4A), Sierra Club, National Resources Defense Council (NRDC), National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO), Environmental Law and Policy Center (ELPC), Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.

The Improving Access to Jobs Act will reconnect our communities, enabling people to take shorter, more convenient trips, reducing congestion and emissions, and improving access to jobs for all.

I urge this body to swiftly pass this legislation.

#### THANK YOU TO WASHINGTON STATE'S FIRST RESPONDERS

#### HON. RICK LARSEN

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### Tuesday, April 7, 2020

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Speaker, I rise today to thank the 3,360 emergency medical technicians and paramedics, 7,190 firefighters, and 9,670 police and sheriffs patrol officers who are on the front lines in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic in Washington state.

While most workers are under stay at home orders, these Washingtonians go out into the field, risking exposure to a contagious and deadly virus in order to protect the public.

The lack of personal protective equipment for public safety offers is unacceptable. I have spoken with first responders from my district who have contracted COVID-19 or been forced to self-isolate because of potential exposure.

Madam Speaker, I rise to express my gratitude for first responders and call on Congress to ensure firefighters, police officers, EMTs and paramedics have the personal protective equipment they need to do their dangerous jobs safely during the ongoing pandemic.