The House met at 3 p.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tem (Mr. TRONE).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

I hereby appoint the Honorable DAVID J. TRONE to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER
The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

Lord of the universe, thank You for giving us another day.
For 2 weeks now our Nation is marked by ongoing marches and demonstrations. They give testimony to our constitutional right and value to petition government for change. Local governments and States are activated to respond to these gatherings, as troubling as they might threaten to be.
Please inspire those who demonstrate, and those who respond, to proceed with wisdom, patience, and determination toward forming a more perfect union, whose imperfections are in high relief. Lord, have mercy.
Help us as one Nation to confront and bring to an end the curse and effects of racism. May access to the vote be expanded, empowering and giving voice to all citizens so that taking to the streets is not needed, and passions can be cooled.
May all those who labor to reform policing in our Nation, and who would benefit from reform, police departments and citizens alike, be blessed by You, O Lord, with great insight and practical sense. May we all move toward a more common weal and sense of shared ownership of our communities.
Bless us all this day, and every day, and may all that is done be for Your greater honor and glory.
Amen.

THE JOURNAL
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 4(a) of House Resolution 967, the Journal of the last day’s proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.
The SPEAKER pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:
I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE
The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

WASHINGTON, DC, June 11, 2020.
HON. NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on June 15, 2020, at 2:26 p.m.:
That the Senate passed S. 149.
That the Senate passed S. 3637.

With best wishes, I am,
Sincerely,
CHERYL L. JOHNSON.

LETTER SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 4(b) OF HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
HOM. NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI: Pursuant to section 4(b) of House Resolution 965, we are writing to inform you that the Committee on the Judiciary has met the requirements for conducting a business meeting outlined in regulation E.1 of the remote committee proceedings regulations, inserted into the Congressional Record on May 15, 2020, and that the committee is prepared to conduct a remote meeting and permit remote participation.
In meeting these requirements, the committee held a non-public business meeting rehearsal on June 15, 2020; a public full committee hearing with remote participation on June 10, 2020; and a public remote subcommittee hearing on June 3, 2020.
Sincerely,
Jerrold Nadler, Chairman; Sheila Jackson Lee; Zoe Lofgren; Steve Cohen; Henry
fense, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Charles D. Luckey, United States Army Reserve, and his advancement to the grade of admiral on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 295); to the Committee on Armed Services.

4463. A letter from the Secretary of Defense, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approval of retirement of Lieutenant General Gustave F. Perna, United States Army, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 295); to the Committee on Armed Services.

4464. A letter from the Secretary of Defense, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the retirement of General Donald M. McNeil, Jr., United States Army, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 295); to the Committee on Armed Services.


4466. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, transmitting the 2018 Annual Report on appropriations for the five-year planning and management of Emergency Appropriations for Coronavirus Response, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 901(a)(7)(B); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 295); to the Committee on Armed Services.

4467. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, transmitting the Seven-Day-After report for the Additional Emergency Appropriations for Coronavirus Response, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 901(a)(7)(B); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 251(a)(7)(B) (as amended by Public Law 114-113, Sec. 1003); (129 Stat. 3035); to the Committee on the Budget.

4468. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, transmitting the Seven-Day-After report for the Additional Emergency Appropriations for Coronavirus Preparedness and Response Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2020, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 901(a)(7)(B); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 251(a)(7)(B) (as amended by Public Law 114-113, Sec. 1003); (129 Stat. 3035); to the Committee on the Budget.

4469. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Significant New Use Rules on Certain Chemical Substances (17 CFR 2000) [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2019-0442; FRL-10008-71] received May 27, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4470. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Amending Statutory Additions of Certain Per- and Polychloroalkyl Substances: Toxicological Characterization [EPA-HQ-TRI-2020-0142; FRL-100096-9] received May 27, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4471. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Community Right-to-Know: Corrections to Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) Reporting Requirements [EPA-HQ-TRI-2019-0146; FRL-10007-23] (RIN: 2070-AK53) received May 27, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4472. A letter from the Acting Chief, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules; Implementing Section 3 of the Pallone-Thune Telephone Robocall Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act (TRACED Act) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4473. A letter from the Acting Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final rule — Unlicensed Use of the 6 GHz Band [ET Docket No.: 18-285]; Expanding Flexible Use in Mid-Band Spectrum Between 3.7 and 24 GHz (GN Docket No.: 17-183) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4474. A letter from the Program Analyst, Office of Managing Director, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Committee's final report and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2020 [MD Docket No.: 20-105]; Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2019 [MD Docket No.: 19-165] received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

4475. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Belarus that was declared in Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1614(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1705(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec 204(c); (91 Stat. 1267); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

4476. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month report on the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order 13388 of May 11, 2004, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1614(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1705(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec 204(c); (91 Stat. 1267); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

4477. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month period report on the national emergency with respect to Belarus that was declared in Executive Order 12170 of November 14, 1979, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1614(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1705(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec 204(c); (91 Stat. 1267); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

4478. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month period report on the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order 13977 of November 5, 2019, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1614(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1705(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec 204(c); (91 Stat. 1267); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

4479. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month period report on the national emergency with respect to the stabilization of Afghanistan that was declared in Executive Order 13388 of May 11, 2004, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1614(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1705(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec 204(c); (91 Stat. 1267); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.
95-223, Sec. 204(c); (91 Stat. 1627); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

440. A letter from the Chairman, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, transmitting the Service's IRB and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Guidance on Application of Sec. 365 to Stock Distributions by REITs and RICs (Revenue Procedure 2020-19) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

441. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 105-277, 151(b); (112 Stat. 2861-614); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

442. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting a notification of an action on nomination, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 105-277, 151(b); (112 Stat. 2861-614); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

443. A letter from the Legal Yeoman, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Great Lakes Pilotage Rates -2020 Annual Review and Modifications to Miscellaneous Fees [USCG-2019-0736] (RIN: 1625-AQ56) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

444. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 21-A-1708] (Amdt. No.: 3865) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.


447. A letter from the Chairman, Surface Transportation Board, transmitting the Board's final rule — Repeal of Reporting Requirements [Docket No.: EP 759] received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

448. A letter from the Deputy Director, ODMR, Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System [RIN: 0970-AC72] received May 27, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

449. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Procedure, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Guidance on Application of Sec. 365 to Stock Distributions by REITs and RICs (Revenue Procedure 2020-19) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

450. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — COVID-19 Relief Under 7701(b) (Rev. Proc. 2020-20) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

451. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule Section 911(d)(4) Waiver Revenue Procedure with regards to COVID-19 (Revenue Procedure 2020-27) received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

452. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Extension of Time to File Application and Tax Return For Treatment of Certain Debt [Notice 2020-26] received May 28, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

453. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, transmitting a request to amend the CARES Act to increase the amounts authorized for commitments for the Paycheck Protection Program by $251 billion; jointly to the Committees on Small Business and the Budget.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Ms. ADAMS (for herself, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Ms. VELEZ of California, Mr. CARLSON of New Mexico, and Mr. VELA):

H.R. 7197. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide that certain uses of violent video games may violate rights of free speech, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BLUMENAUER (for himself, Mr. FONANICHI, Mr. EVANS, Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire, Mr. PANKETTA, Ms. PINDER, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. WELCH, and Mr. WILD):

H.R. 7196. A bill to establish a $120,000,000,000 Restaurant Revitalization Fund to provide structured relief to food service networks, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. BROWN (for himself, Mr. BILANKIN, and Mr. PETERS):

H.R. 7203. A bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program on the implementation of mitigating actions to address vulnerabilities to defense critical electric infrastructure, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. ESHOO (for herself and Mr. MCKINLEY):

H.R. 7204. A bill to direct the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information to submit to Congress a report on the implementation of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration’s Broadband Development and Deployment Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. ESHOO (for herself and Mr. MCKINLEY):

H.R. 7205. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to issue long-term leases on Federal lands under the administrative jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management to entities that relocate production facilities from the People's Republic of China to the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. CHOW (for himself, Mr. RAGO, and Mr. GOSAR):

H.R. 7206. A bill to require Members of Congress and their spouses and dependent children to place certain assets into blind trusts, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts:

H.R. 7207. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to consider the age of the Secretary of the Department of Transportation in the appointment of an associate secretary with respect to child care infrastructure, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Ways and Means, and Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CRAMOND of Oregon, Mr. GOHSEHT, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. PRICE, and Mr. WATSON:

H.R. 7208. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to allow the Secretary of Defense to conduct certain military exercises in the United States in the Persian Gulf region, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. COCHRAN of Tennessee, Mr. BRYANT of North Carolina, Mr. BYRNE, Mr. KELLY of Mississippi, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. R. PAUL of Tennessee, Mr. SCHWARTZ, Mr. LUCAS, Mr. KUSTOFF of Tennessee, and Mr. PALAZZO:
H.R. 7208. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to include hospitals serving rural areas or areas of persistent poverty in the paycheck protection program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business.

By Ms. HAALAND (for herself, Mrs. KIRKPATRICK, Ms. NORTON, Mr. SOTO, Mr. COOPER, Mr. K suspicion, Mr. COLLINS, Mr. SCAL ion, Mr. HAYRS, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. CARDENAS, Ms. SANCHEZ, Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. COLLINS, Mr. SCAL ion):

H.R. 7209. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide for equal treatment of same-sex marriages, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HARTZLER:

H.R. 7210. A bill to provide, for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. LAWRENCE (for herself, Mr. Himes, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALARKEY of New York, Mr. WELCH, Mr. BUSH, Mr. KRISSEN, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Ms. SPEIER, and Mrs. DEMINGS):

H.R. 7211. A bill to amend the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act to provide for electronic transmission of voter registration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. STEVENS (for herself, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, and Ms. JACKSON LEE):

H.R. 7212. A bill to authorize the Department of Transportation for surface transportation research, development, and deployment, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, and in addition to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ (for herself, Mr. WATERSTON, Ms. RAJABHAI, Mr. BLUMENTHAL of Connecticut, Ms. TAYLOR of Texas, and Ms. RIVERA):

H.R. 7213. A bill to authorize the Department of Energy to conduct research and development projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. TURNER:

H.R. 7214. A bill to authorize the Securities and Exchange Commission to conduct research and development projects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services, and Ways and Means.

By Mr. PENCE (for himself and Ms. BROWNLY of California):

H.R. 7215. A bill to amend title I of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a tax credit for personal protective equipment to small businesses, non-profits, independent contractors, veterans’ organizations, and farmers, among other entities, in any year in which the Federal government declares a national emergency relating to COVID-19; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. LYNCH (for himself, Mr. MCCOY, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. DERASMLIE):

H.R. 7216. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a tax credit for engineering services to small businesses in the course of policing activities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. LAWRENCE (for herself, Mr. BALDERS, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, Mr. DELGADO, Mr. MCKINLEY, Ms. SOTLON, and Mr. STEVENS):

H.R. 7217. A bill to remove all statues of individuals who volunteered to serve the Confederate States of America from display in the United States Capitol; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. LÉVÍN of Michigan (for himself, Ms. PERELSTEIN, and Ms. OMAI):

H.R. 7218. A bill to provide for the expansion of United States Armed Forces recruiting efforts to increase military service, and to authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide for the education and training of individuals, including veterans of the United States Armed Forces, to serve as instructors at institutions of higher education; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALARKEY of New York, Mr. WELCH, Mr. BUSH, Mr. KRISSEN, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Ms. SPEIER, and Mrs. DEMINGS:

H.R. 7219. A bill to amend the Railway-Highway Crossing Safety Act to establish a program to improve the safety of highway-railway crossings and to provide grants for the construction, improvement, and maintenance of highway-railway crossings and to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ (for herself, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. LAMALFA, and Mr. CASTRO RANGEL):

H.R. 7220. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to study the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. CASTRO RANGEL, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. MEEN:

H.R. 7221. A bill to authorize the Federal Emergency Management Agency to carry out the goals and objectives of the National Response Framework, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Ms. SCHOLTES (for herself, Mr. KIM, and Mr. CASTRO RANGEL):

H.R. 7222. A bill to provide for a credit against employment taxes for certain virus relief outreach and disaster relief for individuals, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Dr. MANIATI:

H.R. 7223. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Defense to expand the authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide military assistance to the government of the United States of America for strategic purposes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. NORDLAND (for himself, Mr. GARDNER of Colorado, Mr. RYAN of Pennsylvania, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. HERRICK, Mr. CALDER, and Mr. HUNTER):

H.R. 7224. A bill to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to submit a report on the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. LEVIN of Michigan (for himself, Ms. PERELSTEIN, and Ms. OMAI):

H.R. 7225. A bill to authorize the Federal Emergency Management Agency to carry out the goals and objectives of the National Response Framework, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. CASTRO RANGEL, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. MEEN:

H.R. 7226. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to study the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. SCHOLTES (for herself, Mr. KIM, and Mr. CASTRO RANGEL):

H.R. 7227. A bill to amend the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act to provide for electronic transmission of voter registration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. LYNCH (for himself, Mr. MCCOY, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. DERASMLIE):

H.R. 7228. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to study the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. CASTRO RANGEL, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. MEEN:

H.R. 7229. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to study the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. CASTRO RANGEL, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. MEEN:

H.R. 7230. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to study the impacts of coastal hurricanes in certain studies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.
for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PAYNE (for himself, Mr. NOLLETT of Alabama, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. MOORE, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. WILLSON of Florida, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Ms. NUGENT of Missouri, Mr. McKINNEY of Georgia, Mr. HARRISON of Mississippi, Mr. KEKS, Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. ADAMS, Mr. JEFFRIES, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. RUSH, Ms. PRESSLEY, Ms. WATSON, Mr. COLEMAN, Mr. VEASEY, Ms. BASS, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. CLAY, Mr. BLOUNT, Mr. HOWE of Maryland, Mrs. HAYES, Ms. PLASKETT, Mrs. DEMINGS, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. CARRON of Indiana, Mr. RICHMOND, Mr. GREEN of Texas, Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. PAYNE, Ms. OMAAR, Mr. CONDRAINS, Ms. CLARK of New York, Mr. ALLRED, Mr. MPUMELELO, Mr. NKUSU, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. PALLONE, Mr. HORSEFORD, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. CONDE, Mr. GELLALIA, Mr. WELCH, Ms. DRAN, Ms. OCASIO-CORTÉZ, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. COX of California, Ms. ESCORAR, Mr. ROYAL, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. TLAIR, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. TOMPSON of California, Ms. MENG, Ms. MCCULLOM, Mr. NASH, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. MICHAEL F. DOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. KILDARE, Mr. SOTO, Mr. NIEVES of Illinois, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. GARCIA of Illinois, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. KILMER, Ms. PINHORSE, Ms. ROYAL-AVILA, Mr. MAST, Mr. PACHTER, Mr. SHERIS, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. CINEROS, Ms. JAYAPAL, Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL, Mr. CASTEN of Illinois, Mr. SCHERENBERG, Mr. NADLER, Mr. POCAN, Ms. HOULAHAN, Mr. BLUMINAUER, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mr. MURPHY, Ms. MCNEELY, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. KRISHNA MOORTHY, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Ms. SCANLON, Ms. HALAND, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. MALINOWSKI, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. TRONE, Mrs. MAST, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. PETERS, Mr. SARBANS, Ms. BARBARA, Mr. RYAN, Mrs. NAUTA, Ms. SHALALA, Mr. HOIGNS of New York, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Mr. SCHRADER, Mr. GALL HARLOW, Mr. WELLS, Mr. CHAPA LAITIN, Mr. COLE, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Mrs. LOWEY, Ms. WILD, Mr. BENJAMIN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. COHEN, Mr. McKEACHEN of California, Mr. STANTON, Mr. NORCROSS, Mr. Himes, Ms. BONAMICI, Mrs. KENDRA S. HORN of Oklahoma, Mr. SOUZZI, Mr. LIPINSKI, Ms. KISER, Mrs. LEY, Ms. LANDRAU, Mr. LEVINE, Mrs. LUCIA, Ms. CRAWFORD, Mr. AXNE, Mr. DESAULNIER, Mr. BROOKLYN of California, Ms. DE LAURO, Mrs. DINGELL, Mr. KEATING, Mr. YARMUTH, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. CORREA, Mr. GABRIELA, Mr. BERERA, Mr. KIND, Mr. LOEBSACK, Mr. LARSON of the Connecticut, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SHERMAN, Ms. TITUS, Ms. SCHNEIDER, Mr. GRUMMETT of New York, Mr. SHELLER, Smith of Washington, Mr. CUELLAR, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Mr. PHILLIPS, Ms. WATERS, Mr. CREST, Ms. WEXTON, Mr. LEVIN of California, Mr. ROONEY DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. ROUEDA, Ms. FRANKEL, Mr. AGUILAR, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. KIM, Mr. DOGGETT, and Mr. PETERSON):

H. Res. 1001. A resolution recognizing June 19, 2020, as this year’s observance of the historical significance of Juneteenth Independence Day; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. GUEST:

H. Res. 1002. A resolution strongly condemning the recent acts of violence, riots, and looting in the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PAYNE:

H. Res. 1003. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the enhancement of unity in America; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. LEE of California:

H. Res. 1003. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the enhancement of unity in America; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MURPHY (for himself, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. BEYER, Mr. COOPER, Mr. GIBBS, Mr. RESCHENTHALER, Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana, Mr. BUD, Mr. KEVIN HERN of Oklahoma, Mr. PERRY, Mr. SNYDER of Arkansas, Mr. GOEKEN, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. ROUZER, Mr. TIPTON, Mr. SMITH of Missouri, Mr. MEUSER, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. ARRINGTON, and Mr. WEBER):

H. Res. 1006. A resolution expressing support for the designation of July 22, 2020, as “Glioblastoma Awareness Day”; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. JACOBSON LEE:

H. Res. 1006. A resolution expressing support for the designation of July 22, 2020, as “Glioblastoma Awareness Day”; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. BLUMENAUER:

H. Res. 1007. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the enhancement of unity in America; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PETERS (for himself, Mr. MAST, Mr. BHINDISI, Mr. KATKO, Mr. LYNCH, Ms. CINEROS, Mr. WITTMAN, Mr. MULLIN, Mrs. LUCIA, Mr. WALTZ, Ms. STEFANIK, Mrs. HARTZLER, Mr. GOLDER, Mr. CROW, and Mr. HARDER of California):

H. Res. 1006. A resolution expressing support for the designation of the month of June 2020 as “National Post-Traumatic Stress Injury Awareness Month” and June 27, 2020, as “National Post-Traumatic Stress Injury Awareness Day”; to the Committee on Armed Services, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. STEUBE (for himself, Mr. CALVET, Mrs. HARTZLER, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. SENSIBRENNER, Mr. CLINE, Mr. ROY, Mr. GUEST, Mr. GALLAGHER, Mr. GIBBS, Mr. RESCHENTHALER, Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana, Mr. BUDD, Mr. KEVIN HERN of Oklahoma, Mr. PERRY, Mr. SNYDER of Arkansas, Mr. GOEKEN, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. ROUZER, Mr. TIPTON, Mr. SMITH of Missouri, Mr. MEUSER, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. ARRINGTON, and Mr. WEBER):

H. Res. 1007. A resolution calling for justice for George Floyd and opposing efforts to defund the police; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 3 of rule XII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

174. The SPEAKER presented a memorial of the Senate of the State of Louisiana, relative to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 18, recognizing Tuesday, April 7, 2020, as the first annual “World Trade Day” at the state capitol; to celebrate the longstanding, indispensible influence of international commerce on Louisiana’s economic health, vitality, and growth; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

175. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Wyoming, relative to House Joint Resolution 3, requesting Congress to enact legislation expanding and improving efforts to coordinate reporting, investigation and pursuit of justice for missing and murdered Native Americans and other persons; jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Natural Resources.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements, are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Ms. ADAMS:

H.R. 7198. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Amendment XIV of the U.S. Constitution

By Mr. BROWN of Maryland:

H.R. 7198. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8, clause 8

By Mr. BUDD:

H.R. 7199. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8

By Mr. SPANBERGER:

H.R. 7200. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts:

H.R. 7201. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8

By Mr. CRAWFORD:

H.R. 7202. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8

By Mr. CHOW:

H.R. 7203. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8

To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested this Congress with, and all other Powers vested this Congress with, and Means.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. ESHOO:

H.R. 7205.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clauses 1, 3, and 18

By Mr. ESPAILLAT:

H.R. 7206.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Mr. GAETZ:

H.R. 7207.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution

By Mr. GUEST:

H.R. 7208.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Ms. HAALAND:

H.R. 7209.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Mr. HARDER of California:

H.R. 7210.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Mr. HICE of Georgia:

H.R. 7211.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 that states that Congress shall have the Power “To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.”

By Ms. KENDRA S. HORN of Oklahoma:

H.R. 7212.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 14

By Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia:

H.R. 7213.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

United States Constitution, Article I, Section 8

By Ms. JOHNSON of Texas:

H.R. 7214.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. KEATING:

H.R. 7215.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Sec. 8, Paragraph 18: “To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this Constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof.”

By Mrs. LAWRENCE:

H.R. 7216.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. LEE of California:

H.R. 7217.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Constitutional authority for this bill is derived pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States. Constitutional authority further derives from the authorities in Article IV, Section 3, clause 2, which provides Congress with the power to “dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory and other Property belonging to the United States.”

By Mr. LEVIN of Michigan:

H.R. 7218.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1 of the Constitution.

By Mr. LYNCH:

H.R. 7219.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York:

H.R. 7220.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ:

H.R. 7221.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1

By Mr. PANETTA:

H.R. 7222.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Mr. PENCE:

H.R. 7223.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Mr. SCHIFF:

H.R. 7225.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Mr. SPANO:

H.R. 7226.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Ms. SPRIER:

H.R. 7227.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Ms. STEVENS:

H.R. 7228.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. VAN DREW:

H.R. 7229.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

U.S. Constitution, Article I, § 8, cl. 7.

By Mr. VAN DREW:

H.R. 7230.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

U.S. Constitution, Article I, § 8, cl. 7.

By Mr. WEBSTER of Florida:

H.R. 7231.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 38: Mr. COLLINS of Georgia.

H.R. 173: Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire.

H.R. 372: Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 463: Mr. HARDER of California.

58th Mr. FORTENBERRY and Miss GONZALEZ-COLON of Puerto Rico.

H.R. 516: Mr. BURGISS and Mr. GARCIA of California.

H.R. 549: Mr. KIM.

H.R. 884: Mr. CONNOLLY.

H.R. 906: Mr. MOULTON, Mr. BREYER, Mrs. HAYES, Mr. ADERMHOLT, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Mr. LEE of California, Mrs. WAGNER, Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. DESJARLAIS, Mr. ARMSTRONG, Mr. PEHRMUTTER, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, and Mr. OLSON.

H.R. 1175: Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia and Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 1210: Ms. HOULAHAN.

H.R. 1231: Mr. ROY of Texas.

H.R. 1385: Mr. BILIRAKIS, Ms. SANCHEZ, Mr. MCGOWERN, and Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 1451: Mr. CONAWAY.

H.R. 1507: Mr. BREYER.

H.R. 1530: Mr. LYNCH and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 1554: Mr. LYNCH.

H.R. 1557: Ms. DELAURCO and Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 1654: Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. JACKSON LOE, Mr. SAN NICOLAS, and Ms. SCANLON.

H.R. 1636: Mr. HECK, Mr. KILMER, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. MCGRATH, Mr. BRERA, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. CINNOCITOS, Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. CRIST, Ms. ROYHAL-ALLARD, Mr. CROW, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mrs. LEE of Nevada, Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Mr. STREIBER, Ms. SCHRIER, Mr. DOOGERT, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. CRAIG, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. CARTWRIGHT, Mr. Himes, Ms. DAVIDS of Kansas, Mr. MOUTON, Ms. DELBENE, Mrs. KICK-PATRICK, Mr. WEBSTER of Florida, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. PHILLIPS, and Mr. GOTTHEIM.

H.R. 1679: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 1714: Mr. AGUILAR, Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER, Mr. BROWN of Maryland, Ms. DEAN, Ms. DELAURCO, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. KILMER, Ms. MATSU, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. SAN NICOLAS, Ms. SCANLON, Mr. SEMPDELE, Mr. SHEARON, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Ms. DELBENE, and Mr. LYNCH.

H.R. 1748: Mr. MCNINNEY.

H.R. 1787: Mr. RASKIN, Mr. HUFFMAN, and Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia.

H.R. 2055: Mr. LARSON of Connecticut.

H.R. 2056: Mr. SLOVIN.

H.R. 2166: Mrs. TRAHAN, Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER, and Mr. BOOKEY of Florida.

H.R. 2168: Mr. LUCAS.

H.R. 2234: Mr. ROY.

H.R. 2256: Mr. FOSTER.

H.R. 2264: Ms. NAPOLITANO.

H.R. 2350: Mr. WRIGHT.

H.R. 2491: Mr. KIM.

H.R. 2571: Mr. ABRAHAM, Mr. BURCHETT, and Mr. HUZINAS.
Mr. RASKIN, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. OMAR, Ms. WILSON, Ms. SA´ NCHEZ, Mr. ROUDA, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Ms. DACOLLO, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. RASKIN, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. OMAR, Mrs. TRAHAIR, Mr. RUSH, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. RUSH, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. HARRISON, Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. NADLER, Mr. DELGADO, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. ROUDA, Mr. COX of California, Mr. CORREA, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. THOMPSON of California, and Ms. FINKENAUER.

Mr. RASKIN, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. OMAR, Mrs. TRAHAIR, Mr. RUSH, Mr. FOSTER.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mr. LYNCH.

Illinois, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. VELA, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mrs. WALORSKI.
Under clause 3 of rule XII, petitions and papers were laid on the clerk’s desk and referred as follows:

103. The SPEAKER presented a petition of the Board of Chosen Freeholders of Hudson County, NJ, relative to Resolution No. 239-4-2020, urging Congress and the President to ensure the fiscal health of the United States Postal Service by approving the Postmaster General’s request of $50 Billion in grants and $25 Billion in additional Treasury Loans; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

104. Also, a petition of the City Council of Somerville, MA, relative to a resolution calling on the State and Federal government to enact a coronavirus relief response that is equitable in its approach to housing, work, financial assistance, voting rights, and incarcerated people; jointly to the Committees on Financial Services, Education and Labor, and the Judiciary.
The Senate met at 3 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:
Let us pray.
O God, our righteousness, as each new day seems to bring the unexpected, we thank You for Your power that keeps us from stumbling on life’s road.
Today, give our lawmakers the wisdom to find in You their refuge and strength. Lord, as they face complex challenges, may they plead to You for guidance and fellowship. Remind them that in the multitude of counselors, there is safety. May our Senators plant seeds of righteousness in order to reap our harvest of goodness and grace. May they make You the foundation of their hope and joy, as You empower them to meet every trial without weariness.
Lord, have mercy upon the loved ones of Rayshard Brooks and the many others who are buffeted by the winds of despair.
We pray in Your sovereign Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:
I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HAWLEY.) The Senator from Iowa.
Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for 1 minute in morning business.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

WORLD FOOD PRIZE
Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, this time of year for the last roughly 30 years, the World Food Prize has announced a laureate. The laureate announced this year is Dr. Rattan Lal. He will receive the prize for his work on soil health at the annual ceremony that has taken place in Des Moines for the last 30 years in October.
Often called the Nobel Prize for Agriculture, the World Food Prize is awarded for exceptional achievements that advance human development by increasing the quantity, quality, availability of, or access to food. The award was created by Iowan Dr. Norman Borlaug, who is credited with saving more lives than any other person who ever lived through his pioneering work in developing new, more productive plant varieties and particularly plant varieties free of disease. The Green Revolution sparked by Dr. Borlaug made it possible for India to feed its people.
Dr. Lal, also from India, is being recognized for his work, which will be of interest to Iowans and others around the world. Dr. Lal said:
Achieving hunger-free humanity, soil degradation neutrality, negative emission farming, and pollutant-free water are among principal challenges which can never be ignored. . . . Sustainable management of soil and agriculture is also essential to keeping global temperatures within the safe range and restoring the environment.
If people want to know who Dr. Borlaug is, he is one of the two statues Iowans and others around the world. Dr. Lal said:
Achieving hunger-free humanity, soil degradation neutrality, negative emission farming, and pollutant-free water are among principal challenges which can never be ignored. . . . Sustainable management of soil and agriculture is also essential to keeping global temperatures within the safe range and restoring the environment.
If people want to know who Dr. Borlaug is, he is one of the two statues that Iowa has put in Statuary Hall. He is one of five people in our country who have received a Nobel Peace Prize, the Congressional Gold Medal, and the Presidential Medal of Freedom.
I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

BUSINESS BEFORE THE SENATE
Mr. McCONNEll. Mr. President, 3 months ago, States were implementing stay-at-home orders as the coronavirus spread throughout our country. Three months ago, George Floyd was still alive, and the killing of Breonna Taylor in Louisville had just begun to reignite a national discussion around policing.
Our country has confronted what feels like several years’ worth of upheaval in just 3 months. Small businesses and childcare centers are trying to figure out how to safely reopen. Schools and universities are trying to plan for the fall. Healthcare professionals and essential workers are continuing to man their posts, help strangers, and keep our country going.
Peaceful protesters have continued to express outrage over the killings of Black Americans, and our Nation’s police officers—overwhelmingly good, decent, and brave people who put everything on the line for their neighbors—have continued to faithfully serve under trying circumstances.
These are the kinds of challenges our Nation should meet with unity, like when the Senate wrote and passed the historic CARES Act unanimously in March. But unfortunately, in some corners of the country, our Nation’s strategic reserve of sanity appears to have run a little low. We have seen peaceful protests hijacked by violent riots. Apparently, rioters thought the best way to argue against a strong police force was to terrorize innocent people and small businesses for nights on end.
Then, completing the absurd cycle, we have seen the far left hold up these riots as proof that we should defund or disband the police in this country—as though the vast majority of Americans in the country would not interpret the anarchy in precisely the opposite way.
As I noted last week, we have seen some big-city mayors use health restrictions to construct constitutionally dubious double standards, where massive protests are blessed and encouraged, but small, careful church services are still banned. In Seattle, we have
seen the local officials code several blocks to a rag-tag band of demonstrators who call themselves the Capitol Hill Autonomous Zone. Fear of the far left has literally caused local officials to let their doors locked and their lights off. But here in the Senate, we came back in over a month ago. We have taken smart precautions, but we have not let the people’s business come to a halt.

Our colleagues have been overseeing the CARES Act and working on other essential business, like the National Defense Authorization Act.

Our colleagues are considering what else might help the country reopen, like strong legal protections for schools, colleges, employers, and healthcare workers.

Under the leadership of Senator Tim Scott, our conference is developing a serious proposal to reform law enforcement in smart ways without lashing out needlessly and counterproductively at the first responders who are a credit to their communities.

GREAT AMERICAN OUTDOORS ACT

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, just this week, on the floor, we will complete a major piece of legislation that will benefit generations to come. Thanks to a number of our colleagues—particularly the junior Senators from Colorado and Montana—the Senate is poised to take historic action for the future of the Nation’s public lands.

I haven’t been the only one coming to the floor to highlight how my constituents prize our State’s national parks, wildlife refuge, and other managed areas—for recreation and for many livelihoods. We Kentuckians are proud that our Commonwealth is home to one of the National Park Service’s crowning jewels at Mammoth Cave. We are proud of our historic sites like Mill Springs Battlefield and our wildlife refuges at the Clarks and Green Rivers. And I am proud that the Senate this week will provide permanent, steady support to maintain these treasures for generations to come:

As we head toward, the same is true for Colorado, where entire regional economies are driven by outdoor recreation in alpine wonderlands; for Montana, where Federal and State management work hand in hand with local sporting and American Tribes to preserve millions of acres of pristine, Big Sky wilderness; for West Virginia, where 54 of 55 counties have benefited from the Land and Water Conservation Fund investment; and for Ohio, where the Cuyahoga Valley puts parkland in the backyards of millions of people. The list goes on and on.

Public lands hold a unique place in the life of every State in America—from their natural beauty, to their use for recreation, to their pivotal roles in local economies. In the communities just outside of the gates, public lands drive over $40 billion in economic activity every year, and they play a big part in the entire outdoor recreation industry that creates hundreds of billions of dollars in national prosperity every year.

Once again, I am grateful to our colleagues who have stewarded the Great American Outdoors Act thus far; to Senator Gardner and Senator Daines for their leadership; and to Senators Alexander, Portman, Manchin, and Warner for their efforts as well to steer this strong, bipartisan product toward the finish line. I look forward to continuing to advance this legislation today and passing it this week.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

TAXPAYER FIRST ACT OF 2019— Resumed

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of H.R. 1957, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1957) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to modernize and improve the Internal Revenue Service, and for other purposes.

Pending:

McConnell (for Gardner) amendment No. 1617, in the nature of a substitute.

McConnell amendment No. 1626 (to amendment No. 1617), to change the enactment date.

McConnell amendment No. 1627 (to amendment No. 1626), of a perfecting nature.

McConnell Amendment No. 1628 (to the language proposed to be stricken by amendment No. 1617), to change the enactment date.

McConnell amendment No. 1629 (to amendment No. 1628), of a perfecting nature.

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BOSTOCK V. CLAYTON COUNTY, GEORGIA

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, this morning, the long march for equality for LGBTQ Americans took a step forward. The Supreme Court handed down a decision that employment discrimination protections do, in fact, extend to LGBTQ Americans. Believe it or not, before today, it was not a settled legal matter that you could sue your employer for firing you solely on the basis of sexual orientation or sexual identity.

In 2020, in America, it was still OK to discriminate against people because of their sexual orientation or identity. Is that unbelievable that in the 21st century it was still allowed? Well, now it isn’t because of the Supreme Court, and they deserve credit for that case.

One of the cases that formed the basis of today’s ruling originated in my home State of New York, where a skydiving instructor was fired for firing his sexual orientation. So, today, the Supreme Court did the right thing and ruled that workplace discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation is just as unlawful as discrimination on the basis of gender, race, or religion.

Of course, the ruling in no way diminishes our efforts here in Congress to pass the Equality Act led by my colleagues, Senators Merkley, Baldwin, and Booker, which would be a great leap forward on equality that we are all looking for. It passed the House over a year ago, but it has been gathering dust in Leader McConnell’s legislative graveyard. Senate Republicans are still not in the 21st century. They must think it is OK to discriminate against people because of their orientation or identity. Unbelievable. But maybe now, the fact that even a few Justices appointed by Republican Presidents believe that it was against the law—maybe that will shock the hearts of our Republican colleagues and Leader McConnell and they will allow a vote on the Equality Act here on the floor. Even without the Senate and its backward ways, it is clear that the country is moving in the right direction.

JUSTICE IN POLICING ACT

Mr. President, these are not ordinary times in America. For 21 straight days, hundreds of thousands of Americans have taken to the streets in the wake of police violence and racial injustice. Clashes between police and peaceful protesters over the past few weeks, in which some police departments have responded with overly aggressive tactics, have only articulated further the need for bold and wide-reaching reform of police practices.

Being killed by police is now the sixth leading cause of death for young Black men in America. Let me repeat that. Being killed by police is now the sixth leading cause of death for young Black men in America. And that is why the House and Senate have drafted legislation, the Justice in Policing Act,
that will deliver comprehensive reform to police departments, including a ban on choke holds, a ban on no-knock warrants in Federal drug cases, a ban on racial profiling, and limits on the transfer of military equipment to police departments. That bill would mark it a disaster to hold police accountable in court for misconduct and institute several reforms to prevent that misconduct in the first place.

Only a few months ago, the Justice in Policing Act might have seemed controversial, but in the wake of such obvious injustice recorded on iPhones throughout the country, there is now broad and deep support for the policies we Democrats are pushing in the Justice in Policing Act.

A recent poll reported—listen to this—82 percent of Americans, including 7 in 10 Republicans, want to ban police from using choke holds; 83 percent of Americans, including 7 in 10 Republicans, want to ban racial profiling; 57 percent of Americans, including 9 in 10 Republicans, want Federal police to wear body cameras; 91 percent of Americans, including 9 in 10 Republicans, support allowing independent investigations of police departments to sue police departments for damages, also known as qualified immunity reform.

Now is the time to seek bold and broadscale change, not change around the margins. Now is the time for wholesale reform, not piecemeal reform. The Justice in Policing Act takes a comprehensive approach, but, at the moment, our Republican colleagues seem to be on a path toward taking a much, much narrower, less inclusive approach. That is wrong.

Some Senate Republicans have endorsed piecemeal proposals in some way qualified immunity reform and bans on choke holds, but it looks like these policies may not be included in the Republican bill. While our bill recognizes that a strong Federal response is necessary to bring change to every police department in America, the Republicans, it seems, are going to leave much of the task up to the States. If history has taught us anything, particularly when it comes to civil rights, it is that progress on civil rights has been slow, swayed down, and sometimes stymied by letting the States take the lead.

Let me repeat to my Republican colleagues: We need comprehensive and bold reform, and we need a commitment from the Republican leader to consider broad, strong police reform—the Justice in Policing Act—on the floor of the Senate before July 4.

Again, I ask our Republican leader—President Trump—where are we on any of these reforms? Are we having a conversation? Are we listening? Are we going to stand up for justice? At the moment, it seems like the Senate Republicans are going to tell the many Americans who feel that race is a factor in law enforcement that they have no one on their side, that they are not going to have a voice. That is not how we can win this fight. That is how we lose this fight.

What do we need to do? We need to come together in this moment. We need to have a comprehensive and strong approach, not a piecemeal approach. That is what we are fighting for. That is what we are fighting for in the Justice in Policing Act.
States are running out of money and we have refused to step up to the plate? What are they going to tell the Nation’s parents when schools will not be able to open because we haven’t given them adequate resources to do so? We are going to tell them that the Republican majority is asleep at the switch during a major national crisis, but that will be of little solace. We would much rather work together and get things done.

Even on bedrock issues of democracy elections, the Republican majority has once again been absent. The COVID pandemic has made our elections a challenge, obviously. In Nevada, South Carolina, Wisconsin, and, most recently and most glaringly in Georgia, voters have had to overcome significant barriers to voting. Senators Klo- suchiar, Feinstein, and Peters have been demanding that Republican chairs of their respective committees hold hearings on these election issues. That would have been, and still is, the responsibility of the U.S. Senate, but Leader McConnell and the Republican majority just can’t seem to find the time. On issues like COVID, racial justice, the economy, voting, the Republican majority is simply refusing to act.

Instead, Leader McConnell is pushing two rightwing judges onto the Nation’s circuit courts: Justin Walker and Cory Wilson.

Mr. Walker is a man of limited judicial experience who has made it very clear he personally opposes our healthcare law. He called the Roberts decision to uphold the Affordable Care Act indefensible and catastrophic.

Mr. Wilson, by the same token, called our healthcare law illegitimate and pernicious. That is right, folks. If you need healthcare and you are suffering because of COVID, well, our Republican friends are nominating judges who think the law is illegitimate and pernicious. In the middle of a public health crisis, the Republican majority is planning to confirm rightwing judges who oppose our healthcare law.

Adding insult to injury, Mr. Wilson has been one of the leaders in opposing and blocking rights. Here, at a time when people are protesting for racial equality, the Republican majority has the temerity to put on the floor of the Senate someone who has spent his career trying to limit the rights of people, oftentimes minorities, to vote. Mr. Wilson is supported by restrictive voter ID laws and expressed strong opposition to parts of the Voting Rights Act. That is right. In the middle of a national movement on issues related to racial justice, Senate Republicans are trying to put a judge on the bench with a hostile record on voting rights.

We all know that when you have the Senate majority, it is all about priorities. We are all empowered here on the Senate to propose bills and amendments and to ask consent to speak for as long as we want, but only Leader McConnell gets to decide which bills reach the floor, and for the past 2 months, as the economic pain from the coronavirus disease starts to come back, as the economy runs into trouble after trouble after trouble, as long-simmering issues of racial justice and police brutality propel peaceful protesting in our biggest cities and across our nation, the Senate majority has been out to lunch. This week, as Leader McConnell asks us to consider more rightwing judges for the Federal bench, it could not be more apparent.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, across America, people of all ages, races, and backgrounds have shown up on the streets to speak up and speak out against racial injustice. Of course, it is their right under the Constitution to do so.

Galvanized by the tragic death of George Floyd, they are marching in peaceful protests, signing petitions, and having frank discussions with their families. It is a moment characterized by heartbreak and anger over the injustices that many Black Americans feel are perpetrated on them every day, but it is also a time for hope for the future as the issue has come front and center. Frankly, we have to do something about it.

In city halls, State legislatures, and, of course, here in the U.S. Capitol, there is a newfound sense of energy and urgency behind the effort to pass meaningful reform. We have an opportunity to create profound change in an area that, for too long, has just been a can kicked down the road, and I am optimistic we will succeed.

I told the Floyd family when I talked to them before their son, their brother, was buried: My hope for you and my hope for all of us is that something positive will come out of this tragedy.

Here in the Senate, we are working on legislation to respond to these events, and the majority leader has tasked our friend and colleague from South Carolina, Senator Tim Scott, to lead the effort in our conference. I am proud to have been working with him closely—and several other colleagues—to draft legislation that I think will help us begin the first step down that path and support America’s police forces.

As we work through potential policies, it is important to hear feedback from my constituents back home, and last Friday I had the chance to do just that. I reached out to my friend, Dallas Mayor Eric Johnson, whom I have gotten to know pretty well during his first year as mayor. Whether it be Dallas tornadoes or the COVID-19 virus or, now, discussions about the George Floyd killing and racial injustice, we have found the opportunity to work together to support the people of Dallas through some incredibly difficult times.

I asked him if he would help me convene a group of local leaders in Dallas to discuss these issues, and by Friday we were all socially distancing around a large table in city hall in Dallas, TX. The mayor and I were joined by Police Chief Renee Hall, Dallas County Sheriff Marian Brown, Dallas County District Attorney John Creuzot, as well as union, community, and faith leaders. We had a frank conversation about the challenges we are up against as we work to repair the broken trust between law enforcement and some of the communities they serve.

I was able to spend some time talking about the work we are doing here in the Senate and the ideas that could be included in any legislation. I talked about two specific proposals that I have recommended: the establishment of a National Criminal Justice Commission. This Commission would review the criminal justice system from top to bottom and provide recommendations to us, something that has not happened at the national level since 1966.

I also recommended taking steps to ensure more departments and agencies are providing deescalation training for their officers so, hopefully, officers will know how to use these tactics to prevent similar tragedies from occurring in the first place.

But mostly I was there to listen and to learn from the men and women with decades of experience in protecting, serving, and advocating for their communities.

Chief Hall talked about how policing strategies had created a wedge between law enforcement and some of the minority communities and the work it is even harder to take in order to repair that trust and eliminate that wedge. She noted that Dallas is home to some of the best officers in the country and that the vast majority of them show up for work every day with all of the right intentions and attitude, but for the small number of officers who don’t, we need to be able to identify them quickly and remove them from our police forces.

Thinking about the officer who was directly responsible for George Floyd’s death, according to published reports, he had at least 17 misconduct complaints already lodged against him. Now, that should be a red flag for anybody.

As any tragedy, you can’t help but go through the what-ifs and wonder how things might have played out differently. What if his supervisors had taken action? What if he had been fired? What if he hadn’t been available to respond to the incident involving George Floyd because he was assigned to some other duties?

Well, these are difficult questions to ask because the outcome likely would
have been different, but they are the types of questions we need to ask in order to prevent history from repeating itself.

The major theme of our conversation was trust: How do we restore community and police enforcement? Senator Sammie Barry from Dallas West Church of Christ made a great point about ensuring that police agencies reflect the diversity of the communities in which they serve.

Texas is also one of the most diverse States; we are a vibrant blend of backgrounds, cultures, and skin tones, and our police departments should reflect that.

That is one topic of discussion here in the Senate, one I hope we can act on in the coming weeks: How do we encourage police recruitment of the right people who can reflect the communities in which they serve?

As Dallas County Sheriff Marian Brown has recognized, it is knowledge that we have a long way to go, and unfortunately there is no magic pill to help get us there. Instead, we are going to have to roll up our sleeves and do the hard work of trying to build consensus and solve problems.

As a first step in the process, good communication. Honest and frank discussions between community leaders, law enforcement, and elected officials are a great start, but we are going to have to do a lot more than talk. We need more than a message to restore public confidence in all of our law enforcement agencies.

I have come back to Washington with new ideas based on their feedback, and I am eager to continue to work with all of our colleagues to deliver real reforms for the American people and restore that trust that, unfortunately, has been strained, if not broken, in some communities.

I yield the floor to the PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. ERNST), The Senator from Washington.

Ms. CANTWELL. Madam President, before I speak about the legislation we are going to have on public lands coming up, and one of them is about a budget point of order.

In my mind, budget points of order are about cost. Well, we are here to talk about what a good investment public land is.

We have the Grand Canyon. We understand that. It is a good investment. Mt. Rainier, in my home State of Washington—a good investment—is an iconic mountain, maybe, to some, but we in the State of Washington also could have made it into millions of dollars in revenue and millions of visitors.

That is just what our public lands do: They become icons. The preservation of the natural world is a good investment—in my mind, better than roads and bridges and buildings—because it actually lasts for centuries. Places like the Grand Tetons or Denali—which are in other States—literally are icons to all of us and help us from one generation to the next.

Besides being icons, they do pay for themselves. That is, the economic return of public lands is phenomenal. It does create, but it generates. It generates activity that generates income to county governments, to State governments, and to the Federal Government—and lots of private entities are involved.

So hundreds of billions of dollars are spent. In fact, $877 billion was part of a report that was issued a few years ago. You might not think of that right off the top of your head because you are thinking about some aspect of the outdoors, and you might not think of it as generating dollars, but, OK, try a few of the brand names on, whether it is REI or The North Face or Columbia Sportswear Company—or just your local fishing guide who does white-water rafting or fishing or other outdoor activities.

This will be the first time that the House has passed this legislation, but, just think—until today, June 15, 2020, we had no nationwide rules against firing an employee or harassing someone at work for being gay, lesbian, bisexual, or trans. That is just wrong, and LGBTQ+ Americans still face discrimination in far too many areas of life: public accommodations, housing, education, and some federally funded programs.

We have proposed legislation that would, I believe, comprehensive civil rights and anti-discrimination legislation to help protect this community. The House has passed this legislation, and I believe it is time that the Senate pass this legislation.

Leader MCCONNELL and the Republicans should take the Equality Act out of the legislative graveyard and get it onto the Senate floor. Today I join my colleagues Senators MERKLEY, BALDWIN, and others who are calling for Senate action on this important issue.

Again, I want to emphasize how important and fundamental I think this decision was and how challenging and disappointed I am that we have had legislation to help the LGBTQ+ community for decades that we could have passed decades ago.

H.R. 1597

Madam President, I also rise to talk about the several votes we are going to have on public lands coming up, and one of them is about a budget point of order.

In my mind, budget points of order are about cost. Well, we are here to talk about what a good investment public land is.

We have the Grand Canyon. We understand that. It is a good investment. Mt. Rainier, in my home State of Washington—a good investment—is an iconic mountain, maybe, to some, but we in the State of Washington also could have made it into millions of dollars in revenue and millions of visitors.

That is just what our public lands do: They become icons. The preservation of the natural world is a good investment—in my mind, better than roads and bridges and buildings—because it actually lasts for centuries. Places like the Grand Tetons or Denali—which are in other States—literally are icons to all of us and help us from one generation to the next.

Besides being icons, they do pay for themselves. That is, the economic return of public lands is phenomenal. It does create, but it generates. It generates activity that generates income to county governments, to State governments, and to the Federal Government—and lots of private entities are involved.

So hundreds of billions of dollars are spent. In fact, $877 billion was part of a report that was issued a few years ago. You might not think of that right off the top of your head because you are thinking about some aspect of the outdoors, and you might not think of it as generating dollars, but, OK, try a few of the brand names on, whether it is REI or The North Face or Columbia Sportswear Company—or just your local fishing guide who does white-water rafting or fishing or other outdoor activities.

As a law that says that you are going to spend those dollars, not just other countries on offshore drilling that goes into something for the benefit of the taxpayer and that generates economic return to all of us.

I can’t ask for a better tax policy or fiscal policy than to use it to preserve open space and public land and generate revenue and help all of us enjoy the outdoors.

As a law, revenue raising from offshore leasing and investing in public land was exactly what Scoop Jackson had in mind when he authored the Land and Water Conservation Fund more than 50 years ago, and it is actually what we should be doing. I am glad my colleagues have realized this is the right fiscal policy. It is good for us, and it is good for America’s future.

I want to say that Scoop Jackson would be very happy, and I know his son Peter, who just passed recently, would also be very happy that this correction is being made.

This will be the first time that the money is fully used for the intended purpose of what the money and revenue was put into the fund to do. I am glad we are making this mistake happen, and I encourage my colleagues to think about this fiscal policy. The fiscal policy that benefits the United States by having open space and public lands generates $877 billion.

Yes, if you want another reminder, this is a view of downtown Seattle from a very famous park, Gas Works Park, in the north end of Lake Union.
That park probably wouldn't be there if we didn't have the Land and Water Conservation Fund. The idea was, as Scoop said, as America urbanized, we needed to preserve open space for the public to use it. Some of the most iconic recreation spaces across the United States have been created for the public to enjoy.

So let’s reaffirm our commitment that was always there. Let’s turn down the budget point of order and make sure that we are making the right investments and finish moving this legislation to its final passage.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. GARDNER. Madam President, last week we had some great conversations on the floor of the Senate about the Great American Outdoors Act and the combination of two important pieces of legislation—the Land and Water Conservation Fund, first authorized 55 years ago, and the Restore Our Parks Act—both of which would be combined in the Great American Outdoors Act and the most significant piece of conservation legislation Congress has passed in nearly 50 years or more.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund, of course, is funded by taking offshore oil and gas revenues, and that is how the Restore Our Parks Act would be funded as well. Both of them would take dollars generated from offshore oil and gas production. There are a few other ways that it is funded, like boat fuel excise tax revenues and others, but primarily that is the source of funding, and, after a series of formula distributions, it is distributed to all 50 States.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund is appropriated about $1 billion, and eventually the Restore Our Parks Act, under this legislation, would be appropriated in full.

Ninety-nine percent of the dollars used by the Land and Water Conservation Fund is used to purchase inholdings to complete national parks and to work on wildlife refuges and other types of important public land designations across the country.

We all know that our national parks are suffering from the amount of visitors that they receive. We are grateful for the visitors, but that is a tremendous strain on roads and trails and the visitor centers across the country. For instance, in Rocky Mountain National Park, the third most heavily visited park in the Nation, there is about $85 million backlog, including trail maintenance, visitor centers, the sewage systems, the campgrounds, and some other challenges that they could help us fix with these dollars.

Last week I also talked about some important letters we had received, letters of support for the Great American Outdoors Act, including a letter from the outdoor recreation industry. I ask unanimous consent that this letter from the outdoor recreation industry be submitted in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was agreed to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

JUNE 8, 2020.

DEAR LEADER MCCONNELL AND LEADER SCHUMER:

The outdoor recreation industry is extremely encouraged by recent announcements that the Senate plans to hold a vote on the Great American Outdoors Act (GAOA) in June. As we join our business leaders, we know investments in recreation access and infrastructure are vital to the outdoor recreation industry and economies across the country.

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, the outdoor recreation industry contributed $776 billion annually, providing more recreation access for communities across the country and fueling the outdoor recreation economy.

These outdoor businesses are the backbone of our industry and range from specialty retailers, apparel, gear and vehicle manufacturers, outfitters and guides to campground operators and marina owners and often are foundational to a community’s economy. With rising unemployment and Americans eager to experience the outdoors, investment in our industry’s core infrastructure—public lands and waters—will allow our businesses to get back to what we do best: stimulate local economies, put people back to work, and allow Americans to benefit from time spent outside.

This is why we respectfully ask you to pass the Great American Outdoors Act as soon as possible.

GAAO will fully fund the Land and Water Conservation Fund at $900 million annually, providing more recreation access for communities across the country and fueling more outdoor recreation economic activity.

In fact, the Congress has invested the intended amount of $900 million into LWCF recreation access projects on local, state and federal lands, it will create much-needed jobs across the country, while revitalizing the outdoor recreation economy.

Additionally, GAAO dedicates up to $3.5 billion annually over 10 years to maintenance backlog projects that have been devastating our public lands and waters. Investing in these projects will improve outdoor recreation-related facilities such as docks, restrooms, campgrounds, trails, roads and more that have deteriorated significantly from decades of underfunded maintenance.

As business leaders, we understand the need to make sure customers have good experiences when they visit stores or facilities, it ensures more business is coming back again. Funding the maintenance backlog will also ensure that adequate infrastructure for all types of recreation on our public lands and waters exists so more Americans can take advantage of the benefits the outdoors has to offer can get outside safely and grow our industry sustainably.

Passing GAAO now would stimulate the outdoor recreation industry made up of thousands of businesses that support communities in all 50 states, support rural economies, create jobs to carry out essential work, and provide opportunities for millions of Americans to recreate on our public lands and waters for generations to come. We know this vital legislation is slated for a vote in the coming weeks and we urge you to move as quickly as possible to get this legislation across the finish line. Your support of GAAO is a vote for American jobs and health, community resiliency and the outdoor recreation economy. Thank you for your leadership.

Sincerely,

[Signatures]


This letter is written by a number of some of the most notable names in the outdoors that people around the country would recognize: Polaris, Pata
gonia, and Colorado’s own VF, headquartered now in Colorado.

In a State like Colorado, the outdoor economy is a huge driver of our State’s economy, creating hundreds of thou-
sands of jobs and billions of dollars of
economic activity. This letter from the outdoor recreation industry says they are extremely encouraged by the Great American Outdoors Act, and they note that this is an incredible opportunity to invest in recreation access and infrastructure, both of which are vital to the outdoor recreation industry and economies around the country.

Earlier today, we received another very important letter—a letter that I think a lot of people will find very important. This letter, dated June 15, 2020, is this paragraph:

From east to west, America is home to incredible lands, waters, and cultural treasures. Now, more than ever, we are relying on our public lands to get outdoors, to connect with the world, to support multiple economy activities. In this time of uncertainty, we have been given a once in a lifetime opportunity to protect our public lands and waters for all generations to come.

This letter was written by Theodore Roosevelt IV. This letter in support for the Great American Outdoors Act comes from the great-grandson of President Teddy Roosevelt.

Passing the Great American Outdoors Act would be taking a page from President Theodore Roosevelt’s book: protecting the quintessence of who we are as Americans in the stewardship of our natural places, great and small. President Roosevelt set conservation as a priority—a duty—for a great and far-sighted nation in recognition that our national bounty is the foundation for all else. Without it, we cannot prosper.

I am glad to see strong bipartisan support for the GAOA in the House, Senate, and from the President. We are counting on you to protect and preserve our public lands. And we need your continued leadership to secure this monumental legislation.

Sincerely, Theodore Roosevelt IV.

I have talked often about the legacy President Roosevelt left our country when it comes to our lands and conservation. In fact, the genesis of the Great American Outdoors Act comes from a meeting Senator Daines and I had with the majority leader, Senator McConnell, talking to the President in the Roosevelt Room at the White House about these two programs, which people like Mark Warner, Richard Burr, Angus King, Joe Manchin, Martin Heinrich, Maria Cantwell, Ron Portman, and Lamar Alexander worked so diligently on. We talked about the legacy Theodore Roosevelt has, and now we have this letter from his great-grandson securing that legacy for this country.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have this letter from Theodore Roosevelt IV printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

June 15, 2020

Dear Majority Leader McConnell, Speaker Pelosi, Minority Leader Schumer, and Minority Leader McCarthy:

From east to west, America is home to incredible lands, waters, and cultural treasures. Now, more than ever, we are relying on our public lands to get outdoors, to connect with the world, to support multiple economy activities. In this time of uncertainty, we have been given a once in a lifetime opportunity to protect our public lands and waters for all generations to come.

I write to you today to urge swift passage and enactment of the Great American Outdoors Act (GAOA) that will provide much needed support to the outdoor places we all depend on. This bill will fully and permanently fund the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF), our nation’s most important conservation program, to ensure protection and increased access to public lands in every state and county in America. It will furthermore fund priority repairs in our National Parks and on other public lands to address an ever-growing backlog of maintenance needs.

This legislation will ensure every American has access to the outdoors, no matter where they live. It will also help to ensure that every American recovers by creating jobs and economic growth across the country—in both cities and rural areas. Nationally, outdoor recreation contributes roughly $878 billion in consumer spending and supports 5.2 million jobs. Moreover, economic analysis shows that every $1 million invested in LWCF could support between 16 and 30 jobs and national park funding in GAOA could support 100,000 jobs, $17.5 billion in economic output, and contribute $9.6 billion to the US GDP. At a time when the government isn’t providing enough LWCF to GAOA would provide much needed stimulus to get Americans outdoors and back to work.

Passing the Great American Outdoors Act would be taking a page from President Theodore Roosevelt’s book: protecting the quintessence of who we are as Americans in the stewardship of our natural places, great and small. It would set conservation as a priority—a duty—for a great and far-sighted nation in recognition that our national bounty is the foundation for all else. Without it, we cannot prosper.

I am glad to see strong bipartisan support for GAOA in the House, Senate, and from the President. We are counting on you to protect and preserve our public lands. And we need your continued leadership to secure this monumental legislation.

Sincerely, Theodore Roosevelt IV.

Mr. GARDNER. I know tonight we will have continued conversations about the legislation and the cost of the legislation. There are some who will say that this bill isn’t paid for or perhaps that the revenues aren’t accounted for properly. I would like to speak to the contrary. Again, I will be speaking about this later this evening. If you look at how this bill, the Great American Outdoors Act, is funded, it doesn’t cost the taxpayer money. It comes from offshore oil and gas revenue. That is revenue generated from oil and gas production on Federal land in fiscal year 2019, which totaled $11.6 billion. This is just an example of one of the years of funding.

In 2019, the revenue generated from oil and gas was about $11.6 billion. That $2.4 billion went to the States. We don’t charge that. The $2.4 billion goes to the States. This bill does not change that. Another $1 billion of that $11.6 billion from back in 2019—the same formula would apply every year—another $1 billion went to Tribal entities right off the top.

So $2.4 billion went to States, and another $1 billion went to Tribal entities. After that, $1.7 billion of this amount of money went to the Reclamation. To get this straight, there is funding that goes out to the States, funding that goes to Tribal entities, and funding that goes to the Reclamation Fund.

Then fourth in line for this, $1 billion went to the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

A curious thing happened on the way to the forum, as they say. Only $985 million got appropriated to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. So $1 billion gets taken out of the money in 2019, the $11.6 billion, with $1 billion to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Only $985 million gets appropriated. That is because even though it was authorized to get more, that money has been syphoned off and spent on other things.

And $150 million went to the Historic Preservation Fund. That is a total of $5.25 billion from 2019. That is what we accounted for so far out of that revenue: money to the States, money to Tribal entities, and money to the Reclamation. $1 billion to the LWCF even though only $985 million got appropriated, and $150 million to the Historic Preservation Fund. That left $3.35 billion that went directly into Treasury. That is the money that would be used—at least a portion of it—for the Restore Our Parks Act.

You can see this is paid for. Congress just has bad habits that need to be corrected around here. We will have additional time to have that conversation this evening and obviously others about the merits of this legislation and what it means.

There has been more and more talk around the country about how this isn’t just about national parks and it isn’t just about national forests; it is about our urban parks and urban centers. Some of our colleagues made passionate, eloquent statements about the need for access in all of our communities, to make sure we have more access communities across the country—our urban settings, our rural settings, whether it is a ballpark or some other kind of recreation activity at a park.

This is the opportunity for us to get to work, with no cost to the taxpayer, to do something we can all be proud of. I am grateful that Mr. Roosevelt would send a letter highlighting the work this Congress is doing that can stand, generations later, the test of Teddy Roosevelt’s leadership and the opportunity for us to build on the leadership of President Roosevelt.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.
Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I am here on the floor today to talk about the legislation that is before the Senate, which is a great opportunity to help our national parks.

The bill does a number of things that people want to have happen with regard to our public lands, with regard to fishing access, and with regard to community parks. Yet one thing it does that is absolutely essential is it included the Restore Our Parks Act. That is legislation which is necessary right now, because our national parks need it.

I say fix our national parks—they are our treasure. When people are asked about the national parks, they usually use that word. It is a treasure. It is a great asset of the United States. I think Ken Burns said it is “America’s best idea.” He did a great documentary on the parks, by the way.

The point is, our national parks are spectacular. They are majestic. They tell the history of our country. There are so many good things about them. The problem is that right now, our parks are under a huge backlog of maintenance projects—$12.5 billion. That is way more than the parks’ budget. They just can’t get out from under it. That is legislation which is necessary right now to fix this maintenance backlog and be used soon by bicyclists or hikers to go over the river because it is falling apart. That bridge is a capital expense. It takes a lot of funding to do that and to do it right. So the legislation before us says: Let’s take this on. Let’s get serious about this. We are going to give the funds needed by the professionals to get this done, and that is the way it should be.

Think about people going back to our parks this summer after the coronavirus hopefully gets better and people are able to go to these reopened parks. They are going to be happy. They will find out what a trail is closed because of erosion, or the bathroom doesn’t work because the bathroom has deteriorated, or they can’t go to the visitors center because the ceiling has been leaking, which caused mold on the walls, which caused the floors to buckle, or the highways and bridges are crumbling. This is what is happening in our national parks.

As Members of Congress, we asked them to give us a full list over the last few years of this because we keep hearing about this, and they have. They have talked about $6.5 million of high-priority projects, and about $6 billion of priority projects, and the list continues to grow.

By the way, the costs continue to compound. In other words, they get worse and worse every year. Just think about your own home. If you don’t fix the leaky tap or the broken faucet, you will have all these other problems. If you can get to it and fix it when it happens, you will have much lower costs. All of us as taxpayers should want to fix this maintenance backlog and be able to say that not only are these parks a treasure, but these parks are open, everything is open, and we can do a better job in stewardship. This legislation does that.

Not a penny of the funding, by the way, can go toward expansion of the parks. I have heard that from some of my colleagues—well, you all keep putting money into expanding the parks. No, that is not what this is about. This is about stewardship. This is about ensuring that we take better care of what we have. I can’t think of a more fiscally conservative idea than that. I think it is important for us to realize that this legislation before us is not about expanding anything; it is about taking care of what we have.

The annual appropriations from Congress to the parks funds the rangers, the nature programs, and the basics to continue to operate the parks. They do not fund the massive maintenance backlog problems or these big projects. We have been ignoring them for decades, by the way. This is not new. It has built up and is getting worse and worse as the costs compound.

Let me give an example. Cuyahoga Valley National Park is in Ohio. We love Cuyahoga Valley. It is the 13th most visited national park in the country. You may never have heard of it, but if you are in the Akron-Cleveland area, I hope you go. It is spectacular. It has a train that runs from Cleveland to Akron. It is beautiful along the Cuyahoga River. It is beautiful. It has a tourism train that goes through it, a single-track, narrow-gauge train. It is just a great place.

Let me give another example. That is what I am hearing. That is a huge expense that can’t come out of this annual budget because you have to replace the ties and so on. There is a bridge that I saw that is crumbling. It is not going to be able to be used soon by bicyclists or hikers to go over the river because it is falling apart. That bridge is a capital expense. It takes a lot of funding to do that and to do it right. So the legislation before us says: Let’s take this on. Let’s get serious about this. We are going to give the funds needed by the professionals to get this done, and that is the way it should be.

Again, I see that some of my colleagues are saying there must be other ways to fund this, and some have suggested, well, let’s raise national park fees. We have heard that from many of my colleagues—well, you all keep putting money into expanding the parks. No, that is not what this is about. This is about stewardship. This is about ensuring that we take better care of what we have. I can’t think of a more fiscally conservative idea than that. I think it is important for us to realize that this legislation before us is not about expanding anything; it is about taking care of what we have.

Some of my colleagues have come to the floor and said: Why don’t they another way to do this? I mean, I wish there were, but this is a pretty good funding source.

For those who say it is not paid for, well, I am telling you what the funding source is. Is it the royalties that come off of these energy projects?

By the way, this is for a good conservation cause and a good fiscally conservative cause—to get these long-term maintenance problems under control so that they don’t continue to grow and grow and grow.

I first started on the project over 13 years ago, which is why it is kind of exciting for me that it is finally coming, I hope, to fruition here in the Senate, at least.

I was the OMB Director for George W. Bush—the Office of Management and Budget—and in our budget in 2007, we put in place a centennial project for the parks. The Park Service celebrated its 100th anniversary in 2016, and building on that was that we add substantial long-term maintenance backlog and provide some more funding for the parks.

I worked with the Secretary of the Interior at the time, and this was again, George W. Bush administration, a Republican administration. I worked with Democrats here on the Hill. I worked with all the outside groups concerned about the parks and came up with a creative way to get public-private partnership money in it to provide more funding for this long-term maintenance problem. We were not successful in getting Congress to take it up and to appropriate those funds at the time, but I continued working on this. A couple years later when I was asked to serve on the centennial commission on the parks—I was not in office at that time. I had left politics—thinking forever—but now I am back here in the Senate. But on the centennial commission, we analyzed what was going on in the parks in connection with the centennial coming up, in 2016, and what was the No. 1 issue? Of course it was this long-term maintenance backlog and how do you deal with it because we just can’t get on top of it. So I have been at this for some time.

Again, I see that some of my colleagues are saying there must be other ways to fund this, and some have suggested, well, let’s raise national park fees. We have heard that from many of my colleagues—well, you all keep putting money into expanding the parks. No, that is not what this is about. This is about stewardship. This is about ensuring that we take better care of what we have. I can’t think of a more fiscally conservative idea than that. I think it is important for us to realize that this legislation before us is not about expanding anything; it is about taking care of what we have.

Well, I am the author of the Centennial Act, which was passed about 3 years ago—the last day, practically, of session in 2016. That legislation actually took the senior fee, which is the life senior fee, and increased it, actually quadrupled it, to provide more funding for this very purpose and other purposes at the parks.
That was not without controversy. I can show you some of the letters and emails that I got and some of the phone calls that we received with regard to increasing the senior pass. But we did it for the right reasons—because we thought the senior pass was an absolute bargain—which it still is, by the way. It is a tremendous deal for our seniors. But we decided we were going to take some heat on this in order to provide more revenue for the park because we were so frustrated because we could not find other sources.

I am also the author of the Centennial Challenge as part of that legislation, which allows for the private sector to provide funding to our parks. Again, we did this because we were very interested in finding more funding. The Centennial Challenge Fund is a public-private partnership. It requires that every Federal dollar that goes into the parks be matched at least one-to-one with a private sector dollar. And I have been involved with that program and encouraging the private sector to do more.

Since we passed that in 2008—fiscal year 2008—Congress has provided $129 million in non-Federal funds, which has been leveraged by an additional $167 million in non-Federal funding. In other words, it has been more than one-to-one. There has been more than 1 dollar of private sector funding that has matched the 1 dollar of Federal funding, so that has worked. But, folks, it has its limits. We are talking about $12.5 billion in the backlog, and the numbers I just gave you—we were able to raise $167 million in non-Federal funding.

So I would just say to my colleagues who say “Gosh, why can’t you raise fees and so on?”—that has been done.

I will say also with regard to fees into some of our parks, it is not very practical. Cuyahoga Valley National Park, as an example, there are literally dozens of entrances to the park. I mean, the park is in a suburban area through Akron and Cleveland, that area, and you can get to the park through all sorts of different roads and avenues, and there is no grand entrance to the park. There is a great visitors center, which people are encouraged to go to as they enter the park. There is a railroad through it, as I talked about when the railroad ties were put in the new railroad ties? It is over 100,000 jobs. So over 100,000 jobs are created just from this legislation.

So it is the right thing to do no matter what, but it also happens to be a really opportune time for us to put in place some funding that goes directly into good-paying, high-quality jobs—average pay, $65,000 to $70,000 a year—to be done in an indirect, including suppliers to these projects.

So you have the person who is fixing the bridge I talked about or the railroad tracks, but who provides the material to put in the new railroad ties? It is over 40,000 jobs. Over 100,000 jobs are created just from this legislation.

So it is the right thing to do no matter what, but it also happens to be a really opportune time for us to put in place some funding that goes directly into good-paying, high-quality jobs—average pay, $65,000 to $70,000 a year—to be done in an indirect, including suppliers to these projects.

So you have the person who is fixing the bridge I talked about or the railroad tracks, but who provides the material to put in the new railroad ties? It is over 40,000 jobs. Over 100,000 jobs are created just from this legislation.

So it is the right thing to do no matter what, but it also happens to be a really opportune time for us to put in place some funding that goes directly into good-paying, high-quality jobs—average pay, $65,000 to $70,000 a year—to be done in an indirect, including suppliers to these projects.

And I say that one only needs to follow the money—to follow the money—to see that the Senate cares more about parks than it does about people.

The Great American Outdoors Act will spend billions on deferred maintenance—broken toilets, leaky roofs, etcetera—in national parks, but, in fact, 60 percent of this money is going to coastal States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 billion for the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?

But who is going to pay the expense? Forty-two percent of Americans live in parishes or counties in coastal United States; 85 percent of Americans live in those coastal States; and zero percent to foot an even higher bill by not taking care of that leaky roof that then causes the mold on the wall that then causes the floor to couple. We are going to get this started. Will there be need for more funding? Absolutely. Again, some of the ideas I have talked to my colleagues about how to find additional funding, I am all ears. Again, I have done it. I have raised the fees in legislation. I have looked at the private sector, and we brought in over $150 million of private sector money. The Centennial Challenge Fund spending shows the disparity clearly, spending $17.66 per capita on inland States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 million in the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?

But who is going to pay the expense? Forty-two percent of Americans live in parishes or counties in coastal United States; 85 percent of Americans live in those coastal States; and zero percent to foot an even higher bill by not taking care of that leaky roof that then causes the mold on the wall that then causes the floor to couple. We are going to get this started. Will there be need for more funding? Absolutely. Again, some of the ideas I have talked to my colleagues about how to find additional funding, I am all ears. Again, I have done it. I have raised the fees in legislation. I have looked at the private sector, and we brought in over $150 million of private sector money. The Centennial Challenge Fund spending shows the disparity clearly, spending $17.66 per capita on inland States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 million in the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?

But who is going to pay the expense? Forty-two percent of Americans live in parishes or counties in coastal United States; 85 percent of Americans live in those coastal States; and zero percent to foot an even higher bill by not taking care of that leaky roof that then causes the mold on the wall that then causes the floor to couple. We are going to get this started. Will there be need for more funding? Absolutely. Again, some of the ideas I have talked to my colleagues about how to find additional funding, I am all ears. Again, I have done it. I have raised the fees in legislation. I have looked at the private sector, and we brought in over $150 million of private sector money. The Centennial Challenge Fund spending shows the disparity clearly, spending $17.66 per capita on inland States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 million in the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?

But who is going to pay the expense? Forty-two percent of Americans live in parishes or counties in coastal United States; 85 percent of Americans live in those coastal States; and zero percent to foot an even higher bill by not taking care of that leaky roof that then causes the mold on the wall that then causes the floor to couple. We are going to get this started. Will there be need for more funding? Absolutely. Again, some of the ideas I have talked to my colleagues about how to find additional funding, I am all ears. Again, I have done it. I have raised the fees in legislation. I have looked at the private sector, and we brought in over $150 million of private sector money. The Centennial Challenge Fund spending shows the disparity clearly, spending $17.66 per capita on inland States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 million in the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?

But who is going to pay the expense? Forty-two percent of Americans live in parishes or counties in coastal United States; 85 percent of Americans live in those coastal States; and zero percent to foot an even higher bill by not taking care of that leaky roof that then causes the mold on the wall that then causes the floor to couple. We are going to get this started. Will there be need for more funding? Absolutely. Again, some of the ideas I have talked to my colleagues about how to find additional funding, I am all ears. Again, I have done it. I have raised the fees in legislation. I have looked at the private sector, and we brought in over $150 million of private sector money. The Centennial Challenge Fund spending shows the disparity clearly, spending $17.66 per capita on inland States, while just $7.53 on coastal States, and is spoken of as an economic development tool, raising $40 million in the communities in which the investment is made, which tells you why the Senators from these seven States are the cosponsors of the bill. Who wouldn’t want $40 billion in economic activity at the expense of everybody else?
of this money is going to address coastal resiliency—areas increasingly threatened by rising sea levels and flooding, lives being lost, communities being upended by catastrophic flood events such as hurricanes can cause. I have seen it—in my State of Louisiana. We have lived through it on the north, we have been painfully aware at this point of the devastation hurricanes and other flooding disasters can cause in our society.

So the Great American Outdoors Act spends billions on where people vacation but absolutely nothing on where people live. I have been vocal in my opposition to the bill in its current form, and the reasons for that opposition have been misconstrued, so let me please now clarify.

I heard one Senator say that I only wanted money for Gulf States. Yes, I do want money for Gulf States. Louisiana has been the hardest hit by coastal resiliency issues. Just as one of my colleagues said, the beach, live in a coastal parish or county. We will be there afterward to give you money to rebuild your levees, and such a straight shot, objectively. The heck with those 85 percent of people who live in coastal parishes and counties, this will become a bigger and bigger issue. So this photo seems appropriate.

Folks didn’t want to try. They feared that caring for Americans and helping to prevent an incident such as this would imperil the fixing of potholes in the Gulf of Mexico. They recently put in a flood wall. There was a high water event, and of the 10,000 homes that were destroyed, 90 percent of the funding for the Great American Outdoors Act comes from energy production off the gulf coast. But I want funding for all coastal States.

Louisiana’s wetlands are eroding into the gulf at the rate of one football field per hour, but we are not alone. Go to barrier islands on the eastern coast. Go to Alaska and see the communities that are dissolving into the ocean. Miami property values are falling as the Atlantic Ocean rises. Threatened with greater flooding, causing rising insurance rates, and causing lower property values. That is Miami Beach, Sea Island, GA; Cape Fear, NC; Rhode Island; Maine: you name it—each has water coming higher than it ever has.

Woudn’t it have been great if, as these bills passed out of the committee together, a coastal resiliency piece of legislation had been added to the Great American Outdoors Act? But now the 42 percent of Americans who live on the beach, live in a coastal parish or county, and the 85 percent who live in a coastal State are waiting for some help to come later.

Now, that said, some have said I am against giving any money to the national parks. Nothing could be further from the truth. I have stated before from this very desk in speeches on this issue that I believe that national parks are a vital part of the American experience. Yes, my colleagues say they provide opportunities for Americans to experience a natural environment and learn about our Nation’s history.

I would vote happily for the Great American Outdoors Act, giving it billions, if only we could spend at least a little bit—maybe a dime—on coastal resiliency for where people live. This is the one true thing: Folks are afraid that if the coastal resiliency legislation is included, the bill would not pass. For some, it would be perceived as encouraging offshore drilling, that it would raise issues of climate change, and, again, that bill would not pass.

Well, there are several responses. First, if you don’t try, you fail. Henry Ford said: Whether you say that you can or you say you cannot, you are correct. Such is the case with this bill. If people say that we cannot include legislation for coastal resiliency to protect parishes and counties where 42 percent of Americans live, we can’t do so because it would not be included in the first place.

Secondly, it was said that the Great American Outdoors Act is based on revenue from offshore drilling, and therefore folks would not vote for it—again, one of those things you have to laugh at. They really think that, it is either the epitome of hypocrisy, or it just shows gross ignorance. The Great American Outdoors Act is funded with revenue from offshore oil and gas production. So for someone to say that, no, folks won’t vote for a bill that relies upon offshore oil and gas revenue, but they are to support the Great American Outdoors Act, which relies on offshore oil and gas revenue to pay for it—again, you just have to laugh. It is either just gross ignorance. I actually think it is just not true; that the real reason the coastal resiliency bill is not included is that folks are afraid that if it is included, it would not pass.

One of my colleagues who agrees with me on this issue said that it is kind of like being in a lifeboat and you say: We are in; pull up the ladder. Once we got this legislation in a form that would pass, the folks who wanted it to pass, those of us that have been benefit from this, that they will recognize and realize the economic development, they said: Let’s pull up the ladder. We don’t care about coastal resiliency enough that we are going to actually include legislation that would support it financially. So they had their money. They achieved their objective. The heck with those at risk from rising sea levels. The heck with those 85 percent of people who live in coastal States, the 42 percent who live in a coastal county. We still get our bathrooms fixed, the potholes done. Come visit us. You will absolutely need to because, in the meantime, you will be flooding.

So the idea of getting your funding and your bill in place is a joke, with this bill. The idea that $1 billion or $2 billion in disaster relief—no flooding occurred because of an investment in coastal resiliency. Now, we can do it if we try, but if we say we are not going to, then we are in the life raft that is being pulled up the ladder, and we have our money, and potholes will be fixed in our home States. In the meantime, if you are in a parish or a State or a county, we will be there afterward to give you money through FEMA, but you must be there beforehand to keep you from flooding in the first place. This is what happens when you put parks over people. Perhaps this Senate should reverse this and put people over parks.

As people state my opposition, they consistently stated the reason they do not wish to include my language to provide protection—coastal resiliency—for the parishes and counties where people live. This is the one true thing: Folks are afraid that if the coastal resiliency legislation is included, the bill would not pass. For some, it would be perceived as encouraging offshore drilling, that it would raise issues of climate change, and, again, that bill would not pass.

Well, there are several responses. First, if you don’t try, you fail. Henry Ford said: Whether you say that you can or you say you cannot, you are correct. Such is the case with this bill. If people say that we cannot include legislation for coastal resiliency to protect parishes and counties where 42 percent of Americans live, we can’t do so because it would not be included in the first place.

Secondly, it was said that the Great American Outdoors Act is based on revenue from offshore drilling, and therefore folks would not vote for it—again, one of those things you have to laugh at. They really think that, it is either the epitome of hypocrisy, or it just shows gross ignorance. The Great American Outdoors Act is funded with revenue from offshore oil and gas production. So for someone to say that, no, folks won’t vote for a bill that relies upon offshore oil and gas revenue, but they are to support the Great American Outdoors Act, which relies on offshore oil and gas revenue to pay for it—again, you just have to laugh. It is either just gross ignorance. I actually think it is just not true; that the real reason the coastal resiliency bill is not included is that folks are afraid that if it is included, it would not pass.

One of my colleagues who agrees with me on this issue said that it is kind of like being in a lifeboat and you say: We are in; pull up the ladder. Once we got this legislation in a form that would pass, the folks who wanted it to pass, those of us that have been benefit from this, that they will recognize and realize the economic development, they said: Let’s pull up the ladder. We don’t care about coastal resiliency enough that we are going to actually include legislation that would support it financially. So they had their money. They achieved their objective. The heck with those at risk from rising sea levels. The heck with those 85 percent of people who live in coastal States, the 42 percent who live in a coastal county. We still get our bathrooms fixed, the potholes done. Come visit us. You will absolutely need to because, in the meantime, you will be flooding.

So the idea of getting your funding and your bill in place is a joke, with this bill. The idea that $1 billion or $2 billion in disaster relief—no flooding occurred because of an investment in coastal resiliency. Now, we can do it if we try, but if we say we are not going to, then we are in the life raft that is being pulled up the ladder, and we have our money, and potholes will be fixed in our home States. In the meantime, if you are in a parish or a State or a county, we will be there afterward to give you money through FEMA, but you must be there beforehand to keep you from flooding in the first place. This is what happens when you put parks over people. Perhaps this Senate should reverse this and put people over parks.

At the outset, I said that the Great American Outdoors Act is going to pass. I see it. They have done their work, and it is going to pass. I will just go home tonight, frustrated, thinking of this picture and how many more we have. Yet, if the sponsors of this bill have been "with you tonight" are truly, truly wanting to prevent another incident like this, then maybe they will join my
bipartisan coalition that advocates for either a coastal amendment or some legislation in the future. They will show that they are as willing to fight as hard to save the lives of those who live on our coastline as they are to secure the funding to fix broken toilets and potholes and leaky roofs.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Boozman). The Senator from West Virginia.

Mr. MANCHIN. Mr. President, we have a series of votes today on the Great American Outdoors Act, including one to adopt the substitute amendment. There are 60 of my Senate colleagues who cosponsored this bill, and 20 more joined us last week, resulting in strong, bipartisan votes to begin consideration of the bill. These votes today will put us within 1 vote of passing this important bipartisan legislation.

Over the last week, many of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle have taken to the floor to talk about how the Great American Outdoors Act will benefit the entire country, and they have shared examples of how their home States have been positively impacted by the Land and Water Conservation Fund. It is a testament to the importance of this historic conservation legislation that has brought so many of us together during such a toxic atmosphere. Yet that is the beauty of our Nation’s great outdoors. It brings us all together—all walks and shapes and political preferences. It makes no difference. We all love the outdoors, and it is available for everyone to enjoy in any number of ways, whether that be through hunting, hiking, fishing, biking, or just simply by soaking in nature.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund has been responsible for helping to acquire many of our Nation’s most iconic public lands and to provide wonderful outdoor recreational opportunities, but it is important to remember that it is also used in many ways that provide an economic boost to our States and counties, whether that be through an LWCF grant that allows community spaces to be built, like the 47 community pools the LWCF supported across my beautiful State of West Virginia, or a baseball field in Colorado.

It is also a job creator. A recent analysis from Boston University shows that, at the full funding of $900 million annually, the LWCF could support approximately 15,000 to 28,000 jobs at a time when our country needs them most.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund is a major contributor to the rapidly growing outdoor recreation economy. According to the most recent information provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, the U.S. outdoor recreation economy accounts for 2.2 percent, or $427 billion, of our Nation’s gross domestic product. Likewise, the Outdoor Industry Association has calculated that the outdoor recreation economy generates almost $800 million in annual consumer spending and supports over 7.6 million jobs.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund has been able to do wonderful things with the funds that have been appropriated to date. Even though those appropriations, in recent years, have averaged only about half of the authorized funding level. In previous years, they were even less than that. Just imagine what we can achieve for our outdoor recreation economy with a full $900 million per year as the Great American Outdoors Act would provide.

We need to secure this funding because, since the Land and Water Conservation Fund was established 55 years ago and despite the fact that every State, every territory, and almost every county in this country has benefited from the LWCF, it has only been fully funded twice. I say it has only been fully funded twice in 55 years. The permanent LWCF authorization last year was an important step, but securing a permanent, dedicated funding source for the multiple conservation programs funded by the LWCF is the ultimate goal.

I would also like to acknowledge the many Democratic Senators and Members of the House, both retired and here today, who have been champions for LWCF. They have helped to pave the way of where we are today—with striking distance of realizing the goal of permanent, mandatory funding.

I am incredibly proud, as ranking member of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, as the sponsor of the LWCF Permanent Fund Act, and as the lead Democrat on this bill, to be in good company of 43 of my Democratic colleagues who have signed onto this legislation.

As a member of the Committee on Appropriations, I have heard the concerns of our committee colleagues. What concerns me most is that, historically, the ability of the committee to oversee LWCF expenditures, so I want to take a moment to clarify that this isn’t the case. The Great American Outdoors Act retains congressional oversight and involvement in determining how the mandatory funding is allocated.

To quote from the bill: “Appropriations Acts may provide for alternate allocation of amounts made available, including allocations by account, project, formula, or language for deferred maintenance spending.”

The Great American Outdoors Act is necessary, however, to ensure that the full $900 million each year that is authorized for the Land and Water Conservation Fund is spent so that we don’t end up with what has happened over the last 50 years, wherein over $21 billion has been deposited into the Land and Water Conservation Fund but has not been spent for LWCF purposes. It is true that will be. We have a series of votes today, it is in the Treasury. Similarly, the Great American Outdoors Act takes the necessary step of putting $9.5 billion toward deferred maintenance projects on Federal lands, with 70 percent of that amount dedicated for our national parks. This legislation will be the most significant reduction ever in eliminating a major portion of deferred maintenance backlog on our national parks and public lands.

The impact of failing to fund maintenance for so long is clear to see for the hundreds of millions of visitors to our public lands each year. This includes the deterioration of historic buildings like Independence Hall; the failing water treatment facilities at Yosemite and the Grand Canyon; the public access roads at our national parks and our forests that are in disrepair; and outdated and unsafe employee housing. We need to do better in taking care of these very, very special places.

The infrastructure projects that will be funded through this bill are critical to protecting many of our national treasures, and like the LWCF funding, they provide a significant lift to the outdoor recreation economy. The Great American Outdoors Act will help us to be good stewards of our public lands while, at the same time, it will create thousands of new jobs. It is a bipartisan win-win.

At the end of the day, this is an opportunity for us to pass down a legacy to our kids, to our grandkids, and to generations to come. I believe this will be the most impactful nationwide conservation legislation since the Land and Water Conservation Fund was first created over 50 years ago, and 50 years from now, I know that this body will be remembered for getting this bill across the finish line. We have broad, bipartisan support with 60 Senators signing on, which is representative of how important these bills are to every State. We have support from the administration and unwavering support from over 900 conservation and sportsmen’s groups throughout the country.

I know that not all of my colleagues can support this bill and that others would have liked to have seen their priorities added. I also want to mention that I know it has been portrayed by some that we are doing one instead of the other. We are taking care of recreation and outdoors. There might be coastal deterioration, and we are very concerned about that. Yet I want you to know that, since there has been offshore drilling, a tremendous amount of those resources have gone to the four coastal States of Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, and Texas. Louisiana is the only State that has used all of its funds for coastal restoration, and I applaud it for that. I know how costly that has been and how critical it will be, and we will help in any way we possibly can.

It is not an either-or. It is not that we are getting this and that they are being punished for something else. That is not the case. We are finally getting the funds that were basically dedicated 55 years ago that never did go to the LWCF, the Land Water and Conservation Fund. We have
over $9 billion in our park system that needs to be for repairs, and we are using these funds in the most prudent possible way we can. We would hope that everyone would look at it in the most positive way and pass something that we could all look back on and be proud of.

I know that my commitment, as the ranking member of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, is to work with Senators on their priorities. It always has been, and it always will be matter what one’s party affiliation—Democrat or Republican. When you are in a position of being a ranking member or of being chairman of that committee, you work with all of the committee members, which helps to ensure the consideration of those committee bills on the Senate floor.

What we saw last week and that I anticipate we will see again today is a shining example of Democrats and Republicans together to put politics aside to do what is best for conserving the natural resources of this great Nation. So I urge all of my colleagues to join me in voting yes on each of the three votes tonight. They are all very, very important. They will get us closer to the final passage of the Great American Outdoors Act.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I rise to speak on the Great American Outdoors Act and on the fact that this legislation will add over $17 billion to our deficit over the next 10 years.

When I first rose to speak on this bill and on my amendment to it, I closed by saying that if we could not pay for even a portion of this bill, then we were in worse trouble than I had thought. Sadly, I rise today, knowing that we, apparently, want such trouble.

During this fiscal year, we have already run up a deficit of $1.9 trillion—more than twice the size of the deficit we ran at the same time last year. We also just added $2.4 trillion to our debt as the Nation necessarily responded to the coronavirus pandemic.

For the past 2 years, I have been working on and consistently suggesting a way to responsibly pay for deferred maintenance at our national parks. I love our national parks and have the honor of visiting our first national park, Yellowstone, and many other parks when I was younger and still today. That is why I offered my amendment that would have created a paid-for legacy restoration fund that would have ensured permanently dedicated revenue for our parks and their maintenance needs.

For the longest time, I was told that my spending concerns would be addressed when the bill moved out of committee. When the bill moved to the floor, I asked that my amendment be included in the substitute or that it receive a vote. It was not included, and we did not receive a vote.

While my amendment did not address it, I would also mention that many of my colleagues regularly express concern about how we increasingly have moved discretionary spending to mandatory spending. This bill does just that with the Land and Water Conservation provisions. Mandatory spending is always renewed and never voted on or evaluated again. When the Land and Water Conservation Fund was permanently authorized at the start of this Congress, we were told that its spending would remain subject to appropriations and the oversight that goes with that. Instead, we are taking away that protection and increasing mandatory spending even more without increasing revenue. That means more additional national debt each year without a single vote.

So here we are today, asking future generations to pay for what we are not even willing to pay for ourselves when we could have responsibly funded the maintenance provisions. We won’t even consider my amendment that would have a modest charge to foreign visitors as part of their visa fees to help to address this issue, and that is revenue that will be lost forever from the tourists because we can only collect the fees when they buy visas. The revenues cannot be collected retroactively. If we won’t pay for even a part of this bill, what will we pay for?

Congress must stop with gimmick spending, wherein we attempt to spend the same money twice. That is what this bill does. If we keep adding new mandatory spending without adding new revenue, Congress will be forced at some point to eliminate mandatory spending or to get new funding streams that survive well into the future. Mandatory spending is the portion of our budget that will be covered by this that we will not vote on. We will not take a vote on this budget, there will be no oversight—not on whether we spend it, not on where the money comes from. There will not be another opportunity on that. Therefore, I oppose this legislation and oppose adding to the already massive debt burden being placed on future generations and the increase in mandatory spending when the solution to charge foreigners is available.

The yeas and nays are ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a point of order?

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, pursuant to section 406(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and the waiver provisions of applicable budget resolutions, I move to waive all applicable sections of that Act and applicable budget resolutions for purposes of amendment No. 1617, and I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays are ordered.

The Senator from Colorado.

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, just to talk a little about bit how this bill funded, again, the legislation relies on the use of the oil and gas revenues from both onshore for part of the bill and offshore for other parts of the bill, and that has been the tradition of the legislation since its passage in 1965 until 5 years ago, since we have had the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Of course, the new Historic Preservation Act provisions rely on these revenues as well.

To give you kind of a run-through or an example of how this would have proceeded in 2019—and thanks to my colleague Senator ALEXANDER for really pointing this out and highlighting how this funding works—it would have been the total revenues generated from oil and gas production on Federal lands for fiscal year 2019 that totaled about $11.6 billion. Of that $11.6 billion, $2.4 billion went to the States. First out of the gate was the $2.4 billion. Now, this may change from year to year, but the formula distribution is the same. So $2.4 billion of that went to the States. Another $1 billion went to Tribal entities. Then another $1.7 billion went to the reclamation funds.

First, the money comes into the Treasury. It goes out to the States. Then it goes out to the Tribal entities. Then it goes out to the reclamation fund. Then $1 billion goes to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. In this last year, Congress appropriated, though, to the Land and Water Conservation Fund $495 million, and the rest of the money got siphoned off and went somewhere else. Then $150 million went to the Historic Preservation Fund, which was a total of $5.25 billion in 2019 for this distribution. That left $95 billion to go directly to the Treasury.

These dollars that we are using help to fund the Restore Our Parks Act to catch up with deferred maintenance. I think our colleague Senator PORTMAN has done an outstanding job of explaining that this deferred maintenance is also considered debt. So we are actually using these dollars that have been collected from oil and gas revenues that have gone to the Treasury and have been distributed out to go to the projects at national parks across the country: Colorado National; $85 million for Rocky Mountain National Park, $75
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millions for Mesa Verde; $8 million for the Great Sand Dunes; $57 million for Black Canyon National Park; and the list goes on and on. That is not even including the Forest Service, the BLM lands, and other efforts by the Bureau of Indian Education. That is how this reverberates.

I also wanted to point this out again to my colleagues, some of whom may have heard this before and some may not have. Today, June 15, 2020, we received a letter that was written to Senator Bennet from Speaker PELOSI, and Leader MCCARTHY from Teddy Roosevelt IV. This is the great-grandson of President Teddy Roosevelt. I will read it here:

From east to west, America is home to incredible lands, waters, and cultural treasures. Now, more than ever, we are relying on our public lands to get outdoors, to connect with the world, to support jobs, and strengthen our communities. In this time of uncertainty, we have been given a once in a lifetime opportunity to protect our public lands and waters for all generations to come. I want to urge my colleagues to join me in waiving the point of order, as I have laid out in my objection and in my request for a waiv er. I hope my colleagues will join me in the new vote for waiving that—one of which will require a simple majority and one of which will require, yet again, a 60-vote threshold.

With that in mind, I want to urge my colleagues to work together on a bipartisan basis—the House and the Senate, Republicans and Democrats coming together, and with the White House. They have announced their support. The President announced his support for this legislation several months ago. We come together to do something that we can build upon for this country and for the ideas that this country has ever put forward—our public lands, our public places, and most special spaces in America.

In Colorado, Wyoming, Louisiana, California, New Mexico, and in every other State, dollars are pouring in and jobs being created because of this legislation—the land that this legislation represents and the policies that this legislation will cover. It is estimated that this legislation will create 100,000 jobs—over 100,000 jobs, quite frankly—just in the national parks section alone. And the way the economy is right now, every one of those jobs matters. For every $1 million that is spent in the Land and Water Conservation Fund, it supports nearly 16 to 30 jobs. For every $1 million, it supports another 16 to 30 jobs. I think one of the most important factors in the jobs analysis is where we are at in the country with the economic crisis we face. When the coronavirus came into Colorado—that first wave, the initial step—it hit our Western Slope communities the hardest. You had a lot of international visitors coming to ski the best skiing areas in the country. You had a lot of travelers from the Front Range coming up to enjoy the weekend, and it created some big challenges from COVID-19 in those communities as a result. Some of those towns have 20 percent, 22 percent, 24 percent unemployment in the immediate aftermath of the pandemic and the shutdowns of restaurants and hotels, and the ski areas shut down a couple of months earlier than they normally would have.

Of course, it didn’t just impact the winter ski season and the winter recreation season. It impacted the summer recreation season. Outfitters who had their trips canceled, who had their reservations yanked because you couldn’t travel or you couldn’t get out. They would be right to stay at a hotel or maybe the hotel was closed. If you were out camping, the parks were closed as well. I think that became one of the reasons why this legislation is so important too. It is not just about the resources. It is not just about protecting the public lands. It is about the jobs that can be created in these public land communities that were hit hard, and first by that initial wave of coronavirus.

That is all the more reason I hope my colleagues will join me in voting to waive the budget point of order, as well as the procedural votes that we will have this evening and the eventual passage of the Great American Outdoors Act, which most likely will occur sometime Wednesday morning, maybe around noon or so.

I am grateful for the support of people like Teddy Roosevelt, great-grandson of President Roosevelt. I am grateful for the support of my colleagues and the work of Senators HINCHICH, MANCHIN, CANTWELL, KING, and WARNER, who have been so diligent across the past several months as this legislation moves its way to passage, and of Richard Burr, who has been an incredible stalwart champion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund. I thank Senator ALEXANDER for his work.

It goes to show you this didn’t happen alone. It didn’t happen in a vacuum. It didn’t happen because one person or one party decided to get behind it. It happened because of a group effort of people in both Chambers and at the White House to support it.

Today, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce reiterated their support of this legislation. The American Petroleum Institute supports this legislation. Countless environmental and conservation organizations support this legislation. Some 850-plus groups support this legislation.

We have an opportunity to do something good for our country, to do something good for future generations. With that, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, all postcloture time has expired.

The question is on agreeing to the motion to waive the budget act.

The yeas and nays were previously ordered.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from Texas (Mr. Cruz).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 68, nays 30, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 118 Leg.]

YEAS—68

Alexander
Brown
Collins
Baldwin
Burr
Cousins
Barrasso
Cassidy
Carper
Cortez Masto
Casey
Cassidy
Cromwell
Cromm
Cortez Masto
Cardin
Cronyn
Booker
Darar
Daines
Boozman
Gabbard

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote the yeas are 68, the nays are 30. Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn having voted in the affirmative, the motion is agreed to, and the point of order falls.

The majority leader is recognized.

MOTION TO TABLE AMENDMENT NO. 1618
Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. President, I move to table amendment No. 1618. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion was agreed to.

MOTION TO TABLE AMENDMENT NO. 1628
Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. President, I move to table amendment No. 1628. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion was agreed to.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 1617
The question occurs on agreeing to the substitute amendment No. 1617. The yeas and nays were previously ordered. The clerk will call the roll. The bill clerk called the roll. Mr. THUNE. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SHELBY).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that I have withdrawn the amendment (No. 1617) in the nature of a substitute amendment that was agreed to.

CLOTURE MOTION
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

Mr. McCaskill, Kevin Cramer, Thom Tillis, Rob Portman, Roy Blunt, Lamar Alexander, Todd Young, Steve Daines, Lindsey Graham, Susan M. Collins, John Boozman, Kevin Cramer, Thom Tillis, Rob Portman, Roy Blunt, Alexander, Todd Young, Steve Daines, Shelley Moore Capito, David Perdue

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the motion to close debate is agreed to.

MORNING BUSINESS
Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO DR. JIM ROLLINS
Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I rise today to honor Springfield Public School District superintendent Dr. Jim Rollins who will step down from his position at the end of June after decades of dedication to students, staff, and the community.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

Dr. Rollins has distinguished himself as a key voice in education at the local, state,
and national level and has received many well-deserved honors for his work. The achievements he has accrued during his 50-year career in public education include twice being named Arkansas Superintendent of the Year and recognition from the Education Week magazine’s 2017 “Leaders to Learn From.” Just recently, Dr. Rollins was awarded the 2020 Outstanding Alumnus Award in Education from the College of Education and Health Professions at the University of Arkansas. His service as Assistant Secretary for Education in the U.S. Department of Education’s Superintendents Task Force is further evidence of his expertise and the high regard his peers and fellow educators hold for him.

I congratulate Dr. Rollins for his outstanding track record of ensuring students have a solid educational foundation to help them succeed in the future. I appreciate his friendship and his excellent leadership which has resulted in academic improvement and growth for countless students. I wish him all the best in the next chapter of his career as president of Northwest Technical Institute.

TRIBUTE TO COLONEL COREY A. SIMMONS

• Mr. INHOFE, Mr. President, I wish to pay tribute to the outgoing commander of the 60th Flying Training Wing, Vance Air Force Base, OK, Col. Corey A. Simmons. Colonel Simmons led a wing of nearly 3,000 personnel who conducted critical undergraduate pilot training for many of our young Airmen.

Corey has quite the resume, beginning when he was commissioned in the Air Force in 1998 after he graduated from the University of Louisville. He earned a graduate degree in 2005 from the George Washington University and is a graduate of Air Command and Staff College. He successfully completed the SECFDEP Executive Leadership Development Program and a Harvard University National Defense Fellowship.

In his interactions with both me and my staff, Corey always displayed a high level of professionalism and actively worked to advance various initiatives and reach solutions. He was ready and willing to collaborate on a task and help me do my job by making sure I knew the current status down at Vance. Corey’s leadership instilled confidence in his airmen, and he laid critical groundwork for the future of the Enid community.

Corey has been a dedicated leader in our Air Force, serving in Air Mobility Command as a vice wing commander, squadron commander, operations officer, C-17A instructor pilot, and aircraft commander. He has been stationed all around the country, but I am sure his favorite memories will be of his time spent at Altus and Vance Air Force Bases in Oklahoma. While Corey is an experienced pilot who has spent a respectable amount of time flying, he still falls far short of me in terms of flight hours, but maybe someday he will get close.

I want to wish Colonel Simmons the best of luck in his next assignment at Travis Air Force Base as the commander of the 60th Air Mobility Wing. This assignment speaks to the caliber of leader that he is, and I personally am grateful to him, his wife Dawn, and his children for their dedicated service to our Nation, to the Air Force, and to the Enid community. Corey, best of luck.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. YOUNG (for himself, Ms. SINEMA, and Mr. CRUZ):
S. 3958. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to permit the use of incentive payments to expedite certain federally financed port projects; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Ms. CANTWELL (for herself, Mr. MORAN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, and Mrs. CAPITO):
S. 3959. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to provide assistance for foreign civil aviation authorities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mrs. LOEFPFER:
S. 3960. A bill to require the Secretary of Transportation to withhold certain amounts from State and local governments that defund or otherwise reduce funding for certain law enforcement entities without a clear budgetary reason, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. MERRILEY:
S. 3961. A bill to require States and local jurisdictions to allow absentee voting in the November 2020 general election for Federal office for individuals impacted by coronavirus disease 2019, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

By Mr. MORAN:
S. 3962. A bill to amend the Federal Deposit Insurance Act to remove restrictions on brokered deposits, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Ms. HARRIS (for herself, Ms. WARREN, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. WYDEN, Ms. Balfin, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. DURBIN, and Ms. SMITH):
S. 3963. A bill to protect certain whistle-blowers seeking to ensure accountability and oversight of the Nation’s COVID-19 pandemic response, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. GRAHAM (for himself, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. MCALLERY, Ms. SINEMA, and Ms. WARREN):
S. Res. 619. A resolution to provide for the printing of the Senate Manual for the One Hundred Sixteenth Congress; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. CORNYN (for himself, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. WICKER, Ms. ROSEN, Ms. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BURR, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. CASSIDY, Ms. COLLINS, Ms. COONS, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CRAPAO, Mr. CUPP, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Ms. FRAUENSTEIN, Mrs. FISHER, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. HAWLEY, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. HYDE SMITH, Mr. JONES, Mr. KAIN, Mr. KING, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEE, Mrs. LOEFPFER, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. MCGIALLY, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MERRILEY, Mr. MORAN, Ms. MURKOWSKY, Mr. MURPHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. PAUL, Mr. PENDOULIN, Mr. PETERS, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. REED, Mr. RISCH, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WARREN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. DURBIN):
S. Res. 620. A resolution designating June 19, 2020, as “Juneteenth Independence Day” in recognition of June 19, 1865, the date on which news of the end of slavery reached the slaves in the Southwestern States; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. GRAASSLEY (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. CASEY, and Mr. ERNST):
S. Res. 621. A resolution designating June 15, 2020, as “World Elder Abuse Awareness Day”; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for Mr. MARKEY (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. MERRILEY, Mr. BROWN, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. FRAUENSTEIN, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. COONS, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. CASEY, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR)):
S. Res. 622. A resolution condemning attacks against members of the media and reaffirming the centrality of a free and independent press and peaceful assembly to the health of democracy in the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 631
At the request of Mr. CARPER, the names of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) and the Senator from Texas (Mr. PETERS) were added as cosponsors of S. 631, a bill to provide for the admission of the State of Washington, D.C. into the Union.
At the request of Mr. BRANKS, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3171, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to expand and improve health savings accounts, and for other purposes.

S. 3112

At the request of Mr. HARRIS, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3271, a bill to amend the Social Security Act to provide for the establishment of a COVID–19 Racial and Ethnic Disparities Task Force to gather data about disproportionately affected communities and provide recommendations to combat the racial and ethnic disparities in the COVID–19 response.

S. 3933

At the request of Mr. MURRAY, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1684 to S. Res. 580, a resolution designating April 2020 as “Second Chance Month”.

S. RES. 580

At the request of Mr. HARRIS, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1685 to S. Res. 580, a resolution condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID–19.

AMENDMENT NO. 1682

At the request of Mr. MURRAY, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1686 to S. Res. 580, a resolution condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID–19.

AMENDMENT NO. 1685

At the request of Mr. MURRAY, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1687 to S. Res. 580, a resolution condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID–19.

AMENDMENT NO. 1686

At the request of Mr. HARRIS, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1688 to S. Res. 580, a resolution condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID–19.

AMENDMENT NO. 1687
Whereas the diagnosis of PTSD was first described by the American Psychiatric Association in 1980 to commonly and more accurately understand and treat survivors of physical and psychological trauma, including veterans who had endured severe traumatic combat stress; Whereas the word “disorder” can perpetuate the stigma associated with combat stress, and the more general term “post-traumatic stress” is often preferred; and

Whereas the designation of a National Post-Traumatic Stress Awareness Month and a National Post-Traumatic Stress Awareness Day raises public awareness about issues relating to post-traumatic stress, reduces the stigma associated with post-traumatic stress, and helps ensure that individuals suffering from the invisible wounds of war receive proper treatment: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates June 2020 as “National Post-Traumatic Stress Awareness Month”; and

(2) supports the efforts of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Defense,
and the entire medical community to educate members of the Armed Forces, veterans, the families of members of the Armed Forces and veterans, and the public about the causes, symptoms, and treatment of post-traumatic stress; and
(3) supports efforts by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and the Secretary of Defense to foster—
(A) cultural change around the issue of post-traumatic stress; and
(B) understanding that personal interactions can save lives and advance treatment;
(4) welcomes the efforts of the National Center for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder of the Department of Veterans Affairs and local Vet Centers (as defined in section 1712A(h) of title 38, United States Code) to provide assistance to veterans who are suffering from the effects of post-traumatic stress;
(5) encourages the leadership of the Armed Forces to support appropriate treatment of men and women of the Armed Forces who suffer from operational stress injuries; and
(6) recognizes the impact of post-traumatic stress on the spouses and families of members of the Armed Forces and veterans; and
(7) respectfully requests that the Secretary of the Senate transmit a copy of this resolution to—
(A) the Secretary of Veterans Affairs; and
(B) the Secretary of Defense.

SENATE RESOLUTION 619—TO PROVIDE FOR THE PRINTING OF THE SENATE MANUAL FOR THE ONE HUNDRED SIXTEENTH CONGRESS
Mr. BLUMENTHAL submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 619
Resolved, That a revised edition of the Senate Manual for the One Hundred Sixteenth Congress be prepared by the Committee on Rules and Administration and printed as a Senate document, and that fifteen hundred copies shall be printed and bound for the use of the Senate, bound and delivered as may be directed by the Committee on Rules and Administration.

SENATE RESOLUTION 620—DESIGNATING JUNE 19, 2020, AS "JUNETEENTH INDEPENDENCE DAY"
Mr. CORNYN (for himself, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. WICKER, Ms. ROSEN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BURRE, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. CASIDY, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. COONS, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CRUZ, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. FISCHER, Ms. HARRIS, Mr. HAWLEY, Ms. HIRONO, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mr. JONES, Ms. KAINE, Mr. KING, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEE, Mrs. Loeffler, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. MCBY, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. MORAN, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. MURPHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. PAUL, Mr. PERSY, Ms. PRYCE, Mr. REED, Mr. RISCH, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WHITEHURST, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. DURBin) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 620
Whereas news of the end of slavery did not reach the United States in particular the State of Texas and the other Southwestern States, until months after the conclusion of the Civil War, more than 2½ years after President Abraham Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation on January 1, 1863; Whereas the such victims, on June 19, 1865, Union soldiers, led by Major General Gordon Granger, arrived in Galveston, Texas, with news that the Civil War had ended and the enslaved were free; Whereas African Americans who had been slaves in the Southwest celebrated June 19, commonly known as “Juneteenth Independence Day”, as inspiration and encouragement for future generations; Whereas African Americans from the Southwest have continued the tradition of observing Juneteenth Independence Day for more than 150 years; Whereas Juneteenth Independence Day began as a holiday in the State of Texas and is now celebrated in the District of Columbia as a special day of observance in recognition of the emancipation of all slaves in the United States; Whereas Juneteenth Independence Day celebrations have been held to honor African-American freedom while encouraging self-development and respect for all cultures; Whereas the health and strength of observers and participants have demonstrated by former slaves and the descendants of former slaves remain an example for all people of the United States, regardless of background, race, or religion; Whereas slavery was not officially abolished until the ratification of the 13th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States in December 1865; and Whereas, over the course of its history, the United States has grown into a symbol of democracy and freedom around the world: Now, therefore, be it,

Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) designates June 19, 2020, as “Juneteenth Independence Day”,
(2) recognizes the historical significance of Juneteenth Independence Day to the United States;
(3) supports the continued nationwide celebration of Juneteenth Independence Day to provide an opportunity for the people of the United States to learn more about the past and to better understand the experiences that have shaped the United States; and
(4) recognizes that the observance of the end of slavery is part of the history and heritage of the United States.

SENATE RESOLUTION 621—DESIGNATING JUNE 15, 2020, AS "WORLD ELDER ABUSE AWARENESS DAY"
Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. CASEY, and Ms. ERNST) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. Res. 621
Whereas, in 2006, the International Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse proclaimed June 15th of each year as “World Elder Abuse Awareness Day”; Whereas approximately 55,000,000 residents of the United States, or about 1 in every 6 individuals, have attained the age of 65 on the 15th anniversary of World Elder Abuse Awareness Day in 2020; Whereas elder abuse can come in many different forms, often manifesting as physical, sexual, or psychological abuse, financial exploitation, neglect, and social media abuse; Whereas elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation have no boundaries and cross all racial, social, class, gender, and geographic lines, according to the Elder Justice Coalition; Whereas more than 1 in 10 individuals in the United States over the age of 60 have been subjected to abuse each year, with victims suffering abuse in multiple forms, according to the American Journal of Public Health; Whereas most reported cases of abuse, neglect, and exploitation take place within private homes, and approxi- mately 90 percent of the perpetrators in elder financial exploitation cases are family members or other trusted individuals, according to the National Adult Protective Services Association; Whereas research suggests that elderly individuals in the United States experience cognitive impairment, physical disabilities, and isolation are more likely to become the victims of abuse than those without disabilities; Whereas other risk factors for elder abuse can include low social support, poor physical health, and experience of previous traumatic events, according to the National Center on Elder Abuse; Whereas close to half of elderly individuals who suffer from dementia will experience abuse during their lifetime, according to the Department of Justice; Whereas only a small fraction of elder abuse cases are reported to the authorities; Whereas there is a need to increase funding for adult protective service programs with the capacity to aid victims, investigate reports of abuse, and actively prevent future victimization, particularly during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, as the social isolation of elderly individuals due to stay-at-home orders only increases the risk of abuse and neglect; Whereas the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has fueled demand for programs such as state long-term care programs, which help prevent elder abuse and neglect in nursing homes and other long-term care facilities, where infection prevention and control deficiencies pose persistent challenges; Whereas 2020 marks the 10th anniversary of the passage of the Elder Justice Act (subtitle H of title VI of Public Law 111–148) and the 3rd anniversary of the passage of the Elder Abuse Prevention and Prosecution Act (Public Law 115–70); Whereas public awareness of elder abuse has the potential to increase the identification and reporting of this crime by the public, and can act as a catalyst to promote issue-based education and long-term prevention; and Whereas private individuals and public agencies in the United States must work together at the Federal, State, and local levels to combat abuse, neglect, exploitation, crime, and violence against vulnerable adults, including frail elderly adults, particularly in light of limited resources for vital protective services: Now, therefore, be it,

Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) designates June 15, 2020, as “World Elder Abuse Awareness Day”;
(2) recognizes judges, lawyers, adult protective service workers, law enforcement officers, State long-term care ombudsmen, social workers, health care providers,
advocates for victims, and other professionals and agencies for their efforts to advance awareness of elder abuse; (3) encourages members of the public and professionals to report elder abuse with older adults acting as catalysts to promote awareness and long-term prevention of elder abuse; (4) encourages those Federal agencies with responsibility for preventing elder abuse to fully exercise such responsibilities to protect older adults living in the community or in long-term care facilities.

Whereas Kirstin McCudden, managing editor of the U.S. Press Freedom Tracker, described the uptick in reports of violations of freedom of the press in the United States between May 25 and June 3, 2020, as “unprecedented in scope without a doubt.”;

Whereas Joel Simon, executive director of the Committee to Protect Journalists, wrote that “Journalist safety and freedom of expression is vital, both in order to inform the public about the demands of the protesters and also to hold officials accountable.”; and

Whereas media and press freedom organizations, led by the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, signed a letter demanding that law enforcement officials immediately stop attacks against credentialed, clearly identifiable journalists and stressed that law enforcement officers do not have legal immunity when they violate clearly established rights under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate— (1) reaffirms its commitment to the freedom of the press and peaceful assembly as pillars of democracy in the United States; (2) condemns in the strongest terms violence committed against people of the United States exercising their right to free speech and assembly, including journalists and members of the media, whether that violence is committed by government officials or anyone else; (3) condemns in the strongest terms actions on the part of any local, State, or Federal authorities to limit, restrict, or in any way prevent members of the media— (A) performing their jobs, which contribute to the distribution of vital information; (B) promoting government accountability; (C) defending democratic activity; and (D) strengthening the individual; (4) recognizes the bravery and courage of the journalists of the United States, foreign journalists, and members of the media who put their own safety at risk in order to cover the demonstrations associated with the death of George Floyd and bring information to the people of the United States and the world;

(5) calls on local, State, and Federal authorities to— (A) take steps to ensure that members of the media are able to safely perform their duties without interference, censorship, threats of violence, or physical harm; and (B) expand on the news media from any curfew regulations; and

(6) calls on local, State, and Federal authorities and officials to— (A) identify and thoroughly investigate instances in which— (i) government officials or members of police forces have restricted media access to the demonstrations associated with the death of George Floyd; or (ii) violence was perpetrated against members of the media during those demonstrations; and

(B) ensure that the perpetrators of violence against the media are appropriately disciplined and, if appropriate, charged.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 712) to provide assistance for United States citizens and nationals taken hostage or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Foreign Relations, with an amendment and an amendment to the title. (Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic.)

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the “Robert Levinson Hostage Recovery and Hostage-Taking Accountability Act.”

SEC. 2. ASSISTANCE FOR UNITED STATES NATIONALS UNLAWFULLY OR WRONGFULLY DETAINED ABROAD.

(a) REVIEW. —The Secretary of State shall review the cases of United States nationals detained abroad to determine if there is credible information that they are being detained unlawfully or wrongfully, based on criteria which may include whether— (1) United States officials receive or possess credible information indicating innocence of the detained individual;

(2) the individual is being detained solely or substantially because he or she is a United States national; (3) the individual is being detained solely or substantially to influence United States Government policy or to secure economic or political concessions from the United States Government; (4) the detention appears to be because the individual sought to obtain, exercise, defend, or promote freedom of the press, freedom of religion, or the right to peacefully assemble;

(5) the detention is by a foreign government or a nongovernmental organization or journalists have raised legitimate questions about the innocence of the detained individual;

(6) the United States mission in the country where the individual is being detained has received credible reports that the detention is a pretext for an illegitimate purpose; (8) the individual is detained in a country where the Department of State has determined in an annual human rights report that the judicial system is not independent or impartial, is susceptible to corruption, or is incapable of rendering just verdicts;

(b) REFERRALS TO THE SPECIAL ENVOY.—Upon a determination by the Secretary of State, based on the totality of the circumstances, that there is credible information of unlawful or wrongful detention of a United States national abroad is unlawful or wrongful, and regardless of whether the detention is by a foreign government or a nongovernmental actor, the Secretary shall transfer responsibility for such case from the Bureau of Consular Affairs of the Department of State to the Special Envoy for Hostage Affairs created pursuant to section 3.

(c) REPORT.— (1) Annual report.— (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State shall submit to the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress an annual report with respect to United States nationals for whom the Secretary determines there is credible information of unlawful or wrongful detention.

(B) FORM.—The report required under this paragraph shall be submitted in unclassified
form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.

(2) COMPOSITION.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include current estimates of the numbers so detained pursuant to this section and all relevant information about particular cases, such as:

(A) the name of the individual, unless the provision of this information is inconsistent with section 552a of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the “Privacy Act of 1974”);

(B) the date of the case, if known;

(C) a summary of the information that such individual may be detained unlawfully or wrongfully;

(D) a description of specific efforts, legal and diplomatic, taken in coordination with the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell in support of hostage recovery efforts, in coordination with the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell;

(E) a description of relevant actions taken to ensure prompt and regular access for the detained individual to legal counsel, family members, humane treatment, and other services; and

(F) the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

(d) DUTIES.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall:

(1) establish an interagency Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell; and

(2) coordinate all diplomatic engagements and agencies, and offices to make available personnel to participate in the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell.

(1) The Department of State.

(2) The Department of Defense.

(3) The Department of Justice.

(4) The Department of the Treasury.

(5) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.


(7) The Central Intelligence Agency.

(8) Other agencies as the President, from time to time, may designate.

(e) PERSONNEL.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall include:

(1) a Director, who shall be a full-time senior official or employee of the United States Government;

(2) a Family Engagement Coordinator who shall—

(A) work to ensure that all interactions by executive branch officials with a hostage’s family occur in a coordinated fashion and that the family receives consistent and accurate information from the United States Government; and

(B) if directed, perform the same function as set out in subparagraph (A) with regard to the family of a United States national who is unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad; and

(3) other employees as deemed appropriate by the President.

(f) REPORTING.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall:

(1) coordinate efforts by participating agencies to ensure that all relevant information, expertise, and resources are brought to bear to secure the safe and unharmed release of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully held abroad;

(2) contact information for officials in the Department of State or other government agencies suited to answer family questions;

(3) additional Intelligence, coordinate the declassification of information that advocate on behalf of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(4) pursuant to policy guidance coordinated through the National Security Council—

(A) identify and recommend hostage recovery options and strategies to the President through the National Security Council or the Deputies Committee of the National Security Council;

(B) coordinate efforts by participating agencies to ensure that information regarding hostage events, including potential recovery options and strategies, is appropriately shared within the United States Government, and

(C) make recommendations to agencies in coordination with the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell and the Special Envoy for Hostage Affairs on the status of United States nationals being held hostage or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and measures being taken to effect safe recoveries;

(2) receive regular updates from the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell and the Special Envoy for Hostage Affairs on the status of United States nationals being held hostage or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(3) receive regular updates from the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell and other hostage-takings occurring abroad in which the United States has a national interest;

(3) in coordination with the release of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(4) pursuant to policy guidance coordinated through the National Security Council.

(3) in coordination with the release of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(4) pursuant to policy guidance coordinated through the National Security Council.

(4) DUTIES.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall—

(A) identify and recommend hostage recovery options and strategies to the President through the National Security Council or the Deputies Committee of the National Security Council;

(B) coordinate efforts by participating agencies to ensure that information regarding hostage events, including potential recovery options and strategies, is appropriately shared within the United States Government, and

(C) ensure that families of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad receive updated information about developments in cases and government policy.

SECC. 4. HOSTAGE RECOVERY FUSION CELL.

SEC. 4. HOSTAGE RECOVERY FUSION CELL.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The President shall establish an interagency Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell.

(b) PARTICIPATION.—The President shall direct the heads of each of the following executive departments, agencies, and offices to make available personnel to participate in the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell:

(1) The Department of State.

(2) The Department of Defense.

(3) The Department of Justice.

(b) DUTIES.—The President shall direct the heads of each of the following executive departments, agencies, and offices to make available personnel to participate in the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell:

(1) The Department of State.

(b) DUTIES.—The President shall direct the heads of each of the following executive departments, agencies, and offices to make available personnel to participate in the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell:

(1) The Department of State.

(2) The Department of Defense.

(3) The Department of Justice.

(4) The Department of the Treasury.

(5) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.


(7) The Central Intelligence Agency.

(8) Other agencies as the President, from time to time, may designate.

(c) PERSONNEL.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall include:

(1) a Director, who shall be a full-time senior official or employee of the United States Government;

(2) a Family Engagement Coordinator who shall—

(A) work to ensure that all interactions by executive branch officials with a hostage’s family occur in a coordinated fashion and that the family receives consistent and accurate information from the United States Government; and

(B) if directed, perform the same function as set out in subparagraph (A) with regard to the family of a United States national who is unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad; and

(3) other employees as deemed appropriate by the President.

(d) REPORTING.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall:

(1) coordinate efforts by participating agencies to ensure that all relevant information, expertise, and resources are brought to bear to secure the safe and unharmed release of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully held abroad;

(2) contact information for officials in the Department of State or other government agencies suited to answer family questions;

(3) additional Intelligence, coordinate the declassification of information that advocate on behalf of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(4) pursuant to policy guidance coordinated through the National Security Council.

(3) in coordination with the release of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and

(4) pursuant to policy guidance coordinated through the National Security Council.

(4) DUTIES.—The Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell shall—

(A) identify and recommend hostage recovery options and strategies to the President through the National Security Council or the Deputies Committee of the National Security Council;

(B) coordinate efforts by participating agencies to ensure that information regarding hostage events, including potential recovery options and strategies, is appropriately shared within the United States Government, and

(C) ensure that families of United States nationals unlawful or wrongfully detained abroad receive updated information about developments in cases and government policy.

SEC. 5. HOSTAGE RESPONSE GROUP.

SEC. 5. HOSTAGE RESPONSE GROUP.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The President shall establish a Hostage Response Group, chaired by a designated member of the National Security Council or the Deputies Committee of the National Security Council, and responsible for, on a regular basis, to further the safe recovery of United States nationals held hostage abroad or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and to task and coordinating with the United States Government response to other hostage-takings occurring abroad in which the United States has a national interest.

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—The regular members of the Hostage Response Group shall include the Director of the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell, the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell’s Family Engagement Coordinator, the Special Envoy appointed pursuant to section 3, and representatives from the Department of the Treasury, the Department of Defense, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the Central Intelligence Agency, and other agencies as the President, from time to time, may designate.

(c) DUTIES.—The Hostage Response Group shall—

(1) identify and recommend hostage recovery options and strategies to the President through the National Security Council;

(2) coordinate the development and implementation of United States hostage recovery policies, strategies, and procedures;

(3) receive regular updates from the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell and the Special Envoy for Hostage Affairs on the status of United States nationals being held hostage or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, and measures being taken to effect safe recoveries;

(4) coordinate the provision of policy guidance to the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell, including reviewing recovery options proposed by the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell and working to resolve disputes within the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell;

(5) as appropriate, direct the use of resources at the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell to coordinate or assist in the safe recovery of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad; and

(6) as appropriate, direct the use of resources at the Hostage Recovery Fusion Cell to coordinate or assist in the safe recovery of United States nationals unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad.

SEC. 6. AUTHORIZATION OF IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS.

SEC. 6. AUTHORIZATION OF IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The President may impose the actions described in this subsection with respect to any foreign person the President determines, based on credible evidence—

(1) is responsible for or is complicit in, or responsible for ordering, controlling, or otherwise directing, the hostage-taking of a United States national abroad or the unlawful or wrongful detention of a United States national abroad; or

(2) knowingly providing financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services in support of, an activity described in paragraph (1).

(b) GENERAL SANCTIONS.—The actions described in this subsection are the following:

(1) INELIGIBILITY FOR VISAS, ADMISSION, OR PAROLE.—An alien described in subsection (a) may be—

(i) inadmissible to the United States;
(ii) ineligible to receive a visa or other documenta
tion to enter the United States; and
(iii) otherwise ineligible to be admitted or par
celed into the United States or to receive any other
documentation to enter the United States under
the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.).

(B) CURRENT VISAS REVOKED.—
(i) If the President or any alien described in subsec-
tion (a) may be subject to revocation of any visa or other entry documentation regardless of
when the visa or other entry documentation is or was
issued.

(ii) IMMEDIATE EFFECT.—A revocation under clause (i) may—
(I) take effect immediately; and
(ii) cancel any other valid visa or entry docu-
tation that is in the alien’s possession.

(2) BLOCKING OF PROPERTY.—
(A) The President may exercise on all the powers granted to the President under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), to the exten-
tility to block and prohibit all transactions in
property and interests in property of a foreign
person described in subsection (a) if such prop-
erty and interests in property are in the United
States, come within the possession or control of a
United States person.

(B) APPLICATION OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY
REQUIREMENT.—The requirements of section 202 of
the International Emergency Economic Powers
Act (50 U.S.C. 1701) shall not apply for pur-
poses of this section.

(c) EXCEPTIONS.—
(1) EXCEPTION FOR INTELLIGENCE ACTIVI-
ties.—Sanctions under this section shall not apply to any activity subject to the reporting re-
quirements under title V of the National Secu-
ritv Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.) or any
authority intelligence activities of the United States.

(2) EXCEPTION TO COMPLY WITH IN-
TERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS AND FOR LAW
ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES.—Sanctions under subsection (b)(1) shall not apply with respect to an alien if admitt-
ing or paroling the alien into the United
States is necessary.

(A) to permit a United States to comply with
the Agreement regarding the Headquarters of
the United Nations, signed at Lake Success June
26, 1947, and entered into force November 21,
1947, between the United States, or the United
States, or other applicable international
obligations; or

(B) to carry out or assist law enforce-
activity of the United States.

(3) EXCEPTION RELATING TO IMPORTATION OF
GOODS.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—The authorities and require-
ments to impose sanctions authorized under subsec-
tion (b)(2) shall not include the authority or a
requirement to impose sanctions on the importa-
tion of goods.

(B) GOOD DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the
term “good” means any article, natural or man-
made substance, material, supply or manufac-
tured product, including inspection and test equi-
ment, and excluding technical data.

(d) PENALITIES.—A person that violates, at-
ttemps to violate, conspires to violate, or causes a violation of subsection (b)(2) or any regula-
tion, license, or order issued to carry out that
subsection shall be subject to the penalties set
forth in subsections (b) and (c) of section 206 of
the International Emergency Economic Powers
Act (50 U.S.C. 1705) to the same extent as a per-
son that commits an unlawful act described in subsection (c) of that section.

(e) TERMINATION OF SANCTIONS.—The Presi-
dent may terminate the application of sanctions under this section with respect to a person if the
President determines that

(I) information exists that the person did not engage in the activity for which sanctions were imposed;

(II) the President has been prosecuted appropri-
ately for the activity for which sanctions were imposed;

(III) the person has credibly demonstrated a sig-
nificant change in behavior, has paid an appro-
riate consequence for the activity for which sanctions were imposed, and has credibly com-
mited not to engage in an activity described in subsection (a) in the future; or

(IV) the termination of the sanctions is in the
national security interests of the United States.

(f) IMPLEMENTATION OF REGULATORY AUTH-
ORITY.—The President may exercise all au-
thorities provided under sections 203 and 205 of
the International Emergency Economic Powers
Act (50 U.S.C. 1702 and 1704) to carry out this
section.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) FOREIGN PERSON.—The term “foreign
person” means—

(A) any citizen or national of a foreign coun-
try (including any such individual who is also a citi-
zan or national of the United States); or

(B) any entity not organized solely under the
laws of the United States or existing solely in the
United States.

(2) UNITED STATES PERSON.—The term “United States
person” means—

(A) an individual who is a United States citi-
zen or an alien lawfully admitted for perma-
nent residence to the United States;

(i) an entity organized under the laws of the
United States or any jurisdiction within the
United States, including a foreign branch of
such an entity; or

(ii) any person in the United States.

(3) UNITED STATES NATIONAL.—The term “United States
national” means—

(A) a United States national as defined in sec-
tion 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22), 8
U.S.C. 1408); and

(B) a lawful permanent resident alien with
significant ties to the United States.

SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS.
In this Act:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
TEES.—The term “appropriate congressional
committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the
Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on
Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Com-
mittee on the Judiciary, the Committee on
Armed Services, and the Select Committee on In-
telligence of the United States Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the
Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on
Financial Services, the Committee on the Judici-
ary, the Committee on Armed Services, and the
Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the
House of Representatives.

(2) UNITED STATES NATIONAL.—The term
“United States national” means—

(A) a United States national as defined in sec-
tion 101(a)(22) or section 308 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22), 8
U.S.C. 1408); and

(B) a lawful permanent resident alien with
significant ties to the United States.

SEC. 8. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.
Nothing in this Act shall be construed to au-
thorize a private right of action.

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I ask
unanimous consent that the com-
mittee-reported substitute amendment
be agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported title amendment be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 619) was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 619) was
agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported title amendment be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 620) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 620) was
agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported substitute amendment be agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported title amendment be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 620) was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 620) was
agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported substitute amendment be agreed to; that the bill, as amended,
be considered read a third time and
passed; that the committee-reported title amendment be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.
ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2020

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, June 16; further, that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and morning business be closed; further, that following leader remarks, the Senate resume consideration of Calendar No. 75, H.R. 1957; further, that the Senate recess from 12:30 until 2:15 p.m. for the weekly conference meetings; finally, that all time during adjournment, leader remarks, and recess count postcloture on H.R. 1957.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 7:19 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, June 16, 2020, at 10 a.m.
TRIBUTE TO REVEREND LOUIS P. SHELDON

HON. KEN CALVERT
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. CALVERT. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize an individual, Reverend Louis P. Sheldon, who passed away at the age of 85 on May 29, 2020 in Orange County, California. Born in Washington, D.C. in 1934, Sheldon became a Christian in his teens and earned a B.S. in history from Michigan State University in 1957. Sheldon and his wife, Beverly, married on August 24, 1957 and had four children. In 1960, Sheldon was ordained a Presbyterian minister. He would go on to serve as a pastor for churches in North Dakota and California. After spending 52 years in the Presbyterian church, Sheldon left in 2012 and was ordained as an Anglican priest.

Throughout his life Sheldon was a grass-roots religious organizer and active advocate on multiple fronts within the heart of urban Des Moines, and a board member for the Guardian Project to strengthen ties between Des Moines Police officers and community members. While there are more formal roles to mention, I’d rather note how Izaah also spends mornings having breakfast with students at Des Moines North High School. His efforts to make meaningful connections and address tough issues head on are leadership in action.

This honor today is hardly the first to recognize the unique determination and impact of Izaah Knox. In 2012, he was awarded the United States of America President’s Volunteer Service Award, and in 2013 he was named one of Iowa’s most influential people by the Des Moines Register. In 2016 he became one of the Des Moines Business Record’s Forty Under 40. Central Iowa is watching this leader grow and recognizing his accomplishments along the way, and for very good reason.

Our future as a nation depends on the strength of our neighborhoods and communities; that’s never been more evident than during these past few months. As COVID-19 spread, Izaah and the Urban Dreams team kept serving those in need by giving away free, hot meals in Evelyn K. Davis Park, providing mental health and substance abuse services through telehealth, and meeting in smaller groups to continue workforce training. And as protesters took to the streets following George Floyd’s murder, Izaah has been there night after night to protect and support the youth voices leading the movement. His steady presence and dedication are helping guide Des Moines through these uncertain times.

Advocates like Izaah—those who are passionate about lifelong learning, connecting with people, and doing the work—are our most valuable agents of change. They push all of us to reflect on where we can be giving more of ourselves to make things better for those around us. We need people like Izaah to keep bold organizations like Urban Dreams to thrive so the individuals they serve can meet their highest potential. When we lift up one another, the whole community benefits.

In his role as Executive Director of Urban Dreams, he leads a team of similarly dedicated leaders within the heart of urban Des Moines to provide human service programs to the underserved and underrepresented. The non-profit offers critical services like outpatient substance abuse treatment and OWI weekend diversion classes, employment and after-school IT programs, community-police relations initiatives, mental health treatment, and nonpartisan voter education. They’re currently working on a project to build a community park adjacent to the Urban Dreams building so neighbors can gather, celebrate, and engage with one another. Despite narrow operating margins and much to do, Izaah is consistently finding ways to strengthen and expand programming to serve more Iowans in need.

Our future as a nation depends on the strength of our neighborhoods and communities; that’s never been more evident than during these past few months. As COVID-19 spread, Izaah and the Urban Dreams team kept serving those in need by giving away free, hot meals in Evelyn K. Davis Park, providing mental health and substance abuse services through telehealth, and meeting in smaller groups to continue workforce training. And as protesters took to the streets following George Floyd’s murder, Izaah has been there night after night to protect and support the youth voices leading the movement. His steady presence and dedication are helping guide Des Moines through these uncertain times.

Advocates like Izaah—those who are passionate about lifelong learning, connecting with people, and doing the work—are our most valuable agents of change. They push all of us to reflect on where we can be giving more of ourselves to make things better for those around us. We need people like Izaah to keep bold organizations like Urban Dreams to thrive so the individuals they serve can meet their highest potential. When we lift up one another, the whole community benefits.

INTRODUCTION OF THE PLASTIC WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ACT

HON. HALEY M. STEVENS
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Ms. STEVENS. Madam Speaker, I am proud to introduce the Plastic Waste Reduction and Recycling Act with my colleague Representative Gonzalez, as well as Chairwoman Eddie Bernice Johnson and Ranking Member Frank Lucas, who have joined us as leading cosponsors of this bipartisan bill.

Plastic is valuable in many applications. It’s used as a high-performance material for medical devices and in safety components for automobiles. Plastic is also convenient. It’s a mainstay in American households from our toothbrushes to food storage to shampoo and detergent bottles. Global plastic production increased from 2 million tons per year in 1950 to 400 million tons annually in recent years. What happens to all of the discarded plastic is why I am introducing this legislation today. We can no longer deal with a plastic waste crisis. In 2018, the U.S. woke up to the fragile predicament of our plastic waste management system. No longer able to ship our plastic waste to international markets, U.S. cities were forced to cut longstanding recycling programs. Instead, they had to resort to incinerating recyclables or tossing them in landfills. There are plenty of reasons for why and how we got here; however, a major factor is because we failed as a nation to invest in domestic recycling infrastructure and policies to account for the growing demand for plastic. As a result, today, the U.S. recycles just 9 percent of its plastic waste.

The Plastic Waste Reduction and Recycling Act directs the establishment of a plastic waste reduction and recycling research and development program to develop a world-leading U.S. industry in advanced plastics recycling technologies, and unleash the innovative potential of our nation to address our plastic waste crisis and generate greater value from the plastics we do produce. This approach has the potential to create jobs, develop supply chains, and meet environmental needs.

Most notably, this legislation authorizes research and development across several agencies and a range of topics, including plastics that are recyclable by design, next generation recycling technologies, upcycling into high-value products, and environmental impacts of plastic waste. This bill also calls on the Federal government to develop a strategic plan for plastic waste reduction and recycling and plastic waste remediation and to develop partnerships with States, local governments, academia, industry, and international partners to achieve those goals.

Finally, this legislation would help ensure U.S. leadership in national and international research and standards development for plastics recycling technologies. Advanced sorting...
Mr. LYNCH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Nancy Rossman of the Central Minnesota Mental Health Center (CMMHC). For over sixteen years, Nancy has served as the Program Director for the center’s Detox Program and designated Registered Nurse for their Crisis Program.

Nancy joined CMMHC in 1972 after completing her nursing degree at the College of St. Benedict in St. Joseph. Since then, Nancy has dedicated what can only be described as a lifetime of service to CMMHC and the Detox Program, acting as a community-based case manager to patients in need.

Nancy’s career spans the Detox Program’s whole lifetime, and indeed, Nancy is the program’s “unofficial historian.” Her work has not only impacted countless patients, but also given them the greatest possible gift—a second chance at life.

I congratulate Nancy on her retirement. I have no doubt her commitment to CMMHC will be touching patients for years to come. I thank her and hope she enjoys her well-earned retirement.

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Nancy Rossman of the Central Minnesota Mental Health Center (CMMHC). For over sixteen years, Nancy has served as the Program Director for the center’s Detox Program and designated Registered Nurse for their Crisis Program.

Nancy joined CMMHC in 1972 after completing her nursing degree at the College of St. Benedict in St. Joseph. Since then, Nancy has dedicated what can only be described as a lifetime of service to CMMHC and the Detox Program, acting as a community-based case manager to patients in need.

Nancy’s career spans the Detox Program’s whole lifetime, and indeed, Nancy is the program’s “unofficial historian.” Her work has not only impacted countless patients, but also given them the greatest possible gift—a second chance at life.

I congratulate Nancy on her retirement. I have no doubt her commitment to CMMHC will be touching patients for years to come. I thank her and hope she enjoys her well-earned retirement.

HON. STEPHEN F. LYNCH
OF MASSACHUSETTS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. LYNCH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in honor of Anthony (Tony) Philip Andreotti, in recognition of his outstanding contributions to his hometown of Canton, Massachusetts, and to commend him for over 50 years of dedicated service to his community and our country.

The son of Angelina and Carmine Andreotti, immigrants from Naples, Italy, Tony was born on May 22, 1933, on Staten Island in New York. The family moved to Canton in 1935 where Tony attended St. John’s Elementary School and graduated from Canton High School in 1951. In 1953, Tony was drafted into the United States Army, serving in both Korea and Japan before he was honorably discharged in 1955. Upon completion of his military service, Tony attended Burdett College in Boston and earned an associate degree in accounting in 1959. Subsequently, he worked at the Norfolk County Trust until 1960 and Crosby Valve from 1960 until 1983. In 1981, Tony was elected to the Board of Selectmen in Canton and also served as Town Treasurer. In addition to his elective service, Tony served on the Board of Directors at Norwood Hospital, serving as Chairman from 1996 until 1998.

Madam Speaker, Tony has made his biggest impact by advocating for veterans. He was appointed Veterans’ Agent in Canton in 1999, a position he held for over 20 years. His relentless pursuit of benefits for veterans is legendary and his accomplishments are many.

Tony created the Veterans’ Memorial Park at Canton Corner Cemetery, consolidating veterans’ monuments dispersed throughout Canton into one location. Tony also organized a Legion Walk of Honor in Canton, complete with bricks engraved with the names of the 77 Canton residents who died while serving our country during the Civil War, WWI, WWII, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, and the Iraq War. Tony also organized the Fallen Heroes Street Sign Program, a local initiative honoring the same 77 residents who made the ultimate sacrifice.

Furthermore, Tony established a Funeral Honor Guard which presides at veterans’ funerals throughout Massachusetts, and worked tirelessly to ensure that Canton residents who left high school early to serve in the armed forces, received their diplomas upon returning. Quite simply, Tony is perhaps the most effective and most dedicated Veterans’ Agent in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, if not the entire United States of America.

Tony has had the good fortune to have been married to Patricia for 35 years. They are the proud parents of two sons, David and James. On October 26, 2019, the Town of Canton dedicated the roadway in front of Veterans’ Memorial Park to Tony, naming it Andreotti Way. Generations to come will know him as a hero of our military and respect his dedication to our veterans.

Madam Speaker, it is my distinct honor to join with Tony Andreotti’s family, friends and contemporaries to thank him for his remarkable service to his town of Canton and to the United States of America.

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to offer my heartfelt congratulations to Battalion Chief David Lambrix as he celebrates his retirement and recognize his many years of distinguished service with the Dearborn Fire Department.

David Lambrix joined the Dearborn Fire Department as a firefighter on September 6th, 1994. Throughout his twenty-five years with the force, Lambrix rose through the ranks of firefighter, fire lieutenant, and captain. In 2017, Lambrix was promoted to battalion chief, and has held the position ever since. Among his friends, colleagues, and peers, Lambrix is recognized as a humble leader who strives to make a difference without seeking praise or recognition for any of his significant accomplishments.

Prior to his career with the fire department, David Lambrix dutifully served his country. He served as an EM2 in the U.S. Navy from 1984 to 1988 in Alameda, California. Without a doubt, Lambrix understands what it means to be an active and loyal community member. He devotes himself to causes he believes in and has made a difference in all the lives he has touched. Lambrix has received numerous honors and accolades for his outstanding work including the Fire Chief Life Saving Award, the Perfect Attendance Award, and the Meritorious Service Award, among a host of others. Most recently, Lambrix was bestowed the John D. Dingell Medal of Freedom Memorial Commission in 2019 for his dedicated career in public service and commitment to Dearborn.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating the retirement of Battalion Chief David Lambrix from the Dearborn Fire Department. I am proud to honor his work, accomplishments, and significant community impact. I thank him for his outstanding service and wish him the best of luck in his future endeavors.

IN RECOGNITION OF MAYOR MIKE WALSH’S 24 YEARS OF SERVICE
HON. MIKE GALLAGHER
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. GALLAGHER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Mayor Mike Walsh of De Pere, Wisconsin.

Mr. Walsh has served his community faithfully as mayor since 1996, after 14 years on the city’s Park Board. A De Pere native, Mike Walsh brought valuable experience and a community-based approach with him to the mayor’s office that helped him better serve the people of his hometown.

Over the course of Mike Walsh’s 24-year tenure as mayor, he revitalized De Pere’s infrastructure and recreational sites, drawing on his experience from the city’s Park Board. One of Mr. Walsh’s most notable accomplishments was the creation of the scenic De Pere Riverwalk, which led to new housing developments and increased use of the Fox River Trail. Mr. Walsh also facilitated the development of both the city’s east and west sides and championed the reconstruction of the historic Claude Allouez Memorial Bridge.

As the City of De Pere’s economy grew under Mayor Walsh’s leadership, so did the number of people eager to move to the area. He oversaw the city’s population growth from 16,000 in 1990, to 25,000 by the time he left office this April.

Mayor Walsh’s devoted leadership to the City of De Pere will be remembered by a grateful community. In his retirement, he is looking forward to spending more time with his grandchildren.
Madam Speaker, it is my honor to commemorate the career of Mayor Mike Walsh and thank him for his selfless leadership. His service and commitment to the development of De Pere serves as an example for every public servant. I wish him the best in his retirement.

IN RECOGNITION OF MAJOR GENERAL CLINTON CROSIER

HON. MIKE ROGERS
OF ALABAMA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Major General Clinton E. Crosier, United States Space Force.

On Friday, December 20, 2019, President Donald Trump signed into law the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, creating the sixth branch of the United States Armed Forces, the United States Space Force. This was a historic moment; a watershed step to increasing our ability to protect and defend the American way of life. I can say, without a doubt, this would not have happened as swiftly and smoothly as it did without Clint’s leadership as the Director of the DOD Space Force Planning Task Force.

So how did a boy from Iowa grow up to help create the Space Force? Well, while he was traveling the world with his military family, he always had his eyes on the sky. When he began his collegiate education at Iowa State, he undertook a really easy major, aerospace engineering, and then sought out a commission in the United States Air Force in 1988 where he ultimately wound up flying satellites and launching rockets.

He quickly earned top honors in multiple space training schools and developed satellite-commanding processes that became the new Air Force standard. He then served in the United States Senate where he authored key Congressional language that improved the national space enterprise, and in the Office of the Secretary of Defense he was main interface to the National Security Council, charged with rebalancing America’s space intelligence architecture. As the signature authority for dozens of international agreements with Allies, he improved United States space capabilities, and represented the United States at key meetings with North Atlantic Treaty Organization and United Nations.

With this breadth of experience, it’s no wonder he was selected as the lead planner for the Presidential-directed United States Space Force during Congressional authorization of the first new military service in 72 years. Together with his team, he led the development of the macro-organizational design, the stand-up of the initial force elements, the funding and manpower requirements, the implementation of the policies and processes that governed the establishment of the United States Space Force.

His military awards include the Bronze Star combat medal for a yearlong deployment to the Middle East, the National Reconnaissance Office Director’s Gold Medal, the Iowa State University Presidential Engineering Department Distinguished Alumni Award, and the Lance P. Sijan Leadership award. This career of accolades is only possibly through the incredible support of his family; his wife, Mrs. Shellie Crosier, and their three children: Clint, Stephanie and Kellie, along with six grandchildren.

Major General Crosier distinguished himself over 33 years of military service, representing the United States of America with the highest honor and distinction. Major General Crosier, as the ‘architect of PIN’ - is an inspiration for all of us who stand ready to serve our great country. We are grateful for his unique vision and commitment. I thank Major General Crosier. America will continue to defend the highest ground, and the Space Force will thrive, because of your noble efforts.

CONGRATULATING RICK KLEVEN ON A WELL-EARNED RETIREMENT

HON. TOM EMMER
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise together with my colleague from Minnesota Representative CRAIG to congratulate Richard “Rick” Kleven on his retirement at the end of June after more than 39 years with Thrivent. Throughout his nearly four decades of service at Thrivent, Rick has become a pillar of the Twin Cities community, leading Thrivent’s government affairs efforts to educate federal and state policymakers about the important role that fraternal benefit societies play in society, helping people achieve financial security and live generous lives through important charitable and volunteer efforts that include disaster relief, partnering with organizations that address housing insecurity, and tens of thousands of individual service projects. These efforts have had a great impact not just in my district, but for the entire region and nation. Rick’s dedication, commitment, and enthusiasm for Thrivent’s unique mission—connecting insurance protection with community service—has been inspiring to watch and work with him on; for me, both during my time serving in the U.S. Congress and the Minnesota State House of Representatives.

A lifelong Minnesotan and proud “Iron Ranger” who grew up in Grand Rapids, MN, Rick is a true Minnesota sports team fan, particularly a diehard for our Vikings. He received his J.D. from the University of Minnesota Law School and his B.A. from the University of Minnesota—Duluth. Rick has led Thrivent’s Government Affairs activities for more than 17 years, and served previously in a number of roles as legal counsel and manager of corporate legal functions within the organization through his long arc of service which began in 1981 when he was the Lutheren Brotherhood.

Rick has been a great advocate on policy matters on Thrivent’s behalf, but also walks the walk of Thrivent’s mission of service—something he learned from both of his parents, including his Dad who was awarded Itasca County’s Outstanding Senior Volunteer of the Year in 2018. Rick has volunteered as a Big Brother, served as a board member for multiple nonprofit organizations, and epitomizes the ubiquitous Thrivent T-shirt that says “Live Generously.” Recently, he served as Chairman of the Board of Gilda’s Club Twin Cities, and played a crucial role in helping to raise money to build the organization’s club-house that provides support for people with cancer as well as their families and friends. Rick also served for 8 years on the board of Person to Person, a charitable organization that operates Meals on Wheels and various other programs to help the needy in the Twin Cities area. He also was part of the original start-up board Thrivent, which has become a public company in the world to donate 100 percent of profits back to local food shelves. Rick currently sits on the board of the American Fraternal Alliance, the nationwide coalition of for-profit fraternal benefit societies. Along with many of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, including Representative CRAIG who represents Rick’s hometown of Eagan, will miss seeing him here in Washington. I’m sure his friendly face and open heart will remain a pivotal part of the Twin Cities community for a long time to come. We wish him all the best and hope in retirement he can continue to indulge in his favorite hobbies: making the world better for his wife, two Aussies, and fellow Minnesotans as well as finding even more ways to support rock n’ roll! Congratulations, Rick—Here Comes the Sun.

TRIBUTE TO ROBERT “BOB” ALAN STOCKTON

HON. KEN CALVERT
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. CALVERT. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor and pay tribute to an outstanding individual, Bob Stockton, who passed away at the age of 66 on May 13, 2020. Bob was a loving husband, father, grandfather, and one of Riverside County’s most dedicated public servants. He will be deeply missed.

Bob was a southern California native, born in San Diego on December 20, 1953, the son of Robert and Beverly Stockton. He earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Construction Engineering from California Polytechnic University, Pomona in 1975. Bob married the love of his life, Kelli Tyson, 16 years ago and between the two of them they have five wonderful children and four grandchildren. Kelli and Bob loved to travel, and Hawaii was at the top of their list. Some of their greatest adventures while traveling include riding a portion of the Tour de France route in 2004 and being baptized in the Jordan River in Israel by friend and pastor, Matt Brown in 2015.

To merely say Bob was a tireless advocate for Riverside County would not do him justice. He served on more organizations that I can name here, but they include: the Monday Morning Group; the Greater Riverside Chamber of Commerce; Leadership Riverside; California Baptist University; Path of Life Ministries; the American Heart Association; the East Hills Chamber; and Western Municipal Water District. He also was a leader of his son’s Boy Scout troop and “The Prop Guy” for his daughter’s dance studio. He managed to do all of this while serving as the Vice President and Principal-in-Charge at Rick Engineering Company. He was, as Rusty Bailey, Bob’s friend and the current Mayor of Riverside would say, a “true saint of Riverside.”

Bob’s service to the organizations in our community is matched only by his dedication...
to the individuals within them. He lived his life
motto of “Work Hard, Play Hard, Pray Hard”
each and every day. Bob was passionate
about training and coaching athletes and help-
ing his friends and family achieve any of their
goals. He coached the Woodcrest Christian
Mountaineer Boys Basketball Team and helped train anyone
who asked in preparation for Ironman chal-
enges, triathlons, half-marathons, and Cat-
alina SOK runs, to name only a few competi-
tions he loved. When Bob wasn’t racing him-
self, he could be found cheering on the side-
lines for anyone striving to reach their goals.
I had the pleasure of knowing Bob through
his service to Riverside County and can per-
sonally attest to the countless lives he
touched. He was a great American, an es-
teemed leader, a respected mentor and a
dedicated husband, father, and friend. I extend
my heartfelt condolences to Kelli, to their chil-
dren, Nathan, Heather, Adam, Travis, and
Emily, their grandchildren Rages, Winter, Har-
ley, and Easton, and the rest of Bob’s family
and friends. Although he may no longer be
with us, he will continue to have a lasting im-
pact on the lives of his family and community.

HONORING THE 100TH BIRTHDAY
OF MR. THOMAS H. RHODES

HON. JOHN KATKO
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. KATKO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to
honor the life and service of Mr. Thomas H.
Rhodes as he celebrates his 100th birthday on
June 18th. Throughout his life, Mr. Rhodes
has demonstrated exemplary patriotism
through his service in World War II, and long-
standing devotion to his family and commu-
nity. During World War II, Mr. Rhodes proudly
served his country as a U.S. Marine and par-
ticipated in several major conflicts including
the battles of Pearl Harbor, Midway, Peleliu,
New Britain, and New Guinea. After the war,
he returned to Central New York to continue
his service at Griffiss Air Force Base in Rome,
New York. Following completion of his military
service, Mr. Rhodes was honorably dis-
charged as a Sergeant. Today, he remains an
active member of the Marine Corps League,
an organization that aims to serve commu-
nities nationwide, preserve the traditions of the
U.S. Marine Corps, and strengthen bonds be-
tween former servicemembers and their fami-
lies.

Outside of public service, Mr. Rhodes is
known for his commitment to his family. He
was devoted to his late wife, Bridget “Peggy”
Rhodes, and remains a dedicated father to
their four children and proud grandfather to
12 grandchildren and 22 great-grandchildren.
Active in his community, Mr. Rhodes regularly
appears in Fayetteville and Manlius parades
and is a life-long member of the Catholic
Church and the Knights of Columbus.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues in the
House to join me in recognizing Mr. Thomas
H. Rhodes’s 100th birthday. Mr. Rhodes’s life
has been one marked by admirable devotion
to his family and country. I wish him health
and happiness in the future.

INTRODUCTION OF THE
RESTAURANTS ACT OF 2020

HON. EARL BLUMENAUER
OF OREGON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Madam Speaker, there
is perhaps nothing more central to commu-
nities large and small than their local res-
taurants. Restaurants play host to everything
from first dates to marriage proposals and
many of life’s important memories. The focal
restaurant industry supports Top Chef Mas-
ters, first jobs, and parents working double-
shifts to give their children a brighter future.
The COVID–19 pandemic has put all of this in
jeopardy.

Since mid-March, the vast majority of inde-
pendent restaurants have closed their doors,
laid off most of their employees, and are un-
sure what their business model will be after
the pandemic. While independent restaurants
employ more than 11 million people, the food
supply chain touches every corner of the
country and every congressional district. From
farm workers and fishermen to truck drivers
and distributors, the restaurant industry pro-
vides a $1 trillion annual boost to the United
States; economy, to say nothing of supporting
tens of millions of livelihoods.

The restaurant industry has been uniquely
devastated by the COVID–19 pandemic. This
is particularly true of independent establish-
ments that account for more than three-quar-
ters of all restaurants in the United States.
The restaurant sector is the top con-
tributor to unemployment rolls across America.
In April alone, 5.5 million restaurant workers
lost their jobs, accounting for 27 percent of
total job losses in the month. Some of these
jobs are coming back but many will not return
without assistance.

The National Bureau of Economic Research predicts that only 15 per-
cent of restaurants will be able to stay open if
the COVID–19 pandemic lasts six months.
The RESTAURANTS Act would establish a $120 billion restaurant revitalization fund at the
Department of Treasury. Funding would be
available to food service or drinking establish-
ments that are publicly traded or part of a
chain with 20 or more locations doing busi-
ness under the same name. The grant values
would cover the difference between revenues
from 2019 and projected revenues through
2020. The first 14 days of the grant’s avail-
ability is only available to restaurants with an-
nual revenues of $1.5 million or fewer, ensur-
ing that the smallest restaurants are pri-
oritized. Additionally, the legislation includes
a dedicated outreach and food and
engagement to restaurants owned and oper-
ated by women, Veterans, and people of
color. A study on this proposal found that the
fund would generate at least $183 billion in
primary benefits and $65 billion in secondary
benefits—more than double the amount of the
fund.

In the past three months, Congress has
demonstrated the political will to pass more
than $3 trillion of relief to most sectors of the
economy—the House of Representatives just
passed an additional $3 trillion of relief. There
were broad-based proposals and there was
targeted relief for specific industries. Yet res-
taurants have not been a recipient of any tar-
geted relief. We can’t afford not to act. Millions
of livelihoods, hundreds of thousands of busi-
nesses, and the fabric of our communities is
at stake.

IN RECOGNITION OF STEVE
DEBAKER AND TROUT SPRINGS
WINERY

HON. MIKE GALLAGHER
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. GALLAGHER. Madam Speaker, I rise
today to recognize Steve DeBaker and Trout
Springs Winery for their work in creating the
Wisconsin Ledge American Viticultural Area
(AVA) in Northeast Wisconsin.

Steve DeBaker opened Trout Springs Win-
ey in 2005, establishing it as Brown County’s
first winery. Trout Springs Winery is Wiscon-
sin’s only licensed fish hatchery, plant nursery,
and winery all under one roof. After 9 suc-
cessful years in the wine industry, Trout
Springs Winery was honored by the Wisconsin
Grape Growers Association as their Winery of
the Year in 2014.

An AVA is a special region designated as
having certain geographic and climatic fea-
tures required for growing wine grapes, and
the designation is often included on wine la-
Bels. Soon after opening Trout Springs Win-
ney, Steve decided to establish the Wisconsin
Ledge as an AVA. After 4,000 hours of dedi-
cated research, numerous submissions to the
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau,
and some assistance from the Wisconsin E-
carpment Resource Network with the GIS
mapping process, Steve and Trout Springs
achieved their goal of establishing the Wis-
consin Ledge as an AVA.

The Wisconsin LedgeAVA sits on the Niaga-
ra Escarpment, a land feature in Wisconsin
that stretches for more than 650 miles, cre-
ated millions of years ago. It touches 11 dif-
cent counties in Northeast Wisconsin and
contains soil and a climate ideal for growing
grapes. With 22 bonded wineries within its
color. The Wisconsin LedgeAVA sits on the Niag-
ra Escarpment, a land feature in Wisconsin
that stretches for more than 650 miles, cre-
ated millions of years ago. It touches 11 dif-
cent counties in Northeast Wisconsin and
contains soil and a climate ideal for growing
grapes. With 22 bonded wineries within its
scope and 3,800 square miles of land, the
Wisconsin Ledge has become the largest AVA
in the world. It has grown into a tourist
destination for visitors from around the world,
leading to an annual agritourism increase of
nearly two million tourists and over $50 million
in revenue. Steve’s commitment and work to
developing the Wisconsin Ledge as an AVA
has legitimized the Northeast Wisconsin as a
grape growing region.

Madam Speaker, I am privileged to honor
Steve DeBaker and Trout Springs Winery as the
birthplace of the Wisconsin Ledge Ameri-
can Viticultural Area. His dedication and posi-
tive contributions to the Wisconsin agricultural
industry make Northeast Wisconsin proud.

HONORING KAMERON
MIDDLEBROOKS AS THE IOWAN
OF THE WEEK

HON. CYNTHIA AXNE
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mrs. AXNE. Madam Speaker, I rise today as
tens of thousands of peaceful protesters are
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ranging in cities across our country and around the world to say enough is enough. People from all walks of life are coming together to call for real change to address the inequities and failings of our systems, to shine a light on persistent and systemic racism, and to demand justice for the senseless deaths of African American men and women like George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, and Ahmaud Arbery.

Moments like these in history force us to confront the sobering truths of who and where we are as a nation. Many will have their eyes opened to realities and perspectives they did not see before, thus beginning or furthering their journeys to unlearn deeply engrained beliefs and better understand how racism continues to shape our daily lives. Others still will feel emboldened to turn their knowledge and empathy into action for the first time.

And then there are those leaders who have been in this fight for much longer. I rise today to honor as lawman of the Week one such leader who has been on the front lines not only of recent peaceful protests, but also of the day-to-day work of lifting up a community from within the community Middlebrooks.

Kam’s history of activism and accomplishments belie his relative youth. Now in his early thirties, he’s already spent half his life advocating for Des Moines’ black community. If you mention Kam’s name to someone in Iowa, chances are we’ve already heard of him. That could be because they went to school together at Roosevelt High, read about him as one of the Des Moines Register’s 15 People to Watch in 2019, heard about the work he’s done on the Des Moines Human and Civil Rights Commission, or for a host of other reasons. As busy as life in Congress keeps me, I wonder how Kameron fits everything into his day.

Kam currently serves as President of the Des Moines Branch of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), an organization he joined in high school before becoming its youngest-ever Midwestern Regional Field Director and successfully leading a campaign to register more than 15,000 people of color to vote across the Midwest. He founded his own small business, and now coaches African Americans interested in becoming small business owners through that process as minority business coordinator for Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. He hosts annual trainings at Des Moines’ Evelyn K. Davis Center for Working Families to equip participants with essential skills to successfully run their own shops. He coordinates multiple work groups to address disparities among black and white residents of Polk County in critical areas like employment, education, and housing. And his efforts to create a more equitable society without racial discrimination don’t stop there.

Kam and I spoke on the phone last Monday. He’d spent each of the several nights prior at peaceful protests until the early hours of the morning to make sure the younger generation got home safely. When thanked for his work, he praised others. When asked what comes next, he already had plans for how we can empower youth voices and create a stronger community. His passion, selflessness, and drive were infectious. It’s no surprise he’s emerged as someone Iowans are eager to follow.

Across my state and the country, thousands continue to gather day after day to stand together against injustice. Young leaders like Kameron are among them. While it is imperative current elected officials heed their calls for action, it is also my hope that the young voices powering this movement are inspired to stay involved and ultimately become the officeholders called upon to enact more just and equitable change.

For many reasons, the people of Iowa are fortunate to call Kameron Middlebrooks one of our own. I ask today that the House of Representatives join me in honoring his continued service and leadership by naming him lawman of the Week.

IN RECOGNITION OF DR. SCOTT MENZEL, FOR A DISTINGUISHED CAREER WITH THE WASHTENAW INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT

HON. DEBBIE DINGELL OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 15, 2020

Mrs. DINGELL. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Scott Menzel for his years of distinguished service to students in Michigan and offer my heartfelt congratulations as he begins a new chapter as superintendent for the Scottsdale Unified School District in Arizona. Although we are all sad to see him go, we are proud to celebrate his outstanding contributions to the Washtenaw Intermediate School District.

In 2011, Dr. Scott Menzel became the superintendent of the Washtenaw Intermediate School District (WISD) and started his tenure at a pivotal moment in the community’s history. In 2012, Willow Run and Ypsilanti schools began the consolidation process to form a new district. The consolidation, which many thought to be impossible, came to fruition thanks to the support of the WISD and Menzel’s tenacity, hard work, and commitment to education. Prior to his work in the WISD, Menzel served as superintendent for Ypsilanti Lake Public Schools from 2002 to 2007. Later, Menzel led similar operations at the Livingston Educational Service Agency from 2007 to 2011.

Throughout all capacities, Menzel has been recognized as a humble leader who strives to make a lasting and meaningful difference in students’ lives. He dedicates himself to causes he believes in, and encourages others to demonstrate compassion, service, and excellence. Undoubtedly, Menzel’s passion for education and academic opportunity have left a profound impact on the school district, evident in the recent passing of a bond proposal that will help construct a new $53.2 million school for special-needs students outside of Ann Arbor. Moreover, Menzel’s focus on addressing racial disparities and promoting racial equity throughout the district has set a clear example for other districts to emulate. He has been an avid proponent of My Brother’s Keeper, an initiative created under the Obama Administration to address persistent opportunity gaps facing young boys and young men of color, and his involvement has helped the program flourish and grow throughout Michigan. He has received numerous honors and accolades for his work including the National African American Parent Involvement Day Spirit Award, the MASA Regional Superintendent of the Year Award, and the White House YMCA Champion for Change Award, among a host of others.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Dr. Scott Menzel. Menzel has helped students develop skills and gain confidence not only needed to succeed academically, but in life beyond the classroom. He has impacted their futures in profound ways, and we are all grateful for his commitment to his students, his district, and his community. We thank him for his service to students in Michigan and wish him the best of luck in Arizona.

GREGORY THOMPSON

CONDOLENCES

HON. DAN CRENSHAW

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. CRENSHAW. Madam Speaker, I rise with deep sadness to mourn the loss of Gregory Thompson, who passed away on Tuesday, May 19, 2020 in Woodbridge, Virginia. Gregory sadly joins countless Americans who fell victim to the COVID–19 pandemic. At just 56 years old, his full life was cut far too short.

Gregory served our country in the United States Air Force and was a Security Officer at the U.S. State Department in Washington, D.C. for more than 15 years.

A self-made man, Gregory was the owner of Made to Measure, a custom tailor clothing business that he owned with his partner Howard Wallace. That’s how I knew Gregory. Gregory was kind, warm, and I always enjoyed our time together. Gregory always took on the difficult task of making me look my best with a smile, and I am grateful that I had such a talented craftsman to take care of me during my first year in Washington.

But much more than a tailor, Gregory was a father to Giovanni and a brother to Elizabeth, Veneda, Michael, and Walter. He was a cherished friend and beloved family member to many, all of whom are grieving this profound loss.

I ask the whole House to join me as we mourn the loss of Gregory Thompson and offer our sincere condolences to his family, friends, and loved ones during this difficult time.

Never Forget.

HONORING THE CAREER OF PATRICIA RADER, A LIFELONG PUBLIC SERVANT

HON. TOM EMMER

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Patricia Rader, as she retires from serving as a Claims Specialist at the Social Security Administration in Minneapolis.

A Blaine native, Patricia has served the Social Security Administration and the many Minnesotans that benefit from their services for forty years. Over the course of her four decades of work, she has touched the lives of...
Minnesotans every day, and helped secure the livelihood of countless men and women in our community.

I congratulate Patricia on her retirement. I wish her nothing but the best of luck in her next chapter.

RECOGNIZING ALEXIS AYANO TERAI

HON. DIANA DeGETTE
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Ms. DeGETTE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to express my great appreciation for Alexis Ayano Terai, who over the past five years has served as Manager of the Congressional Study Group on Japan.

Through her hard work and dedication, Alexis has enriched our nation’s relationship with this most critical and important ally.

The Congressional Study Groups are the premier international projects administered by the U.S. Association of Former Members of Congress. They are also one of the most active and substantive bipartisan exchanges involving the U.S. Congress and the legislative branches of allied countries.

Alexis has been an integral part of the Study Group’s outstanding success and her kindness to others and commitment to her job have been exemplary.

She has worked tirelessly to help build and foster the Congressional Study Group on Japan and strengthen the U.S.-Japan alliance and partnership by creating opportunities for Members and Congressional staff to exchange views with their Japanese counterparts both in the U.S. and Japan.

Among her many accomplishments, Alexis helped to develop a myriad of programs focused on the bilateral relationship with Japan and the broader Asia region.

As Co-chair of the Congressional Study Group on Japan, I’ve had the pleasure of working with Alexis over the last five years. And I know I speak for all of my colleagues in the group when I say that Alexis will be missed.

As Alexis pursues her Master’s Degree in Asian Studies at Georgetown University, we wish her much success and happiness on this new path.

HONORING THE CAREER OF UNITED STATES PROBATION OFFICER BEN BERRY

HON. JOHN KATKO
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. KATKO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Officer Ben Berry, who retired in April after more than 20 years with the U.S. Probation Office. Throughout his career, Officer Berry demonstrated a profound commitment to public safety and the improvement of the U.S. Probation Office.

At the beginning of his career in law enforcement, Officer Berry served as a United States Army Officer, Probation Officer for the State of Florida, and a Federal Probation Officer for the Northern District of Florida. In 1999, Officer Berry moved to Central New York where he took a job working as a U.S. Probation Officer in Northern District of New York, Syracuse office. Working his way up the ranks, he held the position of Senior U.S. Probation Officer Sentencing Guiltiness Specialist and was later promoted to Supervising U.S. Probation Officer, where he oversaw the Investigations Unit. A proficient marksman, he also served as the Lead Firearms Instructor. In this role, he trained and certified officers in the use of firearms, and helped develop and improve the firearms training program.

Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues in the House join me in recognizing the career of Officer Ben Berry. As a dedicated public servant and a leader in the U.S. Probation Office, I wish Officer Berry the best in his retirement.

IN RECOGNITION OF MAYOR TIMOTHY HANNA’S 24 YEARS OF SERVICE

HON. MIKE GALLAGHER
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. GALLAGHER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Timothy Hanna and his 24 years of service as the Mayor of Appleton, Wisconsin. After being elected mayor of his hometown in 1996, Mr. Hanna retired this year as the longest-serving mayor in the city’s history, leaving behind a legacy that makes Appleton the beautiful city it is today.

During his time as mayor, Mr. Hanna spearheaded projects like the construction of the Fox Cities Performing Arts Center and the Fox Cities Exhibition Center, redeveloping Appleton’s downtown area. While these projects invigorated the local economy, Mr. Hanna cites establishing programs of diversity and inclusion as his greatest accomplishment in office.

In one of his first initiatives as mayor, Mr. Hanna pursued intercultural relations reform in Appleton. In October 1997, Mayor Hanna created Appleton’s Diversity and Intercultural Center, hiring the first-ever Intercultural Relations Coordinator. Since then, the Intercultural Relations Coordinator has bridged the racial and social divides between the various communities within Appleton to create a stronger, unified city.

Mr. Hanna led Appleton through the tragic September 11th terrorist attacks, the city’s recovery from the Great Recession, and the initial response to the coronavirus pandemic. His strong leadership and commitment to the peo-ple of Appleton helped them persevere through these crises. Mr. Hanna instilled confidence, provided guidance, and inspired his citizens to thrive both in times of hardship and prosperity.

Madam Speaker, I urge all members of this body to join me in recognizing Timothy Hanna for his service as mayor. His selfless leadership and commitment to the development of Appleton serves as an example for every public servant.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives Mike Turzai, who has devoted decades of service to our Commonwealth and our nation. Since taking office in 2001, Speaker Turzai has championed our American values and defended our Constitutional rights.

During Speaker Turzai’s tenure in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives, he has worked hard to achieve important policy wins—such as creating jobs, supporting our small businesses, improving workforce development, expanding choice in education, reforming the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board system, and reducing burdensome regulations.

Speaker Turzai was a consistent champion of fiscal conservatism; he opposed tax increases and the midnight pay increase. Additionally, he has led the Chamber’s pro-life agenda to defend the dignity of life. Before becoming the Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives, he served a number of leadership roles in the chamber and propelled commonsense, conservative policy forward.

A lifelong Pennsylvanian, Speaker Turzai was raised in Moon Township and graduated from Our Lady of Sacred Heart High School. He received his bachelor’s degree from the University of Notre Dame before earning his Juris Doctor from Duke University. In addition to his official work, Speaker Turzai has a long record of leadership in his community. He and his wife Lidia live in the North Hills of Pittsburgh with their three sons, Andrew, Stephen, and Matthew.

Upon Speaker Turzai’s retirement from the Pennsylvania House of Representatives, I thank him for his commitment and service to our Commonwealth. He represented the values of his constituents well. As the Turzai family begins a new chapter, I wish them every continued success.

HONORING BILL SITES, THIRTY-SEVEN DEDICATED YEARS WITH THE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

HON. TOM EMMER
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commemorate Commander Bill Sites of Waconia, Minnesota on his retirement after 37 dedicated years of service. Bill served in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Corps for 23 years, and accepted a civil position with the National Weather Service that brought him and his family to Minnesota.

Bill graduated from NOAA Basic Officer Training Class in December 1982. From 1983 to 2005, Bill was stationed across the country with assignments ranging from Norfolk, Virginia to Seattle, Washington. Bill served NOAA’s primary functions of monitoring oceanic and atmospheric conditions and supporting major waterways. One of Bill’s most
impactful assignments was as Deputy Director for the National Weather Service (NWS) at the Remote Sensing Center in Chanhassen, Minnesota in 1999.

When retiring from the NOAA Corps in 2005, Bill and his wife Kellie thought of no better place to raise their daughters and headed back to Bill accepted a job with the National Weather Service. Bill transitioned into life as a civilian in Waconia, Minnesota where he enjoyed the snow, hockey, and other activities that Minnesota has to offer. In April, Bill retired as a hydrologic forecaster at the North Central Forecast Center and will be moving to the Pacific Northwest to enjoy retirement with his wife Kellie.

I thank Bill for 37 years of service to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Congratulations on his retirement. I wish him and Kellie a long and joyful retirement in his home state of Washington.

RECOGNIZING SPRINGBROOK HIGH SCHOOL’S ACHIEVEMENT IN THE 2020 CAPITOL HILL CHALLENGE

HON. JAMIE RASKIN
OF MARYLAND
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the remarkable accomplishment of my constituents, the excellent team from Springbrook High School that placed second in the 17th Annual Capitol Hill Challenge. I congratulate Nelson Alvarez and his teacher, Donald Wharton Jr., on their passionate commitment and impressive results in the Challenge during a time of economic uncertainty.

Every year, with the support and participation of Congress, SIFMA Foundation’s Capitol Hill Challenge instills in young people a deeper understanding of personal finance, economics and civics. Student teams manage and invest a hypothetical $100,000 portfolio of stocks, bonds, mutual funds, impact investments and cash. This national competition expands financial education, civics learning and math literacy for public school students in every US Congressional District.

Madam Speaker, I am honored to recognize the extraordinary achievement of Mr. Alvarez and Mr. Wharton and I hope my colleagues and constituents will join me in congratulating Nelson Alvarez from Springbrook High School on a job well done.

HONORING DAMON GUTZWILLER

HON. JIMMY PANETTA
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. PANETTA. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of Sergeant Damon Gutzwiller, a Sergeant with the Santa Cruz County Sheriff’s Office. Damon will be remembered as a beloved community member, partner, and father. We celebrate his service to the Central Coast and his life well-lived. Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me in honoring the life of Sergeant Damon Gutzwiller.

HONORING THE CAREER OF OFFICER JOHN M. PRASKEY

HON. JOHN KATKO
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. KATKO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Officer John M. Praskey, who will celebrate 50 years of service in law enforcement on June 29, 2020. Throughout his career, Officer Praskey has demonstrated profound courage and a strong commitment to public safety.

Officer Praskey began his career in law enforcement when he graduated from the New York State Police Academy on October 30, 1970. Ascending the ranks in the New York State Police, he was promoted to Sergeant in September 1978 and again in October 1984 to Station Commander. As a State Trooper, he has served a leading role in several homicide, armed robbery, and attempted murder cases. Notably, during the tragic events of September 11, 2001, Officer Praskey directed and coordinated New York State Police response efforts in New York City.

Following his retirement from the New York State Police after 34 years, Officer Praskey joined the Liverpool Police Department as a part-time police officer. With the Liverpool Police, he has received numerous awards and has taken many actions that have garnered him the respect of his colleagues and the community. In 2006, Officer Praskey was dispatched to a house fire on Wyker Circle where a man was trapped inside. Arriving ahead of the Fire Department, without hesitation, Officer Praskey entered the burning house and rescued the resident. More recently, in 2018, while handling an investigation into a stolen bicycle, he arranged for a bicycle to be donated to the victim after learning he depended on it for transportation. Similarly, when Officer Praskey came across a stranded motorist that had run out of fuel, he gave the individual $10 of his own money for gas.

Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues in the House join me in recognizing the career of Officer John M. Praskey. As a dedicated public servant, I wish Officer Praskey the best in his continued service.

HONORING KELLIE SITES, WACONIA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE PRESIDENT

HON. TOM EMMER
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, June 15, 2020

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of Kellie Sites, Waconia Chamber of Commerce President. Kellie joined the Waconia Chamber of Commerce in February of 2008 and will be departing in June of 2020, after twelve years of dedicated service.

Since her arrival to Waconia, Kellie has been an advocate for promoting business, allowing Waconia’s economy to continue to flourish. She has exemplified the founding principles of the Waconia Chamber of Commerce, to create economic development, promote tourism, provide member services to the people of Waconia and stay active in every level of politics.

Kellie has organized and run some of Waconia’s most loved events, including Nickle Dickie Days, Fourth of July Fireworks and the Governor’s fishing opener on Lake Waconia. Waconia’s close-knit community would be incomplete without the multitude of phenomenal events that are made possible by Kellie and the Waconia Chamber of Commerce.

I congratulate Kellie and her husband, Bill, on her retirement. Although I am saddened by her departure from Waconia, I wish her the best of luck with her move to Washington state.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate on February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires the Rules Committee to notify the Senate of the Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, June 16, 2020 may be found in the Daily Digest of today’s RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED
JUNE 17
10 a.m.
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
To hold hearings to examine the Federal Aviation Administration’s oversight of aircraft certification.
SD-G50
Committee on Environment and Public Works
To hold hearings to examine responding to the challenges facing recycling in the United States.
SD-106

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions
To hold hearings to examine telehealth, focusing on lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic.
SD-430

Committee on the Judiciary
To hold hearings to examine the nominations of John W. Holcomb, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of California, Brett H. Ludwig, to be United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, R. Shireen Matthews, and Todd Wallace Robinson, both to be a United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, and Christy Criswell Wiegand, to be United States District Judge for the Western District of Pennsylvania.
SD-226

Committee on Armed Services
To hold hearings to examine the nominations of Lieutenant General Daniel R. Hokanson, ARNG, to be general and Chief of the National Guard Bureau, and General Gustave F. Perna, USA, for reappointment to the grade of general and to be Chief Operating Officer, Project Warp Speed, both of the Department of Defense.
SD-G50

Committee on Foreign Relations
To hold hearings to examine COVID-19 and international pandemic preparedness, prevention, and response.
SD-106

Committee on the Judiciary
Business meeting to consider S. 685, to amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 relative to the powers of the Department of Justice Inspector General.
SR-325

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs
To hold an oversight hearing to examine the Export-Import Bank of the United States.
SD-562

Committee on Indian Affairs
To hold hearings to examine S. 2165, to enhance protections of Native American tangible cultural heritage, S. 2716, to amend the Grand Ronde Reservation Act, S. 2912, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to take certain land located in Pinal County, Arizona, into trust for the benefit of the Gila River Indian Community, S. 3019, to protect access to water for all Montanans, S. 3044, to amend the American’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018 to expand the Indian reservation drinking water program, S. 3099, to provide for the conveyance of certain property to the Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium located in Sitka, Alaska, and S. 3100, to convey land in Anchorage, Alaska, to the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium.
SD-562

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
To hold an oversight hearing to examine Customs and Border Protection, focusing on evolving challenges facing the agency.
SD-562

Select Committee on Intelligence
Business meeting to consider pending intelligence matters.
S-216

Committee on Finance
To hold hearings to examine the President’s 2020 trade policy agenda.
SD-G50

Committee on Foreign Relations
To receive a closed briefing on assessing arms control, focusing on new START and beyond.
SVC-217

Committee on Armed Services
To hold hearings to examine the nominations of Lieutenant General Daniel R. Hokanson, ARNG, to be general and Chief of the National Guard Bureau, and General Gustave F. Perna, USA, for reappointment to the grade of general and to be Chief Operating Officer, Project Warp Speed, both of the Department of Defense.
SD-G50

Committee on Foreign Relations
To hold hearings to examine COVID-19 and international pandemic preparedness, prevention, and response.
SD-106

Committee on the Judiciary
Business meeting to consider S. 685, to amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 relative to the powers of the Department of Justice Inspector General.
SR-325

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions
To hold hearings to examine COVID-19, focusing on lessons learned to prepare for the next pandemic.
SD-430

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
To hold an oversight hearing to examine Customs and Border Protection, focusing on evolving challenges facing the agency.
SD-562

Committee on Indian Affairs
To hold an oversight hearing to examine the response and mitigation to the COVID-19 pandemic in Native communities, including S. 3650, to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to deem employees of urban Indian organizations as part of the Public Health Service for certain purposes.
SD-562
Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S2959–S2980

Measures Introduced: Six bills and six resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 3958–3963, and S. Res. 617–622. Measures Passed:

Robert Levinson Hostage Recovery and Hostage-Taking Accountability Act: Senate passed S. 712, to provide assistance for United States nationals taken hostage or unlawfully or wrongfully detained abroad, after agreeing to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, and the committee amendment to the title.

Senate Manual: Senate agreed to S. Res. 619, to provide for the printing of the Senate Manual for the One Hundred Sixteenth Congress.

Juneteenth Independence Day: Senate agreed to S. Res. 620, designating June 19, 2020, as “Juneteenth Independence Day” in recognition of June 19, 1865, the date on which news of the end of slavery reached the slaves in the Southwestern States.

Measures Considered:

Taxpayer First Act—Agreement: Senate resumed consideration of H.R. 1957, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to modernize and improve the Internal Revenue Service, taking action on the following motions and amendments proposed there-to:

Adopted:

By 73 yeas to 24 nays (Vote No. 119), McConnell (for Gardner) Amendment No. 1617, in the nature of a substitute.

During consideration of this measure today, Senate also took the following action:

By 68 yeas to 30 nays (Vote No. 118), three-fifths of those Senators duly chosen and sworn, having voted in the affirmative, Senate agreed to the motion to waive all applicable sections of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and applicable budget resolutions, with respect to McConnell (for Gardner) Amendment No. 1617 (listed above). Subsequently, the point of order that the bill was in violation of the Senate pay-go rule, pursuant to Section 4106(a) of H. Con. Res. 71, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018, was not sustained, and thus the point of order fell.

McConnell Amendment No. 1628 (to the language proposed to be stricken by Amendment No. 1617), to change the enactment date. (Senate tabled the amendment.)

McConnell Amendment No. 1629 (to Amendment No. 1628), of a perfecting nature, fell when McConnell Amendment No. 1628 (to the language proposed to be stricken by Amendment No. 1617) (listed above) was tabled.

McConnell Amendment No. 1626 (to Amendment No. 1617), to change the enactment date. (Senate tabled the amendment.)

McConnell Amendment No. 1627 (to Amendment No. 1626), of a perfecting nature, fell when McConnell Amendment No. 1626 (to Amendment No. 1617) (listed above) was tabled.

By 75 yeas to 23 nays (Vote No. 120), three-fifths of those Senators duly chosen and sworn, having voted in the affirmative, Senate agreed to the motion to close further debate on the bill.

A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing for further consideration of the bill, post-cloture, at approximately 10 a.m., on Tuesday, June 16, 2020; and that all time during adjournment, Leader remarks, and recess count post-cloture on the bill.

Additional Cosponsors: Pages S2973–75

Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions: Pages S2975–77

Additional Statements: Pages S2972–73

Record Votes: Three record votes were taken today. (Total—120)

Adjournment: Senate convened at 3 p.m. and adjourned at 7:19 p.m., until 10 a.m. on Tuesday,
House of Representatives

Chamber Action
Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 36 public bills, H.R. 7196–7231; and 8 resolutions, H. Con. Res. 103; and H. Res. 1001–1007 were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors: Pages H2395–97

Reports Filed: There were no reports filed today.

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein she appointed Representative Trone to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Senate Referrals: S. 886 was held at the desk. S. 939 was held at the desk. S. 149 was held at the desk. S. 3637 was held at the desk.

Senate Messages: Messages received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appear on pages H2393.

Quorum Calls—Votes: There were no Yea and Nay votes, and there were no Recorded votes. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 3 p.m. and adjourned at 3:04 p.m.

Committee Meetings
THE ROLE OF AFG AND SAFER GRANTS IN COVID–19 RESPONSE
Committee on Science, Space, and Technology: On June 12, 2020, Full Committee held a hearing entitled “The Role of AFG and SAFER Grants in COVID–19 Response”. Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

BUDGET CUTS AND LOST LEARNING: ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF COVID–19 ON PUBLIC EDUCATION
Committee on Education and Labor: Full Committee held a hearing entitled “Budget Cuts and Lost Learning: Assessing the Impact of COVID–19 on Public Education”. Testimony was heard from Mark Johnson, Superintendent of Public Instruction, North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, Raleigh, North Carolina; Eric Gordon, Chief Executive Officer, Cleveland Metropolitan School District, Cleveland, Ohio; and public witnesses.

IMPACT OF COVID–19 IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA
Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence: Full Committee held a hearing entitled “Impact of COVID–19 in Sub-Saharan Africa”. Testimony was heard from public witnesses.

Joint Meetings
GEORGE FLOYD
Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe: On Friday, June 12, 2020, Commission received a briefing on George Floyd, focusing on a time for transformation at home and abroad, from Abena Oppong-Asare, Member of Parliament, United Kingdom; Mitchell Esajas, New Urban Collective, Netherlands; and Karen Taylor, European Network Against Racism.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2020
(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, to hold an oversight hearing to examine the Federal Communications Commission spectrum auctions program for fiscal year 2021, 10 a.m., SD–124.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: to hold hearings to examine the semiannual monetary policy report to Congress, 10 a.m., WEBEX.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: to hold hearings to examine pending nominations, 2:30 p.m., SD–G50.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: to hold hearings to examine the impacts of COVID–19 on the energy industry, 10 a.m., SD–366.
Committee on the Judiciary: to hold hearings to examine police use of force and community relations, 2:30 p.m., SD–106.

House

Committee on Armed Services, Subcommittee on Military Personnel, hearing entitled “Racial Disparity in the Military Justice System—How to Fix the Culture”, 12 p.m., 2118 Rayburn and Webex.


Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on the Middle East, North Africa, and International Terrorism, hearing entitled “Objectives of U.S. Arms Sales to the Gulf: Examining Strategic Goals, Risks and Benefits”, 2 p.m., Webex.


CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD

Week of June 16 through June 19, 2020

Senate Chamber

On Tuesday, Senate will continue consideration of H.R. 1957, Taxpayer First Act (the legislative vehicle for the Great American Outdoors Act), post-closure.

During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any cleared legislative and executive business.

Senate Committees

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Committee on Appropriations: June 16, Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, to hold an oversight hearing to examine the Federal Communications Commission spectrum auctions program for fiscal year 2021, 10 a.m., SD–124.

Committee on Armed Services: June 18, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Lieutenant General Daniel R. Hokanson, ARNG, to be general and Chief of the National Guard Bureau, and General Gustave F. Perna, USA, for reappointment to the grade of general and to be Chief Operating Officer, Project Warp Speed, both of the Department of Defense, 9:30 a.m., SD–G50.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: June 16, to hold hearings to examine the semiannual monetary policy report to Congress, 10 a.m., WEBEX.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: June 16, to hold hearings to examine pending nominations, 2:30 p.m., SD-G50.

Committee on Energy and Commerce, to hold hearings to examine the Federal Aviation Administration’s oversight of aircraft certification, 10 a.m., SD-G50.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: June 16, to hold hearings to examine the impacts of COVID-19 on the energy industry, 10 a.m., SD–366.

Committee on Environment and Public Works: June 17, to hold hearings to examine responding to the challenges facing recycling in the United States, 10 a.m., SD–106.

Committee on Finance: June 17, to hold hearings to examine the President’s 2020 trade policy agenda, 3 p.m., SD–G50.

Committee on Foreign Relations: June 17, to receive a closed briefing on assessing arms control, focusing on new START and beyond, 4:30 p.m., SVC–217.

June 18, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine COVID-19 and international pandemic preparedness, prevention, and response, 9:30 a.m., SD–106.

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: June 17, to hold hearings to examine telehealth, focusing on lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on the Judiciary: June 16, to hold hearings to examine police use of force and community relations, 2:30 p.m., SD–106.

June 17, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of John W. Holcomb, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of California, Brett H. Ludwig, to be United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, R. Shireen Matthews, and Todd Wallace Robinson, both to be a United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, and Chrissy Criswell Wiegand, to be United States District Judge for the Western District of Pennsylvania, 10 a.m., SD–226.

June 18, Full Committee, business meeting to consider S. 685, to amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 relative to the powers of the Department of Justice Inspector General, 10 a.m., SR–325.

Select Committee on Intelligence: June 17, business meeting to consider pending intelligence matters, 1:45 p.m., S–216, Capitol.

House Committees


Committee on Financial Services, June 17, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Monetary Policy and the State of the Economy”, 12 p.m., Webex.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, June 17, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled “Diversity and Diplomacy: Why an Inclusive State Department Would Strengthen U.S. Foreign Policy”, 1 p.m., Webex.

Committee on the Judiciary, June 17, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 7120, the “Justice in Policing Act of 2020”, 10 a.m., CVC–200 and Webex.

Committee on Natural Resources, June 18, Subcommittee on National Parks, Forests, and Public Lands, hearing on H.R. 244, the “Advancing Conservation and Education Act”; H.R. 1267, the “B–47 Ridge Designation Act”; H.R. 2611, the “Public Lands Telecommunications Act”; H.R. 3682, the “Land Grand and Acequia Traditional Use Recognition and Consultation Act”; H.R. 5040, the “Aerial Incursion Repercussion (AIR) Safety Act of 2019”; H.R. 7045, to require the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct a study on lands that could be included in a National Forest in Hawai’i, and for other purposes; and H.R. 7099, to provide for the conveyance of a small parcel of Coconino National Forest Land in the State of Arizona, 3:30 p.m., Webex.

Committee on Oversight and Reform, June 18, Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Crisis, hearing entitled “The Unemployment Pandemic: Addressing America’s Jobs Crisis”, 12 p.m., Webex.

Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, June 19, Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight, hearing entitled “Repurposing Therapeutic Drugs for COVID–19: Research Challenges and Opportunities”, 1:30 p.m., Webex.

Committee on Small Business, June 17, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Paycheck Protection Program: Loan Forgiveness and Other Challenges”, 1 p.m., Webex.

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, June 17, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 2, the “INVEST in America Act”, 10 a.m., 2167 Rayburn and Webex.


June 18, Subcommittee on Select Revenue Measures, hearing entitled “Tax Relief to Support Workers and Families during the COVID–19 Recession”, 12 p.m., Webex.

Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, June 18, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Emerging Trends in Online Foreign Influence Operations: Social Media, COVID–19, and Election Security”, 12 p.m., Webex.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Tuesday, June 16

Senate Chamber

Program for Tuesday: Senate will continue consideration of H.R. 1957, Taxpayer First Act (the legislative vehicle for the Great American Outdoors Act), post-closure.

(Senate will recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. for their respective party conferences.)

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Thursday, June 18

House Chamber

Program for Thursday: House will meet in Pro Forma session at 10 a.m.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Axne, Cynthia, Iowa, E535, E538
Blumenauer, Earl, Ore., E538
Calvert, Ken, Calif., E535, E537
Crenshaw, Dan, Tex., E539

DeGette, Diana, Colo., E540
Dingell, Debbie, Mich., E536, E539
Rummer, Tom, Minn., E536, E537, E539, E540, E541
Gallagher, Mike, Wisc., E536, E538, E540
Joyce, John, Pa., E540
Katko, John, N.Y., E538, E540, E541

Lynch, Stephen F., Mass., E536
Panetta, Jimmy, Calif., E541
Raskin, Jamie, Md., E541
Rogers, Mike, Ala., E537
Stevens, Haley M., Mich., E535

DeGraaf, Christian, Iowa, E535, E538
Eads, Dave, Mo., E540
Emmer, Tom, Minn., E536, E537, E539, E540, E541
Gallagher, Mike, Wisc., E536, E538, E540
Joyce, John, Pa., E540
Katko, John, N.Y., E538, E540, E541

Lynch, Stephen F., Mass., E536
Panetta, Jimmy, Calif., E541
Raskin, Jamie, Md., E541
Rogers, Mike, Ala., E537
Stevens, Haley M., Mich., E535