

rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: “A bill to require ride-hailing companies to implement an enhanced digital system to verify passengers with their authorized ride-hailing vehicles and drivers.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION TO EXTEND DEBATE TIME ON H.R. 7575, WATER RE- SOURCE DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2020

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that debate under clause 1(c) of rule XV on a motion to suspend the rules relating to H.R. 7575 be extended to 1 hour at the request of the minority.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2020

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7575) to provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 7575

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Water Resources Development Act of 2020”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. Budgetary treatment expansion and adjustment for the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

Sec. 102. Funding for navigation.

Sec. 103. Annual report to Congress on the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

Sec. 104. Additional measures at donor ports and energy transfer ports.

Sec. 105. Assumption of maintenance of a locally preferred plan.

Sec. 106. Coast Guard anchorages.

Sec. 107. State contribution of funds for certain operation and maintenance costs.

Sec. 108. Inland waterway projects.

Sec. 109. Implementation of water resources principles and requirements.

Sec. 110. Resiliency planning assistance.

Sec. 111. Project consultation.

Sec. 112. Review of resiliency assessments.

Sec. 113. Small flood control projects.

Sec. 114. Conforming amendment.

Sec. 115. Feasibility studies; review of natural and nature-based features.

Sec. 116. Report on corrosion prevention activities.

Sec. 117. Quantification of benefits for flood risk management projects in seismic zones.

Sec. 118. Federal interest determination.

Sec. 119. Economically disadvantaged community flood protection and hurricane and storm damage reduction study pilot program.

Sec. 120. Permanent measures to reduce emergency flood fighting needs for communities subject to repetitive flooding.

Sec. 121. Emergency response to natural disasters.

Sec. 122. Study on natural infrastructure at Corps of Engineers projects.

Sec. 123. Review of Corps of Engineers assets.

Sec. 124. Sense of Congress on multipurpose projects.

Sec. 125. Beneficial reuse of dredged material; dredged material management plans.

Sec. 126. Aquatic ecosystem restoration for anadromous fish.

Sec. 127. Annual report to Congress.

Sec. 128. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.

Sec. 129. Update on Invasive Species Policy Guidance.

Sec. 130. Report on debris removal.

Sec. 131. Missouri River interception-rearing complex construction.

Sec. 132. Cost and benefit feasibility assessment.

Sec. 133. Materials, services, and funds for repair, restoration, or rehabilitation of projects.

Sec. 134. Levee safety.

Sec. 135. National Dam Safety Program.

Sec. 136. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed pump stations.

Sec. 137. Non-Federal Project Implementation Pilot Program.

Sec. 138. Definition of economically disadvantaged community.

Sec. 139. Cost sharing provisions for territories and Indian Tribes.

Sec. 140. Flood control and other purposes.

Sec. 141. Review of contracting policies.

Sec. 142. Buy America.

Sec. 143. Annual report on status of feasibility studies.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.

Sec. 202. Expedited completions.

Sec. 203. Feasibility study modifications.

Sec. 204. Selma, Alabama.

Sec. 205. Comprehensive study of the Sacramento River, Yolo Bypass, California.

Sec. 206. Lake Okeechobee regulation schedule, Florida.

Sec. 207. Great Lakes Coastal Resiliency Study.

Sec. 208. Rathbun Lake, Chariton River, Iowa.

Sec. 209. Report on the status of restoration in the Louisiana coastal area.

Sec. 210. Lower Mississippi River comprehensive study.

Sec. 211. Upper Mississippi River Comprehensive Plan.

Sec. 212. Lower Missouri Basin Flood Risk and Resiliency Study, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, and Missouri.

Sec. 213. Portsmouth Harbor and Piscataqua River and Rye Harbor, New Hampshire.

Sec. 214. Cougar and Detroit Dams, Willamette River Basin, Oregon.

Sec. 215. Port Orford, Oregon.

Sec. 216. Wilson Creek and Sloan Creek, Fairview, Texas.

Sec. 217. GAO study on mitigation for water resources development projects.

Sec. 218. GAO study on application of Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund expenditures.

Sec. 219. GAO study on administration of environmental banks.

Sec. 220. Study on Corps of Engineers concessionaire agreements.

Sec. 221. Study on water supply and water conservation at water resources development projects.

Sec. 222. PFAS review and inventory at Corps facilities.

Sec. 223. Report on recreational facilities.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.

Sec. 302. Abandoned and inactive noncoal mine restoration.

Sec. 303. Tribal partnership program.

Sec. 304. Lakes program.

Sec. 305. Watercraft inspection stations.

Sec. 306. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.

Sec. 307. Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program.

Sec. 308. Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program.

Sec. 309. McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation System.

Sec. 310. Ouachita-Black River Navigation Project, Arkansas.

Sec. 311. Sacramento River, Glenn-Colusa, California.

Sec. 312. Lake Isabella, California.

Sec. 313. Lower San Joaquin River flood control project.

Sec. 314. San Diego River and Mission Bay, San Diego County, California.

Sec. 315. San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area.

Sec. 316. Western Pacific Interceptor Canal, Sacramento River, California.

Sec. 317. Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.

Sec. 318. New London Harbor Waterfront Channel, Connecticut.

Sec. 319. Washington Harbor, District of Columbia.

Sec. 320. Big Cypress Seminole Indian Reservation Water Conservation Plan, Florida.

Sec. 321. Central Everglades, Florida.

Sec. 322. Miami River, Florida.

Sec. 323. Julian Keen, Jr. Lock and Dam, Moore Haven, Florida.

Sec. 324. Taylor Creek Reservoir and Levee L-73 (Section 1), Upper St. Johns River Basin, Florida.

Sec. 325. Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana.

Sec. 326. San Juan-Chama project; Abiquiu Dam, New Mexico.

Sec. 327. Pawcatuck River, Little Narragansett Bay and Watch Hill Cove, Rhode Island and Connecticut.

Sec. 328. Harris County, Texas.

Sec. 329. Cap Sante Waterway, Washington.

Sec. 330. Regional sediment management.

Sec. 331. Additional assistance for critical projects.

Sec. 332. Project modification authorizations.

Sec. 333. Application of credit.

Sec. 334. Project reauthorizations.

Sec. 335. Conveyances.

Sec. 336. Repeals.

TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

Sec. 402. Special rules.

Sec. 403. Authorization of projects based on feasibility studies prepared by non-Federal interests.

TITLE V—BUDGETARY EFFECTS

Sec. 501. Determination of Budgetary Effects.