

little more than an effort to appease the most liberal members of the caucus.”

Yet, now, Speaker PELOSI and the Democratic leader have declared that unemployed Americans will not get another cent—not another cent—unless the Senate agrees to pass the entire bill that even the Democrats say is ridiculous. This is their position: Unemployed people, schools, hospitals, and American families will not see another dime unless they get to cut taxes for millionaires in Brooklyn and San Francisco. That is what this is about.

Sure, they will call the Republicans names for wanting to make sure the system doesn't pay people more not to work, but the Democratic leader gave away the game this morning. He said on the floor that he now opposes even continuing the aid at the \$600 level. They want jobless aid to expire tomorrow—period. Lest we forget, just a few days ago, multiple Democratic Senators and the Democratic House majority leader were all saying they were prepared to negotiate and land somewhere south of \$600. Multiple Democrats said they were open to continuing the aid at a level that didn't pay people more to stay home.

Now the Democratic leader hasn't just contradicted his colleagues and refused to talk, he has gone even further and declared he will not even let the aid continue at \$600. The Democratic leader has tried to rule out every option except that of leaving the Capitol today and beginning his weekend with this unemployment benefit set to expire.

These aren't the actions, my friends, that would lead to any agreement. They aren't the actions that will actually make a law.

I am not sure whether my Democratic colleagues really agree that hurting unemployed people is their side's best political strategy, but if that is their position, they will have to vote on it with the entire country to see.

In just a moment, I am going to make the Senate vote on a privileged motion that will be a motion to proceed to legislation which would be used to prevent the unemployment aid from expiring.

We have a number of views on both sides of the best way to accomplish that. The bill would be amendable. Nobody who actually wants to negotiate, nobody who actually wants a bipartisan outcome would be disadvantaged by merely proceeding to the debate.

We have had enough rope-a-dope. We have had enough empty talk. It is time to go on the record. We will see who really wants a bipartisan outcome for the country and who is trying their hardest to block one.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to legislative session.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask to be recognized to respond to the leader.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

Mr. SCHUMER. Well, we have had a lot of words from the leader—none of them talk about reality. One picture equals all his words: SCHUMER, PELOSI, Mnuchin, Meadows in a room negotiating, where the Republican leader can't even show up because his caucus is so divided. In his own words, 20 of his Members don't want to vote for anything.

Now, faced with a crisis they created—for 10 weeks we have asked the leader to negotiate, and now, finally, they have woken up to the fact that we are at a cliff. But it is too late—too late because even if we were to pass this measure, all the States—almost every State says people would not get their unemployment for weeks and months, all because of the disunity, dysfunction of this Republican caucus and of the leader, afraid to negotiate because he doesn't have his people behind him.

The bottom line is very simple: This new proposal moves things even backward. Instead of a 30-percent cut from what people are getting, it is a 33-percent cut. And we all know that the proposal that is in existence now has kept millions out of poverty.

Now we hear talk from the other side that this creates the deficit—this increases the deficit. We can't spend money. Well, I would remind them of the \$1.5 trillion tax cut for the rich—tax cut for the rich. No one even thought about the deficit then. But when it comes to average folks, working people, we don't hear a thing.

Unemployment is a crisis. There are many crises. All your constituents, the parents, are saying: Why can't we open our schools safely? They need dollars.

We can't negotiate that proposal. People are being thrown out of their homes. That is a cliff that happened Thursday. Nothing for that.

The bottom line is very simple: This is the worst health crisis in 100 years. This is the worst economic crisis in 75 years. Unfortunately, at this great moment of terrible trouble in our country, our Republican friends are paralyzed, and when they want to do something, it is a stunt, not a real negotiation, that they know won't pass, because their backs are against the wall and the American people—just look at the data—know who is to blame and know who doesn't want to help people.

So the bottom line is very simple: We Democrats know what the problem is, and we are unified. We have a very strong proposal. And to look at the things in that proposal with the callousness that my friend the Republican leader has done; to say that this is all politics when people are being thrown out of their homes and we want to give them shelter; when people are not able to feed their children and we want to give them food; when small businesses—men and women who have struggled—can't keep their businesses going, we hear nothing.

Our proposal—the one to which the Republicans objected—deals with these problems in a serious, significant, and, yes, expensive way. But we know what is going on on the other side of the aisle. It was said by my friend from Indiana: Let the private sector do it. Well, my friends, this is a moment where the private sector can't solve the problem. This is a moment when we do need strong, active, and bold relief—something that this caucus has been running away from, ignoring, for far too long.

My fellow Americans, we are in an enormous crisis. We are stepping up to the plate on this side of the aisle. Please let your Senators know on the Republican side of the aisle how deep this crisis is, how painful it is for people, and to step up to the plate, get in the room, and negotiate a real deal and stop doing stunts that simply are political—get it off my back—that you know cannot pass.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion.

The motion was agreed to.

UIGHUR INTERVENTION AND GLOBAL HUMANITARIAN UNIFIED RESPONSE ACT OF 2019—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask that the Chair lay before the Senate the message to accompany S. 178, and I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SHELBY).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) would have voted “yea.”

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ), the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA), the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER), and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SCOTT of Florida). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 47, nays 42, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 153 Leg.]

YEAS—47

Alexander	Boozman	Collins
Barrasso	Braun	Cornyn
Blackburn	Capito	Cotton
Blunt	Cassidy	Cramer