

Loeb sack Payne
Lofgren Perlmutter
Lowenthal Peters
Lowe Peterson
Lujan Phillips
Luria Pingree
Lynch Pocan
Malinowski Porter
Maloney Pressley
Carolyn B. Swalwell (CA)
Maloney, Sean Quigley
Matsui Raskin
McBath Rice (NY)
McCollum Richmond
McEachin Rose (NY)
McGovern Rouda
McNerney Roybal-Allard
Meeks Ruiz
Meng Ruppertsberger
Mfume Rush
Moore Ryan
Morelle Sanchez
Moulton Sarbanes
Mucarsel-Powell Scanlon
Murphy (FL) Schakowsky
Nadler Schiff
Napolitano Schneider
Neal Schrader
Neguse Schrier
Norcross Scott (VA)
Ocasio-Cortez Scott, David
Omar Serrano
Pallone Sewell (AL)
Panetta Shalala
Pappas Sherman
Pascrell Sherrill

Rush (Underwood)
Serrano (Jeffries)
Sewell (AL) (DelBene)
Sires
Slotkin
Smith (WA)
Soto
Spanberger
Speier
Stanton
Stevens
Suozi
Price (NC)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres Small (NM)
Trahan
Trone
Underwood
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wexton
Wild
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HECK). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 329, nays 73, not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 195]

YEAS—329

Adams DeGette
Aguilar DeLauro
Allred DelBene
Amodei Delgado
Axne Demings
Bacon DeSaulnier
Balderson Deutch
Barr Diaz-Balart
Barragán Dingell
Bass Doggett
Beatty Doyle, Michael
Bera F.
Beyer Emmer
Bilirakis Escobar
Bishop (GA) Eshoo
Blumenauer Espallat
Blunt Rochester Ferguson
Bonamici Pinkenauer
Bost Fitzpatrick
Boyle, Brendan Fleischmann
F. Fletcher
Brindisi Fortenberry
Brooks (IN) Foster
Brown (MD) Frankel
Brownley (CA) Fudge
Buchanan Gabbard
Bucshon Gallagher
Burchett Gallego
Burgess Garamendi
Bustos Garcia (CA)
Butterfield Garcia (IL)
Calvert Garcia (TX)
Carbajal Gianforte
Cárdenas Golden
Carson (IN) Gomez
Carter (TX) Gonzalez (OH)
Cartwright Gonzalez (TX)
Case Gottheimer
Casten (IL) Hastings
Castor (FL) Hayes
Castro (TX) Heck
Chabot Green, Al (TX)
Chu, Judy Griffith
Grijalva Grijalva
Cicilline Guthrie
Cisneros Haaland
Clark (MA) Hagedorn
Clarke (NY) Harder (CA)
Clay Hartzler
Cleaver Hastings
Cline Hayes
Clyburn Heck
Cohen Herrera Beutler
Cole Higgins (NY)
Comer Hill (AR)
Connolly Himes
Cooper Holding
Correa Hollingsworth
Costa Horn, Kendra S.
Courtney Horsford
Cox (CA) Houlahan
Craig Hoyer
Crenshaw Hudson
Crist Huffman
Crow Huizenga
Cuellar Hurd (TX)
Cunningham Jackson Lee
Curtis Jacobs
Davids (KS) Jayapal
Davis (CA) Jeffries
Davis, Danny K. Johnson (GA)
Davis, Rodney Johnson (OH)
Dean Johnson (TX)

Watson Coleman (Pallone)
Welch (McGovern)
Waters (McGovern)
Wilson (FL) (Hayes)
Neguse
Newhouse
Norcross
Nunes
Ocasio-Cortez
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pascrell
Payne
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Phillips
Pingree
Pocan
Porter
Posey
Pressley
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Reed
Rice (NY)
Rice (SC)
Richmond
Rodgers (WA)
Roe, David P.
Rogers (KY)
Rooney (FL)
Rose (NY)
Rose, John W.
Rouda
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppertsberger
Rush
Rutherford
Ryan
Sanchez
Sarbanes
Scalise
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrader
Schrier
Schweikert
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shalala
Sherman
Sherrill
Shimkus
Simpson
Sires
Slotkin
Smith (MO)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Soto
Spanberger
Speler
Stanton
Staubert
Stefanik
Stevens
Stewart
Stivers
Suozi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres Small (NM)
Trahan
Trone
Turner
Underwood
Upton
Van Drew
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wagner
Walden
Walorski
Waltz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watkins
Watson Coleman
Webster (FL)
Welch
Wenstrup
Wexton
Wild
Williams
Wilson (FL)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yarmuth
Young
Zeldin

NOT VOTING—27

Abraham
Arrington
Bishop (UT)
Byrne
Cook
Davidson (OH)
DeFazio
Duncan
Dunn
Evans
Gaetz
Graves (GA)
Grothman
Spano
Steil
Tiffany
Timmons
Walker
Palazzo
Riggleman
Roby
Sensenbrenner
Steano
Steil
Tiffany
Timmons
Walker
Wright

□ 1337

Messrs. NADLER, VEASEY, RYAN, and MICHAEL F. DOYLE of Pennsylvania changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. ARMSTRONG changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 194.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained by a media hit across the Capitol complex. I would have been a strong “yes” on rollcall No. 194.

Mr. GOODEN. Mr. Speaker, on the Motion to Recommit from today’s vote series, I incorrectly voted “no” when my intention was to vote “yes.”

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Hastings
Blumenauer (Beyer) (Wasserman) (Schultz)
Butterfield (Kildee) Jayapal (Raskin) (Khanna (Gomez))
Chu, Judy (Takano) Kirkpatrick (Gallego)
Clay (Davids (KS)) Langevin (Lynch)
Cohen (Beyer) Lawrence (Kildee)
Davis, Danny K. (Underwood) Lawson (FL)
DeSaulnier (Matsui) Lieu, Ted (Beyer)
Frankel (Clark (MA)) Lofgren (Jeffries)
Grijalva (Raskin) Lowenthal (Beyer)

Lowey (Tonko)
Meng (Clark (MA))
Moore (Beyer)
Napolitano (Correa)
Payne (Wasserman) (Schultz)
Pingree (Clark (MA))
Pocan (Raskin)
Porter (Wexton)
Rooney (FL) (Beyer)
Roybal-Allard (Aguilar)
Lowe (Tonko)
Meng (Clark (MA))
Moore (Beyer)
Napolitano (Correa)
Payne (Wasserman) (Schultz)
Pingree (Clark (MA))
Pocan (Raskin)
Porter (Wexton)
Rooney (FL) (Beyer)
Roybal-Allard (Aguilar)

NAYS—73

Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Armstrong
Arrington
Babin
Baird
Banks
Bergman
Biggs
Bishop (NC)
Bishop (UT)
Brady
Brooks (AL)
Buck
Budd
Carter (GA)
Cheney
Cloud
Conaway
Crawford
DesJarlais
Estes
Flores
Foxy (NC)
Fulcher
Gibbs
Gohmert
Gooden
Gosar
Graves (MO)
Guest
Harris
Hern, Kevin
Hice (GA)
Higgins (LA)
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (SD)
Jordan
Joyce (PA)
Keller
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (IA)
Lamborn
Long
Loudermilk
Luetkemeyer
Massie
McClintock
Meuser
Murphy (NC)
Norman
Olson
Palmer
Pence
Perry
Reschenthaler
Rogers (AL)
Rouzer
Roy
Scott, Austin
Smith (NE)
Smucker
Steube
Taylor
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tipton
Walberg
Weber (TX)
Westerman
Yoho

NOT VOTING—28

Abraham
Byrne
Collins (GA)
Cook
Davidson (OH)
DeFazio
Duncan
Dunn
Engel
Evans
Gaetz
Granger
Graves (GA)
Grothman
Kaptur
Marchant
McHenry
O’Halloran
Palazzo
Riggleman
Roby
Sensenbrenner
Spano
Steil
Tiffany
Timmons
Walker
Wright

□ 1424

Mrs. HARTZLER and Mr. CLINE changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained due to personal reasons. Had I been present on September 17, 2020, I would have voted: “yea” on H. Res. 908—Condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to

COVID-19 (Rep. Meng—Judiciary); “nay” on motion to recommit on H.R. 2694—Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (Rep. Nadler—Education and Labor); and “yea” on H.R. 2694—Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (Rep. Nadler—Education and Labor).

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE
RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer)	Langevin (Lynch)	Rooney (FL) (Beyer)
Blumenauer (Beyer)	Lawrence (Kildee)	Roybal-Allard (Aguilar)
Butterfield (Kildee)	Lawson (FL)	Rush
Chu, Judy (Takano)	(Demings)	(Underwood)
Lieu, Ted (Beyer)		Serrano
Clay (Davids (KS))	Lipinski (Cooper)	(Jeffries)
	Loftgren (Jeffries)	Sewell (AL)
Cohen (Beyer)	Lowenthal (Beyer)	(DelBene)
Davis, Danny K. (Underwood)	Lowe (Tonko)	Sires (Pallone)
DeSaulnier (Matsui)	Meng (Clark (MA))	Trahan (McGovern)
Frankel (Clark (MA))	Moore (Beyer)	Waters
	Napolitano (Correa)	(Brownley (CA))
Grijalva (Raskin)		Watson Coleman (Pallone)
Hastings (Wasserman Schultz)	Payne (Wasserman Schultz)	Welch (McGovern)
Jayapal (Raskin)	Pingree (Clark (MA))	Wilson (FL) (Hayes)
Khanna (Gomez)		
Kirkpatrick (Gallego)	Pocan (Raskin) Porter (Wexton)	

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. SCALISE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Speaker, I rise for the purpose of inquiring of the majority leader the schedule for next week.

Madam Speaker, I am happy to yield to my friend, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the House majority leader.

HONORING RETIRING PARLIAMENTARIAN THOMAS J. WICKHAM, JR.

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Before we begin the colloquy, let me make some remarks about someone who has made a real difference in this House.

Madam Speaker, every society that wants to be a successful society has to have rules. Thomas Jefferson, one of the great political thinkers of the centuries, observed that there had to be both comity and fairness if we were going to come together and have a democracy that was operational.

Dick Gephardt used to say that the legislative process was a substitute for armed confrontation, that the resolution of differences in a democracy needed to be done in a civil way, pursuant to rules.

Madam Speaker, we are losing, in a short period of time, a gentleman who has made a difference for this House, this Congress—House and Senate—has made a difference to the civility of this House, a gentleman who, by the way, is not responsible in any way for the lack of civility that, from time to time, breaks out in this House.

□ 1430

I refer, Madam Speaker, to our Parliamentarian, Tom Wickham. I have had the privilege of knowing him all of

his days in the House of Representatives. He has been here for a significant period of time and has served as our Parliamentarian for essentially four Congresses, 8 years.

He stands—or sits, at this point in time—a short, at least 6 feet, distance, with his mask on, which is a unique experience for him, from the Speaker's rostrum. He is there to ensure that we play by the rules. He is there to ensure us that we do not take advantage of one another, but that we resolve, in pursuit of the rules, the differences that we may have and do so in a way that, for centuries, essentially, have governed how we process in the legislative arena.

It is a nonpartisan role. Obviously, he served when there were Republican Speakers, and obviously, he is serving now with a Democratic Speaker. It is nonpartisan, but it is sometimes thankless, particularly when you have to make a ruling, particularly that the majority party does not like.

I must say that there is probably not a Member among us who hasn't at some point in time said either, “Gee, I am sorry Wickham made that ruling,” or, “I don't agree with Wickham.” Therefore, it is a tough job because we are all pretty powerful people. We all think we are pretty smart people, and we know this, that, and the other. So, you have to have the courage of your convictions as well as the intellectual reasoning to go behind your decision. Tom Wickham has had that every day he has served in this House.

It is hard to be a referee because the calls don't always go the way people want. One of the hallmarks of the Parliamentarian's Office, and Tom Wickham in particular, is they call them as they see them. No matter the effect of those rulings, they make the ruling that they believe is correct. You can disagree, but what you cannot disagree with is that the Parliamentarian's Office prides itself on calling them as they see them.

Now, it was difficult, I am sure, for every Parliamentarian, and the Parliamentarian's Office, to conduct this role. But they have done so in a manner, all the time I have been here, which I am in my 40th year, that has been a credit to the House of Representatives, a credit to our democracy, a credit to Thomas Jefferson's perception of trying to create rules and ways of doing things that credited democracy, that did not undermine it.

Tom was also the Deputy Parliamentarian and the Assistant Parliamentarian, so he has had a lot of experience. He has spent a quarter of a century working for this House.

I will miss him. We will miss him. This Congress will miss him.

He will be succeeded by somebody who has experience and depth and will, I know, in the tradition of all the Parliamentarians with whom I have worked over those 40 years, be fair and unflinching in calling it as he sees it.

We will miss Tom's good humor and kind nature. I know my staff will miss

working closely with him every day to ensure the smooth and proper running of the floor.

On behalf of Democrats, and I know Mr. SCALISE will speak on behalf of his party as well, I want to thank you, Tom, for your service, for your dedication to this institution, for the temperate way in which you dealt with all of us, even when we were not temperate. You were steady, thoughtful, fair.

Also, as I said, I want to congratulate Deputy Parliamentarian JASON SMITH, who will succeed Tom as Parliamentarian of the House. He will, as every Parliamentarian with whom I have served, be fair, be honest, and call them as he sees them. My staff and I look forward to working with him in his new role.

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Speaker, reclaiming my time.

Obviously, we will have an opportunity to continue, as we should, this tribute to Tom Wickham.

So, Tom, congratulations on what you have done to preserve the integrity of this institution.

We come here as Republicans, Democrats, as Americans first, but people who all have their own views. Even if you are Republican, we don't all think exactly the same way, as Democrats don't always think the same way. But we come here to achieve certain things, to make this a more perfect Union in the ways in which our districts—our 750,000, roughly, people elect us to come and be part of this democracy, the world's greatest democracy.

You come and work with other people. Sometimes, you battle with other people in the arena of legislative ideas. It is not physical confrontation, as the majority leader pointed out. But sometimes, you have to persuade. Sometimes, you have to fight for your beliefs.

But ultimately, if you are going to achieve the things you came here to do, you have to change legislation. It takes an act of Congress, as they say. When you do that, you have to follow the rules.

The Jefferson Manual that goes back to 1801 are the rules that govern this great House. If there is a bill on the floor and you wish to make a change to that bill, you want to offer an amendment to the bill, bring a motion to recommit to the bill, you have to work within the rules. Those rules are interpreted not by the majority, not by the minority, but by the Parliamentarian.

The job you have done for 25 years in the Parliamentarian's Office, but especially since 2011 as the House Parliamentarian, you don't always tell people what they want to hear, but you tell people what is the right way to do something according to the rules that we have established so that there is a fair process.

A lot of people don't see this back and forth. If the Parliamentarian rules against you, it is not a personal thing. In many cases, a Member will go to the