



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 116th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 166

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2020

No. 169—Part II

Senate

(Legislative day of Tuesday, September 29, 2020)

The Senate met at 12 noon, on the expiration of the recess, and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Almighty God, we praise You with our whole hearts. We refuse to forget how You have led our Nation in the past and trust You to guard our future.

Lord, encourage our lawmakers to be a part of Your solutions and not a part of the problems that confront our land. Give them the courage to carry on knowing that nothing is too difficult for Your sovereign might.

May the light of Your truth illuminate their way as they find in You a sure guide. Help them to commit their lives to those that will cause justice to roll down like waters and righteousness like a mighty stream.

We pray in Your sacred Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask to speak for 1 minute as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG COSTS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, last night, former Vice President Biden said that President Trump “hasn’t lowered

drug prices for anybody.” This is false, and I hope that the news media will call out Mr. Biden for the lie.

Among several other actions, President Trump launched an initiative to lower the out-of-pocket costs of insulin for seniors through the Part D Medicaid-Medicare Program. Also, President Trump recently signed an Executive order that will launch several programs to lower drug costs and help seniors afford their medicines.

More disingenuous than this claim from Mr. Biden is that it was actually the Vice President’s former Democratic colleagues here in the Senate who walked away from the negotiating table and killed any hope of passing legislation to lower prescription drug costs before the election. This was an effort by Minority Leader SCHUMER and his Democratic colleagues to hurt President Trump and Senate Republicans. Mr. Biden seems content to capitalize on his own party’s obstructions.

Now, I have come to expect election-year partisan politics such as I have just described it, but during a pandemic that has left hundreds of thousands dead and millions unemployed, it is particularly egregious that Democrats have decided it is more important to hurt Republicans than help Americans. I am sorry to say this is the truth of the matter.

It will be up to Democrats to make it right. I am not holding my breath, but I do hope voters hold accountable a party that failed in its basic duty to put people ahead of politics.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Majority Leader is recognized.

NOMINATION OF AMY CONEY BARRETT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, this week, the Senators who are sitting down with Judge Amy Coney Barrett are meeting an incredibly impressive jurist and highly qualified nominee. They are hearing from the professor whom former colleagues call “mind-blowingly intelligent,” “one of the most humble people you’re going to meet,” and “the complete package.” They are meeting a law school valedictorian and award-winning academic whom peers praise for her “lucid, elegant prose,” “piercing” legal analysis, and “absolute dedication to the rule of law.”

Senators are meeting the distinguished circuit judge whom the liberal law professor Noah Feldman says is “a brilliant and conscientious lawyer” who is “highly qualified to serve on the Supreme Court.”

Some of our Democratic colleagues have decided they will refuse to meet with Judge Barrett. Several have volunteered their votes will have nothing to do with her qualifications, as though that were something to be proud of. The Democratic leader says: “It’s not her qualifications.” The junior Senator from Delaware says: “This isn’t about her qualifications.”

Certainly, every Senator may define “advice and consent” how they wish, but I think it is telling to see Senate Democrats openly affirming that Judge Barrett’s actual judicial qualifications do not matter to them. Our friends on the left really do mistake the Court as an unelected superlegislature. They are not interested in Judge Barrett’s legal qualifications because they think

• This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S5899