

/NOFORN/



House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence

Report on Russian Active Measures

March 22, 2018

Classified by: 5000041 Derived from: Multiple Sources Declassify on -20431231

INS JURITEIL

10533 191010

Table of Contents

(U) Abbreviations	11
(U) Referenced Persons	III
(U) Preface	viii
(U) Introduction and Overview	1
(U) Summary Table of Findings	4
(U) Summary Table of Recommendations	8
(U) Chapter 1 – Russian Influence Campaigns in Europe	11
(U) Chapter 2 – Russia Attacks the United States	22
(U) Chapter 3 – America Reacts	38
(U) Chapter 4 – Campaign Links to Russia	60
(U) Chapter 5 – Intelligence Community Assessment Leaks	99
(U) Chapter 6 – Summary of Related Committee Oversight Efforts	111
(U) Chapter 7 – Conclusions and Recommendations	114
(U) Appendices	131
(U) Appendix A: Scope and Methodology	
(U) Appendix B: Russia Investigation Parameters	
(U) Appendix C: Russia's Media Propaganda Apparatus	
(U) Appendix D: Intelligence Community Policy Guidance 107.1	
(U) Appendix E: HPSCI Majority Memo About FISA Abuses	
(U) Appendix F: HPSCI Minority Memo About FISA Abuses	
(U) Appendix G: Senate Judiciary Memo About Christopher Steele Referral	
(U) Appendix H: Committee Correspondence with DOJ and FBI	

1

OF SECRET

HOFORM

Abbreviations

AP	Associated Press	NASS	National Association of Secretaries
APT	Advanced Persistent Threat	1 marsh	of State
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency	NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
CSP	Counterintelligence Scope Polygraph	NCCIC	National Cybersecurity and
DAG	Deputy Attorney General		Communications Integration Center
DCCC	Democratic Congressional Campaign	NGO	Non-governmental Organization
	Committee	NIST	National Institute for Standards and
DHS	Department of Homeland Security		Technology
DIA	Defense Intelligence Agency	NSA	National Security Agency
DNC	Democratic National Committee	NSAC	Candidate Trump's National Security
DNI	Director of National Intelligence		Advisory Committee
DOJ	Department of Justice	NSC	National Security Council
EAC	U.S. Election Assistance Commission	ODNI	Office of the Director of National
EU	European Union		Intelligence
FARA	Foreign Agents Registration Act	PTT	Presidential Transition Team
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation	RNC	Republican National Committee
FEC	Federal Election Commission	RT	Formerly known as Russia Today
FISA	Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act	SCI	Sensitive Compartmented
FISC	Foreign Intelligence Surveillance		Information
	Court	SIGINT	Signals Intelligence
FSB	Russian Federal Security Bureau	SIS	Moldovan Intelligence Service
FY	Fiscal Year	SSA	Supervisory Special Agent
GRU	Russian General Staff Main	SVR	Russian Foreign Intelligence Service
	Intelligence Directorate	UK	United Kingdom
HPSCI	House Permanent Select Committee	VOIP	Voice Over Internet Protocol
	on Intelligence (the Committee)	VPN	Virtual Private Network
HUMINT	Human Intelligence	1.00	and the state of the state
IAA	Intelligence Authorization Act		
IC	Intelligence Community		
ICA	Intelligence Community Assessment		
ICD	Intelligence Community Directive		
ICPG	Intelligence Community Policy Guidance		
IRA	Internet Research Agency		

SECRET/

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11



(U) Referenced Persons

Assange	Julian	Founder of WikiLeaks
Bannon .	Steve	Former Senior Counselor to the President and White House Chief Strategist (January-August 2017); former Chief Executive Officer of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential compaign; former Executive Chair- man of Breitbart News
Brennan	John	Former Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (2013-2017)
Cameron	David	Former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom (2010-2015)
Chalka	Yuri	Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation (2006-present)
Clapper	James	Former Director of National Intelligence (2010-2017)
Clinton	Hillary	Nominated as the Democratic candidate for President in 2016; for- mer Secretary of State (2009-2013); former First Lady of the United States
Clovis	, Sam	Senior White House Advisor to the United States Department of Ag- riculture (2017-present); National Co-chair of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign
Cohen	Michael	Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and Special Counsel to Donald Trump
Comey	James	Former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (2013-2017)
Conway	Kellyanne	Counselor to the President (2017-present); Campaign Manager of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign (August 17, 2016- November 8, 2016)
Dearborn	Rick	White House Deputy Chief of Staff for Legislative, Intergovernmenta Alfairs (2017-present); Executive Director of the 2016 Presidential Transition Team
Diveykin	Igor	Deputy Chief for Internal Policy of the Russian Federation
Djukanovic	Milo	Former President of Montenegro from 1998-2002; former Prime Minister from 2003-2006, 2008-2010, and 2012-2016
Dmitriev	, Kirill	Chief Executive Officer of the Russian Direct Investment Fund
Downer	Alexander	Australian High Commissioner to the United Kingdom
Dvorkovich	Arkady	Chairman of the Board of Directors of Russian Railways
Fatah el-Sisi	Abdel	President of Egypt (2014-present)

OD FECDET/



JEGHEN

Flynn	Michael	National Security Advisor (January 2017-February 2017)
Gates	Rick	Deputy to Paul Manafort (June-August 2016)
Gordon	J.D.	Director of National Security of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign
Graham	Lindsay	United States Senator from South Carolina (2003-present); former member of the United States House of Representatives from South Carolina (1993-2003)
Grassley	Chuck	United States Senator from Iowa (1981-present); former member of the United States House of Representatives from Iowa (1973-1981)
Hicks	Норе	White House Director of Communications (2017-present); former White House Director of Strategic Communications (January 2017- September 2017); National Press Secretary of the Presidential Tran- sition Team; Communications Director of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign; former employee of the Trump Organization
Johnson	Jeh	Former Secretary of Homeland Security (2013-2017)
	1	
Kellogg	Keith	Executive Secretary and Chief of Stall of the National Security Coun- cil (February 2017-present); Acting National Security Advisor (February 2017); foreign policy advisor of Donald Trump's 2016 pres Idential campaign
Kemp	Brian	Secretary of State of the State of Georgia (2010-present)
Kushner	Jared	Senior Advisor to the President; son-in-law of the President (married Ivanka Trump in 2009); real-estate developer
Lavrov	Sergey	Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation (2004-present)
Lynch	Loretta	Former Attorney General of the United States (2015-2017)
Macron	Emmanuel	President of France (2017-present)
Manafort	Paul	Chairman of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign (June- August 2016)
Məshburn	John	Co-led, with Senator Sessions, the foreign policy advisory panel of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign
McCabe	Andrew	Former Députy Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (2010 -2018)



HOTORN

(U) Referenced Persons (cont)

McConnell	Mitch	Senate Majority Leader (2015-present); United States Senator from Kentucky (1985-present)
McCord	. Mary	Former Acting Assistant Attorney General of the United States (2016 -2017); former Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for Na- Lional Security (2014-2016)
McGahn	Don	White House Counsel (January 2017-present): General Counsel of the Presidential Transition Team (November 2016-January 2017); Counsel to the Trump campaign during Donald Trump's 2016 presi- dential campaign
Merkel	¹ Angela	Chancellor of Germany (2005-present)
Mueller	Robert	Special Counsel for the United States Department of Justice (May 2017-present); former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (2001-2013)
Obama	Barack	Farmer President of the United States (2009-2017)
Papadopoulos	George	Former member of the foreign policy advisory panel to Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign
Pelosí	Nancy	Minority Leader of the United States House of Representatives (2011-present); former Speaker of the United States House of Rep- resentatives (2007-2011); member of the United States House of Representatives from California (1987-present)
Pence	*Mike	Vice President of the United States (2017-present); former Governor of Indiana (2013-2017); former member of the United States House of Representatives from Indiana (2001-2013)
Podesta	John	Chairman of Hillary Clinton's 2016 presidential campaign; former White House Chief of Staff; former Counselor to the President
Pompeo	Mike	Secretary of State nominee (March 2018); Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (January 2017-present); former member of the United States House of Representatives from Kanses (2012-2017)

TUP SECRET

(U) Referenced Persons (cont)

noron

Putin	Vladimir	President of the Russian Federation (2012-present)
Reid	Harry	Former Minority Leader of the United States Senate (2015-2017); former United States Senator from Nevada (1987-2017)
Rice	Susan	Former National Security Advisor (2013-2017); former United States Ambassador to the United Nations (2009-2013)
Romney	Mitt	Former Republican nominee for President (2012); former Governor of Massachusetts (2003-2007)
Ryan	Paul	Speaker of the United States House of Representatives (2015- present); member of the United States House of Representatives from Wisconsin (1999-present)
Schiller	Keith	Former Deputy Assistant to the President and Director of Oval Office Operations (January 2017-September 2017); former Director of Security for the Trump Organization (2004-2017)
Schmitz	Joe	Former foreign policy advisor to Donald Trump; former Inspector General of the United States Department of Defense (2002-2005)
Sessions	Jaff	Attorney General of the United States (2017-present); member of the 2016 Presidential Transition Team and Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign; former United States Senator from Alabame (1997-2017)
Steele	Christopher	Founder of British research firm Orbis Business Intelligence; former British intelligence professional
Stone	Roger	Former advisor of Donald Trump's 2016 presidential campaign
Sullivan	Jake	Senior Policy Advisor of Hillary Clinton's 2016 presidential cam- paign; former National Security Advisor to the Vice President (2013 2014)
	-	
-		
Trump	Donald J.	President of the United States (2017-present); career real estate developer and television host and producer
Trump, Jr.	Donald	President Trump's son; Trump Organization executive

TOD SECRET/

INIGEODAL

(U) Referenced Persons (cont)

Yanukovich	Viktor	Former President of Ukreine (2010-2014)
Yatés Sa	Sally	Former Acting Attorney General of the United States (Jan 2017);
	1	former Deputy Attorney General of the United States (2015-2017)

MOFORN

-TOP SECRET

(U) Preface

(U) In 2015, Russia began engaging in a covert influence campaign aimed at the U.S. presidential election. The Russian government, at the direction of President Vladimir Putin, sought to sow discord in American society and undermine our faith in the democratic process. Now, more than a year after the election, the American people rightfully want to know what the Russians did; how they did it; with whose support, if anyone's; and what can be done to counter any election tampering by foreign adversaries in the future.

(U) With this charge, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (the Committee) initiated an investigation in January 2017 with the mandate to examine (1) what Russian cyber activity and other active measures (covert influence activities run by the Russian intelligence services) were directed against the United States and its allies; (2) whether the Russian active measures include links between Russia and individuals associated with presidential campaigns; (3) what was the U.S. government response to these Russian active measures and what do we need to do to protect ourselves and our allies in the future; and (4) what possible leaks of classified information took place related to the Intelligence Community's assessment of these matters. Our goal was to provide, to the greatest extent practicable, a full accounting of what happened, how it happened, and recommendations for protecting our democratic processes and institutions in the future.

(U) From the investigation's inception, we were determined to follow the facts wherever they might lead within the agreed -upon scope and refer any criminality (if found) to the appropriate authorities. During the investigation we identified numerous shortcomings, including counterintelligence concerns, classified leaks, puzzling legal processes, and inappropriate or questionable behavior. All of these are enumerated in this report through findings, recommendations, and conclusions.

(U) We reviewed every piece of relevant evidence provided to us and interviewed every witness we assessed would substantively contribute to the agreed-upon bipartisan scope of the investigation. We acknowledge that investigations by other committees, the Special Counsel, the media, or interest groups will continue and may find facts that were not readily accessible to the Committee or outside the scope of our investigation. We will ensure any new discoveries are considered in the due course of the Committee's continuing oversight responsibilities.

(U) We would like to recognize the tireless work of the Committee's staff, which remained professional and dedicated throughout this inquiry. They deserve our nation's gratitude. We would also like to thank the thousands of men and women who serve in the IC. They will wake up tomorrow and continue their watch to protect the American people against further threats from Russia and other adversaries. L'CEFE'EFLEE

11.1行"二亿亿,没行"

(U) Nevertheless, the Committee remains concerned that Russia will continue to undermine western democracies by stoking social strife, political unrest, and division. As a country, it is time for us to reflect, understand what happened, fix the discovered problems, and unify around the common purpose of countering any future influence campaigns by Russia or any other nation.

(U) Introduction and Overview

COD SECDET/

(U) Russia's interference in the 2016 U.S. presidential election was nothing novel for the Kremlin. The Kremlin aspires to sow chaos and discord and advance its agenda in targeted nations, particularly in Europe and former Soviet republics such as the Baltics and Ukraine. To do this, Russia effectively combines decades of experience in propaganda and psychological warfare techniques with its vast media apparatus, a strata of well-educated and proficient technicians, and a robust intelligence and security corps.

(U) In the United States, Russian cyberattacks related to the 2016 elections starkly highlighted technical vulnerabilities in U.S. digital infrastructure and bureaucratic shortcomings that were exploited by the Kremlin. Russia's active measures campaign achieved its primary goal of inciting division and discord among Americans. For more than a year, U.S. politics have been consumed by bitter recriminations, charges, and counter-charges about the attacks. The reliability of the democratic vote—the bedrock of the U.S. republic—was widely and repeatedly questioned.

(U) At the time of the 2016 U.S. presidential election cycle, the Committee was already concerned with Russian malfeasance and aggression in levels that had not been seen since the Cold War. In fact, the IAA for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 included multiple provisions to improve the United States' ability to counter Russian aggression. However, the Kremlin's malicious activities

during the 2016 U.S. presidential election triggered the Committee to announce a specific inquiry into Russia's campaign (see Appendix B). The bipartisan parameters focused the investigation and this report-this Committee examined: (1) Russian cyber activity and other active measures that were directed against the United States and its allies; (2) whether the Russian active measures include links between Russia and individuals associated with presidential campaigns; (3) the U.S. government response to these Russian active measures and what we need to do to protect ourselves and our allies in the future; and (4) what possible leaks of classified information took place related to the Intelligence Community's assessment of these matters.¹ The Committee interviewed 73 witnesses, conducted 9 hearings and briefings, reviewed approximately 307,900 documents, and issued 20 subpoenas. This allowed the Committee to find answers crucial for identifying and addressing institutional weaknesses to assist the United States with identifying and responding to inevitable hostile acts in the future.

(U) While the 2016 U.S. presidential election helped focus American attention on Russian cyber and information operations, the Russian government has conducted active measure campaigns in Europe for years. Believing it is engaged in an information war with the West, Russia's influence activities employ an array of tactics—usually tailored

to the target country's population and environment—in an effort to accomplish the Kremlin's goals. These goals generally include influencing an opponent's leadership and population, advancing a narrative, or inducing a behavior change. The factors that make these campaigns successful also make them hard to counter. However, governments, non-governmental organizations, and media organizations in Europe have begun taking actions to address and mitigate the threat that Russian influence campaigns pose.

IUP SECRET

(U) The Russian active measures campaign against the United States was multifaceted. It leveraged cyberattacks, covert platforms, social media, third-party intermediaries, and state-run media. Hacked material was disseminated through this myriad network of actors with the objective of undermining the effectiveness of the future administration. This dissemination worked in conjunction with derisive messages posted on social media to undermine confidence in the election and sow fear and division in American society.

(U) The U.S. government's subsequent response to the Russian active measures campaign during the 2016 election was slow and inconsistent.

As that picture

evolved, the FBI's notification to victims and oversight committees was inconsistent in timeliness and quality, which contributed to the victims' failure to both recognize the threat and defend their systems. State and local governments were slow to grasp the seriousness of the threat and when notified of breaches continued to resist any action that implied federal direction or control. Some states opted not to cooperate with important defensive measures offered by the DHS. While no tabulation systems, or systems that count votes, were impacted, the overall security posture of the U.S. federal, state, and local governments was demonstrated to be inadequate and vulnerable.

(U) The Committee's investigation also reviewed the opening, in summer 2016, of a FBI enterprise counterintelligence investigation into trump campaign associates: Carter Page,

Because of "the sensitivity of the matter," the FBI did not notify congressional leadership about this investigation during the FBI's regular counterintelligence briefings.² Three of **Formation** original subjects of the FBI investigation have been charged with crimes and the Committee's review of these cases covers the period prior to the appointment of Special Counsel in May 2017.

(U) While the Committee found no evidence that the Trump campaign colluded, coordinated, or conspired with the Russian government, the investigation did find poor judgment and ill-considered actions by the Trump and Clinton campaigns. For example, the June 2016 meeting at Trump Tower between members of the Trump campaign and a Russian lawyer who falsely purported to have damaging information on the Clinton campaign demonstrated poor judgement. The Committee also found the Trump campaign's periodic praise for and communications with Wikileaks-a hostile foreign organization-to be highly objectionable and inconsistent with U.S. national security interests. The Committee also found that the Clinton campaign and the DNC, using a series of cutouts and intermediaries to obscure their roles, paid for opposition research on Trump obtained from Russian sources, including a litany of claims by high-ranking current and former Russian government officials. Some of this opposition research was used to produce sixteen memos, which comprise what has become known as the Steele dossier.

SECRE

(U) The effectiveness and relatively low cost of information operations, such as the dissemination of propaganda, make it an attractive tool for foreign adversaries. Unless the cost-benefit equation of such operations changes significantly, the Putin regime and other hostile governments will continue to pursue these attacks against the United States and its allies. Based on the investigation, the Committee recommends several solutions to help safeguard U.S. and allies' political processes from nefarious actors, such as the Russians.

SECKE 1/1

INIOEOPA

^{1.} HPSCI Press Release, Intelligence Committee Chairman, Ranking Member Establish Parameters for Russia Investigation, Mar. 1, 2017.

^{2.} HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures Investigation Open Hearing," Mar. 20, 2017.

(U) Summary Table of Findings

CHAPTER 1: RUSSIAN CAMPAIGNS IN EUROPE

(U) Finding #1: The Kremlin exploits free or independent media spaces and open democracies to conduct active measures in Europe.

(U) Finding #2: Russia supports fringe political parties and non-governmental organizations in Europe to further the Kremlin's agenda while also disparaging or discrediting politicians and groups seen as hostile to Moscow.

(U) Finding #3: Russia conducts increasingly aggressive cyber operations against European governments; a tactic that will continue to present a profound threat.

(U) Finding #4: Russia targets disaffected European populations and exploits social, political, and racial divisions in an effort to sow discord, encourage unrest, and incite protests.

(U) Finding #5: Russia leverages business and economic ties in Europe to achieve the Kremlin's goals, message displeasure, or inflict punishment.

(U) Finding #6: European governments and media outlets are conducting a variety of activities to combat Russian influence campaigns.

CHAPTER 2: RUSSIA ATTACKS THE UNITED STATES

(U) Finding #7: Russia conducted cyberattacks on U.S. political institutions in 2015-2016.

(U) Finding #8: Russian-state actors and third-party intermediaries were responsible for the dissemination of documents and communications stolen from U.S. political organizations.

(U) Finding #9: The Russian government used RT to advance its malign influence campaign during the 2016 U.S. presidential election.

(U) Finding #10: Russian intelligence leveraged social media in an attempt to sow social discord and to undermine the U.S. electoral process.

CHAPTER 3: AMERICA REACTS

(U) Finding #11: The Federal Bureau of Investigation's notification to numerous Russian hacking victims was largely inadequate.

(U) Finding #12: Communication between the Department of Homeland Security and state election officials was impeded by state officials' mistrust of federal government overreach coupled with a unprecedented level of Russian cyber intrusions.

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TOD CCODET



(U) Summary Table of Findings (cont)

CHAPTER 3: AMERICA REACTS (CONT)

(U) Finding #13: The joint Office of the Director of National Intelligence and Department of Homeland Security public statement attributing election interference to Russia was ineffective.

(U) Finding #14: The Executive Branch's post-election response was insufficient.

(U) Finding #15: The majority of the Intelligence Community Assessment judgments on Russia's election activities employed proper analytic tradecraft.

(U) Finding #16: The Intelligence Community Assessment judgments on Putin's strategic intentions did not employ proper analytic tradecraft.

(U) Finding #17: The Federal Bureau of Investigation opened an enterprise counterintelligence investigation into the Trump campaign after receiving information related to Trump campaign foreign policy advisor George Papadopoulos.

(U) Finding #18: As part of the enterprise counterintelligence investigation into the Trump campaign, the Federal Bureau of Investigation opened an individual counterintelligence investigation into Carter Page.

(U) Finding #19: The dossier compiled by Christopher Steele formed an essential part of an application to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to obtain electronic surveillance on Carter Page.

(U) Finding #20: Special Counsel Robert Mueller indicted Paul Manafort on several charges, none of which relate to allegations of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

IUP SECKE

(U) Finding #22: General Flynn pleaded guilty to making a false statement to the Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding his December 2016 conversations with Ambassador Kislyak, even though the Federal Bureau of Investigation agents did not detect any deception during Flynn's interview.

(U) Finding #23: Executive Branch officials did not notify the Trump campaign that members of the campaign were assessed to be potential counterintelligence concerns.

(U) Finding #24: The February 2018 indictment of the Internet Research Agency and Russian nationals exposes Russian actors and their intent to spread distrust towards the candidates and the political system in general.



CHAPTER 4: CAMPAIGN LINKS WITH RUSSIA

(U) Finding #25: When asked directly, none of the interviewed witnesses provided evidence of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

(U) Finding #26: The Committee found no evidence that President Trump's pre-campaign business dealings formed the basis for collusion during the campaign.

(U) Finding #27: The Republican national security establishment's opposition to candidate Trump created opportunities for two less-experienced individuals with pro-Russia views to serve as campaign advisors: George Papadopoulos and Carter Page.

(U) Finding #28: The change in the Republican Party platform regarding Ukraine resulted in a stronger position against Russia, not a weaker one, and there is no evidence that Paul Manafort was involved.

(U) Finding #29: There is no evidence that Trump associates were involved in the theft or publication of Clinton campaign-related emails, although Trump associates had numerous ill-advised contacts with WikiLeaks.

(U) Finding #30: Carter Page did not travel to Moscow in July 2016 on behalf of the Trump campaign, but the Committee is concerned about his seemingly incomplete accounts of his activity in Moscow.

(U) Finding #31: George Papadopoulos' attempts to leverage his Russian contacts to facilitate meetings between the Trump campaign and Russians was unsuccessful.

(U) Finding #32: Donald Trump Jr., Jared Kushner, and Paul Manafort attended a June 9, 2016, meeting at Trump Tower where they expected to receive—but did not ultimately obtain—derogatory information on candidate Clinton from Russian sources.

(U) Finding #33: Donald Trump Jr. briefly met with a Russian government official at the 2016 National Rifle Association annual meeting, but the Committee found no evidence that the two discussed the U.S. presidential election.

(U) Finding #34: The Committee found no evidence that meetings between Trump associates—including Jeff Sessions—and official representatives of the Russian government including Ambassador Kislyak—reflected collusion, coordination, or conspiracy with the Russian government.

(U) Summary Table of Findings (cont.)

CHAPTER 4: CAMPAIGN LINKS WITH RUSSIA (CONT.)-

(U) Finding #35: Possible Russian efforts to set up a "back channel" with Trump associates after the election suggest the absence of collusion during the campaign, since the communication associated with collusion would have rendered such a "back channel" unnecessary.

(U) Finding #36: Prior to conducting opposition research targeting candidate Trump's business dealings, Fusion GPS conducted research benefitting Russian interests.

(U) Finding #37: The law firm Perkins Cole hired Fusion GPS on behalf of the Clinton campaign and the Democratic National Committee to research candidate Trump's Russia ties.

(U) Finding #38: Christopher Steele claims to have obtained his dossier information secondand third-hand from purported high-placed Russian sources, such as government officials with links to the Kremlin and intelligence services.

(U) Finding #39: Christopher Steele's information from Russian sources was provided directly to Fusion GPS and Perkins Cole and indirectly to the Clinton campaign.

CHAPTER 5: INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT LEAKS

(U) Finding #40: Leaks of classified information regarding Russian intentions to sow discord in the U.S. presidential election began prior to the election day—November 8, 2016.

(U) Finding #41: Leaks of classified information alleging Russian intentions to help elect candidate Trump increased dramatically after the election day—November 8, 2016.

(U) Finding #42: The leaks prior to the classified Intelligence Community Assessment's publication, particularly leaks occurring after the U.S. presidential election, correlate to specific language found in the Intelligence Community Assessment.

(U) Finding #43: Continued leaks of classified information have damaged national security and potentially endangered lives.

(U) Finding #44: Former Director of National Intelligence James Clapper, now a CNN national security analyst, provided inconsistent testimony to the Committee about his contacts with the media, including CNN.

7



NOFORM

(U) Summary Table of Recommendations

CHAPTER 1: RUSSIAN CAMPAIGNS IN EUROPE

(U) Recommendation #1: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should strengthen legal and regulatory environments, promote media pluralism, build professional media associations, and improve the financial sustainability of legitimate news outlets.

(U) Recommendation #2: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should implement and encourage multi-pronged, country-wide efforts by both public and private entities to combat Russian propaganda, technical, and cyber operations.

(U) Recommendation #3: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should implement more stringent cyber security practices, such as multifactor authentication and encryption of sensitive data, as well as educating workforces on basic cyber security topics and best practices.

(U) Recommendation #4: European governments should look to long-term solutions to lessen economic dependence on Russia.

CHAPTER 2 & 3: RUSSIA ATTACKS THE UNITED STATES AND AMERICA REACTS

(U) Recommendation #5: Congress should identify options available to the private sector and federal government that would address the social media vulnerabilities exploited by the Russian government.

(U) Recommendation #6: Congress should consider updating the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act to cover malicious international cyber actors.

(U) Recommendation #7: The Federal Bureau of Investigation should improve cyberattack victim notification.

(U) Recommendation #8: Threats identified by the Intelligence Community to state and local elections infrastructure should be immediately briefed to appropriate state and local officials. When threats are identified, the federal government should conduct an expedited declassification review to ensure that the threat information can reach all necessary state and local officials in a timely manner.

(U) Recommendation #9: The Secretary of Homeland Security should provide certain designated state and local election officials appropriate security clearances to enable those officials to respond to election-related threats. (U) Summary Table of Recommendations (cont.)

CHAPTER 2 & 3: RUSSIA ATTACKS THE UNITED STATES AND AMERICA REACTS (CONT.)

(U) Recommendation #10: Significant threats to U.S. elections identified by the Intelligence Community, including cyberattacks directed at political organizations, should be immediately reported to the Congressional intelligence committees.

(U) Recommendation #11: Congress should encourage the adoption of National Institute of Standards and Technology cyber security standards, such as those adopted by the Elections Assistance Commission, by providing federal resources to state and local governments to facilitate such adoption. Funds should be tied to the adoption and certification of elections systems to appropriate standards.

(U) Recommendation #12: Congress should consider additional funding for the National Institute of Standards and Technology to enable better outreach to state and local governments.

(U) Recommendation #13: Congress should consider a one-time grant to state and local election agencies to conduct a risk assessment of those agencies' computer systems.

(U) Recommendation #14: Congress should consider strengthening the Help America Vote Act of 2002 to ensure that both statewide voter registration and tabulation systems are better protected from foreign cyber threats.

(U) Recommendation #15: The Department of Homeland Security should provide the owner or operator of any electronic election infrastructure affected by any significant foreign cyber intrusion with a briefing and include steps that may be taken to mitigate such intrusions.

(U) Recommendation #16: State and local governments should be encouraged to establish redundancies that are not dependent on current elections infrastructure, such as a mechanism that retains individual vote records, ensuring the integrity of the vote in the event of a compromise of voting infrastructure due to a foreign cyberattack. An example of such a redundancy is a contemporaneously created paper record reflecting the voter's selections.

(U) Recommendation #17: While it is important to implement lessons learned from the Executive Branch's response, Congress should not hamper the Executive Branch's ability to use discretion in responding to a particular foreign threat.

(U) Recommendation #18: Congress should consider repealing the Logan Act.

SELINEI

(U) Summary Table of Recommendations (cont.)

CHAPTER 2 & 3: RUSSIA ATTACKS THE UNITED STATES AND AMERICA REACTS (CONT!)

(U) Recommendation #19: All U.S. presidential campaigns should receive unclassified counterintelligence briefings at an appropriate time prior to a nomination convention.

(U) Recommendation #20: When consistent with national security, the Intelligence Community should immediately inform U.S. presidential candidates when it discovers a legitimate counterintelligence threat to the campaign, and promptly notify Congress.

(U) Recommendation #21: Both houses of Congress should consider requiring all staff to receive an annual counterintelligence awareness briefing.

CHAPTER 4: CAMPAIGN LINKS TO RUSSIA

(U) Recommendation #22: Political campaigns and law enforcement should ensure that their counterintelligence defenses appropriately account for the role of cut-outs and intermediaries.

(U) Recommendation #23: Congress should consider amending current campaign finance laws to further increase transparency regarding services provided by foreign persons or entities.

CHAPTER 5: INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT LEAKS

(U) Recommendation #24: Each component of the Intelligence Community should update its guidance regarding media contacts to ensure the guidance applies to every employee, including senior officials.

(U) Recommendation #25: Congress should consider legislation to increase the penalties for unauthorized disclosures of classified information.

(U) Recommendation #26: The Executive Branch should consider instituting mandatory polygraphs for all non-confirmed political appointees that have top secret clearances.

(U) Chapter 1-Russian Influence Campaigns in Europe

Key Question #1: What Russian cyber activity and other active measures were directed against the United States and <u>its allies</u>?

(U) While Americans became acutely aware of Russian cyber and information operations after the 2016 U.S. presidential election, these activities were not new to



UNCLASSIFIED SOVIET PROPAGANDA TARGETING THE WEST AFTER WORLD WAR II



Nondinan America Alegica, Albertola Alberto Sounce Maistrat Flat Fice dation

UNCLASSIFIED



SLONGIA

(U) Russia conducts information warfare in an effort to manipulate the populace and leadership of the nations it targets. To these ends, Russia employs an array of tactics for its influence activities in an effort to advance the Russian government's interests. When successful, these activities can influence an opponent's leadership and population to advance a narrative and induce a behavior change, concurrently serving multiple Russian objectives.

(U) Russia's goals for these campaigns include: to advance the Kremlin's interests; discredit the West; confuse or distort events that threaten Russia's image; break Western political cohesion; and defend Russia's role as a vital global power. More specific and country-tailored goals also include to: weaken, divide, and halt further expansion of consensus-driven institutions like NATO and the EU; sow confusion and amplify divisions among segments of Western populations; challenge establishment politics; damage U.S. foreign policy goals; advance Russia's version of world events; distract from controversial Russian policies and activities; reverse perceived anti-Russian policies; improve bilateral relations; and strengthen economic ties.

(U) Aiding in Russia's influence activitives, the modern world's widespread use of the internet and social media for news and communications has allowed Russia to: quickly and easily weaponize data stolen in cyber breaches; disseminate propaganda, misinformation, and disinformation; and aggravate social, racial, and political divisions.



(U) Finding #1: The Kremlin exploits free or independent media spaces and open democracies to conduct active measures in Europe.



(U) Russia also exploits free media

spaces and open democracies through a network of Russian state-owned news outlets and media platforms, such as Sputnik and RT, which promote Russia's image abroad and show foreigners world events from a Russian perspective (see Appendix C).

(U) The Kremlin's active measures, or information warfare, strategy includes sev eral tactics:



(U) After alleged Russian interference in the Brexit vote, in October 2013, the U.K. Electoral Commission announced a probe into this activity. According to open source reporting, Russian-based Twitter accounts posted more than 45,000 messages about Brexit in 48 hours during the 2016 referendum vote.⁷





(U) Plant and propagate false news stories: Russia uses "troll" armies to set up fake social media accounts and blogs, including through an organization known as the Internet Research Agency (IRA).¹¹ A study by the European Endowment for Democracy described large numbers of paid Russian "trolls" on social media.¹²

(U) Finding #2: Russia supports fringe political parties and non-governmental organizations in Europe to further the Kremlin's agenda while also disparaging or discrediting politicians and groups seen as hostile

DECKET

to Moscow.



UNCLASSIFIED HEADQUARTERS OF RUSSIA'S INTERNET RESEARCH AGENCY



Source Independent

UNCLASSIFIED



NOTOT

ALLENE IT

(U) In a tactic dating back to the Soviet era, Moscow also denigrates and discredits people and groups seen as hostile to its interests

(U) Kremlin-linked journalists and media outlets also will engage in misinformation: weaving truth and falsehoods together to create misleading reports intended to im-

JELINEITT

UNCLASSIFIED ANTI-MERKEL PROTESTS FOLLOWING A RUSSIAN INFLUENCE CAMPAIGN

TUGIONI

pugn the target's character or reputation.



Scence: Per Georgian UNCLASSIFIED

(U) In another example during the recent French Presidential elections, Russiancontrolled media highlighted defamatory stories about the private life and campaign funding of the more Russia-skeptic candidate Emmanuel Macron. Two days before the final presidential election, data hacked from Macron's En Marche party was posted on a data sharing website. Cybersecurity researchers attributed the hack to the same GRU group that hacked the DNC.²⁷

(U) Finding #3: Russia conducts increasingly aggressive cyber operations against European governments; a tactic that will continue to present a profound threat.

TEUTUIN



INT SECOND I

(U) Finding #4: Russia targets disaffected European populations and exploits social, political, and racial divisions in an effort to sow discord, encourage unrest, and incite protests.



7 6 10 20 20 20 20 20 10



(U) Finding #5: Russia leverages business and economic ties in Europe to achieve the Kremlin's goals, message displeasure, or inflict punishment.

(U) Russia is adept at utilizing economic ties to its advantage. Moscow aims to deepen business ties with individuals that

can be used as agents of influence, and countries whose dependence on trade with Russia create vulnerabilities to Russian influence. Economic vulnerability—such as reliance on Russia for trade or energy—can be leveraged to change behavior, message displeasure, or inflict punishment. For example, Germany imports about 40 percent of its natural gas from Russia. Because of this, many business leaders are lobbying for the removal of sanctions against Russia.³⁷

JEUNLI



(U) Finding #6: European governments and media outlets are conducting a variety of activities to combat Russian influence campaigns.

(U) According to a 2016 study by the RAND Corporation, Russia's various tactics for conducting information operations, combined with its lack of a consistent, ideological goal, make countering these activities difficult. This study found that the factors that make Russian disinformation effective—the high volume of stories, its rapid, continuous nature, and lack of consistency—are the same factors that make it difficult to counter.⁴²

UNCLASSIFIED 2017 EUROPEAN SEMINAR ON BOLSTERING RESILIENCE TO ACTIVE MEASURES CAMPAIGNS



Gawee Hauld Col

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Many European governments are taking proactive steps to counter Russian propaganda and disinformation efforts. NATO has prioritized efforts to counter "hybrid threats" by developing a strategy that includes strengthened coordination with the European Union, as well as training and exercises through its new Intelligence Division. The Strategic Communications Center of Excellence in Riga, Latvia and the Cooperative Cyber Defense Center of Excellence in Tallinn, Estonia also contribute to these efforts. In addition, several NATO al-

lies and European Union members signed a Memorandum of Understanding to establish a European Center of Excellence for Countering Hybrid Threats in April 2017.⁴³



(U) In France, the French newspaper *Le Monde* launched a web platform to allow readers to check the reliability of French and international websites with an Internet browser extension that will alert readers when they come across false or unverified stories.⁴⁶





(U) In 2017, Ukraine banned Russian social media platforms, as well as RT and Sputnik—though the latter two can still be accessed online. Additionally, media platforms such as StopFake are used to identify false news stories.⁵⁰

(U) In November 2016, the European Parliament adopted a resolution to counteract anti-EU propaganda by third parties.⁵¹



TOP SECRET



(U) Russia's active measures campaign In Europe is nothing new, but the growing frequency and intensity of Russian influence efforts pose an increasingly significant threat to the United States and its allies. The Committee has taken significant measures to highlight this growing threat to the American people since at least 2015. Specifically, the Intelligence Authorization Act (IAA) for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 included multiple provisions to improve the United States' ability to counter Russian aggression:

- (U) FY 2016 IAA, Section 502. Assessment on funding of political parties and nongovernmental organizations by the Russian Federation.
- (U) FY 2016 IAA, Section 503. Assessment on the use of political assassinations as a form of statecraft by the Russian Federation.
- (U) FY 2017 IAA, Section 501. Com-

TOP SECRET

W/WOFORN

mittee to Counter Active Measures by the Russian Federation to Exert Covert Influence Over Peoples and Governments.

- (U) FY 2017 IAA, Section 502. Travel of Accredited Diplomatic and Consular Personnel of the Russian Federation in the United States.
- (U) FY 2017 IAA, Section 503. Study and Report on Enhanced Intelligence and Information Sharing with Open Skies Treaty Member States.



(U) Additionally, in 2016 the Committee held two hearings and seven briefings for Committee Members on Russia and related issues, and the Chairman and Members of the Committee sent six letters to the Administration urging stronger action against Russia. For example, Committee Members urged the Obama administration to hold Russia accountable for multiple violations of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty, and expressed concern over likely Russian attempts to utilize the Open Skies. Treaty for intelligence collection purposes. Additionally, in spring 2016, Chairman Nunes declared the inability to predict the plans and intentions of the Putin regime "the biggest intelligence failure since

NUFURN

9/11."56



PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

20



Devin Nunes: We've Been Warning Administration About Russian Hacking 'And They Did Nothing,'" CNS News, Jan. 9, 2017.

1 111549 130500



(U) Chapter 2 - Russia Attacks the United States

Key Question #1: What Russian cyber activity and other active measures were directed against <u>the United States</u> and its allies?

(U) The Russian government's multifaceted malign influence campaign was the subject of extensive public reporting in the months before the January 5, 2017, publication of the classified ICA titled Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections. While many of the facts concerning the attack have been widely disseminated, there are important elements of the Russian campaign that remain classified.

(U) The purpose of the Committee's review of the Russian information operations was to establish the facts, as well as the federal government's understanding of those facts. This chapter specifically examines (1) the cyberattacks that targeted U.S. political organizations (including the method of the attack and its attribution); (2) the dissemination of hacked material; and (3) the role of Russian state media and social media in Russia's malign influence campaign.

(U) Finding #7: Russia conducted cyberattacks on U.S. political institutions in 2015-2016.



(U) The Committee agrees with this statement and finds the ICA assessment of

Russian responsibility to be based on compelling facts and well-reasoned analysis.





PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

GILEIT



PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES








(U) While the intelligence case for attribution to Russia is significant, alternative scenarios have been examined to include an insider threat or another cyber actor. No credible evidence was found supporting either alternative, including a review of information contained in classified intelligence reports.

(U) Finding #8: Russian-state actors and third-party intermediaries were responsible for the dissemination of documents and communications stolen from U.S. political organizations.

(U) Russian-state actors and third party intermediaries were responsible for the selective dissemination of information from hacked U.S. political systems. This represents a "significant escalation in directness, level of activity, and scope of effort" in Russia's "longstanding desire to undermine the US-led liberal democratic order." It is therefore likely that high-level Russian government approval was required in both planning and execution of the operation.¹⁶

TUDTUNI

(U) Russian-state Actors

(U) Guccifer 2.0 and DC Leaks





Source: Wardpress.com (guccifer2.wordpress.com) UNCLASSIFIED



(U) From their first appearances, both Guccifer 2.0 and DC Leaks sought to conceal their identities. During a media interview on June 21, 2017, Guccifer 2.0 identified himself as a Romanian "hacker, manager, philosopher, women lover," and a "freedom fighter." He further explained in broken English his desire to follow in Marcel Lazar's (the original Guccifer) footsteps to "fight for freedom of minds and for a world without illuminati."¹⁹

(U) Meanwhile, DC Leaks identified itself as a group of American hacktivists engaged in "a new level project aimed to analyze and publish a large amount of emails from top-ranking officials and their influence agents all over the world." The selfdescribed premise of the DC Leaks effort was that "politicians have forgotten that in a democracy the people are the highest form of political authority."²⁰



- (U) Both Guccifer 2.0 and DC Leaks worked to conceal their true identities, physical locations, and motivations;
- (U) Guccifer 2.0's first appearance online and claim of responsibility for the DNC hack occurred within 24 hours of the public announcement by **Excertion** that the DNC had been hacked by actors affiliated with the Russian government;²²



TOP SLUNL IT



(U) During interactions with the media, Guccifer 2.0 denied any relationship with the Russian government and claimed to be Romanian. However, when pressed to explain how he hacked into the DNC in his native Romanian language, he failed to demonstrate fluency. Guccifer 2.0 terminated the interview when challenged on this point;²⁵



(U) WikiLeaks

(U) WikiLeaks played a key role in Russia's malign influence campaign and served as a third party intermediary for Russian intelligence during the period leading up to the 2016 U.S. presidential election. (U) The global reach of WikiLeaks and its established ties to the media makes it an attractive outlet for the dissemination of stolen documents intended to undermine the United States and its electoral process. In addition, WikiLeaks' historic actions, which have undermined U.S. interests and been beneficial to Russia, make the organization an ideal intermediary for Russian intelligence.²⁷

UNCLASSIFIED GUCCIFER 2.0 & WIKILEAKS



UNCLASSIFIED

(U) WikiLeaks relies on hackers, leakers, and other criminal agents to acquire personal, confidential, and classified material for publication.

As part of that

dissemination, WikiLeaks sent 118 tweets promoting the hacked material. WikiLeaks messaging was then magnified by 426,000 other users' tweets. According to Twitter, as much as 25% of these tweets could have been the result of automated activity associated with Russia's malign influence campaign.²⁸

JECHLINS



UNCLASSIFIED WIKILEAKS DISSEMINATES HACKED MATERIAL





Disc Ennall Archives The window concerns FLZ errors and SLD Schwert New State (Schwert JSE Concerns) windows Conversion Schol and spin of Sumany SL Market of State State (Schwert State Schwert Schwert of Schwert State (Schwert) Schwert Schw

Source: Willesks website UNCLASSIFIED

Finding #9: The Russian government used RT to advance its malign influence campaign during the 2016 U.S. presidential election.

TOP SECRET

{U} The Committee finds ample evidence that RT is not only a state-enterprise, but is subject to the editorial control of the Russian government. This control allowed the Kremlin to use RT to advance its malign influence efforts during the 2016 U.S. presidential election.

(U) RT, formerly Russia Today, became an international news channel in 2005. It is available in more than 100 countries and has its largest viewer base in Europe. RT's stated goal is to "create news with an edge for viewers who want to question more" and produces content which appeals to skeptics of both the mainstream media and the establishment.³⁰

(U) RT is subject to the control of the Russian government. The State Department describes it as a "State-owned international satellite news network broadcasting in multiple languages," which "spreads Russian, propaganda tailored to international markets." The IC has identified RT as "the Kremlin's principal international propaganda outlet."³¹



HOPUKN

(U) During the 2016 U.S. presidential elections, RT ran stories consistent with its past editorial bias against the West and suggested that the U.S. electoral process had been corrupted. RT was critical of presidential candidates from both major parties but was consistently critical of candidate Clinton through the election.

(U) RT's attacks against candidate Clinton were wide-ranging, including the insinuation that the Clinton family were criminals. RT also used advertising to promote material leaked by Russian intelligence, which targeted candidate Clinton and the Democratic Party.32

(U) Finding #10: Russian intelligence leveraged social media in an attempt to sow social discord and to undermine the U.S. electoral process.



(U) The Internet Research Agency (IRA), a Russia-based "troll farm" with ties to the Kremlin, was responsible for placing ads and maintaining both human operated and automated social media accounts for the malign influence campaign.³⁴

(U) Twitter

UNCLASSIFIED **RT SPONSORED TWEETS**

BUT) AYO

Indian

5 times when the Clintons escaped federal charges





#Podesta33: WikiLeaks releases latest batch of emails from Clinton campaign chair

S ethers

IPOALDALT FITZ SHE'S NUMBER LADSE SHOT OF HILLIN I'S A Williams has puptoned to 30th special of analy from the Inclust account of History Category Analysish alternation of the

Source: Twitter

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) The 2016 Russian Twitter operation was coordinated with the use of other social media platforms to undermine the U.S. political process and divide Americans. Both presidential candidates (@HillaryClinton and @realDonaldTrump) were directly engaged through "retweets" and "likes," as were various politically active and divisive.

TOP SECRET/

factions of American society.

(U) In total, Twitter identified 36,746 automated Russian accounts which were responsible for producing 1.4 million unique tweets. In addition, Twitter identified 2,752 human-operated accounts. Some of these accounts masqueraded as the news media, activists, and political organizations. One Russian account, @TEN_GOP, successfully Impersonated the Tennessee Republican Party and grew to have significantly more followers than the legitimate Twitter account. After tweeting "We Love You, Mr. President" to Donald Trump, @TEN_GOP received a thank you from the presidential candidate.³⁵

(U) @TEN_GOP and other Russianlinked accounts incited racial divisions, anti-Muslim, and anti-immigrant messages. They also promoted the dissemination of material stolen from U.S. political organizations by the GRU. The Russian cyber personas DC Leaks and Guccifer 2.0 used Twitter to promote stolen material, as did

UNCLASSIFIED

RUSSIAN TWEETS USING @HillaryClinton and @realDonaldTrump



WikiLeaks.

(U) Facebook

(U) Russian operators also used Facebook Pages and advertising to advance their malign influence campaign. The company's internal review found the creation and promotion of 120 unique Facebook Pages by the IRA. These pages generated approximately 80,000 posts over the two year period preceding the election. These posts appeared in 29 million users' Facebook "News Feeds." When Facebook calculated the cumulative impact of "Shares" "Likes" and "Follows," the company estimated that 129 million people may have been served Russia's malign influence content.³⁶

(U) According to Facebook, much of the Russian activity was designed to promote divisive social and political messages across the ideological spectrum and that advertising was intended to drive followership of divisive Pages. Four of the top impressiongenerating (or number of times an ad was on screen) advertisements were from fictitious personas claiming to represent organizations including "Back the Badge," "Blacktivist," "Being Patriotic," and "Woke Blacks."³⁷

(U) Russian malign influence activities on Facebook were significant but they were not well-funded or large-scale operations relative to the overall scope of electionrelated activity on these platforms:

 Prior to the election, Russian operators used paid advertising on Facebook to reach 5 million Americans



TUP SECRETT

(based on impressions). At the same time, 33 trillion stories were served on Facebook Pages and users averaged 220 per day;

- 56% of the 11.4 million impressions associated with Russian Facebook advertising occurred after the election;
- 99% of Russian Facebook ads were funded with less than \$1,000 and 25% were never seen.
- (U) Google

(U) Google also was used as a media platform for Russia's malign influence campaign. The company's investigation revealed that \$4,700 was spent promoting 18 channels and 1,100 YouTube videos (43 hours of content).

(U) Google describes this as a limited investment compared to overall electionrelated spending on Google. In total, 0.0002 percent of 2016 U.S. election advertising was found to be associated with Russian malign actors. In addition, Google noted that this Russian-funded media had very low view counts with only 3% reaching views of 5,000 or more.

(U) However, it should be noted that Google and its services have been and continue to be used by Russia for the dissemination of propaganda through RT. This is partly evidenced by RT's 2.2 million subscribers on YouTube, but also by the fact that RT propaganda is served to Americans by Google in the same manner as legitimate news sources.

TOF SECKET

(U) The Committee's investigation found that Twitter, Facebook, Google, and other social media platforms face significant challenges in their effort to identify and act on malign influence campaigns. Some of those challenges include:

NUTUNA

- Sophisticated actors adapt to automated defenses;
- Social media does not require true name usage;
- Users can easily conceal their physical location with virtual private network connections;
- Social media seeks authentic exchanges and does not want to censor speech; and
- Social media platforms do not have access to intelligence reporting.

NUPURIN



PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

36

	TOP SECRET//
16,	ODNI, Intelligence Community Assessment: Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections, Jan. 5, 2017; HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Russian Cyber Activities (Closed Session), Dec. 5, 2016; HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Russia Cyber Activity (Closed), Jan. 10, 2016;
	ential Election (ICA 2016-37HC), Sept. 12, 2016.
17. 18.	HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Russian Cyber Activities (Closed), Dec. 5, 2016; HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Rus- sia Cyber Activity (Closed Session), Jan. 10, 2016; , , " <i>The definitive Trump-Russia Timeline of Events</i> ," <i>Politico</i> , Dec. 1, 2017; , , "Hacking Democracy: The Post's new findings in Russia's bold campaign to influence the U.S. election," <i>Washington Post</i> , July 11, 2017; ,
	prise in Cyberspace Operations (NICM 2017-06), Jan. 30, 2017.
19. 20. 21.	Twitter, @DCLeaks_
22.	"Bears in the Midst: Intrusion into the Democratic National Committee," CrowdStrike Blog, June 15, 2016; """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""
23.	interview with Gutcher 2.0, Vice, Julie 21, 2017.
24.	; "Two Years of Pawn Storm," Trend Micro, Apr. 25, 2017; ThreatConnect, "Guccifer 2.0: All Roads Lead Back to Russia," July 26, 2016;
25. 26. 27.	ThreatConnect, "Does a BEAR Leak in the Woods? ThreatConnect Identifies DCLeaks as Another Russian-Backed Influ- ence Outlet," Aug. 12, 2016
	Joe Becker, Steven Erlanger, and Eric Schmitt, "How Russia Often Benefits When Julian Assange Reveals the West's Secrets," The New York Times, Aug. 31, 2016. WikiLeaks.org, About WikiLeaks (www.wikileaks.org), Dec. 22, 2017; Feike Hacquebord, "Two Years of Pawn Storm," Trend Micro, Apr. 25, 2017; ThreatConnect, "Guccifer 2.0: All Roads Lead Back to Russia," July 26, 2016;
29.	Committee Briefing on Russia Cyber Activity (Closed Session), Jan. 10, 2016. HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Russian Cyber Activity (Closed Session), Dec. 5, 2016; HPSCI, Full Committee Briefing on Russia Cyber Activity (Closed Session), Jan. 10, 2016; Matthew Nussbaum, "The definitive Trump-Russia Timeline of Events," <i>Politico</i> , Dec. 1, 2017; Hacking Democracy: The Post's new findings in Russia's bold campaign to influence the U.S. election," <i>Washington Post</i> , July 11, 2017; Hereit State
30.	RT.com, About, Dec. 23, 2017;
31.	U.S. Department of State, Media organizations controlled and funded by the Government of the Russia Federation
32. 33.	(Report 002580), Nov. 7, 2017.
35. 36.	Written testimony of the second secon
37.	HPSCI, "Russia Investigation Task Force Hearing on Social Media," Nov. 1, 2017.



Key Question #3: What was the U.S. government response to these Russian active measures and what do we need to do to protect ourselves and our allies in the future?

(U) As discussed in Chapter 2, the IC was at the tip of the spear of the U.S. government's response to Russia's nefarious cyber activities. While the NSA focused on detection and attribution, the FBI took the lead on victim notification, and the DHS was the primary agency responsible for providing assistance to victims and coordinating with state and local election officials.

(U) The federal government's ability to effectively respond to cyber threats depends on the IC's ability to pass information efficiently to the FBI at the lowest classification level possible. It is also dependent on the sufficiency of the interactions between the federal government and victim, whether that victim is a private organization such as the DNC, or a state or local government entity. Given the response to Russia's malign influence campaign, the Committee believes that FBI and DHS need to improve the processes used to engage with victims and stakeholders, who independently control their respective systems.

(U) The Executive Branch's policy response to Russia's active measures campaign included extensive deliberation, but not significant pre-election action. This is explained by two factors. First, the Executive Branch was justifiably concerned about raising an alarm so close to the election. Second, elections are not run by the federal government. State and local governments are under no obligation to cooperate with federal officials, nor are political organizations that operate their own networks. In short, the developing intelligence on Russian active measures throughout 2016, the complexity of the political situation, and the lack of federal authority to act limited the options for aggressive pre-election actions. The Executive Branch took some actions, to include a joint DHS and ODNI public statement issued on October 7, 2016.

(U) sion cell on Russian election interference, which was comprised of analysts from the CIA, FBI, and NSA. This fusion cell produced a series of papers for the White House, directors of each of the three agencies, and the DNI. The cell operated through the election, standing down in mid-November.

(U) On December 6, 2016, President Obama directed CIA Director John Brennan to conduct a review of all intelligence relating to Russian involvement in the 2016 elections, and produce a single, comprehensive assessment. The result, an ICA titled Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections, was drafted by CIA analysts

the NSA and the FBI. While most of the analysis contained in the ICA held up to scrutiny, the Committee investigation found that ICA judgments on Putin's strategic ob-

NOTON

TOP SECRET/

jectives failed to meet most of the analytic standards set forth in the primary guiding document for IC analysis, Intelligence Community Directive (ICD) 203, Analytic Standards.

(U) Another component of the Executive Branch's response to the Russian government's efforts to interfere in the 2016 presidential campaign was FBI's opening of a counterintelligence investigation into "the nature of any links between individuals associated with the Trump campaign and the Russian government and whether there was any coordination between the campaign and Russia's efforts."¹

(U) The Committee collected facts related to the FBI's investigation through May 2017, until the appointment of Special Counsel Robert Mueller. The Committee did not examine events that occurred thereafter in order to avoid interfering with Special Counsel Mueller's ongoing investigation. While this chapter addresses the FBI's investigation, facts identified by the Committee relating to Russia contacts with Trump campaign associates, including the individuals under FBI investigation, are addressed in Chapter 4.

(U) Finding #11: The Federal Bureau of Investigation's notification to numerous Russian hacking victims was largely inadequate.



Iniacoor

(U) The Committee is also concerned that many, perhaps even a majority, of Russia's known victims were never contacted by the FBI. In November 2017, the Associated Press (AP) reported that it contacted approximately 80 people out of a list of approximately 500 victims. Only two who were contacted by the AP "learned of the hacking attempts of their personal Gmail accounts from the FBI."⁸ Although the Com-

	·	(NIGEODA)	
TOP SECRET/	i de la competencia d Competencia de la competencia de la comp	Micronity and	
			the second
TOR SECORT	A STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE	/NOEODAL BOD	

TOP SECRET

mittee cannot verify the accuracy of the AP's reporting, Clinton campaign senior policy advisor Jake Sullivan testified to the Committee that, consistent with the AP's analysis, his personal Gmail account was the subject of numerous hacking attempts, but that he never received any sort of notification from FBI.³

(U) Interaction with the DNC illustrated that even when the FBI expeditiously made contact with a victim, and conveyed relatively detailed information, the engagement failed to elicit the desired responsenamely, the DNC's swift and serious attention. Director Comey testified that, in retrospect, "[w]e would have sent up a much larger flare. Yeah, we would have just kept banging and banging on the door, knowing what I know now. We made extensive efforts to notify. I might have walked over there myself, knowing what I know now."10 Similarly, former DHS Secretary Jeh Johnson reflected that, "You know, in retrospect, it would be easy for me to say that I should have brought a sleeping bag and camped out in front of the DNC in late summer, with the benefit of hindsight."21

(U) Finding #12: Communication between the Department of Homeland Security and state election officials was impeded by state officials' mistrust of federal government overreach coupled with an unprecedented level of Russian cyber intrusions.

(U) DHS was the first agency to raise awareness to state election officials and the general public regarding cybersecurity concerns with the 2016 election infrastructure. In August 2016, Secretary Johnson hosted a conference call with the National Association of Secretaries of State (NASS) and other Chief Election Officials. This call was followed in September and October 2016 by four statements encouraging state and local elections officials to request DHS's cybersecurity assistance.¹²

TSOLONIS

(U) During the August 2016 phone call, Secretary Johnson offered assistance to state officials in managing risks to voting systems in each state's jurisdiction. He also encouraged state officials to implement recommendations from the Department of Commerce's NIST and the U.S. EAC on securing election infrastructure. At that time, DHS was "not aware of any specific or credible cybersecurity threats relating to the upcoming general election systems," and, on the call with state officials, "Secretary Johnson reiterated that DHS, the Election Assistance Commission, NIST, and DOJ are available to offer support and assistance in protecting against cyber attacks."13

(U) On August 18, 2016, the FBI Cyber Division, in an effort to aid cyber security professionals and system administrators to guard against the persistent malicious actions of cyber criminals, issued an alert to states entitled, "Targeting Activity Against State Board of Election Systems."¹⁴ The bulletin warned that in late June 2016, an "unknown actor scanned a state's Board of Election website for vulnerabilities."¹⁵ The FBI recommended that all states search activity logs for any escalation attempts and suggested three recommendations as pre-

TOP SECRET//

cautionary measures.¹⁶

UNCLASSIFIED FBI WARNS STATES OF MALICIOUS CYBER ATTEMPTS



UNCLASSIFIED

(U) In a September 16, 2016 statement, Secretary Johnson announced "we have seen cyber intrusions involving political institutions and personal communications. We have also seen some efforts at cyber intrusions of voter registration data maintained in state election systems."¹⁷ Secretary Johnson encouraged election officials to reach out to DHS and also offered a variety of cybersecurity services to state and election officials, including:

- Scans on internet-facing systems, including reporting of vulnerabilities and mitigation recommendations;
- Risk and vulnerability assessments;
- Support from the National Cybersecurity and Communications Integration Center (NCCIC) to provide onsite assistance in identifying and remediating a cyber incident;
- Information sharing of relevant

TOP SECRET

cyber incidents, threats, and vulnerability information;

- Best practices for securing voter registration databases and addressing potential threats; and
- Field-based cybersecurity advisors to assist with planning and incident management.¹⁸

(U) Also on September 28, 2016, Speaker Ryan and Leaders McConnell, Peiosi, and Reid sent a letter to the National Association of State Election Directors, "urg[ing] states to take full advantage of the robust public and private sector resources available to them..." and informing them that "[i]n addition, the Department of Homeland Security stands ready to provide cybersecurity assistance to those states that choose to request it."¹⁹

(U) On October 1, 2016, Secretary Johnson expressed gratitude for the letter from congressional leadership, and noted that there were a few cases in which malicious actors gained access to state voting-related systems. He also encouraged state and local election officials to seek DHS' cybersecurity assistance. "So far, 21 states have contacted us about our services. We hope to see more," Johnson said at the time.²⁰

(U) DHS and ODNI released a joint public statement on October 7, 2016. DHS continued to urge state and local election officials to remain vigilant and seek its assistance with cybersecurity.²¹ On October 10, 2016, Secretary Johnson provided an update on DHS election cybersecurity services

FINOLOKIA

that "to date, 33 states . . . election agencies have approached the Department of Homeland Security about our Cybersecurity services." Johnson stressed that time was an important factor, with only 29 days until election; it could take up to two weeks for DHS to run scans and identify vulnerabilities, and an additional week for election officials to mitigate any vulnerabilities. This was the Secretary's final public attempt to encourage state and local election officials to reach out to DHS for assistance.²²

UNCLASSIFIED

Congress of the Multen States Dechogias, Dis 2013

Sornen it. Mit

Han, Todo Yaha Lee Peridan Neland Antonatan al tana Bhadan (Jaanan 219 Magad New ten Irike, Sala etta Nega 12 17459

storthendes Vilotes:

Phe II 3 Constantion content to the proceing a manufactor of an about price to the source to the source transfer a part of the source that the proceeding

If heyers teams both for the best send of the formula for prime of the former of the f

Tody, the server treach chillings of which does not an welling to be explorated and ways for connectable of our a non-ull Recurpt the case to Like Informating the sector private and private space we are a server to be a non-unit of the sector information of the sector of the sector private space in a sector and sector information of the sector information of the sector of the sector information of the information of the sector information of the sector of the sector information of the sector of the information of the sector information of the sector of the information of the sector of the information of the sector of the information of the sector of the information of the sector of the information of the sector of the se

For our DO, and the stars have sensates only challings have a fair or on a bonder or skorenny. He matthe you's flate she was skylo for the new chille just the Straumy by Kepler you was to go Maraphan and a

S excle. Just? Person list Hich Ma Pasel

UNCLASSIFIED

PERMIT

(U) Challenges encountered by DHS included the unprecedented size and scope of Russian active measures, lack of public attention, and mistrust from state and local election officials-many of whom lacked access to classified information. Ultimately, 36 states took advantage of DHS's assistance, but many election officials were resistant to the idea of designating election infrastructure as critical infrastructure.23 As former Secretary Johnson explained to the Committee, "one thing I discovered in this conversation, State election officials are very sensitive about what they perceived to be Federal intrusion into their process. I heard that firsthand over and over: This is our process. It's our sovereign responsibility. We're not interested in the Federal takeover."24 A clear example of mistrust was a letter sent from Georgia's Secretary of State Brian Kemp to Secretary Johnson on December 8, 2016, which accused DHS of attempting to breach the Georgia Secretary of State's firewall. "I am writing to ask you whether DHS was aware of this attempt and, if so, why DHS was attempting to breach our firewall," Mr. Kemp stated.25 Nevertheless, on January 6, 2017, DHS designated election infrastructure as a subsector of the existing Government Facilities critical infrastructure sector.²⁶

(U) Finding #13: The joint Office of the Director of National Intelligence and Department of Homeland Security public statement attributing election interference to Russia was ineffective.

(U) No major public actions were taken

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TOP SECRET

between October 7, 2016 and election day. It is unclear exactly when policymakers began focusing on Russian efforts to influence the election. As further discussed below, Attorney General Loretta Lynch recalls being briefed by FBI senior leadership

that

the Counterintelligence Division had essentially uncovered some information or received information involving Russian intelligence operatives."²⁷

(U) On May 18, 2016, speaking at the Bipartisan Policy Center in Washington, D.C., DNI Clapper stated, "we've [the IC] already had some indications of that [attempts of cyberattacks on presidential campaign websites] and the combination of DHS and FBI are doing what they can to educate both campaigns against potential cyber threats."²⁸

(U) By summer 2016, CIA Director Brennan had become aware of information about "specific Russian efforts to influence the election,"⁷⁹ and the National Security Council (NSC) Principals Committee began discussing actions to take in response to what the Russians had been doing.³⁰ As Director Brennan continued to brief the Principals Committee on Russia, the CIA—as discussed previously in this report—"pulled together experts from the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), NSA, and FB

to focus on the issue, drawing in multiple perspectives and subject matter experts with broad expertise to assess Russian attempts to interfere in the U.S. Presidential election."³¹ While DHS was providing assistance to states to conduct cyber reviews of their

NUFUR

electoral mechanisms, the Principals Committee was awaiting "with urgency whatever the Intelligence Community could provide" that "would illuminate [their] understanding of [Russian interest in the election]."³³

UNCLASSIFIED

IC CONFIDENT OF RUSSIAN PRE-ELECTION HACKING

Joint Statement from the Department Of Homeland Security and Office of the Director of National Intelligence on Election Security



The U.S. introducts (Unit-way), U.C.D. is strates for a Rester (Versimus, percedity) interest programmes are easily have (the network way) interests (caller) percedition and the network (Network) of dependences immedia a network in Manshe (Kramminis and Philame birthy Ferdination of a constraint) and the face onlywed, while the (Kramminis and Philame birthy Ferdination of a constraint) and the face onlywed with the interests and the interests (the set interests and and the interests) and the onlywed interests (the interests) and a constraint (the interests) and and the interest interest and Constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the interest interest and Constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the interest interest and and Constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the constraint of the interest (the interest) is and a constraint (the interests) and a constraint (the constraint of the interests) and a constraint (the interest interest interests) and a constraint (the constraint) of the interest interest (the interest) and a constraint (the interest interest interest interest interest interests) and the interest (the interest interest interest interests) and a constraint (the interest interest) and the interest interest (the interest intere

Source: DHS

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) On August 4, 2016, Director Brennan, in a scheduled call with Alexander Bortnikov, the head of Russia's Federal Security Bureau (FSB), became the first U.S. official to raise the issue of Moscow's meddling.³⁴ Brennan told Bortnikov that a campaign against the United States would certainly "backfire" and that all Americans "cherished their ability to elect their own leaders without outside interference or disruption."³⁵ Additionally, former Attorney General

TOP SECRET!

Loretta Lynch testified that the decision was made to have "the President of the United States speak directly to President Putin, confront him with knowledge that we were aware of his efforts on a variety of fronts and that it was unacceptable, and that that discussion took place during a pull-aside . . . at one of the G- either 7 or 20 meetings in the early fall."³⁶ Former National Security Advisor Susan Rice corroborated in testimony before the Committee that President Obama and President Putin discussed Russian meddling in the 2016 election at the end of bilateral discussions during the G20 Summit in China in early September 2016.³⁷

(U) The most significant pre-election public action was the October 7, 2016, joint DHS and ODNI statement, indicating in part that the IC "is confident that the Russian Government directed the recent compromises of e-mails from US persons and institutions, including from the US political organizations."38 The IC assessed that the disclosures of alleged hacks on websites such as DCLeaks.com, WikiLeaks, and by Guccifer 2.0 were consistent with Russian methods and motivations. According to Secretary Johnson, the statement "did not get the public attention that it should have, frankly, because the same day the press was focused on the release of the 'Access Hollywood' video. That's what made our news below-the-fold news that day."39 Additionally, the public dissemination of Podesta's emails commenced on October 7.40

(U) In considering a public response, the Executive Branch was in a unique position—It was dealing with extremely sensitive intelligence and had to consider the impacts of jeopardizing sources and methods when declassifying intelligence.⁴¹ Furthermore, in the midst of an ongoing campaign, it had to carefully consider any public statements or actions, as it did not want to be perceived as taking sides and politicizing the election—or, according to former Secretary Johnson fueling claims that the election was "rigged."⁴²

(U) Finding #14: The Executive Branch's post-election response was insufficient.

(U) In the weeks following candidate Trump's victory over candidate Clinton in the 2016 U.S. presidential election, Executive Branch officials began brainstorming options for punitive actions against Russian activities. On December 6, 2016, President Obama ordered Director Brennan to conduct a review of all intelligence relating to Russia and the 2016 elections, including a comprehensive assessment that would eventually be made public.⁴³

(U) On December 29, 2016, among other measures, President Obama announced the expulsion of 35 Russian intelligence operatives under diplomatic cover, the closure of Russian compounds in Maryland and New York, sanctions against nine entities and individuals associated with Russian intelligence services, and the Treasury Department's designation of two Russian individuals for "using cyber-enabled means to cause misappropriation of funds and personal identifying information."⁴⁴ Also on December 29, the FBI and DH5 released a Joint Analysis Report of declassified technical information on Russian cyber activity to help network defenders identify and disrupt Russian malicious cyber activity.

(U) On January 6, 2017, DHS designated election infrastructure as a subsector of the existing government facilities critical infrastructure sector.⁴⁵ The same day, a declassified version of the ICA was released to the public.⁴⁶

(U) Finding #15: The majority of the Intelligence Community Assessment judgments on Russia's election activities employed proper analytic tradecraft.

(U) The IC produced three versions of the ICA: (1) a highly compartmented document, which included all sources and references to the underlying intelligence, (2) a Top Secret version that omitted details from compartmented reports, and (3) an unclassified version. The full ICA was briefed to President Obama on January 5, 2017 and President-elect Trump on January 6, 2017. The unclassified version of the ICA was also released to the public on January 6, 2017. While the level of detail varies greatly among the three versions, the final conclusions and key judgments of each are the same.

(U) The Committee determined that the majority of the ICA judgments on Russia's election activities employed proper analytic tradecraft. These were mostly well reasoned, consistent with observed Russian actions, properly documented, and--particularly on the cyber intrusion sections—employed appropriate caveats on sources and identified assumptions. Some of the key ICA judgments that the Committee found credible because they were based on proper analytic tradecraft are summarized below:

- (U) Russian efforts to influence the 2016 U.S. presidential election represent the most recent expression of Moscow's longstanding desire to undermine the U.S.-led liberal democratic order.
- (U) Russian intelligence services, acting on the orders of Russian President Vladimir Putin, launched cyber and conventional influence operations—notably by leaking politically sensitive emails obtained from computer intrusions—during the 2016 election.

(U) Finding #16: The Intelligence Community Assessment judgments on Putin's strategic intentions did not employ proper analytic tradecraft.

(U) While the Committee found that most ICA analysis held-up to scrutiny, the investigation also identified significant intelligence tradecraft failings that undermine confidence in the ICA judgments regarding Russian President Vladimir Putin's strategic objectives for disrupting the U.S. election. Those judgments failed to meet longstanding standards set forth in the primary guiding document for IC analysis, ICD 203, Anolytic Standards including:

- {U} "Properly describe quality and credibility of underlying sources."
- (U) "Properly express and explain uncertainties associated with major analytic judgments."
- (U) "Incorporate analysis of alternatives ... [particularly] when major judgments must contend with significant uncertainties or ... highimpact results."
- (U) Base confidence assessments on "the quantity and quality of source material."
- (U) "Be informed by all relevant information available."
- (U) "Be independent of political considerations."⁴⁷

(U) The Committee emphasizes that the tradecraft failures identified in this investigation should not be broadly ascribed to CIA, NSA or FBI analysis, as the shortcomings were confined to select judgmentsspecifically, a key assessment on Putin's strategic intentions-and not to the entire ICA product. Moreover, the ICA was writter CIA analysts and their draft was subjected to an unusually constrained review and coordination process, which deviated from established CIA practice. The Committee is not aware of these problems being prevalent in other CIA, FBI, or NSA products.

(C/NF)

(b) The Committee's findings on ICA tradecraft focused on the use of sensitive, intelligence **finitelie** cited by the ICA. This presented a significant challenge for classification downgrade. The Committee worked with intelligence officers from the agencies who own the raw reporting cited in the ICA to downgrade the classification of compartmented findings

(U) The Committee is planning additional action regarding this information in early spring 2018.

(U) Finding #17: The Federal Bureau of Investigation opened an enterprise counterintelligence investigation into the Trump campaign after receiving information related to Trump campaign foreign policy advisor George Papadopoulos.

(U) In addition to the other Executive Branch responses described above, in late July 2016, the FBI opened an enterprise CI investigation into the Trump campaign following the receipt of derogatory information about foreign policy advisor George Papadopoulos. The purpose of an enterprise CI investigation is to obtain information of intelligence value, "most times . . . not with any kind of intent or objective of reaching a criminal charge."⁴³ FBI's enterprise CI investigation into the Trump campaign was led by a small team at FBI headquarters.⁴⁹ The timeline of this investigation can be found on the next page.

47

(U) The derogatory information resulted from the relationship between Papadopoulos and

was

anonymized in Papadopoulos' charging document as "the Professor").⁵⁰ Based on the charging documents, the two first met in Italy on or about March 14, 2016, and "Papadopoulos was interested in **Second** because . . . [he] claimed to have substantial connections with Russian government officials, which Papadopoulos thought could increase his importance as a policy advisor"⁵¹ **Second** was interested in Papadopou-

los because of his role in the Trump campaign.⁵² The first meeting with **Constant** occurred approximately one week prior to candidate Trump publicly naming Papadopoulos as a foreign policy advisor.⁵³

(U) In late March, Papadopoulos had a follow-on meeting with toronomic London, where toronomic introduced Papadopoulos to a woman who claimed to be a relative of President Putin "with connections to senior Russian government officials."⁵⁴ Papadopoulos informed the campaign about this meeting, with a campaign supervisor proclaiming that Papadopoulos conducted "great work."⁵⁵ Papadopoulos continued to correspond with the who connected Papadopoulos with an individual toronomic the claiming to have connections with the

Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs.⁵⁶ Papadopoulos communicated with this Russian contact throughout the summer of 2016, attempting to arrange meetings between the Russian government and campaign officials.⁵⁷

(U) On April 26, 2016, over breakfast at a London hotel, **Walk** told Papadopoulos "that he had just returned from a trip to Moscow where he had met with high-level Russian government officials."⁵⁸ **Walk** further indicated he had learned that the Russians had obtained 'dirt' on candidate Clinton. Specifically that "'the Russians had emails of Clinton,' 'they have thousands of emails."⁵⁹ However, the Committee was unable to discern if the referenced emails were the missing emails from candidate Clinton's server while she was Secretary of State or the emails that were stolen from the DNC.





TOP SECRET/



TTOT OTTO

(U) Finding #18: As part of the enterprise

counterintelligence investigation into the Trump campaign, the Federal Bureau of Investigation opened an individual counterintelligence investigation into Carter Page.

(U) By the time Page was announced as a Trump campaign foreign policy advisor on March 21, 2016, he was already a subject of interest for the FBI. Page previously lived and worked in Russia and maintained contact with known Russian intelligence officers, including where the second second second described in a 2015 court filing as an SVR officer posted to the Russian Mission to the United Nations. Page previously worked with the FBI in the prosecution of second and other Russian intelligence officials.⁶⁸



TOT SECRET

(U) Finding #19: The dossier compiled by Christopher Steele formed an essential part of an application to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to obtain electronic surveillance on Carter Page.

(U) In late October 2016, DOJ sought from the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) an order authorizing

and the Committee did not find—any evidence of any cooperation or conspiracy between Page and Papadopoulos. Additionally, the socalled "dossier" compiled by Christopher Steele formed a substantial and essential

ditional information about the Steele dossier, see Chapter 4.)



(U) Finding #20: Special Counsel Robert Mueller indicted Paul Manafort on several charges, none of which relate to allegations of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

(U) Paul Manafort joined the Trump campaign on March 29, 2016, and was elevated to campaign chairman on May 19, 2016. Manafort became campaign manager after the campaign removed

on June 20, 2016. The Committee agreed to avoid, to the greatest extent practical, any potential interference with Special Counsel Mueller's investigation. Given the ongoing litigation concerns associated with Manafort, the Committee will only discuss information in this report that has been publicly disseminated by the Special Counsel's office. Although the Committee would have appreciated the opportunity to interview Manafort regarding his role on the Trump campaign, the Committee is limited in this regard due to Special Counsel Mueller's investigation and indictments.

(U) On October 27, 2017, a grand jury indicted Manafort and his associate, fellow lobbyist and deputy Trump campaign manager Rick Gates, for various financial crimes, as well as making false statements.⁷⁴ All of the financial crimes took place prior to Manafort serving as Trump campaign manager, and nothing in the indictment relates to any potential collusion, conspiracy, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

(U) On February 22, 2017, a grand jury issued a superseding indictment for Manafort and Rick Gates, which included additional allegations of financial crimes, includ-

TOP SECRET

ing bank fraud. Similar to the October 27, 2017, indictment, the superseding indictment does not include any reference to the Trump campaign, including no mention of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

(U) While the Committee will not go into further detail on the charges against Manafort due to ongoing litigation concerns, Special Counsel Mueller's indictment of Manafort illustrates the necessity for U.S. presidential campaigns to better investigate individuals who serve in senior positions within the campaign. If the accusations against Manafort are true, he should have never served as a senior official with a campaign for the U.S. presidency, much less campaign chairman or manager.

(U) General Flynn began advising the Trump campaign on or before February 2016 and subsequently became a central figure on the campaign trail. He was the former Director of DIA and was one of candidate Trump's closest advisors on national security issues. Following the election, and during the transition period, he was designated as the future National Security Advisor to the President. General Flynn served as President Trump's National Security Advisor for less than a month, resigning on February 13, 2017. According to FBI Director

TOP SECRET

Comey, General Flynn's resignation occurred after it came to light that he had misled Vice President-Elect Pence about his contacts with Russian Ambassador Sergey Kislyak during the transition period.⁷⁵



(U) Prior to his trip to Moscow, General Flynn and his son met with Russian Ambassador Kislyak at the ambassador's private residence in Washington, D.C. on December 2, 2015. The meeting was later described by General's Flynn's son in an email to the Russian embassy as "very productive."⁷⁹ The email indicates that the meeting was arranged at the request of General Flynn or his son.⁸⁰ The Committee was unable to interview General Flynn and his son because of their written intent to assert their Fifth Amendment rights against selfincrimination.



TOP SECRET/

Director Comey testified that he authorized the closure of the CI investigation into General Flynn by late December 2016; however, the investigation was kept open due to the public discrepancy surrounding General Flynn's communications with Ambassador Kislyak.⁸²

Deputy Director McCabe stated that, "we really had not substantiated anything particularly significant against General Flynn," but did not recall that a closure of the CI investigation was imminent.⁸³

(U) Finding #22: General Flynn pleaded guilty to making a false statement to the Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding his December 2016 conversations with Ambassador Kislyak, even though the Federal Bureau of Investigation agents did not detect any deception during Flynn's interview.

(U) According to the charging documents, on or about December 22, 2016, "a very senior member of the Presidential Transition Team" (PTT) directed General Flynn to contact representatives of foreign governments.⁸⁴ This request concerned a resolution about Israeli settlements submitted by Egypt to the U.N. Security Council around December 21, 2016.⁸⁵ Later, on December 22, General Flynn contacted Ambassador Kislyak and "requested that Russia vote against or delay the resolution."⁸⁶ The next day, Ambassador Kislyak informed General Flynn that Russia would not comply with the request.⁸⁷

/NOFORA

(U) On December 29, 2016, President Obama "authorized a number of actions" including new sanctions—"in response to the Russian government's aggressive harassment of U.S. officials and cyber operations aimed at the U.S. election in 2016."⁸⁸ Following this announcement, the charging documents state that General Flynn discussed "what, if anything, to communicate to the Russian Ambassador about the U.S. sanctions," with a senior PTT official.⁸⁹

Flynn and Ambassador Kislyak, General Flynn "requested that Russia not escalate the situation and only respond to the U.S. sanctions in a reciprocal manner."⁹⁰ Russia decided not to reciprocate, which eventually led senior U.S. government officials to try

to understand why.

call with General Flynn, Ambassador Kislyak attributed the action to General Flynn's request.⁹²

On January 24, 2017, following a call from Deputy Director McCabe to General Flynn, made at the direction of Director Comey, General Flynn met alone with two FBI agents at the White House.⁹³ The Committee received conflicting testimony from. Deputy Attorney General (DAG) Yates, Director Comey, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General McCord, and Deputy Di-

IOFORN

TOP SECRETA

rector McCabe about whether the primary purpose of the interview was investigating potentially misleading statements to the Vice President, which the Vice President echoed publicly about the content of those calls;94 a possible violation of the Logan Act;95 or a desire to obtain more information as part of the counterintelligence investigation into General Flynn.⁹⁶ Director Comey testified to the Committee that "the agents... discerned no physical indications of deception. They didn't see any change in posture, in tone, in inflection, in eye contact. They saw nothing that indicated to them that he knew he was lying to them."97 Deputy Director McCabe confirmed the interviewing agent's initial impression and stated that the "conundrum that we faced on their return from the interview is that although [the agents] didn't detect deception in the statements that he made in the interview ... the statements were inconsistent with our understanding of the conversation that he had actually had with the ambassador."98

Subsequent to General Flynn's meeting with the FBI, two senior DOJ officials visited the White House on January 25 and January 26 to discuss with White House Counsel Don McGahn the discrepancies between the transcripts of General Flynn's calls and his statements to the FBI.⁹⁹ General Flynn resigned on February 13, 2017. Although Deputy Director McCabe acknowledged that "the two people who interviewed [Flynn] didn't think he was lying, [which] was not [a] great beginning of a

TOP SECRET

false statement case,^{"100} General Flynn pleaded guilty to one count of making false statements on December 1, 2017.¹⁰¹

NOFOR

(U) Finding #23: Executive Branch officials did not notify the Trump campaign that members of the campaign were assessed to be potential counterintelligence concerns.

(U) The Committee found that the Trump campaign was not notified that members of the campaign were potential counterintelligence concerns. This lack of notification meant that the campaign was unable to address the problems with each campaign member and was ignorant about the potential national security concerns. AG Lynch recalled that, during her first meeting with Director Comey and McCabe about Page, "one of the possibilities the three of us discussed was whether or not to provide what is called a defensive briefing to the campaign, wherein there would be a meeting with a senior person with the Trump campaign to alert them to the fact that ... there may be efforts to compromise someone with their campaign."102

(U) Such a defensive briefing would not have been unusual. According to Lynch, "[i]t is not an uncommon thing to do . . . In intelligence matters."¹⁰³ However, the FBI did not provide any such warning about Page, although it was again discussed by the administration's most senior policymakers after Director Comey briefed the National Security Council Principals about the Page information in "late spring" 2016.¹⁰⁴

NOFOR

(U) The Trump campaign did not receive a general counterintelligence briefing until August 2016, and even then, it was never specifically notified about Papadopoulos, Page, Manafort, or General Flynn's Russia ties.¹⁰⁵ Further, the counterintelligence briefing provided to Trump and his top advisors did not identify any individuals by name, but rather focused on the general threat posed by adversaries, including Russia and China.

SPORT

(U) Finding #24: The February 2018 indictment of the Internet Research Agency and Russian nationals exposes Russian actors and their intent to spread distrust towards the candidates and the political system in general.

(U) In mid-February 2018, the Department of Justice charged 12 Russians and the Russia-based Internet Research Agency LLC with interference operations targeting the United States political and electoral processes. The indictment claims that the stated goal of the Russian actors was to "spread distrust towards the candidates and the political system in general" and provides insight into the methods used by the IRA. such as the use of stolen identities, travel to the U.S. for the purpose of collecting intelligence, and the procurement of computer infrastructure to hide the Russian origin of activities.²⁰⁵ The indictment by Special Counsel Mueller contains assertions that are consistent with information examined by the Committee during its investigation. Specifically, according to an accompanying DOJ announcement, "There is no allegation in

the indictment that any American was a knowing participant in the alleged unlawful activity. There is no allegation in the indictment that the charged conduct altered the outcome of the 2016 election."¹⁰⁷

JECHEN

HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures Investigation", Mar. 20, 2017.

1. 2.

3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 3.

9.

HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures Investigation", Mar. 20, 2017. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jake Sullivan, Dec. 21, 2017. 10. HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures Investigation", Mar. 20, 2017. 11. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017. 12. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017. 13. DHS, Readout of Secretary Johnson's Call With State Election Officials About Cybersecurity, https://www.dhs.eov/ news/2016/08/15/readout-secretary-johnsons-call-state-election-officials-cybersecurity, Aug. 15, 2016. 14. FBI, FBI Flosh: Targeting Activity Against State Board of Election Systems, Aug. 18, 2016. 15. FBI, FBI Flash: Targeting Activity Against State Board of Election Systems, Aug. 18, 2016. 16. FBI, FBI Flosh: Targeting Activity Against State Board of Election Systems, Aug. 18, 2016. 17. DHS, Statement by Secretary Johnson Concerning the Cybersecurity of the Nation's Election Systems, http:// www.dhs.gov/news/2016/09/16/statemont-sucretary-toboson-concerning-cybersecurity-nation's-election-systems, Sept. 16, 2015. 18. DHS, Statement by Secretary Johnson Concerning the Cybersecurity of the Nation's Election Systems, http:// www.dbs.gov/news/2016/09/16/statement-secretary-johnson-concerning-cybersecurity-nation's-election-systems. Sept. 16, 2016. 19. Paul D. Ryan, Nancy Pelosi, Mitch McConnell, Harry Reld, Letter to Todd Valentine, Sept. 28, 2016. 20. DHS, Statement by Secretary Johnson About Election Systems' Cybersecurity, http://www.dbs.gov/news/2016/10/01/ statement-secretary-johnson-about-election-systems-cybersocurity, Oct. 1, 2016. 21. DHS, Joint Statement from the Department of Homeland Security and Office of the Director of National intelligence on Election Security, https://www.dlns.gov/news/2016/10/07/joint-statement-department-homeland-security-and-officedirector-national, Oct. 7, 2016.

INDERIN

22. DHS, Update by Secretary Johnson On DHS Election Cybersecurity Services, https://www.dhs.gov/news/2016/10/10/ update-secretary-jolinson-dis-election-cybersecurity-services, Oct. 10, 2016.

23. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017.

24. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017.

25. The Office of Secretary of State of Georgia, Letter to Secretary Jeh Johnson, Dec. 8, 2016.

26. DHS, Statement by Secretary Jeh Johnson on the Designation of Election Infrastructure as a Critical Infrastructure Subseclar, https://www.dhs.gov/news/2017/01/06/statement-secretary-johnson-designation-election-infrastructure critical, Jan. 6, 2017.

27. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.

28. "The Global Digital Challenge Initiative - Keynote Address," Sipartisan Policy Center video, 38:29, http://bcove.me/ zff9r4pq, May 18, 2016.

29. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.

OP SECRET/

30. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017; The Principals Committee, convened and chaired by the National Security Advisor, is a Cabinet level Interagency forum for considering policy issues that affect the national security interests of the United States. Regular attendees of the Principals Committee include: the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Energy, the Chief of Staff to the President, the Director of National Intelligence, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Advisor, the Hameland Security Advisor, and the Representative of the United Status to the United Nations.

31. HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign," May 23, 2017.

32. The Gang of 8 is comprised of the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives, the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Rep-



resentatives, the Majority and Minority Leaders of the U.S. Senate, and the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Select Committee on Inteiligence of the U.S. Senate.

33. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Susan Rice, Sep. 8, 2017.

OF SECRET

- 34. HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign," May 23, 2017.
- 35. HPSG, "Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign," May 23, 2017.
- 36. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.
- 37. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Susan Rice, Sep. 8, 2017.
- DHS, Joint Statement from the Department of Hameland Security and Office of the Director of National Intelligence on Election Security, <u>https://www.dhs.gov/news/2016/10/07/joint-statement-department-homeland-security-and-officedirector-national</u>, Oct. 7, 2016.
- 39. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017.
- 40. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of John Podesta, June 27, 2017.
- 41. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017.
- 42. HPSCI, "Russia Investigative Task Force Hearing with Former Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson," June 21, 2017.

and the second sec	

in September 2016 Shared shared similar information in a one-on-one meeting with FBI General Counsel James Baker. HPSCI, Executive Session of **Counsel**, Dec. 18, 2017. Around the same time as his meeting with FBI, **Secutive** Shared the information with journalists, Including lished an article at the end of October. HPSCI, Executive Session of **Counsel** Dec. 18, 2017; **Counsel** "Was a Trump Service Communicating With Russia?," Slate, Oct. 31, 2016. Candidate Clinton promoted the social media followers the same day it was published. Twitter, @HillaryClinton, Oct. 31, 2016, 4:32 PM.

- White House, Statement by the President on Actions in Response to Russian Malicious Cyber Activity and Harassment, Dec. 29, 2016.
- DHS, Statement by Secretary Jeh Johnson on the Designation of Election Infrastructure as a Critical Infrastructure Subsector, <u>https://www.dhs.gov/news/2017/01/06/statement-secretary-johnson-designation-election-infrastructure-critical</u>, Jan. 6, 2017.
- 46. ODNI, Assessing Russian Activities and intentions in Recent US Elections, Jan. 6, 2017.
- 47. ODNI, Intelligence Community Directive 203: Analytic Standards, Jan. 2, 2015.
- 48. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Mary McCord, Nov. 1, 2017.
- 49. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Andrew McCabe, Dec. 19, 2017.
- 50. U.S. v. George Papadopoulos (2:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).
- 51. U.S. v. George Papadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).
- 52. U.S. v. George Papadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).
- Post Opinions Staff, "A transcript of Denald Trump's meeting with The Washington Post editorial board," Washington Post, Mar. 21, 2016.
- 54. U.S. v. George Papadopoutos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).

 U.S. v. George Papadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia); Email from George Papadopoulos to "Re: Meeting with Russian leadership—including Putin," Mar. 24, 2016 [DJTFP00010111].

- 57. U.S. v. George Papadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).
- 58. U.S. v. George Pepadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia).
- 59. 11.5. v. George Papadopoulos (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia)

JACIL 1/1





58

TOP SECRET/

96. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Andrew McCabe, Dec. 19, 2017.

97. HPSCI, "FBI Counterintelligence Investigations," Mar. 2, 2017.

98. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Andrew McCabe, Dec. 19, 2017.

. 99.

NOFORN

HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Sally Yates, Nov. 3, 2017, p. 57, 71; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Mary McCord, Nov. 1, 2017.

100. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Andrew McCabe, Dec. 19, 2017

101. U.S. v. Michael T. Flynn (1:17-cr-232, District of Columbia).

102. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.

103. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.

104. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Loretta Lynch, Oct. 20, 2017.

105. HPSCI, Staff meeting with Bill Priestap, FBI Assistant Director, Head of the Counterintelligence Division, Oct 31, 2017.

106. U.S. v. Internet Research Agency, et al. (1:18-cr-32, District of Columbia).

107. DOJ, "Grand Jury Indicts Thirteen Russian Individuals and Three Russian Companies for Scheme to Interfere in the United States Political System," Feb. 16, 2018.

NOFORM

TOP SECRET/

(U) Chapter 4 - Campaign Links to Russia

Key Question #2: Dld the Russian active measures include links between Russia and individuals associated with political campaigns or any other U.S. persons?

(U) A key focus of the Committee's investigation was whether Russian active measures directed at the 2016 U.S. election (see Chapter 3) "include[d] links between Russia and individuals associated with political campaigns or any other U.S. persons."¹ The first part of this chapter reflects the Committee's answer to that question with respect to the Trump campaign. The second part of this chapter addresses the Clinton campaign.

(U) The "links" between individuals associated with the campaigns and Russia have often been publicly described as inquiries into whether there was "collusion" between individuals associated with either candidate Trump or Clinton and the Russian government. One challenge with describing potential "links" with the Russian government as "collusion" is that the term "collusion" may mean different things to different people, as exemplified in witness testimony before the Committee. Particularly in light of Special Counsel Robert Mueller's continuing criminal investigation-which has a different focus and the Committee agreed not to impedeit is important to note that the term "collusion" does not, by itself, describe a criminal offense. Unlike the closely-related concept of "conspiracy," there is no applicable statute that sets out the elements of "collusion." "Collusion" is

therefore an ambiguous term, not a precise legal one.

Trump Campaign

(U) The Committee cast a wide net, generally asking each witnesses whether they had evidence of any "collusion," "coordination," or "conspiracy" between Russia and candidate Trump or any of his associates. The Committee also investigated potential Trump campaign links with Russia, focusing on credible allegations within the scope of the agreed-upon parameters. Matters investigated by the Committee include allegations pertaining to:

- candidate Trump's business dealings;
- the campaign's policy positions and personnel;
- involvement in or knowledge about the publication of stolen emails; and
- meetings with Russians.

(U) In the course of witness interviews, reviews of document productions, and investigative efforts extending well over a year, the Committee did not find any evidence of collusion, conspiracy, or coordination between the Trump campaign and the Russians. While the Committee found that several of the contacts between Trump associates and Russians—or their proxies, including WikiLeaks—were illadvised, the Committee did not determine that Trump or anyone associated with him assisted Russia's active measures campaign.

JELNEIT

DIRECT EVIDENCE OF COLLUSION, CONSPIRACY, OR COORDINATION

(U) Finding #25: When asked directly, none of the interviewed witnesses provided evidence of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and the Russian government.

(U) The Committee interviewed highranking current and former government officials, along with numerous Trump campaign members, Trump administration officials, and other Trump associates. None of the witnesses testified they had evidence of collusion between the campaign and anyone affiliated with the Russian government. In most of the Committee's witness interviews, the witness was asked directly for any evidence of "collusion, coordination or conspiracy" with any element of the Russian government to influence the outcome of the 2016 U.S. presidential election. This question was asked with respect to the witness' own actions; the actions of candidate Trump; the actions of anyone officially affiliated with the campaign; or the actions of anyone unofficially affiliated with the campaign, defined as including "wannabes,"2 "hangerson,"3 and "people who represented themselves as being part of the campaign."4 Each witness was given wide latitude in answering these questions, but none produced any evidence. For example, Trump's son-in-law and senior advisor Jared

Kushner stated categorically that the Trump campaign "did not collude, cooperate, whatever other 'C' words you used, with any foreign governments."⁵

(U) Several former government officials testified that, even though there was no evidence of collusion between Trump campaign associates and the Russian government, they were aware of contacts and interactions of potential concern. For example, former CIA Director John Brennan stated in open session, "I encountered and am aware of information and intelligence that revealed contacts and interactions between Russian officials and U.S. persons involved in the Trump campaign that I was concerned about because of known Russian efforts to suborn such individuals, and it raised questions in my mind. . . whether or not the Russians were able to gain the cooperation of those individuals."6 Brennan continued, however, "I don't know whether or not such collusion . . . existed."7

(U) Similarly, former DNI James Clapper stated that he was aware of the same information to which Brennan referred, "that my dashboard warning lights were on just because of that."⁶ However, reaffirming his prior public statements, he told the Committee that, "I didn't have any evidence—I don't care how you want to caveat it—of collusion."⁹

BUSINESS DEALINGS

(U) Finding #26: The Committee found no evidence that President Trump's precampaign business dealings formed the basis for collusion during the campaign.

TUT DEVINE IT

(U) As a political outsider who had never run for office, Donald Trump did not have a political record to analyze, criticize, or rely upon during the 2016 campaign. Therefore, his long and varied business career garnered significant attention from supporters, opponents, and opposition researchers alike. Eventually, as described in the second half of this chapter, candidate Trump's pre-campaign business dealings with Russians became a subject of significant opposition research.

(U) As noted above, the Committee's investigation was focused on the time period of the 2016 election. Trump's precampaign dealings were within scope only to the extent they formed the basis for, or were otherwise linked to, improper conduct during the elections. As one of the Committee Members said during an interview, the key question was if any business "relationships, whether directly or indirectly or just by some other means, had the effect that there was a preexisting relationship with Russia, and that that preexisting relationship may have in some way inspired the Trump campaign to have a contact with the Russian Government to coordinate, collude, or conspire to help them win the election over Hillary Clinton."10

(U) The Committee focused only on any potential financial improprieties relating to the election. In particular, the Committee examined the Miss Universe pageant in Moscow in 2013; the Trump Organization's unsuccessful efforts to build a Trump Tower in Moscow in late 2015 and early 2016; and other assorted claims of Russian financial ties to the Trump family. The Committee did not uncover any evidence that any of those matters formed the basis for collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between Trump or his associates and the Russian government during the 2016 U.S. presidential election.

(U) Miss Universe 2013: Before he was a political candidate. Trump owned the Miss Universe Organization. The decision to hold the 2013 Miss Universe annual pageant in Moscow was a unanimous one made by representatives of the Trump Organization and NBC-the event's broadcaster-with approval of the president of the Miss Universe organization.11 Michael Cohen, an attorney and former Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization, told the Committee 50 percent of the fees earned for the pageant went to NBC.12 "[O] f the \$12.2 million in foreign income that [the Miss Universe pageant] earned [in 2013], a substantial portion of it was attributable to the Moscow event."13

(U) The 2013 pageant's hosts were Aras and Emin Agalarov, father and son of a wealthy Azerbaijani-Russian family in Moscow. The Agalarovs' company, Crocus Group, owned the venue where the pageant was held.¹⁴ The Agalarovs and Crocus Group wanted to host the event in Moscow because they wanted to have the pageant in their company's building, Crocus City Hall, and it was a way to promote Emin's music
career, who performed at the pageant.¹⁵ The Agalarovs have connections with senior individuals and elements of the Russian government,¹⁶ and Aras received the Order of Honor from Vladimir Putin.¹⁷ The decision to hold the pageant in Moscow originated from an "off-the-cuff" discussion between Emin Agalarov, his manager, and a representative from the Miss Universe pageant.¹⁸

UNCLASSIFIED EMIN AGALAROV AT THE 2013 MISS UNIVERSE PAGEANT IN MOSCOW



Source: Ine Adartic

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) The Agalarovs first met Trump in person in 2013 in connection with the Miss USA pageant in Las Vegas.¹⁹ The Agalarovs and Trump signed the contract to hold the pageant in Moscow during the weekend of the Miss USA pageant in January 2013.²⁰ At the conclusion of the 2013 Miss USA pageant, Trump and the Agalarovs announced on stage that the Miss Universe pageant that year would be held in Moscow.²¹ In a June 18, 2013 tweet, Trump publicly asked, "Do you think Putin will be going to The Miss Universe Pageant in November in Moscow – if so, will he become my new best friend?"22

(U) Leading up to the Miss Universe pageant, the issue of President Putin possibly attending came "up a number of times" among those planning the pageant.23 Emin's manager Robert Goldstone and the head of the pageant organization had "casual" conversations with one another, but every time Goldstone asked Emin about it, Emin replied the pageant would have had to go through "official channels" to make the request, indicating that the event was not officially related to the Russian government.²⁴ At the time, according to Goldstone, Emin cast doubt on whether President Putin would attend, stating "if this was in America, would Barack Obama attend? Probably not. It's a beauty pageant. But there is a chance, maybe, of some kind of meeting."25 Before the pageant, however, President Putin's press secretary called and told Trump and others that President Putin would not attend the pageant, and he did not.25

(U) While in Moscow, Trump, along with his head of security, attended the pageant and several pageant-related events.²⁷ For example, Trump attended an event hosted by the Agalarovs at a wellknown restaurant with local businessmen.²⁸

(U) Although there were allegations in the Steele dossier that Trump engaged in illicit activities with prostitutes in the presidential suite at the Ritz-Carlton hotel, the Committee found no evidence to support these allegations. Trump's former head of security, **Committee**, testified that

TOP SECRET/

although somebody during a meeting in Moscow decision did not know who— "mentioned sending women to [Trump's] room," decision responded "absolutely not, we don't do that."²⁹ decision told the Committee he advised Trump of the comment, and they both laughed about it. Interest also testified he walked Trump to his room that night, remained for a few minutes, and did not observe anybody enter the room.³⁰

(U) Trump Tower Moscow: While in Russia for the Miss Universe pageant, Trump met with the Agalarovs and discussed a possible joint real estate development in Moscow.³² The proposed project was a Trump Tower in Moscow adjacent to the Agalarov-owned Crocus City Hall; according to Donald Trump Jr., "it fizzled out" after a few months.³²

(U) Trump Organization lawyer Michael Cohen was not involved in those original discussions regarding Trump Tower Moscow. In approximately September 2015, he received a separate proposal for Trump Tower Moscow from a businessman 33 According to Cohen, named the concept of the project was that "It]he Trump Organization would lend its name and management skills, but it was not going to borrow any money and it would not have any resulting debt for the purchase of the land and the building of the facility."34 Cohen worked on this idea with and his company, the Bayrock Group, a real estate consultancy that had previously worked with the Trump Organization.

has a unique and colorful background, and described for the Committee his path from Wall Street banker to white-collar criminal to government informant.³⁵

NOTOKI

(U) After signing a letter of intent with a local developer in October 2015,³⁶ Cohen and text messages in late 2015 detailing their attempts to move the project forward. For instance, in December 2015, **Theorem** tried to get Cohen and candidate Trump to travel to Russia to work on the project.³⁷

(U) Several of communications with Cohen involved an attempt to broker a meeting or other ties between candidate Trump and President Putin, and purported to convey Russian government interest in the project.38 Perhaps most notably, told Cohen in a November 3, 2015, email, "[b]uddy our boy can become President of the USA and we can engineer it."39 continued that if "Putin gets on stage with Donald for a ribbon cutting for Trump Moscow, ... Donald owns the republican nomination."40 This assertion apparently arose from rather grandiose theory that cementing a deal with a hostile U.S. adversary would increase candidate Trump's foreign policy bona fides.41

(U) testified that his communications with Cohen regarding President Putin were "mere puffery," designed to elicit a response from the Trump Organization to move the project along.⁴² explained that "[u]ntil the bank writes the check, it's all salesmanship and promotion to try to get many, many,

a arenen

many parties towards the center to try to get the deal done."⁴³ Cohen similarly characterized as "a salesman" who "uses very colorful language."⁴⁴

(U) When the project started proceeding too slowly for the Trump Organization,⁴⁵ Cohen and began to exchange acrimonious text messages.46 As part of those text messages told Cohen that President Putin's people were backing the deal, including "this is thru Putins [sic] administration, and nothing gets done there without approval from the top," as well as meetings in Russia with "Ministers" and "Putins [sic] top administration people."47 also mentioned Dmitry Peskov (President Putin's spokesman) would "most likely" be included.45

(U) Cohen thus attempted to reach out to members of the Russian government in an attempt to make the project proceed. but apparently did not have any direct points of contact. For example, Cohen sent an email to a general press mailbox at the Kremlin in an effort to reach Peskov.49 Cohen's message notes that he has been working with a local partner to build a Trump Tower in Moscow and that communications have stalled with the local partner.⁵⁰ The email further seeks contact with Peskov so they may "discuss the specifics as well as arrang[e] meetings with the appropriate individuals."51 Based on the documents produced to the Committee, it does not appear Cohen ever received a response from anyone affiliated with the

Russian government.

testimony likewise made (U) clear that neither President Putin nor any element of the Russian government was actually directly involved in the project. For instance, in one exchange, testified he was offering the Trump Organization access to one of acquaintances. This acquaintance was an acquaintance of someone else who is "partners on a real estate development with a friend of Putin's."52 testified that he was unaware of "any direct meetings with any [Russian] government officials" in connection with the Trump Tower Moscow project.53 In addition, neither candidate Trump nor Cohen traveled to Russia in support of the deal.54

NIGEODA

(U) was unequivocal in his testimony that none of the Russians affiliated with the Trump Tower Moscow project had any communications with him "in which [he] w[as] asked to do something on behalf of the Russian government that [he] knew was on behalf of the Russian Government" with respect to the U.S. election.⁵⁵ None of those communications "were intended for to take action to have a communication with or take some action to influence the 2016 Presidential election."16 The Committee therefore assesses that was attempting to leverage political contacts for business purposes, rather than the other way around.

(U) It appears the Trump Tower Moscow project failed in January 2016.⁵⁷ Trump Jr. testified that, as of early June 2016, he believed the Trump Tower Moscow project was dormant.58 The project failed because "[t]he due diligence did not come through" and the Trump Organization's representative "lost confidence in the licensee, and [he] abandoned the project."59 In fact, the Trump Organization did not have a confirmed site, so the deal never reached the point where the company was discussing financing arrangements for the project.60 The Committee determined that the Trump Tower Moscow project did not progress beyond an early developmental phase, and that this potential licensing deal was not related to the Trump campaign.⁶¹

JECKEIT

(U) Other Alleged Financial Dealings: In addition to the Miss Universe and Trump Tower Moscow projects, a number of witnesses were asked about Trump family financial dealings, sometimes stretching back decades.⁶⁷ For example, Trump Jr. was asked about Russians: buying units in Trump Tower in 1984 (when he was seven years old);⁶³ buying properties in southern Florida for which the Trump brand was a licensor;⁶¹ being involved in the Trump International Hotel in Toronto for which the Trump Organization was the brand and not the developer;⁶⁵ and having unspecified involvement in a licensing project for the Trump Ocean Club in Panama, 66 The Committee does not have any evidence that there is a nexus between these activities and the 2016 campaign, or information that contradicts representations made in a

March 8, 2017 letter from Trump's lawyers regarding his Russia-related financial dealings over the previous ten years.⁵⁷

POLICY POSITIONS

(U) During the campaign, candidate Trump and several of his campaign advisors expressed policy views towards Russia quite different than those espoused by much of the Republican foreign policy establishment, including previous Republican nominee Mitt Romney, who labeled Russia "our number one geopolitical foe" during the 2012 election. In fact, a significant number of Republican foreign policy experts made statements during the campaign that they would not work for the Trump campaign. As a result, the campaign relied on many lesser-known—or in some cases unknown advisors on foreign policy issues.

(U) Additionally, a plank of the 2016 Republican platform pertaining to the Ukraine has been the subject of substantial controversy. The question for the Committee was whether candidate Trump's policy positions-and the campaign's involvement in the debate over the Ukraine platform plank-reflected legitimate policy positions, or something more nefarious. The Committee found no evidence that the policy positions of the Trump campaign were the result of collusion, coordination, or conspiracy with the Russians. In the Trump campaign words of policy official involved in the platform issue, "[t]here was no coordination or thought for coordination. The idea to have better relations with Russia was a Mr. Trump idea

/NUFURN,

that I thought was reasonable to support."68

(U) Finding #27: The Republican national security establishment's opposition to candidate Trump created opportunities for two less-experienced individuals with pro-Russia views to serve as campaign advisors: George Papadopoulos and Carter Page.

(U) The Republican foreign policy establishment was critical of candidate Trumo, who had to turn elsewhere for support. On March 2, 2016, 122 selfdescribed "GOP National Security Leaders" signed an "Open Letter to Donald Trump" refusing to support then-candidate Trump.⁵⁹ The next day, Trump announced Senator Jeff Sessions as chairman of his National Security Advisory Committee (NSAC). A few weeks later, following continuing media criticism of his failure to publicly name a foreign policy team, 70 candidate Trump named five foreign policy advisors in a March 21, 2016 meeting with The Washington Post editorial board: Walid Phares, Carter Page, George Papadopoulos, Joe Schmitz, and Keith Kellogg.71

(U) The opposition to Trump's candidacy by the vast majority of the conservative national security establishment paved the way for lesserknown individuals, such as the then 28-yearold Papadopoulos, to join the Trump campaign. Page was another unknown brought into the periphery of the Trump campaign to fill the vacuum left by more experienced national security specialists who were unwilling to advise candidate

SECHEIS

Trump. There is no evidence that anyone on the Trump campaign was aware of Page's past ties to Russian intelligence services—or Papadopoulos' more recent contacts with a Russian-connected professor—when these two individuals were included among the advisors that were publicly announced on March 21. In fact, as Kushner candidly put it, "we put together that list because we were getting a lot of pressure from the media to put out a list of foreign policy advisers."⁷²

UNCLASSIFIED GOP National Security Leaders Open Letter to Donald Trump

STATEMENT BY FORMER NATIONAL SECURITY OFFICIALS

The undersigned individuals have all served in sensity radiaral security and/or fiverga policy positives in Republican Administrations, from Richard Notan in Deerge W. Rush. We have worked discrify on rational security secure with these Republican Presidents and/or their entropy of security working working and other periods of cases, through sourcesses and failures. We know the periods of cases, through sourcesses and failures. We know the periods of cases, through of a President of the United States.

None of as will vote for Domit! Trump

Source: The New York Times

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) These five advisors were subsequently incorporated into the NSAC, which was part of the campaign's D.C.based policy shop.⁷³ The NSAC was chaired by Senator Sessions and directed by J.D. Gordon, a retired Navy officer and former Department of Defense spokesman.⁷⁴ Some members of the NSAC met with candidate Trump in Washington, D.C. on March 31, 2016. Page did not attend. Each advisor in attendance, including Papadopoulos, briefed the group on a topic of their choice. Papadopoulos spoke about Russia.

INUTURE

However, in the opinion of one advisor, Walid Phares, the primary purpose of the meeting was about optics rather than substance: "the meeting was about the picture and to send the message that: I have a foreign policy team."⁷⁵

UNCLASSIFIED NSAC MEETING WITH CANDIATE TRUMP



1 - Janes - Billing

amon ang sek lagi set " ang se taken 5 * singunlisik en tanggi seta mangki k tanggi ka tang tanggi ka tang tanggi ka tang tanggi ka tang

State Tellor

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Page was, according to NSAC director Gordon, "very loosely affiliated with the campaign and had really no roles or responsibilities."⁷⁶ The Committee assesses that Page played no major role in the campaign, and had no meaningful access to senior leadership.

(U) Page did not attend the March 31, 2016, NSAC meeting with then-candidate Trump, and has never met him.⁷⁷ Although members of the NSAC occasionally gathered for meals in the Washington, D.C. area, they never again met as a group with candidate Trump.⁷⁸ Kushner provided a blunt assessment of the role, or lack thereof, played by the individuals on the initial list of publicly-announced foreign policy advisors: "[T]he amount of interaction they had with the actual campaign or influence they had on anything that happened in the campaign was virtually nonexistent."⁷⁹ Gordon testified to the Committee that he agreed with the assertion that the NSAC was minimally influential in the context of the broader campaign.⁸⁰

(U) Finding #28: The change in the Republican Party platform regarding Ukraine resulted in a stronger position against Russia, not a weaker one, and there is no evidence that Paul Manafort was involved.

(U) It has been widely reported that the 2016 Republican Party platform was weakened with respect to Ukraine, perhaps as a favor to Russia or some other nefarious reason. After reviewing the Republican Party platform amendment process, Interviewing those involved, and reviewing document productions, the Committee determined that the original plank was strengthened, rather than weakened—and there is no evidence that language advocating for the provisions of "lethal defensive weapons" was improperly removed.

(U) On July 11, 2016, the Republican National Committee Platform Committee met to discuss and debate amendments to the platform. As drafted, the platform referenced "a resurgent Russia occupying parts of Ukraine," but included no language about support to Kiev (see inset).

maintaining (and, if warranted, increasing) sanctions against Russia until Ukraine's sovereignty and territory integrity are fully restored.³¹ **Transform** proposed amendment further called on the United States to provide "lethal defensive weapons to Ukraine's armed forces and greater coordination with NATO [North Atlantic Treaty Organization] on defense planning.³²

UNCLASSIFIED

Original RNC Plank

(U) In the international arena, weakness invites aggression. The results of the [Obama] Administration's unilateral approach to disarmament are already clear: An emboldened China in the South China Sea, a resurgent Russia occupying parts of Ukraine and threatening neighbors from the Baltic to the Caucasus, an aggressive Islamist terrorist network in Middle East. All our adversaries heard the message in the [Obama] Administration's cutbacks: America is weaker and retreating.

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Much of **Mathematical** amendment was adopted, but—following debate among the delegates—the final version called for the United States to provide "appropriate assistance" rather than "lethal defensive weapons."⁸³ The Committee assesses that "appropriate assistance" provided flexibility, and could encompass lethal defensive weapons as well as humanitarian aid, medical supplies, and meals-ready-to eat. In any event, even without the words "lethal defensive weapons," the final draft of the platform "was tougher against Russia" than the original after incorporating

TUP SECRET

all but three words of an an amendment.³⁴

UNCLASSIFIED

Final RNC Plank

(U) We support maintaining and, if warranted, increasing sanctions, together with our allies, against Russia unless and until Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity are fully restored. We also support providing appropriate assistance to the armed forces of Ukraine and greater coordination with NATO defense planning.

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) The Committee also investigated what role, if any, Paul Manafort played in the Trump campaign's response to Denman's amendment. Manafort, a veteran of numerous Republican campaigns,⁸⁵ had long represented the government of Ukraine, the pro-Russian former president of Ukraine Viktor Yanukovich, and Yanukovich's Party of Regions.⁸⁶ In late March 2016, candidate Trump hired Manafort to lead "delegatecorralling efforts at the Republican National Convention."⁸⁷ Then-campaign manager

Manafort was hired, **Manafort** was hired, **Manafort** was hired, **Manafort** was entirely attempt to vet him and was entirely unaware of Manafort's past work in Ukraine.⁵⁸ In May 2016, Manafort was promoted to campaign chairman and, after

"evolve[d]" into the role of de facto campaign manager.⁸⁹

(U) Manafort left the campaign in August 2016 following news reports that he

had received \$12.7 million in secret payments for his work on behalf of Yanukovich's Party of Regions; news reporting also alleged that Manafort and his aide Rick Gates had "directly orchestrated a covert Washington lobbying operation" on behalf of the party-while failing to register as foreign agents.⁹⁰ Campaign press secretary Hope Hicks recalled that, after receiving press inquiries about Manafort's "professional history," a major story broke on the evening of August 14, 2016.91 According to Hicks, "Trump had made a decision to make a change in leadership on the campaign outside of Paul's issues that were being publicly reported," but those issues "certainly contributed to expediting and intensifying the way in which his role changed, and then ultimately he was fired at the end of that week."92 Trump directed his son-in-law Jared Kushner to ensure Manafort departed the campaign on August 19, which he did.93 As Kushner put it, "[t] here was a lot of news that was out there, and the decision was that it was time for

UNCLASSIFIED PAUL MANAFORT RESIGNS FROM TRUMP CAMPAIGN



Scutter Police

UNCLASSIFIED

him to resign."94

(U) Given Manafort's past work in Ukraine, if the Ukraine plank change was made as a favor to the Russian government, it seems likely that then-campaign chairman Manafort would have known about it. However, campaign records produced to the Committee show that Manafort had no role in, or contemporaneous knowledge of, the platform change. On July 30, 2016, Manafort sent an email, copying Gates, to Rick Dearborn, then a senior campaign policy official and Sessions' chief of staff: "I gather that there was a change in the platform that removed arming Ukraine. I don't know anything about this change. Who pushed for it and why was it done?"95

(U) In response, Dearborn generated a memorandum, dated August 1, 2016, outlining a detailed sequence of events that occurred between July 10 and 12, 2016.96 As part of that memo, J.D. Gordon created a timeline that noted candidate Trump's policy statements-including at a March 31, 2016, national security meeting-served as the basis for the modification of Denman's amendment.97 Gordon's timeline made it clear that the change was initiated by campaign staffers at the convention-not by Manafort or senior officials. Although Page expressed support after the fact, the Committee did not find any evidence that he actively participated in the modification of Denman's "red line amendment providing lethal assistance to Ukraine."98

PUBLICATION OF STOLEN EMAILS

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

70

(U) Finding #29: There is no evidence that Trump associates were involved in the theft or publication of Clinton campaignrelated emails, although Trump associates had numerous ill-advised contacts with WikiLeaks.

(U) There is no evidence that Trump or anyone associated with him played a role in the hacking of emails from the DNC and Clinton campaign chairman John Podesta, among other entities and individuals, detailed in Chapter 2. As also discussed in Chapter 2, the Committee concurs with the IC's assessment that WikiLeaks was one of the vehicles for the public dissemination of emails stolen by Russians. As noted in Chapter 3, on October 7, 2016, the Department of Homeland Security and Office of the Director of National Intelligence released a public statement that "It he U.S. Intelligence Community is confident that the Russian Government directed the recent compromises of e-mails from US persons and institutions, including US political organizations."99 The statement also specifically tied WikiLeaks to the Russian-directed disclosures.

(U) Trump campaign communications made ample use of the publicly available emails, which were reported by virtually all major media outlets. Regarding WikiLeaks, Trump Jr. testified that "[a]t the time, I looked at them as essentially a media outlet" and an "opportunistic organization" that would have also put out negative information on Trump if it had it.¹⁰⁰ For Senator Sessions, reference to WikiLeaks material in campaign statements was the product of deliberation: "And so, I remember making a decision that it [a trove of hacked emails] was in the public domain, and it would be silly not to use it. So I used it, although I could understand somebody else not wanting to."¹⁶¹ For campaign press secretary Hope Hicks, use of emails published by WikiLeaks was uncontroversial because such information was available in the public domain.¹⁰²

UNCLASSIFIED WIKILEAKS RELEASES CLINTON EMAILS



UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Similarly, candidate Trump stated at a rally on October 10, 2016—three days after the release of Podesta's emails began and the IC publicly tied WikiLeaks' dissemination to "Russia's senior-most officials"—that "I love WikiLeaks."¹⁰³ Trump had earlier encouraged the Russians to "find the 30,000 emails that are missing" from Hillary Clinton's private server.¹⁰⁴ (These emails, which were the frequent subject of campaign talking points, should not be

/NOFORN

TOP SECRET/

conflated with the DNC emails. The Committee did not receive evidence that the emails from Clinton's private server were stolen by the Russians—or anyone else.)¹⁰⁵

(U) Particularly in light of candidate Trump's expressed enthusiasm for WikiLeaks, the Committee examined the relationship between his associates and the stolen emails. The Committee did not find any evidence that Trump associates were involved in the publication of emails by WikiLeaks and other outlets—or had access to such emails or other stolen information prior to their becoming publicly available.¹⁰⁶

(U) The Committee did find that multiple Trump associates went beyond mere praise and established lines of communication with WikiLeaks during the campaign. Such contacts were imprudent in light of WikiLeaks' role in disseminating stolen emails in line with Russian interests—and CIA Director Mike Pompeo's post-election characterization of WikiLeaks as a hostile non-state intelligence service that "overwhelmingly focuses on the United States, while seeking support from anti -democratic countries and organizations" such as the Russian military intelligence service (GRU).¹⁰⁷

(U) George Papadopoulos: Foreign policy advisor Papadopoulos was told by Russianlinked academic Joseph Mifsud in April 2016 that the Russians had "dirt" on Clinton in the form of "emails of Clinton."¹⁰⁸ However, the Committee found no evidence that Papadopoulos obtained these emails or that the Trump campaign had a role in facilitating the Russian government's dissemination of

JEUNLIS

stolen data. Nor did any witness shed light on the provenance of the emails, or clarify that Mifsud was referring to emails actually stolen by the Russians (as opposed to, for example, emails missing from Clinton's private server.) The Committee also found no evidence that Papadopoulos told anyone affiliated with the Trump campaign about Mifsud's claims that the Russians had "dirt" on candidate Clinton.

(U) Michael Flynn: On July 15, 2016, retired Lieutenant General and Trump national security advisor Michael Flynn forwarded an email to communications in an attempt to connect advisor a friend from the military with the campaign's social media operation. Flynn included the following editorial comment: "There are a number of things happening (and will happen) this election via cyber operations (by both hacktivists, nation-states and the DNC)."109 This statement does not necessarily indicate non-public knowledge, and could have instead reflected commentary on then-current public events-including the mid-June attribution of the DNC hack to Russia by the security firm CrowdStrike, and the subsequent claim of credit by the then-unknown persona "Guccifer 2.0." (See Chapter 2.)

(U) Donald Trump Jr.: During the course of the Committee's interview with Trump Jr., a news report from CNN appeared online claiming he was given a pre-release notification of a WikiLeaks release of Podesta emails.¹¹⁰ The article appeared at 1:01 p.m., while Trump Jr. was still being interviewed by the Committee behind closed doors, which concluded at 5:51 p.m.¹¹¹ CNN's initial report

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MOFORN

claimed Trump Jr. received an email on September 4, 2016, alerting him to an upcoming release of hacked emails.

TOP SECRET

(U) The email in question was from an individual named **Constant of Second Seco**

(U) When asked about the email by the Committee, Trump Jr. testified that he did not have any recollection of the email, stating that he "get[s] stuff from people that – you know, people put my email address online every few months, and I get a bunch of people that do the same thing and then they start bombarding you with stuff."¹¹⁵ Trump Jr. went further to state that while he may have met a **Exercise State** at some point in time, he was not sure of the identity of this individual.¹¹⁶

(U) At the outset, Trump Jr. told the Committee that, although he was not aware of any coordination "between the Trump campaign and WikiLeaks to disseminate information acquired from the Podesta email or the DNC server,"¹¹⁷ he did exchange Twitter direct messages with WikiLeaks beginning on September 20, 2016, and October 3, 2016.¹¹⁸ WikiLeaks initiated both exchanges.¹¹⁹ Trump Jr. testified that he was not aware of the reasons why WikiLeaks decided to reach out to him directly, but hypothesized that such direct messaging was likely due to the fact that he "was retweeting a bunch of their stuff..." and that he has "a relatively formidable social media platform."¹²⁰

(U) In the first exchange, on September 20, 2016, WikiLeaks sent a direct message to Trump Jr. to alert him to a "PAC run anti-Trump site" that was about to launch. WikiLeaks "guessed the password" and sent it to Trump Jr. and asked for comments.¹²¹ Trump Jr. responded the next day, "[o]ff the record I don't know who that is but I'll ask around."¹²² Trump Jr. subsequently logged into the site using the WikiLeaks-supplied password, which had also been made publicly available.¹²³

(U) Following that exchange, Trump Jr. emailed some Trump campaign officials, to include Kellyanne Conway, Steve Bannon, and Jared Kushner to advise them of the contact and seek their advice.¹²⁴ In a follow -up email, Trump Jr. noted the WikiLeaks message intimated "some connection we [the Trump campaign] should be aware of."125 The Committee did not receive any documents or information that reflected a response to Trump Jr.'s email, although Hope Hicks recalled that—after being forwarded the email by Kushner-she "might have expressed concern to somebody about putting passwords in unknown websites, just as a general practice, not specific to WikiLeaks."126

(U) On October 3, WikiLeaks passed

along a story reporting Clinton's comments about Julian Assange and noted "[i]t'd be great if you guys could comment on/push this story."¹²⁷ Trump Jr. responded about 90 minutes later: "Already did that earlier today. It's amazing what she can get away with."¹²⁸ Trump Jr. then wrote: "What's behind this Wednesday leak I keep reading about?"¹²⁹ Trump Jr. was seeking information on what was purported to be, another future leak of Podesta-related emails.¹³⁰ There was no response.

FOF SECHEI

(U) After October 3, 2016, Trump Jr. received numerous messages from WikiLeaks that:

- suggest a website link to use if the campaign refers to WikiLeaks in a tweet and suggests having supporters search through the leaked Podesta emails, noting WikiLeaks "just released" "Part 4" of those emails;¹³¹
- seek then-candidate Trump's tax returns and suggests leaking them to "improve the perception of [WikiLeaks'] impartiality";¹³²
- suggest challenging the results should Trump lose the election;¹³³
- describe an election-night message of "[w]ow" and noting Obama administration will delete records as they leave;¹³⁴
- suggest the President-elect push Australia to make Julian Assange that country's ambassador to the United States;¹³⁵

 forward what appears to be a video with the caption "Fake News";¹³⁶ and

THE PLUT

 on the date the news of the June 9, 2016, Trump Tower meeting broke, seek copies of Trump Jr.'s emails.¹³⁷
 With respect to the latter, Trump Jr. published those emails himself on his Twitter account.

(U) Trump Jr. testified that he did not reply to any of these messages, nor did he have any communications with WikiLeaks before September 20 or after October 3, 2016.¹³⁸ He testified that the direct message exchanges discussed above "is a complete record of any communications [he] had with WikiLeaks."¹³⁹

(U) Cambridge Analytica: In addition to Trump Jr.'s communications with WikiLeaks, Cambridge Analytica, a British firm the Trump campaign used for data analytics, reached out to Julian Assange in an effort to confirm whether WikiLeaks possessed the "missing" emails deleted from Clinton's private server.¹⁴⁰ That contact occurred in approximately June 2016,¹⁴¹ between an employee of Cambridge Analytica and the speaker's bureau (a separate third party) representing Assange.¹⁴² WikiLeaks replied through the bureau that "they did not wish to take a telephone call or otherwise engage with us [Cambridge Analytica]."¹⁴³

(U) Trump campaign digital director **Exclusion** testified that he did not participate in, nor was he aware of, Cambridge Analytica's attempted outreach to Assange.¹⁴⁴ The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Cambridge Analytica confirmed in his testimony that he "did not share this with anyone on the Trump campaign."¹⁴⁵ In fact, the CEO testified that the outreach occurred before the company was even retained by the Trump campaign.¹⁴⁶

(U) Roger Stone: Roger Stone has had a series of business relationships with Donald Trump dating back to at least 1981, and served as a paid campaign advisor for several months in 2015.147 During testimony to the Committee, Stone addressed three public statements suggesting he might have important information about, and potentially advance knowledge of, disclosures during the 2016 campaign: (1) an August 2016 Twitter message regarding Clinton campaign chairman John Podesta, (2) an August 2016 public speech about purported contacts with Julian Assange, and (3) the March 2017 acknowledgement of pre-election direct communications with Guccifer 2.0.

(U) Stone denied that he "knew in advance about and predicted the hacking of . . . Podesta's email," notwithstanding his cryptic statement in an August 21, 2016, Twitter message—"Trust me, it will soon be Podesta's time in the barrel"—that predated by several weeks the initial public release of Podesta's hacked emails.¹⁴⁸ Stone noted that his Tweet "makes no mention whatsoever of Mr. Podesta's email."¹⁴⁹ Furthermore, it was posted "at a time that my boyhood friend and colleague, Paul Manafort, had just resigned from the Trump campaign over allegations regarding

OF SECRET

his business activities in Ukraine. I thought it manifestly unfair that John Podesta not be held to the same standard" regarding his alleged business activities.¹⁵⁰ In October 2017, John Podesta's brother Tony resigned from the lobbying firm the brothers cofounded amid revelations about the Podesta Group's role in pro-Ukraine lobbying efforts that also involved Manafort and his associate Rick Gates.¹⁵¹

(U) Stone also addressed his August 2016 public statement that "I've actually communicated with Julian Assange. I believe the next tranche of his documents pertain to the Clinton Foundation, but there's no telling what the October surprise may be."152 In his testimony to the Committee, Stone sought to "clarify that by saving the communication I refer to is through a journalist who I ask [sic] to confirm what Assange has tweeted, himself, on July 21st, that he has the Clinton emails and that he will publish them."153 He subsequently identified the intermediary, but denied any access to non-public information.154 Stone further disputed, under oath, that he "had advance knowledge of the source or actual content of the WikiLeaks disclosures."155

(U) In his testimony, Stone described a series of direct messages exchanged with Guccifer 2.0 in August and September 2016—which he first publicly disclosed in March 2017—as "innocuous," and denied taking action in response to Guccifer 2.0's messages.¹⁵⁶ He subsequently provided additional messages with WikiLeaks

extending from October 2016 to August 2017.¹⁵⁷

(U) Despite these multiple contacts, the Committee did not find any evidence contradicting Stone's claim that "[a]ny information . . . disseminated via social media regarding the timing of the release of the DNC data or others was from publicly available sources" and "he in no way conspired, colluded, or coordinated with any agent of the Russian state."¹⁵⁸

MEETINGS WITH RUSSIANS

(U) The Committee examined meetings between Trump campaign associates and Russians, to include both official and unofficial representatives. The Russlans found willing interlocutors in foreign policy advisors and Papadopoulos. These advisors, however, were peripheral figures, and neither was in a position to influence Trump or his campaign. The Russians engaged Trump associates via official channels and-more notably-used apparent cut-outs and intermediaries to make contact with senior officials. However, questionable contacts like the Trump Tower meeting resulted in no collusion, conspiracy, or coordination with

the Russian government.

(U) Finding #30: **Contract of** did not travel to Moscow in July 2016 on behalf of the Trump campaign, but the Committee is concerned about his seemingly incomplete accounts of his activity in Moscow.

TUP SECALIT

(U) traveled to Moscow in early July 2016 to deliver a commencement speech at the New Economic School—the first American to do so since then-President Barack Obama in 2009. At the time, served as a foreign policy advisor for the Trump campaign. The Trump campaign made it clear to served that the trip was not on behalf of the Trump campaign, a point acknowledged in his testimony to the Committee.¹⁵⁹ J.D. Gordon, the NSAC director, strongly advised against the trip, calling it "a bad idea."¹⁶⁰ However, Trump campaign manager

of [his] role with the DJT [Donald J. Trump] for President campaign."¹⁶¹ **Mathematical** mentioned the upcoming trip to Sessions at one of the occasional NSAC meals,¹⁶² although Sessions did not recall the interaction.¹⁶³

(U) On July 9, 2016, while in Russia, sent an "executive summary" of "Feedback From Russia" that stated in part "Russian Deputy Prime Minister and NES [New Economic School] Board Member Arkady Dvorkovich also spoke before the event. In a private conversation, Dvorkovich expressed strong support for Mr. Trump and a desire to work together toward devising better solutions in response to the vast range of current international problems. Based on feedback from a diverse array of other sources close to the Russian Presidential Administration, it was readily apparent that this sentiment is widely held at all levels of government."161 admitted to briefly greeting Dvorkovich before or after one of their

TRUTUNI

TUP SECKET

speeches, but minimized the interaction in testimony before the Committee.¹⁶⁵

(U) Ultimately, failed to clearly explain whom he meant when he referred to sources close to Russian government in his executive summary. He denied having any private meetings with any senior Russian officials during his July 2016 trip, and stated that he mostly met with "scholars."166 The Steele dossier, a document compiled by former British intelligence officer Christopher Steele, alleges that while in Moscow in July 2016, Page secretly met with Igor Sechin, CEO of Russian state oil company Rosneft, and Igor Diveykin, a senior Russian intelligence official.²⁶⁷ Further, the Steele dossier claims that Sechin offered Page a brokerage fee in connection with the sale of 19 percent of Rosneft in exchange for the lifting of sanctions.168

UNCLASSIFIED CHRISTOPHER STEELE, THE MAN BEHIND THE TRUMP DOSSIER



Sause The New Yorker

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Since the allegation of meeting with Sechin and Diveykin was first widely reported in September 2016, **East** has

TUP SECKET

repeatedly and consistently denied meeting either Sechin or Diveykin, including under oath in testimony to the Committee.¹⁶⁹ The Committee has no information that confirms the Steele dossier's assertions regarding the purported meetings in Moscow, much less an offer by Sechin to for such a role in a potentially lucrative transaction. After returning from Moscow, took a "leave of absence" from the Trump campaign, and played no role in the transition or administration.¹⁷⁰

Paulo I Osta

(U) Finding #31: George Papadopoulos' attempts to leverage his Russian contacts to facilitate meetings between the Trump campaign and Russians was unsuccessful.

{U} Papadopoulos made minor contributions to the Trump campaign as a foreign policy advisor. He briefly served as a Trump campaign surrogate, a role cut short in May 2016 when he publicly insuited UK Prime Minister David Cameron.¹⁷¹ He also in an apparent effort to increase his standing within the Trump campaign—tried to insert himself into any number of international engagements. As described below, his particular focus was trying to broker meetings with foreign officials, but he often acted on his own without the official backing of the Trump campaign.

(U) On March 24, 2016, Papadopoulos sent an email to several members of the policy team pitching a "[m]eeting with Russian leadership—including Putin"—and also volunteered to travel to meet the "next prime minister of Vietnam" alongside Mifsud (whom he had first met just ten days

NUTURN

TOP SECRET

before but nonetheless described as a "good friend of mine").^{1/2} Campaign cochair and chief policy advisor **Comparent** responded that "we probably should not go forward with any meetings with the Russians until we have had occasion to sit with our NATO allies, especially France, Germany and Great Britain."^{1/3} In the same exchange, Papadopoulos then immediately switched gears, indicating that "[i]f we need any assistance with setting up meetings here in London or Paris, I have some good contacts that can open doors immediately to the leadership."¹⁷⁴

(U) During the NSAC meeting with Trump on March 31, 2016—the only time Papadopoulos is known to have engaged directly with the candidate—Senator Sessions told the team that they were not authorized to speak for the campaign.¹⁷⁵ In his words "[t]his committee was not . . . a group of people authorized to speak for [candidate] Trump, and they absolutely weren't authorized to go around the world pretending to represent him."¹⁷⁶ That sentiment was, according to Sessions, "a good statement to make guite clear."¹⁷⁷

(U) When Papadopoulos offered that he could engage, and possibly travel to, Russia on behalf of the campaign, his suggestion was swiftly rebuffed by Sessions, who testified that "I felt like---and I'm the chairman of this group---I should not do anything that indicated to Mr. Papadopoulos that he was authorized to go to Russia or anyplace else to represent the Trump campaign and do some sort of negotiations. So I pushed back pretty sharply on that."¹⁷⁸ Sessions' account of his response has been corroborated by another attendee, **Section 1**, ¹⁷⁹ **Section** also attended and similarly recalled that when Papadopoulos raised the issue of obtaining contacts with the Russian government on behalf of the campaign, Senator Sessions interrupted and began "talking about the Logan Act," which criminalizes unauthorized negotiations with foreign governments.²²⁰

(U) Although the Committee has no information to indicate that Papadopoulos was successful in setting up any meetings between the Trump campaign and the Russian government, he worked with campaign chief executive Steve Bannon to broker a September 2016 meeting between candidate Trump and Egyptian president Abdel Fatah el-Sisi.¹⁸¹ Trump was apparently pleased with the meeting, which he described in an interview as "very productive," describing el-Sisi as "a fantastic guy."¹⁸²

(U) While on a trip to Athens, Greece in May 2016, Papadopoulos sent an email to Manafort stating that he expected to soon receive "an official invitation for Mr. Trump to visit Greece sometime this summer should his schedule allow."¹⁸³ In the same email to Manafort, Papadopoulos also forwarded a meeting invitation from Ivan Timofeev, Director or Programs for the Russian International Affairs Council, and claimed that "Russia has been eager to meet Mr. Trump for quite sometime and have been reaching out to me to discuss. I

Drunie

TADLOUL

thought it would be prudent to send to you."284

JECHLIM

(U) As of May 2016, Manafort had not yet been elevated to campaign chairman, but had a long track record of work abroad. Manafort forwarded Papadopoulos' email to his business and campaign deputy

noting that "[w]e need someone to communicate that D[onald] T[rump] is not doing these trips."¹⁸⁵ Manafort and agreed to assign a response of a "general letter" to "our correspondence coordinator," the person responsible for "responding to all mail of nonimportance."¹⁸⁵

(U) In June 2016, Papadopoulos sought a paid position and reimbursement for expenses from **Sector 1**—a Sessions aide, who along with **Sector 1**—a Sessions aide, who along with **Sector 1**—a Sessions and the Trump campaign's D.C. policy shop—for an upcoming trip "to DC for a high level meeting (with) the director of the Israel National Security Council" and past trips to "the UK, Israel and Greece over the past month engaging in some senior level meetings,"¹⁸⁷ **Sector 1** forwarded the message to Gordon and **Security**

Mashburn then replied as follows: "He cost us a lot more in having to deal with what he said about [then-UK prime minister David] Cameron 2 months ago... he got no approval for the travel and did it on his own in[i]tiative Let him eat the cost and maybe he will learn to play nice with the team, not go off on his own.

his going off on world travels at campaign

expense without asking permission first."¹⁸⁸

word: "agreed."¹⁵⁹ (U) **Contraction**responded to

Papadopoulos that he could take the meeting, but he "should do that as a private citizen."¹⁹⁰ Making the point explicit.

meet with him by [sic] the campaign, nor can you reflect the views of the campaign on security issues in that meeting."¹⁹¹

(U) Finding #32: Donald Trump Jr., Jared Kushner, and Paul Manafort attended a June 9, 2016, meeting at Trump Tower where they expected to receive—but did not ultimately obtain—derogatory information on candidate Clinton from Russian sources.

(U) In July 2017, the Committee became aware of a June 9, 2016, meeting in Trump Tower, which became a key focus of the investigation. The Committee's findings were informed by interviews with six of the eight participants in the meeting.

(U) Although they did not attend the meeting, the Agalarovs were the driving force to arrange it. As previously noted, the Agalarovs and Goldstone had gotten to know businessman Donald Trump when the Agalarovs worked with Trump to host the Miss Universe pageant at the Agalarovs' building, the Crocus City Hall, in Moscow in 2013.¹⁹² The Agalarovs also had discussions with Donald Trump in 2013 to facilitate the possible development of a Trump Tower in Moscow.¹⁹³ The 2013 Miss Universe

UNCLASSIFIED PARTICIPANTS OF THE JUNE 9, 2016 MEETING AT TRUMP TOWER



Paul Manufort

Longtime Republican campaign advisor and international lobbyist, Manafort served as director of the Trump Campaign



Donald J. Yoump, Jr.

Son of candidera Donald Trump, Trump, Sr. served as a senior advisor to the campage running day-to-day operators from Naw York.



lated Kushobe

Son-in-law to candidate Donald Trump, Kisher served as a senior advisor to the compaign



Rob Goldstone

London-based promotor who menaged pop star Emin [Agalarov], the Vice President of the Crocus Group.



Natalla Voselnitskaya

Russian lawyer, who represented the Prevezon in a civil forfeiture case in the federal cours in New York.



the Knyoladzo

California-based manager of the Crocus Broup.



Rinat Akhmetchin

Russlan-American lobbyist.



Anstoll Samorchornov

Former employee in the U.S. Department of State, Semorchornov transfated for Vetainitzkays at the June Sth meating.

UNCLASSIFIED

pageant formed the basis of a casual friendship between the Trumps and the Agalarovs.¹⁹⁴ Trump appeared in one of Emin Agalarovs's music videos with the 2013 pageant winner,¹⁹⁵ and Trump maintained a friendly correspondence with Aras Agalarov—including during the busy 2016 campaign.¹⁹⁶ (U) Events leading to the meeting were set in motion by a June 3, 2016, email from Goldstone to Trump Jr., stating: "Emin just called and asked me to contact you with something very interesting. The Crown prosecutor of Russia [possibly referring to Russian Prosecutor General Yuri Chaika] met with his father Aras this morning and in their meeting offered to provide the Trump

campaign with some official documents and information that would incriminate Hillary and her dealings with Russia and would be very useful to your father. This is obviously very high level and sensitive information but is part of Russia and its government's support for Mr. Trump - helped along by Aras and Emin."197 Trump Jr. replied to Goldstone's June 3 request by indicating "if it's what you say I love it especially in the summer."198

TUP SECILI

(U) This exchange indicates that Trump Jr. was open to discussing derogatory, information from Russian government sources that could be useful to candidate Trump. Goldstone proposed to deliver information concerning Hillary Clinton via a Russian government attorney. 195 Trump Jr. indicated that he had invited Kushner and Manafort,²⁰⁰ underscoring his belief in the importance of the information

with connections to the Agalarov family, was one of the individuals who attended the June 9 meeting at Trump Tower. The Committee discovered that the participants of the June 9 meeting did not all have the same understanding as to the reasons for the meeting, with testifying that he thought it was odd that all three senior Trump campaign officials would be taking a meeting on the Magnitsky Act, a U.S. human rights law that imposes certain sanctions on Russian interests. Accordingly, called , a close associate of Emin Agalarov based in the

United States, to inquire about the purpose

TUP SECKEN/

of the meeting. explained that he believed the scheduled meeting at Trump Tower was about providing negative information on candidate Clinton to the Trumo campaign.²⁰¹

(U) Based on Trump Jr.'s testimony and the documentary evidence received by the Committee, there is no evidence to support that there were any prior communications between the Trump campaign and the other attendees: Russian lawyer Natalia Veselnitskaya; Russian-American lobbyist and former Soviet intelligence officer : or Russian-American who served as a Russian interpreter. Furthermore, the Committee found no evidence that Trump Ir. knew the identities of these individuals before the meeting,²⁰² or that he discussed it with candidate Trump beforehand. 203

(U) The Committee interviewed all attendees other than Manafort, due to the Special Counsel's ongoing investigation, and Veselnitskava, who is a Russian national located overseas without a valid visa to enter the United States. Despite the pretext for the meeting, every person with direct knowledge of what occurred confirmed that there was no mention of derogatory or incriminating information directly relating to Hillary Clinton during the June 9 meeting. Goldstone testified that he had no evidence that the Russian government supported or favored Donald Trump, and admitted to embellishing the contents of the email solely for the purpose of gaining a response from Trump Jr., namely by using inaccurate

NUPURI

information.²⁰⁴

(U) Veselnitskaya, Samochornov, Kaveladze, and Akhmetshin met for lunch before the Trump Tower meeting.²⁰⁵ During lunch, there was a discussion regarding the Trump Tower meeting. Veselnitskaya shared an approximately 10-page document in Russian to provide the lunch attendees with a synopsis of what would be discussed at the meeting, a summary that contained much of the same information as a similar document reportedly shared with Russian prosecutor general Yuri Chaika.²⁰⁶ Based on this discussion, the lunch attendees believed the Trump Tower meeting was about the Magnitsky Act. 207 The lunch attendees then met Goldstone at Trump Tower shortly before the meeting.²⁰⁸ They proceeded to the 25th Floor where they met Trump Jr., and he led the group to a conference room. 209

(U) The June 9 meeting lasted as little as 20 minutes.²¹⁰ Kaveladze testified that he believed Trump Jr. started the meeting and then turned it over to Veselnitskaya.²¹¹ Interviewed meeting attendees agreed that Veselnitskaya presented information concerning the Magnitsky Act and the Ziff Brothers, including their alleged role in evading taxes in Russia and political contributions to the DNC and/or Clinton campaign.²¹² Several attendees also recalled discussion of Russian adoptions, which the Russian government suspended in retaliation for the Magnitsky Act.²¹³

(U) Goldstone further testified that Kushner, Manafort, and Trump Jr. seemed

IUP SECRET

visibly uninterested in the Magnitsky Act briefing provided by Veselnitskava,214 Manafort, according to Goldstone, "never looked up from his cell phone from the moment we began the meeting until the moment we ended."215 Manafort and Kushner complained to one another via text message during the meeting that the meeting was a "waste of time."216 Kushner asked his assistants to call and give him and excuse to leave, which one of them did shortly after the text.²¹⁷ At the end of the meeting, Goldstone apologized to Trump Jr. for the "bait-and-switch talk about something which we knew nothing about. which was, again, Russian adoption and the Magnitsky Act."218

10 DA

(U) Kaveladze testified that he received two calls from Aras Agalarov after the meeting. During the second call, Kaveladze explained that the meeting was a "complete loss of time and about nothing."219 Aras Agalarov and Kaveladze did not discuss the "dirt" on Hillary Clinton, 220 Kaveladze also sent an email to his daughter after the meeting indicating that the "meeting was boring. The Russians did not have any bad info [o]n Hillary"221-a reference back to his conversation with Beniaminov, which he had apparently relayed to his daughter. The Committee received no testimony or documentary evidence indicating that the purpose of the meeting was to discuss WikiLeaks, Julian Assange, the hacking of DNC servers, and/or the John Podesta emails.222

(U) No witness, including the attendees,

testified that candidate Trump was aware of the meeting prior to its public exposure in June 2017. Steve Bannon, who had been previously quoted as saying "[t]he chances that Don Jr. did not walk the meeting participants] up to his father's office is zero," conceded under oath that he had no evidence to support that claim.223 The Committee also investigated a public statement made by candidate Trump during a speech after the final Republican primary contests on June 7, 2016, the same day as Trump Jr. exchanged emails with Goldstone regarding meeting attendees and logistics.²²⁴ According to campaign press secretary Hope Hicks, Trump's publicly stated intent "to give a major speech next week . . . discussing all of the things that have taken place with the Clintons" did not reflect knowledge about the upcoming meeting; instead, it referred to a planned speech "that was an outline of the book Clinton Cosh," and was ultimately delivered approximately two weeks later after being delayed by a domestic terrorist attack.²²⁵

(U) Finding #33: Donald Trump Jr. briefly met with a Russian government official at the 2016 National Rifle Association annual meeting, but the Committee found no evidence that the two discussed the U.S. presidential election.

(U) In the weeks leading up to the National Rifle Association's (NRA) 2016 annual meeting, there were a series of emails sent to a member of the campaign discussing Russian interest in the campaign as it related to the NRA meeting. Despite the emails' rhetoric about setting up a "back channel" between the United States and Russian governments, the relevant testimony obtained in the Committee's interviews showed these email inquiries resulted in a brief meeting between Trump Jr. and a Russian government official that centered on shooting and hunting. It did not focus on the U.S. presidential election.

(U) From May 19-22, 2016, the NRA held its annual meeting and exhibits in Louisville, Kentucky.²²⁶ In an interview with the Committee, Trump Jr. testified he received an invitation from "[v]arious people at the NRA" to attend the 2016 meeting.²²⁷ In addition to Trump Jr.'s invitation, there were several emails sent to **Section** seeking to establish a connection at the NRA meeting between an emissary of the Russian government and candidate Trump.

(U) In the first email, dated May 16, 2016, a business executive emailed

Trump meeting with Alexander Torshin, the Deputy Governor of the Bank of Russia, the country's central bank.²²⁸ The email mentions an "overture to Mr. Trump from President Putin."⁷²⁹ **The Second Second** responds he will be "[w]orking on this first thing in the am."²³⁰

(U) forwarded the email to Manafort, Gates, and Kushner, noting the "interesting request."²³¹ forwarded email highlighted the entrepreneur's request that Torshin "meet with a high level official in our campaign" during the NRA meeting to discuss "an offer he (Torshin) claims to be

TOP SECRETY

carrying from President Putin to meet with DJT." In response to that email, Kushner wrote: "Pass on this. A lot of people come claiming to carry messages. Very few we are able to verify. For now I think we decline such meetings," as well as "[b]e careful."²³⁷ **Interfed** replied to the executive seeking the meeting: "I've asked about a mtg but we are not able to accommodate it at that event in KY."²³³

(U) In addition to the emails discussing a possible meeting with Torshin, on May 10, 2016, , who had previously approached about advising a prospective Trump transition, 234 sent an email about meeting with Russians at the NRA event.235 The email discusses purported "backchannel to President Putin's Kremlin," that "Russia is quietly but actively seeking a dialogue with the U.S. that isn't forthcoming under the current administration," and that "the Kremlin believes that the only possibility of a true re-set in this relationship would be with a new Republican White House."236

(U) The email goes on to note that "President Putin's emissary" will be at the NRA convention and hopes to make contact with candidate Trump and present Mrs. Trump with a gift.²³⁷ The email discussed Putin's desire to build a relationship with candidate Trump, to include extending an invitation to the Kremlin. The email also asked **the second at the second and second at the s**

SECRET

email in his Interview before the Committee, Attorney General Sessions testified he was not aware of this email.²³⁹ **Excerning** testified that he may have met **Excerning** once, and did not remember replying to his email.²⁴⁰

(U) Although the campaign declined to hold a meeting, Trump Jr. was introduced to Torshin, at the request of an acquaintance, at a restaurant where they were dining separately.241 During their brief introduction, they spoke about "stuff as it related to shooting and hunting exchanged casual hellos" but did not exchange contact information.²⁴² In his brief exchange with Torshin and a subsequent exchange with Torshin's assistant, Maria Batina, Trump Jr. testified he did not recall any discussion of the upcoming U.S. presidential election.243 No other witness provided a contrary recollection to the Committee.

(U) The Committee reviewed several emails discussing a meeting with Russians at the NRA meeting, an attempt to establish a back channel of communication between the U.S. and Russian governments, and a possible meeting between candidate Trump and President Putin. However, the Committee found that all of those email exchanges resulted in just one, brief meeting between Mr. Torshin and the candidate's son that dld not include any discussion related to the U.S. election.²⁴⁴

(U) Finding #34: The Committee found no evidence that meetings between Trump associates—including Jeff Sessions—and

official representatives of the Russian government—including Ambassador Kislyak—reflected collusion, coordination, or conspiracy with the Russian government.

(U) Meetings between U.S. senators and foreign government officials are considered a routine part of the job. However, there have been multiple media articles raising concerns about contacts with former Russian Ambassador to the United States Sergey Kislyak, particularly those involving then-Senator Sessions.

(U) Mayflower Hotel Speech: In April 2016, Senator Sessions, an early endorser of Trump and later a key figure during the transition, attended a foreign policy speech by Trump at the Mayflower Hotel in Washington, D.C.²⁴⁵ Kushner also attended and recalled meeting 20 to 25 guests, including Ambassador Kislyak for the first time.²⁴⁶ Kushner stated that the conversation between him and Ambassador Kislyak mainly consisted of pleasantries, and concluded with an offer for Kushner to visit the Russian Embassy for lunch, which Kushner never attended.²⁴⁷

(U) Attorney General Sessions similarly described a pre-speech reception of maybe 24 people; immediately following the speech, he went to a media stakeout to answer questions about the speech.²⁴⁸ Attorney General Sessions recalled "no . . . discussions with the [Russian] Ambassador or any other representative from the Russian Government or their surrogate" at the Mayflower.249

(U) Republican National Convention: In July 2016, then-Senator Sessions attended the Republican National Convention in Cleveland, Ohio. Because he used his campaign funds to pay for his travel and lodging while in Ohio, his schedule focused primarily on his Senate campaign-related events.²⁵⁰ For the five days that Sessions was in Cleveland, he attended numerous Trump campaign-related events.²⁵¹

(U) Over 50 ambassadors to the United States also attended a reception associated with the 2016 Republican Convention.²⁵² Sessions addressed this group of ambassadors, as the keynote speaker, at the Heritage Foundation's Embassy Row Ambassador's Buffett Lunch.²⁵³ According to Sessions, his interaction with Ambassador Kislyak following that speech was brief, unexpected, and occurred in the presence of several other people.²⁵⁴

(U) J.D. Gordon testified about briefly encountering Kislyak twice at convention events in July 2016, including a brief conversation that occurred during a networking event that was also attended by 255 conversation and chatting casually with Kislyak at the same event.²⁵⁶ The Committee found no evidence that these brief public interactions related to the hacking of emails or collusion, coordination, or conspiracy between the Trump campaign and Russia.

(U) Senate Office Meeting: On September 8, 2016, Senator Sessions met



TOP SECKET/

Ambassador Kislyak in his Senate office.25/ As a Senator, such meetings in his Capitol Hill office are common. Notes of the meeting taken by Sessions' staff, and provided to the Committee, verified that the approximately 30-minute meeting was official in nature and not related to any role that Senator Sessions held with the Trump campaign.²⁵⁸ Sessions testified that the conversation mainly revolved around Ukraine, and the two "had a little testy conversation" about Ukraine given Sessions' support for the Ukrainian cause.259 The Committee's investigation did not uncover anything improper about Senator Sessions' meetings with the Russian ambassador.

Finding #35: Possible Russian efforts to set up a "back channel" with Trump associates after the election suggest the absence of collusion during the campaign, since the communication associated with collusion would have rendered such a "back channel" unnecessary.

(U) The Committee investigated meetings during the post-election transition period between Trump associates and Russians—with a focus on individuals who may have been acting as unofficial representatives of Moscow. In December 2016, Kushner met with the head of Russian bank VEB, Sergei Gorkov, at the urging of Russian Ambassador Sergei Kislyak, with whom Kushner and Flynn had met earlier in the month.²⁶⁰ Kushner took the meeting partly because he had been told Gorkov could provide "insight into what Putin's thoughts were on a potential new

ENLI/

relationship" between Russia and the United States.²⁶¹ Kushner testified that the meeting primarily entalled Gorkov telling Kushner about VEB, with which Kushner was entirely unfamiliar, and "that was really the extent of it."²⁶² Gorkov gave Kushner two gifts, which Kushner registered with the transition.²⁶³

(U) In January 2017, businessman and former Navy officer **Constitution** was introduced through Emirati associates to Russian investor Kirill Dmitriev in the Seychelles.²⁶⁴ **Constitution** had no official or unofficial role in the transition, but had met twice with Bannon at Trump Tower.²⁶⁵

testified that his meeting with Dmitriev lasted 20-30 minutes and focused on "trade matters," and "how the United States and Russia should be working together to defeat Islamic terrorism."²⁶⁵

stated that he and Dmitriev did not discuss sanctions, the Russian government's "desire to have a relationship with the Trump administration," or "any channel of communications between the United States and Russia."²⁶⁷ further stated that he had had "no communications or dealings with [Dmitrlev] or any of his colleagues before or after that encounter last January."²⁶⁸

(U) The Committee did not find evidence that Kushner or did anything inappropriate during or following their meetings with Gorkov and Dmitriev. To the extent that one or both meetings reflected an unsuccessful attempt by intermediaries of the Russian government

NUTURI

INOPORN

to set up a "back channel" to the incoming Trump administration, that purpose was not shared with or accepted by Kushner or —and potentially reflected an absence of such channels during the campaign.²⁶⁹ Kushner, who was connected to Gorkov by Kislyak, asserted that "the fact that we [we]re going through the normal channels during the transition hopefully serves to show that there were no existing channels through the campaign." Similarly, —months after the election. So if there was all this collusion [before the election], why

would there even need to be any other followup meetings?"²⁷⁰

Clinton Campaign

(U) Using a series of intermediaries, the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and Hillary for America (Clinton campaign) paid a research firm to conduct opposition research on candidate Trump and his ties with Russia. As part of this effort, research from numerous purported Russian sources was obtained and provided to the Clinton campaign, thereby constituting indirect, but substantial, links "between Russia and individuals associated with political campaigns" relevant to the 2016 U.S. election.

(U) Fusion GPS (Fusion) is the trade name of a Washington, D.C.-based company, Bean LLC, that conducts research primarily on behalf of corporate clients.²⁷¹ According to longtime Wall Street Journal

OP SECRET/1

reporter and Fusion co-founder Fusion "specialize[s] in finding records and reading things and digesting large volumes of information."272 Fusion's general practice is to "do engagements on a 30-day basis, and at the end of the 30 days we write a report about what we found. . . . And if you think what we told you was interesting and you want more, we can sign up again."273 Founded and led by former journalists, 274 Fusion maintains relationships with numerous reporters, and provides information to news outlets on behalf of clients that include law firms, media organizations, and lobbying organizations.275

(U) As described below, Fusion was hired in spring 2016 by

Clinton campaign. Fusion was paid to conduct opposition research on candidate Trump. Fusion subsequently hired Christopher Steele as a sub-contractor to obtain information from sources purported to be current and former Russian government officials. The information Steele collected was reported back to the Clinton campaign via Fusion and

(U) Finding #36: Prior to conducting opposition research targeting candidate Trump's business dealings, Fusion GPS conducted research benefitting Russian interests.

(U) Prior to conducting opposition research targeting candidate Trump's business dealings, Fusion conducted research benefitting Russian interests.²⁷⁷ Specifically, in 2013, Fusion was retained by a law firm to assist with representation of a Russian defendant in a civil forfeiture case arising out of alleged money laundering activities uncovered by the late Sergei Magnitsky (whose name was subsequently given to the U.S. human rights law, the Magnitsky Act).²⁷⁸ **Magnits** law, the Magnitsky Act).²⁷⁸ **Magnits** interests in the case were aligned with his client and against the U.S. government.²⁷⁹

(U) Russian lawyer Natalia Veselnitskaya hired the law firm for which Simpson was working, and that firm retained the services of Russian-American lobbyist Rinat Akhmetshin, both of whom attended a meeting at Trump Tower on June 9, 2016, described in the first part of this chapter.280 During the litigation, Veselnitskaya received, via the law firm, memoranda summarizing research.281 Certain topics-including the Ziff Brothers (a venture capital firm specializing in capital investment)-were the subject of both (1) memoranda Veselnitskaya received from and (2) the presentation Veselnitskava made to Trump campaign officials, 282 acknowledged being with Veselnitskaya at a court hearing in New York on the morning of June 9, 2016, prior to her meeting at Trump Tower.289 He further recalled having drinks and dinner with her and others. including Akhmetshin, in Washington, D.C. a day or two later. 284 However, he denied

TUP SECRETT

discussing the Trump Tower meeting with her before or after it occurred, and claimed not to have learned about it until 2017.²⁸⁵

(U) Finding #37: The **Second Second S**

(U) (U) also represented the DNC.⁷⁸⁵ also represented the Clinton campaign, from which it received \$5.6 million in 2015 and 2016.²⁸⁷ Pursuant to that representation, during the 2016 campaign, "[t]here was an expectation that would hire the consultants, including research consultants, necessary to enable us to provide services to the

campaign."288

(U) In approximately March or April 2016,²⁸⁹ Fusion principals **Constant** and **Constant** approached **Constant** and **Indicated** that they might be a good fit for doing work to support the legal efforts" of clients.²⁹⁰ **Constant** that Fusion "had been retained . . . by a wealthy Republican . . . to do research on then candidate Trump . . . and thought that if I was going to be looking to hire a consultant to help me advise the campaign on issues relating to Trump, that they would be a good fit."²⁹¹

other things, sort through the multitude of public records pertaining to Trump's business dealings.²⁹² Although he had not previously worked with Fusion, he chose to

THUFURIN,

TUP SECKET

hire the company based on its familiarity with Trump's dealings, including "his business holdings, his financial holdings, and the kinds of litigation he had been involved in."²⁹³ further testified that "[t]hey were recommended . . . [and] thought highly of in the community."²⁹⁴

(U) The Committee determined the "wealthy Republican" who funded Fusion's initial Trump Research was

In September 2015, the *Beacon* retained Fusion to conduct opposition research on Trump.²⁹⁵ Internet leadership have publicly stated they "had no knowledge of or connection to the Steele dossier, did not pay for the dossier, and never had contact with, knowledge of, or provided payment for any work performed by Steele."²⁹⁶

review of the relevant documents—he had identified "zero overlap in the work product" between the dossier and what Fusion provided

(U) statistically identify Fusion to Clinton campaign manager for the former of the specifically identify Fusion to but did not specifically identify Fusion to Section 1998 Fusion's Simpson was "definitely aware that for the presented the DNC and that they were the client in this matter" based on a general understanding that for the presents the DNC.²⁹⁹ Fusion's expenses, including the hiring of Christopher Steele as a sub-contractor,

TUP SECRET/

were passed on to **Constant of**,³⁰⁰ and ultimately to the Clinton campaign and DNC.³⁰¹ In total, Fusion paid Steele (and charged **Constant of**) approximately \$160,000; Steele's efforts were part of a larger opposition research project for which **Constant of** paid Fusion over \$1 million.³⁰²

TNUTUNI

(U) testified that Fusion began its opposition research work by "review[ing] what we had learned over the previous months," presumably including "information about candidate Trump's business ties in Russia," although thad not been aware of Russia-specific research at the time he engaged Fusion.³⁰³ Fusion "began to develop more specific lines of inquiry," and eventually hired Steele, whom

2009.³⁰⁴

known since approxima

signed off on the decision to hire Steele as a sub-contractor in June 2016—around the same time he learned that Fusion was beginning to focus its opposition research on Trump's ties to Russia—but was not aware of Steele's identity until July 2016.³⁰⁵

(U) Finding #38: Christopher Steele claims to have obtained his dossier information second- and third-hand from purported high-placed Russian sources, such as government officials with links to the Kremlin and intelligence services.

(U) Between June and November 2016, Steele produced sixteen reports for Fusion, which comprise what has become known as the Steele dossier, "concerning Russian efforts to influence the US Presidential

TNUTURN

election and links between Russia and Donald Trump."³⁰⁶ Steele did not travel to Russia to compile these reports.³⁰⁷ Instead, Simpson stated that "[Steele] hire[d] people who can travel and talk to people and find out what's going on."³⁰⁸

SECHEIT

(U) Of the separate claims the Committee identified within the dossier, almost all are attributable to Russian or Russia-based sources, such as: a "senior Russian government figure," a "senior Russian leadership figure," a "official close to [the] Russian Presidential Administration," a "Kremlin insider," a "former top Russian officer," a "senior Russian financial official," a "senior Russian Foreign Ministry figure," a "Kremlin official involved in U.S. relations," and a "former top level Russian intelligence officer still active inside the Kremlin."³⁰⁹

(U) The Committee is concerned with the degree to which the Kremlin may have sought to influence information that was ultimately provided to Steele-through the potential provision of disinformation or otherwise-consistent with its ongoing efforts "to undermine public faith in the US democratic process "310 In addition, the vast majority of witnesses the Committee interviewed, including did not know the identity of Steele's sources.312 Steele declined to testify before the Committee, and the two witnesses who claimed to know some of Steele's sources-Simpson and , a former U.S. Department of State official-declined to identify them.312

(U) Finding #39: Christopher Steele's information from Russian sources was provided directly to Fusion GPS and

campaign.

(U) Fusion began receiving written reports from Steele in June 2016.³¹³ At the same time, Fusion provided updates approximately weekly and usually orally—to for the subscription of the information later included in the dossier "maybe late June, early July."³¹⁵ for exchanges with Fusion were not one-way communications: he specifically recalled directing follow-up work on information gathered by Steele.³¹⁶ Elias recalled personally being briefed by Steele on his findings during a late September or early October meeting at

formed the impression that "the Fusion folks thought it was important that Mr. Steele hear from me directly that I was aware of his work and was appreciative."³¹⁷ The Committee requested **Control** records related to this meeting, but the firm was not able to locate any.³¹⁸

(U) define the regular briefings that contained Steele's Information for senior Clinton campaign staff, which included Clinton campaign manager **and campaign** chairman John Podesta.³¹⁹ **and** also began "relaying . . . information received from Fusion GPS to the DNC . . . around . . . convention time."³²⁰ IUP SECRETI

- HPSCI, "Intelligence Committee Chairman, Ranking Member Establish Parameters for Russia Investigation," <u>https://intelligence.house.eov/news/documentsingleasox?DocumentID=767</u>, Mar. 11, 2017.
- 2. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.

INDIVIUS!

- 4. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessiens, Nov. 30, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017.
- 6. HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign," May 23, 2017.
- 7. HPSCI, "Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign," May 23, 2017.
- 8. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of James Clapper, July 17, 2017.
- 9. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of James Clapper, July 17, 2017.
- 10. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 11. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 12. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, Letter from Sheri A. Dillon and William F. Nelson to President Donald J. Trump, Mar. 8, 2017.
- 14. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 15. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 16. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 17. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone Dec. 18, 2017.
- 18. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 20. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 21. HPSC, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 22. Twitter, @realDonaldTrump, June 18, 2013, 8:17 PM.
- 23. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 24. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 25. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 26. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Keith Schiller, Nov. 7, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 28. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 29. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Keith Schiller, Nov. 7, 2017.
- 30. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Keith Schiller, Nov. 7, 2017.
- 31. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 32. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Bonald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 33. 1JPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 34. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 35. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Terroretti, Dec. 20, 2017.
- 36. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 37. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017.
- 38. Text Message Exchange Between Michael Cohen and Felix Sator, Dec. 29-31, 2015. [FSHR00112-30]
- 39. Email from Felix Sater to Michael Cohen, "Re:Putin/Trump," Nov. 3, 2015. [MDC-H-000692]
- 40. Email from Felix Sater to Michael Cohen, "Re:Putin/Trump," Nov. 3, 2015. [MDC-H-060692]
- 41. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the Dec. 20, 2017.
- 42. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 20, 2017.
- 43. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the section of the secti
- 44. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 45. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017 .
- Text Message Exchange Between Michael Cohen and Felix Sater, Dec. 30-31, 2015. [FSHR00112-30] HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017.
- 47. Text Message from Felix Sater to Michael Cohen, Dec. 30, 2015. [FSHR00124-25]
- 48. Text Message from Felix Sater to Michael Cohen, Dec. 30, 2015. [FSMR00125]
- 49. Email from Michael Cohen to info@prpress.gov.ru, "Trump Tower-Moscow," Jan. 14, 2015. (MDC-P-000693)
- 50. Email from Michael Cohen to Info@prpress.gov.ru, "Trump Tower-Moscow," Jan. 14, 2016. [MDC-H-000690]
- 51. Email from Michael Cohen to info@prpress.gov.ru, "Trump Tower-Moscow," Jan. 14, 2016. [MDC-H-000690]
- 52. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017.

TUP SECRETIA

NUTURI

- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 54. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 55. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017.
- 56. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Felix Sater, Dec. 20, 2017.
- 57. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 58. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 59. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 60. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 61. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Michael Cohen, Oct. 24, 2017.
- 62. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 63. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 64. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 65. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 66. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, Letter from Sheri A. Dillon and William F. Nelson to President Donald J. Trump, Mar. 8, 2017.
- 68. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- Eliot Cohen, Bryan McGrath, et al., "Open Letter On Donald Trump from GOP National Security Leaders," War on the Rocks, Mar. 2, 2016.
- Daniel W. Drezner, "Why can't Donald Trump close the deal with any foreign policy advisers?," Washington Post, Mar. 9, 2016.
- 71. Missy Ryan and Steven Mufson, "One of Trump's foreign policy advisers is a 2009 college grad who lists Model UN as a credential," Washington Post, Mar. 22, 2016.
- 72. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017.
- 73. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- 74. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- 75. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Walid Phares, Dec. 8, 2017.
- 76. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- 77. HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 78. HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 79. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017.
- 80. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Terror and Terr
- Received and Annual Security/Military Platform Sub Committee Proposed Plank on the Ukraine, Undated.
 000012]
- 83. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- 84. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.
- 85. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the second secon
- U.S. v. Paul J. Manafort, Jr., and Richard W. Gates III, "Superseding Indictment" (1:18-cr-83, Eastern District of Virginia), Feb. 22, 2018.
- 87. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the session of the session of the session of the session and the session of the sess
- 88. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the second secon
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of The Provide Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- Andrew E. Kramer, Mike McIntire, and Barry Meier, "Secret Ledger in Ukraine Lists Cash for Donald Trump's Campaign Chief," The New York Times, Aug. 14, 2016; Jeff Horowitz and Chad Day, "Trump advisers waged covert influence campaign," Associated Press, Aug. 19, 2016.
- 91. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- 92. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- 93. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- 94. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017.

JECHEI//

- 95. Email from Paul Manafort to **Constant of**, "Arming Ukraine," July 30, 2016. [Sessions production: 2016-07-30—Arming Ukraine]
- 96. Email from **Exercised** to Brian Jack, "Fwd: ukraine," Aug. 1, 2016. [Sessions production: 2016-08--01—Fwd: ukraine]; Memorandum from **Exercised**, "GOP Platform: National Security, Ukraine Amendment—Sequence of Events," Aug.

1, 2016. [Sessions production: 2016-08--01-Fwd: Ukraine-ATTACHMENT MEMO-Ukraine Amendment (1).pdf]

- Memo from J.D. Gordon, "GOP Platform: National Security, Ukraine Amendment—Sequence of Events," Aug. 1, 2016. [DJTFP00004693] Email from John Hemenway to Jaffrey D. Gordon, "Ukraine—Revised Format," Aug. 1, 2016. [DJTFP00004692-93]
- 98. HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.
- DHS and ODNI, Joint Statement from the Department of Hameland Security and Office of the Director of National Intelligence on Election Security, <u>https://www.dbs.gov/news/2016/10/07/ioint-statement-department-homeland-security-andoffice-director-national</u>, Oct. 7, 2016.
- 100. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 101. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 102. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- 103. Mark Hench, "Trump: 'I love WikiLeaks," The Hill, Oct. 10, 2016.
- 104. Ashley Parker and David E. Sanger, "Donald Trump Calls on Russia to Find Hillary Clinton's Missing Emails," The New York Times, July 27, 2016.
- 105. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Matthew F. Tait, Oct. 6, 2017.
- HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- CIA, "Director Pompeo Delivers Remarks at CSIS," <u>http://www.cia.gov/news-information/speeches-testimony/2017-speeches-testimony/compeo-delivers-remarks-at-csis/html</u>, Apr. 13, 2017.
- 108. U.S. v. George Papadopoulos, "Statement of the Offense" (1:17-cr-182, District of Columbia), Oct. 5, 2017.
- 109. Email from Michael Flynn to sector and July 15, 2016. [FLYNN HPSCI 00602980-81].
- 110. Manu Raju and Jeremy Herb, "Email pointed Trump campaign to WikiLeaks documents," CNN, Dec. 8, 2017.
- 111. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 112. Email from the second to Donald J. Trump, et al., "Trump: Another WikiLeaks DNC Upload," Sept. 14, 2016. [TRUMP_ORG 13 00001]
- 113. Email from the second second second by the second sec
- 114. Oliver Darcy, "CNN corrects story on email to Trumps about WikiLeaks," CNN, Dec. 8, 2017.
- 115. HPSCI; Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 116. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 117. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 118. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Ir., Sept. 20, 2016, 11:59 PM; Direct Message from Gonald Trump Jr. to WikiLeaks, Sept. 21, 2016, 11:50 AM; Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Oct. 3, 2016, 1:25 PM; Direct Message from Donald Trump Jr. to WikiLeaks, Oct. 3, 2016, 3:01 PM.; Direct Message from Donald Trump Jr. to WikiLeaks, Oct. 3, 2016, 3:03 PM. [DITJR01265-66]
- Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Sept. 20, 2016, 11:59 PM; Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Oct. 3, 2015, 1:25 PM.
- 120. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017, p. 107.

121. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Ir., Sept. 20, 2016, 11:59 PM. [DITJR01265]

- 122. Direct Message from Donald Trump Ir. to WikiLeaks, Supt. 21, 2016, 11:50 AM. [DITIR01265]
- 123. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.

124. Email from Donald Trump Jr. to Kellyanne Conway, et al., "Wikileaks," Sept. 21, 2016. [TRUMPORG_11_0000007]

- 125. Email from Donald Trump Jr. to Kellyanne Conway, et al., "Wikileaks," Sept. 21, 2015. [TRUMPORG_11_0000007]
- 126. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Hope Hicks, Feb. 27, 2018.
- 127. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Oct. 3, 2016, 1:25 PML [DJTJR 02265-66]
- 128. Direct Message from Donald Trump Jr. to WikiLeaks, Oct. 3, 2016, 3:01 P.M. [DJTJR01266]
- 129. Direct Message from Donald Trump Jr. to WikiLeaks, Oct. 3, 2016, 3:03 PM. [DJTJR01266]
- 130. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 131. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Oct. 12, 2016, 8:31 AM. [DJTJR01267]
- 132. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Oct. 21, 2016, 9:46-9:54 AM. [DJTJR01267-69]
- 133. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Ir., Nov. 8, 2016, 6:35 PM. [DJTJR01269-70]
- 134. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Nov. 9, 2016, 12:49-12:51 AM. [DJTJR01270]
- 135. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Dec. 16, 2016, 12:38 PM. [DITJR01271]
- 136. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., Apr. 26, 2017, 12:01 AM. [DITJR01272]
- 137. Direct Message from WikiLeaks to Donald Trump Jr., July 11, 2017, 9:29 AM. [DJTJR01273-74]
- 138. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Ir., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 139. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 140. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Alexander Nix, Dec. 14, 2017.

- in out out of the second secon
- Email from Alexander Nix to Peter Schweizer, et al., "Re: Remember me? I have an idea to win," Aug. 26, 2016. [CA0000977]
- 142. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Alexander Nix, Dec. 14, 2017.
- 143. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Alexander Nix, Dec. 14, 2017.
- 144. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Control Port. 24, 2017.
- 145. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Alexander Nix, Dec. 14, 2017.
- 146. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Alexander Nix, Dec. 14, 2017.
- 147. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 148. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 149. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 150. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 151. Kenneth P. Vogel, "Under Mueller Scrutiny, Democratic Donor Tony Podesta Resigns From Lobbying Firm," The New York Times, Oct. 30, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Sessions Interview of John Podesta, June 27, 2017; U.S. v. Paul J. Manafort, Jr., and Richard W. Gates III, "Indictment" (1:17-cr-201, District of Columbia), Oct. 30, 2017 (describing role of "Company A" and "Company B").
- 1S2. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 153. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 154. Letter from Robert C. Buschel to The Honorable K. Michael Conaway, "Re: Follow Up to Appearance of Roger Stone on September 26, 2017, and Supplement to May 9, 2017 Request to Produce Documents" Oct. 13, 2017.
- 155. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 156. Andrew Blake, "Roger Stone, Trump confidant, acknowledges 'innocuous' Twitter conversation with DNC hackers," Woshington Times, Mar. 10, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Roger Stone, Sept. 26, 2017.
- 157. Letter from Robert C. Buschel to The Honorable K. Michael Conaway "Re: Follow Up to Appearance of Roger Stone on September 26, 2017, and Supplement to May 9, 2017 Request to Produce Documents" Oct. 1.3, 2017.
- 158. Letter from Robert C. Buschel to The Honorable K. Michael Conaway "Re: Follow Up to Appearance of Roger Stone on September 26, 2017, and Supplement to May 9, 2017 Request to Produce Documents" Oct. 13, 2017.
- 159. HPSCI, Testimony of Wow. 2, 2017.
- 1.60. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.

 Email from Carter Page to Jeffery D. Gordon et al., "Re: Commencement Address, Class of 2016, New Economic School (NES), July 7, 2016. [DJTFP00003892] HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017.

- 162. HPSCI, Testimony of Nov. 2, 2017.
- 163. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B, Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 164. Email from Carter Page to Jeffrey D. Gordon, Tera Dahl, and Walld Phares, "Feedback from Russia -- Executive Summary," July 8, 2016. [DJTFP00204023-24]
- HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017; Josh Rogin, "Trump's Russia adviser speaks out, cells accusations 'complete garbage," Woshington Post, Sept. 26, 2016.
- 166. HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 167. HPSCI, Russia Active Measures Investigation, Mar. 20, 2017; HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 168. HPSCI, "Russia Active Measures Investigation," Mar. 20, 2017.
- HP5CI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017; Josh Rogin, "Trump's Russia adviser speaks out, calls accusations 'complete garbage," Washington Past, Sep. 26, 2016.
- 170. HPSCI, Testimony of Carter Page, Nov. 2, 2017.

171. Sharon LaFraniere, Mark Mazzetti, and Matt Apuzzo, "riow the Russia Inquiry Began: A Campaign Aide, Drinks and Talk of Political Dirt," Washington Post, Dec. 30, 2017; Email from John Mashburn to Rick Dearborn and Jeffrey D. Gordon, "Re: Travel reimbursement (received email from Michael Glassner)," June 24, 2016. [DJTFP00022914]

- 172. Email from George Papadopoulos to provide the second state of the second state of
- 173. Email from the second to George Papadopoulos et al., "Re: Meeting with Russian leadership—including Putin," Mar. 24, 2016. [DJTFP02010111]
- 174. Email from George Papadopoulos to the state of th
- 175. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 176. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 177. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 178. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017.
- 179. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 8, 2017.
- 180. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of the second state of Jr., Dec. 12, 2017.
- 281. Sharon LaFraniere, Mark Mazzetti, and Matt Apuzzo, "How the Russia Inquiry Began: A Campaign Aide, Drinks and Talk of





NUTON

Political Dirt," Washington Post, Dec. 30, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of ec. 8, 2017, pp. 79-80; Email from George Papadopoulos to "Transition," Nov. 11, 2016 ("I made the introduction between Mr Trump (a)nd president Sisi based primarily on the trust the region has on my work etc.") [DJTFP0C024754]

- 182. Lou Dobbs, Interview with Donald Trump, Fox Business, Sept. 22, 2016.
- 183. Email from George Papadopoulos to Paul Manafort, "Fwd," May. 21, 2016. [GAT-HPSCI-D0000258]

184. Email from George Papadopoulos to Paul Manafort, "Fwd," May, 21, 2016. [GAT-HPSCI-00000258-59]

- 185. Email from Paul Manafort to 'Fwd," May. 21, 2016. [GAT-HP5CI-00000258]
- 186. Email from Paul Manafort to 'Re:" May. 21, 2016. [GAT-HP5CI-00000258]
- 187. Email from George Papadopoulos to Rick Dearborn, "Travel reimbursement (received email from Michael Glassner)," June 24, 2016 [DJTFP00022915-16]
- 188. Email from and Jeffrey D. Gordon, "FWD: Travel reimbursement (received email from Michael Glassner)," June 24, 2016. [DJTFP00022915]
- 189. Email from ind Jeffrey D. Gordon, "Ke: Travel reimbursement (received umail from Michael Glassner)," June 24, 2016. [DJTFP00022915]
- 190. Emailfrom o George Papadopoulos, "Re: Travel reimbursement (received email from Michael Glassner)." June 24, 2016. [DJTFP00022918]
- 191. Email from to George Papadopoulos, "Re: Travel reimbursement (received email from Michael Glassner)," June 24, 2016. [DJTFP00022918]
- 192. HPSCI. Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017; Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., to Rob Goldstone, "FW-Message-from '26-Copier-Exec," Apr. 25, 2016 (passing Dec. 6, 2017; Email from along handwritten response from candidate Trump to email from Aras Agalarov) [RGD00033]
- 193. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 194. HP5Cl, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 195. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 196. Email from o [REDACTED], "From the office of Donald J. Trump," Mar. 18, 2016 (passing along handwritten response from candidate Trump to typewritten note from Aras Agalarov) [DJTJR00408-09]; Email from Rhona Graff to Rob Goldstone, "FW-Message-from '26-Copier-Exec," Apr. 25, 2016 (passing along handwritten response from candidate Trump to email from Aras Agalarov] [RG000033]
- 197. Email from Rob Goldstone to Donald Trump Jr., "Re: Re: Russia Clinton private and confidential," June 3, 2016. [DJTJROD464]
- 193. Email from Donald Trump Jr to Rob Goldstone, "Re: Russia Clinton private and confidential," June 3, 2016. [DJTJR00464]
- 199. Email from Rob Goldstone to Donald Trump Jr., "Re: Russia Clinton private and confidential," June 7, 2016. [DJTJR00467]
- 200. Email from Donald Trump Jr to Rob Goldstone, "Re: Russia Clinton private and confidential," June 7, 2016. (DJTJR00469)
- 201. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 202. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 203. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 204. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 205. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akhmetshin, Nov. 13, 2017; HPSCI Executive Session Interview of Anatoli Samochornov, Nov. 28, 2017.
- 206. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017; Sharon La Franiere and Andrew E. Kramer, "Taiking Points Brought to Trump Tower Meeting Were Shared With Kremlin," The New York Times, Oct. 27, 2017, Based on public reporting, Veselnitskaya previously shared a version of the memo or talking points with Yuri Chaika.
- 207. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akhmetshin, Nov. 13, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Anatoli Samochornov, Nov. 28, 2017.
- 208. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 209. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017.
- 210. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Anatoli Samocharnov, Nov. 28, 2017.
- 211. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Keveladze, Nov. 2, 2017.
- 212. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Anatoli Samochornov, Nov. 28, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akhmetshin, Nov. 13, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.
- 213. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Donald Trump, Jr., Dec. 6, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Anatoli Samochornov, Nov. 28, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017.
- 214. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rob Goldstone, Dec. 18, 2017.





PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

OF BEGNEIT

255. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jeffrey Gordon, July 26, 2017. 255. HPSCI, Testimony of Nov. 2, 2017. 257. "JBS Schedule," July 16, 2016. [Sessions Production: 2016-07-16-Untitled (15)-ATTACHMENT JBS Convention Schedule with Drop By[Calendar invite, "Meeting with Russlan Ambassador Kislyak," Sept. 8, 2016. [2016-09-08-Meeting with Russian Ambassador Kislyak] 258. Senator Sessions' Staff Notes, "Russian Ambassador," Sept. 8, 2016 [Sessions Supplemental Production]; Calendar Invite, "Meeting with Russian Ambassador Kislyak," Sept. 8, 2016. [Sessions production: 2016-09-08--Meeting with Russian Ambassador Kislvakl. 259. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jefferson B. Sessions, Nov. 30, 2017. 260. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017. 261. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017. 262. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017. 263. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017. 264. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 30, 2017. 265. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 30, 2017. 265. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 30, 2017. 267. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 30, 2017. 268. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nev. 30, 2017. 269. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jared Kushner, July 25, 2017. 270. HPSCI, Testimony of Lrik Prince, Nov. 30, 2017. 271. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of lov. 14, 2017. 272. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of lev. 14, 2017. 273. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of lov. 14, 2017. 274. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of lov. 14, 2017. 275. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of lov. 14, 2017. 276. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jake Sullivan, Dec. 21, 2017 entral role in the dossier was first revealed in a press article. Adam Entous, Devlin Barrett, and Rosalind S. Helderman, "Clinton campaign, DNC paid for research that led to Russia dossier," Washington Post, Oct. 24, 2017. Prior to its publication, Elias elected to represent three witnesses including former Clinton campaign chairman John Podesta—before the Committee in connection with this investigation HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of John Podesta, June 27, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Aug. 30, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Yared Tamene Wolde-Yohannes, Aug. 30, 2017. That decision was questionable, since Elias was himself in possession of facts relevant to the Committee's investigation. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017. 277. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of toy. 14, 2017. 278. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akmetshin, Nov. 13; Joel Schettman and Nathan Lavne. "U.S. settles Russian money laundering case," Reuters, May 13, 2017. 279. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017. 280. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akmetshin, Nov. 13, 2017. 281. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017. 282. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Rinat Akhmetshin, Nov. 13, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Ike Kaveladze, Nov. 2, 2017. 283. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017. 284. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nev. 14, 2017. 285. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nev. 14, 2017. 286. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017. 287. Federal Election Commission, "Hillary for America Disbursements to Perkins Cole from 2015 to 2016", www.fec.gov; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 288. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 289. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 290. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 291. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 292. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017. 293. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017.

Dec. 12, 2017. 295. Matthew Continetti and Michael Goldtarb, "Fusion GPS and the Washington Free Beacon," Woshington Free Beacon, Oct. 27, 2017.

Der. 13, 2017.

294. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

295. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of





NUTUN

297. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of 298. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

299. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

300. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

301. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

302. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

Dec. 12, 2017. Dec. 13, 2017. Nov. 14, 2017. Nov. 14, 2017. Dec. 13, 2017.

Nov. 14, 2017; Mark Hosenball, "Ex-British spy \$168,000 for Trump dossier, U.S. firm discloses," Reuters, Nov. 1, 2017. 303. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

Nov. 14, 2017. Nov. 14, 2017.

304. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of

305. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017.

306. Gubarev et al. v. Orbis et al., Defense, (Claim No. HQ17D0413, Queen's Bench Division), Apr. 3, 2017.

307. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017 (stating that, as a known "former undercover British Intelligence officer who worked in Moscow," Steele would not have been able to travel to Russia safely).

308. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Nov. 14, 2017.

309. Ken Bensinger, Miriam Elder, Mark Schoofs, "These Reports Allege Trump Has Deep Ties To Russia," BuzzFeed News, Jan. 10, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of David J. Kramer," Dec. 19, 2017.

310. ODNI, Assessing Russian Activities in Recent U.S. Elections, Jan. 6, 2017.

311. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Dec. 13, 2017.

312. Letter from Robert M. Weinberg to K. Michael Conaway and Adam Schiff, Aug. 21, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Glenn Simpson, Nov. 14, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of David J. Kramer, Dec. 19, 2017. The Committee issued a subpoena to Kramer, but Kramer still refused to identify Steele's sources. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of David J. Kramer, Jan. 10, 2018; Letter from Lawrence S. Robbins to Representative Devin Nunes and Representative Adam Schiff, "Re: December 27, 2017, Subpoena Issued to David Kramer," Jan. 10, 2018.

313. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Glenn Simpson," Nov. 14, 2017.

314. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017.

315. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017.

316. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017.

317. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017.

318. Email for Katherine Ruemmler to HPSCI Staff, "RE: Production," Jan. 24, 2018.

319. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jake Sullivan, Dec. 21, 2017.

LONET

320. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Marc Elias, Dec. 13, 2017; HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of Jake Sullivan, Dec. 21, 2017; Jennifer Palmieri, "The Clinton campaign warned you about Russia. But nobody listened to us," Washington Post, Mar. 24, 2017.
TOT DECKET

(U) Chapter 5 - Intelligence Community Assessment Leaks

Key Question #4: What possible leaks of classified information took place related to the Intelligence Community's assessment of these matters?

(U) Leaks of classified information are criminal acts, and have the potential to damage U.S. national security interests, at home and abroad.1 Even more concerning is that the lives of IC employees or assets may be placed in danger due to unauthorized disclosures of classified information. Finally, when leaks of classified information come from congressional sources, such leaks jeopardize the effective oversight role Congress plays over the IC. Therefore, as part of the Committee's investigation, the Committee reviewed leaks related to the classified ICA on the Russian active measures campaign targeting the 2016 U.S. presidential election, focusing primarily on leaks that occurred between the IC's establishment of the CIA Director's fusion cell

in January 2017.

(U) On January 6, 2017, the DNI released the unclassified ICA. The ICA states that Russia conducted its active measures campaign for the dual purposes of (1) sowing discord in and undermining the U.S. presidential election process, and (2) helping elect Donald J. Trump by denigrating Secretary Hillary Clinton.² Unfortunately, the public release of the unclassified version of the ICA was not the first time that the public had seen the IC's various assessments related to the Russian active measures campaign. Although outside the scope of this chapter, leaks related to the Russian active measures were already happening in 2015 and 2016. For example, there were press reports regarding the hack of the DNC, as well as the potential hacks of pro-Trump and Republican groups.³ During this time, the Committee carried out a healthy dialogue, which included briefings, with the IC related to these matters as part of its oversight responsibilities.⁴

(U) In addition, this chapter covers leaks of information about IC assessments that were likely classified at the time this information found its way into the press, especially in light of the fact that the leaks reportedly came from government sources. This chapter does not make any determination as to the accuracy or analytic integrity of the information leaked to the press and subsequently produced in the ICA.

(U) Finding #40: Leaks of classified information regarding Russian intentions to sow discord in the U.S. presidential election began prior to the election day—November 8, 2016.

(U) The leaks related to Russian intentions to sow discord in the U.S. presidential election took place prior to the November 8, 2016 election, and notably, after the IC's establishment of the fusion cell



TAD CECOFE



later on October 7, 2016, the U.S. government formally accused Russia of hacking political institutions, but did not attribute a specific hack to the Russians.¹¹



(U) At the time of these leaks, the information contained within them was still classified. These leaks of classified information endangered U.S. national security by revealing key information about U.S. Inteiligence capabilities to its adversaries, including assessments on adversary intentions. The Committee finds the timing of these leaks particularly concerning. These leaks happened during the early stages of the IC's ongoing assessment of Russian active measures, thus permitting adversaries to not only potentially discover U.S. intelligence capabilities, but also provided adver-

/AIOFORAL

TUP SECKET

NOLOKIN

saries, including the Russians, the opportunity to thwart or manipulate the IC's ongoing assessment.

(U) Finding #41: Leaks of classified information alleging Russian intentions to help elect candidate Trump increased dramatically after the election day—November 8, 2016.





5, 2016, the administration had not acknowledged any attempt by Moscow to influence the election in favor of candidate Trump.

(TS/NF) (c) However, four days later on December 9, Adam Entous, Ellen Nakashima, and Greg Miller of *The Washington Post* reported that the CIA concluded a new assessment that Russia intervened in the 2016 U.S. presidential election to help candidate Trump win the presidency, rather than for the sole purpose of undermining confidence in the U.S. electoral system.¹⁶



PROPERTY OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

102





(U) It is important to note that Evan Perez, Jim Sciutto, Jake Tapper, and Carl Bernstein of CNN reported on January 12, 2016, that President-elect Trump was briefed on classified information indicating that the Russians have compromising personal or financial information that the Russians could use against President-elect Trump.³⁹ The Committee's investigation revealed that President-elect Trump was indeed briefed on the contents of the Steele dossier and when questioned by the Committee, former Director of National Intelligence James Clapper admitted that he confirmed the existence of the dossier to the media.⁴⁰

(TS/NF) (TS/NF



(U) Finding #42: The leaks prior to the classified Intelligence Community Assessment's publication, particularly leaks occurring after the U.S. presidential election, correlate to specific language found in the

SECHEN//

Intelligence Community Assessment.

(U) During this review, the Committee found that leaks of potentially classified information permeated throughout the media both before and after the November 8, 2016, U.S. presidential election.



Pre-Publication Leaks Associated with the ICA		
Article	Quotes in Article that Mirror ICA	Final ICA Findings
 "Secret CIA assessment says Russia was trying to help Trump win White House" Date: December 9, 2016 Outlet: The Washington Post 	"The CIA has concluded in a secret assessment that Russia intervened in the 2016 election to help Don- ald Trump win the presidency, rather than just to undermine confidence in the U.S. electoral system, according to officials briefed on the matter."	Page 1: "We further assess Putin and the Russlan Govern- ment developed a clear preference for President-Elect Trump."
	""It is the assessment of the intelligence community that Russia's goal here was to favor one candidate over the other, to help Trump get elected,' said a senior U.S. official briefed on an intelligence presen- tation made to U.S. senators. 'That's the consensus view.'"	Page 1: "Nonetheless, Putin publicly indicated a preference for President-elect Trump's stated policy to work with Rus- sia, and pro-Kremlin figures spoke highly about what they saw as his Russia-friendly positions on Syria and Ukraine. Putin publicly contrasted the President-elect's approach to Russia with Secretory Clinton's 'aggressive rhetoric.'"
 "Russian Hackers Acted to Aid Trump in Election, U.S. Says" Date: December 9, 2016 Outlet: The New York Times 	D.N.C. and the R.N.C., and conspicuously released no	Page 3: "Russia collected on some Republican-affiliated targets but <i>did not conduct a comparable disclosure campaign.</i> "
	br 'A.P.T. 28,' is believed to have creat- ed two outlets on the internet, Guccifer 2.0 and DCLeaks, to make Democratic documents public. Many of the documents were also provided to Wik- iLeaks, which released them over many weeks be- fore the Nov. 8 election."	Page 2: "We assess with high confidence that the DCLeaks.com, and WikiLeaks to release OS victim uata obtained in cyber operations public- ly and in exclusives to media outlets.

TOP SECRET/

man man prover 1

NOPORN

-

Article	Quotes in Article that Mirror ICA	Final ICA Findings
 "U.S. Officials: Putin Personally Involved in U.S. Election Hack" Date: December 15, 2016 Outlet: NBC News 	"Two senior officials with direct access to the information say <i>new intelligence shows that</i> <i>Putin personally directed</i> how hacked material from Democrats was leaked and otherwise used. The intelligence came from diplomatic sources and spies working for U.S. allies, the officials said."	Page 1: "We assess with high confidence that <i>Russian Pres</i> - <i>Ident Vladimir Putin, ordered</i> an influence campaign in 2016 aimed at the US presidential election"
	"Putin's objectives were multifaceted, a high- level intelligence source told NBC News. What began as a 'vendetta' against Hillory Clinton morphed into an effort to show corruption in American politics and to 'split off key American allies by creating the image that [other coun- tries] couldn't depend on the U.S. to be a credi- ble global leader anymore,' the official said."	Page 1: "Putin most likely wanted to discredit Secretary Clinton because <i>he has publicly blamed her</i> since 2011 for inciting moss protests against his regime in late 2011 and early 2012, and because <i>he holds a grudge</i> for comments <i>he almost certainly saw as disparaging him.</i> " Page 1: "In trying to influence the US election, we assess the Kremlin sought to advance its longstanding desire to <i>undermine the US-led liberal democratic order</i> , the pro- motion of which Putin and other senior Russian leaders view as a threat to Russia and Putin's regime."
"Intel analysis shows Putin approved election hacking" Date: December 15, 2016 Outlet: CNN	"The intelligence community has assessed that in order for this operation to have been execut- ed, it could not have been done without the highest levels of the government, including the President himself."	Page 2: "We assess that influence campaigns are approved at the highest levels of the Russian Government— particularly those that would be politically sensitive."
 'Report: Putin, Russia Tried to Help Trump By Discrediting' Clinton" Date: January 6, 2017 Outlet: NBC News 	"The unclassified report does not identify who transmitted the information or how. A senior official with direct knowledge, however, told NBC News Thursday that the U.S. has identified the Russian actors who turned over stolen Dem- ocratic material to WikiLeaks."	Page 3: "We assess with high confidence that e- layed moterial it acquired from the DNC and senior Demo- cratic officials to WikiLeaks."

TOT SECTORITY

T

preor orne

(U) Finding #43: Continued leaks of classified information have damaged national security and potentially endangered lives.



IUP JECKEI



(U) Finding #44: Former Director of National Intelligence James Clapper, now a CNN national security analyst, provided inconsistent testimony to the Committee about his contacts with the media, including CNN.

(U) When initially asked about leaks related to the ICA in July 2017, former DNI Clapper flatly denied "discuss[ing] the dossier [compiled by Steele] or any other intelligence related to Russia hacking of the 2016 election with journalists."45 Clapper subsequently acknowledged discussing the "dossier with CNN journalist Jake Tapper," and admitted that he might have spoken with other journalists about the same topic.45 Clapper's discussion with Tapper took place in early January 2017, around the time IC leaders briefed President Obama and President-elect Trump, on "the Christopher Steele information," a two-page summary of which was "enclosed in" the highlyclassified version of the ICA."47

(U) On January 10, 2017, CNN published an article by Tapper and others, which

claimed that "classified documents presented last week to President Obama and President-elect Trump included allegations about Mr. Trump" that were (1) "presented in a two-page synopsis . . . appended to a report on Russian interference in the 2016 election" and (2) derived from "memos compiled by a former British intelligence operative."48 Those claims were sourced to "multiple U.S. officials with direct knowledge of the briefings."49 The next day. Clapper issued a statement describing a call with President-elect Trump in which Clapper "expressed my profound dismay at the leaks that have been appearing the in press" and "emphasized ... that I do not believe the leaks came from within the IC."50

(U) The Committee assesses that leaks to CNN about the dossier were especially significant, since CNN's report "that a twopage synopsis of the report was given to President Obama and Trump" was the proximate cause of BuzzFeed News' decision to publish the dossier for the first time just a few hours later.⁵¹ Until that point, the dossier had been "circulating among elected official, intelligence agents, and journalists," but remained unpublished.52 As the accompanying article explained, "[n]ow BuzzFeed News is publishing the full document so that Americans can make up their own minds about allegations about the president-elect that have circulated at the highest levels of government."53

(U) In approximately early August 2017, shortly after his testimony to the Committee, Clapper joined CNN as a national securi-

1/1

ty analyst.54





- 47. HPSCI, Executive Session Interview of James Clapper, July 17, 2017. Former CIA Director Brennan testified publicly that the dossier was "not in any way used as a basis for the Intelligence Community Assessment." HPSCI, Russian Active Measures During the 2016 Election Campaign, May 23, 2017. However, NSA Director Rogers clarified that, in late December 2016, a two-page summary of the Steele dossier was "added" as an "Appendix to the ICA draft," and that his consideration of the Appendix was "part of the overall ICA review/approval Process." Letter from Michael S. Rogers to the Honorable Devin Nunes, Mar. 5, 2018. See also Evan Perez, "Biden confirms Obama, VP were briefed on unsubstantiated claims against Trump," CNN, Jan. 12, 2018.
- Evan Perez, Jim Sciutto, Jake Tapper, and Carl Bernstein, "Intel chiefs presented Trump with claims of Russian efforts to compromise him," CNN, Jan. 12, 2017; Twitter, @cnnbrk, Jan. 10, 2017, 2:13 PM (reflecting the story's initial publication time).
- 49. Evan Perez, Jim Sciutto, Jake Tapper, and Carl Bernstein, "Intel chiefs presented Trump with claims of Russian efforts to compromise him," CNN, Jan. 12, 2017.
- 50. ODNI, "DNI Clapper Statement on Conversation with President-elect Trump," Jan. 11, 2017.
- Ken Bensinger, Miriam Elder, Mark Schoofs, "These Reports Allege Trump Has Deep Ties To Russia," BuzzFeed News, Jan. 10, 2017.
- 52. Miriam Elder, Mark Schoofs, "These Reports Allege Trump Has Deep Ties To Russia," BuzzFeed News, Jan. 10, 2017 ("originally posted...at 6:20 p.m.).
- 53. Ken Bensinger, Miriam Elder, Mark Schoofs, "These Reports Allege Trump Has Deep Ties To Russia," BuzzFeed News, Jan. 10, 2017.
- 54. Transcript, "President Trump Takes Working Vacation; Analysts Examine President's Recent Poll Numbers," CNN, Aug. 7, 2017 ("Joining us now to talk more about this is CNN's new national security analyst, James Clapper.").

(U) Chapter 6 - Summary of Related Committee Oversight Efforts

(U) During the course of the Committee's investigation into Russian active measures targeting the 2016 U.S. presidential election, the Committee identified several issues within its jurisdiction that required additional attention and oversight outside of the broader investigation.

Sufficiency of "Unmasking" Procedures

(U) In March 2017, the Committee became aware of senior Obama Administration officials' requests for U.S. person identities related to President-elect Trump's transition team. These U.S. person identities were previously redacted in IC reporting. The Committee initiated its investigation of the process for requesting identities, colloquially referred to as "unmasking," to determine the sufficiency of existing policies and procedures related to the release of U.S. person identities. As a result, the Committee recognized gaps in the "unmasking" processes, including the lack of IC-wide standards related to the justification for requesting U.S. person identity information. Therefore, the Committee's findings related to these processes necessitated an immediate change in policy.

(U) The Committee believed that the IC should use specific procedures related to the "unmasking" of U.S. person identities in IC reporting, including additional review requirements for "unmasking" presidential transition team officials during a presidential transition.¹ The Committee felt that a

change in policy was necessary for the IC to protect U.S. person privacy and the sanctity of the peaceful transition of presidential administrations, all while resulting in no operational impact. As part of negotiations of the FISA Amendments Act of 2017, DNI Coats and the White House agreed to develop a new IC-wide policy for handling "unmasking" requests. Therefore, on January 11, 2018, DNI Coats signed Intelligence Community Policy Guidance 107.1 (see Appendix D), which includes requirements for:

- IC element heads or designee approval for requests for U.S. person identity information;
- Documentation for names or titles of individuals who will receive the U.S. person identify information;
- A fact-based justification for each U.S. person identity request; and
- IC element General Counsel concurrence for U.S. person identity requests that relate to Presidential transition team members prior to those identities being approved for release.

(U) Using a series of intermediaries, the DNC and Hillary for America (Clinton campaign) paid a research firm to conduct opposition research on candidate Trump and his ties with Russia. Fusion GPS (Fusion) is the trade name of a Washington, D.C.-based company that conducts research primarily on behalf of corporate clients.² Marc Elias, chair of Perkins Cole's election law practice who represented the DNC and the Clinton campaign, hired Fusion in spring 2016 and paid Fusion \$1 million to conduct opposition research on candidate Trump. Fusion subsequently hired former British Secret Intelligence Service officer Christopher Steele for \$160,000 to obtain information on candidate Trump via a Russia-based primary subsource and numerous sub-sub-sources network who were purported to be current and former Russian government officials. The information Steele collected was reported back through a series of memos to Fusion and Perkins Cole. Steele produced sixteen memos, which comprise what has become known as the Steele dossier.³

(U) By the end of September 2016—in addition to Fusion and Perkins Cole--Steele provided the information in the Steele dossier to the DOJ, Department of State, numerous press outlets, and the FBI.





(U) After uncovering this information, the Committee voted to publicly release two memos, one written by the Majority on January 18, 2018 (see Appendix E) and another written by the Minority on January 29, 2018 (see Appendix F). In addition to the Committee's oversight of this matter, the Senate Judiciary Committee identified the same issues in a criminal referral sent by Chairman Grassley and Senator Graham to the DOJ on January 4, 2018, describing Christopher Steele's exploits in detail (see Appendix G).

(U) Ongoing lines of effort include (1) continued oversight of DOJ and FBI (see Appendix H for relevant correspondence); (2) inquiries into the State Department's handling of information from Steele, including the dossier;⁴ and (3) post-election anti-Trump research by Steele and/or Fusion GPS.⁵

^{1.} H.R. 4478, § 207, 115th Cong.

^{2.} HPSCI, "Executive Session Interview of Glenn Simpson," Nov. 14, 2017.

The dossier; however, has no fixed composition. The version published by BuzzFeed does not necessarily entirely correspond with documents provided to other parties.

- 4. Former State Department official provide the Russia experts at the State Department," including Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland. In September 2016, Winer was personally briefed by Steele on the dossier, and shared a two-page summary with Nuland, who ensured that Secretary of State John Kerry was made aware of Steele's information. Additionally, provided from Clinton associate provided information collected by an individual named shared with "alleged the Russians had compromising information on Trump of a sexual and financial nature." Winer shared with a truth of the truth, "Washington Post, Feb. 8, 2018; Susan B. Glasser, "Victoria Nuland: The Full Transcript," POLITICO, Feb. 5, 2018; Appendix G. The Committee believes that additional State Department officials were aware of Steele's efforts in 2016.
- 5. Sector who currently leads "a research and investigatory advisory" called the Penn Quarter Group (PQG), is a former employee of The Daschle Group, U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI), and FBI; while at SSCI, he served as the "chief author" of "The Committee Study of the Central Intelligence Agency's Detention and Interrogation Program." The Penn Quarter Group, "Our Leadership," <u>thaps.com/team/leadership</u>; LinkedIn, <u>that the described as "exposing foreign influence in Western elections.</u> In late March 2017, Jones met with FBI regarding PQG, which he described as "exposing foreign influence in Western elections." Total total FBI that PQG was being funded by 7 to 10 wealthy donors located primarily in New York and California, who provided approximately \$50 million. Total further stated that PQG had secured the services of Steele, his associate **Control of P** planned to share the Information he obtained with policymakers on Capitol Hill and with the press, and also offered to provide PQG's entire holdings to the FBI. FBI, **FBI**, **FBI**,

(U) Chapter 7 - Conclusions and Recommendations

Russian Influence Campaigns in Europe

(U) For at least the last decade, Russia has aggressively engaged in an information war against the West. The Kremlin takes advantage of the openness, freedom of expression, and respect for legal norms enjoyed in Western democracies by conducting targeted, multi-faceted influence operations against its adversaries. Each influence campaign is unique to the populace, media environment, and internal dynamic of the country being targeted.

(U) The factors that make Russian operations effective also make them difficult to counter. Nonetheless, countries throughout the West are taking a variety of actions to impede, counter, and where possible, eliminate Russian influence operations.

(U) The vast majority of Russian tactics share a common denominator: proliferation through mass media. Therefore, this chapter's recommendations primarily focus on ways to degrade the impact of nefarious media activities and make them more difficult to conduct.

(U) Recommendation #1: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should strengthen legal and regulatory environments, promote media pluralism, build professional media associations, and improve the financial sustainability of legitimate news outlets.

JESTILIT

(U) Russia exploits free media spaces and open democracies through a network of Russian state-owned news outlets and media platforms. Those platforms amplify pro-Russian views in Russian-funded and local media, provoke doubt and disagreement. and propagate false news stories. In many Eastern European and Baltic countries, local, independent media outlets often operate with extremely limited resources, limiting their ability to acquire and produce highquality content. In contrast, the high production value of Russia-owned content presents an attractive alternative. Russian intelligence services or their agents of influence also purchase, invest in, or partner with existing TV and radio channels, providing editorialized content for redistribution. Furthermore, Russian propaganda is occasionally re-broadcast by legitimate news outlets.

(U) Strengthening legal and regulatory environments, promoting media pluralism, building professional media associations, and improving the financial sustainability of legitimate news outlets will help to: increase access to legitimate news reporting, improve production quality and financial sustainability of local media, and professionalize journalists.

(U) Countries that contain sizable Russian-speaking populations are more vulnerable to the effects of media-enabled Russian information operations. As described above, for many of these populations, Russian media saturates local markets, providing few alternatives for news and entertainment and non-Russian editorial viewpoints

(U) For countries with large Russianspeaking populations, strengthening legitimate Russian-language broadcasters and independent media outlets that disseminate fact-based content would provide both balance to the media space and more viewing options for residents of those countries.

(U) Recommendation #2: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should implement and encourage multipronged, country-wide efforts by both public and private entities to combat Russian propaganda, technical, and cyber operations.

(U) Russia utilizes a whole-ofgovernment approach in its information operations, mobilizing a variety of tools to achieve its goals. From hacking of government networks, think tanks, and universities to spreading propaganda via social media, Russia's tentacles are many and far reaching.

(U) It is therefore imperative that Western nations implement country-wide efforts to educate its populations and inoculate their governments, media outlets, and other organizations from Russian influence campaigns. To do this, Western nations should encourage increased partnership between public and private entities in order to combat Russian information, technical, and cyber operations.

(U) Recommendation #3: European governments, non-governmental organizations, businesses, think tanks, and academia should implement more stringent cyber security practices, such as multifactor authentication and encryption of sensitive data, as well as educating workforces on basic cyber security topics and best practices.

(U) In the last decade, Russian cyber operations have targeted governments, militaries, industrial control systems, businesses, think tanks, and universities worldwide. While Russian intelligence services can employ extremely sophisticated means for gaining access to sensitive data, often simple tactics such as spear phishing can prove just as effective.

(U) Given that cyber operations are relatively low risk/high reward, difficult to attribute, and even harder to consistently combat, it is likely that Russia will continue to utilize this tactic in its influence campaigns. Network defenses have to be right 100% of the time; a cyber intruder only has to be right once. Therefore, it is imperative that governments, NGOs, businesses, think tanks, and academia invest more resources in cyber security defenses, implement more stringent cyber security practices, and conduct regular workforce education and training on these topics.

(U) Recommendation #4: European govern-

ments should look to long-term solutions to lessen economic dependence on Russia.

(U) Russia utilizes economic ties to its advantage. Economic vulnerability – such as reliance on Russia for trade or energy – can be leveraged to change behavior, send a message of displeasure, or inflict punishment. This is especially true for smaller countries within Russia's periphery, such as Moldova, where Russia is among their largest trading partners. Yet even large, economically secure countries like Germany depend on Russia for a large percentage of its energy needs.

(U) The United States should look for opportunities to lessen European countries' economic dependence on Russia. Exploring alternative sources of energy and diversifying trade relationships would diminish one of Russia's tools for imposing influence on its neighbors.

Russia Attacks the United States & America Reacts

(U) The Committee's findings concerning the Russian government's malign influence campaign during the 2015 U.S. presidential election are largely consistent with the facts outlined in the ICA. The Russian effort was multifaceted, persistent, and effective in sowing division. The effort included cyber operations (hacking), the use of social media, the creation of automated accounts and fake cyber personas, the use of third party intermediaries, and state-run media.

JE GILL 1/1



(U) Evidence reviewed by the Committee also shows that the Russian government and its proxies used social media to advance Russia's malign interests. While

PROPERTY OF THE'U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

these efforts were limited – some even came after Election Day – they were effective at sowing divisions within American society and promoting false information.

(U) America's reaction to the Russian active measures campaign consisted of a whole of government response, with various activities conducted by the IC, law enforcement, and policy makers. Despite arguably the best of intentions in addressing the Russian cyber menace before and during the 2016 election cycle, the Executive Branch's response fell short of deterring the Russians from conducting such activity in the future.

(U) After analyzing the Executive Branch's responses to the active measure campaign, the Committee identified various gaps in current law and policy that must be addressed in order to help protect U.S. election systems and increase the efficacy of victim notifications in the future. In addition, the Executive Branch must diligently inform U.S. presidential campaigns in the future of counterintelligence threats, to the extent consistent with national security and law enforcement equities.

(U) Recommendation #5: Congress should identify options available to the private sector and federal government that would address the social media vulnerabilities exploited by the Russian government.

(U) The exploitation of social media platforms by the Russian government for malign purposes demonstrated a significant vulnerability. The response of social media platforms to this threat should be examined closely and evaluated against ongoing threats. Furthermore, social media platforms should consider implementing methods to help counter malign foreign activity.

(U) Recommendation #6: Congress should consider updating the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act to cover malicious international cyber actors.

(U) As part of the Committee's initial FISA Amendments Act reauthorization discussions in 2017, the Committee sought to address the changing threat environment as it relates to malicious cyber activity threating the U.S. national security. Given the difficulty in attributing a specific cyber actor, the lines between independent hacker and government cyber operator are often blurred. U.S. adversaries are consistently attempting to obfuscate their identity and location in order to evade detection. Unfortunately, current national security authorities are inadequate to counter the growing cyber threat.





TOP SECHEN

(U) Unfortunately, the proposed addition to the FISA "foreign power" definition did not make it into the final version of the FISA Amendments Act of 2017 given concerns that such a designation would dilute the key distinction between two different legal purposes: intelligence collection and law enforcement. This concern, while understandable, fails to take into account the changing threat environment, as evidenced by Russian cyber actors, such as the internet Research Agency, that attempted to meddle in the 2016 U.S. presidential elections.

(U) The Committee renews its call for Congress to update the definition of "foreign power" and "agent of foreign power" in FISA to account for entities engaged in international malicious cyber activity that threatens the national defense or security of the United States. Adding this new entity to the definition of "foreign power" would permit the IC to target international cyber groups without having to connect that group to a foreign government or terrorist organization, so long as the cyber entity is threating U.S. national security or defense. Such an addition provides the IC with much

what the 1/1

needed flexibility and will help keep the United States ahead of its adversaries.

FINUTURIN

(U) Recommendation #7: The Federal Bureau of Investigation should improve cyberattack victim notification.

(U) When a state-sponsored cyberattack is directed against U.S. critical infrastructure or systems related to national elections, it is essential for the appropriate federal officials to work quickly to both understand the nature of the threat and aid the victim's defense.



(U) Although the FBI maintained an ongoing dialogue with the DNC related to the Russian intrusions, the engagement remained at the working level. These interactions continued for months, despite no signs of effective mediation to the problem. In

Director Comey testified that, had he known at the time the seriousness of the problem, he would have "walked over