House of Representatives

The House met at 9 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker.

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Reverend Margaret Grun Kibben, offered the following prayer:

Loving God, I come to You this day in prayer for each and every person who labors in this place, for their heartfelt dedication to their tasks, for the commitments they have made to serve their country as Members, as staffers, interns, service providers, and security officers, and the whole range of duties and responsibilities represented in this workforce.

None of the men and women do so without significant cost to themselves and to their families. I lift up to You the burdens they carry: personal illness and afflictions; the health and welfare of family members; the anxiety and discouragement felt when efforts are disregarded or unappreciated; tragedy and loss.

Their names and situations are known to You, O Lord, but especially this day we pray for those who grieve the loss of Representative RON WRIGHT.

May the example of his fortitude in the face of life’s adversities serve as a testimony to the hope we have in Your eternal care.

We pray in the strength of Your holy name.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL
The SPEAKER Pursuant to section 5(a)(1)(A) of House Resolution 8, the Journal of the last day’s proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The SPEAKER. The Chair will lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

The SPEAKER led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE SERGEANT AT ARMS
The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Sergeant at Arms of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE SERGEANT AT ARMS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
WASHINGTON, DC, February 8, 2021.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, following consultation with the Office of Attending Physician, I write to provide you further notification that the public health emergency due to the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 remains in effect.

Sincerely,
TIMOTHY P. BLODGETT,
Acting Sergeant at Arms.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER
The SPEAKER. The Chair announces the extension, pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 8, and effective February 19, 2021, of the “covered period” designated on January 4, 2021.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE
The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
WASHINGTON, DC, February 9, 2021.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representa-
tives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on February 9, 2021, at 3:11 p.m.:

That the Senate agreed to S. Res. 47. With best wishes, I am,
Sincerely,
GLORIA J. LETT,
Deputy Clerk.

ELECTING MEMBERS TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair lays before the House the resolution (H. Res. 111) electing Members to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

There was no objection.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. Res. 111

Resolved. That the following named Members be, and are hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR: Mr. Mfume.
COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES: Ms. Matsui, Mrs. Trahan.
COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY: Ms. Moore of Wisconsin, Mr. Kildee, Ms. Wild, Mrs. Fletcher.
COMMITTEE ON VETERANS’ AFFAIRS: Ms. Kaptur, Mr. Ruiz, Mr. Gallego.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE
The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:
was, by the greatest number of votes given at said election, duly elected REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS.

\*\*Litigation pending\*\*

Given under our hands, this 8th day of Feb-

ruary in the year two thousand twenty one.

Douglas A. Kellner, Commissioner.
Peter S. Kosinski, Commissioner.
Andrew J. Spano, Commissioner.

Anthony J. Casale, Commissioner.
We certify that we have complied the fore-
going with the original certificate filed in this
office, and that the same is a correct transcrip
therewith and of the whole of such original
Given under our hands and seal of office of
the State Board of Elections, at the city of
Albany, this 8th day of February, 2021.

Robert A. Bush, Co-Executive Director.

TODD D. VALENTINE, Co-Executive Director.

NOTE: Names bolded and italicized were
not previously certified by the Board of Can-
vassers at a meeting that was held on De-


(Signed Affixed)

SWARING IN OF THE HONORABLE
CLAUDIA TENNEY, OF NEW
YORK, AS A MEMBER OF
THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER. The Chair will now
administer the oath of office to the
Member-elect from New York (Ms.
Tenney).

The gentlewoman from New York
will raise her right hand.

Ms. Tenney appeared at the bar of
the House and took the oath of office,
as follows:

Do you solemnly swear that you will sup-
port and defend the Constitution of the
United States against all enemies, foreign
and domestic; that you will bear true faith and
allegiance to the same; that you take
this obligation freely, without any mental
reservation or purpose of evasion; and that
you will faithfully discharge the du-
ties of the office on which you are about
to enter, so help you God.

The SPEAKER. Congratulations, you
are now a Member of the 117th Con-
gress.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to clause
5(d) of rule XX, the Chair announces to
the House that, in lieu of the adminis-
tration of the oath to the gentlewoman
from New York (Ms. Tenney), the
whole number of the House is now 432.

PUBLICATION OF COMMITTEE RULES
RULES OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET
FOR THE 117TH CONGRESS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.
COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET.
WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 11, 2021.

MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to clause
2(a) of House Rule XI, I submit the rules for
the Committee on the Budget for the 117th Con-
gress for publication in the Congressional
Record. The Committee adopted these rules
by a voice vote, with a quorum being
present, at our organizational meeting on
Tuesday, February 9, 2021.

JOHN YARMUTH.
over by the Chair or, in the Chair’s absence, by the member designated by the Chair as the Vice Chair of the Committee, or by the Ranking majority member of the Committee present as Acting Chair.

(b) Each meeting for the transaction of Committee business, including the markup of measures, shall be open to the public except to the extent, in open session and with a quorum present, determines by roll call vote that all or part of the remainder of the meeting on that day shall be closed in accordance with clause 2(g)(1) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

(c) No person, other than members of the Committee, professional and clerical staff and departmental representatives as the Committee may authorize, shall be present at any business or markup session which has been closed to the public.

(d) Not later than 24 hours after the adoption of any amendment, or 48 hours after the disposition or withdrawal of any other amendment, if the amendment or matter considered by the Committee, the Chair of the Committee shall cause the text of each such amendment to be made publicly available in electronic form.

Rule 6—Quorum

A majority of the Committee shall constitute a quorum. No business shall be transacted and no measure or recommendation shall be reported unless a quorum is actually present.

Rule 7—Recognition

Any member, when recognized by the Chair, may address the Committee on any bill, motion, or other matter under consideration before the Committee. The time of the day during which the announcement is made and the day preceding the scheduled hearing unless the Chair, with the concurrence of the Ranking minority member, or the Committee by majority vote with a quorum present for the purpose, determines there is good cause to begin the hearing sooner, in which case the Chair shall make the announcement at the earliest possible date. Such announcement shall be published promptly in the Daily Digest and made publicly available in electronic form.

Rule 8—Consideration of Business

(a) The Committee shall consider no bill, joint resolution, or concurrent resolution unless copies of the measure have been made available to all Committee members at least 24 hours prior to the time at which such measure is to be considered. When considering concurrent resolutions on the budget, this requirement shall be satisfied by making available the complete language of the resolution (or such material as will provide the basis for Committee consideration). The provisions of this rule may be suspended with the concurrence of the Chair and Ranking minority member.

(b) At least 24 hours prior to the commencement of a meeting for the markup of legislation, the Chair shall cause the text of such legislation to be made publicly available in electronic form.

Rule 10—Procedure for Consideration of Budget Resolution

(a) In the consideration of a concurrent resolution on the budget, the Committee shall first proceed, unless otherwise determined by the Committee, to consider budget aggregates, functional categories, or other appropriate matters on a tentative basis, with the document before the Committee open to amendment. Subsequent amendments may be offered to aggregates, functional categories, or other appropriate matters, which have already been amended in their entirety.

(b) Following adoption of the aggregates, functional categories, and other matters, the text of a concurrent resolution on the budget incorporating such aggregates, functional categories, and other appropriate matters shall be considered for amendment and a final vote.

Rule 11—Roll Call Votes

(a) A roll call of the members may be had upon the request of at least one-fifth of those present. In the apparent absence of a quorum, a roll call may be had on the request of any member.

(b) No vote may be conducted on any measure or motion pending before the Committee unless a quorum is present for such purpose.

(c) In conducting roll calls under clause 2(e)(1) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a record of the vote of each Committee member on each recorded vote shall be made available in electronic form within 48 hours of such record vote, and, with respect to any roll call vote on any motion to amend or report, shall be included in the report of the Committee showing the total number of votes cast for and against the names of those members voting for and against.

Rule 12—Proxy Votes

No vote by any member of the Committee on any matter or measure may be cast by proxy.

Rule 13—Announcement of Hearings

The Chair shall make a public announcement of the date and time of any hearing and subject matter of any Committee hearing at least one week before the hearing, beginning with the day in which the announcement is made and ending on the day preceding the scheduled hearing unless the Chair, with the concurrence of the Ranking minority member, or the Committee by majority vote with a quorum present for the purpose, determines there is good cause to begin the hearing sooner, in which case the Chair shall make the announcement at the earliest possible date. Such announcement shall be published promptly in the Daily Digest and made publicly available in electronic form.

Rule 14—Open Hearings

(a) Each hearing conducted by the Committee or any of its task forces shall be open to the public except when the Committee or task force, in open session and with a quorum present, determines by roll call vote that all or part of the remainder of that hearing on that day shall be closed to the public because disclosure of testimony, evidence, or other matters to be considered would (1) compromise sensitive law enforcement information, or would tend to defame, degrade, or incriminate any person, or would violate any law or rule of the House of Representatives. The Committee or task forces may by the same procedure vote to close one subsequent day of hearing.

(b) For the purposes of clause 2(g)(2) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the task forces of the Committee are considered to be subcommittees.

Rule 15—Member Day Hearing Requirement

During the first 117th Congress, the Committee shall hold a Member Day Hearing to hear testimony from members, delegates, and the resident commissioner, whether or not they are a member of the Committee—on budget priorities and process.

Rule 16—Quorum

For the purpose of hearing testimony, not less than two members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum.

Rule 17—Questioning Witnesses

(a) Questioning of witnesses will be conducted under the five-minute rule unless the Committee adopts a motion pursuant to clause 2(i) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

(b) In questioning witnesses under the five-minute rule:

(1) First, the Chair and the Ranking minority member shall be recognized.

(2) Next, the Committee members present at the time the hearing is called to order shall be recognized in order of seniority; and

(3) Finally, the Committee members not present at the time the hearing is called to order may be recognized in order of their arrival at the hearing.

(c) In recognizing Committee members to question witnesses, the Chair may take into consideration the ratio of majority members to minority members and the number of majority and minority members present and shall apportion the recognition for questioning in such a manner as not to disadvantage the members of the majority.

(d) Notwithstanding the provisions of section (a), the Chair and Ranking minority member may designate an equal number of members from each party to question a witness for a period not longer than 30 minutes, or may designate staff from each party to question a witness for a period not longer than 30 minutes.

Rule 15—Subpoenas and Oaths

In accordance with clause 2(m) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, subpoenas authorized by a majority of the Committee or by the Chair (pursuant to such rule and limits as the Committee may prescribe) may be issued over the signature of the Chair or of any member of the Committee designated by him, and may be signed by any person designated by the Chair or such member.

(b) The Chair, or any member of the Committee designated by the Chair, may administer oaths to witnesses.

Rule 19—Witnessee’s Statements

(a) As far as practicable, any prepared statement to be presented by a witness shall be submitted to the Committee at least 24 hours in advance of presentation and shall be distributed to all members of the Committee in advance of presentation.

(b) To the greatest extent possible, each witness appearing in person and testifying shall include with the written statement of proposed testimony a curriculum vitae and a disclosure of the amount and source of any governmental or governmental entity grant or subgrant thereof (or contract or subcontract thereof) received during the current fiscal year or either of the two preceding fiscal years.

(c) Such statements, with appropriate redactions to protect the privacy of witnesses, shall be made publicly available in electronic form not later than one day after the witness appears.

PRINTS AND PUBLICATIONS

Rule 20—Committee Prints

All Committee prints and other materials prepared for public distribution shall be approved by the Committee prior to any distribution, unless such print or other material shows clearly on its face that it has not been approved by the Committee.

Rule 21—Committee Publications on the Internet

To the maximum extent feasible, the Committee shall make its publications available in electronic form.

Rule 22—Committee Staff

(a) Subject to approval by the Committee and to the provisions of the following sections, the professional and clerical staff of the Committee shall be appointed, and may be removed, by the Chair or the Committee.

(b) Committee staff shall not be assigned any duties other than those pertaining to...
Committee business, and shall be selected without regard to race, color, ethnicity, reli-
gion, sex, sexual orientation, gender iden-
tity, disability, age, or national origin, and
solely on the basis of fitness to perform the
duties of their respective positions.

(c) All Committee staff shall be entitled to
equitable treatment, including comparable
salaries, facilities, access to official Commis-
sion records, leave, and hours of work.

(d) Notwithstanding sections (a), (b), and
(c), staff shall be employed in compliance with
Rule 9(c) of the Rules of the House of Representa-
tives, the Employment and Accountability
Act, the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938,
and any other applicable Federal statutes.

Rule 2—Staff Supervisor

(a) Staff shall be under the general super-
vision and direction of the Chair, who shall
establish and assign their duties and respon-
sibilities, delegate such authority as the Chair
deems appropriate, fix and adjust staff
salaries (in accordance with Rule X, clause
9(c) of the Rules of the House of Representa-
tives) and job titles, and, at the Chair’s dis-
cretion, arrange for their specialized training.

(b) Staff assigned to the minority shall be
under the general supervision and direction of
the Chair of the members of the Committee,
who may delegate such authority, as they
dem deem appropriate.

RECORDS

Rule 24—Preparation and Maintenance of Com-
mittee Records

(a) A substantially verbatim account of re-
marks actually made during the proceedings
shall be made of all hearings and business
meetings subject only to technical, gram-
matical, and typographical corrections.

(b) The proceedings of the Committee shall
be recorded in a journal, which shall, among
other things, include a record of the votes on
any question on which a record vote is
taken.

(c) Members of the Committee shall cor-
rect and return transcripts of hearings as
soon as practicable after receipt thereof, ex-
cept that any changes shall be limited to
technical, grammatical, and typographical
corrections.

(d) Any witness may examine the trans-
script of their own testimony and make
grammatical, technical, and typographical
corrections.

(e) The Chair may order the printing of a
hearing record without the corrections of
any member or witness if the Chair deter-
mines that such record or witness has been
afforded a reasonable time for correction,
and that further delay would seriously im-
pede the Committee’s responsibility for
meeting its deadlines under the Congress-

(f) Transcripts of hearings and meetings
may be printed if the Chair decides it is ap-
propriate, or if a majority of the members so
request.

Rule 25—Access to Committee Records

(a) The Chair shall promulgate regulations
to provide for public inspection of roll call
votes and to provide access by members to
Committee records (in accordance with
clause 2(e) of Rule XI of the Rules of the House
of Representatives).

(b) In order to classify testimony and in-
formation shall be limited to members of
Congress and to House Budget Committee
staff and staff of the Office of Official Re-
ports to have an appropriate security clear-
ance.

(c) Notice of the receipt of such informa-
tion shall be sent to the Committee mem-
bers. Such information shall be kept in the
Committee safe and shall be available to
members in the Committee office.

(d) The records of the Committee at the
National Archives and Records Administra-
tion shall be made available for public use in
accordance with Rule VII of the Rules of the
House of Representatives. The Chair shall
notify the Ranking minority member of any
decision, pursuant to clause 3(b)(3) or clause
4(b) of the rule, to withhold a record other-
wise required to be presented to the Committee for a determination on the written request of any member of the Committee.

OVERSIGHT

Rule 26—General Oversight

(a) The Committee shall review and study,
on a continuing basis, the application, ad-
ministration, execution, and effectiveness of
those laws, or portions thereof, the subject of which is within its jurisdiction.

(b) The Committee is authorized at any
time to conduct such investigations and
hearings as may relate to any such law or
portion thereof.

Rule 27—Availability Before Filing

(a) Any report accompanying any bill or
resolution ordered reported to the House by
the Committee shall be available to all Com-
mittee members at least 36 hours prior to fil-
ing with the House.

(b) No material change shall be made in
any report made available to members pur-
SUANT to section (a) without the concurrence
of the Ranking minority member or by a ma-
jority vote by the Committee.

(c) Notwithstanding any other rule of the
Committee, transmitted to the Speaker in the
form of a Parliamentarian’s Status Report
shall be prepared by the staff of the Com-
mittee for at least seven calendar days
and must include any supplemental, minor-
ity, additional, or dissenting views sub-
mitted by a member of the Committee.

REPORTS

Rule 28—Report on the Budget Resolution

The report of the Committee to accompany
each concurrent resolution on the budget shall
include any roll call vote on any motion to
amend or report any measure.

Rule 29—Parliamentarian’s Status Report and
Section 302 Status Report

(a) In order to carry out its duty under
sections 311 and 312 of the Congressional
Budget Act of 1974 to advise the House of
Representatives as to the current level of
spending and revenues as compared to the
levels set forth in the latest agreed-upon
concurrent resolution on the budget, the Com-
mittee shall advise the Speaker on at
least a monthly basis when the House is in
session, in accordance with clause 4 of Rule XI
of the Rules of the House of Representa-
tives.

(b) The Committee authorizes the Chair, in
consultation with the Ranking minority
member, to transmit to the Speaker the Sec-
tion 302 Status Report described above.

Rule 30—Activity Report

(a) After an adjournment sine die of a reg-
ular session of a Congress or after December
15 of an even-numbered year, the Chair of
the Committee may file at any time with the Clerk the Committee’s activity report for
that Congress pursuant to clause 1(d)(1) of
sections 302 and 312 of the Congressional
Budget Act of 1974. The activity report
shall include a record of the committee’s
work for the same purpose, including com-
municating information about the activities
of the Committee; a summary of the actions
taken and recommendations made; a summary of any additional oversight activities undertaken by the Com-
mittee, and any recommendations made or actions taken thereon; and a delineation of
any hearings held.

MISCELLANEOUS

Rule 31—Broadcasting of Meetings and Hear-
ings

(a) It shall be the policy of the Commit-
tee to give all news media access to open hear-
ings of the Committee, subject to the re-
quests and limitations set forth in
clause 4 of Rule XI of the Rules of the House
of Representatives.

(b) Whenever any Committee business
meeting is open to the public, that meeting
may be covered, in whole or in part, by tele-
vision broadcast, radio broadcast, still pho-
tography, or by any of such methods of cov-
erage, in accordance with clause 4 of Rule XI
of the Rules of the House of Representa-
tives.

Rule 32—Committee Website

The Chair shall maintain an official Com-
mittee website for the purpose of furthering
the Committee’s legislative and oversight re-
sponsibilities, including communicating in-
formation about the Committee’s activities
to Committee members, other members of
the House, and the public. The Ranking
minority member may authorize the Chair to
advise the Speaker, the House, and the public
of any changes to the Committee website
for the same purpose, including com-
municating information about the activities
of the minority to Committee members,
other members of the House, and the public.

Rule 33—Appointment of Conferees

(a) Majority party members recommended
to the Speaker as conferees shall be rec-
ommended by the Chair, subject to the ap-
proval of the majority party members of the
Committee.
(b) The Chair shall recommend such minority party members as conformers as shall be determined by the minority party; the recommended party representation shall be in approximately the same proportion as that in the Committee.  

Rule 34—Waivers  
When a reported bill or joint resolution, conference report, or anticipated floor amendment violates any provision of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Chair may, if practical, consult with the Committee members on whether the Chair should recommend, in writing, that the Committee on Rules report a special rule that enforces the Act by not waiving the applicable points of order during the consideration of such measure.  

ADJOURNMENT  
The SPEAKER. Pursuant to section 5(a)(1)(B) of House Resolution 8, the House stands adjourned until 9:30 a.m. on Monday, February 15, 2021.  

Thereupon (at 9 o’clock and 5 minutes a.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, February 15, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.  

OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS, RESIDENT COMMISSIONER, AND DELEGATES  
The oath of office required by the sixth article of the Constitution of the United States, and as provided by section 2 of the act of May 13, 1884 (23 Stat. 22), to be administered to Members, Resident Commissioner, and Delegates of the House of Representatives, the text of which is carried in 5 U.S.C. 3331:  

"I, AB, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God."  

has been subscribed to in person and filed in duplicate with the Clerk of the House of Representatives by the following Member of the 117th Congress, pursuant to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 25:  

CLAUDIA TENNEY, Twenty-Second District of New York.  

OATH FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION  
Under clause 13 of rule XXIII, the following Member executed the oath for access to classified information:  

CLAUDIA TENNEY  

EXPENDITURE REPORTS CONCERNING OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL  

Reports concerning the foreign currencies and U.S. dollars utilized for Official Foreign Travel during the fourth quarter of 2020, pursuant to Public Law 95–384 are as follows:  

REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31, 2020  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrival</td>
<td>Departure</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31, 2020  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrival</td>
<td>Departure</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON VETERANS’ AFFAIRS, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31, 2020  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrival</td>
<td>Departure</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.  

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:  

EC-215. A letter from the OSD FRLO, Office of the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Administrative Requirements Terms and Conditions for Cost-Type Grants and Cooperative Agreements to Nonprofit and Governmental Entities [DOD-2016-OS-0054] (RIN: 0790-AJ49) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Armed Services.  

EC-217. A letter from the OSD FRLO, Office of the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Award Format for DoD Grants and Cooperative Agreements [DOD-2016-OS-0052] (RIN: 0790-AJ47) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Armed Services.
EC-218. A letter from the OSD FRLO, Office of the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — National Policy Requirements: General Awareness of Consumer Financial Protection Agency, the Agency’s final rule — Truth in Lending Act (Regulations Z) Adjustment to Asset-Size Exemption Threshold received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Financial Services.

EC-219. A letter from the Legal Counsel, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final rule — Official Time in Federal Sector Cases before the Commission (RIN: 3046-AB00) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

EC-220. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Air Plan Approval; Massachusetts; Infrastructure State Implementation Plan Requirements for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Certification; Final Rule; Region 4 received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-221. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Air Plan Approval; California; San Diego Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2020-0364; FRL-10018-18-Region 9] received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-222. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Air Quality State Implementation Plan Requirements; Correcting Amendment [EPA-R09-OAR-2020-0213; FRL-10017-20-Region 9] received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.


EC-224. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Pollutant-Specific Significant Contribution Finding for Greenhouse Gas Emissions From New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources; Electric Utility Generating Units (Regulations 97-1, 97-2, 97-3, 97-4, 97-5) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-225. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Regulation for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Correction (Active-Inactive); Reopening of the Reconsideration Period [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2020-0063; FRL-10017-61-Region 9] received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-226. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Correction (Active-Inactive); Reconsideration Period [EPA-R04-OST-2020-0055; RIN: 2070-AJ50] received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

EC-227. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Air Plan Approval; Ocean Dumping: Modification to Ocean Dumping Permit - Site Offshore of Humboldt Bay, California [EPA-R09-OAW-2020-0188; FRL-10016-87-Region 9] received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

EC-228. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Ocean Dumping: Modifica-
2020-16-12] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-243. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Continental Aerospace Technologies, Inc. (Type Certificate Previously Held by Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2019-05889; Product Identifier 2017-SW-020-AD]; Amendment 39-21195; AD 2020-16-11] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-244. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2019-05889; Product Identifier 2017-SW-020-AD]; Amendment 39-21195; AD 2020-16-11] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-253. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Ithaca, NY [Docket No.: FAA-2020-00484-A] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-254. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Pacific Aerospace Limited Airlines [Docket No.: FAA-2020-00711; Product Identifier MCAI-2020-00719-A; Amendment 39-21188; AD 2020-16-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-248. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Helicopters Deutschland GmbH Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2020-0918; Product Identifier 2017-SW-053-AD]; Amendment 39-21210; AD 2020-17-05] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 2, 2021, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Ms. SCALON (for herself and Mr. ARMSTRONG): H.R. 961. A bill to exempt juveniles from the requirements for suits by prisoners, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. PAISCHELL (for himself, Mr. GARBARINO, Mr. CONNOLLY, and Mr. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 962. An amendment title 5, United States Code, to include certain Federal positions within the definition of law enforcement officer for retirement purposes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.
H.R. 963. A bill to amend title 9 of the United States Code with respect to arbitration; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ARRINGTON (for himself, Mr. NEWHOUSE, Mr. WRISER of Texas, Mr. McCaul, Mr. BALIN, Mr. JACKSON, Mr. BURCHETT, and Mr. MANN):

H.R. 964. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to require the Environmental Protection Agency to include in any notice of rule making a statement regarding the impact of the rule on jobs loss or creation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HARRIS:

H.R. 965. A bill to establish a comprehensive United States Government initiative to build strong and growing leader and entrepreneurs in Africa, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mrs. BEATTY (for herself, Ms. SCHATZ, Mr. COOPER, and Mr. MCGOVERN):

H.R. 966. A bill to amend the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 to prohibit a State from removing the name of any registrant from the official list of voters eligible to vote in elections for Federal office in the State if the State verifies, on the basis of objective and reliable evidence, that the registrant is ineligible to vote in such elections; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. BUDD:

H.R. 967. A bill to direct the Director of the Office of Personnel Management to revise job classification and qualification standards for positions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. BUDD (for himself, Mr. GAETZ, Mr. DESJARLAYS, Mr. PERRY, and Mr. HICE of Georgia):

H.R. 968. A bill to provide for a method by which the economic costs of significant regulatory actions may be offset by the repeal of other regulatory actions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. BUDD (for himself and Mr. ROY):

H.R. 969. A bill to require that independent agencies comply with all requirements of rulemaking, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, and in addition to the Committees on the Judiciary, and Small Business, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BURCHETT (for himself and Mr. CUellar):

H.R. 970. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the qualified opportunity zone tax incentive, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. BUSTOS (for herself, Mr. GARKARANO, Mr. CROW, Mr. RICE of South Carolina, Ms. NORTON, Ms. CRAIG, and Mr. SAN NICOLAS):

H.R. 971. A bill to require the Administrator of the Small Business Administration to establish a program to encourage small business concerns to make business succession plans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CALVERT (for himself and Mr. TAKANO):

H.R. 972. A bill to establish the Western Riverside County Wildlife Refuge, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. CARRBAJAL (for himself, Mr. HUFFMAN, Ms. CHUC, Mr. PANETTA, and Ms. BROWNLY):

H.R. 973. A bill to designate certain Federal land in the State of California as wilderness, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. CASE (for himself, Mr. WOLFUECK, Mr. PHILLIPS, and Mr. BURCHETT):

H.R. 974. A bill to establish a national commission on firearm and reform, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Budget, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CASTEN:

H.R. 975. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require coverage under Medicare PDPs and MA-PD plans, without the use of cost sharing, for utilization management requirements, of drugs intended to treat COVID-19 during certain emergencies; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. CASTOR of Florida (for herself and Mr. Cuellar):

H.R. 976. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to expand, enhance, and improve applicable public health data systems used by the centers for disease and prevention, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CONNOLLY (for himself, Mr. BARRAGAN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. COHEN, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. DEJESUS, Mr. DICKEN, Ms. ESCOBAR, Ms. ESCH, Mr. ESPIRADELL, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. GALLEGPO, Mr. GARCIA of Illinois, Ms. GARDEZ of Texas, Mr. GEILJAVVA, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. JAYAPAL, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. KAPUR, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. LIEU, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. MCGOVERN, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. MOUTHOU, Mrs. NAFOPTANOU, Ms. NORTON, Mr. PALONE, Ms. PANETTA, Mr. PARSELL, Mr. PERITTS, Ms. PINGER, Mr. RASKIN, Miss RICE of New York, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. SHRES, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Ms. TLAIR, Mr. VARGAS, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. WELCH, Mr. GREEN of Texas, Mr. BRYER, Mr. SUOZZI, and Mr. TAKANO):

H.R. 977. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to provide for a minimum number of refugees who may be admitted in a fiscal year 2022, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CONNOLLY (for himself, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. RASKIN, Ms. NORTON, and Mr. LYNCH):

H.R. 978. A bill to require each agency to establish a safety plan relating to COVID-19 for any worksite at which employees or contractors are required to be physically present during the COVID-19 pandemic, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. CRAIG:

H.R. 979. A bill to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to submit to Congress a weekly report on COVID-19 vaccine distribution, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. DEFAZIO (for himself and Mr. HUFFMAN):

H.R. 980. A bill to withdraw certain land located in Curry County and Josephine County, Oregon, from all forms of entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws, location, entry, and patent under the mining laws, and enter certain mineral, geothermal leasing and geothermal leasing, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Ms. DELENENE (for herself and Mr. KATKO):

H.R. 981. A bill to direct the Federal Communications Commission to collect and maintain data on the growth in the use of Internet of Things devices and devices that use 5G mobile networks in order to determine the amount of electromagnetic spectrum required to meet the demand created by such use, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. DEUTCH (for himself and Mr. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 983. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide an additional tool to prevent certain frauds against veterans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. EMMER:

H.R. 984. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide for the indexing of certain assets for purposes of determining gain or loss of eligible individuals; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. FOSTER (for himself, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. O Mara, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. ESPADAPELL, Mr. BUSH, Mr. JAYAPAL, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. PRESSLEY, and Ms. JAYAPAL):

H.R. 985. A bill to secure Federal access to scientific literature and other subscription resources.

By Mr. GARCIA of Illinois (for himself, Ms. SCHATZ, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. O Mara, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. ESPADAPELL, Mr. BUSH, Mr. JAYAPAL, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. PRESSLEY, and Ms. JAYAPAL):

H.R. 986. A bill to require the President to submit an annual report on the progress on implementation of the National Strategy for increasing public access to science, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.
H.R. 986. A bill to provide support for a robust global response to the COVID-19 pandemic; to the Committee on Financial Services.
By Ms. GARCIA of Texas (for herself, Mr. YOUNG, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. LOWENTHAL, and Mr. CASE).
H.R. 987. A bill to establish a grant program for maritime workforce training and education, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.
By Mr. GRIJALVA (for himself, Mr. CLOUD, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. EMMER, Mr. GOHMERT, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. JENSEN, Mr. O'Halleran, Mr. McCLINTOCK, Mr. MOONEY, Mr. NEWHOUSE, Mr. RICE of South Carolina, Mr. ROUZER, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. WORDEN of New Mexico, Mr. WINDROP, Mr. YOUNG, Mrs. CAMMACK, Mr. LATUNER, Ms. MACK, Mr. PFLOCKER, and Ms. HERRELLI).
H.R. 988. A bill to protect the right of individuals to bear arms at water resources development projects administered by the Secretary of the Army, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.
By Mr. GOLDEN:
H.R. 989. A bill to amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to require certain online platforms that display political advertisements to display with the advertisement a notice identifying the sponsor of the advertisement, that the notice will continue to be presented in the advertisement if a viewer of the advertisement shares the advertisement with others on that platform, to the Committee on House Administration.
H.R. 990. A bill to amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to require broadcasting stations, providers of cable and satellite television, and online platforms to make reasonable efforts to ensure that political advertisements are not purchased by a foreign national; to the Committee on House Administration.
By Mr. GOOD of Virginia (for himself, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. GOSAR, Mrs. ROHEERT, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. GOHMERT, Mr. RICE of Georgia, Mr. ROUCHAN, and Mr. BROOKS).
H.R. 991. A bill to prohibit the Secretary of Homeland Security from rescinding, revising, or suspending the final rule, entitled ‘International Airline Charge Elimination’; and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mr. GOOD of Virginia (for himself, Mr. HERRELLI, Mr. RICE of Georgia, Mr. GOHMERT, and Mr. BAIRD).
H.R. 992. A bill to direct the Attorney General to report to Congress on how United States taxpayer-funded research has benefitted China, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.
By Mr. PERRY, Mr. CAWTHORN, and Mr. MASHIE.
H.R. 993. A bill to remove Federal funding from enforcing gun control; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mr. GRIJALVA (for himself, Mr. RUSH, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Ms. MALDONADO of New York, Mr. ESPIALLAT, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. CARSON, Ms. OMAR, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. SAN NICOLAS, Mr. POCAN, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. JONES, Mr. TARAKI, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Ms. GARCIA of California, Mr. VARGAS, Ms. BASS, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. JAYAPAL, Ms. TLAIR, Mr. WELCH, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Mr. CONnelly, and Mr. OCASIO-CORTÉZ, and Ms. ESCOBAR).
H.R. 994. A bill to prohibit the use of for-profit facilities and detention centers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Financial Services, and Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
By Mr. HARDER of California (for himself and Mr. MEUSER).
H.R. 995. A bill to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act to authorize the Secretary of Health and Human Services to award grants for purposes of reducing child abuse and neglect due to the substance use disorder of a parent or caregiver; to the Committee on Education and Labor.
By Mr. HASTINGS (for himself, Mr. CARSON, Mr. MOULTON, Ms. LEE of California, and Mr. MEIJER).
H.R. 996. A bill to establish a commission to make recommendations on the appropriate size of membership of the House of Representatives, the majority of Members is elected; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mr. GOHMERT:
H.R. 997. A bill to declare English as the official language of the United States, to establish a uniform English language rule for naturalization; to provide for: construc-
H.R. 998. A bill to provide for the development of library services and technology to meet the needs stemming from the coronavirus; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committee on the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. CARSON).
H.R. 1004. A bill to prohibit the sale of a firearm to, and the purchase of a firearm by, a person who is not covered by appropriate liability insurance coverage; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. CARSON): H.R. 1005. A bill to require records of the national instant criminal background check system to be retained for at least 90 days; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. CARSON): H.R. 1006. A bill to require criminal background checks on all firearms transactions occurring at gun shows; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. CARSON): H.R. 1007. A bill to prevent gun trafficking; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. CARSON): H.R. 1008. A bill to provide for the development and use of technology for personalized handguns, to require that all handguns manufac-
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and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provi-

ded in the state of Washington as components of the National Wildlife Preservation System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.
By Mr. KIND (for himself and Mr. KILMER).
H.R. 1000. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for infection control support services, to improve facilities through contracts with quality improvement organizations; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provi-

des in the state of Washington as components of the National Wildlife Preservation System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.
By Mr. KIND (for himself and Mr. KILMER).
H.R. 1000. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for infection control support services, to improve facilities through contracts with quality improvement organizations; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provi-
H.R. 1010. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to require Federal agencies to translate COVID-19 materials into multiple languages, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. MFUME:

H.R. 1009. A bill to require all Federal agencies to identify and locate resources to assist in the translation of COVID-19 materials, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business.

H.R. 1101. A bill to create the Small Business Innovation Research Technical Assistance Program to support small businesses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. T. MINTY (for himself, Mr. Burchett, Mr. Budd, Mr. Weber of Texas, Mr. Duncan, Mr. Gallagher, Mr. Waltz, Mr. Jordan, Mr. Anderholt, Mr. McKinley, Mr. Guest, Mr. Cloud, Mr. LaMalfa, Mrs. Miller of West Virginia, Mr. Reschenthaler, Mr. Gibbs, Mr. Joyce of Pennsylvania, Mr. Burgess, Mr. Brooks, Mr. Lamborn, Mr. Norman, Mr. Banks, Mr. Huizenga, Mr. Allen, Mr. Kelly of Mississippi, Mr. Sessions, Mr. Casten, Mr. Davidson, Mr. Gohmert, Mr. Johnson of South Dakota, Mr. Feenstra, Mr. Grottman, Mr. Hollingsworth, Mr. Williams of Tennessee, Mr. Jaso, Mr. Loudermilk, Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Mr. LaHood, Mr. Long, Mr. Wittman, Mr. Murphy of North Carolina, Mr. Good of Virginia, Mr. Brown, Mr. Mouse of Maryland, Mrs. Rodgers of Washington, Mr. Timmons, Mr. Balderson, Mr. Bakery of South Dakota, Mr. Smith of Missouri, Mr. Harris, Mr. Heck of Georgia, Mr. Kustoff, Mr. Roseendale, Mr. Hagedorn, Mr. Westrup, Mr. Bishop of North Carolina, Mr. Fulcher, Mr. Herrell, Mr. Latvia, Mr. Massie, Mr. Loudermilk, Mrs. Miller of Illinois, Ms. Cheney, Mr. Rogers of Kentucky, Mrs. Hartley, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Smith of Nebraska, Mr. Johnson of Louisiana, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Armstrong, Mrs. Robert, Mr. Womack, Mr. Fortenberry, Mr. Forbes of Wyoming, Mr. Perry, Mr. Baird, Mr. Keller, Mr. Palazzo, Mr. Gooden of Texas, Mr. Chabot, Mr. Gosar, and Mr. Johnson of Ohio):}

H.R. 1010. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide that a member of the Armed Forces and the spouse of that member shall have the same rights regarding the receipt of firearms at the location of any duty station of the member; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina (for himself):

H.R. 1011. A bill to amend chapter 4 of title 18, United States Code, to provide that the spouse of that member shall have the same rights regarding the receipt of firearms at the location of any duty station of the member; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BURCHETT, Mr. BUD, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. GALLAGHER, Mr. WALTZ, Mr. JORDAN, Mr. ANDERHOLT, Mr. MCKINLEY, Mr. GUEST, Mr. CLOUD, Mr. LAMALFA, MRS. MILLER of West Virginia, Mr. RESCHENTHALER, Mr. GIBBS, MR. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, Mr. BURGESS, MR. BROOKS, MR. LAMBORN, MR. NORMAN, MR. BANKS, MR. HUIZENGA, MR. ALLEN, MR. KELLY of Mississippi, MR. SESSIONS, MR. CASTEN, MR. DAVIDSON, MR. GOHMERT, MR. JOHNSON of South Dakota, MR. FEENSTRA, MR. GROTTMAN, MR. HOLLINGSWORTH, MR. WILLIAMS of Tennessee, MR. JASO, MR. LOUDERMILK, MR. ROGERS of Alabama, MR. LAHOOD, MR. LONG, MR. WITTMAN, MR. MURPHY of North Carolina, MR. GOOD of Virginia, MR. BROWN, MR. MOUSE of Maryland, MRS. RODDERS of Washington, MR. TIMMONS, MR. BALDERSON, MR. BAIRN, MR. SMITH of Missouri, MR. HARRIS, MR. HECK of Georgia, MR. KUSTOFF, MR. ROSENDALE, MR. HAGEDORN, MR. WENSTRUP, MR. BISHOP of North Carolina, MR. FULCHER, MR. HERRELL, MR. LATTA, MR. MASSIE, MR. LOUDERMILK, MRS. MILLER of Illinois, MS. CHENEY, MR. ROGERS of Kentucky, MRS. HARTLEY, MR. JOHNSON, MR. GUTHRIE, MR. SMITH of Nebraska, MR. JOHNSON of Louisiana, MR. DUNN, MR. ARMSTRONG, MRS. ROBERT, MR. WOMACK, MR. FORTENBERRY, MR. FORBES of Wyoming, MR. PERRY, MR. BAIRD, MR. KELLER, MR. PALAZZO, MR. GOODEN of Texas, MR. CHABOT, MR. GOSAR, and MR. JOHNSON of Ohio):

H.R. 1012. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide that the spouse of that member shall have the same rights regarding the receipt of firearms at the location of any duty station of the member; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina (for himself, MR. JOYCE of Ohio, and MR. COHEN):

H.R. 1013. A bill to amend chapter 4 of title 18, United States Code, to provide that a member of the Armed Forces and the spouse of that member shall have the same rights regarding the receipt of firearms at the location of any duty station of the member; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina (for himself, MR. JOYCE of Ohio, and MR. COHEN):

H.R. 1014. A bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a pilot program to furnish hyobaric oxygen therapy to a veteran with traumatic brain injury who has a post-traumatic stress disorder; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Mrs. NAPOLITANO (for herself, MR. GRIJALVA, SR., MRS. CARBAJAL, MS. SANCHEZ, MS. ESHOO, MS. TTUS, MS. LEVIN of California, MS. TAKANO, MS. BARRAGAN, MS. BROWN, MR. SHEARD, MR. VILLA, and MS. CHU):

H.R. 1015. A bill to establish a grant program for the funding of water recycling and reuse projects; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. NEGUSE (for himself, MR. JOYCE of Ohio, and MR. COHEN):

H.R. 1016. A bill to establish an Animal Cruelty Crimes Section within the Department of Justice’s Environment and Natural Resources Division, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. NORTON:

H.R. 1017. A bill to prohibit the use of Federal funds for the construction and installation of permanent fencing around the United States Capitol, any of the Capitol Buildings, or any portion of the Capitol Grounds; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. PANETTA (for himself and MR. WENSTRUP):

H.R. 1018. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the differential wage payment credit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PANETTA (for himself, MR. BLUMENTHAL, and MR. THOMPSON of California):

H.R. 1019. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a credit for the purchase of certain new electric bicycles; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PHILLIPS:

H.R. 1020. A bill to establish the Innovation and Startups Equity Investment Program in the Department of the Treasury, through which the Secretary of the Treasury shall allow certain States to assist high-potential scalable startups access venture capital to commercialize innovations, create jobs, and accelerate economic growth, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. ROY (for himself, MR. TIFFANY, MR. DUNCAN, MR. BARNI, MR. WEBER of Texas, MR. VEGA of Georgia, MR. LEE of South Carolina, MR. MOORE of Alabama, MR. STEUBER, MR. BOS, MRS. GREEN of Georgia, MR. JACKSON, MR. WILLIAMS of Texas, MR. ROUZER, MR. PERRY, MR. McCLINTOCK, MR. BISHOP of North Carolina, and MR. BUD):

H.R. 1021. A bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to carry out a grant program under which the Secretary shall make grants to private entities for the provision of services to eligible post-traumatic stress disorder, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Mr. RUTHERFORD (for himself, MR. WALTZ, MR. LAWSON of Florida, MR. PAPPAS, and MR. STIVERS):

H.R. 1022. A bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a grant program under which the Secretary shall make grants to private entities for the provision of services to eligible post-traumatic stress disorder, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Ms. SCANLON (for herself, MR. NADLER, MR. CICILLINE, MRS. DEMINGS, MR. RASKIN, MR. CARSON, MS. LEE of California, MR. AGUILAR, MR. EVANS, MS. BROWNLEY, MR. JOHNSON of Georgia, and MS. JAYAPAL):

H.R. 1023. A bill to amend title 9 of the United States Code to prohibit pre-dispute arbitration agreements that force arbitration while on military installations; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SCHNEIDER (for himself, MR. PANETTA, MR. SCHNEIDER of Florida, and MR. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 1025. A bill to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to renew the application of the Medicare payment rate floor to private services furnished under the Medicaid program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. SCHRIER (for herself, MS. CAS-TOR of Florida, and MR. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 1026. A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act to require dispensers of certain opioids and opiates to affix on the container or package thereof a clear, concise warning that the opioid or opiate can cause dependence, addiction, and overdose, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. STEUBE (for himself, MR. CLOUD, and MR. MULLIN):

H.R. 1027. A bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to establish an authority to issue permits to certain members of the Armed Forces who seek to carry concealed firearms while on military installations; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. STEUBE (for himself, MR. WALTZ, MR. GOHMERT, and MR. GATTA):

H.R. 1028. A bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to revise and update the Department of Defense regulations to allow trademarks owned or controlled by the Department of Defense to be combined with religious insignia on commercial identification tags (commonly known as “dog tags”) and to be sold by lawful trademark licensees, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. STEUBE (for himself, MR. KEND, MR. SALAZAR, MR. SLOTKIN, MR. LANOYKIN, MR. WEBER of Texas, MR. JOYCR of Ohio, MR. NORMAN, MR. HICH of Georgia, MR. HINSON, MR. STUART, MR. WIGHT, MR. MEUSER, MRS. CAMMACK, and MR. MCCLINTOCK):
H. R. 1029. A bill to waive the application fee for any special use permit for veterans' special events at war memorials on land administered by the National Park Service in the District of Columbia and its environs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Ms. TLAIR (for herself, Ms. JAPAEL, Ms. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. NORTON, Ms. OCasio-CORTÉZ, Ms. OMAR, Ms. PRESSLEY, and Ms. BUSH): H. R. 1030. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Treasury to establish the Boost Community Programs to provide monthly payments to America consumers during the COVID-19 emergency to recover from the emergency, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. TORRES of California (for herself, Mr. VARGAS, Ms. MENQ, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. CARSON, Mr. COOPER, Mr. NOR’TONGE, Mr. CARDEÑAS, Ms. GARCÍA of Texas, Mr. CASE, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. JAYAPAL, and Mr. ESPIALLÁT): H. R. 1031. A bill to require the Attorney General to prepare and release an intelligence assessment on white supremacy in law enforcement, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WALBERG (for himself and Ms. CLARK of New York): H. R. 1032. A bill to address the workforce needs of the telecommunications industry; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. WELCH (for himself and Mr. NORMAN): H. R. 1033. A bill to authorize certain long-term contracts for Federal purchases of energy; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. WEXTON (for herself, Mr. JOYCE of Ohio, Mrs. BRATTY, Mr. COOPER, Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mrs. DEMINGS, Mrs. HINSON, Ms. NORTON, Mr. McGOVERN, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. KUSTER, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Ms. SKEWELL, Mr. SUOZZI, Mr. TAYLOR, Ms. WILDE, Mr. CARSON, and Mr. HASTINGS): H. R. 1034. A bill to require the Attorney General to issue guidance to law enforcement to respond to increased levels of domestic violence during the COVID-19 public health emergency, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. WEXTON (for herself, Mr. CROW, Ms. SALAZAR, Mr. ROUZER, and Ms. CHEN): H. R. 1035. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to include certain beverage manufacturing entities for paycheck protection program second draw loans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business.

By Mr. WILSON of South Carolina (for himself and Mr. DACEY): H. R. 1036. A bill to amend the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 to authorize rewards under the Department of State’s rewards program relating to information regarding individuals or entities engaged in activities in contravention of United States law in the Guantánamo Bay, Cuba, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. CLOUD (for himself, Mr. PALMER, Mr. ROVE, Mr. LAMALPA, Mr.POSEY, Mr. JORDAN, and Mr. BABBIN): H. J. Res. 25. A joint resolution disapproving the action of the District of Columbia Council in resolutions the District Council transmitted to the President in support of the Vaccinations Amendment Act of 2020; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. RESCHENTHALER: H. Con. Res. 16. Concurrent resolution urging that the International Olympic Committee rebid the 2022 Olympic Winter Games, and expressing the sense of Congress that the United States Olympic & Paralympic Committee should not participate if the Games are held in the People’s Republic of China and that the United States Government should lead an international boycott if the Games are held in the People’s Republic of China, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, and in addition to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. PELOSI: H. Res. 111. A resolution electing Members to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. ADAMS (for herself, Ms. HOULAHAN, and Ms. STEVENS): H. Res. 112. Approving the sense of Congress that February 11, 2021, be observed as the 6th annual International Day of Women and Girls in Science; to the Committee on Science and Technology.

By Mr. ABBINGTON (for himself, Mr. NEWHOUSE, Mr. WEEHR of Texas, Mr. ESTES, Mr. BAHN, Mr. JACKSON, Mr. STAUBER, and Mr. MANN): H. Res. 113. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States Postal Service should formally withdraw from the Paris Agreement; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. CONNOLLY (for himself and Mr. GRAVES of Missouri): H. Res. 114. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States Postal Service should take all appropriate measures to ensure the continuity of its service; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. ESPIALLÁT (for himself and Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois): H. Res. 115. A resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the insurrection at the United States Capitol involved acts of domestic terrorism, and condemning the insurrectionists who participated in these acts; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. GROTHMAN: H. Res. 116. A resolution recognizing the benefits of vitamin D intake for preventing respiratory infection and COVID-19 complications, and to encourage the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Food and Drug Administration to issue guidance on vitamin D intake for elderly and immune-compromised individuals during the coronavirus pandemic; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. HILL (for himself, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, Mr. SHIRMAN, Mr. CLENSHAW, Ms. POITIER, Mr. POCK, Mr. McGOVERN, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. SHRES, and Mr. TRONK): H. Res. 117. A resolution supporting Coptic Christians in Egypt; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. MCCINTOCK (for himself, Mr. SCHERR, Mr. HEMIUS, Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mr. LOUDERMILK, Mr. BAHN, Mr. CRESHAW, Mr. BILIKIN, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. FLEISCHMANN, Mr. RICH, Mr. GEOFRIA, Mr. GARTZ, Mr. GROTHMAN, Mr. FAST, Mr. MURSH, Mr. GRIFFITH, Mr. COHEN, Mr. BACON, Mr. RUIZ, Mr. CRANE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. LAMALPA, Mrs. CHU, Mr. PERRY, Mr. LUECKSTRESS, MRS. WAGNER, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. GREEN of Tennessee, Mrs. BROWNLEY, Mr. STEWART, Mr. AGUILAR, Mr. RIGGS, Mr. CARTWRIGHT, Miss RICE of New York, Mrs. WALORSKI, Mrs. LESKO, Mr. BUDZ, Mr. WALTZ, Mr. BURDETT, Mr. CURTNEY, Mr. WOMACK, Mr. STANTON, Ms. GRANGER, Mr. LAMBORN, Mr. STAUBER, Mr. ZELDIN, Mr. HARDER of California, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. BEGA, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. CLOUD, Mr. WENSTROPH, Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. JACKSON LEE, Mr. BUCHNER, Mr. FOREMAN, Mr. STEBUE, Mr. RUTHERFORD, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. BRADY, Mr. HUDDSON, Mr. LATTMA, Mr. ROSSIDES of Kentucky, Mr. BACHN, Mrs. GONZALEZ-COLON, Mr. VICENTE GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. LAHOOD, Mr. FERGUSON, Mr. ESTES, Mrs. RODDIE of Washington, Mr. KATKO, Mr. CLINE, Mr. KELLER, Mr. DIAZ-BALART, Mr. GUTHRIE, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia, Mr. ADERHOLT, Mr. CRAY, Mr. WAINWRIGHT of South Carolina, Mr. SMITH of Missouri, Ms. MILLIOTAKIS, Mr. GONZALEZ of Ohio, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. GUEST, Mr. BAHN, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. COSTA, Mr. DESJARDINS, Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. SCHWEIKERT, Mr. MOORE of Alabama, Mr. WILSON of Florida, Mrs. HARTZLER, Mr. EMMIR, Mr. FULCHER, Mr. MOOLENaar, Mr. NEELA, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mr. WESTBAM of Washington, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. ALLRED, Mr. HILL, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. PHILLIPS, Mr. NEWHOUSE, Mr. BROOKS, and Mr. AMONGA): H. Res. 118. A resolution expressing support for the Iranian people’s desire for a democratic, secular, and non-nuclear Republic of Iran and condemning violations of human rights and state-sponsored terrorism by the Iranian Government; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. MCKINLEY (for himself, Ms. KAPTRU, Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania, Mr. TONKO, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. RYAN, Mr. FITZPATRICK, and Mr. ALLRED): H. Res. 119. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States Postal Service should take all appropriate measures to restore service standards in effect as of July 1, 2020; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. MENG (for herself, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. HORSFORD, Mr. CARSON, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYKLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. SUOZZI, Mrs. KIM of California, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mrs. STEEL, Mr. SAN NICOLAS, Mr. TRONE, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. BEBA, Ms. CLARK of New York, Mr. Kim of New Jersey, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Ms. LEES of California, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. BOERIA, Mr. KAPTRU, Ms. JAYAPAL, Mr. NADLER, Mr. JEFFRIES, Mr. RASKIN, Ms. CLARK
of Massachusetts, Mrs. Ocasio-Cortez, Mr. Gomez, Mrs. Lee of Nevada, Mr. Takano, Mr. Kilmer, Mr. Connolly, Ms. Eshoo, Mr. Rush, Mr. Clawson, Mr. Payne, Mr. Espallargues, Ms. Royal-Alford, Ms. Norton, Ms. DelBene, Mr. Larsen of Washington, Mr. McGovern, Ms. Sánchez, Ms. Strickland, Ms. Spanberger, Mr. Case, Ms. Ross, Mr. Jones, Ms. Johnson of Texas, Mr. Danny K. Davis of Illinois, Mr. Peters, Mr. Schiff, Mr. Lieu, Ms. Schakowsky, Mr. Torres of New York, Mr. Bowman, Mr. Sherr, Mr. McGovern, Mr. Pallone, Mr. Stanton, Mr. Kafele, and Ms. Bourdeaux).

H. Res. 120. A resolution recognizing the cultural and historical significance of Lunar New Year in 2021, to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. Meng (for herself, Mr. Suozzi, Ms. Jackson Lee, Mr. Nadler, Mr. Khanna, Ms. Sewell, Mr. Grijalva, Mr. Lawson of Florida, Mrs. Carolyn B. Maloney of New York, Ms. Lee of California, Ms. Norton, Ms. Speier, Mr. Clark of Massachusetts, Ms. Wasserbauer Schultz, Ms. Escobar, Mrs. Watson Coleman, Mr. Torres of New York, Ms. Velázquez, Ms. Clark of New York, Mr. McGovern, Mrs. Beatty, and Mr. Bowman):

H. Res. 121. A resolution recognizing that the United States needs a Marshall Plan for Moms in order to revitalize and restore mothers in the workforce; to the Committee on Education and Labor, and in addition to the Committees on Agriculture, Ways and Means, and Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Ms. Scanlon:

H. R. 961.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Mr. Pascrell:

H. R. 962.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Johnson of Georgia:

H. R. 963.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Arrington:

H. R. 964.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

U.S. Constitution, Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Casey:

H. R. 974.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.

By Ms. Bass:

H. R. 956.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1 of the United States Constitution, providing—"All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives."

By Mrs. Beatty:

H. R. 966.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. Budd:

H. R. 967.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. Budd:

H. R. 968.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1 grants that "All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States . . . ." Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 grants that "The Congress shall have Power to . . . . Regulate Commerce . . . Among the several States . . . ." Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 grants that "The Congress shall have Power To . . . . Make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by [the] Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof."

By Mr. Budd:

H. R. 969.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Burchett:

H. R. 970.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Ms. Boustos:

H. R. 971.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Calvert:

H. R. 972.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. Carrajal:

H. R. 973.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Article IV, Section 3 and Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Case:

H. R. 974.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Casten:

H. R. 975.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Ms. Castor of Florida:

H. R. 976.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Connolly:

H. R. 977.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Office thereof.

By Mr. DeFazio:

H. R. 980.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 (relating to the power to make all laws necessary and proper for carrying out the powers vested in Congress).

By Ms. DelBene:

H. R. 981.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Deutch:

H. R. 982.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 1 of Section 8 of Article I of the U.S. Constitution and Clause 18 of Section 8 of Article I of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. Deutch:

H. R. 983.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

By Mr. Emmer:

H. R. 984.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.

By Mr. Foster:

H. R. 985.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. GARCIA of Illinois:

H. R. 986.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Ms. GARCIA of Texas:

H. R. 987.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Gibbs:

H. R. 988.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. GOLDEN:

H. R. 989.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. GOLDEN:

H. R. 990.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Good of Virginia:

H. R. 991.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3
By Mr. GOOD of Virginia:
H.R. 992.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3
By Mrs. GREENE of Georgia:
H.R. 993.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, United States Constitution
By Mr. GRJALVALA:
H.R. 994.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 & Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution
By Mr. HASTINGS:
H.R. 995.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 4 of the Constitution
By Mr. KEATING:
H.R. 996.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Under Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, Congress has the power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying out the powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or any Department or Officer thereof.
By Mr. KILMER:
H.R. 997.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (relating to providing for the general welfare of the United States);
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 (relating to the power to make all laws necessary and proper for carrying out the powers vested in Congress); and
Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 (relating to the power of Congress to dispose of and make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States).
By Mr. KIND:
H.R. 1000.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Mr. LATTA:
H.R. 1001.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. LATTA:
H.R. 1002.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. LEVIN of Michigan:
H.R. 1003.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York:
H.R. 1004.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York:
H.R. 1005.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York:
H.R. 1006.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York:
H.R. 1007.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York:
H.R. 1008.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Ms. MENG:
H.R. 1009.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution
By Mr. MOONEY:
H.R. 1010.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution, which gives Congress the power to make "all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof."
By Mr. MOONEY:
H.R. 1011.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This legislation makes clear that human life begins at the moment of conception and, therefore, the unborn are entitled to the same rights and protections afforded to all American citizens under the U.S. Constitution. In affirming human life begins at conception, the unborn are granted the right to due process under Section 1 of the 14th Amendment which explicitly states, "No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."
The Life at Conception Act allows for constitutional protection for the unborn that they not be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law" afforded under the 5th Amendment.
By Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin:
H.R. 1012.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina:
H.R. 1013.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina:
H.R. 1014.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution
By Mrs. NAPOLITANO:
H.R. 1015.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1, Clause 3, and Clause 18
By Mr. NEGUSE:
H.R. 1016.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Ms. NORTON:
H.R. 1017.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
clause 18 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution.
By Mr. PANETTA:
H.R. 1018.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, clause 18
By Mr. PANETTA:
H.R. 1019.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, clause 18
By Mr. PHILLIPS:
H.R. 1020.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18
The Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.
By Mr. ROY:
H.R. 1021.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. RUTHERFORD:
H.R. 1022.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. SCHRIER:
H.R. 1023.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Ms. SCANLON:
H.R. 1024.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section VIII.
By Mr. SCHNEIDER:
H.R. 1025.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
By Mr. STEELE:
H.R. 1026.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8
The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;
To borrow money on the credit of the United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Na-
tions, and among the several States, and
with the Indian Tribes;
To establish an uniform Rule of Natu-
ralization, and uniform Laws on the subject
of Bankruptcies throughout the United
States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof,
and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard
of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counter-
feiting the Securities and current Coin of
the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide the Progress of Science and
useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to
Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to
their Writings and Discoveries;
To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Su-
preme Court; and Offenses against the Law
of Nations;
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia
to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and
disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such
Part of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, to the States respectively, the Appointment of the
Officers, and the Authority of training the
Militia according to the discipline pre-
scribed by Congress;
To exercise exclusive Legislation in all
Cases whatsoever, over such District (not ex-
ceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession
of particular States, and the acceptance of
Congress, become the Seat of the Govern-
ment of the United States, and to exercise
like Authority over all Places purchased by
the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
By Mr. STEUBE:
H.R. 1028.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8
The Congress shall have Power To lay and
collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises,
to pay the Debts and provide for the common
Defence and general Welfare of the United
States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises
shall be uniform throughout the United
States;
To borrow money on the credit of the
United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Na-
tions, and among the several States, and
with the Indian Tribes;
To establish an uniform Rule of Natu-
ralization, and uniform Laws on the subject
of Bankruptcies throughout the United
States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof,
and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard
of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counter-
feiting the Securities and current Coin of
the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide the Progress of Science and
useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to
Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to
their Writings and Discoveries;
To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Su-
preme Court; and Offenses against the Law
of Nations;
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia
to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and
disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such
Part of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
By Ms. TLAIB:
H.R. 1030.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 1 of the Constitution.
By Mrs. TORRES of California:
H.R. 1031.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: The Con-
gress shall have Power To lay and collect Tax-
es, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common
Defence and general Welfare of the United
States; and all Duties, Imposts and Excises
shall be uniform throughout the United
States;
To borrow money on the credit of the
United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Na-
tions, and among the several States, and
with the Indian Tribes;
To establish an uniform Rule of Natu-
ralization, and uniform Laws on the subject
of Bankruptcies throughout the United
States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof,
and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard
of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counter-
feiting the Securities and current Coin of
the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide the Progress of Science and
useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to
Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to
their Writings and Discoveries;
To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Su-
preme Court; and Offenses against the Law
of Nations;
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia
to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and
disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such
Part of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
By Mr. WALBERG:
H.R. 1032.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for
executing into Execution the foregoing Powers,
and all other Powers vested by this Constitu-
tion in the Government of the United
States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.
By Mr. WELCH:
H.R. 1033.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: The Con-
gress shall have Power To lay and collect Tax-
es, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common
Defence and general Welfare of the United
States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises
shall be uniform throughout the United
States;
To borrow money on the credit of the
United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Na-
tions, and among the several States, and
with the Indian Tribes;
To establish an uniform Rule of Natu-
ralization, and uniform Laws on the subject
of Bankruptcies throughout the United
States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof,
and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard
of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counter-
feiting the Securities and current Coin of
the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide the Progress of Science and
useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to
Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to
their Writings and Discoveries;
To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Su-
preme Court; and Offenses against the Law
of Nations;
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and dis-
ciplining, the Militia for governing such Part
of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
By Mrs. WEXTON:
H.R. 1041.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8
The Congress shall have Power To lay and
collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises,
to pay the Debts and provide for the common
Defence and general Welfare of the United
States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises
shall be uniform throughout the United
States;
To borrow money on the credit of the
United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Na-
tions, and among the several States, and
with the Indian Tribes;
To establish an uniform Rule of Natu-
ralization, and uniform Laws on the subject
of Bankruptcies throughout the United
States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof,
and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard
of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counter-
feiting the Securities and current Coin of
the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide the Progress of Science and
useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to
Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to
their Writings and Discoveries;
To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Su-
preme Court; and Offenses against the Law
of Nations;
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and dis-
ciplining, the Militia for governing such Part
of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
By Ms. WEXTON:
H.R. 1035.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the United States
Constitution
By Mr. WILSON of South Carolina:
H.R. 1036.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for
executing into Execution the foregoing Powers,
and all other Powers vested by this Constitu-
tion in the Government of the United
States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.
By Mr. CLOUD:
H.J. Res. 25.
Congress has the power to enact this legis-
lation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8
To declare War, grant Letters of Marque
and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning
Captures on Land and Water;
To raise and support Armies, but no Approp-
riation of Money to that Use shall be for a
longer Term than two Years;
To provide and maintain a Navy;
To make Rules for the Government and
Regulation of the land and naval Forces;
To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress In-
surrections and repel Invasions;
To provide for organizing, arming, and dis-
ciplining, the Militia for governing such Part
of them as may be employed in the Service
of the United States, or in any Department or
Office thereof.
ADDITIONAL SPONSORS
Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors
were added to public bills and resolu-
tions, as follows:
The Senate met at 12 noon and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. LEAHY).

TRIAL OF DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

And if there is no objection, the Journal of proceedings of the trial are approved to date.

I would ask the Sergeant at Arms to make the proclamation.

The Acting Sergeant at Arms, Jennifer A. Hemingway, made the proclamation as follows:

Hear ye! Hear ye! All persons are commanded to keep silence, on pain of imprisonment, while the Senate of the United States is sitting for the trial of the Article of Impeachment exhibited by the House of Representatives against Donald John Trump, former President of the United States.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The President pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, for the information of Senators, it is my understanding the schedule today will be similar to yesterday’s proceedings. We will plan to take a short break every 2 hours, and we will accommodate a 30-minute recess for dinner, assuming it is needed.

The President pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of S. Res. 47, the managers for the House of Representatives have 8 hours remaining to make the presentation of their case.

The Senate will now hear you, and the Presiding Officer recognizes Mr. Manager RASKIN to continue the presentation of the case for the House of Representatives.

MANAGERS’ PRESENTATION—RESUMED

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Mr. President, thank you.

Distinguished Senators, Representative DeGETTE of Colorado will now show how the insurrectionists themselves believed that they were following President Trump’s marching orders.

Ms. Manager DeGETTE. My friends and colleagues, yesterday was an emotionally wrenching day. As I watched the footage of the violence in the Capitol Building, my own experience flooded back to me. I was one of the unlucky Members who was stuck in the House Gallery along with Congresswoman Dean.

As the House floor was cleared beneath of us of Members and staff, we could see the mob pounding on the door to the House Chamber. We could see the Capitol Police officers inside the Chamber pull their guns and point them at the intruders. Then we heard gunshots on the other side, and we flung ourselves down on the floor and removed our Member pins. Then we heard pounding on the very flimsy Gallery doors right up above us. Finally, after that situation for some time, we were told to run out of the door at the end of the Gallery.

As we ran through a line of police toward the staircase, this is what I saw: the SWAT team pointing automatic weapons at marauders on the floor. Looking at these people makes you wonder: Who sent them there?

In the next few minutes, I want to step back from the horrors of the attack itself and look at January 6 from a totally different perspective—the perspective of the insurrectionists themselves.

Their own statements before, during, and after the attack make clear the attack was done for Donald Trump, at his instructions and to fulfill his wishes.

Donald Trump had sent them there.

They truly believed that the whole intrusion was at the President’s orders, and we know that because they said so. Many of them actually posed for pictures, bragging about it on social media, and they tagged Mr. Trump in tweets.

Folks, this was not a hidden crime. The President told them to be there, and so they actually believed they would face no punishment.

The defense argued in their briefs, and they argued again here on Tuesday that the insurrectionists were acting on their own, that they were not incited by President Trump or acting at his direction. This is in their brief:

They did so of their own accord and for their own reasons, and are being criminally prosecuted.

But that is just not the case. It is not what the insurrectionists actually said. They said they came here because the President instructed them to do so.

Leading up to the attack, the insurrectionists said they were coming to DC for President Trump. He invited them with clear instructions for a specific time and place and with clear orders: Stop to fight—or to fight to stop the certification in Congress by any means necessary.

The crowd at Donald Trump’s speech echoed and chanted his words, and when people in the crowd followed his
direction and marched to the Capitol, they chanted the same words as they breached this building.

Now, let’s return to the speech for a moment. During the rally, President Trump led the crowd in a “Stop the steal” chant. Here is what that chant sounded like from the crowd’s perspective:

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

President TRUMP. (Inaudible.) And to use a favorite term that all of you people really came up with: We will stop the steal.

(People chanting: “Yeah.”)

(People chanting: “Stop the steal.”)

Soon after, the President barked as the crowd chanted, “Fight for Trump.” And when he incited the crowd to show strength, people responded: “Storm the Capitol,” “Invade the Capitol.” Here are both of those moments but from the crowd’s perspective:

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

(People chanting: “Fight for Trump.”)

President TRUMP. Thank you.

Unidentified Speaker. Yes.

Unidentified Speaker. Invade the Capitol Building.

We also have another perspective from this moment, online extremist chatter time as the people in the crowd shouted: “Take the Capitol Building,” as President Trump said, “Show strength.” Here is what that chant looked like:

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. Yes.

Unidentified Speaker. Invade the Capitol Building.

An analysis found that members of “Civil War” quadrupled on Parler in the hour after Donald Trump said, “Show strength.”

When the insurrectionists got to the Capitol, they continued those rally cries. Insurrectionists holding Confederate flags and brandishing weapons cheered the President’s very words:

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. (Inaudible.)

Unidentified Speaker. Fight. Fight. Come on, man. (Inaudible.)

(People chanting: “Stop the steal.”)

You heard them chanting “Stop the steal,” and as the crowd chanted at the rally, the crowd at the Capitol made clear who they were doing this for. They also chanted “Fight for Trump.”

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

(People chanting: “Fight for Trump.”)

And it wasn’t just that they were doing this for Mr. Trump. They were following his instructions. They said he had invited them, and, in fact, as we heard, he had invited them.

As one man explained on a livestream tape from inside the Capitol, “Our president wants us here. . . . We wait and take orders from our president.”

Footage from inside the Capitol shows when the insurrectionists first got into the building and confronted police, the mob screamed at the officers that they were listening to President Trump.

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. Stand down. You’re outnumbered. There’s a fucking million of us out there, and we are listening to Trump, your boss.

The insurrectionists argued with law enforcement that they wouldn’t even be fighting them because they believed that the Commander in Chief was ordering this. This was the person’s understanding.

When President-Elect Biden went on television that day to demand an end to the siege, one woman asked this:

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. Does he not realize President Trump called us to sieze the place?

The examples of these types of comments are endless. Don’t worry, I won’t play all of them. But it wasn’t just the words of the insurrectionists that proved that they did this in response to orders from their Commander in Chief. We can see this in the fact that they were not hiding.

One rioter, in a livestream at the Capitol said:

He’ll be happy. We’re fighting for Trump.

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. Let’s call Trump, yes. Dude, dude, let’s tell Trump.

Unidentified Speaker. Trump would be very upset.

Unidentified Speaker. They’d be like, no. Just say we love them. We love you, bro.

Unidentified Speaker. No, he’ll be happy. What do you mean? We’re fighting for Trump.

And, again, this was not an isolated incident. The individuals in this slide posted photos of themselves committing these crimes. Trump supporters who had breached the Capitol were taking selfies, streaming live videos, and posing. In fact, they wanted the President to know: “This is me!” In fact, you can see the person wrote on his own posting: “This is me!”

And if there were any remaining doubt, after hours of prompting, when President Trump finally told the insurrectionists to go home, only then did some of them begin to listen.

As you previously saw, at 4:17 p.m., Mr. Trump released a prerecorded video saying to the mob:

Go home. We love you. You’re very special.

Shortly after he tweeted this video, a few of the insurrectionists who had seen it could be claiming victory—heard claiming victory—and telling people to go home because of Donald Trump’s message and instructions.

You saw earlier the insurrectionist Jacob Chansley, who told someone:

We won the day. That’s right. Donald Trump has asked everybody to just go home.

You can look it up on his Twitter. He just did a video. It’s a minute long. He asked everybody to go home.

Even after the attack, the insurrectionists made clear to law enforcement that they were just following President Trump’s orders. They didn’t shy away from the crimes because they thought they were following orders from the Commander in Chief and so they would not be punished.

They were wrong. After the attack, there were dozens of arrests. These were Federal offenses, including assisting the police. Online law enforcement interviewed the people who were at the Capitol on January 6, they, once again, said it was because the President told them to be there.

Robert Sanford was seen in this widely circulated video throwing a fire extinguisher that struck a Capitol Police officer outside the building.

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

A witness told the FBI that Sanford said he had traveled to Washington, DC, on a bus with a group of people. The group had gone to the White House and listened to Donald J. Trump’s speech and then had followed the President’s instructions and gone to the Capitol.

For this, the insurrectionists didn’t just make this up. As Sanford’s lawyer explained:

You’re being told, “You gotta fight like hell.” Does “fight like hell” mean you throw things at people? Maybe.

The lawyer added that his client “wouldn’t have been there if it wasn’t for the president.”

Now, Sanford wasn’t the exception; he was the rule. In their statements after the attack, insurrectionists routinely echoed what they had said before and during the attack: They were there because the President told them to be.

Now, look, the lawyers who are saying that their clients were told to commit these acts by Mr. Trump, well, they know that putting blame on the President doesn’t exonerate their clients. They are just saying it, frankly, because that is exactly what happened.

Another Trump supporter who has been federally charged is Texas real estate agent Jennifer Ryan. Now, Ms. Ryan has given many TV interviews in which she says she was only doing what the President asked her and others to do. She also recorded video before the rally talking about the mob’s plans for violence, and here is what she said.

(Text of video presentation.)

Ms. RYAN. Personally, I do not feel a sense of shame or guilt from my heart for what I was doing. I thought I was following my President. I thought I was following what we were called to do. He asked us to fly there. He asked us to be there. So I was doing what he asked us to do.

Ultimately, yes, we were going in solidarity with President Trump. President Trump requested that we be in DC on the 6th, so this was our way of going and stopping.

If it comes down to work—guess what—I’m going to be there. We’re all going to be up
This man, who ran through our halls, who ran into this Chamber, who sat right there on the dais, and who wrote a note for Vice President Pence that he was coming for him—and those with him declared they would remove us and put one of Donald Trump. Now he is saying he would not have done any of that if Mr. Trump had told him not to.

Chansley is not alone in his postarrest confession that he was following orders of Donald Trump. As more and more of these people have been charged, the confession and the regret simply cascade. More and more insurrectionists are admitting that they came at Trump’s direction.

When Riley June Williams, known for allegedly helping steal a laptop from Speaker Pelosi’s office, appeared in court on January 21, her lawyer said to the judge: “It is regrettable that Ms. Williams took the President’s bait and went inside the Capitol.”

Troy Smocks, who was in the Capitol riot on January 6, posted online that day: “[T]oday President Trump told Us to ‘fight like hell.’” He also posted that the President “said that Our cause was a matter of national security.”

Samuel Fisher was charged with disorderly conduct and illegally being in the Capitol on January 6. That day, before the attack on this building, he wrote on his website: “Trump just needs to fire the bat signal . . .” and “then the pain comes.”

The lawyer for Dominic Pezzola, a leader of the Proud Boys, who was the first person to break through the first police barrier at the Capitol, said that President Trump effectively told his client and others: “People of the country, come on down, let people know what you think. (The) logical thinking was, ‘He invited us down.’”

Pezzola’s lawyer went on: “These were people acting in a way they have never acted before, and it begs the question, ‘Who lit the fuse?’”

On January 6, we know who lit the fuse. Furthermore, he chose insurrectionists to come to the Capitol and stop the steal. And they did come to the Capitol, and they tried to stop the certification. They came because he told them to. And they did stop our proceedings, but only temporarily, because he told them the same.

Have you noticed, throughout this presentation, the uncanny similarity, over and over and over again, of what all these people are saying? They said it, Donald Trump said it, and the Proud Boys, and the Proud Boys, and the Proud Boys, each one after the other, stood back and stood by. Stop the steal. Fight like hell. Trump sent us. We are listening to Trump.

The riots that day left at least 7 people dead; more than 150 people injured; Members, Senators, and our staffs all traumatized to this day; damage and pain to our Capitol; damage and pain to Americans; damage to our police force; and damage to other nations who have always seen us as a bastion of democracy.

All of these people who have been arrested and charged, they are being held accountable for their actions. Their leader, the man who incited them, must be held accountable as well. But, as I said earlier, you don’t have to take my word for it that the insurrectionists acted at Donald Trump’s direction. They said so. They were invited here. They were invited by the President of the United States.

(Video presentation of 1–6–2021.)

Unidentified Speaker. We were invited here. We were invited. Hey, we were invited. Hey, we were invited. Hey, we were invited. We were invited by the President of the United States.

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Senators, Representative DEGETTE just showed how the insurrectionists believed and understood themselves to be following President Trump’s marching orders. She explained in chilling detail how they were acting in perfect alignment with his political instructions and his explicit strategy to retain power.

They did what they were told to do. This pro-Trump insurrection did not spring into life out of thin air. We saw how Trump spent months cultivating America’s most dangerous extremist groups. We saw how he riled them up with corrosive lies and violent rhetoric, and how much so that they were ready and eager for their most dangerous mission, invalidating the will of the people to keep Donald Trump in office.

We must remember that this was not the first time Donald Trump had inflamed and incited violence. We have never acted before, and it begs the question: How many times would it result in violence not only because of the thousands of violent messages that were posted all over the forums and the widespread news of preparations for violence among extremist groups and his communications on Twitter with the insurrectionists themselves; he knew it also because he had seen many of the exact same groups he was mobilizing participate in extremist violence before. Moreover, he had seen clearly how his own incitement of violence in praise after the violence took place galvanized, encouraged, and electrified these extremist followers. These tactics were road-tested.

January 6 was a culmination of the President’s actions, not an aberration from them. The insurrection was the most violent and dangerous episode—so far—in Donald Trump’s continuing pattern and practice of inciting violence. But I emphasize “so far.”

On January 6, Congresswoman PLASKETT showed several episodes of Trump’s incitement that took place during the Presidential election. But his encouragement of violence against other public officials who he thought had crossed him long predates the 2020 campaign.

The incitement of violence is always dangerous, but it is uniquely intolerable when done by the President of the United States of America. But that became the norm.

On President Trump’s watch, White supremacists and extremist groups have spread like wildfire across the land. His own Department of Homeland
Security called homegrown terrorism the No. 1 threat facing Americans today. But no matter how many people inside and outside government begged him to condemn extreme elements promoting violence and, indeed, civil war in America and race war in America, he just ignored it, and that is because he wanted to incite and provoke their violence for his own political gain and for his own strategic objectives.

Ever since he became President, Trump revealed what he thought of political opposition for his side. He praised it, and he encouraged it.

Right now, I am going to play for you just a few clips from over the years when the President’s words successfully incited his supporters into assaulting his opponents.

(Text of video presentation.)

(People chanting: “U.S.A.”)

President TRUMP. See, the first group, I was nice: Oh, take your time. The second group, I was OK: Just knock the hell out of them. The third group, I’ll be a little more violent. And the fourth group, I’ll say: Get the hell out of here.

I said: Get him the hell out of here, will you, please? Get him out of here. Throw him out.

I get a little notice—in case you see the security guys, they are wonderful security guys. They told Mr. Trump, there may be somebody with tomatoes in the audience.

So if you see somebody getting ready to throw a tomato, knock the crap out of them, would you? Seriously, OK? Just knock the hell—I promise you, I will pay for the legal fees. I promise.

Well, we have seen these clips and many, many more like them before, but think about the brutal power and effectiveness of his words with his followers. You heard him. He told his supporters to be a little more violent, and they responded to his command by literally dragging a protester across the floor at one of his campaign rallies.

He said: Get him the hell out of here. Throw him out.

His supporters punched and kicked another protester as he was escorted from the hall. He told his supporters to knock the hell out of people who opposed him and promised to pay the legal fees of the assailants.

Time after time, he encouraged violence. His supporters listened, and they got the message. But it wasn’t just Trump’s encouragement of violence that conditioned his supporters to participate in this insurrection on January 6: it was also his explicit sanctioning of the violence after it took place.

Let’s watch some of those incidents, beginning with Trump praising supporters who assaulted a Black protester.

(Text of video presentation.)

President TRUMP. Lying Ted Cruz.

But we’ve had a couple that were really violent. And the particular one, when I said I would push him, that was a very vicious—you know, it was a guy who was swinging—very loud and then started swinging at the audience. And you know what? The attack. And I thought it was very, very appropriate. He was swinging, he was hitting people, and the audience hit back. And that is what we need a little bit more of.

Unidentified Speaker. We will talk to you about that later.

Unidentified Speaker. Yep. There’s not going to be time.

Unidentified Speaker. I am sick and tired of you guys. The last time you came here you did the same thing. Get the hell out of here.

Unidentified Speaker. You suck.

Unidentified Speaker. Get the hell out of here.

Unidentified Speaker. The last guy did the same thing. Are you the guardian?

Unidentified Speaker. Yes, and you just broke my glasses.

Unidentified Speaker. The last guy did the same damn thing.

Unidentified Speaker. You just body-slammed me and broke my glasses.

President TRUMP. Greg is smart. And, by the way, never wrestle him. Do you understand that? Never.

Any guy that can do a body slam, he is my kind—

(people chanting: “Jews will not replace us.”)

Unidentified Speaker. What the fuck, you faggots.

Unidentified Speaker. You policemen are all cowards. You betrayed us. The police have betrayed the people.

(people chanting: “Lock her up.”)

As the video shows, these militant protesters showed up ready to take a violent stand. They came armed and tightly packed themselves into the building with no regard, of course, for social distancing.

This Trump-inspired mob may indeed look familiar to you: Confederate battle flags, MAGA hats, weapons, and even Army gear—just like the insurrectionists who showed up and invaded this Chamber on January 6.

The siege of the Michigan State House was effectively a State-level dress rehearsal for the siege of the U.S. Capitol that Trump incited on January 6. It was a preview of the coming insurrection.

President Trump’s response to these two events was strikingly similar. Following the armed siege in Lansing, President Trump refused to condemn the attacks on the Michigan Capitol or denounce the violent lawbreakers. Instead, he did just the opposite. He
The men in Michigan even considered building Molotov cocktails to disarm police vehicles and attempted to construct their own IEDs—something that actually happened here on January 6. Police authorities arrested extremists who had weapons and materials to build explosives, including one man found with an assault rifle and enough materials to make 11 Molotov cocktails.

On September 17, 2020, one of the Michigan conspiracists posted: "When the time comes there will be no need to try and strike fear through presence. The fear will be manifested through bullets."

And what did Donald Trump do as President of the United States to defend one of our Nation’s Governors against a plotted kidnapping by violent insurrectionists? Did he publicly condemn violent domestic extremists who hoped and planned to launch a civil war in America? No, not at all. He further inflamed them by continuing to attack the Governor who was the object of their hatred in this kidnapping conspiracy.

The very night this kidnapping became public and the Governor Whitmer learned that there were 13 men preparing to kidnap and likely kill her, Trump did not condemn the violence. He did not criticize the extremists. He didn’t even check on Governor Whitmer’s safety. He chose to vilify Governor Whitmer again and then, amazingly, took credit for foiling the plot against her, demanding her gratitude, and then quickly, of course, changed the subject to antifa. He tweeted: "Governor Whitmer . . . has done a terrible job."

He demanded that she thank him for the law enforcement operation that had foiled the kidnapping conspiracy that had been encouraged by his rhetoric.

On October 17, a little over a week after these people were arrested for preparing to kidnap Gretchen Whitmer, Donald Trump riled the boisterous crowd in Muskegon with more personal attacks on Whitmer, driving the crowd to chant: "Lock her up. Lock her up."

He had now seen that some of his followers were prepared to engage in criminal violence with orchestrated attacks, deadly weapons, and willing bodies to storm a State capitol building to attack his perceived political enemies, and so as the crowd chanted "Lock her up," he pivoted to his next goal. He told them they couldn’t trust the Governor to administer fair elections in Michigan. He used the crowd that he knew would readily engage in violence to prepare his followers for his next and, of course, his paramount political objective: claiming the election was stolen and inciting insurrectionary action.

He did it again on October 27 during a prelection rally speech in Lansing, MI, where the capitol had been stormed. Trump openly joked with the crowd about critics saying his words had provoked the violent plot against Governor Whitmer. Check it out. It is telling.

(Text of video presentation.)

President TRUMP. We got to get her out. I don’t think she likes me too much. (People chanting: “Lock her up.”)

President TRUMP. See, I don’t comment to that because every time, if I make just even a little bit of a nod—"The President led them on." No, I don’t have to lead you on. Even a little nod, they say: “The President said.” Your Governor, at the urging of her husband, who has abused our system very badly—the only man allowed in the State of Michigan—the only man allowed to go sailing in her husband’s Yacht. No, your Governor—I don’t think she likes me too much.

Hey, hey, hey, I’m the one. It was our people that helped her out with her problem. I mean, we have to see if it is a problem, right? People are entitled to say maybe it was a problem, maybe it wasn’t. It was our people—my people—our people that helped her out.

So President Trump offered them a little winking inside joke about his constant incitement of the mob and how much can actually be communicated by him with just a little nod—just a little nod.

He presided over another pounding, rhythmic rendition of his trademark chant: “Lock her up. Lock her up.” Then, referring to the FBI’s foiling of the kidnapping conspiracy, which was donald serious, he said that he helped her out with a problem.

(Text of video presentation.)

Maybe it was a problem; maybe it wasn’t. We will have to see.

Maybe it was a problem; maybe it wasn’t.

The President of the United States of America—he could not bring himself to publicly oppose a kidnapping and potential assassination conspiracy plot against a sitting Governor of one of our 50 States?

Trump knew exactly what he was doing in inciting the January 6 mob—exactly. He had just seen how easily his words and actions inspired riots in Michigan. He sent a clear message to his supporters. He encouraged planning and conspiracies to take over capitol buildings and threaten public officials who refused to bow down to his political will.

Is there any chance Donald Trump was surprised by the results of his own incitement? Let’s do what Tom Paine told us to do, use our common sense, the sense we have in common as citizens.

If we don’t draw the line here, what is next? What makes you think the nightmare with Donald Trump and his lawmaking and violent mobs is over? If we let him get away with it and then it comes to your State capital or it comes back here again, what are we going to say?

These prior acts of incitement cast a harsh light on Trump’s obvious intent—obvious intent—his unavoidable knowledge of the consequences of his incitement, the unavoidable knowledge of the consequences of his incitement, and the clear foreseeability of the violent harm that he unleashed on our people and our Republic.
President Trump's lack of remorse and refusal to take accountability after the attack poses its own unique and continuing danger. It sends the message that it is acceptable to incite a violent insurrection to overthrow the will of the people and that a President of the United States can do that and get away with it.

That is why we have to hold President Trump accountable, to send a message that it is never patriotic to incite a violent attack against our Nation's Capitol. History shows that President Trump will not follow in Donald Trump's footsteps and get away with it.

So let's start with the day of the attack. On insurrection day, January 6, President Trump did not once condemn the attack, not even once. Even when he finally asked the violent extremists to go home, which was 3 hours after the attack began, he sent this video, and he ends it with, "You're very special. I love you."—We love you—and then 2 hours later, he tweets "Remember this day forever."

This is not a man who showed remorse, but it is more than that. After that tweet, it took him another full day to even condemn the attack itself. The very next day, President Trump was eerily silent, and then at 7:01 p.m., he releases a prerecorded video, and he pledges for the first time, nearly 30 hours after the attack began, acknowledges and condemns the violent mayhem that occurred. He said the demonstrators "defiled the seat of American democracy."

President Trump did not once condemn or accept responsibility. President Trump didn't do any of that. Why? Because he intended for what happened on January 6. And how do we know that? He told us.

On January 12, as President Trump was boarding Air Force One, headed to Texas—and you saw this video before—and I am going to show it again—he was asked by a reporter: What is your role in what happened at the Capitol? What is your personal responsibility?

This is his response:

(Text of video presentation of 1–12–2021.)

President TRUMP. I want to be very clear. I am a former prosecutor, and we are trained to recognize lack of remorse, but it doesn't take a prosecutor to understand that President Trump was not showing remorse; he was showing defiance. He was telling us that he would do this again; that he could do this again; that he is the one who President Trump wanted to get away with it.

On January 12, President Trump had seen the violent attack on the Capitol. He knew people had died, and his message to all of us was that his conduct was totally appropriate.

I want to be very clear. I unequivocally condemn the violence that
we saw last week. Violence and vandalism have absolutely no place in our country and no place in our movement.

President Trump, of course, needed to make that statement. He needed to unequivocally condemn the violence on the steps of the Capitol, but he also needed to mean those words. You saw Donald Trump tweet endless attacks—sometimes 108 tweets in a day—and in public speeches and across rallies, repeating words of “Fight like hell” and “Stop the steal and never surrender.” You know what it looks like when President Trump wants to convey a message. Forcefully, loudly, and repeatedly he does that.

This video, sent after a week of the attacks, was not that. We know that because, in this video, he again does not show remorse and does not take responsibility. He again does not acknowledge his role in the insurrection. He does not say in that video, for example, if you couldn’t hear that, what John Kelly said about President Trump was disgraceful.

Reports from the White House also confirm that President Trump believed he was “forced by the bipartisan furor” to give to the protesters, served only to fan those flames.

Mr. DeWine. President Trump’s continued refusal to accept the election results without being renumbered, one of the rigged election has stirred the fire that has threatened to burn down our democracy. This incendiary speech yesterday, the one he gave preceding the march, that he gave to the protesters, served only to fan those flames.

Mr. Scott. Seeing our Capitol, a symbol of democracy around the world, stormed by an angry mob was heartbreaking. And let me be clear. These actions were not patriotic, and these people are not patriots. The fact that these flames of hate and insurrection were lit by the President of the United States will be remembered as one of the darkest chapters in our Nation’s history.

One of the darkest chapters in our Nation’s history. Former members of the Trump administration, including for example, Mick Mulvaney, former Chief of Staff, is clearly saying that his conduct was totally unacceptable, un-American, undemocratic.

Mr. Mulvaney. I think everybody recognizes that what happened on Wednesday is different. You can go down the long litany of things that people complained about with Donald Trump, and I could probably defend almost all of them. Many of them were policy differences; many of them were stylistic differences, but Wednesday was different. Wednesday was existential. Wednesday is one of those things that struck to the very heart of what it means to be an American, and it was wrong.

Mick Mulvaney, President Trump’s former Chief of Staff, is clearly saying what we all felt—that January 6 was different. It was existential. It was wrong. It was un-American.

Mr. McMaster. There are many reasons for this assault on the Capitol, but foremost among them was the President’s exhortation which was the President’s sustained disinformation.

Mr. McMaster. We’ve seen a President stoking fears amidst these crises.

Mr. Tapper. Let me just ask you: Do you think President Trump has blood on his hands?

Mr. Bolton. I think he does. Look, I agree with Bill Barr. I think he did incite this mob. I think he had the clear intention of disrupting the electoral college certification and delay it to give him more time. I don’t think there’s any question about it.
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Yesterday, our country experienced a traumatic and entirely avoidable event as supporters of the President stormed the Capitol building following a rally he addressed. As I’m sure that many of you, this deeply troubled me in a way I simply cannot set aside.

Deputy Costello told his associates the attack was his "breaking point" and, he hoped, "a wake-up call.

These rebukes and resignations from President Trump’s own administration make clear that President Trump’s conduct was anything but totally appropriate. They also remind us that this can and must be a wake-up call.

As Representative FRED URESTI so eloquently put it, "[President Trump] expressed no regrets for last week’s violent insurrection at the U.S. Capitol. This sends exactly the wrong signal to those of us who support the very core of our democratic principles and take a solemn oath to the Constitution. . . . It is time to say: Enough is enough."

Now, no one is saying here that President Trump cannot contest the election. Of course, he can. But what President Trump did, as his former Chief of Staff explained, was different. It was dishonorable, it was un-American, and it resulted in fatalities. President Trump spent months inflaming his supporters, spread lies to incite a violent attack on our Capitol, on our law enforcement, and on all of us.

And then he lied again to his base to tell them that this was all OK, that this was all acceptable. And that is why President Trump is so dangerous—because he would have all of us, all Americans, believe that any President who comes after him can do exactly the same thing.

That is why lack of remorse is an important factor in impeachment, because impeachment, conviction, and disqualification is not just about the past. It is about the future. It is making sure that no future official, no future President does the same exact thing President Trump does.

President Trump's lack of remorse shows that he will undoubtedly cause future harm if allowed, because he still refuses to account for his previous grave crime against our government.

You know, I am not afraid of Donald Trump running again in 4 years. I am afraid he is going to run again and lose because he can do this again.

We are in an unusual situation because, despite President Trump’s claim that everyone thinks what he did was fine, so many have come out and spoken so strongly and passionately about what happened here.

I would like to highlight a statement by Representative ANTHONY GONZALEZ. He said:

The Vice President and both chambers of Congress and their lives put in grave danger as a result of the President’s actions in the events leading up to and on January 6th. During the attack itself, the President abandoned his supporters and many members asked for help, thus further endangering all present. These are fundamental threats not just to people’s lives but to the very foundation of our Republic.

And now I would like to show what Members of Congress said leading up to the most bipartisan impeachment vote in U.S. history, because I do want everyone watching, especially President Trump’s supporters, to see firsthand what I believe we all feel—that what President Trump did was not appropriate, that it was not American, and that it absolutely cannot stand.

(Text of Videotape presentation 1/7/2021.)

Ms. CHENEY. What he has done and what he has caused here is something that we’ve never seen before in our history.

(Text of Videotape presentation 1/7/2021.)

Mr. KINZINGER. All indications are that the president has become unmoored not just from his duty or even his oath but from reality itself.

(Text of video presentation of 1/12–2021.)

Mr. CATKO. The President’s role in this insurrection is undeniable. Both on social media ahead of January 6 and in his speech that day, he deliberately promoted baseless theories creating a combustible environment of misinformation. To allow the President of the United States to incite this attack without consequences is a direct threat to the future of [this] democracy.

After this trial, I hope you will come together and cast your vote and make absolutely clear how we, as a Congress and as a nation, feel about what Donald Trump did by convicting him, and to prevent this from being “only the beginning,” as President Trump said, and to deter future Presidents who do not like the outcome of a national election from believing they can follow in President Trump’s footsteps.

It is what our Constitution requires. It is what our country deserves.

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Representative DeGETTE wants to show how extremists were emboldened by the insurrection and planned to attack the inauguration.

Ms. Manager DeGETTE. My colleagues have showed you the overwhelming evidence of how President Trump’s conduct assembled, incited, and inflamed the mob. We showed how and why this attack, this violence, was not only foreseeable but preventable.

We showed that President Trump knew his conduct could and would result in violence, and when the attack occurred, he did not fulfill his duty as Commander in Chief and defend us. Instead, he was delighted.

Donald Trump incited a violent insurrection, and he failed to defend our Nation, our Capital, this Congress, and our law enforcement from the attack he incited.

Now I want to turn to the impact, the long-term harm of this conduct. My colleagues and I will walk through the breadth and gravity of this harm.

I would like to begin with the effect President Trump’s conduct had on our domestic security. We saw firsthand how Donald Trump’s conduct emboldened and escalated domestic violent extremists. These folks are known in the law enforcement community as DVEs.

These threats are real and are made worse by President Trump’s refusal to take accountability and his refusal to forcibly denounce what his own FBI identified as some of the most dangerous elements of our country.

Even as the attack was underway, he tweeted words of support to his violent supporters, and then, in his refusal on January 7, President Trump made it clear this was only the beginning.

(Text of video presentation of 1–7–2021.)

President TRUMP. And to all of my wonderful supporters, I know you are disappointed, but I also want you to know that our incredible journey is only just beginning.

And he was right. Unless we take action, the violence is only just beginning. In the aftermath of the attack, we saw a huge rise in threats from domestic violence extremists, including specific threats to the inauguration in DC, and also to all 50 State capitols.

Intelligence agencies confirmed that in addition to these specific threats, President Trump’s conduct emboldened the very same violent groups who initiated the attack and sparked new violent coalitions.

These groups believe that they are following his orders. They believe that their acts of insurrection and violence are patriotic.

Violence is never patriotic, and it is never American. It is not the Democratic way, and it is not the Republican way.

After the attack, the Nation’s top defense and law enforcement Agencies reported an increase in credible threats to the inauguration from Donald Trump’s supporters.

On January 13, 2021, a joint intelligence bulletin issued by the Department of Homeland Security, the FBI, and the National Counterterrorism Center found:

Since the 6 January event, violent online rhetoric regarding the 20 January Presidential Inauguration has increased, with some calling for unspecified ‘justice’ for the 6 January fatal shooting by law enforcement of a participant who had illegally entered the Capitol Building, and another posting that ‘many’ armed individuals would return on January 19.

The Agencies also made clear why these threats were escalating, especially regarding the inauguration. The report explained that a primary motivating factor was:

The shared false narrative of a ‘stolen’ election and opposition to the change in control of the executive and legislative branches of the Federal Government may lead some individuals to adopt the false belief there is no political solution to address their grievances and that violent action is necessary.

In other words, President Trump’s spreading of inflammatory disinformation about the election incited the very same violent groups who initiated the attack on January 6 and may lead to further violence.

Online, just as they did prior to the January 6 attack, Trump supporters
took to the internet to organize and document their desire and plans for future violence at President Biden's inauguration. And indeed, in the days shortly after the attack, several posters on extremist social media websites made their plans for violence.

They posted:

Many of us will return on January 19, 2021, carrying our weapons, in support of our nation's resolve, to which [sic] the world will never forget!!! We will come in numbers that no standing army or police agency can match.

We took the building once [and] we can take it again.

Other users, eager to participate in additional attacks, confirmed that they were waiting on President Trump's instructions about what to do next.

Referred to a future planned attack, a user on the online platform known as Gab posted:

I'd like to come do this, but want to know, does our President want us there? Awaiting instructions.

In fact, in the days leading up to the inauguration, multiple individuals—many, potentially, in an attempt to carry out the plots that I just previewed—were arrested in Washington, DC, including on serious weapons charges.

One of those men was Couy Griffin, the founder of Cowboys for Trump, who took part in the Capitol attack and was also arrested on January 17.

Here is what he said about his plans for violence.

(Text of video presentation of 1–17–2021.)

Mr. Griffin. You know, you want to say that that was a mob? You want to say that was violence? No, sir. No ma'am. No. We could have a Second Amendment rally on those same steps that we had that rally yesterday. You know, and if we do, then it's going to be a sad day, because there is going to be blood running out of that building. But at the end of the day, you mark my word, we will plant our flag on the desk of Nancy Pelosi and Chuck Schumer.

‘Blood running out of that building’—this building, the Capitol, where all of us are right now.

Now, the name Couy Griffin may sound familiar because he previously faced controversy for a May 2020 video, where he said:

Mr. Griffin. The only good Democrat is a dead Democrat.

Hear it from him yourself.

(Text of video presentation of 5–27–2021.)

Mr. Griffin. What I've come to the conclusion is, the only good Democrat is a dead Democrat.

Now, when he said this, President Trump actually retweeted Griffin and thanked him for that sentiment.

When Donald Trump retweeted this, he was no stranger to Griffin. In fact, in March 2019, over a year earlier, Griffin and Trump had spoken on the phone for nearly 30 minutes.

President Trump's conduct, without a doubt, made it clear that he supported Griffin. In fact, Griffin even said so himself.

As Griffin later said about President Trump retweeting his inflammatory comment about the dead Democrats:

It really means a lot to me, because I know that the president of the United States has my back.

Remember, this is a man who was here on January 6, who was arrested after threatening to come back here to make blood come running out of this building.

Threats like Griffin's have triggered a deployment of forces of the likes of which we have never seen. There were approximately 25,000 National Guard troops brought in from around the country to protect DC leading up to and on Inauguration Day.

As you know, many of those troops are still here.

Take a look at that.

These were scenes that played out all over the country. Five days following the siege on the Capitol, on January 11, 2021, the FBI revealed:

Armed protests are being planned at all 50 State capitols from January 16 through at least January 20, and at the U.S. Capitol from January 17 through January 20.

As a result, at least 21 States activated their National Guard in preparation for potential attacks. President Trump's incitement has reverberated around the country, prompting massive law enforcement mobilization in several State capitols, including in Washington, Illinois, Michigan, and Georgia.

This is what Donald Trump has done to America. This massive deployment of law enforcement has cost the taxpayers dearly.

We must uphold our oaths, as the leader of the United States has, to protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, and to ensure that the Government is under the control of the people of the United States, and not of the President of the United States.

As Griffin later said about President Trump retweeting his inflammatory comment about the dead Democrats:

It really means a lot to me, because I know that the president of the United States has my back.

Thank God there wasn't an insurrection sequel here on January 20, but look at the price we have paid—the price that we are still paying. It is not just dollars and cents. This Capitol has become a fortress, as State capitols have all across the country. Our constituents no longer have access to their elected representatives. Every Democrat and Republican, including people who came here on January 6 peacefully, is paying the price. And it is not just a loss of access; it is a dimming of their freedom. It is a dimming of all of our freedom.

We must uphold our oaths, as the tens of thousands of law enforcement officers have done in the wake of January 6, because if we do not, President Trump's mob stands ready for more attacks.

Now, this should be no surprise. Having a Commander in Chief who incites violence has given life to the existing violent groups he spent years cultivating and has inspired new coalitions among extremist groups who actually view January as a success. According to the FBI, President Trump's assemblage of his mob was particularly dangerous because “in-person engagement between DVEs of differing ideological goals during the Capitol breach likely served to foster connections which may increase DVEs' willingness, capability, and motivation to attack and undermine a government they view as illegitimate.”

In other words, they all got to talking to each other.

This bulletin by our own Intelligence Committee was also confirmed by concrete evidence. Rioters celebrated their roles in the January 6 attack on social media. They boasted about their success in breaching the Capitol and forcing Members of Congress and the Vice President to evacuate. Take, for example, rightwing provocateur, Nick Fuentes. The day before the Capitol insurrection, Fuentes said this on his internet show:

(Text of video presentation of 1–5–2021.)

Mr. Fuentes. What can you and I do to a State legislator besides kill them? Although we should not kill them. I am not advising that. But, I mean, what else can you do, right?

Fuentes was at the Capitol on January 6 and proclaimed the insurrection on a live stream as “glorious” and “awe-inspiring.” He later said:

We forced a joint session of Congress and the vice president to evacuate because Trump supporters were banging down and then successively burst through the doors.

Fuentes was not the only provocateur to revel in the violence. According to Mike Dunn, a member of the Boogaloo Bois—an anti-government movement whose adherents have lead multiple groups going to the Capitol—the Boogaloo Bois will be “working overtime” to capitalize on the January 6 riots and hope it will lead to more action. They said:

Just know there is more to come.

Proud Boys members were bragging about the attack on the Capitol. One post on the Proud Boys telegram channel said:

People saw what we can do, they know what’s up, they want in.

The leader of the Proud Boys himself sent the same message. Enrique Tarrio said the Proud Boys would be active during Biden’s Presidency. Tarrio stated:
You're definitely going to see more of us. Extremist groups are also boasting that the attack on our Capitol is a boon for their recruitment efforts. Three Percent Security Force leader, Chris Hill, says he has been contacted by several people interested in joining since January 6. As one expert who focuses on domestic extremism, Jared Holt, explained:

By all measurable effects this was for far-right extremists one of the most successful attacks that they’ve ever launched. . . . They’re talking about this as the first stab in a greater revolution.

As indicated by Mr. Holt, their perceived success has given them encouragement to continue and to escalate attacks. Intelligence agencies have also noted that these extremist groups will unfortunately be targeting vulnerable minority communities in the U.S.

A January 27, 2021, DHS bulletin warned “long-standing racial and ethnic bias, coupled with the notoriety of the sort that led to the January 6 attack,” “DVEs may be inspired to carry out more violence, including violence against racial, ethnic, and religious minorities and associated institutions, journalists, members of the LGBTQ+ community, and other targets common among some DVEs.”

These prejudiced elements could be seen, visibly, in the crowd that attacked the Capitol. Pictured here is Robert Packer. Robert Packer is an avowed White supremacist and Holocaust denyer who proudly wore that sweatshirt, which states “Camp Auschwitz.”

These prejudiced elements could also be heard. As you heard, the insurrectionists that attacked the Capitol on January 6 hurled racial slurs, including at Black police officers.

One officer described the trauma he experienced when the rioters seized the Capitol. He said:

I’m a Black officer. There was a lot of racism that day. I was called racial slurs, and in the moment, I didn’t process this as traumatic. I was just trying to survive. I just wanted to get home, to see my daughter again. I couldn’t show weakness. I finally reached a safe place, surrounded by officers, I was able to cry. To let it out. To attempt to process it.

These extremist groups were emboldened because President Trump told them repeatedly that their insurrectionist activities were the pinnacle of patriotism. Well, let today be the day that we reclaim the definition of patriotism.

Impeachment is not to punish but to prevent. We are not here to punish Donald Trump. We are here to prevent the seeds of hatred that he planted from bearing fruit, and other fruit. As my colleagues showed, this is not the first time that President Trump inspired violence, but it must be the last time that he is given a platform to do so. This must be our wake-up call. We must condemn it because the threat is not over.

President Trump refused to condemn this type of violence. Instead, over and over again, he has encouraged it. Our response must be different this time. We simply cannot sweep this under the rug. We must take a united stand, all of us, that this is not American.

Think back to August 2017, when a young boy was murdered during a White supremacist rally in Charlottesville, VA. Her name was Heather Heyer. Her mother’s name is Susan Bro. Ms. Bro has been a steadfast advocate for her daughter’s memory. In a 2018 interview, she expressed concern that people had rushed too quickly to reconcile without accountability.

(Text of video presentation of 8–11–2018.)

Ms. BRO. If you rush to heal, if you rush to “everybody grab each other and sing Kumbaya,” we’ve accomplished nothing, and we will be right back here in a few years.

“We will be right back here in a few years.” Those were her words in 2018, 3 years ago. Her daughter’s murderer, he was held to account, but our Nation did not impose any meaningful accountability on a President who, at the time, said that there were “very fine people on both sides.”

And, now, where are we, 3 years later? I would argue we’re not back where we were. I would argue things are worse. In 2017, it was unfathomable to most of us to think that Charlottesville could happen, just as it was unfathomable to most of us that the Capitol could have been breached on January 6. Frankly, what unfathomable horrors await us if we do not stand up now and say: No, this is not America, and we will not just express condolences and denunciations. We won’t just close the book and try to move on. We won’t just want to make sure this never happens again.

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Representative CICILLINE and LIEU will now come to the other types of violence listed, to the other types of violence listed, to the other types of violence listed, to the other types of violence listed.

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. President, distinguished Senators, you just heard from my colleague Manager DEGETTE how the conduct of Donald Trump created the threats to our security and emboldened violent domestic extremists.

I would like to now turn to the harm that was caused here, inside these walls, as a result of the conduct on January 6—the harm to us, to Congress, to those who serve our country, and to the constitutional processes as the Trump mob tried to stop the election certification process.

The attack on January 6 is one of the bloodiest intrusions into the Capitol since the United States was invaded in the War of 1812 and burned it to the ground. And you have heard in painstaking detail the President’s mob posed an immediate and serious threat to the continuity and constitutional succession of the United States Government with the first, second, and third in line to the Presidency. The Vice President, the Speaker of the House, and the President pro tempore were all to get together and face a common threat in the same location, and we have seen the first and the second were purposely targeted by these attackers.

These were not idle threats. The mob, as you recall, chanted: Hang Mike Pence.

(Text of video presentation.)

(People chanting: “Hang Mike Pence.”)

The charging documents show that the rioters said they would have killed Vice President Pence and Speaker PELOSI had they found them.

Dawn Bancroft and Diana Santos-Smith, two of the rioters charged in the attack, were caught on tape discussing the brutal violence that they hoped to inflict on Speaker PELOSI had she not been rushed out to safety. They said:

We broke into the Capitol. . . . We got inside, we did our part. We were looking for Nancy to shoot her in the friggin’ brain but we couldn’t find her.

Senators, simply put, this mob was trying to overthrow our government, and it came perilously close to reaching the first three people in line to the Presidency.

It wasn’t just the Vice President and the Speaker; rioters were prepared to attack any Member of Congress they found. Thomas Edward Caldwell, Donovan Ray Crowl, and Jessica Marie Watkins, three militia members, were also charged for their role in the attack. They discussed trapping us inside the underground tunnels.

The indictment quotes social media chatter with Caldwell:

All members are in the tunnels under [the] capitol seal them in. Turn on fires. All legislators are down in the Tunnels 3 floors down. Do like we had to do when I was in the Corps, start tearing out floors, go from top to bottom.

Never did any of us imagine that we or our colleagues would face mortal peril by a mob riled up by the President of the United States, the leader of the free world, but we did, all because Donald Trump could not accept his election defeat.

Trump chose himself above the people, above our institutions, above our democracy, above all of you. You know, we have heard Trump espouse for years now his “America First” policy. But his true North Star isn’t America’s well-being. It is not “Country First” like our dear departed colleague John McCain. No, his directive is Trump first, no matter the cost, no matter the threat to our democracy.

But each and every one of us in this room must agree on one thing: We can never allow the kind of violent attack that occurred on January 6 to ever happen again in this country.

In the immediate aftermath, we heard many disturbing accounts from
many Members of Congress about what they experienced that day. Here are some of the reactions.

Following the attack, Representative DUSTY JOHNSON expressed concerns that we had gotten to the point where so many of us had sown the seeds of anger and division.

(Text of video presentation.)

Mr. JOHNSON. (Inaudible), and there was some fear, to be sure, but overwhelmingly the emotion that I experienced was one of anger. I just didn’t believe that this was happening. I could not believe that we had gotten to this point where so many of us had sown these seeds of anger and of division, and we had a powder keg, and literally we were starting to see this powder keg light up, and it was—frankly, I was furious.

Representative JASON CROW compared the events of this day to his time in Afghanistan as an Army Ranger, something Senator REED knows something about.

(Text of video presentation.)

Mr. CROW. What felt like the Capitol behind me was something that I hadn’t felt since I was in Afghanistan when I was an Army Ranger. And to think that as a Member of Congress, in 2021, in the U.S. Capitol on the House side, preparing to fight my way out of the people’s House against a mob is just beyond troubling.

Representative PAT FALLON was humbled by his experience on January 6. He described the events as “surreal” as they unfolded here in the Capitol.

(Text of video presentation.)

Mr. FALLON. It was something that I just never thought—I just never thought I’d see this in the Nation’s Capitol and particularly in the House Chamber. It was surreal when it was unfolding.

Well, you know, what was interesting was the bravery and courage of some of my fellow Members. When we got to a point where the mob was hanging on the doors, and then all that kept them from breaching that—the Chamber itself was the doors and then some furniture that we had moved (inaudible) Capitol Police. And they needed to be augmented, and so Tony Gonzales, the new Representative, and Ronny Jackson and Troy Nehls and Markwayne Mullin stepped in, and we broke off furniture. Some of the (inaudible) giant poles, wooden poles, and we turned them upside down, and we were ready to actually have to street fight in the House Chamber. It was unbelievable.

Many Members that day wondered if they and their families would see their families again as the rioters breached the Capitol and they were outnumbered and trapped inside. They were calling loved ones to say goodbye. Representative DANNY KILDEE was one of them. Listen to how he described the impact of the riot on him.

(Text of video presentation.)

Mr. KILDEE. I was laying on the floor trying to, you know, (inaudible) myself sort of (inaudible). And, you know, (inaudible) we were outside, but this mob might come in, and that might (inaudible) identify Members of Congress. I called my wife, and, you know, it wasn’t till I heard her voice that I thought, wow, this is like one of those calls you hear about, and was pretty packed, and right about that point, I don’t know whether it was a police officer or somebody else said, “They are right behind us. Run.”

For me, what I keep thinking about—and, again, there isn’t a day that has gone by since January 6 that at some point in the day I haven’t kind of given back and picked up something little, but the sound of those window panes popping, I won’t forget that sound.

“Wont forget that sound.” How long will the sound of window panes breaking haunt this staffer? And he isn’t alone. There are countless people still living with the trauma of what happened that day. This includes, by the way, another group of people who were with us in the Capitol that day, and that is the press. They were in danger, particularly after years of being derided by President Trump as fake news.

Kristin Wilson, a reporter for CNN, recently tweeted about her experience.

She said: “I have 15 people on my team. We were scattered everywhere. Two of them were on crutches and couldn’t have run if they had to. They had to anyway.”

One was trapped in the House Chamber and had to crawl out to hide.

Four of us barricaded ourselves in a room off the Senate Chamber. Every bang on the door of them trying to come through I can still hear in my head.

The janitorial and custodial staff in the Capitol, the people who day after day tend to our home away from home, were also traumatized, but we don’t talk about them and the harm they suffered often enough.

One janitorial worker recounts how he was so scared, he had to hide in the closet during the attack. He said: “I was all by myself. I didn’t know what was going on.”

Another employee, a mother of three, said:

The insurrection shattered all my sense of security at work.

An employee of the Capitol said:

I hope nothing else happens because these people were talking about killing us, killing Federal employees, killing the police.

Another employee was afraid to work on Inauguration Day, saying:

I honestly fear for my life. I’ve got two children at home.

For many of the Black and Brown staff, the trauma was made worse by the many painful symbols of hate that were on full display that day. Insurrectionists waved Confederate flags and hurled the most disgusting racial slurs at dedicated Capitol workers.

Then, after all of that, these same workers, many of them people of color, were forced to clean up the mess left by mobs of White nationalists. One member of the janitorial staff reflected how terrible he felt when he had to clean up feces that had been smeared on the Capitol floor by the rower who had died, broken glass, and other objects strewn all over the floor. He said:

I felt bad. I felt degraded.

Let’s also not forget that this violent attack happened in the middle of a
global pandemic. Social distancing was impossible because we were hiding for our lives in cramped quarters for long periods of time. Since January 6, at least seven Members who hid with other Members of Congress have tested positive for COVID–19.

At least 38 Capitol Police officers have either tested positive or been exposed, and nearly 200 National Guard troops, who were deployed to our Nation’s Capitol to provide all of us protection, have tested positive. The Capitol Police and the National Guard came here to keep us safe, to serve. They put their lives in danger. They deserve better than this. We all did.

That brings me to the next harm. Now, all of us in this room made it out alive, but not everyone was so lucky. Three law enforcement officers tragically lost their lives as a result of the riot on January 6. These officers were Capitol Police Officer Brian Sicknick, Capitol Police Officer Howard Liebengood, and Metropolitan Police Officer Jeffrey Smith. All honorably served to protect and defend.

My colleague Mr. SWALWELL told you about Officer Sicknick, who was a 42-year-old military veteran who dedicated his entire life to public service. On January 6, he fought a mob of rioters as they streamed into the Capitol and ultimately lost his life protecting us.

Officer Liebengood was a 15-year veteran of the Capitol Police. His father served 17 years as Sergeant at Arms here in the Senate, and Officer Liebengood followed his extraordinary example of public service.

Officer Smith served 12 years with the Metropolitan Police Department. He headed the call of January 6 by coming to stand with Capitol Police to help secure our democracy.

Earlier, my colleague Manager SWALWELL showed you terrible videos of the police being physically abused and injured. A member who opened to Officer Fanone and Officer Hodges of the MPD, but there were scores of other officers whose names we don’t know who were also brutalized that day. Injuries to the U.S. Capitol Police and the Metropolitan Police Department were concussions, irritated lungs, and serious injuries caused by repeated blows from bats, poles, and clubs.

Capitol Police officers also sustained injuries that will be with them for the rest of their lives. One officer lost the tip of a right index finger.

In a statement issued on January 7, the chairman of the Capitol Police Officers’ Union said:

> 1 have officers who were not issued helmets prior to the attack who have sustained brain injuries. One officer has two cracked ribs and two smashed spinal discs. One officer is going to lose his eye, and another was stabbed with a metal fence stake.

In total, at least 81 members of Capitol Police and of 65 members of the Metropolitan Police Department were injured during the attack on January 6. Former Capitol Police Chief Sund described the insurrection as violent, unlike anything he had seen in his 30-year career in law enforcement.

DC Police Chief Robert J. Contee III, who had spoken with an officer who had been beaten and injured with a stun gun, said:

> I’ve talked to officers who have done two tours of Iraq who said this was scarier to them than their time in combat.

Of course, the physical violence is not the only thing that will have a lasting effect on our brave sworn officers. Trump’s mob verbally denigrated their patriotism, questioned their loyalty, and yelled racial slurs. They called them “traitors.” “Nazis,” “un-American” for protecting us.

For example, in our next clip, a rioter wearing a hunting jacket accosts a police officer.

(Text of video presentation)

Unidentified Speaker. Are you an American? Act like one.

Unidentified Speaker. Don’t yell at them. You have no idea what the fuck you’re doing.

Unidentified Speaker. Now once again (inaudible). Not one idea.

Unidentified Speaker. Stand up for America. Goddamn it.

Unidentified Speaker. Get the fuck out of here.

Unidentified Speaker. Don’t talk to me, motherfucker.

Unidentified Speaker. No, they work for us. Fuck them.

Listen to how the Trump mob talked to these officers. You heard that with your own ears.

(Text of video presentation)

Unidentified Speaker. Fuck you. Fuck you, bitch. Fuck you. Fucking traitors. You are fucking traitors.

Unidentified Speaker. You call me a motherfucker.

Unidentified Speaker. You are a fucking traitor to your country. You are a fucking traitor.

Unidentified Speaker. Yeah, traitor.

Unidentified Speaker. Fucking call me a (inaudible).

> “F-ing traitor”—so much for backing the blue.

Just a couple more examples.

(Text of video presentation)

Unidentified Speaker. Hand over your paycheck. Fuck you guys. You can’t even call yourself American. You broke your fucking oath today. 1776, bitch.

Unidentified Speaker. (Inaudible) pepper spray, officers.

> (People chanting: “Traitor.”)

> (People chanting: “Go home.”)

> (People chanting: “Trump.”)

> (People chanting: “Traitor.”)

They called law enforcement officers “traitors.” You have to wonder, who are these rioters sworn to? To their patriotism, questioned their loyalty, and yelled racial slurs. They called them “traitors.” “Nazis,” “un-American” for protecting us.

Black police officers were also met with racist vitriol. You heard Lead Manager RASKIN reference a Black police officer who was weary from racialized violence that he had experienced that day, saying:

> Tears just started streaming down my face. I said, “What the eff, man? Is this America?”

> “Is this America?” Lead Manager RASKIN asked: “Is this America?” What is your answer to that question? Is this OK? If not, what are we going to do about it?

These people matter—these matter who risked their lives for us. So I ask you, respectfully, to consider them—the police officers, the staff of this building—when you cast your vote. These people are in deep pain because they showed up here to serve, to serve the American people, to serve their government, to serve all of us. And I ask each of you when you cast your vote to remember them and honor their service to us and in service of them, as they deserve.

I also want to recognize that four individuals—four insurrectionists—also lost their lives during the attack. These people were led here by the words and actions of an individual who made them believe that they were patriots.

The loss of human life is, of course, the most consequential, but that was not the only damage brought that day. Trump mob and others defiled some of the most sacred places: Statuary Hall, the Rotunda, where some of America’s greatest champions, Presidents, Supreme Court Justices, civil rights heroes, and other defenders are honored after their death.

Trump’s violent mob had little respect for this place. This video shows the wreckage left in the Senate Parliamentarian’s office by the insurrectionists.

(Text of video presentation)

A bust of President Zachary Taylor was smeared with what appeared to be blood. An empty picture frame presumably robbed of its content was found on the floor. And videos of the insurrection captured one man stealing a framed photo, another one tearing a scroll from the wall and ripping it up and throwing those pieces on the floor. A sign paying tribute to John Lewis was also shamefully destroyed, and other broken pieces of the memorial was found on the ground next to a trash can. The photo of Mr. Lewis was gone.

The damage done to this building is a stain on all of us and on the dignity of our democracy.

The attack we saw had a purpose: Stop the certification. Stop our democratic process. Fortunately, they did not prevail.

Newspapers across America on January 21, the day after the inauguration, proclaimed:

> Democracy has prevailed.

President-Elect Biden said that in his inauguration speech. The headline was
Mr. Manager CASTRO of Texas. My colleagues discussed with you the many harms to our Nation as a result of President Trump’s conduct. Now I would like to spend some time talking about the harm to our national security and our standing in the world.

On January 6, when President Trump incited a mob to march to the Capitol, he led them to a building that houses some of our Nation’s most sensitive information. Consider who was part of that mob and individuals were on the FBI watch list. The past behavior of some individuals led here by President Trump so alarmed investigators that their names had been added to the national Terrorist Screening Database, and at least one of the insurrectionists may have intended to steal information and give it to a foreign adversary.

According to charging documents, Riley Williams allegedly helped steal a laptop from Speaker Pelosi’s office to “send the computer device to a friend in Russia, who then planned to sell the device to SVR, Russia’s foreign intelligence service.”

While we can’t be certain if or how many foreign spies infiltrated the crowd or at least coordinated with those who did, we can be sure that any enemy who wanted access to our secrets would have wanted to be part of that mob inside these halls.

The point is this: Many of the insurrectionists that President Trump incited to invade this Chamber were dangerous—people on the FBI watch list, violent extremists, White supremacists. And these insurrectionists incited by President Trump threatened our national security. Stealing laptops, again, from Speaker Pelosi’s office; taking documents from Leader McConnell’s desk; snapping photographs, as you saw in the videos earlier, in sensitive areas; rifling through your desks.

The President of the United States, the Commander in Chief, knew the risk of anyone reaching the Capitol. He swore an oath to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution. And yet, he incited them here to break into the Capitol.

Senators, as you all know, we have spent trillions of dollars building the strongest military in the world and billions of dollars of most sophisticated weaponry on the planet to prevent the kind of attack that occurred at this Capitol on January 6. Here is what the insurrectionists incited by President Trump did.

Unidentified Speaker. Hey, let’s take a seat, people. Let’s take a seat.

Unidentified Speaker. You are Nancy Pelosi.

Unidentified Speaker. Let’s vote on some shit.

Unidentified Speaker. Oh, my God. We did this shit. We took this shit.

Unidentified Speaker. She’s in the House. The House is empty.

Unidentified Speaker. I want to just get a snap of that.

Unidentified Speaker. Yeah, take a picture.

In many ways, this room is sacred and so are the traditions that it represents. They have been carried on for centuries. Congress has declared war 11 times on this floor, including entering World War II—where Congress passed the Civil Rights Act and expanded the right to vote so that no matter your race or your gender, you have a voice in our Nation.

This floor is where history has been made. And now, our intelligence agencies and law enforcement agencies have the burden to figure out exactly what was stolen, taken, ransacked, and compromised.

As acting U.S. Attorney Michael Sherwin explained, “Materials were stolen, and we have to identify what was done, mitigate that, and it could have potential national security equities.”

These investigations are necessary now because of the actions of President Trump. And it wasn’t just the people that he led here the intelligence agencies have to look into, it is also what they took and what they gathered, and it was the very fact that this building, with so much sensitive information and some classified information, that this Capitol was breached.

Think about it. Every foreign adversary considering attacking this building got to watch a dress rehearsal, and they saw that this Capitol could be overthrown.

As Elizabeth Neumann, a former Trump administration official, stated, “[Y]ou have terrorists who would love to destroy the Capitol. They just saw how easy it was to penetrate. We just exposed a huge vulnerability.”

And it is not just the Capitol, this attack has implications for all government buildings.

Senator RUBIO made this point well.

Mr. RUBIO. I’m a terrorist right now and you’re sitting there watching this, you’re saying to yourself, hey, it’s not that hard to get into the Capitol. Maybe it’s not hard to get into the White House or the Supreme Court building or somewhere else.

Our government, our intelligence agencies, and our law enforcement have implemented additional safety measures since the attack on January 6, but while we secure this physical space, what message will we send the rest of the world?

We already know what message our adversaries took from January 6. This is how some of them responded after the attack.

For America’s adversaries, there was no greater proof of the fallibility of Western democracy than the sight of the U.S. Capitol shrouded in smoke and besieged by a mob whipped up by their unwillingly outgoing president.

To make matters worse, our adversaries are even using the events of January 6 not only against America but to justify their own anti-democratic behavior, calling America hypocritical.
Here is what the Chinese Government is saying. The spokesperson for China’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs said the Capitol rioters “should spark ‘deep reflection’ among U.S. lawmakers regarding how they discuss the pro-democracy movement in Hong Kong, suggesting that by doing so the United States is hypocritical in denouncing Beijing’s crackdown in the city while it struggles with its own unrest at home.”

The Global Times, an outlet affiliated with the Chinese Communist Party, even tweeted a series of side-by-side photos of two events: the siege of the U.S. Capitol and a July 2019 incident in which pro-democracy protesters in Hong Kong broke into the city’s Legislative Council building.

“Think about that,” President Trump gave the Chinese Government an opening to create a false equivalency between Hong Kongers protesting for democracy and violent insurrections trying to overthrow it.

As Speaker of the House GALLAGHER described in realtime:

(Text of video presentation.)

Mr. GALLAGHER. If we don’t think other countries around the world are watching this happen, we don’t think the Chinese Communist Party is sitting back and laughing, then we’re deluding ourselves. So call it off, Mr. President. We need you to call this off.

Russia has also seized on this violent attack against our government, decrying that democracy is “over.” The chairman of the Russian upper house of Parliament’s International Affairs Committee said:

“The celebration of democracy is over. This is, alas, actually the bottom. I say this without a hint of gloating. America is no longer charting the course, and therefore has lost all its rights to set it. And especially to impose on it others.”

They are using President Trump’s incitement of an insurrection to declare that democracy is over.

In Iran, the Supreme Leader is using President Trump’s incitement of an insurrection to mock America. He said of the situation in the United States:

“This is their democracy and human rights, this is their election scandal, these are their values. These values are being mocked by the whole world. Even their friends are laughing at them.”

These statements are serious and pervasive. According to a joint threat assessment bulletin from the Department of Homeland Security, the FBI, and other law enforcement entities, “Since the incident at the U.S. Capitol on 6 January, Russian, Iranian, and Chinese influence actors have seized the opportunity to amplify narratives in furtherance of their policy interests amid the presidential transition.”

“We cannot let them use what happened on January 6 to define us, who we are, and what we stand for. We get to define ourselves by how we respond to the insurrection of January 6.”

Some might be tempted to say and point out that our adversaries are always going to be critical of the United States. But following the insurrection on January 6, even our allies are speaking up. Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau said:

“What we witnessed was an assault on democracy by violent rioters, incited by the president of the United States. As shocking, deeply disturbing and frankly saddening as that event remains—we have also seen this week that democracy is resilient in America, our closest ally and neighbor.”

The German Foreign Minister said:

“Closing ranks begins with holding those accountable who are responsible for such escalations. That includes the violent rioters and also includes their instigators.”

The world is watching and wondering whether we are who we say we are because when other countries have known chaos, our Constitution has helped keep order in America. This is why we have a Constitution. We must stand up for the rule of law because the rule of law doesn’t just stand up by itself.

After the insurrection, my colleagues on the House Foreign Affairs Committee, the chairman and the ranking member, issued a bipartisan statement that said:

“America has always been a beacon of freedom to the world; proof that free and fair elections are achievable, and that democracy works. But what happened at the Capitol today has scarred our reputation and has damaged our standing in the world.

Today’s violence—an inevitable result when leaders mislead the public—will certainly empower dictator and damage struggling democracies.

And that is true. For generations, the United States has been a North Star in the world for freedom, democracy, and human rights because America is not only a nation for many, it is also an idea. It is the light that gives hope to people struggling for democracy in autocratic regimes, the light that inspires people fighting across the world for fundamental human rights, and the light that can only shine in something larger than ourselves.

This trial is an opportunity to respond and to send a message back to the world.

I say this as somebody who loves my country, our country, just as all of you do. There is a lot of courage in this room, a lot of courage that has been demonstrated in the lives of the people in this room. Some folks have stood up for the civil rights of fellow Americans and risked losing their reputations, their livelihoods and their safety in standing up for civil rights. Many Members of Congress have risked their lives in service to our country, in uniform: in fighting in the jungles of Vietnam, in patrolling the mountains of Afghanistan. You served our country because you were willing to sacrifice to defend our Nation as we know it and as the world knows it. Although most of you have traded in your uniforms for public service, your country needs you one more time on January 6.

The world watched President Trump tell his big lie. The world watched his supporters come to Washington at his invitation, and the world watched as he told his supporters to march here to the Capitol. President Trump, our Commander in Chief at the time, failed to take any action to defend us as he utterly failed in his duty to protect, preserve, and defend the world’s greatest democracy. And while we are considering whether our constitutional Republic is going to respond the way it should, the way it is supposed to—whether the rule of law will prevail over mob rule. The answer to that question has consequences far beyond our own borders. The consequences to our diplomats and negotiators as they sit at tables around the world to enforce our agenda on trade, the economy, and human rights.

To fail to convict a President of the United States who incited a deadly insurrection, who acted in concert with a violent mob, who interfered with the certification of the electoral college votes, who abdicated his duty as Commander in Chief, would be to forfeit the example of our country as a North Star for freedom, democracy, human rights, and most of all, the rule of law. To convict Donald Trump would mean that America stands for the rule of law no matter who violates it. Let us show the world that January 6 was not America, and let us remind the world that we are truly their North Star.

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Representative NEGUSE and I will now address the First Amendment argument that is being offered by President Trump’s lawyers to try to excuse his incitement to this insurrection. Mr. NEGUSE will begin.

Mr. Manager NEGUSE. Mr. President, distinguished Senators, good afternoon.

You have heard over the course of the last several days that President Trump incited an insurrection, but, as Lead Manager RASKIN mentioned, as we prepare to close, we would be remiss if we didn’t just briefly address, apparently, the principal defense the President will offer to excuse his conduct, and that is this notion that he can’t be held accountable for what happened on January 6 because his actions are somehow protected by the First Amendment.

Now, let’s stop for a moment and try to really understand the argument they are making. According to President Trump, everything he did—everything he offered at a rally—was perfectly OK for him to do and for a future President to do again, and the Constitution, apparently, in their view, forbids you from doing anything to stop it. That can’t be right. It can’t be, and it isn’t right.

The argument is meant as a distraction. They are concerned not with the facts that actually occurred, the facts that we have proven, but with an alternative set of facts where President Trump did nothing but deliver a transformative speech at a rally. Of course, that is not what we have charged in the Article of Impeachment, and it is not what happened.
You will hear from my colleague Lead Manager RASKIN of the many myriad reasons why this argument that they make is wrong on the law completely, not just around the edges. They make major, fundamental mistakes of constitutional law, the kind that Lead Manager RASKIN wouldn't cut it in his first-year law course, which, of course, he certainly would know, as he has taught this subject for decades.

That explains why so many lawyers who have dedicated their lives to protecting free speech, including many of the Nation's most prominent conservative free speech lawyers, have described President Trump's First Amendment claims as "legally frivolous." Here is another quote from a recent letter from prominent free speech lawyers:

The First Amendment is no bar to the Senate convicting former President Trump and disqualifying him from holding future office. Their argument is wrong on the facts, wrong on the law, and would flip the Constitution upside down.

Let's start with the facts because, as you will see, his free speech claim depends on an account of what he did, of why he did it, that has no basis in the evidence. To hear his lawyers tell it, he was just some guy at a rally, expressing unpopular opinions. They would have you believe that this whole impeachment is because he said things that one may disagree with. Really?

Make no mistake, they will do anything to avoid talking about the facts of this case. That, I can assure you. Instead, we expect they will talk about a lot of other speeches, including some given by Democratic officials, and they will insist, with indignation, that the First Amendment protects all of this as though it were exactly the same. We trust you to know the difference because you have seen the evidence that we have been showing you. You have been, as we have proven over the last 3 days, that his arguments completely misdescribe the reality of what happened on January 6. They leave out every-thing that matters about why we are here and what he did.

President Trump wasn't just some guy with political opinions who showed up at a rally on January 6 and delivered controversial remarks. He was the President of the United States, and he had spent months—months—using the unique power of that office, of his bully pulpit, to spread that big lie that the election had been stolen; to convince his followers to stop the steal; to assemble just blocks away from here on January 6 at the very moment that we were meeting to count the electoral college votes, where he knew—where it had been widely reported—that they were primed and eager and ready for violence at his signal. Then, standing in the middle of that explosive situation, in the midst of that moment, he told a crowd filled with people who were poised for violence at his signal, he struck a match, and he aimed it straight at this building, at us.

You have seen all of that evidence. There is no denying it. That is why the House impeached him. That is why he is on trial. No President, no matter the party, who power-hungry and insul- tant to the Constitution would cut it in his first-year law course, which, of course, he certainly would know, as he has taught this subject for decades.

That explains why so many lawyers who have dedicated their lives to protecting free speech, including many of the Nation's most prominent conservative free speech lawyers, have described President Trump's First Amendment claims as "legally frivolous." Here is another quote from a recent letter from prominent free speech lawyers:

First Amendment protects all of this conduct just blocks away from here on January 6 at the very moment that we were counting electoral college votes as we were assigned to do by the 12th Amendment and the Electoral Count Act. He even attacked Vice President Pence at a rally for violating his oath of office and going along with an egregious assault on democracy.

Now he argues that the Congress is violating his free speech rights when it was Donald Trump who incited an insurrection as an attack against us, that halted speech and debate on the floor of the House and Senate during the peaceful transfer of power, and that imperiled the very constitutional order that protects freedom of speech in the first place along with all of our other fundamental rights.

The basic flaw, of course, is that it completely ignores the fact that he was President of the United States—a public official. He swears an oath as President that nobody else swears. In exchange, he is given greater powers than anyone else in the entire country—maybe on Earth. He or she promises to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States and our government institutions and our people.

And, as we all know, the power we entrust to people in public office, in such a high position—our Presidents—comes with special obligations to uphold the laws and the integrity of our Republic, and we all support that.

Now, what if a President publicly—say a President publicly and on a daily basis advocated replacing the Constitution with a totalitarian form of government and urged States to secede from the Union and swore an oath of loyalty to a foreign leader or a foreign government?

Well, as a private citizen, you couldn't do anything about people using those words to advocate totalitarianism, to advocate secession from the Union, to swear an oath of personal loyalty to a foreign leader or foreign government. You couldn't. That is totally protected. If you tried to prosecute somebody for that, as a prosecutor, you would lose.

But it is simply inconceivable, unthink- able that a President would do any of these things. To try and swear an oath to foreign governments or leaders, advocate totalitarianism, advocate secession, and not be impeached...
for it. It is just unthinkable that that could happen.

Would that violate their First Amendment rights?

The opposite view pressed here by President Trump's counsel would leave the 350,000 who respond to a President who would use his unmatched power, privilege, and prestige of his or her office—the famous bully pulpit—in ways that risk the ruin of the Republic, all for his or her own ambition and corruption and lust for power.

Everyone should be clear: There is nothing remotely exotic about what we are saying. It should be common sense to everybody—common sense—about this understanding of the First Amendment as it applies to public servants—cops, firefighters, teachers, everybody across the land.

My daughter, who I mentioned early in the trial, is a teacher in a public school. The courts have said teachers teach the Constitution. That is the Constitution that they are teaching. They are teaching about the importance of the First Amendment and about the consequences of the actions of public officials. The First Amendment affects people who take on that responsibility of teaching. It affects the President’s counsel, who in his opening argument said that the President did not violate the First Amendment.

And certainly in any discussion of the First Amendment, you can’t ignore the President himself. We have to ask: What can happen when the President himself engages in conduct that directly affects the public service of teachers?

You can’t ride with the cops but root for the robbers. If you can’t root for the cops but root for the robbers, you can’t root for the robbers. That is what Justice Scalia said, and when it comes to the peaceful transfer of power, to the rule of law, to respecting election outcomes, our President, whoever he or she is, must choose the side of the Constitution—must—and not the side of the insurrection or the coup or anybody who is coming against us.

And if he or she chooses the wrong side, I am sorry, there is nothing in this First Amendment or anywhere else in the Constitution that can excuse your betrayal of your oath of office. It is not a free speech question, because we shouldn’t overlook the fact that, while there were thousands of people in that violent mob, they represent a tiny, tiny part of less than 1 percent of the population, and the vast majority of the American people reject the kind of seditious mob violence that we saw on January 6.

But let’s be clear. The violence that this President has engaged in is not just a bedrock principle that nobody—nobody—can incite a riot. The First Amendment doesn’t protect it.

Key case? Brandenburg v. Ohio. There is no First Amendment protection for speech directed to inciting and producing imminent lawless action and likely to produce such action.

And for all the reasons you have heard, based on the voluminous, comprehensive, totally unfettered—and we think irrefutable—but we are eager to hear our colleagues—based on all the evidence you have heard, and for all the reasons you have heard, that definition of proscribable speech fits President Trump’s conduct precisely. This is a classic case of incitement.

And you don’t have to take my word for it. The 144 free speech lawyers, which Mr. NEGUŠE mentioned, who include many of the Nation’s most dedicated and uncompromising free speech advocates— unlike Mr. Trump, of course—but these people agree that there is a powerful case for conviction under the Brandenburg standard, even if the President of the United States were just to be treated like some guy in the crowd.

The First Amendment is no defense to the article of impeachment leveled against the former President.

And I mention the Brandenburg standard not because it applies here. Of course, it doesn’t. This is an impeachment. It is not a criminal trial, and there is no risk of jail time. Let’s be clear about that. The President doesn’t go to jail for 1 week, 1 day, 1 hour, or 1 minute based on impeachment and conviction and disqualification from further office.

Rather, I mention it to emphasize that absolutely nobody in America would be protected by the First Amendment if they did all the things that Donald Trump did. Nobody made Donald Trump run for President and swear an oath to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution on January 20, 2017. But when he did, by virtue of swearing that oath and entering this office, he is himself a duty to affirm and take care that our laws would be faithfully executed under his leadership—all of the laws, the laws against Federal destruction of property, all of the laws. We expected him in everything he said and everything he did to protect and preserve and defend our constitutional system, including the separation of powers. But, instead, he betrayed us, and as Representative CHENEY said, it was the greatest betrayal of a Presidential oath in the history of the United States of America—the greatest.

As I mentioned yesterday, President Trump is not even close to the proverbial citizen who falsely shouts “fire” in a crowded theater. He is like the now proverbial municipal fire chief who incites a mob to go set the theater on fire, and not only refuses to put out the fire but encourages the mob to keep going as the blaze of the Constitution is being consumed.

We would hold that fire chief accountable. We would forbid him from that job ever again, and that is exactly what must happen here.

There are hundreds of millions of citizens who can be President. Donald Trump has disqualified himself, and you must disqualify him too. Just like the fire chief who sends the mob, President Trump perverted his office by attacking the very Constitution he was sworn to uphold. In fact, that is one reason why this free speech rhetoric at this trial is so insidious. His conduct represented the most devastating and dangerous assault by a President on the Constitution, including the First Amendment, in living memory. We wouldn’t have free speech or any of the rights if we didn’t have the rule of law and peaceful transfer of power and a democracy where the outcome of the election is accepted by the candidate who lost. We had it all the way up until 2020.

And the central purposes of the First Amendment are democratic self-governance and civic virtue—two purposes that President Trump sought to undermine, not advance, in the course of his conduct as we have definitively demonstrated at this trial.

The violence he incited threatened all of our freedoms. The violence he incited threatened the very constitutional order that protects free speech, due process, religious freedom, the right to vote, equal protection, and the many other fundamental rights that we all treasure and cherish as citizens of the United States.

The First Amendment does not create some superpower immunity from impeachment for a President who attacks the Constitution, in deed, while rejecting the outcome of an election he happened to lose. In fact, any President Trump’s conduct was an assault on the First Amendment and equal protection rights that millions of Americans exercised when they voted last year, often under extraordinarily difficult and arduous circumstances.

Remember, the First Amendment protects the right of the people to speak about the great issues of our day, to debate during elections, and then to participate in politics by selecting the people who will be our leaders.

And remember, in American democracy, those of us who aspire and attain the public office are nothing but the servants of the people—nothing. Not the masters of the people—we have no kings here. We have no czars.

Here, the people govern, President Ford said—the people.

The most important words of the Constitution are the first three—“We the People.”
But all this—all this—means little if a President who dislikes the election results can incite violence to try to replace and usurp the will of the people as expressed in the States, ignore the judicial branch of government, and then run over the legislative branch of government with a mob.

President Trump’s high crimes and misdemeanors sought to nullify the political rights and sovereignty of the American people—our right as a people to deliberate, to form opinions, to persuade others to vote, and then to decide who our President will be—the sovereignty of the people. That is an attack on the First Amendment, I would say.

In addition, President Trump’s actions were a direct attack on our own freedom of speech here in the Capitol.

Members of Congress are sent here to speak for their constituents. That is why we have our own little “mini free speech” clause—the speech and debate clause. That is literally our job when we come here and represent the views of our people.

The attack that President Trump instituted forced Members of Congress to stop speaking and to literally flee for our lives of our staffs and our families. The man whose statements and actions halted the speech in Congress—speech related to the peaceful transfer of power—has no right, no right, to claim that free speech principles apply. The President is exercising his constitutional power to hold him accountable for his offense against us.

You know, Voltaire said famously, and our Founders knew it:

I may disagree with everything you say, but I will defend with my life your right to say it.

President Trump says: Because I disagree with everything you say, I will overturn your popular election and incite aurrection against the government.

And we might take a moment to consider another Voltaire insight, which a high school teacher of mine told me when her student asked: When was the beginning of the Enlightenment?

And she said: I think it was when Voltaire said:

Anyone who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities.

There is no merit whatsoever to any of the “free speech” rhetoric—you may hear from President Trump’s lawyers. He attacked the First Amendment. He attacked the Constitution. He betrayed his oath of office. Presidents don’t have any right to do that. It is forbidden so our republic may survive.

The people are far more important than that.

The precedent he asks you to create, which would allow any future President to do precisely what he did, is self-evidently dangerous, and so there can be no doubt—all that the President lacks any First Amendment excuse or defense or immunity. He instituted a violent insurrection against our government. He must be convicted.

And now I am going to call up Representative DEAN, who will explain why, contrary to the President’s claims, the House provided him with all the process that was due to him.

I am sorry, Mr. LIEU is going to do that.

Mr. Manager LIEU. Thank you for your time and your attention.

We all heard President Trump’s attorneys on Tuesday, and as part of President Trump’s efforts to avoid talking about his own conduct, to avoid talking about anything related to this constitutional crime, we expect that President Trump will raise due process objections.

His due process claims are without merit. Under the Constitution, the House has “the sole Power of Impeachment.” That provision confirms that the House functions as a grand jury or as prosecutors. The House decides whether to bring charges.

Now, on other impeachment cases, the House can provide certain deliberative and procedural privileges to the person being impeached, but those are exactly the privileges. They are disqualified. The House is the only body with the power to decide its own rules, how it wants to pass the Article of Impeachment, and in this case, the House debated the Article of Impeachment and passed it on a bipartisan vote.

I am a former prosecutor. I just want to add that I have had opportunities to decide whether to bring charges, and when you see a crime committed in plain view, prosecutors don’t have to spend months investigating before they bring charges. I know that in this case, in fact, hundreds of people have been arrested and charged by prosecutors for the violence on January 6. There was no reason for the House to wait to impeach the man at the very top that incited this.

I would also like to emphasize that the House had good reason to move quickly. This was an exigent circumstance. This was not a case where there was hidden conduct or some conspiracy that required months and maybe years of investigation.

This case has not raised very complicated legal issues. The gravity of the President’s conduct demanded the clearest of responses from the legislature. The House decided that the President was still in office at the time the House approved this Article and rumors of further violence echoed around the country. They still do.

There must be absolutely no doubt that Congress will act decisively against a President who incites violence against us. That is why the House moved quickly here, and President Trump, who created that emergency, cannot be here to complain that the House impeached him too quickly for the emergency.

Another point on the due process question: Earlier in this trial, President Trump’s attorneys suggested that the House somehow deliberately delayed the transmission of this Article of Impeachment. That is simply not accurate.

When the House adopted this Article of Impeachment on a bipartisan vote, we were ready to begin this trial but the Senate was not in session at the time.

And when we inquired as to our options, Senate officials told us, clearly, and in no uncertain terms, that if the Clerk of the House attempted to deliver the Article of Impeachment to the Secretary of the Senate before the Senate reconvened, that the Clerk of the House would have been turned back at the door. That is why the trial did not begin then—another reason why the President’s objections of due process are meritless.

Finally, let me just conclude that you all are going to see and have seen a full presentation of evidence by the House, and you are going to hear a full presentation by the President’s attorneys. You are going to be able to ask questions. The Senate has the sole power to try all impeachments. President Trump is receiving any and all process that he is due right here in this Chamber.

Mr. Manager RASKIN. Mr. President, Senators, in just a moment, my colleague, Mr. NEGUSE, will return to show that we have established, with overwhelming evidence, that President Trump engaged in high crimes and misdemeanors. Before Mr. DIAZ BALART comes up, though, I would like to emphasize what should be an uncontroversial point but is really key to understand.

If we have proven to you the conduct that we have alleged in this Article, then President Trump has indeed committed a high crime and misdemeanor under the Constitution. Incitement of insurrection under these circumstances is, undoubtedly, in the words of George Mason from the Constitutional Convention, a ‘great and dangerous offense against the Republic. Indeed, it is hard to think of a greater or more dangerous offense against the Republic than this one.

So to be very precise about this, I hope we all can agree today that if a President does incite a violent insurrection against the government, he can be impeached for it. I hope we all can agree that that is a constitutional crime.

Another key point: While President Trump’s lawyers may be arguing otherwise, the question here is not whether President Trump committed a crime under the Federal Code or DC law or the law of any State. Impeachment does not result in criminal penalties, as we keep emphasizing. No one spends a day in jail. There are not even criminal or civil fines. Centuries of history, not to mention the constitutional text, structure, and original intent and understanding, all confirm the teaching of James Madison, who wrote “that impeachments and offenses come not within the sphere of ordinary jurisprudence.” Simply put,
impeachment was created for a purpose separate and distinct from criminal punishment. It was created to prevent and deter elected officials who swear an oath to represent America but then commit dangerous offenses against our Republic. That is a constitutional crime.

And Senators, what greater offense could one commit than to incite the violent insurrection at our seat of government during the peaceful transfer of power—in circumstances where violence is foreseeable, where a crowd is poised for violence, to provoke a mob of thousands to attack us with weapons and sticks and poles, to bludgeon and beat our law enforcement officers and to deface these sacred walls and to trash the place and to do so while seeking to stop us from fulfilling our own oaths, our own duties to uphold the Constitution by counting the votes from our free and fair elections and then to sit back and watch in delight as instead of attacking us, taking a sacred oath and engaging in a profound dereliction and desertion of duty.

How can we assure that our Commander in Chief will protect, preserve, and defend our Constitution if we don’t hold him accountable in a circumstance like this? What is impeachable conduct, if not this? I challenge you all to think about it. If you think this is not impeachable, what is? What would be?

If President Trump’s lawyers endorse his breathtaking assertion that his conduct in inciting these events was totally appropriate and the Senate acquits Donald Trump, then any President could incite and provoke insurrectionary violence against us again. If you don’t find this a high crime and misdemeanor today, you have set a new, terrible standard for Presidential misconduct in the United States of America.

The only real question here is the factual one. Did we prove that Donald Trump, while President of the United States, incite a violent insurrection against the government?

Incitement, of course, is an inherently fact-based and fact-intensive judgment, which is why we commend you all for your scrupulous attention to everything that took place, but we believe that we have shown you overwhelming evidence in this case that would persuade anyone using their common sense that this was indeed incitement—meaning that Donald Trump’s conduct encouraged violence; the violence was foreseeable; and he acted willfully in the actions that incited violent conduct.

Mr. NEGUSE will take you through that evidence again—not the whole thing. We are almost done. We are almost done, but we don’t want it to be said that they never proved this or they never proved that because my magnificent team of managers has stayed up night after night after night, through weeks, to compile all of the factual evidence, and we have put it before you and we have put it before all of you in this public trial because we love our country that much.

Mr. NEGUSE will show you that we have proven our case and that President Trump committed this impeachable offense that we impeached him for on January 13 and that you should convict him. And when he is finished, I will return and explain why it is dangerous for us to ignore this and why you must convict, and then we will rest.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Manager NEGUSE. Mr. President, distinguished Senators, good afternoon, again. As my colleague, Lead Manager RASKIN, has mentioned, I know it has been a long few days, and I want to say thank you. We are very grateful for your patience, for your attention, and the attention that you have paid to every one of our managers as they presented our case.

As Lead Manager RASKIN mentioned, I hope, I trust, that we could all agree that if a President incites a violent insurrection against our government, that that is impeachable conduct.

So what I would like to do as we close our case is just walk you through why. Mr. Manager PLASKETT pointedly established that President Trump committed that offense.

Now, as you consider that question, that question as to whether the President incited insurrection, there are three questions that reasonably come to mind: Was violence foreseeable; did he encourage violence; and did he act willfully?

I am going to show you why the answer to every one of those questions has to be yes.

First, let’s start with foreseeability. Was it foreseeable that violence would erupt on January 6 if President Trump lit a spark? Was it predictable that the crowd at the Save America rally was poised for violence, that they would fight, literally, if provoked to do so? Of course, it was.

When President Trump stood up to that podium on January 6, he knew that many in that crowd were inflamed, were armed, were ready for violence. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.

We have showed you how this all began with the big lie, the claim that the election was rigged, and that President Trump and his supporters were the victims of a massive fraud, a massive conspiracy to rip away their rights. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.

We have showed you how this all began with the big lie, the claim that the election was rigged, and that President Trump and his supporters were the victims of a massive fraud, a massive conspiracy to rip away their rights. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.

We have showed you how this all began with the big lie, the claim that the election was rigged, and that President Trump and his supporters were the victims of a massive fraud, a massive conspiracy to rip away their rights. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.

We have showed you how this all began with the big lie, the claim that the election was rigged, and that President Trump and his supporters were the victims of a massive fraud, a massive conspiracy to rip away their rights. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.

We have showed you how this all began with the big lie, the claim that the election was rigged, and that President Trump and his supporters were the victims of a massive fraud, a massive conspiracy to rip away their rights. It was an explosive situation, and he knew it. We have shown you the evidence on this point. You have seen it—the images, the videos, the articles, and the pattern which showed that the violence was foreseeable and that terrible day was entirely foreseeable.
Fights broke out, stabblings, serious violence.

Now, President Trump, like all of us, he saw what happened at that rally. He saw all the violence, the burning, and chaos. How did he respond? Did he tweet praises? Did he praise? Did he mediate? No. He knew it would explode. He knew exactly how dangerous this was.

Was violence predictable? Was it obvious that the crowd on January 6 was poised for violence, prepared for it? Absolutely. And this isn’t just clear looking back in time; it was widely recognized at the time. In the days leading up to January 6, there were dozens, hundreds of warnings. And he knew it. He knew the rally would explode if provoked. He knew all it would take was a slight push.

Remember, you heard from Manager Plaskett the chatter on social media websites that the Trump administration monitored and were known to the Trump operation. It showed that the people he invited to the January 6 rally took this as a serious call to arms, that this was not just any attack, it was to storm the Capitol, if necessary, to stop the steal.

And it wasn’t just clear on these websites that the Trump administration monitored, the FBI reports about this credible threat, a threat to target us. Law enforcement made six arrests the night before. Six arrests. Newspapers across the city warned of the risk of violence.

There can be no doubt that the risk of violence was foreseeable.

What did he do in the days leading up to the rally? Did he calm the situation? No. He didn’t do that. He spread his base, he spread the most dangerous lie, he spread the lie that the election was being stolen. He spread the lie that the United States was not a democracy anymore. He spread the lie that you were going to have a fight. He spread the lie that you were going to have a country with weakness. He spread the lie that you were going to have a country that he would lead.

And here is the thing. That wasn’t metaphorical. It wasn’t rhetorical. He already made it perfectly clear that when he said “fight,” he meant it. And when followers, in fact, fought, when they engaged in violence, he praised and honored them as patriots. He implied that it was OK to break the law because the election was being stolen. You heard it. You remember the clip that Manager Dean showed you earlier in this trial. He told them to “fight like hell. And if you don’t fight like hell, you’re not going to have a country anymore.”

And here is the thing. That wasn’t metaphorical. It wasn’t rhetorical. He already made it perfectly clear that when he said “fight,” he meant it. And when followers, in fact, fought, when they engaged in violence, he praised and honored them as patriots. He implied that it was OK to break the law because the election was being stolen. You heard it. You remember the clip that Manager Dean showed you earlier in this trial. He told them—the quote is on the screen—“When you catch somebody in a fraud, you’re allowed to go by very different rules.”

Remember how all of his supporters—some of his supporters across social media were treating this as a war, talking about bringing in the cavalry? Well, President Trump made clear what those different rules were. He had been making it clear for months.

His message was crystal clear, and it was understood immediately, instantly by his followers. And we don’t have to guess. We don’t have to guess as to how they reacted. We can look at how people reacted to what he said. You saw them, and you saw how they reacted.

Mr. Giuliani. So let’s have trial by combat.

Mr. KATKO. The President’s role in this insurrection is undeniable, both on social media ahead of January 6 and in his speech that day. He deliberated theories, creating a combustible environment of disinformation and division. To allow the President of the United States to incite this attack without consequences is a direct threat to the future of this democracy.

Did the President encourage violence? Yes, no doubt that he did. Did he ask his supporters to come to the Capitol grounds and to allow the Congress to do their business peacefully, and anything short of that is an abrasion of his responsibility? No.

Mr. KINZINGER. You know, a guy that knows how to tweet very aggressively on Twitter, you know, puts out one of the weakest statements on one of the saddest days in American history.

Mr. KATKO. The President’s role in this insurrection is undeniable, both on social media ahead of January 6 and in his speech that day. He deliberated theories, creating a combustible environment of disinformation and division. To allow the President of the United States to incite this attack without consequences is a direct threat to the future of this democracy.

Did the President encourage violence? Yes, no doubt that he did.

Mr. GIULIANI. (to the President.) Mr. President, you say it’s a real fight. You have to get your followers back. You have to make your point. Let’s see what Representative Gallagher, Mr. Christie, Representative Kinzinger and Representative Katko had to say.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I could not be sadder or more disappointed with the way our country looks at this very moment. People are getting hurt. Anyone involved in this, if you’re hearing me, hear me loud and clear: This is not the American way.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. President, you have got to stop this. You are the only person who can call this off. Call it off. It’s not going to be legitimate. The President caused this protest to occur. He’s the only one who could make it stop.

Mr. KINZINGER. You know, a guy that knows how to tweet very aggressively on Twitter, you know, puts out one of the weakest statements on one of the saddest days in American history.

Mr. KATKO. The President’s role in this insurrection is undeniable, both on social media ahead of January 6 and in his speech that day. He deliberated theories, creating a combustible environment of disinformation and division. To allow the President of the United States to incite this attack without consequences is a direct threat to the future of this democracy.
Remember the video he released at 4:17 p.m.? Lead Manager Raskin showed that to you yesterday, the one where he said:

We had an election that was stolen from us.

Remember the tweet that he put out just a few minutes later, found in Appendix C, January 6? You have seen it many times. You could see it on the slide:

These are the things that happen when a sacred landslide election victory is so unceremoniously & viciously stripped away. That is what he was focused on, spreading the lie and praising the mob that attacked us and our government.

You heard Manager Cicilline describe reports that the President was delighted, enthusiastic, confused that others didn't share his excitement as he watched the attack unfold on TV. He cared more about pressing his efforts to overturn the election than he did about saving lives, our lives.

Look at what President Trump did that day, that afternoon. It is important. He did virtually nothing.

We have seen—Manager Castro mentioned this—that when President Trump wants to stop something, he does so simply, easily, quickly. But aside from four tweets and a short clip during the over 5-hour long attack, he did nothing.

On January 6, he didn't condemn the attack, didn't condemn the attackers, didn't say that he would send help to defend us or defend law enforcement. He didn't react to the violence with shock or horror or dismay, as we did. He didn't immediately rush to Twitter and demand in the clearest possible terms that the mob disperse, that they stop it, that they retreat. Instead, he issued messages in the afternoon that sided with them, the insurrectionists who had left police officers battered and bloodied.

He acted exactly the way someone would react if they were delighted and exactly unlike how a person would react if they were angry at how their followers were acting.

Again, ask yourself how many lives would have been saved, how much pain and trauma would have been avoided if he had reacted the way that a President of the United States is supposed to act.

There are two parts of President Trump's failure here—his dereliction of duty, that I just have to emphasize for a moment.

First is what he did to Vice President Mike Pence, the Vice President of the United States of America. His own Vice President was in this building with an armed mob shouting “Hang him,” the same armed mob that set up gallow's outside. You saw those pictures.

And what did President Trump do? He attacked him more. He singled him out by name. It is honestly hard to fathom.

Second, our law enforcement—the brave officers who were sacrificing their lives to defend us, who could not evacuate or seek cover because they were protecting us. I am not going to go through again what my fellow managers showed you yesterday, but let me just say this: Those officers serve us faithfully and dutifully, and they follow their oaths. They deserve a President who would not risk their lives and safety to retain power, a President who would preserve, protect, and defend them. But that is not what he did.

When they, the police, still barracaded and being attacked with poles—he said in his video to the people attacking them:

We love you. You’re very special.

What more could we possibly need to know about President Trump’s state of mind?

Senators, the evidence is clear. We showed you statements, videos, affidavits that prove President Trump incited an insurgency—an insurgency that he alone had the power to stop. And the other thing, the fact that he incited a lawless attack and abdicated his duty to defend us from it, the fact that he actually further inflamed that mob—further inflamed that mob attacking his Vice President while assassins were pursuing him in this Capitol—more than requires conviction and disqualification.

We humbly—humbly ask you to convict President Trump for the crime for which he is overwhelmingly guilty because if you forewarned this didn’t happen or, worse, if we let it go unanswered, who is to say it won’t happen again?

Mr. Manager RASKIN, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, first of all, thank you for your close attention and seriousness of purpose that you have demonstrated over the last few days. Thank you also for your courtesy to the House managers as we have come over here, strangers in a strange land, to make sure before this distinguished and august body.

We are about to close. And I am proud that our managers have been so disciplined and so focused. I think we are closing somewhere between 5 and 6 hours under the time that you have allotted to us, but we think we have been able to tell you everything we need to say. We will, obviously, have the opportunity to address your questions and then to do a final closing when we get there.

I just wanted to leave you with a few thoughts. And, again, I am not going to retraumatize you by going through the evidence once again. I just wanted to leave you with a few thoughts to consider as you enter upon this very high and difficult duty that you have to render impartial justice in this case, as you have all sworn to do.

And I wanted to start simply by saying that, in the history of humanity, democracy is an extremely rare and fragile and precarious and transitory thing. Abraham Lincoln knew that when he spoke from the battlefield and vowed that “government of the people, by the people, [and] for the people shall not perish from the earth.” He was speaking not long after the Republic was created, and he was trying to prove that point, that we would not allow it to perish from the Earth. In most of history, the norm has been dictators, autocrats, bullies, despots, tirades, cowards who take over our government—for most of the history of the world—and that is why America is such a miracle. We were founded on the extraordinary principles of the inalienable rights of the people and the consent of the governed and the fundamental equality of all of us.

You know, when Lincoln said “government of the people, by the people, [and] for the people” and he hearkened back to the Declaration of Independence, when he said “Four score and seven years ago,” he knew that that wasn’t how we started. We started imperfect. We started imperfect. Lincoln knew that. But he was struggling to make the country better.

And however flawed the Founders were as men in their times, they inscribed in the Declaration of Independence the Constitution all the beautiful principles that we needed to open America up to successive waves of political struggle and constitutional change and transformation in the country so we really would become something much more like Lincoln’s beautiful vision of “government of the people, by the people, [and] for the people”—the world’s greatest, multiracial, multireligious, multietnic constitutional democracy, the envy of the world, as Tom Paine said, an asylum for humanity where people would come.

Think about the preamble, those first three words pregnant with such meaning, “We the People,” and then all of the purposes of our government put into that one action-packed sentence: “We the People . . . in order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insures domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and [preserve] to ourselves and our Posterity” the blessings of liberty.

And then, right after that first sentence—the mission statement for America, the Constitution—what happens in Article I. There is created: All legislative powers herein are reserved to the Congress of the United States.

You see what just happened? The sovereign power of the people to launch the country and create the Constitution flowed right into Congress. And then you get in article I, section 8 comprehensive, vast powers that all of you know so well—the power to regulate commerce domestically and internationally, the power to declare war, the power to raise and support armies and to spend money, the power to govern the seat of government, and on and on and on.
And then, even in article I, section 8, clause 18, all other powers “necessary and proper” to the foregoing powers. That is all of us.

Then you get to article II, the President, four short paragraphs. And the fourth paragraph is all about what? Impeach. It is the power to impeach the President. The President commits high crimes and misdemeanors.

What is the core job of the President? To take care that the laws are faithfully executed.

And our Framers were so fearful of Presidents becoming tyrants and wanting to become Kings and despots that they put the oath of office right into the Constitution. They inscribed it into the Constitution: to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States.”

We have got the power to impeach the President. The President doesn’t have the power to impeach us. Think about that. The popular branch of government—no power to impeach the President. The President does not have the power to impeach us.

And, as I said before, all of us who aspire and attain a public office are nothing but the servants of the people. And the very power we would have is the moment that we no longer acted as servants of the people but as masters of the people, as violators of the people’s rights, that was the time to impeach, remove, convict, disqualify, start all over again, because the interests of the people are so much greater than the interests of one person—any one person, even the greatest person in the country. The interests of the people are what count.

Now, when we sit down and we close, our distinguished counterparts, the defense counsel, who have waited very patiently—and thank you—I will stand up and seek to defend the President’s conduct on the facts, as I think they will.

It has already been decided by the Senate on Tuesday that the Senate has constitutional jurisdiction over this impeachment case brought to you by the United States House of Representatives. So we have put that jurisdictional, constitutional issue to bed. It is over. It has already been voted on.

This is a trial on the facts of what happened. And incitement, as we said, is a fact-intensive investigation and judgment that each of you will have to make.

We have made our very best effort to set forth every single relevant fact that we know in the most objective and honest light. We trust and we hope that the defense counsel will understand the constitutional questions of the gravity and solemnity of this trial by focusing like a laser beam on the facts and not return to the constitutional argument that has already been decided by the Senate.

Just as a defense lawyer who loses a motion to dismiss on a constitutional basis in a criminal case must let that go and then focus on the facts which are being presented by the prosecutors in detail, they must let this constitutional jurisdictional argument go—not just because it is frivolous and wrong, as nearly every expert scholar in America opined, but because it is not relevant to the jury’s consideration of the facts of the case.

So our counsel must work to answer all of the overwhelming, detailed, specific, factual, and documentary evidence we have introduced of the President’s clear and overwhelming guilt in inciting violent insurrection against the Union.

Donald Trump, last week, turned down our invitation to come testify about his actions, and, therefore, we have not been able to ask him any questions directly as of this point. Therefore, during the course of their 16-hour-allotted presentation, we would pose these preliminary questions to his lawyers, which I think are on everyone’s minds right now and which we would have asked Mr. Trump himself if he had chosen to come and testify about his actions and inactions when we invited him last week:

One, why did President Trump not tell his supporters to stop the attack on the Capitol as soon as he learned of it?

Why did President Trump do nothing to stop the attack for at least 2 hours after the attack began?

As our constitutional Commander in Chief, why did he do nothing to send help to our overwhelmed and besieged law enforcement officers for at least 2 hours on January 6 after the attack began?

On January 6, why did President Trump not at any point that day condemn the violent insurrection and the insurrectionists?

And I will add a legal question that I hope his distinguished counsel will address: If a President did invite a violent insurrection against our government, as, of course, we allege and think we have proven in this case—but just in general, if a President incited a violent insurrection against our government—would that be a high crime and misdemeanor? Can we all agree, at least, on that?

Senators, I have talked a lot about common sense in this trial because I think, I believe that is all you need to arrive at the right answer here.

You know, when Tom Paine wrote “Common Sense,” the pamphlet that launched the American Revolution, he said that common sense really meant common sense in this trial because I think, I believe that is all you need to arrive at the right answer here.

We do conclude our presentation.

Good luck in your deliberations.

Tom Paine wasn’t an American, as you know, but he came over to help us in our great revolutionary struggle against the Kings and Queens and the tyrants. And in 1776, in “The Crisis,” he wrote these beautiful words. It was a very tough time for the country. People wondered what things were going to go. Were we going to win, against all hope, because for most of the rest of human history it had been the Kings and the Queens and the tyrants and the nobles lording it over the common people? Could political self-government work in America was the question. And Paine wrote this pamphlet called “The Crisis,” and in it he said these beautiful words. And, with your permission, I’m going to update the language a little bit, pursuant to the suggestion of Speaker Pelosi, so as not to offend modern sensibilities, OK.

But he said: These are the times that try men and women’s souls. These are the times that try men and women’s souls. The summer soldier and the sunshade patriot will stand at the moment from the service of their cause and their country; but everyone who stands with us now will win the love and the favor and the affection of every man and every woman for all time. Tyranny, like hell, is not easily conquered, but we have this saving consolation: The more difficult the struggle, the more glorious, in the end, will be our victory.

Mr. SCHUMER. Thank you. Thank you. Now, I have two—we are going to do the adjournment resolution in a moment. I have two other things that we have to do. They are quick.

First, Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order to make several unanimous consent requests as if in legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that on Friday, February 12, from 10:30 a.m. on, that, notwithstanding adjournment, the Senate be able to receive House messages and executive matters, committees be authorized to report legislative and executive matters, and Senator can be allowed to sign agreements for the record, introduce bills and resolutions, and make cosponsor requests, and, where applicable, the Secretary of the Senate, on behalf of the Presiding Officer, be permitted to refer such matters.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—READING OF WASHINGTON’S FAREWELL ADDRESS

Mr. SCHUMER. And a second request, poignantly appropriate at this moment. I ask unanimous consent that pursuant to the resolution of the Senate of January 24, 1901, the traditional reading of Washington’s Farewell Address take place on Monday, February
22, following the prayer and pledge; further, that Senator Portman be recognized to deliver the address.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL TOMORROW

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, finally, I ask unanimous consent that the trial adjourn until 12 noon tomorrow, Friday, February 12, and this also constitute the adjournment of the Senate.

There being no objection, at 4:24 p.m., the Senate, sitting as a Court of Impeachment, adjourned until Friday, February 12, 2021, at 12 noon.
The Shippensburg University Police Department. Through it all, he credits God with giving him a heart for service. Jerome Kater is a selfless leader and exceptional role model. On behalf of the 13th District of Pennsylvania, I thank Mr. Kater for his service to the Shippensburg community, and I congratulate him and his family on this remarkable honor.

RECOGNIZING THE WORK OF THESPIS TROUPE 6803 AT BORDENTOWN HIGH SCHOOL

HON. ANDY KIM
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. KIM of New Jersey. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Thespian Troupe 6803 at Bordentown High School for their exceptional service to New Jersey and their community. Amid a global crisis, the students of Thespian Troupe 6803 at Bordentown High School revitalized the discontinued Trick or Treat So Kids Can Eat program and became true leaders. In response to the hunger they witnessed in their community, Thespian Troupe 6803 raised over 615 pounds of food for the St. Mary's Food Pantry as part of the Trick or Treat So Kids Can Eat Program.

In this time, where a growing number of New Jersey residents are going to bed hungry, we should be doing all we can to ensure that we feed those in need. Thespian Troupe 6803's work exemplifies the best of New Jersey and New Jersey's compassion for all of its citizens. I could not be prouder of the students in this troupe, and I thank them for their service.

RECOGNIZING RICHMOND HILL

HON. EARL L. "BUDDY" CARTER
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Ford Avenue Historic District as an officially designated area in the city of Richmond Hill.

After 2 years of planning, the Richmond Hill City Council approved an amendment to an ordinance creating the city's first historic district on January 5th.

The Ford Avenue Historic District is at the heart of Richmond Hill's history and contains primary locations and buildings Ford Motor Company built between 1925 and 1947. When Henry Ford came to Richmond Hill, the town was known as Ways Station. Upon his arrival, the town grew and became known as Richmond Hill. You can feel the history simply walking down the street.

Designating this remarkable historic district is just another positive effort by the city to promote, protect, and remember its roots. I am thankful for the Richmond Hill City Council’s diligent work on this and everything they do.

MARSHALL PLAN FOR MOMS

HON. GRACE MENG
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. MENG. Madam Speaker, I rise today with a desperate cry for help and a call to action for the millions of moms who have been pushed to the brink of economic, social, and emotional disaster due to the COVID–19 pandemic. Since the start of the pandemic, moms—especially moms of color—have been facing the brunt of the economic fall out of this pandemic as a result of existing social barriers and policy failures that have been compounded by enduring racism and gender injustices.

What a year can do. At the beginning of 2020, even in the face of continued gender and racial wage gaps, women made up the majority of the workforce for the first time in almost a decade. A year later, women have lost over 5.4 million net jobs and account for 55 percent of overall net job loss since the start of the pandemic. Today, there are over 2 million fewer women in the labor force than there were before the pandemic. The pandemic has triggered a financial and emotional calamity for America’s moms, who are shouldering the majority of child care, domestic work, and remote school responsibilities—and this against the backdrop of women who were already overrepresented in low-wage jobs and underrepresented in high-wage jobs.

We know that mother’s wages are key to their families’ economic security and survival, even as they are leaving the workforce in droves or are reducing work hours—otherwise known as the “she-cession”. American moms are breadwinners in nearly half of families with children under 18, and yet the wage gap for moms is larger than for women overall, such that moms with full-time, year-round jobs are paid 70 cents for every dollar paid to dads. Adding to the tragedy is that moms permanently leaving the workforce are disrupting their career trajectory and endangering their future Social Security earnings and other potential retirement income. Furthermore, child nutrition is inextricably linked to mothers in the workforce, such that almost one in four children experienced food insecurity in 2020. This is a moral failure.

Madam Speaker, moms are screaming out for help. I hear constantly from other moms that this is just not sustainable. The unprecedented burdens of child care, work, remote learning—on top of the social isolation—have strained the mental and emotional health of moms. As a mom of two young boys, this issue is especially personal to me. Moms everywhere are saying: something has to give, before something ultimately breaks.
That is why I am introducing the Marshall Plan for Moms to revitalize and restore moms in the workforce. After all, any meaningful and sustainable economic recovery from this pandemic must recognize and rebuild moms in the labor force. As such, the Marshall Plan calls for robust paid leave; saving our child care system, with a vision toward universal child care and early learning; investment in our education system, including broadband connections; strengthening child poverty tools, such as child tax credit and earned income tax credit; expanded unemployment insurance benefits; strong SNAP benefits; federal minimum wage increase to $15; and mental health support for moms.

We need a Marshall Plan for Moms because we need transformational structural change. Moms, especially moms of color, were already fighting an uphill battle against societal norms and economic injustices. They are hurting today, because, like so many other things, this pandemic has exacerbated already existing injustices and inequities.

Madam Speaker, as you have always said: When Women Succeed, America Succeeds. For the sake of our economy, we must prioritize addressing this precipice facing moms and immediately implement the Marshall Plan for Moms, so that moms have a fighting chance, and that they are protected against any future economic calamities. I urge all my colleagues to support this legislation.

REMEMBERING AND HONORING OFFICER BRIAN SICKNICK

HON. EARL L. "BUDDY" CARTER
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to remember and honor Officer Brian Sicknick, who tragically passed away from the injuries he sustained from the U.S. Capitol riots on January 6th. Officer Sicknick was a New Jersey native who joined the Capitol Police in 2008 after serving in the New Jersey Air National Guard.

He went through two different deployments and supported our country during the war in Afghanistan until he was honorably discharged.

Officer Sicknick’s family said he wanted to be a police officer his entire life and joined the Air National Guard as a means to that end. He is a hero who will be remembered by his untethered commitment to protecting all those within and around the hallowed halls of Congress.

I am thankful for Officer Sicknick’s legacy, and my thoughts and prayers go out to his family, friends, the Capitol Police, and all who knew him during this most difficult time.

RECOGNITION OF COOKIES FOR CAREGIVERS

HON. JOHN JOYCE
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Scott McKenzie and Jeremy Ulbricht of Huntington County, Pennsylvania for starting the Cookies for Caregivers movement.

In the early days of the COVID–19 pandemic, a friendly competition between the two resulted in a cookie baking contest judged by the Mayor of Huntington, Pennsylvania. After the competition was over, they took leftover dough to the Huntington Police Department to thank the first responders. The gratitude the officers expressed inspired Scott and Jeremy to begin recruiting other members of the community to bake cookies for other essential workers.

Since then, Scott and Jeremy’s group has baked more than 18,000 cookies. Their Facebook page, “Cookies for Caregivers,” has more than a thousand members. Additionally, 23 similar groups have been started across the United States.

While our first responders endure to combat the COVID–19 pandemic, it is encouraging to see southcentral Pennsylvanians express gratitude to our community’s essential workers. As Scott and Jeremy continue to offer encouragement to those on the frontlines of the fight against COVID–19, I wish them and their movement every continued success.

HONORING WELLS COUNTY WORLD WAR II VETERAN ED GOETZ

HON. JIM BANKS
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. BANKS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the service of Mr. Ed Goetz of Wells County.

Next week, on Monday, February 15, Mr. Goetz will celebrate his 100th birthday. Congratulations Mr. Goetz on this wonderful occasion. I hope his heart is filled with joy knowing that he has experienced our country’s greatest blessings, including the affection of family and friends over a long, well-lived life.

Our Nation owes Mr. Goetz a debt of gratitude for his dedicated service to our country while serving in the United States Army during World War II. As a flight engineer stationed in the South Pacific, Goetz flew a total of 37 combat missions over Japan, including one during which the crew was forced to crash land in the middle of the ocean.

In 1946, Goetz moved to Bluffton, where he became active in his church and the Boy Scouts. Goetz served on the boards of the United Way and The Wells County Foundation, helped provide income tax preparation for over 25 years, served as the treasurer for Friends of the Library for nearly 30 years, and worked to fill the Ossian Industrial Park with hundreds of jobs. In 2010, at age 90, Goetz was named the Wells County Citizen of the Year.

REMEMBERING BETTY MINER

HON. EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to remember and honor Betty Miner of Richmond Hill who sadly passed away at the age of 87.

Betty lived a remarkable life and touched countless lives. She was a lifelong resident of Richmond Hill and became the first woman councilmember for the city in 1971.

Betty also served as mayor pro-tem. In addition to her work to improve Richmond Hill, she served on the DNR board for the state of Georgia through which she was instrumental in bringing the fisherman’s co-op to Bryan County. Through every position she had, she worked to better her community and everyone she touched.

My thoughts and prayers go out to her family, friends, and all who knew her during this most difficult time.

IN RECOGNITION OF JOANN GONYEA’S 35 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE CITY OF TRENTON

HON. DEBBIE DINGELL
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mrs. DINGELL. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Joann Gonyea on the occasion of her retirement after nearly 35 years of service to the City of Trenton, Michigan. Her significant contributions to the Trenton community is worthy of commendation.

Ms. Gonyea grew up on the east side of Detroit, Michigan. Later attending Wayne State University and graduating with a degree in Recreation and Parks Services. In 1985, she was introduced to the City of Trenton as an intern with the Wayne County park system. She was hired to the City of Trenton’s Parks and Recreation team, eventually rising to the position of Director of Parks and Recreation.

During her time as Director, she has broken ground on numerous projects. She was a critical partner in the development and opening of the Refuge Gateway of Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge, the only International Wildlife Refuge in North America. As a leader in the Downriver Linked Greenways project, she helped build a trail to connect the wildlife refuge with the downriver linked greenways.

Ms. Gonyea is leaving a legacy of successful revitalization projects and has helped the city of Trenton earn the title of “trail town.”

The parks, trails, and greenways she has helped develop have transformed the 12th District and will have a lasting impact on the health and wellbeing of its residents. Her work has been vital in promoting a healthy and sustainable lifestyle.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Joann Gonyea for her exemplary 35 years of public service. She has effectively served Trenton and the State of Michigan in her role as Parks and Recreation Director through her selfless dedication to the community. I join with Joann’s family, friends, and colleagues in extending my best wishes to her in retirement.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to remember and honor Betty Miner of Richmond Hill who sadly passed away at the age of 87.

Betty lived a remarkable life and touched countless lives. She was a lifelong resident of Richmond Hill and became the first woman councilmember for the city in 1971.

Betty also served as mayor pro-tem. In addition to her work to improve Richmond Hill, she served on the DNR board for the state of Georgia through which she was instrumental in bringing the fisherman’s co-op to Bryan County. Through every position she had, she worked to better her community and everyone she touched.

My thoughts and prayers go out to her family, friends, and all who knew her during this most difficult time.
IN RECOGNITION OF JACOB MILLER’S DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVICE TO VIRGINIA’S FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. WITTMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Jacob Miller for his service to Virginia’s First Congressional District. Since joining my D.C. Staff in June 2019, Jacob has served a crucial role on my communications team. Jacob’s dedication to delivering timely and helpful information to constituents is commendable and I am thankful for his tireless efforts for Virginia’s First District.

Since the beginning of the COVID–19 pandemic, my office has made it a priority to send constituents the most up to date information on COVID–19 resources and relief. Jacob has been at the forefront of that effort since the very beginning. Jacob’s thoughtfulness and strong work ethic has directly impacted constituents in Virginia’s First District. Whether it was about CDC guidelines, information on testing, local and federal resources, all the way up to the most recent vaccine information, Jacob was a leader in providing this crucial information to constituents.

Jacob has a strong passion for history, a passion we both share. He is a gifted writer that sets him apart in the way he communicates to others. He has a notable ability to deliver complex information in a timely and understandable manner. Jacob is also a friend to all—he was always quick to help others in the office when needed and lend his thoughtful insight to any given task. Jacob has contributed to the office and my staff in a significant way, and he will be greatly missed.

Therefore, Madam Speaker, I ask that you rise with me today to recognize Jacob Miller and his dedication to the constituents of Virginia’s First District. Jacob has bright future, and I wish him the best of luck on his future journey and career.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE HEROIC EFFORTS OF THE STURGEON BAY FIRE DEPARTMENT

HON. MIKE GALLAGHER
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. GALLAGHER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the heroic efforts of the Sturgeon Bay Fire Department. In the early hours of February 1st, a bulk carrier ship holding fuel and docked for the winter, the M/V Roger Blough, caught fire. Over 100 Sturgeon Bay firefighters battled the blaze for hours, using 1.4 million gallons of water to extinguish the fire. Their bravery and skill ultimately lead to no deaths, no injuries, no fuel discharged, and minimal damage to the ship. These brave men and women deserve our thanks and gratitude, and have served Northeast Wisconsin exceptionally well.

Madam Speaker, I urge all members of this body to join me in applauding the Sturgeon Bay Fire Department for their service and bravery on February 1st.

RECOGNIZING NATIONAL PHARMACIST DAY

HON. EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize National Pharmacist Day, which takes place on January 12th annually to recognize and honor pharmacists across the nation. As a pharmacist myself, I thoroughly understand the role each pharmacist plays as an integrated member of the healthcare team. Every day, pharmacists are directly involved in patient care and pharmacists are the most accessible health care professionals in the country. 95 percent of Americans live within five miles of a pharmacy.

As we battle COVID–19, pharmacists should be recognized as they are on the frontlines dispensing the vaccine. Because of their work, lives will be saved. They’ve been vital resources throughout the COVID–19 pandemic, and they will continue to play an active role in combating the virus.

I ask that you join me in recognizing all pharmacists by thanking them for their work.

IN RECOGNITION OF ABENA HOGAN

HON. RASHIDA TLAIB
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. TLAIB. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the many outstanding contributions to the communities of Wayne County in Michigan’s 13th Congressional District of Abena Hogan, as we honor her memory.

Born and raised in Detroit, Ms. Hogan was a proud daughter of her community. She began her first career in public service working for southeast Michigan’s regional public transit system S. After 20 years of service, Ms. Hogan briefly retired before she was called back into serving the public once again, as the Community Relations Liaison to the Wayne County Clerk.

Ms. Hogan took immense pride in serving the people of Wayne County. She was an active member of countless community organizations, serving as a board member and as a volunteer, including the NAACP and Barton McFarland Community Council. Ms. Hogan believed deeply in the power of the people and grassroots action. She engaged people, young and old, on the importance of being involved and taking action.

Ms. Hogan was well-known for her boundless energy, willingness to always lend a hand to someone in need, and commitment to improving the quality of life for all community members. Ms. Hogan was affectionately called “Queen Abena” because of her commanding, yet loving presence. She was a true public servant and will truly be missed.

Please join me and “Smile! One more time!” as Abena Hogan always said, as we pay tribute to her memory and recognize her hard work on behalf of the communities of Detroit, Wayne County, and Michigan’s 13th Congressional District.

LUNAR NEW YEAR 2021

HON. GRACE MENG
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. MENG. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the cultural and historical significance of Lunar New Year in 2021, the Year of the Ox. This year, Lunar New Year officially begins on February 12th.

With the continued expansion of Asian diaspora, Lunar New Year is now observed by millions of Asian Americans and by many non-Asian Americans in the United States. Lunar New Year is traditionally a time to wish upon others good fortune, health, prosperity, and happiness. It is a special time for families to come together and celebrate the occasion with community activities and cultural performances. Having lots of delicious food is a major part of New Year festivities. A dish my family traditionally enjoys is noodle soup, which brings luck for the year ahead.

Of course, this year’s celebration is very different from all the previous celebrations. Due to the pandemic, there will be less in-person celebrations—whether with our families or within our communities. But I still hope that we
can gather virtually to celebrate some of the traditions.

Madam Speaker, every year since I have been a member of Congress, I have introduced legislation to recognize the significance of Lunar New Year. I am proud to be joined by 61 fellow Representatives in today's introduction of this resolution to recognize the cultural and historical significance of the holiday. I believe Lunar New Year is not only about recognizing the many contributions the Asian American community has made, and continues to make, but also about honoring and acknowledging that our nation's strength lies in its diversity. I wish everyone a very Happy Lunar New Year. And Happy Year of the Ox.

IN RECOGNITION OF KALLI TAYLOR

HON. JOHN JOYCE
OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Kalli Taylor of Bedford, Pennsylvania, for her selection to participate in the National Association of Music Education's 2021 All-Eastern Honor Ensembles as a member of the Treble Chorus. The Treble Chorus is comprised of 120 members from nine states. Kalli was selected from a pool of more than 1,000 applicants to participate—a testament to her outstanding musical talent. As a student at Bedford Area High School, she has demonstrated a strong commitment to music. She is a member of Varsity Singers, the school's select choir, and has starred in three school musicals. Kalli's dedication to music demonstrates her commitment to hard work, determination, and dedication. I congratulate Kalli on her selection for the Treble Chorus and wish her every continued success.

REMEMBERING AND HONORING WILLIAM "WILL" HOWARD INGRAM

HON. EARL L. "BUDDY" CARTER
OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to remember and honor a great Georgian and American. William, or "Will," Howard Ingram was the second of Richmond Hill and unyielding champion of Chicago's students, Karen Lewis.

A native of Chicago's South Side and daughter of Chicago Public School teachers, Lewis attended Chicago Public Schools until her early admission to Mount Holyoke College in Massachusetts. Lewis would then make history at an early age, when she transferred to Dartmouth College and was the only African-American woman in her 1974 graduating class.

Returning to Chicago soon after her graduation from Dartmouth, Lewis began as a substitute chemistry teacher for Chicago Public Schools. Her intellect and commitment to her students earned Lewis a full-time teaching role, which she would hold for nearly two decades, enriching the lives and minds of students across the city. During her time as a chemistry teacher, Lewis taught at Lane Tech College Prep, Sullivan High School, and finally King College Prep in Chicago's Englewood neighborhood, just blocks from her childhood home.

By 2008, Lewis had become a union delegate, bringing her energy and grit to the fight against school closures that would affect some of Chicago's most vulnerable students. Realizing that teachers and students faced increasing class sizes, school closures, and a budget crisis, Lewis put her bold vision forward and became the president of the Chicago Teacher's Union in 2010. In the face of many in Chicago's power structure calling for schools to be closed and protections to be stripped from dedicated educators, Lewis powerfully put forward a new vision for the city's public school, entitled 'The Schools Chicago's Students Deserve.' With this vision, Lewis sought to narrow the often vast differences between what Chicago's teachers and students needed to be successful and what they actually received from Chicago Public Schools.

Madam Speaker, in September 2012, Karen Lewis rose to national prominence when she led the first teacher's strike in Chicago in a quarter century. Tackling a new mayoral administration that sought to lay off teachers in exchange for raises, Lewis led a labor movement whose tactics would be studied around the country as a model for successful organized labor. The nine days Karen Lewis led the Chicago Teachers Union on strike were instrumental in winning concessions that included a robust pay raise and fairer evaluation of classroom performance. The next year, teachers in Los Angeles used the tactics pioneered by Lewis to gain critical concessions from the city for their students and teachers.

In 2016, Chicago Public Schools and the city's largest teachers union agreed to a new contract that included a raise, a longer calendar year, and dedicated time for professional learning. Lewis play book during their strike. The 2012 Chicago teachers' strike has been described as having "changed the future of organizing."

Among his many accomplishments, Will was most proud of his son, Gabe. My thoughts and prayers are with his family, friends, and all who knew him during this most difficult time.

HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF KAREN LEWIS

HON. BOBBY L. RUSH
OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. RUSH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commemorate and celebrate the life of a fierce and unyielding champion of Chicago's students, Karen Lewis.

Will Howard Ingram was the second of Richmond Hill and tragically passed away at the age of 43. Will lived a successful life and began his career in tragically passed away at the age of 43. Will lived a successful life and began his career in the military after remarkably receiving appointment to all three of the United States Service Academies. He was a 1999 graduate of West Point.

After he honorably served his country as an Army officer, Will went on to earn a graduate degree from the University of Washington. Following his active duty service, he went on to serve his country as an Army Civilian for over fifteen years.

During that time, he served as the Chief of Master Planning for the Army's Third Infantry Division for several years before he took on responsibilities as the Chief of Operations and Maintenance at Fort Stewart and Hunter Army Airfield.

So great was the appreciation of Lewis's bold and unrelenting fight for Chicago's teachers and students that many in the city believed that she would make an exceptional mayor. Sadly, in 2014, Lewis received the life-altering diagnosis of glioblastoma, an aggressive type of brain cancer. True to the fighter she was, Lewis continued to fight after her diagnosis, continuing on as president of the Chicago Teacher's Union until 2018.

Madam Speaker, Karen Lewis passed away on February 7th at the age of 67. With her death, Chicago and the nation have lost an icon and a treasure. My deepest sympathies lie with her husband, John, and all of her close family and friends during this challenging time.

IN HONOR OF TRIPP HOGG

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN
OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. WITTMAN. Madam Speaker, it is with deep sadness that I rise today in honor of Tripp Hogg, who recently passed away. Tripp will be profoundly missed by his family, friends, and community, but his memory will live on for many years to come.

Tripp was born on January 15, 1969, in Richmond, Virginia. He attended Lee Davis High School, where he graduated in 1987. Tripp graduated from East Carolina University in 1991, where he was a member of Sigma Phi Epsilon and the President of his Senior Class. Upon graduation from ECU, Tripp entered the Real Estate business in 1991 and joined his family's business, ERA Woody Hogg & Associates.

Tripp has three children, Oakleigh, Delaney, and Elle Hogg; as well as three stepchildren, Mary Beth, Mandy, and Josh Ratchford. Tripp is also survived by his beloved wife, Kathie White Hogg; his sister, Elizabeth Hogg; and his brother, Jonathan Hogg; as well as his father, O Woodland "Woody" Hogg, Jr. (Pamela Hogg); and his mother, Susan U. Hogg.

Tripp was an extraordinarily caring person who loved his family dearly, as well as his community, Commonwealth, and our Nation. I fondly remember the conversations we would have when we saw each other in the home improvement stores in the Mechanicsville area. He always began our conversations asking me how I was doing. I had the honor of hosting Tripp and his family on a personally guided tour of the U.S. Capitol and I will treasure that memory forever.

Tripp was always very active in his community, church, and profession. He consistently ranked in the top 1 percent of all salespeople both locally and nationally. Tripp was also an avid fisherman and loved everything with salt water. Tripp's passion for service to those around him will be greatly missed by all who knew and loved him. I pray that God may bring peace to Tripp's friends and family as they grieve his loss, and that they may be comforted and reminded of their fond memories of the time.

Madam Speaker, I ask that you rise with me today in remembrance of and to honor the life of Tripp Hogg.
HONORING THE LIFE OF SERGEANT JAMES COOK

HON. BLAINE LUETKEMEYER
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to ask my colleagues to honor the life of Sergeant James Cook, who was tragically killed while serving as a security guard at the MetroLink Delmar station. This is especially heartbreaking as James leaves behind a wife and two young daughters. Along with being a husband and father, James was a veteran who served this country bravely for eight years in combat in Afghanistan and Africa. After his service, James would carry on his service to the community by continuing his work in law enforcement as a jailer in Crawford County. It is always a tragedy when a hero like James is taken. We must continue to honor him by living in service to others just as James did during his life. He will always be remembered for his sacrifices to his nation and his love for his family. I thank him for his service, and I wish my most sincere blessings to his family. May he now peacefully rest with God and watch down upon his wife and daughters as they live in his honor. Madam Speaker, please join me in honoring the life of Sergeant James Cook.

NINTH ANNUAL NATIONAL COURT REPORTING AND CAPTIONING WEEK

HON. RODNEY DAVIS
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the important and irreplaceable work done by our court reporters and the National Court Reporters Association (NCRA) during the 9th annual National Court Reporting and Captioning week of February 6–13.

As guardians of the record, court reporters have a large impact on capturing history for posterity. During our nearly 250 years of American history, court reporters have been the cornerstone of our American justice system. From George Washington’s Farewell Address to Lincoln’s Gettysburg Address, these noble servants have transcribed some of the most important pieces of American history.

Today, the NCRA provides a free course to introduce realtime writing to all Americans. This course allows high schoolers, career changers, college re-directs, and military veterans to see if a profession in realtime writing is a good fit for them.

Additionally, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it’s important we focus on providing flexibility to our court reporters and ensuring captions are adhering to the FCC’s Caption Quality Best Practices—as opposed to Automatic Speech Recognition, which oftentimes creates erroneous captions.

I want to thank realtime writers everywhere and the NCRA for all the hard work they do.

RECOGNIZING THE WORK OF CAROLYN LITTLE

HON. ANDY KIM
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. KIM of New Jersey. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Carolyn Little for her exceptional service to New Jersey and to announce that Ms. Little was selected as the 2021–2022 Outstanding Educator of the Year by New Jersey Newspapers.

Amid a global crisis, while leading a statewide organization and serving as teacher for her students and two young daughters, Ms. Little continuously put the needs of her students and the less fortunate ahead of herself. While serving as a mentor to her students during this tough time, Ms. Little helped lead Toms River Thespian Troupe 7455 in their Trick or Treat or So Kids Can Eat Program. With the help of Ms. Little, Toms River Thespian Troupe 7455 at Toms River High School North raised over 547 pounds of food for the Thanksgiving Food Drive.

I could not be prouder of Ms. Little and I thank her for her service.

RECOGNIZING SARAH LUMPKIN

HON. EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize an amazing public servant. The City Clerk of Hinesville, Sarah Lumpkin, is retiring after over 3 decades of service in local government.

Sarah began her career with the City of Hinesville when she joined the City team as an Accounts Payable Clerk and rose to the rank of Assistant City Clerk by 1995. Throughout her career, she accumulated a long list of awards and accomplishments including being named the first recipient of the Hinesville City Hall Employee of the Year Award in 1994 and being designated “Certified Municipal Clerk” by the International Institute of Municipal Clerks in 1996.

She took her leadership skills to new heights when she served as President of the Georgia Municipal Clerks and Finance Officer’s Association of the State of Georgia from 2006 to 2007. Sarah’s guidance and expertise throughout her career paved the way for tremendous growth and success for the city of Hinesville, and I am very grateful for all she accomplished.

I wish her the best of luck as she begins her retirement.

IN RECOGNITION OF ZETA PHI BETA, HIGHLAND PARK CHAPTER

HON. JOHN JOYCE
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the many outstanding contributions of the sisters of Zeta Phi Beta’s Highland Park, Michigan Chapter, Kappa Rho Zeta.

Under the leadership of the late Ione H. Gibson, Florida Mitchell, Mary Grant, Louise Beamon, Brenda Reed and Constance Russell, Kappa Rho Zeta Chapter of Zeta Phi Beta sorority was founded with the purpose of upholding the tenets of sisterhood, service, and scholarship. Over the past 40 years, the sisters of Kappa Rho Zeta have given countless hours of community service to the people of Highland Park. Their service and charitable work has extended to several locally-based organizations, including the Agape of Hartford Memorial Baptist Church, Coalition of Temporary Shelter (COTS), Highland Park Lions Club, Park Geriatric Village, the Children’s Center, Detroit Goodfellows, the Boys & Girls Club of Highland Park, and Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries in Highland Park. They spearhead the annual holiday celebration for the seniors of Highland Park. Kappa Rho Zeta Chapter also opened two Stork’s Nest locations in the community to provide workshops and assistance for pregnant mothers. And, in the tradition of scholarship of Zeta Phi Beta, they uplift the graduating high school students of Highland Park by awarding scholarships to support them in their academic pursuits.

The sisters of Zeta Phi Beta Kappa Rho Zeta Chapter have embodied the spirit of giving and the importance of service. Please join me in recognizing the women of Zeta Phi Beta Kappa Rho Zeta Chapter for their many outstanding contributions to the residents of Highland Park and the 13th Congressional District as they celebrate 40 years of sisterhood in service.
COVID–19 LANGUAGE ACCESS ACT

HON. GRACE MENG
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. MENG. Madam Speaker, with over 25 million people across our nation who have limited English proficiency, there is a critical need for translated COVID–19 outreach materials during this pandemic response.

We must ensure language barriers do not prevent individuals from accessing vital and potentially lifesaving COVID–19 information, especially as our federal, state, and local governments are working to get every single individual in the United States vaccinated.

Madam Speaker, the COVID–19 pandemic has exacerbated already existing vulnerabilities, inequalities, and disparities, particularly in access to health care. Inadequate access to health care for reasons such as language barriers increases health disparities among vulnerable populations, especially those of color.

Health disparities are often magnified for individuals who have limited English proficiency. For weeks after the COVID–19 public health emergency was declared, the government provided little to no information about COVID in languages other than English and Spanish, which left millions of people in the dark. No one should be left in the dark.

That is why I am re-introducing the COVID–19 Language Access Act, which would require any federal agency that receives coronavirus-related funding to provide COVID–19 written resources to the public in 20 languages: Spanish, Arabic, Cambodian, Chinese, Haitian Creole, French, Hindi, Italian, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Tagalog, Urdu, Vietnamese, Greek, Polish, Thai, Portuguese, Laotian, and Hmong. These selected languages are based on the languages required in the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s Language Access Plan for Disaster Assistance dated October 1, 2016. And Hmong has been added to reflect existing federal standards, including at Department of Homeland Security, for requiring Hmong translations in addition to Laotian.

I am proud that my bill has served as a basis for President Biden’s presidential memorandum to address cultural and linguistic barriers to COVID–19 relief and recovery, especially for Asian Americans. President Biden’s executive actions underscores the inequities of language access particularly in the midst of this COVID–19 outbreak.

Madam Speaker, fighting this pandemic requires everyone to have the right information and to be vaccinated. But to do so, we must eliminate any language barrier so that everyone is best prepared, informed, and ready to defeat this pandemic together. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

TRIBUTE IN HONOR OF SHERRY MCDavid

HON. HAROLD ROGERS
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Sherry McDavid, a regional development champion in the Commonwealth of Kentucky, upon her retirement after 27 years of service as Executive Director of the FIVCO Area Development District in rural, northeastern Kentucky.

Sherry’s love for northeastern Kentucky has been evident in her tireless dedication and abiding advocacy to strengthen communities in Boyd, Carter, Elliott, Greenup and Lawrence counties for nearly four decades through her leadership at the FIVCO ADD and the FIVCO Service Agency. In addition to being a staunch advocate for economic development and infrastructure enhancement in Kentucky’s Appalachian region, Sherry has been an organizational powerhouse, cultivating unlikely partnerships and rallying volunteers from across county-lines to meet the needs of our people. Whether distributing food commodities, caring for our elderly residents, or extending water and sewer service in our communities, Sherry filled the gap on many occasions and was the glue that held multiple programs together across the local, state, and federal levels. Sherry is the type of leader who accepts every challenge and surpasses every expectation for the good of the people around her.

When we examine the growth and progress in the FIVCO region over the last 38 years, we can see the influence of Sherry’s astute business sense and work ethic. Although she is retiring, Sherry should be proud of the firm foundation that she has laid for the next generation to build upon. She has made a resounding difference in our part of Kentucky.

In closing, I want to express my deep appreciation for Sherry’s service to the people of northeastern Kentucky, her leadership and her assistance for our local leaders in the FIVCO region. It has been an honor to work alongside such an insipiring advocate for our communities, I wish Sherry and her family tremendous joy and blessings in the years to come.

RECOGNIZING THE WORK OF THESPIS TROUPE 1555 AT RANCOCAS VALLEY REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL

HON. ANDY KIM
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. KIM of New Jersey. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Thespian Troupe 1555 at Ranocas Valley Regional High School for their exceptional service to New Jersey and their community.

Amid a global crisis, the students of Thespian Troupe 1555 at Ranocas Valley Regional High School revitalized the discontinued Trick or Treat So Kids Can Eat program and became true leaders. In response to the hunger they witnessed in their community, Thespian Troupe 1555 raised over 90 pounds of food for the RVHHS Food Pantry as part of the Trick or Treat So Kids Can Eat program.

In this time, where a growing number of New Jersey residents are going to bed hungry, we should be doing all we can to ensure that we feed those in need. Thespian Troupe 1555’s work exemplifies the best of New Jersey and New Jersey’s compassion for all of its citizens.

I could not be prouder of the students in this troupe, and I thank them for their service.

IN MEMORY OF DR. ALAN GREEN

HON. ANN M. KUSTER
OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. KUSTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor and remember the life of Dr. Alan Green, the esteemed former Chair of the Department of Psychiatry at Dartmouth College’s Geisel School of Medicine. Dr. Green radiated resilience, energy, and a joy for life that carried him through both success and until he passed away from cancer. Although he was diagnosed with a viral illness in 1974 that forced him to pause his psychiatry training for seven years, Dr. Green bounced back with a demonstrated passion for scientific research.

Dr. Green dedicated his life to researching schizophrenia, substance misuse disorders and establishing effective programs to treat those afflicted. As a beloved and respected professor and mentor, Dr. Green is often remembered by his students and colleagues for his curiosity, ambition and his relentless in his aim to improve the lives of others and give back to those around him. His commitment to his work and his students will forever inspire the Hanover community.

I express my wholehearted appreciation for Dr. Green and his dedication to medicine and science. As an alumna of Dartmouth College, I am deeply grateful for Dr. Green’s service to his students and the New Hampshire medical community. Although he will be profoundly missed, his memory will live on through the research he conducted, the treatments he developed and the community he cared for. I offer my deepest condolences to his family. I wish them and the Dartmouth community comfort and warmth during this difficult time. May he rest in peace.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE PASSING OF FRED SPACE

HON. JOSH GOTTHEIMER
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of a fixture in our northern New Jersey community, Fred Space. Fred was born in Beemerville, a community where he lived in, raised his family, and dedicated himself to improving throughout his entire life. As the second-generation owner and zoookeeper of Space Farms Zoo & Museum, and a 77-year member of the Beemerville Fire Department, Fred’s commitment to his community was legendary to those who knew him. As part of his more than seven-decade career with the Beemerville Fire Department, Fred served as Fire Chief from 1951 to 1953. He was also a founding member of the Kittatinny Recreation Association, which would go on to become the Sussex County Chamber of Commerce. An avid outdoorsman, Fred translated his love of hunting, fishing, and trapping into the Beemerville Hunting Club, as its founding member. He continued his passion for the outdoors by becoming a Lifetime Member of the Sussex County Board of Agriculture, serving as its President. He was Director of the NJ Farm Bureau and served on the New Jersey...
Fish and Game Council from 1963 to 1971, including a three-year stint as Chairman from 1969 to 1971.

Fred's remarkable legacy will live on in the business he helped grow, and which bears his family name. For three generations now, Space Farms Zoo and Museum has been a wonderful attraction here in our community. Fred loved working with the animals at the zoo, from the famous Kodiak bear named Godliath, with whom he shared a particular bond; or the 16-foot-long, 250-pound python, with whom he had an infamous run-in. There was nothing that could dissuade Fred from his hands-on work at the zoo.

Fred was a loving husband to Mira for 46 years, and proud father of Parker, Eric, Lori, and Renee. Fred's devotion to community engagement and love for the outdoors will continue on through his beloved nine grandchildren and twenty-one grandchildren.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing Fred Space's extraordinary life, his service to our community, and the legacy he leaves behind.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

SPRECH OF
HON. ANNA G. ESHOO
OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, February 3, 2021

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, the Budget Resolution is the first step toward providing urgently needed relief for the American people, as our Nation struggles to address the COVID–19 pandemic and its impact on our economy.

The need for Congress to act is both great and urgent. We're in a race against death, with over 450,000 fellow Americans lost to COVID–19. Our failure to defeat the virus has dragged our economy down, with more than 18 million Americans currently unemployed and scheduled to lose their unemployment insurance in March if Congress does not act.

House Concurrent Resolution 11 allows Congress to quickly pass a bold $1.9 trillion relief bill to defeat the virus, support workers and small businesses, and help families until the economy can reopen. President Biden's American Rescue Plan includes $20 billion for a national vaccination strategy to increase supply and vaccination sites; $50 billion to scale up testing through the purchase of rapid tests and expanded lab capacity; and $3 billion in innovative COVID–19 treatments. While available effective vaccines to prevent people from getting COVID–19, we do not yet have accessible medicines to treat people who are sick with the disease. This plan funds research and large-scale clinical trials needed to develop therapeutics such as antivirals and antibodies to help people recover from COVID–19. The American Rescue Plan will also extend increased unemployment insurance for laid-off workers and provide resources for state and local governments to preserve the jobs of essential public workers fighting on the frontlines against this pandemic. These are the right policies at the right time.

House Concurrent Resolution 11, the Budget Resolution for Fiscal Year 2021, allows Congress to provide pandemic relief through reconciliation, a procedure that allows the Senate to bypass the filibuster and pass this legislation with a simple majority vote. The American people simply want Congress to help them survive this pandemic and defeat the virus. This resolution is a major step in making good on our promise to provide bold, urgent, and necessary support to those who have been hit the hardest by the pandemic and to address our cascading economy, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

HONORING MR. SAM SPRUELL OF MOUNT HOPE, ALABAMA

HON. ROBERT B. ADHERHOLT
OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. ADHERHOLT. Madam Speaker, I would like to recognize Mr. Sam Spruell from Mount Hope, Alabama, who passed away on January 7, 2021 after a life of 63 years. He was an upstanding citizen of our State, a respected member of the Mount Hope community, and an outstanding businessman and farmer.

Sam Spruell was an Alabamian through and through, widely known for the kindness and friendship he displayed throughout his entire life. More importantly, Sam was a husband, father, and farmer who cared deeply about his work and the people around him. Never missing an opportunity to lend a helping hand, Sam was always available to those who needed him.

Sam was also a champion for Alabama cotton and the producers throughout the State. He served as President of the Southern Cotton Growers from 2004 to 2005, a testament to his dedication and passion to the industry. He was also a member of the Southeastern Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation, the Alabama Cotton Commission, and the National Cotton Council. There is no doubt that Sam's countless hours of hard work in these arenas made an indelible impact for the State of Alabama and the nation—one that will continue for years to come.

Sam Spruell was a sincere and true man of God. As a leader of the Community Clothes Closet and Ministry of Hope, Sam played a key role in serving those around him. He went on to donate his building to The O'pen Place, a charity near and dear to his heart.

Sam Spruell is now survived by his wife, Lynn Spruell; and two children, Jonathon Spruell and Liz Rhodes. In addition, he is survived by his five grandchildren, Shelby, Madi, Max, Mia, and Cam.

Our thoughts and prayers go out to all of Sam's family and friends. The Fourth District, the State of Alabama, and the Nation have lost a friend and patriot. However, there is no question that his legacy and impact will live on well into the future.

REMEMBERING AND HONORING ELEANOR “SANDY” TORREY WEST

HON. EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER
OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today with a heavy heart to remember and honor Mrs. Eleanor, or “Sandy,” Torrey West, who passed away on January 17th at the age of one hundred and eight.

Mrs. West was known as a woman who embodied the spirit of Ossabaw Island, which is the third-largest of Georgia’s barrier islands, just south of Savannah.

She established the Ossabaw Island Project in the 1960s and the Genesis Project in the 1970s as ways to allow students, artists, writers, scientists, ecologists, philosophers, and other intellectuals and creative thinkers to reconnect with the natural world, and with each other.

In the late 1970s, Mrs. West spearheaded her family’s effort to assure that Ossabaw Island would remain preserved and protected.

She was the champion of Ossabaw, and her enthusiastic, joyful spirit shined in everything she did. Throughout Mrs. West’s long, prosperous life, she inspired countless individuals.

I am so thankful for her many contributions to Georgia’s First District, and I know her legacy will continue for years to come.

My thoughts and prayers are with her family, friends, and all who knew her during this most difficult time.

HONORING HANNAH YOTHERS

HON. JOHN JOYCE
OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise to congratulate Hannah Yothers on becoming one of the first young women in the Westmoreland-Fayette County Boy Scouts of America to achieve the position of Eagle Scout.

Hannah joined the Boy Scouts in 2019, when girls were first able to join. She was one of the founding members of Troop 1372, which is partnered with the all-male Troop 372. Hannah first began her goal of becoming an Eagle Scout while in her senior year at Greater Latrobe High School and finished while attending Penn State Behrend in Erie.

In her journey to become an Eagle Scout, Hannah earned 31 merit badges, 10 more than the 21 required. For her Eagle Scout project, Hannah worked on landscaping, built two benches that can be converted into picnic tables, and other tasks at the Westmoreland Cleanways Recycling Center in Unity.

Hannah already is giving back to the Boy Scouts of America and her community by serving as an assistant Scoutmaster. On behalf of Pennsylvania’s 13th Congressional District, I congratulate Hannah Yothers on her trailblazing achievement and wish her all the best in the chapters to come.

INTRODUCTION OF THE NO FENCING AT THE UNITED STATES CAPITOL COMPLEX ACT

HON. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, today, I introduce the No Fencing at the United States Capitol Complex Act, which would prohibit the
construction of new permanent fencing on the grounds of the United States Capitol complex. Since the insurrectionist attack on the Capitol on January 6, 2021, the Capitol complex has become an untraversable fortress surrounded by frightening fences capped with barbed wire, typical of nation’s fortresses. In recent days, some officials, including the Acting Capitol Police Chief, have suggested that fencing become a permanent security feature of the Capitol complex. Although I agree that more needs to be done to protect the Capitol complex, the failure of Capitol security leaders to plan for the predictable and openly announced attack on the Capitol does not justify closing the complex from the public, to whom it belongs. We can and must maintain our commitment to security without sacrificing public access by using the least restrictive means necessary to address security.

The attack on the Capitol, which had little to do with the lack of permanent fencing, was the greatest intelligence and security failure in the history of our Nation’s capital. There were countless security failures on January 6 that we can and must address, including: Taking threats of extremist violence seriously at an earlier stage; blocking off the Capitol during high-profile and high-threat events, as is typically done, but was not done on January 6; manpower; and training. Permanent fencing, which is not an improbability, would not address these very real security lapses. It is more likely to keep out children, joggers and tourists than a coordinated attack on the Capitol. In fact, it is another form of security theatre—it allows the Capitol “look” safe but masks the lack of state-of-the-art security measures that could actually prevent attacks in the future. Just in the past few days, security experts have already begun putting forward innovative ways to protect the Capitol while also protecting the values of openness and transparency, which are central to our democracy. We must foster that dialogue and welcome fresh ideas, not default to an archaic security strategy that humans invented over centuries without becoming a fortress. The Capitol has welcomed First Amendment protests and demonstrations for centuries without becoming a fortress. The openness of the Capitol and our democracy is our strength, not a security weakness that needs addressing. Any further demonization of permanent fencing is desperate, dis-tasteful and disrespectful of our history and institutions.

Furthermore, we cannot forget that the Capitol complex does not exist in a vacuum. It is immediately surrounded by residential neighborhoods and local businesses, which form the heart of the District of Columbia. Permanent fencing would cause serious damage to the fabric of these communities. These residents and businesses have been more than understanding as the Capitol security leaders have turned our neighborhoods into fortified zones. They have patiently waited as officers check their identification on their walk home and taken alternative routes when they have found blocked streets. Permanent fencing would infringe on their ability, as well as the general public’s ability, to enjoy the public spaces that define our nation’s capital.

Already, the distance between government and the people has grown, with trust in government at historic lows. We should not entertain placing intimidating barriers between ourselves as public servants and the people we serve, especially when such barriers are neither effective nor necessary.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

INTRODUCTION OF THE ROBUST INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE TO PANDEMIC ACT

HON. JESÚS G. “CHUY” GARCÍA OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I rise today to introduce the Robust International Response to Pandemic Act, along with my colleagues JAN SCHAOKOWSKY of Illinois and MARK TAKANO of California.

The COVID–19 pandemic and the economic crisis it has generated are global problems and both require global solutions. The United States must show leadership by supporting each country’s ability to fight the virus, and the Robust International Response to Pandemic Act will do that by supporting an issuance of Special Drawing Rights from the IMF that will boost the global economy and aid our response to the virus.

“Special Drawing Rights” will help countries avoid depleting their reserves during the turbulent economic times ahead; 2 trillion SDRs will help all of us—and especially emerging markets and developing economies—avoid financial catastrophe.

I urge this body to advance this important legislation.

RECOGNIZING JOHN BONANNI AND ANTHONY J. DENOVA FOR RECEIVING THE FIRST-EVER COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR LIFETIME ACHIEVEMENT AWARD

HON. MIKE SHERRILL

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Ms. SHERRILL. Madam Speaker, I would like to recognize Morris County Administrator John Bonanni and Passaic County Administrator Anthony J. DeNova for receiving the first-ever County Administrator Lifetime Achievement Award.

The award was created to highlight and honor the lifetime of dedication and hard work public officials like Bonanni and DeNova have brought to our communities in North Jersey.

John Bonanni is known for his deep commitment to Morris County, as a lifelong resident of Boonton and over 30 years of service in county government. In recent years, he has not only risen to the top of his profession but has also been an incredible resource for ethics training and best practices.

Anthony J. DeNova has deep roots in Passaic County and his dedication to and love for the community he has lived in his whole life shines through in the work he does each day. You would be hard-pressed to find anyone who does not consider Tony their friend. Under his leadership, strong county budgeting has barred any tax increases for their constituents, while still funding the county’s most important programs. When the pandemic hit, he worked swiftly to set up testing sites and at-home testing for all Passaic residents. With the COVID–19 vaccine distribution underway, he has worked diligently to get vaccination sites up and running and get shots into arms.

County Administrators play a vital role in our counties and communities. John Bonanni and Anthony DeNova dedicated themselves to public service and serving the everyday lives of the residents of Morris and Passaic counties. Their leadership was critical in guiding NJ–11 through the darkest day of the pandemic. It has been a pleasure working with both of them over the past two years and I look forward to the years ahead. This lifetime achievement award is well-deserved.

CONGRATULATING BRITNEE KINARD

HON. EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate SD Gunner Fund’s Britnee Kinard for receiving the President’s Lifetime Achievement Award for her outstanding service to fellow Americans. The AmeriCorps’s President’s Lifetime Achievement Award is the highest honor of the President’s Volunteer Service Award, and it recognizes individuals, families, and groups who achieve a remarkable number of service hours.

In receiving the Lifetime Achievement Award, Britnee is being recognized for her over 4,000 hours of service to ensure the continuation of America’s unrivaled commitment to improving countless lives. Britnee is the founder and President of SD Gunner Fund, which is an incredible organization that assists veterans, exceptional children, and first responders in receiving vital resources such as service dogs, therapy dogs, emergency assistance, community advocacy and education, and much more.

She was inspired to start the successful SD Gunner Fund when she left her high profile career in business to become a full-time caregiver to her husband after he suffered life-altering injuries while serving our country in Operation Iraqi Freedom.

I want to thank Britnee for her selfless commitment to service through SD Gunner Fund, and I wish her the best. I’m extremely proud to have Britnee here in the First Congressional District of Georgia.
IN RECOGNITION OF THE WAYNESBORO AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

HON. JOHN JOYCE
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 11, 2021

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Waynesboro Area School District students, teachers, and leaders as they celebrate the successful completion of 100 days of school during the 2020–2021 academic year—a great accomplishment during the COVID–19 pandemic.

This ongoing public health crisis has affected every American community across the country—including our children and schools. Despite the challenges they are facing, the Waynesboro Area School District is open for in-person instruction and has only required virtual instruction for eight days this school year.

Getting children safely back to school is a key piece of our nation’s recovery from this pandemic, and I applaud the Waynesboro Area School District leaders, teachers, staff, and parents for their commitment to their students’ continuity of quality education.

As they mark their 100th day of school, I congratulate the Waynesboro Area School District community on a successful reopening of in-person instruction and wish them a continued safe and successful school year.
Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S645–S666

Impeachment of Former President Trump—Agreement: Senate continued consideration of the Article of Impeachment against Donald John Trump, former President of the United States.

A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing for further consideration of the Article of Impeachment against Donald John Trump, former President of the United States, at approximately 12 noon, on Friday, February 12, 2021.

Senate Business—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that on Friday, February 12, 2021, from 10:30 a.m. until 11:30 a.m., that notwithstanding adjournment, the Senate be able to receive House messages and executive matters, committees be authorized to report legislative and executive matters, and Senators be allowed to submit statements for the Record, introduce bills and resolutions, and make cosponsor requests, and where applicable, the Secretary of the Senate, on behalf of the Presiding Officer, be permitted to refer such matters.

Washington’s Farewell Address—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that pursuant to the order of the Senate of January 24, 1901, the traditional reading of Washington’s Farewell Address take place on Monday, February 22, 2021, following the prayer and pledge; and that Senator Portman be recognized to deliver the address.

Adjournment: Senate convened at 12 noon and adjourned at 4:24 p.m., until 12 noon on Friday, February 12, 2021. (For Senate’s program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today’s Record on page S666.)

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet)

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: Committee ordered favorably reported an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee, and adopted its rules of procedure, and committee questionnaire for nominations for the 117th Congress.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: Committee ordered favorably reported an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee and adopted its rules of procedure for the 117th Congress.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: Committee ordered favorably reported an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 117th Congress.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: Committee ordered favorably reported the nominations of Miguel A. Cardona, of Connecticut, to be Secretary of Education, and Martin Joseph Walsh, of Massachusetts, to be Secretary of Labor; and an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee and adopted its rules of procedure for the 117th Congress.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Indian Affairs: Committee ordered favorably reported an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee and adopted its rules of procedure for the 117th Congress.

BUSINESS MEETING

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee met to consider pending intelligence matters.

Committee recessed subject to the call.
House of Representatives

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 76 public bills, H.R. 961–1,036; and 13 resolutions, H.J. Res. 25; H. Con. Res. 16; and H. Res. 111–121 were introduced. Pages H497–H502

Additional Cosponsors: Pages H504–H505

Reports Filed: There were no reports filed today.

Communication from the Sergeant at Arms: The House received a communication from Timothy P. Blodgett, Acting Sergeant at Arms. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, following consultation with the Office of Attending Physician, Mr. Blodgett notified the House that the public health emergency due to the novel coronavirus SARS–CoV–2 remains in effect. Page H491

Announcement by the Speaker: The Speaker announced the extension, pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 8, and effective February 19, 2021, of the covered period designated on January 4, 2021. Page H491

Committee Elections: The House agreed to H. Res. 111, electing Members to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives. Page H491

Oath of Office—Twenty-Second Congressional District of New York: Representative-elect Claudia Tenney presented herself in the well of the House and was administered the Oath of Office by the Speaker. Earlier, the Clerk of the House transmitted a copy of a letter received from Mr. Robert A. Brehm and Mr. Todd D. Valentine, Co-Executive Directors, New York Board of Elections, indicating that, according to the preliminary results of the Special Election held November 3, 2020, the Honorable Claudia Tenney was elected Representative to Congress for the Twenty-Second Congressional District, State of New York. Page H492

Whole Number of the House: The Speaker announced to the House that, in light of the administration of the oath to the gentlewoman from New York, the whole number of the House is 432. Page H492

Senate Message: Message received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appears on page H491.

Quorum Calls—Votes: There were no Yea and Nay votes, and there were no Recorded votes. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 9 a.m. and adjourned at 9:05 a.m.
Res. 5. The Committee adopted its rules for the 117th Congress, and approved subcommittee membership, chairs, vice chairs, ranking members, and staff. Legislation to comply with the reconciliation directive was adopted, as amended, and ordered reported to the House Committee on the Budget.

MISCELLANEOUS MEASURE

Committee on Ways and Means: Full Committee concluded a markup on legislation to comply with the reconciliation directive included in Section 2001 of the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2021, S. Con. Res. 5. Legislation to comply with the reconciliation directive was adopted, as amended, and ordered reported to the House Committee on the Budget.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2021

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: organizational business meeting to consider an original resolution authorizing expenditures by the committee for the 117th Congress, Time to be announced, S–207, Capitol.

House

Committee on Energy and Commerce, Full Committee, continue markup on legislative recommendations to comply with the reconciliation directive included in section 2001 of the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2021, S. Con. Res. 5, 11 a.m., Webex.

Committee on Oversight and Reform, Full Committee, markup on legislation providing for reconciliation pursuant to S. Con. Res. 5; H.R. 813, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1050 Sunset Road Southwest in Albuquerque, New Mexico, as the “Jose Hernandez Post Office Building”; H.R. 208, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 500 West Main Street, Suite 102 in Tupelo, Mississippi, as the “Colonel Carlyle ‘Smitty’ Harris Post Office”; H.R. 264, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1101 Charlotte Street in Georgetown, South Carolina, as the “Joseph Hayne Rainey Memorial Post Office Building”; and H.R. 772, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 229 Minnetonka Avenue South in Wayzata, Minnesota, as the “Jim Ramstad Post Office”, 12 p.m., 2154 Rayburn and Webex.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
12 noon, Friday, February 12

Senator Chamber
Program for Friday: Senate will continue consideration of the Article of Impeachment against Donald John Trump, former President of the United States.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
9:30 a.m., Monday, February 15

House Chamber
Program for Monday: House will meet in Pro Forma session at 9:30 a.m.
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