At the request of Mr. PORTMAN, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4168, a bill to amend title 54, United States Code, to reauthorize the National Park Foundation.

S. 4169

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4202, a bill to require an annual budget estimate for the initiatives of the National Institutes of Health and their recommendations made under the National Alzheimer's Project Act.

S. 4203

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4203, a bill to extend the National Alzheimer's Project.

S. 4245

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the name of the Senator from Colorado (Mr. HICKENLOOPER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4254, a bill to amend the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 to clarify a provision relating to certain contents of registrations under that Act.

S. 4360

At the request of Mr. OSWALD, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORTEZ MASTO) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4360, a bill to amend title 37, United States Code, to extend the authority to temporarily adjust the basic allowance for housing in certain areas.

S. 4466

At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. THUNE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4466, a bill to require the Secretary of Defense to seek to enter into an agreement with an entity to conduct a study and produce a report on barriers to home ownership for members of the Armed Forces.

S. 4561

At the request of Ms. HYDE-SMITH, the names of the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH) and the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. LEAHY), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) and the Senator from Maine (Mr. KING) were added as cosponsors of S. 4569, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require the Secretary of Health and Human Services to take such actions as may be necessary to ensure the stability of payments to home health agencies under the Medicare program.

S. 4718

At the request of Mr. BLUNT, the name of the Senator from Hawaii (Ms. HIRONO) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4718, a bill to direct the Secretary of Defense to establish a joint training pipeline between the United States Army and the Royal Australian Navy, and for other purposes.

S. 4854

At the request of Mrs. BLACKBURN, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. LANKFORD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4854, a bill to amend title 36, United States Code, to repeal the Federal charter of the National Education Association.

S. J. RES. 61

At the request of Mr. MURR, the name of the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO) was added as a cosponsor of S. J. Res. 61, a joint resolution to prohibit certain aspects of the federal government's management of water resources in the western United States.

S. CON. RES. 10

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 75, a concurrent resolution on the commemoration of the importance of connecting warriors in the United States to support structures necessary to transition from the battlefield.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. REED (for himself, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, and Ms. WARREN):

S. 4857. A bill to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to require companies to file public reports after meeting certain quantitative thresholds, and for other purposes; to the Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, today, I am joined by Senator CORTEZ MASTO in introducing the Private Markets Transparency and Accountability Act, a bill that will address a troubling trend in our capital markets. Increasingly, some of America's largest and most important companies are exploiting weaknesses in our securities laws to stay or go dark: that is, they are avoiding requirements to enter the public markets, where disclosure and transparency are required under law. Instead, they are remaining indefinitely in the private markets, where there is less visibility into the health and activities of the company.

Why should average Americans care? First, they are invested in these companies through pension plans and mutual funds. Second, transparency is the lifeblood of fair and efficient markets. And third, these companies have incredible influence over our society and way of life.

This legislation will address this disturbing trend by requiring the Nation's largest and most important private companies to register with the Securities and Exchange Commission, SEC. Requiring registration would put these companies on par with publicly traded corporations with regard to ongoing public disclosure about their business practices and financial condition.

The most significant development in the capital markets over the last decade has been the explosive growth of the private market. According to consulting firm McKinsey, annual private market fundraising reached a record of almost $1.2 trillion worldwide in 2021 up from $380 billion in 2011. More money has been raised in the private market than in the public markets each year over the past 10 years. Many large companies find the allure of virtually no public transparency or oversight that is available in the private markets irresistible. Under current law, they find that it is all too easy to stay private forever.

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Exchange Act, a private company...
must register with the SEC after reaching 2,000 shareholders “of record.”

Public and private securities are generally not subject to the same legal obligations. For instance, some large private companies can go public without going through the SEC. They are not even required to obtain, or file periodic reports or make the disclosures required in proxy statements. They are not required to disclose the amount of voting or nonvoting shares held by affiliates, or have at least 5,000 shareholders. The SEC estimates that under this threshold, 89 percent of public companies could choose to transition to the private markets and go dark tomorrow.

This threshold desperately needs reform. Former SEC Chairman Mary Schapiro has testified before the Banking Committee that “since the definition of ‘held of record’ was put into place, a fundamental shift has occurred in how securities are held in the United States.” And Harvard Law Professor John Coates recently testified before the committee that “there is much to be said for revisiting the thresholds that are built into the [Exchange Act], thinking about them in a different way, [and] not simply counting numbers.”

It should be alarming when private companies become extremely large and influential in our economy and raise unlimited amounts of capital from an unlimited number of investors, while circumventing the basic disclosure and governance requirements that Congress intended to apply. That is what is happening today. A central feature of the Exchange Act has effectively been gutted.

Again, these are not small or inconsequential companies. Former SEC Commissioner Allison Herren Lee has observed that very large private companies are “notable not just for their size, but for their transformational impacts on our way of life. They have, for example, changed the transportation and travel patterns of billions of Americans in the global, spawned billions of dollars in litigation, changed the legal underpinnings of entire markets, and launched civilizations into space. Yet, despite this impact, there is little public information available about their activities. They are not required to file periodic reports or make the disclosures required in proxy statements. They are not even required to obtain, much less distribute, audited financial statements. This has consequences for investors and policymakers alike, which in turn may have consequences for the broader economy.”

The Private Markets Transparency and Accountability Act would restore the Exchange Act in order to provide the public with the essential insight it needs to make informed decisions. Under our legislation, private companies would be required to register with the SEC and be subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. By doing so, they would no longer need to fly blind when deciding whether to take another job and face the potentially enormous financial consequences of relinquishing their stock or options.

By mandating that very highly valued or large companies register with the SEC, our legislation would raise the bar on governance for companies that control huge swaths of our economy. The ability to stay private forever has directly led to the dramatic rise of diminutive companies with at least a $1 billion valuation. At the start of December 2021, the United States had nearly 473 unicorns. Some of these unicorns have been plagued by scandals and toxic cultures, without any of the consequences or scrutiny that companies designed to curb waste and corruption are subject to. The SEC estimates that under this threshold, 89 percent of public companies would no longer need to fly blind when deciding whether to take another job and face the potentially enormous financial consequences of relinquishing their stock or options.

Our legislation would provide mom-and-pop investors in the private markets with nearly all of the same protections that they are entitled to in the public markets. Pension plans that invest in companies through private equity funds would no longer need to fly blind when deciding whether to take another job and face the potentially enormous financial consequences of relinquishing their stock or options. They would no longer need to fly blind when deciding whether to take another job and face the potentially enormous financial consequences of relinquishing their stock or options.

By mandating that very highly valued or large companies register with the SEC, our legislation would raise the bar on governance for companies that control huge swaths of our economy. The ability to stay private forever has directly led to the dramatic rise of diminutive companies with at least a $1 billion valuation. At the start of December 2021, the United States had nearly 473 unicorns. Some of these unicorns have been plagued by scandals and toxic cultures, without any of the consequences or scrutiny that companies designed to curb waste and corruption are subject to. The SEC estimates that under this threshold, 89 percent of public companies would no longer need to fly blind when deciding whether to take another job and face the potentially enormous financial consequences of relinquishing their stock or options.

Finally, our legislation helps mark-

ets allocate capital more efficiently. When risks are obscured in dark corners of our markets, then capital may not be directed towards the most deserving companies. When similar companies in similar industries of similar size are subject to wildly different disclosure requirements, market participants have less information to value those companies. That means the shares of many public companies may not be accurately priced. Without accurate prices, retail investors cannot have confidence that they are getting reasonable returns on their hard-earned savings.

Our capital markets depend on disclosure and transparency. As more companies remain private indefinitely or go dark, we lose those features and weaken the foundational strengths of our economy. We need to restore these bedrock requirements and ensure that they apply to all major companies whether they are in the private or the public markets.

I thank the bill’s supporters, including the AFL-CIO, the Consumer Federation of America, the North Amer-

ian Securities Administrators Association, the Healthy Markets Association, Public Citizen, former SEC Commissioner Robert J. Jackson, Columbia Law Professor John Coffee, and Harvard Law Professor John Coates, for their leadership on this issue.

Although I would like to thank Senator Cortez Masto for working with me on this legislation, and I urge our colleagues to join us in supporting the Private Markets Transparency and Accountability Act.

By Mr. Kaine (for himself and Mr. Warner): S. 4864. A bill to amend the Natural Gas Act to bolster fairness and transparency in the consideration of inter-state natural gas pipeline permits, to provide for greater public input opportunities in the natural gas pipeline permitting process, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. Kaine. Mr. President, today I am introducing a bill to make the process of siting natural gas pipelines fairer, more transparent, and more responsive to landowner concerns.

For some time now, I have been listening to Virginians with passionate views on the process involved in permitting the Mountain Valley Pipeline, as well as the previous proposal for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. For various reasons, many oppose one or both of these projects, while others support these projects. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC, is tasked with analyzing all the issues— purpose and need for a project, impacts on people living on the route, potential risks to the environment or property—and deciding what course best serves the public interest.

From listening to all sides, I have concluded that while reasonable people may reach different conclusions, FERC’s public input process is flawed and could be better. Accordingly, this legislation proposes several steps to address several shortcomings, all of which were originally brought to my attention by Virginia constituents. For instance, this bill requires programmatic analysis of pipelines proposed around the same time and in the same geographic vicinity so that the full impacts of multiple projects can be analyzed. It requires a greater number of public comment meetings so that those approving or permitting the projects do not have to travel long distances to meetings at which they must speed through just a few minutes of remarks on these complex topics. It ensures that affected landowners are given proper notice and compensation. It guarantees that landowner complaints will be heard before construction commences, and it clarifies the circumstances under which eminent domain should and should not be used.

I am pleased to be joined by my colleague Senator Mark Warner on this bill, which is an update to a version we introduced in the 116th Congress. The public deserves reasonable opportunity