

118TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 573

To amend section 230 of the Communications Act of 1934 to limit the immunity of providers and users of interactive computer services under such section, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 26, 2023

Mr. STEUBE introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce

---

## A BILL

To amend section 230 of the Communications Act of 1934 to limit the immunity of providers and users of interactive computer services under such section, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*

2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Curbing Abuse and

5 Saving Expression In Technology Act” or the “CASE–IT

6 Act”.

1     **SEC. 2. LIMITATION OF SECTION 230 IMMUNITY.**

2         (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 230(c) of the Communica-  
3         tions Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 230(c)) is amended by add-  
4         ing at the end the following:

5             “(3) EXCEPTIONS RELATING TO ILLEGAL,  
6             EXPLOITIVE, OR HARMFUL CONTENT.—

7                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—During a period de-  
8                 scribed in subparagraph (D), paragraph (1)  
9                 shall not apply to a provider or user of an inter-  
10                 active computer service that creates, develops,  
11                 posts, materially contributes to, or induces an-  
12                 other person to create, develop, post, or materi-  
13                 ally contribute to illegal online content.

14                 “(B) CERTAIN CONTACT BETWEEN ADULT  
15                 AND MINOR.—During a period described in sub-  
16                 paragraph (D), paragraph (1) shall not apply to  
17                 a provider of an interactive computer service  
18                 that knowingly permits or facilitates an adult  
19                 having contact through an interactive computer  
20                 service of such provider with an individual that  
21                 such adult knows or believes to be a minor, if  
22                 such contact involves any matter containing ex-  
23                 plicit verbal descriptions or narrative accounts  
24                 of sexually explicit nudity, sexual conduct, sex-  
25                 ual excitement, or sadomasochistic abuse that is

1           intended to arouse or satisfy the sexual desire  
2           of either such adult or such minor.

3           “(C) CONTENT THAT IS INDECENT, OB-  
4           SCENE, OR OTHERWISE HARMFUL TO MI-  
5           NORS.—During a period described in subpara-  
6           graph (D), paragraph (1) shall not apply to a  
7           provider or user of an interactive computer  
8           service that permits or facilitates the distribu-  
9           tion of content that—

10           “(i) is indecent, obscene, or otherwise  
11           harmful to minors; and

12           “(ii) is made readily accessible to mi-  
13           nors by the failure of such provider or user  
14           to implement a system designed to effec-  
15           tively screen users who are minors from  
16           accessing such content, to the extent fea-  
17           sible using technology available at the time  
18           of such distribution.

19           “(D) PERIOD OF LOSS OF IMMUNITY.—  
20           For purposes of subparagraph (A), (B), or (C),  
21           a period described in this subparagraph is—

22           “(i) any 1-year period beginning on  
23           the date on which the provider engages in  
24           conduct described in such subparagraph;  
25           or

1                         “(ii) in the case of such conduct that  
2                         continues for more than 1 day, any 1-year  
3                         period beginning on the date on which the  
4                         provider ceases such conduct.

5                         “(E) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—This  
6                         paragraph shall be broadly construed to ad-  
7                         vance the purposes of this section for the de-  
8                         ployment of new technologies and policies to  
9                         block or filter offensive content such as inde-  
10                         cency, obscenity, pornography, or sexually ex-  
11                         plicit content so as to prevent any such content  
12                         from being readily accessible to minors.

13                         “(4) EXCEPTION FOR STIFLING FREE EXPRES-  
14                         SION.—

15                         “(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (1) and  
16                         (2)(A) shall not apply to a provider of an inter-  
17                         active computer service that is in the business  
18                         or practice of communicating user-generated  
19                         content during any period during which such  
20                         provider—

21                         “(i) is dominant in its market; and  
22                         “(ii) makes content moderation deci-  
23                         sions pursuant to policies or practices that  
24                         are not reasonably consistent with the  
25                         First Amendment to the Constitution.

1                     “(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—This  
2 paragraph shall be broadly construed to ad-  
3 vance the purposes of this section in encour-  
4 aging the growth of the internet as a forum for  
5 a true diversity of discourse, unique opportuni-  
6 ties for cultural development, and myriad ave-  
7 nues for intellectual activity, where lawful polit-  
8 ical, religious, cultural, social, scientific, and  
9 other online content can flourish without dis-  
10 crimination based on viewpoint.

11                     “(5) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—

12                     “(A) IN GENERAL.—If a provider of an  
13 interactive computer service that is dominant in  
14 its market bans, blocks, down-ranks, demone-  
15 tizes in its advertising, or otherwise subjects to  
16 similar adverse treatment the content of any in-  
17 formation content provider that uses an inter-  
18 active computer service of such dominant pro-  
19 vider by reason of the failure of such dominant  
20 provider to make content moderation decisions  
21 pursuant to policies or practices that are rea-  
22 sonably consistent with the First Amendment to  
23 the Constitution, such information content pro-  
24 vider may bring a civil action in an appropriate  
25 State court or an appropriate district court of

1           the United States against such dominant pro-  
2           vider to obtain the relief described in subpara-  
3           graph (B).

4                 “(B) RELIEF.—

5                 “(i) IN GENERAL.—An information  
6                 content provider that prevails in a civil ac-  
7                 tion under subparagraph (A) may obtain  
8                 the following relief:

9                         “(I) The greater of—

10                         “(aa) compensatory dam-  
11                 ages, including both personal and  
12                 business economic loss; or

13                         “(bb) liquidated damages in  
14                 the amount of \$500,000 for each  
15                 incident of adverse treatment de-  
16                 scribed in subparagraph (A).

17                         “(II) Punitive damages, in the  
18                 case of a reckless failure of the pro-  
19                 vider of the interactive computer serv-  
20                 ice to make content moderation deci-  
21                 sions pursuant to policies or practices  
22                 that are reasonably consistent with  
23                 the First Amendment to the Constitu-  
24                 tion.

1                     “(ii) TREBLE DAMAGES.—In the case  
2                     of a willful or knowing failure of the pro-  
3                     vider of the interactive computer service to  
4                     make content moderation decisions pursu-  
5                     ant to policies or practices that are reason-  
6                     ably consistent with the First Amendment  
7                     to the Constitution, the information con-  
8                     tent provider may obtain, instead of the  
9                     amount determined under clause (i)(I),  
10                     three times such amount.

11                     “(6) CERTIFICATION REGARDING MARKET  
12                     DOMINANCE AND CONTENT MODERATION POLICIES  
13                     AND PRACTICES.—

14                     “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120  
15                     days after the date of the enactment of this  
16                     paragraph, the Federal Trade Commission and  
17                     the Attorney General shall promulgate regula-  
18                     tions to establish a process under which a pro-  
19                     vider of an interactive computer service with  
20                     net assets or annual net revenue exceeding  
21                     \$500,000,000 may apply for a review and cer-  
22                     tification by the Federal Trade Commission,  
23                     acting with the concurrence of the Attorney  
24                     General—

1                     “(i) that such provider is not domi-  
2                     nant in its market; or

3                     “(ii) if such provider is determined to  
4                     be dominant in its market under clause (i),  
5                     that the policies and practices of such  
6                     dominant provider relating to content mod-  
7                     eration, as applied to information content  
8                     providers using the interactive computer  
9                     service or interactive computer services of  
10                    such dominant provider, are reasonably  
11                    consistent with the First Amendment to  
12                    the Constitution.

13                    “(B) EFFECT OF CERTIFICATION.—A cer-  
14                    tification under subparagraph (A) may, in the  
15                    discretion of the trial court, be admissible in  
16                    any civil action or criminal prosecution in which  
17                    it is asserted that paragraph (4) applies to the  
18                    provider to which such certification relates, or  
19                    in any civil action brought under paragraph (5)  
20                    against such provider, but such certification  
21                    shall not be determinative on the issues de-  
22                    scribed in clauses (i) and (ii) of such subpara-  
23                    graph.”.

1       (b) DEFINITIONS.—Section 230(f) of the Commu-  
2 nlications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 230(f)) is amended by  
3 adding at the end the following:

4                 “(5) DOMINANT IN ITS MARKET.—The term  
5       ‘dominant in its market’ means, with respect to a  
6       provider of an interactive computer service, that  
7       such provider has gained substantial, sustained mar-  
8       ket power over any competitors. Actual monopoly  
9       control over a market is not required to satisfy the  
10      preceding sentence.

11                 “(6) REASONABLY CONSISTENT WITH THE  
12       FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION.—The  
13       term ‘reasonably consistent with the First Amend-  
14       ment to the Constitution’ means, with respect to the  
15       policies and practices of a provider of an interactive  
16       computer service relating to content moderation,  
17       that such provider conforms such policies and prac-  
18       tices to established law under the First Amendment  
19       to the Constitution applicable to state actors, re-  
20       gardless of whether or not such provider is a state  
21       actor, to the extent feasible taking into consideration  
22       the developing capabilities and complexities of tech-  
23       nology and the unique characteristics of online com-  
24       munication platforms.

1                 “(7) MINOR.—The term ‘minor’ means an individual who is under 18 years of age.

3                 “(8) HARMFUL TO MINORS.—The term ‘harmful to minors’ means, with respect to content, that such content contains a description or representation of nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, or sadomasochistic abuse that—

8                 “(A) predominantly appeals to the prurient, shameful, or morbid interest of minors;

10                 “(B) is patently offensive to prevailing standards in the adult community with respect to what is suitable material for minors; and

13                 “(C) is utterly without redeeming social importance for minors.

15                 “(9) ADULT.—The term ‘adult’ means an individual who is 18 years of age or older.”.

17                 (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to conduct by a provider of an interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(f) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 230(f))) that occurs after the date of the enactment of this Act.

