



United States  
of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 118<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 170

WASHINGTON, FRIDAY, APRIL 12, 2024

No. 63

## Senate

The Senate was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Monday, April 15, 2024, at 3 p.m.

## House of Representatives

FRIDAY, APRIL 12, 2024

The House met at 8 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. NEWHOUSE).

### DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,  
April 12, 2024.

I hereby appoint the Honorable DAN NEWHOUSE to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

MIKE JOHNSON,  
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

### PRAYER

Reverend Robert Suhr, Christ Church, Mequon, Wisconsin, offered the following prayer:

Holy God, mighty Lord, and gracious Father, You are the sovereign God who is the author of all time. You hold all history in Your righteous and merciful hands. Nations rise and fall by Your will, for Your purposes, and by Your grace.

So in this time and on this day, we humbly call upon You to exercise Your will, to show Your compassion, and to loose Your spirit upon the Members of this sacred body, those near to You and those far from You, that this would not be an ordinary day but an extraordinary day.

Open hearts to hear Your guidance and help their ears to hear the voices of Your will speaking. Let the Members of this House today govern with com-

passion, understanding, and a determination to accomplish that which is good and pleasing in Your sight and that which is good for the people of this Nation.

Holy spirit, we entrust ourselves to You that at the end of this day we may rest in peace and this great Nation will remain a light that shatters the darkness, a city that brightly shines on a hill, and that all nations may see Your handiwork and the work of this body today.

All this we ask relying on Your grace, and we ask through the power of Jesus' holy and precious name.

Amen.

### THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House the approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1 of rule I, the Journal stands approved.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. NEGUSE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. NEGUSE led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

### WELCOMING REVEREND ROBERT SUHR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank Pastor Suhr for that wonderful prayer this morning. He and his family have been friends of mine for a long time. His church, as we said, is in Mequon, Wisconsin.

While, sadly for our country, in so many parishes or churches the attendance has been down, he has grown a much bigger church than he found it. It is truly booming, and it is a great success story for Christianity in Mequon.

In any event, again, congratulations to Reverend Suhr. I am so honored to be here today for that prayer.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 7888, REFORMING INTELLIGENCE AND SECURING AMERICA ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 529, EXTENDING LIMITS OF U.S. CUSTOMS WATERS ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H. RES. 1112, DENOUNCING THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION'S IMMIGRATION POLICIES; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H. RES. 1117, OPPOSING EFFORTS TO PLACE ONE-SIDED PRESSURE ON ISRAEL WITH RESPECT TO GAZA

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call

This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g.,  1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H2321

up House Resolution 1137 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1137

*Resolved*, That at any time after adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 7888) to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence or their respective designees. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. An amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 118-27 shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as the original bill for the purpose of further amendment under the five-minute rule and shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. No further amendment to the bill, as amended, shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such further amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such further amendments are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill, as amended, to the House with such further amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

SEC. 2. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 529) to extend the customs waters of the United States from 12 nautical miles to 24 nautical miles from the baselines of the United States, consistent with Presidential Proclamation 7219. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Ways and Means now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 3. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order without intervention of any point of order to consider in the House the resolution (H. Res. 1112) denouncing the Biden administration's immigration policies. The resolution shall be considered as read.

The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the resolution and preamble to adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question except one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees.

SEC. 4. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order without intervention of any point of order to consider in the House the resolution (H. Res. 1117) opposing efforts to place one-sided pressure on Israel with respect to Gaza. The resolution shall be considered as read. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the resolution and preamble to adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question except one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs or their respective designees.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. NEGUSE), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, the committee granted by a recorded vote of 8-4 a rule providing for consideration of the following measures: H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act; H.R. 529, the Extending Limits of U.S. Customs Waters Act; H. Res. 1112, Denouncing the Biden Administration's Immigration Policies; and H. Res. 1117, Opposing Efforts to Place One-Sided Pressure on Israel With Respect to Gaza.

The rule provides for consideration of H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act, under a structured rule.

The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence or their respective designees.

Let's talk about the rule for H.R. 7888, the highly anticipated and heavily debated Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act.

There will be six amendments allowed for this bill, and they break down into three different categories.

There are three amendments from the Judiciary Committee that basically limit or constrain the government in its use of the FISA 702 program.

The first one is Mr. BIGGS' amendment. It is probably the most discussed amendment, and it would prohibit warrantless searches of U.S. person communications in the FISA 702 database.

The second is Mr. ROY's amendment, which requires the FBI to report to Congress on a quarterly basis the number of U.S. person queries conducted.

Mr. CLINE's amendment prohibits the resumption of "abouts" collection under section 702.

The intel amendments basically expand the FISA program.

Mr. CRENSHAW's amendment expands the definition of foreign intelligence to allow targeting and collection of information about illicit drugs. Instead of just being about terrorism, it will expand the program to include illicit drugs.

Mr. WALTZ' amendment expands the use of section 702 by allowing it to be used to vet foreigners traveling into the United States.

Mr. TURNER's amendment expands the definition of "electronic communication service provider" under section 702.

We will have a full and robust debate on those amendments after this rule passes.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I thank my friend, the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MASSIE), for the customary 30 minutes.

(Mr. NEGUSE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, today's rule, as Mr. MASSIE articulated, again provides for the consideration of four bills.

Mr. Speaker, I suspect that you will be familiar with these four bills because these four bills were under a rule 2 days ago.

What happened to that rule? It failed, and that is part and parcel of the chaos and dysfunction that House Republicans have engulfed this august Chamber in for the better part of the last 15 months.

As of 2 days ago, seven rules—seven—have failed on the House floor, Mr. Speaker. You might be wondering and those watching from home might be wondering how many rules failed when Democrats had the majority under Speaker NANCY D'ALESSANDRO PELOSI.

□ 0815

In fact, from 1999 to 2023, only two rules failed on the House floor, neither of which happened when House Democrats were in control of this Chamber.

The last bill, Mr. Speaker, to pass the Rules Committee and make its way to the President's desk without suspension of our rules was almost 1 year ago. That is unprecedented.

It is not hyperbole to say that Republicans have literally presided over the most ineffective session of Congress in history.

Despite, by the way, Mr. Speaker, the pressing challenges that our Nation faces, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle repeatedly show that Republicans have no capacity or desire to govern, instead prioritizing unwarranted censures, sham impeachments, and nonbinding resolution after nonbinding resolution.

Instead of debating core issues, like lowering costs, growing the middle class, building safer communities, addressing our critical national security needs, we have spent yet another week here in Washington wasting time.

This is the third time that we are considering a variation of one of these nonbinding resolutions today. Stunts over solutions, Mr. Speaker, has become unfortunately, the majority's motto. This is not how governing is supposed to work.

I have served in this body for some time now. I know there are serious Members on the other side of the aisle. I wish Republicans would pull back their caucus and this institution from the brink and work with us in a bipartisan way to address core needs of the American people. Unfortunately, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have yet to show any desire to do so, but hope springs eternal.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I congratulate the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS), in his appointment as the new chairman of the Rules Committee.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS), chairman of the Rules Committee.

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MASSIE), my friend, for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, I do rise today in support of the rule and the underlying legislation. It is a new day in the House of Representatives, and I intend to make certain that this process works and it works for all of us; that all Members get to be heard and at the end of the day, as Mr. MASSIE points out; and that after a fulsome debate, we are able to move forward for the American people.

I will specifically talk today on H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act, and H. Res. 1117, Opposing Efforts to Place One-Sided Pressure on Israel with Respect to Gaza.

Republicans remain concerned about the abuses that occurred under 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act in previous administrations. The rule before us provides consideration for reforms to FISA, including greater transparency and greater oversight for the American people.

Mr. Speaker, in total, 56 reforms were made in response to concerns raised by our constituents. These reforms include prohibiting searches by the FBI unrelated to national security and prohibits political appointees from being involved in the FBI's query process.

The Rules Committee met last night to report this rule out of committee. It was a bit of a process. There are two significant changes to highlight from earlier in the week. The Rules Committee print changes the reauthorization from 5 years to 2 years, which is important.

The reforms that are now incorporated in the new FISA reauthorization will be reevaluated by the next Congress as to whether or not they are actually working. Therefore, rather than a 5-year reauthorization, we can look again in 2 years to make certain, for our constituents, for the American people, that these reforms are actually working.

I thank the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY), my friend, for bringing that forward.

There are also changes in the reauthorization that strike section 19(c) from the text altogether. The latter action was taken amid some confusion about whether 19(c) would have unintentionally permanently reauthorized section 702. To help clear up any ambiguity, that section has now been removed. Ultimately, this legislation will ensure that the appropriate guardrails are in place to safeguard Americans' constitutional rights and help keep Americans safe.

Additionally, Mr. Speaker, I express my support for H. Res. 1117, offered by Ms. SALAZAR from Florida.

Israel has a right to defend itself, especially after the notorious attack by Hamas on October 7.

On April 4, after a call between President Biden and Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, the White House released a press release stating that an immediate cease-fire is necessary.

I would remind the White House that a cease-fire was in existence prior to the attack by Hamas. It is not right for the United States to pressure an ally to end a conflict that that ally did not begin. Mr. Speaker, Israel has a right to exist and a right to self-defense. The United States does not get to decide that for Israel.

I would underscore the pathways for ensuring humanitarian aid, being able to enter Gaza and actually reach the Palestinian people and not be hijacked by their Hamas overlords. On April 5, Israel opened up three new corridors for humanitarian aid. I appreciate the efforts to take responsibility for something Hamas has proven unwilling to do and hope that the conflict can soon come to an end.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding additional time.

However, one-sided pressure by the White House is not the way to ensure that end.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of this rule from our committee, and I urge passage of the underlying legislation.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, while I congratulate the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS), the chairman of the distinguished Rules Committee, I must say I am confounded by the audacity of any House Republican to come to the floor and lecture any of us about national security when my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have held hostage a bill that passed the United States Senate on a bipartisan basis to address the national security needs of this country. For months, the majority has held that bill hostage and refuse to put the bill on the floor.

Republicans also have the audacity to come to the floor and lecture us or the White House. The White House needs no reminder about the necessity of supporting our allies. My colleagues have implored this institution to do its job in supporting our allies abroad. It is the Speaker and the House Republican caucus that refuse to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. LOFGREN).

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, for starters, we need to examine why we are being hustled to do this today.

When we extended FISA earlier, there was a provision in the bill that allowed the FISA court to extend, and they have taken advantage of that. They have extended FISA until June of 2025, and so I think we are being hustled here today for a reason, which is to prevent the Constitution from being applied to FISA.

Mr. Speaker, under the amendment being offered, a warrant would be required for a search of the data of U.S. persons. This is important. It would exclude imminent threats; exigent circumstances, as any warrant does; or exclude cases where a person consents to a search or where there is cybersecurity. It excludes metadata.

It is important to note that the FBI executed more than 200,000 warrantless searches of U.S. persons in 2022, including 141 Black Lives Matter protesters, 2 Members of Congress, journalists, commentators, political parties, donors to political campaigns. It is really outrageous. The base bill is insufficient to protect us. There are two major points that it makes. Neither makes any sense or any difference.

The big deal is a prohibition on U.S. person queries that are conducted solely for the evidence of a crime. That sounds good until it is realized that the FBI almost never does that. In fact, in 2022, there were only two cases in which that provision would have been a prohibition.

The second issue is codifying the regulations about searches by the FBI today. Obviously, that doesn't do any good because the FBI, under the current regulations, continues to violate our rights and to do warrantless searches.

The only way to end the abuse is to approve the warrant requirement that is being offered in the amendment. The

American public agrees with us, with 76 percent of Americans supporting a requirement that the government get a warrant before searching in these cases.

Mr. Speaker, to hear the administration talk about it, getting a warrant here would be like the end of the world. In literally any other context, law enforcement or intelligence agencies who want to read Americans' communications have to get a warrant.

Actually, for the last 46 years, the government has had to get a FISA title I order to read Americans' communications in foreign intelligence investigations. These are investigations in which Americans are suspected of terrorism, espionage, cybercrimes, et cetera.

Hence, somehow a warrant for title I is consistent with national security, but it will plunge us into a dystopian nightmare if we apply this basic constitutional requirement where Americans aren't even suspected of wrongdoing.

This is not a wild idea. We have had, under the Obama administration, intelligence experts convene to examine this issue. The experts included former CIA directors, national security people from both parties. The experts unanimously agreed that we should have a warrant requirement in these circumstances.

Mr. Speaker, someone said that no court has ever required a warrant in these circumstances. That is incorrect. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals did point out that lawful collection alone isn't enough to justify a search.

In fact, when it comes to examining the need for this, the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, which Congress created to take a look at the data that is classified, concluded that there was little justification on the relative value of the close to 5 million searches conducted by the FBI from 2019 to 2022.

The chair of the Board said this: "In the strongest examples offered by the FBI, such as the 'victim' or 'defensive' query examples . . . the government would likely be able to meet the probable cause standard or one of the exceptions contemplated," namely, consent or exigent circumstances.

With a 15-year track record to draw on, the government has failed time and again to show it had derived unique and significant national security value from a U.S. person query that could not have been conducted—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I would note that the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board did recommend by a majority vote that a warrant requirement be imposed.

Mr. Speaker, to ignore this advice is to ignore our Constitution. We take an oath every Congress to support and defend the Constitution of the United

States. This is a significant opportunity for us to uphold that oath.

The Fourth Amendment matters. If we do not take this opportunity to protect the privacy of Americans when it comes to this matter, we will, in my belief and my view, have failed in our obligation and our duty to protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.

□ 0830

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. TIFFANY), my colleague on the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. TIFFANY. Mr. Speaker, I stand today in support of the warrant amendment. I will speak on the warrant amendment here for a couple minutes that were about to vote on today.

I hold in my hands here a document that states all the reasons why the warrant amendment should not be adopted by this Congress, and I will cite one item, specifically. Number 5 cites the current FBI director. He goes on to say that Russia has launched the most violent ground war in Europe since the 1940s as a justification for not passing this amendment.

Will Americans giving up their civil rights prevent that?

China has rapidly proliferated its nuclear weapons capabilities. Will Americans giving up their civil rights prevent that proliferation? Will it prevent China in—clearly, they are a threat to the free world with their seeking global hegemony, but do Americans have to give up their civil rights?

It goes on to talk about Afghanistan falling to the Taliban, ISIS revived, Houthi terrorists putting our troops under attack, Israeli men, women, and children slaughtered by Hamas. Will Americans giving up their civil rights prevent those things from happening?

It won't.

These words ring very hollow by the current FBI director when he is targeting Americans, when we have seen the leadership of our FBI target Americans. We have a powerful word in the English language, and I think it is one of the most beautiful words out there. It is liberty.

And encapsulated in that liberty is freedom, and the Founders used liberty as often as they used the term "freedom." Liberty encapsulates freedom, but it also says you have to be accountable for that freedom. There are those in our government who have chosen not to be accountable. This great system we have allows us to provide that accountability, and that is what we are here to do today is to provide that accountability for those intelligence agencies.

The choice is simple before us today. We can protect the powerful with their Praetorian guard here in Washington, D.C., or do we protect the American people with the most powerful document created in the history of mankind, the Constitution?

Today, Mr. Speaker, I will be choosing the people and the Constitution.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I have great respect for my colleague from Wisconsin. We served together on the Subcommittee on Federal Lands, and I certainly agree with him about the preservation of liberty and the importance of liberty in our founding documents as the core fabric, core threat in our country, but I must also just say that I don't think the American people share House Republicans' priorities. Let me explain why.

On Monday, the Rules Committee will be meeting to consider a number of bills. House Republicans put out a notice yesterday what those bills would include. Let me just give you a sampling, Mr. Speaker: the Refrigerator Freedom Act, the Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act, the Clothes Dryers Reliability Act, and—this may be my favorite—the Liberty in Laundry Act.

While I appreciate the gentleman from Wisconsin's very passionate defense of liberty, I am not so sure the American people had that in mind. I don't think they are thinking of the Liberty in Laundry Act. I think they expect this House Republican majority to actually address the consequential challenges that face our country, not waste time on petty games and non-sense bills.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Wyoming (Ms. HAGEMAN), my friend and colleague on the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. HAGEMAN. Mr. Speaker, the security state's abuse of their foreign intelligence authorities to unlawfully surveil American citizens and search their records has been exposed for all to see.

In 2021, the FBI conducted over 3 million FISA searches of Americans. In 2022, the FBI was still conducting hundreds of such warrantless queries per day.

In the 2020 and early 2021 time period, the FBI conducted over 278,000 searches of the 702 FISA database that violated the Justice Department's own rules and often lacked national security connections.

The FBI is querying Americans of all political and religious affiliations. The FBI is even using section 702 to target elected and appointed government officials.

The FBI's abuses are well-known—using the 702 database to search for information on those individuals that it perceives to be political enemies of liberal orthodoxy, seeking to infiltrate the Catholic church, spying on parents at school board meetings, and working with Big Tech to censor Americans it disagrees with.

This is Stasi level abuse, and it must be stopped.

So my question for this body is, if catching the government violating the Constitution and our civil liberties is not the time for significant reform, then when is it?

The proposed changes to FISA are a good first step, but they don't go far

enough. There are three additional amendments to assure accountability. One includes a warrant requirement to query the 702 database for Americans. There is no national security exception to the Fourth Amendment and we must ensure that these agencies adhere to the bill of rights.

This warrant amendment would not prevent the government from using all of the available national security tools. It simply requires the government to get a warrant.

Now there are some who would argue that requiring the intelligence agencies to obtain a warrant before spying on American citizens would be too burdensome and unreasonably delay their efforts to keep the homeland safe. My first response is to note that if these agencies sincerely cared about national security, they would be doing everything in their power to convince President Biden and Mayorkas to close the border, but that has not been their priority and their silence is deafening.

My second response is to note that this reauthorization is only for 2 years. We can pass the warrant amendment and reassess the situation in 2 short years, making the necessary tweaks at that time.

The second amendment offered by Mr. CLINE would end, once and for all, “abouts” collection, and the third amendment by Mr. ROY would enhance reporting requirements and bring more transparency to the FISA court process.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support these three amendments. If these three amendments do not pass, section 702 should not be reauthorized. I also urge my colleagues to reject the three additional amendments that we will be taking up, amendments that are actually designed to expand FISA. It is simply unacceptable to reward an agency’s abuse of power.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote for the rule and the three amendments.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, again, I appreciate the passion of the speakers on the other side of the aisle, including the gentlewoman from Wyoming, but facts matter, Mr. Speaker. And this body must dispense with the notion that any of this, the ills that they have spent all this time describing, are attributable to the Biden administration.

Mr. Speaker, I will read you a quote. This is from January 2018: “I would have preferred a permanent reauthorization”—let me repeat that—“permanent reauthorization of title VII to protect the safety and security of the Nation. By signing this act today, however, I am ensuring that this lawful and essential intelligence program will continue to protect Americans for at least the next 6 years. We cannot let our guard down in the face of foreign threats to our safety, our freedom, and our way of life,” President Donald J. Trump.

I understand we are going to have robust debates about the mechanics and

the nuances with respect to this particular bill but spare us lectures about the need for a shorter runway and a shorter reauthorization, when the former President, whom apparently the House Republican caucus continues to take orders from, made clear and abundant his desire for a permanent reauthorization of this program with none of these reforms, by the way. None of them.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Ms. GREENE), my good friend.

Ms. GREENE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, the question today is: Do you trust the government?

We often hear the claim that it is for your safety, and any time the government tells us it is for your safety, the American people really question what that means.

The same intelligence community that spied on President Trump’s campaign has been deeply invested in reauthorizing FISA. The same intelligence community that wrote the letter lying, saying that the Hunter Biden’s laptop is not real, deeply wants FISA reauthorized.

These are also the same people in the intelligence community that abused FISA and spied on hundreds of thousands of Americans, and I would argue they will continue to do it.

These are also the same people who oppose the FBI having to get a warrant before they can search Americans’ data. Yet, we have a clause in this bill today that protects Members of Congress and requires Congress to be notified before they can search Members of Congress’ data.

It is always the rules for thee, but not for me. The problem is that this process to reauthorize FISA has received more effort than Congress has actually given securing the border. If the government really cared about protecting Americans, then they would shut the border down and mass deport terrorists out of our country and criminal illegal aliens, but they are not doing that. No. They are telling us we have got to reauthorize FISA so the government can continue to spy on Americans.

There has been a lot of games played here in the swamp this past week when it comes to authorizing this bill. We were even told on Wednesday that FISA was completely stopped; yet, here we are voting on virtually the same rule and virtually the same text. The only change has been from a 5-year sunset to a 2-year sunset.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Georgia.

Ms. GREENE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I would argue that changing that timeframe does nothing.

If Congress wants to change FISA to protect Americans or get rid of it alto-

gether, we can do that. We make the laws. The question today is: Do you trust the Department of Justice to hold the FBI accountable?

I don’t.

The warrants aren’t added to the bill text unless we pass the amendment after this vote and change the bill text. A vote to change the bill text and add warrants will not get me to pass the final bill, to pass FISA, because I don’t trust the government and neither should you.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I just want to read from an article from a few days ago. This is from FOX 5 in Atlanta. The headline: “Marjorie Taylor Greene standing by eclipse, NE Earthquake comments.”

“Georgia Congressman Marjorie Taylor Greene is standing by comments she made about last week’s earthquake in the Northeast and Monday’s eclipse.”

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Colorado will suspend.

Mr. NEGUSE. Yes, sir.

Mr. MASSIE. Did the gentleman address his remarks to somebody on this side of the aisle?

Mr. NEGUSE. No, I am addressing the Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I am reading an article, a newspaper article. Has that become objectionable now in this body?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Colorado is recognized.

Mr. NEGUSE. I thank the Speaker. I will dispense with it quickly. I will just simply say, again, this is quoting from the article here that: “The Republican Representative then posted on X, the social media site formerly known as Twitter, that ‘God is sending America strong signs to tell us to repent.’ Greene also pointed to Monday’s eclipse, saying there are ‘many more things to come.’”

To the extent that my friend from Kentucky was looking for me to make a connection here to the debate that we are having, I suspect it is self-evident, but I am not so sure that the American people should necessarily be taking much stock into the arguments that are being made by my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, including from the speaker that we just heard from.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 0845

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. MOORE), my friend.

Mr. MOORE of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, 3,394,053. That is the estimated number of U.S. person queries conducted by the FBI during my first year here in Congress. The number of improper searches by the FBI is in the hundreds of thousands, according to a DOJ audit.

We may be voting to reauthorize the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, but the people of Alabama clearly see

it is being used to spy on Americans like themselves and President Trump.

That is why I voted in the Judiciary markup and will continue to support the Biggs amendment that requires a warrant or a court order before the query of a U.S. person under section 702.

We, as Members of Congress, owe it to our constituents to protect their civil liberties. We cannot allow the intelligence community, which recently spent its resources weaponizing against pro-life grandmothers, concerned parents at school boards, Catholics, and Biden's political opponents, to freely spy on American citizens.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to adopt the rule, and I urge the adoption of the warrant amendment.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. DAVIDSON), my friend and colleague.

Mr. DAVIDSON. Mr. Speaker, freedom surrendered is rarely reclaimed. Today, we have an opportunity to make progress. After 9/11, the PATRIOT Act passed. One Senator voted "no." Sixty-three Members of the House of Representatives voted "no." Both parties failed with that vote.

FISA has been reauthorized, and it never gets a full, clean vote. It is little tranches. In 2020, we ended the business records surveillance program, section 215. The government didn't stop collecting business records; they just stopped doing it in conformance with section 215 of FISA.

Section 702 is an important program. The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act is supposed to stop foreign threats to our country, but there is a reason there is not a domestic surveillance act. It is because there is a Fourth Amendment to the Constitution, and that amendment does not say that if you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to fear. It says, as an American citizen, you have a right to privacy, that your records cannot be searched without probable cause and a warrant or subpoena. Due process should not be infringed.

The Fourth Amendment is probably the most disregarded protection given to us by the Bill of Rights. Our right to privacy is supposed to be defended, and we have this chance today but not a complete chance.

We have a bill that people will claim has 56 reforms, and it does. Of those, 45 are from the Intelligence Committee. Now, some of these were comparable to the Judiciary bill, but they are weaker and more watered down than the Judiciary bill. Three of them actually protect Members of Congress, so only two are clean from the Judiciary Committee's bill.

One of the amendments we cannot cover today, one of the reasons that the rule failed, was to say that even if the warrant passes, the government can't buy your data to circumvent the

need to get a warrant in the first place. That is what they are doing. They are buying data. They are structuring markets to collect the data, and they are circumventing the Fourth Amendment. We need to turn that off.

There is a lot of ground to make up on the right to privacy, but I hope we take this chance today. I remind my colleagues that we don't work at a think tank; we work in a legislature. The opportunity before us today is to make progress on reclaiming this freedom that we have surrendered.

I will support this bill in the final passage if we have a warrant requirement and if the Intel threats to the Fourth Amendment fail. If those expansions of warrantless spying pass, even if the warrant is there, I will vote "no" on final passage. I encourage all of my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Again, I am going to go through this list of bills that they have noticed for Monday: the Liberty in Laundry Act, the Clothes Dryer Reliability Act, and the Refrigerator Freedom Act. I can assure the gentleman from Ohio, I don't think any of the American people believe that he works in a think tank, given these bills that they have apparently noticed for this House to consider next week.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Indiana (Mrs. SPARTZ).

Mrs. SPARTZ. Mr. Speaker, I guess under the current version of the bill, Americans should feel better that Congress will be authorizing to spy on them only for 2 years, not for 5, but I really want to bring up some other issues that are the essence of this bill that the bill is not addressing.

We have been talking about warrants, which is extremely important. This is a search, so government is able to search without a warrant. That is a violation of the Fourth Amendment. It is unconstitutional.

On top of it, when we are talking about lawfully collected information, in reality, it could be very unlawful information there. We do not know. It is never addressed. We know for a fact that government unlawfully collected information in 2016. We know the government acknowledged that they have a lot of data there. They don't know how much it could be. They call it all incidental.

There is nothing in this bill addressing actually if this is for lawfully collected information. There is no auditing, no checking, and they want us to trust. If we pass with a warrant, at least we will have a warrant to potentially search unlawfully collected information, but if this bill passes as it is, Congress will be authorizing the government to conduct unconstitutional searches of unlawfully collected information for 2 years. It almost sounds ironic for us, an institution

that should be protecting the constitutional rights of Americans.

Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleagues on both sides of the aisle are paying attention to what we will be voting for, and I hope Congress will wake up to start protecting the American people, not playing circuses here.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time to close.

Today's rule, put simply, is a testament to the Republican playbook since assuming the majority 15 months ago: chaos, gridlock, and infighting. Over the past year, honestly, it has been difficult to understand what my colleagues across the aisle truly want.

At the beginning of this Congress, the other side of the aisle voted for a House rules package that they promised would entail an open rules process for amendments, yet this Congress is on pace to have more closed rules than any Congress in the last 100 years, over a century.

A minority of House Republicans now dictate what proposals will even have a chance to be considered in this Chamber, to stand in the marketplace of ideas that our colleagues claim to love so dearly.

Mr. Speaker, our colleagues across the aisle reject compromises at every turn. My Republican colleagues rejected a bipartisan immigration deal that came out of the Senate before even reading the bill text. The bill passed with 70 votes, Mr. Speaker, in the United States Senate.

Our allies around the world have literally been left stranded, and House Republicans won't even bring the bill up for an up-or-down vote. Instead, their top priority is the Refrigerator Freedom Act, Mr. Speaker.

The American people deserve better. They expect better. Enough of the political stunts. Enough of the infighting. Let's get back to work, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to oppose the previous question and the rule, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time to close. We are here today to pass a rule that will bring up a program for a vote that has been abused hundreds of thousands of times, abused by the FBI's own standards hundreds of thousands of times. Every time they have used it, they have actually abused it because they have not followed the constitutional requirement in the Fourth Amendment.

Today, if we pass this rule, we will have votes on six different amendments. Three of these amendments will expand the program, and three of these amendments will constrain the program.

There are people who say this bill is fine as is, that it doesn't need any amendments. Here is the problem with that: If we believed that, why would we put exemptions for Congress in this bill?

There are exemptions for Congress in the base bill of 702. What do they do?

They say that if a Congressman is going to have their privacy violated with the 702 program by the FBI, the FBI has to notify Congress. It goes on to say in this bill that if the FBI is going to tell us that they are doing it for our own good, they have to get permission from the Congressman whose privacy is going to be violated. Why does that only apply to Members of this body?

The Constitution provides that we should give these protections to everybody. The Constitution requires a warrant. That is one of the amendments that will be offered here today.

In fact, the chairman and the ranking member of the Judiciary Committee—the committee of jurisdiction for this legislation, the committee that many years ago created the 702 program—have said that if the warrant provision is not adopted, they will not vote to renew this program. I applaud them for taking that stand because the Fourth Amendment to our Constitution says: “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

The FISA 702 program is clearly in violation of the Fourth Amendment. We can fix it, for the most part, with one amendment. There will still be other defects in the FISA program.

I will just close by saying this: America is watching us today. They are going to watch the results of this vote. What will we do here today? Are we going to carve out exemptions for Congress? Are we going to protect ourselves but not the American people, or are we going to provide them with the protections that our Founding Fathers enshrined in our Constitution?

We swore an oath to do that when we took these offices as legislators, and we need to follow that oath. That is why I urge adoption of this rule. I urge people to vote for the warrant amendment, and I urge people not to vote for the final bill if the protections of the warrant amendment are not there.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time and move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 208, nays 202, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 112]

YEAS—208

|                 |                |               |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Aderholt        | Gallagher      | Miller (WV)   |
| Alford          | Garcia, Mike   | Miller-Meeks  |
| Allen           | Gimenez        | Mills         |
| Amodei          | Gonzales, Tony | Molinaro      |
| Armstrong       | Good (VA)      | Moolenaar     |
| Arrington       | Gooden (TX)    | Moore (AL)    |
| Bacon           | Gosar          | Moore (UT)    |
| Baird           | Granger        | Moran         |
| Balderson       | Graves (LA)    | Murphy        |
| Banks           | Graves (MO)    | Nehls         |
| Barr            | Green (TN)     | Newhouse      |
| Bean (FL)       | Greene (GA)    | Norman        |
| Bentz           | Griffith       | Nunn (IA)     |
| Bice            | Grothman       | Nice          |
| Biggs           | Guest          | Obornolte     |
| Bilirakis       | Guthrie        | Ogles         |
| Bishop (NC)     | Hageman        | Owens         |
| Bost            | Harris         | Palmer        |
| Brecheen        | Harshbarger    | Pence         |
| Buchanan        | Hern           | Perry         |
| Bucshon         | Higgins (LA)   | Pfluger       |
| Burchett        | Hill           | Posey         |
| Burgess         | Hinson         | Reschenthaler |
| Burlison        | Houchin        | Rodgers (WA)  |
| Calvert         | Hudson         | Rogers (AL)   |
| Cammack         | Huizenga       | Rogers (KY)   |
| Carey           | Hunt           | Rose          |
| Carli           | Issa           | Rosendale     |
| Carter (GA)     | Jackson (TX)   | Rouzer        |
| Carter (TX)     | James          | Roy           |
| Chavez-DeRemer  | Johnson (LA)   | Rutherford    |
| Ciscomani       | Johnson (SD)   | Salazar       |
| Cline           | Jordan         | Scalise       |
| Cloud           | Joyce (OH)     | Schweikert    |
| Clyde           | Joyce (PA)     | Scott, Austin |
| Cole            | Kean (NJ)      | Self          |
| Collins         | Kelly (MS)     | Sessions      |
| Comer           | Kelly (PA)     | Simpson       |
| Crane           | Kiggans (VA)   | Smith (NE)    |
| Crawford        | Kiley          | Smith (NJ)    |
| Crenshaw        | Kim (CA)       | Smucker       |
| Curtis          | Kustoff        | Spartz        |
| D'Esposito      | LaHood         | Staubert      |
| Davidson        | LaLota         | Steel         |
| De La Cruz      | LaMalfa        | Stefanik      |
| DesJarlais      | Lamborn        | Steil         |
| Diaz-Balart     | Langworthy     | Steube        |
| Donalds         | Latta          | Strong        |
| Duarte          | LaTurner       | Tenney        |
| Duncan          | Lawler         | Thompson (PA) |
| Dunn (FL)       | Lee (FL)       | Tiffany       |
| Edwards         | Letlow         | Timmons       |
| Ellzey          | Loudermilk     | Turner        |
| Emmer           | Lucas          | Valadao       |
| Estes           | Luna           | Van Drew      |
| Ezell           | Luttrell       | Van Duyne     |
| Fallon          | Mace           | Wagner        |
| Feenstra        | Malliotakis    | Walberg       |
| Ferguson        | Maloy          | Waltz         |
| Finstad         | Mann           | Weber (TX)    |
| Fischbach       | Massie         | Webster (FL)  |
| Fitzgerald      | Mast           | Wenstrup      |
| Fitzpatrick     | McCaul         | Williams (NY) |
| Fleischmann     | McClain        | Williams (TX) |
| Flood           | McClintock     | Wilson (SC)   |
| Foxx            | McCormick      | Wittman       |
| Franklin, Scott | McHenry        | Womack        |
| Fry             | Meuser         | Yakym         |
| Fulcher         | Miller (IL)    | Zinke         |
| Gaetz           | Miller (OH)    |               |

NAYS—202

|                 |             |               |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Adams           | Carter (LA) | Davis (NC)    |
| Aguilar         | Cartwright  | Dean (PA)     |
| Allred          | Casar       | DeGette       |
| Amo             | Casten      | DeLauro       |
| Auchincloss     | Castor (FL) | DeBene        |
| Balint          | Castro (TX) | Deluzio       |
| Barragán        | Cherfilus-  | DeSaulnier    |
| Beatty          | McCormick   | Dingell       |
| Bera            | Chu         | Escobar       |
| Beyer           | Clark (MA)  | Eshoo         |
| Bishop (GA)     | Clarke (NY) | Espallat      |
| Blumenauer      | Cleaver     | Evans         |
| Blunt Rochester | Clyburn     | Fletcher      |
| Bonamici        | Cohen       | Foster        |
| Bowman          | Connolly    | Foushee       |
| Boyle (PA)      | Correa      | Frankel, Lois |
| Brown           | Costa       | Frost         |
| Brownley        | Courtney    | Garamendi     |
| Budzinski       | Craig       | García (IL)   |
| Bush            | Crockett    | García (TX)   |
| Caraveo         | Crow        | Golden (ME)   |
| Carbajal        | Cuellar     | Goldman (NY)  |
| Cárdenas        | Dauids (KS) | Gomez         |
| Carson          | Davis (IL)  |               |

|                 |               |                |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Gonzalez,       | McCollum      | Scanlon        |
| Vicente         | McGarvey      | Schakowsky     |
| Gottheimer      | McGovern      | Schiff         |
| Green, Al (TX)  | Meeks         | Schneider      |
| Harder (CA)     | Menendez      | Scholten       |
| Hayes           | Meng          | Schrier        |
| Himes           | Mfume         | Scott (VA)     |
| Horsford        | Moore (WI)    | Scott, David   |
| Houlahan        | Morelle       | Sewell         |
| Hoyer           | Moskowitz     | Sherman        |
| Hoyle (OR)      | Moulton       | Sherrill       |
| Huffman         | Mrvan         | Slotkin        |
| Ivey            | Mullin        | Smith (WA)     |
| Jackson (IL)    | Nadler        | Sorensen       |
| Jackson (NC)    | Napolitano    | Soto           |
| Jackson Lee     | Neal          | Spanberger     |
| Jacobs          | Neguse        | Stansbury      |
| Jayapal         | Nickel        | Stanton        |
| Jeffries        | Norcross      | Stevens        |
| Kamllager-Dove  | Ocasio-Cortez | Suozi          |
| Kaptur          | Omar          | Sykes          |
| Keating         | Pallone       | Takano         |
| Kelly (IL)      | Panetta       | Thanedar       |
| Khanna          | Pappas        | Thompson (CA)  |
| Kildee          | Pascrell      | Thompson (MS)  |
| Kilmer          | Pelosi        | Tlaib          |
| Kim (NJ)        | Peltola       | Tokuda         |
| Krishnamoorthi  | Perez         | Tonko          |
| Kuster          | Peters        | Torres (CA)    |
| Landsman        | Pettersen     | Torres (NY)    |
| Larsen (WA)     | Phillips      | Trahan         |
| Larson (CT)     | Pingree       | Trone          |
| Lee (CA)        | Pocan         | Underwood      |
| Lee (NV)        | Porter        | Vargas         |
| Lee (PA)        | Pressley      | Vasquez        |
| Leger Fernandez | Quigley       | Veasey         |
| Levin           | Ramirez       | Velázquez      |
| Lieu            | Raskin        | Wasserman      |
| Lofgren         | Ross          | Schultz        |
| Lynch           | Ruiz          | Waters         |
| Magaziner       | Ruppersberger | Watson Coleman |
| Manning         | Ryan          | Wexton         |
| Matsui          | Salinas       | Wild           |
| McBath          | Sánchez       | Williams (GA)  |
| McClellan       | Sarbanes      |                |

NOT VOTING—21

|           |                |             |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Babin     | Garcia, Robert | Smith (MO)  |
| Bergman   | Grijalva       | Strickland  |
| Boebert   | Johnson (GA)   | Swalwell    |
| Case      | Lesko          | Titus       |
| Doggett   | Luetkemeyer    | Van Orden   |
| Gallego   | Mooney         | Westerman   |
| Garbarino | Payne          | Wilson (FL) |

□ 0920

Ms. SCHOLTEN changed her vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the previous question was ordered.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. BERGMAN. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 112.

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 112.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MEUSER). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 213, noes 208, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 113]

AYES—213

|          |           |           |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Aderholt | Armstrong | Balderson |
| Alford   | Arrington | Banks     |
| Allen    | Bacon     | Barr      |
| Amodei   | Baird     | Bean (FL) |



|                 |              |               |                 |               |                |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Bentz           | Gosar        | Moolenaar     | Jacobs          | Mullin        | Sewell         |
| Bergman         | Granger      | Moore (AL)    | Jayapal         | Nadler        | Sherman        |
| Bice            | Graves (LA)  | Moore (UT)    | Jeffries        | Napolitano    | Sherrill       |
| Biggs           | Graves (MO)  | Moran         | Kamlager-Dove   | Neal          | Slotkin        |
| Billirakis      | Green (TN)   | Murphy        | Kaptur          | Neguse        | Smith (WA)     |
| Bishop (NC)     | Greene (GA)  | Nehls         | Keating         | Nickel        | Sorensen       |
| Boebert         | Griffith     | Newhouse      | Kelly (IL)      | Norcross      | Soto           |
| Bost            | Guest        | Norman        | Khanna          | Ocasio-Cortez | Spanberger     |
| Brecheen        | Guthrie      | Nunn (IA)     | Kildee          | Omar          | Stansbury      |
| Buchanan        | Hageman      | Obernotte     | Kilmer          | Pallone       | Stanton        |
| Bucshon         | Harris       | Ogles         | Kim (NJ)        | Panetta       | Stevens        |
| Burchett        | Harshbarger  | Owens         | Krishnamoorthi  | Pappas        | Suoizzi        |
| Burgess         | Hern         | Palmer        | Kuster          | Pascrell      | Swalwell       |
| Burlison        | Higgins (LA) | Pence         | Landsman        | Pelosi        | Sykes          |
| Calvert         | Hill         | Perry         | Larsen (WA)     | Peltola       | Takano         |
| Cammack         | Hinson       | Pfluger       | Larson (CT)     | Perez         | Thanedar       |
| Carey           | Houchin      | Posey         | Lee (CA)        | Peters        | Thompson (CA)  |
| Carl            | Hudson       | Reschenthaler | Lee (NV)        | Pettersen     | Thompson (MS)  |
| Carter (GA)     | Huizenga     | Rodgers (WA)  | Lee (PA)        | Phillips      | Titus          |
| Carter (TX)     | Hunt         | Rogers (AL)   | Leger Fernandez | Pingree       | Tlaib          |
| Chavez-DeRemer  | Issa         | Rogers (KY)   | Levin           | Pocan         | Tokuda         |
| Ciscomani       | Jackson (TX) | Rose          | Lieu            | Porter        | Tonko          |
| Cline           | James        | Rosendale     | Lofgren         | Pressley      | Torres (CA)    |
| Cloud           | Johnson (LA) | Rouzer        | Lynch           | Quigley       | Torres (NY)    |
| Clyde           | Johnson (SD) | Roy           | Magaziner       | Ramirez       | Trahan         |
| Cole            | Jordan       | Rutherford    | Manning         | Raskin        | Trone          |
| Collins         | Joyce (OH)   | Salazar       | Matsui          | Ross          | Underwood      |
| Comer           | Joyce (PA)   | Scalise       | McBath          | Ruiz          | Vargas         |
| Crane           | Kean (NJ)    | Schweikert    | McClellan       | Ruppersberger | Vasquez        |
| Crawford        | Kelly (MS)   | Scott, Austin | McCollum        | Ryan          | Veasey         |
| Crenshaw        | Kelly (PA)   | Self          | McGarvey        | Salinas       | Velázquez      |
| Curtis          | Kiggans (VA) | Sessions      | McGovern        | Sánchez       | Wasserman      |
| D'Esposito      | Kiley        | Simpson       | Meeks           | Sarbanes      | Schultz        |
| Davidson        | Kim (CA)     | Smith (MO)    | Menendez        | Scanlon       | Waters         |
| De La Cruz      | Kustoff      | Smith (NE)    | Meng            | Schakowsky    | Watson Coleman |
| DesJarlais      | LaHood       | Smith (NJ)    | Mfume           | Schiff        | Wexton         |
| Diaz-Balart     | LaLota       | Smucker       | Moore (WI)      | Schneider     | Wild           |
| Donalds         | LaMalfa      | Spartz        | Morelle         | Scholten      | Williams (GA)  |
| Duarte          | Lamborn      | Staubert      | Moskowitz       | Schrier       | Wilson (FL)    |
| Duncan          | Langworthy   | Steel         | Moulton         | Scott (VA)    |                |
| Dunn (FL)       | Latta        | Stefanik      | Mrvan           | Scott, David  |                |
| Edwards         | LaTurner     | Steil         |                 |               |                |
| Ellzey          | Lawler       | Steube        |                 |               |                |
| Emmer           | Lee (FL)     | Strong        | Babin           | Johnson (GA)  | Payne          |
| Estes           | Letlow       | Tenney        | Gallego         | Lesko         | Strickland     |
| Ezell           | Loudermilk   | Thompson (PA) | Grijalva        | Luetkemeyer   |                |
| Fallon          | Lucas        | Tiffany       | Grothman        | Mooney        |                |
| Feenstra        | Luna         | Timmons       |                 |               |                |
| Ferguson        | Turner       | Turner        |                 |               |                |
| Finstad         | Luttrell     | Valadao       |                 |               |                |
| Fischbach       | Mace         | Van Drew      |                 |               |                |
| Fitzgerald      | Malliotakis  | Van Duyen     |                 |               |                |
| Fitzpatrick     | Maloy        | Van Orden     |                 |               |                |
| Fleischmann     | Mann         | Wagner        |                 |               |                |
| Flood           | Massie       | Walberg       |                 |               |                |
| Foxx            | Mast         | Waltz         |                 |               |                |
| Franklin, Scott | McCaul       | Weber (TX)    |                 |               |                |
| Fry             | McClain      | Webster (FL)  |                 |               |                |
| Fulcher         | McClintock   | Wenstrup      |                 |               |                |
| Gaetz           | McCormick    | Westerman     |                 |               |                |
| Gallagher       | McHenry      | Williams (NY) |                 |               |                |
| Garbarino       | Meuser       | Williams (TX) |                 |               |                |
| Garcia, Mike    | Miller (IL)  | Wilson (SC)   |                 |               |                |
| Gimenez         | Miller (OH)  | Wittman       |                 |               |                |
| Gonzales, Tony  | Miller (WV)  | Womack        |                 |               |                |
| Good (VA)       | Mills        | Yakym         |                 |               |                |
| Gooden (TX)     | Molinaro     | Zinke         |                 |               |                |

## NOES—208

|                 |             |                |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Adams           | Castro (TX) | Españillat     |
| Aguilar         | Cherfilus-  | Evans          |
| Allred          | McCormick   | Fletcher       |
| Amo             | Chu         | Foster         |
| Auchincloss     | Clark (MA)  | Foushee        |
| Balint          | Clarke (NY) | Frankel, Lois  |
| Barragán        | Cleaver     | Frost          |
| Beatty          | Clyburn     | Garamendi      |
| Bera            | Cohen       | Garcia (IL)    |
| Beyer           | Connolly    | Garcia (TX)    |
| Bishop (GA)     | Correa      | Garcia, Robert |
| Blumenauer      | Costa       | Golden (ME)    |
| Blunt Rochester | Courtney    | Goldman (NY)   |
| Bonamici        | Craig       | Gomez          |
| Bowman          | Crockett    | Gonzalez,      |
| Boyle (PA)      | Crow        | Vicente        |
| Brown           | Cuellar     | Gottheimer     |
| Brownley        | Dauids (KS) | Green, Al (TX) |
| Budzinski       | Davis (IL)  | Harder (CA)    |
| Bush            | Davis (NC)  | Hayes          |
| Caraveo         | Dean (PA)   | Himes          |
| Carbajal        | DeGette     | Horsford       |
| Cárdenas        | DeLauro     | Houlahan       |
| Carson          | DelBene     | Hoyer          |
| Carter (LA)     | Deluzio     | Hoyte (OR)     |
| Cartwright      | DeSaulnier  | Huffman        |
| Casar           | Dingell     | Ivey           |
| Case            | Doggett     | Jackson (IL)   |
| Casten          | Escobar     | Jackson (NC)   |
| Castor (FL)     | Eshoo       | Jackson Lee    |

the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 7888.

The Chair appoints the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEUSER) to preside over the Committee of the Whole.

□ 0940

## IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 7888) to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, with Mr. MEUSER in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIR. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed 1 hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary, or their respective designees, and the chair and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, or their respective designees.

The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN), the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER), the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER), and the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. CROW) each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This bill is about the extension of section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act. That is the act under which we are able to spy on our adversaries, those individuals who intend to do our Nation harm.

There has been great debate and great discussion among the Members in this body. Everyone is in agreement that there have been unbelievable abuses by the FBI of access to foreign intelligence. The underlying bill, for which there is broad support, punishes the FBI. It criminalizes the FBI's abuses, limits and restricts the FBI's access to foreign intelligence, and further puts guardrails to punish the FBI.

What is also in agreement here on this House floor is the protection of Americans' civil liberties. You have to have a warrant, and there is absolute constitutional protection of Americans' data. There is no place in this statute where Americans' data becomes at risk.

Debate today, though, is not about FISA. It is not about spying on our adversaries. The debate today is about a warrant requirement in an amendment that has been offered by Representatives BIGGS and JAYAPAL.

This amendment, largely drafted by Senator WYDEN and cosponsored by Senator WARREN, would for the first time in history provide constitutional rights to our adversaries. It would provide constitutional rights to our enemies. No law has ever come out of this body that would provide constitutional rights to our adversaries.

## NOT VOTING—10

|  |              |            |
|--|--------------|------------|
|  | Johnson (GA) | Payne      |
|  | Lesko        | Strickland |
|  | Luetkemeyer  |            |
|  | Mooney       |            |

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 0931

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on rollcall No. 113.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to cast my vote for rollcall Nos. 112 and 113. Had I been present, I would have voted nay on rollcall Vote No. 112, Motion on Ordering the Previous Question on H. Res. 1137, and nay on rollcall Vote No. 113, H. Res. 1137.

## REFORMING INTELLIGENCE AND SECURING AMERICA ACT

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 7888.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LANGWORTHY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on



We spy on Hezbollah. We spy on Hamas. We spy on the Ayatollah. We spy on the Communist Party of China. This bill provides them constitutional protections to communicate with people in the United States to recruit them for the purposes of being terrorists, for being spies, and for doing espionage.

The 9/11 perpetrators were in the United States, and they were communicating with al-Qaida. At that time, we made a grave mistake in that we were not spying on al-Qaida and didn't see who they were communicating with in the United States. We changed that and began to spy on al-Qaida and got to see the extent to which they were recruiting people in the United States to do us harm.

□ 0945

If this amendment passes, al-Qaida will have full constitutional protections to recruit in the United States; the Communist Party will have full constitutional protection to recruit in the United States; and there will be no increased protection of constitutional protections for Americans and their data. The only data that would become protected is data that is located in al-Qaida's inbox and the Communist Chinese's inbox.

Now, how is it that they become protected? This amendment would require that we have to have a warrant to look into Chinese Communist Party data for the recruitment efforts that they are doing within the United States. We would have to have evidence of a crime that is occurring in order to get that warrant, which means we will be blind.

If this becomes law, we will be blind, and we will be unable to look at what Hezbollah is doing in the United States, what Hamas is doing in the United States, and what the Communist Party is doing in the United States. There are no additional protections for Americans in this amendment. Americans still have full constitutional protection of their own data.

Mr. Chair, let me give you an example of how this works under their amendment. We are spying on Hamas. Two people in the United States send emails to Hamas. One says happy birthday, and one says thank you for the bomb-making classes. When those two emails go to Hamas, right now, we see them.

If you send a happy birthday to Hamas and we see it, that doesn't matter. It is not a threat to the United States.

If you send an email that says thank you for the bomb-making classes, we intercept that email, read it, and find out who it is. Then, when we come here to go find that person to arrest them and to make certain that they don't harm Americans, we have to go to court and get a warrant.

There already is a warrant requirement for the protection of Americans and people who are here in the United

States. If you have to have a warrant to look at the two emails that are sent to Hamas, happy birthday and thank you for the bomb-making classes, then you have no evidence of a crime. You have no ability to read these two emails. We will go dark. We will go blind.

The FBI abuses have been extraordinary in their searching of foreign data. We need to punish them. This underlying bill punishes the FBI. We should not punish Americans. We should not make our Nation less safe by giving constitutional protections to Hamas and by giving constitutional protections to the Chinese Communist Party.

I have been talking to Members on the floor, and they say this amendment is about protecting Americans' data in the United States. It is not. Americans' data in the United States is already protected by the Constitution. There is nobody on this House floor who would argue that you don't need a warrant to look at Americans' data in the United States.

I encourage everyone to pick this amendment up and read it. It applies to the data that we collect in spying on Hamas, Hezbollah, and the Chinese Communist Party. To give them a warrant and to give them constitutional protections means that they are open for business.

The day after this passes and we go blind, the Chinese Communist Party has a complete pass to recruit in the United States students to spy on our industry and on our universities. Hamas and Hezbollah have a complete pass. We will be blind as they try to recruit people for terrorist attacks in the United States.

Currently, we keep America safe by spying on our adversaries. Do not give our adversaries constitutional protection.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I rise in support of this legislation.

First, let me emphasize again that, as the chairman said, section 702 is our single most important intelligence authority. We use it every day to protect the Nation from threats ranging from China and Russia to terrorist plots, fentanyl traffickers, and much more. It cannot be allowed to expire.

It is also true that the 702 program requires substantial reform. We have done this before, and we are doing it in this base bill.

I would also make a critical point here, which is that this is arguably our most heavily scrutinized and overseen intelligence authority. It is approved—and I am going to say this twice—every single year and has been since 2009 by Federal judges, Federal judges who crawl all over this program looking for constitutional violations and looking for violations of law, and since 2009, they have recertified this program.

It is also overseen by the Congress. The chairman and I see problems with the program. It is overseen inside by the Attorney General. It is the most scrutinized intelligence collection program that we have.

The bill before the House today is the product of very serious oversight, resulting in a base text that preserves the value of 702 while putting in place more than 50 significant reforms aimed at preventing its misuse, those misuses that were detailed and that the chairman referred to, which, by the way, are down to the tune of 90 percent. This bill would codify those reforms and require that the FBI continue to follow those rules.

This legislation contains the most significant reforms to 702 ever. Among many other proposals, this bill will continue the progress already made, which I referred to, by the Biden administration and others to ensure compliance.

The bill would ban queries conducted to find evidence of a crime and cut by 90 percent—90 percent—the number of FBI personnel that can approve U.S. person queries.

That is what we give up if we don't pass this bill.

We will consider several amendments to the bill, most of which I will support. However, I am opposed to the Biggs amendment. It is an extreme and misguided proposal that seriously undermines our national security.

I understand the instinct. There is no way to collect intelligence on foreign emails and texts without having some Americans on the other side of this. This bill puts in place protections to make sure that the abuses of the past don't continue into the future.

I would add that I understand the concern. Federal judges crawl all over this program every single year, and not one Federal judge—not one—has found constitutional issues with U.S. person queries.

The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, the PCLOB, proposed a warrant that is much less extreme than the one in the Biggs amendment. The PCLOB—and by the way, this proposal was split on the PCLOB—proposed that only in the event that a U.S. person query produces information, only in that event, which is about 2 percent of all queries, would a warrant be required.

The Biggs amendment would require a warrant for every single U.S. person query that the government makes inside information that it already has.

The narrow exceptions included in this amendment will also not work. You don't need to take that from me, Mr. Chair. Talk to anybody in the government who uses this program.

We don't know if a query is about something that is an exigency until we know what is in the information that that query would turn up.

Enacting this amendment would make us far less safe. We will lose the ability to disrupt terrorist plots, identify spies, interdict fentanyl, and much

more, not because it was constitutionally required but because we simply chose not to look.

As Jake Sullivan said this week: “The extensive harms of this proposal simply cannot be mitigated.”

I would point my colleagues, particularly on my side of the aisle, to the President’s extraordinarily strong Statement of Administration Policy in which he reiterates the damage that will be done by this amendment should it pass.

Mr. Chair, with a lot of what we do here, the consequences don’t appear immediately. If we turn off the ability of the government to query U.S. person data, then the consequences will be known soon, and we will audit why what happened happened. The consequences will be known soon, and accountability will be visited.

Once again, Mr. Chair, I urge Members to vote for the underlying bill and to oppose the Biggs amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. WENSTRUP).

Mr. WENSTRUP. Mr. Chair, I certainly am a supporter of this underlying bill. This is a bipartisan product. It came out of the Intelligence Committee, and it came out of the Intelligence Committee when we realized a few years ago all the abuses that were taking place within our intelligence system. We knew we had to act. There had to be reforms, and there had to be criminal liability when people and their agencies are doing the wrong things. That wasn’t in place, and for the last 2½ years, we have worked on this.

We have worked on it in a bipartisan way not just with the Intelligence Committee but with the whole body. We opened this up to the entire body, Republican and Democrat, regardless of what committee a Member is on, and we worked together to craft a very good bill.

This isn’t just an Intelligence Committee bill. This is a House of Representatives bill.

That is what we have brought forward. This bill ensures Americans’ civil liberties are secure and that we have intelligence collection tools that we need to safeguard our country from foreign threats.

The Constitution asked us to provide for our defense, which is what we are trying to do, and to work against all enemies, foreign and domestic, which is what we are trying to do.

I want to set the record straight. It is already in statute that a warrant is required every single time the United States Government wants to investigate a U.S. person under FISA under section 702, but a warrant is not required to do a query to find out what we might need for probable cause to get a warrant. Now, this amendment wants to put a warrant on getting a query when time is of the essence.

Mr. Chair, if Ali Khamenei is talking about you and we pick up that, then I

want to know why he is talking about you. I want to do a query into the information we already have to see if anyone else is talking about you.

Moreover, I want to find out if they are planning to assassinate you, Mr. Chair. I shouldn’t need a warrant to try to find out if a foreign actor is trying to assassinate a U.S. citizen. I shouldn’t need a warrant to find out if a foreign actor or terrorist is working with someone in the United States to harm other Americans, but if we want to investigate that person, then yes, we do.

There is a lot of misinformation out there. American civil liberties are not being harmed.

Mr. Chair, I will give you a hypothetical example, too. American citizen Bob Smith pops up in a FISA database. Some are saying that government can obtain or search Bob’s emails, texts, and phone calls. That is not true. That is not true, but you can do a query to see if anyone else is talking about this person, and not just anyone else anywhere, but a foreign actor or a foreign terrorist whose information you already have.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, how much time is remaining on Dr. Wenstrup’s 3 minutes?

The CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio has 20 seconds remaining.

Mr. WENSTRUP. Mr. Chair, I want to just say what is true and what is not true. A query does not investigate a U.S. citizen. In many cases, it is acting on behalf of a U.S. citizen to keep them safe.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI). The Speaker Emerita is the single longest serving member of the Committee on Intelligence ever. She is a member whose, as my Republican colleagues regularly remind me, progressive bona fides are unchallengeable and who came to this institution to fight for civil liberties.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding and for his great leadership of the Intelligence Committee, and I thank our members of the Intelligence Committee on both sides of the aisle for their important work to protect our national security.

Having served there, I know it is a place where we strive for bipartisanship.

Mr. Chair, as the gentleman indicated, I came to this committee in the early nineties, and my purpose was to protect the civil liberties as we protected the national security of our country. I had two purposes. One was to stop the proliferation of nuclear weapons, and secondly, on par with that, was to make sure that we protect the civil liberties.

Over the course of that time, I have voted for legislation that is less than what I would have liked but advanced the cause. Both the chair and the ranking member have put forth a very clear idea about why 702 is important, and I associate myself with their remarks.

I just want to say to this: I went in, in the early nineties. I became the ranking member, the top Democrat on the committee. For 20 years, I was in the Gang of Eight, in terms of receiving intelligence, up until last year when I stopped being the Speaker of the House. For that whole time, it has been about what this means to the civil liberties of the American people.

I had a bill that we brought when former President Bush was President that addressed some of our FISA concerns that didn’t go all the way. This bill does.

In this legislation, there are scores of provisions that could strengthen our case for civil liberties. Some of them are improvements on existing law. Some of them are new provisions in the law to protect the civil liberties of the American people.

□ 1000

Therefore, the Biggs amendment seriously undermines our ability to protect national security, and I urge our colleagues to vote against it.

I don’t have the time right now, but if Members want to know, I will tell them how we could have been saved from 9/11 if we didn’t have to have the additional warrants.

Mr. Chair, I urge a “no” vote on the Biggs amendment and a “yes” vote on the bill.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. CRAWFORD).

Mr. CRAWFORD. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chair, to my folks at home: Are you concerned about counterterrorism threats? I am, too.

FISA section 702 informed the planning for the February 2022 U.S. military operation that resulted in the death in Syria of Hajji ‘Abdallah, the leader of ISIS. That is one example.

Are you concerned about fentanyl? I am, too. We were able to leverage FISA section 702 intelligence to identify a foreign actor overseas who was supplying a pill press machine and other equipment to drug cartels in Mexico to help thwart that fentanyl threat.

Are you concerned about cyber threats? I am, too.

FISA section 702 played an important role in the U.S. Government’s response to a cyberattack on Colonial Pipeline back in 2021 and other cyber threats that have taken place since then.

Are you concerned about threats to our troops? I am, too.

FISA section 702 has identified threats to U.S. troops and disrupted planned terrorist attacks on those troops overseas in places like the Middle East, a U.S. facility, specifically in the Middle East. Section 702 was used to monitor communications as those terrorists traveled to execute those plans.

We can’t overstate the importance of 702, and I know you are concerned about the rights of the American people. I am, too.

I am an American, just like you are. That is why there already is a warrant requirement in place. We are protecting U.S. persons. We can't allow 702 to expire and expect that we are going to have good results at the end of the day.

Mr. Chair, I support section 702, and I urge a "yes" vote.

The CHAIR. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair and not to a perceived viewing audience.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JOHNSON).

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the reauthorization of section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, which was first passed by Congress in 2008.

FISA codified what had been a secret and legally unauthorized practice of warrantless collection of phone, email, and other communications of non-U.S. persons located outside of the United States in response to the deadly 9/11 attack that killed thousands of Americans.

As they planned that deadly attack, al-Qaida plotters used U.S. communications facilities, and American foreign intelligence picked up the chatter. However, the stovepipe that kept this intel from domestic law enforcement created the situation where domestic law enforcement could not protect us from the threat because they did not know of the plot before it happened. If section 702 had been in place prior to 9/11, the FBI could have been able to prevent the attack.

Additionally, allowing section 702 to expire would expose Americans to grave danger, like the horrific massacre of Israeli Jews on October 7; the military style assaults, for example, that happened in Russia recently; and other mass-casualty events, the limits of which are only limited by the depravity of those who would plan them.

Mr. Chair, that is why I rise in support of this legislation.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio has 5 minutes remaining.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. LAHOOD).

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I thank the gentleman for his leadership on this bill.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act.

Over the past year, I have led the Intelligence Committee task force on FISA reauthorization, working with my colleagues to find commonsense reforms to the processes under section 702 to create a balance between protecting national security and preserving constitutional liberties afforded to all U.S. persons.

It is important to state at the outset that section 702 is used only to target bad actors overseas and our adversaries who are not protected under the Fourth Amendment. It is not used to surveil or target Americans.

Throughout our process, we regularly engage with national security leaders, former Trump administration officials, and our colleagues both on the Judiciary Committee and throughout the Conference.

This bill before us makes targeted, meaningful changes to FISA and section 702 without upending the statute in a way that will lead to unintended consequences resulting in the United States being less safe.

Prior to coming to Congress, I served as an assistant U.S. attorney and chief terrorism prosecutor. I witnessed firsthand the valuable use of FISA. Section 702 is a critical tool that helps the IC defend the United States against the malign actors we worry about daily, and the value of what 702 has done for our country over the last 15-plus years is immense.

I will mention four existential things that have happened in the last 9 years: the taking out of bin Laden; the assassination of Soleimani, the Iranian leader, by President Trump; the taking out of al-Baghdadi, the leader of ISIS; and last year, the taking out of al-Zawahiri. The use of 702 in all of those cases was definitive in the taking out of those terrorists.

I also say, with this bill, it institutes the largest reform of the FBI in a generation. It makes the necessary changes to prevent potential bad actors from improperly utilizing FISA from anything other than its intended use, protecting Americans from foreign threats.

Particularly, in this day and age, with China, what is going on in the Middle East, and the nonenforcement at our southern border, it is now more important than ever that we have a vibrant, robust 702 in place.

Lastly, I include in the RECORD a letter from Mike Pompeo, John Ratcliffe, Devin Nunes, William Barr, and Robert O'Brien, former Trump administration officials that worked in national security, where they specifically support our bill and express grave concerns about the warrant amendment that will be brought up today.

DECEMBER 7, 2023.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,  
*Speaker, House of Representatives,*  
*Washington, DC.*

MR. SPEAKER, As former officials who have either worked for or with the Intelligence Community, we write today with serious concerns that a critical tool to keep Americans safe will cease to be available to the men and women who protect the United States each day.

At the end of this month, Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) will sunset. This is one of the most critical tools the Intelligence Community has at its disposal. Section 702 must be reauthorized and, as evidenced by the FBI's prior flagrant abuses, FISA must also be reformed. Those reforms should focus on concrete improve-

ments—including congressional oversight of and access to FISA Court transcripts—rather than a warrant requirement that may not achieve its intended objectives and could hinder current national security efforts.

We urge you to support the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence's bipartisan bill sponsored by Chairman Mike Turner and Ranking Member Jim Himes.

Respectfully,

MIKE POMPEO,  
*Former Secretary of State, Former Director of the Central Intelligence Agency.*

WILLIAM BARR,  
*Former Attorney General of the United States.*

JOHN RATCLIFFE,  
*Former Director of National Intelligence.*

ROBERT O'BRIEN,  
*Former National Security Advisor to the President.*

DEVIN NUNES,  
*Former Chairman, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.*

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Chair, I urge a "no" vote on the warrant amendment and a "yes" vote on our underlying bill.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. CROW), who, prior to coming here, defended this Nation's security at risk to his own life in the uniform of the 75th Ranger Regiment.

Mr. CROW. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act to reauthorize section 702 of FISA.

As one of the Nation's most essential intelligence-gathering tools, the importance of reauthorizing FISA cannot be overstated. Every day, our Nation's diplomats, intelligence professionals, defense officials, soldiers, marines, and airmen rely on intelligence derived from section 702 to advance their missions and to protect our country.

It provides vital insights into the kinds of threats that we need to be able to protect Americans from, including threats against our critical infrastructure, our computer networks, our financial system, and our citizens.

This bill is the product of careful, bipartisan negotiations. These negotiations have insured that this bill will not only maintain the effectiveness of FISA, but also enhance protections for America's civil liberties. It makes targeted reforms to address compliance issues and to prevent abuses.

The amendment proposed by my colleagues to require a warrant before accessing this information, which has already been lawfully collected and reviewed by courts and is in the possession of the U.S. Government, would serve as a de facto ban on ever accessing it. It creates an unacceptable level of risk with consequences that will be felt almost immediately for Americans and our national security.

Therefore, Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to reject the Biggs amendment and to support the underlying bill.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CRENSHAW).

Mr. CRENSHAW. Mr. Chairman, I have seen a lot since we have been here. This is my third term. Never before have I actually been frightened about what could happen if FISA is not reauthorized or this warrant amendment is passed, which effectively kills our ability to detect and connect the dots between foreign terrorists and what they might do here domestically.

I have never been more concerned. I spent the last 20 years of my life fighting for this country. I lost an eye doing it.

Additionally, I don't think we actually disagree very much on principle. There is always a balance between civil liberties, privacy, and security. I don't think my colleagues and I are very far apart on that. We are very far apart on the facts at hand. So let's talk about some myths and some facts.

Myth: FISA is used to spy on Americans.

The myth goes like this: If you query an American's name, you can see their in-box. That is not true.

It is used to spy on foreign intelligence targets, foreign terrorists, and you need a warrant to do so. If they speak to an American, you will get that part of the conversation. That is all you get.

There is another myth. This bill doesn't go far enough. It doesn't do any reforms. That is not true.

The reforms in here would stop in their tracks what happened to President Trump with Crossfire Hurricane. It is almost entirely intended to stop what happened to President Trump. Not only that, it would codify 56 warrant reforms. It would put in processes before queries are even made. It would put in criminal penalties for those who do not abide by those processes.

The FBI hates these reforms, by the way.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this bill and not to support the amendment to require a warrant for queries.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The CHAIR. The gentleman has 5½ minutes remaining.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. HOULAHAN).

Ms. HOULAHAN. Mr. Chair, I rise today in strong support of the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act, which would reauthorize FISA 702.

We live in a dangerous world, and section 702 is crucial to keeping Americans safe. This is a tool that our intelligence agencies rely upon all day to counter all kinds of threats to our homeland from U.S. nonpersons. Again, U.S. nonpersons.

Whether uncovering Chinese spies or foiling terrorist plots or intercepting cyberattacks, this authority is essential to our national security. This tool can even allow our intelligence com-

munity to counter drug cartels as they attempt to bring deadly fentanyl to our shores, but it would be enhanced by an amendment that Mr. CRENSHAW and I are proposing, the Enhancing Intelligence Collection on Foreign Drug Traffickers Act.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment when we vote later this morning.

However, not all of the amendments today would strengthen this bill. In fact, I am strongly opposed to the amendment offered by Mr. BIGGS, and I am obligated to point out the dangers of passing this extreme amendment.

Intelligence professionals who rely on this tool, 702, keep us safe and have been crystal clear. This amendment would make it nearly impossible to access information essential to protect our homeland security.

Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I urge a "yes" vote on the overall bill to reauthorize FISA, and a "no" vote on the Biggs amendment.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RUTHERFORD), who opposes giving constitutional rights to our foreign adversaries.

Mr. RUTHERFORD. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in strong support of this bill and equally strong opposition to the amendment.

Simply put, this amendment ties the hands of our intelligence community, making all of us less safe. This amendment requires the IC to get a probable cause warrant to search a set of data that has legally been collected. Our intelligence community must have access to legally collected, pertinent information, and we should not be adding roadblocks.

As a former law enforcement officer, I strongly believe in the civil liberties of all Americans. I spent my life protecting them. However, this amendment does not provide any more protection to Americans. All this amendment does is gut 702, giving to terrorists, adversaries, and bad actors a major win.

Restricting access to already legally collected data makes us all less safe, and 702 is a vital piece of our security and must be preserved.

Mr. Chair, I urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. GOLDMAN).

Mr. GOLDMAN of New York. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in support of this bill that includes an absolutely essential national security program. However, I will support this bill only if the amendment that would impose a warrant requirement on queries regarding American citizens fails.

First, a warrant is simply not needed because the query in question is not a new search. It simply identifies any

contacts or communications with Americans within the universe of information that was already lawfully obtained from the original search, and that original search can only be of foreign nationals on foreign soil.

I spent 10 years as a Federal prosecutor and obtained hundreds of search warrants. Based on that experience, I can say with confidence that requiring a warrant would render this program unusable and entirely worthless.

Based on the information available to law enforcement, it would be impossible to get probable cause to obtain a search warrant from a judge in a timely manner. Additionally, even if it were possible, the time required to obtain a search warrant from a judge would frequently fail to meet the urgency posed by a terrorist or other national security threat.

□ 1015

A warrant requirement is unnecessary and unworkable and I, therefore, urge my colleagues to oppose the Biggs amendment.

The CHAIR. The time of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) has expired.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for his terrific work in the face of very real challenges and his commitment to bipartisanship.

This is a critical and bipartisan effort, and it is one that he and I and many others have spent thousands of hours on. As we close out debate, two things are very clear: Number one, this authority must be reauthorized.

I have heard too many Members saying that I will vote to reauthorize it so long as I get this amendment passed. If you are serious about keeping the American people safe, if you are serious about what you said, which is that this must be reauthorized, vote for final passage. This is our single most important tool to keep Americans safe.

Secondly, the Biggs amendment is an extreme amendment, and I understand the instinct.

As I mentioned before, the PCLOB, the President's Civil Liberties Oversight Board, proposed something that would require, in very limited circumstances, a judicial amendment. This amendment is far more extreme than that one, and it is not driven by constitutional concerns. Not a single Federal court after years and years of scrutiny has identified a Fourth Amendment issue.

This is a policy choice, and I would say to those friends of mine on my side of the aisle, maybe you have spent more time on this collection authority than I have. I have probably spent 2,000 or 3,000 hours, so maybe you have spent more. I am willing to concede that. Maybe you know better than I do, but I would ask you to listen to the people who use this every single day at the Department of Justice, at our intelligence community. I would ask you to read the last paragraph of the administration's statement of administration

policy, which concludes with the line: “Our intelligence, defense, and public safety communities are united: The extensive harms of this proposal simply cannot be mitigated.”

We are Article I. You have probably done a lot of work. Maybe you know better on the Biggs amendment. We will find out. Pass the Biggs amendment. Do what the SAP says would badly damage our safety. We will find out.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER) each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, in 2021, 2022, the FBI did over 3 million U.S. person queries of this giant 702 database—of this giant haystack of information, 3 million queries of United States persons. Make no mistake, query is a fancy name for search. Three million Americans’ data was searched in this database of information, and guess what? The FBI wasn’t even following their own rules when they conducted those searches. That is why we need a warrant.

This is not JIM JORDAN talking about it. This is not Ranking Member NADLER talking about it, but The Washington Post reported last May that 278,000 times the FBI found, the Justice Department found, that they didn’t even follow their own darn rules when they searched this giant haystack, this giant database of information on Americans.

What we are saying is, let’s do something that the Constitution has had in place for a couple hundred years that has served our Nation well and protected American citizens’ liberties. Let’s make the executive branch go to a separate and equal branch of government, the judicial branch, and get a probable cause warrant to do the search.

After all, it has done pretty well for this great country, greatest country ever, for a long, long time. Why wouldn’t we have that here?

By the way, in a bipartisan fashion coming out of our committee, 35–2 vote, we said we will even put exceptions in there. If it is an emergency situation, the FBI doesn’t have to get a warrant. They can do the search. If it is an emergency situation, they can do it. We have put exceptions in there.

Here is the fundamental question that I raised the other day: Of the over 3 million searches in a 2-year time span, how many of those aren’t covered by the exceptions we have in our warrant amendment? What is the number? Guess what? We can’t get an answer. They won’t tell us, which should be concerning in and of itself, but if it is a big number, we should be particularly frightened.

If they don’t follow the exceptions and they are searching Americans,

searching your name, your phone number, your email address in this giant database, that should scare us. And if it is a small number, then what is the big deal? We can’t get an answer to that question.

The underlying bill has got some changes and reforms that are positive, that are good, but short of having this warrant amendment added to the legislation, we shouldn’t pass it.

This amendment is critical, particularly when you think about the 278,000 times they abused the system, didn’t follow their own rules. Now we say, oh, we have got some new rules, they will follow them now. No. No.

The real check we have in our system is a separate and equal branch of government signing off on it. That is how we do things in America. And never forget, this is the FBI who has had some other abuses in different areas.

This is why we think this warrant requirement is so darn important, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I rise in strong support of meaningful reform to FISA section 702 and in strong opposition to a mere fig leaf or, even worse, an expansion of 702. Unfortunately, we will not know which of these paths we are taking until the conclusion of this debate.

What I know at this moment is that the base text before us right now is completely inadequate. Although it has some perfectly fine provisions, it does not represent real reform. Some of the proposed amendments that will be coming up today would take us in the wrong direction, and changing the sunset from 5 years to 2 years does absolutely nothing to improve the bill.

Ultimately, this legislation should only move forward if it contains an amendment to mandate that the intelligence community obtain a probable cause warrant before they search the 702 database for Americans’ private communications.

Some of my colleagues appear confused about how 702 collection works and what we mean when supporters of a warrant requirement refer to “backdoor searches” for U.S. person information. Let’s be clear about what we are talking about.

FISA section 702 permits the intelligence community to sweep up the communication of foreign targets located overseas. When these communications are obtained, they go into what is known as a 702 database where all the 702 data is housed.

If the U.S. Government wants to target a U.S. person for foreign surveillance, U.S. person meaning an American or legal permanent resident, they already can. They do this by getting a warrant under title I of FISA, a separate and distinct part of FISA from section 702. The government cannot target Americans under 702 because 702 does not protect the constitutional rights of the targets of the surveillance. Foreigners not located on U.S.

soil do not have constitutional rights, so this is not a problem.

What is a problem, however, is that massive amounts of Americans’ communications are still swept up in 702 searches. If a U.S. person communicates with a foreign target, that American’s communications with the target end up in the 702 database, too. While we do not know precise numbers, we know that a vast amount of Americans’ communications is swept up every year.

The intelligence community is not supposed to search the 702 database for U.S. person identifiers, like our names, phone numbers, and addresses without cause. Searching for Americans’ private communications in the 702 database, communications the government otherwise would not have access to without a warrant, is the constitutional equivalent of conducting a warrantless search.

We know that the government breaks this law all the time—278,000 times, in fact, at last count in 2021 alone. Officials are supposed to find it reasonably likely that a query will turn up evidence of a crime or foreign intelligence information, but that did not stop them from searching for protesters, politicians, and political donors, to name a few, without proper predicate.

Because of these repeated violations, Chairman JORDAN and I agree that the only way to preserve Americans’ privacy and constitutional rights is to require the intelligence community to obtain a probable cause warrant when they want to search the communications of Americans housed in the 702 database. This is a basic tenet of the Fourth Amendment.

Now, Chairman TURNER stated incorrectly that the proposed warrant requirement gives constitutional rights to suspected terrorists abroad. Nonsense. The warrant requirement does not change any aspect of surveillance of valid targets under section 702, nor should it. The problem is that when we surveil the internet, we sweep up massive amounts of U.S. person information, and the warrant requirement we propose would apply the Fourth Amendment to that information—nothing more, and our Constitution demands nothing less.

We have repeatedly heard some of our colleagues tell us that the sky is falling; that a probable cause requirement would end U.S. person searches of the 702 database, but there are no facts to back up these claims.

We will be considering an amendment today to add a warrant requirement for U.S. person searches of the 702 database. This essential amendment makes exceptions for victim consent, cybersecurity cases, and exigencies, that is, emergencies. Thus, the vast majority of these searches can continue without a warrant, but for the small percentage of searches of Americans’ communications that would be affected, the government should have probable cause to search their communications.

It is simply unfair to ask the intelligence community to both zealously protect our security while also protecting the constitutional rights of those surveilled. America's system of checks and balances exist precisely for cases such as this, where two considerations must coexist at odds with one another.

For too long, FISA section 702 has enabled the surveillance of Americans without adequate safeguards to protect our civil liberties. Americans need Congress to enact these guardrails, and with section 702 expiring soon, we have a rare opportunity to protect Americans' privacy while giving enforcement the tools they need to keep us safe.

Mr. Chair, I encourage my colleagues to vote "no" on this legislation unless a probable cause warrant is adopted, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK), my friend and a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Chair, I don't discount the mounting dangers we face from enemies abroad, but we also cannot discount the dangers we face at home from the very powers that this bill would continue.

As has been pointed out, the FBI abused these powers 278,000 times in a single year and turned them against American citizens by phishing for January 6th and Black Lives Matter rioters, probing political donors, and even piercing congressional offices.

John Adams believed that the indiscriminate searches by British officials became the first spark of the American Revolution. Having lived under such a tyranny, the Founders protected us with the Fourth Amendment. Before authorities can search through our records, they have to get a warrant from an independent judge by showing probable cause to suspect that we have committed a crime.

Now, there are many excellent reforms in this bill, and I applaud them, but they largely depend on these agencies policing themselves, and experience warns us that is just not enough. Without a warrant requirement, I fear these powers will, once again, be turned against our fundamental liberties and these days that scares me as much as a terrorist attack.

□ 1030

Just imagine how much safer we would all be if we stationed a soldier in every house, but we have the Third Amendment to protect us against that tyranny, just as we have a Fourth Amendment to protect us against the tyranny of indiscriminate searches.

Benjamin Franklin's warning echoes from his age to ours today: "Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety." Let that not be history's judgment of us.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL).

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Chair, we have a critical opportunity today to stand up for the civil liberties that are enshrined in our Constitution while also safeguarding our national security.

Every single day, the FBI conducts an average of 500 warrantless searches of Americans' private communications, resulting in over 278,000 searches in 1 year alone. The FBI has invaded the privacy of Members of Congress, a State court judge who reported civil rights violations by a local police chief, Black Lives Matter protesters, and more.

We cannot pass this bill without additional protections, like my amendment with Representatives BIGGS, NADLER, JORDAN, LOFGREN, and DAVIDSON, to close the backdoor search loophole.

Unfortunately, there are some members of the intelligence community and some Members of this body who are circulating information that simply is not correct, and I need to correct the record right here. Some Members have implied that the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board does not support the amendment.

To counter that, let me share some quotes from Sharon Bradford Franklin in her personal capacity as Chair of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, the independent government agency tasked with ensuring the executive branch conducts national security work in a way that protects our civil liberties and privacy. She said:

It is critical that in reauthorizing section 702, Congress includes a warrant requirement for U.S. person queries.

Requiring a warrant for U.S. person queries would neither end U.S. person queries nor undermine the overall value of section 702.

Outside of the category of "victim queries," the FBI has not been able to identify any cases in which a section 702 U.S. person query provided unique value in advancing a criminal investigation. In addition, the government has been unable to identify a single criminal prosecution that relied on evidence identified through a U.S. person query.

The warrant requirement contained in the warrant amendment includes important exceptions that would address the government's concerns about slowing down the process for U.S. person queries. Exceptions are provided for exigent circumstances, consent, cybersecurity, and metadata-only queries.

Mr. Chair, let me be clear that the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, in its oversight capacity, has the same access to all the classified intelligence that the agencies cite when they try to scare us into reauthorizing FISA with minimal changes.

We have a bipartisan amendment that would fix this problem. We have a responsibility to stand up for civil liberties of our constituents. We cannot pass this bill without requiring intelligence agencies to ensure that Americans' privacy rights are upheld at every turn.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Before yielding to my good friend, I just want to underscore what the gen-

tlewoman from Washington just described. The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, created by the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, says that our amendment is consistent with what should happen. Our amendment is consistent with the majority recommendation of that board.

This was a board specifically created to protect Americans' liberties, looking at how the intelligence community operates by the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007. The majority of that board said this amendment is what needs to happen.

Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. VAN DREW), a member of our committee.

Mr. VAN DREW. Mr. Chair, you just heard the words of Benjamin Franklin from my good friend TOM McCLINTOCK, that those who would give up freedom for safety deserve neither. I hope that we aren't marked in history as the generation of Congress that was willing to give up American liberty and freedom. It is what we stood for. It is what we have worked for. It is what the men and women of this country have died for. We owe it to them. It is our most important right as Americans. It is what the United States of America represents.

We were told all this before. We were told in the last renewal of section 702 that everything was going to be okay, no worries, all the security was there, nothing to be concerned about, don't look here.

Then we saw what happened. We saw that political campaigns and donors were gone after. We saw that Members of Congress were investigated. We saw that journalists were investigated. We saw that individuals who were Libertarians or liberals or conservatives were investigated. We saw FBI agents' own coworkers and even their girlfriends and others were investigated. The average man and woman in America were investigated.

It was wrong. It occurred not dozens, not hundreds, not thousands, but, over that time period, millions of times, millions of illegal queries.

I cannot support, and I will not support, this legislation unless there is a major change in the form of an amendment that would require what we know needs to be done: a search warrant. It is a basic American right.

Don't let them scare you. It doesn't mean that we are not going to go after terrorists. It doesn't mean that we won't protect the United States of America.

While I finally wrap up here, if this bill is so good the way it is written, why do we exempt Members of Congress? Do you know why? It is because they are scared that they may still at the end of the day go after us.

It is wrong. Rules for thee, not for me. We should not stand for it.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I yield 4 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE), the ranking member of the Crime and



Federal Government Surveillance Subcommittee.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I thank the distinguished ranking member of the Judiciary Committee and the chairman of the Judiciary Committee.

Even in this time of 2024, we need this legislation to protect now one of the most revered civil rights leaders, Dr. Martin Luther King. Yes, we need legislation that would, in fact, protect someone who simply wanted to provide justice to this Nation. He was the subject of COINTELPRO, a distorted investigation of his family, his belongings, his extended family members, and his wife, who I think at the time was expecting.

This legislation is important to save lives. It is important legislation to ensure that our intelligence community, our law enforcement community, can do their jobs, but it is not legislation that should be utilized to abuse the American people.

I rise today to speak of the concerns on H.R. 7888. It is a bipartisan bill to reauthorize an essential intelligence authority, section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, FISA, and other FISA provisions before they would expire on April 19. In doing so, we find ourselves being subject to the eye of the knife, if you will, in penetrating the personal matters of individuals that have no desire to do harm to this country.

As we know all too well, expiration of 702 authorities would deprive our Federal Government of the necessary insight into precisely the threats Americans expect their government to identify and counter. We understand that, as highlighted and emphasized through Federal administration, if we lose 702, we lose vital protections to the United States and its allies from hostile foreign adversaries, including terrorists, proliferators, and spies, and to inform cybersecurity efforts.

We are also acutely aware that 702 is an extremely controversial, warrantless surveillance authority that must not be reauthorized without substantial reform to rein in warrantless surveillance of Americans. We simply cannot do that. Indeed, warrantless surveillance intended for non-American targets located abroad inevitably has resulted in the collection and capture of Americans' communications and, yes, the results of capturing information that safeguards the American people and provides us with a safety net that we can fight for justice, fight for civil rights, and yet be protected.

It is no secret that intelligence agencies have turned section 702 into a domestic spying tool used to perform hundreds of thousands of warrantless backdoor searches for Americans' private phone calls, emails, and text messages.

By the way, Mr. Chair, we have a whole new world of technology where you can probe every aspect of our lives. These searches have included shocking

abuses, including against civil rights leaders, protesters, Members of Congress, 19,000 donors to congressional campaigns, political parties.

Mr. Chair, I rise today to speak on H.R. 7888—Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act (RISAA), a bipartisan bill to reauthorize an essential intelligence authority, Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act ("FISA"), and other FISA provisions before they would expire on April 19, 2024.

As we know all too well, expiration of Section 702 authorities would deprive our federal government of the necessary insight into precisely the threats Americans expect their government to identify and counter.

As highlighted and emphasized through federal administration, if we lost 702, we would lose vital protections to the United States and its allies from hostile foreign adversaries, including terrorists, proliferators, and spies, and to inform cybersecurity efforts.

We also are acutely aware, that Section 702 is an extremely controversial warrantless surveillance authority that must not be reauthorized without substantial reform to rein in warrantless surveillance of Americans.

Indeed, warrantless surveillance intended for non-American targets located abroad "inevitably" has resulted in the collection and capture of Americans' communications, too.

And it is no secret that intelligence agencies have turned Section 702 into a domestic spying tool, using it to perform hundreds of thousands of warrantless "backdoor" searches for Americans' private phone calls, e-mails, and text messages every year.

Yes, these searches have included shocking abuses, including baseless searches for the communications of Black Lives Matter protesters, members of Congress, 19,000 donors to a congressional campaign, a local political party, and tens of thousands of people involved in "civil unrest."

To protect the American people, we need to maintain the vital collection authority as intended to protect our nation and national security, while at the same time strengthening its protective guardrails with the most robust set of reforms ever included in legislation to reauthorize Section 702.

Importantly, H.R. 7888, as amended here today provides several critically needed reforms—including a fix to the backdoor search loophole and a prohibition on the "abouts" collection provision, and ultimately seeks to accomplish the necessary balancing we seek for national security protections and the protection of American's privacy rights.

To protect the American people, we need to maintain the vital collection authority as intended to protect our Nation and national security. We must do that while at the same time strengthening its protective guardrails with the most robust set, if you will, of protection that we possibly can.

That is why I have joined with several Members, including Mr. CLINE, to offer the "abouts" amendment. We will offer that as one of the Judiciary three. This amendment does something Congress should have done 7 years ago, prohibit the government from resuming "abouts" collection, a form of section 702 that poses unique risks to Americans. "About's" collection is a collection of communications that are

neither to nor from an approved target of surveillance—can you imagine?—under section 702 of FISA but merely contain information related to the target.

The CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman from Texas.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, it is unbelievable that we would go after innocent Americans and Members of Congress in the random searching and fishing of information that may not be relevant. In the past, "abouts" collection focused on collecting communications that include a target's email address, phone number, or Twitter handle or something like that, but in theory, "abouts" collection could be used to collect emails that merely mention a person who is a target of section 702 surveillance.

Mr. Chair, I rise today to indicate that we cannot pass this legislation without these vital amendments and that we cannot pass this legislation without the American people believing that when they pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, they are pledging allegiance to civil liberties, freedom, and justice and equality for all. I rise to support these amendments and as well a free nation with democracy and liberty for all.

Mr. Chair, I include in the RECORD a list of groups who support this amendment.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,

Washington, DC, April 12, 2024.

DEAR COLLEAGUE: Please join us in supporting our amendment to H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act. Rules Amendment #5 would end what is known as "abouts" collection, which involves the capturing of massive amounts of communications by government agencies such as the National Security Agency (NSA) in which the selector, for example, an email address, of a target appears somewhere in communications, even if that target is not a party to the communications. It has long been controversial.

The FISA Court previously discovered that the government had misrepresented its activities and held that handling this type of data was of significant concern and a violation of the Fourth Amendment. Although the NSA abandoned the practice of "abouts" collection in 2017, Congress in 2018 amended FISA to prohibit this type of collection unless the AG and DNI notify the House and Senate Intelligence and Judiciary Committees of its plans to resume such collection. But that only means that if the NSA notifies Congress, they can resume "abouts" collection at any time. Our amendment would proactively end the practice for good.

The following groups support this important amendment:

FreedomWorks—Key Vote; Due Process Institute; Americans for Prosperity; Project for Privacy and Surveillance Accountability; Reform Government Surveillance; Center for Democracy and Technology; American Civil Liberties Union; Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC); Restore the Fourth; Defending Rights & Dissent; Brennan Center for Justice; Wikimedia Foundation.

Demand Progress; Electronic Frontier Foundation; Project on Government Oversight; United We Dream; Asian Americans



Advancing Justice; Muslim Advocates; Free Press Action; National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers; Freedom of the Press Foundation; New America's Open Technology Institute; Fight for the Future; Stop AAPI Hate.

We urge you to vote in favor of Amendment #5.

Sincerely,

BEN CLINE,  
Member of Congress.  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE,  
Member of Congress.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair,

I rise today in support of the Cline (VA)/Jackson Lee (TX) Amendment [#3] to H.R. 7888—Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act (RISAA).

This amendment does something Congress should have done seven years ago: prohibit the government from resuming “abouts” collection, a form of Section 702 surveillance that poses unique risks to Americans.

“Abouts” collection is the collection of communications that are neither To nor From an approved target of surveillance under Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), but merely contain information relating to that target.

In the past, “abouts” collection focused on collecting communications that include a target’s email address, or phone address, or Twitter handle, or something like that. But in theory, “abouts” collection could be used to collect emails that merely mention a person who is a target of Section 702 surveillance.

Nothing in the text or legislative history of Section 702 indicates that this type of surveillance is authorized.

Under Section 702, the surveillance must target a non-U.S. person outside the United States. The term “target” has a well-understood meaning. When a person is a target, it means the government can collect that person’s information or other data, not the communications or data of other individuals.

As we all know, “abouts” collection under Section 702 has a sordid history.

The National Security Agency (NSA) used “abouts” collection when it was conducting upstream surveillance, in other words, when it was intercepting communications directly as they transited over the Internet backbone, rather than collecting stored communications from service providers.

Not surprisingly, this practice resulted in the collection of tens of thousands of purely domestic communications—communications between and among Americans inside the United States.

Moreover, often these Americans were not even discussing the target. Instead, their communications were lumped in with other communications, transiting over the Internet backbone as a packet. The NSA was collecting the entire packet of communications, simply because somewhere in that packet was a reference to information about a target.

This was a problem from the moment Section 702 went into effect in 2008.

And yet for years, the government did not disclose this problem to the FISA Court.

To the contrary, the government affirmatively misrepresented how the program was working. It was not until 2011 that the court learned the government was sweeping in tens of thousands of purely domestic communications.

The court was livid. It noted that the belated disclosure, and I quote, “marks the third instance in less than three years in which the government has disclosed a substantial misrepresentation regarding the scope of a major collection program.”

At the time, the court chose not to prohibit the use of “abouts” collection. But it held that special minimization rules were required for upstream communications, and that without those rules, the program would violate both Section 702 and the Fourth Amendment. One of those rules was a prohibition on U.S. person queries of communications obtained through upstream surveillance.

Five years later, the NSA discovered that its agents had been routinely violating this prohibition. But rather than immediately report these violations to the FISA Court, the NSA waited for several months. When it finally admitted the violations, the FISA Court chastised the NSA for its “institutional lack of candor,” and refused to approve the continuation of Section 702 surveillance until the NSA cleaned up its act.

The NSA proved incapable of bringing its agents into compliance. The agents continued to routinely search through the upstream data in an effort to find and review Americans’ communications, in violation of Section 702, the Fourth Amendment, and the FISA Court’s orders. Well aware that the court would not continue to approve Section 702 surveillance under these conditions, the NSA, in 2017, made the only decision it could: it terminated “abouts” collection.

Well, it has now been seven years since the NSA stopped “abouts” collection, and the government has not claimed that ending this practice has resulted in a loss of critical intelligence or had any other kind of negative impact on national security. No official has pointed to a single bad result that could have been averted through the use of “abouts” collection.

Collecting communications that are neither to nor from an approved target of surveillance is contrary to the text and intent of Section 702.

It inevitably results in the collection of wholly domestic communications, which Section 702 expressly prohibits.

Over the course of a decade, the NSA proved that it was incapable of operating “abouts” surveillance responsibly and in accordance with the law—and the past seven years shown that “abouts” collection is not necessary for national security.

It is time for Congress to shut the door on “abouts” collection.

In the future, if the government can show that it needs “abouts” collection for national security purposes and that it can operate the program without violating the law and the Fourth Amendment, it can come to Congress and ask for authorization. But the burden should be on the government to show the need and the ability to lawfully conduct the program.

For these reasons, I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of the Cline/Jackson Lee Amendment [#3].

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from the great State of Texas (Mr. SELF), my friend and colleague.

Mr. SELF. Mr. Chair, it appears that the House of Representatives is experiencing a constitutional crisis of conscience. We are actually debating if a warrant should be required for government intelligence agencies to spy on Americans. Frankly, I am stunned this is even called into question, especially amongst my Republican colleagues.

The Constitution is absolutely clear. We, as Americans, have the right under the Fourth Amendment against unreasonable search and seizures, a right that the FBI has violated in over 278,000 improper searches of Americans and 3.4 million warrantless queries of Americans’ private communications.

These facts are not up for debate. We know this. They have been caught. If we do not pass this warrant requirement, especially in light of these facts, the continued victimization of Americans by the FBI through FISA section 702 will be legitimized.

As an Army officer, as a county judge, and now as a Member of Congress, for 40 years I have been under oath to defend the Constitution against all enemies. I will do so today. On behalf of over 800,000 of my constituents in Texas District Three: Get a warrant.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. FITZGERALD), a Judiciary Committee member and friend.

Mr. FITZGERALD. Mr. Chair, the debate today is really focused on whether or not the FBI should be required to obtain a warrant to access U.S. person data. As the quote we are all familiar with says, insanity is doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results.

I remind my colleagues of the debate on the previous FISA reauthorization bill in the 115th Congress. Many of my current and former colleagues stood behind this very podium and swore up and down that the FISA Amendments Act of 2017 would provide necessary protections for U.S. person information while keeping our country safe.

□ 1045

Yet, since the bill became law, there were nearly 3 million U.S. person queries just in 2021 and hundreds of incomplete FISA applications and the use of section 702 to query data on Members

of Congress, protestors, and even FBI janitors.

It appears to me that the factor that continues to fall by the wayside in all of the debates that are happening is that human nature plays a part.

Mr. Chair, that is the dilemma that we find ourselves in. We didn't pick this. This is where we ended up.

Do we allow human nature to take its course and permit the FBI to continue to abuse U.S. person data, which the Department of Justice IG Special Counsel Durham, the FISA court, and numerous independent review bodies have found to be negligent, inappropriate, and a threat to American privacy, or do we rein in the FBI and fight for our Fourth Amendment rights?

I choose to side with the latter and support the amendments that limit rather than expand the FBI's ability to query U.S. person data.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, the suggestion has been made that the warrant requirement is extreme. Let's be clear: There is nothing extreme about this idea.

Over a decade ago, a group of intelligence experts convened by President Obama unanimously recommended requiring a warrant for U.S. person queries of section 702 data. That group included Michael Morell, former Acting Director of the CIA and Richard Clarke, former Chief Counterterrorism Adviser to President George W. Bush.

These top national security officials understood that we can protect national security while respecting the Fourth Amendment rights of Americans.

The House of Representatives has twice passed amendments with a warrant requirement for backdoor searches by large bipartisan majorities. Some of my colleagues who spoke against this amendment today, including former Speaker PELOSI, have voted more than once for this reform.

Over 75 percent of Americans support this reform. Calling something extreme doesn't make it extreme, and this is an idea that has been in the mainstream for over a decade.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I am prepared to close, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Chairman JORDAN and I agree on very little, but we are united in our belief that adding a warrant requirement to section 702 is absolutely necessary before we consider supporting reauthorization of these authorities.

I will reserve judgment on final passage of this bill until we see what amendments pass, but I urge Members to join us in supporting real reform. Real reform means, at the minimum, the warrant requirement to give effect to Americans' constitutional rights.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I think the ranking member is right. The vote was 35-2 on a major piece of legislation. That doesn't happen a whole lot in our committee.

I thank our committee and I thank the Members on the Republican side who worked so hard over the last year putting this legislation together. We had three individuals in particular, Ms. LEE, Mr. BIGGS, and Mr. MCCLINTOCK, who served on a task force focused on this getting in right. I think they have a good product if, as the ranking member just said, the warrant amendment is actually adopted into the base text.

I also thank the Democrats who worked so hard, and their staff working with our good staff, on putting this together: Ranking Member NADLER, Ms. JAYAPAL, and several others working together to defend a fundamental principle.

The Judiciary Committee is supposed to be that—we are all supposed to do this, but where it is really focused is the Judiciary Committee is supposed to be that committee that is determined to make sure Americans' liberties are protected. I think the staff and the Members have worked hard to put together a product that will do that if, in fact, this amendment gets added here in a few minutes.

When the folks who started this country came together, they had it right when they created separate and equal branches of government. The checks and balances in our system are good. They protect our rights, our liberties, and key principles.

We should adhere to that. As I said earlier, it has served us well. This amendment follows that fundamental principal, so I hope we adopt it. Then if we adopt it, I hope we adopt the legislation.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CARSON. Mr. Chair, today I rise in support of H.R. 7888, Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act, to reauthorize the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA). As someone who has worked in law enforcement and served the intelligence community for many years, I feel strongly that the FISA Authority, including Section 702, must not be allowed to lapse. This could pose a grave danger to our national security. I believe the changes and reforms included in this bill will protect our safety while also preserving our civil liberties.

I voted in the Intelligence Committee to reauthorize this vital legislation because I believe it represents a solid bipartisan approach. The bill includes reforms I fought for, and I believe it strikes the proper balance of protecting our national security in a way that is consistent with our American values. We know the FISA authority has been abused in the past, and that is unacceptable. That's why the reforms included in this bill are essential.

Provisions I recommended in the bill prevent individuals from being unfairly targeted based on race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, or ethnicity by preventing the search of a person's name simply based on those factors. As

a Black, Muslim man who has been the victim of profiling, this was personal for me—and I'm glad language to codify these essential protections is included in today's bill.

It's disappointing that some of my colleagues and dedicated advocates have described our Intelligence Committee bill as fake reform, or a sham. That's not the case. Our committee's bill prohibits agencies from conducting a query for the purpose of suppressing political speech, reinforcing one of the most American liberties there is: the right to free speech.

Finally, the bill improves and codifies accountability for the FBI in particular and prevents future abuses.

This is not the end of our work to protect Americans' civil liberties in U.S. intelligence, but this program is too important for our national security to allow it to expire or experience any lapses. I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. DESJARLAIS). All time for general debate has expired.

Pursuant to the rule, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 118-27, shall be considered as adopted, and the bill, as amended, shall be considered as an original bill for the purpose of further amendment under the 5-minute rule and shall be considered as read.

The text of the bill, as amended is as follows:

H.R. 7888

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

*This Act may be cited as the "Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act".*

**SEC. 2. QUERY PROCEDURE REFORM.**

(a) STRICTLY LIMITING FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION PERSONNEL AUTHORIZING UNITED STATES PERSON QUERIES.—Subsection (f) of section 702 is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (5); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED ON FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—

“(A) LIMITS ON AUTHORIZATIONS OF UNITED STATES PERSON QUERIES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Federal Bureau of Investigation personnel must obtain prior approval from a Federal Bureau of Investigation supervisor (or employee of equivalent or greater rank) or attorney who is authorized to access unminimized contents or noncontents obtained through acquisitions authorized under subsection (a) for any query of such unminimized contents or noncontents made using a United States person query term.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—A United States person query to be conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of unminimized contents or noncontents obtained through acquisitions authorized under subsection (a) using a United States person query term may be conducted without obtaining prior approval as specified in clause (i) only if the person conducting the United States person query has a reasonable belief that conducting the query could assist in mitigating or eliminating a threat to life or serious bodily harm.”.

(b) PROHIBITION ON INVOLVEMENT OF POLITICAL APPOINTEES IN PROCESS TO APPROVE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION QUERIES.—Subparagraph (D) of section 702(f)(3), as added by subsection (d) of this section, is amended by inserting after clause (v) the following:

“(vi) PROHIBITION ON POLITICAL APPOINTEES WITHIN THE PROCESS TO APPROVE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION QUERIES.—The procedures shall prohibit any political personnel, such as those classified by the Office of Personnel Management as Presidential Appointment with Senate Confirmation, Presidential Appointment (without Senate Confirmation), Noncareer Senior Executive Service Appointment, or Schedule C Excepted Appointment, from inclusion in the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s prior approval process under clause (ii).”

(c) MANDATORY AUDITS OF UNITED STATES PERSON QUERIES CONDUCTED BY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—

(1) AUDITS REQUIRED.—For each query identified by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as a United States person query against information acquired pursuant to subsection (a) of section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a) conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, not later than 180 days after the conduct of such query, the Department of Justice shall conduct an audit of such query.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The requirement under paragraph (1) shall apply with respect to queries conducted on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) SUNSET.—This section shall terminate on the earlier of the following:

(A) The date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) The date on which the Attorney General submits to the appropriate congressional committees a certification that the Federal Bureau of Investigation has implemented a process for the internal audit of all queries referred to in paragraph (1).

(4) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional intelligence committees, as such term is defined in subsection (b) of section 701 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881); and

(B) the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate.

(d) RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO CONDUCT OF CERTAIN QUERIES BY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 702(f), as added by subsection (a)(2) of this section, is amended by adding after subparagraph (C), as added by subsection (f) of this section, the following:

“(D) QUERYING PROCEDURES APPLICABLE TO FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—For any procedures adopted under paragraph (1) applicable to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Attorney General, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, shall include the following requirements:

“(i) TRAINING.—A requirement that, prior to conducting any query, personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation successfully complete training on the querying procedures on an annual basis.

“(ii) ADDITIONAL PRIOR APPROVALS FOR SENSITIVE QUERIES.—A requirement that, absent exigent circumstances, prior to conducting certain queries, personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation receive approval, at minimum, as follows:

“(I) Approval from the Deputy Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation if the query uses a query term reasonably believed to identify a United States elected official, an appointee of the President or a State governor, a United States political candidate, a United States political organization or a United States person prominent in such organization, or a United States media organization or a United States person who is a member of such organization.

“(II) Approval from an attorney of the Federal Bureau of Investigation if the query uses a query term reasonably believed to identify a

United States religious organization or a United States person who is prominent in such organization.

“(III) Approval from an attorney of the Federal Bureau of Investigation if such conduct involves batch job technology (or successor tool).

“(iii) PRIOR WRITTEN JUSTIFICATION.—A requirement that, prior to conducting a query using a United States person query term, personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation provide a written statement of the specific factual basis to support the reasonable belief that such query meets the standards required by the procedures adopted under paragraph (1). For each United States person query, the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall keep a record of the query term, the date of the conduct of the query, the identifier of the personnel conducting the query, and such written statement.

“(iv) STORAGE OF CERTAIN CONTENTS AND NON-CONTENTS.—Any system of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that stores unminimized contents or noncontents obtained through acquisitions authorized under subsection (a) together with contents or noncontents obtained through other lawful means shall be configured in a manner that—

“(I) requires personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to affirmatively elect to include such unminimized contents or noncontents obtained through acquisitions authorized under subsection (a) when running a query; or

“(II) includes other controls reasonably expected to prevent inadvertent queries of such unminimized contents or noncontents.

“(v) WAIVER AUTHORITY FOR FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.—If the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court finds that the procedures adopted under paragraph (1) include measures that are reasonably expected to result in similar compliance outcomes as the measures specified in clauses (i) through (iv) of this subparagraph, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court may waive one or more of the requirements specified in such clauses.”

(e) NOTIFICATION FOR CERTAIN QUERIES CONDUCTED BY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 702(f), as added by subsection (a) of this section, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(B) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN FBI QUERIES.—

“(i) REQUIREMENT.—The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall promptly notify appropriate congressional leadership of any query conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation using a query term that is reasonably believed to be the name or other personally identifying information of a member of Congress, and shall also notify the member who is the subject of such query.

“(ii) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL LEADERSHIP DEFINED.—In this subparagraph, the term ‘appropriate congressional leadership’ means the following:

“(I) The chairs and ranking minority members of the congressional intelligence committees.

“(II) The Speaker and minority leader of the House of Representatives.

“(III) The majority and minority leaders of the Senate.

“(iii) NATIONAL SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS.—In submitting a notification under clause (i), the Director shall give due regard to the protection of classified information, sources and methods, and national security.

“(iv) WAIVER.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—The Director may waive a notification required under clause (i) if the Director determines such notification would impede an ongoing national security or law enforcement investigation.

“(II) TERMINATION.—A waiver under subsection (I) shall terminate on the date the Director determines the relevant notification would not impede the relevant national security or law enforcement investigation or on the date that such investigation ends, whichever is earlier.”

(f) REQUIREMENT FOR CONGRESSIONAL CONSENT PRIOR TO CERTAIN FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION QUERIES FOR PURPOSE OF DEFENSIVE BRIEFINGS.—Paragraph (3) of section 702(f), as added by subsection (a) of this section, is amended by adding after subparagraph (B), as added by subsection (e) of this section, the following:

“(C) CONSENT REQUIRED FOR FBI TO CONDUCT CERTAIN QUERIES FOR PURPOSE OF DEFENSIVE BRIEFING.—

“(i) CONSENT REQUIRED.—The Federal Bureau of Investigation may not, for the exclusive purpose of supplementing the contents of a briefing on the defense against a counterintelligence threat to a member of Congress, conduct a query using a query term that is the name or restricted personal information (as such term is defined in section 119 of title 18, United States Code) of that member unless—

“(I) the member provides consent to the use of the query term; or

“(II) the Deputy Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation determines that exigent circumstances exist sufficient to justify the conduct of such query.

“(ii) NOTIFICATION.—

“(I) NOTIFICATION OF CONSENT SOUGHT.—Not later than three business days after submitting a request for consent from a member of Congress under clause (i), the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall notify the appropriate congressional leadership, regardless of whether the member provided such consent.

“(II) NOTIFICATION OF EXCEPTION USED.—Not later than three business days after the conduct of a query under clause (i) without consent on the basis of the existence of exigent circumstances determined under subclause (II) of such clause, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall notify the appropriate congressional leadership.

“(iii) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subparagraph may be construed as—

“(I) applying to matters outside of the scope of the briefing on the defense against a counterintelligence threat to be provided or supplemented under clause (i); or

“(II) limiting the lawful investigative activities of the Federal Bureau of Investigation other than supplementing the contents of a briefing on the defense against a counterintelligence threat to a member of Congress.

“(iv) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL LEADERSHIP DEFINED.—In this subparagraph, the term ‘appropriate congressional leadership’ means the following:

“(I) The chairs and ranking minority members of the congressional intelligence committees.

“(II) The Speaker and minority leader of the House of Representatives.

“(III) The majority and minority leaders of the Senate.”

### SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON USE OF INFORMATION OBTAINED UNDER SECTION 702.

(a) REVOKING FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT QUERIES UNRELATED TO NATIONAL SECURITY.—Subsection (f)(2) of section 702 is amended to read as follows:

“(2) PROHIBITION ON CONDUCT OF QUERIES THAT ARE SOLELY DESIGNED TO FIND AND EXTRACT EVIDENCE OF A CRIME.—

“(A) LIMITS ON AUTHORIZATIONS OF UNITED STATES PERSON QUERIES.—The querying procedures adopted pursuant to paragraph (1) for the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall prohibit queries of information acquired under subsection (a) that are solely designed to find and extract evidence of criminal activity.

“(B) EXCEPTIONS.—The restriction under subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to a query if—

“(i) there is a reasonable belief that such query may retrieve information that could assist in mitigating or eliminating a threat to life or serious bodily harm; or

“(ii) such query is necessary to identify information that must be produced or preserved in

connection with a litigation matter or to fulfill discovery obligations in criminal matters under the laws of the United States or any State thereof.”

(b) **RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.**—Section 702 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(n) **RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.**—

“(1) **RESTRICTION.**—The Federal Bureau of Investigation may not ingest unminimized information acquired under this section into its analytic repositories unless the targeted person is relevant to an existing, open, predicated full national security investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

“(2) **EXCEPTION FOR EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES.**—Paragraph (1) does not apply if the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation decides it is necessary due to exigent circumstances and provides notification within three business days to the congressional intelligence committees, the Speaker and minority leader of the House of Representatives, and the majority and minority leaders of the Senate.

“(3) **EXCEPTION FOR ASSISTANCE TO OTHER AGENCIES.**—Paragraph (1) does not apply where the Federal Bureau of Investigation has agreed to provide technical, analytical, or linguistic assistance at the request of another Federal agency.”

#### SEC. 4. TARGETING DECISIONS UNDER SECTION 702.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE TARGETED COLLECTION OF UNITED STATES PERSON INFORMATION.**—It is the sense of Congress that, as proscribed in section 702(b)(2), section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 has always prohibited, and continues to prohibit, the intelligence community from targeting a United States person for collection of foreign intelligence information. If the intelligence community intends to target a United States person for collection of foreign intelligence information under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, the Government must first obtain an individualized court order based upon a finding of probable cause that the United States person is a foreign power, an agent of a foreign power, or an officer or employee of a foreign power, in order to conduct surveillance targeting that United States person.

(b) **ANNUAL AUDIT OF TARGETING DECISIONS UNDER SECTION 702.**—

(1) **MANDATORY REVIEW.**—Not less frequently than annually, the Department of Justice National Security Division shall review each person targeted under section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 in the preceding year to ensure that the purpose of each targeting decision is not to target a known United States person. The results of this review shall be submitted to the Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General, the congressional intelligence committees, and the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate, subject to a declassification review.

(2) **INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDIT.**—Not less frequently than annually, the Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General shall audit a sampling of the targeting decisions reviewed by the National Security Division under paragraph (1) and submit a report to the congressional intelligence committees and the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate.

(3) **CERTIFICATION.**—Within 180 days of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, each agency authorized to target non-United States persons under section 702 shall certify to Congress that the purpose of each targeting decision made in the prior year was not to target a known United States person.

(4) **APPLICATION.**—The requirements under this subsection apply for any year to the extent

that section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 was in effect during any portion of the previous year.

#### SEC. 5. FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT REFORM.

(a) **REQUIREMENT FOR SAME JUDGE TO HEAR EXTENSION APPLICATIONS.**—Subsection (d) of section 105 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) An extension of an order issued under this title for surveillance targeted against a United States person, to the extent practicable and absent exigent circumstances, shall be granted or denied by the same judge who issued the original order unless the term of such judge has expired or such judge is otherwise no longer serving on the court.”

(b) **USE OF AMICI CURIAE IN FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT PROCEEDINGS.**—Subsection (i) of section 103 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clause (i) and (ii), respectively;

(B) by striking “A court established” and inserting the following subparagraph:

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—A court established”;

(C) in subparagraph (A), as inserted by subparagraph (B) of this section—

(i) in clause (i), as so redesignated—

(I) by striking “appoint an individual who has” and inserting “appoint one or more individuals who have”; and

(II) by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in clause (ii), as so redesignated—

(I) by striking “appoint an individual or organization” and inserting “appoint one or more individuals or organizations”; and

(II) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) shall appoint one or more individuals who have been designated under paragraph (1) to serve as amici curiae to assist such court in the consideration of any certification or procedures submitted for review pursuant to section 702, including any amendments to such certifications or procedures, if the court established under subsection (a) has not appointed an individual under clause (i) or (ii), unless the court issues a finding that such appointment is not appropriate or is likely to result in undue delay.”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(B) **EXPERTISE.**—In appointing one or more individuals under subparagraph (A)(iii), the court shall, to the maximum extent practicable, appoint an individual who possesses expertise in both privacy and civil liberties and intelligence collection.

“(C) **TIMING.**—In the event that the court appoints one or more individuals or organizations pursuant to this paragraph to assist such court in a proceeding under section 702, notwithstanding subsection (j)(1)(B) of such section, the court shall issue an order pursuant to subsection (j)(3) of such section as expeditiously as possible consistent with subsection (k)(1) of such section, but in no event later than 60 days after the date on which such certification, procedures, or amendments are submitted for the court’s review, or later than 60 days after the court has issued an order appointing one or more individuals pursuant to this paragraph, whichever is earlier, unless a judge of that court issues an order finding that extraordinary circumstances necessitate additional time for review and that such extension of time is consistent with the national security.”; and

(2) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking “paragraph (2)(A)” and inserting “paragraph (2)”;

(B) by striking “provide to the court, as appropriate”;

(C) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (C) as clauses (i) through (iii), respectively;

(D) by inserting before clause (i) the following new subparagraphs:

“(A) be limited to addressing the specific issues identified by the court; and

“(B) provide to the court, as appropriate—”; and

(E) in subparagraph (B)(i), as redesignated, by inserting “of United States persons” after “civil liberties”.

(c) **DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL TO SCRUTINIZE APPLICATIONS FOR UNITED STATES PERSONS.**—Section 103 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(1) **DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN APPLICATIONS.**—To assist the court in the consideration of any application for an order pursuant to section 104 that targets a United States person, the presiding judge designated under subsection (a) shall designate one or more attorneys to review such applications, and provide a written analysis to the judge considering the application, of—

“(1) the sufficiency of the evidence used to make the probable cause determination under section 105(a)(2);

“(2) any material weaknesses, flaws, or other concerns in the application; and

“(3) a recommendation as to the following, which the judge shall consider during a proceeding on the application in which such attorney is present, as appropriate—

“(A) that the application should be approved, denied, or modified;

“(B) that the Government should supply additional information in connection with such application; or

“(C) that any requirements or conditions should be imposed on the Government for the approval of such application.”

#### SEC. 6. APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER UNDER THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT.

(a) **REQUIREMENT FOR SWORN STATEMENTS FOR FACTUAL ASSERTIONS.**—

(1) **TITLE I.**—Subsection (a)(3) of section 104 is amended by striking “a statement of” and inserting “a sworn statement of”.

(2) **TITLE III.**—Subsection (a)(3) of section 303 is amended by striking “a statement of” and inserting “a sworn statement of”.

(3) **SECTION 703.**—Subsection (b)(1)(C) of section 703 is amended by striking “a statement of” and inserting “a sworn statement of”.

(4) **SECTION 704.**—Subsection (b)(3) of section 704 is amended by striking “a statement of” and inserting “a sworn statement of”.

(5) **APPLICABILITY.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **PROHIBITION ON USE OF POLITICALLY DERIVED INFORMATION IN APPLICATIONS FOR CERTAIN ORDERS BY THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.**—

(1) **TITLE I.**—Subsection (a)(6) of section 104 is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (E)(ii), by striking the semicolon and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding after subparagraph (E) the following new subparagraph:

“(F) that none of the information included in the statement described in paragraph (3) was solely produced by, derived from information produced by, or obtained using the funds of, a political organization (as such term is defined in section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), unless—

“(i) the political organization is clearly identified in the body of the statement described in paragraph (3);

“(ii) the information has been corroborated; and

“(iii) the investigative techniques used to corroborate the information are clearly identified in the body of the statement described in paragraph (3); and”.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (a)(6) of section 303 is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the semicolon and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (E) the following new subparagraph:

“(F) that none of the information included in the statement described in paragraph (3) was solely produced by, derived from information produced by, or obtained using the funds of, a political organization (as such term is defined in section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), unless—

“(i) the political organization is clearly identified in the body of the statement described in paragraph (3);

“(ii) the information has been corroborated; and

“(iii) the investigative techniques used to corroborate the information are clearly identified in the body of the statement described in paragraph (3); and”.

(3) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) PROHIBITION ON USE OF PRESS REPORTS IN APPLICATIONS FOR CERTAIN ORDERS BY THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (a)(6) of section 104, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) that none of the information included in the statement described in paragraph (3) is attributable to or derived from the content of a media source unless the statement includes a clear identification of each author of that content, and where applicable, the publisher of that content, information to corroborate that which was derived from the media source, and an explanation of the investigative techniques used to corroborate the information;”.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (a)(6) of section 303, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) that none of the information included in the statement described in paragraph (3) is attributable to or derived from the content of a media source unless the statement includes a clear identification of each author of that content, where applicable, the publisher of that content, information to corroborate that which was derived from the media source, and an explanation of the investigative techniques used to corroborate the information;”.

(3) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUES CARRIED OUT BEFORE APPLICATION.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (a) of section 104, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(A) in paragraph (8), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) with respect to a target who is a United States person, a statement summarizing the investigative techniques carried out before making the application;”.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN JUSTIFICATION PRIOR TO EXTENSION OF ORDERS.—

(1) APPLICATIONS FOR EXTENSION OF ORDERS UNDER TITLE I.—Subsection (a) of section 104, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) in the case of an application for an extension of an order under this title for a surveil-

lance targeted against a United States person, a summary statement of the foreign intelligence information obtained pursuant to the original order (and any preceding extension thereof) as of the date of the application for the extension, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such information; and”.

(2) APPLICATIONS FOR EXTENSION OF ORDERS UNDER TITLE III.—Subsection (a) of section 303, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(A) in paragraph (7), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) in the case of an application for an extension of an order under this title in which the target of the physical search is a United States person, a summary statement of the foreign intelligence information obtained pursuant to the original order (and any preceding extension thereof) as of the date of the application for the extension, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such information; and”.

(3) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) REQUIREMENT FOR JUSTIFICATION OF UNDERLYING CRIMINAL OFFENSE IN CERTAIN APPLICATIONS.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (a)(3)(A) of section 104 is amended by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: “, and, in the case of a target that is a United States person alleged to be acting as an agent of a foreign power (as described in section 101(b)(2)(B)), that a violation of the criminal statutes of the United States as referred to in section 101(b)(2)(B) has occurred or is about to occur”.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (a)(3)(A) of section 303 is amended by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: “, and, in the case of a target that is a United States person alleged to be acting as an agent of a foreign power (as described in section 101(b)(2)(B)), that a violation of the criminal statutes of the United States as referred to in section 101(b)(2)(B) has occurred or is about to occur”.

(3) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(g) MODIFICATION TO DURATION OF APPROVED PERIOD UNDER CERTAIN ORDERS FOR NON-UNITED STATES PERSONS.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (d) of section 105 is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “against a foreign power, as defined in section 101(a), (1), (2), or (3),” and inserting “against a foreign power”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “120 days” and inserting “one year”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (d) of section 304 is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “against a foreign power, as defined in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of section 101(a),” and inserting “against a foreign power”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “120 days” and inserting “one year”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

**SEC. 7. PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AND DECLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS.**

Subsection (a) of section 602 is amended by inserting after “shall conduct a declassification review” the following: “, to be concluded as soon as practicable, but not later than 180 days after the commencement of such review,”.

**SEC. 8. TRANSCRIPTIONS OF PROCEEDINGS.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR TRANSCRIPTS OF PROCEEDINGS.—Subsection (c) of section 103 is amended—

(1) by inserting “, and hearings shall be transcribed” before the first period;

(2) by inserting “, transcriptions of hearings,” after “applications made”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Transcriptions and any related records, including testimony and affidavits, shall be stored in a file associated with the relevant application or order.”.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS OF CERTAIN TRANSCRIPTS.—Subsection (c) of section 601 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(3) for any hearing, oral argument, or other proceeding before the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review for which a court reporter produces a transcript, not later than 45 days after the government receives the final transcript or the date on which the matter of the hearing, oral argument, or other proceeding is resolved, whichever is later, a notice of the existence of such transcript. Not later than three business days after a committee referred to in subsection (a) requests to review an existing transcript, the Attorney General shall facilitate such request; and

“(4) a copy of each declassified document that has undergone review under section 602.”.

**SEC. 9. AUDIT OF FISA COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

(a) INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT ON FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION QUERYING PRACTICES.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 545 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the querying practices of the Federal Bureau of Investigation under section 702.

(2) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) An evaluation of compliance by personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation with the querying procedures adopted under section 702(f), with a particular focus on compliance by such personnel with the procedures governing queries using United States person query terms.

(B) An analysis of each specific reform that, in the view of the Inspector General, is responsible for any identified improvement in the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s record of compliance with the querying procedures, including an identification of whether such reform was—

(i) required by this Act or another Act of Congress;

(ii) required by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or the Attorney General; or

(iii) voluntarily adopted by the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(C) An assessment of the status of the implementation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of all reforms related to querying that are required by this Act.

(D) An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Office of Internal Auditing of the Federal Bureau of Investigation with respect to monitoring and improving query compliance by personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(E) Recommendations to further improve compliance with querying procedures by personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, particularly with respect to compliance with the procedures governing queries using United States person query terms.

(F) Any other relevant matter the Inspector General determines appropriate.

(3) FORM.—The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form and may include a classified annex.

(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in this subsection, terms used in this subsection have the meanings given such terms in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

(B) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(i) the congressional intelligence committees, as such term is defined in subsection (b) of section 701 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881); and

(ii) the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

**SEC. 10. ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS OF APPLICATIONS.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR CERTIFICATIONS REGARDING ACCURACY OF APPLICATIONS.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (a) of section 104, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(12) a certification by the applicant or declarant that, to the best knowledge of the applicant or declarant, the Attorney General or a designated attorney for the Government has been apprised of all information that might reasonably—

“(A) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(B) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under section 105(a).”.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (a) of section 303 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(10) a certification by the applicant that, to the best knowledge of the applicant, the Attorney General or a designated attorney for the Government has been apprised of all information that might reasonably—

“(A) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(B) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under section 304(a).”.

(3) TITLE IV.—Subsection (c) of section 402 is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) a certification by the Federal Officer seeking to use the pen register or trap and trace device covered by the application that, to the best knowledge of the Federal Officer, the Attorney General or a designated attorney for the Government has been apprised of all information that might reasonably—

“(A) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(B) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under subsection (d).”.

(4) TITLE V.—Subsection (b)(2) of section 502 is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) a statement by the applicant that, to the best knowledge of the applicant, the application fairly reflects all information that might reasonably—

“(i) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(ii) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under subsection (c).”.

(5) TITLE VII.—

(A) SECTION 703.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 703 is amended—

(i) in subparagraph (I), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in subparagraph (J), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(K) a certification by the applicant that, to the best knowledge of the applicant, the Attorney General or a designated attorney for the Government has been apprised of all information that might reasonably—

“(i) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(ii) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under subsection (c).”.

(B) SECTION 704.—Subsection (b) of section 704 is amended—

(i) in paragraph (6), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in paragraph (7), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) a certification by the applicant that, to the best knowledge of the applicant, the Attorney General or a designated attorney for the Government has been apprised of all information that might reasonably—

“(A) call into question the accuracy of the application or the reasonableness of any assessment in the application conducted by the department or agency on whose behalf the application is made; or

“(B) otherwise raise doubts with respect to the findings required under subsection (c).”.

(6) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(7) ACCURACY PROCEDURES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General, in consultation with the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, shall issue procedures governing the review of case files, as appropriate, to ensure that applications to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court under title I or III of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) that target United States persons are accurate and complete.

(b) DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY INFORMATION.—

(1) TITLE I.—Subsection (a) of section 104, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(13) non-cumulative information known to the applicant or declarant that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(2) TITLE III.—Subsection (a) of section 303, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(11) non-cumulative information known to the applicant or declarant that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(3) TITLE IV.—Subsection (c) of section 402, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(A) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) non-cumulative information known to the Federal officer seeking to use the pen register or trap and trace device covered by the application, that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(4) TITLE V.—Subsection (b)(2) of section 502, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (E)(ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) non-cumulative information known to the applicant that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(5) TITLE VII.—

(A) SECTION 703.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 703, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(i) in subparagraph (J), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in subparagraph (K), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(L) non-cumulative information known to the applicant or declarant that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(B) SECTION 704.—Subsection (b) of section 704, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(i) in paragraph (7), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) non-cumulative information known to the applicant or declarant that is potentially exculpatory regarding the requested legal findings or any assessment in the application.”.

(6) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to applications made on or after the date that is 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 11. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.**

(a) REVOCATION OF STATUTORY REPORTING EXEMPTION AND ADDITIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 603, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(2)(B) by inserting “(or combined unminimized contents and noncontents information)” after “unminimized contents”; and

(B) in subsection (d), by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:

“(2) NONAPPLICABILITY TO ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS.—Paragraph (3)(B) of subsection (b) shall not apply to orders resulting in the acquisition of information by the Federal Bureau of Investigation that does not include electronic mail addresses or telephone numbers.”; and

(C) by inserting the following new subsection:

“(f) MANDATORY REPORTING ON SECTION 702 BY DIRECTOR OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—

“(1) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall annually submit to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report that includes—

“(A) the number of United States person queries by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of unminimized contents or noncontents acquired pursuant to section 702(a);

“(B) the number of approved queries using the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s batch job technology, or successor tool;

“(C) the number of queries using the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s batch job technology, or successor tool, conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation against information acquired pursuant to section 702(a) for which pre-approval was not obtained due to emergency circumstances;



“(D) the number of United States person queries conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of unminimized contents or noncontents acquired pursuant to section 702(a) solely to retrieve evidence of a crime;

“(E) a good faith estimate of the number of United States person query terms used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to conduct queries of unminimized contents or noncontents acquired pursuant to section 702(a) primarily to protect the United States person who is the subject of the query; and

“(F) a good faith estimate of the number of United States person query terms used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to conduct queries of unminimized contents or noncontents acquired pursuant to section 702(a) where the United States person who is the subject of the query is a target or subject of an investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

“(2) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—Subject to declassification review by the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence, each annual report submitted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be available to the public during the first April following the calendar year covered by the report.”

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2025.

## SEC. 12. ADVERSE PERSONNEL ACTIONS FOR FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.

(a) ANNUAL REPORTING ON DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS BY FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—Section 603 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting the following new subsection:

“(e) MANDATORY REPORTING BY DIRECTOR OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall annually submit to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, a report describing the accountability actions taken by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the preceding 12-month period for noncompliant querying of information acquired under section 702 and any such actions taken pursuant to section 103(m), to include the number of ongoing personnel investigations, the outcome of any completed personnel investigations and any related adverse personnel actions taken.”

(b) ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES FOR EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—

(1) MEASURES REQUIRED.—The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall ensure that, as soon as practicable following the date of enactment of this Act, there are in effect measures for holding the executive leadership of each covered component appropriately accountable for ensuring compliance with covered procedures by the personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation assigned to that covered component. Such measures shall include a requirement for an annual evaluation of the executive leadership of each such covered component with respect to ensuring such compliance during the preceding year.

(2) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—

(A) BRIEFINGS.—Not later than December 31 of each calendar year, the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the implementation of paragraph (1).

(B) MATTERS.—Each briefing under subparagraph (A) shall include, with respect to the period covered by the briefing, the following:

(i) A description of specific measures under paragraph (1) that the Federal Bureau of Investigation has implemented.

(ii) A description of specific measures under such subsection that the Federal Bureau of Investigation has proposed to be implemented or

modified, and the timeline for such proposed implementation or modification.

(iii) A summary of compliance with covered procedures by the personnel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, disaggregated by covered component, and a description of any adverse personnel actions taken against, or other actions taken to ensure the appropriate accountability of, the executive leadership of covered components that underperformed with respect to ensuring such compliance.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(i) the congressional intelligence committees, as such term is defined in subsection (b) of section 701 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881) on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(ii) the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

(B) COVERED COMPONENT.—The term “covered component” means a field office, Headquarters division, or other element of the Federal Bureau of Investigation with personnel who, for any period during which section 702 is in effect, have access to the unminimized contents of communications obtained through acquisitions authorized under section 702(a).

(C) COVERED PROCEDURE.—The term “covered procedure”—

(i) means any procedure governing the use of authorities under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.); and

(ii) includes querying procedures and minimization procedures adopted pursuant to such Act.

(D) EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP.—The term “executive leadership” includes—

(i) with respect to a field office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, an Assistant Director in Charge or Special Agent in Charge of the field office; and

(ii) with respect to a division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Headquarters, an Assistant Director of the division.

## SEC. 13. CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF FISA.

(a) PENALTIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF APPLICATION FOR ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 109 is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “intentionally”;

(B) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by inserting “intentionally” before “engages in”; and

(ii) by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon;

(C) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “disclose” and inserting “intentionally discloses”; and

(ii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) knowingly and willfully communicates, furnishes, transmits, or otherwise makes available to an unauthorized person, or publishes, or uses in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States an application, in whole or in part, for an order for electronic surveillance under this Act.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking “under subsection (a)” and inserting “under paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a)”.

(b) INCREASED CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR OFFENSE UNDER FISA.—Subsection (c) of section 109 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) PENALTY.—A person guilty of an offense in this section shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both.”

(c) CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN INCIDENTALLY COL-

LECTED UNITED STATES PERSON INFORMATION.—Title VII is amended by inserting the following new section:

## “SEC. 709. PENALTIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.

“(a) OFFENSE.—A person is guilty of an offense under this section if that person knowingly and willfully communicates, furnishes, transmits, or otherwise makes available to an unauthorized person, or publishes, or uses in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States any classified information that contains the contents of any communication acquired under this title to which a known United States person is a party.

“(b) PENALTY.—A person guilty of an offense in this section shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 8 years, or both.

“(c) JURISDICTION.—There is Federal jurisdiction over an offense under this section if the person committing the offense was an officer or employee of the United States at the time the offense was committed.”

(d) SENTENCING ENHANCEMENT FOR FALSE DECLARATIONS BEFORE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.—Subsection (a) of section 1623 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting before “, or both” the following: “or, if such proceedings are before or ancillary to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review established by section 103 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1803), imprisoned not more than ten years”.

## SEC. 14. CONTEMPT POWER OF FISC AND FISC-R.

(a) CONTEMPTS CONSTITUTING CRIMES.—Section 402 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “any district court of the United States” the following: “, including the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review established by section 103 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1803),”.

(b) ANNUAL REPORTING ON CONTEMPT.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 603 is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (F), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(G) the number of times the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court and the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review exercised authority under chapter 21 of title 18, United States Code and a description of each use of such authority.”

## SEC. 15. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR CIVIL ACTIONS.

(a) INCREASED PENALTIES.—Subsection (a) of section 110 is amended to read as follows:

“(a) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages equal to the greater of—

“(1) if the aggrieved person is a United States person, \$10,000 or \$1,000 per day for each day of violation; or

“(2) for any other aggrieved person, \$1,000 or \$100 per day for each day of violation;”.

(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Title I of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 is amended by inserting after section 110 the following:

## “SEC. 110A. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR CIVIL ACTIONS.

“(a) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If a court finds that a person has violated this Act in a civil action under section 110, the head of the agency that employs that person shall report to Congress on the administrative action taken against that person pursuant to section 103(m) or any other provision of law.

“(b) REPORT TO FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.—If a court finds that a person has violated this Act in a civil action under section 110, the head of the agency that employs



that person shall report the name of such person to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court. The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court shall maintain a list of each person about whom it received a report under this subsection.”.

**SEC. 16. ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARDS FOR INCIDENTS RELATING TO QUERIES CONDUCTED BY THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR ADOPTION OF CERTAIN MINIMUM ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARDS.—

(1) MINIMUM ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARDS.—Subsection (f) of section 702, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

“(4) MINIMUM ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARDS.—The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall issue minimum accountability standards that set forth escalating consequences for noncompliant querying of United States person terms within the contents of communications that were acquired under this section. Such standards shall include, at minimum, the following:

“(A) Zero tolerance for willful misconduct.  
 “(B) Escalating consequences for unintentional noncompliance, including the threshold for mandatory revocation of access to query information acquired under this section.  
 “(C) Consequences for supervisors who oversee users that engage in noncompliant queries.”.

(2) DEADLINES.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall issue the minimum accountability standards required under subsection (f)(4) of section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a).

(3) REPORTS.—  
 (A) SUBMISSION OF STANDARDS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the minimum accountability standards issued under paragraph (1).  
 (B) ANNUAL REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than December 1, 2024, and annually thereafter for 3 years, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report detailing each adverse personnel action taken pursuant to the minimum accountability standards and a description of the conduct that led to each such action.

(4) DEFINITION OF APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—  
 (A) the congressional intelligence committees, as such term is defined in subsection (b) of section 701 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881); and  
 (B) the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate.

**SEC. 17. REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION OF FEDERAL OFFICERS FOR MISCONDUCT BEFORE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.**

(a) REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION OF FEDERAL OFFICERS FOR MISCONDUCT BEFORE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT.—Section 103, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(m) REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION OF FEDERAL OFFICERS FOR MISCONDUCT BEFORE COURTS.—An officer or employee of the United States Government who engages in intentional misconduct with respect to proceedings before the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review shall be subject to appropriate adverse actions, including, at minimum, suspension without pay or removal, up to and including termination.”.

**SEC. 18. REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS.**  
 (a) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS OF CERTAIN UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES.—If the Director of National Intelligence becomes aware of an actual or potential significant unauthorized dis-

closure or compromise of information acquired under section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a), as soon as practicable, but not later than 7 days after the date on which the Director becomes so aware, the Director shall notify the congressional intelligence committees of such actual or potential disclosure or compromise.

(b) REPORT ON TECHNOLOGY NEEDED FOR NEAR-REAL TIME MONITORING OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLIANCE.—

(1) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with the National Security Agency and in consultation with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, shall conduct a study on technological enhancements that would enable the Federal Bureau of Investigation to conduct near-real time monitoring of compliance in any system of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that stores information acquired under section 702. Such study shall consider the potential cost and assess the feasibility of implementation within a period of one year of each technological enhancement under consideration.

(2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit the results of the study to the appropriate congressional committees.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—In this section the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional intelligence committees, as such term is defined in subsection (b) of section 701 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881); and  
 (B) the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

(c) FISA REFORM COMMISSION.—  
 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—  
 (A) IN GENERAL.—There is established a commission to consider ongoing reforms to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).  
 (B) DESIGNATION.—The commission established under subparagraph (A) shall be known as the “FISA Reform Commission” (in this section the “Commission”).

(2) MEMBERSHIP.—  
 (A) COMPOSITION.—  
 (i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), the Commission shall be composed of the following members:

- (I) The Principal Deputy Director of National Intelligence.
- (II) The Deputy Attorney General.
- (III) The Deputy Secretary of Defense.
- (IV) The Deputy Secretary of State.
- (V) The Chair of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.
- (VI) Three members appointed by the majority leader of the Senate, in consultation with the Chairman of the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, 1 of whom shall be a member of the Senate and 2 of whom shall not be.
- (VII) Three members appointed by the minority leader of the Senate, in consultation with the Vice Chairman of the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Ranking Member of the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, 1 of whom shall be a member of the Senate and 2 of whom shall not be.
- (VIII) Three members appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, in consultation with the Chairman of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, 1 of whom shall be a member of the House of Representatives and 2 of whom shall not be.
- (IX) Three members appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives, in consultation with the Ranking Member of the House of Representatives and the Ranking

Member of the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, 1 of whom shall be a member of the House of Representatives and 2 of whom shall not be.

(ii) NONMEMBERS OF CONGRESS.—  
 (I) QUALIFICATIONS.—The members of the Commission who are not members of Congress and who are appointed under subclauses (VI) through (IX) of clause (i) shall be individuals who are nationally recognized for expertise, knowledge, or experience in—  
 (aa) use of intelligence information by the intelligence community (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)), national policymakers, and military leaders;

(bb) the implementation, funding, or oversight of the national security laws of the United States;

(cc) privacy, civil liberties, and transparency; or  
 (dd) laws and policies governing methods of electronic surveillance.

(II) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—An official who appoints members of the Commission may not appoint an individual as a member of the Commission if such individual possesses any personal or financial interest in the discharge of any of the duties of the Commission.

(III) SECURITY CLEARANCES.—All members of the Commission described in subclause (I) shall possess an appropriate security clearance in accordance with applicable provisions of law concerning the handling of classified information.

(B) CO-CHAIRS.—  
 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall have 2 co-chairs, selected from among the members of the Commission.

(ii) AGREEMENT.—The individuals who serve as the co-chairs of the Commission shall be agreed upon by the members of the Commission.

(3) APPOINTMENT; INITIAL MEETING.—  
 (A) APPOINTMENT.—Members of the Commission shall be appointed not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) INITIAL MEETING.—The Commission shall hold its initial meeting on or before the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) MEETINGS; QUORUM; VACANCIES.—  
 (A) IN GENERAL.—After its initial meeting, the Commission shall meet upon the call of the co-chairs of the Commission.

(B) QUORUM.—Nine members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for purposes of conducting business, except that 2 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for purposes of receiving testimony.

(C) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made.

(D) QUORUM WITH VACANCIES.—If vacancies in the Commission occur on any day after 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a quorum shall consist of a majority of the members of the Commission as of such day.

(5) DUTIES.—The duties of the Commission are as follows:

(A) To review the effectiveness of the current implementation of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

(B) To develop recommendations for legislative action to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) that provide for the effective conduct of United States intelligence activities and the protection of privacy and civil liberties.

(6) POWERS OF COMMISSION.—  
 (A) IN GENERAL.—  
 (i) HEARINGS.—The Commission or, on the authorization of the Commission, any subcommittee or member thereof, may, for the purpose of carrying out this section—

(I) hold such hearings and sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, receive such evidence, and administer such oaths; and  
 (II) require, by subpoena or otherwise, the attendance and testimony of such witnesses and

the production of such books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, and documents, as the Commission or such designated subcommittee or designated member considers necessary.

(ii) **ISSUANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF SUBPOENAS.**—

(I) **ISSUANCE.**—A subpoena issued under clause (i)(II) shall—

(a) bear the signature of the co-chairs of the Commission; and

(b) be served by a person or class of persons designated by the co-chairs for that purpose.

(II) **ENFORCEMENT.**—The provisions of sections 102 through 104 of the Revised Statutes of the United States (2 U.S.C. 192–194) shall apply in the case of any failure of a witness to comply with any subpoena or to testify when summoned under authority of this paragraph.

(B) **INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission may secure directly from any executive department, agency, bureau, board, commission, office, independent establishment, or instrumentality of the Federal Government information, suggestions, estimates, and statistics for the purposes of this section.

(ii) **FURNISHING INFORMATION.**—Each such department, agency, bureau, board, commission, office, establishment, or instrumentality described in clause (i) shall, to the extent authorized by law, furnish such information, suggestions, estimates, and statistics directly to the Commission, upon request of the co-chairs of the Commission.

(iii) **PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.**—The Commission shall handle and protect all classified information provided to it under this section in accordance with applicable provisions of law.

(C) **ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—

(i) **DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.**—The Director of National Intelligence shall provide to the Commission, on a nonreimbursable basis, such administrative services, funds, staff, facilities, and other support services as are necessary for the performance of the duties of the Commission under this section.

(ii) **ATTORNEY GENERAL.**—The Attorney General may provide the Commission, on a nonreimbursable basis, with such administrative services, staff, and other support services as the Commission may request.

(iii) **OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.**—In addition to the assistance set forth in clauses (i) and (ii), other departments and agencies of the United States may provide the Commission such services, funds, facilities, staff, and other support as such departments and agencies consider advisable and as may be authorized by law.

(iv) **COOPERATION.**—The Commission shall receive the full and timely cooperation of any official, department, or agency of the Federal Government whose assistance is necessary, as jointly determined by the co-chairs selected under paragraph (2)(B), for the fulfillment of the duties of the Commission, including the provision of full and current briefings and analyses.

(D) **POSTAL SERVICES.**—The Commission may use the United States postal services in the same manner and under the same conditions as the departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

(E) **GIFTS.**—No member or staff of the Commission may receive a gift or benefit by reason of the service of such member or staff to the Commission.

(7) **STAFF OF COMMISSION.**—

(A) **APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION OF STAFF.**—The co-chairs of the Commission, in accordance with rules agreed upon by the Commission, shall appoint and fix the compensation of a staff director and such other personnel as may be necessary to enable the Commission to carry out its duties, without regard to the provisions of title 5, United States Code, governing appointments in the competitive service, and without regard to the provisions of chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of such title relat-

ing to classification and General Schedule pay rates, except that no rate of pay fixed under this subsection may exceed the equivalent of that payable to a person occupying a position at level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

(B) **DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.**—Any Federal Government employee may be detailed to the Commission without reimbursement from the Commission, and such detailee shall retain the rights, status, and privileges of his or her regular employment without interruption.

(C) **SECURITY CLEARANCES.**—All staff of the Commission and all experts and consultants employed by the Commission shall possess a security clearance in accordance with applicable provisions of law concerning the handling of classified information.

(8) **COMPENSATION AND TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—

(A) **COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), each member of the Commission may be compensated at not to exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay in effect for a position at level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day during which that member is engaged in the actual performance of the duties of the Commission under this title.

(ii) **EXCEPTION.**—Members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States or Members of Congress shall receive no additional pay by reason of their service on the Commission.

(B) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—While away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission, a member of the Commission may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in the Government service are allowed expenses under section 5703 of title 5, United States Code.

(9) **TREATMENT OF INFORMATION RELATING TO NATIONAL SECURITY.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of National Intelligence shall assume responsibility for the handling and disposition of any information related to the national security of the United States that is received, considered, or used by the Commission under this title.

(B) **INFORMATION PROVIDED BY CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.**—Any information related to the national security of the United States that is provided to the Commission by a congressional intelligence committee may not be further provided or released without the approval of the chairman of such committee.

(C) **ACCESS AFTER TERMINATION OF COMMISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, after the termination of the Commission under paragraph (10)(B), only the members and designated staff of the congressional intelligence committees, the Director of National Intelligence (and the designees of the Director), and such other officials of the executive branch of the Federal Government as the President may designate shall have access to information related to the national security of the United States that is received, considered, or used by the Commission.

(10) **FINAL REPORT; TERMINATION.**—

(A) **FINAL REPORT.**—

(i) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subparagraph:

(I) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.**—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(aa) the congressional intelligence committees;

(bb) the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate; and

(cc) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives.

(II) **CONGRESSIONAL LEADERSHIP.**—The term “congressional leadership” means—

(aa) the majority leader of the Senate;

(bb) the minority leader of the Senate;

(cc) the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and

(dd) the minority leader of the House of Representatives.

(ii) **FINAL REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 5 years from the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, congressional leadership, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Attorney General a final report on the findings of the Commission.

(iii) **FORM OF FINAL REPORT.**—The final report submitted pursuant to clause (ii) shall be in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

(iv) **ASSESSMENTS OF FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after receipt of the final report under clause (ii), the Director of National Intelligence and the Attorney General shall each submit to the appropriate committees of Congress and congressional leadership an assessment of such report.

(B) **TERMINATION.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission, and all the authorities of this section, shall terminate on the date that is 2 years after the date on which the final report is submitted under subparagraph (A)(ii).

(ii) **WIND-DOWN PERIOD.**—The Commission may use the 2-year period referred to in clause (i) for the purposes of concluding its activities, including providing testimony to Congress concerning the final report referred to in that paragraph and disseminating the report.

(11) **INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.**—

(A) **FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.**—The provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the activities of the Commission under this section.

(B) **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.**—The provisions of section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the “Freedom of Information Act”), shall not apply to the activities, records, and proceedings of the Commission under this section.

(12) **FUNDING.**—

(A) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated funds to the extent and in such amounts as specifically provided in advance in appropriations acts for the purposes detailed in this subsection.

(B) **AVAILABILITY IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (A), the Director of National Intelligence shall make available to the Commission such amounts as the Commission may require for purposes of the activities of the Commission under this section.

(C) **DURATION OF AVAILABILITY.**—Amounts made available to the Commission under subparagraph (B) shall remain available until expended or upon termination under paragraph (10)(B), whichever occurs first.

(13) **CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “congressional intelligence committees” means—

(A) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(d) **SEVERABILITY; APPLICABILITY DATE.**—

(1) **SEVERABILITY.**—If any provision of this Act, any amendment made by this Act, or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of the Act, of any such amendments, and of the application of such provisions to other persons and circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

(2) **APPLICABILITY DATE.**—Subsection (f) of section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a), as amended by this Act, shall apply with respect to certifications submitted under subsection (h) of such section to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court after January 1, 2024.

**SEC. 19. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN AUTHORITIES.**

(a) **FISA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008.**—Section 403(b) of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008

(Public Law 110-261; 122 Stat. 2474) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—  
 (A) by striking “April 19, 2024” and inserting “two years after the date of enactment of the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act”; and

(B) by inserting “and the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act” after “the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017”; and

(2) in paragraph (2) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “April 19, 2024” and inserting “two years after the date of enactment of the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 404(b) of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-261; 122 Stat. 2476), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—  
 (A) in the heading, by striking “APRIL 19, 2024” and inserting “TWO YEARS AFTER THE DATE OF ENACTMENT OF THE REFORMING INTELLIGENCE AND SECURING AMERICA ACT”; and  
 (B) by inserting “and the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act” after “the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act” after “the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017”; and

(3) in paragraph (4), by inserting “and the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act” after “the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017” in each place it appears.

**SEC. 20. AMENDMENTS TO THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT OF 1978.**

(a) REFERENCES TO FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT OF 1978.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or a repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS ON CONFORMING CHANGES TO TABLES OF CONTENTS.—When an amendment made by this Act adds a section or larger organizational unit to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), repeals or transfers a section or larger organizational unit in such Act, or amends the designation or heading of a section or larger organizational unit in such Act, that amendment also shall have the effect of amending the table of contents in such Act to alter the table to conform to the changes made by the amendment.

**SEC. 21. REQUIREMENT FOR RECERTIFICATION.**

Notwithstanding any orders or authorizations issued or made under section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a) during the period beginning on January 1, 2024 and ending on April 30, 2024, no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence shall be required to seek new orders consistent with the provisions of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, as amended by this Act, and thereafter to issue new authorizations consistent with such new orders.

The Acting CHAIR. No further amendment to the bill, as amended, is in order except those printed in House Report 118-46. Each such further amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be

subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. BIGGS  
 The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 1 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 14, strike line 8 and all that follows through line 10 on page 15, and insert the following:

(a) PROHIBITION ON WARRANTLESS QUERIES FOR THE COMMUNICATIONS OF UNITED STATES PERSONS.—Section 702(f) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a(f))—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A) by inserting “and the limitations and requirements in paragraph (2)” after “Constitution of the United States”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (7); and

(3) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) PROHIBITION ON WARRANTLESS QUERIES FOR THE COMMUNICATIONS AND OTHER INFORMATION OF UNITED STATES PERSONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), no officer or employee of the United States may conduct a query of information acquired under this section for the purpose of finding communications or information the compelled production of which would require a probable cause warrant if sought for law enforcement purposes in the United States, of a United States person.

“(B) EXCEPTIONS FOR CONCURRENT AUTHORIZATION, CONSENT, EMERGENCY SITUATIONS, AND CERTAIN DEFENSIVE CYBERSECURITY QUERIES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to a query related to a United States person if—

“(I) such person is the subject of an order or emergency authorization authorizing electronic surveillance or physical search under section 105 (50 U.S.C. 1805) or section 304 (50 U.S.C. 1824) of this Act, or a warrant issued pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure by a court of competent jurisdiction;

“(II)(aa) the officer or employee conducting the query has a reasonable belief that—

“(AA) an emergency exists involving an imminent threat of death or serious bodily harm; and

“(BB) in order to prevent or mitigate the threat described in subitem (AA), the query must be conducted before authorization described in subclause (I) can, with due diligence, be obtained; and

“(bb) a description of the query is provided to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court and the congressional intelligence committees and the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate in a timely manner;

“(III) such person or, if such person is incapable of providing consent, a third party legally authorized to consent on behalf of such person, has provided consent to the query on a case-by-case basis; or

“(IV)(aa) the query uses a known cybersecurity threat signature as a query term;

“(bb) the query is conducted, and the results of the query are used, for the sole purpose of identifying targeted recipients of malicious software and preventing or mitigating harm from such malicious software;

“(cc) no additional contents of communications acquired as a result of the query are accessed or reviewed; and

“(dd) each such query is reported to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

“(ii) LIMITATIONS.—

“(I) USE IN SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDINGS.—No information acquired pursuant to a query authorized under clause (i)(II) or information derived from the information acquired pursuant to such query may be used, received in evidence, or otherwise disseminated in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, department, office, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of the United States, a State, or political subdivision thereof, except in a proceeding that arises from the threat that prompted the query.

“(II) ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE.—Not less frequently than annually, the Attorney General shall assess compliance with the requirements under subclause (I).

“(C) MATTERS RELATING TO EMERGENCY QUERIES.—

“(i) TREATMENT OF DENIALS.—In the event that a query for communications or information, the compelled production of which would require a probable cause warrant if sought for law enforcement purposes in the United States, of a United States person is conducted pursuant to an emergency authorization described in subparagraph (B)(i)(I) and the subsequent application for such surveillance pursuant to section 105(e) (50 U.S.C. 1805(e)) or section 304(e) (50 U.S.C. 1824(e)) of this Act is denied, or in any other case in which the query has been conducted in violation of this paragraph—

“(I) no information acquired or evidence derived from such query may be used, received in evidence, or otherwise disseminated in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, department, office, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of the United States, a State, or political subdivision thereof; and

“(II) no information concerning any United States person acquired from such query may subsequently be used or disclosed in any other manner without the consent of such person, except in the case that the Attorney General approves the use or disclosure of such information in order to prevent death or serious bodily harm to any person.

“(ii) ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE.—Not less frequently than annually, the Attorney General shall assess compliance with the requirements under clause (i).

“(D) FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE PURPOSE.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B)(i)(II)-(IV), no officer or employee of the United States may conduct a query of information acquired under this section for the purpose of finding information of a United States person unless the query is reasonably likely to retrieve foreign intelligence information.

“(3) DOCUMENTATION.—No officer or employee of the United States may conduct a query of information acquired under this section for the purpose of finding information of or about a United States person, unless an electronic record is created that includes the following:

“(A) Each term used for the conduct of the query.

“(B) The date of the query.

“(C) The identifier of the officer or employee.

“(D) A statement of facts showing that the use of each query term included under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) falls within an exception specified in paragraph (2)(B)(i); and

“(ii) is—

“(I) reasonably likely to retrieve foreign intelligence information; or

“(II) in furtherance of an exception described in subclauses (II) through (IV) of paragraph (2)(B)(i).

“(4) QUERY RECORD SYSTEM.—The head of each agency that conducts queries shall ensure that a system, mechanism, or business practice is in place to maintain the record described in paragraph (3). Not later than 90 days after enactment of this paragraph, the head of each agency shall report to Congress on its compliance with this procedure.

“(5) PROHIBITION ON RESULTS OF METADATA QUERY AS A BASIS FOR ACCESS TO COMMUNICATIONS AND OTHER PROTECTED INFORMATION.—If a query of information acquired under this section is conducted for the purpose of finding communications metadata of a United States person and the query returns such metadata, the communications content associated with the metadata may not be reviewed except as provided under paragraph (2)(B)(i) of this subsection.

“(6) FEDERATED DATASETS.—The prohibitions and requirements under this subsection shall apply to queries of federated and mixed datasets that include information acquired under this section, unless each agency has established a system, mechanism, or business practice to limit the query to information not acquired under this section.”

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chairman, to hear the administration tell it, having to get a warrant is the end of the world.

Well, guess what? In literally any other context in which law enforcement or intelligence agencies want to read an American's communications, they have to get a warrant. That has been the rule for over 200 years, and for 46 years the government has had to get a FISA title I order to read Americans' communications in a foreign intelligence investigation.

These are investigations in which Americans are suspected of terrorism, espionage, cybercrimes—you name it.

Somehow, a warrant or title I requirement is completely consistent with national security in those high-stakes cases, yet the administration and those who are opposed to this amendment allege it will plunge us into a dystopian nightmare if we apply this same basic longstanding protection to section 702 queries where the American often isn't even suspected of any wrongdoing at the time of the query.

I don't buy it, and neither should you.

Over a decade ago, as my friend Mr. NADLER said just a moment ago, a group of intelligence experts unanimously recommended requiring a warrant for U.S. person queries of section 702 data.

That group included Michael Morell, former Acting Director of the CIA, and Richard A. Clarke, former Chief Counterterrorism Adviser to President George W. Bush—bipartisan—recommended the same thing that we have today.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I claim time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I rise in opposition to this amendment by Mr. BIGGS.

First of all, I thank Mr. BIGGS. He participated in the working group that we had that was joint between the Intelligence Committee and the Judiciary Committee that drafted and put together this underlying bill, including working directly with the Speaker's Office in the second working group that drafted the specific bill, this underlying bill.

We disagree about his amendment though, which is why we are here on the debate.

This amendment is not about Americans' inboxes and outboxes. This is not about Americans' data. This amendment is about Hezbollah's data, Hamas' data, and the Communist Chinese Party's data.

You don't have to take my word for it. Just pick up this amendment. Read the front of the amendment. This amendment says that you need to get a warrant to go into data collected by 702. The 702 data which we all agree—everybody on this floor agrees that 702 data is the collection of foreigners abroad. That is Hamas, Hezbollah, the Chinese Communist Party, al-Qaida.

What they want is a warrant to search the inbox and outbox of Hezbollah, al-Qaida, and the Chinese Communist Party when they are communicating with people in the United States.

This is dangerous, it will make us go blind, and it will absolutely increase their recruitment of people inside the United States—not even American citizens—to do terrorist attacks, recruit for espionage, and to harm Americans.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER), the cosponsor of this amendment.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of this absolutely essential amendment.

In 2021, the intelligence community conducted over 278,000 inappropriate searches of Americans' private communications. They broke the law more than 278,000 times.

Mr. BIGGS and I do not agree on much, but we agree that the status quo is unacceptable. Without a probable cause warrant requirement, it is clear that the intelligence community will go on breaking the law and violating Americans' rights in the process.

As I have said again and again, if the government wants to peruse the private communications of Americans, they can go to title I of FISA. Section 702 has fewer privacy protections because it is meant for foreigners located overseas—people who do not have constitutional rights.

Any Americans' data we collect under 702 is collected at a standard far

below the Fourth Amendment, and that should not be.

I strongly support this amendment, and I encourage my colleagues to vote “yes.”

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES), the ranking member of the Intelligence Committee.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chairman, I thank both the Judiciary Committee and the Intelligence Committee for this important debate.

I sat here and listened to the Judiciary Committee's support for the warrant amendment, and the entire argument is constructed on the foundation of the notion that U.S. person queries violate the Constitution. That is the argument.

I am not a lawyer, so I tend to defer to my good friends on the Judiciary Committee, but I am likely to defer more immediately to the people who are charged with defending our constitutional rights in the Federal courts. I am going to quote from the PCLOB report here, a statement made by the FIS court in April of 2022: “All three United States Circuit Courts of Appeals to consider the issue [the Second, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits] have held that the incidental collection of a U.S. person's communications under section 702 does not require a warrant and is reasonable under the Fourth Amendment.”

I am not a lawyer, but I am inclined to defer to three separate circuits.

So my friends on Judiciary point to the PCLOB. The gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL) quoted the chair of the PCLOB. She did it right. She was quoting the Chair of the PCLOB in her personal capacity. The PCLOB had profound misgivings with their own warrant requirement, which was far narrower than the Biggs amendment warrant requirement.

The two Republican members of the PCLOB wrote a rebuttal of the PCLOB's proposal, and I will just quote this. The Republican members—I would suggest that I am always amazed by the Chairman of Judiciary's alignment with his party. The Republicans said that: “FISC preapproval would most negatively impact the most important and urgent queries—the ones that show a connection between foreign targets and U.S. persons, the ones that the FBI must review as quickly as possible.”

Please vote against the Biggs amendment.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chairman, so let's just consider that the Second Circuit has said that a Fourth Amendment warrant is appropriate, and they haven't finished concluding it. I don't know why Mr. HIMES is going to just keep riding off on that, but the Second Circuit is still considering that.

Let's take a look at something else. The U.S. person queries designed to search for communications between Americans and foreigners who happen to be U.S. person targets. That is what we are hearing.

So Mr. TURNER says the law already requires a warrant to surveil an American. When he says "surveil" what he is talking about is collecting all of an American's communications. In that case, under title I a warrant is required.

A U.S. person query is an attempt to access some of an American's communications, namely, those that are incidentally collected under section 702 and to do so without a warrant. They can do it right now without a warrant.

That is the distinction that we are getting at.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. What Mr. BIGGS just said is a great description. If this amendment passes, the Chinese Communist Party, Hezbollah, and Hamas get to fully recruit in the United States free because we would have to get a warrant to monitor them—not to monitor Americans. Already the Constitution requires that you have to have a warrant and you have to go to court for a warrant because their constitutional rights have been protected since the birth of this Nation.

Americans' inboxes and outboxes are protected by a constitutional right for a warrant.

□ 1100

The inbox and outbox of Hezbollah, Hamas, and the Chinese Communist Party are not. If they are recruiting into the United States and people are communicating back with them, that is not protected speech. If you send a thanks for the bomb-making classes email to the head of Hamas, that shouldn't take a warrant for us to see because we need to protect Americans.

Now, inside the United States, everybody's communications are protected. The Constitution is sound, and since the birth of this Nation, we have fought to ensure that. I would say it is the definition of a swamp when you stand on this floor and say you are going to give the American people something they already have; they have protections of their communications. They don't have the protection to be able to talk to Hamas and Hezbollah and the Chinese Communist Party and say that they are going to be recruited to be a terrorist to do espionage or to be a spy. That is what we are talking about.

There should not be a warrant for those types of communications. We wouldn't be able to see them. We would go blind. Our Nation would be unsafe.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chair, may I inquire how much time I have remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN), the chairman of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Chair, I would just point out that my good friend from Ohio says that we are searching foreigners in this database. Well, if we are just searching foreigners, why do we have this distinction called "U.S. person queries"?

If you are just searching the bad guys, that is one thing, but you are not or you wouldn't have violated U.S. person inquiries 278,000 times. That is the fundamental distinction.

You can search all the bad guys you want—that is what we want. Do surveillance on them. They are in the database. You want more about them in the database, go do it. But if you want to search an American—their name, their phone number, their email address—you have to get a warrant.

That is all this does. We shouldn't make it too complicated. That is all this does.

Mr. HIMES just used the term, "U.S. person queries." That is not a foreigner, that is someone here in the United States who is a person, and they are being searched. All we are saying is if you are going to do that, go get a warrant from a separate and equal branch of government.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chair, I want to just dovetail on that because my friend from the Intelligence Committee keeps talking about us not being able to look at Hamas or any of these nefarious actors. That is simply inaccurate.

The administration cites multiple examples where using section 702 to monitor foreign targets has provided critical intelligence, but when it comes to warrantless searches for Americans, they can't provide any examples of where they have provided any useful information. Yet, they want to continue to look at U.S. persons' information without a warrant.

Mr. Chair, I urge support of my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. ROY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 23, insert after line 17 the following:  
(d) MEMBER ACCESS TO THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT AND FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT OF REVIEW.—The chair and ranking minority member of each of the congressional intelligence

committees, the chairs and ranking members of the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate, the Majority and Minority Leaders of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives shall be entitled to attend any proceeding of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or any proceeding of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review. Each person entitled to attend a proceeding pursuant to this paragraph may designate not more than 2 staff members of such committee or office to attend on their behalf, pursuant to such procedures as the Attorney General, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence may establish.

Page 45, strike line 16 and all that follows through line 17, and insert the following:

**SEC. 11. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND QUARTERLY REPORT TO CONGRESS.**

Page 48, line 14, insert after "the report." the following:

"(3) QUARTERLY REPORT.—Beginning on the date that is not later than 1 year after the effective date of this paragraph, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation shall submit a quarterly report to the congressional intelligence committees and to the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate that includes the number of U.S. person queries conducted during that quarter."

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, the amendment that I have put forward here requires the FBI to report to Congress on a quarterly basis rather than an annual basis the number of U.S. person queries conducted.

We simply want to have more information. We simply want to have the ability to look at this and understand whether the FBI is actually conducting these the proper way. We think quarterly is more efficient and more effective. By the way, we extended it, it does not kick in for 1 year.

The FBI was complaining it was too burdensome. The FBI couldn't get this done. They got a \$200 million new headquarters, but they couldn't figure out how to get this done, so we gave them 1 year.

Great, so you have a year; quarterly reporting.

It also grants the chairs and ranking members of the Committees on Judiciary and Intelligence in the House and the Senate, the ability to go to the FISC.

Now, the problem is the chairman is going to say they oppose this. I know this because they put out their propaganda last night saying: This amendment would result in an unprecedented expansion of access to details on the most sensitive and highly classified current intelligence operations being undertaken by the government to numerous congressional staff which raises significant counterintelligence concerns.

We can't have congressional staff in the FISC. No, no, no, that would be terrible. We don't want to have Article I

be able to go over and get in front of the FISC and be able to see what is happening and protect American citizens. We would rather the intel community in all of its infinite wisdom be able to make all of the determinations about the security and safety of the American people.

By the way, we have all the provisions in the language that say that it is up to the intel world and the FBI and all the security people to set the circumstances and all of the requirements under what the congressional staff would have to have in terms of clearances. However, to say that we can't have congressional staff be able to observe the FISC, to be able to understand what is happening there, and be able to come back here so Congress can know what is happening to protect the American people is facially absurd.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, the gentleman is correct, the Intelligence Committee does oppose this amendment. We oppose this. There was a working group that was put together by the Speaker which had two Representatives of the Judiciary Committee, two Representatives of the Intelligence Committee, two Representatives appointed by the leadership and the chair, MARIO DIAZ-BALART. Every person in that working group opposed this amendment.

Now, the underlying bill already includes a provision of a requirement that the FISA court now create transcripts and that those transcripts be transmitted to the Congressional committees of jurisdiction, which includes Judiciary and Intelligence.

We will already know what is happening. The difference is whether or not you pull up a seat and you eat popcorn while you are watching the court.

I want to go back to the Biggs amendment here for a second because the Biggs/Jayapal amendment is really what is dominating this whole debate.

This amendment, if you just read the front page of it, clearly says that it is about the intelligence that is gathered from foreigners abroad. This is not about Americans' data. Americans' data is safe, constitutionally protected. They are inboxed and outboxed. No amendment on this floor can change the Constitution. No statute on this floor can change the Constitution.

The statute that we are talking about is 702, which is the spying on foreigners abroad.

Now, everybody in this House is pissed at the FBI and is pissed about the abuses that occurred. Punish the FBI. Pass this underlying bill. Do not pass the Biggs amendment and cause us to go blind and make America less safe.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, pretty much the entirety of the debate that has been done here has been focused on the warrant requirement, right.

The reason that we have this particular amendment before us right now is simply to just be able have more reporting and more understanding of what is happening in the FISC. But there is always constant resistance by the intelligence community to looking under the hood. Because it is always the case that they want to use the fear.

"Perhaps it is a universal truth that the loss of liberty at home is to be charged against danger real or pretended from abroad." James Madison, Thomas Jefferson, May 13, 1798.

The fact is, the Founders knew precisely what would occur, that the government, in the quest to have power in the name of stopping foreign adversaries and in the name of fear, would use that power against our own citizens. That is what is occurring. That is what is happening.

We have before us real and obvious abuses—278,000 of those abuses, going after the American people. And our response is a bunch of technical stuff that chases the actual core problem.

Our friends don't want to get into peeling back the hood of what is happening in the intel community because our friends are the intel community.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, in conclusion, as we look at this debate and this bill, which is about spying on foreigners abroad, Hezbollah, Hamas, the Chinese Communist Party, giving them constitutional protections is unprecedented. There is no court that has ever done it. There has been no bill that has passed this House that gives constitutional protections to foreigners abroad.

Americans' constitutional rights are preserved in the Constitution. This amendment undermines our security by giving Americans' constitutional rights here in the United States to foreign adversaries.

Mr. Chair, I urge a "no" vote on the Biggs amendment, and a "no" vote on this amendment.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, to be clear, this amendment is about reporting requirements. However, on the point of the warrant, after the rampant abuses by the Federal Government, it is clear that we should have a warrant requirement under 702 to protect Americans from the querying of incidental communications collected en masse, under a broad reign of power, to target foreign entities. That is the truth.

This is the FBI that targeted Catholics, put pro-life progressive activists in jail, and targeted President Trump.

The proponents give up the game, saying openly the need to target U.S. persons, right here on the floor. The only thing that makes this warrantless collection of millions of Americans' international communications "law-

ful" is the government's certification that it is targeting foreigners and only foreigners.

If the government changes its mind and wants to go after an American, it should have to go back and get the warrant that it skipped on the front end. This is not that hard.

By the way, the argument that we would need 2,000 judges to filter through warrant requirements begs the question. Which is it?

The proponents' own data indicate they would only get a hit for 1 to 2 percent via metadata. Some of those will have exceptions under our warrant amendment that we offered, so it would probably be less than 1 percent; so the 2,000 judges argument is straight up false. It is just not that hard.

If you want to go after an American, if you want to look at their information, get a warrant.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. CLINE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 3 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Add at the end the following:

**SEC. \_\_. REPEAL OF AUTHORITY FOR THE RESUMPTION OF ABOUTS COLLECTION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 702(b)(5) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a(b)(5)) is amended by striking “, except as provided under section 103(b) of the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT OF 1978.—Section 702(m) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1881a(m)) is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “REVIEWS, AND REPORTING” and inserting “AND REVIEWS”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (4).

(2) FISA AMENDMENTS REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2017.—Section 103 of the FISA Amendments Reauthorization Act of 2017 (Public Law 115-118; 50 U.S.C. 1881a note) is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (b); and

(B) by striking “(a) IN GENERAL.—”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.



Mr. CLINE. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of these vital reforms to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, especially section 702.

While H.R. 7888 in its current form includes many provisions that the Judiciary and Intelligence Committees agree on, it falls short of preventing numerous documented abuses by our government against U.S. citizens.

Congress must act to protect Americans' privacy and civil rights. To do that, any legislation that reauthorizes FISA section 702 must also include a warrant requirement for searches of Americans' communications collected; an end to the law enforcement and intelligence agencies' purchases of Americans' location data and other sensitive information; the reporting requirements offered by Congressman ROY and my amendment, which would permanently end the practice of "abouts" collection, which has long been a controversial subject.

On top of collecting communications to or from the selector of an intelligence target, upstream collection of communications from companies that operate internet cables that interconnect with ISPs' local networks has included the collection of communications about the selector.

FISA court opinions from 2011, since declassified, have shone a light on this type of collection and noted that it resulted in the collection of "tens of thousands of wholly domestic communications each year" by the NSA due to what was described then as technical limitations in the implementation of "about" collection.

This practice has been halted by the FBI, but they have acknowledged that they maintain the right to initiate this upon notification back to Congress.

This must be codified in order to stop this type of abuse from occurring, and my amendment would do that.

Mr. Chair, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE).

□ 1115

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman very much.

I am delighted to be able to work with the gentleman from Virginia on what I think is crucial to codify, because as you said, the FBI had stopped doing it, but here we are again.

Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES), the ranking member on the Intelligence Committee.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentlewoman from Texas and the gentleman from Virginia. I support this amendment and will be recommending a "yes" vote on this amendment to the minority caucus.

I surprised the gentleman from Virginia in asking for a minute, because I think it is very important that this Chamber not believe that this is an argument between civil rights and denigrating civil rights.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman will suspend.

Does the gentleman from Virginia yield to the gentleman from Connecticut?

Mr. CLINE. I yield to the gentleman. The Acting CHAIR. For?

Mr. CLINE. For 1 minute.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. I have the time. The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Texas may not reyield time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. I have yielded a minute to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES).

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Virginia controls the time.

Mr. CLINE. If the gentlewoman will yield back, I will yield a minute to the gentleman from Connecticut.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. He had yielded to me, but I will be happy to yield back so he can get his time.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES).

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Chair, again, I think I surprised the gentleman from Virginia in saying that I will recommend a "yes" vote on this amendment because I think it is very, very important that this not become a debate between civil rights and perhaps those who are less concerned about civil rights.

I will yield to no one in my defense of the civil rights incorporated in our Bill of Rights. I am the ranking member of the Intelligence Committee. I spend my days marinating in the depredations that the Chinese would visit upon us, but I voted against the TikTok ban because I felt it had, and courts have held that it has, First Amendment equities at stake.

This amendment is a good one. "About" collection, first of all, is not undertaken today by the IC; it is too technically difficult and too risky. There is too much of a risk that communications that are not about a target to an American get swept up in this "about" collection.

I will be adamant and stand with the Second, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits in saying that the Biggs amendment is not addressing constitutional issues, but this is an important amendment that I support.

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Chair, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE).

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Virginia, the home of my alma mater, the University of Virginia School of Law, and the gentleman from Connecticut, also the home of my alma mater.

To be able to find collegiality in a very important question for the American people is very much a statement that should be made.

This amendment does something Congress should have done 7 years ago, as I have indicated, prohibiting the government from resuming "abouts" collection, a form of section 702 surveillance that poses a unique risk to Americans.

It is also very disturbing, Mr. Chair, because most Americans would scratch

their heads and wonder why is this relevant to the immediate investigation. "About's" collection is a collection of communications that are neither to nor from an approved target of surveillance under 702, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, FISA, but merely contain information relating to that target. That means that you become a target because it happened to be sitting around you or it happened to be going to you or from you.

In the past, "abouts" collections focused on collecting communications that include a target's email or phone, address, Twitter handle, or something like that, but in theory "abouts" collection could be used to collect emails that merely mention a person who is a target of 702 surveillance.

I think it is extremely important to recognize "merely mentions" that individual, and you could have your materials, your private information, wrapped up in a roundup or a lassoing of the extended material that is scattered around you, and you could be subject to some kind of haul, if you will, a hauling in of data about you.

Nothing in the text or legislative history of 702 indicates that this type of surveillance is authorized. That is why I think this amendment with Mr. CLINE is extremely important because it shows that we are working together.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in support of the Cline (VA)/Jackson Lee (TX) Amendment No. 3 to H.R. 7888—Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act (RISAA).

This amendment does something Congress should have done seven years ago: prohibit the government from resuming "abouts" collection, a form of Section 702 surveillance that poses unique risks to Americans.

"About's" collection is the collection of communications that are neither to nor from an approved target of surveillance under Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), but merely contain information relating to that target.

In the past, "abouts" collection focused on collecting communications that include a target's email address, or phone address, or Twitter handle, or something like that. But in theory, "abouts" collection could be used to collect emails that merely mention a person who is a target of Section 702 surveillance.

Nothing in the text or legislative history of Section 702 indicates that this type of surveillance is authorized.

Under Section 702, the surveillance must target a non-U.S. person outside the United States. The term "target" has a well-understood meaning. When a person is a target, it means the government can collect that person's information or other data, not the communications or data of other individuals.

As we all know, "abouts" collection under Section 702 has a sordid history.

The National Security Agency (NSA) used "abouts" collection when it was conducting upstream surveillance, in



other words, when it was intercepting communications directly as they transited over the Internet backbone, rather than collecting stored communications from service providers.

Not surprisingly, this practice resulted in the collection of tens of thousands of purely domestic communications—communications between and among Americans inside the United States.

Moreover, often these Americans were not even discussing the target. Instead, their communications were lumped in with other communications, transiting over the Internet backbone as a packet. The NSA was collecting the entire packet of communications, simply because somewhere in that packet was a reference to information about a target.

This was a problem from the moment Section 702 went into effect in 2008. And yet for years, the government did not disclose this problem to the FISA Court.

To the contrary, the government affirmatively misrepresented how the program was working. It was not until 2011 that the court learned the government was sweeping in tens of thousands of purely domestic communications.

The court was livid. It noted that the belated disclosure, and I quote, “marks the third instance in less than three years in which the government has disclosed a substantial misrepresentation regarding the scope of a major collection program.”

At the time, the court chose not to prohibit the use of “abouts” collection. But it held that special minimization rules were required for upstream communications, and that without those rules, the program would violate both Section 702 and the Fourth Amendment. One of those rules was a prohibition on U.S. person queries of communications obtained through upstream surveillance.

Five years later, the NSA discovered that its agents had been routinely violating this prohibition. But rather than immediately report these violations to the FISA Court, the NSA waited for several months. When it finally admitted the violations, the FISA Court chastised the NSA for its “institutional lack of candor,” and refused to approve the continuation of Section 702 surveillance until the NSA cleaned up its act.

The NSA proved incapable of bringing its agents into compliance. The agents continued to routinely search through the upstream data in an effort to find and review Americans’ communications, in violation of Section 702, the Fourth Amendment, and the FISA Court’s orders. Well aware that the court would not continue to approve Section 702 surveillance under these conditions, the NSA, in 2017, made the only decision it could: it terminated “abouts” collection.

Well, it has now been seven years since the NSA stopped “abouts” collec-

tion, and the government has not claimed that ending this practice has resulted in a loss of critical intelligence or had any other kind of negative impact on national security. No official has pointed to a single bad result that could have been averted through the use of “abouts” collection.

Collecting communications that are neither to nor from an approved target of surveillance is contrary to the text and intent of Section 702.

It inevitably results in the collection of wholly domestic communications, which Section 702 expressly prohibits.

Over the course of a decade, the NSA proved that it was incapable of operating “abouts” surveillance responsibly and in accordance with the law—and the past seven years shown that “abouts” collection is not necessary for national security.

It is time for Congress to shut the door on “abouts” collection.

In the future, if the government can show that it needs “abouts” collection for national security purposes and that it can operate the program without violating the law and the Fourth Amendment, it can come to Congress and ask for authorization. But the burden should be on the government to show the need and the ability to lawfully conduct the program.

For these reasons, I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of the Cline/Jackson Lee Amendment No. 3.

Mr. Chair, I include in the record a letter from Representative CLINE and myself listing the groups in support of this amendment.

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,  
Washington, DC, April 12, 2024.

DEAR COLLEAGUE: Please join us in supporting our amendment to H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act. Rules Amendment No. 5 would end what is known as “abouts” collection, which involves the capturing of massive amounts of communications by government agencies such as the National Security Agency (NSA) in which the selector, for example, an email address, of a target appears somewhere in communications, even if that target is not a party to the communications. It has long been controversial.

The FISA Court previously discovered that the government had misrepresented its activities and held that handling this type of data was of significant concern and a violation of the Fourth Amendment. Although the NSA abandoned the practice of “abouts” collection in 2017, Congress in 2018 amended FISA to prohibit this type of collection unless the AG and DNI notify the House and Senate Intelligence and Judiciary Committees of its plans to resume such collection. But that only means that if the NSA notifies Congress, they can resume “abouts” collection at any time. Our amendment would proactively end the practice for good.

The following groups support this important amendment:

Freedom Works—Key Vote; Due Process Institute; Americans for Prosperity; Project for Privacy and Surveillance Accountability; Reform Government Surveillance; Center for Democracy and Technology; American Civil Liberties Union; Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC); Restore the Fourth; Defending Rights & Dissent; Brennan Center for Justice; Wikimedia Foundation.

Demand Progress; Electronic Frontier Foundation; Project on Government Oversight; United We Dream; Asian Americans Advancing Justice; Muslim Advocates; Free Press Action; National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers; Freedom of the Press Foundation; New America’s Open Technology Institute; Fight for the Future; Stop AAPI Hate.

We urge you to vote in favor of Amendment No. 5.

Sincerely,

BEN CLINE,  
Member of Congress.  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE,  
Member of Congress.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman from Virginia has expired.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition because the National Security Agency stopped “abouts” collection in 2017 because it was fraught with peril. This amendment is not necessary because the intelligence community is not doing this and hasn’t been doing it since 2017.

I do want to go back and assist somewhat in the debate of some of the terms that are occurring with respect to the Biggs-Jayapal amendment.

The Biggs-Jayapal amendment, as I indicated, would make us go blind. It would make it so that we can’t read the inboxes and outboxes of foreigners abroad who are al-Qaida, Hamas, Hezbollah, and the Chinese Communist Party.

The reason I say that is because 702, which is the underlying bill here that is being reauthorized, is tailored only to the adversaries and those who want to do us harm. It is for national security threats. It is for our adversaries. Their inbox and their outbox are not protected. If you are a terrorist or if you are committing espionage or you are a spy and you are communicating with the Chinese Communist Party or Hezbollah, Hamas, or al-Qaida, right now, because we are spying on them, we can read those communications. America wants us to read those communications because it is how we keep America safe.

On 9/11, we had terrorists inside the United States. For all intents and purposes, as people were saying in this debate, they were Americans. They weren’t American citizens, but under this law, they were Americans and they had protection under the Constitution. Their communications to al-Qaida were not protected. At that time, we weren’t looking. We were not looking. We were blind and we were not listening.

Now, we are looking. If somebody is in this country and they are a terrorist or they are a spy for the Chinese Communist Party, we are looking at the Chinese Communist Party and al-Qaida. In reading those, we can take those to a court and get a warrant and then keep America safe from people who are here who intend to do us harm. This would shut that off. It would

make us be blind with respect to those communications.

Mr. Chair, vote “no” on the Biggs-Jayapal amendment, and vote “no” on this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. CRENSHAW

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 4 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. CRENSHAW. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Add at the end the following new section:  
**SEC. \_\_\_\_ . INCLUSION OF COUNTERNARCOTICS IN  
 DEFINITION OF FOREIGN INTEL-  
 LIGENCE.**

Section 101(e)(1) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) international production, distribution, or financing of illicit synthetic drugs, opioids, cocaine, or other drugs driving overdose deaths, or precursors of any aforementioned; or”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CRENSHAW) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. CRENSHAW. Mr. Chair, I want to let my fellow Americans know something that might shock them.

We all know that fentanyl is a scourge on our country. We all know that fentanyl is produced by the Mexican drug cartels. We all know that the precursor chemicals for fentanyl come from Chinese companies.

What you might not know is that we can’t even get a FISA warrant to stop that, to collect intelligence on those production companies, on those attorneys, on those bankers, on those facilitators that help the cartels murder and poison tens of thousands of Americans every single year.

That is a pretty shocking statement. I bet you didn’t know that. You should know that.

FISA, despite all of the misinformation put out about it, is actually very narrowly tailored. It only allows you to get a warrant on a foreigner in foreign land if it is related to foreign intelligence, if it is related to countering weapons of mass destruction, or if it is related to counterterrorism. Nowhere in there is there anything about counternarcotics, the thing that is actually killing Americans today and every single day.

My amendment would simply upgrade that categorization to ensure that we can collect intelligence on the Chinese precursor being shipped into Mexico and into our own country so that we can actually stop the death of Americans.

It is a very narrowly tailored amendment. It is not about all drug traffickers. It does not swoop in a bunch of Americans. It is about international drug traffickers trafficking illicit synthetics that are killing people.

It is a very simple amendment. It is a bipartisan amendment. It is one of the biggest things that I have learned in my role as chairman on the cartel task force, that we actually are blind to the supply chains of fentanyl.

To be against this amendment is to say we should give the cartels and China more Fourth Amendment rights and more First Amendment rights than we have. That is what it would mean in practice. I hope that anyone who votes against this amendment stops talking about the cartels being a problem. If we are not even allowed to collect intelligence on the cartels, then what are we doing?

Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. HOULAHAN).

Ms. HOULAHAN. Mr. Chair, today, I also rise in support of this important amendment that will help our intelligence community, strengthen our southern border, and save American lives.

This amendment will fully enlist our country’s intelligence agencies in the fight against foreign drug traffickers. Foreign-made fentanyl is killing tens of thousands of Americans every year. It is critical that we start treating this danger as the very serious national threat that it is.

My legislation, which is called the Enhancing Intelligence Collection on Foreign Drug Traffickers Act, is now the bipartisan amendment that is led by myself and Mr. CRENSHAW. This would allow our intelligence community to counter drug cartels as they attempt to bring deadly fentanyl to our shores.

Today, the intelligence community can only leverage section 702 against counternarcotics targets under one of the existing certifications, none of which are focused currently on drug trafficking.

This amendment would close that gap, without expanding domestic law enforcement’s abilities to police drug dealers, in order to keep fentanyl from ever reaching the United States.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to pass this legislation, to pass the counternarcotics amendment led by myself and Mr. CRENSHAW, and to reject any amendment that would put our national security at risk.

Mr. CRENSHAW. Mr. Chair, to those opposed to the underlying bill, I understand. We are going to have to agree to disagree, but I cannot imagine being opposed to this amendment, even if you vote against the overall bill.

I thought we all agreed that the cartels are one of our number one threats. They are killing tens of thousands of Americans every year by poisoning them with fentanyl. We need to know how they are doing it. We need to know

who their suppliers are. We need to know who is laundering their money. We can’t know that within our current law. All we have to do is allow ourselves to do it.

This is one of the most important things that I think our constituents actually care about. If we are going to act like we have sympathy for the sons and daughters who have been killed from an overdose of fentanyl, then we actually have to take action on it.

I have got to say, too, that the warrant amendment would kill our ability to do this. Remember, the whole point of drug trafficking is to get it in the United States.

The whole point of terrorism is to conduct a terrorist attack here in the United States.

When you are collecting intelligence on foreigners, the only way they do those things is to communicate with entities inside the United States. To demand a secondary warrant just to search that communication kills our ability to connect those dots.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from North Carolina is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, the Crenshaw amendment would expand FISA’s definition of foreign intelligence to encompass international drug crimes.

FISA is a counterintelligence and counterterrorism tool. It is limited to that purpose. The clear distinction between foreign intelligence and crime are essential to preserving the fundamental liberties of Americans under our constitutional system.

□ 1130

It is the essential design of the law: spying abroad, criminal justice at home.

Simply redefining foreign intelligence to include ordinary crime eviscerates the entire distinction on which the design of the FISA law rests.

Moreover, the Intelligence Committee proponents of this amendment fail even to explain to us why this blurred definition is needed. They assert it, but they don’t explain it.

After all, the DNI’s FISA section 702 fact sheet lists the government’s use of section 702 to learn about our adversaries’ plans to smuggle fentanyl into the United States as the number one successful use of existing section 702.

If section 702 already allows us to go after fentanyl, then why do we need to change and blur the critical definition of foreign intelligence? What is the purpose of doing so? What comes next?

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has the only time remaining.

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY).

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from North Carolina for yielding.

I will just note that I certainly appreciate the intent of my friend and colleague from Texas. Obviously, we want to go after cartels, and we want to make sure we can stop the flow of fentanyl into our communities that is killing and ravaging Texans and Americans across our country.

The problem here is it is unnecessary. They can go certify right now. They can go right now and certify a whole other class. We don't need this law to do that. That is the important part. We don't need this amendment, and we don't need to risk expanding it.

Be that as it may, here is my real problem. Just today we have information where we had a terrorist on an Afghan watch list who was released into San Antonio, Texas. ICE just walked away from it, and now we have somebody on the terrorist watch list sitting in San Antonio, Texas.

So am I supposed to say I want to grant more power to the intelligence community and more power to the government that is releasing terrorists as we speak onto the streets of Texas? It defies any kind of logic.

They have the tools to do what they need to go after fentanyl without expanding FISA, which is being abused against Americans.

By the way, Mr. Chair, you need the warrant requirement in order to protect against expansion of FISA.

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, everyone agrees that the fentanyl crisis is a terrible and serious public health and crime issue, but a mass, warrantless surveillance tool created by word games is not the answer. It is dangerous.

Indeed, the willingness and desire of some to create exactly that points back to the reason that Congress must impose a warrant requirement to deter the abuse of the section 702 foreign intelligence database collected to surveil foreigners abroad to permit backdoor searches against Americans. That is the issue.

Oppose the Crenshaw amendment and support the Biggs amendment to make them get a warrant.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CRENSHAW).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. CRENSHAW. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. WALTZ

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. WALTZ. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Add at the end the following new section:  
SEC. \_\_\_\_ . VETTING OF NON-UNITED STATES PERSONS.

Subsection (f) of section 702, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) VETTING OF NON-UNITED STATES PERSONS.—For any procedures for one or more agencies adopted under paragraph (1)(A), the Attorney General, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, shall ensure that the procedures enable the vetting of all non-United States persons who are being processed for travel to the United States using terms that do not qualify as United States person query terms under this Act.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. WALTZ) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. WALTZ. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I rise today in support of my amendment to permit the use of 702 information to vet foreign nationals entering the United States. This amendment enables the thorough vetting of all foreigners being processed for traveling to the United States, whether that is a foreign national applying for a visa, applying for legal immigration, or illegally crossing our southern border.

This is what I think a lot of Americans probably don't realize: Currently, section 702 has only been authorized to collect information to support some Department of Homeland Security efforts to screen and vet foreign persons applying for travel or immigration to the United States. This amendment will enhance the vetting of all foreigners who come here.

If national security concerns are found through this vetting, these results will be provided to the Department of Homeland Security, the State Department, and the Department of Defense to ensure the Federal Government is making the most informed decision before we allow foreign nationals' admission.

Mr. Chair, we are 3 years into the worst crisis at the southern border in the history of the United States. Last year, Customs and Border Protection reported 2½ million encounters with people attempting to cross into the United States from Mexico. Alarmingly, over the last 2 years, CBP has apprehended more than 70,000 special interest aliens, people from countries identified as having conditions that promote or protect terrorism.

Mr. Chair, the FBI Director is ringing the alarm bell with the over 300 people on the terrorist watch list who are now somewhere in America compared to just 12 under the last administration. This population includes 538 aliens from Syria and 659 aliens from

Iran, two state sponsors of terrorism, I might add, in addition to 139 from Yemen, which right now houses the Houthis, and over 1,600 from Pakistan. We just saw ISIS-K attack Moscow. We have just seen six plots stopped in Europe, and I fear that we are about to suffer another attack like San Bernardino, like Pulse nightclub, or, God forbid, another 9/11.

Equally concerning, the fastest growing group entering through our southern border is now from China, our number one adversary. Over 24,000 Chinese nationals have been apprehended at the southern border just in the last year. Of the 1.3 million illegal immigrants in the United States with deportation orders, over 100,000 are Chinese nationals.

The American people expect us to use every tool we legally can, every intelligence piece of equipment and every database that we can, to protect them against foreigners who would mean us harm.

Mr. Chair, we have these tools. We have reformed the abuses of these tools, and we have to allow our national security professionals to have the best information possible to keep Americans safe. We can't wait until there is another attack and then throw up our hands in this body and say: Why didn't we stop it?

I am astounded, frankly, that anyone, any Republican, would oppose this amendment after we have been here time and time again saying that we have to protect our border, that we have to protect Americans, and that if you want to come to the United States, then, fine, you need to do so legally, but we are going to look into your background, we are going to make sure you are not a terrorist, and we are going to make sure you are not a Chinese national spy who means to do us harm.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this and use every tool we can to keep Americans safe, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, now they want to expand it. This is the second amendment in a row where they are going to expand FISA.

We can't have a warrant for the existing program, this giant haystack of information. You can't have a warrant when you go search American citizens there, but now they want to expand it and tell us you still can't have a warrant.

Holy cow. Pretty soon, this is going to be everybody gets searched for any darned reason they want. That is not how it works in America, at least it is not how it is supposed to work.

The third amendment is going to expand it, too. We spent all morning talking about the warrant requirement, which should be so obvious, and they want to expand it.

Mr. Chair, I understand we have a border problem. Holy cow, do we understand that. I may not agree with my

Democratic colleagues on how to fix it. In fact, I know I don't, but expanding FISA, you have to be kidding me. This amendment authorizes surveillance of a whole new category of individuals.

We should absolutely vet foreigners who seek to enter the United States, whether legally or illegally, but Congress should not expand FISA or section 702 beyond its current scope of authority.

This whole year, we have been focusing our committee on limiting FISA and reining it in so that we still can do what we needs to be done: look after bad guys and look at bad guys but not infringe on Americans' liberties. This just expands it. That is not what the purpose of this bill is.

We should address the border problem. Holy cow, our committee spent a boatload of time on it. That is an issue where, unfortunately, we didn't get a 35-2 vote on H.R. 2, which is a good piece of legislation.

This is going to sweep up so many more Americans, where the FBI 278,000 times illegally—not illegally but didn't follow their own rules when they queried the database. Now, they have even more.

Holy cow, Mr. Chair, this is the wrong way to go.

Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL).

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Chair, I rise in strong opposition to this amendment, and, yes, I agree with Chairman JORDAN on an immigration amendment because this is an expansion of the government's ability to surveil. We have this opportunity right here in Congress today to add critical safeguards and not expand the government's use of this surveillance authority.

This inexcusable expansion of FISA will further increase warrantless surveillance, and it is at the expense of a whole slew of innocent immigrants.

People seeking to come to this country are not monolithic communities cut off from Americans. Many of them are close family members of U.S. citizens seeking reunification through family sponsorship or just a simple visit. Many others are sponsored by U.S. employers.

There is already ample vetting of immigrants. Just look at refugees, who are the most vetted group of people who come to this country. It takes years of vetting through multiple agencies, including the FBI, the National Counterterrorism Center, and other agencies.

This amendment is only going to make these processing backlogs worse. It will further delay American businesses from getting the workers we need to maintain our competitiveness and our ability to attract the best and the brightest. It could harm local economies that rely on tourism as delays in processing travel visas deter people from travel to America.

We should not be expanding FISA. We should be creating safeguards to

protect foundational civil liberties rights.

Earlier, one of my colleagues claimed that not a single Federal court has identified a Fourth Amendment issue with U.S. person queries. Mr. Chair, that is false. In 2019, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit found Fourth Amendment concerns with U.S. person queries, and that issue is still being debated.

We are talking about an average of 500 warrantless searches of Americans' private communications every single day. Don't take it from me.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Chair, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Washington.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Here is a quote from Travis LeBlanc, a Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board member:

Although section 702 is touted as a foreign intelligence tool, it is apparent that a key feature is domestic intelligence and criminal law enforcement. For example, DOJ reported that the FBI queried over 19,000 donors to a congressional campaign. The FBI also has run numerous improper queries of social advocates, religious community leaders, and even individuals who provide tips or who are victims of crime. Five million warrantless searches by the FBI of Americans' private communications is 5 million too many.

Vote "no" on this amendment.

Mr. JORDAN. I will close my time by saying, Mr. Chairman, every time you expand FISA, you underscore the need for a warrant. The bigger and bigger this database gets and the more that U.S. persons are going to be searched, you underscore the need for a warrant, which we spent a whole morning debating.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALTZ. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Florida has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. WALTZ. Mr. Chair, I find it astounding the leader of the Progressive Caucus, Ms. JAYAPAL, and Mr. JORDAN agree on these issues.

Mr. Chair, I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, we had Members stand and say that they are for vetting foreigners who want to come into the United States. I assume we should vet them for whether or not they have ties to terrorist groups and organizations. Perhaps we should just ask them because I am sure they will tell us the truth, but they won't, which is why we have 702. Section 702 collects information on foreigners abroad and terrorist groups and organizations.

What this amendment does is it allows us to search Hamas on these individuals who want to come into the United States, to find out if they are affiliated with Hamas because they are not just going to tell us.

□ 1145

If my colleagues are for vetting, my colleagues are for vetting, looking at

terrorist groups and organizations to see if they have ties to people who are trying to come into the United States.

Mr. WALTZ. Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. WALTZ).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 6 printed in House Report 118-456.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Add at the end the following new section:  
**SEC. \_\_\_\_ DEFINITION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION SERVICE PROVIDER.**

(a) Section 701(b)(4) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F);

(2) in subparagraph (D), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon;

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following new subparagraph:

“(E) any other service provider who has access to equipment that is being or may be used to transmit or store wire or electronic communications, but not including any entity that serves primarily as—

“(i) a public accommodation facility, as that term is defined in section 501(4);

“(ii) a dwelling, as that term is defined in section 802 of the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3602);

“(iii) a community facility, as that term is defined in section 315 of the Defense Housing and Community Facilities and Services Act of 1951 (42 U.S.C. 1592n); or

“(iv) a food service establishment, as that term is defined in section 281 of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1638); or”;

(4) in subparagraph (F), as redesignated—

(A) by inserting “custodian,” after “employee.”;

(B) by striking “or” before “(D)”;

(C) by inserting “, or (E)” after “(D)”.

(b) Paragraph (6) of section 801 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (E) and (F) as subparagraphs (F) and (G), respectively;

(2) in subparagraph (F), as redesignated, by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon;

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following new subparagraph:

“(E) any other service provider who has access to equipment that is being or may be used to transmit or store wire or electronic communications, but not including any entity that serves primarily as—

“(i) a public accommodation facility, as that term is defined in section 501(4);

“(ii) a dwelling, as that term is defined in section 802 of the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3602);

“(iii) a community facility, as that term is defined in section 315 of the Defense Housing and Community Facilities and Services Act of 1951 (42 U.S.C. 1592n); or

“(iv) a food service establishment, as that term is defined in section 281 of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1638);” and

(4) in subparagraph (G), as redesignated—

(A) by inserting “custodian,” after “employee;”

(B) by striking “or” before “(E);” and

(C) by inserting “, or (F)” after “(E)”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1137, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, there is a SCIF off of the House floor to provide additional information to Members that I am not able to present here.

This amendment is to correct a technical issue that was found by the FISA court with respect to critical intelligence and a technological issue in which there was a gap.

Again, 702 is about collecting data and information on foreigners abroad. You have to be both. You have to be a foreigner, and you have to be abroad. You can't be a foreigner in the United States, and you can't be an American abroad. It is about foreigners abroad.

There have been people who have been saying on this amendment that this is about collecting at your local Starbucks, this is about collecting at your local McDonald's. It is not. It is about foreigners abroad.

I end with this: With respect to the Biggs-Jayapal amendment, this important surveillance tool of foreigners abroad is limited to just foreigners abroad and individuals who are in the United States who are being recruited by terrorist groups and organizations and the Chinese Communist Party when they communicate with them and their communications end up in the inboxes of the Chinese Communist Party, Hezbollah, Hamas, and al-Qaida.

If we are reading the inbox of al-Qaida, Hezbollah, Hamas, and the Chinese Communist Party, and there is an email in there from somebody located in the United States because they are being recruited, either to do espionage, or because they are being recruited for terrorism, my colleagues want the government to read that.

Now, our constitutional protections, which we dearly uphold here and everybody is committed to, is that no American shall have their inbox, their outbox, their electronic communications, and their data spied on by their government. Our constitutional protections require that there be a warrant, and no one should stand in this well and pretend that they do not.

There are constitutional protections for American communications within their data. However, if a person located in the United States is communicating with al-Qaida, Hamas, and the Chinese Communist Party, in this limited group of people that we collect under 702, they can pose a threat to this country.

Additionally, if the Biggs-Jayapal amendment passes, we will go dark. We

will no longer see solicitations from the Chinese Communist Party to students in the United States to go and spy for them.

We will no longer see al-Qaida recruiting people in the United States to undertake terrorist attacks.

We will no longer see people who are sympathetic with Hamas, who contact Hamas and say: How can I perpetrate a terrorist attack in the United States?

It is imperative that the Biggs-Jayapal amendment fail and that this underlying bill, which punishes the FBI but protects the American people, pass.

Mr. Chair, I urge passage of this bill and a “no” vote on the Biggs-Jayapal amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

Mr. Chair, like all the amendments offered by HPSCI, this amendment drastically expands the scope of FISA. This amendment will actually change the definition of “electronic communication service provider” to require a whole new class of businesses and other entities to assist in FISA section 702 surveillance.

When the amendment first came out 1½ months ago, it caused a massive commotion, as can be imagined. One of the FISA amici did something highly unusual. He went public with a warning. He confirmed that the amendment originally was exactly as broad as it looked, in that it could force hotels, libraries, and coffee shops to serve as surrogate spies because, of course, customers in those establishments might well be engaging in international communications, which would transit over the WiFi equipment in those locations. That was the original.

Therefore, the amendment sponsors threw in an exemption for hotels, libraries, coffee shops and a handful of other establishments, but that hardly solves the problem because the vast majority of U.S. businesses are not exempted. Hence, the amendment would still apply to grocery stores, department stores, hardware stores, barber shops, laundromats, fitness centers, nail salons.

Perhaps most worrisome of all, it would apply to business landlords who rent out office space and provide WiFi for their building. That would include the offices that many of us in this room go to when we are back in our districts, as well as the offices of tens of millions of Americans across the country, offices for lawyers, journalists, nonprofits, and others.

That is how expansive this amendment is. That is why we should defeat this amendment.

Mr. Chair, I have enjoyed all the attention the Biggs-Jayapal-Jordan-Nadler-Davidson-Lofgren amendment has received. It has been flattering that, on every other amendment and the underlying bill, we don't talk about any of that other stuff, and we talk about the warrants.

That gets to the reality of the situation. The intelligence community

wants control. They want to continue to have control without any checks.

The Biggs amendment does not require a warrant for the government to surveil foreigners in foreign countries or to incidentally collect the communication of Americans under section 702.

Let me repeat that. The amendment does not require a warrant for the government to surveil foreigners in foreign countries, nor does it require a warrant for incidentally collecting the communications of Americans under section 702. It just doesn't, but that is what was heard.

Instead, it requires that the Federal Government and the spying and surveillance apparatus get a warrant if they want to read an American's communications or query them in the 702 database. That is what the essence of this is.

They don't want to have to get a warrant. They are okay with getting a warrant under title I of FISA, but not under 702 for some reason. It is very odd.

Additionally, not only do they not want to get a warrant, but they want to expand the database and the scope of the Americans that they can scoop up in that database to include, in this particular amendment, virtually every retail outlet in the country, virtually every commercial enterprise in the country, virtually every commercial property in this country, but we don't want to have a warrant if we are going to look into U.S. persons' information. We don't want to do that. After all, that might cause them to actually develop information and investigate further.

Let me tell you something. This underlying bill loses its quality if the Biggs amendment on the warrant amendment doesn't pass.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. ELLZEY). The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio will be postponed.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 118-456 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Mr. BIGGS of Arizona.

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. ROY of Texas.

Amendment No. 4 by Mr. CRENSHAW of Texas.

Amendment No. 5 by Mr. WALTZ of Florida.

Amendment No. 6 by Mr. TURNER of Ohio.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. BIGGS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 1, printed in House Report 118-456, offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 212, noes 212, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 114]

AYES—212

Adams Finstad Massie
Alford Fischbach Mast
Allen Fitzgerald Matsui
Amodei Fleischmann McClain
Armstrong Foushee McClintock
Arrington Foxx McCormick
Baird Frost McGarvey
Balint Fry McGovern
Banks Fulcher Meng
Bean (FL) Gaetz Meuser
Beatty Garcia (IL) Mfume
Bentz Garcia (TX) Miller (IL)
Bergman Garcia, Robert Mills
Biggs Good (VA) Molinaro
Bilirakis Gooden (TX) Moolenaar
Bishop (NC) Gosar Moore (AL)
Blumenauer Graves (LA) Moore (WI)
Boebert Green (TN) Moran
Bonamici Green, Al (TX) Nadler
Bost Greene (GA) Napolitano
Bowman Griffith Nehls
Brecheen Grothman Newhouse
Brown Guest Norman
Burchett Hageman Norton
Burlison Harris Ocasio-Cortez
Bush Harshbarger Ogles
Cammack Hern Omar
Cárdenas Higgins (LA) Owens
Carey Horsford Pallone
Casar Houchin Palmer
Castro (TX) Hoyle (OR) Peltola
Cherfilus-Hudson Perry
McCormick Huffman Pingree
Chu Huizenga Pocan
Ciscomani Hunt Porter
Clarke (NY) Issa Posey
Cline Jackson (IL) Pressley
Cloud Jackson (NC) Ramirez
Clyde Jackson (TX) Reschenthaler
Collins Jackson Lee Rodgers (WA)
Comer Jacobs Rose
Correa James Rosendale
Crane Jayapal Ross
Crockett Johnson (SD) Roy
Curtis Jordan Sablan
Davidson Joyce (PA) Salinas
Davis (IL) Kamlager-Dove Scanlon
DeGette Khanna Schakowsky
DelBene Kildee Scholten
Deluzio Kiley Schweikert
DeSaulnier LaMalfa Scott (VA)
DesJarlais Langworthy Seif
Dingell Lee (CA) Sessions
Doggett Lee (FL) Sherman
Donalds Lee (PA) Simpson
Duncan Leger Fernandez Smith (MO)
Dunn (FL) Lofgren Smith (NJ)
Edwards Loudermilk Spartz
Emmer Luna Stansbury
Escobar Luttrell Stauber
Españlat Mace Steel
Evans Maloy Steil
Fallon Mann Steube

Takano
Thanedar
Thompson (PA)
Tiffany
Timmons
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko

NOES—212

Aderholt
Aguilar
Allred
Amo
Auchincloss
Bacon
Balderson
Barr
Barragán
Bera
Beyer
Bice
Bishop (GA)
Blunt Rochester
Boyle (PA)
Brownley
Buchanan
Bucshon
Budzinski
Burgess
Calvert
Caraveo
Carbaljal
Carl
Carson
Carter (GA)
Carter (LA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Chavez-DeRemer
Clark (MA)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Cole
Connolly
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crawford
Crenshaw
Crow
Cuellar
D'Esposito
Davids (KS)
Davis (NC)
De La Cruz
Dean (PA)
DeLauro
Diaz-Balart
Duarte
Eulze
Eshoo
McCollum
McHenry
Meeks
Menendez
Ferguson
Miller (OH)
Miller (WV)
Fitzpatrick
Fletcher
Flood
Foster
Frankel, Lois
Franklin, Scott
Gallagher
Garamendi
Mrvan
Garbarino
Garcia, Mike
Gimenez
Neal
Golden (ME)
Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzales, Tony
Gonzalez,
Vicente
Gottheimer
Granger
Graves (MO)
Guthrie
Harder (CA)
Hayes
Hill
Himes
Hinson
Houlahan
Hoyer
Ivey
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (LA)
Joyce (OH)
Kaptur
Kean (NJ)
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
Kiggans (VA)
Kilmer
Kim (CA)
Kim (NJ)
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster
Kustoff
LaHood
LaLota
Lamborn
Landsman
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latta
LaTurner
Lawler
Lee (NV)
Letlow
Levin
Lieu
Lucas
Lynch
Magaziner
Malliotakis
Manning
McBath
McCaul
McClellan
McCollum
McHenry
Meeks
Menendez
Miller (OH)
Miller (WV)
Miller-Meeks
Moore (UT)
Morelle
Moskowitz
Moulton
Moylan
Mrvan
Mullin
Murphy
Neal
Neguse

NOT VOTING—13

Babin
Luetkemeyer
Radewagen
Gallego
Mooney
Strickland
González-Colón
Payne
Wittman
Grijalva
Perez
Wittman
Lesko
Plaskett

□ 1227

Messrs. BURGESS, NUNN of Iowa, Ms. WILD, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mes. BROWNLEY, and WILSON of Florida changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Webster (FL)
Westerman
Nunn (IA)
Williams (GA)
Williams (NY)
Williams (TX)
Wilson (SC)
Yakym
Zinke

Mes. LEE of California, MOORE of Wisconsin, CLARKE of New York, Messrs. JACKSON of Illinois, and SIMPSON changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. ROY

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 2, printed in House Report 118-456, offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 269, noes 153, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 115]

AYES—269

Adams Crane Harder (CA)
Aderholt Crockett Harris
Alford Cuellar Harshbarger
Allen Curtis Hayes
Amodei D'Esposito Hern
Armstrong Davidson Higgins (LA)
Arrington Davis (IL) Hill
Bacon De La Cruz Hoyle (OR)
Baird Dean (PA) Hudson
Balderson DeGette Huffman
Balint DelBene Huizenga
Banks Deluzio Hunt
Barr DeSaulnier Issa
Barragán DesJarlais Ivey
Bean (FL) Dingell Jackson (IL)
Beatty Doggett Jackson (NC)
Bentz Donalds Jackson (TX)
Bergman Duncan Jacobs
Bice Edwards James
Biggs Ellzey Jayapal
Bilirakis Escobar Jeffries
Bishop (NC) Espaillat Johnson (LA)
Blumenauer Estes Johnson (SD)
Blunt Rochester Evans Jordan
Boebert Ezell Joyce (PA)
Bonamici Fallon Kaptur
Bost Feenstra Kean (NJ)
Bowman Ferguson Khanna
Brecheen Finstad Kildee
Brown Fischbach Kiley
Buchanan Fitzgerald Kim (CA)
Bucshon Fleischmann Kuster
Burchett Flood LaLota
Burgess Foster LaMalfa
Burlison Foushee Lamborn
Bush Franklin, Scott Langworthy
Cammack Frost Latta
Carey Fry LaTurner
Carl Fulcher Lawler
Carter (GA) Gaetz Lee (CA)
Casar Garbarino Lee (FL)
Castro (TX) Garcia (IL) Lee (PA)
Chavez-DeRemer Garcia (TX) Letlow
Cherfilus-Hudson Garcia, Robert Levin
McCormick Gimenez Lieu
Chu Good (VA) Lofgren
Clark (MA) Gooden (TX) Loudermilk
Clarke (NY) Gosar Luna
Cleaver Graves (LA) Luttrell
Cline Green (TN) Mace
Cloud Green, Al (TX) Malliotakis
Clyde Greene (GA) Maloy
Cohen Griffith Mann
Collins Grothman Massie
Comer Guest Mast
Correa Guthrie Matsui
Courtney Hageman McClain



McClintock  
McCormick  
McGarvey  
Meng  
Meuser  
Miller (IL)  
Miller (OH)  
Miller (WV)  
Miller-Meeks  
Moolenaar  
Moore (AL)  
Moore (WI)  
Moran  
Morelle  
Moylan  
Mullin  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Nehls  
Newhouse  
Norman  
Norton  
Oberholte  
Ocasio-Cortez  
Ogles  
Omar  
Owens  
Pallone  
Palmer  
Pappas  
Peltola  
Pence

Perez  
Perry  
Pfluger  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Porter  
Posey  
Pressley  
Ramirez  
Raskin  
Reschenthaler  
Rodgers (WA)  
Rose  
Rosendale  
Ross  
Roy  
Sablan  
Salinas  
Sánchez  
Scahise  
Scanlon  
Schakowsky  
Scholten  
Schrier  
Schweikert  
Scott (VA)  
Scott, David  
Seif  
Sessions  
Sherman  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NJ)  
Spartz

Stansbury  
Stauber  
Stefanik  
Steil  
Steube  
Takano  
Tenney  
Thanedar  
Thompson (PA)  
Tiffany  
Timmons  
Tlaib  
Tokuda  
Tonko  
Trahan  
Valadao  
Van Drew  
Van Dyuine  
Vargas  
Velázquez  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Waltz  
Waters  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Wenstrup  
Westerman  
Williams (GA)  
Williams (NY)  
Williams (TX)  
Wilson (SC)  
Zinke

NOES—153

Aguilar  
Allred  
Amo  
Auchincloss  
Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Boyle (PA)  
Brownley  
Budzinski  
Calvert  
Caraveo  
Carbajal  
Cárdenas  
Carson  
Carter (LA)  
Carter (TX)  
Cartwright  
Case  
Casten  
Castor (FL)  
Ciscomani  
Clyburn  
Cole  
Connolly  
Costa  
Craig  
Crawford  
Crow  
Davids (KS)  
Davis (NC)  
DeLauro  
Diaz-Balart  
Duarte  
Dunn (FL)  
Emmer  
Eshoo  
Fitzpatrick  
Fletcher  
Foxy  
Frankel, Lois  
Gallagher  
Garamendi  
Garcia, Mike  
Golden (ME)  
Goldman (NY)  
Gomez  
Gonzales, Tony  
Gonzalez,  
Vicente  
Gottheimer  
Granger

Graves (MO)  
Himes  
Hinson  
Horsford  
Houchin  
Houlahan  
Hoyer  
Johnson (GA)  
Joyce (OH)  
Kamlager-Dove  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
Kiggans (VA)  
Kilmer  
Kim (NJ)  
Krishnamoorthi  
Kustoff  
LaHood  
Landsman  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lee (NV)  
Leger Fernandez  
Lucas  
Lynch  
Magaziner  
Manning  
McBath  
McCaul  
McClellan  
McCollum  
McGovern  
McHenry  
Meeks  
Menendez  
Mfume  
Molinaro  
Moore (UT)  
Moskowitz  
Moulton  
Mrvan  
Murphy  
Neal  
Neguse  
Nickel  
Norcross  
Nunn (IA)  
Panetta  
Pascrell  
Pelosi

Peters  
Pettersen  
Phillips  
Quigley  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rouzer  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Rutherford  
Ryan  
Salazar  
Sarbanes  
Schiff  
Schneider  
Scott, Austin  
Sewell  
Sherrill  
Simpson  
Slotkin  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (WA)  
Smucker  
Sorenson  
Soto  
Spanberger  
Steel  
Stevens  
Strong  
Suozi  
Swalwell  
Sykes  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Titus  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trone  
Turner  
Underwood  
Van Orden  
Vasquez  
Veasey  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Watson Coleman  
Wexton  
Wild  
Wilson (FL)  
Womack  
Yakym

NOT VOTING—15

Babin  
Crenshaw  
Gallego  
González-Colón  
Grijalva

Jackson Lee  
Lesko  
Luetkemeyer  
Mooney  
Payne

Plaskett  
Radewagen  
Stanton  
Strickland  
Wittman

□ 1232

Mr. LALOTA, Ms. STEFANIK, and Mr. D'ESPOSITO changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. CRENSHAW

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 4, printed in House Report 118–456, offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CRENSHAW), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment. The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded. A recorded vote was ordered. The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 268, noes 152, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 116]

AYES—268

Adams  
Aderholt  
Aguilar  
Alford  
Allen  
Allred  
Amo  
Amodei  
Auchincloss  
Bacon  
Baird  
Balderson  
Barr  
Barragán  
Bera  
Bergman  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Blunt Rochester  
Boyle (PA)  
Brownley  
Buchanan  
Bucshon  
Budzinski  
Burgess  
Garbarino  
Garcia, Mike  
Gimenez  
Golden (ME)  
Goldman (NY)  
Gomez  
Gonzales, Tony  
Gonzalez,  
Vicente  
Gottheimer  
Granger  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Grothman  
Guest  
Guthrie  
Harder (CA)  
Hayes  
Hern  
Higgins (LA)  
Hill  
Himes  
Hinson  
Horsford  
Houchin  
Houlahan  
Hoyer  
Hudson  
Ivey  
Jackson (NC)  
Jackson (TX)  
James  
Jeffries

Davis (IL)  
Davis (NC)  
De La Cruz  
Dean (PA)  
DeLauro  
Diaz-Balart  
Doggett  
Duarte  
Duncan  
Dunn (FL)  
Edwards  
Ellzey  
Escobar  
Eshoo  
Ezell  
Ferguson  
Fitzpatrick  
Fletcher  
Flood  
Foster  
Foxy  
Frankel, Lois  
Franklin, Scott  
Gallagher  
Garamendi  
Garbarino  
Garcia, Mike  
Lee (CA)  
Lee (NV)  
Leger Fernandez  
Letlow  
Levin  
Loudermilk  
Lucas  
Luttrell  
Lynch  
Magaziner  
Malliotakis  
Manning  
Matsui  
McBath  
McCaul  
McClain  
McClellan  
McCollum  
McCormick  
McGarvey  
McHenry  
Meeks  
Menendez  
Meuser  
Miller (WV)  
Molinaro  
Moore (UT)  
Moran  
Morelle  
Moskowitz  
Moulton  
Moylan

Johnson (SD)  
Joyce (OH)  
Joyce (PA)  
Kaptur  
Kean (NJ)  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
Kiggans (VA)  
Kiley  
Kilmer  
Kim (CA)  
Kim (NJ)  
Krishnamoorthi  
Kuster  
Kustoff  
LaHood  
LaLota  
Lamborn  
Landsman  
Langworthy  
Larson (CT)  
Latta  
LaTurner  
Lawler  
Lee (CA)  
Lee (NV)  
Leger Fernandez  
Letlow  
Levin  
Loudermilk  
Lucas  
Luttrell  
Lynch  
Magaziner  
Malliotakis  
Manning  
Matsui  
McBath  
McCaul  
McClain  
McClellan  
McCollum  
McCormick  
McGarvey  
McHenry  
Meeks  
Menendez  
Meuser  
Miller (WV)  
Molinaro  
Moore (UT)  
Moran  
Morelle  
Moskowitz  
Moulton  
Moylan

Mrvan  
Murphy  
Neal  
Neguse  
Newhouse  
Nickel  
Norcross  
Nunn (IA)  
Oberholte  
Owens  
Pallone  
Palmer  
Panetta  
Pappas  
Pascrell  
Pelosi  
Peltola  
Pence  
Perez  
Peters  
Pettersen  
Pfluger  
Phillips  
Porter  
Quigley  
Raskin  
Reschenthaler  
Rodgers (WA)  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rouzer  
Ruiz

Rutherford  
Ryan  
Sablan  
Salazar  
Sánchez  
Sarbanes  
Scahise  
Schiff  
Schneider  
Scholten  
Schrier  
Scott, Austin  
Scott, David  
Sessions  
Sewell  
Sherman  
Sherrill  
Simpson  
Slotkin  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (WA)  
Smucker  
Sorensen  
Soto  
Spanberger  
Stansbury  
Stauber  
Stefanik  
Stevens  
Strong  
Suozi  
Swalwell

Sykes  
Tenney  
Thanedar  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Thompson (PA)  
Titus  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trahan  
Trone  
Turner  
Underwood  
Valadao  
Van Drew  
Van Dyuine  
Vasquez  
Veasey  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Waltz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Wenstrup  
Wexton  
Wild  
Williams (NY)  
Williams (TX)  
Wilson (SC)  
Womack  
Zinke

NOES—152

Armstrong  
Arrington  
Balint  
Banks  
Bean (FL)  
Beatty  
Bentz  
Bice  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (NC)  
Blumenauer  
Boebert  
Bonamici  
Bost  
Bowman  
Brecheen  
Brown  
Burchett  
Burlison  
Bush  
Cammack  
Cárdenas  
Casar  
Castro (TX)  
Chu  
Clarke (NY)  
Cleave  
Cline  
Cloud  
Clyde  
Cohen  
Collins  
Comer  
Crane  
Davidson  
DeGette  
DelBene  
Deluzio  
DeSaulnier  
DesJarlais  
Dingell  
Donalds  
Emmer  
Españillat  
Estes  
Evans  
Fallon  
Feenstra  
Finstad  
Fischbach

Fitzgerald  
Fleischmann  
Foushee  
Frost  
Fry  
Fulcher  
Gaetz  
Garcia (IL)  
Garcia (TX)  
Garcia, Robert  
Good (VA)  
Gooden (TX)  
Gosar  
Green (TN)  
Green, Al (TX)  
Greene (GA)  
Griffith  
Hageman  
Harris  
Harshbarger  
Hoyle (OR)  
Huffman  
Roy  
Hunt  
Issa  
Jackson (IL)  
Jacobs  
Johnson (GA)  
Jordan  
Kamlager-Dove  
Khanna  
Kildee  
LaMalfa  
Larsen (WA)  
Lee (FL)  
Lee (PA)  
Lieu  
Lofgren  
Luna  
Mace  
Maloy  
Mann  
Massie  
Mast  
McClintock  
McGovern  
Meng  
Mfume  
Miller (IL)  
Miller (OH)  
Miller-Meeks

Mills  
Moolenaar  
Moore (AL)  
Moore (WI)  
Mullin  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Nehls  
Norman  
Norton  
Ocasio-Cortez  
Ogles  
Omar  
Perry  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Posey  
Pressley  
Ramirez  
Rosendale  
Ross  
Roy  
Ruppersberger  
Salinas  
Scanlon  
Schakowsky  
Schweikert  
Scott (VA)  
Self  
Spartz  
Steel  
Steil  
Steube  
Takano  
Tiffany  
Timmons  
Tlaib  
Tokuda  
Tonko  
Trahan  
Vargas  
Van Orden  
Waters  
Velázquez  
Watson Coleman  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Westerman  
Williams (GA)  
Wilson (FL)  
Yakym

NOT VOTING—16

Babin  
Gallego  
González-Colón  
Grijalva  
Jackson Lee  
Jayapal

Lesko  
Luetkemeyer  
Mooney  
Payne  
Plaskett  
Radewagen

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1236

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. WALTZ

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 5, printed in House Report 118-456, offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. WALTZ), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 227, noes 193, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 117]

AYES—227

|                |                 |               |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Aderholt       | Frankel, Lois   | Magaziner     |
| Alford         | Franklin, Scott | Malliotakis   |
| Allen          | Gallagher       | Manning       |
| Allred         | Garamendi       | Mast          |
| Amo            | Garbarino       | Matsui        |
| Amodei         | Garcia, Mike    | McBath        |
| Arrington      | Gimenez         | McCaul        |
| Auchincloss    | Golden (ME)     | McClain       |
| Bacon          | Goldman (NY)    | McClellan     |
| Balderson      | Gonzales, Tony  | McCollum      |
| Barr           | Gonzalez,       | McCormick     |
| Bera           | Vicente         | McGarvey      |
| Bergman        | Gottheimer      | McHenry       |
| Bice           | Granger         | Meeks         |
| Boyle (PA)     | Graves (LA)     | Meuser        |
| Brownley       | Graves (MO)     | Miller-Meeks  |
| Buchanan       | Griffith        | Mills         |
| Bucshon        | Grothman        | Molinaro      |
| Budzinski      | Guest           | Moore (UT)    |
| Burgess        | Guthrie         | Moran         |
| Calvert        | Harder (CA)     | Morelle       |
| Caraveo        | Hayes           | Moskowitz     |
| Carbajal       | Hern            | Moulton       |
| Carey          | Hill            | Moylan        |
| Carl           | Himes           | Mrvan         |
| Carson         | Hinson          | Murphy        |
| Carter (GA)    | Horsford        | Nickel        |
| Carter (LA)    | Houchin         | Norcross      |
| Carter (TX)    | Hudson          | Norman        |
| Cartwright     | Huizenga        | Nunn (IA)     |
| Case           | Hunt            | Obernalte     |
| Casten         | Jackson (TX)    | Panetta       |
| Chavez-DeRemer | Johnson (SD)    | Pappas        |
| Ciscomani      | Joyce (OH)      | Pelosi        |
| Clyburn        | Joyce (PA)      | Pence         |
| Cole           | Kaptur          | Perez         |
| Costa          | Kean (NJ)       | Peters        |
| Courtney       | Keating         | Pettersen     |
| Craig          | Kelly (MS)      | Pfluger       |
| Crane          | Kelly (PA)      | Phillips      |
| Crawford       | Kiggans (VA)    | Posey         |
| Crenshaw       | Kiley           | Quigley       |
| Crockett       | Kilmer          | Reschenthaler |
| Cuellar        | Kim (CA)        | Rodgers (WA)  |
| Curtis         | Kuster          | Rogers (AL)   |
| D'Esposito     | Kustoff         | Rogers (KY)   |
| Davids (KS)    | LaHood          | Rose          |
| Davis (NC)     | LaLota          | Rouzer        |
| De La Cruz     | LaMalfa         | Ruiz          |
| DeLauro        | Lamborn         | Ruppersberger |
| Diaz-Balart    | Landsman        | Rutherford    |
| Duarte         | Larson (CT)     | Ryan          |
| Dunn (FL)      | Latta           | Salazar       |
| Edwards        | LaTurner        | Scalise       |
| Ellzey         | Lawler          | Schneider     |
| Eshoo          | Lee (NV)        | Scholten      |
| Estes          | Letlow          | Schrier       |
| Ezell          | Levin           | Scott, Austin |
| Feenstra       | Loudermilk      | Scott, David  |
| Ferguson       | Lucas           | Sessions      |
| Fitzpatrick    | Luttrell        | Sewell        |
| Fletcher       | Lynch           | Sherman       |

|            |               |               |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sherrill   | Swalwell      | Van Dуйne     |
| Simpson    | Sykes         | Vasquez       |
| Slotkin    | Tenney        | Veasey        |
| Smith (MO) | Thompson (CA) | Wagner        |
| Smith (NE) | Thompson (MS) | Walberg       |
| Smucker    | Thompson (PA) | Waltz         |
| Sorensen   | Titus         | Wenstrup      |
| Spanberger | Torres (CA)   | Weston        |
| Stauber    | Torres (NY)   | Wild          |
| Stefanik   | Trahan        | Williams (NY) |
| Steil      | Trone         | Williams (TX) |
| Stevens    | Turner        | Wilson (SC)   |
| Strong     | Underwood     | Womack        |
| Suozzi     | Valadao       | Zinke         |

NOES—193

|                 |                 |                |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Adams           | Fitzgerald      | Moore (AL)     |
| Aguilar         | Fleischmann     | Moore (WI)     |
| Armstrong       | Flood           | Mullin         |
| Baird           | Poster          | Nadler         |
| Balint          | Foushee         | Napolitano     |
| Banks           | Fox             | Neal           |
| Barragan        | Frost           | Neguse         |
| Bean (FL)       | Fry             | Nehls          |
| Beatty          | Fulcher         | Newhouse       |
| Bentz           | Gaetz           | Norton         |
| Beyer           | Garcia (IL)     | Ocasio-Cortez  |
| Biggs           | Garcia (TX)     | Ogles          |
| Bilirakis       | Garcia, Robert  | Omar           |
| Bishop (GA)     | Gomez           | Owens          |
| Bishop (NC)     | Good (VA)       | Pallone        |
| Blumenauer      | Gooden (TX)     | Palmer         |
| Blunt Rochester | Gosar           | Pascrell       |
| Boebert         | Green (TN)      | Perry          |
| Bonamici        | Green, Al (TX)  | Pingree        |
| Bost            | Greene (GA)     | Pocan          |
| Bowman          | Hageman         | Porter         |
| Brecheen        | Harris          | Pressley       |
| Brown           | Harshbarger     | Ramirez        |
| Burchett        | Higgins (LA)    | Raskin         |
| Burlison        | Houlahan        | Rosendale      |
| Bush            | Hoyer           | Ross           |
| Cammack         | Hoyle (OR)      | Roy            |
| Cardenas        | Huffman         | Sablan         |
| Casar           | Issa            | Salinas        |
| Castor (FL)     | Ivey            | Sanchez        |
| Castro (TX)     | Jackson (IL)    | Sarbanes       |
| Cherfilus-      | Jackson (NC)    | Scanlon        |
| McCormick       | Jacobs          | Schakowsky     |
| Chu             | James           | Schiff         |
| Clark (MA)      | Jayapal         | Schweikert     |
| Clarke (NY)     | Jeffries        | Scott (VA)     |
| Cleaver         | Johnson (GA)    | Self           |
| Cline           | Jordan          | Smith (NJ)     |
| Cloud           | Kamlager-Dove   | Smith (WA)     |
| Clyde           | Kelly (IL)      | Soto           |
| Cohen           | Khanna          | Spartz         |
| Collins         | Kildee          | Stansbury      |
| Comer           | Kim (NJ)        | Steel          |
| Connolly        | Krishnamoorthi  | Steube         |
| Correa          | Langworthy      | Takano         |
| Crow            | Larsen (WA)     | Thanedar       |
| Davidson        | Lee (CA)        | Tiffany        |
| Davis (IL)      | Lee (FL)        | Timmons        |
| Dean (PA)       | Lee (PA)        | Tlaib          |
| DeGette         | Leger Fernandez | Tokuda         |
| DelBene         | Lieu            | Tonko          |
| Deluzio         | Lofgren         | Van Drew       |
| DeSaulnier      | Luna            | Van Orden      |
| DesJarlais      | Mace            | Vargas         |
| Dingell         | Mann            | Velazquez      |
| Pelosi          | Doggett         | Wasserman      |
| Donalds         | McClintock      | Schultz        |
| Duncan          | McGovern        | Waters         |
| Emmer           | Menendez        | Watson Coleman |
| Escobar         | Meng            | Weber (TX)     |
| Espallat        | Mfume           | Webster (FL)   |
| Evans           | Miller (IL)     | Westerman      |
| Fallon          | Miller (OH)     | Williams (GA)  |
| Finstad         | Miller (WV)     | Wilson (FL)    |
| Fischbach       | Mooleenaar      | Yakym          |

NOT VOTING—16

|                |             |            |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Babin          | Luetkemeyer | Radewagen  |
| Gallego        | Maloy       | Stanton    |
| González-Colón | Mooney      | Strickland |
| Grijalva       | Payne       | Wittman    |
| Jackson Lee    | Peltola     |            |
| Lesko          | Plaskett    |            |

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1240

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois changed his vote from “aye” to “no.” So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Ms. MALOY. Mr. Chair, had I been present, I would have voted “no” on rollcall No. 117.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment 6, printed in House Report 118-456, offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 236, noes 186, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 118]

AYES—236

|                 |                 |               |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Aderholt        | Ezell           | Lamborn       |
| Aguilar         | Feenstra        | Landsman      |
| Allred          | Fitzpatrick     | Larsen (WA)   |
| Amodei          | Fletcher        | Larson (CT)   |
| Auchincloss     | Flood           | LaTurner      |
| Bacon           | Foster          | Lawler        |
| Balderson       | Frankel, Lois   | Lee (FL)      |
| Barr            | Franklin, Scott | Lee (NV)      |
| Barragan        | Fulcher         | Letlow        |
| Bera            | Gallagher       | Levin         |
| Bergman         | Garamendi       | Lucas         |
| Beyer           | Garbarino       | Lynch         |
| Bice            | Garcia, Mike    | Magaziner     |
| Bishop (GA)     | Gimenez         | Malliotakis   |
| Blunt Rochester | Golden (ME)     | Manning       |
| Boyle (PA)      | Goldman (NY)    | McBath        |
| Brownley        | Gomez           | McCaul        |
| Buchanan        | Gonzales, Tony  | McClain       |
| Bucshon         | Gonzalez,       | McClellan     |
| Budzinski       | Vicente         | McCollum      |
| Burgess         | Gottheimer      | McCormick     |
| Calvert         | Granger         | McGarvey      |
| Caraveo         | Graves (LA)     | McHenry       |
| Carbajal        | Graves (MO)     | Meeks         |
| Carl            | Guest           | Menendez      |
| Carson          | Guthrie         | Miller (WV)   |
| Carter (GA)     | Harder (CA)     | Moore (UT)    |
| Carter (LA)     | Hayes           | Moran         |
| Carter (TX)     | Hill            | Morelle       |
| Cartwright      | Himes           | Moskowitz     |
| Case            | Hinson          | Moulton       |
| Casten          | Horsford        | Moylan        |
| Chavez-DeRemer  | Houchin         | Mrvan         |
| Ciscomani       | Houlahan        | Mullin        |
| Clyburn         | Hoyer           | Murphy        |
| Cole            | Hudson          | Neguse        |
| Costa           | Huizenga        | Newhouse      |
| Courtney        | Ivey            | Nickel        |
| Craig           | Jackson (NC)    | Norcross      |
| Crawford        | Jackson (TX)    | Nunn (IA)     |
| Crenshaw        | Jacobs          | Obernalte     |
| Crow            | James           | Panetta       |
| Cuellar         | Jeffries        | Pappas        |
| D'Esposito      | Johnson (GA)    | Pascrell      |
| Davids (KS)     | Joyce (OH)      | Pelosi        |
| Davis (NC)      | Kamlager-Dove   | Pence         |
| De La Cruz      | Kaptur          | Perez         |
| DeLauro         | Kean (NJ)       | Peters        |
| Diaz-Balart     | Keating         | Pettersen     |
| Duarte          | Kelly (MS)      | Pfluger       |
| Dunn (FL)       | Kelly (PA)      | Phillips      |
| Edwards         | Kiggans (VA)    | Quigley       |
| Ellzey          | Kilmer          | Reschenthaler |
| Eshoo           | Kim (CA)        | Rogers (AL)   |
| Estes           | Kim (NJ)        | Rogers (KY)   |
| Ezell           | Krishnamoorthi  | Rose          |
| Feenstra        | Kuster          | Rouzer        |
| Ferguson        | Kustoff         | Ruiz          |
| Fitzpatrick     | LaHood          | Ruppersberger |
| Fletcher        | LaLota          | Rutherford    |
|                 | LaMalfa         | Ryan          |

Salazar  
Sarbanes  
Schiff  
Schneider  
Scholten  
Schrier  
Scott, Austin  
Scott, David  
Sessions  
Sewell  
Sherrill  
Simpson  
Slotkin  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (WA)  
Smucker  
Sorensen  
Soto  
Spanberger

Stansbury  
Stauber  
Stefanik  
Steil  
Stevens  
Strong  
Suozzi  
Swalwell  
Sykes  
Tenney  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Titus  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trahan  
Trone  
Turner  
Underwood

Valadao  
Van Duyne  
Vasquez  
Veasey  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Waltz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Wenstrup  
Wexton  
Wild  
Williams (NY)  
Wilson (FL)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Womack

□ 1245

Mr. MEUSER changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

Mr. MEEKS changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. PELTOLA. Mr. Chair, had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 117 and “aye” on rollcall No. 118.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. ELLZEY) having assumed the chair, Mr. ALFORD, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 7888) to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, and, pursuant to House Resolution 1137, he reported the bill, as amended by that resolution, back to the House with sundry further amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any further amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. LEE of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 273, noes 147, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 119]

AYES—273

Adams  
Alford  
Allen  
Amo  
Armstrong  
Arrington  
Baird  
Balint  
Banks  
Bean (FL)  
Beatty  
Bentz  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (NC)  
Blumenauer  
Boebert  
Bonamici  
Bost  
Bowman  
Brecheen  
Brown  
Burchett  
Burlison  
Bush  
Cammack  
Cárdenas  
Carey  
Casar  
Castro (TX)  
Cherfilus-  
McCormick  
Chu  
Clarke (NY)  
Cline  
Cloud  
Clyde  
Collins  
Comer  
Connolly  
Correa  
Crane  
Crockett  
Curtis  
Davidson  
Davis (IL)  
Dean (PA)  
DeGette  
DelBene  
Deluzio  
DeSaulnier  
DesJarlais  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Donalds  
Duncan  
Espaillat  
Evans  
Fallon  
Ferguson  
Finstad  
Fischbach  
Fitzgerald

Fleischmann  
Foushee  
Foxy  
Frost  
Fry  
Gaetz  
García (IL)  
García (TX)  
García, Robert  
Good (VA)  
Gooden (TX)  
Gosar  
Green (TN)  
Green, Al (TX)  
Greene (GA)  
Griffith  
Grothman  
Hageman  
Harris  
Harshbarger  
Hern  
Higgins (LA)  
Hoyle (OR)  
Huffman  
Hunt  
Issa  
Jackson (IL)  
Jayapal  
Johnson (SD)  
Jordan  
Joyce (PA)  
Kelly (IL)  
Khanna  
Kildee  
Kiley  
Langworthy  
Latta  
Lee (CA)  
Lee (PA)  
Leger Fernandez  
Lieu  
Lofgren  
Loudermilk  
Luna  
Luttrell  
Mace  
Maloy  
Mann  
Massie  
Mast  
Matsui  
McClintock  
McGovern  
Meng  
Meuser  
Mfume  
Miller (IL)  
Miller (OH)  
Miller-Meeks  
Mills  
Molinaro  
Moolenaar  
Moore (AL)

Moore (WI)  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal  
Nehls  
Norman  
Norton  
Ocasio-Cortez  
Ogles  
Omar  
Owens  
Pallone  
Palmer  
Perry  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Porter  
Posey  
Pressley  
Ramirez  
Raskin  
Rodgers (WA)  
Rosendale  
Ross  
Roy  
Sablan  
Salinas  
Sánchez  
Scalise  
Scanlon  
Schakowsky  
Schweikert  
Scott (VA)  
Self  
Sherman  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NJ)  
Spartz  
Steel  
Steube  
Takano  
Thanedar  
Thompson (PA)  
Tiffany  
Timmons  
Tlaib  
Tokuda  
Tonko  
Van Drew  
Van Orden  
Vargas  
Velázquez  
Waters  
Watson Coleman  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Westerman  
Williams (GA)  
Williams (TX)  
Yakym  
Zinke

Boyle (PA)  
Brownley  
Buchanan  
Carl  
Bucshon  
Budzinski  
Burgess  
Calvert  
Caraveo  
Carbajal  
Carl  
Carson  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (LA)  
Carter (TX)  
Cartwright  
Case  
Casten  
Castor (FL)  
Chavez-DeRemer

Ciscomani  
Clark (MA)  
Cleaver  
Clyburn  
Cohen  
Cole  
Connolly  
Correa  
Costa  
Courtney  
Craig  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Crockett  
Crow  
Cuellar  
D’Esposito  
Davids (KS)  
Davis (NC)

De La Cruz  
Dean (PA)  
DeLauro  
Diaz-Balart  
Duarte  
Edwards  
Ellzey  
Emmer  
Escobar  
Eshoo  
Estes  
Evans  
Ezell  
Feenstra  
Ferguson  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Fletcher  
Flood  
Foster  
Frankel, Lois  
Franklin, Scott  
Gallagher  
Garamendi  
Garbarino  
Garcia, Mike  
Gimenez  
Golden (ME)  
Goldman (NY)  
Gomez  
Gonzales, Tony  
Gonzalez,  
Vicente  
Gottheimer  
Granger  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Green, Al (TX)  
Grothman  
Guest  
Guthrie  
Harder (CA)  
Hayes  
Hern  
Hill  
Himes  
Hinson  
Horsford  
Houchin  
Houlahan  
Hoyer  
Hudson  
Huizenga  
Ivey  
Jackson (NC)  
Jackson (TX)  
James  
Jeffries  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson (LA)  
Johnson (SD)  
Joyce (OH)  
Kamlager-Dove  
Kaptur  
Kean (NJ)  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
Kiggans (VA)  
Kiley  
Kilmer  
Kim (CA)

Kim (NJ)  
Krishnamoorthi  
Kuster  
Kustoff  
LaHood  
LaLota  
Lamborn  
Landsman  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Latta  
LaTurner  
Lawler  
Lee (FL)  
Lee (NV)  
Leger Fernandez  
Letlow  
Levin  
Lieu  
Lucas  
Lynch  
Magaziner  
Malliotakis  
Maloy  
Manning  
McBath  
McCaull  
McClain  
McClellan  
McCollum  
McGarvey  
McHenry  
Meeks  
Menendez  
Meng  
Mfume  
Miller (OH)  
Miller (WV)  
Miller-Meeks  
Molinaro  
Moolenaar  
Moore (UT)  
Moran  
Morelle  
Moskowitz  
Moulton  
Mrvan  
Mullin  
Murphy  
Neal  
Neguse  
Newhouse  
Nickel  
Norcross  
Nunn (IA)  
Oberholte  
Palmer  
Panetta  
Pappas  
Pascrell  
Pelosi  
Peltola  
Pence  
Perez  
Peters  
Petterson  
Pfluger  
Phillips  
Quigley  
Raskin  
Reschenthaler  
Rodgers (WA)  
Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)  
Rose  
Ross  
Rouzer  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Rutherford  
Ryan  
Salazar  
Sánchez  
Sarbanes  
Scalise  
Schiff  
Schneider  
Scholten  
Schrier  
Scott, Austin  
Scott, David  
Sessions  
Sewell  
Sherrill  
Simpson  
Slotkin  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (WA)  
Smucker  
Sorensen  
Soto  
Spanberger  
Stauber  
Steel  
Stefanik  
Steil  
Stevens  
Strong  
Suozzi  
Swalwell  
Sykes  
Tenney  
Thanedar  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Thompson (PA)  
Titus  
Tokuda  
Tonko  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trahan  
Trone  
Turner  
Underwood  
Valadao  
Vargas  
Vasquez  
Veasey  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Waltz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Webster (FL)  
Wenstrup  
Wexton  
Wild  
Williams (NY)  
Williams (TX)  
Wilson (FL)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Womack

NOES—147

NOT VOTING—14

Babin  
Gallego  
González-Colón  
Grijalva  
Jackson Lee

Lesko  
Luetkemeyer  
Mooney  
Payne  
Peltola

Plaskett  
Radewagen  
Stanton  
Strickland

Alford  
Armstrong  
Arrington  
Baird  
Balint  
Banks  
Bean (FL)  
Beatty  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (NC)  
Blumenauer  
Boebert  
Bonamici  
Bost  
Bowman  
Brecheen  
Brown  
Burchett  
Burlison  
Bush  
Cammack  
Cárdenas  
Carey

Casar  
Castro (TX)  
Cherfilus-  
McCormick  
Chu  
Clarke (NY)  
Cline  
Cloud  
Clyde  
Collins  
Comer  
Crane  
Curtis  
Davidson  
Davis (IL)  
DeGette  
DelBene  
Deluzio  
DeSaulnier  
DesJarlais  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Donalds  
Duncan

Dunn (FL)  
Espaillat  
Fallon  
Finstad  
Fischbach  
Fitzgerald  
Foushee  
Foxy  
Frost  
Fry  
Fulcher  
Gaetz  
García (IL)  
García (TX)  
García, Robert  
Good (VA)  
Gooden (TX)  
Gosar  
Green (TN)  
Greene (GA)  
Griffith  
Hageman  
Harris  
Harshbarger

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. ALFORD) (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

|              |               |                |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Higgins (LA) | McGovern      | Schakowsky     |
| Hoyle (OR)   | Meuser        | Schweikert     |
| Huffman      | Miller (IL)   | Scott (VA)     |
| Hunt         | Mills         | Self           |
| Issa         | Moore (AL)    | Sherman        |
| Jackson (IL) | Moore (WI)    | Smith (MO)     |
| Jacobs       | Nadler        | Smith (NJ)     |
| Jayapal      | Napolitano    | Spartz         |
| Jordan       | Nehls         | Steube         |
| Joyce (PA)   | Norman        | Takano         |
| Khanna       | Ocasio-Cortez | Tiffany        |
| LaMalfa      | Ogles         | Timmons        |
| Langworthy   | Omar          | Tlaib          |
| Lee (CA)     | Owens         | Van Drew       |
| Lee (PA)     | Pallone       | Van Duyn       |
| Lofgren      | Perry         | Van Orden      |
| Loudermilk   | Pingree       | Velázquez      |
| Luna         | Pocan         | Waters         |
| Luttrell     | Porter        | Watson Coleman |
| Mace         | Posey         | Weber (TX)     |
| Mann         | Pressley      | Westerman      |
| Massie       | Ramirez       | Williams (GA)  |
| Mast         | Rosendale     | Roy            |
| Matsui       | Salinas       | Yakym          |
| McClintock   | Scanlon       | Zinke          |
| McCormick    |               |                |

NOT VOTING—11

|             |             |            |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Babin       | Kildee      | Payne      |
| Gallego     | Lesko       | Stanton    |
| Grijalva    | Luetkemeyer | Strickland |
| Jackson Lee | Mooney      |            |

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1257

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall No. 119, H.R. 7888.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. STANTON. Mr. Speaker, I was necessarily absent and missed five votes. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on rollcall No. 115, Roy Amendment, "aye" on rollcall No. 116, Crenshaw Amendment, "aye" on rollcall No. 117, Waltz Amendment, "aye" on rollcall No. 118, Turner Amendment, and "aye" on rollcall No. 119, final passage of H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mrs. LUNA. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objection is heard.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Ms. LEE of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Lee of Florida moves to reconsider the vote on passage of H.R. 7888.

MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Turner of Ohio moves to table the motion to reconsider.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mrs. LUNA. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ADJOURNMENT FROM FRIDAY, APRIL 12, 2024, TO MONDAY, APRIL 15, 2024

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday next when it shall convene at noon for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DUARTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

RECOGNIZING STUDENTS FROM KEYSTONE CENTRAL CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize students from Keystone Central Career and Technology Center who recently attended the SkillsUSA State competition in Hershey, Pennsylvania.

The SkillsUSA State competition, which took place from April 3 to April 5, is an annual competition that allows high school students to demonstrate their skills in a variety of competitions. Students have the opportunity to compete both individually and as a team.

Keystone Central had 25 students participate in the event. The students represented different programs, including childcare, drafting and design, health assisting, and precision machining.

Twelve students won awards, with four coming in first place for Community Service and Related Technical Math, one coming in second place for CTE Demonstration, and seven coming in third place for Architectural Drafting, Career Pathways, Industrial and Engineering Technology, and Career Pathways for Human Services.

The students who came in first will advance to the national competition in Atlanta, Georgia, in June. I am proud of these Keystone Central students for their hard work and dedication to learning. I wish them the best of luck in their future career paths.

ANOTHER MASSIVE STEP IN THE FIGHT TO END GUN VIOLENCE

(Mr. FROST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I rise today because this week the Biden administration took yet another massive step

in the fight to end gun violence and save innocent lives.

Yesterday, the administration took historic action to reduce the number of firearms sold to people without background checks. This is the largest expansion in the history of the background check system or in the past 30 years.

From Columbine to the Midland-Odessa shooting, background check loopholes and unlicensed gun dealers have contributed to some of the most horrific and senseless tragedies of our time.

For years, people across our country have marched, fought, and raised their voices calling on leaders in power to give a damn about the innocent lives being taken away from us.

President Biden is listening. Under his leadership, this Congress passed the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act. Under his leadership, we have created the first ever Federal White House Office of Gun Violence Prevention. Under his leadership, we are one more massive step closer to universal background checks that will undoubtedly save lives. Now, it is time for this Chamber to follow suit and pass universal background checks.

REMEMBERING DR. JEROME GREEN

(Mr. HILL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with a heavy heart. I rise to remember Dr. Jerome Green, who died unexpectedly earlier this week.

Jerome served as the president of Shorter College in North Little Rock, Arkansas, since 2012. As the only private 2-year historically Black college in the country, Shorter College faces unique challenges, challenges that were all embraced by Dr. Green.

In his time as president, he increased the enrollment of the college, brought back intercollegiate athletics, added academic programs, and more. Jerome was recently named by the HBCU Campaign Fund board as one of The Ten Most Dominant HBCU Leaders Award and Class of 2024.

Early in his career, Jerome was appointed by then-Governor Bill Clinton to the Arkansas Ethics Commission where he served as chairman. Following his work on the Ethics Commission, he was appointed to the Panel of Conciliators for the International Center for Settlement Disputes, a division of the World Bank.

Dr. Green has truly dedicated his career to improving the lives of others. He was an exemplary leader in his faith, his devotion to Shorter College, and our country.

He was a dear friend and will be missed by many. Martha and I are brokenhearted, and we pray for the repose of his soul and for his friends and family.

### THE UNITED STATES AND UKRAINE ARE AT A CROSSROADS

(Ms. DEAN of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DEAN of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the United States and Ukraine are at a crossroads. In their fight against Putin, Ukraine is rationing weapons. After 2 years of war, Ukraine is losing ground, ground that they had reclaimed from their aggressor as the Russian army grows stronger.

Still, Ukrainians fight for their freedom and fight for the future of Ukraine and of Europe. They fight for democracy itself.

Their fight is existential. Their fight is our fight, because what affects our European allies affects us, affects our security, affects our economy, and affects our very democracy.

America is the indispensable nation, yet some Republicans in this body are willing to squander our global strength to appease Mr. Putin or a former President.

We cannot allow extreme House Republicans to do the dirty work of Putin and Trump, because if we abandon Ukraine, we fail to protect a younger democracy, we jeopardize our own military readiness and national security, and we fail a crucial ally.

For 2 years, the United States, President Biden, has led more than 50 nations in supplying Ukraine what it needs to win.

We are at a crossroads. We must not stumble. We must stand strong and lead.

### LATEST DEVASTATING CONSEQUENCE OF BIDEN'S BORDER CRISIS

(Mr. MEUSER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MEUSER. Mr. Speaker, just the latest devastating consequence of the atrocious Biden border crisis is the dramatic rise in the number of illegal immigrants squatting in American homes.

We have heard story after story of migrants squatting on Americans' property to the point of a viral Venezuelan TikTok urged illegal immigrants to take advantage of States' adverse possession laws, which caused even more migrants to squat.

Just last week, Homeland Security officials arrested eight illegal immigrants on drug and gun possession charges who had been squatting in a Bronx, New York, home.

I am taking action to curb this trend, which is why I recently introduced the Safeguarding Homes from Illegal Entry, Living, and Dwelling Act, or SHIELD Act. My bill would make trespassing a deportable offense for illegal aliens, as well as deem the alien per-

manently inadmissible for entry or return back to the United States of America.

This legislation should serve as a deterrent and make illegal immigrants think twice before attempting to trespass on our homes in an unlawful manner.

□ 1315

### COMMUNITY AND SCHOOL-BASED HEALTH CENTERS

(Ms. SALINAS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SALINAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to highlight the important role that community and school-based health centers play when it comes to Americans' mental well-being. These centers provide a wide range of mental and physical health services, regardless of a patient's ability to pay.

I recently visited several community health centers in my district along with SAMHSA Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary Tom Coderre. During our visit, we met with staff, providers, and local stakeholders to learn more about how Congress can better support these facilities.

What I gained from those conversations is that we simply do not have enough mental health providers to meet community needs, especially in rural areas.

Addressing the mental health workforce shortage has to be a top priority. For my part, I have introduced legislation that will help create a strong workforce pipeline and relieve the pressures on individual providers. I will continue to push for more funding for community health centers and other organizations that are truly helping to fill the gaps in mental healthcare because mental health is just as important as our physical health, but we can't expand access to care without also increasing support for providers who are on the ground working to put an end to the mental health crisis in America.

### RECOGNIZING SHERRY DANIELLO UPON BEING INDUCTED INTO GEORGIA NURSING HALL OF FAME

(Mr. CARTER of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Sherry Danello for being inducted into the Georgia Nursing Hall of Fame.

The Nursing Hall of Fame was established to show appreciation for the many hardworking nurses in Georgia. Sherry is 1 of 10 nurses to receive the invitation for the 2024 selection. She and her counterparts were chosen on the merits of service and leadership in the field, community life, and philanthropic organizations.

Sherry is currently the vice president of patient care services and chief nursing officer at St. Joseph's Hospital located in Savannah, Georgia. Her responsibilities include covering hundreds of hospital beds, many outpatient services, and other fields of outreach.

Along with serving on the Healthcare Workforce Commission, a position she was appointed to by Governor Brian Kemp, she sits on many other community boards.

Sherry's strong commitment and relentless service to the medical field is valued and appreciated by the Savannah community.

### HONORING THE LIFE OF JASON JENKINS

(Mr. SORENSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SORENSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Jason Jenkins, an 18-year-old who shares the same hometown as me, Rockford, Illinois.

Jason was a student and worked at Walmart in the evenings and on weekends. That was also where he was the victim of a horrific, racially motivated knife attack that ended his life.

Bystanders jumped to his side and comforted him while trying to stop the bleeding, a powerful testament to the good in our community that will always overpower hate.

Several of his teachers told me that Jason was an extraordinary young man known for his personality, positivity, and always looking to make people laugh.

Today, I am grieving with the Jenkins family, their neighbors, his team at Walmart, and his classmates at Auburn High School.

This has been a rough few weeks for the Rockford community. With every tragedy, it is important that we come together as one family to support each other during these difficult moments.

We will never forget Jason Jenkins.

### THANKING LEADERS OF CAL STATE DC SCHOLARS

(Mrs. KIM of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. KIM of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise to thank Dr. Stephen Stambough and Dr. Valerie O'Regan upon their retirement from Cal State Fullerton after years of dedicated service as political science professors.

Drs. Stambough and O'Regan led the Cal State DC Scholars program, offering students interested in politics and government the unique opportunity to live and learn in Washington, D.C.

Dr. Stambough was the founding director of this program in 2006. More than 650 students have since participated in this program. Dr. O'Regan personally recruited one-third of the students.

Their important work has inspired many, including my own daughter, Kelly, who attended Cal State Fullerton and was a Cal State DC Scholar.

I thank them both for their tireless work to teach the next generation of public servants and leaders, and I wish them both the best in their retirement.

#### HONORING REVEREND CHIP MURRAY

(Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of South Los Angeles' spiritual and moral center, Reverend Dr. Cecil "Chip" Murray.

For 27 years, Reverend Murray served as pastor of Los Angeles' oldest Black-founded church, First African Methodist Episcopal Church, or FAME.

Many a Senator, Congressperson, Foreign Minister, community advocate, or person in need found their way to FAME and Reverend Murray.

He guided our community through times of crisis and toward prosperity. He sheltered and fed thousands of displaced residents at FAME during the 1992 L.A. riots and later created over 4,000 jobs, 300 new homeowners, and 500 new businesses across South L.A. through the FAME Renaissance Economic Development program.

Upon his retirement from FAME, Reverend Murray served as the John R. Tansey Chair of Christian Ethics in the School of Religion at my alma mater, USC, passing on his wisdom to a new generation of community leaders.

He was a constituent of the 37th. He was a shepherd of faith, justice, and mercy. He was an icon to Los Angeles.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring Reverend Murray's incredible life and legacy.

#### INFLATION IN CALIFORNIA

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, this week, the United States Congress' Joint Economic Committee released a report based on the consumer price index for March.

They found that in my home State of California, the average household is paying \$1,222 more per month to purchase the same goods and services since January 2021. Cumulatively, the average California household has spent \$26,929 more due to inflation in that same period of time.

Compared to January 2021, the average household in California spent \$173 more per month on food. This is \$25 more each month than just 1 year ago, a cumulative of \$3,900 more in food costs since January 2021.

The average household in California is spending \$161 per month more in en-

ergy costs and \$5,000 more out of their pockets since that period of time in January 2021.

Shelter and housing has gone up \$4,600 more since January 2021.

What is the root cause of this? There are a couple of basic features. The cost of energy drives everything, and government spending has inflated. We have to stop.

#### CELEBRATING LIVE MUSIC, GOOD DRINKS AT FITZGERALD'S

(Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise to celebrate Fitzgerald's, a community staple in Berwyn, Illinois, where we can listen to all types of music and have a good time.

The building at Roosevelt and Clarence has gone by many names and served many purposes since it became a place to gather more than 100 years ago. It was a hunting lodge and sporting headquarters. In the fifties and sixties, it was the Hunt Club, where jazz greats like Turk Murphy, Lil Armstrong, and Bob Scobey played.

In 1980, under new ownership, the venue took the name Fitzgerald's, and the rest is history.

Then, in 2020, new owners got creative with a stay-at-home concert series during the pandemic. They recently applied for Fitzgerald's to be added to the National Register of Historic Places, which I wholeheartedly support.

Fitzgerald's slogan is "Live Music, Good Drinks," and I raise a glass to this cornerstone in my community.

#### RECLAIM OUR INTEGRITY

(Mrs. RAMIREZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. RAMIREZ. Mr. Speaker, what I said 6 months ago couldn't be more true today. I said that we need a permanent cease-fire to save lives and bring lasting peace.

Yet, today, over 33,000 Palestinians have been killed, 13,000 children robbed of a future, and 340 doctors and aid workers are dead. One million are on the brink of famine. Ninety-five journalists and media workers are dead. After 188 days, 100 hostages are still not home.

When will we see the irony of facilitating aid airdrops while we are also supplying airstrikes? How long before we stop arming Netanyahu to wage a brutal war against civilians?

We have to reclaim our integrity. Not one more dollar, not one more bomb, and not another excuse for an extremist who prides himself on the starvation and the death of children. Not anymore.

#### HONORING THE LIFE OF TONYA SANCHEZ

(Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the inspiring life of Tonya Sanchez.

Tonya was the director of the Presbyterian Health Services Community Clinic in Cuba, New Mexico, who passed away in February.

She implemented programs and activities to connect with her community. From toy drives to Halloween carnivals and art competitions, Tonya strived to make everyone feel welcome. She encouraged them to take pride in their home as rural Latino Americanos and as Nuevomexicanos.

Tonya had recently launched her campaign for village council. Even after her passing, she won more votes than others who ran.

She was eager to listen and to build with her community. Through her immense care and compassion, Tonya created an unrivaled impact on everyone she met.

Tonya spearheaded one of my congressionally supported community projects for rural workforce housing for the Presbyterian Medical Center in Cuba. I will cherish the photos of the event celebrating the project with Tonya.

I am heartbroken that such an amazing person was taken before her life could shine even brighter. I am proud to have met her, and I hope to carry on the work that she started in our home State and in her beautiful village.

Her legacy will live on through her children, the countless lives that she touched, and the beautiful workforce housing that she made possible in Cuba, New Mexico.

#### ADDRESSING U.S.-JAPAN TRADE DEFICITS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 9, 2023, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, considering the visit this week from His Excellency Kishida Fumio, Prime Minister of Japan, I rise to thank both him and his country's commitment to our deepening alliance of liberty.

I also rise to discuss where I believe there is room for improvement and greater parity in the trade relationship between our United States of America and Japan, our essential ally in the Pacific.

Japan is a key ally to our Nation, but there have been concerning developments within our trade and economic relationship that deserve attention. In particular, the U.S. and Japan's lingering and huge trade deficit is of great



importance, certainly in my manufacturing region of America, our steelworkers, autoworkers, and manufacturers across our region, and the entire industrial Midwest.

Due to the North American Free Trade Agreement, NAFTA, passage over 40 years ago with additional export caps and a slew of other flawed trade policies, the industrial heartland of our country has been left behind again and again. As jobs were outsourced and markets were left closed, it was hard for us to get our exports into Japan.

As we embark on this next phase of our relationship with Japan, of the utmost importance to our country and global security, I would urge President Biden, lawmakers, agencies, the military, and even Japan itself not to again leave behind the manufacturing heartland and the people of our country whom I am in this very room to represent.

It is all too easy to get caught up in achieving our shared economic and security goals and to forget those right here at home.

Specifically, my constituents have been cut out of trade deals over and over. Our manufacturers find it extremely difficult to get items into Japan, and we have our markets open. We have markets open for investment by Japan in this country. Try to afford to invest in Japan by a major U.S. company.

□ 1330

The Japanese are smart traders, and they are able, and they are paying attention to the world, but so are my constituents. Also, make no mistake. If you leave people behind here at home, they can leave you behind, too.

In fact, we have lived the results of past unfair trade deals every day, and that has thrown skepticism on international partnerships that have not been fair, and isolationist tendencies have developed among some Americans who harbor these tendencies. However, these nagging trade deficits are one reason, and they currently impede our ability to uphold our commitment to such important alliances.

Therefore, why not embrace this moment? Let's embrace it to move closer to a new era for the industrial Midwest rather than repeat the mistakes of the past.

In 2022, the U.S. trade deficit with Japan was over \$70 billion, but that was not an isolated year. Going back 40 years, the amount of that deficit is in the trillions.

Why does this statistic look like this, and how does it look to the people of Ohio and the workers and companies of Ohio?

Let's use the auto industry as an example. In 2021, the U.S. imported 1,400,000 vehicles from Japan, but Japan only took 20,000 of our vehicles; to be exact, 20,233. So that is 1.4 million for them—1,400,000—and for us, 20,233. That is a 70-1 import-to-export

ratio in automobiles. When you count parts and you count steel and so many other components that Japan keeps out, the number is even bigger.

It is terribly difficult for American automakers and auto parts suppliers to break into and stay in the Japanese market. There are many, many impediments. Additionally, in December of 2023, Nippon Steel, a Japanese firm, announced its intention to buy the iconic U.S. Steel Company.

U.S. Steel has long provided tens of thousands of Americans with dignified, living-wage jobs which have provided our Nation with the materials to build American vehicles on American soil. What America makes and builds, makes and builds America.

Formed in 1901 and still based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, it was once the largest steel producer in the world. It remains to this day one of the largest American steel producers, but I am concerned that, if the sale goes through, Nippon Steel will not be obligated to honor labor contracts, and American jobs will be lost again, meaning Midwest industrial America will be left behind again.

If we are to have free trade, we must also have fair trade, and we must, at a minimum, play by the same rules. Our partnership must yield a win-win for both our national security and Japan's, and our economic security and Japan's economic security. However, the ledger books don't get us there today. We have more work to do. Additionally, I asked the Prime Minister if he couldn't send a delegation to work on these exact issues.

In delivering this essential economic message, I again thank the Japanese Prime Minister Kishida for traveling so far to visit our Nation this week and for his kind words recognizing our many shared values; his fondness for his childhood, some of that time spent in America; and his appreciation for the importance of the U.S.-Japan alliance on the trade front. However, our two nations have much work to repair and much work to do.

#### REPUBLICANS PARROTING PUTIN FOR SOH

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I now turn to another very essential topic and I mention that the majority party, the Republican Party, is long overdue in bringing to this floor the national security supplemental bill that includes support for Ukraine.

Let me be clear. Ukraine is fighting for its liberty. It can be felt and tasted. However, Ukraine is not asking the American people to die for her, but she is only asking for some help from us to buy the ammunition to win, to win their own liberty.

How could any American turn their back on this plea?

We are the leader of the free world. We like to say that. Are we really the leader of the free world? We cannot let Ukraine fail.

How can this Congress dither as lives are lost because of lack of ammunition, as liberty hangs in the balance on the

eastern edge of Europe, our closest allies?

Ukraine has become the scrimmage line for liberty on the Continent of Europe today. Russia, without provocation, invaded the sovereign territory of Ukraine, first in 2014, and then expanded its zone of terror to take as much of Ukraine as the free world allows.

Think about that. Russia's dictatorship historically has a very sinister habit of gulping up territory that does not belong to it.

History instructs us that peace is possible when Russia is pushed back into her own boundaries. Just in the last century, Russia killed more innocent people than even Nazi Germany. Russia forcibly starved and murdered over 12 million people. Humankind doesn't even know how many because it was such an annihilation. Only God truly knows how many.

Then, Russia occupied territory in Europe for over 40 years, as far west as Berlin, Germany, and as far south as Turkiye.

Most recently, Vladimir Putin invaded Georgia in 2008, Crimea and Ukraine in 2014, and then a full-scale, illegal invasion in Ukraine in 2022, which remains a hot war and ongoing as we are here today.

Russia's greedy dictators, from Stalin to Putin, chomp off territory that is not theirs to take. Borders of nations must be sovereign.

The history of Europe is also clear. Russia is an expansionist tyranny. Sadly, but true, it always has been. Vladimir Putin intends to keep it that way. If the free world does not stand up to Russia now, it will continue to plunder adjoining nations, most of which are members of NATO. That broadened conflict will mean a much enlarged conflagration that will engage NATO militarily, and that includes the United States of America.

For some very misguided Members of the U.S. Congress, to let liberty twist and twist in the wind in Ukraine deeply harms the freedom-seeking, courageous people and soldiers of Ukraine. I find it mind-numbing to guess why some Members of this House choose to turn away from liberty at a moment of greatest challenge on a continent where over 500,000 Americans died for our liberty and their liberty.

Do our colleagues not grasp that their political antics aid and abet a real, proven enemy of liberty? Their foolery greatly endangers the people of our Nation going forward as my colleagues allow the death of Ukraine soldiers.

When Republicans acquiesce, acquiesce to Vladimir Putin, the acquiescent wing of the Republican Party damages the standing of the United States of America globally. Could we be observing modern-day quislings, people who acquiesce to tyrants and throw daggers right at liberty's heart? Can some of our colleagues actually believe they

exist alone on this globe? Do my colleagues on the other side of the aisle know no history?

Let me assure my colleagues that they cannot retreat to sheltered little corners where they will remain safe. When the field to tyranny is ceded, when my colleagues whet its appetite, it will find them.

Could some of these Members among us hold their fanciful ideas because their families have been protected from the raw edge of tyranny? Could my colleagues not know the terrorist face of forced subjugation. Our family knows the face of Russian terror. Believe me, no person should ever have to endure its cruel, murderous, soulless apparatus.

Perhaps the lack of mandatory conscription in our Nation's military for a generation means that we as a country are yielding very naive candidates for Congress and even for the Presidency of our country, with some having no veterans in their own families.

Do Members of Congress genuflecting before Vladimir Putin actually not know that my colleagues are making our world a much more dangerous place in which to live? Are Members absent any veterans in their families who fought on the Continent of Europe in the last century and know the stakes?

For the first time in recorded history, the structure of a free world and the means to defend it hangs in the balance. In Europe, well over half a million lives were sacrificed to the vision of what we now call the free world. When our soldiers fought, the shield of liberty they bequeathed to each and every one of us and Members of this body that have royally blessed us, as they fought, they didn't have a name for the free world. There was no NATO. However, they understood when they met an enemy to liberty, and they fought against it with everything they had.

Anyone in this room, anyone listening, we have been blessed, living during the longest period of peacetime history among great powers that the world has ever known. We are blessed, not for anything we did ourselves, but for what those who came before us did for us. We can't squander that legacy.

Liberty's shield was created out of the profound sacrifices of our citizens, enabling all of us to live more comfortable lives, maybe too comfortable, about which the people of Ukraine can only now dream and fight.

I will ask my colleagues who oppose assistance to Ukraine: Have their lives perhaps been too comfortable? Do they know nothing of what our forebears sacrificed and fought for? Do they not know the face of tyranny?

Could Members of Congress be so vapid and unaware of America's critical role in the history of liberty? Do they not understand their dithering threatens liberty? Do they not understand, if one naively retreats into their comfortable corner of the world for

safety, there will come a day when the mean forces of evil will find them and us?

Read about Stalin's Black Raven squads to understand who is empowered through ignorance. There is no safety in retreat. Our colleagues appease but do not understand what it takes to maintain a free world.

What a sacrilege to reward murderous dictators like Vladimir Putin. He operates in the style of his idol, Joseph Stalin, the most vile, crazed, savage Russian dictator. Stalin butchered millions upon millions of innocent human beings as their blood soaked and sanctified the holy land of Ukraine, as its Black Raven squads sought out any person who got in the way. They were starved by Russia, shot in the back of the head by Russia, smothered to death in church basements, buried in nameless mass graves, in forests, frozen and starved by the millions.

Did my colleagues not observe the recent torturous death of Russian freedom fighter Alexei Navalny? That is Putin's way of operating, modus operandi, kill the opposition.

By acquiescing to Russia, you reward murderers, despots, and tyrants. Parroting the talking points of Vladimir Putin and the Kremlin isn't just a sign of our colleagues' lack of knowledge on the subject. It is simply un-American.

Are my colleagues too blinded by full bellies, media distractions, and their own self-satisfaction and attention to miss the predator on the march?

□ 1345

Are my colleagues too consumed with the attention they draw by playing with the Devil that they foolishly hasten the day when the winds of oppression burn them and us?

Only time will tell, but as the hours, days, and weeks tick by, Ukrainian lives hang in the balance. Ukrainian soldiers valiantly resist the third largest military in the world.

I urge my colleagues to support funding for ammunition and weapons for Ukraine. I call upon the majority to bring the legislation to the floor immediately. Time is of the essence. The winter months over 2 years have been so, so difficult for the people of Ukraine and her soldiers.

Liberty hangs in the balance on our watch. Give us a chance to vote for liberty. Don't hold back the legislation another month and then another month and then another month. Meet the moment. Protect the national security of the United States and of Europe. Meet our obligations. Let's vote for support of Ukraine. I pray we can do that next week.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### CELEBRATING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF METRO CITY KIDS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of Jan-

uary 9, 2023, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RUTHERFORD) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. RUTHERFORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Pastor Terry and Reverend Cynthia Horn on celebrating their 50th anniversary of active children's ministry aimed at teaching good citizenship, along with biblical moral values.

Dr. Terry Horn, as you can see here beside me, is affectionately known as Uncle Sam, and he and his wife Cynthia began their ministry, as I said, 50 years ago to teach Christ's love, hope, and healing in poverty stricken areas. The Horns have brought the Gospel message to major cities throughout the United States before finally being called to relocate to Jacksonville in 2011. It is that time that I was sheriff in Jacksonville, Florida, and got to know their program well.

Their current organization, Metro City Kids, is a faith-based mission serving Jacksonville's inner-city children. Their efforts have had notable and tangible importance in our northeast Florida community. Metro City Kids works to connect children living in public-assisted housing to Christ and encourages them through weekly mentoring, Bible study, and prayer to live a Christian lifestyle. The Duval County's Sheriff Office, which I was sheriff, reported that juvenile crime in public housing areas where Metro City Kids operated saw a 40 percent reduction in their first 5 years of service. That was an amazing, amazing accomplishment.

Metro City Kids' impact on Jacksonville is far-reaching, and hundreds of children and families have been blessed by their dedication to our community.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Pastor Terry Horn, "Uncle Sam," and his wife, Reverend Cynthia Horn, for their ministry in the parts of our community that were most in need. Their investment in our next generation has made northeast Florida a safer, more loving place to live, and I thank them for that.

CONGRATULATIONS TO PONTE VEDRA HIGH SCHOOL AND CREEKSIDE HIGH SCHOOL

Mr. RUTHERFORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the exemplary athletic achievement of the girls' soccer teams from Ponte Vedra High School and Creekside High School, both located in my district.

On Saturday, March 2, these two varsity teams became 2024 Florida High School Athletics Association State champions, following playoff wins at the Spec Martin Stadium in DeLand, Florida.

The Ponte Vedra Sharks won the Class 6A State championship against East Lake High School with a pair of second-half goals. Hadley Conway, Jenny Dearie, and Elle Anderson scored for the Sharks, earning them a 3-1 victory.

Over the course of the team's five playoff games, Ponte Vedra outscored their opponents 22-2. I have to mention

that this is the fourth State championship for Ponte Vedra's powerhouse soccer program since the school's inception in 2009, all under amazing Coach Dave Silverberg.

Now, the Creekside Knights triumphed over Boca Raton in the Class 7A league, also securing their spot as State champions. This is the second time in that program's history that the Knights have claimed the title, both in the past 3 years, again under amazing Coach Joe Soto's leadership. Goals from Chloe Iliff and Sarah Weisberg cemented the well-deserved 2-1 win that concluded their fantastic season.

Mr. Speaker, I am truly honored to congratulate the hardworking student athletes of Ponte Vedra and Creekside High Schools for their great achievements. I thank Coaches Silverberg and Soto, their parents, the entire Ponte Vedra and Creekside communities for supporting these champion athletes. Florida's Fifth Congressional District is truly proud to have such determined and dedicated students. I wish them the best in their continued endeavors, and I wish both of their programs great success.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE LIVES LOST IN GAZA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 9, 2023, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GREEN) for 30 minutes.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, and still I rise.

I rise proud to be an American, proud to have the opportunity to stand here in the Congress of the United States of America and address issues of importance to the world.

I am proud to say that as an American, I salute the flag. I say the Pledge of Allegiance. I sing the national anthem. I stand for the anthem, but, Mr. Speaker, as I always remind people, as a liberated Democrat, I remind them, the greatness of America will not be measured by whether the AL GREEN's of the world will stand and salute the flag or will stand and sing the national anthem.

The greatness of America will be measured by whether the AL GREEN's of the world will defend those who choose not to stand, who choose not to sing, who choose not to salute. I will defend their rights as Americans not to participate in many things that I participate in.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to say that when I tell people I love my country, a good many would say to me, why would you love a country that segregated you? Why would you love a country that required you to sit in the back of the bus, the balcony of a movie? Why would you love a country that has treated you so badly and so poorly when you were a child?

I was the son of a segregated South. The laws that the Constitution recog-

nized for me, my friends and neighbors denied access to those laws, if you will.

But I have found that the best opportunity to make a difference in the world and change these things resides here in the United States of America. I love the country because I love the ideals, the ideals, what it stands for in its Declaration of Independence, what it stands for in its Constitution. I know that the Constitution did not apply equally to all when initially written, but I also know that there have been changes and there is still much change to take place.

I love my country, but I still believe that there must be things that we can do and there are things we can do to make the country a better place for all.

Therefore, today, I rise, Mr. Speaker, to speak on behalf of the many persons who have lost their lives, who have suffered in Gaza. The United States funds, funds the country that has purchased the weaponry, much of it, that has been used to harm people in Gaza. We have our fingerprints on these weapons. We have our fingerprints on the destruction that is taking place in Gaza.

I rise to stand with the innocent Palestinian men, women, and children, especially children, as well as others who were in Gaza who have suffered.

I rise, Mr. Speaker, with a resolution that I will be filing, a resolution that will be commemorating the innocent civilian lives lost in Gaza.

I plan to read this resolution, but before I read it, I will remind people, those who would think that I only commemorate the lives of Palestinians and those who lost their lives in Gaza who happen to be Palestinian. No. I was right here on the Capitol grounds just outside this building—you walk out, you walk over, you don't really walk down to the place where we stood to present our belief that we should bring back the hostages.

I participated in this with the Honorable FREDERICA WILSON from Florida to bring back the hostages, to say to the world that we support bringing the hostages back. They should never have been taken. You don't take babies as hostages.

I stood there, and before that, I was out in front of the Capitol with the Speaker of the House and many Representatives from this House to indicate that a certain number of days had passed and people were still being held hostage. I have spoken on the floor of this House on behalf of Israel. I have voted for more than \$50 billion in support to Israel. So don't in any way conclude that I am a person who has not supported Israel and the people of Israel.

But today, I have a resolution that deals with the innocent civilian lives lost in Gaza, and that would include, by the way, the seven people who were wounded, who were the World Central Kitchen workers. They were there to bring relief. It is a relief organization, but I say they were great humani-

tarians who lost their lives, and their lives have to be commemorated as well.

Please, hear now the resolution commemorating innocent civilian lives lost in Gaza:

"Whereas, this resolution may be cited as the 'Original Resolution Commemorating Innocent Civilian Lives Lost in Gaza.'"

By the way, it hasn't been filed. If someone wants to file a resolution similar to this before I file mine, please do so. I don't file it because I am trying to be first in time. I file it because there is a necessity to talk about the lives that have been lost in Gaza.

"Whereas, this resolution may be cited as the 'Original Resolution Commemorating Innocent Civilian Lives Lost in Gaza.'"

By the way, one of the reasons that I filed this resolution, Mr. Speaker, is because I believe we must do more than statisticize these lives that were lost. We must do more to humanize the suffering that is taking place in Gaza. Statisticizing does not give you the essence of the human beings that have lost their lives and been wounded.

I will say more about that as we progress.

□ 1400

"Whereas, on October 7, 2023, Hamas conducted a heinous attack on Israel, leading to Israel declaring war on Hamas."

This is not in the resolution, but you need to hear this: War on Hamas, Mr. Speaker. Not war on Palestinians, war on Hamas. Even the Prime Minister of Israel, Mr. Netanyahu himself, has said that the Palestinians are victims. War on Hamas declared by Israel, not war on Palestinians.

Continuing with the resolution: "Whereas, in 2020, the population of Gaza was over 2 million, with approximately half being children under the age of 18."

Half, more than half being children under the age of 18. I repeat a lot of things for emphasis. This is one of those things.

"Whereas, because of the war, homes, schools, businesses, and hospitals within Gaza have been decimated."

I will say more about that in a moment.

"Whereas, hundreds of thousands of innocent civilian men, women, and especially children in Gaza have suffered through the loss of mothers, fathers, brothers, and sisters"—family members, families have lost their lives in Gaza; innocent people, I might add—"while starving and suffering the mental anguish associated with war."

Can you imagine what it would be like for the persons who survive this war, what their lives will be like? Will there be counseling for them as we provide counseling for ourselves when we have suffered some sort of mental anguish? Or will they just have to suffer for the rest of their lives and never get the proper medical treatment that they richly deserve?

“Whereas, civilians in Gaza live in constant fear of the sudden loss of arms, legs, and life.”

I will say more about this in just a moment.

“Whereas, tens of thousands of innocent civilians, including thousands of children, have been brutally killed in a war beyond their control: Now, therefore, be it resolved that the House of Representatives commemorates the tens of thousands of innocent civilian lives lost in Gaza, too many of whom were children; the lives lost should be viewed as more than statistics”—so far what I have done is give you statistics, for the most part, “more than mere statistics”—“an effort should be made to respect the humanity of the dead; the killing of innocents should be stopped with all possible haste; and the United States should do everything it can to address the humanitarian crisis in Gaza.”

I thank the President for causing the necessary aid to be brought back into Gaza. I don’t know that enough has been brought back to date, but I do know that because of this President, the gates have been opened such that more aid can get into Gaza. I am grateful for that.

Now, let’s go further into this because we have to humanize some of what I talked about. First, this poster that you see, my staff has written me a note that I have to remove. This poster that you see reads: Gaza’s economy would not recover to its GDP levels of 2022 until 2092, seven decades from now—2092—if the economy were to grow at the pace it has in previous years. 2092 before Gaza can recover if its economy grows at the pace that it grew in previous years.

According to my staff, in 2022, Gaza’s per capita income was \$3,572, which is 4 percent of what ours was in the United States. Ours was \$76,329. This simply says that to get back to a per capita income that was painful to suffer, it will take seven decades if it grows at the rate that it was growing in 2022.

Now, this is a depiction of the destruction. You have seen it on television. I am still dealing with statistics, by the way. I haven’t really gotten to the heart of the message. If you can, stay with me.

This depicts the suffering visually in terms of property that has been destroyed. It says, “Israel’s destruction.” I would have this read, “the Government of Israel.” The people of Israel I have no quarrel with. I do have a quarrel with the government. I have no quarrel with the people of Israel. I have had differences of opinion with my government and still love the people in the country. You can have people that you have no quarrel with, but you can have a difference with the government.

I would say the destruction of homes—and this is by virtue of the government’s mandate—has created almost 23 million metric tons of rubble—23 million metric tons. My staff has given me some intelligence on what 23

million metric tons would be the equivalent of for reference. One metric ton is roughly equal to the weight of a small compact car. If we lined up 23 million compact cars end to end, you would be able to circle the globe—this would be the Earth—twice.

Now, I know that the fighting is not what it was. I understand that there is what we would call a cessation in fighting to some extent taking place at this time, but there is a possibility that it may return.

Even if it never returns, we can’t forget that this happened. We can never forget that this took place. Just as I will never forget that slavery took place in this country, I won’t ever forget that this took place in Gaza.

God gave us memory for a reason. You have a heart to forgive, but you have a head to remember. I won’t forget this. If it ends now, I will still remember the suffering and pain and all the atrocities that took place in Gaza.

Continuing, this is a representation that starts to get to where I am going. It reads: “The catastrophic levels of hunger and starvation in Gaza are the highest ever recorded on the IPC scale, both in terms of number of people and percentage of the population.”

This document will tell me what the IPC is, and I shall tell you. It is the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification, the highest ever recorded happening in Gaza now. This is not something that happened ages ago.

We are getting closer to the essence of my message: Of the thousands of Palestinians killed in Gaza, about 70 percent have been women and children. There are estimates out there of tens of thousands. If you give the estimate that is being quoted, someone will say that is a bad source that you got it from, but nobody disputes the fact that tens of thousands have been killed, tens of thousands. Seventy percent have been women and children in the war to date. I won’t forget this.

Now, to the heart of my message, this says more than 10 children lose a limb, on average, per day in Gaza. Now, that was a while ago. That was as of January 7, 2024, so it may be a lot different today because of the cessation in hostilities—not the complete stop. They haven’t ceased, but there is not as much, not nearly as much, taking place currently.

What you see here is a child, this child I shall read about, and this gets to what I was saying about humanizing. We have to humanize not statisticize. This is more than a number. This is an actual child. We must humanize this baby.

I will read to you now from *The New Yorker*. The article is styled: “The Children Who Lost Limbs in Gaza,” subtitled, “More than a thousand children who were injured in the war are now amputees. What do their futures hold?”

This is by Eliza Griswold, March 21, 2024. It reads: “Gazal”—her name is Gazal, we are not saying Gaza, her

name is Gazal, this baby—“was wounded on November 10, when, as her family fled Gaza City’s Al-Shifa hospital, shrapnel pierced her left calf. To stop the bleeding, a doctor, who had no access to antiseptic or anesthesia, heated the blade of a kitchen knife”—she was bleeding as a result of shrapnel, and the doctor took a kitchen knife and heated the knife, it says here—“heated the blade of a kitchen knife and cauterized the wound.”

Now, this baby has to be more than a piece of statistical information. This is a human being suffering, and she had the wound cauterized with a heated kitchen knife.

It says: “Within days, the gash ran with pus and began to smell.”

Now, this is somebody’s child. The gash ran with pus and began to smell. Can you imagine what your life would be like if your child had suffered this kind of wound and you had to use a kitchen knife to cauterize, to try to save your baby’s life, and then, within days, the gash starts to smell?

“By mid-December, when Gazal’s family arrived at Nasser Medical Center, then Gaza’s largest functioning healthcare facility”—by the way, it was rendered dysfunctional; if it has been brought back up, it was done so as of late; it was rendered dysfunctional during the war—“then Gaza’s largest functioning medical facility, gangrene had set in.”

So, we have a baby wounded. Her leg is cauterized, and she is then taken to a hospital because of pus. The cauterized leg is smelling. We have to make sure that you understand that there was an odor that this family detected. It said that gangrene set in, necessitating amputation at the hip. This is a human being.

□ 1415

We have got to do more to humanize. We can’t say that children are losing their limbs. A baby lost her leg up to her hip. We have got to do more to humanize. This baby had purpose. She had a life.

Now, someone will say, well, this is not Gaza. It doesn’t look like Gaza. If the baby was wounded in Gaza, why is she in this environment? I will get to that. I will get to that.

“On December 17 a projectile”—now remember, she is at this medical center. “On December 17, a projectile hit the children’s ward of Nasser.” That is the hospital. “Gazal and her mother watched it enter their room . . .” They are in the hospital now to receive attention to the wound that this baby suffered earlier, and they watched—this is amazing to me—watched it enter the room. They are saying the projectile came into the room, and they saw it as it was coming in.

Can you imagine the fear? Can you imagine the kind of counseling and psychiatric help you need when you see this?

So this projectile comes into the room, and here is what follows: “. . .

decapitating Gazal's 12-year-old roommate and causing the ceiling to collapse." Gazal had a roommate in that facility. This person, 12 years of age, is decapitated.

By the way, Israelis were decapitated, too. I denounced it. I denounced it. I don't believe you can condemn the killing of Israeli babies and then condone the killing of Palestinian babies. I can't do that. The God that I worship doesn't let me do that.

This projectile decapitated Gazal's 12-year-old roommate and caused the ceiling to collapse. "Multiple news reports have described the event as an Israeli attack. The IDF claimed the incident could have been caused by a Hamas mortar or the remnant of an Israeli flare." Now, IDF says it could have been caused by Hamas or Israel. "Gazal and her mother managed to crawl out of the rubble." Here is a baby with one leg amputated up to the hip in a facility to get help, and she has to crawl out. "Gazal's mother was 9 months pregnant; she gave birth to a baby girl while awaiting the airlift to Doha.

"UNICEF estimates that a thousand children in Gaza have become amputees since the conflict began in October. 'This is the biggest cohort of pediatric amputees in history,'" it says, and it is taking place in Gaza.

My time is nearly up, so I have to rush to my close, and I am going to do so, Mr. Speaker, so I beg you would bear with me. This closing has to be heard.

Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 3 minutes remaining.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, we have statistized, I have tried to humanize, and forgive me for not enunciating some of these words, the verbiage, properly, but my heart was speaking today so my head sometimes gets distorted.

We have humanized this baby. We have talked about what is happening and what has happened.

Now I want to talk to you about something that will give us hope. This is from the speech of the Prime Minister of Japan. He spoke where the Speaker is standing right now. Why would I go to the speech of the Prime Minister from Japan? Well, here is why. Listen to his words. He says—and this is his conclusion—"Let me close with this final thought. I want you to know how seriously Japan takes its role as the United States' closest ally."

Somebody remembers that the United States was the first country in the world to use nuclear power against a perceived enemy. An enemy, if you will—some would say perceived, I am going to say an enemy at the time. We dropped two atomic bombs, Nagasaki and Hiroshima, and you have got the Prime Minister of Japan standing where the Speaker is now saying that we are their closest ally, they are our closest ally.

He goes on to say: "Together we carry a large responsibility. I believe that we are essential to peace, vital to freedom, and fundamental to prosperity."

This is the Prime Minister of Japan. Notwithstanding all that has happened, notwithstanding what we did in dropping atomic bombs on Japan, the Prime Minister proclaims that we are friends.

He goes on to say: "Bonded by our beliefs, I pledge to you Japan's firm alliance and enduring friendship."

Why did I bring this up? I brought this up because those who say that we cannot have a two-state solution, I say to you remember that Japan is the country that we dropped atomic bombs on, and we now have a friendship with Japan. We have the Prime Minister coming here and speaking to a joint session of Congress.

Don't tell me we can't have a two-state solution. I know that Mr. Netanyahu's behavior is not that of a person who seeks a two-state solution because if it were, you wouldn't decimate Gaza, you wouldn't kill tens of thousands of people, many of them babies.

I understand that he doesn't want a two-state solution. I understand that Hamas doesn't want a two-state solution. But we cannot be guided by what Hamas and Mr. Netanyahu, Prime Minister of Israel, what they want.

We should be guided by our conscience and do the right thing, and a two-state solution is the right solution.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 22 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, April 15, 2024, at noon for morning-hour debate.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. MASSIE: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 1137. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 7888) to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 529) to extend the customs waters of the United States from 12 nautical miles to 24 nautical miles from the baselines of the United States, consistent with Presidential Proclamation 7219; providing for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 1112) denouncing the Biden administration's immigration policies; and providing for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 1117) opposing efforts to place one-sided pressure on Israel with respect to Gaza (Rept. 118-456). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. BOST: Committee on Veterans' Affairs. H.R. 4016. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the repayment by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs of benefits misused by a fiduciary (Rept. 118-457). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

#### PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. VAN ORDEN (for himself, Mr. PAPPAS, Mr. EDWARDS, and Mr. CISCOMANI):

H.R. 7971. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide timely equitable relief to an individual who suffers a loss based on an administrative error by the Secretary, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Ms. CLARKE of New York (for herself, Ms. TLAIB, Ms. NORTON, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. LIEU, Mr. NEGUSE, Mr. BOWMAN, Ms. PRESSLEY, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, Ms. MANNING, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. MEEKS, Ms. ADAMS, Mr. CARSON, Mr. GRIJALVA, Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. VEASEY, and Mr. PHILLIPS):

H.R. 7972. A bill to increase the supply of, and lower rents for, affordable housing and to assess calculations of area median income for purposes of Federal low-income housing assistance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. GIMENEZ (for himself and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ):

H.R. 7973. A bill to direct the Secretary of Commerce to establish a grant program to support the restoration of coral reefs in South Florida; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mrs. MILLER of Illinois:

H.R. 7974. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to require labeling of food products containing insects, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. NEGUSE:

H.R. 7975. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to expand eligibility for care from the Department of Veterans Affairs to include members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Ms. NORTON:

H.R. 7976. A bill to designate the Civil War Defenses of Washington National Historical Park comprised of certain National Park System lands, and by affiliation and cooperative agreements other historically significant resources, located in the District of Columbia, Virginia, and Maryland, that were part of the Civil War defenses of Washington and related to the Shenandoah Valley Campaign of 1864, to study ways in which the Civil War history of both the North and South can be assembled, arrayed, and conveyed for the benefit of the public, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. WALTZ (for himself, Ms. HOULAHAN, Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia, Mr. MOYLAN, Ms. SEWELL, Mr. CARBAJAL, Mr. RYAN, Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina, Mr. McCORMICK, Mr. PALMER, Mrs. KIGGANS of Virginia, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. BANKS, Mr.

BACON, Mr. GIMENEZ, Mr. WITTMAN, and Mr. KHANNA):

H.R. 7977. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to reduce the minimum number of participating students required to establish or maintain a unit of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:

H.R. 7978. A bill to amend the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 and title 5, United States Code, to allow employees to take, as additional leave, parental involvement leave to participate in or attend their children's and grandchildren's educational and extracurricular activities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and in addition to the Committees on Oversight and Accountability, and House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BISHOP of Georgia (for himself, Mr. WOMACK, Mr. CUELLAR, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. RYAN, and Mr. ALFORD):

H. Con. Res. 103. Concurrent resolution authorizing the use of the rotunda of the Capitol for the lying in honor of the remains of Ralph Puckett, Jr., the last Medal of Honor recipient for acts performed during the Korean conflict; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. D'ESPOSITO (for himself, Mr. LALOTA, and Mr. LAWLER):

H. Res. 1138. A resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives to deny certain privileges of the House of Representatives to former Members who have been expelled from the House, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Rules.

By Ms. MALLIOTAKIS (for herself, Mr. MEEKS, Ms. STEFANIK, Mr. LAWLER, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. VAN DREW, Mr. MOLINARO, Ms. MENG, Ms. TENNEY, Mr. LANGWORTHY, Mr. GARBARINO, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Mr. WILLIAMS of New York, Mr. D'ESPOSITO, Mr. LALOTA, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Mr. PASCRELL, Mr. SUOZZI, Mr. PALLONE, and Mr. PANNETTA):

H. Res. 1139. A resolution acknowledging April 17, 2024, as the 500th anniversary of the discovery of New York Bay by Giovanni da Verrazzano; to the Committee on Oversight and Accountability.

By Mr. PHILLIPS (for himself and Mr. CROW):

H. Res. 1140. A resolution recognizing the exemplary service of General Mark Milley, United States Army, 20th Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and his wife, Hollyanne Milley, a registered nurse of 36 years and military community leader; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. VAN DREW:

H. Res. 1141. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the Federal Government should recoup monies from the responsible parties to compensate taxpayers for certain damages resulting from the allision of the cargo shipping vessel the Dali with the Francis Scott Key Bridge on March 26, 2024, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. WILSON of Florida (for herself, Mr. CLYBURN, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. ADAMS, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. NORTON, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Ms. SEWELL, Mr. SOTO, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Ms. BROWNLEY, Mr. COHEN, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. MORELLE, and Ms. SCHA-KOWSKY):

H. Res. 1142. A resolution recognizing the Tenth Anniversary of the Chibok Girls Kidnapping by the Boko Haram Terrorist Organization and calling on the Government of Nigeria to redouble efforts to bring an end to the conflict in northeast and central Nigeria and to provide assistance to the victims; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

### CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY AND SINGLE SUBJECT STATEMENTS

Pursuant to clause 7(c)(1) of rule XII and Section 3(c) of H. Res. 5 the following statements are submitted regarding (1) the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution and (2) the single subject of the bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. VAN ORDEN:

H.R. 7971.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 3

The single subject of this legislation is:

To amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide timely equitable relief to an individual who suffers a loss based on an administrative error by the Secretary, and for other purposes.

By Ms. CLARKE of New York:

H.R. 7972.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Title I, Section 8

The single subject of this legislation is:

Housing

By Mr. GIMENEZ:

H.R. 7973.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution stating that Congress has the authority to "make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by the Constitution".

The single subject of this legislation is:

To direct the Secretary of Commerce to establish a grant program to support the restoration of coral reefs in South Florida.

By Mrs. MILLER of Illinois:

H.R. 7974.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

The single subject of this legislation is:

Food Labeling

By Mr. NEGUSE:

H.R. 7975.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

The single subject of this legislation is:

To ensure that members of the National Guard and Reserve have the health care needed to maintain force readiness by allowing them to access care through the Department of Veterans Affairs when not on active orders.

By Ms. NORTON:

H.R. 7976.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

clause 18 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution.

The single subject of this legislation is:

The Civil War Defenses of Washington National Historical Park Act of 2024 would redesignate the 22 Civil War Defenses of Washington located in the District of Columbia, Virginia and Maryland currently under National Park Service jurisdiction as a national historical park.

By Mr. WALTZ:

H.R. 7977.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 "to provide for the common Defence", "to raise and support Armies", "to provide and maintain a Navy" and "to make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces"

The single subject of this legislation is:

JROTC Programs

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:

H.R. 7978.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

The single subject of this legislation is:

Entitlement to additional leave for parental involvement in education

### ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 82: Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania and Mr. VEASEY.

H.R. 233: Mrs. SPARTZ.

H.R. 451: Ms. PETERSEN.

H.R. 537: Mr. CRAWFORD.

H.R. 543: Ms. HOYLE of Oregon.

H.R. 544: Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 594: Ms. MALLIOTAKIS.

H.R. 619: Mr. LIEU, Mr. JACKSON of North Carolina, and Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia.

H.R. 620: Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, and Mr. MOULTON.

H.R. 802: Mr. BURCHETT.

H.R. 902: Mr. SOTO, Mr. PHILLIPS, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. HUDSON, and Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 913: Mr. HOYER and Mr. LALOTA.

H.R. 932: Ms. ESCOBAR.

H.R. 1008: Mr. DUNN of Florida.

H.R. 1072: Mr. MOULTON.

H.R. 1088: Mr. DIAZ-BALART.

H.R. 1097: Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. POCAN, Mr. AMO, Mr. SARBANES, Mrs. FLETCHER, Ms. SCANLON, and Mr. AUCHINCLOSS.

H.R. 1179: Mr. HORSFORD.

H.R. 1199: Mr. LAWLER and Mr. MRVAN.

H.R. 1249: Mr. DOGGETT.

H.R. 1278: Ms. HOYLE of Oregon.

H.R. 1509: Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY.

H.R. 1582: Mr. SCHWEIKERT.

H.R. 1698: Mr. MENENDEZ.

H.R. 1740: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 1767: Mr. BOST.

H.R. 1785: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.

H.R. 1787: Mr. RESCHENTHALER.

H.R. 1826: Ms. BROWN.

H.R. 2413: Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. KILMER, Mr. PAPPAS, Mr. DELUZZIO, and Ms. BONAMICI.

H.R. 2433: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.

H.R. 2501: Ms. LEE of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 2583: Mr. CARBAJAL, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ, and Mr. FOSTER.

H.R. 2604: Mr. AMO and Ms. LOFGREN.

H.R. 2672: Mr. CARTER of Louisiana.

H.R. 2828: Ms. WILSON of Florida.

H.R. 2845: Mr. THOMPSON of California.

H.R. 3151: Mr. LAWLER, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY, and Mrs. DINGELL.

H.R. 3170: Mrs. PELTOLA.

H.R. 3179: Mrs. DINGELL.

H.R. 3228: Ms. NORTON.

H.R. 3331: Ms. MATSUI, Mr. TRONE, and Ms. ADAMS.

H.R. 3381: Ms. STANSBURY.

H.R. 3409: Mr. TAKANO.

H.R. 3433: Mr. SARBANES, Ms. SALINAS, Mrs. BEATTY, and Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois.

H.R. 3536: Mr. GOTTHEIMER.

H.R. 3611: Mr. KELLY of Mississippi.

H.R. 3616: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 3776: Mr. CARSON.

H.R. 4048: Mr. FROST.

H.R. 4070: Mr. LALOTA.

H.R. 4121: Mr. COURTNEY.



H.R. 4148: Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, Mr. DIAZ-BALART, and Mr. DUNCAN.

H.R. 4178: Mr. SUOZZI.

H.R. 4206: Mr. CASTEN.

H.R. 4231: Mr. KHANNA, Ms. LEE of Pennsylvania, Mr. AMO, Ms. TOKUDA, Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ, and Ms. BARRAGÁN.

H.R. 4232: Mr. KHANNA, Ms. LEE of Pennsylvania, Mr. ROBERT GARCIA of California, Mr. MENENDEZ, Ms. BARRAGÁN, and Ms. CHU.

H.R. 4233: Mr. AMO, Ms. TOKUDA, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. LEE of Pennsylvania, Mr. ROBERT GARCIA of California, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Ms. BARRAGÁN.

H.R. 4261: Ms. DAVIDS of Kansas.

H.R. 4315: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia and Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 4319: Mrs. RODGERS of Washington and Mr. STANTON.

H.R. 4412: Mr. LALOTA.

H.R. 4438: Mrs. KIM of California.

H.R. 4444: Mr. SWALWELL.

H.R. 4519: Mr. KILDEE.

H.R. 4845: Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 4867: Mr. CRANE.

H.R. 4995: Mr. VEASEY.

H.R. 5008: Mr. KIM of New Jersey.

H.R. 5084: Mr. PFLUGER.

H.R. 5296: Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 5646: Mr. LIEU, Ms. TLAIB, Mr. LANDSMAN, Mr. WILLIAMS of New York, and Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia.

H.R. 5744: Mr. LIEU and Mr. POCAN.

H.R. 5820: Ms. KUSTER.

H.R. 5827: Ms. BALINT.

H.R. 5976: Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. DOGGETT, and Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia.

H.R. 6258: Mr. STEUBE.

H.R. 6302: Ms. PETERSEN.

H.R. 6451: Ms. BROWNLEY and Ms. MANNING.

H.R. 6600: Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 6661: Ms. PINGREE.

H.R. 6749: Mr. LIEU.

H.R. 6811: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.

H.R. 6887: Mr. LALOTA.

H.R. 6951: Mr. FERGUSON.

H.R. 7032: Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. YAKYM, Mrs. McCLAIN, Mr. BRECHEEN, Mr. SMUCKER, Mr. CARTER of Georgia, Mr. BERGMAN, Mr. GROTHMAN, Mr. MOORE of Utah, Mr. BURGESS, Mrs. FISCHBACH, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. FERGUSON, Ms. OMAR, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. BLU-

MENAUER, Mr. PETERS, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. TRONE, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, and Mr. KILDEE.

H.R. 7039: Mr. LANDSMAN and Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 7056: Mr. RUIZ.

H.R. 7059: Mr. SCHNEIDER.

H.R. 7142: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 7153: Ms. SEWELL.

H.R. 7170: Mr. COSTA.

H.R. 7203: Mr. PAPPAS.

H.R. 7218: Mr. NEGUSE, Mr. DUNN of Florida, Mr. HUDSON, Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia, and Mr. LIEU.

H.R. 7222: Mr. BEAN of Florida.

H.R. 7227: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 7279: Ms. ROSS.

H.R. 7297: Mr. LATURNER and Mr. BACON.

H.R. 7367: Mr. FITZGERALD.

H.R. 7380: Mr. SMITH of Nebraska and Mr. AMODEL.

H.R. 7397: Mr. MENENDEZ.

H.R. 7411: Ms. GRANGER.

H.R. 7438: Mr. WENSTRUP and Mr. GIMENEZ.

H.R. 7478: Mr. FRY and Mr. LANGWORTHY.

H.R. 7525: Mr. GARAMENDI.

H.R. 7577: Ms. TENNEY.

H.R. 7629: Ms. BROWNLEY and Mr. KILDEE.

H.R. 7634: Mr. EVANS and Ms. BLUNT ROCH-ESTER.

H.R. 7660: Mr. LAMALFA.

H.R. 7683: Mrs. CHAVEZ-DEREMER, Mr. HARRIS, Mrs. STEEL, Mr. BEAN of Florida, Mr. BANKS, and Mr. BURLISON.

H.R. 7688: Mr. LIEU.

H.R. 7692: Mr. DUNN of Florida.

H.R. 7745: Ms. TOKUDA.

H.R. 7756: Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY.

H.R. 7796: Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 7799: Mr. GRIJALVA and Mr. FROST.

H.R. 7808: Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, and Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 7813: Mr. HARRIS, Mr. BACON, and Mr. GROTHMAN.

H.R. 7820: Mr. LIEU and Mr. PHILLIPS.

H.R. 7849: Mr. CARBAJAL.

H.R. 7918: Ms. SCANLON.

H.R. 7921: Mr. MOSKOWITZ, Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. KEAN of New Jersey, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, and Ms. MALLIOTAKIS.

H.R. 7945: Mr. YAKYM.

H.R. 7959: Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. TONY GONZALES of Texas, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. NEHLS, and Mr. LANGWORTHY.

H.J. Res. 113: Mr. SCOTT FRANKLIN of Florida.

H.J. Res. 127: Mr. PFLUGER and Mr. FITZGERALD.

H.J. Res. 128: Mr. ESTES.

H. Con. Res. 10: Mr. GIMENEZ.

H. Con. Res. 44: Mr. CARBAJAL and Mr. GARAMENDI.

H. Res. 280: Mr. SUOZZI.

H. Res. 571: Mr. DONALDS.

H. Res. 697: Mr. LALOTA.

H. Res. 915: Mr. PASCRELL and Ms. HOYLE of Oregon.

H. Res. 1012: Mr. CONNOLLY.

H. Res. 1019: Mr. TIFFANY and Mr. HUIZENGA.

H. Res. 1118: Ms. LEE of Nevada.

H. Res. 1135: Ms. CHU.

#### CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

The amendment to be offered by Representative BIGGS, or a designee, to H.R. 7888, the Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act, does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

#### DISCHARGE PETITIONS— ADDITIONS AND WITHDRAWALS

The following Members added their names to the following discharge petitions:

Petition 6 by Ms. PRESSLEY on House Joint Resolution 25: Mr. Vasquez.

Petition 9 by Mr. MCGOVERN on House Resolution 1016: Ms. Lois Frankel of Florida, Mr. Gomez, Mrs. Dingell, and Mr. Golden of Maine.

# EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

HONORING APOSTLE JOHN  
ECKHARDT

**HON. FREDERICA S. WILSON**  
OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr Speaker, I rise today to recognize Apostle John Eckhardt, who is being recognized and honored for his significant impact to the Body of Christ at the 2024 State of the Church Summit, in Miami, Florida.

Whereas, John Eckhardt's journey began on the South Side of Chicago on November 19, 1957, where he was born into a legacy of achievement, with his father being a professional baseball player in the Negro League, and his mother a first-generation descendant of Italian immigrants; and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt's pursuit of knowledge led him through the Catholic school system, earning a scholarship to Loyola Academy for high school and Northwestern University for college, where he studied Pre-Med, showcasing his dedication to academic excellence; and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt, through divine intervention, experienced salvation in 1978 during his junior year in college, leading him on the path to ministry, where he met his wife, Wanda Eckhardt, at a local church, later marrying her in 1979, and together they have raised a family of five children and two grandchildren; and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt, with unwavering dedication, assumed the role of Pastor in 1988 and, over the years, has become a beacon of inspiration for countless individuals, imparting his apostolic mandate and prophetic insight; and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt is the visionary CEO and founder of Impact University and John Eckhardt Global, institutions that stand as pillars of knowledge and empowerment in the heart of Chicago. He has dedicated his life to serving as an international speaker, missionary, and overseer of Crusaders Church in Chicago, Illinois; and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt has demonstrated a commitment to fostering spiritual growth and understanding, reaching across continents with a weekly broadcast on Clubhouse and Facebook, titled "The Decrees for Breakthrough and The Porch". Apostle Eckhardt's influence extends far beyond national borders, having ministered in more than 90 nations and six continents, leaving an indelible mark on the global body of Christ, and

Whereas, Apostle Eckhardt is a prolific author, having penned over fifty books, including renowned titles such as "Prayers That Rout Demons," "Prayers That Break Curses," "Prophet Arise," "God Still Speaks," and his latest release, "You Shall Recover All"; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved that I, Frederica S. Wilson, a member of the United States House of Representatives, representing the 24th Congressional District of Florida, rec-

ognize Apostle John Eckhardt for his outstanding contributions to the global community, his unwavering commitment to spiritual enlightenment, and his transformative impact on the lives of countless individuals.

HONORING THE CASS CITY  
CITIZEN OF THE YEAR

**HON. LISA C. McCLAIN**  
OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dennis (Joe) Merchant, a pillar of the Cass City community, for being named Cass City's Citizen of the Year. Joe's lifetime of selfless service, both in uniform and within our community, exemplifies the highest ideals of citizenship and community engagement.

Joe, a Cass City High School graduate of the class of 1968, answered the call of duty by volunteering for the U.S. Army, serving with distinction in the 1st Cavalry Division during his time in Vietnam. Upon his return, Joe continued to serve our community through his family's business until its closure. His commitment to service did not wane with the end of his military career or family business, instead, it deepened as he became an integral part of the Cass City VFW Post 3644.

As Post Commander, Joe's leadership transformed the VFW hall into a vibrant community center, reflecting his vision for a space where veterans and community members alike could come together. His efforts led to significant improvements in the facility, making it a hub of activity and support for veterans and their families.

Joe's dedication to honoring veterans extended beyond the walls of the VFW hall. His determination to give Vietnam Veterans the welcome home they deserved was realized by bringing Sky Soldiers and their UH1H Huey to Cass City for the 4th of July Freedom Festival. This event, which Joe tirelessly worked to organize, provided a poignant moment of recognition and healing for many veterans, as well as an educational and memorable experience for our community.

Mr. Speaker, it is individuals like Dennis (Joe) Merchant who forge the strong backbone of communities across our nation. His lifetime of service, both in the armed forces and within Cass City, sets a sterling example of dedication, resilience, and community spirit. It is with immense honor that we recognize Joe Merchant as Cass City's Citizen of the Year, a title he richly deserves for his indelible contributions to our community and nation.

Today, we celebrate Joe's achievements and service, we are reminded of the profound impact one individual can have on the lives of many. Let us draw inspiration from his example, striving to serve our communities and country with the same zeal and selflessness that Joe Merchant has shown throughout his remarkable life.

HONORING JIM HOST

**HON. ANDY BARR**

OF KENTUCKY  
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize an exceptional man, Mr. Jim Host, of Lexington, Kentucky. Mr. Host will receive the 2024 Tom Jernstedt Lifetime Achievement Award at the 2024 Men's Final Four in Phoenix, Arizona. This award is presented to honor individuals who have made a meaningful impact on college basketball.

Mr. Host grew up in Ashland, Kentucky. He earned one of the first two baseball scholarships given by the University of Kentucky in 1955. He graduated from the University of Kentucky with a degree in radio arts and played one year of pro baseball as a pitcher for the Chicago White Sox. A shoulder injury ended his baseball career. He was the youngest person to serve in Governor Louie Nunn's cabinet, as Commissioner of Public Information and Commissioner of Parks. He ran for Lieutenant Governor of Kentucky in 1971. Mr. Host served as Governor Ernie Fletcher's Secretary of Commerce from 2003–2005. His service to Kentucky continued as the first Executive Director of Rupp Arena, founding chairman of the Kentucky Horse Park, and chairman of the Louisville Arena Authority.

Mr. Host was founder and CEO of Host Communications. His nationally known sports marketing company brought business knowledge and fresh ideas to college sports marketing. He began working with the NCAA in the mid-1970's and transformed the promotion of college basketball and the post-season tournament. Before his involvement, there was no "Final Four" label and not even an official program for the tournament. With his entrepreneurial and innovative skills, he introduced corporate sponsorships, the national radio network, and many other successful ideas that made college basketball what we enjoy today.

Mr. Host has earned many well-deserved accolades. He is a member of the UK Alumni Association Hall of Distinguished Alumni, the UK Athletics Hall of Fame, the National College Basketball Hall of Fame, and the National Tourism Hall of Fame. He was named Kentucky's Entrepreneur of the Year in 1998. From the Naismith Memorial Basketball Hall of Fame, he received the Chairman's Cup Award, the John Bunn Lifetime Achievement Award, and is a lifetime trustee.

For his outstanding service to the Commonwealth of Kentucky and for his latest award, the 2024 Tom Jernstedt Lifetime Achievement Award, it is my honor to call him my friend and to recognize his accomplishments before the United States House of Representatives.

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

RECOGNIZING THE SERVICE OF  
MASTER SERGEANT STEVEN D.  
PARKER

**HON. ANDREW OGLES**

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. OGLES. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I recognize Master Sergeant Steven D. Parker, who is retiring from the 218th Intelligence Surveillance and Reconnaissance Group (ISRG) of the Tennessee Air National Guard.

Master Sergeant Steven D. Parker began his military career over forty years ago on March 12, 1984. He honorably served the Tennessee Air National Guard as a C-130 Aircraft Mechanic for 28 years and an Administrative Craftsman for 12 years. His deployments include time in Tabuk, Minhad, and at Bagram Air Base to support Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. He later served in Baghdad supporting Operation Inherent Resolve as an Administration Craftsman.

Master Sergeant Parker's exemplary service and dedication to his country has twice earned him the 218 ISRG Senior Non-Commissioned Officer Quarterly Award. He also serves as the Sergeant at Arms at American Legion Post 141 in Tennessee, where he champions patriotism and community engagement, something which he commits to himself by volunteering in his community.

I urge my colleagues to join me in thanking Master Sergeant Parker for his service to his country and in wishing him good luck as he retires.

RECOGNIZING FRANK RENDA

**HON. BETH VAN DUYNÉ**

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. VAN DUYNÉ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate a great American success story that has taken place in the 24th Congressional District that I represent in North Texas. As a member of the House Small Business Committee who represents countless job creators with growing businesses, I appreciate the role of our small business owners in creating opportunity and prosperity for North Texans. I rise to recognize one such company, Southland Holdings in Grapevine, Texas, which recently realized the American dream, starting as a family business and recently reaching the milestone of becoming publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange. Oscar and Rudy Renda, Sr., and their three other brothers, along with their parents, immigrated to the United States from Italy in 1956. Sponsored by their uncle, Ernie Renda, a Pearl Harbor survivor and construction veteran, Ernie tirelessly worked to bring his family to America. The Renda brothers grew up influenced by their Uncle Ernie's strong work ethic and a profound passion for construction. Oscar embarked on his career as a high school coach and history teacher but always harbored a deep passion for construction instilled by Uncle Ernie. In 1974, he realized his dream by founding Oscar Renda Contracting (ORC). A

year later, his brother Rudy joined him, and they began building small-diameter water and wastewater pipelines across the Dallas/Fort Worth metropolis, employing former high school students as their initial crew, many of whom remain with the company today.

Over the next few years, ORC refined its expertise in constructing large-diameter water and wastewater conveyance pipelines and treatment plants. Much of the company's early experience centered around building projects for the City of Dallas. From this local experience, the company gradually expanded its operations with larger and more complex projects across Texas and neighboring states. Through these years, the next generation led by Frank and Rudy Renda gained invaluable experience working alongside skilled crew members from an early age. During their college years at Texas Tech University, Frank and Rudy crossed paths with Tim Winn, which further led to a discovery of shared goals, values, and aspirations that have been the foundation of Southland's success.

Frank, Rudy, and Tim assumed control of the company in 1999, and the following year, entered a joint venture with Southland Contracting, established by Charlie Griffith in 1981. Charlie's unfortunate and premature death led Frank, Tim, Rudy, and Clay, Charlie's son, to assume leadership of the company, and in 2002, Oscar Renda Contracting acquired Southland Contracting. Their shared vision, unwavering commitment, and steadfast principles motivated them to establish Southland Holdings in 2006. Frank Renda assumed the role of CEO, while Rudy Renda and Tim Winn became co COO's. This strategic move laid the groundwork for the company's remarkable growth in the following decade. In the coming years, Southland Holdings welcomed Mole Constructors, Johnson Brothers Corporation, and American Bridge Company into the Southland Holdings family. This family of companies has accomplished remarkable feats in various sectors, including connecting the United States through transportation projects, establishing water pipelines and treatment facilities to transport water across vast regions, tunneling through challenging geological conditions, and contributing to the construction of some of the nation's most iconic structural landmarks.

Once again, Frank, Rudy, and Tim achieved an ambitious goal, with Southland becoming publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol SLND. On March 2, 2023, the three of them had the honor of ringing the bell at the NYSE, just a few miles away from where Frank and Rudy's ancestors immigrated into the country at Ellis Island. Frank remarked, "Amidst the ringing of the bell and the applause, I couldn't help but feel a profound sense of gratitude, not only for myself and my partners, but also for our dedicated employees and our family that paved the way to this momentous occasion."

Today, Southland boasts nearly 3,000 employees across North America and the Bahamas. They have performed work in seven countries and thirty-eight states, generating approximately \$1.2 billion in annual revenue. Frank expressed gratitude for the journey, emphasizing that it was only possible through hard work, dedication, sacrifice, and trust. The Southland family is building a construction legacy, carrying forward the values of the generations that came before them, and that is just the beginning of the Southland story.

HONORING NAM PHAM

**HON. ZOE LOFGREN**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the dedicated work and leadership of Mr. Nam Pham in service of improving the lives of immigrant families in our community in Santa Clara County. Mr. Pham passed away in March 2024.

Mr. Nam Pham was born in 1955 in Saigon, Vietnam. In 1983, he arrived in Malaysia as a political refugee after escaping Vietnam by boat. He eventually arrived in Rhode Island in 1984 and settled in California the following year. He has been a resident of Santa Clara County for four decades.

During his 40 years in our community, Mr. Pham produced hundreds of magazines, documentaries, and audio records to preserve Vietnamese history and culture in the United States in service of future.

Since 2001, Mr. Pham served as Director of the Immigrant Resettlement & Cultural Center, Inc. (IRCC) and had extensive experience in our community of working with the Vietnamese immigrant population. He oversaw IRCC operations, including personnel, finance, as well as funded volunteer programs. IRCC was the very first community-based organization in Santa Clara County offering education and social services to the Vietnamese community.

Since 2015, Mr. Pham helped host the "Thank You, America" annual event where Vietnamese Americans express gratitude and appreciation to the United States for rescuing them at sea and providing them a new home. Through IRCC and Dan Sinh Media, which he created, and which broadcasted programs nationwide through The Overseas Vietnam Radio Network, Mr. Pham worked to develop a health information network to help meet the health needs of Vietnamese Americans in our community.

Mr. Speaker, I commend Mr. Nam Pham's commitment to bettering the lives of immigrants in our diverse, thriving community. His legacy in our community lives on through his projects. We have been lucky to have him, and he will be missed.

HONORING THE CAREER OF CHIEF  
ANTHONY "TONY" SACCONÉ

**HON. JOE COURTNEY**

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. COURTNEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and honor a long time, career first responder from Eastern Connecticut, Chief Anthony "Tony" Saccone. Chief Saccone has diligently served and protected his hometown, Ledyard Connecticut, specifically Ledyard's village of Gales Ferry. On Saturday April 13, 2024, Tony will be honored at a retirement celebration by his family, department colleagues and friends, recognizing his talented, faithful adherence to duty.

Chief Saccone was born and raised in Greenbush N.Y. where he attended and graduated Watervliet High School. He was brought up in a large, loving family by his father who

worked as a lineman, and his mother who stayed home to care for Tony and his six siblings. After graduation he volunteered to serve his country in the U.S. Navy and was assigned to serve on a destroyer based at Norfolk, VA. After an honorable discharge, he pursued further education and acquired an associate degree in Fire Science and Technology. He married his wife, Noreen, in 1987 and moved to Ledyard where they raised four children. His time in the naval service and his training in fire science, led Tony to pursue a career as a firefighter at the Submarine Base fire department. Unsurprisingly, he took to the task, and he continued to work at the Submarine Base as a firefighter for over 20 years. Mr. Speaker, the mission of the subbase department is very specialized, given the highly complex and value of A large fleet of fast attack submarines homeported there. Tony handled that portfolio with highest degree of professionalism and proficiency it required. Not one to settle for just that task, Saccone took it upon himself to take a second job at the Gales Ferry Fire Company, where he also excelled and has since tirelessly served his community up to this day.

Chief Saccone's involvement in both fire departments extended beyond the details of his job description. He rapidly accelerated through the ranks and became the Fire Captain at the U.S. Naval Submarine Base and Chief of the Gales Ferry Fire Company. His leadership skills are exhibited in his character; as Captain and Chief of these departments, he prioritized mutual trust, respect, and communication between him and the crews. He led by example, expecting the same excellence of his team as of himself. His organizational skill proved to be an asset when Chief Saccone managed a \$250,000 budget for Gales Ferry and balanced it each year since beginning his position as Chief in 2008. It is through the leadership of Saccone that Gales Ferry and the Submarine Base Fire crews can provide high quality service to their communities.

As a natural leader, Chief Saccone recognized his potential to extend his service to his community and town from a political position. He was voted to the Ledyard Town Council, where he fearlessly advocated for the community as a whole and the Gales Ferry Fire Department. I had the honor to get to know Chief Saccone when he and I, from 2011 to 2021, worked together to advance the bipartisan Fire Police Fairness Act. Through his tireless advocacy alongside my office, Chief Saccone witnessed the signing of this bill by President Biden on November 18, 2021 at the White House in Washington, DC. From 2017 to 2018, Chief Saccone and I began that legislative journey to extend police officers' death benefits to fire police officers. This legislation will ensure all firefighters' families get federal survivor benefits after serious injury or fatality. His passion and knowledge of the challenges first responders face were essential to secure successful passage of this law—even in the midst of a highly polarized Congress. This was a resounding bipartisan victory that reflected Americans' endless support for first responders.

It was also a pleasure and honor for Chief Saccone to accompany me at President Biden's State of the Union Address in 2022. He is the true example of a selfless leader, someone who expects nothing more of others than the best, while expecting even more from

himself. He raises others, is a team player, and an individual of incalculable quality. Chief Saccone's involvement in his community and country reflects his commitment to American democratic values. For the sake of America, I hope that citizens see Chief Saccone's story and continue his legacy as unswaying champions of American democracy. Chief Saccone's newly found free-time will undoubtedly be dedicated towards his wife Noreen; four children, Anthony Jr., Julia, Lucas, and Emilie; and his children's respective families. I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing Chief Saccone's career.

HONORING THE CASS CITY JUNIOR CITIZEN OF THE YEAR

HON. LISA C. McCLAIN

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Trysten Gruber, Jr., the Junior Cass City Citizen of the Year, whose exceptional academic record, dedication to community service, and outstanding leadership in athletics are complemented by his remarkable character and commitment to personal development.

Trysten's academic journey is marked by a consistent record of high honors, demonstrating his commitment to excellence. His engagement in community initiatives, such as organizing blood drives and participating in environmental cleanups, showcases his strong sense of civic responsibility and desire to contribute positively to our community.

In athletics, Trysten has proven himself as a natural leader, serving as the football team captain and earning accolades including the Golden Helmet Award and First Team All-State honors. His achievements in wrestling and track further underscore his athletic ability and dedication.

Beyond the realm of sports, Trysten's involvement with the Boy Scouts of America, peer mentoring, and the National Honor Society highlight his well-rounded talents and his unwavering commitment to personal growth and community service. His attainment of the Eagle Scout rank and active participation in the National Honor Society are a testament to his exemplary character and leadership skills.

Today, we celebrate not just Trysten Gruber, Jr.'s past accomplishments but also the potential he embodies for future success and positive impact. His journey serves as a powerful reminder of the values that strengthen and enrich our community and nation, and I am proud that he was selected as the Cass City Junior Citizen of the Year.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. EARL L. "BUDDY" CARTER

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 105, and YEA on Roll Call No. 106.

HONORING SANDY SEARS

HON. FEDERICA S. WILSON

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Sandra "Sandy" Sears, an outstanding leader in the Greater Miami community.

Ms. Sears received a master's degree in management in 1975 from Northwestern University, Magna Cum Laude. In 2007, she attended the Wharton School CEO Program for Health Care Leadership.

Ms. Sears is a retired senior executive in the Jackson Health System. She served for 39 years in senior level leadership, retiring as the Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Jackson North Medical Center. Ms. Sears developed the affiliation agreement between Jackson North and the FIU Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, which distinguishes Jackson North as an academic community hospital. Ms. Sears is now continuing her commitment to public service in the capacity of Special Advisor, U.S. Congressional House of Representatives.

Ms. Sears serves with various organizations, including the Miami Alumnae chapter of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Incorporated, and she serves as a member in the Miami-Biscayne Bay chapter of the Links Incorporated. She is also a member of the executive committee of the Vice Provost's Council at Florida International University which is a community relations board on the Biscayne Bay Campus.

Ms. Sears is the recipient of numerous awards, including the Black Heritage Planning Pillars Award for her contributions to the upward mobility of the African-American community throughout the years. She has been named one of the "Outstanding Black Leaders in Dade County," recognized for outstanding community services and leadership by a number of agencies such as Dade County Black Affairs, King of Clubs Greater Miami, Miami-Dade County Health Department, recognized as Outstanding Woman of Distinction and Caring, recognized as One of South Florida's Most Accomplished in Health Care, recognized as an Outstanding Pioneer in Health Care, and in 2013, she was named one of South Florida's Top 50 Most Powerful Business Leaders by Legacy Magazine.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring Ms. Sears for all she has achieved. She exemplifies the high quality of volunteerism and civic leadership that we aspire to instill across the country.

HONORING J. McCAULEY BROWN

HON. ANDY BARR

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a special Kentuckian, J. McCauley (Mac) Brown. Mac lives in Louisville, Kentucky.

Mr. Brown earned a bachelor's degree from Georgetown University and a Master of Business Administration from George Washington University. While he was in college, he worked

during the summers at Brown-Forman Corporation, which was founded by his great-grandfather George Garvin Brown. After graduation in 1977, he began his full-time career at Brown-Forman. He worked in sales and marketing. He served as a Brand Director and a Regional Director before becoming Vice President in 2002. After a long and distinguished career in one of Kentucky's signature industries, Mr. Brown retired in 2015.

Mac Brown accepted the role as Chairman on the Republican Party of Kentucky following his retirement in 2015. Under his leadership, the state party made historic advances. After a very successful eight years, Mr. Brown resigned his position as Chairman in 2023. He lives in Louisville with his wife Eileen. They have four children and six grandchildren.

Mac Brown has been a leader in the distillery industry and a leader in the political realm. He is a man who leads with dignity, character, and kindness. Mac Brown is widely respected, and I am honored to lift up his contributions to Kentucky before Congress.

HONORING LT. COL. EDWIN  
COTTRELL

**HON. CHUCK EDWARDS**

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. EDWARDS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Hendersonville, N.C. resident and 102-year-old Army veteran, Lt. Col. Edwin Cottrell, in recognition of his service and heroism as a fighter pilot in World War II.

Ed flew 65 missions in 1944 and 1945 as a P-47 pilot with the 493rd Fighter Squadron of the 48th Fighter Group of the 9th Army Air Force.

Many of Ed's missions were flown during the Battle of the Bulge, when U.S. troops on the ground were defending against a strong German counteroffensive. His missions included bombing German artillery and Tiger Tanks, as well as taking out bridges. His service saved countless of his comrades' lives.

The engine of Ed's P-47 was shot up by a German Me-109 fighter during a mission on Dec. 17, 1944. With oil splattered across his plane's windshield and only 10 out of 18 engine cylinders still functioning, Ed was guided to the nearest friendly airfield over the radio, only to have his engine freeze just before landing. He maneuvered a dead-stick landing, rolled to a stop, and kissed the ground when he got out of the P-47. To this day, he credits the reliability of the P-47's Pratt & Whitney engine for getting him back to base.

Ed's service did not end with WWII. He went on to serve in the Air Force reserves for 28 years. He worked as a director of athletics at a school for boys and later coached multiple sports at West Chester State College in Pennsylvania, where he went on to become the associate dean.

In his adopted town of Hendersonville, Ed is a local legend. Ever the adventurer, he chose to go skydiving for his 100th birthday.

Ed and his college sweetheart, Millie Cottrell, raised two loving daughters, Susan and Mary, and celebrated 76 years of marriage together before her passing in 2020.

I thank Lt. Col. Cottrell for his brave and tireless service to our great Nation.

HONORING DR. ROSLYN CLARK  
ARTIS

**HON. FREDERICA S. WILSON**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the renaming of the administration building at Benedict College in honor of President Roslyn Clark Artis. Effective immediately, the building formerly known as the Benedict College Administration Building will be officially recognized as the Roslyn Clark Artis Administration Building.

This historic decision reflects the profound impact of President Artis' visionary leadership and unwavering commitment to excellence in higher education. As the first woman President of Benedict College, President Artis has shattered barriers and inspired countless individuals with her dedication to equity, inclusion, and academic achievement.

President Artis' remarkable journey to this momentous occasion is a testament to her resilience, intellect, and passion for empowering underrepresented communities. Prior to her presidency at Benedict College, Dr. Roslyn Clark Artis served as the first woman President of Florida Memorial University in Miami Gardens, Florida. Throughout her tenure, she had earned numerous accolades and honors, including being named "Female HBCU President of the Year" by HBCU Digest and receiving the American Council on Education (ACE) Fidelity Investments Award for Institutional Transformation.

Beyond her exceptional leadership at Benedict College, President Artis has been a tireless advocate for educational access and opportunity. Her impact extends far beyond the confines of the campus, as evidenced by her appointment to the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity (NACIQI) and her leadership roles in various educational organizations.

The renaming of the administration building is a fitting tribute to President Artis' extraordinary legacy and contributions to higher education. It symbolizes our collective appreciation for her transformative leadership and steadfast commitment to advancing the mission of Benedict College.

As we gather here today to celebrate this historic moment, let us also reaffirm our commitment to supporting President Artis and ensuring that her vision for Benedict College continues to thrive for generations to come.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in extending heartfelt congratulations to President Roslyn Clark Artis on this well-deserved honor. May the Roslyn Clark Artis Administration Building stand as a testament to her enduring legacy and inspire future generations of leaders to strive for excellence in all their endeavors.

RECOGNIZING MARGARET HOTOPP

**HON. BRITTANY PETERSEN**

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. PETERSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Margaret Hotopp of Evergreen, Colorado. Margaret was selected as one of

only 15 high school students nationwide to represent the United States through the State Department's Future Leaders Exchange (FLEX) Abroad Program.

Created in 1993 after the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the FLEX program sought to foster meaningful relationships between students from countries in Eastern Europe and Eurasia with Americans and citizens of other countries. The modern FLEX Abroad program sends high-achieving American students to Eastern Europe and Western Asia to develop leadership skills, learn new languages, and represent our country as citizen ambassadors.

This year, Margaret will travel to Poland, attending school, living with a host family, and collaborating with the Department of State to integrate into her host community and promote mutual understanding between Americans and the Polish people.

On behalf of the people of Colorado's Seventh Congressional District, it is my honor to congratulate Margaret Hotopp for this impressive accomplishment and I wish her all the best for her year in Poland.

TRIBUTE TO KAREN YARBROUGH,  
COOK COUNTY CLERK

**HON. DANNY K. DAVIS**

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to Cook County Clerk, Karen Yarbrough, who passed away on April 7, leaving decades of community family and political service. Karen was an active member of the Westside Black Elected Officials of Chicago, Illinois, a group for which I serve as Secretary and reflect their views.

Clerk Yarbrough was born on December 19, 1957, into a prominent family and from an early age began serving others, following in the footsteps of her father, Rev. Don Williams, a businessman, minister, and politician, at one time the Mayor of Maywood, Illinois.

Clerk Yarbrough's dedication to public service and her passion for advocating on behalf of people led her to be elected to the Illinois House of Representatives in 2001 where she represented her district with energy, integrity, insight, and tenacity—earning the respect and admiration of her constituents and colleagues alike.

Clerk Yarbrough had a long list of legislative accomplishments, including bans on the death penalty and indoor smoking and the first ever lottery ticket, the Quality of Life Ticket, named "Red Ribbon Cash" to support HIV/AIDS prevention and education.

Clerk Yarbrough's commitment to fairness, transparency, and efficiency in government inspired her to seek the office of Cook County Recorder of Deeds in 2012, where she became the first African American to hold this position and worked tirelessly to modernize operations, increase accessibility to public records, and ensure equitable access to homeownership for all residents of Cook County.

Clerk Yarbrough's exemplary leadership and dedication to public service were further recognized when she was elected as the Cook County Clerk in 2018, where she continued her legacy of advocating for transparency, accessibility, and accountability in government

while also overseeing critical functions, such as elections, vital records, and property tax administration.

Clerk Yarbrough's lifelong commitment to public service, her unwavering dedication to improving the lives of others, and her remarkable leadership have left an indelible mark on our community, inspiring countless individuals to pursue their dreams and work toward a more just and equitable society.

We, the Westside Black Elected Officials, express our deepest condolences to the family, friends, and loved ones of Clerk Karen Yarbrough during this difficult time, and extend our heartfelt gratitude for sharing her with us.

Further, we honor the memory of Clerk Karen Yarbrough by reaffirming our commitment to carrying forward her legacy of service, compassion, and advocacy and by working tirelessly to build a more just, equitable, and inclusive society in which all people can thrive and be included as equal members.

HONORING THE MEMORY OF NOBIE MAE HILL

**HON. RASHIDA TLAIB**

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. TLAIB. Mr. Speaker, today I want to recognize longtime Inkster and 12th District resident Nobie Mae Hill as we mourn her passing at the age of one hundred eleven.

Born and raised in Alabama, Ms. Hill was no stranger to a life of hard work, having spent years working on a plantation. After marrying and starting a family, Ms. Hill and her family moved to Michigan, settling in Inkster in the 1940s. She lived a full and vibrant life and became an integral part of the community. A trailblazer, Ms. Hill owned and operated two beauty salons in Inkster. She was also an active member of Inkster's Springhill Baptist Church.

Nobie Mae Hill was a beloved member of the community. Her kindness and warmth will be missed by all who knew her. Please join me in honoring her memory.

HONORING NANCY S. DAWKINS

**HON. FEDERICA S. WILSON**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Nancy S. Dawkins, an outstanding educator and community leader, on the momentous occasion of her 100th birthday.

Nancy S. Dawkins was born on October 11, 1923, in Dania, Florida. She received degrees from Bethune Cookman College, Florida A & M University, and the University of Northern Colorado. She worked in the Dade County Public School System for over 30 years. She was married for over 52 years to the late former Miami City Commissioner, Miller Dawkins.

As a dean in South Florida's political arena, her activism and influence ranges from Federal, Local and State Judges; Members of the United States Congress on both sides of the

aisle; Members of both Houses of the Florida Legislature; Dade County and the City of Miami Commissioners and Managers along with numerous employees in all these institutions. Mrs. Dawkins worked tirelessly and supported many elected officials during their successful campaigns, such as Governor Bob Graham, U.S. Congressman Claude Pepper, U.S. Congresswoman Carrie Meek, U.S. Congresswoman FEDERICA WILSON, Florida Representative Joe Lang Kershaw, County Commissioner Barbara Carey, Florida Representative and School Board Member Dorothy Mindingall, Florida and Miami City Commission Miller Dawkins, Miami Mayor and Commissioner Xavier Suarez, and Florida Representative Gwen Cherry.

This Miami activist was a founder of the Theodore Gibson Oratorical Contest. This contest is named after the late Reverend Theodore Gibson, a former City of Miami Commissioner and community activist. The competition is designed to refine school children's public speaking skills. Mrs. Dawkins is a former Girl Scout Troop leader. She is a member of the American Association of University Women. She is a member of Mt. Zion AME Church in Dania Beach, Florida where she serves as a Stewardess. She has received numerous awards for her service to the community, including a "Women of Impact", Sojourner Truth Award, Dr. Martin Luther King Service Award, U.S. Congressional Award, and numerous other awards and appreciations. Mrs. Nancy Dawkins served as Founder and Charter member of the National Association of Negro Business and Professional Women—South Florida in every official and organizational position. At the 2015 District Conference, she was presented a "Strands of Wisdom" golden medallion for her 50 years of service to the Business and Professional Women's Club. In 2018, she was recognized by Bethune Cookman University as the institution's oldest living graduate and Ms. Mary McLeod Bethune's last living student.

The City of Miami has recognized Mrs. Dawkins countless throughout the years. Northwest 50th Street between 12th and 17th Avenues was designated "Mrs. Nancy S. Dawkins Way" in her honor in 2018. Also, the Miller and Nancy Dawkins Swimming Complex was named after her and her husband at Charles Hadley Park.

She is a life member of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority and is still active in the Delta Dears. She is a charter member of National Council of Negro Women, charter member and founder of Northwest Miami Chapter No. 4686 of AARP. She is still active in her National Association of Negro Business and Professional Women, the Allapattah Homeowners Association.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring Mrs. Dawkins for becoming a centenarian, and her ten decades of dedication and service to the community.

HONORING MIDWAY UNIVERSITY EQUINE PROGRAM

**HON. ANDY BARR**

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the Equine Studies program at Midway University.

Midway University is located in the heart of Kentucky's horse country. The equine industry is one of Kentucky's signature industries. Seeing an opportunity to train Midway students for support staff jobs, the University began their equine program in 1974. Stables and horses were leased, and in the first year 50 students were enrolled. The program grew, and in 1978 plans were underway for an indoor arena on campus.

Over the years, the program has evolved from basic horsemanship and hands-on skills to much broader studies. Riding courses developed into competitive college-sponsored teams. Students now have the opportunity to concentrate in Equine Management, Equine Rehabilitation, or Equine Science. Students have access to a large equine herd of various breeds and disciplines. Hands-on learning experiences are available on Midway's working horse farm. In 2023, Midway University started an online program in Equine Business and Sales. They also offer an online MBA with Equine Management concentration.

Graduates from Midway have entered every type of equine business throughout Kentucky, the United States, and internationally. Over the past 50 years Midway has provided high-quality equine educational opportunities for students from across the country.

I appreciate the work of the dedicated staff at Midway University. They have many accomplishments to celebrate as they look back at 50 years of equine education. The future for the Equine Studies program is bright and it is my honor to recognize Midway University before the United States Congress.

RECOGNIZING ANTHONY YAN

**HON. BRITTANY PETERSEN**

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. PETERSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Anthony Yan of Westminster, Colorado. Anthony is one of only a few high school students across the country to be awarded the prestigious National Security Initiative for Youth (NSLI-Y) scholarship. As part of this program, Anthony will travel to Taiwan this summer to engage in intensive instruction in the Mandarin language, while living with a local host family and becoming immersed in Taiwanese culture.

Launched in 2006, NSLI-Y gives high-achieving American high school students the opportunity to gain rigorous instruction and immersive experiences learning languages critical to America's national security and global cooperation. Many NSLI-Y alumni go on to distinguished careers in national security and public service using the language skills gained through this scholarship program.

On behalf of the people of Colorado's Seventh Congressional District, it is my honor to congratulate Anthony Yan for this impressive accomplishment and wish him all the best on his upcoming travels to Taiwan.



HONORING MICHAEL PERUSICH AS  
VETERAN OF THE MONTH FOR  
MICHIGAN'S 9TH DISTRICT

**HON. LISA C. McCLAIN**

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to honor Michael Perusich, our Veteran of the Month for April 2024 from Michigan's 9th Congressional District. It is a privilege to acknowledge the service and dedication of a distinguished American veteran whose contributions and sacrifices have left a lasting impact on our Nation.

Born and raised in Detroit, Michigan, in 1942, Michael graduated from Henry Ford High School in 1961. After a brief period working in California in the field of electronics and communications, Michael returned to Michigan and enlisted in the Air Force in November 1963, where he specialized in Top Secret Communications Maintenance.

Michael's service took him to the historic island of Iwo Jima from September 1964 to 1965, where he played a crucial role in supporting communications and the Far East Network from this strategic location. His dedication and skill were further exemplified during his two tours in Vietnam from 1966 to 1967, marking a significant chapter in his military career.

After honorably concluding his service, Michael embarked on a successful career with Control Data Corporation, yet his commitment to serving his fellow veterans never wavered. He joined the Veterans of Foreign Wars Post 4659 in 1992, where he has been an active and valued member for over 30 years. Rising through the ranks to Sr. Vice Commander, Michael has been instrumental in supporting the Post, the Honor Guard, and the Macomb County Honor Guard, demonstrating unwavering dedication to the veteran community.

Michael's contributions extend beyond his military service; he is deeply involved in the local community, regularly assisting in ceremonies honoring veterans. His recognition with the "White Hat" at the 2016 State VFW Convention is a testament to his commitment to veteran support programs, community service, and hospital service.

Mr. Speaker, Michael Perusich's life is a testament to the spirit of American courage, resilience, and unwavering dedication to service. His contributions both in uniform and as a veteran advocate have significantly impacted the lives of many and serve as a beacon of inspiration for future generations.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in offering our gratitude to Michael Perusich, not only as being named my district's Veteran of the Month but as a true American hero whose legacy of service and community continues to enrich our great Nation.

FRANCIS SCOTT KEY BRIDGE

SPEECH OF

**HON. SYLVIA R. GARCIA**

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, April 10, 2024*

Ms. GARCIA of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today, we honor the lives of the six construction

workers who passed away as a result of the collapse of the Francis Scott Key Bridge in Baltimore.

Alejandro Hernandez Fuentes, Dorian Ronial Castillo Cabrera, Maynor Yassir Suazo Sandoval, Miguel Luna, Jose Mynor Lopez, and Carlos Hernández.

These men who lost their lives while working on the Bridge were everyday heroes.

These men could've been our fathers, brothers, grandsons, or nephews.

That evening, they arrived at work proudly as immigrants, ready to help build this country with their own two hands by undertaking hazardous and challenging tasks that others refused.

Their dedication reminds us that immigrants should be celebrated and acknowledged for contributing to this country—not vilified and attacked for where they come from, the color of their skin, or their accent.

They deserve to be praised and remembered. We should be inspired by their tenacity and dedication to pursuing the American Dream for themselves and their loved ones.

We will continue to work on behalf of our immigrant community in honor of these brave souls. We will rebuild the Bridge and help build an immigration infrastructure that celebrates our workers.

HONORING SHARON J. STONE

**HON. ABIGAIL DAVIS SPANBERGER**

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. SPANBERGER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to thank Ms. Sharon Stone for 35 years of dedicated service to our Nation.

Ms. Stone, known as "Sherry" by friends and family, has made outstanding contributions to our country for more than three decades as part of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD).

Ms. Stone's career with the DoD started in 1989 with Army Medicine in Europe where she served for 10 years and played a pivotal role in implementing centralized medical business operations across Army Europe, aligning personnel, workload, and financial systems. In 1999, she was named the Army Medical Expense and Reporting Program Manager. As Program Manager, she provided crucial medical cost accounting education and training to Army military treatment facilities, significantly impacting the Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System.

In 2004, Ms. Stone spearheaded the development of the U.S. Army Medical Command (MEDCOM) Performance Planning Program, translating strategy into action and facilitating the budget allocation of \$6.3 billion for healthcare delivery. In February 2018, Ms. Stone received recognition from the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army for her contributions to MEDCOM and the Army.

Finally, in December 2018, Ms. Stone was requested to lead, develop, and implement the Defense Health Agency's Quadruple Aim Performance Planning process. Since then, Ms. Stone created a single, integrated, transparent process to align military treatment facilities with the Defense Health Agency's priorities. Ms. Stone received the DoD Spirit of Service Award for her display of honor, integrity, and

excellence through outstanding support as a public servant to the U.S. Department of Defense in recognition of her work.

Throughout her career, Ms. Stone has trained over 30,000 personnel across the Military Health System. Her commitment to service and her passion for sharing her knowledge and skills have proven to be valuable assets to her team. Her contributions have had a profound and positive impact on the Military Health System.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating and thanking Ms. Sharon Stone for 35 years of service to our country, and in wishing her the best in her retirement.

HONORING GLOBAL INNOVATIVE  
FOUNDATION, INC.

**HON. FREDERICA S. WILSON**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I use today to commemorate the 5th Annual Power & Influence Luncheon hosted by Global Innovative Foundation, Inc. and to pay tribute to their many contributions to our community.

Whereas, the Global Innovative Foundation, Inc is a non-profit organization that was incorporated in 2019 by a diverse group of professionals engaged to create global awareness for the prevention of crimes and to empower the lives of victims and survivors through support, education, service, and scholarships; and

Whereas, I extend my heartfelt congratulations to the esteemed honorees of the 5th Annual Power & Influence Luncheon hosted by the Global Innovative Foundation, Inc. These individuals have demonstrated exceptional leadership, compassion, and dedication in their efforts to uplift and support victims and survivors within our community. Among them, we commend Miami-Dade County Mayor Daniella Levine Cava for recipient of the Power & Influencer Award, State Representative Marie Woodson, District 105, for being recognized with the Trailblazer Award, Miami-Dade County State Attorney Katherine Fernandez Rundle as the recipient of the Justice Advocate Excellence Award, and Florida Attorney General Ashley Moody as the recipient of the Iman's Light Foundation Award. We also honor the commitment and service of Miami-Dade County Corrections and Rehabilitation Dept. Director Sherea Green, Hispanic Women of Distinction President & CEO Elaine Miceli Vasquez, Miami Dade College School of Health Sciences Dean Dr. Alwyn Leiba, South Region Florida Nurses Association Director Dr. Guillermo Valdes, Jewish University System President Lucy Alfonso, Quest2Freedom Founder & CEO Victor Williams, Empower U Community Health Center, Guiding Light Outreach Founder/CEO Dr. Shanika Ampah, Miami-Dade County's Office Community Advocacy Communications & Media Director Griselle Marino, Foundation of the Americas & Global Philanthropy Society CEO/Founder Rachel Pinedo, President and CEO of CMS International Group Jeff Lozama, and President of Florida Association of Non-profit Organizations, Inc. Marina Pavlov. Lastly, we recognize the dedicated service of Global Innovative Foundation's 2021–2023 Chairwoman Bernadette Pierre, recipient of the Service Excellence Award.

Whereas, the Global Innovative Foundation, Inc. renders services that empower and instills confidence among individuals. Its partnerships with various organizations and support of other philanthropic causes promote awareness, activities and positive outcomes for victims and survivors of crime; and

Whereas, the Global Innovative Foundation, Inc. provides local, national, and international services such as scholarships, coaching, mental health services, self-defense classes, youth-focused programs, workshops/seminars, advocacy, career development, and mentoring; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved that I, FREDERICA S. WILSON, a member of the United States House of Representatives, representing the 24th Congressional District of Florida, am proud to recognize the Global Innovative Foundation, Inc. for their dedication and outstanding commitment to motivate and empower the lives of victims and survivors.

HONORING THE CAREER OF DR.  
JAMES M. SUNSER

**HON. CLAUDIA TENNEY**

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the career of an outstanding New Yorker and educator, President of Genesee Community College, Dr. James M. Sunser.

Dr. Sunser has spent over a decade as President of Genesee Community College and has been an invaluable leader and partner in education, fostering collaboration and strengthening the ties between Genesee Community College and the citizens of Genesee County, New York. Under Dr. Sunser's leadership, the college saw the development of the Richard C. Call Arena and the Student Success Center, capital projects that represented the largest fundraising efforts ever undertaken by the College.

Dr. Sunser has demonstrated an unwavering commitment to the success and well-being of students, faculty, and staff at Genesee Community College, making significant strides in advancing educational opportunities for all. The 24th Congressional District of New York extends its heartfelt appreciation for Dr. Sunser's role in enhancing the educational experience and contributing to the overall prosperity of the region.

I am pleased to extend my best wishes to Dr. Sunser for a fulfilling and well-deserved retirement, filled with joy, accomplishments, and continued success in all his future endeavors.

RECOGNIZING CHRISTOPHER  
SANTANGELO'S 27 YEARS OF  
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT  
SERVICE

**HON. NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS**

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Ms. MALLIOTAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD the following Proclamation recognizing Christopher Santangelo, President of the New York City Chapter of the Federal Law

Enforcement Officers Association on his retirement after 27 years of federal law enforcement service on April 27, 2024.

Whereas Mr. Santangelo, who grew up in Bay Ridge, Brooklyn from childhood until his early 30s, is a product of a New York City Education. He graduated from Kingsborough Community College with an AAS degree in accounting, earned a BS in accounting from Brooklyn College, and obtained an MS from John Jay College.

Whereas Christopher Santangelo began his federal law enforcement career in January 1997 with the United States Park Police in Washington, D.C. where he was stationed to protect the White House in our nation's capital.

Whereas from mid-1998 to early 2000, Mr. Santangelo was assigned to the New York Field Division, where he led the JBU unit to numerous arrests, earning him the Officer of the Month award five times. Additionally, Mr. Santangelo was assigned to one or more NYPD narcotics task forces and served as a plainclothes officer with the U.S. Park Police in Operation Weed and Seed, covering areas in Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island.

Whereas in April 2000, Mr. Santangelo became a special agent for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms and was assigned to the New York Field Division, Group V—HIDTA. Mr. Santangelo survived the World Trade Center attacks and participated in the recovery efforts for several months. As a result of his unwavering dedication, Mr. Santangelo was awarded a commendation for meritorious service for his actions during the September 11, 2001, World Trade Center attacks and the subsequent evidence recovery.

Whereas after transferring to the U.S. Department of Agriculture in June 2002, Mr. Santangelo conducted investigations into fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement related to USDA programs and operations. Mr. Santangelo conducted or participated in hundreds of program crime investigations, including inquiries into the Food and Nutrition Service's (FNS) EBT program, violations of the Animal Welfare Act, violations of the Sherman Act, farm loan fraud, prohibited agricultural product smuggling, and agroterrorism. He also served as the anti-money laundering subject matter expert (SME) for the Northeast Region.

Whereas for his investigative excellence, Mr. Santangelo is a past award recipient of the Council of Inspectors General (CIGIE) Investigative Excellence Award for leading a multi-million-dollar civil investigation into the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Error Rate. Additionally, Mr. Santangelo received recognition from the NYPD Detective Endowment Association for his participation and assistance while being assigned part-time to the NYPD Counter Terrorism Bureau in Brooklyn, New York, from 2002 to 2005.

Whereas in February 2017, Mr. Santangelo transferred to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Office of Inspector General, New York Field Division, where he investigated failed banks and former members of the board of directors of failed banks. Mr. Santangelo successfully investigated global financial institutions for market manipulation in Foreign Currency (FX) trading, as well as in the High Yield Junk and Variable Rate bond markets. Additionally, Mr. Santangelo was the co-case agent in United States v. Rishi Shah, Shradha Agarwal, Brad Purdy, et al., in which the former executives were convicted in a \$1 billion corporate fraud case, resulting in him receiving the Attorney General Award in January 2024.

Whereas in June 2022, Mr. Santangelo was promoted to the SSA position within the

FDIC-OIG, New York Regional Office, which covers New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and all of New England while also serving as the lead SSA for investigations in the Eastern District of New York (encompassing Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island, Nassau, and Suffolk Counties), leading or coordinating investigations into bank insiders, borrowers, and accountants suspected of committing bank, wire and elder fraud. Furthermore, Mr. Santangelo personally directed disaster relief and romance scam investigations in the Smithtown—Hauppauge—Kings Park area related to Hurricane Sandy, as well as investigations related to the CARES Act.

Whereas since 2009 Mr. Santangelo has served as the President of the New York Chapter of the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association, Vice President of the Federal Law Enforcement Foundation, and is a member of the Fraternal Order of Police Lodge No. 53.

Whereas our Nation is forever indebted to Christopher Santangelo and offers its sincerest gratitude and warmest wishes in his retirement.

RECOGNIZING CHIRICAHUA  
NATIONAL MONUMENT

**HON. JUAN CISCOMANI**

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Mr. CISCOMANI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Chiricahua National Monument on the centennial anniversary of its designation as a national monument.

Recently, I visited the Chiricahua National Monument and explored parts of the 12,000 acres of hiking trails, campgrounds, and scenic views.

Chiricahua National Monument is one of the most treasured landmarks in Arizona. This "Wonderland of Rocks" features unique, boulder-balancing rock pillars formed by volcanic eruptions. These abstract formations draw visitors from across the nation and around the world to the Southeast corner of our state.

It is a privilege to have this incredible landscape in our own backyard. Not only does it provide great recreational opportunity and natural habitat, it is also a major driver for southern Arizona's economy.

The monument annually supports over 40 jobs and produces \$4.4 million of economic output, with 60,000 visitors that come each year generating \$4.1 million in spending.

Last year, I proudly introduced a bipartisan bill, the Chiricahua National Park Act (H.R. 1479), aiming to update the national monument to the status of a national park.

CONGRATULATING MISSISSIPPI  
STATE UNIVERSITY VETERANS  
BUSINESS OUTREACH CENTER

**HON. MICHAEL GUEST**

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, April 12, 2024

Mr. GUEST. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Mississippi State University (MSU) Veterans Business Outreach Center (VBOC) on being recognized as the 2024 Veterans Business Outreach Center of the Year.

Director Mark Scott has worked tirelessly to make the MSU VBOC a place where Veterans are served well. Natalie Rhode, the program director, has also played a key role in the center's success.

The Veterans Business Outreach Center is focused on small business development for Veterans and their spouses. The center was founded in 2015 and covers Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi.

The Small Business Administration selected the MSU VBOC this year for its successes and unique contributions to the local community.

Small businesses are the backbone of the American economy. The MSU VBOC is committed to excellence while supporting many local Veterans as they invest in their communities.

This award is a testament to the hard work by the outstanding team on the front lines at the VBOC.

I am thankful to have the opportunity to congratulate the Mississippi State University Veterans Business Outreach Center on the occasion of this award, and I look forward to continuing to work in Congress on behalf of our Veterans.

HONORING KIMBERLEY  
EMMANUEL

**HON. FREDERICA S. WILSON**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Kimberley F. Emmanuel, an outstanding educator as she retires from Miami-Dade County Public Schools after 3 decades of service.

Mrs. Emmanuel received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from the University of Miami and earned a Master of Educational Leadership from Nova Southeastern University. She also received a certificate from the Principal Institute at Harvard University.

Kimberley Emmanuel is currently the Principal of Phyllis Ruth Miller Elementary in Miami, Florida, and she has served Miami-Dade County Public Schools in several capacities for the past 35 years and has led with strength and compassion. She has created a learning environment of high expectations and excellence which has guided her schools to raise their levels with state testing. As an administrator, Mrs. Emmanuel emphasized student achievement by analyzing data, and using it to drive instruction, empower teachers, provide outstanding professional development, and forming an effective leadership team to close the achievement gap.

Mrs. Emmanuel has been recognized in numerous ways over her career, including the 2011 Healthy Schools Program National Recognition Bronze Award and 2004 Finalist as a School Administrator of the Year for the Florida African American Education Alliance. She is the 2008–2009 District Finalist for Principal of the Year; 2008 Urban League of Greater Miami Principal of the Year. She is the recipient of the 2004 Distinguished Leadership Award, and a 2005 Letter of Commendation by Governor Jeb Bush as a School Recognized for Outstanding Progress—Most improvement in the State of Florida.

Under the leadership of Mrs. Emmanuel, Phyllis Ruth Miller Elementary is the recipient of the 2023 Merit School of Distinction. This distinction is the second highest honor Magnet Schools of America bestows and is awarded to those that demonstrate an exemplary commitment to academic standards, curriculum innovation, desegregation/diversity efforts, specialized teaching staffs and parent and community involvement.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring Mrs. Kimberley Emmanuel for all she has achieved.

RECOGNIZING KATELYN  
O'CONNELL

**HON. BRITTANY PETERSEN**

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. PETERSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Katelyn O'Connell of Arvada, Colorado. Katelyn was selected as one of 250 high school students across the country to participate in the Department of State's prestigious Congress-Bundestag Youth Exchange (CBYX) Program.

Launched in 1983, CBYX selects high achieving youth from both Germany and the United States to live in each other's country for one academic year. During their year abroad, students will foster meaningful relationships between America and Germany, while giving participants new perspectives on peoples and cultures beyond their own. Many CBYX alumni go on to distinguished careers in foreign affairs and public service using the language skills gained through this scholarship program.

This year, Katelyn will travel to Germany, attending school, living with a host family, and collaborating with the Department of State to integrate into her host community and promote mutual understanding between Americans and the German people.

On behalf of the people of Colorado's Seventh Congressional District, it is my honor to congratulate Katelyn O'Connell for this impressive accomplishment and wish her all the best in her upcoming year in Germany.

IN MEMORY OF JACK KAIN

**HON. ANDY BARR**

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor the life of a very special man, Mr. Jack Kain, of Versailles, Kentucky. Mr. Kain was born on June 18, 1929, and he passed away on March 26, 2024, at the age of 94.

Mr. Kain was raised on a central Kentucky farm by loving parents. In 1947, while he was a college student at the University of Kentucky, he started selling cars. Mr. Kain earned a Bachelor of Business degree from the University of Kentucky. He enjoyed cheering on teams led by coaching legends Adolph Rupp and Bear Bryant, becoming a lifelong UK fan. He served our country in the United States Air Force as a Second Lieutenant during the Korean War. In 1953, he opened his first auto-

mobile dealership. He continued in the automotive industry, where he built a successful lifelong career. For many years, he and his family have operated Jack Kain Ford, where they have served over 70,000 customers since the 1980s. Mr. Kain was a leader in the automobile industry. He led the National Automobile Dealers Association in 2005 as Chairman. He represented Kentucky's franchised new-car dealers on NADA's board of directors for many years.

Mr. Kain was a leader in his community and was recognized as Woodford County Chamber of Commerce's Businessman of the Year in 1992. A generous man, he supported education, medical care and research, and many other charitable efforts to make the community and the nation a better place.

More importantly than his business and community successes, Mr. Kain kept his family at the forefront of his life. He had nine children, twenty-two grandchildren, and eighteen great-grandchildren. He is survived by his wife Alice Stewart Kain and children Vickie Fister, Patrick Kain, David Kain, Steve Kain, Bob Kain, and Bill Kain. He was preceded in death by his daughters Becky Grimes and Suzanne Hockensmith and his son Jack Kain, Jr.

Jack Kain was a great man who loved his family, his community, his country, and his God. He was respected by his community and his colleagues in the automobile industry throughout America. It is my honor to call him my friend and to recognize his life before the United States House of Representatives.

INTRODUCTION OF THE CIVIL WAR  
DEFENSES OF WASHINGTON NA-  
TIONAL HISTORICAL PARK ACT

**HON. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON**

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, today, on the anniversary of the week of the start of the Civil War, I introduce the Civil War Defenses of Washington National Historical Park Act, which would recognize and preserve the Civil War Defenses of Washington located in the District of Columbia, Virginia and Maryland. The Defenses of Washington, including forts, unarmed batteries and rifle trenches, created a ring of protection for the nation's capital during the Civil War. This bill would redesignate the 22 Civil War Defenses of Washington currently under National Park Service jurisdiction as a national historical park, and allow other sites associated with the Defenses of Washington that are owned by D.C. or a unit of state governments to be affiliated with the national historical park through cooperative agreements. This bill would also require the Secretary of the Interior to facilitate the history of the Civil War, including the history of the Defenses of Washington and the Shenandoah Valley Campaign of 1864, being assembled, arrayed and conveyed for the benefit of the public for the knowledge, education and inspiration of this and future generations. In 2018, the House Natural Resources Committee Subcommittee on Federal Lands held a hearing on this bill. Although the Department of the Interior opposed this bill, I feel strongly that the Defenses of Washington need additional recognition and should be redesignated as a national historical park.

The Defenses of Washington were constructed at the beginning of the war, in 1861, as a ring of protection for the nation's capital and for President Abraham Lincoln. By the end of the war, these defenses included 68 forts, 93 unarmed batteries, 807 mounted cannons, 13 miles of rifle trenches and 32 miles of military roads. The major test of the Defenses of Washington came with the Shenandoah Valley Campaign of 1864, when Confederate Lieutenant General Jubal Early, directed by General Robert E. Lee, sought to attack the nation's capital from the north, causing Union forces threatening to attack Richmond, the capital of the Confederacy, to be withdrawn. General Early was delayed by Union Major General Lew Wallace at the Battle of Monocacy on July 9, 1864, and was stopped at the northern edge of Washington at the Battle of Fort Stevens on July 11–12, 1864. The Shenandoah Valley Campaign ended when Union Lieutenant General Philip Sheridan defeated General Early at the Battle of Cedar Creek, Virginia on October 19, 1864.

Nearly all the individual forts in the Defenses of Washington—on both sides of the Potomac and Anacostia rivers—were involved in stopping General Early's attack, and the Battle of Fort Stevens was the second and last attempt by the Confederate Army to attack Washington.

Taken together, these battles were pivotal to the outcome of the war and the freedom and democracy that the war represented for this country. It is therefore fitting that we recognize the Defenses of Washington by redesignating them as a national historical park.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

CONGRATULATING BARB HEDSTROM AS THE RECIPIENT OF THE CONGRESSIONAL CRIME SURVIVORS AND JUSTICE CAUCUS' 2024 ED STOUT MEMORIAL AWARD FOR OUTSTANDING VICTIM ADVOCACY

**HON. ANGIE CRAIG**

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. CRAIG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate my constituent, Barb Hedstrom, as the recipient of the Congressional Crime Survivors and Justice Caucus' 2024 Ed Stout Memorial Award for Outstanding Victim Advocacy. Barb Hedstrom serves as Shakopee Police Department's Victim and Community Services Coordinator.

This award's honoree is a professional, volunteer or organization whose efforts directly benefit victims and survivors of crime. The award recognizes innovations in victim assistance and crime victim services in the areas of program development, public or agency policy development, community and public awareness, and collaboration among community and

justice-based organizations that serve crime victims.

Barb is exactly that. The Shakopee Police Department was the first police department in Minnesota to have a Victim Services Coordinator. In 2015, Barb worked with her colleagues to launch the Recovery Assistance Program (RAP), which helps those in recovery by providing scholarships to help break down barriers to treatment. Barb recently started the Law Enforcement Association of Victim Essential Services (LEAVES), which is a statewide professional association of law enforcement-based victim service providers. Due largely to Barb's work, the Shakopee Police Department has been a leader in the State of Minnesota and across the country for victims' services for nearly a decade and has made victim services a top priority.

It is an honor to represent Barb Hedstrom and the Shakopee Police Department in Congress. I look forward to our continued partnership in serving the Second District of Minnesota.

RECOGNIZING MRS. PAULINE BIEDKA'S 100TH BIRTHDAY

**HON. BRIAN K. FITZPATRICK**

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Pauline Biedka, a remarkable constituent from my district, and to pay tribute to her on the celebration of her 100th Birthday on April 13, 2024.

The daughter of Philomena and Luke Della Vella, Pauline was born on April 13, 1924 in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania—one of nine children Pauline married her husband Peter, a World War II Veteran in 1942. They moved to Bristol, Bucks County and built their forever home. They had a daughter and two sons.

Pauline was a "Rosie the Riveter" during World War II and the Korean War. During that time, she worked at Fleetwings and Kaiser Metal in Bristol, Pennsylvania. In addition, Pauline was a Neshaminy School District crossing guard for twenty-five years.

Pauline was and still is a devoted wife, remains an amazing mother, grandmother of six, and great-grandmother of twelve.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my esteemed colleagues in the U.S. House of Representatives to please join me in extending our heartfelt congratulations, sincere well-wishes, and all of God's Blessings to Pauline Biedka on the celebration of her 100th Birthday.

HONORING DR. GLORIA SMITH

**HON. ELISSA SLOTKIN**

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, April 12, 2024*

Ms. SLOTKIN. Mr. Speaker, today I pay tribute to a trailblazing member of the Michigan State University community: Dr. Gloria Smith. Her lifelong dedication to education, advocacy, and service has left an indelible mark on the university and beyond.

After earning her doctorate from the University of Massachusetts in 1971, Dr. Smith's journey brought her to Michigan State University where she joined the faculty of the Department of Education. Throughout her tenure at MSU, Dr. Smith distinguished herself as a trailblazer and champion of equity, especially for women of color.

Her footprint at MSU is immeasurable. In 1972, she played a pivotal role as a member of the steering committee for the Black Women Employees Association of MSU, where she passionately advocated for the hiring of more Black women professors. Her achievements, including becoming the first Black woman to attain full professorship at MSU and being recognized on the university's Distinguished Wall of Professors, serve as a testament to her contributions and enduring influence.

She lent her leadership and expertise as she served as the Director of African American and African Studies, as a member on the MSU Athletic Board, and on the university's presidential search committee. In 2007, Dr. Smith retired from MSU as professor emeritus in the Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology, and Special Education.

Dr. Smith's incredible legacy extends beyond the classroom. She was the first Black President of the Michigan Counseling Psychology and Supervision Professional Organization. She has also been a proud member of Alpha Kappa Alpha, the first intercollegiate historically African American sorority, since 1958. She served as the organization's 18th Great Lakes Regional Director and has truly embodied her sisterhood's mission to cultivate and encourage high scholastic and ethical standards and to be of service to all mankind.

Dr. Smith continues to show her commitment to education and serving others as a member of her local chapter of the Links, Incorporated, an organization dedicated to promoting quality education, civic responsibility, and enhancing the quality of life of their communities. She is also a cherished member of her church, The Friendship Baptist Church, where she lives their cote value of serving without restraint.

With profound gratitude for her extraordinary contributions to Michigan State University and her lifelong mission to uplift her community, it is my honor to recognize her service here, in the People's House.

# Daily Digest

## Senate

### Chamber Action

The Senate was not in session and stands in recess until 3 p.m. on Monday, April 15, 2024.

### Committee Meetings

No committee meetings were held.

---

## House of Representatives

### Chamber Action

**Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced:** 8 public bills, H.R. 7971–7978; and 6 resolutions, H. Con. Res. 103; and H. Res. 1138–1142, were introduced.

**Pages H2366–67**

**Additional Cosponsors:**

**Pages H2367–68**

**Reports Filed:** Reports were filed today as follows:

H. Res. 1137, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 7888) to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 529) to extend the customs waters of the United States from 12 nautical miles to 24 nautical miles from the baselines of the United States, consistent with Presidential Proclamation 7219; providing for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 1112) denouncing the Biden administration's immigration policies; and providing for consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 1117) opposing efforts to place one-sided pressure on Israel with respect to Gaza (H. Rept. 118–456); and

H.R. 4016, to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the repayment by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs of benefits misused by a fiduciary (H. Rept. 118–457).

**Page H2366**

**Speaker:** Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Newhouse to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

**Page H2321**

**Guest Chaplain:**

The prayer was offered by the Guest Chaplain, Rev. Robert Suhr, Christ Church, Mequon, Wisconsin.

**Page H2321**

**Reforming Intelligence and Securing America Act:** The House passed H.R. 7888, to reform the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, by a recorded vote of 273 ayes to 147 noes, Roll No. 119.

**Pages H2321–59**

Subsequently, Representative Lee (FL) moved to reconsider the vote, and Representative Turner moved to table the motion to reconsider, on which further proceedings were postponed.

**Page H2359**

Pursuant to the Rule, the amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 118–27 shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole.

**Pages H2337–45**

Agreed to:

Cline amendment (No. 3 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that prohibits the resumption of “abouts” collection under Section 702;

**Pages H2348–51**

Roy amendment (No. 2 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that requires the FBI to report to Congress on a quarterly basis, the number of U.S. person queries conducted. Additionally, grants the Chairs and Ranking Members of the Committees on Judiciary and Intelligence in the House and Senate, in addition to the Majority and Minority Leaders of the Senate, the Speaker of the House, and the Minority Leader of the House, access to attend FISC proceedings (by a recorded vote of 269 ayes and 153 noes, Roll No. 115);

**Pages H2347–48, H2355–56**

Crenshaw amendment (No. 4 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that aids in the targeting of international narcotics trafficking operations with Section 702 by updating the definition of foreign intelligence (by a recorded vote of 268 ayes to 152 noes, Roll No. 116);

**Pages H2351–52, H2356**

Waltz amendment (No. 5 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that enables the use of Section 702 information to vet foreigners traveling to the United States (by a recorded vote of 227 ayes to 193 noes, Roll No. 117); and

**Pages H2352–53, H2357**

Turner amendment (No. 6 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that remedies a serious intelligence loophole by narrowly updating the definition of electronic communication service provider under Section 702 (by a recorded vote of 236 ayes to 186 noes, Roll No. 118).

**Pages H2353–54, H2357–58**

Rejected:

Biggs amendment (No. 1 printed in H. Rept. 118–456) that prohibits warrantless searches of U.S. person communications in the FISA 702 database, with exceptions for imminent threats to life or bodily harm, consent searches, or known cybersecurity threat signatures (by a recorded vote of 212 ayes and 212 noes, Roll No. 114).

**Pages H2345–47, H2355**

H. Res. 1137, the rule providing for consideration of the bills (H.R. 7888), (H.R. 529), (H. Res. 1112), and (H. Res. 1117), was agreed to by a recorded vote of 213 ayes to 208 noes, Roll No. 113, after the previous question was ordered by a yeas-and-nays vote of 208 yeas to 202 nays, Roll No. 112.

**Pages H2321–28**

**Quorum Calls—Votes:** One yeas-and-nays vote and six recorded votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H2327, H2327–28, H2355, H2355–56, H2356, H2357, H2357–58 and H2358–59.

**Adjournment:** The House met at 8:00 a.m. and adjourned at 2:22 p.m.

## Committee Meetings

### FY25 BUDGET REQUEST FOR MISSILE DEFENSE AND MISSILE DEFEAT PROGRAMS

*Committee on Armed Services:* Subcommittee on Strategic Forces held a hearing entitled “FY25 Budget Request for Missile Defense and Missile Defeat Programs”. Testimony was heard from the following Department of Defense officials: John D. Hill, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Space and Missile Defense, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy; Lieutenant General Thomas L. James, Deputy Commander, U.S. Space Command; Lieutenant General Heath A. Collins, Director, Missile Defense Agency; and Lieutenant General Sean A. Gainey, Commanding General, U.S. Army and Missile Defense Command.

## Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

---

### COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR MONDAY, APRIL 15, 2024

*(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)*

#### Senate

No meetings/hearings scheduled.

#### House

*Committee on Rules,* Full Committee, hearing on H.R. 6192, the “Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act”; H.R. 7673, the “Liberty in Laundry Act”; H.R. 7645, the “Clothes Dryers Reliability Act”; H.R. 7637, the “Refrigerator Freedom Act”; H.R. 7626, the “Affordable Air Conditioning Act”; and H.R. 7700, the “Stop Unaffordable Dishwasher Standards Act”, 4 p.m., H–313 Capitol.



*Next Meeting of the SENATE*

3 p.m., Monday, April 15

*Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES*

12 noon, Monday, April 15

## Senate Chamber

**Program for Monday:** Senate will resume consideration of the nomination of Ramona Villagomez Manglona, of the Northern Mariana Islands, to be Judge for the District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, and vote on the motion to invoke cloture thereon at 5:30 p.m.

## House Chamber

**Program for Monday:** To be announced.

## Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

## HOUSE

Barr, Andy, Ky., E339, E341, E343, E346  
 Carter, Earl L. "Buddy", Ga., E341  
 Ciscomani, Juan, Ariz., E345  
 Courtney, Joe, Conn., E340  
 Craig, Angie, Minn., E347  
 Davis, Danny K., Ill., E342  
 Edwards, Chuck, N.C., E342

Fitzpatrick, Brian K., Pa., E347  
 Garcia, Sylvia R., Tex., E344  
 Guest, Michael, Miss., E345  
 Lofgren, Zoe, Calif., E340  
 Mallioakis, Nicole, N.Y., E345  
 McClain, Lisa C., Mich., E339, E341, E344  
 Norton, Eleanor Holmes, The District of Columbia, E346  
 Ogles, Andrew, Tenn., E340

Pettersen, Brittany, Colo., E342, E343, E346  
 Slotkin, Elissa, Mich., E347  
 Spanberger, Abigail Davis, Va., E344  
 Tenney, Claudia, N.Y., E345  
 Tlaib, Rashida, Mich., E343  
 Van Duyne, Beth, Tex., E340  
 Wilson, Frederica S., Fla., E339, E341, E342, E343, E344, E346



# Congressional Record

printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. ¶Public access to the *Congressional Record* is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at [www.govinfo.gov](http://www.govinfo.gov), free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the *Congressional Record* is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, [contactcenter@gpo.gov](mailto:contactcenter@gpo.gov). ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: [bookstore.gpo.gov](http://bookstore.gpo.gov). Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily *Congressional Record* is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. ¶With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the *Congressional Record*.

**POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, *Congressional Record*, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.