

activists, human rights defenders, independent journalists, civil society organizations, and marginalized populations in Hong Kong.

(2) GOALS.—The goals of the programs developed with grants authorized under paragraph (1) should be—

(A) to support unrestricted access to the internet in Hong Kong;

(B) to increase the availability of internet freedom tools in Hong Kong;

(C) to scale up the distribution of such technologies and tools throughout Hong Kong;

(D) to prioritize the development of tools, components, code, and technologies that are fully open-source, to the extent practicable;

(E) to conduct research on repressive tactics that undermine internet freedom in Hong Kong;

(F) to ensure information on digital safety is available to human rights defenders, independent journalists, civil society organizations, and marginalized populations in Hong Kong; and

(G) to engage private industry, including e-commerce firms and social networking companies, on the importance of preserving unrestricted internet access in Hong Kong.

(3) GRANT RECIPIENTS.—Grants authorized under this subsection shall be distributed to multiple vendors and suppliers through an open, fair, competitive, and evidence-based decision process—

(A) to diversify the technical base; and

(B) to reduce the risk of misuse by bad actors.

(4) SECURITY AUDITS.—New technologies developed using grants authorized under this subsection shall undergo comprehensive security audits to ensure that such technologies are secure and have not been compromised in a manner detrimental to the interests of the United States or to individuals or organizations benefitting from programs supported by these funds.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

(1) OPEN TECHNOLOGY FUND.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Open Technology Fund \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2029 to carry out this section. This funding is in addition to the funds authorized for the Open Technology Fund pursuant to section 309A of United States International Broadcasting Act of 1994 (22 U.S.C. 6208a).

(2) BUREAU OF DEMOCRACY, HUMAN RIGHTS, AND LABOR.—In addition to the funds authorized to be made available pursuant to paragraph (1), there is authorized to be appropriated to the Office of Internet Freedom Programs in the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor of the Department of State \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2029 to carry out this section.

SA 3130. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4638, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2025 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle F of title XII, add the following:

SEC. 1291. REAUTHORIZATION OF THE UYGHUR HUMAN RIGHTS POLICY ACT.

Section 6(h) of the Uyghur Human Rights Policy Act of 2020 (Public Law 116-145; 22 U.S.C. 6901 note) is amended by striking “5 years after” and inserting “10 years after”.

SA 3131. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4638, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2025 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle H of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 1095. PRESERVE ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE GENERICS AND BIOSIMILARS ACT.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the “Preserve Access to Affordable Generics and Biosimilars Act”.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND DECLARATION OF PURPOSES.—

(1) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(A) In 1984, the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act (Public Law 98-417) (referred to in this Act as the “1984 Act”), was enacted with the intent of facilitating the early entry of generic drugs while preserving incentives for innovation.

(B) Prescription drugs make up approximately 10 percent of the national health care spending.

(C) Initially, the 1984 Act was successful in facilitating generic competition to the benefit of consumers and health care payers, although 88 percent of all prescriptions dispensed in the United States are generic drugs, they account for only 28 percent of all expenditures.

(D) Generic drugs cost substantially less than brand name drugs, with discounts off the brand price averaging 80 to 85 percent.

(E) Federal dollars currently account for over 40 percent of the \$325,000,000,000 spent on retail prescription drugs, and this share is expected to rise to 47 percent by 2025.

(F)(i) In recent years, the intent of the 1984 Act has been subverted by certain settlement agreements in which brand name companies transfer value to their potential generic competitors to settle claims that the generic company is infringing the branded company's patents.

(ii) These “reverse payment” settlement agreements—

(I) allow a branded company to share its monopoly profits with the generic company as a way to protect the branded company's monopoly; and

(II) have unduly delayed the marketing of low-cost generic drugs contrary to free competition, the interests of consumers, and the principles underlying antitrust law.

(iii) Because of the price disparity between brand name and generic drugs, such agreements are more profitable for both the brand and generic manufacturers than competition and will become increasingly common unless prohibited.

(iv) These agreements result in consumers losing the benefits that the 1984 Act was intended to provide.

(G) In 2010, the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act (Public Law 111-148) (referred to in this Act as the “BPCIA”), was enacted with the intent of facilitating the early entry of biosimilar and interchangeable follow-on versions of branded biological products while preserving incentives for innovation.

(H) Biological drugs play an important role in treating many serious illnesses, from cancers to genetic disorders. They are also expensive, representing more than 40 percent of all prescription drug spending.

(I) Competition from biosimilar and interchangeable biological products promises to

lower drug costs and increase patient access to biological medicines. But “reverse payment” settlement agreements also threaten to delay the entry of biosimilar and interchangeable biological products, which would undermine the goals of BPCIA.

(2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—

(A) to enhance competition in the pharmaceutical market by stopping anticompetitive agreements between brand name and generic drug and biosimilar biological product manufacturers that limit, delay, or otherwise prevent competition from generic drugs and biosimilar biological products; and

(B) to support the purpose and intent of antitrust law by prohibiting anticompetitive practices in the pharmaceutical industry that harm consumers.

(c) UNLAWFUL COMPENSATION FOR DELAY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 44 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 26 (15 U.S.C. 57c-2) the following:

“SEC. 27. PRESERVING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE GENERICS AND BIOSIMILARS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING.—The Commission may initiate a proceeding to enforce the provisions of this section against the parties to any agreement resolving or settling, on a final or interim basis, a patent claim, in connection with the sale of a drug product or biological product.

“(2) PRESUMPTION AND VIOLATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), in such a proceeding, an agreement shall be presumed to have anticompetitive effects and shall be a violation of this section if—

“(i) an ANDA filer or a biosimilar biological product application filer receives anything of value, including an exclusive license; and

“(ii) the ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer agrees to limit or forgo research, development, manufacturing, marketing, or sales of the ANDA product or biosimilar biological product, as applicable, for any period of time.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply if the parties to such agreement demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that—

“(i) the value described in subparagraph (A)(i) is compensation solely for other goods or services that the ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer has promised to provide; or

“(ii) the procompetitive benefits of the agreement outweigh the anticompetitive effects of the agreement.

“(b) EXCLUSIONS.—Nothing in this section shall prohibit a resolution or settlement of a patent infringement claim in which the consideration that the ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer, respectively, receives as part of the resolution or settlement includes only one or more of the following:

“(1) The right to market and secure final approval in the United States for the ANDA product or biosimilar biological product at a date, whether certain or contingent, prior to the expiration of—

“(A) any patent that is the basis for the patent infringement claim; or

“(B) any patent right or other statutory exclusivity that would prevent the marketing of such ANDA product or biosimilar biological product.

“(2) A payment for reasonable litigation expenses not to exceed—

“(A) for calendar year 2024, \$7,500,000; or

“(B) for calendar year 2025 and each subsequent calendar year, the amount determined for the preceding calendar year adjusted to reflect the percentage increase (if any) in the

Producer Price Index for Legal Services published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor for the most recent calendar year.

“(3) A covenant not to sue on any claim that the ANDA product or biosimilar biological product infringes a United States patent.

“(c) ENFORCEMENT.—

“(1) ENFORCEMENT.—A violation of this section shall be treated as an unfair method of competition under section 5(a)(1).

“(2) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Any party that is subject to a final order of the Commission, issued in an administrative adjudicative proceeding under the authority of subsection (a)(1), may, within 30 days of the issuance of such order, petition for review of such order in—

“(i) the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit;

“(ii) the United States Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the ultimate parent entity, as defined in section 801.1(a)(3) of title 16, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor thereto, of the NDA holder or biological product license holder is incorporated as of the date that the NDA or biological product license application, as applicable, is filed with the Secretary of Health and Human Services; or

“(iii) the United States Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the ultimate parent entity of the ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer is incorporated as of the date that the ANDA or biosimilar biological product application is filed with the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

“(B) TREATMENT OF FINDINGS.—In a proceeding for judicial review of a final order of the Commission, the findings of the Commission as to the facts, if supported by evidence, shall be conclusive.

“(d) ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall modify, impair, limit, or supersede the applicability of the antitrust laws as defined in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), and of section 5 of this Act to the extent that section 5 applies to unfair methods of competition. Nothing in this section shall modify, impair, limit, or supersede the right of an ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer to assert claims or counterclaims against any person, under the antitrust laws or other laws relating to unfair competition.

“(e) PENALTIES.—

“(1) FORFEITURE.—Each party that violates or assists in the violation of this section shall forfeit and pay to the United States a civil penalty sufficient to deter violations of this section, but in no event greater than 3 times the value received by the party that is reasonably attributable to the violation of this section. If no such value has been received by the NDA holder, the biological product license holder, the ANDA filer, or the biosimilar biological product application filer, the penalty to the NDA holder, the biological product license holder, the ANDA filer, or the biosimilar biological product application filer shall be sufficient to deter violations, but in no event shall be greater than 3 times the value given to an ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer reasonably attributable to the violation of this section. Such penalty shall accrue to the United States and may be recovered in a civil action brought by the Commission, in its own name by any of its attorneys designated by it for such purpose, in a district court of the United States against any party that violates this section. In such actions, the United States district courts are empowered to grant mandatory injunctions and such other and further equitable relief as they deem appropriate.

“(2) CEASE AND DESIST.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Commission has issued a cease and desist order with respect to a party in an administrative adjudicative proceeding under the authority of subsection (a)(1), an action brought pursuant to paragraph (1) may be commenced against such party at any time before the expiration of 1 year after such order becomes final pursuant to section 5(g).

“(B) EXCEPTION.—In an action under subparagraph (A), the findings of the Commission as to the material facts in the administrative adjudicative proceeding with respect to the violation of this section by a party shall be conclusive unless—

“(i) the terms of such cease and desist order expressly provide that the Commission's findings shall not be conclusive; or

“(ii) the order became final by reason of section 5(g)(1), in which case such finding shall be conclusive if supported by evidence.

“(3) CIVIL PENALTY.—In determining the amount of the civil penalty described in this section, the court shall take into account—

“(A) the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation;

“(B) with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of violations, the ability to pay, any effect on the ability to continue doing business, profits earned by the NDA holder, the biological product license holder, the ANDA filer, or the biosimilar biological product application filer, compensation received by the ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer, and the amount of commerce affected; and

“(C) other matters that justice requires.

“(4) REMEDIES IN ADDITION.—Remedies provided in this subsection are in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other remedy provided by Federal law. Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit any authority of the Commission under any other provision of law.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) AGREEMENT.—The term ‘agreement’ means anything that would constitute an agreement under section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1) or section 5 of this Act.

“(2) AGREEMENT RESOLVING OR SETTLING A PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM.—The term ‘agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim’ includes any agreement that is entered into within 30 days of the resolution or the settlement of the claim, or any other agreement that is contingent upon, provides a contingent condition for, or is otherwise related to the resolution or settlement of the claim.

“(3) ANDA.—The term ‘ANDA’ means an abbreviated new drug application filed under section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(j)) or a new drug application submitted pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(b)(2)).

“(4) ANDA FILER.—The term ‘ANDA filer’ means a party that owns or controls an ANDA filed with the Secretary of Health and Human Services or has the exclusive rights under such ANDA to distribute the ANDA product.

“(5) ANDA PRODUCT.—The term ‘ANDA product’ means the product to be manufactured under the ANDA that is the subject of the patent infringement claim.

“(6) BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT.—The term ‘biological product’ has the meaning given such term in section 351(i)(1) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(i)(1)).

“(7) BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT LICENSE APPLICATION.—The term ‘biological product license application’ means an application under section 351(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(a)).

“(8) BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT LICENSE HOLDER.—The term ‘biological product license holder’ means—

“(A) the holder of an approved biological product license application for a biological product;

“(B) a person owning or controlling enforcement of any patents that claim the biological product that is the subject of such approved application; or

“(C) the predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups, and affiliates controlled by, controlling, or under common control with any of the entities described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) (such control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of 50 percent or greater), as well as the licensees, licensors, successors, and assigns of each of the entities.

“(9) BIOSIMILAR BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT.—The term ‘biosimilar biological product’ means the product to be manufactured under the biosimilar biological product application that is the subject of the patent infringement claim.

“(10) BIOSIMILAR BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT APPLICATION.—The term ‘biosimilar biological product application’ means an application under section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(k)) for licensure of a biological product as biosimilar to, or interchangeable with, a reference product.

“(11) BIOSIMILAR BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT APPLICATION FILER.—The term ‘biosimilar biological product application filer’ means a party that owns or controls a biosimilar biological product application filed with the Secretary of Health and Human Services or has the exclusive rights under such application to distribute the biosimilar biological product.

“(12) DRUG PRODUCT.—The term ‘drug product’ has the meaning given such term in section 314.3(b) of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation).

“(13) MARKET.—The term ‘market’ means the promotion, offering for sale, selling, or distribution of a drug product.

“(14) NDA.—The term ‘NDA’ means a new drug application filed under section 505(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(b)).

“(15) NDA HOLDER.—The term ‘NDA holder’ means—

“(A) the holder of an approved NDA application for a drug product;

“(B) a person owning or controlling enforcement of the patent listed in the Approved Drug Products With Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (commonly known as the ‘FDA Orange Book’) in connection with the NDA; or

“(C) the predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups, and affiliates controlled by, controlling, or under common control with any of the entities described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) (such control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of 50 percent or greater), as well as the licensees, licensors, successors, and assigns of each of the entities.

“(16) PARTY.—The term ‘party’ means any person, partnership, corporation, or other legal entity.

“(17) PATENT INFRINGEMENT.—The term ‘patent infringement’ means infringement of any patent or of any filed patent application, including any extension, reissue, renewal, division, continuation, continuation in part, reexamination, patent term restoration, patents of addition, and extensions thereof.

“(18) PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM.—The term ‘patent infringement claim’ means any allegation made to an ANDA filer or biosimilar biological product application filer, whether or not included in a complaint filed with a court of law, that its ANDA or ANDA

product, or biosimilar biological product application or biosimilar biological product, may infringe any patent held by, or exclusively licensed to, the NDA holder or biological product license holder of the drug product or biological product, as applicable.

“(19) **STATUTORY EXCLUSIVITY.**—The term ‘statutory exclusivity’ means those prohibitions on the submission or the approval of drug applications under clauses (ii) through (iv) of section 505(c)(3)(E), clauses (ii) through (iv) of section 505(j)(5)(F), section 527, section 505A, or section 505E of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(c)(3)(E), 360cc, 355a, 355f), or on the submission or licensing of biological product applications under section 351(k)(7) or paragraph (2) or (3) of section 351(m) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262) or under section 527 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360cc).”

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Section 27 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as added by this section, shall apply to all agreements described in section 27(a)(1) of that Act entered into on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) **CERTIFICATION OF AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **NOTICE OF ALL AGREEMENTS.**—Section 1111(7) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (21 U.S.C. 355 note) is amended by inserting “, or owner of a patent for which a claim of infringement could reasonably be asserted against any person for making, using, offering to sell, selling, or importing into the United States a biological product that is the subject of a biosimilar biological product application” before the period at the end.

(2) **CERTIFICATION OF AGREEMENTS.**—Section 1112 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (21 U.S.C. 355 note) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) **CERTIFICATION.**—The Chief Executive Officer or the company official responsible for negotiating any agreement under subsection (a) or (b) that is required to be filed under subsection (c), within 30 days after such filing, shall execute and file with the Assistant Attorney General and the Commission a certification as follows: ‘I declare that the following is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge: The materials filed with the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice under section 1112 of subtitle B of title XI of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, with respect to the agreement referenced in this certification—

“(1) represent the complete, final, and exclusive agreement between the parties;

“(2) include any ancillary agreements that are contingent upon, provide a contingent condition for, or are otherwise related to, the referenced agreement; and

“(3) include written descriptions of any oral agreements, representations, commitments, or promises between the parties that are responsive to subsection (a) or (b) of such section 1112 and have not been reduced to writing.”

(e) **NOTIFICATION OF AGREEMENTS.**—Section 1112 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (21 U.S.C. 355 note), as amended by subsection (d)(2), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An agreement that is required under subsection (a) or (b) shall include agreements resolving any outstanding disputes, including agreements resolving or settling a Patent Trial and Appeal Board proceeding.

“(2) **DEFINITION.**—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘Patent Trial and Appeal Board proceeding’ means a proceeding con-

ducted by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, including an inter partes review instituted under chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, a post-grant review instituted under chapter 32 of that title (including a proceeding instituted pursuant to the transitional program for covered business method patents, as described in section 18 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (35 U.S.C. 321 note)), and a derivation proceeding instituted under section 135 of that title.”

(f) **FORFEITURE OF 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY PERIOD.**—Section 505(j)(5)(D)(i)(V) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(j)(5)(D)(i)(V)) is amended by inserting “section 27 of the Federal Trade Commission Act or” after “that the agreement has violated”.

(g) **COMMISSION LITIGATION AUTHORITY.**—Section 16(a)(2) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 56(a)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (E)—

(A) by moving the margin 2 ems to the left; and

(B) by inserting “or” after the semicolon; and

(3) inserting after subparagraph (E) the following:

“(F) under section 27.”

(h) **REPORT ON ADDITIONAL EXCLUSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Federal Trade Commission shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a recommendation, and the Commission’s basis for such recommendation, regarding a potential amendment to include in section 27(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (as added by subsection (c)) an additional exclusion for consideration granted by an NDA holder to a ANDA filer or by a biological product license holder to a biosimilar biological product application filer as part of the resolution or settlement, a release, waiver, or limitation of a claim for damages or other monetary relief.

(2) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, the terms “ANDA filer”, “biological product license holder”, “biosimilar biological product application filer”, and “NDA holder” have the meanings given such terms in section 27(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (as added by subsection (c)).

(i) **STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.**—The Federal Trade Commission shall commence any enforcement proceeding described in section 27 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as added by subsection (c), except for an action described in section 27(e)(2) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, not later than 6 years after the date on which the parties to the agreement file the certification under section 1112(d) of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (21 U.S.C. 355 note).

(j) **SEVERABILITY.**—If any provision of this section, an amendment made by this section, or the application of such provision or amendment to any person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this section, the amendments made by this section, and the application of the provisions of such section or amendments to any person or circumstance shall not be affected.

SA 3132. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself, Mr. SCHMITT, and Mr. COTTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4638, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2025 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military con-

struction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following:

SEC. 318. EXTENSION OF PROHIBITION ON DISCLOSURE BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS OF INFORMATION RELATING TO GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.

Section 318(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118-31; 10 U.S.C. 4651 note prec.) is amended by striking “one-year” and inserting “two-year”.

SA 3133. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4638, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2025 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title XV, add the following:

Subtitle E—SAFE Orbit Act

SEC. 1549. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Situational Awareness of Flying Elements in Orbit Act” or the “SAFE Orbit Act”.

SEC. 1550. SPACE SITUATIONAL AWARENESS AND SPACE TRAFFIC COORDINATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Commerce shall facilitate safe operations in space and encourage the development of commercial space capabilities by acquiring and disseminating unclassified data, analytics, information, and services on space activities.

(b) **IMMUNITY.**—The United States, any agencies and instrumentalities thereof, and any individuals, firms, corporations, and other persons acting for the United States, including nongovernmental entities, shall be immune from any suit in any court for any cause of action arising from the provision or receipt of space situational awareness services or information, whether or not provided in accordance with this section, or any related action or omission.

(c) **ACQUISITION OF DATA.**—The Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Space Commerce (established under section 50702(b) of title 51, United States Code, as amended by section 1551) is authorized to acquire—

(1) data, analytics, information, and services, including with respect to—

(A) location tracking data;

(B) positional and orbit determination information; and

(C) conjunction data messages; and

(2) such other data, analytics, information, and services as the Secretary of Commerce determines necessary to avoid collisions of space objects.

(d) **DATABASE ON SATELLITE LOCATION AND BEHAVIOR.**—The Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Space Commerce shall provide access for the public, at no charge, a fully updated, unclassified database of information concerning space objects and behavior that includes—

(1) the data and information acquired under subsection (c), except to the extent that such data or information is classified or a trade secret (as defined in section 1839 of title 18, United States Code); and