

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 17 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1330

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BABIN) at 1 o'clock and 30 minutes p.m.

RESCISSIONS ACT OF 2025

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 499, I call up the bill (H.R. 4) to rescind certain budget authority proposed to be rescinded in special messages transmitted to the Congress by the President on June 3, 2025, in accordance with section 1012(a) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 499, the bill is considered read.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Rescissions Act of 2025".

SEC. 2. RESCISSIONS OF BUDGET AUTHORITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to the special message transmitted by the President on June 3, 2025, to the House of Representatives and the Senate proposing the rescission of budget authority under section 1012 of part B of title X of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 682 et seq.), the rescissions described under subsection (b) shall take effect immediately upon the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) RESCISSIONS.—The rescissions described in this subsection are as follows:

(1) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "International Organizations—Contributions to International Organizations" made available by the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2024 (division F of Public Law 118-47), \$33,008,764 are permanently rescinded.

(2) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "International Organizations—Contributions to International Organizations" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$168,837,230 are permanently rescinded.

(3) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "International Organizations—Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities" made available by the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2024 (division F of Public Law 118-47), \$203,328,007 are permanently rescinded.

(4) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "International Organizations—Contributions for International Peacekeeping

Activities" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$157,906,000 are permanently rescinded.

(5) Of the unobligated balances in the first paragraph under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Global Health Programs" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$500,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(6) Of the unobligated balances in the second paragraph under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Global Health Programs" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$400,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(7) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Department of State—Migration and Refugee Assistance" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$800,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(8) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Complex Crises Fund" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$43,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(9) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Democracy Fund" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$83,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(10) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Economic Support Fund" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$1,650,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(11) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Multilateral Assistance—International Financial Institutions—Contribution to the Clean Technology Fund" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$125,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(12) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Multilateral Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—International Organizations and Programs" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$436,920,000 are permanently rescinded.

(13) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Development Assistance" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$2,500,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(14) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$460,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(15) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—International Disaster Assistance" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$496,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(16) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "United States Agency for International Development—Funds Appropriated

to the President—Operating Expenses" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$125,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(17) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Funds Appropriated to the President—Transition Initiatives" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$57,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(18) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Independent Agencies—Inter-American Foundation" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$27,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(19) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Bilateral Economic Assistance—Independent Agencies—United States African Development Foundation" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$22,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(20) Of the unobligated balances under the heading "Related Programs—United States Institute of Peace" made available by the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2025 (division A of Public Law 119-4), \$15,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

(21)(A) Amounts made available for "Corporation for Public Broadcasting" for fiscal year 2026 by Public Law 118-47 are hereby permanently rescinded.

(B) Amounts made available for "Corporation for Public Broadcasting" for fiscal year 2027 by Public Law 119-4 are hereby permanently rescinded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill shall be debatable for 1 hour, equally divided and controlled by the majority leader and the minority leader, or their respective designees.

The gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. MCCLAIN) and the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. MCCLAIN).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I request that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the measure under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to voice my strong support for H.R. 4, the Rescissions Act of 2025.

Last November, the American people sent a clear message to Washington: Stop wasting our tax dollars and get our fiscal house in order.

The President and House Republicans heard the American people. We heard them loud and clear. We are keeping our promises to end the waste, the fraud, and the abuse.

This rescissions package sends \$9.4 billion back to the U.S. Treasury. That is \$9.4 billion of savings that taxpayers won't see wasted.

It is their money, Mr. Speaker. It is, along with our One Big Beautiful Bill Act, the first of many important steps

House Republicans are taking to rein in wasteful spending.

In 2024, the Federal Government spent over \$1.8 trillion, and that is just in discretionary spending. The rescissions are only addressing one-half of 1 percent of that total. Democrats would consider this a rounding error.

This administration has spent months combing through our Federal budget, line by line, identifying waste, fraud, and abuse. Let me tell you, Mr. Speaker, there is a lot.

I think the American people would be hard-pressed, or anyone would be hard-pressed, to say that the government runs so efficiently that we can't find any more efficiencies. However, don't let the fear-mongering from my colleagues on the other side of the aisle fool you, Mr. Speaker.

Those claiming that these rescissions will harm people in other countries—I am going to say that again—those Democrats who are saying that these rescissions will harm people in other countries are missing the point. It is about people in our country being put first. It is about putting Americans first. What a concept.

That is because American citizens support ending the waste, the fraud, and the abuse in places like USAID.

A “yes” vote today will stop wasteful spending on programs like \$500,000 for electric buses in Rwanda, \$4 million for bean systems research, \$67,000 for feeding insect powder to children in Madagascar, and \$3 million for Iraqi “Sesame Street.” Lord knows, the Iraqi children need a little Big Bird in their life. It is a good use of \$3 million of taxpayer money. I might want to use the \$3 million on homeless vets.

How about we take some of that cash back.

Mr. Speaker, \$4 million for sedentary migrants in Colombia. That seems like an oxymoron.

There is \$2.5 million for teaching young children, get this, how to make environmentally friendly reproductive health decisions. I scratch my head on that one.

There is \$833,000 for services for transgender people, sex workers and their clients and sexual networks in Nepal.

The list goes on and on. I am sort of embarrassed to read it.

Americans know the Federal Government is wasting money, and they are wasting Americans' taxpayer money. House Republicans are going to stop wasting our tax dollars. It is really simple. We are focused on actually putting the American people first. We are focusing on the American people whom we represent, not whether the people in Zimbabwe have vegan food to eat, and not electric buses in Rwanda. This is what those on the other side of the aisle want to defend: the waste, the fraud, and the abuse. They actually advocate for it.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in voting “yes” to end the insanity and actually put Americans

first. Let's rescind wasteful spending. It is really simple.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DeLAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 5 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to this bill to aid and abet billionaires in stealing from the American people and which does nothing to help the American people with the cost of living.

Since taking office, President Trump and Elon Musk unlawfully have stolen funds appropriated by Congress, passed by Republicans and Democrats in the House and in the Senate, and signed into law by the President, upending the separation of powers and our constitutional order.

For what end?

It is to hand billionaires and the biggest corporations, who already pay little or no taxes, another massive tax break. It is 4.5 trillion of taxpayers' dollars.

Do the Republicans want to talk about wasting taxpayer dollars?

This money is going to the wealthiest people and the biggest corporations. That is where they should save the money.

They want to make our government so broken, so dysfunctional, so starved for resources, and so full of incompetent political lackeys and bereft of experts and professionals that its departments and agencies cannot feasibly achieve the goals and the missions to which they are lawfully directed. That is where we are going.

Ultimately, they want to embrace privatization and give the biggest companies unchecked power. They want to rig the economy against the middle class, workers, and vulnerable families. They want to ensure the American people have no faith in the ability of government to do good in their lives.

Mr. Speaker, there is no inherent authority for the President to impound and steal funds, and there never has been. I don't care if the President is Republican or Democrat. The late Justices Scalia and Rehnquist said so. Chief Justice Roberts and Justice Kavanaugh have said so. By the way, they are no liberal torchbearers.

The Department of Justice and the Government Accountability Office have said so. Over 200 years of American history say so. Our Founding Fathers designed our government so.

Alexander Hamilton said: “But where the purse is lodged in one branch, and the sword in another, there can be no danger.” The power of the purse resides in the United States Congress.

This rescissions package dated May 28, submitted June 3, 134 days after the President began unlawfully freezing funding, is an admission by President Trump and Russ Vought that they are breaking the law by impounding \$425 billion in appropriated funds across the government. They do not care about the consequences for Americans.

This is a bill to codify the rights of billionaires and to rubberstamp the

right of billionaires to steal from rural and vulnerable American communities, children, and the global poor, for the sake of handing even more money to billionaires. Congress needs to fight for the middle class, for the working class, and for the most vulnerable, not to protect the interests of billionaires and big corporations.

Members considering voting for this own the reckless cuts done by Elon Musk.

This is a bill to shut down rural television and radio stations, cutting off coverage of local news, eliminating emergency information like severe weather alerts, and jeopardizing access to PBS KIDS children's programs like “Sesame Street.”

This is a bill to rip lifesaving support away from the hungry, displaced, and sick people in developing countries and conflict zones across the globe and to end programs that treat deadly diseases and prevent pandemics.

This is a bill to abandon the people of Ukraine while they resist Putin's tyranny.

This is a bill to cut \$400 million from PEPFAR, a paragon of American leadership that has saved the lives of 26 million mothers and fathers, sons and daughters. Instead of facing a death sentence, people supported by PEPFAR are raising families, building their communities, and helping their communities grow and develop.

This is a bill that puts America last. When we retreat from the world diplomatically and through our assistance to vulnerable people, then America will be alone, without allies, and in a less stable world without the support of the international community.

Mr. Speaker, do you know who will come out ahead?

China, Russia, and Iran. When people in need see bags of food and flour coming through that say USA, that shows the power of the United States. That now will be traded for food aid from China.

We are here today considering cuts after months of unlawful impoundment, stealing, that will conceal a Republican reconciliation bill to make the billionaires in America even more rich and increase the debt by \$3 trillion. Mr. Speaker, you increase the debt. You rip health insurance away from at least 16 million Americans.

This bill rescinds \$9.4 billion, which Congress just appropriated not even 3 months ago. Now the President is asking the Congress to rubberstamp its proposal to steal from the American people without concern for the consequences. This bill does nothing to help the American people with the cost of living. The President said he was going to bring it down on the first day. He has done nothing but make it worse.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge my colleagues to oppose this bill which forfeits our global leadership, undermines national security, and steals from the American people to hand more wealth to billionaires.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I just want to clarify: We are having a debate on the rescissions package, correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MAST).

Mr. MAST. Mr. Speaker, I could use my minute for a number of things, but I think I am going to use it now to apologize to all of you in the gallery.

I am sorry. You just literally had to listen to somebody lying to all of you directly.

How many times did she say the word “billionaire”?

How many times did she say that we are trying to do something to enrich billionaires?

That is not taking place at all. We are cutting waste, fraud, and abuse.

Billions of dollars have gone to a Canadian lesbian justice foundation, transgender sex workers networks in Nepal, and a whole list of things. I would say that among the definition of things that we could do to eliminate those programs would be the definition of saving the American taxpayer money.

I am going to leave it at that.

They expect you to believe what they say and not believe what you can see with your own eyes.

Let the American people be the judge of whether that is saving you money or whether that is enriching billionaires.

We want to end programs like LGBTQI in the Caribbean, the Balkans, and Uganda, and all the dollars that we put into trying to support those programs that are waste, fraud, and abuse. Our American tax dollars should not be spent in that way.

I am sorry that they are lying to you in this way, but we are going to work through all this and work to save you all money.

I am sorry that you are all being lied to, but you are going to expect to hear more.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair reminds Members that the rules do not allow references to persons in the gallery.

□ 1345

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Maine (Ms. PINGREE), the distinguished ranking member of the Interior and Environmental Subcommittee of Appropriations.

Ms. PINGREE. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend from Connecticut for yielding the time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong opposition to this reckless attack on public media contained within this rescission bill and the millions of Americans who rely on and treasure their

local public television and radio stations.

Every State will feel the impact of this \$1.1 billion rescission. In fact, 70 percent of these cuts will directly impact local public media stations, \$770 million, including more than \$4 million to my State alone.

In rural states like Maine, local stations and networks like Maine Public are often the most accessible and sometimes the only source of news and information.

Whether it is children's programs like Sesame Street and Daniel Tiger, high school basketball tournament coverage, or trusted news shows like Maine Calling, public media has been a vital part of Mainers' lives for generations.

Speaking of lives, these stations literally save lives. They send out information regarding wildfire evacuations, missing child alerts, and winter storm warnings. Storm warnings are pretty common in Maine. If there is an emergency in your town, chances are the local media station is sounding the alarm and giving the information people need to stay safe.

The purpose of public media is to inform, educate, and engage. It makes people's lives better, and it has undoubtedly made our country stronger.

This President wants nothing more than to destroy it.

This is not about fiscal responsibility or finding waste, fraud, and abuse. Mr. Speaker, do you know how much these services cost each taxpayer per year? They cost less than \$2. That is less than one cup of coffee.

It is certainly not about cutting something people don't like because 76 percent of Americans support public media. In this political climate, that kind of consensus is unheard of.

This is about one thing: the President's vendetta against the free press and his authoritarian desire to control the media.

Public media enriches our lives, nourishes our minds, and makes our communities safer. It deserves to be preserved and protected, not gutted to score political points.

I urge my colleagues to vote “no” on this rescission.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Iowa (Mrs. HINSON).

Mrs. HINSON. Mr. Speaker, Iowans overwhelmingly rejected the Washington status quo in November, demanding an end to unelected bureaucrats misusing taxpayer resources.

President Trump's rescissions package cuts \$9.4 billion, including \$3 million for Iraqi “Sesame Street”, \$6 million for net-zero cities in Mexico, and \$1 million for voter ID in Haiti, not here in the United States.

We are only scratching the surface with this package. Imagine being able to redirect those dollars to veterans' care or specialty crop programs in a State like mine.

This package also rescinds funds for NPR and PBS. For decades, public broadcasting has not adhered to objectivity standards enshrined in law. It has pushed biased narratives and forced inappropriate content on children, like a movie celebrating the sex change of a child, and a feature on the racial origins of fat phobia. I am not sure what that means.

Children should not be fed woke propaganda, certainly not on the taxpayer's dime. That is coming from a former broadcaster.

I thank President Trump for exposing this waste and working to protect Americans' hard-earned dollars. I urge my colleagues to join me in passing this bill.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN).

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, if there was fraud, there would be arrests. There is not fraud. There have not been arrests. They are depending on the word of Mr. Musk, who took out a chain saw from the President of Argentina and waved it around, a chain saw. He took a chain saw to the budget, not a scalpel.

If my colleagues want to improve something, improve it. Mr. Speaker, regarding the Kennedy Center, if they want to improve it, they want “Hee Haw,” have “Hee Haw,” but don't get rid of the Kennedy Center.

There will be people who will die. This bill is good for Russia and China and undertakers because it is going to have people dying. Bill Gates said this is the richest man in the world, Musk, taking advantage of and killing the poorest children in the world. They are not going to be getting PEPFAR programs created by George Bush. They are not going to get the sustenance they need which is rotting in ships right now.

Don't end it, mend it. This is a terrible bill. This is Musk's bill on drugs.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to address their remarks to the Chair.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I will just remind you, that is fear-mongering at its best.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BEAN).

Mr. BEAN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chair McCLAIN for the time.

Mr. Speaker, I know there are budget hawks, and I know there are people that care about the deficit, which should be everybody in this room. I know they are getting impatient. They are wondering when is the House going to finally take action and make the DOGE cuts official. The answer, Mr. Speaker, is today.

Today is the day we take the first step in restoring fiscal discipline and getting our Nation back on track. H.R. 4, the Rescissions Act of 2025, cuts unnecessary spending and ensures tax

dollars are not wasted on reckless foreign aid. There will be no more tax dollars to fund DEI programs in Serbia. There will be no more taxes to fund DEI musicals in Ireland and no more tax dollars to fund electric vehicles in Vietnam.

Taxpayers, Mr. Speaker, have said enough is enough. I say enough is enough. Let's pass this bill, Mr. Speaker, and let's go get them.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL).

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, this rescissions package is all about going back on the agreements that Republicans just made in March. It is about the fact that Congress had approved this funding before Elon Musk took a literal chain saw, an illegal chain saw, to foreign aid and public broadcasting and a whole bunch of other things that Americans care about. Then guess what? The courts said no.

Now, Trump has ordered his minions here in this House to go back on their own agreements and undermine their own authority to appropriate money, all so that they can legitimize his sometimes shadow president, Elon Musk.

This is yet another betrayal bill. It slashes U.S. USAID funding even more, and the cuts have already killed 300,000 people across the world. It will kill even more. It destroys our global leadership, and it is yet another attack on free speech in our country by slashing money for public TV and broadcasting that are many Americans' only source of news, weather, and children's programming.

Vote "no" on this bill. It is another betrayal.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, we can have free speech in America. The government just doesn't need to fund it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. MESSMER).

Mr. MESSMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 4, the Rescissions Act of 2025.

For decades, Americans have been waiting for their leadership to deliver on the promise that fiscal responsibility was on its way.

Wasteful spending of taxpayer dollars has been overlooked for far too long. For far too many years, leaders have kicked the can down the road, hoping a future Congress would make the hard decisions required to stop the financial bleeding.

As a proud Hoosier, I know that if we are doing our best to live within our means as a family, the government should do no less.

Congress must deliver on the promise of safeguarding American taxpayer dollars. I am proud to vote today to make this first initial step to eliminate wasteful government spending.

The Department of Government Efficiency did our country a great service in lifting the veil on how much fraud, abuse, and terrible waste of taxpayer dollars is truly happening in our government agencies.

By passing the Rescissions Act today, I join my colleagues in showing Americans that we hear them and we know that this change must be made. It is high time we work toward getting our fiscal house in order, and this is the first step.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MEEKS), the distinguished ranking member of the Foreign Affairs Committee.

Mr. MEEKS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for allowing me to speak.

Mr. Speaker, this rescissions package isn't about fiscal responsibility. It is about abandoning America's legacy of compassion, ceding global leadership, and turning our back on the most vulnerable.

The President's demand to slash \$8.3 billion in lifesaving foreign assistance is plunging America deep into moral bankruptcy. Despite what Secretary Rubio and others have falsely claimed, cuts to U.S. foreign assistance have caused unnecessary deaths around the world. The cuts in this rescissions package, based on outright lies, will cost many more lives.

Now, maybe they don't care about lives, but I think we have a moral obligation that we should. My Republican colleagues should know better. Why? Just months ago they voted for this funding. They understood, and I believe they understand now if they would tell the truth, that we all should know that foreign assistance is smart policy and a national security imperative. Rescinding these funds now will have real and immediate consequences.

This package cuts \$400 million from PEPFAR. These aren't Democratic pet projects as some would have you believe. PEPFAR was established by President George W. Bush and has saved more than 26 million lives, while slowing the spread of HIV/AIDS around the world. Cutting these kinds of funds is another death sentence for children and women who depend upon lifesaving medication that costs us just pennies.

It cuts \$1.3 billion from humanitarian assistance, while over 300 million people around the world urgently need food, shelter, and medical care. These aren't abstract numbers. These are real lives that are at stake.

To justify these cuts, the President has misled the public about the very agencies carrying them out. Take USAID, for example. It has never failed an audit, never been found out of compliance with laws or regulations. In 2022, it ranked third among nine Federal agencies for evidence-based budgeting and decisionmaking.

Let's contrast that with the Department of Defense, who is getting more

money. The Department of Defense has failed its audit. Mr. Speaker, 7 years in a row they have failed their audit. If this was truly about waste, fraud, or abuse, we would be having a lot different conversation here this afternoon.

This package also slashes funding for UNICEF, which works to protect children around the world. It means yanking more than 40 million kids out of school. It eliminates programs that help almost 4 million people have clean drinking water.

Do you know who is excited about this package? I can tell you who is excited about it. China, Russia, North Korea, Iran, they are excited about this package. They would love to do this themselves if they could, because they know that this takes away our strength and our relationships, and then they will try to jump in. They are laughing. They are happy because they see us surrendering, because that is what this is. This is a surrender.

We cannot be fooled by this budget talk. You know what? Look at it. These funds really are less than 1 percent of our overall Federal budget, less than 1 percent.

Meanwhile, if you look at what the Trump administration and our GOP colleagues are doing, they are actually adding deficits of trillions of dollars to give tax breaks to billionaires.

This is not really about fiscal restraint. Saving lives is what we should be talking about. Those billions of dollars that they are going to get in tax cuts are not going to change the lives of those billionaires.

Let us reject this rescission bill. Let us vote "no" and restore the money to save lives.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. HARRIS).

□ 1400

Mr. HARRIS of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, if we can't unite and say no to exporting transgender ideology abroad, say no to taxpayer-funded left-wing propaganda, and say no to funding pet projects that put America last, then what is the point of being called a Republican?

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge my colleagues to defund NPR, PBS, and USAID. For decades, Republicans have campaigned on being fiscal conservatives, but all we have to show for it is a national debt skyrocketing toward \$37 trillion.

Let me be clear: There is nothing fiscally conservative about forcing taxpayers to fund vegan food promotion in Zambia or voter ID in Haiti. We were sent here to do government differently, and nothing could be a clearer test of our commitment than to pass this rescissions package.

The time to act is today. If we continue on our track, our grandchildren will be enslaved to a debt that will dwarf our current monstrosity.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. CLARK), the distinguished Democratic whip.

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, Sunday is Father's Day, and what do dads want? They want a fair shot. They want to know that if they work hard, they can provide for their families. Yet, right now, most families in America can't even afford the basics.

Mr. Speaker, 60 percent of families in the richest nation on Earth cannot afford the first rung of the American Dream. What are my Republican colleagues doing about that?

The majority voted for a big, ugly bill that makes it even harder to raise a child in America. It takes away healthcare from 16 million Americans. It takes food benefits from working parents. My colleagues on the other side of the aisle are cutting half a trillion dollars from Medicare and hiking the costs of everyday items with their tariffs, all to fund tax breaks for the billionaire class.

That alone is a betrayal of working families, but the GOP is not stopping there. My Republican colleagues are not stopping at dismantling people's financial security. Today, Republicans are threatening their physical security because when you defund public broadcasting, you are defunding emergency broadcasts during hurricane season, tornado season, and wildfire season.

That is not even enough. The majority has to take even more. Today, my colleagues are also voting to dismantle our national security.

There are three shields that defend our homeland: defense, diplomacy, and development. When you destroy our capacity to address famine, control disease, and build infrastructure in developing nations, you are ceding our power to our rivals. You are planting the seeds of future wars.

A prominent Republican said this week that he just couldn't justify these investments. In this debate, I have heard that this is about putting America first. What are Republicans talking about? They just cut food programs and healthcare for American families.

Here is what my Republican colleagues fundamentally don't seem to understand: There is no security for billionaires in this country without security for a hungry child. There is no security for the ultrarich donors without security for working parents.

In the words of President Kennedy: "If a free society cannot help the many who are poor, it cannot save the few who are rich."

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to please vote "no."

Ms. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN).

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is really simple. Don't spend money on stupid things, and don't subsidize biased media.

There are all kinds of media that are biased. They can take care of themselves. MSNBC proves that every single day.

Think about the statement just made about NPR. Uri Berliner, an award-winning journalist who worked for NPR for over 20 years, decided NPR had lost its way and asked a basic question: What is the political affiliation of the editors for NPR in the Washington, D.C., area? Guess what he found? Eighty-seven editors' political affiliation was 87 Democrats, zero Republicans—not 44-43, not 50-37, not 60-27. It was 87-0.

This bill simply says to stop subsidizing—they can make money on their own; all the other media outlets do it—and quit spending taxpayer money, hard-earned taxpayer money, on stupid things.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), the distinguished Democratic leader.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentlewoman from the great State of Connecticut for yielding and for her tremendous leadership in pushing back against this reckless Republican rescissions package.

It is extraordinary to me that, once again, we are on the floor of the House of Representatives not debating legislation that Republicans bring forward, Mr. Speaker, that is designed to lower the high cost of living in the United States of America, a promise that Republicans repeatedly made last year to the American people and now have repeatedly broken.

Throughout this Congress, not a single bill has been brought to the House floor by my Republican colleagues that addresses the high cost of living in the United States of America—not a single bill.

House Republicans and President Trump promised that costs were going to go down in the United States of America. In fact, the majority has said that costs were going to go down on day one. Yet, we know that costs haven't gone down in this great country, Mr. Speaker. Costs have gone up.

America is too expensive. Housing costs are too high. Grocery costs are too high. Utility costs are too high. Childcare costs are too high. Insurance costs are too high. America is too expensive.

Republicans have decided that, in the face of this affordability crisis in the United States of America, the majority is going to undermine America's ability to protect our national security through the exercise of soft power and addressing in a bipartisan way the HIV and AIDS epidemic, a program that was first put into place by President George W. Bush and has saved the lives of millions of people across the world and, of course, people here at home by fighting against this epidemic.

America is made safer when we are able to invest in diplomacy, develop-

ment, and democracy across the world, particularly in the face of aggressive efforts by China, Russia, and other malignant actors to move the world in a different place.

Mr. Speaker, this reckless Republican rescissions package will undermine America's national security and undermine public health here in this country and across the world. It actually represents an attack on children.

This is extraordinary to me. We are on the floor of the House of Representatives not debating legislation that is designed to make this country and our economy more affordable but actually debating legislation that targets Elmo, Big Bird, Daniel Tiger, and "Sesame Street." That is what we are doing by going after public broadcasting in the United States of America.

"Sesame Street" has a letter of the day. I believe the letter of the day today is C. How appropriate because this Republican bill is cruel, and it cuts children's programming all across the country.

How can this be that Republicans' priority right now does nothing on the economy, nothing to make life more affordable, and nothing to address the high cost of living? Instead, Republicans are attacking Elmo, "Sesame Street," Big Bird, and Daniel Tiger. Republicans' priorities are all wrong.

This is on top of a GOP tax scam, Mr. Speaker, where Republicans are trying to visit on the American people the largest cuts to healthcare in American history, targeting Medicaid, Medicare, the Affordable Care Act, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and women's health by trying to defund Planned Parenthood. That is what Republicans in this Congress are trying to do through the GOP tax scam, this disgusting abomination, the one big, ugly bill.

My Republican colleagues are going after school lunch programs for children, supplemental nutrition assistance for children, and now children's programming.

That doesn't suggest to me that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are actually trying to strengthen families. This legislation would weaken families. It would weaken our ability to lead throughout the free world and weaken public health and safety for everyday Americans and people all across this country.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote.

Also, I am hopeful that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle will at some point actually decide to focus on the issues that matter, to lift children up, not target them; to lift families up, not target them; to lift older Americans up, not target them; to lift veterans up, not target them; and to lift healthcare for everyday Americans up, not target them. That is what House Democrats will continue to do on behalf of the American people. It is high time that our Republican colleagues join us.

Vote "no" against this reckless Republican rescissions package.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, that was fear-mongering at its best.

I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. DAVIDSON).

Mr. DAVIDSON. Mr. Speaker, America's resources should always serve America's interests, and 22 rescissions to wasteful foreign aid and biased media totaling \$9.4 billion is a good start.

I commend this legislation because as the national debt climbs toward \$37 trillion, U.S. taxpayers deserve a government that serves them, not radical policies abroad or at home.

The reality is that the radical left has seized these institutions and wielded them for an agenda that doesn't serve America's interests. Wasted government revenues siphoned off the backs of Americans' labor through tax dollars should not be tolerated.

I commend the \$1 billion rescission to the Corporation for Public Broadcasting. Taxpayer funding for woke media entities is absurd. NPR and PBS already have a business model that doesn't need to rely on 150 million Americans' taxed income. It does not need to promote any agenda, including their radical agenda, with stuff like what to do if you experience a micro-aggression or some other promotion of their agenda.

Additionally, international institutions have abandoned their original missions to promote development in exchange for radical gender ideological and climate priorities.

That is why I support the rescissions package, and I urge support for the bill.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. LOIS FRANKEL), the distinguished ranking member of the National Security, Department of State, and Related Programs Subcommittee.

□ 1415

Ms. LOIS FRANKEL of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in fierce opposition to the Republicans' reckless rescission bill, a backdoor scheme to claw back critical Federal funding that protects America's global leadership, public safeguards, and trusted public broadcasting, all while bypassing congressional constitutional authority.

Let's be clear: This is not a budget tweak. It is an attack on our values; a direct hit to our national health, safety, and prosperity; and a green light for authoritarian overreach.

This package guts essential investments in international development and humanitarian programs, lifesaving tools that fight disease, prevent terrorism, supports democracy, and open markets for American businesses.

Here is the kicker, Mr. Speaker: Congress approved this funding just 2 months ago, and Donald Trump signed it into law. Now, Republicans want to rip it back to cover up the massive hole they are blowing in the deficit with tax giveaways for billionaires. Their so-called fiscal responsibility comes at

the expense of starving children, crumbling democracies, and public-private partnerships that channel American innovation to solve the world's toughest challenges.

Mr. Speaker, foreign assistance, which has long represented just about 1 percent of our national budget, is not charity. It is strategy.

Don't take my word for it. Military leaders from both parties have warned us for years. If we fail to lead with soft power, we will end up paying in blood, bombs, and more boots on the ground.

Cutting foreign assistance will: deepen desperation, fuel extremism, and push fragile societies toward collapse. When that happens, we all pay the price. Refugee crises will surge. Diseases will spread, and trade routes will shut down. Our troops and diplomats face greater danger, and our homeland security is weakened.

Here is an example of what is on the chopping block: PEPFAR, which has saved over 26 million lives and nearly 8 million babies from being born with HIV; food assistance, which stabilizes communities and creates agricultural markets; and public-private partnerships that channel American innovation to solve the world's toughest challenges.

Let's talk about what happens when we pull back. When the United States steps away, others step in and not with good intentions. China is flooding developing countries with infrastructure loans and digital surveillance systems. Russia is exporting mercenaries and disinformation. While we are debating whether to send a bag of rice or a clean water pump, our adversaries are buying influence, forging military alliances, and rewriting the rules of global engagement.

This is not theoretical. This is happening right now. Let's take Africa, for example, a region poised to become one-quarter of the world's population. U.S. assistance has helped build stability there through nutrition programs, education, and public health. If we walk away now, we are not just abandoning our values. We are surrendering one of the world's fastest growing marketplaces to China.

Let's be clear: Regions we assist aren't just aid recipients. They are emerging economies and future trading partners. Pulling back opens the door for authoritarian regimes like China to dominate the future, commerce, connectivity, and development.

This is not just cruel. It is strategically self-defeating and economically foolish.

Make no mistake, this rescission package is Project 2025 in action—dismantling our institutions, silencing oversight, and handing U.S. global leadership over to the dictators on a silver platter, leaving us alone in the world.

This bill attempts to rubberstamp the Trump administration's illegal actions, blocking funding already approved by Congress, firing career public

servants, and hollowing out entire agencies.

Let's be honest. These are not going to lower the costs for American families. It is not going to reduce the deficit, but it will make the world more dangerous.

It will make Americans less safe and less prosperous.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" on this bill.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE).

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, I have heard from my colleagues on the other side that we should be focused on issues that matter, that we should be thinking about our kids.

As I lay awake at night here in Washington—I have only been here a couple months, but as bad as I thought it was going to be. It is far worse because Rome is burning and too many people in this building say that that is a great opportunity to roast marshmallows.

The fact of the matter is, if we can't make these cuts, which are as simple and as easy as you would think—\$6 million to fund media in Gaza, funding PBS and NPR—even if it was a good idea, you can't do it when you are borrowing trillions of dollars a year.

If we can't make these cuts, which should be the easiest imaginable cuts, then there is no hope for us. I am grateful to my leadership for bringing this forward. I am grateful to President Trump for doing it, but make no mistake: This is only the first step if we want to put out the fire.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. KELLY).

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to President Trump's rescission package that steals \$400 million from PEPFAR, a lifesaving global health program.

Let me remind my colleagues that PEPFAR is a bipartisan effort first led by Congresswoman Barbara Lee and signed by President George W. Bush. It has been reauthorized on a bipartisan basis four times.

Right now, Republicans who are supportive of PEPFAR are calling the White House seeking assurances that it will be protected. Do not listen to the President's empty promises. Nothing in this bill protects PEPFAR.

If I were to put it simply, PEPFAR saves lives. It has already saved 26 million lives. That is indisputable, and it should be enough to continue to fund one of the most successful global health programs in history.

If I cannot appeal to my colleague's humanitarian side, perhaps they will listen to all the ways PEPFAR serves American interests. PEPFAR is a cornerstone of global health progress and U.S. diplomacy. If Congress was to bow to President Trump's demands to pull PEPFAR funding, it would leave a gap for China to fill.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE), the sponsor of the

bill and the majority leader of the House.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend, our conference chair (Mrs. McCLAIN) for managing this time on this important bill.

Mr. Speaker, a lot of people come up here, and they talk about trying to get America's fiscal house in order. They talk about the idea of getting back to balanced Federal budgets. I don't know many people here who are against it.

I know later in this Congress when we put a bill on the floor to require a balanced Federal budget, there will be many Democrats, Mr. Speaker, who will vote "no" on that because when it comes time to actually put your votes on the board to back up the principles that most Americans relish, they walk away. They won't be there when it actually matters.

Everybody can talk about cutting wasteful spending, even if you don't necessarily agree that it is wasteful spending. However, what we are bringing today is wasteful spending, even if you think it might not be as bad as some other things you don't agree with. We all have to agree, Mr. Speaker, that as we just saw a few weeks ago, Moody's downgraded the credit rating of the United States.

It wasn't a recommendation, Mr. Speaker. It wasn't a warning that if you folks don't get your fiscal house in order this might happen. They actually did it. They downgraded the credit rating of the United States because spending has to get under control. The party over there that spent the last 4 years under President Biden spending like drunken sailors, in all due respect to drunken sailors because they don't spend this bad, but the trillions of dollars of debt that were racked up had consequences, Mr. Speaker.

It had consequences not only in terms of our national debt, but it affected the pocketbooks of families all across America because that bloated spending in Washington, which is money we don't have, by the way; and it increased inflation to the point where people couldn't even go to the grocery store to fill up their carts. They couldn't afford to go to the gas station to fill up their cars. They couldn't afford to take out a loan to buy a house because interest rates had gotten so high that today we are spending more money servicing our interest than we are on America's national debt.

These are all real, dramatic facts that concern most Americans. They say: Thank goodness Congress is finally bringing a bill to the floor to start cutting some spending that aren't priorities.

You can call it wasteful if you want. Call it whatever you want, but these aren't things that we can afford to spend, even if you agree with them, because it is not money we have in the bank. It is all borrowed money, Mr. Speaker.

Where did we start? The White House laid out a number of things, but they

looked at that USAID program. They didn't gut the whole thing, but they said there are a number of things that are being done that just don't represent the values of the United States and are being done in foreign countries while we don't have our fiscal house in order here in America, so how about we start there.

I know the Democrats on the other side are acting like, oh, my God, the world is going to stop spinning on its axis if this spending goes away, so why don't we talk about some of the spending that will go away.

Mr. Speaker, again, it is borrowed money, not money we just took out of the bank. It is money we borrowed from countries like China to spend that is a debt to our kids.

To each one of these I list, Mr. Speaker, you should ask: If you vote "yes," you are finally relieving that debt burden, but if you vote "no," you think it is okay to send this bill to our kids. We are not paying for it today, Mr. Speaker, but our kids would if we keep doing it.

If the other side votes no, they want to keep borrowing money from our children to spend a million dollars on voter ID in Haiti. This is the same party that doesn't want voter ID in America, calls it racist, wants to fund voter ID in Haiti. They want to spend \$6 million for net-zero cities in Mexico. I know some of their best supporters are waving a Mexican flag in an American city right now, and they support those efforts. Most Americans don't support those efforts, Mr. Speaker. They want to spend \$3 million for "Iraqi Sesame Street." The minority leader held up a "Sesame Street" character here on the floor as if "Sesame Street" is somehow going to go away.

I was just watching a commercial on TV yesterday where the Cookie Monster was actually doing an advertisement for Netflix because a private company is paying money to run "Sesame Street." It is not going away. It is doing just fine. It is very lucrative.

What will go away is some of the far-left radical views that are being espoused. By the way, when this goes away at NPR, you can still turn on about six or seven other channels and get the same far-left radical views, but they are all going to be private companies, Mr. Speaker, not taxpayer-funded entities.

If somebody wants to pay money to go on one of their services that they stream or get over-the-top or however they get their digital content, they can still do that. There are a lot of options. There has never been more options.

Some people joke that they buy their services for their cable or whatever else they get and that there are 200 channels, but they might only watch 4 or 5 of them. There is still going to be a plethora of options for the American people, but if they are paying their hard-earned dollars to go get content, why should your tax dollars go to only one thing that the other side wants to promote?

Let everybody compete on a fair basis. They can still watch "Sesame Street" in Iraq, but let the Iraqi people pay for it, not the taxpayers of the United States of America's children. Today's taxpayers aren't paying for it because it is all borrowed money.

They want to spend \$2.1 million for climate resilience in Southeast Asia, Latin America, and East Africa; \$500,000 for electric buses in Rwanda. Rwanda is more than free to go buy all the electric buses they want or diesel buses. Why should the taxpayers of America be borrowing money from our children to buy electric buses for Rwanda?

They want to spend \$33,000 for "Being LGBTQI in the Caribbean." It is taxpayer money that a "no" vote today says is more important than strengthening a program like Social Security. I say not.

□ 1430

Also included is \$643,000 for LGBTQI+ programs in the Western Balkans. This is borrowed money.

Mr. Speaker, there is \$567,000 for LGBTQI+ programs in Uganda and \$5.1 million to strengthen the "resilience of lesbian, gay, bisexual, intersex, and queer global movements." I am not sure what that global movement is. They can continue that movement in some other way, but just not with the taxpayer dollars of the United States of America's children.

Again, there is no bank account that \$5.1 million came out of. It is all borrowed money that a "no" vote says is more important than strengthening Social Security.

There is \$135 million in contributions to the World Health Organization, which we all saw during COVID was the mouthpiece for the Chinese Communist Party. I would imagine if we stop this \$135 million funding, the CCP may pick it up because they were regurgitating their talking points during COVID.

At some point, Mr. Speaker, the question we have all got to answer is: Number one, do you believe in fiscal responsibility? Maybe some people have other priorities than these they would like to defund. If you think these are all things that are worth borrowing money from our children to fund, then that is what the "no" vote represents.

If you think it is time we start somewhere, and here is the place to finally start—not to finish, just the beginning—getting control over spending and respecting those families who are working hard, who are working two shifts at the diner to pay taxes on tips that will soon go away if our One Big Beautiful Bill Act passes, or somebody who is working overtime because you want to send your kid to college and you find out this is where your taxpayer dollars are going and you are disgusted and say, when will somebody do something about it, then today is the day to do something about it.

Talk is cheap. Put the action on the floor. Let's finally get control over

spending in a small way. Start a bigger picture toward a balanced budget. It starts here.

Vote “yes,” to get this done, and let’s keep moving forward to strengthen this great country. I urge a “yes” vote.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DOGGETT).

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, where lies are king, the truth is the enemy. Institutions that spread knowledge are defamed as “enemies of the people.” NPR and PBS are targeted here today precisely because they are so good at delivering the truth. Like millions, I tune into both of them daily.

Trump tweets: “. . . RADICAL LEFT ‘MONSTERS THAT SO BADLY HURT OUR COUNTRY!’” What hurts Trump are facts when he demands flat-tery.

This attempt to quash thorough, objective NPR and PBS reporting is a sign of Trump’s weakness, of his drive toward tyranny. Trump doesn’t want a country of engaged, informed Americans. He prefers those who salute on command, like for his North Korean-style, taxpayer-funded, wasteful \$45 million birthday bash this Saturday.

Reject these dangerous DOGE cuts and defend the right of the American people to know.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. McDOWELL). Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. MCCLINTOCK).

Mr. MCCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, this is the first of what needs to be many rescission bills stopping the waste of public funds.

The absurd expenditures the majority leader just listed speak volumes about the scandalous corruption and carelessness of a bloated government. Every dollar comes from working American families struggling to pay both their bills and their taxes.

Now, this waste is enabled by two classes of expenditures that we should foreswear forever. One is grants, cash handouts to political favorites with little accountability or oversight, whether directly or through agencies like USAID. The other is subsidies that replace the judgment of consumers with that of politicians, distorting the flow of capital from its highest and best use.

As we express our outrage at this waste and take this first step to claw it back, let us remember that Congress approved all of it, and it is time that we repented before we bankrupt our country.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BEYER).

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, people will die. That is not hyperbole. It is reality.

When you cut PEPFAR, which is the signature program that President George W. Bush created to eradicate the AIDS epidemic, people will die.

OMB Director Russ Vought confirmed that the bill cuts PEPFAR by \$400 million.

When you cut funding to family planning programs, tens of millions will be denied modern contraceptives, resulting in millions of unintended pregnancies and tens of thousands of preventable pregnancy-related deaths.

When you cut funding for international peacekeeping and to people escaping violence, people will die.

When we reduce development assistance that ensures people have access to food and clean water, people will die.

When you cut money to Ukraine for food security and energy grid resilience, more people will die in their war against the Russian invasion.

USAID is our strongest soft power vehicle. Even if you don’t care about how many people will die, it is one of our strongest assets to saving money by decreasing military conflict risk.

I also want to speak up for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, which provides critical kids’ educational programs.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is bad for America. People will die.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I can’t take the fear-mongering anymore. Let me correct the truth with the other half of the truth. We are talking about PEPFAR: \$4.4 billion, and we are talking about cutting less than 1 percent from that whole entire program.

We are not saying that you have to cut AIDS. Perhaps let me tell you what other programs that we could cut for the American people. You are saying that people are going to die because you want to cut the funding. We are not saying that.

How about we do this? How about we cut \$3 million for circumcisions, vasectomies, and condoms in Zambia? How about that? How about we cut \$5.1 million that is used to strengthen the resilience of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, and queer global movement? I have got an idea. How about instead of spending it there, how about we spend that money and invest in American healthcare? I could go on and on, but this fear-mongering has absolutely got to stop.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SMUCKER).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to address their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, this bill represents a tiny fraction of the total we are spending annually. It is a tiny fraction, 0.2 percent, one-fifth of a percent of what President Biden added to the debt over the last 4 years. Yet, Democrats are saying that these savings are cruel. They literally said this will destroy America as we know it. No one outside of the beltway will take them seriously.

Have my Democratic colleagues even looked at what is in this bill before at-

tacking? Is it cruel to suggest that American taxpayers shouldn’t have to pay \$60,000 to celebrate DEI in the Netherlands or \$30,000 to foster “queer-feminist discourse” in Albania?

Is it cruel to cut a program spending \$700,000 to expand fruit and jam sales in Honduras?

By the way, speaking of jam, my last name is Smucker, but even I know that is a bad deal.

Mr. Speaker, I can tell you what will destroy America as we know it, and that is this unsustainable debt that we are passing on to our kids and grandkids. I urge my colleagues to support this rescission package.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN).

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, last month Secretary Rubio responded to me and said no one had died as a result of the gutting of USAID, but Boston University School of Public Health shows us that 300,000 people have died already because they were cut off from food and medicine for tuberculosis, AIDS, and malaria.

The Center for Global Development says that 3.3 million preventable deaths will occur because of the gutting of USAID, but they won’t just die there. Americans will die, too, because when malaria, tuberculosis, and AIDS infect anyone in the world, those diseases replicate. They mutate, and they migrate back here in more virulent forms.

Evan Anzoo, a 5-year-old boy, was cut off from his HIV medications in February and died. He is the first to die at the hands of Elon Musk and Donald Trump.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that we observe a full minute of silence in the memory of this child victim. I ask unanimous consent. Let us remember Evan Anzoo.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GILL).

Mr. GILL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, if FOX News or talk radio or any other conservative media outlet came to me and asked us if we should provide them with taxpayer-funded handouts, I would say no, and I believe that most of my colleagues would as well.

I certainly think that far-left propaganda outlets like NPR should be defunded. Remember, this is an outlet that believes writing articles about fat phobia and queer animals constitutes serious journalism. They have the right to say whatever they want to, but they do not have the right to our tax dollars.

To my colleagues who say that this is only a small part of our budget, I would ask them what, outside of border security and national defense, would they like to cut from our budget? They have never seen a foreign country they don’t want to shower with our tax dollars or an illegal alien that they don’t want to give welfare to.

This is a logical first step to get our finances under control. I urge my colleagues to vote for this rescissions bill.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER).

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, more than any other day I have been in Congress, the cruelty of the Trump administration and the Republican majority is on display. They are asking us to rubber-stamp Elon Musk's cuts to PEPFAR, which saves millions of lives treating people with HIV, funding for clean water, funding to fight malaria, funding to help people starving from famine.

Donald Trump and Republicans are doing this at the same time they are giving trillions of tax cuts to the rich. While they are at it, they are also cutting educational programming for children, like PBS.

Why are they doing this? It is not to reduce the deficit because this \$9 billion is a fraction of a fraction of the tax cuts they are trying to pass for billionaires. These are the richest people in the world taking food and medicine away from the poorest children in the world.

I have news for Elon Musk and Donald Trump and the billionaires: No matter how much money you have, no matter how many poor people you take food and medicine away from, it will not fill that hole inside of you.

To my colleagues in the House: History will judge us today. You have the chance to be heroes. Vote "no."

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. McDOWELL).

Mr. McDOWELL. Mr. Speaker, today we do what lifelong bureaucrats in this town fear most: We cut their funding.

For far too long, Washington bureaucrats have taken advantage of the American taxpayer, sending their hard-earned money to fund the corrupt foreign aid complex, and pushing government funding for educational programs that groom our children. That stops today.

The Rescissions Act of 2025 is not just a bill. It is a part of a much larger course correction. This bill rips back over \$9 billion from the clutches of globalist NGOs, projects that fund radical gender ideology, and the green new scam.

The great people of North Carolina didn't send me here to manage decline. They sent me here to help President Trump usher in the new golden age. Let the left scream. Let the media moan and groan, but today we are putting Americans first and looking out for their hard-earned tax dollars. I urge a "yes" vote.

□ 1445

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE).

Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this package. It is embarrassing. It is trifling. This is a funding package that Republicans actually agreed to and voted on last Congress when they were

in the majority. Republicans must be missing Biden now because they actually had more influence under him than under Trump.

Now, Republicans are going back on their own agreement and giving up their own power with this package. The real question is, why show up here if you have an orange daddy doing your work for you?

This vote actually puts every Republican on record as supporting Elon's attack on democracy when even Steve Bannon said that Elon and DOGE found no waste.

With this package, Republicans are one step closer to a country that looks more like the adversaries we profess to oppose. Americans will be left to clean up this mess, and we will have zero standing in the international community and zero claim to global leadership while you all have killed off Elmo.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this trash.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SMUCKER). Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I never realized Elmo was more important to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle than the American people, but anyway.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEUSER).

Mr. MEUSER. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairwoman McCLAIN for her leadership.

Mr. Speaker, during President Trump's first term, we had progrowth policies, a progrowth economy, and tax revenue growth. Even after COVID, the Biden administration accelerated the deficit with unchecked spending, causing the deficit to double, which increased service on the debt from \$400 billion in 2019 to nearly \$1 trillion last year. That is why this package takes steps to rein in irresponsible agencies. Some have been taken over by ideologues doing things that are simply not in the interest of the American people.

Maybe USAID has done some good things, but they have also done many things that were totally inappropriate. Agencies like this need to be defunded or reformed. The American people aren't going to put up with it anymore.

To that end, why is the government still funding public television and radio when most people have hundreds of channels to get their news and information from and when these stations are some of the most partisan stations out there? Can you imagine, as was stated earlier, if a conservative station was funded? The left would have screamed, vilified, and exterminated it a long while ago.

Mr. Speaker, let's pass this rescissions package, codify President Trump's spending reductions into law, and save our country along the way.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. BOEBERT).

Ms. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chair for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support today of H.R. 4, President Trump's big, beautiful rescissions package.

This bill slashes radical foreign aid at the State Department, USAID, and the U.S. Institute of Peace for woke gender ideology and equity nonsense that mocks American values. Why are we bankrolling wokeism abroad when ranchers and small business owners in my district are struggling?

H.R. 4 defunds PBS and NPR's biased media circus. For years, taxpayers have been forced to subsidize a public media system that is less about informing and more about indoctrinating.

To my friends across the aisle, I know you love your globalist pet projects, but the American people are fed up. The voters that sent us here are watching, and they are done seeing their dollars shipped overseas and funneled into biased media.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 4 is a victory for taxpayers, and I urge its adoption.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. ONDER).

Mr. ONDER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 4 to codify President Trump's DOGE tax cuts.

Americans have been awash in unsustainable debt and waste, and Americans have known it long before DOGE began its work. What many didn't realize is just how bad the problem is: \$14 million in cash vouchers for illegals at the southern border, \$3.3 million for being LGBTQ in the Caribbean, \$47,000 for a transgender opera in Colombia, and \$1.5 million to promote LGBTQ employment in Serbia, not to mention the hundreds of millions wasted on the politically biased government media known as NPR.

Enough is enough. We must rein in Washington's reckless spending and hold bureaucrats accountable.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me and put Americans and fiscal sanity first in what I hope will be the first of many rescission bills.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, may I ask how much time remains on each side.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Michigan has 8 minutes remaining.

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. WEBSTER).

Mr. WEBSTER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, the American people have called on

their elected officials to do what they asked, and that is to cut waste, fraud, and abuse. Today, we deliver.

Together with President Trump, we are eliminating billions of dollars of spending, this wasteful spending on leftwing organizations that mock millions of Americans. We will also eliminate wasteful spending on radical programs in lands far, far away that do not put America first.

I have consistently voted to cut the spigot of spending, and I will continue that record by voting to pass this bill today. I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MOORE).

Mr. MOORE of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, as chairman of the RSC Rescissions Task Force, I am proud to support this bill to codify the DOGE cuts.

The American people know waste, fraud, and abuse when they see it. They want us to eliminate Democrats' radical agenda, including \$5.1 million for global LGBTQ movements, \$3 million for circumcisions in Zambia, and \$833,000 for transgender surgeries in Nepal.

This package also ensures tax dollars aren't used to weaponize media against the American people. The CEO of NPR called President Trump a fascist while claiming her network is unbiased. These outlets can be as insane as they want—they might want to check CNN's ratings before doing that—but they are just not going to do it with American taxpayer dollars anymore.

This rescissions package is only the first step, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. HARRIGAN).

Mr. HARRIGAN. Mr. Speaker, the American people are sick and tired of watching Washington burn through their paychecks on wasteful, ridiculous, and downright offensive projects. This rescissions package is our chance to prove that we still remember who we work for here in Washington.

We are cutting \$2.5 million for electric vehicles in Vietnam, \$47,000 for transgender surgeries in Colombia, and nearly \$10 billion for NPR, PBS, and other bloated liberal pet projects that have no place in a responsible budget.

Washington acts like taxpayer dollars are theirs to waste, but they are not. Every cent belongs to the men and women who work long hours, pay their bills, and expect their government to act with a shred of discipline. This is about more than numbers. It is about trust. It is about respect. And it is about time.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to pass this bill and prove that Congress can still do the right thing.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from South Carolina (Ms. MACE).

Ms. MACE. Mr. Speaker, under President Donald Trump's leadership, this rescissions package codifies \$9.4 billion in DOGE cuts by canceling some of the most egregious Biden-era waste, fraud, and abuse.

Here is just some of the funding that we are canceling today: \$4 million for sedentary migrants in Colombia; \$3 million for circumcision, vasectomies, and condoms in Zambia; \$5.1 million to strengthen the resilience of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, and queer global movements; \$3 million for Iraqi "Sesame Street"; \$2.5 million for teaching young children how to make environmentally friendly reproductive health decisions; and \$833,000 for services for transgender people, sex workers and their clients, and sexual networks in Nepal.

The American people are begging Congress to get off its ass, codify the DOGE cuts, and stop this taxpayer-funded nonsense. Failure is not an option.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert into the RECORD the text of Representative KELLY's amendment immediately prior to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, President Trump promised to bring down the cost of living. He made it worse. He is illegally stealing congressionally approved taxpayer dollars, and these are services for the American people.

If my colleagues want to deal with waste, fraud, and abuse, they have to deal with cutting back on the \$4.5 trillion tax cut for billionaires that the Republicans supported and voted on, tax cuts for billionaires and the biggest corporations.

If my colleagues vote for this bill, they own every reckless cut crafted by Elon Musk. They are rubberstamping illegal cuts, and they own it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Mrs. MCCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, I want to be clear. These rescissions should get bipartisan support. We, as elected officials on both sides of the aisle, have been entrusted with the hard-earned tax dollars of the American people.

The duly elected President of the United States has asked this Congress to protect the American people's tax dollars. It is the least we can do. The administration has identified waste, fraud, and abuse of American taxpayer dollars. It is our responsibility as Rep-

resentatives to act on those abuses and rescind the money.

All of us should be able to vote to eliminate \$45 million in duplicative spending in the African development program. We should all be able to support eliminating \$1.7 billion for radical gender projects in the Economic Support Fund. Every Member of this Chamber should be able to support a rescission of \$2.5 billion in the development of assistance programs, which bankrolled corrupt leaders in foreign countries.

Mr. Speaker, the American people are watching their Representatives today, emphasis on "their Representatives." They are watching to see if their Representatives have the courage to actually fight for them or will their Representatives defend waste, fraud, and abuse of the bloated Federal Government.

I can say with certainty that House Republicans will always put the American people first, and I hope the other side of the aisle might have the courage to join us.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to join me in voting "yes" on H.R. 4 so that we can take the necessary first step in rescinding the wasteful spending of American taxpayer dollars.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1500

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 499, the previous question is ordered on the bill.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. YAKYM). The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Kelly of Illinois moves to recommit the bill H.R. 4 to the Committee on Appropriations.

The material previously referred to by Ms. DELAURO is as follows:

Ms. Kelly of Illinois moves to recommit the bill H.R. 4 to the Committee on Appropriations with the following amendment: Strike section 2(b)(6).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XIX, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER
PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Passage of S. 331;

The motion to recommit H.R. 4;

Passage of H.R. 4, if ordered;

The motion to recommit H.R. 2056; and

Passage of H.R. 2056, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

HALT ALL LETHAL TRAFFICKING
OF FENTANYL ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on passage of the bill (S. 331) to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to the scheduling of fentanyl-related substances, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 321, nays 104, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 166]

YEAS—321

Adams	Collins	Garamendi
Aderholt	Comer	Garbarino
Alford	Conaway	Gill (TX)
Allen	Costa	Gillen
Amo	Courtney	Gimenez
Amodi (NV)	Craig	Golden (ME)
Arrington	Crane	Goldman (TX)
Auchincloss	Crank	Gonzales, Tony
Babin	Crawford	Gonzalez, V.
Bacon	Crenshaw	Gooden
Baird	Cuellar	Goodlander
Balderson	Dauids (KS)	Gosar
Barr	Davidson	Gottheimer
Barrett	Davis (NC)	Graves
Baumgartner	De La Cruz	Gray
Bean (FL)	DeLauro	Green (TN)
Begich	DelBene	Greene (GA)
Bentz	Deluzio	Griffith
Bergman	DesJarlais	Grothman
Bice	Diaz-Balart	Guest
Biggs (AZ)	Donalds	Guthrie
Biggs (SC)	Downing	Hageman
Bilirakis	Dunn (FL)	Hamadeh (AZ)
Bishop	Edwards	Harder (CA)
Boebert	Elfreth	Haridopolos
Bost	Elzey	Harrigan
Boyle (PA)	Emmer	Harris (MD)
Brecheen	Estes	Harris (NC)
Bresnahan	Evans (CO)	Harshbarger
Buchanan	Ezell	Hern (OK)
Burchett	Fallon	Higgins (LA)
Burlison	Fedorchak	Hill (AR)
Bynum	Feenstra	Himes
Calvert	Fields	Hinson
Cammack	Figures	Horsford
Carbajal	Fine	Houchin
Carey	Fischbach	Houlihan
Carter (GA)	Fitzgerald	Hoyer
Carter (LA)	Fitzpatrick	Hoyle (OR)
Carter (TX)	Fleischmann	Hudson
Case	Flood	Huizenga
Cherfilus-	Fong	Hunt
McCormick	Foster	Hurd (CO)
Ciscomani	Foushee	Issa
Cline	Fox	Ivey
Cloud	Franklin, Scott	Jack
Clyde	Friedman	Jackson (IL)
Cohen	Fry	Jackson (TX)
Cole	Fulcher	James

Johnson (LA)	Miller-Meeks	Sewell
Johnson (SD)	Mills	Sherrill
Johnson (TX)	Min	Shreve
Jordan	Moolenaar	Simpson
Joyce (OH)	Moore (AL)	Smith (MO)
Joyce (PA)	Moore (NC)	Smith (NE)
Kaptur	Moore (UT)	Smith (NJ)
Kean	Moore (WV)	Smucker
Keating	Moran	Sorensen
Kelly (MS)	Morelle	Spartz
Kelly (PA)	Morrison	Stanton
Kennedy (NY)	Moskowitz	Stauber
Kennedy (UT)	Mrvan	Stefanik
Kiggans (VA)	Murphy	Steil
Kiley (CA)	Neal	Steube
Kim	Nehls	Stevens
Knott	Newhouse	Strickland
Kustoff	Norman	Strong
LaHood	Nunn (IA)	Stutzman
LaLota	Obermole	Subramanyam
LaMalfa	Ogles	Suozi
Landsman	Olzewski	Swalwell
Langworthy	Onder	Sykes
Larsen (WA)	Owens	Takano
Larson (CT)	Palmer	Taylor
Latimer	Panetta	Tenney
Latta	Pappas	Thompson (CA)
Lawler	Patronis	Thompson (PA)
Lee (NV)	Pelosi	Tiffany
Letlow	Perez	Timmons
Levin	Perry	Titus
Loudermilk	Peters	Torres (CA)
Lucas	Pfluger	Torres (NY)
Luna	Pou	Tran
Luttrell	Quigley	Turner (OH)
Lynch	Reschenthaler	Valadao
Mace	Riley (NY)	Van Drew
Mackenzie	Rogers (AL)	Van Dwyne
Magaziner	Rogers (KY)	Van Orden
Malliotakis	Rose	Vasquez
Maloy	Ross	Veasey
Mann	Rouzer	Vindman
Mannion	Roy	Wagner
Mast	Ruiz	Walberg
McBride	Rulli	Wasserman
McCaul	Rutherford	Schultz
McClain	Ryan	Weber (TX)
McClain Delaney	Salazar	Webster (FL)
McClintock	Salinas	Westerman
McCormick	Scalise	Whitesides
McDonald Rivet	Schmidt	Wied
McDowell	Schneider	Williams (TX)
McGuire	Scholten	Wilson (FL)
Messmer	Schrier	Wilson (SC)
Meuser	Schweikert	Wittman
Mfume	Scott, Austin	Womack
Miller (IL)	Scott, David	Yakym
Miller (OH)	Self	Zinke
Miller (WV)	Sessions	

NAYS—104

Aguilar	Frankel, Lois	Mullin
Ansari	Frost	Nadler
Balint	Garcia (CA)	Neguse
Barragan	Garcia (IL)	Ocasio-Cortez
Bell	Garcia (TX)	Omar
Bera	Goldman (NY)	Pallone
Beyer	Gomez	Petersen
Bonamici	Green, Al (TX)	Pingree
Brown	Hayes	Pocan
Brownley	Huffman	Pressley
Budzinski	Jacobs	Ramirez
Carson	Jayapal	Raskin
Caspar	Jeffries	Rivas
Casten	Johnson (GA)	Sánchez
Castor (FL)	Kamlager-Dove	Scanlon
Castro (TX)	Kelly (IL)	Schakowsky
Chu	Khanna	Scott (VA)
Cisneros	Krishnamoorthi	Sherman
Clark (MA)	Lee (PA)	Simon
Clarke (NY)	Leger Fernandez	Smith (WA)
Cleaver	Liccardo	Soto
Clyburn	Lieu	Stansbury
Crockett	Lofgren	Thanedar
Crow	Massie	Thompson (MS)
Davis (IL)	Matsui	Tlaib
Dean (PA)	McBath	Tokuda
DeGette	McClellan	Tonko
DeSaulnier	McCollum	Trahan
Dexter	McGarvey	Underwood
Dingell	McGovern	Vargas
Doggett	McIver	Velazquez
Escobar	Meeks	Waters
Espallat	Menendez	Watson Coleman
Evans (PA)	Moore (WI)	Williams (GA)
Fletcher	Moulton	

NOT VOTING—7

Beatty	Lee (FL)	Randall
Correa	Meng	
Finstad	Norcross	

□ 1534

Messrs. DeSAULNIER, JOHNSON of Georgia, KRISHNAMOORTHY, and SHERMAN changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. CONAWAY, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. RUIZ, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mr. MORELLE, and Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, during Roll Call No. 166 on S. 331, I mistakenly recorded my vote as NAY when I should have voted YEA.

RESCISSIONS ACT OF 2025

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to recommit on the bill (H.R. 4) to rescind certain budget authority proposed to be rescinded in special messages transmitted to the Congress by the President on June 3, 2025, in accordance with section 1012(a) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. KELLY), on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the motion.

The Clerk redesignated the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 208, nays 218, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 167]

YEAS—208

Adams	Clyburn	Frost
Aguilar	Cohen	Garamendi
Amo	Conaway	Garcia (CA)
Ansari	Costa	Garcia (IL)
Auchincloss	Courtney	Garcia (TX)
Balint	Craig	Gillen
Barragan	Crockett	Golden (ME)
Bell	Crow	Goldman (NY)
Bera	Cuellar	Gomez
Beyer	Dauids (KS)	Gonzalez, V.
Bishop	Davis (IL)	Goodlander
Bonamici	Davis (NC)	Gottheimer
Boyle (PA)	Dean (PA)	Gray
Brown	DeGette	Green, Al (TX)
Brownley	DeLauro	Harder (CA)
Budzinski	DelBene	Hayes
Bynum	Deluzio	Himes
Carbajal	DeSaulnier	Horsford
Carson	Dexter	Houlihan
Carter (LA)	Dingell	Hoyer
Caspar	Doggett	Hoyle (OR)
Case	Elfreth	Huffman
Casten	Escobar	Ivey
Castor (FL)	Espallat	Jackson (IL)
Castro (TX)	Evans (PA)	Jacobs
Cherfilus-	Fields	Jayapal
McCormick	Figures	Jeffries
Chu	Fletcher	Johnson (GA)
Cisneros	Foster	Johnson (TX)
Clark (MA)	Foushee	Kamlager-Dove
Clarke (NY)	Frankel, Lois	Kaptur
Cleaver	Friedman	Keating