



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 119th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 171

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JULY 2, 2025

No. 114

Senate

The Senate was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Thursday, July 3, 2025, at 12 p.m.

House of Representatives

WEDNESDAY, JULY 2, 2025

The House met at 9 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
July 2, 2025.

I hereby appoint the Honorable MICHAEL K. SIMPSON to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Margaret Grun Kibben, offered the following prayer:

Holy and merciful God, send Your angels to surround us, and protect us while we pray and then as we conduct the business of this day.

May we seek and find You ahead of us, showing us the way. May we be certain that You are behind us, upholding us in Your truth. Grant us a sense of Your presence beside us, encouraging or challenging us on the path we take. Take residence within us, granting us a fundamental understanding of Your wisdom. Surround us with Your unfailing love, for our hope is in You alone.

Lord, You have hemmed us in, behind and before, in the past, in this present, and the future ahead. Lay Your hand upon each one of us, that we would yield to Your authority alone, and so

that none will stray from Your perfect plan.

For You, O Lord, are omnipresent and omniscient, and it is in Your omnipotent name, we pray.
Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 13 of rule I, the Journal of the last day's proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. MCGOVERN led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Homeland Security:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 27, 2025.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER JOHNSON: Following my election as Ranking Member of the House

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, I hereby resign from the House Committee on Homeland Security for the 119th Congress, effective today.

Sincerely,

ROBERT GARCIA,
Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted.
There was no objection.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 1, 2025.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on July 1, 2025, at 5:20 p.m.:

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 1.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

KEVIN F. MCCUMBER,
Clerk.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1, ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 566 and ask for its immediate consideration.

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H3039

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 566

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on the Budget or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I make a point of order against consideration of the rule, House Resolution 566.

Section 426(a) of the Budget Act specifically states that the Rules Committee may not waive the point of order prescribed by section 425 of that same act. House Resolution 566 states that it is in order to consider a motion that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1: "Without intervention of any point of order."

Therefore, I make a point of order pursuant to section 426(a) that this rule may not be considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts makes a point of order that the resolution violates section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

The gentleman has met the threshold burden under the rule and the gentleman from Massachusetts and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes of debate on the question of consideration. Following debate, the Chair will put the question of consideration as the statutory means of disposing of the point of order.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me say this once again: We will not stand by and watch Trump and his billionaire friends destroy this country without putting up one hell of a fight.

Because this rule waives the point of order against unfunded mandates, it is not in order to consider it on the floor.

The bill Republicans are attempting to jam through the House today cuts nutritional assistance for moms and dads, kids, people with disabilities, veterans, and senior citizens. It goes after the most important people in our lives, our families, to fund massive tax breaks for the richest people in the world. I think that that is wrong, and I think it is immoral.

More than just a massive betrayal of the American people, this bill is also a massive, new unfunded mandate on

States. Don't just take my word for it. The nonpartisan experts of the Congressional Budget Office said title I of the bill, the Agriculture Committee's portion of this awful bill, would impose intergovernmental mandates by requiring States, for the first time ever, to provide State funding for food assistance benefits, increasing the share of food assistance administrative costs paid by States and requiring State agencies to perform additional administrative duties. This massive, new unfunded mandate would cost States a whopping \$19 billion each year.

Mr. Speaker, where the hell are States going to come up with this kind of money? They can't. What will happen is, people will get kicked off of food assistance, and they will go hungry.

State after State has written to Congress begging us not to pass this awful bill. They have made it very clear that if this bill goes through, States will be forced to cut benefits, kick eligible people off of food assistance entirely, or raise taxes to pay for this massive new bureaucracy that Republicans are creating in every State in this country.

The chairman of the Agriculture Committee's home State of Pennsylvania would have to come up with over \$1 billion to cover the State's share of benefit costs. There is no State in this country who is able to take on this kind of massive, unfunded mandate.

We are talking about basic needs for people. It is a food assistance benefit of \$2 per meal so people don't go hungry. By the way, that money not only lifts people out of poverty, it improves health and it uplifts local economies, too.

Hunger costs this country, and food assistance programs save us money. People who have enough food to eat are more productive at work. Kids who are not hungry are able to learn better at school. Seniors who take their medication on a full stomach are less likely to go to emergency rooms.

No American should go without food. This is a human rights issue. We live in the richest country on Earth for God's sake. Not a single kid in this country should go to bed hungry, not a single damn one, especially not when Republicans are giving millionaires and billionaires a big, fat tax break.

Mr. Speaker, what is wrong with my Republican colleagues? In this bill, they provide a \$2 billion tax break for gun silencers, but they cut SNAP benefits for vulnerable families, which, by the way, is on average \$2 per person per meal. Jesus Christ, what are you people thinking?

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I claim time in favor of consideration of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from North Carolina is recognized for 10 minutes.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, the question before the House is: Should the House

now consider House Resolution 566? Though the resolution waives all points of order against consideration of the motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1, the Committee on Rules is not aware of any violation of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, nor has the Congressional Budget Office identified any violation of the act.

The reason for this motion is plain and obvious. It is not a legitimate attempt to question consideration, but simply a dilatory tactic to further delay consideration of this historic legislation and to give the Democrats more time to mislead Americans.

We must dispense with this question of consideration so we can move the process forward and enact the agenda President Trump and the American people have demanded.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, we have a letter from the Congressional Budget Office confirming that there are unfunded mandates in this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. BROWN).

Ms. BROWN. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ranking Member MCGOVERN for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this rule and the underlying bill.

For the first time in history, this bill would force States to pay for part of SNAP benefits, and it would require States to increase their share of administrative costs.

This is an unfunded mandate, plain and simple.

Let's be clear. The costs are staggering, the consequences are devastating, and the cruelty is deeply disturbing.

This policy punishes those who can least afford it: seniors on a fixed income, veterans, and families already forced to choose between gas and groceries.

States will be stuck in a corner. They will cut benefits, cut eligibility, or cut public safety, education, housing, and mental health programs just to stay afloat.

This isn't just a budgeting decision. It is a values decision, and for what? All to take from the hungry and give it to the wealthy. To slash SNAP benefits just \$6 per day hands a quarter-million-dollar tax break to the top 0.1 percent. That is not policy. That is plunder. The math doesn't lie.

In North Carolina, it is \$400 million. That is more than the entire State budget for child development and early education. In Georgia, it is \$500 million, double what the State spends on child welfare. In my State of Ohio, it is \$300 million, four times the transportation budget.

My colleagues are writing checks that the government can't cash. When the money runs out, the food runs out. Children will go hungry. Seniors will skip meals. Veterans, working moms, and working dads will be turned down

and turned away all because of a vote taken here today.

This mandate isn't just unfunded. It is unfathomable.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this provision, reject this rule, and reject this betrayal. Don't reward the rich on the backs of hungry Americans.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. HAYES), a member of the Agriculture Committee.

Mrs. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today against the Republican reconciliation bill, which would put 42 million Americans at risk of losing their SNAP benefits.

These policies are untested, dangerous, and arbitrary. Congress did not engage in a thoughtful process to make these changes to SNAP. The majority has not held a single hearing on the Nutrition, Foreign, Agriculture, and Horticulture Subcommittee on the cost-sharing provisions or the impact of this legislation. They have not solicited feedback from stakeholders or experts on what these changes would mean to States and hungry families who rely on SNAP.

The Republicans did not examine these policies closely because they knew it would take food away from hungry families and they didn't care. They have chosen to provide tax cuts for the wealthiest individuals. They know these changes will devastate State budgets.

In my home State of Connecticut, we could have to pay up to an additional \$221 million per year for SNAP benefits and an additional \$39 million for administrative costs.

□ 0915

Connecticut, like most States, will have to come up with these funds or deny basic services that they need for other things, like education, public safety, healthcare, and providing for our roads and bridges in our States. Notably, not every State will be subject to this change.

Late into the night, the Republican majority jumped through hoops to exempt noncontiguous States from these policies to pass cruel legislation. That is why we are up here writing legislation on the fly to protect some Americans while going after others.

No one should go hungry, whether they live in Connecticut, Alaska, Hawaii, or anywhere. It should not matter where you live or what kind of deal your Senator made. Everybody deserves to eat.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this irresponsible legislation.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 2½ minutes remaining.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I don't think any of my Republican colleagues have read this provision in the reconciliation bill. In fact, the Agriculture Committee never even did a hearing on it. Yet, billions and billions of dollars in new costs are going to be thrust upon States. States are going to have to decide whether to cut other programs in order to make sure that no one loses their nutrition benefits, or they are going to have to cut people off their nutrition benefits.

The SNAP benefit, on average, is about \$2 per person per meal. That is it. You can't buy a damn cup of coffee in the Capitol with that. My Republican friends are obsessed with trying to throw people off of this benefit. I have no idea why.

Most of the people on the benefit are children and senior citizens. They include veterans. Many of them are working full time and can't afford to put food on the table. This benefit helps them provide for their families.

My Republican friends have basically gone on an attack on this most basic, effective program that is about food. You can live without a lot of things, but you can't live without food.

Mr. Speaker, for those Republicans who think it is okay to give Jeff Bezos a tax cut and, at the same time, cut food benefits for struggling families, let me say clearly that we don't share the same values.

Unloading billions of dollars in new costs on States, money they do not have, will force them to cut benefits and throw needy people off of SNAP. It is a rotten thing to do.

I believe there is a special place in hell for people who take food away from veterans, seniors, children, former foster youth, and hungry families. This is sick. This is disgusting.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to vote "yes" on the question of consideration of the resolution, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

The question is, Will the House now consider the resolution?

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 212, nays 211, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 186]

YEAS—212

Aderholt
Alford
Allen
Amodei (NV)
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Baird
Balderson
Barr

Barrett
Baumgartner
Bean (FL)
Begich
Bentz
Bergman
Bice
Biggs (AZ)
Biggs (SC)
Bilirakis

Boebert
Bost
Brecheen
Bresnahan
Buchanan
Burchett
Calvert
Cammack
Carey
Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)
Ciscomani
Cline
Cloud
Clyde
Cole
Collins
Comer
Crane
Crank
Crawford
Crenshaw
Davidson
De La Cruz
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Donalds
Downing
Edwards
Ellzey
Emmer
Estes
Evans (CO)
Ezell
Fallon
Fedorchak
Feenstra
Fine
Finstad
Fischbach
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Flood
Fong
Foxy
Franklin, Scott
Fry
Fulcher
Gill (TX)
Gimenez
Goldman (TX)
Gonzales, Tony
Gooden
Gosar
Graves
Green (TN)
Greene (GA)
Griffith
Grothman
Guest
Guthrie
Hageman
Hamadeh (AZ)
Haridopolos
Harrigan
Harris (MD)
Harris (NC)
Harshbarger
Higgins (LA)
Hill (AR)
Hinson

Houchin
Hudson
Huizenga
Hunt
Hurd (CO)
Issa
Jack
Jackson (TX)
James
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (SD)
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Joyce (PA)
Kean
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
Kennedy (UT)
Kiggans (VA)
Kiley (CA)
Kim
Knott
Kustoff
LaHood
LaLota
LaMalfa
Langworthy
Latta
Lawler
Lee (FL)
Letlow
Loudermilk
Lucas
Luna
Luttrell
Mace
Mackenzie
Malliotakis
Maloy
Mann
Massie
Mast
McCauley
McClain
McClintock
McCormick
McDowell
McGuire
Messmer
Miller (IL)
Miller (WV)
Miller-Meeks
Mills
Moolenaar
Moore (AL)
Moore (NC)
Moore (UT)
Moore (WV)
Moran
Murphy
Nehls

Newhouse
Norman
Nunn (IA)
Oberholte
Ogles
Onder
Owens
Palmer
Patronis
Perry
Pfluger
Reschenthaler
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rose
Rouzer
Roy
Rulli
Rutherford
Salazar
Scalise
Schmidt
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Self
Sessions
Shreve
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smucker
Spartz
Stauber
Stefanik
Steil
Steube
Strong
Stutzman
Taylor
Tenney
Thompson (PA)
Tiffany
Timmons
Turner (OH)
Valadao
Van Dwyne
Van Orden
Wagner
Walberg
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Westerman
Wied
Williams (TX)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Yakym
Zinke

NAYS—211

Adams
Aguilar
Amo
Ansari
Auchincloss
Balint
Barragan
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Beyer
Bishop
Bonamici
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Casar
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway

Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Cuellar
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Davis (NC)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfreth
Escobar
Espallat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi
Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen

Golden (ME)
Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzalez, V.
Goodlander
Gottheimer
Gray
Green, Al (TX)
Harder (CA)
Hayes
Himes
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Hoyle (OR)
Huffman
Ivey
Jackson (IL)
Jacobs
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (TX)
Kamlager-Dove
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy (NY)
Khanna
Krishnamoorthi
Landsman
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lee (NV)
Lee (PA)
Leger Fernandez

Levin	Omar	Sorensen
Liccardo	Pallone	Soto
Lieu	Panetta	Stansbury
Lofgren	Pappas	Stanton
Lynch	Pelosi	Stevens
Magaziner	Perez	Strickland
Mannion	Peters	Subramanyam
Matsui	Pettersen	Suozi
McBath	Pingree	Swailwell
McBride	Pocan	Sykes
McClain Delaney	Pou	Takano
McClellan	Pressley	Thanedar
McCollum	Quigley	Thompson (CA)
McDonald Rivet	Ramirez	Thompson (MS)
McGarvey	Randall	Titus
McGovern	Raskin	Tlaib
McIver	Riley (NY)	Tokuda
Meeks	Rivas	Tonko
Menendez	Ross	Torres (CA)
Meng	Ruiz	Torres (NY)
Mfume	Ryan	Trahan
Min	Salinas	Tran
Moore (WI)	Sánchez	Underwood
Morelle	Scanlon	Vargas
Morrison	Schakowsky	Vasquez
Moskowitz	Schneider	Veasey
Moulton	Scholten	Velázquez
Mrvan	Schrier	Vindman
Mullin	Scott (VA)	Wasserman
Nadler	Scott, David	Schultz
Neal	Sewell	Waters
Neguse	Sherman	Watson Coleman
Norcross	Sherrill	Whitesides
Ocasio-Cortez	Simon	Williams (GA)
Olszewski	Smith (WA)	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—9

Burlison	Garbarino	Meuser
Dunn (FL)	Hern (OK)	Miller (OH)
Fitzgerald	Latimer	Van Drew

□ 1057

Messrs. GARCIA of California, GARCIA of Illinois, Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY, Messrs. CROW and SMITH of Washington changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. JACKSON of Texas and HARRIS of Maryland changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the question of consideration was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, during consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, Republicans stand ready to deliver H.R. 1 directly to the President's desk for his signature.

Late last year, the American people rallied en masse behind the Republican vision for a renewed Nation. It is a nation no longer blighted by the cataclysmic failures of the previous administration, and a nation primed and ready to enter the golden age.

One of the many strong reinforced byproducts of our Republican vision is the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. It is one of the most consequential pieces of legislation that any party has ever passed and for good reason.

The provisions interwoven in this legislation are monumental. They are what the American people voted for in November: historic tax relief for working families; massive investments to secure our Nation's borders; capturing generational savings; and slashing waste, fraud, and abuse in government programs so that they may run more efficiently for those who truly need them.

□ 1100

Mr. Speaker, I could opine at length about the wins that Republicans have secured in this bill, wins that we have been highlighting to the American people for months. Meanwhile, our Democratic colleagues have resorted to fear-mongering and wailing from their bully pulpits in the hopes of deceiving the American people.

For months, we have heard Democrats claim that the sky was falling, that all hope is lost because H.R. 1 will bring about the end times, and a litany of other outlandish and absurd claims. They are so desperate to smear H.R. 1 and deny the American people the policies that the American people overwhelmingly voted for in November.

Let's again focus on what this bill accomplishes. It yields the single greatest cut in wasteful government spending in congressional history by slashing far more than a trillion dollars of bloat. It preserves the greatest battle-tested set of tax cuts for working families that our Nation has ever seen or our economy has ever realized. It doubles down on the border priorities of the most successful enforcement efforts by a President in our Nation's history. It realigns our economy in defense of American workers, American energy, American businesses, and American job creators to unleash the engines of our economy, raise the tide of our economic standards, and bring a new definition of American greatness to the world.

Perhaps the reason why Democrats are so desperate to smear this legislation is because they are caught in their own self-inflicted malaise of denial. Perhaps they still can't accept that Americans chose Republicans to lead the Nation instead of them.

That said, Mr. Speaker, Republicans are not distracted by that fear-mongering and doomsaying of those who want H.R. 1 to fail. The facts are on our side, and we have been cutting through the noise by bringing those facts to the American people for months.

So that the record is clear, a vote against this legislation is a vote to green-light the largest tax increase in American history being levied against everyday men and women, to decimate millions of jobs across America, and to

kneecap the capabilities and readiness of the Nation's military. This is not fear-mongering like what our colleagues across the aisle have been engaging in. These are just facts.

Mr. Speaker, the pathway forward is crystal clear. The House must pass this rule and pass the Senate amendment to H.R. 1 so that more wins can be delivered to the American people. The American people deserve to keep winning, and to deny them that opportunity is a flagrant disservice.

This legislation is the embodiment of the America First agenda, and we would all do well to remember that. Failure at this critical juncture is not an option. This clock is ticking. The President and the American people are waiting.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from North Carolina for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this process is an abomination, legislative malpractice. Final text of this bill came out less than 24 hours ago. We met in committee an hour after it was posted, and now, we are here considering a rule that allows for only 1 hour of debate.

This bill is within the jurisdiction of 12 different committees. One hour is ridiculous. Every minute, we are finding out new things that were snuck into the bill, like a tax cut for whalers. Now, we are learning about a gambling tax.

Republican leadership and the White House are jamming this bill down our throats. For what? We are not on a deadline. There is no looming crisis.

We are here because Donald Trump wants a Fourth of July party to celebrate this garbage bill. He wants fireworks, flags, and cameras, not for this country, but for himself. He says to close your ears, close your eyes, and vote for this bill. Honestly, it sounds more like a cult than a Congress to me.

Some Republicans promised their voters no cuts to Medicaid and no new debt. Now, they are flipping faster than pancakes at a campaign breakfast. The House passed it hoping the Senate would kill it. The Senate passed it hoping the House would grow a spine.

Everyone is playing a game of legislative chicken, voting for a bad bill and thinking the other Chamber will be the one to fix it. This is nuts.

Trump says: “Have fun, and Vote ‘YAY.’” Have fun gutting Medicaid? Vote “yea” on taking away food from poor families? Are you serious?

This bill is catastrophic. It is not policy. It is punishment.

Let's talk facts. It slashes Medicaid, cuts Medicare, and dismantles the ACA. It shuts rural hospitals, closes nursing homes, and wipes out health centers. It takes food off kitchen tables, throws clean energy workers out of jobs, and spikes utility bills. Why? So billionaires and oil companies can

cash in. Big Oil gets handouts. Billionaires get tax breaks. Working families get scraps, if that.

Jeff Bezos just had a \$50 million wedding in Venice, and they want to give him another tax break. Meanwhile, families making under \$50,000 a year get less than a buck a day in tax cuts. You can't even buy a cup of coffee with that.

The cost? Over \$3 trillion added to the debt and a \$5 trillion debt ceiling raise brought to you by the very people who won't shut up about so-called fiscal responsibility.

This isn't tax reform to relieve the middle and working class. It is a robbery that will result in the largest transfer of wealth from the poor to the rich our country has ever seen.

Let's not lie to the American people. This is about taking from the most vulnerable to pay off the already powerful. It is about pleasing one man who is holding this Congress hostage with primary threats and social media tantrums.

This bill is cruel. It is cowardly. It is a betrayal of everything this country is supposed to stand for.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. LANGWORTHY).

Mr. LANGWORTHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the rule to consider H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

The stakes for the American people could not be higher. We are rapidly approaching a fiscal cliff. If we do nothing, the Trump tax cuts, the most progrowth tax reforms in generations, will expire.

That means higher taxes on nearly every American. That means a gutted child tax credit. That means small businesses will lose the tools they need to survive and to thrive. That means less take-home pay for working families that have already been crushed by the Biden-era inflation.

This isn't some hypothetical scenario. This is the Democrats' game plan. They want to raise taxes. They want to punish success. They want more people dependent on government and fewer people building independent lives. Republicans will not let that happen.

With H.R. 1, we are stepping in to lock in the Trump tax cuts permanently and to provide targeted new relief to the workers and the families who keep this country running: seniors, tipped workers, and those putting in overtime to get ahead.

Mr. Speaker, this bill also takes a critical step to protect and strengthen Medicaid, a vital program for millions of Americans.

Let me be very clear about something: This isn't anything radical. We are restoring the same commonsense work requirements that President Bill Clinton signed into law in the 1990s, one of the most popular things he did.

Back then, a Democratic President worked with a Republican Congress to say that if you are able-bodied and adult without dependents and receiving government assistance, you should be expected to work, train, or volunteer and participate in the economy. It worked. Poverty dropped, employment rose, and millions of Americans moved from welfare to work and independence.

Democrats today have abandoned that model. They want permanent government dependency. They will oppose any form of accountability, and they will vilify anyone who dares to suggest that opportunity should come with responsibility. Republicans are standing up for what works and what is right.

□ 1110

We are saying Medicaid must remain a lifeline for the truly vulnerable: children, seniors, people with disabilities, and low-income parents.

However, for able-bodied adults without kids, yes, you should try to work, just like in the 1990s, just like under President Bill Clinton.

This isn't extreme. It is not partisan. It is proven policy that respects both taxpayers and lifts people up.

Mr. Speaker, this bill delivers economic relief, fiscal sanity, and basic fairness. It secures the future of our economy and our safety net.

Let's choose the path that has been tested and proven to work. Let's approve this rule. Let's pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, and let's get this country back on track.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to alert all Members to something about this rule. It has a mistake in it.

Republicans have rushed this process from the very beginning to meet Trump's artificial July 4 deadline, and this rule is no exception.

We found an error in the rule at 2 a.m. after the Rules Committee reported the rule. This is going to sound a little in the weeds, but they forgot to do something called considering the previous question as ordered on their big ugly bill.

Now, let me put that in English. What that means is they won't have an escape hatch once they start debate on this bill. If they start it and they realize they don't have the votes, they still have to take the embarrassing vote. There are also other consequences, but this Speaker's timeout authority is the one Republican leadership is most afraid of.

Now, I expect they will try to fix this with an amendment, but I have a question for Members. If this Republican leadership cannot get a one-paragraph rule right, can we really trust them to get an 870-page bill right?

Not only are we going to find things that were sneaked into this bill, we are going to find massive mistakes that have unintended consequences for people and industries across this country.

This whole process has been lousy, and this rule is no exception.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT).

Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to address the American citizens for just a couple of minutes.

I know many of our citizens are concerned about some of the language in the bill, and I think maybe the reason they are concerned is because the people that are telling them what is in the bill never bothered to read the bill, so I thought I would just read to them a part.

If the Democrats have read this, then they are lying to the general public.

This excerpt is on SNAP. "Exceptions. Paragraph (2) shall not apply to an individual if the individual is— (A) under 18, or over 65, years of age;

(B) medically certified as physically or mentally unfit for employment;

(C) a parent or other member of a household with responsibility for a dependent child under 14 years of age . . ."

When the Democrats are telling you that we are kicking disabled people off of SNAP or we are kicking disabled people off of Medicaid, it is an absolute lie.

Look at pages 16 and 17 of the legislation. It will take about 60 seconds to read it. That is exactly what it says.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, there is a lot of low energy on that side.

I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MORELLE), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on House Administration.

Mr. MORELLE. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend, the gentleman from Massachusetts, the ranking member of the Rules Committee, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, as we reach the apparent conclusion of this absurd exercise, it is worth asking: What is the purpose of this bill? This bill will strip away health insurance from nearly 17 million people, devastating communities like mine in Rochester, New York. This bill will recklessly eliminate food assistance for nearly 3 million Americans, including nearly a million senior citizens. This bill will explode the deficit by \$3.3 trillion.

For what?

Well, Mr. Speaker, sometimes the simplest explanation is closest to the truth. We all saw the gaggle of billionaires sitting behind Donald Trump on Inauguration Day earlier this year. The driving force behind this entire legislative effort has been to grant handouts to those same billionaires and special interests all at the expense of hardworking families.

Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleagues on the other side of the aisle will stop and think again before voting for the worst piece of legislation in generations. But I know one thing for certain, House Democrats will never stop fighting for American families.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. McDOWELL).

Mr. McDOWELL. Mr. Speaker, today we take another big step toward delivering a course correction for our country. The American people sent us here with a mandate, to fight back and deliver on a promise. That is what the One Big Beautiful Bill Act does.

Americans should first know what my colleagues in opposition to this legislation are voting for and what they are voting against.

Not passing this bill means a \$1,700 increase in taxes for the average American family of four. Mr. Speaker, that might not be a big deal to the elites in Manhattan or San Francisco, but it does mean a lot to the people of my district in North Carolina.

Not passing this bill means our Border Patrol officers and our Coast Guard won't have what they need to secure the border and stop the flow of deadly fentanyl.

It doesn't stop there, Mr. Speaker. Opposing this bill also means protecting waste over purpose, fraud over fairness, and foreign interests over American strength.

Mr. Speaker, I want to make sure voters in my district know what passing the One Big Beautiful Bill Act will mean for them. It means they get to keep more of their hard-earned money. It means that small businesses can spend more money on their employees instead of sending it to Washington. This bill will make our communities safer by making a historic investment in our border security. No more dangerous, illegal aliens parading around with no consequences.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation is one of the most consequential items we will vote on. It is President Trump's agenda, and it is what we all told the people back home we would do.

Now the House must get it done. I urge all of my colleagues to vote in favor of this rule. Let's choose our country over comfort and get the One Big Beautiful Bill Act to the President's desk.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I know a Senator from North Carolina who disagrees with everything the gentleman just said, including a lot of hospitals in North Carolina that disagree with what he just said.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I found the preamble to this big ugly bill: We, the billionaires and our king, in order to deform and sicken our Union, establish injustice, ensure domestic servility, weaken our people's defenses, undermine the general welfare, and reserve to ourselves and our posterity staggering debt servitude for eternity, do hereby instruct the Republicans in Congress to strip 17 million people of

their healthcare, increase copays, deductibles, and premiums for everyone else, cut 42 million people off of nutritional assistance, increase the national debt by \$4 trillion, trash renewable energy systems, increase our electric bills for the carbon kings, all to weaken and destroy the Constitution of the people of these United States of America.

Please include this preamble in the legislative RECORD.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. GARCÍA), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

Mr. GARCÍA of California. Mr. Speaker, my Democratic colleagues and I believe in a country where we take care of our fellow Americans and the wealthiest pay their fair share. However, Republicans are fighting for the biggest rip-off in American history and the biggest attack on our social safety net in decades.

If this bill passes, 16 million people are going to lose their health insurance. They will take away basic food assistance from millions of veterans, seniors, and kids. The poorest 10 percent of Americans are going to lose \$1,600 per person on average while the richest only get richer because of this bill. It is a transfer from the poor and the middle class to the wealthiest. It does all of this while increasing debt over \$3 trillion.

What happened to fiscal responsibility?

That means that our grandkids will fund a tax cut for Elon Musk. Now, while I am proud that we are defeating a number of extremely concerning provisions in this House bill, this is our last chance to stand up for our values.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this big BS bill. The American people are watching.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. HARIDOPOLOS).

Mr. HARIDOPOLOS. Mr. Speaker, promises made, promises kept.

Voters wanted change for one simple reason: It was too expensive to live in America for the last 4 years. Gas prices, rent prices, and food prices were up radically. This bill is designed to help those very people hurt over the last 4 years. People who earn tips or overtime, as well as those who earn Social Security get a big tax cut.

□ 1120

Mr. Speaker, let's be clear, so the American public hears it loud and clear: The current tax rate on the wealthiest Americans is 37 percent. Under this bill, it remains at 37 percent.

At the same time, we are protecting the most vulnerable. Please read the bill. Seniors in nursing homes are unaffected. Persons who are disabled are unaffected. Pregnant women on Med-

icaid are unaffected. Low-income kids, whose parents aren't making much, are unaffected.

Isn't it nice to see that Washington actually keeps its promises from the campaign trail? This is a welcome change. Donald Trump said that we are going to bring America back by putting more money back in their pockets, instead of sending it up here to Washington, D.C.

He promised a secure border. Mr. Speaker, there is a 99 percent reduction in the number of people coming across the border illegally. That is action. What are we putting in this bill? We are putting in the money needed to make sure it stays that way.

I am proud to support this bill because I came to Washington for one reason: I came to Washington to make promises, keep promises, and prove that the Republicans can lead and are willing to make sure that the great American comeback continues.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I read the bill, too. I want to know why Jeff Bezos and multimillionaires and multi-billionaires are getting tax cuts.

Last night, I offered an amendment to let Trump's tax cuts expire only for people earning more than \$1 billion a year. Every single Republican voted against it. What is that all about? Follow the money.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. THOMPSON), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Homeland Security.

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans are openly bragging about how the bill kicks children and the elderly off Medicaid, nutritional assistance, and more, all to pay for another tax break for wealthy Republican donors.

My Republican colleagues are not talking about the trillions and trillions of dollars their political giveaway is going to cost. In fact, Mr. Speaker, Vice President VANCE has said historic levels of Homeland Security funding in the bill sweetens the deal for the devil.

This deal means billions of American tax dollars to build a wall Trump promised Mexico would pay for.

This bill means billions of dollars for masked ICE agents to terrorize immigrant families who have been contributing to our country for decades, including law-abiding marines and even American kids.

This bill means billions of dollars for DHS to continue obstructing Congress and denying due process to legal immigrants.

My Republican colleagues may be fooled by the one big, ugly bill, but the American people are not. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the rule and the underlying bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. ALFORD).

Mr. ALFORD. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. FOXX) for her work on this.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the rule and in strong support of H.R. 1, President Trump's One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Today, Mr. Speaker, we face a watershed moment for the American people. This Republican majority was given a clear mandate by the American people, which is the America First agenda. This bill is our opportunity to deliver on that agenda.

H.R. 1 stops the largest tax increase in U.S. history, while providing the largest tax cut for working- and middle-class families ever, with no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, and tax breaks for seniors.

H.R. 1 protects the 87,000 Missouri farms and countless family-owned small businesses from double taxation by expanding the death tax exemption.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act reverses 4 long years of Biden's open-border policies with a generational investment in border security, including funding to complete phase 2 of the border wall.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act modernizes our Armed Forces for 21st century threats by properly funding our military and funding the Golden Dome.

H.R. 1 ends the progressive left's war on American energy by unleashing domestic oil, natural gas, and nuclear energy production. H.R. 1 lowers energy costs, ensuring reliable and stable energy sources. H.R. 1 renews not just energy independence but makes us energy dominant once again.

Finally, H.R. 1 restores fiscal sanity by cutting almost \$2 trillion in Federal spending. It rescinds billions in green new scam funding. It eliminates waste, fraud, and abuse from numerous Federal programs.

Mr. Speaker, this is a time for choosing. We can choose to side with the illegal aliens, unelected rogue bureaucrats, and fear-mongering from the America left or we can choose to side with the American people and deliver on the mandate that they gave us back in November.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to put America first, support the rule, and pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, cult much? That gentleman's poster says it all. It is not about American families. It is about one big party for Trump. It is about swelling up his ego.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), the Speaker Emerita.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for his leadership.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman just ended by asking us to vote for the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. If beauty is in the eye of the beholder, the GOP has a very blurred vision of what America is about.

Is it beautiful to cut off food from seniors and children? Is it beautiful to

cut off 17 million people from healthcare? Is it beautiful to do this to give tax cuts to billionaires in our country? Is it beautiful to take money from education and the rest? The list goes on and on.

Nearly 60 years ago, Dr. King said: "Of all the forms of inequality, injustice in health is the most shocking and the most inhuman because it often results in physical death."

We come to this floor with the moral force of Dr. King's words in our hearts. Let us not with this bill turn the American Dream he talked about into a nightmare for America's seniors, the disabled, and our children. Let us vote "no" on this shameful bill and throw a punch for the children.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Financial Services.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, this big, ugly bill strikes healthcare from 17 million Americans, shuts hospitals, and starves 12 million people by taking away their food stamps.

These cuts add up to \$1.3 trillion, the exact cost of the tax cut Republicans are handing out to the richest 1 percent of Americans. That is not all. The entire bill will add a whopping \$3.3 trillion to our Nation's \$35 trillion debt. So much for Republicans being the party of fiscal responsibility.

They are also gutting the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, an agency that returned \$21 billion to families cheated by megabanks. They are cutting housing funds during an affordability crisis and weakening oversight of Wall Street. Maybe they have forgotten that the people elected them, not Trump or the billionaire class.

Mr. Speaker, it will be a cold day in hell before we let Republicans get away with this.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if I could inquire of the gentlewoman, if she doesn't have many speakers, maybe she can lend us some time. We have a ton.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I would say nice try to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN).

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I say that because here in Congress, we measure how much people really believe in their position by whether they are willing to come and join their leaders on the House floor.

I count 4 Members on the Republican side, and we have over 75 here. If I were them, I wouldn't want to be associated with this bill either.

Mr. Speaker, I urge we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to consider amendment No. 156 offered by Leader JEFFRIES, which strikes all provisions that will cause millions of Americans to lose healthcare and food assistance.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with any extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. OCASIO CORTEZ) to discuss our proposal.

□ 1130

Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ. Mr. Speaker, President Trump issued some statements throughout this process saying, urging, and insisting that this bill does not cut Medicaid.

He has also said some things. He says he doesn't think I am too much of a smart person. I will tell you one thing. It doesn't take a smart person to know if you are being lied to.

President Trump, you are either being lied to or you are lying to the American people because this bill represents, in the text of this bill, the largest and greatest loss of healthcare in American history.

Seventeen million Americans will lose their healthcare with this bill, not undocumented people, not the disgusting term "illegal," but 17 million Americans will have their healthcare cut from this bill.

On this point of tax on tips, as one of the only people in this body who has lived off of tips, I want to tell you a little bit about the scam of that text, a little bit of the fine print there.

The cap on that is \$25,000, while you are jacking up taxes on people who make less than \$50,000 across the United States, while taking away their SNAP, while taking away their Medicaid, and while kicking them off of the ACA and their healthcare extensions.

If you are at home and living off tips, you do the math. Is that worth it to you? Losing all your healthcare, not being able to feed your babies, and not being able to put a diaper on their bottom in exchange for what?

This bill is a deal with the devil. It explodes our national debt. It militarizes our entire economy. It strips away healthcare and basic dignity from the American people. For what? To give Elon Musk a tax break, and billionaires, the greedy, taking our Nation.

We cannot stand for it, and we will not support it. You should be ashamed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President, and Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEHLS).

Mr. NEHLS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss an important provision in the big, beautiful bill.

I was proud to introduce the Endowment Tax Fairness Act, which would

tax the endowment profits of private, elite universities at 21 percent, raising an estimated—listen to me—\$70 billion to \$100 billion over 10 years.

The Senate gutted this provision and reduced it to under \$1 billion annually to protect elite universities that take hundreds of millions of dollars annually in Federal tax dollars.

We were given instructions to generate revenue to pay for President Trump's wonderful priorities. We are not taxing the endowment itself. Harvard has \$53 billion. We are not taxing that. We are just going to tax the net earnings on their investments at 21 percent, which is equal to the corporate tax rate.

What did the Senate do? They reduced it to 8 percent, just under 8 percent.

It is about time that we get these universities to pay the same that corporate America pays.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this vile Republican plunder of working families, seniors, and veterans, all so the richest Americans can be showered with even more wealth.

This billionaire bailout would strip healthcare coverage from 17 million Americans, nearly 2 million in my State of Florida. It would push millions into crowded, costly emergency rooms and saddle them with medical debt.

Trump's big, ugly bill also steals record food assistance from millions of kids, seniors, and veterans.

It makes cancer screenings and prenatal care harder to get by defunding Planned Parenthood.

It cripples solar and wind projects and kills millions of clean, green manufacturing and construction jobs, all so the rich can get huge tax breaks.

No family is unscathed because this bill also blows up the national debt by \$4 trillion, which drives up interest rates on car loans and mortgages and triggers billions in Medicare cuts, cutting healthcare for our seniors.

This bill makes life less affordable and more painful. Instead of uplifting lives, it will end them.

When history looks back on this bill, its legacy will be: Trump lied, and people died.

Vote "no."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded, once again, to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER).

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, when we say that the Republican Party has turned into a cult, this is what we mean. Our Republican colleagues are pushing a bill that would throw their constituents under the bus, a bill that

flies in the face of everything they claim to stand for, all because Donald Trump wants a bill-signing photo op by the Fourth of July.

My Republican colleagues know that this bill will cost 17 million Americans their health insurance. They know that nursing homes in their own districts will have to close down. They know that, under this bill, \$5 trillion of debt is being added that will be paid for by my children, your children, and their children for generations to come so that today's billionaires can get a tax break.

They know that this bill is unpopular. Many of their vulnerable Members will lose reelection over it, but the cult leader has decided. He wants his photo op on July Fourth, and our Kool-Aid-drinking colleagues are going along with it.

They know this is wrong. They still have a chance to do right by their constituents and their convictions.

Vote "no" on this bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the very distinguished gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. WILSON).

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairwoman VIRGINIA FOXX of North Carolina for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, indeed, Republicans were elected last November, but with promises made, promises kept.

Despite the extraordinarily ignorant lies on the other side, the American people know that the bill that we will be voting on today reduces taxes, creating jobs.

It is the elimination of taxes on tips and overtime. It provides for securing the border. It provides for energy independence. It provides for the ability to have peace through strength. All of this is in the bill.

On compassion, it is not compassion to support programs that are ultimately going to fail. Republicans want people who need assistance to receive assistance.

Margaret Thatcher has identified what they are proposing, and that is that socialism will work until you run out of other people's money to spend.

They are putting the poor people of America at risk. We are the ones with compassion.

Additionally, with promises made, promises kept by Donald Trump, I have lived it. I led the delegation to move the Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem. He did that. People at the State Department said that we shouldn't do it because it leads to dislocation. No, it led to the Embassy being in Jerusalem, and it led to the Abraham Accords.

We know that last week the President was advised not to bomb Iran, that it would lead to World War III. No, it has led to a cease-fire and the ability to have the Abraham Accords extended to other countries, including Syria.

I am really grateful that LINDSEY GRAHAM was such an adviser. The President acted despite the naysayers that we have all around us.

I just appreciate Donald Trump. Promises made, promises kept.

□ 1140

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I am an occupational therapist. I helped people live the fullest life they could given the cards they were dealt. I worked at a school for children with disabilities and a nursing home, helping seniors maintain their independence.

I know exactly what it means to cut \$1 trillion from Medicare, Medicaid, and the Affordable Care Act, which is exactly what this big, ugly bill does.

Taking away healthcare for 17 million Americans won't make us any healthier. Stealing food away from the neediest children, seniors, and veterans won't make us any stronger. Giving billionaires a tax break won't make us any richer. Children will go hungry. Seniors will lose their healthcare. Students will lose their financial aid. Hospitals will close. Americans will die.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my Republican colleagues to stand up; grow a spine; stop bowing down to your king, Donald Trump; represent your constituents like you were elected to do; and vote "no" on this horrible, big, ugly bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For the third time, the Chair would remind Members not to engage in personalities toward the President, or they will be called out of order.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if there are no other Republican Members willing to speak for this bill, we can send some Members over to you just to state the facts as to what is in this bill. Let's do the public a service.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. OLSZEWSKI).

Mr. OLSZEWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remind my colleagues that this bill is more than words on paper. The policies we are debating today have real impacts on real people, people like 4-year-old Amir Rich, who visited my office earlier this month. Amir was born at just 2 pounds and spent 452 days in the hospital.

Today, although he still depends on feeding tubes and oxygen tanks, he is thriving. He is walking and able to speak. He lit up my office with energy. That is thanks to the care he received under Medicaid.

Christina was forced to leave her 16-year career in corrections to become his full-time caregiver, placing a significant financial strain on their family. In the face of rising costs for American families, Medicaid has become a vital lifeline helping to cover the kind of care Amir needs.

The bill before us guts these lifelines like Medicaid and CHIP. These programs cover nearly half of all American children.

For families like Christina and Amir, today's vote is a matter of life and death. I encourage my colleagues to look at Amir. Look at him and oppose this reckless bill. Protect the vital support systems that serve millions of Americans.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY of Utah. Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to be here and speak in favor of the rule for the big, beautiful bill.

As a provider of healthcare myself, as a doctor, and as a recipient of Medicaid services—my first three children were born on Medicaid—in addition to the fact that as a child, I was receiving food assistance not only from free school lunch programs but church programs, recognizing the vital need associated with Medicaid as well as with these food programs, we need to rightsize these programs.

Mr. Speaker, if we don't take a different trajectory, these programs will collapse under the economic failures of prior administrations. The reality behind this is, this bill that we are discussing today is insisting on work requirements associated with the recipients of Medicaid.

If you are an able-bodied, working adult, you should be able to go out and find a job. If you can't find a job, you need to go to a training program and try to find training so that you can get a job and ultimately get off Medicaid.

One of the components about Medicaid, we all know, is that it was formed in favor of pregnant women, disabled people, as well as the elderly. What the Republicans are trying to do is rightsize the Medicaid program so that it survives for the long-term, vital needs of the people of the future.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if you can't find a job, just enroll in a training program. That is hard to do when Republicans are cutting and gutting the training programs that exist in this country.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON).

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have been listening to this debate, and I am struck by the appalling ability of the Members of the other side who are so afraid of this President. They are willing to lie to the American people because they fear the tweet of a President more than they fear the wrath of the voters at the ballot box. This will come home to roost because 17 million people are going to lose their healthcare.

In Texas, we are one of the largest creators of clean energy jobs. Texas is the energy State. I am proud to be an energy State. We have vast amounts of oil and gas jobs, but we also are the largest creator of clean energy jobs. We will lose millions of jobs in our State because Republicans of this delegation are going to vote for this bill.

In response to Representative NEHLS, I am a proud graduate of the Univer-

sity of Texas. I think Texas A&M and our elite University of Texas deserve to have the funding in our universities.

With this bill, we must vote it down. I condemn the Republicans in the State of Texas who are going to harm our State with their support of this bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASTRO).

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Three years ago today, I was diagnosed with neuroendocrine cancer. That day, my youngest child, my daughter, turned 2 months old.

There is a drug that I have to take every month, every 28 days, and the first time I saw the bill for that, the list price on that drug was \$24,000 per injection. For people that don't have insurance or Medicaid or aren't covered, they are not getting that shot. They are not going to survive, some of them. This is a choice for some of you between your career and saving people's lives.

There are so many folks who have reached out over the last several months panicking about their disabled children, about their senior citizen parents who are in nursing homes, people struggling with cancer, Alzheimer's, dementia, sickle cell, diabetes, all of these illnesses. You have the power today to make sure that they can live with dignity and stay alive, or you can choose your career. That is your choice.

We choose to keep people alive. We choose to have healthcare in this country. We refuse to cut healthcare for 17 million people nationwide and 1.6 million people in Texas because it is wrong and it is immoral and we can take a different course.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. BAUMGARTNER).

Mr. BAUMGARTNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the big, beautiful bill.

At its heart, the big, beautiful bill is a measure that will make America both wealthier and safer. I am inspired in thinking about the big, beautiful bill of not only this President but two of my favorite former Presidents.

The first would be President John Fitzgerald Kennedy, who realized—getting the appropriate quote—realized the negative impacts of high tax rates. Indeed, roughly 60 years ago, President Kennedy warned: "The largest single barrier to full employment of our manpower and resources and to a higher rate of economic growth is the unrealistically heavy drag of Federal income taxes on private purchasing power, initiative, and incentive."

Indeed, I have little doubt that President Kennedy would be a big fan of the big, beautiful bill.

Similarly, President Reagan realized peace through strength was how America could defeat the communist Soviet Union. He realized how missile defense

was key to facing up to the challenge of the communists.

Today, the big, beautiful bill puts much-needed funding into missile defense through the Golden Dome system.

I encourage every American that cares about making our country wealthier and safer to support the big, beautiful bill.

□ 1150

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. STEVENS).

Ms. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, this bill makes me sick to my stomach. I have met with over 200 manufacturers in my district, and the one thing that I hear over and over again is that the cost of everything is going up.

This administration is now, yet again, trying to raise prices on working Michiganders. This bill in Michigan will kick 750,000 people off their Medicaid. It will eliminate critical investments in Michigan manufacturing. It means, for Michiganders, that costs will continue to go up and up.

As someone who served as the chief of staff on the U.S. auto rescue in the Obama administration, I know what it means to see Michiganders struggling. I know what it means when Michiganders have to choose between paying their bills and paying for life-saving healthcare, all while billionaires get a tax cut.

This bill, Mr. Speaker, makes me sick to my stomach, and I urge everyone to vote "no."

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I feel the excitement on the other side of the aisle.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. SYKES).

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to oppose this terrible bill that is a bad deal for Ohio, the residents of Ohio's 13th Congressional District, and the people of the United States of America.

When I was first elected, I told the people of Ohio's 13th Congressional District that it may be my name on the ballot, but when I win, we are all going to Washington together. I made that promise because, as a Representative of my district, it is my responsibility to make sure that my constituents' needs are being addressed here in Washington.

The people in Ohio's 13th Congressional District need lower costs. The people in Ohio's 13th Congressional District need access to care. The people in Ohio's 13th District need opportunity, opportunity to work, to buy a house, and to live their American Dream. Yet, this bill does none of that.

Instead, it kicks 17 million people off their healthcare and makes life more expensive. People don't have extra money to pay for billionaires' yachts and monthslong vacations.

This bill takes money away from hardworking Americans and gives it to

the rich. It takes food away from children, making their bellies hungry.

In my district, Mr. Speaker, 30,000 jobs are in jeopardy. I don't want to hear another word from Republicans about people not wanting to work when they are sending them to the unemployment line.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bad bill. Do not allow the people in my district to be unemployed, hungry, and without the ability to live their American Dream.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, my Democratic colleagues continue to rail against commonsense community engagement requirements, which require able-bodied adults without an exemption to spend 20 hours per week working, volunteering, enrolling in school, or participating in a work development program.

Let's be perfectly clear. These work requirements apply only to able-bodied adults without young dependents who don't have a disqualifying condition.

Individuals who are exempt from these requirements include pregnant women, individuals under the age of 19 or over the age of 64, foster youth and former foster youth under the age of 26, members of Tribes, individuals who are considered medically frail, and individuals who are already in compliance with the work requirements under Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, TANF, or the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, SNAP.

The list goes on, but our priority remains the same. It is to root out waste, fraud, and abuse and to strengthen and sustain Medicaid for those for whom the program was intended to serve: expectant mothers, children with disabilities, the poor, and the elderly.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, Democratic Leader JEFFRIES has an amendment to strike the devastating cuts to healthcare and SNAP, and I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. CLARKE), the chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK). Does the gentlewoman from North Carolina yield for that purpose?

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate, and I do not yield for that request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina does not yield. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. CARTER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CARTER of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the

amendment at the desk that protects against any Medicaid cuts and any cuts to SNAP.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate. I do not yield for that request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. MCBATH) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. MCBATH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina will not yield for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. OMAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has expressed a desire not to entertain the unanimous consent request for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. EVANS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. EVANS of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Given that the gentlewoman from North Carolina will not accept the request for the unanimous consent agenda, the purpose, therefore, cannot be entertained at this time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MEEKS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MEEKS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. HORSFORD) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. HORSFORD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. FOUSHEE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. FOUSHEE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SEWELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1200

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, are you sure you don't want to check with the gentlewoman from North Carolina just in case she changed her mind?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is pretty confident.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. ADAMS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ADAMS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. MFUME) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MFUME. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK) for the purpose of unanimous consent request.

Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. JACKSON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. JACKSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. CROCKETT) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CROCKETT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. SYKES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. HAYES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. BROWN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BROWN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. MCCLELLAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MCCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SIMON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SIMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. BYNUM) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BYNUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. IVEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. IVEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. McIVER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. McIVER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. AMO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. AMO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. BELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. BELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. RANDALL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. RANDALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. BUDZINSKI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BUDZINSKI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Hawaii (Ms. TOKUDA) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. TOKUDA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. MENG) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MENG. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. DINGELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. HOULAHAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. HOULAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Mrs. FLETCHER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. FLETCHER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. PRESSLEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. PRESSLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, does one Republican Member have the power to block an amendment to protect Medicaid and SNAP?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The manager of the pending resolution would have to yield for any such amendment.

Mr. MCGOVERN. That kind of seems like a silly rule.

Anyway, Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. GILLEN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. GILLEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. MORRISON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MORRISON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1220

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. BROWNLEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BROWNLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request may not be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. TORRES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. LIEU) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. LIEU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. RUIZ) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. RUIZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CASTOR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1230

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. DESAULNIER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. DESAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MATSUI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Hampshire (Mr. PAPPAS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SUBRAMANYAM) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. SUBRAMANYAM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Ms. SHERRILL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SHERRILL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MANNION) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MANNION. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. LYNCH) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid or the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I request that we allow a vote to let the 10-20-30 formula be applied to the low-income areas affected by this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek unanimous consent?

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I seek unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentlewoman yield for that purpose?

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I do not yield.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina does not yield for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman has been clear. She is not entertaining any unanimous consent requests. She will not yield to that point. Therefore, it cannot be entertained at this time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. CARBAJAL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. ESCOBAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ESCOBAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. DELBENE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. DELBENE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the spirit of Jesus as it is spoken in Matthew: He was sick, and I healed him. He was hungry, and I fed him.

Medicare, healthcare, SNAP payments, and nutrition payments should not be taken away from fellow passengers on this Earth.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Further, the Chair would advise Members that although a unanimous consent to consider a measure is covered by the Speaker's guidelines for recognition, embellishments constitute debate and, as such, can become an imposition on the time of the Member who is yielded for that purpose.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. ROSS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ROSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. WHITESIDES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. WHITESIDES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Hampshire (Ms. GOODLANDER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. GOODLANDER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and to SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule and to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CASAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1240

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. STANTON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. STANTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid or SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and, therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the distinguished woman from California (Ms. PELOSI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and, therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, over 100 Democratic Members just asked to allow an amendment to protect Medicaid and SNAP, to just give us a vote. That is all I ask.

I will say that we are here standing up for the American people, no matter where they live, and this is what it looks like to fight for the American people, to make sure that this administration and this Republican Congress don't rip away their healthcare and their food benefits. That is disgusting.

In the gentlewoman from North Carolina's district alone, 142,000 of her constituents would lose some or all of their SNAP benefits, and five rural hospitals are at risk of closure.

My Democratic colleagues and I are here to help people, not to screw them over.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, wow, that gambit was riveting.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 7 minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has 7¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE).

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, as I sat here and listened to that panoply of speeches or motions over the last few seconds, I was reminded of the fact that when we say there should be no cuts to Medicaid, we are saying there should be no

cuts to illegal immigrants who are getting Medicaid.

We live in a world where we spend more money than we bring in, and it is not fair to actual Americans to be forced to take on additional debt to give benefits to people who are not in this country legally.

Mr. Speaker, I note that the Democrats have not proposed a solution that would allow us to continue to give benefits to people who shouldn't be here anyway and pay for them without our children going into future debt. We must keep that in mind.

I am here to fight for Americans, and that is what this bill does.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I don't know if the gentleman has ever read the Medicaid statute, but undocumented immigrants are not entitled to receive Medicaid benefits, and they don't.

Our solution to make sure that our children are not saddled with debt is to not give billionaires, millionaires, and corporations big tax cuts. Jeff Bezos doesn't need a tax cut.

Why don't you come down here and explain to people why it is so important that you protect his tax cuts? We tried to go after the billionaire tax cuts in the Rules Committee last night, and every single Republican voted "no."

That is how we are going to do it. We are going to invest in people who need help. We are going to make sure people have healthcare. We are going to make sure people have food assistance. We are not going to screw them over.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. DESAULNIER).

Mr. DESAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, this bill would cause household resources for the poorest Americans to decrease while the obscenely rich will get richer.

I offered two amendments in the Rules Committee, one to prevent people with disabilities from being kicked off Medicaid and one to help low-income students afford an education that they earned.

Unfortunately, Republicans refused to consider them, as they yet again worked through the dead of night to rip off hardworking Americans and give tax breaks to the richest Americans who don't need them.

Even FOX News reports that it is projected to increase the Federal deficit by \$4 trillion over the next decade.

This bill is nothing more than a vanity project for the President, and it betrays the American people. I strongly oppose this reckless legislation and urge my colleagues to do the right thing.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. BABIN).

Mr. BABIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in very strong support of H.R. 1, President Trump's one big, beautiful bill.

Here we are, despite months of our Democratic colleagues' best efforts to delay, fearmonger, and mislead the public about this bill, and we are ready to deliver for the American people.

Here is the thing about fearmongering. You can only say "the sky is falling" so many times before folks start waking up and seeing for themselves exactly what is going on.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act secures our border. It prevents the single largest tax increase in American history and refocuses safety net programs for those who truly need them, not the ones shamming. Then, it reinvigorates our Armed Forces, where we saw a diminution for 4 long years under the Biden administration.

Mr. Speaker, it is high time that we deliver this to the President's desk for a signature. This is promises made and promises kept, and I am very proud to support this bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, the big, ugly bill is going to throw 16 million people off of healthcare and give people like Jeff Bezos, millionaires and billionaires, a tax cut. If that is what you are about, I hate to tell you, that is not what the American people voted for.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RILEY).

Mr. RILEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, where I come from, we make things. Generations woke up before the crack of dawn, went off to the factory, punched a clock, worked their hands to the bone, and came home and counted nickels to make sure they could keep a roof over the kids' heads and keep food on the tables.

We have kept our heads down. We have kept our sleeves rolled up. We have kept our shoulder to the wheel. All we have asked for is a fair shot and for this place to stop screwing us over, but you can't help yourselves.

You got us into terrible trade deals that made Wall Street rich and shipped all of our jobs overseas. You bail out the banks while neglecting rural America. You have rigged the economy so the corporate PACs that are funding your campaigns make huge profits, jacking up costs on folks who can't afford it, and now this.

This bill will kill good, blue-collar manufacturing jobs that we need to rebuild the economy in this country, close rural hospitals, and defund healthcare, all to give trillions of dollars in tax cuts to your cronies.

Don't tell me you give a shit about the middle class when all you are doing is shitting on the middle class.

□ 1250

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind both sides of two things. Please direct your remarks to the Chair and avoid vulgar speak. We do have families here. The gentleman will not be recognized.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, thank you for reminding us of the language we should be using in this Chamber.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I hope when the President comes here next, you will admonish him for the language he uses.

Mr. Speaker, I yield one minute to the gentlewoman from Delaware (Ms. MCBRIDE).

Ms. MCBRIDE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this big, ugly bill. Let's be clear: This bill is TrumpCare. And TrumpCare means 17 million more Americans will be uninsured and all the rest of us will be paying higher costs and driving further to get care.

Over the last several months, I have met with hundreds of Delawareans—parents, patients, providers, hospital leaders, teachers, nurses, people from all across the State of Delaware—and everyone was asking us, pleading with us, to kill this bill.

Are my Republican colleagues meeting with their constituents? Are they ignoring them? Do they even care?

Every "yes" vote shows very clearly that they don't. This bill is trickle-down cruelty, and people will die because of it.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, as the President has said numerous times, there will be no cuts to Medicaid. The One Big Beautiful Bill Act protects and strengthens Medicaid for those who rely on it—pregnant women, children, seniors, people with disabilities, and low-income families—while eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act removes illegal aliens, enforces work requirements, and protects Medicaid for the truly vulnerable.

For our colleagues on the other side who say illegal aliens are not receiving this, we understand that 1.4 million people do not meet citizenship and immigration status requirements for Medicaid enrollment but would be covered under current law and programs funded by the States. We know that because we have a CBO score.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. KHANNA).

Mr. KHANNA. Mr. Speaker, I never want to hear the Republicans lecture about fiscal responsibility again. The last President to actually balance this budget was Bill Clinton. Today, Bob Rubin and Larry Summers wrote an op-ed in The New York Times that every American should read.

Their budget will take the deficit up to 8 percent of GDP. It is historically unprecedented in the history of our country during peacetime. We never had a more reckless, deficit-exploding bill than what they are proposing.

The Democrats have a proposal to bring the deficits back down under 4 percent. Bob Rubin and Larry Summers were the last ones who have done it. HAKEEM JEFFRIES is leading with a sensible proposal to lower deficits.

If you care about debt in this country, if you care about our grandkids

being burdened with debt, if you care about a bond market devastation, then you need to recognize that this bill hurts America, and you need to support the Democratic plan for deficit reduction.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. BARR).

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, with the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, President Trump is once again keeping his promises to the American people. He promised he would stop the largest tax increase in America history. He promised he would make the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act permanent. He promised he would deliver the largest tax cut for hard-working taxpayers in American history, and he promised to eliminate taxes on tips, overtime, and Social Security benefits.

President Trump is keeping his word. He is delivering much-needed relief for the American people, and this will produce an investment surge, driving real median incomes up by \$10,000 relative to the scenario in which the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act is allowed to expire.

To my friend from California, if he cares about fiscal responsibility, stopping a \$4.5 trillion tax increase stops a recession. You cannot balance the budget without growth.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I don't want to close, but I am prepared to close.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is a moral failure. It rips healthcare away from millions. It pushes more families into hunger, and it leaves seniors stranded all so billionaires can keep more of what they will never spend.

Republicans say: If you lose your job, too bad. If hospitals shut down, good luck. If you can't afford groceries or insulin, figure it out. But if you are Big Oil, a CEO, or trust fund baby, don't worry, we have got you covered. This bill is about who matters and who doesn't. Guess what. Working people didn't make the cut.

I want my Republican colleagues to know they don't have to do this. They don't have to sell out their own communities. They don't have to vote for a bill that goes against fundamental things they claim to believe in.

Crazy as it sounds, they don't have to hurt people to keep Trump happy. Find a spine. Find a conscience, for God's sake, because the American people are watching. They know the difference between real leadership and bootlicking.

A vote for this bill is a vote for cruelty. It is a vote for fiscal irresponsibility and a vote to screw over your constituents to give more to the ultra wealthy. This bill is a middle finger to working people.

We can still stop this. We can still do the right thing. Vote "no." Go back to the table. Work with us on tax relief for people who are not making millions and billions of dollars. Don't let this be your legacy.

That so many of you are blindly going along with this just to please the

guy in the White House is shameful. You have a responsibility to care for the people in your districts, and you are voting for a bill that is going to throw millions off of healthcare and rip food off kitchen tables all across the country. It is shameful. It is shameful. I am disgusted that we are here debating this trash.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on the rule and a "no" vote on the bill. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, I remind both sides to direct your comments to the Chair.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

People who have supported the Democratic Party policies for years cannot come to this floor and question the morality of Republicans.

President Trump has his pen in hand and is waiting for the House to complete its work. We have championed this legislation for months, have guided it through the appropriate processes, and now we are on the 1 yard line.

America deserves to move even further into the golden age. H.R. 1 unlocks the opportunity to do that. It is time to get this done.

Let's be crystal clear about the content of this historic bill. We are restoring our borders once left defenseless and without redress. We are protecting our great Nation by investing in our military and military families. We are preserving tax cuts that made the United States economy the envy of the world just 8 years ago. We are reviving our Nation's fiscal outlook by finally cutting wasteful spending and unleashing our economy from harmful restraint—restore, protect, preserve, and revive. The failure to act would yield only the opposite.

Our Democrat colleagues would tear down the border wall and return us to open-border policies. They would have us oversee a continued decline in our military readiness despite unprecedented theaters of global conflict. They would destroy our economy in their unending appetite for continued tax increases to feed their spending binges.

□ 1300

Mr. Speaker, the choice is clear. We must deliver. We must deliver a renewed, restored, and revived Nation and grant voters the America they stood for just 8 months ago.

Mr. Speaker, in a moment, I will offer an amendment to the resolution, which would correct a technical error in the rule.

I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the previous question, "yes" on the amendment, and "yes" on the rule.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MS. FOXX

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I urge support for the resolution, as amended.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, and still I rise now, as I did during the Joint Address to Congress when I told the President and the Nation that there is no mandate to cut Medicaid. The waste, fraud, and abuse argument is a façade, because no empirical evidence has been presented to justify cutting more than \$1 trillion from Medicaid, Medicare, and the Affordable Care Act. These deep cuts will allow the richest Americans to receive, on average, an additional \$30,000 annually, while the poorest Americans will lose approximately \$700 annually.

This legislation is a complete betrayal of the American people, extending additional tax breaks to the wealthiest individuals in this country while cutting lifesaving, urgent services for the most vulnerable among us. The cuts to Medicaid, Medicare, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) alone will take health care and food assistance away from millions of veterans, seniors, and families across the country.

Congressional Democrats offered many amendments to ensure that critical services would not be cut. I submitted an amendment to ensure that individuals who have had their SNAP benefits stolen are able to receive a reimbursement. I also submitted an amendment which would help raise awareness of the risk of renal medullary carcinoma, an aggressive form of kidney cancer found in young individuals with the sickle cell trait. These common-sense amendments would not substantially add to the deficit, yet they were still rejected by Congressional Republicans, alongside all other amendments submitted to make this bill less cruel.

With H.R. 1, President Trump and Congressional Republicans have shown that they want a billionaire bailout at the expense of working families.

The material previously referred to by Mr. MCGOVERN is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 566 OFFERED BY
MR. MCGOVERN OF MASSACHUSETTS

Strike everything following the resolved clause and insert the following:

That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on the Budget or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment with the amendment specified in section 2 of this resolution. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in section 1 is as follows:

In subtitle A of title I, strike sections 10101 through 10108.

Strike subtitle B of title VII.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the

previous question on the amendment and on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question on the amendment and on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on ordering the previous question will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Adoption of the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX), if ordered; and

Adoption of the resolution, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 214, nays 212, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 187]

YEAS—214

Aderholt	Fong	Luttrell
Alford	Foxx	Mace
Allen	Franklin, Scott	Mackenzie
Amodei (NV)	Fry	Malliotakis
Arrington	Fulcher	Maloy
Babin	Garbarino	Mann
Bacon	Gill (TX)	Massie
Baird	Jimenez	Mast
Balderson	Goldman (TX)	McCaul
Barr	Gonzales, Tony	McClain
Barrett	Gooden	McClintock
Baumgartner	Gosar	McCormick
Bean (FL)	Graves	McDowell
Begich	Green (TN)	McGuire
Bentz	Greene (GA)	Messmer
Bergman	Griffith	Miller (IL)
Bice	Grothman	Miller (OH)
Biggs (AZ)	Guest	Miller (WV)
Biggs (SC)	Guthrie	Miller-Meeks
Billirakis	Hageman	Mills
Boebert	Hamadeh (AZ)	Moolenaar
Bost	Haridopolos	Moore (AL)
Brecheen	Harrigan	Moore (NC)
Bresnahan	Harris (MD)	Moore (UT)
Buchanan	Harris (NC)	Moore (WV)
Burlison	Harshbarger	Moran
Calvert	Hern (OK)	Murphy
Cammack	Higgins (LA)	Nehls
Carey	Hill (AR)	Newhouse
Carter (GA)	Hinson	Norman
Carter (TX)	Houchin	Nunn (IA)
Ciscomani	Hudson	Oberholte
Cline	Huizenga	Onder
Cloud	Hunt	Owens
Clyde	Hurd (CO)	Palmer
Cole	Issa	Patronis
Collins	Jack	Perry
Comer	Jackson (TX)	Pfuger
Crane	James	Reschenthaler
Crank	Johnson (LA)	Rogers (AL)
Crawford	Johnson (SD)	Rogers (KY)
Crenshaw	Jordan	Rose
Davidson	Joyce (OH)	Rouzer
De La Cruz	Joyce (PA)	Rulli
DesJarlais	Kean	Rutherford
Diaz-Balart	Kelly (MS)	Salazar
Donalds	Kelly (PA)	Scalise
Downing	Kennedy (UT)	Schmidt
Edwards	Kiggans (VA)	Schweikert
Ellzey	Kiley (CA)	Scott, Austin
Emmer	Kim	Self
Estes	Knott	Sessions
Evans (CO)	Kustoff	Shreve
Ezell	LaHood	Simpson
Fallon	LaLota	Smith (MO)
Fedorchak	LaMalfa	Smith (NE)
Feenstra	Langworthy	Smith (NJ)
Fine	Latta	Smucker
Finstad	Lawler	Spartz
Fischbach	Lee (FL)	Staubert
Fitzgerald	Letlow	Stefanik
Fitzpatrick	Loudermilk	Steil
Fleischmann	Lucas	Steube
Flood	Luna	Strong

Stutzman
Taylor
Tenney
Thompson (PA)
Timmons
Turner (OH)
Valadao
Van Drew

Van Dwyne
Van Orden
Wagner
Walberg
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Westerman
Wied

Williams (TX)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Yakym
Zinke

NAYS—212

Adams	Golden (ME)	Omar
Aguilar	Goldman (NY)	Pallone
Amo	Gomez	Panetta
Ansari	Gonzalez, V.	Pappas
Auchincloss	Goodlander	Pelosi
Balint	Gottheimer	Perez
Barragan	Gray	Peters
Beatty	Green, Al (TX)	Pettersen
Bell	Harder (CA)	Pingree
Bera	Hayes	Pocan
Beyer	Himes	Pou
Bishop	Horsford	Pressley
Bonamici	Houlahan	Quigley
Boyle (PA)	Hoyer	Ramirez
Brown	Hoyle (OR)	Randall
Brownley	Huffman	Raskin
Budzinski	Ivey	Riley (NY)
Bynum	Jackson (IL)	Rivas
Carbajal	Jacobs	Ross
Carson	Jayapal	Ruiz
Carter (LA)	Jeffries	Ryan
Casas	Johnson (GA)	Salinas
Case	Johnson (TX)	Sánchez
Casten	Kamlager-Dove	Sánchez
Castor (FL)	Kaptur	Scanlon
Castro (TX)	Keating	Schakowsky
Cherfilus-	Kelly (IL)	Schneider
McCormick	Kennedy (NY)	Scholten
Chu	Khanna	Schrier
Cisneros	Krishnamoorthi	Scott (VA)
Clark (MA)	Landsman	Scott, David
Clarke (NY)	Larsen (WA)	Sewell
Cleaver	Larson (CT)	Sherman
Clyburn	Latimer	Sherrill
Cohen	Lee (NV)	Simon
Conaway	Lee (PA)	Smith (WA)
Correa	Leger Fernandez	Sorensen
Costa	Levin	Soto
Courtney	Liccardo	Stansbury
Craig	Lieu	Stanton
Crockett	Lofgren	Stevens
Crow	Lynch	Strickland
Cuellar	Magaziner	Subramanyam
Davids (KS)	Mannion	Suozzi
Davis (IL)	Matsui	Swalwell
Davis (NC)	McBath	Sykes
Dean (PA)	McBride	Takano
DeGette	McClain Delaney	Thanedar
DeLauro	McClellan	Thompson (CA)
DeBene	McCollum	Thompson (MS)
Deluzio	McDonald Rivet	Titus
DeSaulnier	McGarvey	Tlaib
Dexter	McGovern	Tokuda
Dingell	McIver	Tonko
Doggett	Meeks	Torres (CA)
Elfreth	Menendez	Torres (NY)
Escobar	Meng	Trahan
Espallat	Mfume	Tran
Evans (PA)	Min	Underwood
Fields	Moore (WI)	Vargas
Figures	Morelle	Vasquez
Fletcher	Morrison	Veasey
Foster	Moskowitz	Velázquez
Foushee	Moulton	Vindman
Frankel, Lois	Mrvan	Wasserman
Friedman	Mullin	Schultz
Frost	Nadler	Waters
Garamendi	Neal	Watson Coleman
Garcia (CA)	Neguse	Whitesides
Garcia (IL)	Norcross	Williams (GA)
Garcia (TX)	Ocasio-Cortez	Wilson (FL)
Gillen	Olshewski	

NOT VOTING—6

Burchett	Meuser	Roy
Dunn (FL)	Ogles	Tiffany

□ 1406

Mr. VARGAS changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the previous question was ordered.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK). The question is on adoption of the amendment offered by the gen-

tlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 220, noes 212, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 188]

AYES—220

Aderholt	Goldman (TX)	Miller (WV)
Alford	Gonzales, Tony	Miller-Meeks
Allen	Gooden	Mills
Amodei (NV)	Gosar	Moolenaar
Arrington	Graves	Moore (AL)
Babin	Green (TN)	Moore (NC)
Bacon	Greene (GA)	Moore (UT)
Baird	Griffith	Moore (WV)
Balderson	Grothman	Moran
Barr	Guest	Murphy
Barrett	Guthrie	Nehls
Baumgartner	Hageman	Newhouse
Bean (FL)	Hamadeh (AZ)	Norman
Begich	Haridopolos	Nunn (IA)
Bentz	Harrigan	Oberholte
Bergman	Harris (MD)	Ogles
Bice	Harris (NC)	Onder
Biggs (AZ)	Harshbarger	Owens
Biggs (SC)	Hern (OK)	Palmer
Billirakis	Higgins (LA)	Patronis
Boebert	Hill (AR)	Perry
Bost	Hinson	Pfuger
Brecheen	Houchin	Reschenthaler
Bresnahan	Hudson	Rogers (AL)
Buchanan	Huizenga	Rogers (KY)
Burlison	Hurchett	Rose
Calvert	Hurd (CO)	Rouzer
Cammack	Issa	Roy
Carey	Jack	Rulli
Carter (GA)	Jackson (TX)	Rutherford
Carter (TX)	James	Salazar
Ciscomani	Johnson (LA)	Scalise
Cline	Johnson (SD)	Schmidt
Cloud	Jordan	Schweikert
Clyde	Joyce (OH)	Scott, Austin
Cole	Joyce (PA)	Self
Collins	Kean	Sessions
Comer	Kelly (MS)	Shreve
Crane	Kelly (PA)	Simpson
Crank	Kennedy (UT)	Smith (MO)
Crawford	Kiggans (VA)	Smith (NE)
Crenshaw	Kiley (CA)	Smith (NJ)
Davidson	Kim	Smucker
De La Cruz	Knott	Spartz
DesJarlais	Kustoff	Staubert
Diaz-Balart	LaHood	Stefanik
Donalds	LaLota	Steil
Downing	LaMalfa	Steube
Edwards	Langworthy	Strong
Ellzey	Latta	
Emmer	Lawler	
Estes	Lee (FL)	
Evans (CO)	Letlow	
Ezell	Loudermilk	
Fallon	Lucas	
Fedorchak	Luna	
Feenstra	Luttrell	
Fine	Mace	
Finstad	Mackenzie	
Fischbach	Malliotakis	
Fitzgerald	Maloy	
Fitzpatrick	Mann	
Fleischmann	Massie	
Flood	Mast	
Fong	McCaul	
Foxx	McClain	
Franklin, Scott	McClintock	
Fry	McCormick	
Fulcher	McDowell	
Garbarino	McGuire	
Gill (TX)	Messmer	
Jimenez	Meuser	
	Miller (IL)	
	Miller (OH)	

NOES—212

Adams	Amo	Auchincloss
Aguilar	Ansari	Balint

Barragán
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Beyer
Bishop
Bonamici
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Casar
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Cuellar
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Davis (NC)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DeBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfbreth
Escobar
Espallat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi
Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen
Golden (ME)
Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzalez, V.

Goodlander
Gottheimer
Gray
Green, Al (TX)
Harder (CA)
Hayes
Himes
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Hoyle (OR)
Huffman
Ivey
Jackson (IL)
Jacobs
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (TX)
Kamlager-Dove
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy (NY)
Khanna
Krishnamoorthi
Landsman
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latimer
Lee (NV)
Lee (PA)
Leger Fernandez
Levin
Liccardo
Lieu
Lofgren
Lynch
Magaziner
Mannion
Matsui
McBath
McBride
McClain Delaney
McClellan
McCollum
McDonald Rivet
McGarvey
McGovern
McIver
Meeks
Menendez
Meng
Mfume
Min
Moore (WI)
Morelle
Morrison
Moskowitz
Moulton
Mrvan
Mullin
Nadler
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
Ocasio-Cortez
Olshewski
Omar
Pallone

Panetta
Pappas
Pelosi
Perez
Peters
Pettersen
Pingree
Pocan
Pou
Pressley
Quigley
Ramirez
Randall
Raskin
Riley (NY)
Rivas
Ross
Ruiz
Ryan
Salinas
Sánchez
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schneider
Scholten
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Sewell
Sherman
Sherrill
Simon
Smith (WA)
Sorensen
Soto
Stansbury
Stanton
Stevens
Strickland
Subramanyam
Suozi
Swalwell
Sykes
Takano
Thamadar
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres (NY)
Trahan
Tran
Underwood
Vargas
Vasquez
Veasey
Velázquez
Vindman
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Whitesides
Williams (GA)
Wilson (FL)

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. NEGUSE (during the vote). Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my understanding is that Republican leadership has issued a notice to their Members that they aren't required to be on the floor, essentially an informal adjournment, notwithstanding that this amendment vote is pending. Is it appropriate under the Rules of the House of Representatives' procedure or Jefferson's Manual to keep a vote open while simultaneously declaring to Members that they are free to leave?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. What the Chair will say to the gentleman from Colorado is that, under clause 9 of rule XX, the minimum—underline min-

imum—time for voting is 5 minutes on this vote. This vote remains open, so the minimum time is 5 minutes, and there is not a maximum time, nor to this Chair's knowledge is there a requirement that, during the vote, the Members remain on the floor.

As the gentleman from Colorado would surely know, it is customary for Members to vote in the middle of a vote series, leave the floor, and then come back for additional votes later.

I hope that clears up any misunderstanding the gentleman from Colorado would have.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, it does, and I appreciate the Speaker's response. Is it customary for a vote to go over 1 hour?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is not unprecedented, though the Chair is not a historian, and so you would have to talk to people who are engaged in the history and the proceedings of the House of Representatives to be able to ascertain that answer. Sorry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my final parliamentary procedure request: Would it be permissible, Mr. Speaker, or would the Speaker entertain a motion to adjourn, given that Republicans don't intend to complete this vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. That would not be in order. The gentleman rose for a parliamentary inquiry. That answer has been given, and that would be the limitation to the gentleman's ability on the floor.

Mr. NEGUSE. I thank the Speaker.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my understanding is that House Republicans have kept this vote open longer than any other Congress in the history of the House of Representatives.

At what point will the Speaker ascertain whether this practice is violative of rule XVII with respect to the order of the House or rule XX with respect to the voting procedures of the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. What the Chair would tell the gentleman from Colorado is that, once again, as I said a few hours ago—

Mr. NEGUSE. Nine hours ago, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore.—the presiding officer is not a historian and so, therefore, cannot opine as to whether or not historical precedent is in order or whether this will be a condition of the House going forward.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I asked whether or not it was violative of rule XVII or rule XX for Republicans to keep this vote open longer than any other Congress in the history of the United States House of Representatives.

We know for certain that this, in fact, is a new precedent that has been broken by Republicans. This is the longest—yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. To the gentleman from Colorado, as was stat-

ed, again, several hours ago, the rule provides for a minimum amount of time for voting, not a maximum time for voting. That minimum time is, obviously, part of that rule.

Besides that, the Chair doesn't have any other information he could share with the gentleman from Colorado that would be useful in this discussion.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I conclude simply by saying: Is it permissible under the rules for this vote to be held open for 24 hours, 48 hours, 3 months? How long, in the Speaker's judgment, is it permissible to hold the vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is posing hypotheticals, and the Chair is prepared to move on the vote that we are currently in. Therefore, the conversation ends.

□ 2131

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution, as amended.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 219, nays 213, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 189]

YEAS—219

Aderholt	DesJarlais	Harshbarger
Alford	Diaz-Balart	Hern (OK)
Allen	Donalds	Higgins (LA)
Amodei (NV)	Downing	Hill (AR)
Arrington	Dunn (FL)	Hinson
Babin	Edwards	Houchin
Bacon	Ellzey	Hudson
Baird	Emmer	Huizenga
Balderson	Estes	Hunt
Barr	Evans (CO)	Hurd (CO)
Barrett	Ezell	Issa
Baumgartner	Fallon	Jack
Bean (FL)	Fedorchak	Jackson (TX)
Begich	Feenstra	James
Bentz	Fine	Johnson (LA)
Bergman	Finstad	Johnson (SD)
Bice	Fischbach	Jordan
Biggs (AZ)	Fitzgerald	Joyce (OH)
Biggs (SC)	Fleischmann	Joyce (PA)
Bilirakis	Flood	Kean
Boebert	Fong	Kelly (MS)
Bost	Fox	Kelly (PA)
Brecheen	Franklin, Scott	Kennedy (UT)
Bresnahan	Fry	Kiggans (VA)
Buchanan	Fulcher	Kiley (CA)
Burchett	Garbarino	Kim
Burlison	Gill (TX)	Knott
Calvert	Gimenez	Kustoff
Cammack	Goldman (TX)	LaHood
Carey	Gonzales, Tony	LaLota
Carter (GA)	Gooden	LaMalfa
Carter (TX)	Gosar	Langworthy
Ciscomani	Graves	Latta
Cline	Green (TN)	Lawler
Cloud	Greene (GA)	Lee (FL)
Clyde	Griffith	Letlow
Cole	Grothman	Loudermilk
Collins	Guest	Lucas
Comer	Guthrie	Luna
Crane	Hageman	Luttrell
Crank	Hamadeh (AZ)	Mace
Crawford	Haridopolos	Mackenzie
Crenshaw	Harrigan	Malliotakis
Davidson	Harris (MD)	Maloy
De La Cruz	Harris (NC)	Mann

Massie
Mast
McCaul
McClain
McClintock
McCormick
McDowell
McGuire
Messmer
Meuser
Miller (IL)
Miller (OH)
Miller (WV)
Miller-Meeks
Mills
Moolenaar
Moore (AL)
Moore (NC)
Moore (UT)
Moore (WV)
Moran
Murphy
Nehls
Newhouse
Norman
Nunn (IA)
Oberholte
Ogles

Onder
Owens
Palmer
Patronis
Perry
Pfluger
Reschenthaler
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rose
Rouzer
Roy
Rulli
Rutherford
Salazar
Scalise
Schmidt
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Self
Sessions
Shreve
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smucker
Spartz

Stauber
Stefanik
Steil
Steube
Strong
Stutzman
Taylor
Tenney
Thompson (PA)
Tiffany
Timmons
Turner (OH)
Valadao
Van Drew
Van Dyne
Van Orden
Wagner
Walberg
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Westerman
Wied
Williams (TX)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Yakym
Zinke

Vindman
Wasserman
Schultz

Waters
Watson Coleman
Whitesides

Williams (GA)
Wilson (FL)

□ 0323

Mr. MASSIE changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 566, I call up the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the Senate amendment.

The text of the Senate amendment is as follows:

Senate amendment:

Strike all after the first word, and insert the following:

1. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Table of contents.

TITLE I—COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY

Subtitle A—Nutrition

Sec. 10101. Re-evaluation of thrifty food plan.

Sec. 10102. Modifications to SNAP work requirements for able-bodied adults.

Sec. 10103. Availability of standard utility allowances based on receipt of energy assistance.

Sec. 10104. Restrictions on internet expenses.

Sec. 10105. Matching funds requirements.

Sec. 10106. Administrative cost sharing.

Sec. 10107. National education and obesity prevention grant program.

Sec. 10108. Alien SNAP eligibility.

Subtitle B—Forestry

Sec. 10201. Rescission of amounts for forestry.

Subtitle C—Commodities

Sec. 10301. Effective reference price; reference price.

Sec. 10302. Base acres.

Sec. 10303. Producer election.

Sec. 10304. Price loss coverage.

Sec. 10305. Agriculture risk coverage.

Sec. 10306. Equitable treatment of certain entities.

Sec. 10307. Payment limitations.

Sec. 10308. Adjusted gross income limitation.

Sec. 10309. Marketing loans.

Sec. 10310. Repayment of marketing loans.

Sec. 10311. Economic adjustment assistance for textile mills.

Sec. 10312. Sugar program updates.

Sec. 10313. Dairy policy updates.

Sec. 10314. Implementation.

Subtitle D—Disaster Assistance Programs

Sec. 10401. Supplemental agricultural disaster assistance.

Subtitle E—Crop Insurance

Sec. 10501. Beginning farmer and rancher benefit.

Sec. 10502. Area-based crop insurance coverage and affordability.

Sec. 10503. Administrative and operating expense adjustments.

Sec. 10504. Premium support.

Sec. 10505. Program compliance and integrity.

Sec. 10506. Reviews, compliance, and integrity.
Sec. 10507. Poultry insurance pilot program.

Subtitle F—Additional Investments in Rural America

Sec. 10601. Conservation.

Sec. 10602. Supplemental agricultural trade promotion program.

Sec. 10603. Nutrition.

Sec. 10604. Research.

Sec. 10605. Energy.

Sec. 10606. Horticulture.

Sec. 10607. Miscellaneous.

TITLE II—COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

Sec. 20001. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the quality of life for military personnel.

Sec. 20002. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for shipbuilding.

Sec. 20003. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for integrated air and missile defense.

Sec. 20004. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for munitions and defense supply chain resiliency.

Sec. 20005. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for scaling low-cost weapons into production.

Sec. 20006. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the efficiency and cybersecurity of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 20007. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for air superiority.

Sec. 20008. Enhancement of resources for nuclear forces.

Sec. 20009. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources to improve capabilities of United States Indo-Pacific Command.

Sec. 20010. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the readiness of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 20011. Improving Department of Defense border support and counter-drug missions.

Sec. 20012. Department of Defense oversight.

Sec. 20013. Military construction projects authorized.

TITLE III—COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

Sec. 30001. Funding cap for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

Sec. 30002. Rescission of funds for Green and Resilient Retrofit Program for Multifamily Housing.

Sec. 30003. Securities and Exchange Commission Reserve Fund.

Sec. 30004. Appropriations for Defense Production Act.

TITLE IV—COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

Sec. 40001. Coast Guard mission readiness.

Sec. 40002. Spectrum auctions.

Sec. 40003. Air traffic control improvements.

Sec. 40004. Space launch and reentry licensing and permitting user fees.

Sec. 40005. Mars missions, Artemis missions, and Moon to Mars program.

Sec. 40006. Corporate average fuel economy civil penalties.

Sec. 40007. Payments for lease of Metropolitan Washington Airports.

Sec. 40008. Rescission of certain amounts for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Sec. 40009. Reduction in annual transfers to Travel Promotion Fund.

Sec. 40010. Treatment of unobligated funds for alternative fuel and low-emission aviation technology.

Sec. 40011. Rescission of amounts appropriated to Public Wireless Supply Chain Innovation Fund.

NAYS—213

Adams
Aguilar
Amo
Ansari
Auchincloss
Balint
Barragán
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Beyer
Bishop
Bonamici
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Casar
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Cuellar
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Davis (NC)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfreth
Escobar
Espallat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fitzpatrick
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi

Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen
Golden (ME)
Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzalez, V.
Goodlander
Gottheimer
Gray
Green, Al (TX)
Harder (CA)
Hayes
Himes
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Hoyle (OR)
Huffman
Ivey
Jackson (IL)
Jacobs
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (TX)
Kamlager-Dove
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy (NY)
Khanna
Krishnamoorthi
Landsman
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latimer
Lee (NV)
Lee (PA)
Leger Fernandez
Levin
Liccardo
Lieu
Lofgren
Lynch
Magaziner
Mannion
Matsui
McBath
McBride
McClain Delaney
McClellan
McCollum
McDonald Rivet
McGarvey
McGovern
McIver
Meeks
Menendez
Meng
Mfume
Min
Moore (WI)
Morelle
Morrison
Moskowitz
Moulton
Mrvan

Mullin
Nadler
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
Ocasio-Cortez
Olszewski
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pelosi
Perez
Peters
Pettersen
Pingree
Pocan
Pou
Pressley
Quigley
Ramirez
Randall
Raskin
Riley (NY)
Rivas
Ross
Ruiz
Ryan
Salinas
Sanchez
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schneider
Scholten
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Sewell
Sherman
Sherrill
Simon
Smith (WA)
Sorensen
Soto
Stansbury
Stanton
Stevens
Strickland
Subramanyam
Suozi
Swalwell
Sykes
Takano
Thanedar
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres (NY)
Trahan
Tran
Underwood
Vargas
Vasquez
Veasey
Velázquez

TITLE V—COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Subtitle A—Oil and Gas Leasing

Sec. 50101. Onshore oil and gas leasing.
 Sec. 50102. Offshore oil and gas leasing.
 Sec. 50103. Royalties on extracted methane.
 Sec. 50104. Alaska oil and gas leasing.
 Sec. 50105. National Petroleum Reserve—Alaska.

Subtitle B—Mining

Sec. 50201. Coal leasing.
 Sec. 50202. Coal royalty.
 Sec. 50203. Leases for known recoverable coal resources.

Sec. 50204. Authorization to mine Federal coal.

Subtitle C—Lands

Sec. 50301. Timber sales and long-term contracting for the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management.
 Sec. 50302. Renewable energy fees on Federal land.

Sec. 50303. Renewable energy revenue sharing.
 Sec. 50304. Rescission of National Park Service and Bureau of Land Management funds.

Sec. 50305. Celebrating America's 250th anniversary.

Subtitle D—Energy

Sec. 50401. Strategic Petroleum Reserve.
 Sec. 50402. Repeals; rescissions.
 Sec. 50403. Energy dominance financing.
 Sec. 50404. Transformational artificial intelligence models.

Subtitle E—Water

Sec. 50501. Water conveyance and surface water storage enhancement.

TITLE VI—COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

Sec. 60001. Rescission of funding for clean heavy-duty vehicles.

Sec. 60002. Repeal of Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund.

Sec. 60003. Rescission of funding for diesel emissions reductions.

Sec. 60004. Rescission of funding to address air pollution.

Sec. 60005. Rescission of funding to address air pollution at schools.

Sec. 60006. Rescission of funding for the low emissions electricity program.

Sec. 60007. Rescission of funding for section 211(o) of the Clean Air Act.

Sec. 60008. Rescission of funding for implementation of the American Innovation and Manufacturing Act.

Sec. 60009. Rescission of funding for enforcement technology and public information.

Sec. 60010. Rescission of funding for greenhouse gas corporate reporting.

Sec. 60011. Rescission of funding for environmental product declaration assistance.

Sec. 60012. Rescission of funding for methane emissions and waste reduction incentive program for petroleum and natural gas systems.

Sec. 60013. Rescission of funding for greenhouse gas air pollution plans and implementation grants.

Sec. 60014. Rescission of funding for environmental protection agency efficient, accurate, and timely reviews.

Sec. 60015. Rescission of funding for low-embodied carbon labeling for construction materials.

Sec. 60016. Rescission of funding for environmental and climate justice block grants.

Sec. 60017. Rescission of funding for ESA recovery plans.

Sec. 60018. Rescission of funding for environmental and climate data collection.

Sec. 60019. Rescission of neighborhood access and equity grant program.

Sec. 60020. Rescission of funding for Federal building assistance.

Sec. 60021. Rescission of funding for low-carbon materials for Federal buildings.

Sec. 60022. Rescission of funding for GSA emerging and sustainable technologies.

Sec. 60023. Rescission of environmental review implementation funds.

Sec. 60024. Rescission of low-carbon transportation materials grants.

Sec. 60025. John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts.

Sec. 60026. Project sponsor opt-in fees for environmental reviews.

TITLE VII—FINANCE

Subtitle A—Tax

Sec. 70001. References to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, etc.

CHAPTER 1—PROVIDING PERMANENT TAX RELIEF FOR MIDDLE-CLASS FAMILIES AND WORKERS

Sec. 70101. Extension and enhancement of reduced rates.

Sec. 70102. Extension and enhancement of increased standard deduction.

Sec. 70103. Termination of deduction for personal exemptions other than temporary senior deduction.

Sec. 70104. Extension and enhancement of increased child tax credit.

Sec. 70105. Extension and enhancement of deduction for qualified business income.

Sec. 70106. Extension and enhancement of increased estate and gift tax exemption amounts.

Sec. 70107. Extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amounts and modification of phaseout thresholds.

Sec. 70108. Extension and modification of limitation on deduction for qualified residence interest.

Sec. 70109. Extension and modification of limitation on casualty loss deduction.

Sec. 70110. Termination of miscellaneous itemized deductions other than educator expenses.

Sec. 70111. Limitation on tax benefit of itemized deductions.

Sec. 70112. Extension and modification of qualified transportation fringe benefits.

Sec. 70113. Extension and modification of limitation on deduction and exclusion for moving expenses.

Sec. 70114. Extension and modification of limitation on wagering losses.

Sec. 70115. Extension and enhancement of increased limitation on contributions to ABLE accounts.

Sec. 70116. Extension and enhancement of savers credit allowed for ABLE contributions.

Sec. 70117. Extension of rollovers from qualified tuition programs to ABLE accounts permitted.

Sec. 70118. Extension of treatment of certain individuals performing services in the Sinai Peninsula and enhancement to include additional areas.

Sec. 70119. Extension and modification of exclusion from gross income of student loans discharged on account of death or disability.

Sec. 70120. Limitation on individual deductions for certain state and local taxes, etc.

CHAPTER 2—DELIVERING ON PRESIDENTIAL PRIORITIES TO PROVIDE NEW MIDDLE-CLASS TAX RELIEF

Sec. 70201. No tax on tips.

Sec. 70202. No tax on overtime.

Sec. 70203. No tax on car loan interest.

Sec. 70204. Trump accounts and contribution pilot program.

CHAPTER 3—ESTABLISHING CERTAINTY AND COMPETITIVENESS FOR AMERICAN JOB CREATORS

SUBCHAPTER A—PERMANENT U.S. BUSINESS TAX REFORM AND BOOSTING DOMESTIC INVESTMENT

Sec. 70301. Full expensing for certain business property.

Sec. 70302. Full expensing of domestic research and experimental expenditures.

Sec. 70303. Modification of limitation on business interest.

Sec. 70304. Extension and enhancement of paid family and medical leave credit.

Sec. 70305. Exceptions from limitations on deduction for business meals.

Sec. 70306. Increased dollar limitations for expensing of certain depreciable business assets.

Sec. 70307. Special depreciation allowance for qualified production property.

Sec. 70308. Enhancement of advanced manufacturing investment credit.

Sec. 70309. Spaceports are treated like airports under exempt facility bond rules.

SUBCHAPTER B—PERMANENT AMERICA-FIRST INTERNATIONAL TAX REFORMS

PART I—FOREIGN TAX CREDIT

Sec. 70311. Modifications related to foreign tax credit limitation.

Sec. 70312. Modifications to determination of deemed paid credit for taxes properly attributable to tested income.

Sec. 70313. Sourcing certain income from the sale of inventory produced in the United States.

PART II—FOREIGN-DERIVED DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE INCOME AND NET CFC TESTED INCOME

Sec. 70321. Modification of deduction for foreign-derived deduction eligible income and net CFC tested income.

Sec. 70322. Determination of deduction eligible income.

Sec. 70323. Rules related to deemed intangible income.

PART III—BASE EROSION MINIMUM TAX

Sec. 70331. Extension and modification of base erosion minimum tax amount.

PART IV—BUSINESS INTEREST LIMITATION

Sec. 70341. Coordination of business interest limitation with interest capitalization provisions.

Sec. 70342. Definition of adjusted taxable income for business interest limitation.

PART V—OTHER INTERNATIONAL TAX REFORMS

Sec. 70351. Permanent extension of look-thru rule for related controlled foreign corporations.

Sec. 70352. Repeal of election for 1-month deferral in determination of taxable year of specified foreign corporations.

Sec. 70353. Restoration of limitation on downward attribution of stock ownership in applying constructive ownership rules.

Sec. 70354. Modifications to pro rata share rules.

CHAPTER 4—INVESTING IN AMERICAN FAMILIES, COMMUNITIES, AND SMALL BUSINESSES

SUBCHAPTER A—PERMANENT INVESTMENTS IN FAMILIES AND CHILDREN

Sec. 70401. Enhancement of employer-provided child care credit.

Sec. 70402. Enhancement of adoption credit.

Sec. 70403. Recognizing Indian tribal governments for purposes of determining whether a child has special needs for purposes of the adoption credit.

Sec. 70404. Enhancement of the dependent care assistance program.

Sec. 70405. Enhancement of child and dependent care tax credit.

SUBCHAPTER B—PERMANENT INVESTMENTS IN STUDENTS AND REFORMS TO TAX-EXEMPT INSTITUTIONS

- Sec. 70411. Tax credit for contributions of individuals to scholarship granting organizations.
- Sec. 70412. Exclusion for employer payments of student loans.
- Sec. 70413. Additional expenses treated as qualified higher education expenses for purposes of 529 accounts.
- Sec. 70414. Certain postsecondary credentialing expenses treated as qualified higher education expenses for purposes of 529 accounts.
- Sec. 70415. Modification of excise tax on investment income of certain private colleges and universities.
- Sec. 70416. Expanding application of tax on excess compensation within tax-exempt organizations.

SUBCHAPTER C—PERMANENT INVESTMENTS IN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

- Sec. 70421. Permanent renewal and enhancement of opportunity zones.
- Sec. 70422. Permanent enhancement of low-income housing tax credit.
- Sec. 70423. Permanent extension of new markets tax credit.
- Sec. 70424. Permanent and expanded reinstatement of partial deduction for charitable contributions of individuals who do not elect to itemize.
- Sec. 70425. 0.5 percent floor on deduction of contributions made by individuals.
- Sec. 70426. 1-percent floor on deduction of charitable contributions made by corporations.
- Sec. 70427. Permanent increase in limitation on cover over of tax on distilled spirits.
- Sec. 70428. Nonprofit community development activities in remote native villages.
- Sec. 70429. Adjustment of charitable deduction for certain expenses incurred in support of Native Alaskan subsistence whaling.
- Sec. 70430. Exception to percentage of completion method of accounting for certain residential construction contracts.

SUBCHAPTER D—PERMANENT INVESTMENTS IN SMALL BUSINESS AND RURAL AMERICA

- Sec. 70431. Expansion of qualified small business stock gain exclusion.
- Sec. 70432. Repeal of revision to de minimis rules for third party network transactions.
- Sec. 70433. Increase in threshold for requiring information reporting with respect to certain payees.
- Sec. 70434. Treatment of certain qualified sound recording productions.
- Sec. 70435. Exclusion of interest on loans secured by rural or agricultural real property.
- Sec. 70436. Reduction of transfer and manufacturing taxes for certain devices.
- Sec. 70437. Treatment of capital gains from the sale of certain farmland property.
- Sec. 70438. Extension of rules for treatment of certain disaster-related personal casualty losses.
- Sec. 70439. Restoration of taxable REIT subsidiary asset test.

CHAPTER 5—ENDING GREEN NEW DEAL SPENDING, PROMOTING AMERICA-FIRST ENERGY, AND OTHER REFORMS

SUBCHAPTER A—TERMINATION OF GREEN NEW DEAL SUBSIDIES

- Sec. 70501. Termination of previously-owned clean vehicle credit.

- Sec. 70502. Termination of clean vehicle credit.
- Sec. 70503. Termination of qualified commercial clean vehicles credit.
- Sec. 70504. Termination of alternative fuel vehicle refueling property credit.
- Sec. 70505. Termination of energy efficient home improvement credit.
- Sec. 70506. Termination of residential clean energy credit.
- Sec. 70507. Termination of energy efficient commercial buildings deduction.
- Sec. 70508. Termination of new energy efficient home credit.
- Sec. 70509. Termination of cost recovery for energy property.
- Sec. 70510. Modifications of zero-emission nuclear power production credit.
- Sec. 70511. Termination of clean hydrogen production credit.
- Sec. 70512. Termination and restrictions on clean electricity production credit.
- Sec. 70513. Termination and restrictions on clean electricity investment credit.
- Sec. 70514. Phase-out and restrictions on advanced manufacturing production credit.
- Sec. 70515. Restriction on the extension of advanced energy project credit program.

SUBCHAPTER B—ENHANCEMENT OF AMERICA-FIRST ENERGY POLICY

- Sec. 70521. Extension and modification of clean fuel production credit.
- Sec. 70522. Restrictions on carbon oxide sequestration credit.
- Sec. 70523. Intangible drilling and development costs taken into account for purposes of computing adjusted financial statement income.
- Sec. 70524. Income from hydrogen storage, carbon capture, advanced nuclear, hydropower, and geothermal energy added to qualifying income of certain publicly traded partnerships.
- Sec. 70525. Allow for payments to certain individuals who dye fuel.

SUBCHAPTER C—OTHER REFORMS

- Sec. 70531. Modifications to de minimis entry privilege for commercial shipments.

CHAPTER 6—ENHANCING DEDUCTION AND INCOME TAX CREDIT GUARDRAILS, AND OTHER REFORMS

- Sec. 70601. Modification and extension of limitation on excess business losses of noncorporate taxpayers.
- Sec. 70602. Treatment of payments from partnerships to partners for property or services.
- Sec. 70603. Excessive employee remuneration from controlled group members and allocation of deduction.
- Sec. 70604. Excise tax on certain remittance transfers.
- Sec. 70605. Enforcement provisions with respect to COVID-related employee retention credits.
- Sec. 70606. Social security number requirement for American Opportunity and Lifetime Learning credits.
- Sec. 70607. Task force on the replacement of Direct File.

Subtitle B—Health

CHAPTER 1—MEDICAID

SUBCHAPTER A—REDUCING FRAUD AND IMPROVING ENROLLMENT PROCESSES

- Sec. 71101. Moratorium on implementation of rule relating to eligibility and enrollment in Medicare Savings Programs.
- Sec. 71102. Moratorium on implementation of rule relating to eligibility and enrollment for Medicaid, CHIP, and the Basic Health Program.
- Sec. 71103. Reducing duplicate enrollment under the Medicaid and CHIP programs.

- Sec. 71104. Ensuring deceased individuals do not remain enrolled.
- Sec. 71105. Ensuring deceased providers do not remain enrolled.
- Sec. 71106. Payment reduction related to certain erroneous excess payments under Medicaid.
- Sec. 71107. Eligibility redeterminations.
- Sec. 71108. Revising home equity limit for determining eligibility for long-term care services under the Medicaid program.
- Sec. 71109. Alien Medicaid eligibility.
- Sec. 71110. Expansion FMAP for emergency Medicaid.

SUBCHAPTER B—PREVENTING WASTEFUL SPENDING

- Sec. 71111. Moratorium on implementation of rule relating to staffing standards for long-term care facilities under the Medicare and Medicaid programs.
- Sec. 71112. Reducing State Medicaid costs.
- Sec. 71113. Federal payments to prohibited entities.

SUBCHAPTER C—STOPPING ABUSIVE FINANCING PRACTICES

- Sec. 71114. Sunseting increased FMAP incentive.
- Sec. 71115. Provider taxes.
- Sec. 71116. State directed payments.
- Sec. 71117. Requirements regarding waiver of uniform tax requirement for Medicaid provider tax.
- Sec. 71118. Requiring budget neutrality for Medicaid demonstration projects under section 1115.

SUBCHAPTER D—INCREASING PERSONAL ACCOUNTABILITY

- Sec. 71119. Requirement for States to establish Medicaid community engagement requirements for certain individuals.
- Sec. 71120. Modifying cost sharing requirements for certain expansion individuals under the Medicaid program.

SUBCHAPTER E—EXPANDING ACCESS TO CARE

- Sec. 71121. Making certain adjustments to coverage of home or community-based services under Medicaid.

CHAPTER 2—MEDICARE

SUBCHAPTER A—STRENGTHENING ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

- Sec. 71201. Limiting Medicare coverage of certain individuals.

SUBCHAPTER B—IMPROVING SERVICES FOR SENIORS

- Sec. 71202. Temporary payment increase under the medicare physician fee schedule to account for exceptional circumstances.
- Sec. 71203. Expanding and clarifying the exclusion for orphan drugs under the Drug Price Negotiation Program.

CHAPTER 3—HEALTH TAX

SUBCHAPTER A—IMPROVING ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

- Sec. 71301. Permitting premium tax credit only for certain individuals.
- Sec. 71302. Disallowing premium tax credit during periods of medicaid ineligibility due to alien status.

SUBCHAPTER B—PREVENTING WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE

- Sec. 71303. Requiring verification of eligibility for premium tax credit.
- Sec. 71304. Disallowing premium tax credit in case of certain coverage enrolled in during special enrollment period.
- Sec. 71305. Eliminating limitation on recapture of advance payment of premium tax credit.

SUBCHAPTER C—ENHANCING CHOICE FOR PATIENTS

- Sec. 71306. Permanent extension of safe harbor for absence of deductible for telehealth services.

Sec. 71307. Allowance of bronze and catastrophic plans in connection with health savings accounts.

Sec. 71308. Treatment of direct primary care service arrangements.

CHAPTER 4—PROTECTING RURAL HOSPITALS AND PROVIDERS

Sec. 71401. Rural Health Transformation Program.

Subtitle C—Increase in Debt Limit

Sec. 72001. Modification of limitation on the public debt.

Subtitle D—Unemployment

Sec. 73001. Ending unemployment payments to jobless millionaires.

TITLE VIII—COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS

Subtitle A—Exemption of Certain Assets

Sec. 80001. Exemption of certain assets.

Subtitle B—Loan Limits

Sec. 81001. Establishment of loan limits for graduate and professional students and parent borrowers; termination of graduate and professional PLUS loans.

Subtitle C—Loan Repayment

Sec. 82001. Loan repayment.

Sec. 82002. Deferment; forbearance.

Sec. 82003. Loan rehabilitation.

Sec. 82004. Public service loan forgiveness.

Sec. 82005. Student loan servicing.

Subtitle D—Pell Grants

Sec. 83001. Eligibility.

Sec. 83002. Workforce Pell Grants.

Sec. 83003. Pell shortfall.

Sec. 83004. Federal Pell Grant exclusion relating to other grant aid.

Subtitle E—Accountability

Sec. 84001. Ineligibility based on low earning outcomes.

Subtitle F—Regulatory Relief

Sec. 85001. Delay of rule relating to borrower defense to repayment.

Sec. 85002. Delay of rule relating to closed school discharges.

Subtitle G—Garden of Heroes

Sec. 86001. Garden of Heroes.

Subtitle H—Office of Refugee Resettlement

Sec. 87001. Potential sponsor vetting for unaccompanied alien children appropriation.

TITLE IX—COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Subtitle A—Homeland Security Provisions

Sec. 90001. Border infrastructure and wall system.

Sec. 90002. U.S. Customs and Border Protection personnel, fleet vehicles, and facilities.

Sec. 90003. Detention capacity.

Sec. 90004. Border security, technology, and screening.

Sec. 90005. State and local assistance.

Sec. 90006. Presidential residence protection.

Sec. 90007. Department of Homeland Security appropriations for border support.

Subtitle B—Governmental Affairs Provisions

Sec. 90101. FEHB improvements.

Sec. 90102. Pandemic Response Accountability Committee.

Sec. 90103. Appropriation for the Office of Management and Budget.

TITLE X—COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Subtitle A—Immigration and Law Enforcement Matters

PART I—IMMIGRATION FEES

Sec. 100001. Applicability of the immigration laws.

Sec. 100002. Asylum fee.

Sec. 100003. Employment authorization document fees.

Sec. 100004. Immigration parole fee.

Sec. 100005. Special immigrant juvenile fee.

Sec. 100006. Temporary protected status fee.

Sec. 100007. Visa integrity fee.

Sec. 100008. Form I-94 fee.

Sec. 100009. Annual asylum fee.

Sec. 100010. Fee relating to renewal and extension of employment authorization for parolees.

Sec. 100011. Fee relating to renewal or extension of employment authorization for asylum applicants.

Sec. 100012. Fee relating to renewal and extension of employment authorization for aliens granted temporary protected status.

Sec. 100013. Fees relating to applications for adjustment of status.

Sec. 100014. Electronic System for Travel Authorization fee.

Sec. 100015. Electronic Visa Update System fee.

Sec. 100016. Fee for aliens ordered removed in absentia.

Sec. 100017. Inadmissible alien apprehension fee.

Sec. 100018. Amendment to authority to apply for asylum.

PART II—IMMIGRATION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

Sec. 100051. Appropriation for the Department of Homeland Security.

Sec. 100052. Appropriation for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

Sec. 100053. Appropriation for Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers.

Sec. 100054. Appropriation for the Department of Justice.

Sec. 100055. Bridging Immigration-related Deficits Experienced Nationwide Reimbursement Fund.

Sec. 100056. Appropriation for the Bureau of Prisons.

Sec. 100057. Appropriation for the United States Secret Service.

Subtitle B—Judiciary Matters

Sec. 100101. Appropriation to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

Sec. 100102. Appropriation to the Federal Judicial Center.

Subtitle C—Radiation Exposure Compensation Matters

Sec. 100201. Extension of fund.

Sec. 100202. Claims relating to atmospheric testing.

Sec. 100203. Claims relating to uranium mining.

Sec. 100204. Claims relating to Manhattan Project waste.

Sec. 100205. Limitations on claims.

TITLE I—COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY

Subtitle A—Nutrition

SEC. 10101. RE-EVALUATION OF THRIFTY FOOD PLAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2012) is amended by striking subsection (u) and inserting the following:

“(u) THRIFTY FOOD PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘thrifty food plan’ means the diet required to feed a family of 4 persons consisting of a man and a woman ages 20 through 50, a child ages 6 through 8, and a child ages 9 through 11 using the items and quantities of food described in the report of the Department of Agriculture entitled ‘Thrifty Food Plan, 2021’, and each successor report updated pursuant to this subsection, subject to the conditions that—

“(A) the relevant market baskets of the thrifty food plan shall only be changed pursuant to paragraph (4);

“(B) the cost of the thrifty food plan shall be the basis for uniform allotments for all households, regardless of the actual composition of the household; and

“(C) the cost of the thrifty food plan may only be adjusted in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) HOUSEHOLD ADJUSTMENTS.—The Secretary shall make household adjustments using the following ratios of household size as a percentage of the maximum 4-person allotment:

“(A) For a 1-person household, 30 percent.

“(B) For a 2-person household, 55 percent.

“(C) For a 3-person household, 79 percent.

“(D) For a 4-person household, 100 percent.

“(E) For a 5-person household, 119 percent.

“(F) For a 6-person household, 143 percent.

“(G) For a 7-person household, 158 percent.

“(H) For an 8-person household, 180 percent.

“(I) For a household of 9 persons or more, an additional 22 percent per person, which additional percentage shall not total more than 200 percent.

“(3) ALLOWABLE COST ADJUSTMENTS.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) make cost adjustments in the thrifty food plan for Hawaii and the urban and rural parts of Alaska to reflect the cost of food in Hawaii and urban and rural Alaska;

“(B) make cost adjustments in the separate thrifty food plans for Guam and the Virgin Islands of the United States to reflect the cost of food in those States, but not to exceed the cost of food in the 50 States and the District of Columbia; and

“(C) on October 1, 2025, and on each October 1 thereafter, adjust the cost of the thrifty food plan to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, for the most recent 12-month period ending in June.

“(4) RE-EVALUATION OF MARKET BASKETS.—

“(A) RE-EVALUATION.—Not earlier than October 1, 2027, the Secretary may re-evaluate the market baskets of the thrifty food plan based on current food prices, food composition data, consumption patterns, and dietary guidance.

“(B) COST NEUTRALITY.—The Secretary shall not increase the cost of the thrifty food plan based on a re-evaluation under this paragraph.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 16(c)(1)(A)(ii)(I) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2025(c)(1)(A)(ii)(I)) is amended by striking “section 3(u)(4)” and inserting “section 3(u)(3)”.

(2) Section 19(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2028(a)(2)(A)(ii)) is amended by striking “section 3(u)(4)” and inserting “section 3(u)(3)”.

(3) Section 27(a)(2) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2036(a)(2)) is amended by striking “section 3(u)(4)” each place it appears and inserting “section 3(u)(3)”.

SEC. 10102. MODIFICATIONS TO SNAP WORK REQUIREMENTS FOR ABLE-BODIED ADULTS.

(a) EXCEPTIONS.—Section 6(o) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2015(o)) is amended by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) EXCEPTIONS.—Paragraph (2) shall not apply to an individual if the individual is—

“(A) under 18, or over 65, years of age;

“(B) medically certified as physically or mentally unfit for employment;

“(C) a parent or other member of a household with responsibility for a dependent child under 14 years of age;

“(D) otherwise exempt under subsection (d)(2);

“(E) a pregnant woman;

“(F) an Indian or an Urban Indian (as such terms are defined in paragraphs (13) and (28) of section 4 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act); or

“(G) a California Indian described in section 809(a) of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act.”.

(b) STANDARDIZING ENFORCEMENT.—Section 6(o)(4) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2015(o)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) is in a noncontiguous State and has an unemployment rate that is at or above 1.5 times the national unemployment rate.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) DEFINITION OF NONCONTIGUOUS STATE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In this paragraph, the term ‘noncontiguous State’ means a State that is not 1 of the contiguous 48 States or the District of Columbia.

“(ii) EXCLUSIONS.—The term ‘noncontiguous State’ does not include Guam or the Virgin Islands of the United States.”.

(c) WAIVER FOR NONCONTIGUOUS STATES.—Section 6(o) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2015(o)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (7) as paragraph (8); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) EXEMPTION FOR NONCONTIGUOUS STATES.—

“(A) DEFINITION OF NONCONTIGUOUS STATE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In this paragraph, the term ‘noncontiguous State’ means a State that is not 1 of the contiguous 48 States or the District of Columbia.

“(ii) EXCLUSIONS.—In this paragraph, the term ‘noncontiguous State’ does not include Guam or the Virgin Islands of the United States.

“(B) EXEMPTION.—Subject to subparagraph (D), the Secretary may exempt individuals in a noncontiguous State from compliance with the requirements of paragraph (2) if—

“(i) the State agency submits to the Secretary a request for that exemption, made in such form and at such time as the Secretary may require, and including the information described in subparagraph (C); and

“(ii) the Secretary determines that based on that request, the State agency is demonstrating a good faith effort to comply with the requirements of paragraph (2).

“(C) GOOD FAITH EFFORT DETERMINATION.—In determining whether a State agency is demonstrating a good faith effort for purposes of subparagraph (B)(ii), the Secretary shall consider—

“(i) any actions taken by the State agency toward compliance with the requirements of paragraph (2);

“(ii) any significant barriers to or challenges in meeting those requirements, including barriers or challenges relating to funding, design, development, procurement, or installation of necessary systems or resources;

“(iii) the detailed plan and timeline of the State agency for achieving full compliance with those requirements, including any milestones (as defined by the Secretary); and

“(iv) any other criteria determined appropriate by the Secretary.

“(D) DURATION OF EXEMPTION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An exemption granted under subparagraph (B) shall expire not later than December 31, 2028, and may not be renewed beyond that date.

“(ii) EARLY TERMINATION.—The Secretary may terminate an exemption granted under subparagraph (B) prior to the expiration date of that exemption if the Secretary determines that the State agency—

“(I) has failed to comply with the reporting requirements described in subparagraph (E); or

“(II) based on the information provided pursuant to subparagraph (E), failed to make continued good faith efforts toward compliance with the requirements of this subsection.

“(E) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—A State agency granted an exemption under subparagraph (B) shall submit to the Secretary—

“(i) quarterly progress reports on the status of the State agency in achieving the milestones toward full compliance described in subparagraph (C)(iii); and

“(ii) information on specific risks or newly identified barriers or challenges to full compli-

ance, including the plan of the State agency to mitigate those risks, barriers, or challenges.”.

SEC. 10103. AVAILABILITY OF STANDARD UTILITY ALLOWANCES BASED ON RECEIPT OF ENERGY ASSISTANCE.

(a) STANDARD UTILITY ALLOWANCE.—Section 5(e)(6)(C)(iv)(I) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2014(e)(6)(C)(iv)(I)) is amended by inserting “with an elderly or disabled member” after “households”.

(b) THIRD-PARTY ENERGY ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS.—Section 5(k)(4) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2014(k)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “without an elderly or disabled member” before “shall be”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “with an elderly or disabled member” before “under a State law”.

SEC. 10104. RESTRICTIONS ON INTERNET EXPENSES.

Section 5(e)(6) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2014(e)(6)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(E) RESTRICTIONS ON INTERNET EXPENSES.—Any service fee associated with internet connection shall not be used in computing the excess shelter expense deduction under this paragraph.”.

SEC. 10105. MATCHING FUNDS REQUIREMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 4(a) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2013(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking “(a) Subject to” and inserting the following:

“(a) PROGRAM.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Subject to”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) STATE QUALITY CONTROL INCENTIVE.—

“(A) DEFINITION OF PAYMENT ERROR RATE.—In this paragraph, the term ‘payment error rate’ has the meaning given the term in section 16(c)(2).

“(B) STATE COST SHARE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (iii), beginning in fiscal year 2028, if the payment error rate of a State as determined under clause (ii) is—

“(I) less than 6 percent, the Federal share of the cost of the allotment described in paragraph (1) for that State in a fiscal year shall be 100 percent, and the State share shall be 0 percent;

“(II) equal to or greater than 6 percent but less than 8 percent, the Federal share of the cost of the allotment described in paragraph (1) for that State in a fiscal year shall be 95 percent, and the State share shall be 5 percent;

“(III) equal to or greater than 8 percent but less than 10 percent, the Federal share of the cost of the allotment described in paragraph (1) for that State in a fiscal year shall be 90 percent, and the State share shall be 10 percent; and

“(IV) equal to or greater than 10 percent, the Federal share of the cost of the allotment described in paragraph (1) for that State in a fiscal year shall be 85 percent, and the State share shall be 15 percent.

“(ii) ELECTIONS.—

“(I) FISCAL YEAR 2028.—For fiscal year 2028, to calculate the applicable State share under clause (i), a State may elect to use the payment error rate of the State from fiscal year 2025 or 2026.

“(II) FISCAL YEAR 2029 AND THEREAFTER.—For fiscal year 2029 and each fiscal year thereafter, to calculate the applicable State share under clause (i), the Secretary shall use the payment error rate of the State for the third fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which the State share is being calculated.

“(iii) DELAYED IMPLEMENTATION.—

“(I) FISCAL YEAR 2025.—If, for fiscal year 2025, the payment error rate of a State multiplied by 1.5 is equal to or above 20 percent, the implementation date under clause (i) for that State shall be fiscal year 2029.

“(II) FISCAL YEAR 2026.—If, for fiscal year 2026, the payment error rate of a State multiplied by 1.5 is equal to or above 20 percent, the implementation date under clause (i) for that State shall be fiscal year 2030.

“(3) MAXIMUM FEDERAL PAYMENT.—The Secretary may not pay towards the cost of an allotment described in paragraph (1) an amount that is greater than the applicable Federal share under paragraph (2).”.

(b) LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY.—Section 13(a)(1) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2022(a)(1)) is amended in the first sentence by inserting “or the payment or disposition of a State share under section 4(a)(2)” after “16(c)(1)(D)(i)(II)”.

SEC. 10106. ADMINISTRATIVE COST SHARING.

Section 16(a) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2025(a)) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “agency an amount equal to 50 percent” and inserting “agency, through fiscal year 2026, 50 percent, and for fiscal year 2027 and each fiscal year thereafter, 25 percent.”.

SEC. 10107. NATIONAL EDUCATION AND OBESITY PREVENTION GRANT PROGRAM.

Section 28(d)(1)(F) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2036a(d)(1)(F)) is amended by striking “for fiscal year 2016 and each subsequent fiscal year” and inserting “for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2025”.

SEC. 10108. ALIEN SNAP ELIGIBILITY.

Section 6(f) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2015(f)) is amended to read as follows:

“(f) No individual who is a member of a household otherwise eligible to participate in the supplemental nutrition assistance program under this section shall be eligible to participate in the supplemental nutrition assistance program as a member of that or any other household unless he or she is—

“(1) a resident of the United States; and

“(2) either—

“(A) a citizen or national of the United States;

“(B) an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence as an immigrant as defined by sections 101(a)(15) and 101(a)(20) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, excluding, among others, alien visitors, tourists, diplomats, and students who enter the United States temporarily with no intention of abandoning their residence in a foreign country;

“(C) an alien who has been granted the status of Cuban and Haitian entrant, as defined in section 501(e) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-422); or

“(D) an individual who lawfully resides in the United States in accordance with a Compact of Free Association referred to in section 402(b)(2)(G) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The income (less, at State option, a pro rata share) and financial resources of the individual rendered ineligible to participate in the supplemental nutrition assistance program under this subsection shall be considered in determining the eligibility and the value of the allotment of the household of which such individual is a member.”.

Subtitle B—Forestry

SEC. 10201. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR FORESTRY.

The unobligated balances of amounts appropriated by the following provisions of Public Law 117-169 are rescinded:

(1) Paragraphs (3) and (4) of section 23001(a) (136 Stat. 2023).

(2) Paragraphs (1) through (4) of section 23002(a) (136 Stat. 2025).

(3) Section 23003(a)(2) (136 Stat. 2026).

(4) Section 23005 (136 Stat. 2027).

Subtitle C—Commodities

SEC. 10301. EFFECTIVE REFERENCE PRICE; REFERENCE PRICE.

(a) EFFECTIVE REFERENCE PRICE.—Section 1111(8)(B)(ii) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7

U.S.C. 9011(8)(B)(ii) is amended by striking “85” and inserting “beginning with the crop year 2025, 88”.

(b) **REFERENCE PRICE.**—Section 1111 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9011) is amended by striking paragraph (19) and inserting the following:

“(19) **REFERENCE PRICE.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Effective beginning with the 2025 crop year, subject to subparagraphs (B) and (C), the term ‘reference price’, with respect to a covered commodity for a crop year, means the following:

“(i) For wheat, \$6.35 per bushel.

“(ii) For corn, \$4.10 per bushel.

“(iii) For grain sorghum, \$4.40 per bushel.

“(iv) For barley, \$5.45 per bushel.

“(v) For oats, \$2.65 per bushel.

“(vi) For long grain rice, \$16.90 per hundredweight.

“(vii) For medium grain rice, \$16.90 per hundredweight.

“(viii) For soybeans, \$10.00 per bushel.

“(ix) For other oilseeds, \$23.75 per hundredweight.

“(x) For peanuts, \$630.00 per ton.

“(xi) For dry peas, \$13.10 per hundredweight.

“(xii) For lentils, \$23.75 per hundredweight.

“(xiii) For small chickpeas, \$22.65 per hundredweight.

“(xiv) For large chickpeas, \$25.65 per hundredweight.

“(xv) For seed cotton, \$0.42 per pound.

“(B) **EFFECTIVENESS.**—Effective beginning with the 2031 crop year, the reference prices defined in subparagraph (A) with respect to a covered commodity shall equal the reference price in the previous crop year multiplied by 1.005.

“(C) **LIMITATION.**—In no case shall a reference price for a covered commodity exceed 113 percent of the reference price for such covered commodity listed in subparagraph (A).”.

SEC. 10302. BASE ACRES.

Section 1112 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9012) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(3)(A), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **ADDITIONAL BASE ACRES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this subsection, and notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide notice to owners of eligible farms pursuant to paragraph (3) and allocate to those eligible farms a total of not more than an additional 30,000,000 base acres in the manner provided in this subsection. An owner of a farm that is eligible to receive an allocation of base acres may elect to not receive that allocation by notifying the Secretary not later than 90 days after receipt of the notice provided by the Secretary under this paragraph.

“(2) **CONTENT OF NOTICE.**—The notice under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

“(A) Information that the allocation is occurring.

“(B) Information regarding the eligibility of the farm for an allocation of base acres under paragraph (3).

“(C) Information regarding how an owner may appeal a determination of ineligibility for an allocation of base acres under paragraph (3) through an appeals process established by the Secretary.

“(3) **ELIGIBILITY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (D), effective beginning with the 2026 crop year, a farm is eligible to receive an allocation of base acres if, with respect to the farm, the amount described in subparagraph (B) exceeds the amount described in subparagraph (C).

“(B) **5-YEAR AVERAGE SUM.**—The amount described in this subparagraph, with respect to a farm, is the sum of—

“(i) the 5-year average of—

“(I) the acreage planted on the farm to all covered commodities for harvest, grazing,

hayage, silage or other similar purposes for the 2019 through 2023 crop years; and

“(II) any acreage on the farm that the producers were prevented from planting during the 2019 through 2023 crop years to covered commodities because of drought, flood, or other natural disaster, or other condition beyond the control of the producers, as determined by the Secretary; plus

“(ii) the lesser of—

“(I) 15 percent of the total acres on the farm; and

“(II) the 5-year average of—

“(aa) the acreage planted on the farm to eligible noncovered commodities for harvest, grazing, hayage, silage, or other similar purposes for the 2019 through 2023 crop years; and

“(bb) any acreage on the farm that the producers were prevented from planting during the 2019 through 2023 crop years to eligible noncovered commodities because of drought, flood, or other natural disaster, or other condition beyond the control of the producers, as determined by the Secretary.

“(C) **TOTAL NUMBER OF BASE ACRES FOR COVERED COMMODITIES.**—The amount described in this subparagraph, with respect to a farm, is the total number of base acres for covered commodities on the farm (excluding unassigned crop base), as in effect on September 30, 2024.

“(D) **EFFECT OF NO RECENT PLANTINGS OF COVERED COMMODITIES.**—In the case of a farm for which the amount determined under clause (i) of subparagraph (B) is equal to zero, that farm shall be ineligible to receive an allocation of base acres under this subsection.

“(E) **ACREAGE PLANTED ON THE FARM TO ELIGIBLE NONCOVERED COMMODITIES DEFINED.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘acreage planted on the farm to eligible noncovered commodities’ means acreage planted on a farm to commodities other than covered commodities, trees, bushes, vines, grass, or pasture (including cropland that was idle or fallow), as determined by the Secretary.

“(4) **NUMBER OF BASE ACRES.**—Subject to paragraphs (3) and (8), the number of base acres allocated to an eligible farm shall—

“(A) be equal to the difference obtained by subtracting the amount determined under subparagraph (C) of paragraph (3) from the amount determined under subparagraph (B) of that paragraph; and

“(B) include unassigned crop base.

“(5) **ALLOCATION OF ACRES.**—

“(A) **ALLOCATION.**—The Secretary shall allocate the number of base acres under paragraph (4) among those covered commodities planted on the farm at any time during the 2019 through 2023 crop years.

“(B) **ALLOCATION FORMULA.**—The allocation of additional base acres for covered commodities shall be in proportion to the ratio of—

“(i) the 5-year average of—

“(I) the acreage planted on the farm to each covered commodity for harvest, grazing, hayage, silage, or other similar purposes for the 2019 through 2023 crop years; and

“(II) any acreage on the farm that the producers were prevented from planting during the 2019 through 2023 crop years to that covered commodity because of drought, flood, or other natural disaster, or other condition beyond the control of the producers, as determined by the Secretary; to

“(ii) the 5-year average determined under paragraph (3)(B)(i).

“(C) **INCLUSION OF ALL 5 YEARS IN AVERAGE.**—For the purpose of determining a 5-year acreage average under subparagraph (B) for a farm, the Secretary shall not exclude any crop year in which a covered commodity was not planted.

“(D) **TREATMENT OF MULTIPLE PLANTING OR PREVENTED PLANTING.**—For the purpose of determining under subparagraph (B) the acreage on a farm that producers planted or were prevented from planting during the 2019 through 2023 crop years to covered commodities, if the

acreage that was planted or prevented from being planted was devoted to another covered commodity in the same crop year (other than a covered commodity produced under an established practice of double cropping), the owner may elect the covered commodity to be used for that crop year in determining the 5-year average, but may not include both the initial covered commodity and the subsequent covered commodity.

“(E) **LIMITATION.**—The allocation of additional base acres among covered commodities on a farm under this paragraph may not result in a total number of base acres for the farm in excess of the total number of acres on the farm.

“(6) **REDUCTION BY THE SECRETARY.**—In carrying out this subsection, if the total number of eligible acres allocated to base acres across all farms in the United States under this subsection would exceed 30,000,000 acres, the Secretary shall apply an across-the-board, pro-rata reduction to the number of eligible acres to ensure the number of allocated base acres under this subsection is equal to 30,000,000 acres.

“(7) **PAYMENT YIELD.**—Beginning with crop year 2026, for the purpose of making price loss coverage payments under section 1116, the Secretary shall establish payment yields to base acres allocated under this subsection equal to—

“(A) the payment yield established on the farm for the applicable covered commodity; and

“(B) if no such payment yield for the applicable covered commodity exists, a payment yield—

“(i) equal to the average payment yield for the covered commodity for the county in which the farm is situated; or

“(ii) determined pursuant to section 1113(c).

“(8) **TREATMENT OF NEW OWNERS.**—In the case of a farm for which the owner on the date of enactment of this subsection was not the owner for the 2019 through 2023 crop years, the Secretary shall use the planting history of the prior owner or owners of that farm for purposes of determining—

“(A) eligibility under paragraph (3);

“(B) eligible acres under paragraph (4); and

“(C) the allocation of acres under paragraph (5).”.

SEC. 10303. PRODUCER ELECTION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1115 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9015) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “crop year or” and inserting “crop year,”; and

(ii) by inserting “or the 2026 crop year,” after “2019 crop year,”;

(B) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “crop year or” and inserting “crop year,”; and

(ii) by inserting “or the 2026 crop year,” after “2019 crop year,”; and

(C) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the same coverage for each covered commodity on the farm for the 2027 through 2031 crop years as was applicable for the 2025 crop year.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) **HIGHER OF PRICE LOSS COVERAGE PAYMENTS AND AGRICULTURE RISK COVERAGE PAYMENTS.**—For the 2025 crop year, the Secretary shall, on a covered commodity-by-covered commodity basis, make the higher of price loss coverage payments under section 1116 and agriculture risk coverage county coverage payments under section 1117 to the producers on a farm for the payment acres for each covered commodity on the farm.”.

(b) **FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE SUPPLEMENTAL COVERAGE OPTION.**—Section 508(c)(4)(C)(iv) of

the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(c)(4)(C)(iv)) is amended by striking “Crops for which the producer has elected under section 1116 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 to receive agriculture risk coverage and acres” and inserting “Acres”.

SEC. 10304. PRICE LOSS COVERAGE.

Section 1116 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9016) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”;

(2) in subsection (c)(1)(B)—

(A) in the subparagraph heading, by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”; and

(B) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”;

(3) in subsection (d), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2025” and inserting “2031”; and

(4) in subsection (g)—

(A) by striking “subparagraph (F) of section 1111(19)” and inserting “paragraph (19)(A)(vi) of section 1111”; and

(B) by striking “2012 through 2016” each place it appears and inserting “2017 through 2021”.

SEC. 10305. AGRICULTURE RISK COVERAGE.

Section 1117 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9017) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “for each of the 2014 through 2024 crop years and 90 percent of the benchmark revenue for each of the 2025 through 2031 crop years” before the period at the end;

(B) by striking “2023” each place it appears and inserting “2031”; and

(C) in paragraph (4)(B), in the subparagraph heading, by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”;

(3) in subsection (d)(1), by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B)(i) for each of the 2014 through 2024 crop years, 10 percent of the benchmark revenue for the crop year applicable under subsection (c); and

“(ii) for each of the 2025 through 2031 crop years, 12 percent of the benchmark revenue for the crop year applicable under subsection (c).”;

(4) in subsections (e), (g)(5), and (i)(5), by striking “2023” each place it appears and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10306. EQUITABLE TREATMENT OF CERTAIN ENTITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001 of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (6); and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:

“(5) QUALIFIED PASS-THROUGH ENTITY.—The term ‘qualified pass-through entity’ means—

“(A) a partnership (within the meaning of subchapter K of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986);

“(B) an S corporation (as defined in section 1361 of that Code);

“(C) a limited liability company that does not affirmatively elect to be treated as a corporation; and

“(D) a joint venture or general partnership.”;

(2) in subsections (b) and (c), by striking “except a joint venture or general partnership” each place it appears and inserting “except a qualified pass-through entity”; and

(3) in subsection (d), by striking “subtitle B of title I of the Agricultural Act of 2014 or”.

(b) ATTRIBUTION OF PAYMENTS.—Section 1001(e)(3)(B)(ii) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308(e)(3)(B)(ii)) is amended—

(1) in the clause heading, by striking “JOINT VENTURES AND GENERAL PARTNERSHIPS” and inserting “QUALIFIED PASS-THROUGH ENTITIES”;

(2) by striking “a joint venture or a general partnership” and inserting “a qualified pass-through entity”;

(3) by striking “joint ventures and general partnerships” and inserting “qualified pass-through entities”; and

(4) by striking “the joint venture or general partnership” and inserting “the qualified pass-through entity”.

(c) PERSONS ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN FARMING.—Section 1001A(b)(2) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308–1(b)(2)) is amended—

(1) subparagraphs (A) and (B), by striking “a general partnership, a participant in a joint venture” each place it appears and inserting “a qualified pass-through entity”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “a general partnership, joint venture, or similar entity” and inserting “a qualified pass-through entity or a similar entity”.

(d) JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY.—Section 1001B(d) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308–2(d)) is amended by striking “partnerships and joint ventures” and inserting “qualified pass-through entities”.

(e) EXCLUSION FROM AGI CALCULATION.—Section 1001D(d) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308–3a(d)) is amended by striking “, general partnership, or joint venture” each place it appears.

SEC. 10307. PAYMENT LIMITATIONS.

Section 1001 of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “The” and inserting “Subject to subsection (i), the”; and

(B) by striking “\$125,000” and inserting “\$155,000”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “The” and inserting “Subject to subsection (i), the”; and

(B) by striking “\$125,000” and inserting “\$155,000”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) ADJUSTMENT.—For the 2025 crop year and each crop year thereafter, the Secretary shall annually adjust the amounts described in subsections (b) and (c) for inflation based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.”.

SEC. 10308. ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME LIMITATION.

Section 1001D(b) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1308–3a(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraphs (3) and (4)”;

and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN OPERATIONS.—

“(A) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph:

“(i) EXCEPTED PAYMENT OR BENEFIT.—The term ‘excepted payment or benefit’ means—

“(I) a payment or benefit under subtitle E of title I of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081 et seq.);

“(II) a payment or benefit under section 196 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 7333); and

“(III) a payment or benefit described in paragraph (2)(C) received on or after October 1, 2024.

“(ii) FARMING, RANCHING, OR SILVICULTURE ACTIVITIES.—The term ‘farming, ranching, or silviculture activities’ includes agri-tourism, direct-to-consumer marketing of agricultural products, the sale of agricultural equipment owned by the person or legal entity, and other agriculture-related activities, as determined by the Secretary.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of an excepted payment or benefit, the limitation established by paragraph (1) shall not apply to a person or legal entity during a crop, fiscal, or program year, as appropriate, if greater than or equal to 75 percent of the average gross income of the person or legal entity derives from farming, ranching, or silviculture activities.”.

SEC. 10309. MARKETING LOANS.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF NONRECOURSE MARKETING ASSISTANCE LOANS FOR LOAN COMMODITIES.—Section 1201(b)(1) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9031(b)(1)) is amended by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”.

(b) LOAN RATES FOR NONRECOURSE MARKETING ASSISTANCE LOANS.—Section 1202 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9032) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “2023” and inserting “2025”; and

(B) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2023” and inserting “2025”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively;

(3) by inserting after subsection (b) the following:

“(c) 2026 THROUGH 2031 CROP YEARS.—For purposes of each of the 2026 through 2031 crop years, the loan rate for a marketing assistance loan under section 1201 for a loan commodity shall be equal to the following:

“(1) In the case of wheat, \$3.72 per bushel.

“(2) In the case of corn, \$2.42 per bushel.

“(3) In the case of grain sorghum, \$2.42 per bushel.

“(4) In the case of barley, \$2.75 per bushel.

“(5) In the case of oats, \$2.20 per bushel.

“(6) In the case of upland cotton, \$0.55 per pound.

“(7) In the case of extra long staple cotton, \$1.00 per pound.

“(8) In the case of long grain rice, \$7.70 per hundredweight.

“(9) In the case of medium grain rice, \$7.70 per hundredweight.

“(10) In the case of soybeans, \$6.82 per bushel.

“(11) In the case of other oilseeds, \$11.10 per hundredweight for each of the following kinds of oilseeds:

“(A) Sunflower seed.

“(B) Rapeseed.

“(C) Canola.

“(D) Safflower.

“(E) Flaxseed.

“(F) Mustard seed.

“(G) Crambe.

“(H) Sesame seed.

“(I) Other oilseeds designated by the Secretary.

“(12) In the case of dry peas, \$6.87 per hundredweight.

“(13) In the case of lentils, \$14.30 per hundredweight.

“(14) In the case of small chickpeas, \$11.00 per hundredweight.

“(15) In the case of large chickpeas, \$15.40 per hundredweight.

“(16) In the case of graded wool, \$1.60 per pound.

“(17) In the case of nongraded wool, \$0.55 per pound.

“(18) In the case of mohair, \$5.00 per pound.

“(19) In the case of honey, \$1.50 per pound.

“(20) In the case of peanuts, \$390 per ton.”;

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “(a)(11) and (b)(11)” and inserting “(a)(11), (b)(11), and (c)(11)”;

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), in paragraph (1), by striking “\$0.25” and inserting “\$0.30”.

(c) PAYMENT OF COTTON STORAGE COSTS.—Section 1204(g) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9034(g)) is amended—

(1) by striking “Effective” and inserting the following:

“(1) CROP YEARS 2014 THROUGH 2025.—Effective”;

(2) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by striking “2023” and inserting “2025”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) PAYMENT OF COTTON STORAGE COSTS.—Effective for each of the 2026 through 2031 crop years, the Secretary shall make cotton storage payments for upland cotton and extra long staple cotton available in the same manner as the

Secretary provided storage payments for the 2006 crop of upland cotton, except that the payment rate shall be equal to the lesser of—

“(A) the submitted storage charge for the current marketing year; and

“(B) in the case of storage in—

“(i) California or Arizona, a payment rate of \$4.90; and

“(ii) any other State, a payment rate of \$3.00.”.

(d) LOAN DEFICIENCY PAYMENTS.—

(1) CONTINUATION.—Section 1205(a)(2)(B) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9035(a)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”.

(2) PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF LDPS.—Section 1206 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9036) is amended, in subsections (a) and (d), by striking “2023” each place it appears and inserting “2031”.

(e) SPECIAL COMPETITIVE PROVISIONS FOR EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON.—Section 1208(a) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9038(a)) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2026” and inserting “2032”.

(f) AVAILABILITY OF RECOURSE LOANS.—Section 1209 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9039) is amended, in subsections (a)(2), (b), and (c), by striking “2023” each place it appears and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10310. REPAYMENT OF MARKETING LOANS.

Section 1204 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9034) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (1) as subparagraph (A) and indenting appropriately;

(B) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

(C) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(B)(i) in the case of long grain rice and medium grain rice, the prevailing world market price for the commodity, as determined and adjusted by the Secretary in accordance with this section; or

“(ii) in the case of upland cotton, the prevailing world market price for the commodity, as determined and adjusted by the Secretary in accordance with this section.

“(2) REFUND FOR UPLAND COTTON.—In the case of a repayment for a marketing assistance loan for upland cotton at a rate described in paragraph (1)(B)(ii), the Secretary shall provide to the producer a refund (if any) in an amount equal to the difference between the lowest prevailing world market price, as determined and adjusted by the Secretary in accordance with this section, during the 30-day period following the date on which the producer repays the marketing assistance loan and the repayment rate.”.

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(B) by striking “at the loan rate” and inserting the following: “at a rate that is the lesser of—

“(1) the loan rate”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) the prevailing world market price for the commodity, as determined and adjusted by the Secretary in accordance with this section.”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “and medium grain rice” and inserting “medium grain rice, and extra long staple cotton”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and indenting appropriately;

(C) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated), by striking “For purposes” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) UPLAND COTTON.—In the case of upland cotton, for any period when price quotations for

Middling (M) 1³/₃₂-inch cotton are available, the formula under paragraph (1)(A) shall be based on the average of the 3 lowest-priced growths that are quoted.”; and

(4) in subsection (e)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by inserting “EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON,” after “UPLAND COTTON,”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in the paragraph heading, by inserting “UPLAND” before “COTTON”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “2024” and inserting “2032”;

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(D) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON.—The prevailing world market price for extra long staple cotton determined under subsection (d)—

“(A) shall be adjusted to United States quality and location, with the adjustment to include the average costs to market the commodity, including average transportation costs, as determined by the Secretary; and

“(B) may be further adjusted, during the period beginning on the date of enactment of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’ (119th Congress) and ending on July 31, 2032, if the Secretary determines the adjustment is necessary—

“(i) to minimize potential loan forfeitures;

“(ii) to minimize the accumulation of stocks of extra long staple cotton by the Federal Government; and

“(iii) to ensure that extra long staple cotton produced in the United States can be marketed freely and competitively; and

“(iv) to ensure an appropriate transition between current-crop and forward-crop price quotations, except that the Secretary may use forward-crop price quotations prior to July 31 of a marketing year only if—

“(I) there are insufficient current-crop price quotations; and

“(II) the forward-crop price quotation is the lowest such quotation available.”.

SEC. 10311. ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR TEXTILE MILLS.

Section 1207(c) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9037(c)) is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) VALUE OF ASSISTANCE.—The value of the assistance provided under paragraph (1) shall be—

“(A) for the period beginning on August 1, 2013, and ending on July 31, 2025, 3 cents per pound; and

“(B) beginning on August 1, 2025, 5 cents per pound.”.

SEC. 10312. SUGAR PROGRAM UPDATES.

(a) LOAN RATE MODIFICATIONS.—Section 156 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 7272) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking “2023 crop years.” and inserting “2024 crop years; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) 24.00 cents per pound for raw cane sugar for each of the 2025 through 2031 crop years.”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “2023 crop years.” and inserting “2024 crop years; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) a rate that is equal to 136.55 percent of the loan rate per pound of raw cane sugar under subsection (a)(6) for each of the 2025 through 2031 crop years.”; and

(3) in subsection (i), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”.

(b) ADJUSTMENTS TO COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION STORAGE RATES.—Section 167 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 7287) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For the 2025 crop year and each subsequent crop year, the Commodity Credit Corporation shall establish rates for the storage of forfeited sugar in an amount that is not less than—

“(1) in the case of refined sugar, 34 cents per hundredweight per month; and

“(2) in the case of raw cane sugar, 27 cents per hundredweight per month.”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “SUBSEQUENT” and inserting “PRIOR”; and

(B) by striking “and subsequent” and inserting “through 2024”.

(c) MODERNIZING BEET SUGAR ALLOTMENTS.—

(1) SUGAR ESTIMATES.—Section 359b(a)(1) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359bb(a)(1)) is amended by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”.

(2) ALLOCATION TO PROCESSORS.—Section 359c(g)(2) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359cc(g)(2)) is amended—

(A) by striking “In the case” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in sub-

paragraph (B), in the case”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) EXCEPTION.—If the Secretary makes an upward adjustment under paragraph (1)(A), in adjusting allocations among beet sugar processors, the Secretary shall give priority to beet sugar processors with available sugar.”.

(3) TIMING OF REASSIGNMENT.—Section 359e(b)(2) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359ee(b)(2)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (C) as clauses (i) through (iii), respectively, and indenting appropriately;

(B) in the matter preceding clause (i) (as so redesignated), by striking “If the Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) TIMING.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall—

“(i) make an initial determination based on the World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates approved by the World Agricultural Outlook Board for January that shall be applicable to the crop year for which allotments are required; and

“(ii) provide for an initial reassignment under subparagraph (A)(i) not later than 30 days after the date on which the World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates described in clause (i) is released.”.

(d) REALLOCATIONS OF TARIFF-RATE QUOTA SHORTFALL.—Section 359k of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359kk) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) REALLOCATION.—

“(1) INITIAL REALLOCATION.—Subject to paragraph (3), following the establishment of the tariff-rate quotas under subsection (a) for a quota year, the Secretary shall—

“(A) determine which countries do not intend to fulfill their allocation for the quota year; and

“(B) reallocate any forecasted shortfall in the fulfillment of the tariff-rate quotas as soon as practicable.

“(2) SUBSEQUENT REALLOCATION.—Subject to paragraph (3), not later than March 1 of a quota year, the Secretary shall reallocate any additional forecasted shortfall in the fulfillment of the tariff-rate quotas for raw cane sugar established under subsection (a)(1) for that quota year.

“(3) CESSATION OF EFFECTIVENESS.—Paragraphs (1) and (2) shall cease to be in effect if—

“(A) the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico, signed December 19, 2014, is terminated; and

“(B) no countervailing duty order under subtitle A of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671 et seq.) is in effect with respect to sugar from Mexico.

“(d) REFINED SUGAR.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF DOMESTIC SUGAR INDUSTRY.—In this subsection, the term ‘domestic sugar industry’ means domestic—

“(A) sugar beet producers and processors;

“(B) producers and processors of sugar cane; and

“(C) refiners of raw cane sugar.

“(2) STUDY REQUIRED.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary shall conduct a study on whether the establishment of additional terms and conditions with respect to refined sugar imports is necessary and appropriate.

“(B) ELEMENTS.—In conducting the study under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall examine the following:

“(i) The need for—

“(I) defining ‘refined sugar’ as having a minimum polarization of 99.8 degrees or higher;

“(II) establishing a standard for color- or reflectance-based units for refined sugar such as those utilized by the International Commission of Uniform Methods of Sugar Analysis;

“(III) prescribing specifications for packaging type for refined sugar;

“(IV) prescribing specifications for transportation modes for refined sugar;

“(V) requiring evidence that sugar imported as refined sugar will not undergo further refining in the United States;

“(VI) prescribing appropriate terms and conditions to avoid unlawful sugar imports; and

“(VII) establishing other definitions, terms and conditions, or other requirements.

“(ii) The potential impact of modifications described in each of subclauses (I) through (VII) of clause (i) on the domestic sugar industry.

“(iii) Whether, based on the needs described in clause (i) and the impact described in clause (ii), the establishment of additional terms and conditions is appropriate.

“(C) CONSULTATION.—In conducting the study under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall consult with representatives of the domestic sugar industry and users of refined sugar.

“(D) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate a report that describes the findings of the study conducted under subparagraph (A).

“(3) ESTABLISHMENT OF ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS PERMITTED.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Based on the findings in the report submitted under paragraph (2)(D), and after providing notice to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate, the Secretary may issue regulations in accordance with subparagraph (B) to establish additional terms and conditions with respect to refined sugar imports that are necessary and appropriate.

“(B) PROMULGATION OF REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may issue regulations under subparagraph (A) if the regulations—

“(i) do not have an adverse impact on the domestic sugar industry; and

“(ii) are consistent with the requirements of this part, section 156 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 7272), and obligations under international trade agreements that have been approved by Congress.”.

(e) CLARIFICATION OF TARIFF-RATE QUOTA ADJUSTMENTS.—Section 359k(b)(1) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359kk(b)(1)) is amended, in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “if there is an” and inserting “for the sole purpose of responding directly to an”.

(f) PERIOD OF EFFECTIVENESS.—Section 359l(a) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359l(a)) is amended by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10313. DAIRY POLICY UPDATES.

(a) DAIRY MARGIN COVERAGE PRODUCTION HISTORY.—

(1) DEFINITION.—Section 1401(8) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9051(8)) is amended by striking “when the participating dairy operation first registers to participate in dairy margin coverage”.

(2) PRODUCTION HISTORY OF PARTICIPATING DAIRY OPERATIONS.—Section 1405 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9055) is amended by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following:

“(a) PRODUCTION HISTORY.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the production history of a dairy operation for dairy margin coverage is equal to the highest annual milk marketings of the participating dairy operation during any 1 of the 2021, 2022, or 2023 calendar years.

“(b) ELECTION BY NEW DAIRY OPERATIONS.—In the case of a participating dairy operation that has been in operation for less than a year, the participating dairy operation shall elect 1 of the following methods for the Secretary to determine the production history of the participating dairy operation:

“(1) The volume of the actual milk marketings for the months the participating dairy operation has been in operation extrapolated to a yearly amount.

“(2) An estimate of the actual milk marketings of the participating dairy operation based on the herd size of the participating dairy operation relative to the national rolling herd average data published by the Secretary.”.

(b) DAIRY MARGIN COVERAGE PAYMENTS.—Section 1406(a)(1)(C) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9056(a)(1)(C)) is amended by striking “5,000,000” each place it appears and inserting “6,000,000”.

(c) PREMIUMS FOR DAIRY MARGINS.—

(1) TIER I.—Section 1407(b) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9057(b)) is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “5,000,000” and inserting “6,000,000”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “5,000,000” and inserting “6,000,000”.

(2) TIER II.—Section 1407(c) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9057(c)) is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “5,000,000” and inserting “6,000,000”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “5,000,000” and inserting “6,000,000”.

(3) PREMIUM DISCOUNTS.—Section 1407(g) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9057(g)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “2019 through 2023” and inserting “2026 through 2031”; and

(ii) by striking “January 2019” and inserting “January 2026”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “2023” each place it appears and inserting “2031”.

(d) DURATION.—Section 1409 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9059) is amended by striking “2025” and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10314. IMPLEMENTATION.

Section 1614(c) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9097(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) FURTHER FUNDING.—The Secretary shall make available to carry out subtitle C of title I of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’ (119th Congress) and the amendments made by that subtitle \$50,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which—

“(A) not less than \$5,000,000 shall be used to carry out paragraphs (3) and (4) of subsection (b);

“(B) \$3,000,000 shall be used for activities described in paragraph (3)(A);

“(C) \$3,000,000 shall be used for activities described in paragraph (3)(B);

“(D) \$9,000,000 shall be used—

“(i) to carry out mandatory surveys of dairy production cost and product yield information to be reported by manufacturers required to report under section 273 of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1637b), for all products processed in the same facility or facilities; and

“(ii) to publish the results of such surveys biennially; and

“(E) \$1,000,000 shall be used to conduct the study under subsection (d) of section 359k of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 1359kk).”.

Subtitle D—Disaster Assistance Programs

SEC. 10401. SUPPLEMENTAL AGRICULTURAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE.

(a) LIVESTOCK INDEMNITY PAYMENTS.—Section 1501(b) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) PAYMENT RATES.—

“(A) LOSSES DUE TO PREDATION.—Indemnity payments to an eligible producer on a farm under paragraph (1)(A) shall be made at a rate of 100 percent of the market value of the affected livestock on the applicable date, as determined by the Secretary.

“(B) LOSSES DUE TO ADVERSE WEATHER OR DISEASE.—Indemnity payments to an eligible producer on a farm under subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1) shall be made at a rate of 75 percent of the market value of the affected livestock on the applicable date, as determined by the Secretary.

“(C) DETERMINATION OF MARKET VALUE.—In determining the market value described in subparagraphs (A) and (B), the Secretary may consider the ability of eligible producers to document regional price premiums for affected livestock that exceed the national average market price for those livestock.

“(D) APPLICABLE DATE DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘applicable date’ means, with respect to livestock, as applicable—

“(i) the day before the date of death of the livestock; or

“(ii) the day before the date of the event that caused the harm to the livestock that resulted in a reduced sale price.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) ADDITIONAL PAYMENT FOR UNBORN LIVESTOCK.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of unborn livestock death losses incurred on or after January 1, 2024, the Secretary shall make an additional payment to eligible producers on farms that have incurred such losses in excess of the normal mortality due to a condition specified in paragraph (1).

“(B) PAYMENT RATE.—Additional payments under subparagraph (A) shall be made at a rate—

“(i) determined by the Secretary; and

“(ii) less than or equal to 85 percent of the payment rate established with respect to the lowest weight class of the livestock, as determined by the Secretary, acting through the Administrator of the Farm Service Agency.

“(C) PAYMENT AMOUNT.—The amount of a payment to an eligible producer that has incurred unborn livestock death losses shall be equal to the payment rate determined under subparagraph (B) multiplied, in the case of livestock described in—

“(i) subparagraph (A), (B), or (F) of subsection (a)(4), by 1;

“(ii) subparagraph (D) of such subsection, by 2;

“(iii) subparagraph (E) of such subsection, by 12; and

“(iv) subparagraph (G) of such subsection, by the average number of birthed animals (for one gestation cycle) for the species of each such livestock, as determined by the Secretary.

“(D) UNBORN LIVESTOCK DEATH LOSSES DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘unborn

livestock death losses' means losses of any livestock described in subparagraph (A), (B), (D), (E), (F), or (G) of subsection (a)(4) that was gestating on the date of the death of the livestock."

(b) **LIVESTOCK FORAGE DISASTER PROGRAM.**—Section 1501(c)(3)(D)(ii)(I) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081(c)(3)(D)(ii)(I)) is amended—

(1) by striking "1 monthly payment" and inserting "2 monthly payments"; and

(2) by striking "county for at least 8 consecutive" and inserting the following: "county for not less than—"

"(aa) 4 consecutive weeks during the normal grazing period for the county, as determined by the Secretary, shall be eligible to receive assistance under this paragraph in an amount equal to 1 monthly payment using the monthly payment rate determined under subparagraph (B); or

"(bb) 7 of the previous 8 consecutive".

(c) **EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOR LIVESTOCK, HONEY BEES, AND FARM-RAISED FISH.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1501(d) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(5) **ASSISTANCE FOR LOSSES DUE TO BIRD DEPREDAATION.**—

"(A) **DEFINITION OF FARM-RAISED FISH.**—In this paragraph, the term 'farm-raised fish' means fish propagated and reared in a controlled fresh water environment.

"(B) **PAYMENTS.**—Eligible producers of farm-raised fish, including fish grown as food for human consumption, shall be eligible to receive payments under this subsection to aid in the reduction of losses due to piscivorous birds.

"(C) **PAYMENT RATE.**—

"(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The payment rate for payments under subparagraph (B) shall be determined by the Secretary, taking into account—

"(I) costs associated with the deterrence of piscivorous birds;

"(II) the value of lost fish and revenue due to bird depredation; and

"(III) costs associated with disease loss from bird depredation.

"(ii) **MINIMUM RATE.**—The payment rate for payments under subparagraph (B) shall be not less than \$600 per acre of farm-raised fish.

"(D) **PAYMENT AMOUNT.**—The amount of a payment under subparagraph (B) shall be the product obtained by multiplying—

"(i) the applicable payment rate under subparagraph (C); and

"(ii) 85 percent of the total number of acres of farm-raised fish farms that the eligible producer has in production for the calendar year."

(2) **EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOR HONEYBEES.**—In determining honeybee colony losses eligible for assistance under section 1501(d) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081(d)), the Secretary shall utilize a normal mortality rate of 15 percent.

(d) **TREE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.**—Section 1501(e) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 9081(e)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking "15 percent (adjusted for normal mortality)" and inserting "normal mortality"; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking "15 percent mortality (adjusted for normal mortality)" and inserting "normal mortality"; and

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking "50" and inserting "65"; and

(ii) by striking "15 percent damage or mortality (adjusted for normal tree damage and mortality)" and inserting "normal tree damage or mortality".

Subtitle E—Crop Insurance

SEC. 10501. BEGINNING FARMER AND RANCHER BENEFIT.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 502(b)(3) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1502(b)(3)) is amended by striking "5" and inserting "10".

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 522(c)(7) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1522(c)(7)) is amended by striking subparagraph (F).

(b) **INCREASE IN ASSISTANCE.**—Section 508(e) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(e)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(9) **ADDITIONAL SUPPORT.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other provision of this subsection (except paragraph (2)(A)) regarding payment of a portion of premiums, a beginning farmer or rancher shall receive additional premium assistance that is the number of percentage points specified in subparagraph (B) greater than the premium assistance that would otherwise be available for the applicable policy, plan of insurance, and coverage level selected by the beginning farmer or rancher.

"(B) **PERCENTAGE POINTS ADJUSTMENTS.**—The percentage points referred to in subparagraph (A) are the following:

"(i) For each of the first and second reinsurance years that a beginning farmer or rancher participates as a beginning farmer or rancher in the applicable policy or plan of insurance, 5 percentage points.

"(ii) For the third reinsurance year that a beginning farmer or rancher participates as a beginning farmer or rancher in the applicable policy or plan of insurance, 3 percentage points.

"(iii) For the fourth reinsurance year that a beginning farmer or rancher participates as a beginning farmer or rancher in the applicable policy or plan of insurance, 1 percentage point."

SEC. 10502. AREA-BASED CROP INSURANCE COVERAGE AND AFFORDABILITY.

(a) **COVERAGE LEVEL.**—Section 508(c)(4) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(c)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

"(ii) may be purchased at any level not to exceed—

"(I) in the case of the individual yield or revenue coverage, 85 percent;

"(II) in the case of individual yield or revenue coverage aggregated across multiple commodities, 90 percent; and

"(III) in the case of area yield or revenue coverage (as determined by the Corporation), 95 percent.";

(2) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) in clause (ii), by striking "14" and inserting "10"; and

(B) in clause (iii)(I), by striking "86" and inserting "90".

(b) **PREMIUM SUBSIDY.**—Section 508(e)(2)(H)(i) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(e)(2)(H)(i)) is amended by striking "65" and inserting "80".

SEC. 10503. ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS.

Section 508(k) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(k)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(10) **ADDITIONAL EXPENSES.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Beginning with the 2026 reinsurance year, and for each reinsurance year thereafter, in addition to the terms and conditions of the Standard Reinsurance Agreement, to cover additional expenses for loss adjustment procedures, the Corporation shall pay an additional administrative and operating expense subsidy to approved insurance providers for eligible contracts.

"(B) **PAYMENT AMOUNT.**—In the case of an eligible contract, the payment to an approved insurance provider required under subparagraph (A) shall be the amount equal to 6 percent of the net book premium.

"(C) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this paragraph:

"(i) **ELIGIBLE CONTRACT.**—The term 'eligible contract'—

"(I) means a crop insurance contract entered into by an approved insurance provider in an eligible State; and

"(II) does not include a contract for—

"(aa) catastrophic risk protection under subsection (b);

"(bb) an area-based plan of insurance or similar plan of insurance, as determined by the Corporation; or

"(cc) a policy under which an approved insurance provider does not incur loss adjustment expenses, as determined by the Corporation.

"(ii) **ELIGIBLE STATE.**—The term 'eligible State' means a State in which, with respect to an insurance year, the loss ratio for eligible contracts is greater than 120 percent of the total net book premium written by all approved insurance providers.

"(11) **SPECIALTY CROPS.**—

"(A) **MINIMUM REIMBURSEMENT.**—Beginning with the 2026 reinsurance year, and for each reinsurance year thereafter, the rate of reimbursement to approved insurance providers and agents for administrative and operating expenses with respect to crop insurance contracts covering agricultural commodities described in section 101 of the Specialty Crops Competitiveness Act of 2004 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note; Public Law 108-465) shall be equal to or greater than the percentage that is the greater of the following:

"(i) 17 percent of the premium used to define loss ratio.

"(ii) The percent of the premium used to define loss ratio that is otherwise applicable for the reinsurance year under the terms of the Standard Reinsurance Agreement in effect for the reinsurance year.

"(B) **OTHER CONTRACTS.**—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the Corporation shall not reduce, with respect to any reinsurance year, the amount or the rate of reimbursement to approved insurance providers and agents under the Standard Reinsurance Agreement described in clause (ii) of such subparagraph for administrative and operating expenses with respect to contracts covering agricultural commodities that are not subject to such subparagraph.

"(C) **ADMINISTRATION.**—The requirements of this paragraph and the adjustments made pursuant to this paragraph shall not be considered a renegotiation under paragraph (8)(A).

"(12) **A&O INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (B), beginning with the 2026 reinsurance year, and for each reinsurance year thereafter, the Corporation shall increase the total administrative and operating expense reimbursements otherwise required under the Standard Reinsurance Agreement in effect for the reinsurance year in order to account for inflation, in a manner consistent with the increases provided with respect to the 2011 through 2015 reinsurance years under the enclosure included in Risk Management Agency Bulletin numbered MGR-10-007 and dated June 30, 2010.

"(B) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2026 REINSURANCE YEAR.**—The increase under subparagraph (A) for the 2026 reinsurance year shall not exceed the percentage change for the preceding reinsurance year included in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.

"(C) **ADMINISTRATION.**—An increase under subparagraph (A)—

"(i) shall apply with respect to all contracts covering agricultural commodities that were subject to an increase during the period of the 2011 through 2015 reinsurance years under the enclosure referred to in that subparagraph; and

"(ii) shall not be considered a renegotiation under paragraph (8)(A)."

SEC. 10504. PREMIUM SUPPORT.

Section 508(e)(2) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1508(e)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C)(i), by striking "64" and inserting "69";

(2) in subparagraph (D)(i), by striking "59" and inserting "64";

(3) in subparagraph (E)(i), by striking "55" and inserting "60";

(4) in subparagraph (F)(i), by striking “48” and inserting “51”; and

(5) in subparagraph (G)(i), by striking “38” and inserting “41”.

SEC. 10505. PROGRAM COMPLIANCE AND INTEGRITY.

Section 515(l)(2) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1515(l)(2)) is amended by striking “than” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “than—

“(A) \$4,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2025; and

“(B) \$6,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 and each subsequent fiscal year.”.

SEC. 10506. REVIEWS, COMPLIANCE, AND INTEGRITY.

Section 516(b)(2)(C)(i) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1516(b)(2)(C)(i)) is amended, in the matter preceding subclause (I), by striking “for each fiscal year” and inserting “for each of fiscal years 2014 through 2025 and \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 and each fiscal year thereafter”.

SEC. 10507. POULTRY INSURANCE PILOT PROGRAM.

Section 523 of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1523) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) POULTRY INSURANCE PILOT PROGRAM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (a)(2), the Corporation shall establish a pilot program under which contract poultry growers, including growers of broilers and laying hens, may elect to receive index-based insurance from extreme weather-related risk resulting in increased utility costs (including costs of natural gas, propane, electricity, water, and other appropriate costs, as determined by the Corporation) associated with poultry production.

“(2) STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT.—The Corporation shall engage with poultry industry stakeholders in establishing the pilot program under paragraph (1).

“(3) LOCATION.—The pilot program established under paragraph (1) shall be conducted in a sufficient number of counties to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the feasibility, effectiveness, and demand among producers in the top poultry producing States, as determined by the Corporation.

“(4) APPROVAL OF POLICY OR PLAN.—Notwithstanding section 508(l), the Board shall approve a policy or plan of insurance based on the pilot program under paragraph (1)—

“(A) in accordance with section 508(h); and

“(B) not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this subsection.”.

Subtitle F—Additional Investments in Rural America

SEC. 10601. CONSERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1241(a) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3841(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking subparagraphs (A) through (F) and inserting the following:

“(A) \$625,000,000 for fiscal year 2026;

“(B) \$650,000,000 for fiscal year 2027;

“(C) \$675,000,000 for fiscal year 2028;

“(D) \$700,000,000 for fiscal year 2029;

“(E) \$700,000,000 for fiscal year 2030; and

“(F) \$700,000,000 for fiscal year 2031.”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking clauses (i) through (v) and inserting the following:

“(i) \$2,655,000,000 for fiscal year 2026;

“(ii) \$2,855,000,000 for fiscal year 2027;

“(iii) \$3,255,000,000 for fiscal year 2028;

“(iv) \$3,255,000,000 for fiscal year 2029;

“(v) \$3,255,000,000 for fiscal year 2030; and

“(vi) \$3,255,000,000 for fiscal year 2031; and”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking clauses (i) through (v) and inserting the following:

“(i) \$1,300,000,000 for fiscal year 2026;

“(ii) \$1,325,000,000 for fiscal year 2027;

“(iii) \$1,350,000,000 for fiscal year 2028;

“(iv) \$1,375,000,000 for fiscal year 2029;

“(v) \$1,375,000,000 for fiscal year 2030; and

“(vi) \$1,375,000,000 for fiscal year 2031.”.

(b) REGIONAL CONSERVATION PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 1271D of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3871d) is amended by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING.—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall use to carry out the program, to the maximum extent practicable—

“(1) \$425,000,000 for fiscal year 2026;

“(2) \$450,000,000 for fiscal year 2027;

“(3) \$450,000,000 for fiscal year 2028;

“(4) \$450,000,000 for fiscal year 2029;

“(5) \$450,000,000 for fiscal year 2030; and

“(6) \$450,000,000 for fiscal year 2031.”.

(c) GRASSROOTS SOURCE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM.—Section 1240O(b) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839bb–2(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2023” and inserting “2031”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) \$1,000,000 beginning in fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.”.

(d) VOLUNTARY PUBLIC ACCESS AND HABITAT INCENTIVE PROGRAM.—Section 1240R(f)(1) of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839bb–5(f)(1)) is amended—

(1) by striking “2023, and” and inserting “2023,”; and

(2) by inserting “, and \$70,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2025 through 2031” before the period at the end.

(e) WATERSHED PROTECTION AND FLOOD PREVENTION.—Section 15 of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1012a) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2019 and each fiscal year thereafter” and inserting “\$150,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 and each fiscal year thereafter, to remain available until expended”.

(f) FERAL SWINE ERADICATION AND CONTROL PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 2408(g)(1) of the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 (7 U.S.C. 8351 note; Public Law 115–334) is amended—

(1) by striking “2023 and” and inserting “2023,”; and

(2) by inserting “, and \$105,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2025 through 2031” before the period at the end.

(g) RESCISSION.—The unobligated balances of amounts appropriated by section 21001(a) of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2015) are rescinded.

SEC. 10602. SUPPLEMENTAL AGRICULTURAL TRADE PROMOTION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Agriculture shall carry out a program to encourage the accessibility, development, maintenance, and expansion of commercial export markets for United States agricultural commodities.

(b) FUNDING.—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary of Agriculture shall make available to carry out this section \$285,000,000 for fiscal year 2027 and each fiscal year thereafter.

SEC. 10603. NUTRITION.

Section 203D(d)(5) of the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983 (7 U.S.C. 7507(d)(5)) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10604. RESEARCH.

(a) URBAN, INDOOR, AND OTHER EMERGING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION RESEARCH, EDUCATION, AND EXTENSION INITIATIVE.—Section 1672E(d)(1)(B) of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5925g(d)(1)(B)) is amended by striking “fiscal

year 2024, to remain available until expended” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2024 through 2031”.

(b) FOUNDATION FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE RESEARCH.—Section 7601(g)(1)(A) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 5939(g)(1)(A)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(iv) FURTHER FUNDING.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this clause, of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall transfer to the Foundation to carry out this section \$37,000,000, to remain available until expended.”.

(c) SCHOLARSHIPS FOR STUDENTS AT 1890 INSTITUTIONS.—Section 1446(b)(1) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3222a(b)(1)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(C) FURTHER FUNDING.—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall make available to carry out this section \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.”.

(d) ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM FOR FARMERS WITH DISABILITIES.—Section 1680 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5933) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(2), by inserting “and subsection (d)” after “paragraph (1)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(d) MANDATORY FUNDING.—Subject to subsection (c)(2), of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall use to carry out this section \$8,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.”.

(e) SPECIALTY CROP RESEARCH INITIATIVE.—Section 412(k)(1)(B) of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7632(k)(1)(B)) is amended by striking “section \$80,000,000 for fiscal year 2014” and inserting the following: “section—

“(i) \$80,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2014 through 2025; and

“(ii) \$175,000,000 for fiscal year 2026”.

(f) RESEARCH FACILITIES ACT.—Section 6 of the Research Facilities Act (7 U.S.C. 390d) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “subsection (a)” and inserting “subsections (a) and (e)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) MANDATORY FUNDING.—Subject to subsections (b), (c), and (d), of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall make available to carry out the competitive grant program under section 4 \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 and each fiscal year thereafter.”.

SEC. 10605. ENERGY.

Section 9005(g)(1)(F) of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 8105(g)(1)(F)) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

SEC. 10606. HORTICULTURE.

(a) PLANT PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT AND DISASTER PREVENTION.—Section 420(f) of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7721(f)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7);

(3) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) \$75,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2018 through 2025; and”; and

(4) in paragraph (7) (as so redesignated), by striking “\$75,000,000 for fiscal year 2018” and inserting “\$90,000,000 for fiscal year 2026”.

(b) SPECIALTY CROP BLOCK GRANTS.—Section 101(l)(1) of the Specialty Crops Competitiveness Act of 2004 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note; Public Law 108–465) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F);

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following:

“(E) \$85,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2018 through 2025; and”; and

(4) in subparagraph (F) (as so redesignated), by striking “\$85,000,000 for fiscal year 2018” and inserting “\$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2026”.

(c) **ORGANIC PRODUCTION AND MARKET DATA INITIATIVE.**—Section 7407(d)(1) of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 5925c(d)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) \$10,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2026 through 2031.”.

(d) **MODERNIZATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND DATA COLLECTION.**—Section 2123(c)(4) of the Organic Foods Production Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 6522(c)(4)) is amended, in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “and \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2024” and inserting “, \$1,000,000 for fiscal years 2024 and 2025, and \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2026”.

(e) **NATIONAL ORGANIC CERTIFICATION COST-SHARE PROGRAM.**—Section 10606(d)(1)(C) of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 6523(d)(1)(C)) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

(f) **MULTIPLE CROP AND PESTICIDE USE SURVEY.**—Section 10109(c) of the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 (Public Law 115–334; 132 Stat. 4907) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **FURTHER MANDATORY FUNDING.**—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall use to carry out this section \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.”.

SEC. 10607. MISCELLANEOUS.

(a) **ANIMAL DISEASE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT.**—Section 10409A(d)(1) of the Animal Health Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 8308a(d)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) in the heading, by striking “SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEARS” and inserting “FISCAL YEARS 2023 THROUGH 2025”; and

(B) by striking “fiscal year 2023 and each fiscal year thereafter” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2023 through 2025”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **FISCAL YEARS 2026 THROUGH 2030.**—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall make available to carry out this section \$233,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026 through 2030, of which—

“(i) not less than \$10,000,000 shall be made available for each such fiscal year to carry out subsection (a);

“(ii) not less than \$70,000,000 shall be made available for each such fiscal year to carry out subsection (b); and

“(iii) not less than \$153,000,000 shall be made available for each such fiscal year to carry out subsection (c).

“(D) **SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEARS.**—Of the funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation, the Secretary shall make available to carry out this section \$75,000,000 for fiscal year 2031 and each fiscal year thereafter, of which not less than \$45,000,000 shall be made available for each of those fiscal years to carry out subsection (b).”.

(b) **SHEEP PRODUCTION AND MARKETING GRANT PROGRAM.**—Section 209(c) of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1627a(c)) is amended—

(1) by striking “2019, and” and inserting “2019.”; and

(2) by inserting “and \$3,000,000 for fiscal year 2026,” after “fiscal year 2024.”

(c) **PIMA AGRICULTURE COTTON TRUST FUND.**—Section 12314 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 2101 note; Public Law 113–79) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”; and

(2) in subsection (h), by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

(d) **AGRICULTURE WOOL APPAREL MANUFACTURERS TRUST FUND.**—Section 12315 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 7101 note; Public Law 113–79) is amended by striking “2024” each place it appears and inserting “2031”.

(e) **WOOL RESEARCH AND PROMOTION.**—Section 12316(a) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (7 U.S.C. 7101 note; Public Law 113–79) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

(f) **EMERGENCY CITRUS DISEASE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TRUST FUND.**—Section 12605(d) of the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 (7 U.S.C. 7632 note; Public Law 115–334) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2031”.

TITLE II—COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

SEC. 20001. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL.

(a) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$230,480,000 for restoration and modernization costs under the Marine Corps Barracks 2030 initiative;

(2) \$119,000,000 for base operating support costs under the Marine Corps;

(3) \$1,000,000,000 for Army, Navy, Air Force, and Space Force sustainment, restoration, and modernization of military unaccompanied housing;

(4) \$2,000,000,000 for the Defense Health Program;

(5) \$2,900,000,000 to supplement the basic allowance for housing payable to members of the Army, Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Space Force, notwithstanding section 403 of title 37, United States Code;

(6) \$50,000,000 for bonuses, special pays, and incentive pays for members of the Army, Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Space Force pursuant to titles 10 and 37, United States Code;

(7) \$10,000,000 for the Defense Activity for Non-Traditional Education Support's Online Academic Skills Course program for members of the Army, Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Space Force;

(8) \$100,000,000 for tuition assistance for members of the Army, Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Space Force pursuant to title 10, United States Code;

(9) \$100,000,000 for child care fee assistance for members of the Army, Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Space Force under part II of chapter 88 of title 10, United States Code;

(10) \$590,000,000 to increase the Temporary Lodging Expense Allowance under chapter 8 of title 37, United States Code, to 21 days;

(11) \$100,000,000 for Department of Defense Impact Aid payments to local educational agencies under section 2008 of title 10, United States Code;

(12) \$10,000,000 for military spouse professional licensure under section 1784 of title 10, United States Code;

(13) \$6,000,000 for Armed Forces Retirement Home facilities;

(14) \$100,000,000 for the Defense Community Infrastructure Program;

(15) \$100,000,000 for Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) casualty care research; and

(16) \$62,000,000 for modernization of Department of Defense childcare center staffing.

(b) **TEMPORARY INCREASE IN PERCENTAGE OF VALUE OF AUTHORIZED INVESTMENT IN CERTAIN PRIVATIZED MILITARY HOUSING PROJECTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this section and

ending on September 30, 2029, the Secretary concerned shall apply—

(A) paragraph (1) of subsection (c) of section 2875 of title 10, United States Code, by substituting “60 percent” for “33 ⅓ percent”; and

(B) paragraph (2) of such subsection by substituting “60 percent” for “45 percent”.

(2) **SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term “Secretary concerned” has the meaning given such term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.

(c) **TEMPORARY AUTHORITY FOR ACQUISITION OR CONSTRUCTION OF PRIVATIZED MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING.**—Section 2881a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the heading and inserting “**Temporary authority for acquisition or construction of privatized military unaccompanied housing**”; and

(2) by striking “Secretary of the Navy” each place it appears and inserting “Secretary concerned”; and

(3) by striking “under the pilot projects” each place it appears and inserting “pursuant to this section”; and

(4) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking the heading and inserting “**IN GENERAL**”; and

(B) by striking “carry out not more than three pilot projects under the authority of this section or another provision of this subchapter to use the private sector” and inserting “use the authority under this subchapter to enter into contracts with appropriate private sector entities”; and

(5) in subsection (c), by striking “privatized housing” and inserting “privatized housing units”; and

(6) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e); and

(7) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated)—

(A) by striking “under the pilot programs” and inserting “under this section”; and

(B) by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2029”.

SEC. 20002. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR SHIPBUILDING.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$250,000,000 for the expansion of accelerated Training in Defense Manufacturing program;

(2) \$250,000,000 for United States production of turbine generators for shipbuilding industrial base;

(3) \$450,000,000 for United States additive manufacturing for wire production and machining capacity for shipbuilding industrial base;

(4) \$492,000,000 for next-generation shipbuilding techniques;

(5) \$85,000,000 for United States-made steel plate for shipbuilding industrial base;

(6) \$50,000,000 for machining capacity for naval propellers for shipbuilding industrial base;

(7) \$110,000,000 for rolled steel and fabrication facility for shipbuilding industrial base;

(8) \$400,000,000 for expansion of collaborative campus for naval shipbuilding;

(9) \$450,000,000 for application of autonomy and artificial intelligence to naval shipbuilding;

(10) \$500,000,000 for the adoption of advanced manufacturing techniques in the shipbuilding industrial base;

(11) \$500,000,000 for additional dry-dock capability;

(12) \$50,000,000 for the expansion of cold spray repair technologies;

(13) \$450,000,000 for additional maritime industrial workforce development programs;

(14) \$750,000,000 for additional supplier development across the naval shipbuilding industrial base;

(15) \$250,000,000 for additional advanced manufacturing processes across the naval shipbuilding industrial base;

(16) \$4,600,000,000 for a second Virginia-class submarine in fiscal year 2026;

(17) \$5,400,000,000 for two additional Guided Missile Destroyer (DDG) ships;

(18) \$160,000,000 for advanced procurement for Landing Ship Medium;

(19) \$1,803,941,000 for procurement of Landing Ship Medium;

(20) \$295,000,000 for development of a second Landing Craft Utility shipyard and production of additional Landing Craft Utility;

(21) \$100,000,000 for advanced procurement for light replenishment oiler program;

(22) \$600,000,000 for the lease or purchase of new ships through the National Defense Sealift Fund;

(23) \$2,725,000,000 for the procurement of T-AO oilers;

(24) \$500,000,000 for cost-to-complete for rescue and salvage ships;

(25) \$300,000,000 for production of ship-to-shore connectors;

(26) \$1,470,000,000 for the implementation of a multi-ship amphibious warship contract;

(27) \$80,000,000 for accelerated development of vertical launch system reloading at sea;

(28) \$250,000,000 for expansion of Navy corrosion control programs;

(29) \$159,000,000 for leasing of ships for Marine Corps operations;

(30) \$1,534,000,000 for expansion of small unmanned surface vessel production;

(31) \$2,100,000,000 for development, procurement, and integration of purpose-built medium unmanned surface vessels;

(32) \$1,300,000,000 for expansion of unmanned underwater vehicle production;

(33) \$188,360,000 for the development and testing of maritime robotic autonomous systems and enabling technologies;

(34) \$174,000,000 for the development of a Test Resource Management Center robotic autonomous systems proving ground;

(35) \$250,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of wave-powered unmanned underwater vehicles; and

(36) \$150,000,000 for retention of inactive reserve fleet ships.

SEC. 20003. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE.

(a) **NEXT GENERATION MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGIES.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$250,000,000 for development and testing of directed energy capabilities by the Under Secretary for Research and Engineering;

(2) \$500,000,000 for national security space launch infrastructure;

(3) \$2,000,000,000 for air moving target indicator military satellites;

(4) \$400,000,000 for expansion of Multi-Service Advanced Capability Hypersonic Test Bed program;

(5) \$5,600,000,000 for development of space-based and boost phase intercept capabilities;

(6) \$7,200,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of military space-based sensors; and

(7) \$2,550,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of military missile defense capabilities.

(b) **LAYERED HOMELAND DEFENSE.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$2,200,000,000 for acceleration of hypersonic defense systems;

(2) \$800,000,000 for accelerated development and deployment of next-generation intercontinental ballistic missile defense systems;

(3) \$408,000,000 for Army space and strategic missile test range infrastructure restoration and

modernization in the United States Indo-Pacific Command area of operations west of the international dateline;

(4) \$1,975,000,000 for improved ground-based missile defense radars; and

(5) \$530,000,000 for the design and construction of Missile Defense Agency missile instrumentation range safety ship.

SEC. 20004. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR MUNITIONS AND DEFENSE SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCY.

(a) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$400,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of Navy and Air Force long-range anti-ship missiles;

(2) \$380,000,000 for production capacity expansion for Navy and Air Force long-range anti-ship missiles;

(3) \$490,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of Navy and Air Force long-range air-to-surface missiles;

(4) \$94,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of alternative Navy and Air Force long-range air-to-surface missiles;

(5) \$630,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of long-range Navy air defense and anti-ship missiles;

(6) \$688,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of long-range multi-service cruise missiles;

(7) \$250,000,000 for production capacity expansion and supplier base strengthening of long-range multi-service cruise missiles;

(8) \$70,000,000 for the development, production, and integration of short-range Navy and Marine Corps anti-ship missiles;

(9) \$100,000,000 for the development of an anti-ship seeker for short-range Army ballistic missiles;

(10) \$175,000,000 for production capacity expansion for next-generation Army medium-range ballistic missiles;

(11) \$50,000,000 for the mitigation of diminishing manufacturing sources for medium-range air-to-air missiles;

(12) \$250,000,000 for the procurement of medium-range air-to-air missiles;

(13) \$225,000,000 for the expansion of production capacity for medium-range air-to-air missiles;

(14) \$50,000,000 for the development of second sources for components of short-range air-to-air missiles;

(15) \$325,000,000 for production capacity improvements for air-launched anti-radiation missiles;

(16) \$50,000,000 for the accelerated development of Army next-generation medium-range anti-ship ballistic missiles;

(17) \$114,000,000 for the production of Army next-generation medium-range ballistic missiles;

(18) \$300,000,000 for the production of Army medium-range ballistic missiles;

(19) \$85,000,000 for the accelerated development of Army long-range ballistic missiles;

(20) \$400,000,000 for the production of heavy-weight torpedoes;

(21) \$200,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of mass-producible autonomous underwater munitions;

(22) \$70,000,000 for the improvement of heavy-weight torpedo maintenance activities;

(23) \$200,000,000 for the production of light-weight torpedoes;

(24) \$500,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of maritime mines;

(25) \$50,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of new underwater explosives;

(26) \$55,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of lightweight multi-mission torpedoes;

(27) \$80,000,000 for the production of sonobuoys;

(28) \$150,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of air-delivered long-range maritime mines;

(29) \$61,000,000 for the acceleration of Navy expeditionary loitering munitions deployment;

(30) \$50,000,000 for the acceleration of one-way attack unmanned aerial systems with advanced autonomy;

(31) \$1,000,000,000 for the expansion of the one-way attack unmanned aerial systems industrial base;

(32) \$200,000,000 for investments in solid rocket motor industrial base through the Industrial Base Fund established under section 4817 of title 10, United States Code;

(33) \$400,000,000 for investments in the emerging solid rocket motor industrial base through the Industrial Base Fund established under section 4817 of title 10, United States Code;

(34) \$42,000,000 for investments in second sources for large-diameter solid rocket motors for hypersonic missiles;

(35) \$1,000,000,000 for the creation of next-generation automated munitions production factories;

(36) \$170,000,000 for the development of advanced radar depot for repair, testing, and production of radar and electronic warfare systems;

(37) \$25,000,000 for the expansion of the Department of Defense industrial base policy analysis workforce;

(38) \$30,300,000 for the repair of Army missiles;

(39) \$100,000,000 for the production of small and medium ammunition;

(40) \$2,000,000,000 for additional activities to improve the United States stockpile of critical minerals through the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund, authorized by subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 50, United States Code;

(41) \$10,000,000 for the expansion of the Department of Defense armaments cooperation workforce;

(42) \$500,000,000 for the expansion of the Defense Exportability Features program;

(43) \$350,000,000 for production of Navy long-range air and missile defense interceptors;

(44) \$93,000,000 for replacement of Navy long-range air and missile defense interceptors;

(45) \$100,000,000 for development of a second solid rocket motor source for Navy air defense and anti ship missiles;

(46) \$65,000,000 for expansion of production capacity of Missile Defense Agency long-range anti-ballistic missiles;

(47) \$225,000,000 for expansion of production capacity for Navy air defense and anti-ship missiles;

(48) \$103,300,000 for expansion of depot level maintenance facility for Navy long-range air and missile defense interceptors;

(49) \$18,000,000 for creation of domestic source for guidance section of Navy short-range air defense missiles;

(50) \$65,000,000 for integration of Army medium-range air and missile defense interceptor with Navy ships;

(51) \$176,100,000 for production of Army long-range movable missile defense radar;

(52) \$167,000,000 for accelerated fielding of Army short-range gun-based air and missile defense system;

(53) \$40,000,000 for development of low-cost alternatives to air and missile defense interceptors;

(54) \$50,000,000 for acceleration of Army next-generation shoulder-fired air defense system;

(55) \$91,000,000 for production of Army next-generation shoulder-fired air defense system;

(56) \$500,000,000 for development, production, and integration of counter-unmanned aerial systems programs;

(57) \$350,000,000 for development, production, and integration of non-kinetic counter-unmanned aerial systems programs;

(58) \$250,000,000 for development, production, and integration of land-based counter-unmanned aerial systems programs;

(59) \$200,000,000 for development, production, and integration of ship-based counter-unmanned aerial systems programs;

(60) \$400,000,000 for acceleration of hypersonic strike programs;

(61) \$167,000,000 for procurement of additional launchers for Army medium-range air and missile defense interceptors;

(62) \$500,000,000 for expansion of defense advanced manufacturing techniques;

(63) \$1,000,000 for establishment of the Joint Energetics Transition Office;

(64) \$200,000,000 for acceleration of Army medium-range air and missile defense interceptors;

(65) \$150,000,000 for additive manufacturing for propellant;

(66) \$250,000,000 for expansion and acceleration of penetrating munitions production; and

(67) \$50,000,000 for development, procurement, and integration of precision extended-range artillery.

(b) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$3,300,000,000 for grants and purchase commitments made pursuant to the Industrial Base Fund established under section 4817 of title 10, United States Code.

(c) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$5,000,000,000 for investments in critical minerals supply chains made pursuant to the Industrial Base Fund established under section 4817 of title 10, United States Code.

(d) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Defense, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$500,000,000 to the “Department of Defense Credit Program Account” to carry out the capital assistance program, including loans, loan guarantees, and technical assistance, established under section 149(e) of title 10, United States Code, for critical minerals and related industries and projects, including related Covered Technology Categories: Provided, That—

(1) such amounts are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, and total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$100,000,000,000; and

(2) such amounts are available to cover all costs and expenditures as provided under section 149(e)(5)(B) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 20005. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR SCALING LOW-COST WEAPONS INTO PRODUCTION.

(a) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$25,000,000 for the Office of Strategic Capital Global Technology Scout program;

(2) \$1,400,000,000 for the expansion of the small unmanned aerial system industrial base;

(3) \$400,000,000 for the development and deployment of the Joint Fires Network and associated joint battle management capabilities;

(4) \$400,000,000 for the expansion of advanced command-and-control tools to combatant commands and military departments;

(5) \$100,000,000 for the development of shared secure facilities for the defense industrial base;

(6) \$50,000,000 for the creation of additional Defense Innovation Unit OnRamp Hubs;

(7) \$600,000,000 for the acceleration of Strategic Capabilities Office programs;

(8) \$650,000,000 for the expansion of Mission Capabilities office joint prototyping and experimentation activities for military innovation;

(9) \$500,000,000 for the accelerated development and integration of advanced 5G/6G technologies for military use;

(10) \$25,000,000 for testing of simultaneous transmit and receive technology for military spectrum agility;

(11) \$50,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of high-altitude stratospheric balloons for military use;

(12) \$120,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of long-endurance unmanned aerial systems for surveillance;

(13) \$40,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of alternative positioning and navigation technology to enable military operations in contested electromagnetic environments;

(14) \$750,000,000 for the acceleration of innovative military logistics and energy capability development and deployment;

(15) \$125,000,000 for the acceleration of development of small, portable modular nuclear reactors for military use;

(16) \$1,000,000,000 for the expansion of programs to accelerate the procurement and fielding of innovative technologies;

(17) \$90,000,000 for the development of reusable hypersonic technology for military strikes;

(18) \$2,000,000,000 for the expansion of Defense Innovation Unit scaling of commercial technology for military use;

(19) \$500,000,000 to prevent delays in delivery of attributable autonomous military capabilities;

(20) \$1,500,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of low-cost cruise missiles;

(21) \$124,000,000 for improvements to Test Resource Management Center artificial intelligence capabilities;

(22) \$145,000,000 for the development of artificial intelligence to enable one-way attack unmanned aerial systems and naval systems;

(23) \$250,000,000 for the development of the Test Resource Management Center digital test environment;

(24) \$250,000,000 for the advancement of the artificial intelligence ecosystem;

(25) \$250,000,000 for the expansion of Cyber Command artificial intelligence lines of effort;

(26) \$250,000,000 for the acceleration of the Quantum Benchmarking Initiative;

(27) \$1,000,000,000 for the expansion and acceleration of qualification activities and technical data management to enhance competition in defense industrial base;

(28) \$400,000,000 for the expansion of the defense manufacturing technology program;

(29) \$1,685,000,000 for military cryptographic modernization activities;

(30) \$90,000,000 for APEX Accelerators, the Mentor-Protege Program, and cybersecurity support to small non-traditional contractors;

(31) \$250,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of Air Force low-cost counter-air capabilities;

(32) \$10,000,000 for additional Air Force wargaming activities; and

(33) \$20,000,000 for the Office of Strategic Capital workforce.

(b) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$1,000,000,000 to the “Department of Defense Credit Program Account” to carry out the capital assistance program, including loans, loan guarantees, and technical assistance, established under section 149(e) of title 10, United States Code: Provided, That—

(1) such amounts are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, and total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$100,000,000,000; and

(2) such amounts are available to cover all costs and expenditures as provided under section 149(e)(5)(B) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 20006. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY AND CYBERSECURITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$150,000,000 for business systems replacement to accelerate the audits of the financial statements of the Department of Defense pursuant to chapter 9A and section 2222 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) \$200,000,000 for the deployment of automation and artificial intelligence to accelerate the audits of the financial statements of the Department of Defense pursuant to chapter 9A and section 2222 of title 10, United States Code;

(3) \$10,000,000 for the improvement of the budgetary and programmatic infrastructure of the Office of the Secretary of Defense; and

(4) \$20,000,000 for defense cybersecurity programs of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

SEC. 20007. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR AIR SUPERIORITY.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$3,150,000,000 to increase F-15EX aircraft production;

(2) \$361,220,000 to prevent the retirement of F-22 aircraft;

(3) \$127,460,000 to prevent the retirement of F-15E aircraft;

(4) \$187,000,000 to accelerate installation of F-16 electronic warfare capability;

(5) \$116,000,000 for C-17A Mobility Aircraft Connectivity;

(6) \$84,000,000 for KC-135 Mobility Aircraft Connectivity;

(7) \$440,000,000 to increase C-130J production;

(8) \$474,000,000 to increase EA-37B production;

(9) \$678,000,000 to accelerate the Collaborative Combat Aircraft program;

(10) \$400,000,000 to accelerate production of the F-47 aircraft;

(11) \$750,000,000 accelerate the FA/XX aircraft;

(12) \$100,000,000 for production of Advanced Aerial Sensors;

(13) \$160,000,000 to accelerate V-22 nacelle and reliability and safety improvements;

(14) \$100,000,000 to accelerate production of MQ-25 aircraft;

(15) \$270,000,000 for development, procurement, and integration of Marine Corps unmanned combat aircraft;

(16) \$96,000,000 for the procurement and integration of infrared search and track pods;

(17) \$50,000,000 for the procurement and integration of additional F-15EX conformal fuel tanks;

(18) \$600,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of Air Force long-range strike aircraft; and

(19) \$500,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of Navy long-range strike aircraft.

SEC. 20008. ENHANCEMENT OF RESOURCES FOR NUCLEAR FORCES.

(a) **DOD APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$2,500,000,000 for risk reduction activities for the Sentinel intercontinental ballistic missile program;

(2) \$4,500,000,000 only for expansion of production capacity of B-21 long-range bomber aircraft and the purchase of aircraft only available through the expansion of production capacity;

(3) \$500,000,000 for improvements to the Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile system;

(4) \$100,000,000 for capability enhancements to intercontinental ballistic missile reentry vehicles;

(5) \$148,000,000 for the expansion of D5 missile motor production;

(6) \$400,000,000 to accelerate the development of Trident D5LE2 submarine-launched ballistic missiles;

(7) \$2,000,000,000 to accelerate the development, procurement, and integration of the nuclear-armed sea-launched cruise missile;

(8) \$62,000,000 to convert Ohio-class submarine tubes to accept additional missiles, not to be obligated before March 1, 2026;

(9) \$168,000,000 to accelerate the production of the Survivable Airborne Operations Center program;

(10) \$65,000,000 to accelerate the modernization of nuclear command, control, and communications;

(11) \$210,300,000 for the increased production of MH-139 helicopters; and

(12) \$150,000,000 to accelerate the development, procurement, and integration of military nuclear weapons delivery programs.

(b) **NNSA APPROPRIATIONS.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$200,000,000 to perform National Nuclear Security Administration Phase 1 studies pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(2) \$540,000,000 to address deferred maintenance and repair needs of the National Nuclear Security Administration pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(3) \$1,000,000,000 to accelerate the construction of National Nuclear Security Administration facilities pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(4) \$400,000,000 to accelerate the development, procurement, and integration of the warhead for the nuclear-armed sea-launched cruise missile pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(5) \$750,000,000 to accelerate primary capability modernization pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(6) \$750,000,000 to accelerate secondary capability modernization pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(7) \$120,000,000 to accelerate domestic uranium enrichment centrifuge deployment for defense purposes pursuant to section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401);

(8) \$10,000,000 for National Nuclear Security Administration evaluation of spent fuel reprocessing technology; and

(9) \$115,000,000 for accelerating nuclear national security missions through artificial intelligence.

SEC. 20009. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES TO IMPROVE CAPABILITIES OF UNITED STATES INDO-PACIFIC COMMAND.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$365,000,000 for Army exercises and operations in the Western Pacific area of operations;

(2) \$53,000,000 for Special Operations Command exercises and operations in the Western Pacific area of operations;

(3) \$47,000,000 for Marine Corps exercises and operations in Western Pacific area of operations;

(4) \$90,000,000 for Air Force exercises and operations in Western Pacific area of operations;

(5) \$532,600,000 for the Pacific Air Force biennial large-scale exercise;

(6) \$19,000,000 for the development of naval small craft capabilities;

(7) \$35,000,000 for military additive manufacturing capabilities in the United States Indo-Pacific Command area of operations west of the international dateline;

(8) \$450,000,000 for the development of airfields within the area of operations of United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(9) \$1,100,000,000 for development of infrastructure within the area of operations of United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(10) \$124,000,000 for mission networks for United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(11) \$100,000,000 for Air Force regionally based cluster pre-position base kits;

(12) \$115,000,000 for exploration and development of existing Arctic infrastructure;

(13) \$90,000,000 for the accelerated development of non-kinetic capabilities;

(14) \$20,000,000 for United States Indo-Pacific Command military exercises;

(15) \$143,000,000 for anti-submarine sonar arrays;

(16) \$30,000,000 for surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities for United States Africa Command;

(17) \$30,000,000 for surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities for United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(18) \$500,000,000 for the development, coordination, and deployment of economic competition effects within the Department of Defense;

(19) \$10,000,000 for the expansion of Department of Defense workforce for economic competition;

(20) \$1,000,000,000 for offensive cyber operations;

(21) \$500,000,000 for personnel and operations costs associated with forces assigned to United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(22) \$300,000,000 for the procurement of mesh network communications capabilities for Special Operations Command Pacific;

(23) \$850,000,000 for the replenishment of military articles;

(24) \$200,000,000 for acceleration of Guam Defense System program;

(25) \$68,000,000 for Space Force facilities improvements;

(26) \$150,000,000 for ground moving target indicator military satellites;

(27) \$528,000,000 for DARC and SILENTBARKER military space situational awareness programs;

(28) \$80,000,000 for Navy Operational Support Division;

(29) \$1,000,000,000 for the X-37B military spacecraft program;

(30) \$3,650,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of United States military satellites and the protection of United States military satellites.

(31) \$125,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of military space communications.

(32) \$350,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of military space command and control systems.

SEC. 20010. ENHANCEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESOURCES FOR IMPROVING THE READINESS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$1,400,000,000 for a pilot program on OPN-8 maritime spares and repair rotatable pool;

(2) \$700,000,000 for a pilot program on OPN-8 maritime spares and repair rotatable pool for amphibious ships;

(3) \$2,118,000,000 for spares and repairs to keep Air Force aircraft mission capable;

(4) \$1,500,000,000 for Army depot modernization and capacity enhancement;

(5) \$2,000,000,000 for Navy depot and shipyard modernization and capacity enhancement;

(6) \$250,000,000 for Air Force depot modernization and capacity enhancement;

(7) \$1,640,000,000 for Special Operations Command equipment, readiness, and operations;

(8) \$500,000,000 for National Guard unit readiness;

(9) \$400,000,000 for Marine Corps readiness and capabilities;

(10) \$20,000,000 for upgrades to Marine Corps utility helicopters;

(11) \$310,000,000 for next-generation vertical lift, assault, and intra-theater aeromedical evacuation aircraft;

(12) \$75,000,000 for the procurement of anti-lock braking systems for Army wheeled transport vehicles;

(13) \$230,000,000 for the procurement of Army wheeled combat vehicles;

(14) \$63,000,000 for the development of advanced rotary-wing engines;

(15) \$241,000,000 for the development, procurement, and integration of Marine Corps amphibious vehicles;

(16) \$250,000,000 for the procurement of Army tracked combat transport vehicles;

(17) \$98,000,000 for additional Army light rotary-wing capabilities;

(18) \$1,500,000,000 for increased depot maintenance and shipyard maintenance activities;

(19) \$2,500,000,000 for Air Force facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization;

(20) \$92,500,000 for the completion of Robotic Combat Vehicle prototyping;

(21) \$125,000,000 for Army operations;

(22) \$10,000,000 for the Air Force Concepts, Development, and Management Office; and

(23) \$320,000,000 for Joint Special Operations Command.

SEC. 20011. IMPROVING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BORDER SUPPORT AND COUNTER-DRUG MISSIONS.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$1,000,000,000 for the deployment of military personnel in support of border operations, operations and maintenance activities in support of border operations, counter-narcotics and counter-transnational criminal organization mission support, the operation of national defense areas and construction in national defense areas, and the temporary detention of migrants on Department of Defense installations, in accordance with chapter 15 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 20012. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSIGHT.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$10,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, to monitor Department of Defense activities for which funding is appropriated in this title, including—

(1) programs with mutual technological dependencies;

(2) programs with related data management and data ownership considerations; and

(3) programs particularly vulnerable to supply chain disruptions and long lead time components.

SEC. 20013. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AUTHORIZED.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of each military department (as defined in section 101(a) of

title 10, United States Code) as specified in this title.

(b) **SPENDING PLAN.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this title, the Secretary of each military department shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a detailed spending plan by project for all funds made available by this title to be expended on military construction projects.

TITLE III—COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

SEC. 30001. FUNDING CAP FOR THE BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION.

Section 1017(a)(2)(A)(iii) of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 (12 U.S.C. 5497(a)(2)(A)(iii)) is amended by striking “12” and inserting “6.5”.

SEC. 30002. RESCISSION OF FUNDS FOR GREEN AND RESILIENT RETROFIT PROGRAM FOR MULTIFAMILY HOUSING.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available under section 30002(a) of the Act entitled “An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of S. Con. Res. 14”, approved August 16, 2022 (Public Law 117–169; 136 Stat. 2027) are rescinded.

SEC. 30003. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RESERVE FUND.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78d) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (i); and
(2) by redesignating subsections (j) and (k) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 21F(g)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78u–6(g)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **USE OF FUND.**—The Fund shall be available to the Commission, without further appropriation or fiscal year limitation, for paying awards to whistleblowers as provided in subsection (b).”

(c) **TRANSITION PROVISION.**—During the period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending on October 1, 2025, the Securities and Exchange Commission may expend amounts in the Securities and Exchange Commission Reserve Fund that were obligated before the date of enactment of this Act for any program, project, or activity that is ongoing (as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act) in accordance with subsection (i) of section 4 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78d), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) **TRANSFER OF REMAINING AMOUNTS.**—Effective on October 1, 2025, the obligated and unobligated balances of amounts in the Securities and Exchange Commission Reserve Fund shall be transferred to the general fund of the Treasury.

(e) **CLOSING OF ACCOUNT.**—For the purposes of section 1555 of title 31, United States Code, the Securities and Exchange Commission Reserve Fund shall be considered closed, and thereafter shall not be available for obligation or expenditure for any purpose, upon execution of the transfer required under subsection (d).

SEC. 30004. APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2025, out of amounts not otherwise appropriated, \$1,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2027, to carry out the Defense Production Act (50 U.S.C. 4501 et seq.).

TITLE IV—COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

SEC. 40001. COAST GUARD MISSION READINESS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“Subchapter V—Coast Guard Mission Readiness

“§ 1181. Special appropriations

“In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$24,593,500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1105(a) and sections 1131, 1132, 1133, and 1156, to use expedited processes to procure or acquire new operational assets and systems, to maintain existing assets and systems, to design, construct, plan, engineer, and improve necessary shore infrastructure, and to enhance operational resilience for monitoring, search and rescue, interdiction, hardening of maritime approaches, and navigational safety, of which—

“(1) \$1,142,500,000 is provided for procurement and acquisition of fixed-wing aircraft, equipment related to such aircraft and training simulators and program management for such aircraft, to provide for security of the maritime border;

“(2) \$2,283,000,000 is provided for procurement and acquisition of rotary-wing aircraft, equipment related to such aircraft and training simulators and program management for such aircraft, to provide for security of the maritime border;

“(3) \$266,000,000 is provided for procurement and acquisition of long-range unmanned aircraft and base stations, equipment related to such aircraft and base stations, and program management for such aircraft and base stations, to provide for security of the maritime border;

“(4) \$4,300,000,000 is provided for procurement of Offshore Patrol Cutters, equipment related to such cutters, and program management for such cutters, to provide operational presence and security of the maritime border and for interdiction of persons and controlled substances;

“(5) \$1,000,000,000 is provided for procurement of Fast Response Cutters, equipment related to such cutters, and program management for such cutters, to provide operational presence and security of the maritime border and for interdiction of persons and controlled substances;

“(6) \$4,300,000,000 is provided for procurement of Polar Security Cutters, equipment related to such cutters, and program management for such cutters, to ensure timely presence of the Coast Guard in the Arctic and Antarctic regions;

“(7) \$3,500,000,000 is provided for procurement of Arctic Security Cutters, equipment related to such cutters, and program management for such cutters, to ensure timely presence of the Coast Guard in the Arctic and Antarctic regions;

“(8) \$816,000,000 is provided for procurement of light and medium icebreaking cutters, and equipment relating to such cutters, from shipyards that have demonstrated success in the cost-effective application of design standards and in delivering, on schedule and within budget, vessels of a size and tonnage that are not less than the size and tonnage of the cutters described in this paragraph, and for program management for such cutters, to expand domestic icebreaking capacity;

“(9) \$162,000,000 is provided for procurement of Waterways Commerce Cutters, equipment related to such cutters, and program management for such cutters, to support aids to navigation, waterways and coastal security, and search and rescue in inland waterways;

“(10) \$4,379,000,000 is provided for design, planning, engineering, recapitalization, construction, rebuilding, and improvement of, and program management for, shore facilities, of which—

“(A) \$425,000,000 is provided for design, planning, engineering, construction of, and program management for—

“(i) the enlisted boot camp barracks and multi-use training center; and

“(ii) other related facilities at the enlisted boot camp;

“(B) \$500,000,000 is provided for—

“(i) construction, improvement, and dredging at the Coast Guard Yard; and

“(ii) acquisition of a floating drydock for the Coast Guard Yard;

“(C) not more than \$2,729,500,000 is provided for homeports and hangars for cutters and aircraft for which funds are appropriated under paragraph (1) through (9); and

“(D) \$300,000,000 is provided for homeporting of the existing polar icebreaker commissioned into service in 2025;

“(11) \$2,200,000,000 is provided for aviation, cutter, and shore facility depot maintenance and maintenance of command, control, communication, computer, and cyber assets;

“(12) \$170,000,000 is provided for improving maritime domain awareness on the maritime border, at United States ports, at land-based facilities and in the cyber domain; and

“(13) \$75,000,000 is provided to contract the services of, acquire, or procure autonomous maritime systems.”

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER V—COAST GUARD MISSION READINESS

“1181. Special appropriations.”

SEC. 40002. SPECTRUM AUCTIONS.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ASSISTANT SECRETARY.**—The term “Assistant Secretary” means the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information.

(2) **COMMISSION.**—The term “Commission” means the Federal Communications Commission.

(3) **COVERED BAND.**—The term “covered band”—

(A) except as provided in subparagraph (B), means the band of frequencies between 1.3 gigahertz and 10.5 gigahertz; and

(B) does not include—

(i) the band of frequencies between 3.1 gigahertz and 3.45 gigahertz for purposes of auction, reallocation, modification, or withdrawal; or

(ii) the band of frequencies between 7.4 gigahertz and 8.4 gigahertz for purposes of auction, reallocation, modification, or withdrawal.

(4) **FULL-POWER COMMERCIAL LICENSED USE CASES.**—The term “full-power commercial licensed use cases” means flexible use wireless broadband services with base station power levels sufficient for high-power, high-density, and wide-area commercial mobile services, consistent with the service rules under part 27 of title 47, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulations, for wireless broadband deployments throughout the covered band.

(b) **GENERAL AUCTION AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **AMENDMENT.**—Section 309(j)(11) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 309(j)(11)) is amended by striking “grant a license or permit under this subsection shall expire March 9, 2023” and all that follows and inserting the following: “complete a system of competitive bidding under this subsection shall expire September 30, 2034, except that, with respect to the electromagnetic spectrum—”

“(A) between the frequencies of 3.1 gigahertz and 3.45 gigahertz, such authority shall not apply; and

“(B) between the frequencies of 7.4 gigahertz and 8.4 gigahertz, such authority shall not apply.”

(2) **SPECTRUM AUCTIONS.**—The Commission shall grant licenses through systems of competitive bidding, before the expiration of the general auction authority of the Commission under section 309(j)(11) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 309(j)(11)), as amended by paragraph (1) of this subsection, for not less than 300 megahertz, including by completing a system of competitive bidding not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act for not less than 100 megahertz in the band between 3.98 gigahertz and 4.2 gigahertz.

(c) IDENTIFICATION FOR REALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Assistant Secretary, in consultation with the Commission, shall identify 500 megahertz of frequencies in the covered band for reallocation to non-Federal use, shared Federal and non-Federal use, or a combination thereof, for full-power commercial licensed use cases, that—

(A) as of the date of enactment of this Act, are allocated for Federal use; and

(B) shall be in addition to the 300 megahertz of frequencies for which the Commission grants licenses under subsection (b)(2).

(2) SCHEDULE.—The Assistant Secretary shall identify the frequencies under paragraph (1) according to the following schedule:

(A) Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary shall identify not less than 200 megahertz of frequencies within the covered band.

(B) Not later than 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary shall identify any remaining bandwidth required to be identified under paragraph (1).

(3) REQUIRED ANALYSIS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In determining under paragraph (1) which specific frequencies within the covered band to reallocate, the Assistant Secretary shall determine the feasibility of the reallocation of frequencies.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the analysis under subparagraph (A), the Assistant Secretary shall assess net revenue potential, relocation or sharing costs, as applicable, and the feasibility of reallocating specific frequencies, with the goal of identifying the best approach to maximize net proceeds of systems of competitive bidding for the Treasury, consistent with section 309(j) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 309(j)).

(d) AUCTIONS.—The Commission shall grant licenses for the frequencies identified for reallocation under subsection (c) through systems of competitive bidding in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) Not later than 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall, after notifying the Assistant Secretary, complete 1 or more systems of competitive bidding for not less than 200 megahertz of the frequencies.

(2) Not later than 8 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall, after notifying the Assistant Secretary, complete 1 or more systems of competitive bidding for any frequencies identified under subsection (c) that remain to be auctioned after compliance with paragraph (1) of this subsection.

(e) LIMITATION.—The President shall modify or withdraw any frequency proposed for reallocation under this section not later than 60 days before the commencement of a system of competitive bidding scheduled by the Commission with respect to that frequency, if the President determines that such modification or withdrawal is necessary to protect the national security of the United States.

(f) APPROPRIATION.—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$50,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2034, to provide additional support to the Assistant Secretary to—

(1) conduct a timely spectrum analysis of the bands of frequencies—

(A) between 2.7 gigahertz and 2.9 gigahertz;

(B) between 4.4 gigahertz and 4.9 gigahertz; and

(C) between 7.25 gigahertz and 7.4 gigahertz; and

(2) publish a biennial report, with the last report to be published not later than June 30, 2034, on the value of all spectrum used by Federal entities (as defined in section 113(l) of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration Organization Act (47 U.S.C. 923(l))), that assesses the value of bands of frequencies in increments of not more than 100 megahertz.

SEC. 40003. AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of the acquisition, construction, sustainment, and improvement of facilities and equipment necessary to improve or maintain aviation safety, in addition to amounts otherwise made available, there is appropriated to the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$4,750,000,000 for telecommunications infrastructure modernization and systems upgrades;

(2) \$3,000,000,000 for radar systems replacement;

(3) \$500,000,000 for runway safety technologies, runway lighting systems, airport surface surveillance technologies, and to carry out section 347 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024;

(4) \$300,000,000 for Enterprise Information Display Systems;

(5) \$80,000,000 to acquire and install not less than 50 Automated Weather Observing Systems, to acquire and install not less than 60 Visual Weather Observing Systems, to acquire and install not less than 64 weather camera sites, and to acquire and install weather stations;

(6) \$40,000,000 to carry out section 44745 of title 49, United States Code, (except for activities described in paragraph (5));

(7) \$1,900,000,000 for necessary actions to construct a new air route traffic control center (in this subsection referred to as “ARTCC”): Provided, That not more than 2 percent of such amount is used for planning or administrative purposes: Provided further, That at least 3 existing ARTCCs are divested and integrated into the newly constructed ARTCC;

(8) \$100,000,000 to conduct an ARTCC Realignment and Consolidation Effort under which at least 10 existing ARTCCs are closed or consolidated to facilitate recapitalization of ARTCC facilities owned and operated by the Federal Aviation Administration;

(9) \$1,000,000,000 to support recapitalization and consolidation of terminal radar approach control facilities (in this subsection referred to as “TRACONs”), the analysis and identification of TRACONs for divestment, consolidation, or integration, planning, site selection, facility acquisition, and transition activities and other appropriate activities for carrying out such divestment, consolidation, or integration, and the establishment of brand new TRACONs;

(10) \$350,000,000 for unstaffed infrastructure sustainment and replacement;

(11) \$50,000,000 to carry out section 961 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024;

(12) \$300,000,000 to carry out section 619 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024;

(13) \$50,000,000 to carry out section 621 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024 and to deploy remote tower technology at untowered airports; and

(14) \$100,000,000 for air traffic controller advanced training technologies.

(b) QUARTERLY REPORTING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall submit to Congress a report that describes any expenditures under this section.

SEC. 40004. SPACE LAUNCH AND REENTRY LICENSING AND PERMITTING USER FEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 509 of title 51, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§50924. Space launch and reentry licensing and permitting user fees

“(a) FEES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Transportation shall impose a fee, which shall be deposited in the account established under subsection

(b), on each launch or reentry carried out under a license or permit issued under section 50904 during 2026 or a subsequent year, in an amount equal to the lesser of—

“(A) the amount specified in paragraph (2) for the year involved per pound of the weight of the payload; or

“(B) the amount specified in paragraph (3) for the year involved.

“(2) PARAGRAPH (2) SPECIFIED AMOUNT.—The amount specified in this paragraph is—

“(A) for 2026, \$0.25;

“(B) for 2027, \$0.35;

“(C) for 2028, \$0.50;

“(D) for 2029, \$0.60;

“(E) for 2030, \$0.75;

“(F) for 2031, \$1;

“(G) for 2032, \$1.25;

“(H) for 2033, \$1.50; and

“(I) for 2034 and each subsequent year, the amount specified in this paragraph for the previous year increased by the percentage increase in the consumer price index for all urban consumers (all items; United States city average) over the previous year.

“(3) PARAGRAPH (3) SPECIFIED AMOUNT.—The amount specified in this paragraph is—

“(A) for 2026, \$30,000;

“(B) for 2027, \$40,000;

“(C) for 2028, \$50,000;

“(D) for 2029, \$75,000;

“(E) for 2030, \$100,000;

“(F) for 2031, \$125,000;

“(G) for 2032, \$170,000;

“(H) for 2033, \$200,000; and

“(I) for 2034 and each subsequent year, the amount specified in this paragraph for the previous year increased by the percentage increase in the consumer price index for all urban consumers (all items; United States city average) over the previous year.

“(b) OFFICE OF COMMERCIAL SPACE TRANSPORTATION LAUNCH AND REENTRY LICENSING AND PERMITTING FUND.—There is established in the Treasury of the United States a separate account, which shall be known as the ‘Office of Commercial Space Transportation Launch and Reentry Licensing and Permitting Fund’, for the purposes of expenses of the Office of Commercial Space Transportation of the Federal Aviation Administration and to carry out section 630(b) of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024. 70 percent of the amounts deposited into the fund shall be available for such purposes and shall be available without further appropriation and without fiscal year limitation.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 509 of title 51, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 50923 the following:

“50924. Space launch and reentry licensing and permitting user fees.”.

SEC. 40005. MARS MISSIONS, ARTEMIS MISSIONS, AND MOON TO MARS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 203 of title 51, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§20306. Special appropriations for Mars missions, Artemis missions, and Moon to Mars program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Administration for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$9,995,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2032, to use as follows:

“(1) \$700,000,000, to be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026, for the procurement, using a competitively bid, firm fixed-price contract with a United States commercial provider (as defined in section 50101(7)), of a high-performance Mars telecommunications orbiter—

“(A) that—

“(i) is capable of providing robust, continuous communications for—

“(I) a Mars sample return mission, as described in section 432(3)(C) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Transition

Authorization Act of 2017 (51 U.S.C. 20302 note; Public Law 115–10); and

“(I) future Mars surface, orbital, and human exploration missions;

“(ii) supports autonomous operations, on-board processing, and extended mission duration capabilities; and

“(iii) is selected from among the commercial proposals that—

“(I) received funding from the Administration in fiscal year 2024 or 2025 for commercial design studies for Mars Sample Return; and

“(II) proposed a separate, independently launched Mars telecommunication orbiter supporting an end-to-end Mars sample return mission; and

“(B) which shall be delivered to the Administration not later than December 31, 2028.

“(2) \$2,600,000,000 to meet the requirements of section 20302(a) using the program of record known, as of the date of the enactment of this section, as ‘Gateway’, and as described in section 10811(b)(2)(B)(iv) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2022 (51 U.S.C. 20302 note; Public Law 117–167), of which not less than \$750,000,000 shall be obligated for each of fiscal years 2026, 2027, and 2028.

“(3) \$4,100,000,000 for expenses related to meeting the requirements of section 10812 of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2022 (51 U.S.C. 20301; Public Law 117–167) for the procurement, transportation, integration, operation, and other necessary expenses of the Space Launch System for Artemis Missions IV and V, of which not less than \$1,025,000,000 shall be obligated for each of fiscal years 2026, 2027, 2028, and 2029.

“(4) \$20,000,000 for expenses related to the continued procurement of the multi-purpose crew vehicle described in section 303 of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2010 (42 U.S.C. 18323), known as the ‘Orion’, for use with the Space Launch System on the Artemis IV Mission and reuse in subsequent Artemis Missions, of which not less than \$20,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026.

“(5) \$1,250,000,000 for expenses related to the operation of the International Space Station and for the purpose of meeting the requirement under section 503(a) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2010 (42 U.S.C. 18353(a)), of which not less than \$250,000,000 shall be obligated for such expenses for each of fiscal years 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, and 2029.

“(6) \$1,000,000,000 for infrastructure improvements at the manned spaceflight centers of the Administration, of which not less than—

“(A) \$120,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026 for construction, revitalization, recapitalization, or other infrastructure projects and improvements at the center described in Executive Order 12641 (53 Fed. Reg. 18816; relating to designating certain facilities of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in the State of Mississippi as the John C. Stennis Space Center);

“(B) \$250,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026 for construction, revitalization, recapitalization, or other infrastructure projects and improvements at the center described in Executive Order 11129 (28 Fed. Reg. 12787; relating to designating certain facilities of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and of the Department of Defense, in the State of Florida, as the John F. Kennedy Space Center);

“(C) \$300,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026 for construction, revitalization, recapitalization, or other infrastructure projects and improvements at the center described in the Joint Resolution entitled ‘Joint Resolution to designate the Manned Spacecraft Center in Houston, Texas, as the “Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center” in honor of the late President’, approved February 17, 1973 (Public Law 93–8; 87 Stat. 7);

“(D) \$100,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026 for construction, revitalization, recapitalization, or other infrastructure projects and improvements at the center described in Executive Order 10870 (25 Fed. Reg. 2197; relating to designating the facilities of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration at Huntsville, Alabama, as the George C. Marshall Space Flight Center);

“(E) \$30,000,000 shall be obligated not later than fiscal year 2026 for construction, revitalization, recapitalization, or other infrastructure projects and improvements at the Michoud Assembly Facility in New Orleans, Louisiana; and

“(F) \$85,000,000 shall be obligated to carry out subsection (b), of which not less than \$5,000,000 shall be obligated for the transportation of the space vehicle described in that subsection, with the remainder transferred not later than the date that is 18 months after the date of the enactment of this section to the entity designated under that subsection, for the purpose of construction of a facility to house the space vehicle referred to in that subsection.

“(7) \$325,000,000 to fulfill contract number 80JSC024CA002 issued by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration on June 26, 2024.

“(b) SPACE VEHICLE TRANSFER.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Administrator shall identify a space vehicle described in paragraph (2) to be—

“(A) transferred to a field center of the Administration that is involved in the administration of the Commercial Crew Program (as described in section 302 of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Transition Authorization Act of 2017 (51 U.S.C. 50111 note; Public Law 115–10)); and

“(B) placed on public exhibition at an entity within the Metropolitan Statistical Area where such center is located.

“(2) SPACE VEHICLE DESCRIBED.—A space vehicle described in this paragraph is a vessel that—

“(A) has flown into space;

“(B) has carried astronauts; and

“(C) is selected with the concurrence of an entity designated by the Administrator.

“(3) TRANSFER.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this section, the space vehicle identified under paragraph (1) shall be transferred to an entity designated by the Administrator.

“(c) OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated under subsection (a) shall be obligated as follows:

“(1) Not less than 50 percent of the total funds in subsection (a) shall be obligated not later than September 30, 2028.

“(2) 100 percent of funds shall be obligated not later than September 30, 2029.

“(3) All associated outlays shall occur not later than September 30, 2034.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 203 of title 51, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“20306. Special appropriations for Mars missions, Artemis missions, and Moon to Mars program.”.

SEC. 40006. CORPORATE AVERAGE FUEL ECONOMY CIVIL PENALTIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 32912 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “\$5” and inserting “\$0.00”; and

(2) in subsection (c)(1)(B), by striking “\$10” and inserting “\$0.00”.

(b) EFFECT; APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall—

(1) take effect on the date of enactment of this section; and

(2) apply to all model years of a manufacturer for which the Secretary of Transportation has not provided a notification pursuant to section

32903(b)(2)(B) of title 49, United States Code, specifying the penalty due for the average fuel economy of that manufacturer being less than the applicable standard prescribed under section 32902 of that title.

SEC. 40007. PAYMENTS FOR LEASE OF METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON AIRPORTS.

Section 49104(b) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) PAYMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), under the lease, the Airports Authority must pay to the general fund of the Treasury annually an amount, computed using the GNP Price Deflator—

“(A) during the period from 1987 to 2026, equal to \$3,000,000 in 1987 dollars; and

“(B) for 2027 and subsequent years, equal to \$15,000,000 in 2027 dollars.

“(2) RENEGOTIATION.—The Secretary and the Airports Authority shall renegotiate the level of lease payments at least once every 10 years to ensure that in no year the amount specified in paragraph (1)(B) is less than \$15,000,000 in 2027 dollars.”.

SEC. 40008. RESCISSION OF CERTAIN AMOUNTS FOR THE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION.

Any unobligated balances of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available by sections 40001, 40002, 40003, and 40004 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2028) are hereby rescinded.

SEC. 40009. REDUCTION IN ANNUAL TRANSFERS TO TRAVEL PROMOTION FUND.

Subsection (d)(2)(B) of the Travel Promotion Act of 2009 (22 U.S.C. 2131(d)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

SEC. 40010. TREATMENT OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND LOW-EMISSION AVIATION TECHNOLOGY.

Out of the amounts made available by section 40007(a) of title IV of Public Law 117–169 (49 U.S.C. 44504 note), any unobligated balances of such amounts are hereby rescinded.

SEC. 40011. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS APPROPRIATED TO PUBLIC WIRELESS SUPPLY CHAIN INNOVATION FUND.

Of the unobligated balances of amounts made available under section 106(a) of the CHIPS Act of 2022 (Public Law 117–167; 136 Stat. 1392), \$850,000,000 are permanently rescinded.

TITLE V—COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Subtitle A—Oil and Gas Leasing

SEC. 50101. ONSHORE OIL AND GAS LEASING.

(a) REPEAL OF INFLATION REDUCTION ACT PROVISIONS.—

(1) ONSHORE OIL AND GAS ROYALTY RATES.—Subsection (a) of section 50262 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2056) is repealed, and any provision of law amended or repealed by that subsection is restored or revived as if that subsection had not been enacted into law.

(2) NONCOMPETITIVE LEASING.—Subsection (e) of section 50262 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2057) is repealed, and any provision of law amended or repealed by that subsection is restored or revived as if that subsection had not been enacted into law.

(b) REQUIREMENT TO IMMEDIATELY RESUME ONSHORE OIL AND GAS LEASE SALES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior shall immediately resume quarterly onshore oil and gas lease sales in compliance with the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.).

(2) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of the Interior shall ensure—

(A) that any oil and gas lease sale required under paragraph (1) is conducted immediately on completion of all applicable scoping, public comment, and environmental analysis requirements under the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.); and

(B) that the processes described in subparagraph (A) are conducted in a timely manner to ensure compliance with subsection (b)(1).

(3) LEASE OF OIL AND GAS LANDS.—Section 17(b)(1)(A) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 226(b)(1)(A)), as amended by subsection (a), is amended by inserting “For purposes of the previous sentence, the term ‘eligible lands’ means all lands that are subject to leasing under this Act and are not excluded from leasing by a statutory prohibition, and the term ‘available’, with respect to eligible lands, means those lands that have been designated as open for leasing under a land use plan developed under section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712) and that have been nominated for leasing through the submission of an expression of interest, are subject to drainage in the absence of leasing, or are otherwise designated as available pursuant to regulations adopted by the Secretary.” after “sales are necessary.”.

(c) QUARTERLY LEASE SALES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), each fiscal year, the Secretary of the Interior shall conduct a minimum of 4 oil and gas lease sales of available land in each of the following States:

- (A) Wyoming.
- (B) New Mexico.
- (C) Colorado.
- (D) Utah.
- (E) Montana.
- (F) North Dakota.
- (G) Oklahoma.
- (H) Nevada.
- (I) Alaska.

(2) REQUIREMENT.—In conducting a lease sale under paragraph (1) in a State described in that paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior—

(A) shall offer not less than 50 percent of available parcels nominated for oil and gas development under the applicable resource management plan in effect for relevant Bureau of Land Management resource management areas within the applicable State; and

(B) shall not restrict the parcels offered to 1 Bureau of Land Management field office within the applicable State unless all nominated parcels are located within the same Bureau of Land Management field office.

(3) REPLACEMENT SALES.—The Secretary of the Interior shall conduct a replacement sale during the same fiscal year if—

(A) a lease sale under paragraph (1) is canceled, delayed, or deferred, including for a lack of eligible parcels; or

(B) during a lease sale under paragraph (1) the percentage of acreage that does not receive a bid is equal to or greater than 25 percent of the acreage offered.

(d) MINERAL LEASING ACT REFORMS.—Section 17 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 226), as amended by subsection (a), is amended—

(1) by striking the section designation and all that follows through the end of subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“SEC. 17. LEASING OF OIL AND GAS PARCELS.

“(a) LEASING AUTHORIZED.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any parcel of land subject to disposition under this Act that is known or believed to contain oil or gas deposits shall be made available for leasing, subject to paragraph (2), by the Secretary of the Interior, not later than 18 months after the date of receipt by the Secretary of an expression of interest in leasing the applicable parcel of land available for disposition under this section, if the Secretary determines that the parcel of land is open to oil or gas leasing under the approved resource management plan applicable to the planning area in which the parcel of land is located that is in effect on the date on which the expression of interest was submitted to the Secretary (referred to in this subsection as the ‘approved resource management plan’).

“(2) RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANS.—

“(A) LEASE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—A lease issued by the Secretary under this section with respect to an applicable parcel of land made available for leasing under paragraph (1)—

“(i) shall be subject to the terms and conditions of the approved resource management plan; and

“(ii) may not require any stipulations or mitigation requirements not included in the approved resource management plan.

“(B) EFFECT OF AMENDMENT.—The initiation of an amendment to an approved resource management plan shall not prevent or delay the Secretary from making the applicable parcel of land available for leasing in accordance with that approved resource management plan if the other requirements of this section have been met, as determined by the Secretary.”;

(2) in subsection (p), by adding at the end the following:

“(4) TERM.—A permit to drill approved under this subsection shall be valid for a single, non-renewable 4-year period beginning on the date that the permit to drill is approved.”; and

(3) by striking subsection (q) and inserting the following:

“(q) COMMINGLING OF PRODUCTION.—The Secretary of the Interior shall approve applications allowing for the commingling of production from 2 or more sources (including the area of an oil and gas lease, the area included in a drilling spacing unit, a unit participating area, a communitized area, or non-Federal property) before production reaches the point of royalty measurement regardless of ownership, the royalty rates, and the number or percentage of acres for each source if the applicant agrees to install measurement devices for each source, utilize an allocation method that achieves volume measurement uncertainty levels within plus or minus 2 percent during the production phase reported on a monthly basis, or utilize an approved periodic well testing methodology. Production from multiple oil and gas leases, drilling spacing units, communitized areas, or participating areas from a single wellbore shall be considered a single source. Nothing in this subsection shall prevent the Secretary of the Interior from continuing the current practice of exercising discretion to authorize higher percentage volume measurement uncertainty levels if appropriate technical and economic justifications have been provided.”.

SEC. 50102. OFFSHORE OIL AND GAS LEASING.

(a) LEASE SALES.—

(1) GULF OF AMERICA REGION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the 2024–2029 National Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Program (and any successor leasing program that does not satisfy the requirements of this section), in addition to lease sales which may be held under that program, and except within areas subject to existing oil and gas leasing moratoria, the Secretary of the Interior shall conduct a minimum of 30 region-wide oil and gas lease sales, in a manner consistent with the schedule described in subparagraph (B), in the region identified in the map depicting lease terms and economic conditions accompanying the final notice of sale of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management entitled “Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Region-Wide Oil and Gas Lease Sale 254” (85 Fed. Reg. 8010 (February 12, 2020)).

(B) TIMING REQUIREMENT.—Of the not fewer than 30 region-wide lease sales required under this paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior shall—

(i) hold not fewer than 1 lease sale in the region described in subparagraph (A) by December 15, 2025;

(ii) hold not fewer than 2 lease sales in that region in each of calendar years 2026 through 2039, 1 of which shall be held by March 15 of the applicable calendar year and 1 of which shall be held after March 15 but not later than August 15 of the applicable calendar year; and

(iii) hold not fewer than 1 lease sale in that region in calendar year 2040, which shall be held by March 15, 2040.

(2) ALASKA REGION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior shall conduct a minimum of 6 offshore lease sales, in a manner consistent with the schedule described in subparagraph (B), in the Cook Inlet Planning Area as identified in the 2017–2022 Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Proposed Final Program published on November 18, 2016, by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (as announced in the notice of availability of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management entitled “Notice of Availability of the 2017–2022 Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Proposed Final Program” (81 Fed. Reg. 84612 (November 23, 2016))).

(B) TIMING REQUIREMENT.—Of the not fewer than 6 lease sales required under this paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior shall hold not fewer than 1 lease sale in the area described in subparagraph (A) in each of calendar years 2026 through 2028, and in each of calendar years 2030 through 2032, by March 15 of the applicable calendar year.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) TERMS AND STIPULATIONS FOR GULF OF AMERICA SALES.—In conducting lease sales under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary of the Interior—

(A) shall, subject to subparagraph (C), offer the same lease form, lease terms, economic conditions, and lease stipulations 4 through 9 as contained in the final notice of sale of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management entitled “Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf Region-Wide Oil and Gas Lease Sale 254” (85 Fed. Reg. 8010 (February 12, 2020));

(B) may update lease stipulations 1 through 3 and 10 described in that final notice of sale to reflect current conditions for lease sales conducted under subsection (a)(1);

(C) shall set the royalty rate at not less than 12½ percent but not greater than 16½ percent; and

(D) shall, for a lease in water depths of 800 meters or deeper issued as a result of a sale, set the primary term for 10 years.

(2) TERMS AND STIPULATIONS FOR ALASKA REGION SALES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In conducting lease sales under subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of the Interior shall offer the same lease form, lease terms, economic conditions, and stipulations as contained in the final notice of sale of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management entitled “Cook Inlet Planning Area Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sale 244” (82 Fed. Reg. 23291 (May 22, 2017)).

(B) REVENUE SHARING.—Notwithstanding section 8(g) and section 9 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1337(g), 1338), and beginning in fiscal year 2034, of the bonuses, rents, royalties, and other revenues derived from lease sales conducted under subsection (a)(2)—

(i) 70 percent shall be paid to the State of Alaska; and

(ii) 30 percent shall be deposited in the Treasury and credited to miscellaneous receipts.

(3) AREA OFFERED FOR LEASE.—

(A) GULF OF AMERICA REGION.—For each offshore lease sale conducted under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary of the Interior shall—

(i) offer not fewer than 80,000,000 acres; or

(ii) if there are fewer than 80,000,000 acres that are unleased and available, offer all unleased and available acres.

(B) ALASKA REGION.—For each offshore lease sale conducted under subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of the Interior shall—

(i) offer not fewer than 1,000,000 acres; or

(ii) if there are fewer than 1,000,000 acres that are unleased and available, offer all unleased and available acres.

(c) OFFSHORE COMMINGLING.—The Secretary of the Interior shall approve a request of an operator to commingle oil or gas production from multiple reservoirs within a single wellbore completed on the outer Continental Shelf in the Gulf of America Region unless the Secretary of the Interior determines that conclusive evidence establishes that the commingling—

(1) could not be conducted by the operator in a safe manner; or

(2) would result in an ultimate recovery from the applicable reservoirs to be reduced in comparison to the expected recovery of those reservoirs if they had not been commingled.

(d) OFFSHORE OIL AND GAS ROYALTY RATE.—(1) REPEAL.—Section 50261 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2056) is repealed, and any provision of law amended or repealed by that section is restored or revived as if that section had not been enacted into law.

(2) ROYALTY RATE.—Section 8(a)(1) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)) (as amended by paragraph (1)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “not less than 12½ per centum” and inserting “not less than 12½ percent, but not more than 16⅓ percent,”;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking “not less than 12½ per centum” and inserting “not less than 12½ percent, but not more than 16⅓ percent,”;

(C) in subparagraph (F), by striking “no less than 12½ per centum” and inserting “not less than 12½ percent, but not more than 16⅓ percent,”; and

(D) in subparagraph (H), by striking “no less than 12 and ½ per centum” and inserting “not less than 12½ percent, but not more than 16⅓ percent,”.

(e) LIMITATIONS ON AMOUNT OF DISTRIBUTED QUALIFIED OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF REVENUES.—Section 105(f)(1) of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (43 U.S.C. 1331 note; Public Law 109–432) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “2055.” and inserting “2024,”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) \$650,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2034; and

“(E) \$500,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2035 through 2055.”.

SEC. 50103. ROYALTIES ON EXTRACTED METHANE.

Section 50263 of Public Law 117–169 (30 U.S.C. 1727) is repealed.

SEC. 50104. ALASKA OIL AND GAS LEASING.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COASTAL PLAIN.—The term “Coastal Plain” has the meaning given the term in section 20001(a) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note).

(2) OIL AND GAS PROGRAM.—The term “oil and gas program” means the oil and gas program established under section 20001(b)(2) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note).

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management.

(b) LEASE SALES REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (3), in addition to the lease sales required under section 20001(c)(1)(A) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note), the Secretary shall conduct not fewer than 4 lease sales area-wide under the oil and gas program by not later than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—In conducting lease sales under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall offer the same terms and conditions as contained in the record of decision described in the notice of availability of the Bureau of Land Management entitled “Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program, Alaska” (85 Fed. Reg. 51754 (August 21, 2020)).

(3) SALE ACRES; SCHEDULE.—

(A) ACRES.—In conducting the lease sales required under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall offer for lease under the oil and gas program—

(i) not fewer than 400,000 acres area-wide in each lease sale; and

(ii) those areas that have the highest potential for the discovery of hydrocarbons.

(B) SCHEDULE.—The Secretary shall offer—

(i) the initial lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act;

(ii) a second lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act;

(iii) a third lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(iv) a fourth lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than 7 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(4) RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—Section 20001(c)(2) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note) shall apply to leases awarded under this subsection.

(5) SURFACE DEVELOPMENT.—Section 20001(c)(3) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note) shall apply to leases awarded under this subsection.

(c) RECEIPTS.—Notwithstanding section 35 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 191) and section 20001(b)(5) of Public Law 115–97 (16 U.S.C. 3143 note), of the amount of adjusted bonus, rental, and royalty receipts derived from the oil and gas program and operations on the Coastal Plain pursuant to this section—

(1)(A) for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2033, 50 percent shall be paid to the State of Alaska; and

(B) for fiscal year 2034 and each fiscal year thereafter, 70 percent shall be paid to the State of Alaska; and

(2) the balance shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

SEC. 50105. NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE—ALASKA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) NPR—A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT.—The term “NPR—A final environmental impact statement” means the final environmental impact statement published by the Bureau of Land Management entitled “National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska Integrated Activity Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement” and dated June 2020, including the errata sheet dated October 6, 2020, and excluding the errata sheet dated September 20, 2022.

(2) NPR—A RECORD OF DECISION.—The term “NPR—A record of decision” means the record of decision published by the Bureau of Land Management entitled “National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska Integrated Activity Plan Record of Decision” and dated December 2020.

(3) PROGRAM.—The term “Program” means the competitive oil and gas leasing, exploration, development, and production program established under section 107 of the Naval Petroleum Reserves Production Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 6506a).

(4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) RESTORATION OF NPR—A OIL AND GAS LEASING PROGRAM.—Effective beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall expeditiously restore and resume oil and gas lease sales under the Program for domestic energy production and Federal revenue in the areas designated for oil and gas leasing as described in the NPR—A final environmental impact statement and the NPR—A record of decision.

(c) RESUMPTION OF NPR—A LEASE SALES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary shall conduct not fewer than 5 lease sales under the Program by not later than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) SALES ACRES; SCHEDULE.—

(A) ACRES.—In conducting the lease sales required under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall offer not fewer than 4,000,000 acres in each lease sale.

(B) SCHEDULE.—The Secretary shall offer—

(i) an initial lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(ii) an additional lease sale under paragraph (1) not later than every 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) TERMS AND STIPULATIONS FOR NPR—A LEASE SALES.—In conducting lease sales under subsection (c), the Secretary shall offer the same lease form, lease terms, economic conditions, and stipulations as described in the NPR—A final environmental impact statement and the NPR—A record of decision.

(e) RECEIPTS.—Section 107(l) of the Naval Petroleum Reserves Production Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 6506a(l)) is amended—

(1) by striking “All receipts from” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), all receipts from”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) PERCENT SHARE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2034 AND THEREAFTER.—Beginning in fiscal year 2034, of the receipts from sales, rentals, bonuses, and royalties on leases issued pursuant to this section after the date of enactment of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’ (119th Congress)—

“(A) 70 percent shall be paid to the State of Alaska; and

“(B) 30 percent shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States.”.

Subtitle B—Mining

SEC. 50201. COAL LEASING.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COAL LEASE.—The term “coal lease” means a lease entered into by the United States as lessor, through the Bureau of Land Management, and an applicant on Bureau of Land Management Form 3400–012 (or a successor form that contains the terms of a coal lease).

(2) QUALIFIED APPLICATION.—The term “qualified application” means an application for a coal lease pending as of the date of enactment of this Act or submitted within 90 days thereafter under the lease by application program administered by the Bureau of Land Management pursuant to the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) for which any required environmental review has commenced or the Director of the Bureau of Land Management determines can commence within 90 days after receiving the application.

(b) COAL LEASING ACTIVITIES.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior—

(1) shall—

(A) with respect to each qualified application—

(i) if not previously published for public comment, publish any required environmental review;

(ii) establish the fair market value of the applicable coal tract;

(iii) hold a lease sale with respect to the applicable coal tract; and

(iv) identify the highest bidder at or above the fair market value and take all other intermediate actions necessary to identify the winning bidder and grant the qualified application; and

(2) may—

(A) with respect to a previously issued coal lease, grant any additional approvals of the Department of the Interior required for mining activities to commence; and

(B) after completing the actions required by clauses (i) through (iv) of paragraph (1)(A), grant the qualified application and issue the applicable lease to the person that submitted the qualified application if that person submitted the winning bid in the lease sale held under clause (iii) of paragraph (1)(A).

SEC. 50202. COAL ROYALTY.

(a) RATE.—Section 7(a) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 207(a)) is amended, in the fourth sentence, by striking “12½ per centum” and inserting “12½ percent, except such amount shall be not more than 7 percent during the period that begins on the date of enactment of the

Act entitled 'An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14' (119th Congress) and ends September 30, 2034.'.

(b) **APPLICABILITY TO EXISTING LEASES.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to a coal lease—

(1) issued under section 2 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 201) before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) that has not been terminated.

(c) **ADVANCE ROYALTIES.**—With respect to a lease issued under section 2 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 201) for which the lessee has paid advance royalties under section 7(b) of that Act (30 U.S.C. 207(b)), the Secretary of the Interior shall provide to the lessee a credit for the difference between the amount paid by the lessee in advance royalties for the lease before the date of the enactment of this Act and the amount the lessee would have been required to pay if the amendment made by subsection (a) had been made before the lessee paid advance royalties for the lease.

SEC. 50203. LEASES FOR KNOWN RECOVERABLE COAL RESOURCES.

Notwithstanding section 2(a)(3)(A) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 201(a)(3)(A)) and section 202(a) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712(a)), not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall make available for lease known recoverable coal resources of not less than 4,000,000 additional acres on Federal land located in the 48 contiguous States and Alaska subject to the jurisdiction of the Secretary, but which shall not include any Federal land within—

- (1) a National Monument;
- (2) a National Recreation Area;
- (3) a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System;
- (4) a component of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System;
- (5) a component of the National Trails System;
- (6) a National Conservation Area;
- (7) a unit of the National Wildlife Refuge System;
- (8) a unit of the National Fish Hatchery System; or
- (9) a unit of the National Park System.

SEC. 50204. AUTHORIZATION TO MINE FEDERAL COAL.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION.**—In order to provide access to coal reserves in adjacent State or private land that without an authorization could not be mined economically, Federal coal reserves located in Federal land subject to a mining plan previously approved by the Secretary of the Interior as of the date of enactment of this Act and adjacent to coal reserves in adjacent State or private land are authorized to be mined.

(b) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall, without substantial modification, take such steps as are necessary to authorize the mining of Federal land described in subsection (a).

(c) **NEPA.**—Nothing in this section shall prevent a review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

Subtitle C—Lands

SEC. 50301. TIMBER SALES AND LONG-TERM CONTRACTING FOR THE FOREST SERVICE AND THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.

(a) **FOREST SERVICE.**—

(1) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(A) **FOREST PLAN.**—The term “forest plan” means a land and resource management plan prepared by the Secretary for a unit of the National Forest System pursuant to section 6 of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604).

(B) **NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The term “National Forest System” means land of the National Forest System (as defined in section 11(a) of the Forest

and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1609(a))) administered by the Secretary.

(ii) **EXCLUSIONS.**—The term “National Forest System” does not include any forest reserve not created from the public domain.

(C) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service.

(2) **TIMBER SALES ON PUBLIC DOMAIN FOREST RESERVES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For each of fiscal years 2026 through 2034, the Secretary shall sell timber annually on National Forest System land in a total quantity that is not less than 250,000,000 board-feet greater than the quantity of board-feet sold in the previous fiscal year.

(B) **LIMITATION.**—The timber sales under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to the maximum allowable sale quantity of timber or the projected timber sale quantity under the applicable forest plan in effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) **LONG-TERM CONTRACTING FOR THE FOREST SERVICE.**—

(A) **LONG-TERM CONTRACTING.**—For the period of fiscal years 2025 through 2034, the Secretary shall enter into not fewer than 40 long-term timber sale contracts with private persons or other public or private entities under subsection (a) of section 14 of the National Forest Management Act of 1976 (16 U.S.C. 472a) for the sale of national forest materials (as defined in subsection (e)(1) of that section) in the National Forest System.

(B) **CONTRACT LENGTH.**—The period of a timber sale contract entered into to meet the requirement under subparagraph (A) shall be not less than 20 years, with options for extensions or renewals, as determined by the Secretary.

(C) **RECEIPTS.**—Any monies derived from a timber sale contract entered into to meet the requirements under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

(b) **BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.**—

(1) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(A) **PUBLIC LANDS.**—The term “public lands” has the meaning given the term in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702).

(B) **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—The term “resource management plan” means a land use plan prepared for public lands under section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712).

(C) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management.

(2) **TIMBER SALES ON PUBLIC LANDS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For each of fiscal years 2026 through 2034, the Secretary shall sell timber annually on public lands in a total quantity that is not less than 20,000,000 board-feet greater than the quantity of board-feet sold in the previous fiscal year.

(B) **LIMITATION.**—The timber sales under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to the applicable resource management plan in effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) **LONG-TERM CONTRACTING FOR THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.**—

(A) **LONG-TERM CONTRACTING.**—For the period of fiscal years 2025 through 2034, the Secretary shall enter into not fewer than 5 long-term contracts with private persons or other public or private entities under section 1 of the Act of July 31, 1947 (commonly known as the “Materials Act of 1947”) (61 Stat. 681, chapter 406; 30 U.S.C. 601), for the disposal of vegetative materials described in that section on public lands.

(B) **CONTRACT LENGTH.**—The period of a contract entered into to meet the requirement under subparagraph (A) shall be not less than 20 years, with options for extensions or renewals, as determined by the Secretary.

(C) **RECEIPTS.**—Any monies derived from a contract entered into to meet the requirements

under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

SEC. 50302. RENEWABLE ENERGY FEES ON FEDERAL LAND.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT FACTOR.**—The term “Annual Adjustment Factor” means 3 percent.

(2) **ENCUMBRANCE FACTOR.**—The term “Encumbrance Factor” means—

(A) 100 percent for a solar energy generation facility; and

(B) an amount determined by the Secretary, but not less than 10 percent for a wind energy generation facility.

(3) **NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term “National Forest System” means land of the National Forest System (as defined in section 11(a) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1609(a))) administered by the Secretary of Agriculture.

(B) **EXCLUSION.**—The term “National Forest System” does not include any forest reserve not created from the public domain.

(4) **PER-ACRE RATE.**—The term “Per-Acre Rate”, with respect to a right-of-way, means the average of the per-acre pastureland rental rates published in the Cash Rents Survey by the National Agricultural Statistics Service for the State in which the right-of-way is located over the 5 calendar-year period preceding the issuance or renewal of the right-of-way.

(5) **PROJECT.**—The term “project” means a system described in section 2801.9(a)(4) of title 43, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act).

(6) **PUBLIC LAND.**—The term “public land” means—

(A) public lands (as defined in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702)); and

(B) National Forest System land.

(7) **RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT.**—The term “renewable energy project” means a project located on public land that uses wind or solar energy to generate energy.

(8) **RIGHT-OF-WAY.**—The term “right-of-way” has the meaning given the term in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702).

(9) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means—

(A) the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to land controlled or administered by the Secretary of the Interior; and

(B) the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to National Forest System land.

(b) **ACREAGE RENT FOR WIND AND SOLAR RIGHTS-OF-WAY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Pursuant to section 504(g) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1764(g)), the Secretary shall, subject to paragraph (3) and not later than January 1 of each calendar year, collect from the holder of a right-of-way for a renewable energy project an acreage rent in an amount determined by the equation described in paragraph (2).

(2) **CALCULATION OF ACREAGE RENT RATE.**—

(A) **EQUATION.**—The amount of an acreage rent collected under paragraph (1) shall be determined using the following equation: Acreage rent = $A \times B \times ((1 + C)^D)$.

(B) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of the equation described in subparagraph (A):

(i) The letter “A” means the Per-Acre Rate.

(ii) The letter “B” means the Encumbrance Factor.

(iii) The letter “C” means the Annual Adjustment Factor.

(iv) The letter “D” means the year in the term of the right-of-way.

(3) **PAYMENT UNTIL PRODUCTION.**—The holder of a right-of-way for a renewable energy project shall pay an acreage rent collected under paragraph (1) until the date on which energy generation begins.

(c) **CAPACITY FEES.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall, subject to paragraph (3), annually collect a capacity fee from the holder of a right-of-way for a renewable energy project based on the amount described in paragraph (2).

(2) *CALCULATION OF CAPACITY FEE.*—The amount of a capacity fee collected under paragraph (1) shall be equal to the greater of—

(A) an amount equal to the acreage rent described in subsection (b); and

(B) 3.9 percent of the gross proceeds from the sale of electricity produced by the renewable energy project.

(3) *MULTIPLE-USE REDUCTION FACTOR.*—

(A) *APPLICATION.*—The holder of a right-of-way for a wind energy generation project may request that the Secretary apply a multiple-use reduction factor of 10-percent to the amount of a capacity fee determined under paragraph (2) by submitting to the Secretary an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Secretary may require.

(B) *APPROVAL.*—The Secretary may approve an application submitted under subparagraph (A) only if not less than 25 percent of the land within the area of the right-of-way is authorized for use, occupancy, or development with respect to an activity other than the generation of wind energy for the entirety of the year in which the capacity fee is collected.

(C) *LATE DETERMINATION.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—If the Secretary approves an application under subparagraph (B) for a wind energy generation project after the date on which the holder of the right-of-way for the project begins paying a capacity fee, the Secretary shall apply the multiple-use reduction factor described in subparagraph (A) to the capacity fee for the first year beginning after the date of approval and each year thereafter for the period during which the right-of-way remains in effect.

(ii) *REFUND.*—The Secretary may not refund the holder of a right-of-way for the difference in the amount of a capacity fee paid in a previous year.

(d) *LATE PAYMENT FEE; TERMINATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may charge the holder of a right-of-way for a renewable energy project a late payment fee if the Secretary does not receive payment for the acreage rent under subsection (b) or the capacity fee under subsection (c) by the date that is 15 days after the date on which the payment was due.

(2) *TERMINATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY.*—The Secretary may terminate a right-of-way for a renewable energy project if the Secretary does not receive payment for the acreage rent under subsection (b) or the capacity fee under subsection (c) by the date that is 90 days after the date on which the payment was due.

SEC. 50303. RENEWABLE ENERGY REVENUE SHARING.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *COUNTY.*—The term “county” includes a parish, township, borough, and any other similar, independent unit of local government.

(2) *COVERED LAND.*—The term “covered land” means land that is—

(A) public land administered by the Secretary; and

(B) not excluded from the development of solar or wind energy under—

(i) a land use plan; or

(ii) other Federal law.

(3) *NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The term “National Forest System” means land of the National Forest System (as defined in section 11(a) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1609(a))) administered by the Secretary of Agriculture.

(B) *EXCLUSION.*—The term “National Forest System” does not include any forest reserve not created from the public domain.

(4) *PUBLIC LAND.*—The term “public land” means—

(A) public lands (as defined in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702)); and

(B) National Forest System land.

(5) *RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT.*—The term “renewable energy project” means a system described in section 2801.9(a)(4) of title 43, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act), located on covered land that uses wind or solar energy to generate energy.

(6) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means—

(A) the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to land controlled or administered by the Secretary of the Interior; and

(B) the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to National Forest System land.

(b) *DISPOSITION OF REVENUE.*—

(1) *DISPOSITION OF REVENUES.*—Beginning on January 1, 2026, the amounts collected from a renewable energy project as bonus bids, rentals, fees, or other payments under a right-of-way, permit, lease, or other authorization shall—

(A) be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury; and

(B) without further appropriation or fiscal year limitation, be allocated as follows:

(i) 25 percent shall be paid from amounts in the general fund of the Treasury to the State within the boundaries of which the revenue is derived.

(ii) 25 percent shall be paid from amounts in the general fund of the Treasury to each county in a State within the boundaries of which the revenue is derived, to be allocated among each applicable county based on the percentage of county land from which the revenue is derived.

(2) *PAYMENTS TO STATES AND COUNTIES.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Amounts paid to States and counties under paragraph (1) shall be used in accordance with the requirements of section 35 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 191).

(B) *PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES.*—A payment to a county under paragraph (1) shall be in addition to a payment in lieu of taxes received by the county under chapter 69 of title 31, United States Code.

(C) *TIMING.*—The amounts required to be paid under paragraph (1)(B) for an applicable fiscal year shall be made available in the fiscal year that immediately follows the fiscal year for which the amounts were collected.

SEC. 50304. RESCISSION OF NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FUNDS.

There are rescinded the unobligated balances of amounts made available by the following sections of Public Law 117-169 (commonly known as the “Inflation Reduction Act of 2022”) (136 Stat. 1818):

(1) Section 50221 (136 Stat. 2052).

(2) Section 50222 (136 Stat. 2052).

(3) Section 50223 (136 Stat. 2052).

SEC. 50305. CELEBRATING AMERICA'S 250TH ANNIVERSARY.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of the Interior (acting through the Director of the National Park Service) for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$150,000,000 for events, celebrations, and activities surrounding the observance and commemoration of the 250th anniversary of the founding of the United States, to remain available through fiscal year 2028.

Subtitle D—Energy

SEC. 50401. STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE.

(a) *ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT DEFINITIONS.*—In this section, the terms “related facility”, “storage facility”, and “Strategic Petroleum Reserve” have the meanings given those terms in section 152 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6232).

(b) *APPROPRIATIONS.*—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029—

(1) \$218,000,000 for maintenance of, including repairs to, storage facilities and related facilities of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve; and

(2) \$171,000,000 to acquire, by purchase, petroleum products for storage in the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

(c) *REPEAL OF STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE DRAWDOWN AND SALE MANDATE.*—Section 20003 of Public Law 115-97 (42 U.S.C. 6241 note) is repealed.

SEC. 50402. REPEALS; RESCISSIONS.

(a) *REPEAL AND RESCISSION.*—Section 50142 of Public Law 117-169 (136 Stat. 2044) (commonly known as the “Inflation Reduction Act of 2022”) is repealed and the unobligated balance of amounts made available under that section (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) is rescinded.

(b) *RESCISSIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The unobligated balances of amounts made available under the sections described in paragraph (2) are rescinded.

(2) *SECTIONS DESCRIBED.*—The sections referred to in paragraph (1) are the following sections of Public Law 117-169 (commonly known as the “Inflation Reduction Act of 2022”):

(A) Section 50123 (42 U.S.C. 18795b).

(B) Section 50141 (136 Stat. 2042).

(C) Section 50144 (136 Stat. 2044).

(D) Section 50145 (136 Stat. 2045).

(E) Section 50151 (42 U.S.C. 18715).

(F) Section 50152 (42 U.S.C. 18715a).

(G) Section 50153 (42 U.S.C. 18715b).

(H) Section 50161 (42 U.S.C. 17113b).

SEC. 50403. ENERGY DOMINANCE FINANCING.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 1706 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16517) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “avoid” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “increase capacity or output; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) support or enable the provision of known or forecastable electric supply at time intervals necessary to maintain or enhance grid reliability or other system adequacy needs.”;

(2) by striking subsection (c);

(3) by redesignating subsections (d) through (f) as subsections (c) through (e), respectively;

(4) in subsection (c) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by adding “and” at the end;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2);

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “for—” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and all that follows through the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting “for enabling the identification, leasing, development, production, processing, transportation, transmission, refining, and generation needed for energy and critical minerals.”; and

(6) by adding at the end the following:

“(f) *FUNDING.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$1,000,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2028, to carry out activities under this section.

“(2) *ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.*—Of the amount made available under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall use not more than 3 percent for administrative expenses.”.

(b) *COMMITMENT AUTHORITY.*—Section 50144(b) of Public Law 117-169 (commonly known as the “Inflation Reduction Act of 2022”) (136 Stat. 2045) is amended by striking “2026” and inserting “2028”.

SEC. 50404. TRANSFORMATIONAL ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODELS.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) **AMERICAN SCIENCE CLOUD.**—The term “American science cloud” means a system of United States government, academic, and private sector programs and infrastructures utilizing cloud computing technologies to facilitate and support scientific research, data sharing, and computational analysis across various disciplines while ensuring compliance with applicable legal, regulatory, and privacy standards.

(2) **ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.**—The term “artificial intelligence” has the meaning given the term in section 5002 of the National Artificial Intelligence Initiative Act of 2020 (15 U.S.C. 9401).

(b) **TRANSFORMATIONAL MODELS.**—The Secretary of Energy shall—

(1) mobilize National Laboratories to partner with industry sectors within the United States to curate the scientific data of the Department of Energy across the National Laboratory complex so that the data is structured, cleaned, and preprocessed in a way that makes it suitable for use in artificial intelligence and machine learning models; and

(2) initiate seed efforts for self-improving artificial intelligence models for science and engineering powered by the data described in paragraph (1).

(c) **USES.**—

(1) **MICROELECTRONICS.**—The curated data described in subsection (b)(1) may be used to rapidly develop next-generation microelectronics that have greater capabilities beyond Moore’s law while requiring lower energy consumption.

(2) **NEW ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES.**—The artificial intelligence models developed under subsection (b)(2) shall be provided to the scientific community through the American science cloud to accelerate innovation in discovery science and engineering for new energy technologies.

(d) **APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is appropriated, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$150,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2026, to carry out this section.

Subtitle E—Water

SEC. 50501. WATER CONVEYANCE AND SURFACE WATER STORAGE ENHANCEMENT.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, for fiscal year 2025, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$1,000,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2034, for construction and associated activities that restore or increase the capacity or use of existing conveyance facilities constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation or for construction and associated activities that increase the capacity of existing Bureau of Reclamation surface water storage facilities, in a manner as determined by the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation: Provided, That, for the purposes of section 203 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (43 U.S.C. 390cc) or section 3404(a) of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992 (Public Law 102–575; 106 Stat. 4708), a contract or agreement entered into pursuant to this section shall not be treated as a new or amended contract: Provided further, That none of the funds provided under this section shall be reimbursable or subject to matching or cost-sharing requirements.

TITLE VI—COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

SEC. 60001. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR CLEAN HEAVY-DUTY VEHICLES.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 132 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7432) are rescinded.

SEC. 60002. REPEAL OF GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTION FUND.

Section 134 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7434) is repealed and the unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out that section (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) are rescinded.

SEC. 60003. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR DIESEL EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60104 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2067) are rescinded.

SEC. 60004. RESCISSION OF FUNDING TO ADDRESS AIR POLLUTION.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60105 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2067) are rescinded.

SEC. 60005. RESCISSION OF FUNDING TO ADDRESS AIR POLLUTION AT SCHOOLS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60106 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2069) are rescinded.

SEC. 60006. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR THE LOW EMISSIONS ELECTRICITY PROGRAM.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 135 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7435) are rescinded.

SEC. 60007. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR SECTION 211(O) OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60108 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2070) are rescinded.

SEC. 60008. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMERICAN INNOVATION AND MANUFACTURING ACT.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60109 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2071) are rescinded.

SEC. 60009. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ENFORCEMENT TECHNOLOGY AND PUBLIC INFORMATION.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60110 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2071) are rescinded.

SEC. 60010. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR GREENHOUSE GAS CORPORATE REPORTING.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60111 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2072) are rescinded.

SEC. 60011. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION ASSISTANCE.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60112 of Public Law 117–169 (42 U.S.C. 4321 note; 136 Stat. 2072) are rescinded.

SEC. 60012. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR METHANE EMISSIONS AND WASTE REDUCTION INCENTIVE PROGRAM FOR PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS SYSTEMS.

(a) **RESCISSION.**—The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out subsections (a) and (b) of section 136 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7436) are rescinded.

(b) **PERIOD.**—Section 136(g) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7436(g)) is amended by striking “calendar year 2024” and inserting “calendar year 2034”.

SEC. 60013. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR GREENHOUSE GAS AIR POLLUTION PLANS AND IMPLEMENTATION GRANTS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 137 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7437) are rescinded.

SEC. 60014. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY EFFICIENT, ACCURATE, AND TIMELY REVIEWS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60115 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2077) are rescinded.

SEC. 60015. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR LOW-EMBEDDED CARBON LABELING FOR CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60116 of Public Law 117–169 (42 U.S.C. 4321 note; 136 Stat. 2077) are rescinded.

SEC. 60016. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE JUSTICE BLOCK GRANTS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 138 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7438) are rescinded.

SEC. 60017. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ESA RECOVERY PLANS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60301 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2079) are rescinded.

SEC. 60018. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE DATA COLLECTION.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60401 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2079) are rescinded.

SEC. 60019. RESCISSION OF NEIGHBORHOOD ACCESS AND EQUITY GRANT PROGRAM.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 177 of title 23, United States Code, are rescinded.

SEC. 60020. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR FEDERAL BUILDING ASSISTANCE.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60502 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2083) are rescinded.

SEC. 60021. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR LOW-CARBON MATERIALS FOR FEDERAL BUILDINGS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60503 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2083) are rescinded.

SEC. 60022. RESCISSION OF FUNDING FOR GSA EMERGING AND SUSTAINABLE TECHNOLOGIES.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 60504 of Public Law 117–169 (136 Stat. 2083) are rescinded.

SEC. 60023. RESCISSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW IMPLEMENTATION FUNDS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 178 of title 23, United States Code, are rescinded.

SEC. 60024. RESCISSION OF LOW-CARBON TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS GRANTS.

The unobligated balances of amounts made available to carry out section 179 of title 23, United States Code, are rescinded.

SEC. 60025. JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$256,657,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for necessary expenses for capital repair, restoration, maintenance backlog, and security structures of the building and site of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts.

(b) **ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), not more than 3 percent may be used for administrative costs necessary to carry out this section.

SEC. 60026. PROJECT SPONSOR OPT-IN FEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS.

Title I of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 112. PROJECT SPONSOR OPT-IN FEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS.

“(a) **PROCESS.**—

“(1) **PROJECT SPONSOR.**—A project sponsor that intends to pay a fee under this section for the preparation, or supervision of the preparation, of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement for a project shall submit to the Council—

“(A) a description of the project; and

“(B) a declaration of whether the project sponsor intends to prepare the environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under section 107(f).

“(2) **COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY.**—Not later than 15 days after the date on which the Council receives information described in paragraph (1) from a project sponsor, the Council shall provide to the project sponsor notice of

the amount of the fee to be paid under this section, as determined under subsection (b).

“(3) PAYMENT OF FEE.—A project sponsor may pay a fee under this section after receipt of the notice described in paragraph (2).

“(4) DEADLINE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS FOR WHICH A FEE IS PAID.—Notwithstanding section 107(g)(1)—

“(A) an environmental assessment for which a fee is paid under this section shall be completed not later than 180 days after the date on which the fee is paid; and

“(B) an environmental impact statement for which a fee is paid under this section shall be completed not later than 1 year after the date of publication of the notice of intent to prepare the environmental impact statement.

“(b) FEE AMOUNT.—The amount of a fee under this section shall be—

“(1) 125 percent of the anticipated costs to prepare the environmental assessment or environmental impact statement; and

“(2) in the case of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement to be prepared in whole or in part by a project sponsor under section 107(f), 125 percent of the anticipated costs to supervise preparation of, and, as applicable, prepare, the environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.”.

TITLE VII—FINANCE

Subtitle A—Tax

SEC. 70001. REFERENCES TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, ETC.

(a) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title, an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(b) CERTAIN RULES REGARDING EFFECT OF RATE CHANGES NOT APPLICABLE.—Section 15 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall not apply to any change in rate of tax by reason of any provision of, or amendment made by, this title.

CHAPTER 1—PROVIDING PERMANENT TAX RELIEF FOR MIDDLE-CLASS FAMILIES AND WORKERS

SEC. 70101. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF REDUCED RATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1(j) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Section 1(j)(3)(B)(i) is amended by inserting “solely for purposes of determining the dollar amounts at which any rate bracket higher than 12 percent ends and at which any rate bracket higher than 22 percent begins,” before “subsection (f)(3)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70102. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF INCREASED STANDARD DEDUCTION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 63(c)(7) is amended—

(1) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) ADDITIONAL INCREASE IN STANDARD DEDUCTION.—Paragraph (7) of section 63(c) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$18,000” both places it appears in subparagraphs (A)(i) and (B)(ii) and inserting “\$23,625”,

(2) by striking “\$12,000” both places it appears in subparagraphs (A)(ii) and (B)(ii) and inserting “\$15,750”,

(3) by striking “2018” in subparagraph (B)(ii) and inserting “2025”, and

(4) by striking “2017” in subparagraph (B)(ii)(II) and inserting “2024”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70103. TERMINATION OF DEDUCTION FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS OTHER THAN TEMPORARY SENIOR DEDUCTION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 151(d)(5) is amended—

(1) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”,

(2) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) DEDUCTION FOR SENIORS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a taxable year beginning before January 1, 2029, there shall be allowed a deduction in an amount equal to \$6,000 for each qualified individual with respect to the taxpayer.

“(ii) QUALIFIED INDIVIDUAL.—For purposes of clause (i), the term ‘qualified individual’ means—

“(I) the taxpayer, if the taxpayer has attained age 65 before the close of the taxable year, and

“(II) in the case of a joint return, the taxpayer’s spouse, if such spouse has attained age 65 before the close of the taxable year.

“(iii) LIMITATION BASED ON MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxpayer for any taxable year, the \$6,000 amount in clause (i) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by 6 percent of so much of the taxpayer’s modified adjusted gross income as exceeds \$75,000 (\$150,000 in the case of a joint return).

“(II) MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—For purposes of this clause, the term ‘modified adjusted gross income’ means the adjusted gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year increased by any amount excluded from gross income under section 911, 931, or 933.

“(iv) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Clause (i) shall not apply with respect to a qualified individual unless the taxpayer includes such qualified individual’s social security number on the return of tax for the taxable year.

“(II) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER.—For purposes of subclause (I), the term ‘social security number’ has the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7).

“(v) MARRIED INDIVIDUALS.—If the taxpayer is a married individual (within the meaning of section 7703), this subparagraph shall apply only if the taxpayer and the taxpayer’s spouse file a joint return for the taxable year.”.

(b) OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.—Section 6213(g)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (U), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (V) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (V) the following new subparagraph:

“(W) an omission of a correct social security number required under section 151(d)(5)(C) (relating to deduction for seniors).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70104. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF INCREASED CHILD TAX CREDIT.

(a) EXTENSION AND INCREASE OF EXPANDED CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(h) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”,

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$2,000” and inserting “\$2,200”, and

(3) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.—Section 24(h)(7) is amended to read as follows:

“(7) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No credit shall be allowed under this section to a taxpayer with respect to any qualifying child unless the taxpayer in-

cludes on the return of tax for the taxable year—

“(i) the taxpayer’s social security number (or, in the case of a joint return, the social security number of at least 1 spouse), and

“(ii) the social security number of such qualifying child.

“(B) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘social security number’ means a social security number issued to an individual by the Social Security Administration, but only if the social security number is issued—

“(i) to a citizen of the United States or pursuant to subclause (I) (or that portion of subclause (III) that relates to subclause (I)) of section 205(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Social Security Act, and

“(ii) before the due date for such return.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENTS.—Section 24(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) INFLATION ADJUSTMENTS.—

“(1) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT.—In the case of a taxable year beginning after 2024, the \$1,400 amount in subsection (h)(5) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘2017’ for ‘2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE FOR ADJUSTMENT OF CREDIT AMOUNT.—In the case of a taxable year beginning after 2025, the \$2,200 amount in subsection (h)(2) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘2024’ for ‘2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(3) ROUNDING.—If any increase under this subsection is not a multiple of \$100, such increase shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$100.”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 24(h)(5) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT.—The amount determined under subsection (d)(1)(A) with respect to any qualifying child shall not exceed \$1,400, and such subsection shall be applied without regard to paragraph (4) of this subsection.”.

(e) OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.—Section 6213(g)(2)(I) is amended by striking “section 24(e)” and inserting “section 24”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70105. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME.

(a) INCREASE IN TAXABLE INCOME LIMITATION PHASE-IN AMOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 199A(b)(3) is amended by striking “\$50,000 (\$100,000 in the case of a joint return)” each place it appears and inserting “\$75,000 (\$150,000 in the case of a joint return)”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 199A(d) is amended by striking “\$50,000 (\$100,000 in the case of a joint return)” each place it appears and inserting “\$75,000 (\$150,000 in the case of a joint return)”.

(b) MINIMUM DEDUCTION FOR ACTIVE QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (i) of section 199A is amended to read as follows:

“(i) MINIMUM DEDUCTION FOR ACTIVE QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an applicable taxpayer for any taxable year, the deduction allowed under subsection (a) for the taxable year shall be equal to the greater of—

“(A) the amount of such deduction determined without regard to this subsection, or

“(B) \$400.

“(2) **APPLICABLE TAXPAYER.**—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘applicable taxpayer’ means, with respect to any taxable year, a taxpayer whose aggregate qualified business income with respect to all active qualified trades or businesses of the taxpayer for such taxable year is at least \$1,000.

“(B) **ACTIVE QUALIFIED TRADE OR BUSINESS.**—The term ‘active qualified trade or business’ means, with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year, any qualified trade or business of the taxpayer in which the taxpayer materially participates (within the meaning of section 469(h)).

“(3) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2026, the \$400 amount in paragraph (1)(B) and the \$1,000 amount in paragraph (2)(A) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof. If any increase under this paragraph is not a multiple of \$5, such increase shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$5.”

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 199A(a) is amended by inserting “except as provided in subsection (i),” before “there”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70106. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF INCREASED ESTATE AND GIFT TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2010(c)(3) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”,

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “2011” and inserting “2026”, and

(B) in clause (ii), by striking “calendar year 2010” and inserting “calendar year 2025”, and

(3) by striking subparagraph (C).

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70107. EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNTS AND MODIFICATION OF PHASEOUT THRESHOLDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 55(d)(4) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “AND BEFORE 2026” in the heading.

(b) **MODIFICATION OF INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 55(d)(4)(B) is amended—

(1) by striking “2018” and inserting “2018 (2026, in the case of the \$1,000,000 amount in subparagraph (A)(ii)(I))”, and

(2) by striking “determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2017’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.” and inserting “determined by substituting for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof—

“(1) ‘calendar year 2017’, in the case of the \$109,400 amount in subparagraph (A)(i)(I) and the \$70,300 amount in subparagraph (A)(i)(II), and

“(2) ‘calendar year 2025’, in the case of the \$1,000,000 amount in subparagraph (A)(ii)(I).”.

(c) **MODIFICATION OF PHASEOUT AMOUNT.**—Section 55(d)(4)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subclause (II), and by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(IV) by substituting ‘50 percent’ for ‘25 percent’, and”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70108. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED RESIDENCE INTEREST.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 163(h)(3)(F) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”,

(B) by redesignating subclauses (III) and (IV) as subclauses (IV) and (V), respectively,

(C) by striking “subclause (III)” in subclause (V), as so redesignated, and inserting “subclause (IV)”, and

(D) by inserting after subclause (II) the following new subclause:

“(III) **MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS TREATED AS INTEREST.**—Clause (iv) of subparagraph (E) shall not apply.”,

(2) by striking clause (ii) and redesignating clauses (iii) and (iv) as clauses (ii) and (iii), respectively, and

(3) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70109. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON CASUALTY LOSS DEDUCTION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 165(h)(5) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) **EXTENSION TO STATE DECLARED DISASTERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 165(h)(5), as amended by subsection (a), is further amended by striking “(i)(5)” and inserting “(i)(5) or a State declared disaster”.

(2) **EXCEPTION RELATED TO PERSONAL CASUALTY GAINS.**—Clause (i) of section 165(h)(5)(B) is amended by striking “(as so defined)” and inserting “(as so defined) or a State declared disaster”.

(3) **STATE DECLARED DISASTER.**—Paragraph (5) of section 165(h) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) **STATE DECLARED DISASTER.**—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘State declared disaster’ means, with respect to any State, any natural catastrophe (including any hurricane, tornado, storm, high water, wind-driven water, tidal wave, tsunami, earthquake, volcanic eruption, landslide, mudslide, snowstorm, or drought), or, regardless of cause, any fire, flood, or explosion, in any part of the State, which in the determination of the Governor of such State (or the Mayor, in the case of the District of Columbia) and the Secretary causes damage of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant the application of the rules of this section.

“(ii) **STATE.**—The term ‘State’ includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70110. TERMINATION OF MISCELLANEOUS ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS OTHER THAN EDUCATOR EXPENSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 67(g) is amended—

(1) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) **DEDUCTION FOR EDUCATOR EXPENSES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 67(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (11), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (12) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(13) the deductions allowed by section 162 for educator expenses (as defined in subsection (g)).”.

(2) **INCLUSION OF COACHES AND CERTAIN NON-ATHLETIC INSTRUCTIONAL EQUIPMENT.**—Section 67 is amended by redesignating subsection (g), as amended by this section, as subsection (h), and by inserting after subsection (f) the following new section:

“(g) **EDUCATOR EXPENSES.**—For purposes of subsection (b)(13), the term ‘educator expenses’ means expenses of a type which would be described in section 62(a)(2)(D) if—

“(1) such section were applied—

“(A) without regard to the dollar limitation,

“(B) without regard to ‘(other than nonathletic supplies for courses of instruction in health or physical education)’ in clause (ii) thereof, and

“(C) by substituting ‘as part of instructional activity’ for ‘in the classroom’ in clause (ii) thereof, and

“(2) section 62(d)(1)(A) were applied by inserting ‘, interscholastic sports administrator or coach,’ after ‘counselor’.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70111. LIMITATION ON TAX BENEFIT OF ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 68 is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of an individual, the amount of the itemized deductions otherwise allowable for the taxable year (determined without regard to this section) shall be reduced by $\frac{2}{3}$ of the lesser of—

“(1) such amount of itemized deductions, or

“(2) so much of the taxable income of the taxpayer for the taxable year (determined without regard to this section and increased by such amount of itemized deductions) as exceeds the dollar amount at which the 37 percent rate bracket under section 1 begins with respect to the taxpayer.

“(b) **COORDINATION WITH OTHER LIMITATIONS.**—This section shall be applied after the application of any other limitation on the allowance of any itemized deduction.”.

(b) **LIMITATION NOT APPLICABLE TO DETERMINATION OF DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 199A(e)(1) is amended by inserting “without regard to section 68 and” after “shall be computed”.

(2) **PATRONS OF SPECIFIED AGRICULTURAL AND HORTICULTURAL COOPERATIVES.**—Section 199A(g)(2)(B) is amended by inserting “section 68 or” after “without regard to”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70112. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF QUALIFIED TRANSPORTATION FRINGE BENEFITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 132(f) is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (D) of paragraph (1),

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking “, and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a period, and by striking subparagraph (C),

(3) by striking “(other than a qualified bicycle commuting reimbursement)” in paragraph (4),

(4) by striking subparagraph (F) of paragraph (5), and

(5) by striking paragraph (8).

(b) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—Clause (ii) of section 132(f)(6)(A) is amended by striking “1998” in clause (ii) and inserting “1997”.

(c) **COORDINATION WITH DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN EXPENSES.**—Subsection (l) of section 274 is amended—

(1) by striking “BENEFITS.—” and all that follows through “No deduction” and inserting “BENEFITS.—No deduction”, and

(2) by striking paragraph (2).

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70113. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON DEDUCTION AND EXCLUSION FOR MOVING EXPENSES.

(a) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON DEDUCTION.—Section 217(k) is amended—

(1) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(b) ALLOWANCE OF DEDUCTION FOR MEMBERS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—Section 217(k), as amended by subsection (a), is further amended—

(1) by striking “2017.—Except in the case” and inserting “2017.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except in the case”, and (2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) MEMBERS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—An employee or new appointee of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)) (other than a member of the Armed Forces of the United States) who moves pursuant to a change in assignment which requires relocation shall be treated for purposes of this section in the same manner as an individual to whom subsection (g) applies.”.

(c) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON EXCLUSION.—Section 132(g)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “, and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “2018 THROUGH 2025” in the heading and inserting “BEGINNING AFTER 2017”.

(d) ALLOWANCE OF EXCLUSION FOR MEMBERS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—Section 132(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting “, or an employee or new appointee of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)) (other than a member of the Armed Forces of the United States) who moves pursuant to a change in assignment that requires relocation” after “change of station”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70114. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON WAGERING LOSSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 165 is amended by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) WAGERING LOSSES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of losses from wagering transactions, the amount allowed as a deduction for any taxable year—

“(A) shall be equal to 90 percent of the amount of such losses during such taxable year, and

“(B) shall be allowed only to the extent of the gains from such transactions during such taxable year.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘losses from wagering transactions’ includes any deduction otherwise allowable under this chapter incurred in carrying on any wagering transaction.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70115. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF INCREASED LIMITATION ON CONTRIBUTIONS TO ABLE ACCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 529A(b)(2)(B) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by inserting “(determined by substituting ‘1996’ for ‘1997’ in paragraph (2)(B) thereof)” after “section 2503(b)”, and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking “before January 1, 2026”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2025.

(2) MODIFIED INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(1) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70116. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF SAVERS CREDIT ALLOWED FOR ABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25B(d)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified retirement savings contributions’ means, with respect to any taxable year, the sum of—

“(A) the amount of contributions made by the eligible individual during such taxable year to the ABLE account (within the meaning of section 529A) of which such individual is the designated beneficiary, and

“(B) in the case of any taxable year beginning before January 1, 2027—

“(i) the amount of the qualified retirement contributions (as defined in section 219(e)) made by the eligible individual,

“(ii) the amount of—

“(I) any elective deferrals (as defined in section 402(g)(3)) of such individual, and

“(II) any elective deferral of compensation by such individual under an eligible deferred compensation plan (as defined in section 457(b)) of an eligible employer described in section 457(e)(1)(A), and

“(iii) the amount of voluntary employee contributions by such individual to any qualified retirement plan (as defined in section 4974(c)).”.

(2) COORDINATION WITH SECURE 2.0 ACT OF 2022 AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (1) of section 103(e) of the SECURE 2.0 Act of 2022 is repealed, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied and administered as though such paragraph were never enacted.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments and repeal made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years ending after December 31, 2025.

(b) INCREASE OF CREDIT AMOUNT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25B(a) is amended by striking “\$2,000” and inserting “\$2,100”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2026.

SEC. 70117. EXTENSION OF ROLLOVERS FROM QUALIFIED TUITION PROGRAMS TO ABLE ACCOUNTS PERMITTED.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 529(c)(3)(C)(i)(III) is amended by striking “before January 1, 2026”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70118. EXTENSION OF TREATMENT OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS PERFORMING SERVICES IN THE SINAI PENINSULA AND ENHANCEMENT TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL AREAS.

(a) TREATMENT MADE PERMANENT.—Section 11026(a) of Public Law 115–97 is amended by striking “, with respect to the applicable period”.

(b) KENYA, MALI, BURKINA FASO, AND CHAD INCLUDED AS HAZARDOUS DUTY AREAS.—Section 11026(b) of Public Law 115–97 is amended to read as follows:

“(b) QUALIFIED HAZARDOUS DUTY AREA.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified hazardous duty area’ means each of the following locations, but only during the period for which any member of the Armed Forces of the United States is entitled to special pay under section 310 of title 37, United States Code (relating to special pay; duty subject to hostile fire or imminent danger), for services performed in such location:

“(1) the Sinai Peninsula of Egypt.

“(2) Kenya.

“(3) Mali.

“(4) Burkina Faso.

“(5) Chad.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 11026 of Public Law 115–97 is amended by striking subsections (c) and (d).

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2026.

SEC. 70119. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF EXCLUSION FROM GROSS INCOME OF STUDENT LOANS DISCHARGED ON ACCOUNT OF DEATH OR DISABILITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 108(f)(5) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) DISCHARGES ON ACCOUNT OF DEATH OR DISABILITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an individual, gross income does not include any amount which (but for this subsection) would be includible in gross income for such taxable year by reason of the discharge (in whole or in part) of any loan described in subparagraph (B), if such discharge was—

“(i) pursuant to subsection (a) or (d) of section 437 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 or the parallel benefit under part D of title IV of such Act (relating to the repayment of loan liability),

“(ii) pursuant to section 464(c)(1)(F) of such Act, or

“(iii) otherwise discharged on account of death or total and permanent disability of the student.

“(B) LOANS DISCHARGED.—A loan is described in this subparagraph if such loan is—

“(i) a student loan (as defined in paragraph (2)), or

“(ii) a private education loan (as defined in section 140(a) of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. 1650(a)).

“(C) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIREMENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to any discharge during any taxable year unless the taxpayer includes the taxpayer’s social security number on the return of tax for such taxable year.

“(ii) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘social security number’ has the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7).”.

(b) OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.—Section 6213(g)(2), as amended by this Act, is further amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (V), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (W) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (W) the following new subparagraph:

“(X) an omission of a correct social security number required under section 108(f)(5)(C) (relating to discharges on account of death or disability).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to discharges after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70120. LIMITATION ON INDIVIDUAL DEDUCTIONS FOR CERTAIN STATE AND LOCAL TAXES, ETC.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 164(b)(6) is amended—

(1) by striking “and before January 1, 2026”, and

(2) by striking “\$10,000 (\$5,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return)” and inserting “the applicable limitation amount (half the applicable limitation amount in the case of a married individual filing a separate return)”.

(b) APPLICABLE LIMITATION AMOUNT.—Section 164(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) APPLICABLE LIMITATION AMOUNT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (6), the term ‘applicable limitation amount’ means—

“(i) in the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2025, \$40,000,

“(ii) in the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2026, \$40,400,

“(iii) in the case of any taxable year beginning after calendar year 2026 and before 2030, 101 percent of the dollar amount in effect under this subparagraph for taxable years beginning in the preceding calendar year, and

“(iv) in the case of any taxable year beginning after calendar year 2029, \$10,000.

“(B) PHASEDOWN BASED ON MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (iii), in the case of any taxable year beginning before January 1, 2030, the applicable limitation amount shall be reduced by 30 percent of the excess (if any) of the taxpayer’s modified adjusted gross income over the threshold amount (half the threshold amount in the case of a married individual filing a separate return).

“(ii) THRESHOLD AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘threshold amount’ means—

“(I) in the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2025, \$500,000,

“(II) in the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2026, \$505,000, and

“(III) in the case of any taxable year beginning after calendar year 2026, 101 percent of the dollar amount in effect under this subparagraph for taxable years beginning in the preceding calendar year.

“(iii) LIMITATION ON REDUCTION.—The reduction under clause (i) shall not result in the applicable limitation amount being less than \$10,000.

“(iv) MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘modified adjusted gross income’ means adjusted gross income increased by any amount excluded from gross income under section 911, 931, or 933.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

CHAPTER 2—DELIVERING ON PRESIDENTIAL PRIORITIES TO PROVIDE NEW MIDDLE-CLASS TAX RELIEF

SEC. 70201. NO TAX ON TIPS.

(a) DEDUCTION ALLOWED.—Part VII of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by redesignating section 224 as section 225 and by inserting after section 223 the following new section:

“SEC. 224. QUALIFIED TIPS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There shall be allowed as a deduction an amount equal to the qualified tips received during the taxable year that are included on statements furnished to the individual pursuant to section 6041(d)(3), 6041A(e)(3), 6050W(f)(2), or 6051(a)(18), or reported by the taxpayer on Form 4137 (or successor).

“(b) LIMITATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount allowed as a deduction under this section for any taxable year shall not exceed \$25,000.

“(2) LIMITATION BASED ON ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The amount allowable as a deduction under subsection (a) (after application of paragraph (1)) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by \$100 for each \$1,000 by which the taxpayer’s modified adjusted gross income exceeds \$150,000 (\$300,000 in the case of a joint return).

“(B) MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘modified adjusted gross income’ means the adjusted gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year increased by any amount excluded from gross income under section 911, 931, or 933.

“(c) TIPS RECEIVED IN COURSE OF TRADE OR BUSINESS.—In the case of qualified tips received by an individual during any taxable year in the course of a trade or business (other than the trade or business of performing services as an employee) of such individual, such qualified tips shall be taken into account under subsection (a) only to the extent that the gross income for the taxpayer from such trade or business for such taxable year (including such qualified tips) exceeds the sum of the deductions (other than the deduction allowed under this section) allocable to the trade or business in which such qualified tips are received by the individual for such taxable year.

“(d) QUALIFIED TIPS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified tips’ means cash tips received by an individual in an occupation which customarily and regularly received tips on or before December 31, 2024, as provided by the Secretary.

“(2) EXCLUSIONS.—Such term shall not include any amount received by an individual unless—

“(A) such amount is paid voluntarily without any consequence in the event of nonpayment, is not the subject of negotiation, and is determined by the payor,

“(B) the trade or business in the course of which the individual receives such amount is not a specified service trade or business (as defined in section 199A(d)(2)), and

“(C) such other requirements as may be established by the Secretary in regulations or other guidance are satisfied.

For purposes of subparagraph (B), in the case of an individual receiving tips in the trade or business of performing services as an employee, such individual shall be treated as receiving tips in the course of a trade or business which is a specified service trade or business if the trade or business of the employer is a specified service trade or business.

“(3) CASH TIPS.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘cash tips’ includes tips received from customers that are paid in cash or charged and, in the case of an employee, tips received under any tip-sharing arrangement.

“(e) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—No deduction shall be allowed under this section unless the taxpayer includes on the return of tax for the taxable year such individual’s social security number.

“(2) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER DEFINED.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘social security number’ shall have the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7).

“(f) MARRIED INDIVIDUALS.—If the taxpayer is a married individual (within the meaning of section 7703), this section shall apply only if the taxpayer and the taxpayer’s spouse file a joint return for the taxable year.

“(g) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary to prevent reclassification of income as qualified tips, including regulations or other guidance to prevent abuse of the deduction allowed by this section.

“(h) TERMINATION.—No deduction shall be allowed under this section for any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2028.”

(b) DEDUCTION ALLOWED TO NON-ITEMIZERS.—Section 63(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (4) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) the deduction provided in section 224.”

(c) OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.—Section 6213(g)(2), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (W), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (X) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (X) the following new subparagraph:

“(Y) an omission of a correct social security number required under section 224(e) (relating to deduction for qualified tips).”

(d) EXCLUSION FROM QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME.—Section 199A(c)(4) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) any amount with respect to which a deduction is allowable to the taxpayer under section 224(a) for the taxable year.”

(e) EXTENSION OF TIP CREDIT TO BEAUTY SERVICE BUSINESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 45B(b)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) APPLICATION ONLY TO CERTAIN LINES OF BUSINESS.—In applying paragraph (1) there shall be taken into account only tips received from customers or clients in connection with the following services:

“(A) The providing, delivering, or serving of food or beverages for consumption, if the tipping of employees delivering or serving food or beverages by customers is customary.

“(B) The providing of any of the following services to a customer or client if the tipping of employees providing such services is customary:

“(i) Barbering and hair care.

“(ii) Nail care.

“(iii) Esthetics.

“(iv) Body and spa treatments.”

(2) CREDIT DETERMINED WITH RESPECT TO MINIMUM WAGE IN EFFECT.—Section 45B(b)(1)(B) is amended—

(A) by striking “as in effect on January 1, 2007, and”, and

(B) by inserting “, and in the case of food or beverage establishments, as in effect on January 1, 2007” after “without regard to section 3(m) of such Act”.

(f) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) RETURNS FOR PAYMENTS MADE IN THE COURSE OF A TRADE OR BUSINESS.—

(A) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO SECRETARY.—Section 6041(a) is amended by inserting “(including a separate accounting of any such amounts reasonably designated as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips)” after “such gains, profits, and income”.

(B) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO PAYEE.—Section 6041(d) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (1), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) in the case of compensation to non-employees, the portion of payments that have been reasonably designated as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips.”

(2) RETURNS FOR PAYMENTS MADE FOR SERVICES AND DIRECT SALES.—

(A) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO SECRETARY.—Section 6041A(a) is amended by inserting “(including a separate accounting of any such amounts reasonably designated as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips)” after “amount of such payments”.

(B) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO PAYEE.—Section 6041A(e) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (1), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) in the case of subsection (a), the portion of payments that have been reasonably designated as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips.”

(3) RETURNS RELATING TO THIRD PARTY SETTLEMENT ORGANIZATIONS.—

(A) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO SECRETARY.—Section 6050W(a) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (1), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) in the case of a third party settlement organization, the portion of reportable payment transactions that have been reasonably designated by payors as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips.”

(B) STATEMENT FURNISHED TO PAYEE.—Section 6050W(f)(2) is amended by inserting “(including a separate accounting of any such amounts that have been reasonably designated by payors as cash tips and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) of the person receiving such tips)” after “reportable payment transactions”.

(4) RETURNS RELATED TO WAGES.—Section 6051(a) is amended by striking “and” at the end

of paragraph (16), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (17) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after paragraph (17) the following new paragraph:

“(18) the total amount of cash tips reported by the employee under section 6053(a) and the occupation described in section 224(d)(1) such person.”.

(g) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part VII of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by redesignating the item relating to section 224 as relating to section 225 and by inserting after the item relating to section 223 the following new item:

“Sec. 224. Qualified tips.”.

(h) **PUBLISHED LIST OF OCCUPATIONS TRADITIONALLY RECEIVING TIPS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall publish a list of occupations which customarily and regularly received tips on or before December 31, 2024, for purposes of section 224(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added by subsection (a)).

(i) **WITHHOLDING.**—The Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall modify the procedures prescribed under section 3402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025, to take into account the deduction allowed under section 224 of such Code (as added by this Act).

(j) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

(k) **TRANSITION RULE.**—In the case of any cash tips required to be reported for periods before January 1, 2026, persons required to file returns or statements under section 6041(a), 6041(d)(3), 6041A(a), 6041A(e)(3), 6050W(a), or 6050W(f)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as amended by this section) may approximate a separate accounting of amounts designated as cash tips by any reasonable method specified by the Secretary.

SEC. 70202. NO TAX ON OVERTIME.

(a) **DEDUCTION ALLOWED.**—Part VII of subchapter B of chapter 1, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by redesignating section 225 as section 226 and by inserting after section 224 the following new section:

“SEC. 225. QUALIFIED OVERTIME COMPENSATION.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—There shall be allowed as a deduction an amount equal to the qualified overtime compensation received during the taxable year and included on statements furnished to the individual pursuant to section 6041(d)(4) or 6051(a)(19).

“(b) **LIMITATION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amount allowed as a deduction under this section for any taxable year shall not exceed \$12,500 (\$25,000 in the case of a joint return).

“(2) **LIMITATION BASED ON ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The amount allowable as a deduction under subsection (a) (after application of paragraph (1)) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by \$100 for each \$1,000 by which the taxpayer’s modified adjusted gross income exceeds \$150,000 (\$300,000 in the case of a joint return).

“(B) **MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘modified adjusted gross income’ means the adjusted gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year increased by any amount excluded from gross income under section 911, 931, or 933.

“(c) **QUALIFIED OVERTIME COMPENSATION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified overtime compensation’ means overtime compensation paid to an individual required under section 7 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 that is in excess of the regular rate (as used in such section) at which such individual is employed.

“(2) **EXCLUSIONS.**—Such term shall not include any qualified tip (as defined in section 224(d)).

“(d) **SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—No deduction shall be allowed under this section unless the taxpayer includes on the return of tax for the taxable year such individual’s social security number.

“(2) **SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER DEFINED.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘social security number’ shall have the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7).

“(e) **MARRIED INDIVIDUALS.**—If the taxpayer is a married individual (within the meaning of section 7703), this section shall apply only if the taxpayer and the taxpayer’s spouse file a joint return for the taxable year.

“(f) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section, including regulations or other guidance to prevent abuse of the deduction allowed by this section.

“(g) **TERMINATION.**—No deduction shall be allowed under this section for any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2028.”.

(b) **DEDUCTION ALLOWED TO NON-ITEMIZERS.**—Section 63(b), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (5) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) the deduction provided in section 225.”.

(c) **REPORTING.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT TO INCLUDE OVERTIME COMPENSATION ON W-2.**—Section 6051(a), as amended by the preceding provision of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (17), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (18) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after paragraph (18) the following new paragraph:

“(19) the total amount of qualified overtime compensation (as defined in section 225(c)).”.

(2) **PAYMENTS TO PERSONS NOT TREATED AS EMPLOYEES UNDER TAX LAWS.**—

(A) **STATEMENT FURNISHED TO SECRETARY.**—Section 6041(a), as amended by section 70201(e)(1)(A), is amended by inserting “and a separate accounting of any amount of qualified overtime compensation (as defined in section 225(c))” after “occupation of the person receiving such tips”.

(B) **STATEMENT FURNISHED TO PAYEE.**—Section 6041(d), as amended by section 70201(e)(1)(B), is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

“(4) the portion of payments that are qualified overtime compensation (as defined in section 225(c)).”.

(d) **OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.**—Section 6213(g)(2), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (X), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (Y) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (Y) the following new subparagraph:

“(Z) an omission of a correct social security number required under section 225(d) (relating to deduction for qualified overtime).”.

(e) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part VII of subchapter B of chapter 1, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by redesignating the item relating to section 225 as an item relating to section 226 and by inserting after the item relating to section 224 the following new item:

“Sec. 225. Qualified overtime compensation.”.

(f) **WITHHOLDING.**—The Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall modify the procedures prescribed under section

3402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025, to take into account the deduction allowed under section 225 of such Code (as added by this Act).

(g) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

(h) **TRANSITION RULE.**—In the case of qualified overtime compensation required to be reported for periods before January 1, 2026, persons required to file returns or statements under section 6051(a)(19), 6041(a), or 6041(d)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as amended by this section) may approximate a separate accounting of amounts designated as qualified overtime compensation by any reasonable method specified by the Secretary.

SEC. 70203. NO TAX ON CAR LOAN INTEREST.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 163(h) is amended by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5) and by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

“(4) **SPECIAL RULES FOR TAXABLE YEARS 2025 THROUGH 2028 RELATING TO QUALIFIED PASSENGER VEHICLE LOAN INTEREST.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024, and before January 1, 2029, for purposes of this subsection the term ‘personal interest’ shall not include qualified passenger vehicle loan interest.

“(B) **QUALIFIED PASSENGER VEHICLE LOAN INTEREST DEFINED.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘qualified passenger vehicle loan interest’ means any interest which is paid or accrued during the taxable year on indebtedness incurred by the taxpayer after December 31, 2024, for the purchase of, and that is secured by a first lien on, an applicable passenger vehicle for personal use.

“(ii) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Such term shall not include any amount paid or incurred on any of the following:

“(I) A loan to finance fleet sales.

“(II) A loan incurred for the purchase of a commercial vehicle that is not used for personal purposes.

“(III) Any lease financing.

“(IV) A loan to finance the purchase of a vehicle with a salvage title.

“(V) A loan to finance the purchase of a vehicle intended to be used for scrap or parts.

“(iii) **VIN REQUIREMENT.**—Interest shall not be treated as qualified passenger vehicle loan interest under this paragraph unless the taxpayer includes the vehicle identification number of the applicable passenger vehicle described in clause (i) on the return of tax for the taxable year.

“(C) **LIMITATIONS.**—

“(i) **DOLLAR LIMIT.**—The amount of interest taken into account by a taxpayer under subparagraph (B) for any taxable year shall not exceed \$10,000.

“(ii) **LIMITATION BASED ON MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—The amount which is otherwise allowable as a deduction under subsection (a) as qualified passenger vehicle loan interest (determined without regard to this clause and after the application of clause (i)) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by \$200 for each \$1,000 (or portion thereof) by which the modified adjusted gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year exceeds \$100,000 (\$200,000 in the case of a joint return).

“(II) **MODIFIED ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.**—For purposes of this clause, the term ‘modified adjusted gross income’ means the adjusted gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year increased by any amount excluded from gross income under section 911, 931, or 933.

“(D) **APPLICABLE PASSENGER VEHICLE.**—The term ‘applicable passenger vehicle’ means any vehicle—

“(i) the original use of which commences with the taxpayer,

“(ii) which is manufactured primarily for use on public streets, roads, and highways (not including a vehicle operated exclusively on a rail or rails),

“(iii) which has at least 2 wheels,

“(iv) which is a car, minivan, van, sport utility vehicle, pickup truck, or motorcycle,

“(v) which is treated as a motor vehicle for purposes of title II of the Clean Air Act, and

“(vi) which has a gross vehicle weight rating of less than 14,000 pounds.

Such term shall not include any vehicle the final assembly of which did not occur within the United States.

“(E) OTHER DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) FINAL ASSEMBLY.—For purposes of subparagraph (D), the term ‘final assembly’ means the process by which a manufacturer produces a vehicle at, or through the use of, a plant, factory, or other place from which the vehicle is delivered to a dealer with all component parts necessary for the mechanical operation of the vehicle included with the vehicle, whether or not the component parts are permanently installed in or on the vehicle.

“(ii) TREATMENT OF REFINANCING.—Indebtedness described in subparagraph (B) shall include indebtedness that results from refinancing any indebtedness described in such subparagraph, and that is secured by a first lien on the applicable passenger vehicle with respect to which the refinanced indebtedness was incurred, but only to the extent the amount of such resulting indebtedness does not exceed the amount of such refinanced indebtedness.

“(iii) RELATED PARTIES.—Indebtedness described in subparagraph (B) shall not include any indebtedness owed to a person who is related (within the meaning of section 267(b) or 707(b)(1)) to the taxpayer.”.

(b) DEDUCTION ALLOWED TO NON-ITEMIZERS.—Section 63(b), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (5), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (6) and inserting “and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) so much of the deduction allowed by section 163(a) as is attributable to the exception under section 163(h)(4)(A).”.

(c) REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subpart B of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6050AA. RETURNS RELATING TO APPLICABLE PASSENGER VEHICLE LOAN INTEREST RECEIVED IN TRADE OR BUSINESS FROM INDIVIDUALS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Any person—

“(1) who is engaged in a trade or business, and

“(2) who, in the course of such trade or business, receives from any individual interest aggregating \$600 or more for any calendar year on a specified passenger vehicle loan, shall make the return described in subsection (b) with respect to each individual from whom such interest was received at such time as the Secretary may provide.

“(b) FORM AND MANNER OF RETURNS.—A return is described in this subsection if such return—

“(1) is in such form as the Secretary may prescribe, and

“(2) contains—

“(A) the name and address of the individual from whom the interest described in subsection (a)(2) was received,

“(B) the amount of such interest received for the calendar year,

“(C) the amount of outstanding principal on the specified passenger vehicle loan as of the beginning of such calendar year,

“(D) the date of the origination of such loan,

“(E) the year, make, model, and vehicle identification number of the applicable passenger vehicle which secures such loan (or such other de-

scription of such vehicle as the Secretary may prescribe), and

“(F) such other information as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(c) STATEMENTS TO BE FURNISHED TO INDIVIDUALS WITH RESPECT TO WHOM INFORMATION IS REQUIRED.—Every person required to make a return under subsection (a) shall furnish to each individual whose name is required to be set forth in such return a written statement showing—

“(1) the name, address, and phone number of the information contact of the person required to make such return, and

“(2) the information described in subparagraphs (B), (C), (D), and (E) of subsection (b)(2) with respect to such individual (and such information as is described in subsection (b)(2)(F) with respect to such individual as the Secretary may provide for purposes of this subsection).

The written statement required under the preceding sentence shall be furnished on or before January 31 of the year following the calendar year for which the return under subsection (a) was required to be made.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Terms used in this section which are also used in paragraph (4) of section 163(h) shall have the same meaning as when used in such paragraph.

“(2) SPECIFIED PASSENGER VEHICLE LOAN.—The term ‘specified passenger vehicle loan’ means the indebtedness described in section 163(h)(4)(B) with respect to any applicable passenger vehicle.

“(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section, including regulations or other guidance to prevent the duplicate reporting of information under this section.

“(f) APPLICABILITY.—No return shall be required under this section for any period to which section 163(h)(4) does not apply.”.

(2) PENALTIES.—Section 6724(d) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking “or” at the end of clause (xvii), by striking “and” at the end of clause (xxviii) and inserting “or”, and by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xxix) section 6050AA(a) (relating to returns relating to applicable passenger vehicle loan interest received in trade or business from individuals),”, and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (KK), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (LL) and inserting “, or”, and by inserting after subparagraph (LL) the following new subparagraph:

“(MM) section 6050AA(c) (relating to statements relating to applicable passenger vehicle loan interest received in trade or business from individuals).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 56(e)(1)(B) is amended by striking “section 163(h)(4)” and inserting “section 163(h)(5).”.

(2) The table of sections for subpart B of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6050AA. Returns relating to applicable passenger vehicle loan interest received in trade or business from individuals.”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to indebtedness incurred after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70204. TRUMP ACCOUNTS AND CONTRIBUTION PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) TRUMP ACCOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter F of chapter 1 is amended by adding at the end the following new part:

“PART IX—TRUMP ACCOUNTS

“Sec. 530A. Trump accounts.

“SEC. 530A. TRUMP ACCOUNTS.

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in this section or under regulations or guidance es-

tablished by the Secretary, a Trump account shall be treated for purposes of this title in the same manner as an individual retirement account under section 408(a).

“(b) TRUMP ACCOUNT.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘Trump account’ means an individual retirement account (as defined in section 408(a)) which is not designated as a Roth IRA and which meets the following requirements:

“(A) The account—

“(i) is created or organized by the Secretary for the exclusive benefit of an eligible individual or such eligible individual’s beneficiaries, or

“(ii) is—

“(I) created or organized in the United States for the exclusive benefit of an individual who has not attained the age of 18 before the end of the calendar year, or such individual’s beneficiaries, and

“(II) funded by a qualified rollover contribution.

“(B) The account is designated (in such manner as the Secretary shall prescribe) at the time of the establishment of the account as a Trump account.

“(C) The written governing instrument creating the account meets the following requirements:

“(i) No contribution will be accepted—

“(I) before the date that is 12 months after the date of the enactment of this section, or

“(II) in the case of a contribution made in any calendar year before the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18, if such contribution would result in aggregate contributions (other than exempt contributions) for such calendar year in excess of the contribution limit specified in subsection (c)(2)(A).

“(ii) Except as provided in subsection (d), no distribution will be allowed before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(iii) No part of the account funds will be invested in any asset other than an eligible investment during any period before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(2) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL.—The term ‘eligible individual’ means any individual—

“(A) who has not attained the age of 18 before the close of the calendar year in which the election under subparagraph (C) is made,

“(B) for whom a social security number (within the meaning of section 24(h)(7)) has been issued before the date on which an election under subsection (C) is made, and

“(C) for whom—

“(i) an election is made under this subparagraph by the Secretary if the Secretary determines (based on information available to the Secretary from tax returns or otherwise) that such individual meets the requirements of subparagraphs (A) and (B) and no prior election has been made for such individual under clause (ii), or

“(ii) an election is made under this subparagraph by a person other than the Secretary (at such time and in such manner as the Secretary may prescribe) for the establishment of a Trump account if no prior election has been made for such individual under clause (i).

“(3) ELIGIBLE INVESTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘eligible investment’ means any mutual fund or exchange traded fund which—

“(i) tracks the returns of a qualified index,

“(ii) does not use leverage,

“(iii) does not have annual fees and expenses of more than 0.1 percent of the balance of the investment in the fund, and

“(iv) meets such other criteria as the Secretary determines appropriate for purposes of this section.

“(B) QUALIFIED INDEX.—The term ‘qualified index’ means—

“(i) the Standard and Poor’s 500 stock market index, or

“(ii) any other index—

“(I) which is comprised of equity investments in primarily United States companies, and

“(II) for which regulated futures contracts (as defined in section 1256(g)(1)) are traded on a qualified board or exchange (as defined in section 1256(g)(7)).

Such term shall not include any industry or sector-specific index, but may include an index based on market capitalization.

“(4) ACCOUNT BENEFICIARY.—The term ‘account beneficiary’ means the individual on whose behalf the Trump account was established.

“(c) TREATMENT OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—

“(1) NO DEDUCTION ALLOWED.—No deduction shall be allowed under section 219 for any contribution which is made before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(2) CONTRIBUTION LIMIT.—In the case of any contribution made before the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The aggregate amount of contributions (other than exempt contributions) for such calendar year shall not exceed \$5,000.

“(B) EXEMPT CONTRIBUTION.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘exempt contribution’ means—

“(i) a qualified rollover contribution,

“(ii) any qualified general contribution, or

“(iii) any contribution provided under section 6434.

“(C) COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year after 2027, the \$5,000 amount under subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(I) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(II) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2026’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(ii) ROUNDING.—If any increase under this subparagraph is not a multiple of \$100, such amount shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$100.

“(3) TIMING OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—Section 219(f)(3) shall not apply to any contribution made to a Trump account for any taxable year ending before the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(d) DISTRIBUTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, no distribution shall be allowed before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(2) TAX TREATMENT OF ALLOWABLE DISTRIBUTIONS.—For purposes of applying section 72 to any amount distributed from a Trump account, the investment in the contract shall not include—

“(A) any qualified general contribution,

“(B) any contribution provided under section 6434, and

“(C) the amount of any contribution which is excluded from gross income under section 128.

“(3) QUALIFIED ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTIONS.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any distribution which is a qualified rollover contribution and the amount of such distribution shall not be included in the gross income of the beneficiary.

“(4) QUALIFIED ABLE ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any distribution which is a qualified ABLE rollover contribution and the amount of such distribution shall not be included in the gross income of the beneficiary.

“(B) QUALIFIED ABLE ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified ABLE rollover contribution’ means an amount which is paid during the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 17 in a direct trustee-to-trustee transfer from a Trump account maintained for the benefit of the account beneficiary to an ABLE account (as de-

fined in section 529A(e)(6)) for the benefit of the such account beneficiary, but only if the amount of such payment is equal to the entire balance of the Trump account from which the payment is made.

“(5) DISTRIBUTIONS OF EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS.—In the case of any contribution which is made before the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18 and which is in excess of the limitation in effect under subsection (c)(2)(A) for the calendar year—

“(A) paragraph (1) shall not apply to the distribution of such excess,

“(B) the amount of such distribution shall not be included in gross income of the account beneficiary, and

“(C) the tax imposed by this chapter on the distributee for the taxable year in which the distribution is made shall be increased by 100 percent of the amount of net income attributable to such excess (determined without regard to subparagraph (B)).

“(6) TREATMENT OF DEATH OF ACCOUNT BENEFICIARY.—If, by reason of the death of the account beneficiary before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18, any person acquires the account beneficiary’s interest in the Trump account—

“(A) paragraph (1) shall not apply,

“(B) such account shall cease to be a Trump account as of the date of death, and

“(C) an amount equal to the fair market value of the assets (reduced by the investment in the contract) in such account on such date shall—

“(i) if such person is not the estate of such beneficiary, be includible in such person’s gross income for the taxable year which includes such date, or

“(ii) if such person is the estate of such beneficiary, be includible in such beneficiary’s gross income for the last taxable year of such beneficiary.

“(e) QUALIFIED ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified rollover contribution’ means an amount which is paid in a direct trustee-to-trustee transfer from a Trump account maintained for the benefit of the account beneficiary to a Trump account maintained for such beneficiary, but only if the amount of such payment is equal to the entire balance of the Trump account from which the payment is made.

“(f) QUALIFIED GENERAL CONTRIBUTION.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified general contribution’ means any contribution which—

“(A) is made by the Secretary pursuant to a general funding contribution,

“(B) is made to the Trump account of an account beneficiary in the qualified class of account beneficiaries specified in the general funding contribution, and

“(C) is in an amount which is equal to the ratio of—

“(i) the amount of such general funding contribution, to

“(ii) the number of account beneficiaries in such qualified class.

“(2) GENERAL FUNDING CONTRIBUTION.—The term ‘general funding contribution’ means a contribution which—

“(A) is made by—

“(i) an entity described in section 170(c)(1) (other than a possession of the United States or a political subdivision thereof) or an Indian tribal government, or

“(ii) an organization described in section 501(c)(3) and exempt from tax under section 501(a), and

“(B) which specifies a qualified class of account beneficiaries to whom such contribution is to be distributed.

“(3) QUALIFIED CLASS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified class’ means any of the following:

“(i) All account beneficiaries who have not attained the age of 18 before the close of the calendar year in which the contribution is made.

“(ii) All account beneficiaries who have not attained the age of 18 before the close of the calendar year in which the contribution is made and who reside in one or more States or other qualified geographic areas specified by the terms of the general funding contribution.

“(iii) All account beneficiaries who have not attained the age of 18 before the close of the calendar year in which the contribution is made and who were born in one or more calendar years specified by the terms of the general funding contribution.

“(B) QUALIFIED GEOGRAPHIC AREA.—The term ‘qualified geographic area’ means any geographic area in which not less than 5,000 account beneficiaries reside and which is designated by the Secretary as a qualified geographic area under this subparagraph.

“(g) TRUSTEE SELECTION.—In the case of any Trump account created or organized by the Secretary, the Secretary shall take into account the following criteria in selecting the trustee:

“(1) The history of reliability and regulatory compliance of the trustee.

“(2) The customer service experience of the trustee.

“(3) The costs imposed by the trustee on the account or the account beneficiary.

“(h) OTHER SPECIAL RULES AND COORDINATION WITH INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNT RULES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The rules of subsections (k) and (p) of section 408 shall not apply to a Trump account, and the rules of subsections (d) and (i) of section 408 shall not apply to a Trump account for any taxable year beginning before the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18.

“(2) CUSTODIAL ACCOUNTS.—In the case of a Trump account, section 408(h) shall be applied by substituting ‘a Trump account described in section 530A(b)(1)’ for ‘an individual retirement account described in subsection (a)’.

“(3) CONTRIBUTIONS.—In the case of any taxable year beginning before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18, a contribution to a Trump account shall not be taken into account in applying any contribution limit to any individual retirement plan other than a Trump account.

“(4) DISTRIBUTIONS.—Section 408(d)(2) shall be applied separately with respect to Trump Accounts and other individual retirement plans.

“(5) EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS.—For purposes of applying section 4973(b) to a Trump account for any taxable year beginning before the first day of the calendar year in which the account beneficiary attains age 18, the term ‘excess contributions’ means the sum of—

“(A) the amount by which the amount contributed to the account for the calendar year in which taxable year begins exceeds the amount permitted to be contributed to the account under subsection (c)(2), and

“(B) the amount determined under this paragraph for the preceding taxable year.

For purposes of this paragraph, the excess contributions for a taxable year are reduced by the distributions to which subsection (d)(5) applies that are made during the taxable year or by the date prescribed by law (including extensions of time) for filing the account beneficiary’s return for the taxable year.

“(i) REPORTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The trustee of a Trump account shall make such reports regarding such account to the Secretary and to the beneficiary of the account at such time and in such manner as may be required by the Secretary. Such reports shall include information with respect to—

“(A) contributions (including the amount and source of any contribution in excess of \$25 made from a person other than the Secretary, the account beneficiary, or the parent or legal guardian of the account beneficiary),

“(B) distributions (including distributions which are qualified rollover contributions),

“(C) the fair market value of the account,

“(D) the investment in the contract with respect to such account, and

“(E) such other matters as the Secretary may require.

“(2) **QUALIFIED ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTIONS.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of any qualified rollover contribution, the trustee of the Trump account to which the contribution was made shall make a report to the Secretary. Such report shall include—

“(A) the name, address, and social security number of the account beneficiary,

“(B) the name and address of such trustee,

“(C) the account number,

“(D) the routing number of the trustee, and

“(E) such other information as the Secretary may require.

“(3) **PERIOD OF REPORTING.**—This subsection shall not apply to any period after the calendar year in which the beneficiary attains age 17.”.

(2) **QUALIFIED ABLE ROLLOVER CONTRIBUTIONS EXEMPT FROM ABLE CONTRIBUTION LIMITATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 529A(b)(2)(B) is amended by inserting “or received in a qualified ABLE rollover contribution described in section 530A(d)(4)(B)” after “except as provided in the case of contributions under subsection (c)(1)(C)”.

(B) **PROHIBITION ON EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS.**—The second sentence of section 529A(b)(6) is amended by inserting “but do not include any contributions received in a qualified ABLE rollover contribution described in section 530A(d)(4)(B)” before the period at the end.

(C) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 4973(h)(1) is amended by inserting “or contributions received in a qualified ABLE rollover contribution described in section 530A(d)(4)(B)” after “other than contributions under section 529A(c)(1)(C)”.

(3) **FAILURE TO PROVIDE REPORTS ON TRUMP ACCOUNTS.**—Section 6693(a)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following new subparagraph:

“(G) section 530A(i) (relating to Trump accounts).”.

(4) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—

(A) The table of parts for subchapter F of chapter 1 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“PART IX—TRUMP ACCOUNTS”.

(b) **EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 127 the following new section:

“**SEC. 128. EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS TO TRUMP ACCOUNTS.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Gross income of an employee does not include amounts paid by the employer as a contribution to the Trump account of such employee or of any dependent of such employee if the amounts are paid or incurred pursuant to a program which is described in subsection (c).

“(b) **LIMITATION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amount which may be excluded under subsection (a) with respect to any employee shall not exceed \$2,500.

“(2) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2027, the \$2,500 amount in paragraph (1) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins by substituting ‘calendar year 2026’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(B) **ROUNDING.**—If any increase determined under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$100, such increase shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$100.

“(c) **TRUMP ACCOUNT CONTRIBUTION PROGRAM.**—For purposes of this section, a Trump account contribution program is a separate written plan of an employer for the exclusive benefit of his employees to provide contributions to the Trump accounts of such employees or dependents of such employees which meets requirements similar to the requirements of paragraphs (2), (3), (6), (7), and (8) of section 129(d).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 127 the following new item:

“Sec. 128. Employer contributions to Trump accounts.”.

(c) **CERTAIN CONTRIBUTIONS EXCLUDED FROM GROSS INCOME.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting before section 140 the following new section:

“**SEC. 139J. CERTAIN CONTRIBUTIONS TO TRUMP ACCOUNTS.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Gross income of an account beneficiary shall not include any qualified general contribution to a Trump account of the account beneficiary.

“(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—Any term used in this section which is used in section 530A shall have the meaning given such term under section 530A.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part III of subchapter B is amended by inserting before the item relating to section 140 the following new item:

“Sec. 139J. Certain contributions to Trump accounts.”.

(d) **TRUMP ACCOUNTS CONTRIBUTION PILOT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter B of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“**SEC. 6434. TRUMP ACCOUNTS CONTRIBUTION PILOT PROGRAM.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of an individual who makes an election under this section with respect to an eligible child of the individual, such eligible child shall be treated as making a payment against the tax imposed by subtitle A (for the taxable year for which the election was made) in an amount equal to \$1,000.

“(b) **REFUND OF PAYMENT.**—The amount treated as a payment under subsection (a) shall be paid by the Secretary to the Trump account with respect to which such eligible child is the account beneficiary.

“(c) **ELIGIBLE CHILD.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘eligible child’ means a qualifying child (as defined in section 152(c))—

“(1) who is born after December 31, 2024, and before January 1, 2029,

“(2) with respect to whom no prior election has been made under this section by such individual or any other individual, and

“(3) who is a United States citizen.

“(d) **ELECTION.**—An election under this section shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary shall provide.

“(e) **SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIRED.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—This section shall not apply to any taxpayer unless such individual includes with the election made under this section the social security number of the eligible child with respect to whom the election is made.

“(2) **SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER DEFINED.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘social security number’ shall have the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7), determined by substituting ‘before the date of the election made under section 6434’ for ‘before the due date of such return’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(f) **EXCEPTION FROM REDUCTION OR OFFSET.**—Any payment made to any individual under this section shall not be—

“(1) subject to reduction or offset pursuant to subsection (c), (d), (e), or (f) of section 6402 or any similar authority permitting offset, or

“(2) reduced or offset by other assessed Federal taxes that would otherwise be subject to levy or collection.

“(g) **SPECIAL RULE REGARDING INTEREST.**—The period determined under section 6611(a) with respect to any payment under this section shall not begin before January 1, 2028.

“(h) **MIRROR CODE POSSESSIONS.**—In the case of any possession of the United States with a mirror code tax system (as defined in section 24(k)), this section shall not be treated as part of the income tax laws of the United States for purposes of determining the income tax law of such possession unless such possession elects to have this section be so treated.

“(i) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section, the terms ‘Trump account’ and ‘account beneficiary’ have the meaning given such terms in section 530A(b).”.

(2) **PENALTY FOR NEGLIGENT CLAIM OR FRAUDULENT CLAIM.**—Part I of subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“**SEC. 6659. IMPROPER CLAIM FOR TRUMP ACCOUNT CONTRIBUTION PILOT PROGRAM CREDIT.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any individual who makes an election under section 6434 with respect to an individual who is not an eligible child of the taxpayer—

“(1) if such election was made due to negligence or disregard of the rules or regulations, there shall be imposed a penalty of \$500, or

“(2) if such election was made due to fraud, there shall be imposed a penalty of \$1,000.

“(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—

“(1) **ELIGIBLE CHILD.**—The term ‘eligible child’ has the meaning given such term under section 6434.

“(2) **NEGLIGENCE; DISREGARD.**—The terms ‘negligence’ and ‘disregard’ have the same meaning as when such terms are used in section 6662.”.

(3) **OMISSION OF CORRECT SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.**—Section 6213(g)(2), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (Y), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (Z) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (Z) the following new subparagraph:

“(AA) an omission of a correct social security number required under section 6434(e)(1) (relating to the Trump accounts contribution pilot program).”.

(4) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) The table of sections for subchapter B of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6434. Trump accounts contribution pilot program.”.

(B) The table of sections for part I of subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6658 the following new item:

“Sec. 6659. Improper claim for Trump account contribution pilot program credit.”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

(f) **FUNDING.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Department of the Treasury, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$410,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2034, to carry out the amendments made by this section.

CHAPTER 3—ESTABLISHING CERTAINTY AND COMPETITIVENESS FOR AMERICAN JOB CREATORS

Subchapter A—Permanent U.S. Business Tax Reform and Boosting Domestic Investment

SEC. 70301. FULL EXPENSING FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS PROPERTY.

(a) **MADE PERMANENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 168(k)(2)(A) is amended by adding “and” at the end of clause

(i), by striking “, and” at the end of clause (ii) and inserting a period, and by striking clause (iii).

(2) **PROPERTY WITH LONGER PRODUCTION PERIODS.**—Section 168(k)(2)(B) is amended—

(A) in clause (i), by striking subclauses (II) and (III) and redesignating subclauses (IV), (V), and (VI), as subclauses (II), (III), and (IV), respectively, and

(B) by striking clause (ii) and redesignating clauses (iii) and (iv) as clauses (ii) and (iii), respectively.

(3) **SELF-CONSTRUCTED PROPERTY.**—Section 168(k)(2)(E) is amended by striking clause (i) and redesignating clauses (ii) and (iii) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively.

(4) **CERTAIN PLANTS.**—Section 168(k)(5)(A) is amended by striking “planted before January 1, 2027, or is grafted before such date to a plant that has already been planted,” in the matter preceding clause (i) and inserting “planted or grafted”.

(5) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) Section 168(k)(2)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “clause (ii) of subparagraph (E)” and inserting “clause (i) of subparagraph (E)”.

(B) Section 168(k)(2)(C)(i) is amended by striking “and subclauses (II) and (III) of subparagraph (B)(i)”.

(C) Section 168(k)(2)(C)(ii) is amended by striking “subparagraph (B)(iii)” and inserting “subparagraph (B)(ii)”.

(D) Section 460(c)(6)(B) is amended by striking “which” and all that follows through the period and inserting “which has a recovery period of 7 years or less.”.

(b) **100 PERCENT EXPENSING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 168(k) is amended—
(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “the applicable percentage” and inserting “100 percent”, and

(B) by striking paragraphs (6) and (8).

(2) **CERTAIN PLANTS.**—Section 168(k)(5)(A)(i) is amended by striking “the applicable percentage” and inserting “100 percent”.

(3) **TRANSITIONAL ELECTION OF REDUCED PERCENTAGE.**—Section 168(k)(10) is amended by striking subparagraph (A), by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C), and by inserting before subparagraph (C) (as so redesignated) the following new subparagraphs:

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of qualified property placed in service by the taxpayer during the first taxable year ending after January 19, 2025, if the taxpayer elects to have this paragraph apply for such taxable year, paragraph (1)(A) shall be applied—

“(i) in the case of property which is not described in clause (ii), by substituting ‘40 percent’ for ‘100 percent’, or

“(ii) in the case of property which is described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (2), by substituting ‘60 percent’ for ‘100 percent’.

“(B) **SPECIFIED PLANTS.**—In the case of any specified plant planted or grafted by the taxpayer during the first taxable year ending after January 19, 2025, if the taxpayer elects to have this paragraph apply for such taxable year, paragraph (5)(A)(i) shall be applied by substituting ‘40 percent’ for ‘100 percent’.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to property acquired after January 19, 2025.

(2) **SPECIFIED PLANTS.**—Except as provided in paragraph (3), in the case of any specified plant (as defined in section 168(k)(5)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by this section), the amendments made by this section shall apply to such plants which are planted or grafted after January 19, 2025.

(3) **TRANSITIONAL ELECTION OF REDUCED PERCENTAGE.**—The amendment made by subsection (b)(3) shall apply to taxable years ending after January 19, 2025.

(4) **ACQUISITION DATE DETERMINATION.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), property shall not be

treated as acquired after the date on which a written binding contract is entered into for such acquisition.

SEC. 70302. FULL EXPENSING OF DOMESTIC RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTAL EXPENDITURES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Part VI of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 174 the following new section:

“SEC. 174A. DOMESTIC RESEARCH OR EXPERIMENTAL EXPENDITURES.

“(a) **TREATMENT AS EXPENSES.**—Notwithstanding section 263, there shall be allowed as a deduction any domestic research or experimental expenditures which are paid or incurred by the taxpayer during the taxable year.

“(b) **DOMESTIC RESEARCH OR EXPERIMENTAL EXPENDITURES.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘domestic research or experimental expenditures’ means research or experimental expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer in connection with the taxpayer’s trade or business other than such expenditures which are attributable to foreign research (within the meaning of section 41(d)(4)(F)).

“(c) **AMORTIZATION OF CERTAIN DOMESTIC RESEARCH OR EXPERIMENTAL EXPENDITURES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—At the election of the taxpayer, made in accordance with regulations or other guidance provided by the Secretary, in the case of domestic research or experimental expenditures which would (but for subsection (a)) be chargeable to capital account but not chargeable to property of a character which is subject to the allowance under section 167 (relating to allowance for depreciation, etc.) or section 611 (relating to allowance for depletion), subsection (a) shall not apply and the taxpayer shall—

“(A) charge such expenditures to capital account, and

“(B) be allowed an amortization deduction of such expenditures ratably over such period of not less than 60 months as may be selected by the taxpayer (beginning with the month in which the taxpayer first realizes benefits from such expenditures).

“(2) **TIME FOR AND SCOPE OF ELECTION.**—The election provided by paragraph (1) may be made for any taxable year, but only if made not later than the time prescribed by law for filing the return for such taxable year (including extensions thereof). The method so elected, and the period selected by the taxpayer, shall be adhered to in computing taxable income for the taxable year for which the election is made and for all subsequent taxable years unless, with the approval of the Secretary, a change to a different method (or to a different period) is authorized with respect to part or all of such expenditures. The election shall not apply to any expenditure paid or incurred during any taxable year before the taxable year for which the taxpayer makes the election.

“(d) **SPECIAL RULES.**—

“(1) **LAND AND OTHER PROPERTY.**—This section shall not apply to any expenditure for the acquisition or improvement of land, or for the acquisition or improvement of property to be used in connection with the research or experimentation and of a character which is subject to the allowance under section 167 (relating to allowance for depreciation, etc.) or section 611 (relating to allowance for depletion); but for purposes of this section allowances under section 167, and allowances under section 611, shall be considered as expenditures.

“(2) **EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES.**—This section shall not apply to any expenditure paid or incurred for the purpose of ascertaining the existence, location, extent, or quality of any deposit of ore or other mineral (including oil and gas).

“(3) **SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT.**—For purposes of this section, any amount paid or incurred in connection with the development of any software shall be treated as a research or experimental expenditure.”.

(b) **COORDINATION WITH CERTAIN OTHER PROVISIONS.**—

(1) **FOREIGN RESEARCH EXPENSES.**—Section 174 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking “a taxpayer’s specified research or experimental expenditures” and inserting “a taxpayer’s foreign research or experimental expenditures”, and

(ii) by striking “over the 5-year period (15-year period in the case of any specified research or experimental expenditures which are attributable to foreign research (within the meaning of section 41(d)(4)(F)))” in paragraph (2)(B) and inserting “over the 15-year period”,

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking “specified research” and inserting “foreign research”,

(ii) by inserting “and which are attributable to foreign research (within the meaning of section 41(d)(4)(F))” before the period at the end, and

(iii) by striking “SPECIFIED” in the heading thereof and inserting “FOREIGN”, and

(C) in subsection (d)—

(i) by striking “specified research or experimental expenditures” and inserting “foreign research or experimental expenditures”, and

(ii) by inserting “or reduction to amount realized” after “no deduction”.

(2) **RESEARCH CREDIT.**—

(A) Section 41(d)(1)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) with respect to which expenditures are treated as domestic research or experimental expenditures under section 174A.”.

(B) Section 280C(c)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The domestic research or experimental expenditures (as defined in section 174A(b)) otherwise taken into account as a deduction or charged to capital account under this chapter shall be reduced by the amount of the credit allowed under section 41(a).”.

(3) **AMT ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 56(b)(2) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “or 174(a)” in the matter preceding clause (i) and inserting “, 174(a), or 174A(a)”, and

(ii) by striking “research and experimental expenditures described in section 174(a)” in clause (ii) thereof and inserting “foreign research or experimental expenditures described in section 174(a) and domestic research or experimental expenditures in section 174A(a)”, and

(B) in subparagraph (C), by inserting “or 174A(a)” after “174(a)”.

(4) **OPTIONAL 10-YEAR WRITEOFF.**—Section 59(e)(2)(B) is amended by striking “section 174(a) (relating to research and experimental expenditures)” and inserting “section 174A(a) (relating to domestic research or experimental expenditures)”.

(5) **QUALIFIED SMALL ISSUE BONDS.**—Section 144(a)(4)(C)(iv) is amended by striking “174(a)” and inserting “174A(a)”.

(6) **START-UP EXPENDITURES.**—Section 195(c)(1) is amended by striking “or 174” in the last sentence and inserting “174, or 174A”.

(7) **CAPITAL EXPENDITURES.**—

(A) Section 263(a)(1)(B) is amended by inserting “or 174A” after “174”.

(B) Section 263A(c)(2) is amended by inserting “or 174A” after “174”.

(8) **ACTIVE BUSINESS COMPUTER SOFTWARE ROYALTIES.**—Section 543(d)(4)(A)(i) is amended by inserting “174A,” after “174.”.

(9) **SOURCE RULES.**—Section 864(g)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “research and experimental expenditures within the meaning of section 174” in the first sentence and inserting “foreign research or experimental expenditures within the meaning of section 174 or domestic research or experimental expenditures within the meaning of section 174A”, and

(B) in the last sentence—

(i) by striking “treated as deferred expenses under subsection (b) of section 174” and inserting “allowed as an amortization deduction under section 174(a) or section 174A(c).”, and

(ii) by striking “such subsection” and inserting “such section (as the case may be)”.

(10) **BASIS ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 1016(a)(14) is amended by striking “deductions as deferred expenses under section 174(b)(1) (relating to research and experimental expenditures)” and inserting “deductions under section 174 or 174A(c)”.

(11) **SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.**—Section 1202(e)(2)(B) is amended by striking “which may be treated as research and experimental expenditures under section 174” and inserting “which are treated as foreign research or experimental expenditures under section 174 or domestic research or experimental expenditures under section 174A”.

(c) **CHANGE IN METHOD OF ACCOUNTING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall be treated as a change in method of accounting for purposes of section 481 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and—

(A) such change shall be treated as initiated by the taxpayer,

(B) such change shall be treated as made with the consent of the Secretary, and

(C) such change shall be applied only on a cut-off basis for any domestic research or experimental expenditures (as defined in section 174A(b) of such Code (as added by this section) and determined by applying the rules of section 174A(d) of such Code) paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024, and no adjustments under section 481(a) shall be made.

(2) **SPECIAL RULES.**—In the case of a taxable year which begins after December 31, 2024, and ends before the date of the enactment of this Act—

(A) paragraph (1)(C) shall not apply, and

(B) the change in method of accounting under paragraph (1) shall be applied on a modified cut-off basis, taking into account for purposes of section 481(a) of such Code only the domestic research or experimental expenditures (as defined in section 174A(b) of such Code (as added by this section) and determined by applying the rules of section 174A(d) of such Code) paid or incurred in such taxable year but not allowed as a deduction in such taxable year.

(d) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part VI of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 174 the following new item:

“Sec. 174A. Domestic research or experimental expenditures.”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection or subsection (f)(1), the amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

(2) **TREATMENT OF FOREIGN RESEARCH OR EXPERIMENTAL EXPENDITURES UPON DISPOSITION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendment by subsection (b)(1)(C)(ii) shall apply to property disposed, retired, or abandoned after May 12, 2025.

(B) **NO INFERENCE.**—The amendment made by subsection (b)(1)(C)(ii) shall not be construed to create any inference with respect to the proper application of section 174(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to taxable years beginning before May 13, 2025.

(3) **COORDINATION WITH RESEARCH CREDIT.**—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2)(B) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

(4) **NO INFERENCE WITH RESPECT TO COORDINATION WITH RESEARCH CREDIT FOR PRIOR PERIODS.**—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2)(B) shall not be construed to create any inference with respect to the proper application of section 280C(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to taxable years beginning before January 1, 2025.

(f) **TRANSITION RULES.**—

(1) **ELECTION FOR RETROACTIVE APPLICATION BY CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESSES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—At the election of an eligible taxpayer, paragraphs (1) and (3) of subsection (e) shall each be applied by substituting “December 31, 2021” for “December 31, 2024”. An election made under this subparagraph shall be made in such manner as the Secretary may provide and not later than the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act. The taxpayer shall file an amended return for each taxable year affected by such election.

(B) **ELIGIBLE TAXPAYER.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term “eligible taxpayer” means any taxpayer (other than a tax shelter prohibited from using the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting under section 448(a)(3)) which meets the gross receipts test of section 448(c) for the first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2024.

(C) **ELECTION TREATED AS CHANGE IN METHOD OF ACCOUNTING.**—In the case of any taxpayer which elects the application of subparagraph (A)—

(i) such election may be treated as a change in method of accounting for purposes of section 481 of such Code for the taxpayer’s first taxable year affected by such election,

(ii) such change shall be treated as initiated by the taxpayer for such taxable year,

(iii) such change shall be treated as made with the consent of the Secretary, and

(iv) subsection (c) shall not apply to such taxpayer.

(D) **ELECTION REGARDING COORDINATION WITH RESEARCH CREDIT.**—An election under section 280C(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (or revocation of such election) for any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2021, by an eligible taxpayer making an election under subparagraph (A) shall not fail to be treated as timely made (or as made on the return) if made during the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act on an amended return for such taxable year.

(2) **ELECTION TO DEDUCT CERTAIN UNAMORTIZED AMOUNTS PAID OR INCURRED IN TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2025.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any domestic research or experimental expenditures (as defined in section 174A, as added by subsection (a)) which are paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2025, and which was charged to capital account, a taxpayer may elect—

(i) to deduct any remaining unamortized amount with respect to such expenditures in the first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2024, or

(ii) to deduct such remaining unamortized amount with respect to such expenditures ratably over the 2-taxable year period beginning with the first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2024.

(B) **CHANGE IN METHOD OF ACCOUNTING.**—In the case of a taxpayer who makes an election under this paragraph—

(i) such taxpayer shall be treated as initiating a change in method of accounting for purposes of section 481 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to the expenditures to which the election applies,

(ii) such change shall be treated as made with the consent of the Secretary, and

(iii) such change shall be applied only on a cut-off basis for such expenditures and no adjustments under section 481(a) shall be made.

(C) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall publish such guidance or regulations as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this paragraph, including regulations or guidance allowing for the deduction allowed under subparagraph (A) in the case of taxpayers with taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024, and ending before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70303. MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON BUSINESS INTEREST.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 163(j)(8)(A)(v) is amended by striking “in the case of taxable years beginning before January 1, 2022,”.

(b) **FLOOR PLAN FINANCING APPLICABLE TO CERTAIN TRAILERS AND CAMPERS.**—Section 163(j)(9)(C) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“Such term shall also include any trailer or camper which is designed to provide temporary living quarters for recreational, camping, or seasonal use and is designed to be towed by, or affixed to, a motor vehicle.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE AND SPECIAL RULE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

(2) **SPECIAL RULE FOR SHORT TAXABLE YEARS.**—The Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) may prescribe such rules as are necessary or appropriate to provide for the application of the amendments made by this section in the case of any taxable year of less than 12 months that begins after December 31, 2024, and ends before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70304. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF PAID FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 45S is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of section 38, in the case of an eligible employer, the paid family and medical leave credit is an amount equal to either of the following (as elected by such employer):

“(A) The applicable percentage of the amount of wages paid to qualifying employees with respect to any period in which such employees are on family and medical leave.

“(B) If such employer has an insurance policy with regards to the provision of paid family and medical leave which is in force during the taxable year, the applicable percentage of the total amount of premiums paid or incurred by such employer during such taxable year with respect to such insurance policy.”, and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **RATE OF PAYMENT DETERMINED WITHOUT REGARD TO WHETHER LEAVE IS TAKEN.**—For purposes of determining the applicable percentage with respect to paragraph (1)(B), the rate of payment under the insurance policy shall be determined without regard to whether any qualifying employees were on family and medical leave during the taxable year.”.

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “credit allowed” and inserting “wages taken into account”.

(3) in subsection (c), by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following:

“(3) **AGGREGATION RULE.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), all persons which are treated as a single employer under subsections (b) and (c) of section 414 shall be treated as a single employer.

“(B) **EXCEPTION.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to any person who establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that such person has a substantial and legitimate business reason for failing to provide a written policy described in paragraph (1) or (2).

“(ii) **SUBSTANTIAL AND LEGITIMATE BUSINESS REASON.**—For purposes of clause (i), the term ‘substantial and legitimate business reason’ shall not include the operation of a separate line of business, the rate of wages or category of jobs for employees (or any similar basis), or the application of State or local laws relating to family and medical leave, but may include the grouping of employees of a common law employer.

“(4) **TREATMENT OF BENEFITS MANDATED OR PAID FOR BY STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.**—

For purposes of this section, any leave which is paid by a State or local government or required by State or local law—

“(A) except as provided in subparagraph (B), shall be taken into account in determining the amount of paid family and medical leave provided by the employer, and

“(B) shall not be taken into account in determining the amount of the paid family and medical leave credit under subsection (a).”.

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(or, at the election of the employer, for not less than 6 months)” after “1 year or more”,

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by inserting “, as determined on an annualized basis (pro-rata for part-time employees),” after “compensation”, and

(ii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) is customarily employed for not less than 20 hours per week.”, and

(5) by striking subsection (i).

(b) NO DOUBLE BENEFIT.—Section 280C(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “45S(a)” and inserting “45S(a)(1)(A)”, and

(2) by inserting after the first sentence the following: “No deduction shall be allowed for that portion of the premiums paid or incurred for the taxable year which is equal to that portion of the paid family and medical leave credit which is determined for the taxable year under section 45S(a)(1)(B).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70305. EXCEPTIONS FROM LIMITATIONS ON DEDUCTION FOR BUSINESS MEALS.

(a) EXCEPTION TO DENIAL OF DEDUCTION FOR BUSINESS MEALS.—Section 274(o), as added by section 13304 of Public Law 115-97, is amended by striking “No deduction” and inserting “Except in the case of an expense described in subsection (e)(8) or (n)(2)(C), no deduction”.

(b) MEALS PROVIDED ON CERTAIN FISHING BOATS AND AT CERTAIN FISH PROCESSING FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO 50 PERCENT LIMITATION.—Section 274(n)(2)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “or” at the end of clause (iii) and by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(v) provided—

“(I) on a fishing vessel, fish processing vessel, or fish tender vessel (as such terms are defined in section 2101 of title 46, United States Code), or

“(II) at a facility for the processing of fish for commercial use or consumption which—

“(aa) is located in the United States north of 50 degrees north latitude, and

“(bb) is not located in a metropolitan statistical area (within the meaning of section 143(k)(2)(B)), or”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70306. INCREASED DOLLAR LIMITATIONS FOR EXPENSING OF CERTAIN DEPRECIABLE BUSINESS ASSETS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 179(b) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$2,500,000”, and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$2,500,000” and inserting “\$4,000,000”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 179(b)(6)(A) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(2025 in the case of the dollar amounts in paragraphs (1) and (2))” after “In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2018”, and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking “determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2017’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.” and inserting “determined by substituting in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof—

“(I) in the case of amounts in paragraphs (1) and (2), ‘calendar year 2024’ for ‘calendar year 2016’, and

“(II) in the case of the amount in paragraph (5)(A), ‘calendar year 2017’ for ‘calendar year 2016’.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70307. SPECIAL DEPRECIATION ALLOWANCE FOR QUALIFIED PRODUCTION PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 168 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(n) SPECIAL ALLOWANCE FOR QUALIFIED PRODUCTION PROPERTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any qualified production property of a taxpayer making an election under this subsection—

“(A) the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) for the taxable year in which such property is placed in service shall include an allowance equal to 100 percent of the adjusted basis of the qualified production property, and

“(B) the adjusted basis of the qualified production property shall be reduced by the amount of such deduction before computing the amount otherwise allowable as a depreciation deduction under this chapter for such taxable year and any subsequent taxable year.

“(2) QUALIFIED PRODUCTION PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified production property’ means that portion of any nonresidential real property—

“(i) to which this section applies,

“(ii) which is used by the taxpayer as an integral part of a qualified production activity,

“(iii) which is placed in service in the United States or any possession of the United States,

“(iv) the original use of which commences with the taxpayer,

“(v) the construction of which begins after January 19, 2025, and before January 1, 2029,

“(vi) which is designated by the taxpayer in the election made under this subsection, and

“(vii) which is placed in service before January 1, 2031.

For purposes of clause (ii), in the case of property with respect to which the taxpayer is a lessor, property used by a lessee shall not be considered to be used by the taxpayer as part of a qualified production activity.

“(B) SPECIAL RULE FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY NOT PREVIOUSLY USED IN QUALIFIED PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of property acquired by the taxpayer during the period described in subparagraph (A)(v), the requirements of clauses (iv) and (v) of subparagraph (A) shall be treated as satisfied if—

“(I) such property was not used in a qualified production activity (determined without regard to the second sentence of subparagraph (D)) by any person at any time during the period beginning on January 1, 2021, and ending on May 12, 2025,

“(II) such property was not used by the taxpayer at any time prior to such acquisition, and

“(III) the acquisition of such property meets the requirements of paragraphs (2)(A), (2)(B), (2)(C), and (3) of section 179(d).

“(ii) WRITTEN BINDING CONTRACTS.—For purposes of determining under clause (i)—

“(I) whether such property is acquired before the period described in subparagraph (A)(v), such property shall be treated as acquired not later than the date on which the taxpayer enters into a written binding contract for such acquisition, and

“(II) whether such property is acquired after such period, such property shall be treated as acquired not earlier than such date.

“(C) EXCLUSION OF OFFICE SPACE, ETC.—The term ‘qualified production property’ shall not include that portion of any nonresidential real property which is used for offices, administrative services, lodging, parking, sales activities, research activities, software development or engineering activities, or other functions unrelated

to the manufacturing, production, or refining of tangible personal property.

“(D) QUALIFIED PRODUCTION ACTIVITY.—The term ‘qualified production activity’ means the manufacturing, production, or refining of a qualified product. The activities of any taxpayer do not constitute manufacturing, production, or refining of a qualified product unless the activities of such taxpayer result in a substantial transformation of the property comprising the product.

“(E) PRODUCTION.—The term ‘production’ shall not include activities other than agricultural production and chemical production.

“(F) QUALIFIED PRODUCT.—The term ‘qualified product’ means any tangible personal property if such property is not a food or beverage prepared in the same building as a retail establishment in which such property is sold.

“(G) SYNDICATION.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(iv), rules similar to the rules of subsection (k)(2)(E)(iii) shall apply.

“(H) EXTENSION OF PLACED IN SERVICE DATE UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.—The Secretary may extend the date under subparagraph (A)(vii) with respect to any property that meets the requirements of clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (A) if the Secretary determines that an act of God (as defined in section 101(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980) prevents the taxpayer from placing such property in service before such date.

“(3) DEDUCTION ALLOWED IN COMPUTING MINIMUM TAX.—For purposes of determining alternative minimum taxable income under section 55, the deduction under section 167 for qualified production property shall be determined under this section without regard to any adjustment under section 56.

“(4) COORDINATION WITH CERTAIN OTHER PROVISIONS.—

“(A) OTHER SPECIAL DEPRECIATION ALLOWANCES.—For purposes of subsections (k)(7), (l)(3)(D), and (m)(2)(B)(iii)—

“(i) qualified production property shall be treated as a separate class of property, and

“(ii) the taxpayer shall be treated as having made an election under such subsections with respect to such class.

“(B) ALTERNATIVE DEPRECIATION PROPERTY.—The term ‘qualified production property’ shall not include any property to which the alternative depreciation system under subsection (g) applies. For purposes of subsection (g)(7)(A), qualified production property to which this subsection applies shall be treated as separate nonresidential real property.

“(5) RECAPTURE.—If, at any time during the 10-year period beginning on the date that any qualified production property is placed in service by the taxpayer, such property ceases to be used as described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii) and is used by the taxpayer in a productive use not described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii)—

“(A) section 1245 shall be applied—

“(i) by treating such property as having been disposed of by the taxpayer as of the first time such property is so used in a productive use not described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii), and

“(ii) by treating the amount described in subparagraph (B) of section 1245(a)(1) with respect to such disposition as being not less than the amount described in subparagraph (A) of such section, and

“(B) the basis of the taxpayer in such property, and the taxpayer’s allowance for depreciation with respect to such property, shall be appropriately adjusted to take into account amounts recognized by reason of subparagraph (A).

“(6) ELECTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An election under this subsection for any taxable year shall—

“(i) specify the nonresidential real property subject to the election and the portion of such property designated under paragraph (2)(A)(vi), and

“(ii) except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, be made on the taxpayer’s return of the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year. Such election shall be made in such manner as the Secretary may prescribe by regulations or other guidance.

“(B) ELECTION.—Any election made under this subsection, and any specification contained in any such election, may not be revoked except with the consent of the Secretary (and the Secretary shall provide such consent only in extraordinary circumstances).

“(7) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this subsection, including regulations or other guidance—

“(A) providing rules for regarding what constitutes substantial transformation of property which are consistent with guidance provided under section 954(d), and

“(B) providing for the application of paragraph (5) with respect to a change in use described in such paragraph by a transferee following a fully or partially tax free transfer of qualified production property.”.

(b) TREATMENT OF QUALIFIED PRODUCTION PROPERTY AS SECTION 1245 PROPERTY.—Section 1245(a)(3) is amended by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) any qualified production property (as defined in section 168(n)(2)).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70308. ENHANCEMENT OF ADVANCED MANUFACTURING INVESTMENT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 48D(a) is amended by striking “25 percent” and inserting “35 percent”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70309. SPACEPORTS ARE TREATED LIKE AIRPORTS UNDER EXEMPT FACILITY BOND RULES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 142(a)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) airports and spaceports.”.

(b) TREATMENT OF GROUND LEASES.—Section 142(b)(1) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) SPECIAL RULE FOR SPACEPORT GROUND LEASES.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), spaceport property located on land leased by a governmental unit from the United States shall not fail to be treated as owned by a governmental unit if the requirements of this paragraph are met by the lease and any subleases of the property.”.

(c) DEFINITION OF SPACEPORT.—Section 142 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(p) SPACEPORT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a)(1), the term ‘spaceport’ means any facility located at or in close proximity to a launch site or reentry site used for—

“(A) manufacturing, assembling, or repairing spacecraft, space cargo, other facilities described in this paragraph, or any component of the foregoing,

“(B) flight control operations,

“(C) providing launch services and reentry services, or

“(D) transferring crew, spaceflight participants, or space cargo to or from spacecraft.

“(2) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—For purposes of paragraph (1)—

“(A) SPACE CARGO.—The term ‘space cargo’ includes satellites, scientific experiments, other property transported into space, and any other type of payload, whether or not such property returns from space.

“(B) SPACECRAFT.—The term ‘spacecraft’ means a launch vehicle or a reentry vehicle.

“(C) OTHER TERMS.—The terms ‘launch site’, ‘crew’, ‘space flight participant’, ‘launch services’, ‘launch vehicle’, ‘payload’, ‘reentry services’, ‘reentry site’, a ‘reentry vehicle’ shall have the respective meanings given to such terms by section 50902 of title 51, United States Code (as in effect on the date of enactment of this subsection).

“(3) PUBLIC USE REQUIREMENT.—A facility shall not be required to be available for use by the general public to be treated as a spaceport for purposes of this section.

“(4) MANUFACTURING FACILITIES AND INDUSTRIAL PARKS ALLOWED.—With respect to spaceports, subsection (c)(2)(E) shall not apply to spaceport property described in paragraph (1)(A).”.

(d) EXCEPTION FROM FEDERALLY GUARANTEED BOND PROHIBITION.—Section 149(b)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) EXCEPTION FOR SPACEPORTS.—A bond shall not be treated as federally guaranteed merely because of the payment of rent, user fees, or other charges by the United States (or any agency or instrumentality thereof) in exchange for the use of the spaceport by the United States (or any agency or instrumentality thereof).”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading for section 142(c) is amended by inserting “SPACEPORTS,” after “AIRPORTS.”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subchapter B—Permanent America-first International Tax Reforms

PART I—FOREIGN TAX CREDIT

SEC. 70311. MODIFICATIONS RELATED TO FOREIGN TAX CREDIT LIMITATION.

(a) RULES FOR ALLOCATION OF CERTAIN DEDUCTIONS TO FOREIGN SOURCE NET CFC TESTED INCOME FOR PURPOSES OF FOREIGN TAX CREDIT LIMITATION.—Section 904(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) DEDUCTIONS TREATED AS ALLOCABLE TO FOREIGN SOURCE NET CFC TESTED INCOME.—Solely for purposes of the application of subsection (a) with respect to amounts described in subsection (d)(1)(A), the taxpayer’s taxable income from sources without the United States shall be determined by allocating and apportioning—

“(A) any deduction allowed under section 250(a)(1)(B) (and any deduction allowed under section 164(a)(3) for taxes imposed on amounts described in section 250(a)(1)(B)) to such income,

“(B) no amount of interest expense or research and experimental expenditures to such income, and

“(C) any other deduction to such income only if such deduction is directly allocable to such income.

Any amount or deduction which would (but for subparagraphs (B) and (C)) have been allocated or apportioned to such income shall only be allocated or apportioned to income which is from sources within the United States.”.

(b) OTHER MODIFICATIONS.—

(1) Section 904(d)(2)(H)(i) is amended by striking “paragraph (1)(B)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(D)”.

(2) Section 904(d)(4)(C)(ii) is amended by striking “paragraph (1)(A)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(C)”.

(3) Section 951A(f)(1)(A) is amended by striking “904(h)(1)” and inserting “904(h)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70312. MODIFICATIONS TO DETERMINATION OF DEEMED PAID CREDIT FOR TAXES PROPERLY ATTRIBUTABLE TO TESTED INCOME.

(a) INCREASE IN DEEMED PAID CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 960(d)(1) is amended by striking “80 percent” and inserting “90 percent”.

(2) GROSS UP FOR DEEMED PAID FOREIGN TAX CREDIT.—Section 78 is amended—

(A) by striking “subsections (a), (b), and (d)” and inserting “subsections (a) and (d)”, and

(B) by striking “80 percent” and inserting “90 percent”.

(b) DISALLOWANCE OF FOREIGN TAX CREDIT WITH RESPECT TO DISTRIBUTIONS OF PREVIOUSLY TAXED NET CFC TESTED INCOME.—Section 960(d) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) DISALLOWANCE OF FOREIGN TAX CREDIT WITH RESPECT TO DISTRIBUTIONS OF PREVIOUSLY TAXED NET CFC TESTED INCOME.—No credit shall be allowed under section 901 for 10 percent of any foreign income taxes paid or accrued (or deemed paid under subsection (b)(1)) with respect to any amount excluded from gross income under section 959(a) by reason of an inclusion in gross income under section 951A(a).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

(2) DISALLOWANCE.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to foreign income taxes paid or accrued (or deemed paid under section 960(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) with respect to any amount excluded from gross income under section 959(a) of such Code by reason of an inclusion in gross income under section 951A(a) of such Code after June 28, 2025.

SEC. 70313. SOURCING CERTAIN INCOME FROM THE SALE OF INVENTORY PRODUCED IN THE UNITED STATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 904(b), as amended by section 70311, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) SOURCE RULES FOR CERTAIN INVENTORY PRODUCED IN THE UNITED STATES AND SOLD THROUGH FOREIGN BRANCHES.—For purposes of this section, if a United States person maintains an office or other fixed place of business in a foreign country (determined under rules similar to the rules of section 864(c)(5)), the portion of income which—

“(A) is from the sale or exchange outside the United States of inventory property (within the meaning of section 865(i)(1))—

“(i) which is produced in the United States,

“(ii) which is for use outside the United States, and

“(iii) to which the third sentence of section 863(b) applies, and

“(B) is attributable (determined under rules similar to the rules of section 864(c)(5)) to such office or other fixed place of business, shall be treated as from sources without the United States, except that the amount so treated shall not exceed 50 percent of the income from the sale or exchange of such inventory property.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

PART II—FOREIGN-DERIVED DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE INCOME AND NET CFC TESTED INCOME

SEC. 70321. MODIFICATION OF DEDUCTION FOR FOREIGN-DERIVED DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE INCOME AND NET CFC TESTED INCOME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 250(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “37.5 percent” in paragraph (1)(A) and inserting “33.34 percent”,

(2) by striking “50 percent” in paragraph (1)(B) and inserting “40 percent”, and

(3) by striking paragraph (3).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70322. DETERMINATION OF DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE INCOME.

(a) SALES OR OTHER DISPOSITIONS OF CERTAIN PROPERTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 250(b)(3)(A)(i) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of subclause (V),

(B) by striking “over” at the end of subclause (VI) and inserting “and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(VII) except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, any income and gain from the sale or other disposition (including pursuant to the deemed sale or other deemed disposition or a transaction subject to section 367(d)) of—

“(aa) intangible property (as defined in section 367(d)(4)), and

“(bb) any other property of a type that is subject to depreciation, amortization, or depletion by the seller, over”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 250(b)(5)(E) is amended by inserting “(other than paragraph (3)(A)(i)(VII))” after “For purposes of this subsection”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to sales or other dispositions (including pursuant to deemed sales or other deemed dispositions or a transaction subject to section 367(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) occurring after June 16, 2025.

(b) **EXPENSE APPORTIONMENT LIMITED TO PROPERLY ALLOCABLE EXPENSES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 250(b)(3)(A)(ii) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) expenses and deductions (including taxes), other than interest expense and research or experimental expenditures, properly allocable to such gross income.”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70323. RULES RELATED TO DEEMED INTANGIBLE INCOME.

(a) **TAXATION OF NET CFC TESTED INCOME.**—(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 951A(a) is amended by striking “global intangible low-taxed income” and inserting “net CFC tested income”.

(2) **REPEAL OF TAX-FREE DEEMED RETURN ON FOREIGN INVESTMENTS.**—Section 951A, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by striking subsections (b) and (d) and by redesignating subsections (c), (e), and (f) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively.

(3) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A)(i) Section 250 is amended by striking “global intangible low-taxed income” each place it appears in subsections (a)(1)(B)(i), (a)(2), and (b)(3)(A)(i)(II) and inserting “net CFC tested income”.

(ii) The heading for section 250 of such Code is amended by striking “**GLOBAL INTANGIBLE LOW-TAXED INCOME**” and inserting “**NET CFC TESTED INCOME**”.

(iii) The item relating to section 250 in the table of sections for part VII of subchapter B of chapter 1 of such Code is amended by striking “global intangible low-taxed income” and inserting “net CFC tested income”.

(B) Section 951A(c)(1), as redesignated by paragraph (2), is amended by striking “subsections (b), (c)(1)(A), and (c)(1)(B)” and inserting “subsections (b)(1)(A) and (b)(1)(B)”.

(C) Section 951A(d), as redesignated by paragraph (2), is amended—

(i) by striking “global intangible low-taxed income” each place it appears and inserting “net CFC tested income”, and

(ii) by striking “subsection (c)(1)(A)” in paragraph (2)(B)(ii) and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(A)”.

(D) Section 960(d)(2) is amended—

(i) by striking “global intangible low-taxed income” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “net CFC tested income”, and

(ii) by striking “section 951A(c)(1)(A)” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “section 951A(b)(1)(A)”.

(E)(i) The heading for section 951A is amended by striking “**global intangible low-taxed income**” and inserting “**net cfc tested income**”.

(ii) The item relating to section 951A in the table of sections for subpart F of part III of sub-

chapter N of chapter 1 is amended by striking “Global intangible low-taxed income” and inserting “Net CFC tested income”.

(b) **DEDUCTION FOR FOREIGN-DERIVED DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE INCOME.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 250(a)(1)(A) is amended by striking “foreign-derived intangible income” and inserting “foreign-derived deduction eligible income”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) Section 250(a)(2) is amended by striking “foreign-derived intangible income” each place it appears and inserting “foreign-derived deduction eligible income”.

(B) Section 250(b), as amended by subsection (a), is amended—

(i) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2),

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively, and by moving such paragraphs before paragraph (3),

(iii) in paragraph (2)(B)(ii), as so redesignated, by striking “paragraph (4)(B)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(B)”, and

(iv) by striking “**INTANGIBLE**” in the heading thereof and inserting “**DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE**”.

(C)(i) The heading for section 250 is amended by striking “**INTANGIBLE**” in the heading thereof and inserting “**DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE**”.

(ii) The heading for section 172(d)(9) is amended by striking “**INTANGIBLE**” and inserting “**DEDUCTION ELIGIBLE**”.

(iii) The item relating to section 250 in the table of sections for part VIII of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by striking “intangible” and inserting “deduction eligible”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

PART III—BASE EROSION MINIMUM TAX

SEC. 70331. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF BASE EROSION MINIMUM TAX AMOUNT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 59A(b) is amended—(1) by striking “10 percent” in paragraph (1) and inserting “10.5 percent”, and

(2) by striking paragraph (2) and by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Section 59A(b)(1) is amended by striking “Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3)” and inserting “Except as provided in paragraph (2)”.

(2) Section 59A(b)(2), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2), is amended by striking “the percentage otherwise in effect under paragraphs (1)(A) and (2)(A) shall each be increased” and inserting “the percentages otherwise in effect under paragraph (1)(A) shall be increased”.

(3) Section 59A(e)(1)(C) is amended by striking “in the case of a taxpayer described in subsection (b)(3)(B)” and inserting “in the case of a taxpayer described in subsection (b)(2)(B)”.

(c) **OTHER MODIFICATIONS.**—

(1) Section 59A(b)(2)(B)(ii), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2), is amended by striking “registered securities dealer” and inserting “securities dealer registered”.

(2) Section 59A(h)(2)(B) is amended by striking “section 6038B(b)(2)” and inserting “section 6038A(b)(2)”.

(3) Section 59A(i)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (g)” and inserting “subsection (h)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (g)(3)” and inserting “subsection (h)(3)”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

PART IV—BUSINESS INTEREST LIMITATION

SEC. 70341. COORDINATION OF BUSINESS INTEREST LIMITATION WITH INTEREST CAPITALIZATION PROVISIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 163(j) is amended by redesignating paragraphs (10) and (11) as para-

graphs (11) and (12) and by inserting after paragraph (9) the following:

“(10) **COORDINATION WITH INTEREST CAPITALIZATION PROVISIONS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In applying this subsection—

“(i) the limitation under paragraph (1) shall apply to business interest without regard to whether the taxpayer would otherwise deduct such business interest or capitalize such business interest under an interest capitalization provision, and

“(ii) any reference in this subsection to a deduction for business interest shall be treated as including a reference to the capitalization of business interest.

“(B) **AMOUNT ALLOWED APPLIED FIRST TO CAPITALIZED INTEREST.**—The amount allowed after taking into account the limitation described in paragraph (1)—

“(i) shall be applied first to the aggregate amount of business interest which would otherwise be capitalized, and

“(ii) the remainder (if any) shall be applied to the aggregate amount of business interest which would be deducted.

“(C) **TREATMENT OF DISALLOWED INTEREST CARRIED FORWARD.**—No portion of any business interest carried forward under paragraph (2) from any taxable year to any succeeding taxable year shall, for purposes of this title (including any interest capitalization provision which previously applied to such portion) be treated as interest to which an interest capitalization provision applies.

“(D) **INTEREST CAPITALIZATION PROVISION.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘interest capitalization provision’ means any provision of this subtitle under which interest—

“(i) is required to be charged to capital account, or

“(ii) may be deducted or charged to capital account.”.

(b) **CERTAIN CAPITALIZED INTEREST NOT TREATED AS BUSINESS INTEREST.**—Section 163(j)(5) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Such term shall not include any interest which is capitalized under section 263(g) or 263A(f).”.

(c) **REGULATORY AUTHORITY.**—Section 163(j), as amended by subsection (a), is amended by redesignating paragraphs (11) and (12) as paragraphs (12) and (13) and by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:

“(11) **REGULATORY AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this subsection, including regulations or guidance to determine which business interest is taken into account under this subsection and section 59A(c)(3).”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70342. DEFINITION OF ADJUSTED TAXABLE INCOME FOR BUSINESS INTEREST LIMITATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 163(j)(8) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of clause (iv), and

(2) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(vi) the amounts included in gross income under sections 951(a), 951A(a), and 78 (and the portion of the deductions allowed under sections 245A(a) (by reason of section 964(e)(4)) and 250(a)(1)(B) by reason of such inclusions), and”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

PART V—OTHER INTERNATIONAL TAX REFORMS

SEC. 70351. PERMANENT EXTENSION OF LOOK-THRU RULE FOR RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 954(c)(6)(C) is amended by striking “and before January 1, 2026,”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70352. REPEAL OF ELECTION FOR 1-MONTH DEFERRAL IN DETERMINATION OF TAXABLE YEAR OF SPECIFIED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 898(c) is amended by striking paragraph (2) and redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of specified foreign corporations beginning after November 30, 2025.

(c) **TRANSITION RULE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of a corporation that is a specified foreign corporation as of November 30, 2025, such corporation's first taxable year beginning after such date shall end at the same time as the first required year (within the meaning of section 898(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) ending after such date. If any specified foreign corporation is required by the amendments made by this section to change its taxable year for its first taxable year beginning after November 30, 2025—

(A) such change shall be treated as initiated by such corporation,

(B) such change shall be treated as having been made with the consent of the Secretary, and

(C) the Secretary shall issue regulations or other guidance for allocating foreign taxes that are paid or accrued in such first taxable year and the succeeding taxable year among such taxable years in the manner the Secretary determines appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section.

(2) **SECRETARY.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate.

SEC. 70353. RESTORATION OF LIMITATION ON DOWNWARD ATTRIBUTION OF STOCK OWNERSHIP IN APPLYING CONSTRUCTIVE OWNERSHIP RULES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 958(b) is amended—

(1) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) Subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of section 318(a)(3) shall not be applied so as to consider a United States person as owning stock which is owned by a person who is not a United States person.”, and

(2) by striking “Paragraph (1)” in the last sentence and inserting “Paragraphs (1) and (4)”.

(b) **FOREIGN CONTROLLED UNITED STATES SHAREHOLDERS.**—Subpart F of part III of subchapter N of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 951A the following new section:

“SEC. 951B. AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN GROSS INCOME OF FOREIGN CONTROLLED UNITED STATES SHAREHOLDERS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any foreign controlled United States shareholder of a foreign controlled foreign corporation—

“(1) this subpart (other than sections 951A, 951(b), and 957) shall be applied with respect to such shareholder (separately from, and in addition to, the application of this subpart without regard to this section)—

“(A) by substituting ‘foreign controlled United States shareholder’ for ‘United States shareholder’ each place it appears therein, and

“(B) by substituting ‘foreign controlled foreign corporation’ for ‘controlled foreign corporation’ each place it appears therein, and

“(2) section 951A (and such other provisions of this subpart as provided by the Secretary) shall be applied with respect to such shareholder—

“(A) by treating each reference to ‘United States shareholder’ in such section as including a reference to such shareholder, and

“(B) by treating each reference to ‘controlled foreign corporation’ in such section as including

a reference to such foreign controlled foreign corporation.

“(b) **FOREIGN CONTROLLED UNITED STATES SHAREHOLDER.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘foreign controlled United States shareholder’ means, with respect to any foreign corporation, any United States person which would be a United States shareholder with respect to such foreign corporation if—

“(1) section 951(b) were applied by substituting ‘more than 50 percent’ for ‘10 percent or more’, and

“(2) section 958(b) were applied without regard to paragraph (4) thereof.

“(c) **FOREIGN CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATION.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘foreign controlled foreign corporation’ means a foreign corporation, other than a controlled foreign corporation, which would be a controlled foreign corporation if section 957(a) were applied—

“(1) by substituting ‘foreign controlled United States shareholders’ for ‘United States shareholders’, and

“(2) by substituting ‘section 958(b) (other than paragraph (4) thereof)’ for ‘section 958(b)’.

“(d) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section, including regulations or other guidance—

“(1) to treat a foreign controlled United States shareholder or a foreign controlled foreign corporation as a United States shareholder or as a controlled foreign corporation, respectively, for purposes of provisions of this title other than this subpart (including any reporting requirement), and

“(2) with respect to the treatment of foreign controlled foreign corporations that are passive foreign investment companies (as defined in section 1297).”.

(c) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for subpart F of part III of subchapter N of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 951A the following new item:

“Sec. 951B. Amounts included in gross income of foreign controlled United States shareholders.”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2025.

(e) **SPECIAL RULE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except to the extent provided by the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate), the effective date of any amendment to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied by treating references to United States shareholders as including references to foreign controlled United States shareholders, and by treating references to controlled foreign corporations as including references to foreign controlled foreign corporations.

(2) **DEFINITIONS.**—Any term used in paragraph (1) which is used in subpart F of part III of subchapter N of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as amended by this section) shall have the meaning given such term in such subpart.

(f) **NO INFERENCE.**—The amendments made by this section shall not be construed to create any inference with respect to the proper application of any provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to taxable years beginning before the taxable years to which such amendments apply.

SEC. 70354. MODIFICATIONS TO PRO RATA SHARE RULES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 951 is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **AMOUNTS INCLUDED.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If a foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation at any time during a taxable year of the foreign corporation (in this subsection referred to as the ‘CFC year’)—

“(A) each United States shareholder which owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock in such corporation on any day during the CFC year shall include in gross income such shareholder's pro rata share (determined under paragraph (2)) of the corporation's subpart F income for the CFC year, and

“(B) each United States shareholder which owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock in such corporation on the last day, in the CFC year, on which such corporation is a controlled foreign corporation shall include in gross income the amount determined under section 956 with respect to such shareholder for the CFC year (but only to the extent not excluded from gross income under section 959(a)(2)).

“(2) **PRO RATA SHARE OF SUBPART F INCOME.**—A United States shareholder's pro rata share of a controlled foreign corporation's subpart F income for a CFC year shall be the portion of such income which is attributable to—

“(A) the stock of such corporation owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by such shareholder, and

“(B) any period of the CFC year during which—

“(i) such shareholder owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock,

“(ii) such shareholder was a United States shareholder of such corporation, and

“(iii) such corporation was a controlled foreign corporation.

“(3) **TAXABLE YEAR OF INCLUSION.**—Any amount required to be included in gross income by a United States shareholder under paragraph (1) with respect to a CFC year shall be included in gross income for the shareholder's taxable year which includes the last day on which the shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock in the controlled foreign corporation during such CFC year.

“(4) **REGULATORY AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this subsection, including regulations or other guidance allowing taxpayers to elect, or requiring taxpayers, to close the taxable year of a controlled foreign corporation upon a direct or indirect disposition of stock of such corporation.”.

(b) **COORDINATION WITH SECTION 951A.**—

(1) **TESTED INCOME.**—Section 951A(b), as redesignated by section 70323(a)(2), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “(determined for each taxable year of such controlled foreign corporation which ends in or with such taxable year of such United States shareholder)”, and

(B) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking “(determined for each taxable year of such controlled foreign corporation which ends in or with such taxable year of such United States shareholder)”.

(2) **PRO RATA SHARE.**—Section 951A(c), as redesignated by section 70323(a)(2), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “in which or with which the taxable year of the controlled foreign corporation ends” and inserting “determined under section 951(a)(3)”, and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “the last day in the taxable year of such foreign corporation on which such foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation” and inserting “any day in such taxable year”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2025.

(2) **TRANSITION RULE FOR DIVIDENDS.**—Except to the extent provided by the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate), a dividend paid (or deemed paid) by a controlled foreign corporation shall not be treated as a dividend for purposes of applying section 951(a)(2)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the amendments made by this section) if—

(A) such dividend—

(i) was paid (or deemed paid) on or before June 28, 2025, during the taxable year of such controlled foreign corporation which includes such date and the United States shareholder described in section 951(a)(1) of such Code (as so in effect) did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a) of such Code) the stock of such controlled foreign corporation during the portion of such taxable year on or before June 28, 2025, or

(ii) was paid (or deemed paid) after June 28, 2025, and before such controlled foreign corporation's first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2025, and

(B) such dividend does not increase the taxable income of a United States person that is subject to Federal income tax for the taxable year (including by reason of a dividends received deduction, an exclusion from gross income, or an exclusion from subpart F income).

CHAPTER 4—INVESTING IN AMERICAN FAMILIES, COMMUNITIES, AND SMALL BUSINESSES

Subchapter A—Permanent Investments in Families and Children

SEC. 70401. ENHANCEMENT OF EMPLOYER-PROVIDED CHILD CARE CREDIT.

(a) INCREASE OF AMOUNT OF QUALIFIED CHILD CARE EXPENDITURES TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—Section 45F(a)(1) is amended by striking “25 percent” and inserting “40 percent (50 percent in the case of an eligible small business)”.

(b) INCREASE OF MAXIMUM CREDIT AMOUNT.—Subsection (b) of section 45F is amended to read as follows:

“(b) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The credit allowable under subsection (a) for any taxable year shall not exceed \$500,000 (\$600,000 in the case of an eligible small business).

“(2) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2026, the \$500,000 and \$600,000 amounts in paragraph (1) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.”.

(c) ELIGIBLE SMALL BUSINESS.—Section 45F(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) ELIGIBLE SMALL BUSINESS.—The term ‘eligible small business’ means a business that meets the gross receipts test of section 448(c), determined—

“(A) by substituting ‘5-taxable-year’ for ‘3-taxable-year’ in paragraph (1) thereof, and

“(B) by substituting ‘5-year’ for ‘3-year’ in paragraph (3)(A) thereof.”.

(d) CREDIT ALLOWED FOR THIRD-PARTY INTERMEDIARIES.—Section 45F(c)(1)(A)(iii) is amended by inserting “, or under a contract with an intermediate entity that contracts with one or more qualified child care facilities to provide such child care services” before the period at the end.

(e) TREATMENT OF JOINTLY OWNED OR OPERATED CHILD CARE FACILITY.—Section 45F(c)(2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) TREATMENT OF JOINTLY OWNED OR OPERATED CHILD CARE FACILITY.—A facility shall not fail to be treated as a qualified child care facility of the taxpayer merely because such facility is jointly owned or operated by the taxpayer and other persons.”.

(f) REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.—Section 45F is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section, including guidance to carry out the purposes of paragraphs (1)(A)(iii) and (2)(C) of subsection (c).”.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70402. ENHANCEMENT OF ADOPTION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 23(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph: “(4) PORTION OF CREDIT REFUNDABLE.—So much of the credit allowed under paragraph (1) as does not exceed \$5,000 shall be treated as a credit allowed under subpart C and not as a credit allowed under this subpart.”.

(b) ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—Section 23(h) is amended to read as follows:

“(h) ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a taxable year beginning after December 31, 2002, each of the dollar amounts in paragraphs (3) and (4) of subsection (a) and paragraphs (1) and (2)(A)(i) of subsection (b) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2001’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(2) ROUNDING.—If any amount as increased under paragraph (1) is not a multiple of \$10, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10.

“(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR REFUNDABLE PORTION.—In the case of the dollar amount in subsection (a)(4), paragraph (1) shall be applied—

“(A) by substituting ‘2025’ for ‘2002’ in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), and

“(B) by substituting ‘calendar year 2024’ for ‘calendar year 2001’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.”.

(c) EXCLUSION OF REFUNDABLE PORTION OF CREDIT FROM CARRYFORWARD.—Section 23(c)(1) is amended by striking “credit allowable under subsection (a)” and inserting “portion of the credit allowable under subsection (a) which is allowed under this subpart”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70403. RECOGNIZING INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING WHETHER A CHILD HAS SPECIAL NEEDS FOR PURPOSES OF THE ADOPTION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 23(d)(3) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “or Indian tribal government” after “a State”, and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “or Indian tribal government” after “such State”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70404. ENHANCEMENT OF THE DEPENDENT CARE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 129(a)(2)(A) is amended by striking “\$5,000 (\$2,500)” and inserting “\$7,500 (\$3,750)”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70405. ENHANCEMENT OF CHILD AND DEPENDENT CARE TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 21(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE DEFINED.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘applicable percentage’ means 50 percent—

“(A) reduced (but not below 35 percent) by 1 percentage point for each \$2,000 or fraction thereof by which the taxpayer’s adjusted gross income for the taxable year exceeds \$15,000, and

“(B) further reduced (but not below 20 percent) by 1 percentage point for each \$2,000 (\$4,000 in the case of a joint return) or fraction thereof by which the taxpayer’s adjusted gross income for the taxable year exceeds \$75,000 (\$150,000 in the case of a joint return).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

Subchapter B—Permanent Investments in Students and Reforms to Tax-exempt Institutions

SEC. 70411. TAX CREDIT FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF INDIVIDUALS TO SCHOLARSHIP GRANTING ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF INDIVIDUALS TO SCHOLARSHIP GRANTING ORGANIZATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subpart A of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 25E the following new section:

“SEC. 25F. QUALIFIED ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS.

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States (within the meaning of section 7701(a)(9)), there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the aggregate amount of qualified contributions made by the taxpayer during the taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The credit allowed under subsection (a) to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed \$1,700.

“(2) REDUCTION BASED ON STATE CREDIT.—The amount allowed as a credit under subsection (a) for a taxable year shall be reduced by the amount allowed as a credit on any State tax return of the taxpayer for qualified contributions made by the taxpayer during the taxable year.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) COVERED STATE.—The term ‘covered State’ means one of the States, or the District of Columbia, that, for a calendar year, voluntarily elects to participate under this section and to identify scholarship granting organizations in the State, in accordance with subsection (g).

“(2) ELIGIBLE STUDENT.—The term ‘eligible student’ means an individual who—

“(A) is a member of a household with an income which, for the calendar year prior to the date of the application for a scholarship, is not greater than 300 percent of the area median gross income (as such term is used in section 42), and

“(B) is eligible to enroll in a public elementary or secondary school.

“(3) QUALIFIED CONTRIBUTION.—The term ‘qualified contribution’ means a charitable contribution of cash to a scholarship granting organization that uses the contribution to fund scholarships for eligible students solely within the State in which the organization is listed pursuant to subsection (g).

“(4) QUALIFIED ELEMENTARY OR SECONDARY EDUCATION EXPENSE.—The term ‘qualified elementary or secondary education expense’ means any expense of an eligible student which is described in section 530(b)(3)(A).

“(5) SCHOLARSHIP GRANTING ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘scholarship granting organization’ means any organization—

“(A) which—

“(i) is described in section 501(c)(3) and exempt from tax under section 501(a), and

“(ii) is not a private foundation,

“(B) which prevents the co-mingling of qualified contributions with other amounts by maintaining one or more separate accounts exclusively for qualified contributions,

“(C) which satisfies the requirements of subsection (d), and

“(D) which is included on the list submitted for the applicable covered State under subsection (g) for the applicable year.

“(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOLARSHIP GRANTING ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—An organization meets the requirements of this subsection if—

“(A) such organization provides scholarships to 10 or more students who do not all attend the same school,

“(B) such organization spends not less than 90 percent of the income of the organization on scholarships for eligible students,

“(C) such organization does not provide scholarships for any expenses other than qualified elementary or secondary education expenses,

“(D) such organization provides a scholarship to eligible students with a priority for—

“(i) students awarded a scholarship the previous school year, and

“(ii) after application of clause (i), any eligible students who have a sibling who was awarded a scholarship from such organization.

“(E) such organization does not earmark or set aside contributions for scholarships on behalf of any particular student, and

“(F) such organization—

“(i) verifies the annual household income and family size of eligible students who apply for scholarships to ensure such students meet the requirement of subsection (c)(2)(A), and

“(ii) limits the awarding of scholarships to eligible students who are a member of a household for which the income does not exceed the amount established under subsection (c)(2)(A).

“(2) PROHIBITION ON SELF-DEALING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A scholarship granting organization may not award a scholarship to any disqualified person.

“(B) DISQUALIFIED PERSON.—For purposes of this paragraph, a disqualified person shall be determined pursuant to rules similar to the rules of section 4946.

“(c) DENIAL OF DOUBLE BENEFIT.—Any qualified contribution for which a credit is allowed under this section shall not be taken into account as a charitable contribution for purposes of section 170.

“(f) CARRYFORWARD OF UNUSED CREDIT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) for any taxable year exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section, section 23, and section 25D), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such taxable year.

“(2) LIMITATION.—No credit may be carried forward under this subsection to any taxable year following the fifth taxable year after the taxable year in which the credit arose. For purposes of the preceding sentence, credits shall be treated as used on a first-in first-out basis.

“(g) STATE LIST OF SCHOLARSHIP GRANTING ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) LIST.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1 of each calendar year (or, with respect to the first calendar year for which this section applies, as early as practicable), a State that voluntarily elects to participate under this section shall provide to the Secretary a list of the scholarship granting organizations that meet the requirements described in subsection (c)(5) and are located in the State.

“(B) PROCESS.—The election under this paragraph shall be made by the Governor of the State or by such other individual, agency, or entity as is designated under State law to make such elections on behalf of the State with respect to Federal tax benefits.

“(2) CERTIFICATION.—Each list submitted under paragraph (1) shall include a certification that the individual, agency, or entity submitting such list on behalf of the State has the authority to perform this function.

“(h) REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as the Secretary determines necessary to carry out the purposes of this section, including regulations or other guidance—

“(1) providing for enforcement of the requirements under subsections (d) and (g), and

“(2) with respect to recordkeeping or information reporting for purposes of administering the requirements of this section.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 25(e)(1)(C) is amended by striking “and 25D” and inserting “25D, and 25F”.

(B) The table of sections for subpart A of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by

inserting after the item relating to section 25E the following new item:

“Sec. 25F. Qualified elementary and secondary education scholarships.”.

(b) EXCLUSION FROM GROSS INCOME FOR SCHOLARSHIPS FOR QUALIFIED ELEMENTARY OR SECONDARY EDUCATION EXPENSES OF ELIGIBLE STUDENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting before section 140 the following new section:

“SEC. 139K. SCHOLARSHIPS FOR QUALIFIED ELEMENTARY OR SECONDARY EDUCATION EXPENSES OF ELIGIBLE STUDENTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an individual, gross income shall not include any amounts provided to such individual or any dependent of such individual pursuant to a scholarship for qualified elementary or secondary education expenses of an eligible student which is provided by a scholarship granting organization.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ‘qualified elementary or secondary education expense’, ‘eligible student’, and ‘scholarship granting organization’ have the same meaning given such terms under section 25F(c).”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by inserting before the item relating to section 140 the following new item:

“Sec. 139K. Scholarships for qualified elementary or secondary education expenses of eligible students.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after December 31, 2026.

(2) EXCLUSION FROM GROSS INCOME.—The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2026, in taxable years ending after such date.

SEC. 70412. EXCLUSION FOR EMPLOYER PAYMENTS OF STUDENT LOANS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 127(c)(1)(B) is amended by striking “in the case of payments made before January 1, 2026,”.

(b) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Section 127 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2026, both of the \$5,250 amounts in subsection (a)(2) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(2) ROUNDING.—If any increase under paragraph (1) is not a multiple of \$50, such increase shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$50.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70413. ADDITIONAL EXPENSES TREATED AS QUALIFIED HIGHER EDUCATION EXPENSES FOR PURPOSES OF 529 ACCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 529(c)(7) is amended to read as follows:

“(7) TREATMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY TUITION.—Any reference in this section to the term ‘qualified higher education expense’ shall include a reference to the following expenses in connection with enrollment or attendance at, or for students enrolled at or attending, an elementary or secondary public, private, or religious school:

“(A) Tuition.

“(B) Curriculum and curricular materials.

“(C) Books or other instructional materials.

“(D) Online educational materials.

“(E) Tuition for tutoring or educational classes outside of the home, including at a tutoring facility, but only if the tutor or instructor is not related to the student and—

“(i) is licensed as a teacher in any State,

“(ii) has taught at an eligible educational institution, or

“(iii) is a subject matter expert in the relevant subject.

“(F) Fees for a nationally standardized norm-referenced achievement test, an advanced placement examination, or any examinations related to college or university admission.

“(G) Fees for dual enrollment in an institution of higher education.

“(H) Educational therapies for students with disabilities provided by a licensed or accredited practitioner or provider, including occupational, behavioral, physical, and speech-language therapies.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to distributions made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) INCREASE IN LIMITATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The last sentence of section 529(e)(3) is amended by striking “\$10,000” and inserting “\$20,000”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70414. CERTAIN POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIALING EXPENSES TREATED AS QUALIFIED HIGHER EDUCATION EXPENSES FOR PURPOSES OF 529 ACCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 529(e)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) CERTAIN POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIALING EXPENSES.—The term ‘qualified higher education expenses’ includes qualified postsecondary credentialing expenses (as defined in subsection (f)).”.

(b) QUALIFIED POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIALING EXPENSES.—Section 529 is amended by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g) and by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) QUALIFIED POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIALING EXPENSES.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified postsecondary credentialing expenses’ means—

“(A) tuition, fees, books, supplies, and equipment required for the enrollment or attendance of a designated beneficiary in a recognized postsecondary credential program, or any other expense incurred in connection with enrollment in or attendance at a recognized postsecondary credential program if such expense would, if incurred in connection with enrollment or attendance at an eligible educational institution, be covered under subsection (e)(3)(A),

“(B) fees for testing if such testing is required to obtain or maintain a recognized postsecondary credential, and

“(C) fees for continuing education if such education is required to maintain a recognized postsecondary credential.

(2) RECOGNIZED POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIAL PROGRAM.—The term ‘recognized postsecondary credential program’ means any program to obtain a recognized postsecondary credential if—

“(A) such program is included on a State list prepared under section 122(d) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (29 U.S.C. 3152(d)),

“(B) such program is listed in the public directory of the Web Enabled Approval Management System (WEAMS) of the Veterans Benefits Administration, or successor directory such program,

“(C) an examination (developed or administered by an organization widely recognized as

providing reputable credentials in the occupation) is required to obtain or maintain such credential and such organization recognizes such program as providing training or education which prepares individuals to take such examination, or

“(D) such program is identified by the Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Labor, as being a reputable program for obtaining a recognized postsecondary credential for purposes of this subparagraph.

“(3) **RECOGNIZED POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIAL.**—The term ‘recognized postsecondary credential’ means—

“(A) any postsecondary employment credential that is industry recognized and is—

“(i) any postsecondary employment credential issued by a program that is accredited by the Institute for Credentialing Excellence, the National Commission on Certifying Agencies, or the American National Standards Institute,

“(ii) any postsecondary employment credential that is included in the Credentialing Opportunities On-Line (COOL) directory of credentialing programs (or successor directory) maintained by the Department of Defense or by any branch of the Armed Forces, or

“(iii) any postsecondary employment credential identified for purposes of this clause by the Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Labor, as being industry recognized,

“(B) any certificate of completion of an apprenticeship that is registered and certified with the Secretary of Labor under the Act of August 16, 1937 (commonly known as the ‘National Apprenticeship Act’; 50 Stat. 664, chapter 663; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.),

“(C) any occupational or professional license issued or recognized by a State or the Federal Government (and any certification that satisfies a condition for obtaining such a license), and

“(D) any recognized postsecondary credential as defined in section 3(52) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (29 U.S.C. 3102(52)), provided through a program described in paragraph (2)(A).”

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to distributions made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70415. MODIFICATION OF EXCISE TAX ON INVESTMENT INCOME OF CERTAIN PRIVATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4968 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 4968. EXCISE TAX BASED ON INVESTMENT INCOME OF PRIVATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.

“(a) **TAX IMPOSED.**—There is hereby imposed on each applicable educational institution for the taxable year a tax equal to the applicable percentage of the net investment income of such institution for the taxable year.

“(b) **APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘applicable percentage’ means—

“(1) 1.4 percent in the case of an institution with a student adjusted endowment of at least \$500,000, and not in excess of \$750,000,

“(2) 4 percent in the case of an institution with a student adjusted endowment in excess of \$750,000, and not in excess of \$2,000,000, and

“(3) 8 percent in the case of an institution with a student adjusted endowment in excess of \$2,000,000.

“(c) **APPLICABLE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION.**—For purposes of this subchapter, the term ‘applicable educational institution’ means an eligible educational institution (as defined in section 25A(f)(2))—

“(1) which had at least 3,000 tuition-paying students during the preceding taxable year,

“(2) more than 50 percent of the tuition-paying students of which are located in the United States,

“(3) the student adjusted endowment of which is at least \$500,000, and

“(4) which is not described in the first sentence of section 511(a)(2)(B) (relating to State colleges and universities).

“(d) **STUDENT ADJUSTED ENDOWMENT.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘student adjusted endowment’ means, with respect to any institution for any taxable year—

“(1) the aggregate fair market value of the assets of such institution (determined as of the end of the preceding taxable year), other than those assets which are used directly in carrying out the institution’s exempt purpose, divided by

“(2) the number of students of such institution.

“(e) **DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF STUDENTS.**—For purposes of subsections (c) and (d), the number of students of an institution (including for purposes of determining the number of students at a particular location) shall be based on the daily average number of full-time students attending such institution (with part-time students taken into account on a full-time student equivalent basis).

“(f) **NET INVESTMENT INCOME.**—For purposes of this section—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Net investment income shall be determined under rules similar to the rules of section 4940(c).

“(2) **OVERIDE OF CERTAIN REGULATORY EXCEPTIONS.**—

“(A) **STUDENT LOAN INTEREST.**—Net investment income shall be determined by taking into account any interest income from a student loan made by the applicable educational institution (or any related organization) as gross investment income.

“(B) **FEDERALLY-SUBSIDIZED ROYALTY INCOME.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Net investment income shall be determined by taking into account any Federally-subsidized royalty income as gross investment income.

“(ii) **FEDERALLY-SUBSIDIZED ROYALTY INCOME.**—For purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘Federally-subsidized royalty income’ means any otherwise-regulatory-exempt royalty income if any Federal funds were used in the research, development, or creation of the patent, copyright, or other intellectual or intangible property from which such royalty income is derived.

“(II) **OTHERWISE-REGULATORY-EXEMPT ROYALTY INCOME.**—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘otherwise-regulatory-exempt royalty income’ means royalty income which (but for this subparagraph) would not be taken into account as gross investment income by reason of being derived from patents, copyrights, or other intellectual or intangible property which resulted from the work of students or faculty members in their capacities as such with the applicable educational institution.

“(III) **FEDERAL FUNDS.**—The term ‘Federal funds’ includes any grant made by, and any payment made under any contract with, any Federal agency to the applicable educational institution, any related organization, or any student or faculty member referred to in subclause (II).

“(g) **ASSETS AND NET INVESTMENT INCOME OF RELATED ORGANIZATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of subsections (d) and (f), assets and net investment income of any related organization with respect to an educational institution shall be treated as assets and net investment income, respectively, of the educational institution, except that—

“(A) no such amount shall be taken into account with respect to more than 1 educational institution, and

“(B) unless such organization is controlled by such institution or is described in section 509(a)(3) with respect to such institution for the taxable year, assets and net investment income which are not intended or available for the use or benefit of the educational institution shall not be taken into account.

“(2) **RELATED ORGANIZATION.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘related organization’ means, with respect to an educational institution, any organization which—

“(A) controls, or is controlled by, such institution,

“(B) is controlled by 1 or more persons which also control such institution, or

“(C) is a supported organization (as defined in section 509(f)(3)), or an organization described in section 509(a)(3), during the taxable year with respect to such institution.

“(h) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary to prevent avoidance of the tax under this section, including regulations or other guidance to prevent avoidance of such tax through the restructuring of endowment funds or other arrangements designed to reduce or eliminate the value of net investment income or assets subject to the tax imposed by this section.”

(b) **REQUIREMENT TO REPORT CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO APPLICATION OF EXCISE TAX BASED ON INVESTMENT INCOME OF PRIVATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.**—Section 6033 is amended by redesignating subsection (o) as subsection (p) and by inserting after subsection (n) the following new subsection:

“(o) **REQUIREMENT TO REPORT CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO EXCISE TAX BASED ON INVESTMENT INCOME OF PRIVATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.**—Each applicable educational institution described in section 4968(c) which is subject to the requirements of subsection (a) shall include on the return required under subsection (a)—

“(1) the number of tuition-paying students taken into account under section 4968(c), and

“(2) the number of students of such institution (determined under the rules of section 4968(e)).”

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70416. EXPANDING APPLICATION OF TAX ON EXCESS COMPENSATION WITHIN TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4960(c)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) **COVERED EMPLOYEE.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘covered employee’ means any employee of an applicable tax-exempt organization (or any predecessor of such an organization) and any former employee of such an organization (or predecessor) who was such an employee during any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2016.”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

Subchapter C—Permanent Investments in Community Development

SEC. 70421. PERMANENT RENEWAL AND ENHANCEMENT OF OPPORTUNITY ZONES.

(a) **DECENNIAL DESIGNATIONS.**—

(1) **DETERMINATION PERIOD.**—Section 1400Z-1(c)(2)(B) is amended by striking “beginning on the date of the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act” and inserting “beginning on the decennial determination date”.

(2) **DECENNIAL DETERMINATION DATE.**—Section 1400Z-1(c)(2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) **DECENNIAL DETERMINATION DATE.**—The term ‘decennial determination date’ means—

“(i) July 1, 2026, and

“(ii) each July 1 of the year that is 10 years after the preceding decennial determination date under this subparagraph.”

(3) **REPEAL OF SPECIAL RULE FOR PUERTO RICO.**—Section 1400Z-1(b) is amended by striking paragraph (3).

(4) **LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF DESIGNATIONS.**—Section 1400Z-1(d)(1) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “and subsection (b)(3)”, and

(ii) by inserting “during any period” after “the number of population census tracts in a State that may be designated as qualified opportunity zones under this section”, and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “during any period” before the period at the end.

(5) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) PUERTO RICO.—The amendment made by paragraph (3) shall take effect on December 31, 2026.

(b) QUALIFICATION FOR DESIGNATIONS.—

(1) DETERMINATION OF LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES.—Section 1400Z-1(c) is amended by striking all that precedes paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(c) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES.—The term ‘low-income community’ means any population census tract if—

“(A) such population census tract has a median family income that—

“(i) in the case of a population census tract not located within a metropolitan area, does not exceed 70 percent of the statewide median family income, or

“(ii) in the case of a population census tract located within a metropolitan area, does not exceed 70 percent of the metropolitan area median family income, or

“(B) such population census tract—

“(i) has a poverty rate of at least 20 percent, and

“(ii) has a median family income that—

“(I) in the case of a population census tract not located within a metropolitan area, does not exceed 125 percent of the statewide median family income, or

“(II) in the case of a population census tract located within a metropolitan area, does not exceed 125 percent of the metropolitan area median family income.”.

(2) REPEAL OF RULE FOR CONTIGUOUS CENSUS TRACTS.—Section 1400Z-1 is amended by striking subsection (e) and by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e).

(3) PERIOD FOR WHICH DESIGNATION IS IN EFFECT.—Section 1400Z-1(e), as redesignated by paragraph (2), is amended to read as follows:

“(e) PERIOD FOR WHICH DESIGNATION IS IN EFFECT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A designation as a qualified opportunity zone shall remain in effect for the period beginning on the applicable start date and ending on the day before the date that is 10 years after the applicable start date.

“(2) APPLICABLE START DATE.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘applicable start date’ means, with respect to any qualified opportunity zone designated under this section, the January 1 following the date on which such qualified opportunity zone was certified and designated by the Secretary under subsection (b)(1)(B).”.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to areas designated under section 1400Z-1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) APPLICATION OF SPECIAL RULES FOR CAPITAL GAINS.—

(1) REPEAL OF SUNSET ON ELECTION.—Section 1400Z-2(a)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) ELECTION.—No election may be made under paragraph (1) with respect to a sale or exchange if an election previously made with respect to such sale or exchange is in effect.”.

(2) MODIFICATION OF RULES FOR DEFERRAL OF GAIN.—Section 1400Z-2(b) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) DEFERRAL OF GAIN INVESTED IN OPPORTUNITY ZONE PROPERTY.—

“(1) YEAR OF INCLUSION.—Gain to which subsection (a)(1)(B) applies shall be included in gross income in the taxable year which includes the earlier of—

“(A) the date on which such investment is sold or exchanged, or

“(B) the date which is 5 years after the date the investment in the qualified opportunity fund was made.

“(2) AMOUNT INCLUDIBLE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The amount of gain included in gross income under subsection (a)(1)(B) shall be the excess of—

“(i) the lesser of the amount of gain excluded under subsection (a)(1)(A) or the fair market value of the investment as determined as of the date described in paragraph (1), over

“(ii) the taxpayer’s basis in the investment.

“(B) DETERMINATION OF BASIS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subparagraph or subsection (c), the taxpayer’s basis in the investment shall be zero.

“(ii) INCREASE FOR GAIN RECOGNIZED UNDER SUBSECTION (a)(1)(B).—The basis in the investment shall be increased by the amount of gain recognized by reason of subsection (a)(1)(B) with respect to such investment.

“(iii) INVESTMENTS HELD FOR 5 YEARS.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any investment held for at least 5 years, the basis of such investment shall be increased by an amount equal to 10 percent (30 percent in the case of any investment in a qualified rural opportunity fund) of the amount of gain deferred by reason of subsection (a)(1)(A).

“(II) APPLICATION OF INCREASE.—For purposes of this subsection, any increase in basis under this clause shall be treated as occurring before the date described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(C) QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUND.—For purposes of subparagraph (B)(iii)—

“(i) QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUND.—The term ‘qualified rural opportunity fund’ means a qualified opportunity fund that holds at least 90 percent of its assets in qualified opportunity zone property which—

“(I) is qualified opportunity zone business property substantially all of the use of which, during substantially all of the fund’s holding period for such property, was in a qualified opportunity zone comprised entirely of a rural area, or

“(II) is qualified opportunity zone stock, or a qualified opportunity zone partnership interest, in a qualified opportunity zone business in which substantially all of the tangible property owned or leased is qualified opportunity zone business property described in subsection (d)(3)(A)(i) and substantially all the use of which is in a qualified opportunity zone comprised entirely of a rural area.

For purposes of the preceding sentence, property held in the fund shall be measured under rules similar to the rules of subsection (d)(1).

“(ii) RURAL AREA.—The term ‘rural area’ means any area other than—

“(I) a city or town that has a population of greater than 50,000 inhabitants, and

“(II) any urbanized area contiguous and adjacent to a city or town described in subclause (I).”.

(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR INVESTMENTS HELD AT LEAST 10 YEARS.—Section 1400Z-2(c) is amended by striking “makes an election under this clause” and all that follows and inserting “makes an election under this subsection, the basis of such investment shall be equal to—

“(A) in the case of an investment sold before the date that is 30 years after the date of the investment, the fair market value of such investment on the date such investment is sold or exchanged, or

“(B) in any other case, the fair market value of such investment on the date that is 30 years after the date of the investment.”.

(4) DETERMINATION OF QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE PROPERTY.—

(A) QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE BUSINESS PROPERTY.—Section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(D)(i)(I) is amended by striking “December 31, 2017” and inserting “the applicable start date (as defined in section 1400Z-1(e)(2)) with respect to the qualified opportunity zone described in subclause (III).”.

(B) QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE STOCK AND PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS.—Section 1400Z-2(d)(2) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2017,” each place it appears in subparagraphs (B)(i)(I) and (C)(i) and inserting “the applicable date”, and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) APPLICABLE DATE.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘applicable date’ means, with respect to any corporation or partnership which is a qualified opportunity zone business, the earliest date described in subparagraph (D)(i)(I) with respect to the qualified opportunity zone business property held by such qualified opportunity zone business.”.

(C) SPECIAL RULE FOR IMPROVEMENT OF EXISTING STRUCTURES IN RURAL AREAS.—Section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(D)(ii) is amended by inserting “(50 percent of such adjusted basis in the case of property in a qualified opportunity zone comprised entirely of a rural area (as defined in subsection (b)(2)(C)(ii))” after “the adjusted basis of such property”.

(5) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to amounts invested in qualified opportunity funds after December 31, 2026.

(B) ACQUISITION OF QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE PROPERTY.—The amendments made by subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (4) shall apply to property acquired after December 31, 2026.

(C) SUBSTANTIAL IMPROVEMENT.—The amendment made by paragraph (4)(C) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) INFORMATION REPORTING ON QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS AND QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.—

(1) FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR FUNDS AND INVESTORS.—Subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after section 6039J the following new sections:

“SEC. 6039K. RETURNS WITH RESPECT TO QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS AND QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Every qualified opportunity fund shall file an annual return (at such time and in such manner as the Secretary may prescribe) containing the information described in subsection (b).

“(b) INFORMATION FROM QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.—The information described in this subsection is—

“(1) the name, address, and taxpayer identification number of the qualified opportunity fund,

“(2) whether the qualified opportunity fund is organized as a corporation or a partnership,

“(3) the value of the total assets held by the qualified opportunity fund as of each date described in section 1400Z-2(d)(1),

“(4) the value of all qualified opportunity zone property held by the qualified opportunity fund on each such date,

“(5) with respect to each investment held by the qualified opportunity fund in qualified opportunity zone stock or a qualified opportunity zone partnership interest—

“(A) the name, address, and taxpayer identification number of the corporation in which such stock is held or the partnership in which such interest is held, as the case may be,

“(B) each North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code that applies to the trades or businesses conducted by such corporation or partnership,

“(C) the population census tract or population census tracts in which the qualified opportunity zone business property of such corporation or partnership is located,

“(D) the amount of the investment in such stock or partnership interest as of each date described in section 1400Z-2(d)(1),

“(E) the value of tangible property held by such corporation or partnership on each such

date which is owned by such corporation or partnership,

“(F) the value of tangible property held by such corporation or partnership on each such date which is leased by such corporation or partnership,

“(G) the approximate number of residential units (if any) for any real property held by such corporation or partnership, and

“(H) the approximate average monthly number of full-time equivalent employees of such corporation or partnership for the year (within numerical ranges identified by the Secretary) or such other indication of the employment impact of such corporation or partnership as determined appropriate by the Secretary,

“(6) with respect to the items of qualified opportunity zone business property held by the qualified opportunity fund—

“(A) the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code that applies to the trades or businesses in which such property is held,

“(B) the population census tract in which the property is located,

“(C) whether the property is owned or leased,

“(D) the aggregate value of the items of qualified opportunity zone property held by the qualified opportunity fund as of each date described in section 1400Z-2(d)(1), and

“(E) in the case of real property, the number of residential units (if any),

“(7) the approximate average monthly number of full-time equivalent employees for the year of the trades or businesses of the qualified opportunity fund in which qualified opportunity zone business property is held (within numerical ranges identified by the Secretary) or such other indication of the employment impact of such trades or businesses as determined appropriate by the Secretary,

“(8) with respect to each person who disposed of an investment in the qualified opportunity fund during the year—

“(A) the name, address, and taxpayer identification number of such person,

“(B) the date or dates on which the investment disposed was acquired, and

“(C) the date or dates on which any such investment was disposed and the amount of the investment disposed, and

“(9) such other information as the Secretary may require.

“(c) **STATEMENT REQUIRED TO BE FURNISHED TO INVESTORS.**—Every person required to make a return under subsection (a) shall furnish to each person whose name is required to be set forth in such return by reason of subsection (b)(8) (at such time and in such manner as the Secretary may prescribe) a written statement showing—

“(1) the name, address, and phone number of the information contact of the person required to make such return, and

“(2) the information required to be shown on such return by reason of subsection (b)(8) with respect to the person whose name is required to be so set forth.

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any term used in this section which is also used in subchapter Z of chapter 1 shall have the meaning given such term under such subchapter.

“(2) **FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES.**—The term ‘full-time equivalent employees’ means, with respect to any month, the sum of—

“(A) the number of full-time employees (as defined in section 4980H(c)(4)) for the month, plus

“(B) the number of employees determined (under rules similar to the rules of section 4980H(c)(2)(E)) by dividing the aggregate number of hours of service of employees who are not full-time employees for the month by 120.

“(e) **APPLICATION TO QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.**—Every qualified rural opportunity fund (as defined in section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(C)) shall file the annual return required

under subsection (a), and the statements required under subsection (c), applied—

“(1) by substituting ‘qualified rural opportunity’ for ‘qualified opportunity’ each place it appears,

“(2) by substituting ‘section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(C)’ for ‘section 1400Z-2(d)(1)’ each place it appears, and

“(3) by treating any reference (after the application of paragraph (1)) to qualified rural opportunity zone stock, a qualified rural opportunity zone partnership interest, a qualified rural opportunity zone business, or qualified opportunity zone business property as stock, an interest, a business, or property, respectively, described in subclause (I) or (II), as the case may be, of section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(C)(i).

“SEC. 6039L. INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE BUSINESSES AND QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY ZONE BUSINESSES.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Every applicable qualified opportunity zone business shall furnish to the qualified opportunity fund described in subsection (b) a written statement at such time, in such manner, and setting forth such information as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe for purposes of enabling such qualified opportunity fund to meet the requirements of section 6039K(b)(5).

“(b) **APPLICABLE QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY ZONE BUSINESS.**—For purposes of subsection (a), the term ‘applicable qualified opportunity zone business’ means any qualified opportunity zone business—

“(1) which is a trade or business of a qualified opportunity fund,

“(2) in which a qualified opportunity fund holds qualified opportunity zone stock, or

“(3) in which a qualified opportunity fund holds a qualified opportunity zone partnership interest.

“(c) **OTHER TERMS.**—Any term used in this section which is also used in subchapter Z of chapter 1 shall have the meaning given such term under such subchapter.

“(d) **APPLICATION TO QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY BUSINESSES.**—Every applicable qualified rural opportunity zone business (as defined in subsection (b) determined after application of the substitutions described in this sentence) shall furnish the written statement required under subsection (a), applied—

“(1) by substituting ‘qualified rural opportunity’ for ‘qualified opportunity’ each place it appears, and

“(2) by treating any reference (after the application of paragraph (1)) to qualified rural opportunity zone stock, a qualified rural opportunity zone partnership interest, or a qualified rural opportunity zone business as stock, an interest, or a business, respectively, described in subclause (I) or (II), as the case may be, of section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(C)(i).”.

(2) PENALTIES.

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Part II of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after section 6725 the following new section:

“SEC. 6726. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS AND QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—If any person required to file a return under section 6039K fails to file a complete and correct return under such section in the time and in the manner prescribed therefor, such person shall pay a penalty of \$500 for each day during which such failure continues.

“(b) LIMITATION.

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The maximum penalty under this section on failures with respect to any 1 return shall not exceed \$10,000.

“(2) **LARGE QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.**—In the case of any failure described in subsection (a) with respect to a fund the gross assets of which (determined on the last day of the taxable year) are in excess of \$10,000,000, para-

graph (1) shall be applied by substituting ‘\$50,000’ for ‘\$10,000’.

“(c) **PENALTY IN CASES OF INTENTIONAL DISREGARD.**—If a failure described in subsection (a) is due to intentional disregard, then—

“(1) subsection (a) shall be applied by substituting ‘\$2,500’ for ‘\$500’.

“(2) subsection (b)(1) shall be applied by substituting ‘\$50,000’ for ‘\$10,000’, and

“(3) subsection (b)(2) shall be applied by substituting ‘\$250,000’ for ‘\$50,000’.

“(d) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any failure relating to a return required to be filed in a calendar year beginning after 2025, each of the dollar amounts in subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2024’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

“(2) ROUNDING.

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If the \$500 dollar amount in subsection (a) and (c)(1) or the \$2,500 amount in subsection (c)(1), after being increased under paragraph (1), is not a multiple of \$10, such dollar amount shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

“(B) **ASSET THRESHOLD.**—If the \$10,000,000 dollar amount in subsection (b)(2), after being increased under paragraph (1), is not a multiple of \$10,000, such dollar amount shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10,000.

“(C) **OTHER DOLLAR AMOUNTS.**—If any dollar amount in subsection (b) or (c) (other than any amount to which subparagraph (A) or (B) applies), after being increased under paragraph (1), is not a multiple of \$1,000, such dollar amount shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$1,000.”.

(B) **INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE SENT TO OTHER TAXPAYERS.**—Section 6724(d)(2), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended—

(i) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (LL),

(ii) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (MM) and inserting a comma, and

(iii) by inserting after subparagraph (MM) the following new subparagraphs:

“(NN) section 6039K(c) (relating to disposition of qualified opportunity fund investments), or

“(OO) section 6039L (relating to information required from certain qualified opportunity zone businesses and qualified rural opportunity zone businesses).”.

(3) **ELECTRONIC FILING.**—Section 6011(e) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) **QUALIFIED OPPORTUNITY FUNDS AND QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2), any return filed by a qualified opportunity fund or qualified rural opportunity fund under section 6039K shall be filed on magnetic media or other machine-readable form.”.

(4) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.

(A) The table of sections for subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6039J the following new items:

“Sec. 6039K. Returns with respect to qualified opportunity funds and qualified rural opportunity funds.

“Sec. 6039L. Information required from qualified opportunity zone businesses and qualified rural opportunity zone businesses.”.

(B) The table of sections for part II of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6725 the following new item:

“Sec. 6726. Failure to comply with information reporting requirements relating to qualified opportunity funds and qualified rural opportunity funds.”.

(5) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **SECRETARY REPORTING OF DATA ON OPPORTUNITY ZONE AND RURAL OPPORTUNITY ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.**—

(I) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$15,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2028, for necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service to make the reports described in paragraph (2).

(2) **REPORTS.**—As soon as practical after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary of the Treasury, or the Secretary's delegate (referred to in this section as the "Secretary") shall make publicly available a report on qualified opportunity funds.

(3) **INFORMATION INCLUDED.**—The report required under paragraph (2) shall include, to the extent available, the following information:

(A) The number of qualified opportunity funds.

(B) The aggregate dollar amount of assets held in qualified opportunity funds.

(C) The aggregate dollar amount of investments made by qualified opportunity funds in qualified opportunity fund property, stated separately for each North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code.

(D) The percentage of population census tracts designated as qualified opportunity zones that have received qualified opportunity fund investments.

(E) For each population census tract designated as a qualified opportunity zone, the approximate average monthly number of full-time equivalent employees of the qualified opportunity zone businesses in such qualified opportunity zone for the preceding 12-month period (within numerical ranges identified by the Secretary) or such other indication of the employment impact of such qualified opportunity fund businesses as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

(F) The percentage of the total amount of investments made by qualified opportunity funds in—

(i) qualified opportunity zone property which is real property; and

(ii) other qualified opportunity zone property.

(G) For each population census tract, the aggregate approximate number of residential units resulting from investments made by qualified opportunity funds in real property.

(H) The aggregate dollar amount of investments made by qualified opportunity funds in each population census tract.

(4) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Beginning with the report submitted under paragraph (2) for the 6th year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall include in such report the impacts and outcomes of a designation of a population census tract as a qualified opportunity zone as measured by economic indicators, such as job creation, poverty reduction, new business starts, and other metrics as determined by the Secretary.

(B) **SEMI-DECENNIAL INFORMATION.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any report submitted under paragraph (2) in the 6th year or the 11th year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall include the following information:

(I) For population census tracts designated as a qualified opportunity zone, a comparison (based on aggregate information) of the factors listed in clause (iii) between the 5-year period ending on the date of the enactment of Public Law 115-97 and the most recent 5-year period for which data is available.

(II) For population census tracts designated as a qualified opportunity zone, a comparison (based on aggregate information) of the factors listed in clause (iii) for the most recent 5-year

period for which data is available between such population census tracts and similar population census tracts that were not designated as a qualified opportunity zone.

(ii) **CONTROL GROUPS.**—For purposes of clause (i), the Secretary may combine population census tracts into such groups as the Secretary determines appropriate for purposes of making comparisons.

(iii) **FACTORS LISTED.**—The factors listed in this clause are the following:

(I) The unemployment rate.

(II) The number of persons working in the population census tract, including the percentage of such persons who were not residents in the population census tract in the preceding year.

(III) Individual, family, and household poverty rates.

(IV) Median family income of residents of the population census tract.

(V) Demographic information on residents of the population census tract, including age, income, education, race, and employment.

(VI) The average percentage of income of residents of the population census tract spent on rent annually.

(VII) The number of residences in the population census tract.

(VIII) The rate of home ownership in the population census tract.

(IX) The average value of residential property in the population census tract.

(X) The number of affordable housing units in the population census tract.

(XI) The number of new business starts in the population census tract.

(XII) The distribution of employees in the population census tract by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code.

(5) **PROTECTION OF IDENTIFIABLE RETURN INFORMATION.**—In making reports required under this subsection, the Secretary—

(A) shall establish appropriate procedures to ensure that any amounts reported do not disclose taxpayer return information that can be associated with any particular taxpayer or competitive or proprietary information, and

(B) if necessary to protect taxpayer return information, may combine information required with respect to individual population census tracts into larger geographic areas.

(6) **DEFINITIONS.**—Any term used in this subsection which is also used in subchapter Z of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall have the meaning given such term under such subchapter.

(7) **REPORTS ON QUALIFIED RURAL OPPORTUNITY FUNDS.**—The Secretary shall make publicly available, with respect to qualified rural opportunity funds, separate reports as required under this subsection, applied—

(A) by substituting "qualified rural opportunity" for "qualified opportunity" each place it appears,

(B) by substituting a reference to this Act for "Public Law 115-97", and

(C) by treating any reference (after the application of subparagraph (A)) to qualified rural opportunity zone stock, qualified rural opportunity zone partnership interest, qualified rural opportunity zone business, or qualified opportunity zone business property as stock, interest, business, or property, respectively, described in subclause (I) or (II), as the case may be, of section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(C)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

SEC. 70422. PERMANENT ENHANCEMENT OF LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT.

(a) **PERMANENT STATE HOUSING CREDIT CEILING INCREASE FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT.**—

(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 42(h)(3)(I) is amended—

(A) by striking "2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021," and inserting "beginning after December 31, 2025,"

(B) by striking "1.125" and inserting "1.12", and

(C) by striking "2018, 2019, 2020, AND 2021" in the heading and inserting "CALENDAR YEARS AFTER 2025".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to calendar years beginning after December 31, 2025.

(b) **TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING REQUIREMENT.**—

(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 42(h)(4) is amended by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

"(B) **SPECIAL RULE WHERE MINIMUM PERCENT OF BUILDINGS IS FINANCED WITH TAX-EXEMPT BONDS SUBJECT TO VOLUME CAP.**—For purposes of subparagraph (A), paragraph (1) shall not apply to any portion of the credit allowable under subsection (a) with respect to a building if—

"(i) 50 percent or more of the aggregate basis of such building and the land on which the building is located is financed by 1 or more obligations described in subparagraph (A), or

"(ii)(I) 25 percent or more of the aggregate basis of such building and the land on which the building is located is financed by 1 or more obligations described in subparagraph (A), and

"(II) 1 or more of such obligations—

"(aa) are part of an issue the issue date of which is after December 31, 2025, and

"(bb) provide the financing for not less than 5 percent of the aggregate basis of such building and the land on which the building is located.".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to buildings placed in service in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

(B) **REHABILITATION EXPENDITURES TREATED AS SEPARATE NEW BUILDING.**—In the case of any building with respect to which any expenditures are treated as a separate new building under section 42(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, for purposes of subparagraph (A), both the existing building and the separate new building shall be treated as having been placed in service on the date such expenditures are treated as placed in service under section 42(e)(4) of such Code.

SEC. 70423. PERMANENT EXTENSION OF NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 45D(f)(1)(H) is amended by striking "for for each of calendar years 2020 through 2025" and inserting "for each calendar year after 2019".

(b) **CARRYOVER OF UNUSED LIMITATION.**—Section 45D(f)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking "If the" and inserting the following:

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If the", and

(2) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following:

"(B) **LIMITATION.**—No amount may be carried under subparagraph (A) to any calendar year after the fifth calendar year after the calendar year in which the excess described in such subparagraph occurred. For purposes of this subparagraph, any excess described in subparagraph (A) with respect to any calendar year before 2026 shall be treated as occurring in calendar year 2025."

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70424. PERMANENT AND EXPANDED REINSTATEMENT OF PARTIAL DEDUCTION FOR CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF INDIVIDUALS WHO DO NOT ELECT TO ITEMIZE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 170(p) is amended—

(1) by striking "\$300 (\$600)" and inserting "\$1,000 (\$2,000)", and

(2) by striking "beginning in 2021".

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70425. 0.5 PERCENT FLOOR ON DEDUCTION OF CONTRIBUTIONS MADE BY INDIVIDUALS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL*.—Paragraph (1) of section 170(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) 0.5-PERCENT FLOOR.—Any charitable contribution otherwise allowable (without regard to this subparagraph) as a deduction under this section shall be allowed only to the extent that the aggregate of such contributions exceeds 0.5 percent of the taxpayer’s contribution base for the taxable year. The preceding sentence shall be applied—

“(i) first, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (D) applies to the extent thereof,

“(ii) second, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (C) applies to the extent thereof,

“(iii) third, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (B) applies to the extent thereof,

“(iv) fourth, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (E) applies to the extent thereof,

“(v) fifth, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (A) applies to the extent thereof, and

“(vi) sixth, by taking into account charitable contributions to which subparagraph (G) applies to the extent thereof.”.

(2) *APPLICATION OF CARRYFORWARD*.—Paragraph (1) of section 170(d) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) CONTRIBUTIONS DISALLOWED BY 0.5-PERCENT FLOOR CARRIED FORWARD ONLY FROM YEARS IN WHICH LIMITATION IS EXCEEDED.—

“(i) *IN GENERAL*.—In the case of any taxable year from which an excess is carried forward (determined without regard to this subparagraph) under any carryover rule, the applicable carryover rule shall be applied by increasing the excess determined under such applicable carryover rule for the contribution year (before the application of subparagraph (B)) by the amount attributable to the charitable contributions to which such rule applies which is not allowed as a deduction for the contribution year by reason of subsection (b)(1)(I).

“(ii) *CARRYOVER RULE*.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘carryover rule’ means—

“(I) subparagraph (A) of this paragraph,

“(II) subparagraphs (C)(ii), (D)(ii), (E)(ii), and (G)(ii) of subsection (b)(1), and

“(III) the second sentence of subsection (b)(1)(B).

“(iii) *APPLICABLE CARRYOVER RULE*.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘applicable carryover rule’ means any carryover rule applicable to charitable contributions which were (in whole or in part) not allowed as a deduction for the contribution year by reason of subsection (b)(1)(I).”.

(3) *COORDINATION WITH DEDUCTION FOR NON-ITEMIZERS*.—Section 170(p), as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting “, (b)(1)(I),” after “subsections (b)(1)(G)(ii)”.

(b) *MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION FOR CASH CONTRIBUTIONS*.—

(1) *IN GENERAL*.—Clause (i) of section 170(b)(1)(G) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) *IN GENERAL*.—For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, any contribution of cash to an organization described in subparagraph (A) shall be allowed as a deduction under subsection (a) to the extent that the aggregate of such contributions does not exceed the excess of—

“(I) 60 percent of the taxpayer’s contribution base for the taxable year, over

“(II) the aggregate amount of contributions taken into account under subparagraph (A) for such taxable year.”.

(2) *COORDINATION WITH OTHER LIMITATIONS*.—

(A) *IN GENERAL*.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(1)(G) is amended—

(i) by striking “SUBPARAGRAPHS (A) AND (B)” in the heading and inserting “SUBPARAGRAPH (A)”, and

(ii) in subclause (II), by striking “, and subparagraph (B)” and all that follows through “this subparagraph”.

(B) *OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS*.—Subparagraph (B) of section 170(b)(1) is amended—

(i) by striking “to which subparagraph (A)” both places it appears and inserting “to which subparagraph (A) or (G)”, and

(ii) in clause (ii), by striking “over the amount” and all that follows through “subparagraph (C)”, and inserting “over—

“(I) the amount of charitable contributions allowable under subparagraph (A) (determined without regard to subparagraph (C)) and subparagraph (G), reduced by

“(II) so much of the contributions taken into account under subparagraph (G) as does not exceed 10 percent of the taxpayer’s contribution base.”.

(c) *EFFECTIVE DATE*.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70426. 1-PERCENT FLOOR ON DEDUCTION OF CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS MADE BY CORPORATIONS.

(a) *IN GENERAL*.—Section 170(b)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) *IN GENERAL*.—Any charitable contribution otherwise allowable (without regard to this subparagraph) as a deduction under this section for any taxable year, other than any contribution to which subparagraph (B) or (C) applies, shall be allowed only to the extent that the aggregate of such contributions—

“(i) exceeds 1 percent of the taxpayer’s taxable income for the taxable year, and

“(ii) does not exceed 10 percent of the taxpayer’s taxable income for the taxable year.”.

(b) *APPLICATION OF CARRYFORWARD*.—Section 170(d)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) *CORPORATIONS*.—

“(A) *IN GENERAL*.—Any charitable contribution taken into account under subsection (b)(2)(A) for any taxable year which is not allowed as a deduction by reason of clause (ii) thereof shall be taken into account as a charitable contribution for the succeeding taxable year, except that, for purposes of determining under this subparagraph whether such contribution is allowed in such succeeding taxable year, contributions in such succeeding taxable year (determined without regard to this paragraph) shall be taken into account under subsection (b)(2)(A) before any contribution taken into account by reason of this paragraph.

“(B) 5-YEAR CARRYFORWARD.—No charitable contribution may be carried forward under subparagraph (A) to any taxable year following the fifth taxable year after the taxable year in which the charitable contribution was first taken into account. For purposes of the preceding sentence, contributions shall be treated as allowed on a first-in first-out basis.

“(C) *CONTRIBUTIONS DISALLOWED BY 1-PERCENT FLOOR CARRIED FORWARD ONLY FROM YEARS IN WHICH 10 PERCENT LIMITATION IS EXCEEDED*.—In the case of any taxable year from which a charitable contribution is carried forward under subparagraph (A) (determined without regard to this subparagraph), subparagraph (A) shall be applied by substituting ‘clause (i) or (ii)’ for ‘clause (ii)’.

“(D) *SPECIAL RULE FOR NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYOVERS*.—The amount of charitable contributions carried forward under subparagraph (A) shall be reduced to the extent that such carryforward would (but for this subparagraph) reduce taxable income (as computed for purposes of the second sentence of section 172(b)(2)) and increase a net operating loss carryover under section 172 to a succeeding taxable year.”.

(c) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS*.—Subparagraphs (B)(ii) and (C)(ii) of section 170(b)(2) are each amended by inserting “other than subparagraph (C) thereof” after “subsection (d)(2)”.

(d) *EFFECTIVE DATE*.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70427. PERMANENT INCREASE IN LIMITATION ON COVER OVER OF TAX ON DISTILLED SPIRITS.

(a) *IN GENERAL*.—Paragraph (1) of section 7652(f) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) \$13.25, or”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE*.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distilled spirits brought into the United States after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70428. NONPROFIT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES IN REMOTE NATIVE VILLAGES.

(a) *IN GENERAL*.—For purposes of subchapter F of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, any activity substantially related to participation or investment in fisheries in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands statistical and reporting areas (as described in Figure 1 of section 679 of title 50, Code of Federal Regulations) carried on by an entity identified in section 305(i)(1)(D) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1855(i)(1)(D)) (as in effect on the date of enactment of this section) shall be considered substantially related to the exercise or performance of the purpose constituting the basis of such entity’s exemption under section 501(a) of such Code if the conduct of such activity is in furtherance of 1 or more of the purposes specified in section 305(i)(1)(A) of such Act (as so in effect). For purposes of this paragraph, activities substantially related to participation or investment in fisheries include the harvesting, processing, transportation, sales, and marketing of fish and fish products of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands statistical and reporting areas.

(b) *APPLICATION TO CERTAIN WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES*.—If the assets of a trade or business relating to an activity described in subsection (a) of any subsidiary wholly owned by an entity identified in section 305(i)(1)(D) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1855(i)(1)(D)) (as in effect on the date of enactment of this section) are transferred to such entity (including in liquidation of such subsidiary) not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act—

(1) no gain or income resulting from such transfer shall be recognized to either such subsidiary or such entity under such Code, and

(2) all income derived from such subsidiary from such transferred trade or business shall be exempt from taxation under such Code.

(c) *EFFECTIVE DATE*.—This section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall remain effective during the existence of the western Alaska community development quota program established by Section 305(i)(1) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1855(i)(1)), as amended.

SEC. 70429. ADJUSTMENT OF CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES INCURRED IN SUPPORT OF NATIVE ALASKAN SUBSISTENCE WHALING.

(a) *IN GENERAL*.—Section 170(n)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “\$10,000” and inserting “\$50,000”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE*.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70430. EXCEPTION TO PERCENTAGE OF COMPLETION METHOD OF ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS.

(a) *IN GENERAL*.—Section 460(e) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “home construction contract” both places it appears and inserting “residential construction contract”, and

(B) by inserting “(determined by substituting ‘3-year’ for ‘2-year’ in subparagraph (B)(i) for any residential construction contract which is not a home construction contract)” after “the requirements of clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (B)”.

(2) by striking paragraph (4) and redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (4), and

(3) in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by striking “paragraph (4)” and inserting “paragraph (3)”.

(b) APPLICATION OF EXCEPTION FOR PURPOSES OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX.—Section 56(a)(3) is amended by striking “any home construction contract (as defined in section 460(e)(6))” and inserting “any residential construction contract (as defined in section 460(e)(4))”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contracts entered into in taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subchapter D—Permanent Investments in Small Business and Rural America

SEC. 70431. EXPANSION OF QUALIFIED SMALL BUSINESS STOCK GAIN EXCLUSION.

(a) PHASED INCREASE IN EXCLUSION FOR GAIN FROM QUALIFIED SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1202(a)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a taxpayer other than a corporation, gross income shall not include—

“(A) except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), 50 percent of any gain from the sale or exchange of qualified small business stock acquired on or before the applicable date and held for more than 5 years, and

“(B) the applicable percentage of any gain from the sale or exchange of qualified small business stock acquired after the applicable date and held for at least 3 years.”.

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—Section 1202(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—The applicable percentage under paragraph (1) shall be determined under the following table:

Years stock held:	Applicable percentage:
3 years	50%
4 years	75%
5 years or more	100%”.

(3) APPLICABLE DATE; ACQUISITION DATE.—Section 1202(a), as amended by paragraph (2), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) APPLICABLE DATE; ACQUISITION DATE.—For purposes of this section—

“(A) APPLICABLE DATE.—The term ‘applicable date’ means the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

“(B) ACQUISITION DATE.—In the case of any stock which would (but for this paragraph) be treated as having been acquired before, on, or after the applicable date, whichever is applicable, the acquisition date for purposes of this section shall be the first day on which such stock was held by the taxpayer determined after the application of section 1223.”.

(4) CONTINUED TREATMENT AS NOT ITEM OF TAX PREFERENCE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 57(a)(7) is amended by striking “An amount” and inserting “In the case of stock acquired on or before the date of the enactment of the Creating Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, an amount”.

(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1202(a)(4) is amended—

(i) by striking “, and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a period, and

(ii) by striking subparagraph (C).

(5) OTHER CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(A) Paragraphs (3)(A) and (4)(A) of section 1202(a) are each amended by striking “paragraph (1)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(A)”.

(B) Paragraph (4)(A) of section 1202(a) is amended by inserting “and on or before the applicable date” after “2010”.

(C) Sections 1202(b)(2), 1202(g)(2)(A), and 1202(j)(1)(A) are each amended by striking “more than 5 years” and inserting “at least 3 years (more than 5 years in the case of stock acquired on or before the applicable date)”.

(6) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) CONTINUED TREATMENT AS NOT ITEM OF TAX PREFERENCE.—The amendments made by paragraph (4) shall take effect as if included in the enactment of section 2011 of the Creating Small Business Jobs Act of 2010.

(b) INCREASE IN PER ISSUER LIMITATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1202(b)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) the applicable dollar limit for the taxable year, or”.

(2) APPLICABLE DOLLAR LIMIT.—Section 1202(b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) APPLICABLE DOLLAR LIMIT.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), the applicable dollar limit for any taxable year with respect to eligible gain from 1 or more dispositions by a taxpayer of qualified business stock of a corporation is—

“(A) if such stock was acquired by the taxpayer on or before the applicable date, \$10,000,000, reduced by the aggregate amount of eligible gain taken into account by the taxpayer under subsection (a) for prior taxable years and attributable to dispositions of stock issued by such corporation and acquired by the taxpayer before, on, or after the applicable date, and

“(B) if such stock was acquired by the taxpayer after the applicable date, \$15,000,000, reduced by the sum of—

“(i) the aggregate amount of eligible gain taken into account by the taxpayer under subsection (a) for prior taxable years and attributable to dispositions of stock issued by such corporation and acquired by the taxpayer before, on, or after the applicable date, plus

“(ii) the aggregate amount of eligible gain taken into account by the taxpayer under subsection (a) for the taxable year and attributable to dispositions of stock issued by such corporation and acquired by the taxpayer on or before the applicable date.

“(5) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2026, the \$15,000,000 amount in paragraph (4)(B) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

If any increase under this subparagraph is not a multiple of \$10,000, such increase shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.

“(B) NO INCREASE ONCE LIMIT REACHED.—If, for any taxable year, the eligible gain attributable to dispositions of stock issued by a corporation and acquired by the taxpayer after the applicable date exceeds the applicable dollar limit, then notwithstanding any increase under subparagraph (A) for any subsequent taxable year, the applicable dollar limit for such subsequent taxable year shall be zero.”.

(3) SEPARATE RETURNS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1202(b)(3) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) SEPARATE RETURNS.—In the case of a separate return by a married individual for any taxable year—

“(i) paragraph (4)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘\$5,000,000’ for ‘\$10,000,000’, and

“(ii) paragraph (4)(B) shall be applied by substituting one-half of the dollar amount in effect under such paragraph for the taxable year for the amount so in effect.”.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) INCREASE IN LIMIT IN AGGREGATE GROSS ASSETS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 1202(d)(1) are each amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

(2) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Section 1202(b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2026, the \$75,000,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(A) and (1)(B) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

If any increase under this paragraph is not a multiple of \$10,000, such increase shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to stock issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70432. REPEAL OF REVISION TO DE MINIMIS RULES FOR THIRD PARTY NETWORK TRANSACTIONS.

(a) REINSTATEMENT OF EXCEPTION FOR DE MINIMIS PAYMENTS AS IN EFFECT PRIOR TO ENACTMENT OF AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 2021.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6050W(e) is amended to read as follows:

“(e) EXCEPTION FOR DE MINIMIS PAYMENTS BY THIRD PARTY SETTLEMENT ORGANIZATIONS.—A third party settlement organization shall be required to report any information under subsection (a) with respect to third party network transactions of any participating payee only if—

“(1) the amount which would otherwise be reported under subsection (a)(2) with respect to such transactions exceeds \$20,000, and

“(2) the aggregate number of such transactions exceeds 200.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in section 9674 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

(b) APPLICATION OF DE MINIMIS RULE FOR THIRD PARTY NETWORK TRANSACTIONS TO BACKUP WITHHOLDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3406(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) OTHER REPORTABLE PAYMENTS INCLUDE PAYMENTS IN SETTLEMENT OF THIRD PARTY NETWORK TRANSACTIONS ONLY WHERE AGGREGATE TRANSACTIONS EXCEED REPORTING THRESHOLD FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Any payment in settlement of a third party network transaction required to be shown on a return required under section 6050W which is made during any calendar year shall be treated as a reportable payment only if—

“(i) the aggregate number of transactions with respect to the participating payee during such calendar year exceeds the number of transactions specified in section 6050W(e)(2), and

“(ii) the aggregate amount of transactions with respect to the participating payee during such calendar year exceeds the dollar amount specified in section 6050W(e)(1) at the time of such payment.

“(B) EXCEPTION IF THIRD PARTY NETWORK TRANSACTIONS MADE IN PRIOR YEAR WERE REPORTABLE.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to payments to any participating payee during any calendar year if one or more payments in settlement of third party network transactions made by the payor to the participating payee during the preceding calendar year were reportable payments.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to calendar years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70433. INCREASE IN THRESHOLD FOR REQUIRING INFORMATION REPORTING WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN PAYEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6041(a) is amended by striking “\$600” and inserting “\$2,000”.

(b) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Section 6041 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any calendar year after 2026, the dollar amount in subsection (a) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(1) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(2) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2025’ for ‘calendar year 2016’ in subparagraph (A)(ii) thereof.

If any increase under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$100, such increase shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$100.”.

(c) APPLICATION TO REPORTING ON REMUNERATION FOR SERVICES.—Section 6041A(a)(2) is amended by striking “is \$600 or more” and inserting “equals or exceeds the dollar amount in effect for such calendar year under section 6041(a)”.

(d) APPLICATION TO BACKUP WITHHOLDING.—Section 3406(b)(6) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$600” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “the dollar amount in effect for such calendar year under section 6041(a)”, and

(2) by striking “ONLY WHERE AGGREGATE FOR CALENDAR YEAR IS \$600 OR MORE” in the heading and inserting “ONLY WHERE IN EXCESS OF THRESHOLD”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading of section 6041(a) is amended by striking “OF \$600 OR MORE” and inserting “EXCEEDING THRESHOLD”.

(2) Section 6041(a) is amended by striking “taxable year” and inserting “calendar year”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to payments made after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70434. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN QUALIFIED SOUND RECORDING PRODUCTIONS.

(a) ELECTION TO TREAT COSTS AS EXPENSES.—Section 181(a)(1) is amended by striking “qualified film or television production, and any qualified live theatrical production,” and inserting “qualified film or television production, any qualified live theatrical production, and any qualified sound recording production”.

(b) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 181(a)(2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) QUALIFIED SOUND RECORDING PRODUCTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to so much of the aggregate cost of any qualified sound recording production, or to so much of the aggregate, cumulative cost of all such qualified sound recording productions in the taxable year, as exceeds \$150,000.”.

(c) NO OTHER DEDUCTION OR AMORTIZATION DEDUCTION ALLOWABLE.—Section 181(b) is amended by striking “qualified film or television production or any qualified live theatrical production” and inserting “qualified film or television production, any qualified live theatrical production, or any qualified sound recording production”.

(d) ELECTION.—Section 181(c)(1) is amended by striking “qualified film or television production or any qualified live theatrical production” and inserting “qualified film or television production, any qualified live theatrical production, or any qualified sound recording production”.

(e) QUALIFIED SOUND RECORDING PRODUCTION DEFINED.—Section 181 is amended by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively, and by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) QUALIFIED SOUND RECORDING PRODUCTION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified sound recording production’ means a sound recording (as defined in section 101 of title 17, United States Code) produced and recorded in the United States.”.

(f) APPLICATION OF TERMINATION.—Section 181(h), as redesignated by subsection (e), is amended by striking “qualified film and television productions or qualified live theatrical productions” and inserting “qualified film and television productions, qualified live theatrical

productions, or qualified sound recording productions”.

(g) BONUS DEPRECIATION.—

(1) QUALIFIED SOUND RECORDING PRODUCTION AS QUALIFIED PROPERTY.—Section 168(k)(2)(A)(i) is amended—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of subclause (IV), by inserting “or” at the end of subclause (V), and by inserting after subclause (V) the following:

“(VI) which is a qualified sound recording production (as defined in subsection (f) of section 181) for which a deduction would have been allowable under section 181 without regard to subsections (a)(2) and (h) of such section or this subsection, and”, and

(B) in subclauses (IV) and (V) (as so amended) by striking “without regard to subsections (a)(2) and (g)” both places it appears and inserting “without regard to subsections (a)(2) and (h)”.

(2) PRODUCTION PLACED IN SERVICE.—Section 168(k)(2)(H) is amended by striking “and” at the end of clause (i), by striking the period at the end of clause (ii) and inserting “, and”, and by adding after clause (ii) the following:

“(iii) a qualified sound recording production shall be considered to be placed in service at the time of initial release or broadcast.”.

(h) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for section 181 is amended to read as follows: “TREATMENT OF CERTAIN QUALIFIED PRODUCTIONS.”.

(2) The table of sections for part VI of subchapter B of chapter 1 is amended by striking the item relating to section 181 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 181. Treatment of certain qualified productions.”.

(i) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to productions commencing in taxable years ending after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70435. EXCLUSION OF INTEREST ON LOANS SECURED BY RURAL OR AGRICULTURAL REAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part III of subchapter B of chapter 1, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by inserting after section 139K the following new section:

“SEC. 139L. INTEREST ON LOANS SECURED BY RURAL OR AGRICULTURAL REAL PROPERTY.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Gross income shall not include 25 percent of the interest received by a qualified lender on any qualified real estate loan.

“(b) QUALIFIED LENDER.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified lender’ means—

“(1) any bank or savings association the deposits of which are insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1811 et seq.),

“(2) any State- or federally-regulated insurance company,

“(3) any entity wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by a company that is treated as a bank holding company for purposes of section 8 of the International Banking Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 3106) if—

“(A) such entity is organized, incorporated, or established under the laws of the United States or any State, and

“(B) the principal place of business of such entity is in the United States (including any territory of the United States),

“(4) any entity wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by a company that is considered an insurance holding company under the laws of any State if such entity satisfies the requirements described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (3), and

“(5) with respect to interest received on a qualified real estate loan secured by real estate described in subsection (c)(3)(A), any federally chartered instrumentality of the United States established under section 8.1(a) of the Farm Credit Act of 1971 (12 U.S.C. 2279aa-1(a)).

“(c) QUALIFIED REAL ESTATE LOAN.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified real estate loan’ means any loan—

“(A) secured by—

“(i) rural or agricultural real estate, or

“(ii) a leasehold mortgage (with a status as a lien) on rural or agricultural real estate,

“(B) made to a person other than a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)), and

“(C) made after the date of the enactment of this section.

For purposes of the preceding sentence, the determination of whether property securing such loan is rural or agricultural real estate shall be made as of the time the interest income on such loan is accrued.

“(2) REFINANCINGS.—For purposes of subparagraphs (A) and (C) of paragraph (1), a loan shall not be treated as made after the date of the enactment of this section to the extent that the proceeds of such loan are used to refinance a loan which was made on or before the date of the enactment of this section (or, in the case of any series of refinancings, the original loan was made on or before such date).

“(3) RURAL OR AGRICULTURAL REAL ESTATE.—The term ‘rural or agricultural real estate’ means—

“(A) any real property which is substantially used for the production of one or more agricultural products,

“(B) any real property which is substantially used in the trade or business of fishing or seafood processing, and

“(C) any aquaculture facility.

Such term shall not include any property which is not located in a State or a possession of the United States.

“(4) AQUACULTURE FACILITY.—The term ‘aquaculture facility’ means any land, structure, or other appurtenance that is used for aquaculture (including any hatchery, rearing pond, raceway, pen, or incubator).

“(d) COORDINATION WITH SECTION 265.—In the case of any qualified real estate loan, section 265 shall be applied—

“(1) by treating any qualified real estate loan for purposes of subsection (a)(2) thereof as an obligation the interest on which is wholly exempt from the taxes imposed by this subtitle,

“(2) by substituting ‘25 percent of the interest on indebtedness’ for ‘Interest on indebtedness’ in such subsection (a)(2),

“(3) by treating 25 percent of the adjusted basis of any qualified real estate loan as adjusted basis of a tax-exempt obligation described in subsection (b)(4)(B) thereof, and

“(4) by substituting ‘25 percent of the amount of such indebtedness’ for ‘the amount of such indebtedness’ in subsection (b)(6)(A)(a)(ii) thereof.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for part III of subchapter B of chapter 1, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 139K the following new item:

“Sec. 139L. Interest on loans secured by rural or agricultural real property.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70436. REDUCTION OF TRANSFER AND MANUFACTURING TAXES FOR CERTAIN DEVICES.

(a) TRANSFER TAX.—Section 5811(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) RATE.—There shall be levied, collected, and paid on firearms transferred a tax at the rate of—

“(1) \$200 for each firearm transferred in the case of a machinegun or a destructive device, and

“(2) \$0 for any firearm transferred which is not described in paragraph (1).”.

(b) MAKING TAX.—Section 5821(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **RATE.**—There shall be levied, collected, and paid upon the making of a firearm a tax at the rate of—

“(1) \$200 for each firearm made in the case of a machinegun or a destructive device, and

“(2) \$0 for any firearm made which is not described in paragraph (1).”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 4182(a) is amended by adding at the end the following: “For purposes of the preceding sentence, any firearm described in section 5811(a)(2) shall be deemed to be a firearm on which the tax provided by section 5811 has been paid.”

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar quarters beginning more than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70437. TREATMENT OF CAPITAL GAINS FROM THE SALE OF CERTAIN FARMLAND PROPERTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Part IV of subchapter O of chapter 1 is amended by redesignating section 1062 as section 1063 and by inserting after section 1061 the following new section:

“SEC. 1062. GAIN FROM THE SALE OR EXCHANGE OF QUALIFIED FARMLAND PROPERTY TO QUALIFIED FARMERS.

“(a) **ELECTION TO PAY TAX IN INSTALLMENTS.**—In the case of gain from the sale or exchange of qualified farmland property to a qualified farmer, at the election of the taxpayer, the portion of the net income tax of such taxpayer for the taxable year of the sale or exchange which is equal to the applicable net tax liability shall be paid in 4 equal installments.

“(b) **RULES RELATING TO INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS.**—

“(1) **DATE FOR PAYMENT OF INSTALLMENTS.**—If an election is made under subsection (a), the first installment shall be paid on the due date (determined without regard to any extension of time for filing the return) for the return of tax for the taxable year in which the sale or exchange occurs and each succeeding installment shall be paid on the due date (as so determined) for the return of tax for the taxable year following the taxable year with respect to which the preceding installment was made.

“(2) **ACCELERATION OF PAYMENT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If there is an addition to tax for failure to timely pay any installment required under this section, then the unpaid portion of all remaining installments shall be due on the date of such failure.

“(B) **INDIVIDUALS.**—In the case of an individual, if the individual dies, then the unpaid portion of all remaining installment shall be paid on the due date for the return of tax for the taxable year in which the taxpayer dies.

“(C) **C CORPORATIONS.**—In the case of a taxpayer which is a C corporation, trust, or estate, if there is a liquidation or sale of substantially all the assets of the taxpayer (including in a title 11 or similar case), a cessation of business by the taxpayer (in the case of a C corporation), or any similar circumstance, then the unpaid portion of all remaining installments shall be due on the date of such event (or in the case of a title 11 or similar case, the day before the petition is filed). The preceding sentence shall not apply to the sale of substantially all the assets of a taxpayer to a buyer if such buyer enters into an agreement with the Secretary under which such buyer is liable for the remaining installments due under this subsection in the same manner as if such buyer were the taxpayer.

“(3) **PRORATION OF DEFICIENCY TO INSTALLMENTS.**—If an election is made under subsection (a) to pay the applicable net tax liability in installments and a deficiency has been assessed with respect to such applicable net tax liability, the deficiency shall be prorated to the installments payable under subsection (a). The part of the deficiency so prorated to any installment the date for payment of which has not arrived shall be collected at the same time as, and as a part of, such installment. The part of the deficiency so prorated to any installment the date for pay-

ment of which has arrived shall be paid upon notice and demand from the Secretary. This section shall not apply if the deficiency is due to negligence, to intentional disregard of rules and regulations, or to fraud with intent to evade tax.

“(c) **ELECTION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any election under subsection (a) shall be made not later than the due date for the return of tax for the taxable year described in subsection (a).

“(2) **PARTNERSHIPS AND S CORPORATIONS.**—In the case of a sale or exchange described in subsection (a) by a partnership or S corporation, the election under subsection (a) shall be made at the partner or shareholder level. The Secretary may prescribe such regulations or other guidance as necessary to carry out the purposes of this paragraph.

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section—

“(1) **APPLICABLE NET TAX LIABILITY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The applicable net tax liability with respect to the sale or exchange of any property described in subsection (a) is the excess (if any) of—

“(i) such taxpayer's net income tax for the taxable year, over

“(ii) such taxpayer's net income tax for such taxable year determined without regard to any gain recognized from the sale or exchange of such property.

“(B) **NET INCOME TAX.**—The term ‘net income tax’ means the regular tax liability reduced by the credits allowed under subparts A, B, and D of part IV of subchapter A.

“(2) **QUALIFIED FARMLAND PROPERTY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘qualified farmland property’ means real property located in the United States—

“(i) which—

“(I) has been used by the taxpayer as a farm for farming purposes, or

“(II) leased by the taxpayer to a qualified farmer for farming purposes, during substantially all of the 10-year period ending on the date of the qualified sale or exchange, and

“(ii) which is subject to a covenant or other legally enforceable restriction which prohibits the use of such property other than as a farm for farming purposes for any period before the date that is 10 years after the date of the sale or exchange described in subsection (a).

For purposes of clause (i), property which is used or leased by a partnership or S corporation in a manner described in such clause shall be treated as used or leased in such manner by each person who holds a direct or indirect interest in such partnership or S corporation.

“(B) **FARM; FARMING PURPOSES.**—The terms ‘farm’ and ‘farming purposes’ have the respective meanings given such terms under section 2032A(e).

“(3) **QUALIFIED FARMER.**—The term ‘qualified farmer’ means any individual who is actively engaged in farming (within the meaning of subsections (b) and (c) of section 1001 of the Food Security Act of 1986 (7 U.S.C. 1308-1(b) and (c))).

“(e) **RETURN REQUIREMENT.**—A taxpayer making an election under subsection (a) shall include with the return for the taxable year of the sale or exchange described in subsection (a) a copy of the covenant or other legally enforceable restriction described in subsection (d)(2)(A)(ii).”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part IV of subchapter O of chapter 1 is amended by redesignating the item relating to section 1062 as relating to section 1063 and by inserting after the item relating to section 1061 the following new item:

“Sec. 1062. Gain from the sale or exchange of qualified farmland property to qualified farmers.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to sales or exchanges

in taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70438. EXTENSION OF RULES FOR TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DISASTER-RELATED PERSONAL CASUALTY LOSSES.

For purposes of applying section 304(b) of the Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2020 (division EE of Public Law 116-260), section 301 of such Act shall be applied by substituting the date of the enactment of this section for “the date of the enactment of this Act” each place it appears.

SEC. 70439. RESTORATION OF TAXABLE REIT SUBSIDIARY ASSET TEST.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 856(c)(4)(B)(ii) is amended by striking “20 percent” and inserting “25 percent”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

CHAPTER 5—ENDING GREEN NEW DEAL SPENDING, PROMOTING AMERICA-FIRST ENERGY, AND OTHER REFORMS

Subchapter A—Termination of Green New Deal Subsidies

SEC. 70501. TERMINATION OF PREVIOUSLY-OWNED CLEAN VEHICLE CREDIT.

Section 25E(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2032” and inserting “September 30, 2025”.

SEC. 70502. TERMINATION OF CLEAN VEHICLE CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 30D(h) is amended by striking “placed in service after December 31, 2032” and inserting “acquired after September 30, 2025”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 30D(e) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(B)—

(A) in clause (iii), by inserting “and” after the comma at the end,

(B) in clause (iv), by striking “, and” and inserting a period, and

(C) by striking clause (v), and

(2) in paragraph (2)(B)—

(A) in clause (ii), by inserting “and” after the comma at the end,

(B) in clause (iii), by striking the comma at the end and inserting a period, and

(C) by striking clauses (iv) through (vi).

SEC. 70503. TERMINATION OF QUALIFIED COMMERCIAL CLEAN VEHICLES CREDIT.

Section 45W(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2032” and inserting “September 30, 2025”.

SEC. 70504. TERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY CREDIT.

Section 30C(i) is amended by striking “December 31, 2032” and inserting “June 30, 2026”.

SEC. 70505. TERMINATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME IMPROVEMENT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 25C(h) is amended by striking “placed in service” and all that follows through “December 31, 2032” and inserting “placed in service after December 31, 2025”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 25C(d)(2)(C) is amended to read as follows:

“(C) Any oil furnace or hot water boiler which—

“(i) meets or exceeds 2021 Energy Star efficiency criteria, and

“(ii) is rated by the manufacturer for use with fuel blends at least 20 percent of the volume of which consists of an eligible fuel.”.

SEC. 70506. TERMINATION OF RESIDENTIAL CLEAN ENERGY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 25D(h) is amended by striking “to property placed in service after December 31, 2034” and inserting “with respect to any expenditures made after December 31, 2025”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 25D(g) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and” after the comma at the end,

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “ and before January 1, 2033, 30 percent,” and inserting “30 percent.”, and

(3) by striking paragraphs (4) and (5).

SEC. 70507. TERMINATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENT COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS DEDUCTION.

Section 179D is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) **TERMINATION.**—This section shall not apply with respect to property the construction of which begins after June 30, 2026.”.

SEC. 70508. TERMINATION OF NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

Section 45L(h) is amended by striking “December 31, 2032” and inserting “June 30, 2026”.

SEC. 70509. TERMINATION OF COST RECOVERY FOR ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) **ENERGY PROPERTY.**—Section 168(e)(3)(B)(vi), as amended by section 13703 of Public Law 117-169, is amended—

(1) by striking subclause (I), and

(2) by redesignating subclauses (II) and (III) as subclauses (I) and (II), respectively.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to property the construction of which begins after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 70510. MODIFICATIONS OF ZERO-EMISSION NUCLEAR POWER PRODUCTION CREDIT.

(a) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—Section 45U(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year beginning after the date of enactment of this paragraph if the taxpayer is a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)).

“(B) **OTHER PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year beginning after the date which is 2 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph if the taxpayer is a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70511. TERMINATION OF CLEAN HYDROGEN PRODUCTION CREDIT.

Section 45V(c)(3)(C) is amended by striking “January 1, 2033” and inserting “January 1, 2028”.

SEC. 70512. TERMINATION AND RESTRICTIONS ON CLEAN ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION CREDIT.

(a) **TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.**—Section 45Y(d) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “The amount of” and inserting “Subject to paragraph (4), the amount of”, and

(2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(3) **APPLICABLE YEAR.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘applicable year’ means calendar year 2032.

“(4) **TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—This section shall not apply with respect to any applicable facility placed in service after December 31, 2027.

“(B) **APPLICABLE FACILITY.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable facility’ means a qualified facility which—

“(i) uses wind to produce electricity (within the meaning of such term as used in section 45(d)(1), as determined without regard to any requirement under such section with respect to the date on which construction of property begins), or

“(ii) uses solar energy to produce electricity (within the meaning of such term as used in sec-

tion 45(d)(4), as determined without regard to any requirement under such section with respect to the date on which construction of property begins).”.

(b) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—Section 45Y is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) **MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—The term ‘qualified facility’ shall not include any facility for which construction begins after December 31, 2025, if the construction of such facility includes any material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(52)).”, and

(2) in subsection (g), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(13) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year if the taxpayer is—

“(i) a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)), or

“(ii) a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).

“(B) **EFFECTIVE CONTROL.**—In the case of a taxpayer for which section 7701(a)(51)(D)(i)(II) is determined to apply for any taxable year, no credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for such taxable year if such determination relates to a qualified facility described in subsection (b)(1).”.

(c) **DEFINITIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—Section 7701(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(51) **PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(i) **DEFINITION.**—The term ‘prohibited foreign entity’ means a specified foreign entity or a foreign-influenced entity.

“(ii) **DETERMINATION.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subclause (II), for any taxable year, the determination as to whether an entity is a specified foreign entity or foreign-influenced entity shall be made as of the last day of such taxable year.

“(II) **INITIAL TAXABLE YEAR.**—For purposes of the first taxable year beginning after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the determination as to whether an entity is a specified foreign entity described in clauses (i) through (iv) of subparagraph (B) shall be made as of the first day of such taxable year.

“(B) **SPECIFIED FOREIGN ENTITY.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘specified foreign entity’ means—

“(i) a foreign entity of concern described in subparagraph (A), (B), (D), or (E) of section 9901(8) of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (Public Law 116-283; 15 U.S.C. 4651),

“(ii) an entity identified as a Chinese military company operating in the United States in accordance with section 1260H of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (Public Law 116-283; 10 U.S.C. 113 note),

“(iii) an entity included on a list required by clause (i), (ii), (iv), or (v) of section 2(d)(2)(B) of Public Law 117-78 (135 Stat. 1527),

“(iv) an entity specified under section 154(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118-31; 10 U.S.C. note prec. 4651), or

“(v) a foreign-controlled entity.

“(C) **FOREIGN-CONTROLLED ENTITY.**—For purposes of subparagraph (B), the term ‘foreign-controlled entity’ means—

“(i) the government (including any level of government below the national level) of a covered nation,

“(ii) an agency or instrumentality of a government described in clause (i),

“(iii) a person who is a citizen or national of a covered nation, provided that such person is

not an individual who is a citizen, national, or lawful permanent resident of the United States,

“(iv) an entity or a qualified business unit (as defined in section 989(a)) incorporated or organized under the laws of, or having its principal place of business in, a covered nation, or

“(v) an entity (including subsidiary entities) controlled (as determined under subparagraph (G)) by an entity described in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv).

“(D) **FOREIGN-INFLUENCED ENTITY.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘foreign-influenced entity’ means an entity—

“(I) with respect to which, during the taxable year—

“(aa) a specified foreign entity has the direct authority to appoint a covered officer of such entity,

“(bb) a single specified foreign entity owns at least 25 percent of such entity,

“(cc) one or more specified foreign entities own in the aggregate at least 40 percent of such entity, or

“(dd) at least 15 percent of the debt of such entity has been issued, in the aggregate, to 1 or more specified foreign entities, or

“(II) which, during the previous taxable year, made a payment to a specified foreign entity pursuant to a contract, agreement, or other arrangement which entitles such specified foreign entity (or an entity related to such specified foreign entity) to exercise effective control over—

“(aa) any qualified facility or energy storage technology of the taxpayer (or any person related to the taxpayer), or

“(bb) with respect to any eligible component produced by the taxpayer (or any person related to the taxpayer)—

“(AA) the extraction, processing, or recycling of any applicable critical mineral, or

“(BB) the production of an eligible component which is not an applicable critical mineral.

“(ii) **EFFECTIVE CONTROL.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(aa) **GENERAL RULE.**—Subject to subclause (II), for purposes of clause (i)(II), the term ‘effective control’ means 1 or more agreements or arrangements similar to those described in subclauses (II) and (III) which provide 1 or more contractual counterparties of a taxpayer with specific authority over key aspects of the production of eligible components, energy generation in a qualified facility, or energy storage which are not included in the measures of control through authority, ownership, or debt held which are described in clause (i)(I).

“(bb) **GUIDANCE.**—The Secretary shall issue such guidance as is necessary to carry out the purposes of this clause, including the establishment of rules to prevent entities from evading, circumventing, or abusing the application of the restrictions described in subparagraph (C) and subclauses (II) and (III) of this clause through a contract, agreement, or other arrangement.

“(II) **APPLICATION OF RULES PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GUIDANCE.**—During any period prior to the date that the guidance described in subclause (I)(bb) is issued by the Secretary, for purposes of clause (i)(II), the term ‘effective control’ means the unrestricted contractual right of a contractual counterparty to—

“(aa) determine the quantity or timing of production of an eligible component produced by the taxpayer,

“(bb) determine the amount or timing of activities related to the production of electricity undertaken at a qualified facility of the taxpayer or the storage of electrical energy in energy storage technology of the taxpayer,

“(cc) determine which entity may purchase or use the output of a production unit of the taxpayer that produces eligible components,

“(dd) determine which entity may purchase or use the output of a qualified facility of the taxpayer,

“(ee) restrict access to data critical to production or storage of energy undertaken at a qualified facility of the taxpayer, or to the site of

production or any part of a qualified facility or energy storage technology of the taxpayer, to the personnel or agents of such contractual counterparty, or

“(ff) on an exclusive basis, maintain, repair, or operate any plant or equipment which is necessary to the production by the taxpayer of eligible components or electricity.

“(III) LICENSING AND OTHER AGREEMENTS.—

“(aa) IN GENERAL.—In addition to subclause (II), for purposes of clause (i)(II), the term ‘effective control’ means, with respect to a licensing agreement for the provision of intellectual property (or any other contract, agreement or other arrangement entered into with a contractual counterparty related to such licensing agreement) with respect to a qualified facility, energy storage technology, or the production of an eligible component, any of the following:

“(AA) A contractual right retained by the contractual counterparty to specify or otherwise direct 1 or more sources of components, sub-components, or applicable critical minerals utilized in a qualified facility, energy storage technology, or in the production of an eligible component.

“(BB) A contractual right retained by the contractual counterparty to direct the operation of any qualified facility, any energy storage technology, or any production unit that produces an eligible component.

“(CC) A contractual right retained by the contractual counterparty to limit the taxpayer’s utilization of intellectual property related to the operation of a qualified facility or energy storage technology, or in the production of an eligible component.

“(DD) A contractual right retained by the contractual counterparty to receive royalties under the licensing agreement or any similar agreement (or payments under any related agreement) beyond the 10th year of the agreement (including modifications or extensions thereof).

“(EE) A contractual right retained by the contractual counterparty to direct or otherwise require the taxpayer to enter into an agreement for the provision of services for a duration longer than 2 years (including any modifications or extensions thereof).

“(FF) Such contract, agreement, or other arrangement does not provide the licensee with all the technical data, information, and know-how necessary to enable the licensee to produce the eligible component or components subject to the contract, agreement, or other arrangement without further involvement from the contractual counterparty or a specified foreign entity.

“(GG) Such contract, agreement, or other arrangement was entered into (or modified) on or after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

“(bb) EXCEPTION.—

“(AA) IN GENERAL.—Item (aa) shall not apply in the case of a bona fide purchase or sale of intellectual property.

“(BB) BONA FIDE PURCHASE OR SALE.—For purposes of item (aa), any purchase or sale of intellectual property where the agreement provides that ownership of the intellectual property reverts to the contractual counterparty after a period of time shall not be considered a bona fide purchase or sale.

“(IV) PERSONS RELATED TO THE TAXPAYER.—For purposes of subclauses (I), (II), and (III), the term ‘taxpayer’ shall include any person related to the taxpayer.

“(V) CONTRACTUAL COUNTERPARTY.—For purposes of this clause, the term ‘contractual counterparty’ means an entity with which the taxpayer has entered into a contract, agreement, or other arrangement.

“(iii) GUIDANCE.—Not later than December 31, 2026, the Secretary shall issue such guidance as is necessary to carry out the purposes of this subparagraph, including establishment of rules to prevent entities from evading, circumventing, or abusing the application of the restrictions against impermissible technology licensing ar-

rangements with specified foreign entities, such as through temporary transfers of intellectual property, retention by a specified foreign entity of a reversionary interest in transferred intellectual property, or otherwise.

“(E) PUBLICLY TRADED ENTITIES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—

“(I) NONAPPLICATION OF CERTAIN FOREIGN-CONTROLLED ENTITY RULES.—Subparagraph (C)(v) shall not apply in the case of any entity the securities of which are regularly traded on—

“(aa) a national securities exchange which is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission,

“(bb) the national market system established pursuant to section 11A of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, or

“(cc) any other exchange or other market which the Secretary has determined in guidance issued under section 1296(e)(1)(A)(ii) has rules adequate to carry out the purposes of part VI of subchapter P of chapter 1 of subtitle A.

“(II) NONAPPLICATION OF CERTAIN FOREIGN-INFLUENCED ENTITY RULES.—Subparagraph (D)(i)(I) shall not apply in the case of any entity—

“(aa) the securities of which are regularly traded in a manner described in subclause (I), or

“(bb) for which not less than 80 percent of the equity securities of such entity are owned directly or indirectly by an entity which is described in item (aa).

“(III) EXCLUSION OF EXCHANGES OR MARKETS IN COVERED NATIONS.—Subclause (I)(cc) shall not apply with respect to any exchange or market which—

“(aa) is incorporated or organized under the laws of a covered nation, or

“(bb) has its principal place of business in a covered nation.

“(ii) ADDITIONAL FOREIGN-CONTROLLED ENTITY REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES.—In the case of an entity described in clause (i)(I), such entity shall be deemed to be a foreign-controlled entity under subparagraph (C)(v) if such entity is controlled (as determined under subparagraph (G)) by—

“(I) 1 or more specified foreign entities (as determined without regard to subparagraph (B)(v)) that are each required to report their beneficial ownership pursuant to a rule described in clause (iii)(I)(bb), or

“(II) 1 or more foreign-controlled entities (as determined without regard to subparagraph (C)(v)) that are each required to report their beneficial ownership pursuant to a rule described in such clause.

“(iii) ADDITIONAL FOREIGN-INFLUENCED ENTITY REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES.—In the case of an entity described in clause (i)(II), such entity shall be deemed to be a foreign-influenced entity under subparagraph (D)(i)(I) if—

“(I) during the taxable year—

“(aa) a specified foreign entity has the authority to appoint a covered officer of such entity,

“(bb) a single specified foreign entity required to report its beneficial ownership under Rule 13d-3 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (or, in the case of an exchange or market described in clause (i)(I)(cc), an equivalent rule) owns not less than 25 percent of such entity, or

“(cc) 1 or more specified foreign entities that are each required to report their beneficial ownership under Rule 13d-3 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 own, in the aggregate, not less than 40 percent of such entity, or

“(II) such entity has issued debt, as part of an original issuance, in excess of 15 percent of its publicly-traded debt to 1 or more specified foreign entities.

“(F) COVERED OFFICER.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘covered officer’ means,

“(i) a member of the board of directors, board of supervisors, or equivalent governing body,

“(ii) an executive-level officer, including the president, chief executive officer, chief operating

officer, chief financial officer, general counsel, or senior vice president, or

“(iii) an individual having powers or responsibilities similar to those of officers or members described in clause (i) or (ii).

“(G) DETERMINATION OF CONTROL.—For purposes of subparagraph (C)(v), the term ‘control’ means—

“(i) in the case of a corporation, ownership (by vote or value) of more than 50 percent of the stock in such corporation,

“(ii) in the case of a partnership, ownership of more than 50 percent of the profits interests or capital interests in such partnership, or

“(iii) in any other case, ownership of more than 50 percent of the beneficial interests in the entity.

“(H) DETERMINATION OF OWNERSHIP.—For purposes of this paragraph, section 318(a)(2) shall apply for purposes of determining ownership of stock in a corporation. Similar principles shall apply for purposes of determining ownership of interests in any other entity.

“(I) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) APPLICABLE CRITICAL MINERAL.—The term ‘applicable critical mineral’ has the same meaning given such term under section 45X(c)(6).

“(ii) COVERED NATION.—The term ‘covered nation’ has the same meaning given such term under section 4872(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

“(iii) ELIGIBLE COMPONENT.—The term ‘eligible component’ has the same meaning given such term under section 45X(c)(1).

“(iv) ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGY.—The term ‘energy storage technology’ has the same meaning given such term under section 48E(c)(2).

“(v) QUALIFIED FACILITY.—The term ‘qualified facility’ means—

“(I) a qualified facility, as defined in section 45Y(b)(1), and

“(II) a qualified facility, as defined in section 48E(b)(3).

“(vi) RELATED.—The term ‘related’ shall have the same meaning given such term under sections 267(b) and 707(b).

“(J) BEGINNING OF CONSTRUCTION.—For purposes of applying any provision under this paragraph, the beginning of construction with respect to any property shall be determined pursuant to rules similar to the rules under Internal Revenue Service Notice 2013-29 and Internal Revenue Service Notice 2018-59 (as well as any subsequently issued guidance clarifying, modifying, or updating either such Notice), as in effect on January 1, 2025.

“(K) REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.—The Secretary may prescribe such regulations and guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this paragraph, including rules to prevent the circumvention of any rules or restrictions with respect to prohibited foreign entities.

“(52) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM A PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity’ means—

“(i) with respect to any qualified facility or energy storage technology, a material assistance cost ratio which is less than the threshold percentage applicable under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) with respect to any facility which produces eligible components, a material assistance cost ratio which is less than the threshold percentage applicable under subparagraph (C).

“(B) THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE FOR QUALIFIED FACILITIES AND ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGY.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), the threshold percentage shall be—

“(i) in the case of a qualified facility the construction of which begins—

“(I) during calendar year 2026, 40 percent,

“(II) during calendar year 2027, 45 percent,

“(III) during calendar year 2028, 50 percent,

“(IV) during calendar year 2029, 55 percent, and

“(V) after December 31, 2029, 60 percent, and
“(ii) in the case of energy storage technology the construction of which begins—

“(I) during calendar year 2026, 55 percent,
“(II) during calendar year 2027, 60 percent,
“(III) during calendar year 2028, 65 percent,
“(IV) during calendar year 2029, 70 percent,
and

“(V) after December 31, 2029, 75 percent.

“(C) THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE FOR ELIGIBLE COMPONENTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), the threshold percentage shall be—

“(I) in the case of any solar energy component (as such term is defined in section 45X(c)(3)(A)) which is sold—

“(aa) during calendar year 2026, 50 percent,
“(bb) during calendar year 2027, 60 percent,
“(cc) during calendar year 2028, 70 percent,
“(dd) during calendar year 2029, 80 percent,
and

“(ee) after December 31, 2029, 85 percent,

“(II) in the case of any wind energy component (as such term is defined in section 45X(c)(4)(A)) which is sold—

“(aa) during calendar year 2026, 85 percent,
and

“(bb) during calendar year 2027, 90 percent,

“(III) in the case of any inverter described in subparagraphs (B) through (G) of section 45X(c)(2) which is sold—

“(aa) during calendar year 2026, 50 percent,
“(bb) during calendar year 2027, 55 percent,
“(cc) during calendar year 2028, 60 percent,
“(dd) during calendar year 2029, 65 percent,
and

“(ee) after December 31, 2029, 70 percent,

“(IV) in the case of any qualifying battery component (as such term is defined in section 45X(c)(5)(A)) which is sold—

“(aa) during calendar year 2026, 60 percent,
“(bb) during calendar year 2027, 65 percent,
“(cc) during calendar year 2028, 70 percent,
“(dd) during calendar year 2029, 80 percent,
and

“(ee) after December 31, 2029, 85 percent, and

“(V) subject to clause (ii), in the case of any applicable critical mineral (as such term is defined in section 45X(c)(6)) which is sold—

“(aa) after December 31, 2025, and before January 1, 2030, 0 percent,

“(bb) during calendar year 2030, 25 percent,

“(cc) during calendar year 2031, 30 percent,

“(dd) during calendar year 2032, 40 percent,
and

“(ee) after December 31, 2032, 50 percent.

“(ii) ADJUSTED THRESHOLD PERCENTAGE FOR APPLICABLE CRITICAL MINERALS.—Not later than December 31, 2027, the Secretary shall issue threshold percentages for each of the applicable critical minerals described in section 45X(c)(6)), which shall—

“(I) apply in lieu of the threshold percentage determined under clause (i)(V) for each calendar year, and

“(II) equal or exceed the threshold percentage which would otherwise apply with respect to such applicable critical mineral under such clause for such calendar year, taking into account—

“(aa) domestic geographic availability,

“(bb) supply chain constraints,

“(cc) domestic processing capacity needs, and

“(dd) national security concerns.

“(D) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE COST RATIO.—

“(i) QUALIFIED FACILITIES AND ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGY.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), the term ‘material assistance cost ratio’ means the amount (expressed as a percentage) equal to the quotient of—

“(I) an amount equal to—

“(aa) the total direct costs to the taxpayer attributable to all manufactured products (including components) which are incorporated into the qualified facility or energy storage technology upon completion of construction, minus

“(bb) the total direct costs to the taxpayer attributable to all manufactured products (including components) which are—

“(AA) incorporated into the qualified facility or energy storage technology upon completion of construction, and

“(BB) mined, produced, or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, divided by

“(II) the amount described in subclause (I)(aa).

“(ii) ELIGIBLE COMPONENTS.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), the term ‘material assistance cost ratio’ means the amount (expressed as a percentage) equal to the quotient of—

“(I) an amount equal to—

“(aa) with respect to an eligible component, the total direct material costs that are paid or incurred (within the meaning of section 461 and any regulations issued under section 263A) by the taxpayer for production of such eligible component, minus

“(bb) with respect to an eligible component, the total direct material costs that are paid or incurred (within the meaning of section 461 and any regulations issued under section 263A) by the taxpayer for production of such eligible component that are mined, produced, or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, divided by

“(II) the amount described in subclause (I)(aa).

“(iii) SAFE HARBOR TABLES.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2026, the Secretary shall issue safe harbor tables (and such other guidance as deemed necessary) to—

“(aa) identify the percentage of total direct costs of any manufactured product which is attributable to a prohibited foreign entity,

“(bb) identify the percentage of total direct material costs of any eligible component which is attributable to a prohibited foreign entity, and

“(cc) provide all rules necessary to determine the amount of a taxpayer’s material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity within the meaning of this paragraph.

“(II) SAFE HARBORS PRIOR TO ISSUANCE.—For purposes of this paragraph, prior to the date on which the Secretary issues the safe harbor tables described in subclause (I), and for construction of a qualified facility or energy storage technology which begins on or before the date which is 60 days after the date of issuance of such tables, a taxpayer may—

“(aa) use the tables included in Internal Revenue Service Notice 2025–08 to establish the percentage of the total direct costs of any listed eligible component and any manufactured product, and

“(bb) rely on a certification by the supplier of the manufactured product, eligible component, or constituent element, material, or subcomponent of an eligible component—

“(AA) of the total direct costs or the total direct material costs, as applicable, of such product or component that was not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, or

“(BB) that such product or component was not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity.

“(III) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subclauses (I) and (II)—

“(aa) if the taxpayer knows (or has reason to know) that a manufactured product or eligible component was produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, the taxpayer shall treat all direct costs with respect to such manufactured product, or all direct material costs with respect to such eligible component, as attributable to a prohibited foreign entity, and

“(bb) if the taxpayer knows (or has reason to know) that the certification referred to in subclause (II)(bb) pertaining to a manufactured product or eligible component is inaccurate, the taxpayer may not rely on such certification.

“(IV) CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—In a manner consistent with Treasury Regulation section 1.45X–4(c)(4)(i) (as in effect on the date of enactment of this paragraph), the certification referred to in subclause (II)(bb) shall—

“(aa) include—

“(AA) the supplier’s employer identification number, or

“(BB) any such similar identification number issued by a foreign government,

“(bb) be signed under penalties of perjury,

“(cc) be retained by the supplier and the taxpayer for a period of not less than 6 years and shall be provided to the Secretary upon request, and

“(dd) be from the supplier from which the taxpayer purchased any manufactured product, eligible component, or constituent elements, materials, or subcomponents of an eligible component, stating—

“(AA) that such property was not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity and that the supplier does not know (or have reason to know) that any prior supplier in the chain of production of that property is a prohibited foreign entity,

“(BB) for purposes of section 45X, the total direct material costs for each component, constituent element, material, or subcomponent that were not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, or

“(CC) for purposes of section 45Y or section 48E, the total direct costs attributable to all manufactured products that were not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity.

“(iv) EXISTING CONTRACT.—Upon the election of the taxpayer (in such form and manner as the Secretary shall designate), in the case of any manufactured product, eligible component, or constituent element, material, or subcomponent of an eligible component which is—

“(I) acquired by the taxpayer, or manufactured or assembled by or for the taxpayer, pursuant to a binding written contract which was entered into prior to June 16, 2025, and

“(II)(aa) placed into service before January 1, 2030 (or, in the case of an applicable facility, as defined in section 45Y(d)(4)(B), before January 1, 2028) in a facility the construction of which began before August 1, 2025, or

“(bb) in the case of a constituent element, material, or subcomponent, used in a product sold before January 1, 2030,

the cost to the taxpayer with respect to such product, component, element, material, or subcomponent shall not be included for purposes of determining the material assistance cost ratio under this subparagraph.

“(v) ANTI-CIRCUMVENTION RULES.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations and guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to prevent circumvention of the rules under this subparagraph, including prevention of—

“(I) any abuse of the exception provided under clause (iv) through the stockpiling of any manufactured product, eligible component, or constituent element, material, or subcomponent of an eligible component during any period prior to the application of the requirements under this paragraph, or

“(II) any evasion with respect to the requirements of this subparagraph where the facts and circumstances demonstrate that the beginning of construction of a qualified facility or energy storage technology has not in fact occurred.

“(E) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) ELIGIBLE COMPONENT.—The term ‘eligible component’ means—

“(I) any property described in section 45X(c)(1), or

“(II) any component which is identified by the Secretary pursuant to regulations or guidance issued under subparagraph (G).

“(ii) ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGY.—The term ‘energy storage technology’ has the same meaning given such term under section 48E(c)(2).

“(iii) MANUFACTURED PRODUCT.—The term ‘manufactured product’ means—

“(I) a manufactured product which is a component of a qualified facility, as described in section 45Y(g)(11)(B) and any guidance issued thereunder, or

“(II) any product which is identified by the Secretary pursuant to regulations or guidance issued under subparagraph (G).”

“(iv) **QUALIFIED FACILITY.**—The term ‘qualified facility’ means—

“(I) a qualified facility, as defined in section 45Y(b)(1),

“(II) a qualified facility, as defined in section 48E(b)(3), and

“(III) any qualified interconnection property (as defined in section 48E(b)(4)) which is part of the qualified investment with respect to a qualified facility (as described in section 48E(b)(1)).”

“(F) **DETERMINATION OF OWNERSHIP; BEGINNING OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Rules similar to the rules under subparagraphs (H) and (J) of paragraph (51) shall apply for purposes of this paragraph.

“(G) **REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.**—The Secretary may prescribe such regulations and guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this paragraph, including—

“(i) identification of components or products for purposes of clauses (i) and (iii) of subparagraph (E), and

“(ii) for purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), rules to address facilities which produce more than one eligible component.”

(d) **DENIAL OF CREDIT FOR CERTAIN WIND AND SOLAR LEASING ARRANGEMENTS.**—Section 45Y is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) **DENIAL OF CREDIT FOR WIND AND SOLAR LEASING ARRANGEMENTS.**—No credit shall be determined under this section with respect to any production of electricity during the taxable year with respect to property described in paragraph (1) or (4) of section 25D(d) (as applied by substituting ‘lessee’ for ‘taxpayer’) if the taxpayer rents or leases such property to a third party during such taxable year.”

(e) **EMISSIONS RATES TABLES.**—Section 45Y(b)(2)(C) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) **EXISTING STUDIES.**—For purposes of clause (i), in determining greenhouse gas emissions rates for types or categories of facilities for the purpose of determining whether a facility satisfies the requirements under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider studies published on or before the date of enactment of this clause which demonstrate a net lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions rate which is not greater than zero using widely accepted lifecycle assessment concepts, such as concepts described in standards developed by the International Organization for Standardization.”

(f) **NUCLEAR ENERGY COMMUNITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 45(b)(11) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) in clause (ii)(II), by striking “or” at the end,

(ii) in clause (iii)(II), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, or”, and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iv) for purposes of any qualified facility which is an advanced nuclear facility, a metropolitan statistical area which has (or, at any time during the period beginning after December 31, 2009, had) 0.17 percent or greater direct employment related to the advancement of nuclear power, including employment related to—

“(I) an advanced nuclear facility,

“(II) advanced nuclear power research and development,

“(III) nuclear fuel cycle research, development, or production, including mining, enrichment, manufacture, storage, disposal, or recycling of nuclear fuel, and

“(IV) the manufacturing or assembly of components used in an advanced nuclear facility.”, and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) **ADVANCED NUCLEAR FACILITIES.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to clause (ii), for purposes of subparagraph (B)(iv), the term ‘advanced nuclear facility’ means any nuclear facility the reactor design for which is approved in the manner described in section 45J(d)(2).

“(ii) **SPECIAL RULE.**—For purposes of clause (i), a facility shall be deemed to have a reactor design which is approved in the manner described in section 45J(d)(2) if the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has authorized construction and issued a site-specific construction permit or combined license with respect to such facility (without regard to whether the reactor design was approved after December 31, 1993).”

(2) **NONAPPLICATION FOR CLEAN ELECTRICITY INVESTMENT CREDIT.**—Section 48E(a)(3)(A)(i) is amended by inserting “, as applied without regard to clause (iv) thereof” after “section 45(b)(11)(B)”.’

(g) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 45Y(b)(1) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E), and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

“(D) **DETERMINATION OF CAPACITY.**—For purposes of subparagraph (C), additions of capacity of a facility shall be determined in any reasonable manner, including based on—

“(i) determinations by, or reports to, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (including interconnection agreements), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or any similar entity, reflecting additions of capacity,

“(ii) determinations or reports reflecting additions of capacity made by an independent professional engineer,

“(iii) reports to, or issued by, regional transmission organizations or independent system operators reflecting additions of capacity, or

“(iv) any other method or manner provided by the Secretary.”

(h) **PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER OF CREDITS TO SPECIFIED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—Section 6418(g) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) **PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER OF CREDITS TO SPECIFIED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—With respect to any eligible credit described in clause (iii), (iv), (vi), (vii), (viii), or (xi) of subsection (f)(1)(A), an eligible taxpayer may not elect to transfer any portion of such credit to a taxpayer that is a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)).”

(i) **EXTENSION OF PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS FOR ERRORS RELATING TO DETERMINING OF MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM A PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITY.**—Section 6501 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (o) as subsection (p), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (n) the following new subsection:

“(o) **MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM A PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITY.**—In the case of a deficiency attributable to an error with respect to the determination under section 7701(a)(52) for any taxable year, such deficiency may be assessed at any time within 6 years after the return for such year was filed.”

(j) **IMPOSITION OF ACCURACY-RELATED PENALTIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 6662 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(m) **SUBSTANTIAL UNDERSTATEMENT OF INCOME TAX DUE TO DISALLOWANCE OF APPLICABLE ENERGY CREDITS.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of a taxpayer for which there is a disallowance of an applicable energy credit for any taxable year, for purposes of determining whether there is a substantial understatement of income tax for such taxable year, subsection (d)(1) shall be applied—

“(A) in subparagraphs (A) and (B), by substituting ‘1 percent’ for ‘10 percent’ each place it appears, and

“(B) without regard to subparagraph (C).

“(2) **DISALLOWANCE OF AN APPLICABLE ENERGY CREDIT.**—For purposes of this subsection, the

term ‘disallowance of an applicable energy credit’ means the disallowance of a credit under section 45X, 45Y, or 48E by reason of overstating the material assistance cost ratio (as determined under section 7701(a)(52)) with respect to any qualified facility, energy storage technology, or facility which produces eligible components.”

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 6417(d)(6) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) **DISALLOWANCE OF AN APPLICABLE ENERGY CREDIT.**—In the case of an applicable entity which made an election under subsection (a) with respect to an applicable credit for which there is a disallowance described in section 6662(m)(2), subparagraph (A) shall apply with respect to any excessive payment resulting from such disallowance.”

(k) **PENALTY FOR SUBSTANTIAL MISSTATEMENTS ON CERTIFICATION PROVIDED BY SUPPLIER.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after section 6695A the following new section:

“**SEC. 6695B. PENALTY FOR SUBSTANTIAL MISSTATEMENTS ON CERTIFICATION PROVIDED BY SUPPLIER.**

“(a) **IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.**—If—

“(1) a person—

“(A) provides a certification described in clause (iii)(II)(bb) of section 7701(a)(52)(D) with respect to any manufactured product, eligible component, or constituent element, material, or subcomponent of an eligible component, and

“(B) knows, or reasonably should have known, that the certification would be used in connection with a determination under such section,

“(2) such person knows, or reasonably should have known, that such certification is inaccurate or false with respect to—

“(A) whether such property was produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity, or

“(B) the total direct costs or total direct material costs of such property that was not produced or manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity that were provided on such certification, and

“(3) the inaccuracy or falsity described in paragraph (2) resulted in the disallowance of an applicable energy credit (as defined in section 6662(m)(2)) and an understatement of income tax (within the meaning of section 6662(d)(2)) for the taxable year in an amount which exceeds the lesser of—

“(A) 5 percent of the tax required to be shown on the return for the taxable year, or

“(B) \$100,000,

then such person shall pay a penalty in the amount determined under subsection (b).

“(b) **AMOUNT OF PENALTY.**—The amount of the penalty imposed under subsection (a) on any person with respect to a certification shall be equal to the greater of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount of the underpayment (as defined in section 6664(a)) solely attributable to the inaccuracy or falsity described in subsection (a)(2), or

“(2) \$5,000.

“(c) **EXCEPTION.**—No penalty shall be imposed under subsection (a) if the person establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that any inaccuracy or falsity described in subsection (a)(2) is due to a reasonable cause and not willful neglect.

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—Any term used in this section which is also used in section 7701(a)(52) shall have the meaning given such term in such section.”

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) Section 6696 is amended—

(i) in the heading, by striking “**AND 6695A**” and inserting “**6695A, AND 6695B**”,

(ii) in subsections (a), (b), and (e), by striking “and 6695A” each place it appears and inserting “6695A, and 6695B”,

(iii) in subsection (c), by striking “or 6695A” and inserting “6695A, or 6695B”, and

(iv) in subsection (d)—

(I) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(or, in the case of any penalty under section 6695B, 6 years)” after “assessed within 3 years”, and

(II) in paragraph (2), by inserting “(or, in the case of any claim for refund of an overpayment of any penalty assessed under section 6695B, 6 years)” after “filed within 3 years”.

(B) The table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after item relating to section 6695A the following new item:

“Sec. 6695B. Penalty for substantial misstatements on certification provided by supplier.”.

(1) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—The amendments made by subsection (b)(1) shall apply to facilities for which construction begins after December 31, 2025.

(3) PENALTY FOR SUBSTANTIAL MISSTATEMENTS ON CERTIFICATION PROVIDED BY SUPPLIER.—The amendments made by subsection (k) shall apply to certifications provided after December 31, 2025.

(4) TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to facilities the construction of which begins after the date which is 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70513. TERMINATION AND RESTRICTIONS ON CLEAN ELECTRICITY INVESTMENT CREDIT.

(a) TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.—Section 48E(e) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “The amount of” and inserting “Subject to paragraph (4), the amount of”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—This section shall not apply to any qualified property placed in service by the taxpayer after December 31, 2027, which is part of an applicable facility.

“(B) APPLICABLE FACILITY.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable facility’ means a qualified facility which—

“(i) uses wind to produce electricity (within the meaning of such term as used in section 45(d)(1), as determined without regard to any requirement under such section with respect to the date on which construction of property begins), or

“(ii) uses solar energy to produce electricity (within the meaning of such term as used in section 45(d)(4), as determined without regard to any requirement under such section with respect to the date on which construction of property begins).

“(C) EXCEPTION.—This paragraph shall not apply with respect to any energy storage technology which is placed in service at any applicable facility.”.

(b) RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Section 48E is amended—

(a) in subsection (b)—

(i) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7), and

(ii) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph:

“(6) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—The terms ‘qualified facility’ and ‘qualified interconnection property’ shall not include any facility or property the construction, reconstruction, or erection of which begins after December 31, 2025, if the construction, reconstruction, or erection of such facility or property includes any material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(52)).”, and

(B) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—The term ‘energy storage technology’ shall not include any property the construction of which begins after December 31, 2025, if the construction of such property includes any material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(52)).”.

(2) ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS.—Section 48E(d) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year if the taxpayer is—

“(i) a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)), or

“(ii) a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).

“(B) EFFECTIVE CONTROL.—In the case of a taxpayer for which section 7701(a)(51)(D)(i)(II) is determined to apply for any taxable year, no credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for such taxable year if such determination relates to a qualified facility described in subsection (b)(3) or energy storage technology described in subsection (c)(2).”.

(3) RECAPTURE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 50(a) is amended—

(i) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (6) as paragraphs (5) through (7), respectively,

(ii) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

“(4) PAYMENTS TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If there is an applicable payment made by a specified taxpayer before the close of the 10-year period beginning on the date such taxpayer placed in service investment credit property which is eligible for the clean electricity investment credit under section 48E(a), then the tax under this chapter for the taxable year in which such applicable payment occurs shall be increased by 100 percent of the aggregate decrease in the credits allowed under section 38 for all prior taxable years which would have resulted solely from reducing to zero any credit determined under section 46 which is attributable to the clean electricity investment credit under section 48E(a) with respect to such property.

“(B) APPLICABLE PAYMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable payment’ means, with respect to any taxable year, a payment or payments described in section 7701(a)(51)(D)(i)(II).

“(C) SPECIFIED TAXPAYER.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘specified taxpayer’ means any taxpayer who has been allowed a credit under section 48E(a) for any taxable year beginning after the date which is 2 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph.”.

(iii) in paragraph (5), as redesignated by clause (i), by striking “or any applicable transaction to which paragraph (3)(A) applies,” and inserting “any applicable transaction to which paragraph (3)(A) applies,” and

(iv) in paragraph (7), as redesignated by clause (i), by striking “or (3)” and inserting “(3), or (4)”.’.

(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(i) Section 1371(d)(1) is amended by striking “section 50(a)(5)” and inserting “section 50(a)(6)”.’.

(ii) Section 6418(g)(3) is amended by striking “subsection (a)(5)” each place it appears and inserting “subsection (a)(7)”.’.

(c) DENIAL OF CREDIT FOR EXPENDITURES FOR CERTAIN WIND AND SOLAR LEASING ARRANGEMENTS.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Section 48E is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (j), and

(B) by inserting after subsection (h) the following new subsection:

“(i) DENIAL OF CREDIT FOR EXPENDITURES FOR WIND AND SOLAR LEASING ARRANGEMENTS.—No credit shall be determined under this section for any qualified investment during the taxable year with respect to property described in paragraph (1) or (4) of section 25D(d) (as applied by substituting ‘lessee’ for ‘taxpayer’) if the taxpayer rents or leases such property to a third party during such taxable year.”.

(2) CONFORMING RULES.—Section 50 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) RULES FOR GEOTHERMAL HEAT PUMPS.—For purposes of this section and section 168, the ownership of energy property described in section 48(a)(3)(A)(vii) shall be determined without regard to whether such property is readily usable by a person other than the lessee or service recipient.”.

(d) DOMESTIC CONTENT RULES.—Subparagraph (B) of section 48E(a)(3) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) DOMESTIC CONTENT.—Rules similar to the rules of section 48(a)(12) shall apply, except that, for purposes of subparagraph (B) of such section and the application of rules similar to the rules of section 45(b)(9)(B), the adjusted percentage (as determined under section 45(b)(9)(C)) shall be determined as follows:

“(i) In the case of any qualified investment with respect to any qualified facility or energy storage technology the construction of which begins before June 16, 2025, 40 percent (or, in the case of a qualified facility which is an offshore wind facility, 20 percent).

“(ii) In the case of any qualified investment with respect to any qualified facility or energy storage technology the construction of which begins on or after June 16, 2025, and before January 1, 2026, 45 percent (or, in the case of a qualified facility which is an offshore wind facility, 27.5 percent).

“(iii) In the case of any qualified investment with respect to any qualified facility or energy storage technology the construction of which begins during calendar year 2026, 50 percent (or, in the case of a qualified facility which is an offshore wind facility, 35 percent).

“(iv) In the case of any qualified investment with respect to any qualified facility or energy storage technology the construction of which begins after December 31, 2026, 55 percent.”.

(e) ELIMINATION OF ENERGY CREDIT FOR CERTAIN ENERGY PROPERTY.—Section 48(a)(2) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)(ii), by striking “2 percent” and inserting “0 percent”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) NONAPPLICATION OF INCREASES TO ENERGY PERCENTAGE.—For purposes of energy property described in subparagraph (A)(ii), the energy percentage applicable to such property pursuant to such subparagraph shall not be increased or otherwise adjusted by any provision of this section.”.

(f) APPLICATION OF CLEAN ELECTRICITY INVESTMENT CREDIT TO QUALIFIED FUEL CELL PROPERTY.—Section 48E, as amended by subsection (c), is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (k), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following new subsection:

“(j) APPLICATION TO QUALIFIED FUEL CELL PROPERTY.—For purposes of this section, in the case of any qualified fuel cell property (as defined in section 48(c)(1), as applied without regard to subparagraph (E) thereof)—

“(1) subsection (b)(3)(A) shall be applied without regard to clause (iii) thereof,

“(2) for purposes of subsection (a)(1), the applicable percentage shall be 30 percent and such percentage shall not be increased or otherwise adjusted by any other provision of this section, and

“(3) subsection (g) shall not apply.”.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5), the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) DOMESTIC CONTENT RULES.—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply on or after June 16, 2025.

(3) ELIMINATION OF ENERGY CREDIT FOR CERTAIN ENERGY PROPERTY.—The amendments made by subsection (e) shall apply to property the construction of which begins on or after June 16, 2025.

(4) APPLICATION OF CLEAN ELECTRICITY INVESTMENT CREDIT TO QUALIFIED FUEL CELL PROPERTY.—The amendments made by subsection (f) shall apply to property the construction of which begins after December 31, 2025.

(5) TERMINATION FOR WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to facilities the construction of which begins after the date which is 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70514. PHASE-OUT AND RESTRICTIONS ON ADVANCED MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION CREDIT.

(a) MODIFICATION OF PROVISION RELATING TO SALE OF INTEGRATED COMPONENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 45X(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) SALE OF INTEGRATED COMPONENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, a person shall be treated as having sold an eligible component to an unrelated person if—

“(i) such component (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘primary component’) is integrated, incorporated, or assembled into another eligible component (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘secondary component’) produced within the same manufacturing facility as the primary component, and

“(ii) the secondary component is sold to an unrelated person.

“(B) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—Subparagraph (A) shall only apply with respect to a secondary component for which not less than 65 percent of the total direct material costs which are paid or incurred (within the meaning of section 461 and any regulations issued under section 263A) by the taxpayer to produce such secondary component are attributable to primary components which are mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States.”.

(b) PHASE OUT AND TERMINATION.—Section 45X(b)(3) is amended—

(1) in the heading, by inserting “AND TERMINATION” after “PHASE OUT”,

(2) in subparagraph (A), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “subparagraph (C)” and inserting “subparagraphs (C) and (D)”, and

(3) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) PHASE OUT FOR APPLICABLE CRITICAL MINERALS OTHER THAN METALLURGICAL COAL.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any applicable critical mineral (other than metallurgical coal) produced after December 31, 2030, the amount determined under this subsection with respect to such mineral shall be equal to the product of—

“(I) the amount determined under paragraph (1) with respect to such mineral, as determined without regard to this subparagraph, multiplied by

“(II) the phase out percentage under clause (ii).

“(ii) PHASE OUT PERCENTAGE FOR APPLICABLE CRITICAL MINERALS OTHER THAN METALLURGICAL COAL.—The phase out percentage under this clause is equal to—

“(I) in the case of any applicable critical mineral produced during calendar year 2031, 75 percent,

“(II) in the case of any applicable critical mineral produced during calendar year 2032, 50 percent,

“(III) in the case of any applicable critical mineral produced during calendar year 2033, 25 percent, and

“(IV) in the case of any applicable critical mineral produced after December 31, 2033, 0 percent.

“(D) TERMINATION FOR WIND ENERGY COMPONENTS.—This section shall not apply to any wind energy component produced and sold after December 31, 2027.

“(E) TERMINATION FOR METALLURGICAL COAL.—This section shall not apply to any metallurgical coal produced after December 31, 2029.”.

(c) RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—Section 45X is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) MATERIAL ASSISTANCE FROM PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—In the case of taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the term ‘eligible component’ shall not include any property which includes any material assistance from a prohibited foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(52), as applied by substituting ‘used in a product sold before January 1, 2027’ for ‘used in a product sold before January 1, 2030’ in subparagraph (D)(iv)(II)(bb) thereof).”, and

(2) in subsection (d), as amended by subsection (a) of this section, by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year if the taxpayer is—

“(i) a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)), or

“(ii) a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).

“(B) EFFECTIVE CONTROL.—In the case of a taxpayer for which section 7701(a)(51)(D)(i)(II) is determined to apply for any taxable year, no credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for such taxable year if such determination relates to an eligible component described in subsection (c)(1).”.

(d) MODIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF BATTERY MODULE.—Section 45X(c)(5)(B)(iii) is amended—

(1) in subclause (I)(bb), by striking “and” at the end,

(2) in subclause (II), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”, and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(III) which is comprised of all other essential equipment needed for battery functionality, such as current collector assemblies and voltage sense harnesses, or any other essential energy collection equipment.”.

(e) INCLUSION OF METALLURGICAL COAL AS AN APPLICABLE CRITICAL MINERAL FOR PURPOSES OF THE ADVANCED MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 45X(c)(6) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (R) through (Z) as subparagraphs (S) through (AA), respectively, and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (Q) the following new subparagraph:

“(R) METALLURGICAL COAL.—Metallurgical coal which is suitable for use in the production of steel (within the meaning of the notice published by the Department of Energy entitled ‘Critical Material List; Addition of Metallurgical Coal Used for Steelmaking’ (90 Fed. Reg. 22711 (May 29, 2025))), regardless of whether such production occurs inside or outside of the United States.”.

(2) CREDIT AMOUNT.—Section 45X(b)(1)(M) is amended by inserting “(2.5 percent in the case of metallurgical coal)” after “10 percent”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section

shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) MODIFICATION OF PROVISION RELATING TO SALE OF INTEGRATED COMPONENTS.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to components sold during taxable years beginning after December 31, 2026.

SEC. 70515. RESTRICTION ON THE EXTENSION OF ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 48C(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “shall be increased” and inserting “shall not be increased”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

Subchapter B—Enhancement of America-first Energy Policy

SEC. 70521. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF CLEAN FUEL PRODUCTION CREDIT.

(a) PROHIBITION ON FOREIGN FEEDSTOCKS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 45Z(f)(1)(A) is amended—

(A) in clause (i)(II)(bb), by striking “and” at the end,

(B) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) such fuel is exclusively derived from a feedstock which was produced or grown in the United States, Mexico, or Canada.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to transportation fuel produced after December 31, 2025.

(b) PROHIBITION ON NEGATIVE EMISSION RATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 45Z(b)(1) is amended—

(A) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) ROUNDING OF EMISSIONS RATE.—The Secretary may round the emissions rates under subparagraph (B) to the nearest multiple of 5 kilograms of CO₂e per mmBTU.”, and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) PROHIBITION ON NEGATIVE EMISSION RATES.—For purposes of this section, the emissions rate for a transportation fuel may not be less than zero.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to emissions rates published for transportation fuel produced after December 31, 2025.

(c) DETERMINATION OF EMISSIONS RATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 45Z(b)(1)(B) is amended by adding at the end the following new clauses:

“(iv) EXCLUSION OF INDIRECT LAND USE CHANGES.—Notwithstanding clauses (i), (ii), and (iii), the emissions rate shall be adjusted as necessary to exclude any emissions attributed to indirect land use change. Any such adjustment shall be based on regulations or methodologies determined by the Secretary.

“(v) ANIMAL MANURES.—With respect to any transportation fuel which is derived from animal manure, the Secretary—

“(I) shall provide a distinct emissions rate with respect to such fuel based on the specific animal manure feedstock, which may include dairy manure, swine manure, poultry manure, or any other sources as are determined appropriate by the Secretary, and

“(II) notwithstanding subparagraph (E), may provide an emissions rate that is less than zero.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 45Z(b)(1)(B)(i) is amended by striking “clauses (ii) and (iii)” and inserting “clauses (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v)”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to emissions rates published for transportation fuel produced after December 31, 2025.

(d) EXTENSION OF CLEAN FUEL PRODUCTION CREDIT.—Section 45Z(g) is amended by striking

“December 31, 2027” and inserting “December 31, 2029”.

(e) **PREVENTING DOUBLE CREDIT.**—Section 45Z(d)(5) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) in clause (ii), by striking “and” at the end.

(B) in clause (iii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iv) is not produced from a fuel for which a credit under this section is allowable.”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) **REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE.**—The Secretary shall issue such regulations or other guidance as the Secretary determines necessary to carry out the purposes of subparagraph (A)(iv).”.

(f) **SALES TO UNRELATED PERSONS.**—Section 45Z(f)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following: “The Secretary may prescribe additional related person rules similar to the rule described in the preceding sentence for entities which are not described in such sentence, including rules for related persons with respect to which the taxpayer has reason to believe will sell fuel to an unrelated person in a manner described in subsection (a)(4).”.

(g) **TREATMENT OF SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUEL.**—

(1) **COORDINATION OF CREDITS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 6426(k) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) **COORDINATION OF CREDITS.**—With respect to any gallon of sustainable aviation fuel in a qualified mixture, this subsection shall not apply to any such gallon for which a credit under section 45Z is allowable (as determined without regard to subsection (a)(1)(A) of such section).”.

(B) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to—

(i) fuel sold or used on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(ii) fuel sold or used before the date of enactment of this Act, but only to the extent that claims for the credit under section 6426(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to such sale or use have not been paid or allowed as of such date.

(2) **ELIMINATION OF SPECIAL RATE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 45Z(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) **DEFINITION OF SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUEL.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘sustainable aviation fuel’ means liquid fuel, the portion of which is not kerosene, which is sold for use in an aircraft and which—

“(A) meets the requirements of—

“(i) ASTM International Standard D7566, or

“(ii) the Fischer Tropsch provisions of ASTM International Standard D1655, Annex A1, and

“(B) is not derived from palm fatty acid distillates or petroleum.”.

(B) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 45Z(c)(1) is amended by striking “, the \$1.00 amount in subsection (a)(2)(B), the 35 cent amount in subsection (a)(3)(A)(i), and the \$1.75 amount in subsection (a)(3)(A)(ii)” and inserting “and the \$1.00 amount in subsection (a)(2)(B)”.

(C) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this paragraph shall apply to fuel produced after December 31, 2025.

(h) **SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUEL CREDIT.**—Section 6426(k), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) **TERMINATION.**—This subsection shall not apply to any sale or use for any period after September 30, 2025.”.

(i) **REGISTRATION OF PRODUCERS OF FUEL ELIGIBLE FOR CLEAN FUEL PRODUCTION CREDIT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 13704(b)(5) of Public Law 117-169 is amended by striking “after ‘sec-

tion 6426(k)(3),” and inserting “after ‘section 40B,’”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to transportation fuel produced after December 31, 2024.

(j) **EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF SMALL AGRI-BIODIESEL PRODUCER CREDIT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 40A is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(4)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “10 cents” and inserting “20 cents”,

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “in a manner which complies with the requirements under section 45Z(f)(1)(A)(iii)” after “produced by an eligible small agri-biodiesel producer”, and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) **COORDINATION WITH CLEAN FUEL PRODUCTION CREDIT.**—The credit determined under this paragraph with respect to any gallon of fuel shall be in addition to any credit determined under section 45Z with respect to such gallon of fuel.”, and

(B) in subsection (g), by inserting “(or, in the case of the small agri-biodiesel producer credit, any sale or use after December 31, 2026)” after “December 31, 2024”.

(2) **TRANSFER OF CREDIT.**—Section 6418(f)(1)(A) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xii) So much of the biodiesel fuels credit determined under section 40A which consists of the small agri-biodiesel producer credit determined under subsection (b)(4) of such section.”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to fuel sold or used after June 30, 2025.

(k) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 45Z(f) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year beginning after the date of enactment of this paragraph if the taxpayer is a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)).

“(B) **OTHER PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year beginning after the date which is 2 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph if the taxpayer is a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70522. RESTRICTIONS ON CARBON OXIDE SEQUESTRATION CREDIT.

(a) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—Section 45Q(f) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—No credit shall be determined under subsection (a) for any taxable year beginning after the date of enactment of this paragraph if the taxpayer is—

“(A) a specified foreign entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(B)), or

“(B) a foreign-influenced entity (as defined in section 7701(a)(51)(D), determined without regard to clause (i)(II) thereof).”.

(b) **PARITY FOR DIFFERENT USES AND UTILIZATIONS OF QUALIFIED CARBON OXIDE.**—Section 45Q is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (2)(B)(ii), by adding “and” at the end,

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B)(i) disposed of by the taxpayer in secure geological storage and not used by the taxpayer as described in clause (ii) or (iii),

“(ii) used by the taxpayer as a tertiary injectant in a qualified enhanced oil or natural gas recovery project and disposed of by the taxpayer in secure geological storage, or

“(iii) utilized by the taxpayer in a manner described in subsection (f)(5).”, and

(C) by striking paragraph (4),

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B) or (C), the applicable dollar amount shall be an amount equal to—

“(i) for any taxable year beginning in a calendar year after 2024 and before 2027, \$17, and

“(ii) for any taxable year beginning in a calendar year after 2026, an amount equal to the product of \$17 and the inflation adjustment factor for such calendar year determined under section 43(b)(3)(B) for such calendar year, determined by substituting ‘2025’ for ‘1990’.”, and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “shall be applied” and all that follows through the period and inserting “shall be applied by substituting ‘\$36’ for ‘\$17’ each place it appears.”,

(B) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking “paragraphs (3)(A) and (4)(A)” and inserting “paragraph (3)(A)”, and

(C) in paragraph (3), by striking “the dollar amounts applicable under paragraph (3) or (4)” and inserting “the dollar amount applicable under paragraph (3)”,

(3) in subsection (f)—

(A) in paragraph (5)(B)(i), by striking “(4)(B)(ii)” and inserting “(3)(B)(iii)”, and

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking “paragraphs (3) and (4) of subsection (a)” and inserting “subsection (a)(3)”, and

(4) in subsection (h)(3)(A)(ii), by striking “paragraph (3)(A) or (4)(A) of subsection (a)” and inserting “subsection (a)(3)(A)”,

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 6417(d)(3)(C)(i)(II)(bb) is amended by striking “paragraph (3)(A) or (4)(A) of section 45Q(a)” and inserting “section 45Q(a)(3)(A)”,

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO PROHIBITED FOREIGN ENTITIES.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **PARITY FOR DIFFERENT USES AND UTILIZATIONS OF QUALIFIED CARBON OXIDE.**—The amendments made subsections (b) and (c) shall apply to facilities or equipment placed in service after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70523. INTANGIBLE DRILLING AND DEVELOPMENT COSTS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING ADJUSTED FINANCIAL STATEMENT INCOME.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 56A(c)(13) is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) reduced by—

“(i) depreciation deductions allowed under section 167 with respect to property to which section 168 applies to the extent of the amount allowed as deductions in computing taxable income for the year, and

“(ii) any deduction allowed for expenses under section 263(c) (including any deduction for such expenses under section 59(e) or 291(b)(2)) with respect to property described therein to the extent of the amount allowed as deductions in computing taxable income for the year, and”, and

(2) by striking subparagraph (B)(i) and inserting the following:

“(i) to disregard any amount of—

“(I) depreciation expense that is taken into account on the taxpayer’s applicable financial statement with respect to such property, and

“(II) depletion expense that is taken into account on the taxpayer’s applicable financial statement with respect to the intangible drilling and development costs of such property, and”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70524. INCOME FROM HYDROGEN STORAGE, CARBON CAPTURE, ADVANCED NUCLEAR, HYDROPOWER, AND GEOTHERMAL ENERGY ADDED TO QUALIFYING INCOME OF CERTAIN PUBLICLY TRADED PARTNERSHIPS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 7704(d)(1)(E) is amended—

(1) by striking “income and gains derived from the exploration” and inserting the following: “income and gains derived from—

“(i) the exploration”;

(2) by inserting “or” before “industrial source”, and

(3) by striking “or the transportation or storage” and all that follows and inserting the following:

“(ii) the transportation or storage of—

“(I) any fuel described in subsection (b), (c), (d), (e), or (k) of section 6426, or any alcohol fuel defined in section 6426(b)(4)(A) or any biodiesel fuel as defined in section 40A(d)(1) or sustainable aviation fuel as defined in section 40B(d)(1), or

“(II) liquified hydrogen or compressed hydrogen,

“(iii) in the case of a qualified facility (as defined in section 45Q(d), without regard to any date by which construction of the facility or equipment is required to begin) not less than 50 percent of the total carbon oxide production of which is qualified carbon oxide (as defined in section 45Q(c))—

“(I) the generation, availability for such generation, or storage of electric power at such facility, or

“(II) the capture of carbon dioxide by such facility,

“(iv) the production of electricity from any advanced nuclear facility (as defined in section 45J(d)(2)),

“(v) the production of electricity or thermal energy exclusively using a qualified energy resource described in subparagraph (D) or (H) of section 45(c)(1), or

“(vi) the operation of energy property described in clause (iii) or (vii) of section 48(a)(3)(A) (determined without regard to any requirement under such section with respect to the date on which construction of property begins).”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70525. ALLOW FOR PAYMENTS TO CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WHO DYE FUEL.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter B of chapter 65, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6435. DYED FUEL.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—If a person establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that such person meets the requirements of subsection (b) with respect to diesel fuel or kerosene, then the Secretary shall pay to such person an amount (without interest) equal to the tax described in subsection (b)(2)(A) with respect to such diesel fuel or kerosene.

“(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A person meets the requirements of this subsection with respect to diesel fuel or kerosene if such person removes from a terminal eligible indelibly dyed diesel fuel or kerosene.

“(2) **ELIGIBLE INDELIBLY DYED DIESEL FUEL OR KEROSENE DEFINED.**—The term ‘eligible indelibly dyed diesel fuel or kerosene’ means diesel fuel or kerosene—

“(A) with respect to which a tax under section 4081 was previously paid (and not credited or refunded), and

“(B) which is exempt from taxation under section 4082(a).

“(c) **CROSS REFERENCE.**—For civil penalty for excessive claims under this section, see section 6675.”

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Section 6206 is amended—

(A) by striking “or 6427” each place it appears and inserting “6427, or 6435”, and

(B) by striking “6420 and 6421” and inserting “6420, 6421, and 6435”.

(2) Section 6430 is amended—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) which are removed as eligible indelibly dyed diesel fuel or kerosene under section 6435.”

(3) Section 6675 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “or 6427 (relating to fuels not used for taxable purposes)” and inserting “6427 (relating to fuels not used for taxable purposes), or 6435 (relating to eligible indelibly dyed fuel)”, and

(B) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “6421, or 6427,” and inserting “6421, 6427, or 6435.”

(4) The table of sections for subchapter B of chapter 65, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6435. Dyed fuel.”

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to eligible indelibly dyed diesel fuel or kerosene removed on or after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section.

Subchapter C—Other Reforms

SEC. 70531. MODIFICATIONS TO DE MINIMIS ENTRY PRIVILEGE FOR COMMERCIAL SHIPMENTS.

(a) **CIVIL PENALTY.**—

(1) **ADDITIONAL PENALTY IMPOSED.**—Section 321 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1321) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) Any person who enters, introduces, facilitates, or attempts to introduce an article into the United States using the privilege of this section, the importation of which violates any other provision of United States customs law, shall be assessed, in addition to any other penalty permitted by law, a civil penalty of up to \$5,000 for the first violation and up to \$10,000 for each subsequent violation.”

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall take effect 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **REPEAL OF COMMERCIAL SHIPMENT EXCEPTION.**—

(1) **REPEAL.**—Section 321(a)(2) of such Act (19 U.S.C. 1321(a)(2)) is amended by striking “of this Act, or” and all that follows through “subdivision (2); and” and inserting “of this Act; and”.

(2) **CONFORMING REPEAL.**—Subsection (c) of such section 321, as added by subsection (a) of this section, is repealed.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on July 1, 2027.

CHAPTER 6—ENHANCING DEDUCTION AND INCOME TAX CREDIT GUARDRAILS, AND OTHER REFORMS

SEC. 70601. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON EXCESS BUSINESS LOSSES OF NONCORPORATE TAXPAYERS.

(a) **RULE MADE PERMANENT.**—Section 461(l)(1) is amended by striking “and before January 1, 2029,” each place it appears.

(b) **ADJUSTMENT OF AMOUNTS FOR CALCULATION OF EXCESS BUSINESS LOSS.**—Section 461(l)(3)(C) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “December 31, 2018” and inserting “December 31, 2025”, and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking “2017” and inserting “2024”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **RULE MADE PERMANENT.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2026.

(2) **ADJUSTMENT OF AMOUNTS FOR CALCULATION OF EXCESS BUSINESS LOSS.**—The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70602. TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS FROM PARTNERSHIPS TO PARTNERS FOR PROPERTY OR SERVICES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 707(a)(2) is amended by striking “Under regulations prescribed” and inserting “Except as provided”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to services performed, and property transferred, after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section, or the amendments made by this section, shall be construed to create any inference with respect to the proper treatment under section 707(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to payments from a partnership to a partner for services performed, or property transferred, on or before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 70603. EXCESSIVE EMPLOYEE REMUNERATION FROM CONTROLLED GROUP MEMBERS AND ALLOCATION OF DEDUCTION.

(a) **APPLICATION OF AGGREGATION RULES.**—Section 162(m) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) **REMUNERATION FROM CONTROLLED GROUP MEMBERS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of any publicly held corporation which is a member of a controlled group—

“(i) paragraph (1) shall be applied by substituting ‘specified covered employee’ for ‘covered employee’, and

“(ii) if any person which is a member of such controlled group (other than such publicly held corporation) provides applicable employee remuneration to an individual who is a specified covered employee of such controlled group and the aggregate amount described in subparagraph (B)(ii) with respect to such specified covered employee exceeds \$1,000,000—

“(I) paragraph (1) shall apply to such person with respect to such remuneration, and

“(II) paragraph (1) shall apply to such publicly held corporation and to each such related person by substituting ‘the allocable limitation amount’ for ‘\$1,000,000’.

“(B) **ALLOCABLE LIMITATION AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘allocable limitation amount’ means, with respect to any member of the controlled group referred to in subparagraph (A) with respect to any specified covered employee of such controlled group, the amount which bears the same ratio to \$1,000,000 as—

“(i) the amount of applicable employee remuneration provided by such member with respect to such specified covered employee, bears to

“(ii) the aggregate amount of applicable employee remuneration provided by all such members with respect to such specified covered employee.

“(C) **SPECIFIED COVERED EMPLOYEE.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘specified covered employee’ means, with respect to any controlled group—

“(i) any employee described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (D) of paragraph (3), with respect to the publicly held corporation which is a member of such controlled group, and

“(ii) any employee who would be described in subparagraph (C) of paragraph (3) if such subparagraph were applied by taking into account the employees of all members of the controlled group.

“(D) **CONTROLLED GROUP.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘controlled group’ means any group treated as a single employer under subsection (b), (c), (m), or (o) of section 414.”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70604. EXCISE TAX ON CERTAIN REMITTANCE TRANSFERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 36 is amended by inserting after subchapter B the following new subchapter:

“Subchapter C—Remittance Transfers

“Sec. 4475. Imposition of tax.

“SEC. 4475. IMPOSITION OF TAX.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There is hereby imposed on any remittance transfer a tax equal to 1 percent of the amount of such transfer.

“(b) PAYMENT OF TAX.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The tax imposed by this section with respect to any remittance transfer shall be paid by the sender with respect to such transfer.

“(2) COLLECTION OF TAX.—The remittance transfer provider with respect to any remittance transfer shall collect the amount of the tax imposed under subsection (a) with respect to such transfer from the sender and remit such tax quarterly to the Secretary at such time and in such manner as provided by the Secretary.

“(3) SECONDARY LIABILITY.—Where any tax imposed by subsection (a) is not paid at the time the transfer is made, then to the extent that such tax is not collected, such tax shall be paid by the remittance transfer provider.

“(c) TAX LIMITED TO CASH AND SIMILAR INSTRUMENTS.—The tax imposed under subsection (a) shall apply only to any remittance transfer for which the sender provides cash, a money order, a cashier's check, or any other similar physical instrument (as determined by the Secretary) to the remittance transfer provider.

“(d) NONAPPLICATION TO CERTAIN NONCASH REMITTANCE TRANSFERS.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any remittance transfer for which the funds being transferred are—

“(1) withdrawn from an account held in or by a financial institution—

“(A) which is described in subparagraphs (A) through (H) of section 5312(a)(2) of title 31, United States Code, and

“(B) that is subject to the requirements under subchapter II of chapter 53 of such title, or

“(2) funded with a debit card or a credit card which is issued in the United States.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The terms ‘remittance transfer’, ‘remittance transfer provider’, and ‘sender’ shall each have the respective meanings given such terms by section 919(g) of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693o–1(g)).

“(2) CREDIT CARD.—The term ‘credit card’ has the same meaning given such term under section 920(c)(3) of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693o–2(c)(3)).

“(3) DEBIT CARD.—The term ‘debit card’ has the same meaning given such term under section 920(c)(2) of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693o–2(c)(2)), without regard to subparagraph (B) of such section.

“(f) APPLICATION OF ANTI-CONDUIT RULES.—For purposes of section 7701(l), with respect to any multiple-party arrangements involving the sender, a remittance transfer shall be treated as a financing transaction.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of subchapters for chapter 36 is amended by inserting after the item relating to subchapter B the following new item:

“SUBCHAPTER C—REMITTANCE TRANSFERS”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers made after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70605. ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO COVID-RELATED EMPLOYEE RETENTION CREDITS.

(a) ASSESSABLE PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DUE DILIGENCE REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any COVID-ERTC promoter which provides aid, assistance, or advice with respect to any COVID-ERTC document and which fails to comply with due diligence requirements imposed by the Secretary with re-

spect to determining eligibility for, or the amount of, any credit or advance payment of a credit under section 3134 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, shall pay a penalty of \$1,000 for each such failure.

(2) DUE DILIGENCE REQUIREMENTS.—The due diligence requirements referred to in paragraph (1) shall be similar to the due diligence requirements imposed under section 6695(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) RESTRICTION TO DOCUMENTS USED IN CONNECTION WITH RETURNS OR CLAIMS FOR REFUND.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to any COVID-ERTC document unless such document constitutes, or relates to, a return or claim for refund.

(4) TREATMENT AS ASSESSABLE PENALTY, ETC.—For purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, the penalty imposed under paragraph (1) shall be treated as a penalty which is imposed under section 6695(g) of such Code and assessed under section 6201 of such Code.

(5) SECRETARY.—For purposes of this subsection, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate.

(b) COVID-ERTC PROMOTER.—For purposes of this section—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The term “COVID-ERTC promoter” means, with respect to any COVID-ERTC document, any person which provides aid, assistance, or advice with respect to such document if—

(A) such person charges or receives a fee for such aid, assistance, or advice which is based on the amount of the refund or credit with respect to such document and, with respect to such person's taxable year in which such person provided such assistance or the preceding taxable year, the aggregate of the gross receipts of such person for aid, assistance, and advice with respect to all COVID-ERTC documents exceeds 20 percent of the gross receipts of such person for such taxable year, or

(B) with respect to such person's taxable year in which such person provided such assistance or the preceding taxable year—

(i) the aggregate of the gross receipts of such person for aid, assistance, and advice with respect to all COVID-ERTC documents exceeds 50 percent of the gross receipts of such person for such taxable year, or

(ii) both—

(I) such aggregate gross receipts exceed 20 percent of the gross receipts of such person for such taxable year, and

(II) the aggregate of the gross receipts of such person for aid, assistance, and advice with respect to all COVID-ERTC documents (determined after application of paragraph (3)) exceeds \$500,000.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTIFIED PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYER ORGANIZATIONS.—The term “COVID-ERTC promoter” shall not include a certified professional employer organization (as defined in section 7705 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986).

(3) AGGREGATION RULE.—For purposes of paragraph (1), all persons treated as a single employer under subsection (a) or (b) of section 52 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or subsection (m) or (o) of section 414 of such Code, shall be treated as 1 person.

(4) SHORT TAXABLE YEARS.—In the case of any taxable year of less than 12 months, a person shall be treated as a COVID-ERTC promoter if such person is described in paragraph (1) either with respect to such taxable year or by treating any reference to such taxable year as a reference to the calendar year in which such taxable year begins.

(c) COVID-ERTC DOCUMENT.—For purposes of this section, the term “COVID-ERTC document” means any return, affidavit, claim, or other document related to any credit or advance payment of a credit under section 3134 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, including any document related to eligibility for, or the calcula-

tion or determination of any amount directly related to, any such credit or advance payment.

(d) LIMITATION ON CREDITS AND REFUNDS.—Notwithstanding section 6511 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, no credit under section 3134 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be allowed, and no refund with respect to any such credit shall be made, after the date of the enactment of this Act, unless a claim for such credit or refund was filed by the taxpayer on or before January 31, 2024.

(e) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON ASSESSMENT.—Section 3134(l) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON ASSESSMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 6501, the limitation on the time period for the assessment of any amount attributable to a credit claimed under this section shall not expire before the date that is 6 years after the latest of—

“(A) the date on which the original return which includes the calendar quarter with respect to which such credit is determined is filed,

“(B) the date on which such return is treated as filed under section 6501(b)(2), or

“(C) the date on which the claim for credit or refund with respect to such credit is made.

“(2) DEDUCTION FOR WAGES TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN DETERMINING IMPROPERLY CLAIMED CREDIT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 6511, in the case of an assessment attributable to a credit claimed under this section, the limitation on the time period for credit or refund of any amount attributable to a deduction for improperly claimed ERTC wages shall not expire before the time period for such assessment expires under paragraph (1).

“(B) IMPROPERLY CLAIMED ERTC WAGES.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘improperly claimed ERTC wages’ means, with respect to an assessment attributable to a credit claimed under this section, the wages with respect to which a deduction would not have been allowed if the portion of the credit to which such assessment relates had been properly claimed.”.

(f) AMENDMENT TO PENALTY FOR ERRONEOUS CLAIM FOR REFUND OR CREDIT.—Section 6676(a) is amended by striking “income tax” and inserting “income or employment tax”.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The provisions of this section shall apply to aid, assistance, and advice provided after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) LIMITATION ON CREDITS AND REFUNDS.—Subsection (d) shall apply to credits and refunds allowed or made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON ASSESSMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (e) shall apply to assessments made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) AMENDMENT TO PENALTY FOR ERRONEOUS CLAIM FOR REFUND OR CREDIT.—The amendment made by subsection (f) shall apply to claims for credit or refund after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(h) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary (as defined in subsection (a)(5)) shall issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section (and the amendments made by this section).

SEC. 70606. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIREMENT FOR AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY AND LIFETIME LEARNING CREDITS.

(a) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER OF TAXPAYER REQUIRED.—Section 25A(g)(1) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) IDENTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—

“(A) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER REQUIREMENT.—No credit shall be allowed under subsection (a) to an individual unless the individual includes on the return of tax for the taxable year—

“(i) such individual's social security number, and

“(ii) in the case of a credit with respect to the qualified tuition and related expenses of an individual other than the taxpayer or the taxpayer’s spouse, the name and social security number of such individual.

“(B) INSTITUTION.—No American Opportunity Tax Credit shall be allowed under this section unless the taxpayer includes the employer identification number of any institution to which the taxpayer paid qualified tuition and related expenses taken into account under this section on the return of tax for the taxable year.

“(C) SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER DEFINED.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘social security number’ shall have the meaning given such term in section 24(h)(7).”.

(b) OMISSION TREATED AS MATHEMATICAL OR CLERICAL ERROR.—Section 6213(g)(2)(J) is amended by striking “TIN” and inserting “social security number or employer identification number”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 70607. TASK FORCE ON THE REPLACEMENT OF DIRECT FILE.

Out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, there is hereby appropriated for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, \$15,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2026, for necessary expenses of the Department of the Treasury to deliver to Congress, within 90 days following the date of the enactment of this Act, a report on—

(1) the cost of enhancing and establishing public-private partnerships which provide for free tax filing for up to 70 percent of all taxpayers calculated by adjusted gross income, and to replace any direct e-file programs run by the Internal Revenue Service;

(2) taxpayer opinions and preferences regarding a taxpayer-funded, government-run service or a free service provided by the private sector;

(3) assessment of the feasibility of a new approach, how to make the options consistent and simple for taxpayers across all participating providers, and how to provide features to address taxpayer needs; and

(4) the cost (including options for differential coverage based on taxpayer adjusted gross income and return complexity) of developing and running a free direct e-file tax return system, including costs to build and administer each release.

Subtitle B—Health

CHAPTER 1—MEDICAID

Subchapter A—Reducing Fraud and Improving Enrollment Processes

SEC. 71101. MORATORIUM ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RULE RELATING TO ELIGIBILITY AND ENROLLMENT IN MEDICARE SAVINGS PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall not, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this section and ending September 30, 2034, implement, administer, or enforce the amendments made by the provisions of the final rule published by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services on September 21, 2023, and titled “Streamlining Medicaid; Medicare Savings Program Eligibility Determination and Enrollment” (88 Fed. Reg. 65230) to the following sections of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations:

- (1) Section 406.21(c).
- (2) Section 435.4.
- (3) Section 435.601.
- (4) Section 435.911.
- (5) Section 435.952.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of this section and section 71102, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71102. MORATORIUM ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RULE RELATING TO ELIGIBILITY AND ENROLLMENT FOR MEDICAID, CHIP, AND THE BASIC HEALTH PROGRAM.

The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall not, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this section and ending September 30, 2034, implement, administer, or enforce the amendments made by the provisions of the final rule published by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services on April 2, 2024, and titled “Medicaid Program; Streamlining the Medicaid, Children’s Health Insurance Program, and Basic Health Program Application, Eligibility Determination, Enrollment, and Renewal Processes” (89 Fed. Reg. 22780) to the following sections of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations:

- (1) PART 431.—
 - (A) Section 431.213(d).
- (2) PART 435.—
 - (A) Section 435.222.
 - (B) Section 435.407.
 - (C) Section 435.907.
 - (D) Section 435.911(c).
 - (E) Section 435.912.
 - (F) Section 435.916.
 - (G) Section 435.919.
 - (H) Section 435.1200(b)(3)(i)-(v).
 - (I) Section 435.1200(e)(1)(ii).
 - (J) Section 435.1200(h)(1).
- (3) PART 447.—Section 447.56(a)(1)(v).
- (4) PART 457.—
 - (A) Section 457.344.
 - (B) Section 457.960.
 - (C) Section 457.1140(d)(4).
 - (D) Section 457.1170.
 - (E) Section 457.1180.

SEC. 71103. REDUCING DUPLICATE ENROLLMENT UNDER THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.

(a) MEDICAID.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a) is amended—

- (A) in subsection (a)—
 - (i) in paragraph (86), by striking “and” at the end;
 - (ii) in paragraph (87), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and
 - (iii) by inserting after paragraph (87) the following new paragraph:

“(88) provide—

“(A) beginning not later than January 1, 2027, in the case of 1 of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, for a process to regularly obtain address information for individuals enrolled under such plan (or a waiver of such plan) in accordance with subsection (vv); and

“(B) beginning not later than October 1, 2029—

“(i) for the State to submit to the system established by the Secretary under subsection (uu), with respect to an individual enrolled or seeking to enroll under such plan, not less frequently than once each month and during each determination or redetermination of the eligibility of such individual for medical assistance under such plan (or waiver of such plan)—

“(I) the social security number of such individual, if such individual has a social security number and is required to provide such number to enroll under such plan (or waiver); and

“(II) such other information with respect to such individual as determined necessary by the Secretary for purposes of preventing individuals from simultaneously being enrolled under State plans (or waivers of such plans) of multiple States;

“(ii) for the use of such system to prevent such simultaneous enrollment; and

“(iii) in the case that such system indicates that an individual enrolled or seeking to enroll under such plan (or waiver of such plan) is enrolled under a State plan (or waiver of such a plan) of another State, for the taking of appropriate action (as determined by the Secretary) to identify whether such an individual resides in

“(88) provide—

“(A) beginning not later than January 1, 2027, in the case of 1 of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, for a process to regularly obtain address information for individuals enrolled under such plan (or a waiver of such plan) in accordance with subsection (vv); and

“(B) beginning not later than October 1, 2029—

“(i) for the State to submit to the system established by the Secretary under subsection (uu), with respect to an individual enrolled or seeking to enroll under such plan, not less frequently than once each month and during each determination or redetermination of the eligibility of such individual for medical assistance under such plan (or waiver of such plan)—

“(I) the social security number of such individual, if such individual has a social security number and is required to provide such number to enroll under such plan (or waiver); and

“(II) such other information with respect to such individual as determined necessary by the Secretary for purposes of preventing individuals from simultaneously being enrolled under State plans (or waivers of such plans) of multiple States;

“(ii) for the use of such system to prevent such simultaneous enrollment; and

“(iii) in the case that such system indicates that an individual enrolled or seeking to enroll under such plan (or waiver of such plan) is enrolled under a State plan (or waiver of such a plan) of another State, for the taking of appropriate action (as determined by the Secretary) to identify whether such an individual resides in

the State and disenroll an individual from the State plan of such State if such individual does not reside in such State (unless such individual meets such an exception as the Secretary may specify).”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(uu) PREVENTION OF ENROLLMENT UNDER MULTIPLE STATE PLANS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than October 1, 2029, the Secretary shall establish a system to be utilized by the Secretary and States to prevent an individual from being simultaneously enrolled under the State plans (or waivers of such plans) of multiple States. Such system shall—

“(A) provide for the receipt of information submitted by a State under subsection (a)(88)(B)(i); and

“(B) not less than once each month, transmit information to a State (or allow the Secretary to transmit information to a State) regarding whether an individual enrolled or seeking to enroll under the State plan of such State (or waiver of such plan) is enrolled under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) of another State.

“(2) STANDARDS.—The Secretary shall establish such standards as determined necessary by the Secretary to limit and protect information submitted under such system and ensure the privacy of such information, consistent with subsection (a)(7).

“(3) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—There are appropriated to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, out of amounts in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, in addition to amounts otherwise available—

“(A) for fiscal year 2026, \$10,000,000 for purposes of establishing the system and standards required under this subsection, to remain available until expended; and

“(B) for fiscal year 2029, \$20,000,000 for purposes of maintaining such system, to remain available until expended.

“(vv) PROCESS TO OBTAIN ENROLLEE ADDRESS INFORMATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a)(88)(A), a process to regularly obtain address information for individuals enrolled under a State plan (or a waiver of such plan) shall obtain address information from reliable data sources described in paragraph (2) and take such actions as the Secretary shall specify with respect to any changes to such address based on such information.

“(2) RELIABLE DATA SOURCES DESCRIBED.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the reliable data sources described in this paragraph are the following:

“(A) Mail returned to the State by the United States Postal Service with a forwarding address.

“(B) The National Change of Address Database maintained by the United States Postal Service.

“(C) A managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)) or prepaid inpatient health plan or prepaid ambulatory health plan (as such terms are defined in section 1903(m)(9)(D)) that has a contract under the State plan if the address information is provided to such entity or plan directly from, or verified by such entity or plan directly with, such individual.

“(D) Other data sources as identified by the State and approved by the Secretary.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) PARIS.—Section 1903(r)(3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(r)(3)) is amended—

(i) by striking “In order” and inserting “(A) In order”; and

(ii) by striking “through the Public” and inserting “through—

“(i) the Public”; and

(iii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and

“(ii) beginning October 1, 2029, the system established by the Secretary under section 1902(uu).”; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) Beginning October 1, 2029, the Secretary may determine that a State is not required to have in operation an eligibility determination system which provides for data matching (for purposes of address verification under section 1902(vv)) through the system described in subparagraph (A)(i) to meet the requirements of this paragraph.”.

(B) **MANAGED CARE.**—Section 1932 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396u–2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(j) **TRANSMISSION OF ADDRESS INFORMATION.**—Beginning January 1, 2027, each contract under a State plan with a managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)) or with a prepaid inpatient health plan or prepaid ambulatory health plan (as such terms are defined in section 1903(m)(9)(D)), shall provide that such entity or plan shall promptly transmit to the State any address information for an individual enrolled with such entity or plan that is provided to such entity or plan directly from, or verified by such entity or plan directly with, such individual.”.

(b) **CHIP.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2107(e)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397gg(e)(1)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (H) through (U) as subparagraphs (I) through (V), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (G) the following new subparagraph:

“(H) Section 1902(a)(88) (relating to address information for enrollees and prevention of simultaneous enrollments).”.

(2) **MANAGED CARE.**—Section 2103(f)(3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397cc(f)(3)) is amended by striking “and (e)” and inserting “(e), and (j)”.

SEC. 71104. ENSURING DECEASED INDIVIDUALS DO NOT REMAIN ENROLLED.

Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a), as amended by section 71103, is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (87), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (88), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (88) the following new paragraph:

“(89) provide that the State shall comply with the eligibility verification requirements under subsection (ww), except that this paragraph shall apply only in the case of the 50 States and the District of Columbia.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(ww) **VERIFICATION OF CERTAIN ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of subsection (a)(89), the eligibility verification requirements, beginning January 1, 2027, are as follows:

“(A) **QUARTERLY SCREENING TO VERIFY ENROLLEE STATUS.**—The State shall, not less frequently than quarterly, review the Death Master File (as such term is defined in section 203(d) of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013) or a successor system that provides such information needed to determine whether any individuals enrolled for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) are deceased.

“(B) **DISENROLLMENT UNDER STATE PLAN.**—If the State determines, based on information obtained from the Death Master File, that an individual enrolled for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) is deceased, the State shall—

“(i) treat such information as factual information confirming the death of a beneficiary;

“(ii) disenroll such individual from the State plan (or waiver of such plan) in accordance with subsection (a)(3); and

“(iii) discontinue any payments for medical assistance under this title made on behalf of such individual (other than payments for any

items or services furnished to such individual prior to the death of such individual).

“(C) **REINSTATEMENT OF COVERAGE IN THE EVENT OF ERROR.**—If a State determines that an individual was misidentified as deceased based on information obtained from the Death Master File and was erroneously disenrolled from medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) based on such misidentification, the State shall immediately re-enroll such individual under the State plan (or waiver of such plan), retroactive to the date of such disenrollment.

“(2) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing under this subsection shall be construed to preclude the ability of a State to use other electronic data sources to timely identify potentially deceased beneficiaries, so long as the State is also in compliance with the requirements of this subsection (and all other requirements under this title relating to Medicaid eligibility determination and redetermination).”.

SEC. 71105. ENSURING DECEASED PROVIDERS DO NOT REMAIN ENROLLED.

Section 1902(kk)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(kk)(1)) is amended—

(1) by striking “The State” and inserting:

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The State”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) **PROVIDER SCREENING AGAINST DEATH MASTER FILE.**—Beginning January 1, 2028, as part of the enrollment (or reenrollment or revalidation of enrollment) of a provider or supplier under this title, and not less frequently than quarterly during the period that such provider or supplier is so enrolled, the State conducts a check of the Death Master File (as such term is defined in section 203(d) of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013) to determine whether such provider or supplier is deceased.”.

SEC. 71106. PAYMENT REDUCTION RELATED TO CERTAIN ERRONEOUS EXCESS PAYMENTS UNDER MEDICAID.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1903(u)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(u)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by inserting “for audits conducted by the Secretary, or, at the option of the Secretary, audits conducted by the State” after “exceeds 0.03”; and

(B) by inserting “, to the extent practicable” before the period at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “(i) Subject to clause (ii), the Secretary”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(ii) The amount waived under clause (i) for a fiscal year may not exceed an amount equal to the erroneous excess payments for medical assistance described in subparagraph (D)(i)(II) made for such fiscal year that exceed the allowable error rate of 0.03.”.

(3) in subparagraph (C), by striking “he” in each place it appears and inserting “the Secretary” in each such place; and

(4) in subparagraph (D)(i)—

(A) in subclause (I), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subclause (II), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, or payments where insufficient information is available to confirm eligibility, and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(III) payments (other than payments described in subclause (I)) for items and services furnished to an individual who is not eligible for medical assistance under the State plan (or a waiver of such plan) with respect to such items and services, or payments where insufficient information is available to confirm eligibility.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply beginning with respect to fiscal year 2030.

SEC. 71107. ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1902(e)(14) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(e)(14)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(L) **FREQUENCY OF ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATIONS FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to clause (ii), with respect to redeterminations of eligibility for medical assistance under a State plan (or waiver of such plan) scheduled on or after the first day of the first quarter that begins after December 31, 2026, a State shall make such a redetermination once every 6 months for the following individuals:

“(I) Individuals enrolled under subsection (a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII).

“(II) Individuals described in such subsection who are otherwise enrolled under a waiver of such plan that provides coverage that is equivalent to minimum essential coverage (as described in section 5000A(f)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and determined in accordance with standards prescribed by the Secretary in regulations) to all individuals described in subsection (a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII).

“(ii) **EXEMPTION.**—The requirements described in clause (i) shall not apply to any individual described in subsection (xx)(9)(A)(ii)(II).

“(iii) **STATE DEFINED.**—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘State’ means 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.”.

(b) **GUIDANCE.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, shall issue guidance relating to the implementation of the amendments made by this section.

(c) **IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.**—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$75,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71108. REVISING HOME EQUITY LIMIT FOR DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY FOR LONG-TERM CARE SERVICES UNDER THE MEDICAID PROGRAM.

(a) **REVISING HOME EQUITY LIMIT.**—Section 1917(f)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396p(f)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “A State” and inserting “(i) A State”; and

(B) in clause (i), as inserted by subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “\$500,000” and inserting “the amount specified in subparagraph (A)”; and

(ii) by inserting “, in the case of an individual’s home that is located on a lot that is zoned for agricultural use,” after “apply subparagraph (A)”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(ii) A State may elect, without regard to the requirements of section 1902(a)(1) (relating to statewideness) and section 1902(a)(10)(B) (relating to comparability), to apply subparagraph (A), in the case of an individual’s home that is not described in clause (i), by substituting for the amount specified in such subparagraph, an amount that exceeds such amount, but does not exceed \$1,000,000.”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by inserting “(other than the amount specified in subparagraph (B)(ii) (relating to certain non-agricultural homes))” after “specified in this paragraph”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case that application of the preceding sentence would result in a dollar amount (other than the amount specified in subparagraph (B)(i) (relating to certain agricultural homes)) exceeding \$1,000,000, such amount shall be deemed to be equal to \$1,000,000.”.

(b) CLARIFICATION.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (r)(2), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) This paragraph shall not be construed as permitting a State to determine the eligibility of an individual for medical assistance with respect to nursing facility services or other long-term care services without application of the limit under section 1917(f)(1).”; and

(2) in subsection (e)(14)(D)(iv)—

(A) by striking “Subparagraphs” and insert-

ing

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraphs”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(II) APPLICATION OF HOME EQUITY INTEREST LIMIT.—Section 1917(f) shall apply for purposes of determining the eligibility of an individual for medical assistance with respect to nursing facility services or other long-term care services.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply beginning on January 1, 2028.

SEC. 71109. ALIEN MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY.

(a) MEDICAID.—Section 1903(v) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(v)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and (4)” and inserting “, (4), and (5)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) Notwithstanding the preceding paragraphs of this subsection, beginning on October 1, 2026, except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (4), in no event shall payment be made to a State under this section for medical assistance furnished to an individual unless such individual is—

“(A) a resident of 1 of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, or a territory of the United States; and

“(B) either—

“(i) a citizen or national of the United States;

“(ii) an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence as an immigrant as defined by sections 101(a)(15) and 101(a)(20) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, excluding, among others, alien visitors, tourists, diplomats, and students who enter the United States temporarily with no intention of abandoning their residence in a foreign country;

“(iii) an alien who has been granted the status of Cuban and Haitian entrant, as defined in section 501(e) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-422); or

“(iv) an individual who lawfully resides in the United States in accordance with a Compact of Free Association referred to in section 402(b)(2)(G) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.”.

(b) CHIP.—Section 2107(e)(1) of the Social Security Act, as amended by section 71103(b), is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (R) through (V) as paragraphs (S) through (W), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (Q) the following:

“(R) Section 1903(v)(5) (relating to payments for medical assistance furnished to aliens), except in relation to payments for services provided under section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii).”.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71110. EXPANSION FMAP FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAID.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1905 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396d) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(kk) FMAP FOR TREATMENT OF AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL CONDITION.—Notwithstanding

subsection (y) and (z), beginning on October 1, 2026, the Federal medical assistance percentage for payments for care and services described in paragraph (2) of subsection 1903(v) furnished to an alien described in paragraph (1) of such subsection shall not exceed the Federal medical assistance percentage determined under subsection (b) for such State.”.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

Subchapter B—Preventing Wasteful Spending

SEC. 71111. MORATORIUM ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RULE RELATING TO STAFFING STANDARDS FOR LONG-TERM CARE FACILITIES UNDER THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID PROGRAMS.

The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall not, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this section and ending September 30, 2034, implement, administer, or enforce the amendments made by the provisions of the final rule published by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services on May 10, 2024, and titled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Minimum Staffing Standards for Long-Term Care Facilities and Medicaid Institutional Payment Transparency Reporting” (89 Fed. Reg. 40876) to the following sections of part 483 of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations:

(1) Section 483.5.

(2) Section 483.35.

SEC. 71112. REDUCING STATE MEDICAID COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902(a)(34) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(34)) is amended to read as follows:

“(34) provide that in the case of any individual who has been determined to be eligible for medical assistance under the plan and—

“(A) is enrolled under paragraph (10)(A)(i)(VIII), such assistance will be made available to the individual for care and services included under the plan and furnished in or after the month before the month in which the individual made application (or application was made on the individual’s behalf in the case of a deceased individual) for such assistance if such individual was (or upon application would have been) eligible for such assistance at the time such care and services were furnished; or

“(B) is not described in subparagraph (A), such assistance will be made available to the individual for care and services included under the plan and furnished in or after the second month before the month in which the individual made application (or application was made on the individual’s behalf in the case of a deceased individual) for such assistance if such individual was (or upon application would have been) eligible for such assistance at the time such care and services were furnished.”.

(b) DEFINITION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 1905(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396d(a)) is amended by striking “in or after the third month before the month in which the recipient makes application for assistance” and inserting “, with respect to an individual described in section 1902(a)(34)(A), in or after the month before the month in which the recipient makes application for assistance, and with respect to an individual described in section 1902(a)(34)(B), in or after the second month before the month in which the recipient makes application for assistance”.

(c) CHIP.—Section 2102(b)(1)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397bb(b)(1)(B)) is amended—

(1) in clause (iv), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in clause (v), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(vi) shall, in the case that the State elects to provide child health or pregnancy-related assistance to an individual for any period prior to the month in which the individual made application for such assistance (or application was made on behalf of the individual), provide that such assistance is not made available to such individual for items and services included under the State child health plan (or waiver of such plan) that are furnished before the second month preceding the month in which such individual made application (or application was made on behalf of such individual) for assistance.”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to medical assistance, child health assistance, and pregnancy-related assistance with respect to individuals whose eligibility for such medical assistance, child health assistance, or pregnancy-related assistance is based on an application made on or after the first day of the first quarter that begins after December 31, 2026.

(e) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71113. FEDERAL PAYMENTS TO PROHIBITED ENTITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—No Federal funds that are considered direct spending and provided to carry out a State plan under title XIX of the Social Security Act or a waiver of such a plan shall be used to make payments to a prohibited entity for items and services furnished during the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, including any payments made directly to the prohibited entity or under a contract or other arrangement between a State and a covered organization.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) PROHIBITED ENTITY.—The term “prohibited entity” means an entity, including its affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, and clinics—

(A) that, as of the first day of the first quarter beginning after the date of enactment of this Act—

(i) is an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code;

(ii) is an essential community provider described in section 156.235 of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act), that is primarily engaged in family planning services, reproductive health, and related medical care; and

(iii) provides for abortions, other than an abortion—

(I) if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest; or

(II) in the case where a woman suffers from a physical disorder, physical injury, or physical illness, including a life-endangering physical condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, that would, as certified by a physician, place the woman in danger of death unless an abortion is performed; and

(B) for which the total amount of Federal and State expenditures under the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act for medical assistance furnished in fiscal year 2023 made directly, or by a covered organization, to the entity or to any affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, or clinics of the entity, or made to the entity or to any affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, or clinics of the entity as part of a nationwide health care provider network, exceeded \$800,000.

(2) DIRECT SPENDING.—The term “direct spending” has the meaning given that term under section 250(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900(c)).

(3) COVERED ORGANIZATION.—The term “covered organization” means a managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396u–2(a)(1)(B))) or a prepaid inpatient health plan or prepaid ambulatory health plan (as such terms are defined in section 1903(m)(9)(D) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(m)(9)(D))).

(4) STATE.—The term “State” has the meaning given such term in section 1101 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1301).

(c) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

Subchapter C—Stopping Abusive Financing Practices

SEC. 71114. SUNSETTING INCREASED FMAP INCENTIVE.

Section 1905(ii)(3) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396d(ii)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking “which has not” and inserting the following: “which—

“(A) has not”; and

(2) in subparagraph (A), as so inserted, by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) begins to expend amounts for all such individuals prior to January 1, 2026.”.

SEC. 71115. PROVIDER TAXES.

(a) CHANGE IN THRESHOLD FOR HOLD HARMLESS PROVISION OF BROAD-BASED HEALTH CARE RELATED TAXES.—Section 1903(w)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(w)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by inserting “, and for fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2026, the applicable percent determined under subparagraph (D) shall be substituted for ‘6 percent’ each place it appears” after “each place it appears”; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C)(ii), the following new subparagraph:

“(D)(i) For purposes of subparagraph (C)(ii), the applicable percent determined under this subparagraph is—

“(I) in the case of a non-expansion State or unit of local government in such State and a class of health care items or services described in section 433.56(a) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on May 1, 2025)—

“(aa) if, on the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the non-expansion State or unit of local government in such State has enacted a tax and imposes such tax on such class and the Secretary determines that the tax is within the hold harmless threshold as of that date, the applicable percent of net patient revenue attributable to such class that has been so determined; and

“(bb) if, on the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the non-expansion State or unit of local government in such State has not enacted or does not impose a tax with respect to such class, 0 percent; and

“(II) in the case of an expansion State or unit of local government in such State and a class of health care items or services described in section 433.56(a) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on May 1, 2025), subject to clause (iv)—

“(aa) if, on the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the expansion State or unit of local government in such State has enacted a tax and imposes such tax on such class and the Secretary determines that the tax is within the hold harmless threshold as of that date, the lower of—

“(AA) the applicable percent of net patient revenue attributable to such class that has been so determined; and

“(BB) the applicable percent specified in clause (ii) for the fiscal year; and

“(bb) if, on the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the expansion State or unit of local government in such State has not enacted or does not impose a tax with respect to such class, 0 percent.

“(ii) For purposes of clause (i)(II)(aa)(BB), the applicable percent is—

“(I) for fiscal year 2028, 5.5 percent;

“(II) for fiscal year 2029, 5 percent;

“(III) for fiscal year 2030, 4.5 percent;

“(IV) for fiscal year 2031, 4 percent; and

“(V) for fiscal year 2032 and each subsequent fiscal year, 3.5 percent.

“(iii) For purposes of clause (i):

“(I) EXPANSION STATE.—The term ‘expansion State’ means a State that, beginning on January 1, 2014, or on any date thereafter, elects to provide medical assistance to all individuals described in section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) under the State plan under this title or under a waiver of such plan.

“(II) NON-EXPANSION STATE.—The term ‘non-expansion State’ means a State that is not an expansion State.

“(iv) In the case of a tax of an expansion State or unit of local government in such State in effect on the date of enactment of this clause, that applies to a class of health care items or services that is described in paragraph (3) or (4) of section 433.56(a) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on May 1, 2025), and for which, on such date of enactment, is within the hold harmless threshold (as determined by the Secretary), the applicable percent of net patient revenue attributable to such class that has been so determined shall apply for a fiscal year instead of the applicable percent specified in clause (ii) for the fiscal year.”.

(b) NON-APPLICATION TO TERRITORIES.—The amendments made by this section shall only apply with respect to a State that is 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71116. STATE DIRECTED PAYMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Health and Human Services (in this section referred to as the Secretary) shall revise section 438.6(c)(2)(iii) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation) such that, with respect to a payment described in such section made for a service furnished during a rating period beginning on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, the total payment rate for such service is limited to—

(1) in the case of a State that provides coverage to all individuals described in section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII)) that is equivalent to minimum essential coverage (as described in section 5000A(f)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and determined in accordance with standards prescribed by the Secretary in regulations) under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) of such State under title XIX of such Act, 100 percent of the specified total published Medicare payment rate (or, in the absence of a specified total published Medicare payment rate, the payment rate under a Medicaid State plan (or under a waiver of such plan)); or

(2) in the case of a State other than a State described in paragraph (1), 110 percent of the specified total published Medicare payment rate (or, in the absence of a specified total published Medicare payment rate, the payment rate under a Medicaid State plan (or under a waiver of such plan)).

(b) GRANDFATHERING CERTAIN PAYMENTS.—In the case of a payment described in section 438.6(c)(2)(iii) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation) for which

written prior approval (or a good faith effort to receive such approval, as determined by the Secretary) was made before May 1, 2025, or a payment described in such section for a rural hospital (as defined in subsection (d)(2)) for which written prior approval (or a good faith effort to receive such approval, as determined by the Secretary) was made by the date of enactment of this Act, for the rating period occurring within 180 days of the date of the enactment of this Act, or a payment so described for such rating period for which a completed preprint was submitted to the Secretary prior to the date of enactment of this Act, beginning with the rating period on or after January 1, 2028, the total amount of such payment shall be reduced by 10 percentage points each year until the total payment rate for such service is equal to the rate for such service specified in subsection (a).

(c) TREATMENT OF EXPANSION STATES.—The revisions described in subsection (a) shall provide that, with respect to a State that begins providing the coverage described in paragraph (1) of such subsection on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, the limitation described in such paragraph shall apply to such State with respect to a payment described in section 438.6(c)(2)(iii) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation) for a service furnished during a rating period beginning on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) RATING PERIOD.—The term “rating period” has the meaning given such term in section 438.2 of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation).

(2) RURAL HOSPITAL.—The term “rural hospital” means the following:

(A) A subsection (d) hospital (as defined in paragraph (1)(B) of section 1886(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(d))) that—

(i) is located in a rural area (as defined in paragraph (2)(D) of such section);

(ii) is treated as being located in a rural area pursuant to paragraph (8)(E) of such section; or

(iii) is located in a rural census tract of a metropolitan statistical area (as determined under the most recent modification of the Goldsmith Modification, originally published in the Federal Register on February 27, 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 6725)).

(B) A critical access hospital (as defined in section 1861(mm)(1) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(mm)(1))).

(C) A sole community hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(5)(D)(iii) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(d)(5)(D)(iii))).

(D) A Medicare-dependent, small rural hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(5)(G)(iv) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(d)(5)(G)(iv))).

(E) A low-volume hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(12)(C) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(d)(12)(C))).

(F) A rural emergency hospital (as defined in section 1861(kkk)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(kkk)(2))).

(3) STATE.—The term “State” means 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.

(4) TOTAL PUBLISHED MEDICARE PAYMENT RATE.—The term “total published Medicare payment rate” has the meaning given to such term in section 438.6(a) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation).

(5) WRITTEN PRIOR APPROVAL.—The term “written prior approval” has the meaning given to such term in section 438.6(c)(2)(i) of title 42, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation).

(e) FUNDING.—There are appropriated out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated \$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026 through 2033 for purposes of carrying out this section, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71117. REQUIREMENTS REGARDING WAIVER OF UNIFORM TAX REQUIREMENT FOR MEDICAID PROVIDER TAX.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1903(w) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(w)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)(E), by inserting after clause (ii)(II) the following new clause:

“(iii) For purposes of clause (ii)(I), a tax is not considered to be generally redistributive if any of the following conditions apply:

“(I) Within a permissible class, the tax rate imposed on any taxpayer or tax rate group (as defined in paragraph (7)(J)) explicitly defined by its relatively lower volume or percentage of Medicaid taxable units (as defined in paragraph (7)(H)) is lower than the tax rate imposed on any other taxpayer or tax rate group explicitly defined by its relatively higher volume or percentage of Medicaid taxable units.

“(II) Within a permissible class, the tax rate imposed on any taxpayer or tax rate group (as so defined) based upon its Medicaid taxable units (as so defined) is higher than the tax rate imposed on any taxpayer or tax rate group based upon its non-Medicaid taxable unit (as defined in paragraph (7)(I)).

“(III) The tax excludes or imposes a lower tax rate on a taxpayer or tax rate group (as so defined) based on or defined by any description that results in the same effect as described in subclause (I) or (II) for a taxpayer or tax rate group. Characteristics that may indicate such type of exclusion include the use of terminology to establish a tax rate group—

“(aa) based on payments or expenditures made under the program under this title without mentioning the term ‘Medicaid’ (or any similar term) to accomplish the same effect as described in subclause (I) or (II); or

“(bb) that closely approximates a taxpayer or tax rate group under the program under this title, to the same effect as described in subclause (I) or (II).”; and

(2) in paragraph (7), by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(H) The term ‘Medicaid taxable unit’ means a unit that is being taxed within a health care related tax that is applicable to the program under this title. Such term includes a unit that is used as the basis for—

“(i) payment under the program under this title (such as Medicaid bed days);

“(ii) Medicaid revenue;

“(iii) costs associated with the program under this title (such as Medicaid charges, claims, or expenditures); and

“(iv) other units associated with the program under this title, as determined by the Secretary.

“(I) The term ‘non-Medicaid taxable unit’ means a unit that is being taxed within a health care related tax that is not applicable to the program under this title. Such term includes a unit that is used as the basis for—

“(i) payment by non-Medicaid payers (such as non-Medicaid bed days);

“(ii) non-Medicaid revenue;

“(iii) costs that are not associated with the program under this title (such as non-Medicaid charges, non-Medicaid claims, or non-Medicaid expenditures); and

“(iv) other units not associated with the program under this title, as determined by the Secretary.

“(J) The term ‘tax rate group’ means a group of entities contained within a permissible class of a health care related tax that are taxed at the same rate.”.

(b) NON-APPLICATION TO TERRITORIES.—The amendments made by this section shall only apply with respect to a State that is 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect upon the date of enactment of this Act, subject to any applicable transition period determined appropriate by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, not to exceed 3 fiscal years.

SEC. 71118. REQUIRING BUDGET NEUTRALITY FOR MEDICAID DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS UNDER SECTION 1115.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1115 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1315) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) REQUIREMENT OF BUDGET NEUTRALITY FOR MEDICAID DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning January 1 2027, the Secretary may not approve an application for (or renewal or amendment of) an experimental, pilot, or demonstration project undertaken under subsection (a) to promote the objectives of title XIX in a State (in this subsection referred to as a ‘Medicaid demonstration project’) unless the Chief Actuary for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services certifies that such project, or, in the case of a renewal, the duration of the preceding waiver, is not expected to result in an increase in the amount of Federal expenditures compared to the amount that such expenditures would otherwise be in the absence of such project. For purposes of this subsection, expenditures for the coverage of populations and services that the State could have otherwise provided through its Medicaid State plan or other authority under title XIX, including expenditures that could be made under such authority but for the provision of such services at a different site of service than authorized under such State plan or other authority, shall be considered expenditures in the absence of such a project.

“(2) TREATMENT OF SAVINGS.—In the event that expenditures with respect to a State under a Medicaid demonstration project are, during an approval period for such project, less than the amount of such expenditures that would have otherwise been made in the absence of such project, the Secretary shall specify the methodology to be used with respect to the subsequent approval period for such project for purposes of taking the difference between such expenditures into account.”.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026 and 2027, to remain available until expended.

Subchapter D—Increasing Personal Accountability

SEC. 71119. REQUIREMENT FOR STATES TO ESTABLISH MEDICAID COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a), as amended by sections 71103 and 71104, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(xx) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENT FOR APPLICABLE INDIVIDUALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (11), beginning not later than the first day of the first quarter that begins after December 31, 2026, or, at the option of the State under a waiver or demonstration project under section 1115 or the State plan, such earlier date as the State may specify, subject to the succeeding provisions of this subsection, a State shall provide, as a condition of eligibility for medical assistance for an applicable individual, that such individual is required to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (2)—

“(A) in the case of an applicable individual who has filed an application for medical assistance under a State plan (or a waiver of such plan) under this title, for 1 or more but not more than 3 (as specified by the State) consecutive months immediately preceding the month during which such individual applies for such medical assistance; and

“(B) in the case of an applicable individual enrolled and receiving medical assistance under a State plan (or under a waiver of such plan) under this title, for 1 or more (as specified by the State) months, whether or not consecutive—

“(i) during the period between such individual’s most recent determination (or redetermination, as applicable) of eligibility and such individual’s next regularly scheduled redetermina-

tion of eligibility (as verified by the State as part of such regularly scheduled redetermination of eligibility); or

“(ii) in the case of a State that has elected under paragraph (4) to conduct more frequent verifications of compliance with the requirement to demonstrate community engagement, during the period between the most recent and next such verification with respect to such individual.

“(2) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT COMPLIANCE DESCRIBED.—Subject to paragraph (3), an applicable individual demonstrates community engagement under this paragraph for a month if such individual meets 1 or more of the following conditions with respect to such month, as determined in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary through regulation:

“(A) The individual works not less than 80 hours.

“(B) The individual completes not less than 80 hours of community service.

“(C) The individual participates in a work program for not less than 80 hours.

“(D) The individual is enrolled in an educational program at least half-time.

“(E) The individual engages in any combination of the activities described in subparagraphs (A) through (D), for a total of not less than 80 hours.

“(F) The individual has a monthly income that is not less than the applicable minimum wage requirement under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, multiplied by 80 hours.

“(G) The individual had an average monthly income over the preceding 6 months that is not less than the applicable minimum wage requirement under section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 multiplied by 80 hours, and is a seasonal worker, as described in section 45R(d)(5)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(A) MANDATORY EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.—The State shall deem an applicable individual to have demonstrated community engagement under paragraph (2) for a month, and may elect to not require an individual to verify information resulting in such deeming, if—

“(i) for part or all of such month, the individual—

“(I) was a specified excluded individual (as defined in paragraph (9)(A)(ii)); or

“(II) was—

“(aa) under the age of 19;

“(bb) entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under part A of title XVIII, or enrolled for benefits under part B of title XVIII; or

“(cc) described in any of subclauses (I) through (VII) of subsection (a)(10)(A)(i); or

“(ii) at any point during the 3-month period ending on the first day of such month, the individual was an inmate of a public institution.

“(B) OPTIONAL EXCEPTION FOR SHORT-TERM HARDSHIP EVENTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The State plan (or waiver of such plan) may provide, in the case of an applicable individual who experiences a short-term hardship event during a month, that the State shall, under procedures established by the State (in accordance with standards specified by the Secretary), in the case of a short-term hardship event described in clause (ii)(II) and, upon the request of such individual, a short-term hardship event described in subclause (I) or (III) of clause (ii), deem such individual to have demonstrated community engagement under paragraph (2) for such month.

“(ii) SHORT-TERM HARDSHIP EVENT DEFINED.—For purposes of this subparagraph, an applicable individual experiences a short-term hardship event during a month if, for part or all of such month—

“(I) such individual receives inpatient hospital services, nursing facility services, services in an intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities, inpatient psychiatric hospital services, or such other services

of similar acuity (including outpatient care relating to other services specified in this subclause) as the Secretary determines appropriate;

“(II) such individual resides in a county (or equivalent unit of local government)—

“(aa) in which there exists an emergency or disaster declared by the President pursuant to the National Emergencies Act or the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act; or

“(bb) that, subject to a request from the State to the Secretary, made in such form, at such time, and containing such information as the Secretary may require, has an unemployment rate that is at or above the lesser of—

“(AA) 8 percent; or

“(BB) 1.5 times the national unemployment rate; or

“(III) such individual or their dependent must travel outside of their community for an extended period of time to receive medical services necessary to treat a serious or complex medical condition (as described in paragraph (9)(A)(ii)(V)(ee)) that are not available within their community of residence.

“(4) OPTION TO CONDUCT MORE FREQUENT COMPLIANCE VERIFICATIONS.—With respect to an applicable individual enrolled and receiving medical assistance under a State plan (or a waiver of such plan) under this title, the State shall verify (in accordance with procedures specified by the Secretary) that each such individual has met the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (1) during each such individual’s regularly scheduled redetermination of eligibility, except that a State may provide for such verifications more frequently.

“(5) EX PARTE VERIFICATIONS.—For purposes of verifying that an applicable individual has met the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (1), or determining such individual to be deemed to have demonstrated community engagement under paragraph (3), or that an individual is a specified excluded individual under paragraph (9)(A)(ii), the State shall, in accordance with standards established by the Secretary, establish processes and use reliable information available to the State (such as payroll data or payments or encounter data under this title for individuals and data on payments to such individuals for the provision of services covered under this title) without requiring, where possible, the applicable individual to submit additional information.

“(6) PROCEDURE IN THE CASE OF NONCOMPLIANCE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a State is unable to verify that an applicable individual has met the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (1) (including, if applicable, by verifying that such individual was deemed to have demonstrated community engagement under paragraph (3)) the State shall (in accordance with standards specified by the Secretary)—

“(i) provide such individual with the notice of noncompliance described in subparagraph (B);

“(ii)(I) provide such individual with a period of 30 calendar days, beginning on the date on which such notice of noncompliance is received by the individual, to—

“(aa) make a satisfactory showing to the State of compliance with such requirement (including, if applicable, by showing that such individual was or should be deemed to have demonstrated community engagement under paragraph (3)); or

“(bb) make a satisfactory showing to the State that such requirement does not apply to such individual on the basis that such individual does not meet the definition of applicable individual under paragraph (9)(A); and

“(II) if such individual is enrolled under the State plan (or a waiver of such plan) under this title, continue to provide such individual with medical assistance during such 30-calendar-day period; and

“(iii) if no such satisfactory showing is made and the individual is not a specified excluded individual described in paragraph (9)(A)(ii), deny such individual’s application for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) or, as applicable, disenroll such individual from the plan (or waiver of such plan) not later than the end of the month following the month in which such 30-calendar-day period ends, provided that—

“(I) the State first determines whether, with respect to the individual, there is any other basis for eligibility for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) or for another insurance affordability program; and

“(II) the individual is provided written notice and granted an opportunity for a fair hearing in accordance with subsection (a)(3).

“(B) NOTICE.—The notice of noncompliance provided to an applicable individual under subparagraph (A)(i) shall include information (in accordance with standards specified by the Secretary) on—

“(i) how such individual may make a satisfactory showing of compliance with such requirement (as described in subparagraph (A)(ii)) or make a satisfactory showing that such requirement does not apply to such individual on the basis that such individual does not meet the definition of applicable individual under paragraph (9)(A); and

“(ii) how such individual may reapply for medical assistance under the State plan (or a waiver of such plan) under this title in the case that such individuals’ application is denied or, as applicable, in the case that such individual is disenrolled from the plan (or waiver).

“(7) TREATMENT OF NONCOMPLIANT INDIVIDUALS IN RELATION TO CERTAIN OTHER PROVISIONS.—

“(A) CERTAIN FMAP INCREASES.—A State shall not be treated as not providing medical assistance to all individuals described in section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII), or as not expending amounts for all such individuals under the State plan (or waiver of such plan), solely because such an individual is determined ineligible for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver) on the basis of a failure to meet the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (1).

“(B) OTHER PROVISIONS.—For purposes of section 36B(c)(2)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, an individual shall be deemed to be eligible for minimum essential coverage described in section 5000A(f)(1)(A)(ii) of such Code for a month if such individual would have been eligible for medical assistance under a State plan (or a waiver of such plan) under this title but for a failure to meet the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under paragraph (1).

“(8) OUTREACH.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with standards specified by the Secretary, beginning not later than the date that precedes December 31, 2026 (or, if the State elects under paragraph (1) to specify an earlier date, such earlier date) by the number of months specified by the State under paragraph (1)(A) plus 3 months, and periodically thereafter, the State shall notify applicable individuals enrolled under a State plan (or waiver) under this title of the requirement to demonstrate community engagement under this subsection. Such notice shall include information on—

“(i) how to comply with such requirement, including an explanation of the exceptions to such requirement under paragraph (3) and the definition of the term ‘applicable individual’ under paragraph (9)(A);

“(ii) the consequences of noncompliance with such requirement; and

“(iii) how to report to the State any change in the individual’s status that could result in—

“(I) the applicability of an exception under paragraph (3) (or the end of the applicability of such an exception); or

“(II) the individual qualifying as a specified excluded individual under paragraph (9)(A)(ii).

“(B) FORM OF OUTREACH NOTICE.—A notice required under subparagraph (A) shall be delivered—

“(i) by regular mail (or, if elected by the individual, in an electronic format); and

“(ii) in 1 or more additional forms, which may include telephone, text message, an internet website, other commonly available electronic means, and such other forms as the Secretary determines appropriate.

“(9) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) APPLICABLE INDIVIDUAL.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘applicable individual’ means an individual (other than a specified excluded individual (as defined in clause (ii)))—

“(I) who is eligible to enroll (or is enrolled) under the State plan under subsection (a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII); or

“(II) who—

“(aa) is otherwise eligible to enroll (or is enrolled) under a waiver of such plan that provides coverage that is equivalent to minimum essential coverage (as described in section 5000A(f)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and as determined in accordance with standards prescribed by the Secretary in regulations); and

“(bb) has attained the age of 19 and is under 65 years of age, is not pregnant, is not entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under part A of title XVIII, or enrolled for benefits under part B of title XVIII, and is not otherwise eligible to enroll under such plan.

“(ii) SPECIFIED EXCLUDED INDIVIDUAL.—For purposes of clause (i), the term ‘specified excluded individual’ means an individual, as determined by the State (in accordance with standards specified by the Secretary)—

“(I) who is described in subsection (a)(10)(A)(i)(IX);

“(II) who—

“(aa) is an Indian or an Urban Indian (as such terms are defined in paragraphs (13) and (28) of section 4 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act);

“(bb) is a California Indian described in section 809(a) of such Act; or

“(cc) has otherwise been determined eligible as an Indian for the Indian Health Service under regulations promulgated by the Secretary;

“(III) who is the parent, guardian, caretaker relative, or family caregiver (as defined in section 2 of the RAISE Family Caregivers Act) of a dependent child 13 years of age and under or a disabled individual;

“(IV) who is a veteran with a disability rated as total under section 1155 of title 38, United States Code;

“(V) who is medically frail or otherwise has special medical needs (as defined by the Secretary), including an individual—

“(aa) who is blind or disabled (as defined in section 1614);

“(bb) with a substance use disorder;

“(cc) with a disabling mental disorder;

“(dd) with a physical, intellectual or developmental disability that significantly impairs their ability to perform 1 or more activities of daily living; or

“(ee) with a serious or complex medical condition;

“(VI) who—

“(aa) is in compliance with any requirements imposed by the State pursuant to section 407; or

“(bb) is a member of a household that receives supplemental nutrition assistance program benefits under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and is not exempt from a work requirement under such Act;

“(VII) who is participating in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program (as defined in section 3(h) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008);

“(VIII) who is an inmate of a public institution; or

“(IX) who is pregnant or entitled to postpartum medical assistance under paragraph (5) or (16) of subsection (e).

“(B) EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM.—The term ‘educational program’ includes—

“(i) an institution of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965); and

“(ii) a program of career and technical education (as defined in section 3 of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006).

“(C) STATE.—The term ‘State’ means 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.

“(D) WORK PROGRAM.—The term ‘work program’ has the meaning given such term in section 6(o)(1) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008.

“(10) PROHIBITING WAIVER OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 1115(a), the provisions of this subsection may not be waived.

“(11) SPECIAL IMPLEMENTATION RULE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary may exempt a State from compliance with the requirements of this subsection if—

“(i) the State submits to the Secretary a request for such exemption, made in such form and at such time as the Secretary may require, and including the information specified in subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) the Secretary determines that based on such request, the State is demonstrating a good faith effort to comply with the requirements of this subsection.

“(B) GOOD FAITH EFFORT DETERMINATION.—In determining whether a State is demonstrating a good faith effort for purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), the Secretary shall consider—

“(i) any actions taken by the State toward compliance with the requirements of this subsection;

“(ii) any significant barriers to or challenges in meeting such requirements, including related to funding, design, development, procurement, or installation of necessary systems or resources;

“(iii) the State’s detailed plan and timeline for achieving full compliance with such requirements, including any milestones of such plan (as defined by the Secretary); and

“(iv) any other criteria determined appropriate by the Secretary.

“(C) DURATION OF EXEMPTION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An exemption granted under subparagraph (A) shall expire not later than December 31, 2028, and may not be renewed beyond such date.

“(ii) EARLY TERMINATION.—The Secretary may terminate an exemption granted under subparagraph (A) prior to the expiration date of such exemption if the Secretary determined that the State has—

“(I) failed to comply with the reporting requirements described in subparagraph (D); or

“(II) based on the information provided pursuant to subparagraph (D), failed to make continued good faith efforts toward compliance with the requirements of this subsection.

“(D) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—A State granted an exemption under subparagraph (A) shall submit to the Secretary—

“(i) quarterly progress reports on the State’s status in achieving the milestones toward full compliance described in subparagraph (B)(iii); and

“(ii) information on specific risks or newly identified barriers or challenges to full compliance, including the State’s plan to mitigate such risks, barriers, or challenges.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII)) is amended by striking “subject to subsection (k)” and inserting “subject to subsections (k) and (xx)”.

(c) PROHIBITING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—A State shall not use a Medicaid managed care entity or other specified entity (as such terms are defined in section 1903(m)(9)(D)), or other contractor to determine beneficiary compliance under such section unless the contractor has no

direct or indirect financial relationship with any Medicaid managed care entity or other specified entity that is responsible for providing or arranging for coverage of medical assistance for individuals enrolled with the entity pursuant to a contract with such State.

(d) INTERIM FINAL RULEMAKING.—Not later than June 1, 2026, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall promulgate an interim final rule for purposes of implementing the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section. Any action taken to implement the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section shall not be subject to the provisions of section 553 of title 5, United States Code.

(e) DEVELOPMENT OF GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY GRANTS TO STATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In order for States to establish systems necessary to carry out the provisions of, and amendments made by, this section or other sections of this chapter that pertain to conducting eligibility determinations or redeterminations, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall—

(A) out of amounts appropriated under paragraph (3)(A), award to each State a grant equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2) for such State; and

(B) out of amounts appropriated under paragraph (3)(B), distribute an equal amount among such States.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), the amount specified in this paragraph is an amount that bears the same ratio to the amount appropriated under paragraph (3)(A) as the number of applicable individuals (as defined in section 1902(xx) of the Social Security Act, as added by subsection (a)) residing in such State bears to the total number of such individuals residing in all States, as of March 31, 2025.

(3) FUNDING.—There are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated—

(A) \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 for purposes of awarding grants under paragraph (1)(A), to remain available until expended; and

(B) \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2026 for purposes of award grants under paragraph (1)(B), to remain available until expended.

(4) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term “State” means 1 of the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

(f) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 71120. MODIFYING COST SHARING REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN EXPANSION INDIVIDUALS UNDER THE MEDICAID PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1916 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396o) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “(other than, beginning October 1, 2028, specified individuals (as defined in subsection (k)(3)))” after “individuals”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(k) SPECIAL RULES FOR CERTAIN EXPANSION INDIVIDUALS.—

“(1) PREMIUMS.—Beginning October 1, 2028, the State plan shall provide that in the case of a specified individual (as defined in paragraph (3)) who is eligible under the plan, no enrollment fee, premium, or similar charge will be imposed under the plan.

“(2) REQUIRED IMPOSITION OF COST SHARING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B) and subsection (j), in the case of a specified individual, the State plan shall, beginning October 1, 2028, provide for the imposition of such deductions, cost sharing, or similar charges de-

termined appropriate by the State (in an amount greater than \$0) with respect to certain care, items, or services furnished to such an individual, as determined by the State.

“(B) LIMITATIONS.—

“(i) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN SERVICES.—In no case may a deduction, cost sharing, or similar charge be imposed under the State plan with respect to care, items, or services described in any of subparagraphs (B) through (J) of subsection (a)(2), or any primary care services, mental health care services, substance use disorder services, or services provided by a Federally qualified health center (as defined in 1905(l)(2)), certified community behavioral health clinic (as defined in section 1905(jj)(2)), or rural health clinic (as defined in 1905(l)(1)), furnished to a specified individual.

“(ii) ITEM AND SERVICE LIMITATION.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), in no case may a deduction, cost sharing, or similar charge imposed under the State plan with respect to care or an item or service furnished to a specified individual exceed \$35.

“(II) SPECIAL RULES FOR PRESCRIPTION DRUGS.—In no case may a deduction, cost sharing, or similar charge imposed under the State plan with respect to a prescription drug furnished to a specified individual exceed the limit that would be applicable under paragraph (2)(A)(i) or (2)(B) of section 1916A(c) with respect to such drug and individual if such drug so furnished were subject to cost sharing under such section.

“(iii) MAXIMUM LIMIT ON COST SHARING.—The total aggregate amount of deductions, cost sharing, or similar charges imposed under the State plan for all individuals in the family may not exceed 5 percent of the family income of the family involved, as applied on a quarterly or monthly basis (as specified by the State).

“(C) CASES OF NONPAYMENT.—Notwithstanding subsection (e), a State may permit a provider participating under the State plan to require, as a condition for the provision of care, items, or services to a specified individual entitled to medical assistance under this title for such care, items, or services, the payment of any deductions, cost sharing, or similar charges authorized to be imposed with respect to such care, items, or services. Nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed as preventing a provider from reducing or waiving the application of such deductions, cost sharing, or similar charges on a case-by-case basis.

“(3) SPECIFIED INDIVIDUAL DEFINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘specified individual’ means an individual who has a family income (as determined in accordance with section 1902(e)(14)) that exceeds the poverty line (as defined in section 2110(c)(5)) applicable to a family of the size involved and—

“(A) is enrolled under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII); or

“(B) is described in such subsection and otherwise enrolled under a waiver of the State plan that provides coverage that is equivalent to minimum essential coverage (as described in section 5000A(f)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and determined in accordance with standards prescribed by the Secretary in regulations) to all individuals described in section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII).

“(4) STATE DEFINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘State’ means 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) REQUIRED APPLICATION.—Section 1902(a)(14) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(14)) is amended by inserting “and provide for imposition of such deductions, cost sharing, or similar charges for care, items, or services furnished to specified individuals (as defined in paragraph (3) of section 1916(k)) in accordance with paragraph (2) of such section” after “section 1916”.

(2) NONAPPLICABILITY OF ALTERNATIVE COST SHARING.—Section 1916A(a)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396o-1(a)(1)) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking “or (j)” and inserting “(j), or (k)”.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 2026, to remain available until expended.

Subchapter E—Expanding Access to Care

SEC. 7121. MAKING CERTAIN ADJUSTMENTS TO COVERAGE OF HOME OR COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES UNDER MEDICAID.

(a) EXPANDING HCBS COVERAGE UNDER SECTION 1915(C) WAIVERS.—Section 1915(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396n(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by inserting “paragraph (1) or” before “subsection (h)(2)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) EXPANDING COVERAGE FOR HOME OR COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Beginning July 1, 2028, notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary may approve a waiver that is standalone from any other waiver approved under this subsection to include as medical assistance under the State plan of such State payment for part or all of the cost of home or community-based services (other than room and board (as described in paragraph (1))) approved by the Secretary which are provided pursuant to a written plan of care to individuals described in subparagraph (B)(iii). A waiver approved under this paragraph shall be for an initial term of 3 years and, upon the request of the State, shall be extended for additional 5-year periods unless the Secretary determines that for the previous waiver period the requirements specified under this subsection (excluding those excepted under subparagraph (B)) have not been met.

“(B) STATE REQUIREMENTS.—In addition to the requirements specified under this subsection (except for the requirements described in subparagraphs (C) and (D) of paragraph (2) and any other requirement specified under this subsection that the Secretary determines to be inapplicable in the context of a waiver that does not require individuals to have a determination described in paragraph (1)), a State shall meet the following requirements as a condition of waiver approval:

“(i) As of the date that such State requests a waiver under this subsection to provide home or community-based services to individuals described in clause (iii), all other waivers (if any) granted under this subsection to such State meet the requirements of this subsection.

“(ii) The State demonstrates to the Secretary that approval of a waiver under this subsection with respect to individuals described in clause (iii) will not result in a material increase of the average amount of time that individuals with respect to whom a determination described in paragraph (1) has been made will need to wait to receive home or community-based services under any other waiver granted under this subsection, as determined by the Secretary.

“(iii) The State establishes needs-based criteria, subject to the approval of the Secretary, regarding who will be eligible for home or community-based services under a waiver approved under this paragraph without requiring such individuals to have a determination described in paragraph (1), and specifies the home or community-based services such individuals so eligible will receive.

“(iv) The State establishes needs-based criteria for determining whether an individual described in clause (iii) requires the level of care provided in a hospital, nursing facility, or an

intermediate care facility for individuals with developmental disabilities under the State plan or under any waiver of such plan that are more stringent than the needs-based criteria established under clause (iii) for determining eligibility for home or community-based services.

“(v) The State attests that the State’s average per capita expenditure for medical assistance under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) provided with respect to such individuals enrolled in a waiver under this paragraph will not exceed the State’s average per capita expenditure for medical assistance for individuals receiving institutional care under the State plan (or waiver of such plan) for the duration that the waiver under this paragraph is in effect.

“(vi) The State provides to the Secretary data (in such form and manner as the Secretary may specify) regarding the number of individuals described in clause (iii) with respect to a State seeking approval of a waiver under this subsection, to whom the State will make such services available under such waiver.

“(vii) The State agrees to provide to the Secretary, not less frequently than annually, data for purposes of paragraph (2)(E) (in such form and manner as the Secretary may specify) regarding, with respect to each preceding year in which a waiver under this subsection to provide home or community-based services to individuals described in clause (iii) was in effect—

“(I) the cost (as such term is defined by the Secretary) of such services furnished to individuals described in clause (iii), broken down by type of service;

“(II) with respect to each type of home or community-based service provided under the waiver, the length of time that such individuals have received such service;

“(III) a comparison between the data described in subclause (I) and any comparable data available with respect to individuals with respect to whom a determination described in paragraph (1) has been made and with respect to individuals receiving institutional care under this title; and

“(IV) the number of individuals who have received home or community-based services under the waiver during the preceding year.

“(C) LIMITATION ON PAYMENTS.—No payments made to carry out this paragraph shall be used by a State to make payments to a third party on behalf of an individual practitioner for benefits such as health insurance, skills training, and other benefits customary for employees, in the case of a class of practitioners for which the program established under this title is the primary source of revenue.”.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services—

(A) for fiscal year 2026, \$50,000,000 for purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, to remain available until expended; and

(B) for fiscal year 2027, \$100,000,000 for purposes of making payments to States, subject to paragraph (2), to support State systems to deliver home or community-based services under section 1915(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396n(c)) (as amended by this section) or under section 1115 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1315), to remain available until expended.

(2) PAYMENTS BASED ON STATE HCBS ELIGIBLE POPULATION.—Payments to States from amounts made available by paragraph (1)(B) shall be made, with respect to a State, on the basis of the proportion of the population of the State that is receiving home or community-based services under section 1915(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396n(c)) (as amended by this section) or under section 1115 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1315), as compared to all States.

CHAPTER 2—MEDICARE

Subchapter A—Strengthening Eligibility Requirements

SEC. 71201. LIMITING MEDICARE COVERAGE OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.

Title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 1899C. LIMITING MEDICARE COVERAGE OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), an individual may be entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under this title only if the individual is—

“(1) a citizen or national of the United States;

“(2) an alien who is lawfully admitted for permanent residence under the Immigration and Nationality Act;

“(3) an alien who has been granted the status of Cuban and Haitian entrant, as defined in section 501(e) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-422); or

“(4) an individual who lawfully resides in the United States in accordance with a Compact of Free Association referred to in section 402(b)(2)(G) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

“(b) APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUALS CURRENTLY ENTITLED TO OR ENROLLED FOR BENEFITS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an individual who is entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under this title as of the date of the enactment of this section, subsection (a) shall apply beginning on the date that is 18 months after such date of enactment.

“(2) REVIEW BY COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Commissioner of Social Security shall complete a review of individuals entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under this title as of such date of enactment for purposes of identifying individuals not described in any of paragraphs (1) through (4) of subsection (a).

“(B) NOTICE.—The Commissioner of Social Security shall notify each individual identified under the review conducted under subparagraph (A) that such individual’s entitlement to, or enrollment for, benefits under this title will be terminated as of the date that is 18 months after the date of the enactment of this section. Such notification shall be made as soon as practicable after such identification and in a manner designed to ensure such individual’s comprehension of such notification.”.

Subchapter B—Improving Services for Seniors

SEC. 71202. TEMPORARY PAYMENT INCREASE UNDER THE MEDICARE PHYSICIAN FEE SCHEDULE TO ACCOUNT FOR EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1848(t) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-4(t)) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DURING 2021 THROUGH 2024”;

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “and 2024” and inserting “2024, and 2026”;

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking “and” at the end;

(C) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) such services furnished on or after January 1, 2026, and before January 1, 2027, by 2.5 percent.”; and

(3) in paragraph (2)(C)—

(A) in the subparagraph heading, by inserting “AND 2026” after “2024”; and

(B) by striking “or 2024” each place it appears and inserting “2024, or 2026”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1848(c)(2)(B)(iv)(V) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-4(c)(2)(B)(iv)(V)) is amended by striking “or 2024” and inserting “2024, or 2026”.

SEC. 71203. EXPANDING AND CLARIFYING THE EXCLUSION FOR ORPHAN DRUGS UNDER THE DRUG PRICE NEGOTIATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1192(e) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320f-1(e)) is amended—
(1) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “and (3)” and inserting “through (4)”;

(2) in paragraph (3)(A)—

(A) by striking “only one rare disease or condition” and inserting “one or more rare diseases or conditions”; and

(B) by striking “such disease or condition” and inserting “one or more such rare diseases or conditions (as such term is defined in section 526(a)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act)”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) TREATMENT OF FORMER ORPHAN DRUGS.—In the case of a drug or biological product that, as of the date of the approval or licensure of such drug or biological product, is a drug or biological product described in paragraph (3)(A), paragraph (1)(A)(ii) or (1)(B)(ii) (as applicable) shall apply as if the reference to ‘the date of such approval’ or ‘the date of such licensure’, respectively, were instead a reference to ‘the first day after the date of such approval for which such drug is not a drug described in paragraph (3)(A)’ or ‘the first day after the date of such licensure for which such biological product is not a biological product described in paragraph (3)(A)’, respectively.”.

(b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to initial price applicability years (as defined in section 1191(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320f(b))) beginning on or after January 1, 2028.

CHAPTER 3—HEALTH TAX

Subchapter A—Improving Eligibility Criteria

SEC. 71301. PERMITTING PREMIUM TAX CREDIT ONLY FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 36B(e)(1) is amended by inserting “or, in the case of aliens who are lawfully present, are not eligible aliens” after “individuals who are not lawfully present”.

(b) ELIGIBLE ALIENS.—Section 36B(e)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “For purposes of this section, an individual” and inserting “For purposes of this section—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An individual”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) ELIGIBLE ALIENS.—An individual who is an alien and lawfully present shall be treated as an eligible alien if such individual is, and is reasonably expected to be for the entire period of enrollment for which the credit under this section is being claimed—

“(i) an alien who is lawfully admitted for permanent residence under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.),

“(ii) an alien who has been granted the status of Cuban and Haitian entrant, as defined in section 501(e) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-422); or

“(iii) an individual who lawfully resides in the United States in accordance with a Compact of Free Association referred to in section 402(b)(2)(G) of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1612(b)(2)(G)).”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) VERIFICATION OF INFORMATION.—Section 1411 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18081) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking “and section 36B(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(II) in subparagraph (B), by adding “and” at the end; and

(III) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) in the case such individual is an alien lawfully present in the United States, whether such individual is an eligible alien (within the meaning of section 36B(e)(2) of such Code);”;

(B) in subsection (b)(3), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) IMMIGRATION STATUS.—In the case the individual’s eligibility is based on an attestation of the enrollee’s immigration status, an attestation that such individual is an eligible alien (within the meaning of 36B(e)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986).”; and

(C) in subsection (c)(2)(B)(ii), by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(III) In the case of an individual described in clause (i)(I) with respect to whom a premium tax credit under section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is being claimed, the attestation that the individual is an eligible alien (within the meaning of section 36B(e)(2) of such Code).”.

(2) ADVANCE DETERMINATIONS.—Section 1412(d) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18082(d)) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, or credits under section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for aliens who are not eligible aliens (within the meaning of section 36B(e)(2) of such Code).”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2027.

(d) REQUIREMENT TO MAINTAIN MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE.—Section 5000A(d)(3) is amended by striking “an alien lawfully present in the United States” and inserting “an eligible alien (within the meaning of section 36B(e)(2))”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section (other than the amendments made by subsection (c)) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2026.

SEC. 71302. DISALLOWING PREMIUM TAX CREDIT DURING PERIODS OF MEDICAID INELIGIBILITY DUE TO ALIEN STATUS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 36B(c)(1) is amended by striking subparagraph (B).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section (other than the amendments made by subsection (c)) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

Subchapter B—Preventing Waste, Fraud, and Abuse

SEC. 71303. REQUIRING VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 36B(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(5) EXCHANGE ENROLLMENT VERIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘coverage month’ shall not include, with respect to any individual covered by a qualified health plan enrolled in through an Exchange, any month beginning before the Exchange verifies, using applicable enrollment information that shall be provided or verified by the applicant, such individual’s eligibility—

“(i) to enroll in the plan through the Exchange; and

“(ii) for any advance payment under section 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of the credit allowed under this section.

“(B) APPLICABLE ENROLLMENT INFORMATION.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), applicable enrollment information shall include affirmation of at least the following information (to the extent relevant in determining eligibility described in subparagraph (A)):

“(i) Household income and family size.

“(ii) Whether the individual is an eligible alien.

“(iii) Any health coverage status or eligibility for coverage.

“(iv) Place of residence.

“(v) Such other information as may be determined by the Secretary (in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services) as necessary to the verification prescribed under subparagraph (A).

“(C) VERIFICATION OF PAST MONTHS.—In the case of a month that begins before verification prescribed by subparagraph (A), such month shall be treated as a coverage month if the Exchange verifies for such month (using applicable enrollment information that shall be provided or verified by the applicant) such individual’s eligibility to have so enrolled and for any such advance payment.

“(D) EXCHANGE PARTICIPATION; COORDINATION WITH OTHER PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY.—An individual shall not, solely by reason of failing to meet the requirements of this paragraph with respect to a month, be treated for such month as ineligible to enroll in a qualified health plan through an Exchange.

“(E) WAIVER FOR CERTAIN SPECIAL ENROLLMENT PERIODS.—The Secretary may waive the application of subparagraph (A) in the case of an individual who enrolls in a qualified health plan through an Exchange for 1 or more months of the taxable year during a special enrollment period provided by the Exchange on the basis of a change in the family size of the individual.

“(F) INFORMATION AND RELIANCE ON THIRD-PARTY SOURCES.—An Exchange shall be permitted to use any data available to the Exchange and any reliable third-party sources in collecting information for verification by the applicant.

“(6) EXCHANGE COMPLIANCE WITH FILING REQUIREMENTS.—The term ‘coverage month’ shall not include, with respect to any individual covered by a qualified health plan enrolled in through an Exchange, any month for which the Exchange does not meet the requirements of section 155.305(f)(4)(iii) of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations (as published in the Federal Register on June 25, 2025 (90 Fed. Reg. 27074), applied as though it applied to all plan years after 2025), with respect to the individual.”.

(b) PRE-ENROLLMENT VERIFICATION PROCESS REQUIRED.—Section 36B(c)(3)(A) is amended—

(1) by striking “HEALTH PLAN.—The term” and inserting “HEALTH PLAN.—“

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The term”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(ii) PRE-ENROLLMENT VERIFICATION PROCESS REQUIRED.—Such term shall not include any plan enrolled in through an Exchange, unless such Exchange provides a process for pre-enrollment verification through which any applicant may, beginning not later than August 1, verify with the Exchange the applicant’s household income and eligibility for enrollment in such plan for plan years beginning in the subsequent year.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2027.

SEC. 71304. DISALLOWING PREMIUM TAX CREDIT IN CASE OF CERTAIN COVERAGE ENROLLED IN DURING SPECIAL ENROLLMENT PERIOD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 36B(c)(3)(A), as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) EXCEPTION IN CASE OF CERTAIN SPECIAL ENROLLMENT PERIODS.—Such term shall not include any plan enrolled in during a special enrollment period provided for by an Exchange—

“(I) on the basis of the relationship of the individual’s expected household income to such a percentage of the poverty line (or such other amount) as is prescribed by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for purposes of such period; and

“(II) not in connection with the occurrence of an event or change in circumstances specified by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for such purposes.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to plan years beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 71305. ELIMINATING LIMITATION ON RECAPTURE OF ADVANCE PAYMENT OF PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 36B(f)(2) is amended by striking subparagraph (B).

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Section 36B(f)(2) is amended by striking “ADVANCE PAYMENTS.” and all that follows through “If the advance payments” and inserting the following: “ADVANCE PAYMENTS.—If the advance payments”.

(2) Section 35(g)(12)(B)(ii) is amended by striking “then section 36B(f)(2)(B) shall be applied by substituting the amount determined under clause (i) for the amount determined under section 36B(f)(2)(A)” and inserting “then the amount determined under clause (i) shall be substituted for the amount determined under section 36B(f)(2)”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

Subchapter C—Enhancing Choice for Patients

SEC. 71306. PERMANENT EXTENSION OF SAFE HARBOR FOR ABSENCE OF DEDUCTIBLE FOR TELEHEALTH SERVICES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (E) of section 223(c)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(E) **SAFE HARBOR FOR ABSENCE OF DEDUCTIBLE FOR TELEHEALTH.**—A plan shall not fail to be treated as a high deductible health plan by reason of failing to have a deductible for telehealth and other remote care services.”.

(b) **CERTAIN COVERAGE DISREGARDED.**—Clause (ii) of section 223(c)(1)(B) is amended by striking “(in the case of months or plan years to which paragraph (2)(E) applies)”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to plan years beginning after December 31, 2024.

SEC. 71307. ALLOWANCE OF BRONZE AND CATASTROPHIC PLANS IN CONNECTION WITH HEALTH SAVINGS ACCOUNTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 223(c)(2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(H) **BRONZE AND CATASTROPHIC PLANS TREATED AS HIGH DEDUCTIBLE HEALTH PLANS.**—The term ‘high deductible health plan’ shall include any plan which is—

“(i) available as individual coverage through an Exchange established under section 1311 or 1321 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and

“(ii) described in subsection (d)(1)(A) or (e) of section 1302 of such Act.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months beginning after December 31, 2025.

SEC. 71308. TREATMENT OF DIRECT PRIMARY CARE SERVICE ARRANGEMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 223(c)(1) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) **TREATMENT OF DIRECT PRIMARY CARE SERVICE ARRANGEMENTS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—A direct primary care service arrangement shall not be treated as a health plan for purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii).

“(ii) **DIRECT PRIMARY CARE SERVICE ARRANGEMENT.**—For purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘direct primary care service arrangement’ means, with respect to any individual, an arrangement under which such individual is provided medical care (as defined in section 213(d)) consisting solely of primary care services provided by primary care practitioners (as defined in section 1833(x)(2)(A) of the Social Security Act, determined without regard to clause (ii) thereof), if the sole compensation for such care is a fixed periodic fee.

“(II) **LIMITATION.**—With respect to any individual for any month, such term shall not include any arrangement if the aggregate fees for

all direct primary care service arrangements (determined without regard to this subclause) with respect to such individual for such month exceed \$150 (twice such dollar amount in the case of an individual with any direct primary care service arrangement (as so determined) that covers more than one individual).

“(iii) **CERTAIN SERVICES SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED FROM TREATMENT AS PRIMARY CARE SERVICES.**—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘primary care services’ shall not include—

“(I) procedures that require the use of general anesthesia,

“(II) prescription drugs (other than vaccines), and

“(III) laboratory services not typically administered in an ambulatory primary care setting.

The Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall issue regulations or other guidance regarding the application of this clause.”.

(b) **DIRECT PRIMARY CARE SERVICE ARRANGEMENT FEES TREATED AS MEDICAL EXPENSES.**—Section 223(d)(2)(C) is amended by striking “or” at the end of clause (iii), by striking the period at the end of clause (iv) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(v) any direct primary care service arrangement.”.

(c) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 223(g)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “in subsections (b)(2) and (c)(2)(A)” and inserting “in subsections (b)(2), (c)(2)(A), and in the case of taxable years beginning after 2026, (c)(1)(E)(ii)(II)”;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “clause (ii)” in clause (i) and inserting “clauses (ii) and (iii)”, by striking “and” at the end of clause (i), by striking the period at the end of clause (ii) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after clause (ii) the following new clause:

“(iii) in the case of the dollar amount in subsection (c)(1)(E)(ii)(II), ‘calendar year 2025’.”, and

(3) by inserting “, (c)(1)(E)(ii)(II),” after “(b)(2)” in the last sentence.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to months beginning after December 31, 2025.

CHAPTER 4—PROTECTING RURAL HOSPITALS AND PROVIDERS

SEC. 71401. RURAL HEALTH TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2105 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397ee) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) **RURAL HEALTH TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM.**—

“(I) **APPROPRIATION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—There are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (in this subsection referred to as the ‘Administrator’), to provide allotments to States for purposes of carrying out the activities described in paragraph (6)—

“(i) \$10,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2026;

“(ii) \$10,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2027;

“(iii) \$10,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2028;

“(iv) \$10,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2029; and

“(v) \$10,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2030.

“(B) **UNEXPENDED OR UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Any amounts appropriated under subparagraph (A) that are unexpended or unobligated as of October 1, 2032, shall be returned to the Treasury of the United States.

“(ii) **REDISTRIBUTION OF UNEXPENDED OR UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the Administrator shall, not later than March 31, 2028, and annually thereafter through March 31, 2032, determine the amount of funds, if any, that are available under such subparagraph for a previous fiscal year, are unexpended or unobligated with respect to such

fiscal year, and will not be available to a State in the current fiscal year, pursuant to clause (iii).

“(iii) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Amounts allotted to a State under this subsection for a year shall be available for expenditure by the State through the end of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which such amounts are allotted.

“(II) **AVAILABILITY OF AMOUNTS REDISTRIBUTED.**—Amounts redistributed to a State under clause (ii) with respect to a fiscal year shall be available for expenditure by the State through the end of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which such amounts are redistributed (except in the case of amounts redistributed in fiscal year 2032 which shall only be available for expenditure through September 30, 2032).

“(iv) **MISUSE OF FUNDS.**—If the Administrator determines that a State is not using amounts allotted or redistributed to the State under this subsection in a manner consistent with the description provided by the State in its application approved under paragraph (2), the Administrator may withhold payments to, or reduce payments to, or recover previous payments from, the State under this subsection as the Administrator deems appropriate, and any amounts so withheld, or that remain after any such reduction, or so recovered, shall be returned to the Treasury of the United States.

“(2) **APPLICATION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—To be eligible for an allotment under this subsection, a State shall submit to the Administrator during an application submission period to be specified by the Administrator (but that ends not later than December 31, 2025) an application in such form and manner as the Administrator may specify, that includes—

“(i) a detailed rural health transformation plan—

“(I) to improve access to hospitals, other health care providers, and health care items and services furnished to rural residents of the State;

“(II) to improve health care outcomes of rural residents of the State;

“(III) to prioritize the use of new and emerging technologies that emphasize prevention and chronic disease management;

“(IV) to initiate, foster, and strengthen local and regional strategic partnerships between rural hospitals and other health care providers in order to promote measurable quality improvement, increase financial stability, maximize economies of scale, and share best practices in care delivery;

“(V) to enhance economic opportunity for, and the supply of, health care clinicians through enhanced recruitment and training;

“(VI) to prioritize data and technology driven solutions that help rural hospitals and other rural health care providers furnish high-quality health care services as close to a patient’s home as is possible;

“(VII) that outlines strategies to manage long-term financial solvency and operating models of rural hospitals in the State; and

“(VIII) that identifies specific causes driving the accelerating rate of stand-alone rural hospitals becoming at risk of closure, conversion, or service reduction;

“(ii) a certification that none of the amounts provided under this subsection shall be used by the State for an expenditure that is attributable to an intergovernmental transfer, certified public expenditure, or any other expenditure to finance the non-Federal share of expenditures required under any provision of law, including under the State plan established under this title, the State plan established under title XIX, or under a waiver of such plans; and

“(iii) such other information as the Administrator may require.

“(B) **DEADLINE FOR APPROVAL.**—Not later than December 31, 2025, the Administrator shall approve or deny all applications submitted for an allotment under this subsection.

“(C) ONE-TIME APPLICATION.—If an application of a State for an allotment under this subsection is approved by the Administrator, the State shall be eligible for an allotment under this subsection for each of fiscal years 2026 through 2030, except as provided in paragraph (1)(B)(iv).

“(D) ELIGIBILITY.—Only the 50 States shall be eligible for an allotment under this subsection and all references in this subsection to a State shall be treated as only referring to the 50 States.

“(3) ALLOTMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 2026 through 2030, the Administrator shall determine under subparagraph (B) the amount of the allotment for such fiscal year for each State with an approved application under this subsection.

“(B) AMOUNT DETERMINED.—Subject to subparagraph (C), from the amounts appropriated under paragraph (1)(A) for each of fiscal years 2026 through 2030, the Administrator shall allot—

“(i) 50 percent of the amounts appropriated for each such fiscal year equally among all States with an approved application under this subsection; and

“(ii) 50 percent of the amounts appropriated for each such fiscal year among all such States in an amount to be determined by the Administrator in accordance with subparagraph (C).

“(C) REQUIREMENTS.—In determining the amount to be allotted to a State under clause (ii) of subparagraph (B) for a fiscal year, the Administrator shall—

“(i) ensure that not less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the States with an approved application under this subsection for a fiscal year are allotted funds from amounts that are to be allotted under clause (ii) of such subparagraph; and

“(ii) consider—

“(I) the percentage of the State population that is located in a rural census tract of a metropolitan statistical area (as determined under the most recent modification of the Goldsmith Modification, originally published in the Federal Register on February 27, 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 6725));

“(II) the proportion of rural health facilities (as defined in subparagraph (D)) in the State relative to the number of rural health facilities nationwide;

“(III) the situation of hospitals in the State, as described in section 1902(a)(13)(A)(iv); and

“(IV) any other factors that the Administrator determines appropriate.

“(D) RURAL HEALTH FACILITY DEFINED.—For the purposes of subparagraph (C)(ii), the term ‘rural health facility’ means the following:

“(i) A subsection (d) hospital (as defined in paragraph (1)(B) of section 1886(d)) that—

“(I) is located in a rural area (as defined in paragraph (2)(D) of such section);

“(II) is treated as being located in a rural area pursuant to paragraph (8)(E) of such section; or

“(III) is located in a rural census tract of a metropolitan statistical area (as determined under the most recent modification of the Goldsmith Modification, originally published in the Federal Register on February 27, 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 6725)).

“(ii) A critical access hospital (as defined in section 1861(mm)(1)).

“(iii) A sole community hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(5)(D)(iii)).

“(iv) A Medicare-dependent, small rural hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(5)(G)(iv)).

“(v) A low-volume hospital (as defined in section 1886(d)(12)(C)).

“(vi) A rural emergency hospital (as defined in section 1861(kkk)(2)).

“(vii) A rural health clinic (as defined in section 1861(aa)(2)).

“(viii) A Federally qualified health center (as defined in section 1861(aa)(4)).

“(ix) A community mental health center (as defined in section 1861(ff)(3)(B)).

“(x) A health center that is receiving a grant under section 330 of the Public Health Service Act.

“(xi) An opioid treatment program (as defined in section 1861(jjj)(2)) that is located in a rural census tract of a metropolitan statistical area (as determined under the most recent modification of the Goldsmith Modification, originally published in the Federal Register on February 27, 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 6725)).

“(xii) A certified community behavioral health clinic (as defined in section 1905(jj)(2)) that is located in a rural census tract of a metropolitan statistical area (as determined under the most recent modification of the Goldsmith Modification, originally published in the Federal Register on February 27, 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 6725)).

“(4) NO MATCHING PAYMENT.—A State approved for an allotment under this subsection for a fiscal year shall not be required to provide any matching funds as a condition for receiving payments from the allotment.

“(5) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Administrator shall specify such terms and conditions for allotments to States provided under this subsection as the Administrator deems appropriate, including the following:

“(A) Each State shall submit to the Administrator (at a time, and in a form and manner, specified by the Administrator)—

“(i) a plan for the State to use its allotment to carry out 3 or more of the activities described in paragraph (6); and

“(ii) annual reports on the use of allotments, including such additional information as the Administrator determines appropriate.

“(B) Not more than 10 percent of the amount allotted to a State for a fiscal year may be used by the State for administrative expenses.

“(6) USE OF FUNDS.—Amounts allotted to a State under this subsection shall be used for 3 or more of the following health-related activities:

“(A) Promoting evidence-based, measurable interventions to improve prevention and chronic disease management.

“(B) Providing payments to health care providers for the provision of health care items or services, as specified by the Administrator.

“(C) Promoting consumer-facing, technology-driven solutions for the prevention and management of chronic diseases.

“(D) Providing training and technical assistance for the development and adoption of technology-enabled solutions that improve care delivery in rural hospitals, including remote monitoring, robotics, artificial intelligence, and other advanced technologies.

“(E) Recruiting and retaining clinical workforce talent to rural areas, with commitments to serve rural communities for a minimum of 5 years.

“(F) Providing technical assistance, software, and hardware for significant information technology advances designed to improve efficiency, enhance cybersecurity capability development, and improve patient health outcomes.

“(G) Assisting rural communities to right size their health care delivery systems by identifying needed preventative, ambulatory, pre-hospital, emergency, acute inpatient care, outpatient care, and post-acute care service lines.

“(H) Supporting access to opioid use disorder treatment services (as defined in section 1861(jjj)(1)), other substance use disorder treatment services, and mental health services.

“(I) Developing projects that support innovative models of care that include value-based care arrangements and alternative payment models, as appropriate.

“(J) Additional uses designed to promote sustainable access to high quality rural health care services, as determined by the Administrator.

“(7) EXEMPTIONS.—Paragraphs (2), (3), (5), (6), (8), (10), (11), and (12) of subsection (c) do not apply to payments under this subsection.

“(8) REVIEW.—There shall be no administrative or judicial review under section 1116 or otherwise of amounts allotted or redistributed to

States under this subsection, payments to States withheld or reduced under this subsection, or previous payments recovered from States under this subsection.

“(9) HEALTH CARE PROVIDER DEFINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘health care provider’ means a provider of services or supplier who is enrolled under this title, title XVIII, or title XIX.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title XXI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa) is amended—

(1) in section 2101—

(A) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “The purpose” and inserting “Except with respect to the rural health transformation program established in section 2105(h), the purpose”; and

(B) in subsection (b), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “subsection (a) or (g) of” before “section 2105”; and

(2) in section 2105(c)(1), by striking “and may not include” and inserting “or to carry out the rural health transformation program established in subsection (h) and, except in the case of amounts made available under subsection (h), may not include”; and

(3) in section 2106(a)(1), by inserting “subsection (a) or (g) of” before “section 2105”.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services shall implement this section, including the amendments made by this section, by program instruction or other forms of program guidance.

(d) IMPLEMENTATION FUNDING.—For the purposes of carrying out the provisions of, and the amendments made by, this section, there are appropriated, out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2025, to remain available until expended.

Subtitle C—Increase in Debt Limit

SEC. 72001. MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON THE PUBLIC DEBT.

The limitation under section 3101(b) of title 31, United States Code, as most recently increased by section 401(b) of Public Law 118–5 (31 U.S.C. 3101 note), is increased by \$5,000,000,000,000.

Subtitle D—Unemployment

SEC. 73001. ENDING UNEMPLOYMENT PAYMENTS TO JOBLESS MILLIONAIRES.

(a) PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—No Federal funds may be used—

(A) to make payments of unemployment compensation benefits under an unemployment compensation program of the United States in a year to an individual whose wages during the individual's base period are equal to or exceed \$1,000,000; or

(B) for any administrative costs associated with making payments described in subparagraph (A).

(2) COMPLIANCE.—

(A) SELF-CERTIFICATION.—Any application for unemployment compensation under an unemployment compensation program of the United States shall include a form or procedure for an individual applicant to certify that such individual's wages during the individual's base period do not equal or exceed \$1,000,000.

(B) VERIFICATION.—Each State agency that is responsible for administering any unemployment compensation program of the United States shall utilize available systems to verify wage eligibility by assessing claimant income to the degree possible.

(3) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENTS.—Each State agency that is responsible for administering any unemployment compensation program of the United States shall require individuals who have received amounts of unemployment compensation under such a program to which they were not entitled to repay such amounts.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The prohibition under paragraph (1) shall apply to weeks of unemployment beginning on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION PROGRAM OF THE UNITED STATES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “unemployment compensation program of the United States” means—

(1) unemployment compensation for Federal civilian employees under subchapter I of chapter 85 of title 5, United States Code;

(2) unemployment compensation for ex-servicemembers under subchapter II of chapter 85 of title 5, United States Code;

(3) extended benefits under the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note);

(4) any Federal temporary extension of unemployment compensation;

(5) any Federal program that increases the weekly amount of unemployment compensation payable to individuals; and

(6) any other Federal program providing for the payment of unemployment compensation, as determined by the Secretary of Labor.

TITLE VIII—COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS

Subtitle A—Exemption of Certain Assets

SEC. 80001. EXEMPTION OF CERTAIN ASSETS.

(a) **EXEMPTION OF CERTAIN ASSETS.**—Section 480(f)(2) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087vv(f)(2)) is amended—

(1) by striking “net value of the” and inserting the following: “net value of—

“(A) the”;

(2) by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) a family farm on which the family resides;

“(C) a small business with not more than 100 full-time or full-time equivalent employees (or any part of such a small business) that is owned and controlled by the family; or

“(D) a commercial fishing business and related expenses, including fishing vessels and permits owned and controlled by the family.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on July 1, 2026, and shall apply with respect to award year 2026–2027 and each subsequent award year, as determined under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.).

Subtitle B—Loan Limits

SEC. 81001. ESTABLISHMENT OF LOAN LIMITS FOR GRADUATE AND PROFESSIONAL STUDENTS AND PARENT BORROWERS; TERMINATION OF GRADUATE AND PROFESSIONAL PLUS LOANS.

Section 455(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in the paragraph heading, by inserting “AND FEDERAL DIRECT PLUS LOANS” after “LOANS”;

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE INTEREST SUBSIDIZED LOANS TO GRADUATE AND PROFESSIONAL STUDENTS.**—Subject to subparagraph (B), and notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B—

“(i) for any period of instruction beginning on or after July 1, 2012, a graduate or professional student shall not be eligible to receive a Federal Direct Stafford loan under this part; and

“(ii) for any period of instruction beginning on July 1, 2012, and ending on June 30, 2026, the maximum annual amount of Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford loans such a student may borrow in any academic year (as defined in section 481(a)(2)) or its equivalent shall be the maximum annual amount for such student determined under section 428H, plus an amount equal to the amount of Federal Direct Stafford loans the student would have received in the absence of this subparagraph.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE FEDERAL DIRECT PLUS LOANS TO GRADUATE AND**

PROFESSIONAL STUDENTS.—Subject to paragraph (8) and notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B, for any period of instruction beginning on or after July 1, 2026, a graduate or professional student shall not be eligible to receive a Federal Direct PLUS Loan under this part.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) **GRADUATE AND PROFESSIONAL ANNUAL AND AGGREGATE LIMITS FOR FEDERAL DIRECT UNSUBSIDIZED STAFFORD LOANS BEGINNING JULY 1, 2026.**—

“(A) **ANNUAL LIMITS BEGINNING JULY 1, 2026.**—Subject to paragraphs (7)(A) and (8), beginning on July 1, 2026, the maximum annual amount of Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford loans—

“(i) a graduate student, who is not a professional student, may borrow in any academic year or its equivalent shall be \$20,500; and

“(ii) a professional student may borrow in any academic year or its equivalent shall be \$50,000.

“(B) **AGGREGATE LIMITS.**—Subject to paragraphs (6), (7)(A), and (8), beginning on July 1, 2026, the maximum aggregate amount of Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford loans, in addition to the amount borrowed for undergraduate education, that—

“(i) a graduate student—

“(I) who is not (and has not been) a professional student, may borrow for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(i) shall be \$100,000; or

“(II) who is (or has been) a professional student, may borrow for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(i) shall be an amount equal to—

“(aa) \$200,000; minus

“(bb) the amount such student borrowed for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(ii); and

“(ii) a professional student—

“(I) who is not (and has not been) a graduate student, may borrow for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(ii) shall be \$200,000; or

“(II) who is (or has been) a graduate student, may borrow for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(ii) shall be an amount equal to—

“(aa) \$200,000; minus

“(bb) the amount such student borrowed for programs of study described in subparagraph (C)(i).

“(C) **DEFINITIONS.**—

“(i) **GRADUATE STUDENT.**—The term ‘graduate student’ means a student enrolled in a program of study that awards a graduate credential (other than a professional degree) upon completion of the program.

“(ii) **PROFESSIONAL STUDENT.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘professional student’ means a student enrolled in a program of study that awards a professional degree, as defined under section 668.2 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this paragraph), upon completion of the program.

“(5) **PARENT BORROWER ANNUAL AND AGGREGATE LIMITS FOR FEDERAL DIRECT PLUS LOANS BEGINNING JULY 1, 2026.**—

“(A) **ANNUAL LIMITS.**—Subject to paragraph (8) and notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B, beginning on July 1, 2026, for each dependent student, the total maximum annual amount of Federal Direct PLUS loans that may be borrowed on behalf of that dependent student by all parents of that dependent student shall be \$20,000.

“(B) **AGGREGATE LIMITS.**—Subject to paragraph (8) and notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B, beginning on July 1, 2026, for each dependent student, the total maximum aggregate amount of Federal Direct PLUS loans that may be borrowed on behalf of that dependent student by all parents of that dependent student shall be \$65,000, without regard to any amounts repaid, forgiven, canceled, or otherwise discharged on any such loan.

“(6) **LIFETIME MAXIMUM AGGREGATE AMOUNT FOR ALL STUDENTS.**—Subject to paragraph (8) and notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B, beginning on July 1, 2026, the maximum aggregate amount of loans made, insured, or guaranteed under this title that a student may borrow (other than a Federal Direct PLUS loan, or loan under section 428B, made to the student as a parent borrower on behalf of a dependent student) shall be \$257,500, without regard to any amounts repaid, forgiven, canceled, or otherwise discharged on any such loan.

“(7) **ADDITIONAL RULES REGARDING ANNUAL LOAN LIMITS.**—

“(A) **LESS THAN FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT.**—Notwithstanding any provision of this part or part B, in any case in which a student is enrolled in a program of study of an institution of higher education on less than a full-time basis during any academic year, the amount of a loan that student may borrow for an academic year or its equivalent shall be reduced in direct proportion to the degree to which that student is not so enrolled on a full-time basis, rounded to the nearest whole percentage point, as provided in a schedule of reductions published by the Secretary computed for purposes of this subparagraph.

“(B) **INSTITUTIONALLY DETERMINED LIMITS.**—Notwithstanding the annual loan limits established under this section and, for undergraduate students, under this part and part B, beginning on July 1, 2026, an institution of higher education (at the discretion of a financial aid administrator at the institution) may limit the total amount of loans made under this part for a program of study for an academic year that a student may borrow, and that a parent may borrow on behalf of such student, as long as any such limit is applied consistently to all students enrolled in such program of study.

“(8) **INTERIM EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN STUDENTS.**—

“(A) **APPLICATION OF PRIOR LIMITS.**—Paragraphs (3)(C), (4), (5), and (6) shall not apply, and paragraph (3)(A)(ii) shall apply as such paragraph was in effect for periods of instruction ending before June 30, 2026, during the expected time to credential described in subparagraph (B), with respect to an individual who, as of June 30, 2026—

“(i) is enrolled in a program of study at an institution of higher education; and

“(ii) has received a loan (or on whose behalf a loan was made) under this part for such program of study.

“(B) **EXPECTED TIME TO CREDENTIAL.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the expected time to credential of an individual shall be equal to the lesser of—

“(i) three academic years; or

“(ii) the period determined by calculating the difference between—

“(I) the program length for the program of study in which the individual is enrolled; and

“(II) the period of such program of study that such individual has completed as of the date of the determination under this subparagraph.

“(C) **DEFINITION OF PROGRAM LENGTH.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘program length’ means the minimum amount of time in weeks, months, or years that is specified in the catalog, marketing materials, or other official publications of an institution of higher education for a full-time student to complete the requirements for a specific program of study.”.

Subtitle C—Loan Repayment

SEC. 82001. LOAN REPAYMENT.

(a) **TRANSITION TO INCOME-BASED REPAYMENT PLANS.**—

(1) **SELECTION.**—The Secretary of Education shall take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that before July 1, 2028, each borrower who has one or more loans that are in a repayment status in accordance with, or an administrative forbearance associated with, an income contingent repayment plan authorized under section

455(e) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (referred to in this subsection as “covered income contingent loans”) selects one of the following income-based repayment plans that is otherwise applicable, and for which that borrower is otherwise eligible, for the repayment of the covered income contingent loans of the borrower:

(A) The Repayment Assistance Plan under section 455(q) of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

(B) The income-based repayment plan under section 493C of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

(C) Any other repayment plan as authorized under section 455(d)(1) of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

(2) COMMENCEMENT OF NEW REPAYMENT PLAN.—Beginning on July 1, 2028, a borrower described in paragraph (1) shall begin repaying the covered income contingent loans of the borrower in accordance with the repayment plan selected under paragraph (1), unless the borrower chooses to begin repaying in accordance with the repayment plan selected under paragraph (1) before such date.

(3) FAILURE TO SELECT.—In the case of a borrower described in paragraph (1) who fails to select a repayment plan in accordance with such paragraph, the Secretary of Education shall—

(A) enroll the covered income contingent loans of such borrower in—

(i) the Repayment Assistance Plan under section 455(q) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 with respect to loans that are eligible for the Repayment Assistance Plan under such subsection; or

(ii) the income-based repayment plan under section 493C of such Act, with respect to loans that are not eligible for the Repayment Assistance Plan; and

(B) require the borrower to begin repaying covered income contingent loans according to the plans under subparagraph (A) on July 1, 2028.

(b) REPAYMENT PLANS.—Section 455(d) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(d)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “before July 1, 2026, who has not received a loan made under this part on or after July 1, 2026,” after “made under this part”;

(B) in subparagraph (D)—

(i) by inserting “before June 30, 2028,” before “an income contingent repayment plan”; and

(ii) by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(C) in subparagraph (E)—

(i) by striking “that enables borrowers who have a partial financial hardship to make a lower monthly payment”; and

(ii) by striking “a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan, if the proceeds of such loan were used to discharge the liability on such Federal Direct PLUS Loan or a loan under section 428B made on behalf of a dependent student” and inserting “an excepted Consolidation Loan (as defined in section 493C(a)(2))”; and

(iii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(F) beginning on July 1, 2026, the income-based Repayment Assistance Plan under subsection (q), provided that—

“(i) such Plan shall not be available for the repayment of excepted loans (as defined in paragraph (7)(E)); and

“(ii) the borrower is required to pay each outstanding loan of the borrower made under this part under such Repayment Assistance Plan, except that a borrower of an excepted loan (as defined in paragraph (7)(E)) may repay the excepted loan separately from other loans under this part obtained by the borrower.”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by amending subparagraph (B) to read as follows:

“(B) repay the loan pursuant to an income-based repayment plan under subsection (q) or section 493C, as applicable.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) TERMINATION AND LIMITATION OF REPAYMENT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) SUNSET OF REPAYMENT PLANS AVAILABLE BEFORE JULY 1, 2026.—Paragraphs (1) through (4) of this subsection shall only apply to loans made under this part before July 1, 2026.

“(B) PROHIBITIONS.—The Secretary may not, for any loan made under this part on or after July 1, 2026—

“(i) authorize a borrower of such a loan to repay such loan pursuant to a repayment plan that is not described in paragraph (7)(A); or

“(ii) carry out or modify a repayment plan that is not described in such paragraph.

“(7) REPAYMENT PLANS FOR LOANS MADE ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2026.—

“(A) DESIGN AND SELECTION.—Beginning on July 1, 2026, the Secretary shall offer a borrower of a loan made under this part on or after such date (including such a borrower who also has a loan made under this part before such date) two plans for repayment of the borrower’s loans under this part, including principal and interest on such loans. The borrower shall be entitled to accelerate, without penalty, repayment on such loans. The borrower may choose—

“(i) a standard repayment plan—

“(I) with a fixed monthly repayment amount paid over a fixed period of time equal to the applicable period determined under subclause (II); and

“(II) with the applicable period of time for repayment determined based on the total outstanding principal of all loans of the borrower made under this part before, on, or after July 1, 2026, at the time the borrower is entering repayment under such plan, as follows—

“(aa) for a borrower with total outstanding principal of less than \$25,000, a period of 10 years;

“(bb) for a borrower with total outstanding principal of not less than \$25,000 and less than \$50,000, a period of 15 years;

“(cc) for a borrower with total outstanding principal of not less than \$50,000 and less than \$100,000, a period of 20 years; and

“(dd) for a borrower with total outstanding principal of \$100,000 or more, a period of 25 years; or

“(ii) the income-based Repayment Assistance Plan under subsection (q).

“(B) SELECTION BY SECRETARY.—If a borrower of a loan made under this part on or after July 1, 2026, does not select a repayment plan described in subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall provide the borrower with the standard repayment plan described in subparagraph (A)(i).

“(C) SELECTION APPLIES TO ALL OUTSTANDING LOANS.—A borrower is required to pay each outstanding loan of the borrower made under this part under the same selected repayment plan, except that a borrower who selects the Repayment Assistance Plan and also has an excepted loan that is not eligible for repayment under such Repayment Assistance Plan shall repay the excepted loan separately from other loans under this part obtained by the borrower.

“(D) CHANGES OF REPAYMENT PLAN.—A borrower may change the borrower’s selection of—

“(i) the standard repayment plan under subparagraph (A)(i), or the Secretary’s selection of such plan for the borrower under subparagraph (B), as the case may be, to the Repayment Assistance Plan under subparagraph (A)(ii) at any time; and

“(ii) the Repayment Assistance Plan under subparagraph (A)(ii) to the standard repayment plan under subparagraph (A)(i) at any time.

“(E) REPAYMENT FOR BORROWERS WITH EXCEPTED LOANS MADE ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2026.—

“(i) STANDARD REPAYMENT PLAN REQUIRED.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (D), beginning on July 1, 2026, the Secretary shall require a borrower who has received an excepted loan made on or after such date (including such a borrower who also has an excepted loan made before such date) to repay each ex-

cepted loan, including principal and interest on those excepted loans, under the standard repayment plan under subparagraph (A)(i). The borrower shall be entitled to accelerate, without penalty, repayment on such loans.

“(ii) EXCEPTED LOAN DEFINED.—For the purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘excepted loan’ means a loan with an outstanding balance that is—

“(I) a Federal Direct PLUS Loan that is made on behalf of a dependent student; or

“(II) a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan, if the proceeds of such loan were used to discharge the liability on—

“(aa) an excepted PLUS loan, as defined in section 493C(a)(1); or

“(bb) an excepted consolidation loan (as such term is defined in section 493C(a)(2)(A), notwithstanding subparagraph (B) of such section).”.

(c) ELIMINATION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE INCOME CONTINGENT REPAYMENT PLANS.—

(1) REPEAL.—Subsection (e) of section 455 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(e)) is repealed.

(2) FURTHER AMENDMENTS TO ELIMINATE INCOME CONTINGENT REPAYMENT.—

(A) Section 428 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078) is amended—

(i) in subsection (b)(1)(D), by striking “be subject to income contingent repayment in accordance with subsection (m)” and inserting “be subject to income-based repayment in accordance with subsection (m)”; and

(ii) in subsection (m)—

(I) in the subsection heading, by striking “INCOME CONTINGENT AND”; and

(II) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY TO REQUIRE.—The Secretary may require borrowers who have defaulted on loans made under this part that are assigned to the Secretary under subsection (c)(8) to repay those loans pursuant to an income-based repayment plan under section 493C.”; and

(III) in the heading of paragraph (2), by striking “INCOME CONTINGENT OR”.

(B) Section 428C of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078–3) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(3)(B)(i)(V)(aa), by striking “for the purposes of obtaining income contingent repayment or income-based repayment” and inserting “for the purposes of qualifying for an income-based repayment plan under section 455(q) or section 493C, as applicable”; and

(ii) in subsection (b)(5), by striking “be repaid either pursuant to income contingent repayment under part D of this title, pursuant to income-based repayment under section 493C, or pursuant to any other repayment provision under this section” and inserting “be repaid pursuant to an income-based repayment plan under section 493C or any other repayment provision under this section”; and

(iii) in subsection (c)—

(I) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “or by the terms of repayment pursuant to income contingent repayment offered by the Secretary under subsection (b)(5)” and inserting “or by the terms of repayment pursuant to an income-based repayment plan under section 493C”; and

(II) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “except as required by the terms of repayment pursuant to income contingent repayment offered by the Secretary under subsection (b)(5)” and inserting “except as required by the terms of repayment pursuant to an income-based repayment plan under section 493C”.

(C) Section 485(d)(1) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1092(d)(1)) is amended by striking “income-contingent and”.

(D) Section 494(a)(2) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098h(a)(2)) is amended—

(i) in the paragraph heading, by striking “INCOME-CONTINGENT AND INCOME-BASED” and inserting “INCOME-BASED”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (A)—

(I) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “income-contingent or”; and

(II) in clause (ii)(I), by striking “section 455(e)(8) or the equivalent procedures established under section 493C(c)(2)(B), as applicable” and inserting “section 493C(c)(2)”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on July 1, 2028.

(d) REPAYMENT ASSISTANCE PLAN.—Section 455 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) REPAYMENT ASSISTANCE PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, beginning on July 1, 2026, the Secretary shall carry out an income-based repayment plan (to be known as the ‘Repayment Assistance Plan’), that shall have the following terms and conditions:

“(A) The total monthly repayment amount owed by a borrower for all of the loans of the borrower that are repaid pursuant to the Repayment Assistance Plan shall be equal to the applicable monthly payment of a borrower calculated under paragraph (4)(B), except that the borrower may not be precluded from repaying an amount that exceeds such amount for any month.

“(B) The Secretary shall apply the borrower’s applicable monthly payment under this paragraph first toward interest due on each such loan, next toward any fees due on each loan, and then toward the principal of each loan.

“(C) Any principal due and not paid under subparagraph (B) or paragraph (2)(B) shall be deferred.

“(D) A borrower who is not in a period of deferment or forbearance shall make an applicable monthly payment for each month until the earlier of—

“(i) the date on which the outstanding balance of principal and interest due on all of the loans of the borrower that are repaid pursuant to the Repayment Assistance Plan is \$0; or

“(ii) the date on which the borrower has made 360 qualifying monthly payments.

“(E) The Secretary shall cancel any outstanding balance of principal and interest due on a loan made under this part to a borrower—

“(i) who, for any period of time, participated in the Repayment Assistance Plan under this subsection;

“(ii) whose most recent payment for such loan prior to the loan cancellation under this subparagraph was made under such Repayment Assistance Plan; and

“(iii) who has made 360 qualifying monthly payments on such loan.

“(F) For the purposes of this subsection, the term ‘qualifying monthly payment’ means any of the following:

“(i) An on-time applicable monthly payment under this subsection.

“(ii) An on-time monthly payment under the standard repayment plan under subsection (d)(7)(A)(i) of not less than the monthly payment required under such plan.

“(iii) A monthly payment under any repayment plan (excluding the Repayment Assistance Plan under this subsection) of not less than the monthly payment that would be required under a standard repayment plan under section 455(d)(1)(A) with a repayment period of 10 years.

“(iv) A monthly payment under section 493C of not less than the monthly payment required under such section, including a monthly payment equal to the minimum payment amount permitted under such section.

“(v) A monthly payment made before July 1, 2028, under an income contingent repayment plan carried out under section 455(d)(1)(D) (or under an alternative repayment plan in lieu of repayment under such an income contingent repayment plan, if placed in such an alternative repayment plan by the Secretary) of not less than the monthly payment required under such

a plan, including a monthly payment equal to the minimum payment amount permitted under such a plan.

“(vi) A month when the borrower did not make a payment because the borrower was in deferment under subsection (f)(2)(B) or due to an economic hardship described in subsection (f)(2)(D).

“(vii) A month that ended before the date of enactment of this subsection when the borrower did not make a payment because the borrower was in a period of deferment or forbearance described in section 685.209(k)(4)(iv) of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this subsection).

“(G) The procedures established by the Secretary under section 493C(c) shall apply for annually determining the borrower’s eligibility for the Repayment Assistance Plan, including verification of a borrower’s annual income and the annual amount due on the total amount of loans eligible to be repaid under this subsection, and such other procedures as are necessary to effectively implement income-based repayment under this subsection. With respect to carrying out section 494(a)(2) for the Repayment Assistance Plan, an individual may elect to opt out of the disclosures required under section 494(a)(2)(A)(ii) in accordance with the procedures established under section 493C(c)(2).

“(2) BALANCE ASSISTANCE FOR DISTRESSED BORROWERS.—

“(A) INTEREST SUBSIDY.—With respect to a borrower of a loan made under this part, for each month for which such a borrower makes an on-time applicable monthly payment required under paragraph (1)(A) and such monthly payment is insufficient to pay the total amount of interest that accrues for the month on all loans of the borrower repaid pursuant to the Repayment Assistance Plan under this subsection, the amount of interest accrued and not paid for the month shall not be charged to the borrower.

“(B) MATCHING PRINCIPAL PAYMENT.—With respect to a borrower of a loan made under this part and not in a period of deferment or forbearance, for each month for which a borrower makes an on-time applicable monthly payment required under paragraph (1)(A) and such monthly payment reduces the total outstanding principal balance of all loans of the borrower repaid pursuant to the Repayment Assistance Plan under this subsection by less than \$50, the Secretary shall reduce such total outstanding principal balance of the borrower by an amount that is equal to—

“(i) the amount that is the lesser of—

“(I) \$50; or

“(II) the total amount paid by the borrower for such month pursuant to paragraph (1)(A); minus

“(iii) the total amount paid by the borrower for such month pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) that is applied to such total outstanding principal balance.

“(3) ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS.—A borrower who chooses, or is required, to repay a loan under this subsection, and for whom adjusted gross income is unavailable or does not reasonably reflect the borrower’s current income, shall provide to the Secretary other documentation of income satisfactory to the Secretary, which documentation the Secretary may use to determine repayment under this subsection.

“(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME.—The term ‘adjusted gross income’, when used with respect to a borrower, means the adjusted gross income (as such term is defined in section 62 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the borrower (and the borrower’s spouse, as applicable) for the most recent taxable year, except that, in the case of a married borrower who files a separate Federal income tax return, the term does not include the adjusted gross income of the borrower’s spouse.

“(B) APPLICABLE MONTHLY PAYMENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), (iii), or (vi), the term ‘applicable

monthly payment’ means, when used with respect to a borrower, the amount equal to—

“(I) the applicable base payment of the borrower, divided by 12; minus

“(II) \$50 for each dependent of the borrower (which, in the case of a married borrower filing a separate Federal income tax return, shall include only each dependent that the borrower claims on that return).

“(ii) MINIMUM AMOUNT.—In the case of a borrower with an applicable monthly payment amount calculated under clause (i) that is less than \$10, the applicable monthly payment of the borrower shall be \$10.

“(iii) FINAL PAYMENT.—In the case of a borrower whose total outstanding balance of principal and interest on all of the loans of the borrower that are repaid pursuant to the Repayment Assistance Plan is less than the applicable monthly payment calculated pursuant to clause (i) or (ii), as applicable, then the applicable monthly payment of the borrower shall be the total outstanding balance of principal and interest on all such loans.

“(iv) BASE PAYMENT.—The amount of the applicable base payment for a borrower with an adjusted gross income of—

“(I) not more than \$10,000, is \$120;

“(II) more than \$10,000 and not more than \$20,000, is 1 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(III) more than \$20,000 and not more than \$30,000, is 2 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(IV) more than \$30,000 and not more than \$40,000, is 3 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(V) more than \$40,000 and not more than \$50,000, is 4 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(VI) more than \$50,000 and not more than \$60,000, is 5 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(VII) more than \$60,000 and not more than \$70,000, is 6 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(VIII) more than \$70,000 and not more than \$80,000, is 7 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(IX) more than \$80,000 and not more than \$90,000, is 8 percent of such adjusted gross income;

“(X) more than \$90,000 and not more than \$100,000, is 9 percent of such adjusted gross income; and

“(XI) more than \$100,000, is 10 percent of such adjusted gross income.

“(v) DEPENDENT.—For the purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘dependent’ means an individual who is a dependent under section 152 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(vi) SPECIAL RULE.—In the case of a borrower who is required by the Secretary to provide information to the Secretary to determine the applicable monthly payment of the borrower under this subparagraph, and who does not comply with such requirement, the applicable monthly payment of the borrower shall be—

“(I) the sum of the monthly payment amounts the borrower would have paid for each of the borrower’s loans made under this part under a standard repayment plan with a fixed monthly repayment amount, paid over a period of 10 years, based on the outstanding principal due on such loan when such loan entered repayment; and

“(II) determined pursuant to this clause until the date on which the borrower provides such information to the Secretary.”.

(e) FEDERAL CONSOLIDATION LOANS.—Section 455(g) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(g)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) CONSOLIDATION LOANS MADE ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2026.—A Federal Direct Consolidation Loan offered to a borrower under this part on or after July 1, 2026, may only be repaid pursuant to a repayment plan described in clause (i) or

(ii) of subsection (d)(7)(A) of this section, as applicable, and the repayment schedule of such a Consolidation Loan shall be determined in accordance with such repayment plan.”.

(f) INCOME-BASED REPAYMENT.—

(1) AMENDMENTS.—

(A) EXCEPTED CONSOLIDATION LOAN DEFINED.—Section 493C(a)(2) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098e(a)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) EXCEPTED CONSOLIDATION LOAN.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘excepted consolidation loan’ means—

“(i) a consolidation loan under section 428C, or a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan, if the proceeds of such loan were used to discharge the liability on an excepted PLUS loan; or

“(ii) a consolidation loan under section 428C, or a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan, if the proceeds of such loan were used to discharge the liability on a consolidation loan under section 428C, or a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan described in clause (i).

“(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘excepted consolidation loan’ does not include a Federal Direct Consolidation Loan described in subparagraph (A) that, on any date during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this subparagraph and ending on June 30, 2028, was being repaid—

“(i) pursuant to the Income Contingent Repayment (ICR) plan in accordance with section 685.209(b) of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on June 30, 2023); or

“(ii) pursuant to another income driven repayment plan.”.

(B) TERMINATION OF PARTIAL FINANCIAL HARDSHIP ELIGIBILITY.—Section 493C(a)(3) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098e(a)(3)) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—The term ‘applicable amount’ means 15 percent of the result obtained by calculating, on at least an annual basis, the amount by which—

“(A) the borrower’s, and the borrower’s spouse’s (if applicable), adjusted gross income; exceeds

“(B) 150 percent of the poverty line applicable to the borrower’s family size as determined under section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)).”.

(C) TERMS OF INCOME-BASED REPAYMENT.—Section 493C(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098e(b)) is amended—

(i) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) a borrower of any loan made, insured, or guaranteed under part B or D (other than an excepted PLUS loan or excepted consolidation loan), may elect to have the borrower’s aggregate monthly payment for all such loans not exceed the applicable amount divided by 12;”;

(ii) by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) if the monthly payment amount calculated under this section for all loans made to the borrower under part B or D (other than an excepted PLUS loan or excepted consolidation loan) exceeds the monthly amount calculated under section 428(b)(9)(A)(i) or 455(d)(1)(A), based on a 10-year repayment period, when the borrower first made the election described in this subsection (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘standard monthly repayment amount’), or if the borrower no longer wishes to continue the election under this subsection, then—

“(A) the maximum monthly payment required to be paid for all loans made to the borrower under part B or D (other than an excepted PLUS loan or excepted consolidation loan) shall be the standard monthly repayment amount; and

“(B) the amount of time the borrower is permitted to repay such loans may exceed 10 years;”;

(iii) in paragraph (7)(B)(iv), by inserting “(as such section was in effect on the day before the date of the repeal of section 455(e))” after “section 455(d)(1)(D)”; and

(iv) in paragraph (8), by inserting “or the Repayment Assistance Program under section 455(q)” after “standard repayment plan”.

(D) ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS.—Section 493C(c) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098e(c)) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS; AUTOMATIC RECERTIFICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish procedures for annually determining, in accordance with paragraph (2), the borrower’s eligibility for income-based repayment, including the verification of a borrower’s annual income and the annual amount due on the total amount of loans made, insured, or guaranteed under part B or D (other than an excepted PLUS loan or excepted consolidation loan), and such other procedures as are necessary to effectively implement income-based repayment under this section. The Secretary shall consider, but is not limited to, the procedures established in accordance with section 455(e)(1) (as in effect on the day before the date of repeal of subsection (e) of section 455) or in connection with income sensitive repayment schedules under section 428(b)(9)(A)(iii) or 428C(b)(1)(E).

“(2) AUTOMATIC RECERTIFICATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish and implement, with respect to any borrower enrolled in an income-based repayment program under this section or under section 455(q), procedures to—

“(i) use return information disclosed under section 6103(l)(13) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, pursuant to approval provided under section 494, to determine the repayment obligation of the borrower without further action by the borrower;

“(ii) allow the borrower (or the spouse of the borrower), at any time, to opt out of disclosure under such section 6103(l)(13) and instead provide such information as the Secretary may require to determine the repayment obligation of the borrower (or withdraw from the repayment plan under this section or under section 455(q), as the case may be); and

“(iii) provide the borrower with an opportunity to update the return information so disclosed before the determination of the repayment obligation of the borrower.

“(B) APPLICABILITY.—Subparagraph (A) shall apply to each borrower of a loan eligible to be repaid under this section or under section 455(q), who, on or after the date on which the Secretary establishes procedures under such subparagraph (A)—

“(i) selects, or is required to repay such loan pursuant to, an income-based repayment plan under this section or under section 455(q); or

“(ii) recertifies income or family size under such plan.”.

(E) SPECIAL TERMS FOR NEW BORROWERS ON AND AFTER JULY 1, 2014.—Section 493C(e) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1098e(e)) is amended—

(i) in the subsection heading, by inserting “AND BEFORE JULY 1, 2026” after “AFTER JULY 1, 2014”; and

(ii) by inserting “and before July 1, 2026” after “after July 1, 2014”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on the date of enactment of this title, and shall apply with respect to any borrower who is in repayment before, on, or after the date of enactment of this title.

(g) FFEL ADJUSTMENT.—Section 428(b)(9)(A)(v) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078(b)(9)(A)(v)) is amended by striking “who has a partial financial hardship”.

SEC. 82002. DEFERMENT; FORBEARANCE.

(a) SUNSET OF ECONOMIC HARDSHIP AND UNEMPLOYMENT DEFERMENTS.—Section 455(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(f)) is amended—

(1) by striking the subsection heading and inserting the following: “DEFERMENT; FORBEARANCE”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “not in” and inserting “subject to paragraph (7), not in”; and

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking “not in” and inserting “subject to paragraph (7), not in”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) SUNSET OF UNEMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DEFERMENTS.—A borrower who receives a loan made under this part on or after July 1, 2027, shall not be eligible to defer such loan under subparagraph (B) or (D) of paragraph (2).”.

(b) FORBEARANCE ON LOANS MADE UNDER THIS PART ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2027.—Section 455(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(f)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(8) FORBEARANCE ON LOANS MADE UNDER THIS PART ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2027.—A borrower who receives a loan made under this part on or after July 1, 2027, may only be eligible for a forbearance on such loan pursuant to section 428(c)(3)(B) that does not exceed 9 months during any 24-month period.”.

SEC. 82003. LOAN REHABILITATION.

(a) UPDATING LOAN REHABILITATION LIMITS.—

(1) FFEL AND DIRECT LOANS.—Section 428F(a)(5) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-6(a)(5)) is amended by striking “one time” and inserting “two times”.

(2) PERKINS LOANS.—Section 464(h)(1)(D) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087dd(h)(1)(D)) is amended by striking “once” and inserting “twice”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect beginning on July 1, 2027, and shall apply with respect to any loan made, insured, or guaranteed under title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070 et seq.).

(b) MINIMUM MONTHLY PAYMENT AMOUNT.—Section 428F(a)(1)(B) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-6(a)(1)(B)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “With respect to a borrower who has 1 or more loans made under part D on or after July 1, 2027 that are described in subparagraph (A), the total monthly payment of the borrower for all such loans shall not be less than \$10.”.

SEC. 82004. PUBLIC SERVICE LOAN FORGIVENESS.

Section 455(m)(1)(A) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(m)(1)(A)) is amended—

(1) in clause (iii), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in clause (iv), by striking “; and” and inserting “(as in effect on the day before the date of the repeal of subsection (e) of this section); or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(v) on-time payments under the Repayment Assistance Plan under subsection (q); and”.

SEC. 82005. STUDENT LOAN SERVICING.

Paragraph (1) of section 458(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087h(a)(1)) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) ADDITIONAL MANDATORY FUNDS FOR SERVICING.—There shall be available to the Secretary (in addition to any other amounts appropriated under any appropriations Act for administrative costs under this part and part B and out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated) \$1,000,000,000 to be obligated for administrative costs under this part and part B, including the costs of servicing the direct student loan programs under this part, which shall remain available until expended.”.

Subtitle D—Pell Grants

SEC. 83001. ELIGIBILITY.

(a) FOREIGN INCOME AND FEDERAL PELL GRANT ELIGIBILITY.—

(1) ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME DEFINED.—Section 401(a)(2)(A) of the Higher Education Act of 1965

(20 U.S.C. 1070a(a)(2)(A)) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) the term ‘adjusted gross income’ means—
“(i) in the case of a dependent student, for the second tax year preceding the academic year—

“(I) the adjusted gross income (as defined in section 62 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the student’s parents; plus

“(II) for Federal Pell Grant determinations made for academic years beginning on or after July 1, 2026, the foreign income (as described in section 480(b)(5)) of the student’s parents; and

“(ii) in the case of an independent student, for the second tax year preceding the academic year—

“(I) the adjusted gross income (as defined in section 62 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the student (and the student’s spouse, if applicable); plus

“(II) for Federal Pell Grant determinations made for academic years beginning on or after July 1, 2026, the foreign income (as described in section 480(b)(5)) of the student (and the student’s spouse, if applicable);”.

(2) **SUNSET.**—Section 401(b)(1)(D) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a(b)(1)(D)) is amended—

(A) by striking “A student” and inserting “For each academic year beginning before July 1, 2026, a student”; and

(B) by inserting “, as in effect for such academic year,” after “section 479A(b)(1)(B)(v)”.

(3) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 479A(b)(1)(B) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087tt(b)(1)(B)) is amended—

(i) by striking clause (v); and

(ii) by redesignating clauses (vi) and (vii) as clauses (v) and (vi), respectively.

(B) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subparagraph (A) shall take effect on July 1, 2026.

(b) **FEDERAL PELL GRANT INELIGIBILITY DUE TO A HIGH STUDENT AID INDEX.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 401(b)(1) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a(b)(1)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(F) **INELIGIBILITY OF STUDENTS WITH A HIGH STUDENT AID INDEX.**—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (E), a student shall not be eligible for a Federal Pell Grant under this subsection for an academic year in which the student has a student aid index that equals or exceeds twice the amount of the total maximum Federal Pell Grant for such academic year.”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall take effect on July 1, 2026.

SEC. 83002. WORKFORCE PELL GRANTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 401 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(k) **WORKFORCE PELL GRANT PROGRAM.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For the award year beginning on July 1, 2026, and each subsequent award year, the Secretary shall award grants (to be known as ‘Workforce Pell Grants’) to eligible students under paragraph (2) in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) **ELIGIBLE STUDENTS.**—To be eligible to receive a Workforce Pell Grant under this subsection for any period of enrollment, a student shall meet the eligibility requirements for a Federal Pell Grant under this section, except that the student—

“(A) shall be enrolled, or accepted for enrollment, in an eligible program under section 481(b)(3) (hereinafter referred to as an ‘eligible workforce program’); and

“(B) may not—

“(i) be enrolled, or accepted for enrollment, in a program of study that leads to a graduate credential; or

“(ii) have attained such a credential.

“(3) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF AWARDS.**—The Secretary shall award Workforce Pell Grants

under this subsection in the same manner and with the same terms and conditions as the Secretary awards Federal Pell Grants under this section, except that—

“(A) each use of the term ‘eligible program’ (except in subsection (b)(9)(A)) shall be substituted by ‘eligible workforce program under section 481(b)(3)’;

“(B) the provisions of subsection (d)(2) shall not be applicable to eligible workforce programs; and

“(C) a student who is eligible for a grant equal to less than the amount of the minimum Federal Pell Grant because the eligible workforce program in which the student is enrolled or accepted for enrollment is less than an academic year (in hours of instruction or weeks of duration) may still be eligible for a Workforce Pell Grant in an amount that is prorated based on the length of the program.

“(4) **PREVENTION OF DOUBLE BENEFITS.**—No eligible student described in paragraph (2) may concurrently receive a grant under both this subsection and—

“(A) subsection (b); or

“(B) subsection (c).

“(5) **DURATION LIMIT.**—Any period of study covered by a Workforce Pell Grant awarded under this subsection shall be included in determining a student’s duration limit under subsection (d)(5).”.

(b) **PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY FOR WORKFORCE PELL GRANTS.**—Section 481(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1088(b)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3)(A) A program is an eligible program for purposes of the Workforce Pell Grant program under section 401(k) only if—

“(i) it is a program of at least 150 clock hours of instruction, but less than 600 clock hours of instruction, or an equivalent number of credit hours, offered by an eligible institution during a minimum of 8 weeks, but less than 15 weeks;

“(ii) it is not offered as a correspondence course, as defined in 600.2 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on July 1, 2021);

“(iii) the Governor of a State, after consultation with the State board, determines that the program—

“(I) provides an education aligned with the requirements of high-skill, high-wage (as identified by the State pursuant to section 122 of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act (20 U.S.C. 2342)), or in-demand industry sectors or occupations;

“(II) meets the hiring requirements of potential employers in the sectors or occupations described in subclause (I);

“(III) either—

“(aa) leads to a recognized postsecondary credential that is stackable and portable across more than one employer; or

“(bb) with respect to students enrolled in the program—

“(AA) prepares such students for employment in an occupation for which there is only one recognized postsecondary credential; and

“(BB) provides such students with such a credential upon completion of such program; and

“(IV) prepares students to pursue 1 or more certificate or degree programs at 1 or more institutions of higher education (which may include the eligible institution providing the program), including by ensuring—

“(aa) that a student, upon completion of the program and enrollment in such a related certificate or degree program, will receive academic credit for the Workforce Pell program that will be accepted toward meeting such certificate or degree program requirements; and

“(bb) the acceptability of such credit toward meeting such certificate or degree program requirements; and

“(iv) after the Governor of such State makes the determination that the program meets the requirements under clause (iii), the Secretary determines that—

“(I) the program has been offered by the eligible institution for not less than 1 year prior to the date on which the Secretary makes a determination under this clause;

“(II) for each award year, the program has a verified completion rate of at least 70 percent, within 150 percent of the normal time for completion;

“(III) for each award year, the program has a verified job placement rate of at least 70 percent, measured 180 days after completion; and

“(IV) for each award year, the total amount of the published tuition and fees of the program for such year is an amount that does not exceed the value-added earnings of students who received Federal financial aid under this title and who completed the program 3 years prior to the award year, as such earnings are determined by calculating the difference between—

“(aa) the median earnings of such students, as adjusted by the State and metropolitan area regional price parities of the Bureau of Economic Analysis based on the location of such program; and

“(bb) 150 percent of the poverty line applicable to a single individual as determined under section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)) for such year.

“(B) In this paragraph:

“(i) The term ‘eligible institution’ means an eligible institution for purposes of section 401.

“(ii) The term ‘Governor’ means the chief executive of a State.

“(iii) The terms ‘in-demand industry sector or occupation’, ‘recognized postsecondary credential’, and ‘State board’ have the meanings given such terms in section 3 of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on July 1, 2026, and shall apply with respect to award year 2026–2027 and each succeeding award year.

SEC. 83003. PELL SHORTFALL.

Section 401(b)(7)(A)(iii) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a(b)(7)(A)(iii)) is amended by striking “\$2,170,000,000” and inserting “\$12,670,000,000”.

SEC. 83004. FEDERAL PELL GRANT EXCLUSION RELATING TO OTHER GRANT AID.

Section 401(d) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) **EXCLUSION.**—Beginning on July 1, 2026, and notwithstanding this subsection or subsection (b), a student shall not be eligible for a Federal Pell Grant under subsection (b) during any period for which the student receives grant aid from non-Federal sources, including States, institutions of higher education, or private sources, in an amount that equals or exceeds the student’s cost of attendance for such period.”.

Subtitle E—Accountability

SEC. 84001. INELIGIBILITY BASED ON LOW EARNING OUTCOMES.

Section 454 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087d) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) provide assurances that, beginning July 1, 2026, the institution will comply with all requirements of subsection (c); and”;

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “and (6)” and inserting “(6), and (7)”;

(3) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(4) by inserting after subsection (b) the following:

“(c) **INELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN PROGRAMS BASED ON LOW EARNING OUTCOMES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 481(b), an institution of higher education subject to this subsection shall not use funds under this part for student enrollment in an educational program offered by the institution that is described in paragraph (2).

“(2) **LOW-EARNING OUTCOME PROGRAMS DESCRIBED.**—An educational program at an institution is described in this paragraph if the program awards an undergraduate degree, graduate or professional degree, or graduate certificate, for which the median earnings (as determined by the Secretary) of the programmatic cohort of students who received funds under this title for enrollment in such program, who completed such program during the academic year that is 4 years before the year of the determination, who are not enrolled in any institution of higher education, and who are working, are, for not less than 2 of the 3 years immediately preceding the date of the determination, less than the median earnings of a working adult described in paragraph (3) for the corresponding year.

“(3) **CALCULATION OF MEDIAN EARNINGS.**—

“(A) **WORKING ADULT.**—For purposes of applying paragraph (2) to an educational program at an institution, a working adult described in this paragraph is a working adult who, for the corresponding year—

“(i) is aged 25 to 34;

“(ii) is not enrolled in an institution of higher education; and

“(iii) (I) in the case of a determination made for an educational program that awards a baccalaureate or lesser degree, has only a high school diploma or its recognized equivalent; or

“(II) in the case of a determination made for a graduate or professional program, has only a baccalaureate degree.

“(B) **SOURCE OF DATA.**—For purposes of applying paragraph (2) to an educational program at an institution, the median earnings of a working adult, as described in subparagraph (A), shall be based on data from the Bureau of the Census—

“(i) with respect to an educational program that awards a baccalaureate or lesser degree—

“(I) for the State in which the institution is located; or

“(II) if fewer than 50 percent of the students enrolled in the institution reside in the State where the institution is located, for the entire United States; and

“(ii) with respect to an educational program that is a graduate or professional program—

“(I) for the lowest median earnings of—

“(aa) a working adult in the State in which the institution is located;

“(bb) a working adult in the same field of study (as determined by the Secretary, such as by using the 2-digit CIP code) in the State in which the institution is located; and

“(cc) a working adult in the same field of study (as so determined) in the entire United States; or

“(II) if fewer than 50 percent of the students enrolled in the institution reside in the State where the institution is located, for the lower median earnings of—

“(aa) a working adult in the entire United States; or

“(bb) a working adult in the same field of study (as so determined) in the entire United States.

“(4) **SMALL PROGRAMMATIC COHORTS.**—For any year for which the programmatic cohort described in paragraph (2) for an educational program of an institution is fewer than 30 individuals, the Secretary shall—

“(A) first, aggregate additional years of programmatic data in order to achieve a cohort of at least 30 individuals; and

“(B) second, in cases in which the cohort (including the individuals added under subparagraph (A)) is still fewer than 30 individuals, ag-

gregate additional cohort years of programmatic data for educational programs of equivalent length in order to achieve a cohort of at least 30 individuals.

“(5) **APPEALS PROCESS.**—An educational program shall not lose eligibility under this subsection unless the institution has had the opportunity to appeal the programmatic median earnings of students working and not enrolled determination under paragraph (2), through a process established by the Secretary. During such appeal, the Secretary may permit the educational program to continue to participate in the program under this part.

“(6) **NOTICE TO STUDENTS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If an educational program of an institution of higher education subject to this subsection does not meet the cohort median earnings requirements, as described in paragraph (2), for one year during the applicable covered period but has not yet failed to meet such requirements for 2 years during such covered period, the institution shall promptly inform each student enrolled in the educational program of the eligible program's low cohort median earnings and that the educational program is at risk of losing its eligibility for funds under this part.

“(B) **COVERED PERIOD.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘covered period’ means the period of the 3 years immediately preceding the date of a determination made under paragraph (2).

“(7) **REGAINING PROGRAMMATIC ELIGIBILITY.**—The Secretary shall establish a process by which an institution of higher education that has an educational program that has lost eligibility under this subsection may, after a period of not less than 2 years of such program's ineligibility, apply to regain such eligibility, subject to the requirements established by the Secretary that further the purpose of this subsection.”.

Subtitle F—Regulatory Relief

SEC. 85001. DELAY OF RULE RELATING TO BORROWER DEFENSE TO REPAYMENT.

(a) **DELAY.**—Beginning on the date of enactment of this section, for loans that first originate before July 1, 2035, the provisions of subpart D of part 685 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to borrower defense to repayment), as added or amended by the final regulations published by the Department of Education on November 1, 2022, and titled “Institutional Eligibility Under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as Amended; Student Assistance General Provisions; Federal Perkins Loan Program; Federal Family Education Loan Program; and William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program” (87 Fed. Reg. 65904) shall not be in effect.

(b) **EFFECT.**—Beginning on the date of enactment of this section, with respect to loans that first originate before July 1, 2035, any regulations relating to borrower defense to repayment that took effect on July 1, 2020, are restored and revived as such regulations were in effect on such date.

SEC. 85002. DELAY OF RULE RELATING TO CLOSED SCHOOL DISCHARGES.

(a) **DELAY.**—Beginning on the date of enactment of this section, for loans that first originate before July 1, 2035, the provisions of sections 674.33(g), 682.402(d), and 685.214 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to closed school discharges), as added or amended by the final regulations published by the Department of Education on November 1, 2022, and titled “Institutional Eligibility Under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as Amended; Student Assistance General Provisions; Federal Perkins Loan Program; Federal Family Education Loan Program; and William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program” (87 Fed. Reg. 65904), shall not be in effect.

(b) **EFFECT.**—Beginning on the date of enactment of this section, with respect to loans that first originate before July 1, 2035, the portions of the Code of Federal Regulations described in subsection (a) and amended by the final regulations described in subsection (a) shall be in ef-

fect as if the amendments made by such final regulations had not been made.

Subtitle G—Garden of Heroes

SEC. 86001. GARDEN OF HEROES.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the National Endowment for the Humanities for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available through fiscal year 2028, \$40,000,000 for the procurement of statues as described in Executive Order 13934 (85 Fed. Reg. 41165; relating to building and rebuilding monuments to American heroes), Executive Order 13978 (86 Fed. Reg. 6809; relating to building the National Garden of American Heroes), and Executive Order 14189 (90 Fed. Reg. 8849; relating to celebrating America's birthday).

Subtitle H—Office of Refugee Resettlement

SEC. 87001. POTENTIAL SPONSOR VETTING FOR UNACCOMPANIED ALIEN CHILDREN APPROPRIATION.

(a) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Office of Refugee Resettlement for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$300,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2028, for the purposes described in subsection (b).

(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—The funds made available under subsection (a) may only be used for the Office of Refugee Resettlement to support costs associated with—

(1) background checks on potential sponsors, which shall include—

(A) the name of the potential sponsor and of all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household;

(B) the social security number or tax payer identification number of the potential sponsor and of all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household;

(C) the date of birth of the potential sponsor and of all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household;

(D) the validated location of the residence at which the unaccompanied alien child will be placed;

(E) an in-person or virtual interview with, and suitability study concerning, the potential sponsor and all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household;

(F) contact information for the potential sponsor and for all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household; and

(G) the results of all background and criminal records checks for the potential sponsor and for all adult residents of the potential sponsor's household, which shall include, at a minimum, an investigation of the public records sex offender registry, a public records background check, and a national criminal history check based on fingerprints;

(2) home studies of potential sponsors of unaccompanied alien children;

(3) determining whether an unaccompanied alien child poses a danger to self or others by conducting an examination of the unaccompanied alien child for gang-related tattoos and other gang-related markings and covering such tattoos or markings while the child is in the care of the Office of Refugee Resettlement;

(4) data systems improvement and sharing that supports the health, safety, and well being of unaccompanied alien children by determining the appropriateness of potential sponsors of unaccompanied alien children and of adults residing in the household of the potential sponsor and by assisting with the identification and investigation of child labor exploitation and child trafficking; and

(5) coordinating and communicating with State child welfare agencies regarding the placement of unaccompanied alien children in such States by the Office of Refugee Resettlement.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **POTENTIAL SPONSOR.**—The term “potential sponsor” means an individual or entity who applies for the custody of an unaccompanied alien child.

(2) **UNACCOMPANIED ALIEN CHILD.**—The term “unaccompanied alien child” has the meaning given such term in section 462(g) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 279(g)).

TITLE IX—COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
Subtitle A—Homeland Security Provisions
SEC. 90001. BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE AND WALL SYSTEM.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$46,550,000,000 for necessary expenses relating to the following elements of the border infrastructure and wall system:

(1) Construction, installation, or improvement of new or replacement primary, waterborne, and secondary barriers.

(2) Access roads.

(3) Barrier system attributes, including cameras, lights, sensors, and other detection technology.

(4) Any work necessary to prepare the ground at or near the border to allow U.S. Customs and Border Protection to conduct its operations, including the construction and maintenance of the barrier system.

SEC. 90002. U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION PERSONNEL, FLEET VEHICLES, AND FACILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the following:

(1) **PERSONNEL.**—\$4,100,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, to hire and train additional Border Patrol agents, Office of Field Operations officers, Air and Marine agents, rehired annuitants, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection field support personnel.

(2) **RETENTION, HIRING, AND PERFORMANCE BONUSES.**—\$2,052,630,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, to provide recruitment bonuses, performance awards, or annual retention bonuses to eligible Border Patrol agents, Office of Field Operations officers, and Air and Marine agents.

(3) **VEHICLES.**—\$855,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for the repair of existing patrol units and the lease or acquisition of additional patrol units.

(4) **FACILITIES.**—\$5,000,000,000 for necessary expenses relating to lease, acquisition, construction, design, or improvement of facilities and checkpoints owned, leased, or operated by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

(b) **RESTRICTION.**—None of the funds made available by subsection (a) may be used to recruit, hire, or train personnel for the duties of processing coordinators after October 31, 2028.

SEC. 90003. DETENTION CAPACITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any amounts otherwise appropriated, there is appropriated to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$45,000,000,000, for single adult alien detention capacity and family residential center capacity.

(b) **DURATION AND STANDARDS.**—Aliens may be detained at family residential centers, as described in subsection (a), pending a decision, under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.), on whether the aliens are to be removed from the United States and, if such aliens are ordered removed from the United States, until such aliens are removed. The detention standards for the single adult detention capacity described in subsection (a) shall be set in the discretion of the Secretary of Homeland Security, consistent with applicable law.

(c) **DEFINITION OF FAMILY RESIDENTIAL CENTER.**—In this section, the term “family residen-

tial center” means a facility used by the Department of Homeland Security to detain family units of aliens (including alien children who are not unaccompanied alien children (as defined in section 462(g) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 279(g))) who are encountered or apprehended by the Department of Homeland Security.

SEC. 90004. BORDER SECURITY, TECHNOLOGY, AND SCREENING.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, \$6,168,000,000 for the following:

(1) Procurement and integration of new non-intrusive inspection equipment and associated civil works, including artificial intelligence, machine learning, and other innovative technologies, as well as other mission support, to combat the entry or exit of illicit narcotics at ports of entry and along the southwest, northern, and maritime borders.

(2) Air and Marine operations’ upgrading and procurement of new platforms for rapid air and marine response capabilities.

(3) Upgrades and procurement of border surveillance technologies along the southwest, northern, and maritime borders.

(4) Necessary expenses, including the deployment of technology, relating to the biometric entry and exit system under section 7208 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (8 U.S.C. 1365b).

(5) Screening persons entering or exiting the United States.

(6) Initial screenings of unaccompanied alien children (as defined in section 462(g) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 279(g))), consistent with the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–457; 122 Stat. 5044).

(7) Enhancing border security by combating drug trafficking, including fentanyl and its precursor chemicals, at the southwest, northern, and maritime borders.

(8) Commemorating efforts and events related to border security.

(b) **RESTRICTIONS.**—None of the funds made available under subsection (a) may be used for the procurement or deployment of surveillance towers along the southwest border and northern border that have not been tested and accepted by U.S. Customs and Border Protection to deliver autonomous capabilities.

(c) **DEFINITION OF AUTONOMOUS.**—In this section, with respect to capabilities, the term “autonomous” means a system designed to apply artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer vision, or other algorithms to accurately detect, identify, classify, and track items of interest in real time such that the system can make operational adjustments without the active engagement of personnel or continuous human command or control.

SEC. 90005. STATE AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) **STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAMS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to remain available until September 30, 2029, to be administered under the State Homeland Security Grant Program authorized under section 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 605), to enhance State, local, and Tribal security through grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other activities—

(A) \$500,000,000 for State and local capabilities to detect, identify, track, or monitor threats from unmanned aircraft systems (as such term is defined in section 44801 of title 49, United States Code), consistent with titles 18 and 49 of the United States Code;

(B) \$625,000,000 for security and other costs related to the 2026 FIFA World Cup;

(C) \$1,000,000,000 for security, planning, and other costs related to the 2028 Olympics; and

(D) \$450,000,000 for the Operation Stonegarden Grant Program.

(2) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—None of the funds made available under subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1) shall be subject to the requirements of section 2004(e)(1) or section 2008(a)(12) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 605(e)(1), 609(a)(12)).

(b) **STATE BORDER SECURITY REINFORCEMENT FUND.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established, in the Department of Homeland Security, a fund to be known as the “State Border Security Reinforcement Fund.”

(2) **PURPOSES.**—The Secretary of Homeland Security shall use amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Fund for grants to eligible States and units of local government for any of the following purposes:

(A) Construction or installation of a border wall, border fencing or other barrier, or buoys along the southern border of the United States, which may include planning, procurement of materials, and personnel costs related to such construction or installation.

(B) Any work necessary to prepare the ground at or near land borders to allow construction and maintenance of a border wall or other barrier fencing.

(C) Detection and interdiction of illicit substances and aliens who have unlawfully entered the United States and have committed a crime under Federal, State, or local law, and transfer or referral of such aliens to the Department of Homeland Security as provided by law.

(D) Relocation of aliens who are unlawfully present in the United States from small population centers to other domestic locations.

(3) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available for the purposes described in paragraph (2), there is appropriated for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to the Department of Homeland Security for the State Border Security Reinforcement Fund established by paragraph (1), \$10,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2034, for qualified expenses for such purposes.

(4) **ELIGIBILITY.**—The Secretary of Homeland Security may provide grants from the fund established by paragraph (1) to State agencies and units of local governments for expenditures made for completed, ongoing, or new activities, in accordance with law, that occurred on or after January 20, 2021.

(5) **APPLICATION.**—Each State desiring to apply for a grant under this subsection shall submit an application to the Secretary containing such information in support of the application as the Secretary may require. The Secretary shall require that each State include in its application the purposes for which the State seeks the funds and a description of how the State plans to allocate the funds. The Secretary shall begin to accept applications not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(6) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—Nothing in this subsection shall authorize any State or local government to exercise immigration or border security authorities reserved exclusively to the Federal Government under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.) or the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 101 et seq.). The Federal Emergency Management Agency may use not more than 1 percent of the funds made available under this subsection for the purpose of administering grants provided for in this section.

SEC. 90006. PRESIDENTIAL RESIDENCE PROTECTION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency for fiscal year 2025, out of any

money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$300,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for the reimbursement of extraordinary law enforcement personnel costs for protection activities directly and demonstrably associated with any residence of the President designated pursuant to section 3 or 4 of the Presidential Protection Assistance Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-524; 18 U.S.C. 3056 note) to be secured by the United States Secret Service.

(b) **AVAILABILITY.**—Funds appropriated under this section shall be available only for costs that a State or local agency—

(1) incurred or incurs on or after July 1, 2024; and

(2) demonstrates to the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency as being—

(A) in excess of typical law enforcement operation costs;

(B) directly attributable to the provision of protection described in this section; and

(C) associated with a nongovernmental property designated pursuant to section 3 or 4 of the Presidential Protection Assistance Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-524; 18 U.S.C. 3056 note) to be secured by the United States Secret Service; and

(3) certifies to the Administrator as compensating protection activities requested by the United States Secret Service.

(c) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Federal Emergency Management Agency may use not more than 3 percent of the funds made available under this section for the purpose of administering grants provided for in this section.

SEC. 90007. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS FOR BORDER SUPPORT.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there are appropriated to the Secretary of Homeland Security for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$10,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for reimbursement of costs incurred in undertaking activities in support of the Department of Homeland Security's mission to safeguard the borders of the United States.

Subtitle B—Governmental Affairs Provisions

SEC. 90101. FEHB IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This section may be cited as the “FEHB Protection Act of 2025”.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **DIRECTOR.**—The term “Director” means the Director of the Office of Personnel Management.

(2) **HEALTH BENEFITS PLAN; MEMBER OF FAMILY.**—The terms “health benefits plan” and “member of family” have the meanings given those terms in section 8901 of title 5, United States Code.

(3) **OPEN SEASON.**—The term “open season” means an open season described in section 890.301(f) of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation.

(4) **PROGRAM.**—The term “Program” means the health insurance programs carried out under chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code, including the program carried out under section 8903c of that title.

(5) **QUALIFYING LIFE EVENT.**—The term “qualifying life event” has the meaning given the term in section 892.101 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation.

(c) **VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall issue regulations and implement a process to verify—

(1) the veracity of any qualifying life event through which an enrollee in the Program seeks to add a member of family with respect to the enrollee to a health benefits plan under the Program; and

(2) that, when an enrollee in the Program seeks to add a member of family with respect to the enrollee to the health benefits plan of the enrollee under the Program, including during any open season, the individual so added is a

qualifying member of family with respect to the enrollee.

(d) **FRAUD RISK ASSESSMENT.**—In any fraud risk assessment conducted with respect to the Program on or after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall include an assessment of individuals who are enrolled in, or covered under, a health benefits plan under the Program even though those individuals are not eligible to be so enrolled or covered.

(e) **FAMILY MEMBER ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION AUDIT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—During the 3-year period beginning on the date that is 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall carry out a comprehensive audit regarding members of family who are covered under an enrollment in a health benefits plan under the Program.

(2) **CONTENTS.**—With respect to the audit carried out under paragraph (1), the Director shall review marriage certificates, birth certificates, and other appropriate documents that are necessary to determine eligibility to enroll in a health benefits plan under the Program.

(f) **DISENROLLMENT OR REMOVAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall develop a process by which any individual enrolled in, or covered under, a health benefits plan under the Program who is not eligible to be so enrolled or covered shall be disenrolled or removed from enrollment in, or coverage under, that health benefits plan.

(g) **EARNED BENEFITS AND HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES ASSOCIATED OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT FUNDING.**—Section 8909 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that the amounts required to be set aside under subsection (b)(2) shall not be subject to the limitations that may be specified annually by Congress”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) In fiscal year 2026, \$66,000,000, to be derived from all contributions, and to remain available until the end of fiscal year 2035, for the Director of the Office to carry out subsections (c) through (f) of the FEHB Protection Act of 2025.”.

SEC. 90102. PANDEMIC RESPONSE ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE.

(a) **PANDEMIC RESPONSE ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE FUNDING AVAILABILITY.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated for fiscal year 2026, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$88,000,000, to remain available until expended, for the Pandemic Response Accountability Committee to support oversight of the Coronavirus response and of funds provided in this Act or any other Act pertaining to the Coronavirus pandemic.

(b) **CARES ACT.**—Section 15010 of the CARES Act (Public Law 116-136; 134 Stat. 533) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(6)—

(A) in subparagraph (E), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (F), by striking “and” at the end and inserting “or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(G) the Act titled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’; and”; and

(2) in subsection (k), by striking “2025” and inserting “2034”.

SEC. 90103. APPROPRIATION FOR THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Office of Management and Budget for fiscal year 2025, out of any

money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$100,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for purposes of finding budget and accounting efficiencies in the executive branch.

TITLE X—COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Subtitle A—Immigration and Law Enforcement Matters

PART I—IMMIGRATION FEES

SEC. 100001. APPLICABILITY OF THE IMMIGRATION LAWS.

(a) **APPLICABILITY.**—The fees under this subtitle shall apply to aliens in the circumstances described in this subtitle.

(b) **TERMS.**—The terms used under this subtitle shall have the meanings given such terms in section 101 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101).

(c) **REFERENCES TO IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, any reference in this subtitle to a section or other provision shall be considered to be to a section or other provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.).

SEC. 100002. ASYLUM FEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security or the Attorney General, as applicable, shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this section, by any alien who files an application for asylum under section 208 (8 U.S.C. 1158) at the time such application is filed.

(b) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—During fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(1) \$100; or

(2) such amount as the Secretary or the Attorney General, as applicable, may establish, by rule.

(c) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(1) the amount of the fee required under this section for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(2) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in paragraph (1) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(d) **DISPOSITION OF ASYLUM FEE PROCEEDS.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 50 percent of the fees received from aliens filing applications with the Attorney General—

(A) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review; and

(B) may be retained and expended without further appropriation;

(2) 50 percent of fees received from aliens filing applications with the Secretary of Homeland Security—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;

(B) shall be deposited into the Immigration Examinations Fee Account established under section 286(m) (8 U.S.C. 1356(m)); and

(C) may be retained and expended without further appropriation; and

(3) any amounts received in fees required under this section that were not credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review pursuant to paragraph (1) or to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services pursuant to paragraph (2) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(e) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100003. EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT FEES.

(a) **ASYLUM APPLICANTS.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this subsection, by any alien who files an initial application for employment authorization under section 208(d)(2) (8 U.S.C. 1158(d)(2)) at the time such initial employment authorization application is filed.

(2) *INITIAL AMOUNT.*—During fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$550; or
(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(3) *ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.*—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this section for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(4) *DISPOSITION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT FEES.*—During each fiscal year—

(A) 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;

(ii) shall be deposited into the Immigration Examinations Fee Account established under section 286(m) (8 U.S.C. 1356(m)); and

(iii) may be retained and expended by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services without further appropriation, provided that not less than 50 percent is used to detect and prevent immigration benefit fraud; and

(B) any amounts collected pursuant to this subsection that are not credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(5) *NO FEE WAIVER.*—Fees required to be paid under this subsection shall not be waived or reduced.

(b) *PAROLEES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this subsection, by any alien paroled into the United States for any initial application for employment authorization at the time such initial application is filed. Each initial employment authorization shall be valid for a period of 1 year or for the duration of the alien's parole, whichever is shorter.

(2) *INITIAL AMOUNT.*—During fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$550; or
(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(3) *ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.*—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this subsection shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(4) *DISPOSITION OF PAROLEE EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION APPLICATION FEES.*—All of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(5) *NO FEE WAIVER.*—Fees required to be paid under this subsection shall not be waived or reduced.

(c) *TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this subsection, by any alien who files an initial application for employment authorization under section 244(a)(1)(B) (8 U.S.C. 1254a(a)(1)(B)) at the time such initial application is filed. Each initial employment authorization shall be valid for a period of 1 year, or for the duration of the alien's temporary protected status, whichever is shorter.

(2) *INITIAL AMOUNT.*—During fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$550; or
(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(3) *ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.*—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this subsection shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(4) *DISPOSITION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION APPLICATION FEES COLLECTED FROM ALIENS GRANTED TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS.*—All of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(5) *NO FEE WAIVER.*—Fees required to be paid under this subsection shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100004. IMMIGRATION PAROLE FEE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided under subsection (b), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this section and in addition to any other fee authorized by law, by any alien who is paroled into the United States.

(b) *EXCEPTIONS.*—An alien shall not be subject to the fee otherwise required under subsection (a) if the alien establishes, to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Homeland Security, on an individual, case-by-case basis, that the alien is being paroled because—

(1)(A) the alien has a medical emergency; and

(B)(i) the alien cannot obtain necessary treatment in the foreign state in which the alien is residing; or

(ii) the medical emergency is life-threatening and there is insufficient time for the alien to be admitted to the United States through the normal visa process;

(2)(A) the alien is the parent or legal guardian of an alien described in paragraph (1); and

(B) the alien described in paragraph (1) is a minor;

(3)(A) the alien is needed in the United States to donate an organ or other tissue for transplant; and

(B) there is insufficient time for the alien to be admitted to the United States through the normal visa process;

(4)(A) the alien has a close family member in the United States whose death is imminent; and

(B) the alien could not arrive in the United States in time to see such family member alive if the alien were to be admitted to the United States through the normal visa process;

(5)(A) the alien is seeking to attend the funeral of a close family member; and

(B) the alien could not arrive in the United States in time to attend such funeral if the alien were to be admitted to the United States through the normal visa process;

(6) the alien is an adopted child—

(A) who has an urgent medical condition;

(B) who is in the legal custody of the petitioner for a final adoption-related visa; and

(C) whose medical treatment is required before the expected award of a final adoption-related visa;

(7) the alien—

(A) is a lawful applicant for adjustment of status under section 245 (8 U.S.C. 1255); and

(B) is returning to the United States after temporary travel abroad;

(8) the alien—

(A) has been returned to a contiguous country pursuant to section 235(b)(2)(C) (8 U.S.C. 1225(b)(2)(C)); and

(B) is being paroled into the United States to allow the alien to attend the alien's immigration hearing;

(9) the alien has been granted the status of Cuban and Haitian entrant (as defined in section 501(e) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-422; 8 U.S.C. 1522 note); or

(10) the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that a significant public benefit has resulted or will result from the parole of an alien—

(A) who has assisted or will assist the United States Government in a law enforcement matter;

(B) whose presence is required by the United States Government in furtherance of such law enforcement matter; and

(C)(i) who is inadmissible or does not satisfy the eligibility requirements for admission as a nonimmigrant; or

(ii) for which there is insufficient time for the alien to be admitted to the United States through the normal visa process.

(c) *INITIAL AMOUNT.*—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(1) \$1,000; or

(2) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(d) *ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.*—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(1) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(2) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in paragraph (1) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(e) *DISPOSITION OF FEES COLLECTED FROM ALIENS GRANTED PAROLE.*—All of the fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(f) *NO FEE WAIVER.*—Except as provided in subsection (b), fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100005. SPECIAL IMMIGRANT JUVENILE FEE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this section, by any alien, parent, or legal guardian of an alien applying for special immigrant juvenile status under section 101(a)(27)(J) (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)(J)).

(b) *INITIAL AMOUNT.*—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(1) \$250; or

(2) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(c) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(1) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(2) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in paragraph (1) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(d) **DISPOSITION OF SPECIAL IMMIGRANT JUVENILE FEES.**—All of the fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

SEC. 100006. TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS FEE.

Section 244(c)(1)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1254a(c)(1)(B)) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Attorney General” and inserting the following:

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Attorney General”;

(2) in clause (i), as redesignated, by striking “\$50” and inserting “\$500, subject to the adjustments required under clause (ii)”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the maximum amount of the fee authorized under clause (i) shall be equal to the sum of—

“(I) the maximum amount of the fee authorized under this subparagraph for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

“(II) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subclause (I) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

“(iii) **DISPOSITION OF TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS FEES.**—All of the fees collected pursuant to this subparagraph shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

“(iv) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this subparagraph shall not be waived or reduced.”.

SEC. 100007. VISA INTEGRITY FEE.

(a) **VISA INTEGRITY FEE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in this subsection, by any alien issued a nonimmigrant visa at the time of such issuance.

(2) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(A) \$250; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(3) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers

for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded down to the nearest dollar.

(4) **DISPOSITION OF VISA INTEGRITY FEES.**—All of the fees collected pursuant to this section that are not reimbursed pursuant to subsection (b) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(5) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this subsection shall not be waived or reduced.

(b) **FEE REIMBURSEMENT.**—The Secretary of Homeland Security may provide a reimbursement to an alien of the fee required under subsection (a) for the issuance of a nonimmigrant visa after the expiration of such nonimmigrant visa's period of validity if such alien demonstrates that he or she—

(1) after admission to the United States pursuant to such nonimmigrant visa, complied with all conditions of such nonimmigrant visa, including the condition that an alien shall not accept unauthorized employment; and

(2)(A) has not sought to extend his or her period of admission during such period of validity and departed the United States not later than 5 days after the last day of such period; or

(B) during such period of validity, was granted an extension of such nonimmigrant status or an adjustment to the status of a lawful permanent resident.

SEC. 100008. FORM I-94 FEE.

(a) **FEE AUTHORIZED.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b), by any alien who submits an application for a Form I-94 Arrival/Departure Record.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(A) \$24; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded down to the nearest dollar.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF FORM I-94 FEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 20 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be deposited into the Land Border Inspection Fee Account in accordance with section 286(q)(2) (8 U.S.C. 1356(q)(2)); and

(B) shall be made available to U.S. Customs and Border Protection to retain and spend without further appropriation for the purpose of processing Form I-94; and

(2) any amounts not deposited into the Land Border Inspection Fee Account pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100009. ANNUAL ASYLUM FEE.

(a) **FEE AUTHORIZED.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, for each calendar year that an alien's application for asylum remains pending, the Secretary of Homeland Security or the Attorney General, as applicable, shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b), by such alien.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(A) \$100; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded down to the nearest dollar.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF ANNUAL ASYLUM FEES.**—All of the fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100010. FEE RELATING TO RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR PAROLEES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b), for any parolee who seeks a renewal or extension of employment authorization based on a grant of parole. The employment authorization for each alien paroled into the United States, or any renewal or extension of such parole, shall be valid for a period of 1 year or for the duration of the alien's parole, whichever is shorter.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$275; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF FEES RELATING TO RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR PAROLEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;

(B) shall be deposited into the Immigration Examinations Fee Account established under section 286(m) (8 U.S.C. 1356(m)); and

(C) may be retained and expended by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services without further appropriation; and

(2) any amounts collected pursuant to this section that are not credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100011. FEE RELATING TO RENEWAL OR EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR ASYLUM APPLICANTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee of not less than \$275 by any alien who has applied for asylum for each renewal or extension of employment authorization based on such application.

(b) **TERMINATION.**—Each initial employment authorization, or renewal or extension of such authorization, shall terminate—

(1) immediately following the denial of an asylum application by an asylum officer, unless the case is referred to an immigration judge;

(2) on the date that is 30 days after the date on which an immigration judge denies an asylum application, unless the alien makes a timely appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals; or

(3) immediately following the denial by the Board of Immigration Appeals of an appeal of a denial of an asylum application.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF FEES RELATING TO RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR ASYLUM APPLICANTS.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;

(B) shall be deposited into the Immigration Examinations Fee Account established under section 286(m) (8 U.S.C. 1356(m)); and

(C) may be retained and expended by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services without further appropriation; and

(2) any amounts collected pursuant to this section that are not credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100012. FEE RELATING TO RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR ALIENS GRANTED TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b), by any alien at the time such alien seeks a renewal or extension of employment authorization based on a grant of temporary protected status. Any employment authorization for an alien granted temporary protected status, or any renewal or extension of such employment authorization, shall be valid for a period of 1 year or for the duration of the designation of temporary protected status, whichever is shorter.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$275; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF FEES RELATING TO RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF EMPLOYMENT AUTHORIZATION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS APPLICANTS.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;

(B) shall be deposited into the Immigration Examinations Fee Account established under section 286(m) (8 U.S.C. 1356(m)); and

(C) may be retained and expended by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services without further appropriation; and

(2) any amounts collected pursuant to this section that are not credited to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100013. FEES RELATING TO APPLICATIONS FOR ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS.

(a) **FEE FOR FILING AN APPLICATION TO ADJUST STATUS TO THAT OF A LAWFUL PERMANENT RESIDENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien who files an application with an immigration court to adjust the alien's status to that of a lawful permanent resident, or whose application to adjust his or her status to that of a lawful permanent resident is adjudicated in immigration court. Such fee shall be paid at the time such application is filed or before such application is adjudicated by the immigration court.

(2) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(A) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$1,500; or

(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) **DISPOSITION OF ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS APPLICATION FEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(b) **FEE FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF GROUNDS OF INADMISSIBILITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an application with an immigration court for a waiver of a ground of inadmissibility, or before such application is adjudicated by the immigration court.

(2) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(A) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$1,050; or

(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) **DISPOSITION OF WAIVER OF GROUND OF ADMISSIBILITY APPLICATION FEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(c) **FEE FOR FILING AN APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an application with an immigration court for temporary protected status, or before such application is adjudicated by the immigration court.

(2) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(A) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$500; or

(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) **DISPOSITION OF TEMPORARY PROTECTED STATUS APPLICATION FEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **FEE FOR FILING AN APPEAL OF A DECISION OF AN IMMIGRATION JUDGE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the Attorney General shall require, in addition to any other fees authorized by law, the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an appeal from a decision of an immigration judge.

(2) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(A) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$900; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) EXCEPTION.—The fee required under paragraph (1) shall not apply to the appeal of a bond decision.

(4) DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR APPEALING IMMIGRATION JUDGE DECISIONS.—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(e) FEE FOR FILING AN APPEAL FROM A DECISION OF AN OFFICER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an appeal of a decision of an officer of the Department of Homeland Security.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—

(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$900; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR APPEALING DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY OFFICER DECISIONS.—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(f) FEE FOR FILING AN APPEAL FROM A DECISION OF AN ADJUDICATING OFFICIAL IN A PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY CASE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall

require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any practitioner at the time such practitioner files an appeal from a decision of an adjudicating official in a practitioner disciplinary case.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—

(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$1,325; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR APPEALING DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY OFFICER DECISIONS.—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(g) FEE FOR FILING A MOTION TO REOPEN OR A MOTION TO RECONSIDER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), in addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files a motion to reopen or motion to reconsider a decision of an immigration judge or the Board of Immigration Appeals.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—

(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$900; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) EXCEPTIONS.—The fee required under paragraph (1) shall not apply to—

(A) a motion to reopen a removal order entered in absentia if such motion is filed in accordance with section 240(b)(5)(C)(ii) (8 U.S.C. 1229a(b)(5)(C)(ii)); or

(B) a motion to reopen a deportation order entered in absentia if such motion is filed in accordance with section 242B(c)(3)(B) prior to April 1, 1997.

(4) DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR FILING CERTAIN MOTIONS.—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(h) FEE FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF DEPORTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an application with an immigration court for suspension of deportation.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—

(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$600; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF DEPORTATION.—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(i) FEE FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF REMOVAL FOR CERTAIN PERMANENT RESIDENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien at the time such alien files an application with an immigration court an application for cancellation of removal for an alien who is a lawful permanent resident.

(2) AMOUNT SPECIFIED.—

(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$600; or
(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) **DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF REMOVAL.**—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(f) **FEE FOR FILING AN APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF REMOVAL AND ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS FOR CERTAIN NONPERMANENT RESIDENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fees authorized by law, the Attorney General shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in paragraph (2), by any alien who is not a lawful permanent resident at the time such alien files an application with an immigration court for cancellation of removal and adjustment of status for any alien.

(2) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(A) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be the greater of—

(i) \$1,500; or

(ii) such amount as the Attorney General may establish, by rule.

(B) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this paragraph shall be equal to the sum of—

(i) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(ii) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in clause (i) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(3) **DISPOSITION OF FEES FOR FILING APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF REMOVAL.**—During each fiscal year—

(A) not more than 25 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this subsection—

(i) shall be derived by transfer from the Immigration Examinations Fee Account under section 286(n) (8 U.S.C. 1356(n)); and

(ii) shall be credited to the Executive Office for Immigration Review to retain and spend without further appropriation; and

(B) any amounts not derived by transfer and credited pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(k) **LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—No fees collected pursuant to this section may be expended by the Executive Office for Immigration Review for the Legal Orientation Program, or for any successor program.

SEC. 100014. ELECTRONIC SYSTEM FOR TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION FEE.

Section 217(h)(3)(B) (8 U.S.C. 1187(h)(3)(B)) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) in subclause (I), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subclause (II)—

(i) by inserting “of not less than \$10” after “an amount”; and

(ii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(III) not less than \$13 per travel authorization.”;

(2) in clause (iii), by striking “October 31, 2028” and inserting “October 31, 2034”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(iv) **SUBSEQUENT ADJUSTMENT.**—During fiscal year 2026 and each subsequent fiscal year, the

amount specified in clause (i)(II) for a fiscal year shall be equal to the sum of—

“(I) the amount of the fee required under this subparagraph during the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

“(II) the product of the amount referred to in subclause (I) multiplied by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year.”.

SEC. 100015. ELECTRONIC VISA UPDATE SYSTEM FEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, in the amount specified in subsection (b), by any alien subject to the Electronic Visa Update System at the time of such alien's enrollment in such system.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this subsection shall be the greater of—

(A) \$30; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026 and each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this subsection shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection during the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$0.25.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF ELECTRONIC VISA UPDATE SYSTEM FEES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 286 (8 U.S.C. 1356) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(w) **CBP ELECTRONIC VISA UPDATE SYSTEM ACCOUNT.**—

“(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established in the general fund of the Treasury a separate account, which shall be known as the ‘CBP Electronic Visa Update System Account’ (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Account’).

“(2) **DEPOSITS.**—There shall be deposited into the Account an amount equal to the difference between—

“(A) all of the fees received pursuant to section 100015 of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’ (119th Congress); and

“(B) an amount equal to \$5 multiplied by the number of payments collected pursuant to such section.

“(3) **APPROPRIATION.**—Amounts deposited in the Account—

“(A) are hereby appropriated to make payments and offset program costs in accordance with section 100015 of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14’ (119th Congress), without further appropriation; and

“(B) shall remain available until expended for any U.S. Customs and Border Protection costs associated with administering the CBP Electronic Visa Update System.”.

(2) **REMAINING FEES.**—Of the fees collected pursuant to this section, an amount equal to \$5 multiplied by the number of payments collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited to the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100016. FEE FOR ALIENS ORDERED REMOVED IN ABSENTIA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—As partial reimbursement for the cost of arresting an alien described in this section, the Secretary of Homeland Security, except as provided in subsection (c), shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b) on any alien who—

(1) is ordered removed in absentia pursuant to section 240(b)(5) (8 U.S.C. 1229a(b)(5)); and

(2) is subsequently arrested by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(A) \$5,000; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(c) **EXCEPTION.**—The fee described in this section shall not apply to any alien who was ordered removed in absentia if such order was rescinded pursuant to section 240(b)(5)(C) (8 U.S.C. 1229a(b)(5)(C)).

(d) **DISPOSITION OF REMOVAL IN ABSENTIA FEES.**—During each fiscal year—

(1) 50 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;

(B) shall be deposited into the Detention and Removal Office Fee Account; and

(C) may be retained and expended by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement without further appropriation; and

(2) any amounts collected pursuant to this section that are not credited to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(e) **NO FEE WAIVER.**—Fees required to be paid under this section shall not be waived or reduced.

SEC. 100017. INADMISSIBLE ALIEN APPREHENSION FEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to any other fee authorized by law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall require the payment of a fee, equal to the amount specified in subsection (b), by any inadmissible alien at the time such alien is apprehended between ports of entry.

(b) **AMOUNT SPECIFIED.**—

(1) **INITIAL AMOUNT.**—For fiscal year 2025, the amount specified in this section shall be the greater of—

(A) \$5,000; or

(B) such amount as the Secretary of Homeland Security may establish, by rule.

(2) **ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS FOR INFLATION.**—During fiscal year 2026, and during each subsequent fiscal year, the amount specified in this section shall be equal to the sum of—

(A) the amount of the fee required under this subsection for the most recently concluded fiscal year; and

(B) the product resulting from the multiplication of the amount referred to in subparagraph (A) by the percentage (if any) by which the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the month of July preceding the date on which such adjustment takes effect exceeds the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers

for the same month of the preceding calendar year, rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10.

(c) **DISPOSITION OF INADMISSIBLE ALIEN APPREHENSION FEES.**—During each fiscal year—
(1) 50 percent of the fees collected pursuant to this section—

(A) shall be credited to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;

(B) shall be deposited into the Detention and Removal Office Fee Account; and

(C) may be retained and expended by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement without further appropriation; and

(2) any amounts collected pursuant to this section that are not credited to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) **DISPOSITION OF INADMISSIBLE ALIEN APPREHENSION FEES.**—All of the fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

SEC. 100018. AMENDMENT TO AUTHORITY TO APPLY FOR ASYLUM.

Section 208(d)(3) (8 U.S.C. 1158(d)(3)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “may” and inserting “shall”;

(2) by striking “Such fees shall not exceed” and all that follows and inserting the following: “Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to limit the authority of the Attorney General to set additional adjudication and naturalization fees in accordance with section 286(m).”.

PART II—IMMIGRATION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT FUNDING

SEC. 100051. APPROPRIATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Homeland Security for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$2,055,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, for the following purposes:

(1) **IMMIGRATION AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES.**—Hiring and training of additional U.S. Customs and Border Protection agents, and the necessary support staff, to carry out immigration enforcement activities.

(2) **DEPARTURES AND REMOVALS.**—Funding for transportation costs and related costs associated with the departure or removal of aliens.

(3) **PERSONNEL ASSIGNMENTS.**—Funding for the assignment of Department of Homeland Security employees and State officers to carry out immigration enforcement activities pursuant to sections 103(a) and 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1103(a) and 1357(g)).

(4) **BACKGROUND CHECKS.**—Hiring additional staff and investing the necessary resources to enhance screening and vetting of all aliens seeking entry into United States, consistent with section 212 of such Act (8 U.S.C. 1182), or intending to remain in the United States, consistent with section 237 of such Act (8 U.S.C. 1227).

(5) **PROTECTING ALIEN CHILDREN FROM EXPLOITATION.**—In instances of aliens and alien children entering the United States without a valid visa, funding is provided for the purposes of—

(A) collecting fingerprints, in accordance with section 262 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1302) and subsections (a)(3) and (b) of section 235 of such Act (8 U.S.C. 1225); and

(B) collecting DNA, in accordance with sections 235(d) and 287(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1225(d) and 1357(b)).

(6) **TRANSPORTING AND RETURN OF ALIENS FROM CONTIGUOUS TERRITORY.**—Transporting and facilitating the return, pursuant to section 235(b)(2)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1225(b)(2)(C)), of aliens arriving from contiguous territory.

(7) **STATE AND LOCAL PARTICIPATION.**—Funding for State and local participation in homeland security efforts for purposes of—

(A) ending the presence of criminal gangs and criminal organizations throughout the United States;

(B) addressing crime and public safety threats;

(C) combating human smuggling and trafficking networks throughout the United States;

(D) supporting immigration enforcement activities; and

(E) providing reimbursement for State and local participation in such efforts.

(8) **REMOVAL OF SPECIFIED UNACCOMPANIED ALIEN CHILDREN.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Funding removal operations for specified unaccompanied alien children.

(B) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Amounts made available under this paragraph shall only be used for permitting a specified unaccompanied alien child to withdraw the application for admission of the child pursuant to section 235(a)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1225(a)(4)).

(C) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this paragraph:

(i) **SPECIFIED UNACCOMPANIED ALIEN CHILD.**—The term “specified unaccompanied alien child” means an unaccompanied alien child (as defined in section 462(g) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 279(g))) who the Secretary of Homeland Security determines on a case-by-case basis—

(I) has been found by an immigration officer at a land border or port of entry of the United States and is inadmissible under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.);

(II) has not been a victim of severe forms of trafficking in persons, and there is no credible evidence that such child is at risk of being trafficked upon return of the child to the child’s country of nationality or country of last habitual residence; and

(III) does not have a fear of returning to the child’s country of nationality or country of last habitual residence owing to a credible fear of persecution.

(ii) **SEVERE FORMS OF TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS.**—The term “severe forms of trafficking in persons” has the meaning given such term in section 103 of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7102).

(9) **EXPEDITED REMOVAL OF CRIMINAL ALIENS.**—Funding for the expedited removal of criminal aliens, in accordance with the provisions of section 235(b)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1225(b)(1)).

(10) **REMOVAL OF CERTAIN CRIMINAL ALIENS WITHOUT FURTHER HEARINGS.**—Funding for the removal of certain criminal aliens without further hearings, in accordance with the provisions of section 235(c) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1225(c)).

(11) **CRIMINAL AND GANG CHECKS FOR UNACCOMPANIED ALIEN CHILDREN.**—Funding for criminal and gang checks of unaccompanied alien children (as defined in section 462(g) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 279(g))) who are 12 years of age and older, including the examination of such unaccompanied alien children for gang-related tattoos and other gang-related markings.

(12) **INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.**—Information technology investments to support immigration purposes, including improvements to fee and revenue collections.

SEC. 100052. APPROPRIATION FOR U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Homeland Security for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$29,850,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, for the following purposes:

(1) **HIRING AND TRAINING.**—Hiring and training additional U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement personnel, including officers, agents, investigators, and support staff, to carry out immigration enforcement activities and

prioritizing and streamlining the hiring of retired U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement personnel.

(2) **PERFORMANCE, RETENTION, AND SIGNING BONUSES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Providing performance, retention, and signing bonuses for qualified U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement personnel in accordance with this subsection.

(B) **PERFORMANCE BONUSES.**—The Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, at the Director’s discretion, may provide performance bonuses to any U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agent, officer, or attorney who demonstrates exemplary service.

(C) **RETENTION BONUSES.**—The Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement may provide retention bonuses to any U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agent, officer, or attorney who commits to 2 years of additional service with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to carry out immigration enforcement activities.

(D) **SIGNING BONUSES.**—The Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement may provide a signing bonus to any U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agent, officer, or attorney who—

(i) is hired on or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(ii) who commits to 5 years of service with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement to carry out immigration enforcement activities.

(E) **SERVICE AGREEMENT.**—In providing a retention or signing bonus under this paragraph, the Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement shall provide each qualifying individual with a written service agreement that includes—

(i) the commencement and termination dates of the required service period (or provisions for the determination of such dates);

(ii) the amount of the bonus; and

(iii) any other term or condition under which the bonus is payable, subject to the requirements of this paragraph, including—

(I) the conditions under which the agreement may be terminated before the agreed-upon service period has been completed; and

(II) the effect of a termination described in subclause (I).

(3) **RECRUITMENT, HIRING, AND ONBOARDING.**—Facilitating the recruitment, hiring, and onboarding of additional U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement personnel to carry out immigration enforcement activities, including by—

(A) investing in information technology, recruitment, and marketing; and

(B) hiring staff necessary to carry out information technology, recruitment, and marketing activities.

(4) **TRANSPORTATION.**—Funding for transportation costs and related costs associated with alien departure or removal operations.

(5) **INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.**—Funding for information technology investments to support enforcement and removal operations, including improvements to fee collections.

(6) **FACILITY UPGRADES.**—Funding for facility upgrades to support enforcement and removal operations.

(7) **FLEET MODERNIZATION.**—Funding for fleet modernization to support enforcement and removal operations.

(8) **FAMILY UNITY.**—Promoting family unity by—

(A) maintaining the care and custody, during the period in which a charge described in clause (i) is pending, in accordance with applicable laws, of an alien who—

(i) is charged only with a misdemeanor offense under section 275(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1325(a)); and

(ii) entered the United States with the alien’s child who has not attained 18 years of age; and

(B) detaining such an alien with the alien’s child.

(9) 287(g) AGREEMENTS.—Expanding, facilitating, and implementing agreements under section 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1357(g)).

(10) VICTIMS OF IMMIGRATION CRIME ENGAGEMENT OFFICE.—Hiring and training additional staff to carry out the mission of the Victims of Immigration Crime Engagement Office and for providing nonfinancial assistance to the victims of crimes perpetrated by aliens who are present in the United States without authorization.

(11) OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL LEGAL ADVISOR.—Hiring additional attorneys and the necessary support staff within the Office of the Principal Legal Advisor to represent the Department of Homeland Security in immigration enforcement and removal proceedings.

SEC. 100053. APPROPRIATION FOR FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTERS.

(a) APPROPRIATION.—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Secretary of Homeland Security for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$750,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2029, for the purposes described in subsections (b) and (c).

(b) TRAINING.—Not less than \$285,000,000 of the amounts available under subsection (a) shall be for supporting the training of newly hired Federal law enforcement personnel employed by the Department of Homeland Security and State and local law enforcement agencies operating in support of the Department of Homeland Security.

(c) FACILITIES.—Not more than \$465,000,000 of the amounts available under subsection (a) shall be for procurement, construction and maintenance of, improvements to, training equipment for, and related expenses, of facilities of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers.

SEC. 100054. APPROPRIATION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Attorney General for the Department of Justice for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$3,330,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, for the following purposes:

(1) EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Hiring immigration judges and necessary support staff for the Executive Office for Immigration Review to address the backlog of petitions, cases, and removals.

(B) STAFFING LEVEL.—Effective November 1, 2028, the Executive Office for Immigration Review shall be comprised of not more than 800 immigration judges, along with the necessary support staff.

(2) COMBATING DRUG TRAFFICKING.—Funding efforts to combat drug trafficking (including trafficking of fentanyl and its precursor chemicals) and illegal drug use.

(3) PROSECUTION OF IMMIGRATION MATTERS.—Funding efforts to investigate and prosecute immigration matters, gang-related crimes involving aliens, child trafficking and smuggling involving aliens within the United States, unlawful voting by aliens, violations of the Alien Registration Act, 1940 (54 Stat., chapter 439), and violations of or fraud relating to title IV of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–193; 110 Stat. 2277), including hiring additional Department of Justice personnel to investigate and prosecute such matters.

(4) NONPARTY OR OTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.—Hiring additional attorneys and necessary support staff for the purpose of continuing implementation of assignments by the Attorney General pursuant to sections 516, 517, and 518 of title 28, United States Code, to conduct litigation and attend to the interests of the United States in suits pending in a court of the United States or in a court of a State in suits seeking

nonparty or other injunctive relief against the Federal Government.

(5) EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM AND OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Increasing funding for the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program and the Office of Community Oriented Policing for initiatives associated with—

(i) investigating and prosecuting violent crime;

(ii) criminal enforcement initiatives; and

(iii) immigration enforcement and removal efforts.

(B) LIMITATIONS.—No funds made available under this subsection shall be made available to community violence intervention and prevention initiative programs.

(C) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible to receive funds made available under this subsection, a State or local government shall be in full compliance, as determined by the Attorney General, with section 642 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1373).

(6) FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE LAWSUIT SETTLEMENTS.—Hiring additional attorneys and necessary support staff for the purpose of maximizing lawsuit settlements that require the payment of fines and penalties to the Treasury of the United States in lieu of providing for the payment to any person or entity other than the United States, other than a payment that provides restitution or otherwise directly remedies actual harm directly and proximately caused by the party making the payment, or constitutes payment for services rendered in connection with the case.

(7) COMPENSATION FOR INCARCERATION OF CRIMINAL ALIENS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Providing compensation to a State or political subdivision of a State for the incarceration of criminal aliens.

(B) USE OF FUNDS.—The amounts made available under subparagraph (A) shall only be used to compensate a State or political subdivision of a State, as appropriate, with respect to the incarceration of an alien who—

(i) has been convicted of a felony or 2 or more misdemeanors; and

(ii) (I) entered the United States without inspection or at any time or place other than as designated by the Secretary of Homeland Security;

(II) was the subject of removal proceedings at the time the alien was taken into custody by the State or a political subdivision of the State; or

(III) was admitted as a nonimmigrant and, at the time the alien was taken into custody by the State or a political subdivision of the State, has failed to maintain the nonimmigrant status in which the alien was admitted, or to which it was changed, or to comply with the conditions of any such status.

(C) LIMITATION.—Amounts made available under this subsection shall be distributed to more than 1 State. The amounts made available under subparagraph (A) may not be used to compensate any State or political subdivision of a State if the State or political subdivision of the State prohibits or in any way restricts a Federal, State, or local government entity, official, or other personnel from doing any of the following:

(i) Complying with the immigration laws (as defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(17))).

(ii) Assisting or cooperating with Federal law enforcement entities, officials, or other personnel regarding the enforcement of the immigration laws.

(iii) Undertaking any of the following law enforcement activities as such activities relate to information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, the inadmissibility or deportability, and the custody status, of any individual:

(I) Making inquiries to any individual to obtain such information regarding such individual or any other individuals.

(II) Notifying the Federal Government regarding the presence of individuals who are encountered by law enforcement officials or other personnel of a State or political subdivision of a State.

(III) Complying with requests for such information from Federal law enforcement entities, officials, or other personnel.

SEC. 100055. BRIDGING IMMIGRATION-RELATED DEFICITS EXPERIENCED NATIONWIDE REIMBURSEMENT FUND.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Department of Justice a fund, to be known as the “Bridging Immigration-related Deficits Experienced Nationwide (BIDEN) Reimbursement Fund” (referred to in this section as the “Fund”).

(b) USE OF FUNDS.—The Attorney General shall use amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Fund for grants to eligible States, State agencies, and units of local government, pursuant to their existing statutory authorities, for any of the following purposes:

(1) Locating and apprehending aliens who have committed a crime under Federal, State, or local law, in addition to being unlawfully present in the United States.

(2) Collection and analysis of law enforcement investigative information within the United States to counter gang or other criminal activity.

(3) Investigating and prosecuting—

(A) crimes committed by aliens within the United States; and

(B) drug and human trafficking crimes committed within the United States.

(4) Court operations related to the prosecution of—

(A) crimes committed by aliens; and

(B) drug and human trafficking crimes.

(5) Temporary criminal detention of aliens.

(6) Transporting aliens described in paragraph (1) within the United States to locations related to the apprehension, detention, and prosecution of such aliens.

(7) Vehicle maintenance, logistics, transportation, and other support provided to law enforcement agencies by a State agency to enhance the ability to locate and apprehend aliens who have committed crimes under Federal, State, or local law, in addition to being unlawfully present in the United States.

(c) APPROPRIATION.—In addition to amounts otherwise available for the purposes described in subsection (b), there is appropriated to the Attorney General for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, not to exceed \$3,500,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2028, for the Fund for qualified and documented expenses that achieve any such purpose.

(d) GRANT ELIGIBILITY OF COMPLETED, ONGOING, OR NEW ACTIVITIES.—The Attorney General may provide grants under this section to State agencies and units of local government for expenditures made by State agencies or units of local government for completed, ongoing, or new activities determined to be eligible for such grant funding that occurred on or after January 20, 2021. Amounts made available under this section shall be distributed to more than 1 State.

SEC. 100056. APPROPRIATION FOR THE BUREAU OF PRISONS.

(a) APPROPRIATION.—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Director of the Bureau of Prisons for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$5,000,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, for the purposes described in subsections (b) and (c).

(b) SALARIES AND BENEFITS.—Not less than \$3,000,000,000 of the amounts made available under subsection (a) shall be for hiring and training of new employees, including correctional officers, medical professionals, and facilities and maintenance employees, the necessary

support staff, and for additional funding for salaries and benefits for the current workforce of the Bureau of Prisons.

(c) **FACILITIES.**—Not more than \$2,000,000,000 of the amounts made available under subsection (a) shall be for addressing maintenance and repairs to facilities maintained or operated by the Bureau of Prisons.

SEC. 100057. APPROPRIATION FOR THE UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE.

(a) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Director of the United States Secret Service (referred to in this section as the “Director”) for fiscal year 2025, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$1,170,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2029, for the purposes described in subsection (b).

(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Amounts made available under subsection (a) may only be used for—

(1) additional United States Secret Service resources, including personnel, training facilities, programming, and technology; and

(2) performance, retention, and signing bonuses for qualified United States Secret Service personnel in accordance with subsection (c).

(c) **PERFORMANCE, RETENTION, AND SIGNING BONUSES.**—

(1) **PERFORMANCE BONUSES.**—The Director, at the Director’s discretion, may provide performance bonuses to any Secret Service agent, officer, or analyst who demonstrates exemplary service.

(2) **RETENTION BONUSES.**—The Director may provide retention bonuses to any Secret Service agent, officer, or analyst who commits to 2 years of additional service with the Secret Service.

(3) **SIGNING BONUSES.**—The Director may provide a signing bonus to any Secret Service agent, officer, or analyst who—

(A) is hired on or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) commits to 5 years of service with the United States Secret Service.

(4) **SERVICE AGREEMENT.**—In providing a retention or signing bonus under this subsection, the Director shall provide each qualifying individual with a written service agreement that includes—

(A) the commencement and termination dates of the required service period (or provisions for the determination of such dates);

(B) the amount of the bonus; and

(C) any other term or condition under which the bonus is payable, subject to the requirements under this subsection, including—

(i) the conditions under which the agreement may be terminated before the agreed-upon service period has been completed; and

(ii) the effect of a termination described in clause (i).

Subtitle B—Judiciary Matters

SEC. 100101. APPROPRIATION TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS.

In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, out of amounts in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$1,250,000 for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2028, for the purpose of continuing analyses and reporting pursuant to section 604(a)(2) of title 28, United States Code, to examine the state of the dockets of the courts and to prepare and transmit statistical data and reports as to the business of the courts, including an assessment of the number, frequency, and related metrics of judicial orders issuing non-party relief against the Federal Government and their aggregate cost impact on the taxpayers of the United States, as determined by each court when imposing securities for the issuance of preliminary injunctions or temporary restraining orders against the Federal Government pursuant to rule 65(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

SEC. 100102. APPROPRIATION TO THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER.

(a) **APPROPRIATION.**—In addition to amounts otherwise available, there is appropriated to the Director of the Federal Judicial Center, out of amounts in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$1,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2025 through 2028, for the purpose described in subsection (b).

(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—The Federal Judicial Center shall use the amounts appropriated under subsection (a) for the continued implementation of programs pursuant to section 620(b)(3) of title 28, United States Code, to stimulate, create, develop, and conduct programs of continuing education and training for personnel of the judicial branch, including training on the absence of constitutional and statutory authority supporting legal claims that seek non-party relief against the Federal Government, and strategic approaches for mitigating the aggregate cost impact of such legal claims on the taxpayers of the United States.

Subtitle C—Radiation Exposure Compensation Matters

SEC. 100201. EXTENSION OF FUND.

Section 3(d) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence and inserting “The Fund shall terminate on December 31, 2028.”; and

(2) by striking “the end of that 2-year period” and inserting “such date”.

SEC. 100202. CLAIMS RELATING TO ATMOSPHERIC TESTING.

(a) **LEUKEMIA CLAIMS RELATING TO TRINITY TEST IN NEW MEXICO AND TESTS AT THE NEVADA SITE.**—Section 4(a)(1)(A) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) in subclause (I), by striking “October 31, 1958” and inserting “November 6, 1962”; and

(B) in subclause (II)—

(i) by striking “in the affected area” and inserting “in an affected area”; and

(ii) by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(C) by redesignating subclause (III) as subclause (IV); and

(D) by inserting after subclause (II) the following:

“(III) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on September 24, 1944, and ending on November 6, 1962; or”;

(2) in clause (ii)(I), by striking “physical presence described in subclause (I) or (II) of clause (i) or onsite participation described in clause (i)(III)” and inserting “physical presence described in subclause (I), (II), or (III) of clause (i) or onsite participation described in clause (i)(IV)”.

(b) **AMOUNTS FOR CLAIMS RELATED TO LEUKEMIA.**—Section 4(a)(1) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “an amount” and inserting “the amount”; and

(2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) **AMOUNT.**—If the conditions described in subparagraph (C) are met, an individual who is described in subparagraph (A) shall receive \$100,000.”; and

(3) in subparagraph (C), by adding at the end the following:

“(iv) No payment under this paragraph previously has been made to the individual, on behalf of the individual, or to a survivor of the individual.”.

(c) **CONDITIONS FOR CLAIMS RELATED TO LEUKEMIA.**—Section 4(a)(1)(C) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) by striking clause (i); and

(2) by redesignating clauses (ii) and (iii) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively.

(d) **SPECIFIED DISEASES CLAIMS RELATING TO TRINITY TEST IN NEW MEXICO AND TESTS AT THE NEVADA SITE.**—Section 4(a)(2) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “in the affected area” and inserting “in an affected area”; and

(B) by striking “2 years” and inserting “1 year”; and

(C) by striking “October 31, 1958,” and inserting “November 6, 1962.”;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “in the affected area” and inserting “in an affected area”; and

(B) by striking “,” or” at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(3) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D); and

(4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 1 year during the period beginning on September 24, 1944, and ending on November 6, 1962; or”.

(e) **AMOUNTS FOR CLAIMS RELATED TO SPECIFIED DISEASES.**—Section 4(a)(2) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended in the matter following subparagraph (D) (as redesignated by subsection (d) of this section)—

(1) by striking “\$50,000 (in the case of an individual described in subparagraph (A) or (B)) or \$75,000 (in the case of an individual described in subparagraph (C)),” and inserting “\$100,000”; and

(2) in clause (i), by striking “,” and” and inserting a semicolon;

(3) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “,” and”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(iii) no payment under this paragraph previously has been made to the individual, on behalf of the individual, or to a survivor of the individual.”.

(f) **DOWNWIND STATES.**—Section 4(b)(1) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) ‘affected area’ means—

“(A) except as provided under subparagraph (B)—

“(i) the States of New Mexico, Utah, and Idaho;

“(ii) in the State of Nevada, the counties of White Pine, Nye, Lander, Lincoln, Eureka, and that portion of Clark County that consists of townships 13 through 16 at ranges 63 through 71; and

“(iii) in the State of Arizona, the counties of Coconino, Yavapai, Navajo, Apache, and Gila, and Mohave; and

“(B) with respect to a claim by an individual under subsection (a)(1)(A)(i)(III) or subsection (a)(2)(C), only New Mexico; and”.

SEC. 100203. CLAIMS RELATING TO URANIUM MINING.

(a) **EMPLOYEES OF MINES AND MILLS.**—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended to read as follows:

“(i)(I) was employed in a uranium mine or uranium mill (including any individual who was employed in the transport of uranium ore or vanadium-uranium ore from such mine or mill) located in Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Wyoming, South Dakota, Washington, Utah, Idaho, North Dakota, Oregon, or Texas at any time during the period beginning on January 1, 1942, and ending on December 31, 1990; or

“(II) was employed as a core driller in a State referred to in subclause (I) during the period described in such subclause; and”.

(b) **MINERS.**—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii)(I) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended by inserting “or renal cancer or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury” after “nonmalignant respiratory disease”.

(c) MILLERS, CORE DRILLERS, AND ORE TRANSPORTERS.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii)(II) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) by inserting “, core driller,” after “was a miller”;

(2) by inserting “, or was involved in remediation efforts at such a uranium mine or uranium mill,” after “ore transporter”;

(3) by inserting “(I)” after “clause (i)”;

(4) by striking “or renal cancers” and all that follows and inserting “or renal cancer or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury; or”.

(d) COMBINED WORK HISTORIES.—Section 5(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note), as amended by subsection (c), is further amended—

(1) in subclause (I), by striking “or” at the end; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(III)(aa) does not meet the conditions of subclause (I) or (II);

“(bb) worked, during the period described in clause (i)(I), in 2 or more of the following positions: miner, miller, core driller, and ore transporter;

“(cc) meets the requirements under paragraph (4) or (5); and

“(dd) submits written medical documentation that the individual developed lung cancer, a nonmalignant respiratory disease, renal cancer, or any other chronic renal disease, including nephritis and kidney tubal tissue injury after exposure to radiation through work in one or more of the positions referred to in item (bb);”.

(e) SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO COMBINED WORK HISTORIES.—Section 5(a) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO COMBINED WORK HISTORIES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH AT LEAST ONE YEAR OF EXPERIENCE.—An individual meets the requirements under this paragraph if the individual worked in one or more of the positions referred to in paragraph (1)(A)(ii)(III)(bb) for a period of at least one year during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(I).

“(5) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO COMBINED WORK HISTORIES FOR MINERS.—An individual meets the requirements of this paragraph if the individual, during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(I), worked as a miner and was exposed to such number of working level months that the Attorney General determines, when combined with the exposure of such individual to radiation through work as a miller, core driller, or ore transporter during the period described in paragraph (1)(A)(i)(I), results in such individual being exposed to a total level of radiation that is greater or equal to the level of exposure of an individual described in paragraph (4).”.

(f) DEFINITION OF CORE DRILLER.—Section 5(b) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (7), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(9) the term ‘core driller’ means any individual employed to engage in the act or process of obtaining cylindrical rock samples of uranium or vanadium by means of a borehole drilling machine for the purpose of mining uranium or vanadium.”.

SEC. 100204. CLAIMS RELATING TO MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE.

The Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C. 2210 note) is amended by inserting after section 5 the following:

“SEC. 5A. CLAIMS RELATING TO MANHATTAN PROJECT WASTE.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—A claimant shall receive compensation for a claim made under this Act, as described in subsection (b) or (c), if—

“(1) a claim for compensation is filed with the Attorney General—

“(A) by an individual described in paragraph (2); or

“(B) on behalf of that individual by an authorized agent of that individual, if the individual is deceased or incapacitated, such as—

“(i) an executor of estate of that individual; or

“(ii) a legal guardian or conservator of that individual;

“(2) that individual, or if applicable, an authorized agent of that individual, demonstrates that such individual—

“(A) was physically present in an affected area for a period of at least 2 years after January 1, 1949; and

“(B) contracted a specified disease after such period of physical presence;

“(3) the Attorney General certifies that the identity of that individual, and if applicable, the authorized agent of that individual, is not fraudulent or otherwise misrepresented; and

“(4) the Attorney General determines that the claimant has satisfied the applicable requirements of this Act.

“(b) LOSSES AVAILABLE TO LIVING AFFECTED INDIVIDUALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the event of a claim qualifying for compensation under subsection (a) that is submitted to the Attorney General to be eligible for compensation under this section at a time when the individual described in subsection (a)(2) is living, the amount of compensation under this section shall be in an amount that is the greater of \$50,000 or the total amount of compensation for which the individual is eligible under paragraph (2).

“(2) LOSSES DUE TO MEDICAL EXPENSES.—A claimant described in paragraph (1) shall be eligible to receive, upon submission of contemporaneous written medical records, reports, or billing statements created by or at the direction of a licensed medical professional who provided contemporaneous medical care to the claimant, additional compensation in the amount of all documented out-of-pocket medical expenses incurred as a result of the specified disease suffered by that claimant, such as any medical expenses not covered, paid for, or reimbursed through—

“(A) any public or private health insurance;

“(B) any employee health insurance;

“(C) any workers’ compensation program; or

“(D) any other public, private, or employee health program or benefit.

“(3) LIMITATION.—No claimant is eligible to receive compensation under this subsection with respect to medical expenses unless the submissions described in paragraph (2) with respect to such expenses are submitted on or before December 31, 2028.

“(c) PAYMENTS TO BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED INDIVIDUALS.—In the event that an individual described in subsection (a)(2) who qualifies for compensation under subsection (a) is deceased at the time of submission of the claim—

“(1) a surviving spouse may, upon submission of a claim and records sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a) with respect to the deceased individual, receive compensation in the amount of \$25,000; or

“(2) in the event that there is no surviving spouse, the surviving children, minor or otherwise, of the deceased individual may, upon submission of a claim and records sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a) with respect to the deceased individual, receive compensation in the total amount of \$25,000, paid in equal shares to each surviving child.

“(d) AFFECTED AREAS.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘affected area’ means—

“(1) in the State of Missouri, the ZIP Codes of 63031, 63033, 63034, 63042, 63045, 63074, 63114,

63135, 63138, 63044, 63121, 63140, 63145, 63147, 63102, 63304, 63134, 63043, 63341, 63368, and 63367;

“(2) in the State of Tennessee, the ZIP Codes of 37716, 37840, 37719, 37748, 37763, 37828, 37769, 37710, 37845, 37887, 37829, 37854, 37830, and 37831;

“(3) in the State of Alaska, the ZIP Codes of 99546 and 99547; and

“(4) in the State of Kentucky, the ZIP Codes of 42001, 42003, and 42086.

“(e) SPECIFIED DISEASE.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘specified disease’ means any of the following:

“(1) Any leukemia, provided that the initial exposure occurred after 20 years of age and the onset of the disease was at least 2 years after first exposure.

“(2) Any of the following diseases, provided that the onset was at least 2 years after the initial exposure:

“(A) Multiple myeloma.

“(B) Lymphoma, other than Hodgkin’s disease.

“(C) Primary cancer of the—

“(i) thyroid;

“(ii) male or female breast;

“(iii) esophagus;

“(iv) stomach;

“(v) pharynx;

“(vi) small intestine;

“(vii) pancreas;

“(viii) bile ducts;

“(ix) gall bladder;

“(x) salivary gland;

“(xi) urinary bladder;

“(xii) brain;

“(xiii) colon;

“(xiv) ovary;

“(xv) bone;

“(xvi) renal;

“(xvii) liver, except if cirrhosis or hepatitis B is indicated; or

“(xviii) lung.

“(f) PHYSICAL PRESENCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the Attorney General may not determine that a claimant has satisfied the requirements under subsection (a) unless demonstrated by submission of—

“(A) contemporaneous written residential documentation or at least 1 additional employer-issued or government-issued document or record that the claimant, for at least 2 years after January 1, 1949, was physically present in an affected area; or

“(B) other documentation determined by the Attorney General to demonstrate that the claimant, for at least 2 years after January 1, 1949, was physically present in an affected area.

“(2) TYPES OF PHYSICAL PRESENCE.—For purposes of determining physical presence under this section, a claimant shall be considered to have been physically present in an affected area if—

“(A) the claimant’s primary residence was in the affected area;

“(B) the claimant’s place of employment was in the affected area; or

“(C) the claimant attended school in the affected area.

“(g) DISEASE CONTRACTION IN AFFECTED AREAS.—For purposes of this section, the Attorney General may not determine that a claimant has satisfied the requirements under subsection (a) unless the claimant submits—

“(1) written medical records or reports created by or at the direction of a licensed medical professional, created contemporaneously with the provision of medical care to the claimant, that the claimant, after a period of physical presence in an affected area, contracted a specified disease; or

“(2) other documentation determined by the Attorney General to demonstrate that the claimant contracted a specified disease after a period of physical presence in an affected area.”.

SEC. 100205. LIMITATIONS ON CLAIMS.

Section 8(a) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (Public Law 101-426; 42 U.S.C.

2210 note) is amended—

by striking “2 years after the date of enactment of the RECA Extension Act of 2022” and inserting “December 31, 2027”.

MOTION TO CONCUR

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the motion.

The text of the motion is as follows:

Mr. Arrington of Texas moves that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 566, the motion shall be debatable for 1 hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget, or their respective designees, and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means, or their respective designees.

The gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARRINGTON), the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BOYLE), the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SMITH), and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL) each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the Senate amendment to H.R. 1.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today, we are considering H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, as passed by the Senate.

This bill creates the right incentives for historic economic growth, makes unprecedented investments in our military and border security, and implements the largest spending reduction in American history by twofold, alongside other generational fiscal reforms.

America's economic strength, Mr. Speaker, is the foundation of our global leadership and prosperity, but sadly, it has waned, especially over the last 4 years.

To preserve our influence abroad and our quality of life here at home, we must unleash growth, starting with the largest tax cut in U.S. history.

Just like the first Trump tax cuts, we can expect record job growth, investment, repatriation of capital back to the United States, record-low unemployment, record-high wage growth, and the lowest poverty rates in recorded history.

This bill also equips our troops and law enforcement, Mr. Speaker, to defend our sovereignty, protect our citizens, and secure our border after years of neglect and lawlessness.

While more work remains no doubt to rein in Washington's out-of-control

spending and put our Nation on a more sustainable fiscal path, I am confident that H.R. 1 will make America safe, strong, and prosperous once again and, most importantly, give our children, like my daughter, Jane, who is here with me today, a better and brighter future in this, the greatest nation in human history.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, here we are again—Yogi Berra once said that it is déjà vu all over again—debating this bill in the middle of the night. This crowd must love prime time in Guam.

This has been the worst process of the worst bill I have ever seen during my decade in Congress.

This is not what our Founders intended—far from it. While the process stinks, the substance is even worse. The bill still kicks 17 million Americans off their healthcare and makes record cuts to Medicaid, record cuts to the Affordable Care Act, and record cuts to Medicare.

That is not all. It kicks off 4½ million from nutrition assistance, mostly seniors and children. Why? It is to help subsidize massive tax cuts that mostly go to the top 1 percent.

Of course, all of those cuts, over \$1.5 trillion worth, don't come close to paying for the more than \$5 trillion worth of tax cuts. Who pays the remainder? Our national credit card does.

Here we are, actually voting not just for the biggest loss of healthcare in American history, but the biggest increase in our national debt in American history.

□ 0330

Mr. Speaker, I have no idea what in the world the crowd that was holding out got for holding out. Does anyone know? It is a complete mystery to me and to the American people, but one thing is clear. There is one group making out as a result of this bill: the billionaire class.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON), my good friend from the Keystone State.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act marks the most significant investment in our farm economy in decades and delivers the most sweeping reforms to SNAP since the program's creation.

We are saving nearly \$200 billion by restoring integrity to SNAP, closing loopholes, reinforcing work, and ending unchecked State abuse, all while preserving the program for the truly vulnerable.

At the same time, we are delivering \$120 billion in deficit reduction and saving 2 million family farms from the death tax. We strengthen the farm safety net; invest in trade, research,

and rural communities; and help keep ag innovation here in the United States of America, not in China.

This is a downpayment on the farm bill, and the rest is coming soon. Make no mistake, this is a generational win for rural America.

I thank Agriculture Committee members for their continued work in developing and advancing this policy. We are protecting taxpayers, helping low-income Americans return to work, and securing the future of American agriculture in our rural communities.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act delivers on President Trump's campaign promises, and it is a win for the American people.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DOGGETT), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee and ranking member on the Ways and Means Committee's Health Subcommittee.

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, at 3:35 in the morning, a favorite time of Republicans for taking up this bill, we consider a travesty.

The Senate took an ugly bill, went out and whipped it with an ugly stick, and made it even uglier with 17 million Americans going without health insurance; a 17 percent increase in electric rates; and the largest nutritional assistance cut in American history, leaving Americans hungry.

The independent Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget condemns this as exploding our debt, spiking it to new record highs, and accelerating the insolvency of Social Security and Medicare.

One thing remains the same: It takes from those with the least and gives to those with the most, lining the pockets of the super-rich with millions in tax giveaways.

It is mean. It is cruel. It is wrong. That is why it is being taken up at this hour of the morning, as they have done throughout this process.

Let's reject this ugly bill and stand up for Americans.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. MCCLINTOCK), my fellow Budget Committee member.

Mr. MCCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, this bill fulfills many of the promises we made to the American people: lower taxes, lighter regulations, a secure border, more frugal spending, and a war on waste. Throughout history, these are the policies that have produced prosperity and security, and there is every reason to believe that they will again.

I remind my conservative friends who say this bill doesn't go far enough that our process was not designed to make perfect law. It was designed to make the best law that is acceptable to a majority. Judging by the narrow votes, we have pushed this bill about as far toward perfection as our process allows.

The proof is not in the debate points scored today, but rather, next year when Americans will ask themselves if

they are better off. I believe, because of this bill, the answer will be a resounding yes, and perhaps that is what the Democrats fear most.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to discuss this big, disgusting billionaire bailout bill.

This year, I have traveled the State of New Jersey, hearing from the constituents of my three GOP colleagues.

I spoke to some of the 178,000 people in Representative VAN DREW's district on NJ FamilyCare who will lose their coverage; some parents of the nearly 100,000 children in Representative SMITH's district who are at risk of losing their NJ FamilyCare health coverage; and some of the 11,000 seniors in Representative KEAN's district who are worried about their nursing homes having to shut down.

What I heard from all of those constituents in those three districts was that they are very disappointed that they were not able to communicate with their Representatives because their Representatives were hiding from them because they could not justify their support of this big, disgusting billionaire bailout.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues from New Jersey to listen to their constituents and vote "no." May God forgive those who do not do for the least among us.

□ 0340

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN), my friend and our chairman of the Committee on Natural Resources.

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 1, which unleashes American energy and unlocks many of our abundant natural resources.

We are incentivizing domestic production in the Gulf of America and Alaska with at least 30 lease sales in the Gulf and 6 lease sales in the Cook Inlet. We are mandating lease sales every other year in the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska.

Our mission to responsibly develop our resources doesn't end there. The bill also requires coal lease sales. It reduces royalty rates on oil, gas, and coal production, and it incentivizes production, thereby lowering energy costs and supplying our country with domestically dependable energy.

That is just the beginning. The bill also invests in crucial water resource infrastructure and helps implement President Trump's executive orders on forest management.

Republicans on the House Committee on Natural Resources are proud of this historic legislation that unlocks our domestic resources' potential and supports hardworking Americans and communities nationwide as we become en-

ergy-dominant again and mine, refine, and manufacture in America.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. AGUILAR), the chairman of the Democratic Caucus.

Mr. AGUILAR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, the American people sent us here to work together to address issues like the affordability crisis. Democrats are ready to work with anyone to make housing more affordable, to make childcare more affordable, and to make everyday goods like groceries less expensive.

Mr. Speaker, the policies within this big, ugly bill are going to raise costs and not lower them. Healthcare costs are going to go up as Medicaid gets gutted. Electric bills are going to go up when clean energy tax credits are wiped out at the request of the oil lobbyists.

Cutting food assistance programs like SNAP are going to hurt working people who are already getting squeezed at the checkout line because of these reckless tariffs. These are the same working people who were told by my Republican friends that lowering costs would be their top priority. That has not been the case. The American people are poorer, and it is more expensive to live here as a result.

Mr. Speaker, the economy is teetering on the brink. Every single piece of evidence tells us that a vote for this bill is a vote to make things worse, and I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG), my good friend and our chairman of the Education and Workforce Committee.

Mr. WALBERG. Mr. Speaker, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act is one big, beautiful win for the American people.

Americans struggled under crushing inflation driven by the Biden-Harris administration's outrageous spending. Even worse, the Biden-Harris administration spent billions on reckless student loan repayment pauses, forcing Americans who never set foot on a college campus to cover the costs of elite Ivy League degrees.

House Republicans have the chance to right those wrongs and deliver real results for the American people by passing the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Under President Trump, our economy is booming, thanks to pro-growth policies that help workers, students, and taxpayers prosper. By passing this bill, we have a chance to seize on a generational opportunity to help put more Americans back on the path to the American Dream.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE), the ranking member of the Energy and Commerce Committee.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, this is the most shameful bill that I have ever

seen. It takes healthcare away from 17 million people in order to give giant tax breaks to billionaires and large corporate interests.

The increase in uncompensated care will force hospitals and nursing homes to close and increase costs for those who still have insurance.

Costs are going to soar for hardworking families across the country, as health insurance premiums, energy bills, and medical debts rise for millions, while the rich get richer.

However, this bill doesn't just destroy Americans' access to see their doctors or afford their monthly medications. It also makes devastating cuts to American energy and jobs, which will further increase energy bills and eliminate jobs across the country.

This bill is fundamentally cruel. Hardworking Americans don't deserve to be treated this way by the Republicans in Congress, but the Republicans simply don't care.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues in the strongest terms to join me in voting "no."

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. HILL), my friend and our chairman of the House Committee on Financial Services.

Mr. HILL of Arkansas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. For too long, government spending has gone unchecked.

Last November, American voters sent a clear message. We need to rein in government spending. Our Financial Services title exceeds our \$1 billion budget instruction by over \$600 million. It caps the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's budget authority by nearly half.

It rescinds unobligated and unused funds from the SEC's reserve fund and HUD's Green and Resilient Retrofit Program.

It targets \$1 billion for strategic use under the Defense Production Act to ensure that America can meet its national security supply chain requirements for the 21st century.

Overall, this bill stops a huge tax increase for our working families in Arkansas. It spurs major investments for small businesses. It gives law enforcement the tools they need to secure our southwest border. It strengthens energy security. It reduces government spending.

Mr. Speaker, it delivers on the promises that we made to American voters. I strongly support this bill, and I urge a "yes" vote.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Vermont (Ms. BALINT), a distinguished member of the House Committee on the Budget.

Ms. BALINT. Mr. Speaker, this is a cruel bill. Thousands of Americans will die because of it. Rural hospitals will close. Millions will lose their healthcare. Kids will go hungry. Americans will be poorer. They will be sicker because my Republican colleagues

are falling in line instead of standing up for their people back home.

This bill shows us their priorities, and it is not the American people. They are taking away Americans' healthcare to give more money to the very wealthy. This bill is for billionaires and not for Americans.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ELLZEY). Members are reminded to address their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from the Commonwealth of Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE), my friend and the chairman of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise in support of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which includes the work of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, to unleash American energy, promote innovation, and protect healthcare for the most vulnerable Americans.

We claw back wasteful and unnecessary spending. We unleash affordable and reliable American energy. We support technological innovation by reauthorizing the spectrum auction authority. We secure Medicaid for those who need it most. This includes mothers, children, seniors, and people with disabilities.

Democrats continue to fearmonger and misrepresent what is in this bill, but let me be clear: House Republicans are eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse to focus Medicaid on the most vulnerable and not on able-bodied adults who choose not to work.

We are fighting for commonsense policies to protect America's children, pregnant women, mothers, individuals with disabilities, and low-income seniors.

Mr. Speaker, this bill delivers on the promises the President and congressional Republicans made to the American people, and that is why I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

□ 0350

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. AMO), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. AMO. Mr. Speaker, budgets are a statement of values. Republicans are showing they have none.

People will suffer. People will die. It will be at the hands of Republicans who vote "yes."

The top 1 percent are salivating over getting an extra \$300,000 a year because of this dangerous bill. Billionaires win.

Mr. Speaker, 17 million Americans will lose their health insurance. This is the largest healthcare cut ever.

Mr. Speaker, 42 million Americans are at risk of losing food assistance. Children will go hungry.

Republicans claim to be the party of faith, patriotism, and families. A "yes" vote supports none of these virtues.

This is shameful. I am a hell no.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ROGERS), my friend and also the chairman of the Armed Services Committee here in the House.

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding and for his leadership throughout this process.

Mr. Speaker, years of chronic underinvestment in our national security have shattered American deterrence. It has allowed our adversaries to threaten and challenge us in new and unprecedented ways.

That ends today because, with the passage of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, we begin implementing President Trump's peace through strength agenda.

H.R. 1 includes a historic \$150 billion investment in America's national defense that will help us begin building the Golden Dome missile defense shield to protect our homeland, begin to revitalize our defense industrial base, help us secure our border because border security is national security, begin to rebuild our munitions stockpiles and critical mineral supply chains, and continue to improve the quality of life of our servicemembers and their families.

With these investments, we will work to restore American deterrence and build the ready, capable, and lethal fighting force President Trump promised.

I commend the President, the Speaker, and Leader THUNE for prioritizing national security in this bill, and I thank Chairman WICKER for his strong partnership throughout this process.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to support this bill.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time remains on each side.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas has 6 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Pennsylvania has 8 minutes remaining.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from California (Mr. PANETTA), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. PANETTA. Mr. Speaker, this partisan tax bill will be our Nation's single-largest self-inflicted wound to working families and our economy.

It is the largest unfunded tax break for the wealthiest families and will lead to the largest number of working families losing healthcare. What is worse is that you are fooling yourselves into believing it is paid for. Instead, it adds trillions to our debt that will drag down our economy and our credibility.

Rather than negotiating with Democrats, Republicans are just placating the President.

I am voting "no," Mr. Speaker, on this partisan bill to stand up to the President, to stand with working families, and to stand for the financial stability of our country and the credibility of this institution.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MCGUIRE).

Mr. MCGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Texas for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, this one big, beautiful bill delivers the American people the promises of President Trump's America First agenda and prevents the largest single tax hike on the middle class in history.

A "no" vote on this bill is a vote for sabotaging the United States' energy dominance and blocking critical investments to revolutionize our military to address 21st century threats.

It eliminates over \$700 billion in wasteful Medicaid spending for illegal aliens and people who can work but refuse to work, instead of America's most vulnerable.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" on this historic piece of legislation.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding.

This big bonanza bill for billionaires will make life harder for the people of northwest Ohio and America.

Unemployment is already up in Toledo, hovering around 6 percent. Mr. Speaker, 1,000 Jeep Gladiator workers in Toledo are idled, and 2,500 jobs at Perrysburg First Solar are on the chopping block as the Trump administration cedes, in this bill, our glass industry and America's lead in solar energy to China.

Grocery prices are up 2 percent, yet the bill freezes SNAP, a cut for 45,000 families in my district, which is an \$18 million monthly blow to local grocers.

The bill ensures billionaires get \$300,000 in tax breaks every year while seniors and working people lose Medicaid and SNAP in the largest cut to healthcare in American history, cutting over \$1 trillion.

The bill recklessly adds \$3.4 trillion to the U.S. debt, and interest rates will climb.

Congress can create a much brighter future for America by defeating this big, bloated bonanza bill for billionaires.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Minnesota (Ms. CRAIG), the ranking member of the Agriculture Committee.

Ms. CRAIG. Mr. Speaker, this Republican budget is a betrayal of the American people.

It puts in jeopardy food assistance for 42 million Americans. That is children, seniors, the disabled, and working parents.

Mr. Speaker, 1.2 million veterans rely on SNAP for their food. This budget turns its back on them. Mr. Speaker,

270,000 veterans, homeless, and former foster youth will lose their food assistance entirely.

The vulnerable are left behind. The middle class gets screwed in favor of the ultrawealthy. I am a hell no.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I would just remind the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. CRAIG) and my Democratic colleagues that after the first Trump tax cuts, the bottom half's income grew three times faster than the top half. For the lower 10 percent, wages increased two times over the 1 percent. People at the bottom and people in the middle benefited more from the tax breaks.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), the Speaker emerita of the House.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding and for his exceptional leadership, recognizing that the budget should be the statement of values of our country and how we allocate our resources reflecting those values.

I asked to speak because I hear Members on the other side talking about spending on the part of the Democrats, spending for education, healthcare, food, and all the needs for our children.

I want to remind all of them—speaking to you, Mr. Speaker—that tax cuts are expenditures. They are the biggest spending in this bill, to the tune of \$5 trillion added to the national debt to give tax cuts to the wealthiest people in the country at the expense of feeding our children, healthcare for our people, and the education of our children.

Nothing brings more money to the Treasury than the education of the American people from early childhood through lifetime learning and every phase of it in between.

You should be ashamed of yourselves to mock spending on education. The best dollars we spend are on educating the American people and the investments in scientific research. The Biblical power to cure that science provides for us is cut in this bill to spend \$5 trillion for the wealthiest people in America.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, budgets are value statements. We value letting working families keep more of their hard-earned dollars. We value stewarding tax dollars, protecting our most vulnerable, preserving programs that they depend on, and not allowing people in this country illegally to siphon money away, jeopardizing those safety nets.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. CARTER), my friend and fellow Budget Committee member.

□ 0400

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 1. We have a choice. We can continue down

our current fiscally unsustainable path where we have waiting lists for Medicaid, insufficient border security resources, and are facing the largest tax hike in American history, or we can change course.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act ushers in the golden age of America, as our great President says, where taxpayers, not illegal immigrants, are put first. It eliminates taxes on tips and overtime and brings tax relief to seniors. It saves and sustains Medicaid so it is there for those who truly need it. It secures our southern border, making every community safe.

All that is required of us, to unleash this prosperity, Mr. Speaker, is to vote "yes." I am proud to vote for this bill, and I encourage my colleagues to unleash America's shackles and make this country work for those who work for it every single day.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to my friend from Georgia (Mr. COLLINS).

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Speaker, campaign promises made and soon to be campaign promises kept.

I spent my entire career in the private sector. I know what those Trump tax policies did for me and for my business, my community, my State, and my country.

Now, with this bill, we are going to bring inflation under control, have energy dominance, make sure that small businesses have certainty with our tax policies out there. Also, Mr. Speaker, we are going to bring these Federal agencies under control by deregulation.

If we do that, we will unleash the American entrepreneurial spirit out there. This is a big day for Main Street. Wall Street has had their time.

I encourage my colleagues to vote for this bill, and let's get this thing to the President's desk.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to the time remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas has 3 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Pennsylvania has 5½ minutes remaining.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MCGARVEY), my good friend.

Mr. MCGARVEY. Mr. Speaker, a budget is a series of choices. Republicans are choosing to take healthcare away from 17 million Americans. Republicans are choosing to take food off the plates of hardworking families, kids, and seniors. Republicans are choosing to blow up the national debt by trillions of dollars. For what? All so the top 0.1 percent can pay even less in taxes.

I don't ever want to hear a Republican say they care about rural Amer-

ica or the debt ever again if they vote for this bill. A budget is a series of choices and theirs are indefensible.

I will vote "no."

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time for the purpose of closing.

This has been a very long day after many, many days that have stretched into the night to the following morning, such as this one, after a very long 6 months on this bill.

What really matters in these next few moments is that the decision we have been entrusted by the American people to make will have ramifications for millions of our fellow Americans and indeed for our country for decades to come.

There may not be a lot of people able to watch at 4:05 in the morning, but in just a few short hours, some of them on Medicaid will be waking up and turning on the news to find out if what we did here tonight means they are about to lose it. Some of the people who get their healthcare from the ACA exchanges will be turning on their TV to find out what we have done in these next few minutes and if they will still be able to have healthcare.

The folks like my dad, who are on Medicare, who are writing in, emailing, calling us, saying don't cut Medicare, they will find out in a few moments whether or not we have pushed through the biggest cut to Medicare in American history.

The kids who rely on SNAP, the nutrition assistance program, may not quite understand it, but make no mistake about it: What we are about to do in the next few minutes here will have a profound effect on their lives, not to mention the millions of Americans who may not be on Medicaid, Medicare, SNAP, or the ACA, but who rely on a hospital in a rural area, who now may see that hospital close because of the cuts that are in this piece of legislation.

Of course, for many years to come, we and our kids and our grandkids are going to be saddled with even more debt in order to afford tax cuts for those who need them the least. This is not just bad economics. I believe it is immoral.

I would remind us that the Congressional Budget Office, just last week, found in the final analysis of this bill, when all of its component parts are summed together, that the bottom third of households would be poorer, the middle of the country would be no better off, and the biggest benefit would go to the top 1 percent of Americans.

This legislation makes the poor poorer, the rich richer, and the middle class left behind.

On behalf of the millions of Americans who are relying on us to hold firm on behalf of this side of the aisle, we say: Hell, no. Vote "no" on this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I will start by thanking my good friend and ranking member, Mr. BRENDAN BOYLE. He is a good American, and he has been a great partner and colleague. I mostly appreciate his friendship.

We convene here before the Fourth of July. I think it is worth recalling those unalienable rights that sparked this American experiment: life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Our Founders built this Republic on the promise of freedom: the freedom to build, to strive, and to pursue our own dreams. But that promise only thrives when government stays in its place, preserving liberty, not suffocating it with unchecked regulation and unbridled spending.

This legislation reclaims that founding promise. It is the principal vehicle for advancing President Trump's America First agenda, unleashing a rising tide of prosperity, securing our border, modernizing our national defense, and supercharging energy, agriculture, all of the sectors of our economy that Washington has kept in a choke hold for too long.

Throughout this debate, Mr. Speaker, we heard one hollow Hail Mary attack after another designed to scare the American people and prey on their fears, the last gasp of a broken status quo.

For our part, we put our trust in the American people. We appeal to their aspirations, and we deal in facts, not fear.

There are the false claims they make that this only helps the so-called 1 percent, the top 1 percent, the superrich, when actually it locks in the largest middle-class tax cuts in history, putting thousands of dollars back in the pockets of families still recovering from the crushing inflation and cost-of-living crisis caused by the Democrats' failed economic policies and unbridled spending.

There is the complete distortion that Medicaid is being cut when, in fact, it is being strengthened for our most vulnerable citizens by eliminating hundreds of billions of dollars of waste, fraud, and abuse.

There is the fallacy that work requirements are somehow punitive when we know that they restore the dignity of work and lead to better opportunities and bigger paychecks.

All their myths are headed for the ash heap of history.

From day one, we said this was a generational opportunity to deliver the most comprehensive and consequential set of conservative reforms in modern history, and that is exactly what we are doing.

This isn't our moment, Mr. Speaker. This is the American people's moment.

I urge my colleagues to pass this bill and open up that gateway to a new golden age of America.

God bless America. Go west Texas.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 0410

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SMITH) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL) each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Missouri.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, before November, Americans wondered whether our best days were behind us. Democrats fueled inflation. They opened our borders, funded illegal immigration with your tax dollars, and increased IRS audits on the middle class, all while leaving the working class behind.

President Trump promised to make America great again, and 77 million—77 million Americans supported that promise. They voted for change. The One Big Beautiful Bill Act delivers for the American people.

This is the largest tax cut for working families, farmers, and small businesses in U.S. history. Households making under \$100,000 will see a 12 percent tax cut compared to what they pay today. The average family of four will see nearly \$11,000 more in their pockets each year. Real wages for workers will rise by as much as \$7,200 a year. A waitress working for tips will keep an extra \$1,300. A lineman working overtime after a storm will keep an extra \$1,400.

While Democrats defend illegal immigrants, fraudsters, and bureaucrats, Republicans are standing up for the American people, putting more money in their pockets. President Trump promised no tax on tips, overtime pay, or car loan interest, and tax relief for seniors. We are delivering on all of those items and more.

Families win in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Over 40 million households will benefit from a higher child tax credit of \$2,200. We boost the standard deduction to give a tax cut to the 91 percent of middle-income families who use it, up to \$31,500.

Young Americans win. We expand choices for educational freedom and open up savings options to include trade schools.

Moms and dads get new support for adoption, paid leave, childcare, and healthcare costs. Kids get Trump accounts, giving them a financial stake in our country's future.

We bring back American jobs and our manufacturing base by protecting or creating more than 7 million jobs, including 1 million new small business jobs each year.

We rein in Washington by delivering the largest cut in mandatory spending in U.S. history.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act is for the people who don't have lobbyists in this town: the farmers; the welders; the waitresses; the nurses who are pulling double shifts; the truck drivers who are hauling goods across this country; and the folks who work hard, play by the

rules, and ask only for a fair shot and a government that works for them, not against them.

It is for places like Peachtree City, Georgia; Yukon, Oklahoma; Petersburg, West Virginia; Erie, Pennsylvania, and all of the other communities that the Committee on Ways and Means visited over the last 24 months. The One Big Beautiful Bill Act is their bill.

It is about restoring sanity in a town that has lost it, cutting waste, and reining in reckless spending. It demands that, if you are able to work, you should. It stops asking working families to foot the bill for Washington's bad decisions.

Let's get this done, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 2 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, we might have visited a lot of places. Tonight, or this morning, I should say, why don't we visit reality. Here is the reality because we are going to talk about facts for the next 15 minutes on our side.

One thing you can understand very clearly is that the Republicans in the House surrendered to the Republicans in the Senate. Once again, when the going got tough, both institutions surrendered to the President.

This bill became worse along the way when it was already a pretty bad product. In terms of expertise, let's reject what the Congressional Budget Office says. Let's reject what the Joint Committee on Taxation might have to say. Of course, when the going gets tough, let's blame the Federal Reserve Board because of interest rates.

Under this bill, here is a fact, Mr. Speaker: If you made \$1 million last year, you are going to make an additional \$96,000 in the next tax-filing season. That is a fact. Yet, here is the real fact and the scam that is being presented to the American people with this legislation: If you made under \$50,000 last year, you are going to get 68 cents a day in terms of your tax relief. The Senate was too generous. They were at 73 cents, so the House Republicans wanted to go back to 68 cents.

Here is the real kicker: For a party that has preached fiscal rectitude that I have listened to all of my years here—all of my years here—voting for the balanced budget amendment, taking up all of these pursuits in terms of fiscal rectitude, they are borrowing an additional \$5 trillion to pay for a tax cut for the wealthiest amongst us.

Mr. Speaker, \$5 trillion is being added to the debt. I call attention to that because they are taking away health insurance for poor people. Hospitals are going to suffer. Medicare is going to be cut. The child credit will leave out the poorest, and seniors are threatened with losing many of the necessities of everyday life. SNAP, Medicaid, Medicare, and the ACA are all about to be gutted in the name of a tax cut for the wealthiest amongst us.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. KUSTOFF).

Mr. KUSTOFF. Mr. Speaker, I congratulate the leadership of Chairman JASON SMITH in getting this bill to the floor.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak in favor of President Trump's One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Here are the facts, Mr. Speaker: If Congress fails to pass this bill, taxpayers across the Nation will get a big tax increase. In Tennessee's Eighth Congressional District, the average household would face a 26 percent tax hike. This would be catastrophic for families, for farms, and for small businesses across the Nation. This bill not only stops this massive tax hike, but it will reduce taxes for Americans nationwide and turbocharge our economy.

When Americans voted last November, they voted for these policies put forth by President Trump and House Republicans. Now is the time for us to do this job and pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Too much is at stake.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the very distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON).

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, this bill shamelessly hurts our constituents, cuts healthcare for 17 million Americans, and closes one in four nursing homes and many rural hospitals. It rips nutrition assistance away from 11 million Americans, and it cedes leadership in the green energy economy to China—to China.

It raises energy bills for hardworking Americans. It cuts Pell grants for millions of students. It adds \$5 trillion to our national debt to give a tax break to billionaire donors who don't need the help in this environment. Mr. Speaker, \$5 trillion will be added to our national debt.

It pushes the American Dream out of reach for millions of working-class Americans. Every Member who votes "yes" will forever carry the shame of betraying hardworking Americans and put our kids and our grandkids in great, great debt.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on this bill. It is bad for our constituents. It is bad for America. It is bad for our future.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for the diligent work he has done in bringing folks together, hearing from all Members, and helping formulate a bill that is great for America and great for workers. We know that what we did in 2017 increased wages for workers at an amount even better proportionately than higher-income folks.

□ 0420

I will also point out that my colleagues across the aisle voted for a large portion of this bill last year.

Now, there are various criticisms that they have kind of pivoted I guess a bit, but when you really look at what we are doing, we are preventing a tax increase on the middle class. The average American household, without this bill getting done, will face about a \$1,500 tax increase. American Farm Bureau tells us that the average farm will face a more than \$5,000 tax increase if we don't get our bill done.

Mr. Speaker, this is the right thing to do. This is great for America.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DAVIS).

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition and can never vote for this big, bad, inhumane, and ugly bill because it will wreck healthcare delivery. It takes food from hungry children. It sentences seniors to early deaths. It eliminates jobs and destabilizes our economy just to give the super-rich and wealthy more influence, more power, and more wealth.

It takes from the poor, from the disabled, from the sick, from the hungry, and gives to the wealthy. This bill is cruel. It is immoral. It is a crime about to happen. It is draconian. It is dangerous. It is criminal. If you want to stop crime, vote "no," as I will.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. LAHOOD) for the purpose of a colloquy.

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I rise to enter into a colloquy with the gentleman from Missouri regarding the termination of the commercial clean vehicles credit in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Is it your understanding and intent, Chairman SMITH, for the purposes of this provision, vehicles shall be treated as "acquired" as of the date on which a written binding contract is entered into for their acquisition and a payment has been made?

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, yes, it is the legislative intent that vehicles shall be treated as "acquired" as of the date on which a written binding contract is entered into for their acquisition and a payment has been made.

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I associate myself with the comments of the managers. I am pleased to know their legislative intent.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I urge my colleagues to support this common-sense legislation.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SANCHEZ).

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, I flew overnight through thunderstorms and flash flood warnings to be here to stand up for my district this morning because Republicans refuse to stand up for theirs.

I have been in Congress for over 20 years, and I have never seen such cowardice from my colleagues across the aisle. Time and time again, we see Republicans fold like cheap lawn chairs.

Senator MURKOWSKI said it was a bad bill. Then why the hell would she vote for it?

I am here to stand up against a bill that kicks 17 million Americans off of their healthcare, a bill that cuts school lunches and food assistance to children who go to bed hungry every night, a bill that includes billions of dollars for ICE, an already bloated agency that has been upending due process and racially profiling people in my district for weeks.

Mr. Speaker, I say to my colleagues across the aisle, have you no decency? Have you no humanity? It is not too late to do what you know is right by your constituents and by your country. Vote "no" on this one, big, steaming pile of crap.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. ESTES).

Mr. ESTES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today on behalf of the Kansas families, workers, and small businesses who will benefit from the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

By extending and improving the TCJA, working-class families in my district will pay \$10,900 less in taxes and see increased wages of \$7,200 on average. It contains my bipartisan legislation to make research and development expensing permanent, a jobs provision ensuring America continues to lead the world entirely on innovation. It eliminates fraud and waste in ObamaCare, and it builds on President Trump's successes at securing the border.

Despite the misleading spin from my colleagues on the left, this bill delivers on what Americans voted for in November. It is time to pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL).

Ms. SEWELL. Mr. Speaker, this so-called big, beautiful bill is nothing but a big, ugly betrayal of Alabama families and a big handout to billionaires.

There is nothing beautiful about stripping healthcare from working families. There is nothing beautiful about taking food off the table of the most vulnerable neighbors, and there is nothing beautiful about putting rural hospitals on life support in communities that can least afford it.

Mr. Speaker, the cuts in this bill are not just numbers on a page. They are empty cupboards and shuttered clinics. They are hospital wings closed in small towns already struggling to keep their doors open. They are parents lying awake at night wondering how they will afford medicine for a sick child or how they will keep food on the table if they lose their hours.

Here are the facts: 170,000 Alabamians will lose their healthcare; 700,000 Alabamians will lose or have reduced their nutrition assistance; five Alabama hospitals will close, and it adds \$5 trillion to the national debt.

On behalf of the 750,000 Alabamians that I represent in Alabama's Seventh Congressional District and the 5 million hardworking Alabamians, I say

vote “hell no” on this bill, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from West Virginia (Mrs. MILLER).

Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of this big, beautiful bill.

In 2017, President Trump signed the largest tax cut in history into law.

Today, thanks to the hard work of the Ways and Means Republicans, we will make those tax cuts permanent and prevent an end-of-the-year tax hike.

This bill gives the average working family a \$1,300 tax cut and makes permanent the 199A small business deduction to keep our economy humming. This is a big win for our West Virginia businesses.

It provides relief to gig workers by ending the Democrats’ absurd \$600 1099-K reporting threshold and reverts back to the time-tested standard of \$20,000 and 200 transactions. It will provide economic relief, secure our borders, and ensure American energy dominance.

This legislation will make the life of the average American better, and I support getting it to President Trump’s desk.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I remind the gentlewoman that in 2017, the Republican Party borrowed \$2.3 trillion for that tax cut.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE).

□ 0430

Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, if you are a Republican with misgivings about the massive debt that this bill creates or if you are worried about stripping 17 million Americans of healthcare access, I tell you that now is your moment.

“A coward dies a thousand times before his death, but the valiant taste of death but once.” That is a quote from Shakespeare.

Vote your constituents. Vote your conscience. This is how you will be remembered.

Better to vote your conscience today and face an angry tweet than have your legacy be one of equivocation and cowardice.

“By their deeds you will know them.”

I urge all of my colleagues to oppose debt, death, and hunger. Oppose this cruel legislation.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 45 seconds to the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. FEENSTRA).

Mr. FEENSTRA. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman SMITH for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, President Trump’s One Big Beautiful Bill Act will dramatically grow our economy and reduce our deficit. It is the largest tax cut in

American history for families, farmers, workers, and small businesses.

This bill will help our Main Street businesses grow, invest, and hire, and it will increase the exemption for the death tax, which will help save 2 million family farms.

Additionally, this legislation formally funds the border wall, hires more ICE and Border Patrol agents, and creates American energy independence.

We must pass this bill to unleash economic growth and rural prosperity. Let’s make President Trump’s One Big Beautiful Bill Act the law of the land.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO).

Ms. DELAURO. I would ask my House Republican colleagues: Have you no shame?

This bill hands \$4.5 trillion in tax cuts to billionaires and the biggest corporations. It takes healthcare away from 17 million Americans. People will die, and 42 million Americans will go hungry. This bill triggers \$500 billion in Medicare cuts. It increases the budget deficit by \$3.3 trillion.

President Trump and House Republicans have abandoned their promise to lower the cost of living. They are making it worse.

I would say to my Republican colleagues: Have the moral courage to oppose this bill. The consequences of this vote will come due. They will not wait until next November. It will come tomorrow, and every day after, and every phone call and letter that your office answers. When your constituents tell you they cannot find health coverage or afford groceries, and they ask what you have done to help, I hope you have the moral courage to tell them the truth; that you did nothing. Vote “no.”

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 47 seconds to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. YAKYM).

Mr. YAKYM. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman SMITH for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

President Trump inherited a disastrous open-border policy from the Biden-Harris administration. There were nearly 9 million encounters at the southern border under President Biden, more than the last 14 years combined.

You can plainly see the difference between the first 5 months of President Biden and the first 5 months of President Trump on this chart.

As it turns out, all we really needed was a new President. Now the One Big Beautiful Bill Act will permanently secure the southern border. It completes the wall, funds thousands of new border agents, and equips them with the cutting-edge tools that they need.

I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” because border security is national security.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU).

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to Republicans’ big, ugly bill be-

cause of my constituents like Lisa whose son was diagnosed with a life-altering disease that left him unable to work by mid-age. Medicaid enables Lisa to be his full-time caregiver and ensures that he has the care he needs.

Unfortunately, for Lisa and her son, Republicans don’t care. They made it very clear over the past 6 months who they are fighting for. It is not for the most vulnerable like Lisa and her son, who may be 2 of the 17 million Americans kicked off their healthcare thanks to this bill. Instead, Republicans are fighting for billionaires like Donald Trump and the top 0.1 percent who will get an average tax cut of \$309,000 per year, while those earning less than \$50,000 get 68 cents a day.

To my Republican colleagues, there is still time to join Democrats in preventing this despicable bill from becoming law.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. KNOTT).

Mr. KNOTT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this bill.

After listening to the previous few hours, it should surprise no one that the party of open borders, the party of illegal aliens, and now the party of State-run grocery stores hates this bill because this bill secures America’s borders. It protects America’s farmers and America’s businesses. It protects us from tremendous amounts of waste, fraud, and abuse, and it stops the largest tax hike in American history.

This bill is a win for the American people.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 6 minutes remaining.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. SALINAS).

Ms. SALINAS. Mr. Speaker, with this bill, my Republican colleagues are betraying the American people.

In the dark of morning, they are trying to ram through legislation that will raise costs, strip away healthcare, take food off the tables of working families, and increase energy costs for all Americans, all to give tax breaks to billionaires and corporations.

Cuts to Medicaid will shutter rural hospitals, nursing homes, and health centers, leaving families with higher costs and nowhere to turn for care.

As millions of families right now are struggling to put food on the table, this bill cuts funding for nutrition assistance, forcing children, veterans, and seniors to go hungry.

This bill raises costs on everything, from energy bills to prescription medications.

This bill is a grave mistake. If it passes, my Republican colleagues may pay for it at the ballot box, but countless Americans will pay for it with their lives.

I urge my colleagues to have the moral courage to oppose this bill.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE), the majority leader.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman SMITH for not just yielding but for his leadership in getting us to this point.

Mr. Speaker, we are at the precipice of the new golden age of America. How do we get there? We get there by actually making the right decisions for those hardworking families who have been struggling.

If you listen to the people on the other side, Mr. Speaker, who have over and over again said they are against this bill and all the things that are in this bill for hardworking families, you would think the last 4 years things have been going great for middle America. I don't know what parallel universe they have been living in, Mr. Speaker, but we heard the message that the voters sent who said they are sick and tired of high inflation, of high gas prices, of not being able to fill up their grocery cart because of the spending in Washington, for Washington, at their expense.

What does this bill do? It says that we are finally going to turn that around and deliver a bill that focuses on families in America.

We hear a lot from the other side, Mr. Speaker, about billionaires, tax cuts for billionaires. If you go look at this bill—everybody has had an opportunity to read the bill. They actually read the whole bill on the Senate floor. It only took 14 hours. If you listened, one of the things you would find out, Mr. Speaker, is there are some new tax cuts in this bill, but not for billionaires.

It would beg the question: Why does the other side keep hiding behind billionaires as their excuse to vote no? Because they made a decision, Mr. Speaker, early on, they don't want to give people back their money. They want to keep that power in Washington. That is really what is at stake here. That is the big fight that has been going on for years.

The other side won that fight the last few years by raising taxes, raising spending, growing Washington. While they did that, families in America suffered. Inflation went up for those hardworking families while Washington grew. Interest rates went up to the point where they couldn't even afford to buy a first-time home because Washington grew.

We said that is enough. Washington has to finally start living within Washington's means so that families in America can finally start living the American Dream again. That is what this bill focuses on, Mr. Speaker.

How do we do it? We do it, number one, by locking in rates for everybody. Nobody's taxes go up, Mr. Speaker, nobody's. Now, the other side is upset about that, and I understand it. They don't want just the billionaires' taxes to go up because they know in this bill

the income groups that get hit the hardest. If a "no" vote prevails—and we have heard them, they are all going to vote "no," Mr. Speaker. But if a "no" vote prevails, the income groups that could get hit the hardest are the lower- and middle-income groups of America. They know that. They are watching this vote. You can say billionaires all day long, but do you know what the new tax cuts in this bill are? There are new tax cuts. For the waiter and waitress who are working maybe two, three shifts at a restaurant, at a diner, barely getting by, that is the new tax cut that we are putting in this bill.

You would say, well, then, how could the other side possibly vote against that? Mr. Speaker, they are. Maybe a focus group told them that if they curse enough and if they say "billionaires" enough, those families will forget what is actually happening. Those families are not going to forget because they are paying attention.

□ 0440

Do you know what the average pay for a worker in America who makes tip money is under this bill? It is \$32,000 a year. That is right. Billionaires aren't even allowed to be eligible for that tax break, but the waitress who is working two or three shifts, barely getting by, making \$32,000 a year finally gets a little bit more money.

Yes, every Democrat has bragged over here that they are going to vote against that. They don't want that waitress to get that money back in her pocket. However, we are going to make sure she gets that tax break, Mr. Speaker, because she is struggling. She maybe wants to put together a college fund for her kid. Maybe she wants to take her family on a vacation. She is going to have that opportunity when this bill passes.

Mr. Speaker, do you know who else we are going to give opportunity to in this bill? Sorry, it is not a billionaire again. It is that shift worker working overtime. The other tax cut is going not to billionaires, but to the person who is showing up at the factory, making stuff in America, and paying taxes on the overtime.

However, they are away from their family. They are looking at that check at the end of being away from their family for a few extra hours, trying to make ends meet for their family, and they think: Gee, I have got to give all that extra money back to the government, is it worth being away from my family?

We say, you know what? Yeah, normal tax rates apply on everything else, but if you work overtime, you are not going to pay taxes on that overtime. This is somebody making \$60,000 a year, not the billionaire. If you say "billionaire" enough, maybe that shift worker won't know what you are doing, but Mr. Speaker, they do know. They do know what is about to happen because everybody is watching this vote.

That is a good thing because that shift worker deserves the extra money back.

It might not seem like a lot to somebody who deals with billions and trillions of dollars up here in Washington and grows agencies by 20 to 30 percent every couple of years and just thinks if you double the size of an agency over 5 years, somebody is going to pay for that. Do you know who is paying for it? That hardworking family is paying for it.

We clean up waste, fraud, and abuse. It has been mocked by the other side. They think it is cool to mock what we are doing to finally root out waste, fraud, and abuse. Let the other party, Mr. Speaker, be the defender of waste, fraud, and abuse in Washington, but we are cleaning it up in this bill.

USDA has pointed out that there is about \$10 billion a year in waste just in the SNAP program because of fraud and improper payments. These payments are not going to children that you hear about on the floor, and not all these other people. It is going to improper payments, to fraud, \$10 billion a year.

However, Mr. Speaker, when you hear about the billionaires and you say, well, how could anybody vote against this bill? Think about what a "no" vote means. I am proud of the "yes" vote that we are about to pass to help those families. Mr. Speaker, everybody who votes "no," make no mistake about it, everybody who votes "no" is going to be asked a question by that waitress making \$32,000 a year saying: Why do you want me to pay more money on my tips so that \$10 billion of fraud goes out in the SNAP program? You are voting against cleaning it up, and you voted against no tax on tips. That is the "no" vote.

You can't hide behind the billionaire anymore. You are going to have to face the reality, Mr. Speaker, that this bill actually delivers for the working families of this country who have been struggling. They haven't been living on easy street the last 4 years.

They went to the polls in November and said: We want to try a different approach. This is that different approach, to give them more power, to give them more opportunity.

Mr. Speaker, do you know who we are giving opportunity to in this bill? Again, if you are going to vote "no," this is what the "no" vote will take away. We actually create something unique in this bill. It hasn't been talked about yet, but it is in the bill. I am really proud of it. We create opportunity in school choice for every—not billionaire—low-income family. Every low-income family in America will be eligible for a scholarship so that their kid can go to the school of their choice if they are in a failing school.

Now, if you vote "no," Mr. Speaker, how do you tell that family that their kid doesn't have the same opportunity which they deserve that every other kid in America can have?

If somebody can afford it, they can go to a private school. If that low-income family can't afford it, we are finally giving them a lifeline in this bill, Mr. Speaker, and saying you can have the same opportunity. A "no" vote says you don't think that parent deserves the same opportunity, and then you hide behind billionaires.

There is going to be no hiding, Mr. Speaker. We all know when this board lights up what the consequences are.

Why do we say there is going to be a golden era in this country? We are securing our border in this bill, too. We are opening up American energy in this bill, everywhere from the North Slope of Alaska to, yes, the Gulf of America, where President Biden had shut down American energy.

He green-lighted Russia's Nord Stream pipeline so Russia could produce their energy, funding billions of dollars to go fund their war against Ukraine, but in America, we were shut down. We open it up in this bill, Mr. Speaker.

American workers get to produce American energy, not just for America. It is going to lower the price at the pump. Again, if you are a middle-income family—the billionaire doesn't care what the cost of gasoline is. Do you know who does? That waitress making \$32,000 a year cares. When she saves that tip money, maybe she can go on a trip, get in her car and drive and afford the gasoline now because we will be producing more.

We actually have lease sales in this bill. For once, we can produce energy in America. We have great natural resources. We just had them shut down for 4 years by an administration that said no to American energy. They said yes to other countries' energy. Iran was able to sell their oil on world markets thanks to the previous administration. That party is over.

When America starts producing more energy, not only does it help lower the price for families here in America, not only does it create great jobs here in America, but all the bad guys around the world—Russia, Iran, Venezuela, you name your country—they are not going to have a cartel-like exclusive license on global energy anymore because America is going to be back in the game.

Let's get this country back in the game. Let's get this country back on track. Let's actually promote opportunity and the American Dream again for anybody who wants it. Do you want to work? There is going to be more opportunity than you have ever had before.

If you are disabled on Medicaid, right now you have been crowded out of those programs by people turning down work. Able-bodied people, 35-year-olds sitting at home playing video games are going to have to now go get a job. That is right. By the way, that is a good thing for them. Their mom doesn't want them sitting in the basement playing video games anyway.

Now it no longer will be crowding out Medicaid for the truly needy people who deserve it. The disabled people will not be getting crowded out of those programs by people who can actually go get a job, and there are going to be a lot of great jobs, Mr. Speaker, a lot of great jobs in this country. America is coming back.

You can vote "no" all day long, and you can hide behind billionaires all day long, but we are going to keep moving forward because this country doesn't sit down in the fetal position.

America is ready for a rebirth, and we are going to deliver it. President Trump is at the helm now. It is a new day. World leaders know it. The bad guys around the world know it. Those hardworking families who have been waiting for this relief for a long, long time are finally going to realize help is on the way because of Republicans in Congress. Any Democrats that want to join, Mr. Speaker, are free to, but they are bragging that they don't want to be a part of this.

Do you know what? The hardworking families of America are hungry for this kind of change. Take power away from Washington, give it back to the people, and watch what happens. Great things are ahead for this country. We are the greatest country in the history of the world. We are finally going to restore that great American Dream and that hope for those families who have been waiting way too long. That help is coming.

We are going to pass this bill. President Trump is going to sign it. It is going to be a great Fourth of July. Let's pass the bill.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute. I can't wait for the Republican Party to meet that waitress who is making \$32,000 a year and tell her in this tax bill the Republican Party just gave her 68 cents more a day because that is the reality of what this tax bill is about.

We could also say in the next breath, if you made a million dollars last year, you are going to get \$96,000. This is a fact-free argument. They are making this argument that the person at the bottom is going to do well in contrast to the person at the top. There is simply no factual basis to that.

By the way, the party of fiscal rectitude is borrowing \$5 trillion to pay for a tax cut for the wealthiest amongst us.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 0450

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I represent one of the poorest congressional districts in this country. I can tell you this piece of legislation is for those working families, those small business owners, and those farmers who are doing everything they can just to get by. It is not for the millionaires and the billionaires.

How many waitresses who are tipped are billionaires? How many billionaires

work hourly jobs and overtime? None do so.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BEYER).

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, from the Gospel of Matthew:

"For I was hungry and you gave me food; I was thirsty and you gave me drink; I was a stranger and you took me in; I was naked and you clothed me; I was sick and you visited me; I was in prison and you came unto me."

"Inasmuch as you have done it unto one of the least of my brothers, you have done it unto me."

Mr. Speaker, the bill before us takes food and drink from the mouths of the poor. It takes healthcare from the sick. A vote for this bill betrays these Gospel teachings. In our hearts, all of us know it.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 3½ minutes remaining.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. CLARK), the distinguished minority whip.

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for his leadership.

Mr. Speaker, as we are here in the early morning hours, and 60 percent of the families in this country cannot afford the basics. Mr. Speaker, 60 percent of the Americans cannot afford that first rung of the American Dream. This is an existential failure of the wealthiest Nation on Earth.

With this big, ugly bill, Republicans are on the verge of moving that dream further out of touch. They are making people sicker and poorer. We hear the message. If Americans do not have great wealth, they do not matter to the GOP.

If my Republican colleagues vote for this cruel monstrosity, they are condemning families to poverty. They are condemning seniors and veterans in this country to hunger. They are condemning children to sickness without treatment. They are voting to enrich billionaires at the expense of what makes us American, which is our freedom to build a better life for our families.

Mr. Speaker, the American people overwhelmingly oppose this bill, and they will not forget if my Republican colleagues sell out their freedom this Independence Day.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), our Democratic leader.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentleman from the

great Commonwealth of Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL) for yielding and for his tremendous leadership.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong opposition to Donald Trump's one, big ugly bill, this disgusting abomination, the GOP tax scam.

It guts Medicaid. It rips food from the mouths of children, seniors, and veterans. It rewards billionaires with massive tax breaks. Every single Democrat stands in strong opposition to this bill because we are standing up for the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I have heard a lot from my Republican colleagues who have expressed pride in this accomplishment. I ask the question: If Republicans were so proud of this one big, ugly bill, why did debate begin at 3:28 a.m. in the morning?

Republicans are once again, Mr. Speaker, as has been the case through every step of this journey, trying to jam this bill through the House of Representatives under cover of darkness.

Mr. Speaker, I am here today to make it clear that I am going to take my time and ensure that the American people fully understand how damaging this bill will be to their quality of life.

Republicans and Donald Trump promised that costs would go down on day one. Costs haven't gone down under Donald Trump and House Republicans here in the United States of America. In fact, costs have gone up.

We believe that America is too expensive, that the cost of living is too high, and that that is a priority that should be worked on in a bipartisan way.

From the very beginning of this Congress, our Republican colleagues have shown no interest in addressing the high cost of living in the United States of America.

Not a single executive order has been issued by this administration, Mr. Speaker, to address the high cost of living. Not a single bill has been passed, Mr. Speaker, to address the high cost of living in the United States of America.

This one big, ugly bill, this reckless Republican budget, this disgusting abomination is not about improving the quality of life of the American people. It will hurt everyday Americans in several different ways.

This bill represents the largest cut to healthcare in American history. It is an all-out assault on the healthcare of the American people.

It is an assault on Medicaid. It is an assault on Medicare. It is an assault on the Children's Health Insurance Program. It is an assault on the Affordable Care Act. It is an assault on Planned Parenthood and the healthcare of women all across the United States of America.

It is an unprecedented assault on the American people and their healthcare. We have almost \$1 trillion in cuts to Medicaid. This runs directly contrary to what President Trump indicated in January, which was that he was going to love and cherish Medicaid.

Nothing about this bill loves and cherishes Medicaid. It guts Medicaid. It guts Medicaid in a way that is going to hurt children. It will hurt families. It will hurt seniors. It will hurt people with disabilities. It will hurt women. It will hurt everyday Americans.

Hospitals will close, including all throughout rural America, Mr. Speaker. Nursing homes will shut down. By some estimates, one in four nursing homes will close as a result of this one big, ugly bill.

Community-based health clinics, which are the lifelines in neighborhood after neighborhood and all across this country—in urban America, in rural America, in suburban America, in small town America, in the heartland of America—will not be able to operate.

As a result of the lack of healthcare that will result directly from this one big, ugly bill, people in America will die unnecessary deaths.

□ 0500

That is outrageous. It is disgusting. That is not what we should be doing here in the United States House of Representatives. It is not what we should be doing.

In addition to the cuts to Medicaid, this bill will result in the largest cut to Medicare in American history—by some estimates, more than \$500 billion in cuts to Medicare as a result of the Republicans' one big, ugly bill.

This bill will also result in a devastating blow to the Affordable Care Act. That is no surprise because, since 2010, Republicans, Mr. Speaker, have been trying to gut and destroy the Affordable Care Act.

As a result of the damage that is done to the Affordable Care Act in this legislation, millions of Americans will lose their healthcare. The assault on healthcare in this one big, ugly bill will result in more than 17 million Americans losing healthcare in the United States of America.

Shame on the people who have decided to launch that kind of all-out assault on the health and well-being of everyday Americans. That is not what we should be doing here in the United States House of Representatives.

Because of the drastic cuts that are made to healthcare in this one big, ugly bill, people with private insurance all across America are going to experience increases in their premiums, copays, and deductibles. Millions of Americans in every corner of this country will experience an all-out assault on healthcare.

That is a very different vision, Mr. Speaker, that Republicans have, working hard to take healthcare away from the American people, than our vision. That is because we believe, as Democrats, that in the United States of America, healthcare should not simply be a privilege. Healthcare is a right that should be available to every single person in the United States of America.

This bill is an all-out assault on the healthcare of the people of the United States of America, hardworking American taxpayers. These are the people we should be standing up to work hard to lift up, but instead, they are victims of this legislation.

Hospitals will close. That impacts everybody in the United States of America. Mr. Speaker, if your hospital closes, whether you are on Medicaid or not, you will be unable to get the treatment that you or your family need. That is why we are fighting so hard to stop this bill. One in four nursing homes, by some estimates, will close as a result of the Republicans' assault on Medicaid in this one big, ugly bill.

This bill also represents an assault on nutritional assistance here in the United States of America. We have made great progress over the last 50-plus years as it relates to hunger in this country, great progress, but we are still dealing with the reality that tens of millions of people in this country go to bed every night wondering whether they are going to be able to get a nutritious meal the next day—tens of millions of people in this country, millions of children.

Instead of trying to address that issue, Mr. Speaker, the one big, ugly bill will rip nutritional benefits away from millions of Americans, including children. It will rip food from the mouths of hungry children, hungry veterans, and hungry seniors.

That is unacceptable. It is one of the reasons why we stand in strong opposition, Mr. Speaker, to this one big, ugly bill.

Republicans promised to address the high cost of living in the United States of America. We believe that the cost of living is too high. Housing costs are too high. Grocery costs are too high. Utility costs are too high. Insurance costs are too high. And childcare costs are too high.

America is too expensive. There are far too many people in this country struggling to live paycheck to paycheck. That should not be the case in the United States of America.

Instead of addressing the high cost of living in this one big, ugly bill, Mr. Speaker, Republicans are actually going to increase costs, particularly as it relates to the energy bills that everyday Americans pay. It is estimated that energy bills will increase by hundreds of dollars a year. That has nothing to do with lowering the high cost of living and making this country a more affordable place. It does the exact opposite.

It is an all-out and unnecessary assault on the clean energy tax credits, the clean energy tax credits that many of my Republican colleagues promised in letter after letter after letter that they would stand behind, but all chose to fold and abandon, fighting instead for special interests and opposing the ability for this country to stand up a cleaner energy economy, which means cheaper energy.

Because of the attack on clean energy jobs, on the clean energy economy, and on the economic development that had already been set in motion, often in districts represented by our Republican colleagues and in Republican-led States, red States, by some estimates, millions of jobs are going to be lost.

They are ripping healthcare away from millions of Americans, Mr. Speaker, and ripping food away from children, veterans, and seniors. They are raising the cost of utilities, raising their energy bills by hundreds of dollars, and stripping away millions of jobs all across America.

What is all of this being done for? It is to provide massive tax breaks to billionaires all across this country.

We are better than that in the United States of America. When we take an oath, convene here, and come to the United States Capitol, our job is to make life better for everyday Americans. The genesis of this bill, the focus of this bill, and the justification for all the cuts that will hurt everyday Americans in this bill is to provide massive tax breaks for billionaires.

It is extraordinary. Never in my time here in Congress have I experienced legislation that benefits so few people who fall into the category of the wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected, while at the same time hurting everyday Americans, whom we should be fighting hard to help.

□ 0510

Now, all of this apparently is being rushed because the decision was made by President Trump that he would like to get a bill done by the Fourth of July. What does the Fourth of July have to do with this one big, ugly bill? What does celebrating American independence, the birth of this exceptional country, the journey that we have been on for 249 years, any of that, have to do with this one big, ugly bill?

I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that if you actually look at the Declaration of Independence, what we find is that everything about this one big, ugly bill runs in direct contrast with what was set in motion by the Framers and the Founders of our great country.

Of course, the Declaration of Independence, authored by Thomas Jefferson, makes the famous statement: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."

Mr. Speaker, I want to lock in for just a moment on that aspirational objective, the pursuit of happiness. When it comes to everyday Americans, this one big, ugly bill will hurt the quality of life of hardworking American taxpayers, and it is all designed to put a happy smile on the face of billionaires. That is inconsistent with the Declaration of Independence and what we should be celebrating on July Fourth.

Thomas Jefferson and the Framers of this country set us on a course of action, a journey, a march toward a more perfect Union in part anchored in the pursuit of happiness, which decade after decade, century after century, those leaders who sat at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, leaders in this Congress on both sides of the aisle, tried to bring about for the greatest number of Americans. That is not what this one big, ugly bill is all about.

America is too expensive. There are far too many people who are living paycheck to paycheck here in this great country—that should not be the case—people who are struggling to survive, to make ends meet.

Mr. Speaker, imagine a country where everyone can afford to live the good life, work hard, play by the rules, and live the good life. We haven't reached that place in the United States of America. Imagine a country where everyone can work hard, play by the rules, and live the good life, with a good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and a good retirement—which means keep your hands off Social Security and Medicare now and forever.

We want a country where every single American can afford to live the good life, have a good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and then a good retirement.

We know that in the United States of America, to unlock that quintessential American Dream, living the good life, you have to work hard and play by the rules. But the challenge that so many Americans have right now in this country is that they are doing those two things. They are working hard and they are playing by the rules, but they are unable to experience the great American Dream. They are unable to get to that third leg. They are unable to live the good life. That is what we should be focusing on here in the United States Congress, not gutting Medicaid, not ripping food out of the mouths of hungry children, and not re-warding billionaires with massive tax breaks.

How can you prepare to celebrate legislation that will undermine the quality of life of everyday Americans? It is not just a hypothetical. It is not just hyperbole. It is not just hype. It will happen. Everyday Americans will be hurt by the one big, ugly bill.

We are here on the floor of the House of Representatives debating legislation in the middle of the night with millions of Americans having gone to sleep anxious about what would happen here on the floor of the House of Representatives, understandably concerned that their voices have not been heard in this debate, that their pleas for compassion have been overlooked.

Many of my colleagues, Mr. Speaker, on the other side of the aisle have chosen not to hold townhall meetings, not to hear from the voices of the people that they are privileged to represent.

We have embraced the American people. We haven't run from the American people. House Democrats will continue to run toward the American people and lift their voices up.

Mr. Speaker, I am going to take a little time to share some of the stories of the American people, voices that might otherwise be excluded from this debate. We are not going to let those voices be excluded. We will ensure that these voices are heard. We will continue to do everything we can to bring those voices to life here on the House floor and beyond, to stand up for the best interests of everyday Americans.

We heard from many of them throughout this process. I will share just a handful.

"With Medicaid expansion, I now qualify for Medicaid. Without insurance, my monthly bill for my three injectable drugs would be \$3,000, \$36,000 a year, and that doesn't include any of my other meds or my medical appointments. Who has that kind of money?"

That is Charles who lives in Alaska, the district represented by Congressman NICK BEGICH.

□ 0520

"Medicare and Social Security keep me alive. I am surviving on about \$13,000 a year, most of it from my Social Security checks. That is just enough to afford my rent, utilities, and food. Without Social Security, I would be homeless, and if Medicare did not pay for my medications and I had to pay full price, I wouldn't be able to survive. There are so many other seniors who are in the same boat. Without these programs, seniors like me would die on the street."

That is Paula, who lives in Arkansas' Second Congressional District, represented by my colleague, Congressman FRENCH HILL.

"My son is a 25-year-old young adult with profound nonverbal autism who needs 24/7 support. Our family has relied on Medicaid from the time he was 2 years old, when he began early intervention services. At 3, when he was diagnosed with autism, private health insurance denied him coverage for his preexisting condition. Medicaid stepped in to provide healthcare. From that point until today, home and community-based services through Medicaid have been vital to our son's health and well-being. Medicaid also helped his school district pay for medically necessary therapies at school. Our son is thriving and healthy, and our family can support him at home because of Medicaid."

That is Robin. She lives in Arizona's First Congressional District, represented by DAVID SCHWEIKERT.

These are stories from everyday Americans who have written to us to say to this Congress: Stand up for our healthcare, Mr. Speaker. Don't stand up for billionaires. Stand up for everyday Americans, for our health, for our safety, and for our well-being.

Gwendolyn from Arizona also writes. She says: "Medicaid is the difference

between my son being healthy, happy, cared for, and social versus being at home with aging parents who struggle to physically provide the care that is required daily. Medicaid funding means my son receives the incontinence supplies he needs, the internal feeding supplies he needs to live, and medications that his primary insurance won't cover. Medicaid matters because, without it, my son and millions of others like him may not survive."

Let me speak directly to Gwendolyn from Arizona: Her Representative may not be fighting on your behalf. As House Democrats, we are here to say to you: We agree. Medicaid matters, and it must be preserved. It must be preserved because Medicaid matters to millions of people all across this great country—to children, to seniors, to people with disabilities, to women, to families, and to people in every corner of the United States of America. Yes, Gwendolyn, Medicaid matters, and if your Representative won't fight for it, we will.

Brenda says to us: "My youngest child is a nonverbal 43-year-old female with autism who has been on Medicaid since she was 12. She has had three major surgeries and six or more outpatient surgeries, all made possible because of Medicaid. We would not have been able to get our daughter what she needed if it wasn't for Medicaid.

"She also has a seizure disorder and is on several medications. Some of them are way too expensive for us to afford on a blue-collar income. Finding doctors that are good and accept Medicaid are few and far between, but some doctors are better than none and the only way our daughter will get any medical care at all. She is one of the many vulnerable people in society, and caring for her is a full-time job for myself and her father, who are both retired and are full-time care providers for her.

"I am not sure why anyone would want to take this help and service from people whose very life depends on the help it provides."

That is a powerful and profound question: Why would anyone in this House vote to take away Medicaid from the American people amongst the most vulnerable here in the United States of America?

Brenda, from Arizona, lives in Arizona's Sixth Congressional District, represented by my colleague, Congressman JUAN CISCOMANI.

Garrett, also from Arizona—I am still in the A section right now, so strap in as we make sure we lift up the voices of everyday Americans all across this country. It is important that we take note. This isn't abstract, taking away healthcare from the American people. It is concrete. It is real. It has devastating implications.

Garrett says: "I was diagnosed with cerebral palsy at birth. Thanks to Medicaid, I was able to undergo multiple surgeries that significantly improved my ability to walk, transforming both

my mobility and daily life. Relying on Medicaid, SNAP in the past, and SSI, I worry about what would happen to me and my friends if these programs were taken away. Without them, I wouldn't be able to keep up with doctors' appointments, medications, and transportation."

Earlier today, my distinguished colleague from the great Commonwealth of Virginia read a passage of Scripture. It was one of my favorite passages of Scripture, Matthew 25 and 35 through 40. Later on this morning, as I continue my remarks, perhaps I will have the opportunity to go a little deeper into the meaning of Matthew 25 and 35. What I can say is that all of us should be trying to lift up the least, the lost, the left behind, the poor, the sick, and the afflicted.

□ 0530

Garrett tells us: "I was diagnosed with cerebral palsy at birth."

The first thing that jumped out to me when I read this was that we are all God's children, and we should be looking after all God's children to the best of our ability, not running away from them and undermining their quality of life.

Garrett, we are going to continue to stand up and work hard on your behalf.

Nancy from California—that is a great name. Nancy from California, writes: "My two special health needs former foster children depend on Medicaid for a dizzying array of health services, ranging from over 20 pediatric medical specialists and palliative care to behavioral health and occupational therapies. They both have rare, incurable, disabling diseases that require IEPs to navigate school."

She continues but I want to pause right there. I think that is an important point, talking about her children and the IEPs to navigate school. We should be looking out for children like Nancy's two beautiful, former foster care children, her two special health needs children, as she calls them. It is one of the reasons why the Department of Education is important. Public schools are important. Nothing in this bill supports public education.

In fact, this one, big, ugly bill represents an attack on public education, and that is not consistent with what we should be doing as we prepare to celebrate our 249th birthday and lifting up this pursuit of happiness.

Nancy continues: "The ACA protections for preexisting conditions and the removal of lifetime caps are also essential. My youngest child required medical care that I simply cannot afford, costing between \$750,000 and \$1 million per year, depending on how stable her health is. I work part time, but the caregiver burden is too demanding for me to maintain a full-time job. As a single, widowed mother, Medicaid has become a lifeline for keeping my kids as well as possible."

Nancy lives in California's First Congressional District represented by my

colleague, Congressman DOUG LAMALFA.

Laura lives in the Central Valley of California, an area of the country with the highest concentration of Medicaid recipients, the highest concentration of Medicaid recipients.

"I have been raising my six grandchildren for 15 years and they are coming of age, but not many jobs are available. Also, my 16-year-old grandson depends on Medicaid and EBT to survive. He takes many medications a day."

If you are not going to respect my ability to speak, respect the story that I am telling on behalf of Laura. Respect her story because her story matters. Her story matters and the American people matter.

"He takes many medications a day. I am too old to do anything but take care of him. I can't imagine choosing what medicines he gets or who gets to eat. Please reconsider for the people who need medication that is necessary for survival. Universal access to food and meds would lighten the worry that we carry. Not having to worry about food and medicines gives me more time to care for my 26-year old grandson who was quadriplegic due to a head-on collision last year. Please don't make him suffer any more. He needs food supplements and many medications every day to survive."

Laura lives in California's 22nd Congressional District, a district that is represented by my colleague, Congressman DAVID VALADAO. This happens to be the district that has the highest concentration of Medicaid recipients in this country.

Laura, I don't know what your Congress Member is going to do. Every single House Democrat is fighting hard to protect your Medicaid. We value you, and we are working hard to defend it.

Jacki is from the Orange County area of California.

"My son is 34 years old. He has Down syndrome and autism and lives at home with his aging parents—dad, 82, and mom, 71. His biggest joys in life for him are his day program and eating out. How small are his desires, and you want to take that away from him.

"He requires much care: bathing, shaving, washing hair, brushing teeth, dressing, et cetera. His aging parents can do the job required for this 100 percent of the time. He has great caregivers right now, and they have been with him for many years.

"Now you want to take that away from us. Please know that Medicaid, Medi-Cal is what allows us to keep him in a safe and happy environment that he will not get anywhere else and for a whole lot less money. Why should that change for him? Please be respectful of families who have high-need family members who need these services. Thank you for allowing me to speak on behalf of my son and everyone who loves him."

Jacki lives in California's 40th Congressional District, a district represented by my colleague, Congresswoman YOUNG KIM.

□ 0540

Kayla writes to us from Colorado: "At 26, I was diagnosed with brain cancer, a discovery I was only able to make because of Medicaid. Without it, I wouldn't have been able to get the treatment I need to survive. That diagnosis changed my life, and while I am grateful to be here, I can no longer work. Now, I rely on disability benefits, food stamps just to get by. These programs are the only reason I am able to live. Without this support, I don't know how I would manage."

Kayla lives in Colorado's Second Congressional District, a district represented by the assistant Democratic leader, JOE NEGUSE.

Kayla, I can say to you that your Congress Member is fighting hard to protect you, just like every single member of the House Democratic Caucus.

Ashley writes to us from Colorado and says: "My life was saved by the Affordable Care Act. Because of this act, I was able to stay on my parents' health insurance when I couldn't get any coverage. At the time, I was dealing with a myriad of health issues and would eventually be diagnosed with multiple chronic illnesses.

"Because of the ACA, when my husband and I were married, I was able to be added to his insurance without being denied due to preexisting conditions. Prior to the act being passed, I was denied coverage and care many times. If I was even approved for coverage, it cost me thousands per month in premiums. I was being penalized, Mr. Speaker, for being sick. There were many times when I could not get coverage that I had to choose between which prescriptions I would get to have or whether I would get all of my meds or the groceries we needed.

"You know, there are far too many people in the United States of America right now, before experiencing the devastating consequences of this one big, ugly bill, who have to choose between putting food on the table, clothing on their backs, access to medication, or paying the rent. The United States of America is the wealthiest country in the history of the world. Not a single hardworking American taxpayer should ever have to choose between food or medicine or rent or putting clothing on their back. Not a single hardworking American taxpayer should ever have to make that choice.

"If people did not have to worry about healthcare being accessible, they would be able to be seen when necessary, and when people are able to see doctors when they are unwell, they can stay healthy for longer periods of time. When people can stay healthy, they don't miss work. When people don't miss work, they don't risk losing their jobs.

"The way things work now only encourages the constant classist act of keeping the sick and poor sick and poor. This should never ever be the situation in a country that claims to have

the best functioning economy in the world, the best doctors, the best universities."

Ashley lives in Colorado's Third Congressional District, represented by my colleague, Congressman JEFF HURD.

Ann also writes from Colorado: "Through the Medicaid waiver, Scott Anson was placed in a group living situation that worked out for a while but was eventually kicked out. After that, he moved back in with me but ended up homeless once more before finally being placed back on a Medicaid waiver program.

"Now he is doing really well. His job, which he loves, also receives funding through Medicaid so that people with disabilities can be employed and be productive members of the community. Without Medicaid, he probably wouldn't be alive today. Without this assistance, we likely wouldn't be where we are now, and I doubt that there would be a happy ending to this story."

Anne lives in Colorado's Sixth Congressional District, a district represented by our colleague, Congressman JASON CROW, who is also fighting hard to protect your interests, as every single one of us in the House Democratic Caucus will continue to do.

We believe, in America, healthcare is not simply a privilege that should be afforded to the wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected. It is a right that should be available to everyone, a right that is still imperfect at this moment that we are working hard to perfect. This one big, ugly bill, the largest attack on healthcare, Mr. Speaker, in the United States of America, moves us in the opposite direction.

□ 0550

Daniella is also from Colorado. She writes to us: "Medicaid has been a lifeline for our family, especially for my 5-year-old daughter who has autism."

That is the thing, Mr. Speaker, Medicaid is important for so many Americans all across this country, including people with disabilities. It is a necessary lifeline so that parents can help their children live a better life with dignity and respect.

Why would any legislation that this House passes attack healthcare available to families that are pouring in everything they have to help their child under difficult circumstances live the best possible life? It perplexes me, Mr. Speaker, that this bill would go after the healthcare of people like Daniella and her 5-year-old daughter.

Daniella says: "As parents we have faced many struggles trying to balance full-time work, starting a real estate business, and still being there for our three other children. It has been overwhelming at times, and finding the right support for her hasn't always been easy, but Medicaid has provided therapy and services that have made a world of difference in her life.

"These therapies have helped her make progress, feel seen, and connect with her peers, which means every-

thing to us. It has allowed her to be a part of the world, to feel included, and to overcome obstacles that seemed insurmountable at times.

"Medicaid has helped us give her the opportunities she deserves and millions of other children in the United States."

Mr. Speaker, Daniella lives in Colorado's Eighth Congressional District, a district currently represented by Congressman GABE EVANS; currently represented.

Mr. Speaker, we heard from Martha, who writes from Florida: "I have an adult daughter with developmental and intellectual disabilities who needs Medicaid for her medical care. As a mom, I worry a lot about what the future will hold for her. Will she have access to quality medical care when my husband is no longer able to provide for her? Please, support individuals like my daughter who are vulnerable and need our help. Thank you from my heart."

Martha lives in Florida's Seventh Congressional District, a district represented by our colleague, Mr. Speaker, Congressman CORY MILLS.

We have heard from people from the heartland of this country. In Indiana, Kim writes to us: "SNAP and Medicaid were lifelines when my children were younger, at least when I was able to get them. As a single mom, working my way up from low-paying jobs, we often had too little food. My dinner was the combined uneaten food from my four kids' plates. Even then, keeping benefits was difficult due to missing or late paperwork, and faxes lost to the ether.

"I sometimes worked three jobs and cried too often when the letter came saying benefits were suspended. When that happens, you end up hungry for weeks until it is straightened out."

Kim lives in the Ninth Congressional District of Indiana, Mr. Speaker. She says: "SNAP and Medicaid were lifelines when my children were younger, at least when I was able to get them."

Let me pause right there for a moment because one of the arguments that I have consistently heard made, Mr. Speaker, by our Republican colleagues on the other side of the aisle is that they weren't going after Medicaid recipients, that no benefits would be taken away as a result of these so-called work requirements.

Let's fact-check that statement. Every independent entity that has looked at this question, including the Congressional Budget Office, has made clear that as a result of the one big, ugly bill, millions of Americans will lose their Medicaid benefits. These so-called work requirements are just a smokescreen because more than 90 percent of the people who receive Medicaid benefits who can work do work right now in the United States of America. Right now, more than 90 percent of recipients work.

What these impositions are are really paperwork requirements that are designed to make it harder for everyday

Americans like Kim to access their benefits. As a result, millions of Americans who are eligible, who are hard-working, who are deserving will lose their healthcare.

Kim says: "I sometimes worked three jobs and cried too often when the letter came saying benefits were suspended."

As House Democrats, Mr. Speaker, we are here fighting for people like Kim and millions of others like her all across the United States of America who will lose urgently necessary, life-changing, and life-sustaining Medicaid benefits as a result of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill.

We hear from Maria from Iowa in the great heartland of this country. Maria writes to us: "In 2021, I got seriously sick with COVID. I ended up in a coma for 12 days, spent 3½ weeks in the ICU, and then another 3 weeks on the recovery floor."

□ 0600

Mr. Speaker: "If it wasn't for Medicaid, I wouldn't have received any of the care I needed. I honestly don't know where I would be today. I don't know what my family would have done. Without Medicaid, I wouldn't have made it. If I lost Medicaid, it would completely change my life. I wouldn't be able to afford my insulin, diabetes medication, blood pressure medication, or see my endocrinologist, all of which are life-sustaining treatments. I also need to see my pulmonary specialist regularly. Without Medicaid, my health would deteriorate rapidly."

That is a statement that applies to millions of Americans all across this great country who are at risk of losing their healthcare because this one big, ugly Republican bill has put a target on their back.

"Without Medicaid, my health would deteriorate."

I am taking the time to share these stories because this is a question for so many Americans of life and death. It is extraordinary that on the House floor and in the middle of the night, the American people are forced to confront a bill that targets their healthcare and will result in their well-being deteriorating.

More than 17 million Americans are at risk of losing healthcare coverage. Then tens of millions more are experiencing increased premiums, copays, and deductibles as a result of the attack on healthcare in this bill. Many of them will also lose coverage and lose their healthcare.

These are Americans on private insurance or insurance provided by employers. But because of the healthcare attacks in this bill, their premiums, their copays, and their deductibles will go up. Some will not be able to afford it, and they will lose their healthcare.

That is what this bill represents. That is why I am taking the time to share these stories, experiences, concerns, fears, and anxieties of the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I wish we had a lot more time to debate this bill. This is

the type of bill that under normal circumstances we would have hours and hours of debate. Hundreds of Members could participate on both sides of the aisle and share their thoughts, their perspectives, their ideas, and their passionate support or passionate opposition.

This bill, which by some estimates is larger than the CARES Act, the American Rescue Plan, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, and the CHIPS and Science Act combined in terms of its possible impact on the debt in this country—increasing the debt by more than \$3 trillion and saddling our children and grandchildren with that level of extraordinary debt by people who claim to be fiscally responsible—the implications of this bill are enormous.

Yet, each side of the House Committee on Ways and Means was given 15 minutes to discuss the implications of this bill. Each side of the House Budget Committee was given 15 minutes to discuss the implications of this bill that will have devastating consequences for everyday Americans, while rewarding billionaires with massive tax breaks.

Mr. Speaker, it had been my hope that we would be able to have a robust debate, passionate support or passionate opposition, in connection with this bill. My hope was that hundreds of Members on both sides of the aisle could participate in it.

Instead, we have a limited debate where the relevant committees of jurisdiction have been given 15 minutes each on a bill of such significant magnitude as it relates to the health, the safety, and the well-being of the American people.

Because that debate was so limited, I feel the obligation, Mr. Speaker, to stand on this House floor and take my sweet time to tell the stories of the American people. That is exactly what I intend to do.

I will take my sweet time on behalf of the American people, on behalf of their healthcare, on behalf of their Medicaid, on behalf their nutritional assistance, on behalf of veterans, on behalf of farmers, on behalf of children, on behalf of seniors, on behalf of people with disabilities, and on behalf of small businesses.

On behalf of every single American, I am on this House floor after 6 a.m., and I plan to take my sweet time.

"Without Medicaid, I wouldn't have made it. If I lost Medicaid, it would completely change my life. It would also severely affect my husband with epilepsy. Without Medicaid, he wouldn't be able to afford his seizure medications, which means he would have seizures every week like he did before. This would leave him unable to function or work. Before he had Medicaid, he would have a seizure. It would take him days to recover. His health is inconsistent, which makes it hard for him to hold down a job.

"I still have my house, my car, my husband, and my health. Thank God for

that. Why should we have to struggle so hard just to live in a country with so much wealth? I am considered one of the lucky ones, and yet I still struggle to make ends meet for the basics."

□ 0610

That is Maria, pouring her heart out to us, as Members of Congress, about her struggles. She lives in Iowa's First Congressional District, represented by our colleague, Mr. Speaker, Representative MARIANNETTE MILLER-MEEKS.

"In a country with so much wealth, I am considered one of the lucky ones, and yet I still struggle to make ends meet for the basics."

Maria makes this important point as we stand on the threshold of the Fourth of July. Thomas Jefferson wrote those immortal words in the Declaration of Independence: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness."

Mr. Speaker, if I am not going to get any love from the other side the aisle, I would expect that at least Thomas Jefferson would. He wrote, in the Declaration of Independence, "that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness."

What is the pursuit of happiness in the United States of America here in the year of our Lord 2025?

Imagine a country where every single American can afford to live the good life—work hard and play by the rules in order to live the good life. That is the American Dream.

Our concern as Democrats is that, in the wealthiest country in the history of the world, there are far too many people like Maria from Iowa who are unable to achieve that American Dream. They are unable, despite working hard and playing by the rules, to live the good life—good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and a good retirement. That should not be too much to ask for here in the United States of America.

Instead of working to bring that American Dream to life for the greatest number of people all across the country, this one big, ugly bill, Mr. Speaker, that our Republican colleagues are trying to jam down the throats of the American people will undermine their quality of life.

I also heard from Patrick, who lives in the heartland of this country. He is one of the good people of Iowa who says: "I am a registered nurse in the ICU at the VA hospital in Iowa City. I have worked as a nurse for 30 years, and I am a native Iowan. I have two disabled children who are on Medicaid. My son had a severe brain injury as a child and requires extensive physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech therapy. My daughter is autistic and lives independently in housing provided by Medicaid. Before we had

Medicaid, we were bankrupt paying for their treatment. We would go bankrupt again if Medicaid is cut.”

These are complicated systems for people with very complicated needs. These “commonsense solutions” they are coming up with are not based in reality. People have tried these work requirements in the past, but even disabled or able-bodied people—we all have barriers, from childcare, transportation, and monetary limitations. It is a foolish idea to think that this won’t have a negative impact.

They are just trying to remove people from the program, and it is cruel.

Patrick is correct. I am grateful for his service as a registered nurse at the VA hospital. I am grateful for all that he is doing to love and cherish his two disabled children, who are on Medicaid.

He is exactly right. What is contemplated in this one big, ugly bill is wrong. It is dangerous, and it is cruel. Cruelty should not be either the objective or the outcome of legislation that we consider here in the United States House of Representatives.

Mr. Speaker, cruelty should never be the objective or the outcome of legislation that we consider here in the House of Representatives, but it is cruel to take away Medicaid from the American people. That is why, as House Democrats, we will continue to show up, speak up, and stand up in defense of the healthcare of the American people.

I am thankful for all of my colleagues who are here and who, throughout this process, a difficult process, have stood up over and over and over again on behalf of the healthcare of the American people.

I am thankful for my colleagues in leadership, Whip KATHERINE CLARK, Chair PETE AGUILAR, and all the members of House Democratic leadership. I am thankful for the leaders of every single committee who have been battling hard to push back against the cruelty that is in this one big, ugly bill.

□ 0620

I am thankful for the leadership of RICHARD NEAL. I am thankful for the leadership of FRANK PALLONE. I am thankful for the leadership of BRENDAN BOYLE. I am thankful for the leadership of ANGIE CRAIG. I am thankful for the leadership, the principled leadership, of every single member of the House Democratic Caucus.

Above all else, I am just thankful for the spirit and the heart and soul of the American people who have risen up throughout this country to make it clear to all of us in this institution: Keep your hands off our Medicaid. Keep your hands off our healthcare, our Medicaid, and the Affordable Care Act. Keep your hands off our Medicaid.

That is why, Mr. Speaker, I stand before you today to lift up stories on behalf of the American people who don’t have the great privilege and honor to stand on this House floor as all of us do, Democrats and Republicans alike,

on behalf of the American people in this great institution, the House of Representatives.

To me, what we are doing with this one big, ugly bill is an assault on healthcare, on veterans, and on nutritional assistance. It is an assault on good-paying, clean energy jobs. It is an assault on the high cost of living in the United States of America. All of it is inconsistent with the best of what this House of Representatives should be doing for such a time as this.

I stand here on behalf of everyday Americans like Elizabeth, who is also from Iowa. Elizabeth suffered from sepsis caused by untreated strep throat. After waiting to receive care, while uninsured and without paid sick leave, after receiving the care she needed, she was able to apply for Medicaid and have her medical bills retroactively covered.

Now, Medicaid has allowed her to get access to essential and preventive healthcare. Mr. Speaker, Elizabeth lives in the congressional district represented by our colleague ZACH NUNN, Iowa’s Third Congressional District.

I thank Elizabeth for highlighting one of the concerns that all of us should have with this bill.

When you launch an all-out assault on the healthcare of the American people, when you effectively end Medicaid as we know it, when you gut parts of the Affordable Care Act, what you essentially are doing, by stripping healthcare away from the American people, is putting people like Elizabeth back in the position that she had previously been in, where she has an untreated medical condition that won’t get better on its own, will likely get worse, and lead to further medical complications.

Why would we do that to the American people? That is wrong. We should be lifting people up in this country, not tearing them down. We should be lifting up everyday Americans, not tearing them down and putting them at risk of their life, their quality of life, their health, their well-being, getting worse.

We hear from Becky from the great State of Louisiana. By the way, Louisiana is a State with some of the highest percentages of Medicaid recipients of any State in the Union. Louisiana is a State at risk of suffering greatly as a result of the all-out assault on Medicaid that is part of Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill.

Becky tells us: “I have had Medicaid for years, and without it I wouldn’t be able to afford to see my doctors or cover what Medicaid doesn’t pay. As a senior citizen, I now have to go back to work just to keep a roof over my head, afford rising food and utility costs, and maintain my car. Meanwhile, the government keeps taking more and more out of my Social Security benefits, money I already paid taxes on while working. It feels like the middle class no longer exists. You are either rich or poor. Politicians today have no real

understanding of what it is like to struggle every single day. If they had to live like regular people, maybe things would improve for seniors like me.”

Mr. Speaker, Becky lives in Louisiana’s Third Congressional District, a district represented by our colleague Representative CLAY HIGGINS.

Louisiana is a State, of course, also represented by my good friend and colleague Congressman TROY CARTER, who is with us here in the Chamber, and also CLEO FIELDS, another good friend and colleague.

Louisiana is a State that stands to suffer mightily as a result of this all-out assault on Medicaid.

□ 0630

Mr. Speaker, I heard my distinguished colleague, the House majority leader, someone who I personally respect for his resilience, his perseverance, and his journey. I think he comes by his views in good faith. It is his perspective. It is the Speaker’s perspective.

Yet, many of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle have made the representation that, as House Democrats, we are not telling the truth to the American people about the devastating impact that Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill, a bill being rushed through this Chamber, will have on the health and the well-being of people in Louisiana and all across the country.

They have accused us of fear-mongering and making misrepresentations, and so I was surprised to learn, Mr. Speaker, that the Louisiana State Legislature—the Louisiana State Legislature that has a Republican supermajority—the Louisiana State Legislature recently voted to condemn the one big, ugly bill because of the extraordinary attack on Medicaid that will adversely impact their constituents.

We are telling the truth. This one big, ugly bill will hurt everyday Americans in Louisiana and all across the country. Don’t take our word for it. Take the word of the Louisiana State Legislature supermajority.

Mr. Speaker, we are here on the House floor taking our sweet time to tell the stories of the American people and the adverse impacts of Trump’s one big, ugly bill that my House Republican colleagues, Mr. Speaker, are trying to jam down the throats of the American people, causing great harm to those who we should be working hard to protect, love, and cherish, not adversely impact their ability to live and live life more abundantly.

Tamra, who lives in Louisiana’s Fourth Congressional District, represented by the Speaker of this great House: “I have depression and PTSD. I am unable to work and rely on Medicaid to get my monthly medications to keep me essentially alive.”

Tamra, we are going to continue to fight hard on your behalf.

We heard from Emily, who lives in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Emily tells us: “I had moved to Massachusetts in 2020 and was working a retail job for minimum wage. I was unable to afford much, but the State, thankfully, approved me for SNAP assistance and Medicaid. Without that jump start, I am not sure where I would be today. There shouldn’t be shame or stigma surrounding these programs. You never know when life will get pulled out from underneath you. The relief when these programs can come to your aid is indescribable.”

Emily lives in the Fifth Congressional District of Massachusetts, a district that is represented by House Democratic Whip KATHERINE CLARK, who is a strong champion on your behalf, Emily, powerfully, ethically, and principally fighting hard on your behalf.

Kasey lives in the Second Congressional District of Maine, a district represented by Congressman JARED GOLDEN. I am thankful for Congressman GOLDEN’s service. He served his Nation with distinction overseas and has come back home to serve this Nation in the United States Congress.

Kasey writes as a single mom of two young children and nonprofit professional in rural Maine. Kasey and her children rely on Medicaid and SNAP to live their lives. The resources and stability provided by Medicaid and SNAP allowed Kasey to leave her emotionally abusive husband and support her children.

Kasey’s 7-year-old son has ADHD and is on the autism spectrum and relies heavily on individualized support through Medicaid. If Medicaid goes away, Kasey doesn’t know how their family will stay afloat.

The American people are pouring in to us, Democrats and Republicans, in the United States House of Representatives, the people’s House, pouring in to us with all of their heart, all of their soul, all of their authenticity, and pleading that this Congress would find it in our hearts to stand up for them and not stand up for billionaires, which is what this one big, ugly bill is designed to accomplish.

Sarah writes to us from Michigan’s Fourth Congressional District: “My daughter has a heart condition, and the fact that she is on Medicaid is the only way that we can pay for her doctor’s visits and medications. I am a widow, and money is very tight, so the fact that I am on Medicaid, too, is the only way that I can pay for my own visits and meds. The loss of Medicaid for us would be absolutely devastating.”

Mr. Speaker, Sarah lives in Michigan’s Fourth Congressional District, a district currently represented by our colleague, Congressman BILL HUIZENGA.

□ 0640

“The loss of Medicaid for us would be absolutely devastating.”

That is the consequence of the GOP tax scam. This disgusting abomination, this reckless Republican budget, Mr.

Speaker, that Republicans were trying to jam down the throats of the American people during the dead of night, it is extraordinary.

No legislation that we consider in the House of Representatives should be devastating for everyday Americans, either in its objective or in its outcome, but as Democrats, we stand here in strong opposition to this one big, ugly bill because of the devastating consequences that will result to everyday Americans all across this great country.

Lucy writes to us from the heartland, from the great State of Michigan, as well. “My brother is a developmentally disabled senior who lives in a group home. Until the age of 59, he was cared for by our mother. He cannot live on his own and has numerous health issues. He depends on Medicaid. Without Medicaid, his brothers and sisters could not support his medical care.”

We are hearing from families all across the country: mothers and fathers, brothers and sisters, sons and daughters, husbands and wives pleading with this Congress, pleading with House Republicans to stand up for their loved ones who are on Medicaid, who are at risk of having their healthcare run over and their lives ruined by the consequences of Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill.

Lucy lives in Michigan’s Seventh Congressional District, a district currently represented, Mr. Speaker, by our colleague, Representative TOM BARRETT.

We have also heard from Sharon who lives in Michigan. “My daughter is a young woman who was born with cerebral palsy, mild cognitive impairments, and autism. She depends on Medicaid services for her health, safety, and well-being. She is unable to work or take care of herself. She is unable to work or care for herself without help. Without Medicaid, she is totally at risk. Cutting Medicaid to give tax cuts to wealthy billionaires is not only cruel and unethical,” Sharon writes, “but it puts vulnerable people, including children, at risk of severe mental and physical health problems. Do the right thing and rescind the drastic cuts to Medicaid for vulnerable people with serious physical disabilities and people with serious and persistent mental health problems.”

Mr. Speaker, Sharon lives in the 10th Congressional District represented by our colleague, Congressman JOHN JAMES. I don’t know how the Congressman from the great State of Michigan will vote at the end of the day as it relates to defending people like Sharon, but as Sharon writes—I know what I can say—she says: “Do the right thing and rescind the drastic cuts to Medicaid for vulnerable people with serious physical disabilities and people with serious and persistent mental health problems.”

Sharon, House Democrats will always do the right thing when it comes to your health, your safety, and your

well-being, your Medicaid, and the love and care that you are working hard to provide to your daughter. We will always do the right thing. We are not perfect. No one is, but we will always strive to do the right thing on your behalf.

As I work through these stories in the Midwest, it appears, Mr. Speaker, that I am about halfway through the stories as it relates to Medicaid, Medicaid, Medicaid. It appears that I am halfway through the stories as it relates to Medicaid, but the extraordinary thing about this bill is that it represents such an unprecedented assault on the American people that there are stories that need to be told as it relates to the attack on nutritional assistance for the American people, and I will tell those stories. The stories need to be told as it relates to the attack that has taken place on farmers in this country, and I will tell those stories. The stories need to be told as it relates to the attack on small businesses in this country, and I will tell those stories. The stories need to be told as it relates to the unprecedented assault on veterans in this country. How dare this administration attack veterans who have served this country?

Instead of attacking veterans, we should make sure that every single veteran in this country can live with the dignity and respect that they have earned.

There are stories that need to be told as it relates to the veterans in this country, and there are stories that need to be told as it relates to law-abiding immigrant families.

We have to secure our border. We believe that we have a broken immigration system that should be fixed in a comprehensive and bipartisan way, but we must also stand up for Dreamers and farmworkers and law-abiding immigrant families in this country. We will not abandon our Nation’s heritage as a country of immigrants, not today, not tomorrow, not ever. As part of what American exceptionalism has been all about, these are stories that need to be told.

□ 0650

William writes to us from the great State of Minnesota.

I am so thankful for our colleagues from the Minnesota delegation, fighting hard on behalf of that great State.

“I am a 57-year-old man living in central Minnesota. I am a type 1 insulin-dependent diabetic, legally blind, and I suffer from severe nerve damage in my back and legs due to diabetes. I rely on Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and SNAP benefits to support myself. Any reduction in these programs would severely impact my life and my ability to afford food, pay for my small apartment, and access medical care. Without these benefits, I would be destitute.”

William lives in Minnesota’s Sixth Congressional District, Mr. Speaker, a district represented by our colleague, Congressman TOM EMMER.

I would say to William that I don't know what the majority whip will ultimately decide to do as it relates to this one big, ugly bill, but we are going to stand up for the things that clearly matter to you. We are going to stand up for Social Security, stand up for your Medicare, stand up for Medicaid, stand up for your SNAP benefits, and stand up for you.

I would also note, parenthetically, it is not rhetorical that we are claiming to stand up for people like William, a 57-year-old man living in central Minnesota. He notes two things that are of interest to me. He says that "I am a type 1 insulin-dependent diabetic," and then also says, "I rely on Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and SNAP benefits to support myself," which suggests to me that William actually may have been a beneficiary of some of the hard work that has taken place on this side of the aisle.

His life is not perfect. There is still a lot of work that needs to be done, but as a type 1 insulin-dependent diabetic who is also on Medicare, as a result of the Inflation Reduction Act, his insulin at this point always and forever will be no more than \$35 per month.

That is a downpayment, William, on the type of work we believe needs to be done because we are committed to lowering your costs, despite all the talk that comes from my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, on day one promising to lower the high costs of living, that costs would go down on day one.

Costs haven't gone down, Mr. Speaker. In the United States of America, costs are going up. Life remains far too expensive, and as a result of some of the reckless policies coming out of the Trump administration, including the Trump tariffs, on-again, off-again, creating so much uncertainty, but certainly will result in thousands of dollars per year in additional costs on everyday Americans.

We are committed to lowering the high costs of living. We are committed to making sure that every single person in this country can afford to live the good life—good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for their children, and a good retirement. We are committed to working hard to make sure that every single American, like William, can afford to live the good life.

This one big, ugly bill does the exact opposite. It doesn't make life more affordable, Mr. Speaker. It makes life more expensive.

Heather from the great State of Minnesota writes: "Without Medicaid, my three kids and I would have no insurance. I couldn't afford my epilepsy and schizophrenia medications. My kids wouldn't have access to healthcare, including ER visits, physicals, vaccines, and dental cleanings."

Heather lives in Minnesota's Eighth Congressional District, represented by our colleague, Mr. Speaker, Congressman PETER STAUBER.

Lynn writes to us from Missouri, the Show Me State, a State ably represented by Representative EMANUEL CLEAVER and Representative WESLEY BELL. Lynn writes to us and says: "I am a widow, near 70, with very little family left and have been diagnosed with breast cancer. I have an adult son severely disabled. He already has lost transportation services due to low Medicaid funding. If Medicaid is cut, it will be disastrous for my situation." Lynn knows that this one big, ugly bill puts a target on the back of Medicaid.

One of the Senators from the great State of Missouri, Senator JOSH HAWLEY, spent months warning about the damage that Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill would do to the people of Missouri as a result of the all-out assault on Medicaid and then turned around and voted to cut Medicaid from his constituents.

It is so shocking, so disappointing, so heartbreaking for many Americans that a lot of people in the House of Representatives, Mr. Speaker, and a lot of people in the Senate have talked a good game about Medicaid but then turned around and done nothing to protect it. That is shameful. It disgusts me because I want to believe in the good faith of every Member to look out for the people that they are privileged to serve.

□ 0700

Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill is an all-out assault on healthcare, an all-out assault on Medicaid, with the largest cut to Medicaid in American history.

This legislation will end Medicaid as we know it. Millions of people will lose their healthcare. Hospitals will close, including in rural America. People will lose their nursing homes. One in four nursing homes may close as a result of this dangerous and extreme Republican budget.

Community-based health clinics, relied upon by many people all across this country, will shutter. As a result of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, people in this country will die unnecessary deaths. That is unacceptable. That is unconscionable. That is un-American.

That is why, as House Democrats, we stand on this floor aggressively pushing back for the everyday Americans, on their behalf, pushing back on their behalf because they will be so absolutely devastated if this bill were to pass in the House of Representatives.

Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill hurts everyday Americans and rewards billionaires with massive tax breaks.

Why is it that every time, Mr. Speaker, Republicans have the opportunity to govern in a partisan way, Republicans immediately race to legislation that provides massive tax breaks for the wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected in this country. We have seen this happen all over time.

During the Presidency of Ronald Reagan, massive tax cuts for the

wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected added to our Nation's debt and deficit.

During the Presidency of George W. Bush, in 2001 and 2003 yet again, there were massive tax cuts for the wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected.

In fact, the Republican President at that time in 2001 inherited a budget surplus from President Bill Clinton and immediately turned around and took that budget surplus and turned it into a deficit. He exploded the debt.

Don't ever lecture us about fiscal responsibility—not now, not ever. Mr. Speaker, Republicans are not the party of fiscal responsibility. They are the party of fiscal irresponsibility over and over and over again.

Now, with this bill, they have taken it to a whole other level because in addition to exploding the debt by more than \$3 trillion—and by some estimates even more than that—they are saddling our children and our grandchildren with trillions of dollars in additional debt.

Why? It appears to subsidize the lifestyles of the rich and shameless. That is extraordinary to me. It shouldn't be surprising because it is exactly what we saw during the first 4 years of the Trump administration where the signature legislative accomplishment was the 2017 GOP tax scam where, by some estimates, 83 percent of the benefits would go to the wealthiest 1 percent in this country, saddling, at the time, our children and grandchildren with more than \$2 trillion in additional debt.

Yet, Mr. Speaker, many of our Republican colleagues want to lecture America about fiscal responsibility and then have the nerve to come to the House floor and try to jam a bill down the throats of the American people with a debate that began at 3:28 a.m. that would saddle them with more than \$3 trillion in additional debt to provide massive tax breaks to billionaires in this country, and then pay for some of it by going after working-class Americans, everyday Americans, hard-working American taxpayers, middle-class Americans, children, veterans, seniors, and people with disabilities. Mr. Speaker, Trump's one big, ugly bill is obscene in that regard.

It seems to me that all of us have a responsibility as leaders to try to make life better for the American people. That is what leaders have done, particularly those who have served in the White House, when it comes to actually lifting up the people who we are entrusted to represent. That is what great leaders do, leaders like FDR, President Johnson, President Obama, and so many others. That is what the track record says.

The American people in this country deserve real leadership. What we have seen from complete Republican rule in Washington, D.C., Mr. Speaker, is not leadership that lifts up the American people. It is leadership that is tearing them down and tearing us apart. The first 6 months of this administration

have been characterized by chaos, cruelty, and corruption. That is not real leadership.

We know what real leadership looks like in the United States of America. Real leadership shows courage. Real leadership shows compassion. Real leadership shows commitment, working with Congress as a separate and coequal branch of government, not to bend the knee to some extreme agenda, which is what, Mr. Speaker, my House Republican colleagues are doing by supporting this one big, ugly bill. Real leadership requires working with Congress as a separate and coequal branch of government to actually lift people up.

□ 0710

Mr. Speaker, when President Lincoln addressed the House of Representatives—I believe it was his second annual message to Congress on December 1, 1862, in the middle of the Civil War, an existential crisis that we were facing in the United States of America—he showed real leadership when he summoned this Congress to rise to the occasion.

In that second annual message to Congress on December 1, 1862, President Lincoln said:

“Fellow citizens, we cannot escape history. We of this Congress and this administration will be remembered in spite of ourselves. No personal significance or insignificance can spare one or another of us. The fiery trial through which we pass, will light us down, in honor or dishonor, to the latest generation.

“We say we are for the Union. The world will not forget that we say this. We know how to save the Union. The world knows we do know how to save it.

“We—even we here—hold the power and bear the responsibility. In giving freedom to the slave, we assure freedom to the free—honorable alike in what we give, and what we preserve. We shall nobly save or meanly lose the last best hope of Earth.”

That is America: Even to this day, the last best hope of Earth.

It is extraordinary that Abraham Lincoln, in America's infancy, understood the importance of this country, not just for its citizens but for the world. He urges Congress:

“We shall nobly save or meanly lose the last best hope of Earth. Other means may succeed; this could not fail. The way is plain, peaceful, generous, just—a way which, if followed, the world will ever applaud, and God must forever bless.”

That is Presidential leadership. That kind of leadership is missing in the United States of America right now.

Exhibit A is the fact that, at President Trump's urging, Mr. Speaker, our House Republican colleagues are here and ready to recklessly rubberstamp legislation that will rip healthcare away from more than 17 million Americans.

That is not leadership. That is not the leadership that this country deserves, but that is what the American people are experiencing because of Republican rule here in Washington, D.C. Yet, we know, Mr. Speaker, that things can be different.

At the very beginning of the preamble of the United States Constitution, those words are written:

“We, the people, in order to form a more perfect Union.”

I like that.

“We, the people, in order to form a more perfect Union.”

That is a recognition of the aspirational objective that all of us should have. It is part of our sacred charge to make life better for the American people while also acknowledging that at its birth and even to this day, America is not a perfect country. The gift that we have been given is that sacred charge to strive for a more perfect Union and to look out for every single American as best we can.

We have seen that kind of leadership across the years, across the decades, and across the centuries, particularly as it relates to the social safety net that is under extreme assault right now. Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill represents an unprecedented assault on America's social safety net and an unprecedented assault on hardworking taxpayers.

It is the exact opposite of the type of leadership we have seen in this country that is designed to march us toward a more perfect Union. It is one of the reasons why we can't forget American history. We need to learn from and lift up American history, as well as all types of history.

I will address that issue later, but let me also parenthetically make it clear. Black history is American history. We will never let anyone erase it. We need to learn from it and not erase it. We will never let that happen.

Our history teaches us that what leadership actually does is set us on a course toward a more perfect Union. Leaders have recognized that part of doing that is to make sure we are there for everyday Americans who would otherwise find themselves in an incredibly vulnerable situation.

□ 0720

President Franklin D. Roosevelt, reflecting upon Social Security, which he championed, uttered these words:

“Long before the economic blight of the Depression descended on the Nation, millions of our people were living in wastelands of want and fear. Men and women too old and infirm to work either depended on those who had but little to share or spent their remaining years within the walls of a poorhouse. Fatherless children early learned the meaning of being a burden to relatives or to the community. Men and women, still strong, still young, but discarded as gainful workers, were drained of self-confidence and self-respect.

“The millions of today want, and have a right to, the same security their

forefathers sought: the assurance that with health and the willingness to work they will find a place for themselves in the social and economic system of the time.

“Because it has become increasingly difficult for individuals to build their own security single-handed, government must now step in and help them lay the foundation stones, just as government in the past has helped lay the foundation of business and industry. We must face the fact that in this country we have a rich man's security and a poor man's security and that the government owes equal obligations to both. National security is not a half-and-half manner. It is all or none.”

President Roosevelt further went on to say: “The Social Security Act offers to all our citizens a workable and working method of meeting urgent present needs and of forestalling future need. It utilizes the familiar machinery of our Federal-State Government to promote the common welfare and the economic stability of the Nation. The act does not offer anyone, either individually or collectively, an easy life, nor was it ever intended so to do. None of the sums of money paid out to individuals in assistance or in insurance will spell anything approaching abundance. But they will furnish that minimum necessity to keep a foothold, and that is the kind of protection Americans want.”

Truer words could never have been spoken. That is the type of protection that we should be building upon here in the United States Congress, not undermining.

President Franklin D. Roosevelt went on to say: “What we are doing is good, but it is not good enough. To be truly national, a social security program must include all those who need its protection. Today, many of our citizens are still excluded from old-age insurance and unemployment compensation because of the nature of their employment. This must be set aright, and it will be.”

He continues to say to the American people: “I am hopeful that on the basis of studies and investigations now underway, the Congress will improve and extend the law. I am also confident that each year will bring further development in Federal and State Social Security legislation, and that is as it should be. One word of warning, however. In our efforts to provide security for all of the American people, let us not allow ourselves to be misled by those who advocate shortcuts to Utopia of fantastic financial schemes.

“We have come a long way, but we still have a long way to go. There is still today a frontier that remains unconquered, an America unclaimed. This is the great, the nationwide frontier of insecurity, of human want and fear. This is the frontier—the America—we have set ourselves to reclaim.”

President Franklin D. Roosevelt pioneered Social Security but recognized that the work was unfinished, even as

it related to making sure every single American, regardless of race or position in life, would have Social Security to be able to live out their golden years with grace and dignity.

President Franklin D. Roosevelt talked about this frontier that America must continue to pursue, conquering the “frontier of insecurity, of human want and fear.”

That is leadership. That is what we should be doing here in the United States of America, Mr. Speaker, not enacting devastating cuts to healthcare that will hurt millions of everyday Americans.

All of this is also unfolding in an environment where Social Security is now under attack. That is extraordinary to me. Social Security is a sacred promise. It is a sacred trust between the American people and its stewards, her stewards, in government.

That is why I am so thankful for leadership as it relates to Social Security, for people like Congressman JOHN LARSON, who recognizes that leadership is not attacking Social Security. We, as House Democrats, led by JOHN LARSON, are determined to protect and strengthen Social Security for the American people, keeping FDR’s promise of conquering that frontier of financial insecurity.

That is what we should be doing in the United States Congress, not attacking the social safety net, Mr. Speaker. Many of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle constantly call things like Social Security and Medicare entitlement programs. They label Social Security and Medicare entitlement programs.

By the way, as a result of this one big, ugly bill, Medicare will be cut potentially by more than \$500 billion. The largest cut to Medicare in American history will be set in motion by Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill, and now cosigned, it appears, by House Republicans.

□ 0730

Mr. Speaker, House Republicans have also cosigned this extraordinary assault on Social Security, closing offices, cutting off access to phone service, making it harder for seniors to access their hard-earned benefits.

Social Security is not an entitlement program. Medicare is not an entitlement program, Mr. Speaker. I learned this very early on in life. When I was 15 years old, I secured my first job. I was a messenger traveling from office to office in Midtown Manhattan delivering mail and delivering packages. I was just a sophomore at Midwood High School in Brooklyn, when I got my first job, earning minimum wage, \$3.35 an hour. I was thankful for that money, having been raised by my mom and dad in a working-class neighborhood in central Brooklyn. It was \$3.35 an hour. I was coming of age in the middle of the crack cocaine epidemic in central Brooklyn.

I couldn’t wait to get my first check. I was told because I was working part-

time and I was a high school student that the money that I made would not be taxed. So as I was anticipating that first check, I multiplied the amount that I was making, \$3.35 per hour, by the number of hours that I expected to work during that pay period. I calculated the total, and, Mr. Speaker, that money was already spent.

I couldn’t wait to go to Albee Square Mall in Brooklyn and get some new sneakers so I could look fresh like Run-DMC. The money was already spent, Mr. Speaker, because I was told that as a high school student working part-time, my first job, the money that I would make would not be taxed.

Then I got my first check, and I had two questions, Mr. Speaker: Who is FICA, and why is he taking my money?

Mr. Speaker, what I learned is that every single American, from your first job to every single job that you hold until your last job, pays into Social Security and Medicare through FICA.

Social Security and Medicare aren’t entitlement programs. They are earned benefits, earned benefits that people work hard for, and earn throughout their entire life. They are benefits that they deserve.

So keep your hands off Social Security and Medicare, the earned benefits of the American people. Hands off these earned benefits.

It is extraordinary that as a result of this one big, ugly bill, Medicare could be cut by more than \$500 billion and these earned benefits of the American people could be jeopardized.

Others have noted that because of Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill, the insolvency of Social Security and Medicare could be accelerated. This is the exact opposite of that sacred charge that President Franklin D. Roosevelt articulated to the Nation as he reflected upon the important first step that was taken with the establishment of Social Security.

He is not the only one. This is a great country, an exceptional country. There are so many examples of what leadership actually looks like, leadership that we are not seeing right now from the majority in the House of Representatives, leadership that we did not see on the Republican side of the aisle in the United States Senate in passing this disgusting abomination, and certainly we are not seeing this level of leadership coming out of 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue.

I am thankful that we have examples of leadership echoing throughout our history that we can reflect upon and be inspired by as we navigate our way through these challenging times.

President Truman was from the great State of Missouri, EMMANUEL CLEAVER’s State, the good Reverend Dr. EMANUEL CLEAVER, my friend and my mentor. Mr. Truman, shortly after ending World War II—we are so thankful for the sacrifice, the bravery, and the courage of the Greatest Generation. A few months after, he unexpectedly became President, he replaced the

great FDR. On November 19, 1945, he delivered a special message to Congress recommending a comprehensive health program.

I want to read just a few of those words because it shows us what leadership actually looks like, what it sounds like, what it feels like to have Presidential leadership that we in Congress should aspire to working with, as opposed to bending the knee to an extreme agenda reflected in this one big, ugly bill.

President Truman said to this Congress in a statement recommending a comprehensive health program: “Millions of our citizens do not now have a full measure of opportunity to achieve and to enjoy good health. Millions do not now have protection or security against the economic effects of sickness. And the time has now arrived for action to help them attain that opportunity and help them get that protection.”

□ 0740

President Truman goes on to say: “Our new Economic Bill of Rights should mean health security for all, regardless of residence, station, or race—everywhere in the United States.”

He says: “regardless of residence, station, or race.” That is Presidential leadership that brings people together. It doesn’t seek to divide us, which is what, unfortunately, is happening in the United States of America right now.

President Truman goes on to say to Congress: “We should resolve now that the health of this Nation is a national concern; that financial barriers in the way of attaining health shall be removed; that the health of all its citizens deserves the help of all the Nation.”

Truman goes on to articulate the challenges that exist in America as it relates to illnesses. He articulates several of them. He talks about the deficiencies in healthcare that existed across America and the fact that in the aftermath of World War II, it was clear that so many people without coverage were medically infirm. He made clear that is unacceptable in the United States of America.

He articulated a forward-looking vision for universal healthcare; an aspirational vision. It was a vision designed to lift the American people up, not rip healthcare away from them, which is what this one big, ugly bill will do, ripping healthcare away from more than 17 million Americans.

That is shameful. It disgusts me that we are on the floor of the House of Representatives right now having to push back so aggressively against an all-out assault on the healthcare of the American people when we should be trying to lift them up in a manner consistent, Mr. Speaker, with what President Truman once charged this Congress with doing.

Of course, he wasn’t the only one. We are thankful for other leaders who

worked with this Congress in prior years to actually advance the health, safety, and well-being of the American people.

President Lyndon Baines Johnson, in connection with the Great Society, was inspired, in part, by his own experiences growing up in the hill country of Texas and seeing rural poverty and the devastating impacts of it. It was something that he was inspired to address, as opposed to this one big, ugly Republican bill that will result in rural hospitals closing in the United States of America. That is not the type of leadership that this country needs or that rural America needs. I am thankful that we have examples of the type of leadership that hopefully, Mr. Speaker, we can aspire to achieve in this country.

President Lyndon Baines Johnson, in connection with the signing of Medicare and Medicaid, spoke to the American people.

He said: "Because the need for this action is plain; and it is so clear indeed that we marvel not simply at the passage of this bill, but what we marvel at is that it took so many years to pass it. And I am so glad that Amy Forand is here to see it finally passed and signed—one of the first authors.

"There are more than 18 million Americans over the age of 65. Most of them have low incomes. Most of them are threatened by illness and medical expenses that they cannot afford.

"And through this new law, Mr. President, every citizen will be able, in his productive years when he is earning, to insure himself against the ravages of illness in his old age."

President Johnson was referring to President Harry Truman, who was there at the signing ceremony, having recognized President Truman's vision.

He says: "And through this new law, Mr. President, every citizen will be able, in his productive years when he is earning, to insure himself against the ravages of illness in his old age."

That was the beauty of Medicare. You pay into it. It is an earned benefit, and you can reap the benefits in your golden years.

President Johnson went on to say: "This insurance will help pay for care in hospitals, in skilled nursing homes, or in the home."

It is ironic. That is what Presidential leadership looks like.

LBJ says: "This insurance will help pay for care in hospitals, in skilled nursing homes, or in the home."

Yet, Mr. Speaker, we are here 60 years later, and Republicans are trying to jam a budget down the throats of the American people that will close hospitals, close nursing homes, and detonate the ability of millions of people to access home care.

That is shameful. It is not the type of leadership that this country needs right now, but that is what we are getting: chaos, cruelty, and corruption.

President Johnson went on to talk about Medicaid, which is the subject of

an all-out assault now by this reckless Republican budget, the GOP tax scam, this one big, ugly bill.

I will make sure that the RECORD reflects what enlightened leadership had to say about Medicaid: "The benefits under the law are as varied and broad as the marvelous modern medicine itself. If it has a few defects—such as the method of payment of certain specialists—then I am confident those can be quickly remedied, and I hope they will be.

"No longer will older Americans be denied the healing miracle of modern medicine. No longer will illness crush and destroy the savings that they have so carefully put away over a lifetime so that they may enjoy dignity in their later years. No longer will young families see their own incomes, and their own hopes, eaten way . . .

"No longer will this Nation refuse the hand of justice to those who have given a lifetime of service and wisdom and labor to the progress of this progressive country."

Medicare and Medicaid benefit older Americans right now, people who have given a lifetime of service and wisdom and labor to the progress of this progressive country, as LBJ spoke to.

□ 0750

In this bill, Mr. President—again, a nod to President Harry Truman—is even broader than that. It will increase Social Security benefits for all of our older Americans. It will improve a wide range of health and medical services for Americans of all ages.

Then President Lyndon Baines Johnson leans in on the importance of Medicaid with a greater degree of precision. He says: "But there is another tradition that we share today. It calls upon us never to be indifferent toward despair. It commands us never to turn away from helplessness. It directs us never to ignore or to spurn those who suffer untended in a land that is bursting with abundance."

This is not just our tradition or even the tradition of the Nation. It is as old as the day it was first commanded: "Thou shalt open thy hand wide unto thy brother, unto thy poor, and to thy needy in thy land."

Is that what we are doing here today in the United States Congress, opening our hands of grace and compassion and responsibility to our fellow Americans, our fellow citizens?

No. That is not what Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill is all about. It is an assault on everyday Americans to reward billionaires with massive tax breaks. That is extraordinary.

President Clinton in the 1990s talked about the Children's Health Insurance Program, a program designed to make sure that children in this country would have access to the medical care they needed to live a life of dignity and respect. It is extraordinary to me, Mr. Speaker, that in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, the eligibility for the Children's Health Insurance Program

will also be diminished as part of an all-out assault on the healthcare of the American people.

I thought it not robbery in the time that I have that we lift up the original spirit of the Children's Health Insurance Program. President Clinton said to the Nation: "Because we have acted, millions of children across this country will be able to get medicine, and have their sight and hearing tested, and see dentists and doctors for the first time. Millions of young Americans will be able to go on to college. Millions of Americans not so young will be able to go back to school to get the education and training they need to succeed in life. Millions of families will have more to spend on their own children's needs and upbringing. This budget is an investment in their future and in America's."

Budgets are moral documents. In our view, Mr. Speaker, budgets should be designed to lift people up. This reckless Republican budget that we are debating right now on the floor of the House of Representatives tears people down. This reckless Republican budget is an immoral document, and everybody should vote "no" against it because of how it attacks children and seniors and everyday Americans and people with disabilities.

This reckless Republican budget is an immoral document, and that is why I stand here on the floor of the House of Representatives with my colleagues and the House Democratic Caucus to stand up and push back against it with everything we have on behalf of the American people.

In the spirit of John Lewis: "Show up, stand up, and speak up for what we know is right." It is an immoral document that we are debating right now in the House of Representatives.

This budget also represents an attack on the Affordable Care Act. For some reason, Republicans have been obsessed, Mr. Speaker, with attacking the Affordable Care Act, gave it the nickname ObamaCare, but the great JIM CLYBURN flipped the script and said, yes, it is ObamaCare because President Barack Obama cares about the American people just like we do as House Democrats.

That is not what is being reflected right now on the other side of the aisle. We care about the American people. We care about their health. We care about their safety. We care about their well-being. I am proud to stand here on the floor saying, yeah, we are going to continue to defend ObamaCare until the very end no matter what it takes.

President Obama spoke to this Congress, as well, talked about Presidential leadership. We have seen it year after year, decade after decade, century after century. President Lincoln, President Roosevelt, President Truman, President Johnson, President Clinton, and President Obama lifted up the American people on the healthcare issue. I think it is important that these words, as well, continue to echo out throughout America.

□ 0800

President Obama said: “There is still tremendous hardship all across the country, but there is a sense that we are making progress because of you. But even before this crisis”—he, of course, speaks about the Great Recession. “But even before this crisis, each and every one of us knew there were millions of people across America who were living their own quiet crises. Maybe because they had a child who had a preexisting condition and no matter how desperate they were, no matter what insurance company they called, they couldn’t get coverage for that child. Maybe it was somebody who had been forced into early retirement, in their fifties, not yet eligible for Medicare, and they couldn’t find a job, and they couldn’t find health insurance despite the fact that they had some sort of chronic condition that had to be tended to. . . . And now, we are on the threshold of doing something about it,” he said to the United States Congress, to Speaker Nancy D’Alessandro Pelosi and others, who were great partners in this effort.

He said: “And now, we are on the threshold of doing something about it. We are a day away.” This is aspirational leadership. “After a year of debate, after every argument has been made by just about everybody, we are 24 hours away.”

Referring to his critics that existed at the time, who sat on the other side of the aisle, he said: “But it may also be possible that they realize after health reform passes and I sign that legislation into law that it is going to be a little harder to mischaracterize what this effort has been all about because, this year, small businesses will start getting tax credits so that they can offer health insurance to employees who currently don’t have it; because, this year, those same parents who are worried about getting coverage for their children with preexisting conditions now are assured that insurance companies have to give them coverage this year; because, this year, insurance companies won’t suddenly be able to drop your coverage when you get sick or impose lifetime limits or restrictive limits on the coverage that you have.”

President Obama went on to say: “Maybe they know that this year, for the first time, young people will be able to stay on their parents’ health insurance until they are 26 years old.”

The Affordable Care Act was a tremendous step in the right direction. It is aspirational leadership. Tens of millions of Americans now have health insurance coverage as a result of the Affordable Care Act.

This reckless Republican budget, this one big, ugly bill that President Donald Trump has directed my Republican colleagues to sign off on, to recklessly rubberstamp and to bend the knee to even though this bill and its assault on healthcare, on Medicaid, on the Children’s Health Insurance Program, on Medicare, and on ObamaCare will rip

away healthcare coverage from the people my Republican colleague are here in Washington to represent.

It is extraordinary to me that, as a result of this one big, ugly bill, this all-out assault on healthcare in this country, millions of people are going to lose coverage, thrown off of healthcare, set in motion a chain of events where hospitals will close, nursing homes will shut down, people unable to get the care that they need to live a life of dignity and respect.

As a result of the all-out Republican assault on healthcare in the United States of America, people will die, tens of thousands, perhaps year after year after year, as a result of the Republican assault on the healthcare of the American people.

I am sad. I never thought that I would be on the House floor saying that this is a crime scene, and House Democrats want no part of it, no part of it. It is a crime scene going after the health, the safety, and the well-being of the American people. Mr. Speaker, we want no part of it, which is why we are fighting so hard to stop it.

Serving in the United States Congress on this glorious floor, having to be part of a crime scene, it is shameful, an all-out assault on the American people.

President Biden worked hard with many of the people in this Congress who still serve to try and build upon this great legacy, this journey that we have been on, set in motion in modern American Presidential history by FDR; inspired by the great vision of President Truman; enhanced in years that were cut short, far too early, by President Kennedy; and empowered in such an extraordinary way by President Lyndon Baines Johnson; built upon aspirationally by President Jimmy Carter. Then, it advanced to the Children’s Health Insurance Program by President Bill Clinton, furthered in such an extraordinary way by President Barack Obama, and then built upon and enhanced by President Biden.

None of us are perfect, but that is part of our march toward a more perfect Union. That is part of the charge that we have as public servants, given that sacred charge in the preamble of the United States Constitution, “We the people . . . in order to form a more perfect Union,” leadership that tries to perfect the American journey.

President Biden spoke to this in August 2022. He talked about the challenges, pushing back against special interests that are often the forces trying to stop progress on behalf of the American people. This one big, ugly bill is filled with giveaways to special interests here in Washington, D.C. I am disgusted by that. It is not what lawmaking should be about.

President Biden, reflecting upon the challenges of making progress on behalf of the American people, said, in August 2022: “And, yet, we have not wavered. We have not flinched. We have not given in. Instead, we are de-

livering results for the American people.”

“We didn’t tear down; we built up. We didn’t look back; we looked forward. . . . This law that I am about to sign finally delivers on a promise that Washington has made for decades to the American people. . . . Guess what. We are giving Medicare the power to negotiate those prices,” lower prices on behalf of the American people.

□ 0810

President Biden goes on to say: “This means seniors are going to pay less for their prescription drugs, while we’re changing circumstances for people on Medicare by putting a cap—a cap of a maximum of \$2,000 per year on their prescription drug costs, no matter what the reason for those prescriptions are.

“That means if you’re on Medicare, you’ll never have to pay more than \$2,000 a year, no matter how many prescriptions you have, whether it’s for cancer or any other disease. No more than \$2,000 a year.”

I don’t care who in this town is trying to take credit for something accomplished by others, President Joseph Robinette Biden did that. He did that. He did that as part of our effort to lower costs on behalf of the American people.

None of us are perfect. There is still work to do, but the 46th President of the United States of America did that.

He went on to say: “The Inflation Reduction Act locks in place lower healthcare premiums for millions of families who get their coverage under the Affordable Care Act.

“Last year, a family of four saved on average \$2,400 through the American Rescue Plan that I signed into law that Congress voted in place.”

President Biden is talking about the continuation of our march toward a more perfect Union, the work that we in this Congress, many of us who had the privilege to serve over the last few years did to try to expand and strengthen the Affordable Care Act, ObamaCare, by enhancing the premium tax credits available so that millions of additional Americans would actually have the ability to get access to healthcare.

In this one big, ugly bill, Republicans are setting in motion the explosion of those tax credits that are designed to provide affordable healthcare coverage to the American people.

Leadership requires courage, conviction, and compassion. Yet, what we have seen from this administration and its co-conspirators on the Republican side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, is cruelty, chaos, and corruption. It has all been morphed into a toxic mix now contained in Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill, an extraordinary assault on the healthcare of the American people.

I mentioned earlier, Mr. Speaker, that I would be taking to the House floor to share stories from the American people, stories that are too numerous to articulate in the time available

to us in connection with debating this bill, but I am going to do my best to make sure that we have a representative sample of why so many Americans all across this country went to bed in fear and are now waking up in horror because Republicans are trying to jam this one big, ugly bill down the throats of the American people.

It is an assault on healthcare, an assault on nutritional assistance, an assault on children, an assault on veterans, an assault on farmers, an assault on everyday Americans.

Christal, who is also from the great State of Alaska, said: "After my husband passed away suddenly, I was unprepared to pay all the bills as a part-time, stay-at-home mom. Working part time while my young girls were in school, I applied for SNAP benefits and was so thankful I received them, for it helped me so much. I was so thankful it put food on our table. I didn't have to worry about how I was going to buy groceries while paying the other bills I had to take care of.

"The SNAP program has helped me many times, and through those times I was struggling financially, and so I am so thankful it was there when I needed it most. I am no longer on the program, but it was one of the biggest blessings in my life during those hard years after my loss. Thank you to the government for helping me. We all need help at times in life, and I think this program should remain available to those who really need it, who are struggling."

Mr. Speaker, I thank Christal for sharing her story with us.

Mr. Speaker, Talese, who wrote to us, lives in Alabama's Fifth Congressional District, a district currently represented by DALE STRONG.

I am so thankful for the representation of my good friends, TERRI SEWELL and SHOMARI FIGURES, in the great State of Alabama.

Talese writes to us: "I am a single mom, doing everything with my one income. My ex-husband refuses to pay child support or split the cost of anything related to my daughter. If it wasn't for SNAP, we would probably have very little in terms of food because I am always running on E. I am so beyond grateful for the assistance."

Mr. Speaker, Curtis writes to us from the great State of Arizona: "In my disabled senior status, I would be in a dire nutritional status if not mostly for the SNAP nutritional assistance, a godsend. For so many Americans, that is what SNAP has been, a godsend in a time of need."

□ 0820

Mr. Speaker, now the nutritional assistance benefits will be ripped away from millions of Americans in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, a bill that Republicans are prepared to recklessly rubber stamp.

We also heard from Diana from the great State of California. Diana writes: "SNAP has helped my family tremen-

dously during this difficult time. We really appreciate all the help. My husband was diagnosed with cancer, and we have a little one at home. It has been difficult taking care of everything. Please keep this benefit to help people get on their feet."

Diana lives in the Inland Empire, California's 33rd Congressional District. It is represented by the chairman of the House Democratic Caucus, PETE AGUILAR.

Diana, PETE AGUILAR is fighting hard for you, just like every single House Democrat is fighting hard for the American people. We want to protect you as best we can from this cruel assault on nutritional assistance. We are going to continue to fight hard for you.

In Ohio, Julie writes to us: "I am so thankful for EMILIA SYKES and MARCY KAPTUR. MARCY KAPTUR is the original gangster of the Ohio delegation. She is the longest serving woman in the history of the United States Congress. She is fighting hard on behalf of the people she incredibly represents, along with EMILIA SYKES, SHONTEL BROWN, and GREG LANDSMAN.

Of course, all of us know I couldn't leave out my big sister JOYCE BEATTY. I wanted to mention Joyce because this is part of the resilience of what we have seen from House Democrats.

We saw it from BRITTANY PETTERSEN, the distinguished gentlewoman from the great State of Colorado, who gave birth to a beautiful baby boy, Sam, at the beginning of this year.

Because House Republicans, Mr. Speaker, have refused to allow proxy voting, virtual voting, for expectant mothers and new mothers, throughout this year, shortly after giving birth, Congresswoman BRITTANY PETTERSEN each and every week, often with her baby boy, Sam, has come to the United States Congress to stand with House Democrats in defense of the American people.

She did it in connection with that first vote that set this whole disgusting abomination into motion in February, that same day.

KEVIN MULLIN showed up to vote on behalf of the American people. Just like all of us continue to do, KEVIN MULLIN showed up to vote, despite the fact that he had been experiencing multiple surgeries. He was dealing with some complications. He understood, just like all House Democrats, the need to stand up in this moment and fight hard for the American people. He understood the need to be here throughout this entire toxic journey that Republicans have set us on in connection with this one big, ugly bill.

KEVIN MULLIN, coming off of multiple surgeries, rolled out of his hospital bed. He got on a plane from northern California and took his wife with him so that she could change his IV on the plane, across the country, to be here and stand with his sisters and brothers and the House Democratic Caucus family to push back against this one, big ugly bill.

I am so thankful and so appreciative to see the great JOYCE BEATTY who has been battling some issues. She worked her way through them and put off surgeries for as long as she could.

Yet, perhaps against some of the advice that she may have received, she understood, as she said in her own words, the importance of being here on behalf of the American people and to stand up and push back against Trump's one big, ugly bill because it will have devastating consequences for everyday Americans.

I thank JOYCE BEATTY for her courage, her strength, and her resilience on behalf of the people that she represents in the great State of Ohio and people all across the country. That is what we do as House Democrats, and she is leading by example.

I could tell stories about every single one of us who in this moment recognizes that we have to keep rising to the occasion because of the extraordinary assault that has been launched against the American people by Donald Trump and complete Republican control here in Washington.

Mr. Speaker, let's understand who is doing you in. It is the people on the other side of the aisle. It is not too late. All we need are four Republicans to join us and to show John McCain levels of courage, not on the battlefield as he did in such an extraordinary way. Yes, John McCain was an American hero and patriot.

□ 0830

He was an American hero and patriot. I may have disagreed with him on a whole host of issues, but we cannot disagree with the fact that he was an American patriot and a war hero who served this country overseas.

He came back home after being a POW year after year after year and got elected to the United States House of Representatives.

Did I get that right, Mr. Speaker?

He got elected to the United States House of Representatives. I am just making sure you are paying attention, Mr. Speaker. All good things start in the United States House of Representatives, as far as we are concerned.

Then, he went over to the Senate. In one of his final acts of courage, he pushed back against the efforts to rip away healthcare from millions of Americans.

All we need are four Republicans to show John McCain's levels of courage when it comes to protecting the health, the safety, and the well-being of the American people and protecting the nutritional assistance of the American people.

I think, earlier today, I may have neglected to mention the leadership of JIM MCGOVERN, who has done an extraordinary job leading the charge on the Rules Committee as we pushed back against this all-out assault on the American people.

Hour after hour after hour after hour, JIM MCGOVERN, the members of the

Rules Committee, and so many members of the House Democratic Caucus were pushing amendment after amendment, debating hard against this one big, ugly bill on behalf of the American people.

JIM MCGOVERN, of course, is a long-time champion for combating hunger in the United States of America. Thankfully, JIM continues to battle hard on behalf of the American people with resilience and strength even in the face of great tragedy.

Julie writes: "SNAP has been an amazing help to my family. I am very grateful to receive it. I am a single mom of twin 13-year-old girls. I have been on my own with my girls since they were 1 and have never received child support. I do work."

People who receive Medicaid work. People who receive SNAP work. Some people in this town need to stop lying to the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I said some people in this town need to stop lying to the American people.

Julie said: "I do work but don't make enough money to cover all of my expenses. It is a big misunderstanding that people on SNAP don't have jobs. My children would eat very poorly if I didn't have SNAP. I would have to rely on church food pantries, and they can only offer the bare minimum. Food banks don't give basics like milk and bread."

That is Julie's experience. Mr. Speaker, she lives in Ohio's 14th Congressional District, represented by our colleague, Congressman DAVID JOYCE.

We heard from Mark from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Mark says: "I have collected Medicaid and SNAP benefits for over a decade now. I may well have found myself in a worse place if not for these programs. Giving freely and charitably is the way of Jesus."

Mr. Speaker, some people in this Chamber need to hear those words again: "Giving freely and charitably is the way of Jesus." That is someone who is talking like a Matthew 25 and 35 Christian.

He says: "SNAP and Social Security benefits have been lifesaving for me. They literally keep me alive. I suffer from severe depression, anxiety, and OCD, and I have Asperger's. This is why I am on Social Security and SNAP. I believe everyone should have access to these benefits, and in an ideal world, our communities would be centered around love, harmony, and the protection of each other."

That is real love, not fake love like promising to love and cherish Medicaid and then turning around and presiding over the largest cut to Medicaid in American history, which is what Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill represents.

Mark says: "I believe that everyone should have access to these benefits, and in an ideal world, our communities would be centered around love, harmony, and the protection of each other."

That is the kind of leadership that this country needs and deserves right now, but that is the kind of leadership it is not getting from 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue.

Mr. Speaker, Mark lives in Pennsylvania's First Congressional District. I believe that district is represented by our colleague, Congressman BRIAN FITZPATRICK.

I want to ask the question: What happened earlier today? How was it that, a few hours ago, several of my Republican colleagues had principled opposition to Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill?

Several hours ago, how is it that so many of our Republican colleagues, Mr. Speaker, had principled opposition to Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill either because of the devastating assault on Medicaid, healthcare, supplemental nutritional assistance; the assault on veterans; the assault on clean energy jobs; the assault on the cost-of-living, which is represented in this bill; or the assault of the fiscal irresponsibility of a bill that will explode the deficit, increase the debt by trillions of dollars, and send us on a death spiral potentially as it relates to our economy?

□ 0840

How is it, Mr. Speaker, that so many of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle had principled opposition just a few hours ago and now seem prepared to fold on the floor of the House of Representatives?

Don't you have some responsibility, Mr. Speaker, to say to the American people what happened, what deals were cut, what occurred in the back room?

It will all come out one way or the other, Mr. Speaker.

It is incredible to me that this Congress is on the verge of ripping food out of the mouths of children, veterans, and seniors as a result of this one big, ugly bill in order to reward billionaires with massive tax breaks and exploding the debt in the process.

I mentioned earlier in my remarks that as House Democrats, we envision a different country than the one that is being ravaged by such extremism right now. We imagine a country where every single American can afford to live the good life, have a good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and a good retirement. You work hard, play by the rules, and live the good life. That is the America that we should be working hard right now to bring about for every single American.

We know there are far too many Americans in this country struggling to live paycheck to paycheck.

Mr. Speaker, that should not be the case in this country, the wealthiest country in the history of the world, a country that has become far too expensive for far too many people.

Donald Trump promised to lower the high cost of living on day one. He made that promise to the American people. He made that promise. It didn't happen

on day one. It hasn't happened on month one, month two, month three, month four, month five, or month six. It is not happening, Mr. Speaker. Costs aren't going down. Costs are going up in the United States of America.

Life is becoming more expensive. It seems to me that in this great country, this Congress should be working hard to build an affordable economy for hardworking American taxpayers. That is our plan. That is our vision. That is our goal as House Democrats, an affordable economy for hardworking American taxpayers. Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill will make life more expensive for the American people.

Lynette wrote to us. She is also a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. She said: "I am a single mom of three girls. Although my two older girls are out of the home, they and I struggle. I work full-time, but it is not enough to get by."

"Without the help of SNAP and Section 8, I would not be able to afford anything. I sacrifice every day so my daughters can have food and a place to come home and call home. I get \$124 a month in food stamps"—\$124 a month in food stamps—"which isn't enough as food prices keep going up. With the little help I do get from the government, I am grateful and blessed."

"Last year, I passed out after a church service and was rushed to the hospital. I didn't have any insurance at this time, but I was treated. However, I could not pay back the services I received, and I still need treatments."

"I have been choosing to pay for rent and food, but I have these bills and other appointments that I need to go to, but I don't have the extra money. And I don't qualify for Medicare, and I don't make enough to get insurance on the marketplace for insurance."

Lynette says: "Without the help of SNAP and Section 8, I would not be able to afford anything."

Mr. Speaker, Lynette resides in Pennsylvania's Seventh Congressional District, a district represented by our colleague Congressman RYAN MACKENZIE.

Wendy writes to us from the Commonwealth of Virginia. She says: "I am a single mother of two children, and due to bone cancer, I now live with a disability and am unable to work."

"I have not only survived cancer but my children and I also survived domestic abuse. I have been making ends meet while not working or receiving any child support for almost 2 years. To my family, SNAP is literally life or death. While I hope that one day my circumstances will improve to the point that I will not need the assistance, for now," Wendy tells us, "it is a lifesaver."

That is what these safety net programs represent: lifesavers for Americans in need. Instead of protecting these lifesaving programs, these lifesustaining programs, what we see from

this one big, ugly bill is that nutritional assistance for the American people will be decimated. As a result, millions of Americans, our fellow Americans, are at risk of going hungry. That is not right in the United States of America. It is not right, and that is why we are fighting hard. It is for people like Wendy who, Mr. Speaker, lives in Virginia's Fifth Congressional District, a district represented by Congressman JOHN MCGUIRE.

□ 0850

Jamie is from the great State of Wisconsin, part of the heartland of the United States of America, the magical Midwest, the hardworking people of the great State of Wisconsin. I was privileged to just be there this past week-end.

Jamie said: "I work. I am a single mom. I need help to feed my kids. All the bills have skyrocketed. I am doing this on my own. Please don't take away SNAP."

That is a plea that I think is being heard all across this land: Please don't take away SNAP. It is a lifesaver that is being ripped away from the American people as part of this Republican effort, Mr. Speaker, to provide massive tax breaks for billionaires.

Jamie lives in the Third Congressional District of Wisconsin, currently represented, Mr. Speaker, by Congressman DERRICK VAN ORDEN.

Healthcare is under assault. Nutritional assistance is under assault. Farmers in this country have also been under assault.

One of the reasons why so many Americans are cynical about our politics of the moment is that there are people who run for office and say one thing and then they do the exact opposite. Many of the policies that we have seen come out of the Trump administration are inconsistent with the rhetorical promises that were made on the campaign trail, Donald Trump and House Republicans promising to look out for people in farm country and then supporting policies that do the exact opposite.

April is from Iowa, a fourth-generation farmer on land her family has worked for almost 125 years in north central Iowa. Then, it says: "If there is all this uncertainty out there, how can we plan? We need a path forward. It is like changing a tire while we are still driving down the road."

That is what our farmers are being asked to do: change a tire while they are still driving down the road because of the uncertainty that has been unleashed by an administration far too focused on chaos, cruelty, and corruption. Those are my words.

April goes on to say: "We are kind of holding our breath for this fall. That is when it will hurt the most farmers. We need trade with Mexico and Canada, too. That is why I think the reciprocal tariffs are going to hurt us more. We get fertilizer from Canada. We get parts and products made in Mexico."

As House Democrats, we are focused on creating more good-paying jobs and on economic strategies that make sense and are designed to lift up the American people. Yet, I can't find anything in this 900-plus page bill that is actually designed to permanently sustain a positive trajectory for farmers in this country and for everyday Americans.

In fact, the chaotic uncertainty of the economic policies that have been unleashed by the Trump administration and complete Republican rule in Washington, D.C., will have devastating consequences for the American people and for farmers like April.

John writes to us from the great State of North Carolina. He says to us: "Not many families can say this, Black families, that is, but we've been here for over a hundred years. My great-grandfather, my grandfathers on both sides, and my father were all farmers. Honestly, I cannot understand it. I have not heard anybody from that administration that can tell me anything that makes any sense of what he is causing."

John further writes: "You cannot take a chain saw and do surgery. I am going to plant about 50 percent less than I would normally because I don't know what the price is going to be. I don't want to take the risk of putting even more out there."

What we have seen from the Trump administration, from the so-called DOGE effort, and from our Republican colleagues, Mr. Speaker, is deeply troubling. Republicans are trying to take a chain saw to Social Security, a chain saw to Medicare, a chain saw to Medicaid, a chain saw to the healthcare of the American people, a chain saw to nutritional assistance for hungry children, a chain saw to farm country, and a chain saw to vulnerable Americans.

Yet, as House Democrats, we are here to make clear, Mr. Speaker, that we are determined to take a chain saw to Project 2025—a chain saw—a chain saw to Project 2025 on behalf of the American people. We don't want this country to go backward. We want this country to go forward, and so, no matter what it takes, no matter how long it takes, we are going to take a chain saw to the Republicans' Project 2025. That is what we are prepared to do.

I thank John from North Carolina.

There is one last farmer whose voice I want to elevate at this moment, and then I would like to have a discussion anchored around some of the things that our Republican colleagues, Mr. Speaker, have said during this entire process, correspondence that has been written by Republicans as it relates to this one big, ugly bill, correspondence that I am struggling to understand now because it appears that almost every single House Republican is prepared to recklessly rubberstamp Donald Trump's extreme agenda and sign off on this one big, ugly bill.

□ 0900

I am going to go through some of the correspondence momentarily that

maybe, at some point, our Republican colleagues can help us figure out.

I will enter into the RECORD one last story from a farmer. Can we respect the farmers in the United States of America? Can we respect them? We began this country as an agrarian economy. We should respect the farmers in this country as I am telling their stories on the eve of our 249th birthday. Can we respect the farmers in this country who we are working hard to defend?

Suzie is from Missouri in a district, Mr. Speaker, represented by Ways and Means chairman, our colleague, JASON SMITH. She writes to us, and she says that Suzie and her husband moved back to her family farm over two decades ago where they raised their children, including a son with complex medical needs who relies on Medicaid for essential therapies and care.

Over the years, Suzie has seen firsthand how Medicaid has been a lifeline for families like hers, especially in rural communities. The proud mother and daughter of hardworking Missourians, Suzie apparently has voted for both Democrats and Republicans, and her husband is a registered Republican; but as farmers, they both believe that access to healthcare should never be a partisan issue.

We agree. Thank you, Suzie. Access to healthcare in the United States of America should never be a partisan issue. It should never be considered a privilege just for the wealthy, the well-off, and the well-connected. It is a right that should be available to every single American, and that is what we are fighting hard right now to defend here in the United States of America and to push back against this one big, ugly bill.

As I mentioned earlier, in the time that I have remaining, I certainly want to share the story of some of our veterans; share a few stories of some of our small business owners who would also be under assault as part of this one big, ugly bill. I want to also share the stories of hardworking and law-abiding immigrant families who also will be targeted by this one big, ugly bill.

However, I did want to take a little time at this moment to enter into the RECORD some of the statements that have been made, Mr. Speaker, by our Republican colleagues that I just don't understand. I mean, I am flummoxed by some of these statements. I have always wanted to use that word, y'all.

I was hoping to seek some clarification at some point after I am able to yield the floor, but I am still here to take my sweet time on behalf of the American people because it was totally unacceptable that you would try to jam this bill, Mr. Speaker, down the throats of the American people with 15 minutes allocated for a debate that would hurt everyday Americans—allocated to the committees of jurisdiction, 15 minutes.

Now, I am here with my House Democratic colleagues 4 hours in, taking our

sweet time on behalf of the American people, and I am flummoxed. Maybe it is flummoxed.

As I mentioned, Mr. Speaker, I always wanted to use that word. I can't spell it, but I know what it means. I am confused by many of these statements, so I am trying to enter them into the RECORD and then perhaps, at some point, get some clarification.

Talking about Medicaid cuts, the largest cut to Medicaid in American history, the Vice President said these cuts are immaterial.

Are you kidding me? Immaterial? I am perplexed. I am astonished. I am confused that someone who sits in the second highest office in the land would say that Medicaid cuts, a trillion dollars or so of Medicaid cuts that are in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, are "immaterial."

I am confused, Mr. Speaker, by the statement that was made by the former Senate Majority Leader MITCH MCCONNELL, who, when talking about the Medicaid cuts that millions of Americans have been reaching out to us, pouring into us their heartfelt concerns at the devastating impact that these Medicaid cuts will have on their health, their safety, and their well-being, I am confused, Mr. Speaker, by the statement that MITCH MCCONNELL made. He said: "People will get over it."

No, MITCH. The American people will not get over it, but they will get even next November. That is what is going to happen because of these cuts being unleashed on the American people.

I am confused, perplexed, astonished, and flummoxed by some of these statements.

□ 0910

This one is a beauty from the great State of Iowa. In the great State of Iowa, Senator JONI ERNST showed up at a townhall meeting in Iowa. First of all, I will give her credit for showing up at a townhall meeting because House Republicans were instructed not to show up at townhall meetings. She shows up, Mr. Speaker, at a townhall meeting and is questioned about the fact that healthcare in America—that Medicaid is a question of life or death.

When asked by one of her constituents about the concern that was had, the fact that people will die as a result of the devastating cuts to Medicaid and healthcare that are in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, Senator ERNST said: "We are all going to die."

Yes, JONI, the American people understand that, at some point, all of us will transition, but the American people do not deserve to die as a result of the Republican cruelty that is in this legislation, do not deserve to die as a result of the cruelty that is embedded, Mr. Speaker, in this legislation, ending Medicaid as we know it, the largest cut to Medicaid in American history as a result of this all-out assault on healthcare in America.

Mr. Speaker, there are 220 Republicans who I serve with in this Con-

gress, and I wish I had time to go through the impact in all 220 districts. Actually, since I have unlimited time, maybe I do, but, Mr. Speaker, I figure that I will start in my home State of New York.

Mr. Speaker, in New York's First Congressional District, represented by our colleague Congressman NICK LALOTA, approximately 50,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare.

In New York's 24th Congressional District, Mr. Speaker, represented by our colleague Congresswoman CLAUDIA TENNEY, over 30,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare.

In parts of western New York, an up-state district, New York-23, currently represented by Congressman NICK LANGWORTHY, approximately 35,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare as a result of Trump's one big, ugly bill.

In New York-17, currently represented by Congressman MIKE LAWLER, more than 30,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare.

In New York-21, the north country, represented by Congresswoman ELISE STEFANIK—welcome back to Congress. I am sorry it did not work out for you. That is a lesson that should be learned by all those who pledge fealty to the wannabe king, but I digress.

In New York's 21st Congressional District, currently represented by Congresswoman ELISE STEFANIK, approximately 44,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare.

In Long Island, Suffolk County, part of Long Island, currently represented in Nassau County by two amazing colleagues, TOM SUOZZI and LAURA GILLEN, but in Suffolk County, New York's Second Congressional District, currently represented by Congressman ANDREW GARBARINO, 48,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare in Suffolk County, in New York's Second Congressional District, as a result of Trump's one big, ugly bill.

In the 11th Congressional District, a district that includes all of Staten Island, a district, Mr. Speaker, currently represented by Congresswoman NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS, more than 50,000 New Yorkers will lose their healthcare as a result of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill. On Staten Island and in New York's 11th Congressional District—I don't think that the Wu-Tang Clan would approve of that.

It is incredible to me. In district after district after district, Mr. Speaker, represented by my Republican colleagues, so many Americans will stand to lose their healthcare as a result of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill.

Now, this is not just a Democratic perspective. We stand by that perspective. We will continue to articulate that view and forcefully defend the healthcare of the American people, but it is not just a Democratic perspective.

I thought that it was important that we enter into the RECORD some of the words, Mr. Speaker, of Members of the House Republican Conference who wrote directly to you, Mr. Speaker, in

their own words, talking about the challenges of this one big, ugly bill.

February 19 of this year, in a letter written to the Honorable MIKE JOHNSON, Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, the subject line was: "Protecting American Communities in the Budget Reconciliation Process."

For all the American people who may be watching the floor of the House of Representatives right now, that is the process that we are in with this one big, ugly bill.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans chose to go down this road because Republicans are uninterested in actually trying to find bipartisan solutions to solving problems on behalf of the American people, so we are in this budget reconciliation process that can avoid the filibuster in the United States Senate. Republicans, Mr. Speaker, are not interested in trying to actually find bipartisan common ground.

We are the party of common sense. Let's be clear about it. I am going to be spending some time on the road talking about what common sense really is all about. Common sense certainly is not taking healthcare away from the American people.

□ 0920

I have a lot of statements that have been entered into the RECORD, but I figured we would start with this one from February 19: "Dear Speaker JOHNSON, as members of the Congressional Hispanic Conference—"

That is different than the Congressional Hispanic Caucus. The Congressional Hispanic Caucus right now is fighting hard on behalf of the healthcare of the American people on the Democratic side. We call that the real CHC. The Congressional Hispanic Caucus is fighting hard on behalf of the American people.

However, this is the letter: "As members of the Congressional Hispanic Conference—"

Mr. Speaker, as you know, these are Republicans here in the House of Representatives.

"—and those who represent sizable Hispanic populations, we are writing to express our concerns regarding possible funding decisions stemming from the House Budget Resolution's committee instructions advanced on February 13, 2025."

Those budget instructions, by the way, were what set this whole toxic process in motion that has resulted in this moment that we find ourselves in right now on the floor of the House of Representatives, connected to this one big, ugly bill.

In this letter, it says that: "While we fully support efforts to rein in wasteful spending and deliver on President Trump's agenda—"

Let me park it right there parenthetically for a moment. Nothing in this bill is about waste, fraud, and abuse. Nothing is doing anything meaningful about waste, fraud, and abuse.

The letter continues: “—it is imperative that we do not slash programs that support American communities across our Nation. . . .”

It then goes on to say: “The House Budget Resolution proposed \$880 billion in cuts to programs under the jurisdiction of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, with Medicaid expected to bear the brunt of these reductions.”

Those are their words, not our words. “Nearly 30 percent of Medicaid enrollees are Hispanic Americans, and for many families across the country, Medicaid is their only access to healthcare. Slashing Medicaid would have serious consequences, particularly in rural and predominantly Hispanic communities where hospitals and nursing homes are already struggling to keep their doors open.”

Mr. Speaker, these are members of the House Republican Conference warning about the devastating impacts of this one big, ugly bill.

It goes on to say: “The House Committee on Education and the Workforce has been tasked with cutting \$330 billion, where Federal aid for higher education—such as Pell grants—may be a target for reductions.”

Once again, let me park it right there parenthetically to make the observation that this bill also assaults higher education in the United States of America. That is a disgrace.

Mr. Speaker, members of the House Republican Conference predicted that that would occur. Those are their words, not our words.

“The House Committee on Education and the Workforce has been tasked with cutting \$330 billion, where Federal aid for higher education—such as Pell grants—may be a target for reductions. Hispanic students make up a significant share of Pell grant recipients, many of whom are first-generation college students striving for a better future for themselves, their families, and our Nation . . . If we are serious about empowering the next generation and strengthening our workforce, we must facilitate, and not undermine, opportunities that help students succeed.

“Finally, the House Committee on Agriculture has been directed to cut \$230 billion.” Then, Mr. Speaker, our Republican colleagues go on to write: “While we fully support efforts to eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse—”

By the way, that is all fake. We support a Federal Government that is effective, efficient, and equitable, and that eliminates waste, fraud, and abuse. That is not what this bill is all about.

But it goes on to say: “While we fully support efforts to eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse, we must ensure that assistance programs—such as SNAP—”

I mean, I am just confused.

“—remain protected as nearly 22 percent of Hispanic families rely on this critical program as a temporary safety net during difficult times.”

Then this letter, written to you, Mr. Speaker, by the Congressional Hispanic

Conference concludes: “Hispanic Americans are the future of the Republican Party.”

I will reserve judgment on that statement. As House Democrats, we are going to fight for every single community in the United States of America, including the Hispanic community, every single community. We want every single community to experience the good life, to be able to afford to live the good life. That is what we want for every single community: Good-paying jobs, good housing, good healthcare, good education for the children, and a good retirement. That is what we want for every community.

However, the Congressional Hispanic Conference writes, in closing, to you, Mr. Speaker, that these Hispanic Americans “are closely watching to see if we will govern in a way that honors their values and delivers results.”

Just to make sure that appropriate authorship is conferred, I am going to enter the names of the signatories to this extraordinary letter, Mr. Speaker: TONY GONZALES, NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS, MONICA DE LA CRUZ, DAVID VALADAO—who represents more Medicaid recipients than any other Member of Congress—JUAN CISCOMANI, ROB BRESNAHAN—what a beauty—JAMES MOYLAN, KIMBERLYN KING-HINDS. They all signed this letter.

The question that many Americans have to ask right now is that, after signing a letter indicating your commitment, Mr. Speaker, to protecting Medicaid and SNAP and access to affordable higher education through Pell grants, how is it possible that, Mr. Speaker, some of our Republican colleagues will turn around and devastate Medicaid, devastate supplemental nutritional assistance, and devastate the ability of Hispanic communities to achieve the type of upward mobility, in part through higher education, that is talked about in this letter?

Mr. Speaker, that letter was written in February.

□ 0930

Then we go to March. Can I talk about it? I mentioned, Mr. Speaker, I am here to take my sweet time on behalf of the American people.

On March 9, there is a letter that is written to House Committee on Ways and Means Chairman JASON SMITH, Mr. Speaker. By my count, 21 Republicans signed this letter. It is about the importance of the clean energy economy in the United States of America.

I would assume, based on this letter, that all of the signatories to this particular piece of correspondence are now horrified by the attack on clean energy, on clean energy jobs, and the clean energy economy in this one big, ugly bill. We will see shortly because everyone is going to have to go on record.

In this particular letter, written on March 9, Mr. Speaker, 21 Members of the House Republican Conference write to Chairman JASON SMITH: “Countless

American companies are utilizing sector-wide energy tax credits—many of which have enjoyed broad support in Congress—to make major investments in domestic energy production and infrastructure for traditional and renewable energy sources alike. Both our constituencies and the energy industry alike remain concerned about disruptive changes to our Nation’s energy tax structure.”

The letter continues toward the end: “With all this in mind, we request that any proposed changes to the tax code be conducted in a targeted and pragmatic fashion that promotes conference priorities without undoing current and future private-sector investments which will continue to increase domestic manufacturing, promote energy innovation, and keep utility costs down.”

The language that was chosen there is pretty interesting, but the translation is protect the clean energy economy. That is what this letter was all about. It was written, by my count, 21 House Republicans.

Mr. Speaker, ANDREW R. GARBARINO, JENNIFER KIGGANS, JUAN CISCOMANI, GABE EVANS, DAVID VALADAO—what a prolific writer—MARK AMODEI, MICHAEL LAWLER, YOUNG KIM, DON BACON, VINCE FONG, JEFF HURD, THOMAS H. KEAN, JR., NICK LALOTA, JOHN JAMES, RYAN MACKENZIE, ROB BRESNAHAN, MARIANNETTE J. MILLER-MEEKS, EARL L. “BUDDY” CARTER, DAN NEWHOUSE, ERIN HOUGHIN, and DAVID P. JOYCE all write in support of a clean energy economy.

As House Democrats, we support—we know that there is an all-of-the-above approach that is underway right now—wind and solar energy and renewable energy because renewable energy is cheaper energy. Cheaper energy means reducing the high cost of living for everyday Americans. That is what we as House Democrats support.

Yet, I am perplexed because this letter was written, but the one big, ugly bill represents an extraordinary and unprecedented assault on clean energy and cheaper energy in the United States of America.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the question: What are those 21 Republicans going to do when it comes to voting for this one big, ugly bill?

Then we have got another letter in April. We have got a February letter, a March letter, and an April letter. They are quite elegant with these letters.

April 14, 2025, is correspondence written by 13 House Republicans, Mr. Speaker. It is written to you and STEVE SCALISE, the majority leader. It is written to TOM EMMER, majority whip. It is written to BRETT GUTHRIE, the chairman of the Energy and Commerce Committee. It says: “As Members of Congress who helped to deliver a Republican majority, many of us representing districts with high rates of constituents who depend on Medicaid, we would like to reiterate our strong support for this program . . .”

By the way, it is a program that the one big, ugly bill now will cut and devastate, gut, Mr. Speaker, by almost \$1 trillion.

In this letter, 13 Republicans say:

"... we would like to reiterate our strong support for this program that ensures our constituents have reliable healthcare. Balancing the Federal budget must not come at the expense of those who depend on these benefits for their health and economic security."

Mr. Speaker, that is their words, not our words. Then this bill makes an extraordinary declaration. I think it is important for us to understand who led this bill. It is DAVID VALADAO.

These are just facts, Mr. Speaker. These are publicly issued letters.

DAVID VALADAO, DON BACON, JEFF VAN DREW, ROB BRESNAHAN, JUAN CISCOMANI, JEN KIGGANS, YOUNG KIM—the usual suspects—ROBERT J. WITTMAN, NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS, NICK LALOTA, ANDREW R. GARBARINO, JEFF HURD, and MICHAEL V. LAWLER, which by my count is 13 Members.

□ 0940

In some of the relevant portions of this letter, it says: "To strengthen Medicaid, we urge you to prioritize care for our Nation's most vulnerable populations. Our constituents are asking for changes to the healthcare system that will strengthen the healthcare workforce, offer low-income, working-class families expanded opportunities to save for medical expenses, support rural and underserved communities, and help new mothers."

In the second paragraph of this letter, a letter dated April 14, 2025, Mr. Speaker, these 13 Members of the House Republican Conference make this extraordinary representation. It says: "However, we cannot and will not support a final reconciliation bill that includes any reduction in Medicaid coverage for vulnerable populations." This bill represents the largest cut to Medicaid in American history. It will devastate vulnerable populations all across America.

The reality is that all 212 House Democrats are going to stand up and protect the healthcare of the American people. We are going to protect the Medicaid of the American people against this assault on vulnerable communities.

I am looking forward to all 13 of these Republicans joining us to protect the healthcare of the American people whom they represent. That is the promise, Mr. Speaker, that your Republican colleagues made in this April letter.

We are looking forward to all 13 Republicans in the letter joining us to protect the Medicaid of the American people.

Mr. Speaker, this SALT issue is also an interesting one. A statement was issued on May 8 from my colleagues in the New York delegation, ELISE STEFANIK, ANDREW GARBARINO, NICK

LALOTA, and MIKE LAWLER. These are just facts right now. It was big talk about standing up to protect the State and local tax deduction.

Let's make sure we set the record straight here as to what happened to the State and local tax deduction, which impacts millions of hardworking American taxpayers all across the country, including the districts represented by the individuals whom I just named.

The State and local tax deduction was decimated, Mr. Speaker, by Donald Trump, House Republicans, and Senate Republicans in 2017 in connection with the GOP tax scam that callously and unnecessarily imposed a \$10,000 cap, wiping away thousands of dollars a year for hardworking American taxpayers. Republicans did that.

Mr. Speaker, let's set the record straight. Mr. Speaker, there are people in this Chamber claiming to be saviors. Saving what? Saving from the devastation that Republicans have wrought by decimating the State and local tax deduction?

What is interesting about this is that people who are against this claim that the State and local tax deduction is subsidizing States in certain places to the detriment of others, but every single State that suffered from the Republican effort, Mr. Speaker, under Donald Trump's Presidency in 2017 to decimate the State and local tax deduction, every single State is a donor State that sends more money to the Federal Government than we ever get back in return, a donor State.

Some people in this town are running around misrepresenting the facts. We are okay to be a donor State, but don't lie to the American people about the reality of the State and local tax deduction.

Then, Mr. Speaker, you have this extraordinary statement on May 8. It is big talk, no action. I guess, in Texas, they say all hat and no cattle. They are prolific writers.

That brings us to this letter that was written in the House Republican Conference on June 6. I am really confused by this combination of this particular letter followed by an interesting statement that was made after the Senate passed Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill by LISA MURKOWSKI.

Maybe somebody, Mr. Speaker, can help me reconcile these statements, because all of us in the House and Senate were independently elected to stand up on behalf of our constituents in the Chambers that we serve in. I am going to get back to that point momentarily.

In the House, we passed this one big, ugly bill, initially in late May, devastating Medicaid, devastating nutritional assistance, and devastating the clean energy economy. Actually, it is benefiting red State after red State after red State in the United States of America.

□ 0950

Members of the House Republican Conference wrote to Majority Leader

THUNE and Chairman CRAPO. This is after passing a bill in the House of Representatives that devastated the clean energy tax cuts that they are talking about in this letter on June 6.

It says: "As members of the House Republican Conference, we write to express our continued support for commonsense energy policy and to urge the Senate to substantively and strategically improve clean energy tax credit provisions included in the House-passed reconciliation bill."

I mean, what is happening right now? This may be beyond the legislative process.

In this letter, it goes on to say, in relationship to a bill that every signatory signed and voted for: "Our position has always been that the energy tax code should be modernized in a way that promotes fiscal responsibility and business certainty. Fully realizing that balance requires improvements to the House-passed version of H.R. 1. . . . We believe the Senate now has a critical opportunity to restore common sense and deliver a truly pro-energy growth final bill that protects taxpayers while also unleashing the potential of U.S. energy producers, manufacturers, and workers."

In other words, every single one of these signatories—JEN KIGGANS, BRIAN FITZPATRICK, JUAN CISCOMANI, NICK LALOTA, MIKE LAWLER, ANDREW GARBARINO, DON BACON, MARK AMODEI, GABE EVANS, YOUNG KIM, DAVID VALADAO, ROB BRESNAHAN, and THOMAS H. KEAN, JR.—every single one of these signatories voted for a House bill that undermined the clean energy economy, noted that it would hurt their own constituents, voted for the bill anyway, and then begged the Senate to make a difference.

That is not how we should be legislating in the United States House of Representatives. That is not how you legislate, particularly when every single House Democrat voted against the bill.

It left the House, limped out of the House by a single vote. So every single signatory on this letter could have stopped the bill that you, yourself, Mr. Speaker, indicated the signatories of this letter damaged the very thing they claim to be fighting for.

I am confused, perplexed, flummoxed at what the heck is going on. It is extraordinary.

I am just overwhelmed by the amount of correspondence. I had to just pick out the ones that were the most extraordinary to make sure they were entered in the RECORD.

The most recent one on the subject of Medicaid was written on June 24. It was directed to the Honorable JOHN THUNE, the majority leader of the United States Senate, and the Honorable MIKE JOHNSON, the Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives.

I think it had, by my count, 16 Members. No surprise, y'all, that it was authored by DAVID VALADAO.

I mean, these letters can make up a book, Mr. Speaker. DAVID VALADAO,

JUAN CISCOMANI, ROB BRESNAHAN—Mr. Speaker, these are just facts—CHUCK EDWARDS, YOUNG KIM, ANDREW GARRBARINO, MIKE LAWLER, JEN KIGGANS—the gang is all here again—JEFF VAN DREW, DON BACON, DAN NEWHOUSE, ZACH NUNN, ROBERT J. WITTMAN, NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS, MARIANNETTE J. MILLER-MEEKS, and JEFF HURD. That is 16 members, Mr. Speaker, of the House Republican Conference in a letter written to you, Mr. Speaker, and the Honorable JOHN THUNE, majority leader of the United States Senate, on June 24. Here is the most extraordinary statement written in this letter, in the second to last paragraph—I think we call that the penultimate paragraph.

In the second to last paragraph, it says: “Protecting Medicaid is essential for the vulnerable constituents we were elected to represent. Therefore, we cannot support a final bill that threatens access to coverage or jeopardizes the stability of our hospitals and providers.”

Mr. Speaker, these are 16 members of the House Republican Conference who just a few days ago made clear on the record that they will not support devastating cuts to Medicaid. All we need, Mr. Speaker, are four Republicans from this group to join us, and we can stop these devastating cuts to Medicaid.

Join us. We welcome you with open arms into the warm embrace of Members of Congress who are here to protect the healthcare of the American people. Just four of y’all, we welcome you with open arms into the warm embrace of Members of Congress determined to protect the healthcare of the American people. All we need are four, and so many of y’all are on record.

As I conclude this section of this particular presentation—and we still have some ground to cover—we are going to continue as House Democrats to take our sweet time on behalf of the American people because the issues are too significant to ever walk away from.

□ 1000

I just want to turn to a statement that was issued by Senator LISA MURKOWSKI. I want to give some love to the other side of the Capitol.

Again, Mr. Speaker, these are just the words that were issued. I am not even trying to characterize the words, but the American people need to hear these words from the people who were sent to Congress to protect their interests.

Senator LISA MURKOWSKI says: “This was one of the hardest votes I have taken during my time in the Senate.”

Let me just editorialize there briefly. This should not be a hard vote, Senator MURKOWSKI. This should be a “hell no” vote on behalf of the people you were sent to Washington to represent. It is a “hell no” vote for us because we are standing up on behalf of the American people. It should be a “hell no” vote.

Mr. Speaker, these are the words of Senator MURKOWSKI. She says: “This

was one of the hardest votes I have taken during my time in the Senate.” And then she keeps it real. As far as I can tell, she says: “But, let’s not kid ourselves. This has been an awful process. . . .”

Mr. Speaker, those are the words of a Republican Senator, not us. “This has been an awful process—a frantic rush to meet an artificial deadline that has tested every limit of this institution. While we have worked to improve the present bill for Alaska, it is not good enough for the rest of our Nation, and we all know it.”

Yes, Senator MURKOWSKI, we all know this one big, ugly bill will devastate everyday Americans across this country.

Yet, in the concluding paragraph—this comes after Senator MURKOWSKI voted for this bill, the deciding vote in the United States Senate. It says: “My sincere hope is that this is not the final product. This bill needs more work across Chambers and is not ready for the President’s desk. We need to work together to get this right.”

Let me get this straight. I think the number was 16 House Republicans, Mr. Speaker, vote for a bill that they know will hurt their communities, Mr. Speaker, that they represent. They vote for the bill anyway when any one of them could have stopped this bill from ever leaving the House of Representatives, and then they write a letter to the Senators on the other side of the Capitol saying, fix this bill, when any single one of them could have stopped the bill dead in its tracks.

Then, Senator MURKOWSKI, in her Chamber, turns out to be the deciding vote for a bill that she says, Mr. Speaker, is not good enough for the rest of the Nation and we all know it, votes for it anyway, and then begs the House to fix the bill.

That is not how the people’s business should be done in the United States Congress. We have a responsibility to stand up for what is right and stand up for what is right in the Chamber that we serve in.

That is what we should all be doing, and so our House Republican colleagues, Mr. Speaker, have one last opportunity to join us as Democrats, every single Democrat, who is going to stand up and protect the healthcare of the American people, stand up and protect the nutritional assistance of the American people, stand up and protect our farmers, stand up and protect our veterans, stand up and protect the clean energy economy, stand up and protect our public schools.

Every single one of my House Republican colleagues have the opportunity now, in this Chamber—not the other Chamber, in this Chamber—to join us as Democrats and stand up for what is right.

The eyes of America are watching.

We started this process, Mr. Speaker, 3:28 in the morning, this debate. I took to the House floor maybe 1½ hours after that, and we still have a lot to

say on behalf of the American people for such a time as this.

Every single one of us have an opportunity to stand up for what is right here in the United States of America.

I will speak for just a few moments about some of the people who have been targeted in a callous way by this administration, some of the stories that need to be told on behalf of the American people.

I mentioned, of course, Mr. Speaker, throughout this process, we have been thankful for the stories shared by everyday Americans who went to bed last night fearing the worst and have woken up this morning horrified by Donald Trump’s one big, ugly bill that will hurt everyday Americans and rewards billionaires with massive tax breaks that will serve to devastate the social safety net and explode our Nation’s debt.

I will tell a few stories on behalf of our Nation’s veterans who have also written to us to share their concerns with this one big, ugly bill.

Victoria from Arizona wrote to us, Mr. Speaker, and said: “My husband and I are patriots. We are both Army veterans who were disabled as a result of our service. We have five children and run a small electrical contracting business. We live on a fixed income and, like most families, have struggled with rising costs. One of our sons is adopted. He is a wonderful, loving 7-year-old who has autism and ADHD. He qualifies for Medicaid because he was adopted, and it has been the saving grace for his health and well-being.

□ 1010

“Medicaid is the reason we were able to get his formal diagnosis of his autism and ADHD. It also covers his regular doctors’ visits and dental care. We used Medicaid to access in-home therapy for him, and it was a huge help. Slashing Medicaid will have especially dire consequences for rural communities like ours, forcing hospitals to close. Health resources are already slim in our area.”

This Army veteran writes: “President Trump is causing enormous stress and hardship for families like mine. He and his billionaire friends don’t need more tax breaks. It is outrageous. The last thing we should even be considering is cutting Medicaid. These programs are lifelines.”

We agree with you, Victoria. She lives in Arizona’s Sixth Congressional District, Mr. Speaker, currently represented by Congressman JUAN CISCOMANI.

Troy, another veteran, lives in California, Mr. Speaker, in a district currently represented by JAY OBERNOLTE. That is California’s 23rd Congressional District, I believe. He writes to us and says: “I was in the U.S. Army and went to Kosovo in 2001, and Iraq in 2003 and 2005. I depend on the VA as I am too disabled to work. I depend on VA healthcare. My children are now on Medicaid. I have worked from when I

was 14 years old to 42. I am suffering from long COVID, and until I can return to work, I need help from the American people. I have had your backs. Time for you to cover my back," writes Troy.

Mr. Speaker, "I need NIH's help. Now is the time to stand up for all Americans. Stop the assault on the VA, NIH, Medicaid, and the rest of Americans."

We agree with you, Troy. It is time to stop the assault on the American people. Stop the assault that is represented in this one big, ugly bill.

Christy, another veteran, wrote to us and says: "I was drafted in 1970. My choice was incarceration or militarization, so I enlisted in the Navy and became a Navy corpsman, serving on the USS *Long Beach* (CGN-9), and her marine detachment, where I was assigned to the Third Marines 1st Medical Battalion. I was blessed. I lived through two tours, giving medical care to my shipmates.

"Every other day I was assigned to flight ops to transfer or pick up the wounded and dead Marines from shore to ships waiting offshore about eight miles. I hated the smells of war," this veteran writes.

"Death and misery were my constant companions. The war left me unsettled mentally and morally corrupt. For 50 years, I have suffered with my PTSD, which went undiagnosed for years. After 12 years of VA therapy and working hard for my soul to be repaired, I find myself living alone and isolating from others, and I am one of the lucky ones thanks to the care I have received from the VA."

Veterans pouring out their heart and their soul, sharing their anxiety with all of us, urging this Congress to do something, to stand up on behalf of the veterans who are going to be hurt by this one big, ugly bill, devastated by this one big, ugly bill.

We also heard, Mr. Speaker, from Timothy, who I believe may have written to us from the Commonwealth of Kentucky. He says: "My name is Timothy, and for the past 5 years, I have worked at the Department of Housing and Urban Development in field policy and management."

The Department of Housing and Urban Development that was once led so ably by our former colleague, Congresswoman MARCIA FUDGE, and now has been taken in a very different direction.

Timothy writes: "In this role, I have educated the community about HUD's program such as FHA loans and housing counseling that allow people to purchase homes, affordable rental housing that help people pay their rent, and homeless services that help people find shelter and leave the streets. I have worked with internal data that focused on improving our programs. Most recently, I worked with a team that hired people from housing authorities and Job Corps with the goal of providing people a career and a way off government assistance.

Those incredible people, along with myself, have been fired by Elon Musk's DOGE.

"My entire professional career, I have taken jobs that I considered bigger than me. I served in the military both enlisted and as an officer. I deployed, and I am now a disabled vet with a rating of 90 percent.

□ 1020

"After the military, I focused on giving back and challenging myself in a way, while continuing a job that was bigger than myself, so I joined the Peace Corps. I served in Ukraine for years, working as a youth development volunteer.

"Now, as a HUD employee, I am being fired by an unelected official and being called lazy by my President. I want to know why Elon Musk has this power and authority and why our elected officials are not doing more to stop it. I have served this country and the government my entire life because I love my country. Why is this happening?" Tim writes to us.

That is such an important question, Mr. Speaker. Why is this happening in the United States of America? Why are we living in a country where this administration has launched an all-out assault on veterans in the United States of America, firing veterans like Tim in the United States of America, jeopardizing services from the VA, earned benefits that these veterans are entitled to because of their extraordinary service, men and women in uniform who served this country, Mr. Speaker, benefits that they have earned and now find themselves in the legislative line of fire from their own government, their own country, and stand to be hurt in such an extraordinary way by this extreme Republican budget, this one big, ugly bill?

We are here on the House floor, Mr. Speaker, to say to Tim and every single veteran who put on the uniform, every single man and woman who served this country, we will not abandon you in your hour of need. We are going to stand up for every single veteran in this great country and make sure that the veterans of the United States of America are treated with the dignity and respect that you deserve.

That is our promise to each and every veteran in the United States of America, and that is why, Mr. Speaker, we stand in strong opposition to Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill, an all-out assault here in the United States of America, an extraordinary assault, an assault on the economy, an assault on our veterans, an assault on farm country, an assault on healthcare, and an assault on nutritional assistance.

Of course, this one big, ugly bill and the Trump administration—I wish it was not so because at the beginning of this Congress, Mr. Speaker, I stood right where you stand right now and said in my first remarks to this Congress and the American people that, as Democrats, we were prepared to find

common ground on any issue to make a difference in the lives of the American people we all represent.

Then, Mr. Speaker, beginning on January 20, a flood of extremism, the likes of which have never before been seen in the United States of America, has been unleashed on the American people, an all-out assault on the American way of life.

An assault on small businesses is underway here in the United States of America. We should all recognize that small businesses represent the heart and soul of the American economy. If we are going to stand up for any group of people here in the United States of America, in addition to our veterans, our men and women in uniform—and I am proud that I stand here as the son of someone who once wore the uniform, my father. He is in Heaven right now.

Marland Jeffries grew up in Newark. His nickname was Pudding. One of my regrets is I never got to ask him how he got the nickname Pudding. He left Newark as a high school graduate and put the uniform on, enlisted in the Air Force, and served in Germany during the Cold War.

We have to stand up for our veterans, stand up for small businesses. We have heard a lot about this process, about concerns from small business entrepreneurs here in the United States of America.

We heard from Mercedes, who lives in the great State of Colorado. Mercedes writes: "My husband and I are the owners of a small business. Without the ACA, my family would not be able to afford health insurance. My daughter also receives free dental coverage as a result of the ACA. I still have major issues with our healthcare system, but at least we have health insurance. My mother, who is retired, also receives benefits from Medicaid, in addition to her Medicare. She would not be able to afford health insurance or dental insurance due to the fact that she lives on a fixed income. Any cuts to these programs would be detrimental to our health and welfare as a family."

I thank Mercedes for reaching out and sharing her story because it helps to put to bed the lie that has been told, Mr. Speaker, by some people in this town that the people, the everyday Americans, who are participating in and have access to programs like the Affordable Care Act are unworthy, aren't hardworking American taxpayers.

They are hardworking American taxpayers, a group of people that real leaders in this country, beginning in modern American history, as I illustrated earlier, from President Franklin Delano Roosevelt all the way through our most recent President who we served with in the last Congress, have recognized that we have a sacred obligation to stand up for everyday Americans who are struggling right now, including our small business entrepreneurs, the heart and soul of the American economy, who right now are

dealing with such economic uncertainty because of the erratic, reckless, and dangerous Trump tariffs that have unleashed so much chaos and uncertainty, raising costs for small business owners all across the country and undermining their ability to fully achieve their entrepreneurial dreams. This is not who we should be in the United States of America.

□ 1030

Small business owners are under assault by this administration, and there is a target on the back of many of these small business owners as a result of this one big ugly bill.

Janice wrote to us. She is from the great State of Michigan, the heartland of our country. I am so thankful to all the Members of the Michigan delegation and what they represent. Janice, however, resides, Mr. Speaker, in the Seventh Congressional District, represented by Congressman TOM BARRETT. She says that she is a small business owner, a mother, and an ACA recipient in Michigan, who recently spoke about how Trump's tariffs would potentially force her to close her business, which has given her family a livelihood for a decade and helped put two children through college. She writes: "I just don't understand why Trump thinks putting tariffs will help American businesses. It is not. It is going to decimate us. People like us will be hit the hardest."

However, then, Janice shows the great resolve of the American people, the great spirit of resilience of the American people, the refusal to run away from difficult circumstances.

Janice writes: "People like us will be hit the hardest, but," Janice then writes, "I refuse to call it quits. I won't give in to him."

Janice, neither will House Democrats. Not now, not ever. We will never give in to this type of extremism that is being unleashed on the American people. We will continue to stand up for you.

There is one last small business owner that I would like to mention. There are so many who have written to us whose stories I want to be able to share, but let me perhaps go back to the Big Apple, the great State of New York.

Let me also say to the American people, one of the ways in which we have received all of these stories—and we urge you to continue to share these stories from all across the land. You can share your story with us at democraticleader.house.gov share your story, democraticleader.house.gov share your story.

We want to hear from the American people on how we can best represent their interests on this day and all days moving forward because their stories are powerful. Their stories will continue to give us the resolve to fight for an America that is fair and just, an America where every single person can afford to live the good life: a good-pay-

ing job, good housing, good healthcare, good retirement, and of course, a good education for your children.

That is not too much to ask in the wealthiest country in the history of the world. Work hard, play by the rules, and every single American should be able to afford to live the good life. But we know that in this country far too many people are living paycheck to paycheck, far too many small business entrepreneurs are living paycheck to paycheck, and they justifiably expect better from this government.

We should be working hard in a bipartisan way to make their life better, not unleashing shock, awe, and pain on the American people. That is what this one big, ugly bill will do, devastate everyday Americans.

Linda writes to us, a small business owner. I believe she is from the North Country. She lives in New York's 21st Congressional District, Mr. Speaker. Linda is a flower farmer and flower importer in upstate New York. Her small business has been impacted by Trump's tariffs on products being imported from Western Europe, the Netherlands, I believe.

The economic strain and uncertainty imposed by the tariffs on small business owners operating on slim margins has caused Linda and her customers to incur additional expenses.

Linda tells us, Mr. Speaker, everything was going really well until the tariffs. Now she worries the business will go bankrupt and be forced to close if the tariffs stay in place.

Mr. Speaker, how is it that we are living in America where President Trump and House Republicans promised to address the high cost of living in the United States, promised to lower costs on day one, but costs aren't going down? They are going up.

As a result of these Trump tariffs, we are now at risk of experiencing thousands of dollars per year in additional costs, and there is nothing in this one big, ugly bill that is going to meaningfully make the lives of everyday Americans, of hardworking American taxpayers, of working-class folks, of middle-class folks, of all those Americans who aspire to be part of the middle class, of the poor, the sick, and the afflicted, nothing in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill that will meaningfully make their lives more affordable.

□ 1040

Mr. Speaker, we are dealing with an all-out assault on the economy. We are dealing with an all-out assault on healthcare, on Medicaid, on the Affordable Care Act, on the Children's Health Insurance Program.

We are dealing with an all-out assault on the medical care, Mr. Speaker, provided by Planned Parenthood that is jeopardized in this very bill.

There is an all-out assault on hungry children, on hungry veterans, and on hungry seniors. Millions of people will lose their nutritional assistance. They will go hungry. Food is being ripped

out of their mouths by this one big, ugly bill, Mr. Speaker, that so many of my Republican colleagues seem prepared to support, notwithstanding their prior statements on the RECORD to the contrary.

There is an all-out assault on veterans. There is an all-out assault represented in this bill on small business owners and entrepreneurs who are the heart and soul of our economy.

This bill also represents an all-out assault on law-abiding immigrant families. Mr. Speaker, we are going to tell their stories, as well. We are going to tell their stories because what is happening in this country is an all-out assault on law-abiding immigrant families. It is unconscionable, unacceptable, and it is un-American.

This is a country that should continue to pride itself as a nation anchored in the rule of law and at the same time a nation anchored in our journey as one of immigrants from all over the world. We should never abandon that journey.

We are approaching the 249th birthday of this great country, this exceptional country. We can go directly, if necessary, Mr. Speaker, to the words that were initially issued by Thomas Jefferson, the author of the Declaration of Independence. He went through a whole series of indictments against the King that America, Thirteen Colonies, which became the 13 States, were working hard to break free from.

I mentioned earlier the Declaration of Independence. Of course, with some of the aspirational aspects of it, there are really two parts as I can tell. I am not a constitutional scholar. I am thankful for the constitutional scholars that serve in this Congress.

No one is more constitutional and more scholarly than my friend and colleague, the top Democrat on the House Committee on the Judiciary, JAMIE RASKIN, who continues to lead the charge and stand up for the Constitution here in the United States of America.

As I read the Declaration of Independence, there seems to be two parts to it. There is the aspirational part, Mr. Speaker, of the Declaration of Independence: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal."

We understand that now in a more enlightened way. All men, all women, all children, all of God's children are created equally, they are entitled to "certain unalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness."

We spent a little time talking about what that could look like. It is our commitment to bringing about the American Dream, the good life for every single person in this country, everybody. If we work hard and play by the rules, we should be able to afford the good life in the United States of America. That is what we are going to continue to work on. Bring the American Dream to life, consistent with

that aspirational part of the Declaration of Independence, the life, the liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

Then as far as I can tell, there was also a second interesting part of the Declaration of Independence. It was the indictment against the King. I commend everyone in this Chamber, all of the American people, who may be observing the proceedings in the House of Representatives, particularly as we approach July 4, to read that Declaration of Independence.

Perhaps I may even enter it into the RECORD later on today because we are here, Mr. Speaker, to take our sweet time on behalf of the American people. We are here to make sure, as best I can and as best we can, to cover all of the things that, as Democrats, we are fighting hard to defend during this extraordinary moment of assault directed at the American people as a result of this one big, ugly bill that will hurt everyday Americans in order to reward billionaires with massive tax breaks. It will then explode the deficit and the debt in ways that will jeopardize the future of our children and our grandchildren.

The aspirational part of the Declaration of Independence, of course, is often famously quoted. Then if we read other parts of the Declaration of Independence, which is an extraordinarily written document, it reads like an indictment against the extremism of the King.

It reads like an indictment against the King. I think it was King George. It reads like an indictment against King George's flooding his own strategy. It reads like an indictment against King George's shock and awe strategy. It reads like an indictment against what I think may have been called Project 1775, which is what brought us to Project 1776.

□ 1050

If you look at this indictment, Mr. Speaker, one of the things that it alludes to, that it talks about, is the notion of the king's effort to stop the assimilation of people from other parts of the world into the United States of America. We understand that, from the very beginning of this great country, there was a recognition, of course, of the importance of immigration to the success and vitality of the United States of America.

Let me be clear, Mr. Speaker, so there is no misrepresentation. House Democrats understand the importance and urgency of securing the border. We believe that our immigration system is broken and that it should be fixed in a comprehensive and bipartisan way. So many leaders in that effort with so many big ideas were willing to partner in a bipartisan way: PRAMILA JAYAPAL, LINDA SANCHEZ, ADRIANO ESPAILLAT, TOM SUOZZI, VERONICA ESCOBAR, and so many others. We want to partner to fix our broken immigration system and secure our border in a bipartisan and comprehensive way.

We are also going to make sure we stand up for our Nation's heritage, a nation of immigrants, which continues to this very day. It is an important part of American exceptionalism.

As far as I can tell in my reading of the original document, the writings of the Founders of this great country, the Framers of the Constitution, recognized it from the very beginning. They weren't perfect. They didn't have a perfect start, but, of course, as the preamble to the United States Constitution set forth: We the people, in order to form a more perfect Union.

Mr. Speaker, we have been set on this magical course, our march toward a more perfect Union. An immigration journey has always been an important part of the American journey.

That is why it is heartbreaking to see so many things that are happening in the country right now, such as the targeting, in such an extraordinary way, of law-abiding immigrant families, including American citizens. How can this be in the United States of America?

Some people might suggest that we should stay away from these stories. No. I am going to lean into this part of the American journey because we support our heritage and the mission of immigrants. We are going to stand up for Dreamers, stand up for farmworkers, and stand up for law-abiding immigrant families all across the country.

U.S. citizen children have been deported, Mr. Speaker. Is this who we have become in the United States of America? How can this be?

This is extraordinary. A 2-year-old American named Manu Borges Santos was born in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, in September 2022. In February, she was taken into custody in Florida alongside her mother and father, both of whom were undocumented. As a result of this situation, 2-year-old Manu was deported to Brazil.

Because of the Trump administration, Manu, a U.S. citizen, only 2 years old, is now effectively stateless. Manu was not a citizen or resident of Brazil. She is a 2-year-old girl, an American citizen, removed from her homeland, but she can't get legal status in her parents' ancestral home.

As a result of her inability to get citizenship down in South America because she is an American citizen, she has no right to routine pediatric check-ups, even though Brazil has a public health system. She cannot easily enroll in daycare and finds herself in an untenable situation.

I think we are better than this. We have to find a better way because I thought this administration promised, Mr. Speaker, to target violent felons, not 2-year-old American citizens. That is unacceptable, as far as I can tell, in the United States of America.

Target violent felons all you want, Mr. Speaker. We support that. They should be deported, but why are resources being spent targeting 2-year-old American children?

That is not American, and I don't believe the American people support that, Mr. Speaker. That is not what this country should be all about.

Manu wasn't the only American citizen who has been targeted by the deportation machine, a deportation machine that will be unleashed on steroids by this one big, ugly bill. We know that, in fact, is the case. That is not hyperbole. It is not a hypothetical. It is not hype.

JD VANCE told us the other day: Don't worry about the cuts to Medicaid. Don't worry about the fact that this is the largest cut to Medicaid in American history. Don't worry about the fact that more than 17 million Americans will lose their healthcare, and many more will experience higher premiums, copays, and deductibles, and whose lives will measurably get worse as a result of this one big, ugly bill. Vice President JD VANCE said don't worry about that because it is all about the deportation machine.

That is not targeting violent felons. Apparently, much of what has been unleashed on the American people is targeting American citizens like a 2-year-old American girl with the initials V.M.L., who was deported alongside her mother and sister to Honduras without any meaningful due process.

□ 1100

V.M.L. was taken into custody by ICE on April 22.

April 22 happens to be the birthday of my mom. I love you, Ms. Laneda Jeffries. If you are watching right now, I love you. My younger brother and I thank you for what you did, for your sacrifice in raising us to try to be the best citizens that we can be.

V.M.L. was taken into custody by ICE on April 22, while attending a routine check-in at a New Orleans immigration office with her mother. V.M.L.'s undocumented mother had no criminal history. Had she been allowed due process, they could have contested the removal. Instead, this 2-year-old American citizen was never given a chance to exercise her rights by the Trump administration.

That is not who we are. We are better than this in the United States of America.

Cary Lopez Alvarado, a U.S. citizen, was arrested while she was 9 months pregnant. Masked men wearing Border Patrol uniforms followed a white truck into a building's private parking in the city of Hawthorne. In that truck was Cary's partner, Brian. When she tried asking questions about who the agents were and what authority they had to arrest her partner, she was arrested.

Cary, an American citizen, recounted that: "They started grabbing me from both sides, and I ducked down to sort of shield my stomach because I was afraid"—an American citizen afraid—"they were going to hurt me."

She told them her due date. She was 9 months pregnant. Apparently the CBP officers responded: "Okay. Your

baby is going to be born here, but you're from Mexico, right?" They were wrong. They were detaining an American citizen. This is what has been unleashed on the American people.

One last story that I feel compelled to share on this issue, Mr. Speaker. I have still got some ground to cover on a few other issues of importance to the American people.

One last story that I think needs to be shared, Mr. Speaker, in connection with this issue. This achieved some attention, some notoriety. Americans were horrified, should be horrified—all of us should be horrified.

I will never forget the journey that we were originally on, set forth, spoken to by the Founders of this country: *e pluribus unum*; out of many, one. How can we forget that at this moment in time?

One last story in this area that I feel compelled to share, Mr. Speaker, is the story of Narciso Barranco, a 48-year-old undocumented landscaper who has been in the United States since the 1990s and has no criminal record.

Here is the thing that got me about this story and caused me to ask the question about this particular moment, the type of deportation machine that has been unleashed on the American people.

Narciso, this landscaper, raised three American sons, all of whom became U.S. Marines, two of whom are currently, Mr. Speaker, Active-Duty U.S. Marines.

His sons, juggling their military assignments, had been trying to deal with the cost and the paperwork required to adjust their father's immigration status through parole in place, a program which allows undocumented members of military families to apply for a green card, but these men in uniform, juggling their military assignments, had not gotten there yet.

After three decades of living, working, and raising a family of patriots in the United States, Narciso was violently arrested while he was mowing the grass at an IHOP restaurant.

We don't have to speculate as to whether this was true or not. In a video captured of the incident, you see he was sprayed with pepper gas. He was detained on the ground. He was not resisting. He was hit repeatedly in the head by masked Federal agents while he was on the ground.

Let me park it right there parenthetically for a moment. It seems to me that every other law enforcement professional in the United States of America has to disclose their identity in a way that is identifiable. These masks need to come down. These agents should just be held to the same set of standards as every other law enforcement officer in the United States of America.

If you don't understand the righteousness of that position, just study the case of Narciso. His oldest son, a U.S. Marine veteran, said in an interview: What we weren't prepared for and

didn't prep him for was these guys attacking him. We never expected anything like that. We expected these guys to act professionally and up to the standards of the United States Government.

□ 1110

Are masked, armed Federal agents who beat people on the ground really the standards that the United States Government should be standing by? Are these the types of actions, Mr. Speaker, that House Republicans endorse and support?

This is not the way that anyone in the United States of America should be treated, particularly the father of three patriotic marines. No one should be treated this way, but certainly not the father of three patriotic marines in the United States of America. It is outrageous. It is out of control, and it is completely and totally unacceptable. Unacceptable. Unacceptable here in the United States of America. We are better than this. Better than this.

Mr. Speaker, I have talked about the aspirational vision that we have for a country where everybody can afford to live the good life and work hard, play by the rules, experience the American Dream, live the good life, good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for their children, and good retirement. That is not too much to ask in the United States of America, the wealthiest country in the history of the world, a great country, an exceptional country.

As Abraham Lincoln once observed in a speech in the Chamber of the House of Representatives: America, "the last best hope of Earth."

I spent a little time talking about the type of things and the type of America that we should be working hard in a bipartisan way to bring about and spent some time critiquing this one big, ugly bill. It is not like Republicans, Mr. Speaker, weren't given every opportunity to try to address the concerns that we have raised.

I am thankful for my colleagues in all of the committees of jurisdiction who worked hard, pulled all-nighters, and offered amendments to try to improve this one big, ugly bill.

We have actually introduced throughout this process, Mr. Speaker, hundreds of amendments. Just yesterday, before the Committee on Rules, we introduced hundreds of amendments.

Before that, in late May, when the initial bill was being debated in a marathon session before the Committee on Rules, more than 125 or so Democrats offered more than 500 different amendments to improve this bill, to stand up for the American people, doing so over and over and over again throughout this process.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important for the American people to understand what exactly House Republicans have rejected. What changes have House Republicans rejected to this one big, ugly bill?

We repeatedly tried to offer an amendment, both in the Committee on Rules and on the House floor on behalf of the American people to strike every single provision that would cause the largest cuts to healthcare and food assistance in history, which will result in 17 million people or more losing health coverage and jeopardizing nutritional benefits for about 42 million Americans who might otherwise go hungry.

Republicans voted down that amendment.

I believe it was Representative EMILIA SYKES who had an amendment to strike the Medicaid cuts in the bill to prevent millions of people from losing their health insurance and to stop hospitals and nursing homes from shutting their doors.

Republicans voted down that amendment.

Representative JUDY CHU had an amendment striking cuts to the Affordable Care Act, cuts that are in this one big, ugly bill that will cause millions of everyday Americans to lose their health insurance or experience having their costs go up.

Mr. Speaker, you know that Republicans voted down that amendment.

Representative LAUREN UNDERWOOD from the great State of Illinois had an amendment, and I wish I could go through all of the amendments that have been introduced, but I am going to highlight as many as I can.

Representative LAUREN UNDERWOOD had an amendment to ensure that people who get their insurance through the ACA, ObamaCare, will not see their premiums increase. I mentioned earlier that, as a result of this one big, ugly bill, Mr. Speaker, premiums, copays, and deductibles are going to go up for millions of Americans. Many will lose coverage, and so this amendment was introduced to ensure that people who get their insurance through the ACA will not see their premiums increase this year, which is expected to cause 5 million people to lose coverage.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans voted down that amendment.

Representative KELLY MORRISON had an amendment that strikes the defunding of Planned Parenthood, which provides access to lifesaving cancer screenings and reproductive healthcare.

Last year, Republicans, Mr. Speaker, promised that reproductive healthcare and reproductive freedom was a State issue. President Trump promised that reproductive healthcare and reproductive freedom was a State issue. Yet, in this bill, there is a backdoor attack on reproductive freedom by targeting preventative healthcare that Planned Parenthood provides to millions of people all across this country.

Representative KELLY MORRISON had an amendment that strikes the defunding of Planned Parenthood, which provides access to lifesaving cancer screenings along with reproductive healthcare.

Republicans voted that down.

Let me pause there parenthetically just to make one observation on this issue of reproductive freedom. What we are seeing right now in the House of Representatives, Mr. Speaker, is Republicans unleashing a march toward a nationwide abortion ban. That is what is going on right now. What does this provision have to do with making life more affordable for everyday Americans? Nothing.

This is all part of a rightwing ideological, extreme effort to undermine reproductive freedom in the United States of America.

□ 1120

Let me make something clear, Mr. Speaker: House Democrats believe in a woman's freedom to make her own reproductive healthcare decisions, a decision that should be between a woman, her family, her faith, and her doctors. That is it. Period. Full stop.

We will continue to fight for reproductive freedom in the United States of America. We will not rest, Mr. Speaker, until the Women's Health Protection Act becomes the law of the land here in the United States of America. Big difference between us and them. Big difference.

Mr. Speaker, Representative JAHANA HAYES introduced an amendment to strike all provisions that would cut food assistance for children, seniors, and veterans. Republicans voted that down. How cruel. How cruel.

Representative SHONTEL BROWN had an amendment to strike burdensome red tape requirements that threaten nutritional assistance for millions of Americans and their families. Republicans voted that down.

I mentioned earlier, Mr. Speaker, this notion that Republicans are trying to spin to the American people that the attack on nutritional assistance, imposing those paperwork requirements, or as FRANK PALLONE would say, red tape requirements, that that is all about waste, fraud, and abuse. I think common sense suggests to us that it has nothing to do with waste, fraud, and abuse.

Mr. Speaker, I made clear earlier that as House Democrats, we believe that as custodians of taxpayer dollars, we need to make sure that we are spending that money efficiently, effectively, and equitably, and that we are committed to eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse wherever it may be found.

One of the things that is interesting about this bill, it appears to be part of the deal that was negotiated by the Senator from Alaska, is that the States apparently that have the highest error rates with respect to supplemental nutritional assistance are shielded from the initial impact of the nutritional assistance cuts.

Let's think about that for a moment.

How can it be that Republicans, Mr. Speaker, are claiming that this bill has anything to do with waste, fraud, and abuse? Yet, the States with the highest error rate, as Republicans during the

Rules Committee acknowledged under questioning from JIM MCGOVERN and MARY GAY SCANLON and JOE NEGUSE and TERESA LEGER FERNANDEZ, acknowledged that the States with the highest error rates were actually shielded from initial impact of the cuts to supplemental nutritional assistance.

ANGIE CRAIG pointed this out. It exposes, in my view, the lie being told, Mr. Speaker, by some in this town that this effort, this one big, ugly bill is somehow about combating waste, fraud, and abuse.

One of the reasons why we know common sense dictates that this one big, ugly bill has nothing to do with meaningfully going after waste, fraud, and abuse, is that, on average, SNAP beneficiaries receive \$6 per day to try to help them not go hungry, to try to make sure that veterans and children and seniors don't go hungry.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important for the American people to process that is what SNAP, on average, provides, \$6 per day. At the same time, Elon Musk, his Federal contracts, as we understand it, amount to \$8 million per day.

Mr. Speaker, if Republicans were really serious about targeting waste, fraud, and abuse in the United States of America, start there, \$8 million per day. Start right there.

Don't take it. Don't rip it from the mouths of children, seniors, or veterans.

If Republicans were really serious about targeting waste, fraud, and abuse, start right there with Elon Musk, and we will join you. We will welcome you into the warm embrace of the House Democratic Caucus to target waste, fraud, and abuse. Start right there. You can start right there, Mr. Speaker. Start right there.

Ranking Members JIM MCGOVERN and BOBBY SCOTT had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, to prohibit the bill from going into effect unless cuts to Medicaid and SNAP would not result in fewer families being eligible for free school meals.

Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans voted down that amendment. We can't find a way to stand up for school meals, for school breakfast, for school lunch. That is just cruel. It is callous. It is a corrupt perversion of this process targeting as this one big, ugly bill does, schoolchildren, hungry schoolchildren in the United States of America.

Mr. Speaker, Representative MIKE THOMPSON had an amendment that would have ensured Americans would not see their energy costs go up and to protect the millions of good-paying jobs that this bill will eliminate because this one big, ugly bill puts a target on the backs of the clean energy economy, on clean energy jobs, which result in cheaper energy and lower costs for the American people.

We were told by President Donald Trump when he was on the campaign trail, costs would go down on day one. He was going to focus, we were told, on

lowering the high cost of living in the United States of America. That is not what this bill does, and we know it because 21 House Republicans made that clear in a letter that I cited earlier that is now part of this public record.

Representative MIKE THOMPSON, supported by others, had an amendment. All of these amendments, cosponsored by Members all across the gorgeous mosaic of the House Democratic Caucus—we are proud of the fact that we look like we represent in the most intimate way possible, consistent with John Adams' original vision of the House of Representatives, that the House should be a portrait of the American people. That is what we represent. We are proud of that fact, the gorgeous mosaic of the American people.

□ 1130

That is what we are all about, in the most intimate way possible. That is why we love the House and what the House is supposed to represent, the best of the House, not what we are seeing on the floor right now.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans voted down the amendment introduced by Representative MIKE THOMPSON that was designed to ensure that Americans would not see their energy costs go up. It was also designed to protect the good-paying American jobs that were part of both the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act as well as the Inflation Reduction Act.

This amendment was designed to lift up the principle of actually trying to create good-paying union jobs that allow people to provide a comfortable living in the United States of America.

Amongst this all-out assault that we are witnessing in the United States is an all-out assault on organized labor, an assault on collective bargaining, and an assault on the freedom to negotiate.

What is the difference, Mr. Speaker, between us and our colleagues on the other side of the aisle? I think one of the things that is a clear difference, Mr. Speaker, is that we believe, we recognize, that in connection with this journey, work hard, play by the rules, imagine and dare to dream the ability to live the good life, to experience the American Dream, an American Dream that when you work hard and play by the rules, it should be able to provide a comfortable living for yourself and for your family, educate your children, purchase a home, have access to high-quality healthcare, go on vacation every now and then, and then, one day, retire with grace and dignity. That is the American Dream. That is the ability to afford and access the good life.

We believe, as House Democrats, that no single force in the United States of America has done more to bring the American Dream to life than organized labor. We will continue to stand up for organized labor today, tomorrow, and always, not undermine good-paying union jobs. That is what this bill, this

one big, ugly bill, does in the United States of America. We are going to stand up, stand up, stand up for organized labor.

This is personal to me. My parents, Marland Jeffries and Laneda Jeffries, raised us, my younger brother, Hasan, and me. They raised us in a working-class, union household. I am thankful for that. We were raised in central Brooklyn.

My mom grew up in Connecticut, and I mentioned—that must be JAHANA HAYES. I don't see ROSA DELAURO in my sight line, but I am so thankful for all the members of the Connecticut delegation. I am an unofficial sixth member of the Connecticut delegation.

My mom was raised in Bristol, Connecticut. She went to Bristol High School. I am thankful for my mom.

My dad, as I mentioned earlier, grew up in Newark.

That must be LAMONICA McIVER.

He grew up in Brick City. I mentioned earlier he had a nickname, Pudding. I failed to ask him, but I am going to see if my mom can unlock the key to that nickname for me. My mom grew up in Bristol, Connecticut, and my father grew up in Newark.

I mentioned earlier that he then went off to serve this country in uniform as an Air Force member and served in Germany during the Cold War. I think about that a lot. I didn't get to talk too much about it. I wish I would have had the opportunity to talk a little bit more with my dad about that. It is an extraordinary thing in the United States of America that someone could grow up in inner city Newark and then have the ability, in part thanks to President Harry Truman, to serve with people of all races to defend this great country.

I wish I would have had the opportunity to talk to my dad a little bit more about his experience in Germany because the one thing that I have been able to take away from that experience was that he came back having fallen in love with Heinekens and Becks. He came back after serving this country, Mr. Speaker, in uniform, as so many men and women in uniform do right now.

Let me make clear that we are so thankful. We are so appreciative of the courage of the men and women in uniform who serve in the United States military. We will always stand behind you. We thank you for your service, your patriotism, your courage, your heroism, your sacrifice, and for lifting up the freedom that is America.

□ 1140

They are not suckers. They are heroes. They are not suckers. They are heroes. We are thankful for their service. The men and women have no better hype person than FREDERICA WILSON.

My dad, as I mentioned, grew up in Newark. My mom grew up in Bristol, Connecticut. They met at Central State University. I am proud to note that it is a historically Black college and university.

Mr. Speaker, historically Black colleges and universities have given so much to the United States of America, and we will always stand behind them. We will always stand behind them. We will always stand behind them. I wouldn't be here right now without a historically Black college and university. I will never run away from that. I will never run away from that.

We can check the record, but we are proud of the fact that over the last few years prior to this administration, there has been historic investment in Hispanic-Serving Institutions, historically Black colleges and universities, and higher education.

That is part of who I am right now. I don't know if I will have time to tell the story of my grandmother. Maybe I will. I have got so many stories. I feel like I am in a hip-hop studio right now. They all relate to what makes every single one of us. Every single Democrat has a story to tell, a story to tell.

Brooklyn will get that. Every single one of us has a story to tell. That leads us to this moment. It is the reason why we are fighting hard against this incredible and unprecedented assault on the American way of life and an assault on organized labor.

My parents met at Central State University. Dad would tell my younger brother and I that it was love at first sight, but apparently my mom said: Not so fast. They worked it out, and I am thankful for that.

They met at Central State University, and, of course, I mentioned that mom was from Connecticut, my father was from New Jersey. Why was it that we grew up in New York City? Apparently, they compromised and moved to Brooklyn.

I was raised in Brooklyn, New York, in a working-class neighborhood in the middle of the crack cocaine epidemic. They were dangerous times in New York City in a union household. I am proud that I grew up in the Cornerstone Baptist Church. I am still a member of the Cornerstone Baptist Church.

Mr. Speaker, I grew up in this union household. I land on that moment, and every single one of us as House Democrats have a similar story to tell about why in our heart, in our soul, in our essence, in our experience we are so horrified by the attacks on organized labor because we understand the importance of what organized labor has meant to the American journey.

My mom and dad were both public servants throughout their entire lives. My mom worked for 45 years at DC 37, for the city of New York. My dad worked for 30 years. He was a member of the Public Employees Federation. He was a substance abuse social worker dealing with addiction during the heroin explosion of the 1970s, and the crack cocaine epidemic of the 1980s.

They got married in 1967, and they were newlyweds. Many people familiar with the history of the labor movement, particularly in New York City,

know that in 1967, the Social Service Employees Union, which they both belonged to at the time, famously went on strike for better pay, better healthcare, better working conditions, and most importantly, better service to the clients that they served.

I thought about that fact. They were newlyweds, a few months in, and they had to make a decision, when the Social Service Employees Union went out on strike, whether they would cross the picket line, because it was unclear perhaps how they would pay for food and rent and clothing as newlyweds in a new city. Mr. Speaker, were they going to stand in solidarity with their union brothers and sisters?

I am so thankful, Mr. Speaker, when I learned that my parents made that decision to stand on that picket line for as long as it took in solidarity with their union brothers and sisters. That is part of our DNA. That is part of why we fight so hard. We can all trace a similar story, an inheritance from our parents and grandparents, all of us have that kind of story to tell.

Why am I so thankful that my parents made what I believe was a tough personal decision, but, of course, the right decision? It is because of what that union membership actually meant to my journey and my younger brother's journey.

In 1973, my younger brother was born with a serious heart condition. He is okay right now. He is doing well. Right now he continues to say on social media that he is our mother's favorite son. Let me say from the House floor: No, you are not. I think she favors both of us.

□ 1150

In 1973, my younger brother was born with a serious heart condition. He is okay right now. He was born with a serious heart condition. I am thankful that it was that union-negotiated healthcare that got our family through that difficult moment. That is why we do what we do. It is our own personal experience.

In the early eighties, my parents made a modest living. Neither of them, even at the tail end of decades-long careers, ever made more than \$50,000. They made a modest living.

In the early eighties in Crown Heights, a rough neighborhood in central Brooklyn, they bought their first and only home. They were able to buy that home that my younger brother, Hasan, and I were raised in because of that union-negotiated salary. They paid off the mortgage down to zero because of that union-negotiated salary. This is personal to us. This is our own journey.

Lastly, as it relates to this part of my journey, this is why we all fight for what we fight for and why we stood behind Representative MIKE THOMPSON's amendment to protect good-paying union jobs. I went to college. I went to Binghamton University.

When I first got here, I was surprised to learn actually that I was the first

graduate of Binghamton University ever elected to the United States Congress. I was shocked to learn that. I was not the last because EUGENE VINDMAN and JOHN MANNION have now joined us. We tripled the size of the Binghamton University delegation. That is part of the reason we stand up for higher education and public education. That is our own experience.

I graduated from Binghamton University. My younger brother graduated from Morehouse College. Somehow, we managed to graduate from college without owing anything. For the life of me, I couldn't figure out how. As I mentioned, my mom and my dad just earned a modest living all throughout their careers. One day, I had a conversation with my mom. I was trying to figure it out. How did they pull that off?

She said: Well, I wanted to make sure my two sons could have a clean start to life. I borrowed against my union-negotiated pension to make sure my two sons could get free and clear of loans and get a higher education as part of our effort to experience the American Dream.

We all say to our brothers and sisters in organized labor: You don't ever have to worry about whether House Democrats are going to stand up for you because you have always been there for us.

That is our personal story. Every single one of us does this work because we have lived a life of opportunity that this great country has provided to us.

We are deeply troubled, saddened, and disgusted that this great institution, this House of Representatives, Mr. Speaker, and the Republicans are trying to jam this one big, ugly bill down the throats of the American people. This is a bill that hurts everyday Americans in order to reward billionaires with massive tax breaks. Shame on this institution if that bill ever passes.

We say to the American people we have been working hard to try to get this bill into a better situation, introducing amendment after amendment.

Representative JUDY CHU is the chair emerita of CAPAC. One of our extraordinary leaders, my good friend, GRACE MENG, now chairs CAPAC. Representative JUDY CHU had an amendment that no one earning more than \$10 million per year receives a tax cut to prevent this reverse Robinhood and the ballooning of the debt by \$4 trillion. Mr. Speaker, Republicans voted down that amendment.

We support, as Democrats, providing tax relief to everyday Americans. We are willing to work in a bipartisan way to deal with that issue under the leadership of RICHARD NEAL. Republicans decided, Mr. Speaker, as you know, to go down this partisan road, play partisan games, and try to jam this extreme budget down the throats of the American people.

Amendment after amendment after amendment was introduced by House

Democrats in good faith and exercising common sense to try to improve this bill.

Representative JIMMY GOMEZ, the chair of the Congressional Dads Caucus here in the United States House of Representatives, had an amendment to ensure that people who make \$1 billion a year don't get a tax break paid for by cutting Americans' healthcare and food assistance. Mr. Speaker, Republicans voted that down.

□ 1200

My good friend and classmate, Representative SUZAN DELBENE, had an amendment that would have made the child tax credit fully refundable. SUZAN DELBENE is a great champion of the child tax credit.

Let me also shout out a person who I believe is the mother of the enhanced and expanded child tax credit as well, the top Democrat on the Appropriations Committee, ROSA DELAURO. We are thankful for Rosa's leadership. She is fighting hard on behalf of the American people.

SUZAN DELBENE is a great champion of the child tax credit. She had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, to make the child tax credit fully refundable, covering the 17 million children who are being left behind by the big, ugly bill, and to increase the child tax credit for families.

What Republicans are doing, Mr. Speaker, as you know, in this particular bill is not enhancing and expanding the child tax credit. They are undermining the child tax credit.

Representative SUZAN DELBENE, my classmate, along with KATHERINE CLARK and others in the class of 2012, used to be the new kids on the block. Now, we are just the old G's.

SUZAN DELBENE introduced this amendment. Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans voted it down.

Representative LAURA GILLEN had an amendment. We dealt with this issue a little bit earlier, the new and permanent cap on State and local tax deduction. Representative LAURA GILLEN had an amendment to strike the new and permanent cap on SALT, which is something that Members of the House Republican Conference, Mr. Speaker, as you know, claim was a line in the sand for them. Specifically, Representatives from New York said that they support it, but Republicans voted down an amendment from Representative LAURA GILLEN.

Representative MARY GAY SCANLON from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, one of the great Members of the class of 2018, a great class, had an amendment that would protect infants and babies, American citizens born in this country, from the unconstitutional, un-American Trump executive order to end birthright citizenship. Republicans voted that down.

Let me make something clear: No matter what it takes, we are going to make sure, as House Democrats, that we stand up for the principle that

every single child born in the United States of America, pursuant to the 14th Amendment, is an American citizen. We will never let anyone take that away, not now, not ever.

I thank MARY GAY SCANLON.

I am appreciative of Congressman GREG MEEKS, my big brother in the United States Congress. We are family as House Democrats, committed to working hard and standing up on behalf of the American people. It is the honor of a lifetime to be part of this family and stand on the shoulders of NANCY PELOSI, STENY HOYER, and JIM CLYBURN. It is an honor to be part of this family to fight hard on behalf of the American people.

Representative MARY GAY SCANLON, I have to repeat this, had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, to protect infants and children, babies, against the unconstitutional and un-American Trump executive order to end birthright citizenship. Republicans voted that down.

Representative PRAMILA JAYAPAL had an amendment to prevent ICE from deporting United States citizens, Mr. Speaker, who are being deported by the Trump administration. I documented some of that earlier today. We are happy to provide more examples.

In fact, I am happy to debate my colleague, Speaker MIKE JOHNSON, on any of these issues anytime right here on the floor of the House of Representatives. I sent him the invitation. I sent him that invitation. I sent him that invitation months ago. I am still waiting to get a response.

We are not running from the American people. We are ready to debate any of these issues anytime and anyplace, particularly right here on the floor of the House of Representatives.

Representative PRAMILA JAYAPAL had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, to prevent ICE from deporting U.S. citizens. Republicans voted that down.

I mentioned earlier that we do this work because we are informed by our own personal experiences. It is not about glory, and it is not about profit. It is about improving the lives of the American people, whom we are privileged to represent.

JIM MCGOVERN and his wife, Lisa, are dealing with immense pain and immense personal tragedy, but they are fighting so hard on behalf of the American people. He had an amendment to restore the critical NIH research funding withheld by Donald Trump and Elon Musk to cure diseases like Alzheimer's and cancer, including pediatric cancer, childhood cancer, Mr. Speaker. Republicans voted that down.

Hundreds of amendments were introduced by Democrats to try to deal with the cruelty and callousness of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill. Many amendments were offered by Democrats, and Republicans chose not to make these amendments in order. In other words, they just ignored them and dismissed their importance.

□ 1210

Representative DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ and Representative JOSH

GOTTHEIMER offered an amendment, as a new requirement, that the Secretary of HHS certify in writing that the reductions and rescissions made by this act will not affect cancer survivors currently on Medicaid or Medicare. Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans dismissed that amendment.

Representative HOULAHAN and Representative RAMIREZ offered an amendment, Mr. Speaker, which exempts individuals with disabilities from having to prove eligibility for Medicaid every 6 months. That is a burdensome red-tape requirement designed to throw people off of Medicaid who are eligible. That amendment was dismissed.

Mr. Speaker, as you know, by House Republicans, Representative SARAH MCBRIDE, the distinguished gentlewoman from the great State of Delaware, the distinguished gentlewoman from the great State of Delaware, she offered an amendment that requires the CBO, the Congressional Budget Office, to certify provisions in this bill to make sure that those provisions will not reduce access to care or increase the cost of care for seniors who are duly eligible for Medicare and Medicaid. Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans dismissed that amendment.

Representative ROB MENENDEZ offered an amendment that the health provisions will not take effect if it would lead to an increase in mortality rates due to reduced access to hospital services. Mr. Speaker, as you know, House Republicans dismissed that amendment.

Representative VAL HOYLE introduced an amendment that strikes changes to provider taxes and preserves States' ability to use or increase provider taxes to fund Medicaid and protect hospital funding. Mr. Speaker, you know that, despite Representative HOYLE offering this amendment, Republicans dismissed it.

Rural hospitals will close as a result of Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill. They will close. SUSAN COLLINS acknowledged that fact, but House Republicans are here jamming a bill down the throats of the American people that will close hospitals in rural America, in urban America, in small-town America, in the heartland of America, and apparently, Mr. Speaker, they couldn't care less.

Let me be clear. We are going to stand up for those communities that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have abandoned. We are going to stand up for rural America, urban America, small-town America, the heartland of America, Appalachia. We are going to stand up for all of America, whether we represent you or not.

Representative NANETTE BARRAGÁN, one of our co-chairs of Steering and Policy, along with ROBIN KELLY and DWS, offered an amendment increasing the hospital stabilization fund that they had to include in this one big, ugly bill. This amendment was designed to protect hospitals from closing and expand eligibility for safety

net hospitals that we know exist all across America, in every corner in this country.

Safety net hospitals serve a large number of low-income patients, including those with Medicaid. Yet, Mr. Speaker, as you know, House Republicans dismissed this amendment.

Amendment after amendment after amendment the Democrats have introduced to try to relieve the pain that is being visited upon the American people by this one big, ugly bill was voted down by Republicans or dismissed out of hand.

Representative COSTA introduced an amendment to protect those on the Low-Income Heating and Energy Assistance Program, who are also targeted by this one big, ugly bill, as part of an effort to ensure that all households on SNAP can continue to qualify. Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans dismissed that amendment out of hand, ignored it, did not see fit to take it up.

Representative JILL TOKUDA from the great State of Hawaii introduced an amendment trying to prevent the nutrition title of this bill from taking effect, again, so the USDA can determine that the provisions of this one big, ugly bill would not result in material economic harm to farmers, ranchers, and producers. Mr. Speaker, as you know, Republicans dismissed that amendment out of hand.

Representative LLOYD DOGGETT had an amendment to extend tax cuts for the 98 percent of the American people who make \$400,000 or less per year. Had that amendment been taken up and passed, it would have cut the cost of this one big, ugly bill by approximately 50 percent, and we would have been standing up for those everyday Americans who are now going to be hurt by other provisions in this GOP tax scam, this disgusting abomination. Mr. Speaker, as you know, that amendment was dismissed out of hand by the House Republican majority.

Representative SETH MAGAZINER from the great State of Rhode Island, only in his second term, somehow, he is the dean of the delegation. Along with my good friend GABE AMO, SETH MAGAZINER had an amendment to provide a tax cut for the middle-class, paid for by increasing taxes on the wealthiest here in this country, taxes and a tax rate that had previously been at 39 percent, lowered by the original GOP tax scam in 2017.

You had this amendment to provide a tax cut for middle-class Americans. Instead, Republicans are spending a trillion dollars on massive tax breaks for the wealthiest amongst us. That amendment was dismissed out of hand.

□ 1220

Representative STEVEN HORSFORD, who also came in during the class of 2012, had an amendment to make no tax on tips, which we support—but we support no tax on tips becoming a permanent part of the law, and that is not what this one big, ugly bill does.

Tax breaks for billionaires, permanent; tax breaks for everybody else expire. That is not the way to stand up for everyday Americans. That is extraordinary.

Representative STEVEN HORSFORD's amendments to make no tax on tips permanent and to close loopholes that are in this one big, ugly bill were dismissed out of hand.

Amendments introduced by Ranking Member HUFFMAN, ANDREA SALINAS, DAVE MIN, EMILY RANDALL, and others protecting public lands were dismissed out of hand.

Amendments on energy introduced by Members like LUZ RIVAS were dismissed out of hand.

I think I will end this portion of my presentation—Mr. Speaker, I said, "this portion of my presentation." I still have a little more time.

Donald Trump's deadline may be Independence Day. That ain't my deadline. Do you know why, Mr. Speaker? We don't work for Donald Trump. We work for the American people.

That is why we are right here now on the floor of the House of Representatives, standing up for the American people, for everyday Americans, for hardworking American taxpayers, fighting hard to make sure that we can live in a country where every American can afford to live the good life.

As I mentioned earlier, Mr. Speaker, part of being able to afford to live the good life, part of those five things that I talked about, which shouldn't be hard to agree upon—work hard, play by the rules, and then being able to be part of a country. Imagine a country where, when you work hard and play by the rules, you can afford to obtain the American Dream, to experience the good life: a good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, a good education for your children, and, then, a good retirement.

We had a series of amendments, Mr. Speaker, introduced on the subject of education. I will say something about the Department of Education. Every agency and every department can, of course, be improved in terms of its efficiency, but the Department of Education does important work, particularly as it relates to the most vulnerable children amongst us.

As part of this unprecedented assault on the American way of life, President Donald Trump issued this executive order, effectively trying to abolish the Department of Education.

I know there are people all across the country, as I traveled throughout America, who are concerned about this. Let me make something clear: The Department of Education was established by an act of the United States Congress. It cannot be abolished by anyone pretending to be a wannabe king, not anyone. It is us, the United States Congress. We introduced, Mr. Speaker, a series of amendments related to this commitment, this recognition of the importance of education as part of accessing the American Dream.

Ranking Member BOBBY SCOTT is also battling some issues. Life hits us all, but he made sure to make his way here to the House of Representatives as part of his commitment to stand up for the education of our children and others.

He had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, that strikes all the extreme parts of the Committee on Education and Workforce elements of this bill that make it harder for students to access high-quality education, jeopardizes child nutrition programs, and threatens financial student aid, all of which is being done recklessly, Mr. Speaker, by this one big, ugly bill. As you know, Republicans chose to ignore this amendment, to dismiss it out of hand.

Representative SARAH ELFRETH introduced an amendment to try to increase the amount that hardworking teachers and educators are able to deduct on qualified out-of-pocket classroom expenses. That amendment was dismissed out of hand.

Tax breaks for billionaires, Mr. Speaker, but Republicans have decided that hardworking educators can't get an enhanced tax benefit for their out-of-pocket expenses. That is wrong, and as House Democrats, we will continue to stand behind the educators of the United States of America, the teachers in the United States of America, and those to whom we entrust our most precious asset: our children.

House Republicans, Mr. Speaker, aren't standing up for educators, for the teachers of America. This is extraordinary. We are going to continue to stand with our teachers and stand with our public schools all across the United States of America. That is what House Democrats are going to continue to do.

Representative VAL HOYLE had an amendment to increase Pell grant funding for Pell grant awards that are severely undermined in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill.

Mr. Speaker, it is extraordinary to me that this reckless Republican budget manages to go after blue-collar jobs, organized labor, hardworking American taxpayers who don't shower before going to work but shower after work, and that Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill goes after blue-collar, good-paying, prevailing-wage jobs here in the United States of America, and then also goes after access to higher education.

□ 1230

Representative VAL HOYLE had an amendment, Mr. Speaker, increasing Pell grant awards that are severely undermined in this bill.

House Republicans chose to ignore this amendment and dismiss it out of hand. This is another one of those areas where our commitment is to experience the American Dream, whatever path you choose. This may be through career and technical education, as has been the case by many Members in their experience or their family experience, such as DON NORCROSS, who is one of our leaders in this regard, DEBBIE DINGELL, and others.

MARK POCAN is part of the Labor Caucus leaders in this regard. MARK POCAN is part of the trades movement. DON NORCROSS is part of the trades movement. DEBBIE DINGELL is so close to the UAW and the trades.

It is one of those areas where we also are informed by our personal experiences, why we find what is taking place, Mr. Speaker, in this one big, ugly bill so disgusting, these attacks by Republicans on the ability of all Americans to achieve the American Dream, to be part of imagining an America where everyone who works hard and plays by the rules can live the good life.

Higher education is not the entire solution, but it certainly is part of it. This was taught to me by my grandma Nana. She never had a formal 4-year education, but perhaps, she was the wisest woman I have ever known. She was determined to make sure that her two grandsons had the opportunity, as best she could, to obtain an education. Ultimately, we were coming out of this working-class neighborhood, Crown Heights in central Brooklyn, and we spent a lot of time in Bedford-Stuyvesant. She lived on the corner of Putnam and Lewis, right down the block from the Cornerstone Baptist Church.

She was determined to make sure that her two grandsons had the best opportunity, in her view, in grandma Nana's view to access education. When we were young, she said to my younger brother and I—I will never forget this conversation. We were in her home in Bed-Stuy. She said that the two of you are going to go to elementary school and then you will graduate, go to middle school and graduate, go to high school and graduate, and then go to college and graduate. Then she dreamed about us going to graduate school, get a law degree, a Ph.D., a medical degree, an MBA, some form of advanced education. We were, like: Grandma, we are going to be in school our entire lives.

She was so committed to that journey that every single time we graduated—this is a woman of modest means—she gave my younger brother and I, whoever was the graduate, \$500 in cash. I still don't know where Nana got that money from. I know it came by her honestly. She saved for it, but it was designed to help incentivize her grandchildren to keep going through all of the obstacles.

Mr. Speaker, I made the mistake one day of telling that story, this \$500 incentive, in front of my two sons, and they said: Dad, you have been short-changing us our entire lives. Access to education is a part of the journey for many of us. It is shocking to me that this one aspect, not the only aspect, this one aspect that Thomas Jefferson, the author of the Declaration of Independence once articulated, the father of the University of Virginia, Ben Franklin, the father of the University of Pennsylvania, and others saw an im-

portant role for enlightened education as just one path that we as House Democrats are trying to protect while also making sure that every single hardworking American can get the type of career in technical education, if they choose that path, to experience the American Dream.

Mr. Speaker, as I perhaps approach the end of this particular journey, let me just reiterate that as House Democrats, we maintained from the very beginning of this Congress, we acknowledged the election of President Donald Trump, offered to work with our colleagues on the other side of the aisle whenever and wherever possible in order to make life better for the American people, to stand up for the things that matter not as Democrats, Independents, or Republicans but as Americans, but the route, Mr. Speaker, that has been taken by House Republicans is to go it alone and to try to jam this one big, ugly bill, filled with extreme rightwing policy priorities, down the throats of the American people.

That is why, in good conscience, we can't stand here, Mr. Speaker, and support this effort, this one big, ugly bill that hurts everyday Americans and rewards billionaires with massive tax breaks.

□ 1240

Mr. Speaker, America is too expensive. Housing costs are too high. Grocery costs are too high. Childcare costs are too high. Energy costs are too high. Insurance costs are too high. America is too expensive.

President Trump and House Republicans promised to lower costs on day one, Mr. Speaker, but costs aren't going down. They are going up.

The policies unleashed by the Trump administration have been so chaotic, so all over the place. So much uncertainty has been created that the economy is being run off track, and nothing has been done to lower the high cost of living.

In fact, not a single thing in Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill will meaningfully make life more affordable for everyday Americans. That is just one of several reasons why House Democrats are "hell no" on this legislation. We were a hell no last week, a hell no this week, a hell no yesterday, a hell no today, and we will continue to be a hell no on this effort to hurt the American people.

And I know, for the record, Mom, "hell" is in the Bible.

The American people are understandably frustrated by the high cost of living. Yes, they are frustrated by the unprecedented assault on the American way of life, on our democracy, on the rule of law and due process, on healthcare, on Social Security, on nutritional assistance, but it is my sincere hope that we can find a path forward to actually address for the American people some of the underlying sources of their frustration.

The fact that far too many people—you have heard the stories, Mr. Speaker, and that is just a fraction of the

stories that have been shared with us, Members of the United States House of Representatives, just a fraction of the stories that I have been able, on behalf of House Democrats, to enter into the RECORD.

They are frustrated by a lot of the things that have gone on in this country since January 20. I am frustrated by a lot of what has gone on, disgusted by a lot of what has gone on, Mr. Speaker, in this country since January 20. We are better than this.

It is also important—one of the things that I hope, Mr. Speaker, we will be able to address at some point is the source of the underlying frustration. It is a frustration in the comments, in the eloquent words, of all of those Presidential leaders that I mentioned earlier today.

This frustration is economic frustration. FDR talked about it. Truman talked about it. President Kennedy talked about it. Johnson talked about it. Carter talked about it. Clinton talked about it. President Obama talked about it. President Biden talked about it. It is a consistent strand.

While progress has been made in the United States of America—we have come a long way on behalf of working-class Americans—there is still a way to go to ensure that, in this great country, hardworking American taxpayers don't simply work hard and play by the rules just to survive barely paycheck to paycheck but are able to actually thrive.

That is the America that we should all commit to work hard toward bringing about: good-paying jobs, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and a good retirement, a fair shot at achieving the American Dream.

A good retirement, let me reiterate it for the record, means, Mr. Speaker, that people in this town need to keep their hands off the Social Security and the Medicare of the American people.

Keep your hands off Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, all of those things. Hands off.

One of the many reasons why we strongly oppose Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill is because it will result in the largest cut to Medicare, sets in motion the largest cut to Medicare, more than \$500 billion by some estimations, in American history.

The American people are understandably frustrated, not for years but for decades, and far too many have dealt with the situation where the system is not building an economy that works for hardworking American taxpayers, for everyday Americans, for working-class Americans, for everyone who aspires to be part of the middle class.

It is our sincere hope that we can get to a place one day, perhaps in a bipartisan way, not connected to this extreme, reckless, and dangerous partisan bill, this one big, ugly bill that will hurt everyday Americans in order to reward billionaires, that we actually can get to the work of lifting up every-

day Americans because the deck has been stacked against you for far too long. That is not the America that the American people deserve.

Part of the challenge, part of the problem, part of the issue as to why we find ourselves, everyday Americans, in this situation is because of the dominance of special interests in this town. This one big, ugly bill represents a massive giveaway to special interests in this country.

□ 1250

Mr. Speaker, we reject special interests because our job here in the United States of America is to protect the public interest at all times: today, tomorrow, always, and forever.

It is extraordinary to me, Mr. Speaker, that you have folks in this town talking about draining the swamp. Guess what? You are the swamp. You are the swamp. You are the swamp.

We have never seen anything like this, the type of corruption that has been unleashed on the American people and has poisoned, Mr. Speaker, this bill.

I see you are consulting the Parliamentarian, I think. I said, "people in this town."

I am thankful that my grandmother saw fit to have her grandson educated.

We have never seen the level, Mr. Speaker, of this type of corruption unleashed on the American people that has made its way into this one big, ugly bill. There are extraordinary levels of corruption in this town that has been unleashed on the American people. Corruption on steroids would be an understatement, in terms of what the American people are now being subjected to.

One of the things we look forward to changing in this town—I am going to make this commitment—House Democrats are committed to making sure that we end corruption once and for all in the United States of America: end corruption in Congress, end corruption at the Supreme Court, and end corruption with the administration. That is part of our agenda, an anticorruption agenda. That is what the American people deserve. That is what we as House Democrats are committed to bringing about; the exact opposite, Mr. Speaker, of what we see in this bill.

We are going to present to the American people an anticorruption agenda the likes of which have never been seen because it is too much. Fundamentally, it undermines the ability of government to deliver for everyday Americans. You see that politics at the end of the day is about the management of public money. That is why we need everyday Americans to participate in your democracy because politics, at the end of the day, is about the management of public money. It is about how taxpayer dollars are going to be managed.

What is extraordinary about this one big, ugly bill is that what we see, Mr. Speaker, is the management of public

money, all of it being done in this highly partisan way. It is not designed to serve the best interests of the American people.

It is being managed, Mr. Speaker, in this GOP tax scam, this disgusting abomination, this one big, ugly bill. The management of taxpayer money is being misused and abused to hurt everyday Americans in order to reward billionaires with massive tax breaks.

That is a dereliction of duty, the mismanagement of public money. It is an abuse of the privilege that all of us as Members of the United States Congress have.

One of our promises to the American people: As we look toward the future, we will always be stewards of their money to make sure that we are allocating taxpayer dollars in a way designed to lift up the American people, not tear the American people down. That is the type of Congress that the American people deserve: The public interest over special interests; the public interest over special interests; the public interest over special interests. That is one of our promises to the American people, and we are going to work hard to earn their trust as we move forward and look toward the future.

I mentioned earlier in my remarks that I wanted to talk a little bit about faith. I grew up in the Cornerstone Baptist Church. Faith is the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen. We walk by faith, not by sight. If you just have faith the size of a mustard seed, you can move mountains. We have come this far by faith.

I can't leave this floor, Mr. Speaker, without talking a little bit about faith. I use "faith" in the broadest sense of the word. I am proud of what we are in this country: Jewish faith, Muslim faith, Hindu faith, Buddhist faith, Christian faith, all faiths.

That is the interesting thing. This rush to jam this reckless bill down the throats of the American people, all of it somehow connected to our 249th birthday that we are going to celebrate tomorrow, July Fourth, a journey launched by the Declaration of Independence and ultimately this principle embedded in the First Amendment around the importance of faith but also at the same time the importance of separating church and state.

It is all in the First Amendment, as interpreted by the Supreme Court. I don't have time, I think, to really deeply go into it. You have got the Establishment Clause and you have got the Free Exercise Clause. The Framers of this country recognized the importance of faith, our journey of religion. I am proud of my Christian faith, but I also recognize the importance of the plurality of all of us, men and women of faith, different religions, and also of the fact that here in America we embrace the religious and the secular.

□ 1300

Mr. Speaker, I alluded to this question of faith a little earlier. It seems to

me that the Gospels, the New Testament—there are some interesting parts of the Old Testament. I am tempted to tell the story of King Nebuchadnezzar.

Mr. Speaker, there are a lot of parallels to what is going on right now. I am going to focus at this moment on New Testament Scripture, the Gospels.

I think it was mentioned earlier by one of my colleagues. I think DON BEYER mentioned earlier Matthew 25:35-40 earlier. I think it is important that at this time in this moment and in this debate, before I leave the floor of the House of Representatives, this Scripture be entered into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

“For I was hungry and you gave me something to eat; I was thirsty and you gave me something to drink; I was a stranger and you invited me in.”

“I was a stranger and you invited me in.”

“E pluribus unum”; “out of many, one.”

“I needed clothes and you clothed me.”

I was sick. I had medical problems. Maybe I needed Medicare or Medicaid or the Affordable Care Act or the Children’s Health Insurance Program or Planned Parenthood. Mr. Speaker, I was sick, and you looked after me.

Mr. Speaker, I was in prison and you came to visit me. We have a right, as Members of Congress, to visit people who are detained. It is not just in law. It is right here in Matthew.

“Then the righteous will answer him, ‘Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you, or thirsty and give you something to drink? When did we see you a stranger and invite you in, or needing clothes and clothe you? When did we see you sick or in prison and go to visit you?’”

Of course, the reply from Jesus: “Truly, I tell you whatever you did for one of the least of these brothers and sisters of mine, you did for me.”

That is what we should do here in the United States House of Representatives. Our job is to stand up for the poor, the sick, and the afflicted, the least, the lost, and the left behind, everyday Americans.

That is what Matthew teaches us, and that is not what is happening in this one big, ugly bill. That is not consistent with what my faith teaches me. I am not down with this situation.

There are some folks in this town who go to church. Mr. Speaker, I said some people in this town go to church. I am not questioning anybody’s faith. I am just making an observation. Some folks in this town go to church, and they pray, p-r-a-y, on Sunday. Then they come to Congress and prey, p-r-e-y, on the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I am not down with that kind of faith. That ain’t my faith. That is not our faith. That is not faith that comes out of the Gospel. I can’t find that kind of faith in Matthew 25:35. I can’t find that kind of faith.

I do see something else. Can I talk about it for a moment? I do see some-

thing else. It is kind of what I am seeing connected to this one big, ugly bill. I don’t know if this was an inspiration to this extraordinary assault on the American people, this corrupt piece of legislation.

I looked over my Bible, and this is going to come from John. It is not going to come from Second Corinthians, although the Apostle Paul has a lot to say about that.

Mr. Speaker, I said Second Corinthians and not 2 Corinthians. I don’t know who I am talking about but, Mr. Speaker, if you are going to sell the Bible, you should know the Bible.

I am trying to figure it out because it is not in Matthew 25:35-40. I did see something in John 10:10. This frightens me in this moment that we are living in. It says: “The thief comes only to steal and kill and destroy.”

It is incredible to me that we are here, dealing with a piece of legislation literally that steals money from everyday Americans, from hardworking American taxpayers. It steals money from Medicaid, Medicare, the Affordable Care Act, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. It steals money from Pell grants, from the education of our children, and from energy assistance programs. The thief comes only to steal and kill.

I don’t say this lightly, Mr. Speaker, but we stand behind this point. When you try to take healthcare away, what this bill does by taking healthcare away from everyday Americans, 1 in 17 million—this unprecedented assault on Medicaid, on the Affordable Care Act, on Medicare, and on children’s health insurance—what you effectively are doing is hurting everyday Americans, hurting children, hurting women, hurting older Americans, seniors, and people with disabilities.

□ 1310

Nursing homes will close. Hospitals will shut down. Community-based health clinics will be unable to operate. We are actually here debating legislation, Mr. Speaker, that will result in tens of thousands of everyday Americans losing their lives because of the inability to get healthcare that they now have and is being ripped away from them.

John 10:10 says: “The thief comes only to steal and kill and destroy.”

It is an all-out assault on the American people. It is an all-out assault on healthcare, the economy, Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and nutritional assistance for veterans, farmers, law-abiding immigrant families, and small business owners. All the things that we have spent some time on this House floor talking about, this bill is trying to destroy, to destroy the American way of life.

I can’t find anything in the Bible in the New Testament, certainly not in Matthew 25:35 and all the way through the 40th verse, but somehow, I happened upon John 10:10 in thinking about this one big, ugly bill. The thief

comes only to steal, kill, and destroy, but America is a resilient nation. We are an exceptional nation. We are a strong nation. We have been through turbulence, trials, and tribulations, and we always somehow have found a way to make it through. It is because of the resilience, Mr. Speaker, of the American people.

Despite whatever happens today as it relates to this one big, ugly bill, if my Republican colleagues decide that, once again, Mr. Speaker, they are simply going to make the decision to serve as a reckless rubberstamp for President Trump’s extreme agenda, I still have faith in the United States of America. I still have faith in the United States of America. I still have faith in the United States of America.

We will not let anyone in this town destroy what America represents, not ever. It is not going to be easy. We recognize that, but we have faith in the resilience of the American people.

As I get ready to close shortly, let me say it one more time. Our Republican colleagues tried to jam this reckless, extreme bill, Mr. Speaker, down the throats of the American people. They had the nerve to start this debate at 3:28 a.m., in the middle of the night, but we made clear that we were going to expose all the things that are being done to harm the American people, not in the dark of night but in the light of day.

This little light of mine, we are going to let it shine, but on behalf of the American people.

It is incredible to me that Republicans will try to jam this big, ugly bill down the throats of the American people in the dead of night, and then, to add insult to injury, Mr. Speaker, allocate 15 minutes to each side on the committees of jurisdiction, 15 minutes to the Ways and Means on each side and 15 minutes to the Budget Committee. That is extraordinary, but I am thankful and appreciative of all of my colleagues who stand behind me.

I thank all of my colleagues for their commitment, their courage, and their conviction, for standing up on behalf of the American people to deliver hopefully what is a message of concern but also a message of hope.

We have to fight a lot of battles on behalf of the American people. It is not over. We are fighting a lot of battles on behalf of the American people. This is just one of them. We wanted to make sure that the American people had an opportunity to fully and more completely understand in the light of day just how damaging this one big, ugly bill will be to the American people.

I plead with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, I really plead with my colleagues to be inspired by some of the Framers of the Constitution, the great scholars and great thinkers who conceived of this incredible journey that we have been on in the United States of America, creating separate and coequal branches of government. They recognized that in

this country, part of the gift that we were given by the Framers of the United States is the Constitution.

□ 1320

No king, no monarch, separate and coequal branches of government. This is the Article I branch of government.

Mr. Speaker, James Madison once made the observation in his view in one of the Federalist Papers that at its best, Congress should function as a rival to the executive branch. That was James Madison. Article I branch of government, the House and the Senate.

We are not here to bend the knee to any wannabe king. Mr. Speaker, we are not here to bend the knee to any wannabe king. We are here to be a rival to the executive branch in the best spirit of the House of Representatives, to push back against an out-of-control executive branch. That was the Framers' vision. I said that was the Framers' vision, the Framers' vision.

So as we prepare to take this consequential vote, Mr. Speaker, I just urge many of my colleagues in government, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle—Mr. Speaker, we don't work for President Trump. We don't work for JD VANCE. We don't work for Elon Musk.

Mr. Speaker, as all of us prepare to cast this vote, I hope my Republican colleagues will come to the conclusion that we work for the American people. We work for the American people. We don't work for any President. We work with American Presidents. We work for the American people. So it is my hope that, as you evaluate this bill, all of the harm that is being unleashed on the American people, ripping healthcare away from more than 17 million Americans, effectively ending Medicaid as we know it, shutting down hospitals, including in rural America, in districts, Mr. Speaker, that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle represent. Nursing homes closing, one in four nursing homes, Mr. Speaker, will close as a result of the attack on Medicaid and Donald Trump's one big, ugly bill.

Mr. Speaker, this is extraordinary, this assault on everyday Americans, this assault on children, veterans, seniors, people with disabilities. It is incredible to me. All of this is in this one big, ugly bill. Ripping food away from children, literally ripping food out of the mouths of hungry children, hungry veterans, and hungry seniors.

Mr. Speaker, that is not America. We are better than that. Mr. Speaker, we are better than that. Ripping food out of the mouths of vulnerable Americans, that is extraordinary that that is what we are doing, extraordinary.

All of this is being done, this unprecedented assault on everyday Americans is being unleashed on the American people, Mr. Speaker, on the most vulnerable amongst us. All of this is being done to provide massive tax breaks to billionaire donors.

Shame on this institution if this bill passes. That is not America. We are

better than this. We are better. We are better. We are better than this. I think that, as we prepare to vote on this one big, ugly bill, it is a tough time for the people in the United States of America. It is extraordinary, extraordinary extremism unleashed on the American people.

Mr. Speaker, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle promise to address the high cost of living. Nothing in this bill will meaningfully lower the high cost of living in the United States of America. Nothing. It is incredible to me.

All of the promises that were made by some people in this town that their top priority was going to be to lower the high cost of living in the United States of America, and then all of last year ran away from Project 2025, and acted like it didn't exist.

Then, Mr. Speaker, people come to town in the majority now and every single part of the extremism that we have seen unleashed on the American people is connected to Project 2025, every single part.

Here is why I have still got hope and optimism. I referenced this earlier today. As we prepare to experience the 249th birthday of the United States of America, let's take it back to that original document, the Declaration of Independence, 1776. Mr. Speaker, it had the aspirational part: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness."

That is the aspirational part of the Declaration of Independence.

□ 1330

As House Democrats, we are committed, no matter what it takes, to bring that about. Imagine an America where everyone who works hard and plays by the rules can live the good life and afford to live the good life: a good-paying job, good housing, good healthcare, good education for your children, and a good retirement.

That is what House Democrats are fighting for. That is the aspirational part of the Declaration of Independence, to bring that to life. The pursuit of happiness, that is the aspirational part.

Yet, then, when you look at that Declaration of Independence, the second part of it reads like an indictment against an out-of-control king. It reads like an indictment against an out-of-control king. I commend all Americans—perhaps sometime tomorrow, I am going to do this myself—to read that document in its entirety.

Why was that indictment issued? I think it was because the Framers of this great country were fed up with project 1775, and so they implemented project 1776. I know that there are people concerned with what is happening in America, but understand that what our journey teaches us is that, after

Project 2025 comes Project 2026, and you will have an opportunity to end this national nightmare as part of Project 2026. I love the Framers. They gave us a blueprint for what should give us hope in this moment.

Mr. Speaker, I will close by referencing someone who we all served with. I will stand on the shoulders, if it is okay, on some of our civil rights heroes and foot soldiers, those whose character, whose conviction, whose courage should give the American people hope at a moment of great despair.

Many of us had the great honor of serving with Congressman John Lewis. It was a great honor, Mr. Speaker, to serve with Congressman John Lewis.

I came across something that John Lewis said in June of 2018, and, hopefully, no matter what the outcome of this vote, it will give people some hope.

The great John Lewis said: "Do not get lost in a sea of despair. Be hopeful, be optimistic. Our struggle is not the struggle of a day, a week, a month, or a year. It is the struggle of a lifetime."

That is our struggle. No matter, Mr. Speaker, what you decide to do today, that is our struggle. Standing on the shoulders of John Lewis, that is our struggle.

"Never, ever be afraid to make some noise and get in good trouble—" good trouble, good trouble—"necessary trouble."

Standing on the shoulders of giants, John Lewis would often talk to us about his admiration for Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Dr. King would refer to John Lewis as the boy from Troy.

Now, what is interesting to me, that civil rights movement—we can learn a lot from it—started December of 1955, and Rosa Parks sat down on that bus so that all of us could have the courage to stand up. It wasn't an easy struggle. All the odds were stacked against these civil rights heroes and foot soldiers.

During the early days of the movement, a difficult part of the movement, Dr. King—after they had been targeted, arrested, beaten, harassed by the authorities—traveled to Brooklyn, New York, and spoke at the Concord Baptist Church. I think it was in March 1956.

Dr. King said to a group of people of every race, every religion, every life experience at Concord Baptist Church in Bedford-Stuyvesant, he said to them: No matter what the odds, we have got to press on.

Dr. King said: If you can't fly, run. If you can't run, walk. If you can't walk, crawl. But at all times, press on, and keep pressing.

As I take my seat, I just say to the American people that, no matter what the outcome is on this singular day, we are going to press on. We are going to press on. We are going to press on.

We are going to press on for our children, press on for our seniors, press on for our veterans, press on for our unions, press on for our farmers, press on for our Dreamers, press on for working-class Americans, press on for the middle class, press on for all who aspire

to be part of the middle class, press on for the poor, press on for the sick, press on for the afflicted, press on for the least of us, press on for the lost, press on for the left behind, press on for the rule of law, press on for the American way of life, and press on for democracy. We are going to press on until victory is won.

□ 1340

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I think it is fair to say the leader has done his part.

I have no further speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Wow, Mr. Speaker, we have stood in this Chamber for over 8 hours listening to a lot of words, a lot of comments, a lot of inaccurate statements, and where I come from—could we have order?

Mr. Speaker, I come from the Show Me State, and what we just heard can be defined in one word, a bunch of hogwash is what we have heard for 8 hours on that side of the building. I will tell you, for the 8 hours—could we have order?

Mr. Speaker, the 8 hours of hogwash that we just heard will not change the outcome that you will see very shortly when we deliver historic tax relief for working families, small business owners, and farmers.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. JOHNSON), our great Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Missouri for yielding.

Ronald Reagan said one time that no speech should be longer than 20 minutes, and unlike the Democrat leader, I am going to honor my colleagues' time and be a little more brief than that.

I just want to say something that many of us learned when we were children. We were taught: It takes a lot longer to build a lie than to tell the simple truth.

My friends and colleagues, on both sides of the aisle, have waited long enough. Some of us have literally been up for days now, but this day is a hugely important one in the history of our Nation. We have a big job to finish, and that is why we are here on the week of July Fourth, just days before—just a day before now America's birthday.

It was on that fateful day, as the Democrat leader acknowledged, that our Founders pledged their lives and their sacred honor to this grand experiment in self-governance that we are now here to steward. It is an experiment that falls to us to guard and to protect it. We take that very seriously on this side of the aisle.

In just a few moments, we will remind the world why the American experiment still endures today and why its best days are still ahead of us.

Mr. Speaker, with the One Big Beautiful Bill Act we are going to make this country stronger, safer, and more prosperous than ever before, and every American is going to benefit from that.

Today, we are laying a key cornerstone of America's new golden age. Now, listen, we have a few words of gratitude first. Scripture has been cited a lot this morning, I think mostly out of context, but what I will say, one thing we know about Scripture is that we are supposed to give honor where honor is due, and that is what we do on this side of the aisle.

I thank every single Member of the House Republican Conference for pouring their time and their heart and their expertise and experience into this process. I thank all of our amazing staff members, all of them. Their dedication, their endless hours, and their hard work made this all possible.

I thank the White House Office of Legislative Affairs, who have basically lived with us now for the last few weeks. Their patience and persistence and their professionalism is greatly appreciated. Our country's incredible Cabinet Secretaries have been involved, as well. They have assisted us in countless ways throughout this process.

Our Vice President, JD VANCE, helped us navigate so many dire straits along the last several weeks through the House and the Senate, and of course, our bold, visionary, fearless President, Donald J. Trump.

President Trump is the creator and the champion of the America First agenda. We will deliver upon that today. We have come a long way. We began this process really about 15 months ago. We had a sense, and we believed that we would have unified government. We would have this moment where the Republican Party would be given control of the White House and the Senate and the House, and we determined to ourselves that we would not waste that historic opportunity.

We have had spirited debates. We have had months of deliberations, and now we are finally ready to fulfill our promise to the American people. That is what we are doing today. Before I respond to the allegations from across the Chamber, I just want to take us back to a day that most of us will remember so very fondly for the rest of our lives and that is November 5 of 2024.

That was election day, a fateful one for America. The reason that was so important, the reason it was such a turn in history is because the American people spoke with unmistakable clarity. We sensed it as we were all out campaigning. We saw that there would be a demographic shift in America, and that is exactly what the election yielded.

We, in our party, had a record number of Black and African-American voters come to the Republican Party. We had a record number of Hispanic and Latino voters come to the Republican Party, a record number of Jewish voters and union workers and suburban women and urban voters. They came out in record numbers to join the Republican Party and the America First agenda with President Trump.

That election was decisive. It was a bellwether. It was a time for choosing, and I will tell you what, the American people chose overwhelmingly. They chose the Republican Party. The reason they did that—they didn't come hesitantly. They came with hopeful anticipation. Do you know why?

They came because this is not your father's Democratic Party. They went so far full speed to the far left and their radical, woke, progressive agenda, that the nonsense that they tried to push on the people was rejected by the people.

These new voters that came to us had enough of the Biden-Harris madness and all the things they were trying to hoist upon the American people that they just don't believe in their hearts, and they were hopeful. They came to us with hope. They wanted us to restore common sense and accountability, and we promised them that we would do just that.

Those new demographics came to the Republican Party because of open borders wreaking havoc on their communities. They came because of weakness at home that fueled instability abroad, and because trillions in reckless spending put the price of gas and groceries further out of the reach for hard-working Americans.

□ 1350

It wasn't right. President Trump and our Republican majority in Congress are fixing all of that right now. President Trump said this week that we are the hottest country in the world again.

My friends, that is an objective truth. Hey, listen, how about all the wins for the President and this party? Here is just a sample from the last 2 weeks. The headline today will be: One Big Beautiful Bill Act passes the Congress.

You have to hold your applause. There are too many wins. Are you getting tired of winning yet?

The fourth jobs report in a row exceeds expectations.

A sample of the headlines: Lowest monthly border encounters in U.S. history. We closed the border.

In the last couple of days, there was a new trade deal with Vietnam, and all the countries around the world are lining up for that.

UPenn agrees to ban biological men from women's sports, and they ought to give a personal apology to every female athlete impacted by that nonsense.

Gas prices are at a 4-year low, my friends.

The Air Force and Space Force both hit their 2025 recruiting goals 3 months early.

Israel is agreeing to a 60-day cease-fire with Hamas. A successful strike on Iranian nuclear sites handles that problem. That is exactly right. Strength is back. America is back. It has resulted now in a cease-fire deal between Iran and Israel.

The NATO countries are increasing their defense spending, not just 2 percent but 5 percent of GDP.

The President got in charge and got a Rwanda-Congo peace deal.

The Supreme Court ended nationwide injunctions. How about that? That conservative majority on the Supreme Court also allows third-country deportations.

We have had a record-high stock market, and we are signing a China trade deal.

That is just the last 2 weeks, the last 2 weeks.

There are so many simple truths to share and so little time to do it because I want everybody to have a break here.

I just want to say this. It is really nice to hear Democratic colleagues suddenly take an interest in working Americans again. Did you pick up on that theme today?

Let's be clear: Working Americans stopped trusting Democrats a long time ago. That is a fact. They are looking to this side of the Chamber. They are looking to the Republican Party and our principles to deliver the relief and the reform that they have long demanded and most certainly deserve.

This One Big Beautiful Bill Act fulfills all the promises of the America First agenda. It is the people's bill. It is made for and shaped by the most diverse coalition of American voters in American history.

Today, we are making the dream of a government that puts the American people first a reality.

I would need this entire notebook to tell you all the great things in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. It is aptly named, but I will just tell you a couple of the highlights: record tax cuts for hardworking Americans, and historic savings, at the same time, to end reckless spending. We have energy dominance coming back to power our future. We have a secure border to protect American families. We have a strong military to restore peace through strength. We have a government that is now accountable and responsive to the people once again.

That is what we are delivering. For everyday Americans, this means real, positive change that they can feel, and they will feel much more when this bill is done. Families back home will have real relief, an average of \$10,000 in their pockets, thanks to the largest working- and middle-class tax cut in the history of this great Nation. That is what we are doing today.

You have hardworking Americans like our waiters, our bellhops, and our hairstylists. They are going to keep 100 percent of their tips and overtime pay. That is money they earned. It does not belong to the government. It belongs to them, and they deserve to keep it.

Small businesses that want to build and expand new factories, do you know what they can do now? They can write off 100 percent of their investment. That will get us a lot more building.

Young families who want to buy a home will be able to, thanks to historic savings that will put our country on a stronger financial footing.

Pregnant women, children, seniors, single mothers, the disabled, and the low-income Americans among us receiving Medicaid and SNAP will have the peace of mind of knowing that we made these safety nets stronger with our reforms.

See, when Republicans are in charge, we bring common sense. We are going to make sure that Americans who do need and deserve those critical programs won't have to compete against people who can work but choose not to do so. That is not right.

This bill is going to put fairness back in the system. We are returning to common sense. We are returning to what is good and decent that people know in their hearts, and that is why they support it.

More Americans will be working, volunteering, and serving their communities because modest, commonsense work requirements will restore dignity and purpose to those on taxpayer-funded benefits.

The bill will also mean that safer streets are available in every ZIP Code around the country because our border will remain fully and totally secure.

The Republican Party stands for law and order, and this is the side that stands with law enforcement, the brave men and women who are on the front line. The idea that those who put their own lives on the line to protect us would be assaulted for doing their jobs is unconscionable.

I know you agree. I know. Amen.

Law enforcement will have the help of a border wall now that is 100 percent complete. Our immigration enforcement officers will get a boost from more manpower, resources, and detention space so that detained illegal aliens are not released back onto the streets like they were for the last 4 years.

Our homeland can rest soundly again under the protection of President Trump's Golden Dome. That is what we are investing in.

Look, any of these individual achievements would be historic victories for a Republican Congress or any Congress, and today, we are delivering on all of them in one big, beautiful bill.

That is what Americans can count on when we pass this legislation, and that is exactly what Democrats will vote to oppose today. That is a fact. We did not write this bill for the Democratic Party, the elites, or the media. This bill is for hardworking Americans, and they deserve it.

I am going to just say this as plainly as I can. This is the simple truth. If you are for a secure border, safer communities, and a strong military, this bill is for you. If you are for commonsense fiscal responsibility and reducing the deficit, this bill is for you.

If you are for fair and lower taxes, bigger paychecks, affordable gas and groceries, and restoring dignity to hard work, this is the bill for you.

□ 1400

It makes no difference whether our colleagues across the Chamber speak

for 25 minutes or 25 hours, they can't change the truth. Today was about performance for some of them, but today for us is about results—a result that improves the lives of Americans regardless of their race, religion, color, or creed. It does not matter.

I tell you what Ronald Reagan used to remind us, you can always trust the American people. We do trust the American people. They can discern the difference. What they saw on display here today is that Democrats deliver performances and Republicans deliver results.

Today, my friends, we are ready now. We are going to deliver what we were sent here to do, and every American will benefit from it.

In 1793, just steps from where we stand today, President George Washington laid the first cornerstone of the United States Capitol. Over 50 years later, on July Fourth, Members of this body gathered once again to lay a second cornerstone.

Once more on July Fourth, at the height of the Cold War, Congress laid a third cornerstone. This week, with this vote today, with our Nation's birthday being tomorrow, we lay a fourth. With this bill, we can vote as stewards of that great legacy that we have inherited in this extraordinary Nation that we are blessed to live in.

We can lay another sturdy foundation for the future of this country, a future where working Americans can feel relief, where government can finally start living within its means again, and where the United States is safer, stronger, and more prosperous than ever before. That is right.

Now, I am going to say this as they mock America and mock everything and mock the bill, we will see how the people feel about that.

Listen, seriously, as friends and colleagues, really, across the aisle, what we celebrate tomorrow is the Nation's birthday. Let's put the politics aside for a minute and let's reflect on our blessings. No kidding, really. Tomorrow is the 249th birthday of our Nation. That is right.

I mean this sincerely: I thank my colleagues for standing, we all should be united in that. We are. We are. We have squabbles and we have partisan debates and all of this, but at the end of the day, we are all Americans, man. We have got to believe that. We have got to know it. We have got to recognize that we live in the greatest Nation in the history of the world. It is not even close.

My friends and colleagues, we are so blessed. We should not take it for granted. We live in the most free, the most successful, the most powerful, the most benevolent Nation that has ever been on the face of the Earth, and there is a reason for that.

The reason that we are the greatest Nation is because we were built on the ultimate foundation, and the bold declaration that my friend, HAKEEM JEFFRIES, articulated earlier is true,

we unite under that: the bold declaration that we do hold these truths to be self-evident.

What is a self-evident truth? It is something that is obvious. “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal.” It does not say born equal, it says created equal. It is our creator, yes, that gives us our rights.

See, the powerful thing about that is we are the first Nation in the history of the world that acknowledged that our rights do not derive from government. They come from God himself.

You see those words up there, that motto, it says: “In God We Trust” right above the Speaker’s rostrum. A previous Congress put that there in the early 1960s at the height of the Cold War.

There is a little visitors guide that people get when you do tours late at night, you have probably seen your constituents, visitors, and friends get the guide. If you turn, I think it is, about to page 21, it explains why that is there. It says: Congress voted to put that there as a rebuke to the Soviets’ worldview at the height of the Cold War. Why? It is because Communism, Socialism find their roots in Marxism, and Marxism begins with the belief that there is no God. Marxism is wrong.

This Congress made a stand those many years ago, and we should do it again. We are different. We are distinct. We are exceptional because we acknowledge that right there, our motto.

It doesn’t say in government we trust. It says: “In God We Trust,” and we better remember that. He has blessed us with this grand experiment in self-governance now for almost two-and-a-half centuries, and by God’s grace, we are working hard and we are delivering on our promise to Make America Great Again.

This is, without a doubt, the most important vote of this Congress, and I think this one may be the most important vote that any of us take in our entire lifetimes and everybody better remember it, however you vote today.

My friends, the President of the United States is waiting with his pen. The American people are waiting for this relief. We have heard enough talk. It is time for action. Let’s finish the job for him. Vote “yes” on the bill.

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to the so-called “One Big Beautiful Bill Act.”

There is nothing beautiful about this bill. Over the past several weeks I’ve heard from many constituents in NW Oregon who are overwhelmingly opposed to this harmful and partisan legislation. I strongly opposed the original House version of this bill, which passed by one vote, but the bill that came back from the Senate is even worse. The Senate bill passed only with arm-twisting and a tie-breaking vote by the Vice President. And here we are again, in the middle of the night

again, considering a bill that will have devastating consequences for Oregonians and Americans.

The cuts to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act will result in up to 17 million people losing access to health care. There are 1.4 million Oregonians on Oregon’s Health Plan, including 1 in 3 children. Hospitals, clinics, and nursing homes will be forced to cut back services or close, and people will die prematurely. Republicans are also defunding Planned Parenthood, which provides necessary family planning services and reproductive health care. No other provider network has the capacity, expertise, or reach to replace Planned Parenthood’s essential care, particularly in underserved communities. When women can’t access comprehensive health care services, they are more likely to die.

Significant cuts to food assistance will mean that more people, many of them children, will go hungry. This bill guts the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, otherwise known as SNAP, and takes away critical food assistance from vulnerable groups, including veterans, people who are homeless, stay-at-home parents, and youth aging out of foster care. Now is not the time to raise grocery costs for families and force states to choose between taking SNAP benefits away from large numbers of people or ending their SNAP programs entirely. This is inexcusable in the United States of America. Access to food stamps when I was in college helped me complete my education, and without that critical nutrition assistance it’s likely that I would not be a Member of Congress today.

This Big, Bad Bill reverses the progress we’ve made addressing climate change, resulting in thousands of lost jobs in renewable energy, dirtier air and water, more extreme heat events, and poorer health outcomes. At a time when energy demand is surging and clean technologies are finally delivering lower costs and good-paying jobs, this bill slams the brakes. It phases out solar, wind, battery storage, and electric vehicle tax credits by 2028. According to the Energy Information Administration, this bill will gut the very incentives that are powering 93 percent of new capacity growth. This fossil fuel wish list will end more than 800,000 clean energy jobs by 2040, which will wipe out domestic manufacturing gains and shut down sectors that are finally bringing investment to rural communities.

That sabotage doesn’t just hurt the economy, it endangers lives. Sidelining renewables and clean storage that keep the lights and air conditioning on during heat waves is a death sentence. Experts warn that heat wave-induced blackouts could kill 13,000 people. This bill doesn’t just cripple our grid; it puts lives at risk.

This bill will exacerbate devastating heat waves and extreme weather events. It strips EPA funding, repeals protections against pollutants, and ends longstanding air quality rules that keep children with asthma out of the ER. Ending these safeguards could cause thousands more asthma attacks per day in frontline communities.

This bill also greenlights unchecked offshore oil and gas leasing. It guts environmental reviews and public comment requirements. It threatens fisheries, tourism economies, and coastal communities that will see pollution, spills, storms, and sea level rise long after the members who voted for this bill are gone. It

abandons and condemns those most at risk to real, unmitigated danger to give tax breaks to Big Oil.

This Republican bill gives billions more to Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) when their unidentified, masked agents are abusing their power with dangerous sweeps that violate due process and create human and economic destruction. These indiscriminate raids do not make our communities safer—and often have the opposite effect when done in sensitive locations like courthouses and schools. That is 20 times more agents, arrests, and illegal detention centers. Further, the Trump Administration is diverting FEMA funds away from disaster recovery to build and operate an inhumane new prison for migrants in Florida. This funding will fuel more cruel actions and set our country on a more dangerous path.

I worked my way through community college, university, and law school with grants, loans, and work study, so I understand the importance of federal financial aid. Cuts in this bill will close the doors of opportunity to higher education for thousands of students who do not come from wealthy families. The lost potential from these cuts is wrong for students, the country, and the economy. This bill will slash billions of dollars in federal funding for higher education, raising student loan payments for working and middle-class borrowers, and making it harder for students to access an affordable college education. The bill eliminates PLUS loans for parents and graduate students and modifies repayment programs to remove some of the lowest-cost options for borrowers. By capping the amount parents and graduate students can borrow in federal loans each year without meaningful measures to lower the cost of higher education, the bill will close the doors of opportunity for many low-income students. These harmful policies will also impede access to graduate education and, by extension, exacerbate workforce shortages in professional fields such as teaching, behavioral health, and social work. Instead of investing in public education, this bill enacts a federal voucher scheme that redirects tax dollars to unaccountable K–12 private schools. This disproportionately and negatively affects rural communities and can violate the separation of religion and government.

Finally, this financially irresponsible bill adds between 3 to 5 trillion dollars in new debt. It’s puzzling why any fiscal hawk voted for it.

And for what? To pay for tax breaks for billionaires and large corporations; tax breaks they don’t need. This legislation is the most regressive giveaway and wealth transfer that Congress has ever considered. The top 20 percent of income earners will get at least 68 percent of the tax benefits and everyone else will see their costs increase. The poorest Americans will be paying for tax breaks for the richest.

I’m a policymaker, mom, and education advocate, and I know that our country does better when we treat people with humanity, break down barriers, and open doors of opportunity. This bill does nothing to Make America Great Again; it’s one of the most dangerous, mean, and regressive bills I’ve ever seen, and I urge my colleagues to stand up for their constituents and reject this horrible bill.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, the Republican’s big ugly, shameful bill is back on the House floor today.

Congressional Republicans and President Trump are pushing a massive transfer of wealth from American families in need to the wealthy elite of this Nation.

This bill will make devastating cuts to our communities in exchange for massive tax breaks for President Trump, Elon Musk, and their billionaire buddies.

I will be joining every Democrat in the House and Senate in voting against this travesty.

My colleagues across the aisle who support this bill are voting to cut 17 million Americans off from their healthcare—including 173,000 Minnesotans.

What will happen when those Americans delay preventive care and treatments they can't afford because they have no health care?

The ugly consequences of this bill include tens of thousands of premature deaths a year.

This bill will also force millions more Americans to pay higher premiums, higher co-pays, and higher deductibles for the health care they do receive. Republicans are voting to deliver bigger medical bills to their constituents while President Trump continues to ignore his promise to cut costs for everyday Americans.

Because these cuts weren't harsh enough for the Senate, they also slashed hundreds of billions of dollars in critical support that keep hospitals and nursing homes open, especially in rural and underserved communities. Roughly one in four nursing homes and hundreds of hospitals are at risk of closure without those funds. Do any of my colleagues really think that their constituents want fewer hospitals and a shortage of nursing homes in their communities?

Another ugly reality of this bill? It will make the largest cut to nutrition assistance in American history, jeopardizing access to SNAP benefits for 40 million Americans, including at least 32,000 of our neighbors in Minnesota.

Millions of children, seniors, people with disabilities, and veterans are at risk of going to bed hungry if this bill passes.

The deeper you dig into this bill, the more ugly, cruel, and completely avoidable consequences you'll find.

It weakens our public school system and makes higher education more expensive.

It gives sweetheart tax deals to dirty fossil fuel companies and makes it cheaper for them to extract gas and coal from our public lands.

It attacks our federal investments in clean energy, which will cost over 790,000 jobs over the next decade and raise household energy bills, all while adding to air pollution and worsening our climate crisis.

And even after all that, it will add \$4 trillion dollars to the national debt with interest costs over the next decade, saddling future generations with the cost of tax breaks for the wealthy.

Apparently, Republicans are content with continuing to ignore Americans across the country who have flooded our offices with calls and taken to the streets to tell them to keep their hands off our healthcare, our education, and food assistance for our children, our elders, and our neighbors in need.

They certainly haven't held the townhalls where they would hear that no one wants them to give \$1.3 trillion in tax breaks to the wealthy elite—the top 1 percent—and pay for it by cutting roughly \$1.3 trillion from our healthcare and food assistance.

This is not the direction the American people want our country to go.

Mr. Speaker, I will be voting no on this betrayal of our values and our constituents, and I will continue to oppose all Republican efforts to take away food, healthcare, and basic government services that Minnesotans rely on.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I have spoken publicly against this bill and its serious health care cuts. You can't pull a trillion dollars out of the health care system and expect health care providers to survive. Clinics, hospitals and nursing homes won't survive the massive pull out of funds. That kind of blow doesn't just show up on a balance sheet, it shows up in real lives. It also means people kicked off their coverage, parents forced to choose between paying rent or filling a prescription for their child, seniors skipping doctor visits or going without medication. And it means hospitals and community clinics closing their doors, leaving patients without care. These aren't just numbers, these are people, and this bill turns its back on them.

I grew up in a regular, hard-working household. Neither of my parents went to college. They worked hard, but they didn't have the money to send me to college. I was fortunate; fortunate to earn scholarships, to get student loans, to work while in school and to be able to chart a path toward. But the opportunities that helped me are stripped away for the next generation in this bill. With cuts to federal student aid and Pell Grant programs, the opportunity I had will be gone for those in the normal kind of family I grew up in if this bill becomes law.

The cuts to nutrition assistance in this bill are cruel. They will leave children hungry and seniors skipping meals because they can't afford food. And it doesn't end there, local farmers who sell agricultural products to those programs will lose an important market.

Here's the broader picture: this legislation borrows over \$3 trillion to pay for tax breaks that overwhelmingly benefit the wealthiest Americans. It's fiscally reckless and morally indefensible.

But there's something else we haven't talked about enough, and it demands our attention. Donald Trump said he would deport violent criminals. People were okay with that. Now we see instead something far more disturbing: masked, heavily-armed agents, often refusing to identify themselves, aggressively, sometimes violently, targeting day laborers, busboys, farmworkers, and some of the hardest-working people in this country. Not dangerous criminals, but the people who pick the food we eat and clean the tables we sit at.

These raids are terrorizing communities and sowing fear in public spaces across America: in schools, at shopping centers, at grocery stores, at job sites. This bill would supercharge those operations, handing them more funding than most foreign militaries, with even

fewer restraints. This is not the America I grew up in, and it's not the America I want to leave to my grandchildren.

We can and must do better. For our economy, for our communities, and for the values we claim to stand for.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition and could never vote for this Big, Bad, Inhumane, and Ugly bill because it will wreck health care delivery, take food from hungry children, sentence seniors to early deaths, eliminate jobs, and destabilize our economy just to give the super rich and wealthy more influence, more power, more wealth. It takes from the poor, from the disabled, from the sick, and from the hungry and gives to the wealthy.

As our country prepares to celebrate its independence, the extremist Republican Congress and Trump Administration enact the most cruel, draconian, inhumane legislation that I've voted on since I've been here. Government, I seriously believe, should help people and not hurt them. At a time to celebrate that people from diverse backgrounds worked together to create a new country, the Republican Congress and Trump Administration advance hate and brutality to divide our great nation.

I reject this bill that makes poor people poorer, sick people sicker, and hungry people hungrier.

I reject this bill that bill rips health care from 17 million Americans, with over 535,840 Illinoisans expected to lose their health insurance, including 102,174 children and 27,000 seniors in my District alone.

I reject this bill that will close hospitals and kick people out of their nursing homes—not only in my district but across the country, especially in urban inner-city communities and rural communities for sure.

I reject this bill that explodes poverty and suffering while giving the wealthy trillions in tax cuts.

I reject this bill's irresponsible explosion of the deficit that triggers statutory cuts to critical programs supporting children and families. These Paygo reductions would eliminate funding for the Maternal Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting program—known as MIECHV—that is proven to improve mother and child health, family safety, and child development. It would eliminate the guaranteed funding for the MaryLee Allen Promoting Safe and Stable Families program that we know helps prevent child maltreatment and strengthen families. It would eradicate the Social Services Block Grant that provides substantial investment in childcare, child welfare, and adult protective services. These egregious cuts alone will cost Illinois over \$72 million dollars and hurt Illinois' children, seniors, and families.

I reject this bill that gifts tax cuts to the wealthy, paid for by denying and depriving low-income, poor people, senior citizens, and sick people, the health care, food assistance, housing assistance, and economic development opportunities that they need.

There is a fundamental flaw in the thinking that brings this bill before us. It is Robinhood in Reverse. It takes from those who have the least—the poor, the disabled, the sick, and the hungry—only to give to those who already have the most, the wealthy. This bill is cruel. It is immoral. It is a crime about to happen. It is draconian. It is dangerous. It is criminal. So,

if my colleagues want to stop crime, vote no as I will.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ELLZEY). All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 566, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the motion by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARRINGTON).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 218, noes 214, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 190]

AYES—218

Aderholt Garbarino McDowell
Alford Gill (TX) McGuire
Allen Gimenez Messmer
Amodei (NV) Goldman (TX) Meuser
Arrington Gonzales, Tony Miller (IL)
Babin Gooden Miller (OH)
Bacon Gosar Miller (WV)
Baird Graves Miller-Meeks
Balderson Green (TN) Mills
Barr Greene (GA) Moolenaar
Barrett Griffith Moore (AL)
Baumgartner Grothman Moore (NC)
Bean (FL) Guest Moore (UT)
Begich Guthrie Moore (WV)
Bentz Hageman Moran
Bergman Hamadeh (AZ) Murphy
Bice Haridopolos Nehls
Biggs (AZ) Harrigan Newhouse
Biggs (SC) Harris (MD) Norman
Bilirakis Harris (NC) Nunn (IA)
Boebert Harshbarger Obernolte
Bost Hern (OK) Ogles
Brecheen Higgins (LA) Onder
Bresnahan Hill (AR) Owens
Buchanan Hinson Palmer
Burchett Houchin Patronis
Burlison Hudson Perry
Calvert Huizenga Pfluger
Cammack Hunt Reschenthaler
Carey Hurd (CO) Rogers (AL)
Carter (GA) Issa Rogers (KY)
Carter (TX) Jack Rose
Ciscomani Jackson (TX) Rouzer
Cline James Roy
Cloud Johnson (LA) Rulli
Clyde Johnson (SD) Rutherford
Cole Jordan Salazar
Collins Joyce (OH) Scalise
Comer Joyce (PA) Schmidt
Crane Kean Schweikert
Crank Kelly (MS) Scott, Austin
Crawford Kelly (PA) Self
Crenshaw Kennedy (UT) Sessions
Davidson Kiggans (VA) Shreve
De La Cruz Kiley (CA) Simpson
DesJarlais Kim Smith (MO)
Diaz-Balart Knott Smith (NE)
Donalds Kustoff Smith (NJ)
Downing LaHood Smucker
Dunn (FL) LaLota Spartz
Edwards LaMalfa Stauber
Ellzey Langworthy Stefanik
Emmer Latta Steil
Estes Lawler Steube
Evans (CO) Lee (FL) Strong
Ezell Letlow Stutzman
Fallon Loudermilk Taylor
Fedorchak Lucas Tenney
Feenstra Luna Thompson (PA)
Fine Luttrell Tiffany
Finstad Mace Timmons
Fischbach Mackenzie Turner (OH)
Fitzgerald Malliotakis Valadao
Fleischmann Maloy Van Drew
Flood Mann Van Dyne
Fong Mast Van Orden
Foxx McCaul Wagner
Franklin, Scott McClain Walberg
Fry McClintock Weber (TX)
Fulcher McCormick Webster (FL)

Westerman
Wied
Williams (TX)

Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack

NOES—214

Adams Gillen
Aguilar Golden (ME)
Amo Goldman (NY)
Ansari Gomez
Auchincloss Gonzalez, V.
Balint Goodlander
Barragan Gottheimer
Beatty Gray
Bell Green, Al (TX)
Bera Harder (CA)
Beyer Hayes
Bishop Himes
Bonamici Horsford
Boyle (PA) Houlahan
Brown Hoyer
Brownley Hoyle (OR)
Budzinski Huffman
Bynum Ivey
Carbajal Jackson (IL)
Carson Jacobs
Carter (LA) Jayapal
Casar Jeffries
Case Johnson (GA)
Casten Johnson (TX)
Castor (FL) Kamlager-Dove
Castro (TX) Kaptur
Cerfilius-Keating
McCormick Kelly (IL)
Chu Kennedy (NY)
Cisneros Khanna
Clark (MA) Krishnamoorthi
Clarke (NY) Landsman
Cleaver Larsen (WA)
Clyburn Larson (CT)
Cohen Latimer
Conaway Lee (NV)
Correa Lee (PA)
Costa Leger Fernandez
Courtney Levin
Craig Liccardo
Crockett Lieu
Crow Lofgren
Cuellar Lynch
Davids (KS) Magaziner
Davis (IL) Mannion
Davis (NC) Massie
Dean (PA) Matsui
DeGette McBath
DeLauro McBride
DeBene McClain Delaney
Deluzio McClellan
DeSaulnier McCollum
Dexter McDonald Rivet
Dingell McGarvey
Doggett McGovern
Elfreth McIver
Escobar Meeks
Espaillat Menendez
Evans (PA) Meng
Fields Mfume
Figures Min
Fitzpatrick Moore (WI)
Fletcher Morelle
Foster Morrison
Fousee Moskowitz
Frankel, Lois Moulton
Friedman Mrvan
Frost Mullin
Garamendi Nadler
Garcia (CA) Neal
Garcia (IL) Neguse
Garcia (TX) Norcross

Yakym
Zinke

Ocasio-Cortez
Olszewski
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pelosi
Perez
Peters
Pettersen
Pingree
Pocan
Pou
Pressley
Quigley
Ramirez
Randall
Raskin
Riley (NY)
Rivas
Ross
Ruiz
Ryan
Salinas
Sanchez
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schneider
Scholten
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Sewell
Sherman
Sherrill
Simon
Smith (WA)
Sorensen
Soto
Stansbury
Stanton
Stevens
Strickland
Subramanyam
Suozi
Swalwell
Sykes
Takano
Thanedar
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres (NY)
Trahan
Tran
Underwood
Vargas
Vasquez
Veasey
Velazquez
Vindman
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Whitesides
Williams (GA)
Wilson (FL)

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 27, 2025.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on June 27, 2025, at 3:36 p.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 98.

That the Senate passed S. 257.

That the Senate agreed to Relative to the death of Frederick W. Smith S. Res. 308.

Appointments:

Board of Visitors of the U.S. Military Academy (2).

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,

KEVIN F. MCCUMBER,

Clerk.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 13 of rule I, the House stands adjourned until 10 a.m. on Monday, July 7, 2025.

Thereupon (at 2 o'clock and 33 minutes p.m.) on Thursday, July 3, 2025 (legislative day of Wednesday, July 2, 2025), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, July 7, 2025, at 10 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-1287. A letter from the Chairman, Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation, transmitting the 2024 Annual Report of the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation; to the Committee on Agriculture.

EC-1288. A letter from the Acting Chair, Appraisal Subcommittee, Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, transmitting the Council's 2024 Annual Report, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3332(a)(5); Public Law 101-73, Sec. 1103 (as amended by Public Law 111-203, Sec. 1473(b)); (124 Stat. 2190); to the Committee on Financial Services.

EC-1289. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 26-81, "Child Fatality Review Committee Temporary Amendment Act of 2025", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 813); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1290. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 26-86, "Open Meeting Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2025", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 813); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1291. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 26-87, "Certified Business Enterprise Program Compliance and Enforcement Support Temporary Amendment Act of 2025", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 813); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1292. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 26-80, "1333 M Street, SE Tax Abatement Amendment Act of 2025", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 813); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

□ 1431

So the motion to concur was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. NEWHOUSE) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

EC-1293. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's Office of Inspector General Semi-annual Report to Congress No. 90, which covers the six-month period ending March 31, 2025; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1294. A letter from the Associate Administrator for Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, transmitting a notification of a federal vacancy, designation of acting officer, and action on nomination, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3349(a); Public Law 105-277, Sec. 151(b); (112 Stat. 2681-614); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1295. A letter from the Principal Deputy Performing the Duties of the Inspector General, Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting the Board's Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Justification for the Office of the Inspector General, pursuant to 45 U.S.C. 231f(f); Aug. 29, 1935, ch. 812, Sec. 7(f) (as amended by Public Law 98-76, Sec. 416); (97 Stat. 436); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

EC-1296. A letter from the Vice President, Governmental Affairs, National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK), transmitting the Corporation's General and Legislative Annual Report and Fiscal Year 2026 Grant Request to Congress; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Ms. FOXX: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 566. Resolution providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14 (Rept. 119-179). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. GRAVES: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 3898. A bill to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to make targeted reforms with respect to waters of the United States and other matters, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 119-180). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. WESTERMAN: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 261. A bill to amend the National Marine Sanctuaries Act to prohibit requiring an authorization for the installation, continued presence, operation, maintenance, repair, or recovery of undersea fiber optic cables in a national marine sanctuary if such activities have previously been authorized by a Federal or State agency, with amendments (Rept. 119-181). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. WESTERMAN: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 900. A bill to direct the Director of the United States Geological Survey to establish a program to map zones that are at greater risk of sinkhole formation, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 119-182). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. WESTERMAN: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 1043. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain Federal land in Arizona to La Paz County, Arizona, and for other purposes (Rept. 119-183). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. GUTHRIE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 2037. A bill to provide out-

reach and technical assistance to small providers regarding Open RAN networks, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 119-184). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. GUTHRIE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 3015. A bill to reestablish the National Coal Council in the Department of Energy to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Energy on matters related to coal and the coal industry, and for other purposes (Rept. 119-185). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. GUTHRIE: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 3062. A bill to establish a more uniform, transparent, and modern process to authorize the construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of international border-crossing facilities for the import and export of oil and natural gas and the transmission of electricity, with an amendment (Rept. 119-186, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure and Natural Resources discharged from further consideration. H.R. 3062 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. VAN ORDEN:
H.R. 4271. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to limit the amount of time the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may extend the period of a vocational rehabilitation program for a veteran; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Ms. UNDERWOOD (for herself and Mr. MANN):

H.R. 4272. A bill to prioritize health care facilities and mental or behavioral health facilities in the Community Facilities program for fiscal years 2026 through 2031, and allow loans and grants under the program to be used for medical supplies, increasing telehealth capabilities, supporting staffing needs, or renovating and remodeling closed facilities; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. LATTA (for himself, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. CRENSHAW, and Mrs. DINGELL):

H.R. 4273. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to revise and extend the user fee program for over-the-counter monograph drugs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. LICCARDO (for himself, Mr. CORREA, Mr. TORRES of New York, Mr. VARGAS, Mr. VICENTE GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. GARCIA of Illinois, and Mr. SUBRAMANYAM):

H.R. 4274. A bill to limit the imposition of excise taxes and fees on money transmitting businesses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GRAVES (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. EZELL, and Mr. CARBAJAL):

H.R. 4275. A bill to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard, to establish the

Secretary of the Coast Guard, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. CASE:

H.R. 4276. A bill to amend the Native American Tourism and Improving Visitor Experience Act to authorize grants to Indian tribes, tribal organizations, and Native Hawaiian organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, and House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina (for himself and Mr. BERGMAN):

H.R. 4277. A bill to amend Title XIX of the Social Security Act to clarify that rural emergency hospitals are treated as outpatient hospitals for purposes of Medicaid payment, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. FITZGERALD:

H.R. 4278. A bill to improve protections with respect to foreign regulation for certain entities integral to the national interests of the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. FITZGERALD:

H.R. 4279. A bill to prohibit entities integral to the national interests of the United States from participating in any foreign sustainability due diligence regulation, including the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive of the European Union, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. FITZPATRICK (for himself and Mr. GOLDEN of Maine):

H.R. 4280. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to make permanent certain expiring income tax rates and to establish a new top income tax rate; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. GOTTHEIMER (for himself, Mr. LAWLER, Mr. MOSKOWITZ, Mr. SUOZZI, and Mr. VARGAS):

H.R. 4281. A bill to authorize the President to take actions to ensure Israel is prepared for all contingencies if Iran seeks to develop a nuclear weapon, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. GRIFFITH:

H.R. 4282. A bill to amend the Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act to modernize verification of contact lens prescriptions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. ISSA:

H.R. 4283. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1157 West Mission Avenue in Escondido, California, as the "Captain E. Royce Williams Post Office Building"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ:

H.R. 4284. A bill to amend the Small Tract Act of 1983 to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to convey, without consideration, certain cemeteries, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. MALOY:

H.R. 4285. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to designate an entrance-fee free date in 2026 at National Park Service sites in order to celebrate the 250th anniversary of

the United States of America; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MEEKS (for himself and Mr. MURPHY):

H.R. 4286. A bill to require the Department of State to develop a comprehensive strategy to counter instability in Haiti and advance a Haitian-led solution to the ongoing crisis; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. MOORE of North Carolina (for himself, Mr. HARRIGAN, Mr. NORMAN, and Mr. NEHLS):

H.R. 4287. A bill to enhance penalties for the use of incendiary devices, including burning the flag of the United States, in the commission of Federal offenses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PANETTA (for himself and Mr. CALVERT):

H.R. 4288. A bill to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in San Jose, California, as the "Corporal Patrick D. Tillman VA Clinic"; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. VASQUEZ (for himself and Mrs. KIGGANS of Virginia):

H.R. 4289. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to reduce the distance required for the Secretary of Defense to reimburse travel expenses relating to specialty care, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. VASQUEZ:

H.R. 4290. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Air Force, and the Secretary of the Interior to obtain and place plaques honoring the Downwinder communities of New Mexico, who suffered detrimental health effects as a result of exposure to radioactive fallout; to the Committee on Armed Services, and in addition to the Committee on Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. FINE (for himself and Mr. MOSKOWITZ):

H.R. 4291. A bill to require a review of whether individuals or entities subject to the imposition of certain sanctions through inclusion on certain sanctions lists should also be subject to the imposition of other sanctions and included on other sanctions lists; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. PLASKETT (for herself and Mr. MOYLAN):

H.R. 4292. A bill to establish within the legislative branch a Congressional Task Force on Voting Rights of United States Citizen Residents of Territories of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi:

H. Res. 567. A resolution commemorating and honoring the 40-year anniversary of Entergy's Grand Gulf Nuclear Station located in Port Gibson, Mississippi, and recognizing the important role nuclear power plays in energizing life in Mississippi; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. VAN ORDEN:

H.R. 4271.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

[The Congress shall have Power . . .] To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Ms. UNDERWOOD:

H.R. 4272.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts and excises, to pay the debts and provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States; but all duties, imposts and excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;

By Mr. LATTA:

H.R. 4273.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: Congress shall have the power . . . "to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian tribes."

By Mr. LICCARDO:

H.R. 4274.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1.

All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

By Mr. GRAVES:

H.R. 4275.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clauses 1, 3, and 18 of Section 8, Article 1 of the Constitution.

By Mr. CASE:

H.R. 4276.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Title I, Section 8 of the US Constitution

By Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina:

H.R. 4277.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.

By Mr. FITZGERALD:

H.R. 4278.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause III of Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. FITZGERALD:

H.R. 4279.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause III of Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. FITZPATRICK:

H.R. 4280.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section VIII, Clause 1

By Mr. GOTTHEIMER:

H.R. 4281.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Mr. GRIFFITH:

H.R. 4282.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution as well as Amendment XVI

By Mr. ISSA:

H.R. 4283.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18

By Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ:

H.R. 4284.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article IV, Section 3

By Ms. MALOY:

H.R. 4285.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1 Section 8

By Mr. MEEKS:

H.R. 4286.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution

By Mr. MOORE of North Carolina:

H.R. 4287.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Section 8 Article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. PANETTA:

H.R. 4288.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 1

By Mr. VASQUEZ:

H.R. 4289.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, section 8, Clauses 1 and 18 of the United State Constitution, to provide for the general welfare and make all laws necessary and proper to carry out the powers of the Congress.

By Mr. VASQUEZ:

H.R. 4290.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, section 8, Clauses 1 and 18 of the United State Constitution, to provide for the general welfare and make all laws necessary and proper to carry out the powers of the Congress.

By Mr. FINE:

H.R. 4291.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 11 of the War Powers Act

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 of Foreign Commerce

By Ms. PLASKETT:

H.R. 4292.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 18: Ms. RANDALL.

H.R. 45: Mr. KELLY of Mississippi.

H.R. 247: Ms. POUL.

H.R. 271: Mr. LUTTRELL.

H.R. 288: Ms. GILLEN.

H.R. 404: Mr. TONY GONZALES of Texas.

H.R. 425: Mr. FINE.

H.R. 468: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 539: Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia and Mr. BELL.

H.R. 630: Mr. THANEDAR.

H.R. 631: Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas.

H.R. 689: Mr. HURD of Colorado.

H.R. 740: Mr. FALLON.

H.R. 833: Mr. PALMER.

H.R. 909: Ms. SALAZAR, Mr. VAN ORDEN, Mr. BEAN of Florida, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. DUNN of Florida, Mr. MCGUIRE, Mr. STEUBE, and Mr. JOYCE of Ohio.

H.R. 929: Mr. SOTO, Mr. MOULTON, Ms. TOKUDA, Mrs. CHERFILUS-MCCORMICK, Ms. DELBENE, Ms. HOULAHAN, Ms. DEAN of Pennsylvania, and Mr. FIGURES.

- H.R. 935: Mr. MOULTON.
H.R. 941: Mr. KELLY of Mississippi.
H.R. 944: Mr. THANEDAR.
H.R. 979: Mr. IVEY, Mrs. HAYES, and Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN.
H.R. 1004: Ms. HOYLE of Oregon.
H.R. 1027: Mr. HERNÁNDEZ.
H.R. 1056: Mr. MORAN.
H.R. 1061: Mr. THANEDAR.
H.R. 1085: Mr. SCHWEIKERT.
H.R. 1094: Mr. VASQUEZ.
H.R. 1105: Ms. VELÁZQUEZ.
H.R. 1151: Ms. BALINT.
H.R. 1171: Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 1184: Mr. MCCORMICK.
H.R. 1189: Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 1207: Mr. MESSMER.
H.R. 1227: Mr. BILIRAKIS and Mr. SUBRAMANYAM.
H.R. 1229: Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. CARTER of Georgia, Mr. BERGMAN, and Mr. FALLON.
H.R. 1246: Mr. VALADAO.
H.R. 1260: Mr. GOTTHEIMER.
H.R. 1262: Mr. GARBARINO.
H.R. 1267: Mr. COSTA.
H.R. 1300: Ms. SHERRILL, Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina, and Ms. ADAMS.
H.R. 1314: Mr. VASQUEZ and Ms. McDONALD RIVET.
H.R. 1329: Mr. BAUMGARTNER.
H.R. 1330: Mr. GOTTHEIMER.
H.R. 1376: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 1397: Mr. CRENSHAW and Mr. BALDERSON.
H.R. 1421: Mr. RILEY of New York.
H.R. 1435: Ms. ROSS.
H.R. 1464: Mr. BAUMGARTNER, Mr. FROST, and Ms. BALINT.
H.R. 1477: Mr. CROW.
H.R. 1521: Mr. SOTO.
H.R. 1530: Mr. DELUZIO.
H.R. 1572: Mr. MAGAZINER.
H.R. 1585: Ms. MCCLELLAN.
H.R. 1611: Mr. MULLIN.
H.R. 1657: Mr. CARSON.
H.R. 1674: Mr. FOSTER.
H.R. 1684: Mr. VASQUEZ.
H.R. 1699: Ms. JOHNSON of Texas.
H.R. 1732: Mr. SUBRAMANYAM.
H.R. 1792: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 1822: Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY.
H.R. 1880: Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY.
H.R. 1893: Ms. BYNUM.
H.R. 1954: Ms. RANDALL.
H.R. 1992: Mr. LICCARDO.
H.R. 1993: Mr. KUSTOFF and Mr. CASTEN.
H.R. 2005: Ms. SEWELL.
H.R. 2042: Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, Mr. TURNER of Ohio, Ms. TOKUDA, and Mr. TRAN.
H.R. 2048: Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY.
H.R. 2054: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 2081: Mr. TRAN.
H.R. 2084: Ms. BYNUM.
H.R. 2111: Mr. ESPAILLAT.
H.R. 2145: Mr. BRESNAHAN.
H.R. 2148: Mr. MCGARVEY.
H.R. 2189: Mrs. FISCHBACH.
H.R. 2192: Mr. EZELL, Ms. POU, and Ms. FRIEDMAN.
H.R. 2199: Ms. TLAIB.
H.R. 2203: Mr. WHITESIDES.
H.R. 2253: Mr. LICCARDO.
H.R. 2270: Mr. HARRIS of North Carolina.
H.R. 2357: Ms. BONAMICI.
H.R. 2395: Mr. TONY GONZALES of Texas, Mr. OWENS, Mr. BAUMGARTNER, and Mr. TAYLOR.
H.R. 2411: Mr. THOMPSON of California.
H.R. 2453: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 2464: Mr. CASE.
H.R. 2516: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 2528: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.
H.R. 2586: Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina.
H.R. 2605: Ms. MORRISON.
H.R. 2633: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 2678: Mrs. TRAHAN.
H.R. 2717: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 2725: Mr. TRAN and Mr. MESSMER.
H.R. 2761: Ms. CHU.
H.R. 2767: Ms. KING-HINDS.
H.R. 2799: Mr. SOTO.
H.R. 2821: Ms. TENNEY and Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.
H.R. 2846: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 2853: Mr. BRESNAHAN, Mr. OGLES, and Mr. SORESENSEN.
H.R. 2883: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 2888: Ms. STRICKLAND and Mr. MCGOVERN.
H.R. 2904: Ms. WILSON of Florida, Ms. BALINT, and Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE.
H.R. 2911: Mr. KEATING.
H.R. 2913: Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY.
H.R. 2974: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3051: Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Mrs. HAYES, Mr. GREEN of Texas, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, and Ms. CHU.
H.R. 3052: Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Mrs. HAYES, Mr. GREEN of Texas, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, and Ms. CHU.
H.R. 3062: Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania.
H.R. 3077: Mr. LEVIN.
H.R. 3087: Ms. TLAIB.
H.R. 3093: Mrs. DINGELL.
H.R. 3094: Mrs. DINGELL.
H.R. 3112: Mr. CARTER of Louisiana and Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia.
H.R. 3131: Ms. MCBRIDE and Mr. NORCROSS.
H.R. 3144: Mr. VINDMAN.
H.R. 3151: Mr. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. RULLI, and Mr. MOORE of Utah.
H.R. 3159: Mr. HAMADEH of Arizona.
H.R. 3172: Mr. MOULTON.
H.R. 3184: Mrs. RAMIREZ, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. RUIZ, and Ms. SCHOLTEN.
H.R. 3194: Mr. VALADAO.
H.R. 3199: Mr. BELL and Ms. DELBENE.
H.R. 3221: Mr. COURTNEY.
H.R. 3226: Mr. LAWLER.
H.R. 3228: Mr. BARR, Mr. TAYLOR, and Mr. TIFFANY.
H.R. 3234: Mr. FLOOD and Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas.
H.R. 3246: Mr. CARTER of Louisiana.
H.R. 3260: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3276: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3288: Mr. BALDERSON.
H.R. 3368: Ms. POU.
H.R. 3370: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3392: Mr. HURD of Colorado.
H.R. 3409: Ms. MCBRIDE.
H.R. 3410: Mr. EVANS of Colorado.
H.R. 3437: Mr. STEILL.
H.R. 3442: Ms. STRICKLAND.
H.R. 3463: Mr. RYAN.
H.R. 3469: Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY and Mr. SHREVE.
H.R. 3474: Mr. GARBARINO.
H.R. 3498: Mr. FIGURES.
H.R. 3505: Mr. MAGAZINER.
H.R. 3513: Mr. MULLIN.
H.R. 3514: Mr. MESSMER.
H.R. 3552: Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE.
H.R. 3554: Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ.
H.R. 3565: Mr. GREEN of Texas.
H.R. 3566: Ms. DELBENE and Ms. KING-HINDS.
H.R. 3598: Ms. VAN DUYNE.
H.R. 3608: Mr. STEUBE.
H.R. 3616: Mr. MEUSER.
H.R. 3621: Mrs. MCIVER.
H.R. 3636: Mr. SCHMIDT.
H.R. 3639: Mr. VINDMAN.
H.R. 3674: Ms. NORTON.
H.R. 3682: Mrs. KIM.
H.R. 3683: Mr. CARTER of Louisiana.
H.R. 3689: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3694: Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina.
H.R. 3701: Ms. MORRISON and Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 3708: Ms. CHU and Ms. JOHNSON of Texas.
H.R. 3714: Ms. BONAMICI.
H.R. 3743: Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia.
H.R. 3747: Mr. WITTMAN, Mr. SHREVE, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. CARBAJAL, Mr. VICENTE GONZALEZ of Texas, Mrs. KIGGANS of Virginia, Ms. STANSBURY, Ms. JAYAPAL, Ms. TLAIB, and Mr. SUOZZI.
H.R. 3748: Ms. KING-HINDS.
H.R. 3762: Mr. DAVIS of North Carolina.
H.R. 3770: Mr. MESSMER.
H.R. 3773: Ms. DELBENE and Mr. BAUMGARTNER.
H.R. 3783: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 3789: Mr. BEGICH and Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 3824: Mr. BALDERSON.
H.R. 3858: Mr. DELUZIO and Mr. WEBSTER of Florida.
H.R. 3861: Mr. MCGUIRE.
H.R. 3862: Mr. BRESNAHAN.
H.R. 3876: Ms. BALINT, Mr. PAPPAS, Ms. ADAMS, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. STANTON, and Ms. MCBRIDE.
H.R. 3916: Ms. RANDALL, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Ms. STEVENS, and Mr. MCGOVERN.
H.R. 3918: Mr. RASKIN.
H.R. 3930: Mr. CASE and Mr. VASQUEZ.
H.R. 3941: Mr. MOYLAN.
H.R. 3946: Mr. VAN ORDEN, Mr. CALVERT, Ms. CHU, Mr. LIEU, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Mr. HARDER of California, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. SOTO, Mr. VASQUEZ, Mr. BISHOP, Ms. BUDZINSKI, and Mr. SCOTT FRANKLIN of Florida.
H.R. 3968: Mr. LANDSMAN and Ms. BALINT.
H.R. 3978: Mr. LAWLER.
H.R. 3985: Mr. FITZPATRICK and Ms. NORTON.
H.R. 3986: Ms. CHU.
H.R. 3988: Ms. FRIEDMAN.
H.R. 3998: Mr. ZINKE.
H.R. 4006: Mr. DELUZIO.
H.R. 4012: Ms. ADAMS.
H.R. 4018: Mrs. BICE and Mr. RULLI.
H.R. 4019: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 4024: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 4054: Mr. MESSMER.
H.R. 4060: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 4065: Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas.
H.R. 4069: Ms. PETTERSEN, Mr. VARGAS, and Mr. EVANS of Pennsylvania.
H.R. 4070: Mr. STEUBE and Mr. HAMADEH of Arizona.
H.R. 4073: Ms. NORTON.
H.R. 4083: Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mr. KEATING, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. TONKO, Mr. HIMES, Mr. TORRES of New York, Mr. COHEN, and Mr. LAWLER.
H.R. 4084: Mr. VEASEY and Ms. OMAR.
H.R. 4091: Ms. LEE of Florida.
H.R. 4097: Mr. GILL of Texas.
H.R. 4098: Mr. WIED.
H.R. 4103: Ms. SCHRIER and Mr. SUOZZI.
H.R. 4104: Mr. CORREA and Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 4105: Mr. DELUZIO.
H.R. 4107: Mr. HARIDOPOLOS and Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS.
H.R. 4127: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 4145: Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ and Mr. SCHMIDT.
H.R. 4150: Mrs. MCIVER and Ms. ADAMS.
H.R. 4152: Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. NORCROSS, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, and Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY.
H.R. 4159: Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H.R. 4162: Mr. MULLIN and Mr. CASTEN.
H.R. 4166: Ms. SALINAS and Mr. MORELLE.
H.R. 4176: Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE, Mr. POCAN, Mr. BEYER, and Ms. SCHOLTEN.
H.R. 4181: Mr. OBERNOLTE.
H.R. 4193: Mr. DELUZIO.
H.R. 4197: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. NORTON, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. AMO, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. GOTTHEIMER, Ms. SALINAS, Mrs. MCIVER, Mr. EVANS of Pennsylvania, Ms. BALINT, Ms. BONAMICI, and Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 4212: Ms. CLARKE of New York and Mr. SUOZZI.
H.R. 4231: Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi.
H.R. 4237: Mrs. TORRES of California.
H.R. 4244: Mr. GOLDMAN of Texas, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. COHEN, and Mr. GOLDMAN of New York.

H.R. 4250: Mr. CASE.
H.R. 4253: Mr. CASE, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Ms. TLAIB, and Mr. SORESENSEN.
H.R. 4254: Mr. CRANK and Mr. HAMADEH of Arizona.
H.R. 4255: Mr. MCCLINTOCK.
H.R. 4263: Mrs. KIM.
H.R. 4269: Mr. CASE.
H.J. Res. 12: Mr. MEUSER and Mr. MOORE of West Virginia.
H. Con. Res. 40: Ms. PINGREE.
H. Res. 46: Mr. HAMADEH of Arizona.
H. Res. 120: Mr. MORELLE.
H. Res. 142: Mr. LALOTA.
H. Res. 254: Ms. KING-HINDS.
H. Res. 351: Mrs. FLETCHER.

H. Res. 394: Ms. STEVENS and Mr. NADLER.
H. Res. 478: Mr. MOORE of Alabama and Mr. STUTZMAN.
H. Res. 501: Ms. CROCKETT and Mr. FITZPATRICK.
H. Res. 505: Mr. CARSON.
H. Res. 514: Ms. CHU.
H. Res. 515: Ms. DEXTER, Ms. JAYAPAL, and Ms. OMAR.
H. Res. 521: Mr. GARBARINO.
H. Res. 523: Mr. MAGAZINER.
H. Res. 541: Mr. CARSON.
H. Res. 543: Mr. HORSFORD, Ms. SCHRIER, Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Mrs. FLETCHER, Ms. POU, and Mr. CONAWAY.

H. Res. 547: Mr. TORRES of New York.
H. Res. 550: Ms. ADAMS and Ms. MORRISON.

DISCHARGE PETITIONS—
ADDITIONS AND WITHDRAWALS

The following Members added their names to the following discharge petitions:

Petition 4 by Mr. MEEKS on House Resolution 391: Mr. McGarvey.

Petition 5 by Mr. MEEKS on House Resolution 393: Mr. McGarvey.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

RECOGNIZING ASSISTANT
DIRECTOR ERIK SHOBERG

HON. BETH VAN DUYNÉ

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Ms. VAN DUYNÉ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Assistant Director of Border Security for the Houston Office, Erik Shoberg.

For the last 30 years, Mr. Shoberg has demonstrated unwavering loyalty and dedication to protecting America's borders through his work at the United States Customs and Border Protection. He served in posts across the Nation and abroad, including Los Angeles, Washington, D.C., Houston, and Toronto. During his time at the U.S. CBP, he has had oversight into immigration processing, biometric programs, firearms/canine programs, intelligence and targeting processes, and external task force officers.

Throughout his career, Mr. Shoberg has showcased his intellectual versatility by consistently being at the forefront of innovating efforts to modernize the work being done by the U.S. CBP. Many of these efforts have focused on the identification of high-risk travelers and the integration of intelligence into operations, allowing for a more harmonized effort focusing on national security concerns. Mr. Shoberg's commitment to improving processes has led to the identification of numerous persons of interest. For his service, Mr. Shoberg has been the recipient of multiple Commissioner's Anti-Terrorism Awards throughout his career and has received a Department of Homeland Security Secretary's Award for Team DHS Excellence.

It is the hard-work, dedication, and faithful service by our U.S. CBP officers like Mr. Shoberg that are the backbone of American security. We thank Mr. Shoberg for his 30 years of incredible service to our Nation and wish him the very best in his well-deserved retirement.

RECOGNIZING ADELYN KIM

HON. HILLARY J. SCHOLTEN

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Ms. SCHOLTEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Adelyn Kim. Adelyn is a member of Girl Scouts of Michigan Shore to Shore, Troop 4255. She has exemplified extraordinary leadership, innovative problem-solving, and a demonstrated commitment to making a lasting, positive impact by earning the most prestigious award in Girl Scouting, the Gold Award.

Gold Award Girl Scouts are recognized as trailblazers who are willing to tackle the most pressing challenges facing their communities and the world with measurable, sustainable, and far-reaching results. To earn the Girl

Scout Gold Award, high school-age Girl Scouts must identify and investigate an issue they care about, devise a plan, and then lead a team of experts and community members to implement a project that produces lasting change. Over the course of 1 to 2 years, Gold Award Girl Scouts demonstrate significant initiative, commitment, and leadership, distinguishing them from their peers. Through their resourcefulness and perseverance, they embody the Girl Scout Law to truly make the world a better place.

Adelyn's project, "The Pink Journal", in partnership with Community Food Club Grand Rapids, addressed the various stereotypes and misinformation surrounding periods in our community. Adelyn took action by designing and producing digital and printed bilingual period information resources. These resources are being shared throughout West Michigan, which will have a positive impact on our community for years to come.

On behalf of Michigan's 3rd Congressional District, I congratulate Adelyn Kim for achieving the highest distinction in Girl Scouts, the Gold Award. I thank Adelyn for her leadership and for making such a positive, enduring change in our community.

REMEMBERING DETECTIVE
MIOSOTIS FAMILIA

HON. RITCHIE TORRES

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. TORRES of New York. Mr. Speaker, on the anniversary of Detective Miosotis Familia's tragic passing, I want to honor not only her unwavering courage as a detective, but also the profound impact she made on her community and family.

Detective Familia answered the call to public service with honor and determination. She pursued justice for all because she believed in the promise of a safer, more just New York. This was a promise she carried out and every day she went to work.

Detective Familia's children, Genesis, Peter and Delilah, her friends, and all the people she touched, carry her memory and legacy forward every day. May we all draw inspiration from her selflessness and resolve. I echo the voices of so many who mourn her loss and salute her sacrifice. Let us devote ourselves to honoring her legacy by building a city that reflects her values: courage, justice, and community.

CONGRATULATING JAN JERNIGAN

HON. JOE WILSON

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I rise to congratulate Ms. Jan Jernigan,

First Vice President with Morgan Stanley in Columbia, South Carolina, on being awarded South Carolina's highest civilian award, as authorized by Governor Henry McMaster: The Order of the Palmetto.

The Order of the Palmetto is awarded to the State's most accomplished and esteemed individuals who make a significant contribution to a particular community. Jan represents all that this award entails as she has devoted her life to helping those in need.

In 1984, Jan began her career in wealth management with Merrill Lynch in Columbia and has helped clients ever since.

Jan is a founder of Pawmetto Lifeline, one of the most successful animal rescue organizations in the state. She has served as president of the USC Board of Dance since 2010 and is the current Chairwoman of the Capital City/Lake Murray Country Board.

Jan also serves on the boards of the Midlands American Heart Association, the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, and the Columbia Sailing Club.

Additionally, in 2017, Jan was given a key to the City of Columbia in recognition of her lifelong service.

Jan is a person of honor, dependability, and exceptional distinction. She has many accomplishments to be proud of and has contributed greatly to the state.

I send my warmest congratulations and best wishes. I am appreciative for all she has done for South Carolina.

HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY
OF FRANK ROYCE BROWNELL, III

HON. ZACHARY NUNN

OF IOWA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. NUNN of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a true Iowa original, Frank Royce Brownell, III. Frank was not only a towering figure in the American 2nd Amendment and firearms community, but a servant-leader whose legacy reaches far beyond the walls of his family's business in Montezuma.

Born in 1939, Frank graduated from Montezuma High School in 1957 and earned his degree in Advertising from the University of Iowa, magna cum laude, in 1961. He married his college sweetheart, Nancy Denton, before commissioning as an officer in the United States Navy. He served aboard the USS Caliente, a fleet oiler stationed out of Long Beach, California, where he served with the same sense of duty that would later define his business career.

Frank joined Brownells full-time in 1965. What began with catalog layout and product marketing soon grew into full operational leadership. Over the decades, he helped build Brownells into one of the Nation's most respected names in gunsmithing and firearms tools, establishing a national standard for quality and service. His stewardship as President,

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

CEO, and later Chairman of the Board turned a small-town company into an all-American brand.

Frank was at once a marketer, a mentor, and a modern-day pioneer. He welcomed generations of shooters and builders into the Brownells family, always guided by a steadfast commitment to excellence in every part machined and every cartridge sold.

But Frank's greatest title wasn't CEO or Chairman—it was Dad, Grandpa, and neighbor. For more than 50 years, he served on the Montezuma City Council, serving with quiet dedication and the same unwavering sense of duty he brought to Brownells.

Frank is survived by his sons Bob, Pete, and Matt, and six grandchildren. I offer them my sincerest condolences and wish them peace as they carry forward his remarkable legacy.

Mr. Speaker, Iowa may have lost a legend, but his example endures. I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring the life of Frank Royce Brownell, III.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. MARIO DIAZ-BALART

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to vote on June 27, 2025, as I was detained due to unforeseen circumstances following the highly sensitive bipartisan national security briefing. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 185, H. Res. 516.

RECOGNIZING EMIKO MUKAI

HON. BRITTANY PETTERSEN

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Ms. PETTERSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Emiko Mukai of Golden, Colorado. Emiko was selected as one of 250 high school students across the country to participate in the Department of State's prestigious Congress-Bundestag Youth Exchange (CBYX) Program.

Launched in 1983, CBYX selects high achieving youth from both Germany and the United States to live in each other's country for one academic year. During their year abroad, students will foster meaningful relationships between America and Germany, while giving participants new perspectives on peoples and cultures beyond their own. Many CBYX alumni go on to distinguished careers in foreign affairs and public service using the language skills gained through this scholarship program.

This year, Emiko will travel to Germany to attend school, live with a host family, and collaborate with the Department of State to promote cultural exchange between Americans and the German people.

On behalf of the people of Colorado's Seventh Congressional District, it is my honor to congratulate Emiko Mukai for this impressive accomplishment and wish Emiko all the best in this upcoming year in Germany.

HONORING DR. SORAYA M. COLEY

HON. NORMA J. TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate my friend, Dr. Soraya M. Coley, on her upcoming retirement, and to honor her four decades of service to higher education in the Inland Empire and beyond.

Dr. Soraya Coley began her journey in higher education by completing a bachelor's degree in sociology from Lincoln University in Pennsylvania. She then earned a master's in social planning and a doctorate in social research from Bryn Mawr College, where she was recognized as a distinguished alumna.

For many years, Dr. Coley has been dedicated to creating opportunities in higher education for Californians. As an accomplished educator and administrator, she served as the provost and vice president for academic affairs at California State University, Bakersfield from 2005 to 2014. From 2011 to 2012, she served as the university's interim vice president for university advancement. Demonstrating her dedication to uplifting children and students, she also immersed herself in various roles at California State University, Fullerton, the National Center for Substance Abuse and Child Welfare, and Alliant International University.

In 2015, Dr. Coley became the sixth president of California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (Cal Poly Pomona) in my district, making history as the university's first woman and African American president. Under her leadership, Cal Poly Pomona grew into the most diverse polytechnic university in the country. She established Cal Poly Pomona as an inclusive polytechnic university that motivates all its students, staff, and faculty to excel.

As president, Dr. Coley managed almost 30,000 students and nearly 2,700 faculty and staff. Under her tenure, enrollment and graduation rates at Cal Poly Pomona reached historic levels.

Dr. Coley has also been deeply involved in serving the wider California community. In 2019, Governor Gavin Newsom appointed Dr. Coley to the California Future of Work Commission where she advised the Governor and Legislature about the types of jobs that would be available to Californians in the decades to come, the impact of technological advancements, different ways to promote job quality and labor protections, and how to prepare the state's workforce through lifelong education.

Additionally, Dr. Coley is a fierce advocate of furthering higher education interests for women. In 2000, Orange County Metro Magazine named her as "One of Ten Women Making a Difference." In 2023, she earned the American Council on Education Donna Shavlik Award, demonstrating her dedication to supporting women in higher education through leadership, career development, and mentoring.

She has been an incredible leader in our region and has created opportunities for the success of thousands of students. For her outstanding accomplishments and remarkable career, it is my honor to recognize Dr. Soraya M. Coley. Her service and commitment to advancing higher education are worthy of the highest commendation.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO BILL ESTEP

HON. HAROLD ROGERS

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I rise, today to pay tribute to Kentucky journalist Bill Estep, who is retiring from the Lexington Herald Leader after 40 years of dedicated news and investigative reporting in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

As a resident of Somerset, Kentucky, Bill is one of my constituents, giving him personal insight into the generational challenges that we have faced in Kentucky's Appalachian region. He has written about our coal mines, our education system, historic flooding and tornado disasters, while also holding elected leaders accountable in the courtroom of public opinion, and never failing to keep facts at the core of his journalistic integrity. With the uprising of "yellow journalism" in the form of social media's sensational opinions and unchecked rumors, 24-hours a day, Bill has remained consistent in his news coverage, keeping his pen steady, balanced, fair and accurate.

Over the last four decades, Bill and I have sat down together to talk about every issue that impacts the people of southern and eastern Kentucky. We built a trusted relationship with the understanding that we both had an important job to do, requiring tough questions and, at times, tough answers. In 2003, Bill embarked on an investigative series called "Prescription for Pain" that would prove to have a monumental impact on my public service. The series exposed a rapidly growing opioid epidemic more than 20 years ago that was spreading like wildfire throughout our small communities, taking the lives of high school beauty queens and local leaders alike, while revealing local corruption and criminal drug trafficking in the depths of our mountain communities. His collective work inspired me to not only respond, but to take action. In the following weeks, I called together a group of leaders from across our region to launch a non-profit organization called Operation UNITE—Unlawful Narcotics Investigations, Treatment and Education—the Nation's first multi-pronged approach to help save lives and curb the drug crisis. In 2012, Operation UNITE took its mission to the national stage by establishing the National Rx and Illicit Drug Summit, which has grown into the largest convention of its kind with more than 3,000 annual attendees and highlighted by keynote speakers from federal agencies across the country, including four U.S. Presidents. Bill's reporting inspired a life-saving movement in Eastern Kentucky that is now impacting collaborative efforts nationwide—a feat worthy of a Pulitzer Prize and more.

In 2013, when the downturn of the coal industry was exacerbated by the Obama Administration's war on coal, we lost more than 12,000 coal mining jobs in Eastern Kentucky alone. Once again, turning to action rather than words, former Governor Steve Beshear and I co-founded a bipartisan initiative called SOAR—Shaping Our Appalachian Region—to reimagine the future of southern and eastern Kentucky. Since inception, SOAR has focused on expanding access to high performance broadband, more diversified industrial development, workforce training, innovative tourism

projects, expanded health care opportunities and much more. With the formation of SOAR, Bill Estep showed up once again with his iconic yellow notepad and a recorder to get the story right. From day one, he made sure there was an entire section in the Herald Leader featuring the work of SOAR, and he has remained committed to following our progress, our goals and our challenges.

Throughout his career, it has been clear when Bill used his opinion in an effective way, advocating for a larger spread in the urban Lexington-based statewide newspaper to help feature stories in Eastern Kentucky that the rest of the state should care about. I imagine that he didn't win every battle with his editors over the years, but I know that Bill believed in the value of southern and eastern Kentucky for the rest of the Commonwealth. He was bold to ask tough questions, patient enough to listen to the response, and determined to conduct necessary research before writing a story. Upcoming and current reporters should take notes from Bill, and proceed with unbiased questions, an open mind, and a relentless dedication to facts over opinions and click-bait.

Our rural Appalachian region has largely remained in the shadows of Kentucky's bigger cities, playing the national media's role of the impoverished, forgotten people who need a savior. Thanks to courageous journalists like Bill, we have had the opportunity to fight the stereotypes and tell the real story taking place in Eastern Kentucky, where resilient and innovative people are stepping up to shape a brighter future for the next generation through aerospace, medical science, engineering and much more. As Bill begins a new chapter of retirement to focus on his family's story and new traveling adventures, I hope it is filled with great pride in the work he has accomplished and the light of hope that he has helped shine on Eastern Kentucky.

INTRODUCTION OF A BILL TO AMEND THE NATIVE AMERICAN TOURISM AND IMPROVING VIS- ITOR EXPERIENCE NATIVE ACT

HON. ED CASE

OF HAWAII

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. ED CASE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce the Native American Tourism and Improving Visitor Experience (NATIVE) Act Amendments.

This bill is the House companion to S. 612, introduced by Senators LISA MURKOWSKI, Chairman of the Senate Indian Affairs Committee, and BRIAN SCHATZ, Vice Chairman of the Committee. The bill makes important technical corrections to the NATIVE Act to authorize grants to Indian Tribes, Tribal organizations, Native Alaskans and Native Hawaiian organizations for recreational travel and tourism activities.

In 2016, Congress enacted the NATIVE Act to provide grants, loans and technical assistance to Indian Tribes, Tribal organizations, Native Alaskans and Native Hawaiian organizations to assist in developing tourism in indigenous peoples' communities and enhancing opportunities for visitors to learn about indigenous peoples' history, cultures, traditional

foods, languages and arts. Unfortunately, the act did not clearly authorize the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) or the Office of Native Hawaiian Relations (ONHR) to issue the grants, which led to implementation challenges.

Our bill corrects this issue by clearly authorizing the BIA and ONHR, along with several other federal agencies, to issue these grants and authorize appropriations for the program. The bill will enable improved access to federal resources, helping these communities build sustainable tourism infrastructure and expand cultural tourism. In turn, it will create jobs and boost economic development in rural and underserved areas.

This bill is especially important for my home state of Hawaii, where the link between tourism and our indigenous people, Native Hawaiians, is essential. Native Hawaiian culture is at the heart of our islands' uniqueness and one of the major draws for those who visit our state.

The past generations have witnessed a great renaissance of the Hawaiian language and culture, and in turn over the ensuing years Native Hawaiian practitioners and culture have become an increasingly visible and central part of our visitor industry. We in Hawaii are committed to fostering this sector of our economy in a way that encourages long-term cultural preservation efforts.

Through improving the implementation of the NATIVE Act, which has helped both Native Hawaiian Organizations and local Native Hawaiian businesses, our federal government will do a better job preserving and promoting Native Hawaiian culture. We can help connect tourists with the rich indigenous heritage of Hawaii through community-based visitor experiences that protect cultural sites, promote education and create jobs.

By enacting the NATIVE Act Amendments, what we have achieved in Hawaii can grow and be replicated nationwide. I urge my colleagues to join with us to support this critical initiative to empower native communities, enhance the tourism sector and create lasting economic opportunities.

Mahalo.

HONORING THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF FLAD

HON. JIM COSTA

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. COSTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the 40th Anniversary of Luso-American Development Foundation (FLAD). The strengthening historic alliance between Portugal and the United States led to the creation of FLAD in 1985. Its purpose at the time was to strengthen the relationship between our two countries through cultural exchanges and societal transatlantic relationships.

In 1983, the United States and Portugal formed a Cooperation and Defense Agreement. This agreement piloted the establishment of FLAD.

This year FLAD also celebrates its ninth edition of the FLAD Legislators Dialogue, which brings together United States lawmakers of Portuguese ancestry with Portuguese leaders in Lisbon to foster powerful discussions on the strongest path forward to build relations and strengthen our collective security.

FLAD supports the work of Portuguese and Portuguese American students, researchers, scientists, and teachers at the forefront of science, while also facilitating contacts and collaborations with renowned U.S. institutions to build bridges between our two countries and establish new partnerships and possibilities for all generations.

FLAD's work also supports visiting professors at prestigious U.S. universities, such as Fresno State University, Brown University, Georgetown University, and University of Massachusetts Lowell to teach Portuguese Studies with the hopes of increasing Portuguese speakers in the United States. FLAD has been highly successful in promoting internships for American students and facilitating their participation in programs in Portugal.

FLAD has been a principal supporter of Portuguese art and culture by collaborating on numerous initiatives that preserve the presence of Portuguese culture in the United States, allowing exchanges between artists, intellectuals and researchers of the two countries. FLAD's contemporary art collection includes about 1000 works of art from Portuguese artists.

FLAD has continuously partnered with the California Portuguese American Coalition (CPAC) in supporting Portuguese advocacy within California communities. These local communities helped shape the American culture and the economic growth throughout California's Central Valley and nationwide.

Mr. Speaker, as proud descendants of Portuguese immigrants, with the traditions and values from the Azores Islands never far from our hearts, I ask that our colleagues join us in celebrating the 40th anniversary of FLAD and recognizing the contributions of FLAD to U.S.-Portugal relations.

RECOGNIZING THE SHERIFF'S OF- FICE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES OF CLAY COUNTY

HON. CHUCK EDWARDS

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. EDWARDS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Sheriff's Office and Emergency Medical Services of Clay County.

On September 27, 2024, Hurricane Helene slammed into Western North Carolina, leaving a trail of devastation and destruction. While Clay County was largely spared from the storm's most severe effects, its first responders did not return to business as usual the following day. Instead, the Clay County Sheriff's Office and Emergency Medical Services immediately sprang into action to assist counties in desperate need.

This spirit of service is what makes Western North Carolina truly special. It is exemplified by neighbors showing up in times of crisis, ready to lend a helping hand and stand together during the darkest of days. The Clay County Sheriff's Office deployed officers and resources to Haywood, Buncombe, Avery, and Mitchell counties, providing critical manpower and support to these Helene-affected areas. At the same time, Clay County Emergency Medical Services coordinated efforts to deliver much-needed medical personnel and essential supplies to communities in need.

The Clay County Sheriff's Office and Emergency Medical Services were flooded with donated supplies from area churches, local businesses, and citizens. Once transported to the affected areas, these supplies were received by first responders with great relief and deep appreciation. Let it be recognized and remembered that, in a time of great need, Clay County stood tall—not only for its own residents, but for its neighbors across the region.

RECOGNIZING HANNAH DAVIS

HON. HILLARY J. SCHOLTEN

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Ms. SCHOLTEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Hannah Davis. Hannah is a member of Girl Scouts of Michigan Shore to Shore, Girl Scout Juliette. She has exemplified extraordinary leadership, innovative problem-solving, and a demonstrated commitment to making a lasting, positive impact by earning the most prestigious award in Girl Scouting, the Gold Award.

Gold Award Girl Scouts are recognized as trailblazers who are willing to tackle the most pressing challenges facing their communities and the world with measurable, sustainable, and far-reaching results. To earn the Girl Scout Gold Award, high school-age Girl Scouts must identify and investigate an issue they care about, devise a plan, and then lead a team of experts and community members to implement a project that produces lasting change. Over the course of 1 to 2 years, Gold Award Girl Scouts demonstrate significant initiative, commitment, and leadership, distinguishing them from their peers. Through their resourcefulness and perseverance, they embody the Girl Scout Law to truly make the world a better place.

Hannah's project, "Community Sensory Room", in partnership with Faith Community Church of Coopersville, has greatly improved accessibility resources for individuals with autism, Sensory Processing Disorder (SPD), Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), and Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD). Hannah took action by creating a sensory room at her local church, allowing families and children space to stimulate, engage, or relax senses, which will have a positive impact on our community for years to come.

On behalf of Michigan's 3rd Congressional District, I congratulate Hannah Davis for achieving the highest distinction in Girl Scouts, the Gold Award. I thank Hannah for her leadership and for making such a positive, enduring change in our community.

APPRECIATING WATCHMEN BROADCASTING

HON. JOE WILSON

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I am grateful to recognize Watchmen Broadcasting on 30 years of ministry.

In 1995, Dorothy and Russell Spaulding felt a calling to begin a Christian television station

in Augusta, Georgia. Now, 30 years later they have transformed the ministry from broadcasting locally with 1,000 watts of power to internationally with 150,000 watts of power, increasing potential viewership.

It is through faith that the Spaulding family and Watchmen Broadcasting have helped tens of thousands of people reach Salvation and have prevented more than 8,000 suicides.

Without the generosity of ministries like Perry Stone, Channel 55 in Orlando, and local Networks, Watchmen Broadcasting would not be the meaningful success it is today.

The Spauldings are a true testament to faith; starting with less than one hundred dollars and a hotel room as an office, Dorothy and Russell have touched the lives of many.

Their ministry continues to represent that God uses small beginnings for big impact.

Best wishes and prayers for their continued success in faith and ministry as they continue to influence lives and follow the plan God has for them.

HONORING MR. CHARLES JAMESON

HON. EUGENE SIMON VINDMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. VINDMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and recognize Mr. Charles Jameson of Culpeper County, Virginia—a veteran, educator, historian, and lifelong servant to our community.

Although his education, military service and extensive career took him all over, Mr. Jameson, has remained a lifelong resident of Culpeper and still lives across the street from his childhood home.

He began his journey at George Washington Carver Regional High School, where he and many other African American students from Culpeper, Orange, Madison, and Rappahannock counties were educated during segregation.

He went on to attend Virginia Union University before transferring to Virginia Commonwealth University, where he earned his degree in 1970 in pursuit of his lifelong dream of becoming a teacher. However, before he could begin his teaching career, Mr. Jameson was drafted into the U.S. Army and deployed to Vietnam, where he served with honor and distinction. He received advanced artillery training and was awarded for his courage and three Bronze Star Medals, a Purple Heart, and two Army Commendation Medals.

Before returning home, he joined his father, Saint Jameson, in the construction business. When his father retired, Charles took over his role, eventually rising to the position of Project Superintendent and later construction manager. After nearly four decades in the field, he retired in 2010.

In retirement, Mr. Jameson redirected his time and energy into meaningful community service, becoming a driving force behind numerous local initiatives. He worked closely with fellow Carver High School alumni on scholarship programs, served on the boards of the Carver 4-County Museum and the Museum of Culpeper History, and played an active role in organizations such as the Carver Agriculture Research Board, the Culpeper Minutemen, the National Association for the

Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), and the Veterans of Foreign Wars (VFW).

He also holds a leadership position in the local chapter of the Sons of the American Revolution, where he helps organize Culpeper's annual July 4th Patriotic Presentation—an event that honors the region's rich historical legacy. His extensive service and leadership have earned him numerous accolades, including the Culpeper Colonel Award, the MLK Dreamkeeper Award, Aging Together's 5 Over 50 Award, the NAACP Activism Award, and the Neighborhood Watch Amazing Citizen Award.

I want to personally thank Mr. Jameson for his lifelong dedication to service, education, and the preservation of our shared history. Today, he continues that legacy by focusing on his extensive research into African American participation in the Revolutionary War.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and my colleagues join me in recognizing an extraordinary citizen in my district, Mr. Charles Jameson, for his unwavering commitment to our community.

CELEBRATING THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE DES PLAINES THEATRE

HON. RAJA KRISHNAMOORTHY

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 100th anniversary of the Des Plaines Theatre, a cherished landmark in the heart of Illinois' arts community. For a century, the Des Plaines Theatre has brought people together through performance, film, and culture, serving as a vital space for artistic expression and civic engagement.

Since its opening on August 9, 1925, the theatre has hosted hundreds of performances, welcomed countless community events, and stood as a gathering place for generations of Illinoisans. Over the years, it has transformed from a vaudeville stage to a movie house and now a fully restored performing arts center. It has endured a fire, undergone multiple renovations, and has emerged stronger each time, continuing to inspire new audiences.

I am proud to congratulate the Des Plaines Theatre on its 100 years of achievement. Its legacy is a testament to the power of the arts to unite communities, celebrate local history, and enrich lives. I look forward to its continued contributions for many years to come.

REMEMBERING WILLIE J. WATKINS, I

HON. SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. BISHOP. Mr. Speaker, it is with a heavy heart, and fond remembrance, that I rise today to honor an impressive insurance professional, prodigious athlete, iconic golf promoter and friend of long standing, Mr. Willie J. Watkins, I. Sadly, Willie transitioned from labor to reward on June 21, 2025. A Homegoing Celebration will be held at 11:00 am on Thursday,

July 3, 2025, at Macedonia Christian Ministries, 3645 Cusseta Road in Columbus Georgia.

Willie James Watkins, I was born Feb 17, 1952, in Columbus, Georgia to the late Jessie Watkins and Susie Grant Watkins. One of seven children, Willie grew up in the Booker T. Washington Apartments, attended 2nd Avenue and 5th Avenue Elementary Schools, Marshall Junior High School and graduated from the William H. Spencer High School in 1970. He was affectionately known as "Mr. Loquacious". Willie was a staunch supporter of the William H. Spencer High School Alumni Association and the Class of 1970 and never missed the activities surrounding the Spencer-Carver sports rivalry events.

Throughout his elementary and secondary education, Willie excelled in athletics. He played baseball, basketball, and ran track for the William H. Spencer Greenwaves. At the age of 10, he started to caddy at the Columbus Lions Club Golf Course on Victory Drive where he learned to play and developed a lifelong love of the game of golf.

Upon graduating from high school, Willie attended Morristown College in Tennessee on a basketball scholarship. He later served in the United States Army and following his discharge returned home to Columbus and entered the insurance profession as a salesman with Life of Georgia Insurance Company and Interstate Insurance company. He became a life underwriter and instructor, teaching classes to prepare countless insurance professionals for certification and licensure.

With his life-long friend from BTW Apartments, Jerome Humphries, golf became a passion. Watching legendary African-American golfers such as Charlie Sifford, Jim Thorpe, Pete Brown, Jim Dent and Bobby Strobe, as caddies on the segregated "Chitlin" golf circuit, they developed skills and ultimately competed professionally on the all black North American Golf Association Tour, traveling through Georgia, Alabama, Florida, North Carolina and South Carolina. They also competed locally in the WOKS Pro-Am Golf Classic, the Purple and Gold Pro-Am Golf Classic and the Victory Drive Golf Classic. Moreover, Willie Watkins and Jerome Humphries founded the Metro Columbus Golf Association in 1987 and promoted charity golf tournaments in support of sickle cell and epilepsy research for the benefit of humanity.

While Willie was well-known for his loquacious personality and sometimes boisterous demeanor, he was a man of deep faith and was an active member of the Fourth Street Missionary Baptist Church from childhood up through the remainder of his life.

On a personal note, Willie was my friend for over 30 years. He was invaluable in his support of the Annual Sanford Bishop Golf Classic for 27 years in both Albany and Columbus. His extraordinary volunteer service contributed mightily to its enduring success. Often Willie and Jerome Humphries traveled with me to play in numerous charity golf tournaments. It was my honor for him to join me in traveling to Augusta National to witness the 2018 Master's which we enjoyed immensely. I will be forever grateful for his friendship and support.

Willie accomplished much in his life but none of it would have been possible without the grace of God and the love and support of his late wife, Patricia D. Watkins; his four children, Marcus Watkins, Willena Watkins Sin-

gleton, Mactrice Watkins Thomas and Willie Watkins, Jr.; his late siblings, Lily Watkins Hopson, Catherine Watkins Jackson, Frank Watkins and Geneva Watkins Burns; his surviving siblings, Ann Watkins Cobb and Jessie Watkins, Jr.; his 8 grandchildren, 2 great-grandchildren; nieces, nephews, and extended family. Willie loved his family, and his family loved him back.

As we reflect on the remarkable life of Willie James Watkins, we honor and remember his unwavering faith, his love of family, his help to insurance professionals, his Spencer Greenwave spirit, his passion for golf and his impact on our community. We are all better because Willie touched our lives.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join my wife, Vivian, and me, along with the more than 765,000 people of Georgia's 2nd Congressional District in honoring the life and legacy of Mr. Willie James Watkins, in extending our deepest condolences to his family, friends and all who mourn his loss. Moreover, I pray that we will all be consoled and comforted by an abiding faith and the Holy Spirit in the days, weeks and months ahead.

RECOGNIZING AVI BENDER

HON. BRITTANY PETTERSEN

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Ms. PETTERSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Avi Bender of Arvada, Colorado. Avi was selected as one of 250 high school students across the country to participate in the Department of State's prestigious Congress-Bundestag Youth Exchange (CBYX) Program.

Launched in 1983, CBYX selects high achieving youth from both Germany and the United States to live in each other's country for one academic year. During their year abroad, students will foster meaningful relationships between America and Germany, while giving participants new perspectives on peoples and cultures beyond their own. Many CBYX alumni go on to distinguished careers in foreign affairs and public service using the language skills gained through this scholarship program.

This year, Avi will travel to Germany to attend school, live with a host family, and collaborate with the Department of State to promote cultural exchange between Americans and the German people.

On behalf of the people of Colorado's Seventh Congressional District, it is my honor to congratulate Avi Bender for this impressive accomplishment and wish Avi all the best for this upcoming year in Germany.

CONDEMNING THE VIOLENT JUNE 2025 RIOTS IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

SPEECH OF

HON. BETTY MCCOLLUM

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 27, 2025

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to H. Res. 516, the Con-

demning the violent June 2025 riots in Los Angeles, California. It is deeply unfortunate that instead of working with Democrats on a bipartisan resolution to condemn violence, Republicans have instead written a partisan resolution designed to attack elected officials in California. Furthermore, the resolution fails to recognize the premature and escalatory deployment of the National Guard and active-duty Marines by President Trump to Los Angeles.

When the House of Representatives passes a resolution regarding current events, it should do its due diligence to cite the facts. Unfortunately, it is clear the Republican majority had no interest in accurately portraying the protests in Los Angeles when they wrote H. Res. 516. Instead, the resolution perpetuates a false narrative about Democratic elected officials in California. H. Res. 516 states that local elected officials did not adequately respond to the bad actors and trouble-doers. These claims are patently false. Mayor Karen Bass of Los Angeles implemented a multi-day curfew and surged law enforcement resources to downtown Los Angeles to maintain control of the situation. This kept the situation contained to 10 blocks of the downtown area.

But even when the Los Angeles Chief of Police stated that the demonstrations "were nowhere near a level" where the National Guard was needed, President Trump disregarded his opinion and took the escalatory action of deploying 2,000 members of the California National Guard to Los Angeles. Then, on June 10, the President deployed 700 active-duty Marines. Our active-duty military has absolutely no legal role in domestic law enforcement. Los Angeles County has 17,000 law enforcement personnel who are well trained in crowd control. There was no need for the National Guard or the Marines to be deployed by the President, and his decision sets a dangerous precedent for the future.

Republicans had the opportunity to bring a resolution introduced by my colleague, Representative BARRAGAN, condemning violence and bad actors, and thanking local law enforcement for their work. Instead, Republicans chose to use the unrest in Los Angeles to play partisan games and attack Democrats in California.

Mr. Speaker, it is our duty as public servants to tell the truth. We should all stand for condemning violence, de-escalation of force, and the right to peacefully protest. It is unfortunate that this resolution walks away from these principles. I cannot support it.

RECOGNIZING KAPPA ALPHA ORDER'S 81ST CONVENTION

HON. BEN CLINE

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. CLINE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 81st Convention of the Kappa Alpha Order, which will be held in Roanoke, the heart of Virginia's Sixth District. It is a distinct honor to welcome this historic brotherhood back to its roots. Kappa Alpha Order was founded on December 21, 1865, in a small room at Washington College, now Washington and Lee University, in Lexington, Virginia.

What began as a bond of friendship has grown into a nationwide organization that continues to promote leadership, service, and

moral integrity. The Order's motto, "Dieu et les Dames," meaning God and the Ladies, reflects its core values. For over 150 years, its members have committed themselves to cultivating character and serving their communities with distinction.

Today, KA's across the country continue to make a meaningful impact through ongoing charitable work, campus leadership, and a life-long commitment to honor and duty. The Order's presence in our local community is a point of pride, and I applaud their continued contributions to the betterment of our society.

HONORING CHEYENNE PECKNE
FOR HER ACTIONS IN SAVING A
LIFE

HON. LLOYD SMUCKER

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to recognize Cheyenne Peckne, a 911 operator whose instructions helped coach a wife to give CPR to her husband who had suffered a heart attack.

An often-overlooked role in the first responder community is that of our 911 operators. While not as visible as other first responders, they are the first line of contact and convene the proper authorities during an emergency. They receive calls from distressed individuals and have to act fast with limited information.

Cheyenne is an 18-year-old from York, PA, who started her role as a 911 operator only a

few weeks ago. On May 8, 2025, the Red Lion Area Ambulance Association and Laurel Fire Company received a call for a health emergency. Cheyenne picked up the call, and on the other end, a woman whose husband had suffered a cardiac arrest.

Over the next few minutes, Cheyenne comforted the women and assured her that help was on the way. Cheyenne then began to instruct the women on how to give CPR to her husband. This would continue until first responders were able to reach the home and transport the man to the hospital. While this interaction only took a few minutes, it was crucial to saving the man's life.

In a reception a few weeks after the incident, Cheyenne had the opportunity to meet the family and be recognized for her actions. She stated, "The wife did an amazing job. . . she is the one who saved her husband."

I thank Cheyenne for her remarkable work and commitment to serving the public in this role. We can take comfort in knowing that she will continue to help members of our community in times of crisis.

RECOGNIZING MR. RAY FLETCHER

HON. BRANDON GILL

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 2, 2025

Mr. GILL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and commend Mr. Ray Fletcher of Cooke County, Texas, for a lifetime of devoted service to our nation, The Great State of Texas, and his local community.

Mr. Fletcher first answered the call to serve when he joined the United States Air Force in 1981, where he trained and served as a Nuclear Weapons Specialist. Over the course of an honorable 22-year military career, he rose to the rank of Senior Master Sergeant, exemplifying leadership, integrity, and an unwavering commitment to the security of our country. He retired from active duty in 2003, but his public service was far from over.

Immediately upon retirement, Mr. Fletcher began his second career in direct service to the people of Cooke County as the Emergency Manager and Fire Marshal. In this role, which he held for an additional 22 years, he became a cornerstone of public safety and emergency preparedness in North Texas. Mr. Fletcher led Cooke County through seven federally declared disasters, investigated over 400 fires, and oversaw numerous other critical incidents that required his seasoned judgment and calm leadership. Among his many accomplishments, Mr. Fletcher was instrumental in coordinating the Individual Safe Room Grant Program, a vital initiative that led to the installation of 425 storm shelters across Cooke County. His foresight and dedication undoubtedly made Cooke County a safer place for all who call it home.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Ray Fletcher's contributions to his country and community reflect the very best of American values. I am proud to represent such a distinguished citizen in the 26th Congressional District of Texas. On behalf of a grateful community, I thank him for his selfless service and wish him continued happiness in his well-earned retirement.

Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action

The Senate was not in session and stands adjourned until 12 noon on Thursday, July 3, 2025.

Committee Meetings

No committee meetings were held.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 22 public bills, H.R. 4271–4292; and 1 resolution, H. Res. 567, were introduced. **Pages H3188–89**

Additional Cosponsors: **Pages H3189–91**

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H. Res. 566, providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14 (H. Rept. 119–179);

H.R. 3898, to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to make targeted reforms with respect to waters of the United States and other matters, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 119–180);

H.R. 261, to amend the National Marine Sanctuaries Act to prohibit requiring an authorization for the installation, continued presence, operation, maintenance, repair, or recovery of undersea fiber optic cables in a national marine sanctuary if such activities have previously been authorized by a Federal or State agency, with amendments (H. Rept. 119–181);

H.R. 900, to direct the Director of the United States Geological Survey to establish a program to map zones that are at greater risk of sinkhole formation, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 119–182);

H.R. 1043, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain Federal land in Arizona to La Paz County, Arizona, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 119–183);

H.R. 2037, to provide outreach and technical assistance to small providers regarding Open RAN

networks, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 119–184);

H.R. 3015, to reestablish the National Coal Council in the Department of Energy to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Energy on matters related to coal and the coal industry, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 119–185); and

H.R. 3062, to establish a more uniform, transparent, and modern process to authorize the construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of international border-crossing facilities for the import and export of oil and natural gas and the transmission of electricity, with an amendment (H. Rept. 119–186, Part 1). **Page H3188**

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Simpson to act as Speaker pro tempore for today. **Page H3039**

Committee Resignation: Read a letter from Representative Garcia (CA) wherein he resigned from the Committee on Homeland Security. **Page H3039**

One Big Beautiful Bill Act: The House agreed to the motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1, to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, by a recorded vote of 218 ayes to 214 noes, Roll No. 190. **Page H3187**

H. Res. 566, amended, the rule providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1) was agreed to by a yea-and-nay vote of 219 yeas to 213 nays, Roll No. 189, after the amendment offered by Representative Foxx was agreed to by a recorded vote of 220 ayes to 212 noes, Roll No. 188, after the previous question was ordered by a yea-and-nay vote of 214 yeas to 212 nays, Roll No. 187. Earlier, the House agreed to consider the resolution

by a yea-and-nay vote of 212 yeas to 211 nays, Roll No. 186. **Pages H3041–42, H3057, H3057–58, H3058–59**

Senate Referrals: S. 98 was held at the desk. S. 257 was held at the desk. **Page H3187**

Senate Messages: Messages received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appears on page H3039.

Quorum Calls—Votes: Three yea-and-nay votes and two recorded votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H3041–42, H3057, H3507–58, H3058–59, H3187.

Adjournment: The House met at 9 a.m. and adjourned at 2:33 p.m. on Thursday, July 3rd.

Committee Meetings

No hearings were held.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THURSDAY, JULY 3, 2025

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

No meetings/hearings scheduled.

House

No hearings are scheduled.

Next Meeting of the SENATE

12 noon, Thursday, July 3

Senate Chamber

Program for Thursday: Senate will meet in a pro forma session.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10 a.m., Monday, July 7

House Chamber

Program for Monday: House will meet in Pro Forma session at 10 a.m.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Bishop, Sanford D., Jr., Ga., E642
Case, Ed, Hawaii, E641
Cline, Ben, Va., E643
Costa, Jim, Calif., E641
Diaz-Balart, Mario, Fla., E640

Edwards, Chuck, N.C., E641
Gill, Brandon, Tex., E644
Krishnamoorthi, Raja, Ill., E642
McCollum, Betty, Minn., E643
Nunn, Zachary, Iowa, E639
Pettersen, Brittany, Colo., E640, E643
Rogers, Harold, Ky., E640

Scholten, Hillary J., Mich., E639, E642
Smucker, Lloyd, Pa., E644
Torres, Norma J., Calif., E640
Torres, Ritchie, N.Y., E639
Van Dwyne, Beth, Tex., E639
Vindman, Eugene Simon, Va., E642
Wilson, Joe, S.C., E639, E642



Congressional Record

printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. ¶Public access to the *Congressional Record* is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at www.govinfo.gov, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the *Congressional Record* is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, contactcenter@gpo.gov. ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily *Congressional Record* is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. ¶With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the *Congressional Record*.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, *Congressional Record*, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.