

Johnson, Sam	Molinari	Serrano
Johnston	Moorhead	Shadegg
Jones	Moran	Shaw
Kasich	Morella	Shays
Kelly	Myrick	Shuster
Kennedy (MA)	Neal	Skaggs
Kennelly	Nethercutt	Skeen
Kim	Neumann	Skelton
King	Ney	Smith (MI)
Kingston	Norwood	Smith (NJ)
Knollenberg	Nussle	Smith (TX)
Kolbe	Olver	Smith (WA)
LaFalce	Ortiz	Solomon
LaHood	Oxley	Souder
Latham	Packard	Spence
LaTourette	Pastor	Stenholm
Laughlin	Paxon	Stockman
Lazio	Payne (VA)	Studds
Leach	Pelosi	Stump
Levin	Petri	Talent
Lewis (CA)	Pickett	Tate
Lewis (GA)	Pombo	Tejeda
Lewis (KY)	Porter	Thomas
Lightfoot	Portman	Thornberry
Linder	Pryce	Thornton
Livingston	Quillen	Tiahrt
LoBiondo	Quinn	Torkildsen
Longley	Radanovich	Torres
Lucas	Ramstad	Torricelli
Maloney	Regula	Upton
Manton	Reynolds	Vento
Manzullo	Richardson	Volkmer
Markey	Riggs	Vucanovich
Martini	Roberts	Waldholtz
Matsui	Rogers	Walker
McCarthy	Ros-Lehtinen	Walsh
McCollum	Roth	Wamp
McCrery	Roukema	Ward
McDade	Roybal-Allard	Waters
McHugh	Royce	Watts (OK)
McInnis	Rush	Waxman
McIntosh	Salmon	Weldon (FL)
McKeon	Sanford	Weller
Meehan	Sawyer	White
Metcalf	Saxton	Wicker
Meyers	Scarborough	Williams
Mfume	Schaefer	Wolf
Mica	Schiff	Young (AK)
Miller (FL)	Schumer	Young (FL)
Mineta	Seastrand	Zeliff
Moakley	Sensenbrenner	Zimmer

Whitfield	Wise	Wyden
Wilson	Woolsey	Wynn
NOT VOTING—3		
Dornan	Frost	Yates

□ 1240

Messrs. SPRATT, SABO, MASCARA, and WYNN, Ms. WOOLSEY, and Mr. COYNE changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. HOEKSTRA, EWING, TIAHRT, HEINEMAN, JONES, DICK-EY, FUNDERBURK, KENNEDY of Massachusetts, and OLVER, Ms. ROY-BAL-ALLARD, Mrs. SMITH of Wash-ington, Mr. TORRES, and Mr. SAN-FORD changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to lay on the table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1240

SCHEDULING OF HEARINGS CON-CERNING THE MEXICAN BAILOUT

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, if I might just take a moment of the body's time, I want to first begin by observing my appreciation to the gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. TAYLOR] and his co-sponsors for the initiative they have taken, the interest and concern they have expressed with this initiative. It is unfortunate that the initiative came to the floor in an order that was not, in fact, in order with the rules of the House.

I did want to tell all the Members that the House Republican leadership does, in fact, recognize the amount of concern that we have on both sides of the aisle on this issue, and that there are arrangements being made in the committees to begin hearings to give this Congress its legitimate and order-ly exercise prerogative to examine this issue and the manner in which it is carried out, and the Members should be reassured that, in fact, they will have an opportunity to address this issue.

And again, as I said, in all due re-spect to the effort taken by the gen-tleman from Mississippi [Mr. TAYLOR] and his colleagues, we do appreciate their effort.

Before I yield enough, I would like to make the observation, I frankly do not think it is desirable to take up the body's time for an extended debate. So for brief comments, I will yield first, to the gentleman from Ohio [Ms. KAP-TUR].

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me. I will not take a long time.

Obviously, those of us who strongly supported that resolution are ex-tremely disappointed. We consider this to be a historic moment in the House because of that ruling, and the fact

that we were just silenced without even the ability to debate for 1 hour in the full House.

Now, I understand the gentleman and the majority control the committees, and I understand what happened in the committees, and why we do not have a bill on this floor today.

But let me say to the gentleman I en-courage you on your efforts in the com-mittees. We do not expect anything of consequence to result from that. But I know that there are Members along with myself on both sides of the aisle who are very concerned about this his-toric move of the House to silence the Membership on the largest use of unap-propriated dollars in the history of this Nation.

Mr. ARMEY. Let me just say I do ap-preciate the gentlewoman's disappoint-ment. I have felt it myself many times. But it was, in fact, the correct ruling of the Chair.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speak-er, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARMEY. I yield to the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Let me just say I share the concern of the gentle-woman from Ohio. We will hold exten-sive hearings on this subject, how it will impact on the United States, Mex-ico and other Latin American coun-tries. It will not be just window dress-ing. We are going to hold extensive hearings. The gentlewoman will be in-cluded in the discussion at the hearing.

VICTIM RESTITUTION ACT OF 1995

Ms. PRYCE. Mr. Speaker, by direc-tion of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 60 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The clerk read the resolution, as fol-lows:

H. RES. 60

*Resolved*, That at any time after the adop-tion of this resolution the Speaker may, pur-suant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 665) to control crime by mandatory victim restitution. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally di-vided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute rec-ommended by the Committee on the Judi-ciary now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. During consid-eration of the bill for amendment, the Chair-man of the Committee of the Whole may ac-cord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amend-ments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and

NAYS—143

Abercrombie	Furse	Murtha
Ackerman	Gibbons	Myers
Andrews	Gonzalez	Nadler
Baesler	Gordon	Oberstar
Barcia	Hall (OH)	Obey
Barrett (WI)	Hall (TX)	Orton
Bevill	Harman	Owens
Bilbray	Hastings (FL)	Pallone
Bishop	Hayes	Parker
Borski	Hefner	Payne (NJ)
Brewster	Hilliard	Peterson (FL)
Browder	Hinchev	Peterson (MN)
Brown (CA)	Holden	Pomeroy
Brown (FL)	Hoyer	Poshard
Brown (OH)	Hunter	Rahall
Bryant (TX)	Istook	Rangel
Chapman	Jacobs	Reed
Clay	Johnson (SD)	Rivers
Clayton	Johnson, E. B.	Roemer
Clement	Kanjorski	Rohrabacher
Clyburn	Kaptur	Rose
Coble	Kennedy (RI)	Sabo
Collins (IL)	Kildee	Sanders
Collins (MI)	Kleczka	Schroeder
Condit	Klink	Scott
Conyers	Klug	Sisisky
Costello	Lantos	Slaughter
Coyne	Largent	Spratt
Cramer	Lincoln	Stark
Danner	Lipinski	Stearns
Deal	Lofgren	Stokes
DeFazio	Lowey	Stupak
Dellums	Luther	Tanner
Deutsch	Martinez	Tauzin
Dingell	Mascara	Taylor (MS)
Doyle	McDermott	Taylor (NC)
Duncan	McHale	Thompson
Durbin	McKinney	Thurman
Engel	McNulty	Towns
English	Meek	Trafficant
Eshoo	Menendez	Tucker
Evans	Miller (CA)	Velazquez
Farr	Minge	Visclosky
Fattah	Mink	Watt (NC)
Fields (LA)	Mollohan	Weldon (PA)
Filner	Montgomery	