

A number of Senators, newspapers, and outside interest groups—all of whom could be fairly characterized as pro-choice—have expressed deep concerns regarding this nomination, because of the credibility issue. In fact, I think it is fair to say that this nominee's problems have no more to do with abortion than Zoe Baird's problems had to do with antitrust policy.

We have had a number of controversial Surgeons General, some of whom I have disagreed with vehemently. But I have never seen, at least not since this administration, a Surgeon General who—by their own actions and statements—utterly squandered the public trust that is so essential to this job.

As I said at the outset, it is generally my approach to give the President wide latitude in appointing the various members of his administration. But with the facts that have come tumbling out about this nominee—many of them in direct conflict with each other—and given the excruciating history of the last Clinton administration official to hold this job, I must regretfully join with my colleagues who have called on the White House to withdraw the nomination immediately.

Every day that goes by will simply do more damage to a nominee who is, by all accounts, a decent and accomplished individual. What is more, every new report of withheld and false information will only serve to further erode the credibility of the office of Surgeon General, at a time when public esteem for the position is at an all-time low.

I think everyone in this body is ready to work with the President to find a new candidate for Surgeon General who would command the public's trust at the very outset. I may not agree with that new nominee on some issues, or even on most issues. But the point is to restore the integrity and dignity of the office, and that will require a nominee who comes untarnished by lapses in candor or allegiance to an extreme political agenda.

Playing the abortion card—as the White House is now doing so extravagantly—is merely a convenient dodge. The real issue is credibility: the credibility of the nominee, and the credibility of this administration.●

#### RETIREMENT OF REAR ADM. JOHN E. GORDON

● Mr. NUNN. Mr. President, on April 19, 1994, the Senate confirmed the nomination of Adm. Frank Kelso, the Chief of Naval Operations, to retire in grade. During the debate on the nomination, a number of Senators raised issues concerning Admiral Kelso's accountability with respect to matters related to the misconduct at the 1991 Tailhook Symposium. At one point, a Senator indicated that no one, other than a victim of the misconduct, lost his or her job as a result of Tailhook. In response, I noted that a number of individuals, including the Secretary of the Navy, resigned as a result of Tailhook.

In the course of my remarks, I stated that "the Navy JAG, the Judge Advocate General, resigned over this." I made that statement based upon the fact that the retirement of the Judge Advocate General was announced at the time that the Navy made public its initial reaction to the DOD inspector general's report on the Navy's conduct of the Tailhook investigations. Subsequent to my remarks, I have been informed by the Navy that the then-Judge Advocate General, Rear Adm. John E. Gordon, did not resign in response to the Tailhook report.

The Navy has advised me that Rear Admiral Gordon was appointed to be the Judge Advocate General on November 1, 1990, and was immediately scheduled for retirement on November 1, 1992, in accordance with prior Navy practice. Rear Admiral Gordon formally submitted his request for retirement on September 9, 1992, prior to the September 21, 1992 issuance of the DOD/IG report, and retired on November 1, 1992, in accordance with the date originally set in 1990. The Navy has further advised me that no official adverse action was taken against Rear Admiral Gordon.

To put this matter in perspective, the Navy has advised me that in the aftermath of the Tailhook matter, 29 Navy and Marine Corps personnel were punished under article 15 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice—nonjudicial punishment—and 3 flag officers received letters of censure from the Secretary of the Navy. Sixty Navy and Marine Corps personnel received nonpunitive administrative letters and 19 received informal counseling.

I appreciate the opportunity to clarify the record.●

#### MEXICAN LOAN COMMITMENTS RESOLUTION

● Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I am pleased today to cosponsor with Senator MACK the Mexican loan commitments resolution.

As I stated on February 8, the President never should have circumvented the will of the American people to bail out a mismanaged Mexican Government and global currency speculators. I remain outraged that American taxpayers have been forced to do something they did not want to do. The President knew full well that Congress would never approve a \$40 billion bailout. He never should have submitted to economic blackmail.

The President's use of \$20 billion from our Exchange Stabilization Fund [ESF] to bail out Mexico was unprecedented. This fund was intended to stabilize the dollar, not the Mexican peso or any other foreign currency. It is not the President's personal piggy bank. The President has now committed \$20 billion of the approximately \$25 billion the ESF has available for lending. Are sufficient funds left in the ESF to stabilize the dollar's exchange rate in the event of a crisis? What happens if Mex-

ico defaults? Does the President propose to raise taxes or cut needed domestic programs to replenish the ESF?

The Banking Committee intends to hold oversight hearings on the President's use of the ESF to bail out Mexico. These hearings will address, among other issues: First, the President's legal authority to use the ESF to provide \$20 billion in loans, loan guarantees, and other assistance to Mexico; second, the need for such assistance to Mexico; third, Mexico's compliance with the conditions imposed for United States assistance; fourth, the administration's monitoring of economic conditions in Mexico during 1994, including whether the administration or the International Monetary Fund [IMF] participated in Mexico's December 20 decision to devalue the peso; and fifth, lessons of the Mexican peso crisis, including the risk of similar crises occurring in other nations.

The Mexican loan commitments resolution expresses the sense of the Senate that Congress must receive sufficient information to judge the success or failure of the President's Mexican adventure. This resolution urges the Secretary of the Treasury to provide the Senate Banking Committee with monthly information on: First, economic conditions in Mexico, and second, Mexico's use of the funds it obtains from the ESF and IMF. The Secretary now submits a monthly ESF financial statement to the Senate and House Banking Committees.

Mr. President, in a February 9 letter to me, Secretary Rubin expressed a willingness to provide some additional information to the Banking Committee on Mexico's economic condition, and Mexico's use of our assistance. I ask that the Secretary's letter be included in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks.

(See exhibit 1.)

The purpose of this resolution is to detail the information that the Senate believes the Secretary must submit to allow the Banking Committee to monitor the President's extraordinary use of the ESF to aid Mexico.

The resolution urges the Secretary to provide the Banking Committee with information on:

The activities of the Mexican Central Bank, including the reserve positions of the Mexican Central Bank and data relating to the functioning of Mexican monetary policy;

The implementation and extent of wage, price, and credit controls in the Mexican economy;

Mexican tax policy;

Planned or pending Mexican Government regulations affecting the Mexican private sector; and

Any efforts to privatize public sector entities in Mexico.

This information will allow the committee to determine whether Mexico's Government has instituted the tight money and free market reforms needed to improve its economy.

The resolution further asks that the committee be provided with a full disclosure of all financial transactions, both inside and outside of Mexico, directly involving funds disbursed from the ESF or the IMF. This information will allow the committee to determine whether these funds are being used to strengthen the peso or to refinance Mexico's debt. As Senator BENNETT urged last week, these funds should be used to extinguish excess pesos not to bail out speculators in Mexican tesobonos.

Finally, this resolution asks that the committee be informed of any consultations involving Mexico between the United States Department of the Treasury, the IMF, and the Bank of International Settlements. This information will assist the committee in evaluating the success of the multilateral effort to aid Mexico.

Mr. President, I hope my dire predictions about the President's use of the ESF to aid Mexico turn out to be wrong. I hope that Mexico prospers, and that American taxpayers are not left holding the bag.

Mr. President, I strongly urge passage of the Mexican loan commitments resolution. The information specified in this resolution will allow Congress to blow the whistle if Mexico fails to live up to its commitments—to stop the peso press, to balance its budget, and to privatize. We must protect American taxpayers, not badly run foreign governments.

#### EXHIBIT 1

SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY,  
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
Washington, DC, February 9, 1995.

Hon. ALFONSE M. D'AMATO,  
Chairman, Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR D'AMATO: In your floor statement of February 8, you called on the Department of the Treasury to provide the Banking Committee with monthly information on (i) economic conditions in Mexico, and (ii) Mexico's use of the funds it will obtain through our support package. As you know, the Treasury Department presently submits a monthly report to the House and Senate Banking Committees on Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) operations. We are happy to supplement this monthly report with the information you requested. The report will also provide a detailed picture of developments in Mexico, as well as an analysis of Mexico's compliance with our agreed economic terms and conditions. This information will enable the Congress and the American people to review actions we are taking in America's interests to deal with Mexico's financial situation.

Let me assure you that we fully share your concerns about the need to ensure Mexico's proper use of our support.

To that end, Mexico has already agreed to meet a tough set of economic conditions imposed by the IMF as a requirement for accepting support from the Fund. These include strict monetary targets that will hold Mexico to negative real monetary growth, and disciplined fiscal targets that will move Mexico to budget surplus. In addition, the Mexicans have committed themselves to pushing forward with their privatization program and further opening their economy.

Our own framework agreement with Mexico will take the IMF program as a base. But we will also require the Mexicans to agree to additional obligations, over and above those imposed by the IMF, to protect our own resources. We will insist that Mexico take steps to assure the independence of its central bank. Moreover, we will require far greater transparency and regular reporting on Mexico's financial condition and policies. We will further ensure Mexico provides us with the data we need to determine independently whether Mexico is complying with our conditions and the IMF's conditions. Let me emphasize to you that we will preserve the right to halt our support program if we conclude that Mexico is not cooperating, or if we judge that Mexico's economic situation is deteriorating.

Please let me know if I or my staff can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

ROBERT E. RUBIN.●

#### HOMICIDES BY GUNSHOT IN NEW YORK CITY

● Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, I rise today to continue my weekly practice of reporting to the Senate on the death toll by gunshot in New York City. Last week, 7 people were killed by firearms in New York City, bringing this year's total to 75.

With over 16,000 murders by gunshot nationally each year, we obviously have a long way to go in our efforts to curb the plague of gun violence. To be sure, we've made some progress, particularly with passage of the Brady law and the recent ban on semiautomatic assault weapons. Unfortunately, there is a powerful lobby working against us. If any one doubts this, they need only look at the most recent congressional elections. The National Rifle Association's \$3.2 million campaign to defeat targeted congressional candidates proved successful in 19 of 24 races.

We must continue to fight the gun lobby. Efforts at the national level will continue to be difficult, and we must enlist the help of States and localities. Indeed, some States and localities have already taken important steps. Last year, for instance, the city of Chicago became the first in the Nation to ban the sale of all handgun ammunition. In addition, as reported in a New York Times article late last year, police departments in two other cities, Indianapolis and Kansas City, have mounted successful campaigns to rid their streets of guns. Simply by vigorously enforcing infractions of the law that give them the legal basis to search individuals, police in these two cities have confiscated an impressive number of illegal guns. In the first 3 weeks of the program in Indianapolis, special police teams seized an AK-47 rifle, a Mac 10 semiautomatic weapon, a Glock 19 semiautomatic pistol, and a host of other illegal guns. In Kansas City, which has already completed a 6-month gun-interception experiment, gun-related crimes declined by almost 50 percent in the area in which the program was implemented.

These are by no means novel approaches. In fact, New York City's Po-

lice Commissioner William Bratton adopted similar methods when he headed the city's transit police. In an effort to crack down on the thousands of fare-evaders on the city's subway system each day, Bratton directed sweep teams to apprehend these illegal passengers. As it turns out, 1 in 20 of those passengers carried illegal weapons. The resulting arrests led to a 48-percent decline in subway crimes.

I commend the efforts of the cities of Chicago, Indianapolis, and Kansas City to the attention of Senators, and I hope the Senate will consider gun control and ammunition control legislation in the near future.●

#### RULES OF THE COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

● Mr. BOND. Mr. President, pursuant to Senate rules, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Small Business' rules for the 104th Congress be printed in the RECORD at this time.

The Committee rules follow:

#### COMMITTEE RULES

(As adopted in executive session January 11, 1995)

#### 1. GENERAL

All applicable provisions of the Standing Rules of the Senate and of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, as amended, shall govern the Committee.

#### 2. MEETINGS AND QUORUMS

(a) The regular meeting day of the Committee shall be the first Wednesday of each month unless otherwise directed by the Chairman. All other meetings may be called by the Chairman as he deems necessary, on 3 days notice where practicable. If at least three Members of the Committee desire the Chairman to call a special meeting, they may file in the office of the Committee a written request therefor, addressed to the Chairman. Immediately thereafter, the Clerk of the Committee shall notify the Chairman of such request. If, within 3 calendar days after the filing of such request, the Chairman fails to call the requested special meeting, which is to be held within 7 calendar days after the filing of such request, a majority of the Committee Members may file in the Office of the Committee their written notice that a special Committee meeting will be held, specifying the date, hour and place thereof, and the Committee shall meet at that time and place. Immediately upon the filing of such notice, the Clerk of the Committee shall notify all Committee Members that such special meeting will be held and inform them of its date, hour and place. If the Chairman is not present at any regular, additional or special meeting, the Ranking Majority Member present shall preside.

(b)(1) A majority of the Members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum for reporting any legislative measure or nomination.

(2) One-third of the Members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of routine business, provided that one Minority Member is present. The term "routine business" includes, but is not limited to, the consideration of legislation pending before the Committee and any amendments thereto, and voting on such amendments. 132 Cong. Rec. §3231 (daily ed. March 21, 1986).