

Smith (NJ)	Taylor (NC)	Wamp
Smith (TX)	Tejeda	Watts (OK)
Smith (WA)	Thomas	Weldon (FL)
Solomon	Thornberry	Weldon (PA)
Souder	Thornton	Weller
Spence	Thurman	White
Stearns	Tiahrt	Whitfield
Stenholm	Torkildsen	Wicker
Stockman	Towns	Wilson
Stump	Traficant	Wolf
Stupak	Upton	Young (AK)
Talent	Volkmer	Young (FL)
Tanner	Vucanovich	Zeliff
Tate	Waldholtz	Zimmer
Tauzin	Walker	
Taylor (MS)	Walsh	

NOES—141

Abercrombie	Furse	Murtha
Ackerman	Gejdenson	Nadler
Andrews	Gephardt	Neal
Baldacci	Gibbons	Oberstar
Barrett (WI)	Hall (OH)	Obey
Becerra	Harman	Olver
Beilenson	Hastings (FL)	Owens
Bentsen	Hilliard	Pallone
Berman	Hinchee	Pastor
Boehler	Hoyer	Payne (NJ)
Bonior	Jackson-Lee	Pelosi
Borski	Jacobs	Rahall
Boucher	Jefferson	Rangel
Brown (CA)	Johnson, E. B.	Reed
Brown (FL)	Johnston	Richardson
Brown (OH)	Kanjorski	Rivers
Bryant (TX)	Kaptur	Roybal-Allard
Cardin	Kennedy (MA)	Sabo
Clay	Kennedy (RI)	Sanders
Clayton	Kennelly	Sawyer
Clyburn	Kildee	Schroeder
Coleman	Klecicka	Schumer
Collins (IL)	Klink	Scott
Collins (MI)	LaFalce	Serrano
Conyers	Lantos	Shays
Coyne	Levin	Skaggs
DeFazio	Lewis (GA)	Slaughter
DeLauro	Lofgren	Spratt
Dellums	Lowey	Stark
Deutsch	Luther	Stokes
Dicks	Maloney	Studds
Dingell	Manton	Thompson
Dixon	Markey	Torres
Doggett	Mascara	Torricelli
Durbin	Matsui	Tucker
Engel	McCarthy	Velazquez
Eshoo	McDermott	Vento
Evans	McHale	Visclosky
Farr	McKinney	Waters
Fattah	Meehan	Watt (NC)
Fazio	Meek	Waxman
Fields (LA)	Menendez	Williams
Filner	Mfume	Wise
Flake	Miller (CA)	Woolsey
Foglietta	Mineta	Wyden
Ford	Mink	Wynn
Frank (MA)	Moakley	Yates

NOT VOTING—7

Gonzalez	Lipinski	Ward
Gutierrez	Martinez	
Hunter	Rush	

□ 1940

Mr. VISCLOSKY changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 925, PRIVATE PROPERTY PROTECTION ACT OF 1995

Mrs. WALDHOLTZ, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-61) on the resolution (H. Res. 101) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 925), to compensate owners of private property for the effect of certain regulatory restrictions, which was referred to the

House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

□ 1945

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 70

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of H.R. 70.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT OF MS. JUNE ELLENOFF O'NEILL AS DIRECTOR OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of section 201(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, Public Law 93-344, the Chair announces that the Speaker and the President pro tempore of the Senate on Wednesday, February 22, 1995 did jointly appoint Ms. June Ellenoff O'Neill as director of the Congressional Budget Office, effective March 1, 1995, for the term of office beginning January 3, 1995.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON RULES ON AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 956, THE COMMON SENSE LEGAL REFORM BILL

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I wish to announce to House Members that the Rules Committee is planning to meet on Tuesday, March 7, to grant a rule which may restrict amendments for the consideration of H.R. 956, the Common Sense Legal Standards Reform Act of 1995.

Any Member contemplating an amendment to H.R. 956—the product liability bill—should submit 55 copies of the amendment and a brief explanation to the Rules Committee, no later than 3 p.m. on Friday, March 3.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are properly drafted and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the Rules of the House.

It is the intention of the Rules Committee to make the text of H.R. 1075 in order as a substitute to the reported text of H.R. 956 for amendment purposes. This new text reflects the work of both the Judiciary Committee and the Commerce Committee on this issue. The copies of H.R. 1075 can be obtained from the majority offices of the Commerce Committee or the Judiciary Committee. Legislative Counsel will draft all amendments to this revised text.

PERMISSION FOR CERTAIN COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES TO SIT TOMORROW, MARCH 1, 1995, DURING 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the following committees and their subcommittees be permitted to sit tomorrow while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole House under the 5-minute rule; The Committee on Banking and Financial Services; The Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities; The Committee on Government Reform and Oversight; The Committee on House Oversight; The Committee on International Relations; The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure; and The Committee on Veterans Affairs.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that the minority has been consulted and that there is no objection to these requests.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KNOLLENBERG). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I just want to concur that these are the lists of committees that the minority was consulted on, and we have no objection.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW, MARCH 1, 1995

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10 a.m. on tomorrow.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, once again I would acknowledge that this was discussed with the minority.

The minority has no objection.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO TAKE UP RESOLUTION OF INQUIRY ON MEXICAN PESO CRISIS

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, let me just take this moment to report to the House, pursuant to the agreement that I made with the minority leader last week, that we would give Members a

day's notice before we take up the resolution of inquiry on the Mexican peso crisis, and we do intend to take that up late tomorrow afternoon or tomorrow evening. I wanted to notify the body of that at this time.

CLARIFICATION OF WAIVER WITH RESPECT TO RESOLUTION OF INQUIRY ON THE MEXICAN PESO CRISIS

(By unanimous consent, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts was allowed to proceed for 1 minute.)

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Let me address the majority leader. It was my understanding that in order to do that, it would require a waiver of the 3-day layover rule. Is the majority leader asking for that permission?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

GRANTING OF PERMISSION ON REQUEST TO WAIVE THE THREE-DAY LAYOVER RULE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to waive the 3-day layover rule with the point that the minority has agreed to that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request to the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I have never thought that waiving the 3-day rule was a big deal, like my friends on the other side. I am glad to welcome them to the position that occasionally waiving that rule is a perfectly reasonable thing to do. I think the gentleman for doing it explicitly. I does seem a bad idea to me to waive it implicitly.

But since this is also cleared with the minority and since this precedent of waiving a 3-day rule when it is inconvenient is not such a bad one, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would like to ask the majority leader a question. This resolution of inquiry does not preclude any other legislative action pertaining to the Mexican bailout program?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman would yield, no, it does not.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. I thank the gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 926, REGULATORY REFORM AND RELIEF ACT

Mr. MCINNIS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 100 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 100

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 926) to promote regulatory flexibility and enhance public participation in Federal agency rule-making, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed ninety minutes, with sixty minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary and thirty minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Small Business. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered by title rather than by section. Each title shall be considered as read. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KNOLLENBERG). The gentleman from Colorado [Mr. MCINNIS] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MCINNIS. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. HALL], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

During consideration of this resolution, all time is yielded for the purpose of debate only.

(Mr. MCINNIS asked and was given permission to insert extraneous material into the RECORD.)

Mr. MCINNIS. Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 100 is a very simple resolution. It is an open rule providing for 90 minutes of general debate. Sixty min-

utes shall be equally divided between the chairman and the ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. Additionally, 30 minutes is to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Small Business. After general debate, the bill shall be considered for amendment under the 5-minute rule. Finally, this resolution provides one motion to recommit, with or without instructions. This open rule was reported out of the Committee on Rules by voice vote.

This open rule demonstrates that the new majority intends to honor its commitment to have a more fair and open legislative process. The resolution provides the House with an opportunity to review the bill, debate it, and yes, if necessary, to amend the legislation. To date, 83 percent of the rules reported out of the Committee on Rules have been open, or modified open, rules. This is a dramatic contrast between the 44 percent of open, or modified open, rules reported out of the committee during the 103d Congress.

The legislation is designed to improve the Federal regulatory system by: First, strengthening the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, second, amending the Administrative Procedure Act to require the preparation of regulatory impact analyses whenever a major rule is promulgated by a Federal agency, and third, directing the President to prescribe regulations for the executive branch aimed at protecting citizens from abuse and retaliation in their dealing with the regulatory system.

One particular provision of this legislation is very important. By deleting the prohibition against judicial review contained in section 611 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, we will prevent Federal agencies from merely including boilerplate provisions certifying that a proposed regulation will not have a significant impact upon a substantial number of small entities. Even the National Performance Review, which was chaired by Vice President GORE, made the deletion of the ban against judicial review its primary recommendation with respect to the Small Business Administration. I am pleased to see this provision included in the legislation. I urge my colleagues to support the rule, and the underlying legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I insert into the RECORD the following:

THE AMENDMENT PROCESS UNDER SPECIAL RULES REPORTED BY THE RULES COMMITTEE,¹ 103D CONGRESS V. 104TH CONGRESS

[As of Feb. 27, 1995]

Rule type	103d Congress		104th Congress	
	Number of rules	Percent of total	Number of rules	Percent of total
Open/modified-open ²	46	44	15	83
Modified closed ³	49	47	3	17
Closed ⁴	9	9	0	0