

of schoolchildren, cut funds for education, and decimate senior citizens and veterans' health care.

The Speaker is planning a big speech and festivities celebrating the end of the 100 days of the contract on America. These Republican circus festivities may not be as entertaining as the real circus, but to paraphrase a very famous song, Mr. Speaker: "Where are the clowns and who are the clowns?"

Mr. Speaker, it looks like they are here.

PASSAGE OF THE TAX BILL HOLDS OUT HOPE FOR NEXT YEAR'S TAXPAYERS

(Mrs. SMITH of Washington asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I want to talk to the folks at home, my clients that I left at home. I am a tax consultant, and at this time of the year I am usually up 24 hours a day practically helping people get through the maze of taxes and trying to explain to them why every year they keep going up and up and up.

I want to tell you next year what is going to happen when you have your tax return filed. It will be different than it is this year if this bill passes today.

First of all, when you get to the end, you are going to get to take \$500 off per child, but really that just means you get to keep \$500 of your hard-earned money that the Government is not going to take. You can buy a washing machine with it or you can take the kids to Disneyland, but you will spend the money and that will cause tax revenues to come into the economy. Do you trust you better to spend your money and spur the economy, or do you think it is better to have it go into the big buildings that are being built all the way around me here in Washington, DC, filling them with the bureaucracy? Which one is better? Which one is better for the economy? Which one can use the money, the Government or you who were going to sell or were about to sell that rental that you fixed up and you are holding it because you do not want it all to go away in taxes because of the huge tax increase that was passed in 1986 by this side of the aisle? I want to tell you that next year you can actually sell it and we will not keep all the money if we pass this legislation.

I encourage you to call your legislator and tell him, "Pass this middle class tax cut, and do it today."

FEDERAL WORKERS THREATENED WITH TAX INCREASES TO GIVE TAX CUTS TO OTHERS

(Mr. MORAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, 1 hour ago we turned and faced this flag. We pledged allegiance to it, and we pledged liberty and justice for all.

Mr. Speaker, where is the justice when we take an average of \$5,000 from each of America's civil servants in order to pay a tax cut of about \$1,000 over the next 5 years to America's taxpayers? Where is the justice to increase taxes on America's civil servants and reduce their benefits in order to provide tax cuts for other people?

There is no justice, and there is no integrity, Mr. Speaker, when 8 years ago America's civil servants were faced with one of their most important decisions, the financial security of their wives and children when they retire, and we promised them we would never again change their retirement system.

Mr. Speaker, we are going to do that today. Where is the justice? Where is the integrity of this institution?

I urge my colleagues to vote against this tax cut bill and against the rule.

AN AVERSION TO TAX CUTS

(Mr. WALKER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I do not know why Democrats hate employers, but they do. Just listen to what they say about tax cuts.

I do not know why Democrats hate middle-class two-earner families, but they do. Just listen to what they say about tax cuts.

I do not know why Democrats hate small business men and women, but they do. Just listen to what they say about tax cuts.

I do not know why Democrats hate success, but they do. Just listen to what they say about tax cuts.

I do not know why Democrats hate the guy who gets lucky and wins the lottery, but they do. Just listen to what they say about tax cuts.

Democrats start with the idea that everything earned by everybody is theirs to spend. Democrats believe that every tax is a good thing because it allows them to do what they see as good things, and they hate anyone who gets money back from their tax bill because it takes away from their ability to spend.

Democrats love taxes. They hate to reduce taxes, and they hate the thought that there are Americans who would like to keep more of the money they earn for themselves.

THE RUPERT MURDOCH TAX BREAK

(Mr. DEUTSCH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, this House passed legislation that provided for a \$63 million gift to Rupert Murdoch. It was done in the most slea-

zy, offensive way to this institution. And, yes, it was done.

Mr. ARCHER, what a shame on you, and what a shame on this institution, and what a shame on the Speaker that it was done.

We have the ability to correct what was done. Yesterday I attempted to offer a joint resolution to take out that obscene provision. I have tried to do that today. I have spoken to Mr. ARMEY this morning and asked for his consent, because it does require unanimous consent to take out that provision.

I urge you, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, who talk in good faith about this institution and about how things need to change, to do in deed what your words have said. Mr. ARCHER, I ask you—you are sitting here right now—to do in deeds what your words and your Speaker have said, take out that provision.

MEMBER'S RESPONSE TO CHARGES INVOLVING TAX PRO- VISION

(Mr. ARCHER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman has used my name and violated the rules of the House because remarks are supposed to be addressed to the Speaker, not to individual Members, and, second, what he just said is totally distorted.

The amendment to which he refers was introduced in the conference committee by the Democratic Senator from Illinois, CAROL MOSELEY-BRAUN, and pushed by the—

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SOLOMON. Regular order.

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CAMP). Regular order has been called. The gentleman will suspend. Mr. ARCHER has the floor.

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield to answer to the American people why you did what you did?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida is out of order.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, regular order.

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, is anarchy acceptable procedure on the floor of the House? Is interruption and anarchy the basis on which we will conduct our business on the floor of the House? I hope not.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may not be interrupted. The gentleman from Texas may proceed.

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman has totally distorted what he spoke of. He should go to the Democrat Senator from Illinois, Senator CAROL MOSELEY-BRAUN, and ask her why she insisted and why the Senate insisted, in order to be able to get this conference report out, on this provision