Conference agreement compared with:	
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1995	−15,461,879,071
House bill, fiscal year 1995	+2,715,865,363
Senate bill, fiscal year 1995	−518,262,426

BOB LIVINGSTON,
JOHN T. MYERS,
RALPH REGULA,
JERRY LEWIS,
JOHN EDWARD PORTER,
HAL ROGERS,
JOE SKEEN,
FRANK R. WOLF,
TOM DELAY,
BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH,
JIM LIGHTFOOT,
S. CALLAHAN,
RON PACKARD,
Managers on the Part of the House.

MARK O. HATFIELD,
TED STEVENS,
THAD COCHRAN,
ARLEN SPECTER,
PETE V. DOMENICI,
P. GRAMM,
C.S. BOND,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
CONNIE MACK,
CONRAD BURNS,
RICHARD SHELBY,
JIM JEFFORDS,
JUDD GREGG,
R.F. BENNETT,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
D.K. INOUYE,
E.F. HOLLINGS,
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,
PATRICK J. LEAHY,
DALE BUMPERS,
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,
HARRY REID,
BOB KERREY,
HERB KOHL,
PATTY MURRAY,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION 67, CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, AND 2002

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104–125) on the resolution (H. Res. 149) providing for consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 67) setting forth the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for the fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF VISITORS TO THE U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to the provisions of section 6968(a) of title 10, United States Code, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment as members of the Board of Visitors to the U.S. Naval Academy the following Members of the House: Mr. SKEEN, of New Mexico; Mr. GILCHREST, of Maryland; Mr. HOYER, of Maryland; and Mr. MFUME, of Maryland.

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF VISITORS TO THE U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to the provisions of section 4355(a) of title 10, United States Code, the Chair Announces the Speaker's appointment as members of the Board of Visitors to the U.S. Military Academy the following Members of the House: Mrs. KELLY of New York; Mr. TAYLOR, of North Carolina; Mr. HEFNER, of North Carolina; and Mr. LAUGHLIN, of Texas.

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to the provisions of section 1505 of Public Law 99–498 (20 U.S.C. 4412), the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment to the Board of Trustees of the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development the following Members of the House: Mr. YOUNG of Alaska; and Mr. KILDEE of Michigan.

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE HARRY S. TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to the provisions of section 5(b) of Public Law 93–642 (20 U.S.C. 2004(b)), the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment as members of the Board of Trustees of the Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation the following Members of the House: Mr. EMERSON of Missouri; and Mr. SKELTON of Missouri.

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. LIPINSKI] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. LIPINSKI addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. SMITH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. SMITH of Michigan addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

CLEAN WATER ACT AND THE GREAT LAKES INITIATIVE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I come to the well this evening to express my strong opposition to H.R. 961, the Clean Water Act amendments and why I urged its defeat. It steps back from the progress resulting from our Nation's commitment to clean water as a national treasure.

I represent a Great Lakes district along Lake Erie. Cumulatively, the Great Lakes contain 20 percent of all the fresh water on the face of the Earth. For those of us who remember when swimming or fishing in Lake Erie was hazardous to your health, the actions the House is taking to weaken Clean Water Act protections are backward-looking. I am astounded that anyone can fail to see the great progress we have made over the last 25 years to clean up our Nation's water. Today, after two decades, the job of cleaning up Lake Erie is one-half finished. Our progress is laudable, but the goal has not been achieved along our coast or on the Nation's other major waterways.

I can remember when the Cuyahoga River burned and when Lake Erie was declared dead. Some of our colleagues, Mr. Speaker, have apparently forgotten. We have made great strides toward renewing our water resources, but there is still a long way to go. In Ohio, 92 percent of our lakes and 60 percent of our rivers still cannot support fishing or swimming on a year-round basis. Some of our waters still cannot support aquatic life. Just last summer the city of Toledo found it necessary to pump fresh water into the Ottawa River just to restore some oxygen and flush out the polluted discharge from combined sewer overflows. The job of cleaning our waters is far from over. The task of cleaning up dozens of major toxic burial grounds leaching into our fresh water tributaries stands before us.

The aspect of H.R. 961 about which I am most concerned is the provision to make adherence to the standards of the Great Lakes initiative voluntary on the part of Great Lakes States. This initiative has been a model bipartisan effort to standardize water quality protections in the Great Lakes watershed. Over the last 6 years, Federal guidelines have been developed, which, under current law, the States have 2 years to implement. Under H.R. 961, adherence would be voluntary. States could choose which standards to implement