

House Democrats have ignored the warnings of the Medicare trustees regarding the system's impending bankruptcy, and instead they have played politics with Medicare, exploiting and twisting the issue to deceive and scare senior citizens, which is particularly, I think, despicable, given the fact that so many of our senior citizens are frail and elderly and vulnerable, and the President has submitted a string of budget plans that all fail to, again, deal with Medicare's financial crisis.

Unlike the President and the liberal House Democrats, Republicans listened to the Medicare trustees' warnings, and we passed a plan that would have saved Medicare for another generation.

□ 1800

Our plan increases Medicare spending per beneficiary, per Medicare recipient, each year from \$4,800 last year to more than \$7,100 by the year 2002. That is a total Medicare spending increase of 62 percent. So we increased Medicare spending, increased Medicare health care choices by introducing the concept of managed care, physician service organizations and of medical savings accounts, while saving the program from bankruptcy.

Unfortunately, as I mentioned, this is the legislation that the President vetoed last November.

In addition to saving Medicare from bankruptcy, we Republicans are taking steps to aid senior citizens despite the President and the liberal House Democrats. As part of our Contract With America, we repeal the tax increase by the Clinton Democrats on social security benefits, a tax increase that takes affect on social security beneficiaries earning as little as \$3,400 per year. We offer tax relief for long-term health care insurance premiums and a \$1,000-tax deduction for elder care as part of the GOP Balanced Budget Act. Again, these are proposals the President vetoed.

We have passed legislation to increase the social security earnings test so that older Americans can continue to work without punitive taxation, and we passed a law that the President did sign protecting the rights of seniors to live in senior-only housing.

Clearly, colleagues and Mr. Speaker, saving Medicare is not one of the President's priorities; getting reelected is. Rather than preventing or joining with us to prevent Medicare's bankruptcy, the President and the liberal House Democrats prefer to play politics. They seized on this issue to try to win back control of the House of Representatives. They are only interested in using this issue, exploiting it for naked political gain. This is a transparent grab at political power, regaining political power.

As much as the President would like it, Medicare's problems will not wait a minute until after the November election to be solved. We Republicans have a plan that will save the system for future generations of senior citizens, and

the only person standing in the way of their health care security, the only persons standing in the way of health care security for elder Americans, is, in fact, President Clinton and the liberal House Democrats.

TEENAGE PREGNANCY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, teenage pregnancy is a condition that can be controlled and prevented in many instances.

Yet, 30 percent of all out-of-wedlock births are to teenagers, below the age of 20.

That astonishing reality should be alarming to all in Congress.

No other industrialized nation, with a population comparable to the United States, has a problem of this magnitude.

On the issue of teenage pregnancy, we have the dubious distinction of leading the world.

Why, you may ask, is this problem out of control?

Simply put, it is out of control because we have not taken steps to control it.

That is changing.

In January, President Clinton announced a bipartisan "National Campaign To Reduce Teenage Pregnancy."

The mission of the campaign is, "To reduce teenage pregnancy by supporting values and stimulating actions that are consistent with a pregnancy-free adolescence."

The goal of the campaign is, "To reduce the teenage pregnancy rate by one-third by the year 2005."

Neither party, nor politics, nor philosophy should stand against this vital mission and this critical goal.

This is an issue that we should be able to work together regardless of our party affiliation. The mission is difficult, but it can be done. The goal is demanding, but it is within our reach.

As we consider how and where to reduce spending, we must not forget that teenage pregnancies cause a heavy burden on the Federal budget.

Medicaid funds, food stamps, and AFDC funds are especially hard-hit by the teenage pregnancy problem.

If we want to balance the budget, let us begin by working to bring some balance to the lives of thousands and thousands of our teenagers, involved in premature childbearing.

Teenage pregnancies cause a heavy burden on society and it robs teenagers of their youth and robs their children of the benefit of mature parents.

A recent report to Congress on out-of-wedlock childbearing indicates that 35 percent of all out-of-wedlock births are to women over age 25; 35 percent are to women 20 to 24 years of age and 30 percent are to teenagers.

Thirty percent of all out-of-wedlock births are to teenagers.

One objective of welfare reform, shared by both political parties, is to reduce teenage childbearing.

Pending legislation on welfare reform, however, embraces an unreasoned approach to reduce the number of out-of-wedlock births, by denying cash benefits to unwed teenage mothers.

This unreasoned approach is based on the perception that the current system has failed and contends that any proposed change, such as denying children food and medical care, must be a good change. Thus, those who propose eliminating welfare benefits to young unwed mothers argue that their approach can't make matters any worse than they already are.

Change for the sake of change is empty.

We need change, but we need change for the better. Such proposals appear premised on the belief that if Government ignores teen parents, they will go away or get married.

There is little or no research to support such contentions.

Reason, on the other hand, suggests that even if the belief held true for some, there would be many young children and mothers left destitute.

Reducing teenage childbearing is likely to require more than eliminating or manipulating welfare programs.

The underlying causes are economic and social poverty, lack of education, family and community support, adult guidance, and violence are all linked together.

These are not problems isolated to the very poor, but rather problems that cut a wide path across the entire spectrum—very wide and very deep.

There is considerable evidence that life skills training in combination with other social prevention programs have been very effective with young people who use alcohol, drugs, and tobacco and engage in other destructive behavior.

As a society we must consider an array of programs that foster positive and responsible development of our youth.

URGING SUPPORT FOR THE COMMUNITY RENEWAL PROJECT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WELDON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, this morning I attended a press conference held by JIM TALENT and J.C. WATTS to announce a community renewal project that will empower low-income communities. This bill was formulated and designed by the communities that it will effect. Congress went to the community leaders and asked them what will help them in their renewal projects. This initiative is what came out of those conversations.

I want to first of all commend JIM TALENT and J.C. WATTS for meeting with these community leaders and for