

his own personal character—one that should be a model for mankind.

All South Dakotans know Harvey for his great service in our State legislature. He has served in the legislature for 11 years. He has been the Speaker of the State House of Representatives for a year. Recently, Harvey announced he will not seek reelection. This is unfortunate. His shoes will be hard to fill. But I rise today to pay tribute to Harvey's contributions not as an elected official, which are many, but in his singular contribution as a loving, caring husband.

Recently, Gov. Bill Janklow declared Saturday, February 24, Harvey C. Krautschun Day in South Dakota. This honor was given for the life he saved—the life of his wife, Joy. He stood by Joy's hospital bed as she lay comatose for a month, fighting for her life. Because of his constancy and commitment to his wife's life, even as doctors began discussing terminating life-support, Harvey's devotion remained unmoved. He would see his wife awake again.

Harvey demonstrated bravery, courage, and faith in protecting his wife's life. Joy found herself in this condition also because of bravery and courage. In July of 1995, when a newborn colt jumped into an 8-foot-deep pond, Joy jumped in to save the colt. While trying to save the colt, Joy's heart suddenly failed. Harvey rushed to her side, and began administering mouth-to-mouth resuscitation. Their son, Bart, rushed to find additional help, calling an ambulance. Bart returned to his mother's side and performed cardiopulmonary resuscitation on her. Father and son together fought to save Joy's life. The massive heart failure pushed her into a coma. Miraculously, Joy awoke from her coma. Her recovery from the massive heart arrhythmia would entail months of hospitalizations and therapy. Joy did recover, she did awaken from the coma, and today she is living with her family. Doctors had believed she would not live. But Harvey and his family made a commitment to Joy's life, and, thereby, saved her.

To speak of saving a life, to speak of heroism measures a man's values and ideals. To take courageous, loving actions measures a man's valor and commitments. Considering the turbulence surrounding all of us on a daily basis, at times finding simple answers to our problems is difficult, if not humanly impossible. Some mornings while reading the South Dakota newspapers, I wonder, "What keeps people so strong?" In the quake of unforeseen events—I have found strength in faith and prayer. So when I heard of the sudden accident of Joy Krautschun and the courageous and enduring actions of her husband, Harvey, I knew faith in the human spirit and prayer are the strongest, most powerful agent we have to combat the turbulence in our lives.

I have personally known Harvey for many years. As fellow runners, we

jogged together through Spearfish Canyon. As a South Dakota statesman, Harvey has dutifully represented and protected his community, State, and all human life. Harvey has always been there for his constituents. In cases where the problem stretched to the Federal level, Harvey took the initiative to seek out help. It has been my pleasure to have worked with Harvey on such cases in the past. Harvey truly believes in fighting the good fight.

I have a great deal of respect and admiration for Harvey's leadership in the South Dakota Legislature. I trust and appreciate his views and advice on State and national issues. Harvey and his entire family are good, exemplary people and patriots of their Spearfish community.

Harriet and I wish Harvey and his family many more years of health and happiness. Harvey, Joy and their family continue to be in our thoughts and prayers. Knowing a man who is so committed in faith and deed to community, State, country, family, and the very essence of life is an honor. Harvey is true to his rock-solid beliefs in both word and deed.

February 24 may have been Harvey Krautschun Day for South Dakota, but it's safe to say that for Joy Krautschun, every day is Harvey Krautschun day.

THE BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, the \$5 trillion Federal debt stands today as an increasingly grotesque parallel to the energizer bunny on television that keeps moving and moving and moving—precisely in the same manner, and to the same extent, that President Clinton is allowing the Federal debt to keep going up and up into the stratosphere.

Politicians like to talk good games—and "talk" is the operative word—about cutting the Federal spending and thereby bringing the Federal debt under control. But watch how they vote on the big-spending bills.

Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, March 18, the Federal debt stood at \$5,055,609,537,686.31, an average per capita debt of \$19,116.82 for every man, woman, and child in America.

DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1997 AND THE FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, today the administration officially sent its budget requests to the Congress. Although much of the detailed budget information is still not available for review, I want to provide my initial views of the material we have received in the Armed Services Committee. On the positive side of the ledger, I was very pleased that the military

pay raise was fully funded in this budget request. The young men and women who serve our Nation in uniform continue to be the most important asset of our Nation's defense. This year, I intend that the Armed Services Committee will continue to provide increased funding for the quality-of-life initiatives and programs we began in last year's authorization bill.

Mr. President, I am troubled over several decisions made in the proposed budget. First is the Defense Department's decision to again reduce funding for critical ballistic missile defenses. We should be seeking ways to accelerate the development and deployment of both theater and national missile defense systems, not delay them. Under the Department's new proposal, we would not deploy a theater high altitude area defense system, commonly known as THAAD, or Navy upper tier, for another decade. This delay is unacceptable. I find it hard to believe that the administration would continue to place the lives of our service men and women at risk, by delaying this critical capability.

Additionally, the levels of spending for modernization are perilously dangerous. Gains made in last year's bill, as a result of funds added by Congress, to revitalize modernization, may be lost due to inadequate levels of funding in this budget. The procurement accounts have been reduced by 44 percent since fiscal year 1992. This year's budget request decreases procurement spending even further.

General Shalikhshvili recently stated we should provide \$60 billion a year for defense modernization by fiscal year 1998. This is 2 years earlier than the administration previously indicated in last year's budget, and now will not be achieved until fiscal year 2001. Recent testimony, before the Armed Services Committee by Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Admiral Owens, reinforces my concerns. I agree with Admiral Owens that we have a "crisis in procurement." I agree with him also, that procurement continues to be underfunded.

While the Department's planning documents reflect increased spending for procurement in the outyears, I am not confident that we will ever get there. The administration's budget for this year reflects another decline in procurement spending. It appears that each year, modernization is used as a bill payer to fix other near term problems. This concerns me. I fail to see how this budget provides for adequate modernization. I believe that the Congress will be required to add funds to the defense budget again this year, to provide for minimal levels of modernization.

The Armed Services Committee will continue to look for opportunities to work with the military services, as we did last year, to add funds where they will have the most beneficial effects. We intend to invest money now where these investments will save money in the future.