

the technology, which was destined for military facilities. The intelligence community expected this to happen, and it did; Six of the machines were illegally diverted to Nanchang, a major center for Chinese missile programs.

By last spring, McDonnell executives realized they'd been had. The machines had gone to a military facility, the Beijing factory was a hoax, and the Chinese had already canceled the bulk of their promised order. McDonnell informed the Commerce Department of the Chinese diversion, and asked that the license be suspended. Commerce did that, and began an investigation, but before its completion, the Chinese came up with another scheme: Why not send the machines to a factory in Shanghai that was already part of the joint venture with McDonnell? McDonnell filed a request to amend the export license, and in late January a Commerce official told the Far Eastern Economic Review's Nigel Holloway that the amended license had been approved. It is hard to imagine a more classic act of appeasement: A sale that never should have been approved in the first place turns out to have been an illegal diversion, but instead of punishing the criminals involved, the Clinton administration simply covers it up by rewriting the documents.

As if this were not enough, it turns out that McDonnell is hotly pursuing another project with the Chinese, which would expand its MD-90 airplane facility at Shenyang to manufacture parts for a smaller version, the MD-95. Some officials in the Defense Department were concerned that advanced machine tools at Shenyang were grossly underutilized, and they believe they have now found an explanation. On Feb. 5, a joint Chinese-Russian project was announced for the construction of Su-27 fighters—some of the most advanced in the world—at Shenyang. No clearer proof could be imagined of the military value of the McDonnell hardware. One would hope that our president would come down hard on a company that was contributing so mightily to Chinese military power. Instead, at a campaign-style appearance at a McDonnell plant in Long Beach, Calif., on Feb. 23, Bill Clinton announced that the government was buying another batch of McDonnell military transports.

The McDonnell case is just one example among many of the Clinton administration's determination to give China most everything it wants, national security be damned. As early as October 1993, Secretary of Defense William Perry announced in Beijing that he'd told the Chinese they could cut back on their nuclear testing by using advanced computers to simulate the explosions, adding that the U.S. was prepared to share this know-how. Within two months, Mr. Clinton announced a massive decontrol on exports of the necessary supercomputers.

While it is true that the computer simulations might reduce the need for some nuclear testing, they also permit the Chinese to conduct their nuclear program with greater secrecy, thereby making it far more difficult for the West to find out what China is up to in this delicate area. But Clinton & Co. don't seem terribly worried by anything the Chinese might care to do. The Washington Times revealed on Feb. 5 that the intelligence community had discovered that China is shipping the Pakistanis components for their nuclear weapons program. This leak, nicely timed to coincide with the Washington visit of China's foreign minister, shamed the administration into promising it would raise the issue with him.

Another leak—this time that the Chinese are providing Iran with the technology for advanced chemical weapons factories—ap-

peared just in time for the arrival in Washington of their national security adviser. But why should the Chinese worry? This is the crowd that decontrolled the supercomputers, and pointedly refused to take punitive action when advanced technology was illegally diverted to military projects. The administration even refused to invoke sanctions when Adm. Scott Redd, commander of U.S. naval forces in the Persian Gulf, warned that missiles supplied by China to Iran threaten our ships.

ONLY WORDS

The Clinton administration's threats to "get tough" with China are only words, and the words are belied by its actions. Just before the release of the State Department's criticism of Chinese human rights practices last week, the White House announced the lifting of yet another sanction on China: American companies like Loral, Hughes and Lockheed Martin can now use Chinese rockets to put their satellites into orbit. It doesn't take a Confucian scholar to understand the meaning of Mr. Clinton's behavior: The words assuage his domestic critics, but the actions strengthen and delight the Chinese.

Mr. Clinton's policy is based on the theory that we can best influence the behavior of China by emmeshing that country in a vast network of trade. For those old enough to remember, this theory was tested in the mid-1970s on the U.S.S.R., when Richard Nixon and Henry Kissinger called it "detente." It did not change Soviet behavior; instead it made the Soviets technologically and militarily more powerful. It will certainly do the same for the Chinese.

Let us hope that neither our Pacific friends and allies nor our own children will have to face terrible weapons of destruction, designed and manufactured by American computers and machines, foolishly and irresponsibly provided by Bill Clinton, Ron Brown, William Perry and their willing accomplices in government and business.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. KEN CALVERT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, March 19, 1996

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, last Thursday, March 14, 1996, I inadvertently voted in favor of the Watt amendment which would have stricken the antiterrorism bill's—H.R. 2703—habeas corpus provisions. This was rollcall vote No. 64.

I wish to express on the record that I had intended to vote in opposition to the Watt amendment. I strongly favor limiting the ability of State death-row and other prisoners to challenge in Federal court the constitutionality of their sentences.

NEW YORK TIMES CALLS INDIA ROTTEN, CORRUPT, REPRESSIVE, AND ANTIPEOPLE

HON. GERALD B.H. SOLOMON

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, March 19, 1996

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, the February 25 issue of the New York Times featured an excellent article on the corruption and repression in India. In it, the Indian Government was

aptly described as "a rotten, corrupt, repressive, and anti-people system." This is an accurate and very damning indictment of the brutal Indian regime. I will be placing this article in the RECORD.

The repression of the Sikhs is vividly exposed in the new video "Disappearances in Punjab," which I recently received from the Council of Khalistan. An Indian policewoman testifies in the video about acts of torture and repression that she has seen. The kidnaping of human rights activist Jaswant Singh Khalra is highlighted. Mr. Khalra was kidnaped by the Punjab police after publishing a report which exposed abductions and disappearances of the same kind as those revealed by this video.

The video is a powerful indictment of India's reign of terror in Punjab, Khalistan. No one who watches it will ever again see India as anything but a brutal police state. I strongly recommend it. As Siskel and Ebert would say, it gets two thumbs up.

As you know, India has recently been rocked by a massive corruption scandal which as forced the resignations of several Cabinet members and a number of leading opposition political figures. According to the January 25 issue of the Tribune of Chandigarh, the Prime Minister himself received 3.5 crore rupees, the equivalent of millions of dollars, in this scandal. All this is going on while the ordinary people of India live in some of the worst poverty in all the world, some of them making less than a dollar a day. Is it any wonder that many experts believe that India is apt to break apart soon?

This corruption is one symptom of India's moral bankruptcy. Another is the repression of the Indian regime routinely practices against the Sikhs Nation and the other nations their forces brutally occupy, such as Azad Kashmir and Christian Nagaland. One recent incident, while not as serious as the Khalra kidnaping, shows how pervasive the effort to intimidate the Sikh Nation into submission is. A university student is being denied his degree by the regime despite being one of the top students in his class. His name is Sukhbir Singh Osan, and he is also the reporter who broke the story that the late Governor of Punjab, Surendra Nath, was paid \$1.5 billion by the Indian regime to organize and support covert states terrorism in Punjab and Kashmir. This certainly seem to be an attempt to force Mr. Osan to toe the India regime's line rather than doing this kind of independent reporting.

In that light, the Sikhs of Khalistan and the oppressed peoples of the other nations India brutally occupies are entirely justified in seeking their freedom. America should support them in this effort.

Many of us have introduced a bill, H.R. 1425, the Human Rights in India Act, which will cut off United States development aid to India until the human rights situation is rectified. This bill would be a first step in restoring freedom in the subcontinent. I urge my colleagues to support it, and I call upon our colleagues over on the Senate side to introduce parallel legislation. I also call upon our Senate colleagues to circulate a letter protesting India's brutal repression of the Sikhs and others similar to the one 65 of us signed last year. In America, we enjoy the blessings of freedom. It is our duty to help spread those blessings to all the people of the world.