

fired a 9mm slug into Kevin Gillespie's neck, Angel Diaz, is a two-time violent offender and one of his accomplices, Jesus Mendez, was on \$25,000 bail for an armed robbery arrest in January. Even though arrested for a clear violation of his parole, this career criminal was allowed to make bail, walk the streets, and ultimately, commit murder.

Mr. Speaker, in its current state, the criminal justice system represents a clear and present danger to American society. Liberal judges and juries set free vicious criminals to again prey on the innocent. Unless the absolute surety of severe punishment for serious crimes once again becomes the law of the land, we are all in jeopardy.

When a civil society's first line of defense against mayhem and chaos—our police—can be killed with impunity, none of us are safe. Those who would attack with deadly force a police officer must understand that they will pay the ultimate price. The murder of a police officer is a depraved act. It displays absolute contempt for society and total indifference to human life. There is only one fitting punishment for a cop killer.

I strongly support the imposition of the death penalty for certain heinous crimes. The death penalty should be applied without question or consideration for those found guilty of murdering a police officer. There may be some argument as to the deterrent effect of such a policy—I believe that the death penalty does serve as strong deterrent. There can be no disagreement, however, over the fact, that if dealt with properly, the despicable felon who gunned down Officer Gillespie will never again threaten society.

There is no question that the death penalty should be sought in the case of Angel Diaz and his accomplices, Jesus Mendez and Ricardo Morales. On this matter I am in complete agreement with New York Governor George Pataki, New York Attorney General Dennis Vacco, and New York City Mayor Rudy Giuliani. I commend each of these men for their stand, and completely support Governor Pataki's right to remove Bronx District Attorney Robert Johnson from the case for refusing to seek the death penalty.

Mr. Speaker, Kevin Gillespie died in the line of duty doing his job for his fellow citizens. Ten thousand police officers attended his funeral to demonstrate their support for him. We must honor Police Officer Gillespie's memory by carrying out our duty and standing with the men and women of law enforcement against the violent, vicious criminals who prey upon law-abiding citizens.

Mr. Speaker, Police Officer Kevin Gillespie died as he lived—with courage, with dignity, and with faith in God. And now I ask this House to pay its own tribute to this outstanding man. Please join me as I express my regret at the loss of Police Officer Kevin Gillespie and my profoundest condolences to his wife Patty, his sons Danny and Bobby, and to his entire family.

REIN IN IRS' UNCONSTITUTIONAL POWERS

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, the Republican Party's new bill makes

great strides in protecting taxpayers' rights. I commend them for including one of my provisions that allows a taxpayer to sue the IRS up to \$1 million for reckless collections. But this bill is not a great bill. It stops short.

The truth is the bill leaves out changing the burden of proof in a tax case. And after all the hype, ladies and gentlemen, a taxpayer in a civil tax case will still be considered guilty in the eyes of the law and must prove their innocence.

Once again the IRS reaches in, the IRS wins, the taxpayers lose. The IRS says it will cost too much money.

Mr. Speaker, if the IRS scored the Constitution, they would throw out the Bill of Rights.

I say it is time to tell these ratch-a-frachen, bric-a-bracken bunch of pantaloomases that the taxpayers run this show, not the IRS.

The Republican Party could do something the Democrats did not have the courage to do, and we have allowed the taxpayers to be treated as dogs, guilty before the law. Shame, Congress. Let us make it a great bill.

ENSURE DOMESTIC SUPPLY OF OIL

(Mr. COMBEST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. COMBEST. Mr. Speaker, 5 years ago today, the costs of depending on foreign oil came due with our first downpayment on the gulf war. The House voted \$42 billion to pay the military costs of ejecting Saddam Hussein from his king-of-the-hill grab of Middle East oil supplies.

Let us not make another multibillion-dollar investment to protect foreign oil. Let's at least take a fraction of that amount to ensure a ready domestic supply.

America needs a floor price that investors can bank on, regulatory relief and tax incentives to get rigs and roughnecks back into the fields.

As Intelligence Committee chairman, I say that our national security is just as threatened by our dependence on the unpredictable lifeline of foreign oil—as if we were to depend on another country for our daily bread.

Today, America imports half of its crude oil—this is a national security threat that must not stand. Like our gulf war commanders, America must draw a line in the sand and say 50 percent dependence is enough—500,000 jobs lost is enough—and 5 years to focus on oil supplies here at home is more than enough.

SAVE THE ASSAULT WEAPONS BAN

(Mr. GUTIERREZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, in the extremist world of this Republican

Congress, things just get stranger every day.

Today's outrage is the Republican concept of rights. What rights do the American people have?

Quality, affordable health care for our seniors? That's not a right.

Head Start and student loans and immunizations for our children? Those aren't rights.

How about job training, a decent minimum wage, and economic security for American workers? No rights there.

But owning an Uzi submachinegun that can fire over 100 rounds a minute and is designed to terrorize our communities? Now that is a right.

What my Republican friends have really forgotten is a sense of right and wrong.

What is absolutely right is for this Congress to take every step possible to protect our families, our children, and our neighborhoods from senseless gun violence.

What is absolutely wrong is to care more about a few thousand bucks from the NRA than keeping our kids and communities safe.

Today let's vote for our kids instead of for the cash. Vote to protect our families and save the assault weapons ban.

WHO TO TRUST, WASHINGTON OR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, my good friend from Illinois, through overstatement and exaggeration, once again indulges in pure fantasy. The fact is that the rights of every American are best protected when we uphold this document, the Constitution of the United States. The fact is that the most publicized murder of this decade, indeed of this half century, involved a knife. The fact is that in other democracies where there is gun control, violence with guns has not been eliminated. Look at the recent tragedy in Scotland.

The fact is, Mr. Speaker, it comes down to this question: Who do you trust? Do you trust law-abiding American citizens, or do you trust a bloated bureaucracy, willing to strip Americans of their rights and privileges?

That is the question confronting this Congress. Who do you trust? Washington, or the American people.

PAID FOR BY THE NRA

(Mr. MEEHAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Speaker, good morning and welcome to the U.S. House of Representatives. Today's debate has been bought and paid for by the National Rifle Association. And boy was it expensive. It cost: