

Let us commit ourselves to the completion of the 1996 budget at the earliest possible date. Then let us recommit ourselves not to repeat anything like this in 1997 or ever again.

Mr. President, enough is enough.

PROVIDING FOR AN ADJOURNMENT OR RECESS OF THE TWO HOUSES

Mr. DOMENICI addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator in New Mexico.

Mr. DOMENICI. I have been asked by the leader to make this unanimous-consent request. It has been cleared on the other side.

I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of House Concurrent Resolution 157 just received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 157) providing for an adjournment or recess of the two Houses.

Mr. DOMENICI. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered and agreed to and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 157) was agreed to.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I will speak for just a few moments. I understand there is still another Senator who wishes to speak, but I will not take very long.

MEDICARE FINANCING CRISIS

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I wish to speak for a moment about the Medicare Program which our senior citizens are very concerned about and most Americans are very concerned about.

Last year, the Medicare trustees told the President and the Congress that the Medicare Program is in financial crisis. Specifically, they said, and I quote, "The Federal hospital insurance trust fund which pays inpatient hospital expenses will be able to pay benefits for only about 7 years and is severely out of financial balance in the long run."

The Medicare trustees were even more blunt. "The Medicare Program is clearly unsustainable in its present form," they said. "The hospital insurance trust fund continues to be severely out of financial balance and is projected to be exhausted in 7 years."

That is what they said last year—7 years. In 1995, the trustees were telling us we have 7 years before the part A trust fund ran out of money. Last year's report projected that this fund would be insolvent in the year 2002. Based on the same data, I made a more precise prediction that bankruptcy would occur in early February 2002.

Very soon, we are going to receive from the Medicare trustees an annual

update to this report. I have looked at the data that the trustees used to generate their report, and I can say now that last year's projections were too optimistic. This year's report will show that the hospital trust fund is going bankrupt in the year 2001—not 2002. The projections were too optimistic last year.

A year ago my colleagues and I were urging the Senate and the President to follow the trustees' recommendation and address the Medicare financing crisis. This is why the reforms in Medicare were proposed last year. This Congress had a choice in 1995, and the choice was to address the Medicare financing crisis, restructure Medicare for the next century by providing seniors with more choices and containing costs to providers, or to ignore the crisis and let the problem languish for another year.

This Congress chose to act to try to save Medicare from the pending bankruptcy. When we made the choice, we had a 7-year window available to us and to the American people—7 years before part A would be bankrupt, without sufficient money to pay its bills.

Mr. President and fellow Senators, that is now down to 5 years. We spent a year trying to reform Medicare, only to have the reform fail and to have the President veto the reform measures. And we will soon officially hear from the trustees that we lost another year.

Last year we were told that we had until 2002. Now we will learn that we have until 2001. The Medicare part A problem is now worse than it was a year ago. Based on the data the trustees will be using in their annual report, which we have now had an opportunity to review, I can predict for the Senate and for those who are interested, the seniors across America, that the Medicare part A trust fund will be without sufficient funds to pay its bills in late May of 2001. Essentially, it will be bankrupt in May of 2001 instead of 2002. This is 5 years and 2 months from now—5 years and 2 months, not 7 years.

It is important to remember that while attention has focused on the impending bankruptcy of part A, the hospital plan, the underlying problem is the uncontrolled spending and the growth of the entire program.

Last year, the Congressional Budget Office projections showed that Medicare part A spending was growing at 8 percent a year, and it showed that part B spending was growing at 14 percent a year. There is no question that if we can slow the growth by reform, if we can make both part A and part B more streamlined and in touch and in tune with the modern delivery of health care, we can slow the growth. Our present spending is just not sustainable. Simply put, the trust fund will be bankrupt in 5 years and 2 months. The remainder is growing at 14 percent a year.

When we pursue that goal of making it sustainable, of slowing Medicare spending, one result will be that we

will save the part A trust fund, the hospital trust fund. The Balanced Budget Act passed this year by Congress—that is last year, in this year's cycle—and vetoed by the President, would have extended the life of part A past the year 2010. That same Medicare reform took the necessary steps toward addressing our long-term entitlement problem. Unfortunately, it, too, was vetoed when the Balanced Budget Act was vetoed.

I do not relish being the bearer of bad news. No one likes to hear that a program as valuable and as important as Medicare is in financial trouble. But we cannot simply bury our heads and hope that the problem will go away. It will not. We spent a year trying to address a problem here in the Congress, and now it appears that that effort may fall victim to a Presidential election. If we wait another year to address Medicare, we will be 4 years, if not shorter, from bankruptcy. I am concerned that 1 year from now I will be standing here on the floor of the Senate, reporting on the impending bankruptcy of the part A trust fund, and we will have spent a year doing nothing to address it.

I hope that is not the case. But I hope that more Senators and more leadership in this country will understand that if we do not change some things about the program there will be no program—not for the younger generation, but for seniors who are on the program right now. Because there are many senior citizens who are on the program right now who will still need hospitalization in the year 2001, 5 years from now. Unless we choose to do something now, it will not be available to them. We will have spent the money in the trust fund and the bills will be coming in faster than the revenue, and that equals bankruptcy.

So, I thought, today, after a careful study of the facts, that I would share this news, bring it to the floor and share it right now. I thought, as soon as I had it, I ought to share it with everyone. I believe what I am saying is correct. I believe I am slightly ahead of the trustees, but I know the information they have, and their experts, for that is shared information. There is no question in my mind the fund is going bankrupt faster than was estimated last year, and we are now 5 years and 2 months away from the fund not having money to pay the bills of senior citizens who are in hospitals.

I yield the floor.

Mr. KENNEDY addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BURNS). The Senator from Massachusetts.

Mr. KENNEDY. Are we in morning business at this time?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. We are.

Mr. KENNEDY. I ask unanimous consent I might be able to proceed in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.